



South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.56 for August 2023

February 2024

ERM

2509, 25/F One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong T: 2271 3000 F: 3015 8052 www.erm.com





South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Environmental Certification Sheet EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B

Reference Document/Plan

Document/Plan to be Certified/Verified:

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report No.56

for August 2023 for South East New Territories (SENT)

Landfill Extension

Date of Report:

29 February 2024

Reference EP Condition

EP Condition:

Condition No. 3.4

Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 10 working days after the end of the reporting month. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit Levels). The submissions shall be verified by the IEC. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

ET Certification

I hereby certify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B.

Terence Fong,

Environmental Team Leader:

(ERM Hong-Kong, Limited)

Date:

29 February 2024

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-308/2008/B and FEP-01/308/2008/B.

Claudine Lee,

Independent Environmental Checker:

(Meinhardt Infrastructure and

Environment Limited)

Date: 7 March 2024

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for August 2023

Environmental Resources Management

2509, 25/F, One Harbourfront 18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom, Kowloon Hong Kong Telephone: (852) 2271 3000

Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 3015 8052 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com

Client:		Project No:			
Green Valley Landfill Ltd.		0465169			
Summary		Date:			
		29 February 2024			
		Appro	ved by:		
This document presents the Monthly EM&A Report No. 56 for August 2023 for South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension		levor			
		Terence Fong			
		Partner			
1	Monthly EM&A Report No.56 (for August 2023) (ES, S2.3.3, 2.9, Annex F9 revised)	AL	TF	TF	29 Feb 2024
0	Monthly EM&A Report No.56 (for August 2023)	AL	TF	TF	13 Sep 2023
Revision	Description	Ву	Checked	Approved	Date
		Distribution			
This report has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading name of 'ERM Hong-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business		☐ Internal			
and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.		\boxtimes	Public		
We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.		☐ Confidential			
This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.		bsi.	9001 Quality Management	ISO 45001 Occupational Health and Safety Management	



CONTENTS

	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
1.3	SCOPE OF THE EM&A REPORT	2
1.4	PROJECT ORGANISATION	2
1.5	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS	3
1.6	SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS	4
1.7	STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE	
	ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT	5
1.8	STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS	5
2	EM&A RESULTS	7
2.1	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	7
2.2	NOISE MONITORING	20
2.3	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	21
2.4	LANDFILL GAS MONITORING	30
2.5	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING	35
2.6	EM&A SITE INSPECTION	36
2.7	WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS	37
2.8	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	38
2.9	SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMAN	
	LIMIT	38
2.10	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL	
	PROSECUTIONS	38
3	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	40
3.1	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE COMING MONTH	40
3.2	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	40
3.3	MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE COMING MONTH	40
4	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	41

ANNEXES

ANNEX A	WORK PROGRAMME
ANNEX B	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
ANNEX C	MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THIS REPORTING PERIOD
ANNEX D	AIR QUALITY
ANNEX D1	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR DUST MONITORING EQUIPMENT
ANNEX D2	24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
ANNEX D3	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
ANNEX D4	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
ANNEX D5	CERTIFICATES OF THE QUALIFIED ODOUR PANELIST
ANNEX D6	ODOUR MONITORING RESULTS
ANNEX D7	THERMAL OXIDIZER, LANDFILL GAS FLARE AND LANDFILL GAS

ANNEX E NOISE

ANNEX E1 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ANNEX D8 AMBIENT VOCS, AMMONIA AND H2S MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX E2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX E3 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR NOISE MONITORING

GENERATOR STACK EMISSION MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX F WATER QUALITY

ANNEX F1 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ANNEX F2 SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX F3 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR WATER QUALITY MONITORING

ANNEX F4 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR EFFLUENT QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ANNEX F5 LEACHATE LEVELS MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX F6 EFFLUENT QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX F7 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR GROUNDWATER MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ANNEX F8 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX F9 INVESTIGATION REPORTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY LIMIT EXCEEDANCE

ANNEX G LANDFILL GAS

ANNEX G1 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR SERVICE VOIDS, UTILITIES AND MANHOLES ALONG THE SITE BOUNDARY AND WITHIN THE SENTX SITE

ANNEX G2 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR LANDFILL GAS MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ANNEX G3 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING RESULTS

ANNEX G4 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

ANNEX H CUMULATIVE STATISTICS ON EXCEEDANCES, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND STATUS OF PROSECUTIONS

ANNEX I MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) is commissioned to undertake the role of Environmental Team (ET) for the construction, operation/restoration and aftercare of SENTX Project ("the Project") in accordance with the requirements specified in the Environmental Permit (EP), updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual, the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of the Project taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements. The construction (not including works related to site clearance and preparation) and operation of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019 and 21 November 2021, respectively.

This Monthly EM&A report presents the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 to 31 August 2023 for the Project in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for operation/restoration phase air quality monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for operation/restoration phase noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

One exceedance of the Limit Levels for groundwater (Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)) and one exceedance of Limit Levels for surface water (Suspended Solids) (SS) were recorded for water quality monitoring in the reporting period. The surface water (SS) exceedance at DP4 on 4 August 2023 was found deemed to Project-related activities. The groundwater (COD) exceedance at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was considered non Project-related upon further investigation.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for Landfill Gas

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for operation/restoration phase landfill gas monitoring was recorded in the reporting period.

Environmental Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

Reporting Change

There was no reporting change in the reporting period.

Future Key Issues

Potential environmental impacts arising from the upcoming construction/operational activities in the next reporting period of September 2023 are mainly associated with potential surface water impact in the rainy season.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The SENT Landfill Extension (SENTX) forms an integral part in the Strategic Plan in maintaining the continuity of landfill capacity in the Hong Kong for the cost-effective and environmentally satisfactory disposal of waste. The *Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report* and the associated *Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual* for the construction, operation, restoration and aftercare of the SENTX (hereafter referred to as "the Project") have been approved under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO)* in May 2008 (Register No.: AEIAR-117/2008) (hereafter referred to as the approved EIA Report) and an Environmental Permit (EP-308/2008) (EP) was granted by the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 5 August 2008.

Since then, applications for Variation of an Environmental Permit (No. VEP-531/2017) were submitted to EPD and the Variation of Environmental Permits (EP-308/2008/A and EP-308/2008/B) were granted on 6 January 2012 and 20 January 2017, respectively, as the Hong Kong SAR Government has decided to reduce the scale of the design scheme of SENTX assessed in the approved EIA Report and SENTX will only receive construction waste. In May 2018, a Further Environmental Permit (FEP) (FEP-01/308/2008/B) was granted to the SENTX's contractor, Green Valley Landfill, Limited (GVL).


ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) and Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited (Meinhardt) are commissioned to undertake the roles of Environmental Team (ET) and the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), respectively, to undertake the EM&A activities for the Project in accordance with the requirements specified in the EP, updated EM&A Manual (1), approved EIA Report (2) taking account of the latest design and other relevant statutory requirements.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The SENTX is a piggyback landfill, occupying the southern part of the existing SENT Landfill (including its infrastructure area) and 13 ha of Tseung Kwan O (TKO) Area 137. A layout plan of the SENTX is shown in *Figure 1.1*. Under the latest design, the SENTX has a net void capacity of about 6.5 Mm³ and provides an additional lifespan of about 6 years, commencing operation upon exhaustion of the SENT Landfill. The SENTX will receive construction waste only.

⁽¹⁾ ERM (2018). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension: Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual

⁽²⁾ ERM (2007). South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension – Feasibility Study: Environmental Impact Assessment Report



The key implementation milestones of the Project are indicatively summarised in *Table 1.1*. The construction works and operation of the Project commenced on 2 January 2019 and 21 November 2021, respectively.

Table 1.1 Estimated Key Dates of Implementation Programme

Key Stage of the Project	Indicative Date
Start construction	2 January 2019
Commissioning of new infrastructure facilities	2020
Demolition of existing infrastructure facilities	2021
Start waste intake at SENTX	21 November 2021
Estimated exhaustion date of SENTX	2027
End of aftercare for SENTX	2057

The major construction works of the SENTX includes:

- Site formation at the TKO Area 137 and the existing infrastructure area at SENT Landfill;
- Construction of surface and groundwater drainage systems;
- Construction of the leachate containment and collection systems;
- Construction of new leachate and landfill gas treatment facilities, site offices, maintenance yards at the new infrastructure area;
- Construction of new pipelines to transfer the leachate and landfill gas
 collected from the existing SENT Landfill to the treatment facilities at the
 new infrastructure area;
- Construction of the site access and new waste reception facilities; and
- Demolition of the facilities at the existing SENT Landfill infrastructure area.


1.3 SCOPE OF THE EM&A REPORT

This is the Monthly EM&A Report for the Project which summarises the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 to 31 August 2023 for the construction and operation works.

1.4 PROJECT ORGANISATION

The organisation structure of the Project is presented in *Figure 1.2*.

Figure 1.2 Organisation Chart



Contact details of the key personnel are summarised in *Table 1.2* below.

Table 1.2 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone
Contractor	Project Manager	Carl Lai	2706 8829
(Green Valley Landfill Limited)			
Environmental Team (ET) (ERM-Hong	ET Leader	Terence Fong	2271 3156
Kong, Limited)			
Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	IEC	Claudine Lee	2859 5409
(Meinhardt Infrastructure and			
Environment Limited)			

1.5 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS

The programme of the construction is shown in *Annex A*. As informed by the Contractor, the major works carried out in this reporting period include:

- Rectification of latent defects at Landfill Gas (LFG) Plant and Leachate Treatment Plant (LTP);
- Maintenance and improvement of temporary surface water drainage;
- Rectification of outstanding minor items for weighmaster house and guard house;
- E&M works for Diesel Fuel Tank, such as pumps and equipment; and
- Restoration of Phase 1 Cell 1X.

The implementation schedule of the mitigation measured recommended in the Updated EM&A Manual is presented in *Annex B*.

1.6 SUMMARY OF EM&A PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

The status for all environmental aspects are presented in *Table 1.3*. The EM&A requirements remained unchanged during the reporting period.

Table 1.3 Summary of Status for the Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

Parameters	Status
Air Quality	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline air quality monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Noise	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline noise monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Water Quality	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline surface water quality monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3
Impact Monitoring	On-going On-going
Landfill Gas	
Impact Monitoring	On-going On-going
Waste Management	
Waste Monitoring	On-going On-going
Landscape and Visual	
Baseline Monitoring	The results of baseline landscape and visual monitoring were reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under EP Condition 3.3
Operation Phase Audit	On-going On-going
Site Environmental Audit	
Regular Site Inspection	On-going
Complaint Hotline and Email Channel	On-going On-going
Environmental Log Book	On-going

Taking into account the operation works, impact monitoring of air quality, noise, water quality, landfill gas and waste management were carried out in the reporting period. The impact monitoring schedule of air quality, noise, water quality and landfill gas monitoring are provided in *Annex C*.

The EM&A programme also involved environmental site inspections and related auditing conducted by the ET for checking the implementation of the required environmental mitigation measures recommended in the approved EIA Report and relevant EP submissions. To promote the environmental awareness and enhance the environmental performance of the contractors, environmental trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted during the reporting period, which are summarized as below:

One environmental management meeting was held with the Contractor,

• Environmental toolbox trainings on Cut Down Construction Dust and Chemical Waste Handling were provided on 16 August 2023 and 23 August 2023, respectively by the Contractor to the workers.

1.7 STATUS OF STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT

The status of statutory environmental compliance with the EP conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of mitigation measures are presented in *Table 1.4*.

Table 1.4 Status of Submissions required under the EP and Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures

EP	Submission/Implementation Status	Status
Condition		
2.3	Management Organisation of Main	Submitted and accepted by EPD.
	Construction Companies	
2.4	Setting up of Community Liaison Group	Community Liaison Group was set up.
2.5	Submission of Detailed Landfill Gas	Submitted and accepted by EPD on 10
	Hazard Assessment Report	January 2019.
2.6	Submission of Restoration and Ecological	Submitted to EPD on 28 June 2019.
	Enhancement Plan	
2.7	Setting up of Trial Nursery	Trial Nursery works was commenced
		on 28 August 2019.
2.8	Advance Screen Planting	Advance Screen Planting works were
		completed on 28 June 2019.
2.9	Provision of Multi-layer Composite Liner	Under implementation.
	System	

1.8 STATUS OF OTHER STATUTORY ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The environmental licenses and permits (including EP, *Water Pollution Control Ordinance* (WPCO) discharge license, registration as a chemical waste producer, and construction noise permit) that are valid in the reporting period are presented in *Table 1.5*. No non-compliance with environmental statutory requirements was identified.

Table 1.5 Status of Statutory Environmental Requirements

Description	Ref No.	Status
Environmental Permit	EP-308/2008	Granted on 5 August 2008
Variation of Environmental Permit	EP-308/2008/A	Granted on 6 January 2012
	EP-308/2008/B	Granted on 20 January 2017
Further Environmental Permit	FEP-01/308/2008/B	Granted on 16 May 2018
Water Discharge License under	Licence No.: WT00041447-	Validity from 17 June 2022
WPCO (Permit Holder: GVL)	2022	to 30 June 2024
Billing Account for Disposal of	Chit Account Number:	Approved on 28 December
Construction Waste	5001692	2005
Registration as a Chemical Waste	5296-839-G2228-01	Issued on 31 December 2015
Producer (Permit Holder: GVL)		
Construction Noise Permit (Permit	GW-RE0245-23	Validity from 15 March
Holder: GVL)		2023 to 14 September 2023

2 EM&A RESULTS

The EM&A programme for the Project required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise, water quality and landfill gas as well as environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, landfill gas, waste management, and landscape and visual impacts. The EM&A requirements and related findings for each component are summarised in the following sections.

2.1 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1.1 Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact dust monitoring (in term of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was carried out at the four designated locations along the site boundary (i.e. AM1, AM2, AM3 and AM4) during the operation/restoration phase, at a 6-day interval.

The Action and Limit Levels of the dust monitoring is provided in *Table 2.1* below.

Table 2.1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level	Limit Level
AM1 - SENTX Site Boundary (North)		
AM2 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3)	260	2(0,, 3
AM3 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15)	260 μg m- ³	260 μg m- ³
AM4 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building)		

High volume air samplers (HVSs) in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 3.2.2 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to measure 24-hour TSP levels at the dust monitoring stations. The HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals to check the validity and accuracy of the results.

The equipment used in the impact dust monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.2* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex D1*.




Table 2.2 Dust Monitoring Details

Monitoring Station	Location	Parameter	Frequency and Duration	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
AM1	SENTX Site Boundary (North)	24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days	5, 11, 17, 23, 29 Aug 2023	Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 3976)
AM2	SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3)				Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 3573)
AM3	SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15)				Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 3572)
AM4	SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building)				Tisch TE-5170 (S/N: 3957)

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for dust monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP are summarised in *Table 2.3*. The detailed monitoring results and the graphical presentation of the 24-hour TSP results at each monitoring location are provided in *Annex D2*.

Table 2.3 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Monitoring Station	Average 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg m ⁻³) (Range in bracket)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
AM1 - SENTX Site Boundary (North)	70 (53 – 112)	260	260
AM2 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near DP3)	120 (76 – 156)	260	260
AM3 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15)	97 (56 – 125)	260	260
AM4 - SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building)	79 (46 – 105)	260	260

The major dust sources in the reporting period included fugitive dust emission from exposed area in SENTX, as well as nearby operations of the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank.

No Action and Limit Levels exceedance was recorded for TSP monitoring in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3*.

Meteorological Data

Meteorological data obtained from the SENTX on-site meteorological monitoring station was used for the dust monitoring and is shown in *Annex D4*. It is considered that meteorological data obtained at the on-site meteorological monitoring station is representative of the Project area and could be used for the operation/restoration phase dust monitoring programme for the Project.

2.1.2 Odour Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, odour patrol was carried out along the site boundary during the operation/ restoration phase.

During the first month of operation, daily odour patrol (3 times per day) was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC. The odour intensity detected was based on that determined by the IEC. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC three times per week. During these patrols, the odour intensity detected was based on that determined by the independent third party.

Reduction of odour monitoring frequency from Period 1 (daily, three times per day) to Period 2 (weekly) was approved by EPD on 4 February 2022. Weekly odour patrol was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC from 10 February 2022. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC once every two weeks.

Reduction of odour monitoring frequency from Period 2 (weekly) to Period 3 (monthly) was approved by EPD on 2 June 2022. Monthly odour patrol was conducted jointly by the ET and the IEC from 28 June 2022. In addition, an independent party (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) was appointed to undertake odour patrol together with the ET and IEC quarterly.

The Action and Limit Levels for odour patrol is provided in *Table 2.4* below.

Table 2.4 Action and Limit Levels for Odour Patrol

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
Perceived odour intensity and odour complaints	 Odour intensity ≥ Class 2 recorded; or One documented complaint received 	• Odour intensity ≥ Class 3 recorded on 2 consecutive patrol (a) (b)
Notes:		

Notes

- (a) i.e. either Class 3-strong or Class 4-extreme odour intensity.
- (b) The exceedances of the odour intensity do not need to be recorded at the same location.

Odour patrol was conducted by trained personnel/competent persons with a specific sensitivity to a reference odour (i.e. on reference materials n-butanol with the concentration of 50ppm in nitrogen (v/v)) in compliance with Section 3.7.2 of the updated EM&A Manual patrolling and sniffing along the SENTX Site boundary to detect any odour.

The odour monitoring programme and patrol route are summarised in *Table* 2.5 and illustrated in *Figure* 2.2 respectively. Copies of the certificates of the qualified odour panelist are presented in *Annex D5*.

Table 2.5 Odour Monitoring Details


Patrol	Parameters	Patrol Frequency (a)	Monitoring Dates
Locations			
Patrol along	Odour	Period 1 - First month of operation	Conducted by ET &
the SENTX	٠, ١	Daily, three times a day in the morning,	IEC:
Site Boundary	Table 2.6)	afternoon and evening/night (between	17 Aug 2023
(Checkpoints		18:00 and 22:00 hrs) conducted by the ET and the IEC	Condusted by an
OP1 - OP17)		ET and the IEC	Conducted by an independent third
		Three times per week on different days	party, ET & IEC:
		conducted by an independent third	-
		party together with the ET and IEC (b)	
		Period 2 - Three months following	
		period 1 (c)	
		Weekly conducted by the ET and the	
		IEC	
		Once every two weeks conducted by an	
		independent third party together with the ET and IEC (b)	
		the E1 and IEC (9)	
		Period 3 - Throughout operation	
		following period 2 (c)	
		Monthly conducted by the ET and the	
		IEC	
		Quarterly conducted by an independent	
		third party together with the ET and IEC (b)	

Notes:

- (a) Reduction of monitoring frequency will be subject to the monitoring results to demonstrate environmentally acceptable performance.
- (b) Patrol shall be scheduled so that they are carried out together with the patrols to be carried out jointly by the ET and the IEC.
- (c) Commencement of each period will be justified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC and will be subject to agreement with the EPD (EIAO Authority) and Project Proponent.

Table 2.6 Odour Intensity Level

Class	Odour Intensity	Description
0	Not Detected	No odour perceived or an odour so weak that it cannot be easily characterised or described.
1	Slight	Identified odour, slight



Class	Odour Intensity	Description
2	Moderate	Identified odour, moderate
3	Strong	Identified odour, strong
4	Extreme	Severe odour

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for odour patrol during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The odour monitoring results are summarised and provided in *Table 2.7* and *Annex D6*, respectively.

Table 2.7 Summary of Odour Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Odour Checkpoints	Odour Intensity Class	Action Level	Limit Level
OP1	0	Odour intensity ≥	Odour intensity ≥
OP2	0	Class 2 recorded	Class 3 recorded
OP3	0		on 2 consecutive patrol
OP4	1		patroi
OP5	0		
OP6	0		
OP7	0		
OP8	1		
OP9	1		
OP10	1		
OP11	1		
OP12	0		
OP13	0		
OP14	0		
OP15	0		
OP16	0		
OP17	0		

The potential odour source in the reporting period included the tipping area and sediment trap at SENTX. All the odour monitoring results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3*.

2.1.3 Thermal Oxidiser, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, the performance of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator was

monitored when they are in operation. Gas samples were collected from the stack of the thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator for laboratory analysis for NO₂, CO, SO₂, Benzene and Vinyl chloride and in-situ analysis for exhaust gas velocity at monthly interval and for laboratory analysis for non-methane organic compounds and ammonia (for thermal oxidizer only) at quarterly interval. The operating conditions of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator were also monitored continuously.

The Limit Levels for stack emission of the thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator are provided in Tables 2.8 - 2.10 below.

Table 2.8 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Thermal Oxidiser

Parameters	Limit Level
NO ₂	1.58 gs ⁻¹
CO	0.53 gs ⁻¹
SO ₂	0.07 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	$3.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl chloride	$2.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Gas combustion temperature	850°C (minimum)
Exhaust gas exit temperature	443K (minimum) (a)
Exhaust gas velocity	7.5 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a)
Note:	
(a) Level under full load condition.	

Table 2.9 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Landfill Gas Flare

Parameters	Limit Level
NO ₂	0.97 gs ⁻¹
CO	2.43 gs ⁻¹
SO ₂	0.22 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	$4.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl Chloride	$2.60 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Gas combustion temperature	815°C (minimum)
Exhaust gas exit temperature	923 K (minimum) (a)
Exhaust gas velocity	9.0 m s ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a)
Note:	
(a) Level under full load condition.	

Table 2.10 Limit Levels for Stack Emission of the Landfill Gas Generator

Limit Level
1.91 gs ⁻¹
2.48 gs ⁻¹
0.528 gs ⁻¹
$2.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
$1.88 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
450°C (minimum)

Parameters	Limit Level
Exhaust gas exit temperature	723K (minimum) (a)
Exhaust gas velocity	30.0 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) (a)
Note:	
(a) Level under full load condition.	

Gas samples were collected from the centroid of the stack with stainless steel sampling probe, into inert sample containers (i.e. Canister and Tedlar Bag) and transferred to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) laboratory within 24 hours of collection for direct analysis on a gas chromatography within 48 hours after collection. The flue gas velocity of the gas stream at the exhaust of thermal oxidize was determined by S-Pitot tube during the emission sampling.

The stack emission monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.11* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively.

Table 2.11 Thermal Oxidiser, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Details

Monitoring Location	Parameter	Frequency	Monitoring Date	
Stack of Thermal	Laboratory analysis for	Monthly for the first 12 months of operation and thereafter at quarterly intervals	15 Aug 2023	
Oxidiser	• NO ₂			
	• CO			
	• SO ₂			
	• Benzene			
	 Vinyl chloride 			
	In-situ analysis for			
	Exhaust gas velocity			
	Laboratory analysis for	Quarterly for the 1st	15 Aug 2023	
	• Non-methane organic compounds	year of operation (b)		
	Laboratory analysis for	Quarterly	15 Aug 2023	
	• Ammonia			
	 Gas combustion temperature 	Continuously	1 - 31 Aug 2023	
	• Exhaust temperature			
	Exhaust gas velocity (a)			
Stack of Landfill Gas	Laboratory analysis for	Monthly for the first 12	16 Aug 2023	
Flare	• NO ₂	months of operation and thereafter at		
	• CO	quarterly intervals		
	• SO ₂			
	• Benzene			
	Vinyl chloride			
	In-situ analysis for			
	Exhaust gas velocity			

Monitoring Location	Parameter	Frequency	Monitoring Date
	Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds	Quarterly for the 1st year of operation (b)	16 Aug 2023
	 Gas combustion temperature Exhaust temperature Exhaust gas velocity (a) 	Continuously	1 - 31 Aug 2023
Stack of Landfill Gas Generator	Laboratory analysis for NO ₂ CO SO ₂ Benzene Vinyl chloride In-situ analysis for Exhaust gas velocity	Monthly for the first 12 months of operation and thereafter at quarterly intervals	16 Aug 2023
	Laboratory analysis for Non-methane organic compounds	Quarterly for the 1st year of operation (b)	16 Aug 2023
	 Exhaust temperature Exhaust gas velocity ^(a) 	Continuously	1 - 31 Aug 2023

Notes:

- (a) The exhaust gas velocity is calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and continuous monitored gas flow and combustion temperature data.
- (b) The monitoring results are being reviewed to determine if monitoring of this parameter can be terminated upon agreement by the EIAO Authority, IEC and Project Proponent.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission monitoring results and detailed continuous monitoring results are summarised in *Tables* 2.12 - 2.14 and provided in *Annex D7*, respectively.

Table 2.12 Summary of Thermal Oxidiser Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket)	Limit Level
NO ₂	$0.14~{ m gs}^{-1}$	1.58 gs ⁻¹
CO	$0.03~{ m gs}^{-1}$	0.53 gs ⁻¹
SO_2	<0.01 gs ⁻¹	0.07 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	<1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹	$3.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl chloride	$9.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$	$2.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Non-Methane Organic Carbon	0.009 gs ⁻¹	-
Ammonia	0.0361 gs ⁻¹	- (c)

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket)	Limit Level
Gas combustion temperature	922°C (885°C – 955°C)	850°C (minimum)
Exhaust gas exit temperature	1,212K (1,180K - 1,228K)	443K (minimum) (a)
Exhaust gas velocity	8.7 ms ^{-1 (b)}	7.5 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) (a)

Notes:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.
- (c) The emission limit for ammonia is under review and will be supplemented in subsequent revision.

Table 2.13 Summary of Landfill Gas Flare Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket)	Limit Level
NO_2	<0.02 gs ⁻¹	0.97 gs ⁻¹
CO	$0.08~{ m gs}^{-1}$	2.43 gs ⁻¹
SO ₂	0.06 gs ⁻¹	0.22 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	<1.22 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹	$4.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl chloride	$<9.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$	$2.60 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Non-Methane Organic Carbon	0.003 gs ⁻¹	-
Gas combustion temperature	Flare 1: 878°C (830°C - 980°C)	815°C (minimum)
	Flare 2: 855°C (820°C - 930°C)	
Exhaust gas exit temperature	Flare 1: 1,056K (993K - 1,133K)	923 K (minimum) (a)
	Flare 2: 1,063K (1,003K - 1,123K)	
Exhaust gas velocity	8.9 ms ^{-1 (b)}	9.0 m s ⁻¹ (minimum) ^(a)

Note:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Table 2.14 Summary of Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring in the Reporting Period

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Range in Bracket)	Limit Level
NO_2	0.024 gs ⁻¹	1.91 gs ⁻¹
CO	0.895 gs ⁻¹	2.48 gs ⁻¹
SO_2	<0.001 gs ⁻¹	0.528 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	$6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$	$2.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl chloride	<1.1 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹	$1.88 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Non-Methane Organic Carbons	$1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$	-
Exhaust gas exit temperature	ENGA: 865K (846K - 876K)	723K (minimum) (a)
	ENGB : 855K (843K - 875K)	
Exhaust gas velocity	13.1 ms ⁻¹ (b)	30.0 ms ⁻¹ (minimum) (a)

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Range in	Limit Level
	Bracket)	

Note:

- (a) Level under full load condition.
- (b) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for thermal oxidizer, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission monitoring in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3*.

2.1.4 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, ambient VOCs, ammonia and H_2S monitoring was carried out at the four designated locations along the site boundary (i.e. AM1, AM2, AM3 and AM4) during the operation/restoration phase, at quarterly interval.

The Limit Levels for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring is provided in *Table 2.15* below.

Table 2.15 Limit Levels for Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring

NA (a)
180
42
NA (a)
26
2,660
19,200
8
150
3,530
99
353
667
5,550
210
33
64
NA (a)
2,746
5,500
29

Parameters	Limit Level (μg m ⁻³)
Methyl butanoate	30
Methanethiol	10
Toluene	1,244
Ethyl butanoate	71
Propyl benzene	19
Octane	7,942
Propyl propionate	276
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	39
Butyl acetate	7,240
Tetrachloroethylene	1,380
Ethyl benzene	738
Nonane	11,540
Ethanethiol	13
Decanes	3,608
Limonene	212
Butyl benzene	47
Undecane	5,562
Butanethiol	4
Terpenes	NA (a)
Xylenes	534
Dichlorobenzene	120

Notes:

(a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria, odour thresholds and WEL available.

VOCs

Ambient air samples were drawn into the pre-cleaned and vacuum canister directly when the valve of the flow controller (with preset flow rate) was opened. After sampling, the valve will be closed manually and the canister with VOCs gas samples were transported for laboratory analysis.

Methane

Pre-cleaned Tedlar bag was placed in the vacuum chamber. Ambient air was collected in the Tedlar bag under the vacuum condition when the pump is switched on. The Tedlar bag was filled up to 90% of total capacity to avoid leakage and bag deformation. After sampling, pump is switched off and the valve of Tedlar bag was closed manually. The air samples were transported back to laboratory for analysis.

Ammonia

Calibrated personal air pump was used to pump the air through a sulfuric acid-treated silica gel sorbent tube. Gaseous ammonia in air was then trapped in the sorbent tube. The tube was transported back to laboratory for analysis.

H_2S

H₂S in air is collected in mid-get impingers by aspirating a measured volume of air through an alkaline suspension of cadmium hydroxide (as the absorbing solution). The sulphide is precipitated as cadmium sulphide to prevent air oxidation of the sulphide. Arabinogalactan is added to the cadmium hydroxide slurry prior to sampling to minimize photo-decomposition of the precipitated cadmium sulphide. The solution is transported back to laboratory for analysis.

All air samples collected for laboratory analysis were transported to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) laboratory within 24 hours and analysed within 48 hours.

The ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring programme and monitoring locations are summarised in Table 2.16 and illustrated in Figure 2.1, respectively.

Table 2.16 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Details

Monitor Station	ing Location	Parameter	Frequency	Monitoring Date
AM1	SENTX Site Boundary (North) • Methane	Quarterly	15 Aug 2023
AM2	SENTX Site Boundary (West,	• Ammonia		
	near DP3)	• A suite of		
AM3	SENTX Site Boundary (West, near RC15)	VOCs (a)		
AM4	,	• H ₂ S		
AlVI4	SENTX Site Boundary (West, near EPD building)			
Notes:				
(a) A sui	ite of VOCs includes:			
•	Trichloroethylene •	Butyl benzene	 Dichlo 	orobenzene
•	Vinyl chloride •	Xylenes	 Methy 	l butanoate
•	Methylene chloride •	Decanes	• Dipro	pyl ether
•	Chloroform •	Undecane	 Metha 	nethiol
•	1,2-dichloroethane •	Limonene	• Ethan	ethiol
•	1,1,1-trichloroethane •	Terpenes	• Butan	ethiol
•	Carbon tetrachloride •	Ethanol	 Metha 	nnol
•	Tetrachloroethylene •	Butan-2-ol	 Hepta 	nes
•	1,2-dibromoethane •	Dimethylsulphide	 Octan 	es
•	Benzene •	Methyl propionate	 Nonai 	nes
•	Toluene •	Ethyl propionate	• Dichlo	orodifluoro-
•	Carbon disulphide •	Propyl propionate	metha	ine
•	Propyl benzene •	Butyl acetate	 Metha 	ane
•	Ethyl benzene •	Ethyl butanoate		

The schedule for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The ambient VOCs, ammonia and H_2S monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.17* and provided in *Annex D8*.

Table 2.17 Summary of Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Parameters		t Level Monitoring Results (µg m ⁻³)			
	(µg m ⁻³)	AM1 AM2		AM3	AM4
Ammonia	180	16	54	18	37
H ₂ S	42	<15	<15	<15	<15
Methane	NA (a)	0.00016 %(v/v)	0.00013 %(v/v)	0.00014 %(v/v)	0.00014 %(v/v)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	5,550	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	< 0.8
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	39	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1.2-Dichloroethane	210	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3
Benzene	33	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	<0.5
Butan-2-ol	667	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6
Butanethiol	4	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2
Carbon Disulphide	150	3.2	2.6	20.9	2
Carbon Tetrachloride	64	1	0.9	1	0.9
Chloroform	99	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Decanes	3,608	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	<0.7
Dichlorobenzene	120	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Dichlorodifluoro-methane	NA (a)	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7
Dimethylsulphide	8	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Dipropyl ether	NA (a)	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	< 0.8
Limonene	212	< 0.4	< 0.4	0.5	< 0.4
Ethanethiol	13	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6	< 0.6
Ethanol	19,200	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8
Ethyl butanoate	71	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Ethyl propionate	29	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	< 0.8
Ethyl benzene	738	<0.5	0.9	0.7	0.6
Heptane	2,746	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Methanethiol	10	< 0.4	< 0.4	<0.4	< 0.4
Methanol	2,660	<2.6	<2.6	<2.6	<2.6
Methyl butanoate	30	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	< 0.8
Methyl propionate	353	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7	< 0.7
Methylene Chloride	3,530	1.4	0.9	2.3	1
Butyl acetate	76	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Butyl benzene	47	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Nonane	11,540	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9
Propyl benzene	19	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Octane	7,942	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9
Propyl propionate	276	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Terpenes	NA (a)	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8

Parameters	Limit Level	Monitoring Results (μg m ⁻³)			
	(μg m ⁻³)	AM1	AM2	AM3	AM4
Tetrachloroethylene	1,380	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7
Toluene	1,244	0.9	0.8	1.4	0.7
Trichloroethylene	5,500	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1
Undecane	5,562	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2
Vinyl Chloride	26	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3
Xylenes	534	0.5	0.8	2.6	1.1

Notes:

All ambient VOCs, ammonia and H₂S monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex D3*.

2.2 Noise Monitoring

2.2.1 Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly at the monitoring location (i.e. NM1) to obtain one set of 30-minute measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays.

The Action and Limit Levels for operational noise of the Project are provided in *Table 2.18* below.

Table 2.18 Action and Limit Levels for Operational Noise

Time Period	Action Level (a)	Limit Level (b)
07:00 – 19:00 hrs on all days	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the noise	65 dB(A) at NSRs (c)
19:00 - 23:00 hrs on all days	sensitive receivers (NSRs) or	65 dB(A) at NSRs (c)
23:00 – 07:00 hrs on all days	75 dB(A) recorded at the monitoring station	55 dB(A) at NSRs (c)

Notes:

- (a) 75dB(A) along and at about 100m from the SENTX site boundary was set as the Action Level.
- (b) Limits specified in the GW-TM and IND-TM for construction and operational noise, respectively.
- (c) Limit Level only apply to operational noise without road traffic and construction activities noise.

Noise monitoring was performed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066) using sound level meter at the designated monitoring station NM1 (see *Figure 2.1*) in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meter at a known sound pressure level. Details of the deployed equipment are provided in *Table 2.19*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex E1*.

⁽a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria and WEL available.

Table 2.19 Noise Monitoring Details

Monitoring Station (1)	Location	Parameter	Frequency and Duration	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
NM1	SENTX Site Boundary (North)	L _{eq (30 min)} measurement between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays (Monday to Saturday)	Once per week for 30 mins during operation of the Project	7, 14, 24, 30 Aug 2023	Sound Level Meter: Rion NL-52 (S/N: 00643049) Acoustic Calibrator: CAL200 (S/N: 15678)

2.2.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for noise monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.2.3 Results and Observations

A total of 4 impact noise monitoring events were scheduled during the reporting period. Results for noise monitoring are summarised in *Table 2.20*. The monitoring results and the graphical presentation of the data are provided in *Annex E2*.

Table 2.20 Summary of Operation Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level L _{eq (30 min)} , dB(A)				
	Average Range Action and Limit Level				
NM1	54.7	51.4 - 57.2	75		

Major noise sources identified during the noise monitoring included noise from operations of the SENTX and the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank, aircrafts and insects.

No Action and Limit Levels exceedance was recorded for operation noise monitoring in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex E3*.

2.3 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.3.1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, impact surface water quality monitoring was carried out at the three designated surface water discharge points (i.e. DP3, DP4 and DP6) at monthly intervals during

operation/ restoration phase to ensure that the SENTX will not cause adverse water quality impact.

The parameters as listed in *Table 2.22* were determined by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

The Limit Levels of the surface water quality impact monitoring are provided in *Table 2.21*.

Table 2.21 Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

Parameters	Limit Level	
DP3		
Ammoniacal-nitrogen	$> 7.1 \mathrm{mg/L}$	
COD	> 30 mg/L	
SS	> 20 mg/L	
DP4 & DP6		
Ammoniacal-nitrogen	$> 0.5 \mathrm{mg/L}$	
COD	> 80 mg/L	
SS	$> 30 \mathrm{mg/L}$	

Notes:

The limit levels specified for other parameters in *Table 10a of the Technical Memorandum Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters* shall also be followed.

The locations of the monitoring stations for the Project are shown in *Figure 2.1*. All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the surface water quality monitoring programme. Calibration for a DO meter was carried out before measurement according to the instruction manual of the equipment model. Details of the equipment used in the impact surface water quality monitoring works are provided in *Table 2.22*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F1*.

Table 2.22 Impact Surface Water Quality Monitoring Details

Monitoring Station	Location	Frequency	Monitoring Dates	Parameter		Equipment
DP3 DP4	Surface water discharge point DP3 Surface water discharge point DP4	Monthly	4 Aug 2023	 pH Electrical conductivity (EC) DO SS COD BOD₅ TOC 	 Bicarbonate Chloride Sodium Potassium Calcium Magnesium Nickel Manganese Chromium Cadmium 	Horiba U- 52G (S/N: RSV50V1T)

Monitoring Station	Location	Frequency	Monitoring Dates	Parameter		Equipment
DP6	Surface water discharge point DP6			 Ammoniacal	LeadIron	
DP4	Surface water discharge point DP4	-	15 Aug 2023	• SS		-

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for surface water quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

One monitoring event for impact surface water quality monitoring was scheduled at all designated monitoring stations during the reporting period. However, sampling at DP3 and DP6 could not be carried out on 4 August 2023 due to insufficient flow. Details of impact water quality monitoring event are provided in *Annex F2*.

Limit Level exceedance was recorded for surface water (Suspended Solids) (SS) in the reporting period and actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3* were undertaken. Investigation of the Limit Level exceedance was conducted and the investigation report of the exceedance is presented in *Annex F9*.

Based on the investigation conducted for the monitoring event with potential Limit Level exceedance with the Contractor, and the IEC, the SS exceedance at DP4 on 4 August 2023 was found deemed to Project-related activities. The monitoring frequency shall increased to weekly in accordance with *Table 4.5b* of the updated EM&A Manual until no exceedance of Limit Level. However, the SS results at DP4 of the additional monitoring event conducted on 15 August 2023 are well below the Limit Level and the weekly surface water monitoring at DP4 was therefore not triggered.

The Contractor was reminded to implement all relevant mitigation measures for the construction and operation works and maintain good site practice. The

ET will keep track on the monitoring data and ensure Contractor's compliance of the environmental requirements.

2.3.2 Leachate Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual, continuous monitoring of leachate level and monthly monitoring of effluent quality were carried out during the operation/ restoration phase.

Reduction of effluent monitoring frequency (dry season) (from daily to monthly) was approved by EPD on 22 March 2022. Monthly effluent quality monitoring (dry season) shall be conducted from 23 March 2022. The reduction of effluent monitoring frequency (wet season) (from daily to monthly) was approved by EPD on 2 August 2022. Monthly effluent quality monitoring (wet season) shall be conducted from 3 August 2022.

Temperature, pH and volume of the effluent discharged from the leachate treatment plant were measured in-situ whereas the parameters as listed in *Table 2.24* were determined by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

The Limit Levels of the leachate monitoring are provided in *Table 2.23*.

Table 2.23 Limit Levels for Leachate Levels and Effluent Quality

Parameters	Limit Level			
Leachate Levels				
Leachate levels above the basal liner	1 m above the primary liner of the leachate containment system			
Effluent Quality				
Temperature	> 43 °C			
pH Value	6 - 10			
Volume Discharged	>2,000 m ³			
Suspended Solids (SS)	> 800 mg/L			
Phosphate	> 25 mg/L			
Sulphate	> 800 mg/L			
Total Inorganic Nitrogen (a)	> 100 mg/L			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	> 800 mg/L			
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	> 2,000 mg/L			
Oil & Grease	> 20 mg/L			
Boron	> 7,000 µg/L			
Iron	> 5 mg/L			
Cadmium	> 1 μg/L			
Chromium	> 300 µg/L			
Copper	> 1,000 μg/L			
Nickel	> 700 μg/L			
Zinc	> 700 μg/L			

Parameters Limit Level

Note:

(a) Total Inorganic Nitrogen include Ammoniacal-nitrogen, Nitrite-nitrogen and Nitrate-nitrogen.

All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the leachate quality monitoring programme. Details of the equipment used are provided in *Table 2.24*. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F4*.

Table 2.24 Leachate Levels and Effluent Quality Monitoring Details

Location	Frequency	Parameter	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
Leachate levels above the basal liner	Continuous	Leachate Levels	1 - 31 Aug 2023	Pairs of pressure transducers
Effluent discharged from LTP	Daily for the first 3 months upon full operation of the LTP at wet season (Apr to Sep) and dry season (Oct to Mar), respectively and reduce to monthly thereafter subject to the monitoring results of the first 3 months for each season and agreement with the EIAO Authority, IEC and IC. (a)	pHTemperatureLaboratory analysis:Suspended SolidsCOD	2 Aug 2023	Lutron PH- 208 (S/N: TF30605)

Note:

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for leachate monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The leachate levels and effluent quality monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.25* and *Table 2.26*, respectively. The detailed monitoring results are provided in *Annex F5* and *Annex F6*, respectively.

⁽a) Reduction of monitoring frequency will be subject to the monitoring results to demonstrate environmentally acceptable performance.

Table 2.25 Summary of Leachate Levels in the Reporting Period

Monitoring Location	Average Leachate Head Levels (cm) (Range in Bracket)	Limit Level (cm)		
Pump Station No. 1X (Cell 1X)				
Meter No. X-1	75 (66 – 79)	> 178		
Meter No. X-2	86 (79 – 88)			
Average	81 (73 - 84)			
Pump Station No. 2X (Cell	2X)			
Meter No. X-3	80 (63 - 89)	> 180		
Meter No. X-4	81 (73 – 90)			
Average	81 (69 - 90)			
Pump Station No. 3X (Cell	3X)			
Meter No. X-5	62 (53 - 70)	> 175		
Meter No. X-6	64 (55 – 77)			
Average	63 (55 – 74)			
Pump Station No. 4X (Cell	4X)			
Meter No. X-7	59 (52 - 63)	> 186		
Meter No. X-8	63 (52 - 70)			
Average	61 (54 - 67)			

Table 2.26 Summary of Effluent Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Parameters		Monitoring Results	Limit Level		
Effluent Discharged from LTP					
Temperature	°C	35.8	> 43 °C		
pH Value	pH unit	8.4	6 - 10		
Volume Discharged	m^3	728	>2,000 m ³		
Suspended Solids (SS)	mg/L	39.8	> 800 mg/L		
Phosphate	mg/L	8.28	$> 25 \mathrm{mg/L}$		
Sulphate	mg/L	244	> 800 mg/L		
Total Inorganic Nitrogen (a)	mg/L	59.74	> 100 mg/L		
BOD	mg/L	9	> 800 mg/L		
COD	mg/L	1130	$> 2,000 \mathrm{mg/L}$		
Oil & Grease	mg/L	<5	$> 20 \mathrm{mg/L}$		
Boron	μg/L	6000	$> 7,000 \mu g/L$		
Iron	mg/L	1.84	$> 5 \mathrm{mg/L}$		
Cadmium	μg/L	<1.0	> 1 μg/L		
Chromium	μg/L	129	$> 300 \mu g/L$		
Copper	μg/L	<10	$>$ 1,000 μ g/L		
Nickel	μg/L	126	$> 700 \mu g/L$		
Zinc	μg/L	82	> 700 μg/L		

Note:

All leachate levels and effluent quality monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be

 $[\]begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$

undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3*.

2.3.3 *Groundwater Monitoring*

Monitoring Requirements and Equipment

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project with incorporation of the proposed updates under the Amendment Summary approved by EPD on 15 June 2020, groundwater monitoring was carried out at 14 perimeter groundwater monitoring wells (including 3 up-gradient wells and 11 downgradient wells) (i.e. MWX-1 to MWX-14) to monitor the groundwater quality and level of the perimeter groundwater monitoring wells at monthly interval.

The Limit Levels for groundwater quality is provided in *Table 2.27* below.

Table 2.27 Limit Levels for Groundwater Quality

Location	Limit Levels	Limit Levels			
	Ammoniacal-nitrogen (mg L-1)	COD (mg L-1)			
MWX-1	5.00	30			
MWX-2	5.00	30			
MWX-3	5.00	30			
MWX-4	7.63	36			
MWX-5	5.00	30			
MWX-6	5.00	46			
MWX-7	6.55	36			
MWX-8	15.85	50			
MWX-9	7.30	71			
MWX-10	5.00	30			
MWX-11	5.00	30			
MWX-12	5.00	30			
MWX-13	5.00	30			
MWX-14	5.00	30			

A bladder pump with Teflon sampling tube and adjustable discharge rates was used for purging and taking of groundwater sample from the monitoring wells. Filtered groundwater samples were collected by connecting a disposable in-line filter system to the tubing of the sampling pump, prior to storage and analysis by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 066).

A portable dip meter with 5mm accuracy was used for measurement of groundwater level at each well. The dip meter has an audio indicator of the water level and was checked before use.

The measurements of pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were undertaken *in situ*. *In situ* monitoring instruments in compliance with the specifications

listed under Section 4.3.2 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to undertake the groundwater quality monitoring for the Project.

Details of the equipment used and the monitoring locations are summarised in *Table 2.28* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex F7*.

Table 2.28 Groundwater Monitoring Details

Monitoring Location	Frequency	Parai	neter	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
All groundwater monitoring wells (MWX-1 to MWX-14)	Monthly	 Water level pH EC COD BOD5 TOC Ammoniacal -nitrogen Nitrate-nitrogen Nitrite-nitrogen TKN TN Sulphate Sulphide Carbonate Bicarbonate Phosphate 	 Chloride Sodium Potassium Calcium Magnesium Nickel Manganese Chromium Cadmium Copper Lead Iron Zinc Mercury Boron 	1, 2 Aug 2023	Horiba U-52G (S/N: RSV50V1T)

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for groundwater quality monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

Results and Observations

The groundwater quality monitoring results and detailed monitoring results are summarised in *Table 2.29* and provided in *Annex F8*, respectively.

Table 2.29 Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Location	Ammoniacal-nitrogen	(mg L-1)	COD (mg L-1)	
	Monitoring Results	Limit Levels	Monitoring Results	Limit Levels
MWX-1	0.14	5.00	4	30
MWX-2	0.05	5.00	3	30
MWX-3	1.33	5.00	13	30
MWX-4	3.47	7.63	24	36
MWX-5	1.60	5.00	24	30
MWX-6	4.32	5.00	49	46
MWX-7	4.94	6.55	28	36
MWX-8	7.52	15.85	30	50
MWX-9	0.72	7.30	54	71
MWX-10	<0.01	5.00	4	30
MWX-11	0.05	5.00	6	30
MWX-12	<0.01	5.00	<2	30
MWX-13	<0.01	5.00	<2	30
MWX-14	<0.01	5.00	<2	30

Limit Level exceedance was recorded for groundwater monitoring in the reporting period and actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex F3* were undertaken. Investigation of the Action and Limit Levels exceedances was conducted and the investigation report is presented in *Annex F9*.

Based on the investigation conducted for the monitoring event with potential Limit Levels exceedance with the Contractor and the IEC, the groundwater (COD) exceedance at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was considered non Project-related.

The Contractor was reminded to implement all relevant mitigation measures for the construction and operation works and maintain good site practice. The ET will keep track on the monitoring data and ensure Contractor's compliance of the environmental requirements.

2.4 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

2.4.1 *Monitoring Requirements*

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, landfill gas monitoring was carried out at the perimeter of the waste boundary (monitoring wells), area between the SENTX Site boundary and the waste boundary (surface emission), occupied on-site building, service voids, utilities pit and manholes in the vicinity of the SENTX (build-up of landfill gas) during the operation/restoration phase.

The Limit Levels for landfill gas monitoring is provided in *Table 2.30* below.

Table 2.30 Limit Levels for Landfill Gas Constituents

Parameters	Monitoring Location	Limit Level (% (v/v))		
Perimeter Landfill Gas Monitoring Wells (a)					
Methane & Carbon Dioxide		Methane	Carbon Dioxide		
	LFG1	1.0	3.2		
	LFG2	1.0	4.3		
	LFG3	1.0	6.3		
	LFG4	1.0	7.0		
	LFG5	1.0	3.4		
	LFG6	1.0	9.1		
	LFG7	1.0	1.5		
	LFG8	12.6	2.4		
	LFG9	2.5	1.7		
	LFG10	3.5	1.6		
	LFG11	3.0	2.0		
	LFG12	13.2	1.5		
	LFG13	22.5	2.7		
	LFG14	5.2	1.8		
	LFG15	18.2	2.0		
	LFG16	1.0	2.0		
	LFG17	17.8	2.4		
	LFG18	2.3	2.1		
	LFG19	6.3	3.1		
	LFG20	1.0	4.6		
	LFG21	1.0	4.8		
	LFG22	1.0	4.0		
	LFG23	1.0	10.3		
	LFG24	1.0	4.7		
	GP1	1.0	10.6		
	GP2 (shallow)	1.0	11.4		
	GP2 (deep)	1.0	10.4		
	GP3 (shallow)	1.0	6.9		
	GP3 (deep)	1.0	5.6		
	GP4 (shallow)	1.0	11.6		
	GP4 (deep)	1.0	7.7		
	GP5 (shallow)	1.0	10.8		
	GP5 (deep)	1.0	7.5		
	GP6	1.0	8.4		
	GP7	1.0	4.5		
	GP12	1.0	2.3		
	GP15	1.0	2.2		
	P7	1.0	2.5		
	P8	1.0	1.7		
	P9	1.0	2.7		

Service Voids, Utilities Pits and Manholes

Parameters	Monitoring Location	Limit Level (% (v/v))
Methane (or flammable gas)	Service voids, utilities pits and manholes	1% by volume
Permanent Gas Monitoring S	System	
Methane (or flammable gas)	Permanent Gas Monitoring System	1% by volume (20% LEL)
Area Between the SENTX Si	te Boundary and Waste E	Soundary (Surface Emission)
Flammable gas	Area between SENTX site boundary and waste boundary	30 ppm
Notes:	<u></u>	

⁽a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.


Gas analysers in compliance with the specifications listed under Section 5.4.1 of the updated EM&A Manual were used to monitor the gas parameters at the landfill gas monitoring wells, service voids, utilities pits and manholes. The gas analyser was calibrated by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS at yearly intervals and checked before use to ensure the validity and accuracy of the results. A portable dip meter was used to monitor the water level in the monitoring wells.


Permanent gas monitoring systems with pre-set alarm levels for methane at 20% lower explosive limit (LEL, equivalent to 1% methane gas (v/v)) were installed and operated in all occupied on-site buildings at SENTX. A central control panel is equipped to alert site personnel when the gas concentration at any detector reaches the alarm level.

The equipment used in the landfill gas monitoring programme is summarised in *Table 2.31*. The landfill gas monitoring locations for perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells and service voids, utilities and manholes along the Site boundary are illustrated in *Figure 2.3* and *Annex G1*, respectively. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in *Annex G2*.

Table 2.31 Landfill Gas Monitoring Details

Monitoring Location	Frequency	Parameter	Monitoring Dates	Equipment
Perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells (LFG1 to LFG24, P7 to P9, GP1 to GP7, GP12 and GP15)	Monthly	MethaneCarbon dioxideOxygenAtmospheric pressure	3 Aug 2023	GA5000 (S/N: G507306)
Service voids, utilities and manholes along the Site boundary and within the SENTX Site (UU1 to UU28)	Monthly	MethaneCarbon dioxideOxygen	4 Aug 2023	GA5000 (S/N: G507306)





Permanent gas monitoring system in all occupied on-site buildings	Continuous	•	Methane (or flammable gas) by permanent gas monitoring system	1 - 31 Aug 2023	Permanent gas monitoring system
Areas between the SENTX Site boundary and the waste boundary and location of vegetation stress	Quarterly	•	Flammable gas emitted from the ground surface	16 Aug 2023	GMI Leak Surveyor (S/N: 554846)
Bulk gas sampling at least 2 of the perimeters LFG monitoring wells	Quarterly	•	Methane Carbon dioxide Oxygen Nitrogen Carbon monoxide Other flammable gas	3 Aug 2023	Gas sampling pump and Tedlar bags

2.4.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

The schedule for landfill gas monitoring during the reporting period is provided in *Annex C*.

2.4.3 Results and Observations

The landfill gas monitoring results are summarised and provided in *Tables* 2.32 - 2.35 and *Annex G3*, respectively.

Table 2.32 Summary of Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Perimeter LFG Monitoring Wells in the Reporting Period

Location	Methane (% (v	/(v))	Carbon Dioxid	e (% (v/v))
	Monitoring	Limit Levels (a)	Monitoring	Limit Levels (a)
	Results		Results	
LFG1	0.0	1.0	1.5	3.2
LFG2	0.0	1.0	2.2	4.3
LFG3	0.0	1.0	0.0	6.3
LFG4	0.0	1.0	0.0	7.0
LFG5	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.4
LFG6	0.0	1.0	0.1	9.1
LFG7	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.5
LFG8	0.0	12.6	0.0	2.4
LFG9	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.7
LFG10	0.0	3.5	0.0	1.6
LFG11	0.0	3.0	0.2	2.0
LFG12	0.0	13.2	0.0	1.5
LFG13	16.0	22.5	0.1	2.7
LFG14	0.0	5.2	0.0	1.8
LFG15	0.0	18.2	0.2	2.0
LFG16	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.0
LFG17	0.0	17.8	0.4	2.4
LFG18	0.0	2.3	0.0	2.1
LFG19	0.0	6.3	0.1	3.1
LFG20	0.0	1.0	3.2	4.6
LFG21	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.8
LFG22	0.0	1.0	0.1	4.0

Location	Methane (% (v	/v))	Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v))	
	Monitoring Results	Limit Levels (a)	Monitoring Results	Limit Levels (a)
LFG23	0.0	1.0	0.0	10.3
LFG24	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.7
GP1	0.3	1.0	10.6	10.6
GP2 (shallow)	0.2	1.0	0.8	11.4
GP2 (deep)	0.2	1.0	0.3	10.4
GP3 (shallow)	0.1	1.0	3.5	6.9
GP3 (deep)	0.1	1.0	0.0	5.6
GP4 (shallow)	0.1	1.0	0.4	11.6
GP4 (deep)	0.1	1.0	0.2	7.7
GP5 (shallow)	0.0	1.0	6.3	10.8
GP5 (deep)	0.0	1.0	0.6	7.5
GP6	0.0	1.0	2.7	8.4
GP7	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.5
GP12	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.3
GP15	0.0	1.0	0.1	2.2
P7	0.0	1.0	0.1	2.5
P8	0.0	1.0	0.1	1.7
P9	0.0	1.0	0.1	2.7

Notes

Table 2.33 Summary of Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Service Voids, Utilities Pits and Manholes in the Reporting Period

Location	Methane (% (v/v))				
	Monitoring Results	Limit Levels			
UU01	0.0	1.0			
UU02	0.0	1.0			
UU03	0.1	1.0			
UU04	0.0	1.0			
UU05	0.0	1.0			
UU06	0.0	1.0			
UU07	0.0	1.0			
UU08	0.0	1.0			
UU09	0.1	1.0			
UU10	0.1	1.0			
UU11	0.2	1.0			
UU12	Voided due to latest site programme and on-going	1.0			
	operation work				
UU13	0.2	1.0			
UU14	0.2	1.0			
UU15	0.1	1.0			
UU16	0.1	1.0			
UU17	Voided due to latest site programme and on-going operation work	1.0			
UU18	0.1	1.0			
UU19	0.0	1.0			
UU20	0.1	1.0			
UU21	0.1	1.0			
UU22	0.1	1.0			
UU23	0.0	1.0			
UU24	0.0	1.0			
UU25	0.0	1.0			

⁽a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.

UU26	0.0	1.0
UU27	0.0	1.0
UU28	0.0	1.0

Table 2.34 Summary of Landfill Gas Bulk Gas Sampling Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Parameters	Limit Level	LFG2	Limit Level	LFG8
	(LFG2) (a)		(LFG8) (a)	
Methane (% (v/v))	1.0	< 0.020	12.6	<0.020
Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v))	4.3	< 0.020	2.4	< 0.020
Oxygen (% (v/v))	-	20.6	-	20.5
Nitrogen (% (v/v))	-	76.5	-	76.4
Carbon Monoxide (% (v/v))	-	< 0.020	-	< 0.020
Hydrogen (% (v/v))	-	< 0.020	-	< 0.020
Ethane (ppmv)	-	<1.0	-	<1.0
Propane (ppmv)	-	<1.0	-	<1.0
Butane (ppmv)	-	<1.0	-	<1.0

Notes:

Table 2.35 Summary of Flammable Gas Surface Emission Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

GPS Coordinates		Monitoring Results (ppm)	Limit Level (ppm)
Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)		
No flammable gas sur	30		

The alarm of the permanent gas monitoring systems with pre-set levels for methane at 20% lower explosive limit (LEL, equivalent to 1% methane gas (v/v)) was not triggered at all occupied on-site buildings at SENTX in August 2023.

All the landfill gas monitoring results were below the Limit Levels in the reporting period. No action is thus required to be undertaken in accordance with the Event and Action Plan presented in *Annex G4*.

2.5 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING

2.5.1 Monitoring Requirements

According to the updated EM&A Manual of the Project, the monthly landscape and visual audit was conducted on 24 August 2023 to monitor the implementation of the landscape and visual mitigation measures during operation/ restoration phase.

All relevant environmental mitigation measures listed in the approved EIA Report and the updated EM&A Manual and their implementation status are summarized in *Annex B*.

⁽a) Limit Levels established based on the pre-operation phase baseline and additional landfill gas monitoring results in the Pre-operation Baseline Monitoring Report.

2.5.2 Results and Observations

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures as stated in the approved EIA Report and the EM&A Manual.

Regarding the landscape and visual audit, the Contractor was reminded to maintain the advance screen planting works regularly to ensure effective screening of views of project works from the High Junk Peak Trail.

2.6 EM&A SITE INSPECTION

Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis with the Contractor, IEC and ER to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Project. In the reporting period, 5 site inspections were carried out on 3, 10, 17, 24 and 31 August 2023.

Key observations during the site inspections are summarised in *Table 2.36*.

Table 2.36 Key Observations Identified during the Site Inspection in this Reporting Month

Inspection Date	Environmental Observations and Recommendations
3 August 2023	 The Contractor shall remove the general refuse, deposited silt and grit accumulated at X10 channel regularly to ensure it is functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall remove the stagnant water accumulated in the drip tray at DP4 Wetsep and handle the clean-up materials as chemical waste. The Contractor shall remove the general refuse accumulated near welfare facilities and dispose of the waste regularly.
10 August 2023	 The Contractor shall remove the general refuse and mulch accumulated at the channel and around the planting area near Towngas plant, to ensure it is functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall remove the ST pipes residue accumulated near Towngas plant and dispose of the waste regularly.
17 August 2023	 The Contractor shall remove the general refuse, deposited silt and grit accumulated at X10 channel and the drain near site entrance regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times. The Contractor shall provide drip trays for the chemicals stored near diesel fuel tanks.
24 August 2023	 The Contractor shall clean up the oil spill near Towngas plant and handle the clean-up materials as chemical waste. The Contractor shall remove the stagnant water accumulated in the drip trays at DP4 Wetseps and handle the clean-up materials as chemical waste.
31 August 2023	 The Contractor shall cover the stockpile of dusty materials by impervious sheeting near Towngas plant to minimise dust impact. The Contractor shall remove the general refuse accumulated near site entrance and dispose of the waste regularly.

The Contractor has rectified all observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period. Key environmental deficiencies

identified and the corresponding rectification actions are presented in *Table* 2.37.

Table 2.37 Summary of Environmental Deficiencies Identified and Corresponding Rectification Actions

Deficiencies	Rectifications Implemented	Proposed Additional Control Measures
Surface Water		
Intercepting channels & drainage system	Reviewed drainage plan.	 Addition of channels. Expedite the construction of permanent sediment trap and discharge culverts.
DP channels (design & regular silt removal)	 Carried out regular maintenance and cleaning of channels. DP4 channel: Area near the channel was paved with concrete and a bund was built. DP6 channel: Gravel piles on the channel were covered with concrete which serve as blocks for running water and to divide the channel into several sections. A pump was placed in the water zone in the upstream section to pump water to the Wetsep for treatment prior to the discharge to the last section before the weir plate. DP6: Pipes through the gravel piles between different channel sections were covered with geotextiles to block debris and silt. 	N.A.
Stockpiles & exposed soil	Installed silt fencing near surface water channel along DP6 channel.	 Improve soil covering. Compaction and cover for stockpiles and soil slopes.
Wetsep (treatment capacity & number)	 Reviewed Wetsep capacity. Chemicals dosage of the Wetsep was increased to enhance the efficiency. 	• Install additional Wetsep.
Backflow / ponding during heavy rainfall	Raised with EPD (LDG) and CEDD.	N.A.

2.7 WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS

The Contractor has registered as chemical waste producer under the Contract. Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.

As informed by the Contractor, waste generated during this reporting period include mainly chemical waste. Reference has been made to the waste flow table prepared by the Contractor. The quantities of different types of wastes and imported fill materials are summarized in *Table 2.38*.

Table 2.38 Quantities of Different Waste Generated and Imported Fill Materials

Month/ Year	Inert C&D Material s (a) (in	Impor Fill (in '00		Construction Construction Waste Re-used Waste ©		,	Yard Waste (in '000kg)		Chemical Wastes (in '000kg)
	'000m³)	Rock	Soil				Y Park	SENT	
1 - 31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.400
Aug 23									

Notes:

- (a) Inert construction wastes include hard rock and large broken concrete, and materials disposed as public fill. Density assumption: 1.6 (kg/L) for public fill.
- (b) Imported fill refers to materials generated from other project for on-site reuse.
- (c) Non-inert construction wastes include general refuse disposed at landfill. Density assumption: 0.9 (kg/L) for general refuse.
- (d) Recyclable materials include metals, paper, cardboard, plastics and others.

2.8 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

A summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule is presented in *Annex B*. The necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly for the Project.

2.9 SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMIT

The operation/ restoration phase air quality, noise and landfill gas monitoring results complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period.

One exceedance of the Limit Levels for groundwater (COD) and one exceedance of Limit Levels for surface water (SS) were recorded for water quality monitoring in the reporting period. The surface water (SS) exceedance at DP4 on 4 August 2023 was found deemed to Project-related activities. The groundwater (COD) exceedance at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was considered non Project-related upon further investigation.

Cumulative statistics on exceedances is provided in *Annex H*.

2.10 SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

summarised	n Annex H.		

3 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

3.1 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE COMING MONTH

As informed by the Contractor, the major works for the Project in September 2023 will be:

- Defects rectification for waste reception area, including weighbridge, vehicle washing facilities, wheel wash bay and guard house;
- Defects rectification for infrastructure buildings;
- E&M works for Diesel Fuel Tank, such as pumps and equipment; and
- Restoration of Phase 1 Cell 1X.

3.2 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above upcoming construction activities in the next reporting period of September 2023 are mainly associated with potential surface water impact in the rainy season.

3.3 MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE COMING MONTH

The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in September 2023 is provided in *Annex I*.

4 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This EM&A Report presents the findings of the EM&A activities undertaken during the period from 1 to 31 August 2023 in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual and the requirements of the Environmental Permit (*EP*-308/2008/B).

Air quality (24-hour TSP, odour, thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare, landfill gas generator stack emission), noise, water quality (surface water, leachate and groundwater) and landfill gas monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. Results for air quality (24-hour TSP, odour, thermal oxidiser, landfill gas flare and landfill gas generator stack emission), noise, water quality (surface water and leachate) and landfill gas monitoring complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting period. One exceedance of the Limit Level for groundwater (COD) and surface water (SS) were recorded in the reporting period.


Environmental site inspections were carried out during the reporting period. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site inspections.

There were no complaints, notification of summons or prosecution recorded in the reporting period.

The ET will keep track on the construction and operation/restoration works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Annex A

Work Programme



510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.00 SA2.6.00 6.03.2 6.03.2	 6.03 Civ 6.03.2 La	ID .	Activity Name		_		Total Predecessor Details	Successor Details
510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.0 3 6.03.2 6.03.2	6.03.2 La	<i>ı</i> il Engir		Dur	Sta		Float	Successor Details
512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.2	.2	andfill C	ineering Works Cell 2			19 13-Apr-23 19 23-Jan-21		
513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578	6.03.2		63-1000	Earth bund (Eastern)				9 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-4200: FS, 53-1400: FS 53-2800: FS	53-3500: FS, 63-1500: FS, 63-1800: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-2200: FS, M12. 1: FS -50, M12.
513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 550 551 552 553 554 555 566 577 578 578 578 578 578 578 578	6.03.2							55 25551.5	2: FS, 63-1100: FS
514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		3.2	63-1100	Earth bund (Western)	110	20-Feb-7	.0 08-Jun-20	84 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	
514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		5.2	63-1200) Intercell bund (Cell 2/3)	90	09-Jun-	20 06-Sep-20	63-1000: FS 734 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	63-3600: FS, 63-1200: FS 63-1500: FS
515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 566	6.03.2			,				53-4400: FS, 63-1100: FS	
516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553		5.2	63-1300) Site Formation	/5	02-Nov-1	3 15-Jan-20	14 11-1100: FS, 23-2500: FS, 53-1800: FS, 53-1400: FS	63-1400: FS, 63-4200: FS
517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554				Pump Station (PS#2X)				84 63-1300: FS, 63-1100: FS	63-1600: FS, 63-1700: FS
518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.2	5.2	63-1500	D Lining Works	90	01-Oct-20	* 29-Dec-20	710 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1100: FS, 63-1200: FS	63-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS, 63-2400: FS
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	25	30-Dec-2	.0 23-Jan-21	810 63-1500: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS	32-1600: FS, M12. 3: FS
520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551				O Install Leachate Force Main				84 63-1100: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-1400: FS	54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS
521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551 552 553				Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund				168 41-1500: FS, 63-1000: FS	54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS
522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 550 551	SA2.6.0 3			Cell 3 Carth bund (Eastern)			20 02-Feb-22 20 08-Jun-20	9 11-1100: FS, 53-4200: FS, 63-1000: FS, 53-4300: FS	
523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				. ,				53-2800: FS, 63-4200: FS	FS -50, M12. 2: FS, 63-2000: FS -45, 63-2200: FS
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2000	Earth bund (Western)	110	25-Apr-′	20 12-Aug-20	19 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS -45	63-2300: FS, 63-2400: FS, 63-2600: FS, 63-3700: FS,
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 550 551	0.00.0		00.0400		405		20 44 0 4 00	700 44 4400 50 00 4000 50 00 4000 50 00 0000 50	63-2100: FS -45
525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2100	Intercell bund (Cell 3/4)	105	29-Jun-2) 11-Oct-20	789 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-4200: FS, 63-2000: FS	-45 63-2400: FS
526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2200	Site Formation	75	09-Jun-?	.0 22-Aug-20	9 11-1100: FS, 63-1000: FS, 63-1900: FS	63-2300: FS
527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2300	Pump Station (PS#3X)				9 63-2200: FS, 63-2000: FS	63-2500: FS, 63-2600: FS
528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2400	D Lining Works	100	01-Oct-2*	* 08-Jan-22	435 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS, 63-2000: FS, 63-2100: FS, 63-1500: FS	63-2500: FS, M12. 3: FS
529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2500	Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	25	09-Jan-	.2 02-Feb-22	435 63-2400: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS	32-1700: FS, M12. 3: FS
530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.3	3.3	63-2600	Install Leachate Force Main	75	07-Oct-:	.0 20-Dec-20	9 63-2000: FS, 41-1500: FS, 63-2300: FS	53-2500: SS -90, 54-2800: FS, M12. 3: FS
531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Install Landfill Gas Pipe on earth bund	35	09-Jun-2	.0 13-Jul-20	58 41-1500: FS, 63-1900: FS	54-4000: FS, M12. 3: FS
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	SA2.6.03			Cell 4 Remaining Portion of Buttress Wall			21 13-Apr-23	30 494 62-1000: FS	
533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				D Earth bund (Western) incl. MSE Wall				239 62-1000: FS	63-3000: FS, 63-3100: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3400: FS,
534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	0.00.1		00 2000	Editi build (Noticin) inci. inci.	120	0, 000 2	o roun zz	52 1000.10	63-3800: FS, 63-3900: FS, 63-4100: SS -90, M 9. 6: FS -60, M 9. 7: FS -30, M 9. 8: FS
534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553									W 9. 7. FG -50, W 9. 0. FG
535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3000) Site Formation	120	05-Jan <i>-2</i>	2 04-May-22	239 62-1000: FS, 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-4100: FS	63-3100: FS
536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	5.4	63-3100	Pump Station (PS#4X)	45	05-May-	<u>√</u> 2 18-Jun-22	239 63-3000: FS, 63-2900: FS	63-3300: FS, 63-3400: FS
537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Lining Works	135	01-Oct-2	2* 12-Feb-23	0 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS	63-3300: FS, M12. 6: FS
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3300	Protective Stone Laying & Leachate Collection Pipe	60	13-Feb-/	.3 13-Apr-23	0 41-1500: FS, 63-3200: FS, 63-3100: FS	12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	6.03.4	3.4	63-3400	Install Leachate Force Main & Remove Temporary Leachate Pipe	30	19-Jun-∕	.2 18-Jul-22	269 41-1500: FS, 63-2900: FS, 63-3100: FS	12-1900: FS, 32-1800: FS, M12. 6: FS
540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				e - Surface Run-Off			20 03-Feb-22		(0.000 =0
541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X9A) at Cell 2 Western Bund				1054 63-1100: FS	12-1900: FS
542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 2 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund				1029 63-1100: FS 964 63-2000: FS	63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS
543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 3 Western Bund Perimeter Channel (X10A) at Cell 4 Western Bund				464 63-2900: FS	63-4000: FS 63-4000: FS
544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Perimeter Channel (X10C) at Cell 4 Western Bund				469 63-2900: FS	63-4000: FS
545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Connection to Existing DP3				464 63-3900: FS, 63-3600: FS, 63-3700: FS, 63-3800: FS	
546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553	0.00.5		00.4400		00	00.1	24 00 1 104	440, 00,0000, 00,00	20,000, 50
547 548 549 550 551 552 553				Remove Cut-Off Channel C-7 at bottom of Buttress Wall				419 63-2900: SS -90	63-3000: FS
548 549 550 551 552 553				Temporary Channel (X7T) at SENT Infrastructure Area e - Ground Water			20 14-Feb-20 21 30-Nov-21	14 63-1300: FS	63-1900: FS, 63-2100: FS
550 551 552 553			_	Construct Temporary Channel (TC-1), from MH-1 to Existing UC-825				529 23-1900: FS, 11-1300: FS, 62-1000: FS	63-4400: FS
551 552 553	6.03.6	6.6	63-4400	Divert GW at MH-1 to TC-1	5	27-Oct-7	.1 31-Oct-21	529 63-4300: FS	63-4500: FS, M 9. 9: FS
552 553				Reconnection of GWCP across Cell 4				529 62-1100: FS, 62-1200: FS, 63-4400: FS	12-1900: FS
553				- Works Associated with Utilities Undertakers			20 27-Jul-21		
		3.8.U1 6		LFG Generator On-grid Testing			20 27-Jul-21 20 27-Jun-21	655 32-2500: FS, 12-1200: FS, 54-4000: FS	63-4700: FS
007				LFG Generator On-grid Inspection & Verify				655 63-4600: FS	12-1900: FS
		2.6.03.8.U					08-Jan-21		00.4000.50
				Laying Gas Mains (from LFG to Town Gas PF) Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG				855 54-4000: FF 855 63-4800: FS, 54-4000: FS	63-4900: FS 12-1900: FS
				Gas Meter Relocation & Connection at LFG & E&M Works			19 22-Jul-21	· ·	12-1900. FO
559	SA2.6.0	6.04.C P	art X1 A	Area C	661	01-Oct-1	19 22-Jul-21	660	
560	SA2.6.0	.6.04.C.0	2 LFG	Treatment Plant	661	01-Oct-1	19 22-Jul-21	660	42 4000; EC
				O GHS600 Blower 601 C Relocation O Absorption Chiller (Optional)				660 32-1500: FS 1231 54-2200: FS	12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS
				pe Works			19 29-Dec-19 19 03-Dec-20		12-1000.10
564	SA2.6.0	6.08.1 SI	ENT Are	rea - Tree Removal & Transplanting	240	01-Apr-1	19 26-Nov-19	1264	
	-			Access trees condition and select for transplanting				1264 14-1300: FS	68-1100: FS, 68-1200: FS, 68-1400: FS
				Prepare new site to receive trees				1264 68-1000: FS	68-1200: SS
	6.08.1			Transplant selected trees				1264 68-1000: FS, 68-1100: SS	68-1300: FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1			Prune trees prior to removal from Cell 4 Tree Felling - Part X3				1264 68-1200: FS 1384 23-8200: FS, 31-1600: FS, 68-1000: FS	12-1900: FS 12-1900: FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1			Tree Felling - Part X3 Area - Trial Nursery & Tree Planting			19 29-Jul-19 19 03-Dec-20		12-1300. FS
	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1	J.JU.K 0		Trial Nursery				1174 14-1800: FS, 58-1000: SS 30	12-1900: FS, M 3. 2: FS
572	6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 6.08.1 SA2.6.0		00 1000	Landscaping in New Infrastructure Area	150	07-Jul-	20 03 Dec 20	891 54-1000: FS, 23-7600: FS	12-1900: FS

Annex B

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Annex B Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
Air Quali	ty - Cons	struction Phase						
4.8.1	AQ1	Blasting	To minimise potential dust	Blasting area and 30m of	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Not applicable. Blasting is not
		 The area within 30m of the blasting area will be wetted prior to blasting. 	nuisance	blasting area			Regulations	required in the latest landfill design
		 Blasting will not be carried out when the strong wind signal or tropical cyclone warning signal No. 3 or higher is hoisted, unless this is with the express prior permission of the Commissioner of Mines. 						
		 loose material and stones in the Site will be removed prior to the blast operation 						
		 During blasting, blast nets, screens and other protective covers will be used to prevent the projection of flying fragments and material resulting from blasting 						
4.8.1	AQ2	Rock Drilling	To minimise	Rock drilling	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Not applicable.
	 Watering will be carried out at the rock drilling activities to avoid fugitive dust emissions. potential dust area nuisance 	Contractor		(Construction Dust) Regulations	Rock drilling is not required in the latest landfill design			

(1) D=Design; C=Construction; O/R=Operation/Restoration; A=Aftercare

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.1	AQ3	 Site Access Road The main haul road will be kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water. The main haul road will be paved with aggregate or gravel. Vehicle speed will be limited to 10kph. 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	Main haul road	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.1	AQ4	 Stockpiling of Dusty Materials Any stockpile of dusty materials will be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and three sides or sprayed with water so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet. 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
4.8.1	AQ5	 Loading, unloading or transfer of dusty materials All dusty materials will be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet. 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.1	AQ6	• Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of height not less than 2.4m from ground level will be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for the site entrance or exit.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	Site boundary and entrance	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.1	AQ7	 Excavation Works Working area of any excavation or earth moving operation will be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to ensure that the entire surface is wet. 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.1	AQ8	 Building Demolition The area where the demolition works are planned to take place will be sprayed with water immediately prior to, during and immediately after the demolition activities. Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed will be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads or street. 	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.1	AQ9	Construction of the Superstructure of Building • Effective dust screens, sheeting or netting will be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground level up to the highest level of the scaffolding.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.1	AQ10	Should a stone crushing plant be needed on site, the control measures recommended in the Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1 should be implemented.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	Stone crushing plant/ construction phase	SENTX Contractor	✓	Best Practicable Means Requirement for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1	Not applicable. Stone crushing plant is not required in the latest landfill design

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	measu	ment the	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.1	AQ11	Good site practices such as regular maintenance and checking of the diesel powered mechanical equipment will be adopted to avoid any black smoke emissions and to minimize gaseous emissions.	To minimise potential dust nuisance	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓		HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.10.1	AQ12	Dust monitoring once every 6 days	Ensure the dust generated from the project meets the air quality requirement	At monitoring locations shown in <i>Figure 3.2a</i>	SENTX Contractor	✓		HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
Air Quali	ty – Oper	ation, Restoration and Aftercare Phases							
4.8.2	AQ13	Odour • Enclosing the weighbridge area	To minimise odour nuisance	Weighbridge area	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, enclosing the weighbridge area is not necessary
4.8.2	AQ14	Providing a vehicle washing facility before the exit of SENTX and providing sufficient signage to remind RCV drivers to pass through the facility before leaving SENTX		Vehicle washing facility	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ15	Reminding the RCV drivers to empty the liquor collection sump and close the valve	To minimise odour nuisance	Tipping face	SENTX Contractor		✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		before leaving the tipping face						only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal
4.8.2	AQ16	9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	•	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal.
4.8.2	AQ17	Reminding operators to properly maintain their RCVs and ensure that liquor does not leak from the vehicles		SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	√	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only, which is relatively dry, the amount of liquor generated is expected to minimal.
4.8.2	AQ18	o ,	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓ ✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref		nvironmental Protection Measures/ litigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	im	hen t iplen easui C	nent re? ⁽¹⁾		A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.2	AQ19	•	Progressive restoration of the areas which reach the finished profile (a final capping system including an impermeable liner will be put in place) and installation of a permanent landfill gas extraction system	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	√	•	√	,	/	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ20	•	Installing deodorizers along the site boundary adjacent to the ASRs	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site boundary	SENTX Contractor			✓	•		EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, installation of deodorizers is not necessary.
4.8.2	AQ21	•	Erecting a vertical barrier, wall or structure softened by planting rows of trees/shrubs or landscape feature along the site boundary, particularly in the areas near the ASRs	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site boundary	SENTX Contractor	✓		✓	,	/	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ22	•	Maintaining the size of the active tipping face not greater than 1,200 m ²	To minimise odour nuisance	Active tipping face	SENTX Contractor			✓			EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ23	•	Promptly covering the MSW with soil or selected inert materials to control odour emissions	To minimise odour nuisance	Active tipping face	SENTX Contractor			✓			EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. SENTX will not receive MSW.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.2	AQ24	• Maintaining the size of the special waste trench not greater than $6m (l) \times 2.5m (w)$	To minimise odour nuisance	Special waste trench	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench.
4.8.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ25	Covering daily covered area with a tarpaulin sheet or 300mm of soil after the landfill operating hours	To minimise odour nuisance	Daily covered area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ26	Covering special waste trench with 600 mm of soil and an impervious liner after 5 pm	To minimise odour nuisance	Special waste trench	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench.
4.8.2	AQ27	• Covering the non-active tipping face with 600mm of soil and an impermeable liner (on top of the intermediate cover), which will not only control odour emissions from landfilled waste but also enhance landfill gas extraction by the landfill gas extraction system	To minimise odour nuisance	Intermediate cover	SENTX Contractor	√	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ28	 Applying deodorizers or odour suppression agents to control odour emissions from the active tipping face and special waste trench, if any, through spraying or fogging equipment 	To minimise odour nuisance	Active tipping face and special waste trench	SENTX Contractor	√	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, installation of deodorizers is not necessary.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When t implem measur D C	ent th		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
										Moreover, SENTX will not have any special waste trench.
4.8.2	AQ29	Providing a mobile cover with retractable or suitable opening to cover up the opening of the special waste trench except during waste deposition and a suitable odour removal unit. The mobile cover should be equipped with powered extraction and suitable odour removal unit for purifying the trapped gas inside the trench before release into the atmosphere	To minimise odour nuisance	Special waste trench	SENTX Contractor		√		EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. SENTX will not have any special waste trench.
4.8.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ30	Providing a thermal oxidizer for the leachate treatment plant	To minimise odour nuisance as a result of breakdown of thermal oxidizer	Leachate treatment plant	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ31	• Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks (except for the Sequential Batch Reactor (SBR) or Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) tanks) and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a thermal oxidizer or flare to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP	To minimise odour nuisance	Leachate treatment plant	SENTX Contractor	√	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ32	Rescheduling of waste filling activities on- site by avoiding waste filling activities	To minimise odour nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM Annex 4	Not Applicable. As SENTX will receive

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		carrying out at the northern area of the site in the summer months between July to November						construction waste only which is significantly less odorous, rescheduling of waste filling activities is not necessary.
4.8.2 and SENTX latest	AQ33	Dust, Gaseous Emission and LFG including Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	To minimise dust nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
design		• Keeping the main haul road to the waste filling area wet by regular watering;						
4.8.2	AQ34	 Compacting the exposed daily and intermediate covered areas well to avoid fugitive dust emission; 	To minimise dust nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ35	• Limiting the vehicle speed within SENTX site boundary;	To minimise dust nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ36	 Providing vehicle washing bay to avoid vehicles carrying dust to public roads; 	To minimise dust nuisance	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ37	Switching off the engine when the diesel- driven equipment is idling;	To minimise gaseous emissions	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	-	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ38	Maintaining the construction equipment properly to avoid any black smoke	To minimise gaseous	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	-	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When imple meason D (ement ure? (1		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.8.2	AQ39	emissions; Providing sufficient underground landfill gas collection system to capture the landfill gas generated as much as possible; and	To minimise gaseous emissions, including LFG and VOCs	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.8.2	AQ40	Periodic inspections of the final cover should be undertaken to ensure that the capping layer is in good conditions at all times.	To minimise gaseous emissions, including LFG and VOCs	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		√	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.10.2	AQ41	Monitoring of ambient TSP once every 6 days	Ensure the dust emission from the project meets the dust requirement	shown in	SENTX Contractor	~	✓ ✓		HKAQO and EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.10.2	AQ42	Monitoring of ambient VOCs, ammonia and H_2S , quarterly	Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement	At monitoring locations shown in Figure 11.3a	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓	Odour thresholds or 1% of Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) as stipulated in the "UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE) EH 40/05 Occupational Exposure Limits", whichever is lower.	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
4.10.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ43	Monitoring of parameters for thermal oxidizer, flares and generator in accordance with requirements stated in Tables 3.4a, 3.5a and 3.6a of the EM&A Manual respectively.	Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement	At the flares and thermal oxidizer stacks when they are in operation	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓ (1)	Emission Limits specified in Contract	Implemented
4.10.2	AQ44	To confirm design assumption of ammonia, it is recommended that the ammonia concentration in the flue gas of the thermal oxidiser be monitored during the commissioning stage of the thermal oxidiser. If required, an emission standard will be set for ammonia for the thermal oxidiser based on the monitoring results. If no ammonia is detected in the flue gas during the decommissioning stage, the monitoring of ammonia in the flue gas of the thermal oxidiser could be discontinued.	Ensure the gaseous emission from the project meets the air quality requirement	At the thermal oxidizer stack during commissioning . If ammonia is detected during commissioning stage, the monitoring will continue.	Contractor	✓	Emission Limits determined during commissioning stage	Implemented
4.10.2 and SENTX latest design	AQ45	Odour patrol in accordance with requirements stated in Table 3.7a of the EM&A Manual.	Ensure the odour emission from the project meets the odour requirement	-	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 4	Implemented
4.10.2	AQ46	Monitoring of meteorological station, continuously	Collect site specific	At meteorological	SENTX Contractor	√ ✓ ✓	-	Implemented

⁽¹⁾ For LFG flare and LFG generator only.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
			meteorological data	station shown in <i>Figure 11.3a</i>				
Noise - C	onstructi	on Phase						
5.7.1	N1	Adopt good site practice listed below: Only well-maintained plant will be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program;	To minimise potential construction noise nuisance.	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	√	Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5	Implemented
		 Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and will be properly maintained during the construction program; 						
		 Mobile plant, if any, will be sited as far from NSRs as possible; 						
		 Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use will be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; 						
		Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction will, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and						
		Material stockpiles and other structures will be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures construction activities.	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
5.8	N2	Weekly noise monitoring	Ensure noise generated from the project meets the criteria	At monitoring locations shown in Figure 6.4a	SENTX Contractor	✓	Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5	Implemented
Noise - O	peration/	Restoration Phase						
5.7.2	N3	Adopt good site practice listed below: • Choose quieter PME;	To minimise potential operational noise nuisance.	Within the SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM Annex 5	Implemented
		• Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant items;					-	Implemented
		• Locate fixed plant items or noise emission points away from the NSRs as far as practicable;					-	Implemented
		Locate noisy machines in completely enclosed plant rooms or buildings; and					-	Implemented
		Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that plant items are properly operated and serviced. The programme should be implemented by properly trained personnel.					-	Implemented
5.8	N4	Weekly noise monitoring	Ensure noise generated from	At monitoring locations	SENTX Contractor	✓	Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and EIAO-TM	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
			the project meets the criteria	shown in Figure 6.4a			Annex 5	
Water Qu	ality - Co	onstruction Phase						
6.8.1	WQ1	Construction Runoff						
		 Exposed soil areas will be minimised to reduce the contamination of runoff and erosion. 	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.8.1	WQ2	 Perimeter channels will be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of excavation. 	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.8.1	WQ3	Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes will be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor
6.8.1	WQ4	Temporary covers such as tarpaulin will also be provided to minimise the generation of high SS runoff.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	√	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
6.8.1	WQ5	The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the construction works	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.8.1	WQ6	All sewer and drains will be sealed to prevent building debris, soil etc from entering public sewers/drains before commencing any demolition works	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the demolition works	Infrastructure area at existing SENT Landfill	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6	Not applicable
6.8.1	WQ7	During the excavation works for the twin drainage tunnels, the recycle water for cooling the cutter head of the TBM will be conveyed to the sedimentation tanks for treatment and most of the treated water will be reused, where applicable and as much as possible, in the boring operations.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the tunnel works	Tunnel boring sites	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6	Not applicable. Excavation of drainage tunnels is not required in the latest landfill design.
6.8.1	WQ8	The fuel and waste lubricant oil from the on-site maintenance of machinery and equipment will be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from improper handling of fuel and oil	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO)	Implemented
6.8.1	WQ9	Implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles	To minimise	All construction works	SENTX Contractor	✓	ProPECC PN 1/94 WPCO EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address SENTX Site	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
6.13	WQ10	Monitoring of surface water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the construction works	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WPCO Water-TM	Implemented
6.8.2	WQ11	Sewage Effluents						
		Sufficient chemical toilets will be provided for the construction workforce.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WPCO	Implemented
6.8.2	WQ12	Untreated sewage will not be allowed to discharge into the surrounding water body.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WPCO WDO	Implemented
6.8.2	WQ13	A licensed waste collector will be employed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis.	To minimise potential water quality impacts arising from the sewage effluents	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WPCO WDO	Implemented
Water Qu	ality - O	peration/Restoration and Aftercare Phases						
6.9.1	WQ14	Surface Water Management • Inspections of the drainage system, sand	To minimise	SENTX Site	SENTX	✓	WPCO Technical Memorandum	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		traps, settlement ponds and surface water channels will be performed regularly to identify areas necessary for maintenance, cleaning or repair.	potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the landfill operations.		Contractor		Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Inshore Waters (Water- TM)	
							EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.9.1	WQ15	1	To minimise	SENTX Site	SENTX	✓	WPCO	Implemented
		required, of the HDPE liner will be conducted to prevent degradation from	potential water quality impacts		Contractor		Water-TM	
		affecting the performance of the capping system.	on surface water arising from the landfill operations.				EIAO-TM Annex 6	
6.9.1	WQ16	Monitoring of surface water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surface water arising from the landfill operations.	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	WPCO Water-TM	Implemented
6.9.2 and	WQ17	Groundwater Management						Implemented
SENTX latest		The groundwater management facilities	To minimise	SENTX Site	SENTX	✓ ✓	WPCO	
design		including the groundwater monitoring	potential water		Contractor		Water-TM	
		wells will be inspected regularly during routine groundwater monitoring programme.	quality impacts on groundwater arising from the landfill operations.				EIAO-TM Annex 6	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement measured D C	nent th re? ⁽¹⁾		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
6.9.2	WQ18	Monitoring of groundwater water quality will be conducted on a regular basis as stated in the EM&A Manual.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on groundwater arising from the landfill operations.	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
SENTX latest design	WQ19	 Sewage All sewage from the operation staff will be diverted to the LTP for treatment or public sewer, if available. 	To ensure proper handling of sewage	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	√	-	Implemented
6.9.3	WQ20	Leachate Management The leachate pump houses and related ancillary equipment will be inspected regularly and repairs, if necessary.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	Leachate pump houses and related ancillary equipment	SENTX Contractor		√	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.9.3	WQ21	For equipment such as pumps that require routine scheduled maintenance, the maintenance will be performed following manufacturer's recommended frequency.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	Leachate pumps	SENTX Contractor		√	✓	WPCO Water-TM	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement measure? (1)		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
6.9.3	WQ22	Preventive maintenance will be implemented so that the possibility for forced shutdown during wet season will be kept to minimal.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	Leachate treatment plant	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.9.3	WQ23	• Emergency procedures or a contingency plan will be established when the LTP is malfunctioned.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	Leachate treatment plant	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.9.3 and SENTX latest design	WQ24	• There will be sufficient redundancy in the system to handle the leachate flow even if one treatment train is down for maintenance. The leachate may be required to temporarily store within the landfill if the leachate storage lagoon are full and leachate cannot be transported to the LTP for treatment.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	Leachate treatment plant	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.13	WQ25	Monitor the quality of effluent discharged from the LTP	To ensure discharge quality comply with WPCO requirement	Leachate treatment plant discharge point	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	meas	lement sure? (A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
6.10.1	WQ26	Potential Leakage of Leachate								Implemented
		Regular groundwater quality monitoring will be carried out to monitor the performance of the leachate containment system.	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the landfill operations.	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	√	WPCO Water-TM	
6.10.1	WQ27	 Maintenance and replacement of the capping system should be carried out, if necessary, to prevent control infiltration and leachate seepage from any damaged cap. 	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from the leachate leakage.	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	✓	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
6.10.1	WQ28	Maintaining control of the leachate level through extraction	To minimise potential water quality impacts on surrounding water bodies arising from surface breakout of leachate.	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	√	WPCO Water-TM EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
Waste Ma	anagemen	t – Construction Phase								
7.6.1	WM1	All the necessary waste disposal permits are obtained prior to the commencement of construction work.	To ensure compliance with relevant statutory	Before construction works	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓		WDO	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
			requirements	commence				
7.6.1	WM2	Management of Waste Disposal The construction contractor will open a billing account with the EPD. Every construction waste or public fill load to be transferred to the Government waste disposal facilities such as public fill reception facilities, sorting facilities, landfills will required a valid "chit" which contains the information of the account holder to facilitate waste transaction recording and billing to the waste producer. A trip-ticket system will also be established to monitor the disposal of construction waste at the SENT Landfill and to control fly-tipping. The trip-ticket system will be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the contractor.		SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		WDO Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation; Works Bureau Technical Circular No.31/2004; and Annex 5 and Annex 6 of Appendix G of ETWBTC No. 19/2005)	Implemented
7.6.1	WM3	A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) will be established. Measures for the Reduction of Construction Waste Generation Inert and non-inert construction waste will be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to facilitate reuse or recycling of the inert waste and proper disposal of the non-	To reduce construction waste generation	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		inert construction waste. Specific areas of the work site will be designated for such segregation and storage if immediate use is not practicable.						
7.6.1	WM4	Chemical Waste						
		The construction contractor will register as a chemical waste producer with the EPD. Chemical waste will be handled in accordance with the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i> .	To ensure proper handling of chemical waste	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes	Implemented
7.6.1	WM5	<u>Sewage</u>						
		An adequate number of portable toilets will be provided at the site to ensure that sewage from site staff is properly collected. The portable toilets will be desludged and maintained regularly by a specialist contractor.	To ensure proper handling of sewage	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
7.6.1 and	WM6	General Refuse						
SENTX latest design		General refuse will be stored in enclosed bins separately from construction and chemical wastes. The general refuse will be delivered to a transfer station or other landfill, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to reduce odour, pest and litter impacts. Recycling bins will be provided at strategic locations to facilitate recovery of aluminium	To ensure proper handling of general refuse	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	•	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Deficiency of mitigation measures but rectified by the Contractor

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		can and waste paper from the SENTX Site. Materials recovered will be sold for recycling.						
7.6.1	WM7	Staff Training						
		At the commencement of the construction works, training will be provided to workers on the concepts of site cleanliness and on appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling.	To ensure that adverse environmental impacts are prevented	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	√		Implemented
7.8	WM8	Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements Weekly audits of the waste management practices will be carried out during the construction phase. The audits examine all	To ensure that adverse environmental impacts are	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO	Implemented
		aspects of waste management including waste generation, storage, recycling, transport and disposal.	prevented					
Waste Ma	anagemen	nt - Operation/Restoration Phase						
7.6.2 and	WM9	Sludge						
SENTX latest design		In case off-site disposal is required, the Contractor will ensure that sludge generated from the LTP will be delivered in closed container to other waste disposal facility e.g. other landfills or a sludge treatment facility, for proper disposal on a daily basis.	To ensure proper handling of sludge	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	WDO EIAO-TM Annex 7	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
7.6.2	WM10	Chemical Waste						Implemented
		The construction contractor will register as a	To ensure proper	SENTX Site	SENTX	✓	WDO	
		chemical waste producer with the EPD. Chemical waste will be handled in	handling of chemical waste		Contractor		EIAO-TM Annex 7	
		accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.	chemica waste				Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes	
7.6.2	WM11	<u>Sewage</u>						
		All sewage from the operation staff will be	To ensure proper SI handling of	SENTX Site	SENTX	✓	WDO	Moved to mitigation
		diverted to the LTP for treatment or public handling of sewer, if available. handling of sewage		Contractor		EIAO-TM Annex 7	measure under water quality WQ19. It is a measure for water quality rather than waste management.	
7.6.2 and	WM12	General Refuse						
SENTX latest		General refuse will be stored in enclosed bins		SENTX Site	SENTX	✓	WDO	Implemented
design		and disposed of at other landfills or transfer station on a daily basis to reduce odour, pest and litter impacts.	handling of general refuse		Contractor		EIAO-TM Annex 7	
		Recycling bins will be provided at strategic locations to facilitate recovery of aluminium can and waste paper from the SENTX Site. Materials recovered will be sold for recycling.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
8.6.2 and SENTX latest design	LFG1	Precautionary measures to be adopted by the contractors at the Project site and the adjacent development site within the landfill consultation zone are outlined in Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Notes (the Guidance Note). Those precautionary measures applicable to the SENTX will be confirmed in the detailed Qualitative Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment to be submitted by the contractor.	•	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.49 of EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
8.6.2	LFG2	Monitoring will be undertaken when construction works are carried out in confined space within the consultation zone with reference to the monitoring requirements and procedures specified in Paragraphs 8.23 to 8.28 of EPD's <i>Guidance Note</i> will be followed.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	Confined space within the construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓		Implemented
		In the event of the trigger levels being exceeded, it is recommended that a person, such as the Safety Officer, is nominated, with deputies, to be responsible for dealing with any emergency which may occur due to landfill gas. In an emergency situation, the nominated person, or his deputies, shall have the necessary authority and shall ensure that the confined space is evacuated and the necessary works implemented for reducing the concentrations of gas. The appropriate organisations shall be contact.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	im _] me	asu	nen re?	nt the		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
8.6.3	LFG4	Implementation of engineering measures according to Contract Specification requirements. These measures will include the placement of liner and installation of landfill gas management system to contain, manage and control landfill gas.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	✓	/	√	EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
8.6.3	LFG5	Engineering measures to significant engineering measures will be required in the design of the SENTX to protect the staff working in the infrastructure area. These measures include a combination of passive and active systems (examples are recommended in EPD's <i>Guidance Notes</i>). Landfill gas monitoring boreholes will be installed at the edge of the waste slope between the waste and the new infrastructure	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	Infrastructure Area	SENTX Contractor	√	✓				EPD's Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note EIAO-TM Annex 7	Implemented
•	Gas Hazar	area to monitor the migration of landfill gas, if any. rds - Operation, Restoration and Aftercare										
Phases												
8.6.4	LFG7	To train and ensure staff to take appropriate precautions at all times when entering enclosed spaces or plant rooms. Undertake regular monitoring of landfill gas at the perimeter boreholes to detect if there are any signs of off-site landfill gas migration. Prepare and implement emergency plan in case off-site landfill gas migration is detected.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor			~		✓	Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
8.7 and SENTX latest design	LFG8	A permanent gas monitoring system with alarm will be installed and operated in all occupied on-site buildings. Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements Undertake regular monitoring of landfill gas within the SENTX and along the SENTX boundary as required by the Contract Specification.	To protect workers from landfill gas risk	Within the SENTX and along the SENTX boundary	SENTX Contractor	√ ✓	Landfill Gas Hazards Assessment Guidance Note	Implemented
Ecology -	Construc	ction Phase						
9.10.2	EC1	Measures to control construction runoff: Exposed soil areas will be minimised to reduce the contamination of runoff and erosion;	To minimise potential water quality impacts affecting ecological resources	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 16 ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) EIAO-TM Annex 6	Implemented
		 To prevent stormwater runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces, perimeter channels will be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks and intercepting channels will be provided for example along the edge of excavation; 					-	Implemented
		Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes will be maintained and the					-	Deficiency of mitigation measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
		deposited silt and grit will be removed regularly to ensure they are functioning properly at all times;						but rectified by the Contractor
		 Temporary covers such as tarpaulin will also be provided to minimise the generation of high suspended solids runoff; 					-	Implemented
		The surface runoff contained any oil and grease will pass through the oil interceptors; and,					-	Implemented
		Control measures, including implementation of excavation schedules, lining and covering of excavated stockpiles will be implemented to minimise contaminated stormwater run-off from the SENTX site.					-	Implemented
9.10.2	EC2	Good Construction Practice:						
and SENTX latest design	nd ENTX itest	 Fences along the boundary of the SENTX Site will be erected before the commencement of works to prevent vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel, onto adjacent areas. The work site boundaries will be regularly checked to ensure that they are not breached and that demand does 	To minimise potential ecological impacts arising from the Project	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	•	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Implemented
		are not breached and that damage does not occur to surrounding areas.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Mitigation Measures Recommended the Measures i		Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks	
Ecology -	Operatio	on, Restoration and Aftercare Phases							
9.10.2	EC3	Measures for Controlling Leakage of Landfill Leachate	To minimise	SENTX Site	SENTX	<i></i>	√	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Implemented
		Leachate will be contained within the SENTX Site by the proposed impermeable leachate	potential water quality impact	SENTA SILE	Contractor	·	•	WPCO	
		containment system and collected by the installation of drainage system to prevent	affecting the					Water-TM	
		potential migration of leachate to habitats in the vicinity.	ecological resources					EIAO-TM Annex 6	
9.10.2	EC4	Measures for Controlling Migration of Landfill Gas							Implemented
		Disturbance to habitat in the vicinity and associated wildlife due to migration of landfill gas will be prevented by proper management of the landfill gas generated from the SENTX. Ignition fires will be prohibited to occur within the boundary of the SENTX Site. Surface emission and offsite migration of landfill gas will be regularly monitored.	To minimise potential landfill gas migration affecting ecological resources	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	•	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 16	
9.10.3 and SENTX latest design	EC5	 The following compensation planting is recommended as the mitigation measures for the habitat affected due to the SENTX: Provision of 6 ha of mixed woodland planting to compensate the loss of shrubland; and 	Compensation of habitat loss due to the Project	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓	√	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Not applicable
		 Provision of a mosaic of grassland and shrubland in the remaining areas of the SENTX Site. 							

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
9.10.3	EC6	Compensatory planting and restoration of the SENTX can be implemented progressively according to the filling plan of SENTX. The mixture of grassland, shrubland and woodland habitats are recommended to diversify the habitats for supporting various wildlife in particular butterflies, birds and herpetofauna and blend into the existing undisturbed ecological environment.	To diversify habitats	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Not applicable
9.10.3	EC7	Indigenous plant species of shallow root system, softwood in nature and adaptive to sea shore habitat are recommended to be used in the restoration plan, which can establish well in coastal area with exposure to strong wind and salt spray, with sand soil base. Taking consideration of the relative poor substrate and the difficulties of establishment of some native trees in Hong Kong, it is recommended to include approximately 20% of non-native tree species in the compensatory woodland. The non-native tree species can serve as a nurse species to facilitate the establishment of the native tree species, especially the shading, and it can be replaced by established native tree species progressively. Plant species can also make reference to food plants of butterfly species (in particularly butterfly species of conservation interests recorded within the CWBCP).	To enhance ecological value of the habitats	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		EIAO-TM Annex 16	Not applicable

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	im me	ple	ure?	nt th (1) O/R		What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
9.10.3	EC8	It is also recommended that a trial nursery for native plant species be set up to fine tone the planting matrix and management intensity of the recommended indigenous tree species for the restoration of the SENTX. It should be noted that native shrubs and tree species had been used for restoration of the existing SENT Landfill, native plant species that could not successfully be established on the existing SENT Landfill should be reviewed before the preparation of the compensatory planting list. Special care and intensive management of native plant should be implemented in order to ensure proper establishment of the native plants.	To select the most suitable indigenous tree species for the SENTX	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor	✓		,	·	*	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Implemented
9.12.1	EC9	Environmental Monitoring & Audit Requirements The implementation of the ecological mitigation measures should be checked as part of the environmental monitoring and audit procedures during the construction period.	To ensure that adverse ecological impacts are prevented	SENTX	SENTX Contractor		✓	,	√	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 16	Implemented
Landscap	e and Vis	ual – Construction Phase										
10.6.5	LV1	CM1 - The construction area and area allowed for the contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory areas will be minimised to a practical minimum, to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓				EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Location of the Measures	Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
10.6.5	LV2	CM2 - Topsoil, where identified, will be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical. The Contract Specification will include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	All construction works area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Not applicable
10.6.5	LV3	CM3 - All existing trees at the edges of the landfill will be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this Specification, the Contractor will be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in Contractor's works areas.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Potential impacted area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Implemented
10.6.5	LV4	CM4 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works will be transplanted, where necessary and practical. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification will be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods will be allowed in the project programme.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Potential impacted area	SENTX Contractor	✓ ✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 3/2006	Implemented
10.6.5 and SENTX latest	LV5	CM5 - Within 3 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site, the Contractor will plant advance screen planting of native species at Light Standard size at 1.5m centres along the	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	At High Junk Peak Hiking Trail	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures			lement the sure? ⁽¹⁾	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks		
design		High Junk Peak Trail so as to screen views of the Works from the trail. Tree planting locations will be agreed with AFCD. Works will be completed within 9 months of taking possession of the SENTX Site.							
10.6.5	LV6	CM6 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be given an aesthetic treatment in earth tones to reduce their visual impact and albedo and blend them into the surrounding landscape.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Infrastructure area	SENTX Contractor	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5	LV7	CM7 - The Contractor's office, leachate treatment plant and laboratory will be surrounded by a minimum of 5m wide and 0.75m high earth bund on the west and south sides planted with a dense screen of tree and shrub vegetation. Additional tree planting will be provided in unused spaces with thin infrastructure site, along access roads and in and around car parks. This will be supplemented with shrub planting, where appropriate.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Infrastructure area	SENTX Contractor	√	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18 and ETWBC 7/2002	Not applicable
10.6.5	LV8	CM8 - Planting trials will be carried out in an on-site nursery prior to implementation of the first phase of restoration to establish the best planting matrix and management intensity of the recommended plant materials for the restoration.	landscape and	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor		✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
11.4.1 and	LV9	During the preparation of the detailed landscape design plan, the design submission	To ensure the implementation	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor/ET	✓	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Location of Recommended the Measures Measure & Main Concerns to address		Who to implement the measure?	When to implement the measure? (1) D C O/R A	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation Status and Remarks
SENTX latest design		will be audited against the recommendation proposed in the <i>ER Report</i> by the Registered Landscape Architect from the ET.	of mitigation measures proposed in this EIA Report					
Landscap	e and Vis	ual - Operation/Restoration Phase						
10.6.5 and SENTX latest design	LV10	OM1 - Landfill materials will be covered with general fill material or tarpaulin sheet on a daily basis to reduce visual impact.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Tipping area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5 and SENTX latest design	LV11	OM2 - Filling and restoration will be phased during the course of operations in a minimum of 4 phases, the restoration of each phase to commence immediately on the completion of filling in that phase.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Tipping area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5	LV12	OM3 - Catch fences will be erected at the perimeter of the waste boundary, to ensure that all waste stays within the site and is not blown into surrounding areas.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Tipping area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
10.6.5	LV13	OM4 - All night-time lighting will be reduced to a practical minimum both in terms of number of units and lux level and will be hooded and directional.	To minimise the landscape and visual impacts	Tipping area	SENTX Contractor	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Implemented
11.4.2 and SENTX latest design	LV14	The condition of the restoration plantation will be audited at monthly intervals by a Registered Landscape Architect from the ET.	To check the restoration plantation	SENTX Site	SENTX Contractor/ET	✓	EIAO-TM Annex 18	Not applicable

Annex C

Monitoring Schedule for This Reporting Period

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Operation/ Restoration Phase

<u>August 2023</u>

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1 Groundwater Monitoring	Groundwater Monitoring	Perimeter LFG Monitoring	4 Service voids LFG Monitoring	Dust Monitoring
			Leachate Monitoring	Perimeter LFG Bulk Gas Sampling	Surface Water Monitoring	
6	7 Noise Monitoring (10:00 - 10:30)	8	9	10	Dust Monitoring	12
13	Noise Monitoring	15 Stack Monitoring	16 Stack Monitoring	17 Dust Monitoring	18	19
		VOCs Monitoring	Flammable gas monitoring	Odour Monitoring		
20	21	22			25	26
			Dust Monitoring	Noise Monitoring		
27	28	29 Dust Monitoring	30 Noise Monitoring	31		

Annex D

Air Quality

Annex D1

Calibration Certificates for Dust Monitoring Equipment

Location I	D: AM1					Date of Calib	ration:	26-Jun-23			
Name and	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	1 TE-5170		Next Calibrat	ion Date:	25-Aug-23			
						Operator:		P.F.Yeung			
				CONDITIO	SNC						
		el Pressu ature (°C	` • ′	1009		Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 756.8 Temperature (K) 303					
CALIBRATION ORIFICE											
			Make: Model: Serial#:	TISCH TE-5025A 2454		Qstd Slope Qstd Intercep	t	2.06918 -0.04220			
				CALIBRA	TION						
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	Ι	IC		LINEAR			
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION			
18	5.1	5.1	10.2	1.548	55	54.44	Slope=	= 32.147			
13	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.390	50	49.49	Intercept=	= 4.931			
10	2.8	2.7	5.5	1.142	43	42.56	Corr. Coeff.=	= 0.9987			
7	2.0	1.9	3.9	0.965	36	35.64					

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

2.3

0.746

29

28.71

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location ID): AM2					Date of Calib	ration:	26-Jun-23		
Name and N	Model:	TISCH	HVS Model	TE-5170		Next Calibrat	tion Date:	25-Aug-23		
						Operator:	P.F.Yeung			
				CONDITIO	ONS					
	Sea Leve Tempera			1009 30.0	1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 756.8 Temperature (K) 303				
	CALIBRATION ORIFICE									
			Make: Model: Serial#:	TISCH TE-5025A 2454		Qstd Slope 2.06918 Qstd Intercept -0.04220				
				CALIBRA	TION					
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC		LINEAR		
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION		
18	6.1	6.0	12.1	1.684	53	52.46	Slope=	= 26.059		
13	4.5	4.4	8.9	1.448	48	47.51	Intercept=	= 8.709		
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.286	42	41.58	Corr. Coeff.=	= 0.9952		
7	2.2	2.3	4.5	1.035	35	34.65				

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

2.5

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location II	D: AM3					Date of Calib	ration:	26-Jun-23			
Name and I	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	1 TE-5170		Next Calibrat	tion Date:	25-Aug-23			
						Operator:	P.F.Yeung				
				CONDITIO	SNC						
	Sea Lev Tempera		are (hpa)	1009	1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 756.8 Temperature (K) 303					
	CALIBRATION ORIFICE										
			Make: Model: Serial#:	TISCH TE-5025A 2454		Qstd Slope Qstd Intercep	ot	2.06918 -0.04220			
CALIBRATION											
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC		LINEAR			
No.	No. (in) (in) (in) (m3/min) (cl) REGRESSION				
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.578	60	59.39	Slope=	= 29.708			

54

49

42

1.464

1.268

1.024

53.45

48.50

41.58

Calulations:

13

10

7

5

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

4.5

3.4

2.2

1.2

9.1

6.8

4.4

2.5

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

4.6

3.4

2.2

1.3

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Intercept= 11.211

Corr. Coeff.= 0.9953

_										
Location II	D: AM4					Date of Calib	ration:	26-Jun-23		
Name and l	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	l TE-5170		Next Calibrat	ion Date:	25-Aug-23		
						Operator:	P.F.Yeung			
				CONDITIO	SNC					
	Sea Leve Tempera	_	` • ′	1009		Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 756.8 Temperature (K) 303				
CALIBRATION ORIFICE										
			Make: Model: Serial#:	TISCH TE-5025A 2454		Qstd Slope Qstd Intercep	t	2.06918 -0.04220		
				CALIBRA	TION					
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC		LINEAR		
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION		
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.691	57	56.42	Slope=	31.719		
13	4.6	4.5	9.1	1.464	51	50.48	Intercept=	3.367		
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.304	45	44.54	Corr. Coeff.= 0.998			

1.024

37

36.63

Calulations:

7

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

2.2

1.4

4.4

2.8

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

2.2

1.4

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location I	D: AM1					Date of Calib	ration:	24-Aug-23
Name and	Model:	TISCH :	HVS Mode	1 TE-5170		Next Calibration Date:		23-Oct-23
						Operator:		P.F.Yeung
				CONDITIO	ONS			
Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 1007 Temperature (°C) 29.0						Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 755.3 Temperature (K) 302		
				CALIBRA	TION C	RIFICE		
Make: TISCH Qstd Slope 2.06918 Model: TE-5025A Qstd Intercept -0.04220 Serial#: 2454								
				CALIBRA	TION			
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC		LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.637	55	54.48	Slope=	: 29.245
13	4.5	4.5	9.0	1.457	49	48.54	Intercept=	: 6.549
10	3.1	3.1	6.2	1.212	43	42.59	Corr. Coeff.=	: 0.9985
7	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.978	36	35.66		
5	1.3	1.2	2.5	0.777	29	28.73		

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location II	D: AM2					Date of Calib	ration:	24-Aug-23	
Name and	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	1 TE-5170		Next Calibration Date:		23-Oct-23	
						Operator:		P.F.Yeung	
				CONDITIO	ONS				
Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 1007 Temperature (°C) 29.0						Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 755.3 Temperature (K) 302			
CALIBRATION ORIFICE									
Make: TISCH Qstd Slope Model: TE-5025A Qstd Intercept Serial#: 2454								2.06918 -0.04220	
				CALIBRA	TION				
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC		LINEAR	
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION	
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.692	53	52.50	Slope=	27.924	
13	4.9	4.8	9.7	1.511	48	47.55	Intercept=	5.641	
10	3.1	3.2	6.3	1.222	41	40.61	Corr. Coeff.=	0.9951	
7	2.3	2.2	4.5	1.036	36	35.66			
5	1.4	1.5	2.9	0.836	28	27.74			

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Location II	D: AM3					Date of Calib	oration: 24-Aug-23	
Name and l	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	1 TE-5170		Next Calibrat	tion Date: 23-Oct-23	
						Operator:	P.F.Yeung	
				CONDITIO	ONS			
Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 1007 Temperature (°C) 29.0						Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 755.3 Temperature (K) 302		
				CALIBRA	TION C	RIFICE		
			Make: Model: Serial#:	TISCH TE-5025A 2454	Qstd Slope Qstd Intercep	2.06918 -0.04220		
				CALIBRA	TION			
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	Ι	IC	LINEAR	
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION	
18	6.0	5.9	11.9	1.672	61	60.42	Slope= 28.952	
13	4.7	4.6	9.3	1.480	55	54.48	Intercept= 12.085	
10	3.5	3.4	6.9	1.278	50	49.53	Corr. Coeff.= 0.9979	
7	2.1	2.0	4.1	0.990	42	41.60		
5	1.4	1.3	2.7	0.807	35	34.67		

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



						D 00.111		24.4.22
Location II						Date of Calib		24-Aug-23
Name and	Model:	TISCH	HVS Mode	1TE-5170		Next Calibrat	tion Date:	23-Oct-23
						Operator:		P.F.Yeung
				CONDITIO	SNC			
Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 1007 Temperature (°C) 29.0						Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 755.3 Temperature (K) 302		
				CALIBRA	TION C	RIFICE		
Make: TISCH Model: TE-5025A Serial#: 2454						Qstd Slope Qstd Intercep	t	2.06918 -0.04220
				CALIBRA	TION			
Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	Ι	IC		LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		REGRESSION
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.692	57	56.46	Slope=	= 31.858
13	4.5	4.5	9.0	1.457	52	51.51	Intercept=	= 3.629
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.305	45	44.57	Corr. Coeff.=	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.025	38	37.64		

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

2.6

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:


1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

= chart response

Tav = daily average temperature



Annex D2

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Table D2.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM1

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)
5 Aug 23	8:00	6 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	112
11 Aug 23	8:00	12 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	68
17 Aug 23	8:00	18 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	59
23 Aug 23	8:00	24 Aug 23	8:00	Fine	53
29 Aug 23	8:00	30 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	56
				Average	70
				Min	53
				Max	112

Figure D2.1 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM1




Table D2.2 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM2

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)
5 Aug 23	8:00	6 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	156
11 Aug 23	8:00	12 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	76
17 Aug 23	8:00	18 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	103
23 Aug 23	8:00	24 Aug 23	8:00	Fine	113
29 Aug 23	8:00	30 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	154
				Average	120
				Min	76
				Max	156

Figure D2.2 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM2




Table D2.3 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM3

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)
5 Aug 23	8:00	6 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	112
11 Aug 23	8:00	12 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	56
17 Aug 23	8:00	18 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	125
23 Aug 23	8:00	24 Aug 23	8:00	Fine	75
29 Aug 23	8:00	30 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	115
				Average	97
				Min	56
				Max	125

Figure D2.3 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM3





Table D2.4 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at AM4

Start Date	Start Time	Finish Date	Finish Time	Weather	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)
5 Aug 23	8:00	6 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	93
11 Aug 23	8:00	12 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	46
17 Aug 23	8:00	18 Aug 23	8:00	Sunny	105
23 Aug 23	8:00	24 Aug 23	8:00	Fine	61
29 Aug 23	8:00	30 Aug 23	8:00	Cloudy	89
				Average	79
				Min	46
				Max	105

Figure D2.4 Graphical Presentation for 24-hr TSP Monitoring at AM4



Event and Action Plan for Dust Monitoring

Annex D3 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring During Operation/Restoration Phase


		Action	
Event	ET	IEC	Contractor
Exceedance of Action/Limit Level for dust monitoring	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to daily and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below action level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
Exceedance of Action Level for odour	 Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance or complaint Prepare the odour complaint form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase monitoring frequency to daily until odour not being detected for three consecutive da 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary


		Action	
Event	ET	IEC	Contractor
Exceedance of Limit Level for odour	 Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance or complaint Prepare the odour complaint form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating activities and implementation of odour mitigation measures Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposal or amend working methods as required Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control
Exceedance of Limit Level for ambient VOCs, ammonia and H ₂ S at the monitoring locations	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to monthly and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below limit level 	 Check with Contractor on the operating activities and implementation of landfill gas control measures 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary


		Action	
Event	ET	IEC	Contractor
Exceedance of Limit Level of stack emission of the thermal oxidizer, flares and generator	 Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep the Project Proponent and IEC informed of the results Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to monthly when there are two consecutive exceedances and continue until the monitoring results reduce to below limit level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating performance of the stack Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Rectify any unacceptable performance Amend design as required Implement amended design, if necessary


Meteorological Data


Annex D4 Meteorological Data

















Certificates of the Qualified Odour Panelist

ALS Life Sciences | Environmental

Certificate No.: C22096

Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

LAU MEI TUNG



has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 - 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 38 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

#Silver Stamp: Successfully fulfilling the Panellist requirement since 2021

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

Certificate No.: C22097

Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

LAO KA LEONG, BILLY



has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 - 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 33 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

#Silver Stamp: Successfully fulfilling the Panellist requirement since 2021

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: 852-2610 1044



Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

NG KING HO

has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual N-Butanol Screening Test during 09 November 2022 – 14 November 2022

with Individual Threshold: 36 ppb/v

and

fulfill the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003) -

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

14 November 2022

Issue Date

14 November 2023

Valid Until

Chan Wai Hung, Mannix

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: 852-2610 1044

Certificate No.: C22098



Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

Wong Yiu Chun, Ivan

has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual n-Butanol Screening Tests

during 15 July 2022 - 01 December 2022

with Individual Threshold: 41 ppb/v; Standard Deviation: 1.26

and

fulfil the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003)

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of Individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

01 December 2022 Issue Date 01 December 2023 Valid Until

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Certificate No.: C0698-01



Certificate for a Qualified Odour Panellist

This is to certify that

Wong Hei Wang

has participated in Ten (10) sets of individual n-Butanol Screening Tests

during 28 April 2022 to 6 September 2022

with Individual Threshold: 43 ppb/v; Standard Deviation: 1.28

and

fulfil the Requirement of the European Standard Method of Air Quality - Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN13725:2003)

The Requirement of the Odour Threshold of n-Butanol in Nitrogen Gas in the Range of 20 - 80 ppb/v with at least 10 sets of Individual threshold estimates and standard deviation less than 2.3

6 September 2022 Issue Date 6 September 2023 Valid Until

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Certificate no.: C0230-08

Odour Monitoring Results

Table D6.1 Odour Monitoring Results

Date	Weather	Location	Time	Temperature	Wind Speed	Wind	From	Odour	Odour	Possible Source	Remarks
				(°C)	(m/s)	Direction	Project Site	Intensity	Characteristic		
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP1	15:03	28.0	N/A	N/A	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP2	15:06	29.4	1.7	NE	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP3	15:09	29.2	0.9	E	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP4	15:10	29.1	1.6	SE	N	1	Leachate	LTP	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP5	15:12	29.4	1.3	E	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP6	15:15	30.6	1.8	SE	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP7	15:17	30.6	2.5	SE	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP8	15:20	32.8	3.5	SE	Y	1	Sludge	Sediment trap	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP9	15:28	30.7	1.9	SE	Y	1	Landfill gas	Tipping area	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP10	15:30	30.0	3.2	E	Y	1	Waste	Tipping area	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP11	15:47	31.8	0.0	N/A	N	1	Waste	Tipping area	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP12	15:45	29.4	1.5	SE	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP13	15:44	29.5	0.0	N/A	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP14	15:42	30.0	0.0	N/A	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP15	16:00	30.7	0.0	N/A	N	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP16	15:58	30.5	1.5	E	Y	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
17 Aug 23	Rainy	OP17	15:56	31.1	1.1	NW	Y	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Thermal Oxidizer, Landfill Gas Flare and Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Results

Table D7.1 Thermal Oxidiser Stack Emission Monitoring Results

Parameters	Monitoring Results	
NO ₂	0.14 gs ⁻¹	
CO	0.03 gs ⁻¹	
SO ₂	<0.01 gs ⁻¹	
Benzene	<1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ gs ⁻¹	
Vinyl chloride	<9.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹	
Non-Methane Organic Carbons (NMOC)	0.009 gs ⁻¹	
Ammonia	0.0361 gs ⁻¹	
Exhaust gas velocity	8.7 ms ⁻¹	

Table D7.2 Thermal Oxidiser Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

Date		Gas Combusti	on	Exhaust Temperature	e i	Exhaust Gas
		Temperature ((°C)	(K)	,	Velocity (ms-1) (a)
1 Aug 23		921		1224		
2 Aug 23		944		1228		
3 Aug 23		907		1218		
4 Aug 23		944		1222		
5 Aug 23		885		1180		
6 Aug 23		929		1221		
7 Aug 23		921		1218		
8 Aug 23		903		1212		
9 Aug 23		895		1208		
10 Aug 23		898		1206		
11 Aug 23		931		1220		
12 Aug 23		917		1195		
13 Aug 23		939		1212		
14 Aug 23		923		1212		
15 Aug 23		930		1224		
16 Aug 23		937		1218		8.7
17 Aug 23		924		1206		
18 Aug 23		910		1205		
19 Aug 23		933		1214		
20 Aug 23		955		1227		
21 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
22 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
23 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
24 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
25 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
26 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
27 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
28 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
29 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
30 Aug 23			Under M	aintenance		
31 Aug 23		922		1180		
	Average	922		1212		-
	Min	885		1180		-
	Max	955		1228		-

Notes:

(a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Table D7.3 Landfill Gas Flare Stack Emission Monitoring Results

Parameters	Monitoring Results (Flare 1 - F601)
NO ₂	<0.02 gs ⁻¹
CO	$0.08~{ m gs^{-1}}$
SO ₂	0.06 gs ⁻¹
Benzene	$<1.22 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gs}^{-1}$
Vinyl chloride	<0.98 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹
Non-Methane Organic Carbons (NMOC)	$0.003~{ m gs^{-1}}$
Exhaust gas velocity	8.9 ms ⁻¹

Table D7.4 Landfill Gas Flare Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

Date	Gas Combustion	Exhaust	Exhaust Gas	Operation Status
	Temperature (°C)	Temperature (K)	Velocity (ms-1) (a)	
Flare 1 - F6	01			
1 Aug 23	877	1043		In Operation
2 Aug 23	830	1023		In Operation
3 Aug 23	835	993		In Operation
4 Aug 23	850	1003		In Operation
5 Aug 23	850	1043		In Operation
6 Aug 23	-	-		Under Maintenance
7 Aug 23	860	1033		In Operation
8 Aug 23	860	1023		In Operation
9 Aug 23	980	1133		In Operation
10 Aug 23	970	1063		In Operation
11 Aug 23	950	1103		In Operation
12 Aug 23	880	1053		In Operation
13 Aug 23	860	1033		In Operation
14 Aug 23	850	1043		In Operation
15 Aug 23	870	1023	0.0	In Operation
16 Aug 23	910	1093	8.9	In Operation
17 Aug 23	980	1083		In Operation
18 Aug 23	950	1123		In Operation
19 Aug 23	870	1053		In Operation
20 Aug 23	830	1023		In Operation
21 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
22 Aug 23	880	1063		In Operation
23 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
24 Aug 23	850	1063		In Operation
25 Aug 23	840	1043		In Operation
26 Aug 23	860	1073		In Operation
27 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
28 Aug 23	860	1063		In Operation
29 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
30 Aug 23	865	1085		In Operation
31 Aug 23	890	1073		In Operation
Average	878	1056	-	
Min	830	993	-	
Max	980	1133	-	
Flare 2 - F6	02			
1 Aug 23	830	1063		In Operation
2 Aug 23	840	1053		In Operation
3 Aug 23	890	1003		In Operation
4 Aug 23	830	1053		In Operation
ENVIRONMENTA	AL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	•	Gi	REEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Date	Gas Combustion	Exhaust	Exhaust Gas	Operation Status
	Temperature (°C)	Temperature (K)	Velocity (ms-1) (a)	
5 Aug 23	860	1083		In Operation
6 Aug 23	860	1083		In Operation
7 Aug 23	900	1093		In Operation
8 Aug 23	830	1033		In Operation
9 Aug 23	870	1083		In Operation
10 Aug 23	870	1073		In Operation
11 Aug 23	880	1023		In Operation
12 Aug 23	930	1123		In Operation
13 Aug 23	850	1063		In Operation
14 Aug 23	820	1023		In Operation
15 Aug 23	860	1043	8.9	In Operation
16 Aug 23	820	1053		In Operation
17 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
18 Aug 23	840	1053		In Operation
19 Aug 23	820	1023		In Operation
20 Aug 23	840	1053		In Operation
21 Aug 23	840	1063		In Operation
22 Aug 23	870	1116		In Operation
23 Aug 23	840	1063		In Operation
24 Aug 23	830	1083		In Operation
25 Aug 23	830	1043		In Operation
26 Aug 23	890	1053		In Operation
27 Aug 23	860	1053		In Operation
28 Aug 23	850	1083		In Operation
29 Aug 23	880	1103		In Operation
30 Aug 23	840	1083		In Operation
31 Aug 23	890	1073		In Operation
Average	855	1063	-	
Min	820	1003	-	
Max	930	1123	-	

Notes:

(a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Table D7.5 Landfill Gas Generator Stack Emission Monitoring Results

Parameters	Monitoring Results			
NO ₂	0.024 gs ⁻¹			
CO	0.895 gs ⁻¹			
SO ₂	<0.001 gs ⁻¹			
Benzene	6.9 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹			
Vinyl chloride	<1.1 x 10 ⁻⁵ gs ⁻¹			
Non-Methane Organic Carbons (NMOC)	$1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gs}^{-1}$			
Exhaust gas velocity	13.1 ms ⁻¹			
(a) The Landfill Gas Generator was under maintenance in the reporting period.				

Table D7.6 Landfill Gas Generator Stack Continuous Monitoring Results

Date	Exhaust	Exhaust Gas	Operation Status
	Temperature (K)	Velocity (ms-1) (a)	
ENGA			
1 Aug 23	866		In Operation
2 Aug 23	848		In Operation
3 Aug 23	870		In Operation
4 Aug 23	876		In Operation
5 Aug 23	867		In Operation
6 Aug 23	847		In Operation
7 Aug 23	846		In Operation
8 Aug 23	849		In Operation
9 Aug 23	851		In Operation
10 Aug 23	861		In Operation
11 Aug 23	873		In Operation
12 Aug 23	876		In Operation
13 Aug 23	846		In Operation
14 Aug 23	874		In Operation
15 Aug 23	847		In Operation
16 Aug 23	873	13.1	In Operation
17 Aug 23	847		In Operation
18 Aug 23	876		In Operation
19 Aug 23	874		In Operation
20 Aug 23	847		In Operation
21 Aug 23	876		In Operation
22 Aug 23	875		In Operation
23 Aug 23	875		In Operation
24 Aug 23	874		In Operation
25 Aug 23	875		In Operation
26 Aug 23	875		In Operation
27 Aug 23	875		In Operation
28 Aug 23	875		In Operation
29 Aug 23	874		In Operation
30 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
31 Aug 23	872		In Operation
	verage 865	-	*
	Min 846	-	
	Max 876	-	
ENGB			
1 Aug 23	852		In Operation
2 Aug 23	865		In Operation
3 Aug 23	845		In Operation

Date	Exhaust	Exhaust Gas	Operation Status
	Temperature (K)	Velocity (ms-1) (a)	
4 Aug 23	843		In Operation
5 Aug 23	847		In Operation
6 Aug 23	845		In Operation
7 Aug 23	872		In Operation
8 Aug 23	874		In Operation
9 Aug 23	850		In Operation
10 Aug 23	875		In Operation
11 Aug 23	843		In Operation
12 Aug 23	844		In Operation
13 Aug 23	845	13.1	In Operation
14 Aug 23	846		In Operation
15 Aug 23	846		In Operation
16 Aug 23	850		In Operation
17 Aug 23	870		In Operation
18 Aug 23	846		In Operation
19 Aug 23	847		In Operation
20 Aug 23	846		In Operation
21 Aug 23	846		In Operation
22 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
23 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
24 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
25 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
26 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
27 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
28 Aug 23	-		Under Maintenance
29 Aug 23	875		In Operation
30 Aug 23	874		In Operation
31 Aug 23	871		In Operation
Average	855	-	
Min	843	-	
Max	875	-	

Notes:

(a) The exhaust gas velocity was calculated based on the cross-section area of the stack and the gas flow and combustion temperature data measured during the stack emission monitoring.

Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Results

Table D8.1 Ambient VOCs, Ammonia and H₂S Monitoring Results

Parameters	Limit Level		Monitoring Results	s (μg m ⁻³)	
		AM1	AM2	AM3	AM4
Ammonia	180	16	54	18	37
H_2S	42	<15	<15	<15	<15
Methane	NA (a)	0.00016 %(v/v)	0.00013 %(v/v)	0.00014 %(v/v)	0.00014 %(v/v)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	5,550	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	39	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1.2-Dichloroethane	210	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3
Benzene	33	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	<0.5
Butan-2-ol	667	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6
Butanethiol	4	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2
Carbon Disulphide	150	3.2	2.6	20.9	2
Carbon Tetrachloride	64	1	0.9	1	0.9
Chloroform	99	<0.8	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Decanes	3,608	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7
Dichlorobenzene	120	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Dichlorodifluoro-methane	NA (a)	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7
Dimethylsulphide	8	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Dipropyl ether	NA (a)	<0.8	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Limonene	212	< 0.4	< 0.4	0.5	<0.4
Ethanethiol	13	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6
Ethanol	19,200	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8
Ethyl butanoate	71	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Ethyl propionate	29	<0.8	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Ethyl benzene	738	< 0.5	0.9	0.7	0.6
Heptane	2,746	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Methanethiol	10	< 0.4	< 0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Methanol	2,660	<2.6	<2.6	<2.6	<2.6
Methyl butanoate	30	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Methyl propionate	353	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7
Methylene Chloride	3,530	1.4	0.9	2.3	1
Butyl acetate	76	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Butyl benzene	47	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Nonane	11,540	<0.9	< 0.9	<0.9	<0.9
Propyl benzene	19	< 0.8	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Parameters	Limit Level		Monitoring Results (μg m ⁻³)					
		AM1	AM2	AM3	AM4			
Octane	7,942	< 0.9	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9			
Propyl propionate	276	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0			
Terpenes	NA (a)	< 0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8			
Tetrachloroethylene	1,380	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7	< 0.7			
Toluene	1,244	0.9	0.8	1.4	0.7			
Trichloroethylene	5,500	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1			
Undecane	5,562	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2			
Vinyl Chloride	26	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3			
Xylenes	534	0.5	0.8	2.6	1.1			

Notes:

(a) No relevant WHO/USEPA/CARB's ambient criteria, odour thresholds and WEL available.

Annex E

Noise

Annex E1

Calibration Certificates for Noise Monitoring Equipment



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C227323

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC22-2398)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 24 November 2022

Description / 儀器名稱

Precision Acoustic Calibrator

Manufacturer / 製造商

LARSON DAVIS

Model No. / 型號

CAL200

Serial No. / 編號

15678

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

18 December 2022

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試

Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證

Date of Issue

19 December 2022

簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong 輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗所 c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986 Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

E-mail/電郵: callab(a)suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 2



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.:

C227323

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.

2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL130 CL281

TST150A

Description

Universal Counter

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Measuring Amplifier Certificate No.

C223647 AV210017 C221750

Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results:

4.

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.9	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	113.9		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	(Hz)
1	1.000	$1 \text{ kHz} \pm 1 \%$	± 1

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

C232965 Certificate No.:

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC23-0878)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 4 May 2023

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer/製造商

Rion NL-52

Model No. / 型號 Serial No. / 編號

00643049

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

27 May 2023

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed specified limits. (after adjustment)

These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試

HT Wong Assistant Engineer

Certified By 核證

Lee Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期

29 May 2023

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C232965

證書編號

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to 1. warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

. 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280 CL281

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

C230306

CDK2302738

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting			Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 95.5	± 1.1

^{*} Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit

6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

	UUT Setting				d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	UUT Setting			d Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
***************************************				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.1

IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit: \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C232965

證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting			Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting			Appli	Applied Value		IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2 \pm 1.6$
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					16 kHz	86.0	-6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT Setting				Applied Value		IEC 61672
Range	Function	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Class 1 Limit
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)		(dB)	(dB)
30 - 130	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	$\textbf{0.0} \pm \textbf{1.4}$
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)
					16 kHz	84.1	-8.5 (+3.5; -17.0)

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.
本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。 局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C232965

證書編號

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-59 & S/N: 12128

- Mfr's Limit: IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$

104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.


Annex E2

Noise Monitoring Results

Table E2.1 Measured Noise Levels (dB(A)) at NM1 during Normal Working Hours (0700-1900 hours; Normal Weekdays)

Date	Start Time	Finish Time	Weather	L _{10 (30min)}	L _{90 (30min)}	Leq (30min)
7 Aug 23	10:32	11:02	Sunny	59.7	53.3	57.2
14 Aug 23	10:42	11:12	Cloudy	58.3	54.2	57.0
24 Aug 23	10:51	11:21	Cloudy	52.5	49.2	51.4
30 Aug 23	10:53	11:23	Sunny	55.1	50.0	53.0
					Average	e 54.7
					Mir	n 51.4
					Max	x 57.2

Figure E2.1 Graphical Presentation for Noise Monitoring at NM1



Annex E3

Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring

Annex E3 Event and Action Plan for Operational Noise Monitoring

Event	Action					
	ET	IEC	Contractor			
Action Level	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC and Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals 			
Limit Level	 Identify the source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance and complaint Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Analyse the operation of SENTX and investigate the causes of exceedance Provide interim report to Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD the causes of the exceedances Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Report the remedial measures implemented and the additional monitoring results to Contactor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD Have additional monitoring if exceedance is due to the Project. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Take immediate measures to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the Project Proponent until the exceedance is abated 			

Water Quality

Calibration Certificates for Surface Water Quality Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG WORK ORDER: HK2329476

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, **SUB-BATCH:** 0

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 24-Jul-2023 **DATE OF ISSUE:** 01-Aug-2023

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [HORIBA]/ [U-52G]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [NVAE080GT]/ [N/A]

Date of Calibration: 31-July-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

16:5

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

[.....]

Equipment No.:

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

Date of Next Calibration: 31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (µS/cm)	Displayed Reading (μS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	140	-4.7
6667	6490	-2.7
12890	12000	-6.9
58670	55300	-5.7
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 45000: G

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)					
2.61	2.77	+0.16					
5.27	5.36	+0.09					
7.20	7.23	+0.03					
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20					

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.02	+0.02
7.0	7.02	+0.02
10.0	9.98	-0.02
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

Equipment No.:

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

Date of Next Calibration:

31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	
4	3.8	-5.0
40	42.7	+6.8
80	75.1	-6.1
400	400	+0.0
800	859	+7.4
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2520B

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.00	
10	9.38	-6.2
20	18.78	-6.1
30	28.53	-4.9
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH:

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Date of Next Calibration:

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	12.02	+1.0
25.5	26.00	+0.5
37.0	37.04	+0.0
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results

Table F2.1 Surface Water Monitoring Results

		Limit Level	DP4	DP4(Decention to)	Additional Monitoring	Additional Monitoring
		Limit Level		DP4(Duplicate)	(DP4)	(DP4(Duplicate))
On-site Measurement			4 Aug 23	4 Aug 23	15 Aug 23	15 Aug 23
	TTTT '1	6 - 9	8.2	8.6	7.36	7.92
pH Value	pH Unit		6.2 763	764	360	7.92 345
Electrical Conductivity	μS/cm	-	57.4	46.3		6.39
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-			6.75	
Volume Discharge	m ³	-	1,360	1,360	1,558	1,558
Laboratory Analysis	/~		39	27		
Bicarbonate	mg/L	-		36	-	-
Carbonate	mg/L	-	<1	2	-	-
Suspended Solids (SS)	mg/L	20	57.4	46.3	12.2	12.6
Ammonia-nitrogen	mg/L	7.1	0.04	0.03	-	-
Chloride	mg/L	-	164	170	-	-
Nitrite-nitrogen	mg/L	-	0.02	0.02	-	-
Phosphate	mg/L	5	< 0.01	<0.01	-	-
Sulphate	mg/L	-	76	76	-	-
Sulphide	mg/L	2.5	<0.1	<0.1	-	-
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen(TKN)	mg/L	-	0.6	0.6	-	-
Nitrate-nitrogen	mg/L	-	0.14	0.13	-	-
Total Nitrogen(TN)	mg/L	50	0.8	0.8	-	-
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	20	3	3	-	-
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	30	18	20	-	-
Oil & Grease	mg/L	20	<5	<5	-	-
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	-	3	3	-	-
Boron	μg/L	1100	70	70	-	-
Calcium	mg/L	-	52.1	51.9	-	-
Mercury	μg/L	1	< 0.20	<0.20	-	-
Magnesium	mg/L	-	3.4	3.4	-	-
Sodium	mg/L	-	74.1	76	-	-
Iron	mg/L	3	< 0.04	< 0.04	-	-
Potassium	mg/L	-	10.6	10.4	-	-
Cadmium	μg/L	1	< 0.2	< 0.2	-	-
Chromium	μg/L	300	<1	<1	-	-
Copper	μg/L	300	1	2	-	_
Lead	μg/L	300	<1	<1	-	-
Manganese	μg/L	-	3	9	_	_

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

					Additional Monitoring	Additional Monitoring
		Limit Level	DP4	DP4(Duplicate)	(DP4)	(DP4(Duplicate))
			4 Aug 23	4 Aug 23	15 Aug 23	15 Aug 23
Nickel	μg/L	300	<1	<1	-	-
Zinc	μg/L	-	<10	13	-	-

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Event and Action Plan for Surface Water Quality Monitoring

Annex F3 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring During Operation/Restoration Phase

Event	Action					
	ET	IEC	Contractor			
Exceedance of Limit Level for urface water nonitoring	 Identify source(s) of impact and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate 			
Exceedance of Limit Level for groundwater nonitoring	 Identify source(s) of impact and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of Limit Level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check Contractor's working methods Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Divert groundwater collected at the collection sumps to the leachate treatment plant Submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Rectify any unacceptable practice or design Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary 			

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Event	Action					
	ET	IEC	Contractor			
Exceedance of Limit Level for leachate level	 Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operating activities and performance of the leachate collection system Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Check the performance of the leachate collection system Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend leachate collection design if required Implement amended leachate collection system, if necessary 			
Exceedance of Limit Level of effluent discharge from LTP	 Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Repeat measurement to confirm finding if exceedance is due to the Project Increase monitoring frequency to weekly until no exceedance of Limit Level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Check with Contractor on the operation performance of the LTP Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Carry out remedial measures or amend design as required Implement amended design, if necessary 			

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Calibration Certificates for Effluent Quality Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG WORK ORDER: HK2321968

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, SUB-BATCH: (

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 07-Jun-2023 **DATE OF ISSUE:** 13-Jun-2023

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: pH meter

Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: pH Value and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [LUTRON]/ [PH-208]

Serial No./ Equipment No.: [AL.59359/TF30605]/ [HK2142]

Date of Calibration: 09-June-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

16:5

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER: HK2321968

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 13-Jun-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

pH meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[LUTRON]/[PH-208]

Serial No./

[AL.59359/TF30605]/ [HK2142]

Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:

09-June-2023

Date of Next Calibration: 09-September-2023

PARAMETERS:

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.96	-0.04
7.0	6.99	-0.01
10.0	10.09	+0.09
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
5.0	5.1	+0.1
25.0	24.1	-0.9
43.0	42.1	-0.9
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

Leachate Levels Monitoring Results

Table F5.1Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.1X (Cell 1X))

Date	Meter No.X1 (cm)	Meter No.X2 (cm)	Average (cm)
Pump Station No. 1X	(Cell 1X)		
1 Aug 23	77	88	83
2 Aug 23	77	88	83
3 Aug 23	77	88	83
4 Aug 23	77	88	83
5 Aug 23	77	88	83
6 Aug 23	77	85	81
7 Aug 23	77	88	83
8 Aug 23	77	88	83
9 Aug 23	77	88	83
10 Aug 23	77	88	83
11 Aug 23	77	86	82
12 Aug 23	77	88	83
13 Aug 23	77	86	82
14 Aug 23	79	88	84
15 Aug 23	77	88	83
16 Aug 23	77	88	83
17 Aug 23	77	88	83
18 Aug 23	77	88	83
19 Aug 23	77	88	83
20 Aug 23	73	86	80
21 Aug 23	68	82	75
22 Aug 23	75	86	81
23 Aug 23	73	86	80
24 Aug 23	75	86	81
25 Aug 23	68	79	74
26 Aug 23	77	88	83
27 Aug 23	70	82	76
28 Aug 23	70	82	76
29 Aug 23	77	88	83
30 Aug 23	68	79	74
31 Aug 23	66	79	73
Average		86	81
Min	1 66	79	73
Max	、 79	88	84

Table F5.2 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.2X (Cell 2X))

Date	Meter No.X3 (cm)	Meter No.X4 (cm)	Average (cm)
Pump Station N	o. 2X (Cell 2X)		
1 Aug 23	80	84	82
2 Aug 23	72	73	73
3 Aug 23	85	86	86
4 Aug 23	76	77	77
5 Aug 23	87	88	88
6 Aug 23	80	82	81
7 Aug 23	76	79	78
8 Aug 23	89	90	90
9 Aug 23	80	82	81
10 Aug 23	74	75	75
11 Aug 23	87	90	89
12 Aug 23	82	86	84
13 Aug 23	82	84	83
14 Aug 23	78	82	80
15 Aug 23	82	84	83

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

Date	Meter No	.X3 (cm) Meter No.X4	(cm) Average (cm)
16 Aug 23	80	82	81
17 Aug 23	76	77	77
18 Aug 23	70	77	74
19 Aug 23	87	86	87
20 Aug 23	78	77	78
21 Aug 23	78	77	78
22 Aug 23	63	<i>7</i> 5	69
23 Aug 23	87	86	87
24 Aug 23	78	75	77
25 Aug 23	87	86	87
26 Aug 23	78	77	78
27 Aug 23	78	77	78
28 Aug 23	78	77	78
29 Aug 23	80	79	80
30 Aug 23	85	84	85
31 Aug 23	87	86	87
	Average 80	81	81
	Min 63	73	69
	Max 89	90	90

Table F5.3 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.3X (Cell 3X))

Date	Meter No.X5 (cm)	Meter No.X6 (cm)	Average (cm)
Pump Station No.	3X (Cell 3X)		
1 Aug 23	57	62	60
2 Aug 23	66	70	68
3 Aug 23	55	62	59
4 Aug 23	64	68	66
5 Aug 23	57	64	61
6 Aug 23	53	57	55
7 Aug 23	64	68	66
8 Aug 23	70	77	74
9 Aug 23	59	64	62
10 Aug 23	64	70	67
11 Aug 23	53	59	56
12 Aug 23	53	59	56
13 Aug 23	62	66	64
14 Aug 23	55	62	59
15 Aug 23	68	68	68
16 Aug 23	66	64	65
17 Aug 23	59	59	59
18 Aug 23	68	66	67
19 Aug 23	64	66	65
20 Aug 23	62	62	62
21 Aug 23	70	70	70
22 Aug 23	70	70	70
23 Aug 23	59	59	59
24 Aug 23	57	64	61
25 Aug 23	70	70	70
26 Aug 23	70	70	70
27 Aug 23	55	55	55
28 Aug 23	55	55	55
29 Aug 23	70	70	70
30 Aug 23	55	55	55
31 Aug 23	57	57	57
Avera	ige 62	64	63
\mathbf{M}	lin 53	55	55
M	Iax 70	77	74

Table F5.4 Leachate Levels Monitoring Results (Pump Station No.4X (Cell 4X))

Date	Meter No.X7 (cm)	Meter No.X8 (cm)	Average (cm)
Pump Station No. 4X	(Cell 4X)		
1 Aug 23	61	70	66
2 Aug 23	52	61	57
3 Aug 23	59	56	58
4 Aug 23	63	63	63
5 Aug 23	63	70	67
6 Aug 23	56	63	60
7 Aug 23	59	67	63
8 Aug 23	61	70	66
9 Aug 23	63	70	67
10 Aug 23	56	54	55
11 Aug 23	54	61	58
12 Aug 23	54	54	54
13 Aug 23	59	67	63
14 Aug 23	61	70	66
15 Aug 23	61	67	64
16 Aug 23	59	67	63
17 Aug 23	59	67	63
18 Aug 23	59	67	63
19 Aug 23	52	61	57
20 Aug 23	56	65	61
21 Aug 23	56	65	61
22 Aug 23	59	67	63
23 Aug 23	56	65	61
24 Aug 23	59	57	58
25 Aug 23	56	52	54
26 Aug 23	61	67	64
27 Aug 23	61	54	58
28 Aug 23	61	54	58
29 Aug 23	63	54	59
30 Aug 23	61	70	66
31 Aug 23	61	70	66
Average		63	61
Mir	52	52	54
Max	63	70	67

Effluent Quality Monitoring Results

Table F6.1 Effluent Monitoring Results

		2 Aug 23	
On-site Measurements		2114625	
Temperature	°C	35.8	
pH Value	pH Unit	8.4	
Volume Discharged	m^3	728	
Laboratory Analysis			
Suspended Solids (SS)	mg/L	39.8	
Alkalinity	mg/L	2300	
Ammoniacal-nitrogen	mg/L	0.02	
Chloride	mg/L	2380	
Nitrite-nitrogen	mg/L	0.22	
Phosphate	mg/L	8.28	
Sulphate	mg/L	244	
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	119.0	
Nitrate-nitrogen	mg/L	59.5	
Total Inorganic Nitrogen	mg/L	59.74	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	mg/L	9	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L	1130	
Oil & Grease	mg/L	<5	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	354	
Boron	μg/L	6000	
Calcium	mg/L	21.7	
Iron	mg/L	1.84	
Magnesium	mg/L	31.2	
Potassium	mg/L	869	
Cadmium	μg/L	<1.0	
Chromium	μg/L	129	
Copper	μg/L	<10	
Nickel	μg/L	126	
Zinc	μg/L	82	

Calibration Certificates for Groundwater Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG WORK ORDER: HK2329476

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE, **SUB-BATCH:** 0

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 24-Jul-2023 **DATE OF ISSUE:** 01-Aug-2023

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [HORIBA]/ [U-52G]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [NVAE080GT]/ [N/A]

Date of Calibration: 31-July-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

16:5

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

[.....]

Equipment No.:

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

Date of Next Calibration: 31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (µS/cm)	Displayed Reading (μS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	140	-4.7
6667	6490	-2.7
12890	12000	-6.9
58670	55300	-5.7
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	
2.61	2.77	+0.16	
5.27	5.36	+0.09	
7.20	7.23	+0.03	
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20	

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.02	+0.02
7.0	7.02	+0.02
10.0	9.98	-0.02
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

Date of Next Calibration:

31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	
4	3.8	-5.0
40	42.7	+6.8
80	75.1	-6.1
400	400	+0.0
800	859	+7.4
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2520B

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.00	
10	9.38	-6.2
20	18.78	-6.1
30	28.53	-4.9
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

WORK ORDER: HK2329476

SUB-BATCH:

DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Aug-2023

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name/ Model No.:

[HORIBA]/[U-52G]

Serial No./

Equipment No.:

[NVAE080GT]/[N/A]

Date of Calibration:

31-July-2023

Date of Next Calibration: 31-October-2023

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	12.02	+1.0
25.5	26.00	+0.5
37.0	37.04	+0.0
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris

Groundwater Monitoring Results

Table F8.1 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Parameters	Units	MWX-1	MWX-2	MWX-3	MWX-4	MWX-5	MWX-6	MWX-7	MWX-8	MWX-9	MWX-10	MWX-11	MWX-12	MWX-13	MWX-14
Water Level	mPD	3.11	3.71	2.94	3.57	3.02	2.81	3.04	3.36	4.24	3.33	3.37	6.89	36.52	44.01
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/L	141	264	198	22	50	12	18	8	166	230	198	54	17	13
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/L	<1	<1	<1	50	5	133	74	68	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/L	141	264	198	73	54	145	92	76	166	230	198	54	17	13
pH Value	pH Unit	7.6	8.4	8.3	10.4	8.6	11.3	10.7	11	8.2	8.2	8.3	7.4	5.8	5.7
Electrical Conductivity	μS/cm	1000	1420	987	794	1300	1100	1350	2240	10400	994	663	300	94	96
Ammonia	mg/L	0.14	0.05	1.33	3.47	1.6	4.32	4.94	7.52	0.72	< 0.01	0.05	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chloride	mg/L	201	146	140	159	257	205	263	548	3360	118	48	21	15	18
Nitrite	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.12	0.08	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Phosphorus	mg/L	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.05	< 0.01	< 0.01
Sulphate	mg/L	64	315	94	79	167	96	170	240	637	117	73	57	3	4
Sulphide	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	3.9	< 0.1	12.1	< 0.1	2.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.2	< 0.1	1.4	3.5	1.8	4.5	5.1	7.7	0.8	0.1	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nitrate	mg/L	0.04	1.14	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.1	0.13
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	0.3	1.2	1.4	3.5	1.8	4.5	5.2	7.8	0.8	0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	0.1
Boron	μg/L	130	230	200	200	210	180	220	170	2120	270	110	30	20	20
Calcium	mg/L	59.3	76.9	76.1	23	23.6	31.3	19.5	79.4	115	88.2	80.6	23.9	0.79	0.88
Mercury	μg/L	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20
Magnesium	mg/L	8.99	65.4	5.13	0.41	0.41	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.45	152	8.13	4.95	1.32	0.9	0.77
Sodium	mg/L	109	105	86.2	105	181	161	189	313	1800	95.8	37.8	23.2	12.6	12.8
Iron	mg/L	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.12	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.46	< 0.04	< 0.04
Potassium	mg/L	20	18.5	27.5	26	60.4	55.1	51.2	81.1	78.3	14.6	8.26	2.52	3.58	3.39
Cadmium	μg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chromium	μg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Copper	μg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	1	<1	4	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Lead	μg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Manganese	μg/L	426	142	901	<1	4	<1	<1	<1	239	812	436	735	16	6
Nickel	μg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	2	2	4	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Zinc	μg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	29	12	<10	<10	<10	16	27	14	16
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	<2	<2	<2	2	<2	9	<2	4	2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	4	3	13	24	24	49	28	30	54	4	6	<2	<2	<2
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	2	<1	8	6	9	10	12	12	7	2	2	<1	<1	1

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Investigation Reports of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

Time 16:03 Monitoring Location MWX-6 Parameter Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Limit Level >46 mg /L Measured Level 49 mg /L Possible reason Groundwater contaminated with leachate is commonly characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L) and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities. It should also be noted that although the COD level exceeded the	Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Monitoring Location MWX-6 Parameter Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Limit Level 246 mg /L Measured Level 49 mg /L Possible reason Groundwater contaminated with leachate is commonly characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.		
Parameter Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Limit Level A46 mg /L Measured Level Possible reason Groundwater contaminated with leachate is commonly characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.	Time	16:03
Limit Level	Monitoring Location	MWX-6
Measured Level Possible reason Groundwater contaminated with leachate is commonly characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L) and WWX-6 (2.32 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.	Parameter	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
Groundwater contaminated with leachate is commonly characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.	Limit Level	>46 mg /L
characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L) and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the monitoring location. According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.	Measured Level	49 mg /L
According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation. Due to the presence of influencing factor from non-project source and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.	Possible reason	characterized by high COD and ammoniacal-nitrogen levels as a result of degradation of organic matters in the waste. The ammoniacal-nitrogen monitoring result at groundwater monitoring wells MWX-6 (4.32 mg/L) and the COD monitoring results of the groundwater monitoring wells adjacent to MWX-6 (MWX-5: 24 mg/L and MWX-7: 28 mg/L) are well within the respective limit levels. Hence, there is a low possibility of the elevation of COD level at MWX-6 is due to leachate contamination from SENTX operation or at least it is not conclusive to base on these results to demonstrate exceedance was due to leachate contamination. In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 22 September 2023 to confirm findings. COD concentration of 36 mg/L (below the Limit Level) was measured at MWX-6 during the sampling event, which demonstrate no consecutive groundwater quality impact at the
and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities.		According to the findings of the desktop review commissioned by GVL and EPD (the Employer) in May 2021 to investigate the potential sources of the elevated methane levels at the perimeter landfill gas monitoring wells at SENTX, pockets of organic matters are identified in the fill materials of the SENTX site upon review of the historical site investigation borehole logs at the Project Site area. It is possible that the elevated COD concentration measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 could be due to localised organic matters within or around the monitoring well and background fluctuation.
limit level of the EM&A programme, it is still well within the		and the subsequent month monitoring results at MWX-6 did not show any exceedance, there is no adequate evidence showing that the COD level exceedance measured at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 was deemed to Project-related activities. It should also be noted that although the COD level exceeded the

	WPCO effluent discharge limit of COD (80 mg/L) and the standard for effluents discharged into the inshore waters of the Junk Bay Water Control Zone as stipulated under Technical Memorandum Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (80 mg/L). The slight exceedance of COD at MWX-6 on 2 August 2023 will not cause adverse water quality impact to the Junk Bay Water Control Zone.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels. ET will continue to closely monitor the groundwater quality monitoring results and collect additional data for investigation and further review, if necessary.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau
Designation: Environmental Team
Date: 9 October 2023

Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedance

Project	South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension
Date	4 August 2023
Time	14:17 and 14:25 (Duplicate)
Monitoring Location	DP4
Parameter	Surface Water (Suspended Solids (SS))
Limit Level	>20 mg/L
Measured Level	DP4: 57.4 mg /L
	DP4 (Duplicate): 46.3 mg /L
Possible reason	From the on-site rainfall record of July and August 2023, heavy rainfall events were recorded on 28 to 31 July and 4 August 2023 before the sampling event. Red and amber rainstorm warning signal were also issued by the Hong Kong Observatory on 29 and 31 August 2023, respectively. No works which may lead to potential SS increase (e.g. active stockpiling and excavation works) was conducted in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4 on the sampling day based on on-site observations and construction activities described by the Contractor.
	During the sampling event, no raining was recorded and no other sources (e.g. other project sites) was identified in the vicinity of surface water channel leading to DP4 which might cause the SS exceedance at DP4. Site surface runoff at DP4 channel was treated by the Wetsep prior to discharge. The contaminated runoff from the unpaved areas during the previous rainfall events could also be the potential source of SS contributing to the exceedance. The SS exceedance at DP4 was therefore deemed to Project-related activities.
	In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, repeat measurement was conducted on 15 August 2023 to confirm findings. Surface water samples with SS concentration of 12.2 mg/L and 12.6 mg/L (below the Limit Level) were sampled at DP4, which demonstrate no consecutive surface water quality impact at the monitoring location.
Action Taken / Action to be Taken	In accordance with Table 4.5b of the updated EM&A Manual, the monitoring frequency shall be increased to weekly until no exceedance of Limit Level. It should be noted that the turnaround time for the laboratory analysis of the surface water sample is 5 working days and the preliminary results for the monitoring event conducted on 4 August 2023 were available on 14 August 2023. Repeat measurement was conducted on 15 August 2023, and the SS results at DP4 are well below the Limit Level. Hence, the weekly

	surface water monitoring at DP4 shall not be triggered.
	Examination of environmental performance of the Project will be continued during the weekly inspections. The Contractor is reminded to implement relevant and appropriate mitigation measures according to the updated EM&A Manual to avoid any exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels.
	In addition, the Contractor shall review the efficiency of the Wetsep near sediment trap and monitor the Wetsep operation regularly to ensure it is functioning properly at all times.
Remarks	-

Prepared by: Abbey Lau


Designation: Environmental Team

Date: 28 August 2023

Annex G

Landfill Gas

Landfill Gas Monitoring
Locations for Service Voids,
Utilities and Manholes
along the Site Boundary and
Within the SENTX Site





Calibration Certificates for Landfill Gas Monitoring Equipment



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG

CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE,

1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.

SUB BATCH: 0

LABORATORY: HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK2324557

DATE RECEIVED: 23-Jun-2023

DATE OF ISSUE: 06-Jul-2023

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results are compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Instrument Specification" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principles as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type: Landfill Gas Analyser Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Carbon dioxide, Methane and Oxygen

Brand Name/ Model No.: GA5000

Serial No./Equipment No.: G507306 (HK1935)
Date of Calibration: 06 July, 2023

GENERAL COMMENTS

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

Ms Chan Ka Yu, Karen Manager - Organics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK2324557

Sub-Batch: 0

Client: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Date of Issue: 06-Jul-2023

Equipment Type: Landfill Gas Analyser

Brand Name/ Model No.:

Equipment No.:

GA5000

Serial No./

G507306 (HK1935)

Date of Calibration: 06 July, 2023 Next Calibration Date: 06 August, 2023

Parameters:

Methane

Calibrated Gas Standard, %	Monitor Readout, %	% error	Instrument Specification, %
0.0 (Nitrogen)	0.0	0.0	± 0.3
1.0	1.0	0.0	± 0.3
10.0	10.0	0.0	± 0.5

Carbon Dioxide

Calibrated Gas Standard, %	Monitor Readout, %	% error	Instrument Specification, %
0.0 (Nitrogen)	0.0	0.0	± 0.3
1.0	1.0	0.0	± 0.3
10.0	10.0	0.0	± 0.5

Oxygen

Calibrated Gas Standard, %	Monitor Readout, %	% error	Instrument Specification, %
0.0 (Nitrogen)	0.0	0.0	± 1.0
23.5	23.8	0.3	± 1.0

Ms Chan Ka Yu, Karen Manager - Organics

PROMAT (HK) LTD

寶時(香港)有限公司

901 New Trend Centre, 704 Prince Edward Road East, San Po Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong Tel: (852)2661 2392 Fax: (852)2661 2086 Email: service@promat.hk http://www.promat.hk



Calibration Certificate

Customer Name

Als Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Model

Gasurveyor 512-Leak

Serial

554846

Tested On

09 August 2023

Cal Expires

08 August 2024

Calibrated For

METHANE

100% LEL Equivalent

4.4% by VOL

Leak Test

PASS

Overall Results

PASS

Calibration Result

Gas Applied	Range	Reading	Calibrated	Result
Zero Air	% LEL	-0.2	0.0	PASS
Zero Air	% GAS	0.0	0.0	PASS
Zero Air	Semi-Int	0.0	-4	PASS

Gas Applied	Range	Reading	Calibrated	Result
30 PPM Methane	Semi-Int	87	28	PASS
50% LEL Methane	% LEL	57.2	50.0	PASS
99% VOL Methane	% GAS	102.0	98.9	PASS

Calibrated By:

Landfill Gas Monitoring Results

Table G3.1 Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Perimeter LFG Monitoring Wells

Location	Water Level	Methane (% (v/v))	Carbon Dioxide	Oxygen (% (v/v))
	(mPD)		(% (v/v))	
LFG1	3.29	0.0	1.5	16.8
LFG2	1.93	0.0	2.2	16.3
LFG3	3.03	0.0	0.0	18.9
LFG4	2.65	0.0	0.0	18.8
LFG5	3.08	0.0	0.0	18.5
LFG6	3.12	0.0	0.1	18.2
LFG7	4.56	0.0	0.0	18.7
LFG8	2.85	0.0	0.0	20.1
LFG9	3.14	0.0	0.0	19.1
LFG10	3.72	0.0	0.0	15.6
LFG11	3.1	0.0	0.2	6.5
LFG12	2.78	0.0	0.0	20.0
LFG13	2.63	16.0	0.1	5.0
LFG14	3.11	0.0	0.0	18.0
LFG15	2.66	0.0	0.2	16.6
LFG16	4.16	0.0	0.0	19.9
LFG17	2.92	0.0	0.4	4.5
LFG18	4.23	0.0	0.0	19.8
LFG19	3.48	0.0	0.1	19.4
LFG20	3.16	0.0	3.2	13.0
LFG21	3.26	0.0	0.5	18.2
LFG22	2.86	0.0	0.1	18.8
LFG23	12.9	0.0	0.0	19.4
LFG24	6.35	0.0	0.0	19.4
GP1	Probe bent	0.3	10.6	6.3
GP2 (shallow)	Probe bent	0.2	0.8	18.8
GP2 (deep)	Probe bent	0.2	0.3	18.8
GP3 (shallow)	Probe bent	0.1	3.5	14.5
GP3 (deep)	Probe bent	0.1	0.0	19.1
GP4 (shallow)	Probe bent	0.1	0.4	18.1
GP4 (deep)	Probe bent	0.1	0.2	18.9
GP5 (shallow)	Probe bent	0.0	6.3	11.2
GP5 (deep)	39.33	0.0	0.6	18.6
GP6	38.56	0.0	2.7	16.0
GP7	36.86	0.0	0.0	19.1
GP12	2.45	0.0	0.0	18.1
GP15	3.08	0.0	0.1	19.7
P7	3.22	0.0	0.1	19.3
P8	2.81	0.0	0.1	19.5
P9	2.74	0.0	0.1	20.0

Table G3.2 Landfill Gas Monitoring Results at Service Voids, Utilities Pits and Manholes

Location	Methane (% (v/v))	Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v))	Oxygen (% (v/v))
UU01	0.0	0.0	18.8
UU02	0.0	0.0	18.8
UU03	0.1	0.0	18.4
UU04	0.0	0.0	18.4
UU05	0.0	0.0	18.5
UU06	0.0	0.0	18.6
UU07	0.0	0.0	18.8
UU08	0.0	0.0	18.9
UU09	0.1	0.0	20.1
UU10	0.1	0.0	20.0
UU11	0.2	0.0	20.1
UU12	Voided due to lat	est site programme and on-g	oing operation work
UU13	0.2	0.0	20.2
UU14	0.2	0.1	20.2
UU15	0.1	0.0	19.8
UU16	0.1	0.0	19.7
UU17	Voided due to lat	est site programme and on-g	oing operation work
UU18	0.1	0.0	19.5
UU19	0.0	0.0	18.9
UU20	0.1	0.0	19.4
UU21	0.1	0.0	19.3
UU22	0.1	0.0	19.2
UU23	0.0	0.0	19.2
UU24	0.0	0.0	18.9
UU25	0.0	0.0	19.0
UU26	0.0	0.0	18.8
UU27	0.0	0.0	18.6
UU28	0.0	0.0	18.6

Table G3.3 Landfill Gas Bulk Gas Sampling Monitoring Results

Parameters	LFG2	LFG8
Methane (% (v/v))	<0.020	<0.020
Carbon Dioxide (% (v/v))	< 0.020	< 0.020
Oxygen (% (v/v))	20.6	20.5
Nitrogen (% (v/v))	76.5	76.4
Carbon Monoxide (% (v/v))	< 0.020	< 0.020
Hydrogen (% (v/v))	< 0.020	<0.020
Ethane (ppmv)	<1.0	<1.0
Propane (ppmv)	<1.0	<1.0
Butane (ppmv)	<1.0	<1.0

Table G3.4 Flammable Gas Surface Emission Monitoring Results

Time	GPS		Weather	Temperature	Wind	Wind	Monitoring
	Coordinates	Longitude	Condition	(°C)	Direction	Speed	Results
	Latitude (N)	(E)			(Deg)	(m/s)	(ppm)
	No fla	mmable gas	surface emis	sion detected in	n the reporti	ng period	•

Event and Action Plan for Landfill Gas Monitoring

Annex G4 Event and Action Plan for Landfill Gas Monitoring

Event		Action	
	ET	IEC	Contractor
Limit Level being exceeded for field monitoring at the perimeter monitoring wells	 Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to daily if exceedance is due to the Project for monitoring wells in the areas where there is development within 250m of the SENTX Site Boundary and to weekly for other monitoring wells, until no exceedance of limit level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Repeat field measurement to confirm findings Check the performance of landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
Limit Level being exceeded for the bulk gas sampling at the perimeter monitoring wells	 Check and compare the results of field monitoring and laboratory analyse of bulk samples If the results of field monitoring also show exceedance, the action(s) for limit level being exceeded for field monitoring would have been triggered If the results of field monitoring does not show exceedance, the sampling procedures should be checked and if deems necessary, to repeat the monitoring and recalibrate the portable monitoring instruments Notify the above findings to Contractor and IEC 		• Nil

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

GREEN VALLEY LANDFILL LTD.

Event		Action	
	ET	IEC	Contractor
Limit Level being exceeded at the permanent gas monitoring system	 Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check the methane gas level at the perimeter monitoring wells, manholes or utilities duct Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Evacuate all staff in the concerned building Open the doors and window of all rooms on the ground floor Do not allow staff to go back to the room if methane level is higher than 1% gas Check the performance of the landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
Limit Level being exceeded during surface emission monitoring	 Repeat the measurement to confirm findings Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to monthly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of limit level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures Audit the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Check landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Consider changes of working methods Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate

Event		Action	
	ET	IEC	Contractor
Limit Level being exceeded at the service voids, utilities pits, manholes and location of vegetation stress	 Repeat the measurement to confirm findings Investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and the Contractor's working methods Inform Contractor, IEC, Project Proponent and EPD (EIAO Authority) whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss with Contractor and IEC for remedial measures required Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Increase the monitoring frequency to weekly if exceedance is due to the Project until no exceedance of limit level 	 Verify the Notification of Exceedance Discuss with ET and Contractor on proposed remedial measures Review proposals on remedial measures Audit the implementation of the remedial measures the effectiveness of the implemented remedial measures 	 Check landfill gas management system Rectify unacceptable practice Discuss with the ET and IEC and submit proposals for remedial measures to IEC Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate

Annex H

Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Status of Prosecutions

 Table H1
 Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances

		Total No. recorded in this reporting period	Total No. recorded since project
		vine repering periou	commencement
Air Quality (Dust)	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	14
Air Quality (Odour)	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	0
Air Quality (Emissions of Thermal Oxidiser)	Limit	0	1
Air Quality (Emissions of Landfill Gas Flare)	Limit	0	0
Air Quality (Emissions of Landfill	Limit	0	0
Gas Generator)			
Noise	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	0
Water Quality (Surface Water)	Limit	1	61
Water Quality (Leachate)	Limit	0	1
Water Quality (Leachate Level)	Limit	0	22
Water Quality (Groundwater)	Limit	1	15
Landfill Gas (Perimeter Landfill Gas	Limit	0	4
Monitoring Wells)			
Landfill Gas (Service Void, Utilities and Manholes)	Limit	0	0
Landfill Gas (Permanent Gas Monitoring System)	Limit	0	0

Table H2 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics					
_	Complaints	Notifications of Summons	Prosecutions			
This Reporting Period (1 – 31 Aug 2023)	0	0	0			
Total no. received since project commencement	1	0	0			

Annex I

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Reporting Period

South East New Territories (SENT) Landfill Extension EM&A Impact Monitoring Schedule during Operation/ Restoration Phase

September 2023

September 2023 Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
					1	2
3	Dust Monitoring	Noise Monitoring	6	7 Leachate Monitoring	8 Groundwater Monitoring	9
	Perimeter LFG Monitoring					
	Service voids LFG Monitoring					
10	11	12	13		15	
Dust Monitoring	Noise Monitoring		Stack Monitoring	Stack Monitoring		Dust Monitoring
17	18	19		21		23
	Noise Monitoring		Odour Monitoring		Dust Monitoring	
					Surface Water Monitoring	
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	Noise Monitoring			Dust Monitoring		