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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.01. Civil Engineering and Development Department (hereafter referred as "CEDD") is the Project Proponent for the Project "Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium, Crematorium and Related Facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery" (hereafter referred as "the Project"). The Project is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit No. EP-534/2017. To facilitate the Project management, the Project works were separated into three different Contracts and they are listed below.

- CEDD Contract No. CV/2016/10 - Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery (hereafter referred as "Contract 1")
- CEDD Contract No. CV/2017/02 - Infrastructural Works at Man Kam To Road and Lin Ma Hang Road for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery (hereafter referred as "Contract 2")
- Other CEDD's Contract as related Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery (hereafter referred as "Contract 3")

ES.02. Hsin Chong Tsun Yip Joint Venture (hereafter referred as "HCTYJV") has been awarded the CEDD Contract No. CV/2016/10 "Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery" on 5 December 2017. According to the Contract requirement, HCTYJV shall take over the responsibility for part of the Environmental Permit No. EP-534/2017 for ease of management, therefore application for Further Environmental Permit was submitted by HCTYJV to EPD on 26 January 2018 and Further Environmental Permit No. FEP-01/534/2017 was granted to HCTYJV by EPD on 23 February 2018.

ES.03. Action-United Environmental Services \& Consulting (hereinafter referred as "AUES") has been commissioned by HCTYJV as an Environmental Team (hereinafter referred as "the ET") to implement the Environmental Monitoring \& Audit (EM\&A) programme in accordance with the approved EM\&A Manual as well as the associated duties.

ES.04. According to the Further Environmental Permit (FEP-01/534/2017) and Environmental Permit (EP-534/2017) Condition 3.3, Baseline Monitoring Report shall be deposited to EPD at least one month before commencement of the construction of the Project. The coverage of baseline monitoring includes the whole site boundary of EP-534/2017. Baseline Monitoring Report (ver.1) which verified by Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) was submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 30 May 2018. Baseline Monitoring Report (ver.2) was submitted to EPD on 8 August 2018 before commencement of construction activities of the Contract. Further comment was issued by EPD on 15 August 2018 and the Baseline Monitoring Report (Version 3) was submitted to EPD on 13 September 2018 for endorsement.

ES.05. The Baseline Monitoring Report (air, noise and water) has summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. As notified by HCTYJV, construction works of the Contract 1 was commenced on 16 August 2018 and therefore construction phase impact monitoring was started on 16 August 2018.

ES.06. This is the $2^{\text {nd }}$ monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report to reporting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the period from 1 to 30 September 2018 (the Reporting Month).

## Environmental Monitoring and Audit Activities

ES.07. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM\&A program in this Reporting Month is summarized in the following table.
Table ES-4 Environmental monitoring activities in the Reporting Period

| Issues | Environmental Monitoring <br> Parameters / Inspection | Monitoring Location <br> under CV/2016/10 | Occasions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | ASR-1 | 15 |
|  | 24-hour TSP | ASR-1 | 5 |
| Construction Noise | Leq (30min) Daytime | CN-1 | 4 |


| Water Quality | In-situ measurement and Water <br> sampling | M 3 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ecology | Monthly Monitoring | Transect within site area <br> of CV/2016/10 | 1 |
| Landscape \& Visual | Monthly Site Inspection | Site area of CV/2016/10 | 1 |
| Inspection / Audit | ET Regular Environmental Site <br> Inspection | Site area of CV/2016/10 | 4 |
|  | IEC Monthly Environmental Site <br> Audit | Site area of CV/2016/10 | 1 |

## BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES.08. No exceedance of air quality and construction noise monitoring was recorded in this Reporting Month. However, for water quality monitoring, a total of eighteen (18) Limit Level exceedances were recorded. Notification of Exceedance (NOE) of water quality was therefore issued. The statistics of environmental exceedance, NOE issued and investigation of exceedance are summarized in the following table.
Table ES-5 Breach of Action and Limit (A/L) Levels in the Reporting Period

| Environmental <br> Issues | Monitoring <br> Parameters | Action <br> Level | Limit <br> Level | NOE <br> Issued | Investigation <br> findings | Corrective <br> Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-hour TSP |  |  | 0 | - | - |
|  | 24-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Construction <br> Noise | Leq $_{30 \text { min }}$ <br> Daytime | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  | DO | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  | Turbidity | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Not related to the <br> Contract Works | NA |
|  | SS | 0 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Not related to the <br> Contract Works | NA |

Note: $\quad$ NOE - Notification of Exceedance
ES.09. Investigation for the cause of water quality exceedances have been undertaken by ET. Investigation results revealed that water quality mitigation measures have been implemented to minimize the water quality impact arising from contract works. Such as temporary bund and de-silting trench were installed at Retaining Wall RW1 to reduce the suspended solids content in runoff. Series of sheet pile was installed at site boundary to prevent site runoff flowing to the Conservation Area (CA). Moreover, exposed surface were covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable. It was observed that construction of temporary drainage system was on-going and no muddy discharge was observed. Since there were continuous rainstorms which affecting the water quality of seasonal river course and the pond of M3 is a catchment of rainwater, it is concluded that the exceedances were related to the impact of rain and unlikely caused by the works under the Contract 1.

## ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES.010. No environmental complaint was recorded or received in this Reporting Month. The statistics of environmental complaint are summarized in the following table.
Table ES-6 Environmental Complaint Summaries in the Reporting Month

| Reporting Period | Environmental Complaint Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

ES.011. In addition, no complaints received and emergency events relating to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received.

## Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

ES.012. No environmental summons or successful prosecution was recorded in this Reporting Month. The statistics of summons or successful prosecutions are summarized in the following tables.

Table ES-7 Environmental Summons Summaries in the Reporting Month

| Reporting Period | Environmental Summons Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table ES-8 Environmental Prosecution Summaries in the Reporting Month

| Reporting Period | Environmental Prosecution Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

## Reporting Change

ES.013. There were no reporting changes in the first Reporting Month.

## Site Inspection

ES.014. In this Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance at Contract 1 have been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}, \mathbf{1 3}^{\text {th }}, 2 \mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathbf{2 7}^{\text {th }}$ September 2018. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection. Furthermore, IEC attended a joint site inspection on $13^{\text {th }}$ September 2018.

## Future Key Issues

ES.015. The Contractors should pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according to the ISEMM of the EM\&A Manual, in particular in rainy season to prevent surface runoff with high SS content and other pollutants from flowing to local steam and Conservation Area (CA).

ES.016. Moreover, air quality and construction noise are the major environmental issues as under the Project Works. Air quality mitigation measures such as wheel wash facilities, watering of haul roads and covering of dusty materials with tarpaulin sheet should be implemented as far as practicable. Construction noise mitigation measures such as use of movable noise barriers and Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) should be properly provided to reduce construction noise impact.

ES.017. Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be performed to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

## Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND ..... 1
1.2 Report Structure ..... 2
2 ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS OF THE WORKS CONTRACT-1 ..... 3
2.1 WORKS CONTRACT-1 ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE ..... 3
2.2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS ..... 3
2.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS ..... 3
2.4 SUMMARY OF SUBMISSION UndER THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT REQUIREMENTS ..... 3
3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENT ..... 5
3.1 GENERAL ..... 5
3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS ..... 5
3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS ..... 5
3.4 Monitoring Frequency and Period ..... 7
3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT ..... 8
3.6 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION ..... 10
3.7 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL ..... 11
3.8 DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS ..... 11
4 AIR QUALITY ..... 12
4.1 MONITORING RESULTS ..... 12
4.2 Monitoring Results Exceedance ..... 12
5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE ..... 13
5.1 MONITORING RESULTS ..... 13
5.2 Noise Monitoring Exceedance ..... 13
6 WATER QUALITY ..... 14
6.1 MONITORING RESULTS ..... 14
6.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING EXCEEDANCE ..... 14
7 ECOLOGY MONITORING ..... 16
7.1 REQUIREMENT ..... 16
7.2 METHODOLOGY ..... 16
7.3 ECOLOGICAL MONITORING SURVEY FINDINGS ..... 17
8 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL ..... 20
8.1 REQUIREMENT ..... 20
8.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING SITE InSPECTION IN THE REPORTING MONTH ..... 20
9 WASTE MANAGEMENT ..... 21
9.1 GEnERAL WAStE MANAGEMENT ..... 21
9.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES ..... 21
10 SITE INSPECTION ..... 22
10.1 REQUIREMENT ..... 22
10.2 FIndings / DEFICIENCIES DURING SITE InSPECTION IN THE REPORTING MONTH ..... 22
11 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE ..... 23
11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION ..... 23
12 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES ..... 24
12.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS ..... 24
12.2 Tentative Construction Activities in the Coming Month ..... 24
12.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH ..... 25
13 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS ..... 26
13.1 CONCLUSIONS ..... 26
13.2 RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 26

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits
Table 2-2 Status of Submission as under EP and/or FEP Stipulation
Table 3-1 Summary of EM\&A Requirements
Table 3-2 Designated Air Quality Monitoring Location under the Project
Table 3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Location as Related the Works Contract-1
table 3-4 Designated Construction Noise Monitoring Location under the Project
table 3-5 Noise Monitoring Location as Related the Works Contract-1
Table 3-6 Designated Water Quality Monitoring Stations under the Project
Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Station as Related the Works Contract-1
Table 3-8 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3-9 NoISE Monitoring Equipment
Table 3-10 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3-11 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 3-12 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise
Table 3-13 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality
Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at ASR-1
Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)
Table 6-1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - M3
Table 6-2 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Record
Table 6-3 Summary of Investigation Finding of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period
Table 7-1 Action and Limit Levels for Wet Woodland Habitats Monitoring
Table 7-2 Action and Limit Levels for Non-Wet Woodland Habitats Monitoring
Table 7-3 Schedule of Faunal Surveys in each year during Construction Phase
Table 7-4 Result of Avifauna Survey
Table 7-5 Result of Reptile Survey
Table 7-6 Result of Amphibian Survey
Table 7-7 Result of Butterfly Survey
Table 7-8 Result of Odonate Survey in
Table 7-9 Result of Freshwater Communities Survey
TABLE 9-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials
TABLE 9-2 SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C\&D WASTES
Table 10-1 Site Observations for the Works of Contract 1
Table 11-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints
Table 11-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons
Table 11-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution
Table 12-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A LAYOUT Plan of the Project
Appendix B Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties
Appendix C Three Months rolling Programme
Appendix D Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM\&A ManUal
Appendix E CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LABORATORY Certificate
Appendix F Event and Action Plan of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality
Appendix G Monitoring Schedules of the Reporting Month and Coming Month
Appendix H Monitoring Data of 24-Hour TSP Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality
Appendix I Graphical Plots of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality
Appendix J Meteorological Data of the Reporting Period
Appendix K Ecology Survey Report
Appendix L LANDSCAPE \& VISUAL Inspection Checklist
Appendix M Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table
Appendix N Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM)

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project Background

1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent for the Project "Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium, Crematorium and Related Facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery" (hereafter referred as "the Project"). The Project is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit No. EP-534/2017. The layout plan of the Project is shown in Appendix A. To facilitate the Project management, the Project works were separated into three different Contracts which are described below sub-sections.
1.1.2 Contract No. CV/2016/10 - Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery (hereafter referred as "Contract 1"):-

- Site formation of about 1.77 ha of land for the proposed pick-up and drop-off area for shuttle bus operation;
- Upgrading of a section of 900 m existing Sha Ling Road from 3 m wide carriageway to 7.3 m wide carriageway with footpath at both sides;
- Construction of one EVA with a total length of about 160 m ;
- Construction of noise barriers along Sha Ling Road;
- Modification of junction between Man Kam To Road and Sha Ling Road;
- Construction of a new pick up / drop off point at Man Kam To Road;
- Relocation and construction of a new refuse collection point near junction between Man Kam To Road and Sha Ling Road;
- Associated geotechnical works including cut and fill slopes, soil nailing works and retaining structures;
- Associated drainage, sewerage and waterworks along Sha Ling Road; and
- Associated landscaping works.
1.1.3 Contract No. CV/2017/02 - Infrastructural Works at Man Kam To Road and Lin Ma Hang Road for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery (hereafter referred as "Contract 2"):-
- Construction of a new road connecting Columbarium site to Crematorium site;
- Construction of one EVA with a total length of about 300 m ;
- Widening of a section of 1.4 km long Lin Ma Hang Road (between Man Kam To Road and Ping Yuen River) from 6 m wide carriageway to 7.3 m with 2 m width footpath on both sides;
- Provision of a pair of lay-by at Lin Ma Hang Road;
- Construction of a new vehicular access connecting the Sheung Shui Landmark North PTI and Lung Sum Avenue;
- Construction of covered walkway along Fanling Station Road;
- Removal of planters and central divider along Fanling Station Road and San Wan Road;
- Associated drainage, sewerage, waterworks and utility works along Man Kam To Road and Lin Ma Hang Road;
- Associated geotechnical works including cut and fill slopes, soil nailing works and retaining structures; and
- Associated landscaping works.
1.1.4 CEDD Contract No. (to be advised) (hereafter referred as "Contract 3"):-
- Site Formation for the platform of the columbarium site;
- Construction of two 2 at-grade access roads;
- Construction of road junction between Man Kam To Road and the new access road;
- Associated drainage, sewerage and waterworks along the two new access roads;
- Associated geotechnical works including cut and fill slopes, soil nailing works and retaining structures; and
- Associated landscaping works
1.1.5 Hsin Chong Tsun Yip Joint Venture (hereinafter "HCTYJV") has been awarded the Works Contract 1 on 5 December 2017. According to the Contract requirement, HCTYJV shall take over the responsibility for part of Environmental Permit No. EP-534/2017 for ease of management, therefore application for Further Environmental Permit was submitted by HCTYJV to EPD on 26 January 2018 and Further Environmental Permit No. FEP-01/534/2017 was granted to HCTYJV by EPD on

23 February 2018. Major works to be executed under the Project shall include to the following:

## A Designated Works under EP-534/2017

(i) Site formation of about 8 hectares of land and associated drainage, sewerage and landscape works for development of Columbarium and Crematorium facilities at the Sandy Ridge Cemetery;
(ii) Construction of a new road (about 600 m ) including a section of viaduct connecting the platform for Crematorium and Man Kam To Road and the pick-up/drop-off point at Man Kam To Road.;
(iii) Widening of about 900 m of the existing Sha Ling Road;
(iv) Widening of about 1.4 km of the existing Lin Ma Hang Road; and
(v) Improvement works to the existing barging point at Siu Lam

## Non-Designated Works

(i) Construction of a sewage detention tank complete with odour and septicity control mechanism;
(ii) Construction of noise barriers along Sha Ling Road;
(iii) Construction of a new Refuse Collection Point (RCP) near the junction between Man Kam To Road and Sha Ling Road;
(iv) Landscaping works (including both hard and soft landscape works);
(v) Associated tree felling, transplanting and compensatory planting works;
(vi) Associated street lighting, street furniture and road marking, etc.; and
(vii) Other works which are specified in PS of the Contract.
1.1.6 Action-United Environmental Services \& Consulting has been commissioned by HCTYJV as an Environmental Team to implement the EM\&A programme in accordance with the approved EM\&A Manual as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM\&A programme, baseline monitoring to determine the ambient environmental conditions was completed before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report (air, noise and water) certified by Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) was submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 30 May 2018 and subsequently revised on 8 August 2018 upon comments from EPD. Further comment was issued by EPD on 15 August 2018 and the Baseline Monitoring Report (Version 3) was submitted to EPD on 13 September 2018 for endorsement.
1.1.7 This is the $2^{\text {nd }}$ monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report to reporting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the period from 1 to 30 September 2018.

### 1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM\&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-

| Section 1 | Introduction |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section 2 | Project Organization and Construction Progress |
| Section 3 | Summary of Monitoring Requirements |
| Section 4 | Air Quality Monitoring Results |
| Section 5 | Noise Monitoring Results |
| Section 6 | Water Quality Monitoring Results |
| Section 7 | Ecology Monitoring Results |
| Section 8 | Landscape \& Visual |
| Section 9 | Waste Management |
| Section 10 | Site Inspections |
| Section 11 | Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance |
| Section 12 | Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures |
| Section 13 | Conclusions and Recommendation |

## 2 ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS OF THE WORKS CONTRACT-1

### 2.1 Works Contract-1 Organization and Management Structure

2.1.1 Organization structure and contact details of relevant parties with respect to on-site environmental management are shown in Appendix B.

### 2.2 Construction Progress

2.1.2 The three month rolling construction programme are enclosed in Appendix $C$ and the major construction activities undertaken in this Reporting Month are listed below:-

- General site clearance;
- Bulk Excavation
- Construction of temporary Site Office;
- Construction of Cut Slope, installation of soil nailing and construction of surface channel;
- Sheetpiling works for retaining wall; and
- Construction of retaining wall.


### 2.3 Summary of Environmental Submissions

2.1.3 Summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project in this Reporting Month is presented in Table 2-1.

## Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Notification | Notified EPD on 20 December 2017 |
| 2 | Chemical waste Producer Registration <br> (WPN: 5231-641-H3937-01) | Application date: 28 December 2017 <br> Date issued: 27 March 2018 |
| 3 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> (Discharge License: WT00030795-2018) | Issued date: 9/5/2018 <br> Expire Date: 31/5/2023 |
| 4 | Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste <br> (Account Number: 7029769) | Application no. : NA <br> Date approved: NA |
| 5 | Construction Noise Permit <br> (GW-RN0490-18) | Issued date: 14/9/2018 <br> Expire Date: 18/11/2018 |

### 2.4 Summary of Submission Under the Environmental Permit Requirements

2.1.4 Table 2-2 summarized the submission status under the EP and/or FEP stipulation in the Reporting Month.
Table 2-2 Status of Submission as under EP and/or FEP Stipulation

| Item | EP and / or FEP <br> Stipulation | Description | Situation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Condition 2.10 of the EP <br> and FEP | Management organization of : i) the <br> main construction companies; ii) ET; <br> and iii) IEC and the supporting team | Submitted on 11 April 2018 |
| 2 | Condition 2.11 of the EP <br> and FEP | i) Detailed phasing programme of all <br> construction works; and ii) Location <br> plan of all construction works | Submitted on 12 April 2018 |
| 3 | Condition 2.13 of EP and <br> Condition 2.12 of FEP | Contamination Assessment Plan <br> CAP) | Submitted to IEC on 18 <br> September 2018 for verification |
| 4 | Condition 2.14 of EP and <br> Condition 2.13 of FEP | Grassland Reinstatement Plan | Submitted on 28 May 2018 |
| 5 | Condition 2.15 of EP and <br> Condition 2.14 of FEP | Vegetation Survey Report | Submitted on 21 May 2018 |
| 6 | Condition 2.16 of EP and <br> Condition 2.15 of FEP | Vegetation Transplantation Proposal | Submitted on 21 May 2018 |
| 7 | Condition 2.18 of EP and <br> Condition 2.17 of FEP | Woodland Compensation Plan | Submitted on 15 May 2018 |
| 8 | Condition 2.19 of EP and <br> Condition 2.18 of FEP | Monitoring and Survey Plan for <br> Golden-headed Cisticola | Submitted on 9 May 2018 |


| Item | EP and / or FEP <br> Stipulation | Description | Situation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Condition 2.22 of EP and <br> Condition 2.20 of FEP | Landscape \& Visual Mitigation and <br> Tree Preservation Plan(s) | Submitted on 18 May 2018 |
| 10 | Condition 2.24 of EP and <br> Condition 2.22 of FEP | Traffic Noise Mitigation Plan | Submitted on 17 July 2018 |
| 11 | Condition 3.3 of the EP <br> and FEP | Baseline Monitoring Report (BMR) | BMR (version 1) submitted on 8 <br> May 201; <br> BMR (version 2) submitted on 8 <br> Aug 2018 <br> BMR (version 3 submitted on <br> 13 Sep 2018 |
| 12 | Condition 4.2 of the EP <br> and FEP | The Contract Internet website | Internet website address has <br> notified EPD on 15 Jun 2018 |

## 3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENT

### 3.1 General

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM\&A Manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise, water quality and ecology were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.
3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM\&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

### 3.2 Monitoring Parameters

3.2.1 The EM\&A impact monitoring shall cover the following environmental aspect:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise;
- Water quality; and
- Ecology
3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in Table 3-1 below

Table 3-1 Summary of EM\&A Requirements

| Environmental Issue | Parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| Air Quality | - 1-hour TSP; <br> - 24-hour TSP |
| Noise | - Leq $_{(30 \mathrm{~min})}$ during normal working hours.; and <br> - Leq ${ }_{(15 \text { min })}$ during the construction works is undertaken in Restricted Hours |
| Water Quality | In-situ Measurements <br> - Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L) \& Saturation (\% ); <br> - Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; <br> - Turbidity (NTU); <br> - Salinity (ppm) <br> - pH unit; <br> - Water depth (m); and <br> - Stream Flow Velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). |
|  | Laboratory Analysis <br> - Suspended Solids (mg/L) |
| Ecology | Ecologically sensitive habitats (wetland habitats and non-wetland habitats) |

### 3.3 Monitoring Locations

3.3.1 According to the Approved EM\&A Manual of the Project - Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium, Crematorium and Related Facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery, the designated monitoring locations for air quality, noise, water quality and ecology under the monitoring programme, is shown in Appendix $\boldsymbol{D}$.
3.3.2 Since the Project was divided into three Works Contracts and all Contracts will be commenced at different time, the construction phase impact monitoring will only be performed at the Contract-related monitoring stations upon commencement of each Contract Works.

## Air Quality

3.3.3 There were three (3) air quality monitoring stations / air quality sensitive receivers (ASR) recommended in the Approved EM\&A Manual Section 5.6.1.1. The designated air quality monitoring locations were listed in Table 3-2 and illustrated in Appendix D.
Table 3-2 Designated Air Quality Monitoring Location under the Project

| Location ID | ASR ID in EIA | Description | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ASR-1 | A1 | Village House along Man Kam <br> To Road | Sha Ling Village House No.6 |
| ASR-2 | A2 | Village House at San Uk Ling | San Uk Ling Village House No.1 |


| Location ID | ASR ID in EIA | Description | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| ASR-3 | A3 | Village House at Muk Wu Nga <br> Yiu | Muk Wu Nga Yiu House No.28 |

3.3.4 Based on rationale in Section 3.3.2, the Contract-related air quality monitoring location under construction phase of Contract 1 is shown in Table 3-3.
Table 3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Location as Related the Works Contract-1

| Location ID | ASR ID in EIA | Description | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ASR-1 | A1 | Village House along Man <br> Kam To Road | Sha Ling Village House No.6 |

3.3.5 If the designated monitoring location is required to relocate, alternative monitoring location shall meet the following criteria:
i) Be at the site boundary or such locations close to the major dust emission source;
ii) Close to the sensitive receptors;
iii) Take into account the prevailing meteorological conditions;
iv) For monitoring location located in the vicinity of the ASRs, care shall be taken to cause minimal disturbance to the occupants during monitoring.
v) When positioning the HVS, the following points shall be noted:
a. a horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind shall be provided;
b. no two samplers shall be placed less than 2 m apart;
c. the distance between the HVS and an obstacle, such as buildings, must be at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS;
d. a minimum of 2 m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses is required for HVS at the rooftop;
e. a minimum of 2 m separation from any supporting structure, measures horizontally is required;
f. no furnace or incinerator flue is nearby;
g. airflow around the sampler is unrestricted;
h . the HVS is more than 20 m from the dripline;
i. any wire fence and gate to protect the HVS, shall not cause any obstruction during monitoring;
j. permission must be obtained to set up the HVS and to obtain access to the monitoring stations; and
k. a secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the HVS.
3.3.6 Alternative monitoring location shall agree with IEC and seek for EPD approval.

## Construction Noise

3.3.7 There were are four (4) noise monitoring locations / noise sensitive receivers (NSR) recommended in the Approved EM\&A Manual Section 6.5.1.1. Site visits were conducted by the Contractor and ET on $6^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }}$ April 2018 to review and study sensitive receivers at surrounding and adjacent to the Project. Four designated noise monitoring locations recommended in the Approved EM\&A Manual were identified during the site visits. They were listed in Table 3-4 and shown in Appendix D.

Table 3-4 Designated Construction Noise Monitoring Location under the Project

| Location ID | NSR ID in EIA | Description | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| CN-1 | N5-2 | Village house to the west of <br> Sha Ling Road | Village house to the west of Sha <br> Ling Road (free field condition) |
| CN-2 | N9-1 | Village house to the north of <br> Man Kam To Road | Sha Ling Village House No. 25 <br> (free field condition) |
| CN-3 | N18-5 | Village house near San Uk <br> Ling | San Uk Ling Village House No. <br> 18 (free field condition) |
| CN-4 | N21-4 | Village house of Muk Wu | Muk Wu Village House No. 267 <br> (1m façade from the building) |

3.3.8 Based on rationale in Section 3.3.2, the Contract-related noise monitoring location under construction phase of Contract 1 is listed in Table 3-5.
Table 3-5 Noise Monitoring Location as Related the Works Contract-1

| Location ID | ASR ID in EIA | Description | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| CN-1 | N5-2 | Village house to the west of <br> Sha Ling Road | Village house to the west of Sha <br> Ling Road (free field condition) |
| $\mathrm{CN}-2$ | N9-1 | Village house to the north of <br> Man Kam To Road | Sha Ling Village House No. 25 <br> (free field condition) |

## Water Quality

3.3.9 There were four (4) water quality monitoring locations recommended in the Approved EM\&A Manual Section 7.6.1.2. The locations and coordinates of water quality monitoring were listed in Table 3-6 and illustrated in Appendix D.
Table 3-6 Designated Water Quality Monitoring Stations under the Project

| Proposed <br> Location ID | Co-ordinates |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | East |  |  |
| M1 | 843431 | 831308 | Midstream of Nam Hang Stream |
| M2 | 843840 | 831101 | Downstream of Nam Hang Stream |
| M3 | 843509 | 830040 | Wetland in the Conservation Area (CA) near Yuen Leng Chai |
| M4 | 843997 | 831783 | Watercourse across Lin Ma Hang Road, running from east of <br> San Uk Ling to Man Kam To Boundary Control Point |

3.3.10 Based on rationale in Section 3.3.2, the Contract-related water quality monitoring station under construction phase of Contract 1 is listed in Table 3-7.
Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Station as Related the Works Contract-1

| Proposed <br> Location ID | Co-ordinates |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | North | East |  |

### 3.4 Monitoring Frequency and Period

3.4.1 The requirements of impact monitoring were stipulated in Sections 5.8.1.1, 6.7.1.1 and 7.8.1.4 of the approved $E M \& A$ Manual and presented as follows.

## Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.2 Monitoring frequency for air quality impact monitoring is as follows:

- 1-Hour TSP 3 sets of 1-hour TSP monitoring shall be carried out once every six days during construction periods
- 24-Hour TSP Once 24-hour TSP monitoring shall be carried out every six days during construction periods


## Noise Monitoring

3.4.3 Noise impact monitoring shall be carried out once per week during construction periods. The noise measurement for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours shall be measured in terms of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(30$ minutes) or 6 sets of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(5 \mathrm{mins})$.

## Water Quality Monitoring

3.4.4 The monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during construction phase and the interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

### 3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

3.5.1 The monitoring equipment using for the EM\&A program as proposed by the ET shall be verified by the IEC.

## Air Quality Monitoring

3.5.2 The 24 -hour and 1 -hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. If ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to IEC for approval.
3.5.3 The filter paper of 24 -hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.
3.5.4 All equipment used by ET for air quality monitoring is listed in Table 3-8.

Table 3-8 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24-Hr TSP |  |
| High Volume Air Sampler (HVAS) | TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170 |
| Calibration Kit | TISCH Model TE-5025A |
| 1-Hour TSP |  |
| Portable Dust Meter | Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler \& Counter |

## Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.5.5 According to the approved EM\&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:

1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.
3.5.6 ET has liaised with the premises owners/ landlords to grant the permission for the HVS installation. However, they rejected to set up wind data monitoring equipment installation in their premises.
3.5.7 Under this situation, the ET proposed to obtain representative wind data from the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Weather Station. Ta Kwu Ling Station is located near the Project site which situated at the sea level above 15 mPD and the wind data monitoring equipment is installed 10 m above the existing ground.

## Noise Monitoring

3.5.8 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in $\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ before each noise monitoring event. Noise measurements should not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding $5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or wind with gusts exceeding $10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
3.5.9 Noise monitoring equipment used for impact monitoring is listed in Table 3-9.

Table 3-9 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | B\&K Type 2238 |
| Calibrator | B\&K Type 4231 |
| Portable Wind Speed Indicator | Testo Anemometer |

3.5.10 Sound level meters listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO.

## Water Quality Monitoring

3.5.11 Water quality parameters include dissolved oxygen, water temperature \& depth, turbidity, salinity, pH and stream flow velocity shall be measured in-situ, and suspended solids shall be analyzed by a HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory.

## Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measurement

3.5.12 The dissolved oxygen (DO) measuring instruments should be portable and weatherproof. The equipment should also complete with cable and sensor, and DC power source. It should be capable of measuring:

- A DO level in the range of $0-20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $0-200 \%$ saturation; and
- A temperature of $0-45$ degree Celsius.
3.5.13 The equipment should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable.
3.5.14 Should salinity compensation not be built-in to the DO equipment, in-situ salinity should be measured to calibrate the DO measuring instruments prior to each measurement.


## Turbidity Measurement

3.5.15 The turbidity measuring instruments should be a portable and weatherproof with DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity level between $0-1000$ NTU (for example, Hach model 2100Q or an approved similar instrument).

## Salinity Measurement

3.5.16 A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of $0-40$ parts per thousand (ppt) should be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each monitoring location.

## pH Measurement

3.5.17 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.

## Water Depth Measurement

3.5.18 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or an approved similar instrument should be used for water depths determination at each designated monitoring station.

## Stream Flow Velocity Equipment

3.5.19 Since the EM\&A Manuals do not specified instrument to use stream flow velocity measurement, the monitoring of stream flow velocity is therefore proposed to be conducted by using a flow probe which is a digital water velocity meter.

## Water Sampling Equipment

3.5.20 A water sampler is required for suspended solid (SS) monitoring. A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5 m .
3.5.21 For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. $<0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, water sample will be collected from water surface below 100 mm using plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

## Sample Containers and Storage

3.5.22 Water samples for suspended solid should be stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory within 24 hours of collection and be analyzed as soon as possible after collection.
3.5.2 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1 L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the APHA Standard Methods 2540 D with Limit of Reporting of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.
3.5.24 Details of the equipment used for water quality monitoring are listed in Table 3-10 below.

Table 3-10 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water Depth Detector | Tape measures |
| Water Sampler | A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both <br> ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling <br> bucket |
| Thermometer \& DO meter | YSI 550A / YSI Pro 20 |
| pH meter | AZ8685 pH meter |
| Turbidimeter | Hach 2100Q |
| Salinometer | Atago refractometer Atago S Salinity Meter / AZ8371 <br> Salinity Meter |
| Stream Flow Velocity | FP211 Global Flow Probe |
| Sample Container | High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory) |
| Storage Container | 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box with Ice pad |

3.5.25 Furthermore, Suspended solids (SS) analysis was carried out by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd, he is one a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory

### 3.6 Equipment Calibration

3.6.1 The HVAS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference. Furthermore, Tisch Calibration Kit will be calibrated by the manufacturer in yearly basis.
3.6.2 The 1 -hour TSP meter calibrated by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory would be undertaken in yearly basis. Zero response of the equipment was checked before and after each monitoring event.
3.6.3 The sound level meter and acoustic calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
3.6.4 The multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System is calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
3.6.5 All updated calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in this Reporting Month are attached in Appendix E.

### 3.7 Data MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

3.7.1 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring program.
3.7.2 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP meter, noise meter and Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System are downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data. For monitoring activities require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

### 3.8 Determination of Action/Limit (A/L) Levels

3.8.1 The baseline monitoring results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria, namely Action and Limit levels were established according to Approved EM\&A Manual, and they are listed in Tables 3-11, 3-12 and 3-13 below.

Table 3-11 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Stations | Action Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |  | Limit Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-hour | 24-hour | 1-hour | 24-hour |
| ASR-1 | 331 | 181 | 500 | 260 |

Table 3-12 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0700-1900 hours on normal <br> weekdays | When one documented <br> complaint is received | $>75^{*} \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ |

Note: * Reduces to $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ for schools and $65 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ during the school examination periods.
Table 3-13 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Monitoring <br> Location | DO (mg/L) |  | Turbidity (NTU) |  | SS (mg/L) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Action <br> Level | Limit <br> Level | Action <br> Level | Limit <br> Level | Action <br> Level | Limit <br> Level |
| M3 | 4.58 | 4.49 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| Notes: <br> - For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits <br> - For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the <br> limits. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in Appendix F.

## 4 AIR QUALITY

### 4.1 Monitoring Results

4.1.1 Air quality impact monitoring schedule was submitted to relevant party on 24 August 2018 and shown in Appendix G.
4.1.2 In this Reporting Period, 5 occasions 24 -hour TSP and 15 occasions 1 -hour TSP of the air quality monitoring was undertaken at designated air quality monitoring location ASR-1. The monitoring results for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are summarized in Table 4-1. The database of 24-hour TSP is shown in Appendix $\boldsymbol{H}$ and the graphical plots of 24 -hour and 1-hour TSP result are shown in Appendix I.
Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at ASR-1

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24-hour } \\ \text { TSP } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 1-hour TSP ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Date | Start <br> Time | $1^{\text {st }}$ hour measured | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { hour }$ <br> measured | $3^{\text {rd }}$ hour measured |
| 3-Sep-18 | 25 | 4-Sep-18 | 9:41 | 38 | 41 | 45 |
| 8-Sep-18 | 68 | 10-Sep-18 | 9:46 | 53 | 57 | 63 |
| 14-Sep-18 | 76 | 15-Sep-18 | 9:30 | 47 | 53 | 50 |
| 20-Sep-18 | 102 | 21-Sep-18 | 9:36 | 51 | 62 | 66 |
| 26-Sep-18 | 67 | 27-Sep-18 | 12:54 | 105 | 110 | 114 |
| Average (Range) | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ (25-102) \end{gathered}$ | Average (Range) |  | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ (38-114) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |

4.1.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in Appendix $\mathbf{J}$.

### 4.2 Monitoring Results Exceedance

4.2.1 As shown in Table 4-1, the monitoring results of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP monitoring in the Reporting Month were well below the Action Level. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) of air quality monitoring criteria was issued and therefore corrective action was not required.

## 5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

### 5.1 Monitoring Results

5.1.1 Noise impact monitoring schedule was submitted to relevant party on 24 August 2018 and shown in Appendix G.
5.1.2 In this Reporting Month, 4 occasions of noise monitoring were undertaken at designated noise monitoring location $\mathrm{CN}-1$. The sound level were set in a free field situation, and therefore a façade correction of $+3 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. Since the distance of current construction works of Contract 1 over 300 m from $\mathrm{CN}-2$, noise monitoring was not performed at that location in this Reporting Month. The monitoring result of noise monitoring is show in Table 5-1 and the graphical plots are shown in Appendix I.
Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A) - CN1

| Date | Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 n d}^{\text {nd }} \\ \mathbf{L e q}_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }} \\ \mathbf{L e q}_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6}^{\text {th }} \\ \mathbf{L e q}_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | Leq $_{30 \mathrm{~min}}$ | Corrected* <br> $\mathbf{L e q}_{30 \mathrm{~min}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Sep-18 | 9:49 | 57.6 | 56.3 | 56.0 | 54.5 | 58.3 | 56.8 | 57 | 60 |
| 10-Sep-18 | 9:40 | 71.8 | 62.3 | 61.2 | 64.3 | 60.5 | 62.1 | 66 | 69 |
| 21-Sep-18 | 9:32 | 63.4 | 62.8 | 61.7 | 61.8 | 59.3 | 58.2 | 62 | 65 |
| 27-Sep-18 | 13:03 | 58.3 | 57.0 | 58.2 | 53.4 | 56.5 | 55.3 | 57 | 60 |
| Limit Level |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |

(*) $^{*}$ A façade correction of $+3 d B(A)$ has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.
5.1.3 Prior and after noise monitoring, the accuracy of the sound level meter has been checked by an acoustic calibrator to ensure the measurement within acceptance range of $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~dB}$. Moreover, wind speed checked by portable wind speed meter has been performed before noise monitoring. No noise measurement was performed in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding $5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or wind with gusts exceeding $10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

### 5.2 Noise Monitoring Exceedance

5.2.1 As shown in Table 5-1, no noise monitoring results exceeded the Limit Level in the Reporting Month. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) of construction noise criterion was issued and no corrective action was therefore required.

## 6 WATER QUALITY

### 6.1 Monitoring Results

6.1.1 Water quality impact monitoring schedule was submitted to relevant party on 30 July 2018 and shown in Appendix $G$.
6.1.2 In the Reporting Month, impact water quality monitoring at M3 on 18 September 2018 was cancelled since the access road was blocked by fallen trees after the attack by Super Typhoon Mangkhut. Therefore, a total of 12 monitoring days were carried out at designated monitoring station M3 for water quality impact monitoring. The monitoring result of key parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in Table 6-1. Detailed monitoring results including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in Appendix $\boldsymbol{H}$ and graphical plots for monitoring result are shown in Appendix I.
Table 6-1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - M3

| Date | Parameters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO (Averaged) } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Turbidity (Averaged) (NTU) | Suspended Solids (Averaged) $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| 1-Sep-18 | 6.47 | 75.5 | $\underline{22.5}$ |
| 3-Sep-18 | 6.19 | 75.7 | 25.0 |
| 5-Sep-18 | 4.90 | 47.2 | 15.5 |
| 7-Sep-18 | 5.87 | 33.3 | 12.0 |
| 11-Sep-18 | 5.52 | 28.6 | 11.5 |
| 13-Sep-18 | 6.32 | $\underline{27.6}$ | 10.0 |
| 15-Sep-18 | 6.13 | 15.5 | 7.0 |
| 20-Sep-18 | 6.38 | 18.1 | 7.0 |
| 22-Sep-18 | 5.48 | 16.6 | 7.0 |
| 24-Sep-18 | 9.61 | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| 27-Sep-18 | 5.71 | 7.2 | 5.5 |
| 29-Sep-18 | 5.91 | $\underline{7.1}$ | 5.5 |

Remarks: bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance
6.1.3 During the Reporting Month, field measurements at M3 showed that temperature of stream water were within $26.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $30.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the salinity concentrations below $0.1 \mathrm{ppt}, \mathrm{pH}$ values within 6.5 to 8.5 and the stream flow velocity between 0.1 and $0.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

### 6.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING EXCEEDANCE

6.2.1 In this Reporting Period, a total of eighteen (18) Limit Level exceedances, including twelve (12) Limit Level exceedances of turbidity and six (6) Limit Level exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded at M3 they are summarized in Table 6-2.
Table 6-2 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Record

| Station | DO |  | Turbidity |  | SS |  | Total <br> Exceedance |  | Project Related <br> exceedance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Action | Limit | Action | Limit | Action | Limit | Action | Limit | Action | Limit |
| M3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0 | 0 |

6.2.2 Investigation for the cause of water quality exceedances have been undertaken by ET. Investigation results revealed that water quality mitigation measures have been implemented to minimize the water quality impact arising from contract works. Such as temporary bund and de-silting trench were installed at Retaining Wall RW1 to reduce the suspended solids content in runoff. Series of sheet pile was installed at site boundary to prevent site runoff flowing to the Conservation Area (CA). Moreover, exposed surface were covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable. It was observed that construction of temporary drainage system was on-going and no muddy discharge was observed. Since there were continuous rainstorms which affecting the water quality of seasonal river course and the pond of M3 is a catchment of rainwater, it is concluded that the exceedances were related to the impact of rain and unlikely caused by the works under the Contract 1.
6.2.3 Notifications of Exceedance (NOE) were issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The exceedance investigation findings are summarized in Table 6-3.
Table 6-3 Summary of Investigation Finding of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period

| Date of <br> Exceedance | Exceeded <br> Parameter | Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1,3,5,7,11$ <br> and 13 <br> September <br> 2018 | NTU \& SS | HCTYJV had implemented water quality mitigation measures and <br> there was no adverse water quality impact observed during the site <br> inspection. Having reviewed the baseline monitoring data at M3, <br> Suspended Solids of 23.5mg/L was recorded during rainy <br> condition, therefore, the impact monitoring results of SS are <br> similar to baseline during rainy condition. It is concluded that the <br> exceedances were related to the impact of rainstorm and unlikely <br> caused by the works under the Project. |
| HCTYJV had implemented water quality mitigation measures and <br> 15, 20, 22, 24, <br> Sepand 29 <br> Sember <br> 2018 | NTU | there was no adverse water quality impact observed during the site <br> inspection. Having reviewed the monitoring data, it is noted that <br> the level of turbidity was gradually decreased to baseline level <br> after rainstorm happened in early September 2018. Moreover, <br> there was no exceedance of Suspended Solids (SS). It is <br> concluded that the exceedances were related to the impact of <br> rainstorm and unlikely caused by the works under the Project. |

6.2.4 Although the exceedances were concluded as not related the works under Contract 1 , the Contractor was reminded to fully implement water quality mitigation measures such as exposed surface and area with low operation frequency should be covered by impervious sheeting. Moreover, temporary drainage and collection system for site runoff should be fully accomplished as soon as possible which could highly reduce water quality impact to the surrounding watercourse and ecosystem.

## 7 ECOLOGY MONITORING

### 7.1 REQUIREMENT

7.1.1 According to approved EIA report (AEIAR-198/2016), habitat types within project boundary comprise of watercourse, grassland, upland grassland, plantation, woodland and developed area. Natural habitats were of moderate ecological value in terms of species diversity, species rarity, species abundance, ecological linkage as well as nursery. Moreover, 0.3 ha of wet woodland on the northern side of Sandy Ridge was deemed habitat with high ecological value. Four types of habitats were regarded as ecologically sensitive habitats, namely wet woodland, watercourses, upland grassland and woodland. Considering human disturbance in upcoming construction and operation phases, ecologically sensitive habitats shall be monitored in accordance with EM\&A Manual.
7.1.2 The objective of ecologically sensitive habitats monitoring is to evaluate the effectiveness of measures to minimize impacts on concerned habitats from disturbance and pollution. In order to monitor the effectiveness of the measures to the minimize impact on ecologically sensitive habitats from disturbance and pollution, monthly monitoring during construction and operation phases is required as specified in EM\&A Manual. Standard faunal transect and sampling surveys cover both wetland habitats (wet woodland and watercourse) and non-wetland habitats (upland grassland and woodland).

### 7.2 Methodology

7.2.1 Wetland habitats include wet woodland and watercourses. Monitoring surveys using standardized quantitative methodology will conduct at fixed points. For seasonal watercourse, the survey will be conducted whenever the habitat appears. Measures to respond to decreases in numbers of aquatic fauna using the wetland habitats and Action/Limit levels to trigger these measures are detailed in
Table 7-1.
Table 7-1 Action and Limit Levels for Wet Woodland Habitats Monitoring

| Action Level | Response | Limit Level | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reduction in | Investigate cause and if | Reduction in | Investigate cause and if cause |
| taxa diversity by | cause identified as related | taxa diversity by | identified as related to the |
| $30 \%$ | to the project instigate <br> remedial action to remove <br> or reduce source of <br> disturbance. | $50 \%$ | action. |

Remarks: Action and Limit Levels and Responses to Evidence of Declines in Aquatic Fauna
7.2.2 Non-wetland habitats consist of upland grassland and woodland. Monthly quantitative surveys of non-aquatic fauna will be conducted using standard route transect counts. Measures to respond to decreases in numbers of non-aquatic fauna using the non-wetland habitats and Action/Limit levels to trigger these measures are detailed in Table 7-2.

## Table 7-2 Action and Limit Levels for Non-Wet Woodland Habitats Monitoring

| Action Level | Response | Limit Level | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reduction in | Investigate cause and if |  |  |
| species diversity |  |  |  |
| by $30 \%$ |  |  |  | | Reduction in |
| :--- |
| cause identified as related |
| to the project instigate |
| remedial action to remove |
| species diversity |
| or reduce source of |
| disturbance. |$\quad$| Investigate cause and if cause |
| :--- |
| identified as related to the |
| project instigate remedial |
| action. |

Remarks: Action and Limit Levels and Responses to Evidence of Declines in Non-Aquatic Fauna
7.2.3 The ecological survey includes all taxa being investigated in accordance with EIA report. Schedule of faunal surveys in each year during construction phase is presented in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3 Schedule of Faunal Surveys in each year During Construction Phase

| Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mammals | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Birds (day) | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Birds (night) |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Herpetofauna |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Dragonflies |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Butterflies |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Aquatic fauna | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

## Mammal Survey

7.2.4 Mammal surveys will be conducted along the proposed transects (shown in Appendix D of the survey report) during both daytime and night time periods. Along with direct observations, other field signs, such as scats and tracks, will be searched and recorded if present.

## Bird Survey

7.2.5 Bird surveys will be conducted along the transects (shown in Appendix D of the survey report) during the surveys, species and their vocalizing individuals recorded will be enumerated and recorded according to the habitat(s) they are utilizing.

## Herpetofauna Survey

7.2.6 Reptile and amphibian surveys will be conducted along transects (shown in Appendix D of the survey report) during surveys careful searches of appropriate microhabitats and refugia for reptiles and their vocalizing individuals will be undertaken and all reptiles observed will be identified and counted.

## Dragonfly and Butterfly Survey

7.2.7 Dragonfly and Butterfly surveys will be conducted along transects (shown in Appendix D of the survey report) during surveys all dragonflies and Butterflies seen will be identified and counted as accurately as possible.

## Aquatic Fauna Survey

7.2.8 Freshwater fishes and macro-invertebrates will be recorded by direct observation. All species trapped/recorded will be enumerated and identified (to the lowest taxonomic level possible), and the species of conservation importance photographed.
7.2.9 After each ecological monitoring survey, a monthly report of the survey result and data collected will be provided with reference to EM\&A Manual. An annual analysis of data will be carried out in order to study if there is any significant reduction in taxa diversity and abundance.

### 7.3 ECOLOGICAL MONITORING SURVEY FINDINGS

7.3.1 In the Reporting Month, ecological monitoring was undertaken on $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ September 2018. The weather of monitoring day was fine. The monitoring survey was included day and night sections, covering wetland and non-wetland areas. The survey was conducted by transect and fixed points. All species seen will be identified and counted as accurately as possible. Results of the monitoring survey are presented below:

## Mammal

7.3.2 An unknown bat was found in the project site.

Birds
7.3.3 There were a total of 19 bird individuals from 13 species recorded during the survey. The species of conservation interests were recorded in the monitoring area: Garrulax canorus, Chinese Hwamei(畫眉)

## Herpetofauna

7．3．4 There were no reptile recorded in the monitoring area．There was one amphibian Fejervarya limnocharis，Paddy Frog（澤蛙）found in the monitoring area．

## Dragonfly

7．3．5 There were a total of 22 odonate individuals from 3 species，a species of conservation interests， Urothemis signata，Scarlet Basker（赤斑曲鈎脈蜻）was found in upland glassland．

## Butterfly

7．3．6 There were a total of 12 butterfly individuals from 11 species recorded．

## Aquatic Fauna Survey（Freshwater communities）

7．3．7 A crab of conservation importance Somanniathelphusa zanklon（鐮刀束腰蟹）was found in marsh．
7．3．8 The summaries of faunal survey result are shown in Tables 7－4，7－5，7－6，7－8 and 7－9．
Table 7－4 Result of Avifauna Survey

| Scientific Name | English Name | Chinese Name | Conservation Status | Non－ wetland | Wetland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ardea alba | Great Egret | 大白鷺 | Fellowes et al．（2002）： PRC，（RC） |  | 1 |
| Amaurornis phoenicurus | White－breasted Waterhen | 白胸苦惡鳥 |  |  | 1 |
| Eudynamys scolopaceus | Asian Koel | 噪鵑 |  | 1 |  |
| Lanius schach | Long－tailed Shrike | 棕背伯勞 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Parus cinereus | Cinereous Tit | 蒼背山雀 |  |  | 1 |
| Pycnonotus jocosus | Red－whiskered Bulbul | 紅耳鵯 |  | 2 |  |
| Pycnonotus sinensis | Chinese Bulbul | 白頭鵯 |  | 2 |  |
| Pycnonotus aurigaster | Sooty－headed Bulbul | 白喉紅檗鵯 |  |  | 3 |
| Prinia flaviventris | Yellow－bellied Prinia | 黃腹䳽鶯 |  | 1 |  |
| Orthotomus sutorius | Common Tailorbird | 長尾縫葉鶯 |  |  | 1 |
| Garrulax canorus | Chinese Hwamei | 畫眉 |  |  | 1 |
| Garrulax chinensis | Black－throated <br> Laughingthrush | 黑喉噪鵖 |  |  | 1 |
| Gracupica nigricollis | Black－collared Starling | 黑領椋鳥 | Appendix 2 of CITES | 1 |  |
| Motacilla alba | White Wagtail | 白鶺鴒 |  |  | 1 |

Table 7－5 Result of Reptile Survey

| Scientific Name | English Name | Chinese Name | Non－wetland | Wetland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $--\overline{--}$ | $\overline{-}$ | - | $\overline{--}$ |  |

Table 7－6 Result of Amphibian Survey

| Scientific <br> Name | English Name | Chinese <br> Name | Conservation Status | Non－ <br> wetland | Wetland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fejervarya <br> limnocharis | Paddy Frog | 澤蛙 |  |  | 1 |

Table 7－7 Result of Butterfly Survey

| Scientific <br> Name | English Name | Chinese Name | Non－ <br> wetland | Wetland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Matapa aria | Common Redeye | 瑪弄蝶 |  | 1 |
| Parnara ganga | Rare Swift | 曲紋稻弄蝶 |  | 1 |
| Catochrysops <br> strabo Strabo | Forget－me－not | 咖灰蝶 |  | 1 |
| Everes <br> lacturnus | Tailed Cupid，Small Blue | 長尾藍灰蝶 |  | 1 |
| Zizina Otis | Lesser Grass Blue | 毛眼灰蝶 | 1 |  |
| Abisara <br> echerius | Plum Judy | 蛇目褐蜆蝶 |  | 1 |
| Cupha <br> erymanthis | Rustic | 黃襟蛺蝶 |  | 1 |
| Discophora <br> sondaica | Common Duffer | 鳳眼方環蝶 | 1 |  |
| Hestina <br> assimilis | Red Ring Skirt | 黑脈蛺蝶 | 2 |  |
| Ypthima baldus | Common Five－ring | 緊眼蝶 | 1 |  |
| Papilio polytes | Common Mormon | 玉帶夙蝶 | 1 |  |

Table 7－8 Result of Odonate Survey

| Scientific Name | English Name | Chinese <br> Name | Conservation Status | Non－ <br> wetland | Wetland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Orthetrum sabina <br> sabina | Green Skimmer | 狹腹灰蜻 |  |  | 1 |
| Pantala <br> flavescens | Wandering <br> Glider | 黃蜻 |  | 20 |  |
| Urothemis signata <br> signata | Scarlet Basker | 赤斑曲鈎脈蜻 | $\underline{\text { Fellowes et al．（2002）：}}$LC | 1 |  |

Table 7－9 Result of Freshwater Communities Survey

| Scientific Name | English <br> Name | Chinese <br> Name | Conservation Status |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Somanniathelphus |  | 鐮刀束腰蟹 | Fellowes et al．（2002）：GC | 20 |  |

7．3．9 The detailed survey report is attached in Appendix K．
7．3．10 The tentative ecology inspection and monitoring in the next reporting period（October 2018）is scheduled on $4^{\text {th }}$ October 2018.

## 8 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

### 8.1 REQUIREMENT

8.1.1 The EIA has recommended EM\&A for landscape and visual resources to be undertaken during the design, construction and operational stages of the project. The design, implementation and maintenance of landscape mitigation measures is a key aspect of this and should be checked to ensure that they are fully realized and that potential conflicts between the proposed landscape measures and any other project works let its are resolved at the earliest possible date and without compromise to the intention of the mitigation measures. In addition, implementation of the mitigation measures recommended by the EIA will be monitored through the site audit programme.
8.1.2 A number of mitigation measures to ameliorate the landscape and visual impacts of the Project implementation is summarized in the EMIS of Appendix 13.1 of the EIA Report.
8.1.3 The landscape and visual mitigation measures proposed should be incorporated in the landscape and engineering design. Mitigation measures to be implemented during construction should be adopted from the start of construction and be in place throughout the entire construction period. Mitigation measures to be implemented during operation should be integrated into the detailed design and built as part of the construction works so that they are in place on commissioning of the Project. Tree transplantation and compensatory planting should be carried out as early as possible in the Project with transplantation carried out prior to construction starting in any particular area.
8.1.4 During construction phase, Landscape \& Visual Monitoring of the contractor's operations should be conducted monthly and reported by ET, and countersigned by IEC.

### 8.2 Findings / Deficiencies During Site Inspection in the Reporting Month

8.2.1 In the Reporting Period, landscape \& Visual inspection was carried out by the Registered Landscape Architect (RLA) on 28 September 2018. The findings / deficiencies observed during the inspection are presented below:

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies |
| :--- | :--- |
| 28 September | Observation: <br> 1. Typhoon Mangkhut lead to fallen trees on site. |
|  | Reminder: <br> 1. Construction works were being started. According to 'Tree Preservation' <br> No7/2015, 26a, Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with proper and <br> robust fence at the dripline of all retained trees. No works were allowed to <br> undertake within the TPZ. |
| 2. Typhoon Mangkhut lead to fallen trees on site, contractor was reminded to |  |
| remove these risk trees. |  |

8.2.2 Inspection checklist of Landscape \& Visual signed by RLA is attached in Appendix L.

## 9 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 9.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1.1 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP).

### 9.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

9.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction \& Demolition (C\&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.
9.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in Table 9-1 and 9-2 and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in Appendix M. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.
Table 9-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials

| Type of Waste | Quantity | Disposal Location |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| C\&D Materials (Inert) $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 0 | - |
| Reused in this Contract $\left(\right.$ Inert $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 0.991 | Within the Contract working site |
| Reused in other Projects (Inert) $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 0 | - |
| Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 21.989 | Tuen Mun Area 38 |

Table 9-2 Summary of Quantities of C\&D Wastes

| Type of Waste | Quantity | Disposal Location |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Recycled Metal (kg) | 0 | - |
| Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg) | 0 | - |
| Recycled Plastic (kg) | 0 | - |
| Chemical Wastes $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0 | - |
| General Refuses $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 0.075 | NENT Landfill |

9.2.3 Since canteen and/or kitchen are not allowed setting on the Project site, no domestic wastewater was generated from the Project.

## 10 SITE INSPECTION

### 10.1 REQUIREMENT

10.1.1 According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, environmental site inspection should be formulated by the ET Leader. Regular environmental site inspections shall be carried out to assess the environmental performance once per week.

### 10.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING SITE INSPECTION IN THE REPORTING MONTH

10.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor was on 6, 13, 20 and 27 September 2018. Moreover, IEC attended a joint site inspection on 13 September 2018. No non-compliance was noted.
10.2.2 The findings / deficiencies that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in Table 10-1.

Table 10-1 Site Observations for the Works of Contract-1

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 August 2018 (last <br> Reporting <br> Month) | - Insufficient capacity of silt trap at the drainage channel was observed. The Contractor should increase the capacity of the desilting facilities (e.g. add sedimentation tank and WetSep) to ensure all the treated runoff fulfills the discharge requirement of the discharge licence. <br> - Soil bund without proper covered was observed. The Contractor should cover the soil bund with tarpaulin sheet to reduce runoff with high soil content during rainy days. | - A WetSep was provided to increase the desilting capacity. <br> - The soil bund was covered with tarpaulin sheet properly. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6 \text { September } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | - The Contractor should provide tree protection zone for the retained tree on site. <br> - Chemcial container without drip tray was observed located on site. The Contractor should provide drip tray to aviod land contamination. | - Tree protection zone was provided. <br> - Chemical container has been removed from site. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \text { September } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | - Chemcial container without drip tray was observed. The Contractor should provide drip tray underneath to aviod land contamination. <br> - Generator without NRMM labelling was observed. The Contractor should provided NRMM label on the generator in accordance with the requirement of NRMM regulation. | - The chemical container has been removed from site. <br> - NRMM label was not required for generator less than 19 kW . |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20 \text { September } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | - Oil stains suspecting leaked from the excavator was observed on ground. The Contractor was advised to clean up the oil stains and dispose as chemical wastes and maintain the excavation in good condition. | - The oil stains were cleared. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 27 \text { September } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | - No adverse environmental issue was observed. | - NA |

10.2.3 The Contractor is reminded to cover the open slope as far as practicable to reduce turbid runoff generated during rainy days and ensure all site runoff are properly diverted and treated prior to discharge. Moreover, all temporary catchpits shall be maintained in good condition in order to reduce water impact during heavy rainfall.
10.2.4 Moreover, removal of stagnant water within site areas should be conducted after rain to prevent mosquito breeding. In addition, all retained trees within site area shall be properly protected by mean of tree protection zone to avoid damage from work.

## 11 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

### 11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

11.1.1 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint_was received. No summons and prosecution was lodged for the Contract. The statistical summary table of the environmental complaint, summons and prosecution are presented in Tables 11-1, 11-2 and 11-3.
Table 11-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

| Reporting Period | Environmental Complaint Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 11-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

| Reporting Period | Environmental Summons Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 11-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

| Reporting Period | Environmental Prosecution Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| $1-30$ September 2018 | 0 | 0 | NA |

11.1.2 In addition, no complaints received and emergency events relating to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received.

## 12 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

### 12.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

12.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM\&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in Appendix $N$.
12.1.2 The Works of Contract 1 under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM\&A Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures implemented in this Reporting Month is summarized in Table 12-1.
Table 12-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

| Issues | Environmental Mitigation Measures |
| :---: | :---: |
| Water Quality | - Provided efficient silt removal facilities to reduce SS level before effluent discharge. <br> - Provided ditches, earth bunds or sand bag barriers to minimize polluted runoff. <br> - Temporary drainage was provided to prevent runoff going through site surface and minimize polluted runoff. <br> - Provided perimeter cut-off drains at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site. <br> - Exposed slopes surface were compacted and covered with tarpaulin or similar means <br> - Provided portable chemical toilets on site. |
| Air Quality | - Maintain damp / wet surface on access road. <br> - Maintain low vehicular speed within the works areas. <br> - Provided vehicle wheel washing facilities at each construction site exit; <br> - Provided water spraying for all active works area. <br> - Stockpiles of dusty material were covered with impervious sheeting. <br> - Provided workers to clear dusty materials at the vehicle entrance or exit regularly. <br> - Stockpile more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) has been covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides. |
| Noise | - Restricted operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday. <br> - Keep good maintenance of plants <br> - Placed noisy plants away from residence and school <br> - Provided noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works <br> - Shut down the plants when not in used. |
| Waste and Chemical Management | - Provided on-site sorting prior to disposal <br> - Followed requirements and procedures of the "Trip-ticket System" <br> - Predicted required quantity of concrete accurately <br> - Collected the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal |
| General | The site was generally kept tidy and clean. |

### 12.2 Tentative Construction Activities in the Coming Month

12.2.1 According to the information provided by HCTYJV, the forthcoming construction activities for Contract 1 are listed below:
(i) General Site Clearance
(ii) Bulk Excavation
(iii) Construction of fill slope and surface channel
(iv) Construction of Cut Slope, installation of soil nailing and construction of surface channel
(v) Sheetpiling works for retaining wall
(vi) Construction of retaining wall

### 12.3 KEY IssuEs for the COMING MONTH

12.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for the works of Contract 1 include:

- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
- Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;
- Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
- Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
- Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
- Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
- Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
- Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland is prohibited;
- Nearby wetland prohibited stockpiling and/or disposal of materials;
- Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
- Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.


## 13 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS

### 13.1 Conclusions

13.1.1 This is the $2^{\text {nd }}$ monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the period of 1 to 30 September 2018.
13.1.2 No 24-hour or 1-hour TSP monitoring result that triggered the Action or Limit Levels was recorded. No NOEs or the associated corrective action was therefore required.
13.1.3 No noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement result that exceeded the Limit Level was recorded in this Reporting Month. No NOEs or the associated corrective actions were therefore issued.
13.1.4 For water quality monitoring, a total of 18 Limit Level (LL) exceedances, including 12 LL exceedance of turbidity and 6 LL exceedances of SS were recorded at designated monitoring location M3. Investigation results revealed that water quality mitigation measures have been implemented to minimize the water quality impact arising from contract works. Such as temporary bund and de-silting trench were installed at Retaining Wall RW1 to reduce the suspended solids content in runoff. Series of sheet pile was installed at site boundary to prevent site runoff flowing to the Conservation Area (CA). Moreover, exposed surface were covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable. It was observed that construction of temporary drainage system was on-going and no muddy discharge was observed. Since there were continuous rainstorms which affecting the water quality of seasonal river course and the pond of M3 is a catchment of rainwater, it is concluded that the exceedances were related to the impact of rain and unlikely caused by the works under the Contract 1.
13.1.5 Monthly ecological monitoring for sensitive habitat is undertaken on $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ September 2018. Moreover, Landscape and visual inspection was undertaken by the RLA on $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ September 2018.
13.1.6 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received. In addition, no complaints received and emergency events relating to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received.
13.1.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance were carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on $6^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}, 20^{\text {th }}$ and $27^{\text {th }}$ September 2018 and IEC attended joint site inspection on $13^{\text {th }}$ September 2018. No non-compliance of environmental issue was recorded. In general, it was reminded that water quality mitigation measures should be fully implemented and good housekeeping practice should be maintained.

### 13.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

13.2.1 The Contractors should pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according to the ISEMM of the EM\&A Manual, in particular in rainy season to prevent surface runoff with high SS content and other pollutants from flowing to local steam and Conservation Area (CA).
13.2.2 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction phase of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants and mobile noise barriers should be implemented in accordance with the EM\&A requirement.
13.2.3 Since construction site under the Works of Contract 1 of the Project is located near villages, HCTYJV should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.
13.2.4 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be performed to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

## Appendix A

## Layout Plan of the Project



Project Title：Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium，Crematorium and Related Facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery工程名稱：沙嶺墳場興建骨灰䑄，火葬場及有關設施的工地平整及相關基建工程

## Figure 1：Project Location Plan
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## Appendix B

## Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties

## The Contract's Environmental Management Organization



Line of Communication


## Organisation Chart of IEC Team

Acuity
Sustainability

| Core Team | Specialists in IEC Team |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Director | Air Quality Specialist |
| Gabriel Leung | Gabriel Leung |
| IEC | Noise Specialist |
| Jacky Leung | Jacky Leung |
| Deputy IEC \& Project Manager | Water Quality Specialist |
| Tandy Tse | Nelson Tsui |
|  | Waste Management \& Land Contamination Specialist Vega Wong |
|  | Terrestrial \& Marine Ecology Specialist Jay Wan |
|  | Landscape and Visual Specialist Kevin Li |
|  | Professional and Technical Support |

Contract No. CV/2016/10
Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery

AUES
Organization Chart of the Environmental Team


Contact Details of Key Personnel

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CEDD | Employer | Joseph Wong | $2762-5658$ | $2714-0079$ |
| ARUP | Engineer's Representative | Steve Tang | $6190-1513$ | $2268-3950$ |
| ACUITY | Independent Environmental <br> Checker | Ir. Leung CH Jacky | $2698-6833$ | $2698-9383$ |
| HCTYJV | Project Director | Mr. Kan Kwok Cheung | $2358-2888$ | $2633-4691$ |
| HCTYJV | Project Manager | Mr. Keniel Kwong | $9863-0020$ | $2633-4691$ |
| HCTYJV | Site Agent | Mr. Ho Man To | $9620-9794$ | $2633-4691$ |
| HCTYJV | Site Engineer | Mr. James Leung | $9308-1537$ | $2633-4691$ |
| HCTYJV | Environmental Officer | Mr. Frankie Lam | $6159-1140$ | $2633-4691$ |
| HCTYJV | Safety Officer | Mr. Martin Kum | $9202-5243$ | $2633-4691$ |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | Mr. T.W. Tam | $2959-6059$ | $2959-6079$ |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Mr. Ben Tam | $2959-6059$ | $2959-6079$ |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Ms. Nicola Hon | $2959-6059$ | $2959-6079$ |
| AUES | Environmental Site Inspector | Mr. Martin Li | $2959-6059$ | $2959-6079$ |

## Legend:

| CEDD | (Employer) - Civil Engineering and Development Department |
| :--- | :--- |
| ARUP | (Engineer) - Ove Arup \& Partners Hong Kong Limited |
| HCTYJV | (Main Contractor) - Hsin Chong Tsun Yip Joint Venture |
| ACUITY | (IEC) - Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited |
| AUES | (ET) - Action-United Environmental Services \& Consulting |

## Appendix C

## Three Months rolling Programme




## Appendix D

## Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM\&A Manual









## Proposed Air Quality Monitoring Location under Contract 1




## Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Station under Contract 1



## Appendix E

## Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and Laboratory Certificate

| Location : Sha Ling Village House No. 6 Location ID : ASR-1 <br> Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-517 | Date of Calibration: 16-Aug-18 Next Calibration Date: 16-Oct-18 Technician: Ip Ka Hing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CONDIT |  |  |
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1015.8 | Corrected Pressure $(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ Temperature ( K ) | 761.85 |
|  | 22.5 |  | 296 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.02017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03691 |
| Serial \#-> | 1612 |  |  |

CALIBRATION

| Plate <br> No. | H20 (L) <br> (in) | I <br> (in) | H20 <br> (in) | Qstd <br> (m3/min) | I <br> (chart) $)$ | IC <br> corrected | LINEAR <br> REGRESSION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 10.8 | 1.654 | 52 | 52.50 | Slope $=43.0586$ |
| 13 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 8.4 | 1.461 | 44 | 44.43 | Intercept $=-18.9634$ |
| 10 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 6.8 | 1.316 | 37 | 37.36 | Corr. coeff. $=0.9974$ |
| 7 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 4.4 | 1.062 | 25 | 25.24 |  |
| 5 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 2.7 | 0.836 | 18 | 18.17 |  |

## Calculations :

Qstd $=1 / \mathrm{m}[\mathrm{Sqrt}(\mathrm{H} 20(\mathrm{~Pa} / \mathrm{Pstd})(\mathrm{Tstd} / \mathrm{Ta}))$-b]
$\mathrm{IC}=\mathrm{I}[\mathrm{Sqrt}(\mathrm{Pa} / \mathrm{Pstd})(\mathrm{Tstd} / \mathrm{Ta})]$
Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
$\mathrm{m}=$ calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
$\mathrm{Ta}=$ actual temperature during calibration ( $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{K}$ Pstd $=$ actual pressure during calibration $(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}$

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
1/m(( I )[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)
$\mathrm{m}=$ sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature


Pav = daily average pressure


RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

## February 13, 2019

Environmental


| Calibration Certification Information |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cal. Date: | February 13, 2018 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ |
| Operator: | Jim Tisch |  | Pa: 763.3 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model \#: | TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1612 |  |  |


| Run | Vol. Init <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3)$ | Vol. Final <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3)$ | $\Delta V$ Vol. <br> $(\mathrm{m3})$ | $\Delta T i m e$ <br> $(\mathrm{~min})$ | $\Delta P$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ | $\Delta H$ <br> $($ in H2O) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3970 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0000 | 6.3 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8900 | 7.9 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8440 | 8.7 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7010 | 12.6 | 8.00 |


| Data Tabulation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vstd } \\ & \text { (m3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Qstd } \\ \text { (x-axis) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right)\left(\frac{\text { Tstd }}{T a}\right)} \\ (y \text {-axis }) \end{gathered}$ | Va | $\begin{gathered} \text { Qa } \\ (x \text {-axis) } \end{gathered}$ | $\sqrt{\begin{array}{c} \Delta H(\mathrm{Ta} / \mathrm{Pa}) \\ \text { (y-axis) } \end{array}}$ |
| 1.0172 | 0.7281 | 1.4293 | 0.9958 | 0.7128 | 0.8762 |
| 1.0130 | 1.0130 | 2.0213 | 0.9917 | 0.9917 | 1.2392 |
| 1.0109 | 1.1358 | 2.2599 | 0.9896 | 1.1120 | 1.3854 |
| 1.0098 | 1.1964 | 2.3702 | 0.9886 | 1.1713 | 1.4530 |
| 1.0046 | 1.4331 | 2.8586 | 0.9835 | 1.4030 | 1.7524 |
| QSTD | $\mathrm{m}=$ | 2.02017 | QA | $\mathrm{m}=$ | 1.26500 |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=$ | -0.03691 |  | $\mathrm{b}=$ | -0.02263 |
|  | r= | 0.99988 |  | $\mathrm{r}=$ | 0.99988 |


| Calculations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vstd $=\Delta \mathrm{Vol}($ (Pa- $\Delta \mathrm{P}) / \mathrm{Pstd})(\mathrm{Tstd} / \mathrm{Ta})$ | $\mathrm{Va}=\Delta \mathrm{Vol}((\mathrm{Pa}-\Delta \mathrm{P}) / \mathrm{Pa})$ |
| Qstd= Vstd/ $\Delta$ Time | $\mathrm{Qa}=\mid \mathrm{Va} / \Delta \mathrm{Time}$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: |  |
| Qstd $=1 / \mathrm{m}\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{P a}{\text { Pstd }}\right)\left(\frac{\text { Tstd }}{T a}\right)}\right)-\mathrm{b}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Qa}=1 / \mathrm{m}((\sqrt{\Delta H(T a / P a)})-\mathrm{b})$ |


| Standard Conditions |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| Tstd: |  |
| $298.15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Pstd: |  |
| 760 mm Hg |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}:$ calibrator manometer reading $(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{H2O})$ |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P}:$ rootsmeter manometer reading $(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ |  |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ |  |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure $(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ |  |
| b: intercept |  |
| m : slope |  |


| RECALIBRATION |
| :---: |
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 |
| 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, |
| Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the |
| Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in |
| the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |

## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Graup
ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY \& TESTING SERVICES
SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK1825892 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLIENT | : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND |  |  |
|  | CONSULTING |  |  |
| ADDRESS | RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
|  |  | DATE RECEIVED | : 12-APR-2018 |
|  |  | DATE OF ISSUE | : 19-APR-2018 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
|  |  | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

## General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.


## Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories
P/p Sosition

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.
ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Lto
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group
11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1.3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 26101044 Fax. +852 26102021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER
SUB-BATCH
CLIENT PROJECT

| ALS Lab <br> ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample <br> Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HK1825892-001 | SIN: 456660 | Equipments | 12-Apr-2018 | SIN: 456660 |

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type:
Manufacturer:
Serial No
Equipment Ref:
Job Order

| Laser Dust monitor |
| :--- |
| Sibata LD-3B |
| 456660 |
| EQ117 |
| HK1825892 |

## Standard Equipment:

| Standard Equipment: | Higher Volume Sampler |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location \& Location ID: | AUES office (calibration room) |
| Equipment Ref: | HVS 018 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 27 February 2018 |

## Equipment Verification Results:

Calibration Date:
12 \& 13 March 2018

| Hour | Time | Mean <br> Temp${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Mean <br> Pressure <br> (hPa) | Concentration in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> (Standard Equipment) | Total Count <br> (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute <br> (Total <br> Count/60min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2hr07min | $9: 50 \sim 11: 57$ | 19.6 | 1019.0 | 0.073 | 4016 | 31.7 |
| 2hr14min | $12: 05 \sim 14: 19$ | 19.6 | 1019.0 | 0.075 | 4544 | 33.8 |
| 2hr17min | $9: 50 \sim 12: 07$ | 20.9 | 1016.7 | 0.075 | 4912 | 35.7 |


| Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) | 615 | (CPM) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) | 615 | (CPM) |


| Linear Regression of $\mathbf{Y}$ or $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Slope (K-factor): | 0.0022 |
| Correlation Coefficient (R) | 0.9970 |
| Date of Issue | 15 March 2018 |


2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
*If $R<0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : $\qquad$ Martin Li Signature : $\qquad$ Date : $\qquad$ 15 March 2018 QC Reviewer : $\qquad$ Ben Tam Signature : $\qquad$ Date : $\qquad$ 15 March 2018

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET


## Certificate of Calibration <br> 校正證書

ITEM TESTED／送檢項目（Job No．／序引編號：IC18－0867）
Certificate No．：C183085
證書編號

Date of Receipt／收件日期：28 May 2018
Description／儀器名稱 ：Integrating Sound Level Meter（EQ006）
Manufacturer／製造商：Brüel \＆Kjær
Model No．／型號 ： 2238
Serial No．／編號： 2285762
Supplied By／委託者：Action－United Environmental Services and Consulting Unit A，20／F．，Gold King Industrial Building， 35－41 Tai Lin Pai Road，Kwai Chung，N．T．

TEST CONDITIONS／測試條件
Temperature／溫度 ：$(23 \pm 2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Relative Humidity／相對濕度 ：（50 $\pm 25) \%$
Line Voltage／電壓 ：－－－

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS／測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST／測試日期 ： 10 June 2018

## TEST RESULTS／測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit－under－test only，
The results do not exceed manufacturer＇s specification．
The results are detailed in the subsequent pages）．
The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via ：
－The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard \＆Calibration Laboratory
－Agilent Technologies／Keysight Technologies
－Rohde \＆Schwarz Laboratory，Germany
－Fluke Everett Service Center，USA


Certified By核證


Date of Issue ：簽發日期

輝創工程有限公司
Sun Creation Engineering Limited
Calibration \＆Testing Laboratory

## Certificate of Calibration校正證書

Certificate No．：C183085

1．The unit－under－test（UUT）was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours，and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test．

2．Self－calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6．1．1．2 to 6．4．
3．The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point
4．Test equipment ：

| Equipment ID | $\underline{\text { Description }}$ | Certificate No． |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C180024 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | PA160023 |

5．Test procedure ：MA101N．
6．Results ：

6．1 Sound Pressure Level

6．1．1 Reference Sound Pressure Level
6．1．1．1 Before Self－calibration

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT <br> Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Parameter | Frequency <br> Weighting | Time <br> Weighting | Level <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Freq． <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ |  |
| $52-132$ | L $_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.1 |

6．1．1．2 After Self－calibration

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT <br> Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | IEC 60651 <br> Type 1 Spec． <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Parameter | Frequency <br> Weighting | Time <br> Weighting | Level <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Freq． <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | （k） |  |
| $52-132$ | L $_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | $\pm 0.7$ |

6．1．2 Linearity

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT <br> Reading （dB） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range <br> （dB） | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level <br> （dB） | Freq． $(\mathrm{kHz})$ |  |
| 52－132 | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 （Ref．） |
|  |  |  |  | 104.00 |  | 104.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 114.00 |  | 114.0 |

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec．：$\pm 0.4 \mathrm{~dB}$ per 10 dB step and $\pm 0.7 \mathrm{~dB}$ for overall different．

[^0]輝創工程有限公司
Sun Creation Engineering Limited
Calibration \＆Testing Laboratory

## Certificate of Calibration <br> 校正證書

Certificate No．：C183085
證書編號

6．2 Time Weighting
6．2．1 Continuous Signal

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT <br> Reading （dB） | IEC 60651 <br> Type 1 Spec． <br> （dB） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range （dB） | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level <br> （dB） | Freq． （kHz） |  |  |
| 52－132 | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 94.00 | ， | 94.0 | Ref． |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {ASP }}$ |  | S |  |  | 94.0 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {AIP }}$ |  | I |  |  | 94.1 | $\pm 0.1$ |

6．2．2 Tone Burst Signal（ 2 kHz ）

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT <br> Reading （dB） | IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec． （dB） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range （dB） | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level <br> （dB） | Burst Duration |  |  |
| 32－112 | $L_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 106.0 | Continuous | 106.0 | Ref． |
|  | $L_{\text {AFMax }}$ |  |  |  | 200 ms | 104.9 | $-1.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {ASP }}$ |  | S |  | Continuous | 106.0 | Ref． |
|  | $L_{\text {ASMax }}$ |  |  |  | 500 ms | 102.0 | $-4.1 \pm 1.0$ |

6．3 Frequency Weighting
6．3．1 A－Weighting

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  |  | IEC 60651 <br> Type 1 Spec． <br> （dB） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level <br> （dB） | Freq． |  |  |
| 52－132 | $L_{\text {AFP }}$ | A | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 55.0 | $-39.4 \pm 1.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 63 Hz | 67.9 | $-26.2 \pm 1.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 125 Hz | 77.8 | $-16.1 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 250 Hz | 85.3 | $-8.6 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 500 Hz | 90.7 | $-3.2 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref． |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 kHz | 95.2 | $+1.2 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 kHz | 95.0 | $+1.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 kHz | 92.9 | －1．1（＋1．5；－3．0） |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 kHz | 89.8 | $-4.3(+3.0 ;-6.0)$ |

輝 創工程有限公司
Sun Creation Engineering Limited
Calibration \＆Testing Laboratory

## Certificate of Calibration <br> 校正證書

6．3．2 C－Weighting

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  | UUT Reading （dB） | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEC } 60651 \\ \text { Type } 1 \text { Spec. } \\ \text { (dB) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range } \\ & \text { (dB) } \end{aligned}$ | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level （dB） | Freq． |  |  |
| 52－132 | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {CFP }}$ | C | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 91.4 | $-3.0 \pm 1.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 63 Hz | 93.3 | $-0.8 \pm 1.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 125 Hz | 93.8 | $-0.2 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 250 Hz | 94.0 | $0.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 500 Hz | 94.0 | $0.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref． |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 kHz | 93.8 | $-0.2 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 kHz | 93.2 | $-0.8 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 kHz | 90.9 | －3．0（＋1．5；－3．0） |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 kHz | 87.8 | －6．2（＋3．0；－6．0） |

6．4 Time Averaging

| UUT Setting |  |  |  | Applied Value |  |  |  |  | UUT <br> Reading <br> （dB） | IEC 60804 <br> Type 1 <br> Spec． <br> （dB） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range <br> （dB） | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Integrating Time | Frequency （kHz） | Burst <br> Duration （ms） | Burst <br> Duty <br> Factor | Burst Level （dB） | Equivalent Level （dB） |  |  |
| 32－112 | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {Aeq }}$ | A | 10 sec ． | 4 | 1 | 1／10 | 110.0 | 100 | 100.0 | $\pm 0.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 / 10^{2}$ |  | 90 | 89.5 | $\pm 0.5$ |
|  |  |  | 60 sec ． |  |  | $1 / 10^{3}$ |  | 80 | 79.2 | $\pm 1.0$ |
|  |  |  | 5 min ． |  |  | $1 / 10^{4}$ |  | 70 | 69.3 | $\pm 1.0$ |

Remarks ：－UUT Microphone Model No．： 4188 \＆S／N ： 2812706
－Mfr＇s Spec．：IEC 60651 Type 1 \＆IEC 60804 Type 1
－Uncertainties of Applied Value ： $94 \mathrm{~dB}: 31.5 \mathrm{~Hz}-125 \mathrm{~Hz}: \pm 0.35 \mathrm{~dB}$
$250 \mathrm{~Hz}-500 \mathrm{~Hz} \quad: \pm 0.30 \mathrm{~dB}$
$1 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.20 \mathrm{~dB}$
$2 \mathrm{kHz}-4 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.35 \mathrm{~dB}$
$8 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.45 \mathrm{~dB}$
$12.5 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.70 \mathrm{~dB}$
$104 \mathrm{~dB}: 1 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~dB}$（Ref． 94 dB ）
$114 \mathrm{~dB}: 1 \mathrm{kHz} \quad: \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~dB}$（Ref． 94 dB ）
Burst equivalent level $: \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~dB}$（Ref． 110 dB continuous sound level）
－The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than $95 \%$ ．
Note ：
Only the original copy or the laboratory＇s certified true copy is valid．
The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift，variations with environment changes，vibration and shock during transportation，overloading，mis－handling，or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement．Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment．

[^1]Sun Creation Engineering Limited－Calibration \＆Testing Laboratory
c／o 4／F， 1 Hing On Lane，Tuen Mun，New Territories，Hong Kong
媈創工程有限公司 一 校正及檢測濱驗所
c／o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

## Certificate of Calibration <br> 校正證書

證書編號

Date of Receipt／收件日期：28 May 2018

ITEM TESTED／送檢項目（Job No．／序引編號：IC18－0867）
Description／儀器名稱 ：Acoustical Calibrator（EQ081）
Manufacturer／製造商 ：Brüel \＆Kjær
Model No．／型號 ： 4231
Serial No．／編號： 2326408
Supplied By／委託者：Action－United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A，20／F．，Gold King Industrial Building， 35－41 Tai Lin Pai Road，Kwai Chung，N．T．

## TEST CONDITIONS／測試條件

Temperature／溫度 ：$(23 \pm 2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Relative Humidity／相對濕度 ：（50 $\pm 25) \%$
Line Voltage／電壓 ：－－－

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS／測試規範

Calibration check

## DATE OF TEST／測試日期 ：9 June 2018

## TEST RESULTS／測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit－under－test only．
The results do not exceed manufacturer＇s specification．
The results are detailed in the subsequent pages）．
The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via ：
－The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard \＆Calibration Laboratory
－Agilent Technologies／Keysight Technologies
－Rohde \＆Schwarz Laboratory，Germany
－Fluke Everett Service Center，USA

Tested By測試


Certified By核證
$: \frac{C h m \text { Un eros } O}{\text { H C Chan }}$
CC Chan

Date of Issue ： 11 June 2018
簽發日期

Sun Creation Engineering Limited
Calibration \＆Testing Laboratory

## Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No．：C183082
校正證書

```
證書編號
```

1．The unit－under－test（UUT）was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test．

2．The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point．
3．Test equipment ：

| Equipment ID |
| :--- |
| CL130 |
| CL281 |
| TST150A |


| Description | $\underline{\text { Certificate No．}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Universal Counter | C173864 |
| Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | PA160023 |
| Measuring Amplifier | C181288 |

4．Test procedure ：MA100N．
5．Results ：

5．1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT <br> Nominal Value | Measured Value <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Mfr＇s Spec． <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Uncertainty of Measured Value <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $94 \mathrm{~dB}, 1 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 94.0 | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $114 \mathrm{~dB}, 1 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 114.0 |  |  |

5．2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | Measured Value <br> $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | Mfr＇s <br> Spec． | Uncertainty of Measured Value <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0000 | $1 \mathrm{kHz} \pm 0.1 \%$ | $\pm 0.1$ |

Remark ：The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than $95 \%$ ．

Note ：
Only the original copy or the laboratory＇s certified true copy is valid．
The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift，variations with environment changes， vibration and shock during transportation，overloading，mis－handling，or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement．Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment．

[^2]
## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

| CONTACT: <br> CLIENT: | MR BEN TAM <br> ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND <br> CONSULTING | WORK ORDER: | HK1840311 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic
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# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION 

| WORK ORDER: | HK1840311 |  | AL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUB- BATCH: | 0 |  |  |
| DATE OF ISSUE: | 25-Jul- 2018 |  |  |
| CLIENT: | ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMEN | SERVICES AND CONSULTING |  |
| Equipment Type: | Dissolved Oxygen Meter |  |  |
| Brand Name: | YSI |  |  |
| Model No.: | Pro 20 |  |  |
| Serial No.: | 12C100570 |  |  |
| Equipment No.: | - - |  |  |
| Date of Calibration: | 25 July, 2018 | Date of Next Calibration: | 25 October, 2018 |
| PARAMETERS: Dissolved Oxygen |  |  |  |
|  | Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500- O: G |  |  |
|  | Expected Reading (mg/ L) | Displayed Reading (mg/ L) | Tolerance (mg/ L) |
|  | 2.28 | 2.46 | +0.18 |
|  | 4.90 | 4.77 | - 0.13 |
|  | 7.73 | 7.67 | - 0.06 |
|  |  | Tolerance Limit (mg/ L) | $\pm 0.20$ |

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Displayed Reading $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Tolerance $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.5 | 11.6 | +1.1 |
| 21.0 | 22.7 | +1.7 |
| 41.0 | 40.1 | -0.9 |
|  | Tolerance Limit $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\pm 2.0$ |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

| CONTACT: | MR BEN TAM |
| :--- | :--- |
| CLIENT: | ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND |
|  | CONSULTING |
| ADDRESS: | RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, |
|  | NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, |
|  | KWAI CHUNG, |
|  | N.T., HONG KONG. |
|  |  |

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

| Scope of Test: | Turbidity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equipment Type: | Turbidimeter |
| Brand Name: | Hach |
| Model No.: | 2100 Q |
| Serial No.: | 11030 C008499 |
| Equipment No.: | -- |
| Date of Calibration: | 30 August, 2018 |

## NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic
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# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION 

WORK ORDER: HK1846347
SUB- BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 04- Sep- 2018
CLIENT:
ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
Equipment Type:
Brand Name:
Model No.:
Turbidimeter
Hach
2100Q
Serial No.: 11030C008499
Equipment No.:
Date of Calibration: 30 August, 2018
Date of Next Calibration: 30 November, 2018

## PARAMETERS:

Turbidity
Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Displayed Reading (NTU) | Tolerance (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.17 | -- |
| 4 | -- | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 40 | 41.10 | +2.8 |
| 80 | 84.8 | +6.0 |
| 400 | 383 | -4.3 |
| 800 | 790 | -1.3 |
|  | Tolerance Limit (\%) | $\pm 10.0$ |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

| CONTACT: <br> CLIENT: | MR BEN TAM <br> ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND | WORK ORDER: | HK1846345 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CONSULTING |  |  |  |

## NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.
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# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION 

WORK ORDER: HK1846345

## SUB- BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 03-Sep-2018
CLIENT:
ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: $\quad \mathrm{pH}$ meter
Brand Name: AZ
Model No.: 8685
Serial No.: 1118396
Equipment No.:
Date of Calibration: 30 August, 201
Date of Next Calibration: 30 November, 2018

PARAMETERS:
pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | 4.0 | +0.00 |
| 7.0 | 7.0 | +0.00 |
| 10.0 | 9.8 | -0.20 |
|  | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | $\pm 0.20$ |

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical
Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Displayed Reading $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Tolerance $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.0 | 11.5 | +0.5 |
| 22.0 | 22.0 | +0.0 |
| 38.5 | 37.5 | -1.0 |
|  | Tolerance Limit $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\pm 2.0$ |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


Ms. Lin Wai Yu
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

## CONTACT: MR BEN TAM <br> CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND <br> ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T., HONG KONG. <br> COMMENTS

WORK ORDER:

SUB- BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 17-Aug-2018
DATE OF ISSUE: 24-Aug-2018

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

| Scope of Test: | Salinity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equipment Type: | Salinity Meter |
| Brand Name: | -- |
| Model No.: | AZ8371 |
| Serial No.: | 1118267 |
| Equipment No.: | -- |
| Date of Calibration: | 22 August, 2018 |

## NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


[^7][^8]
# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION 

WORK ORDER: HK1845007

## SUB- BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 24-Aug-2018
CLIENT:
ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
Equipment Type: Salinity Meter
Brand Name:
Model No.:
AZ8371
Serial No.:
Equipment No.
Date of Calibration:

## PARAMETERS:

Salinity
Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.00 | -- |
| 10 | 9.31 | -6.9 |
| 20 | 18.2 | -9.0 |
| 30 | 28.3 | -5.7 |
|  | Tolerance Limit (\%) | $\pm 10.0$ |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

| CONTACT: | MR IVAN LEUNG |
| :--- | :--- |
| CLIENT: | ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD |
| ADDRESS: | $11 /$ F, CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, |
|  | $1-3$ WING YIP STREET, |
|  | KWAI CHUNG, |
|  | N.T., HONG KONG |

WORK ORDER: HK1827786
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 06-Apr-2018
DATE OF ISSUE: 02-May-2018

## COMMENTS

The calibration of flow rate performed by AUES staff on 6 April 2018.

| Scope of Test: | Flow rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equipment Type: | Flow Meter |
| Brand Name: | Global Water |
| Model No.: | FP211 |
| Serial No.: | 1449006330 |
| Equipment No.: | -- |
| Calibration Factor: | 314 |
| Date of Calibration: | 06 April, 2018 |

## NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Work Order:
Sub-batch:
Date of Issue:

## Client:

Equipment Type:
Brand Name:
Model No.:
Serial No.:
Equipment No.:
Calibration Factor:

Date of Calibration:

Parameters:

HK1 827786
0
02-May-2018
ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Flow Meter
Global Water
FP211
1449006330
--
314

06 April, 2018

The calibration of flow meter is verified with another standard flow meter (SonTek IQ Standard Serial Number: IQ1217004) on site by AUES Staff.

Flow rate

| Test | Standard Equipment <br> Reading $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | Verification Equipment Reading <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 0.12 | 0.1 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 0.21 | 0.2 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.18 | 0.2 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 0.49 | 0.5 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 1.03 | 1.0 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 0.97 | 1.0 |

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Ríchard
General Manager -
Greater China \& Hong Kong

Hong Kong Accreditation Service香港認可處

## Certificate of Accreditation

認可證書
This is to certify that特此證明

## ALS TECHNICHEM（HK）PTY LIMITED

11／F．，Chung Shun Knitting Centre，1－3 Wing Yip Street，Kwai Chung，New Territories，Hong Kong香港新界葵涌永業街1－3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive，on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board，as a為香港認可處執行機關根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO／IEC 17025：2005－General requirements for the competence此實驗所符合ISO／IEC 17025：2005－《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求， of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as獲認可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定
listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of測試或校正工作

## Environmental Testing

環境測試
This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised International Standard ISO／IEC 17025： 2005.本惯驗所乃根㨜公認的國際標準 ISO／IEC 17025：2005 獲得認可。
This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory這項認可資格演示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及惯驗所質量管理體系的運作 quality management system（see joint IAF－ILAC－ISO Communiqué）．
（見國際認可譣壇•國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報）。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章


CHAN Sing Sing，Terence，Executive Administrator執行幹事陳成城 Issue Date： 5 May 2009簽發日期：二零零九年五月五日


[^9]Date of First Registration： 15 September 1995首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

## Appendix F

## Event and Action Plan of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality

Event and Action Plan for air quality

| Event | Action |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action level exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; <br> 2. Inform IEC and ER; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; <br> 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| Action level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Identify source; <br> 2. Inform IEC and ER; <br> 3. Advise the $E R$ on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; <br> 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; <br> 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; <br> 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method; <br> 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; <br> 2. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit level exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; <br> 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method; <br> 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; <br> 3. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; <br> 2. Identify source; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; <br> 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; <br> 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; <br> 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; <br> 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; <br> 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; <br> 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; <br> 3. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; <br> 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

## Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | Action |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level Exceedance | 1.Notify IEC, ER and Contractor; <br> 2. Carry out investigation; <br> 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; <br> 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; <br> 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness | 1.Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; <br> 2.Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; <br> 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; <br> 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals |
| Limit Level Exceedance | 1.Identify source; <br> 2. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; <br> 3.Repeat measurements to confirm findings; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency; <br> 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; <br> 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; <br> 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; <br> 8.If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1.Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; <br> 2. Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1.Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; <br> 4.Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; <br> 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1.Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; <br> 3.Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 4.Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; <br> 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Note:
ET-Environmental Team
IEC - Independent Environmental Checker
ER - Engineer's Representative

## Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

| Event | Action |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action level exceedance for one sampling day | 1. Inform IEC, Contractor and ER; <br> 2. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; and <br> 3. Discuss remedial measures with IEC and Contractor and ER. | 1. Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the implemented mitigation measures; <br> 2. Review proposals on remedial measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and <br> 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the implemented mitigation measures; <br> 2. Make agreement on the remedial measures to be implemented; <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of agreed remedial measures. | 1. Identify source(s) of impact; <br> 2. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; <br> 3. Rectify unacceptable practice; <br> 4. Check all plant and equipment; <br> 5. Consider changes of working methods; <br> 6. Discuss with ER, ET and IEC and purpose remedial measures to IEC and ER; and <br> 7. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Action level exceedance for more than one consecutive sampling days | 1. Repeat in-situ measurement on next day of exceedance to confirm findings; <br> 2. Inform IEC, contractor and ER; <br> 3. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; <br> 4. Discuss remedial measures with IEC, contractor and ER <br> 5. Ensure remedial measures are implemented | 1. Discuss with ET, Contractor and ER on the implemented mitigation measures; <br> 2. Review the proposed remedial measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and <br> 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; <br> 2. Make agreement on the remedial measures to be implemented ; and <br> 3. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures. | 1. Identify source(s) of impact; <br> 2. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; <br> 3. Rectify unacceptable practice; <br> 4. Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; <br> 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and submit proposal of remedial measures to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; and <br> 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit level exceedance for one sampling day | 1. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance to confirm findings; <br> 2. Inform IEC, contractor and ER; <br> 3. Rectify unacceptable practice; <br> 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, <br> 5. equipment and Contractor's working methods; <br> 6. Consider changes of working methods; <br> 7. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor, and <br> 8. Ensure the agreed remedial measures are implemented | 1. Discuss with ET, Contractor and ER on the implemented mitigation measures; <br> 2. Review the proposed remedial measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and <br> 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the implemented remedial measures; <br> 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; <br> 3. Make agreement on the remedial measures to be implemented; and <br> 4. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures. | 1. Identify source(s) of impact; <br> 2. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; <br> 3. Rectify unacceptable practice; <br> 4. Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; <br> 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and submit proposal of additional mitigation measures to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; and <br> 6. Implement the agreed remedial measures. |
| Limit level exceedance for more than one consecutive sampling days | 1. Inform IEC, contractor and ER; <br> 2. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; <br> 3. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; <br> 4. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and <br> 5. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days | 1. Discuss with ET, Contractor and ER on the implemented mitigation measures; <br> 2. Review the proposed remedial measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and <br> 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the implemented remedial measures; <br> 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; <br> 3. Make agreement on the remedial measures to be implemented; <br> 4. Discuss with ET and IEC on the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; and <br> 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level. | 1. Identify source(s) of impact; <br> 2. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; <br> 3. Rectify unacceptable practice; <br> 4. Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; <br> 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and submit proposal of additional mitigation measures to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; and <br> 6. Implement the agreed remedial measures; and <br> 7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level. |

ET - Environmental Team IEC - Independent Environmental Checker ER - Engineer’s Representative
Each step of actions required shall be implemented within 1 working day unless otherwise specified or agreed with EPD.

## Appendix G

## Monitoring Schedules of the Reporting Month and Coming Month

## Monitoring Schedule of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality in the Reporting Month - September

 2018| Date |  | Noise Monitoring | Air Quality Monitoring |  | Water Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-Hour TSP | 24-Hour TSP |  |
| Sat | 1-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 2-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 3-Sep-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Tue | 4-Sep-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Wed | 5-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thu | 6-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Fri | 7-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sat | 8-Sep-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Sun | 9-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 10-Sep-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Tue | 11-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Wed | 12-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Thu | 13-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 14-Sep-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Sat | 15-Sep-18 |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 16-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 17-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Tue | 18-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ (*) |
| Wed | 19-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Thu | 20-Sep-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 21-Sep-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Sat | 22-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 23-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 24-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Tue | 25-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Wed | 26-Sep-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Thu | 27-Sep-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 28-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Sat | 29-Sep-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 30-Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |

Remark:


Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Location

| Environmental <br> Aspect | Monitoring <br> Location | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Air Quality | ASR-1 | Sha Ling Village House No.6 |
| Construction Noise | CN-1 | Village house to the west of Sha Ling Road |
| Water Quality | M3 | Wetland in the Conservation Area (CA) near Yuen Leng Chai |

Monitoring Schedule of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality in the Coming Month - October 2018

| Date |  | Noise Monitoring | Air Quality Monitoring |  | Water Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-Hour TSP | 24-Hour TSP |  |
| Mon | 1-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tue | 2-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wed | 3-Oct-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Thu | 4-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 5-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Sat | 6-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 7-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 8-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Tue | 9-Oct-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Wed | 10-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Thu | 11-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 12-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Sat | 13-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 14-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 15-Oct-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Tue | 16-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Wed | 17-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Thu | 18-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 19-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Sat | 20-Oct-18 |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 21-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 22-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Tue | 23-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Wed | 24-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Thu | 25-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Fri | 26-Oct-18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Sat | 27-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Sun | 28-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 29-Oct-18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Tue | 30-Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |
| Wed | 31-Oct-18 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Remark:

| $\checkmark$ | Monitoring Day |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Location

| Environmental <br> Aspect | Monitoring <br> Location | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Air Quality | ASR-1 | Sha Ling Village House No.6 |
| Construction Noise | CN-1 | Village house to the west of Sha Ling Road |
| Water Quality | M3 | Wetland in the Conservation Area (CA) near Yuen Leng Chai |

## Appendix H

## Monitoring Data

- 24-Hour TSP Air Quality
- Noise
- Water Quality


## Air Quality (24-hour TSP)

## 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for ASR-1

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME |  |  | CHART READING |  |  | AVG <br> TEMP <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | AVG AIR <br> PRESS <br> $(\mathrm{hPa})$ <br> 1 | STANDARD <br> FLOW <br> RATE <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}\right)$ | AIR <br> VOLUME <br> $\left(\operatorname{std} \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ <br> 1763 | FILTER WEIGHT (g) |  | DUST <br> WEIGHT <br> COLLECTED <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24-Hr TSP } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG |  |  |  |  | INITIAL | FINAL |  |  |
| 3-Sep-18 | 23056 | 8771.67 | 8795.93 | 1455.60 | 33 | 34 | 33.5 | 28.5 | 1006.6 | 1.21 | 1763 | 2.6724 | 2.7161 | 0.0437 | 25 |
| 8-Sep-18 | 23064 | 8795.93 | 8820.02 | 1445.40 | 32 | 34 | 33.0 | 28.1 | 1007.8 | 1.20 | 1736 | 2.6651 | 2.7837 | 0.1186 | 68 |
| 14-Sep-18 | 23060 | 8820.02 | 8844.02 | 1440.00 | 26 | 26 | 26.0 | 27.9 | 1008.6 | 1.04 | 1497 | 2.6810 | 2.7952 | 0.1142 | 76 |
| 20-Sep-18 | 23123 | 8844.02 | 8868.05 | 1441.80 | 30 | 31 | 30.5 | 27.6 | 1009.6 | 1.14 | 1650 | 2.6855 | 2.8542 | 0.1687 | 102 |
| 26-Sep-18 | 23131 | 8868.05 | 8892.03 | 1438.80 | 30 | 31 | 30.5 | 27 | 1011 | 1.15 | 1648 | 2.6910 | 2.8020 | 0.1110 | 67 |

## Noise

## Noise Measurement Results (dB(A)) of CN-1

| Date | Start <br> Time | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | L10 | L90 | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2^{\text {nd }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \end{array}$ | L10 | L90 | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {nd }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | L10 | L90 | $\begin{gathered} 4^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | L10 | L90 | $\begin{gathered} 5^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Leq }_{5 \text { min }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | L10 | L90 | $\begin{gathered} 6^{\text {th }} \\ \mathbf{L e q}_{5 \text { min }} \end{gathered}$ | L10 | L90 | $\mathrm{Leq}_{30 \mathrm{~min}}$ | Façade Collection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Sep-18 | 9:49 | 57.6 | 58.9 | 54.0 | 56.3 | 58.0 | 53.9 | 56.0 | 57.7 | 53.3 | 54.5 | 55.9 | 52.8 | 58.3 | 60.3 | 54.3 | 56.8 | 57.5 | 54.7 | 57 | 60 |
| 10-Sep-18 | 9:40 | 71.8 | 72.5 | 58.6 | 62.3 | 63.6 | 58.0 | 61.2 | 62.0 | 58.8 | 64.3 | 64.3 | 58.9 | 60.5 | 62.0 | 57.9 | 62.1 | 63.1 | 57.9 | 66 | 69 |
| 21-Sep-18 | 9:32 | 63.4 | 63.5 | 58.2 | 62.8 | 63.3 | 58.7 | 61.7 | 62.0 | 58.2 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 58.6 | 59.3 | 59.0 | 57.8 | 58.2 | 59.7 | 58.9 | 62 | 65 |
| 27-Sep-18 | 13:03 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 53.2 | 57.0 | 58.2 | 53.6 | 58.2 | 58.0 | 53.9 | 53.4 | 55.8 | 53.5 | 56.5 | 59.1 | 54.4 | 55.3 | 56.1 | 54.1 | 57 | 60 |

## Water Quality

| Water Quality Impact Monitoring at M3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Time | Depth (m) | Flow Velocity (m/s) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Temp }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & \text { (averaged) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DO (mg/L) } \\ & \text { (averaged) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DOS (\%) } \\ \text { (averaged) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Turbidity (NTU) (averaged) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{pH} \text { (Unit) } \\ \text { (averaged) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Salinity (ppt)(averaged) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SS (mg/L) } \\ & \text { (averaged) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1/9/2018 |  | 2.50 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.46 | 6.5 | 80.4 | 80.6 | 75 | 75.5 | 6.80 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22 | 22.5 |
|  | 9.50 |  | 26.6 |  | 0.2 |  | 6.48 |  | 80.8 |  | 76 |  | 6.80 |  | 0.1 |  | 23 |  |
| 3/9/2018 | 9.50 | 2.50 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.19 | 6.2 | 78.6 | 78.4 | 75.5 | 75.7 | 7.20 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25 | 25.0 |
|  | 9:50 |  | 27.5 |  | 0.2 |  | 6.18 |  | 78.2 |  | 75.9 |  | 7.20 |  | 0.1 |  | 25 |  |
| 5/9/2018 |  | 2.50 | 28.9 | 28.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.89 | 4.9 | 63.4 | 63.5 | 47.4 | 47.2 | 8.50 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 | 15.5 |
|  | 9:45 |  | 28.9 |  | 0.2 |  | 4.9 |  | 63.5 |  | 47 |  | 8.50 |  | 0.0 |  | 16 |  |
| 7/9/2018 |  | 2.50 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.86 | 5.9 | 72.5 | 72.7 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 7.70 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 12.0 |
|  | 10:00 |  | 30.7 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.88 |  | 72.9 |  | 33.3 |  | 7.70 |  | 0.0 |  | 13 |  |
| 11/9/2018 |  | 2.50 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.44 | 5.5 | 69.9 | 70.1 | 27.7 | 28.6 | 7.90 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 11.5 |
|  | 9:50 |  | 28.6 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.6 |  | 70.2 |  | 29.5 |  | 7.90 |  | 0.0 |  | 12 |  |
| 13/9/2018 | 10:00 | 2.50 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.29 | 6.3 | 79.3 | 79.6 | 27.4 | 27.6 | 8.30 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  | 27.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 6.35 |  | 79.9 |  | 27.8 |  | 8.30 |  | 0.0 |  | 10 |  |
| 15/9/2018 | 9:50 | 2.50 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 6.12 | 6.1 | 79.6 | 79.8 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 7.60 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  | 29.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 6.14 |  | 79.9 |  | 15.5 |  | 7.60 |  | 0.0 |  | 7 |  |
| 20/9/2018 | 10:00 | 2.50 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 82.8 | 82.7 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 7.70 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  | 28.7 |  | 0.2 |  | 6.35 |  | 82.6 |  | 17.9 |  | 7.70 |  | 0.0 |  | 8 |  |
| 22/9/2018 | 9:50 | 2.50 | 29 | 29.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.47 | 5.5 | 73.5 | 73.6 | 17.8 | 16.6 | 7.80 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  | 29 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.48 |  | 73.6 |  | 15.4 |  | 7.80 |  | 0.0 |  | 6 |  |
| 24/9/2018 | 9:45 | 2.50 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 9.63 | 9.6 | 124.6 | 124.4 | 9 | 9.2 | 7.80 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.5 |
|  |  |  | 28.7 |  | 0.1 |  | 9.58 |  | 124.1 |  | 9.3 |  | 7.80 |  | 0.0 |  | 4 |  |
| 27/9/2018 | 9:45 | 2.50 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 72.7 | 72.8 | 7.24 | 7.2 | 6.50 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 6  <br>  5.5  | 5.5 |
|  |  |  | 27.9 |  | 0.1 |  | 5.71 |  | 72.8 |  | 7.2 |  | 6.50 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| 29/9/2018 | 9:45 | 2.50 | 29 | 29.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 7.13 | 7.1 | 7.75 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  6 5.5 <br>  5  | 5.5 |
|  |  |  | 29 |  | 0.1 |  | 5.91 |  | 76.6 |  | 7.06 |  | 7.73 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Appendix I

Graphical Plots of Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality

## Air Quality Impact Monitoring




## Construction Noise Impact Monitoring



Water Quality Impact Monitoring




## Appendix J

## Meteorological Data of the Reporting Period (Ta Kwu Ling Station)

| Date |  | Weather | Total Rainfall (mm) | Ta Kwu Ling Station |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean Air <br> Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | Wind Speed (km/h) | Mean <br> Relative <br> Humidity (\%) | Wind Direction |
| 1-Sep-18 | Sat |  | Mainly cloudy with a few showers and isolated thunderstorms. | 32 | 26 | 12.7 | 93.0 | SE |
| 2-Sep-18 | Sun | Mainly cloudy with isolated showers | 9.8 | 27.3 | 5.5 | 84 | S/SE |
| 3-Sep-18 | Mon | Hot with sunny periods | 0.3 | 27.7 | 6.5 | 80.7 | E/SE |
| 4-Sep-18 | Tue | Mainly fine and hot, | 0 | 28.9 | 6 | 77.2 | W/SW |
| 5-Sep-18 | Wed | Very hot. Sunny periods with isolated showers and thunderstorms. | 0.1 | 30 | 12.3 | 77.7 | S/SW |
| 6-Sep-18 | Thu | Very hot with sunny periods and a few showers. | 0 | 29.3 | 6.8 | 81.2 | E/NE |
| 7-Sep-18 | Fri | Hot with sunny periods. | Trace | 29.6 | 7.5 | 79.2 | E/SE |
| 8-Sep-18 | Sat | Very hot with sunny periods and a few showers. | 24.6 | 27.7 | 16.1 | 81.0 | N |
| 9-Sep-18 | Sun | Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals and isolated showers | 16.7 | 27.2 | 6 | 73.7 | E/NE |
| 10-Sep-18 | Mon | Sunny periods. Isolated showers in the afternoon. Moderate easterly winds. | 0.2 | 26 | 5.6 | 78.7 | E/NE |
| 11-Sep-18 | Tue | Mainly fine but hazy. Hot during the day. Moderate northerly winds. | 0 | 27.4 | 6.5 | 68.7 | N/NW |
| 12-Sep-18 | Wed | Mainly cloudy with a few squally showers. Showers will be more frequent with thunderstorms | Trace | 28.8 | 9.5 | 73 | E/NE |
| 13-Sep-18 | Thu | Mainly cloudy with showers. Isolated squally thunderstorms | 167.5 | 28.5 | 8.4 | 78.7 | E/NE |
| 14-Sep-18 | Fri | Mainly cloudy with showers. Isolated squally thunderstorms at first. | 0 | 29.5 | 6 | 75.7 | N/NW |
| 15-Sep-18 | Sat | Mainly fine but hazy. Hot during the day. Moderate northerly winds. | Trace | 30 | 11 | 50.5 | N |
| 16-Sep-18 | Sun | occasionally strong on high ground | 167.5 | 27.8 | 37 | 90 | E/SE |
| 17-Sep-18 | Mon | Mainly fine. Moderate to fresh east to southeasterly winds | 12 | 28.5 | 17.2 | 78.5 | E/SE |
| 18-Sep-18 | Tue | Mainly fine. Moderate to fresh east to southeasterly winds | 1.2 | 28.6 | 11 | 77.5 | E |
| 19-Sep-18 | Wed | Fine and hot. Light winds. | 0 | 28.4 | 5.5 | 76 | SW |
| 20-Sep-18 | Thu | Sunny periods. Isolated showers later. Light winds. | 0 | 29.4 | 4.7 | 75.7 | S/SW |
| 21-Sep-18 | Fri | Fine. Very hot in the afternoon. Light winds. | Trace | 29.4 | 5.5 | 70.7 | S/SW |
| 22-Sep-18 | Sat | Fine and hot. Light winds. | 0 | 29.7 | 8.3 | 76.0 | E |
| 23-Sep-18 | Sun | Sunny periods. Isolated showers later. Light winds. | Trace | 29.5 | 9.6 | 74.7 | E/SE |
| 24-Sep-18 | Mon | Mainly cloudy with occasional showers and thunderstorms. | 72.2 | 27.4 | 9.7 | 83.2 | E/SE |
| 25-Sep-18 | Tue | Mainly cloudy with one or two showers. Sunny periods tomorrow. | 34.5 | 27.8 | 6.5 | 75 | E/SE |
| 26-Sep-18 | Wed | Mainly cloudy with one or two showers. Sunny periods tomorrow. | 9.7 | 26.5 | 4.5 | 82 | S/SW |
| 27-Sep-18 | Thu | Fine and hot. Light winds. | Trace | Maintenanc <br> e | 5 | Maintenanc <br> e | E |
| 28-Sep-18 | Fri | Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Moderate northerly winds. | 0 | 26.7 | 9 | 76.5 | N |
| 29-Sep-18 | Sat | Fine. Very hot in the afternoon. Light winds. | 0 | 27.4 | 25.2 | 60.0 | N |
| 30-Sep-18 | Sun | Mainly fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 27 | 22.9 | 60.0 | N |
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## Ecology Survey Report
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 The main objective of the proposed site formation and associated infrastructural works for development of columbarium, crematorium (C\&C) and related facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery is to increase the public cremation services and supply of public niches to meet the future demand.
1.1.2 The project includes site formation and associated works for development of C\&C facilities at the Sandy Ridge Cemetery, road works within Sandy Ridge Cemetery, widening a section of Lin Ma Hang Road (from 6.5 m to 7.3 m ), provision of off-site pick-up/drop-off points for shuttle buses as well as barging point at Siu Lam, Lok On Pai.
1.1.3 The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report, including Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (EM\&A Manual), was approved with conditions on 8 August 2016 (Register No.: AEIAR-198/2016). EPD issued an Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project (EP-487/2014) on 7 April 2017. A Further Environment Permit (FEP) for the Project (FEP01/534/2017) was issued on 23 February 2018.
1.1.4 According to Clause 3.1 of the FEP (FEP-01/534/2017), "The Permit Holder shall implement the EM\&A programme in accordance with the procedures and requirements as set out in the EM\&A Manual. Any changes to the programme shall be justified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and requirements contained in the EM\&A Manual before submission to the Director for approval".
1.1.5 This Ecologically Sensitive Habitats Monitoring Methodology articulates the protocol of monitoring the ecology of concerned habitats as specified in EM\&A Manual.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVE

1.2.1 According to approved EIA report (AEIAR-198/2016), habitat types within project boundary comprise of watercourse, grassland, upland grassland, plantation, woodland and developed area. Natural habitats were of moderate ecological value in terms of species diversity, species rarity, species abundance, ecological linkage as well as nursery. Moreover, 0.3ha of wet woodland on the northern side of Sandy Ridge was deemed habitat with high ecological value. Four types of habitats were regarded as ecologically sensitive habitats, namely wet woodland, watercourses, upland grassland and woodland. Considering human disturbance in upcoming construction and operation phases, ecologically sensitive habitats shall be monitored in accordance with EM\&A Manual.
1.2.2 The objective of ecologically sensitive habitats monitoring is to evaluate the effectiveness of measures to minimize impacts on concerned habitats from disturbance and pollution.

## 2 ECOLOGICALLY SENSITIVE HABITATS

### 2.1 DESCRIPTION OF HABITATS

2.1.1 In order to monitor the effectiveness of the measures to the minimise impact on ecologically sensitive habitats from disturbance and pollution, monthly monitoring during construction and operation phases is required as specified in EM\&A Manual. Standard faunal transect and sampling surveys cover both wetland and non-wetland habitats:

| Wetland habitats | Non-wetland habitats |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wet Woodland | Upland Grassland |
| Watercourses | Woodland |

2.1.2 Wet woodland is small patch present on northwest of the project boundary, and is confined by the marsh area to the north and the secondary woodland to the east, south and south-west parts. A number of mature trees Cleistocalyx nervosum and Acronychia pedunculata form the tree canopy, with other self-sown shrubs (including Psychotria asiatica, Ligustrum sinense and Glochidion lanceolarium) and trees (Aporosa dioica and Litsea monopetala). Whilst botanically it comprises of naturally regenerated secondary woodland and ground level are a series of small braided streams and weep points which even during the dry season remain wet. This creates a rather uncommon habitat in Hong Kong offering suitable conditions for a good assemblage of common wetland species. The wet woodland provides a good assemblage of micro-habitats, which is relatively undisturbed and has good linkages to other natural habitats. Several species of conversation importance were recorded in EIA report from this habitat: East Asian Porcupine, Leopard Cat, Red Muntjac, Two-striped Grass Frog, Small Snakehead, Somanniathelphusa zanklon, Dancing Shadow-emerald.
2.1.3 Seasonal watercourse running west to east in the eastern part of the area inside the Project boundary is shallower in gradient than those running off the hillside. This seasonal watercourse is heavily vegetated with wetland-associated herbs including Commelina diffusa, Polygonum chinense, Colocasia esculenta and Dracaena sanderiana. A mature tree of Aquilaria sinensis was recorded at the bank of the seasonal watercourse to the west of the Sandy Ridge Cemetery Office. Seasonal watercourses are restricted to the steeper slopes within the project boundary and are characterised by being entirely dry for much of the dry season. However, endemic crab $S$. zanklon population is supported by ephemeral watercourses close to the project boundary.
2.1.4 Upland grassland is the major habitat within the project boundary. The semi-natural habitat is dominated by typical upland grassland species: fern Dicranopteris pedata, grass Neyraudia reynaudiana, Miscanthus floridulus, climbing vines Smilax china, Smilax glabra, and shrubs such as Rhodomyrtus tomentosa, Breynia fruticosa and Helicteres angustifolia. Approximately 30 flowering spikes of two orchid species Bamboo Orchid and Toothed Habenaria were recorded near the hill top in the northern part of this upland grassland. Golden-headed Cisticola, which is considered as Local Concern by Fellowes et al. (2002), was also recorded in upland grassland on Sandy Ridge, including a proved breeding record of fledged young in September 2013. In addition, numerous species of conservation interest
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were recorded in EIA report, such as East Asian Porcupine, Leopard Cat, Red Muntjac, Great Swift, Tamil Grass Dart, Small Three-ring and Small Grass Yellow.
2.1.5 Scattered patches of woodland are present throughout the assessment area, with the largest contiguous block located immediately to the east of the project boundary. These woodlands are relatively young with single-layered of canopy dominants ( $\sim 10-15 \mathrm{~m}$ tall) including $A$. dioica, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum burmannii, Daphniphyllum calycinum, Litsea glutinosa, Rhus succedanea, and Zanthoxylum avicennae. Such areas comprise secondary woodland which is largely derived from natural regeneration and colonisation of trees as a result of seed dispersal by birds and/or bats. A mature tree of A. sinensis is located at the woodland edge at the central part of the Project according to EIA report.

### 2.2 MONITORING MEASURES OF WETLAND HABITATS

2.2.1 Wetland habitats include wet woodland and watercourses. Monitoring surveys using standardised quantitative methodology will be conducted at fixed points. For seasonal watercourse, survey shall be conducted whenever the habitat appears.
2.2.2 Measures to respond to decreases in numbers of aquatic fauna using the wetland habitats and action and limit levels to trigger these measures are detailed in Table 1.

| Action Level | Response | Limit Level | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduction in taxa diversity by $30 \%$ | Investigate cause and if cause identified as related to the project instigate remedial action to remove or reduce source of disturbance. | Reduction in taxa diversity by $50 \%$ | Investigate cause and if cause identified as related to the project instigate remedial action. |

Table 1 Action and Limit Levels and Responses to Evidence of Declines in Aquatic Fauna

### 2.3 MONITORING MEASURES OF NON-WETLAND HABITATS

2.3.1 Non-wetland habitats consist of upland grassland and woodland. Monthly quantitative surveys of non-aquatic fauna will be conducted using standard route transect counts.
2.3.2 Measures to respond to decreases in numbers of non-aquatic fauna using the non-wetland habitats and action and limit levels to trigger these measures are detailed in Table 2.

| Action Level | Response | Limit Level | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reduction in | Investigate cause and if | Reduction | Investigate cause and if |
| species diversity | cause identified as related | in species | cause identified as related |
| by 30\% | to the project instigate | diversity by | to the project instigate <br> remedial action. |
|  | remedial action to remove | $50 \%$ |  |
|  | or reduce source of |  |  |

Table 2 Action and Limit Levels and Responses to Evidence of Declines in Non-Aquatic Fauna
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## 3 METHODOLOGY

The ecological survey includes all taxa being investigated in EIA report. Table 3 summarizes schedule of faunal surveys.

| Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mammals | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Birds (day) | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Birds <br> (night) |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Herpetofau <br> na |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Dragonflies |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Butterflies |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| Aquatic <br> fauna | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

Table 3 Survey Schedule

### 3.1 MAMMAL SURVEY

3.1.1 Mammal surveys will be conducted along the transects shown in Appendix 1 during both daytime and night time periods. Along with direct observations, other field signs, such as scats and tracks, will be searched and recorded if present.

### 3.2 BIRD SURVEY

3.2.1 Bird surveys will be conducted along the transects shown in Appendix 1 during the surveys, species and their vocalizing individuals recorded will be enumerated and recorded according to the habitat(s) they are utilising.

### 3.3 HERPETOFAUNA SURVEY

3.3.1 Reptile and amphibian surveys will be conducted along transects shown in Appendix 1 during surveys careful searches of appropriate microhabitats and refugia for reptiles and their vocalizing individuals will be undertaken and all reptiles observed will be identified and counted.

### 3.4 DRAGONFLY SURVEY

3.4.1 Dragonfly surveys will be conducted along transects shown in Appendix 1 during surveys all dragonflies seen will be identified and counted as accurately as possible.

### 3.5 BUTTERFLY SURVEY

3.5.1 Butterfly surveys will be conducted along transects shown in Appendix 1 during surveys all dragonflies seen will be identified and counted as accurately as possible.

### 3.6 AQUATIC FAUNA SURVEY

3.6.1 Freshwater fishes and macro-invertebrates will be recorded by direct observation. All species trapped/recorded will be enumerated and identified (to the lowest taxonomic level possible), and the species of conservation importance photographed.

## 4 RESULT

The second monitoring survey started on 11th September 2018．The weather was fine．The survey included day and night sections，covering wetland and non－wetland areas．The survey was conducted by transect and fixed points．All species seen will be identified and counted as accurately as possible．
－Mammal
An unknown bat was found in the project site．
－Bird
There were a total of 19 bird individuals from 13 species recorded during the survey．The species of conservation interests were recorded in the monitoring area：Garrulax canorus， Chinese Hwamei（畫眉）
－Herpetofauna
There were no reptile recorded in the monitoring area．
There was one amphibian Fejervarya limnocharis，Paddy Frog（澤蛙）found in the monitoring area．
－Dragonfly
There were a total of 22 odonate individuals from 3 species，a species of conservation interests，Urothemis signata，Scarlet Basker（赤斑曲鈎脈蜻）was found in upland glass land．
－Butterfly
There were a total of 12 butterfly individuals from 11 species recorded．
－Freshwater communities
A crab of conservation importance Somanniathelphusa zanklon（鐮刀束腰蟹）was found in marsh．
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## Table 4 Result of Avifauna in survey

| Scientific Name | English Name | Chinese <br> Name | Conservation Status | 11－Sep－18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Non－ wetland | Wetland |
| Ardea alba | Great Egret | 大白鵅 | Fellowes et al．（2002）： PRC，（RC） |  | 1 |
| Amaurornis phoenicurus | White－breasted Waterhen | 白胸苦惡鳥 |  |  | 1 |
| Eudynamys scolopaceus | Asian Koel | 噪鵑 |  | 1 |  |
| Lanius schach | Long－tailed Shrike | 棕背伯勞 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Parus cinereus | Cinereous Tit | 蒼背山雀 |  |  | 1 |
| Pycnonotus jocosus | Red－whiskered Bulbul | 紅耳鴧 |  | 2 |  |
| Pycnonotus sinensis | Chinese Bulbul | 白頭䲧 |  | 2 |  |
| Pycnonotus aurigaster | Sooty－headed Bulbul | 白喉紅䛗䳬 |  |  | 3 |
| Prinia flaviventris | Yellow－bellied Prinia | 黄腹䳡鶯 |  | 1 |  |
| Orthotomus sutorius | Common Tailorbird | 長尾縫葉鶯 |  |  | 1 |
| Garrulax canorus | Chinese Hwamei | 畫眉 | Appendix 2 of CITES |  | 1 |
| Garrulax chinensis | Black－throated Laughingthrush | 黑喉噪鶬 |  |  | 1 |


| Gracupica nigricollis | Black－collared Starling | 黑領椋鳥 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Motacilla alba | White Wagtail | 白熊鴒 |  | 1 |  |

Table 5 Result of reptile in survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Chinese Name | 11 －Sep－18 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Wetland |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Result of amphibian in survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Chinese Name | Conservation <br> Status |  | 11－Sep－18 |  | Non－ <br> wetla <br> nd | Wetland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fejervarya limnocharis | Paddy Frog | 澤蛙 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

Table $7 \quad$ Result of butterfly in survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Chinese Name | 11－Sep－18 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | Non－wetland | Wetland |
| Matapa aria | Common Redeye | 瑪弄蝶 |  | 1 |
| Parnara ganga | Rare Swift | 曲紋稻弄蝶 |  | 1 |


| Catochrysops strabo <br> Strabo | Forget－me－not | 咖灰蝶 |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Everes lacturnus | Tailed Cupid，Small <br> Blue | 長尾藍灰蝶 |  |  |

Table 8 Result of Odonate in survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Chinese Name | Conservation Status | 11－Sep－18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Non－ wetland | Wetland |
| Orthetrum sabina sabina | Green Skimmer | 狹腹灰蜻 |  | 1 |  |
| Pantala flavescens | Wandering Glider | 黃蜻 |  | 20 |  |
| Urothemis signata signata | Scarlet Basker | 赤斑曲鈎脈蜻 | Fellowes et al． （2002）：LC | 1 |  |
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Table 9 Result of freshwater communities in survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Chinese Name | Conservation <br> Status | 11－Sep－18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Somanniathelphusa <br> zanklon |  | 鐮刀束腰蟹 | Fellowes et al． <br> $(2002):$ GC | 2 |

## Appendix I - Transect Routes at Sandy Ridge



## Appendix L

## Landscape \& Visual Inspection Checklist
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## Contract No. CV/2016/10 <br> Site Formation and Associated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium, Crematorium and Related Facilities at Sandy Ridge Cemetery <br> Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist for Site Audit

Date: $\underline{\mathbf{2 8} / \mathbf{0 9} / \mathbf{2 0 1 8}}$ Weather: Fine/ Overcast/Rain/Windy

| Item | Mitigation Measures | Implementation |  |  | Actions/ Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | N/A |  |
| 1 | Landscape and Visual |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Is the construction period become shortened? |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Under review. |
| 1.2 | Is the work site confined within site boundaries and without encroaching into the landscape resources offsite? | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Observation 1. |
| 1.3 | Is the site kept clean and tidy (E.g. storage of materials, location and appearance of site accommodation being well positioned) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 1.4 | Is the construction site screened properly by hoardings or noise barriers in visually unobstructed colours? | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Observation 1. |
| 1.5 | Is the erosion and dust control for exposed soil well performed during excavation work? (E.g. Exposed soil shall be covered or "camouflaged" and watered frequently. Areas that are expected to be left with bare soil for a long period of time should be hydro seeded and / or covered with suitable protective fabrics.) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 1.6 | Are the woodland, plantation and other vegetation being protected and preserved in accordance with DEVB TC(W) No. 07/2015(E.g. Set up Tree Protection Zone)? | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Observation 2 and 3 |
| 1.7 | Are the trees which are in direct conflict with the development proposal being transplanted as far as practical in accordance with and DEVB TC(W) No. 07/2015? |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Transplanting works have not yet been commenced. |
| 1.8 | Are compensatory planting for trees being provided to compensate the trees felled in accordance with DEVB TC(W) No. 07/2015? |  |  | $\checkmark$ | Tree planting works have not yet been commenced. |
| 1.9 | Are precautionary control measures to protect natural streams and rivers from adverse impact being implemented in accordance with ETWWB TCW No. 5/2005? (E.g. Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and properly disposed) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 1.10 | Is light and glare control such as hooding being implemented during construction and operation to minimize light pollution and night time glare? (E.g. All security floodlights for construction sites should be equipped with adjustable shield, frosted diffusers and reflective covers) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |

## Summary / Remarks:

## Follow up actions taken by Contractor for previous comments:

1. Trench Steel Sheet Piles were inserted in the earth bund. (Fig C)
2. Raised soil level to prevent muddy water enters the wet wood land. (Fig D)

## The contractor was reminded to rectify the following: Outstanding observation from previous inspection

1. No hoarding and barrier was provided for demarcating the construction site. (Fig A)
2. No proper TPZ was provided for some of the retained trees. (Fig B)

## New Observation:

3. Typhoon Mangkhut lead to fallen trees on site. (Fig E)

## Reminders:

1. Construction works were being started. According to 'Tree Preservation' No7/2015, 26a, Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with proper and robust fence at the dripline of all retained trees. No works were allowed to undertake within the TPZ.
2. Typhoon Mangkhut lead to fallen trees on site, contractor was reminded to remove these risk trees.

## Photo Record:
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## Signature:



## Appendix M

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for September 2018

Department:
Civil Engineering and Development Department
Contract No.:
CV/2016/10
Contract Title: Site Formation and Assoicated Infrastructural Works for Development of Columbarium at Sandy Ridge Cemetery
Commencement Date: $\qquad$ Estimated completion Date
$\qquad$ 22-Dec-2023 Estimated Contract Sum: $\qquad$

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials Generated Monthly |  |  |  |  |  | Actual Quantities of C\&D Wastes Generated Monthly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
|  | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\text {0000m }}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\text {'000m }}{ }^{3}$ ) | (in $000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |
| Jan | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.134 |
| Feb | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.127 |
| Mar | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Apr | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 |
| May | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.248 |
| June | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| Sub-total | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.604 |
| July | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.064 |
| Aug | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.094 |
| Sept | 22.980 | 0.000 | 0.991 | 0.000 | 21.989 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.075 |
| Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 22.980 | 0.000 | 0.991 | 0.000 | 21.989 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.837 |

Notes: (1) The waste flow table should cover the whole construction period of the Contract.
(2) The original estimates of the C\&D materials should be the estimates at contract commencement and should not be altered during construction.
(3) Inert C\&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site shall be separately indicated.
(4) The yearly estimates of the C\&D materials should be updated as appropriate taking into account the latest works programme etc.
(5) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
(6) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

## Appendix $\mathbf{N}$

## Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM)

## Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

Note: Chapters 1 to 3 of the EIA report present the background information of the Project, identified concurrent projects, objectives and scope for various environmental aspects, and description on alternative options and construction description. Chapters $\mathbf{4}$ to $\mathbf{1 2}$ of the EIA report present the EIA findings and mitigation measures are described below with cross-reference to the EIA report. Chapters 13 to 15 describe the environmental monitoring requirements and conclusion.

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended <br> Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Mitigation Measures (Applicable to ALL Project Components, including DPs and Non-DPS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Dust Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S4.4.5.2 | The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - APCO <br> - To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIAO criteria |
| S4.4.5.3 | Water spraying every hour for all active works area. | Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - APCO <br> - To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIAO criteria |
| S4.4.5.2 | - Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; <br> - Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; <br> - A stockpile of dusty material should not be extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; <br> - The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; <br> - Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction | Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - APCO <br> - To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIAO criteria |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels; <br> - When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high should be provided as far as practicable along the site boundary. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; <br> - The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30 m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; <br> - Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; <br> - Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; <br> - Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; <br> - Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; <br> - Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; <br> - Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shortcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended <br> Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S4.4.5.1 | Implement regular dust monitoring under EM\&A programme during the construction stage. | Monitoring of dust impact | Contractor | Selected <br> representative <br> dust <br> monitoring <br> station | Construction phase | - TM-EIAO |
| S4.4.5.3 | - All road surface within the barging facilities will be paved. <br> - Dust enclosures will be provided for the loading ramp, installation of 3sided screen with top cover and the provision of water sprays at the discharge point would be provided. <br> - Vehicles will be required to pass through designated wheel wash facilities. <br> - Continuous water spray at the loading point. | Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | Barging point at Siu Lam | Construction phase | - tM-Eiao |


| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br>  <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Noise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S5.5.5.3 | Implement the following good site management practices: <br> - only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; <br> - machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; <br> - plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; <br> - silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; <br> - mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; <br> - material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from onsite construction activities. | Control construction noise | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Annex 5, TM-EIAO |
| S5.5.5.5 | Adopt quiet plants during the construction of viaduct, widening of Sha Ling Road, construction of platform for crematorium and widening of Lin Ma Hang Road. The quiet plants should be made reference to the PME listed in the TM or the QPME/ other commonly used PME listed in EPD web pages or taken from BS5228: Part 1: 2009 Noise Control on Construction and Open Sites as far as possible. | Reduce the noise levels of plant items | Contractor | Works area for construction of viaduct, widening of Sha Ling Road, construction of platform for crematorium and widening of Lin Ma Hang Road | Construction phase | - Annex 5, TM-EIAO |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S5.5.5.6 | Install temporary noise barriers (in the form of site hoardings, approx. 2.4 m high) located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period. | Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening. | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction phase | - Annex 5, TM-EIAO |
| S5.5.5.7-S5.5.5.12 | Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered upper portion of superficial density no less than $7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ on a skid footing with 25 mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators etc. | Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction phase | - Annex 5, TM-EIAO |
| S5.5.5.13 | Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable. | Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction noise | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction phase | - Annex 5, TM-EIAO |
| S13.2.1.1-S13.4.1.2 | Implement a noise monitoring under EM\&A programme. | Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations | Contractor | Selected representativ e noise monitoring station | Construction phase | - TM-EIAO |
| Operational Noise (Road Traffic Noise) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S5.6.6.4 | Provide a series of noise mitigation measures including absorptive noise barriers and low noise road surfacing materials along Lin Ma Hang Road and Sha Ling Road before operation of the proposed project for existing and planned representative NSRs. Locations of noise mitigation measures are stated as following: <br> For existing representative NSRs <br> - Approx. 12 m of absorptive noise barrier 2.5 m above road level along Sha Ling Road (MM1); <br> - Approx. 92 m of absorptive noise barrier 2.5 m above road level along Sha Ling Road (MM2); | Reduce operation noise from road traffic | Contractor | Refer <br> to <br> Figures 5.6.9-5.6.13 <br> of the EIA Report | Prior to operation of the Project for existing representative NSRs. While for barriers to protect planned representative NSRs, it should constructed before intake of planned representative NSRs. | - TM-EIAO |
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## Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Approx. 28 m of absorptive noise barrier 3 m above road level along Project Road near Sha Ling Road (MM3); <br> - Approx. 51 m of absorptive noise barrier 3 m above road level along Project Road near Sha Ling Road (MM4); <br> - Approx. 25 m of absorptive noise barrier 4 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near San Uk Ling (MM5); <br> - Approx. 21 m of absorptive noise barrier 4 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near San Uk Ling (MM6); <br> - Approx. 14 m of absorptive noise barrier 4 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near San Uk Ling (MM7); <br> - Approx. 18 m of absorptive noise barrier 3 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near San Uk Ling (MM8); <br> - Approx. 42 m of absorptive noise barrier 3 m above road level along temporary pullover space opposite San Uk Ling (MM9); <br> - Approx. 93 m of absorptive noise barrier 3 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road opposite San Uk Ling (MM10); <br> - Approx. 185m of low noise surfacing materials along Lin Ma Hang Road near San Uk Ling (MM11); <br> For planned representative NSRs <br> - Approx. 36m of absorptive noise barrier 5m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near Muk Wu Nga Yiu (MM12); <br> - Approx. 47 m of absorptive noise barrier 5 m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near Muk Wu Nga Yiu (MM13); <br> - Approx. 31m of absorptive noise barrier 5m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near Muk Wu Nga Yiu (MM14); <br> - Approx. 31m of absorptive noise barrier 5m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near Muk Wu Nga Yiu (MM15); <br> - Approx. 41m of absorptive noise barrier 5m above road level along Lin Ma Hang Road near Muk Wu Nga Yiu (MM16); |  |  |  |  |  |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br>  <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Requirements and / <br> or standards to be <br> achieved |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Quality (Construction Phase) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S6.4.4.1-S6.4.4.3 | In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: <br> General Site Operation <br> - At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct offsite water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; <br> - Diversion of natural stormwater should be avoided as far as possible. The design of temporary on-site drainage should prevent runoff going through site surface, construction machinery and equipment in order to avoid or minimise polluted runoff. Sedimentation tanks with sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to $8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ capacities, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity shall be flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and suited to applications where the influent is pumped; <br> - The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates; <br> - The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94. The detailed design of | To minimise water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities | Contractor | All <br> construction sites where applicable | Construction phase | - Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> - ProPECC PN1/94 <br> - TM-EIAO <br> - TM-DSS |
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Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; <br> - Construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means; <br> - If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, it should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities; <br> - All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas; <br> - All open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system; <br> - Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers; <br> - Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes; |  |  |  |  |  |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Washwater should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains; <br> - Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain; <br> - Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts; <br> - All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to $110 \%$ of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; <br> - Regular environmental audit on the construction site should be carried out in order to prevent any malpractices. Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the water bodies, marsh and ponds; <br> - Adopt best management practices. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S6.4.4.4-S6.4.4.5 | Sewage from workforce <br> - Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance; | To minimise water quality from sewage effluent | Contractor | All <br> construction sites where practicable | Construction phase | - Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> - TM-DSS |

## Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br>  <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the Project; <br> - Regular environmental audit on the construction site should be conducted in order to provide an effective control of any malpractices and achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S6.4.4.6 | Operation of Barging Point at Siu Lam <br> - All barges should be fitted with tight bottom seals to prevent leakage of materials during transport; <br> - Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; <br> - All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; and <br> - Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. <br> - Mitigation measures for land-based activities as outlined in Section 6.4.4 should be applied to minimise water quality impacts from site runoff and open stockpile spoils at the proposed barging facilities where appropriate. | To minimise water quality from operation of barging point at Siu Lam | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction phase | - Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> - TM-DSS |
| Water Quality (Operational Phase) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S6.5.4.1-S6.5.4.6 | The following mitigation measures during operational phase are recommended: <br> - Sewage and wastewater discharge should be connected to foul sewerage system; <br> - Proper drainage systems with silt traps and oil interceptors should be installed; | To minimise the road runoff, wastewater discharge and erosion of seasonal watercourse during the operational phase | Highways Department / <br> Contractors | Whole alignment | Construction Operational Phase | - Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> - TM-DSS |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{llr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \&\end{array}$ <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - The design of road gullies with silt traps should be incorporated especially for the catchment leading to the existing wet woodland area located at the north of the site; <br> - The silt traps and oil interceptors should be cleaned and maintained regularly, especially before peak seasons of the visitors in Ching Ming Festival and Chung Yeung Festival; <br> - Energy dissipaters should be installed at the seasonally wet watercourses to reduce the magnitude of the first flush in order to minimise the erosion impact to the wet woodland. |  |  |  |  |  |


| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste Management (Construction Waste) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S7.3.3.8 | Construction \& Demolition Material Management Plan (C\&DMMP) <br> - A C\&DMMP shall be submitted to the Public Fill Committee for approval in the case of C\&D materials disposal exceeding $50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. | To enhance the management of construction and demolition (C\&D) material including rock in public works projects | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction phase | - Project <br> Administrative <br> Handbook for Civil Engineering <br> Works, 2012 <br> Edition |
| S7.3.4.2 | Good Site Practice <br> The following good site practices are recommended throughout the construction activities: <br> - nomination of an approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for the implementation of good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site; <br> - training of site personnel in site cleanliness, appropriate waste management procedures and concepts of waste reduction, reuse and recycling; <br> - provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; <br> - appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; <br> - regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; <br> - a Waste Management Plan (WMP) should be prepared by the contractor and submitted to the Engineer for approval. | Minimise waste generation during construction | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| S7.3.4.3 | Waste Reduction Measures <br> Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design phase, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. The following recommendations are proposed to achieve reduction: <br> - segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skip or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; | Reduce waste generation | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waste Disposal |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives $c c r$  <br> Recommended Measures $\quad \&$ <br> Main Concerns to address  | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage and contamination of construction materials; <br> - plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste; <br> - sort out demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/recyclable portions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); <br> - provide training to workers on the importance of appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S7.3.4.5 | Storage of Waste <br> The following recommendation should be implemented to minimise the impacts: <br> - non-inert C\&D materials such as soil should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment; <br> - stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; <br> - different locations should be designated to stockpile each material to enhance reuse; | Good site practice to minimise the waste generation and recycle the C\&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Land <br> (Miscellaneous <br> Provisions) <br> Ordinance <br> - Waste Disposal Ordinance <br> - ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 |
| S7.3.4.6 | Collection and Transportation of Waste <br> The following recommendation should be implemented to minimise the impacts: <br> - remove waste in timely manner; <br> - employ the trucks with cover or enclosed containers for waste transportation; <br> - obtain relevant waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities; and <br> - disposal of waste should be done at licensed waste disposal facilities. | Minimise waste impacts from storage | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| S7.3.4.8 - S7.3.4.15 | Excavated and C\&D Materials <br> Wherever practicable, C\&D materials should be segregated from other wastes to avoid contamination and ensure acceptability at public filling areas or reclamation sites. The following mitigation measures should be implemented in handling the excavated and C\&D materials: <br> - maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for | Minimise waste impacts from excavated and C\&D materials | Contractor | All <br> construction <br> sites | Construction phase | - Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance <br> - Waste Disposal Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | backfilling; <br> - carry out on-site sorting; <br> - make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; and <br> - implement a recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed of for checking. <br> The recommended C\&D materials handling should include: <br> - On-site sorting of C\&D materials; <br> - Reuse of C\&D materials; and <br> - Use of Standard Formwork and Planning of Construction Materials purchasing. |  |  |  |  | - ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 <br> - Project <br> Administrative <br> Handbook for Civil <br> Engineering Works, <br> 2012 Edition |
| S7.3.4.17-S7.3.4.18 | Chemical Waste <br> If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractors should register with EPD as chemical waste producer. Chemical wastes should be stored in appropriate containers and collected by a licensed chemical waste Contractor. Chemical wastes (e.g. spent lubricant oil) should be recycled at an appropriate facility as far as possible, while the chemical waste that cannot be recycled should be disposed of at either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal. | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waster Disposal <br> (Chemical Waste) <br> General) Regulation |
| S7.3.4.19 | General Refuse <br> - General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins separately from construction and chemical wastes. Recycling bins should also be placed to encourage recycling. <br> - Preferably enclosed and covered areas should be provided for general refuse collection and routine cleaning for these areas should also be implemented to keep areas clean. <br> - A reputable waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse on a daily basis. | Minimise production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| S7.3.4.20 | Sewage <br> - The WMP should document the locations and number of portable chemical toilets depending on the number of workers, land availability, | Minimise production of sewage impacts | Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - Waste Disposal Ordinance |
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Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{llr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \text { \& }\end{array}$ <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | site condition and activities. <br> - Regularly collection by licensed collectors should be arranged to minimise potential environmental impacts. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Management (Operational Waste) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S7.4.4.1 | General Refuse <br> A reputable waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse on a daily basis. | Remove general refuse during routine road cleaning activities on the roads network and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts | Highways Department / Contractor | Roads <br> network for the C\&C facilities and Lin Ma Hang Road | Operational phase | - Waste Disposal Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives $\quad$ of the  <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Contamination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S8.9.1.1 | Re-appraisal of the potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | Identify any hot spots for SI within the southeast and western portions of SRC-1 | Project Proponent / <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant  | Potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | Once the works area for the Project is confirmed and site access is available (e.g. after land resumption) | - Annex 19 of the TMEIAO, Guidelines for Assessment of Impact On Sites of Cultural Heritage and Other Impacts (Section 3 : Potential Contaminated Land Issues); <br> - Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Contaminated Land Management; <br> - Guidance Notes for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation; and <br> - Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land <br> - Recommendations in Health Risk Assessment |
| S8.11.1.1 | Preparation and submission of Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) to EPD for review and approval, if required | Present the findings of the reappraisal and strategy of the recommended SI, if required | Project Proponent / <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant   | Potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | After land resumption and prior to the construction phase | Ditto |
| S8.11.1.2 | Preparation and submission of Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) to EPD for review and approval, if required | Present the findings of SI, if any, and evaluate the level and extent of potential contamination | Project Proponent / <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant   | Potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | Prior to the construction phase | Ditto |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{lcr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \& \\ \text { Main Concerns to address }\end{array}$ | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S8.11.1.2 | Preparation and submission of Remediation Action Plan (RAP) to EPD for review and approval if contamination is identified | Recommend appropriate mitigation measures for the contaminated soil and groundwater identified in the assessment if remediation is required | Project Proponent <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant  | Potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | Prior to the construction phase | Ditto |
| S8.11.1.2 | Preparation and submission of Remediation Report (RR) to EPD for review and approval following the completion of any necessary remediation works | Demonstrate that the decontamination work is adequate and is carried out in accordance with the endorsed CAR and RAP | Project Proponent <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant  | Potentially contaminated site (SRC-1) | Prior to the construction phase | Ditto |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ecology ( Construction Phase) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S9.7.2.3 | Preparation and submission of Upland Grassland Reinstatement Plan to EPD for agreement. | An Upland Grassland  <br> Reinstatement Plan will be  <br> prepared by a qualified ecologist/botanist with full details of the findings of a baseline grassland survey, the practical details and methodology of the physical excavation, transport and storage or turves/topsoil and their subsequent reinstatement once the receptor sites have been established, along with an implementation programme of reinstatement, post- reinstatement monitoring and maintenance programme. <br> A contingency plan should be proposed in the Grassland Reinstatement Plan so as to describe the action and limit levels and the action plan if certain performance criteria (such as area of preferred habitat) are not met during the monitoring and maintenance period. | Project Proponent/ <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant <br> (qualified <br> ecologist/ <br> botanist) for <br> Upland Grassland <br> Reinstatement <br> Plan | Engineered slopes of Crematorium <br> Indicative locations for Grassland Reinstatement should be referred to Figure 9.11 of the EIA Report | Prior to construction phase | - Reinstatement and establishment requirements to be detailed in Upland Grassland Reinstatement Plan <br> - TM-EIAO |
| S9.7.2.5-S9.7.2.6 | Preparation and submission of a Vegetation Survey Report and Transplantation Proposal (if needed as concluded in the Vegetation Survey Report) to EPD for agreement. | The Vegetation Survey will report the presence, as well as update the conditions, number, locations and habitat types of any identified floral species of conservation importance to be impacted by the development, | Project Proponent/ <br> Detailed Design <br> Consultant <br> (qualified <br> ecologist/ <br> botanist) for | Within the Project Area where applicable | Prior to construction phase | - Survey findings and transplantation methodology to be detailed in Vegetation Survey Report and Transplantation Plan |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | and evaluate suitability and/or practicality of transplantation. <br> The Transplantation Proposal will recommend locations of the receptor site(s), transplantation methodology, implementation programme of transplantation and post-transplantation monitoring and maintenance programme. | Vegetation Survey Report and Transplantation Proposal. |  |  | respectively. <br> - TM-EIAO. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S9.7.5.3 } \quad-\quad \text { S9.7.5.5, } \\ & \text { S9.8.1.6 } \end{aligned}$ | Preparation and submission of Enhancement Woodland Proposal to EPD for agreement. | Recommend appropriate enhancement planting programme, planting and post-transplantation monitoring methodology, action plan for monitoring the enhancement planting and maintenance programme. | Project Proponent/ <br> Detailed Design Consultant (qualified ecologist/ botanist) for Wooded Area Proposal. | Filled slope west of the platform, and north west of the platform in the valley below MacIntosh Fort Indicative locations for <br> Enhancement <br> Woodland should be referred to Figure 9.11 of the EIA Report | Prior to construction phase | - Enhancement planting and establishment requirements to be detailed in Wooded Enhancement Proposal. <br> - TM-EIAO |
| S9.7.3.1-S9.7.3.3 | Indirect impacts due to potential changes in water quality, hydrology and sedimentation could occur to a series of downstream watercourses and wetland systems (including the wet woodland, marsh and mitigation ponds) during both the construction (for the Platform and LMHR widening works) and operational stages. <br> Generally, indirect water impact to any aquatic fauna during the construction phase should easily be avoided by implementing water control measures (ETWB TCW No. 5/2005) to avoid direct or indirect impacts any watercourses and good site practices (further details are discussed in Section 6 of the EIA Report). | Minimise the indirect impacts to Water Quality and Hydrology | Contractor /detailed design consultant. | On the edge of any active works area, 30 m from the watercourse | Prior to commencement and during construction phase | - ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 <br> - TM-EIAO |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In addition, construction phase impacts on the watercourses, riparian corridor and fauna using these areas will be minimised by erection of a 2 m high, solid, dull green site boundary fence on the edge of any active works area, 30 m from the watercourse. Where this is not practicable due to site constraints, demarcation fencing will need to be erected to prevent unauthorised encroachment into the riparian corridor by constructions works and traffic. Detailed mitigation measures will be designed at the detailed design stage. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S9.7.3.4-S9.7.3.6 | Mitigation for noise disturbance (details refer to S5.5.5 to S5.6.6 of this table). Site formation and construction are tentatively proposed to cover a 65-month period from mid 2017 to late 2022. <br> As a precautionary approach, consideration should be given at the detailed design stage to avoid the use of highly reflective materials in the design and implementing the use of opaque materials, fritting, breaking up external reflections with stickers or plastic wrap and/or any other birdfriendly design for noise barriers. <br> Works will be restricted to daytime and any construction lighting should be designed and positioned as to not impact on adjacent ecologically sensitive areas. | The construction work and site formation will be phased in order to reduce overall noise disturbance impacts in particular areas. Collisions usually occurs as a result of birds perceiving a clear path through an object that is transparent or appears to be transparent at some distance, or if the noise barrier is highly reflective which would appear to be composed of the adjacent natural vegetation. Furthermore, mitigation measures to control noise disturbance during this phase will involve the selection of quieter plant, use of movable noise barriers and erection of hoarding and fencing to demarcate the site boundary | Contractor <br> Project Proponent | All construction sites | Prior to commencement and during construction phase | - TM-EIAO. |

## Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.9.7.3.7 | In order to demonstrate ecological awareness and to minimise the risk of indirect impacts from water pollution and hill fires, a series of good site practices should be adopted by site staff throughout the construction phase at each works site. These are as follows: <br> - Put up signs to alert site staff about any locations which are ecologically sensitive and measures to prevent accidental impacts; <br> - Erection of temporary geotextile silt or sediment fences/oil traps around any earth-moving works to trap any sediments and prevent them from entering watercourses; <br> - Prohibition of soil storage against trees or close to waterbodies; <br> - Delineation of works site to prevent encroachment onto adjacent habitats and fence off areas which have some ecological value; <br> - No smoking, hot works or sources of fire close to upland grassland; <br> - No on-site burning of waste; and <br> - Waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles. | Minimise impacts on hydrological condition and water quality of hillside watercourses and reduce chances of hillfires. | Contractor | All construction sites | Prior to commencement and during construction phase | - TM-EIAO. |
| S.9.7.3.9 | Precautionary checks by a suitably experienced ecologist of the vegetation for the presence of nesting birds should be carried out in the breeding season (February to July) before vegetation clearance. These impacts can be avoided by conducting vegetation clearance during the non-breeding season (tentatively August-January) and phased through the project period to minimise impacts. | Minimise the impacts to breeding birds within the works areas. | Contractor | All construction sites | Prior to site clearance | - TM-EIAO <br> - WAPO |

Ecology (Operational Phase)

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S9.7.2 | Establishment, maintenance and monitoring of a Upland Grassland Reinstatement Area | Reinstatement of upland grassland and to maintain connectivity in Sandy Ridge. | Project Proponent <br> / Contractor / <br> Maintenance <br> Authority | Engineered slopes of Crematorium <br> Indicative locations for Grassland Reinstatement should be referred to Figure 9.11 of the EIA Report | Operational phase | - Monitoring  <br> methodology and <br> successfulness of <br> survival of upland <br> grassland should follow  <br> Upland Grassland <br> Reinstatement Plan.  <br> - TM-EIAO.  |
| S9.7.5.3-S9.7.5.6 | Establishment, maintenance and monitoring of an enhancement woodland | Recommend <br> enhancement appropriate <br> planting <br> programme, planting and post- <br> transplantation monitoring <br> methodology, action plan for  <br> monitoring the enhancement  <br> planting and maintenance <br> programme.  | Project Proponent//Detailed $\quad$ DesignConsultant  <br> (qualified  <br> ecologist/  <br> botanist) for <br> Wooded Area <br> Proposal. $\quad$. | Filled slope west of the platform, and north west of the platform in the valley below MacIntosh Fort Indicative locations for Enhancement Woodland should be referred to Figure 9.11 of the EIA Report | Operational phase | - Enhancement planting and establishment requirements to be detailed in Wooded Area Proposal. <br> - TM-EIAO. |
| S9.7.4.1-S9.7.4.5 | Mitigation for Impacts to Water Quality and Hydrology (Operational Phase) <br> - Stormwater drainage system will be further developed in detailed design stage to collect dusty materials from water collected from the platform and associated road system. Silt traps will be installed to ensure removal of dusty materials. Regular cleaning will be conducted to avoid debris entering downstream rivers during first flush; and <br> - The proposed small diameter bore pile system at the foundation of the proposed platform structure. | Specific mitigation measures will be implemented to prevent indirect impacts wetland habitats and fauna. Mitigation measures are to be further developed in the detailed design stage to address any water quality impacts due to the drainage from the proposed platform, and any erosion issues due to the drainage from the | Detailed Design Consultant | Wet woodland (and further down the marsh and mitigation ponds) and the seasonal watercourse to the east of the Project boundary | Detailed Design phase/Operational phase | - TM-EIAO |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | proposed platform. <br> The surface runoff collected on the platform will be captured by a stormwater drainage system, which will be further developed at the detailed design stage <br> The proposed small diameter bore pile system at the foundation of the proposed platform structure would allow a notional free area of about 87 - $91 \%$ for groundwater to pass through |  |  |  |  |
| S9.7.4.6-S9.7.4.7 | Minimise the potential indirect light disturbance on the Street Lighting on fireflies surrounding the Project Site during operational phase <br> - It is considered that at the detailed design stage, street lighting of similar lux/light intensity as to what is currently present is utilised. Furthermore, as a precautionary measure, it is suggested that deflectors are fixed to the back of the street lights to prevent additional light reaching the marsh and causing adverse impacts to fireflies. | Reduce light pollution and impact on the nearby habitats and their associated wildlife groups, particularly nocturnal fireflies. | Detailed Design/ <br> Consultant/ <br> Operator | The whole Project area | Detailed Design phase/Operational phase | - TM-EIAO |
| S9.7.4.9-S9.7.4.9 | The increase in visitors to the columbarium allows greater public access to the upland grassland of Sandy Ridge and in turn, the potential for hill fires is also increased. Fires may emanate from discarded cigarettes and from specific practices during festivals or grave-sweeping. <br> In order to reduce the risk of hill fires, sufficient educational signage should be displayed throughout the columbarium warning people of the risks of fire and strictly prohibits practices that could cause hill fires. This will require input in the detailed design phase. | Minimise the risk of hill fires. | Detailed Design/ Consultant/ Operator | The whole Project area | Detailed Design phase/Operational phase | - TM-EIAO |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S10.5.1.1 | No loss of fish ponds is anticipated and no in situ mitigation is required. <br> However, mitigation measures for water quality (S6.4.4 - S6.5.4 in this table) proposed are also pertinent in ensuring that fisheries impacts of the Project do not occur downstream of the Project area either locally or in Inner Deep Bay. | - | - | - | - | - |


| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landscape \& Visual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM1 - The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape, and the reliance on off-site construction. | Minimise landscape impact and visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Construction phase | - |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM3 - Screening of construction works by hoardings/noise barriers around works area in visually unobtrusive colours and to screen construction works. It is proposed that screening be compatible with the surrounding environment and non-reflective, recessive colours be used. Hoarding should be taken down at the end of the construction period. | Minimise visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Construction phase | - |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM4 - Dust and Erosion Control for Exposed Soil - Excavation works and demolition of existing building blocks shall be well planned with precautions to suppress dust. Exposed soil shall be covered or watered often. Areas that are expected to be left with bare soil for a long period of time after excavation shall be properly covered with suitable protective fabric. Suitable drainage shall be provided around construction sites to avoid discharge of contaminants and sediments into sensitive water-based habitat. | Minimise indirect landscape impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Construction phase | - |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM5 - Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights. | Minimise visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Construction phase | - |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{llr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \&\end{array}$ Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM6 - Tree Protection and Preservation - Woodland, plantation and other vegetation within the Study Area will be protected and preserved as far as possible in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 - Registration of Old and Valuable Trees, and Guidelines for their Preservation and DEVB TCW No.07/2015 - Tree Preservation. Detailed Design Considerations are made to avoid impacts to trees, e.g. proper viaduct/ bridge design routing to avoid majority of the woodland, locating the columbarium buildings in areas with less trees and ensuring design of the buildings has as small a footprint as practical. | Minimise landscape impact and visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Construction phase | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { - DEVB } & \text { TC(W) } \\ 07 / 2015 & \end{array}$ <br> - Latest <br> recommended horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DevB |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM7 - Tree Transplantation - Tree(s) will be affected according to the Tree Preservation and Removal Proposal to be carried out in a later stage. Established trees of value are to be re-located where practically feasible. | Minimise landscape and visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Design and Construction phase | - 'Guidelines for Tree Risk Management and Assessment Arrangement on an Area Basis and on a Tree Basis', issued January 2011, Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DevB <br> - Latest recommended horticultural practices from GLTM Section, DevB |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{lcr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \&\end{array}$ <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | CM8 - Implementing precautionary control measures during construction stage accordingly to ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 - Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works to avoid direct or indirect impacts any watercourses and good site practices. | Minimize landscape impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Work site/ during construction | Design and <br> Construction phase | - ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 - Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM1 - Compensatory Woodland Planting - The arrangement of compensatory planting (e.g. areas of woodland to be compensated and space to be allowed within the Project Site) will be subject to detailed engineering design, landscape design and planting plan, and is recommended to be implemented prior to the construction activities as far as practical. | Compensate the loss of landscape greenery and enhance the overall visual value of the site. | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | Prior to Construction phase | - DEVB TC(W)  <br> 07/2015 - Tree <br> Preservation   <br> - Latest   <br> recommended   <br> horticultural practices   <br> from Greening,  <br> Landscape and Tree <br> Management   <br> (GLTM) Section,  <br> DevB   <br> - DEVB TCW  <br> O6/2015  - <br> Maintenance of  <br> Vegetation and Hard <br> Landscape Features  |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM2 - Compensatory Tree Planting for Plantation and Other Vegetated Areas - Compensatory planting should be provided in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 07/2015 to compensate for those trees felled. According to the preliminary design, compensatory trees will be planted on the cut/fill slopes, along new roads and in car parks. The selection of planting species shall be made with reference to the species identified in the future Detailed Tree Survey and be native to Hong Kong or the South China region. | Compensate the loss of landscape greenery and enhance the overall visual value of the site. | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | Construction phase | - DEVB TC(W)  <br> 07/2015 Tree  <br> Preservation   <br> - Latest   <br> recommended   <br> horticultural practices   <br> from Greening,  <br> Landscape and Tree <br> Management   <br> (GLTM) Section,  <br> DevB   <br> - DEVB TCW No. <br> 06/2015 -  <br> Maintenance of  <br> Vegetation and Hard <br> Landscape Features  |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM3 - Amenity Planting and aesthetic streetscape design of hard landscaping for Pedestrian Walkway, Roadside - Roadside amenity planting should be provided along Sha Ling Road, Lin Ma Hang Road, as well as the internal road within Sandy Ridge columbarium and crematorium site; to enhance the landscape quality of the existing and proposed transport routes. Climbers are proposed to cover vertical, hard surfaces of the piers of the proposed viaducts, and also the newly formed retaining wall within the site. Shade tolerant plants will be planted, where light is sufficient, to improve aesthetic value of areas under viaducts. | Minimise visual impact and also enhance landscape. | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | Construction phase | - Guidelines on Greening of Noise Barriers, issued April 2012, GLTMS, DevB <br> - DEVB TCW No. 06/2015 <br> Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM4 - Greening Works and Contour Grading Works on Cut/ Fill Slopes Greening works such as hydroseeding/ terraces of shrub or tree planting will be provided where slope gradient allows, according to Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) Publication No.1/2011 Technical Guidelines on Landscape Treatment for Slopes. | Minimise landscape and visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | Construction phase | Geotechnical <br> Engineering Office (GEO) Publication No.1/2011 Technical Guidelines on Landscape Treatment for Slopes. |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM5 - Landscape design treatment to be provided by relevant government department. | Mitigate the loss of greenery and enhance the overall landscape and visual value | Funded by FEHD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | After handover to the relevant department | - |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM6 - Architectural and chromatic treatment of the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities. | Mitigate the loss of greenery and enhance the overall landscape and visual value | Funded by FEHD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | After handover to the relevant department | - |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM7 - Aesthetic design of the proposed noise barriers. | Mitigate the visual impact | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Along Sha Ling Road and Lin Ma Hang Road | Construction phase | - WBTC No. 36/2004 <br> - ACABAS submission is required to ACABAS for approval of any bridges and associated structures within the public highway system. |
| S11.8.1.3, Table 11.9 | OM8 - Silt traps should also be incorporated into design of road gullies for the natural water stream(s). | Minimise the landscape impact on natural stream | Funded by CEDD and implemented by Contractor | Within <br> Project Site | Construction Phase |  |

## Notes:

(a) A detailed Tree Survey Report showing all identified valuable trees and OVT will be undertaken in a separate Tree Preservation and Removal Proposal.
(b) Wood resulting from tree removal should be recycled as mulch or soil conditioner for re-use within the Project or in other projects as far as possible e.g. for the construction of soft landscape work, were practical.
 agreed period.

 FHD.
(e) The landscape mitigation treatment of the future development site shall follow the below frameworks:

- Buffer planting shall be provided to soften the edge of the site.
- Aesthetic landscape treatment including both soft and hard landscape features shall be provided.
- Vertical greening shall be provided as far as practicable.
- At-grade tree planting shall be provided as far as possible while planting space is allowed, to enhance the overall environment.
- Architectural design shall blend in with the surrounding environment.
- Overall greening ratio shall comply with TC(W) No.3/2012 Site coverage of Greenery for Government Building Projects.
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{llr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \boldsymbol{\&}\end{array}$ Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location <br> Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The compensatory woodland planting shall be included woodland mixed whips, seeding, and shrubs. The principle of the location shall be the extension of the existing woodland, as well as the original lost woodland location. The proposal will be agreed with AFCD, the woodland enhancement planting shall refer to Chapter 9 .

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultural Heritage Impact (Construction and Operational Phase) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.12.4.8.1 | - Archaeological Watching Brief (AWB) programme near the crossing at the south of the proposed connection road to Man Kam To Road as delineated on Figure 12.3.13 needs to be undertaken by qualified archaeologist, who will apply for an archaeological licence to conduct the works. | To further assess cultural soils recorded during the Archaeological field survey | Contractor | Location for AWB shown in Figure 12.3.13 of the EIA Report | Prior to the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - Archaeological licence requirements <br> - AWB methodology guidelines |
| S.12.4.8.2 | - The contractor should be alerted during the construction along Lin Ma Hang Road on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites. | To preserve any cultural heritage items which may be removed and damaged by the excavation works. | Contractor | Along Lin Ma Hang Road | During the Construction phase | - Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.4 | - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at $5 / 6 / 7.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment; <br> - A condition survey should be undertaken by the project proponent to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer. A condition survey proposal will be submitted to AMO for comment before commencement of work; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance. | Protect the building from damage from construction works | Contractor | MacIntosh <br> Fort at Nam <br> Hang (GB-01) | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A cartographic and photographic survey will be conducted for shrine that will require relocation prior to the construction works; <br> - The shrine will be relocation to a suitable locations in the close vicinity to allow for continuing worship by public. | Protect the structure from damage from construction works | Contractor | Earth God <br> Shrine on <br> corner of Man <br> Kam To <br> and  <br> Sha Ling Road <br> $(H B-01)$  | Prior to commencement the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO's guidelines for cartographic and photographic survey |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance. | Protect the building from damage from construction works | Contractor | Tin Hau <br> Temple (HB- <br> 02)  | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Protective covering should be provided for the structure in the form of plastic sheeting; <br> - A buffer zone measuring a minimum of 1 m or as appropriate needs to be set up and covering in the form of plastic sheeting on a moveable fence to protect the heritage building from works; | Protect the building from damage from construction works | Contractor | San Uk Ling Village Entrance Gate (HB-03) | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
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| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives $c o r$ of <br> Recommended Measures $\quad \&$ <br> Main Concerns to address  | Implementation <br> Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance. | Protect the building from damage from construction works | Contractor | Cheung <br> Ancestral Hall (HB-04) | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance. | Protect the building from damage from construction works | Contractor | No. 9 San Uk <br> Ling Village <br> House (HB-05) | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed <br> Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; | Protect the structure from damage from construction works | Contractor | Buddhist <br> Shrine (HB-06) | During the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO <br> Proposed |
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Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\&$ <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location / } \\ & \text { Timing } \end{aligned}$ | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Protective covering should be provided for the structure in the form of plastic sheeting; <br> - Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the shrine and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out by temporary fencing or hoarding; <br> - Provision of safe public access. |  |  |  |  | Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.5 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Protective covering should be provided for the structure in the form of plastic sheeting; <br> - Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the shrine and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out by temporary fencing or hoarding; <br> - Provision of safe public access. | Protect the structure from damage from construction works | Contractor | Buddhist <br> Shrine (HB-07) | During the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.6 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Protective covering should be provided for the structure in the form of plastic sheeting; <br> - Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the grave and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out | Protect the structure from damage from construction works | Contractor | Yuen $\quad$ Clan  <br> Urns and <br> Plaque $(\mathrm{G}-01)$ | Prior to <br> commencement and <br> during the <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br> Recommended Measures $\quad \&$  <br> Main Concerns to address   | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation <br> Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | by temporary fencing or hoarding; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance; <br> - Provision of safe public access. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.6 | - A condition survey will be undertaken to determine the present condition of graded historic building and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the building is not damaged by the construction works. A condition survey must be carried out by qualified building surveyor or engineer; <br> - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the action vibration limit will be set at $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$; <br> - Protective covering should be provided for the structure in the form of plastic sheeting; <br> - Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the grave and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out by temporary fencing or hoarding; <br> - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff to ensure compliance; <br> - Provision of safe public access. | Protect the structure from damage from construction works | Contractor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cheung Clan } \\ & \text { Grave (G-02) } \end{aligned}$ | Prior to <br> commencement  <br> during and <br> Construction phase  | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 <br> - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.6 | - Provision of safe public access. | Public access may be affected during the construction works. | Contractor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yuen Clan } \\ & \text { Grave (G-10) } \end{aligned}$ | During the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> - TM-EIAO Annex 10 and Annex 19 |
| S.12.3.11.10 <br> Table 12.6 | - Provision of safe public access. | Public access may be affected during the construction works. | Contractor | Cheung Clan Grave (G-11) | During the Construction phase | - Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment |
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Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the <br>  <br> Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / <br> Timing | Implementation <br> Stage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Requirements and <br> /or standards to be <br> achieved |  |  |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule - Sandy Ridge

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | $\begin{array}{lcr}\text { Objectives } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\ \text { Recommended } & \text { Measures } & \&\end{array}$ Main Concerns to address | Implementation <br> Agent | Location / Timing | Implementation Stage | Requirements and / or standards to be achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EM\&A Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S13.1.1.1, S13.2.1.2 | An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM\&A Manual. | Control EM\&A Performance | Highways Department | All <br> construction <br> sites | Construction phase | - EIAO Guidance <br> Note No.4/2010 <br> - TM-EIAO |
| S13.2.1.1-S13.4.1.2 | 1) An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM\&A Manual. <br> 2) Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures. <br> 3) An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM\&A Manual are fully complied with. | Perform environmental monitoring \& auditing | Highways Department <br> / Contractor | All <br> construction sites | Construction phase | - EIAO Guidance <br> Note No.4/2010 <br> - TM-EIAO |
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