



大成環境科技拓展有限公司

**ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED**

Member of FSE Engineering Holdings (Stock Code: 331)

# **Proposed Road Improvement Works in West Kowloon Reclamation Development – Phase 1**

## **Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report**

**01/06/2017 – 30/06/2017**

The Contents of this report have been certified by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Goldie Fung', is positioned above a horizontal line.

Ms. Goldie Fung  
(Environmental Team Leader)

### **Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**

Flat A, 8/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre,  
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2556 9172      Fax: 2856 2010

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1 The Project.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.2 Construction Programme and Activities .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.3 Project Organization .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.4 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2 Air Quality Monitoring.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.1 Monitoring Locations.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.2 Monitoring Frequency .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.3 Monitoring Equipment .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.4 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.5 Maintenance and Calibration .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.6 Quality Assurance / Quality Control Results and Detection Limits .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.7 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.8 Monitoring Results and Observations.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>2.9 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Month .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>3 Noise Monitoring .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3.1 Monitoring Locations.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3.2 Monitoring Frequency .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3.3 Monitoring Equipment .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3.4 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>3.5 Maintenance and Calibration .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3.6 Quality Assurance / Quality Control Results and Detection Limits .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3.7 Action and Limit Level for Construction Noise .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3.8 Monitoring Results and Observations.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>3.9 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Month .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status.....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5 Landscape and Visual Impact .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>6 Environmental Site Inspection .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6.1 Site Audit.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6.2 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>7 Environmental Non-Conformance .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7.1 Summary of Environmental Exceedances .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaint.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7.4 Summary of Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>8 Future Key Issues .....</b>	<b>30</b>

<b>9</b>	<b>Comment, Recommendations and Conclusions .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>Comment .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>Recommendations .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>9.3</b>	<b>Conclusions .....</b>	<b>32</b>

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

[Appendix A: Construction Programme and Project Layout Plan](#)

[Appendix B: Project Organization Chart](#)

[Appendix C: Monitoring Locations](#)

[Appendix D: Calibration Certification](#)

[Appendix E: TSP Monitoring Data](#)

[Appendix F1: Noise Monitoring Data](#)

[Appendix F2: School Schedule](#)

[Appendix G: Waste Management Record](#)

[Appendix H: Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule](#)

[Appendix I: Cumulative Log for Environmental Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of  
Summons and Successful Prosecutions](#)

## **LIST OF TABLES**

[Table 1.4.1: Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits](#)

[Table 2.1.1: Air Quality Monitoring Locations](#)

[Table 2.1.2: Air Quality Monitoring Locations](#)

[Table 2.3.1: Air Quality Monitoring Equipment](#)

[Table 2.7.1: Established TSP Actions and Limit Level](#)

[Table 2.7.2: Event and action Plan for Air Quality](#)

[Table 2.8.1: Summary of average 1-hr TSP monitoring data](#)

[Table 2.8.2: Summary of average 24-hr TSP monitoring data](#)

[Table 3.1.1: Noise Monitoring Locations](#)

[Table 3.3.1: Equipment List for Noise Monitoring](#)

[Table 3.7.1: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise at all Sensitive Receivers](#)

[Table 3.7.2: Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise](#)

[Table 3.8.1: Summary of average noise monitoring data](#)

[Table 4.1: Quantities of Waste Disposed from the Project](#)

[Table 5.1: Proposed Monitoring Program](#)

[Table 5.2: Event / Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact](#)

[Table 6.1: Summary results of site inspections findings](#)

## **Executive Summary**

This is the seventeenth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Proposed Road Improvement Works in West Kowloon Reclamation Development – Phase 1. The project commenced on 6 February 2016. This report documents the finding of EM&A Works conducted from 1 June 2017 to 30 June 2017.

### **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress**

#### *Air Quality Monitoring*

1-hr Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring and 24-hr TSP monitoring were carried out on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017.

#### *Noise Monitoring*

30-min LAeq noise monitoring was carried out on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017.

#### *Waste Management*

According to Contractor's waste flow data, 840.63 tonnes of inert C&D materials and 12.53 tonnes of general refuse were generated and disposed of.

#### *Landscape and Visual Impact*

Bi-weekly inspections were conducted on 2, 16 and 30 June 2017. Most of the necessary mitigation measures have been implemented. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

#### *Environmental Site Inspection*

Joint weekly inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contract Administrator, Engineer, Contractor and ET on 1, 7, 13, 21 and 26 June 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.



### Environmental Exceedance / Non-compliance / Compliant / Summons and Successful Prosecution

No exceedance of action level and limit level was recorded for TSP. Six exceedances were recorded at NM4 on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017 for Noise. No Non-compliance event, environmental complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution against the Project were received in this reporting month.

### Variation in Construction Method

No variation in construction method from the proposed construction programme was made and affected the EM&A.

### Future Key Issues

The major construction works to be undertaken in the next reporting month include:

- Portion I – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion I – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion I – Piling Works
- Portion HA – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion HA – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion HA – Piling Works
- Portion HA – Construction of Pile Cap
- Portion J – Site Formation and Road Works
- Portion Q – Road Works (excavation and utilities diversion)
- Portion Q – Construction of Sign Gantry

## **1 Introduction**

### **1.1 The Project**

This is a road improvement project in West Kowloon Reclamation Development (WKRd) for completing the developments and the commissioning of the new transport facilities.

Apart from the additional traffic impacts arising from the major development and transport facilities in WKRd, several major junctions in the area are currently operating with insufficient capacity causing serious congestion to some existing major road corridors such as Jordan Road (JRD), Ferry Street (FST) and Canton Road (CRD).

To enhance the road network of the area, Transport Department commissioned the “West Kowloon Reclamation Development Traffic Study” which identified and recommended Core and Additional Schemes together with the improvement works at the junction of CRD/FST/JRD. Implementation of these schemes would enable most of the key road junctions in the study area to operate with spare capacity, and the traffic queue length would also be reduced avoiding blockage to the upstream junctions

The Environmental Team (ET), Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited (EPSL), was appointed by Vibro Construction Co. Ltd. to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Proposed Road Improvement Works in West Kowloon Reclamation Development – Phase 1. The project proponent is Highways Department. This is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap.499). The No. of Environment Permit is EP-455/2013.

The construction works and EM&A programme of this project was commenced on 6 February 2016. The construction programme and project layout plan are shown in [Appendix A](#).

## 1.2 Construction Programme and Activities

A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows.

- Portion I – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion I – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion HA – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion HA – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion HA – Piling Works
- Portion J – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion J – Construction of Retaining Walls
- Portion Q – Road Works (excavation and utilities diversion)

## 1.3 Project Organization

The project organization chart and contact details are shown in [Appendix B](#).

## 1.4 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in [Table 1.4.1](#).

Table 1.4.1 Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

Permit / License No.	Valid Period		Status	Remark
	From	To		
Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation				
Ref. No. 386894	23/03/2015	N/A	Valid	/
Effluent Discharge License				
WT00021818-2015	18/06/2015	30/06/2020	Valid	Portion I
WT00021822-2015	18/06/2015	30/06/2020	Valid	Portion HA
WT00021825-2015	18/06/2015	30/06/2020	Valid	Portion J
WT00021826-2015	18/06/2015	30/06/2020	Valid	Portion Q
WT00021903-2015	26/06/2015	30/06/2020	Valid	Works area 1
Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation				
Billing Account No.7022012	31/03/2015	N/A	Valid	/
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer				

WPN5213-229-V2215-01	01/06/2015	N/A	Valid	/
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RE1183-15	04/12/2015	03/06/2016	Expire	Portion HA
GW-RE0469-16	04/06/2016	03/12/2016	Expire	Portion HA
GW-RE0330-17	25/04/2017	23/10/2017	Valid	Portion HA

## 2 Air Quality Monitoring

### 2.1 Monitoring Locations

According to the EM&A Manual Section 3.5, four impact monitoring locations have been established for air quality monitoring, which are summarized in [Table 2.1.1](#).

Table 2.1.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

Identification No.	Monitoring Location	Description	Parameter
AM1	Marine Department New Yau Ma Tei Public Cargo Working Area Administrative Building	Ground Floor Face to Hoi Po Road	1-hr TSP 24-hr TSP
AM2	Garden Building	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	1-hr TSP 24-hr TSP
AM3	The Cullinan I	Ground Floor Face to Nga Cheung Road	1-hr TSP 24-hr TSP
AM4	Lai Chack Middle School	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	1-hr TSP 24-hr TSP

Due to the rejection from the representatives/ property management of the premises, high volume samplers are not feasible to be installed at AM3 and AM4 for the 24-hr TSP monitoring. Alternative locations AM3-B and AM4-A are proposed accordingly. The monitoring locations are summarized in [Table 2.1.2](#). The details of monitoring location plan are shown in [Appendix C](#).

Table 2.1.2 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

ID No.	Monitoring Location	Description	Coordinates	Parameter
AM1	Marine Department New Yau Ma Tei Public Cargo Working Area Administrative Building	Ground Floor Face to Hoi Po Road	22°18'44.8"N 114°09'37.4"E	1-hr TSP
AM2	Garden Building	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	22°18'12.7"N 114°10'05.7"E	1-hr TSP
AM3	The Cullinan I	Ground Floor	22°18'22.0"N	1-hr TSP

		Face to Nga Cheung Road	114°09'39.3"E	
AM4	Lai Chack Middle School	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	22°18'05.4"N 114°10'05.3"E	1-hr TSP
AM1	Marine Department New Yau Ma Tei Public Cargo Working Area Administrative Building	Rooftop Face to Hoi Po Road	22°18'44.8"N 114°09'37.4"E	24-hr TSP
AM2	Garden Building	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	22°18'12.7"N 114°10'05.7"E	24-hr TSP
AM3-B <sup>*1</sup>	The Cullinan II (W Hong Kong)	Ground Floor Face to The Cullinan II	22°18'16.3"N 114°09'34.5"E	24-hr TSP
AM4-A	Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	22°18'05.5"N 114°10'04.0"E	24-hr TSP

Remark:

<sup>\*1</sup> Monitoring station was amended from AM3-A to AM3-B from October 2016. AM3-B is located at the site boundary of Scheme I. There is no obstacle between the site (dust emission source) and monitoring point. It is more representative for monitoring the dust impact caused by the construction activities.

## 2.2 Monitoring Frequency

For 1-hr TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency is at least three times in every six days when the highest dust impact occurs.

For 24-hr TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency is once in every six days when the highest dust impact occurs.

Monitoring was carried out on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017.

.

## 2.3 Monitoring Equipment

1-hr TSP monitoring was carried out by the portable dust meters. A comparison test for the portable dust meters with the HVS was carried out to ensure the accuracy for direct reading of the portable dust meter. 24-hr TSP monitoring was carried out by the high volume samplers. The monitoring equipment is listed in [Table 2.3.1](#) and Calibration Certificates of the equipment are shown in [Appendix D](#).

Table 2.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Serial No.	Latest Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Portable Dust Meter	TSI AM520	5201707001	24/02/2017	23/02/2018
		5201707002	24/02/2017	23/02/2018
		5201707003	24/02/2017	23/02/2018
		5201707004	24/02/2017	23/02/2018
High Volume Sampler	Tisch TE-5170	0001	02/06/2017	02/08/2017
		0002	02/06/2017	02/08/2017
		0003	02/06/2017	02/08/2017
		0004	04/05/2017	03/07/2017
Calibration Kit	Tisch TE-5028A	2137	01/02/2017	31/01/2018

## 2.4 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters

Measurements of 1-hr TSP monitoring were taken by a Dust Trak aerosol monitor or its equivalent that is a portable and battery-operated laser photometer capable of performing real time 1-hr TSP measurements.

Field monitoring procedures are as follows:

- The monitoring station was set at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and set at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the dust monitor.
- Zero Cal was conducted to the dust monitor to each test for ensuring more accurate data.

- Logging setup and Instrument setup such as log interval, test length, number of test and impactor adaptor will set as follows:
  - log interval : 1min
  - test length : 60mins
  - number of test : 3
  - Impactor adaptor: 100μ
- Start the monitoring lasting 3 hours for each monitoring location
- At the end of the monitoring period, the Average, Maximum and Minimum of each TSP test shall be recorded. In addition on a standard record sheet.

Measurements of 24-hr TSP monitoring were taken by High Volume Samplers (HVSs).

HVSs fitted with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Installation of HVSs:

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind should be provided;
- No two samplers should be placed less than 2 meters apart;
- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, must be at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler;
- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses is required for rooftop samplers;
- A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required;
- No furnace or incinerator flue is nearby;
- Airflow around the sampler is unrestricted;
- The sampler is more than 20 meters from the dripline;
- Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring;
- Permission must be obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations; and
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.

Data of wind speed and wind direction was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of Hong Kong Observatory. The collection of wind data meets the prescribed



criteria in S.3.4.3 of the EM&A Manual.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, weather conditions and any other special phenomena at the construction site were recorded during the measurement period.

## **2.5 Maintenance and Calibration**

Dust Trak aerosol monitors were calibrated by the manufacturer or a HOKLAS Laboratory for every year and on-site Zero Cal before every monitoring. HVSs were calibrated after installation and re-calibrated on bi-monthly basis.

## **2.6 Quality Assurance / Quality Control Results and Detection Limits**

The portable dust meter was calibrated annually by the manufacturer or a HOKLAS laboratory. HVSs were first been calibrated after installation and repeated on bi-monthly basis. Calibration Kit for HVSs was calibrated annually by the manufacturer or a HOKLAS laboratory. The detection limits of the HVSs meet with the prescribed standard. Calibration details and current Calibration Certificates are shown in [Appendix D](#).

## **2.7 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP**

The Action and Limit levels for air quality impact monitoring results at all monitoring locations are summarized in [Table 2.7.1](#), which would be applied for compliance assessment of air quality for this project. If the air quality monitoring results at any monitoring stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in [Table 2.7.2](#) shall be taken.

Table 2.7.1 Established TSP Actions and Limit Level

Monitoring Locations	Monitoring Parameter	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM1	1-hr TSP	288	500
AM2		299	500
AM3		299	500
AM4		303	500
AM1	24-hr TSP	157	260
AM2		183	260
AM3-B		177	260
AM4-A		176	260

Table 2.7.2 Event and action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
<b>ACTION LEVEL</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 2. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC, ER and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET/ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.

LIMIT LEVEL				
1.Exceedance for one sample	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on remedial actions 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 5. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to terminate that portion of work until the exceedance ceases.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on remedial actions 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Resubmit proposals if problems still not under control; 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance ceases.

## 2.8 Monitoring Results and Observations

1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at four monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in [Table 2.8.1](#). 24-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at three monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in [Table 2.8.2](#). Detailed impact monitoring data of 1-hr TSP, 24-hr TSP and meteorological data are shown in [Appendix E](#).

Table 2.8.1 Summary of average 1-hr TSP monitoring data

Monitoring Locations	Average 1-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range 1-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM1	63	32 – 90	288	500
AM2	60	50 – 77	299	500
AM3	52	34 – 98	299	500
AM4	68	50 – 84	303	500

Table 2.8.2 Summary of average 24-hr TSP monitoring data

Monitoring Locations	Average 24-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range 24-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM1	39	25 – 63	157	260
AM2	36	20 – 72	183	260
AM3-B	43	27 – 78	177	260
AM4-A	60	28 – 79	176	260

In accordance with the established action and limited levels for impact monitoring, there was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

During the monitoring period, vehicle emissions were identified as one of the dust sources for AM1, AM2, AM3, AM4, AM3-B and AM4-A. TSP levels of AM2, AM4 and AM4-A may be affected by the construction activities from other construction sites near Canton Road.

## 2.9 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Month

The monitoring schedule for next reporting month is scheduled on 6, 12, 18, 24 and 29 July 2017.

### 3 Noise Monitoring

#### 3.1 Monitoring Locations

According to the EM&A Manual Section 4.5, five impact monitoring locations have been established for noise impact monitoring during the construction phase of the project, which are summarized in [Table 3.1.1](#). The details of monitoring location plan are shown in [Appendix C](#).

Table 3.1.1 Noise Monitoring Locations

Identification No.	Noise Monitoring Location	Description	Measurement Type
NM1	Sorrento - Tower 1	Podium Level Face to Nga Cheung Road	Façade
NM2	Yau Ma Ti Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	Ground Floor Face to Hoi Ting Road	Façade
NM3	The Cullinan I	Ground Floor Face to Nga Cheung Road	Façade
NM4	Lai Chack Middle School	Ground Floor Face to Canton Road	Façade
NM5	Yue Tak Building	Ground Floor Face to Jordan Road	Façade

#### 3.2 Monitoring Frequency

The regular monitoring for each location was performed on a weekly basis.

Monitoring was carried out on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017.

#### 3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Noise monitoring was conducted by using SVANTEK 958 and SVANTEK 971 which complied with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 61672:2002 (Type 1), 60651:1979 (Type 1) and 60804:1985 (Type 1) Specifications as referred to the Technical Memorandum to the Noise Control Ordinance. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory to ensure they can perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Before and after the baseline measurement, the reading of sound level meter was checked with the acoustic calibrator

and the measurements were accepted as valid if the calibration levels before and after the noise measurement agreed to within 1.0 dB. The measurement equipment is listed in [Table 3.3.1](#) and Calibration Certificates of the equipment are shown in [Appendix D](#).

Table 3.3.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Serial No.	Latest Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Sound level meter	SVANTEK 958	IEC61672 Type 1	23432	14/11/2016	13/11/2017
	SVANTEK 971	IEC61672 Type 1	34350	30/12/2016	29/12/2017
Acoustical calibrator	SVANTEK SV30A	IEC 942 Type 1	29085	03/01/2017	02/01/2018
	BSWA CA111	IEC 942 Type 1	520309	01/08/2016	31/07/2017

### 3.4 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level,  $L_{Aeq}$ .  $L_{Aeq}$  (30minutes) was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 3.6.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

Field monitoring procedures are as follows:

- The monitoring station was set at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and set at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time will set as follows:
  - frequency weighting : A
  - time weighting : Fast

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter shall be calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement will be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement is required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station shall be checked with the portable wind meter.
- Noise monitoring should be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s. Noise measurement should be paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation shall be recorded when intrusive noise is not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the  $Leq$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  shall be recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources should be recorded on a standard record sheet.

### **3.5 Maintenance and Calibration**

Monitoring equipment was calibrated by the HOKLAS Laboratory for every year and on-site calibrated before and after every monitoring.

### **3.6 Quality Assurance / Quality Control Results and Detection Limits**

The sound level meter and calibrator were calibrated annually by the HOKLAS laboratory. The detection limits of the sound level meter meet with the prescribed standard. Calibration details and current Calibration Certificates are shown in [Appendix D](#).

### 3.7 Action and Limit Level for Construction Noise

The Action and Limit levels for construction noise are defined in [Table 3.7.1](#). Should exceedance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in [Table 3.7.2](#) shall be carried out.

Table 3.7.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise at all Sensitive Receivers

Monitoring Locations	Building Type	Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NM1	Residential	Daytime 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)
NM2	Education			70 dB(A) / 65dB(A)*
NM3	Residential			75 dB(A)
NM4	Education			70 dB(A) / 65dB(A)*
NM5	Residential			75 dB(A)

\*Remark: 70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

Table 3.7.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Notify IEC, ER and Contractor of exceedance; 2. Identify source 3. Investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 4. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 5. Discuss with the IEC, ER and Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 6. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER with copy to ET and IEC; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, investigate what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to terminate that portion of work until the exceedance ceases.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER with copy to ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Terminate the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance ceases.



### 3.8 Monitoring Results and Observations

Noise impact monitoring was conducted at five monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in [Table 3.8.1](#). Detailed impact monitoring data of noise are shown in [Appendix F1](#).

Table 3.8.1 Summary of average noise monitoring data

Monitoring Locations	Monitoring Date	Baseline Level (dB(A))	$L_{Aeq}^{*1}$ (dB(A))	Re-M <sup>*2</sup> $L_{Aeq}$ (dB(A))	Action Level (dB(A))	Limit Level (dB(A))
NM1	2/6/2017	75.1	61.7	N/A	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)
	8/6/2017		63.3	N/A		
	14/6/2017		64.5	N/A		
	20/6/2017		65.3	N/A		
	26/6/2017		62.8	N/A		
	30/6/2017		65.4	N/A		
NM2	2/6/2017	66.5	65.0	N/A	When one documented complaint is received	65 dB(A) * <sup>4</sup>
	8/6/2017		64.9	N/A		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	14/6/2017		65.2	N/A		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	20/6/2017		66.9	N/A		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	26/6/2017		64.9	N/A		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	30/6/2017		66.1	N/A		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
NM3	2/6/2017	74.5	73.8	N/A	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)
	8/6/2017		72.4	N/A		
	14/6/2017		74.1	N/A		
	20/6/2017		74.3	N/A		
	26/6/2017		74.7	N/A		
	30/6/2017		73.1	N/A		
NM4	2/6/2017	73.3	75.0	75.9	When one documented complaint is received	70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	8/6/2017		74.9	74.4		65 dB(A) * <sup>4</sup>
	14/6/2017		74.5	74.8		65 dB(A) * <sup>4</sup>
	20/6/2017		74.7	74.2		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	26/6/2017		73.8	74.1		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
	30/6/2017		73.5	75.1		70 dB(A) * <sup>3</sup>
NM5	2/6/2017	71.8	65.8	N/A	When one documented complaint is	75 dB(A)
	8/6/2017		67.8	N/A		
	14/6/2017		63.5	N/A		

	20/6/2017		66.7	N/A	received	
	26/6/2017		68.5	N/A		
	30/6/2017		67.1	N/A		

Remark:

\*<sup>1</sup> Measured result would be rounded down before comparison with the limit level

\*<sup>2</sup> Repeat noise measurement when exceedance is recorded

\*<sup>3</sup> 70dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods. School schedule is shown in [Appendix F2](#)

\*<sup>4</sup> 65dB(A) for schools examination periods. School schedule is shown in [Appendix F2](#)

In accordance with the established action and limited levels for impact monitoring, six exceedances were recorded at NM4 on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017. Noise measurement was repeated for confirming the findings and identifying the noise source for each exceedance according to the event and action plan.

The noise source for causing exceedances at NM4 was from the traffic of Canton Road. The NM4 was directly affected by the noise generated from the traffic. The recorded monitoring results at the NM4 were near the baseline noise level. The exceedances were not caused by the construction works of this project.

During the monitoring period, traffic noise was identified as one of the noise source for NM1, NM2, NM3, NM4 and NM5. Noise levels of NM1 and NM3 may be influenced by the construction activities from other construction sites near Nga Cheung Road. Noise level of NM2 may be influenced by construction activities from other construction sites near Hoi Ting Road. Noise levels of NM4 and NM5 may be influenced by the construction activities from other construction sites near Canton Road.

### 3.9 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Month

The monitoring schedule for next reporting month is scheduled on 6, 12, 18, 24 and 29 July 2017.

#### 4 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in [Table 4.1](#). During this reporting month, inert C&D materials and general refuse were generated and disposed. No mixed waste was generated. No chemical waste was generated and collected by licensed collector. No paper, plastic and metal was recycled. Detail of waste management data is presented in [Appendix G](#).

Table 4.1 Quantities of Waste Disposed from the Project

Reporting Month	Quantity						
	C&D Materials (inert) <sup>(a)</sup>	C&D Materials (non-inert) <sup>(b)</sup>					
		General Refuse	Mixed Waste	Chemical Waste	Recycled materials		
					Paper/ cardboard	Plastics	Metals
	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)
June 2017	840.63	12.53	0	0	0	0	0
Notes:							
(a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil.							
(b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse and vegetative wastes. Steel metal generated from the Project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.							

Waste materials were generated during this reporting period, such as excavated waste, demolition waste and general refuse. Contractor handled, stored and disposed in accordance with good waste management practice and EPD's regulation and requirements.

## 5 Landscape and Visual Impact

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented to minimize the landscape and visual impacts during the construction works. The proposed monitoring program for landscape and visual impact is detailed in [Table 5.1](#).

Table 5.1 Proposed Monitoring Program

Stage	Monitoring Task	Frequency	Report	Approval
Construction stage	Mitigation measures implementation	Bi-weekly	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist	Registered Landscape Architect & ET Leader

Bi-weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Engineer, Contractor and ET on 2, 16 and 30 June 2017. The observations, reminders and recommendations made during the site inspections are summarized in Section 6, [Table 6.1](#).

The implementation status of the proposed mitigation measures for landscape and visual impacts is given in [Appendix H](#). Should non-conformity on one occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in [Table 5.2](#) shall be carried out.

Table 5.2 Event / Action Plan for Landsscape and Visual Impact

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify Source</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and ER</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor</li> <li>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check report</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</li> <li>4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Check implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working methods</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement</li> </ol>
Repeated Non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify Source</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and ER</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency</li> <li>4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor</li> <li>5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</li> <li>6. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring report</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</li> <li>4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working methods</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement</li> </ol>

## **6 Environmental Site Inspection**

### **6.1 Site Audit**

Site audit was carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.

Joint weekly inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contract Administrator, Engineer, Contractor and ET on 1, 7, 13, 21 and 26 June 2017. Observations were recorded and summarized in [Table 6.1](#).

During site inspection in the reporting month, no non-compliance was identified.

### **6.2 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures**

According to the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents shall be implemented during the construction phase. Updated status summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule is provided in [Appendix H](#).

The observations, reminders and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in [Table 6.1](#).

Table 6.1 Summary results of site inspections findings.

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date
15 May 17	Site drainage system was not properly maintained. (WA1)	Observation	Contractor was advised to frequently collect the silt, fallen leaves for preventing blockage and maintain the drainage system	Site drainage system was properly maintained. Silt and fallen leaves were removed.	1 Jun 17
15 May 17	Manholes were not properly covered and sealed. (Scheme I)	Observation	Contractor was advised to enhance the efficacy by using the sandbags for protecting the manholes.	Manholes were properly sealed and protected.	1 Jun 17
1 Jun 17	Water spray was not implemented for the exposed surface. (Scheme I, Scheme J)	Observation	Contractor was advised to frequently implement water spray to exposed surface for dust suppression.	Water spray was implemented for the exposed surface.	13 Jun 17
1 Jun 17	Dusty materials were not properly covered. (Scheme I)	Observation	Contractor was advised to properly cover and store the dusty materials.	Dusty materials were properly covered.	13 Jun 17
1 Jun 17	Chemicals/ oil bucket were not properly stored. (Scheme I)	Observation	Contractor was advised to provide drip tray for chemicals and oil buckets storage.	Chemicals/ oil bucket were placed in the drip tray.	13 Jun 17
1 Jun 17	Treatment capacity of wastewater will increase due to the heavy rain fall.	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to regularly maintain the wastewater treatment plant for ensuring the treatment outcome.	N/A	N/A
1 Jun 17	Surface run off may occur during the heavy rain fall.	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to place sandbags around the site boundary for preventing surface runoff.	N/A	N/A
1 Jun 17	Temporary site entrance was set up.	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to provide wheel washing facilities at all the site entrances.	N/A	N/A
13 Jun 17	Soil and silt were not properly isolated. (Scheme J)	Observation	Contractor was advised to completely place sandbags for isolating the muddy areas/ works areas.	Sandbags were placed around the site areas.	21 Jun 17
13 Jun 17	Exposed slope was observed. (Scheme J)	Observation	Contractor was advised to properly cover and protect the exposed slope..	Exposed slope was covered and protected.	21 Jun 17
13 Jun 17	General refuse was not properly sorted and collected. (Scheme HA)	Observation	Contractor was advised to sort the waste and provide suitable containers for storage.	General refuse was collected and sorted.	21 Jun 17
13 Jun 17	Heavy rain will occur during the rainy seasons (April to September)	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to properly maintain the temporary drainage system during the wet season for preventing surface runoff causing by heavy rain.	N/A	N/A
21 Jun 17	Chemicals/ chemical waste were not properly stored. (WA1)	Observation	Contractor was advised to store the chemicals/ chemical waste in	Chemicals/ chemical waste were stored in the designated areas/	26 Jun 17

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date
			designated and proper areas.	containers.	
21 Jun 17	Manholes were not properly sealed and protected. (Scheme Q)	Observation	Contractor was advised to place sand bags around the manholes for preventing surface runoff.	Manholes were properly sealed and protected.	26 Jun 17
21 Jun 17	Existent drainage system was not properly protected. (Scheme Q)	Observation	Contractor was advised to completely block the openings of all drainage pipes.	Existent drainage system was properly sealed and protected.	26 Jun 17
21 Jun 17 26 Jun 17	EP was not displayed. (Scheme Q)	Observation	Contractor was advised to display the EP at the site entrance.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
21 Jun 17	General refuse was observed. (Scheme Q)	Observation	Contractor was advised to properly collect the waste and provide rubbish bins for waste storage.	General refuse was removed.	26 Jun 17
21 Jun 17 26 Jun 17	EP was not displayed. (Scheme J)	Observation	Contractor was advised to display the EP at the site entrance.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
21 Jun 17	U-channel was blocked and general waste and wastewater was observed. (Scheme J)	Observation	Contractor was advised to clean up the U-channel.	U-channel was cleaned up and the general waste was removed.	26 Jun 17
21 Jun 17 26 Jun 17	EP was not displayed. (Scheme HA)	Observation	Contractor was advised to display the EP at the site entrance.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
21 Jun 17	Heavy rain will occur during the rainy seasons (April to September)	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to review the wastewater treatment capacity and efficiency for wastewater water treatment tank in Portion J to ensure the treatment outcome reaching the discharge requirements during heavy rainfall.	N/A	N/A
21 Jun 17	Heavy rain will occur during the rainy seasons (April to September)	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to clean up the water accumulated in the drip tray after rain.	N/A	N/A
26 Jun 17	Construction debris / dusty materials were not properly covered.	Observation	Contractor was advised to cover the exposed debris and dusty materials.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
26 Jun 17	Skip for metal sorting and storage was full.	Observation	Contractor was advised to regularly arrange the collector to collect the recyclable materials.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
26 Jun 17	Exposed slope was observed.	Observation	Contractor was advised to properly cover and protect the slope.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
26 Jun 17	Chemicals waste were not sorted and properly	Observation	Contractor was advised to sort the chemical waste	The follow-up status will be reported in	N/A

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date
	stored.		and maintain appropriate storage.	the next reporting period.	
26 Jun 17	Recyclable metal was not properly sorted and stored.	Observation	Contractor was advised to properly collect and store the recyclable materials.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
26 Jun 17	Treatment capacity of wastewater will increase due to the heavy rain fall.	Reminder	Contractor was reminded to review the wastewater treatment capacity and efficiency for wastewater water treatment tank to ensure the treatment outcome reaching the discharge requirements during heavy rainfall	N/A	N/A
<b>Landscape and Visual Impact</b>					
2 Jun 17 16 Jun 17 30 Jun 17	Construction materials were piled within TPZ (Scheme HA)	Observation	Contractor was reminded to relocate the construction materials which were piled within the TPZ	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
12 Jul 16 29 Jul 16 26 Aug 16 9 Sep 16 23 Sep 16 7 Oct 16 25 Oct 16 4 Nov 16 18 Nov 16 2 Dec 16 17 Dec 16 31 Dec 16 12 Jan 17 25 Jan 17 10 Feb 17 23 Feb 17 10 Mar 17 24 Mar 17 7 Apr 17 21 Apr 17 5 May 17 19 May 17	Tree crown of some of transplanted trees was tied.	Observation	Contractor was advised to remove the ties.	The tie on tree crown of T15(T) was removed.	2 Jun 17
2 Jun 17 16 Jun 17 30 Jun 17	Tree crown of T11(T), T12(T) and T17(T) were tied.	Observation	Contractor was advised to remove the ties.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A
12 Jul 16 29 Jul 16 26 Aug 16 9 Sep 16 23 Sep 16 7 Oct 16 25 Oct 16 4 Nov 16 18 Nov 16 2 Dec 16 17 Dec 16	Tree crown of T24 was conflicted with existing trees.	Observation	Contractor was advised to conduct crown pruning for the existing tree which next to T24.	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A



Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date
31 Dec 16 12 Jan 17 25 Jan 17 10 Feb 17 23 Feb 17 10 Mar 17 24 Mar 17 7 Apr 17 21 Apr 17 5 May 17 19 May 17 2 Jun 17 16 Jun 17 30 Jun 17					
10 Feb 17 23 Feb 17 10 Mar 17 24 Mar 17 7 Apr 17 21 Apr 17 5 May 17 19 May 17 2 Jun 17 16 Jun 17 30 Jun 17	Excess soil was piled within root zone of B37(R) and B39(R).	Observation	Contractor was advised to remove the excess soil around B39	The follow-up status will be reported in the next reporting period.	N/A

## **7 Environmental Non-Conformance**

### **7.1 Summary of Environmental Exceedances**

No exceedance of action level and limit level was recorded for TSP. Six exceedances were recorded at NM4 on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017 for Noise.

### **7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance**

No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

### **7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaint**

No environment project-related complaint was received in the reporting period.

### **7.4 Summary of Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution**

There was no successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement.

The cumulative log for environmental exceedance, non-compliance, complaint and summon and successful prosecution since the commencement of the Project is presented in [Appendix I](#).

## **8 Future Key Issues**

The major construction activities in the coming month will include:

- Portion I – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion I – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion I – Piling Works
- Portion HA – Underground Investigation Works
- Portion HA – Utilities Diversion Works
- Portion HA – Piling Works
- Portion HA – Construction of Pile Cap
- Portion J – Site Formation and Road Works
- Portion Q – Road Works (excavation and utilities diversion)
- Portion Q – Construction of Sign Gantry

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management. The Contractor has been reminded to properly implement dust, construction noise and water quality control measures as well as proper waste management in order to minimize the potential environmental impacts due to the construction works of the Project.

## **9 Comment, Recommendations and Conclusions**

### **9.1 Comment**

The recommended mitigation measures accordance with the EM&A Manual had been effectively implemented to minimize the environmental impacts due to the construction. The contractor had implemented the mitigation measures to control the dust and noise impacts. No dust and noise impacts obviously affected to the environment and sensitive receivers. The follow up actions were not quickly implemented for environmental observations made during the site inspections. The environmental performance during the reporting period was considered satisfactory.

### **9.2 Recommendations**

According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendation was made:

- To frequently implement water spray to exposed surface for dust suppression.
- To provide drip tray for chemicals and oil buckets storage.
- To regularly maintain the wastewater treatment plant for ensuring the treatment outcome.
- To place sandbags around the site boundary for preventing surface runoff.
- To provide wheel washing facilities at all the site entrances.
- To completely place sandbags for isolating the muddy areas/ works areas.
- To properly cover and protect the exposed slope.
- To sort the waste and provide suitable containers for storage.
- To properly maintain the temporary drainage system during the wet season for preventing surface runoff causing by heavy rain.
- To store the chemicals/ chemical waste in designated and proper areas.
- To place sand bags around the manholes for preventing surface runoff.
- To completely block the openings of all drainage pipes.
- To display the EP at the site entrance.
- To properly collect the waste and provide rubbish bins for waste storage.
- To clean up the U-channel.
- To clean up the water accumulated in the drip tray after rain.
- To cover the exposed debris and dusty materials.
- To regularly arrange the collector to collect the recyclable materials.
- To sort the chemical waste and maintain appropriate storage.

- To properly collect and store the recyclable materials.
- To review the wastewater treatment capacity and efficiency for wastewater water treatment tank to ensure the treatment outcome reaching the discharge requirements during heavy rainfall.
- To relocate the construction materials which were piled within the TPZ.
- To remove the ties.
- To conduct crown pruning for the existing tree which next to T24.
- To remove the excess soil around B39.

### **9.3 Conclusions**

This is the monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works undertaken during 1 June 2017 to 30 June 2017 in accordance with the EM&A Manual.


























No exceedance of action level and limit level was recorded for TSP. Six exceedances were recorded at NM4 on 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 and 30 June 2017 for Noise. No Non-compliance event, environmental complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution against the Project were received in this reporting month.

5 nos. of environmental site inspections and 3 nos. of landscape and visual inspections were carried out in this reporting month. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.

ET has reminded the contractor to provided environmental pollution control measures, waste management measures and good site practice

The ET will keep tracking of the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all the necessary mitigation measures.

## Appendix A: Construction Programme and Project Layout Plan

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2015				2016				2017				2018				
					Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	
1	<b>Road Improvement Works in West Kowloon Reclamation Development</b>	<b>1312 d</b>	<b>Mon 23/3/15</b>	<b>Wed 24/10/18</b>																	<b>1312 d</b>
2	<b>West Kowloon Highway South Bound near Western Harbour Tunnel at Portio</b>	<b>1306 d</b>	<b>Mon 23/3/15</b>	<b>Thu 18/10/18</b>																	<b>1306 d</b>
3	Site Clearance, tree felling	320 d	Mon 23/3/15	Fri 5/2/16																	
4	Underground investigation, utilities diversion and piling construction	600 d	Sat 6/2/16	Wed 27/9/17																	
5	Pile cap, Pier and Bridge Deck construction	180 d	Thu 28/9/17	Mon 26/3/18																	
6	E&M installation and roadworks	76 d	Tue 27/3/18	Sun 10/6/18																	
7	Street furniture installation	130 d	Mon 11/6/18	Thu 18/10/18																	
8																					
9	<b>Canton road at Portion Q</b>	<b>1200 d</b>	<b>Mon 23/3/15</b>	<b>Wed 4/7/18</b>																	<b>1200 d</b>
10	Site Clearance, tree felling	320 d	Mon 23/3/15	Fri 5/2/16																	
11	Road works at Canton road footpath and utilities diversion	500 d	Sat 6/2/16	Mon 19/6/17																	
12	Construction of sign gantry	150 d	Tue 20/6/17	Thu 16/11/17																	
13	Road works at Ferry Street and Jordan road	500 d	Mon 16/5/16	Wed 27/9/17																	
14	Road works at Wui Cheung road	450 d	Thu 4/8/16	Fri 27/10/17																	
15	Road Works at Austin Road junction	350 d	Thu 20/7/17	Wed 4/7/18																	
16																					
17	<b>Lin Cheung Road North Bound at Portion HA</b>	<b>1312 d</b>	<b>Mon 23/3/15</b>	<b>Wed 24/10/18</b>																	<b>1312 d</b>
18	Site Clearance, tree felling	320 d	Mon 23/3/15	Fri 5/2/16																	
19	Underground investigation, utilities diversion and piling construction	650 d	Sat 6/2/16	Thu 16/11/17																	
20	Pile cap, Pier and Bridge Deck construction	180 d	Fri 17/11/17	Tue 15/5/18																	
21	E&M installation and roadworks	42 d	Wed 16/5/18	Tue 26/6/18																	
22	Street furniture installation	120 d	Wed 27/6/18	Wed 24/10/18																	
23																					
24																					
25	<b>Lin Cheung Road South Bound at Portion J</b>	<b>1090 d</b>	<b>Mon 23/3/15</b>	<b>Fri 16/3/18</b>																	<b>1090 d</b>
26	Site Clearance, tree felling	320 d	Mon 23/3/15	Fri 5/2/16																	
27	Construction of retaining walls and utilities diversion	500 d	Sat 6/2/16	Mon 19/6/17																	
28	Site formation and roadworks	140 d	Tue 20/6/17	Mon 6/11/17																	
29	Street furniture installation	130 d	Tue 7/11/17	Fri 16/3/18																	



LOCATION PLAN

LEGEND:

- AM1/NM1  
(AIR MONITORING STATION/NOISE MONITORING STATION)
- WORKS BOUNDARY

Rev	Description	By	Date

Consultant  
**PARSONS  
BRINCKERHOFF**

漢  
綠 **CINOTECH**

Project title  
 AGREEMENT NO. CE 44/2011 (HY)  
 PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
 WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION DEVELOPMENT  
 – PHASE 1 INVESTIGATION,  
 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

Drawing title  
**LOCATION OF MONITORING  
STATIONS (PAGE 1 OF 2)**

Drawing no.	CE44/T/ST/EM03			Rev.	2
Drawn	MC	Date	AUG13	Checked	KS
Scale	A3 1:5000	Status	PRELIMINARY	Approved	LC

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED







LOCATION PLAN

LEGEND:

- AM1/NM1  
(AIR MONITORING STATION/NOISE MONITORING STATION)
- WORKS BOUNDARY

Rev	Description	By	Date

Consultant

**PARSONS  
BRINCKERHOFF**

漢  
臻 **CINOTECH**

Project title  
AGREEMENT NO. CE 44/2011 (HY)  
PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION DEVELOPMENT  
— PHASE 1 INVESTIGATION,  
DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

Drawing title  
**LOCATION OF MONITORING  
STATIONS (PAGE 2 OF 2)**


Drawing no.				CE44/T/ST/EM04		Rev.		2							
Drawn		MC		Date		AUG13		Checked		KS		Approved		LC	
Scale				A3 1:5000				Status		PRELIMINARY					

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

**路政署  
HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT**  
主要工程管理部  
MAJOR WORKS PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE

## Appendix B: Project Organization Chart








↔ Line of communication

## Appendix C: Monitoring Locations








## Locations for 1-hr TSP and Noise monitoring




Monitoring Location	Photo Record
<p>AM1</p> <p>Marine Department New Yau Ma Tei Public Cargo Working Area Administrative Building</p>	
<p>AM2</p> <p>Garden Building</p>	
<p>AM3</p> <p>The Cullinan I</p>	
<p>AM4</p> <p>Lai Chack Middle School</p>	



Monitoring Location	Photo Record
<p>NM1</p> <p>Sorrento - Tower 1</p>	
<p>NM2</p> <p>Yau Ma Ti Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)</p>	
<p>NM3</p> <p>The Cullinan I</p>	
<p>NM4</p> <p>Lai Chack Middle School</p>	
<p>NM5</p> <p>Yue Tak Building</p>	



## Locations for 24-hr TSP monitoring





Monitoring Location	Photo Record
<p>AM1</p> <p>Marine Department New Yau Ma Tei Public Cargo Working Area Administrative Building</p>	 <p>A photograph showing a grey electrical control cabinet with a white door, situated on a paved rooftop area. A white door is visible in the background. The date stamp in the bottom right corner reads 2016/11/07.</p>
<p>AM2</p> <p>Garden Building</p>	 <p>A photograph showing a grey electrical control cabinet with a white door, situated on a paved area. A building entrance with a staircase is visible in the background. The date stamp in the bottom right corner reads 2016/05/18.</p>
<p>AM3-B</p> <p>The Cullinan II (W Hong Kong)</p>	 <p>A photograph showing a blue electrical control cabinet with a white door, situated on a paved area. A building and some greenery are visible in the background. The date stamp in the bottom right corner reads 2016/09/28.</p>
<p>AM4-A</p> <p>Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station</p>	 <p>A photograph showing a grey electrical control cabinet with a white door, situated on a paved area. A building and some greenery are visible in the background. The date stamp in the bottom right corner reads 2016/09/28.</p>

## Appendix D: Calibration Certification






# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM520
Temperature	74.9 (23.8)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	5201707001
Relative Humidity	25	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.92 (979.3)	inHg (hPa)		

☒ As Left  
☐ As Found

☒ In Tolerance  
☐ Out of Tolerance



CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m <sup>3</sup>			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	0.079	0.078	0.055-0.103	4	14.873	14.787	13.386-16.360
2	0.279	0.277	0.237-0.321	5	77.785	77.124	70.006-85.564
3	1.583	1.535	1.425-1.741				

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Photometer	E003433	09-06-16	03-06-17
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	11-04-16	11-30-17
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17
Pressure	E003440	08-02-16	08-02-17

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Flowmeter	E004570	06-29-16	06-29-17
Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17

*Linda Hillkimer*

Calibrated

February 13, 2017

Date





## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

### INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the calibrated dust meter has been calibrated by the calibrated High Volume Sampler.

#### Dust Meter

Manufacturer & Model No.: TSI AM520	Date of Calibration: 24/02/2017
Serial No.: 5201707001	Date of Next Calibration: 23/02/2018

#### High Volume Sampler


Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5170 MFC	Date of Calibration: 06/02/2017
Serial No.: 0005	

#### Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5028A	Date of Calibration: 01/02/2017
Serial No.: 2137	

#### Calibration Record

HVS - TSP	23	42	56	68
TSI AM510	27	51	67	79



## **ISSUING ORGANISATION**

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A 19/F. Chaiwan Industrial Centre  
20 Lee Chung Street  
Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Phone: 852 - 2556 9172

Fax: 852 - 2856 2010

Date of Issue: 28/02/2017



---

Mr. Ip Wing Hong, John  
Manager





# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING


TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM520
Temperature	74.9 (23.8)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	5201707002
Relative Humidity	25	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.92 (979.3)	inHg (hPa)		

☒ As Left  
☐ As Found

☒ In Tolerance  
☐ Out of Tolerance

Concentration Linearity Plot



System ID: DTII01-01

CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m <sup>3</sup>			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	0.079	0.079	0.055~0.103	4	14.873	14.698	13.386~16.360
2	0.279	0.278	0.237~0.321	5	77.785	77.642	70.006~85.564
3	1.583	1.542	1.425~1.741				

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Photometer	E003433	09-06-16	03-06-17
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	11-04-16	11-30-17
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17
Pressure	E003440	08-02-16	08-02-17

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Flowmeter	E004570	06-29-16	06-29-17
Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17

*Linda Hillmeier*

Calibrated

February 13, 2017

Date





## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

### INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the calibrated dust meter has been calibrated by the calibrated High Volume Sampler.

#### Dust Meter

Manufacturer & Model No.: TSI AM520	Date of Calibration: 24/02/2017
Serial No.: 5201707002	Date of Next Calibration: 23/02/2018

#### High Volume Sampler


Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5170 MFC	Date of Calibration: 06/02/2017
Serial No.: 0005	

#### Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5028A	Date of Calibration: 01/02/2017
Serial No.: 2137	

#### Calibration Record

HVS - TSP	25	42	56	68
TSI AM510	27	46	60	78



## **ISSUING ORGANISATION**

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A 19/F. Chaiwan Industrial Centre  
20 Lee Chung Street  
Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Phone: 852 - 2556 9172

Fax: 852 - 2856 2010

Date of Issue: 28/02/2017



---

Mr. Ip Wing Hong, John  
Manager





# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING


TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM520
Temperature	74.9 (23.8)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	5201707003
Relative Humidity	25	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.92 (979.3)	inHg (hPa)		

☒ As Left  
☐ As Found

☒ In Tolerance  
☐ Out of Tolerance

Concentration Linearity Plot



System ID: DTII01-01

CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m <sup>3</sup>			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	0.079	0.078	0.055~0.103	4	14.873	14.779	13.386~16.360
2	0.279	0.276	0.237~0.321	5	77.785	77.680	70.006~85.564
3	1.583	1.556	1.425~1.741				

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Photometer	E003433	09-06-16	03-06-17
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	11-04-16	11-30-17
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17
Pressure	E003440	08-02-16	08-02-17

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Flowmeter	E004570	06-29-16	06-29-17
Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17

*Linda Hillkimer*

Calibrated

February 13, 2017

Date





## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

### INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the calibrated dust meter has been calibrated by the calibrated High Volume Sampler.

#### Dust Meter

Manufacturer & Model No.: TSI AM520	Date of Calibration: 24/02/2017
Serial No.: 5201707003	Date of Next Calibration: 23/02/2018

#### High Volume Sampler


Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5170 MFC	Date of Calibration: 06/02/2017
Serial No.: 0005	

#### Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5028A	Date of Calibration: 01/02/2017
Serial No.: 2137	

#### Calibration Record

HVS - TSP	25	42	56	68
TSI AM510	29	49	63	78



## **ISSUING ORGANISATION**

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A 19/F. Chaiwan Industrial Centre  
20 Lee Chung Street  
Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Phone: 852 - 2556 9172

Fax: 852 - 2856 2010

Date of Issue: 28/02/2017



---

Mr. Ip Wing Hong, John  
Manager





# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING


TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM520
Temperature	74.9 (23.8)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	5201707004
Relative Humidity	25	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.92 (979.3)	inHg (hPa)		

☒ As Left  
☐ As Found

☒ In Tolerance  
☐ Out of Tolerance

Concentration Linearity Plot



System ID: DTII01-01

CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m <sup>3</sup>			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	0.079	0.078	0.055~0.103	4	14.873	14.790	13.386~16.360
2	0.279	0.282	0.237~0.321	5	77.785	77.399	70.006~85.564
3	1.583	1.553	1.425~1.741				

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Photometer	E003433	09-06-16	03-06-17
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	11-04-16	11-30-17
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17
Pressure	E003440	08-02-16	08-02-17

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Flowmeter	E004570	06-29-16	06-29-17
Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17

*Linda Hillmeier*

Calibrated

February 13, 2017

Date





## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

### INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the calibrated dust meter has been calibrated by the calibrated High Volume Sampler.

#### Dust Meter

Manufacturer & Model No.: TSI AM520	Date of Calibration: 24/02/2017
Serial No.: 5201707004	Date of Next Calibration: 23/02/2018

#### High Volume Sampler


Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5170 MFC	Date of Calibration: 06/02/2017
Serial No.: 0005	

#### Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer & Model No.: TE-5028A	Date of Calibration: 01/02/2017
Serial No.: 2137	

#### Calibration Record

HVS - TSP	25	42	56	68
TSI AM510	28	50	61	76



## **ISSUING ORGANISATION**

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A 19/F. Chaiwan Industrial Centre  
20 Lee Chung Street  
Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Phone: 852 - 2556 9172

Fax: 852 - 2856 2010

Date of Issue: 28/02/2017

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a small mark.

---

Mr. Ip Wing Hong, John  
Manager

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

Date - Feb 01, 2017 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 293  
Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 2137 Pa (mm) - 753.11

PLATE OR VDC #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3510	4.1	1.50
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0400	6.9	2.50
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9450	8.3	3.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8780	9.5	3.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6630	16.6	6.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0023	0.7419	1.2295	0.9945	0.7361	0.7639
0.9985	0.9601	1.5873	0.9908	0.9527	0.9862
0.9967	1.0547	1.7388	0.9889	1.0465	1.0804
0.9950	1.1333	1.8782	0.9873	1.1245	1.1669
0.9855	1.4865	2.4591	0.9779	1.4750	1.5278
Qstd slope (m) = 1.65312			Qa slope (m) = 1.03516		
intercept (b) = 0.00089			intercept (b) = 0.00055		
coefficient (r) = 0.99994			coefficient (r) = 0.99994		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol}[(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg})/760] (298/Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd/Time$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg})/Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va/Time$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b\}$$

$$Qa = 1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$$



## TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

### Site Information

Location: YMT Public Cargo Working Area

Location ID: AM1

Sampler: TE-5170 MFC

Date: 2-Jun-17

Tech: Hendry Chan

### Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.50

Temperature (deg F): 65

Average Press. (in Hg): 29.65

Average Temp. (deg F): 65

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 749

Temperature (deg K): 291

Corrected Average (mm Hg): 753

Average Temp. (deg K): 291

### Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch

Model: TE-5028A

Serial#: 2137

Qstd Slope: 1.65312

Qstd Intercept: 0.00089

Date Certified: 1-Feb-17

### Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	1.00	0.607	20.0	20.08	Slope: 36.0134
2	2.20	0.900	32.0	32.14	Intercept: -1.6225
3	3.80	1.184	40.0	40.17	Corr. Coeff: 0.9953
4	5.60	1.437	48.0	48.20	
5	7.60	1.674	60.0	60.25	# of Observations: 5

### Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)) - b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 54.0

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.554664999

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

54.89522112

Sample Time (Hrs): 24.0

Total Flow/Volume in m3

2238.717599

Total Flow in CFM

79049.11841





## TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

### Site Information

Location: **Canton Road Garden Building**  
Location ID: **AM2**  
Sampler: **TE-5170 MFC**

Date: **2-Jun-17**  
Tech: **Hendry Chan**

### Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): <b>29.50</b>	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 749
Temperature (deg F): <b>65</b>	Temperature (deg K): 291
Average Press. (in Hg): <b>29.65</b>	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 753
Average Temp. (deg F): <b>65</b>	Average Temp. (deg K): 291

### Calibration Orifice

Make: **Tisch**  
Model: **TE-5028A**  
Serial#: **2137**

Qstd Slope: **1.65312**  
Qstd Intercept: **0.00089**  
Date Certified: **1-Feb-17**

### Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	<b>3.00</b>	1.052	<b>18.0</b>	18.08	Slope: 57.1677
2	<b>4.60</b>	1.302	<b>30.0</b>	30.13	Intercept: -43.0347
3	<b>5.80</b>	1.462	<b>40.0</b>	40.17	Corr. Coeff: 0.9983
4	<b>6.60</b>	1.560	<b>46.0</b>	46.19	
5	<b>8.40</b>	1.760	<b>58.0</b>	58.25	# of Observations: 5

### Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)) - b]$$
$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

**Average I (chart): 50.0**

**Average Flow Calculation m3/min**

1.633330758

**Average Flow Calculation in CFM**

57.67290907

**Sample Time (Hrs): 24.0**

**Total Flow/Volume in m3**

2351.996292

**Total Flow in CFM**

83048.98906



## TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

### Site Information

Location: **Nga Cheung Road Portion I**  
Location ID: **AM3-B**  
Sampler: **TE-5170 MFC**

Date: **2-Jun-17**  
Tech: **Hendry Chan**

### Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): **29.50**  
Temperature (deg F): **65**  
Average Press. (in Hg): **29.65**  
Average Temp. (deg F): **65**

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 749  
Temperature (deg K): 291  
Corrected Average (mm Hg): 753  
Average Temp. (deg K): 291

### Calibration Orifice

Make: **Tisch**  
Model: **TE-5028A**  
Serial#: **2137**

Qstd Slope: **1.65312**  
Qstd Intercept: **0.00089**  
Date Certified: **1-Feb-17**

### Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	1.40	0.718	22.0	22.09	Slope: 41.5556
2	2.60	0.979	36.0	36.15	Intercept: -6.0137
3	3.80	1.184	44.0	44.19	Corr. Coeff: 0.9949
4	5.20	1.385	52.0	52.22	
5	7.20	1.629	60.0	60.25	# of Observations: 5

### Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$
$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): **56.0**

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.501446241

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

53.01606679

Sample Time (Hrs): **24.0**

Total Flow/Volume in m3

**2162.082588**

Total Flow in CFM

76343.13617



## TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

### Site Information

Location: Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station  
Location ID: AM4-A  
Sampler: TE-5170 MFC

Date: 4-May-17  
Tech: Andy Tsang

### Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.50	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 749
Temperature (deg F): 59	Temperature (deg K): 288
Average Press. (in Hg): 29.65	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 753
Average Temp. (deg F): 59	Average Temp. (deg K): 288

### Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch  
Model: TE-5028A  
Serial#: 2137

Qstd Slope: 1.65312  
Qstd Intercept: 0.00089  
Date Certified: 1-Feb-17

### Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	2.60	0.985	16.0	16.16	Slope: 50.7984
2	4.40	1.281	28.0	28.28	Intercept: -35.1480
3	6.20	1.521	42.0	42.42	Corr. Coeff: 0.9954
4	7.20	1.639	46.0	46.46	
5	8.80	1.812	58.0	58.58	# of Observations: 5

### Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)) - b]$$
$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 60.0

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.887922308

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

66.66253668

Sample Time (Hrs): 24.0

Total Flow/Volume in m3

2718.608123

Total Flow in CFM

95994.05282



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 16CA1110 02

Page 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone	Preamplifier
Manufacturer:	SVANTEK Sp. z o.o	-	-
Type/Model No.:	SVAN958	-	MA231T
Serial/Equipment No.:	23432	510725	530504
Adaptors used:	-	-	-

### Item submitted by

Customer Name: Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited  
Address of Customer: Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong  
Request No.: -  
Date of request: 10-Nov-2016

Date of test: 14-Nov-2016

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	18-Jun-2017	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature:  $21 \pm 1$  °C  
Relative humidity:  $55 \pm 10$  %  
Air pressure:  $1005 \pm 5$  hPa

### Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of  $\pm 20\%$ .
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsiveness of the Sound Level Meter.

### Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.


Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:


  
Huang Jian Min/Feng Jun Qi

Date: 16-Nov-2016

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA1110 02

Page 2 of 2

**1, Electrical Tests**

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.8	2.1
	Lin	Pass	1.6	2.2
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>3</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>4</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

**2, Acoustic tests**

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

**3, Response to associated sound calibrator**

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip  
14-Nov-2016

Checked by:

Date:

Lai Sheng Jie  
16-Nov-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type: SVAN958 Serial No. 23432 Date 14-Nov-2016  
Microphone type: - Serial No. 510725  
Preamp type: MA231T Serial No. 530504 Report: 16CA1110 02

SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting 16.3 dB  
Noise level in C weighting 18.2 dB  
Noise level in Lin 22.9 dB

LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals. (SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

Reference/Expected level	Actual level		Tolerance	Deviation	
	non-integrated	integrated		non-integrated	integrated
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	dB
94.0	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
99.0	99.0	99.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
104.0	104.0	104.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
109.0	109.0	109.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
114.0	114.0	114.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
115.0	115.0	115.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
116.0	116.0	116.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
117.0	117.0	117.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
89.0	89.0	89.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
84.0	84.0	84.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
79.0	79.0	79.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
74.0	74.0	74.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
69.0	69.0	69.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
64.0	64.0	64.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
59.0	59.0	59.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
54.0	54.0	54.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
49.0	49.1	49.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
44.0	44.0	43.9	0.7	0.0	-0.1
39.0	39.0	38.9	0.7	0.0	-0.1
34.0	33.9	33.9	0.7	-0.1	-0.1
33.0	33.0	32.9	0.7	0.0	-0.1
32.0	31.9	32.0	0.7	-0.1	0.0
31.0	31.0	30.9	0.7	0.0	-0.1
30.0	30.2	30.1	0.7	0.2	0.1

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

Sound level meter type: SVAN958 Serial No. 23432 Date 14-Nov-2016  
Microphone type: - Serial No. 510725  
Preamp type: MA231T Serial No. 530504 Report: 16CA1110 02

Other ranges	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
50-140	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0
17-117	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

Ranges	Reference/Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
50-140	52.0	52.0	0.7	0.0
	138.0	138.0	0.7	0.0
17-117	32.0	31.9	0.7	-0.1
	115.0	115.0	0.7	0.0

FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting networks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency weighting A:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	54.6	54.5	1.5	1.5	-0.1
63.1	94.0	67.8	67.7	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	77.9	77.8	1.0	1.0	-0.1
251.2	94.0	85.4	85.2	1.0	1.0	-0.2
501.2	94.0	90.8	90.7	1.0	1.0	-0.1
1995.0	94.0	95.2	95.4	1.0	1.0	0.2
3981.0	94.0	95.0	95.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
7943.0	94.0	92.9	93.0	1.5	3.0	0.1
12590.0	94.0	89.7	89.6	3.0	6.0	-0.1

Frequency weighting C:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	91.0	91.0	1.5	1.5	0.0
63.1	94.0	93.2	93.1	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	93.8	93.7	1.0	1.0	-0.1
251.2	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
501.2	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
1995.0	94.0	93.8	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.2
3981.0	94.0	93.2	93.2	1.0	1.0	0.0
7943.0	94.0	91.0	91.1	1.5	3.0	0.1
12590.0	94.0	87.8	87.7	3.0	6.0	-0.1

Frequency weighting Lin:



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

Sound level meter type: SVAN958 Serial No. 23432 Date 14-Nov-2016  
Microphone type: - Serial No. 510725  
Preamp type: MA231T Serial No. 530504 Report: 16CA1110.02

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	1.5	0.0
63.1	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
251.2	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
501.2	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
1995.0	94.0	94.0	94.1	1.0	1.0	0.1
3981.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
7943.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
12590.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	3.0	6.0	0.0

TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
113.0	112.0	112.2	1.0	1.0	0.2

TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
113.0	108.9	108.9	1.0	1.0	0.0

PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Positive polarities: (Weighting Z, set the generator signal to single, Lzpeak)

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
116.0	116.0	115.8	2.0	-0.2

Negative polarities:

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
116.0	116.0	116.0	2.0	0.0





Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 4 of 5

Sound level meter type: SVAN958 Serial No. 23432 Date 14-Nov-2016  
Microphone type: - Serial No. 510725  
Preamp type: MA231T Serial No. 530504 Report: 16CA1110 02

RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz. (Set to INT)

	Ref. Level	Expected level	Tone burst signal	Tolerance	Deviation
Time weighting	dB	dB	indication(dB)	+/- dB	dB
Slow	88.0+6.6	88.0	87.9	0.5	-0.1

TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

Ref. Level	Single burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
117.0	108.2	108.2	2.0	0.0

Repeated at 100 Hz

Ref. Level	Repeated burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
117.0	114.3	114.3	1.0	0.0

TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst: 4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst: 1 ms

Repetition Time	Level of tone burst	Expected Leq	Actual Leq	Tolerance	Deviation	Remarks
msec	dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	
1000	87.0	87.0	86.8	1.0	-0.2	60s integ.
10000	77.0	77.0	76.8	1.0	-0.2	6min. integ.

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

The integrating sound level meter set to Leq:

Duration	Peak level of tone burst (dB)	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec		dB	dB	+/- dB	dB



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 5 of 5

Sound level meter type: SVAN958 Serial No. 23432 Date 14-Nov-2016  
Microphone type: - Serial No. 510725  
Preamp type: MA231T Serial No. 530504 Report: 16CA1110 02

10	117.0	87.0	87.0	1.7	0.0
----	-------	------	------	-----	-----

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

Duration	Peak level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
10.0	117.0	97.0	97.0	1.7	0.0

OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

Level	Level reduced by	Further reduced	Difference	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	3 dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
110.2	109.2	106.2	3.0	1.0	0.0

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as follow  
The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range  
Test frequency: 4000 Hz  
Integration time: 10 sec  
Single burst duration: 1 msec

Level	Level reduced by	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
117.2	116.2	76.2	76.1	2.2	-0.1

ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

Frequency	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance (dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	Measured (dB)	+	-	dB
1000	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
125	77.9	77.9	1.0	1.0	0.0
8000	92.9	93.7	1.5	3.0	0.8

-----END-----



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 16CA1228 01-01

Page 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone
Manufacturer:	SVANTEK, Poland	ACO, Japan
Type/Model No.:	971	7052E
Serial/Equipment No.:	34350	54635
Adaptors used:	-	-

### Item submitted by

Customer Name: Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited  
Address of Customer: Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong  
Request No.: -  
Date of receipt: 28-Dec-2016

Date of test: 30-Dec-2016

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	18-Jun-2017	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature:  $22 \pm 1$  °C  
Relative humidity:  $50 \pm 10$  %  
Air pressure:  $1010 \pm 10$  hPa

### Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of  $\pm 20\%$ .
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure response of the Sound Level Meter.


### Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

  
Huang Jian Min/Feng Jun Qi

Date: 05-Jan-2017

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA1228 01-01

Page 2 of 2

### 1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	2.1
	C	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	2.2
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>3</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>4</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

### 2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

### 3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip  
30-Dec-2016

Checked by:

Date:

Lam Tze Wai  
05-Jan-2017

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.





Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type: 971 Serial No. 34350 Date 30-Dec-2016  
Microphone type: 7052E Serial No. 54635

Report: 16CA1228 01-01

SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting 12.9 dB  
Noise level in C weighting 12.9 dB  
Noise level in Lin (Z) 17.9 dB

LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals. (SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

Reference/Expected level	Actual level		Tolerance	Deviation	
	non-integrated	integrated		non-integrated	integrated
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	dB
94.0	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
99.0	99.0	99.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
104.0	104.0	104.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
109.0	109.1	109.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
114.0	114.0	114.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
119.0	119.0	119.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
120.0	120.0	120.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
121.0	121.0	121.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
122.0	122.0	122.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
123.0	123.0	123.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
89.0	89.0	89.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
84.0	84.0	84.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
79.0	79.0	79.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
74.0	74.0	74.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
69.0	69.0	69.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
64.0	64.0	64.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
59.0	59.0	59.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
54.0	54.0	54.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
49.0	49.1	49.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
44.0	44.0	44.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
39.0	38.9	38.9	0.7	-0.1	-0.1
34.0	33.9	33.9	0.7	-0.1	-0.1
33.0	32.8	32.9	0.7	-0.2	-0.1
32.0	31.7	31.7	0.7	-0.3	-0.3
31.0	30.6	30.6	0.7	-0.4	-0.4
30.0	29.6	29.6	0.7	-0.4	-0.4



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

Sound level meter type: 971 Serial No. 34350 Date 30-Dec-2016  
Microphone type: 7052E Serial No. 54635

Report: 16CA1228 01-01

29.0	28.5	28.5	0.7	-0.5	-0.5
28.0	27.5	27.4	0.7	-0.5	-0.6

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it

Other ranges	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
35.8-137.8	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0
25.8-123.8	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

Ranges	Reference/Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
35.8-137.8	38.0	37.9	0.7	-0.1
	136.0	136.0	0.7	0.0
25.8-123.8	28.0	27.5	0.7	-0.5
	122.0	122.0	0.7	0.0

## FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting networks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency weighting A:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	54.6	54.7	1.5	1.5	0.1
63.1	94.0	67.8	67.9	1.5	1.5	0.1
125.9	94.0	77.9	77.9	1.0	1.0	0.0
251.2	94.0	85.4	85.4	1.0	1.0	0.0
501.2	94.0	90.8	90.8	1.0	1.0	0.0
1995.0	94.0	95.2	95.2	1.0	1.0	0.0
3981.0	94.0	95.0	95.1	1.0	1.0	0.1
7943.0	94.0	92.9	93.4	1.5	3.0	0.5
12590.0	94.0	89.7	89.6	3.0	6.0	-0.1

Frequency weighting C:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	91.0	91.1	1.5	1.5	0.1
63.1	94.0	93.2	93.2	1.5	1.5	0.0
125.9	94.0	93.8	93.9	1.0	1.0	0.1
251.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
501.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
1995.0	94.0	93.8	93.9	1.0	1.0	0.1





Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

Sound level meter type: 971 Serial No. 34350 Date 30-Dec-2016  
Microphone type: 7052E Serial No. 54635  
Report: 16CA1228 01-01

3981.0	94.0	93.2	93.3	1.0	1.0	0.1
7943.0	94.0	91.0	91.2	1.5	3.0	0.2
12590.0	94.0	87.8	87.7	3.0	6.0	-0.1

Frequency weighting Z:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	94.0	94.2	1.5	1.5	0.2
63.1	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	1.5	0.0
125.9	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
251.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
501.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
1995.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
3981.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
7943.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
12590.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	3.0	6.0	0.0

TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
120.0	119.0	119.0	1.0	1.0	0.0

TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
120.0	115.9	115.8	1.0	1.0	-0.1

PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Positive polarities: (Weighting Z, set the generator signal to single, Lzpeak)

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
123.0	123.0	122.8	2.0	-0.2

Negative polarities:

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation
------------	-------------------	--------------------	-----------	-----------



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 4 of 5

Sound level meter type: 971 Serial No. 34350 Date 30-Dec-2016  
Microphone type: 7052E Serial No. 54635  
Report: 16CA1228 01-01

dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
123.0	123.0	123.0	2.0	0.0

RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz. (Set to INT)

	Ref. Level	Expected level	Tone burst signal	Tolerance	Deviation
Time weighting	dB	dB	indication(dB)	+/- dB	dB
Slow	84.0+6.6	84.0	84.0	0.5	0.0

TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

Ref. Level	Single burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
124.0	115.2	115.1	2.0	-0.1

Repeated at 100 Hz

Ref. Level	Repeated burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
124.0	121.3	121.2	1.0	-0.1

TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst: 4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst: 1 ms

Repetition Time	Level of tone burst	Expected Leq	Actual Leq	Tolerance	Deviation	Remarks
msec	dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	
1000	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	-0.1	60s integ.
10000	84.0	84.0	83.9	1.0	-0.1	6min. integ.

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

The integrating sound level meter set to Leq:

Duration	Rms level of tone burst (dB)	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec		dB	dB	+/- dB	dB





Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 5 of 5

Sound level meter type: 971 Serial No. 34350 Date 30-Dec-2016  
Microphone type: 7052E Serial No. 54635  
Report: 16CA1228 01-01

10	84.0	54.0	54.0	1.7	0.0
----	------	------	------	-----	-----

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

Duration	Rms level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
10.0	84.0	64.0	64.0	1.7	0.0

OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

Level	Level reduced by	Further reduced	Difference	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	3 dB	dB	dB	dB
119.0	118.1	115.1	3.0	1.0	0.0

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as follow  
The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency: 4000 Hz  
Integration time: 10 sec  
Single burst duration: 1 msec

Rms level	Level reduced by	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	dB	dB	dB	dB
125.4	124.4	84.4	84.4	2.2	0.0

ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

Frequency	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance (dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	Measured (dB)	+	-	dB
1000	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
125	77.9	78.0	1.0	1.0	0.1
8000	92.9	90.4	1.5	3.0	-2.5

-----END-----



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 16CA1228 01-02

Page: 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Type 1)  
Manufacturer: SVANTEK  
Type/Model No.: SV30A  
Serial/Equipment No.: 29085  
Adaptors used: -

### Item submitted by

Customer: Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited  
Address of Customer: Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong  
Request No.: -  
Date of receipt: 28-Dec-2016

Date of test: 03-Jan-2017

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	14-Apr-2017	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	28-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	26-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	18-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	19-Apr-2017	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	19-Apr-2017	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature:  $22 \pm 1$  °C  
Relative humidity:  $50 \pm 10$  %  
Air pressure:  $1010 \pm 5$  hPa

### Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Huang Jian Min / Feng Jun Qi

Date: 05-Jan-2017

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA1228 01-02

Page: 2 of 2

**1, Measured Sound Pressure Level**

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	(Output level in dB re 20 $\mu$ Pa)
			Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	93.75	0.10

**2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations**

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.003 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

**3, Actual Output Frequency**

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.3 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

**4, Total Noise and Distortion**

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.5 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip  
03-Jan-2017

Checked by:

Date:

Lam Tze Wai  
05-Jan-2017

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.





# Calibration Chart

BSWA TECH

BSWA-IV-C021-03-0048A

**Sound Calibrator model** ..... CA111  
**Serial Number** ..... 520309  
**Appearance** ..... OK  
**Power Supply** ..... 1.5V LR6 (AA battery) x2  
**Sound Pressure Level** ..... 93.95 / 113.95 dB  
**Frequency** ..... 999.9 / 999.9 Hz  
**THD (@1000Hz)** ..... 0.18 / 0.59 %

Copying and using select parts, or tampering with this document without the permission of BSWA is forbidden!

**BSWA Technology Ltd.**

[www.bswa-tech.com](http://www.bswa-tech.com)

This equipment was calibrated at the following ambient conditions:

**Temperature:** ..... 25 ..... °C  
**Humidity:** ..... 60 ..... %RH  
**Pressure:** ..... 1025 ..... hPa

This equipment is qualified!

  
**Calibrated**

2016-8-1

**Date**




## Appendix E: TSP Monitoring Data

### 1-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM1

Date	Weather	Temperature (°C) *	Wind Direction *	Wind Speed (m/s) *	Sampling Time			Reading (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
					1	2	3	1	2	3	Average
2/6/2017	Cloudy	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	9:24	10:25	11:26	83	85	90	86
8/6/2017	Sunny	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	14:25	15:26	16:27	72	78	79	76
14/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	9:47	10:48	11:49	52	42	32	42
20/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	8:26	9:27	10:28	67	77	85	76
26/6/2017	Cloudy	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	8:45	9:46	10:47	45	50	41	45
30/6/2017	Cloudy	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	8:50	9:51	10:52	48	55	57	53


\*Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO



### 1-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM2

Date	Weather	Temperature (°C) *	Wind Direction *	Wind Speed (m/s) *	Sampling Time			Reading (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
					1	2	3	1	2	3	Average
2/6/2017	Cloudy	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	61	70	64	65
8/6/2017	Sunny	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	60	63	54	59
14/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	50	57	51	53
20/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	62	57	59	59
26/6/2017	Cloudy	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	50	53	53	52
30/6/2017	Cloudy	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	9:30	10:31	11:32	61	77	72	70


\*Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO



### 1-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM3

Date	Weather	Temperature (°C) *	Wind Direction *	Wind Speed (m/s) *	Sampling Time			Reading (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
					1	2	3	1	2	3	Average
2/6/2017	Cloudy	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	10:11	11:12	12:13	43	42	42	42
8/6/2017	Sunny	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	15:05	16:06	17:07	38	37	34	36
14/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	9:17	10:18	11:19	88	94	98	93
20/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	9:03	10:04	11:05	39	37	46	41
26/6/2017	Cloudy	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	50	56	47	51
30/6/2017	Cloudy	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	48	50	42	47

\*Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO






# 1-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM4

Date	Weather	Temperature (°C) *	Wind Direction *	Wind Speed (m/s) *	Sampling Time			Reading (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
					1	2	3	1	2	3	Average
2/6/2017	Cloudy	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	64	80	77	74
8/6/2017	Sunny	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	79	84	70	78
14/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	69	58	64	64
20/6/2017	Cloudy	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	75	70	72	72
26/6/2017	Cloudy	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	59	68	67	65
30/6/2017	Cloudy	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	13:00	14:01	15:02	50	66	54	57

\*Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO




## 24-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM1

Sampling ID & Paper No.	Temperature (°C) * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Direction * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Speed (m/s) * <sup>1</sup>	Sampling Date	Wt. of paper (g)			Flow Rate (CFM)	Total Volume * <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
					Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust			
AM10602 202636	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	2/6/2017	2.7696	2.8708	0.1012	54.0	2238.72	45
AM10608 202646	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	8/6/2017	2.7940	2.8626	0.0686	54.0	2238.72	31
AM10614 203293	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	14/6/2017	2.8210	2.8884	0.0674	54.0	2238.72	30
AM10620 202639	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	20/6/2017	2.7797	2.9213	0.1416	54.0	2238.72	63
AM10626 203295	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	26/6/2017	2.8161	2.8726	0.0565	54.0	2238.72	25
AM10630 203294	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	30/6/2017	2.8257	2.9105	0.0848	54.0	2238.72	38

\*<sup>1</sup> Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO

\*<sup>2</sup> Remark: Total volume of the 24 hrs sampling was calculated from the Calibration worksheet (refer to Appendix D)




## 24-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM2

Sampling ID & Paper No.	Temperature (°C) * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Direction * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Speed (m/s) * <sup>1</sup>	Sampling Date	Wt. of paper (g)			Flow Rate (CFM)	Total Volume * <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
					Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust			
AM20602 202019	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	2/6/2017	2.8834	2.9626	0.0792	50.0	2352.00	34
AM20608 202020	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	8/6/2017	2.8869	2.9383	0.0514	50.0	2352.00	22
AM20614 202650	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	14/6/2017	2.8162	2.9861	0.1699	50.0	2352.00	72
AM20620 203305	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	20/6/2017	2.8587	2.9053	0.0466	50.0	2352.00	20
AM20626 203298	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	26/6/2017	2.8369	2.9331	0.0962	50.0	2352.00	41
AM20630 203315	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	30/6/2017	2.8362	2.8970	0.0608	50.0	2352.00	26

\*<sup>1</sup> Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO

\*<sup>2</sup> Remark: Total volume of the 24 hrs sampling was calculated from the Calibration worksheet (refer to Appendix D)




## 24-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM3-B

Sampling ID & Paper No.	Temperature (°C) * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Direction * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Speed (m/s) * <sup>1</sup>	Sampling Date	Wt. of paper (g)			Flow Rate (CFM)	Total Volume * <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
					Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust			
AM3-B0602 202014	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	2/6/2017	2.8703	3.0512	0.1809	56.0	2315.47	78
AM3-B0608 202644	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	8/6/2017	2.7980	2.8745	0.0765	56.0	2315.47	33
AM3-B0614 202647	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	14/6/2017	2.8058	2.9025	0.0967	56.0	2315.47	42
AM3-B0620 203300	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	20/6/2017	2.8444	2.9437	0.0993	56.0	2315.47	43
AM3-B0626 203299	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	26/6/2017	2.8356	2.9196	0.0840	56.0	2315.47	36
AM3-B0630 203297	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	30/6/2017	2.8092	2.8728	0.0636	56.0	2315.47	27

\*<sup>1</sup> Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO

\*<sup>2</sup> Remark: Total volume of the 24 hrs sampling was calculated from the Calibration worksheet (refer to Appendix D)




### 24-hr TSP Monitoring Result for AM4-A

Sampling ID & Paper No.	Temperature (°C) * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Direction * <sup>1</sup>	Wind Speed (m/s) * <sup>1</sup>	Sampling Date	Wt. of paper (g)			Flow Rate (CFM)	Total Volume * <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
					Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust			
AM4-A0602 202638	28.3 - 30.4	W	<5m/s	2/6/2017	2.7808	2.9139	0.1331	60.0	2162.08	62
AM4-A0608 202640	27.8 - 32.1	SE	<5m/s	8/6/2017	2.7862	2.8474	0.0612	60.0	2162.08	28
AM4-A0614 202641	25.2 - 29.4	SW	<5m/s	14/6/2017	2.7998	2.9654	0.1656	60.0	2162.08	77
AM4-A0620 202643	25.2 - 27.4	NW	<5m/s	20/6/2017	2.7951	2.9164	0.1213	60.0	2162.08	56
AM4-A0626 203303	26.2 - 28.3	NW	<5m/s	26/6/2017	2.8615	2.9920	0.1305	60.0	2162.08	60
AM4-A0630 203304	25.9 - 28.5	NW	<5m/s	30/6/2017	2.8513	3.0212	0.1699	60.0	2162.08	79

\*<sup>1</sup> Remark: Data of temperature, wind direction and wind speed was extracted from King's Park Meteorological Station of HKO

\*<sup>2</sup> Remark: Total volume of the 24 hrs sampling was calculated from the Calibration worksheet (refer to Appendix D)







## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

*Client* : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTION LTD  
*Contact* : MR ANDY TSANG  
*Address* : FLAT A, 8/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN HONG KONG  
*E-mail* : kytsang@fsenv.com.hk  
*Telephone* : +852 2185 0159  
*Facsimile* : +852 2258 0568  
*Project* : PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION  
DEVELOPMENT - PHASE 1

*Laboratory* : ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
*Contact* : Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
*Address* : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing  
Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
*E-mail* : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com  
*Telephone* : +852 2610 1044  
*Facsimile* : +852 2610 2021  
*Quote number* : HK/5373b/16

*Page* : 1 of 3  
*Work Order* : **HK1727487**

*Order number* : ----  
*C-O-C number* : ----  
*Site* : ----

*Date received* : 30-JUN-2017

*Date of issue* : 05-JUL-2017

<i>No. of samples</i>	-	<i>Received</i>	:	<b>6</b>
	-	<i>Analysed</i>	:	<b>6</b>

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*  
Fung Lim Chee, Richard

*Position*  
General Manager

*Authorised results for:*  
Inorganics



### **Report Comments**

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1727487 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 30-JUN-2017 to 04-JUL-2017. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

#### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK1727487 :**

Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

			Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID		EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
AM10602 202636	[02-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-001		0.1012	2.7696	2.8708		
AM10608 202646	[08-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-002		0.0686	2.7940	2.8626		
AM10614 203293	[14-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-003		0.0674	2.8210	2.8884		
AM10620 202639	[20-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-004		0.1416	2.7797	2.9213		
AM10626 203295	[26-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-005		0.0565	2.8161	2.8726		
AM10630 203294	[30-JUN-2017]	HK1727487-006		0.0848	2.8257	2.9105		



## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

*Client* : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTION LTD  
*Contact* : MR ANDY TSANG  
*Address* : FLAT A, 8/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN HONG KONG  
*E-mail* : kytsang@fsenv.com.hk  
*Telephone* : +852 2185 0159  
*Facsimile* : +852 2258 0568  
*Project* : PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION  
DEVELOPMENT - PHASE 1

*Laboratory* : ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
*Contact* : Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
*Address* : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing  
Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
*E-mail* : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com  
*Telephone* : +852 2610 1044  
*Facsimile* : +852 2610 2021  
*Quote number* : HK/5373b/16

*Page* : 1 of 3  
*Work Order* : **HK1727490**

*Order number* : ----  
*C-O-C number* : ----  
*Site* : ----

*Date received* : 30-JUN-2017

*Date of issue* : 05-JUL-2017

<i>No. of samples</i>	-	<i>Received</i>	:	<b>6</b>
	-	<i>Analysed</i>	:	<b>6</b>

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*  
Fung Lim Chee, Richard

*Position*  
General Manager

*Authorised results for:*  
Inorganics



### **Report Comments**

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1727490 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 30-JUN-2017 to 04-JUL-2017. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

#### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK1727490 :**

Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.





## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

			Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID		EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
AM20602 202019	[02-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-001		0.0792	2.8834	2.9626		
AM20608 202020	[08-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-002		0.0514	2.8869	2.9383		
AM20614 202650	[14-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-003		0.1699	2.8162	2.9861		
AM20620 203305	[20-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-004		0.0466	2.8587	2.9053		
AM20626 203298	[26-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-005		0.0962	2.8369	2.9331		
AM20630 203315	[30-JUN-2017]	HK1727490-006		0.0608	2.8362	2.8970		



## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

*Client* : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTION LTD  
*Contact* : MR ANDY TSANG  
*Address* : FLAT A, 8/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN HONG KONG  
*E-mail* : kytsang@fsenv.com.hk  
*Telephone* : +852 2185 0159  
*Facsimile* : +852 2258 0568  
*Project* : PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION  
DEVELOPMENT - PHASE 1  
*Order number* : ----  
*C-O-C number* : ----  
*Site* : ----

*Laboratory* : ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
*Contact* : Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
*Address* : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing  
Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
*E-mail* : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com  
*Telephone* : +852 2610 1044  
*Facsimile* : +852 2610 2021  
*Quote number* : HK/5373b/16

*Page* : 1 of 3  
*Work Order* : **HK1727493**

*Date received* : 30-JUN-2017

*Date of issue* : 05-JUL-2017

<i>No. of samples</i>	-	<i>Received</i>	:	<b>6</b>
	-	<i>Analysed</i>	:	<b>6</b>

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*  
Fung Lim Chee, Richard

*Position*  
General Manager

*Authorised results for:*  
Inorganics



### **Report Comments**

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1727493 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 30-JUN-2017 to 04-JUL-2017. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

#### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK1727493 :**

Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

			Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID		EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
AM3-B0602 202014	[02-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-001		0.1809	2.8703	3.0512		
AM3-B0608 202644	[08-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-002		0.0765	2.7980	2.8745		
AM3-B0614 202647	[14-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-003		0.0967	2.8058	2.9025		
AM3-B0620 203300	[20-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-004		0.0993	2.8444	2.9437		
AM3-B0626 203299	[26-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-005		0.0840	2.8356	2.9196		
AM3-B0630 203297	[30-JUN-2017]	HK1727493-006		0.0636	2.8092	2.8728		



## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

*Client* : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTION LTD  
*Contact* : MR ANDY TSANG  
*Address* : FLAT A, 8/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN HONG KONG  
*E-mail* : kytsang@fsenv.com.hk  
*Telephone* : +852 2185 0159  
*Facsimile* : +852 2258 0568  
*Project* : PROPOSED ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN  
WEST KOWLOON RECLAMATION  
DEVELOPMENT - PHASE 1

*Laboratory* : ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
*Contact* : Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
*Address* : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing  
Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
*E-mail* : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com  
*Telephone* : +852 2610 1044  
*Facsimile* : +852 2610 2021  
*Quote number* : HK/5373b/16

*Page* : 1 of 3  
*Work Order* : **HK1727489**

*Order number* : ----  
*C-O-C number* : ----  
*Site* : ----

*Date received* : 30-JUN-2017

*Date of issue* : 05-JUL-2017

<i>No. of samples</i>	-	<i>Received</i>	:	<b>6</b>
	-	<i>Analysed</i>	:	<b>6</b>

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*  
Fung Lim Chee, Richard

*Position*  
General Manager

*Authorised results for:*  
Inorganics





### **Report Comments**

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1727489 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 30-JUN-2017 to 04-JUL-2017. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

#### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK1727489 :**

Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.



Analytical Results


Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

			Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID		EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
AM4-A0602 202638	[02-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-001		0.1331	2.7808	2.9139		
AM4-A0608 202640	[08-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-002		0.0612	2.7862	2.8474		
AM4-A0614 202641	[14-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-003		0.1656	2.7998	2.9654		
AM4-A0620 202643	[20-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-004		0.1213	2.7951	2.9164		
AM4-A0626 203303	[26-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-005		0.1305	2.8615	2.9920		
AM4-A0630 203304	[30-JUN-2017]	HK1727489-006		0.1699	2.8513	3.0212		

## Appendix F1: Noise Monitoring Data


### Noise Monitoring Result for NM1

Location	NM1					
Date	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017
Weather Condition	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Start Time	10:52	16:00	10:35	9:22	9:30	10:00
Measurement Period	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min
Baseline Level	75.1					
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	61.7	63.3	64.5	65.3	62.8	65.4
L <sub>10</sub>	62.9	64.8	65.8	66.6	64.5	67.3
L <sub>90</sub>	60.0	61.1	62.7	64.0	60.9	62.5




### Noise Monitoring Result for NM2

Location	NM2					
Date	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017
Weather Condition	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Start Time	9:45	9:45	9:45	9:45	9:45	9:45
Measurement Period	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min
Baseline Level	66.5					
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	65.0	64.9	65.2	66.9	64.9	66.1
L <sub>10</sub>	67.1	66.4	69.1	69.5	67.3	68.5
L <sub>90</sub>	58.7	60.3	59.9	60.4	60.4	61.7



### Noise Monitoring Result for NM3

Location	NM3					
Date	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017
Weather Condition	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Start Time	10:13	15:14	9:48	10:02	10:15	10:45
Measurement Period	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min
Baseline Level	74.5					
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	73.8	72.4	74.1	74.3	74.7	73.1
L <sub>10</sub>	76.7	75.6	77.3	77.2	77.3	75.8
L <sub>90</sub>	69.1	67.8	68.8	69.5	68.4	69.3






### Noise Monitoring Result for NM4


Location	NM4						NM4 (Re-measurement) *					
Date	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017
Weather Condition	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Start Time	13:00	13:00	13:00	13:00	13:00	13:00	13:31	13:31	13:31	13:31	13:31	13:31
Measurement Period	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min
Baseline Level	73.3						73.3					
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	75.0	74.9	74.5	74.7	73.8	73.5	75.9	74.4	74.8	74.2	74.1	75.1
L <sub>10</sub>	77.5	77.9	77.8	77.0	77.1	76.4	78.6	77.5	78.1	77.6	76.5	77.4
L <sub>90</sub>	68.5	68.2	69.3	68.1	67.2	68.3	69.7	69.1	68.7	68.4	68.0	68.3

\* Repeat noise measurement when exceedance is recorded. The result is used to confirm the findings and it would not be showed on the graph plot



### Noise Monitoring Result for NM5

Location	NM5					
Date	2/6/2017	8/6/2017	14/6/2017	20/6/2017	26/6/2017	30/6/2017
Weather Condition	Cloudy	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Start Time	14:45	14:45	14:45	14:45	14:45	14:45
Measurement Period	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min	30min
Baseline Level	71.8					
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	65.8	67.8	63.5	66.7	68.5	67.1
L <sub>10</sub>	69.0	70.3	67.5	69.4	70.3	69.3
L <sub>90</sub>	58.6	59.2	57.3	58.6	59.6	60.2



## Appendix F2: School Schedule

油蔴地天主教小學（海泓道）  
二零一六至二零一七年度校曆表（三月至八月）

月份	周次	日	一	二	三	四	五	六	行事曆
三 月					1 S	2 S	3 S	4	2/3-7/3 J.1-5第二段考 (J.6呈分試)
	廿五	5	6 S	7 S	8 A	9 B	10 C	11	8/3-17/3 全方位學習周 10/3 教區聯校陸運會
	廿六	12	13 D	14 E	15 F	16 A	17 B	18	
	廿七	19	20 C	21 D	22 E	23 F	24 A	25	
	廿八	26	27 B	28 C	29 D	30 E	31 F		27/3-29/3 J.5 教育營
四 月								1	
	廿九	2	3 A	4	5 B	6 C	7 D	8	4/4 清明節 8/4 成長見證日及J.6升中座談會(2)
	卅十	9	10 S	11 E	12 F	13	14	15	10/4陸運會 14/4 耶穌受難節 13/4-23/4 復活節假期
		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	16/4 復活主日
	卅一	23	24 S	25 S	26	27 A	28 B	29	24/4 學藝薈萃耀油天綵排 25/4 學藝薈萃耀油天 26/4 學藝薈萃耀油天翌日假期
五 月	卅二	30	1	2 C	3	4 D	5 E	6	1/5 勞動節 3/5 佛誕 4/5或5/5 J.3TSA說話及視訊評估(待教育局核實)
	卅三	7	8 F	9 A	10 B	11 C	12 D	13	12/5 J.5升中座談會 11/5或12/5 J.6TSA說話及視訊評估(待教育局核實)
	卅四	14	15 E	16 F	17 A	18 B	19 C	20	
	卅五	21	22 D	23 E	24 F	25 A	26 B	27	
	卅六	28	29 C	30	31 S				30/5 端午節
六 月						1 S	2 S	3	1/6-6/6 J.1-4期終考/J.5呈分試/J.6畢業試
	卅七	4	5 S	6 S	7 D	8 E	9 F	10	
	卅八	11	12 A	13 B	14 C	15 D	16 E	17	13/6-14/6 J.3、J.6 TSA紙筆評估(待教育局核實)
	卅九	18	19 F	20 A	21 B	22 C	23 D	24	24/6 聖保祿堂主保瞻禮
	四十	25	26	27 E	28 F	29 S	30 S		26/6 主保瞻禮假期 29/6 畢業禮綵排 30/6 畢業禮
七 月								1	1/7 特別行政區成立日
	四一	2	3 S	4 S	5 S	6 S	7 S	8	7/7 數學感恩禮
	四二	9	10 S	11 S	12 S	13 S	14 S	15	10/7 頒獎禮 11/7 升中派位 13/7-14/7 中學註冊
		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	17/7-31/8 暑假(2017年9月1日開課) 18/7 中一入學前測驗
		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
		30	31						
八 月				1	2	3	4	5	
		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
		27	28	29	30	31			

2017 年 6 月

	周次	日	一	二	三	四	五	六
						1	2	3
A	41	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
B	42	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		25	26	27	28	29	**30	

全校活動及考試：

7/6 - 16/6 下學期考試

26/6 全方位學習日, 30/6 歌唱比賽

學校假期：

6/6 教師發展日

備註： 學校活動

學校考試

公眾假期

學校假期

學校自訂假期

## Appendix G: Waste Management Record



**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016 (year)**

Month	<u>Actual Quantities of Inert C&amp;D Materials Generated Monthly</u>					<u>Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&amp;D Wastes Generated Monthly</u>					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks & Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Mixed Waste Disposal at Sorting Facility	Metals	Paper / cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others (general refuse)
	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)
Jan	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Feb	521.76	0	0	0	521.76	0	0	0	0	0	38.34
Mar	1527.37	0	0	0	1527.37	0	0	0	0	0	188.63
Apr	2676.73	0	0	0	2676.73	0	0	0	0	0	87.72
May	2028.43	0	0	0	2028.43	0	0	0	0	0	47.78
Jun	2058.16	0	0	0	2058.16	0	0	0	0	0	81.13
Sub-total	8812.45	0	0	0	8812.45	0	0	0	0	0	443.6
Jul	5031.54	0	0	0	5031.54	0	0	0	0	0	17.12
Aug	1026.39	0	0	0	1026.39	0	0	0	0	0	52.44
Sep	1833.94	0	0	0	1833.94	0	0	0	0	0	7.14
Oct	785.58	0	0	0	785.58	0	0	0	0	0	16.77
Nov	832.23	0	0	0	832.23	0	0	0	0	0	48.09
Dec	2183.48	0	0	0	2183.48	0	0	0	0	0	19.96
Total	20505.61	0	0	0	20505.61	0	0	0	0	0	605.12

**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)**

Month	<b><u>Actual Quantities of Inert C&amp;D Materials Generated Monthly</u></b>					<b><u>Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&amp;D Wastes Generated Monthly</u></b>					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks & Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Mixed Waste Disposal at Sorting Facility	Metals	Paper / cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others (general refuse)
	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)
Jan	717.69	0	0	0	717.69	0	0	0	0	0	7.57
Feb	2721.53	0	0	0	2721.53	0	0	0	0	0	13.08
Mar	2504.26	0	0	0	2504.26	0	0	0	0	0	5.55
Apr	2006.4	0	0	0	2006.4	0	0	0	0	0	10.43
May	1083.4	0	0	0	1083.4	0	0	0	0	0	10.04
Jun	840.63	0	0	0	840.63	0	0	0	0	0	12.53
Sub-total	9873.91	0	0	0	9873.91	0	0	0	0	0	59.2
Jul	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Aug	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sep	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Oct	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Nov	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dec	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total	9873.91	0	0	0	9873.91	0	0	0	0	0	59.2
Grand Total (2016&2017)	30379.52	0	0	0	30379.52	0	0	0	0	0	664.32

## Appendix H: Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

## Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures

<b>EIA Ref.</b>	<b>EM&amp;A Ref.</b>	<b>Recommended Mitigation Measures</b>	<b>Objectives of the Recommended Measure &amp; Main Concerns to address</b>	<b>Who to Implement the measure</b>	<b>Location of the measure</b>	<b>When to implement the measure</b>	<b>What requirements or standard for the measure to achieve</b>	<b>Implementation Status</b>
Air Quality Impact (Construction Phase)								
4.8	A1	housekeeping to minimize dust generation, e.g. by properly handling and storing dusty materials	To minimize dust generation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	*
4.8	A2	Adopt dust control measures, such as dust suppression using water spray on exposed soil (at least 8 times per day), in areas with dusty construction activities and during material handling	To minimize dust generation due to erosion	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	*
4.8	A3	Store cement bags in shelter with 3 sides and the top covered by impervious materials if the stack exceeds 20 bags	To prevent leakage of cement	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	N/A
4.8	A4	Maintain a reasonable height when dropping excavated materials to limit dust generation	To minimize dust generation during movement of excavated materials	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A5	Limit vehicle speed within site to 10km/hr and confine vehicle movement in haul road	To minimize dust generation due to traffic movement	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓

4.8	A6	Minimize exposed earth after completion of work in a certain area by hydroseeding, vegetating, soil compacting or covering with bitumen	To minimize dust generation due to erosion	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A7	Provide wheel washing at site exit to clean the vehicle body and wheel	To prevent dust from being brought offsite	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A8	Hard pave the area at site exit with concrete, bitumen or hardcores	To prevent dust from being brought offsite	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A9	Cover materials on trucks before leaving the site to prevent debris from dropping during traffic movement or being blown away by wind	To prevent falling of debris during traffic movement and by wind	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A11	Regular maintenance of plant equipment to prevent black smoke emission	To minimize black smoke emission	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A12	Throttle down or switch off unused machines or machine in intermittent use	To minimize unnecessary emission	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓
4.8	A13	Carry out regular site inspection to audit the implementation of mitigation measures	To check the implementation status and effectiveness of mitigation measures	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM, APCO	✓

4.8	A14	Carry out air quality monitoring throughout the construction period	To monitor construction dust level	HyD's Contractor	At representative ASRs	Prior to and throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM	✓
Noise Impact (Construction Phase)								
3.8	N1	Adopt good site practice, such as regular maintenance of plant equipment, throttle down unused machines	To minimize construction noise level	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N2	Use Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) which produces lower noise level (e.g. Excavator/Loader (EPD-01431), Asphalt Paver (EPD-01226), Road Roller (EPD-00244) and Mobile Crane (EPD-01477))	To minimize construction noise level	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N3	Erect movable noise barrier at significant noise source(e.g. Concrete Pump, Concrete Lorry Mixer, Excavator/Loader, Road Sweeper, Asphalt Paver, Road Roller, Lorry, Breaker and Poker)	To lower noise transmission	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N5	Regular maintenance of plant equipment to prevent noise emission due to impair	To prevent noise emission due to impair	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N6	Position mobile noisy equipment in location and direction away from NSR	To minimize noise transmission to NSR	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	N/A



3.8	N7	Use silencer or muffler on plant equipment and should be properly maintained	To minimize noise transmission	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N8	Throttle down or switch off unused machines or machine in intermittent use between work	To minimize noise production	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N9	Make good use of stockpiles or other structures for noise screening	To minimize noise transmission	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N10	Avoid carrying out noisy activities at the same time	To minimize noise production	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N11	Reduce the percentage on-time for some noisy PMEs	To minimize noise production	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	NCO,EIAO-TM	✓
3.8	N12	Carry out noise monitoring	To monitor construction noise level	HyD's Contractor	At representative NSRs	Prior to and throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM	✓
Water Impact (Construction Phase)								
5.8	W1	Recirculate settled water for ground boring and drilling during site investigation or rock/soil anchoring.	To minimize wastewater generation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W2	Set up sedimentation tank for settling suspended solids in wastewater before discharge into storm drains. Sand/silt	To reduce the amount of suspended solid in wastewater	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓

		removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basin should be provided with adequate capacity.						
5.8	W3	Pave the construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road with backfall	To prevent soil and site runoff from leaving the site	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W4	Follow ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" as far as practicable	To minimize surface runoff and chance of erosion	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W5	Provide perimeter channels at site boundaries.	To stop offsite storm runoff from entering the site	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	*
5.8	W6	Construct catchpits and perimeter channels prior to commencement of site formation works and earthworks.	To stop runoff from flowing across the site	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W7	Maintain silt removal facilities, channels, manholes before and after rainstorm.	To prevent failure that may lead to flooding	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	*
5.8	W8	Remove sediment from silt and grit at regular interval.	To prevent blockage the may lead to flooding	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W9	Consider environmental requirements when diverting or realigning drainage.	To ensure adequate hydraulic capacity of all drains	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓

5.8	W10	Maintain a minimum distance of 100m between discharge point of construction site runoff and the existing saltwater intakes. No effluent will be discharged into typhoon shelter. (for loations of seawater intakes, please refer to Figure 5.1 in EIA Report)	To prevent mixing	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W11	Arrange soil excavation works outside rainy seasons (April to September) as far as possible. If this cannot beachieved, the following measures should be implemented:	To minimize surface runoff and chance of erosion	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	
		-Cover temporary exposed slope surfaces with impermeable materials, e.g. tarpaulin						#
		- Protect temporary access roads by crushed stone or gravel						✓
		- Proved intercepting channels along crest/edge of excavation						✓
		- Carry out adequate surface protection measures well before the arrival of a rainstorm						✓
5.8	W12	Compact soil after earthwork. Provide permanent work or surface protection with appropriate drainage channels immediately after forming the final surfaces.	To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W13	Prevent rainwater from entering trenches. Excavation of trenches should be dug and backfilled in short sections during rainy	To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm	HyD's Contractor	Whole Construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	*

		seasons. Remove silt in rainwater collected from the trenches or foundation excavations prior to discharge to storm drains.						
5.8	W14	Cover open stockpiles of construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill materials) with impermeable materials such as tarpaulin during rainstorms.	To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	#
5.8	W15	Cover and temporary seal manholes (including newly constructed ones) to prevent silt, construction materials or debris and surface runoff from entering foul sewers.	To prevent overloading of foul sewers	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	*
5.8	W16	Remove waste from the site regularly.	To prevent waste accumulation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W17	Apply discharge license for effluent discharge. Treat the discharge to comply with the requirement in TM-DSS.	To ensure compliance with effluent discharge requirement	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	WPCO,TM-DSS, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W18	Reuse treated effluent onsite, e.g. dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning.	To minimize wastewater generation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W19	Monitor effluent water quality.	To ensure compliance with effluent discharge requirement	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	WPCO, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W20	Register as chemical waste producer if chemical waste will be generated.	To control chemical waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General)	✓

							Regulation, EIAO-TM	
5.8	W21	Perform maintenance of vehicles and equipment that have oil leakage and spillage potential on hard standings within a bunded area with sumps and oil interceptors.	To prevent oil leakage or spillage	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W22	Dispose chemical waste in accordance to Waste Disposal Ordinance. Follow the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i> , examples as follows:  - Store chemical wastes with suitable containers to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport  - Label chemical waste containers according to the CoP to notify and warn the waste handlers  - Store chemical wastes at designated safe location with adequate space	To avoid accident in waste storage and handling	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	#

5.8	W23	Provide sufficient chemical toilets with regular maintenance by licensed chemical waste collector	To proper collection of taskforce waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
Water Impact (Operational Phase)								
5.8	W24	Direct surface runoff for silt removal through silt trap before flowing to public storm water drainage system	To remove silt in surface runoff	HyD	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	WPCO, EIAO-TM	✓
5.8	W25	Regularly maintain the silt traps	To prevent blockage	HyD	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	WPCO, EIAO-TM	✓
Waste Management (Construction Phase)								
6.5	WM1	Allocate an area for waste sorting and storage of C&D materials into the following categories for reuse, recycle or disposal: - excavated material suitable for reuse - inert C&D material for disposal offsite - non-inert C&D materials for disposal at landfills - chemical waste - general refuse	To minimize waste generation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	#
6.5	WM2	Adopt good site practice as follows: - Provide training to workers on site cleanliness, waste management (waste	To proper handling of waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓



		reduction, reuse and recycle) and chemical handling procedures - Provide sufficient waste collection points and regular removal - Cover waste materials with tarpaulin or in enclosure during transportation - Maintain drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors - Sort out chemical waste for proper handling and treatment						
6.5	WM3	Adopt waste reduction measures as follows: - Allocate area/containers for sorting, recovering and storing waste for reuse, recycle or disposal (e.g. demolition debris and excavated materials, general refuse like aluminium cans) - Allocate area for proper storage of construction materials to prevent contamination - Minimize wastage through careful planning and avoiding over-purchase of construction materials	To minimize waste generation	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	#
6.5	WM4	Prepare and implement a site specific Waste Management Plan (WMP) as part of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/25. Detail waste management method in the form of avoidance, reuse, recovery,	To provide guidance to waste management	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, EIAO-TM	✓

		recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal according to the recommendations on the EIA and EM&A Manual. It should be approved by the ER and						
6.5	WM5	Store waste materials properly as follows: - Avoid contamination by proper handling and storing waste - Prevent erosion by covering waste or applying water spray - Maintain and clean storage area regularly - Sort and stockpile different materials at designated location to enhance reuse	To properly store waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM6	Apply for relevant waste disposal permits in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 345) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28).	To properly dispose waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 345) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28), EIAO-TM	✓

6.5	WM7	Hire licensed waste disposal contractors for waste collection and removal. Dispose waste at licensed waste disposal facilities	To properly dispose waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM8	Implement trip-ticket system for recording the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed, including chemical wastes	To monitor movement of waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM9	Provide wheel washing bay at site exit to clean the vehicle body and wheel	To prevent dust from being brought offsite	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM10	Reduce water content in wet spoil generated from piling work by mixing with dry materials. Only dispose treated spoil with less than 25% dry density to Public Fill Reception Facilities	To minimize load to reception facilities	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM11	Dispose dry waste or waste with less than 70% water content by weight to landfill	To minimize load to reception facilities	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM12	Follow the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</i> as follows: - Store chemical wastes with suitable	To avoid accident in waste storage and handling	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓

		<p>containers. Seal and maintain the container to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Label chemical waste containers in both English and Chinese with instructions in accordance to Schedule 2 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation</li> <li>- The container capacity should be smaller than 450 litres unless agreed by the EPD</li> </ul>						
6.5	WM13	<p>Comply with the requirement of the chemical storage area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Store only chemical waste and label clearly the chemical characters of the waste</li> <li>- Have at least 3 sides enclosed and protected from rainfall with cover</li> <li>- Provide sufficient ventilation</li> <li>- Have impermeable floor and has bunds to contain 110% of the capacity of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of the stored waste in the area, whichever is larger</li> <li>- Adequately spaced incompatible materials</li> </ul>	To ensure proper storage of chemical waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM14	<p>Transfer used lubricants, waste oils and other chemicals to oil recycling companies, if possible, and empty oil drums for reuse or refill. No direct or indirect discharge is permitted</p>	To ensure proper disposal of chemical waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM	N/A

6.5	WM15	Hire licensed chemical waste disposal contractors for waste collection and removal. Dispose chemical waste at the approved CWTC at Tsing Yi or other licensed facility	To ensure proper disposal of chemical waste	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM	N/A
6.5	WM16	Hire reputable waste collector to separately collect and dispose general refuse from other wastes. Cover the waste to prevent being blown away	To ensure proper disposal of general refuse	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM17	Provide recycling bins for sorting out recyclables for collection by recycling companies. Non-recyclables should be removed to designated landfills every day by licensed collectors to prevent environmental and health nuisance.	To ensure proper recycling and disposal of general refuse	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM18	Organize training and reminders to site staff on waste minimization through avoidance and reduction, reusing and recycling	To ensure proper management of general refuse	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM	✓
6.5	WM19	Carry out testing to verify sediment quantity and quality	To verify the categories of sediment to be disposed in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002	HyD's GI Contractor	Drillholes CB1 to 5 as shown in Sediment Sampling and Testing Plan	Throughout construction phase	ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002	✓

Landscape and Visual								
7.9.3	CM1	Shorten the construction period	To minimize duration of landscape and visual impact	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM	N/A
7.9.3	CM2	Limit work within site area without encroaching into the landscape resources offsite.	To minimize landscape and visual impact	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	EIAO-TM	✓
7.9.3	CM3	Protect retained trees from damage during construction work according to the recommended in the detailed tree assessment report and the approval of Tree Removal Application under ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 Tree Preservation	To maintain and minimize damage to existing greenery	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ETWB TCW 3/2006, EIAOTM	✓
7.9.3	CM4	Transplant unavoidably affected trees wherever possible in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 Tree Preservation. Maintain transplanted trees to ensure healthy development during the establishment period	To minimize tree loss and ensure survival of transplanted trees	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Throughout construction phase	ETWB TCW 3/2006, EIAOTM	N/A
7.9.2.6	OM1	Carry out compensatory planting in areas proposed in the Tree Survey and Landscape and Greening Study Report in accordance to ETWB TCW 3/2006, which will be subjected to refinement in detailed design stage. Compensatory planting of a ratio no less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity will be provided for any potential tree	To compensate for loss greenery	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site/Offsite	Construction phase	ETWB TCW 3/2006, EIAOTM	N/A



		felling within the site. Offsite planting may be required due to land constraint. 410 nos. of compensatory trees have been proposed						
7.9.2.6	OM2	Provide vertical greening at piers of elevated roads and shrub planting near amenity planting strips to soften the hard landscape (e.g. climber and shrub for hiding central divider and enclosures). Early comments from the ACABAS and relevant departments, implementation and maintenance agents shall be sought at the earlier stage.	To soften hard landscape	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Construction phase	ETWB TCW 36/2004	N/A
7.9.2.6	OM3	Match the design and materials of road structure with the surrounding environment and with the schematic theme paving of the future West Kowloon Reclamation Development and the Advisory Committee on the Appearance of Bridges and Associated Structures (ACABAS)	To match with existing landscape character	HyD's Contractor	Whole construction site	Construction phase	ETWB TCW 36/2004	N/A

Remarks:

- ✓ Compliance of mitigation measure
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
- \* Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor
- # Waiting for improving/rectifying by the contractor
- N/A Not Applicable

Appendix I: Cumulative Log for Environmental Exceedance, Complaints,  
Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

**Cumulative Log for Environmental Exceedance, Non-Compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution**

Reporting Month	Number of Exceedance	Number of Non-Compliance	Number of Environmental Complaints	Number of Notification of Summons	Number of Successful Prosecutions
February 2016	0	0	0	0	0
March 2016	0	0	0	0	0
April 2016	0	0	2	0	0
May 2016	7	0	0	0	0
June 2016	11	0	0	0	0
July 2016	6	0	0	0	0
August 2016	6	0	0	0	0
September 2016	5	0	0	0	0
October 2016	6	1	0	0	0
November 2016	5	0	0	0	0
December 2016	5	0	0	0	0
January 2017	5	0	0	0	0
February 2017	5	0	0	0	0
March 2017	6	0	0	0	0
April 2017	6	0	1	0	0
May 2017	5	0	0	0	0
June 2017	6	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	84	1	3	0	0