

**Civil Engineering & Development Department
NT EAST Development Office**


Contract No. ST 89/02

Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches

**Monthly EM&A Report
(Version 1.0)**

June 2007

Certified By



(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1602-1610, Delta House,

3 On Yiu Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388

Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction.....	1
Environmental Monitoring Works	1
Complaints and Prosecutions	2
Future Key Issues.....	2
1. INTRODUCTION	3
Background	3
Project Organizations.....	4
Construction Programme	5
Summary of EM&A Requirements.....	5
2. AIR QUALITY	6
Monitoring Requirements	6
Monitoring Locations	6
Monitoring Equipments	6
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration.....	6
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	7
Results and Observations.....	9
3. NOISE.....	10
Monitoring Requirements	10
Monitoring Locations	10
Monitoring Equipment.....	10
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration.....	10
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures.....	11
Maintenance and Calibration	11
Results and Observations.....	11
4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	12
Site Audits.....	12
Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures	12
Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	12
Status of Waste Management.....	13
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	13
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	14
Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions	14
5. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	15
Key Issues for the Coming Month	15
Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month.....	15
Construction Program for the Next Month	15
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	16
Conclusions.....	16
Recommendations.....	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Summary Table for Non-compliance Recorded in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 4.1	Summary of Environmental Licences and Permit Status

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Layout Plan
Figure 2a	Location of Monitoring Stations (I)
Figure 2b	Location of Monitoring Stations (II)
Figure 3	Project Organization Chart

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
B	Copies of Calibration Certificates
C	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
D	1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
E	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
F	Wind Data
G	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
H	Summary of Exceedances
I	Site Audit Summary
J	Summary of Amount of Waste Generated
K	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
L	Event Action Plans
M	Construction Programme
N	Complaint Log

ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYM

AL Levels	Action and Limit Levels
CEDD	Civil Engineering & Development Department
E / ER	Engineer/Engineer's Representative
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EM&A	Environmental Monitoring and Audit
EMIS	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
EP	Environmental Permit
EPD	Environmental Protection Department
ET	Environmental Team
HVS	High Volume Sampler
IEC	Independent Environmental Checker
RE	Resident Engineer
RH	Relative Humidity
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates
QA/QC	Quality Assurance / Quality Control
SLM	Sound Level Meter
WMP	Waste Management Plan

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 56th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for the project “Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches” (the Project). This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted in June 2007.
2. The construction activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Drainage works;
 - Water works;
 - Construction of Inspection Opening for Box Culvert;
 - Tree Felling and Transplant;
 - Lining Installation for 1050mm diameter Sewer under Retaining Wall no.5;
 - Removal of Epoxy above KCRC Rails;
 - Erection of Sign Gantry and Directional Sign;
 - Raising Existing Manhole Level;
 - Sealing up existing Manholes and Pipes;
 - Erection of Steel Frame / Noise Barrier;
 - Installation of Noise Barrier Post and Panel over KCRC Railway;
 - Tunnel/Portal Building./RCFE - VE cladding installation;
 - Construction of retaining wall AR/E/01;
 - Application of Colour Treatment to RCFE and RE Wall;
 - Removal of Temporary Access Road TAR 1;
 - Slope upgrading works F437, F478 (Area B), F438 (Area C); and
 - Construction of Flexible Road Pavement.

Environmental Monitoring Works

3. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed regularly as stipulated in the EM&A Manuals and the results were checked and reviewed. Site audits were conducted once per week. The implementations of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
4. Summary of the non-compliance of the reporting month is tabulated Table I.

Table I Summary Table for Non-compliance Records in the Reporting Month

Media / Nature	No. of Exceedance		No. of Exceedance due to the Project	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
1-hr TSP	0	0	0	0
24-hr TSP	0	0	0	0
Noise	0	0	0	0

*Air Quality*1-hour TSP Monitoring

5. All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

6. All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction Noise

7. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

8. License/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP), Construction Noise Permits (CNP), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) License, and Wastewater Discharge License.

Complaints and Prosecutions

9. No environmental complaint was received during the reporting month.
10. No environmental prosecution was received during the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

11. Drainage works, water works, construction of inspection opening for box culvert, tree felling and transplant, lining installation for 1050mm diameter sewer under retaining Wall no.5, rising existing manhole level, sealing up existing manholes and pipes, erection of steel frame / noise barrier, erection of sign gantry and directional sign, installation of noise barrier post and panel over KCRC Railway, tunnel / portal building / RCFE - VE cladding installation, construction of retaining wall AR/E/01, application of colour treatment to RCFE and RE Wall, removal of temporary access road TAR 1, removal of epoxy above KCRC Rails, cleaning inside box culverts, slope upgrading works and construction of flexible road pavement will be the major construction activities for the coming months.
12. The anticipated environmental issues will be mainly dust impact and construction noise nuisance during the slope works and parapet construction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches (SHT) (hereinafter the Project) forms part of the Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin project, which will be a new expressway connecting west Kowloon and Sha Tin. It will be the fourth external link between Sha Tin and Kowloon and will form an important link between the northeast New Territories and the west Kowloon, Lantau Island and the western New Territories. The Project, the entrusted portion of the Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) project, is being managed and implemented by Civil Engineering & Development Department (CEDD).
- 1.2 The Project works mainly comprise the site formation for a toll plaza at the valley of Sha Tin Heights, the construction of 1 km long dual three-lane tunnels under Sha Tin Heights, a 0.6 km long dual two-lane tunnel approach road in Tai Wai, two slip road viaducts with approximately total length of 1 km connecting to Che Kung Miu Road, associated noise barriers and noise enclosures, drainage, slope works and landscape works. The remainder of the Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) (Main Portion, R9K) project forms the Kowloon Section and is being managed and implemented separately by Highways Department.
- 1.3 The Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) (between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin) project is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 449, EIAO). An environmental impact assessment (EIA) report had been prepared in 1998 for the Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) project to consider the key issues of noise, air quality, water quality, ecological, construction waste, landscape and visual, land use and culture impacts, and identify possible mitigation measures. An updated Final EIA report was subsequently completed in August 1999 to cater for some changes in the main portion. The 1998 and 1999 Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) EIA (R9 EIA Reports) reports were included in the EIA register under the EIAO as report number EIA-135/BC and AEIAR-022/1999 respectively. EM&A Manuals for each of the R9 EIA reports were also included as part of the EIA reports in the register.
- 1.4 Subsequent to the endorsement of the R9 EIA reports by EPD in November 1999, the R9 project was deferred to start in 2002/2003 for completion by 2006/07. The implementation of the Route 8 (Formerly Route 9) project was then separated into the SHT and R9K portions. Meanwhile further design amendments had also been proposed for the R9S during the detailed design stage to resolve various engineering constraints. In view of these changes, an Environmental Review on the SHT was undertaken to update the findings of the R9 EIA reports. The Environmental Review report for SHT was completed in September 2001 and an Environmental Permit No. EP-104/2001 was issued on 4th October 2001 for the Project.
- 1.5 The works of the SHT is constructed under CEDD's construction Contract No. ST 89/02 "Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches". The site layout of the Project is shown in Figure 1. The Project works were commenced on 18th November 2002.

- 1.6 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was commissioned by CEDD to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) Services for the Project. This is the 56th monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project in June 2007.

Project Organizations

- 1.7 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
- Project Proponent – CEDD, NT East Development Office
 - Engineer or Engineer's Representative (E/ER) – Maunsell Consultants Asia Limited (MCAL)
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – CH2M HILL Hong Kong Limited
 - Contractor – China State-China Railway Joint Venture
- 1.8 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 2 of the EM&A Manual (1998) and Section 1.8 of the EM&A Manual (1999). The project organization chart is presented in Figure 3.
- 1.9 The key contacts of the Project are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Name	Role	Phone No.	Fax No.
CEDD	Ms. Joanna Kwok	Permit Holder	2301 1383	2739 0076
	Mr. Robert Choy	Project Coordinator	2301 1373	2721 8630
MCAL	Mr. Francis Leong	The Engineer	2685 6517	2691 2649
	Mr. K.Y. Chan	Engineer's Representative	9750 0557	2697 4106
	Mr. S. K. Lo	Chief Engineer's Representative	9751 9638	2697 4106
ET	Dr. Priscilla Choy	ET Leader	2151 2089	3107 1388
	Mr. Stanley Liu	Audit Team Leader	2151 2095	
	Mr. Henry Leung	Monitoring Team Leader	2151 2087	
IEC	Mr. Billy Yu	Independent Environmental Checker	2507 2203	2507 2293
Contractor	Mr. David Lau	Senior Project Manager	2601 7917	2697 1592
24-hour Hotline			9759 9852	-

Construction Programme

1.10 The construction activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Drainage works;
- Water works;
- Construction of Inspection Opening for Box Culvert;
- Tree Felling and Transplant;
- Lining Installation for 1050mm diameter Sewer under Retaining Wall no.5;
- Removal of Epoxy above KCRC Rails;
- Erection of Sign Gantry and Directional Sign;
- Raising Existing Manhole Level;
- Sealing up existing Manholes and Pipes;
- Erection of Steel Frame / Noise Barrier;
- Installation of Noise Barrier Post and Panel over KCRC Railway;
- Tunnel/Portal Building./RCFE - VE cladding installation;
- Construction of retaining wall AR/E/01;
- Application of Colour Treatment to RCFE and RE Wall;
- Removal of Temporary Access Road TAR 1;
- Slope upgrading works F437, F478 (Area B), F438 (Area C); and
- Construction of Flexible Road Pavement.

Summary of EM&A Requirements

1.11 The EM&A programme requires construction phase monitoring for air quality and noise and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in following sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event / Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in the contract documents.

1.12 The advices on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures are summarized in Section 4 of this report.

1.13 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipments, periods, methodologies and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely dust and noise levels and audit works for the Project in June 2007.

2. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 Measurement of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP were conducted to monitor the air quality. Appendix A shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the captioned environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.2 Three designated monitoring stations, A2, A3 and A4 were selected for impact dust monitoring. Table 2.1 describes the air quality monitoring locations, which are also depicted in Figures 2a and 2b.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Stations	Description
A2	Lau Pak Lok Secondary School
A3	Shatin Heights
A4	Slope no. 07SW-D/FR4 beside Garden Villa

Monitoring Equipments

- 2.3 Table 2.2 summarizes the equipments used in the impact air monitoring programme. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in Appendix B.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipments	Models and Makes	Quantity
Calibrator	G25A; S/N: 1536	1
1-hour TSP Dust Meter	Laser Dust Monitor – Model LD3	3
HVS Sampler	GMWS 2310 c/w of TSP sampling inlet	3

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.4 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in Appendix C.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameters	Frequency
1-hour TSP	Three times / 6 days
24-hour TSP	Once / 6 days

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Measuring Procedures

2.5 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follow:

- Pull up the air sampling inlet cover
- Change the Mode 0 to BG with once
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Turn the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Return the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
- Push the timer set switch to set measuring time
- Remove the cap and make a measurement

Maintenance/Calibration

2.6 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:

- Check the meter at 3-month intervals and calibrate the meter at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Instrumentation

2.7 High volume (HVS) samplers (Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50). Moreover, the HVS also met all the requirements in section 2.3 of the EM&A Manuals.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

2.8 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:

- A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
- No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
- A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
- Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

2.9 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.

2.10 For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters (G810) were used [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3 mm diameter].

2.11 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.

2.12 On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.

2.13 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.

2.14 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.

2.15 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.

2.16 The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).

2.17 After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.

- 2.18 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) should be $< 50\%$ and not vary by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.19 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using GMW-25 Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

Results and Observations

- 2.20 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 2.21 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 2.22 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for both 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.
- 2.23 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in Appendices D and E, respectively.
- 2.24 Wind data monitoring equipment has been installed in monitoring Station A3 for logging wind speed and wind direction. Wind data for the reporting month is summarized in Appendix F.

3. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manuals. Appendix A shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.2 Noise monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring stations, namely N5, N6, N7 and N8, as summarized in Table 3.1. Figures 2a and 2b show the locations of these stations.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Description
N5	At the podium level of Garden Villa
N6	On the roofing of Shatin Heights
N7	On the roofing of Lau Pak Lok Secondary School
N8	At the ground level of 187 Tin Sam Tsuen

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.3 Table 3.2 summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in Appendix B.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Qty.
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Model 2238	5
Calibrator	B&K 4231	3
Wind Speed Anemometer	Vane Anemometer, Model 451104	1

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.4 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in Appendix C.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameters	Period	Frequency	Measurement
N5	L ₁₀ (30 min.)dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.)dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.)dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs. on weekdays	Once per week	Façade
N6				Façade
N7				Façade
N8				Façade

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - time measurement : L_{eq} (30 min) for daytime noise monitoring /
3 consecutive L_{eq} (5 min) for restricted hour noise monitoring
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{90} and L_{10} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.5 The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator was cleaned with soft cloth regularly.
- 3.6 The meters were sent to the supplier to check and calibrate on yearly intervals.

Results and Observations

- 3.7 Noise monitoring was performed as scheduled in the reporting month. Results and graphical presentations are shown in Appendix G.
- 3.8 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.
- 3.9 The major noise source at Stations N5 and N6 during night time was identified as road traffic noise from Tai Po Road. From the Baseline Monitoring Report, the maximum and minimum of noise monitoring results during 2300-0700 were 67.1 dB and 50.6 dB for N5 and 68.9 dB and 52.4 dB for N6 respectively, which were higher than the limits stipulated in the EM&A Manual. Therefore, the maximum measured noise level during the Baseline Monitoring period was set as the limit levels at Stations N5 and N6 during 2300-0700 on normal weekdays.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 4.1 Site audits were carried out on weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.
- 4.2 Site audits were conducted on 7th, 13th, 21st & 28th June 2007. The observation summary of site audit sessions is attached in Appendix I.

Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures

- 4.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside of the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on each monitoring days.

Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

- 4.4 All permits/licenses obtained are summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Table A1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status				
Permit No.	Valid Period		Section	Status
	From	To		
Environmental Permit				
EP-104/2001/B	16/02/05	N/A	Site formation, drainage, geotechnical and landscape works for the toll plaza. Construction of the Sha Tin Heights Tunnels, the Sha Tin Approach Roads and the Slip Road Connecting to Che Kung Miu Road including all formation, structure, road, geotechnical, drainage and landscape work. Construction of the structure of the portal buildings of the Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and noise mitigation measures.	Valid

Permit No.	Valid Period		Section	Status
	From	To		
Construction Noise Permit				
GW-RN0123-07	29/3/07	28/9/07	Erection of Noise Barrier during general holiday including Sundays between 0700 hrs and 2400 hrs and any day not being a holiday including Sundays between 1900 hrs and 2400 hrs.	Valid
GW-RN0229-07	30/5/07	30/7/07	Road resurfacing at Che Kung Mui Road during general holiday including Sundays between 0700 hrs and 2400 hrs and any day not being a holiday including Sundays between 1900 hrs and 2400 hrs.	Valid
Wastewater Discharge License				
3024	16/6/03	15/6/08	Wastewater discharge at the site office in Sha Tin Heights.	Valid
2984	21/8/03	20/8/08	Trade effluent and all other wastewater arising from the work areas, Sedimentation Barrier, Sedimentation tanks, Aqua Sep and Wet Sep.	Valid
Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)				
WPN: 5213-754-C3250-01	N/A	N/A	Disposal of chemical waste such as waste lubricating oil and diesel oil arising from construction work.	Valid

Status of Waste Management

- 4.5 The amount of wastes generated by the activities of the Project in June 2007 is provided in Appendix J.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 4.6 According to the Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manuals, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are required to be implemented. An updated summary of the EMIS is presented in Appendix K.
- 4.7 During site inspections in the month, the following observations and recommendations were made. All the observations were improved and rectified on the next audit day.

Water Quality

- 4.8 Ponding water was observed on site at Garden Villa. The Contractor was reminded to clear it.

Air Quality

- 4.9 No environmental deficiencies were identified during the site environmental inspections.

Noise

- 4.10 No environmental deficiencies were identified during the site environmental inspections.

Waste / Chemical Management

- 4.11 Oil tank was placed on the bare ground at Garden Villa site area. The Contractor was reminded to provide a drip trip for the oil tank.
- 4.12 Scattered stack of paint cover was observed on site at RC foot enclosure. The Contractor was reminded to remove them

Permits / Licenses

- 4.13 No environmental deficiencies were identified during the site environmental inspections.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

- 4.14 The Event Action Plans for air quality and noise are presented in Appendix L.
- 4.15 The Exceedance Summary in the reporting month is presented in the Appendix H.

Air Quality

- 4.16 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for both 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP was recorded in the reporting month.

Noise

- 4.17 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions

- 4.18 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 4.19 No environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month.

5. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Key Issues for the Coming Month

5.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Dust and noise nuisances from slope works and parapet construction.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

5.2 The tentative environmental monitoring schedule for the next month is shown in Appendix C.

Construction Program for the Next Month

5.3 The tentative construction program for the Project is provided in Appendix M.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 6.1 Environmental monitoring works were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

Environmental Monitoring

- 6.2 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded for both 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP of dust monitoring in the reporting month.
- 6.3 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting month.

Complaint and Prosecution

- 6.4 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 6.5 No environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month.

Recommendations

- 6.6 According to the environmental audit performed in this reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Dust Impact

- To ensure the dust mitigation measures, such as water spray, are fully implemented during the rock breaking and soil nail works.
- To cover or water stockpiles of dusty materials on site.

Noise Impact

- To space out noisy equipment and position as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.
- To provide temporary noise barriers for operations of noisy equipment near the noise sensitive receivers.


Water Quality Impact

- To regularly maintain the condition of u-channel, catch pits and wheel washing facilities within construction site.
- To regularly maintain the wastewater treatment facilities and ensure the proper.
- To regularly clean the AquaSed as maintain in good working condition.

Waste/Chemical Management

- To check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on construction site.
- To avoid any directly discharge of chemical waste or oil from the site.

FIGURES



Title

Contract No. 92/02
Environmental Team For Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches
Site Layout Plan

Scale

1 : 10 500

Project
No.

MA2027


Date

2006

Figure
No.

1

CINOTECH



Title

Contract No. 92/02
Environmental Team For Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches
Locations of Monitoring Stations

Scale

1 : 6 000

Project
No.

MA2027


Date

2006

Figure
No.

2a

CINOTECH



Date

Contract No. 92/02
Environmental Team For Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches

Locations of Monitoring Stations


Date
1 : 6 000

Project
No. MA2027

Scale
2006

Figure
No. 2b

CINOTECH



Title	ST89/02 - Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches		Scale	N.T.S	Propos No.	MA2027	CINOTECH
	Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report Project Organization Chart		Date	2007	Figure	3	

**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS
FOR AIR QUALITY AND NOISE**

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
A2	350	500
A3		
A4		

Table A-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
A2	186	260
A3	200	
A4	200	

Table A-3 Action and Limit Level for Construction Noise

Action Level		Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	One or more complaint(s) received in one week	75* dB(A)
0700-2300 hrs on holidays & 1900-2300 hrs on all other days		60/65/70** dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day		45/50/55** dB(A)

(*) reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

(**) to be selected based on Area Sensitivity Rating. If Specified Powered Mechanical Equipment (SPME) is employed, the noise limits should be 15 dB(A) less than that shown above for the restricted hours.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFICATES**

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA2027/05/0030

Station Lau Pak Lok Secondary School (A2)

Operator: WK

Date: 22-May-07

Next Due Date: 21-Jul-07

Equipment No.: A-01-05

Serial No. 10599

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	298	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	756.8

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	A-04-05	Slope, mc	0.0575	Intercept, bc	0.0395
Last Calibration Date:	12-Mar-07	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	11-Mar-08	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	12.5	3.53	60.67	8.8	2.96
2	10.4	3.22	55.28	6.6	2.56
3	8.1	2.84	48.71	5.1	2.25
4	5.4	2.32	39.64	3.2	1.79
5	3.6	1.89	32.24	2.0	1.41

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0532

Intercept, bw : -0.3229

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9978

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.88

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: W. K. Tang

Signature: _____

Date: 22/5/07

Checked by: HL

Signature: _____

Date: 22 May 2007

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA2027/13/0029

Station Shatin Heights
Date: 22-May-07
Equipment No.: A-01-13

Operator: WK
Next Due Date: 21-Jul-07
Serial No. 1352

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	298	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	756.8

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	A-04-05	Slope, mc	0.0575	Intercept, bc	0.0395
Last Calibration Date:	12-Mar-07	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	11-Mar-08	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	11.7	3.41	58.68	8.9	2.98
2	9.9	3.14	53.92	7.0	2.64
3	7.6	2.75	47.16	5.3	2.30
4	5.1	2.25	38.51	3.3	1.81
5	3.0	1.73	29.37	1.9	1.38

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0541 Intercept, bw = -0.2436
Correlation coefficient* = 0.9985

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation	
From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM	
From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to	
$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	
Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ <u>4.36</u>	

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: W. C. Tang Signature: _____
Checked by: He Signature: _____

Date: 22/5/07
Date: 22 May 2007

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA2027/A14/0023

Station Garden Vilia
 Date: 29-May-07
 Equipment No.: A-01-14

Operator: WK
 Next Due Date: 28-Jul-07
 Serial No. 1354

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	303.4	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	759.8

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	A-04-05	Slope, mc	0.0575	Intercept, bc	0.0395
Last Calibration Date:	12-Mar-07	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	11-Mar-08	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	12.4	3.49	60.00	9.5	3.05
2	10.3	3.18	54.62	8.3	2.85
3	6.9	2.60	44.58	5.1	2.24
4	4.3	2.05	35.05	3.2	1.77
5	3.2	1.77	30.14	2.0	1.40

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0553

Intercept, bw = -0.2180

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9975

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.75

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: W.K. Tang

Signature: _____

Checked by: HK

Signature: _____

Date: 29/5/07

Date: 29 May 07

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070421/1A
Date of Issue:	2007-04-21
Date Received:	2007-04-20
Date Tested:	2007-04-20
Date Completed:	2007-04-21
Next Due Date:	2007-06-20

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3
Serial No.	: 251634
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 550 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-01

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0047
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070620/1A
Date of Issue:	2007-06-20
Date Received:	2007-06-19
Date Tested:	2007-06-19
Date Completed:	2007-06-20
Next Due Date:	2007-08-19

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3
Serial No.	: 251634
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 550 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-01

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 22 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0047
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Senior Chemist

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070421/1B
Date of Issue:	2007-04-21
Date Received:	2007-04-20
Date Tested:	2007-04-20
Date Completed:	2007-04-21
Next Due Date:	2007-06-20

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3
Serial No.	: 281835
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 666 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-02

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0043
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick Tse

PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070620/1B
Date of Issue:	2007-06-20
Date Received:	2007-06-19
Date Tested:	2007-06-19
Date Completed:	2007-06-20
Next Due Date:	2007-08-19

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3
Serial No.	: 281835
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 666 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-02

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 22 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0043
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Senior Chemist

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070421/1C
Date of Issue:	2007-04-21
Date Received:	2007-04-20
Date Tested:	2007-04-20
Date Completed:	2007-04-21
Next Due Date:	2007-06-20

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 470582
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 855 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-03

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0039
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/070620/1C
Date of Issue:	2007-06-20
Date Received:	2007-06-19
Date Tested:	2007-06-19
Date Completed:	2007-06-20
Next Due Date:	2007-08-19

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 470582
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 855 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-03

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 22 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65%

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0039
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Senior Chemist

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/07/70502
Date of Issue:	2007-05-02
Date Received:	2007-05-01
Date Tested:	2007-05-01
Date Completed:	2007-05-02

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: RS232 Integral Vane Digital Anemometer
Manufacturer	: AZ Instrument
Model No.	: 451104
Serial No.	: 9020746
Equipment No.	: A-03-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65%
Pressure	: 101.3 kPa

Methodology:

The anemometer has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

	Reference Set Point	Instrument Readings
Measuring Air Velocity, m/s	2.00	2.00
Temperature, °C	21.0	21.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Senior Chemist



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 12, 2007 Rootmeter S/N 9833640 Ta (K) - 294
Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 0999 Pa (mm) - 746.76

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3890	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9850	6.3	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8810	7.8	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8410	8.6	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6950	12.5	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9917	0.7139	1.4113	0.9957	0.7168	0.8874
0.9876	1.0026	1.9959	0.9916	1.0067	1.2549
0.9854	1.1185	2.2315	0.9894	1.1231	1.4030
0.9844	1.1706	2.3405	0.9884	1.1753	1.4715
0.9792	1.4090	2.8227	0.9832	1.4147	1.7747
Qstd slope (m) = 2.03154			Qa slope (m) = 1.27212		
intercept (b) = -0.03970			intercept (b) = -0.02496		
coefficient (r) = 0.99999			coefficient (r) = 0.99999		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}
Qa = 1/m{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 44102
513.467.9000
877.253.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:
$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P_a}{P_{std}} \right) \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_a} \right)}$$

Qa series:
$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (T_a / P_a))}$$

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/61215/1
Date of Issue:	2006-12-15
Date Received:	2006-12-14
Date Tested:	2006-12-15
Date Completed:	2006-12-15
Next Due Date:	2007-12-14

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: B&K 2238
Serial No.	: 2337665
Microphone No.	: 2289749
Equipment No.	: N-01-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 20 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/61116/1
Date of Issue:	2006-11-16
Date Received:	2006-11-15
Date Tested:	2006-11-15
Date Completed:	2006-11-16
Next Due Date:	2007-11-15

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: B&K 2238
Serial No.	: 2337666
Microphone No.	: 2289750
Equipment No.	: N-01-02

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 20 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 59%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1601-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/60904-1
Date of Issue:	2006-09-04
Date Received:	2006-09-02
Date Tested:	2006-09-02
Date Completed:	2006-09-04
Next Due Date:	2007-09-03

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: B&K 2238
Serial No.	: 2359311
Microphone No.	: 2346382
Equipment No.	: N-01-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick

PATRICK TSE
Laborary Manager

This test document cannot be reproduced in any way, except in full context, without the prior approval in writing of the laboratory.

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/60904-2
Date of Issue:	2006-09-04
Date Received:	2006-09-02
Date Tested:	2006-09-02
Date Completed:	2006-09-04
Next Due Date:	2007-09-03

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: B&K 2238
Serial No.	: 2359303
Equipment No.	: N-01-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 63%
Pressure	: 1006.5hPa

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick

PATRICK TSE

Operation Manager

This test document cannot be reproduced in any way, except in full context, without the prior approval in writing of the laboratory.

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/61014/1
Date of Issue:	2006-10-14
Date Received:	2006-10-13
Date Tested:	2006-10-14
Date Completed:	2006-10-14
Next Due Date:	2007-10-13

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: B&K 2238
Serial No.	: 2394976
Microphone No.	: 2407349
Equipment No.	: N-01-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Operation Manager

This test document cannot be reproduced in any way, except in full context, without the prior approval in writing of the laboratory.

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/61116/2
Date of Issue:	2006-11-16
Date Received:	2006-11-15
Date Tested:	2006-11-15
Date Completed:	2006-11-16
Next Due Date:	2007-11-15

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: 4231
Serial No.	: 2326353
Project No.	: C13
Equipment No.	: N-02-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 20 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 59%
Pressure	: 1015.2 hPa

Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 \pm 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center
13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit,
Shatin, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1602-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/06/70305
Date of Issue:	2007-03-05
Date Received:	2007-03-03
Date Tested:	2007-03-03
Date Completed:	2007-03-05
Next Due Date:	2008-03-04

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: 4231
Serial No.	: 2343007
Project No.	: C13
Equipment No.	: N-02-02

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 20 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65%
Pressure	: 1020.1hPa

Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.2 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Operation Manager

WELLAB LTD.

606 - 608 Cornell Centre,
50 Wing Tai Road,
Chai Wan, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2898 7388
Fax: (852) 2898 7076

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
1601-1610 Delta House,
3 On Yiu Street,
Shatin, N.T.

Test Report No.:	C/N/60904-3
Date of Issue:	2006-09-04
Date Received:	2006-09-02
Date Tested:	2006-09-02
Date Completed:	2006-09-04
Next Due Date:	2007-09-03

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: 4231
Serial No.	: 2412367
Equipment No.	: N-02-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 63%
Pressure	: 1020.1hPa

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Operation Manager

**APPENDIX C
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULES**

Environmental Team for Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches
Tentative Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for June 2007

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
27-May	28-May	29-May	30-May	31-May	1-Jun	2-Jun
		1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise		
3-Jun	4-Jun	5-Jun	6-Jun	7-Jun	8-Jun	9-Jun
	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise		24 hr TSP
10-Jun	11-Jun	12-Jun	13-Jun	14-Jun	15-Jun	16-Jun
	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	24 hr TSP	
17-Jun	18-Jun	19-Jun	20-Jun	21-Jun	22-Jun	23-Jun
	1 hr TSP			1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	
24-Jun	25-Jun	26-Jun	27-Jun	28-Jun	29-Jun	30-Jun
	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP		

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

A2	Lau Pak Lok Secondary School	N6	Shatin Heights
A3	Shatin Heights	N7	Lau Pak Lok Secondary School
N5	Garden Villa	N8	187 Tin Sam Tsuen

Environmental Team for Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches
Tentative Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for July 2007

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-Jul	2-Jul	3-Jul	4-Jul	5-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul
		1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	
8-Jul	9-Jul	10-Jul	11-Jul	12-Jul	13-Jul	14-Jul
		1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise		
15-Jul	16-Jul	17-Jul	18-Jul	19-Jul	20-Jul	21-Jul
	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise		24 hr TSP
22-Jul	23-Jul	24-Jul	25-Jul	26-Jul	27-Jul	28-Jul
	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	24 hr TSP	
29-Jul	30-Jul	31-Jul	1-Aug	2-Aug	3-Aug	4-Aug
	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP		24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

A2	Lau Pak Lok Secondary School	N6	Shatin Heights
A3	Shatin Heights	N7	Lau Pak Lok Secondary School
N5	Garden Villa	N8	187 Tin Sam Tsuen

APPENDIX D
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS

Location A2 - Lau Pak Lok Secondary School			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
5-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	59.5
6-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	58.3
7-Jun-07	13:00	Cloudy	66.0
11-Jun-07	13:00	Cloudy	47.1
12-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	71.7
14-Jun-07	13:00	Cloudy	60.8
18-Jun-07	13:00	Cloudy	112.3
21-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	61.4
22-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	70.5
25-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	65.6
26-Jun-07	13:00	Sunny	70.3
28-Jun-07	13:39	Cloudy	64.4
Average			67.3
Maximum			112.3
Minimum			47.1

Location A3 - Shatin Heights			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
5-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	118.4
6-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	59.1
7-Jun-07	09:44	Cloudy	59.6
11-Jun-07	09:00	Cloudy	98.3
12-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	95.8
14-Jun-07	09:44	Cloudy	62.6
18-Jun-07	09:00	Cloudy	104.2
21-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	82.2
22-Jun-07	09:50	Sunny	69.7
25-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	63.1
26-Jun-07	09:00	Sunny	87.9
28-Jun-07	09:44	Cloudy	63.0
Average			80.3
Maximum			118.4
Minimum			59.1




Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results


1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS

Location A4 - Garden Villa

Date	Filter Weight (g)		Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure(Pa)	Particulate weight(g)	Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final								
5-Jun-07	2.8972	2.9020	1.22	1.22	5919.0	5920.0	1.0	65.6	Sunshine	303.9	757.5	0.0048	1.22	73.2
6-Jun-07	2.7909	2.7956	1.22	1.22	5920.0	5921.0	1.0	64.1	Sunshine	303.3	758.3	0.0047	1.22	73.3
7-Jun-07	2.7653	2.7712	1.23	1.23	5920.0	5921.0	1.0	80.2	Cloudy	300.9	757.5	0.0059	1.23	73.5
11-Jun-07	2.7390	2.7425	1.23	1.23	5946.0	5947.0	1.0	47.5	Cloudy	299.7	756.9	0.0035	1.23	73.6
12-Jun-07	2.7738	2.7810	1.22	1.22	5947.0	5948.0	1.0	98.2	Sunshine	301.9	755.6	0.0072	1.22	73.3
14-Jun-07	2.7242	2.7294	1.23	1.23	5948.0	5949.0	1.0	70.6	Cloudy	299.2	756.6	0.0052	1.23	73.7
18-Jun-07	2.7219	2.7325	1.23	1.23	5973.0	5974.0	1.0	144.1	Cloudy	301.0	759.0	0.0106	1.23	73.6
21-Jun-07	2.8243	2.8372	1.21	1.21	5974.0	5975.0	1.0	177.1	Sunshine	303.1	759.0	0.0129	1.21	72.9
22-Jun-07	2.7617	2.7769	1.22	1.22	5999.0	6000.0	1.0	207.5	Sunshine	303.8	758.3	0.0152	1.22	73.3
25-Jun-07	2.7617	2.7676	1.22	1.22	6000.0	6001.0	1.0	80.4	Sunshine	302.4	757.7	0.0059	1.22	73.4
26-Jun-07	2.7367	2.7512	1.22	1.22	6001.0	6002.0	1.0	197.8	Sunshine	302.4	756.3	0.0145	1.22	73.3
28-Jun-07	2.7125	2.7232	1.23	1.23	6026.0	6027.0	1.0	146.2	Cloudy	299.2	757.3	0.0107	1.23	73.7
								Min	47.5					
								Max	207.5					
								Average	114.9					

1-hr TSP Levels



Title Environmental Team for Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA2027	
	Date Jun 07	Appendix D	

APPENDIX E
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location A2 - Lau Pak Lok Secondary School

Date	Filter Weight (g)		Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure(Pa)	Particulate weight(g)	Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final								
4-Jun-07	2.7908	2.8541	1.21	1.21	11264.2	11288.2	24.0	36.3	Sunshine	304.1	759.0	0.0633	1.21	1743.9
9-Jun-07	2.7801	2.8562	1.22	1.22	11288.2	11312.2	24.0	43.2	Sunshine	297.9	755.8	0.0761	1.22	1761.5
15-Jun-07	2.7806	2.8854	1.22	1.22	11312.2	11336.2	24.0	59.7	Cloudy	298.9	757.5	0.1048	1.22	1755.3
21-Jun-07	2.8076	2.8677	1.21	1.21	11336.2	11360.7	24.4	33.8	Sunshine	303.1	759.0	0.0601	1.21	1777.0
27-Jun-07	2.7772	2.8547	1.22	1.22	11360.7	11384.7	24.0	44.3	Cloudy	302.6	756.4	0.0775	1.22	1750.4
								Min	33.8					
								Max	59.7					
								Average	43.5					




Location A3 - Shatin Heights


Date	Filter Weight (g)		Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure(Pa)	Particulate weight(g)	Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final								
4-Jun-07	2.7839	2.8144	1.21	1.21	6802.8	6826.8	24.0	17.5	Sunshine	304.1	759.0	0.0305	1.21	1746.6
9-Jun-07	2.7770	2.8084	1.22	1.22	6826.8	6850.8	24.0	17.8	Sunshine	297.9	755.8	0.0314	1.22	1759.5
15-Jun-07	2.7619	2.8704	1.22	1.22	6850.8	6874.8	24.0	61.7	Cloudy	298.9	757.5	0.1085	1.22	1758.6
21-Jun-07	2.7275	2.8216	1.21	1.21	6874.8	6898.8	24.0	53.8	Sunshine	303.1	759.0	0.0941	1.21	1749.3
27-Jun-07	2.7644	2.8368	1.21	1.21	6898.8	6922.8	24.0	41.4	Cloudy	302.6	756.4	0.0724	1.21	1747.8
								Min	17.5					
								Max	61.7					
								Average	38.4					

Location A4 - Garden Villa

Date	Filter Weight (g)		Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure(Pa)	Particulate weight(g)	Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final								
4-Jun-07	2.7684	2.9337	1.22	1.22	5895.0	5919.0	24.0	37.1	Sunshine	304.1	759.0	0.1653	1.22	1757.9
9-Jun-07	2.7730	2.8504	1.23	1.23	5922.0	5946.0	24.0	43.7	Sunshine	297.9	755.8	0.0774	1.23	1771.0
15-Jun-07	2.7516	2.8251	1.23	1.23	5949.0	5973.0	24.0	41.5	Cloudy	298.9	757.5	0.0735	1.23	1770.9
21-Jun-07	2.7219	2.8362	1.22	1.22	5975.0	5999.0	24.0	65.0	Sunshine	303.4	758.7	0.1143	1.22	1759.5
27-Jun-07	2.7280	2.8417	1.22	1.22	6002.0	6026.0	24.0	64.6	Cloudy	302.6	756.4	0.1137	1.22	1759.1
								Min	37.1					
								Max	65.0					
								Average	50.4					

24-hr TSP Levels



Title Environmental Team for Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA2027	
	Date Jun 07	Appendix E	

APPENDIX F
WIND DATA

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
1-Jun-2007	00:00	0.9	ENE
1-Jun-2007	01:00	1.3	ENE
1-Jun-2007	02:00	0.9	ENE
1-Jun-2007	03:00	0.9	E
1-Jun-2007	04:00	0.9	NE
1-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	NNE
1-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	E
1-Jun-2007	07:00	0	E
1-Jun-2007	08:00	0	E
1-Jun-2007	09:00	0	E
1-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SE
1-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	E
1-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	ENE
1-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	ESE
1-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	E
1-Jun-2007	15:00	1.3	SSE
1-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	WSW
1-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	SW
1-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	WSW
1-Jun-2007	19:00	0.9	ENE
1-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SSW
1-Jun-2007	21:00	0	SSW
1-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
1-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SSW
2-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SSW
2-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
2-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
2-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
2-Jun-2007	04:00	0	SSW
2-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	ENE
2-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	ENE
2-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	---
2-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	ENE
2-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	ENE
2-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	WSW
2-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	ENE
2-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	WSW
2-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	NE
2-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	WSW
2-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	SW
2-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	SW
2-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	S
2-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	E
2-Jun-2007	19:00	0	ENE
2-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SE
2-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ESE
2-Jun-2007	22:00	0	NE
2-Jun-2007	23:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	00:00	0	NE
3-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	03:00	0	ENE
3-Jun-2007	04:00	0	E
3-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	06:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	07:00	0	E
3-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	ENE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
3-Jun-2007	09:00	0	N
3-Jun-2007	10:00	0.9	NE
3-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SW
3-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	SE
3-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	S
3-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	SW
3-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	S
3-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	WSW
3-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NE
3-Jun-2007	18:00	0	NE
3-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	SW
3-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SW
3-Jun-2007	21:00	0	---
3-Jun-2007	22:00	0	SSE
3-Jun-2007	23:00	0	E
4-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SE
4-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
4-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
4-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
4-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
4-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	---
4-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	---
4-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	---
4-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	SE
4-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	ESE
4-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
4-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SW
4-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	SSW
4-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	SW
4-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
4-Jun-2007	15:00	1.3	SW
4-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	SW
4-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NE
4-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	SSW
4-Jun-2007	19:00	0	WNW
4-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SW
4-Jun-2007	21:00	0	SW
4-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
4-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SW
5-Jun-2007	00:00	0	E
5-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	04:00	0	E
5-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	06:00	0	E
5-Jun-2007	07:00	0	ENE
5-Jun-2007	08:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	09:00	0	---
5-Jun-2007	10:00	0.9	N
5-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	NE
5-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	---
5-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	SSW
5-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
5-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	SW
5-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	NNE
5-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	N

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
5-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	N
5-Jun-2007	19:00	0	WSW
5-Jun-2007	20:00	0	WSW
5-Jun-2007	21:00	0	WSW
5-Jun-2007	22:00	0	WSW
5-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SW
6-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
6-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SW
6-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
6-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SW
6-Jun-2007	04:00	0	SW
6-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
6-Jun-2007	06:00	0	---
6-Jun-2007	07:00	0	SW
6-Jun-2007	08:00	0	---
6-Jun-2007	09:00	0	WSW
6-Jun-2007	10:00	0.9	WSW
6-Jun-2007	11:00	1.3	W
6-Jun-2007	12:00	1.3	WNW
6-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	NNW
6-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	WNW
6-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	N
6-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	N
6-Jun-2007	17:00	0	WSW
6-Jun-2007	18:00	0	W
6-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	SW
6-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	SW
6-Jun-2007	21:00	0.4	SW
6-Jun-2007	22:00	0.4	SW
6-Jun-2007	23:00	0.4	---
7-Jun-2007	00:00	0.4	---
7-Jun-2007	01:00	0.4	SW
7-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
7-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
7-Jun-2007	05:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	06:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	07:00	0	---
7-Jun-2007	08:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	09:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	10:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	WNW
7-Jun-2007	12:00	0	WNW
7-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	WNW
7-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	W
7-Jun-2007	15:00	1.3	ENE
7-Jun-2007	16:00	0	ENE
7-Jun-2007	17:00	0	ENE
7-Jun-2007	18:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	19:00	0	---
7-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SW
7-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ENE
7-Jun-2007	22:00	0	ENE
7-Jun-2007	23:00	0	---
8-Jun-2007	00:00	0	ENE
8-Jun-2007	01:00	0.4	SW
8-Jun-2007	02:00	0	NE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
8-Jun-2007	03:00	0	ENE
8-Jun-2007	04:00	0.4	W
8-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	SW
8-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	SSW
8-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	NE
8-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	N
8-Jun-2007	09:00	0	SW
8-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	ESE
8-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	W
8-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	SW
8-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	W
8-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	W
8-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	W
8-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	W
8-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	N
8-Jun-2007	18:00	0.9	N
8-Jun-2007	19:00	0	NE
8-Jun-2007	20:00	0	ENE
8-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ENE
8-Jun-2007	22:00	0	ESE
8-Jun-2007	23:00	0	ESE
9-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SE
9-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SE
9-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	04:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	WSW
9-Jun-2007	06:00	0	W
9-Jun-2007	07:00	0	WNW
9-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	WSW
9-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	SSE
9-Jun-2007	10:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SSE
9-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	ESE
9-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	ESE
9-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	SE
9-Jun-2007	15:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	16:00	0	SSE
9-Jun-2007	17:00	0	NNE
9-Jun-2007	18:00	0	SW
9-Jun-2007	19:00	0	W
9-Jun-2007	20:00	0	---
9-Jun-2007	21:00	0	NE
9-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
9-Jun-2007	23:00	0.4	ENE
10-Jun-2007	00:00	0.4	ENE
10-Jun-2007	01:00	0	ESE
10-Jun-2007	02:00	0.4	SW
10-Jun-2007	03:00	0.4	SE
10-Jun-2007	04:00	0.4	W
10-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	N
10-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	NE
10-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	WNW
10-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	NE
10-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	SW
10-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SSW
10-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	SW

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
10-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	W
10-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	NE
10-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	WNW
10-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	WNW
10-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	WNW
10-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	WNW
10-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	ENE
10-Jun-2007	19:00	0	SE
10-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SE
10-Jun-2007	21:00	0	N
10-Jun-2007	22:00	0	ENE
10-Jun-2007	23:00	0	ENE
11-Jun-2007	00:00	0	N
11-Jun-2007	01:00	0	E
11-Jun-2007	02:00	0	NNE
11-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SE
11-Jun-2007	04:00	0	NNE
11-Jun-2007	05:00	0	E
11-Jun-2007	06:00	0	W
11-Jun-2007	07:00	0	NW
11-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	NE
11-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	NE
11-Jun-2007	10:00	0.9	ENE
11-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	W
11-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	SW
11-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	NE
11-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
11-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	W
11-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	NE
11-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NE
11-Jun-2007	18:00	0	NE
11-Jun-2007	19:00	0	NE
11-Jun-2007	20:00	0	ESE
11-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ESE
11-Jun-2007	22:00	0	ESE
11-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SE
12-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SSE
12-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SSE
12-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	06:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	07:00	0	---
12-Jun-2007	08:00	0	SSE
12-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	SSE
12-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
12-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SSW
12-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	SW
12-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	WSW
12-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
12-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	SW
12-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	SW
12-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	SW
12-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	SW
12-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	NE
12-Jun-2007	20:00	0	ENE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
12-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ENE
12-Jun-2007	22:00	0	SE
12-Jun-2007	23:00	0	E
13-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SSE
13-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SSE
13-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SSE
13-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SSE
13-Jun-2007	04:00	0	S
13-Jun-2007	05:00	0	S
13-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	S
13-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	S
13-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	S
13-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	ENE
13-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	W
13-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SSW
13-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	SW
13-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	WSW
13-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	WSW
13-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	WSW
13-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	WSW
13-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NE
13-Jun-2007	18:00	0.9	E
13-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	ENE
13-Jun-2007	20:00	0	WSW
13-Jun-2007	21:00	0	ESE
13-Jun-2007	22:00	0	NE
13-Jun-2007	23:00	0	E
14-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
14-Jun-2007	05:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	06:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	07:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	08:00	0.9	SW
14-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	WSW
14-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
14-Jun-2007	11:00	1.3	SW
14-Jun-2007	12:00	1.8	SW
14-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	SW
14-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	W
14-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	ESE
14-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	N
14-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	WSW
14-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	NNW
14-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	N
14-Jun-2007	20:00	0	NE
14-Jun-2007	21:00	0	SW
14-Jun-2007	22:00	0	S
14-Jun-2007	23:00	0	ESE
15-Jun-2007	00:00	0	ESE
15-Jun-2007	01:00	0	ESE
15-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
15-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
15-Jun-2007	04:00	0	ESE
15-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	ESE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
15-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	---
15-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	---
15-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	---
15-Jun-2007	09:00	0	ENE
15-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
15-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	N
15-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	N
15-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	W
15-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
15-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	SW
15-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	SW
15-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	SW
15-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	WNW
15-Jun-2007	19:00	0	WSW
15-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	WSW
15-Jun-2007	21:00	0	E
15-Jun-2007	22:00	0	E
15-Jun-2007	23:00	0	E
16-Jun-2007	00:00	0	E
16-Jun-2007	01:00	0	E
16-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
16-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
16-Jun-2007	04:00	0	ESE
16-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	ESE
16-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	---
16-Jun-2007	07:00	0.4	---
16-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	---
16-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	ENE
16-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	N
16-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	SW
16-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	WSW
16-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	SSW
16-Jun-2007	14:00	0	NE
16-Jun-2007	15:00	0	NW
16-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	N
16-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	N
16-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	WNW
16-Jun-2007	19:00	0	WNW
16-Jun-2007	20:00	0	W
16-Jun-2007	21:00	0	W
16-Jun-2007	22:00	0	W
16-Jun-2007	23:00	0	W
17-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
17-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
17-Jun-2007	02:00	0	W
17-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
17-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
17-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
17-Jun-2007	06:00	0	W
17-Jun-2007	07:00	0	W
17-Jun-2007	08:00	0	W
17-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	W
17-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
17-Jun-2007	11:00	1.3	WSW
17-Jun-2007	12:00	1.3	W
17-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	WSW
17-Jun-2007	14:00	1.3	W

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
17-Jun-2007	15:00	1.8	WSW
17-Jun-2007	16:00	1.8	W
17-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	WSW
17-Jun-2007	18:00	1.3	WSW
17-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	WSW
17-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	SW
17-Jun-2007	21:00	0.4	SW
17-Jun-2007	22:00	0	SW
17-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SW
18-Jun-2007	00:00	0	SW
18-Jun-2007	01:00	0	S
18-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
18-Jun-2007	03:00	0	S
18-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
18-Jun-2007	05:00	0	S
18-Jun-2007	06:00	0	S
18-Jun-2007	07:00	0	---
18-Jun-2007	08:00	0	S
18-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	W
18-Jun-2007	10:00	1.3	W
18-Jun-2007	11:00	1.3	W
18-Jun-2007	12:00	1.3	W
18-Jun-2007	13:00	1.3	W
18-Jun-2007	14:00	2.7	WSW
18-Jun-2007	15:00	2.7	WSW
18-Jun-2007	16:00	2.2	WSW
18-Jun-2007	17:00	1.3	SW
18-Jun-2007	18:00	1.3	SW
18-Jun-2007	19:00	0.9	SW
18-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	SW
18-Jun-2007	21:00	0	SSW
18-Jun-2007	22:00	0.4	SSW
18-Jun-2007	23:00	0	SSW
19-Jun-2007	00:00	0.4	S
19-Jun-2007	01:00	0.4	NE
19-Jun-2007	02:00	0.4	NE
19-Jun-2007	03:00	0.4	ENE
19-Jun-2007	04:00	0	ENE
19-Jun-2007	05:00	0.9	W
19-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	WSW
19-Jun-2007	07:00	0.9	W
19-Jun-2007	08:00	1.3	W
19-Jun-2007	09:00	1.8	W
19-Jun-2007	10:00	2.2	W
19-Jun-2007	11:00	2.7	W
19-Jun-2007	12:00	3.6	W
19-Jun-2007	13:00	3.1	W
19-Jun-2007	14:00	2.7	W
19-Jun-2007	15:00	3.1	W
19-Jun-2007	16:00	2.7	NNW
19-Jun-2007	17:00	1.8	W
19-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	WNW
19-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	WNW
19-Jun-2007	20:00	0.9	WSW
19-Jun-2007	21:00	0	WSW
19-Jun-2007	22:00	0	WSW
19-Jun-2007	23:00	0	WSW

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
20-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
20-Jun-2007	01:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	02:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	03:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	04:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	05:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	06:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	07:00	0	WSW
20-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	SW
20-Jun-2007	09:00	1.3	WSW
20-Jun-2007	10:00	1.8	WSW
20-Jun-2007	11:00	2.2	WSW
20-Jun-2007	12:00	2.2	W
20-Jun-2007	13:00	1.8	WNW
20-Jun-2007	14:00	1.8	W
20-Jun-2007	15:00	2.2	WSW
20-Jun-2007	16:00	2.2	SW
20-Jun-2007	17:00	1.3	SSW
20-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	W
20-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	W
20-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	SW
20-Jun-2007	21:00	1.8	SW
20-Jun-2007	22:00	0.9	SW
20-Jun-2007	23:00	1.8	SE
21-Jun-2007	00:00	0.4	ENE
21-Jun-2007	01:00	0	SSE
21-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SSE
21-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SW
21-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
21-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
21-Jun-2007	06:00	0	SW
21-Jun-2007	07:00	0	---
21-Jun-2007	08:00	0	SW
21-Jun-2007	09:00	0	SW
21-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	SW
21-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	SSW
21-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	SSW
21-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	WSW
21-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	W
21-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	SW
21-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	SW
21-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	SW
21-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	N
21-Jun-2007	19:00	0	NE
21-Jun-2007	20:00	0	E
21-Jun-2007	21:00	0	E
21-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
21-Jun-2007	23:00	0	---
22-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
22-Jun-2007	01:00	0	E
22-Jun-2007	02:00	0	---
22-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
22-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
22-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	E
22-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	ENE
22-Jun-2007	07:00	1.3	N
22-Jun-2007	08:00	1.3	ENE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
22-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	ENE
22-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	WSW
22-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	WSW
22-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	WSW
22-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	WSW
22-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	SSW
22-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	SW
22-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	SW
22-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NW
22-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	SW
22-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	SW
22-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	---
22-Jun-2007	21:00	0.4	WSW
22-Jun-2007	22:00	0	WSW
22-Jun-2007	23:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	00:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	01:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	02:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	03:00	0	---
23-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
23-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
23-Jun-2007	06:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	07:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	08:00	0	---
23-Jun-2007	09:00	0	WSW
23-Jun-2007	10:00	0	NE
23-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	ENE
23-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	SW
23-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	SW
23-Jun-2007	14:00	0.9	SW
23-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	SW
23-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	W
23-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	W
23-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	SW
23-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	E
23-Jun-2007	20:00	0.4	E
23-Jun-2007	21:00	0.4	---
23-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
23-Jun-2007	23:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	01:00	0	E
24-Jun-2007	02:00	0	E
24-Jun-2007	03:00	0	E
24-Jun-2007	04:00	0	E
24-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	06:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	07:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	08:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	09:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	NE
24-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	N
24-Jun-2007	12:00	0.4	WSW
24-Jun-2007	13:00	0.4	SE
24-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	N
24-Jun-2007	15:00	0.9	SW
24-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	SW
24-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	SSW

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
24-Jun-2007	18:00	0	WNW
24-Jun-2007	19:00	0	SW
24-Jun-2007	20:00	0	SSW
24-Jun-2007	21:00	0	SE
24-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
24-Jun-2007	23:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	00:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	01:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	02:00	0	SE
25-Jun-2007	03:00	0	SE
25-Jun-2007	04:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	05:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	06:00	0	SE
25-Jun-2007	07:00	0	SE
25-Jun-2007	08:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	09:00	0.4	NNE
25-Jun-2007	10:00	0.4	NNE
25-Jun-2007	11:00	0.4	NNE
25-Jun-2007	12:00	0.9	N
25-Jun-2007	13:00	0.9	WSW
25-Jun-2007	14:00	0.4	SW
25-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	WSW
25-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	SW
25-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	NW
25-Jun-2007	18:00	0.4	W
25-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	SW
25-Jun-2007	20:00	0	S
25-Jun-2007	21:00	0	S
25-Jun-2007	22:00	0	---
25-Jun-2007	23:00	0	S
26-Jun-2007	00:00	1.4	WNW
26-Jun-2007	01:00	1.3	WNW
26-Jun-2007	02:00	1.6	W
26-Jun-2007	03:00	3.3	S
26-Jun-2007	04:00	0.2	SW
26-Jun-2007	05:00	0.4	W
26-Jun-2007	06:00	0.8	WSW
26-Jun-2007	07:00	1.3	W
26-Jun-2007	08:00	1.3	W
26-Jun-2007	09:00	1.1	SW
26-Jun-2007	10:00	1.4	WSW
26-Jun-2007	11:00	2.0	W
26-Jun-2007	12:00	2.0	WNW
26-Jun-2007	13:00	3.4	WNW
26-Jun-2007	14:00	2.0	SSW
26-Jun-2007	15:00	1.4	WSW
26-Jun-2007	16:00	2.4	W
26-Jun-2007	17:00	1.4	W
26-Jun-2007	18:00	1.4	WSW
26-Jun-2007	19:00	1.4	W
26-Jun-2007	20:00	1.4	W
26-Jun-2007	21:00	1.9	W
26-Jun-2007	22:00	1.7	W
26-Jun-2007	23:00	1.7	WSW
27-Jun-2007	00:00	1.7	WSW
27-Jun-2007	01:00	1.3	W
27-Jun-2007	02:00	1.3	SSW

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
27-Jun-2007	03:00	0.8	SW
27-Jun-2007	04:00	0.5	SW
27-Jun-2007	05:00	0.3	SW
27-Jun-2007	06:00	0.2	SW
27-Jun-2007	07:00	0.2	SW
27-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	WNW
27-Jun-2007	09:00	0.9	WNW
27-Jun-2007	10:00	1.2	W
27-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	SSW
27-Jun-2007	12:00	1.0	WNW
27-Jun-2007	13:00	1.0	ENE
27-Jun-2007	14:00	1.0	ENE
27-Jun-2007	15:00	1.0	ENE
27-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	ENE
27-Jun-2007	17:00	1.4	ENE
27-Jun-2007	18:00	0.9	SSW
27-Jun-2007	19:00	0.4	S
27-Jun-2007	20:00	0.5	E
27-Jun-2007	21:00	0.6	E
27-Jun-2007	22:00	0.3	E
27-Jun-2007	23:00	1.3	ENE
28-Jun-2007	00:00	1.3	NE
28-Jun-2007	01:00	1.1	NE
28-Jun-2007	02:00	1.1	ENE
28-Jun-2007	03:00	0.9	NE
28-Jun-2007	04:00	1.1	ENE
28-Jun-2007	05:00	0.8	NE
28-Jun-2007	06:00	0.5	ENE
28-Jun-2007	07:00	0.5	ENE
28-Jun-2007	08:00	0.4	ENE
28-Jun-2007	09:00	0.5	ENE
28-Jun-2007	10:00	0.9	NNE
28-Jun-2007	11:00	0.8	NNE
28-Jun-2007	12:00	0.7	S
28-Jun-2007	13:00	2.1	WNW
28-Jun-2007	14:00	0.6	E
28-Jun-2007	15:00	0.4	NW
28-Jun-2007	16:00	0.4	WNW
28-Jun-2007	17:00	1.3	NNE
28-Jun-2007	18:00	1.0	N
28-Jun-2007	19:00	0.3	NNE
28-Jun-2007	20:00	0.6	NE
28-Jun-2007	21:00	1.0	N
28-Jun-2007	22:00	0.8	N
28-Jun-2007	23:00	0.9	NNE
29-Jun-2007	00:00	1.1	N
29-Jun-2007	01:00	1.4	N
29-Jun-2007	02:00	1.7	N
29-Jun-2007	03:00	2.7	N
29-Jun-2007	04:00	0.9	SSE
29-Jun-2007	05:00	0.9	SSE
29-Jun-2007	06:00	0.9	SSW
29-Jun-2007	07:00	0.3	SW
29-Jun-2007	08:00	0.5	WSW
29-Jun-2007	09:00	0.3	WSW
29-Jun-2007	10:00	2.5	ENE
29-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	ENE

Appendix F - Wind Data

Date	Time	Wind Speed m/s	Direction
29-Jun-2007	12:00	0.2	E
29-Jun-2007	13:00	0.3	E
29-Jun-2007	14:00	0.3	SW
29-Jun-2007	15:00	1.0	N
29-Jun-2007	16:00	0.9	NNE
29-Jun-2007	17:00	0.4	SSW
29-Jun-2007	18:00	0.3	WNW
29-Jun-2007	19:00	0.6	W
29-Jun-2007	20:00	0.5	SSW
29-Jun-2007	21:00	1.0	W
29-Jun-2007	22:00	1.6	WNW
29-Jun-2007	23:00	1.0	W
30-Jun-2007	00:00	0.9	WSW
30-Jun-2007	01:00	1.0	SSW
30-Jun-2007	02:00	0.7	SW
30-Jun-2007	03:00	0.6	SW
30-Jun-2007	04:00	0.7	SSW
30-Jun-2007	05:00	0.7	W
30-Jun-2007	06:00	0.4	WNW
30-Jun-2007	07:00	0.5	S
30-Jun-2007	08:00	0.9	WSW
30-Jun-2007	09:00	1.3	WSW
30-Jun-2007	10:00	1.2	W
30-Jun-2007	11:00	0.9	W
30-Jun-2007	12:00	1.3	WSW
30-Jun-2007	13:00	1.1	WSW
30-Jun-2007	14:00	1.1	WSW
30-Jun-2007	15:00	1.2	W
30-Jun-2007	16:00	1.2	W
30-Jun-2007	17:00	0.9	W
30-Jun-2007	18:00	0.9	W
30-Jun-2007	19:00	1.0	W
30-Jun-2007	20:00	0.9	W
30-Jun-2007	21:00	0.7	W
30-Jun-2007	22:00	0.9	WSW
30-Jun-2007	23:00	0.6	W

**APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS**

Appendix G - Noise Monitoring Results

Location N5 - Garden Villa			(Baseline Level : 66.3 dB(A))			
Date	Time	Weather	dB (A) (30-min)			Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}
7-Jun-07	09:00	Cloudy	65.2	67.5	62.0	65.2
14-Jun-07	09:01	Cloudy	65.3	67.0	62.0	65.3
22-Jun-07	09:04	Sunny	68.7	70.5	62.0	65.0
28-Jun-07	09:03	Cloudy	67.3	68.5	64.0	60.4
Average			66.9	68.6	62.6	64.4
Minimum			65.2	67.0	62.0	60.4
Maximum			68.7	70.5	64.0	65.3





Location N6 - Shatin Heights			(Baseline Level : 70.2 dB(A))			
Date	Time	Weather	dB (A) (30-min)			Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}
7-Jun-07	09:45	Cloudy	63.0	64.0	61.5	63.0
14-Jun-07	09:45	Cloudy	63.0	65.0	58.5	63.0
22-Jun-07	09:51	Sunny	64.3	67.0	61.5	64.3
28-Jun-07	09:45	Cloudy	66.1	68.5	61.5	66.1
Average			64.3	66.5	60.9	64.3
Minimum			63.0	64.0	58.5	63.0
Maximum			66.1	68.5	61.5	66.1


Location N7 - Lau Pak Lok Secondary School			(Baseline Level : 67.3 dB(A))			
Date	Time	Weather	dB (A) (30-min)			Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}
7-Jun-07	13:01	Cloudy	60.6	62.0	58.0	60.6
14-Jun-07	13:02	Cloudy	62.0	63.5	58.5	62.0
22-Jun-07	13:01	Sunny	67.3	68.5	64.5	67.3
28-Jun-07	13:39	Cloudy	64.3	65.5	63.0	64.3
Average			64.3	65.6	61.9	64.3
Minimum			60.6	62.0	58.0	60.6
Maximum			67.3	68.5	64.5	67.3

Location N8 - Tin Sam Tsuen			(Baseline Level : 72.0 dB(A))			
Date	Time	Weather	dB (A) (30-min)			Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}
7-Jun-07	14:07	Cloudy	67.0	68.5	64.5	67.0
14-Jun-07	14:11	Cloudy	62.8	64.0	59.5	62.8
22-Jun-07	14:07	Sunny	66.7	68.5	64.0	66.7
28-Jun-07	13:00	Cloudy	66.8	68.5	64.0	66.8
Average			66.1	67.7	63.4	66.1
Minimum			62.8	64.0	59.5	62.8
Maximum			67.0	68.5	64.5	67.0

Construction Noise Level = Measured Noise Level - Baseline Noise Level (or equal to measured noise level when less than baseline)

Noise Levels



Title Environmental Team for Sha Tin Heights Tunnel & Approaches Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA2027	
	Date Jun 07	Appendix G	

APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES

Summary of Exceedances Recorded in the Reporting Month

a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP: NIL

b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP: NIL

c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise: NIL

- No Action / Limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

APPENDIX I
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Sha Tin New Town, Stage II
Contract No. ST 89/02
Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	70607
Date	7 June 2007
Time	09:00 –10:30 (a.m.)

Non-Compliance

Reference No.

None	-
------	---

Remarks/Observations

<p><i>A. Water Quality</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p><i>B. Air Quality</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p><i>C. Noise</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p><i>D. Waste / Chemical Management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p><i>E. Permit / Licenses</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p><i>F. Others</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up for the previous site audit session (Ref. No.:70531), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by contractor.	
---	--

Reminders:

--

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Stanley Liu	<i>Stanley</i>	7 June 2007
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	<i>W-F</i>	7 June 2007

Sha Tin New Town, Stage II
Contract No. ST 89/02
Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	70621
Date	21 June 2007
Time	9:30 –11:30

Non-Compliance

Reference No.

None	-
------	---

Remarks/Observations

<p>A. Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>B. Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>C. Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>D. Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>E. Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>F. Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow-up for the previous site audit session (Ref. No.:70613), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by contractor. 	
---	--

Reminders:

--

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Stanley Liu	<i>Stanley</i>	21 June 2007
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	<i>Wif</i>	21 June 2007

Sha Tin New Town, Stage II
Contract No. ST 89/02
Sha Tin Heights Tunnel and Approaches

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	70628
Date	28 June 2007
Time	9:15 –10:45

Non-Compliance

Reference No.

None	-
------	---

Remarks/Observations

<p>A. Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>B. Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>C. Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>D. Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>E. Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the environmental site inspection. <p>F. Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up for the previous site audit session (Ref. No.:70621), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by contractor.	
---	--

Reminders:

--

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Stanley Liu	<i>Stanley</i>	28 June 2007
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	<i>WJ</i>	28 June 2007

**APPENDIX J
SUMMARY OF AMOUNT OF WASTE
GENERATED**

Appendix J

Name of Department: CEDD

Contract No.: ST89/02

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table For June 2007 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly					Actual Quantities of C&D Waste Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	Reused in the Contract (see Note 5)	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	3.486	0.341	3.145	0.000	0.000	5.633	0.165	0.000	1.623	0.090
Feb	2.324	0.049	2.257	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.120	0.000	0.000	0.040
Mar	2.905	0.143	2.420	0.059	0.283	16.561	0.185	0.000	0.000	0.080
Apr	3.422	0.060	3.107	0.014	0.241	0.000	0.200	0.000	1.000	0.055
May	0.055	0.055	-0.179	0.000	0.179	1.920	0.135	0.000	0.000	0.055
June	0.000	0.010	-1.536	0.000	1.526	24.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.045
Sub-total	12.192	0.658	9.214	0.091	2.229	48.214	0.805	0.000	2.623	0.365
July										
Aug										
Sept										
Oct										
Nov										
Dec										
Total	12.192	0.658	9.214	0.091	2.229	48.214	0.805	0.000	2.623	0.365

Notes: (1) The performance targets are given in PS Sub-clause 2(5) (c).

(2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.

(3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

(4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

(5) Reused in the contract refers to the temporary and permanent reused. A negative value means the removal of temporary reused materials is more than the on site

**APPENDIX K
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL
MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION
SCHEDULE**

Appendix K - Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
Construction Dust	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any stockpile of dusty materials or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet. A stockpile of dusty materials should not extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones. Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. Every main haul road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials. Any stockpile of dusty materials should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting, placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet. All dusty materials should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet. Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels immediately before leaving a construction site. The working area of any excavation should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
Construction Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only well-maintained plant should be operated on –site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works. Machines and plant that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRS. Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. Use quiet plant and Working Method Reduce the number of plant operating in critical areas close NSRs. Construct temporary and movable noise barriers 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
Water Quality	<i>Construction Runoff and Drainage</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of sediment traps and the adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow. • Boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilities runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. • All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment traps should be regularly cleaned and maintained. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction works has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required • Sand silt in the wash water from the wheel washing facilities, which ensure no earth, mud and debris is deposited on roads, should be settled out the removed before discharging into storm drains. A section of the road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to prevent wash water or other site runoff form entering public road drains. • Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly emptied to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain. • Catchpits and perimeter channels shall be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks. • Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes shall be suitably maintained with the deposited silt and grit being removed at least once a week, and at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. • Earthworks final surfaces shall be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate intercepting channels shall be provided along the site boundary or at the locations agreed with the ET Leader. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations shall be discharged into silt removal facilities before discharge into storm drains. • All generators, fuel and oil storage shall be within bunded areas. Drainage from the areas shall be connected to storm drains via a petrol interceptor. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
	<i>Tunnelling Work</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary open storage of excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Any washout of construction or excavated materials form the drill and blast tunnelling work should be diverted to the drainage system via appropriate sediment traps. • Ground water pumped out of tunnels should be discharged into the drainage channels which incorporated sediment traps to enhance deposition rates and to remove silt. • Spend grouts used in diaphragm wall construction should be collected in a separate slurry collection system, reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. The disposal of used grouting materials will only be permitted if it is treated to the TM standards before discharge to the storm drains or disposal to landfill. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>N/A</p>

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<i>General Construction Activities</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the water column and cause water quality impacts. All fuel tanks and storage areas will be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas (within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank or 20% by volume of the fuel stored in that areas, whichever in the greatest). 	 ^
	<i>Sewage Effluent</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction work force sewage discharges from fixed toilet facilities on-site should be connected to the nearby existing trunk sewer wherever feasible. However, for areas where existing trunk sewer is not available, it is recommended that appropriate and adequate on site portable chemical toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor who will be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities. It is considered that sewage discharges could also be treated by on-site septic tanks and soakaway. Minimum clearance away from streams and catchments and other requirements for the proposed septic tank and soakaway should be referred to EPD's Practice Note for Professional Persons, Drainage Plans. 	 ^ N/A
Waste	<i>General</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training and instruction shall be given at a site to construction staff to increase awareness and draw attention to waste management issues and the need to minimise waste generation. The training requirement shall be included in the site waste management plan. 	 ^
	<i>Storage, Collection and Transportation of Waste</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wastes shall be handled and stored in a manner to ensure that they are held securely without loss or leakage. Authorised or licensed waste hauliers shall be used and they shall only collect wastes prescribed by their permits. Waste shall be removed on a daily basis. Waste storage area shall be maintained and cleaned on a daily basis. Windblown litter and dust during transportation shall be minimised by either covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers. Obtain necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities if they are required. Wastes shall be disposed of at licensed waste disposal facilities. Develop procedure such as ticketing system to facilitate tracking of loads, particularly for chemical waste, and to ensure that illegal disposal of wastes does not occur. Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed. 	 ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
	<i>Surplus Excavated Materials</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to the high risk of loose material being washed into the existing nullah, stockpile materials should be properly compacted and covered from water erosion and located at least 10 away from the nullah wall. 	 N/A
	<i>Construction and Demolition (C&D) Waste</i>	

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Careful design, planning and good site management shall be adopted to minimise over-ordering and generation of waste materials such as concrete grouts. The handling and disposal of bentonite slurries shall be undertaken in accordance with Practice Note for Professional Persons – Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC PN 1/94) on construction site drainage. Construction and demolition (C&D) material shall be segregated to inert and non-inert parts. The inert portion shall re-used at areas of reclamation or land formation, or to public filling area shall such allocation is deemed necessary. The non-inert portion shall be disposed of to landfill. 	<p>^</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>^</p>
	<i>Chemical Waste</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical waste that is produce during construction shall be handled in accordance with the Cod of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; b. Have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specifications have been approved by the EPD; c. Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Chemical Waste Regulations. The storage area for chemical wastes should: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; b. Be enclosed on at least 3 sides; c. Have an impermeable floor and bunding of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in the area, whichever is largest; d. Have adequate ventilation; e. Be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste if necessary); f. Be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste shall be via a licensed waste collector; and to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste; or a reuser of the waste (under approval from EPD). 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
	<i>General Refuse</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General refuse generated on-site shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction unit separate from C&D and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D and chemical wastes, on a daily for every second day basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. The burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Reusable rather than disposable dishware shall be used if feasible. 	<p>^</p> <p>N/A</p>
Ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A sediment barrier shall be erected to minimize stream sedimentation at downstream of the project boundary of the Toll Plaza. 	<p>^</p>

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct a tree survey before commencement of the construction work. All measures recommended in the approved landscape proposals under Condition 2.4 in EP above shall be fully implemented in accordance with the details and time schedule set out in the submission. Loss of the adjacent woodland due to temporary land take shall be returned to the original status immediately. Wild and uncontrolled fire shall be strictly prohibited Fences shall be erected along the boundary of the construction sites at the Toll Plaza before commencement of works, to prevent tipping, vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel onto adjacent wooded areas. 	^ N/A N/A ^ N/A
Landscape and Visual Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landscape mitigation measure 1 (LMM1) – Construction programming and management. The periphery of the works areas at street level shall be managed so that they do not appear cluttered, untidy and unattractive and inconvenient to pedestrians. For example, all hoarding shall be colorfully designed with interesting motifs demonstrating the work of Highways Department. Hoardings with bland colours shall be avoided. Landscape mitigation measure 2 (LMM2) – Advanced planting and erosion control works. Where possible, the transplantation of existing valuable trees, the stockpiling of topsoil, new planting and erosion control works shall be carried out as early as possible in the construction period instead of at the end. This will assist in maximizing the time for carrying out transplantation and new planting, resulting in a higher success rate for the survival of transplantation and new planting, resulting in a higher success rate for the survival of transplanted trees and the establishment of new screen trees. The stockpiling of topsoil will provide an abundant use of on-site material for growing media. During detailed design, the issue of stockpiling of topsoil in a manner that would avoid washing into the drainage scheme should be examined comprehensively. Measurement of vibration would also be carried out on a need basis during the piling work 	N/A N/A N/A

Remarks:

^
N/A

Compliance of mitigation measure;
Not Applicable;

X
•

Non-compliance of mitigation measure;
Non-compliance but rectified by the Contractor

APPENDIX L
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix L - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
<i>ACTION LEVEL</i>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source 2. Inform ER & IEC 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Check Contractor's working methods	1. Notify Contractor 2. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice 2. Amend working methods if appropriate
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source 2. Inform ER & IEC 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Discuss with ER & for remedial actions required 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with ER & IEC 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Check Contractor's working methods 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measure 4. Advise the ER & ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise the implementation of the remedial measures	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Check Contractor's working methods 4. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on proposed remedial actions 5. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented	1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification 2. Implement the agreed proposals 3. Amend proposal if appropriate
<i>LIMIT LEVEL</i>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source 2. Inform ER & IEC and EPD 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER &	1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Check Contractor's working methods 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measure 4. Advise the ER & ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Check Contractor's working methods 4. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on proposed remedial actions 5. Ensure remedial actions	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Amend proposal if appropriate

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
	IEC informed of the results	5. Supervise the implementation of the remedial measures	properly implemented	
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source 2. Inform ER, IEC, Contractor and EPD the cause & actions taken for the exceedances 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Investigate the causes of exceedance 6. Carry out analysis of contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 7. Arrange meeting with EPD, IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken 8. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER & IEC informed of the results 9. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Checking monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 3. Review Contractor's remedial measures whenever necessary to ensure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly 4. Supervise the implementation of the remedial measures	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 4. Discuss amongst ET, IEC and the Contractor on proposed remedial actions 5. In consultation with IEC, agree with the contractor remedial measures to be implemented 6. Ensure remedial measure are properly implemented 7. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC, ER within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

Exceedance	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
<i>ACTION LEVEL</i>	<p>1. Discuss with the IEC and ER and seek to identify potential noise source</p> <p>2. Undertake noise measurement to confirm the validity of complaint</p> <p>3. Inform ER&IEC in writing Discuss remedial actions required with ER&IEC if an exceedance is recorded</p> <p>4. Increase monitoring frequency to demonstrate efficacy of remedial measures</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, meet with ER&IEC to review implementation of appropriate mitigation measures</p> <p>6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</p>	<p>1. Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET</p> <p>2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER & ET accordingly</p> <p>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of complaint and notify Contractor immediately</p> <p>2. Check monitoring data trends and Contractor's working methods</p> <p>3. Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on proposed remedial actions</p> <p>4. Assess the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Contractor informed</p> <p>5. Inform complainant of actions taken</p>	<p>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within three working days of notification</p> <p>2. Amend proposals if required by the Engineer</p> <p>3. Implement the remedial actions immediately upon instruction</p> <p>4. Liaise with the ER to optimize the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation</p> <p>5. Amend proposal if appropriate</p>




Exceedance	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
<i>LIMIT LEVEL</i>	<p>1. Repeat measurement to confirm findings</p> <p>2. Investigate the cause of the exceedance and identify the main source(s) of impact</p> <p>3. Inform ER&IEC and EPD in writing</p> <p>4. Discuss remedial actions required with ER&IEC</p> <p>5. Increase monitoring frequency to demonstrate efficacy of remedial measures</p>	<p>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET</p> <p>2. Review Contractor's remedial actions to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER &ET accordingly</p> <p>3. Supervise the implementation of the remedial measures</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance and notify Contractor</p> <p>2. Check monitoring data trends and Contractor's working methods</p> <p>3. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on proposed remedial actions to be implemented</p> <p>4. Assess the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Contractor informed</p> <p>5. If exceedance continuous, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is aborted</p>	<p>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance</p> <p>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER immediately not more than 3 working days of notification</p> <p>3. Amend proposals if required by the ER</p> <p>4. Implement remedial actions immediately upon instruction</p> <p>5. Liaise with the ER to optimize the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation</p>

Exceedance	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
<i>LIMIT LEVEL</i>	6. Assess efficacy of remedial actions and keep ER & IEC informed of the results			6. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control
	7. If exceedance continues, meet with ER&IEC to identify appropriate mitigation measures 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring			7. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is aborted

APPENDIX M
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

Activity ID	Activity Description	Plan Dur	Projected Actual Current Start	Projected Actual Current Finish	Total Float 15 Jun 07	2007			
						APR	MAY	JUN	JUL
SECTION XVI - REMAINDER OF WORKS (30 JUN 07)									
Remaining Works in South Portal									
Reinstatement of TARI									
C16L-1000	Slope reinstatement work	13	05/MAR/07A	29/JUN/07	0				Slope reinstatement work
Slope FR5									
C16L-1005	Slope reinstatement work	1	05/MAR/07A	15/JUN/07	0				Slope reinstatement work
C16L-1010	225 u-channel at crest of FR5 along Tai Po Rd	12	18/JUN/07	29/JUN/07	0				225 u-channel at crest of FR5 along Tai Po Rd
Remaining Works in North Portal									
Approach Road									
C16L-1135	Install bollard at traffic island	1	25/JUN/07*	25/JUN/07	0				Install bollard at traffic island
C16L-1140	Concrete pavement at traffic island H	4	26/JUN/07	29/JUN/07	0				Concrete pavement at traffic island H
C16L-1150	Install crash cushion barrier @ traffic island F	3	25/JUN/07*	27/JUN/07	2				Install crash cushion barrier @ traffic island F
Slope behind RCPE and AREB01									
C16L-1220	Form slope w/ drainage behind RCPE/AREB01	10	23/MAY/07A	26/JUN/07	3				Form slope w/ drainage behind RCPE/AREB01
RC Pull Enclosure									
C16L-1285	Irrigation system from top slab RCPE to Por 15	3	21/MAY/07A	18/JUN/07	10				Irrigation system from top slab RCPE to Por 15
RE Walls 21									
C16L-1325	Form slope & drains behind ARE/03	13	14/MAY/07A	29/JUN/07	0				Form slope & drains behind ARE/03
Along KCRC Track									
C16L-1340	Footpath & drainage under ARE/03	2	25/MAY/07A	16/JUN/07	11				Footpath & drainage under ARE/03
Site Boundary									
C16L-1385	Permanent fence at slope	8	01/JUN/07A	23/JUN/07	5				Permanent fence at slope
Remaining Works in Tunnel									
Tunnel									
C16L-1375	Install VE panels	13	30/APR/07A	29/JUN/07	0				Install VE panels
C16L-1400	Lay bituminous materials (base & wearing	11	12/JUN/07A	27/JUN/07	0				Lay bituminous materials (base & wearing course)
C16L-1405	Road Markings	2	28/JUN/07	29/JUN/07	0				Road Markings
Remaining Works in Bridge N and S									
Noise Enclosure ERM Works									
C16L-1450	Noise enclosure lighting	13	07/JUN/07A	28/JUN/07	0				Noise enclosure lighting
Completion of Works									
CCNP3170	Section XVI Completion	0		30/JUN/07*	0				4-Section XVI Completion

APR	MAY	JUN	JUL
2007			

	Current Progress Early Bar
	Progress Bar
	Critical Activity

NR100

CSCR/JV
ST89/02 - SHATIM HEIGHTS TUNNEL
AND APPROACH ROAD
3 MONTHS ROLLING PROGRAMME

Sheet 1 of 1

Project Name: NR100
 Layout: L1704: 3 Months Rolling Programme
 Filter: PL-05 Three Months Rolling Programme
 Run Date: 13/JUN/07 13:40
 Progress Update to: 15/JUN/07
 Page: Sheet 1 of 1

Prepared by: RSC Works Department				
Date	Author	Checked	Approved	
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			
13/JUN/07	Cheng Yee			

APPENDIX N
COMPLAINT LOG

Appendix N - Complaint Log

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
30422-1	Garden Villa, Tai Po Road	22 nd April 2003	The complaint (EPD complaint ref. N01/TN/00004192-03), which was transferred by EPD to ET on 22 nd April 2003, was raised by a resident living at Garden Villa on 22 nd April 2003 concerning construction activity during general holidays (18 th to 21 st April 2003) at Portion 2C, the concerned works area near Garden Villa at Tai Po Road.	Based on the monitoring results on 18 th April 2003, noise levels at the concerned area were below the limit level. The type and quantity PME's used during the concerned period were complied with the requirement stated in the relevant CNP (CNP no. GW-TN0504-2002). The ET will continue monitoring under the EM&A programme. In case there is any exceedance or complaint reported, procedures stipulated in the Event Action Plans and the complaint handling procedure of the EM&A Manual will be strictly followed.	Closed
30506-1	Garden Villa, Tai Po Road	6 th May 2003	The complaint (EPD complaint ref. N01/TN/00004856-03), which was transferred by EPD to ET on 6 th May 2003, was raised by a resident living at Garden Villa on 5 th May 2003 concerning construction noise during general holidays (1 st May to 4 th May 2003) at Portion 2C, the concerned works area near Garden Villa at Tai Po Road and construction waste accumulated on the footpath outside Garden Villa.	No construction work was carried out and A Construction Noise Permit (CNP no. GW-TN0504-2002) was granted by the Contractor on 18 th December 2002 for the use of powered mechanical equipments at the concerned area during restricted hours. The Contractor has cleared the moulds from the footpath and placed all of them inside the site boundary upon receiving the complaint on 3 rd May 2003. The ET will continue monitoring under the EM&A programme. In case there is any exceedance or complaint reported, procedures stipulated in the Event Action Plans and the complaint handling procedure of the EM&A Manual will be strictly followed.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
30714	Garden Villa, Tai Po Road	14 th July 2003	The complaint, which was transferred by ER to ET on 14 th July 2003, was raised by a resident living at Garden Villa concerning the dust pollution generated from the soil nail works at Temporary Access Road No. 1.	<p>The mitigation measures did not apply effective to prevent the dust generation at the concerned area during the soil nail.</p> <p>It was recommended that ER should continue monitoring the Contractor to implement the mitigation measures to avoid dust generation; the Contractor should continue implementing the mitigation measures to avoid dust generation, and minimize the disturbance generated by the construction activities at TAR1.</p>	Closed
30808	Sha Tin Heights	8 th August 2003	The complaint (EPD Complaint Ref. N01/TN/00011396-03), which was transferred by the EPD to the ET on 8 th August 2003, was about the massive tree cutting activities in the site near Sha Tin Heights.	<p>Based on the information stated in the Environmental Review Report, the tree cutting activities were considered necessary and the ecological impact of tree cutting was limited.</p> <p>According to the Contractor's Method Statement for tree felling and transplanting, which had been commented from ET and Engineer Representative (ER), the tree felling and transplanting had been under the supervision of ER and the tree being felled or transplanted were clearly labeled. Photographic records for the tree being affected were kept.</p> <p>Based on the information provided by the ER, the concerned area mainly included abandoned farm land and an existing stream covered with grass and shrubs. No individual tree identified to be retained had been felled.</p> <p>The complaint was considered to be invalid.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
30826	Garden Villa	26 th August 2003	An environmental complaint was received by the ER on 26 th August 2003. The complaint (ER's complaint ref. EC-05) was forwarded to the ET on same day. It was about the noise disturbance from the rock-breaking activities in South Portal. ET undertook the investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to ER on 29 th August 2003.	<p>According to the ET's investigation, the complaint was considered to be valid. However, there was no noise Limit Level exceedance in August 2003 at the concerned area. Additional noise measurement conducted on 26th August 2003 confirmed that the construction noise level at Garden Villa was below the noise limit.</p> <p>To minimize the noise disturbance from the rock breaking activities, mitigation measures were then provided by the Contractor.</p>	Closed
30901	Garden Villa	1 st September 2003	A public complaint was received by the EPD on 1 st September 2003. The complaint was forwarded by EPD to the ET on the same day. It was about the construction dust and Sunday noise generated from construction activities at Toll Plaza near Garden Villa. The complainant also expressed his/her concerns on the noise from breaking activities during weekdays' early morning around 7am. ET undertook the investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to EPD on 9 th September 2003.	<p>According to the ET's investigation report, the complaint was considered to be valid. However, the information provided by the Contractor stated that no Powered Mechanical Equipment was used on Sunday except that wire mesh installation works were carried out at the concerned area. In addition, the measured noise levels and dust levels were below the respective environmental limit in August 2003 at the concerned area. Further dust measurement was conducted on 9th September 2003 to confirm that the dust level at Garden Villa was below the limit.</p> <p>Mitigation measures were recommended to the Contractor. An additional regular continuous construction dust monitoring was also recommended and has been working since 9th September 2003.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
30905	Garden Villa	5 th September 2003	An environmental complaint via the Honourable Cheng Kar Foo and Leung Wing Hung and was received by TDD on 5 th September 2003. The complaint was forwarded by TDD to the ET on the same day. It was about the construction dust and noise generated from construction activities at the site near Garden Villa. The complainant also requested to implement barrier to mitigate the noise and dust problem. ET undertook the investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report (Appendix P) to TDD on 9 th September 2003.	According to the ET's investigation report, the complaint was considered to be valid. However, the measured noise levels and dust levels were below the respective environmental limits in August and September 2003. Mitigation measures were recommended to the Contractor. An additional regular continuous construction dust monitoring was also recommended and has been working since 9 th September 2003.	Closed
31003	Golden Time Villa	3 rd October 2003	An environmental complaint was raised by a resident of Golden Time Villa and was received by TDD on 3 rd October 2003. The complaint was forwarded by TDD to the ET on the same day. The complainant concerned about wildlife threat due to road works. He also expressed his concerns on whether the concerned department had any planning on how to settle the wildlife. ET undertook investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to TDD on 14 th October 2003	According to the ET's investigation, the animal wildlife recorded for the Project was limited and no species of conservation interest was found. Avifauna, reptile, amphibian and butterfly species in the area were all common in Hong Kong. The potential impacts on animal wildlife were expected to be low. Therefore, no specific mitigation measure to the animal wildlife was recommended.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
31229	Hin Keng Estate	29 th December 2003	An environmental complaint was raised by residents of Hin Keng Estate and was received by EPD (EPD complaint ref.: N01/TN/00022004-03) on 29 th December 2003. The complaint was forwarded to the ET on the same day. The complaint was about the construction noise at the entrance of Sha Tin Heights Tunnel in North Portion. ET has undertaken investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to TDD on 6 th January 2004.	According to ET's investigation report, a noise measurement at Hin Keng Estate was conducted on 3 rd January 2004 and the measured construction noise levels were well below the respective environmental criteria. The Contractor was recommended to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area; ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; turn off any idle equipment on site; provide mitigation measures to the rock-breaking activities; and continuously keep ET informed for the construction works to be carried out. 	Closed
31231a	Sha Tin Heights	31 st December 2003	An environmental complaint was received by EPD (EPD complaint ref. N01/TN/00019795-03) on 29 th November 2003, which was transferred to ET on 31 st December 2003. The complaint was about the construction dust from at Sha Tin Heights. ET has undertaken investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to TDD on 6 th January 2004.	According to Contractor's information, the Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to suppress the dust generation. These include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exhaust of dump trucks for internal use were slightly verified in order to avoid it directing to the ground, but horizontally; All bared slope was hydroseeded; and Frequency of watering for haul road was increased. 	Closed
31231b	Sha Tin Heights	31 st December 2003	An environmental complaint was received by EPD (EPD complaint ref. N01/TN/00019858-03) on 1 st December 2003, which was transferred to ET on 31 st December 2003. The complaint was about the construction dust at Sha Tin Heights. ET has undertaken investigation and submitted the complaint investigation report to TDD on 6 th January 2004.	According to Contractor's information, the Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to suppress the dust generation. These include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exhaust of dump trucks for internal use were slightly verified in order to avoid it directing to the ground, but horizontally; All bared slope was hydroseeded; and Frequency of watering for haul road was increased. 	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40323	Sha Tin Heights	23 rd March 2004	An environmental complaint was received by EPD on 20 th March 2004 (EPD Ref.: N01/TN/00005617-04) about the dust nuisance generated from the Project at Shatin Heights. The EPD referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 23 rd March 2004 for investigation and the ET has submitted the investigation report on 29 th March 2004.	<p>According to ET's investigation report, the Contractor has enhanced mitigation measures as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrange water spraying during the loading and unloading of dusty materials; • Increase the frequency for haul road watering; • Provide a brush machine to remove the dusty materials on the steep road; • Arrange workers to spray water at rock breaking area; and • Arrange workers at site entrance for wheel washing. <p>No non-compliance of dust level recorded and observed after implementation of mitigations.</p>	Closed
40506	Hin Keng Estate	6 th May 2004	On 3 rd May 2004, the TDD received a complaint (TDD Ref.: NTE-ST2/694TH/100) about the noise and dust nuisance due to tunnel blasting near Shatin Heights. The TDD referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on the following day for investigation and the ET has submitted the investigation report on 10 th May 2004.	<p>According to ET's investigation report, the Contractor has enhanced mitigation measures as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To cover the gap between the steel sheet panels of the blasting door to reduce dust nuisance; • To inform Hin Keng Estate of the time of blasting in advance; • To provide water spraying in the blasting door during blasting time; and • To provide acoustic absorption material at the inner surface of the blasting door. <p>No non-compliance of noise level recorded and observed after implementation of mitigations.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40517	Sha Tin Heights	17 th May 2004	On 14 May 2004, the EPD received a complaint (EPD Ref.: N01/TN/00009723-04) about the dust nuisance due to uncovered lorries near Shatin Heights. The EPD referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on 17 May 2004 for investigation and the ET has submitted the investigation report on 20 May 2004.	The complaint was a public complaint at Sha Tin Heights. The complainant mentioned that some construction lorries with loaded with earth were not covered and caused dust nuisance. According to ET's investigation, the Contractor has already provided all possible measures to prevent uncovered dump trucks leaving the site. It is believed that the captioned complaint is an exceptional incidence and the Contractor was recommended to strictly enforce their policy on dump trucks leaving the site.	Closed
40630	Hin Keng Estate	30 th June 2004	On 28 June 2004, the EPD received a complaint (EPD Ref.: N01/TN/00012734-04) about the noise and dust nuisance due to blasting near Shatin Heights. The EPD referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on 30 June 2004 for investigation and the complaint handling procedure is initiated.	<p>According to the information provided by the Contractor, blasting activities were taken place on 23, 26 and 29 June 2004.</p> <p>The Contractor has erected a blasting door for both the tunnel before the commencement of blasting works in order to enclose the dust and reduce the noise level. The blasting door is made of steel plate with fiberglass filled in between. In addition, a water pipe has been installed inside the tunnel, which can produce aerosol to form a water screen for dust suppression. During blasting, water screen will be operated throughout the period until dust is settled. Water will be sprayed outside the open ground of the tunnel. The blasting door is only allowed to re-open at least 15 minutes after blasting. Additional water spraying will be provided after opening the blasting door.</p> <p>After received the complaint, the Contractor has installed an additional water screen on 29 June 2004.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40713	Hin Keng Estate	13 th July 2004	On 6 July 2004, the CEDD received a complaint (CEDD Ref.: NTE-ST2/654TH/108) about the noise and dust nuisance due to tunnel blasting near Shatin Heights. The CEDD referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on 13 July 2004 for investigation.	<p>The Contractor has provided the following mitigations:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To cover the gap between the steel sheet panels of the blasting door to reduce dust nuisance; • To inform Hin Keng Estate of the time of blasting in advance; • To provide water spraying in the blasting door during blasting time; and • To provide acoustic absorption material at the inner surface of the blasting door. <p>Based on the information provided by the ER on 13 July 2004 and the site investigation conducted by ET on 15 July 2004, the Contractor has been strictly implementing the mitigations. The Management Office of Hin Keng Estate was always noticed 24 hours before every blasting.</p>	Closed
40723	Garden Villa	23 rd July 2004	On 21 July 2004, the ER received a complaint (ER Ref.: EC-017) about the noise nuisance due to trucks queuing up along Temporary Access Road 1 (TAR1). The ER referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on 23 July 2004 for investigation.	On 26 July 2004, the Contractor has relocated the truck queue from top of TAR1 to downhill in front of wheel washing bay, where is much far away from Garden Villa. The increased notional distance is about 200m. A noise measurement was conducted on the same day at 9:30am and the measured construction noise level was 69.6dB(A) which does not exceed the Limit Level. Early measurement at Garden Villa will be conducted in order to monitor the effectiveness of mitigations.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
41201	Construction site which near K. K. Terrace	1 st December 2004	Complaint regarding the noise nuisance was received on 1 December 2004 at 23:12 (EPD Letter ref: EP580/E6/3/15 with 'Notice of Complaint'). The complainant complained the construction noise emitted after 19:00 from the construction site which near K. K. Terrace.	<p>According to the RSS and the Contractor, one unit Rock Drill (hydraulic) was operated inside T1N tunnel and one unit Pneumatic Breaker was operated inside T2N tunnel during the time period of 19:00-23:00 on 1 December 2004. These two plants were operated in different tunnel and at staggered time. All the tunneling works should be conducted within a fully enclosure situation by closing the blasting door entirely. The Contractor did comply with the CNP conditions on the time of concern. In addition, no shotcreting works were conducted during the time period of 19:00-23:00 on 1 December 2004. As such, no concrete lorry mixer had traveled through Temporary Access Road No. 3 which is near K. K. Terrace during such period.</p> <p>There is insufficient evidence to establish the complaint based on the available information from the "Notice of Complaint", the RSS, the Contractor and monitoring records. However, it is recommended the Contractor should notify the nearby residents in advance with the working schedule of construction work during restricted hours and strictly comply with all noise mitigation measures.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
50308	Garden Villa	8 th March 2005	Complaint regarding the noise and dust nuisance was received on 8 th March 2005 at 23:12 (EPD Letter ref: EP580/E6/3/15 with 'Notice of Complaint'). The complaint was about the night time and Sunday Construction noise and dust from construction activities carrying out at the site near Garden Villa.	<p>Dust: According to the site inspection on 18 March 2005, fugitive dust emission was observed generated by traffic movement on the haul road before vehicles entering into the wheel washing facility. The Contractor was recommended to provide sufficient dust control on the TAR1 such as installing additional water sprinklers or increasing the water spraying frequency by water truck to reduce the dust emission.</p> <p>The Contractor should also cover the trucks with canvas sheet once the C&D waste was laden before passing adjacent to Garden Villa.</p> <p>The Contractor should strictly implement the penalty system and further review and tighten up the system if no obvious improvement is made.</p> <p>Noise: Based on the available information, no sufficient evidence could establish the noise complaint from the "Notice of Complaint", the Contractor and monitoring records.</p> <p>The Contractor was recommended to notify the nearby residents in advance of the working schedule of construction work during the restricted hours and strictly comply with all necessary noise mitigation measures.</p>	Closed
50330	Garden Villa	30 th March 2005	Complaint regarding the noise nuisance was received on 30 th March 2005 at 16:00 (EPD Letter ref: EP580/E6/3/15 with 'Notice of Complaint'). The complaint was about the noise generated by heavy vehicles traveling in and out of the construction site near Garden Villa. According to the complaint, the noise was made from 7am onwards.	According to the information provided by the Resident Site Staff, trucks from R8-SHT contract are not allowed to exit via TAR1 before 9am. The noise identified by the complainant is not related to R8-SHT contract. The complaint lodged against R8-SHT is therefore considered not justifiable.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
50425	Shatin Heights	25 th April 2005	Complaint regarding the dust nuisance was received on 18 th April 2005 (EPD Letter ref: EP580/E6/3/15 with 'Notice of Complaint'). The complaint was subsequently referred to the ET Leader on 25 th April 2005. It was related to the construction dust and sulphur-like odour generated from the tunnel blasting works near Shatin Heights.	<p>The records of the RSS and the Contractor showed that blasting works have been conducted on the date of complaint (18 April 2005).</p> <p>According to the Contractor's investigation, a reversion of tunnel air flow was observed due to seasonal change, such that air kept flowing from the direction of Garden Villa towards Shatin Heights. Since there was no water curtains installed Shatin Heights' direction, white fume and dust particle were observed after blasting works.</p> <p>Upon receipt of the complaint, all blasting works were stopped until water curtain for tunnel tubes in the Shatin Heights' direction. The water curtain installation work was completed on 23rd April 2005. The Contractor also agreed to implemented the following mitigation measures for future tunnel blasting works:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the area within 30m from the blasting area will be wetted with water prior to blasting; 2. sufficient time will be allowed for dust to settle before opening the blasting protection doors; and 3. water curtain will be operated. <p>Based on the site observed, the RSS considered that the implemented measures by the Contractor were effective.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
50509	The Police	9 th May 2005	Complaint regarding the noise nuisance was received on 9 th May 2005. The complaint was subsequently referred to the Environmental Team and the Contractor on that day. It was related to the excessive noise generated by the night work.	<p>The records of the ER and the Contractor showed that bridge launching operation was being carried out over the East and Ma On Shan (MOS) Rail near Tai Wai Depot during the time of concern.</p> <p>CNP no. GW-RN0140-05 was issued to the Contractor in accordance with the Noise Control Ordinance. According to the Contractor's information, the PME groups D to K of the CNP were operated intermittently during that night. In addition, it was complied with Condition 3di of CNP. Also, there is no action or limit level exceedance was recorded based on the record from ET.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to ensure the compliance of CNP conditions for carrying out construction work during restricted hours. The following measures are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trainings shall be provided to the site supervisors, frontline staff and relevant subcontractors as regards the conditions stipulated in the CNPs obtained as well as the relevant requirements stipulated in the Noise Control Ordinance. • The Contractor shall establish and implement a checking system for carrying out construction works during restricted hours. The conditions stipulated in the CNP shall be checked by a designated staff on site. The effectiveness of the system shall be reviewed regularly. 	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
50509	The Police	9 th May 2005		<p>The Contractor was also reminded to continuously implement their practice as regards the advance notification to the nearby residents of the night time works. In addition, the Contractor should adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise impact, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; • To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area; • To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; and • To turn off any idle equipment on site. 	Closed
50513	Golden Villa	13 th May 2005	Complaint regarding the noise nuisance at the representative of residents of Golden Villa was received on 13 th May 2005 from EPD. The complaint was subsequently referred to the Environmental Team Leader. It was about the noise generated from the engineering works from the night time to day time.	<p>The site of concern was likely to be the Sha Tin Height Tunnel. According to the Contractor's information, tunnel excavation works including the rock drill and charging of explosive were undertaken after 2300 hours in the tunnels. It was believed that the nuisance was caused by the vibration due to drilling works. The nuisance was more significant as the excavation face at south bound tunnel came closer towards Keng Hau Road.</p> <p>Upon receipt of the complaint, the Contractor had already stopped all drilling works after 23:00 hours inside the sound bound tunnel. In addition, the Contractor also noticed to the residents of Golden Villa for explaining the cause of nuisance and the actions they had taken to rectify the problems.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
51026	Exit of TAR1 next to Tai Po Road	26 th October 2005 (by CEDD)	Complaint was received by CEDD on 26 th October 2005 and it was subsequently referred to the Environmental Team Leader. It was about water in the wheel washing bay was brought onto the ensuing concrete pavement by lorries passing through it and the water fall onto Tai Po Road.	<p>After the site investigation by the RSS, it was confirmed that the source of the muddy water was this newly constructed wheel washing bay. Water in the wheel washing bay was brought onto the ensuing concrete pavement by lorries passing through it and the water fall onto Tai Po Road.</p> <p>The complaint was considered valid and corrective and preventive actions were taken by the Contractor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. all vehicles exiting from TAR1 were stopped using the wheel washing bay to prevent any further overflowing of muddy water from the bay. 2. a water browser was immediately deployed by the Contractor to clear the muddy water and the debris deposited on the concerned section of Tai Po Road. 3. A concrete bund was constructed along the lower side of the wheel washing bay to reduce the amount of water overflowing. 4. a small ditch was formed across the lower side of the vehicular exit in order to collect the overflowed water and prevent it from falling onto public road. <p>The Contractor was reminded to closely monitor the situation and review the effectiveness of the mitigation measures.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
51118	Near Carado Garden and KCRC depot	18 th November 2005 (by CEDD) A complaint of same nature was forwarded by EPD on 29 th Nov 05.	Complaint regards the nighttime construction noise due to construction works near Carado Garden and KCRC depot on 17 th November 2005. It was received on 18 th November 2005 by CEDD and EPD. On 21 st and 29 th November 2005, the complaint was referred to the ET Leader by CEDD and EPD.	<p>As advised by RSS, at the concern (17th November 2005), stressing work was carried out by the Contractor on the bridge N1, Span 1. Noise was generated during the lorry passed the movement joints of the bridge deck where steel plates were installed temporarily to provide access.</p> <p>According to the RSS, a valid CNP no. GW-RN0436-05 has been checked. All the PME and the type of lorry involved in the works complied with the CNP requirements.</p> <p>The complaint was considered valid and preventive actions were taken by the Contractor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. re-spected the steel plates installed at the movement joints of the bridge deck and ensured that they are securely fixed. Such as , install steel bars to fix the steel plates. 2. rubber pads will be provided at the movement joints to minimize noise generation due to vibration of the steel plates. 3. close supervision to ensure care handling of construction materials will be provided on site. <p>As advised by the RSS, the bridge launching work has been completed and no similar type of work will be carried out during the nighttime in future.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
60207	Che Kung Miu Road near Tin Sam Village	7 th February 2006	The complaint was concerned the construction dust and noise generated from a construction site near Tin San Village during daytime between 0700 hours and 1900 hours. It was received on 7 th February 2006 by EPD.	<p>According to the RSS, the site of concern was the Proposed Retaining Wall No.5 (located at Che Kung Miu Road near Tin Sam Village). During the period of concern, construction of pre-bored H-piles was undertaken and it's mainly activity involved a drilling machine, a crane lorry and air compressors.</p> <p>The ET had arranged ad-hoc noise measurements on 8th, 9th, 14th and 16th Feb 06 at Tin Sam Village. The results of measurements showed no exceedance of the daytime noise criterion, i.e. 75dB(A) recorded.</p> <p>The complaint was considered valid and rectification actions were taken by the Contractor, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) All flaps of the air compressors would be closed all the time; b) Idled machines would be switched to minimize generation of unnecessary noise; c) Two air compressors were relocated to farther area on 8 Feb 06; d) Temporary noise barriers were erected on 11 Feb 06; e) Self monitoring of noise levels during the pilling operation; f) Additional dust screens were installed along the public road on 8 Feb 06; g) Public notices were distributed to the residents and the business establishment at Tin Sam Village on 8 Feb 06. <p>During ET's ad-hoc inspections, the abovementioned mitigation measures were found in place and the public footpath beside the site areas was found clean and free dusty materials.</p> <p>As advised by the Contractor, a total of 10 piles are required to be constructed for the Proposed Retaining Wall No.5, thus this pilling activity would be completed by April 2006. The situation would be continuously reviewed by the Contractor, RSS and the ET.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
60501	North and south bound carriageway of Che Kung Miu Road	3 rd May 2006	The complaint was referred by ER on 3 rd May 2006, which was about the noise nuisance arising from the temporary steel plates installed at both north and south bound carriageway of Che Kung Miu Road. The noise at night was heard when heavy vehicles ran over the temporary steel plates.	<p>According to ER's record, the major construction activity at the concerned area was the underground drainage work at CKM Road where trenches were excavated at the carriageways. The steel plates were acted as temporarily deck over trenches for vehicles passage after works.</p> <p>When heavy vehicles passed over the decks, the noise was generated due to clashing of the steel plates. It was the source of noise nuisance.</p> <p>The complaint was considered valid and corrective and preventive actions were taken by the Contractor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conducted inspection to the temporary steel plates; and 2. Steel plates were welded together and fixed in position. <p>In addition, the Contractor had informed the complaint that mitigation measures were taken. No further complaint on the same issue had been received again.</p> <p>During ET's ad-hoc inspections, the abovementioned mitigation measures were found in place.</p> <p>As advised by the RSS, the drainage works would be completed at the concerned area by the end of August 2006.</p> <p>Thus, the Contractor was reminded to continuously implement their practice to prevent noise nuisance generation due to the construction works.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
60626	near Tin Sum Village, Che Kung Miu Road	26 th June 2006	The Complaint was received by EPD on 19 th June 2006 and referred to ET Team on 26 th June 2006, which was about general construction noise and flytipping/dumping of construction wastes caused by construction work near Tin Sum Village, at Che Kung Miu Road.	<p>According to the ER's record, the major construction activities included lying of drain pipe, removal and erection of framework. However, only hand held tools were used when formwork were erected to wall of RW5 Bay 12& 14.</p> <p>As advised by the RSS, the waste skip was provided to stock some timbers at the concerned area. i.e. beside the KCRC boundary wall.</p> <p>Besides, on load of construction waste was disposed on 19th June 2006.</p> <p>Site inspection on the Contractor's mitigation measure was carried out by ET on 28th and 29th June 2006.</p> <p>Base on the information collected, the complaint was considered not justifiable.</p> <p>However, the Contractor was reminded to continuously provide good site practice to minimize construction noise/waste impact.</p>	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
60828	Sha Tin Heights Southern Tunnel near Tai Po Road	28 th August 2006	The public complaint was received on 28 August 2006 by EPD which was about construction dust generated from the construction site at Sha Tin Heights Southern Tunnel near Tai Po Road - Sha Tin Heights, Sha Tin.	<p>According to the RSS information, the Southbound Tunnel was not for traffic and water spray onto road surface was implemented at least once a day.</p> <p>According to the Contractor's information, the Northbound Tunnel was currently used as a vehicle access to the Toll Plaza near Garden Villa. This tunnel was maintained wet all the time during the working hours.</p> <p>A site inspection was conducted on 28 August 2006 and 7 September 2006 by ET. During the site inspection, the adequate water spraying onto road surface was found in the concerned area of the Southbound Tunnel.</p> <p>Based on the above information, the complaint was considered to be invalid.</p> <p>However, the Contractor was reminded to continuously provide good site practice to minimize construction air impact.</p>	Closed