

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing Report for

Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development
at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229,
Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

February 2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre,
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong
Tel: 2556 9172 Fax: 2856 2010

APPROVAL SHEET

The Contents of this report have been

Certified by:

ETL (Environmental Pioneers & Solution Limited)

Signature:



Ms. Goldie Fung
(Environmental Team Leader)

Date: 22-MAR-2013

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature:



Mr. Tony Cheng
(IEC)

Date: 22. Mar. 2013

TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Introduction	1
2	Project Information.....	1
3	Project Organization.....	2
	3.1 Key personal contact information chart.....	2
4	Construction Stage	3
	4.1 Construction Activities in Reporting Period	3
	4.2 Construction Activities for Coming Months	3
	4.3 Environmental Status.....	4
5	Noise Monitoring	5
	5.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	5
	5.2 Monitoring Equipment	5
	5.3 Monitoring Locations.....	6
	5.4 Monitoring Frequency	8
	5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	8
	5.6 Action and Limit Level for Construction noise	9
	5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	14
6	Water Monitoring.....	15
	6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology.....	15
	6.2 Monitoring Equipment	15
	6.3 Monitoring Locations.....	16
	6.4 Monitoring Frequency	18
	6.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	18
	6.6 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality	18
	6.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period	23
7	Air Quality Monitoring.....	24
	7.1 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters	24
	7.2 Monitoring Equipment	24
	7.3 Monitoring Locations.....	25
	7.4 Monitoring Frequency	27
	7.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	27
	7.6 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP	28
	7.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period	31
8	Ecology.....	32
9	Action Taken in Event of Exceedance.....	32
10	Construction Waste Disposal	32
11	Status of Permits and Licenses	34

12	Compliant Log	35
13	Site Environmental Audits	35
	13.1 Site Inspection	35
	13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement	36
	13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures ...	36
14	Future Key Issues and Recommendations	36
15	Conclusions	37

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A: Construction Master Programme and Site Location Plan

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact Information Chart

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Appendix F: Air Quality Monitoring Data

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the sixth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd. This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1st of February 2013 to 28th February 2013. The major site activities in this reporting period were mainly stream course diversion works, soil nail works and ELS works.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the Particular Specifications (PS). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections and photos records were kept.

Noise, water quality and air quality monitoring were performed during the reporting period. Results were compared with the established Action/Limit (A/L) level.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise, water quality and air quality was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

It is expected that noise, water quality and air quality impacts may be resulted from the site works. ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary and to keep a good environmental management at site practice. The recommended mitigation measures proposed for the project as well as implementation status can refer to section 13.3.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the PS and Environmental Permit requirement.

1 Introduction

This is the sixth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung under New World Project Management Ltd.. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Hip Seng Construction Co. Ltd. to prepare the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1st February 2013 to 28th February 2013. This report included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, air quality monitoring and regular site inspections for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (FEP-02/428/2011/A) (EP), PS and the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan (EMP).


2 Project Information

Construction works of "Drainage Diversion Works for the Comprehensive Residential Development at Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung" project has been commenced in Sep 2012 and is expected to be completed by July 2013. Construction master program is shown in **Appendix A**.

3 Project Organization

The Main Contractor, Hip Seng Construction Company Limited, has commissioned Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited as the Environmental Team, which comprises the environmental team leader, the environmental technicians to undertake the environmental monitoring and audit work for this project.

The Environmental management structure is shown in Fig. 3.1



3.1 Key personal contact information chart

Detail contact of key persons involved in environmental aspect of the project is shown in **Appendix B**.

4 Construction Stage

4.1 Construction Activities in Reporting Period

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

- Stream course diversion works
 - Excavation works
 - Precast concrete pipe installation
 - Manhole concreting works
 - Sheet pile installation works

- Soil nail works
 - Survey checking and setting out works
 - Excavation of soil nail area
 - Test soil nail works

- ELS works
 - Survey checking and setting of monitoring check point
 - Excavation output for stockpile & ELS Area

4.2 Construction Activities for Coming Months

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

- Site clearance for stream course diversion works
- Excavation at zone B2 and zone A1 soil nail area
- Construction of manhole S5A, S5, S4A, S3A, S1 & sand trap at zone B1
- Construction of outfall at zone A1
- Existing stream diversion for concrete pipe installation from box culvert to manhole S5B
- Concrete pipe installation from manhole S5B to box culvert
- Environmental Monitoring
- Soil nail Installation for 1st layer at zone A1
- Sheet piling and pre-drilling at zone B3

4.3 Environmental Status

The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 5.3, 6.3, and 7.3 for noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, and air quality monitoring respectively.

5 Noise Monitoring

5.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period, $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results L_{10} and L_{90} were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 5.7.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding $5ms^{-1}$ or wind with gust exceeding $10ms^{-1}$. Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 5.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 5.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Qty
Integrated sound level meter	Svan 955	IEC61672 Type 1 IEC 1260 Class 1	1
Acoustical calibrator	SV30A	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter and acoustical calibrator are given in Appendix C for reference			

5.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the PS, impact noise monitoring was undertaken at four locations during the construction phase of the project. The monitoring locations are summarized in Table 5.3.1 and are shown in Figure 5.3.1.

Noise measurement for N4 location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view, so that façade measurement was made for monitoring location N4 and the free field measurements were made for monitoring locations N1, N2 and N3.

Table 5.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Identification No.	Noise Monitoring Locations
N1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
N2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
N4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung

In accordance with the requirements in the PS, weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ would be carried out.




Figure 5.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

5.4 Monitoring Frequency

The regular monitoring for each location was performed on a basis of once in every 6 days.

As the construction site was closed during the Chinese New Year Holiday, no noise monitoring was conducted during 8th of February 2013 to 13th February 2013.

Monitoring was carried out on 6th, 14th, 20th and 26th of February 2013.

5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 5.5.1. The results of N1 ranged between 61.2dB (A) and 64.3dB (A), N2 ranged between 60.2dB (A) and 63.9dB (A), N3 ranged between 61.3dB (A) and 63.9dB (A) and N4 ranged between 61.5dB (A) and 65.1dB (A) were within the limit levels and therefore no exceedance was found.

Location	Parameter	Date	Time	L _{Aeq} dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather
*N1	Leq30min	6-Feb-13	13:02	62.5	75	N	Cloudy
*N1	Leq30min	14-Feb-13	11:28	63.5	75	N	Cloudy
*N1	Leq30min	20-Feb-13	14:40	64.3	75	N	Cloudy
*N1	Leq30min	26-Feb-13	9:30	61.2	75	N	Cloudy
*N2	Leq30min	6-Feb-13	11:33	60.2	75	N	Cloudy
*N2	Leq30min	14-Feb-13	13:00	60.2	75	N	Cloudy
*N2	Leq30min	20-Feb-13	13:02	62.4	75	N	Cloudy
*N2	Leq30min	26-Feb-13	10:05	63.9	75	N	Cloudy
*N3	Leq30min	6-Feb-13	9:50	63.9	75	N	Cloudy
*N3	Leq30min	14-Feb-13	9:15	61.3	75	N	Cloudy
*N3	Leq30min	20-Feb-13	10:11	61.5	75	N	Cloudy
*N3	Leq30min	26-Feb-13	10:42	61.6	75	N	Cloudy
N4	Leq30min	6-Feb-13	10:30	64.1	75	N	Cloudy
N4	Leq30min	14-Feb-13	10:50	62.6	75	N	Cloudy

N4	Leq30min	20-Feb-13	9:30	65.1	75	N	Cloudy
N4	Leq30min	26-Feb-13	11:30	61.5	75	N	Cloudy

*The equivalent noise level of N1, N2 and N3 is corrected by +3dB(A).

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring is attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

5.6 Action and Limit Level for Construction noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 5.6.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise at All Sensitive Receivers		
Time Period	Action	Limit
Daytime 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)*
1900 – 2300 on all days and 0700 – 2300 on general holidays (including Sundays)		60/65/70 dB(A)**
2300 – 0700 on all days		45/50/55 dB(A)**

Table 5.6.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT				
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Exceedance for one sample in Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform ER, IEC and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice. 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.

<p>Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples in Action Level.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform IEC and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions. 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER. 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures. 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 2. Implement the agreed proposals. 3. Amend proposals if appropriate.
--	--	---	---	--

<p>Exceedance for on sample in Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
--	---	--	---	--

<p>Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples in Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented. 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated remedial actions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.
--	---	---	---	---

	informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring			
--	--	--	--	--

5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Noise monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 4th, 9th, 15th, 21st and 27th of March 2013.

6 Water Monitoring

6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, water depth and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

6.2 Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-1000NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter, model TOA-DKK WQC-24. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in Appendix C for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

Since water depths for all monitoring stations were less than 0.5m during the

impact measurement period, only mid-depth level was monitored. The monitoring parameters and measurement methods of water quality monitoring are summarized in Table 6.2.1.

Table 6.2.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Measurement Methods	
Parameter	Measurement Method
Temperature (°C)	<i>in-situ</i>
Turbidity (NTU)	
pH	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L and %)	
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Reference method APHA 2540D

6.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the PS, monitoring stations were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 6.3.1.

Table 6.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Locations		
Monitoring Station	Coordinates	
	Easting	Northing
W1 (upstream)	E:844944	N:821720
W2 (downstream)	E:844959	N:822249

As illustrated in Figure 6.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

In accordance with the PS, measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.



Figure 6.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

6.4 Monitoring Frequency

Water quality monitoring for each monitoring station was performed at mid-flood or mid-ebb tides for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

As the construction site was closed during the Chinese New Year Holiday, no water quality monitoring was conducted during 8th of February 2013 to 13th February 2013.

Monitoring was carried out on 2nd, 5th, 7th, 14th, 16th, 19th, 21st, 23rd, 26th and 28th of February 2013.

6.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out ten times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in **Appendix E**. Table 6.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month.

During the reporting period, no exceedance was recorded.

	Average of Monitoring Results					
	<i>Temperature</i> (°C)	<i>Turbidity</i> (NTU)	<i>pH</i>	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (mg/L)	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (%)	<i>Suspended</i> <i>Solids</i> (mg/L)
W1	22.4	2.8	8.14	10.16	111.1	2.6
W2	22.5	3.0	7.91	10.39	122.2	3.1

6.6 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in PS (Table 6.6.1) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 6.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for the project. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 6.6.3 should be taken.

Table 6.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations		
Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L or 1 percentile of baseline data
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day
Turbidity in NTU	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity recorded on the same day	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity recorded on the same day
pH	<6.5 or >8.4 or > the upstream control station's pH recorded on the same day	<6.0 or >9.0

Table 6.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations		
Parameters	Monitoring Stations	
	W2	
	Action Level	Limit Level
DO in mg/L	6.42	6.24
SS in mg/L	18.9	19.8
Turbidity in NTU	6.2	6.2
pH	<6.5 or >8.4	<6.0 or >9.0

Remarks:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For SS and Turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. For pH, for the action level, reference is made to the data recorded at EPD' river monitoring stations at the nearby Tseng Lan Shue Stream (JR3, JR6 and JR22) from year 2006 to 2010; while the limit level is referring to the water quality objective for Inland Water of Junk Bay Water Control Zone.

Table 6.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-site measurement to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC and Contractor. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor. 6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.

<p>Exceedance for more than one consecutive sampling days</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC and Contractor. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor. 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily. 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures within three working days. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
<p>Exceedance for one sampling day</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Request Contractor to critically review the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment.

	<p>Contractor's working methods;</p> <p>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor.</p> <p>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.</p> <p>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.</p>	<p>Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.</p> <p>3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>working methods.</p> <p>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.</p> <p>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>4. Consider changes of working methods.</p> <p>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days.</p> <p>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</p>
<p>Exceedance for more than on consecutive sampling days</p>	<p>1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings.</p> <p>2. Identify source(s) of impact.</p> <p>3. Inform EPD, IEC and Contractor.</p> <p>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods.</p> <p>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor.</p> <p>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.</p> <p>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily</p>	<p>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures.</p> <p>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.</p> <p>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures.</p> <p>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods.</p> <p>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.</p> <p>4. Assess the effectiveness of the</p>	<p>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing.</p> <p>2. Rectify unacceptable practice.</p> <p>3. Check all plant and equipment.</p> <p>4. Consider changes of working methods.</p> <p>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days.</p>

	<p>until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.</p>		<p>implemented mitigation measures. 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the work until no exceedance of Limit Level.</p>	<p>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the work or construction activities.</p>
--	---	--	---	--

6.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period

Water quality monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2nd, 5th, 7th, 9th, 12th, 14th, 16th, 19th, 21st, 23rd, 26th, 28th and 30th of March 2013.

7 Air Quality Monitoring

7.1 Monitoring Methodology and Parameters

1-hr and 24-hrs air quality monitoring have been carried out during the reporting period.

Measurements of 1-hr TSP monitoring were taken by a Dust Trak aerosol monitor or its equivalent that is a portable and battery-operated laser photometer capable of performing real time 1-hr TSP measurements.

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. The filter papers for each monitoring locations were sent to the accredited HOKLAS laboratory for further analysis.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, weather conditions and any other special phenomena at the construction site were recorded during the measurement period.

7.2 Monitoring Equipment

24-hrs TSP was measured by the High Volume Sampler. And the 3 sets of 1-hr TSP were carried out by the portable dust meter. The measurement equipments are listed in Table 7.2.1 and Calibration Certificates of the equipments are shown in **Appendix C**.

Table 7.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipments

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Parameter	Qty
Laser Dust Monitor	1. AM510 2. SIBATA/LD-3B	1-hr TSP	2
High Volume Sampler	TE-5025A	24-hrs TSP	4

7.3 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring locations were established at 4 locations, which are summarized in Table 7.3.1 and are shown in Figure 7.3.1

Table 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

Identification No.	TSP Monitoring Locations
D1	Staff Quarters 1-12, HKUST
D2	174, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D3	152A, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung
D4	109, Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung




Figure 7.3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

7.4 Monitoring Frequency

For 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least once in every six days.

Monitoring was carried out on 6th, 14th, 20th and 26th of February 2013.

7.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP were carried out during this reporting. And, no exceedance was recorded.

1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.1.

Location	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) (Min – Max)	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
D1	57-141	86.3
D2	49-119	77.5
D3	54-104	71.3
D4	45-105	73.8

24-hrs TSP monitoring data was obtained at four designated monitoring locations. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 7.5.2.

Location	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) (Min – Max)	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
D1	51.2-89.8	65.7
D2	23.0-51.9	43.0
D3	55.1-101.3	68.7
D4	60.9-137.0	106.8

Details of the monitoring data were presented in **Appendix F**.

7.6 Action and Limit Level for 1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 7.6.1 and Table 7.6.2, which would be applied for compliance assessment of air quality for this project. If the air quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 7.6.3 should be taken.

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	1-hr	285.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D2		279.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D3		289.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D4		284.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Frequency	Action Level	Limit Level
D1	24-hrs	156.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D2		153.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D3		155.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
D4		158.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Table 7.6.3 Event and action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the cause s of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform ER , IEC and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice. 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform IEC and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions. 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER 7. If exceedance stops, 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on Possible remedial measures. 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 2. Implement the agreed proposals. 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.

	cease additional monitoring.			
LIMIT LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the result. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Reviews Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not

	<p>mitigation to be implemented.</p> <p>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken.</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</p>	<p>3. Supervisor the implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>be implemented.</p> <p>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated remedial actions.</p>	<p>under control.</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</p>
--	--	---	---	---

7.7 Monitoring Schedule for Next Reporting Period

1-hr TSP and 24-hrs TSP monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 4th, 9th, 15th, 21st and 27th of March 2013.

8 Ecology

During the reporting period, tree protection measures have been implemented by contractor, such as provision of tree protective fencing for the retained trees and transplanted trees. The tree protection zone has enough space to prevent the construction activities to damage the trees. And, the felled trees were also removed accordingly.

As the existing river was diverted temporarily, contractor was reminded that the water flow in the existing river within the site should be maintained.

9 Action Taken in Event of Exceedance

If the measurements (Noise, Water and Air) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise, water and air measurements recorded; therefore, no actions were taken.

10 Construction Waste Disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 10.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 10.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Year 2012	3.9	0	0	0	3.9	0	0	0	0	0	0.037
Jan 2013	26.44	0	0	0	26.44	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb 2013	41.53	0	0	0	41.53	0	0	0	0	0	0.016
Total	71.87	0	0	0	71.87	0	0	0	0	0	0.053
Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from the Contract											
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	348	1	108	0	239	0	0	0	0	0	240

11 Status of Permits and Licenses

Table 11.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 11.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained					
Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Site	Date of expiry	Status
EP	EP-428/2011	4 November 2011	Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung	N/A	Superseded by VEP
EP	EP-428/2011/A	1 June 2012			Valid
FEP	FEP-01/428/2011/A	9 July 2012			Surrendered on 28 Nov 2012
FEP	FEP-02/428/2011/A	26 November 2012			Valid
Discharge License	WT00014162-2012	18 October 2012			Valid
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	349704	27 Sep 2012			Valid
Waste Disposal	N/A	N/A			N/A
Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	349519	4 Sep 2012	Various Lots in DD227 & DD229, Tai Po Tsai, Sai Kung	Valid	

12 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, no follow up actions for the environmental complaint is required.

	Noise	Water	Air	Others
Year 2012	0	0	0	0
January 2013	0	0	0	0
February 2013	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

13 Site Environmental Audits

13.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 6th, 14th, 20th and 26th of February 2013. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 12.1.

Table 12.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
23 & 31 Jan 13	Observation	Haul road was dry and dusty.	Contractor was reminded that routine water spraying should be implemented for dust suppression.	Routine water spraying was implemented by contractor for dust suppression.	6 Feb 13	N/A
20 & 26 Feb 13	Observation	Drip tray was not provided for the power	Contractor was reminded that the drip tray should be	To be followed during next inspection	N/A	N/A

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
		generator.	provided for the chemical materials for prevention of leakage.			

13.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirement

There was no non-compliance recorded for the month of February 2013.

13.3 Implementation Status and Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

As there were some ongoing follow up practices, contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit.

14 Future Key Issues and Recommendations

According to the forecasted site activities, key environmental issues to be considered should at least include the following items:

- Site water control and relevant protective measures
- Dust suppression
- Noise control
- Control and disposal for construction wastes generated from works

Tree protective measures for tree planting and transplanting should be implemented such as tree protection zone and regular watering.

15 Conclusions

Stream course diversion works, soil nail works and ELS works were major site activities being carried out within this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 20th Feb 2013.

No exceedance was recorded for Noise, Water and Air during the reporting period.

Also, there was no notification of summons, formal prosecution or complaints being recorded during the reporting period.

ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary, and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the PS and Environmental Permit requirements.

Appendix A

Construction Master Programme and Site Location Plan

Appendix B

Key Personal Contact Information Chart

Key Personal Contact Information Chart

Organization Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Fax Number
ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Mr. Tong Cheng	3465 2888	3465 2899
Hip Seng Construction Company Limited	Main Contractor	Mr. Martin Wong	3110 2608	3110 2606
Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited	Environmental Team (ET)	Ms. Goldie Fung	2556 9172	2856 2010

Appendix C

Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28553**

Page 1 of 5 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q23300

Date of receipt : 11-Dec-12

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer : SVAN

Model : 955

Serial No. : 27302

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 8-Jan-13

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

Test Results


All results were within the IEC 61672 Type1, IEC 1260 Class1 and manufacturer's specification.
The results are shown in the attached page(s).


Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C127181	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	28588	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
P. F. Wong

Approved by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 8-Jan-13

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 28553

Page 2 of 5 Pages

Results :

1. Self-generated noise: 2.0 dBA (Mfr's Spec (Electrical) ≤ 14 dBA)

2. Acoustical signal test

UUT Setting				Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	1/1 Octave Filter		Before adjust	After adjust
25-120	A	F	OFF	94.0	--	93.5
		S	OFF		--	93.5
	C	F	OFF	--	93.5	
	A	F	OFF	114.0	--	113.9
		S	OFF		--	113.9
	C	F	OFF	--	113.9	
	A	F	ON	94.0	--	93.5
	A	F	ON	114.0	--	113.9
45-139	A	F	OFF	94.0	*91.6	93.5
		S	OFF		--	93.5
	C	F	OFF	--	93.5	
	A	F	OFF	114.0	--	113.9
		S	OFF		--	113.9
	C	F	OFF	--	113.9	
	A	F	ON	94.0	--	93.5
	A	F	ON	114.0	--	113.9

Mfr's Spec. : ± 0.7 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.5	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.5	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1.1 dB
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB, + 2.1 dB ~ -3.1 dB
16 kHz	-6.9	- 6.6 dB, + 3.5 dB ~ - 17.0 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 28553

Page 3 of 5 Pages

4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	93.5 (Ref.)	--	± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	93.5	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	93.5 (Ref.)	--	± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	93.5	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	93.5	0.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

5. Level linearity on the reference level range

UUT Range	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
140 dB (Ref Level)	137.0	136.5	0.0	± 1.1 dB
	136.0	135.5	0.0	
	135.0	134.5	0.0	
	134.0	133.5	0.0	
	129.0	128.5	0.0	
	124.0	123.5	0.0	
	119.0	118.5	0.0	
	114.0	113.5	0.0	
	109.0	108.5	0.0	
	104.0	103.5	0.0	
	99.0	98.5	0.0	
	94.0	93.5 (Ref)	--	
	89.0	88.5	0.0	
	84.0	83.5	0.0	
	79.0	78.5	0.0	
	74.0	73.5	0.0	
	69.0	68.5	0.0	
	64.0	63.5	0.0	
	59.0	58.5	0.0	
54.0	53.5	0.0		
49.0	48.4	0.1		
48.0	47.4	0.1		

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28553**

Page 4 of 5 Pages

6. Toneburst response (4kHz)

UUT Setting	Tone Burst Duration(ms)	UUT Reading(dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	136.0	-1.0	-1.0 ± 0.8dB
	2	118.9	-18.1	-18.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Slow	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	129.5	-7.5	-7.4 ± 0.8dB
	2	109.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Time averaging	Steady	137.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	130.0	-7.0	-7.0±0.8dB
	2	110.8	-26.2	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	102.0	-35.0	-36.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

7. Peak C sound level (140 dB Range, C-weighted, Fast)

Freq(Hz)	Signal Type	Indication of overload	UUT reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
8000	Steady	--	132.0	--	3.2 ± 2.4 dB
	Complete-cycle	No	135.3	3.3 dB	
500	Steady	--	132.0	--	2.4 ± 1.4 dB
	+ve half-cycle	No	129.3	2.7 dB	
	-ve half-cycle	No	129.1	2.9 dB	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **28553**

Page 5 of 5 Pages

8. Overload indication (140 dB range, A-weighted, Time-average, 4kHz)

UUT Reading at overload (dB)		Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
+ ve one half cycle	- ve one half cycle		
137.0	138.5	1.5	< 1.8 dB

The overload indicator latched on until reset

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

9. Filter Characteristics

9.1 1/1 – Octave Filter

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 1260 Class 1 (dB)
125 Hz	-76.4	< - 61
250 Hz	-70.5	< - 42
500 Hz	-36.3	< - 17.5
707 Hz	-4.3	- 2 ~ - 5
1 kHz (Ref)	--	--
1.414 kHz	-2.1	- 2 ~ - 5
2 kHz	-50.6	< - 17.5
4 kHz	-82.3	< - 42
8 kHz	-82.5	< - 61

Uncertainty : ± 0.25 dB

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1010 hPa.
4. Preamplifier model : SV 12L , S/N : 25732
5. Firmware Version: 6.12.4
6. Power Supply Check: OK
7. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.
8. *Out of specification.

----- END -----



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21290

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q20468

Date of receipt : 2-Mar-12

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer : Svantek

Model : SV30A

Serial No. : 7908

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Mar-12

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	13535	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	15136	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	15610	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	16338	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
P. F. Wong

Approved by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 7-Mar-12

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21290

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.10	± 0.3 dB
114	114.18	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.000 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty : ± 3.6 x 10⁻⁶

3. Level Stability : 0.0 dB

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.8 %

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %

Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.

3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

4. Atmospheric Pressure : 1001 hPa.

----- END -----



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR ALLEN CHAN
CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD
ADDRESS: FLAT 19A, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING,
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,
CHAI WAN,
HONG KONG.
PROJECT: --

WORK ORDER: HK1230632
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 19/11/2012
DATE OF ISSUE: 20/11/2012

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity
Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 682337
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 20 November, 2012

NOTES


This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com


Mr. Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 3

ADDRESS 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong PHONE +852 2610 1044 FAX +852 2610 2021
ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Life Sciences

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1230632
 Date of Issue: 20/11/2012
 Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD



Description: Multi-meter
 Brand Name: TOA-DKK
 Model No.: WMS-24
 Serial No.: 682337
 Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 20 November, 2012 Date of next Calibration: 20 February, 2013

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	152.0	3.5
6667	7030	5.4
12890	13600	5.5
58670	60400	2.9
Tolerance Limit (%)		10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.83	4.82	-0.01
6.76	6.65	-0.11
8.89	8.79	-0.10
Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)		0.20

pH Value


Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.01	0.01
7.0	7.02	0.02
10.0	10.07	0.07
Tolerance Limit (±unit)		0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
15.5	15.7	0.2
24.0	24.2	0.2
42.0	40.0	-2.0
Tolerance Limit (°C)		2.0


 Mr. Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
 Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1230632
Date of Issue: 20/11/2012
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD




Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 682337
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 20 November, 2012 **Date of next Calibration:** 20 February, 2013

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	3.8	-5.0
40	40.1	0.3
80	76.7	-4.1
400	392.6	-1.8
800	732.7	-8.4
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0


 Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
 Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR ALLEN CHAN
CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED
ADDRESS: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL BUILDING,
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,
CHAI WAN,
HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1302895
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 01/02/2013
DATE OF ISSUE: 15/02/2013

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.
Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity
Description: WATER QUALITY MULTI-METER
Brand Name: TOA DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 685940
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 08 February, 2013

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com


Mr. Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

ADDRESS 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong | PHONE +852 2610 1044 | FAX +852 2610 2021
ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD An ALS Limited Company

Life Sciences

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1302895
Date of Issue: 15/02/2013
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED

Description: WATER QUALITY MULTI-METER
Brand Name: TOA DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 685940
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 08 February, 2013 **Date of next Calibration:** 08 May, 2013

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	143.0	-2.7
6667	7100	6.5
12890	13100	1.6
58670	60400	2.9
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.14	3.07	-0.07
6.20	6.29	0.09
8.54	8.60	0.06
Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)		0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.00	0.00
7.0	6.89	-0.11
10.0	9.91	-0.09
Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)		0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.0	10.3	0.3
22.0	21.3	-0.7
38.0	37.9	-0.1
Tolerance Limit (±°C)		2.0

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	4.3	7.5
40	38.9	-2.8
80	84.4	5.5
400	391.0	-2.3
800	782.5	-2.2
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition			Model	AM510
Temperature	66.6 (19.2)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	11208034
Relative Humidity	54	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	29.08 (984.8)	inHg (hPa)		

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Left | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> As Found | <input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance |

Concentration Linearity Plot



System ID: DT1101-01

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal	Cal Due
Photometer	E003433	04-11-12	10-11-12	Flow and temperature	E002371	03-06-12	03-06-13
DC Voltage(Keithley)	E002859	01-18-12	01-18-13	Microbalance	M001324	01-04-11	01-04-13
Barometric Pressure	E003733	02-25-12	02-25-13	Temperature	E002873	11-14-11	11-14-12
Humidity	E002873	11-14-11	11-14-12	Pressure	E003440	08-17-12	08-17-13

Calibrated

Final Function Check

August 27, 2012

Date



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **24181**

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q21644

Date of receipt : 3-Jul-12

Item Tested

Description : Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer : SIBATA

Model : LD-3B

Serial No. : 954254

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Jul-12 ~13-Jul-12

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : Manufacturer recommended method (gravimetric), Z28.

Test Results

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S136B	Stop Watch	13184	NIM-PRC
S156	Analytical Balance	00352	NIM-PRC
S201	Std. Test Dust	61291	NIST
S207B	Std. Flowmeter	20588	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Approved by : 
Steve Kwan

Date: 23-Jul-12

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 24181

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

Applied Value ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	UUT Measured Value (K=1.3) ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), (cpm)
1225.3	1266.2

- Remarks :
1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
 2. Uncertainty $\pm 15\%$, for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
 3. The results were the mean of 3 measurements,
 4. ISO 12103-1 A1 respirable standard test dust was used for the calibration.
 5. The K-Factor had been adjusted from 2.0 to 1.3

----- END -----



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - May 17, 2012 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1483 Pa (mm) - 754.38

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER	ORFICE
					DIFF Hg (mm)	DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4140	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9960	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8910	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8510	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7020	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0018	0.7085	1.4185	0.9957	0.7042	0.8829
0.9976	1.0016	2.0061	0.9915	0.9955	1.2486
0.9955	1.1173	2.2429	0.9894	1.1105	1.3959
0.9945	1.1686	2.3524	0.9884	1.1615	1.4641
0.9890	1.4088	2.8371	0.9830	1.4003	1.7657
Qstd slope (m) = 2.02742			Qa slope (m) = 1.26953		
intercept (b) = -0.02027			intercept (b) = -0.01262		
coefficient (r) = 0.99996			coefficient (r) = 0.99996		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol}[(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg})/760] (298/Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd/Time$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg})/Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va/Time$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT}(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))]\} - b\}$$

$$Qa = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT} H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$$

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: **Tai Po Tsai** Date: **December 31, 2012**
 Sampler: **TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 2039)** Tech: **Sam Wong**

CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	1023
Temperature (deg F):	48	Temperature (deg K):	282
Average Press. (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Average (mm Hg):	1023
Average Temp. (deg F):	48	Average Temp. (deg K):	282

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.02742
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.02027
Serial#:	1483	Date Certified:	May 17, 2012

CALIBRATIONS

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	11.90	2.040	59.0	70.38	Slope = 33.4033
2	10.20	1.889	54.0	64.42	Intercept = 1.7899
3	8.00	1.674	48.0	57.26	Corr. coeff.= 0.9991
4	5.20	1.352	40.0	47.72	
5	3.20	1.063	31.0	36.98	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: **Tai Po Tsai** Date: **December 31, 2012**
 Sampler: **TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 1959)** Tech: **Sam Wong**

CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	1023
Temperature (deg F):	48	Temperature (deg K):	282
Average Press. (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Average (mm Hg):	1023
Average Temp. (deg F):	48	Average Temp. (deg K):	282

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.02742
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.02027
Serial#:	1483	Date Certified:	May 17, 2012

CALIBRATIONS

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	12.40	2.082	60.0	71.58	Slope = 34.8414 Intercept = -1.8511 Corr. coeff.= 0.9984
2	10.60	1.926	54.0	64.42	
3	8.20	1.695	48.0	57.26	
4	5.40	1.377	38.0	45.33	
5	3.40	1.095	31.0	36.98	
					# of Observations: 5

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)] - b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: **Tai Po Tsai** Date: **December 31, 2012**
 Sampler: **TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 2042)** Tech: **Sam Wong**

CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	1023
Temperature (deg F):	48	Temperature (deg K):	282
Average Press. (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Average (mm Hg):	1023
Average Temp. (deg F):	48	Average Temp. (deg K):	282

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.02742
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.02027
Serial#:	1483	Date Certified:	May 17, 2012

CALIBRATIONS

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	12.40	2.082	58.0	69.19	Slope = 32.6158
2	10.20	1.889	52.0	62.03	Intercept = 0.7018
3	8.00	1.674	46.0	54.88	Corr. coeff.= 0.9994
4	5.40	1.377	38.0	45.33	
5	3.20	1.063	30.0	35.79	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: Tai Po Tsai Date: December 31, 2012
Sampler: TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 2040) Tech: Sam Wong

CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	1023
Temperature (deg F):	48	Temperature (deg K):	282
Average Press. (in Hg):	40.28	Corrected Average (mm Hg):	1023
Average Temp. (deg F):	48	Average Temp. (deg K):	282

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.02742
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.02027
Serial#:	1483	Date Certified:	May 17, 2012

CALIBRATIONS

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	12.20	2.065	57.0	68.00	Slope = 30.4947
2	10.20	1.889	52.0	62.03	Intercept = 4.8672
3	8.00	1.674	47.0	56.07	Corr. coeff.= 0.9996
4	5.20	1.352	39.0	46.53	
5	3.20	1.063	31.0	36.98	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

$Qstd = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$
 $IC = I[\text{sqrt}(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I) [\text{sqrt}(298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure

Appendix D

Construction Noise Monitoring Data

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		6/2/2013	6/2/2013	6/2/2013	6/2/2013
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		13:02	11:33	9:50	10:30
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, North	<5, North	<5, North	<5, North
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	62.5	60.2	63.9	64.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	65.1	61.9	64.5	66.1
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	56.2	56.3	58.6	58.2
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Tsang King Yuen



6/2/2013

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		14/2/2013	14/2/2013	14/2/2013	14/2/2013
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		11:28	13:00	9:15	10:50
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	63.5	60.2	61.3	62.6
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	64.2	61.9	62.9	65.1
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	58.3	56.9	56.3	59.3
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Tsang King Yuen



14/2/2013

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		20/2/2013	20/2/2013	20/2/2013	20/2/2013
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		14:40	13:02	10:11	9:30
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, East	<5, East	<5, East	<5, East
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	64.3	62.4	61.5	65.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	65.6	64.1	62.4	66.2
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	58.3	57.7	59.2	58.7
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Tsang King Yuen



20/2/2013

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		N1	N2	N3	N4
Monitoring Method		Freefield	Freefield	Freefield	Façade
Date of Monitoring		26/2/2013	26/2/2013	26/2/2013	26/2/2013
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		9:30	10:05	10:42	11:30
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast	<5, Northeast
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	61.2	63.9	61.6	61.5
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	63.2	65.1	62.4	62.9
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	57.7	58.4	58.2	58.4
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		Background noise	Background noise	Background noise	Background noise Traffic noise

Name



Signature



Date

Prepared by: Tsang King Yuen



26/2/2013





Appendix E

Water Quality Monitoring Data

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 2/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.10	7.82
Temperature (°C)	22.54	23.87
Turbidity (NTU)	4.2	3.6
DO (mg/L)	9.76	8.32
DO Saturation (%)	116%	101%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



2/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 5/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	11:00	11:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.20	7.64
Temperature (°C)	23.93	23.46
Turbidity (NTU)	3.6	2.7
DO (mg/L)	7.03	7.08
DO Saturation (%)	84%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



5/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 7/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.10	7.72
Temperature (°C)	21.86	22.02
Turbidity (NTU)	2.40	3.7
DO (mg/L)	10.70	7.30
DO Saturation (%)	125%	86%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



7/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 14/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.89	8.00
Temperature (°C)	21.3	21.34
Turbidity (NTU)	2.8	3.6
DO (mg/L)	9.70	11.88
DO Saturation (%)	100%	138%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



14/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 16/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.29	7.88
Temperature (°C)	20.13	20.12
Turbidity (NTU)	2.5	1.5
DO (mg/L)	9.81	13.02
DO Saturation (%)	100%	148%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



16/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 19/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.31	8.10
Temperature (°C)	25.79	25.81
Turbidity (NTU)	2.5	2.0
DO (mg/L)	10.48	12.48
DO Saturation (%)	113%	156%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



19/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 21/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	7.96	7.94
Temperature (°C)	21.98	22.18
Turbidity (NTU)	2.2	4.9
DO (mg/L)	9.80	8.17
DO Saturation (%)	110%	96%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



21/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 23/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.21	7.86
Temperature (°C)	22	21.33
Turbidity (NTU)	2.5	3.7
DO (mg/L)	9.84	8.65
DO Saturation (%)	101%	96%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	2.0


Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



23/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 26/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.21	8.16
Temperature (°C)	22.34	22.22
Turbidity (NTU)	2.8	2.6
DO (mg/L)	11.71	12.67
DO Saturation (%)	134%	149%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	2.0


Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun



26/2/2013

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results


Date of Sampling : 28/2/2013

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:30
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1
pH value	8.13	7.96
Temperature (°C)	22.13	22.21
Turbidity (NTU)	2.8	1.8
DO (mg/L)	12.80	14.33
DO Saturation (%)	129%	169%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name **Signature** **Date**

Prepared By : Tsang King Yeun  28/2/2013



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey	Work Order	: HK1303236
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@eps1.com.hk	E-mail	: Godfrey.Chan@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 05-FEB-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 18-FEB-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 15-FEB-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1303236**

Sample(s) were picked up from client by ALS Technichem (HK) staff in a chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: **ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd**

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[02-FEB-2013]	[02-FEB-2013]	[05-FEB-2013]	[05-FEB-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1303236-001	HK1303236-002	HK1303236-003	HK1303236-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		4	4	2	3	



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2730098)								
HK1303234-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	1290	1260	2.4
HK1303236-004	W2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	3	3	0.0

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER				Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2730098)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	92.5	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey	Work Order	: HK1303567
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@eps1.com.hk	E-mail	: Godfrey.Chan@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 07-FEB-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 20-FEB-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 2
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 2
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 19-FEB-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1303567**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID				
				Client sampling date / time	W1	W2		
					[07-FEB-2013]	[07-FEB-2013]		
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	HK1303567-001	HK1303567-002			
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties								
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	4			



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2735692)								
HK1302897-013	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2.0	mg/L	12.0	11.1	8.1
HK1303564-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	4	4	0.0

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER				Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2735692)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	101	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey	Work Order	: HK1304155
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsil.com.hk	E-mail	: Godfrey.Chan@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 16-FEB-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 26-FEB-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 22-FEB-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1304155**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W1	W2	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[14-FEB-2013]	[16-FEB-2013]	[14-FEB-2013]	[16-FEB-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1304155-001	HK1304155-002	HK1304155-003	HK1304155-004	

EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties

EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	3	4	2	
------------------------------	------	---	------	----	---	---	---	--



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2741280)								
HK1303411-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	82	80	1.8
HK1304144-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	19	18	0.0

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER				Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2741280)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	99.5	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1304748
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsl.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 21-FEB-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 04-MAR-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 28-FEB-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1304748**

Sample(s) were picked up from client by ALS Technichem (HK) staff in a chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[19-FEB-2013]	[19-FEB-2013]	[21-FEB-2013]	[21-FEB-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1304748-001	HK1304748-002	HK1304748-003	HK1304748-004	

EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties

EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	2	4	2	3	
------------------------------	------	---	------	---	---	---	---	--



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2745877)								
HK1304524-015	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	4	3	0.0
HK1304720-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	7	0.0
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2749927)								
HK1304678-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	21	21	0.0
HK1304719-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	7	8	0.0

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2745877)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	100	----	85	115	----	----
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2749927)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	97.0	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1305297
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsil.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 26-FEB-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 07-MAR-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 05-MAR-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1305297**

Sample(s) were picked up from client by ALS Technichem (HK) staff in a chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[23-FEB-2013]	[23-FEB-2013]	[26-FEB-2013]	[26-FEB-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1305297-001	HK1305297-002	HK1305297-003	HK1305297-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		<2	<2	3	2	



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2751832)								
HK1305103-017	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	11	10	10.3
HK1305358-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	7	21.3
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2756932)								
HK1305146-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	5	0.0
HK1305308-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER				Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2751832)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	99.0	----	85	115	----	----
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2756932)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	87.0	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 3
Contact	: MR ALLEN CHAN	Contact	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Work Order	: HK1305763
Address	: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: allenchan@epsil.com.hk	E-mail	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com	Date Samples Received	: 04-MAR-2013
Telephone	: +852 2558 7699	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044	Issue Date	: 13-MAR-2013
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021	No. of samples received	: 4
Project	: TAI PO TSAI	Quote number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Order number	: ----				
C-O-C number	: ----				
Site	: ----				

General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: 08-MAR-2013

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific comments for Work Order: **HK1305763**

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Authorised results for

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager

Inorganics

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

				Client sample ID	W1	W2	W1	W2	
				Client sampling date / time	[28-FEB-2013]	[28-FEB-2013]	[02-MAR-2013]	[02-MAR-2013]	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		HK1305763-001	HK1305763-002	HK1305763-003	HK1305763-004	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties									
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L		4	3	5	5	



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report



Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2761218)								
HK1305505-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	96	97	1.0
HK1305603-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	12	13	8.6
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2763855)								
HK1305716-007	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	22	22	0.0
HK1305929-013	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	1	mg/L	2	3	0.0



Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2761218)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	100	----	85	115	----	----
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 2763855)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	85.5	----	85	115	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.









Appendix F

Air Quality Monitoring Data

1-Hr TSP Monitoring Results

		Locations											
		D1			D2			D3			D4		
Date	Duration	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)	Start Time	TSP Level (ug/m3)	Average (ug/m3)
6/2/2013	1 Hour	13:34	59	63	9:01	56	63	8:50	54	58	13:05	61	66
		14:34	61		10:01	65		9:50	59		14:05	63	
		15:34	70		11:01	69		10:50	62		15:05	74	
14/2/2013	1 Hour	9:00	107	102	13:00	93	79	8:45	60	65	8:27	75	71
		10:00	103		14:00	75		9:45	67		9:27	71	
		11:00	96		15:00	68		10:45	69		10:27	67	
20/2/2013	1 Hour	8:30	58	58	11:46	49	54	12:38	57	63	8:31	45	62
		9:30	57		12:46	53		13:38	63		9:31	66	
		10:30	58		13:46	59		14:38	69		10:31	76	
26/2/2013	1 Hour	8:30	113	122	12:36	117	114	12:00	104	98	8:40	90	96
		9:30	141		13:36	107		13:00	100		9:40	92	
		10:30	113		14:36	119		14:00	91		10:40	105	





D1 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m ³)	TSP Concentration (µg/m ³)	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
06/02/13	204518	2.8830	2.9707	0.0877	1025.27	1049.29	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	51.1660	Cloudy
14/02/13	204522	2.8897	2.9807	0.0910	1049.29	1073.32	24.03	42	42	42.0	1714.74	53.0692	Sunny
20/02/13	204526	2.8734	2.9911	0.1177	1073.32	1097.36	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	68.6115	Cloudy
26/02/13	204530	2.8960	3.0498	0.1538	1097.36	1121.37	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	89.7674	Sunny

D2 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m ³)	TSP Concentration (µg/m ³)	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
06/02/13	204519	2.8889	2.9772	0.0883	1334.62	1358.65	24.03	42	42	42.0	1714.74	51.4946	Cloudy
14/02/13	204523	2.8963	2.9743	0.0780	1358.65	1382.67	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	45.5068	Sunny
20/02/13	204527	2.8686	2.9575	0.0889	1382.67	1406.68	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	51.8877	Cloudy
26/02/13	204531	2.9027	2.9421	0.0394	1406.68	1430.73	24.05	42	42	42.0	1716.17	22.9581	Sunny

D3 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m ³)	TSP Concentration (µg/m ³)	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
06/02/13	204520	2.8837	2.9783	0.0946	1363.64	1387.68	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	55.1457	Cloudy
14/02/13	204524	2.8909	2.9861	0.0952	1387.68	1411.69	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	55.5648	Sunny
20/02/13	204528	2.8683	2.9760	0.1077	1411.69	1435.73	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	62.7821	Cloudy
26/02/13	204532	2.8994	3.0731	0.1737	1435.73	1459.75	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	101.3401	Sunny

D4 24-Hrs TSP Monitoring Results

Sampling Date	Paper No.	Wt. of paper (g)			Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			Total Volume (m ³)	TSP Concentration (µg/m ³)	Weather
		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate			
06/02/13	204521	2.8919	3.1065	0.2146	1354.59	1378.66	24.07	42	42	42.0	1717.60	124.9419	Cloudy
14/02/13	204525	2.8830	3.1177	0.2347	1378.66	1402.67	24.01	42	42	42.0	1713.32	136.9858	Sunny
20/02/13	204529	2.8780	3.0567	0.1787	1402.67	1426.71	24.04	42	42	42.0	1715.46	104.1705	Cloudy
26/02/13	204533	2.8701	2.9745	0.1044	1426.71	1450.73	24.02	42	42	42.0	1714.03	60.9091	Sunny



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 2
<i>Contact</i>	: MR SAM WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Fung Lim Chee, Richard	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1305584
<i>Address</i>	: ROOM 3, 12/F, NEW CITY CENTRE, KWUN TONG, KOWLOON HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: sam.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: Richard.Fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: ----	<i>Quote number</i>	: ----	<i>Date received</i>	: 06-FEB-2013
<i>Order number</i>	: ----			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 05-MAR-2013
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: ----			<i>No. of samples</i>	- <i>Received</i> : 16
<i>Site</i>	: ----				- <i>Analysed</i> : 16

Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1305584 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. The completion date of analysis is 05-MAR-2013. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific comments for Work Order HK1305584 :
Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.
Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the 'Electronic Transactions Ordinance' of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:-</i>
Fung Lim Chee, Richard	General Manager	Inorganics



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

			Compound	HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	HK-TSP: Initial Weight	HK-TSP: Final Weight		
			LOR Unit	0.0010 g	0.0010 g	0.0010 g		
Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID		EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
204518	[06-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-001		0.0877	2.8830	2.9707		
204519	[06-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-002		0.0883	2.8889	2.9772		
204520	[06-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-003		0.0946	2.8837	2.9783		
204521	[06-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-004		0.2146	2.8919	3.1065		
204522	[14-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-005		0.0910	2.8897	2.9807		
204523	[14-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-006		0.0780	2.8963	2.9743		
204524	[14-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-007		0.0952	2.8909	2.9861		
204525	[14-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-008		0.2347	2.8830	3.1177		
204526	[20-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-009		0.1177	2.8734	2.9911		
204527	[20-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-010		0.0889	2.8686	2.9575		
204528	[20-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-011		0.1077	2.8683	2.9760		
204529	[20-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-012		0.1787	2.8780	3.0567		
204530	[26-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-013		0.1538	2.8960	3.0498		
204531	[26-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-014		0.0394	2.9027	2.9421		
204532	[26-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-015		0.1737	2.8994	3.0731		
204533	[26-FEB-2013]	HK1305584-016		0.1044	2.8701	2.9745		

