Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan & Sha Tin

Contract No. HY/2003/10 - Environmental Team for Lai Chi Kok Viaduct and Eagle's Nest Tunnel

Quarterly EM&A Report
Part I – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (Version 1.0)

December 2007 to February 2008

Approved By

(Environmental Team(Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388

Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
EX	XECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
	Environmental Monitoring Works	
	Environmental Licensing and Permitting	
	KEY INFORMATION IN THE REPORTING QUARTER	
1.	INTRODUCTION	5
2.	PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS	7
	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONTACTS OF KEY MANAGEMENT	
	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND SYNOPSIS OF WORK	7
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS	8
	MONITORING PARAMETERS AND MONITORING LOCATIONS	
	MONITORING METHODOLOGY AND CALIBRATION DETAILS	
	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS (ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS)	
4.	MONITORING RESULTS	
	WEATHER CONDITIONS	
	AIR QUALITY	
5.		
	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	
	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSING AND PERMITTING	
6.	NON-COMPLIANCE (EXCEEDANCES) OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUA	
υ.	PERFORMANCE LIMITS (ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS)	
	SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES	14
	REVIEW OF THE REASONS FOR AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF NON-COMPLIANCE	14
7.	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS	15
8.	NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS	16
9.	COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	17

LIST OF TABLE

Table I	Summary Table for Exceedances Recorded in the Reporting Quarter
Table II	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Quarter
Table 5.1	Observations and Recommendations of the Site Audits for Civil Works
Table 5.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits for TCSS

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Locations of Monitoring Stations
Figure 2	Project Organization Chart

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Contact Details of the Project Organisation
Appendix B	Construction Programme
Appendix C	Monitoring Requirements
Appendix D	Environmental Quality Performance (Action/Limit) Levels
Appendix E	Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix F	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results
Appendix G	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix H	Summary of Environmental Licences and Permits
Appendix I	Complaint Log
Appendix J	Summary of Exceedances

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the 17th Quarterly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for the "Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan & Sha Tin, Lai Chi Kok Viaduct & Eagle's Nest Tunnel". This summary report documents the findings of EM&A works performed in the period between December 2007 and February 2008 for Contract No. HY/2003/01, Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (the Project).

The major site activities for civil works undertaken in the reporting quarter included:

- Retaining wall construction at CCR-R2 & CCR-R3 and LCK-R2 to LCK-R3.
- Slope upgrading works for Feature No. 11NW-A/FR 54 & A/FR55.
- Drainage works at Lai Po Road, Castle Peak Road and Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass
- Installation of top rail of parapet at main viaduct, slip roads C&D and Ching Cheung Road.
- Erection of noise barrier and signage at slip roads A, B C, D and Main Viaduct.
- Installation of E&M works at Wai Man Tsuen pump house, Irrigation Pump House near pier C14 and Lai Po Road pump house
- RSSM and slope drains for slope CCR-S4.
- RSSM for slope CCR-S1 and Batter 8.
- Roadworks at main viaduct, slip roads C & D, Lai Po Road, Butterfly Valley Interchange, Butterfly Valley Road and Ching Cheung Road.
- Feature walls construction for landscape hardworks at Lai Po Road.

The major site activities for Traffic Control and Surveillance System (TCSS) works undertaken in the reporting quarter included:

- Site Acceptance Tests (SAT) for TCSS equipment; and
- Minor rectification works to field equipment.

Environmental Monitoring Works

Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed regularly as stipulated in the EM&A Manuals and the results were checked and reviewed. Environmental site audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.

Summary of the events and action taken in the reporting quarter is tabulated in **Table I**.

1

Table I Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Quarter

	No. of Ex	ceedance	No. of Events				
Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level	due to the Project	Action Taken			
December 20	007						
1-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A			
24-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A			
Noise	0	0	0	N/A			
January 2008	3						
1-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A			
24-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A			
Noise	1	0	0 (Invalid complaint)	Complaint Investigation (a)			
February 200	8						
1-hr TSP	1-hr TSP 0		0	N/A			
24-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A			
Noise	1	0	0 (Invalid complaint)	Complaint Investigation (b)			

Remark:

Environmental Licensing and Permitting

Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, Construction Noise Permits (CNP) and Water Discharge Licenses (WDL). The Contractor had also registered as a Chemical Waste Producer.

Key Information in the Reporting Quarter

Summary of key information in this reporting quarter is tabulated in **Table II**.

⁽a) One Action Level exceedance for noise monitoring was recorded due to one noise nuisance complaint received on 21st January 2008.

⁽b)One Action Level exceedance for noise monitoring was recorded due to one noise nuisance complaint received on 29th February 2008.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Quarter

T (Even	t Details	A 4 . TO 1	Gr. 1		
Event	Number	Nature	Action Taken	Status	Remark	
		Air Quality	Complaint Investigation	Investigation Report was submitted on 9th January 2008		
Complaint received	3	Noise & Air quality	Complaint Investigation	Investigation Report was submitted on 25 th January 2008		
		Noise Complaint Investigation Report was submitted of 3rd March		Investigation Report was submitted on 3 rd March 2008		
Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded	0		N/A	N/A		
		Monthly EM&A Report (November 07)	Submitted to EPD on 12 th December 2007 (EP condition 4.6).	No comment		
Status of submissions under EP	3	Monthly EM&A Report (December 07)	Submitted to EPD on 14 th January 2008 (EP condition 4.6).	No comment		
		Monthly EM&A Report (January 08)	Submitted to EPD on 14 th February 2008 (EP condition 4.6).	No comment		
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0		N/A	N/A		

Future Key Issues:

Major site activities for civil works in the coming month include:

- Landscaping works at retaining wall at LCK-R1,R2 & R3;
- Slope upgrading works for Feature No. 11NW-A/FR 54 & A/FR55;
- Drainage works and landscaping works at Lai Po Road and Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass);
- Planting at Slope CCR-S1, S2, Butterfly Valley Interchange;
- Installation of E&M works in Irrigation Pump House;
- Hydromulching to Slope CCR-S4 and slope CCR-S1, Batter 8;
- Road works at Lai Po Road, Butterfly Valley Interchange, Butterfly Valley Road; and
- Feature walls construction for landscape hardworks at Lai Po Road.

Major site activities for TCSS works in the coming month include:

• Site Acceptance Tests (SAT) for TCSS equipment.

The anticipated environmental issues will be mainly on dust impact from road works, retaining wall construction, slope upgrading works and noise nuisance from drainage works at Lai Po Road & Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass).

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Route 9 (Kowloon Section) (R9K) (hereinafter call the R9K-Project) forms part of the Route 9 between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin (R9-CSWST) project, which will be a new expressway connecting West Kowloon and Sha Tin. It will be the fourth external link between Sha Tin and Kowloon and will form an important link between the northeast New Territories and the west Kowloon, Lantau Island and the western New Territories. R9K is being managed and implemented by the Highways Department (HyD).
- 1.2 The engineering design of R9K is covered under Agreement No. CE 50/98 "Route 9 between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin Design Construction Assignment". The main consultant engaged under Agreement No. CE 50/98 is Maunsell Hyder Joint Venture (MHJV), who will act as the Engineer for the construction contracts. The works of R9K mainly comprise a 1.4km dual 3-lane Lai Chi Kok Viaduct from Lai Wan Interchange to Butterfly Valley; 0.5 km of dual 3-lane at-grade carriageway linking to the 2.1 km dual 3-lane twin-bore Eagle's Nest Tunnel with associated portal buildings; a toll plaza with an administration building located with the Sha Tin valley woodland; a ventilation building and an audit; associated noise barriers, noise enclosures, drainage, slope and landscape works; and electrical and mechanical works for the whole R9-CSWST. The remainder of the R9-CSWST forms the Sha Tin Section (R9S) of the project and is being managed and implemented separately by the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
- 1.3 The R9-CSWST project is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 449) (EIAO). An environmental impact assessment (EIA) report has been prepared in 1998 for the R9-CSWST project (1998 R9 EIA) to consider the key issues of noise, air quality, water quality, ecological, construction waste, landscape and visual, land use and cultural impacts, and identify possible mitigation measures.
- 1.4 An Updated Final EIA report was subsequently completed in August 1999 for the R9-CSWST project (1999 R9 EIA), to cater for some changes in R9K portion as mentioned in paragraph 1 in the report. The 1999 R9 EIA was endorsed by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) in November 1999. The 1998 R9 EIA and the 1999 R9 EIA (R9 EIA Reports) were included in the EIA register under the EIAO as report no. EIA-135/BC and AEIAR-022/1999 respectively. An Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manuals for each of the R9 EIA Reports (EM&A Manuals) were also included as part of the EIA reports in the register.
- 1.5 Subsequent to the endorsement of the R9 EIA Reports by EPD in November 1999, the project programme was deferred to start in 2002/2003 for completion by 2006/07. The implementation of the project was then separated into the R9S and R9K portion. An Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-103/2001 was issued on 17 September 2001 for R9K to the HyD as Permit Holder. A varied EP-103/2001/D was recently issued on 19 March 2008.

- 1.6 The major construction activities of two civil contracts of the R9K project, Contract No. HY/2003/01 entitled "Route 9 Lai Chi Kok Viaduct" and Contract No. HY/2003/02 entitled "Route 9 Eagle's Nest Tunnel and Associated Works", were commenced in 15th December 2003 for completion in April 2007.
- 1.7 "Route 9" was recently re-titled as "Route 8 (previously known as Route 9)". Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was commissioned by HyD to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit works for "Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin Environmental Team (ET) for Lai Chi Kok Viaduct and Eagle's Nest Tunnel (Contract No. HY/2003/10)". Dr. Priscilla CHOY of Cinotech was appointed as the ET Leader under Condition 2.2 of the EP. Mr. Damien KU of CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd. was appointed as the IEC under Condition 2.1 of the EP. This is the 17th quarterly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the LCKV Project between December 2007 and February 2008.

2. PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

Project Organization and Contacts of Key Management

An organization structure and the line of communication were set up for the Project between the Project Proponent, Engineer's Representative (ER), Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), the Contractor and Environmental Team (ET). The organization chart and contact details are shown in **Figure 2** and **Appendix A**.

Construction Programme and Synopsis of Work

- 2.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix B**.
- 2.3 The site activities for Civil works during the reporting quarter included:
 - Retaining wall construction at CCR-R2 & CCR-R3 and LCK-R2 to LCK-R3.
 - Slope upgrading works for Feature No. 11NW-A/FR 54 & A/FR55.
 - Drainage works at Lai Po Road, Castle Peak Road and Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass
 - Installation of top rail of parapet at main viaduct, slip roads C&D and Ching Cheung Road.
 - Erection of noise barrier and signage at slip roads A, B C, D and Main Viaduct.
 - Installation of E&M works at Wai Man Tsuen pump house, Irrigation Pump House near pier C14 and Lai Po Road pump house
 - RSSM and slope drains for slope CCR-S4.
 - RSSM for slope CCR-S1 and Batter 8.
 - Roadworks at main viaduct, slip roads C & D, Lai Po Road, Butterfly Valley Interchange, Butterfly Valley Road and Ching Cheung Road.
 - Feature walls construction for landscape hardworks at Lai Po Road.
- 2.4 The site activities for TCSS works during the reporting quarter included:
 - Site Acceptance Tests (SAT) for TCSS equipment; and
 - Minor rectification works to field equipment.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

Monitoring Parameters and Monitoring Locations

3.1 The EM&A Manuals designate locations for the ET to monitor environmental impacts in terms of noise and air quality due to the Project. The monitoring locations are depicted in **Figure 1**. **Appendix C** gives details of monitoring requirements.

Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details

3.2 Monitoring works/equipment was conducted/ calibrated regularly in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in the appendices of the Monthly EM&A Reports.

Environmental Quality Performance Limits (Action and Limit Levels)

3.3 The environmental quality performance limits, i.e. Action and Limit Levels were derived from the baseline monitoring results. Should the measured environmental quality parameters exceed the Action/Limit Levels, the respective Event Action Plans would be implemented. The Action/Limit Levels for each environmental parameter are provided in **Appendix D**.

Environmental Mitigation Measures

3.4 Relevant mitigation measures as recommended in the project EIA report have been stipulated in the EM&A Manual for the Contractor to implement. A list of mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix G**.

4. MONITORING RESULTS

Weather Conditions

4.1 The weather during monitoring sessions was mainly fine and sunny. The weather conditions for each individual monitoring session were presented in the field record sheets.

Air Quality

1-hr TSP Monitoring

4.2 All 1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 4.3 All 24-hr TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter.
- 4.4 The monitoring data of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Levels are attached in the appendices of the Monthly Reports for December 2007 to February 2008. The graphical presentations of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix E**.

Construction Noise

- 4.5 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting quarter.
- 4.6 No Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter while 2 Action Level exceedances were recorded in the reporting quarter due to noise nuisance complaints received on 21st January 2008 and 29th February 2008.
- 4.7 Stations NM8a and NM8b were installed at Nob Hill in May 2004. Station NM8b is located at 3/F of the car park of Nob Hill, which is strongly influenced by traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road. The measurement at this station is for reference purpose, but not for compliance check of construction noise. The measured noise level at Station NM8a, which is located at M/F of car park and closer to the construction site, acts as an indicator of the construction noise. Since the domestic premises are located above 5/F, noise assessment would be performed to assess the level of nuisance resulting from the construction noise at the domestic premises whenever the measured noise level at NM8a exceeds the noise limit level.

- 4.8 A new housing estate, Hoi Lai Estate, became one of the noise sensitive receivers close to the Project site. As recommended by the Regional (West) Office of EPD, noise monitoring at this location (Station NM9) was newly included in the EM&A programme. Approval for the change of EM&A programme was granted by EPD on 30th December 2004.
- 4.9 The noise monitoring at Lai Chi Kok Correctional Institution (NM2), which was formerly known as Lai Chi Kok Reception Centre, has been resumed since 8th September 2006 after the completion of the renovation works.
- 4.10 All the Construction Noise Levels (CNLs) reported in this report, except those collected at Stations NM8a, NM8b and NM9, were adjusted with the corresponding baseline level (i.e. Measured Leq Baseline Leq = Measured CNL), in order to facilitate the interpretation of the noise exceedance.
- 4.11 The monitoring data of construction noise are attached in the appendices of the Monthly Reports for December 2007 to February 2008. The graphical presentations of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix F**.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

5.1 According to the Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manuals, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are required to be implemented. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Status (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix G**.

Site Audit Summary

- 5.2 ET's weekly site audits for Civil works were conducted on 5th, 12th, 19th and 28th December 2007, 2nd, 9th, 16th, 23rd and 30th January 2008, and 4th, 13th, 20th and 27th February 2008
- 5.3 The joint site audits for Civil works and TCSS works were conducted on 5th December 2007, 2nd January 2008 and 13th February 2008 with representatives from IEC, ER, the Contractor and ET.
- During site inspections in the reporting period, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations are summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1 Observations and Recommendations of the Site Audits for Civil Works

Parameter	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
S			
Water Quality	28-Dec-07	Observation Standing water was found at Lai Po Road. The Contractor was advised to provide mitigated measures to prevent mosquito breed.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 2 Jan 08.
	2-Jan-08	Reminder Follow up with the last site inspection, although the Contractor has put the granular larvicides, it is recommended to dry the water out from the hole to prevent mosquito breed.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 16 Jan 08.
	9-Jan-08	Reminder Follow up with the last site inspection, standing water was still observed at Lai Po Road. The Contractor was reminded to dry the water out to prevent mosquito breed.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 16 Jan 08.
	23-Jan-08	Observation Further rock dowell drilling will undergoes at Nob Hill. The Contractor was advised to install geotextile into the manholes to prevent any surface runoff running into the manholes nearby.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 30 Jan 08.

Parameter s	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
	30-Jan-08	Observation The discharge from rock dowel was observed overflow into the geo-textile installed in the manhole at Nob Hill. The Contractor was advised to pretreated the discharge properly (e.g. further desilt it with the sandbags/ an additional geo-textile filter) before discharging into the manhole.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 4 Feb 08.
	27-Feb-08	Observation Ponding water was still observed at the blocked U-channel at R2. The Contractor was advised to dry it out.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 5 Mar 08.
	20-Feb-08	Observation General refuse was observed blocking the U-channel at R2. The Contractor was advised to clear it.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 5 Mar 08.
	19-Dec-07	Reminder Dusty access road was observed at Mui Kong Tsuen. The Contractor was reminded to provide mitigation measure to suppress the dust generation.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 28 Dec 07.
	28-Dec-07	Reminder Stockpile was not covered well at Lai Po Road. The Contractor was reminded to cover it properly to suppress the dust generation.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 2 Jan 08.
Air Quality	2-Jan-08	Reminder Follow up with last site inspection, the stockpile was in used by the Contractor at Lai Po Raod. The Contractor was reminded to cover it properly to suppress the dust generation when it is not in used.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 16 Jan 08
	9-Jan-08	Reminder Follow up with last site inspection, the stockpile was partially covered at Lai Po Road. The Contractor was reminded to cover it well.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 16 Jan 08.
Waste/ Chemical Management	2-Jan-08	Observation Oil leakage was observed on the ground at Nob Hill. The Contractor was advised to clear the oil.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 9 Jan 08
	16-Jan-08	Observation Contaminated soil was observed at Nob Hill next to the drip tray under the bridge. The Contractor was advised to clean the soil up and remind the operator to add the oil carefully.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 23 Jan 08
	4-Feb-08	Observation Oil leakage from an air compressor was observed at Nob Hill. The Contractor was advised to treat the contaminated surface.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 13 Feb 08.

Parameter	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
S			
	4-Feb-08	Observation General refuse was found at Mui Kong Tsuen. The Contractor was advised to clean them out	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 13 Feb 08.
	20-Feb-08	Observation General refuse was observed blocking the U-channel at R2. The Contractor was advised to clear it.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 5 Mar 08.
	27-Feb-08	Observation General refuse was observed accumulated at R2. The Contractor was reminded to clear it regularly.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 5 Mar 08.
Others	2-Jan-08	Reminder Follow up on the last site inspection, although the Contractor has put the granular larvicides, it is recommended to dry the water out from the hole to prevent mosquito breed.	The situation was found improved / rectified during the audit on 9 Jan 08

Table 5.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits for TCSS

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
-	-	-	-

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

5.5 Environmental licenses and permits including the Environmental Permit for the Project were in place and valid during the reporting quarter. The status of these licenses and permits obtained for the Project is summarized in **Appendix H**.

6. NON-COMPLIANCE (EXCEEDANCES) OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS (ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS)

Summary of Exceedances

Air Quality

6.1 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter.

Construction Noise

6.2 No Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter while 2 Action Level exceedance were recorded in the reporting quarter due to noise nuisance complaints received on 21st January 2008 and 29th February 2008

Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance

6.3 There was no non-compliance from the site audits in the reporting quarter. As mentioned previously in the Section 5.2 of this report, the observations and recommendations made in each individual site audit session were presented.

7 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS

7.1 Three environmental complaints were received in the reporting quarter.

Log no. 80103 (Received on 3rd January 08)

7.2 The complaint was referred by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 3 January 2008. It was a public complaint via the EPD about the construction dust of slope works near the junction of King Lai Path and Lai Wan Road on 3rd January 2008. The complaint was considered unjustifiable and the complaint investigation report was submitted to HyD on 9th January 2008.

Log no. 80121 (Received on 21st January 08)

7.3 The complaint was referred by RSS on 21st January 2008. It was a verbal complaint from a Legislative Council member, Mr. Cheung about the construction noise and dust generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Nob Hill on 21st January 2008. The complaint was considered invalid and not due to the construction activities of the project. The complaint investigation report was submitted to HyD on 25th January 2008.

Log no. 80229 (Received on 29th February 08)

- 7.4 The complaint was referred by RSS on 29th February 2008. It was a public complaint via telephone to RSS about the noise nuisance generated from Route 8 Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Nob Hill on 29th February 2008. The complaint was considered invalid and the complaint investigation report was submitted to HyD on 3rd March 2008.
- 7.5 There were 44 complaints received since the Project commencement. All complaints have been handled in accordance with the EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the complaint handling procedure is summarized in **Appendix I**.

8. NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

- 8.1 Further to incident of mosquito larvae being identified in a plant pot drip tray on 21 July 2005 during FEHD's site inspection, the Contractor was prosecuted under the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap.132). After the hearing on 6th December 2005, the Contractor was fined \$5,000 by the Kowloon City magistrate's court.
- 8.2 There was 1 successful prosecution received since the Project commencement.

9 COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.1 Major site activities for the coming month include:
 - Landscaping works at retaining wall at LCK-R1,R2 & R3;
 - Slope upgrading works for Feature No. 11NW-A/FR 54 & A/FR55;
 - Drainage works and landscaping works at Lai Po Road and Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass);
 - Planting at Slope CCR-S1, S2, Butterfly Valley Interchange;
 - Installation of E&M works in Irrigation Pump House;
 - Hydromulching to Slope CCR-S4 and slope CCR-S1, Batter 8;
 - Road works at Lai Po Road, Butterfly Valley Interchange, Butterfly Valley Road; and
 - Feature walls construction for landscape hardworks at Lai Po Road.
- 9.2 Major site activities for TCSS works in the coming month include:
 - Site Acceptance Tests (SAT) for TCSS equipment.
- 9.3 The anticipated environmental issues will be mainly on dust impact from road works, retaining wall construction, slope upgrading works and noise nuisance from drainage works at Lai Po Road & Nob Hill (underneath LW Overpass).
- 9.4 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting quarter and the anticipated environmental issues, the following recommendations were made:

Water Impact

- To ensure properly maintenance for de-silting facilities
- To review and implement temporary drainage system for the upcoming wet season.
- To review the capacity of de-silting facilities for discharge.
- To avoid stagnant water accumulation on site.

Noise Impact

- To provide temporary noise barriers for noisy activities.
- To review the works sequence of site activities so as to reduce the number of noisy equipment in concurrent operation.
- To employ quiet powered mechanical equipment if possible.
- To ensure compliance of CNP conditions during restricted-hour works.


Dust Impact


- To ensure water spraying is applied for the dust emissive works.
- To cover soil stockpiles and exposed slope surface by impervious sheets or other means.
- To ensure that all vehicles carrying dusty material are properly covered before leaving the site.

Waste / Chemical Management

- To ensure the performance of sorting of C&D materials at source (during generation);
- To carry out inspection of dump truck at site exit to ensure inert and non-inert C&D materials are properly segregated before removing off site.
- To ensure proper collection and disposal of rubbish generated on site.
- To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste directly from the site.

FIGURES






APPENDIX A CONTACT DETAILS OF THE PROJECT ORGANISATION


Appendix A - Contact Details of the Project Organisation (LCKV)


Party	Role	Name	Position	Phone No.	Fax No.	
		Mr. Kroc Leung	SE2/R8K	2762 3662		
HyD	Permit Holder	Mr. Esther Yung	E1/R8K	2762 3677	2714 5198	
		Mr. LC Chung	E2/R8K	2762 3613		
	Engineer	Mr. Conrad Ng	Project Manager	2605 6262	2691 2649	
MHJV	Engineer's	Mr. Peter Poon	CRE	2959 0010		
IVITIJ V	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Alan Chan	IOW	9860 8791	2959 0290	
	Representative	Mr. Alex Tam	RE	9856 0199		
		Dr. Priscilla Choy	ET Leader	2151 2089		
Cinotech	Environmental	Mr. Robert Tsang	Audit Team Leader & Project Coordinator	2151 2092	3107 1388	
	Team	Mr. Henry Leung	Monitoring Team Leader	2151 2087		
CH2M	Independent	Mr. Damien Ku	Independent Environmental Checker	2872 2921	2507 2202	
CH2M	Environmental Checker	Mr. Simon Lam	Assistant Independent Environmental Checker	2872 2946	2507 2293	
A	Cantuantan	Mr. William D. Payne	Project Director	2057 2200	2056 2221	
Acciona	Contractor	Mr. Lawrence Kwok	QA/E Manager	2956 3300	2956 3331	
	Engineer's	Mr. Donald Leung	RE	2436 7489		
ARUP	Representative (TCSS)	Mr. Daniel So	ARE	2436 7435	2436 1803	
DIGJV	Contractor (TCSS) Ms. Joyce Chan		Ms. Joyce Chan Quality Manager 2123 084		2123 0889	
24-hour Er	nergency Hotline			2370 9200	-	


APPENDIX B CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME





Activity	Activity	Orig.	Early	Early	%	Rem		200	7					2008					
ID	Description	Durn.	Start	_	Compl		17	DE(JAN 1 7 14	21	28	1	FEB 18	25	3	10	MAR 17	24
IM2065	Monitoring @ Nob Hill Development	1,270*	22MAR04A	09JUN08	16	141*	17	2"	+ 3	1 1/4	Z 	20	ı 4		Z 3	, S	1 10	117	24
IM2075	Monitoring @ Lai Wan Road Overpass	1,216*	26MAY04A	09JUN08	10	141*													
IM2085	Monitoring @ CLP H/ways Structure K40C	1,261*	01APR04A	09JUN08	15	141*													
IM2095	Monitoring @ CLP H/ways Structure K2	1,261*	01APR04A	09JUN08	15	141*	_	-											
IM2105	Monitoring @ CLP H/ways Structure K116	1,264*	29MAR04A	09JUN08	15	141*		-	_										
IM2135	Monitoring @ Along Lai Wan Road	1,247*	19APR04A	09JUN08	15	141*													
Monitoring	g & Instrumentation - New Works																		
IM3010	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S1	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	7 (-	=	≓IM3010									
IM3015	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S1	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*		-		_									
IM3020	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S2	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12		-	=	──IM3020									
IM3025	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S2	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*		-	=										
IM3030	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S3	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12				IM3030									
IM3035	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S3	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*			=										
IM3040	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S4	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12				=IM3040									
IM3045	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S4	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*													
IM3050	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S5	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	-			IM3050									
IM3055	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S5	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*				- IMAGOCO									
IM3060	Install Instrumentation @ Cut Slope CCR-S6	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	-			IM3060									
IM3065	Monitoring @ Cut Slope CCR-S6	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*	-		_	=									
IM3080	Install Instrumentation @ Slope 11NW-A/C26	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	-			IIVIOU8U									
IM3085	Monitoring @ Slope 11NW-A/C26	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141* 12	-									IM309	90		
IM3090 IM3095	Install Instrumentation @ Slope 11NW-A/FR54 & 55 Monitoring @ Slope 11NW-A/FR54 & 55	12 93*	20FEB08 20FEB08	04MAR08 09JUN08	0	93*								IM3095		IIIVIOUS	<i>-</i>		
IM3100	Install Instrumentation @ Slope11NW-A/C687 & 679	12	08MAR08	21MAR08	0	12	-												□IM3100
IM3105	Monitoring @ Slope 11NW-A/C687 & 679	78*	08MAR08	09JUN08	0	78*	-									IM3105	5		
IM3110	Install Instrumentation @ Slip Road A Embankment	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	1		=	≕ IM3110									
IM3115	Monitoring @ Slip Road A Embankment	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*	-		=	=									
IM3120	Install Instrumentation @ Slip Road B Embankment	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12			=	──IM3120									
IM3125	Monitoring @ Slip Road B Embankment	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*		-	=	_									
IM3130	Install Instrumentation @ Piers P1 to P6	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	1 1	-	=	─IM3130									
IM3135	Monitoring @ Piers P1 to P6	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*	1	F											
IM3140	Install Instrumentation @ Piers P7 to P10	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12		-	=	─ ☐IM3140									
IM3145	Monitoring @ Piers P7 to P10	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*		-	=										
IM3150	Install Instrumentation @ Piers P11 to P15	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	7 (-		──IM3150									
IM3155	Monitoring @ Piers P11 to P15	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*		_											
IM3160	Install Instrumentation @ Piers P16 to P18	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12		_		IM3160									
IM3165	Monitoring @ Piers P16 to P18	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*			_										
IM3170	Install Instrumentation @ Piers P19 to Abut. M	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12			=	IM3170									
IM3175	Monitoring @ Piers P19 to Abut. M	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*	-		=										
IM3180	Install Instrumentation @ Piers on Slip Road A	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	-		_	IM3180									
IM3185	Monitoring @ Piers on Slip Road A	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08	0	141*	-												
IM3190	Install Instrumentation @ Piers on Slip Road B	12	20DEC07	04JAN08	0	12	-			IM3190									
IM3195	Monitoring @ Piers on Slip Road B	141*	20DEC07	09JUN08 04JAN08	0	141*	-			IM3200									
IM3200 IM3205	Install Instrumentation @ Piers on Slip Road C Monitoring @ Piers on Slip Road C	12 141*	20DEC07 20DEC07	04JAN08 09JUN08	0	12 141*	-												
IM3205 IM3210	Install Instrumentation @ Piers on Slip Road D	141"	20DEC07 20DEC07	04JAN08	0	141"	-		_	IM3210									
IM3210	Monitoring @ Piers on Slip Road D	141*	20DEC07 20DEC07	04JAN08 09JUN08	0	141*	-												
		171	2001	OCCUPINO	U	171													
Procuren	Hent																		
Signage SG2000	Signage - Award of Sub-contract (NOT USED)	0	20DEC07		0	0		SG2000											
SG2000 SG2010			20DEC07 20DEC07	26DEC07	0	0 5]		=□SG2010										
SG2010 SG2020	Signage - Shop Drawings (NOT USED) Signage - Rev & Appro of Shop Dwgs. (NOT USED)	50 24	20DEC07 27DEC07	28JAN08	0	24	-			=		□SG20	20						
SG2020 SG2030	Signage - Nev & Appro of Snop Dwgs. (NOT USED) Signage - Off-Site Fabr'n of Signs (NOT USED)	50	27DEC07 29JAN08	03MAR08	0	30	-									SG2030	0		
	Lighting (NOT USED)	30	ZOUATNUO	OOIVIAINO	U	30											-		
HM1000	High Mast Lighting -Foundation Design (NOT USED)	48	20DEC07	19FEB08	0	48									M1000				
Start Date Finish Date	Finish Date 09JUN09						LJ:~L	014016 F	Donort	nt Contract No. UV/2002/04		S	heet 2 of 8	-					
Data Date Run Date			20DEC07 27DEC07 17:49				пıgr			nt Contract No. HY/2003/01 ii Chi Kok Viaduct					1 -	:			
			2.02.001 11.43							olling Programme				1:	1 a	CCI	or	าล	
										December 2007					1		estruc		
	© Primavera Systems, Inc.														•	iiiiiat	cstruc	Luids	












Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig. Durn.	_	Early Finish	% Compl.	Rem IDurn.		2007					2008							
								DEC	JAN				FEB			MAR				
							17		31 7	14	21	28	4	_. 11	₁18	25	. 3	_. 10	₋ 17	24
PR4020	C.P.RdK.C. S/B L/H C/Way - Kerbs	6	27DEC07	03JAN08	0	6			PR4020											
PR4030	C.P.RdK.C. S/B L/H C/Way - Pavement	4	25OCT07A	22DEC07	25	3		PR4030												
PR4100	C.P.RdK.C. S/B R/H C/Way - Excavate & Format'n	9	04FEB08	13FEB08	0	9	1							P	R4100					
PR4110	C.P.RdK.C. S/B R/H C/Way - Sub-base	9	11FEB08	20FEB08	0	9	1								Pl	R4110				
PR4130	C.P.RdK.C. S/B R/H C/Way - Pavement	4	21FEB08	25FEB08	0	4	1									PR41:	30			
PR4135	C.P.RdK.C. S/B - Street Lighting	12	19FEB08	03MAR08	0	12											PR4	135		
PR4140	C.P.RdK.C. S/B - Road Markings & Signage	4	04MAR08	07MAR08	0	4												PR4140		
PR4150	Castle Peak Road - Reinstate Junction	29	26JUL07A	07MAR08	45	16												PR4150		
PR5050	C.P.Rd-K.C. S/B to C.C.Rd E/B - Rd Marks & Signs	3	20DEC07	22DEC07	0	3	1	PR5050												
PR5060	C.P.Rd-K.C. S/B to C.C.Rd E/B - Re-open Road	0		23DEC07	0	0		♦ PR5060												
Landscape	Works	·																		
PX1000	Landscaping - Earthworks & Formation	30	20DEC07	29JAN08	0	30	1					PX ²	1000							
PX1020	Landscaping - Paving	30	04JAN08	11FEB08	0	30								PX10	20					
PX1030	Landscaping - Irrigation System	24	05JAN08	05FEB08	0	24	1						PX	1030						
PX1040	Landscaping - Soiling & Planting	24	05JAN08	05FEB08	0	24	1						PX	1040						
PX1100	Landscape Establishment Works	302	06FEB08	05FEB09	0	302	1						PX1100				+			

Start Date Finish Date Data Date Run Date

23SEP03 09JUN09 **P3 File : LU51** 20DEC07 27DEC07 17:49


Sheet 8 of 8





	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Start	Early Finish	% Comp	Total Float	2007 O NOV DEC JAN 522 29 5 12 19 26 3 10 17 24 31 7 14 21 28 4	2008 FEB MAR APR MAY JUI .11 .18 .25 .3 .10 .17 .24 .31 .7 .14 .21 .28 .5 .12 .19 .26 .2 .9 .1
	lure of MFC System for R8K&R	THE REAL PROPERTY.	to be a little of the latter o					THE SECOND STREET, STR
	n for R8K&R8T (concurrent as CS) FAT Report for MFC, R8K&R8T	396* 14	20-01-07A 20-02-08	19-02-08 04-03-08	08	0		FAT050MF
	nufacture & Deliver Commu			04-03-08	U		FATO	UMF
	cedure Comm. System for R8K	THE PERSON NAMED IN	SHEET SHEET STREET, SHEET,					
	FAT Procedures - CommSys	10	03-08-06A	19-02-08	80	0		CCJ101CJ
	dures - CommSys by Engineer	28	20-02-08	18-03-08	0	0	CCJ10	ист
	FAT report - CommSys - CommSys by Engineer	14 28	08-03-07A	19-02-08	50	0		CCJ200DJ
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	nufacture & Deliver CCTV S		20-02-08	19-02-08	50	0	CCJ20	ODT
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		WINDS NO. OF THE PARTY NAMED IN						
anufacture CCTV	& Deliver CCTV System for R8T	0*	20-02-08*	19-02-08	0	450		
	Site - CCTV for R8T	40	20-02-08	30-03-08	0	-158 -158	CCB2	
AT Procedure	e of CCTV System for R8K & R	8T						
	dures - CCTV by Engineer	0*	25-02-08	24-02-08	0	0	CCE	8101ATI
	FAT Procedures - CCTV	10	25-02-08	29-02-08	0	0		8101BJ■
T of CCTV for R8	ures - CCTV by Engineer	28 15	01-03-08 29-03-08	28-03-08 06-04-08	0	0	C	CB101BT
	AT Report- CCTV for R8K & R8T	14	07-04-08	11-04-08	0	0		CCB200AJ
cept FAT report -	- CCTV by Enginner	28	20-02-08	18-03-08	0	0	CCB20	
uy,Manufac	ture &Deliver Vehicle Detec	tor Sy	stem					
anufacture &	& Deliver VDS for R8T		100					
aterial Delivery to	Site - VDS Devices for R8T	60	20-02-08	19-04-08	0	-178	CCY30	2SH
CONTRACTOR OF STREET	nufacture &Deliver PBX Sys	tem						
	& Deliver PBX System for R8T		100					
ATTACAM TO MANAGE COM	Site - PBX Devices for R8T	and the latest designation of the latest des	01-03-07A	09-04-08	10	-94		CCN302SH
	cedure of PBX System for R8K	The second second	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVE THE PER					
	FAT Procedures - PBX Devices lures - PBX Devices by Engineer	10 28	03-08-06A	19-02-08	50	0		CCN101CJ
	nufacture & Deliver SEC Sys		20-02-08	19-02-08	0	0	CCN10	11CT
	CONTRACTOR OF STREET,	ALCOHOL: SANS		Carlo and the	Maria Cara Cara	20000000		
	cture & Deliver SEC System, R8 Devices for R8K & Portion B	60	20-02-08*	19-02-08	80	-312		
	SEC devices to R8K & B	10	20-02-08*	29-02-08	0	-312	CCM2	
anufacture 8	& Deliver SEC System, R8T							
	Devices for R8T except Portion B	60	20-02-08	19-04-08	0	-185	CCM2	0001
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	SEC devices to R8T except B	30	20-04-08	19-05-08	0	-185		CCM302SH
	cedure of SEC System for R8K	The same of the sa	the same of the sa					
	AT Procedures- SEC Devices dures- SEC Devices by Engineer	20	20-02-08 11-03-08	10-03-08 07-04-08	0	-104	CCM10	
	FAT Procedures- SEC Devices	10	08-04-08	17-04-08	0	-104 -104		CCM101AT
cept FAT Procedu	ures- SEC Devices by Engineer	28	18-04-08	15-05-08	0	-104		CCM101BT
AT of SEC devices	s for R8K&R8T	11	16-05-08	26-05-08	0	-104		CCM201AF
uy,Manufac	ture &Deliver Electrical Inst	allatio	n					
	& Deliver Electrical System, R8	I de la company	Laut					
	cal Device for R8T	25	20-02-08	15-03-08	0	-173	CCL2	0030
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Site for R8T -Elect.Install	and the last of th	16-03-08	05-04-08	0	-173		CCL302SH
STATE OF THE PARTY	nufacture & Deliver O&M Ra	ALCOHOLD AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF TH	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.					
	& Deliver O&M Radio System for Radio Devices for R8T	a heritage place of the contract of	20-02-08	29-05-08	T 0	450		
	Site -O&M Radio for R8T	60	15-04-08	13-06-08	0	-159 -159	CCI2	CCI302SH
	cert.) of O&M Radio Sys. for R8		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN					COISOZOFI
bmit Product Cert	t. of O&M Radio devices for R8	CALL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	13-06-06A	10-03-08	65	0		CCI200AF
	ert O&M Radio for R8K&R8T	14	11-03-08	24-03-08	0	0		¢CI200AJ
M&D Integra	rated Tunnel Radio Re-broad	cast	Sys.					
	& Deliver ITRR System for R8T							
nufacture ITRR D	Devices for R8T Site-ITRR devices for R8T	80	20-02-08*	09-05-08	0	-206	CCG2	
THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	One-TITAL devices for Roll	60	10-05-08	08-07-08	0	-206		CCG302SH
stallation								
	Dates for R8T	Blackett.		Out of the last of				
	ng Yi Viaduct (ETYV) ters Bridge (SCB)	0	27-02-08* 20-02-08*		0	-11		POS E
stallation fo	Control of the Contro	U	<u>~</u> 0-02-08-		U	-11	PO	D.F.Y
ortion G - Ro	The transfer of the second sec	31527	STEER HEET			N. S. Charles		
THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	y-opening Roads @Road T3			See all the second				
	& Early-opening Roads @Portion G							
epare&Resubmit	t TMCP to TMLG members, Portion G	10	13-01-08A	22-01-08A	100	entra enclisad	TMPG01BK	
. THORI T	MLG members, Portion G	10	23-01-08A	01-02-08A	100		TMPG01BT	
	easures by TMLG members, G	10	13-01-08A	22-01-08A	100		TTAG-030	
epare & Repropo	ousaies by TWILG HIGHIDEIS, G	10	23-01-08A 23-01-08A	01-02-08A 11-02-08A	100		TTAG-040	
epare & Repropo ccept TIA,TTA me	easures @Portion G		0.00A	52-55A	.00		I I AG-USU	
epare & Repropo ccept TIA,TTA me aplement TTA me		KV			CATALOG S	AND DESCRIPTION		
epare & Repropo ccept TIA,TTA me aplement TTA me prtion J2 - Se	ections except Portion J1 @LC	KV						
cepare & Repropo eccept TIA,TTA me aplement TTA me ortion J2 - Se ew Roads (R8		KV						
repare & Repropo cocept TIA,TTA me applement TTA me prition J2 - Se ew Roads (R8 re-Inspection & ectify Civil Provisi	ections except Portion J1 @LC Mainline) @Portion J2 Handover of Civil Provisions ions Defects by others	28	25-01-07A	23-01-08A	100		J2-0120	
repare & Repropo cocept TIA,TTA me applement TTA me prition J2 - Se ew Roads (R8 re-inspection & ectify Civil Provisi CSS Access Date	ections except Portion J1 @LC Mainline) @Portion J2 Handover of Civil Provisions ions Defects by others	28 0	25-01-07A 24-01-08A	23-01-08A	100		J2-0120 POSJ2000 ♦	
repare & Repropo coept TIA,TTA me applement TTA me portion J2 - Se ew Roads (R8 re-Inspection & ectify Civil Provisi CSS Access Date stallation @b	ections except Portion J1 @LC Mainline) @Portion J2 Handover of Civil Provisions ions Defects by others HyD,TD,HKPF Government Off	28 0		23-01-08A	-			
repare & Reproposition of the control of the contro	ections except Portion J1 @LC Mainline) @Portion J2 Handover of Civil Provisions ions Defects by others HyD,TD,HKPF Government Off D MongKok Office	28 0	24-01-08A		100		POSJ2000◆	
epare & Repropose that TIA me plement TIA me prition J2 - Seew Roads (R8 re-Inspection & Radify Civil Provisitions Seating Civil Provisitions Access Date stallation @Tistallation @Tistallation @Tistallation Communicati	ections except Portion J1 @LC Mainline) @Portion J2 Handover of Civil Provisions ions Defects by others HyD,TD,HKPF Government Off	28 0		23-01-08A 23-02-08 28-02-08	-	-260 -261	POSJ2000◆	

Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Early Finish	% Comp	Total Float	O NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY
stallation @TD Wanchai TCC						22 29 5 12 19 26 3 10 17 24 31 7 14 21 28 4 11 18 25 3 10 17 24 31 7 14 21 28 5 12 19 26 2 9
stall Communication Devices@TD Wanchai TCC	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-260	OGO2010
stall Computer Equipment @TD Wanchai TCC	4	25-02-08	28-02-08	0	-261	OGO20 <mark>20</mark> ■
tall Remote Workstations @TD Wanchai TCC	5	25-02-08	29-02-08	0	-261	OGO20 <mark>30</mark>
tall CCTV system @TD Wanchai TCC	5	25-02-08	29-02-08	0	-256	OGO20 40 🔤
stallation @HyD ECC						
tall Computer Equipment @HyD ECC	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-260	OGO3010
tall Remote Workstation @HyD ECC	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-258	OGO3020
all Communication Devices@HyD ECC	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-258	OGO3030
tall CCTV system @HyD ECC	6	20-02-08	25-02-08	0	-258	OGO3040
stallation @HKPF South Operational Base						
all Remote Workstation @HKPF South Base	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-258	OGO5020
all Communication Devices@HKPF South Base	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-258	OGO5030
all CCTV system @HKPF South Base	7	20-02-08	26-02-08	0	-258	OGO5040
tallation @HKPF Tai Po RCCC						
all Computer Equipment @HKPF Tai Po RCCC	5	22-02-08	26-02-08	0	-258	OGO601b■
all Remote Workstation @HKPF Tai Po RCCC	7	20-02-08	26-02-08	0	-256	OGO6020 💳
all Communication Devices@HKPF Tai Po RCCC	6	20-02-08	25-02-08	0	-251	OGO6030 🔤
all CCTV system @HKPF Tai Po RCC	4	20-02-08	23-02-08	0	-256	OGO6040
stallation @HKPF West Kowloon (WK) RCCC						
all Remote Workstation @HKPF WK RCCC	6	25-02-08	01-03-08	0	-256	OGO7020
all CCTV system @HKPF WK RCC	6	25-02-08	01-03-08	0	1,116	OGO7040
tallation of SEC system for R8K & Portion	ı B					
all SEC System (Stage 1 & 2) @Portion B	6	20-02-08*	25-02-08	0	75	B-1700
all SEC system in Existing &Early-Rd @G	2	20-02-08	21-02-08	0	-277	G-6060
Ill & Demo. SEC System (Stage 1) @Portion G	5	01-03-08	05-03-08	0	-313	G-7080
all SEC System (Stage 2) @Portion G	5	06-03-08*	10-03-08	0	-290	G-7790
all SEC System (Stage 1) @Portion H1B	2	20-02-08*	21-02-08	0	-278	G-7790
all SEC System (Stage 2) @Portion H1B	2	07-03-08*	08-03-08	0	-278	H B1600
all SEC System (Stage 1) @Portion H1C	2	20-02-08*	21-02-08	0	-291	H1C1070
all SEC System (Stage 2) @Portion H1C	2	07-03-08*	08-03-08	0	-312	H1C1700
all SEC System (Stage 1) @Portion I2	5	01-03-08*	05-03-08	0	-289	12-1040
Ill SEC System (Stage 2) @Portion I2	5	07-03-08	11-03-08	0	-209	I2-1040
all SEC System (Stage 1) @Portion J2	4	20-02-08*	23-02-08	0	-274	J-1100
Ill SEC System (Stage 2) @Portion J2	5	07-03-08*	11-03-08	0	-291	J-1700
III SEC Server & system (Stage 2) @R8K AB	8	20-02-08*	27-02-08	0	-274	KAB1180
tallation for R8T			TENEDRY TENED			
tallation @Portion B all CCTV System @Portion B all Manual Fallback Control System @B	30 27	20-02-08 20-02-08	20-03-08 17-03-08	0	31 31	B-1090 B-1200
tall Emergency Telephone System @Portion B	34	03-03-07A	04-03-08	60	22	B-1300
rtion C - Sections except Portion B @NW	'EWT	The same of the sa			727	
tallation @Portion C (except WCB & TMCA)	ICA I I			24 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	W1702.00	
ctrical Installation @Portion C	6	20-02-08	25-02-08	80	-14	
all ITRR System @Portion C (EPB,WPK)	12	10-05-08	21-05-08	0	-206	C-1005
all TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion C	13	20-02-08	03-03-08	60	34	C-1040
all SDH Node 1&3,Comm.Sys@C(WPK,EPB,Cabinet)	51	26-02-08	16-04-08	0	-12	C-1050
all Traffic Control Field Devices @Portion C	28	20-02-08	18-03-08	60	-1	C-1150
tallation @West Control Building (WCB)			1.5 55			0-1100
all ITRR System @WCB	24	10-05-08	02-06-08	0	-175	WCB1050
all MFCP & its system @WCB	14	10-05-08	23-05-08	0	-175	WCB1050
all Oper.Facilities (Racks,Furniture) @WCB	35	20-02-08	25-03-08	0	-131	WCB1080
all SEC Server & system (Stage 2) @WCB	14	20-05-08	02-06-08	0	-41	
tionD-NgongShuenChauViaduct (includin			52 55 66		10 March 1980	WCB1650
			wa he wellen			
A in Existing Roads,WKH & Ramps E1&G@Po pare & Submit TMCP to TMLG members, Portion D			45.55	1000		
	25	20-02-08*	15-03-08	0	-243	TMPD01AJ
iew & Comment TMCP by TMLG members, Portion D pare&Resubmit TMCP to TMLG members, Portion D	14	16-03-08	29-03-08	0	-229	TMPD01AT
ept TMCP by TMLG members, Portion D	25	30-03-08	23-04-08	0	-229	TMPD01BJ
pose TIA,TTA measures in TMLG meeting, D	14 25	08-05-08	21-05-08	0	-219	TMPD01BT
nment TIA,TTA measures in TMLG meeting, D	14	20-04-08	14-05-08	0	-229	TTAD02AJ
pare & Repropose TIA,TTA measures, Portion D	10	24-04-08	07-05-08	0	-229	TTAD02AT
ept TIA,TTA measures by TMLG members, D		08-05-08	17-05-08	0	-229	TTAD02BJ
	14	18-05-08	31-05-08	0	-229	TTAD02BT
tallation @Portion D ng Backbone Cables @Portion D	0.1	40.05.071	00.00.55	0.0	7-1-	
all Operation Facilties @Portion D (Kiosk F)	24	18-05-07A	06-03-08	30	-109	D-8040
all SDH Node 4,5,6 & COMMUNICATION SYSTEM @D	7	20-05-08	26-05-08	0	-185	D-8090
all Traffic Control Field Devices @Portion D	23	20-02-08	13-03-08	0	-109	D-8100
all CCTV System @Portion D	36 15	04-04-07A	07-03-08	50	-106	D-8150
all Vehicle Detector System @Portion D	29	20-06-07A	26-02-08	50	-125	D-8250
all SEC System (Stage 1 & 2) @Portion D	8	20-02-08	19-03-08	0	-125	D-8270
PROFESSIONAL DE CONTROL DE CONTRO	0	20-05-08	27-05-08	0	-185	D-9300
tion E - East Tsing Yi Viaduct (ETYV)						
Inspection of Civil Provisions @Portion E						
Provisions Inspection & Submit Report @E	7	20-02-08*	26-02-08	0	-14	E-0100
tify Civil Provisions Defects by others @E	14	27-02-08	11-03-08	0	-14	E-0 <mark>2</mark> 00
Access of East Tsing Yi Viaduct (ETTV)	0	27-02-08*		0	0	E–03 <mark>00</mark> ♦
tallation @Portion E						
	15	07-04-08	21-04-08	0	-30	E-1001
all Cable Containment @Portion F		07-04-08	29-04-08	0	-19	E-1010
all Cable Containment @Portion F trical Installation @Portion E	23			_		
all Cable Containment @Portion F ctrical Installation @Portion E all TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion E	23	07-04-08	29-04-08	0	-30	E-1030
all Cable Containment @Portion F strical Installation @Portion E all TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion E ng Backbone Cables @Portion E	23 26	07-04-08 07-04-08	02-05-08	0	-4	E-1030 E-1050
all Cable Containment @Portion F strical Installation @Portion E all TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion E ng Backbone Cables @Portion E all Traffic Control Field Devices @Portion E	23 26 47	07-04-08 07-04-08 05-05-08	02-05-08 20-06-08		_	
all Cable Containment @Portion F trical Installation @Portion E till TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion E till Backbone Cables @Portion E till Traffic Control Field Devices @Portion E till COMMUNICATION SYSTEM @Portion E	23 26 47 17	07-04-08 07-04-08 05-05-08 07-04-08	02-05-08 20-06-08 23-04-08	0 0	-4	E-1050
all Cable Containment @Portion F ctrical Installation @Portion E all TCSS Equipment Cabinet @Portion E all Traffic Control Field Devices @Portion E all COMMUNICATION SYSTEM @Portion E all CCTV System @Portion E all Vehicle Detector System @Portion E	23 26 47	07-04-08 07-04-08 05-05-08	02-05-08 20-06-08	0	-4 -58	E-1050



Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Early Finish	% Comp	Total Float	0	2007 2008 2008 2008 2008
System Acceptance Tests (SAT) @R8K						VICE I	16 2 28 2 10 11 24 3 1 11 11 20 3 11 11 20 4 11 11 11 20 3 11 11 12 20 11 11 12 20 11 11 12 20 11 11 11 12 20 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
SAT Procedure Document @R8K		17.01.00					
Prepare & Resubmit SAT Procedure for R8K mplement SAT @R8K	10	17-01-08A	26-01-08A	100			SAT8K1BJ
Central System PointToPoint (Part1)	35	24-12-07A	27-01-08A	100			R8K2005
SAT of MFC System @R8K	15	09-01-08A	23-01-08A	100			R8K2010
SAT of CCTV System @R8K SAT of Traffic Control Devices @R8K	15 30	08-01-08A 25-12-07A	22-01-08A 23-01-08A	100			R8K2030
SAT of Vehicle Detection System @R8K	15	09-01-08A	23-01-08A	100			R8K2050
SAT of Electrical Installation @R8K Central System External Integation (Part2)	20	05-01-08A 13-01-08A	24-01-08A 01-02-08A	100			R8K2130
Central System External Integation (Part3)	5	26-01-08A	30-01-08A	100			R8K2150 R8K2160 R8K216
SAT Result Document @R8K							
Submit &Approve SAT Result of Central System@R8K Submit &Approve SAT Result of MFC System @R8K	14	17-01-08A 24-01-08A	30-01-08A 06-02-08A	100			R8K4005
ubmit &Approve SAT Result of Comm.Sys. @R8K	14	09-01-08A	22-01-08A	100			R8K4020 R8K4020
Submit &Approve SAT Result of CCTV System @R8K	14	18-01-08A	31-01-08A	100			R8K4030
ubmit &Approve SAT Result of TCD @R8K ubmit &Approve SAT Result of VDS @R8K	14	24-01-08A 24-01-08A	06-02-08A 06-02-08A	100			R8K4050
ubmit &Approve SAT Result of PBX System @R8K	14	19-01-08A	01-02-08A	100			R8K4060
ubmit &Approve of Tunnel Radio Sys. @R8K ubmit &Approve SAT Result of O&M Radio Sys.@R8K	14	19-01-08A	01-02-08A	100			R8K4070
Submit &Approve SAT Result of ET System @R8K	14	19-01-08A 09-01-08A	01-02-08A 22-01-08A	100			R8K4080
submit &Approve SAT Result of PA System @R8K	14	19-01-08A	01-02-08A	100			R8K4100
ubmit&Approve SAT Result of Oper.Facilities@R8K submit &Approve SAT Result of Elect.Install.@R8K	14	09-01-08A 25-01-08A	22-01-08A 07-02-08A	100 100			R8K4110
mplement Interface Test with Others @R8K	14	23-01-08A	01-02-08A	100			R8K4130
nterface Test with ENT Contractor for CMCS	5	26-01-08A	30-01-08A	100	or samples		ITR8K001■
nterface Test with HKPF, HyD , TD & FSD nterface Test with R8K Toll System	3	28-01-08A	30-01-08A	100			ITR8K002■
System Acceptance Tests @R8 (with EO		28-01-08A	30-01-08A	100			ITR8K003
SAT Procedure Document @R8T		225 (2020)					
repare & Submit SAT Procedure for R8T	14	16-05-08*	29-05-08	0	-76		SAT8T1AJ
Operability Test (OPT) @R8K							
plement Operability Test @ R8K Ubmit &Approve OPT Report @R8K	30 10	20-02-08 11-03-08	20-03-08	0	-287		R8K2500
epeat SAT of VDS & Calibrate if required @R8K	12	09-03-08	20-03-08	0	-268 -277		R8K2510
ubmit &Approve re-SAT Result of VDS @R8K	7	14-03-08	20-03-08	0	-277		R8K4140
AT & OPT for SEC system @R8K							
AT of SEC System @R8K ubmit &Approve SAT Result of SEC System @R8K	20	30-03-08 19-04-08	18-04-08 02-05-08	0	-311 -311		R8K2120
nplement Operability Test of SEC system @R8K	30	03-05-08	01-06-08	0	-245		R8K2600
ubmit & Approve OPT Report of SEC system @R8K	14	19-05-08	01-06-08	0	-245		R8K2610
peration and Maintenance Docume	ents a	& Traini	ng				
Occumentation for R8K Opening	AL PROPERTY OF		ALEXA SOLUTION				
System Description for R8 accept System description by Engineer	28	01-03-08	28-03-08	0	-213		R8K301BT
Operation Manual for R8K		10 to 50	20 00 00		210		Ronau (D)
ccept Operation Manual by Engineer	28	25-02-08	23-03-08	0	-208		R8K302BTI
System Administration Manual for R8K							
ccept System Administration Manual by Engineer // Alintenance Plan for R8	28	25-02-08	23-03-08	0	-208		R8K303BTI
repare&SubmitDraftMaintenancePlan for R8K & R8T	14	20-02-08	04-03-08	0	-433		R8314A.
eview Maintenance Plan for R8K&R8T by Engineer	28	05-03-08	01-04-08	0	-277		R8314AT
repare&Re-submitMaintenancePlan for R8K&R8T ccept Maintenance Plan for R8K&R8T	10	02-04-08 12-04-08	11-04-08 09-05-08	0	-277 -255		R8314BJ
laintenance & Services Manual for R8K	20	12-04-06	09-03-08	U	-255		R8314BT
repare Spares & Maintenance Tools List for R8K	14	02-04-08	15-04-08	0	-277		GEN009AJ
repare & Submit Maintenance Manual for R8K eview Maintenance Manual for R8K by Engineer	14 28	07-04-08	20-04-08	0	-277		R8K304AJ
repare & Re-submit Maintenance Manual for R8K	10	16-04-08 09-05-08	13-05-08 18-05-08	0	-277 -277		R8K304AT
ccept Maintenance Manual for R8K by Engineer	28	04-05-08	31-05-08	0	-277		R8K304BT
raining Plan for R8K ccept Training Plan for R8K by Engineer	20	01.00.00	20.00.00				
raining Material for R8K	28	01-03-08	28-03-08	0	0		GEN008BT
repare&Submit Training Material	21	29-03-08	18-04-08	0	0		R8K305AJ
eview Training Material for R8K by Engineer repare&Re-submit Training Material	28	19-04-08	16-05-08	0	0		R8K305AT
ocumentation for R8 Opening	10	17-05-08	26-05-08	0	0	H	R8K305BJ
evised Operation Manual for R8							
repare&Submit Operation Manual for R8T	14	25-02-08*	09-03-08	0	-148		R8T307AJ
eview Operation Manual for R8T by Engineer	28	10-03-08	06-04-08	0	-148		RBT307AT
repare&Re-submit Operation Manual for R8T cept Operation Manual for R8T by Engineer	10 28	07-04-08 17-04-08	16-04-08 14-05-08	0	-148 -148		R8T307BJ
Revised System Administration Manual for F	-				-140		R8T307BT
repare&Submit System Administration Manual, R8T	14	15-05-08	14-05-08	0	-148		R8T308AJ
eview System Administration Manual R8T by Eng'r repare&Re-submit System Administration Manual	28	15-05-08 15-05-08	14-05-08 24-05-08	0	-148 -148		R8T308AT
raining Plan for R8T Opening		.0 00-00	24-00-00	Ų	-148		R8T308BJ
repare&Submit Training Plan for R8	14	20-02-08*	04-03-08	0	-41		R8K309AJ
leview Training Plan for R8 by Engineer	28	05-03-08	01-04-08	0	-41		R8K309AT
repare&Resubmit Training Plan for R8	10	02-04-08	11-04-08	0	-41		R8K309BJ

Activity	Orig	Early	Early	%	Total	2007						800		
Description	Dur	Start	Finish	Comp		O NOV 22 29 5 12 19 26 3	DEC 10.47.24.24	JAN 7 44 24 4	FE 44	B	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
Fraining Material for R8T Opening						JZZ 29 5 12 19 20 3	10 17 24 31	14 21	28,4 (11	18 25 3	10,17,24	31 7 14 21 2	8 5 12 19 26	2 9 16 2
Prepare&Submit Training Material for R8T	20	10-05-08	29-05-08	0	-41							R8T31	0AJ	
Ocumentation during DLP					N. Andrews									
As-built Documentation														
Submit As-built Drawings & Design Documents, R8K	30	20-02-08	20-03-08	0	-252				R8312A					
raining during Defects Liability Period														
tart of 3-day training w/s @3-month intervals	0	19-04-08		0	-111							R83070		
raining for R8K-TCSS Field Hardware O&M	98	20-05-08	09-10-08	0	290							Inches in the second	R8K3050	
ompletion Dates														
verall Completion of R8K	0		18-04-08	0	-201						CC	MR8K-		
arly Road Opening Areas (to be advise	d)				E TOTAL									
Early Road Opening Areas, R8K	45.54													
Road Opening Date of Remaining Section @Road T3	0	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	07-03-08*	0	-269				COI	WT3ZRE	•			
ection Completion of R8K														
ection 1 Completion (R8K Phase 1)	0		18-04-08	0	-311						COM	MSEC1-		
efects Liability Period (DLP) for W	orks													
DLP for R8K Work														
lart of 24-month DLP for R8K	0	20-02-08*		0	-111			P8	KDLPOO					
outine / Preventive Maintenance for R8K	761	20-02-08	21-03-10*	0	-111				8KDLP1					
The state of the s	761	20-02-08	21-03-10*	0	-111				8KDLP2		describes for the co			
ault Maintenance for R8K		20-02-08	21-03-10*	0	-111				8KDLP3					

APPENDIX C MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Appendix C - Environmental Impact Monitoring Requirements for Lai Chi Kok Viaduct

Type of Monitoring	Parameter	Frequency	Location	Measurement Conditions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	3 times every 6 days	AM2 (Lai Chi Kok Park	Rooftop facing the site area
7 in Quanty	24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days	Sports Centre)	1 Roonop lacing the site area
	L_{eq} , L_{90} & L_{10} at 30 minute intervals during (0700 to 1900 on normal weekdays)	Once per week	NM2 (Lai Chi Kok Reception	 NM2 – Roadside (Façade measurement) NM3 – Rooftop of Block L
	L_{eq} , L_{90} & L_{10} at 5 minute intervals during (1900 to 2300) (1)	Once per week (include 3 consecutive 5-min measurements)	week Centre) (Faça • NM3 (Lai Chi Kok Hospital) (2) • NM4	(Façade measurement)NM4 – Rooftop of Block 9(Façade measurement)
Noise	L_{eq} , L_{90} & L_{10} at 5 minute intervals during (2300 to 0700 of next day) (1)	Once per week (include 3 consecutive 5-min measurements)	Phase 5) NM8a (M/F of Nob Hill) NM8b (3/F of Nob Hill)	 NM8a – M/F of Nob Hill (Façade measurement) NM8b – 3/F of Nob Hill
	L_{eq} , L_{90} & L_{10} at 5 minute intervals during (0700 to 1900 on holidays) (1)	Once per week (include 3 consecutive 5-min measurements)	NM9 (Hoi Lai Estate)	(Façade measurement)NM9 – G/F of Hoi Fai House(Façade measurement)

^{(1) —} Conduct noise monitoring only when construction work is carried out.

^{(2) —} The Lai Chi Kok Hospital (NM3) was also found vacated and noise monitoring could not be conducted since January 05. EPD's approval for suspension of noise monitoring at this station was received on 15th March 2005.

APPENDIX D ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE (ACTION/LIMIT) LEVELS

Appendix D - Action and Limit Levels (LCKV)

1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, μg/m ³	Limit Level, μg/m³
AM2	301	500

24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, μg/m ³	Limit Level, μg/m³
AM2	177	260


Construction Noise

Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays		75 dB(A)
0700-2300 hrs on holidays & 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70* dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day		55* dB(A)

^(*) The Area Sensitivity Rating for the noise monitoring stations (NM3, NM4, NM8a, NM8b and NM9) is taken as C, according to Table 1 of EPD's Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling.

APPENDIX E
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF AIR
QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

1-hr TSP Levels



Title

Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan & Sha Tin Contract HY/2003/01 - Lai Chi Kok Viaduct

Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results


Scale F.N.T.S

Project No. MA3024

Date Appendix Feb 08 E



24-hr TSP Levels



Title

Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan & Sha Tin Contract HY/2003/01 - Lai Chi Kok Viaduct

Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results

Scale Pro

Project No. MA3024


Е

Date Appendix


Feb 08




APPENDIX F GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS




Noise Levels





Title	Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin Contract HY/2003/01 - Lai Chi Kok Viaduct	Scale		Project No.	MA3024	
	Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Date	Feb 08	Appen	rdix F	CINOICCU

Restricted Hours (19:00 to 23:00) - Noise Levels



Title Scale Project Route 8 (previously known as Route 9) between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin No. Contract HY/2003/01 - Lai Chi Kok Viaduct MA3024 N.T.S Date Appendix F Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Feb 08

Results

APPENDIX G IMPLEMENTATION SCEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES (EMIS)

Appendix G - Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	 Any stockpile of dusty materials or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet. 	^
	 A stockpile of dusty materials should not extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones. Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every exit point. 	^
	 The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. 	^
	• Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.	^
Construction	• Every main haul road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet.	^
Dust	• The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials.	^
	• Any stockpile of dusty materials should be either covered entirely be impervious sheeting, placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet.	^
	 All dusty materials should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet. 	^
	Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels immediately before leaving a construction site.	^
	• The working area of any excavation should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet.	^
Construction Noise	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on –site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works.	^
	 Machines and plant that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. 	^
	 Plant know to emit noise strongly in one direction, should where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRS. 	٨
	Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.	^
	 Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	^
	Use quite plant and Working Method	^

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	Reduce the number of plant operating in critical areas close NSRs.	^
	Construct temporary and movable noise barriers	^
Water Quality	Construction Runoff and Drainage	
	 Use of sediment traps and the adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow. 	^
	 Boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilities runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. 	^
	 All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment traps should be regularly cleaned and maintained. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction works has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required 	^
	 Sand silt in the wash water from the wheel washing facilities, which ensure no earth, mud and debris is deposited on roads, should be settled out the removed before discharging into storm drains. A section of the road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to prevent wash water or other site runoff form entering public road drains. 	^
	 Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly emptied to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain. 	^
	• Catchpits and perimeter channels shall be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.	^
	• Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes shall be suitably maintained with the deposited silt and grit being removed at least once a week, and at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.	^
	• Earthworks final surfaces shall be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate intercepting channels shall be provided along the site boundary or at the locations agreed with the ET Leader. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations shall be discharged into silt removal facilities before discharge into storm drains.	^
	• All generators, fuel and oil storage shall be within bunded areas. Drainage from the areas shall be connected to storm drains via a petrol interceptor.	^
	Tunnelling Work	
	 Temporary open storage of excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Any washout of construction or excavated materials form the drill and blast tunnelling work should be diverted to the drainage system via appropriate sediment traps. 	N/A
	• Ground water pumped out of tunnels should be discharged into the drainage channels which incorporated sediment traps to enhance deposition rates and to remove silt.	N/A

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	• Spend grouts used in diaphragm wall construction should be collected in a separate slurry collection system, reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. The disposal of used grouting materials will only be permitted if it is treated to the TM standards before discharge to the storm drains or disposal to landfill.	N/A
	General Construction Activities	
	 Debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the water column and cause water quality impacts. 	^
	• All fuel tanks and storage areas will be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas (within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank or 20% by volume of the fuel stored in that areas, whichever in the greatest).	^
	Sewage Effluent	
	• Construction work force sewage discharges form fixed toilet facilities on-site should be connected to the nearby existing trunk sewer wherever feasible. However, for areas where existing trunk sewer is not available, it is recommended that appropriate and adequate on site portable chemical toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor who will be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.	^
	• It is considered that sewage discharges could also be treated by on-site septic tanks and soakaway. Minimum clearance away form streams and catchments and other requirements for the proposed septic tank and soakaway should be referred to EPD's Practice Note for Professional Persons, Drainage Plans.	N/A
Waste	General	
	• Training and instruction shall be given at a site to construction staff to increase awareness and draw attention to waste management issues and the need to minimise waste generation. The training requirement shall be included in the site waste management plan.	٨
	Storage, Collection and Transportation of Waste	
	 Wastes shall be handled and stored in a manner to ensure that they are held securely without loss or leakage. 	^
	 Authorised or licensed waste hauliers shall be used and they shall only collect wastes prescribed by their permits. 	^
	Waste shall be removed on a daily basis.	^
	Waste storage area shall be maintained and cleaned on a daily basis.	^
	 Windblown litter and dust during transportation shall be minimised by either covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers. 	^
	 Obtain necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities if they are required. 	^
	 Wastes shall be disposed of at licensed waste disposal facilities. 	^
	 Develop procedure such as ticketing system to facilitate tracking of loads, particularly for chemical waste, and to ensure that illegal disposal of wastes does not occur. 	^
	Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed.	^

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status				
	Surplus Excavated Materials					
	• Due to the high risk of loose material being washed into the existing nullah, stockpile materials should be properly compacted and covered from water erosion and located at least 10m away from the nullah wall.	^				
	Construction and Demolition (C&D) Waste					
	 Careful design, planning and good site management shall be adopted to minimise over-ordering and generation of waste materials such as concrete grouts. 	^				
	• The handling and disposal of bentonite slurries shall be undertaken in accordance with Practice Note for Professional Persons – Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC PN 1/94) on construction site drainage.	N/A				
	• Construction and demolition (C&D) material shall be segregated to inert and non-inert parts. The inert portion shall re-used at areas of reclamation or land formation, or to public filling area shall such allocation is deemed necessary. The non-inert portion shall be disposed of to landfill.	^				
	Chemical Waste					
	 Chemical waste that is produce during construction shall be handled in accordance with the Cod of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. 	^				
	 Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should: a. Be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; 					
	 b. Have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specifications have been approved by the EPD; c. Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Chemical Waste Regulations. 	^				
	 The storage area for chemical wastes should: a. Be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; b. Be enclosed on at least 3 sides; 					
	 c. Have an impermeable floor and bunding of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in the area, whichever is largest; d. Have adequate ventilation; 	^				
	 e. Be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste if necessary); f. Be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. 					
	 Disposal of chemical waste shall be via a licensed waste collector; and to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste; or a reuser of the waste (under approval from EPD). 	^				
	General Refuse					

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
	• General refuse generated on-site shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction unit separate from C&D and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D and chemical wastes, on a daily for every second day basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. The burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.	^
	Reusable rather than disposable dishware shall be used if feasible.	N/A
	 A sediment barrier shall be erected to minimize stream sedimentation at downstream of the project boundary of the Toll Plaza. 	N/A
	 Conduct a tree survey before commencement of the construction work. 	^
Ecology	• All measures recommended in the approved landscape proposals under Condition 2.4 in EP above shall be fully implemented in accordance with the details and time schedule set out in the submission.	N/A
	 Loss of the adjacent woodland due to temporary land take shall be returned to the original status immediately. Wild and uncontrolled fire shall be strictly prohibited 	N/A
	• Fences shall be erected along the boundary of the construction sites at the Toll Plaza before commencement of works, to prevent tipping, vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel onto adjacent wooded areas.	N/A
	• Landscape mitigation measure 1 (LMM1) – Construction programming and management. The periphery of the works areas at street level shall be managed so that they do not appear cluttered, untidy and unattractive and inconvenient to pedestrians. For example, all hoarding shall be colorfully designed with interesting motifs demonstrating the work of Highways Department. Hoardings with bland colours shall be avoided.	N/A
Landscape and Visual Impact	• Landscape mitigation measure 2 (LMM2) – Advanced planting and erosion control works. Where possible, the transplantation of existing valuable trees, the stockpiling of topsoil, new planting and erosion control works shall be carried out as early as possible in the construction period instead of at the end. This will assist in maximizing the time for carrying out transplantation and new planting, resulting in a higher success rate for the survival of transplantation and new planting, resulting in a higher success rate for the survival of transplanted trees and the establishment of new screen trees. The stockpiling of topsoil will provide an abundant use of on-site material for growing media. During detailed design, the issue of stockpiling of topsoil in a manner that would avoid washing into the drainage scheme should be examined comprehensively.	N/A
	Measurement of vibration would also be carried out on a need basis during the piling work	^

Remarks:

Compliance of mitigation measure; Not Applicable; \wedge

X •

N/A

Non-compliance of mitigation measure; Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor

APPENDIX H SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS

Appendix H - Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status (LCKV)

Permit No.	Valid	Period	Details	Status
refillt No.	From To		Details	Status
Environmental Per	rmit (EP)			
EP-103/2001/C	22/7/05	N/A	Construction and operation of (a) All civil works (including highways, traffic, geotechnical, drainage, structural, architectural and landscaping works) for the Lai Chi Kok Viaduct, the interchange with Ching Cheung Road, the main road within Butterfly Valley and the Eagle's Nest Tunnel; (b) All E&M works (including ventilation, Traffic Control & Surveillance System (TCSS), toll collection system and lighting) for the whole Route 9 between Cheung Sha Wan and Sha Tin; © The permanent slope works above the northern portal of the Eagle's Nest Tunnel; (d) The architectural works (including fitting out and furnishings) of the portal buildings of the Sha Tin Heights Tunnel.	Valid
Registration of Ch	emical Wast	e Producer		
WPN 5213-261-N2413-0 4	17/11/03	N/A	N/A	Valid
Water Discharge I		1		
EP482/260/251/1	05/12/03	31/12/08	Discharge of industrial trade effluent arising from the construction site at Route 9 – Lai Po Road Section of Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (Contract HY/2003/01).	Valid
EP482/260/251/2	15/12/03	31/12/08	Discharge of industrial trade effluent arising from the construction site at Route 9 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct excluding Lai Po Road Section.	Valid
Construction Noise	e Permit (CN	NP)	,	
GW-RW0323-07	30/06/07	28/12/07	Location: Ching Cheung Road section between Ching Lai Court and Castle Peak Road Time Period: 0700-2300 (general holiday including Sundays) and 1900-2300 (any day not being a general holiday).	Expired
GW-RW0329-07	08/07/07	02/12/07	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Mei Foo Sun Chuen,, Kowloon Time Period: 0900-1900 (general holiday including Sundays).	Expired
GW-RW0378-07	28/07/07	27/12/07	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Mei Foo Sun Cheun, Kowloon Time Period: 0700-2300 (general holiday including Sundays) and 1900-2300 (any day not being a general holiday).	Expired
GW-RW0409-07	19/08/07	30/12/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road section between Nob Hill and Castle Peak Road, Lai Chi Kok Time Period: 0900-1900 (general holiday including Sundays).	Expired
GW-RW0419-07	23/08/07	20/02/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Castle Peak Road, Kowloon Time Period: 0000-0600(any day not being a general holiday or not immediately following a general holiday including Sundays).	Expired
GW-RW0465-07	22/09/07	21/02/08	Location: Butterfly Valley Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon Time Period: 1900-2300 (any day not being a general holiday) and 0700-2300 (General holidays including Sundays).	Expired
GW-RW0466-07	15/09/07	12/12/07	Location: Kom Tsun Street near Lai Chi Kok Reception Centre, Kowloon Time Period: 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday if the preceding day is a general holiday), 0000-0700 and 2300-2400(any day not being a general holiday if the preceding day is not a general holiday).	Expired
GW-RW0470-07	23/09/07	23/12/07	Location: Lai Po Road Near Hoi Lai Estate, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon Time Period: 0900-1900 (general holidays including Sundays)	Expired

Permit No.	Valid	Period	Details	Status	
Permit No.	From To		Details	Status	
GW-RW0477-07	28/09/07	27/03/08	Location: Butterfly Valley, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon Time Period: 0700-2300 (general holidays including Sundays) and 1900-2300(any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0478-07	30/09/07	29/03/08	Location: Junction of Ching Cheung Road and Castle Peak Road Time Period: 0700-2300 (general holidays including Sundays) and 1900-2300(any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0514-07	07/10/07	30/12/07	Location: Castle Peak Road near Ching Cheung Road, Kwai Chung, N.T. Time Period: 0700-2300 (general holidays including Sundays) and 1900-2300(any day not being a general holiday)	Expired	
GW-RW0589-07	11/11/07	27/01/08	Location: Butterfly Valle Road near, Lai Chi Kok Reception Centre, Kowloon Time Period: 0900-1900 (general holidays including Sundays).	Expired	
GW-RW0656-07	29/12/07	28/06/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road Section between Ching Lai Court and Castle Peak Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon Time Period: 0700-2100 (general holidays including Sundays) and 1900-2300(any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0657-07	13/12/07	11/06/08	Location: Butterfly Valley Road Near Lai Chi Kok Reception Center, Kowloon. Time Period: 2300-2400 (general holidays including Sundays) and 0000-0700 and 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0658-07	12/12/07	11/06/08	Location: Lai Po Road near Sewage Pumping Station, Kowloon. Time Period: 2300-2400 (general holidays including Sundays) and 0000-0700 and 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0668-07	20/12/07	14/06/08	Location: Yuet Lun Street to Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kowloon. Time Period: 2300-2400 (general holidays including Sundays) and 0000-0700 and 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0669-07	21/12/07	18/06/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon. Time Period: 0000-0700 and 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0723-07	21/01/08	10/07/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Butterfly Valley Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon. Time Period: 0000-0700 and 2300-2400 (any day not being a general holiday).	Valid	
GW-RW0027-08	27/01/08	24/02/08	Location: Ching Cheung Road near Ching Lai Commercial Centre, Kowloon. Time Period: 0900-1900 (general holidays including Sundays).	Expired	
GW-RW0041-08	06/02/08	05/08/08	Location: Lai Po Road near Hoi Lai Estate, Kowloon. Time Period: 00:00 - 24:00 (on general holidays including Sundays) and 00:00 - 07:00 & 19:00 - 24:00 (any day not being a general holiday)	Valid	
GW-RW0077-08	22/02/08	21/08/08	Location: Butterfly Valley Road, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon Time Period: 00:00 - 24:00 (on general holidays including Sundays) and 00:00 - 07:00 & 19:00 - 24:00 (any day not being a general holiday)	Valid	

APPENDIX I COMPLAINT LOGS

Appendix I - Complaint Log

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40318	Nob Hill	18 March 2004	Kwai Tsing District Officer (KTDO) recently received a public noise complaint about construction noise generated from the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project, near Nob Hill, Lai Chi Kok. KTDO referred the complaint to the Highways Department (HyD) on the same day. HyD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 18 March 2004. The complaint was raised by the Citybase Property Management Ltd. (the management company of Nob Hill) and the Secretarty of Nob Hill Owners Committee (Mr. Kevin Tse) about construction noise generated from the R8-LCKV Project at the work areas near Nob Hill. Mr. Kevin Tse mentioned that residents living in Nob Hill have greatly been affected by the noise impacts generating from the R8-LCKV construction works. He also requested relevant government departments to consider installing noise barrier along Ching Cheung Road and to work out possible measures to minimize the noise nuisances to the residents living in the vicinity.	Based on the information provided by the ER, the construction activities conducted in the vicinity of Nob Hill in the period between 2 and 18 March 2004 were: Item 1 – Breaking off existing planter and excavate trial trench to expose underground utilities (using one to two backhoes) Item 2 – Erect rock fall fence & forming platform for predrilling (using one backhoe and occasionally one crane lorry) Item 4 – Excavate further to expose all underground utilities (using hand tools) Item 5 – Pre-drilling works (using one drilling rig) Considering the scale of work and the PMEs adopted, the ET believed that the construction noise impact at Nob Hill from the above construction activities of R8-LCKV was not significant. The bored piling work (Item 3) using one crawler crane and one oscillator was started on 19 March 2004, which was two days after the issue date of this complaint, so this activity was not considered in this report. According to the EM&A Manuals, Nob Hill was not selected as Noise Monitoring Location (NML) for the Project. Therefore, no direct noise monitoring data could be provided for the complaint investigation. However, there was no noise level exceedance recorded at the nearby NML (NM4 – Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5) since the commencement of the project according to ET's inventory. During ET's weekly environmental site inspections on 3, 10, 17 March 2004, no serious noise nuisance induced by the Project works was observed at the sites near Nob Hill. Based on the joint site visit with the representative of HyD, IEC, RSS and ET to the Nob Hill on 30 March 2004, the major noise source at Nob Hill was identified as traffic noise on Ching	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				Cheung Road, which is located very close to this building, especially at or above the Podium Floor (i.e. 5/F).	
				Based on the information obtained, this noise complaint is not considered due to the construction activities of the Project. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise, such as: To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area; To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; and To turned off any idle equipment on site.	
				Adding to that, ET is proposed to install one to two noise monitoring stations at Nob Hill in order to monitor the noise impact generated from the R8-LCKV Project to the resident of Nob Hill or the nearby buildings.	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40330	Site Areas near Nob Hill	30 March 2004	Highways Department (HyD) recently received a public noise complaint about construction noise generated from the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project, near Nob Hill, Lai Chi Kok. HyD referred the complaint to the RSS and subsequently referred to the ET Leader of the Project on 30 March 2004. The complaint was raised by Mr. Yau, the Office of DCV Member Mr. Cheung Wing Shum, regarding the high pitch construction noise generated at the R8-LCKV site which cause serious nuisance to the residents at Mei Foo.	Based on the information provided by the RSS, the Contractor was not aware of any high pitched construction noise arising from plant employed for their works. The noise complaint referred to may be originated from the damage of a gas main valve on the afternoon of 29 March 2004 in the vicinity of the junction of Mai Lai Road with Lai King Hill Road. The high pitched whistle apparently resulted from the damage which was repaired by TownGas in that afternoon. Based on the information obtained, this noise complaint is considered not due to the construction activities of the Project. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise, such as: To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area; To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; and To turned off any idle equipment on site.	Closed
40402	Nob Hill	06 April 2004	A public noise complaint was received by the Contractor (NECSO) on 02 April 2004 regarding the noise generated from the Ching Cheung Road Widening Works of the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project, near Nob Hill, Lai Chi Kok. NECSO referred the complaint to the RSS and subsequently referred to the ET Leader of the Project on 6 April 2004	The complaint was raised by Ms Wong, regarding the noise generated from the Ching Cheung Road Widening Works of the R8-LCKV Project, which cause serious nuisance to her. Based on the information provided by the RSS, the plants employed by the Contractor for carrying out bored piling works in front of Nob Hill should not generate excessive noise. The RSS had also checked against the site records that no piling works was in progress in front of Nob Hill on 1-3 April 2004. According to telephone communication between the complainant (Ms Wong) and the RSS on 8 April 2004, the RSS reported that Ms Wong was not complaining about the construction noise generated by the R8-LCKV Project. She was actually complaining about the traffic noise she anticipated to be generated after completion of widening work at Ching Cheung Road in front of Nob Hill.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				During ET's weekly environmental site inspections on 17, 24 & 31 March 2004 and 7 April 2004, no serious noise nuisance induced by the Project works was observed at the construction sites near Nob Hill. Based on the joint site visit with the representative of HyD, IEC,	
				RSS and ET to the Nob Hill on 30 March 2004, the major noise source at Nob Hill was identified as traffic noise on Ching Cheung Road, which is located very close to this building, especially at or above the Podium Floor (i.e. 5/F).	
				Based on the information obtained, this noise complaint is considered not due to the construction activities of the Project.	
				Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise, such as • To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; • To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area;	
				 To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; and To turned off any idle equipment on site. 	
			A public complaint was raised on 30 th June 2004 regarding the washout of muddy water from the site area of the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project, at Pier P7 onto	Based on the information provided by the RSS, the spillage of muddy water was in fact due to a burst in a temporary water pipe being utilized in the piling operations at Pier P7 in Portion E1.	
40710	Pier P7 in Portion E1	10 1015/2004	Lai Chi Kok Road. The complaint was referred to the RSS on 3 rd July 2004 and subsequently referred to the ET	Emergency remedial works were undertaken preventing further spillage of muddy water. The remaining ponding water within the works area arising from the burst was all removed from the area on 5 th July 2004.	Closed
			Leader of the Project on 10 th July 2004.	During ET's weekly environmental site inspection on 14 th July 2004, no serious water quality nuisance induced by the Project works was observed at the construction sites near Pier P7. It was also noted that the back of profile barriers along the site	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Dog Ren	Document	Accorded Built	The complaint was raised by Mr. Chan, regarding the washout of muddy water from the works area of the R8-LCKV Project onto Lai Chi	boundary had been sealed up by cement as preventive measures. During ET's weekly environmental site inspections on 17, 24 & 31 March 2004 and 7 April 2004, no serious noise nuisance	Status
			Kok Road. The washout caused nuisance to the drivers utilizing the road, and may also cause danger to	induced by the Project works was observed at the construction sites near Nob Hill.	
			the motorbikes.	Based on the information obtained, the complaint is considered due to the construction activities of the Project. Emergency remedial works had been taken by the Contractor to rectify the situation and preventive measures had also been implemented.	
				Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt the following measures to avoid re-occurrence of similar incidents: • to enhance surface runoff control measures along the site boundary;	
				 to provide adequate training to the frontline workers; and to regularly inspect temporary water supply equipment, such as hose pipe to make sure the equipment is in good condition. 	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
40809	Ching Cheung Road area near Nob Hill	22-Jul-04 (by EPD) 09-Aug-04 (by ET Leader)	EPD received a public noise complaint on 22 July 2004 about construction noise and dust generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project, at the Ching Cheung Road Area near Nob Hill. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader of the Project on 9 August 2004. The complaint was about the construction noise and dust observed at the Ching Cheung Road area near Nob Hill. The locations of the works areas being concerned by the complainant include: 1. Area A: Works area between Nob Hill and Lai Chi Kok Park Swimming Pool 2. Area B: Works area between Ching Cheung Road and Mei Lai Road / Lai Wan Road opposite to Mei Foo Sun Cheung (Phase 5) and Lai Chi Kok Public Library.	Information Provided by RSS Information (construction activities and equipment adopted) in a 2-week period before the date of complaint, i.e. 7 to 21 July 2004, was obtained from the Resident Site Staff. Area A: Item 1 – Drainage works by using 1 x backhoe; Item 2 – Bored piling works by using 1 x crawler crane, 1 x air compressor, 1 x reverse circulation drill and 1 x power pack; Item 3 – Trial trench excavation by man power; Item 4 – Gas main diversion by 1 x backhoe (performed by TGC's Contractor) Area B: No construction activity was undertaken in the concerned period. Review of Environmental Monitoring Results The routine monitoring stations, which are in the vicinity of the concerned works areas, include: Noise Monitoring NM4: R/F of Mei Foo Sun Chuen (Phase 5) NM8a: M/F of Nob Hill NM8b: 3/F of Nob Hill Air Quality (1-hr TSP / 24-hr TSP) Monitoring AM2: R/F of Lai Chi Kok Sports Centre No Action / Limit level exceedance was identified in July 2004. Environmental Site Inspection During the ET site inspections on 8th, 14th and 20th July 04, no major environmental deficiency with regard to noise and air quality was identified by the auditors. Conclusions Based on the RSS's information, environmental monitoring results as well as the observations made during site inspections, this complaint is considered to be invalid and not due to the construction activities of the Project. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise and dust impacts, such as:	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Esq Ren		Accented Date		 To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers; To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area; To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; To turn off any idle equipment on site. To cover excavated dusty materials by impervious sheeting; To provide water spray for haul roads, loading/unloading and concrete breaking operations; To perform wheel wash for every vehicle immediately before leaving the site. 	Status

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
50215	Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (Retaining Wall CC-R3)	15-Feb-05 (by ET Leader)	A public complaint was raised on 8 th Feb 2005 regarding construction noise from the site area of the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Mei Foo Sun Chuen. The complaint was referred to the Resident Site Staff on 14 th Feb 2005 and subsequently referred to the ET Leader of the Project on 15 th Feb 2005. The complaint was raised by a resident in Mei Foo Sun Chuen, regarding the noise generation from the piling work at Retaining Wall CC-R3, adjacent to Po Leung Kuk Tong Nai Kan College.	Construction Activities During the weekly site inspection on 17 Feb 05, piling work was being conducted at the concerned. The major powered mechanical equipment (PME) in operation included a mobile crane, an air compressor, a reverse circulation drill and a generator. In view of the separation of the site area and the residential building (around 40 m) and also the high traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road as well as Mei Lai Road, the noise generated from the operation of the PME was believed to be insignificant. Environmental Monitoring The noise monitoring results at Station NM4 (Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5) for the last 3 months were reviewed in order to evaluate the noise impact from the Project on the noise sensitive receiver. The measured noise levels in last three threes were ranged from 70.8 to 75.8 dB(A). It was observed that the measured noise levels were well within the range of baseline noise levels (69.2 to 75.8 dB(A)). The corrected construction noise levels were found to be ranged from 63.5 to 71.5 dB(A), which were well below the noise criterion of 75 dB(A). Conclusions Based on the information obtained and the noise monitoring results, this complaint is considered to be invalid and not due to the construction activities of the Project. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise impacts.	Closed
50322	Seung Lai	11-Mar-05	Environmental Protection	Construction Activities	Closed

House, Wah Lai Estate (Slope S1) Department (FPD) received a public noise complaint on 11 Mar 05 about daytime construction noise generation from R8-LCKV. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project no 22 Mar 05. The complaint was raised by a resident of Seung Lai House of Wah Lai Estate, regarding the daytime (0800-1800 hrs) construction noise generated from the slope work and road work of R8-LCKV Project. As advised by EPD, the complainant is living on 20/F or above in Seung Lai House. Department (EPD) received a public noise complaint on 11 Mar 05 and the Radius (Sound 140 m) and also the traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road, the noise generated from the construction activities at Slope S1 was believed to be insignificant. Environmental Monitoring Ad-hor noise measurem was conducted at Seung Lai House on 30 th Mar 05 and the measured noise level (Leq-30min) was 66.9 dB(A), which was well below the criterion for daytime construction of background noise level (in the results) is expected to be even lower. Conclusion Based on the information obtained and the noise measurement results, this complaint is considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good site practice to minimize the construction noise impact.	Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
	Log Ref.	House, Wah Lai Estate	(by EPD) 22-Mar-05	Department (EPD) received a public noise complaint on 11 Mar 05 about daytime construction noise generation from R8-LCKV. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 22 Mar 05. The complaint was raised by a resident of Seung Lai House of Wah Lai Estate, regarding the daytime (0800-1800 hrs) construction noise generated from the slope work and road work of R8-LCKV Project. As advised by EPD, the complainant is living on 20/F or above in Seung Lai	As advised by the RSS, the major construction work during 25 Feb 05 to 11 Mar 05 (2 weeks before the date of complaint) in the vicinity of Wah Lai Estate included excavation work, soil nail work and installation of u-channel and manholes. The major powered mechanical equipment included excavators, drilling machine and air compressor. In view of the separation of the site area (Slope S1) and the Seung Lai House (around 140 m) and also the traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road, the noise generated from the construction activities at Slope S1 was believed to be insignificant. **Environmental Monitoring** Ad-hoc noise measurement was conducted at Seung Lai House on 30th Mar 05 and the measured noise level (Leq-30min) was 66.9 dB(A), which was well below the criterion for daytime construction noise of 75 dB(A). The construction noise level (with reduction of background noise level) is expected to be even lower. **Conclusion** Based on the information obtained and the noise measurement results, this complaint is considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to adopt good	Status
50330, Wah Lai 30-Mar-05, 31- Four public complaints were lodged Construction Activities Closed	50330, 50331,	Wah Lai Estate	30-Mar-05, 31- Mar-05, 4-Apr-05	Four public complaints were lodged by the residents of Wah Lai Estate	Construction Activities	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log Ref. 50404 & 50407	Location	Received Date & 7-Apr-05 (by ET Leader via RSS)	regarding the construction noise from the site area of the Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Wah Lai Estate. The complaints were referred by the Resident Site Staff to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader on 30 th , 31 st March, 4 th and 7 th April 2005, respectively.	Investigation/Mitigation Action The site of concern was likely to be Slope S1, which is around 140 m away from Wah Lai Estate. The major construction work at Slope S1 included trimming of slope, soil nail work and erection of u-channels and step channels. Environmental Monitoring Ad-hoc noise measurement was conducted at Seung Lai House on 30th Mar 05 and 7th Apr 05 and the measured noise levels (Leq-30min) were ranged from 66.9 to 69.1 dB(A), which were well below the criterion for daytime construction noise of 75 dB(A). The construction noise level (with reduction of background noise level) is expected to be even lower. Conclusion Based on the results of the ad-hoc noise measurements at Wah Lai Estate, no exceedance of daytime noise criterion of 75 dB(A) was recorded. The complaints lodged are therefore considered not justifiable. Mitigation The Contractor agreed to arrange the noisy activities to commence after 8:00 am. This arrangement could effectively reduce the disturbance to the residents within the more sensitive time period (7:00 am to 8:00 am).	Status
50404-v2	Mei Foo Sun Chuen	4-Apr-05 (by ET Leader via RSS)	A public complaint was raised on 1 st April 2005 regarding construction noise from the site area of the Route	Construction Activities The site of concern was likely to Retaining Wall CC-R3,	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV)	adjacent to Po Leung Kuk Tong Nai Kan College. The major	
			Project near Mei Foo Sun Chuen.	construction works at this area included bored piling works and	
			The complaint was referred to the	excavation works.	
			Resident Site Staff and the ET		
			Leader on 4 th April 2005.	Environmental Monitoring	
				According to the EM&A Manual, Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (NM4) is designated as one of the noise monitoring stations.	
				Since the commencement of the impact monitoring programme, the construction noise levels recorded at this station were all below the noise criterion.	
				Conclusion	
				Based on the noise monitoring results at Station NM4 (Mei Foo Sun Chuen), no exceedance of daytime noise criterion of 75 dB(A) was recorded since the commencement of the impact monitoring programme. The complaint lodged is therefore considered not justifiable.	
				Mitigation	
				The Contractor has agreed to arrange the noisy activities to commence after 8:00 am. This arrangement could effectively reduce the disturbance to the residents within the more sensitive time period (7:00 am to 8:00 am). The Contractor also agreed to provide some temporary noise barriers for the noisy machinery if found necessary.	
		7-Jun-05	According to EPD, the complaint	Site Activities	
50613	Mei Foo Sun	(by EPD)	was raised by a resident of Mei Foo		Closed
30013	Chuen		Sun Chuen (Block 7, Phase 5) on 7	The site of concern was likely to be CCR-R3. Bored piling	Ciosea
		13-Jun-05	June 2005. It was about construction	works and demolition of existing retaining walls were	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
		(by ET Leader)	dust emitted intermittently from the slope works undertaken on the other side of Mei Lai Road.	undertaken at this area in the period between 1 and 7 June 2005. It was believed that the demolition of existing retaining wall, which involved concrete breaking, was the activity of concern.	
			The complainant was particularly concerned about the fugitive dust emission during rock / concrete breaking activities.	On 1 Jun 05, one of the environmental deficiencies noted by the ET was about fugitive dust emission from breaking activities at CCR-R3. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient dust mitigation measures for the breaking works. Immediate action was taken by the Contractor to apply water spray for the works as observed during the audit session.	
				On 9 Jun 05, the breaking works were still being taken at CCR-R3. Water spray as a dust mitigation measure was being adopted by the Contractor during the audit. No observable dust emission was noted from the breaking works or other site activities.	
				On 15 Jun 05, the same area was re-inspected due to the receipt of the complaint from EPD. The demolition works had been finished and no other dust emissive activity was being taken. No other dust source from the construction site was observed during the inspection.	
				Conclusion	
				Based on the observations noted during our site inspections, this complaint is considered to be valid and related to the construction activities of the Project.	
				However, corrective action had been taken by the Contractor and the situation was found improved during the follow-up inspections.	
50721	Hei Lai House, Wah Lai Estate	21-Jul-05 (by ET Leader)	The complaint was lodged by a resident of Hei Lai House of Wah Lai Estate through a Legislative Council member. The complaint was	Site Activities The slope work at Slope S1 was likely to be the activity of concern. The work at Slope S1 recently included the operation	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	about construction noise nuisance caused by rock breaking work, which claimed to be started from 8:30am daily, carried out at Ching Cheung Road near Wah Lai Estate. The complainant hoped that the rock breaking work could start later i.e. be carried out from noon to afternoon and the site could be fully enclosed. The Environmental Team (ET) of the Project received the complaint on 21 July 2005 and forwarded it to the Resident Site Staff (RSS) to obtain necessary information.	of excavator mounted breakers, excavators and dump trucks. The time period of concern was within normal working hours (7am to 7pm) on a weekday not being a public holiday. The noise criterion is 75 dB(A) for domestic premises. Noise Measurement Ad-hoc measurements were carried out on the roof of Hei Lai House on 25 July 2005. The results show that the measured noise level is well below the noise criterion of 75 dB(A). The construction noise level (with reduction of background noise) is expected to be even lower. Conclusion Since the noise measurement results at Wah Lai Estate were below 75 dB(A), the complaint was considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, noise mitigation measures have been implemented by the Contractor to minimize the noise impact arising from the breaking activities: 1. Employment of silenced-type breakers; 2. Temporary noise barriers, attached with sound adsorption materials, were erected to screen the site of breaking from sensitive receivers 3. While the permitted hours for construction works are 7am to 7pm on non-holidays, the Contractor has commenced the rock breaking activity after 8:30am.	Status
51107	Ching Cheung Road near Mei Foo Sun Chuen	7-Nov-05 (by the ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV)	The site of concern was likely to be CCR-S4 and CCR-R3. According to RSS's records, bored piling works and soil nail drilling at CCR-R3, excavation works at CCR-S4 in the concerned period.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 7 November 2005. According to EPD, the complaint was raised by a resident of Mei Foo Sun Chuen. The complaint was about dark smoke, dust and noise nuisance caused by the construction work of R8-LCKV near Mei Foo Sun Chuen.	 Site Inspection After receipt of the complaint, an ad-hoc site inspection was carried by ET on 9 November 2005 and the following observations were made: Breaking activities were undertaken at CCR-R2 and R3. Continuous water spray was applied by the workers for dust suppression. Movable noise barriers were erected to alleviate the noise impact. The haul roads and exposed works areas were observed wet. A water sprinkler was installed at the CCR-S4 for water spraying. Most of the slope was shot-creted to avoid wind erosion. Bored piling work was carried out near the site exit of CCR-R3. Since bored piling mainly involves handling of wet materials, dust nuisance causing by this type of work is not anticipated. Gas exhaust from the machines was visually clear and no dark smoke was identified. Environmental Monitoring Air quality monitoring was conducted at Lai Chi Kok Sports Centre and noise monitoring is conducted at Mei Foo Sun Chuen. No exceedance was recorded for both monitoring. Conclusion Based on the ad-hoc site inspection and the environmental monitoring results, this complaint was considered not justifiable. 	
60118	Lai Po Road near Hoi Lai Estate	18-Jan-06 (by the ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. EPD subsequently referred	Site Activities According to the RSS's records, night works were carried out by the Contractor between 2000 hrs on 14 January 2006 and 0530 hrs on 15 January 2006:	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 18 January 2006. According to EPD, the complaint was lodged by a resident of Hoi Ming House of Hoi Lai Estate. The complaint was about construction noise nuisance caused by construction work of R8-LCKV carried out at Lai Po Road near Hoi Lai Estate. The noise nuisance was noted since 14 January 2006 during the periods from 2330 hrs to 0600 hrs.	• Delivery of segment from storage yard near Pier P5/L to Pier 15 for erection; • Stressing to temporary PT bars of segments at Pier B3. The above night works, which involved operation of tractor, mobile crane, lifting frame and generator, were undertaken under the two construction noise permits CNP no. GW-RW0739-05 and GW-RW0740-05. Environmental Monitoring In order to evaluate the noise impact onto the residents of Hoi Lai Estate, nighttime noise monitoring was carried out on 18 January 2006 at 23:00. The above monitoring results revealed that the measured noise levels were close to the reference background levels. After correction of the mean background level, all corrected noise levels were below the noise criterion of 55 dB(A). Conclusion Based on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaint is considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to take sufficient noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community.	Status
60119	Mei Foo Sun Chuen (Phase 5)	18-Jan-06 (by the ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental	Site Activities The site of concern was likely to be CCR-S4, CCR-R2 and CCR-R3. According to RSS's records, site activities included: • Trimming of existing rock slope at CCR-S4; • Excavation and rock dowel installation at CCR-R2; and • Construction of cable trough at CCR-R3 by CLP's	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log Kel.	Location	Acceived Date	Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 19 January 2006. According to EPD, the complaint was raised by a resident of Mei Foo Sun Chuen via a Sham Shui Po District Council Member's Office. The complaint mentioned that residents of Mei Foo Sun Chuen Stage 5 were adversely affected by construction dust caused by the Route 8 work carried out at the slopes adjacent to Ching Cheung Road.	contractor. Site Inspection After receipt of the complaint, an ad-hoc site inspection was carried by ET on 19 January 2006. No environmental deficiency regarding construction dust was identified during the inspection. Environmental Monitoring All monitoring results in Jan 06 revealed that no exceedance was recorded for the air quality (1-hr and 24-hr TSP) criteria. Contractor's Action The Contractor of R8-LCKV had implemented several dust mitigation measures: • Haul roads, exposed slope surface and soil stockpiles were watered regularly by hose pipes and sprinklers; • Idled exposed slope were shot-creted; and • Watering was applied for the dust emissive activities, such as loading and unloading of dusty materials, excavation and breaking works. Conclusion Based on the ad-hoc site inspection and the environmental monitoring results, this complaint was considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to keep on the dust mitigation measures being implemented and step up the measures if necessary.	Status
60213 60216 60220 60222	Hoi Lai Estate (Lai Po Road)	13-Feb-06 16-Feb-06 20-Feb-06 22-Feb-06 (by the ET Leader)	Four environmental complaints were received in this reporting month. Three of them were referred by EPD on 13 th , 20 th and 22 nd Feb 06 and the other one was referred by HyD via MHJV on 16 th Feb 06.	Site Activities Since around mid-January 2006, segments were transported to Piers P15 and B4, under the permission of construction noise permit (CNP).	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			All about construction noise due to night works at Lai Po Road near Hoi Lai Estate.	It was suspected that the sound of concern was generated from tractors for precast segment transportation. In view of the safety of workers, an alert sound and flashing are maintained during backing action of the tractors.	
				Site Inspection	
				An ad-hoc inspection was carried out by the ET on 16 Feb 06 from 00:30 to 02:30 am. Noise measurement was carried out during the inspection to evaluate the noise impact onto the residents of Hoi Lai Estate. During the monitoring, the major noise source identified was the road traffic noise from Sham Mong Road and Lai Po Road. No alarm sound or alike from the construction equipment was noted. The above monitoring results revealed that the measured noise levels were close to the reference baseline level. After correction of the mean background level, most of data were below the noise criterion of 55 dB(A).	
				Conclusion	
				Based on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaints are considered not justifiable.	
				It was suspected that the nuisance was caused by the alert sound of tractors during backward movement which servers as a safety measure. However, the RSS and the Contractor are considering the possibility of lowering the alert sound level or replacing by a less disturbing pitch in order to minimize the noise nuisance to residents of Hoi Lai Estate.	
			Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 20	Site Activities According to the Resident Site Staff (RSS)'s records, the construction works were carried out by the Contractor from daytime to 2230 hours on 14 April and from 2000 hours to 0600 hours 16 April 2006.	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
60420	Near both Hoi Lai Estate and West Kowloon Highway	20-Apr-06 (by the ET Leader)	April 2006. The complaint is about construction noise nuisance caused by construction work of night works at location near both Hoi Lai Estate and West Kowloon Highway between 14 and 17 April 2006.	 Erecting segments at column PA/R; Stressing of top tendon wires of segments and erecting segments at column P1/R; and Transporting segments to storage yard. The above construction activities were undertaken under a construction noise permit CNP no. GW-RW0172-06. Base on the RSS's preliminary investigation, it was suspected that the noise nuisance of concern was caused by loading and unloading of materials, hammering and/or dropping of materials on ground during the stressing works and transportation of precast segment by tractors. Contractor's Action The Contractor had implemented a short term mitigation measures:- Turned off the alert sound of tractors during backward movement in order to reduce the potential for noise impact; Strengthened their management on worker's working manner such as avoid dropping of material on ground, wrapping up of hammering equipment and etc.; and Conducted training of worker in order to reducing noise nuisance during the night works. 	Close
				Conclusion Based on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaints are considered not justified.	
				It was suspected that the nuisance was caused by loading and unloading of materials, hammering and/or dropping of materials on ground during the stressing works and	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				transportation of precast segment by tractors. The Contractor has strictly complied with PME allowed in the CNP No. GW-RW0172-06. Besides, night work at the concerned location was completed. No further construction work at night at this location is anticipated. Site Activities	
60428	Between Ching Cheung Road and Mei Lai Road (near Phase 5 of Mei Foo Sun Chuen)	28-Apr-06 (by the ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about tree cutting in the area between Ching Cheung Road and Mei Lai Road (near Phase 5 of Mei Foo Sun Chuen). EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 28 April 2006. The complaint was about the Contractor cu trees in the area between Ching Cheung Road and Mei Lai Road (near Phase 5 of Mei Foo Sun Chuen). This had removed the traffic noise barrier effect of the trees and hence made the residents of Mei Foo Sun Chuen becoming being seriously affected by the traffic noise nuisance.	According to the Resident Site Staff (RSS)'s records, current construction activities included segment erection works for Slip Road D, excavation works for cut slope CCR-S4 and retaining wall construction at CCR-R2 and CCR-R3. Since excavation for cut slopes and construction of slip road D are required at this area, tree cutting is unavoidable. Tree felling application was approved by DLO/KW. Contractor Action Under the EP condition and EIA, there is no need for this project to mitigate the traffic noise barrier effect due to the removal of tress. No follow up action was required for this complaint. Conclusion Under the EP conditions and EIAO, there is no need for this project to mitigate the traffic noise barrier effect due to the removal of trees. Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered not justifiable. Since excavation for cut slopes and construction of slip road D are required at this area, tree cutting is unavoidable. Tree felling application was approved by DLO/KW.	Close

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				Compensatory planting will be provided at the concerned area after completion of the construction works in order to improve the landscape and visual impacts. No follow up action will be required for this complaint.	
60522	Hoi Lai Estate (Hoi Fai House)	22-May-06 (by ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaints about noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to ET Leader on 22 May 2006. The complaint was concerned about the noise produced from construction work during the period between 2300 hours and 0100 hours every night since 3 weeks ago. The complaint described the noise being like sound of poring concrete.	According to the RSS's records, only precast segment transportation works at the concerned area which was used as the segment storage yard near Pier P5L to Piers near Mui Kong Tsuen. No concreting activities were carried out at the abovementioned area between 2300 hours and 0100 hours every night in concerned period. In addition, the transportation works were usually carried out from 2000 hours to 0300 hours (or before 0300 hours). Contractor Action The idle and backup equipments such as tractors has turned off or throttled down in order to reduce the noise impact since the last complaint on this issue near Hoi Lai Estaet. Besides, the above night works were undertaken with three construction noise permits. Site Inspection An ad-hoc inspection was carried out by the ET at 2300 on 26 May 2006. During the inspection, no construction activities were carried out at the concerned area, where the tractor and mobile crane were throttled down. Conclusion According to RSS's information, no concreting activities were	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				carried out at the concerned area. Therefore, the major noise nuisance (pouring concrete) might not be generated from the abovementioned area. Besides, the Contractor strictly complied with PME allowed in the CNP No. GW-RW0172-06. In addition, the Contractor had turned off the alert sound of tractors during backward movement. Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered	
				not justifiable. However, the Contractor was reminded to continuously implement their practice to prevent noise nuisance generation due to the construction works. The site situation will be continuously reviewed by ET and RSS also.	
60609	Near Phase 5 of Mei Foo Sun Chuen	9-Jun-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint about environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LVKC). Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 9 June 2006. The complaint was about the noise generated from rock excavation work from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. at the area between Ching Cheung Road and Mei Lai Road (near Phase 5 of Mei Foo Sun Cheun).	As advised by the RSS, the site of concerned area was likely to be CCR-S4. According to the RSS's records, 1 number of excavator mounted breaker was unsed to carry out rock breaking work at CCR-S4 during the period between 9 a.m. and 6 p.m. The excavation and rock breaking activities at the concerned area will likely be completed by end of September 2006. Contractor Action The silent rock breaking equipment has been used and noise barriers were erected to minimize the noise impact generated from the breaking activity. Site Inspection and Environmental Monitoring An ad-hoc inspection was carried out by ET on 14 June 2006 from 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. and 16 June 2006 from 4:00 p.m. to	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				During the inspections, the construction activities at CCR-S4 included handheld breaking, excavation and rock breaking activities were carried out at CCR-S4. However, the temporary noise barriers were erected at the abovementioned location as same as RSS's mentioned.	
				Noise measurement was carried out during the inspection to evaluate the noise impact onto the residents of Mei Foo Sun Chuen. The monitoring location was original monitoring location NM4 (Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phase 5).	
				The measured monitoring results were close to the reference baseline level. After correction of the mean background level, the monitoring data were below the noise criterion of 75 dB(A).	
				Conclusion	
				Base on the information collection and the monitoring result, the complaint was considered not justifiable.	
				The Contractor had implemented noise mitigation measures to minimize the noise impact. Besides, the monitoring result were below the noise criteria of 75dB(A). However, the Contractor was still reminded to continuously implement their practice to prevent noise nuisance generation from the construction works.	
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the ET.	
	Near Phase 5	Low Phase 5	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through a facsimile on 12 June 2006 about an environmental	Site Activities As advised by the RSS, the site of concerned area was likely to be CCR-S4.	
60626	of Mei Foo Sun Chuen	26-Jun-06 (by ET Leader)	nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct 9R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 26 June 2006.	According to the RSS's records, 1 number of excavator mounted breaker was unsed to carry out rock breaking work at CCR-S4 during the period between 9 a.m. and 6 p.m.	Closed
1				The excavation and rock breaking activities at the concerned	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			According to the explanation from	area will likely be completed by end of September 2006.	
			the RSS, this complaint was indeed		
			the same as that received by the ET		
			on 9 June 2006. The complaint	Contractor Action	
			initiated the complaint verbally to the		
			ICC on 8 June 2006 and then also	The silent rock breaking equipment has been used and noise	
			issued a facsimile to the ICC. The facsimile was transferred to the RSS	barriers were erected to minimize the noise impact generated from the breaking activity.	
			on 12 June 06 and eventually reached	from the breaking activity.	
			the ET on 26 June 2006.	Site Inspection and Environmental Monitoring	
			the E1 on 20 June 2000.	Sue Inspection and Environmental Mondoring	
			The complaint was about the noise	As the complaint was identical to the one received on 9 June 06	
			generated from rock excavation work	by the ET, the ad-hoc inspections carried out on 14 June 2006	
			from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. at the area	from 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. and 16 June 2006 from 4:00 p.m. to	
			between Ching Cheung Road and	4:45 p.m. were still applicable to this report. In addition, further	
			Mei Lai Road (near Phase 5 of Mei	ad-hoc inspections were carried out on 28 June 2006 from 1:30	
			Foo Sun Cheun).	p.m. to 4:00 p.m. and 3 July 2006 from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.	
			This complaint was made by the	During the aforesaid inspections, the construction activities at	
			same complainant to the ICC through	CCR-S4 included handheld breaking, excavation and rock	
			two different channels (by phone and	breaking activities were carried out at CCR-S4. However, the	
			by facsimile) and the ET of the	temporary noise barriers were erected at the abovementioned	
			Project was firstly notified on 9 June	location.	
			2006. A complaint investigation		
			report was issued on 22 June 06.	In addition to the noise measurement conducted on 14 and 16	
				June 2006, further noise measurement was carried out on 30	
			As the ET received this separate	June 2006 to evaluate the noise impact onto the residents of Mei	
			complaint after the issue of the	Foo Sun Chuen. The monitoring location was original	
			complaint investigation report and	monitoring location NM4 (Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phase 5).	
			considered the nature of the	Naisa maagumamant agmind out on 20 Ivna 06 oft	
			complained event (general	Noise measurement carried out on 30 June 06, after correction	
			construction during daytime but not single event at a particular moment),	of the mean background level, the monitoring data were below the noise criterion of 75 dB(A)	
			the complaint investigation	the hoise effection of 73 dd(A)	
			procedures were initiated.	Conclusion	
			procedures were initiated.	Concuston	
				This complaint was identical to the one received by the ET on 9	
				June 06 because the complainant addressed the complaint to the	
				ICC through two different channels (by phone and by	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				facsimile). The facsimile was transferred to the RSS on 12 June 06 and eventually reached the ET on 26 June 06. Base on the information collection and the monitoring result, the complaint was considered not justifiable. The Contractor had implemented noise mitigation measures to minimize the noise impact. Besides, the monitoring result were below the noise criteria of 75dB(A). However, the Contractor was still reminded to continuously implement their practice to	
			The Integrated Compleint Control	prevent noise nuisance generation from the construction works. The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the ET.	
60830	Near Mei Foo and Lai King Hill Road	30-Aug-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint on 25 August 2006 about an environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct 9R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 30 August 2006. The complaint was concerned about dust generated from the rock drilling works affected the nearby ASRs. The complaint described that spraying of water during rock drilling works was not implemented.	According to RSS's record, rock dowel installation for slope stabilization at CCR-S1 was commenced on 22 August 2006 and would likely last for at least 6 months. Contractor Action After receiving the complaint, the Contractor has further enhanced the dust mitigation measures as follows: • Enclosing the rock dowel drilling work on three sides, i.e. top, back and the left hand side, with tarpaulin sheets; • Spraying of water at the hole during drilling; • Wrapping the head of the drilling rig with a wet thick towel. Site Inspection and Environmental Monitoring During the monthly site inspection on 4th September 2006, rock drilling at the slope CCR-S1 was carrying out. The ET observed that the work area was enclosed by tarpaulin sheets at three sides. Water was sprayed continuously at the drilling hole and head of the drilling rig was enclosed with a wet thick towel. All	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				implemented.	
				Conclusion	
				Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaints are considered not justifiable.	
				It was because there was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results and dust mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor during the rock drilling works.	
				However, the Contractor was still reminded to take sufficient dust mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community:	
				• Enclose dusty activity such as rock drilling with tarpaulin sheet;	
				 Apply water spraying for any dust emissive activities, such as breaking, excavation, loading and unloading of dusty materials; 	
				Cover long-term idle exposed slope surfaces and stockpiles with tarpaulin sheets.	
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
60831	Between Lai Wan Road and Lai King Hill	31-Aug-06 (by ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to ET Leader on 31 August 2006.	Site Activities According to RSS's record, rock dowel installation for slope stabilization at CCR-S1 was commenced on 22 August 2006 and would likely last for at least 6 months. Contractor Action	Closed
	Road	(by E1 Leader)	The complaint was concerned about construction noise, dust and waste water generated from the construction work affect the nearby		

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			NSRs after 19.00 hrs, the nearby ASRs and discharged to exiting road respectively	after 18:00. As advised by the RSS, tarpaulin sheet covering and water spraying were provided by the Contractor to mitigate the dust nuisance generated from the rock drilling works. On 31 August 2006, the Contractor was further enhanced the dust mitigation measures as follows:-	
				• Enclosing the rock dowel drilling work on three sides, i.e. top, back and the left hand side (LHS) with tarpaulin sheets;	
				Spraying water at the hole during drilling;	
				• Wrapping the head of the drilling rig with a wet thick towel.	
				Site Inspection and Environmental Monitoring	
				During the monthly site inspection on 4 th September 2006, rock drilling at the slope CCR-S1 was carrying out. The ET observed that the work area was enclosed by tarpaulin sheets at three sides. Water was sprayed continuously at the drilling hole and head of the drilling rig was enclosed with a wet thick towel. All the mitigation measures mentioned by the RSS were implemented.	
				Conclusion	
				Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaint was considered not justifiable.	
				It was because there was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results and dust mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor during the rock drilling works. No construction activities were carried after 18:00 in the period mentioned by the complainant. In addition, no wastewater discharge was observed.	
				However, the Contractor was still recommended to take the following mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community:	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Eug Rei.	Location	Received Bate	Details of Companie	 Dust Nuisance Enclose dusty activity such as rock drilling by tarpaulin sheet; Apply water spraying for any dust emissive activities, such as breaking, excavation, loading and unloading of dusty materials; Cover long-term idle exposed slope surfaces and stockpiles with tarpaulin sheets. Construction Noise The Contractor was reminded that construction activities during restricted hours could only be carried out with a valid Construction Noise Permit (CNP). In addition, appropriate noise mitigation measures described in the CNP should be implemented in order to minimize the noise impact on the nearby noise sensitive receivers. Wastewater Discharge Fill up the gaps under the footings of hoarding fence along Lai King Hill Road so as to prevent spillage of muddy water during heavy rain onto the existing road. The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises. 	Status
60925	Near Ching Cheung Road, Nob Hill and Mei Lai Road	25-Sep-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint on 25 September 2006 about the an environmental nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct 9R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 25 September 2006.	Site Activities According to RSS's record, rock dowel installation for slope stabilization at the Slope CCR-S1 was commenced on 22 August 2006 and would last for at least 6 months and the first batch of rock drilling works at the Slope CCR-S4 was commenced on 19 September 2006 and completed on 23 September 2006. Contractor Action	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	The complaint was concerned about the noise generated from the construction works after 19:00 at the area near Ching Cheung Road, Nob Hill and Mei Lai Road	After receiving the complaint, the Contractor has further enhanced the noise mitigation measures as follows: • Placing of a wooden box to cover the head of drilling; • Spraying of water at the hole during drilling and erecting of nylon sheets; • Providing silent type drilling rigs for the drilling works at both Slopes CCR-S1 and CCR-S4 Site Inspection and Environmental Monitoring During the weekly site inspection on 27 September 2006, rock drilling at the Slope CCR-S1 was not carrying out. The ET observed that the work area was enclosed by tarpaulin sheets at three sides. Temporary noise barrier was erected at the working platform of the Slope CCR-S1. The ET also undertook an ad hoc site inspection at the concerned areas after 19:00 on 27 September 2006. No construction activities were observed and noise monitoring was not conducted. Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring results,	Status
				there was no exceedance of the noise monitoring results and noise mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor during the rock drilling works.	
				However, the Contractor was still reminded to carry out construction activities only within the permitted working hours (i.e. $07:00-19:00$ on weekday) and to take sufficient noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community:	
				Provide silent type drilling rigs for the drilling works;	
				Placing of wooden box to cover the head of drilling;	
				 Apply water spraying for at the hole during drilling; 	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
61025	Lai Chi Kok Road Flyover near PCCW building	25-Oct-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint on 25 th October 2006 regarding noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 25 th October 2006. The complaint was concerned about the noise nuisance generated from workers and construction vehicles during the mid-night between 0100 and 0200 on both 19 th and 20 th October 2006 at Lai Chi Kok Road Flyover near PCCW building.	Site Activities: According to RSS's record, installation of catchfan at Pier P5/L to P6 near PCCW was carried out at around 0115 to 0500 at both nights of 19 th and 20 th October 2006. The construction equipment used in both nights included one mobile crane, one crane lorry and one generator. Contractor Action According to RSS' record, acoustic material wrapping the head of chain blocks and hessian bags placing on ground around catchfans to suppress noise generation when hand tools were dropped onto ground. Environmental Monitoring An ad-hoc site observation and noise monitoring at Hoi Fai House of Hoi Lai Estate were conducted by the Contractor on 26 th October 2006 between 0100 and 0130. The ET also carried out an ad-hoc inspection on 28 th October 2006 from 0100 to 0200. During the inspection, segment erection work was carried out at Pier P5 to P6, which involved the operation of mobile crane and movement of lorry and trucks. During the monitoring, the major noise source identified was the road traffic noise. The monitoring results revealed that the measured noise levels were close to the reference background levels. After correction of the mean background level, all corrected noise levels were below the noise criterion of 55 dB (A) which consists with the noise monitoring results from the Contractor.	Closed
				Conclusion Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered justifiable although the monitoring results complied with the noise criteria.	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to take sufficient noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community: To strengthen management on worker's working manner, such as avoiding dropping materials on ground; No hammering is allowed during restricted hours; and To provide adequate training to workers working, esp. for night works. The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team.	
61103	Pier C13 and C14 at Lai Wan Road Overpass	3-Nov-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint on 28 th October 2006 regarding noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 3 rd November 2006. The complaint was concerned about noise generated from the general cleaning work of deck surface using water jet between Pier C13 and C14 at Lai Wan Road Overpass, at the evening of 28 th October 2006.	Site Activities According to the RSS's record, there is a CNP (CNP no. GW-RW0563-06) at the concerned location. Construction activities were allowed to be carried out between 19:00hr and 23:00hr (any day not being a general holiday) under the CNP. Environmental Monitoring During the weekly site inspections in October 2006, no noncompliance or observation on noise was recorded. Accordance to the EM&A program, two noise monitoring stations at Nob Hill, namely (NM8a and NM8b), have been set up in order to monitor the noise level generated from the construction activities. The Station (NM8b) is strongly influenced by road traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road. The measurement at this station is for reference purpose, but not for compliance check for construction noise. All measured value were lower than the noise criterion of 75 dB(A). No exceedance of noise level has been recorded in October 2006. Moreover, based on our site observation record during monitoring, road traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road was the major noise source. Conclusion Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered not justifiable.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to take sufficient noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community:	
				As the general cleaning work could be carried out during normal working hours (i.e. 07:00 to 19:00hr) instead as the work was not critical. RSS would remind the Contractor to programme their works better in order to minimize nuisance to nearby residents. The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team.	
			The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 18 th November 2006 regarding noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 21 st November 2006.	Contractor to finish the construction works at the concerned areas before 18:00 in order to minimize the noise nuisance to the public.	
61121-1	Area near Lai Chi Kok Swimming Pool	Chi Kok 21-Nov-06 Swimming (by ET Leader)		Environmental Monitoring During the weekly site inspections in November 2006, no non-compliance or observation on noise was recorded.	Closed
	1 001			Accordance to the EM&A programme, one noise monitoring station at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (NM4) and two noise monitoring stations at Nob Hill, namely (NM8a and NM8b), were set up in order to monitor the noise level generated from the construction activities. The Station (NM8b) is strongly influenced by road traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road. The measurement at this station is for reference purpose, but not for compliance check for construction noise.	
				The noise monitoring results in the period between 1 st and 21 st November 2006 at the M/F of Nob Hill and at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 are all lower than or equal to the noise criterion	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				of 75 dB(A). No exceedance of noise level has been recorded in the above mentioned period. Moreover, based on our site observation record during monitoring, road traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road was the major noise source.	
				Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaint was considered not justifiable.	
				However, the Contractor was still reminded to finish the construction works at the concerned areas before 18:00 and to carry out construction activities only within the permitted working hours (i.e. 07:00 – 19:00 on weekday).	
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
61121-2	Construction works opposite Tong Nai Kan College	21-Nov-06 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 17 th November 2006 regarding dust and noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 21 st November 2006. The complaint was concerned about dust and noise generated from the	Site Activities According to RSS's record, construction works adjacent to Tong Nai Kan College in the past years included the construction of Retaining Wall CCR-R3 and Slip Road D. As advised by the RSS, noise and dust mitigation measures such as provision of noise barriers and acoustic materials at drill pit, dust suppression system and water browser were provided in order to minimize the noise and dust nuisance generated from the above mentioned construction activities. Environmental Monitoring	Closed
			construction works opposite Tong Nai Kan College in the past years.	During the weekly site inspections in November 2006, no non-compliance or observation on noise and air at the concerned site was recorded. Accordance to the EM&A programme, one noise monitoring station at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (NM4) and one air monitoring station at Lai Chi Kok Sports Centre (AM2), were set up in order to monitor the noise and dust level generated	

Log Ref. Lo	cation	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				The monitoring results revealed that no exceedance was recorded for the noise and air quality (1-hr and 24-hr TSP).	
				Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaint was considered not justifiable.	
				However, the Contractor was still reminded to continuously implement their practice, such as providing noise barrier with acoustic materials at drill pit and applying water spraying for any dust emissive activities to minimize the noise and dust nuisance generated from these construction activities.	
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
61/113	anyan arden	5 th December 2006 (by ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to ET Leader on 5 th December 2006. The complaint was concerned construction noise near Banyan Garden within the period of 01:00 – 02:00hr on 29 th November 2006.	Site Activities According to RSS's record, a catchfan was moved from bay (AL-62) to (AL-58) from 22:00 to 02:00hr. Installation of catchfan at parapet bay (MS-R-74) was carried out from 00.00 to 04:00hr on 29 th November 2006. As advised by the RSS, the Contractor has been requested to: - Wrapping of tools with acoustic material - Erection of noise barrier (mill barrier with acoustic material) adjacent to isolated noise source	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				 impact on the nearby community: To strengthen management on worker's working manner, such as avoiding dropping materials on ground; No hammering is allowed during restricted hours; and To provide adequate training to workers working, esp. for night works. The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team. 	
70117-1	P6 – P8 near Lai Chi Kok Road Interchange	17 th January 2007 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 16 th January 2007 regarding noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the ET Leader on 17 th January 2007. The complaint was concerned about noise generated from the P6 – P8 near Lai Chi Kok Road Interchange in the past months.	Site Activities According to RSS's record, the construction activities at the concerned area was mainly central stitch construction and parapet erection and similar works will be carried out in the concerted site in coming one month. The equipment used on site during the complaint period was covered under the construction noise permit (CNP) no. GW-RW0624-06. Based on the RSS's record of PME used in the concerned area from 15 November 2006 to 30 December 2006, the construction works complied with the CNP no. GW-RW0624-06. Conclusion Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered unjustifiable as the equipment used complied with the CNP conditions. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to take further noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community: To strengthen management on worker's working manner, such as avoiding dropping materials on ground; No hammering is allowed during restricted hours; and To provide adequate training to workers working, esp. for night works.	Closed
70117-2	P3 – P6 near Banyan	17 th January 2007 (by ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public	č	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
_	Garden		complaint about environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct Project. EPD subsequently referred the complaint	concerned area was mainly central stitch construction and parapet erection and similar works will be carried out in the concerned site in coming one month.	
			to ET Leader on 17 th January 2007. The complaint was concerned construction noise near Banyan	The equipment used on site during the complaint period was covered under the construction noise permit (CNP) no. GW-RW0624-06.	
			Garden within the period of 01:00 – 02:00hr on 11 th January 2007.	Based on the RSS's record of PME used in the concerned area from 1 st December 2006 to 13 th January 2007, the construction works complied with the CNP no. GW-RW0624-06.	
				Conclusion Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered unjustifiable as the equipment used complied with the CNP conditions.	
				Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to take further noise mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community: - To strengthen management on worker's working manner, such as avoiding dropping materials on ground;	
				 No hammering is allowed during restricted hours; and To provide adequate training to workers working, esp. for night works. 	
	Construction		The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 21 July 2007 about an environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV)	Site Activities The concerned site was likely the Slope CCR-S4 near Ching Cheung Road. A location plan is provided in Appendix A and the work programme near Slope CCR-S4 from February 2007 to September 2007 is provided in Appendix B.	
70723	site near Mei Lai Road and Tong Nai Kan College	17 th July 2007 (by ET Leader)	Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 23 July 2007.	According to RSS's record and the above mentioned work programme, excavation and rock breaking works for slope stabilization near the Slope CCR-S4 was begun on early of July 2007 and to be completed on early of August 2007.	Closed
			The complaint was concerned about noise generated from the construction	As advised by the RSS, noise mitigation measures implemented at the concerned site include: - installing a line of noise barriers formed by acoustic	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			works near Mei Lai Road and Tong Nai Kan College.	materials in front of the noise sources; - warping the breaker with acoustic material; and - deploying silence type of breaker.	
				Environmental Monitoring	
				During the weekly site inspections in July 2007, no non-compliance or observation on noise was recorded.	
				Accordance to the EM&A programme, one noise monitoring station at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (NM4) was set up in order to monitor the noise level generated from the construction activities.	
				The noise monitoring results in the period between 3 rd and 23 rd July 2007 at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 are all lower than or equal to the noise criterion of 75 dB(A). No exceedance of noise level has been recorded in the above mentioned period.	
				Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring result, the complaints are considered not justifiable.	
				It was suspected that the nuisance was caused by the breaking activities. However, the Contractor has implemented the mitigation measures to minimize the noise generation from construction activities.	
71003	Construction site near Ching Cheung Road and Tong Nai	3 rd October 2007 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 1 October 2007 about an environment nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS)	According to RSS's record, approximately 100m long asphalt material on carriageway was removed on 30 th September and 1 st October 2007. The equipment used on site during the complaint period was covered under the construction noise permit (CNP) no. GW-	Closed
	Kan College		id Tong Tun	RW0469-07.	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			The complaint was concerned construction noise near Tong Nai Kan College and Ching Cheung Road during public holiday on 1 st October 2007.	compliance or observation on noise was recorded. Accordance to the EM&A programme, one noise monitoring station at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 (NM4) was set up in order to monitor the noise level generated from the construction activities. The noise monitoring results on 25 September 2007 and 2 October 207 at Mei Foo Sun Chuen, Phase 5 are all lower than the noise criterion of 75 dB(A). No exceedance of noise level has been recorded in the above mentioned period	
				Conclusion Based on the information collected, the complaint is considered unjustifiable as the equipment used complied with the CNP conditions. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to implement a good sit practice and mitigation measures to prevent noise nuisance generated from the construction work to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community: - wrapping the breaker with acoustic material; and	
71119	Construction site near Nob Hill	19 th November 2007 (by ET Leader)	The Integrated Complaint Centre (ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 16 November 2007 about a noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 19 November 2007 The complaint was concerned the noise generated from breaking the footpath on King Lai Path opposite to Nob Hill on 16 November 2007	- deploying silence type of breaker. Site Activities According to the RSS, footpath breaking by hand-held breaker was carried out on 16 November 2007. Environmental Monitoring During the weekly site inspections on November 2007, no noncompliance or observation on noise was recorded. Accordance to the EM&A programme, noise monitoring was conducted at Nob Hill M/F of Car Park (NM8a) and 3/F of Car Park (NM8b) in order to monitor the noise level generated from the construction activities. There was no Action/Limit Level exceedance identified, except the noise monitoring conducted at Station NM8b on 12 November 2007, which is strongly influenced by the road traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road. The measurements at these two stations are for reference purpose but not for compliance check for construction noise.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			The Integrated Complaint Centre	Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring result, the complaints are considered not justifiable. Nevertheless, the Contractor was recommended to implement a good sit practice and mitigation measures to prevent noise nuisance generated from the construction work to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community: - wrapping the breaker with acoustic material; and - deploying silence type of breaker. Site Activities	
71121	Construction site at Lai Wan Road opposite to the Lai Chi Kok Park Sports Centre	21 st November 2007 (by ET Leader)	(ICC) of HKSAR received a public complaint through telephone on 21 st November 2007 about the construction dust nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project. Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 21 st November 2007 The complaint was raised by a resident via the ICC hotline and EPD about the construction dust generated from the lorry (JA2315) leaving the works site opposite to Lai Chi Kok Park Sports Centre at 8.35am on 21 st November 2007	According to the information provided by RSS, the site of the concern was likely to be the site access at R2 opposite to Lai Chi Kok Park Sports Centre. The construction works at the sports centre included the masonry on retaining wall, surface drain along slope toe, road work at the footpath, street furniture and reinstatement the pavement. **Environmental Monitoring** During the weekly site inspection on 21 November 2007, no non-compliance or major dust generation construction activity was recorded. Accordance to the EM&A programme, the air monitoring station at Lai Chi Kok Sports Centre (AM2), was set up in order to monitor the dust level generated from the construction activities. There was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results and dust mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor. **Conclusion** Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaints are considered not justifiable. It was because there was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results. After receiving the complaint, the Contractor has further enhanced the dust mitigation measures as follows:- ** Spraying of water for any dust emissive activities;	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
80103	Construction site near the junction of King Lai Path and Lai Wan Road	3 rd January 2008 (by ET Leader)	Environmental Protection Department (EPD) received a public complaint about the construction dust generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near the Junction of King Lai Path and Lai Wan Road. EPD subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on 3 January 2008 The complaint was raised by a resident via the EPD about the construction dust of slope works near the junction of King Lai Path and Lai Wan Road on 3rd January 2008.	Site Activities Based on the information provided by the ER, the construction site was likely to be the slope CCR-S1 between Lai Wan Road and Lai King Hill Road. According to RSS's record, rock slope stabilization measures was carried on at batter 8 of slope CCR-S1. Rock drilling for installation of rock dowels (6m long) at CCR-S1 was commenced on 20 December 2007 and would likely last up to the 2 February 2008 Environmental Monitoring During the ET's weekly environmental site inspection on 28 December 2007 and 9 January 2008 and the monthly site inspection on the 2 January 2008. There was no serious dust nuisance induced by the Projects at slope CCR-S1 while rock drilling was carrying out at the slope. As advised by the RSS, a three side's tarpaulin covering sheets were provided by the Contractor to suppress the dust nuisance generated from the rock drilling works. On 5 January 2008, the drilling rig was wrapped with a we thick towel and the speed of the drill was controlled. Besides, there was no Action/Limit Level exceedance for both 1-hr and 24-hr TSP identified during the period in between the 20 December2007 and 9 January 2008. Conclusion Base on the information collected and the monitoring results, the complaint was considered not justifiable. It was because there was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results and dust mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor during the rock drilling works. After receiving the complaint, the Contractor has further enhanced the dust mitigation measures as follows:-	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				as breaking, excavation, loading and unloading of dusty materials • Cover long-term idle exposed slope surfaces and stockpiles with tarpaulin sheets; The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the RSS and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
80121	Construction site near Nob Hill	21st January 2008 (by ET Leader)	The Resident Site Staff (RSS) received a verbal complaint from a Legislative Council member, Mr. Cheung, on 21 January 2008. The complaint was about the construction noise and dust generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Nob Hill. The RSS subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET) Leader of the Project on the same day The complaint was raised by a Legislative Council member, Mr. Cheung, via the RSS about the construction noise and dust nuisances generated near Nob Hill on 21st January 2008.	Site Activities According to RSS's record, rock dowel installation for slope stabilization at CCR-S1 was commenced on 20 December 2007 and would likely last up to the 2 February 2008. Contractor Action As advised by the RSS, tarpaulin covering, water spraying and temporary noise absorbent materials were provided by the Contractor to suppress the dust and noise nuisance generated from the rock drilling works. Besides, the working hours was lie in between the normal working hours from 7am to 7pm Environmental Monitoring Weekly site inspection was performed by ET on 28th December 2007, 2nd, 9th, 16th, 23rd January 2008. A joint environmental site audit was also conducted on 2nd January 2008 with the representatives of IEC, RSS, the Contractor and ET. There was no non-compliance or observation with regard to noise and dust nuisance at the concerned site was recorded by the auditors during the site inspections carried out in December and January 2008. Review of Environmental Monitoring Results The routine monitoring stations, which are in the vicinity of the concerned works areas, include: Noise Monitoring NM8a: M/F of Nob Hill Air Quality (1-hr TSP / 24-hr TSP) Monitoring AM2: R/F of Lai Chi Kok Sports Centre	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				No Action / Limit level exceedance was identified in the period between 20 th December 2007 and 24 January 2008.	
				Conclusion Based on the RSS's information, environmental monitoring results as well as the observations made during site inspections, this complaint is considered to be invalid and not due to the construction activities of the Project.	
				It was because there was no exceedance of the air quality monitoring results and dust mitigation measures were implemented by the Contractor during the rock drilling works.	
				However, the Contractor was still recommended to take the following mitigation measures to minimize the environmental impact on the nearby community:	
				<u>Dust Nuisance</u>	
				To cover excavated dusty materials by impervious sheeting;	
				 To provide water spray for haul roads, loading/unloading and concrete breaking operations; 	
				To perform wheel wash for every vehicle immediately before leaving the site;	
				 Apply water spraying for any dust emissive activities, such as breaking, excavation, loading and unloading of dusty materials; and 	
				 Cover long-term idle exposed slope surfaces and stockpiles with tarpaulin sheets. 	
				Construction Noise	
				To space out noisy equipment and position it as far away as possible from the sensitive receivers;	
				To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area;	
				To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition;	
				To turn off any idle equipment on site;	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
				Silence-type breakers were employed for the rock breaking work close to the sensitive receivers;	
				To wrap the breaker with acoustic material; and	
				• While the permitted hours for construction works are 7am to 7pm on non-holidays, the Contractor has commenced the rock breaking activity after 8:30am. This arrangement could effectively reduce the disturbance to the residents within the more sensitive time period (7:00 am to 8:30 am).	
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises.	
80229	Ching Cheung Road near Nob Hill		The Highways Department (HyD) received a public complaint through telephone on 29 February 2008 about	Information from RSS According to RSS's record, the movement joints have been installed for about half year under the Project design and satisfactory procedures.	
			the noise nuisance generated from Route 8 – Lai Chi Kok Viaduct (R8-LCKV) Project near Nob Hill. The Resident Site Staff (RSS) subsequently referred the complaint to the Environmental Team (ET)	Environmental Monitoring The recent weekly site inspection was performed by ET on 27 th February 2008. No abnormal noise nuisance was noticed during the site inspection. The major noise source was identified to be traffic noise.	
		29 th February 2008 (by ET Leader)	Leader of the Project on the same day. The complaint was concerned the noise nuisance generated when vehicles passing over the movement joints at both bound of the Ching Cheung Road	Review of Environmental Monitoring Results Regular construction noise monitoring works were performed by ET, in accordance with the EM&A Manual. The monitoring stations, which are in the vicinity of the concerned works areas, include: Noise Monitoring Stations NM8a: M/F of Nob Hill NM8b: 3/F of Nob Hill	Closed
			carriageway at night.	The time period of construction noise monitoring was within normal working hours (7am to 7pm) on a weekday not being a public holiday. There is no control of construction noise based on the Noise Control Ordinance within this time period.	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint			itigation Action		Status
			However, according to the EM&A Manual of the Project, the criterion of construction noise in term of Leq-30min within this period is 75 dB(A) for domestic premises. Stations NM8a and NM8b were newly installed at Nob Hill in May 2004. Station NM8b is located at 3/F of the car park of Nob Hill, which is strongly influenced by traffic noise from Ching Cheung Road. The measurement at this station is for reference purpose, but not for compliance check of construction noise. The measured noise level at Station NM8a, which is located at M/F of car park and closer to the construction site, acts as an indicator of the construction noise. The monitoring result for NM8a in the period between 3rd January 2007 and 26th February 2008 are summarized quarterly as below:					
				Table 2	1 – Noise Moni Measured	toring Results at	NM8a, Nob Measured	
					Noise Level, dB(A)	Date	Noise Level, dB(A)	
				3-Jan-07	75.9	2-Oct-07	73.1	
				11-Jan-07	70.6	8-Oct-07	73.4	
				16-Jan-07	74.3	15-Oct-07	71.2	
				23-Jan-07	70.8	23-Oct-07	72.6	
						30-Oct-07	73.8	
				2-Apr-07	73.7	4-Jan-08	73.7	
				10-Apr-07	74.2	8-Jan-08	72.7	
				17-Apr-07	73.5	15-Jan-08	73.8	
				24-Apr-07	74.6	22-Jan-08	72.4	

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	In	vestigation/M	litigation Action		Status	
				3-Jul-07	71.9	4-Feb-08	73.8		
				10-Jul-07	73.8	12-Feb-08	73.6		
				17-Jul-07	74.7	21-Feb-08	72.6		
				23-Jul-07	73.8	26-Feb-08	72.8		
				30-Jul-07	72.5				
				below the criterion for daytime construction noise of 75 dB(A) and the high measured noise levels were mainly due to traffic noise instead of construction noise. No obvious increase of measured noise level was observed after the installation of the movement joints at Ching Cheung Road near Nob Hill. **Conclusion** Based on the RSS's information, environmental monitoring results as well as the observations made during site inspections, this complaint is considered to be invalid and not due to abnormal functioning of the movement joints of the Project.					
					and after the	vious difference on installation of mo			
					ound at night	only comparatively instead of abnorma			
					minimize th	as recommended t ne noise generate			
					maintain the re functioning	movement joints well;	regularly to		
					cant oil to the when necess	e movement joints ary;	to minimize		

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
		Provide noise absorbent (e.g. sponge) as a damper within the movement joints to reduce noise nuisance when necessary.			
				The environmental conditions of the site will be continuously reviewed by the Resident Site Staff and the Environmental Team through site inspections and monitoring exercises	

APPENDIX J SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES

Summary of Exceedances Recorded in the Reporting Quarter

- a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP (NIL)
- c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise
 - Two Action Level exceedances for construction noise were recorded due to the noise complaints received on 21st January 2008 and 29th February 2008. The details of complaint can refer to **Appendix I**.
 - No Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting quarter.