

UDL – Gitanes Joint Venture



Date: 4 December 2019 Our Ref.: UGJV/18\_8005/191204-00

**The Hongkong Electric Co., Ltd.** Hongkong Electric Centre, 44 Kennedy Road, Hong Kong Fax: 2810 0506 Email: mail@hkelectric.com

Attention: Mr. C. K. Lau - General Manager (Projects)

Dear Sirs,

Contract No. 18/8005 Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019 <u>Silt Curtain Deployment Plan for Dredging Works</u>

We would like to submit Silt Curtain Deployment Plan for the required dredging works for the captioned contract project.

For any queries, please contact the undersigned at 9750 6438.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours faithfully, For and on behalf of **UDL – Gitanes Joint Venture** 

Ian Li Kam Wa Joint Venture Representative



#### THE HONGKONG ELECTRIC CO., LTD.

**Contract No. 18/8005** 

Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019

Silt Curtain Deployment Plan

November 2019 (Revised)

#### **CONTENTS**

1	Introduction	Page 1
2	Water Pollution Mitigation by the Silt Curtain	Page 2
3	The Silt Curtain Layout and Installation	Page 2
4.	Checking and Maintenance of the Silt Curtain	Page 4
	Appendix	
	Appendix A: Catalogue of the silt curtain materials	
	Appendix B: Construction programme of proposed silt curtain	
	Appendix C: Drawing / Sketch for silt curtain frame	
	Appendix D: Layout plan showing locations by TSHD & Grab Dredger	
	Appendix E: Silt curtain report by HKE past dredging project	

Appendix F: Monthly, Weekly and daily Inspection Form

Appendix G: Reply to EPD's comments on silt curtain deployment plan

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

The Site is the Lamma Navigation Channel adjacent to the Lamma Power Station in Hong Kong West Lamma Channel including the side slopes.

This project of Lamma Power Station navigation channel improvement works involves dredging works for the navigation channel as well as jetty berth region.

This silt curtain deployment plan shall be prepared and submitted under EP condition 2.15 (EP-535/2017) for approval not more than 2 months before commencement of the dredging activities. The plan shall contain construction programme, details on the design, operation and maintenance of the proposed silt curtain.

The majority of dredging work is to be carried out by Trailer Suction Hopper Dredger (TSHD) whereas the minority of dredging work for remedial trimming and near the existing jetty structure is to be carried out by grab dredger. No silt curtain will be made for the works done by TSHD. A layout plan showing the locations involved TSHD and grab dredger is attached in Appendix D.

When the proposed dredging activity is going underway by grab dredger, only cage type silt curtain will be used so as to control water turbidity during the dredging work. The construction programme for dredging activities is attached in Appendix B.

In view of the works nature of the project involving time-to-time and dynamic demobilization of vessels off the navigation channel so as not to affect incoming and outgoing navigation of the coal transportation vessels, cage type silt curtain adhered to the dredger will be adopted so as to contain fully the grabber operation, and at the same time to allow easy tow away demobilization of curtain frame together with the grab dredger.

#### 2 WATER POLLUTION MITIGATION BY THE SILT CURTAIN

As the first measure to mitigate water pollution nuisance, the cage type silt curtain is designed to enclose local pollution caused by the grab dredger. This frame type silt curtain is made by a steel frame with floating buoy fixed on the top frame such that it is floating on water. A silt curtain membrane is mounted on the four sides of the steel frame so as to cover the entire water column.

The dredging works by the grab dredger would then be carried out within the frame type silt curtain. The position of this frame type silt curtain would be maintained by a chain fixed between the frame structure of silt curtain and the dredger.



#### 3 THE SILT CURTAIN LAYOUT AND INSTALLATION

A total of two numbers of silts curtains will be provided for the dredging works (to allow one number of grab dredgers on site for working and the other one is for stand-by purpose as contingency).

The upper part of each of the silt curtains consists of a floating hollow frame from 4 tubular floats. The silt curtain frame is of 18m x 15m fabricated with member size of approx. 1m diameter 12mm thick pipe section. The silt curtain design is attached in Appendix C.

After the floating frame of the curtain being fabricated, silt curtain membrane (type #800 from Taiyo Kygyo or equivalent and the silt curtain membrane information is attached in Appendix A) will be mounted to the four sides of the floating frame and that the membrane would enclose the water column, with the length of membrane to suit site conditions such as tidal, current, seabed, etc. Ballast chain will be installed act as weight in the bottom end of the membrane, which shall be anchored to between 30cm and 50cm above the seabed so that adequate depth of the silt curtain shall be given to cater for tidal changes even during high tides. It forms a Cage-type silt curtain (at least 10m depth) for the grab dredger options of dredging construction and operation in accordance with the requirement contained in the approved EIA Report (register No. AEIAR-212/2017) Chapter 3 Clause 3.8.

The silt curtains will be installed at the bow of the dredger with connection by using steel chains. Work boat will be engaged to assist the connection works.



sizing and installation position of silt curtain frame to be carefully decided and adjusted so as not to affect hopper barges berthing

The proposed silt curtain is a cage-type around the grab dredger which was used over The Hongkong Electric Co., Ltd. (HKE) past dredging projects and proved to be effective in reducing possible sea-water pollution from the dredging process.

A past report of silt curtain is provided in Appendix E for seawater quality measurement across cage-type silt curtain under HKE's previous project. According to the measurement results showed in Table 3.2 of the report (page 10 of the report refers), it concluded that the silt curtain was successful in containing the turbidity and suspended solid with average efficiency 87% and 91% respectively from the dredging process.

Given the proposed methodology and set up arrangement for silt curtain to be used for grab dredger is the same as successful past project with efficiency more than 75%, the modelling assumption of silt curtain efficiency as stated in EIA report is met.

#### 4 <u>CHECKING AND MAINTENANCE OF THE SILT CURTAIN</u>

As the silt curtain is being floated on water during the course of work, visual inspection would be conducted before the commencement of dredging works in each working day so as to check for any damage to the floating frame as well as curtain mounting. Weekly dive inspection or inspection by lifting up for condition checking of silt curtain will be carried out. In case having such the defect / damage inspected, necessary repair works can be arranged at the earlier stage. Checking and maintenance records will be recorded and kept for inspection and review. Weekly and daily inspection forms are to be used are attached in Appendix F.

An initial check to the site curtain is to be conducted before the day on commencement of dredging works. Monthly detail checking to the silt curtain will be arranged by lifting up of the curtain frame by using a derrick barge or grab dredger itself and to make necessary checking and repair if so required. Before lifting up the curtain for monthly checking, precaution measures such as visual inspection will be taken to check for any damage to the floating frame as well as condition of the curtain mounting. Also, sufficient time is allowed to ensure all dredged and silt materials inside the cage type silt curtain are fully settled down before lifting up the silt curtain for the subsequent regular check. Monthly checklist and inspection form is attached in Appendix F.

In case minor repair (like fixing of the loosen mounting for the curtain) is required, a work boat and technicians will be engaged for the repair work at the spot.

For damage such as serious floating frame deformation or detachment of the whole section of curtain material, whole unit replacement would be preferred instead of on site repair, and that a spare silt curtain floating frame will be allow off the site as standby so as to cater quick recover of silt curtain installation and resume of dredging works.

In case of damage curtain or silty plume outside the cage observed during the dredging operation, the dredging works shall cease immediately for carrying out condition check of the curtain. Work boat will be engaged to assist the connection works and/or make necessary repair works. Sufficient time is allowed to ensure all dredged and silty materials inside the cage type silt curtain are fully settled down against dispersion of silty materials over the sea before lifting up the silt curtain for maintenance or replacement. Such replacement works shall be by another one silt curtain which will be stand-by on site for contingency. Dredging works will resume upon completion of repair work and no observation of silty plume outside the cage.



The silt curtain separates the dredging zone from the nearby waters to minimize water pollution nuisance

In case of emergency case due to any reason such as adverse weather condition etc., the dredging works shall cease when Typhoon Signal No. 1 is hoisted. When Typhoon Signal No. 3 or above is hoisted, such silt curtain membrane will be folded upwards attaching to the floating frame and demobilized together with the grab dredger vessels to the typhoon shelters as soon as practicable. Re-mobilization will be taken within 2 hours after Typhoon Signal No. 3 is lowered down as announcement by Hong Kong Observatory.

It is understood that the silt curtain shall be thoroughly inspected after these emergency situations to ensure intact structure for resumption of the dredging works. APPENDIX A – CATALOGUE OF THE SILT CURTAIN MATERIAL





## SILT PROTECTOR®

## OF SILT IN WATER



# **More Types**

#### Frame type Silt Protector

This type has been designed to enclose local pollution caused by the grab dredging. It consists of floats (usually discharge pipes are used), curtain and ballast at the bottom. Mid-ballast may be added as necessary. Many of this type is made so that the length of the curtain can be changed according to the depth by using an winch and wire rope. The length of the curtain is made loss than 15m for easier used on the grab deadges so that the ballast at the bottom of silt curtain shall be placed 30cm - 50cm above the seabed.







#### •Combined installation (Not Applicable to this project)

Frame type Silt Protector is, in many installed together with having cas type to pid turbid water w a dredger mo s, tucking curtain. 20 water depth, For deep sea In combined installe \_ of hanging type and standing pe is so. times used. In case, hat passing is nec sary, e hanging type is used h sinke open



5

## Specifications for the curtain material SPO-#800 Tensile strengh 800kgf/3cm SPO-#500 Tensile strengh 500kgf/3cm SPO-#300 Tensile strengh 300kgf/3cm **Ballast Chain** 0.000.00 30cm - 50cm Seabed

#### Material for curtain and float components

The curtain and inner cover for the float are made of high strength polyester synthetic fiber that has been studied and developed to give excellent durability, cold-resistance, chemical resistance and light resistance.

The float cover (outermost cover) is made of high strength polyester tarpaulin with superior UV (ultra violet ray)resistance in addition to the features of the polyester synthetic fiber.

The float is made of solid cylindrical styrene foam with high weather resistance. Since the material will not absorb water, the buoyancy of the float is not reduced. The float adapts to the wave well thanks to the advantage of the material.

## Materia

## APPENDIX B – CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME OF PROPOSED SILT CURTAIN

#### LOA : 14 June 2019 Contract Commencement : 15 August 2019

#### Contract No. 18/8005 The Hong Kong Electric Co., Ltd. Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019 (Master Programme - Silt Curtain Deployment Works)

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	ug '19 Sep '19 4 11 18 25 1 8 1'	Oct '19 Nov '19	Dec '19 Jan '20
1	CONTRACT DURATION	283 days	Thu 15/8/19	Sat 23/5/20	l l		
2	Contract Commencement	0 days	Thu 15/8/19	Thu 15/8/19	◆ 15/8		
3							
4	Pre-requisition & Application of Statutory License / Permit for dredging works	99 days	Thu 15/8/19	Thu 21/11/19		ß	
5							
6	Dredging by Trailer Suction Hopper Barge for Majority of the Site except those near Existing Structure & Remedial Trimming	131 days	Fri 22/11/19	Tue 31/3/20		Ì	
7							
8	Dredging by Grab Dredgers for Remedial Trimming and Existing Jetty Structure	48 days	Wed 1/4/20	Mon 18/5/20			
9							
10	Silt Curtain Deployment	<u>48 days</u>	<u>Wed 1/4/20</u>	<u>Mon 18/5/20</u>			
11							
12	Plant Demobilization	5 days	Tue 19/5/20	Sat 23/5/20			

HKE Works Programme -	Task Split		Project Summary Inactive Task		Manual Task Duration-only		Start-only Finish-only	с Э	Dea Prog
Date: 19 September 2019	Milestone Summary	<b>*</b>	Inactive Milestone Inactive Summary	۰ ۱	Manual Summary Rollup Manual Summary	·1	External Tasks External Milestone	\$	Man
	<b>,</b>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Page 1				



APPENDIX C – DRAWING / SKETCH FOR SILT CURTAIN FRAME



APPENDIX D – LAYOUT PLAN SHOWING LOCATIONS BY TSHD & GRAB DREDGER



APPENDIX E – SILT CURTAIN REPORT by HKE PAST DREDGING PROJECT



#### NATURE & TECHNOLOGIES (HK) LIMITED 科技環保(香港)有限公司



#### **Executive Summary**

In order to minimize environmental impact to seawater due to the dredging works for the coal jetty at Lamma Power Station, HEC has employed a silt curtain around the dredger to screen the dredged sediments. Despite the hostile conditions, seawater quality measurements on 18 April 2001 at locations inside and outside the silt curtain were made to provide information on the effectiveness of the silt curtain in reducing pollution due to the dredging works. Observations from the results showed that the silt curtain was effective in containing the turbidity and SS from the dredging process.

1

#### CONTENTS

**Executive Summary** 

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Water Quality Measurement Methodology

Measurement Locations Water Quality Measurement Parameters & Equipment Measurement Procedure Measurement Schedule Setting up Baseline

- 3. Results and Discussions
- 4. Concluding Remarks

Appendices Appendix A: Calibration certificates Appendix B: Quality Control (QC) report Appendix C: Water quality measurement results

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Hongkong Electric Co., Ltd. ["HEC"] has commenced to perform dredging works for the construction of coal jetty extension at Lamma Power Station from December 2000.
- 1.2 In order to minimize environmental impact to seawater during the period of dredging works, HEC has employed a silt curtain around the dredger to screen the dredged sediments such as shown in Figure 1.1.
- 1.3 Nature and Technologies (HK) Limited ["N&T"], in association with ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. ["ALS"] is commissioned by The Hongkong Electric Co., Ltd. ["HEC"] to carry out seawater quality sampling at four designated locations, both inside and outside the silt curtain around the dredger.
- 1.4 The purpose of this measurement is to provide information on the effectiveness of the silt-curtain in reducing possible pollution from the dredging process. This report also serves as documentation for the measurements exercise.



Figure 1.1 Silt curtain around the dredger

#### 2. Water Quality Measurement Methodology

#### **Measurement Locations**

2.1 Seawater quality was measured at four measurement locations, for which one of them is inside the silt curtain (M1) and the remaining three are outside the silt curtain (M2, M3 & M4) as given in Figure 2.1.





- 2.2 Measurements at Station M1 would give the water quality within the dredging area, while those at stations M2, M3 & M4, the water quality at the distance approximately 20 metres from the edge of the silt curtain. These three locations are situated outside the silt curtain.
- 2.3 At each measurement location, sampling and measurements were made at the following depths:
  - 1m above the sea bed;
  - 1m below the sea surface;
  - mid-depth

#### Water Quality Measurement Parameters & Equipment

2.4 The measurement parameters are listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Measurement Parameters of all three measuring	ng stages
---	-----------

	Parameter	Unit
1	Positioning	(N/A)
2	Water depth of sampling station	М
3	Temperature	°C
4	Dissolved oxygen	mg/l
5	PH	(N/A)
6	Salinity	ppt
7	Turbidity	NTU
8	Total suspended solids	mg/l

2.5 The measuring equipment used for seawater measurement is listed in Table 2.2. Wildco 2.2-Litre water sampler is used to collect water sample for total suspended solids determination in the laboratory.

	Parameter	Measuring Equipment	Reference Method
1	Positioning Device	Magellan GPS Tracker	N/A
2	Water depth of sampling station	Humming Bird 100SX	N/A
3	Temperature	YSI 30	(in house)
4	Dissolved oxygen ["DO"]	YSI 30	APHA (18 <sup>th</sup> ed.) 4500-0A-D
5	РН	Hanna pH Tester	(in house)
6	Salinity	YSI 30	APHA (18 <sup>th</sup> ed.) 2520A, B
7	Turbidity	HACH 2100P Turbidimeter	APHA (18 <sup>th</sup> ed.) 2130B
8	Total suspended solids ["SS"]	N/A	(in-house, based on APHA (18 <sup>th</sup> ed.) 2540D)

Table 2.2 Measuring equipment used

#### Measurement procedure

- 2.6 Before measurement started, all measurement instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by ALS, a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS, and subsequently re-calibrated throughout the water quality measurement period. The calibration certificates of the equipment used are contained in Appendix A.
- 2.7 For sampling at each location, two replicates each for DO, turbidity, water temperature, pH values and salinity were measured in-situ to ensure that the deviation of the two measurements was not greater than 25%; while a set of water sample at each measurement point was collected for laboratory determination of SS.
- 2.8 The total suspended solids measurement was subject to separate quality control (QC) checks of at least one repeat laboratory measurement for every ten measurements to ensure confidence in the measured data. QC reports are presented in Appendix B.
- 2.9 For sampling at M1 (within the dredging area), it was originally proposed to install a platform on the top of the silt curtain to allow sampling personnel to gain access to the region enclosed by the silt curtain. However, such platform was not available, and after a few trials with other means, it was decided that to employ two wind-surfer boards ganged together. The surfer boards were carried in the usual sampling boat. When the boat arrived the silt curtain, the wind-surfer boards with two sampling personnel were lowered onto the sea. The sampling personnel then moved to the silt curtain with the wind-surfer boards, carried the boards over the silt curtain and continued to move to the M1 location to perform sampling. This was finally done on 18 April 2001, and is illustrated in Figure 2.1.



(a) maneuvering the wind-surfer boards over the silt curtain



(b) Sampling personnel moved the wind-surfer boards to M1 position

Figure 2.1 Sampling at M1 using wind-surfer boards

- 2.10 It is worth to mention that, as it was not practicable to take sample inside the silt curtain while dredging was in progress, dredging work was temporary halted for 15 minutes during sampling at M1.
- 2.11 For sampling at M2, M3 and M4 locations outside the dredging area, a 20-metre long rope with a hook was used to locate the sampling locations. This was done by hooking the rope to the edge of the silt curtain, and maneuvering the sampling boat away from the silt curtain until the rope was slightly tight. Figure 2.2 shows the above method in locating M2 to M4. The actual grid references of M2 to M4 for each measurement were recorded by the GPS and converted into Northing and Easting coordinates.



Figure 2.2 Hooking the rope to the edge of silt curtain

2.12 The whole sampling process approximately lasted for 45 minutes.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

3.1 The measured and the corrected coordinates of M2, M3 and M4 for each measurement are shown in Table 3.1. M1 was located inside the silt curtain. It needs to be stressed that the measured and corrected coordinates are purely for reference only as the position measurement by GPS and the subsequent conversion are inherently crude.

Station	Tido	Grid Locations						
Station	nue	Easting	Northing	Longitude	Latitude			
		18 April 2001						
M2	Mid-Ebb	828193	808470	114°05'46"	22°12'59"			
M3	Mid-Ebb	828165	808501	114°05'45"	22°13'00"			
M4	Mid-Ebb	828107	808501	114°05'43"	22°13'00"			

Table 3.1 Measurement station grid reference

3.2 The detailed results of the sampling are given in Appendix C. Table 3.2 summarizes the results of seawater measurements.



#### Table 3.2 Summary of averaged value of measured parameters

\* S&M stands for Surface and Middle levels average value; B stands for Bottom level average value 3.3 The averaged turbidity levels outside the silt curtain measured at M2 to M4 ranges from 4.2 to 10.1 NTU (10.1 NTU downstream at M2, 5.7 NTU upstream at M4 and 4.2 NTU along side at M3) while 51.5 NTU was recorded at M1. The corresponding SS concentrations measured at M2 to M4 ranges from 3.7 to 15.7 mg/l (15.7 mg/l downstream at M2, 5.7 mg/l upstream at M4 and 3.7 mg/l along side at M3) while 96.0 mg/l was recorded at M1. This is illustrated in Figure 3.1.



Remark: The sketch of silt curtain, barge and dredger is not-to-scaleFigure 3.1SS & Turbidity results at various locations

- 3.4 Very high turbidity and SS levels were found inside the silt curtain compared to the sampling location outside the silt curtain on 18 April 2001 when sampling was made immediately after the completion of the dredging activities. The measurement results clearly demonstrate that the silt curtain was very effective in preventing silt from spreading during dredging. With the silt curtain around the dredger, pollution caused by the dredging process, mainly turbidity and SS, were localized inside the silt curtain, where the silt will settle. Neighbouring areas as close as 20 m away downstream, were not noticeably affected.
- 3.5 Other than turbidity and SS, no apparent differences in the other measured parameters are observed between the locations inside and outside the silt curtain.

#### 4. Conclusions

- 4.1 Measurements on the seawater quality were carried out to provide information on the effectiveness of the silt curtain employed for prevention water pollution from the Lamma Power Station coal jetty dredging on 18 April 2001 after several preliminary trials at sea.
- 4.2 Observations from the results showed that the silt curtain would be able to reduce the turbidity and SS pollution due to the dredging process.

Appendix A: Calibration certificates





15

11

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

CONTACT: CLIENT: ADDRESS:	DR GABRIEL LAM NATURE & TECHNOLOC UNIT 2 & 3, 4/F, WELLB 8 JAVA RD, NORTH POIL HONG KONG	GIE: ROI NT	S (HK) LTD NE COMM CTR	LABORATORY BATCH NUMBER SUB BATCH No. OF SAMPLES DATE RECEIVED DATE COMPLETED	<ul> <li>HONG KONG</li> <li>HK11111</li> <li>1</li> <li></li> <li>29/03/01</li> <li>27/04/01</li> </ul>
ORDER No.:	SAMPLE TYPE	:	CALIBRATION	REPORT PROJECT	Γ.
		C	alibration of Temp	erature System	
	ltem	:	YSI Model 30 Ha	indheld Salinity , C	Conductivity & Temperature System
	Serial No.	:	99G0526 (Meter	)	
	Equipment No.	:	HK255		
	Reference Thermometer	:	HK256		
	Calibration Method	:	In House		
	Result	•	Reference 11.4 22.7 30.2 41.8	Temp. (°C)	Recorded Temp. (°C) 11.3 22.8 30.4 41.9
			Allowing I	Deviation	±0.5°C
	Date of Calibration	:	29 Mar,2001		
				ਜ ਹ	Richard L.C. Fung Beneral Manager Hong Kong

MMENTS:

- The calibration procedure used for the analysis has been applied for the calibration of the above instrument.

this is the Final Report which supersedes any preliminary reports with this batch number.

 Kong
 Facsimile 852-2610 2021

 • 852-2610 1044
 Facsimile 852-2610 2021

 • pore
 • 65-743 4311

 • 65-743 4311
 Facsimile 65-746 0292

 • Lumpur
 • 60-3368 6367

 • 60-3368 6367
 Facsimile 60-3368 9778

 Bangkok

 Phone 66-2-292 1645
 Facsimile 66-2-292 1646

 Brisbane
 Phone 61-7-3243 7222

 Phone 61-7-3243 7222
 Facsimile 61-7-3243 7218

 Sydney
 Phone 61-2-841 9500

 Phone 61-2-841 9500
 Facsimile 61-2-841 9530

• Results apply to sample(s) as submitted by client.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd Unit 6, G/F, Trans Asia Centre, 18 Kin Hong Street, Kwai Chung, H.K.





12 of

PAGE

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

	·····	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PAGE	12 of	15
CONTACT: CLIENT: ADDRESS:	DR GABRIEL LAM NATURE & TECHNO UNIT 2 & 3, 4/F, WE 8 JAVA RD, NORTH HONG KONG	DLOGIES (HK) LTD ELLBRONE COMM CTI POINT	LABORATORY: BATCH NUMBER: SUB BATCH: No. OF SAMPLES: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	HONG KON0 HK11111 1  29/03/01 27/04/01	3	
ORDER No.:	SAMPLE T	PE: CALIBRATIO	N REPORTPROJECT:			
		Calibration of Disso	lved Oxygen Meter			
	Item	: YSI Model 30 Ha	andheld Salinity , Cor	ductivity & Temper	rature Syst	tem
	Serial No.	: 99G0526 (Meter	r)			
	Equipment No.	: HK255				
	Calibration Method	: This meter was standard method	calibrated in accorda d APHA (18th Ed.) 4	nce with 500-0A, B, C and E	),	
	Result	: Expecte	ed Reading	Recorded Readir	ng	
		2.64 5.4 6.33 8.55	8 mg/L 7 mg/L 3 mg/L 3 mg/L	2.75 mg/L 5.38 mg/L 6.43 mg/L 8.50 mg/L		
		Allowing	g Deviation	±0.2mg/L		
	Date of Calibration	: 29 Mar,2001				
		i	ਸ ਹ	R: Luid (Fr) Richard L.C. Fung General Manager - No	ng Kong	
OMMENTS: - The lab The cali	oratory is HOKLAS accre ibration procedure used for	dited for dissolved oxygor the analysis has bee	gen analysis in water n applied for the calib	and wastewater sa pration of the above	mples. e instrumer	 nt.

This is the Final Report which superse	<ul> <li>Results apply to sample(</li> </ul>	
Kong	Bangkok	ALS Technichem (HI
852-2610 1044 Facsimile 852-2610 2021	Phone 66-2-292 1645 Facsimile 66-2-292 1646	Unit 6 G/F Trans Asi
pore 65-743 4311 Facsimile 65-746 0292	Brisbane Phone 61-7-3243 7222 Facsimile 61-7-3243 7218	18 Kin Hong Street,
← Lumpur → 60-3368 6367 Facsimile 60-3368 9778	Sydney Phone 61-2-841 9500 Facsimile 61-2-841 9530	Kwai Chung, H.K.

Melbourne

ir Bahru

(s) as submitted by client. K) Pty Ltd

ia Centre,





of

15

14

PAGE

## **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

CONTACT: CLIENT: ADDRESS:	DR GABRIEL LAM NATURE & TECHNOLOGIES (HK) LTD UNIT 2 & 3, 4/F, WELLBRONE COMM CTR 8 JAVA RD, NORTH POINT HONG KONG		S (HK) LTD NE COMM CTR	LABORATORY: BATCH NUMBER: SUB BATCH: No. OF SAMPLES: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	HONG KONG HK11111 1  29/03/01 27/04/01
ORDER No.:	SAM	PLE TYPE		EPORT PROJECT:	
			Calibration of Salinit	y System	
	ltem	:	YSI Model 30 Handl	neld Salinity , Conduc	tivity & Temperature System
	Serial No.	:	99G0526 (Meter)		
	Equipment No.	:	HK255		
	Calibration Method		This meter was calib standard method Al	prated in accordance PHA (18th Ed.) 2520/	with A, B
	Result	:	Expected Reading	Recorded Read	ding
			5‰ 10‰ 20‰ 30‰ 40‰	5.1‰ 10.7‰ 20.8‰ 31.5‰ 39.6‰	
			Allowing Deviation	±10%	
	Date of Calibration		29 Mar,2001		
				Richa Gene	Kichaust für ard L.C. Fung aral Manager - Hong Kong

#### DMMENTS:

or Bahru

CO.4

- The calibration procedure used for the analysis has been applied for the calibration of the above instrument.

Kwai Chung, H.K.

This is the Final Report which superse	edes any preliminary reports with this batch number.	<ul> <li>Results apply to sample(s) as submitted by client.</li> </ul>
i Kong + 852-2610 1044 Facsimile 852-2610 2021	Bangkok Phone 66-2-292 1645 Facsimile 66-2-292 1646	
ipore + 65-743 4311 Facsimile 65-746 0292	Brisbane Phone 61-7-3243 7222 Facsimile 61-7-3243 7218	ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd Unit 6, G/F, Trans Asia Centre,
+ Lumpur + 60-3368 6367 Facsimile 60-3368 9778	Sydney Phone 61-2-841 9500 Facsimile 61-2-841 9530	18 Kin Hong Street,

**C** 1

Melbourne

0050 5000 5.





### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

	AN		LYTICAL	REPOR	PAGE	15 <sub>of</sub>	15
CONTACT: CLIENT: ADDRESS:	DR GABRIEL LAM NATURE & TECHNO UNIT 2 & 3, 4/F, WE 8 JAVA RD, NORTH HONG KONG	)LOG LLBF POIN	IES (HK) LTD RONE COMM CTR IT	LABORATORY: BATCH NUMBER: SUB BATCH: No. OF SAMPLES: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	HONG KONG HK11111 1  29/03/01 27/04/01	3	
ORDER No.:	SAMPLE TY	PE:	CALIBRATION	REPORTPROJECT:			
			Calibration of Tur	bidimeter			
	Item	:	HACH 2100P TUR	BIDIMETER			. <u></u>
	Serial No.	:	961200012835				
	Equipment No.	:	HK254				
	Calibration Method	:	This meter was cali standard method A	ibrated in accordan \PHA (18th Ed.) 21	ce with 30 B		
	Result	:	Expected	Recorded			
			0 NTU 2 NTU 4 NTU 16 NTU 40NTU 80 NTU Allowing Deviation	0.00NTU 2.20NTU 4.32NTU 16.7NTU 39.9NTU 77.3NTU ±10%			
	Date of Calibration	:	29 Mar,2001				
				R G	K. U.au - fur ichard L.C. Fung eneral Manager - Hor	ng Kong	

The laboratory is HOKLAS accredited for turbidity analysis in water and wastewater samples.
 The calibration procedure used for the analysis has been applied for the calibration of the above instrument.

his is the Fir	his is the Final Report which supersedes any preliminary reports with this batch number.					
Kong	Eacsimile 852-2610 2021	Bangkok Rhone 66-2-202 1645	Facsimila 66-2 202 1646	ALS		
-pore	Facarrine 032-2010 2021	Brisbane	racsimie 00-2-232 1040	Unit		
65-743 4311	Facsimile 65-746 0292	Phone 61-7-3243 7222	Facsimile 61-7-3243 7218	18 K		
Lumpur 50-3368 6367	Facsimile 60-3368 9778	<b>Sydney</b> Phone 61-2-841 9500	Facsimile 61-2-841 9530	Kwa		

0053 5000 Enclose of 2 0053 0735

Melbourne

Bahru

10 004 5------- 00 70 640

pply to sample(s) as submitted by client. Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

6, G/F, Trans Asia Centre, (in Hong Street, ai Chung, H.K.

Appendix B: Quality Control (QC) report

Date	Date Station		SS mg/L	
19/04/01	M4-S	ш	12	
10/04/01	M4-B	E	4	



Fichand for

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong

Appendix C: Water quality measurement results



Batch No. : HK11111 Parameter: Sampling Time

Date	Station	Mid-ebb
	M1	9:30
19/04/01	M2	9:50
18/04/01	M3	10:00
	M4	10:10

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong



Batch No.: HK11111 Parameter: water depth (m)

Date	Station	Mid-ebb
	M1	15
19/04/01	M2	16
10/04/01	M3	14
	M4	14

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong



		Mid-ebb								
Date Sta	Station	1m below Surface		Mid-depth		1m above bottom				
	1	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2			
	M1	24.0	24.0	23.5	23.5	23.2	23.2			
19/04/01	M2	23.5	23.5	22.1	22.1	22.3	22.3			
10/04/01	M3	23.5	23.5	22.3	22.3	22.4	22.4			
	M4	23.3	23.3	22.2	22.2	22.6	22.6			

220 1

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong



Date		Mid-ebb							
	Station	1m below Surface		Mid-depth		1m above bottom			
	Oracion	Meas, #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas, #1	Meas. #2		
	M1	7.3	7.3	7.1	7.1	7.0	7.0		
18/04/01	M2	7.8	7.8	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.1		
10/04/01	M3	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.7	7.3	7.3		
	M4	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.5		

-----

12:0 chasel

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong

. .

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

		Mid-ebb								
Date Statio	Station	1m below Surface		Mid-depth		1m above bottom				
		Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2			
	M1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2			
19/04/01	M2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2			
16/04/01	M3	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2			
	M4	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2			

Richard Fung

General Manager - Hong Kong



		Mid_ebb								
Date	Station	1m below Surface		Mid-	depth	1m above bottom				
		Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2			
	M1	34.6	34.6	35.0	35.0	35.2	35.2			
19/04/01	M2	35.0	35.0	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.6			
10/04/01	M3	35.2	35.2	35.5	35.5	35.4	35.4			
	M4	35.4	35.4	35.6	35.6	35.4	35.4			

Richard Fung General Manager - Hong Kong

## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

		Mid-ebb							
Date S	Station	1m below Surface		Mid-depth		1m above bottom			
		Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2	Meas. #1	Meas. #2		
	<u>M</u> 1	3.6	3.5	76.5	76.5	74.2	74.6		
18/04/01	<u>M</u> 2	3.6	3.4	7.7	7.7	18.7	19.4		
	M3	3.3	3.1	3.9	3.5	5.8	5.6		
	M4	9.2	9.3	3.7	3.4	4.3	4.5		

Richard Fung

General Manager - Hong Kong

		Mid-ebb					
Date	Station	1m below Surface	Mid-depth	1m above bottom			
	M1	4	149	135			
19/04/01	M2	5	13	29			
10/04/01	M3	3	3	5			
	M4	10	3	4			

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Prepared By

40 Richard Fung

General Manager - Hong Kong

÷ .

APPENDIX F – MONTHLY, WEEKLY AND DAILY INSPECTION FORM

Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019 Contract No. 18/8005

#### **Diver Weekly Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains**

Date & Time : Weather :

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following					
		Coordinate/	Description of	Proposed	Date of	Confirmed /	
		Locations of	Unsatisfactory	Action	Complation of	Completed By	
		Affected	Item		Action	(name and	
		Section(s)				signature)	
Geotextile	•			•			
Curtain remains intact and without gap	□ Satisfactory						
	□Unsatisfactory						
Curtain in upright position	□Satisfactory						
	□Unsatisfactory						
Curtain has no loose / flapping parts	□Satisfactory						
	□Unsatisfactory						
Curtain is securely attached at joints	□Satisfactory						
	□Unsatisfactory						
Curtain fittings (e.g. chains, bands, plates,	□Satisfactory						
joint connectors etc.) are intact and in	Unsatisfactory						
position							

#### Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019 Contract No. 18/8005

#### **Diver Weekly Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains**

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate/	Description of	Proposed	Date of	Confirmed /
		Locations of	Unsatisfactory	Action	Complation of	Completed By
		Affected	Item		Action	(name and
		Section(s)				signature)
Curtain extends to between 30cm - 50cm	□ Satisfactory					
above seabed level	□Unsatisfactory					
Ancillary Components						
Anchors are undamaged and positions	□Satisfactory					
are correct	□Unsatisfactory					
Anchor lines are properly attached to the	□ Satisfactory					
buoys / connectors of the silt curtain	□Unsatisfactory					
No parts are detached from the silt	□ Satisfactory					
curtain system	□Unsatisfactory					

Checked By : \_\_\_\_\_

Page 2 of 2

#### 淤泥屏障 - 每日視察檢查表

淤泥屏障位置:\_\_\_\_

檢查日期及時間:\_\_\_\_\_\_

	合適	不合適	備注
1. 目測工作區域外面的水質,以確保底泥污染物沒有流出			
2. 開工前目測淤泥屏障沒有損毀			
3. 淤泥屏障是否布置適當位置			
4. 淤泥屏障保持在良好狀態			

\* 檢查狀況

✓ 合適 或 ¥ 不合適

檢查員簽名:

Lamma Power Station Navigation Channel Improvement 2019 Contract No. 18/8005

#### Monthly Inspection Checklist (or Initial Check) for Silt Curtains after Lifting Up of Curtain Frame

Date & Time : \_\_\_\_\_ Weather :

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate/	Description of	Proposed	Date of	Confirmed /
		Locations of	Unsatisfactory	Action	Complation of	Completed By
		Affected	Item		Action	(name and
		Section(s)				signature)
Geotextile				•		
Curtain remains intact, without gap and	□ Satisfactory					
free of defect/ damage	□Unsatisfactory					
Curtain remains free of debris or	□Satisfactory					
acceptable clean enough to use	□Unsatisfactory					
Curtain remains proper functioning that	□ Satisfactory					
no significant mud materials allowed	□Unsatisfactory					
passing through the system						
Connection or joints between geotextile	□ Satisfactory					
and curtain frame tightly fix and free of	□Unsatisfactory					
distortion						
Sufficient material of geotextile ready for	□ Satisfactory					
use or keep on site for emergency	□Unsatisfactory					
replacement in case of damage observed						

#### Monthly Inspection Checklist (or Initial Check) for Silt Curtains after Lifting Up of Curtain Frame

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate/	Description of	Proposed	Date of	Confirmed /
		Locations of	Unsatisfactory	Action	Complation of	Completed By
		Affected	ltem		Action	(name and
		Section(s)				signature)
In case of malfunction of curtain found, rectification measures shall be done before lifting down for works						•
If defects found, new pieces of geotextile	□ Satisfactory					
with sufficient overlapping length (~0.5m)	□Unsatisfactory					
has been attached to the existing curtain						
If very serious damage found, new layer	□ Satisfactory					
of geotextile has been replaced or	□Unsatisfactory					
installed attaching to the existing curtain						
Installation of curtain has been	□ Satisfactory					
completed and checked by supervisor	□Unsatisfactory					

Checked By :

Page 2 of 2

APPENDIX G – REPLY TO EPD'S COMMENTS ON SILT CURTAIN DEPLOYMENT PLAN

#### **<u>Reply to EPD's Comments on the Silt Curtain Deployment Plan</u>** (EPD's letter ref. ( ) in EP 2/N9/C/152 Pt.3 dated 18 October 2019)

Item	EPD's Comments	<b>Reply to EPD's Comments</b>
а	Part 1: It is mentioned that the Project	A layout plan showing the locations
	involves dredging works for the navigation	involved TSHD and grab dredger
	channel as well as jetty berth region, and	operation is attached in Appendix D of
	grab dredging will be carried out near the	the revised Silt Curtain Deployment
	existing jetty structure. Please provide a	Plan.
	layout plan showing the locations involved	
	TSHD and grab dredger operation.	
b	Part 3: Since the modelling assumption of	The proposed silt curtain is a cage-type
	silt curtain efficiency in EIA study was	around the grab dredger which was used
	75%, please provide supporting	over HKE past dredging projects and
	information for verifying the efficiency of	proved to be effective in reducing
	the proposed silt curtain and quote	possible sea-water pollution from the
	successful past projects for reference.	dredging process.
		Please find attached the Report
		(Appendix E) for seawater quality
		measurement across cage-type silt
		curtain at locations inside and outside of
		the silt curtain under HKE's previous
		project. According to the measurement
		results showed in Table 3.2 of the
		Report (page 10 of the report refers), it
		concluded that the silt curtain was
		successful in containing the turbidity
		and suspended solid with average
		efficiency 8/% and 91% respectively
		from the dredging process.
		Given the proposed methodology and
		set up arrangement for silt curtain to be
		used for grab dredger is the same as
		successful past project with efficiency
		more than 75%, the modelling
		assumption of silt curtain efficiency as
		stated in EIA report is met.
		(Please refer to Part 3 of the revised Silt
		Curtain Deployment Plan)

Item	EPD's Comments	Reply to EPD's Comments
с	Part 3: Please elaborate more on "the	Part 3 of the silt curtain deployment
	membrane (silt curtain) would enclose the	plan is amended and attached. The base
	water column, with the length of	of the silt curtain shall be fixed to
	membrane to suit site conditions such as	between 30cm and 50cm above the
	tidal, current seabed, etc.". Adequate depth	seabed so that adequate depth of the silt
	of silt curtain should be given during high	curtain shall be given to cater for tidal
	tide so that the silt curtain could take effect	changes even during high tides.
	near the bottom of the seabed.	
d	Part 4, the 1st para: Please supplement the	Weekly and Daily Inspection forms to
	inspection record form for the inspection	be used are attached in Appendix F of
	and maintenance as mentioned.	the revised Silt Curtain Deployment
		Plan.
e	Part 4, the 5th para: Please add "Dredging	Para 5 of Part 4 of the silt curtain
	works will be resumed upon completion of	deployment plan is amended with
	repair works and no observation of silty	adding the statements accordingly.
	plume outside the cage" at the end.	
f	Part 4, the last para: Under adverse weather	Last para of Part 4 of the silt curtain
	or hoisting of Typhoon Signal No. 1, the	deployment plan is amended with
	silt curtain will not lifted up and folded. It	adding the statements accordingly.
	is suggested to thoroughly inspect the silt	
	curtain after these emergency situations to	
	ensure intact structure before resuming	
	dredging works.	
g	Appendix A: Please explain why "the	Similar to item c) above, details in
	length of the curtain is made less than 15m	Appendix A is amended accordingly.
	for easier used on the grab dredger" and	
	review the diagram in conjunction with the	
	above comment about the depth of the silt	
	curtain.	