

**Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing Report for**  
**Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development**  
**at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229,**  
**Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung**

**October 2013**

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**

Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre,  
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2556 9172                      Fax: 2856 2010

## APPROVAL SHEET

The Contents of this report have been

Certified by:


ETL (Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited)

Signature:   
Ms. Goldie Fung  
(Environmental Team Leader)

Date: 3-DEC-2013

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature:   
Mr. Tony Cheng  
(IEC)

Date: 4 Dec 2013

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Project Information.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Project Organization.....</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>3.1 Key personal contact information chart.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Construction Stage .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>4.1 Construction Activities in Reporting Period .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>4.2 Construction Activities for Coming Months .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>4.3 Environmental Status.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Noise Monitoring .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>5.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>5.2 Monitoring Equipment .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>5.3 Monitoring Locations.....</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>5.4 Monitoring Frequency .....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation .....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>5.6 Action and Limit Level for Construction noise .....</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Water Monitoring.....</b>	<b>14</b>
	<b>6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology.....</b>	<b>14</b>
	<b>6.2 Monitoring Equipment .....</b>	<b>14</b>
	<b>6.3 Monitoring Locations.....</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>6.4 Monitoring Frequency .....</b>	<b>17</b>
	<b>6.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation .....</b>	<b>17</b>
	<b>6.6 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality .....</b>	<b>18</b>
	<b>6.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Air Quality Monitoring.....</b>	<b>25</b>
	<b>7.1 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters .....</b>	<b>25</b>
	<b>7.2 Monitoring Equipment .....</b>	<b>25</b>
	<b>7.3 Monitoring Locations.....</b>	<b>26</b>
	<b>7.4 Monitoring Frequency .....</b>	<b>28</b>
	<b>7.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation .....</b>	<b>28</b>
	<b>7.6 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP .....</b>	<b>29</b>
	<b>7.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Ecology.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Action Taken in Event of Exceedance.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Construction Waste Disposal .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Status of Permits and Licenses .....</b>	<b>38</b>

<b>12</b>	<b>Compliant Log</b> .....	39
<b>13</b>	<b>Site Environmental Audits</b> .....	40
	<b>13.1 Site Inspection</b> .....	40
	<b>13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement</b> .....	40
	<b>13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures</b> ...	40
<b>14</b>	<b>Future Key Issues and Recommendations</b> .....	41
<b>15</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	42

### **LIST OF APPENDIXES**

Appendix A: Construction Master Programme and Site Location Plan

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact Information Chart

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Appendix F: Air Quality Monitoring Data

Appendix G: Complaint Report.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is the fourteen monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd. This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> of October 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> of October 2013. No construction activities were carried out during this reporting period.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the Particular Specifications (PS). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections and photos records were kept.

Noise, water quality and air quality monitoring were performed during the reporting period. Results were compared with the established Action/Limit (A/L) level.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 1 number of exceedance was recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, it was believed that the exceedance record at W2 was caused by natural fluctuation, since the records of SS at control station has been recorded relatively high. Therefore, the exceedance record at W2 was unlikely to be related to this project.

Furthermore, impact monitoring for air quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedance were recorded in this reporting period. for the non-compliance events, it was believed that the exceedances were caused by other construction activities, since the drainage diversion works have been completed.

A compliant was received on 22 August 2013 regarding the complaint o the air and noise pollution. Environmental Team has received the formal complaint letter issued by EPD on 2 October 2013. Routine site inspection was carried out to inspect the site conditions by Environmental Team.

It is expected that noise, water quality and air quality impacts may be resulted from the site works. ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary and to keep a good environmental management at site practice. The recommended mitigation measures proposed for the project as well as implementation status can refer to section 13.3.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the PS and Environmental Permit requirement.

## **1 Introduction**

This is the fourteen Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd.. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Hip Seng Construction Company Limited To prepare the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1<sup>st</sup> of October 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> of October 2013. This report included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, air quality monitoring and regular site inspections for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (FEP-02/428/2011/A) (EP), PS and the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan (EMP).


## **2 Project Information**

Construction works of “Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung” project has been commenced in Sep 2012 and is expected to completed by November 2013. Construction master program is shown in **Appendix A**.

### 3 Project Organization

The Main Contractor, Hip Seng Construction Company Limited, has commissioned Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited as the Environmental Team, which comprises the environmental team leader, the environmental technicians to undertake the environmental monitoring and audit work for this project.

The Environmental management structure is shown in Fig. 3.1



#### 3.1 Key personal contact information chart

Detail contact of key persons involved in environmental aspect of the project is shown in **Appendix B**.



## **4 Construction Stage**

### **4.1 Construction Activities in Reporting Period**

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

- N/A

### **4.2 Construction Activities for Coming Months**

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

- General site clearance
- CCTV inspection for concrete pipe at Zone B, M and L

### **4.3 Environmental Status**

The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 5.3, 6.3, and 7.3 for noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, and air quality monitoring respectively.

## **5 Noise Monitoring**

### **5.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology**

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq(30minutes)}$  was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period,  $L_{eq(5minutes)}$  was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 5.7.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

### **5.2 Monitoring Equipment**

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding  $5ms^{-1}$  or wind with gust exceeding  $10ms^{-1}$ . Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 5.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 5.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Qty
Integrated sound level meter	SVAN 955 & S/N: 27302	IEC 651 Type 1 IEC 804 Type 1	1
Acoustical calibrator	BSWA CA111 & S/N: 490239	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter and acoustical calibrator are given in <b>Appendix C</b> for reference			

### 5.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the PS, impact noise monitoring was undertaken at four locations during the construction phase of the project. The monitoring locations are summarized in Table 5.3.1 and are shown in Figure 5.3.1.

Noise measurement for N4 location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view, so that façade measurement was made for monitoring location N4 and the free field measurements were made for monitoring locations N1, N2 and N3.

Table 5.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Identification No.	Noise Monitoring Locations
N1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
N2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

In accordance with the requirements in the PS, weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of  $L_{eq(30minutes)}$  was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive  $L_{eq(5minutes)}$  would be carried out.




Figure 5.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

## 5.4 Monitoring Frequency

The regular monitoring for each location was performed on a basis of once in every 6 days.

Monitoring was carried out on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of October 2013.

## 5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 5.5.1. The results of N1 ranged between 60.5dB (A) and 65.7dB (A), N2 ranged between 59.2dB (A) and 64.8dB (A), N3 ranged between 65.3dB (A) and 72.1dB (A) and N4 ranged between 65.3dB (A) and 73.5dB (A) were within the limit levels and therefore no exceedance was found.

Location	Parameter	Date	Time	L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather
*N1	Leq30min	3-Oct-13	14:52	62.6	75	N	Sunny
*N1	Leq30min	8-Oct-13	9:40	64.6	75	N	Sunny
*N1	Leq30min	12-Oct-13	9:02	64.7	75	N	Sunny
*N1	Leq30min	18-Oct-13	9:02	60.5	75	N	Sunny
*N1	Leq30min	24-Oct-13	9:06	65.7	75	N	Sunny
*N1	Leq30min	30-Oct-13	9:01	65.5	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	3-Oct-13	14:15	63.2	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	8-Oct-13	10:15	59.9	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	12-Oct-13	9:37	64.8	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	18-Oct-13	9:39	64.5	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	24-Oct-13	9:43	59.5	75	N	Sunny
*N2	Leq30min	30-Oct-13	9:37	59.2	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	3-Oct-13	13:39	66.1	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	8-Oct-13	10:52	65.7	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	12-Oct-13	10:15	67.1	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	18-Oct-13	10:13	65.3	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	24-Oct-13	10:17	69.4	75	N	Sunny
*N3	Leq30min	30-Oct-13	10:15	72.1	75	N	Sunny

N4	Leq30min	3-Oct-13	13:04	65.7	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	8-Oct-13	11:28	73.5	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	12-Oct-13	10:51	66.5	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	18-Oct-13	10:49	67.4	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	24-Oct-13	10:50	71.5	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	30-Oct-13	10:52	65.3	75	N	Sunny

\*The equivalent noise level of N1, N2 and N3 is corrected by +3dB(A).

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

### 5.6 Action and Limit Level for Construction noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 5.6.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

<b>Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise at All Sensitive Receivers</b>		
<b>Time Period</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Limit</b>
Daytime 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)*
1900 – 2300 on all days and 0700 – 2300 on general holidays (including Sundays)		60/65/70 dB(A)**
2300 – 0700 on all days		45/50/55 dB(A)**

Table 5.6.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

<b>EVENT</b>	<b>ET Leader</b>	<b>IEC</b>	<b>ER</b>	<b>CONTRACTOR</b>
Exceedance for one sample in Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform ER, IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rectify any unacceptable practice.</li> <li>2. Amend working methods if appropriate.</li> </ol>

<p>Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples in Action Level.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions.</li> <li>6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER.</li> <li>7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method.</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures.</li> <li>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor.</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>3. Amend proposals if appropriate.</li> </ol>
--	--	---	---	--



<p>Exceedance for on sample in Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</li> <li>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor.</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples in Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented.</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions.</li> <li>2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor.</li> <li>3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented.</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated remedial</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control.</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>
--	---	---	--	---

	Informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring			
--	--	--	--	--

### **5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period**

Noise monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 5<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of November 2013.

## **6 Water Monitoring**

### **6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology**

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, water depth and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

### **6.2 Monitoring Equipment**

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-1000NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in **Appendix C** for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

Since water depths for all monitoring stations were less than 0.5m during the impact measurement period, only mid-depth level was monitored. The

monitoring parameters and measurement methods of water quality monitoring are summarized in Table 6.2.1.

<b>Table 6.2.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Measurement Methods</b>	
<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Measurement Method</b>
Temperature (°C)	<i>in-situ</i>
Turbidity (NTU)	
pH	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L and %)	
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Reference method APHA 2540D

### 6.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the PS, monitoring stations were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 6.3.1.

<b>Table 6.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Locations</b>		
<b>Monitoring Station</b>	<b>Coordinates</b>	
	<b>Easting</b>	<b>Northing</b>
W1 (upstream)	E:844944	N:821720
W2 (downstream)	E:844959	N:822249

As illustrated in Figure 6.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

In accordance with the PS, measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.



Figure 6.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

## 6.4 Monitoring Frequency

Water quality monitoring for each monitoring station was performed at mid-flood or mid-ebb tides for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring was carried out on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> of October 2013.

## 6.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out thirteen times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in Appendix E. Table 6.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month.

There was 1 number of exceedance (SS) was recorded in this reporting period as shown in Table 6.5.2. ET has arranged site investigation for the abnormal incidents on the same day and found that no construction activities had been carried out at the river bed. It was believed that the exceedance of water quality was not affected by the construction activities. According to the site investigation, we believed that the exceedance record at W2 was caused by natural fluctuation. Therefore, the exceedance record at W2 was unlikely to be related to this project.

	Average of Monitoring Results					
	<i>Temperature</i> (°C)	<i>Turbidity</i> (NTU)	<i>pH</i>	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (mg/L)	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (%)	<i>Suspended</i> <i>Solids</i> (mg/L)
W1	25.9	4.7	8.03	7.86	82.6	11.3
W2	26.1	4.4	8.08	8.02	84.7	8.69

Table 6.5.2 1 number of exceedance during the reporting month

Date	Location	Parameter		Interpretations
		SS (mg/l)	Exceedance	
12/10/2013	W1	18.0	N/A	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
	W2	21.0	Limit level	

## 6.6 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in PS (Table 6.6.1) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 6.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for the project. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 6.6.3 should be taken.

Total 1 number of exceedance was recorded during the reporting period. The site inspection for the exceedance was carried out on the same day. We found that the exceedance of water quality at W2 was not to be related to the project.

Table 6.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations		
Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L or 1 percentile of baseline data
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day
Turbidity in NTU	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity recorded on the same day	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity recorded on the same day
pH	<6.5 or >8.4 or > the upstream control station's pH recorded on the same day	<6.0 or >9.0



**Table 6.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations**

Parameters	Monitoring Stations	
	W2	
	Action Level	Limit Level
DO in mg/L	6.42	6.24
SS in mg/L	18.9	19.8
Turbidity in NTU	6.2	6.2
pH	<6.5 or >8.4	<6.0 or >9.0

**Remarks:**

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For SS and Turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. For pH, for the action level, reference is made to the data recorded at EPD' river monitoring stations at the nearby Tseng Lan Shue Stream (JR3, JR6 and JR22) from year 2006 to 2010; while the limit level is referring to the water quality objective for Inland Water of Junk Bay Water Control Zone.

Table 6.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>ACTION LEVEL</b>				
Exceedance for one sample day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-site measurement to confirm findings.</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact.</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods.</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented.</li> <li>3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing.</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice.</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment.</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods.</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER.</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>

<p>Exceedance for more than one consecutive sampling days</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings.</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact.</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods.</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor.</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.</li> <li>7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.</li> <li>3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing.</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice.</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment.</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods.</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures within three working days.</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
---	---	---	--	---

<b>LIMIT LEVEL</b>				
Exceedance for one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings.</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact.</li> <li>3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor.</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor.</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures.</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods.</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing.</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice.</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment.</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods.</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days.</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Exceedance for more than on	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm</li> </ol>

<p>consecutive sampling days</p>	<p>findings.                  2. Identify source(s) of impact.                  3. Inform EPD, IEC and Contractor.                  4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods.                  5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor.                  6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.                  7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.</p>	<p>on the mitigation measures.                  2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.                  3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures.                  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods.                  3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.                  4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.                  5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the</p>	<p>notification of the non-compliance in writing.                  2. Rectify unacceptable practice.                  3. Check all plant and equipment.                  4. Consider changes of working methods.                  5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days.                  6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.                  7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the work or construction activities.</p>
----------------------------------	---	--	--	--

			work until no exceedanc e of Limit Level.	
--	--	--	---	--

### **6.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period**

Water quality monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of November 2013.

## 7 Air Quality Monitoring

### 7.1 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters

1-hr and 24-hrs air quality monitoring have been carried out during the reporting period.

Measurements of 1-hr TSP monitoring were taken by a Dust Trak aerosol monitor or its equivalent that is a portable and battery-operated laser photometer capable of performing real time 1-hr TSP measurements.

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. The filter papers for each monitoring locations were sent to the accredited HOKLAS laboratory for further analysis.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, weather conditions and any other special phenomena at the construction site were recorded during the measurement period.

### 7.2 Monitoring Equipment

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. And the 3 sets of 1-hr TSP were carried out by the portable dust meter. The measurement equipments are listed in Table 7.2.1 and Calibration Certificates of the equipments are shown in **Appendix C**.

Table 7.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipments

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Parameter	Qty
Laser Dust Monitor	1. AM510 2. SIBATA/LD-3B	1-hr TSP	4
High Volume Sampler	TE-5025A	24-hrs TSP	4

### 7.3 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring locations were established at 4 locations, which are summarized in Table 7.3.1 and are shown in Figure 7.3.1

Table 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

Identification No.	TSP Monitoring Locations
D1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
D2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung






Figure 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

## 7.4 Monitoring Frequency

For 1-hr and 24-hrs TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least once in every six days.

Monitoring was carried out on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of October 2013.

## 7.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP were carried out during this reporting. There were 4 numbers of exceedance (24-hrs TSP) were recorded in this reporting period as shown in Table 7.5.3. It was believed that the exceedances of air quality were affected by other construction activities, since the drainage diversion works have been completed and no construction activities related to the project. Therefore, the exceedance records were unlikely to be related to this project.

1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.1.

Location	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) (Min – Max)	Average ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
D1	48.0-264.0	123.9
D2	51.0-242.0	116.7
D3	46.0-279.0	133.1
D4	54.0-242.0	118.7

24-hrs TSP monitoring data was obtained at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.2.

Location	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) (Min – Max)	Average ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
D1	42.4-109.5	71.4
D2	57.6-121.5	80.6
D3	92.1-239.7	159.9
D4	68.3-161.3	117.6

Details of the monitoring data were presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 7.5.3 4 numbers of exceedance during the reporting month

Date	Location	Parameter		Interpretations
		24- Hrs TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Exceedance	
3/10/2013	D3	214.8	Action Level	Exceedances were caused by other construction activities, which are out of the scope of project.
18/10/2013	D3	158.7	Action Level	
24/10/2013	D3	239.7	Action Level	
24/10/2013	D4	161.3	Action Level	

## 7.6 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 7.6.1 and Table 7.6.2, which would be applied for compliance assessment of air quality for this project. If the air quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 7.6.3 should be taken.

Total 4 numbers of exceedance were recorded during the reporting period. It was believed that the exceedances of air quality were not to be related to the project.

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	1-hr	285.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D2		279.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D3		289.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D4		284.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	24-hrs	156.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D2		153.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D3		155.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D4		158.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Table 7.6.3 Event and action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>ACTION LEVEL</b>				
Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the cause s of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform ER , IEC and	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice. 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.

	<p>Contractor.</p> <p>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</p> <p>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</p>			
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<p>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</p> <p>2. Inform IEC and Contractor.</p> <p>3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings</p> <p>4. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily.</p> <p>5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions.</p> <p>6. If exceedance continues, arrange</p>	<p>1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET.</p> <p>2. Check Contractor's working method.</p> <p>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on Possible remedial measures.</p> <p>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</p> <p>5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.</p> <p>2. Notify Contractor .</p> <p>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented</p>	<p>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</p> <p>2. Implement the agreed proposals.</p> <p>3. Amend proposal if appropriate.</p>

	meeting with IEC and ER			
	7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			
<b>LIMIT LEVEL</b>				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the result.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method</li> <li>3. Discuss with Contractor on possible remedial measures</li> <li>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor.</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>
Exceedance	1. Identify	1. Discuss	1. Confirm	1. Take

<p>for two or more consecutive samples</p>	<p>source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented.</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken.</li> <li>7. Assess</li> </ol>	<p>amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Reviews Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Supervisor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<p>receipt of notification of failure in writing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Notify Contractor .</li> <li>3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented.</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the</li> </ol>	<p>immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control.</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>
--	---	--	--	---

	effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring		Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated remedial actions.	
--	---	--	--	--

### 7.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period

1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 5<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of November 2013.



## **8 Ecology**

During the reporting period, tree protection measures have been implemented by contractor, such as provision of tree protective fencing for the retained trees. The tree protection zone has enough space to prevent the construction activities to damage the trees.

As the drainage diversion works have been almost completed. The water flow in the existing river within the site has been maintained.

## **9 Action Taken in Event of Exceedance**

If the measurements (Noise, Water and Air) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise measurements recorded. Therefore, no actions were taken.

For water quality monitoring, total 1 number of exceedance (TSS) was recorded in this reporting month in accordance with the established level. ET has arranged site investigations for the exceedance on the same day and found that no construction activities had been carried out at the river bed. It was believed that the exceedance of water quality was not affected by the construction activities. According to the site investigation, we believed that the exceedance record at W2 was caused by natural fluctuation. Therefore, the exceedance record at W2 was unlikely to be related to this project.

For the air quality monitoring, total 4 numbers of exceedance (24-hrs TSP) were recorded in this reporting period in accordance with the established level. As the drainage diversion works have been completed, there is no construction activities related to the project. It was believed that the exceedances were caused by other construction activities.

## **10 Construction Waste Disposal**

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 10.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 10.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Sep 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov 12	2.77	0	0	0	2.77	0	0	0	0	0	0.031
Dec 12	3.70	0	0	0	3.70	0	0	0	0	0	0.006
Jan 13	43.89	0	0	0	43.89	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb 13	68.93	0	0	0	68.93	0	0	0	0	0	0.016
March 13	55.30	0	0	0	55.30	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
April 13	23.40	0	0	0	23.40	0	0	0	0	0	0
May 13	13.50	0	0	0	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	7.6
June 13	4.09	0	0	0	4.09	0	9.66	0	0	0	4.63
July 13	3.05	0	0	0	3.05	0	0	0	0	0	0.009
Aug 13	3.50	0	0	0	3.50	0	0	0	0	0	16.79
Sep 13	9.25	0	0	0	9.25	0	0	0	0	0	26.71
Oct 13	22.4	0	0	0	22.4	0	0	0	0	0	23.7
Total	253.78	0	0	0	253.78	0	9.66	0	0	0	86.262
Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from the Contract											
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	581	1.67	180	0	239	0	0	0	0	0	240

## 11 Status of Permits and Licenses

Table 11.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 11.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained					
Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Site	Date of expiry	Status
EP	EP-428/2011	4 November 2011	Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung	N/A	Superseded by VEP
EP	EP-428/2011/A	1 June 2012			Valid
FEP	FEP-01/428/2011/A	9 July 2012			Surrendered on 28 Nov 2012
FEP	FEP-02/428/2011/A	26 November 2012			Valid
Discharge License	WT00014162-2012	18 October 2012			Valid
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	349704	27 Sep 2012			Valid
Waste Disposal	7016348	16 Nov 2012			Valid
Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	349519	4 Sep 2012			Valid

## 12 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, no follow up actions for the environmental complaint is required.

Table 12.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received				
	Noise	Water	Air	Others
Year 2012	0	0	0	0
January 2013	0	0	0	0
February 2013	0	0	0	0
March 2013	1	0	1	0
April 2013	0	0	0	0
May 2013	0	0	0	0
June 2013	0	0	0	0
July 2013	0	0	0	0
August 2013	0	0	0	0
September 2013	0	0	0	0
October 2013	1	0	1	0
Total	2	0	2	0

A complaint was received on 22 August 2013 regarding the complaint on the air and noise pollution. Environmental Team has received the formal complaint letter issued by EPD on 2 Oct 2013. Routine site inspection was carried out by Environmental Team.

During the site inspection, proper mitigation measures on dust and noise impact were implemented by contractor. ET has also analyzed the monitoring data and repeated the measurements. The detailed information is shown in **Appendix G**.

## 13 Site Environmental Audits

### 13.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of October 2013. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 13.1.

Table 13.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
3, 8, 18, 23 & 30 October 2013	No major environmental deficiency was observed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement

There was one complaint regarding the noise and air pollution recorded during this reporting month.

### 13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

Contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit.

#### **14 Future Key Issues and Recommendations**

According to the forecasted site activities, key environmental issues to be considered should at least include the following items:

- Site water control and relevant protective measures
- Dust suppression

Tree protective measures for tree planting and transplanting should be implemented such as tree protection zone and regular watering.

## **15 Conclusions**

No construction activities were carried out during this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 23<sup>rd</sup> of October 2013.

No exceedance was recorded for Noise during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 1 number of exceedance was recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, ET has arranged site investigation for the abnormal incidents on the same day and found that no construction activities had been carried out at the river bed and could disturb the water quality. It was believed that the exceedance of water quality was not affected by construction activities. According to the site investigation, we believed that the exceedance record at W2 was affected by natural fluctuation. Therefore, the exceedance record at W2 was unlikely to be related to this project.

Impact monitoring for air quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedance were recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, it was believed that the exceedances did not relate to the project.

Also, there was one formal complaint recorded regarding the complaint on air and noise pollution. Action level exceedance of noise monitoring was considered in accordance with Action/Limit level criteria.

ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary, and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the PS and Environmental Permit requirements.



# **Appendix A**

Construction Master Programme and Site Location Plan

















# **Appendix B**

## **Key Personal Contact Information Chart**

### Key Personal Contact Information Chart

<b>Organization Name</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Telephone</b>	<b>Fax Number</b>
ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Mr. Tong Cheng	3465 2888	3465 2899
Hip Seng Construction Company Limited	Main Contractor	Mr. Martin Wong	3110 2608	3110 2606
Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited	Environmental Team (ET)	Ms. Goldie Fung	2556 9172	2856 2010

# **Appendix C**

## Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28553**

Page 1 of 5 Pages

**Customer :** Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

**Address :** Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

**Order No. :** Q23300

**Date of receipt :** 11-Dec-12

## Item Tested

**Description :** Sound Level Meter

**Manufacturer :** SVAN

**Model :** 955

**Serial No. :** 27302

## Test Conditions

**Date of Test :** 8-Jan-13

**Supply Voltage :** --

**Ambient Temperature :** (23 ± 3)°C

**Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

## Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

## Test Results


All results were within the IEC 61672 Type1, IEC 1260 Class1 and manufacturer's specification.  
The results are shown in the attached page(s).


Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C127181	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	28588	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).  
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

**Calibrated by :**   
P. F. Wong

**Approved by :**   
Dorothy Cheuk

**Date:** 8-Jan-13



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 28553

Page 2 of 5 Pages

Results :

1. Self-generated noise: 2.0 dBA (Mfr's Spec (Electrical)  $\leq 14$  dBA)

2. Acoustical signal test

UUT Setting				Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	1/1 Octave Filter		Before adjust	After adjust
25-120	A	F	OFF	94.0	--	93.5
		S	OFF		--	93.5
	C	F	OFF	--	93.5	
	A	F	OFF	114.0	--	113.9
		S	OFF		--	113.9
	C	F	OFF	--	113.9	
	A	F	ON	94.0	--	93.5
	A	F	ON	114.0	--	113.9
45-139	A	F	OFF	94.0	*91.6	93.5
		S	OFF		--	93.5
	C	F	OFF	--	93.5	
	A	F	OFF	114.0	--	113.9
		S	OFF		--	113.9
	C	F	OFF	--	113.9	
	A	F	ON	94.0	--	93.5
	A	F	ON	114.0	--	113.9

Mfr's Spec. :  $\pm 0.7$  dB

Uncertainty :  $\pm 0.1$  dB

3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.5	- 39.4 dB, $\pm 2$ dB
63 Hz	-26.5	- 26.2 dB, $\pm 1.5$ dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, $\pm 1.5$ dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, $\pm 1$ dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, $\pm 1.4$ dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, $\pm 1.1$ dB
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, $\pm 1.6$ dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB, $\pm 1.6$ dB
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB, + 2.1 dB $\sim$ -3.1 dB
16 kHz	-6.9	- 6.6 dB, + 3.5 dB $\sim$ -17.0 dB

Uncertainty :  $\pm 0.1$  dB



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 28553

Page 3 of 5 Pages

## 4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

### 4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	93.5 (Ref.)	--	± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	93.5	0.0	

### 4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	93.5 (Ref.)	--	± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	93.5	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	93.5	0.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

## 5. Level linearity on the reference level range

UUT Range	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
140 dB (Ref Level)	137.0	136.5	0.0	± 1.1 dB
	136.0	135.5	0.0	
	135.0	134.5	0.0	
	134.0	133.5	0.0	
	129.0	128.5	0.0	
	124.0	123.5	0.0	
	119.0	118.5	0.0	
	114.0	113.5	0.0	
	109.0	108.5	0.0	
	104.0	103.5	0.0	
	99.0	98.5	0.0	
	94.0	93.5 (Ref)	--	
	89.0	88.5	0.0	
	84.0	83.5	0.0	
	79.0	78.5	0.0	
	74.0	73.5	0.0	
	69.0	68.5	0.0	
	64.0	63.5	0.0	
	59.0	58.5	0.0	
	54.0	53.5	0.0	
49.0	48.4	0.1		
48.0	47.4	0.1		

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28553**

Page 4 of 5 Pages

## 6. Toneburst response (4kHz)

UUT Setting	Tone Burst Duration(ms)	UUT Reading(dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	136.0	-1.0	-1.0 ± 0.8dB
	2	118.9	-18.1	-18.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Slow	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	129.5	-7.5	-7.4 ± 0.8dB
	2	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Time averaging	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	130.0	-7.0	-7.0±0.8dB
	2	110.8	-26.2	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	102.0	-35.0	-36.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

## 7. Peak C sound level (140 dB Range, C-weighted, Fast)

Freq(Hz)	Signal Type	Indication of overload	UUT reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
8000	Steady	--	132.0	--	3.2 ± 2.4 dB
	Complete-cycle	No	135.3	3.3 dB	
500	Steady	--	132.0	--	2.4 ± 1.4 dB
	+ve half-cycle	No	129.3	2.7 dB	
	-ve half-cycle	No	129.1	2.9 dB	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 28553

Page 5 of 5 Pages

## 8. Overload indication (140 dB range, A-weighted, Time-average, 4kHz)

UUT Reading at overload (dB)		Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
+ ve one half cycle	- ve one half cycle		
137.0	138.5	1.5	< 1.8 dB

The overload indicator latched on until reset

Uncertainty :  $\pm 0.1$  dB

## 9. Filter Characteristics

### 9.1 1/1 – Octave Filter

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 1260 Class 1 (dB)
125 Hz	-76.4	< - 61
250 Hz	-70.5	< - 42
500 Hz	-36.3	< - 17.5
707 Hz	-4.3	- 2 ~ - 5
1 kHz (Ref)	--	--
1.414 kHz	-2.1	- 2 ~ - 5
2 kHz	-50.6	< - 17.5
4 kHz	-82.3	< - 42
8 kHz	-82.5	< - 61

Uncertainty :  $\pm 0.25$  dB

### Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1010 hPa.
4. Preamplifier model : SV 12L , S/N : 25732
5. Firmware Version: 6.12.4
6. Power Supply Check: OK
7. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.
8. \*Out of specification.

----- END -----





# Calibration Chart

BSWA TECH

BSWA-IV-C021-03-0048A

**Sound Calibrator model** ..... CA111

**Serial Number** ..... 490239

**Appearance** ..... OK

**Power Supply** ..... 1.5V LR6 (AA battery) x2

**Sound Pressure Level** ..... 94.03 / 114.03 dB

**Frequency** ..... 1000.3 / 1000.3 Hz

**TND (@1000Hz)** ..... 0.35 / 0.72 %

*Copying and using select parts, or tampering with this document without the permission of BSWA is forbidden!*

## BSWA Technology Ltd.

[www.bswa-tech.com](http://www.bswa-tech.com)

This equipment was calibrated at the following ambient conditions:

**Temperature:** ..... 20 ..... °C

**Humidity:** ..... 30 ..... %RH

**Pressure:** ..... 1025 ..... hPa

This equipment is qualified!

C. P. g

**Calibrated**

2013-3-11

**Date**





# ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR ALLEN CHAN  
**CLIENT:** ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED  
**ADDRESS:** FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL BUILDING,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN,  
HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1319308  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 17/07/2013  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 24/07/2013

### COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity  
Equipment Type: MULTIMETER  
Brand Name: TOA DKK  
Model No.: WMS-24  
Serial No.: 682337  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 24 July, 2013

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

### ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

#### Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung  
HONG KONG

**Phone:** 852-2610 1044  
**Fax:** 852-2610 2021  
**Email:** [hongkong@alsglobal.com](mailto:hongkong@alsglobal.com)

  
Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager  
Greater China & Hong Kong

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

Page 1 of 2

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



**Work Order:** HK1319308  
**Date of Issue:** 24/07/2013  
**Client:** ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED

**Description:** MULTIMETER  
**Brand Name:** TOA DKK  
**Model No.:** WMS-24  
**Serial No.:** 682337  
**Equipment No.:** --  
**Date of Calibration:** 24 July, 2013

**Date of next Calibration:** 24 October, 2013

**Parameters:**

**Conductivity**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B**

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm )	Tolerance (%)
146.9	140	-4.7
6667	7100	6.5
12890	13800	7.1
58670	61300	4.5
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

**Dissolved Oxygen**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G**

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.92	4.76	-0.16
6.09	5.93	-0.16
7.59	7.60	0.01
Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)		0.20

**pH Value**

**Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B**

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.09	0.09
7.0	7.13	0.13
10.0	10.15	0.15
Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)		0.20

**Temperature**

**Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.**

Expected Reading (°C )	Displayed Reading (°C )	Tolerance (°C )
10.5	10.8	0.3
22.0	22.0	0.0
39.5	39.8	0.3
Tolerance Limit (±°C)		2.0

**Turbidity**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B**

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	4.3	7.5
40	43.2	8.0
80	85.9	7.4
400	422.0	5.5
800	868.1	8.5
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

  
 Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
 General Manager  
 Greater China & Hong Kong



# ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR ALLEN CHAN  
**CLIENT:** ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED  
**ADDRESS:** FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL BUILDING,  
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,  
CHAI WAN,  
HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1328496  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 16/10/2013  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 24/10/2013

### COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.  
Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity  
Equipment Type: WATER QUALITY MULTI-METER  
Brand Name: TOA DKK  
Model No.: WMS-24  
Serial No.: 685940  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 23 October, 2013

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

### ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

#### Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung  
HONG KONG

**Phone:** 852-2610 1044  
**Fax:** 852-2610 2021  
**Email:** [hongkong@alsglobal.com](mailto:hongkong@alsglobal.com)

  
Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager -  
Greater China & Hong Kong

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

Page 1 of 2

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



**Work Order:** HK1328496  
**Date of Issue:** 24/10/2013  
**Client:** ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED

**Description:** WATER QUALITY MULTI-METER  
**Brand Name:** TOA DKK  
**Model No.:** WMS-24  
**Serial No.:** 685940  
**Equipment No.:** --

**Date of Calibration:** 23 October, 2013      **Date of next Calibration:** 23 January, 2014

**Parameters:**

**Conductivity**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B**

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm )	Tolerance (%)
146.9	138.0	-6.1
6667	6530	-2.1
12890	12800	-0.7
58670	56900	-3.0
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

**Dissolved Oxygen**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G**

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.67	3.77	0.10
5.15	5.29	0.14
7.25	7.09	-0.16
Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)		0.20

**pH Value**

**Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B**

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.03	0.03
7.0	6.99	-0.01
10.0	9.92	-0.08
Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)		0.20

**Temperature**

**Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.**

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	10.6	-0.4
25.0	24.0	-1.0
32.0	31.0	-1.0
Tolerance Limit (±°C)		2.0

**Turbidity**

**Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B**

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	3.9	-2.5
40	39.4	-1.5
80	79.4	-0.7
400	383.6	-4.1
800	799.8	0.0
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
 General Manager -  
 Greater China & Hong Kong




# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
 Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Condition			Model	<b>AM510</b>
Temperature	68.5 (20.3)	°F (°C)		
Relative Humidity	22	%RH	Serial Number	<b>11304034</b>
Barometric Pressure	28.95 (980.4)	inHg (hPa)		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Left	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance
<input type="checkbox"/> As Found	<input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance



*TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1*

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Photometer	E003433	04-12-13	10-12-13	Flowmeter	E003520	02-28-13	02-28-14
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	01-03-13	01-03-14	Microbalance	M001324	01-04-13	01-04-15
Barometric Pressure	E003733	03-12-13	03-12-14	Temperature	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

Bee Vang

\_\_\_\_\_  
Calibrated

Final Function  
Check

April 24, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date




# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Condition			Model	AM510
Temperature	73.8 (23.2)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	11304037
Relative Humidity	27	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.99 (981.7)	inHg (hPa)		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As I left	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance
<input type="checkbox"/> As Found	<input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance



*TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1*

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Photometer	E003433	04-12-13	10-12-13	Flowmeter	E003520	02-28-13	02-28-14
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	01-03-13	01-03-14	Microbalance	M001324	01-04-13	01-04-15
Barometric Pressure	E003733	03-12-13	03-12-14	Temperature	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

*Jacques Cohen*  
Calibrated

Final Function Check

April 26, 2013  
Date




# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA  
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition			Model	AM510
Temperature	73.8 (23.2)	°F (°C)		
Relative Humidity	27	%RH	Serial Number	11304038
Barometric Pressure	28.99 (981.7)	inHg (hPa)		

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Left | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> As Found           | <input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance        |



*TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1 A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1*

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Photometer	E003433	04-12-13	10-12-13	Flowmeter	E003520	02-28-13	02-28-14
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	01-03-13	01-03-14	Microbalance	M001324	01-04-13	01-04-15
Barometric Pressure	E003733	03-12-13	03-12-14	Temperature	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

*Jacque Corbin*  Final Function Check  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calibrated Date





# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28552**

Page 1 of 2 Pages

**Customer :** Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

**Address :** Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

**Order No. :** Q23328

**Date of receipt :** 11-Dec-12

## Item Tested

**Description :** Laser Dust Monitor

**Manufacturer :** SIBATA

**Model :** LD-3B

**Serial No. :** 095027

## Test Conditions

**Date of Test :** 18-Dec-12

**Supply Voltage :** --

**Ambient Temperature :** (23 ± 3)°C

**Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

## Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : Manufacturer recommended method (gravimetric), Z28.

## Test Results

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S136B	Stop Watch	26077	NIM-PRC
S156	Analytical Balance	27964	NIM-PRC
S207B	Std. Flowmeter	20588	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

**Calibrated by :**   
Dorothy Cheuk

**Approved by :**   
Steve Kwan

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 9801 Fax: 2425 8646

**Date:** 19-Dec-12



# Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28552**

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

Applied Value ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	UUT Measured Value (K=1.9) ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ), (cpm)
695.2	694.6

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. Uncertainty  $\pm 15\%$ , for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. The results were the mean of 3 measurements,
4. ISO 12103-1 A1 respirable standard test dust was used for the calibration.
5. The K-Factor had been adjusted from 1.0 to 1.9

----- END -----



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX  
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT  
 ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Apr 09, 2013    Roots-meter S/N    0438320    Ta (K) -    296  
 Operator Tisch    Orifice I.D. -    1941    Pa (mm) -    751.84

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4710	3.3	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0370	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9270	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7300	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9916	0.6741	1.4113	0.9956	0.6768	0.8874
0.9874	0.9521	1.9959	0.9914	0.9560	1.2549
0.9854	1.0630	2.2315	0.9894	1.0673	1.4030
0.9843	1.1134	2.3405	0.9883	1.1180	1.4715
0.9790	1.3410	2.8227	0.9829	1.3465	1.7747
Qstd slope (m) = 2.11662			Qa slope (m) = 1.32539		
intercept (b) = -0.01714			intercept (b) = -0.01078		
coefficient (r) = 0.99999			coefficient (r) = 0.99999		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(H2O(Ta/Pa))] - b \}$$







**TSP Sampler Calibration**

**SITE**

Location: Tai Po Tsai Date: August 27, 2013  
Sampler: TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 2040) Tech: Sam Wong

**CONDITIONS**

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 39.55 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 1005  
Temperature (deg F): 86 Temperature (deg K): 303  
Average Press. (in Hg): 39.55 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 1005  
Average Temp. (deg F): 86 Average Temp. (deg K): 303

**CALIBRATION ORIFICE**

Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662  
Model: TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714  
Serial#: 1941 Date Certified: April 9, 2013

**CALIBRATIONS**

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	12.20	1.890	58.0	66.13	Slope = 34.1041
2	10.20	1.728	52.0	59.29	Intercept = 0.8922
3	8.00	1.532	46.0	52.45	Corr. coeff.= 0.9988
4	5.20	1.236	38.0	43.33	
5	3.20	0.972	30.0	34.21	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$
$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
IC = corrected chart response  
I = actual chart response  
m = calibrator Qstd slope  
b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)  
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)  
Tstd = 298 deg K  
Pstd = 760 mm Hg  
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:  
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope  
b = sampler intercept  
I = chart response  
Tav = daily average temperature  
Pav = daily average pressure

# **Appendix D**

## **Construction Noise Monitoring Data**



大成環境科技拓展有限公司  
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		3/10/2013	3/10/2013	3/10/2013	3/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		14:52	14:15	13:39	13:04
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, East	<5, East	<5, East	<5, East
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	62.6	63.2	66.1	65.7
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	65.8	66.4	68.8	69.1
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	57.3	58.7	62.3	61.0
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



3/10/2013

大成環境科技拓展有限公司  
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		8/10/2013	8/10/2013	8/10/2013	8/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:40	10:15	10:52	11:28
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	64.6	59.9	65.7	73.5
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	66.0	61.7	67.2	77.5
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	56.2	56.5	60.5	61.2
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise Sweeping	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



8/10/2013

**大成環境科技拓展有限公司**  
**Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		12/10/2013	12/10/2013	12/10/2013	12/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:02	9:37	10:15	10:51
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	64.7	64.8	67.1	66.5
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	67.8	66.2	68.9	68.5
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	55.4	54.1	63.8	61.8
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



12/10/2013

**大成環境科技拓展有限公司**  
**Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		18/10/2013	18/10/2013	18/10/2013	18/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:02	9:39	10:13	10:49
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	60.5	64.5	65.3	67.4
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	63.3	66.4	65.9	68.8
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	55.4	56.2	64.2	65.1
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise Other construction site working	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



18/10/2013

**大成環境科技拓展有限公司**  
**Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		24/10/2013	24/10/2013	24/10/2013	24/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:06	9:43	10:17	10:50
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, East	<5, East	<5, East	<5, East
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	65.7	59.5	69.4	71.5
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	67.0	61.5	70.8	74.3
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	62.9	55.5	65.3	69.0
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Welding Activities were observed
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



24/10/2013

**大成環境科技拓展有限公司**  
**Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

**Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		30/10/2013	30/10/2013	30/10/2013	30/10/2013
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:01	9:37	10:15	10:52
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, East
Measurement Results	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	65.5	59.2	72.1	65.3
	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	67.8	61.6	74.5	65.8
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	61.7	55.2	68.0	64.5
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Welding Activities for sheer pile were observed
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Other construction site working	Background noise Traffic noise

Name



Signature



Date

Prepared by: Lai Chi Hang



30/10/2013







# **Appendix E**

## Water Quality Monitoring Data

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 3/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.16	8.10
Temperature (°C)	26.5	26.6
Turbidity (NTU)	4.5	3.8
DO (mg/L)	7.81	8.05
DO Saturation (%)	78%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	10.0	11.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

3/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 5/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.16	8.20
Temperature (°C)	24.9	25.1
Turbidity (NTU)	4.5	4.9
DO (mg/L)	7.68	7.81
DO Saturation (%)	78%	78%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	14.0	17.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

5/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 8/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	14:00	14:35
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.23	8.15
Temperature (°C)	25.1	25.5
Turbidity (NTU)	6.0	5.9
DO (mg/L)	8.25	8.28
DO Saturation (%)	90%	90%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	17.0	14.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

8/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 10/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.10	7.87
Temperature (°C)	26.3	26.8
Turbidity (NTU)	5.3	4.8
DO (mg/L)	7.87	8.16
DO Saturation (%)	78%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	18.0	15.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

10/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 12/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.23	8.12
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.4
Turbidity (NTU)	4.0	3.7
DO (mg/L)	7.85	8.13
DO Saturation (%)	78%	83%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	18.0	21.0

Remark or Observation : Turbid water was observed.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

12/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 15/10/2013

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	11:00	11:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.83	8.16
Temperature (°C)	27.5	27.1
Turbidity (NTU)	5.0	4.5
DO (mg/L)	8.16	8.26
DO Saturation (%)	85%	87%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	<2	2.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

15/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 17/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.85	8.13
Temperature (°C)	25.8	26.1
Turbidity (NTU)	4.8	3.8
DO (mg/L)	8.01	8.34
DO Saturation (%)	88%	95%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

17/10/2013



**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 19/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:30	11:00
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.78	8.16
Temperature (°C)	26.3	26.8
Turbidity (NTU)	5.0	3.1
DO (mg/L)	7.78	7.91
DO Saturation (%)	84%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	9.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Name**

**Signature**

**Date**

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

19/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 22/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.89	8.16
Temperature (°C)	26.8	27.1
Turbidity (NTU)	4.5	5.2
DO (mg/L)	7.73	8.16
DO Saturation (%)	85%	90%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	9.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

22/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 24/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.93	7.81
Temperature (°C)	25.1	25.3
Turbidity (NTU)	5.7	4.2
DO (mg/L)	7.56	7.49
DO Saturation (%)	80%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	<2	3.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

24/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 26/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.16	7.98
Temperature (°C)	24.8	24.5
Turbidity (NTU)	4.5	5.8
DO (mg/L)	7.81	7.90
DO Saturation (%)	85%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	<2	3.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

26/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 29/10/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.21	8.01
Temperature (°C)	25.3	25.8
Turbidity (NTU)	3.5	4.0
DO (mg/L)	7.85	7.88
DO Saturation (%)	80%	78%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	6.0	8.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

29/10/2013

**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**  
**Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results**

Date of Sampling : 31/10/2013

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.81	8.15
Temperature (°C)	26.5	26.7
Turbidity (NTU)	4.2	4.1
DO (mg/L)	7.86	7.88
DO Saturation (%)	85%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	8.0	8.0

Remark or Observation : \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

31/10/2013



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1327180
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@eps1.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 04-OCT-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 16-OCT-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 07-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1327180**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[28-SEP-2013]	[28-SEP-2013]	[03-OCT-2013]	[03-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1327180-001	HK1327180-002	HK1327180-003	HK1327180-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		10	6	10	11	





**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3093820)</b>								
HK1327175-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1327207-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2.0	mg/L	7.4	7.6	3.7

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3093820)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	105	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1328317
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsl.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com		
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044		
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021		
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	Date Samples Received	: 12-OCT-2013
Order number	: ----			Issue Date	: 23-OCT-2013
C-O-C number	: ----			No. of samples received	: 4
Site	: ----			No. of samples analysed	: 4

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 15-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1328317**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

**Fung Lim Chee, Richard**

**General Manager**

**Inorganics**

**ALS Laboratory Group**

Trading Name: **ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd**

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[05-OCT-2013]	[05-OCT-2013]	[08-OCT-2013]	[08-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1328317-001	HK1328317-002	HK1328317-003	HK1328317-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		14	17	17	14	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3108249)</b>								
HK1328156-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1328270-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	6	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3108249)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	100	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1328319
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@eps1.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com		
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044		
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021		
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	Date Samples Received	: 12-OCT-2013
Order number	: ----			Issue Date	: 23-OCT-2013
C-O-C number	: ----			No. of samples received	: 4
Site	: ----			No. of samples analysed	: 4

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 21-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1328319**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[10-OCT-2013]	[10-OCT-2013]	[12-OCT-2013]	[12-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1328319-001	HK1328319-002	HK1328319-003	HK1328319-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		18	15	18	21	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3110316)</b>								
HK1328000-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1328319-001	W1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	18	18	0.0
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3115995)</b>								
HK1328319-003	W1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	18	19	0.0
HK1328347-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	16	17	6.4

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3110316)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	98.5	----	86	112	----	----
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3115995)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	99.2	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1328674
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsl.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 17-OCT-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 28-OCT-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 22-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1328674**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company





**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[15-OCT-2013]	[15-OCT-2013]	[17-OCT-2013]	[17-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1328674-001	HK1328674-002	HK1328674-003	HK1328674-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		<2	2	4	4	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3118624)</b>								
HK1328602-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1328646-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	3	2	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3118624)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	94.5	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1329265
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@eps1.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 24-OCT-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 04-NOV-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 28-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1329265**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[19-OCT-2013]	[19-OCT-2013]	[22-OCT-2013]	[22-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1329265-001	HK1329265-002	HK1329265-003	HK1329265-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		9	4	9	3	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3128391)</b>								
HK1329161-006	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	29	29	0.0
HK1329196-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	30	30	0.0
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3128392)</b>								
HK1329265-003	W1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	9	11	18.9
HK1329277-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	16	16	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3128391)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	92.0	----	86	112	----	----
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3128392)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	104	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1329592
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsil.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 29-OCT-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 06-NOV-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 31-OCT-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1329592**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[24-OCT-2013]	[24-OCT-2013]	[26-OCT-2013]	[26-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1329592-001	HK1329592-002	HK1329592-003	HK1329592-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		<2	3	<2	3	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3133924)</b>								
HK1329582-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1329594-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	4	3	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3133924)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	99.0	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.





## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1330262
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsil.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 04-NOV-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 13-NOV-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 06-NOV-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1330262**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

**Fung Lim Chee, Richard**

**General Manager**

**Inorganics**

**ALS Laboratory Group**

Trading Name: **ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd**

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[29-OCT-2013]	[29-OCT-2013]	[31-OCT-2013]	[31-OCT-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1330262-001	HK1330262-002	HK1330262-003	HK1330262-004	
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties</b>									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		6	8	8	8	



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**



Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3144098)</b>								
HK1329845-059	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1330301-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	2	2	0.0
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3145401)</b>								
HK1330239-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1330302-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0



**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3144098)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102	----	86	112	----	----
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3145401)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	98.5	----	86	112	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.







# **Appendix F**



## **Air Quality Monitoring Data**

1-Hr TSP Monitoring Results

		Locations											
		D1			D2			D3			D4		
Date	Duration	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
3/10/2013	1 Hour	12:59	104	103	12:50	120	116	12:47	129	132	12:58	118	120
		13:59	123		13:50	122		13:47	132		13:58	126	
		14:59	83		14:50	105		14:47	134		14:58	115	
8/10/2013	1 Hour	8:56	264	257	8:49	242	196	8:44	278	277	8:38	242	194
		9:56	256		9:49	184		9:44	273		9:38	179	
		10:56	252		10:49	163		10:44	279		10:38	160	
12/10/2013	1 Hour	8:51	107	101	8:48	101	99	8:42	98	99	8:37	96	96
		9:51	106		9:48	103		9:42	109		9:37	103	
		10:51	91		10:48	92		10:42	91		10:37	89	
18/10/2013	1 Hour	8:41	104	70	8:35	111	75	8:31	106	72	8:24	103	77
		9:41	57		9:35	63		9:31	63		9:24	73	
		10:41	48		10:35	51		10:31	46		10:24	54	
24/10/2013	1 Hour	8:50	160	153	8:47	151	153	8:42	150	150	8:35	160	160
		9:50	153		9:47	147		9:42	152		9:35	158	
		10:50	145		10:47	161		10:42	147		10:35	163	
30/10/2013	1 Hour	8:46	60	59	8:43	62	62	8:38	73	69	8:32	63	66
		9:46	58		9:43	59		9:38	72		9:32	60	
		10:46	60		10:43	64		10:38	63		10:32	74	







D1 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
03/10/13	205471	2.9488	3.1365	0.1877	2046.04	2070.06	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	109.5080	Sunny
08/10/13	205475	2.9506	3.0418	0.0912	2070.06	2094.08	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	53.2079	Sunny
12/10/13	205178	3.6588	3.7314	0.0726	2094.08	2118.10	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	42.3563	Sunny
18/10/13	205479	2.9827	3.1203	0.1376	2118.10	2142.12	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	80.2786	Sunny
24/10/13	205486	2.9655	3.1121	0.1466	2142.12	2166.14	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	85.5294	Sunny
30/10/13	205490	2.9629	3.0613	0.0984	2166.14	2190.16	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	57.4086	Sunny

D2 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
03/10/13	205472	2.9532	3.1614	0.2082	1857.86	1881.87	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	121.5187	Sunny
08/10/13	205476	2.9270	3.0260	0.0990	1881.87	1905.88	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	57.7827	Sunny
12/10/13	205480	2.9803	3.0885	0.1082	1905.88	1929.89	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	63.1524	Sunny
18/10/13	205483	2.9268	3.0457	0.1189	1929.89	1953.90	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	69.3976	Sunny
24/10/13	205487	2.9700	3.1656	0.1956	1953.90	1977.91	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	114.1646	Sunny
30/10/13	205491	2.9595	3.0582	0.0987	1977.91	2001.92	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	57.6076	Sunny

D3 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
03/10/13	205473	2.9570	3.3251	0.3681	2391.40	2415.41	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	214.8465	Sunny
08/10/13	205477	2.9728	3.1306	0.1578	2415.41	2439.42	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	92.1021	Sunny
12/10/13	205481	2.9627	3.2137	0.2510	2439.42	2463.43	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	146.4995	Sunny
18/10/13	205484	2.9778	3.2497	0.2719	2463.43	2487.44	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	158.6981	Sunny
24/10/13	205488	2.9760	3.3867	0.4107	2487.44	2511.45	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	239.7106	Sunny
30/10/13	205492	2.9677	3.1520	0.1843	2511.45	2535.46	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	107.5692	Sunny

D4 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
03/10/13	205474	2.9745	3.2191	0.2446	2604.28	2628.30	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	142.7046	Sunny
08/10/13	205478	2.9635	3.1045	0.1410	2628.30	2652.32	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	82.2623	Sunny
12/10/13	205482	2.9649	3.0819	0.1170	2652.32	2676.34	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	68.2602	Sunny
18/10/13	205485	2.9575	3.1886	0.2311	2676.34	2700.36	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	134.8285	Sunny
24/10/13	205489	2.9419	3.2183	0.2764	2700.36	2724.38	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	161.2574	Sunny
30/10/13	205493	2.9440	3.1430	0.1990	2724.38	2748.40	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	116.1007	Sunny



## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 2
<i>Contact</i>	: MR SAM WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK1329927</b>
<i>Address</i>	: RM 3704, SIK MAN HOUSE, HOMANTIN ESTATE, KOWLOON, HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: sam.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 22421020	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 27143612	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: ---	<i>Quote number</i>	: ---	<i>Date received</i>	: 03-OCT-2013
<i>Order number</i>	: ---			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 06-NOV-2013
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: ---			<i>No. of samples</i>	- <i>Received</i> : 24
<i>Site</i>	: ---				- <i>Analysed</i> : 24

### Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1329927 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. The completion date of analysis is 01-NOV-2013. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific comments for Work Order HK1329927 :  
Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.  
Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

**This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.**

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the 'Electronic Transactions Ordinance' of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:-</i>
Fung Lim Chee, Richard	General Manager	Inorganics





**Analytical Results**



Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

Compound

LOR Unit

			HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
205471	[03-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-001	0.1877	2.9488	3.1365		
205472	[03-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-002	0.2082	2.9532	3.1614		
205473	[03-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-003	0.3681	2.9570	3.3251		
205474	[03-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-004	0.2446	2.9745	3.2191		
205475	[08-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-005	0.0912	2.9506	3.0418		
205476	[08-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-006	0.0990	2.9270	3.0260		
205477	[08-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-007	0.1578	2.9728	3.1306		
205478	[08-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-008	0.1410	2.9635	3.1045		
205178	[12-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-009	0.0726	3.6588	3.7314		
205480	[12-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-010	0.1082	2.9803	3.0885		
205481	[12-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-011	0.2510	2.9627	3.2137		
205482	[12-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-012	0.1170	2.9649	3.0819		
205479	[18-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-013	0.1376	2.9827	3.1203		
205483	[18-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-014	0.1189	2.9268	3.0457		
205484	[18-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-015	0.2719	2.9778	3.2497		
205485	[18-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-016	0.2311	2.9575	3.1886		
205486	[24-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-017	0.1466	2.9655	3.1121		
205487	[24-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-018	0.1956	2.9700	3.1656		
205488	[24-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-019	0.4107	2.9760	3.3867		
205489	[24-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-020	0.2764	2.9419	3.2183		
205490	[30-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-021	0.0984	2.9629	3.0613		
205491	[30-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-022	0.0987	2.9595	3.0582		
205492	[30-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-023	0.1843	2.9677	3.1520		
205493	[30-OCT-2013]	HK1329927-024	0.1990	2.9440	3.1430		





# **Appendix G**

## Complaint Report





**Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Ltd**

**大成環境科技拓展有限公司**

豐盛創建地產集團附屬公司 *Subsidiary of FSE Engg Group*

豐盛創建企業成員 *Member of Fung Seng Enterprises*

Our ref. no.: 00021/13/KFRC0383/1A11

8<sup>th</sup> Oct 2013

To: Distribution List

Dear Sirs or Madams,

**Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development  
at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229,  
Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung**

**Complaint Investigation Report and Log**

Based on the complaint incident received from EPD with details of:

EPD complaint ref.: EP3/N08/RE/00020920-13  
Date received: 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013  
Description: Complaint was referred by EPD that a resident complained against  
pilling noise and dust nuisance arisen from construction activities

Enclosed please find the complaint investigation report and log sheets of the incident as for your record.

Yours faithfully,

Goldie Fung  
ET leader

Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

c.c. IEC/ENVIRON (Mr. Tong Cheng)

New World Construction Company Limited - Project Manager (Mr. Martin Wong)

New World Construction Company Limited - Environmental Engineer (Mr. Raymond Lee)

**Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung**

**Report for Complaint/ Concern**

**Our Ref.: 00021/13/KFRC0383/1A11**

**EPD complaint ref.: EP3/N08/RE/00020920-13**

**Sheet: 1 of 2**

**RECIPIENT**

Name: New World Construction Company Limited

Details: Complaint was referred by EPD that a resident complained against piling noise and dust nuisance arisen from construction activities

Received Date: 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013

Received Time: 18:11

**COMPLAINANT / Concern**

Name: N/A

Tel: N/A

Address: N/A

**COMPLAINT**

Noise   Air quality/Dust   Water   Odour   Environment   Traffic/Pedestrian   Safety  
Others

Event Date and Time: 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013

**INVESTIGATION RESULTS, RECOMMENDATIONS & MITIGATION MEASURES**

1. A complaint was received on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013 regarding the complaint on noise and air pollution at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung. Environmental Team (ET) has received the formal complaint letter (EPD complaint ref.: EP3/N08/RE/00020920-13) issued by EPD on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013.
2. The major construction activities on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013 included pre-drilling works, installation of sheet pile and installation of Socket-H pile. These construction activities are out of the scope of project (Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung) with Environmental Permit (FEP-02/428/2011/A).
3. ET has conducted a site investigation with Contractor to resolve the concern.
4. Findings from the investigation showed the major noise and dust source were generated from the installation of socket-H pile and excavation works. Proper mitigation measures on dust and noise impact (i.e. routine water spraying, warping up of steel chain with plastic materials and noise barriers) were observed during the investigation (**Fig. 1- Fig. 4**).
5. According to the Action & Limit Level Criteria for Noise Quality, it will be considered as Action Level when one documented complaint is received. ET reviewed the routine noise monitoring results recorded on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2013, 26<sup>th</sup> August 2013 and 31<sup>st</sup> August 2013 and the repeated measurement was conducted on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2013. No exceedance of Limit Level (i.e.: >75 dB) was found for all measurements. The detailed monitoring data is shown in **Table 1**.
6. For the air quality, ET reviewed the routine air quality monitoring results recorded on 20<sup>th</sup> August 2013, 26<sup>th</sup> August 2013 and 31<sup>st</sup> August 2013. No exceedance of Action & Limit Level was found for all measurements. The routine monitoring data is shown in **Table 2**. Besides, the air quality monitoring data on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2013 will be

presented in the coming Monthly EM&A Report accordingly.

7. According to the monitoring data and the investigation, no adverse environmental impact was observed. The proper mitigation measures were implemented by the contractor.
8. Contractor was reminded to maintain proper practices for noise and dust mitigation measures, such as the administrative planning and public liaison, to minimize adverse impact to the vicinity sensitive receivers. Other noise minimization features by means of insulation or screening should be regularly reviewed and maintained to ensure they are in good condition and functional.

Signature:



Goldie Fung, ET Leader


Date: 8-Oct-2013

COMPLAINT / CONCERN LOG

Ref: 00021/13/KFRC0383/1A11

Log Ref	Event Date/Location	Complainant/ Date of Contact	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	File Closed
<p>Our REF: 00021/13/KFR C0383/1A11</p> <p>EPD complaint ref.: EP3/N08/RE/0020920-13</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Various Lots in DD227 &amp; DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung</p>	<p>A Complaint was referred by EPD on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013.</p>	<p>Complaint was referred by EPD that a resident complained against pilling noise and dust nuisance arisen from construction activities at Various Lots in DD227 &amp; DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung</p>	<p>A complaint was received on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013 regarding the complaint on air and noise pollution at Various Lots in DD227 &amp; DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung. Environmental Team (ET) has received the formal complaint letter (EPD complaint ref.: EP3/N08/RE/00020920-13) issued by EPD on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The major construction activities on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013 included pre-drilling, installation of sheet pile and installation of socket-H pile. These construction activities are out of the scope of project (Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 &amp; DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung) with Environmental Permit (FEP-02/428/2011/A).</li> <li>2. ET has conducted a site investigation with Contractor to resolve the concern.</li> <li>3. Findings from the investigation showed the major noise and dust source were generated from the installation of socket-H pile. Proper mitigation measures on dust and noise impact (i.e. routine water spraying, warping up of steel chain with plastic materials and noise barriers) were observed during the investigation (<b>Fig 1- Fig. 4</b>).</li> <li>4. ET reviewed the routine noise monitoring results recorded on 20<sup>th</sup> Aug 2013, 26<sup>th</sup> Aug 2013 and 31<sup>st</sup></li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p>

				<p>Aug 2013 and the repeated measurement was conducted on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2013. No exceedance of limit level (i.e.: &gt;75 dB) was found for all measurements. The detailed monitoring data is shown in <b>Table 1</b>.</p> <p>5. For the air quality, ET reviewed the routine air quality monitoring results recorded on 20<sup>th</sup> Aug 2013, 26<sup>th</sup> Aug 2013 and 31<sup>st</sup> Aug 2013. No exceedance of Action &amp; Limit Level was found for all measurements. The routine monitoring data is shown in <b>Table 2</b>. Besides, the air quality monitoring data on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2013 will be presented in the coming Monthly EM&amp;A Report accordingly</p> <p>6. According to the site investigation and the monitoring data, no adverse environmental impact was observed. The proper mitigation measures were implemented by the contractor</p> <p>7. Contractor was reminded to maintain proper practices and noise and dust mitigation measures, such as the administrative planning and public liaison, to minimize adverse impact to the vicinity sensitive receivers. Other noise minimization features by means of insulation or screening should be regularly reviewed and maintained to ensure they are in good condition and functional.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

Filed by Environmental Team Leader:  \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013

Fig.1 – sheet piling activity was wrapped up with plastic material to minimize noise generation.



Fig. 2 –The noise barriers were provided for sheet piling activities to minimize the noise impact.



Fig.3 –Water spraying was provided by frontline staff for dust suppression.



Fig.4 –Water spraying was provided by frontline staff for dust suppression.



**Table 1** Noise Monitoring Data

Date	N1-Noise Level (dB(A))			N2-Noise Level (dB(A))			N3-Noise Level (dB(A))			N4-Noise Level (dB(A))			Limit Level
	Leq	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	Leq	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	Leq	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	Leq	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	
20/8/2013	64.4	66.9	59.2	64.1	66.8	54.8	65.9	67.3	64.2	62.0	63.5	60.1	75.0
26/8/2013	63.2	65.8	58.1	63.4	67.4	55.4	65.0	65.7	60.3	71.2	75.9	61.7	75.0
31/8/2013	63.7	65.8	58.9	61.9	63.1	55.2	64.9	67.1	62.1	63.3	66.2	59.3	75.0
3/10/2013	59.6	62.8	54.3	60.2	63.4	55.7	63.1	65.8	59.3	65.7	69.1	61.0	75.0



**Table 2** Air Quality Monitoring Data

1 Hr - TSP

		Locations											
		D1			D2			D3			D4		
Date	Duration	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)
20/8/2013	1 Hour	8:47	62	63	8:41	57	57	8:34	61	63	8:28	130	88
		9:47	62		9:41	58		9:34	64		9:28	72	
		10:47	64		10:41	55		10:34	63		10:28	63	
26/8/2013	1 Hour	8:45	37	36	8:40	33	33	8:35	37	38	8:27	48	50
		9:45	35		9:40	32		9:35	35		9:27	57	
		10:45	35		10:40	33		10:35	41		10:27	44	
31/8/2013	1 Hour	8:40	48	59	8:45	45	48	8:53	42	45	9:00	56	53
		9:40	61		9:45	49		9:53	43		10:00	51	
		10:40	67		10:45	51		10:53	49		11:00	53	

Action and Limit Levels for 1-hr TSP at All Monitoring Stations			
Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	1-hr	285.6 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	500 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D2		279.4 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	500 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D3		289.4 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	500 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D4		284.3 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	500 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

D1 24 Hrs - TSP

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
20/08/13	205165	3.6643	3.7546	0.0903	1853.74	1877.78	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	52.6390	Sunny
26/08/13	205169	3.6524	3.6783	0.0259	1877.78	1901.82	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	15.0980	Sunny
31/08/13	205172	3.6609	3.6980	0.0371	1901.82	1925.85	24.03	42	42	42.0	1714.74	21.6359	Cloudy

D2 24 Hrs – TSP

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
20/08/13	205166	3.6581	3.7564	0.0983	1665.75	1689.77	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	57.3502	Sunny
26/08/13	205170	3.6512	3.6950	0.0438	1689.77	1713.78	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	25.5645	Sunny
31/08/13	205173	3.6673	3.7032	0.0359	1713.78	1737.79	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	20.9535	Cloudy

D3 24 Hrs – TSP

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
20/08/13	205167	3.6661	3.7181	0.0520	2199.22	2223.24	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	30.3379	Sunny
26/08/13	205171	3.6784	3.7018	0.0234	2223.24	2247.26	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	13.6520	Sunny
31/08/13	205134	3.7042	3.8456	0.1414	2247.26	2271.29	24.03	42	42	42.0	1714.74	82.4613	Cloudy

D4 24 Hrs – TSP

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
20/08/13	205168	3.6650	3.8039	0.1389	2412.31	2436.34	24.03	42	42	42.0	1714.74	81.0034	Sunny
26/08/13	205154	3.6432	3.7488	0.1056	2436.34	2460.17	23.83	42	42	42.0	1700.47	62.1004	Sunny
31/08/13	205175	3.6640	3.7294	0.0654	2460.17	2484.18	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	38.1716	Cloudy

Action and Limit Levels for 24-hrs TSP at All Monitoring Stations			
Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	24-hrs	156.4 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	260 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D2		153.8 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	260 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D3		155.2 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	260 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
D4		158.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	260 µg/m <sup>3</sup>