# Paul Y. Construction Company, Limited

# MTR Works Contract 1117-Pat Heung Depot Modification Works

# Monthly Noise Monitoring Report for April 2016

(Version 1.0)

Certified By

Environmental Team Leader

(Dr. Priscilla Choy)

#### REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

#### CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
EX	KECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Int	roduction	1
	mmary of Construction Works undertaken during Reporting Periodvironmental Monitoring and Audit Progress	
	vironmental Womtornig and Addit Pogress	
	aste Management	
En	vironmental Site Inspection	2
	vironmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summon and Prosecutionture Key Issues	
1	INTRODUCTION	2
	rpose of the Report	
	ructure of the Report	
2	PROJECT INFORMATION	4
	ckground	
	neral Site Description	
	nstruction Programme and Activities	
	ntus of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
	mmary of EM&A Requirements	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	7
Co	nstruction Noise Monitoring	7
	onitoring Requirements	
	onitoring Equipment	
	onitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	
M(	onitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures	8
4 RF	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION EQUIREMENTS	9
5	MONITORING RESULTS	10
	ise	
Wa	aste Management	10
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION	11
	e Audits	
Im	plementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	
7	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	
	mmary of Exceedances	
	mmary of Environmental Non-Compliance	
	mmary of Environmental Complaintmmary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution	
Ծu 8	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
	y Issues in the Coming Month	
	Instruction Programme for the Next Month	

9 CONCLU	SIONS
LIST OF TAB	LES
Table I Table II Table 2.1 Table 3.1 Table 3.2 Table 3.3 Table 3.4 Table 4.1 Table 5.1 Table 6.1	Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits Construction Noise Monitoring Stations Criteria for Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise Noise Monitoring Equipment Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration Status of Required Submissions under EP Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project Site Audit Observations
LIST OF FIGU	J <b>RES</b>
Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3	Site Layout Plan Project Organization Chart for Environmental Works Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations
LIST OF APP	ENDICES
Appendix A Appendix B Appendix C Appendix D Appendix E Appendix F Appendix G Appendix H Appendix I Appendix J	Tentative Construction Programme Event and Action Plan Copies of Calibration Certificates Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule Environmental Monitoring Schedule Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations Waste Generation in the Reporting Month Site Audit Summary Summary of Exceedance Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

1. This is the 38<sup>th</sup> Monthly Noise Monitoring Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for MTR Works Contract 1117 - Pat Heung Depot (PHD) Modification Works. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 April to 30 April 2016 since major construction works for Contract 1117 commenced on 1 March 2013.

#### Summary of Construction Works undertaken during Reporting Period

- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting period include:
  - Site clearance and formation, site surveying
  - Drainage works, manholes construction
  - ABWF Works
  - Cross track ducts construction
  - Cable trough laying
  - Strengthening works for OHL Mast at Location 2
  - Hydroseeding
  - Construction of FAO Fencing
- 3. As of this reporting period, there is no record of any project changes from that originally proposed as described in the latest Environmental Review Report (ERR) for this Works Contract 1117.

#### **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress**

- 4. A summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:
  - Construction Noise Monitoring during normal weekdays

• NM1	4 times
• NM2	4 times
• NM3A	4 times
<ul> <li>Environmental Site Inspection</li> </ul>	4 times

#### **Noise**

5. 4 sets of 30-minute construction noise measurements were carried out at each of the monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period. No exceedance was recorded during the reporting period.

#### **Waste Management**

6. Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. About 302 m³ of inert C&D materials were generated during the reporting period. Non-inert C&D wastes include 0 kg of metals, 242 kg of paper/cardboard packaging materials and 80 m³ of general refuse were generated during the reporting period. The inert C&D materials generated from the Project were disposed of at TM 38 Area Fill Bank, while all non-inert waste was disposed of at NENT.

#### **Environmental Site Inspection**

7. A monthly joint environmental site inspection was carried out by the representatives of the Contractor, the IEC and the ET. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

# **Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summon and Prosecution**

8. Summary of the events and action taken and key information in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table I** and **Table II** respectively.

Table I Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month

Donomoton	No. of Ex	ceedance	A stion Taken
Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Taken
Impact Noise Monitoring	0	0	N/A

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Event	Details	Action Taken Status		Remark	
Event	Number	Nature	Action Taken	Status	Kemark	
Complaint received	0		N/A	N/A		
Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded	0		N/A	N/A		
Notifications of any summons &prosecutions	0		N/A	N/A		

#### **Future Key Issues**

- 9. Major site activities for the coming reporting month will include:
  - Site clearance and formation, site surveying
  - Drainage works, manholes construction
  - ABWF Works
  - Cross track ducts construction
  - Cable trough laying
  - Relocation and Demolition of Site Office
  - Hydroseeding and Tree Transplantation Works
  - Construction of FAO Fencing

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) is commissioned by Paul Y. Construction Company, Limited as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the MTR Works Contract 1117 –Pat Heung Depot (PHD) Modification Works (hereafter referred to "the

Project").

#### **Purpose of the Report**

1.2 This is the 38<sup>th</sup> Monthly Noise Monitoring Report which summarises the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 April to 30 April 2016 since major construction works for Contract 1117 commenced on 1 March 2013.

#### **Structure of the Report**

- 1.3 The structure of the report is as follows:
  - Section 1: **Introduction -** details the scope and structure of the report.
  - Section 2: **Project Information** summarises background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.
  - Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement summarises the monitoring parameters, monitoring programmes, monitoring methodologies, monitoring frequency, monitoring locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event / Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Review Report (ERR) and relevant environmental requirements.
  - Section 4: **Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures -** summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
  - Section 5: **Monitoring Results** summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
  - Section 6: **Environmental Site Inspection -** summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.
  - Section 7: **Environmental Non-conformance -** summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
  - Section 8: **Future Key Issues -** summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three months.
  - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

#### 2 PROJECT INFORMATION

#### **Background**

- 2.1 West Rail Line (WRL) is one of the strategic rail infrastructures in Hong Kong providing the people of Hong Kong an environmentally friendly and convenient way to travel between the western part of the New Territories and western Kowloon. Under the approved WRL Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (EIA-149/BC), it has a total length of about 30.5km with 9 stations, including Nam Cheong, Mei Foo, Tsuen Wan West, Kam Sheung Road, Yuen Long, Long Ping, Tin Shui Wai, Siu Hong, Tuen Mun and one depot at Pat Heung.
- 2.2 The EIA Report of WRL was prepared and submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) prior to the enactment of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) in1998. Since the first Environmental Permit (EP) (EP-004/1998), there have been amendments made to the permit through a number of EP variation applications related to the main line of WRL.
- 2.3 This Works Contract 1117 covers the modification works at the existing Pat Heung Depot (PHD) of WRL to meet future operational and maintenance requirements. The PHD modification works include the construction of a new train wash plant, locomotive shed, permanent way workshop, stabling sidings, extension of maintenance building and modification of noise barriers.
- 2.4 Since the modification works at PHD forms part of the WRL, a variation of environmental permit (VEP) was applied and a VEP (EP No. EP-004/1998/I) were subsequently granted. Moreover, a further Environmental Permit (FEP) (EP No: FEP-24/004/1998/I) on construction and operation of WRL (including the PHD modification works) was issued by Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) to the MTR Corporation Limited on 23 July 2012.

#### **General Site Description**

2.5 The site layout and proposed modification works are illustrated in **Figure 1**.

#### **Construction Programme and Activities**

- 2.6 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows. The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.
  - Site clearance and formation, site surveying
  - Drainage works, manholes construction
  - ABWF Works
  - Cross track ducts construction
  - Cable trough laying
  - Strengthening works for OHL Mast at Location 2
  - Hydroseeding
  - Construction of FAO Fencing

#### **Project Organisation**

- 2.7 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
  - Engineer or Engineer's Representative (ER)– MTR Corporation (MTRC)
  - Contractor's Environmental Team (Contractor's ET) Cinotech Consultants Ltd. (Cinotech)
  - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited (MIEL)
  - Contractor Paul Y. Construction Company, Limited(Paul Y)
- 2.8 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 2 of the approved EM&A Programme for PHD Modification Works.
- 2.9 The project organisation including key personnel contact names and telephone numbers is presented in **Figure 2**.

#### Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.10 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits

D 1/// N	Valid	G		
Permit / License No.	From	To	Status	
Environmental Permit (EP)				
FEP-24/004/1998/J	21/10/2013	End of the Project	Valid	
Notification pursuant to Air Pollu	tion Control (Constru	ction Dust) Regulation		
No.351534	31/10/2012	N/A	Valid	
<b>Billing Account for Construction</b>	Waste Disposal			
Account No. 7016256	2/11/2012	N/A	Valid	
Registration of Chemical Waste P	Producer			
5218-531-P2991-02	4/12/2012	N/A	Valid	
Effluent Discharge License under	Water Pollution Cont	rol Ordinance (WPCO)		
WT00015378-2013	26/3/2013	31/3/2018	Valid	
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RN0889-15				
(Area D: Location 2 OHL				
Footing and Noise Barrier	2/1/2016	1/4/2016	Expired on	
Modification near to Kam	2/1/2010	17 17 2010	1/4/2016	
Sheung Road Station) – 2 <sup>nd</sup> renewal				
GW-RN0211-16				
(Area D: Location 2 OHL				
Footing and Noise Barrier	2/4/2016	1/7/2016	37-1: 1	
Modification near to Kam	2/4/2016	1/7/2016	Valid	
Sheung Road Station) – 3 <sup>rd</sup>				
renewal				

#### **Summary of EM&A Requirements**

- 2.11 The EM&A programme under Works Contract 1117 require construction noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
  - all monitoring parameters;
  - environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit levels);
  - Event-Action Plans:
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Environmental Review Report (ERR) for the VEP (EP No. FEP-24/004/1998/I); and
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 2.12 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.
- 2.13 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely construction noise as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

#### 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

## **Construction Noise Monitoring**

#### **Monitoring Requirements**

- 3.1 Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the approved EM&A Programme for PHD Modification Works.
- 3.2 With reference to the baseline monitoring report for the Project, **Table 3.1** and **Table 3.2** summarises the location of noise monitoring stations and shows the established Action and Limit Levels for construction noise monitoring works respectively. Location of the monitoring stations is shown on **Figure 3**.

**Table 3.1** Construction Noise Monitoring Stations

ID in the approved EM&A Programme	ID in Baseline Noise Monitoring Report	Construction Noise Monitoring Station
NM1	NM1	Tourmaline Villa
NM2	NM2	Kam Po Road
NM3	NM3A <sup>(1)</sup>	Tai Kek Tsuen

Note:

(1) Since permission of access could not be obtained, an alternative location at a village house just next to the original proposed monitoring location in the EM&A Programme was adopted for the baseline noise monitoring.

Table 3.2 Criteria for Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period (1)	Noise Monitoring Station	Action Level	Limit Level, dB (A)
	Tourmaline Villa (NM1)		
0700-1900 hrs of normal weekdays	Kam Po Road (NM2)	When one documented valid complaint is received.	75.0
	Tai Kek Tsuen (NM3A)		

Note:

- (1) If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority should be followed.
- 3.3 Should non-compliance of the criteria in **Table 3.2** occur, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in **Appendix B** should be carried out.

#### **Monitoring Equipment**

3.4 **Table 3.3** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

**Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Equipment** 

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
	Svantek Model 955	1
Internating Cound Lavel Motor	(Serial no. 27455);	1
Integrating Sound Level Meter	BSWA 806	1
	(Serial no. 34467);	1
Calibrator	Pulsar Instruments Model 105	1
Cambrator	(Serial no. 51342)	1

#### **Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

3.5 **Table 3.4** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring.

**Table 3.4** Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Station	Parameter	Period	Frequency
NM1, NM2 and NM3A	$L_{eq,30 \text{ min.}}^{(1)}$ (L <sub>10</sub> and L <sub>90</sub> were also recorded as supplementary information)	0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	Once a week

Note (1): Leq, 30<sub>min.</sub> as six consecutive L<sub>eq</sub>, 5<sub>min</sub> readings.

#### Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

#### Field Monitoring

- 3.6 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
  - The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
  - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
  - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

Frequency weighting: ATime weighting: Fast

- Measurement time : 5 minutes (obtaining six consecutive L<sub>eq</sub>, 5<sub>min</sub> readings for a L<sub>eq</sub>,

30 min reading)

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter.
   Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady

- speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A façade correction of +3dB (A) shall be made to the noise parameter obtained by free field measurement.

#### Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.7 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:
  - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
  - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix C**.

# 4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the ERR, the Environmental Permit and approved EM&A Programme for PHD Modification Works. The status of submission required under the Environmental Permit is summarized in **Table 4.1**. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix D**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 4.5	Monthly Noise Monitoring Report (March 2016)	14 <sup>th</sup> April 2016

#### 5 MONITORING RESULTS

#### **Noise**

- 5.1 In this reporting period, noise monitoring during non-restricted hours was conducted as scheduled at the designated locations. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix E**.
- 5.2 The details of the monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly cloudy and sunny.
- 5.3 Based on the on-site measurement, traffic on nearby major road is considered as a noise source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results of the reporting month.
- 5.4 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

#### **Waste Management**

5.5 Waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials, non-inert C&D materials and dredging materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, chemical waste, paper/cardboard packaging materials, plastic materials and metals. Metals generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the metals were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting period are summarised in **Table 5.1**. The inert C&D materials and non-inert waste generated from the Project were disposed of at TM 38 Area Fill Bank and NENT respectively. 242 kg of paper/cardboard packaging materials were generated during the reporting period. Detail of waste management data is presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.1 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

			Quanti	ty		
		C&D Materials (non-inert) <sup>(b)</sup>				
Reporting Month	C&D Materials (inert) <sup>(a)</sup>	General Refuse	Chemical Waste	Paper/ cardboard	Plastics	Metals
April 2016	$302 m^3$	$80 \ m^3$	0 kg	242 kg	0 kg	0 <i>kg</i>

#### Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil.
- (b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse. Steel materials generated from the project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.

#### **6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION**

#### **Site Audits**

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 5, 12, 20 and 26 April 2016 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 20 April 2016. No site inspection was conducted by EPD during the reporting period. The details of observations during site audit can refer to **Table 6.1**.

#### **Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures**

- 6.3 According to the ERR, Environmental Permit and the approved EM&A Programme of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix D**.
- 6.4 During site inspections in the reporting period, no non-conformance was identified. The observations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

**Table 6.1 Site Audit Observations** 

Parameters	Date	Observations	Follow-up
	29 March 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to clear the accumulated sediment in the U channel near the vehicle entrance in Area C and provide sand bag at the pit end.	The sand bag was employed at the pit end.  Closing date: 5 April 2016
	12 April 2016	Reminder: The Contractor was reminded to ensure all the site effluent would comply with the criteria stated in discharge license before any discharge was made.	The site water was discharged on the ground within the site area for its natural evaporation.  Closing date: 20 April 2016
Water Quality	20 April 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to ensure all the effluent quality would comply with the criteria stated in discharge license prior to any discharge (M100 Road).	26 April 2016: Sedimentation tank was provided at M100 road but some of the site water was not treated by sedimentation tank.  Follow up status will be provided in the next reporting month.
	26 April 2016	Observation: Site water was observed simply treated by using sand bag for filtering. The Contractor was reminded to treat the site water by using sedimentation tank prior to any discharge was made (M100 Road).	Follow up status will be provided in the next reporting month.
Noise	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tree Protection/ Landscape and Visual	N/A	N/A	N/A
	29 March 2016	Reminder: To provide sufficient water spray to the haul road in area C and D.	The haul road was observed wet enough.  Closing date: 5 April 2016
Air Quality	20 April 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile found in Area A, Area B and M100 road with impervious material for dust suppression.	The stockpiles in Area A was covered properly and the stockpile in Area B and M100 road were removed.  Closing date: 26 April 2016

Parameters	Date	Observations	Follow-up
	20 April 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient water spray to the haul road in Area C for dust suppression.	Sufficient water spray was provided to the haul road in Area C.  Closing date: 26 April 2016
Waste /	29 March 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray to the oil drum found in Area C.	The oil drum was removed.  Closing date: 5 April 2016
Chemical Management	5 April 2016	Observation: The Contractor was reminded to remove the stockpile accumulated within the drip trays found in Area B and Area D.	The stockpile in the drip tray was cleared by the Contractor.  Closing date: 12 April 2016
Permits/ Licenses	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### 7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

#### **Summary of Exceedances**

7.1 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting period. The summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix I**.

#### **Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance**

7.2 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting period.

#### **Summary of Environmental Complaint**

7.3 No environmental Project-related complaint was received in the reporting month. The Cumulative Complaint Log since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix J**.

#### Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

7.4 There was no successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Cumulative Log for environmental summon and successful prosecution since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix J**.

#### **8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

#### **Key Issues in the Coming Month**

- 3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
  - Handling of waste water arising from drilling works and surface run-off;
  - Dust control during loading of materials and excavation;
  - Oil leakage from equipment;
  - Noise nuisance generated by on-site construction and demolition works; and
  - Protection of retained trees within construction site.
  - Maintaining the sand bags and bunding at the u-channel to prevent muddy run-off from directly accessing the main drainage channels.

#### Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

8.2 The tentative construction noise monitoring schedule for the next month is shown in **Appendix E**.

#### **Construction Programme for the Next Month**

- 8.3 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix A**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
  - Site clearance and formation, site surveying
  - Drainage works, manholes construction
  - ABWF Works
  - Cross track ducts construction
  - Cable trough laying
  - Relocation and demolition of Site Office
  - Hydroseeding and Tree Transplantation Works
  - Construction of FAO Fencing

#### 9 CONCLUSIONS

#### **Conclusions**

- 9.1 This Monthly Noise Monitoring Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 April to 30 April 2016 since major construction works for Contract 1117 commenced on 1 March 2013 in accordance with approved EM&A Programme for PHD Modification Works and the requirement under FEP-24/004/1998/I.
- 9.2 As of this reporting period, there is no record of any project changes from that originally proposed as described in the latest Environmental Review Report (ERR) for this Works Contract 1117.
- 9.3 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting period.
- 9.4 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received.

9.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

#### Recommendations

9.6 The following recommendations were made in the reporting month to the Contractor during the site audits:

#### Water Quality

• Internal drainage system should be well maintained.


#### Air Quality


- The site should be provided with sufficient water spray for dust suppression; and
- The stockpile should be covered properly.


#### Waste and Chemical Management

• Drip trays should be properly maintained;


# **FIGURES**










APPENDIX A
TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION
PROGRAMME










## APPENDIX B EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

## **Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring during Construction Phase**

Event			Ad	ction			
	ET		IEC		ER		Contractor
Action	Notify IEC, Contactor, and ER;	1.	Review the investigation	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Investigate the complaint and
Level	2. Discuss with the ER, IEC, and Contractor		results submitted by the		failure in writing;		propose remedial measures;
	on remedial measures required; and		contractor; and	2.	Notify Contractor, IEC and ET;	2.	Report the results of investigation
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to check	2.	Review and advise the	3.	Review and agree on the remedial		to the IEC, ET and ER;
	mitigation effectiveness.		ET and ER on the		measures proposed by the	3.	Submit noise mitigation proposals
			effectiveness of the		Contractor; and		to ER with copy to the IEC and ET
			remedial measures	4.	Supervise implementation of		within 3 working days of
			proposed by the		remedial measures.		notification; and
			Contractor.			4.	Implement noise mitigation
							proposals.
Limit	Notify IEC, EPD and Contractor;	1.	Check monitoring data	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Identify source and investigate
Level	2. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;		submitted by the ET;		failure in writing;		the causes of exceedance;
	3. Increase monitoring frequency;	2.	Check the Contractor's	2.	Notify Contractor, IEC and ET;	2.	Take immediate action to avoid
	4. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working		working method;	3.	In consultation with the ER and IEC,		further exceedance;
	procedures to determine possible mitigation	3.	Discuss with the ER, ET,		agree with the Contractor on the	3.	Submit proposals for remedial
	to be implemented;		and Contractor on the		remedial measures to be		actions to ER with copy to IEC
	5. Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor		potential remedial		implemented;		and ET within 3 working days;
	and ER to discuss the remedial measures		measures; and	4.	Supervise the implementation of	4.	Implement the agreed proposals;
	to be taken;	4.	Review and advise the		remedial measures; and	5.	Revise and resubmit proposals if
	6. Inform IEC, ER, EPD the causes and		ET and ER on the	5.	If exceedance continues, consider		problem still not under control;
	actions taken for the exceedances; and		effectiveness of the		what portion of the work is		and
	7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's		remedial measures		responsible and instruct the	6.	Stop the relevant portion of works
	remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and		proposed by the		Contractor to stop that portion of		as determined by the ER until the
	ER informed of the results.		Contractor.		work until the exceedance is abated.		exceedance is abated

#### APPENDIX C COPIES OF CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES





- Ergonomic and robust design
- Auto correction for temperature and barometric pressure
- Auto switch-off in normal mode and 'permanent-on' mode available
- Low battery indicator
- 94dB Sound Pressure Level
- Fits 1/2 inch international standard microphones
- 1/4 inch microphone adapter available

The Models 105 & 106 are part of the NEW generation of Pulsar Instruments acoustic calibrators that allow you to quickly check the accuracy of your sound level meter or dosemeter thus complying with International regulations and codes of practice.

Great care has been taken during the design process to ensure that the units are comfortable to hold, robust and easy to use, whilst performing accurately throughout a wide range of temperature, humidity and pressure conditions.

In use they fit over the microphone of the instrument and produce a stable 1kHz tone at 94dB, the frequency and sound level repectively, as mandated by the standard.

The Model 105 meets the precision of Class 1 of IEC 60942, while the lower cost Model 106 meets industrial Class 2.

The calibrators are powered by an easy to access single 9 volt battery giving many months or even years of operation. An automatic shut-off circuit ensures power conservation should you forget to switch the unit off after calibrating.



G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F & 20/F, Leador Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533

1



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Corf	ificato	Mo:

15CA0518 02-02

Page:

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Pulsar Instruments Ltd.

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.:

Model 105

Serial/Equipment No.:

51342

Adaptors used:

.

#### Item submitted by

Curstomer:

Paul Y. General Contractors Limited

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.; Date of receipt:

18-May-2015

Date of test:

20-May-2015

#### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Lab standard microphone Preamplifier Measuring amplifier Signal generator Digital multi-meter Audio analyzer Universal counter	Model: B&K 4180 B&K 2673 B&K 2610 DS 360 34401A 8903B 53132A	Serial No. 2341427 2743150 2346941 61227 US36087050 GB41300350 MY40003662	Expiry Date: 15-Apr-2016 22-Apr-2016 22-Apr-2016 16-Apr-2016 17-Apr-2016 16-Apr-2016	Traceable to: SCL CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI

#### Ambient conditions

Temperature:

21 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity:

60 ± 10 %

Air pressure:

1000 ± 5 hPa

#### Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
  and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156,
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3. The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013,25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

#### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942; 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions,

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate,

Approved Signatory:

Huana-Jian Min/Fena Jun Qi

Date: 21-May-2015

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

@ Solls & Materials Engineering Co , Ltd

Form No CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev D/01/03/2007



G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F. & 20/F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdaen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mall: smec@clglsmec.com Website: www.clglsmec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

15CA0518 02-02

Page:

of

2

2

1. Measured Sound Pressure Level

> The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

			(Output level in dB re 20 µPa)
Frequency	Output Sound Pressure	Measured Oulput	Estimated
Shown	Level Setting	Sound Pressure Level	Expanded Uncertainty
Hz	dB	dВ	dB
1000	94,00	93.77	0.10

#### 2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.003 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

#### 3, **Actual Output Frequency**

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.1 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2,2

#### 4. Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND ≈ 0.6 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7%

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95 %. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

End

Fung Chi Yip

Checked by:

Date:

0-May-2015

21-May-2015

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Solla & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005



G/E, 9/E, 12/E, 13/E. & 20/E, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港實育坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mall: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel : (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate I	10.:
---------------	------

15CA0518 02-01

Page

of

2

#### Item tested

Description:

Sound Level Meter (Type 1)

Microphone ACO, JAPAN

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: SVANTEK, POLAND 955

7052E 50002

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

#### Item submitted by

Customer Name:

Paul Y, General Contractors Limited

Address of Customer:

Request No.:

27455

Date of receipt:

18-May-2015

#### Date of test:

20-May-2015

#### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Multi function sound calibrator

Model: B&K 4226 Serial No. 2288444

Expiry Date: 20-Jun-2015

Traceable to: CIGISMEC CEPREI

Signal generator Signal generator

DS 360 DS 360 33873 61227

16-Apr-2016 16-Apr-2016

# CEPREI

#### Ambient conditions

Temperature:

20 ± 1 °C 60 ± 10 % 1000 ± 5 hPa

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

# Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 ٦, and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2,

replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference 3, between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

#### Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate,

Huang-Jiam Min/Feng

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

un Qi

21-May-2015

Company Chop:

The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

O Solls & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev C/01/02/2007



G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F & 20/F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.clgismec.com

Tel ; (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533

2



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

0518	02-01
	0518

Page

of

2

1, **Electrical Tests** 

> The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	Α	Pass	0.3	
	С	Pass	0.8	2.1
	Lín	Pass	1.6	2.2
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	Α	Pass	0.3	
	С	Pass	0,3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100μs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/103 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
0 0	1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0,3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

#### Acoustic tests 2,

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor	
Acoustic response	Welghting A at 125 Hz Welghting A at 8000 Hz	Pass Pass	0.3 0.5		
Response to associated sound calibrator					

3,

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%, A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Callbrated by:

ung Chi Yio Date: 20-May-2015 End

Checked by:

Date:

Lam Tze Wai 21-May-2015

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港實竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@clgismec.com Website: www.clgismec.com

Tel : (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 A CIGIS GROUP COMPANY

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type:

955 7052E Serial No.

27455

20-May-2015 Date

Microphone

type:

Serial No.

50002

Report: 15CA0518 02-01

# SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting

< 25.0

Noise level in C weighting

dΒ dB < 25.0

Noise level in Lin

< 25.0

dB

#### LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals.(SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

	Actual level		Tolerance	Devia	Deviation		
Reference/Expected level	non-integrated	Integrated		non-integrated	Integrated		
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	dB		
94.0	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
99.0	99.0	99.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
104.0	104.0	104.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
109.0	109.0	109.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
114.0	114.0	114.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
119.0	119.0	119.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
124.0	124.0	124,0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
129.0	129.0	129.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
134,0	134.0	134,0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
135.0	135.0	135.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
136.0	136.0	136.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
137.0	137.0	137.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
138.0	138.0	138.0	0.7	0.0	0,0		
139.0	139.0	139.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
140,0	140.0	140.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
89.0	89.0	89.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
84.0	84.0	84.0	0.7	0.0	0,0		
79.0	79.0	79.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
74.0	74.0	74.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
69.0	69.0	69.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
64.0	64.0	64.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
59.0	59.0	59.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
54.0	54.0	54.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
49.0	49.0	49.0	0.7	0.0	0.0		
44.0	43.9	43.9	0.7	-0.1	-0.1		



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mall: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tol: (052) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 A CIGIS GROUP COMPANY

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

Sound level mete Microphone	er type; type;	955 7052E		Serial No. Serial No.	27455 50002	Dat	e 20-Ma	y-2015
		The state of the s				Rep	ort: 15CA05	18 02-01
39.0		38.9	38.9	0.7	•	-0.1	-0.1	
34.0		33.9	33.9	0.7		-0.1	-0.1	
29.0		28.7	28.7	0.7		-0,3	-0.3	
28.0		27.6	27.6	0.7		-0.4	-0.4	***
27.0		26.5	26.5	0.7		-0.5	-0.5	
26,0		25,4	25.4	0.7		-0.6	-0.6	

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it

Other ranges	Expected level		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
25-140	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

Ranges	Reference/Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
25-140	27.0	26.5	0.7	-0.5
	138.0	138.0	0.7	0.0

#### FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting netwoks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency	weighting A:

	Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolera	nce(dB)	Devlation
1	Hz	dB	dB	dB	+		dB
,	1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
i	31.6	94.0	54.6	54.6	, 1.5	1.5	0,0
	63.1	94.0	67.8	67.8	1.5	1,5	0,0
	125.9	94.0	77.9	77.9	1.0	1.0	0.0
	251.2	94.0	85.4	85.3	1.0	1.0	-0.1
	501.2	94.0	90,8	90.7	1.0	1.0	-0.1
	1995.0	94.0	95.2	95.1	1.0	1.0	-0.1
	3981.0	94.0	95.0	95.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
I	7943.0	94.0	92.9	92.9	1.5	3.0	0.0
1	12590.0	94.0	89.7	89.6	3.0	6.0	-0.1

Frequency weighting C:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerar	rce(dB)	Deviation	1
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+		dΒ	1
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	1
31.6	94.0	91.0	91.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	1
63.1	94.0	93.2	93.1	1,5	1.5	-0.1	



## 線合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 音池黄竹坑道37 號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓香池樓數學與12/2樓。 E-mall: smec@clglsmec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 A CIGIS GROUP COMPANY

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

Sound level me	<b>.</b>	955		Serial No. Serial No.		27455 50002		20-May-2015
Microphone	type:	7052E		Seliai No.	00002		Report	15CA0518 02-01
125.9	94.0		93.8	93.8	1.0	1.0	0.0	
251.2	94.0		94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1	
501.2	94.0		94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0,0	
1995.0	94.0		93.8	93.8	1.0	1.0	0.0	
3981.0	94.0		93,2	93.2	1.0	1.0	0.0	, programme of the second
7943.0	94.0		91.0	91.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	1
12590.0	94.0		87.8	87.7	3.0	6.0	-0.1	

equency weigh Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerar	rce(dB)	Deviation
Hz	dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB
1000,0	94,0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	94.0	93,9	1.5	1.5	-0.1
63.1	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
251.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
501.2	94.0	94.0	.93,9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
1995.0	94,0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
3981.0	94.0	94.0	93,9	1.0	1,0	-0.1
7943.0	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.5	3,0	-0.1
12590.0	94.0	94.0	93.9	3.0	6.0	-0.1

## TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range (Weight A, Maximum hold) when the signal is continuous.

WHOLL HIS ORGINAL IS SOLITIVES AS	. \					
Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerar	rce(dB)	Deviation	
dB	dB	dB	+	-	dB	
127.0	126.0	126.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	İ

## TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range (Weight A, Maximum hold) when the signal is continuous.

When the signal is continuous.	(AACIBLICA II MONII					
Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level Tolerance(di		rce(dB)	Deviation	
dB	dB	dB	+	<b></b>	dB	
127.0	122.9	122.9	1.0	1.0	0.0	

## PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range. (Weighting C, set the generator signal to single, Lcpmax) Positive polarities:



## 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 吞 浩 黄 竹 坑 道 3 7 號 利 達 中 心 地 下 · 9 軁 · 1 2 樓 · 1 3 樓 及 2 0 樓 E-mail: smec@clglsmec.com Website: www.clglsmec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7633 A CIGIS GROUP COMPANY

(副(同)高層[5]()

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 4 of 5

Sound level meter type:

955

Serial No. Serial No. 27455

Date

20-May-2015

Microphone

type:

7052E

50002

Report: 15CA0518 02-01

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	l .	Tolerance	Deviation 1
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
130,0	130.0	129.8	2.0	-0.2

Negative polarities:

	Ref. level	Response to 10 ms			Deviation
	dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
1	130.0	130.0	129.8	2.0	-0.2

#### RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency:

2000 Hz

Amplitude: Burst repetition frequency: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

40 Hz

Tone burst signal;

11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz. (Set to INT)

	Ref. Level	Expected level	Tone burst signal	Tolerance	Deviation	
Time wighting	dB	₫B	indication(dB)	+/- dB	dΒ	
Slow	129,0+6,6	129.0	129.0	0.5	0.0	

#### TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency:

2000 Hz

Amplitude:

The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

, en gradian and and an adiation	O 1110,				
Ref. Level	Single burs	t Indication	Tolerance	Deviation	
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB	
131.0	122.2	122.2	2.0	0,0	

#### Repeated at 100 Hz

Ref. Level	Repeated bu	irst indication	Tolerance	Deviation	
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB	
131.0	128.3	128.2	1.0	-0.1	

#### TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst:

4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst:

1 ms

Paration of torio paroti	1 1110					
Repetition Time	Level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation	Remarks
	tone burst	Leq	Leq			
msec	dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	7
1000	108.0	108.0	107.9	1.0	-0.1	60s integ.
10000	98.0	98.0	97.9	1.0	-0.1	6min. integ,

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST



## 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F., 1/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mall: smec@clgismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com E-mail: smec@cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



A CIGIS GROUP COMPANY

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 5 of 5

Sound level meter type:

955

Serial No.

27455

20-May-2015 Date

Microphone

type:

7052E

Serial No.

50002

Report: 15CA0518 02-01

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference rar Test frequency:

4000 Hz

Integration time:

10 sec

The integrating sound level meter set to Leg:

The integraning c	Odila to to titroter			yearner or a community of the	
Duration	Rms level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
10	85.0	55.0	55.0	1.7	0.0

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

The integrating sound level motor sat to occ.							
Duration	Rms level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation		
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB		
10.0	85,0	65.0	65.0	1.7	0.0		

#### **OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST**

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency:

2000 Hz

Amplitude:

2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Burst repetition frequency:

40 Hz

Tone burst signal:

11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

Level	Level reduced by	Further reduced	Difference	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	3 dB	dB	dB	dB
135.0	134.0	131.0	3.0	1.0	0.0

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as follow The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference rar

Test frequency:

4000 Hz

Integration time:

10 sec 1 msec

Single burst	duration:	1 msec			r · '1
Rms level	Level reduced by	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	dB	dΒ	dВ	dВ
140.9	139.9	99.9	99.9	2.2	0.0

#### ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

Frequency	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerar	ice (dB)	Deviation
Hz	dB	Measured (dB)	+	-	dB
1000	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0,0
125	77.9	77.9	1,0	1.0	0.0
8000	92.9	93.9	1.5	3.0	1.0

-----END-----



## FACTORY CALIBRATION DATA OF THE BSWA 806 No. 34467

#### with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV18 No. 48940

#### 1. LINEARITY TEST\* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f sin= 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	83.0
Error [dB]	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f sin= 1000 Hz

									V	10
Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	123.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f sin= 8000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	122.0
Error [dB]	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f sin= 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	97.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f sin= 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	137.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A;  $f_{sin}$ = 8000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	136.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0

1/3 OCTAVE (1kHz); Range: Low; f sin= 1000 Hz

(/,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Nominal result [dB]	25.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	123.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0

#### 2. TONE BURST RESPONSE\*

LEVEL METER function; Characteristic: A; f sin= 4000 Hz; Burst duration: 2s

Range: Low; Steady level nominal result = 120dB

		n	1000		000	400			4.0		_ ^		^ -	0.05
Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2		0.5	0.25
	Fast	Indication [dB]	120.0	119.9	119.0	117,4	115.2	111.7	108_8	105,9	102.0	98.9	95.9	92.9
MAX	rast	Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0,1	-0.1
IVIAA	Slow	Indication [dB]	117.9	115.8	112.5	109.7	106.8	102.8	99.8	96,8	92.9	599		120
	Slow	Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0,1	-0.1	-0.1	-0,1	-0.1			
SEL	290)	Indication [dB]	120_0	117.0	113.0	110.0	107.0	103.0	100.0	97.0	93.0	89.9	86.9	83.8
SEL	-	Error [dB]	0,0	-0.0	0.0	0,0	-0.0	0.0	0,0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1

Range: Low; Steady level nominal result = 60dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2	1	0.5
	Fast	Indication [dB]	60.0	59.9	59.0	57.4	55.2	51.7	48.9	45.9	42.0	39.0	35.9
MAX	Fast	Error [dB]	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0,1
MAX	Slow	Indication [dB]	58.0	55.9	52.5	49.7	46.8	42.9	39.9	36.9	33.0		. ×
	Slow	Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	15:	
SEL		Indication [dB]	60.0	57.0	53.0	50.0	47.0	43.0	40.0	37.0	33.0	30.0	27.0
SEL	558	Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200
	Fast	Indication [dB]	35.0	34.9	34.0
MAX	rast	Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0
MAX	Slow	Indication [dB]	33.0	30.9	27.5
		Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1
SEL		Indication [dB]	35.0	32.0	28.1
SEL		Error [dB]	0.0	0,0	0.1

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 134dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2	1	0.5	0.25
	Fast	Indication [dB]	134.0	133.9	133.0	131.4	129.2	125.7	122.8	119.9	116.0	113,0	109.9	106.9
MAX	rast	Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0_0	-0.1	-0.1
IVIAA	Slow	Indication [dB]	131,9	129.9	126.5	123.7	120_8	116.9	113.9	110.9	106.9	¥1.	90	577
	Slow	Error [dB]	-0.1	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	я	34	- 3-0
SEL	40	Indication [dB]	134.0	131.0	127.0	124.0	121.0	117.0	114.0	111.0	107.0	104.0	100.9	97.9
	-	Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0,0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0,1	-0.1

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 54dB


Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50
	Fast Slow	Indication [dB]	54.0	53.9	53.0	51.4	49.2
MAX		Error [dB]	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
MAA		Indication [dB]	51.9	49.9	46.5	43.7	40.8
		Error [dB]	-0,1	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0, I
CEL		Indication [dB]	54.0	51.0	47.0	44_0	41.0
SEL	(=)	Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 45dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200
	Fast Error [dB]	Indication [dB]	45.0	44.9	44.0
3.6.4.37		Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0
MAX		Indication [dB]	43.0	40.9	37.4
		Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.2
OPI		Indication [dB]	45.0	42.0	38,1
SEL	? <b>⊕</b> 0	Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0,1

## 3. FREQUENCY RESPONSE\* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function; Characteristic: Z; Range: Low; Input signal =120 dB;



Measured Filter Response with Preamplifier SV18 (f-frequency, L-level)

f[Hz]	L [dB]	f [Hz]	L [dB]	f[Hz]	L[dB]
10	-0.1	63	0.0	4000	-0.0
12.5	0.0	125	0,0	8000	-0.0
16	0.0	250	0.0	16000	-0.0
20	0.0	500	0.0	20000	-0.0
25	0.0	1000	0.0		
31.5	0.0	2000	0.0		

All frequencies are nominal center values for the 1/3 octave bands

## **4. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL\*** (electrical - compensated)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; (Back-light - off); Calibration factor: 0dB

Characteristic	Z	A	С
Level [dB]	≤20	≤12	≤12

<sup>\*</sup> measured with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV18 No. 48940.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS**

Temperature	Relative humidity	Ambient pressure
27 °C	36%	986 hPa

#### **TEST EQUIPMENT**

Item	Manufacturer	Model	Serial no.	Description
1	SVANTEK	SVAN 401	87	Signal generator
2.	SVANTEK	SVAN 912A	6120	Sound &Vibration Analyser
3.	KEITHLEY	2000	0910165	Digital multimeter
4.	SVANTEK	SV30A	5369	Acoustic calibrator
5.	SVANTEK	ST02	(#)	Microphone equivalent electrical impedance (18pF)

#### **CONFORMITY & TEST DECLARATION**

- 1. Herewith Svantek company declares that this instrument has been calibrated and tested in compliance with the internal ISO9001 procedures and meets all specification given in the Manual(s) or respectively surpass them.
- 2. The acoustic calibration was performed using the Sound Calibrator and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard sound level calibrator type 4231 No 2292773.
- 3. The vibrational calibration was performed using the Back-to-Back Comparison method and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard accelerometer type 8305 No 1435233.
- 4. The information appearing on this sheet has been compiled specifically for this instrument. This form is produced with advanced equipment & procedures which permit comprehensive quality assurance verification of all data supplied herein.
- 5. This calibration sheet shall not be reproduced except in full, without written permission of the SVANTEK Ltd.

Calibration specialist: Hongyunt li

Test date: 2015-09-07

APPENDIX D UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		0
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
Ecology	(Const	ruction Phase)	•
S7.6.2	-	Tree Felling and Vegetation Clearance	
		Tree felling and compensatory planting will be implemented in accordance with the requirements of ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 as far as practicable.	۸
		Water Quality_	
		Good construction site practices as required in ProPECC PN1/94 will be followed as appropriate. Implementation of some good construction practices are presented as follows:	
		Containment of silt runoff within the site boundary;	^
		Appropriate storage and disposal of chemicals and chemical waste and the provision of sanitary facilities for on-site workers;	^
		Erection of temporary geo-textile silt or sediment fences/oil traps around any earth-moving works to trap any sediments and prevent them from entering watercourses;	^
		Avoidance of soil storage against trees or close to water bodies;	^
		No on-site burning of waste; and;	^
		Waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles.	^
Landsca	pe & Vi	sual (Construction Phase)	
S9.11	-	The following good site practices and measures have been recommended:	
		Re-use of Existing topsoil and fill generated from site	
		For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where possible for new planting areas within the project. The construction program shall consider using the soil	۸
		removed from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as necessary.	۸
		To maximise protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone"	
		to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor	۸
		and restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.	
		All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period.	
		Detailed tree protection specification shall be allowed for and included in the Contract Specification, which specifies the tree protection requirement,	۸
		submission and approval system, and the tree monitoring system,	
		συνιπισσίοπ από αργιοναί σχοισίπ, από μισ μέσε πιοπιίστητης σχοισίπ,	

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		<b>.</b>					
Ref.	No.							
		In addition, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent	۸					
		to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works sites.						
Table 9.7	CM1	Site Hoarding						
		Erection of solid screen during construction stage to prevent undesirable views of the construction site from visually sensitive areas.	۸					
Table 9.7	CM2	Management of facilities on work sites						
		To provide proper site management of the facilities on the sites, give control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all welfare facilities and construction plant on site to	۸					
		minimise landscape and visual impacts to adjacent VSRs and existing/retained site features.						
Table 9.7	СМЗ	Construction programme						
		Employ construction techniques which assist in streamlining construction programme, minimise the duration of plant operations. Consider prefabrication of building elements	٨					
		offsite to minimise on site works and construction period.						
Air Qual	lity							
-	-	Emission from Vehicles and Plants						
		All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	٨					
		Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke.	٨					
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra-low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD)	٨					
Constru	ction Du	ust Impact						
S6.3.3	-	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation potential dust impacts. 8-time watering per day on	*					
		exposed worksites is recommended during construction phase to further alleviate the potential construction dust impacts.						
S6.3.3	-	Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed	*					
		or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;						
		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads;	۸					
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.	٨					

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		<b>.</b> .
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from	۸
		the vehicle;	
		Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle	^
		washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore;	
		When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the	۸
		site boundary with provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly	
		maintained throughout the construction period;	
		The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;	^
		Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust	^
		suppression chemical continuously;	
		Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so	^
		as to maintain the entire surface wet;	
		Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding;	
		<ul> <li>Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting;</li> </ul>	^
		• Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface	^
		stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.	
Constru	ction Ai	rborne Noise	
S5.5.6	-	Implement the following good site practices:	
		Louvres should be orientated away from adjacent NSRs, preferably onto the main line of WRL which are less sensitive.	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		Direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosures should be allowed for in the design for the maintenance buildings, plant	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		buildings and workshops.	
		The façade and doors for these plant / workshops would have adequate sound insulation properties to minimise the noise emanating through the building fabric to	^

Appendix D - MTR Works Contract 1117–Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID						
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status				
		acceptable level.					
		Acoustic treatments such as silencer, acoustic louvers, noise barriers and acoustic enclosures should be installed for the existing equipment where necessary to minimise	۸				
		the cumulative noise impacts on the NSRs.					
Water Q	uality (C	Construction Phase)					
S12.5	-	In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction					
		phase mitigation measures shall include the following:					
		Construction Runoff and Site Drainage					
		At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and	^				
		sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be					
		provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the					
		commencement of construction.					
		The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the	۸				
		runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to					
		enhance deposition rates.					
		The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>				
		should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m <sup>3</sup> /s a sedimentation basin of 30 m <sup>3</sup> would be					
		required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m3/s the basin would be 150 m3. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the					
		commencement of construction.					
		All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of	۸				
		earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.					
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>				
		stone ballast. An additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the					
		reduction of surface sheet flows.					

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		0
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
		All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and	#
		particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas.	
		Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and	۸
		backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal	
		facilities.	
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during	۸
		rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.	
		Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being	۸
		washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.	
		Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially	
		for areas located near steep slopes.	
		All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately	۸
		designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and	
		removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the	
		public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.	
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing	
		during heavy rain.	
S12.5.1.2	-	Sewage Effluent	
		Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be	۸
		employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	
S12.5.1.3	-	Accidental Spillage	

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		<b>.</b>
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
		In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, proper storage and handling facilities should be provided. All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded	*
		and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and storm water drains. The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer	
		if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of	
		chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	
Waste M	lanagen	nent (Construction Waste)	
S11.5.1	-	A trip-ticket system should be established and will comply with the Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation to monitor the disposal of	۸
		public fill and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping.	
S11.5.1	-	C & D Material	
		Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement;	٨
		Carry out on-site sorting;	٨
		Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	٨
		Adopt "Selective Demolition" technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		possible;	
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and	۸
		Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan, which become a part of the Environmental Management Plan in accordance with "ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 –	۸
		Waste Management on Construction Site", to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.	
		• In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The Contractor shall propose the final disposal	۸
		sites to the Project Proponent and get its approval before implementation.	
S11.5.1	-	C&D Waste	
		• Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic	۸
		facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance	
		the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage.	

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID		0
Ref.	No.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
		The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or	۸
		skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel	
		reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage.	
S11.5.1	-	General Refuse	
		General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector	٨
		should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest	
		and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.	
		Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their	۸
		deposit should be provided if feasible.	
		Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be	۸
		considered by the Contractor. In addition, waste separation facilities for paper, aluminium cans, plastic bottles etc., should be provided.	
S11.5.1	-	Chemical Waste	
		Chemical waste producers should be registered with EPD. For those processes which generate chemical waste, the Contractor shall identify any alternatives that generate	
		reduced quantities or even no chemical waste, or less dangerous types of chemical waste.	
		Chemical waste should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes as follows.	
		Containers used for storage of chemical wastes should:	
		Be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed;	۸
		Have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specification have been approved by EPD; and	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>
		Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations.	۸
		The storage area for chemical wastes should:	
		Be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical wastes;	^
		Be enclosed on at least 3 sides;	^
		Have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in the	^

ERR <sup>(1)</sup>	ID	Recommended Mitigation Measures S								
Ref.	No.									
		area, whichever is greatest;								
		Have adequate ventilation;	۸							
		Be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste, if necessary); and								
		Be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated.	^							
		Disposal of chemical waste should:								
		Be via a licensed waste collector; and	۸							
		Be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the CWTC which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage	۸							
		containers; or								
		Be to a re-user of the waste, under approval from EPD.	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>							

#### Remarks:

- (1) The latest Environmental Review Report (ERR) for Pat Heung Depot Modification Works is referred in preparation of this summary.
- ^ Compliance of mitigation measure X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
  - Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
  - \* Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.
  - # Recommendation was made during site audit but not yet improved/rectified by the contractor.

N/A<sup>(1)</sup> Not Applicable

N/A<sup>(2)</sup> Not Applicable at this stage

APPENDIX E ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SCHEDULE

# Contract No. SCL 1117 Pat Heung Depot Modification Works Impact Noise Monitoring Schedule for April 2016

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
•	•	•	,	•	1-Apr	2-Apr
3-Apr	4-Apr	5-Apr	6-Apr	7-Apr	8-Apr	9-Apr
		<u>Noise</u> (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A				
10-Apr	11-Apr	12-Apr	13-Apr	14-Apr	15-Apr	16-Apr
			<u>Noise</u> (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A			
17-Apr	18-Apr	19-Apr	20-Apr	21-Apr	22-Apr	23-Apr
	Noise (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A					
24-Apr	25-Apr	26-Apr	27-Apr	28-Apr	29-Apr	30-Apr
				Noise (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A		

## **Noise Monitoring Station:**

NM1 - Tourmaline Villa NM2 - Kam Po Road NM3A - Tai Kek Tsuen

Category	Time Period	
(1)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	

## Contract No. SCL 1117 Pat Heung Depot Modification Works Tentative Impact Noise Monitoring Schedule for May 2016

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-May	2-May	3-May	4-May	5-May	6-May	7-May
		<u>Noise</u> (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A				
8-May	9-May	10-May	11-May	12-May	13-May	14-May
		<u>Noise</u> (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A				
15-May	16-May	17-May	18-May	19-May	20-May	21-May
	<u>Noise</u> (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A					
22-May	23-May	24-May	25-May	26-May	27-May	28-May
	Noise (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A					
29-May	30-May	31-May				
	Noise (1) at NM1, NM2 & NM3A					

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

## **Noise Monitoring Station:**

NM1 - Tourmaline Villa NM2 - Kam Po Road NM3A - Tai Kek Tsuen

Category	Time Period	
(1)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	

APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS


## Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

Location NM1 - Tourmaline Villa												
					Unit	: dB (A) (30-min)						
Date	Time	Weather	Meas	Measured Noise Level			Construction Noise Level					
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>					
5-Apr-16	14:07	Sunny	59.7	56.4	46.9		59.7 Measured ≤ Baseline					
13-Apr-16	14:08	Cloudy	61.8	58.6	50.4	61.2	52.9					
18-Mar-16	13:16	Cloudy	61.8	58.7	48.9	] 01.2	52.9					
28-Mar-16	9:56	Sunny	60.3	57.6	46.3		60.3 Measured ≤ Baseline					

Location NM2	Location NM2 - Kam Po Road												
					Unit:	dB (A) (30-min)							
Date	Time	Weather	Meas	sured Noise	Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level						
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>						
5-Apr-16	13:24	Sunny	59.5	59.1	50.3		59.5 Measured ≤ Baseline						
13-Apr-16	13:23	Cloudy	58.4	59.3	51.1	61.3	58.4 Measured ≤ Baseline						
18-Mar-16	11:13	Cloudy	61.8	60.3	48.2	01.0	52.2						
28-Mar-16	10:33	Sunny	62.5	57.6	46.4		56.3						

Location NM3	ocation NM3A - Tai Kek Tsuen												
					Unit:	dB (A) (30-min)							
Date	Time	Weather	Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level						
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>						
5-Apr-16	14:56	Sunny	71.2	62.8	52.1		69.3						
13-Apr-16	14:51	Cloudy	65.2	66.4	57.2	66.7	65.2 Measured ≤ Baseline						
18-Mar-16	13:55	Cloudy	70.5	62.9	54.7	00.7	68.2						
28-Mar-16	9:04	Sunny	61.4	59.2	53.2		61.4 Measured $\leq$ Baseline						

App F - Noise Cinotech



## APPENDIX G WASTE GENERATION IN THE REPORTING MONTH

## Paul Y. Construction Company, Limited MTR Contract 1117 Pat Heung Depot Modification Works

## **Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016 (year)**

		Actual Qu	antities of Inc	ert C&D Mat	erials Genera	ted Monthly		Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed to Sorting Facilities	Disposed to Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in kg)	(in kg)	(in kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan '16	0.162	-	-	-	0.000	0.162	-	-	340	-	-	0.020
Feb '16	0.398	-	-	-	0.010	0.388	-	2.07	274	-	-	0.000
Mar '16	0.606	-	-	-	0.003	0.603	-	-	221	-	-	0.007
Apr '16	0.302	-	-	-	0.048	0.254	-	-	242	-	-	0.080
May '16												
Jun '16												
Sub-total	1.468				0.061	1.407		2.070	1077			0.108
Jul '16												
Aug '16												
Sep '16												
Oct '16												
Nov '16										-		
Dec '16												
Total	1.468				0.061	1.407		2.070	1077.000			0.108

Note:

Assume the densities of Rock, Soil, Mix Rock and Soil are Regular Spoil to be 2.0 tonnes/m3. Assumption the densities of general refuse is 1.0 tonnes/m3

## APPENDIX H SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

**Inspection Information** 

Checklist Reference Number	160405
Date	5 April 2016 (Tuesday)
Time	0900-1100

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations  Part B - Water Quality  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	Related Item No.
<b>;</b>	Part C - Tree Management Protection / Landscape & Visual Impact  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D - Air Quality  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Construction Noise Impact  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
160405-O01	Part F - Waste/Chemical Management  The Contractor was reminded to remove the stockpile accumulated within the drip trays found in Area B and Area D.	F 9
	Part G - Permit/Licenses  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H Remark  • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:160329), all the environmental deficiencies were rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	May	5 April 2016
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WA	5 April 2016

CINOTECH MA13003 160405\_audit

**Inspection Information** 

Checklist Reference Number	160412
Date	12 April 2016 (Tuesday)
Time	0900-1100

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	••

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations  Part B - Water Quality	Related Item No.
160412-R01	The Contractor was reminded to ensure all the site effluent would comply with the criteria stated in discharge license before any discharge was made.	B 5
	Part C - Tree Management Protection / Landscape & Visual Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H Remark	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:160405), all the environmental deficiencies were rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	1/44	12 April 2016
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WI	12 April 2016

CINOTECH MA13003 160413\_audit

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	160420
Date	20 April 2016 (Wednesday)
Time	1400-1630

	Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	R	elated Item No.
ſ		None identified		<b></b>

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
	Part B - Water Quality	D. 5
160420-001	The Contractor was reminded to ensure all the effluent quality would comply with the criteria stated in discharge license prior to any discharge (M100 Road).	В 5
<u> </u>	Part C - Tree Management Protection / Landscape & Visual Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
160420-O02	• The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile found in Area A, Area B and M100 road with impervious material for dust suppression.	D7
160420-O03	The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient water spray to the haul road in Area C for dust suppression.	D 6
	Part E - Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H Remark	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:160412), all the environmental deficiencies were rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	8ignature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	Mat	20 April 2016
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WEN	20 April 2016

**Inspection Information** 

Checklist Reference Number	160426
Date	26 April 2016 (Tuesday)
Time	0900-1100

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
<u></u>	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations  Part B - Water Quality	Related Item No.
160426-O01	Site water was observed simply treated by using sand bag for filtering. The Contractor was reminded to treat the site water by using sedimentation tank prior to any discharge was made (M100 Road).	В5
	Part C - Tree Management Protection / Landscape & Visual Impact  No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H - Remark • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:160420), the item 160420-O01	
	was remarked as 160426-O01.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	200	26 April 2016
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WA	26 April 2016

## APPENDIX I SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

## APPENIDX I – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

**Reporting Month:** April 2016

a) Exceedance Report for Noise Monitoring (NIL)

APPENDIX J CUMULATIVE LOG FOR COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

## Appendix J - Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

**Cumulative Complaint Log** 

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Complainant/ Date of Contact	Details of Complaint	Investigation/ Mitigation Action	File Closed

**Cumulative Log for Notifications of Summons** 

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement

**Cumulative Log for Successful Prosecutions** 

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since the commencement of the project