



South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Quarterly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.2

April 2020

ERM

2507, 25/F One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong T: 2271 3000 F: 2723 5660 www.erm.com





South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Environmental Certification Sheet EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B

Reference Document/Plan

Document/Plan to be Certified/Verified:

Quarterly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.2

for South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Date of Report:

28 April 2020

Reference EM&A Manual Requirement

EM&A Manual:

Section 11.4

The quarterly EM&A summary report shall be prepared by the ET, certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC. The quarterly EM&A summary report should contain all information listed under Section 11.4 of the approved EM&A Manual.

ET Certification

I hereby certify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced EM&A Manual requirement.

Warch-AT.

Frank Wan,

Environmental Team Leader:

(ERM Hong-Kong, Limited)

Date:

28 April 2020

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced EM&A Manual requirement.

W.K. Chiu,

Independent Environmental Checker:

(Meinhardt Infrastructure and

Environment Limited)

Date: 4 May 2020

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Quarterly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.2

Environmental Resources Management

2507, 25/F, One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong

Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com

Client:		Projec	ct No:		
Green Valley Landfill Ltd.			169		
Summary:		Date: 28 A	pril 2020		
This document presents the Quarterly EM&A Report No.2 for South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension		Approved by:			
		Frank Wan Partner			
2	Quarterly EM&A Report No.2 (ES, Section 2.3.1, 2.8 & 4, Table 2.7, 2.8 & 2.9, Annex F1, F3 & G revised)	AL	FW	FW	28 Apr 20
1	Quarterly EM&A Report No.2 (Table 2.12 revised)	AL	TS	FW	16 Aug 19
0	Quarterly EM&A Report No.2	AL	TS	FW	23 July 19
Revision	Description	Ву	Checked	Approved	Date
This report has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading name of 'ERM Hong-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business		Distrib			BSI
and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.			Internal	Ce	OHSAS 18001:2007 rtificate No. OHS 515956
We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.		\boxtimes	Public		BSI
This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.			Confide		ISO 9001 : 2008 ertificate No. FS 32515



CONTENTS

EXECU	TIVE SUMMARY	1
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
1.3	SCOPE OF THE EM&A REPORT	2
1.4	Project Organisation	2
1.5	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS	3
1.6	SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS	5
1.7	STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE	
	Environmental Permit	6
1.8	STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS	6
2	EM&A RESULTS	8
2.1	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	8
2.2	Noise Monitoring	10
2.3	SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING	12
2.4	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING	14
2.5	EM&A SITE INSPECTION	15
2.6	Waste Management Status	18
2.7	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	19
2.8	SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMAN	NCE
	LIMIT	19
2.9	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL	
	PROSECUTIONS	19
3	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	20

ANNEXES

- ANNEX A WORK PROGRAMME
- ANNEX B ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
- ANNEX C MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THIS REPORTING PERIOD
- ANNEX D AIR QUALITY
- ANNEX D1 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX D2 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR DUST MONITORING
- ANNEX D3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA
- ANNEX E NOISE
- ANNEX E1 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX E2 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR NOISE MONITORING
- ANNEX F SURFACE WATER QUALITY
- ANNEX F1 SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX F2 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING
- ANNEX F3 INVESTIGATION REPORTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY LIMIT EXCEEDANCE
- ANNEX G CUMULATIVE STATISTICS ON EXCEEDANCES, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND STATUS OF PROSECUTIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) is commissioned to undertake the role of Environmental Team (ET) for the construction, operation/restoration and aftercare of SENTX Project ("the Project") in accordance with the requirements specified in the Environmental Permit (EP), updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual, the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of the Project taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements. The construction (not including works related to site clearance and preparation) of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019.

This Quarterly EM&A report presents the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 April to 30 June 2019 for the Project in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for construction air quality monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

2 exceedances of the Limit Level for DO, 7 exceedances of the Limit Level for pH and 10 exceedances of the Limit Level for Suspended Solids (SS) were recorded for surface water quality impact monitoring in the reporting period. The exceedances were considered not Project-related upon further investigations, except the pH and SS exceedances at DP4 (Future, temporary) and SS exceedance at DP6 on 23 May 2019 were found deemed to Project-related activities.

Environmental Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

Reporting Change

There was no reporting change in the reporting period.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. The *Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report* and the associated *Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual* for the construction, operation, restoration and aftercare of the SENTX (hereafter referred to as "the Project") have been approved under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO)* in May 2008 (Register No.: AEIAR-117/2008) (hereafter referred to as the approved EIA Report) and an Environmental Permit (EP-308/2008) (EP) was granted by the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 5 August 2008.

Since then, applications for Variation of an Environmental Permit (No. VEP-531/2017) were submitted to EPD and the Variation of Environmental Permits (EP-308/2008/A and EP-308/2008/B) were granted on 6 January 2012 and 20 January 2017, respectively, as the Hong Kong SAR Government has decided to reduce the scale of the design scheme of SENTX assessed in the approved EIA Report and SENTX will only receive construction waste. In May 2018, a Further Environmental Permit (FEP) (FEP-01/308/2008/B) was granted to the SENTX's contractor, Green Valley Landfill, Limited (GVL).

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) and Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited (Meinhardt) are commissioned to undertake the roles of Environmental Team (ET) and the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), respectively, to undertake the EM&A activities for the Project in accordance with the requirements specified in the EP, updated EM&A Manual (1), approved EIA Report (2) taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The SENTX is a piggyback landfill, occupying the southern part of the existing SENT Landfill (including its infrastructure area) and 13 ha of Tseung Kwan O (TKO) Area 137. A layout plan of the SENTX is shown in *Figure 1.1*. Under the latest design, the SENTX has a net void capacity of about 6.5 Mm³ and provides an additional lifespan of about 6 years, commencing operation upon exhaustion of the SENT Landfill. The SENTX will receive construction waste only.

The key implementation milestones of the Project are indicatively summarised in *Table 1.1*. The construction works of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019.

⁽¹⁾ ERM (2018). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension: Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual

⁽²⁾ ERM (2007). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension - Feasibility Study: Environmental Impact Assessment Report




 Table 1.1
 Estimated Key Dates of Implementation Programme

Key Stage of the Project	Indicative Date
Start construction	2 January 2019
Commissioning of new infrastructure facilities	2020
Demolition of existing infrastructure facilities	2021
Start waste intake at SENTX	2021 or upon exhaustion of SENT Landfill
Estimated exhaustion date of SENTX	2027
End of aftercare for SENTX	2057

The major construction works of the SENTX includes:

- Site formation at the TKO Area 137 and the existing infrastructure area at SENT Landfill;
- Construction of surface and groundwater drainage systems;
- Construction of the leachate containment and collection systems;
- Construction of new leachate and landfill gas treatment facilities, site offices, maintenance yards at the new infrastructure area;
- Construction of new pipelines to transfer the leachate and landfill gas collected from the existing SENT Landfill to the treatment facilities at the new infrastructure area;
- Construction of the site access and new waste reception facilities; and
- Demolition of the facilities at the existing SENT Landfill infrastructure area.


1.3 Scope of the EM&A Report

This is the Quarterly EM&A Report for the Project which summarises the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 April to 30 June 2019 for the construction works.

1.4 PROJECT ORGANISATION

The organisation structure of the Project is presented in *Figure 1.2*.

Figure 1.2 Organisation Chart



Contact details of the key personnel are summarized in *Table 1.2* below.

Table 1.2 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone
Contractor	Project Manager	Gary Barnicott	2706 8827
(Green Valley Landfill	Complaint Hotline		2706 8682
Limited)			
Environmental Team (ET)	ET Leader	Frank Wan	2271 3152
(ERM-Hong Kong, Limited)			
Independent Environmental	IEC	Fredrick Leong	2859 1739
Checker (IEC)			
(Meinhardt Infrastructure			
and Environment Limited)			

1.5 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS

The programme of the construction is shown in *Annex A*. As informed by the Contractor, the major works carried out in this reporting period include:

April 2019

- Initial site clearance works, geotechnical review and utilities diversion on the buttress wall;
- Erection of temporary protection and application of the initial shotcrete panel;
- Shotcreting of the permanent works;
- Excavating, removing and replacing unsuitable fill material;
- Plate load tests;

- Rebar fixing and concreting to the sediment trap and culvert X9;
- Site formation works for SENTX Infrastructure Area;
- Construction of perimeter bund for Cells 1 and 2;
- Construction of additional wheel wash facilities;
- Preparation of the temporary surface water management, including construction of temporary discharge monitoring points DP4 and DP6, shotcrete lining of the DP4 channel, cut-off channel around SENTX and temporary drainage to DP4 and DP6 channels; and
- Boundary fencing erection.

May 2019

- Construction of perimeter bund Cell 1;
- Construction of perimeter bund Cell 2;
- Construction of sediment trap; and
- Construction of outlet box culvert.

<u>June 2019</u>

- Rebar fixing and formwork erection for the plinth and control building for Leachate Treatment Plant (LTP) area;
- Erection of temporary protection and application of initial shotcrete trial panel at buttress wall;
- Shotcreting of the permanent works;
- Excavating, removing and replacing unsuitable fill material;
- Rebar fixing, formwork and concreting to the sediment trap, drop inlet shaft, MHX1 manhole and outlet box culverts;
- Construction of perimeter bund for Cells 1 and 2;
- Preparation of the temporary surface water management, including construction of temporary discharge monitoring points DP4 and DP6, shotcrete lining the of DP4 channel, cut-off channel around SENTX and temporary drainage to DP4 and DP6 channels;
- Laying of groundwater pipe along eastern perimeter bund; and
- CLP trench works at Area X2.

The implementation schedule of the mitigation measures recommended in the Updated EM&A Manual is presented in *Annex B*.

1.6 SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

The status for all environmental aspects are presented in *Table 1.3*. The EM&A requirements remained unchanged during the reporting period.

Table 1.3 Summary of Status for the Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

Parameters	Status
Air Quality	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline air quality monitoring were reported in
	Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP
	Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Noise	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline noise monitoring were reported in
	Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP
	Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Surface Water Quality	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline surface water quality monitoring were
	reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD
	under EP Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Waste Management	
Waste Monitoring	On-going
Landscape and Visual	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline landscape and visual monitoring were
	reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD
	under EP Condition 3.3
Construction Phase Audit	On-going
Site Environmental Audit	
Regular Site Inspection	On-going
Complaint Hotline and Email	On-going
Channel	
Environmental Log Book	On-going

Taking into account the construction works, impact monitoring of air quality, noise, surface water quality and waste management were carried out in the reporting period. The monitoring schedule of air quality, noise and surface water quality monitoring are provided in *Annex C*.

The EM&A programme also involved environmental site inspections and related auditing conducted by the ET for checking the implementation of the required environmental mitigation measures recommended in the approved EIA Report and relevant EP submissions. To promote the environmental awareness and enhance the environmental performance of the contractors, environmental trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted during the reporting period, which are summarised as below:

- Three environmental management meetings were held with the Contractor, ER, ET, IEC and EPD on 11 April, 9 May and 13 June 2019; and
- Environmental toolbox trainings on the following topics were provided by the Contractor to the workers:

- Mosquito Control on 10 April 2019;
- Recycling Measures on 24 April 2019;
- Chemical Waste Handling on 15 May 2019;
- Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment on 28 May 2019;
- Trip Ticket System on 12 June 2019; and
- Green Procurement on 24 June 2019.

1.7 STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT

The status of statutory environmental compliance with the EP conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of the recommended mitigation measures are presented in *Table 1.4*.

Table 1.4 Status of Submissions required under the EP and Implementation Status of the recommended Mitigation Measures

EP Condition	Submission / Implementation Status	Status
2.3	Management Organisation of Main Construction Companies	Submitted and accepted by EPD.
2.4	Setting up of Community Liaison Group	Community Liaison Group was set up.
2.5	Submission of Detailed Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Report	Submitted, and accepted by EPD on 10 January 2019.
2.6	Submission of Restoration and Ecological Enhancement Plan	Submitted to EPD on 28 June 2019.
2.7	Setting up of Trial Nursery	To be set up during construction phase.
2.8	Advance Screen Planting	Works commenced on 21 March 2019 and will be completed within 9 months of taking procession of the Project Site (i.e. before September 2019).
2.9	Provision of Multi-layer Composite Liner System	Under implementation.

1.8 STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The environmental licenses and permits (including EP, *Water Pollution Control Ordinance* (WPCO) discharge license, registration as a chemical waste producer, and construction noise permit) that are valid in the reporting period are presented in *Table 1.5*. No non-compliance with environmental statutory requirements was identified.

Table 1.5 Status of Statutory Environmental Requirements

Description	Ref No.	Status
Environmental Permit	EP-308/2008	Granted on 5 August 2008

Description	Ref No.	Status
Variation of Environmental Permit	EP-308/2008/A	Granted on 6 January 2012
	EP-308/2008/B	Granted on 20 January 2017
Further Environmental Permit	FEP-01/308/2008/B	Granted on 16 May 2018
Water Discharge License under WPCO (Permit Holder: Chun Wo)	Licence No.: WT00033525- 2019	Validity from 27 March 2019 to 31 March 2024
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Chit Account Number: 5001692	Approved on 28 December 2005
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer (Permit Holder: Chun Wo)	5213-839-C3507-10	Issued on 23 August 2018
Construction Noise Permit (Permit Holder: Chun Wo)	GW-RE0404-19	Validity from 28 May 2019 to 22 November 2019
	GW-RE0259-19	Cancelled with effect from 28 May 2019 at 07:00 hrs
	GW-RE0002-19	Cancelled with effect from 15 April 2019 at 07:00 hrs

2 EM&A RESULTS

The EM&A programme for the Project required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and surface water quality as well as environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, surface water quality, waste management, and landscape and visual impacts. The EM&A requirements and related findings for each component are summarised in the following sections.

2.1 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1.1 Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact air quality monitoring (dust, in term of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was carried out at the two designated monitoring locations (i.e. DM1 and DM2) at a 6-day interval. It is proposed and agreed by IEC and EPD that the two existing TSP monitoring stations (i.e. TKO-A1 and TKO-A2a) currently operating by the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) can be used to monitor the 24-hour TSP impact associated with the SENTX construction. The dust monitoring results were obtained from CEDD on regular basis.


The Action and Limit Levels of the air quality monitoring is provided in *Table* 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level	Limit Level
DM-1 - Site Egress of TKO Area 137 Fill Bank	204 μg m- ³	260 μg m- ³
DM-2A -Combined Reception and Exit Office (CREO) of TKO Area 137 Fill Bank	193 μg m- ³	260 μg m- ³

High volume air samplers (HVSs) in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 3.2.2 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to measure 24-hour TSP levels at the CEDD dust monitoring stations. The HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals to check the validity and accuracy of the results.

The equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.2* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1* respectively.



 $File: T. \\ IGIS/CONTRACT/0465169 \\ Imxd/0465169 \\ Environmental_Impact_Monitoring_Locations. \\ mxd/Date: 28/5/2019$

Management



Table 2.2 Dust Monitoring Details

Monitoring Station	Location	Parameter	Frequency and Duration	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
DM1	Site Egress of TKO Area 137 Fill Bank Combined	24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days during the construction	April 2019	HVS Greasby 105 (S/N: 9795 (ET/EA/003/18)) HVS Andersen
DIVIZ	Reception and Exit Office (CREO) of TKO Area 137 Fill Bank		phase of the Project	3, 9, 15, 21, 27 June 2019	G1051 (S/N: 1176 (ET/EA/003/05))

2.1.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period

The schedule for air quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.1.3 Results and Observations

The 24-hour TSP monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.3*. The detailed monitoring results and the graphical presentation of the 24-hour TSP monitoring results at each monitoring location are provided in *Annex D1*.

Table 2.3 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Month	Monitoring	24-hr TSP Concentration (μg m ⁻³)		Action Level	Limit Level (μg/m³)
Station		Average	Range	(μg/m³)	
April 2019	DM-1	89	76 - 100	204	260
	DM-2	77	70 - 91	193	260
May 2019	DM-1	92	73 - 105	204	260
	DM-2	84	68- 103	193	260
June 2019	DM-1	82	63 - 109	204	260
	DM-2	88	64 - 123	193	260

The major dust sources in the reporting period included fugitive dust emission from exposed area in SENTX, as well as nearby operations of the existing SENT landfill and the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank.

All the 24-hour TSP results measured at the two monitoring stations were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. No additional measure is thus required in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D2*.

2.1.4 Meteorological Data

Meteorological data obtained from the on-site meteorological monitoring station at the existing SENT landfill (see *Figure 2.1*) were used for the dust monitoring and are shown in *Annex D3*. The meteorological station will be moved to a new location at SENTX infrastructure area as per the updated EM&A Manual after the construction of the new infrastructure area is completed. For the purpose of this EM&A programme, it is considered that

meteorological data obtained at the existing SENT landfill meteorological monitoring station are representative of the Project area and could be used for the interpretation of the construction phase dust monitoring results.

2.2 Noise Monitoring

2.2.1 Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly at the monitoring location (i.e. NM1) to obtain one set of 30 minutes measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays.

The Action and Limit Levels for construction noise of the Project are provided in *Table 2.4* below.

Table 2.4 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level (a)	Limit Level (b)
07:00 - 19:00 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the noise sensitive receivers (NSRs)	75 dB(A) at NSRs
	or	
	75 dB(A) recorded at the monitoring station	

Notes:

- (a) 75dB(A) along and at about 100m from the SENTX site boundary was set as the Action Level
- (b) Limits specified in the GW-TM and IND-TM for construction and operational noise, respectively.

Noise monitoring was performed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) using a sound level meter placed at the designated monitoring station NM1 (see *Figure 2.1*) in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meter at a known sound pressure level. Details of the deployed equipment are provided in *Table 2.5*.

Table 2.5 Noise Monitoring Details

Monitoring	Location	Parameter	Frequency and	Monitoring	Equipment
Station (1)			Duration	Dates	
NM1	SENTX Site Boundary (North)	L _{eq (30 min)} measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays (Monday to Saturday)	Once per week for 30 mins during the construction period of the Project	4, 10, 18, 24 April 2019 2, 8, 16, 23, 30 May 2019 6, 14, 20, 27 June 2019	Sound Level Meter: B&K 2238 (S/N: 2285722) (S/N: 2285690) Sound Level Meter: B&K 2250 (S/N: 3012330)
					Acoustic Calibrator: Rion NC-74 (S/N: 34246492)
					Acoustic Calibrator: Rion NC-75 (S/N: 34680623)
					Acoustic Calibrator: 3M AC-300 (S/N: AC300005555)

2.2.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period

The schedule for noise monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.2.3 Results and Observations

The noise monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.6* and graphically presented in *Annex E1*.

Table 2.6 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Month	Monitoring	Meas	sured Noise Level	L _{eq (30 min)} , dB(A)
	Station	Average	Range	Action and Limit Level
April 2019	NM1	52.1	50.4 - 53.8	75
May 2019	NM1	52.8	51.6 - 54.6	75
June 2019	NM1	54.4	53.2 - 55.4	75

Major noise sources identified during the noise monitoring included noise from operations of the existing SENT landfill and the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank, aircrafts and insects.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting period. No further mitigation measure was required in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex E2*.

2.3 SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.3.1 Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact surface water quality monitoring were carried out at the three designated surface water discharge points (i.e. DP3, DP4 and DP6) weekly to ensure that the SENTX will not cause adverse water quality impact. Temporary relocation of surface water discharge point DP4 to DP4 (Future, temporary) as an interim arrangement due to site constraints and construction sequence was approved by EPD on 14 May 2019. Impact surface water quality monitoring was carried out at DP4 (Future, temporary) (i.e. DP4T) from the starting from monitoring event on 16 May 2019. In addition, suspension of impact surface water quality monitoring at DP3 was approved under the Baseline Monitoring Report by EPD on 24 July 2019 until the actual commencement of construction works affecting DP3 in 2021.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO) and pH value were measured *in situ* whereas the level of suspended solids (SS) were determined by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

The Action and Limit Levels of the surface water quality impact monitoring are provided in *Table 2.7*.

Table 2.7 Action and Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	
	DP4 & DP6		
DO	< 5.80 mg/L	< 5.42 mg/L	
SS	> 11.7 mg/L	> 12.7 mg/L	
pН	> 8.39	> 8.40	

The locations of the monitoring stations for the Project are shown in *Figure 2.1*. All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the surface water quality monitoring programme. Calibration for a DO meter was carried out before measurement according to the instruction manual of the equipment model. Details of the equipment used in the impact surface water quality monitoring works are provided in *Table 2.8*.

Table 2.8 Impact Surface Water Quality Monitoring Details

Monitoring Station	Location	Frequency	Monitoring Dates	Parameter	Equipment
DP4, DP4 (Future, temporary)	Surface water discharge point DP4	Weekly	4, 10, 18, 24 April 2019 2, 8, 16, 23, 30	•pH •DO	YSI Professional DSS (S/N:
DP6	Surface water discharge point DP6	-	May 2019 6, 14, 20, 27 June 2019	•SS	15H102620/ 15H103928)
	unserunge penne 210				YSI Professional DSS (S/N: 17B102764)
					YSI Professional Plus (S/N: HK1923829)
					pH Meter AZ8685 (S/N: 1118396)

Notes:

- (a) DP4 was temporary relocated to DP4 (Future, temporary) (i.e. DP4T) as an interim discharge point from the monitoring event on 16 May 2019.
- (b) Impact surface water quality monitoring at DP3 was suspended from the monitoring event on 25 July 2019 until the actual commencement of construction works affecting DP3 in 2021.

2.3.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period

The schedule for surface water quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.3.3 Results and Observations

A total of 13 monitoring events for impact surface water quality monitoring were scheduled at all designated monitoring stations during the reporting period. However, sampling could not be carried out in April 2019, on 8 and 16 May 2019 at all monitoring locations and on 20 June and 27 June 2019 at DP6 due to insufficient flow and on 14 June 2019 at DP6 due to backflow. Impact water quality monitoring results and graphical presentations are provided in *Annex F1*.

Exceedances of the Action and Limit Levels were recorded for impact surface water quality monitoring in the reporting period and actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F2* were undertaken. Investigations on the Action and Limit Levels exceedances were conducted and summarised in *Table 2.9* below. Investigation reports of the exceedances are presented in *Annex F3*.

Table 2.9 Details of Exceedances of Action and Limit Levels for the Impact Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Date	Monitoring Location	Parameter	Type of Exceedance	Remarks
8 May 2019	DP6	pН	Limit Level	non Project-related
8 May 2019	DP6	SS	Limit Level	non Project-related
23 May 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	pН	Limit Level	Project-related
23 May 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	Project-related
23 May 2019	DP6	pН	Limit Level	non Project-related
23 May 2019	DP6	SS	Limit Level	Project-related
30 May 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	pН	Limit Level	non Project-related
30 May 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	non Project-related
30 May 2019	DP6	pН	Limit Level	non Project-related
30 May 2019	DP6	SS	Limit Level	non Project-related
6 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	DO	Limit Level	Non Project-related
6 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	Non Project-related
6 June 2019	DP6	SS	Limit Level	Non Project-related
14 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	Non Project-related
20 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	pН	Limit Level	Non Project-related
20 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	Non Project-related
27 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	DO	Limit Level	Non Project-related
27 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	pН	Limit Level	Non Project-related
27 June 2019	DP4 (Future, temporary)	SS	Limit Level	Non Project-related

Based on the investigation conducted for each of the monitoring event with potential Action and Limit Levels exceedances with the Contractor, the ER and the IEC, there is no evidence showing the exceedances were related to the Project, except the exceedances of pH and SS at DP4 (Future, temporary) and exceedance of SS at DP6 on 23 May 2019 which were found deemed to Project-related activities.

The Contractor was reminded to implement all relevant mitigation measures for the construction works and maintain good site practice. The ET will keep track on the monitoring data and ensure Contractor's compliance of the environmental requirements.

2.4 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING

2.4.1 Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, the monthly landscape and visual audit was conducted on 18 April, 23 May and 20 June 2019 to monitor the implementation of the landscape and visual mitigation measures during construction phase.

All relevant environmental mitigation measures listed in the approved EIA Report and the updated EM&A Manual and their implementation status are summarised in *Annex B*.

2.4.2 Results and Observations

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures as stated in the approved EIA Report and the EM&A Manual.

Regarding the landscape and visual audit, the Contractor was reminded to identify the topsoil to be generated from the construction works and plan for the storage and re-use of the topsoil as far as practical. The Contractor has considered the mitigation measures during the design phase, including the preparation of the Construction Drawings and Detailed Landscape Design Drawings. In addition, the Contractor was reminded to complete the advance screen planting works within 9 months of taking possession of the SENT Site (i.e. by September 2019).

2.5 EM&A SITE INSPECTION

Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis with the Contractor, IEC and ER to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for air quality, noise, surface water quality and waste management under the Project. In the reporting period, 13 site inspections were carried out on 4, 11, 18 and 24 April, 2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 May and 6, 13, 20 and 27 June 2019.

Key observations during the site inspections are summarized in *Table 2.10*.

Table 2.10 Key Observations Identified during the Site Inspections in this Reporting Period

Inspection Date	Environmental Observations and Recommendations
4 April 2019	The Contractor shall keep the road near the Chun Wo's vehicle exit clear of dusty materials.
	The Contractor shall remove the general refuse at DP6 channel to ensure the channel is functioning properly at all times.
	The Contractor shall clear the general refuse in the refuse skip near Chun Wo's vehicle entrance regularly to reduce odour and pest impacts.
11 April 2019	The Contractor shall maintain the wheel washing facilities to avoid discharge of wash-water outside site boundaries.
18 April 2019	The Contractor shall keep the road near the vehicle exit clear of dusty materials and review the efficiency of the wheel washing facilities.
	 The Contractor shall maintain the wheel washing facilities to avoid discharge of wash-water outside site boundaries and into surrounding water bodies.
	 The Contractor shall clean up the oil stain near the site entrance. The Contractor shall provide a drip tray for the fuel near the site entrance.
	The Contractor shall dispose the fuel in the refuse skip as chemical waste in the chemical waste storage cupboard.

Inspection Date	Environmental Observations and Recommendations
24 April 2019	The Contractor shall maintain the Wetsep near the site entrance to
	ensure it is functioning at all times.
	• The Contractor shall clean up the oil spillage near the sediment trap.
	The Contractor shall clear the general refuse near the site entrance
	and sediment trap. An enclosed bin shall be provided near the
	sediment trap for storage of general refuse.
	The Contractor shall avoid accumulation of stagnant water in the
	refuse skips near the site entrance.
2 May 2019	The Contractor shall fix the water leakage issue of the Wetsep near
	DP6 to ensure it is functioning at all times.
	• The Contractor shall clean up the oil spillage near the sediment trap.
	The Contractor shall provide drip tray for chemical container and
	remove the water from the drip tray.
	The Contractor shall review the drainage system and avoid water
	discharge outside the site boundary.
	 The Contractor shall clear the general refuse near the refuse skip and
	sediment trap.
9 May 2019	The Contractor shall remove the soil near the fencing at Chun Wo's
1 1 1 1 ay 2017	• The Contractor shall remove the soil near the rending at Chun wo's vehicle entrance to reduce SS runoff.
	The Contractor shall remove the wash-water and silt at the wheel washing facilities more frequently to everil everylow.
	washing facilities more frequently to avoid overflow.
	The Contractor shall clear the general refuse at the site entrance and
	near future EPD Building to reduce odour and pest impacts.
	The Contractor shall review the drainage system near DP6 to avoid
	accumulation of stagnant water and ensure the silt removal facility is
	functioning at all times.
16 May 2019	The Contractor shall maintain the temporary drain near DP4T to
	avoid accumulation of stagnant water and ensure the drainage
	system is functioning properly.
	 The Contractor shall remove the silt around the pump at the site
	entrance to ensure the silt removal facilities are functioning properly.
	The Contractor shall provide drip tray for the chemical placed near
	the sediment trap.
	The Contractor shall maintain the site drainage to avoid
	accumulation of stagnant water near sediment trap.
	• The Contractor shall maintain the Wetsep near DP6 to ensure it is
	functioning at all times.
	The Contractor shall display a NRMM label to the hydraulic truck
	crane near the LTP and ensure the crane is not in use without the
	NRMM label.
23 May 2019	The Contractor shall remove the silt at the wheel washing facilities
J	more frequently to ensure the facilities are functioning properly.
	The Contractor shall remove the silt around the pump at the site
	entrance more frequently to ensure the silt removal facilities are
	functioning properly.
	The Contractor shall provide drip tray for the chemical placed near
	the sediment trap and LTP.
30 May 2019	
30 May 2019	• The Contractor shall remove the silt at the wheel washing facilities
	and near the facilities more frequently to ensure the facilities are
	functioning properly.
	The Contractor shall provide drip trays for chemicals placed near PPC and at the analysis at transfer.
	DP6 and at the sediment trap.
	The Contractor shall replace the NRMM label on the generator near
	LTP.
	The Contractor shall dispose of the chemical waste and fuel inside
	the refuse skip at the sediment trap as chemical waste inside the
	chemical waste cabinet.

Inspection Date	Environmental Observations and Recommendations
6 June 2019	The Contractor shall remove the soil near DP4T channel and
	maintain the berm along DP4T channel to minimize SS runoff to the
	channel.
	The Contractor shall keep the general refuse in an enclosed bin near
	DP6.
	The Contractor shall avoid accumulation of stagnant water in the
	refuse skips near sediment trap and future EPD building.
	• The Contractor shall maintain and review the design of DP6 channel
	to ensure all water is treated before discharge at DP6.
13 June 2019	The Contractor shall maintain the berm along DP4T channel to avoid
	direct SS runoff to the channel.
	The Contractor shall change the wash-water at the wheel washing
	facility more frequently to ensure the facility is functioning at all
	times.
	The Contractor shall avoid accumulation of stagnant water in the
	refuse skip near the Chun Wo's vehicle entrance.
20 June 2019	 The Contractor shall remove the deposited silt and grit at the
	temporary drain along the western perimeter bund to ensure it is
	functioning at all times.
	The Contractor shall remove the general refuse accumulated in the
	refuse bin near the site entrance more frequently and clear the
	general refuse near the site entrance and sediment trap.
	The Contractor shall maintain the drainage at DP4T to avoid direct
·	discharge of site water from the pit at Cell 2 to the DP4T channel.
27 June 2019	The Contractor shall remove the deposited silt and grit and repair
	the bund at the temporary drain along the western perimeter bund
	and near future EPD building to ensure it is functioning at all times.
	The Contractor shall maintain the drainage, silt fencing and geo-
	textile on the pipe at DP6 to avoid discharge of site water into
	surrounding water body.

The Contractor has rectified all of the observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period. Some environmental deficiencies were also identified during the reporting period and additional control measures have been proposed by the Contractor to rectify the corresponding deficiencies. The details are presented in *Table 2.11*.

Table 2.11 Summary of Environmental Deficiencies Identified and Corresponding Additional Control Measures Proposed by the Contractor

Deficiencies	Rectifications Implemented	Proposed Additional
		Control Measures
Surface Water		
Intercepting channels & drainage system	Reviewed drainage plan.	 Provision of additional drainage channels. Expedite the construction of permanent sediment trap and discharge culverts.

Deficiencies	Rectifications Implemented	Proposed Additional
		Control Measures
DP channels (design & regular silt removal)	 Carried out regular maintenance and cleaning of channels. DP4 channel: Area near the channel was paved with concrete and a bund was built. DP6 channel: Gravel piles on the channel were covered with concrete which serve as blocks for running water and to divide the channel into several sections. A pump was placed in the water zone in the upstream section to pump water to the Wetsep for treatment prior to the discharge to the last section before the weir plate. DP6: Pipes through the gravel piles between different channel sections were covered with geotextiles to block debris and silt. 	N.A.
Stockpiles & exposed soil	• Installed silt fencing near surface water channel along DP6 channel.	Improve soil covering.Compaction and cover for stockpiles and soil slopes.
Wetsep (treatment capacity & number)	 Reviewed Wetsep capacity. Chemicals dosage of the Wetsep was increased to enhance the efficiency. 	Install additional Wetsep.
Backflow / ponding during heavy rainfall	Raised with EPD (LDG) and CEDD.	N.A.

2.6 WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS

The Contractor has registered as a chemical waste producer under the Contract.

Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.

As informed by the Contractor, waste generated during this reporting period include mainly inert C&D materials. Reference has been made to the waste flow table prepared by the Contractor. The quantities of different types of wastes and imported fill materials are summarised in *Table 2.12*.

Table 2.12 Quantities of Different Waste Disposed and Imported Fill Materials

Month/ Year	Inert C&D Materials (a) (in '000m³)	Importe (in '0001		Inert Construction Waste Re- used	Non-inert Construction Waste (b) (in '000m³)	Recyclable Materials (c) (in '000kg)	Chemical Wastes (in '000kg)
		Rock	Soil	(in '000m ³)			
April 2019	0.251	0	2194.24	0	0.023	0	0
May 2019	0.015	0	3897.15	0	0.019	0	0
June 2019	0.034	0	689.72	0	0.020	0	0

Notes:

- (a) Inert construction wastes include hard rock and large broken concrete, and materials disposed as public fill. Density assumption: $1.6 \text{ (t/m}^3)$ for public fill
- (b) Non-inert construction wastes include general refuse disposed at landfill. Density assumption: $0.9 \text{ (t/m}^3)$ for general refuse.
- (c) Recyclable materials include metals, paper, cardboard, plastics and others.

2.7 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

A summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule is presented in *Annex B*. The necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly for the Project.

2.8 SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMIT

The 24-hour TSP monitoring results and construction noise monitoring results complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. 2 exceedances of the Limit Level for DO, 7 exceedances of the Limit Level for pH and 10 exceedances of the Limit Level of SS were recorded for surface water quality impact monitoring in the reporting period. The exceedances were investigated and considered not Project-related, except the exceedances of Limit Levels for pH and SS at DP4 (Future, temporary) and Exceedance of Limit Level of SS at DP6 on 23 May 2019 which were found deemed to cause by Project-related activities.

Cumulative statistics on exceedances is provided in *Annex G*.

2.9 SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarised in *Annex G*.

3 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This Quarterly EM&A Report presents the findings of the EM&A activities undertaken during the period from 1 April to 30 June 2019 in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual and the requirements of the Environmental Permit (*EP-308/2008/B*).

Air quality (24-hour TSP), noise and water quality (DO, pH and SS) monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. Results for air quality monitoring (24-hour TSP) complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. No Action and Limit Levels exceedances were recorded for construction noise monitoring. 2 exceedances of the Limit Level for DO, 7 exceedances of the Limit Level for pH and 10 exceedances of the Limit Level of SS were recorded for surface water quality impact monitoring in the reporting period. The exceedances were investigated and considered not Project-related, except the exceedances of Limit Levels for pH and SS at DP4 (Future, temporary) and Exceedance of Limit Level of SS at DP6 on 23 May 2019 which were found deemed to cause by Project-related activities.


Thirteen environmental site inspections were carried out during the reporting period. Environmental deficiencies were identified during the site inspection and the Contractor has proposed additional control measures to rectify the deficiencies.

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

It is noted that most environmental pollution control and mitigation measures were properly implemented and the construction activities of the Project did not introduce any adverse impact to the sensitive receivers in the reporting period. Yet, some environmental deficiencies were identified during the reporting period and additional control measures have been proposed by the Contractor to rectify the corresponding deficiencies. The monitoring programme has been reviewed and was considered as adequate to cater for the nature of works in progress. Change to the monitoring programme was thus not recommended at this stage. The monitoring programme will be evaluated as appropriate in the next reporting period. The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Annex A

Work Programme



510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.00 SA2.6.00 6.03.2 6.03.2	 6.03 Civ 6.03.2 La	ID .	Activity Name		_		Total Predecessor Details	Successor Details
510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.0 3 6.03.2 6.03.2	6.03.2 La	<i>ı</i> il Engir		Dur	Sta		Float	Successor Details
512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.2	.2	andfill C	ineering Works Cell 2			19 13-Apr-23 19 23-Jan-21		
513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578	6.03.2		63-1000	Earth bund (Eastern)				9 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-4200: FS, 53-1400: FS 53-2800: FS	53-3500: FS, 63-1500: FS, 63-1800: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-2200: FS, M12. 1: FS -50, M12.
513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578	6.03.2							55 25551.5	2: FS, 63-1100: FS
514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		3.2	63-1100	Earth bund (Western)	110	20-Feb-7	.0 08-Jun-20	84 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	
514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		5.2	63-1200) Intercell bund (Cell 2/3)	90	09-Jun-	20 06-Sep-20	63-1000: FS 734 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	63-3600: FS, 63-1200: FS 63-1500: FS
515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 566	6.03.2			,				53-4400: FS, 63-1100: FS	
516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		5.2	63-1300) Site Formation	/5	02-Nov-1	3 15-Jan-20	14 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	63-1400: FS, 63-4200: FS
517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554				Pump Station (PS#2X)				84 63-1300: FS, 63-1100: FS	63-1600: FS, 63-1700: FS
518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.2	5.2	63-1500	D Lining Works	90	01-Oct-20	* 29-Dec-20	710 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1100: FS, 63-1200: FS	63-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS, 63-2400: FS
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	25	30-Dec-2	.0 23-Jan-21	810 63-1500: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS	32-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS
520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551				O Install Leachate Force Main				84 63-1100: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS	54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS
521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551				Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund				168 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS	54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS
522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551	SA2.6.0 3			Cell 3 Carth bund (Eastern)			20 02-Feb-22 20 08-Jun-20	9 11-1100: FS, 53-4200: FS, 63-1000: FS, 53-4300: FS	
523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				. ,				53-2800: FS, 63-4200: FS	FS -50, M12. 2: FS, 63-2000: FS -45, 63-2200: FS
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2000	Earth bund (Western)	110	25-Apr-′	20 12-Aug-20	19 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS -45	63-2300: FS, 63-2400: FS, 63-2600: FS, 63-3700: FS,
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 550 551	0.00.0		00.0400		405		20 44 0 4 00	700 44 4400 50 00 4000 50 00 4000 50 00 0000 50	63-2100: FS -45
525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2100	Intercell bund (Cell 3/4)	105	29-Jun-2) 11-Oct-20	789 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-4200: FS, 63-2000: FS	-45 63-2400: FS
526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2200	Site Formation	75	09-Jun-?	.0 22-Aug-20	9 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS	63-2300: FS
527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2300	Pump Station (PS#3X)				9 63-2200: FS, 63-2000: FS	63-2500: FS, 63-2600: FS
528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2400	D Lining Works	100	01-Oct-2*	* 08-Jan-22	435 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-1500: FS	63-2500: FS, M12. 3: FS
529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2500	Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	25	09-Jan-	.2 02-Feb-22	435 63-2400: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS	32-1700: FS, M12. 3: FS
530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2600	Install Leachate Force Main	75	07-Oct-:	.0 20-Dec-20	9 63-2000: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS	53-2500: SS -90, 54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS
531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund	35	09-Jun-2	.0 13-Jul-20	58 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS	54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.03			Cell 4 Remaining Portion of Buttress Wall			21 13-Apr-23	30 494 62-1000: FS	
533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				D Earth bund (Western) incl. MSE Wall				239 62-1000: FS	63-3000: FS, 63-3100: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3400: FS,
534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	0.00.1		00 2000	Editi build (Noticin) inci. inci.	120	0, 000 2	o roun zz	52 1000.10	63-3800: FS, 63-3900: FS, 63-4100: SS -90, M 9. 6: FS -60, M 9. 7: FS -30, M 9. 8: FS
534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553									W 9. 7. FG -50, W 9. 0. FG
535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3000) Site Formation	120	05-Jan <i>-2</i>	2 04-May-22	239 62-1000: FS, 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-4100: FS	63-3100: FS
536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	5.4	63-3100	Pump Station (PS#4X)	45	05-May-	<u>√</u> 2 18-Jun-22	239 63-3000: FS, 63-2900: FS	63-3300: FS, 63-3400: FS
537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Lining Works	135	01-Oct-2	2* 12-Feb-23	0 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS	63-3300: FS, M12. 6: FS
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3300	Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	60	13-Feb-/	.3 13-Apr-23	0 41-1500: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3100: FS	12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3400	Install Leachate Force Main & Remove Temporary Leachate Pipe	30	19-Jun-∕	.2 18-Jul-22	269 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-3100: FS	12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS
540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				e - Surface Run-Off			20 03-Feb-22		(0.000 =0
541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X9A) at Cell 2 Western Bund				1054 63-1100: FS	12-1900: FS
542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 2 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund				1029 63-1100: FS 964 63-2000: FS	63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS
543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 4 Western Bund				464 63-2900: FS	63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS
544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10C) at Cell 4 Western Bund				469 63-2900: FS	63-4000: FS
545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Connection to Existing DP3				464 63-3900: FS, 63-3600: FS, 63-3700: FS, 63-3800: FS	
546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	0.00.5		00.4400		00	00.1	24 00 1 104	440, 00,0000, 00,00	20,000,50
547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Remove Cut-Off Channel C-7 at bottom of Buttress Wall				419 63-2900: SS -90	63-3000: FS
548 549 550 551 552 553				Temporary Channel (X7T) at SENT Infrastructure Area e - Ground Water			20 14-Feb-20 21 30-Nov-21	14 63-1300: FS	63-1900: FS, 63-2100: FS
550 551 552 553			_	Construct Temporary Channel (TC-1), from MH-1 to Existing UC-825				529 23-1900: FS, 11-1300: FS, 62-1000: FS	63-4400: FS
551 552 553	6.03.6	6.6	63-4400	Divert GW at MH-1 to TC-1	5	27-Oct-7	.1 31-Oct-21	529 63-4300: FS	63-4500: FS, M 9. 9: FS
552 553				Reconnection of GWCP across Cell 4				529 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-4400: FS	12-1900: FS
553				- Works Associated with Utilities Undertakers			20 27-Jul-21		
		3.8.U1 6		LFG Generator On-grid Testing			20 27-Jul-21 20 27-Jun-21	655 32-2500: FS, 12-1200: FS, 54-4000: FS	63-4700: FS
007				LFG Generator On-grid Inspection & Verify				655 63-4600: FS	12-1900: FS
		2.6.03.8.U					08-Jan-21		00.4000.50
				Laying Gas Mains (from LFG to Town Gas PF) Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG				855 54-4000: FF 855 63-4800: FS, 54-4000: FS	63-4900: FS 12-1900: FS
				Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG & E&M Works			19 22-Jul-21	· ·	12-1900. FO
559	SA2.6.0	6.04.C P	art X1 A	Area C	661	01-Oct-1	19 22-Jul-21	660	
560	SA2.6.0	.6.04.C.0	2 LFG	Treatment Plant	661	01-Oct-1	19 22-Jul-21	660	12 1000: EC
				O GHS600 Blower 601 C Relocation O Absorption Chiller (Optional)				660 32-1500: FS 1231 54-2200: FS	12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS
				pe Works			19 29-Dec-19 19 03-Dec-20		12-1000.10
564	SA2.6.0	6.08.1 SI	ENT Are	rea - Tree Removal & Transplanting	240	01-Apr-1	19 26-Nov-19	1264	
	-			Access trees condition and select for transplanting				1264 14-1300: FS	68-1100: FS, 68-1200: FS, 68-1400: FS
				Prepare new site to receive trees				1264 68-1000: FS	68-1200: SS
	6.08.1			Transplant selected trees				1264 68-1000: FS, 68-1100: SS	68-1300: FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1			Prune trees prior to removal from Cell 4 Tree Felling - Part X3				1264 68-1200: FS 1384 23-8200: FS, 31-1600: FS, 68-1000: FS	12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1			Tree Felling - Part X3 Area - Trial Nursery & Tree Planting			19 29-Jul-19 19 03-Dec-20		12-1300. FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1	J.JU.K 0		Trial Nursery				1174 14-1800: FS, 58-1000: SS 30	12-1900: FS, M 3. 2: FS
572	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 SA2.6.0		00 1000	Landscaping in New Infrastructure Area	150	07-Jul-	20 03 Dec 20	891 54-1000: FS, 23-7600: FS	12-1900: FS

Annex B

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Annex B Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	the n	neast	impleme ure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R A	or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
Air Quali	ty - Cons	truction Phase								
4.8.1	AQ1	Blasting		Blasting area and 30m of blasting area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control	Not applicable.
		• The area within 30m of the blasting area will be wetted prior to blasting.							(Construction Dust) Regulations	Blasting is not required in the latest landfill design
		 Blasting will not be carried out when the strong wind signal or tropical cyclone warning signal No. 3 or higher is hoisted, unless this is with the express prior permission of the Commissioner of Mines. 								
		 loose material and stones in the Site will be removed prior to the blast operation 								
		 During blasting, blast nets, screens and other protective covers will be used to prevent the projection of flying fragments and material resulting from blasting 								
4.8.1	AQ2	Rock Drilling		Rock drilling area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control	Not applicable. Rock
		 Watering will be carried out at the rock drilling activities to avoid fugitive dust emissions. 							(Construction Dust) Regulations	drilling is not required in the latest landfill design
(1) D=Desig	gn; C=Const	ruction; O/R=Operation/Restoration; A=Aftercare								

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?			implement sure? (1)	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
						D	С	O/R A		
4.8.1	AQ3	Site Access Road	duet nuicance	Main haul road	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	Reminder was given to Contractor
		 The main haul road will be kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water. 								
		The main haul road will be paved								
		with aggregate or gravel.								
		• Vehicle speed will be limited to 10kph.								
4.8.1	AQ4	Stockpiling of Dusty Materials	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control	Implemented
		Any stockpile of dusty materials will be covered entirely by impervious							(Construction Dust) Regulations	
		sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and three sides or sprayed with water so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet.							HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	
4.8.1	AQ5	Loading, unloading or transfer of dusty materials	dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Implemented
		All dusty materials will be sprayed							Regulations	
		with water immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet.							HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	
4.8.1	AQ6	Site Boundary and Entrance	To minimise potential	al Site boundary and entrance	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control	Not applicable
		Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area	dust nuisance						(Construction Dust) Regulations	
		accessible to the public, hoarding of height not less than 2.4m from							HKAQO and EIAO-	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	the r		implemen ure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R A	or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
		ground level will be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for the site entrance or exit.							TM Annex 4	
4.8.1	AQ7	Excavation Works	To minimise potential	All	SENTX		✓		Air Pollution Control	Implemented
		 Working area of any excavation or earth moving operation will be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet. 	dust nuisance	construction works area	Contractor				(Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	
4.8.1	AQ8	 Building Demolition The area where the demolition works are planned to take place will be sprayed with water immediately prior to, during and immediately after the demolition activities. Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed will be wetted with water and cleared from the 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	Not applicable
4.8.1	AQ9	surface of roads or street. Construction of the Superstructure of	To minimise potential	A11	SENTX		√		Air Pollution Control	Not applicable
4.0.1	AQI	Building Effective dust screens, sheeting or netting will be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground level up to the highest level of the scaffolding.	dust nuisance	construction works area	Contractor		•		(Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	пот аррпсавіе

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1)				What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
						D	С	O/R	A	measure to achieve?	
4.8.1	AQ10	Should a stone crushing plant be needed on site, the control measures recommended in the <i>Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works</i> (<i>Stone Crushing Plants</i>) <i>BPM 11/1</i> should be implemented.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	Stone crushing plant/ construction phase	SENTX Contractor		✓			Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1	Not applicable. Stone crushing plant is not required in the latest landfill design
1.8.1	AQ11	Good site practices such as regular maintenance and checking of the diesel powered mechanical equipment will be adopted to avoid any black smoke emissions and to minimize gaseous emissions.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓			HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	Implemented
1.10.1	AQ12	Dust monitoring once every 6 days	Ensure the dust generated from the project meets the air quality requirement	At monitoring locations shown in <i>Figure 3.2a</i>	SENTX Contractor		✓			HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	Implemented
1.10.2	AQ41	Monitoring of ambient TSP once every 6 days	Ensure the dust emission from the project meets the dust requirement	At monitoring locations shown in Figure 11.3a	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓		HKAQO and EIAO- TM Annex 4	Implemented
.10.2	AQ46	Monitoring of meteorological station, continuously	Collect site specific meteorological data	At meteorologica l station shown in Figure 11.3a	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓	✓	_	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	the r		implemen sure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
5.7.1	N1	Adopt good site practice listed below: Only well-maintained plant will be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program;	To minimise potential construction noise nuisance.	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5	Implemented
		Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and will be properly maintained during the construction program;								
		 Mobile plant, if any, will be sited as far from NSRs as possible; 								
		Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use will be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;								
		Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction will, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and								
		 Material stockpiles and other structures will be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 								

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?			implement sure? (1) O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
5.8	N2	Weekly noise monitoring	Ensure noise generated from the project meets the criteria	At monitoring locations shown in Figure 6.4a	SENTX Contractor		✓		Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5	Implemented
Water Qu	ality - Co	nstruction Phase								
6.8.1	WQ1	Construction Runoff								
		• Exposed soil areas will be minimised	To minimise potential		SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Deficiency of
		to reduce the contamination of runoff and erosion.	water quality impacts arising from the construction works	construction works area	Contractor				EIAO-TM Annex 6	mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
6.8.1	WQ2	Perimeter channels will be	To minimise potential		SENTX	✓	✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Deficiency of
		constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of	water quality impacts arising from the construction works	construction works area	Contractor				Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)	mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
		excavation.							EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.8.1	WQ3	Silt removal facilities, channels and	To minimise potential	All	SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Deficiency of
		manholes will be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be	water quality impacts arising from the	construction works area	Contractor				WPCO	mitigation measures but rectified by the
		removed regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times.	construction works	works area					EIAO-TM Annex 6	Contractor
6.8.1	WQ4	Temporary covers such as tarpaulin	To minimise potential		SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Implemented
		will also be provided to minimise the generation of high SS runoff.	water quality impacts arising from the construction works	construction works area	Contractor				WPCO	
6.8.1	WQ5	The surface runoff contained any oil	To minimise potential	All	SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?			impleme ure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R	or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
		and grease will pass through the oil interceptors.	Concerns to address water quality impacts arising from the	construction works area	Contractor				WPCO	
			construction works						EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.8.1	WQ6	 All sewer and drains will be sealed to prevent building debris, soil etc from 	To minimise potential water quality impacts	Infrastructure area at	SENTX Contractor		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Not applicable
		entering public sewers/drains before	arising from the	existing SENT	Contractor				WPCO	
		commencing any demolition works	demolition works	Landfill					EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.8.1	WQ7	During the excavation works for the	To minimise potential	Tunnel boring			✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Not applicable.
		twin drainage tunnels, the recycle water for cooling the cutter head of	water quality impacts arising from the	sites	Contractor				WPCO	Excavation of drainage tunnels is not required
		the TBM will be conveyed to the sedimentation tanks for treatment and most of the treated water will be reused, where applicable and as much as possible, in the boring operations.	tunnel works						EIAO-TM Annex 6	in the latest landfill design.
6.8.1	WQ8	The fuel and waste lubricant oil from	To minimise potential	SENTX Site	SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Not applicable
		the on-site maintenance of machinery	water quality impacts		Contractor				WPCO	
		and equipment will be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector.	arising from improper handling of fuel and oil						Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO)	
6.8.1	WQ9	Implementation of excavation	To minimise	All	SENTX		✓		ProPECC PN 1/94	Not applicable
		schedules, lining and covering of	contaminated	construction	Contractor				WPCO	
		excavated stockpiles	stormwater run-off from the SENTX Site	works					EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.13	WQ10	Monitoring of surface water quality	To minimise potential	SENTX Site	SENTX		✓		WPCO	Implemented
		will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual.			Contractor				Water-TM	-

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended	Location of the Measures	Who to implement	the	meas	implement ure? (1)	What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
			Measure & Main Concerns to address		the measure?	D	С	O/R A	measure to achieve?	
6.8.2	WQ11	Sewage Effluents								
		• Sufficient chemical toilets will be provided for the construction workforce.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓		WPCO	Implemented
6.8.2	WQ12	• Untreated sewage will not be allowed	To minimise potential	SENTX Site	SENTX		✓		WPCO	Deficiency of
		to discharge into the surrounding water body.	water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents		Contractor				WDO	mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
6.8.2	WQ13	A licensed waste collector will be	To minimise potential	SENTX Site	SENTX		✓		WPCO	Implemented
		employed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis.	water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents		Contractor				WDO	
Waste Ma	nagement	- Construction Phase								
7.6.1	WM1	All the necessary waste disposal permits are obtained prior to the commencement of construction work.	To ensure compliance with relevant statutory requirements	Before construction works commence	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓		WDO	Implemented
7.6.1	WM2	Management of Waste Disposal								
		The construction contractor will open a	To ensure that	SENTX Site	SENTX		✓		WDO	Implemented
		billing account with the EPD. Every construction waste or public fill load to be transferred to the Government waste disposal facilities such as public fill	adverse environmental impacts are prevented		Contractor				Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation;	
	1	reception facilities, sorting facilities, landfills will required a valid "chit" which contains the information of the account holder to facilitate waste							Works Bureau Technical Circular No.31/2004; and	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?		to implemer asure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R A	or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
		transaction recording and billing to the waste producer. A trip-ticket system will also be established to monitor the disposal of construction waste at the SENT Landfill and to control fly-tipping. The trip-ticket system will be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the contractor.						Annex 5 and Annex 6 of Appendix G of ETWBTC No. 19/2005)	
		A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) will be established.							
7.6.1	WM3	Measures for the Reduction of Construction Waste Generation							
		Inert and non-inert construction waste will be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to facilitate reuse or recycling of the inert waste and proper disposal of the non-inert construction waste. Specific areas of the work site will be designated for such segregation and storage if immediate use is not practicable.	To reduce construction waste generation	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓		WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
7.6.1	WM4	<u>Chemical Waste</u>						LID 0	
		The construction contractor will register as a chemical waste producer with the EPD. Chemical waste will be handled in accordance with the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of</i>	To ensure proper handling of chemical waste	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓		WDO Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures Chemical Wastes.	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
7.6.1	WM5	Sewage An adequate number of portable toilets will be provided at the site to ensure that sewage from site staff is properly collected. The portable toilets will be desludged and maintained regularly by a specialist contractor.	To ensure proper handling of sewage	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
7.6.1 and SENTX latest design	WM6	General Refuse General refuse will be stored in enclosed bins separately from construction and chemical wastes. The general refuse will be delivered to a transfer station or other landfill, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to reduce odour, pest and litter impacts.	To ensure proper handling of general refuse	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	√	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
7.6.1	WM7	Recycling bins will be provided at strategic locations to facilitate recovery of aluminium can and waste paper from the SENTX Site. Materials recovered will be sold for recycling. Staff Training At the commencement of the construction works, training will be provided to workers on the concepts of	To ensure that adverse environmental	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	√		Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	the n		implement ure? ⁽¹⁾ O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		waste reduction, reuse and recycling.								
7.8	WM8	Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements Weekly audits of the waste management practices will be carried out during the construction phase. The audits examine	To ensure that adverse environmental impacts are prevented	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓		WDO	Implemented
		all aspects of waste management including waste generation, storage, recycling, transport and disposal.								
Landfill G	as Hazar	ds - Design and Construction Phase								
8.6.2 and SENTX latest design	LFG1	Precautionary measures to be adopted by the contractors at the Project site and the adjacent development site within the landfill consultation zone are outlined in Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Notes (the Guidance Note). Those precautionary measures applicable to the SENTX will be confirmed in the detailed Qualitative Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment to be submitted by the contractor.	-	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
8.6.2	LFG2	Monitoring will be undertaken when construction works are carried out in confined space within the consultation zone with reference to the monitoring requirements and procedures specified in Paragraphs 8.23 to 8.28 of EPD's <i>Guidance Note</i> will be followed.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	Confined space within the construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓			Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Mitigation Measures Ro	Objectives of the Recommended	Location of the Measures	Who to implement			impler sure? (1)		What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
			Measure & Main Concerns to address		the measure?	D	С	O/R	A	measure to achieve?	
		In the event of the trigger levels being exceeded, it is recommended that a person, such as the Safety Officer, is nominated, with deputies, to be responsible for dealing with any emergency which may occur due to landfill gas. In an emergency situation, the nominated person, or his deputies, shall have the necessary authority and shall ensure that the confined space is evacuated and the necessary works implemented for reducing the concentrations of gas. The appropriate organisations shall be contact.									
8.6.3	LFG4	Implementation of engineering measures according to Contract Specification requirements. These measures will include the placement of liner and installation of landfill gas management system to contain, manage and control landfill gas.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	√	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 7	Not applicable
8.6.3	LFG5	Engineering measures to significant engineering measures will be required in the design of the SENTX to protect the staff working in the infrastructure area. These measures include a combination of passive and active systems (examples are recommended in EPD's <i>Guidance Notes</i>). Landfill gas monitoring boreholes will be installed at the edge of the waste slope	Ü	Infrastructure Area	SENTX Contractor	✓	√			EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note EIAO-TM Annex 7	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to the meas D C	o implement sure? (1) O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		between the waste and the new infrastructure area to monitor the migration of landfill gas, if any.							
Ecology -	Construc	tion Phase							
9.10.2	EC1	Measures to control construction runoff: Exposed soil areas will be minimised to reduce the contamination of runoff and	To minimise potential water quality impacts affecting ecological resources	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓		EIAO-TM Annex 16 ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
		erosion;To prevent stormwater runoff from						Control Ordinance (WPCO) EIAO-TM Annex 6	
		To prevent stormwater runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces, perimeter channels will be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of excavation;							Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
		 Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes will be maintained and the deposited silt and grit will be removed regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times; 						-	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
		 Temporary covers such as tarpaulin will also be provided to minimise the generation of high suspended solids runoff; 						-	Implemented

		Objectives of the	Location of	Who to	the measure? (1)				What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
1101	Trinigation freedomes	Measure & Main Concerns to address	the Medicales	the measure?					measure to achieve?	Status and Remains
	The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and,								-	Not applicable
	 Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. 								-	Not applicable
EC2	Good Construction Practice:									
	 Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. 	To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		√			EIAO-TM Annex 16	Implemented
	 The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. 									
EC9	Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements	T	CENTEN.	CENTEN (,	,	,	FIAO TM 4	
	The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring and audit procedures during the	adverse ecological impacts are prevented	SEN1X	SENTX Contractor		•	V	•	EIAO-INI Annex 16	Implemented
	Ref EC2	The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. EC2 Good Construction Practice: Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. EC9 Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring	Ref Mitigation Measures Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address - The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, - Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. EC2 Good Construction Practice: - Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. EC9 Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring	Ref Mitigation Measures Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address * The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, * Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. * Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. * The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. * EC9 * Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring	Recommended Measures Main Concerns to address * The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, * Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. **Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project** **To ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and everse ecological im	Recommended Measures implement the measure? • The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, • Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. EC2 • Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. • The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. EC5 EC6 Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as ap art of the environmental monitoring areas are prevented as part of the environmental monitoring areas.	Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address * The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, * Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. **EC2*** Good Construction Practice:** * Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas.** * The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** * Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented as part of the environmental monitoring impacts are prevented as part of the environmental monitoring as part of the environmental monitoring and covering the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.** **To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevent	Recommended Measures implement the measure? In the measure in the measure in the measure? In the measure? In the measure in the measure in the measure in the measure? In the measure in the measure in the measure in the measure? In the measure in the measure? In the measure in the measure?	Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Recommended Measures Main Concerns to address **Influence of the Measure & Main Concerns to address** **Influence of the Sentrace runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, **Influence of the Sentrace runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, **Influence of the Sentrace runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, **Influence of the Sentrace runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, **Influence of the Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, liming and covering of exavated stockplies will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater runoff from the SENTX site will be erected before the commencement of works to adjacent areas. **Influence of the Sentrace of the Commencement of works to adjacent areas. **Influence of the Sentrace of the Commencement of personnel, onto adjacent areas. **Influence of the Commencement of the Commencement of the Commencement of personnel, onto adjacent areas. **Influence of the Commence of the Comm

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended	Location of the Measures	Who to implement	the	meas	implement sure? (1)	What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
			Measure & Main Concerns to address		the measure?	D	С	O/R A	measure to achieve?	
		construction period.								
Landscape	e and Visu	aal - Construction Phase								
10.6.5	LV1	CM1 - The construction area and area allowed for the contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory areas will be minimised to a practical minimum, to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		√		EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Not applicable
10.6.5	LV2	CM2 - Topsoil, where identified, will be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical. The Contract Specification will include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5	LV3	CM3 - All existing trees at the edges of the landfill will be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this Specification, the Contractor will be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in Contractor's works areas.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Potential impacted area	SENTX Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Implemented
10.6.5	LV4	CM4 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works will be transplanted, where necessary and practical. A detailed Tree	landscape and visual	Potential impacted area	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?			implement sure? (1) O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		Transplanting Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods will be allowed in the project programme.								
10.6.5 and SENTX latest design	LV5	CM5 - Within 3 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site, the Contractor will plant advance screen planting of native species at Light Standard size at 1.5m centres along the High Junk Peak Trail so as to screen views of the Works from the trail. Tree planting locations will be agreed with AFCD. Works will be completed within 9 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	At High Junk Peak Hiking Trail	SENTX Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5	LV6	CM6 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be given an aesthetic treatment in earth tones to reduce their visual impact and albedo and blend them into the surrounding landscape.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Infrastructure area	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18	Not applicable
10.6.5	LV7	CM7 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be surrounded by a minimum of 5m wide and 0.75m high earth bund on the west and south sides planted with a dense screen of tree and shrub vegetation. Additional tree planting will be provided in unused spaces with thin infrastructure	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Infrastructure area	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 7/2002	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended	Location of the Measures	Who to implement			implement sure? (1)	What requirements or standards for the	Implementation Status and Remarks
		-	Measure & Main Concerns to address		the measure?	D	С	O/R A	measure to achieve?	
		site, along access roads and in and around car parks. This will be supplemented with shrub planting, where appropriate.								
10.6.5	LV8	CM8 - Planting trials will be carried out in an on-site nursery prior to implementation of the first phase of restoration to establish the best planting matrix and management intensity of the recommended plant materials for the restoration.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18	Not applicable
11.4.1 and SENTX latest design	LV9	During the preparation of the detailed landscape design plan, the design submission will be audited against the recommendation proposed in the <i>ER Report</i> by the Registered Landscape Architect from the ET.	To ensure the implementation of mitigation measures proposed in this EIA Report	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor/E T	✓	✓		EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented

Annex C

Monitoring Schedule for This Reporting Period

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Construction Phase

April 2019

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
	1	2	3	4	5	6
				Dust Monitoring		
				Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Dust Monitoring			
			Surface Water Monitoring (pm)			
			Noise Monitoring (pm)			
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		Dust Monitoring		Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	Dust Monitoring		Surface Water Monitoring (pm)			
			Noise Monitoring (pm)			
28	29	30				
Dust Monitoring						

Note:

Impact dust monitoring will be conducted at two monitoring stations (DM1 and DM2) under the on-going EM&A programme TKO Area 137 Fill Bank and the results will be shared with SENTX.

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Construction Phase

May 2019

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
			1	2	3	4
				Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		Dust Monitoring
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		Dust Monitoring	
			Noise Monitoring (pm)			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				Dust Monitoring		
				Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
			Dust Monitoring	Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
26	27	28	29	30	31	
		Dust Monitoring		Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		

Note:

Impact dust monitoring will be conducted at two monitoring stations (DM1 and DM2) under the on-going EM&A programme TKO Area 137 Fill Bank and the results will be shared with SENTX.

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Construction Phase

June 2019

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Dust Monitoring			Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Dust Monitoring					Surface Water Monitoring (pm)	Dust Monitoring
					Noise Monitoring (pm)	
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
				Surface Water Monitoring (pm)	Dust Monitoring	
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
				Surface Water Monitoring (pm)		
				Noise Monitoring (pm)		
				Dust Monitoring		
30						

Note

Impact dust monitoring will be conducted at two monitoring stations (DM1 and DM2) under the on-going EM&A programme TKO Area 137 Fill Bank and the results will be shared with SENTX.

Air Quality

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Table D1.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at DM1

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m3)
4 Apr 19	8:00	5 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	90
10 Apr 19	14:30	11 Apr 19	14:30	Fine	100
16 Apr 19	8:00	17 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	99
22 Apr 19	8:00	23 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	76
28 Apr 19	8:00	29 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	82
4 May 19	8:00	5 May 19	8:00	Fine	99
10 May 19	9:00	11 May 19	9:00	Fine	105
16 May 19	8:30	17 May 19	8:30	Fine	73
22 May 19	16:10	23 May 19	16:10	Fine	105
28 May 19	8:00	29 May 19	8:00	Rainy	79
3 Jun 19	9:30	4 Jun 19	9:30	Rainy	63
9 Jun 19	8:30	10 Jun 19	8:30	Fine	80
15 Jun 19	8:00	16 Jun 19	8:00	Cloudy	76
21 Jun 19	9:00	22 Jun 19	9:00	Rainy	109
27 Jun 19	8:30	28 Jun 19	8:30	Rainy	81
				Average	88
				Min	63
				Max	109

Note:

DM1 corresponds to the existing TSP monitoring station TKO-A1 currently operating by CEDD.

Figure D1.1 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at DM1





Table D1.2 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at DM2

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m3)
4 Apr 19	8:00	5 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	74
10 Apr 19	14:38	11 Apr 19	14:38	Fine	70
16 Apr 19	8:00	17 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	77
22 Apr 19	8:00	23 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	72
28 Apr 19	8:00	29 Apr 19	8:00	Fine	91
4 May 19	8:00	5 May 19	8:00	Fine	85
10 May 19	9:15	11 May 19	9:15	Fine	78
16 May 19	8:30	17 May 19	8:30	Fine	85
22 May 19	14:50	23 May 19	14:50	Fine	103
28 May 19	8:00	29 May 19	8:00	Rainy	68
3 Jun 19	9:30	4 Jun 19	9:30	Rainy	64
9 Jun 19	8:30	10 Jun 19	8:30	Fine	81
15 Jun 19	8:00	16 Jun 19	8:00	Cloudy	123
21 Jun 19	9:25	22 Jun 19	9:25	Rainy	104
27 Jun 19	8:30	28 Jun 19	8:30	Rainy	68
				Average	83
				Min	64
				Max	123

Note:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DM2}}$ corresponds to the existing TSP monitoring station TKO-A2a currently operating by CEDD.

Figure D1.2 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at DM2



Event and Action Plan for Dust Monitoring

Annex D2 Event and Action Plan for Dust Monitoring During Construction Phase

		Action	
Event	ET	IEC	Contractor
Action Level			
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below action level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods if appropriate
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Contractor & IEC Continue monitoring at daily intervals if exceedance is due to the Project If no exceedance for 3 consecutive days, cease additional monitoring 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET 	 Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate

		Action	
Event	ET	IEC	Contractor
Limit Level			
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below limit level 	Check monitoring data submitted by ETCheck Contractor's working methods	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Continue monitoring at daily intervals if exceedance is due to the Project If no exceedance for 3 consecutive days, cease additional monitoring If exceedance due to the Project continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated 		 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control


ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.


Meteorological Data


Annex D3 Meteorological Data


April 2019




 * Note: Data on 20 April 2019 was discarded due to equipment failure.











Manual Rain Gauge Readings

April 2019


Date	Rainfall
	(mm)
1 Apr 19	0.2
2 Apr 19	0.0
3 Apr 19	0.4
4 Apr 19	0.0
5 Apr 19	0.0
6 Apr 19	0.0
7 Apr 19	0.0
8 Apr 19	0.0
9 Apr 19	0.0
10 Apr 19	0.0
11 Apr 19	0.5
12 Apr 19	23.8
13 Apr 19	1.6
14 Apr 19	10.2
15 Apr 19	3.7
16 Apr 19	8.2
17 Apr 19	0.2
18 Apr 19	23.4
19 Apr 19	95.4
20 Apr 19	28.9
21 Apr 19	0.3
22 Apr 19	0.0
23 Apr 19	0.0
24 Apr 19	0.0
25 Apr 19	0.0
26 Apr 19	0.1
27 Apr 19	15.2
28 Apr 19	0.1
29 Apr 19	0.0
30 Apr 19	13.9
TOTAL RAINFALL	226.1




* Note: Data from 1 May 00:00 to 2 May 2019 17:00 was lost due to malfunction of the meteorological station. Data on 27 May 2019 was discarded due to equipment failure.




^{*} Note: Data from 1 May 00:00 to 2 May 2019 17:00 was lost due to malfunction of the meteorological station.



* Note: Data from 1 May 00:00 to 2 May 2019 17:00 was lost due to malfunction of the meteorological station.



^{*} Note: Data from 1 May 00:00 to 2 May 2019 17:00 was lost due to malfunction of the meteorological station.




 $^{^{\}star}$ Note: Data from 1 May 00:00 to 2 May 2019 17:00 was lost due to malfunction of the meteorological station.


Manual Rain Gauge Readings


May 2019


Date	Rainfall
	(mm)
1 May 19	2.8
2 May 19	9.5
3 May 19	0.1
4 May 19	34.4
5 May 19	14.0
6 May 19	21.7
7 May 19	23.7
8 May 19	37.0
9 May 19	0.1
10 May 19	0.0
11 May 19	0.0
12 May 19	0.4
13 May 19	0.1
14 May 19	0.0
15 May 19	0.0
16 May 19	0.0
17 May 19	0.0
18 May 19	0.0
19 May 19	0.0
20 May 19	20.2
21 May 19	15.1
22 May 19	2.0
23 May 19	51.0
24 May 19	0.8
25 May 19	6.2
26 May 19	19.2
27 May 19	18.6
28 May 19	34.7
29 May 19	26.6
30 May 19	3.0
31 May 19	47.4
TOTAL RAINFALL	388.6


June 2019











Manual Rain Gauge Readings

June 2019

Date	Rainfall
	(mm)
1 Jun 19	6.0
2 Jun 19	27.0
3 Jun 19	13.0
4 Jun 19	142.0
5 Jun 19	0.2
6 Jun 19	2.0
7 Jun 19	0.0
8 Jun 19	1.0
9 Jun 19	1.2
10 Jun 19	73.0
11 Jun 19	17.2
12 Jun 19	6.5
13 Jun 19	68.4
14 Jun 19	0.2
15 Jun 19	0.0
16 Jun 19	0.0
17 Jun 19	6.8
18 Jun 19	18.8
19 Jun 19	1.4
20 Jun 19	2.4
21 Jun 19	8.8
22 Jun 19	2.4
23 Jun 19	0.0
24 Jun 19	20.6
25 Jun 19	50.2
26 Jun 19	0.8
27 Jun 19	0.0
28 Jun 19	27.0
29 Jun 19	4.3
30 Jun 19	7.5
TOTAL RAINFALL	508.7

Annex E

Noise

Annex E1

Noise Monitoring Results


Table E1.1 Measured Noise Levels (dB(A)) at NM1 during Normal Working Hours (0700-1900 hours; Normal Weekdays)

Date	Start Time	Finish Time	Weather	$L_{10~(30min)}$	$L_{90~(30min)}$	L _{eq (30min)}
4 Apr 19	14:54	15:24	Sunny	52.5	48.5	51.0
10 Apr 19	14:26	14:56	Sunny	54.0	51.0	53.2
18 Apr 19	14:36	15:06	Sunny	51.5	48.5	50.4
24 Apr 19	14:55	15:25	Sunny	55.5	52.0	53.8
2 May 19	14:31	15:01	Cloudy	53.5	49	52.2
8 May 19	NA	NA	Pouring	Monitor	ing was cance	lled due to
				á	adverse weath	ier.
16 May 19	14:41	15:11	Sunny	56.0	52	54.6
23 May 19	NA	NA	Drizzle	Monitor	ing was cance	lled due to
				í	adverse weath	ier.
30 May 19	16:18	16:48	Cloudy	53.0	47.5	51.6
6 Jun 19	16:07	16:37	Sunny	55.5	51.5	55.4
14 Jun 19	15:47	16:17	Sunny	67.0	49.2	53.2
20 Jun 19	15:42	16:12	Sunny	57.0	52.1	54.7
27 Jun 19	15:55	16:25	Sunny	56.3	51.3	54.4
					Average	e 53.1
					Mir	n 50.4
					Max	x 55.4

Note:

Correction of +3 dB(A) was made for free field measurements.

Figure E1.1 Graphical Presentation for Noise Monitoring at NM1



Annex E2

Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring

Annex E2 Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	Action							
	ET	IEC	Contractor					
Action Level	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals 					
Limit Level	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Analyse the operation of SENTX and investigate the causes of exceedance Provide interim report to Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD the causes of the exceedances Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Report the remedial measures implemented and the additional monitoring results to Contactor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Take immediate measures to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the Project Proponent until the exceedance is abated 					

Annex F

Surface Water Quality

Annex F1

Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results

Table F1.1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results at DP4


Date	Time	Weather	Water	Water	Water	Dissolved	pН	Suspended	Remarks
		Condition	Appearance	Condition	Temperature	Oxygen (DO)		Solids (SS)	
					(°C)	(mg/L)		(mg/L)	
4 Apr 19	14:13	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
10 Apr 19	14:05	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
18 Apr 19	14:15	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
24 Apr 19	14:33	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
2 May 19	14:08	Cloudy		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
8 May 19	14:51	Rainy	Light yellow	Semi-clear	21.5	8.84	8.33	1.2	-
16 May 19	14:30	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
23 May 19	15:55	Rainy	Yellow	Turbid	24.9	7.48	10.92	191.0	-
23 May 19	15:55	Rainy	Yellow	Turbid	24.9	7.42	10.80	-	DP4 (Future, temporary)
									(Remeasurement)
30 May 19	15:48	Overcast	Light yellow	Semi-clear	26.1	7.59	8.84	32.2	-
30 May 19	15:48	Overcast	Light yellow	Semi-clear	26.1	7.60	8.90	-	DP4 (Future, temporary)
									(Remeasurement)
6 Jun 19	15:39	Sunny	Light yellow	Turbid	33.0	5.19	6.90	125.0	-
6 Jun 19	15:39	Sunny	Light yellow	Turbid	33.1	5.12	6.90	-	DP4 (Future, temporary)
									(Remeasurement)
14 Jun 19	15:26	Sunny	Yellow	Turbid	31.7	6.02	8.38	62.2	-
20 Jun 19	15:15	Sunny	Light green	Semi-clear	32.0	6.71	9.37	19.6	-
20 Jun 19	15:15	Sunny	Light green	Semi-clear	32.0	6.70	9.37	-	DP4 (Future, temporary)
									(Remeasurement)
27 Jun 19	15:14	Sunny	Light green	Semi-clear	33.7	5.28	8.75	29.2	-
27 Jun 19	15:14	Sunny	Light green	Semi-clear	33.6	5.45	8.75	-	DP4 (Future, temporary)
									(Remeasurement)
					Averag	e 6.62	8.85	65.8	-
					Mi	n 5.12	6.90	1.2	-
					Ma	x 8.84	10.92	191.0	-

Notes: DP4 was temporary relocated to DP4 (Future, temporary) (i.e. DP4T) as an interim discharge point from the monitoring event on 16 May 2019.

Table F1.2 Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results at DP6


Date	Time	Weather	Water	Water	Water	Dissolved	pН	Suspended	Remarks
		Condition	Appearance	Condition	Temperature	Oxygen (DO)		Solids (SS)	
					(°C)	(mg/L)		(mg/L)	
4 Apr 19	14:19	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
10 Apr 19	14:12	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffici	ient flow		-
18 Apr 19	14:22	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
24 Apr 19	14:39	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
2 May 19	14:16	Cloudy		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
8 May 19	15:20	Rainy	Light yellow	Semi-clear	20.4	8.90	9.24	70.8	-
8 May 19	15:20	Rainy	Light yellow	Semi-clear	20.4	8.80	9.29	-	DP6 (Remeasurement)
16 May 19	14:23	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffici	ient flow		-
23 May 19	15:33	Rainy	Brown	Turbid	24.7	8.21	9.65	696.0	-
23 May 19	15:33	Rainy	Brown	Turbid	24.7	8.18	9.60	-	DP6 (Remeasurement)
30 May 19	15:19	Overcast	Light yellow	Semi-clear	25.4	7.89	8.68	244.0	-
30 May 19	15:19	Overcast	Light yellow	Semi-clear	25.3	7.85	8.71	-	DP6 (Remeasurement)
6 Jun 19	15:19	Sunny	Light yellow	Turbid	32.5	6.09	6.90	473.0	-
14 Jun 19	15:07	Sunny		Water sa	mple was not colle	cted due to negati	ive flow		-
20 Jun 19	15:03	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
27 Jun 19	14:55	Sunny		Unable to	collect water samp	ole due to insuffic	ient flow		-
					Averag	e 7.99	8.87	371.0	-
					Mi	n 6.09	6.90	70.8	-
					Ma	x 8.90	9.65	696.0	-

Figure F1.1 Graphical Presentation for Surface Water Quality Monitoring (DO)




Note: Amber rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 6 March, 11 April, 18 April, 19 April, 20 April, 27 April, 20 May, 21 May, 26 May, 27 May, 28 May, 1 June, 4 June, 11 June, 13 June, 14 June and 25 June 2019. Red rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 20 April 2019.

Figure F1.2 Graphical Presentation for Surface Water Quality Monitoring (pH)



Note: Amber rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 6 March, 11 April, 18 April, 19 April, 20 April, 27 April, 20 May, 21 May, 26 May, 27 May, 28 May, 1 June, 4 June, 11 June, 13 June, 14 June and 25 June 2019. Red rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 20 April 2019.

Figure F1.3 Graphical Presentation for Surface Water Quality Monitoring (SS)



Note: Amber rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 6 March, 11 April, 18 April, 19 April, 20 April, 27 April, 20 May, 21 May, 26 May, 27 May, 28 May, 1 June, 4 June, 11 June, 13 June, 14 June and 25 June 2019. Red rainstorm warning signal was issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 20 April 2019.

Annex F2

Event and Action Plan for Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Annex F2 Event and Action Plan for Surface Water Quality During Construction Phase

Event		Action						
	ET	IEC	Contractor					
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling day	 Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement to confirm findings Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Repeat measurement on the next day of exceedance if exceedance is due to the Project 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods if appropriate 					
Action Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days	 Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement to confirm findings Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project and continue until no exceedance of Action Level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET Leader and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate 					

Event	Action						
	ET	IEC	Contractor				
Limit Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days	 Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement to confirm findings Identify source(s) of impact and cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Critically review the working methods Rectify unacceptable practice Check all plant and equipment Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to the IEC Implement the agreed mitigation measures 				
Limit Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	 Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement to confirm findings Identify source(s) of impact and cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure mitigation measures are implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Critically review the working methods Rectify unacceptable practice Check all plant and equipment Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures Implement the agreed mitigation measure As directed by the Project Proponent, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities 				

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Annex F3

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	8 May 2019
Time	15:20
Monitoring Location	DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (pH)
Action / Limit Levels	Action level: >8.39
	Limit level: >9.40
Measured Level	DP6: 9.24 & 9.29
Possible reason	According to the site record on 8 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, concrete for bar bending yield, which might be a potential source of pH increase, and excavation for temporary drainage channel near DP6 channel were carried out in the vicinity of DP6. However, during the sampling event, no construction works in the vicinity of DP6 and no potential surface water discharge or overflow to DP6 channel were observed. A temporary trench and berm were constructed along the DP6 channel to collect the surface runoff which was further treated by the Wetsep prior to discharge. Wetsep near DP6 was functioning properly with reference to the Wetsep operation record on 8 May 2019. The Contractor has complied with the recommendations and conditions outlined in the updated EM&A Manual. In addition, part of the DP6 channel was relocated to hill side and the construction of this part of DP6 channel was completed on 15 April 2019. The concrete of the relocated DP6 channel should have been well settled on the sampling day which shall not be the potential source leading to the increase of pH of the surface water. Based on the above, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP6 was deemed to Project-related activities. The exceedance might be caused by other influencing factors from the upstream areas.
	The nearest weekly site inspection was carried out on 9 May 2019 to audit the site practices and mitigation measures, where applicable mitigation measures on surface water quality were found implemented yet with deficiencies. The Contractor was reminded to review the drainage system near DP6 to avoid accumulation of stagnant water and ensure the Wetsep is functioning at all times.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.

	In addition, the Contractor shall review (i) the efficiency, treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6, and (ii) the drainage system of the whole site to avoid potential direct discharge or overflow of site water to DP6 channel.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 5 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	8 May 2019
Time	15:20
Monitoring Location	DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Action / Limit Levels	Action level: >11.7 mg/L
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L
Measured Level	DP6: 70.8 mg/L
Possible reason Action Taken / Action to	According to the site record on 8 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, concrete for bar bending yield and excavation for temporary drainage channel near DP6 channel, which might be a potential source of SS increase, were carried out in the vicinity of DP6. However, during the sampling event, no construction works in the vicinity of DP6 and no potential surface water discharge or overflow to DP6 channel were observed. A temporary trench and berm were constructed along the DP6 channel to collect the surface runoff which was further treated by the Wetsep prior to discharge. Wetsep near DP6 was functioning properly with reference to the Wetsep operation record on 8 May 2019. The Contractor has complied with the recommendations and conditions outlined in the updated EM&A Manual. Based on the above, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP6 was deemed to Project-related activities. The exceedance might be caused by other influencing factors from the upstream areas (e.g. Clearwater Bay Country Park). The nearest weekly site inspection was carried out on 9 May 2019 to audit the site practices and mitigation measures, where applicable mitigation measures on surface water quality were found implemented yet with deficiencies. The Contractor was reminded to review the drainage system near DP6 to avoid accumulation of stagnant water and ensure the silt removal facility is functioning at all times.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall review (i) the efficiency, treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6, and (ii) the drainage system of the whole site to avoid potential direct discharge or overflow of site water to DP6 channel. The

	Contractor shall also review the design of the DP6 channel near the hillside (e.g. maintain sufficient set back from the site boundary and proper trapezoidal channel structure) to minimize the potential surface runoff to DP6 channel from the Country Park.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 5 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	23 May 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:55
	DP6: 15:35
Monitoring Location	DP4T and DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (pH)
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T and DP6: Action level: >8.39
	Limit level: >8.40
Measured Level	DP4T: 10.92 & 10.80
	DP6: 9.65 & 9.60
Possible reason	DP4T: Concreting work was observed being carried out at the sediment trap area, which might be a potential source of pH increase. The concrete at the sediment trap area may not be well settled and washed off on the sampling day due to the rainy weather which might be a potential source leading to the increase of pH of the surface water. The surface water at the sediment trap area was observed to be further pumped to a temporary holding area at Cell 2 and discharged to the DP4T channel. The water was not treated by the Wetsep prior to discharge to the DP4T. Based on the above, the pH exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.
	DP6: According to the site record on 23 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of DP6 channel included filling up at western perimeter bund and stockpile at Cell 1X, erection of formwork and repair of footing at GVL building & leachate treatment plant areas, which were not potential sources of pH increase. During the sampling event, no construction works in the vicinity of DP6 was observed. Besides, weekly site inspection was carried out in the morning of the same day of sampling event to audit the site practices and mitigation measures, where applicable mitigation measures on surface water quality were found implemented. Yet during the sampling event (occurred after the rainfall), it was observed that not all surface runoff discharged to the channel leading to DP6 was treated by the Wetsep due to insufficient capacity of the Wetsep near DP6. The Contractor was reminded to review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6.
	Since there was no potential source leading to pH increase from the Project-related activities and with applicable mitigation measures

	implemented, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP6 was deemed to Project-related activities. The exceedance might be caused by other influencing factors.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level. In addition, the Contractor shall review (i) the drainage system of the whole site to avoid potential direct discharge or overflow of contaminated surface water runoff to DP4T channel, and (ii) the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 28 April 2020

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	23 May 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:55
	DP6: 15:35
Monitoring Location	DP4T and DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T and DP6: Action level: >11.7 mg/L
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4T: 191 mg/L
	DP6: 696 mg/L
Possible reason	DP4T:
	During the weekly site inspection in the morning, muddy water was observed at the sediment trap area which was pumped to a temporary holding area for retention at Cell 2 and further discharged to the DP4T channel. The water was not treated by the Wetsep prior to discharge. This is a potential source of SS to the surface water at DP4T.
	Based on the above, the SS exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.
	DP6: During the sampling event, no construction works in the vicinity of DP6 was observed.
	However, two stockpiles of dusty materials was observed to be placed at the hill side of the DP6 channel and exposed soil was observed next to the DP6 channel (not being covered by impermeable sheet or the runoff in the area will pass through any silt trap). These are the potential sources of SS increase in the surface water.
	Besides, during the sampling event (occurred after the rainfall), it was observed that not all muddy surface runoff discharged to the channel leading to DP6 was treated by the Wetsep due to insufficient capacity of the Wetsep near DP6.
	Based on the above, the SS exceedance at DP6 was deemed to Project-related activities.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.

	In addition, the Contractor shall (i) remove/cover the stockpiles of dusty materials and exposed soil areas near DP6, (ii) review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6, and (iii) review the drainage system of the whole site to avoid potential direct discharge or overflow of muddy surface runoff to DP4T and DP6 channels.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 28 April 2020

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	30 May 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:48
	DP6: 15:19
Monitoring Location	DP4T and DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (pH)
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T and DP6: Action level: >8.39
	Limit level: >8.40
Measured Level	DP4T: 8.84 & 8.90
	DP6: 8.68 & 8.71
Possible reason	DP4T:
	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.
	From the on-site rainfall record of May 2019, consecutive days (25 – 29 May 2019) of rainfall were recorded before the sampling event on 30 May 2019. Heavy rainfall events were recorded on 23 & 28 May 2019 and site staff of the Contractor reported that during these events, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 30 May 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.
	In addition, after checking the site record of 30 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erecting formwork and kicker and ratification to the scaffolding system at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of pH increase.
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to pH increase from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.
	DP6: During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should

	be carried out.
	From the on-site rainfall record of May 2019, consecutive days (25 – 29 May 2019) of rainfall were recorded before the sampling event on 30 May 2019. Heavy rainfall events were recorded on 23 & 28 May 2019 and site staff of the Contractor reported that during these events, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP6 along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 30 May 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP6 was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP6 on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and Clearwater Bay Country Park.
	In addition, after checking the site record of 30 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of the channel leading to DP6 included stockpiling at Cell 1X (which was also observed during the sampling event) and lifting operation and cleaning to fixed steel at leachate treatment plant areas, which are not potential sources of pH increase.
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to pH increase from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP6 was deemed to Project-related activities.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall review (i) review the drainage system of the site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 19 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	30 May 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:48
	DP6: 15:19
Monitoring Location	DP4T and DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T and DP6: Action level: >11.7 mg/L
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4T: 32.2 mg/L
	DP6: 244 mg/L
Possible reason	DP4T: During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.
	From the on-site rainfall record of May 2019, consecutive days (25 – 29 May 2019) of rainfall were recorded before the sampling event on 30 May 2019. Heavy rainfall events were recorded on 23 & 28 May 2019 and site staff of the Contractor reported that during these events, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 30 May 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.
	In addition, after checking the site record of 30 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erecting formwork and kicker and ratification to the scaffolding system at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of SS increase.
	During the weekly site inspection in the morning of the same day of sampling event, muddy water was observed at the sediment trap area which was pumped to a temporary holding area for retention at Cell 2 before further discharged to the DP4T channel.
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to SS increase from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.

DP6:

During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.

From the on-site rainfall record of May 2019, consecutive days (25 – 29 May 2019) of rainfall were recorded before the sampling event on 30 May 2019. Heavy rainfall events were recorded on 23 & 28 May 2019 and site staff of the Contractor reported that during these events, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP6 along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 30 May 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP6 was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP6 on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and Clearwater Bay Country Park.

In addition, after checking the site record of 30 May 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of the channel leading to DP6 included stockpiling at Cell 1X (which was also observed during the sampling event) and lifting operation and cleaning to fixed steel at leachate treatment plant areas, which are not potential sources of SS increase.

However, environmental deficiencies were observed. During the sampling event, a stockpile of dusty materials was observed placing at the hill side of the channel leading to DP6 and exposed soil was observed next to the channel (not being covered by tarpaulin sheet or the muddy runoff in the area did pass through any silt trap). Besides, during the sampling event, it was observed that not all muddy surface runoff discharged to the channel leading to DP6 was treated by the Wetsep due to insufficient capacity of the Wetsep near DP6. The Contractor was reminded to review the channel design and drainage system, remove/cover and minimize the stockpiles and exposed soil, and review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6.

Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP6 was only deemed to Project-related activities.

Action Taken / Action to be Taken

Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.

	In addition, the Contractor shall (i) review the channel design and drainage system, (ii) remove/cover and minimize the stockpiles and exposed soil, (iii) review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6, and (iv) discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no blackflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 19 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	6 June 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:39
Monitoring Location	DP4T
Parameter	Surface Water (Dissolved Oxygen (DO))
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: <5.80 mg/L
	Limit level: <5.42 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4T: 5.19 mg/L & 5.12 mg/L
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out. From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 4 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 4 June 2019 before the sampling event on 6 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 6 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream. In addition, after checking the site record of 6 June 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erecting formwork, concreting works for Culvert X9 and rebar fixing at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of DO decrease. Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to DO decrease from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the DO exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.
A di TE 1 / A di d	
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall review (i) review the drainage system of the site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill

	Bank with CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 26 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	6 June 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:39
	DP6: 15:19
Monitoring Location	DP4T and DP6
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T and DP6: Action level: >11.7 mg/L
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4T: 125 mg/L
	DP6: 473 mg/L
Possible reason	DP4T: During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 4 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 4 June 2019 before the sampling event on 6 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 6 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.
	In addition, after checking the site record of 6 June 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erecting formwork, rebar fixing and concreting works for Culvert X9 at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of SS increase.
	During the weekly site inspection in the morning of the same day of sampling event, the concrete berm along the DP4T channel was observed to be damaged and exposed soil was accumulated along the berm of the DP4T channel, which might be a potential source of SS to the surface water at DP4T.
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP4T was only deemed to Project-related activities.

DP6:

During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.

From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 4 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 4 June 2019 before the sampling event on 6 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP6 along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 6 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP6 was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP6 on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and Clearwater Bay Country Park.

Soil compaction work was observed next to the DP6 channel during the sampling event. In addition, after checking the site record of 6 June 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of the channel leading to DP6 included filling up at Western Perimeter Bund at Cell 1X which is a potential source of SS increase; and lifting operation, erection of formwork and rebar fixing at leachate treatment plant areas, which are not potential sources of SS increase. The water discharged to the DP6 channel was treated by the Wetsep.

However, environmental deficiencies were observed. During the sampling event, a stockpile of dusty materials was observed placing at the hill side of the channel leading to DP6 and exposed soil was observed next to the channel (not being covered by tarpaulin sheet or the muddy runoff in the area did pass through any silt trap). Besides, during the sampling event, it was observed that not all muddy water in the channel was treated by the Wetsep due to insufficient capacity of the Wetsep near DP6. The Contractor was reminded to remove/cover and minimize the stockpiles and exposed soil, and review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6.

Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP6 was only deemed to Project-related activities.

Action Taken / Action to be Taken

Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is

	reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall (i) remove/cover and minimize the stockpiles and exposed soil, (ii) review the treatment capacity and the number of the Wetsep at DP6, and (iii) discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no blackflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 28 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	14 June 2019
Time	DP4T: 15:26
Monitoring Location	DP4T
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: >11.7 mg/L
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4T: 62.2 mg/L
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out. From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall events were recorded on 10, 11 and 13 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 13 June 2019 before the sampling event on 14 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 14 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream. However, environmental deficiencies were observed. During the sampling event, excavation work was observed next to the DP4T channel and exposed soil was observed next to the channel (not being covered by tarpaulin sheet or the muddy runoff in the area didn't pass through any silt trap), which are potential source of SS increases. During the weekly site inspection carried on 13 June 2019 morning before the sampling event, the concrete berm along the DP4T channel was observed to be damaged and exposed soil was accumulated along the berm of the DP4T channel which might be a potential source of SS to the surface water at DP4T. Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP4T was only deemed to Project-related activities.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation

	measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall (i) remove/cover and minimize the stockpiles and exposed soil, and (ii) discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team Date: 28 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension			
Date	20 June 2019			
Time	DP4T: 15:15			
Monitoring Location	DP4T			
Parameter	Surface Water (pH)			
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: <8.39			
	Limit level: <8.40			
Measured Level	DP4T: 9.37 & 9.37			
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.			
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall events were recorded on 10, 11 and 13 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 13 June 2019 before the sampling event on 20 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 14 June to 20 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T during the sampling event was due to the accumulated ponding water with the previous backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.			
	In addition, after checking the site record of 20 June 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erect formwork, rebar fixing and curing and CJ cleaning at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of pH increase.			
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to pH increase from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.			
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.			

	In addition, the Contractor shall review the drainage system of the
	site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with
	CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff
	from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 10 July 2019

Project	South Fact New Tarritories (SENT) Landfill Extension			
Project Date	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension 20 June 2019			
Time	DP4T: 15:15			
Monitoring Location	DP4T			
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))			
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: >11.7 mg/L			
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L			
Measured Level	DP4T: 19.6 mg/L			
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.			
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall events were recorded on 10, 11 and 13 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 13 June 2019 before the sampling event on 20 June 2019. Site staff of the Contractor reported that during the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 14 June to 20 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T during the sampling event was due to the accumulated ponding water with the previous backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.			
	In addition, after checking the site record of 20 June 2019 provided by the Contractor, the works in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T included erect formwork, rebar fixing and curing and CJ cleaning at sediment trap, which are not potential sources of SS increase.			
	During the weekly site inspection in the morning of the same day of sampling event, site water was observed at the sediment trap area which was pumped to a temporary holding area for retention at Cell 2 before further discharged to the DP4T channel.			
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP4T was only deemed to Project-related activities.			
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is			

	reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.
	In addition, the Contractor shall discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 10 July 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension			
Date	27 June 2019			
Time	DP4T: 15:14			
Monitoring Location	DP4T			
Parameter	Surface Water (Dissolved Oxygen (DO))			
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: <5.80 mg/L			
	Limit level: <5.42 mg/L			
Measured Level	DP4T: 5.28 mg/L & 5.45 mg/L			
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.			
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 25 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 25 June 2019 before the sampling event on 27 June 2019. During the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream might well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 27 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.			
	In addition, no works which may lead to potential DO decrease was conducted in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T on and before the sampling day based on on-site observations and construction activities described by the Contractor. During the sampling event, no potential surface water discharge or overflow to the DP4T channel was observed. Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to DO decrease from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the DO			
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level. In addition, the Contractor shall review the drainage system of the			

site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with	
	CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff
	from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 28 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension			
Date	27 June 2019			
Time	DP4T: 15:14			
Monitoring Location	DP4T			
Parameter	Surface Water (pH)			
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: >8.39			
	Limit level: >8.40			
Measured Level	DP4T: 8.75 & 8.75			
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.			
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 25 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 25 June 2019 before the sampling event on 27 June 2019. During the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream might well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 27 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.			
	In addition, no works which may lead to potential pH increase (e.g. concreting works) was conducted in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T on and before the sampling day based on on-site observations and construction activities described by the Contractor. During the sampling event, no potential surface water discharge or overflow to the DP4T channel was observed. Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to pH increase from the Project-related			
Action Taken / Action to	activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the pH exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.			
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.			
	In addition, the Contractor shall review the drainage system of the			

	site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with
	CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff
	from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 28 June 2019

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension			
Date	27 June 2019			
Time	DP4T: 15:14			
Monitoring Location	DP4T			
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))			
Action / Limit Levels	DP4T: Action level: >11.7 mg/L			
	Limit level: >12.7 mg/L			
Measured Level	DP4T: 29.2 mg/L			
Possible reason	During the sampling event, the water level was observed to be above the weir plate for sampling. As there was flow of water from upstream to downstream, it was agreed on-site with IEC and GVL representatives that water monitoring and sampling should be carried out.			
	From the on-site rainfall record of June 2019, heavy rainfall event was recorded on 25 June 2019. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 25 June 2019 before the sampling event on 27 June 2019. During the event, backflow of muddy water from downstream might well passed DP4T along the channel. The site rainfall record showed that there was little rainfall on 27 June 2019. It is therefore a high possibility that the high level of water observed at DP4T was due to backflow water from the TKO Fill Bank. The sample taken at DP4T on the day might not represent the surface water runoff from SENTX and further upstream.			
	In addition, no works which may lead to potential SS increase was conducted in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4T on the sampling day based on on-site observations and construction activities described by the Contractor. During the sampling event, no potential surface water discharge or overflow to the DP4T channel was observed.			
	Due to presence of the influencing factor from the downstream and no potential source leading to SS increase from the Project-related activities, there is no adequate evidence showing that the SS exceedance at DP4T was deemed to Project-related activities.			
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Level.			
	In addition, the Contractor shall review the drainage system of the			

	site and discuss the drainage issues of the TKO Fill Bank with CEDD so that there will be no backflow of surface water runoff from TKO Fill Bank to the SENTX boundary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau

Designation: Environmental Team

By July 2019

Annex G

Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Status of Prosecutions

 Table G1
 Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances

		Total No. recorded in this reporting period	Total No. recorded since project commencement
Air Quality (24-hr TSP)	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	0
Noise	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	0
Surface Water Quality	Action	0	0
	Limit	19	20

Table G2 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
_	Complaints	Notifications of Summons	Prosecutions
This Reporting Period (1 April – 30 June 2019)	0	0	0
Total no. received since project commencement	0	0	0