



South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.47 for November 2022

May 2023

ERM

2509, 25/F One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong T: 2271 3000 F: 3015 8052 www.erm.com



South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Environmental Certification Sheet EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B

Reference Document/Plan

Document/Plan to be Certified/Verified:

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.47 for November 2022 for South East New Territories (SENT)

Landfill Extension

Date of Report:

8 May 2023

Reference EP Condition

EP Condition:

Condition No. 3.4

Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 10 working days after the end of the reporting month. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit Levels). The submissions shall be verified by the IEC. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

ET Certification

I hereby certify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B.

Terence Fong,

Environmental Team Leader:

(ERM Hong-Kong, Limited)

Date:

8 May 2023

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B.

Claudine Lee,

Independent Environmental Checker:

(Meinhardt Infrastructure and

Environment Limited)

Date: 9 May 2023

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for November 2022

Environmental Resources Management

2509, 25/F, One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong

Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 3015 8052 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com

| Client: | | Projec | t No: | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Onone. | | | it ivo. | | |
| Green Valley Landfill Ltd. | | | 0465169 | | |
| Summary | | Date: | Date: | | |
| | | 8 May 2023 | | | |
| | | Appro | ved by: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | (| | | |
| | ument presents the Monthly EM&A Report No.47 for | | | | |
| Extension | er 2022 for South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill n | /eu | DE | | |
| | | Terence Fong | | | |
| | | Partner | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |
| 1 | Monthly EM&A Report No.47 (for November 2022) (ES, S2.1.3, S2.3.2, S2.9, Annex D9, Annex F9 revised) | AL | TF | TF | 8 May 2023 |
| 0 | Monthly EM&A Report No.47 (for November 2022) | AL | FW | FW | 12 Dec 2022 |
| Revision | Description | Ву | Checked | Approved | Date |
| | | Distribution | | | |
| of 'ERM Ho | has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading name ng-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms | ☐ Internal | | | |
| of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. | | □ Public | | | |
| We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. | | | Confide | ntial | |
| This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk. | | bsi. | 9001 Quality Management | ISO 45001 Occupational Health and Safety Management | |

CONTENTS

| | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|------|---|-----|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.2 | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| 1.3 | SCOPE OF THE EM&A REPORT | 2 |
| 1.4 | PROJECT ORGANISATION | 2 |
| 1.5 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS | 3 |
| 1.6 | SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS | 4 |
| 1.7 | STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE | |
| | ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT | 5 |
| 1.8 | STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS | 5 |
| 2 | EM&A RESULTS | 7 |
| 2.1 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 7 |
| 2.2 | Noise Monitoring | 21 |
| 2.3 | Water Quality Monitoring | 22 |
| 2.4 | LANDFILL GAS MONITORING | 31 |
| 2.5 | LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING | 37 |
| 2.6 | EM&A SITE INSPECTION | 37 |
| 2.7 | Waste Management Status | 39 |
| 2.8 | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES | 40 |
| 2.9 | SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMAN | NCE |
| | LIMIT | 40 |
| 2.10 | SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL | |
| | PROSECUTIONS | 40 |
| 3 | FUTURE KEY ISSUES | 41 |
| 3.1 | CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE COMING MONTH | 41 |
| 3.2 | KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH | 41 |
| 3.3 | MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE COMING MONTH | 41 |
| 4 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 42 |

ANNEXES

- ANNEX A WORK PROGRAMME
- ANNEX B ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
- ANNEX C MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THIS REPORTING PERIOD
- ANNEX D AIR QUALITY
- ANNEX D1 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR DUST MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- ANNEX D2 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX D3 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
- ANNEX D4 METEOROLOGICAL DATA
- ANNEX D5 CERTIFICATES OF THE QUALIFIED ODOUR PANELIST
- ANNEX D6 ODOUR MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX D7 THERMAL OXIDIZER, LANDFILL GAS FLARE AND LANDFILL GAS

GENERATOR STACK EMISSION MONITORING RESULTS

- ANNEX D8 AMBIENT VOCS, AMMONIA AND H2S MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX D9 INVESTIGATION REPORTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY LIMIT EXCEEDANCE
- ANNEX E NOISE
- ANNEX E1 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- ANNEX E2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX E3 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR NOISE MONITORING
- ANNEX F WATER QUALITY
- ANNEX F1 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- ANNEX F2 SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX F3 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR WATER QUALITY MONITORING
- ANNEX F4 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR EFFLUENT QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- ANNEX F5 LEACHATE LEVELS MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX F6 EFFLUENT QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX F7 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR GROUNDWATER MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- ANNEX F8 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX F9 INVESTIGATION REPORTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY LIMIT EXCEEDANCE
- ANNEX G LANDFILL GAS
- ANNEX G1 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR SERVICE VOIDS, UTILITIES AND MANHOLES ALONG THE SITE BOUNDARY AND WITHIN THE SENTX SITE
- ANNEX G2 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR LANDFILL GAS MONITORING EOUIPMENT
- ANNEX G3 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING RESULTS
- ANNEX G4 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

ANNEX H CUMULATIVE STATISTICS ON EXCEEDANCES, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND STATUS OF PROSECUTIONS

ANNEX I MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) is commissioned to undertake the role of Environmental Team (ET) for the construction, operation/restoration and aftercare of SENTX Project ("the Project") in accordance with the requirements specified in the Environmental Permit (EP), updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual, the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of the Project taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements. The construction (not including works related to site clearance and preparation) and operation of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019 and 21 November 2021, respectively.

This Monthly EM&A report presents the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 to 30 November 2022 for the Project in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

One exceedance of Limit Level for landfill gas flare stack emission (SO_2) was recorded for air quality monitoring in the reporting period. The landfill gas flare stack emission (SO_2) exceedance on 17 November 2022 was considered Project-related upon further investigation.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for operation/ restoration phase noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Three exceedances of the Limit Levels for Leachate Level were recorded for water quality impact monitoring in the reporting period. The leachate level exceedances at Pump Station No. 3X on 3 November 2022 and Pump Station No. 4X from 3 November 2022 to 4 November 2022 were deemed to Project-related activities upon further investigation.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Landfill Gas

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for operation/ restoration phase landfill gas monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Environmental Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

Reporting Change

There was no reporting change in the reporting period.

Future Key Issues

Potential environmental impacts arising from the upcoming construction/ operational activities in the next reporting period of December 2022 are mainly associated with dust emission from the exposed area and loading and unloading operation of dusty materials.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. The *Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report* and the associated *Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual* for the construction, operation, restoration and aftercare of the SENTX (hereafter referred to as "the Project") have been approved under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO)* in May 2008 (Register No.: AEIAR-117/2008) (hereafter referred to as the approved EIA Report) and an Environmental Permit (EP-308/2008) (EP) was granted by the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 5 August 2008.

Since then, applications for Variation of an Environmental Permit (No. VEP-531/2017) were submitted to EPD and the Variation of Environmental Permits (EP-308/2008/A and EP-308/2008/B) were granted on 6 January 2012 and 20 January 2017, respectively, as the Hong Kong SAR Government has decided to reduce the scale of the design scheme of SENTX assessed in the approved EIA Report and SENTX will only receive construction waste. In May 2018, a Further Environmental Permit (FEP) (FEP-01/308/2008/B) was granted to the SENTX's contractor, Green Valley Landfill, Limited (GVL).


ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) and Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited (Meinhardt) are commissioned to undertake the roles of Environmental Team (ET) and the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), respectively, to undertake the EM&A activities for the Project in accordance with the requirements specified in the EP, updated EM&A Manual (1), approved EIA Report (2) taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The SENTX is a piggyback landfill, occupying the southern part of the existing SENT Landfill (including its infrastructure area) and 13 ha of Tseung Kwan O (TKO) Area 137. A layout plan of the SENTX is shown in *Figure 1.1*. Under the latest design, the SENTX has a net void capacity of about 6.5 Mm³ and provides an additional lifespan of about 6 years, commencing operation upon exhaustion of the SENT Landfill. The SENTX will receive construction waste only.

⁽¹⁾ ERM (2018). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension: Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual

⁽²⁾ ERM (2007). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension - Feasibility Study: Environmental Impact Assessment Report



The key implementation milestones of the Project are indicatively summarised in *Table 1.1*. The construction works and operation of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019 and 21 November 2021, respectively.

Table 1.1 Estimated Key Dates of Implementation Programme

| Key Stage of the Project | Indicative Date |
|--|------------------|
| Start construction | 2 January 2019 |
| Commissioning of new infrastructure facilities | 2020 |
| Demolition of existing infrastructure facilities | 2021 |
| Start waste intake at SENTX | 21 November 2021 |
| Estimated exhaustion date of SENTX | 2027 |
| End of aftercare for SENTX | 2057 |

The major construction works of the SENTX includes:

- Site formation at the TKO Area 137 and the existing infrastructure area at SENT Landfill;
- Construction of surface and groundwater drainage systems;
- Construction of the leachate containment and collection systems;
- Construction of new leachate and landfill gas treatment facilities, site offices, maintenance yards at the new infrastructure area;
- Construction of new pipelines to transfer the leachate and landfill gas
 collected from the existing SENT Landfill to the treatment facilities at the
 new infrastructure area;
- Construction of the site access and new waste reception facilities; and
- Demolition of the facilities at the existing SENT Landfill infrastructure area.


1.3 Scope of the EM&A Report

This is the Monthly EM&A Report for the Project which summarises the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 to 30 November 2022 for the construction and operation works.

1.4 PROJECT ORGANISATION

The organisation structure of the Project is presented in *Figure 1.2*.

Figure 1.2 Organisation Chart



Contact details of the key personnel are summarised in *Table 1.2* below.

Table 1.2 Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Party | Position | Name | Telephone |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Contractor | Project Manager | Carl Lai | 2706 8829 |
| (Green Valley Landfill Limited) | | | |
| Environmental Team (ET) (ERM-Hong | ET Leader | Frank Wan | 2271 3152 |
| Kong, Limited) | | | |
| Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | IEC | Claudine Lee | 2859 5409 |
| (Meinhardt Infrastructure and | | | |
| Environment Limited) | | | |

1.5 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS

The programme of the construction is shown in *Annex A*. As informed by the Contractor, the major works carried out in this reporting period include:

- Rectification of defects at Landfill Gas (LFG) Plant, Leachate Treatment Plant (LTP), infrastructure area and waste reception area;
- Construction of Cell 4X and SENT tie in area;
- Maintenance and improvement of temporary surface water drainage;
- Rectification of utilities installation along Western bund of Cell 4X;
- Deployment of 1350g/m² non-woven geotextile cushion layer at Cell 4X Buttress Wall 1st bench; and
- Deployment of 540g/cm² non-woven geotextile, geocomposite, GCL,
 60mil HDPE double-textured geomembrane, 80mil HDPE double-

textured geomembrane at Cell 4X (tie-in with SENT landfill) at North side.

The implementation schedule of the mitigation measured recommended in the Updated EM&A Manual is presented in *Annex B*.

1.6 SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

The status for all environmental aspects are presented in *Table 1.3*. The EM&A requirements remained unchanged during the reporting period.

Table 1.3 Summary of Status for the Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

| Parameters | Status |
|--|---|
| Air Quality | |
| Baseline Monitoring | The results of baseline air quality monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3 |
| Impact Monitoring | On-going On-going |
| Noise | |
| Baseline Monitoring | The results of baseline noise monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3 |
| Impact Monitoring | On-going |
| Water Quality | |
| Baseline Monitoring | The results of baseline surface water quality monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3 |
| Impact Monitoring | On-going On-going |
| Landfill Gas | |
| Impact Monitoring | On-going On-going |
| Waste Management | |
| Waste Monitoring | On-going On-going |
| Landscape and Visual | |
| Baseline Monitoring | The results of baseline landscape and visual monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3 |
| Operation Phase Audit | On-going On-going |
| Site Environmental Audit | |
| Regular Site Inspection | On-going On-going |
| Complaint Hotline and Email Channel | On-going |
| Environmental Log Book | On-going |

Taking into account the operation works, impact monitoring of air quality, noise, water quality, landfill gas and waste management were carried out in the reporting period. The impact monitoring schedule of air quality, noise, water quality and landfill gas monitoring are provided in *Annex C*.

The EM&A programme also involved environmental site inspections and related auditing conducted by the ET for checking the implementation of the

required environmental mitigation measures recommended in the approved EIA Report and relevant EP submissions. To promote the environmental awareness and enhance the environmental performance of the contractors, environmental trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted during the reporting period, which are summarised as below:

- One environmental management meeting was held with the Contractor, ER, ET, IEC and EPD on 17 November 2022; and
- Environmental toolbox trainings on VOC and Smog, and QPME were provided on 9 November 2022 and 23 November 2022, respectively by the Contractor to the workers.

1.7 STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT

The status of statutory environmental compliance with the EP conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of mitigation measures are presented in *Table 1.4*.

Table 1.4 Status of Submissions required under the EP and Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures

| EP | Submission / Implementation Status | Status |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Condition | | |
| 2.3 | Management Organisation of Main | Submitted and accepted by EPD. |
| | Construction Companies | |
| 2.4 | Setting up of Community Liaison Group | Community Liaison Group was set up |
| 2.5 | Submission of Detailed Landfill Gas | Submitted and accepted by EPD on 10 |
| | Hazard Assessment Report | January 2019. |
| 2.6 | Submission of Restoration and Ecological | Submitted to EPD on 28 June 2019. |
| | Enhancement Plan | |
| 2.7 | Setting up of Trial Nursery | Trial Nursery works was commenced |
| | | on 28 August 2019. |
| 2.8 | Advance Screen Planting | Advance Screen Planting works were |
| | | completed on 28 June 2019. |
| 2.9 | Provision of Multi-layer Composite Liner | Under implementation. |
| | System | - |

1.8 STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The environmental licenses and permits (including EP, *Water Pollution Control Ordinance* (WPCO) discharge license, registration as a chemical waste producer, and construction noise permit) that are valid in the reporting period are presented in *Table 1.5*. No non-compliance with environmental statutory requirements was identified.

Table 1.5 Status of Statutory Environmental Requirements

| Description | Ref No. | Status |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Environmental Permit | EP-308/2008 | Granted on 5 August 2008 |
| Variation of Environmental Permit | EP-308/2008/A | Granted on 6 January 2012 |
| | EP-308/2008/B | Granted on 20 January 2017 |
| Further Environmental Permit | FEP-01/308/2008/B | Granted on 16 May 2018 |
| Water Discharge License under | Licence No.: WT00041447- | Validity from 17 June 2022 |
| WPCO (Permit Holder: GVL) | 2022 | to 30 June 2024 |
| Billing Account for Disposal of | Chit Account Number: | Approved on 28 December |
| Construction Waste | 5001692 | 2005 |
| Registration as a Chemical Waste | 5296-839-G2228-01 | Issued on 31 December 2015 |
| Producer (Permit Holder: GVL) | | |
| Construction Noise Permit (Permit | GW-RE0956-22 | Validity from 23 September |
| Holder: GVL) | | 2022 to 14 March 2023 |

2 EM&A RESULTS

The EM&A programme for the Project required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise, water quality and landfill gas as well as environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, landfill gas, waste management, and landscape and visual impacts. The EM&A requirements and related findings for each component are summarised in the following sections.

2.1 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1.1 Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact dust monitoring (in term of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was carried out at the four designated locations along the site boundary (i.e. AM1, AM2, AM3 and AM4) during the operation/restoration phase, at a 6-day interval.

The Action and Limit Levels of the dust monitoring is provided in *Table 2.1* below.

Table 2.1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| Monitoring Station | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| AM1 - SENTX Site Boundary (North) | | |
| AM2 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3) | 2(0 3 | 260 3 |
| AM3 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15) | 260 μg m- ³ | 260 μg m- ³ |
| AM4 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building) | | |

High volume air samplers (HVSs) in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 3.2.2 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to measure 24-hour TSP levels at the dust monitoring stations. The HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals to check the validity and accuracy of the results.

The equipment used in the impact dust monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.2* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex D1*.




Table 2.2 Dust Monitoring Details

| Monitoring Station | Location | Parameter | Frequency and Duration | Monitoring Dates | Equipment |
|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| AM1 | SENTX Site Boundary (North) | 24-hour TSP | Once every 6 days | 2, 8, 14, 20, 26 | Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 1190) |
| AM2 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3) | | | November 2022 | Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 1047) |
| AM3 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15) | | | | Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 1258) |
| AM4 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building) | | | | Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 1101) |

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for dust monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP are summarised in *Table 2.3*. The detailed monitoring results and the graphical presentation of the 24-hour TSP results at each monitoring location are provided in *Annex D2*.

Table 2.3 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Monitoring Station | Average 24-hr TSP Concentration (μg m ⁻³) (Range in bracket) | Action Level (μg/m³) | Limit Level (μg/m³) |
|--|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| AM1 - SENTX Site Boundary (North) | 111 (45 - 228) | 260 | 260 |
| AM2 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3) | 59 (33 - 95) | 260 | 260 |
| AM3 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15) | 83 (45 - 126) | 260 | 260 |
| AM4 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building) | 55 (37 - 67) | 260 | 260 |

The major dust sources in the reporting period included fugitive dust emission from exposed area in SENTX, as well as nearby operations of the SENTX and the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank.

All the 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Levels at the monitoring locations in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D*3.

Meteorological Data

Meteorological data obtained from the SENTX on-site meteorological monitoring station was used for the dust monitoring and is shown in *Annex D4*. It is considered that meteorological data obtained at the on-site meteorological monitoring station is representative of the Project area and could be used for the operation/restoration phase dust monitoring programme for the Project.

2.1.2 Odour Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, odour patrol was carried out along the site boundary during the operation/ restoration phase.

During the first month of operation, daily odour patrol (3 times per day) was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC. The odour intensity detected was based on that determined by the IEC. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC three times per week. During these patrols, the odour intensity detected was based on that determined by the independent third party.

Reduction of odour monitoring frequency from Period 1 (daily, three times per day) to Period 2 (weekly) was approved by EPD on 4 February 2022. Weekly odour patrol was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC from 10 February 2022. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC once every two weeks.

Reduction of odour monitoring frequency from Period 2 (weekly) to Period 3 (monthly) was approved by EPD on 2 June 2022. Monthly odour patrol was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC from 28 June 2022. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC quarterly.

The Action and Limit Levels for odour patrol is provided in *Table 2.4* below.

Table 2.4 Action and Limit Levels for Odour Patrol

| Parameter | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--|---|--|
| Perceived odour intensity and odour complaints | Odour intensity ≥ Class 2 recorded; or One documented complaint received | Odour intensity ≥ Class 3 recorded on 2 consecutive patrol ^(a) ^(b) |

Notes:

- (a) i.e. either Class 3-strong or Class 4-extreme odour intensity.
- (b) The exceedances of the odour intensity do not need to be recorded at the same location.

Odour patrol was conducted by trained personnel/ competent persons with a specific sensitivity to a reference odour (i.e. on reference materials n-butanol with the concentration of 50ppm in nitrogen (v/v)) in compliance with Section 3.7.2 of the updated EM&A Manual patrolling and sniffing along the SENTX Site boundary to detect any odour.

The odour monitoring programme and patrol route are summarised in *Table 2.5* and illustrated in *Figure 2.2* respectively. Copies of the certificates of the qualified odour panelist are presented in *Annex D5*.

Table 2.5 Odour Monitoring Details


| Patrol | Parameters | Patrol Frequency (a) | Monitoring Dates |
|--------------------|----------------|--|-------------------|
| Locations | | - , | - |
| Patrol along | Odour | Period 1 - First month of operation | Conducted by ET & |
| the SENTX | Intensity (see | Daily, three times a day in the morning, | IEC: |
| Site Boundary | Table 2.6) | afternoon and evening/night (between | 24 November 2022 |
| (Checkpoints | | 18:00 and 22:00 hrs) conducted by the | |
| OP1 - OP17) | | ET and the IEC | Conducted by an |
| | | | independent third |
| | | Three times per week on different days | party, ET & IEC: |
| | | conducted by an independent third | - |
| | | party together with the ET and IEC (b) | |
| | | Devie d 2. There are not be full entire. | |
| | | Period 2 - Three months following | |
| | | period 1 (c) | |
| | | Weekly conducted by the ET and the | |
| | | IEC | |
| | | | |
| | | Once every two weeks conducted by an | |
| | | independent third party together with | |
| | | the ET and IEC (b) | |
| | | | |
| | | Period 3 - Throughout operation | |
| | | following period 2 (c) | |
| | | Monthly conducted by the ET and the | |
| | | IEC | |
| | | | |
| | | Quarterly conducted by an independent | |
| | | third party together with the ET and | |
| - | | IEC (b) | |

Notes:

- (a) Reduction of monitoring frequency will be subject to the monitoring results to demonstrate environmentally acceptable performance.
- (b) Patrol shall be scheduled so that they are carried out together with the patrols to be carried out jointly by the ET and the IEC.
- (c) Commencement of each period will be justified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC and will be subject to agreement with the EPD (EIAO Authority) and Project Proponent.

Table 2.6 Odour Intensity Level

| Class | Odour Intensity | Description |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| 0 | Not Detected | No odour perceived or an odour so weak that it cannot be easily characterised or described. |
| 1 | Slight | Identified odour, slight |



| Class | Odour Intensity | Description |
|-------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | Moderate | Identified odour, moderate |
| 3 | Strong | Identified odour, strong |
| 4 | Extreme | Severe odour |

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for odour patrol during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The odour monitoring results are summarised and provided in *Table 2.7* and *Annex D6*, respectively.

Table 2.7 Summary of Odour Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Odour Checkpoints | Odour Intensity Class (Range) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| OP1 | 0 | Odour intensity ≥ | Odour intensity ≥ |
| OP2 | 0 | Class 2 recorded | Class 3 recorded |
| OP3 | 0 | | on 2 consecutive patrol |
| OP4 | 0 | | pation |
| OP5 | 0 | | |
| OP6 | 0 | | |
| OP7 | 0 | | |
| OP8 | 1 | | |
| OP9 | 0 | | |
| OP10 | 0 | | |
| OP11 | 0 | | |
| OP12 | 0 | | |
| OP13 | 0 | | |
| OP14 | 0 | | |
| OP15 | 0 | | |
| OP16 | 0 | | |
| OP17 | 0 | | |

The potential odour source in the reporting period included waste from tipping area. All the odour monitoring results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D*3.

2.1.3 Thermal Oxidiser, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, the performance of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator was

monitored when they are in operation. Gas samples were collected from the stack of the thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator for laboratory analysis for NO₂, CO, SO₂, Benzene and Vinyl chloride and in-situ analysis for exhaust gas velocity at monthly interval and for laboratory analysis for non-methane organic compounds and ammonia (for thermal oxidizer only) at quarterly interval. The operating conditions of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator were also monitored continuously.

The Limit Levels for stack emission of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator are provided in *Tables 2.8 – 2.10* below.

Table 2.8 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Thermal Oxidiser

| Parameters | Limit Level |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| NO ₂ | 1.58 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | 0.53 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO_2 | 0.07 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | $3.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Vinyl chloride | $2.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Gas combustion temperature | 850°C (minimum) |
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | 443K (minimum) (a) |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 7.5 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a) |
| Note: | |
| (a) Level under full load condition. | |

Table 2.9 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Landfill Gas Flare

| Parameters | Limit Level |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| NO ₂ | 0.97 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | 2.43 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO ₂ | 0.22 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | $4.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Vinyl Chloride | $2.60 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Gas combustion temperature | 815°C (minimum) |
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | 923 K (minimum) (a) |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 9.0 m s ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a) |
| Note: | |
| (a) Level under full load condition. | |

Table 2.10 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Landfill Gas Generator

| Parameters | Limit Level |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NO ₂ | 1.91 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | 2.48 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO ₂ | 0.528 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | $2.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Vinyl chloride | $1.88 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Gas combustion temperature | 450°C (minimum) |

| Parameters | Limit Level |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | 723K (minimum) (a) |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 30.0 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) (a) |
| Note: | |
| (a) Level under full load condition. | |

Gas samples were collected from the centroid of the stack with stainless steel sampling probe, into inert sample containers (i.e. Canister and Tedlar Bag) and transferred to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) laboratory within 24 hours of collection for direct analysis on a gas chromatography within 48 hours after collection. The flue gas velocity of the gas stream at the exhaust of thermal oxidize was determined by S-Pitot tube during the emission sampling.

The stack emission monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.11* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively.

Table 2.11 Thermal Oxidiser, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Details

| Parameter | Frequency | Monitoring Date |
|---|---|--|
| Laboratory analysis for NO ₂ CO SO ₂ Benzene | Monthly for the first 12 months of operation and thereafter at quarterly intervals | 17 November 2022 |
| Vinyl chlorideIn-situ analysis forExhaust gas velocity | | |
| Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds | Quarterly for the 1st year of operation (b) | 17 November 2022 |
| Laboratory analysis for • Ammonia | Quarterly | 17 November 2022 |
| Gas combustion temperature Exhaust temperature | Continuously | 1 – 30 November 2022 |
| • Exhaust gas velocity (a) | | |
| Laboratory analysis for NO ₂ CO SO ₂ Benzene Vinyl chloride In-situ analysis for | Monthly for the first 12 months of operation and thereafter at quarterly intervals | 17 November 2022 |
| | Laboratory analysis for NO2 CO SO2 Benzene Vinyl chloride In-situ analysis for Exhaust gas velocity Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds Laboratory analysis for Ammonia Gas combustion temperature Exhaust temperature Exhaust gas velocity (a) Laboratory analysis for NO2 CO SO2 Benzene Vinyl chloride | Laboratory analysis for NO2 CO Benzene Vinyl chloride In-situ analysis for Exhaust gas velocity Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds Laboratory analysis for Ammonia Gas combustion temperature Exhaust gas velocity Laboratory analysis for NO2 Benzene Vinyl chloride In-situ analysis for Monthly for the first 12 months of operation and thereafter at quarterly intervals |

| Monitoring Location | Parameter | Frequency | Monitoring Date |
|----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|
| | Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds | Quarterly for the 1st year of operation (b) | 17 November 2022 |
| | Gas combustion temperature | Continuously | 1 – 30 November 2022 |
| | • Exhaust temperature | | |
| | Exhaust gas velocity (a) | | |
| Stack of Landfill Gas | Laboratory analysis for | Monthly for the first 12 | |
| Generator | • NO ₂ | months of operation and thereafter at | 2022 |
| | • CO | quarterly intervals | |
| | • SO ₂ | | |
| | • Benzene | | |
| | Vinyl chloride | | |
| | In-situ analysis for | | |
| | Exhaust gas velocity | | |
| | Laboratory analysis for | Quarterly for the 1st | 18 November |
| | Non-methane organic compounds | year of operation (b) | 2022 |
| | Exhaust temperature | Continuously | 1 – 30 November |
| | Exhaust gas velocity (a) | | 2022 |

Notes

- (a) The exhaust gas velocity is calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and continuous monitored gas flow and combustion temperature data.
- (b) The monitoring results are being reviewed to determine if monitoring of this parameter can be terminated upon agreement by the EIAO Authority, IEC and Project Proponent.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission monitoring results and detailed continuous monitoring results are summarised in *Tables 2.12 - 2.14* and provided in *Annex D7*, respectively.

Table 2.12 Summary of Thermal Oxidiser Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

| Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket) | Limit Level |
|--|--|
| $0.28~{ m gs}^{-1}$ | 1.58 gs ⁻¹ |
| <0.01 gs ⁻¹ | 0.53 gs ⁻¹ |
| $0.07~{ m gs}^{-1}$ | 0.07 gs ⁻¹ |
| <1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ | $3.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| <1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ | $2.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| <0.002 gs ⁻¹ | - |
| 0.0423 gs ⁻¹ | - (c) |
| | Bracket) 0.28 gs ⁻¹ <0.01 gs ⁻¹ 0.07 gs ⁻¹ <1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ <1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ <0.002 gs ⁻¹ |

| Parameters | Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket) | Limit Level |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Gas combustion temperature | 946°C (906°C - 977°C) | 850°C (minimum) |
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | 1,224K (1,125K - 1,270K) | 443K (minimum) (a) |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 6.8 ms ^{-1 (b)} | 7.5 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a) |

Notes:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring. The limit level was not applicable as the stack was not operated under full load condition.
- (c) The emission limit for ammonia is under review and will be supplemented in subsequent revision.

Table 2.13 Summary of Landfill Gas Flare Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket) | Limit Level |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| NO ₂ | <0.02 gs ⁻¹ | 0.97 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | <0.01 gs ⁻¹ | 2.43 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO ₂ | 1.27 gs ⁻¹ | 0.22 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | <1.22 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ | $4.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Vinyl chloride | <9.8 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹ | $2.60 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Non-Methane Organic Carbon | <0.002 gs ⁻¹ | - |
| Gas combustion temperature | Flare 1: 856°C (820°C - 890°C) | 815°C (minimum) |
| | Flare 2: 854°C (820°C - 890°C) | |
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | Flare 1: 1,064K (1,030K - 1,093K) | 923 K (minimum) (a) |
| | Flare 2: 1,077K (1,033K - 1,123K) | |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 8.9 ms ^{-1 (b)} | 9.0 m s ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a) |

Note:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring. The limit level was not applicable as the stack was not operated under full load condition.

Table 2.14 Summary of Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket) | Limit Level |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| NO ₂ | 0.01 gs ⁻¹ | 1.91 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | 0.429 gs ⁻¹ | 2.48 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO ₂ | <0.001 gs ⁻¹ | 0.528 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | <1.6 x 10-5 gs-1 | 2.47 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ |
| Vinyl chloride | $< 1.28 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ | $1.88 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ |
| Non-Methane Organic Carbons | $<3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ | - |
| Exhaust gas exit temperature | ENGA: 904K (890K - 955K) | 723K (minimum) (a) |
| | ENGB: 898K (880K - 917K) | |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 11.6 ms ^{-1 (b)} | 30.0 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) (a) |

| Parameters | Monitoring Results (Range in | Limit Level |
|------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | Bracket) | |

Note:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring. The limit level was not applicable as the stack was not operated under full load condition.

Limit Level exceedance was recorded for landfill gas flare stack emission (SO₂) in the reporting period and actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3* were undertaken. Investigation of the Action and Limit Levels exceedances was conducted and the investigation report is presented in *Annex D9*. Based on the investigation conducted for the monitoring event with potential Limit Levels exceedance with the Contractor and the IEC, the landfill gas flare stack emission (SO₂) exceedance on 17 November 2022 was considered Project-related.

The Contractor was reminded to implement all relevant mitigation measures for the construction and operation works and maintain good site practice. The ET will keep track on the monitoring data and ensure Contractor's compliance of the environmental requirements.

2.1.4 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring was carried out at the four designated locations along the site boundary (i.e. AM1, AM2, AM3 and AM4) during the operation/restoration phase, at quarterly interval.

The Limit Levels for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring is provided in *Table 2.15* below.

Table 2.15 Limit Levels for Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring

| Parameters | Limit Level (μg m ⁻³) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Methane | NA (a) |
| Ammonia | 180 |
| H ₂ S | 42 |
| Dichlorodifluoro-methane | NA (a) |
| Vinyl Chloride | 26 |
| Methanol | 2,660 |
| Ethanol | 19,200 |
| Dimethylsulphide | 8 |
| Carbon Disulphide | 150 |
| Methylene Chloride | 3,530 |
| Chloroform | 99 |
| Methyl propionate | 353 |

| Parameters | Limit Level (μg m ⁻³) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Butan-2-ol | 667 |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 5,550 |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 210 |
| Benzene | 33 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 64 |
| Dipropyl ether | NA (a) |
| Heptane | 2,746 |
| Trichloroethylene | 5,500 |
| Ethyl propionate | 29 |
| Methyl butanoate | 30 |
| Methanethiol | 10 |
| Toluene | 1,244 |
| Ethyl butanoate | 71 |
| Propyl benzene | 19 |
| Octane | 7,942 |
| Propyl propionate | 276 |
| 1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | 39 |
| Butyl acetate | 7,240 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 1,380 |
| Ethyl benzene | 738 |
| Nonane | 11,540 |
| Ethanethiol | 13 |
| Decanes | 3,608 |
| Limonene | 212 |
| Butyl benzene | 47 |
| Undecane | 5,562 |
| Butanethiol | 4 |
| Terpenes | NA (a) |
| Xylenes | 534 |
| Dichlorobenzene | 120 |

Notes:

(a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria, odour thresholds and WEL available.

VOCs

Ambient air samples were drawn into the pre-cleaned and vacuum canister directly when the valve of the flow controller (with preset flow rate) was opened. After sampling, the valve will be closed manually and the canister with VOCs gas samples were transported for laboratory analysis.

Methane

Pre-cleaned Tedlar bag was placed in the vacuum chamber. Ambient air was collected in the Tedlar bag under the vacuum condition when the pump is switched on. The Tedlar bag was filled up to 90% of total capacity to avoid leakage and bag deformation. After sampling, pump is switched off and the

| valve of Tedlar bag was closed manually. The air samples were transported back to laboratory for analysis. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Ammonia

Calibrated personal air pump was used to pump the air through a sulfuric acid-treated silica gel sorbent tube. Gaseous ammonia in air was then trapped in the sorbent tube. The tube was transported back to laboratory for analysis.

H_2S

H₂S in air is collected in mid-get impingers by aspirating a measured volume of air through an alkaline suspension of cadmium hydroxide (as the absorbing solution). The sulphide is precipitated as cadmium sulphide to prevent air oxidation of the sulphide. Arabinogalactan is added to the cadmium hydroxide slurry prior to sampling to minimize photodecomposition of the precipitated cadmium sulphide. The solution is transported back to laboratory for analysis.

All air samples collected for laboratory analysis were transported to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) laboratory within 24 hours and analysed within 48 hours.

The ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.16* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively.

Parameter

Frequency

Monitoring

Table 2.16 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Details

Monitoring Location

| Station | | | 1 , | Date |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------|
| AM1 | SENTX Site Boundary (North |) • Methane | Quarterly | 17 |
| AM2 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3) | AmmoniaA suite of | | November 2022 |
| AM3 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15) | VOCs (a) • H ₂ S | | |
| AM4 | SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building) | | | |
| Notes: | | | | |
| (a) A | suite of VOCs includes: | | | |
| • | Trichloroethylene • | Butyl benzene | | orobenzene |
| • | Vinyl chloride • | Xylenes | Methy | l butanoate |
| • | Methylene chloride • | Decanes | Dipro | pyl ether |
| • | Chloroform • | Undecane | Metha | nethiol |
| • | 1,2-dichloroethane • | Limonene | • Ethan | ethiol |
| • | 1,1,1-trichloroethane • | Terpenes | • Butan | ethiol |
| • | Carbon tetrachloride • | Ethanol | Metha | anol |
| • | Tetrachloroethylene • | Butan-2-ol | Hepta | ines |
| • | 1,2-dibromoethane • | Dimethylsulphide | Octan | es |
| • | Benzene • | Methyl propionate | • Nonai | nes |
| • | Toluene • | Ethyl propionate | • Dichlo | orodifluoro- |
| • | Carbon disulphide • | Propyl propionate | metha | ine |
| • | Propyl benzene • | Butyl acetate | Metha | nne |
| • | Ethyl benzene • | Ethyl butanoate | | |

The schedule for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The ambient VOCs, ammonia and H_2S monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.17* and provided in *Annex D8*.

Table 2.17 Summary of Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | Limit Level | Limit Level Monitoring Results (μg m ⁻³) | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | (µg m ⁻³) | AM1 | AM2 | AM3 | AM4 | |
| Ammonia | 180 | 0.043 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 | |
| H ₂ S | 42 | <15 | <15 | <15 | <15 | |
| Methane | NA (a) | 0.0003 %(v/v) | 0.0003 %(v/v) | 0.00024 %(v/v) | 0.00017 %(v/v) | |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 5,550 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | |
| 1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | 39 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 210 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | |
| Benzene | 33 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | |
| Butan-2-ol | 667 | <0.6 | < 0.6 | <0.6 | < 0.6 | |
| Butanethiol | 4 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | |
| Carbon Disulphide | 150 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 64 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | |
| Chloroform | 99 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | |
| Decanes | 3,608 | < 0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | < 0.7 | |
| Dichlorobenzene | 120 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Dichlorodifluoro-methane | NA (a) | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | |
| Dimethylsulphide | 8 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | < 0.2 | |
| Dipropyl ether | NA (a) | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Limonene | 212 | < 0.4 | <0.4 | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | |
| Ethanethiol | 13 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | |
| Ethanol | 19,200 | <3.8 | <3.8 | <3.8 | 4.1 | |
| Ethyl butanoate | 71 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Ethyl propionate | 29 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | |
| Ethyl benzene | 738 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | |
| Heptane | 2,746 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Methanethiol | 10 | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | |
| Methanol | 2,660 | 15.8 | <2.6 | <2.6 | 18.3 | |
| Methyl butanoate | 30 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Methyl propionate | 353 | < 0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | < 0.7 | |
| Methylene Chloride | 3,530 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.6 | |
| Butyl acetate | 76 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Butyl benzene | 47 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Nonane | 11,540 | <0.9 | <0.9 | <0.9 | <0.9 | |
| Propyl benzene | 19 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Octane | 7,942 | <0.9 | <0.9 | < 0.9 | <0.9 | |
| Propyl propionate | 276 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Terpenes | NA (a) | 0.9 | < 0.8 | 1.2 | < 0.8 | |

| Parameters | Limit Level | Monitorin | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|------|------|
| | (μg m ⁻³) | AM1 | AM2 | AM3 | AM4 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 1,380 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 |
| Toluene | 1,244 | 2.6 | 3 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| Trichloroethylene | 5,500 | <1.1 | <1.1 | <1.1 | <1.1 |
| Undecane | 5,562 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 26 | <0.3 | < 0.3 | <0.3 | <0.3 |
| Xylenes | 534 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 0.6 |

Notes:

All ambient VOCs, ammonia and H_2S monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3*.

2.2 Noise Monitoring

2.2.1 Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly at the monitoring location (i.e. NM1) to obtain one set of 30-minute measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays.

The Action and Limit Levels for operational noise of the Project are provided in *Table 2.18* below.

Table 2.18 Action and Limit Levels for Operational Noise

| Time Period | Action Level (a) | Limit Level (b) |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 07:00 – 19:00 hrs on all days | When one documented complaint is received from any one of the noise | 65 dB(A) at NSRs (c) |
| 19:00 – 23:00 hrs on all days | sensitive receivers (NSRs) or | 65 dB(A) at NSRs (c) |
| 23:00 – 07:00 hrs on all days | 75 dB(A) recorded at the monitoring station | 55 dB(A) at NSRs (c) |

Notes:

- (a) 75dB(A) along and at about 100m from the SENTX site boundary was set as the Action Level.
- (b) Limits specified in the GW-TM and IND-TM for construction and operational noise, respectively.
- (c) Limit Level only apply to operational noise without road traffic and construction activities noise.

Noise monitoring was performed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) using sound level meter at the designated monitoring station NM1 (see *Figure 2.1*) in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meter at a known sound pressure level. Details of the deployed equipment are provided in *Table 2.19*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex E1*.

⁽a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria and WEL available.

Table 2.19 Noise Monitoring Details

| Monitoring Station (1) | Location | Parameter | Frequency and Duration | Monitoring Dates | Equipment |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| NM1 | SENTX Site Boundary (North) | L _{eq (30 min)} measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays (Monday to Saturday) | Once per week for 30 mins during operation of the Project | 3, 9, 15, 21, 28 November 2022 | Sound Level Meter: Rion NL-52 (S/N: 00809405) Acoustic Calibrator: B&K 4231 (S&N: 2713428) |

2.2.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for noise monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.2.3 Results and Observations

A total of 5 impact noise monitoring events were scheduled during the reporting period. Results for noise monitoring are summarised in *Table 2.20*. The monitoring results and the graphical presentation of the data are provided in *Annex E2*.

Table 2.20 Summary of Operation Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Monitoring Station | Measured Noise Level Leq (30 min), dB(A) | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------|----|--|--|
| | Average Range Action and Limit Lev | | | | |
| NM1 | 50.8 | 48.1 - 52.7 | 75 | | |

Major noise sources identified during the noise monitoring included noise from operations of the SENTX and the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank, aircrafts and insects.

No Action and Limit Levels exceedance was recorded for operation noise monitoring in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex E3*.

2.3 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.3.1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact surface water quality monitoring was carried out at the three designated surface water

discharge points (i.e. DP3, DP4 and DP6) at monthly intervals during operation/ restoration phase to ensure that the SENTX will not cause adverse water quality impact. Suspension of impact surface water quality monitoring at DP3 was approved under the Baseline Monitoring Report by EPD on 24 July 2019 until the actual commencement of construction works affecting DP3 in 2022.

The parameters as listed in *Table 2.22* were determined by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

The Limit Levels of the surface water quality impact monitoring are provided in *Table 2.21*.

Table 2.21 Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

| Parameters | Limit Level | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|
| DP4 & DP6 | | |
| Ammoniacal-nitrogen | >7.1 mg/L | |
| COD | > 30 mg/L | |
| SS | > 20 mg/L | |

Notes:

The limit levels specified for other parameters in *Table 10a of the Technical Memorandum Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters* shall also be followed.

The locations of the monitoring stations for the Project are shown in *Figure 2.1*. All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the surface water quality monitoring programme. Calibration for a DO meter was carried out before measurement according to the instruction manual of the equipment model. Details of the equipment used in the impact surface water quality monitoring works are provided in *Table 2.22*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F1*.

Table 2.22 Impact Surface Water Quality Monitoring Details

| Monitoring Station | Location | Frequency | Monitoring Dates | Parameter | | Equipment |
|-----------------------|---|-----------|------------------------|--|---|--|
| DP4 | Surface water discharge point DP4 | Monthly | 21 November 2022 | pHElectrical conductivity (EC)DOSS | PotassiumCalcium | YSI Professional DSS (S/N: 17B102764) |
| DP6 | Surface water discharge point DP6 | | | SS COD BOD₅ TOC Ammoniacal -nitrogen Nitrate-nitrogen Nitrite-nitrogen TKN TN Phosphate Sulphate Sulphide Carbonate Oil & Grease | Magnesium Nickel Manganese Chromium Cadmium Copper Lead Iron Zinc Mercury Boron | |

Notes:

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for surface water quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

One regular monitoring event for impact surface water quality monitoring was scheduled at all designated monitoring stations during the reporting period. However, sampling could not be carried out on 21 November 2022 due to insufficient flow. Details of impact water quality monitoring event are provided in *Annex F2*.

No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3*.

2.3.2 Leachate Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual, continuous monitoring of leachate level and daily monitoring of effluent quality were carried out during the operation/ restoration phase.

⁽a) Impact surface water quality monitoring at DP3 was suspended from the monitoring event on 25 July 2019 until the actual commencement of construction works affecting DP3 in 2022.

Reduction of effluent monitoring frequency (dry season) (from daily to monthly) was approved by EPD on 22 March 2022. Monthly effluent quality monitoring (dry season) shall be conducted from 23 March 2022. The reduction of effluent monitoring frequency (wet season) (from daily to monthly) was approved by EPD on 2 August 2022. Monthly effluent quality monitoring (wet season) shall be conducted from 3 August 2022.

Temperature, pH and volume of the effluent discharged from the leachate treatment plant were measured in-situ whereas the parameters as listed in *Table 2.23* were determined by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

The Limit Levels of the leachate monitoring are provided in *Table 2.23*.

Table 2.23 Limit Levels for Leachate Levels and Effluent Quality

| Parameters | Limit Level | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Leachate Levels | | | |
| Leachate levels above the basal liner | 1 m above the primary liner of the leachate containment system | | |
| Effluent Quality | | | |
| Temperature | > 43 °C | | |
| pH Value | 6 – 10 | | |
| Volume Discharged | >2,000 m ³ | | |
| Suspended Solids (SS) | > 800 mg/L | | |
| Phosphate | > 25 mg/L | | |
| Sulphate | > 800 mg/L | | |
| Total Inorganic Nitrogen (a) | > 100 mg/L | | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | > 800 mg/L | | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | > 2,000 mg/L | | |
| Oil & Grease | > 20 mg/L | | |
| Boron | > 7,000 μg/L | | |
| Iron | > 5 mg/L | | |
| Cadmium | > 1 µg/L | | |
| Chromium | > 300 μg/L | | |
| Copper | > 1,000 μg/L | | |
| Nickel | > 700 μg/L | | |
| Zinc | > 700 μg/L | | |

Note:

(a) Total Inorganic Nitrogen include Ammoniacal-nitrogen, Nitrite-nitrogen and Nitrate-nitrogen.

All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the leachate quality monitoring programme. Details of the equipment used are provided in *Table 2.24*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F4*.

Table 2.24 Leachate Levels and Effluent Quality Monitoring Details

| Location | Frequency | Parameter | Monitoring Dates | Equipment |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Leachate levels above the basal liner | Continuous | Leachate Levels | 1 – 30 November 2022 | Pairs of pressure transducers |
| Effluent discharged from LTP | Daily for the first 3 months upon full operation of the LTP at wet season (Apr to Sep) and dry season (Oct to Mar), respectively and reduce to monthly thereafter subject to the monitoring results of the first 3 months for each season and agreement with the EIAO Authority, IEC and IC. (a) | On-site Measurements: Volume pH Temperature Laboratory analysis: Suspended Solids COD BOD5 TOC Ammoniacal- nitrogen Nitrate-nitrogen Nitrite-nitrogen Total Nitrogen Sulphate Phosphate Oil & Grease Alkalinity Chloride Calcium Potassium Magnesium Iron Zinc Copper Chromium Nickel Cadmium Boron | 8 November 2022 | TOA HM- 30P (S/N: 790332) |

Note:

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for leachate monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The leachate levels and effluent quality monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.25* and *Table 2.26*, respectively. The detailed monitoring results are provided in *Annex F5* and *Annex F6*, respectively.

⁽a) Reduction of monitoring frequency will be subject to the monitoring results to demonstrate environmentally acceptable performance.

Table 2.25 Summary of Leachate Levels in the Reporting Period

| Monitoring Location | Average Leachate Head Levels (cm) (Range | Limit Level (cm) |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Wolfform's Location | in Bracket) | Limit Level (citi) |
| Pump Station No. 1X (Cell | 1X) | |
| Meter No. X-1 | 70 (62 – 77) | > 178 |
| Meter No. X-2 | 80 (68 - 88) | |
| Average | 75 (69 – 83) | |
| Pump Station No. 2X (Cell | 2X) | |
| Meter No. X-3 | 77 (62 – 88) | > 180 |
| Meter No. X-4 | 75 (62 – 90) | |
| Average | 76 (63 – 88) | |
| Pump Station No. 3X (Cell | 3X) | |
| Meter No. X-5 | 74 (50 – 182) | > 175 |
| Meter No. X-6 | 74 (50 – 182) | |
| Average | 74 (50 – 182) | |
| Pump Station No. 4X (Cell | 4X) | |
| Meter No. X-7 | 68 (48 – 232) | > 186 |
| Meter No. X-8 | 72 (52 – 237) | |
| Average | 70 (50 – 235) | |

Table 2.26 Summary of Effluent Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | | Monitoring Results | Limit Level | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Effluent Discharged from LTP | | | | | |
| Temperature | °C | 30.6 | > 43 °C | | |
| pH Value | pH unit | 8.1 | 6 – 10 | | |
| Volume Discharged | m^3 | 1,374 | >2,000 m ³ | | |
| Suspended Solids (SS) | mg/L | 25.8 | > 800 mg/L | | |
| Phosphate | mg/L | 2.94 | > 25 mg/L | | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 179 | > 800 mg/L | | |
| Total Inorganic Nitrogen (a) | mg/L | 33.72 | > 100 mg/L | | |
| BOD | mg/L | 9 | > 800 mg/L | | |
| COD | mg/L | 676 | > 2,000 mg/L | | |
| Oil & Grease | mg/L | <5 | > 20 mg/L | | |
| Boron | μg/L | 3880 | > 7,000 μg/L | | |
| Iron | mg/L | 1.20 | > 5 mg/L | | |
| Cadmium | μg/L | <1.0 | > 1 μg/L | | |
| Chromium | μg/L | 88 | > 300 µg/L | | |
| Copper | μg/L | <10 | > 1,000 μg/L | | |
| Nickel | μg/L | 92 | > 700 µg/L | | |
| Zinc | μg/L | 66 | > 700 μg/L | | |

Note:

Limit Levels exceedances were recorded for leachate level monitoring in the reporting period and actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan

⁽a) Total Inorganic Nitrogen include Ammoniacal-nitrogen, Nitrite-nitrogen and Nitrate-nitrogen.

presented in *Annex F3* were undertaken. Investigation of the Action and Limit Levels exceedances was conducted and the investigation report is presented in *Annex F9*. Based on the investigation conducted for the monitoring event with potential Limit Levels exceedance with the Contractor and the IEC, the leachate level exceedances at Pump Station No. 3X on 3 November 2022 and Pump Station No. 4X from 3 November 2022 to 4 November 2022 were deemed to Project-related activities.

All effluent quality monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3*.

The Contractor was reminded to implement all relevant mitigation measures for the construction and operation works and maintain good site practice. The ET will keep track on the monitoring data and ensure Contractor's compliance of the environmental requirements.

2.3.3 Groundwater Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project with incorporation of the proposed updates under the Amendment Summary approved by EPD on 15 June 2020, groundwater monitoring was carried out at 14 perimeter groundwater monitoring wells (including 3 up-gradient wells and 11 downgradient wells) (i.e. MWX-1 to MWX-14) to monitor the groundwater quality and level of the perimeter groundwater monitoring wells at monthly interval.

The Limit Levels for groundwater quality is provided in *Table 2.27* below.

Table 2.27 Limit Levels for Groundwater Quality

| Location | Limit Levels | | |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | Ammoniacal-nitrogen (mg L-1) | COD (mg L-1) | |
| MWX-1 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-2 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-3 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-4 | 7.63 | 36 | |
| MWX-5 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-6 | 5.00 | 46 | |
| MWX-7 | 6.55 | 36 | |
| MWX-8 | 15.85 | 50 | |
| MWX-9 | 7.30 | 71 | |
| MWX-10 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-11 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-12 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-13 | 5.00 | 30 | |
| MWX-14 | 5.00 | 30 | |

A bladder pump with Teflon sampling tube and adjustable discharge rates was used for purging and taking of groundwater sample from the monitoring wells. Filtered groundwater samples were collected by connecting a disposable in-line filter system to the tubing of the sampling pump, prior to storage and analysis by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

A portable dip meter with 5mm accuracy was used for measurement of groundwater level at each well. The dip meter has an audio indicator of the water level and was checked before use.

The measurements of pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were undertaken *in situ*. *In situ* monitoring instruments in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 4.3.2 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to undertake the groundwater quality monitoring for the Project.

Details of the equipment used and the monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.28* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F7*.

Table 2.28 Groundwater Monitoring Details

| Monitoring Location | Frequency | Parai | neter | Monitoring Dates | Equipment |
|--|-----------|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| All groundwater monitoring wells (MWX-1 to MWX-14) | Monthly | Water level pH EC COD BOD5 TOC Ammoniacal -nitrogen Nitrate- nitrogen Nitrite- nitrogen TKN TN Sulphate Sulphide Carbonate Bicarbonate Phosphate | Chloride Sodium Potassium Calcium Magnesium Nickel Manganese Chromium Cadmium Copper Lead Iron Zinc Mercury Boron | 7, 8 November 2022 | YSI Professional DSS (S/N: 15H103928) |

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for groundwater quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in $Annex\ C$.

Results and Observations

The groundwater quality monitoring results and detailed monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.29* and provided in *Annex F8*, respectively.

Table 2.29 Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Location | Ammoniacal-nitrogen (mg L-1) | | COD (mg L-1) | |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Monitoring Results | Limit Levels | Monitoring Results | Limit Levels |
| MWX-1 | 1.40 | 5.00 | 10 | 30 |
| MWX-2 | 0.35 | 5.00 | 7 | 30 |
| MWX-3 | 0.61 | 5.00 | 14 | 30 |
| MWX-4 | 2.98 | 7.63 | 22 | 36 |
| MWX-5 | 1.94 | 5.00 | 27 | 30 |
| MWX-6 | 3.33 | 5.00 | 39 | 46 |
| MWX-7 | 5.73 | 6.55 | 18 | 36 |
| MWX-8 | 5.82 | 15.85 | 34 | 50 |
| MWX-9 | 0.02 | 7.30 | 24 | 71 |
| MWX-10 | < 0.01 | 5.00 | 9 | 30 |
| MWX-11 | 0.02 | 5.00 | 8 | 30 |
| MWX-12 | 0.02 | 5.00 | 7 | 30 |
| MWX-13 | < 0.01 | 5.00 | 6 | 30 |
| MWX-14 | 0.03 | 5.00 | 7 | 30 |

All the groundwater monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3*.

2.4 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

2.4.1 Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, landfill gas monitoring was carried out at the perimeter of the waste boundary (monitoring wells), area between the SENTX Site boundary and the waste boundary (surface emission), occupied on-site building, service voids, utilities pit and manholes in the vicinity of the SENTX (build-up of landfill gas) during the operation/restoration phase.

The Limit Levels for landfill gas monitoring is provided in *Table 2.30* below.

Table 2.30 Limit Levels for Landfill Gas Constituents

| Parameters | Monitoring Location | Limit Level (% | ∕₀ (v/v)) | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Perimeter Landfill Gas Monitoring Wells (a) | | | | | | |
| Methane & Carbon Dioxide | | Methane | Carbon Dioxide | | | |
| | LFG1 | 1.0 | 3.2 | | | |
| | LFG2 | 1.0 | 4.3 | | | |
| | LFG3 | 1.0 | 6.3 | | | |
| | LFG4 | 1.0 | 7.0 | | | |
| | LFG5 | 1.0 | 3.4 | | | |
| | LFG6 | 1.0 | 9.1 | | | |
| | LFG7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | | | |
| | LFG8 | 12.6 | 2.4 | | | |
| | LFG9 | 2.5 | 1.7 | | | |
| | LFG10 | 3.5 | 1.6 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Parameters | Monitoring Location | Limit Level (% | (v/v)) |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| | LFG11 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| | LFG12 | 13.2 | 1.5 |
| | LFG13 | 22.5 | 2.7 |
| | LFG14 | 5.2 | 1.8 |
| | LFG15 | 18.2 | 2.0 |
| | LFG16 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| | LFG17 | 17.8 | 2.4 |
| | LFG18 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| | LFG19 | 6.3 | 3.1 |
| | LFG20 | 1.0 | 4.6 |
| | LFG21 | 1.0 | 4.8 |
| | LFG22 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| | LFG23 | 1.0 | 10.3 |
| | LFG24 | 1.0 | 4.7 |
| | GP1 | 1.0 | 10.6 |
| | GP2 (shallow) | 1.0 | 11.4 |
| | GP2 (deep) | 1.0 | 10.4 |
| | GP3 (shallow) | 1.0 | 6.9 |
| | GP3 (deep) | 1.0 | 5.6 |
| | GP4 (shallow) | 1.0 | 11.6 |
| | GP4 (deep) | 1.0 | 7.7 |
| | GP5 (shallow) | 1.0 | 10.8 |
| | GP5 (deep) | 1.0 | 7.5 |
| | GP6 | 1.0 | 8.4 |
| | GP7 | 1.0 | 4.5 |
| | GP12 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| | GP15 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| | P7 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| | P8 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| | P9 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| Service Voids, Utilities Pits a | and Manholes | | |
| Methane (or flammable gas) | Service voids, utilities pits and manholes | 1% by volume | |
| Permanent Gas Monitoring S | System | | |
| Methane (or flammable gas) | Permanent Gas Monitoring System | 1% by volume | (20% LEL) |
| Area Between the SENTX Sit | te Boundary and Waste E | Soundary (Surfac | e Emission) |
| Flammable gas | Area between SENTX site boundary and waste boundary | 30 ppm | |

Notes:

(a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.

Gas analysers in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 5.4.1 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to monitor the gas parameters at the landfill gas monitoring wells, service voids, utilities pits and manholes. The gas analyser was calibrated by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS at

yearly intervals and checked before use to ensure the validity and accuracy of the results. A portable dip meter was used to monitor the water level in the monitoring wells.

Permanent gas monitoring systems with pre-set alarm levels for methane at 20% lower explosive limit (LEL, equivalent to 1% methane gas (v/v)) were installed and operated in all occupied on-site buildings at SENTX. A central control panel is equipped to alert site personnel when the gas concentration at any detector reaches the alarm level.


Flammable gas detector in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 5.4.1 of the updated EM&A Manual was used to measure flammable gas concentration. Flammable gas surface emission survey was conducted at a slow pace with the inlet tube of the meter probe a few centimeters above ground surface to detect flammable gas emitted from the ground surface.


Bulk gas samples were collected into inert sample containers (i.e. Tedlar Bag) and transferred to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) laboratory within 24 hours of collection for direct analysis on a gas chromatography within 48 hours after collection.

The equipment used in the landfill gas monitoring programme is summarised in *Table 2.31*. The landfill gas monitoring locations for perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells and service voids, utilities and manholes along the Site boundary and surface emission between the SENTX site and waste boundary are illustrated in *Figure 2.3*, *Figure 2.4* and *Annex G1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex G2*.

Table 2.31 Landfill Gas Monitoring Details

| Monitoring Location | Frequency | Parameter | Monitoring Dates | Equipment |
|---|------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells (LFG1 to LFG24, P7 to P9, GP1 to GP7, GP12 and GP15) | Monthly | MethaneCarbon dioxideOxygenAtmospheric pressure | 1 November 2022 | GA5000 (S/N: G507306) |
| Service voids, utilities and manholes along the Site boundary and within the SENTX Site (UU1 to UU28) | Monthly | Methane Carbon dioxide Oxygen | 4 November 2022 | GA5000 (S/N: G507306) |
| Permanent gas monitoring system in all occupied on-site buildings | Continuous | Methane (or flammable gas) by permanent gas monitoring system | 1 - 30 November 2022 | Permanent gas monitoring system |
| Areas between the SENTX Site boundary and the waste boundary and location of vegetation stress | Quarterly | Flammable gas emitted from the ground surface | 18 November 2022 | GMI Leak Surveyor (S/N: 554846) |





| Bulk gas sampling at least 2 of the perimeters LFG monitoring wells | Quarterly | • | Methane Carbon dioxide Oxygen Nitrogen | 1 November 2022 | Gas sampling pump and Tedlar bags |
|---|-----------|---|--|--------------------|---|
| | | • | Carbon monoxide | | |
| | | • | Other flammable | | |
| | | | gas | | |

2.4.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for landfill gas monitoring during the reporting period is provided in $Annex\ C$.

2.4.3 Results and Observations

The landfill gas monitoring results are summarised and provided in *Tables* 2.32 - 2.35 and *Annex G3*, respectively.

Table 2.32 Summary of Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Perimeter LFG Monitoring Wells in the Reporting Period

| Location | Methane (% (v | /v)) | Carbon Dioxid | e (% (v/v)) |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Monitoring | Limit Levels (a) | Monitoring | Limit Levels (a) |
| | Results | | Results | |
| LFG1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| LFG2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 |
| LFG3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 6.3 |
| LFG4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 7.0 |
| LFG5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.4 |
| LFG6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 9.1 |
| LFG7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| LFG8 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 2.4 |
| LFG9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1.7 |
| LFG10 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| LFG11 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 |
| LFG12 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| LFG13 | 10.6 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| LFG14 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 |
| LFG15 | 0.1 | 18.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| LFG16 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| LFG17 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 0.1 | 2.4 |
| LFG18 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| LFG19 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| LFG20 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.6 |
| LFG21 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| LFG22 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 4.0 |
| LFG23 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 10.3 |
| LFG24 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| GP1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 10.6 |
| GP2 (shallow) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 11.4 |
| GP2 (deep) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 10.4 |
| GP3 (shallow) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 6.9 |
| GP3 (deep) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 5.6 |
| GP4 (shallow) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 11.6 |
| GP4 (deep) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 7.7 |
| GP5 (shallow) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 10.8 |
| GP5 (deep) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| GP6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 8.4 |
| GP7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| GP12 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.3 |
| GP15 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 |
| P7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| P8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| P9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.7 |

Notes

(a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.

Table 2.33 Summary of Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Service Voids, Utilities Pits and Manholes in the Reporting Period

| Location | Methane (% (v/v)) | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Monitoring Results | Limit Levels | | | | | |
| UU01 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU02 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU03 | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU04 | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU05 | Unmeasurable due to overflow of water | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU06 | 0.2 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU07 | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU08 | 0.2 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU09 | Inaccessible due to on-going construction work | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU10 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU11 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU12 | Voided due to latest site programme and on-going operation work | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU13 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU14 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU15 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU16 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU17 | Voided due to latest site programme and on-going operation work | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU18 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU19 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU20 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU21 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU22 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU23 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU24 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU25 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU26 | Inaccessible due to on-going construction work | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU27 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| UU28 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |

Table 2.34 Summary of Landfill Gas Bulk Gas Sampling Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | Limit Level | LFG1 | Limit Level | LFG8 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| | (LFG1) (a) | | (LFG8) (a) | |
| Methane (% (v/v)) | 1.0 | < 0.0200 | 12.6 | < 0.020 |
| Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v)) | 3.2 | 0.419 | 2.4 | 0.138 |
| Oxygen (% (v/v)) | - | 15.6 | - | 17.2 |
| Nitrogen (% (v/v)) | - | 80.6 | - | 79.2 |
| Carbon Monoxide ($\%$ (v/v)) | - | < 0.020 | - | < 0.020 |
| Hydrogen (% (v/v)) | - | < 0.020 | - | < 0.020 |
| Ethane (ppmv) | - | <1.0 | - | <1.0 |
| Propane (ppmv) | - | <1.0 | - | <1.0 |
| Butane (ppmv) | - | <1.0 | - | <1.0 |

Notes:

(a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.

Table 2.35 Summary of Flammable Gas Surface Emission Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| GPS Coordinates | | Monitoring Results (ppm) | Limit Level (ppm) |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) | , | <u> </u> |
| 22°16′26″ | 114°16′35″ | 25 | 30 |
| 22°16′26″ | 114°16′34″ | 11 | |

The alarm of the permanent gas monitoring systems with pre-set levels for methane at 20% lower explosive limit (LEL, equivalent to 1% methane gas (v/v)) was not triggered at all occupied on-site buildings at SENTX in November 2022.

All the landfill gas monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex G4*.

2.5 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING

2.5.1 Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, the monthly landscape and visual audit was conducted on 17 November 2022 to monitor the implementation of the landscape and visual mitigation measures during operation/ restoration phase.

All relevant environmental mitigation measures listed in the approved EIA Report and the updated EM&A Manual and their implementation status are summarised in *Annex B*.

2.5.2 Results and Observations

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures as stated in the approved EIA Report and the EM&A Manual.

Regarding the landscape and visual audit, the Contractor was reminded to maintain the advance screen planting works regularly to ensure effective screening of views of project works from the High Junk Peak Trail.

2.6 EM&A SITE INSPECTION

Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis with the Contractor, IEC and ER to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Project. In the reporting period, 4 site inspections were carried out on 3, 10, 17 and 24 November 2022.

Key observations during the site inspections are summarised in *Table 2.36*.

Table 2.36 Key Observations Identified during the Site Inspection in this Reporting Month

| Inspection Date | Environmental Observations and Recommendations |
|------------------|---|
| 3 November 2022 | The Contractor shall remove the stagnant water and general refuse accumulated at DP3 sedimentation pit and X10a channel near sump house 2 to ensure they are functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall divert the SENTX surface water runoff affecting DP3 catchment area to the drop inlet X9 for discharge via sediment trap and DP4 in accordance with the Proposal on the Extension of Temporary Suspension of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at DP3. The Contractor shall review the operation and treatment capacity of the Wetseps near DP4 and DP6 to ensure all surface water is treated before discharge. The Contractor shall remove the general refuse accumulated at Paul Y area regularly to minimise odour and pest issues. |
| 10 November 2022 | The Contractor shall remove the general refuse, deposited silt and grit accumulated at X9 drop inlet and general refuse at X10a channel near sump house no. 2 to ensure they are functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall divert the SENTX surface water runoff affecting DP3 catchment area to the drop inlet X9 for discharge via sediment trap and DP4 in accordance with the Proposal on the Extension of Temporary Suspension of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at DP3. The Contractor shall remove the stagnant water accumulated in the drip tray at Wetseps near DP4 and handle the clean-up materials as chemical waste. |
| 17 November 2022 | The Contractor shall remove the deposited silt and grit accumulated at X10a channel regularly to ensure it is functioning properly at all times. |
| 24 November 2022 | The Contractor shall remove the deposited silt and grit accumulated at X10a channel and stagnant water accumulated at DP3 sedimentation pit to ensure they are functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall divert the SENTX surface water runoff affecting DP3 catchment area to the drop inlet X9 for discharge via sediment trap and DP4 in accordance with the Proposal on the Extension of Temporary Suspension of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at DP3. |

The Contractor has rectified most observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period. Key environmental deficiencies identified and the corresponding rectification actions are presented in *Table 2.37*.

Table 2.37 Summary of Environmental Deficiencies Identified and Corresponding Rectification Actions

| Deficiencies | Rectifications Implemented | Proposed Additional Control Measures |
|---|---|---|
| Surface Water | | |
| Intercepting channels & drainage system | Reviewed drainage plan. | Addition of channels. Expedite the construction of permanent sediment trap and discharge culverts. |
| DP channels (design & regular silt removal) | Carried out regular maintenance and cleaning of channels. DP4 channel: Area near the channel was paved with concrete and a bund was built. DP6 channel: Gravel piles on the channel were covered with concrete which serve as blocks for running water and to divide the channel into several sections. A pump was placed in the water zone in the upstream section to pump water to the Wetsep for treatment prior to the discharge to the last section before the weir plate. DP6: Pipes through the gravel piles between different channel sections were covered with geotextiles to block debris and silt. | N.A. |
| Stockpiles & exposed soil | Installed silt fencing near surface water channel along DP6 channel. | Improve soil covering. Compaction and cover for stockpiles and soil slopes. |
| Wetsep (treatment capacity & number) | Reviewed Wetsep capacity. Chemicals dosage of the Wetsep was increased to enhance the efficiency. | • Install additional Wetsep. |
| Backflow / ponding during heavy rainfall | Raised with EPD (LDG) and CEDD. | N.A. |

2.7 WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS

The Contractor has registered as chemical waste producer under the Contract. Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.

As informed by the Contractor, waste generated during this reporting period include mainly Non-inert construction waste, Yard waste and Chemical waste. Reference has been made to the waste flow table prepared by the Contractor.

The quantities of different types of wastes and imported fill materials are summarised in *Table 2.38*.

Table 2.38 Quantities of Different Waste Generated and Imported Fill Materials

| Month/ Year | Inert C&D Material s (a) (in | Imported Fill (in '000kg) | | Inert Construction Waste Re-used (in '000m³) | Non-inert Construction Waste (c) (in '000m³) | Recyclable Materials (d) (in '000kg) | Yard Wa '000kg) | Chemical Wastes (in '000kg) | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|---|---|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | '000m³) | Rock | Soil | | | | Y Park | SENT | |
| 1 - 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.90 | 252.39 | 0.800 |
| Nov 22 | | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

- (a) Inert construction wastes include hard rock and large broken concrete, and materials disposed as public fill. Density assumption: 1.6 (kg/L) for public fill.
- (b) Imported fill refers to materials generated from other project for on-site reuse.
- (c) Non-inert construction wastes include general refuse disposed at landfill. Density assumption: 0.9 (kg/L) for general refuse.
- (d) Recyclable materials include metals, paper, cardboard, plastics and others.

2.8 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

A summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule is presented in *Annex B*. The necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly for the Project.

2.9 SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMIT

The operation/ restoration phase noise and landfill gas monitoring results complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. One exceedance of the Limit Level for landfill gas flare stack emission and three exceedances of the Limit Level for leachate level monitoring were recorded in the reporting period. The landfill gas flare stack emission (SO₂) exceedance on 17 November 2022 and leachate level exceedances at Pump Station No. 3X on 3 November 2022 and Pump Station No. 4X from 3 November 2022 to 4 November 2022 were considered Project-related.

Cumulative statistics on exceedances is provided in *Annex H*.

2.10 SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons, successful prosecutions are summarised in *Annex H*.

3 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

3.1 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE COMING MONTH

As informed by the Contractor, the major works for the Project in December 2022 will be:

- Defects rectification for waste reception area, including weighbridge, vehicle washing facilities, wheel wash bay and guard house;
- Defects rectification for infrastructure buildings;
- Remaining civil work for Diesel Fuel Tank such as concrete staircase, handrails, pedestrian pavement in the proximity and canopy installation; and
- Landscaping work.

3.2 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above upcoming construction activities in the next reporting period of December 2022 are mainly associated with dust emission from the exposed area and loading and unloading operation of dusty materials.

3.3 MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE COMING MONTH

The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in December 2022 are provided in *Annex I*.

4 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This EM&A Report presents the findings of the EM&A activities undertaken during the period from 1 to 30 November 2022 in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual and the requirements of the Environmental Permit (*EP*-308/2008/B).

Air quality (24-hour TSP, odour, thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare, landfill gas generator stack emission, and ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S), noise, water quality (surface water, leachate and groundwater) and landfill gas monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. Results for air quality (odour, thermal oxidiser, landfill gas generator stack emission, and ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S), noise, water quality (surface water and groundwater) and landfill gas monitoring complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. One exceedance of the Limit Level for landfill gas flare stack emission and three exceedances of the Limit Level for leachate level monitoring were recorded in the reporting period.


Environmental site inspections were carried out during the reporting period. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site inspections.

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

The ET will keep track on the construction and operation/restoration works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Annex A

Work Programme



| 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | SA2.6.00 SA2.6.00 6.03.2 6.03.2 | 6.03 Civ 6.03.2 La | ID . | Activity Name | | _ | | Total Predecessor Details | Successor Details |
|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--|-----|------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | SA2.6.0 3 6.03.2 6.03.2 | 6.03.2 La | <i>ı</i> il Engir | | Dur | Sta | | Float | Successor Details |
| 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.2 | .2 | andfill C | ineering Works Cell 2 | | | 19 13-Apr-23 19 23-Jan-21 | | |
| 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578 | 6.03.2 | | 63-1000 | Earth bund (Eastern) | | | | 9 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-4200: FS, 53-1400: FS 53-2800: FS | 53-3500: FS, 63-1500: FS, 63-1800: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-2200: FS, M12. 1: FS -50, M12. |
| 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578 | 6.03.2 | | | | | | | 55 25551.5 | 2: FS, 63-1100: FS |
| 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | 3.2 | 63-1100 | Earth bund (Western) | 110 | 20-Feb-7 | .0 08-Jun-20 | 84 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS | |
| 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | 5.2 | 63-1200 |) Intercell bund (Cell 2/3) | 90 | 09-Jun- | 20 06-Sep-20 | 63-1000: FS 734 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS | 63-3600: FS, 63-1200: FS 63-1500: FS |
| 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 566 | 6.03.2 | | | , | | | | 53-4400: FS, 63-1100: FS | |
| 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | 5.2 | 63-1300 |) Site Formation | /5 | 02-Nov-1 | 3 15-Jan-20 | 14 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS | 63-1400: FS, 63-4200: FS |
| 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 | | | | Pump Station (PS#2X) | | | | 84 63-1300: FS, 63-1100: FS | 63-1600: FS, 63-1700: FS |
| 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.2 | 5.2 | 63-1500 | D Lining Works | 90 | 01-Oct-20 | * 29-Dec-20 | 710 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1100: FS, 63-1200: FS | 63-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS, 63-2400: FS |
| 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe | 25 | 30-Dec-2 | .0 23-Jan-21 | 810 63-1500: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS | 32-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551 | | | | O Install Leachate Force Main | | | | 84 63-1100: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS | 54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551 | | | | Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund | | | | 168 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS | 54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551 | SA2.6.0 3 | | | Cell 3 Carth bund (Eastern) | | | 20 02-Feb-22 20 08-Jun-20 | 9 11-1100: FS, 53-4200: FS, 63-1000: FS, 53-4300: FS | |
| 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | . , | | | | 53-2800: FS, 63-4200: FS | FS -50, M12. 2: FS, 63-2000: FS -45, 63-2200: FS |
| 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2000 | Earth bund (Western) | 110 | 25-Apr-′ | 20 12-Aug-20 | 19 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS -45 | 63-2300: FS, 63-2400: FS, 63-2600: FS, 63-3700: FS, |
| 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 550 551 | 0.00.0 | | 00.0400 | | 405 | | 20 44 0 4 00 | 700 44 4400 50 00 4000 50 00 4000 50 00 0000 50 | 63-2100: FS -45 |
| 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2100 | Intercell bund (Cell 3/4) | 105 | 29-Jun-2 |) 11-Oct-20 | 789 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-4200: FS, 63-2000: FS | -45 63-2400: FS |
| 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2200 | Site Formation | 75 | 09-Jun-? | .0 22-Aug-20 | 9 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS | 63-2300: FS |
| 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2300 | Pump Station (PS#3X) | | | | 9 63-2200: FS, 63-2000: FS | 63-2500: FS, 63-2600: FS |
| 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2400 | D Lining Works | 100 | 01-Oct-2* | * 08-Jan-22 | 435 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-1500: FS | 63-2500: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2500 | Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe | 25 | 09-Jan- | .2 02-Feb-22 | 435 63-2400: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS | 32-1700: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.3 | 3.3 | 63-2600 | Install Leachate Force Main | 75 | 07-Oct-: | .0 20-Dec-20 | 9 63-2000: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS | 53-2500: SS -90, 54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund | 35 | 09-Jun-2 | .0 13-Jul-20 | 58 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS | 54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS |
| 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | SA2.6.03 | | | Cell 4 Remaining Portion of Buttress Wall | | | 21 13-Apr-23 | 30 494 62-1000: FS | |
| 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | D Earth bund (Western) incl. MSE Wall | | | | 239 62-1000: FS | 63-3000: FS, 63-3100: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3400: FS, |
| 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 0.00.1 | | 00 2000 | Editi build (Noticin) inci. inci. | 120 | 0, 000 2 | o roun zz | 52 1000.10 | 63-3800: FS, 63-3900: FS, 63-4100: SS -90, M 9. 6: FS -60, M 9. 7: FS -30, M 9. 8: FS |
| 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | | | | | | W 9. 7. FG -50, W 9. 0. FG |
| 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.4 | 3.4 | 63-3000 |) Site Formation | 120 | 05-Jan <i>-2</i> | 2 04-May-22 | 239 62-1000: FS, 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-4100: FS | 63-3100: FS |
| 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.4 | 5.4 | 63-3100 | Pump Station (PS#4X) | 45 | 05-May- | <u>√</u> 2 18-Jun-22 | 239 63-3000: FS, 63-2900: FS | 63-3300: FS, 63-3400: FS |
| 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Lining Works | 135 | 01-Oct-2 | 2* 12-Feb-23 | 0 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS | 63-3300: FS, M12. 6: FS |
| 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.4 | 3.4 | 63-3300 | Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe | 60 | 13-Feb-/ | .3 13-Apr-23 | 0 41-1500: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3100: FS | 12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS |
| 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 6.03.4 | 3.4 | 63-3400 | Install Leachate Force Main & Remove Temporary Leachate Pipe | 30 | 19-Jun-∕ | .2 18-Jul-22 | 269 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-3100: FS | 12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS |
| 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | e - Surface Run-Off | | | 20 03-Feb-22 | | (0.000 =0 |
| 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Perimeter Channel (X9A) at Cell 2 Western Bund | | | | 1054 63-1100: FS | 12-1900: FS |
| 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 2 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund | | | | 1029 63-1100: FS 964 63-2000: FS | 63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS |
| 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 4 Western Bund | | | | 464 63-2900: FS | 63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS |
| 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Perimeter Channel (X10C) at Cell 4 Western Bund | | | | 469 63-2900: FS | 63-4000: FS |
| 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Connection to Existing DP3 | | | | 464 63-3900: FS, 63-3600: FS, 63-3700: FS, 63-3800: FS | |
| 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | 0.00.5 | | 00.4400 | | 00 | 00.1 | 24 00 1 104 | 440, 00,0000, 00,00 | 20,000, 50 |
| 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Remove Cut-Off Channel C-7 at bottom of Buttress Wall | | | | 419 63-2900: SS -90 | 63-3000: FS |
| 548 549 550 551 552 553 | | | | Temporary Channel (X7T) at SENT Infrastructure Area e - Ground Water | | | 20 14-Feb-20 21 30-Nov-21 | 14 63-1300: FS | 63-1900: FS, 63-2100: FS |
| 550 551 552 553 | | | _ | Construct Temporary Channel (TC-1), from MH-1 to Existing UC-825 | | | | 529 23-1900: FS, 11-1300: FS, 62-1000: FS | 63-4400: FS |
| 551 552 553 | 6.03.6 | 6.6 | 63-4400 | Divert GW at MH-1 to TC-1 | 5 | 27-Oct-7 | .1 31-Oct-21 | 529 63-4300: FS | 63-4500: FS, M 9. 9: FS |
| 552 553 | | | | Reconnection of GWCP across Cell 4 | | | | 529 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-4400: FS | 12-1900: FS |
| 553 | | | | - Works Associated with Utilities Undertakers | | | 20 27-Jul-21 | | |
| | | 3.8.U1 6 | | LFG Generator On-grid Testing | | | 20 27-Jul-21 20 27-Jun-21 | 655 32-2500: FS, 12-1200: FS, 54-4000: FS | 63-4700: FS |
| 007 | | | | LFG Generator On-grid Inspection & Verify | | | | 655 63-4600: FS | 12-1900: FS |
| | | 2.6.03.8.U | | | | | 08-Jan-21 | | 00.4000.50 |
| | | | | Laying Gas Mains (from LFG to Town Gas PF) Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG | | | | 855 54-4000: FF 855 63-4800: FS, 54-4000: FS | 63-4900: FS 12-1900: FS |
| | | | | Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG & E&M Works | | | 19 22-Jul-21 | · · | 12-1900. FO |
| 559 | SA2.6.0 | 6.04.C P | art X1 A | Area C | 661 | 01-Oct-1 | 19 22-Jul-21 | 660 | |
| 560 | SA2.6.0 | .6.04.C.0 | 2 LFG | Treatment Plant | 661 | 01-Oct-1 | 19 22-Jul-21 | 660 | 12 1000: EC |
| | | | | O GHS600 Blower 601 C Relocation O Absorption Chiller (Optional) | | | | 660 32-1500: FS 1231 54-2200: FS | 12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS |
| | | | | pe Works | | | 19 29-Dec-19 19 03-Dec-20 | | 12-1000.10 |
| 564 | SA2.6.0 | 6.08.1 SI | ENT Are | rea - Tree Removal & Transplanting | 240 | 01-Apr-1 | 19 26-Nov-19 | 1264 | |
| | - | | | Access trees condition and select for transplanting | | | | 1264 14-1300: FS | 68-1100: FS, 68-1200: FS, 68-1400: FS |
| | | | | Prepare new site to receive trees | | | | 1264 68-1000: FS | 68-1200: SS |
| | 6.08.1 | | | Transplant selected trees | | | | 1264 68-1000: FS, 68-1100: SS | 68-1300: FS |
| | 6.08.1 6.08.1 | | | Prune trees prior to removal from Cell 4 Tree Felling - Part X3 | | | | 1264 68-1200: FS 1384 23-8200: FS, 31-1600: FS, 68-1000: FS | 12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS |
| | 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 | | | Tree Felling - Part X3 Area - Trial Nursery & Tree Planting | | | 19 29-Jul-19 19 03-Dec-20 | | 12-1300. FS |
| | 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 | J.JU.K 0 | | Trial Nursery | | | | 1174 14-1800: FS, 58-1000: SS 30 | 12-1900: FS, M 3. 2: FS |
| 572 | 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 SA2.6.0 | | 00 1000 | Landscaping in New Infrastructure Area | 150 | 07-Jul- | 20 03 Dec 20 | 891 54-1000: FS, 23-7600: FS | 12-1900: FS |

Annex B

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Annex B Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Air Quali | ty - Cons | truction Phase | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ1 | Blasting | To minimise | Blasting area | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Not applicable. |
| | | • The area within 30m of the blasting area will be wetted prior to blasting. | I | and 30m of blasting area | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations | Blasting is not required in the latest landfill design |
| | | Blasting will not be carried out when the strong wind signal or tropical cyclone warning signal No. 3 or higher is hoisted, unless this is with the express prior permission of the Commissioner of Mines. | | | | | | · · |
| | | • loose material and stones in the Site will be removed prior to the blast operation | | | | | | |
| | | During blasting, blast nets, screens and other protective covers will be used to prevent the projection of flying fragments and material resulting from blasting | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ2 | Rock Drilling | To minimise | Rock drilling | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Not applicable. |
| | | Watering will be carried out at the rock drilling activities to avoid fugitive dust emissions. | potential dust nuisance | area | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations | Rock drilling is not required in the latest landfill design |
| 4.8.1 | AQ3 | Site Access Road | To minimise | Main haul | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | The main haul road will be kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water. | potential dust nuisance | road | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations | |
| | | • The main haul road will be paved with aggregate or gravel. | | | | | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | |
| | | • Vehicle speed will be limited to 10kph. | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ4 | Stockpiling of Dusty Materials | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Implemented |
| | | Any stockpile of dusty materials will be covered entirely by impervious sheeting | potential dust nuisance | construction works area | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations | |
| | | or placed in an area sheltered on the top and three sides or sprayed with water so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet. | | | | | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ5 | <u>Loading, unloading or transfer of dusty</u> <u>materials</u> | To minimise potential dust nuisance | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations | Implemented |
| | | All dusty materials will be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet. | nuisance | works area | | | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ6 | Site Boundary and Entrance | To minimise | Site boundary | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Not applicable |
| | | Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible | potential dust nuisance | and entrance | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations | |
| | | to the public, hoarding of height not less than 2.4m from ground level will be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for the site entrance or exit. | | | | | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ7 | Excavation Works | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ | Air Pollution Control | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Working area of any excavation or earth moving operation will be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet. | potential dust nuisance | construction works area | Contractor | | (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | |
| 4.8.1 | AQ8 | Building Demolition The area where the demolition works are planned to take place will be sprayed with water immediately prior to, during and immediately after the demolition activities. Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed will be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads or street. | To minimise potential dust nuisance | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.1 | AQ9 | Construction of the Superstructure of Building Effective dust screens, sheeting or netting will be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground level up to the highest level of the scaffolding. | To minimise potential dust nuisance | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.1 | AQ10 | Should a stone crushing plant be needed on site, the control measures recommended in the Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1 should be implemented. | To minimise potential dust nuisance | Stone crushing plant/ construction phase | SENTX Contractor | √ | Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1 | Not applicable. Stone crushing plant is not required in the latest landfill design |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | imp mea | plement the asure? (1) | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|---|
| 4.8.1 | AQ11 | Good site practices such as regular maintenance and checking of the diesel powered mechanical equipment will be adopted to avoid any black smoke emissions and to minimize gaseous emissions. | To minimise potential dust nuisance | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.10.1 | AQ12 | Dust monitoring once every 6 days | Ensure the dust generated from the project meets the air quality requirement | At monitoring locations shown in <i>Figure 3.2a</i> | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| Air Quali | ty - Oper | ation, Restoration and Aftercare Phases | | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 | AQ13 | Odour • Enclosing the weighbridge area | To minimise odour nuisance | Weighbridge area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ~ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, enclosing the weighbridge area is not necessary |
| 4.8.2 | AQ14 | Providing a vehicle washing facility before the exit of SENTX and providing sufficient signage to remind RCV drivers to pass through the facility before leaving SENTX | | Vehicle washing facility | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ~ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ15 | Reminding the RCV drivers to empty the liquor collection sump and close the valve | To minimise odour nuisance | Tipping face | SENTX Contractor | | ~ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | before leaving the tipping face | | | | | | only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal |
| 4.8.2 | AQ16 | 0 1 0 | To minimise odour nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | • | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal. |
| 4.8.2 | AQ17 | Reminding operators to properly maintain their RCVs and ensure that liquor does not leak from the vehicles | | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal. |
| 4.8.2 | AQ18 | 8 | To minimise odour nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | | nvironmental Protection Measures/ Iitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | imj | asure | ent th | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|--|---|
| 4.8.2 | AQ19 | • | Progressive restoration of the areas which reach the finished profile (a final capping system including an impermeable liner will be put in place) and installation of a permanent landfill gas extraction system | To minimise odour nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | | √ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ20 | • | Installing deodorizers along the site boundary adjacent to the ASRs | To minimise odour nuisance | SENTX Site boundary | SENTX Contractor | | | √ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, installation of deodorizers is not necessary. |
| 4.8.2 | AQ21 | • | Erecting a vertical barrier, wall or structure softened by planting rows of trees/shrubs or landscape feature along the site boundary, particularly in the areas near the ASRs | | SENTX Site boundary | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ22 | • | Maintaining the size of the active tipping face not greater than 1,200 m ² | To minimise odour nuisance | Active tipping face | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ23 | • | Promptly covering the MSW with soil or selected inert materials to control odour emissions | To minimise odour nuisance | Active tipping face | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. SENTX will not receive MSW. |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | | nvironmental Protection Measures/ Iitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 4.8.2 | AQ24 | • | Maintaining the size of the special waste trench not greater than $6m\ (l)\times 2.5m\ (w)$ | To minimise odour nuisance | Special waste trench | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench. |
| 4.8.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ25 | • | Covering daily covered area with a tarpaulin sheet or 300mm of soil after the landfill operating hours | To minimise odour nuisance | Daily covered area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ26 | • | Covering special waste trench with 600 mm of soil and an impervious liner after 5 pm | To minimise odour nuisance | Special waste trench | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench. |
| 4.8.2 | AQ27 | • | Covering the non-active tipping face with 600mm of soil and an impermeable liner (on top of the intermediate cover), which will not only control odour emissions from landfilled waste but also enhance landfill gas extraction by the landfill gas extraction system | To minimise odour nuisance | Intermediate cover | SENTX Contractor | √ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ28 | • | Applying deodorizers or odour suppression agents to control odour emissions from the active tipping face and special waste trench, if any, through spraying or fogging equipment | To minimise odour nuisance | Active tipping face and special waste trench | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, installation of deodorizers is not necessary. |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When implemeasu D C | ment th re? ⁽¹⁾ | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Moreover, SENTX will not have any special waste trench. |
| 4.8.2 | AQ29 | Providing a mobile cover with retractable or suitable opening to cover up the opening of the special waste trench except during waste deposition and a suitable odour removal unit. The mobile cover should be equipped with powered extraction and suitable odour removal unit for purifying the trapped gas inside the trench before release into the atmosphere | To minimise odour nuisance | Special waste trench | SENTX Contractor | | √ | | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench. |
| 4.8.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ30 | Providing a thermal oxidizer for the leachate treatment plant | To minimise odour nuisance as a result of breakdown of thermal oxidizer | Leachate treatment plant | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | √ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ31 | • Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks (except for the Sequential Batch Reactor (SBR) or Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) tanks) and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a thermal oxidizer or flare to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP | To minimise odour nuisance | Leachate treatment plant | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ32 | Rescheduling of waste filling activities on- site by avoiding waste filling activities | To minimise odour nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|------------------------------|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | carrying out at the northern area of the site in the summer months between July to November | | | | | | construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, rescheduling of waste filling activities is not necessary. |
| 4.8.2 and SENTX latest | AQ33 | Dust, Gaseous Emission and LFG including Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) | To minimise dust nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| design | | • Keeping the main haul road to the waste filling area wet by regular watering; | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 | AQ34 | Compacting the exposed daily and intermediate covered areas well to avoid fugitive dust emission; | To minimise dust nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ35 | • Limiting the vehicle speed within SENTX site boundary; | To minimise dust nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ36 | Providing vehicle washing bay to avoid vehicles carrying dust to public roads; | To minimise dust nuisance | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ37 | • Switching off the engine when the diesel-driven equipment is idling; | To minimise gaseous emissions | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | - | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ38 | Maintaining the construction equipment properly to avoid any black smoke | To minimise gaseous | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | - | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When implements | emen ure? | | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 4.8.2 | AQ39 | emissions; Providing sufficient underground landfill gas collection system to capture the landfill gas generated as much as possible; and | To minimise gaseous emissions, including LFG and VOCs | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | V | ′ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.8.2 | AQ40 | Periodic inspections of the final cover should be undertaken to ensure that the capping layer is in good conditions at all times. | To minimise gaseous emissions, including LFG and VOCs | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | ~ | , | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.10.2 | AQ41 | Monitoring of ambient TSP once every 6 days | Ensure the dust emission from the project meets the dust requirement | shown in | SENTX Contractor | , | ∕ ✓ | • | | HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.10.2 | AQ42 | Monitoring of ambient VOCs, ammonia and H ₂ S, quarterly | Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement | At monitoring locations shown in Figure 11.3a | SENTX Contractor | | • | | ✓ | Odour thresholds or 1% of Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) as stipulated in the "UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE) EH 40/05 Occupational Exposure Limits", whichever is lower. | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | mea | lem sure | ent th | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----|-------------|----------|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4.10.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ43 | Monitoring of parameters for thermal oxidizer, flares and generator in accordance with requirements stated in Tables 3.4a, 3.5a and 3.6a of the EM&A Manual respectively. | Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement | At the flares and thermal oxidizer stacks when they are in operation | SENTX Contractor | | | √ | √ (1) | Emission Limits specified in Contract | Implemented |
| 4.10.2 | AQ44 | To confirm design assumption of ammonia, it is recommended that the ammonia concentration in the flue gas of the thermal oxidiser be monitored during the commissioning stage of the thermal oxidiser. If required, an emission standard will be set for ammonia for the thermal oxidiser based on the monitoring results. If no ammonia is detected in the flue gas during the decommissioning stage, the monitoring of ammonia in the flue gas of the thermal oxidiser could be discontinued. | Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement | At the thermal oxidizer stack during commissioning . If ammonia is detected during commissioning stage, the monitoring will continue. | Contractor | | | ✓ | | Emission Limits determined during commissioning stage | Implemented |
| 4.10.2 and SENTX latest design | AQ45 | Odour patrol in accordance with requirements stated in Table 3.7a of the EM&A Manual. | Ensure the odour emission from the project meets the odour requirement | - | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM Annex 4 | Implemented |
| 4.10.2 | AQ46 | Monitoring of meteorological station, continuously | Collect site specific | At meteorological | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | - | Implemented |

⁽¹⁾ For LFG flare and LFG generator only.

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------|-------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | meteorological data | station shown in <i>Figure 11.3a</i> | | | | |
| Noise - C | onstructi | on Phase | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | N1 | Adopt good site practice listed below: Only well-maintained plant will be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program; | To minimise potential construction noise nuisance. | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5 | Implemented |
| | | Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and will be properly maintained during the construction program; | | | | | | |
| | | Mobile plant, if any, will be sited as far from NSRs as possible; | | | | | | |
| | | Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use will be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; | | | | | | |
| | | Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction will, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and | | | | | | |
| | | Material stockpiles and other structures will be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures construction activities. | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implemen measure? | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------|-------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 5.8 | N2 | Weekly noise monitoring | Ensure noise generated from the project meets the criteria | At monitoring locations shown in Figure 6.4a | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | | Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5 | Implemented |
| Noise - O | peration | Restoration Phase | | | | | | | |
| 5.7.2 | N3 | Adopt good site practice listed below: • Choose quieter PME; | To minimise potential operational noise nuisance. | Within the SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | • | / | Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5 | Implemented |
| | | • Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant items; | | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | • Locate fixed plant items or noise emission points away from the NSRs as far as practicable; | | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | Locate noisy machines in completely enclosed plant rooms or buildings; and | | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that plant items are properly operated and serviced. The programme should be implemented by properly trained personnel. | | | | | | - | Implemented |
| 5.8 | N4 | Weekly noise monitoring | Ensure noise generated from | At monitoring locations | SENTX Contractor | ~ | , | Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | the project meets the criteria | shown in Figure 6.4a | | | Annex 5 | |
| Water Qu | ality - Co | onstruction Phase | | | | | | |
| 6.8.1 | WQ1 | Construction Runoff | | | | | | |
| | | • Exposed soil areas will be minimised to | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 | Implemented |
| | | reduce the contamination of runoff and erosion. | potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works | construction works area | Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |
| 6.8.1 | WQ2 | • Perimeter channels will be constructed in | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 | Implemented |
| | | advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of | potential water quality impacts arising from the | construction works area | Contractor | | Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) | |
| | | excavation. | construction works | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |
| 6.8.1 | WQ3 | Silt removal facilities, channels and | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 | Deficiency of |
| | | manholes will be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed | potential water quality impacts | construction works area | Contractor | | WPCO | mitigation measures but rectified by the |
| | | regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times. | arising from the construction works | works area | | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Contractor |
| 6.8.1 | WQ4 | Temporary covers such as tarpaulin will | To minimise | All | SENTX | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 | Implemented |
| | | also be provided to minimise the generation of high SS runoff. | potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works | construction works area | Contractor | | WPCO | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 6.8.1 | WQ5 | The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors. | To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | √ | ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.8.1 | WQ6 | All sewer and drains will be sealed to prevent building debris, soil etc from entering public sewers/drains before commencing any demolition works | To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the demolition works | Infrastructure area at existing SENT Landfill | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Not applicable |
| 6.8.1 | WQ7 | During the excavation works for the twin drainage tunnels, the recycle water for cooling the cutter head of the TBM will be conveyed to the sedimentation tanks for treatment and most of the treated water will be reused, where applicable and as much as possible, in the boring operations. | To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the tunnel works | Tunnel boring sites | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Not applicable. Excavation of drainage tunnels is not required in the latest landfill design. |
| 6.8.1 | WQ8 | The fuel and waste lubricant oil from the on-site maintenance of machinery and equipment will be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector. | To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from improper handling of fuel and oil | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO) | Implemented |
| 6.8.1 | WQ9 | Implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles | To minimise contaminated stormwater runoff from the | All construction works | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address SENTX Site | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 6.13 | WQ10 | Monitoring of surface water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the construction works | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM | Implemented |
| 6.8.2 | WQ11 | Sewage Effluents | | | | | | |
| | | • Sufficient chemical toilets will be provided for the construction workforce. | To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | WPCO | Implemented |
| 6.8.2 | WQ12 | Untreated sewage will not be allowed to | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WPCO | Deficiency of |
| | | discharge into the surrounding water body. | potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents | | Contractor | | WDO | mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor |
| 6.8.2 | WQ13 | A licensed waste collector will be | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WPCO | Implemented |
| | | employed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. | potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents | | Contractor | | WDO | |
| Water Qu | ality - O | veration/Restoration and Aftercare Phases | | | | | | |
| 6.9.1 | WQ14 | Surface Water Management | | | | | WPCO | Implemented |
| | | Inspections of the drainage system, sand | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | Technical Memorandum | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------------|-------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | traps, settlement ponds and surface water channels will be performed regularly to identify areas necessary for maintenance, cleaning or repair. | potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the landfill operations. | | Contractor | | Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Inshore Waters (Water- TM) | |
| | | | | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |
| 6.9.1 | WQ15 | 1 | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WPCO | Implemented |
| | | required, of the HDPE liner will be conducted to prevent degradation from | potential water quality impacts | | Contractor | | Water-TM | |
| | | affecting the performance of the capping system. | on surface water arising from the landfill operations. | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |
| 6.9.1 | WQ16 | Monitoring of surface water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the landfill operations. | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | WPCO Water-TM | Implemented |
| 6.9.2 and | WQ17 | Groundwater Management | | | | | | Implemented |
| SENTX latest | | The groundwater management facilities | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ ✓ | WPCO | |
| design | | including the groundwater monitoring | potential water | | Contractor | | Water-TM | |
| | | wells will be inspected regularly during routine groundwater monitoring programme. | quality impacts on groundwater arising from the landfill operations. | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implem measure D C | nent th | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|---------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 6.9.2 | WQ18 | Monitoring of groundwater water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on groundwater arising from the landfill operations. | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | √ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| SENTX latest design | WQ19 | Sewage All sewage from the operation staff will be diverted to the LTP for treatment or public sewer, if available. | To ensure proper handling of sewage | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | ✓ | - | Implemented |
| 6.9.3 | WQ20 | Leachate Management The leachate pump houses and related ancillary equipment will be inspected regularly and repairs, if necessary. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | Leachate pump houses and related ancillary equipment | SENTX Contractor | | √ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.9.3 | WQ21 | For equipment such as pumps that require routine scheduled maintenance, the maintenance will be performed following manufacturer's recommended frequency. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | Leachate pumps | SENTX Contractor | | √ | √ | WPCO Water-TM | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implem measure D C | ent th | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 6.9.3 | WQ22 | Preventive maintenance will be implemented so that the possibility for forced shutdown during wet season will be kept to minimal. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | Leachate treatment plant | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.9.3 | WQ23 | • Emergency procedures or a contingency plan will be established when the LTP is malfunctioned. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | Leachate treatment plant | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | √ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.9.3 and SENTX latest design | WQ24 | • There will be sufficient redundancy in the system to handle the leachate flow even if one treatment train is down for maintenance. The leachate may be required to temporarily store within the landfill if the leachate storage lagoon are full and leachate cannot be transported to the LTP for treatment. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | Leachate treatment plant | SENTX Contractor | | √ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.13 | WQ25 | Monitor the quality of effluent discharged from the LTP | To ensure discharge quality comply with WPCO requirement | Leachate treatment plant discharge point | SENTX Contractor | | √ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | im _] me | asuı | nent the re? (1) O/R | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 6.10.1 | WQ26 | Potential Leakage of Leachate Regular groundwater quality monitoring will be carried out to monitor the performance of the leachate containment system. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations. | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | | √ | √ | WPCO Water-TM | Implemented |
| 6.10.1 | WQ27 | Maintenance and replacement of the capping system should be carried out, if necessary, to prevent control infiltration and leachate seepage from any damaged cap. | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the leachate leakage. | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| 6.10.1 | WQ28 | Maintaining control of the leachate level through extraction | To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from surface breakout of leachate. | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | ✓ | WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| Waste Ma | anagemen | t – Construction Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1 | WM1 | All the necessary waste disposal permits are obtained prior to the commencement of construction work. | To ensure compliance with relevant statutory | Before construction works | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | WDO | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | requirements | commence | | | | |
| 7.6.1 | WM2 | Management of Waste Disposal | | | | | | |
| | | The construction contractor will open a | To ensure that | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WDO | Implemented |
| | | billing account with the EPD. Every construction waste or public fill load to be transferred to the Government waste disposal facilities such as public fill reception facilities, continue facilities landfills will reception facilities. | • | | Contractor | | Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation; | |
| | sorting facilities, landfills will required a valid "chit" which contains the information of the account holder to facilitate waste transaction recording and billing to the waste | | | | | Works Bureau Technical Circular No.31/2004; and | | |
| | | producer. A trip-ticket system will also be established to monitor the disposal of construction waste at the SENT Landfill and to control fly-tipping. The trip-ticket system will be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the contractor. | | | | | Annex 5 and Annex 6 of Appendix G of ETWBTC No. 19/2005) | |
| | | A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) will be established. | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1 | WM3 | Measures for the Reduction of Construction Waste Generation | | | | | | |
| | | Inert and non-inert construction waste will be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to facilitate reuse or recycling of the inert waste and proper disposal of the non- | To reduce construction waste generation | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | inert construction waste. Specific areas of the work site will be designated for such segregation and storage if immediate use is not practicable. | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1 | WM4 | Chemical Waste | | | | | | |
| | | The construction contractor will register as a chemical waste producer with the EPD. Chemical waste will be handled in accordance with the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i> . | To ensure proper handling of chemical waste | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ | WDO Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes | Implemented |
| 7.6.1 | WM5 | <u>Sewage</u> | | | | | | |
| | | An adequate number of portable toilets will be provided at the site to ensure that sewage from site staff is properly collected. The portable toilets will be desludged and maintained regularly by a specialist contractor. | To ensure proper handling of sewage | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7 | Implemented |
| 7.6.1 and | WM6 | <u>General Refuse</u> | | | | | | |
| SENTX latest | | General refuse will be stored in enclosed bins | | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WDO | Deficiency of |
| design | | separately from construction and chemical wastes. The general refuse will be delivered to a transfer station or other landfill, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to reduce odour, pest and litter impacts. | handling of general refuse | | Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor |
| | | Recycling bins will be provided at strategic locations to facilitate recovery of aluminium | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | can and waste paper from the SENTX Site. Materials recovered will be sold for recycling. | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1 | WM7 | Staff Training | | | | | | |
| | | At the commencement of the construction works, training will be provided to workers on the concepts of site cleanliness and on appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling. | To ensure that adverse environmental impacts are prevented | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ | | Implemented |
| 7.8 | WM8 | Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements | | | | , | N/D 0 | |
| | | Weekly audits of the waste management practices will be carried out during the construction phase. The audits examine all aspects of waste management including waste generation, storage, recycling, transport and disposal. | To ensure that adverse environmental impacts are prevented | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ~ | WDO | Implemented |
| Waste Ma | ınagemen | t - Operation/Restoration Phase | | | | | | |
| 7.6.2 and SENTX latest design | WM9 | Sludge In case off-site disposal is required, the Contractor will ensure that sludge generated from the LTP will be delivered in closed container to other waste disposal facility e.g. other landfills or a sludge treatment facility, for proper disposal on a daily basis. | To ensure proper handling of sludge | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 7.6.2 | WM10 | Chemical Waste | | | | | | Implemented |
| | | The construction contractor will register as a | To ensure proper | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WDO | |
| | | chemical waste producer with the EPD. Chemical waste will be handled in | handling of chemical waste | | Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | |
| T. () | VA/N #11 | accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. | | | | | Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes | |
| 7.6.2 | WM11 | Sewage | | | | | | |
| | | All sewage from the operation staff will be | To ensure proper SEN handling of sewage | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WDO | Moved to mitigation |
| | | | | | Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | measure under water quality WQ19. It is a measure for water quality rather than waste management. |
| 7.6.2 and | WM12 | General Refuse | | | | | | |
| SENTX latest | | General refuse will be stored in enclosed bins | | SENTX Site | SENTX | ✓ | WDO | Implemented |
| design | | and disposed of at other landfills or transfer station on a daily basis to reduce odour, pest and litter impacts. | handling of | | Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | |
| | | Recycling bins will be provided at strategic locations to facilitate recovery of aluminium can and waste paper from the SENTX Site. Materials recovered will be sold for recycling. | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 8.6.2 and SENTX latest design | LFG1 | Precautionary measures to be adopted by the contractors at the Project site and the adjacent development site within the landfill consultation zone are outlined in Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Notes (the Guidance Note). Those precautionary measures applicable to the SENTX will be confirmed in the detailed Qualitative Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment to be submitted by the contractor. | • | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | * | Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note EIAO-TM Annex 7 | Implemented |
| 8.6.2 | LFG2 | Monitoring will be undertaken when construction works are carried out in | To protect workers from landfill gas risk | Confined space within the construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | | Implemented |
| | | In the event of the trigger levels being exceeded, it is recommended that a person, such as the Safety Officer, is nominated, with deputies, to be responsible for dealing with any emergency which may occur due to landfill gas. In an emergency situation, the nominated person, or his deputies, shall have the necessary authority and shall ensure that the confined space is evacuated and the necessary works implemented for reducing the concentrations of gas. The appropriate organisations shall be contact. | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | im me | asu | nen re? (| nt the (1) D/R | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------------------|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|--------------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 8.6.3 | LFG4 | Implementation of engineering measures according to Contract Specification requirements. These measures will include the placement of liner and installation of landfill gas management system to contain, manage and control landfill gas. | To protect workers from landfill gas risk | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | , | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | Implemented |
| 8.6.3 | LFG5 | Engineering measures to significant engineering measures will be required in the design of the SENTX to protect the staff | To protect workers from landfill gas risk | Infrastructure Area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | | EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note | Implemented |
| | | working in the infrastructure area. These measures include a combination of passive and active systems (examples are recommended in EPD's <i>Guidance Notes</i>). | | | | | | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 7 | |
| | | Landfill gas monitoring boreholes will be installed at the edge of the waste slope between the waste and the new infrastructure area to monitor the migration of landfill gas, if any. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landfill (Phases | Gas Hazaı | rds – Operation, Restoration and Aftercare | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8.6.4 | LFG7 | To train and ensure staff to take appropriate precautions at all times when entering enclosed spaces or plant rooms. Undertake regular monitoring of landfill gas at the perimeter boreholes to detect if there are any signs of off-site landfill gas migration. Prepare and implement emergency plan in case off-site landfill gas migration is detected. | To protect workers from landfill gas risk | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | | ✓ | | ✓ | Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When implements measured D C | nent th | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 8.7 and SENTX latest design | LFG8 | A permanent gas monitoring system with alarm will be installed and operated in all occupied on-site buildings. Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements Undertake regular monitoring of landfill gas within the SENTX and along the SENTX boundary as required by the Contract Specification. | To protect workers from landfill gas risk | Within the SENTX and along the SENTX boundary | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | √ | Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note | Implemented |
| Ecology - | Construc | ction Phase | | | | | | | | |
| 9.10.2 | EC1 | Measures to control construction runoff: Exposed soil areas will be minimised to reduce the contamination of runoff and erosion; | To minimise potential water quality impacts affecting ecological resources | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | √ | | | EIAO-TM Annex 16 ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) EIAO-TM Annex 6 | Implemented |
| | | To prevent stormwater runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces, perimeter channels will be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of excavation; | | | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes will be maintained and the | | | | | | | - | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | deposited silt and grit will be removed regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times; | | | | | | |
| | | Temporary covers such as tarpaulin will also be provided to minimise the generation of high suspended solids runoff; | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and, | | | | | - | Implemented |
| | | Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site. | | | | | | Implemented |
| 9.10.2 and SENTX latest design | EC2 | Good Construction Practice: Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas. | To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement th measure? (1) D C O/R | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Ecology - | · Operatio | n, Restoration and Aftercare Phases | | | | | | | |
| 9.10.2 | EC3 | Measures for Controlling Leakage of Landfill Leachate Leachate | To minimise | SENTX Site | SENTX | √ | √ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |
| | | Leachate will be contained within the SENTX Site by the proposed impermeable leachate containment system and collected by the | potential water quality impact | SLIVIX SIC | Contractor | | | WPCO | |
| | | installation of drainage system to prevent potential migration of leachate to habitats in the vicinity. | affecting the ecological resources | | | | | Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6 | |
| 9.10.2 | EC4 | Measures for Controlling Migration of Landfill Gas | | CENTEN CI | OVEN VETV | , | | ELAO EMA 40 | Implemented |
| | | Disturbance to habitat in the vicinity and associated wildlife due to migration of landfill gas will be prevented by proper management of the landfill gas generated from the SENTX. Ignition fires will be prohibited to occur within the boundary of the SENTX Site. Surface emission and offsite migration of landfill gas will be regularly monitored. | To minimise potential landfill gas migration affecting ecological resources | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | V | ~ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | |
| 9.10.3 and SENTX latest design | EC5 | The following compensation planting is recommended as the mitigation measures for the habitat affected due to the SENTX: • Provision of 6 ha of mixed woodland planting to compensate the loss of | Compensation of habitat loss due to the Project | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |
| 0 | | Provision of a mosaic of grassland and shrubland in the remaining areas of the SENTX Site. | | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | Compensatory planting and restoration of the SENTX can be implemented progressively according to the filling plan of SENTX. | | | | | | |
| 9.10.3 | EC6 | The mixture of grassland, shrubland and woodland habitats are recommended to diversify the habitats for supporting various wildlife in particular butterflies, birds and herpetofauna and blend into the existing undisturbed ecological environment. | To diversify habitats | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |
| 9.10.3 | EC7 | Indigenous plant species of shallow root system, softwood in nature and adaptive to sea shore habitat are recommended to be used in the restoration plan, which can establish well in coastal area with exposure to strong wind and salt spray, with sand soil base. Taking consideration of the relative poor substrate and the difficulties of establishment of some native trees in Hong Kong, it is recommended to include approximately 20% of non-native tree species in the compensatory woodland. The non-native tree species can serve as a nurse species to facilitate the establishment of the native tree species, especially the shading, and it can be replaced by established native tree species progressively. Plant species can also make reference to food plants of butterfly species (in particularly butterfly species of conservation interests recorded within the CWBCP). | To enhance ecological value of the habitats | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | mea | oler asu | to nent re? (1 |) | | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------|-------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 9.10.3 | EC8 | It is also recommended that a trial nursery for native plant species be set up to fine tone the planting matrix and management intensity of the recommended indigenous tree species for the restoration of the SENTX. It should be noted that native shrubs and tree species had been used for restoration of the existing SENT Landfill, native plant species that could not successfully be established on the existing SENT Landfill should be reviewed before the preparation of the compensatory planting list. Special care and intensive management of native plant should be implemented in order to ensure proper establishment of the native plants. | To select the most suitable indigenous tree species for the SENTX | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | * | | √ | | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |
| 9.12.1 | EC9 | Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring and audit procedures during the construction period. | To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented | SENTX | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 16 | Implemented |
| Landscap | e and Vis | ual - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10.6.5 | LV1 | CM1 - The construction area and area allowed for the contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory areas will be minimised to a practical minimum, to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | | ✓ | | | | EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 10.6.5 | LV2 | CM2 - Topsoil, where identified, will be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical. The Contract Specification will include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | All construction works area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Not applicable |
| 10.6.5 | LV3 | CM3 - All existing trees at the edges of the landfill will be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this Specification, the Contractor will be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in Contractor's works areas. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Potential impacted area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006 | Not applicable |
| 10.6.5 | LV4 | CM4 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works will be transplanted, where necessary and practical. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods will be allowed in the project programme. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Potential impacted area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006 | Implemented |
| 10.6.5 and SENTX latest | LV5 | CM5 - Within 3 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site, the Contractor will plant advance screen planting of native species at Light Standard size at 1.5m centres along the | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | At High Junk Peak Hiking Trail | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|---------------|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| design | | High Junk Peak Trail so as to screen views of the Works from the trail. Tree planting locations will be agreed with AFCD. Works will be completed within 9 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site. | | | | | | |
| 10.6.5 | LV6 | CM6 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be given an aesthetic treatment in earth tones to reduce their visual impact and albedo and blend them into the surrounding landscape. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Infrastructure area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| 10.6.5 | LV7 | CM7 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be surrounded by a minimum of 5m wide and 0.75m high earth bund on the west and south sides planted with a dense screen of tree and shrub vegetation. Additional tree planting will be provided in unused spaces with thin infrastructure site, along access roads and in and around car parks. This will be supplemented with shrub planting, where appropriate. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Infrastructure area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 7/2002 | Not applicable |
| 10.6.5 | LV8 | CM8 - Planting trials will be carried out in an on-site nursery prior to implementation of the first phase of restoration to establish the best planting matrix and management intensity of the recommended plant materials for the restoration. | | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor | √ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| 11.4.1 and | LV9 | During the preparation of the detailed landscape design plan, the design submission | To ensure the implementation | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor/ET | ✓ ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Location of the Measures | Who to implement the measure? | When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? | Implementation Status and Remarks |
|--|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| SENTX latest design | | will be audited against the recommendation proposed in the <i>ER Report</i> by the Registered Landscape Architect from the ET. | of mitigation measures proposed in this EIA Report | | | | | |
| Landscap | e and Vis | ual - Operation/Restoration Phase | | | | | | |
| 10.6.5 and SENTX latest design | LV10 | OM1 - Landfill materials will be covered with general fill material or tarpaulin sheet on a daily basis to reduce visual impact. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Tipping area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| 10.6.5 and SENTX latest design | LV11 | OM2 - Filling and restoration will be phased during the course of operations in a minimum of 4 phases, the restoration of each phase to commence immediately on the completion of filling in that phase. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Tipping area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| 10.6.5 | LV12 | OM3 - Catch fences will be erected at the perimeter of the waste boundary, to ensure that all waste stays within the site and is not blown into surrounding areas. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Tipping area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| 10.6.5 | LV13 | OM4 - All night-time lighting will be reduced to a practical minimum both in terms of number of units and lux level and will be hooded and directional. | To minimise the landscape and visual impacts | Tipping area | SENTX Contractor | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |
| and SENTX latest design | LV14 | The condition of the restoration plantation will be audited at monthly intervals by a Registered Landscape Architect from the ET. | To check the restoration plantation | SENTX Site | SENTX Contractor/ET | ✓ | EIAO-TM Annex 18 | Implemented |

Annex C

Monitoring Schedule for This Reporting Period

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Operation/ Restoration Phase

November 2022

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | Perimeter LFG Monitoring Perimeter LFG Bulk Gas Sampling | 2 Dust Monitoring | 3 Noise Monitoring | 4 Service Void LFG Monitoring | s |
| 6 | 7 Groundwater Monitoring | Dust Monitoring Groundwater Monitoring Leachate Monitoring | 9 Noise Monitoring | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 Dust Monitoring | Noise Monitoring | 16 | VOCs Monitoring Stack Monitoring | Flammable Gas Monitoring Stack Monitoring | 19 |
| 20 Dust Monitoring | 21 Surface Water Monitoring Noise Monitoring | 22 | 23 | 24 Odour Monitoring | 25 | 2u Dust Monitoring |
| 27 | 28 Noise Monitoring | 29 | 30 | | | |

Annex D

Air Quality

Annex D1

Calibration Certificates for Dust Monitoring Equipment

Location ID: AM1 Date of Calibration: 17-Sep-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 17-Nov-22

Operator: Dixon Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

| 1006 |
|------|
| 31.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

754.5 304

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838 -0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Ostd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 11.0 | 1.641 | 57 | 55.65 | Slope = 41.4005 |
| 13 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 8.6 | 1.452 | 51 | 49.80 | Intercept = -11.1763 |
| 10 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 6.8 | 1.292 | 44 | 42.96 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9978 |
| 7 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 4.4 | 1.040 | 33 | 32.22 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.830 | 23 | 22.46 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM2 Date of Calibration: 17-Sep-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 17-Nov-22

Operator: Dixon Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

| 1006 |
|------|
| 31.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

754.5

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838 -0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 12.0 | 1.714 | 54 | 52.72 | Slope = 31.6608 |
| 13 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.0 | 1.565 | 47 | 45.89 | Intercept = -2.0650 |
| 10 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.2 | 1.329 | 42 | 41.01 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9949 |
| 7 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 4.8 | 1.086 | 34 | 33.20 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.830 | 24 | 23.43 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM3 Date of Calibration: 17-Sep-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 17-Nov-22

Operator: Dixon Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

| 1006 |
|------|
| 31.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

754.5 304

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838 -0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 11.0 | 1.641 | 50 | 48.82 | Slope = 36.0371 |
| 13 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 8.6 | 1.452 | 43 | 41.98 | Intercept = -10.4602 |
| 10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 7.0 | 1.310 | 38 | 37.10 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9965 |
| 7 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 4.4 | 1.040 | 26 | 25.39 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.830 | 21 | 20.50 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM4 Date of Calibration: 17-Sep-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 17-Nov-22

Operator: Dixon Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

| 1006 |
|------|
| 31.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

754.5 304

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.60 | 5.60 | 11.2 | 1.656 | 51 | 49.80 | Slope = 28.7101 |
| 13 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 9.2 | 1.502 | 46 | 44.91 | Intercept = 2.2328 |
| 10 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 6.6 | 1.273 | 40 | 39.06 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9981 |
| 7 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 4.2 | 1.016 | 33 | 32.22 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.830 | 26 | 25.39 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM1 Date of Calibration: 19-Nov-22 Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 19-Jan-23

Operator: Eric Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C) 1015 25.1

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.25 298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept -> 0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.60 | 5.60 | 11.2 | 1.680 | 57 | 57.03 | Slope = 40.6574 |
| 13 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 9.0 | 1.507 | 52 | 52.03 | Intercept = -10.0634 |
| 10 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 6.8 | 1.310 | 44 | 44.02 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9980 |
| 7 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 4.6 | 1.078 | 34 | 34.02 | |
| 5 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 2.4 | 0.780 | 21 | 21.01 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM2 Date of Calibration: 19-Nov-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 19-Jan-23

Operator: Eric Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

| 1015 |
|------|
| 25.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.25 298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838 -0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.90 | 5.90 | 11.8 | 1.725 | 55 | 55.03 | Slope = 34.6383 |
| 13 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 9.6 | 1.556 | 48 | 48.02 | Intercept = -5.4126 |
| 10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 7.0 | 1.329 | 40 | 40.02 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9991 |
| 7 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 4.6 | 1.078 | 32 | 32.02 | |
| 5 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 2.6 | 0.812 | 23 | 23.01 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM3 Date of Calibration: 19-Nov-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 19-Jan-23

Operator: Eric Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

| 1015 |
|------|
| 25.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.25 298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838 -0.00903

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.30 | 5.30 | 10.6 | 1.635 | 48 | 48.02 | Slope = 32.0566 |
| 13 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 8.4 | 1.456 | 42 | 42.02 | Intercept = -5.0012 |
| 10 | 3.40 | 3.30 | 6.7 | 1.301 | 36 | 36.02 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9960 |
| 7 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 4.8 | 1.102 | 29 | 29.01 | |
| 5 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 2.4 | 0.780 | 21 | 21.01 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID: AM4 Date of Calibration: 19-Nov-22
Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 Next Calibration Date: 19-Jan-23

Operator: Eric Chan

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

| 1015 |
|------|
| 25.1 |

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.25

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| Make-> | TISCH |
|-------------|-------|
| Model-> | 5025A |
| Serial # -> | 1612 |

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

1.99838

CALIBRATION

| Plate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR | |
|-------|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|--|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION | |
| 18 | 5.70 | 5.70 | 11.4 | 1.695 | 53 | 53.03 | Slope = 32.2000 | |
| 13 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 9.6 | 1.556 | 48 | 48.02 | Intercept = -1.5784 | |
| 10 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 6.8 | 1.310 | 41 | 41.02 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9990 | |
| 7 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 4.4 | 1.055 | 33 | 33.02 | | |
| 5 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 2.6 | 0.812 | 24 | 24.01 | | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Annex D2

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Table D2.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM1

| Start Date | Start Time | Finish Date | Finish Time | Weather | 24-hour TSP (μg/m3) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| 2 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 3 Nov 22 | 9:14 | Rainy | 45 |
| 9 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 10 Nov 22 | 9:07 | Fine | 119 |
| 14 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 15 Nov 22 | 9:22 | Fine | 117 |
| 20 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 21 Nov 22 | 8:10 | Fine | 228 |
| 26 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 27 Nov 22 | 9:10 | Fine | 45 |
| | | | | Average | 111 |
| | | | | Min | 45 |
| | | | | Max | 228 |

Figure D2.1 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM1




Table D2.2 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM2

| Start Date | Start Time | Finish Date | Finish Time | Weather | 24-hour TSP (μg/m3) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| 2 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 3 Nov 22 | 9:00 | Rainy | 33 |
| 8 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 9 Nov 22 | 9:01 | Fine | 36 |
| 14 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 15 Nov 22 | 8:58 | Fine | 95 |
| 20 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 21 Nov 22 | 8:56 | Fine | 92 |
| 26 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 27 Nov 22 | 8:56 | Fine | 41 |
| | | | | Average | 59 |
| | | | | Min | 33 |
| | | | | Max | 95 |

Figure D2.2 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM2




Table D2.3 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM3

| Start Date | Start Time | Finish Date | Finish Time | Weather | 24-hour TSP (μg/m3) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| 2 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 3 Nov 22 | 8:50 | Rainy | 45 |
| 8 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 9 Nov 22 | 8:53 | Fine | 80 |
| 14 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 15 Nov 22 | 9:03 | Fine | 126 |
| 20 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 21 Nov 22 | 8:28 | Fine | 119 |
| 26 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 27 Nov 22 | 8:44 | Fine | 45 |
| | | | | Average | 83 |
| | | | | Min | 45 |
| | | | | Max | 126 |

Figure D2.3 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM3





Table D2.4 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM4

| Start Date | Start Time | Finish Date | Finish Time | Weather | 24-hour TSP (μg/m3) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| 2 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 3 Nov 22 | 8:19 | Rainy | 61 |
| 8 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 9 Nov 22 | 8:21 | Fine | 57 |
| 14 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 15 Nov 22 | 8:19 | Fine | 67 |
| 20 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 21 Nov 22 | 8:20 | Fine | 52 |
| 26 Nov 22 | 9:00 | 27 Nov 22 | 8:24 | Fine | 37 |
| | | | | Average | 55 |
| | | | | Min | 37 |
| | | | | Max | 67 |

Figure D2.4 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM4



Event and Action Plan for Dust Monitoring

Annex D3 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring During Operation/Restoration Phase


| | | Action | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Event | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Exceedance of Action/Limit Level for dust monitoring | Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to daily and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below action level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |
| Exceedance of Action Level for odour | Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance or complaint Prepare the odour complaint form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase monitoring frequency to daily until odour not being detected for three consecutive da | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary |


| | | Action | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Event | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for odour | Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance or complaint Prepare the odour complaint form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating activities and implementation of odour mitigation measures Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Rectify any unacceptable practice Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposal or amend working methods as required Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H ₂ S at the monitoring locations | Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to monthly and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below limit level | Check with Contractor on the operating activities and implementation of landfill gas control measures | Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary |


| | | Action | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Event | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Exceedance of Limit Level of stack emission of the thermal oxidizer, flares and generator | Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to monthly when there are two consecutive exceedances and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below limit level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating performance of the stack Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Rectify any unacceptable performance Amend design as required Implement amended design, if necessary |


Meteorological Data


Annex D4 Meteorological Data

















Certificates of the Qualified Odour Panelist

ALS Life Sciences | Environmental

Certificate No.: C22096

Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

LAU MEI TUNG



has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 - 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 38 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

#Silver Stamp: Successfully fulfilling the Panellist requirement since 2021

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

Certificate No.: C22097

Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

LAO KA LEONG, BILLY



has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 - 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 33 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

#Silver Stamp: Successfully fulfilling the Panellist requirement since 2021

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: 852-2610 1044



Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

NG KING HO

has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 – 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 36 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: 852-2610 1044

Certificate No.: C22098



Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

POON CHUNG CHUN, HENRY

has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 - 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 35 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

Certificate No.: C22099

Odour Monitoring Results

Table D6.1 Odour Monitoring Results

| Date | Weather | Location | Time | Temperature | Wind Speed | Wind | From | Odour | Odour | Possible Source | Remarks |
|-----------|---------|----------|-------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| | | | | (°C) | (m/s) | Direction | Project Site | Intensity | Characteristic | | |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP1 | 15:00 | 21.9 | 12.3 | S | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP2 | 15:04 | 21.7 | 4.0 | S | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP3 | 15:07 | 21.9 | 0.7 | N | Yes | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP4 | 15:09 | 21.9 | 4.8 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP5 | 15:11 | 22.2 | 2.3 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP6 | 15:12 | 22.5 | 7.6 | N | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP7 | 15:14 | 22.0 | 4.3 | N | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP8 | 15:17 | 22.0 | 3.5 | E | Yes | 1 | N/A | Tipping area | Waste |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP9 | 15:22 | 21.6 | 1.0 | E | Yes | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP10 | 15:24 | 21.7 | 1.3 | E | Yes | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP11 | 15:38 | 21.4 | 3.5 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP12 | 15:36 | 21.4 | 2.3 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP13 | 15:34 | 21.1 | 4.7 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP14 | 15:31 | 21.2 | 1.5 | N | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP15 | 15:46 | 21.2 | 1.7 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP16 | 15:51 | 21.5 | 1.8 | E | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24-Nov-22 | Shower | OP17 | 15:53 | 21.4 | 1.2 | NE | No | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Thermal Oxidizer, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Results

Table D7.1 Thermal Oxidiser Stack Emission Monitoring Results

| Parameters | Monitoring Results | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| NO ₂ | 0.28 gs ⁻¹ | |
| СО | <0.01 gs ⁻¹ | |
| SO_2 | 0.07 gs ⁻¹ | |
| Benzene | <1.0 x 10-4 gs-1 | |
| Vinyl chloride | <1.0 x 10-4 gs-1 | |
| Non-Methane Organic Carbons | <0.002 gs ⁻¹ | |
| Ammonia | 0.0423 gs ⁻¹ | |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 6.8 ms ⁻¹ | |

Table D7.2 Thermal Oxidiser Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

| Date | | Gas Combustion | Exhaust Temperature | Exhaust Gas | |
|-----------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | Temperature (°C) | (K) | Velocity (ms-1) (a) | |
| 1-Nov-22 | | 906 | 1125 | | |
| 2-Nov-22 | | 977 | 1270 | | |
| 3-Nov-22 | | 928 | 1200 | | |
| 4-Nov-22 | | 967 | 1266 | | |
| 5-Nov-22 | | 967 | 1254 | | |
| 6-Nov-22 | | 952 | 1236 | | |
| 7-Nov-22 | | 967 | 1250 | | |
| 8-Nov-22 | | 955 | 1240 | | |
| 9-Nov-22 | | 962 | 1247 | | |
| 10-Nov-22 | | 964 | 1251 | | |
| 11-Nov-22 | | 943 | 1232 | | |
| 12-Nov-22 | | 954 | 1241 | | |
| 13-Nov-22 | | 960 | 1246 | | |
| 14-Nov-22 | | 950 | 1236 | | |
| 15-Nov-22 | | 952 | 1239 | 6.8 | |
| 16-Nov-22 | | 953 | 1240 | 0.8 | |
| 17-Nov-22 | | 957 | 1238 | | |
| 18-Nov-22 | | 933 | 1208 | | |
| 19-Nov-22 | | 945 | 1223 | | |
| 20-Nov-22 | | 964 | 1247 | | |
| 21-Nov-22 | | 938 | 1202 | | |
| 22-Nov-22 | | 939 | 1216 | | |
| 23-Nov-22 | | 923 | 1203 | | |
| 24-Nov-22 | | 925 | 1190 | | |
| 25-Nov-22 | | 930 | 1195 | | |
| 26-Nov-22 | | 910 | 1174 | | |
| 27-Nov-22 | | 953 | 1243 | | |
| 28-Nov-22 | | 950 | 1222 | | |
| 29-Nov-22 | | 935 | 1204 | | |
| 30-Nov-22 | | 928 | 1184 | | |
| A | verage | 946 | 1224 | - | |
| | Min | 906 | 1125 | - | |
| | Max | 977 | 1270 | - | |

Notes

(a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Table D7.3 Landfill Gas Flare Stack Emission Monitoring Results

| Parameters | Monitoring Results (Flare 1 - F601) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| NO_2 | <0.02 gs ⁻¹ |
| CO | <0.01 gs ⁻¹ |
| SO_2 | 1.27 gs ⁻¹ |
| Benzene | <1.22 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹ |
| Vinyl chloride | <9.8 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹ |
| Non-Methane Organic Carbons | <0.002 gs ⁻¹ |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 8.9 ms ⁻¹ |

Table D7.4 Landfill Gas Flare Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

| Date | Gas Combustion | Exhaust | Exhaust Gas | Operation Status |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Temperature (°C) | Temperature (K) | Velocity (ms-1) (a) | |
| Flare 1 - F60 |)1 | | - , | |
| 1 Nov 22 | 890 | 1050 | | In Operation |
| 2 Nov 22 | 865 | 1068 | | In Operation |
| 3 Nov 22 | 871 | 1030 | | In Operation |
| 4 Nov 22 | 859 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 5 Nov 22 | 870 | 1079 | | In Operation |
| 6 Nov 22 | 860 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 7 Nov 22 | 845 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 8 Nov 22 | 850 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 9 Nov 22 | 835 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 10 Nov 22 | 830 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 11 Nov 22 | 860 | 1033 | | In Operation |
| 12 Nov 22 | 860 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 13 Nov 22 | 830 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 14 Nov 22 | 830 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 15 Nov 22 | 860 | 1043 | | In Operation |
| 16 Nov 22 | 860 | 1073 | 8.9 | In Operation |
| 17 Nov 22 | 850 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 18 Nov 22 | 870 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 19 Nov 22 | 840 | 1033 | | In Operation |
| 20 Nov 22 | 850 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| 21 Nov 22 | 850 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 22 Nov 22 | 850 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 23 Nov 22 | - | - | | Under Maintenanc |
| 24 Nov 22 | - | - | | Under Maintenanc |
| 25 Nov 22 | 890 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 26 Nov 22 | 820 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 27 Nov 22 | 880 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 28 Nov 22 | 870 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| 29 Nov 22 | 880 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 30 Nov 22 | 850 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| Average | 856 | 1064 | - | |
| Min | 820 | 1030 | - | |
| Max | 890 | 1093 | - | |
| Flare 2 - F60 |)2 | | | |
| 1 Nov 22 | 840 | 1061 | | In Operation |
| 2 Nov 22 | 890 | 1049 | | In Operation |
| 3 Nov 22 | 880 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 4 Nov 22 | 890 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 5 Nov 22 | 850 | 1113 | | In Operation |
| 6 Nov 22 | 850 | 1123 | | In Operation |
| 7 Nov 22 | 890 | 1073 | | In Operation |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

| Date | Gas Combustion | Exhaust | Exhaust Gas | Operation Status |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Temperature (°C) | Temperature (K) | Velocity (ms-1) (a) | |
| 8 Nov 22 | 860 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 9 Nov 22 | 850 | 1123 | | In Operation |
| 10 Nov 22 | 840 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 11 Nov 22 | 860 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 12 Nov 22 | 870 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 13 Nov 22 | 870 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 14 Nov 22 | 840 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| 15 Nov 22 | 820 | 1088 | 8.9 | In Operation |
| 16 Nov 22 | 870 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 17 Nov 22 | 880 | 1053 | | In Operation |
| 18 Nov 22 | 870 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| 19 Nov 22 | 830 | 1113 | | In Operation |
| 20 Nov 22 | 820 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 21 Nov 22 | 850 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 22 Nov 22 | 840 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 23 Nov 22 | 830 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| 24 Nov 22 | 860 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 25 Nov 22 | 850 | 1073 | | In Operation |
| 26 Nov 22 | 820 | 1083 | | In Operation |
| 27 Nov 22 | 850 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 28 Nov 22 | 880 | 1063 | | In Operation |
| 29 Nov 22 | 830 | 1043 | | In Operation |
| 30 Nov 22 | 830 | 1093 | | In Operation |
| Average | 854 | 1077 | - | * |
| Min | | 1033 | - | |
| Max | 890 | 1123 | - | |

Notes:

⁽a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Table D7.5 Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Results

| Parameters | Monitoring Results | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| NO_2 | 0.01 gs ⁻¹ | |
| CO | 0.429 gs ⁻¹ | |
| SO_2 | <0.001 gs ⁻¹ | |
| Benzene | <1.6 x 10-5 gs-1 | |
| Vinyl chloride | <1.28 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹ | |
| Non-Methane Organic Carbons | $<3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$ | |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 11.6 ms ⁻¹ | |

Table D7.6 Landfill Gas Generator Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

| Date | Exhaust | Exhaust Gas | Operation Status (Landfill | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | Temperature (K) | Velocity (ms-1) (a) | Gas Generator in Operation) | | |
| 01-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 02-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 03-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 04-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 05-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 06-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 07-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 08-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 09-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 10-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 11-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 12-Nov-22 | 893 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 13-Nov-22 | 892 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 14-Nov-22 | 892 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 15-Nov-22 | 890 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 16-Nov-22 | 895 | 11.6 | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 17-Nov-22 | 896 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 18-Nov-22 | 903 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 19-Nov-22 | 916 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 20-Nov-22 | 906 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 21-Nov-22 | 907 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 22-Nov-22 | 955 | | In Operation (ENGA) | | |
| 23-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 24-Nov-22 | _ | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 25-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 26-Nov-22 | _ | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 27-Nov-22 | _ | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 28-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 29-Nov-22 | _ | | Under Maintenance | | |
| 30-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance | | |
| Average | 904 | _ | | | |
| _ | 890 | _ | | | |
| | 955 | - | | | |
| 01-Nov-22 | 883 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 02-Nov-22 | 882 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 03-Nov-22 | 893 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 04-Nov-22 | 892 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 05-Nov-22 | 892 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 06-Nov-22 | 898 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 07-Nov-22 | 910 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 08-Nov-22 | 910 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| 09-Nov-22 | 903 | | In Operation (ENGB) | | |
| | | | - r (21 (32) | | |
| | | | | | |

| Date | Exhaust | Exhaust Gas | Operation Status (Landfill |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Temperature (K) | Velocity (ms-1) (a) | Gas Generator in Operation) |
| 10-Nov-22 | 917 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 11-Nov-22 | 915 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 12-Nov-22 | 907 | 11.6 | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 13-Nov-22 | 893 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 14-Nov-22 | 890 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 15-Nov-22 | 892 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 16-Nov-22 | 890 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 17-Nov-22 | 886 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 18-Nov-22 | 893 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 19-Nov-22 | 897 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 20-Nov-22 | 880 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 21-Nov-22 | 880 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 22-Nov-22 | 914 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 23-Nov-22 | 908 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 24-Nov-22 | 909 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 25-Nov-22 | 910 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 26-Nov-22 | 896 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 27-Nov-22 | 904 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 28-Nov-22 | 901 | | In Operation (ENGB) |
| 29-Nov-22 | - | Under Maintenance | |
| 30-Nov-22 | - | | Under Maintenance |
| Average | 898 | - | |
| Min | 880 | - | |
| Max | 917 | - | |

Notes:

⁽a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

5a V]YbhJ C7gž5a a cb]Už UbX'< &GA cb]hcf]b[FYgi `hg

Table D8.1 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Results

| Parameters | Limit Level | Monitoring Results (μg m ⁻³) | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | AM1 | AM2 | AM3 | AM4 | |
| Ammonia | 180 | 0.043 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 | |
| H2S | 42 | <15 | <15 | <15 | <15 | |
| Methane | NA (a) | 0.0003 %(v/v) | 0.0003 %(v/v) | 0.00024 %(v/v) | 0.00017 %(v/v) | |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 5,550 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| 1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | 39 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 210 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | |
| Benzene | 33 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | |
| Butan-2-ol | 667 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | |
| Butanethiol | 4 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | |
| Carbon Disulphide | 150 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 64 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | |
| Chloroform | 99 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Decanes | 3,608 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | |
| Dichlorobenzene | 120 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Dichlorodifluoro-methane | NA (a) | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | |
| Dimethylsulphide | 8 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| Dipropyl ether | NA (a) | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Limonene | 212 | <0.4 | < 0.4 | <0.4 | <0.4 | |
| Ethanethiol | 13 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | <0.6 | |
| Ethanol | 19,200 | <3.8 | <3.8 | <3.8 | 4.1 | |
| Ethyl butanoate | 71 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Ethyl propionate | 29 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Ethyl benzene | 738 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | |
| Heptane | 2,746 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Methanethiol | 10 | <0.4 | < 0.4 | <0.4 | <0.4 | |
| Methanol | 2,660 | 15.8 | <2.6 | <2.6 | 18.3 | |
| Methyl butanoate | 30 | <0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |
| Methyl propionate | 353 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | |
| Methylene Chloride | 3,530 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.6 | |
| Butyl acetate | 76 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Butyl benzene | 47 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Nonane | 11,540 | < 0.9 | < 0.9 | <0.9 | <0.9 | |
| Propyl benzene | 19 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

| Parameters | Limit Level | Monitoring Results (μg m ⁻³) | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|------|------|-------|--|
| | , | AM1 | AM2 | AM3 | AM4 | |
| Octane | 7,942 | <0.9 | <0.9 | <0.9 | <0.9 | |
| Propyl propionate | 276 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | |
| Terpenes | NA (a) | 0.9 | <0.8 | 1.2 | < 0.8 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 1,380 | <0.7 | <0.7 | <0.7 | < 0.7 | |
| Toluene | 1,244 | 2.6 | 3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | |
| Trichloroethylene | 5,500 | <1.1 | <1.1 | <1.1 | <1.1 | |
| Undecane | 5,562 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | <1.2 | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 26 | <0.3 | <0.3 | <0.3 | < 0.3 | |
| Xylenes | 534 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 0.6 | |

Notes:

(a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria, odour thresholds and WEL available.

Investigation Reports of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

| Project | South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Date | 17 November 2022 |
| Time | 13:25 – 13:55 |
| Monitoring Location | Landfill Gas Flare 1 (F601) |
| Parameter | Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) |
| Limit Levels | >0.22 g/s |
| Measured Level | 1.27 g/s |
| Possible reason | As confirmed by the Contractor, Landfill Gas Flare 1 (F601) was under normal operating conditions during the sampling event. The landfill gas flare emission monitoring results (NO ₂ , CO, Benzene, Vinyl chloride, gas combustion temperature, exhaust temperature and exhaust gas velocity) at Landfill Gas Flare 1 (F601) on 17 November 2022 were well within the respective limit levels. It is possible that the slight exceedance of SO ₂ limit level measured on 17 November 2022 could be due to some short-term system instability (e.g. insufficient air, short gas residence time or ineffective mixing of landfill gas and air during the combustion) during the sampling event and excess SO ₂ was formed from the oxidation of trace quantities of sulphur compounds in the landfill gas. Hence, the SO ₂ exceedance at Landfill Gas Flare 1 (F601) on 17 November 2022 is considered to be Project related. In accordance with Table 3.8b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 13 December 2022 (it should be noted that the turnaround time of the laboratory analysis of the flue gas sample is 3 weeks and the results were available on 9 January 2023) to confirm findings. The SO ₂ concentration (0.16 |
| | g/s) measured on 13 December 2022 is well below Limit Level. There is no consecutive exceedance of SO ₂ concentrations in the flue gas emission of Landfill Gas Flare 1 (F601). |
| Action Taken / Action to be Taken | Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to closely monitoring the operating conditions of the flare to avoid any exceedance of the Limit Levels. The Contractor is also reminded to adjust the inlet flow and quality of the LFG during the routine gas well monitoring to ensure complete oxidation of the sulphur compounds (e.g. H ₂ S) in the landfill gas during LFG flaring. |
| Remarks | |
| Prepared by: Abbey Lau | |

Prepared by: Abbey Lau Designation: Environmental Team

10 January 2023 Date:

Annex E

Noise

Annex E1

Calibration Certificates for Noise Monitoring Equipment



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.:

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 4 August 2022

C224780

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC22-1539)

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Calibrator (EQ082)

Manufacturer / 製造商

Brüel & Kjær

Model No. / 型號

4231

Serial No./編號

2713428

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

20 August 2022

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By 測試

HT Wong Assistant Engineer


Certified By 核證

K C Lee Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期

23 August 2022

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.:

C224780

證書編號

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.

2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

3. Test equipment:

> Equipment ID CL130 CL281 TST150A

Description

Universal Counter

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Measuring Amplifier

Certificate No.

C223647 AV210017 C221750

Test procedure: MA100N. 4.

5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT Measured Value | | Mfr's Spec. | Uncertainty of Measured Value |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Nominal Value | (dB) | (dB) | (dB) |
| 94 dB, 1 kHz | 94 dB, 1 kHz 94.1 | | ± 0.2 |
| 114 dB, 1 kHz | 114.1 | ý. | |

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value | Measured Value | Mfr's | Uncertainty of Measured Value | | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| (kHz) | (kHz) | Spec. | (Hz) | | |
| 1 | 1.000 0 | $1 \text{ kHz} \pm 0.1 \%$ | ± 0.1 | | |

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.:

C221365

證書編號

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 14 February 2022

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC22-0258)

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Meter (EQ018)

Manufacturer / 製造商

Rion

Model No. / 型號 Serial No./編號

NL-52 00809405

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

12 March 2022

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies

Tested By

測試

K C Lee Engineer

Certified By

核證

H C Chan

Date of Issue 簽發日期

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

16 March 2022

Engineer

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C221365

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator

C220381

CL281

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

AV210017

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

| | | Applie | d Value | UUT | IEC 61672 | | |
|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Range | Function | Frequency | Time | Level | Freq. | Reading | Class 1 Spec. |
| (dB) | | Weighting | Weighting | (dB) | (kHz) | (dB) | (dB) |
| 30 - 130 | L_A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | ± 1.1 |

6.1.2 Linearity

| | UU | Γ Setting | Applie | d Value | UUT | |
|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------------|
| Range | Function | Frequency Time | | Level | Freq. | Reading |
| (dB) | | Weighting | Weighting | (dB) | (kHz) | (dB) |
| 30 - 130 | L_{A} | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.0 |
| - | | | | 114.00 | | 114.0 |

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

| | UUT Setting | | | | | UUT | IEC 61672 |
|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|---------------|
| Range | Function | Frequency | Time | Level | Freq. | Reading | Class 1 Spec. |
| (dB) | | Weighting | Weighting | (dB) | (kHz) | (dB) | (dB) |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | Slow | | | 94.0 | ± 0.3 |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C221365

證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| 71- Weighting | UUT | | Applied Value UU | | UUT | IEC 61672 | |
|---------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| Range | Function | Frequency | Time | Level | Freq. | Reading | Class 1 Spec. |
| (dB) | | Weighting | Weighting | (dB) | _ | (dB) | (dB) |
| 30 - 130 | L_A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 67.8 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.9 | -16.1 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.4 | -8.6 ± 1.4 |
| | | er. | | | 500 Hz | 90.8 | -3.2 ± 1.4 |
| | 5 | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.0 | $+1.2 \pm 1.6$ |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 94.7 | $+1.0 \pm 1.6$ |
| - | - | | | | 8 kHz | 92.9 | -1.1 (+2.1; -3.1) |
| | | 90 | | | 16 kHz | 85.5 | -6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0) |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| | UUT | | Appli | ed Value | UUT | IEC 61672 | |
|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| Range | Function | Frequency | Time | Level | Freq. | Reading | Class 1 Spec. |
| (dB) | | Weighting | Weighting | (dB) | | (dB) | (dB) |
| 30 - 130 | L_{C} | С | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.9 | -0.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | , I | | | 250 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.1 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.6 | -0.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | - | | 4 kHz | 92.9 | -0.8 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 91.0 | -3.0 (+2.1; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 16 kHz | 83.5 | -8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0) |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C221365

證書編號

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-59 & S/N: 16463

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

104 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。


Annex E2

Noise Monitoring Results

Table E2.1 Measured Noise Levels (dB(A)) at NM1 during Normal Working Hours (0700-1900 hours; Normal Weekdays)

| Date | Start Time | Finish Time | Weather | L _{10 (30min)} | L _{90 (30min)} | Leq (30min) |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 3 Nov 22 | 13:15 | 13:45 | Cloudy | 55.2 | 48.8 | 52.7 |
| 9 Nov 22 | 14:06 | 14:36 | Sunny | 53.8 | 47.6 | 51.7 |
| 15 Nov 22 | 10:43 | 11:13 | Sunny | 49.9 | 44.2 | 48.1 |
| 21 Nov 22 | 10:20 | 10:50 | Cloudy | 52.3 | 46.6 | 49.9 |
| 28 Nov 22 | 10:25 | 10:55 | Sunny | 53.4 | 49.4 | 51.8 |
| | | | | | Average | 50.8 |
| | | | | | Min | 48.1 |
| | | | | | Max | 52.7 |

Figure E2.1 Graphical Presentation for Noise Monitoring at NM1



Annex E3

Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring

Annex E3 Event and Action Plan for Operational Noise Monitoring

| Event | | Action | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Action Level | Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals |
| Limit Level | Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Analyse the operation of SENTX and investigate the causes of exceedance Provide interim report to Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD the causes of the exceedances Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Report the remedial measures implemented and the additional monitoring results to Contactor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Take immediate measures to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the Project Proponent until the exceedance is abated |

Surface Water Quality

Calibration Certificates for Surface Water Quality Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: BEN TAM WORK ORDER: HK2237607

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES &

CONSULTING

ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, SUB-BATCH: 0

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, LABORATORY: HONG KONG KWAI CHUNG, N.T. DATE RECEIVED: 22-Sep-2022

DATE OF ISSUE: 28-Sep-2022

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.: [17B102764/17B100758]/ [EQW019]

Date of Calibration: 27-September-2022

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

Assistant Manager - Inorganics

 $This\ report\ may\ not\ be\ reproduced\ except\ with\ prior\ written\ approval\ from\ ALS\ Technichem\ (HK)\ Pty\ Ltd.$

WORK ORDER: HK2237607

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 28-Sep-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./

[17B102764/17B100758]/ [EQW019]

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 27-September-2022 Date of Next Calibration: 27-December-2022

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

| | Expected Reading (µS/cm) | Displayed Reading (μS/cm) | Tolerance (%) |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| ſ | 146.9 | 154.1 | +4.9 |
| | 6667 | 7043 | +5.6 |
| | 12890 | 13282 | +3.0 |
| | 58670 | 60170 | +2.6 |
| | | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 3.90 | 3.86 | -0.04 |
| 6.19 | 6.09 | -0.10 |
| 7.76 | 7.78 | +0.02 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ±0.20 |

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 4.20 | +0.20 |
| 7.0 | 7.20 | +0.20 |
| 10.0 | 9.95 | -0.05 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2237607

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 28-Sep-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.:

[17B102764/17B100758]/ [EQW019]

Date of Calibration: 27-September-2022 Date of Next Calibration: 27-De

27-December-2022

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Displayed Reading (NTU) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | -0.12 | |
| 4 | 3.86 | -3.5 |
| 40 | 37.35 | -6.6 |
| 80 | 77.12 | -3.6 |
| 400 | 371.05 | -7.2 |
| 800 | 728.04 | -9.0 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.00 | |
| 10 | 10.67 | +6.7 |
| 20 | 20.56 | +2.8 |
| 30 | 31.12 | +3.7 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2237607

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 28-Sep-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.:

[17B102764/17B100758]/ [EQW019]

Date of Calibration: 27-September-2022 Date of Next Calibration: 27-December-2022

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 7.0 | 6.7 | -0.3 |
| 23.0 | 22.4 | -0.6 |
| 43.5 | 42.7 | -0.8 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless

of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results

Table F2.1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results at DP4

| Date | Time | Weather | Water | Water | Water | Ammoniacal- | COD | Suspended | Remarks |
|-----------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | | Condition | Appearance | Condition | Temperature | e nitrogen (mg/L) | | Solids (SS) | |
| | | | | | (oC) | | | (mg/L) | |
| 21 Nov 22 | 09:50 | Sunny | | Unable to c | ollect water san | nple due to insuffi | cient flow | | - |
| | | | | | Average | e - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | Min | 1 - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | Max | x - | - | - | - |

Table F2.2 Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results at DP6

| Date | Time | Weather Condition | Water Appearance | Water Condition | Water Temperature (oC) | Ammoniacal- nitrogen (mg/L) | COD | Suspended Solids (SS) (mg/L) | Remarks |
|-----------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| 21 Nov 22 | 10:00 | Sunny | | Unable to o | ollect water sam | ple due to insuffic | cient flow | | - |
| | | | | | Average | ! - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | Min | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | Max | : - | - | - | - |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Event and Action Plan for Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Annex F3 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring During Operation/Restoration Phase

| Event | Action | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor | | | | |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for urface water nonitoring | Identify source(s) of impact and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate | | | | |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for groundwater nonitoring | Identify source(s) of impact and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Divert groundwater collected at the collection sumps to the leachate treatment plant Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Rectify any unacceptable practice or design Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary | | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

| Event | Action | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor | | | | | |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for leachate level | Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating activities and performance of the leachate collection system Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Check the performance of the leachate collection system Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend leachate collection design if required Implement amended leachate collection system, if necessary | | | | | |
| Exceedance of Limit Level of effluent discharge from LTP | Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly until no exceedance of Limit Level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operation performance of the LTP Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Rectify any unacceptable practice; Carry out remedial measures or amend design as required Implement amended design, if necessary | | | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Calibration Certificates for Effluent Quality Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: IVAN LEUNG WORK ORDER: HK2239449

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, SUB-BATCH: 0

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 07-Oct-2022
DATE OF ISSUE: 14-Oct-2022

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: pH meter

Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: pH Value and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [TOA]/ [HM-30P]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [790332]/ [HK1383]
Date of Calibration: 12-October-2022

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

Na Sti

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER: HK2239449

SUB-BATCH: (

DATE OF ISSUE: 14-Oct-2022

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type: pH meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[TOA]/ [HM-30P]

Serial No./

[790332]/[HK1383]

Equipment No.: [790332]/ [TIR7303]
Date of Calibration: 12-October-2022

Date of Next Calibration: 12-January-2023

PARAMETERS:

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 3.99 | -0.01 |
| 7.0 | 7.06 | +0.06 |
| 10.0 | 9.88 | -0.12 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 11.0 | 10.4 | -0.6 |
| 23.0 | 22.8 | -0.2 |
| 45.5 | 45.2 | -0.3 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

Ma Si

Leachate Levels Monitoring Results

Table F5.1 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.1X (Cell 1X))

| Date | Meter No.X1 (cm) | Meter No.X2 (cm) | Average (cm) |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Pump Station No. 1X | (Cell 1X) | | |
| 1-Nov-22 | 75 | 86 | 81 |
| 2-Nov-22 | 75 | 88 | 82 |
| 3-Nov-22 | 68 | 70 | 69 |
| 4-Nov-22 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 5-Nov-22 | 64 | 75 | 70 |
| 6-Nov-22 | 64 | 75 | 70 |
| 7-Nov-22 | 64 | 75 | 70 |
| 8-Nov-22 | 68 | 79 | 74 |
| 9-Nov-22 | 62 | 75 | 69 |
| 10-Nov-22 | 75 | 88 | 82 |
| 11-Nov-22 | 68 | 79 | 74 |
| 12-Nov-22 | 70 | 82 | 76 |
| 13-Nov-22 | 64 | 77 | 71 |
| 14-Nov-22 | 64 | 77 | 71 |
| 15-Nov-22 | 73 | 84 | 79 |
| 16-Nov-22 | 64 | 75 | 70 |
| 17-Nov-22 | 68 | 82 | 75 |
| 18-Nov-22 | 73 | 84 | 79 |
| 19-Nov-22 | 75 | 86 | 81 |
| 20-Nov-22 | 77 | 88 | 83 |
| 21-Nov-22 | 77 | 88 | 83 |
| 22-Nov-22 | 62 | 75 | 69 |
| 23-Nov-22 | 70 | 82 | 76 |
| 24-Nov-22 | 68 | 82 | 75 |
| 25-Nov-22 | 77 | 75 | 76 |
| 26-Nov-22 | 73 | 68 | 71 |
| 27-Nov-22 | 73 | 86 | 80 |
| 28-Nov-22 | 73 | 86 | 80 |
| 29-Nov-22 | 73 | 84 | 79 |
| 30-Nov-22 | 66 | 79 | 73 |
| Average | | 80 | 75 |
| Min | . 62 | 68 | 69 |
| Max | : 77 | 88 | 83 |

Table F5.2 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.2X (Cell 2X))

| Date | Meter No.X3 (cm) | Meter No.X4 (cm) | Average (cm) | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Pump Station No | Pump Station No. 2X (Cell 2X) | | | |
| 1-Nov-22 | 84 | 90 | 87 | |
| 2-Nov-22 | 64 | 68 | 66 | |
| 3-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 | |
| 4-Nov-22 | 62 | 66 | 64 | |
| 5-Nov-22 | 75 | 79 | 77 | |
| 6-Nov-22 | 86 | 90 | 88 | |
| 7-Nov-22 | 86 | 90 | 88 | |
| 8-Nov-22 | 73 | 66 | 70 | |
| 9-Nov-22 | 73 | 70 | 72 | |
| 10-Nov-22 | 70 | 66 | 68 | |
| 11-Nov-22 | 64 | 62 | 63 | |
| 12-Nov-22 | 82 | 79 | 81 | |
| 13-Nov-22 | 86 | 82 | 84 | |
| 14-Nov-22 | 86 | 82 | 84 | |
| 15-Nov-22 | 73 | 70 | 72 | |
| 16-Nov-22 | 84 | 82 | 83 | |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

| Date | Meter No.X3 (cm) | Meter No.X4 (cm) | Average (cm) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 17-Nov-22 | 68 | 66 | 67 |
| 18-Nov-22 | 79 | 77 | 78 |
| 19-Nov-22 | 88 | 84 | 86 |
| 20-Nov-22 | 79 | 77 | 78 |
| 21-Nov-22 | 79 | 77 | 78 |
| 22-Nov-22 | 88 | 84 | 86 |
| 23-Nov-22 | 70 | 66 | 68 |
| 24-Nov-22 | 82 | 79 | 81 |
| 25-Nov-22 | 66 | 62 | 64 |
| 26-Nov-22 | 77 | 73 | 75 |
| 27-Nov-22 | 82 | 79 | 81 |
| 28-Nov-22 | 82 | 79 | 81 |
| 29-Nov-22 | 79 | 75 | 77 |
| 30-Nov-22 | 70 | 68 | 69 |
| Avei | rage 77 | 75 | 76 |
| I | Min 62 | 62 | 63 |
| | Max 88 | 90 | 88 |

Table F5.3 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.3X (Cell 3X))

| Date | Meter No.X5 (cm) | Meter No.X6 (cm) | Average (cm) |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Pump Station No. 3X | (Cell 3X) | | - |
| 1-Nov-22 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 2-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 3-Nov-22 | 182 | 182 | 182 |
| 4-Nov-22 | 137 | 139 | 138 |
| 5-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 6-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 7-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 8-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 9-Nov-22 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 10-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 11-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 12-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 13-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 14-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 15-Nov-22 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 16-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 17-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 18-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 19-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 20-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 21-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 22-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 23-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 24-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 25-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 26-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 27-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 28-Nov-22 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 29-Nov-22 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 30-Nov-22 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| Average | | 74 | 74 |
| Min | | 50 | 50 |
| Max | 182 | 182 | 182 |

Table F5.4 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.4X (Cell 4X))

| Date | Meter No.X7 (cm) | Meter No.X8 (cm) | Average (cm) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Pump Station No. 4X (Cell 4X) | | | |
| 1-Nov-22 | 61 | 65 | 63 |
| 2-Nov-22 | 61 | 65 | 63 |
| 3-Nov-22 | 232 | 237 | 235 |
| 4-Nov-22 | 197 | 199 | 198 |
| 5-Nov-22 | 56 | 61 | 59 |
| 6-Nov-22 | 59 | 61 | 60 |
| 7-Nov-22 | 59 | 61 | 60 |
| 8-Nov-22 | 65 | 70 | 68 |
| 9-Nov-22 | 52 | 54 | 53 |
| 10-Nov-22 | 61 | 65 | 63 |
| 11-Nov-22 | 61 | 65 | 63 |
| 12-Nov-22 | 54 | 59 | 57 |
| 13-Nov-22 | 59 | 70 | 65 |
| 14-Nov-22 | 59 | 70 | 65 |
| 15-Nov-22 | 48 | 52 | 50 |
| 16-Nov-22 | 48 | 52 | 50 |
| 17-Nov-22 | 65 | 70 | 68 |
| 18-Nov-22 | 61 | 65 | 63 |
| 19-Nov-22 | 52 | 56 | 54 |
| 20-Nov-22 | 54 | 59 | 57 |
| 21-Nov-22 | 54 | 59 | 57 |
| 22-Nov-22 | 63 | 67 | 65 |
| 23-Nov-22 | 56 | 61 | 59 |
| 24-Nov-22 | 63 | 65 | 64 |
| 25-Nov-22 | 50 | 54 | 52 |
| 26-Nov-22 | 61 | 67 | 64 |
| 27-Nov-22 | 52 | 56 | 54 |
| 28-Nov-22 | 52 | 56 | 54 |
| 29-Nov-22 | 59 | 61 | 60 |
| 30-Nov-22 | 59 | 63 | 61 |
| Average | 68 | 72 | 70 |
| Min | 48 | 52 | 50 |
| Max | 232 | 237 | 235 |

Effluent Quality Monitoring Results

Table F6.1 Effluent Monitoring Results

| | | 8 Nov 22 | — |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| On-site Measurements | | | |
| Temperature | °C | 30.6 | |
| pH Value | pH Unit | 8.1 | |
| Volume Discharged | m^3 | 1,374 | |
| Laboratory Analysis | | | |
| Suspended Solids (SS) | mg/L | 25.8 | |
| Alkalinity | mg/L | 1650 | |
| Ammoniacal-nitrogen | mg/L | 0.33 | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 1390 | |
| Nitrite-nitrogen | mg/L | 0.09 | |
| Phosphate | mg/L | 2.94 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 179 | |
| Total Nitrogen | mg/L | 70.2 | |
| Nitrate-nitrogen | mg/L | 33.3 | |
| Total Inorganic Nitrogen | mg/L | 33.72 | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | mg/L | 9 | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | mg/L | 676 | |
| Oil & Grease | mg/L | <5 | |
| Total Organic Carbon (TOC) | mg/L | 226 | |
| Boron | μg/L | 3880 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 59.3 | |
| Iron | mg/L | 1.20 | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 23.7 | |
| Potassium | mg/L | 670 | |
| Cadmium | μg/L | <1.0 | |
| Chromium | μg/L | 88 | |
| Copper | μg/L | <10 | |
| Nickel | μg/L | 92 | |
| Zinc | μg/L | 66 | |

Calibration Certificates for Groundwater Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: BEN TAM WORK ORDER: HK2241896

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES &

ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, **SUB-BATCH:**

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG, N.T.

LABORATORY: HONG KONG **DATE RECEIVED:** 24-Oct-2022 **DATE OF ISSUE:** 31-Oct-2022

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Multifunctional Meter Equipment Type: Service Nature: Performance Check

Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature Scope:

Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/15H103928]/[EQW018]

Date of Calibration: 25-October-2022

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER: HK2241896

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 31-Oct-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./

Equipment No.:

[20J101862/15H103928]/[EQW018]

Date of Calibration:

25-October-2022

Date of Next Calibration:

25-January-2023

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B

| Expected Reading (mS/cm) | Displayed Reading (mS/cm) | Tolerance (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 146.9 | 149.8 | +2.0 |
| 6667 | 7120 | +6.8 |
| 12890 | 13262 | +2.9 |
| 58670 | 58816 | +0.2 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2.10 | 1.99 | -0.11 |
| 3.57 | 3.55 | -0.02 |
| 7.92 | 7.79 | -0.13 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ±0.20 |

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 3.89 | -0.11 |
| 7.0 | 7.08 | +0.08 |
| 10.0 | 9.92 | -0.08 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2241896

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 31-Oct-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Model No.:

Serial No./ Equipment No.:

[20J101862/15H103928]/[EQW018]

Date of Calibration:

25-October-2022

Date of Next Calibration:

25-January-2023

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Displayed Reading (NTU) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.50 | |
| 4 | 4.25 | +6.3 |
| 40 | 36.21 | -9.5 |
| 80 | 81.94 | +2.4 |
| 400 | 380.13 | -5.0 |
| 800 | 764.90 | -4.4 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.00 | |
| 10 | 9.46 | -5.4 |
| 20 | 18.39 | -8.1 |
| 30 | 28.45 | -5.2 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2241896

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 31-Oct-2022

CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/

[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Model No.: Serial No./

[20J101862/ 15H103928]/[EQW018]

Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:

25-October-2022 Date of Next Calibration:

PARAMETERS:

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 9.0 | 8.8 | -0.2 |
| 25.0 | 24.7 | -0.3 |
| 43.5 | 42.7 | -0.8 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

25-January-2023

Groundwater Monitoring Results

Table F8.1 Groundwater Monitoring Results

| Parameters | Units | MWX-1 | MWX-2 | MWX-3 | MWX-4 | MWX-5 | MWX-6 | MWX-7 | MWX-8 | MWX-9 | MWX-10 | MWX-11 | MWX-12 | MWX-13 | MWX-14 |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Water Level | mPD | 3.07 | 3.1 | 3.15 | 3.27 | 3.23 | 3.09 | 2.91 | 3.07 | 3.46 | 3.34 | 3.46 | 6.8 | 36.3 | 44.87 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3 | mg/L | 88 | 223 | 188 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 12 | <1 | 102 | 183 | 170 | 54 | 16 | 12 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3 | mg/L | <1 | <1 | <1 | 90 | 64 | 141 | 51 | 92 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 | mg/L | 88 | 223 | 188 | 108 | 68 | 184 | 63 | 125 | 102 | 183 | 170 | 54 | 16 | 12 |
| pH Value | pH Unit | 8.1 | 8 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 10 | 11.1 | 8 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| Electrical Conductivity | μS/cm | 1440 | 2340 | 946 | 848 | 1170 | 1230 | 2330 | 1760 | 1520 | 876 | 583 | 309 | 92 | 95 |
| Ammonia as N | mg/L | 1.4 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 2.98 | 1.94 | 3.33 | 5.73 | 5.82 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Chloride | mg/L | 326 | 514 | 119 | 150 | 198 | 199 | 642 | 342 | 234 | 97 | 50 | 21 | 14 | 18 |
| Nitrite as N | mg/L | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 |
| Reactive Phosphorus as P | mg/L | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | < 0.01 |
| Sulphate as SO4 - Turbidimetric | mg/L | 66 | 162 | 96 | 75 | 154 | 87 | 48 | 184 | 278 | 106 | 54 | 58 | 3 | 3 |
| Sulphide as S2 | mg/L | 0.2 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N | mg/L | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | < 0.1 |
| Nitrate as N | mg/L | < 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.19 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | 1.37 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.12 |
| Total Nitrogen as N | mg/L | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Boron | μg/L | 130 | 380 | 180 | 190 | 240 | 160 | 560 | 190 | 270 | 220 | 110 | 20 | 20 | 10 |
| Calcium | mg/L | 51.2 | 64.4 | 84 | 39.4 | 25.6 | 35.5 | 25.4 | 53.9 | 117 | 73.2 | 64.4 | 25.7 | 0.81 | 0.85 |
| Mercury | μg/L | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 | < 0.20 |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 11.8 | 56.7 | 5.69 | 0.59 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | 0.72 | < 0.05 | 4.44 | 5.49 | 3.37 | 1.06 | 0.96 | 0.88 |
| Sodium | mg/L | 191 | 315 | 85.2 | 106 | 165 | 160 | 384 | 246 | 168 | 95.3 | 45.2 | 23.5 | 13 | 13.6 |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.12 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | 0.52 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 |
| Potassium | mg/L | 22 | 21.4 | 25.8 | 31.4 | 58.8 | 61.7 | 60.2 | 73.5 | 36.7 | 13.2 | 9.17 | 3.09 | 4.13 | 3.94 |
| Cadmium | μg/L | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | < 0.2 |
| Chromium | μg/L | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Copper | μg/L | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 5 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Lead | μg/L | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Manganese | μg/L | 610 | 34 | 690 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 28 | 5 | 319 | 789 | 21 | 8 |
| Nickel | μg/L | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 1 | 1 | <1 | 3 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Zinc | μg/L | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | mg/L | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | mg/L | 10 | 7 | 14 | 22 | 27 | 39 | 18 | 34 | 24 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Total Organic Carbon | mg/L | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Investigation Reports of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance


| Project | South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Date | 3 November 2022 (Pump Station No. 3X) |
| | 3 - 4 November 2022 (Pump Station No. 4X) |
| Monitoring Location | Pump Station No. 3X (Cell 3X) and No. 4X (Cell 4X) |
| Parameter | Leachate level |
| Limit Levels | Pump Station No. 3X: > 175 cm |
| | Pump Station No. 4X: > 186 cm |
| Measured Level | Pump Station No. 3X (Average of Meter No. X-5 and No. X-6) |
| | 3 Nov 2022: 182 cm |
| | Pump Station No. 4X (Average of Meter No. X-7 and No. X-8) 3 Nov 2022: 235 cm |
| | 4 Nov 2022: 195 cm |
| Possible reason | From the on-site rainfall record of November 2022, heavy rainfall events (up to 39 mm per day) were recorded from 1 to 4 November 2022. Amber rainstorm warning signal was also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 3 November 2022. As confirmed by the Contractor, the leachate collection system and leachate treatment plant were under normal operating conditions during the reporting period. However, effluent discharge pipe relocation works were conducted from 31 October to 2 November 2022 (before the monitoring event) and effluent could not be discharged during the period, which could contribute to the leachate level exceedances. Based on the above, the leachate level exceedances at Pump Station No. 3X and 4X were deemed to Project-related activities. |
| | surface runoff) accumulated at Cell 3X and 4X has exceeded the leachate treatment capacity (daily maximum effluent discharge volume of 1,996 m³ recorded from 3 to 4 November 2022, with daily effluent discharge limit of 2,000 m³ as stipulated in the WPCO license). |
| Action Taken / Action to be Taken | Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to closely monitor the operating conditions of the leachate collection system (e.g. set alarm when the leachate level reach about 80% of the Limit Level) and pump out the leachate for treatment to avoid any exceedance of the Limit Level. The Contractor is also reminded to schedule LTP-related maintenance works during the dry season to ensure full operation of the LTP during rainy days. |


| Remarks | - | |
|--------------|--------------------|---|
| Prepared by: | Abbey Lau | |
| Designation: | Environmental Team | |
| Date: | 27 December 2022 | _ |

Annex G

Landfill Gas

Landfill Gas Monitoring
Locations for Service Voids,
Utilities and Manholes
along the Site Boundary and
Within the SENTX Site





Calibration Certificates for Landfill Gas Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG WORK ORDER: HK2239677

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, SUB BATCH: 0

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 10-Oct-2022
DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Oct-2022

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results are compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Instrument Specification" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principles as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: Landfill Gas Analyser Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Carbon dioxide, Methane and Oxygen

Brand Name/ Model No.: GA5000

Serial No./Equipment No.: G507306 (HK1935)
Date of Calibration: 18 October, 2022

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

Ms Chan Ka Yu, Karen Manager - Organics

 $This\ report\ may\ not\ be\ reproduced\ except\ with\ prior\ written\ approval\ from\ ALS\ Technichem\ (HK)\ Pty\ Ltd.$

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK2239677

Sub-Batch: 0

Client: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

18-Oct-2022 Date of Issue:

Equipment Type: Landfill Gas Analyser

Brand Name/ Model No.:

GA5000

Serial No./ Equipment No.:

G507306 (HK1935)

Date of Calibration: 18 October, 2022

Next Calibration Date: 18 November, 2022

Parameters:

Methane

| Calibrated Gas Standard, % | Monitor Readout, % | % error | Instrument Specification, % |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 0.0 (Nitrogen) | 0.0 | 0.0 | ± 0.3 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | ± 0.3 |
| 10.0 | 9.9 | -0.1 | ± 0.5 |

Carbon Dioxide

| Calibrated Gas Standard, % | Monitor Readout, % | % error | Instrument Specification, % |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 0.0 (Nitrogen) | 0.0 | 0.0 | ± 0.3 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | ± 0.3 |
| 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | ± 0.5 |

Oxygen

| Calibrated Gas Standard, % | Monitor Readout, % | % error | Instrument Specification, % |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 0.0 (Nitrogen) | 0.0 | 0.0 | ± 1.0 |
| 23.5 | 23.9 | 0.4 | ± 1.0 |

Ms Chan Ka Yu, Karen Manager - Organics

PROMAT (HK) LTD

寶時(香港)有限公司

901 New Trend Centre, 704 Prince Edward Road East, San Po Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong Tel: (852)2661 2392 Fax: (852)2661 2086 Email:service@promat.hk http://www.promat.hk



Calibration Certificate

Customer Name

Als Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Model

Gasurveyor 512-Leak

Serial

554846

Tested On

10 August 2022

Cal Expires

10 August 2023

Calibrated For

METHANE

100% LEL Equivalent

4.4% by VOL

Leak Test

PASS

Overall Results

PASS

Calibration Result

| Gas Applied | Range | Reading | Calibrated | Result |
|-------------|----------|---------|------------|--------|
| Zero Air | % LEL | -0.1 | 0.0 | PASS |
| Zero Air | % GAS | 0.0 | 0.0 | PASS |
| Zero Air | Semi-Int | 0.0 | 0 | PASS |

| Gas Applied | Range | Reading | Calibrated | Result |
|-----------------|----------|---------|------------|--------|
| 30 PPM Methane | Semi-Int | 11 | 30 | PASS |
| 50% LEL Methane | % LEL | 60.8 | 50.0 | PASS |
| 99% VOL Methane | % GAS | 105.8 | 98.9 | PASS |

Calibrated By:

Landfill Gas Monitoring Results

Table G3.1 Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Perimeter LFG Monitoring Wells

| Location | Water Level (mPD) | Methane (% (v/v)) | Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v)) | Oxygen (% (v/v)) |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| LFG1 | 2.58 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 19.1 |
| LFG2 | 2.47 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 19.1 |
| LFG3 | 2.54 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 21.2 |
| LFG4 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.2 |
| LFG5 | 3.44 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 9.3 |
| LFG6 | 2.49 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.1 |
| LFG7 | 2.77 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 |
| LFG8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.3 |
| LFG9 | 2.75 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 7.4 |
| LFG10 | 2.41 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
| LFG11 | 2.11 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| LFG12 | 2.56 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
| LFG13 | 2.27 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 12.2 |
| LFG14 | 2.06 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 12.8 |
| LFG15 | 4.46 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 19.7 |
| LFG16 | 2.62 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 20.9 |
| LFG17 | 2.96 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.5 |
| LFG18 | 2.95 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.4 |
| LFG19 | 3.07 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 8.3 |
| LFG20 | 2.99 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.6 |
| LFG21 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 14.5 |
| LFG22 | 2.77 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 12.3 |
| LFG23 | 12.52 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 19.6 |
| LFG24 | 6.36 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.1 |
| GP1 | Probe bent | 0.0 | 6.4 | 13.4 |
| GP2 (shallow) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 0.5 | 19.6 |
| GP2 (deep) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 5.3 | 13.6 |
| GP3 (shallow) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.5 |
| GP3 (deep) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.6 |
| GP4 (shallow) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.6 |
| GP4 (deep) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.6 |
| GP5 (shallow) | Probe bent | 0.0 | 7.1 | 16.2 |
| GP5 (deep) | 38.81 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 |
| GP6 | 36.18 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 17.5 |
| GP7 | 36.24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 |
| GP12 | 2.01 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.1 |
| GP15 | 2.55 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.0 |
| P7 | 2.51 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.8 |
| P8 | 2.64 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.0 |
| P9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.8 |

Table G3.2 Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Service Voids, Utilities Pits and Manholes

| Location | Methane (% (v/v)) | Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v)) | Oxygen (% (v/v)) |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| UU01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| UU02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| UU03 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.8 |
| UU04 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.8 |
| UU05 | Unmeasurable d | ue to overflow of water | |
| UU06 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 20.6 |
| UU07 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| UU08 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 20.6 |
| UU09 | Inaccessible due | to on-going construction wo | rk |
| UU10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 |
| UU11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 |
| UU12 | Voided due to la | test site programme and on-g | oing operation work |
| UU13 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
| UU14 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 79.5 |
| UU15 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 79.6 |
| UU16 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
| UU17 | Voided due to la | test site programme and on-g | oing operation work |
| UU18 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 79.6 |
| UU19 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 79.0 |
| UU20 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| UU21 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| UU22 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 79.5 |
| UU23 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 79.5 |
| UU24 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 79.8 |
| UU25 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 79.8 |
| UU26 | Inaccessible due | to on-going construction wor | rk |
| UU27 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| UU28 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 79.4 |

Table G3.3 Landfill Gas Bulk Gas Sampling Monitoring Results

| Parameters | LFG1 | LFG8 |
|---------------------------|----------|---------|
| Methane (% (v/v)) | < 0.0200 | <0.020 |
| Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v)) | 0.419 | 0.138 |
| Oxygen ($\%$ (v/v)) | 15.6 | 17.2 |
| Nitrogen (% (v/v)) | 80.6 | 79.2 |
| Carbon Monoxide (% (v/v)) | < 0.020 | < 0.020 |
| Hydrogen (% (v/v)) | < 0.020 | < 0.020 |
| Ethane (ppmv) | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Propane (ppmv) | <1.0 | <1.0 |
| Butane (ppmv) | <1.0 | <1.0 |

Table G3.4 Flammable Gas Surface Emission Monitoring Results

| Time | GPS | | Weather | Temperature | Wind | Wind | Monitoring |
|-------|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|------------|
| | Coordinates | Longitude | Condition | (°C) | Direction | Speed | Results |
| | Latitude (N) | (E) | | | (Deg) | (m/s) | (ppm) |
| 14:54 | 22º16′26″ | 114º16'35" | Sunny | 26.4 | 146 | 0.9 | 25 |
| 16:01 | 22º16′26″ | 114°16′34″ | Sunny | 25.8 | 185 | 1.7 | 11 |

Event and Action Plan for Landfill Gas Monitoring

Annex G4 Event and Action Plan for Landfill Gas Monitoring

| Event | | Action | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Limit Level being exceeded for field monitoring at the perimeter monitoring wells | Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project for monitoring wells in the areas where there is development within 250m of the SENTX Site Boundary and to weekly for other monitoring wells, until no exceedance of limit level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Repeat field measurement to confirm findings Check the performance of landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |
| Limit Level being exceeded for the bulk gas sampling at the perimeter monitoring wells | Check and compare the results of field monitoring and laboratory analyse of bulk samples If the results of field monitoring also show exceedance, the action(s) for limit level being exceeded for field monitoring would have been triggered If the results of field monitoring does not show exceedance, the sampling procedures should be checked and if deems necessary, to repeat the monitoring and recalibrate the portable monitoring instruments Notify the above findings to Contractor and IEC | | • Nil |

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

| Event | | Action | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Limit Level being exceeded at the permanent gas monitoring system | Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check the methane gas level at the perimeter monitoring wells, manholes or utilities duct Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Evacuate all staff in the concerned building Open the doors and window of all rooms on the ground floor Do not allow staff to go back to the room if methane level is higher than 1% gas Check the performance of the landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |
| Limit Level being exceeded during surface emission monitoring | Repeat the measurement to confirm findings Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to monthly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of limit level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Check landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |

| Event | | Action | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | Contractor |
| Limit Level being exceeded at the service voids, utilities pits, manholes and location of vegetation stress | Repeat the measurement to confirm findings Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of limit level | Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures | Check landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |

Annex H

Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Status of Prosecutions

Table H1 Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances

| | | Total No. recorded in this reporting period | Total No. recorded since project |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | | commencement |
| Air Quality (Dust) | Action | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit | 0 | 3 |
| Air Quality (Odour) | Action | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Air Quality (Emissions of Thermal | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Oxidiser) | | | |
| Air Quality (Emissions of Landfill | Limit | 1 | 3 |
| Gas Flare) | | | |
| Air Quality (Emissions of Landfill | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Gas Generator) | | | |
| Noise | Action | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Water Quality (Surface Water) | Limit | 0 | 60 |
| Water Quality (Leachate) | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Water Quality (Leachate Level) | Limit | 3 | 16 |
| Water Quality (Groundwater) | Limit | 0 | 9 |
| Landfill Gas (Perimeter Landfill Gas | Limit | 0 | 2 |
| Monitoring Wells) | | | |
| Landfill Gas (Service Void, Utilities | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| and Manholes) | | | |
| Landfill Gas (Permanent Gas | Limit | 0 | 0 |
| Monitoring System) | | | |

Table H2 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

| Reporting Period | Cumulative Statistics | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Complaints | Notifications of Summons | Prosecutions | | | |
| This Reporting Period (1 – 30 November 2022) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Total no. received since project commencement | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | |

Annex I

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Reporting Period

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Operation/ Restoration Phase

December 2022

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|-----|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | 1 | 2 Dust Monitoring | 3 |
| 4 | 5 Noise Monitoring | 6 Perimeter LFG Monitoring Leachate Monitoring | 7 | 8 Dust Monitoring | 9 Service Void LFG Monitoring | 10 |
| 11 | 12 Groundwater Monitoring | Groundwater Monitoring Stack Monitoring Odour Monitoring | Dust Monitoring Stack Monitoring | Noise Monitoring | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 Dust Monitoring | 21 Noise Monitoring Surface Water Monitoring | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 Dust Monitoring | 27 | 28 Noise Monitoring | 29 | 30 | 31 |