# Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing Report for

# Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

December 2013

# **Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**

Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong Tel: 2556 9172 Fax: 2856 2010

#### APPROVAL SHEET

## The Contents of this report have been

Certified by:

ETL (Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited)

Signature: Date: 24-Jan - 2014

Ms. Goldie Fung (Environmental Team Leader)

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature: Date: 24-Jan-2014

Mr. Tony Cheng (IEC)

# TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Intro	oduction	7
2	Proj	ect Information	7
3	Proj	ect Organization	8
	3.1	Key personal contact information chart	8
4	Cons	struction Stage	9
	4.1	Construction Activities in Reporting Period	9
	4.2	Construction Activities for Coming Months	9
	4.3	Environmental Status	9
5	Nois	e Monitoring	10
	5.1	Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	10
	5.2	Monitoring Equipment	10
	5.3	Monitoring Locations	11
	5.4	Monitoring Frequency	13
	5.5	Monitoring Results and Interpretation	13
	5.6	Action and Limit Level for Construction noise	14
	5.7	Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	19
6	Wate	er Monitoring	20
	6.1	Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	20
	6.2	Monitoring Equipment	20
	6.3	Monitoring Locations	21
	6.4	Monitoring Frequency	23
	6.5	Monitoring Results and Interpretation	23
	6.6	Action and Limit Level for Water Quality	24
	<b>6.7</b>	Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period	30
7	Air (	Quality Monitoring	31
	7.1	Monitoring Methodology and Parameters	31
	7.2	Monitoring Equipment	31
	7.3	Monitoring Locations	32
	7.4	Monitoring Frequency	34
	7.5	Monitoring Results and Interpretation	34
	7.6	Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP	35
	7.7	Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period	40
8	Ecol	ogy	40
9	Actio	on Taken in Event of Exceedance	40
10	Cons	struction Waste Disposal	40
11	Stati	ıs of Permits and Licenses	43

12	Compliant Log	44
13	Site Environmental Audits	44
	13.1 Site Inspection	44
	13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement	45
	13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures	45
14	Future Key Issues and Recommendations	45
15	Conclusions	46

# **LIST OF APPENDIXES**

A	pį	pendix A:	C	onstruction	N	<b>I</b> aster	Pı	rogramme	8	ınd	S	ite	Lo	cati	on	Ρl	lan

- Appendix B: Key Personal Contact Information Chart
- Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments
- Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data
- Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data
- Appendix F: Air Quality Monitoring Data

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is the sixteenth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd. This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> of December 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2013. No construction activities were carried out during this reporting period.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the Particular Specifications (PS). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections and photos records were kept.

Noise, water quality and air quality monitoring were performed during the reporting period. Results were compared with the established Action/Limit (A/L) level.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedances were recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, the site investigation for the abnormal incidents was carried out on the same day and found that no drainage diversion works had been carried out at the river bed. It was believed that the exceedance records at W2 were caused by natural fluctuation, since the records of SS at control station have been recorded relatively high. The exceedance records at W2 was unlikely to be related to the drainage diversion works.

Impact monitoring for air quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedances (24-hrs TSP) were recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, it was believed that the exceedances were not caused

by drainage diversion works, since the drainage diversion works have been completed.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

As the drainage diversion works have been completed, the impact monitoring for noise, water and air will be terminated in the coming months. The proposal for termination of EM&A monitoring has been submitted to EPD for Approval.

#### 1 Introduction

This is the sixteenth Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd.. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Hip Seng Construction Company Limited to prepare the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1<sup>st</sup> of December 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2013. This report included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, air quality monitoring and regular site inspections for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (FEP-02/428/2011/A) (EP), PS and the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan (EMP).


## 2 Project Information

Construction works of "Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung" project has been commenced in Sep 2012 and is expected to completed by December 2013. Construction master program is shown in **Appendix A**.

# 3 Project Organization

The Main Contractor, Hip Seng Construction Company Limited, has commissioned Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited as the Environmental Team, which comprises the environmental team leader, the environmental technicians to undertake the environmental monitoring and audit work for this project.

The Environmental management structure is shown in Fig. 3.1



## 3.1 Key personal contact information chart

Detail contact of key persons involved in environmental aspect of the project is shown in **Appendix B**.

# 4 Construction Stage

# 4.1 Construction Activities in Reporting Period

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

- N/A

# 4.2 Construction Activities for Coming Months

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

- N/A

#### 4.3 Environmental Status

The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 5.3, 6.3, and 7.3 for noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, and air quality monitoring respectively.

## 5 Noise Monitoring

## 5.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq\,(30\text{minutes})}$  was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period,  $L_{eq\,(5\text{minutes})}$  was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 5.7.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

#### **5.2** Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5ms<sup>-1</sup> or wind with gust exceeding 10ms<sup>-1</sup>. Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 5.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 5.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	<b>Precision Grade</b>	Qty
Integrated sound	SVAN 955 & S/N: 27301	IEC 651 Type 1	1
level meter		IEC 804 Type 1	
Acoustical	SV30A & S/N:32538	IEC 942 Type 1	1
calibrator			

Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter and acoustical calibrator are given in **Appendix C** for reference

# **5.3** Monitoring Locations

According to the PS, impact noise monitoring was undertaken at four locations during the construction phase of the project. The monitoring locations are summarized in Table 5.3.1 and are shown in Figure 5.3.1.

Noise measurement for N4 location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view, so that façade measurement was made for monitoring location N4 and the free field measurements were made for monitoring locations N1, N2 and N3.

Table 5.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Identification No.	Noise Monitoring Locations
N1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
N2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

In accordance with the requirements in the PS, weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of  $L_{eq~(30minutes)}$  was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive  $L_{eq~(5minutes)}$  would be carried out.




Figure 5.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

# **5.4** Monitoring Frequency

The regular monitoring for each location was performed on a basis of once in every 6 days.

Monitoring was carried out on 4<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of December 2013.

# 5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 5.5.1. The results of N1 ranged between 59.2dB (A) and 63.9dB (A), N2 ranged between 58.0dB (A) and 69.5dB (A), N3 ranged between 59.3dB (A) and 68.2dB (A) and N4 ranged between 64.7dB (A) and 68.1dB (A) were within the limit levels and therefore no exceedance was found.

Table 5.5.	Table 5.5.1 Noise Monitoring Results for the reporting month												
Location	Parameter	Date	Time	L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather						
*N1	Leq30min	4-Dec-13	9:15	61.9	75	N	Sunny						
*N1	Leq30min	10-Dec-13	9:10	60.6	75	N	Cloudy						
*N1	Leq30min	16-Dec-13	8:52	59.2	75	N	Cloudy						
*N1	Leq30min	21-Dec-13	9:20	60.9	75	N	Sunny						
*N1	Leq30min	27-Dec-13	9:01	63.9	75	N	Sunny						
*N2	Leq30min	4-Dec-13	9:51	58.0	75	N	Sunny						
*N2	Leq30min	10-Dec-13	9:46	69.5	75	N	Cloudy						
*N2	Leq30min	16-Dec-13	9:28	60.3	75	N	Cloudy						
*N2	Leq30min	21-Dec-13	9:56	59.6	75	N	Sunny						
*N2	Leq30min	27-Dec-13	9:36	60.6	75	N	Sunny						
*N3	Leq30min	4-Dec-13	10:26	68.2	75	N	Sunny						
*N3	Leq30min	10-Dec-13	10:22	59.9	75	N	Cloudy						
*N3	Leq30min	16-Dec-13	10:04	59.3	75	N	Cloudy						
*N3	Leq30min	21-Dec-13	10:31	62.1	75	N	Sunny						
*N3	Leq30min	27-Dec-13	10:12	68.1	75	N	Sunny						
N4	Leq30min	4-Dec-13	11:02	66.7	75	N	Sunny						
N4	Leq30min	10-Dec-13	11:00	66.7	75	N	Cloudy						
N4	Leq30min	16-Dec-13	10:40	66.4	75	N	Cloudy						

N4	Leq30min	21-Dec-13	11:10	68.1	75	N	Sunny
N4	Leq30min	27-Dec-13	10:50	64.7	75	N	Sunny

<sup>\*</sup>The equivalent noise level of N1, N2 and N3 is corrected by +3dB(A).

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

## 5.6 Action and Limit Level for Construction noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 5.6.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise at All Sensitive											
Receivers											
Time Period	Action	Limit									
Daytime		75 dB(A)*									
0700 – 1900 hrs on normal	X71										
weekdays	When one										
1900 – 2300 on all days and 0700 –	documented	60/65/70 dB(A)**									
2300 on general holidays (including	complaint is received										
Sundays)	received										
2300 – 0700 on all days		45/50/55 dB(A)**									

Table 5.6.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT		ET Leader		IEC	ER	C	ONTRACTOR
EVENT  Exceedance for one sample in Action Level	2.		1.	IEC  Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check Contractor's working method.	ER  1. Notify Contractor.	1.	Rectify any unacceptable practice.

Exceedance	1. Identify source,	1.	Checking	1.	Confirm	1.	Submit
for two or	investigate the		monitoring		receipt of		proposals for
more	causes of		data		notification		remedial
consecutive	exceedance		submitted by		of failure in		actions to
samples in	and propose		ET.		writing.		IEC within
Action	remedial	2.	Check	2.	Notify		three
Level.	measures.		Contractor's		Contractor.		working days
	2. Inform IEC and		working	3.	Ensure		of
	Contractor.		method.		remedial		notification.
	3. Repeat	3.	Discuss with		measures	2.	Implement
	measurements		ET and		properly		the agreed
	to confirm		Contractor on		implemented.		proposals.
	findings.		possible			3.	Amend
	4. Increase		remedial				proposals if
	monitoring		measures.				appropriate.
	frequency to	4.	Advise the				
	daily.		ER on the				
	5. Discuss with		effectiveness				
	IEC and		of the				
	Contractor		proposed				
	on remedial		remedial				
	actions.		measures.				
	6. If exceedance	5.	Supervisor				
	continues,		implementati				
	arrange		on of				
	meeting with		remedial				
	IEC and ER.		measures.				
	7. If exceedance						
	stops, cease						
	additional						
	monitoring.						

Exceedance	1.	Identify	1.	Checking	1.	Confirm	1.	Take
for on		source,		monitoring		receipt of		immediate
sample in		investigate		data		notification of		action to
Limit Level		the causes of		submitted by		failure in		avoid further
		exceedance		ET		writing.		exceedance.
		and propose	2.	Check	2.	Notify	2.	Submit
		remedial		Contractor's		Contractor.		proposals for
		measures.		working	3.	Ensure		remedial
	2.	Inform ER,		method		remedial		actions to IEC
		Contractor	3.	Discuss with		measures		within three
		and EPD.		ET and		properly		working days
	3.	Repeat		Contractor on		implemented.		of
		measurement		possible				notification.
		to confirm		remedial			3.	Implement
		finding.		measures				the agreed
	4.	Increase	4.	Advise the				proposals.
		monitoring		ER on the			4.	Amend
		frequency to		effectiveness				proposal if
		daily.		of the				appropriate.
	5.	Assess		proposed				
		effectiveness		remedial				
		of		measures.				
		Contractor's	5.	Supervisor				
		remedial		implementati				
		actions and		on of				
		keep IEC,		remedial				
		EPD and ER		measures.				
		informed of						
		the results.						

Exceedance	1.	Identify	1.	Discuss	1.	Confirm	1.	Take
for two or		source,		amongst ER,		receipt of		immediate
more		investigate		ET and		notification of		action to
consecutive		the causes of		Contractor on		failure in		avoid further
samples in		exceedance		the potential		writing.		exceedance.
Limit Level		and propose		remedial	2.	Notify	2.	Submit
		remedial		actions.		Contractor.		proposals for
		measures.	2.	Review	3.	In		remedial
	2.	Notify IEC,		Contractor's		consultation		actions to IEC
		ER,		remedial		with the IEC,		within three
		Contractor		actions		agree with the		working days
		and EPD.		whenever		Contractor on		of
	3.	Repeat		necessary to		the remedial		notification.
		measurement		assure their		measures to	3.	Implement
		to confirm		effectiveness		be		the agreed
		findings.		and advise		implemented.		proposals.
	4.	Increase		the ER	4.	Ensure	4.	Resubmit
		monitoring		accordingly.		remedial		proposals if
		frequency to	3.	Supervise the		measures		problem still
		daily.		implementati		properly		not under
	5.	Carry out		on of		implemented		control.
		analysis of		remedial	5.	If exceedance	5.	Stop the
		Contractor's		measures.		continues		relevant
		working				consider what		portion of
		procedures to				portion of the		works as
		determine				work is		determined by
		possible				responsible		the ER until
		mitigation to				and instruct		the
		be				the		exceedance is
		implemented.				Contractor to		abated.
	6.	Arrange				stop that		
		meeting with				portion of		
		IEC and ER				work until the		
		to discuss the				exceedance is		
		remedial				abated		
		actions to be				remedial		

Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung Monthly EM&A Report for December 2013

	Informed of the results		
8.	If exceedance		
	stops, cease		
	additional		
	monitoring		

# 5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

As the drainage diversion works have been completed, no monitoring will be carried out in next month.

#### **6** Water Monitoring

#### 6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, water depth and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

## **6.2** Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-1000NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in **Appendix C** for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

Since water depths for all monitoring stations were less than 0.5m during the impact measurement period, only mid-depth level was monitored. The

monitoring parameters and measurement methods of water quality monitoring are summarized in Table 6.2.1.

Table 6.2.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Measurement					
Methods					
Parameter	Measurement Method				
Temperature (°C)					
Turbidity (NTU)	],				
pH	in-situ				
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L and %)					
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Reference method APHA 2540D				

# **6.3** Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the PS, monitoring stations were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 6.3.1.

Table 6.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Locations					
Monitoring Station Coordinates					
Monitoring Station	Easting	Northing			
W1 (upstream)	E:844944	N:821720			
W2 (downstream)	E:844959	N:822249			

As illustrated in Figure 6.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

In accordance with the PS, measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.




Figure 6.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

# **6.4** Monitoring Frequency

Water quality monitoring for each monitoring station was performed at mid-depth for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring was carried out on  $3^{rd}$ ,  $5^{th}$ ,  $7^{th}$ ,  $10^{th}$ ,  $12^{th}$ ,  $14^{th}$ ,  $17^{th}$ ,  $19^{th}$ ,  $21^{st}$ ,  $24^{th}$ ,  $28^{th}$  and  $31^{st}$  of December 2013.

# 6.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out twelve times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in Appendix E. Table 6.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month.

There was 4 numbers of exceedances (SS) was recorded in this reporting period as shown in Table 6.5.2. ET has arranged site investigation for the abnormal incidents on the same day and found that no construction activities had been carried out at the river bed. It was believed that the exceedances of water quality were not affected by the construction activities, since the records of SS at control station have been recorded relatively high. We believed that the exceedance records at W2 were caused by natural fluctuation. Therefore, the exceedance records at W2 were unlikely to be related to the drainage diversion works.

Table 6.5.1	Table 6.5.1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results of this reporting month							
	Average of Monitoring Results							
	Temperature (°C) Turbidity pH Dissolved Oxygen Oxygen Solids (mg/L) (%)							
W1	19.0	5.1	8.01	8.03	85.8	14.7		
W2	19.1	5.6	8.02	7.96	85.2	15.3		

Table 6.5.2 4 numbers of exceedances during the reporting month

Date	Location	]	Parameter	Intompotations
Date	Location	SS (mg/l)	Exceedance	Interpretations
14/12/2013	W1	27	N/A	
14/12/2013	W2	30	Limit level	
	W1	32	N/A	
17/12/2013	W2	31	Limit level	Exceedances were caused by
10/12/2012	W1	43	N/A	natural fluctuation
19/12/2013	W2	45	Limit level	
21/12/2012	W1	47	N/A	
21/12/2013	W2	50	Limit level	

# 6.6 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in PS (Table 6.6.1) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 6.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for the project. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 6.6.3 should be taken.

Table 6.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring					
Stations					
Parameters	Action	Limit			
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L or 1 percentile of baseline data			
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day			

		95 percentile of baseline data	99 percentile of baseline data or
Turbidity	in	or 120% of upstream control	130% of upstream control
NTU		station's Turbidity recorded on	station's Turbidity recorded on
		the same day	the same day
		<6.5  or  >8.4  or  >  the upstream	
pН		control station's pH recorded	<6.0 or >9.0
		on the same day	

Table 6.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring					
Stations					
	Monitor	ing Stations			
Donomotona	W2				
Parameters –	Action	Limit			
	Level	Level			
DO in mg/L	6.42	6.24			
SS in mg/L	18.9	19.8			
Turbidity in NTU	6.2	6.2			
рН	<6.5 or >8.4	<6.0 or >9.0			

## Remarks:

- 1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- 2. For SS and Turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
- 3. For pH, for the action level, reference is made to the data recorded at EPD' river monitoring stations at the nearby Tseng Lan Shue Stream (JR3, JR6 and JR22) from year 2006 to 2010; while the limit level is referring to the water quality objective for Inland Water of Junk Bay Water Control Zone.

Table 6.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LE	VEL			
Exceedance	1. Repeat in-site	1. Discuss with	1. Discuss	1. Inform the
for one	measurement	ET and	with IEC	ER and
sample day	to confirm	Contractor	on the	confirm
	findings.	on the	proposed	notification
	2. Identify	mitigation	mitigation	of the
	source(s) of	measures.	measures.	non-complian
	impact.	2. Review	2. Make	ce in writing.
	3. Inform IEC	proposals on	agreement	2. Rectify
	and	mitigation	on	unacceptable
	Contractor.	measures	mitigation	practice.
	4. Check	submitted	measures	3. Check all
	monitoring	by	to be	plant and
	data, all plant,	Contractor	implement	equipment.
	equipment	and advise	ed.	4. Consider
	and	the ER	3. Assess	changes of
	Contractor's	accordingly;	effectivene	working
	working	3. Assess	ss of	methods.
	methods.	effectivenes	implement	5. Discuss with
	5. Discuss	s of the	ed	ET, IEC and
	mitigation	implemente	mitigation	propose
	measures	d mitigation	measures.	mitigation
	with IEC and	measures.		measures to
	Contractor.			IEC and ER.
	6. Repeat			6. Implement
	measurement			the agreed
	on next day			mitigation
	of			measures.
	exceedance.			

E1	1 Dana-( !:: -!/	1 Diagram'-1	1 D:	1	Info 11
Exceedance	1. Repeat in-situ	1. Discuss with		1.	
for more	measuremen	ET and	with IEC		ER and
than one	t to confirm	Contractor	on the		confirm
consecutive	findings.	on the	proposed		notification
sampling	2. Identify	mitigation	mitigation		of the
days	source(s) of	measures.	measures.		non-complian
	impact.	2. Review	2. Make		ce in writing.
	3. Inform IEC	proposals on	agreement	2.	Rectify
	and	mitigation	on the		unacceptable
	Contractor.	measures	mitigation		practice.
	4. Check	submitted	measures	3.	Check all
	monitoring	by	to be		plant and
	data, all plant,	Contractor	implement		equipment.
	equipment	and advise	ed.	4.	Consider
	and	the ER	3. Assess		changes of
	Contractor's	accordingly.	effectivene		working
	working	3. Assess	ss of the		methods.
	methods.	effectivenes	implement	5.	Discuss with
	5. Discuss	s of the	ed		ET and IEC
	mitigation	implemente	mitigation		and propose
	measures	d mitigation	measures.		mitigation
	with IEC and	measures.			measures
	Contractor.				within three
	6. Ensure				working
	mitigation				days.
	measures are			6.	Implement
	implemented.				the agreed
	7. Prepare to				mitigation
	increase the				measures.
	monitoring				
	frequency to				
	daily.				
	8. Repeat				
	measurement				
	on next day				
	of				
	exceedance.				
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

LIMIT LI	EVEL			
Exceedance	1. Repeat in-situ	1. Discuss with	1. Discuss	1. Inform the
for one	measurements	ET and	with IEC,	ER and
sampling	to confirm	Contractor	ET and	confirm
day	findings.	on the	Contractor	notification
	2. Identify	mitigation	on the	of the
	source(s) of	measures.	proposed	non-complian
	impact.	2. Review	mitigation	ce in writing.
	3. Inform EPD,	proposals on	measures.	2. Rectify
	IEC,	mitigation	2. Request	unacceptable
	Contractor.	measures	Contractor	practice.
	4. Check	submitted	to 3	3. Check all
	monitoring	by	critically	plant and
	data, all plant,	Contractor	review the	equipment.
	equipment and	and advise	working	4. Consider
	Contractor's	the ER	methods.	changes of
	working	accordingly.	3. Make	working
	methods;	3. Assess	agreement	methods.
	5. Discuss	effectivenes	on the	5. Discuss with
	mitigation	s of the	mitigation	ET, IEC and
	measures with	implemente	measures	ER and
	IEC, ER and	d mitigation	to be	propose
	Contractor.	measures.	implement	mitigation
	6. Ensure		ed.	measures to
	mitigation		4. Assess the	IEC and ER
	measures are		effectivene	within three
	implemented.		ss of the	working
	7. Increase the		implement	days.
	monitoring		ed 6	6. Implement
	frequency to		mitigation	the agreed
	daily until no		measures.	mitigation
	exceedance of			measures.
	Limit level.			
Exceedance	1. Repeat in-situ	1. Discuss with	1. Discuss	1. Inform the
for more	measurements	ET and	with IEC,	ER and
than on	to confirm	Contractor	ET and	confirm

consecutive	findings.	on the	Contractor	notification
sampling	2. Identify	mitigation	on the	of the
days	source(s) of	measures.	proposed	non-complian
	impact.	2. Review	mitigation	ce in writing.
	3. Inform EPD,	proposals on	measures.	2. Rectify
	IEC and	mitigation	2. Request	unacceptable
	Contractor.	measures	Contractor	practice.
	4. Check	submitted	to	3. Check all
	monitoring	by	critically	plant and
	data, all plant,	Contractor	review the	equipment.
	equipment and	and advise	working	4. Consider
	Contractor's	the ER	methods.	changes of
	working	accordingly.	3. Make	working
	methods.	3. Assess the	agreement	methods.
	5. Discuss	effectivenes	on the	5. Discuss with
	mitigation	s of the	mitigation	ET, IEC and
	measures with	implemente	measures	ER and
	IEC, ER and	d mitigation	to be	propose
	Contractor.	measures.	implement	mitigation
	6. Ensure		ed.	measures to
	mitigation		4. Assess the	IEC and ER
	measures are		effectivene	within three
	implemented.		ss of the	working
	7. Increase the		implement	days.
	monitoring			6. Implement
	frequency to		mitigation	the agreed
	daily until no		measures.	mitigation
	exceedance of		5. Consider	measures.
	Limit level for			7. As directed
	two consecutive		instruct, if	by the ER, to
	days.		necessary,	slow down or
			the	to stop all or
			Contractor	part of the
			to slow	work or
			down or to	construction
			stop all or	activities.
			part of the	

Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung Monthly EM&A Report for December 2013

	work until	
	no	
	exceedanc	
	e of Limit	
	Level.	

# 6.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period

As the drainage diversion works have been completed, no monitoring will be carried out in next month.

# 7 Air Quality Monitoring

# 7.1 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters

1-hr and 24-hrs air quality monitoring have been carried out during the reporting period.

Measurements of 1-hr TSP monitoring were taken by a Dust Trak aerosol monitor or its equivalent that is a portable and battery-operated laser photometer capable of performing real time 1-hr TSP measurements.

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. The filter papers for each monitoring locations were sent to the accredited HOKLAS laboratory for further analysis.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, weather conditions and any other special phenomena at the construction site were recorded during the measurement period.

#### 7.2 Monitoring Equipment

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. And the 3 sets of 1-hr TSP were carried out by the portable dust meter. The measurement equipments are listed in Table 7.2.1 and Calibration Certificates of the equipments are shown in **Appendix C**.

Table 7.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipments

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Parameter	Qty
Laser Dust Monitor	1. AM510	1-hr TSP	4
High Volume	TE 5005 A	24 h TCD	4
Sampler	TE-5025A	24-hrs TSP	4

# 7.3 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring locations were established at 4 locations, which are summarized in Table 7.3.1 and are shown in Figure 7.3.1

Table 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

Identification No.   TSP Monitoring Locations	
D1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
D2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

The 24-hrs TSP monitoring was terminated at D1, as a complaint was received from EMSD and Lands Department due to the obstruction of electric cable and the cage of High Volume Sampler at D1. The electric cable and the cage have been removed accordingly. The monitoring for 24-hrs TSP at D1 was terminated from 1<sup>st</sup> December 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013.




Figure 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

# 7.4 Monitoring Frequency

For 1-hr and 24-hrs TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least once in every six days.

Monitoring was carried out on 4<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of December 2013.

# 7.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP were carried out during this reporting. There was 4 numbers of exceedances (24-hrs TSP) were recorded in this reporting period as shown in Table 7.5.3. It was believed that the exceedances of air quality were not affected by the drainage diversion works, since the drainage diversion works have been completed. Therefore, the exceedance records were unlikely to be related to the drainage diversion works.

1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.1.

Table 7.5.1 A summarized of average 1-hr TSP monitoring data				
Location	Range (µg/m³) (Min – Max)	Average (µg/m³)		
D1	89.0-267.0	171.9		
D2	92.0-269.0	173.9		
D3	103.0-261.0	185.7		
D4	103.0-288.0	194.4		

24-hrs TSP monitoring data was obtained at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.2.

Table 7.5.2 A summarized of average 24-hrs TSP monitoring data				
Location	Average (µg/m³)			
*D1	N/A	N/A		
D2	11.0-226.5	122.1		
D3	34.1-160.2	79.3		
D4	32.7-271.3	100.7		

\*As a complaint was received from EMSD and Lands Department due to obstruction of electric cable and the cage at monitoring location D1, the termination of 24-hrs TSP monitoring at D1 was started on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2013.

Details of the monitoring data were presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 7.5.3 4 number of exceedances during the reporting month

		P	arameter	
Date	Location	24- Hrs TSP (μg/m³)	Exceedance	Interpretations
04/12/2013	D2	210.5	Action Level	
4/12/2013	D3	160.2	Action Level	Exceedance was caused by other construction
10/12/2013	D2	226.5	Action Level	activities, which are out of the scope of project.
10/12/2013	D4	271.3	Limit Level	

#### 7.6 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 7.6.1 and Table 7.6.2, which would be applied for compliance assessment of air quality for this project. If the air quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 7.6.3 should be taken.

Total 4 numbers of exceedances (24-hrs TSP) were recorded during the reporting period. It was believed that the exceedances of air quality were not to be related to the project.

Table 7.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hr TSP at All Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1		$285.6  \mu g/m^3$	$500 \mu g/m^3$
D2	1-hr	279.4 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	$500 \mu g/m^3$
D3		$289.4  \mu \text{g/m}^3$	$500 \mu g/m^3$
D4		284.3 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	$500  \mu \text{g/m}^3$

Table 7.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hrs TSP at All Monitoring Stations					
Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level		
D1		156.4 μg/m3	$260 \mu g/m^3$		
D2	24-hrs	153.8 μg/m3	$260 \mu g/m^3$		
D3		155.2 μg/m3	$260 \mu\mathrm{g/m}^3$		
D4		158.0 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	260 μg/m3		

Table 7.6.3 Event and action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET I	Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LE	VEI	.1			
Exceedance	1.	Identify	1. Check	1. Notify	1. Rectify any
for one		source,	monitoring	Contractor.	unacceptabl
sample		investigate the	data		e practice.
		cause s of	submitted by		2. Amend
		exceedance	ET.		working
		and propose	2. Check		methods if
		remedial	Contractor's		appropriate.
		measures.	working		
	2.	Inform ER,	method.		
		IEC and			
		Contractor.			
	3.	Repeat			
		measurement			
		to confirm			
		finding.			

	4.	Increase monitoring frequency to daily.						
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples		Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance		Checking monitoring data submitted by ET.	1.	Confirm receipt of notificatio n of failure in	1.	Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within
	2.	and propose remedial measures. Inform IEC	2.	Check Contractor's working method.	2.	writing. Notify Contractor		three working days of notification.
	3.	and Contractor. Repeat measurements	3.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on Possible	3.	Ensure remedial measures properly	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>	Implement the agreed proposals. Amend
	4.	to confirm findings Increase monitoring	4.	remedial measures. Advise the ER on the		implement ed		proposal if appropriate.
	5.	frequency to daily. Discuss with IEC and		effectiveness of the proposed remedial				
		Contractor on remedial actions.	5.	measures. Supervisor implementati				
	6.	If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with		on of remedial measures.				
	7.	IEC and ER If exceedance stops, cease additional						

monitoring.	
LIMIT LEVEL	
Exceedance 1. Identify 1. Checking 1. Confirm 1. Take	
	linto
sample investigate the data notificatio action causes of submitted by n of failure avoid to	
and propose 2. Check 2. Notify 2. Submi	
remedial Contractor's Contractor. propos	
measures. working 3. Ensure remedi	
2. Inform ER, method remedial actions	
Contractor 3.Discuss with measures IEC w	
	vorking
3. Repeat Contractor implement days o	
measurement on possible ed. notific	
to confirm remedial 3. Impler	nent
finding. measures the agr	reed
4. Increase 4. Advise the propos	als.
monitoring ER on the 4. Amend	1
frequency to effectiveness propos	al if
daily. of the approp	riate.
5. Assess proposed	
effectiveness remedial	
of measures.	
Contractor's 5. Supervisor	
remedial implementati	
actions and on of	
keep IEC, remedial	
EPD and ER measures.	
informed of	
the result.	
Exceedance 1. Identify 1. Discuss 1. Confirm 1. Take	
for two or source, amongst receipt of immed	liate
more investigate the ER, ET and notificatio action	to
consecutive causes of Contractor n of failure avoid	further
samples exceedance on the in writing. exceed	dance.
and propose potential 2. Notify 2. Submi	ıt

	remedial		remedial		Contractor		proposals for
	measures.		actions.		Contractor		remedial
2.	Notify IEC,	2	Reviews	3.	In		actions to
۷.	ER, Contractor	۷.	Contractor'	٥.	consultatio		IEC within
	and EPD.		s remedial		n with the		three
2							
3.	Repeat		actions		IEC, agree		working days
	measurement		whenever		with the		of
	to confirm		necessary to		Contractor	2	notification.
	findings.		assure their			3.	Implement
4.	Increase		effectivenes		remedial		the agreed
	monitoring		s and advise		measures		proposals
	frequency to		the ER			4.	Resubmit
	daily.		accordingly.		implement		proposals if
5.	Carry out	3.	Supervisor		ed.		problem still
	analysis of		the	4.	Ensure		not under
	Contractor's		implementa		remedial		control.
	working		tion of		measures	5.	Stop the
	procedures to		remedial		properly		relevant
	determine		measures.		implement		portion of
	possible				ed.		works as
	mitigation to			5.	If		determined
	be				exceedanc		by the ER
	implemented.				e		until the
					continues,		exceedance
6.	Arrange				consider		is abated
	meeting with				what		
	IEC and ER to				portion of		
	discuss the				the work is		
	remedial				responsibl		
	actions to be				e and		
	taken.				instruct		
7.	Assess				the		
	effectiveness of				Contractor		
	Contractor's				to stop that		
	remedial				portion of		
	actions and				work until		
	keep IEC, EPD				the		
	. /						

а	and ER	exce	edanc	
i	informed of the	e is a	bated	
r	results.	reme	dial	
8. I	If exceedance	actio	ns.	
S	stops, cease			
г	additional			
r	monitoring			

## 7.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period

As the drainage diversion works have been completed, no monitoring will be carried out in next month.

## 8 Ecology

During the reporting period, tree protection measures have been implemented by contractor, such as provision of tree protective fencing for the retained trees. The tree protection zone has enough space to prevent the construction activities to damage the trees.

As the drainage diversion works have been completed. The water flow in the existing river within the site has been maintained.

#### **9** Action Taken in Event of Exceedance

If the measurements (Noise, Water and Air) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise measurements recorded; therefore, no actions were taken.

## 10 Construction Waste Disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 10.1 is a summary of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 10.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

	Actual	Quantities of	of Inert C & I	) Materials (	Generated Mo	onthly	Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000ton)	( in'000ton)	( in'000ton)	( in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	( in'000ton)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Sep 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov 12	2.77	0	0	0	2.77	0	0	0	0	0	0.031
Dec 12	3.70	0	0	0	3.70	0	0	0	0	0	0.006
Jan 13	43.89	0	0	0	43.89	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb 13	68.93	0	0	0	68.93	0	0	0	0	0	0.016
March 13	55.30	0	0	0	55.30	0	0	0	0	0	6.77
April 13	23.40	0	0	0	23.40	0	0	0	0	0	0
May 13	13.50	0	0	0	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	7.6
June 13	4.09	0	0	0	4.09	0	9.66	0	0	0	4.63
July 13	3.05	0	0	0	3.05	0	0	0	0	0	0.009
Aug 13	3.50	0	0	0	3.50	0	0	0	0	0	16.79
Sep 13	9.25	0	0	0	9.25	0	0	0	0	0	26.71
Oct 13	22.4	0	0	0	22.4	0	0	0	0	0	23.7
Nov 13	52.4	0	0	0	52.4	0	0	0	0	0	32.8
Dec 13	34.79	0	0	0	34.79	0	0	0	0	0	28.65
Total	340.97	0	0	0	340.97	0	9.66	0	0	0	147.712
		For	ecast of Tota	1 Quantities	of C & D Ma	terials to be	Generated	from the Contrac	t		
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill		Paper/cardboard packaging	Hotes)	Chemical Waste	general refuse
	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	( in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000ton)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	581	1.67	180	0	239	0	0	0	0	0	240

## 11 Status of Permits and Licenses

Table 11.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 11.1 Status of	Table 11.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained							
Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Site	Date of expiry	Status			
EP	EP-428/2011	4 November 2011			Superseded by VEP			
EP	EP-428/2011/A	1 June 2012			Valid			
FEP	FEP-01/428/2011/A	9 July 2012			Surrendered on 28 Nov 2012			
FEP	FEP-02/428/2011/A	26 November 2012		N/A	Valid			
Discharge License	WT00014162-2012	18 October 2012	Various Lots in DD227 &		Valid			
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	349704	27 Sep 2012	DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung		Valid			
Waste Disposal	7016348	16 Nov 2012			Valid			
Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	349519	4 Sep 2012			Valid			

## 12 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, no follow up actions for the environmental complaint is required.

Table 12.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received					
	Noise	Water	Air	Others	
Year 2012	0	0	0	0	
January 2013	0	0	0	0	
February 2013	0	0	0	0	
March 2013	1	0	1	0	
April 2013	0	0	0	0	
May 2013	0	0	0	0	
June 2013	0	0	0	0	
July 2013	0	0	0	0	
August 2013	0	0	0	0	
September 2013	0	0	0	0	
October 2013	1	0	1	0	
November 2013	0	0	0	0	
December 2013	0	0	0	0	
Total	2	0	2	0	

### 13 Site Environmental Audits

### 13.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 4<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, and 23<sup>th</sup> of December 2013. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 13.1.

Table 13.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
4, 10, 19 &	No major					
23	environmental	NT/A	NT/A	NT/A	NT/A	NT/A
December	deficiency was	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	observed.					

### 13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement

There was no non-compliance recorded for this reporting month.

### 13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

Contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit.

### 14 Future Key Issues and Recommendations

As the construction activities of drainage diversion works have been completed, no environmental issues will be considered to be related to the project for the coming months.

#### 15 Conclusions

No construction activities were carried out during this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 23<sup>rd</sup> of December 2013.

No exceedance was recorded for noise during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedances were recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, the site investigation for the abnormal incidents was carried out on the same day and found that no drainage diversion works had been carried out at the river bed. It was believed that the exceedance records at W2 were caused by natural fluctuation, since the records of SS at control station have been recorded relatively high. The exceedance records at W2 was unlikely to be related to the drainage diversion works.


Impact monitoring for air quality was conducted. Total 4 numbers of exceedance (24-hrs TSP) were recorded in this reporting period. For the non-compliance events, it was believed that the exceedances were not caused by the drainage diversion works, since the drainage diversion works have been completed.


Also, there was no notification of summons, formal prosecution or complaints being recorded during the reporting period.


As the drainage diversion works have been completed, the impact monitoring for noise, water and air will be terminated in the coming months. The proposal for termination of EM&A monitoring has been submitted to EPD for Approval.


# **Appendix A**

Construction Master Programme and Site Location Plan










335 336 337 338 2/F to 3/F Slab 12 days Wed 23/4/14 Wed 7/5/14 339 340 3/F to R/F Slab 12 days Thu 8/5/14 Thu 22/5/14 12 days Fri 23/5/14 Thu 5/6/14 Task Inactive Task Manual Task Start-only Hip Seng Construction Co., Ltd. Milestone 3 All public hoildays are excluded






## **Outline Works Programme**

Updated: 27 Sept 2012 Rev. 1

PDI Complete.	Duration	Start	Finish	2013	2014	2015
Click Here to upgrade to			I	Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	ı Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct I
	30 days	Thu 25/12/14	Fri 23/1/15			
Inlimited Pages and Expanded Features	0 days	Fri 23/1/15	Fri 23/1/15			23/1
	35 days	Sun 25/1/15	Sun 1/3/15			
428 Submission of Form 501	0 days	Sun 25/1/15	Sun 25/1/15			25/1
429 FS Inspection	7 days	Mon 9/2/15	Sun 15/2/15			
430 Issuance of FS Certificate	0 days	Sun 1/3/15	Sun 1/3/15			
431 BD Inspection	38 days	Sun 15/2/15	Wed 25/3/15			
432 Submission of BA13	0 days	Sun 15/2/15	Sun 15/2/15			15/2
433 BD Inspection	7 days	Mon 23/2/15	Sun 1/3/15			
434 Withdraw and Re-submit for BA13	0 days	Sun 1/3/15	Sun 1/3/15			\$1/3
435 BD Re-inpection	3 days	Mon 9/3/15	Wed 11/3/15			
436 Issuance of OP Certificate	0 days	Wed 25/3/15	Wed 25/3/15			25/3
437						
438   11) Works After OP	181 days	Thu 26/3/15	Tue 22/9/15			
439 Works after OP	181 days	Thu 26/3/15	Tue 22/9/15			



# **Appendix B**

**Key Personal Contact Information Chart** 

## **Key Personal Contact Information Chart**

Organization Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Fax
				Number
ENVIRON Hong Kong	Independent	Mr. Tong	3465 2888	3465 2899
Limited	Environmental	Cheng		
	Checker (IEC)			
Hip Seng Construction	Main Contractor	Mr. Martin	3110 2608	3110 2606
Company Limited		Wong		
Environmental Pioneers	Environmental Team	Ms. Goldie	2556 9172	2856 2010
& Solutions Limited	(ET)	Fung		

# **Appendix C**

Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments

30982 Certificate No.

Page

1

5 Pages

Customer: Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address: Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No.: Q30341

Date of receipt

18-Feb-13

**Item Tested** 

Model

**Description**: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: SVAN

: 955

Serial No.

: 27301

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 27-Feb-13

Supply Voltage

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity:  $(50 \pm 25)$  %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type1, IEC 1260 Class1 and Manufacturer's specification. The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017

Multi-Function Generator

C127181

SCL-HKSAR

S024

Sound Level Calibrator

30620

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Liam Wong

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel; 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Certificate No. 30982 Page 2 of 5 Pages

Results:

1. Self-generated noise: 1.0 dBA (Mfr's Spec (Electrical) ≤14 dBA)

2. Acoustical signal test

Z. Acoustica	i signai test		<u> </u>		
	UUT S	Setting			
	Frequency	Time	1/1	Applied	UUT
Range (dB)	Weighting	Weighting	Octave	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)
		•	Filter		
25-120	A	F	OFF	94.0	93.7
		S	OFF		93.7
	С	F	OFF		93.7
	Α	F	OFF	114.0	113.7
		S	OFF		113.7
	С	F	OFF		113.7
	A	F	ON	94.0	93.7
	A	F	ON	114.0	113.7
45-139	A	F	OFF	94.0	93.7
		S	OFF		93.7
	C	F	OFF		93.7
	A	F	OFF	114.0	113.7
		S	OFF		113.7
	С	F	OFF		113.7
	A	F	ON	94.0	93.7
	A	F	ON	114.0	113.7

Mfr's Spec. :  $\pm 0.7 \text{ dB}$ Uncertainty :  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

## 3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.4	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.2	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.4	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1.1 dB
2 kHz	+1.1	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+0.9	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.1	$-1.1 \text{ dB}, +2.1 \text{ dB} \sim -3.1 \text{ dB}$
16 kHz	-6.8	$-6.6 \text{ dB}, +3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 



Certificate No. 30982

Page 3 of 5 Pages

## 4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	93.7 (Ref.)		± 0.4 dB
С	94.0	93.7	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(11 ,, 41 8, 110 00)			
UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	93.7 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	93.7	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	93.7	0.0	

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

## 5. Level linearity on the reference level range

	Applied			
UUT Range	Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
140 dB	137.0	136.8	+0.1	± 1.1 dB
(Ref Level)	136.0	135.8	+0.1	
	135.0	134.8	+0.1	
	134.0	133.8	+0.1	
	129.0	128.7	0.0	
	124.0	123.7	0.0	
	119.0	118.7	0.0	
	114.0	113.7	0.0	
	109.0	108.7	0.0	
	104.0	103.7	0.0	
	99.0	98.7	0.0	
	94.0	93.7 (Ref)		<u> </u>
	89.0	88.8	+0.1	-
	84.0	83.7	0.0	
	79.0	78.7	0.0	
	74.0	73.7	0.0	
	69.0	68.7	0.0	
	64.0	63.8	+0.1	
	59.0	58.8	+0.1	
	54.0	53.9	+0.2	
	49.0	49.0	+0.3	
	48.0	48.0	+0.3	

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

Certificate No. 30982

Page 4 of 5 Pages

## 6. Toneburst response (4kHz)

UUT	Tone Burst	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Duration(ms)	Reading(dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	Steady	137.0(Ref)		
	200	136.0	-1.0	$-1.0 \pm 0.8$ dB
	2	119.0	-18.0	$-18.0$ , $+1.3 \text{ dB} \sim -1.8 \text{ dB}$
	0.25	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Slow	Steady	137.0(Ref)		
	200	129.5	-7.5	$-7.4 \pm 0.8$ dB
	2	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Time	Steady	137.0(Ref)		<u></u>
averaging	200	130.5	-6.5	-7.0±0.8dB
	2	110.0	-27.0	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	101.8	-35.2	-36.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

## 7. Peak C sound level (140 dB Range, C-weighted, Fast)

Freq(Hz)	Signal Type	Indication of overload	UUT reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
8000	Steady		132.0		$3.2 \pm 2.4 \text{ dB}$
	Complete-cycle	No	135.1	+3.1	
500	Steady		132.0		$2.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ dB}$
	+ve half-cycle	No	134.6	+2.6	
	-ve half-cycle	No	134.6	+2.6	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB



Certificate No. 30982

Page 5 of 5 Pages

## 8. Overload indication (140 dB range, A-weighted, Time-average, 4kHz)

UUT Reading	at overload (dB)		
+ ve one half cycle	- ve one half cycle	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
138.0	137.8	0.2	< 1.8 dB

The overload indicator latched on until reset

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

### 9. Filter Characteristics

### 9.1 1/1 – Octave Filter

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 1260 Class 1 (dB)
125 Hz	-76.8	<- 61
250 Hz	-71.6	<- 42
500 Hz	-36.3	<- 17.5
707 Hz	-4.4	- 2~- 5
1 kHz (Ref)		
1.414 kHz	-2.2	- 2~- 5
2 kHz	-51.5	<- 17.5
4 kHz	-86.5	< - 42
8 kHz	-88.4	<- 61

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.25 \text{ dB}$ 

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1009 hPa.
- 4. Preamplifier model: SV 12L, S/N: 25734
- 5. Firmware Version: 6.12.4
- 6. Power Supply Check: OK
- 7. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----



## ISO9001 certified

## **Sound Level Calibrator**

Type: SV30A Serial No: 32538

## **Calibration Chart**

Sound pressure level (94dB):

93.89 dB (THD: 0.17 %)

Sound pressure level (114dB): 113.93 dB (THD: 0.27 %)

Frequency: 1000 Hz

Short term level stability: 0.05 dB

Frequency stability:

0.01 %

Measurement conditions

Temperature:

25 °C Relative humidity: 40 % Ambient pressure: 1003 hPa

Reference conditions

Temperature: Relative humidity: 23.0 °C 50 %

Ambient pressure:

1013.2 hPa

#### **CONFORMITY & TEST DECLARATION**

The stated level is valid at reference conditions. Measured according to IEC 60942:2003. The stated level is relative to 20  $\mu Pa$  .

The level is traceable to GUM (Central Office of Measures, Poland) with a calculated uncertainty less then  $\pm 0.15 \text{ dB } (2*\text{sd}).$ 

Calibration specialist:

Date:

2013-08-01



## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR ALLEN CHAN

CLIENT: ADDRESS: **ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED** FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL BUILDING.

20 LEE CHUNG STREET,

CHAI WAN. HONG KONG WORK ORDER:

HK1328496

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

16/10/2013

DATE OF ISSUE: 24/10/2013

## **COMMENTS**

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity

Equipment Type:

WATER OUALITY MULTI-METER

Brand Name: Model No.:

TOA DKK WMS-24

Serial No.:

685940

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 23 October, 2013

#### **NOTES**

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

### ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

#### Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre

1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung HONG KONG Phone:

852-2610 1044

Fax:

852-2610 2021

Email:

hongkong@alsglobal.com

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1328496

Date of Issue:

24/10/2013

Client:

**ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED** 



Description:

WATER QUALITY MULTI-METER

Brand Name: Model No.: TOA DKK WMS-24

Serial No.:

WMS-24 685940

Equipment No.:

00

Date of Calibration:

23 October, 2013

Date of next Calibration:

23 January, 2014

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm )	Tolerance (%)
146.9	138.0	-6.1
6667	6530	-2.1
12890	12800	-0.7
58670	56900	-3.0
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0

**Dissolved Oxygen** 

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.67	2 77	0.10
3.67	3.77 5.29	0.10 0.14
5.15 7.25	7.09	-0.16
7.23	7.03	-0.10
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B

Method Ren / Clift Elst Edi 15		
Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.03	0.03
7.0	6.99	-0.01
10.0	9.92	-0.08
	Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)	0.20

**Temperature** 

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

	duide No. 3 Second Edition M	Cambration Frocedure.	
Expected Reading (°C)		Displayed Reading (°C )	Tolerance (°C )
	11.0	10.6	-0.4
	25.0	24.0	-1.0
	32.0	31.0	-1.0
	96-5-47-55-63		
		Tolerance Limit (±°C)	2.0

**Turbidity** 

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.0			
4	3.9	-2.5		
40	39.4	-1.5		
80	79.4	-0.7		
400	383.6	-4.1		
800	799.8	0.0		
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong


ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Environmental



TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition			A 84540	
74.7 (23.7)	°F (°C)	lylodei	AM510	
49	%RH	G	4400000	
28.91 (979.0)	inHg (hPa)	Serial Number	11302028	
	49	<del></del>	49 %RH Serial Number	



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal Due	Measurement Variable Temperature DC Voltage Photometer Pressure	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Barometric Pressure	E003733	03-12-13	03-12-14		E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13		E003314	01-02-13	01-02-14
DC Voltage	E003315	01-02-13	01-02-14		E003319	08-14-13	02-14-14
Microbalance	M001324	01-04-13	01-04-15		E003511	11-07-12	11-07-13
Flowmeter	E002006	03-05-13	03-05-14				

Awanda Shall Calibrated

Final Function Check

September 10, 2013

Date




TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition		
Temperature	73.9 (23.3)	°F (°C)
Relative Humidity	45	%RH
Barometric Pressure	29.07 (984.4)	inHg (hPa)

Model	AM510
Serial Number	11302036

☐ As Left ☐ ☐ In Tolerance ☐ Out of Tolerance



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable Barometric Pressure Humidity DC Voltage Microbalance Flowmeter	System ID E003733 E002873 E003315 M001324 E002006	Last Cal. 03-12-13 11-08-12 01-02-13 01-04-13 03-05-13	Cal. Due 03-12-14 11-08-13 01-02-14 01-04-15 03-05-14	Measurement Variable Temperature DC Voltage Photometer Pressure	System ID E002873 E003314 E003319 E003511	Last Cal, 11-08-12 01-02-13 08-14-13 11-07-12	Cal. Duc 11-08-13 01-02-14 02-14-14 11-07-13
--	--	---	--	---	---	---	--

Amand	a Jhad
	Calibrated

X	Final Function
	Check

September 3, 2013

Date

SI D/N 22001E7




TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition			Model	AM510	
Temperature	68.5 (20.3)	°F (°C)	Widde	ANISTO	
Relative Humidity	22	%RH	Serial Number	44204024	
Barometric Pressure	28.95 (980.4)	inHg (hPa)	Serial Number	11304034	

 ☑ As Left
 ☑ In Tolerance

 ☐ As Found
 ☐ Out of Tolerance



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Photometer	E003433	04-12-13	10-12-13	Flowmeter	E003520	02-28-13	02-28-14
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	01-03-13	01-03-14	Microbalance	M001324	01-04-13	01-04-15
Barometric Pressure	E003733	03-12-13	03-12-14	Temperature	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

Bee Vang

Final Function Check

April 24, 2013

Date




TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition	Madal		
Temperature	73.8 (23.2)	°F(°C)	Model
Relative Humidity	27	%RH	Contain
Barometric Pressure	28.99 (981.7)	inHg (hPa)	Serial Number

Model	AM510
Serial Number	11304038

🖾 As Left	In Tolerance
□.A. Found	Out of Tolerance



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1. Al test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable Photometer DC Voltage(Keithley) Barometric Pressure	System ID E003433 E002859 E003733	Last Cal. 04-12-13 01-03-13 03-12-13	01-03-14 03-12-14	Measurement Variable Flowmeter Microbalance Temperature	System ID E003520 M001324 E002873	Last Cal. 02-28-13 01-04-13 11-08-12	Cal. Due 02-28-14 01-04-15 11-08-13
Humidity	E002873	11-08-12	11-08-13	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

Jacqua Calibrated

Final	Function
Che	ck

April 26, 2013

Date



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Operator		Orifice I.I	•	438320 1941	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	751.84
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4710 1.0370 0.9270 0.8840 0.7300	3.3 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

#### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9916 0.9874 0.9854 0.9843 0.9790	0.6741 0.9521 1.0630 1.1134 1.3410	1.4113 1.9959 2.2315 2.3405 2.8227		0.9956 0.9914 0.9894 0.9883 0.9829	0.6768 0.9560 1.0673 1.1180 1.3465	0.8874 1.2549 1.4030 1.4715 1.7747
Qstd slop	(b) =	2.11662 -0.01714 0.99999		Qa slope intercept coefficie	t (b) =	1.32539 -0.01078 0.99999
y axis =	SQRT [H2O (	2a/760)(298/	ra)]	y axis =	SQRT [H20 (T	?a/Pa)]

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]

Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd =  $1/m\{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa =  $1/m\{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$ 

#### TSP Sampler Calibration

#### SITE

Location: Tai Po Tsai Sampler: TE-5170 MFC Date: October 28, 2013 (Serial # : 2039) Tech: Sam Wong

CONDITIONS

#### Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 39.88 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):

1013

Temperature (deg F): Temperature (deg K): 298 Average Press. (in Hg): 29.88 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 759 Average Temp. (deg F): Average Temp. (deg K): 298

#### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662 TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714 Model: April 9, 2013 Serial#: Date Certified:

CALIBRATIONS							
Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION		
1	12.20	1.913	58.0	66.96	Slope =	34.3035	
2	10.20	1.750	52.0	60.03	Intercept =	0.9400	
3	8.20	1.570	48.0	55.42	Corr. coeff.=	0.9989	
4	5.20	1.252	38.0	43.87			
5	3.20	0.984	30.0	34.63	# of Observations:	5	

### Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

= sampler slope = sampler intercept m

b

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature Pav = daily average pressure

#### TSP Sampler Calibration

#### SITE

Location: Tai Po Tsai Sampler: TE-300-310X Date: October 28, 2013 (Serial # : 0873) Tech: Sam Wong

CONDITIONS Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 39.88 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 1013 Temperature (deg F): Temperature (deg K): 298 Average Press. (in Hg): 29.88 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 759

#### CALIBRATION ORIFICE Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662 TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714 Model: April 9, 2013 Serial#: Date Certified:

Average Temp. (deg K):

298

CALIBRATIONS								
Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION			
1	12.40	1.929	59.0	68.11	Slope =	34.9675		
2	10.00	1.733	52.0	60.03	Intercept =	0.0580		
3	8.40	1.589	48.0	55.42	Corr. coeff.=	0.9994		
4	5.20	1.252	38.0	43.87				
5	3.20	0.984	30.0	34.63	<pre># of Observations:</pre>	5		

### Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Average Temp. (deg F):

Qstd = standard flow rate IC = corrected chart response I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

= sampler slope = sampler intercept m b

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature Pav = daily average pressure

#### TSP Sampler Calibration

#### SITE

Location: Tai Po Tsai Sampler: TE-5170 MFC Date: October 28, 2013

(Serial # : 2042) Tech: Sam Wong

#### CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 39.88 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 1013 Temperature (deg F): Temperature (deg K): 298 Average Press. (in Hg): 29.88 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 759 Average Temp. (deg F): Average Temp. (deg K): 298

#### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662 TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714 Model: April 9, 2013 Serial#: Date Certified:

CALIBRATIONS						
Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION	
1	12.20	1.913	58.0	66.96	Slope =	34.5739
2	10.20	1.750	52.0	60.03	Intercept =	0.1024
3	8.00	1.551	46.0	53.11	Corr. coeff.=	0.9989
4	5.20	1.252	38.0	43.87		
5	3.30	0.999	30.0	34.63	# of Observations:	5

#### Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m

= sampler slope = sampler intercept b

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature Pav = daily average pressure

#### TSP Sampler Calibration

#### SITE

Location: Tai Po Tsai Sampler: TE-5170 MFC Date: October 28, 2013

(Serial # : 2040) Tech: Sam Wong

#### CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 39.88 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 1013 Temperature (deg F): Temperature (deg K): 298 Average Press. (in Hg): 29.88 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 759 Average Temp. (deg F): Average Temp. (deg K): 298

#### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662 TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714 Model: April 9, 2013 Serial#: Date Certified:

CALIBRATIONS						
Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION	
1	12.20	1.913	58.0	66.96	Slope =	34.6371
2	10.20	1.750	53.0	61.19	Intercept =	0.3436
3	8.00	1.551	46.0	53.11	Corr. coeff.=	0.9991
4	5.20	1.252	38.0	43.87		
5	3.20	0.984	30.0	34.63	# of Observations:	5

#### Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

= sampler slope = sampler intercept m

b

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature Pav = daily average pressure

## **Appendix D**

Construction Noise Monitoring Data

#### 大成環境科技拓展有限公司 Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

#### **Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Loca	tion	N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitori	ng	4/12/2013	4/12/2013	4/12/2013	4/12/2013
Weather Conditi	ion	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement S	tart Time (hh:mm)	9:15	9:51	10:26	11:02
Measurement T	ime Length (mins)	30 r	nins	30 r	nins
SLM Model & S	/N	SVAN	N 955	SVAN	N 955
Wind Speed (m/	/s)	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, North	<5, North
	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	61.9	58.0	68.2	66.7
Measurement Results	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	64.7	59.9	69.9	68.5
rtocuito	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	56.2	51.0	66.8	61.5
Major Construct During Monitorir	ion Noise Source(s) ng	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise House construction works is observed	Background noise Traffic noise

<u>Name</u>

<u>Signature</u>

<u>Date</u>

Perpared by:

Lai Chi Hang

4/12/2013

### 大成環境科技拓展有限公司

#### **Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

#### **Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Local	tion	N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Meth	od	Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitorii	ng	10/12/2013	10/12/2013	10/12/2013	10/12/2013
Weather Conditi	on	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement St	tart Time (hh:mm)	9:10	9:46	10:22	11:00
Measurement Ti	me Length (mins)	30 r	mins	30 n	nins
SLM Model & S/	N	SVA	N 955	SVAN	N 955
Wind Speed (m/	s)	<5, Northeast	<5, North	<5, North	<5, North
	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	60.6	69.5	59.9	66.7
Measurement Results	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	63.7	70.9	61.4	68.7
	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	54.0	59.4	55.1	62.4
Major Constructi During Monitorin	on Noise Source(s) g	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise Sweeping	Background noise	Background noise Other construction activities is observed	Background noise Traffic noise

<u>Name</u>

<u>Signature</u>

<u>Date</u>

Perpared by:

Lai Chi Hang

10/12/2013

#### 大成環境科技拓展有限公司 Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

#### **Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Loca	ition	N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Meth	nod	Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitori	ng	16/12/2013	16/12/2013	16/12/2013	16/12/2013
Weather Condit	ion	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement S	tart Time (hh:mm)	8:52	9:28	10:04	10:40
Measurement T	ime Length (mins)	30 r	mins	30 r	nins
SLM Model & S.	/N	SVAN	N 955	SVAN	N 955
Wind Speed (m	/s)	<5, Trace	<5, Northwest	<5, North	<5, Northwest
	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	59.2	60.3	59.3	66.4
Measurement Results	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	60.1	62.0	60.7	68.8
- toouno	L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))	59.1	59.0	58.8	61.2
Major Construct During Monitorin	ion Noise Source(s) ng	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name Signature Date

Perpared by: Lai Chi Hang Holder 16/12/2013

#### 大成環境科技拓展有限公司 Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

#### **Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**

Monitoring Loca	tion	N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitori	ng	21/12/2013	21/12/2013	21/12/2013	21/12/2013
Weather Conditi	ion	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement S	tart Time (hh:mm)	9:20	9:56	10:31	11:10
Measurement Ti	ime Length (mins)	30 r	mins	30 r	nins
SLM Model & S/	/N	SVAI	N 955	SVAN	N 955
Wind Speed (m/	/s)	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, North	<5, North
	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	60.9	59.6	62.1	67.2
Measurement Results	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	63.3	60.3	62.8	69.0
L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))		56.3	55.5	56.0	64.5
Major Construct During Monitorir	ion Noise Source(s) ng	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

<u>Name</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u>

Perpared by: Lai Chi Hang 21/12/2013

### 大成環境科技拓展有限公司


#### **Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**


#### **Noise Monitoring Data Sheet**


Monitoring Loca	tion	N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Meth	od	Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitori	ng	27/12/2013	27/12/2013	27/12/2013	27/12/2013
Weather Conditi	ion	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Measurement S	tart Time (hh:mm)	9:01	9:36	10:12	10:50
Measurement T	ime Length (mins)	30 r	mins	30 r	nins
SLM Model & S	/N	SVAI	N 955	SVAN	N 955
Wind Speed (m/	/s)	<5, North	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
	L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))	63.9	60.6	68.1	64.7
Measurement Results	L <sub>10</sub> (dB(A))	64.8	62.2	70.2	66.3
L <sub>90</sub> (dB(A))		57.2	56.2	63.2	62.0
Major Construct During Monitorir	ion Noise Source(s) ng	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise


<u>Name</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u>

Perpared by: Lai Chi Hang 27/12/2013









## **Appendix E**

Water Quality Monitoring Data

Date of Sampling :	3/12/2013	
•		
Weather:	Cloudy	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.25	8.23
Temperature (°C)	18.5	18.5
Turbidity (NTU)	4.4	6.0
DO (mg/L)	7.92	7.89
DO Saturation (%)	80%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	<2

Remark or Observation :			
_			
_			
_			
_			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By : _	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	3/12/2013

Date of Sampling : _	5/12/2013
Weather:	Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.23	8.16
Temperature (°C)	17.5	17.8
Turbidity (NTU)	5.3	5.9
DO (mg/L)	7.87	7.56
DO Saturation (%)	80%	78%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	5.0

Remark or Observation :			
-			
-			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prenared Ry :	Lau Kai Chung	l au Kai Chung	5/12/2013

Date of Sampling :	7/12/2013	
Weather:	Sunny	
	· ·	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	14:00	14:35
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.88	8.23
Temperature (°C)	18.9	18.9
Turbidity (NTU)	5.5	5.7
DO (mg/L)	8.10	8.16
DO Saturation (%)	90%	90%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5.0	6.0

Remark or Observation:			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	7/12/2013

Date of Sampling: 10/12/2013

Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.10	8.16
Temperature (°C)	21.3	21.4
Turbidity (NTU)	5.6	4.6
DO (mg/L)	7.98	7.89
DO Saturation (%)	85%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5.0	5.0

Remark or Observation :			
-			
-			
- -			
-			
	Name	<u>Signature</u>	Date
	<u>ramo</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	10/12/2013

Date of Sampling :	12/12/2013	
Weather:	Sunny	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.03	7.88
Temperature (°C)	20.5	20.6
Turbidity (NTU)	5.8	6.0
DO (mg/L)	8.36	8.01
DO Saturation (%)	90%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	4.0

Remark or Observation:			
-			
<del>,-</del>			
_ _			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By:	Lau Kai Chung	l au Kai Chung	12/12/2013

Date of Sampling: 14/12/2013

Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	11:00	11:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.01	8.16
Temperature (°C)	21.3	21.8
Turbidity (NTU)	5.1	6.1
DO (mg/L)	8.15	7.95
DO Saturation (%)	85%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	27.0	30.0

Remark or Observation:		Turbid water was oberved.	
-			
•			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By:	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	14/12/2013

Date of Sampling: 17/12/2013

Weather: Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.97	7.90
Temperature (°C)	20.8	20.9
Turbidity (NTU)	5.0	5.6
DO (mg/L)	7.89	7.98
DO Saturation (%)	80%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	32.0	31.0

Remark or Observation :		Turbid water was oberved.	
<u>-</u>			
-			
<del>-</del>			
_			
!	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By:	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	17/12/2013

Date of Sampling: 19/12/2013

Weather: Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:30	11:00
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.88	7.73
Temperature (°C)	17.1	17.1
Turbidity (NTU)	5.5	6.0
DO (mg/L)	7.81	7.90
DO Saturation (%)	84%	88%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	43.0	45.0

Remark or Observation :		Turbid water was oberved.	
-			
-			
-			
-			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
	<del></del>	<del></del>	
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	19/12/2013

Date of Sampling : _	21/12/2013	
Weather:	Sunny	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.23	8.26
Temperature (°C)	20.5	20.5
Turbidity (NTU)	5.6	5.6
DO (mg/L)	8.16	8.23
DO Saturation (%)	95%	98%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	47.0	50.0

Remark or Observation :	_	Turbid water was oberved.	
_			
_			
_			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chunα	Lau Kai Chunα	21/12/2013

Date of Sampling :	24/12/2013	
Weather:	Sunny	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.89	7.80
Temperature (°C)	18.3	18.5
Turbidity (NTU)	5.8	6.0
DO (mg/L)	7.86	7.80
DO Saturation (%)	88%	88%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	2.0

Remark or Observation:			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	24/12/2013

Date of Sampling :	28/12/2013	
Weather:	Cloudy	

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.85	7.98
Temperature (°C)	16.1	16.3
Turbidity (NTU)	4.1	5.3
DO (mg/L)	8.13	8.26
DO Saturation (%)	90%	90%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	2.0

Remark or Observation:			
_			
-			
-			
-			
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	28/12/2013

Date of Sampling :	31/12/2013
•	
Weather:	Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.84	7.79
Temperature (°C)	16.7	16.5
Turbidity (NTU)	3.7	4.1
DO (mg/L)	8.12	7.88
DO Saturation (%)	83%	80%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	<2	<2

Remark or Observation:			
- -			
-			
-			
-			
	Name	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
Dranavad By	Lou Koi Chung	Lou Koi Chung	24/42/2042
Prepared By :	Lau Kai Chung	Lau Kai Chung	31/12/2013

#### ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd



#### **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard : HK1333828 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 05-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 16-DEC-2013 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 09-DEC-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1333828** 

Sample(s) were picked up from client by ALS Technichem (HK) staff in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1333828

## ALS

#### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
	Client sampling date / time		[30-NOV-2013]	[30-NOV-2013]	[03-DEC-2013]	[03-DEC-2013]		
Compound	CAS Number LOR Unit		HK1333828-001	HK1333828-002	HK1333828-003	HK1333828-004		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	2	<2	

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1333828

# ALS

#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	
EA/ED: Physical an	d Aggregate Properties (QC	Lot: 3200691)							
HK1333549-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0	
HK1333576-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	4	4	0.0	

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
				Spike	Spike Rec	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPI	D (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (C	QC Lot: 3200691)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112		

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

#### ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

# ALS

#### **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard : HK1334016 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 07-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 16-DEC-2013 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

13-DEC-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1334016** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1334016

## ALS

#### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
	Client sampling date / time		[05-DEC-2013]	[05-DEC-2013]	[07-DEC-2013]	[07-DEC-2013]		
Compound	CAS Number LOR Unit		HK1334016-001	HK1334016-002	HK1334016-003	HK1334016-004		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	4	5	5	6	

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1334016

# ALS

#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)			
EA/ED: Physical ar	d Aggregate Properties	s (QC Lot: 3205844)									
HK1333672-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0			
HK1333717-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	22	20	8.3			
EA/ED: Physical ar	d Aggregate Properties	s (QC Lot: 3205845)									
HK1334016-002	W2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	5	5	0.0			
HK1334105-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	19	19	0.0			
EA/ED: Physical ar	d Aggregate Properties	s (QC Lot: 3211003)									
HK1333386-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	195	191	2.2			
HK1333985-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	360	350	2.5			

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
					Spike	Spike Re	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPD (%)		
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (	QC Lot: 3205844)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112			
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (	QC Lot: 3205845)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	104		86	112			
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (	QC Lot: 3211003)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112			

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

#### ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

# ALS

#### **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard HK1334956 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 14-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 24-DEC-2013 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

18-DEC-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1334956** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1334956

## ALS

#### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
	Client sampling date / time		[10-DEC-2013]	[10-DEC-2013]	[12-DEC-2013]	[12-DEC-2013]		
Compound	CAS Number LOR Unit		HK1334956-001	HK1334956-002	HK1334956-003	HK1334956-004		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	5	5	4	4	

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1334956



#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)		
EA/ED: Physical an	d Aggregate Properties (QC	Lot: 3218642)								
HK1334902-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0		
HK1334957-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	8	7	14.7		

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
				Spike	Spike Red	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPI	D (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (	QC Lot: 3218642)	)									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	101		86	112		

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

#### ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

# ALS

#### **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard : HK1335758 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 21-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 03-JAN-2014 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1335758** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1335758

## ALS

#### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER	Client sample ID		W1	W2	W1	W2		
		Client sa	mpling date / time	[14-DEC-2013]	[14-DEC-2013]	[17-DEC-2013]	[17-DEC-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	HK1335758-001	HK1335758-002	HK1335758-003	HK1335758-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	27	30	32	31	

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1335758

# ALS

#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER					Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)			
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3230693)											
HK1335638-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	4	4	0.0			
HK1335645-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0			

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
					Spike	Spike Red	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPI	D (%)
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 3230693)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112		

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

#### ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

# ALS

#### **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard : HK1335760 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 21-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 03-JAN-2014 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

30-DEC-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1335760** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1335760

# ALS

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
		Client sa	mpling date / time	[19-DEC-2013]	[19-DEC-2013]	[21-DEC-2013]	[21-DEC-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	HK1335760-001	HK1335760-002	HK1335760-003	HK1335760-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	43	45	47	50	

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1335760



## Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER					L	aboratory Duplicate (DUP) Re	port	
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	Lot: 3230694)						
HK1335760-001	W1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	43	45	4.9
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	Lot: 3231975)						
HK1335633-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0
HK1335784-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	21	20	0.0

## Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (ME	3) Report		Laboratory Cor	ntrol Spike (LCS) and Lak	poratory Control Sp	ike Duplicate (DC	S) Report	
					Spike	Spike Re	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RI	PD (%)
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	s (QC Lot: 3230694)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	s (QC Lot: 3231975)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	100		86	112		

## Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

# ALS

## **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

#### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard : HK1336143 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 28-DEC-2013 Issue Date Order number : 08-JAN-2014 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 4 No. of samples analysed : 4 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

31-DEC-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1336143** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1336143

# ALS

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
		Client sa	mpling date / time	[24-DEC-2013]	[24-DEC-2013]	[28-DEC-2013]	[28-DEC-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	HK1336143-001	HK1336143-002	HK1336143-003	HK1336143-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	3	2	2	2	

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1336143



## Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)			
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC	Lot: 3233227)									
HK1336143-001	W1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	3	2	0.0			
HK1336175-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0			

## Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	) Report		Laboratory Cont	rol Spike (LCS) and Labora	atory Control S	oike Duplicate (D	CS) Report	1	
							covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPI	D (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	ber LOR Unit Result			Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	(QC Lot: 3233227)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		86	112			

## Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Client Page Laboratory : ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS : 1 of 3 LTD Work Order Contact Contact : MR ALLEN CHAN : Fung Lim Chee, Richard HK1400374 Address Address : 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing : FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, **CHAI WAN HONG KONG** E-mail F-mail : allenchan@epsl.com.hk : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com Telephone Telephone : +852 2558 7699 : +852 2610 1044 Facsimile Facsimile : +852 2610 2021 : ----Date Samples Received Proiect Quote number : TAI PO TSAI . \_\_\_\_ : 06-JAN-2014 Issue Date Order number : 15-JAN-2014 C-O-C number No. of samples received : 2 No. of samples analysed : 2 . \_\_\_\_

#### General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is:

08-14N-2014

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1400374** 

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories Position Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager Inorganics

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1400374

## ALS

Sub-Matrix: WATER			Client sample ID	W1	W2		
		Client sa	mpling date / time	[31-DEC-2013]	[31-DEC-2013]		
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	HK1400374-001	HK1400374-002		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties							
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2		

Client : ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Work Order HK1400374



## Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report


Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)			
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC	Lot: 3239522)									
HK1400336-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0			
HK1400371-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	16	16	0.0			


## Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report


Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	) Report		Laboratory Cont	trol Spike (LCS) and Labora	atory Control Sp	oike Duplicate (D	CS) Report	
					Spike	Spike Red	covery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPI	D (%)
thod: Compound CAS Number LOR Unit Result				Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (C	QC Lot: 3239522)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		87	111		


## Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.











## Appendix F


Air Quality Monitoring Data


## 1-Hr TSP Monitoring Results

							Loca	tions					
			D1			D2			D3			D4	
Date	Duration	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m³)	Average (ug/m³)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m³)	Average (ug/m³)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m³)	Average (ug/m³)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m³)	Average (ug/m³)
		8:52	192		8:48	195		8:40	204		8:34	223	
4/12/2013	1 Hour	9:52	108	130	9:48	116	134	9:40	142	150	9:34	152	159
		10:52	89		10:48	92		10:40	103		10:34	103	
		8:50	267		8:44	269		8:39	230		8:32	279	
10/12/2013	1 Hour	9:50	261	264	9:44	266	268	9:39	234	239	9:32	272	274
		10:50	263		10:44	268		10:39	253		10:32	270	
		8:55	124		8:47	141		8:43	131		8:39	144	
16/12/2013	1 Hour	9:55	134	126	9:47	127	132	9:43	133	137	9:39	140	137
		10:55	119		10:47	129		10:43	148		10:39	128	
		8:48	105		8:44	105		8:39	145		8:33	124	
21/12/2013	1 Hour	9:48	108	108	9:44	109	108	9:39	148	148	9:33	123	124
		10:48	110		10:44	110		10:39	151		10:33	124	
		8:45	227		8:42	219		8:36	245		8:29	266	
27/12/2013	1 Hour	9:45	234	233	9:42	229		9:36	258	255	9:29	280	278
27/12/2013 1 Hou		10:45	237		10:42	233		10:36	261		10:29	288	









## D1 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

\*Due to a complaint received from EMSD and Lands Department regarding the obstruction of electric cable and cage, the 24-hrs TSP monitoring at D1 was terminated and no data is presented in this reporting period.

D2 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

		W	t. of pape	r (g)	E	Clapse Ti	me	F	low Ra	ate (CFM)	Total Volume	TSP Concentration	Weather
Sampling Date	Paper No.	Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	(m³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	
04/12/13	205801	2.5199	2.8805	0.3606	2121.97	2145.98	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	210.4690	Sunny
10/12/13	205895	2.7487	3.1368	0.3881	2145.98	2169.99	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	226.5198	Cloudy
16/12/13	205898	2.7547	2.7736	0.0189	2169.99	2194.00	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	11.0312	Cloudy
21/12/13	205901	2.9591	3.0841	0.1250	2194.00	2218.01	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	72.9579	Sunny
27/12/13	205805	2.7505	2.9035	0.1530	2218.01	2242.02	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	89.3005	Sunny

D3 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

		Wı	t. of paper	· (g)	E	lapse Ti	me	F	low Ra	nte (CFM)	Total Volume	TSP Concentration	Weather
Sampling Date	Paper No.	Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	(m³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	
04/12/13	205802	2.6594	2.9338	0.2744	2655.51	2679.52	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	160.1572	Sunny
10/12/13	205896	2.7521	2.9296	0.1775	2679.52	2703.53	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	103.6003	Cloudy
16/12/13	205899	2.7482	2.8066	0.0584	2703.53	2727.54	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	34.0859	Cloudy
21/12/13	205902	2.9112	3.0159	0.1047	2727.54	2751.55	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	61.1096	Sunny
27/12/13	205905	2.9168	2.9811	0.0643	2751.55	2775.56	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	37.5296	Sunny

## D4 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

		Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume	TSP Concentration	Weather
Sampling Date	Paper No.	Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	(m³)	(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
04/12/13	205803	2.7177	2.8122	0.0945	2868.50	2892.52	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	55.1332	Sunny
10/12/13	205897	2.7489	3.2140	0.4651	2892.52	2916.54	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	271.3488	Cloudy
16/12/13	205900	2.7034	2.7595	0.0561	2916.54	2940.56	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	32.7299	Cloudy
21/12/13	205903	2.9336	3.0358	0.1022	2940.56	2964.58	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	59.6256	Sunny
27/12/13	205906	2.9191	3.0638	0.1447	2964.58	2988.60	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	84.4209	Sunny

## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## **ALS Laboratory Group**

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

· ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD Client

· MR SAM WONG Contact

: RM 3704, SIK MAN HOUSE,

**HOMANTIN ESTATE,** 

KOWLOON, HONG KONG

E-mail : sam.wong@eno.com.hk

+852 22421020 Telephone

Facsimile +852 27143612

Project

Address

Site

Order number

C-O-C number

Laboratory Contact

: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd

: Fung Lim Chee, Richard Address

: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing

Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

E-mail : Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com

· +852 2610 1044 Telephone

Facsimile +852 2610 2021

Quote number

Date received

Page

Work Order

· 04-DEC-2013

HK1400345

Date of issue : 09-JAN-2014

No. of samples Received

: 1 of 2

15 Analysed

15

## **Report Comments**

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1400345 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. The completion date of analysis is 07-JAN-2014. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific comments for Work Order HK1400345:

: ----

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the 'Electronic Transactions Ordinance'

of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatory Position Authorised results for:-

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

**General Manager** 


Inorganics

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK1400345

# ALS

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)		Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight	
		LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	
Client sample ID	Client sampling date /	Laboratory sample	EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and	
	time	ID	Aggregate Properties	Aggregate Properties	Aggregate Properties	
205801	[04-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-001	0.3606	2.5199	2.8805	
205802	[04-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-002	0.2744	2.6594	2.9338	
205803	[04-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-003	0.0945	2.7177	2.8122	
205895	[10-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-004	0.3881	2.7487	3.1368	
205896	[10-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-005	0.1775	2.7521	2.9296	
205897	[10-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-006	0.4651	2.7489	3.2140	
205898	[16-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-007	0.0189	2.7547	2.7736	
205899	[16-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-008	0.0584	2.7482	2.8066	
205900	[16-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-009	0.0561	2.7034	2.7595	
205901	[21-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-010	0.1250	2.9591	3.0841	
205902	[21-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-011	0.1047	2.9112	3.0159	
205903	[21-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-012	0.1022	2.9336	3.0358	
205805	[27-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-013	0.1530	2.7505	2.9035	
205905	[27-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-014	0.0643	2.9168	2.9811	
205906	[27-DEC-2013]	HK1400345-015	0.1447	2.9191	3.0638	



\*Due to a complaint received from EMSD and Lands Department regarding the obstruction of electric cable and cage, the 24-hrs TSP monitoring at D1 was terminated and no data is presented in this reporting period.

