Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project

EP-249/2006/A - Condition 3.4 Monthly EM&A Report - October 2011

Certified by _____ on 29-Nov-11
Lindsay Pickles (ETL)

Verified by Independent Environmental Checker on 1-Dec-11 IEC Certificate attached in the submission? Yes

Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project

Environmental Permit No. EP-249/2006/B - Condition 3.4

Monthly EM&A Report - October 2011

Submitted by Ocean Park Corporation on 29-11-2011

This is to verify that

Monthly EM&A Report - October 2011

Submitted by Ocean Park Corporation

On 29-11-2011

Has been verified by the undersigned.

Signed

Dr Anne F Kerr

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

Retained by Ocean Park Corporation

pursuant to Environmental Permit No. EP-249/2006/B

Date

1 December 2011



Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report – October 2011





Table of Content

Part 1	Project Overview
Executive	
1.	Introduction
2.	Project Organisation4
3.	Construction Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month4
4.	Permits and License Status5
4.1 4.2 4.3	ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT
5.	EP Submissions Status
6.	Materials Management7
7.	Environmental Monitoring and Results7
7.1 7.1.1 7.2 7.2.1 7.2.2	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
8.	Site Audit
8.1 8.2	IEC SITE AUDIT 12 NON-COMPLIANCE 12
9.	Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
10.	Summary of Complaint, Summon or Prosecution
11.	Future Issues
12.	Conclusion and Recommendation13
12.1 12.2	CONCLUSION
Appendix	A IEC's Site Inspection Records
Part 2	CS-03 EM&A Monthly Report
Part 3	Ocean Park Symbio Show
	8th Monthly Monitoring Report



Part 1 Project Overview

Executive Summary

This is the combined monthly EM&A Report for Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project, which includes CS03 "Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure" under Part 2. This report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the reporting month of October 2011 (from 26 September 2011 to 25 October 2011) for construction works and in the reporting month of September (27 August 2011 to 26 September 2011) for Operational Monitoring.

Construction works at the Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium under Cl07 have been completed in January 2011 and, as advised to EPD on 1 April (PD/PW/GOV/151/006107), no further construction monitoring will be undertaken.

Construction works at the Summit, CS02 for the Rainforest have been completed in April 2011.

At the Summit, Contract CS03, for the Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure, is still underway. Other than ongoing Coral Survey, there will be no construction monitoring undertaken. The audits will continue to be carried out by the Contractors ET and OPC's ET and verified by the IEC.

Environmental monitoring for the Park's Operations has commenced upon the opening of Aqua City and with the commencement of the Symbio Show on 27 January 2011. The 8th Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report for the Ocean Park Symbio Show is included in this report under Part 3.

No complaint, non-compliance from IEC, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was made against the Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project in the reporting period of October 2011 for Construction works and in the reporting month of September (27 August 2011 to 26 September 2011) for Operational Monitoring.



1. Introduction

The "Master Redevelopment Project of Ocean Park" (hereinafter known as the "Project") is implemented by the Ocean Park Corporation at its existing site of Ocean Park and Nam Long Shan, Aberdeen. The Project involves both reconstruction/modification of existing facilities and expansion of the Park under Environmental Permit, EP-249/2006/B.

The construction works of the project consists of various contracts. Details of the contracts, which are required to perform the EM&A programme, are shown below.

Contract No.	Contract Title	Contractor	Construction Commencement
CI-05	Site Formation, Funicular Tunnel and Miscellaneous Works	Dragages- Bouygues JV	12 March 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in early June 2009
CS-01	Back of House for Marine Mammal Veterinary Hospital	Kaden – ATAL JV	26 March 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in mid- October 2008
CW-02	Astounding Asia	W. Hing Construction Co. Ltd.	1 August 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in mid- February 2010
CI-07	Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium	Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd.	15 August 2008 and Construction Phase has ceased in January 2011
C\$-02	Rainforest	W. Hing Construction Co. Ltd.	11 May 2009 and construction has ceased in April 2011
CS-03	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Kaden – ATAL JV	2 November 2009

The Contractors conduct environmental audits during the construction stage and produce contract specific monthly EM&A reports. This is the combined monthly EM&A Report including the IEC audit findings, CS03 Monthly EM&A Report, and the Operational Monitoring Report for the Ocean Park Symbio Show.

This report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the reporting month of October 2011 (from 26 September 2011 to 25 October 2011) for construction works and in the reporting month of September (27 August 2011 to 26 September 2011) for Operational Monitoring.



2. Project Organisation

The structure of the environmental management team is shown in below figure.

Environmental Project Proponent -Submit Report + Liaison Protection Ocean Park Department Environmental Team Leader Lindsay Pickles Recommendations Liaison + submit report + recommendations + Improvements + Improvements Liaison PMR (RSS Team) dependent Environmenta Checker - Dr Anne Kerr Recommendations Supervision + Improvements ndividual Contractor KAJV EM&A Programmes + Submit Report + Liaison Submit Report + Liaison recommendations Individual Contractor's **Environmental Team Leader** Winson Cheung Contractual Relationship Working Relationship

Figure 1.1 - Management Organisation

3. Construction Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month

In the reporting month, the construction activities are summarised as follows.

CI-05

Construction phase has ceased in early June 2009.

CS-01

Construction phase has ceased in mid-October 2008.

CW-02

Construction phase has ceased in mid-February 2010.

CI-07

Construction phase has ceased in January 2011.

CS-02

Construction phase has ceased in April 2011.

CS-03

- Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
- Erection of structure steel works for Thrill Mountain;
- Finishing works at Thrill Mountain;
- Carry out wall finishing works for PA Building;
- Theme works at PA Building;
- Finishing works at Floorless Coaster Station;
- Wet trade work at Floorless Coaster;
- Errection of structural steel for Face Marquee:
- Erection of Structural Steel for Hair Raiser
- Installation of theme works and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.



4. Permits and License Status

4.1 Environmental Permit

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of the Project has been approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) (Register No.: AEIAR-101/2006) on 12 July 2006. Subsequently, EPD issued Environmental Permit (EP) for the construction and operation of the project. Table below is a full list of the EPs.

EP No.	Issue Date	Key Variation
EP-249/2006	28 July 2006	First EP
EP- 249/2006/A	25 September 2006	 Enhance the roosting habitat for freshwater birds by enlarging Pond 35 and its surrounds with a total area of no less than 120 squares meters and no construction works and discharge from construction sites shall be allowed within Pond 35 after enhancement. Filling of Pond 37 at the Lowland Area. Submission of the as-built drawings showing the enhancement works of Pond 35.
EP-249/2006B	3 November 2010	 Total sound power level of all loudspeaker clusters shall not exceed 109 db(A) and the sound pressure level at 9m away from each loudspeaker cluster shall not exceed 75 db(A). Submit noise review study. Submit detail design of night time functional and thematic lighting. Trial pyrotechnical special effects materials display and submit air quality sampling plan.

4.2 CNPTable below shows a list of CNP within the reporting month.

Permit No.	Starting Date	Expired Date	Validity	Location	Contract No.	Status
CS-03 (KAJV)						
GW-RS0516- 11	9-June- 11	30-Nov- 11	Various	Top of Nam Long Shan Road	C\$03	Valid



4.3 Other Permits & Licenses

Tables below show lists of other permits & license for individual contracts.

CS-03

Permit/Ref/No	Valid Period		Section	Status
Notification of C	onstruction V	Vork under A	PCO	
311433	N/A	N/A N/A Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure		Valid
Water Discharge	e License			
WT00005926- 2010	12-Feb-10	28-Feb-15	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Valid
Registration as C	Chemical Wa	ste Producei		
WPN5213-176- K2880-02	25-Nov-09	N/A	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Registered
Construction Wo	aste Disposal	Billing Acco	unt with EPD	
7009695	N/A	N/A	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Issued

5. EP Submissions Status

Environmental submissions to EPD since the commencement of construction works at Ocean Park, i.e. from 12 March 2007 to 25 October 2011 are as below.

Contract	Submissions
CI-05	 Notification of Commencement Date
	 Management Organisation Chart
	 Construction Programme
	 Drainage Proposal
	 Silt Curtain Proposal
	 Waste Management Plan
	 Baseline Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report
	 Transplantation Proposal for Uncommon Species
	 Baseline Coral Survey Report
	 As-built Drawings of Pond 35
	 Detailed Compensatory Planting As-built Drawing
C\$03	 Monthly EM&A Report (September 2011)
City Bus Limited	Written Notice on Completion of TPH
	Contaminated Soil Disposal
	 Written Notice on Completion of Solidification
	Treatment of Heavy Metals Contaminated
	 As-built Remediation Plan
Hong Kong	Confirmation Letter to confirm that Land
School of	Contamination remediation Works within HKSM
Motoring Ltd.	has been completed
Environmental	Noise Review Study Report
Permit	Glare impact Assessment report
Conditions	Air Quality Sampling Plan



6. Materials Management

Section 6.17 in the EIA report specified the disposal of materials to the public fill reception facilities should be considered as last resorts with the preferred approach to reuse the material within the project and/or other projects.

The amounts of different types of materials generated by the activities of the Project in the month are shown in following table. The total materials quantities of the project showed that the reuse of materials was maximized and the disposal to the public filling facilities was minimized. Mitigation measures under the Waste Management Plan (WMP) revision D have been implemented during the reporting period.

Materials Type	Disposal Locations	<u>CS-03</u>	Total
C&D	SENT	339.80	339.80
Waste		Tonnes	Tonnes
	TKOSF		-
	TMSF		-
C&D	CWPFBP	818.70	818.70
Material		Tonnes	Tonnes
	TKOFB		-
Chemical	Collected	0	0
Waste	by	Litres	litres
	licensed		
	collector		
General	Collected		
Waste	by		-
	licensed		
	collector		

7. Environmental Monitoring and Results

7.1 Monitoring Requirements

Under EP-249/2006/B condition 3.2, impact environmental monitoring including sampling, measurements and necessary remedial action should be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the EM&A Manual, which has been updated to include operational monitoring of the Ocean Park Symbio Show.

7.1.1 Construction Monitoring

Construction works at the Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium under Cl07 have been completed in January 2011 and, as advised to EPD on 1 April 2011 (PD/PW/GOV/151/006107), no further construction monitoring will be undertaken.

One contract at the Summit, CS03 for the Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure is still underway. However, other than ongoing Coral Survey, no construction monitoring will be undertaken for these works, only auditing works. The audits will continue to be carried out by the Contractors ET, certified by the OPC's ET and verified by the IEC.



Terrestrial Ecology

Monitoring of the health and condition of the transplanted plant species of conservation interest should be conducted at least once a month during the first 12 month after transplantation. Proposed monitoring location would be next to the Contract CI-05 site office.

Coral

The locations of the coral monitoring stations are presented in the table below.

Coral Impact Monitoring Stations	Identity/Description
Site 1	Seaside near the Lowland
Site 2 to Site 5	Around Headland
Control Station	Between Near Round Island and Chung Hom Kok

Ocean Park Symbio Show

Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show for Environmental Monitoring for the Symbio Show commenced on the 27 January 2011.

Air Quality monitoring was conducted at the agreed designated air quality monitoring station (AQMS) located at locations as presented in the Table below.

AQMS ID	Location	Sampling Height (m above ground)
AM1	Rooftop of Administrative Building (former Staff Quarter) in Ocean Park	10
AM2	Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park	3
АМ3	Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital	20

One 24-hr average RSP sample was collected on each scheduled day for monitoring by a High Volume Sampler (HVS) following the USEPA method, EPA IO-2.1. Calibration of the equipment has followed the requirements set out in EPA IO-2.1.

Noise monitoring was conducted at five designated noise monitoring locations in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual. Alternative noise monitoring had been proposed because of accessibility problem, as set out in the Table below.



Monitoring Noise	Description	Location	With or without
Monitoring Stations			Façade Correction
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training School	1.2m above street level	Without façade correction
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	1.2m above street level	With façade correction
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	1.2m above street level near the entrance gate	With façade correction
AON4	Manly Villa	1.2m above street level near the entrance	With façade correction
AON5	Hau Yuen	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	With façade correction

Six consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 30 min noise level during the Lagoon Show.

Six consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 30 min noise level before the lagoon night show, ie during daily operation of the Ocean Park without the Lagoon Show.

Three consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 15 min noise level after the lagoon night show, ie without operation of the Ocean Park to establish the background noise levels.

Any significant influencing factors on the measured noise levels were taken into account in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices. The corrected noise level due to the lagoon night show and the operation of Ocean Park was computed based on the background noise level and measured noise level.

7.2 Monitoring Results

7.2.1 Construction Monitoring Results

Terrestrial Ecology

According to the requirement in the EM&A Manual, the monitoring of transplanted plants at the receptor has been completed in August 2008.

Coral

No coral monitoring survey was carried out in October 2011. The next coral monitoring survey will be carried out in November 2011.



7.2.2 Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show

The report on the impact monitoring results for the open-air night show, which commenced on 27 January 2011, is provided at Part 3 of this report.

Air Quality Monitoring

For Air Quality Monitoring, 24-hr average Respirable Suspended Particulates (RSP) monitoring was conducted at a designated monitoring station on the rooftop of the Administrative Building in OP (AM1) for the Landscape Storage Area (AM2), and the Roof top of the Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital (AM3) on 20-21 September 2011.

No exceedance of A/L Level is monitored during the reporting period.

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Date	24-hr RSP	Action/Limit
		Concentration	Level (µgm-3)
		(µgm-3)	
AM1 (Rooftop of Administrative Building (Old Staff Quarters in Ocean Park)	20 - 21 Sep 2011	55	180
AM2 (Landscape Storage Area)	20 – 21 Sep 2011	52	180
AM3 (Roof top of the Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital (20 – 21 Sep 2011	56	180

Noise Monitoring

Noise Monitoring results indicated that the background corrected Noise Levels have complied with the Limit Levels at most of the monitoring stations during most of the monitoring dates. Noise exceedances were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School) due to high background noise from the visitors and traffic during public holidays when visitors were leaving; at AON2 (Roof of Old Canteen Building) and AON4 (Manly Villa) due to special event held at Ocean Park and at AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and AON5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

Details are provided in the summary table below.



Summary of Daily Operational Noise Exceedance during this Reporting Period:

Date	Noise	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)		Daily	Limit
	Monitoring Station	Daily Operational Noise Level, Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, Leq (15 min) dB(A)	Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected) ^(a) , Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Level, Leq (30 min) dB(A)
30 August 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	67.3	65.7	61.9	55
3.4				(Background C	orrected))
4 Sept 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON3	63.5	62.6	56.0	55
		63.4 (Night Show noise level)	62.6	56.0 (Night Show noise Level	55
				(Background C	orrected)
6 Sept 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.5	64.5	62.0	55
		66.1 (Night Show noise level)	64.5	60.9 (Night Show noise Level	55
	40015	50.7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(Background C	
11 Sept 2011	AON5 AON1	59.7 63.8	55.5 62.4	57.6 61.3	55 60
(Public Holiday)	AONI	65.6	:+		
14 Sept 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.9	65.3	61.6	55
18 Sept 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON1	67.2	66.1	63.3	60
	AON3	66.0	63.0	58.9	55
21 Sept 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.\$5.8 (Night Show noise level)	65.5 64.8 v	59.8 58.9 (Nig Leve	ht Show noise
25 Sept 2011 (Public Holiay)	AON1	69.0	66.1	68.9	60
		68.7 (Night Show Noise Level)	66.1	68.2 (Night Show Noise Level)	60
				(Background C	Corrected)
	AON2	73.0	68.0	71.2	60
		72.0 (Night Show Noise Level)	68.0	69.7 (Night Show Noise Level) (Background C	60
	AONIA	41.0	E 1 4		
	AON4	61.2	54.6	60.1	55
		60.7 (Night Show Noise Level)	54.6	59.5 (Night Show Noise Level) (Background C	55 Corrected)

Note:

⁽a) The Background corrected Noise Levels were either measured in front of a façade at AON2, AON4 and AON5 or with façade correction of 3dB(A) at AON1 and AON3.



8. Site Audit

8.1 IEC Site Audit

IEC conducted monthly site audit on CS-03 on 18 October 2011. Audit checklists are attached in Appendix A of Part 1.

CS-03 Observations:

- General Refuse were scattered around the site.
- A diesel drum and an air compressor were placed upon the bare ground and not provided with drip trays.
- Part of the paved access road was dry and dusty
- The Contractor was recommended to ensure that all cement mixing works were carried out with enclosure with 3 sides and the top enclosed.

8.2 Non-Compliance

No non-compliances were recorded in October 2011.

9. Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Please see Part 2, of this Report for the individual contractual report for the details of the implementation of environmental mitigation measures.

10. Summary of Complaint, Summon or Prosecution

No complaint, summon or prosecution was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction phase had ceased



11. Future Issues

Key Issues to be considered in the coming month include:

CI-05

 Construction phase had ceased in early-June 2009.

CI-07

CS-01

Construction phase had ceased in January 2011.

in mid-October 2008.

CW-02

 Construction phase had ceased in mid-February 2010.

CS-02

 Construction phase had ceased in April 2011.

CS-03

- Trial run of ride at Floorless Coaster;
- Installation of ride Track at Bobsled Coaster;
- Finishing works at Bumper Blaster;
- Internal Finishing works at PA Building;
- Erection of structural steel for Aviator;
- Erection of ride for Rev booster;
- Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
- Theme works for face Marquee;
- Installation of structure steel works at Hair Raiser;
- Installation of Theme works.

12. Conclusion and Recommendation

12.1 Conclusion

No non-compliance from IEC, complaint, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was made against the Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project in the reporting period of October 2011.

Daily operational noise and lagoon night show noise monitoring were carried out at five designated monitoring stations during this reporting period. Noise exceedances had been recorded at each of the stations at different times and under different circumstances. Noise exceedances were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School) due to high background noise from the visitors and traffic during public holidays when visitors were leaving; at AON2 (Roof of Old Canteen Building) and AON4 (Manly Villa) due to special event held at Ocean Park and at AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and at AON5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.



12.2 Recommendation

According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations are made:

Air Quality Impact

- To prohibit any open burning on site.
- To regular maintain the machinery and vehicles on site.
- To implement dust suppression measures on dry surfaces.

Noise Impact

- To inspect the noise sources from inside and outside of the site.
- To space out noisy equipment and position as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.
- To have regular maintenance of vessels and equipment used.

Water Quality Impact

- To minimize water discharge runoff into nearby water body.
- To treat site surface runoffs and wastewater generated from various construction activities with wastewater treatment system (comprised of chemical coagulation, sedimentation and pH control)
- To review and implement temporary site drainage management plan.
- Silt removal facilities, channels, manholes and wastewater treatment system should be frequently cleaned the deposited silt and grit to maintain in proper condition.
- To review the adequacy of the desilting facilities' capacity.

Waste/Chemical Management

- To check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- To avoid any discharge of chemical waste or oil directly from the site.
- To regularly and properly collect, store and dispose of all waste types, including floating refuses around the silt curtain.

Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show

Recommendation has been given to continue with noise monitoring at the same stations using the same frequency and approach during the second to the twelfth months of the operation of the open-air night show.

To satisfy potentials concerns over RSP concentrators, the number of monitoring stations has been increased to a total of 3 monitoring stations. As the monitored results remain within the AQO, the frequency remains reduced to monthly.

Appendix A Part 1 Independent Environmental Checker's Site Inspection Records

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Inspectio	n Date	18/10/2011	Time	15:30		Inspecte	ed By	EM: Li	ndsay Pickles
Site Loca	ation	CS03						Contrac	rence Yuen Nor: Winson Chung
Weather									
Condition	Sur	nny Fine	e Over	cast D	rizzle	Rain		Storm	Hazy
Temperalu	1re 25	°C]	Humi	dity H	lgh V	Moderal	e [Low	, L
Wind	Caln	n Ligi	ht Bree	ze S	lrong	Direction	n		
	Constructio	on Noise			Close-out on last comments Y/N	N/A or not obs	Yes	No	Photo/Remarks
\$2.18	ls a valid C during restri	onstruction Noise cted hours?	Permit (CNP) ob	otalned for works			V		
S2.26	Good Site P Are the regularly	operating plants	s well-maintaine	d and serviced			V		
	Are silen Are they	cers or mulflers u properly maintaine	tilized on constructed?	ction equipment?			V		
	• Is the mo	bile plant sited far	enough from NS	₹s?			V		
	 Are inter between 	rmittently used rr work periods?	nachines and pl	ents shut down			~		
	 Is the plant any, orien 	ant known to emit nted to direct noise	noise strongly in away from the N	one direction, if SRs?		1] .	4
	 Is the s wherever 	stockpile or othe practicable, in scr	er structures util reening noise from	ized effectively, the works?		V			
S2.27	Are suitable	quiet plants adopt	ed?						
S2.28	Are movable PME?	barriers used for	both movable PM	E and stationary		1			
S2.29	Do the scre reduction?	ening materials u	sed achieve the	predicted noise					
S2.30	Are the nois	sy works avoided ol?	during examination	on period of the		~			
	Blasting No	Ise							
S2.32	Are the N	SRs informed of th	ne blasting work in	advance?		V			-
	Is sufficie prior to ev	ent time allowed for	or alerting all the	potential NSRs		V			

	 Are proper procedures put in place to alert and minimise any startling effect on the staff working in Ocean Park? 		
	 Is the optimal amount of charge used evaluated for noise reduction? 	V	
	Landscape and Visual		
S3.10	Consideration on existing surrounding vegetation: Are temporary tree nurseries set up? 		-
	Is "no-intrusion zones" implemented?		•
	 Is the existing vegetation protected from damage? 		53 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	 Are hill fire prevention measures taken? 	/	
	 Is dust and erosion controlled for exposed soil? 		
	 Are the Irrigation networks set up throughout the Establishment Period? 	V	
	 Is Quarterly Report on existing trees to be retained or transplanted prepared by the Contractor? 	V	
S3.11	Consideration on appearance and view:		
	Is the appearance of hoardings sultable?		•
	 Is the appearance of construction workers, plants/machines suitable? 		
	 Are the screening and alignment of the temporary barging point and conveyor system suitable? 	V	
	Are the selected security floodlights suitable		()
	Ecology		
S4.5	Transplantation:		
04.0	Is the transplantation work supervised by a qualified botanist/horticulturalist in the ET?		
	 Are the transplanted plant species of conservation interest monitored during the first 12 months after transplantation? 		f
S4.7	Construction: Is the runoff entering watercourses avoided by control measure, especially during heavy rain?		
	 Is the site runoff directed to regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps (or oil separators)? 		
	 Are sediment traps included in drainage to collect and control construction run-off? 		
	 Is suitable size slit traps or oil interceptor used? 		
	 Is vegetation survey carried out to determine the feasibility and suitability of individual plants for transplantation? 		
	 Are the trees located within the works area preserved sultably? 	V	
	 Are individual plants of conservation interest transplanted prior to the construction phase? 		
	 Are the equipments and stockpiles placed in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimise disturbance to natural habitats? 		

	 Are construction activities restricted to the work areas demarcated? 		
	 Are waste skips provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes? 		
	Are the wastes disposed of timely and properly off-site?		
	Is open burning on works sites prohibited?		
	 Are native plant species made use of as far as possible on newly formed land? 		
	Construction Waste		
S5.4	Good Site Practices		
00.4	 Are arrangements made for collection and effective disposal of all wastes generated? 		
	 Are the waste management and chemical handling procedures followed? 		
	 Are sufficient waste disposal points provided? 		DP114016
	 Are the wastes disposed of regularly? 		
	 Are appropriate measures taken to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers? 		
	 Are the drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors regularly cleaned and maintained? 		
S5.5	Waste Reduction Measures: Is the C&D waste from demolition and decommissioning of existing facilities sorted to recover recyclable materials?		
	 Are different types of wastes segregated and stored in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling and the proper disposal? 	L	
	 Are aluminium cans segregated in labelled bins and collected by individual collectors for recycling? 		
	 Are proper storage and site practices maintained to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction material? 	V	
	 Are the construction materials planned and stocked carefully to avoid unnecessary generation of waste? 		
S5.7	General Refuse		
	 Is the general refuse stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material? 		
	 Is the general refuse removed regularly by a waste collector? 		
S5.8	C&D Material		
	 Are the excavated materials from site formation of the expansion areas and tunnel construction for the funicular system reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscape works? 		
	 Are the surplus rock and other inert C&D material disposed of at the public fill sites? 		
	 Is a waste management plan prepared? 		
	 Is a recording system present for the record of amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed? 		

	 Is the trip-ticket system required in ETWB TCW No.31/2004 followed on site? 		V		
S5.9	Chemical Wastes Is chemical wastes generated from the works? And if yes,]
	 Is the Contractor registered as a Chemical Waste Producer? 	<u></u>	1	I	1
	 Are good quality containers used for separating and storing chemical wastes? 			V	@P11401708
	 Are appropriate labels securely attached on each chemical waste container to indicate their corresponding chemical characteristics? 		V		1140171
	 Is the Contractor licensed to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes? 		. /		
	Land Contamination				
S6.11	 Is the contact of construction workers with contaminated materials minimised by using bulk earth-moving excavator equipment? 		/		
	 Are appropriate cloth, personal protective equipment, hygiene and washing facilities provided to minimise exposure to any contaminated material? 	1			
	Is stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials avoided?]
	 Is the use of contaminated soil for landscaping without proper treatment prohibited? 				
	 Are vehicles containing excavated materials covered properly to limit potential dust emissions or contaminated wastewater runoff? 	1			
	 Is the speed of the trucks carrying contaminated materials controlled? 		/		
	 Are the necessary waste disposal permits obtained from appropriate authorities in according with Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation? 	ı			
	 Are silt removal facilities provided with retention time for silt/sand traps of 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions? 				
	 Are the records maintained for quantity of wastes generated and disposal of? 				
	Remediation Process				
S6.12	 Is biopile covered by tarpaulin or low permeable sheet to avoid dust emission? 				
	 Is vented air from biopile treated by blower and carbon adsorption system before released to the almosphere?]
	 Are the materials which may generate airborne dust emissions adequately wetted prior to and during the loading, unloading and handling operations? 				
	 Are silencers installed at biopile blower to minimise noise impact? 				
	 Are quiet plants such as generator and blower used for blopile? 				
	 Are the mixing process and other associated material handling activities properly scheduled to minimise potential noise impact? 	1			
	Are impermeable liners placed at the bottom of bioplie?		7	T	1

	 Is leachate collection sump construction along the perime of biopile? 	ter	V		T]
	 Is the lachate recycled back to the biopile or truck away Chemical Waste Treatment Centre for disposal? 	to	1]
	 Is the mixing of contaminated soils and cement/water/oth additive(s) undertaken at a solidification plant to minimise to potential for leaching? 	er	V			
	 Is a concrete bund construction along the perimeter of the solidification/stabilisation area to prevent runoff? 	ne	V			
	 Are the loading, unloading, handling, transfer and storage cement carried out in an enclose system? 	of	V			
	 Are the contaminated soils transported by roll-off truck (contrainerisation)? 	(S	12	1		
	 Is temporary hoarding provided around the treatment area minimise the visual impact? 	to	V			
	Air Quality					
S7.23	Good Site Practices					
	 Is watering carried out regularly with complete coverage t reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces an unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather? 	o d			V	<u> 391140176</u>
	Is watering frequently carried out for particularly dust construction areas, temporary stockpiles and areas close t ASRs?	у		~		
	 Are the aggregate or dusty material storage piles covered with their side enclosed to reduce emissions? Or if this is no practicable, is watering applied to aggregate fines? 	d ot			V	€ P1140173
	 Is open stockpiles avoided or covered and placed far enough from the ASRs? 	n		V		
	Is the dropping height of material restricted to minimise the fugitive dust from unloading/loading?			V		
	 Is tarpaulin used to cover all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and within the site? 			V		
	 Are vehicle wheel and body washing facilities available at the exit points of the site? 	•		V		
	 Are wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dus mitigation measures provided at the loading points? If dus generation is likely during the process, particularly in dry seasons, is water sprinklers provided at the loading site? 			~		
	 Do the vehicles comply with the recommended speed limit of 10 km/h on unpaved roads? 			V		
	 Are dusty activities rescheduled during high-wind conditions? 		IVI			
	 Are the routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plants at maximum possible distance from the ASRs? 			V		
	 is suitable buffer zone provided and work areas fenced off with hoarding (not less than 2.4m from ground level)? 			V		
67.24	Drilling & Blasting • Is watering carried out on the exposed area after blasting?		IVI			
	 Is vacuum extraction drilling method used? 					
	 Is the blasting process carefully sequenced? 		V			

	 Is the firing of explosive carried out in the morning prior to opening of the Park? 		
S7.25	Crushing Plant		
	Is water sprayed on the crusher?		
	• Are fabric filters installed for the crushing plant?		
	 Is chute or dust curtain used for controlling dust when transferring materials from crusher to the conveyors? 		
\$7.26	Barging Point & Conveyor Belt System		
37.20	Are the conveyors placed within enclosed structures?	1	
	 Is profiled steel cladding provided at two sides of loading point? 		
	 Are dust suppression sprays installed and operated at the feeding inlet and outlet? 	V	
	 Is the barging point placed within an enclosed structure incorporating an enclosed chute for material transfer to the barge? 	V	Enderton control
	 Is a flexible curtain hanged on the enclosed chute to prevent dust emission when excavated materials/rocks transported into the barge? 		
	Water Quality		
\$8.3	Site Run-off and Dreinage		
	 Are all sewer and drainage connections sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public sewer before commencing any site formation work? 	V	
	 Are temporary ditches provided to facilitate runoff discharge into appropriate watercourses, via appropriate sized silt retention pond? 		
	 Are cut-off ditches provided for all major site clearance/excavation works where soils would be exposed to 		
	control runoff from the areas?		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? 		
	Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance 		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works? Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by 		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works? Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection? Are sand/silt traps and sediment basins provided to remove 		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works? Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection? Are sand/silt traps and sediment basins provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff? Are silt removal facilities, channels and manholes maintained and deposited silt/grit removed regularly to ensure that these 		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works? Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection? Are sand/silt traps and sediment basins provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff? Are silt removal facilities, channels and manholes maintained and deposited silt/grit removed regularly to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times? 		
	 Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff? Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works? Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection? Are sand/silt traps and sediment basins provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff? Are silt removal facilities, channels and manholes maintained and deposited silt/grit removed regularly to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times? Are exposed soil surfaces covered? Is the water pumped out from foundation excavations 		

	 Is the rainwater pumped out from trenches or excavation directed to silt removal facilities before discharge? 	V	
	 Are open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes of more than 50m³ covered with tarpaulin during rainstorm? 		
	In case of an excavation in rainy seasons: Is temporary exposed slope/soil surfaces covered by tarpaulin as far as practicable?	V	
	 Are intercepting channels provided to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces? 	V	
	 Are surface protection measures and arrangements implemented to prepare for arrival of a rainstorm? 		
S8.4	 Coral Sites Are enhanced (with the use of flocculants added) sand/silt removal facilities employed for treatment of runoff from the major excavation at the Summit? 		
	 Is a sllt curtain system used to enclose the construction phase discharge point at Tai Shue Wan? 		
	 Are debris and refuse collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby water bodies and public drainage system? 		
	 Are stockpiles of cement and other construction materials kept covered when not being used? 		
	 Are oils and fuels used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities (Fuel tanks and storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equality to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank)? 		-3 - 1.
	 Are temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, employed on-site where necessary to hand sewage from the workforce? Is a licensed contractor employed for disposal of waste matter and maintenance of these facilities? 		
	 Is a reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law? 		
	 Are aluminium cans recovered from the waste stream and collected separate labelled bins? 		
	 Are office wastes reduced through the recycling of paper? 		
	 Are training provided to workers on site cleanliness & waste management procedure? 		
	Cultural Heritage		
610.6	If there is any work planned within one metre of the grave, is a one metre buffer zone provided around the grave and is the grave demarcated by temporary fence?		
611.3	Hazard to Life Good Site Practices: Is the area around the magazine free of vegetation?		
	 Is the control of (small) fires planned and provided through the following? 		

 Weekly checking of fire fighting equipment and the on-site fire water tank level. 	
 Daily checking of all critical safety equipment on vehicle, including the fire extinguishers. 	
 Maintaining back-up means of fighting fire on the explosive vehicles. 	
 Providing safety training for drivers and other personnel present during explosive delivery with regard to operating fire hydrants and fighting of explosive fires. 	
 Is the magazine secured against unauthorised entry and theft of explosive through the following? 	
 Maintaining a list of persons authorised to enter the magazine and ensuring the list is available to the magazine security guard. 	
 Activating an alarm system that limits times at which explosive can be removed from the magazine and connecting the system to central security station. 	
- Incorporating "Duress code" function in the alarm system.	
- Maintaining alarm system in good condition.	
 Is the magazine security guard located separately from the magazine complex? 	
 Is the communication maintained in emergency with the following measures? 	
 Providing non-hazardous electronic equipment for persons working within 60 m of detonators. 	
- Ensuring availability of phone numbers for all key personnel.	
 If there is a typhoon signal no. 3 or above, or black rainstorm signal, are all operations at magazine and transport ceased? 	
 Is the risk of detonators explosion on vehicle reduced during transit through the following? 	
- Ensuring that magazine within vehicle is lined.	
- Limiting off-site transport to 5 to 6 a.m. each day,	
 Escorting vehicles with separate security vehicle when using the public road. 	
 Ensuring that UN 1.4B packaging of detonators remains intact until handed over at blasting site. 	
 Is the fuel isolation switch available on vehicle to prevent fire spreading in case a fire breaks out? 	
 Is an experienced driver with accident-free record employed for explosive vehicle and security escort? 	
 Are the drivers checked for health before employing? 	
 Are the vehicles regularly checked to maintain in good condition to reduce chance of accident due to breaking down? 	
 Is the truck fuel fire escalating to cause explosion avoided through the following means? 	
 Ensuring that the Contractor is aware of the potential hazards to site. 	
- Maintaining appropriate fire fighting equipment.	

	 Requiring the Contractor to plan and make emergency arrangements. 	V	
	Is spare/redundant fire fighting equipment provided?		
•	Can communications be maintained between two vehicles (drivers and security) during the trip to prevent collision of two explosive vehicles in case of an accident?		
•	Are the processes of checking of condition of drivers to suspend any driver of concern carried out?		
F	roject specific measures: Is the speed of vehicle limited along the Ocean Park portion of Nam Long Shan Road within 100 m of the explosives magazine to 25 km/hr?		
•	Is other contractors' use of the Ocean Park Internal service road restricted during delivery of explosives, i.e. 6 to 7 a.m?		
٠	Is the Ocean Park guard required to call to the magazine guard on an hourly basis when explosives are stored in magazines?		
•	Is the evacuation of part or all of Ocean Park Headland Area arranged in case of the explosive magazine being engulfed in fire?		
٠	Is the risk to the public from accidental initation during charging and blasting limited by the following means?		
	- Closing the Ocean Park from commencement of charging holes until completion of blasting each day.		
	 Arranging for relevant authorities to post notices to mariners warning them of blasting operations and advising them to stay away from a strip 100m wide immediately to the east of Headland from commencement of charge holes until completion of blasting each day (i.e. 9 a.m). 		
	 Not operating amusement rides in the event of accidental explosion until confirmed free of critical damage. 	V	
•	If unexploded explosives are found in blasthole(s), is the opening of Ocean Park delayed or is part of the Ocean Park delayed when there are unspent explosives?		
•	Is the opportunity for arson/deliberate initiation of explosive reduced with the following means?		
	- Paying attention to the security alert status from the Government.		
	- Developing a security plan to address high alert level.		
•	is an emergency plan developed to address uncontrolled fire in magazine area?		
•	Is the transfer of explosives between 5 to 6 a.m agreed by Mines Division?		
•	is the road surface along the explosive transportation route maintained?		
•	Are the contractor's driver and security escort tested in respect of safety plan? Is the route driven before the driver undertakes the first delivery of explosives?		
•	Is adequate space provided for the explosive vehicle to manoeuvre without reversing close to the magazine to limit the likelihood of vehicle accident?	V	-
į.	Is lighting for explosive vehicles provided on temporary	1.21	


•	road(s)?
S11.4 •	Is ammonium nitrate emulsion (ANE) delivered outside of Park opening times?
	Observation for this month
	Observation for this month General refuse were existered around the site.
2	A diesel dram and an air compressor were placed
	on baseground and not provided with drip trays.
	Port of the pared access road was dry and
	duely.
(F)	The Contractor was recommended to ensure all rement
	The Contractor was recommended to ensure all cement mixing works were carried out with enclosure with
	3 side and the top enclosed.

IEC Representative	Environmental Manager	Contractor's
		Representative
		CS03
10 11.	(N) (N)	1
Glorene Yven	Acros	10/
		- tuhon)
(Florence Yuen)	(Limpsay Plukes)	(10)
	לשמיטון ויינעייות	WINSON Chure

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS

Contract CS03 Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure Follow up observations in September 2011 Observation in last site inspection Observation in this site inspection P1130862: A few hydraulic vegetable oil drums Closed - The area had been handed over for were placed on bare ground. The Contractor indicated that the oil will be used for installation operation. works and any oil drums not used will be placed in permanent storage area. P1130861: Stagnant water was accumulated on Closed - P1140178: Accumulation of stagnant site after heavy rain. The Contractor was reminded to backfill the area as soon as possible water was no longer observed. to avoid accumulation of stagnant water. P1130859: Stockpiles of C&D materials awaiting - P1140174: Stockpiles Closed of C&D backfilling were uncovered. The Contractor was materials were no longer observed. reminded to cover them with tarpaulin sheets or other means to suppress dust.

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS



P1130871: The Contractor was recommended to maintain the silt curtain regularly and properly.



Closed - P1140182: The silt curtain had been repaired and being maintained properly.




P1130864: General refuse were scattered around the site. The Contractor was reminded to provide more waste skips for storage of general refuse.



P1140165: General refuse were scattered around the site. The Contractor was reminded to provide more waste skips for storage of general refuse.

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS




Part 2 CS-03 EM&A REPORT (October 2011)



KADEN - ATAL JOINT VENTURE





Contract No. CS03

Ocean Park Redevelopment Project - Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure

Monthly EM&A Report

October 2011

Prepared By

Alex Enagnon Gbaguidi

Certified By

(Keith Kwan)

(Acting Project Manager)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pag	ge
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 1
1. INTRODUCTION	
Background	3
Project Organizations	3
Construction Programme	4
Summary of EM&A Requirements	4
2. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	5
Environmental Site Audits	5
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	
Status of Waste Management	6
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	7
Summary of Exceedances	8
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	8
Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions	8
3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	8
Key Issues for the Coming Month	8
4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8
Conclusions	9
Recommendations	9

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1.1 Site Layout Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

A Site Audit Summary

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 2.1	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits
Table 2.2	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 2.3	Actual Quantity of Waste Generated in October 2011

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This is the 24th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Kaden – ATAL JV for the Contract No. CS03 "Ocean Park Redevelopment Project – Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure" (hereinafter called "the Project"). The Project was commenced on 2nd November 2009. This document reports the findings of the environmental auditing works conducted in October 2011.

The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
- Erection of structure steel works for Thrill Mountain;
- Finishing works at Thrill Mountain
- Carry out wall finishing works for PA Building;
- Theme works at PA Building;
- Finishing works at Floorless Coaster Station;
- Wet trade work at Floorless Coaster;
- Erection of structure steel for Face Marquee;
- Erection of structure steel for Hair Raiser;
- · Installation of theme works and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works

Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project was performed as stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual. Site audits were conducted once per week. Environmental site audits were conducted on 7th, 14th, 18th & 28th October 2011 and the environmental ICE monthly site inspection was conducted on 18th October 2011 and No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures was checked and the environmental management plan was submitted.

No notification of exceedance was received from the Assistance Project Environmental Team Leader (ETL) in the reporting month.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Redevelopment Project, Construction Noise Permit (CNP), Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste and Water Discharge License

Registration of Waste Producer (Chemical Waste), and notification pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation was acknowledged by EPD.

Complaints and Prosecutions

No environmental complaint and prosecution was received in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Trail run of ride at Floorless Coaster;
- Installation of Ride Track at Bobsled Station;
- Finishing works at Bumper Blaster;
- Internal Finishing Works at PA Building;
- Erection of structural steel for Aviator;
- Erection of ride for Rev. Booster;
- Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
- Theme works for face marquee;
- Installation of structure steel works at Hair Raiser;
- Installation of theme works.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 Kaden-ATAL JV (the Contractor) was commissioned by the Employer to undertake the construction of the Contract No. CS03 "Ocean Park Redevelopment Project Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure" (the Project) and the project was commenced on 2nd November 2009. The site layout plan is illustrated in Figure 1.1.
- 1.2 These report summaries the environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project in the month of October 2011.
- 1.3 The scope of works for the Project includes:
 - (a) Construction of summit reservoir and associated pump room.
 - (b) Construction of vehicular bridge.
 - (c) Construction of the Polar Adventure Building.
 - (d) Construction of back of house facilities in the Polar Adventure Building.
 - (e) Construction of other one to three storey buildings in Polar Adventure.
 - (f) Construction of foundation and installation of Bobsled Ride.
 - (g) Installation of Life Support Systems.
 - (h) Construction of one to three storey buildings in Thrill Mountain.
 - (i) Construction of foundation and installation of the Floorless Coaster.
 - (j) Installation of the Ultramax, Aviator, Musik Express and Bumper Car.
 - (k) New roadwork, paving, footpaths and infrastructure support.
 - (l) Installation of building services.
 - (m) Soft and hard landscape works.
 - (n) Construction of underground utilities and services.
 - (o) Construction of earth retaining structures.
 - (p) Construction of all interior fitting out works.
 - (q) Supply and installation of all elevator(s) and escalator(s).
 - (r) Coral survey and maintenance of existing suit curtain.

Project Organizations

- 1.4 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - The Engineer and Project Environmental Team Leader (ETL) AECOM Consultant Ltd.
 - Contractor Kaden-ATAL JV.
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Mott MacDonald HK Ltd.
- 1.5 The responsibilities of respective parties are provided in Section the Contractor's EM&A Manual of the Project.
- 1.6 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Name	Role	Phone No.	Fax No.
Project ET	Mr. Tommy Lau	RSS Representative (Safety & Environmental)	2552 1546	2552 1406
Contractor	Mr. Keith Kwan	Acting Project Manager	3582 6099	2502 1077
Contractor	Mr. Lai Tung Yee	Construction Manager	3582 6005	3582 4877
Contractor's ET	Mr. Alex Enagnon Gbaguidi	Contractor's Assistance Environmental Team Leader	3582 4880	3582 4877
IEC	Miss Florence Yuen	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Representative	2828 5757	28271823

Construction Programme

- 1.7 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month were:
 - Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
 - Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
 - Erection of structure steel works for Thrill Mountain;
 - Finishing works at Thrill Mountain
 - Carry out wall finishing works for PA Building;
 - Theme works at PA Building;
 - Finishing works at Floorless Coaster Station;
 - Wet trade work at Floorless Coaster;
 - Erection of structure steel for Face Marquee;
 - · Erection of structure steel for Hair Raiser;
 - Installation of theme works and
 - Disposal Existing Stockpile.

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.8 The EM&A programme requires construction phase environmental site audit. The duties and responsibilities comprise the following:
 - monitor various environmental parameters, if necessary, as specified in the Contractor's EM&A Manual;
 - > analyze the environmental monitoring and audit data;
 - > review the EM&A programme to confirm the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented and the validity of the EIA predictions and to identify and adverse environmental impacts arising;
 - > carry out site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractor's site practice, equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and

- environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems;
- > audit and prepare EM&A reports on the site environmental conditions;
- > report the environmental audit results to the Contractor;
- > recommend appropriate mitigation measures to the Contractor in case of exceedance of Action and Limit Levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans; and
- > adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation in accordance with the Contractor's EM&A Manual.
- 1.9 This report presents the environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project in October 2011.

2. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Environmental Site Audits

- 2.1 Environmental site audits were carried out on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.
- 2.2 Site audits for the Project in the reporting month were conducted on Environmental site audits were conducted on 7th, 14th, 18th & 28th October 2011 and the environmental ICE monthly site inspection was conducted on 18th October 2011 and No non-compliance was observed during the site audits. The summaries of site audits are attached in Appendix A.
- 2.3 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations are summarized in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits

Parameters	Date	Observations / Recommendations	Remediation/ Follow up
	14/10/11	General refuse were scattered around the site.	General refuse was collected in waste skip and remove offsite regularly.
Waste/ Chemical Management	14/10/11	A diesel drums and air compressor were placed on bareground and not provided with drip trays.	Drip trays were provided for diesel drums and air compressor.
	21/10/11	Stagnant water was accumulated on site after heavy rain.	Backfilled the area to prevent stagnant water.
Dust Control	28/10/11	Part of haul road access was dry and dusty.	Regular water spraying was done to suppress dust.

Parameters	Date	Observations / Recommendations	Remediation/ Follow up
14/10/11		Contractor was recommended to ensure all cement mixing works were carried out with enclosure with 3 sides and the top enclosed.	Such mixing works was completed.
Water Pollution	14/10/11	The silt curtain has been repaired and being maintained properly.	N/A
Air Pollution	28/1011	N/A	

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

2.4 All valid permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Table 2.2	Summary of	Environmen	ital Licensing and Permit Status	
Permit No.	Valid	Period	Details	
T CT III C 110.	From	To	Details	Status
Environmental Pern	nit			
EP-249/2006/A	23/10/2006	N/A	Expansion of the existing Ocean Park and reconstruction / modification of its existing facilities.	Valid
Registration of Cher	nical Waste Pr	oducer		
WPN5213-176- K2880-02	25/11/2009	N/A	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation - Registration of Waste Producer	Valid
Construction Noise 1	Permit			
GW-RS0516-11	09/06/2011	30/11/2011	Construction Noise Permit for Top of Nam Long Shan Rd., Ocean Park, 180 Wong Chuk Hang, Hong Kong	Valid
Water Discharge Lie	ense			
WT00005926-2010	05/11/2009	28/02/2015	Discharge of industrial trade effluent arising from the Sedimentation tank at the construction site (CS03 Ocean Park Redevelopment Project) to communal storm water drain.	Valid
Others				
311433	N/A	N/A	Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Valid
7009695	N/A	N/A	Construction Waste Disposal Billing Account with EPD	Valid

Status of Waste Management

2.5 The amount of waste generated by the construction activities of the Project in the reporting month is attached in **Table 2.3**.

Table 2.3 Actual Quantity of Waste Generated in October 2011

Waste Type	Examples	Actual quantity disposed (Tonnes / Liter)	Disposal Locations
C&D Waste	Construction waste (Plastic, wood and bamboo)	339.8 (T)	SENT Landfill
	Mixed rock & soil	818.7 (T)	CW barging point
Chemical waste	Used oil, spent solvent	0 L	Collected by licensed collector

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

2.6 During site inspections in the month, the following observations and recommendations were made.

Water Quality Mitigation Measures

• The wastewater was recycled for wheel washing and dust control and Septic Tank should be maintained well functioning.

Air Quality Mitigation Measures

- The Contractor to ensure cement materials was well covered.
- The Contractor to ensure water spray was carrying out during breaking of rocks.
- The Contractor was reminded to cover the existing stockpile general fill material when they were not in use.

Noise

No violation was observed nor recorded.

Ecology

No violation was observed nor recorded.

Waste / Chemical Management

Stagnant water was accumulated in drip tray. Contractor to ensure all
contaminated water was well collected and stored in chemical waste storage area
without spillage.

- Oil drums were observed without drip tray and place on the ground. Ensure no spillage of the chemical oil and provide trip tray accordingly.
- Collection of waste oil by registered waste collector.

Others

No other violation was observed nor recorded.

Summary of Exceedances

2.7 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was reported in the reporting month.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

2.8 No complaint, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was received or made against the Project in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions

2.9 No environmental complaint and prosecution related to the Project works was received during the reporting month.

3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Key Issues for the Coming Month

- 3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Trail run of ride at Floorless Coaster;
 - Installation of Ride Track at Bobsled Station;
 - Finishing works at Bumper Blaster;
 - Internal Finishing Works at PA Building;
 - Erection of structural steel for Aviator;
 - Erection of ride for Rev. Booster;
 - Construction of Tuxedos Restaurant at South Pole;
 - Theme works for face marquee;
 - · Installation of structure steel works at Hair Raiser;
 - Installation of theme works.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF DRAINAGE, SEWERAGE AND WATER MAIN SYSTEM.CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 4.1 Four environmental site audits were performed in October 2011. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.
- 4.2 No exceedance of environmental monitoring was reported in the reporting month.
- 4.3 No environmental complaint and prosecution related to the project was received in the reporting month.

Recommendations

4.4 According to the environmental audits performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations are suggested:

Water Quality Impact

 Should ensure that the sedimentation tank is well function before discharging wastewater off site.

Dust Impact

- To carry out routine water spray to all haul roads and during rock breaking activity.
- To cover the existing stockpile general fill material when they were not in use.
- To ensure auto water spray head is on when the floor is dry and dusty.

Waste / Chemical Waste Impact

- To carry out routine inspection for chemical waste storage area after rainy day.
- To ensure spent oil keep in dip tray during drilling rig maintenance.
- To ensure all domestic waste was fully cover in rubbish bin and cleaning up frequently.
- To ensure general refuse were store in the enclosed container or compaction units and separate from C& D materials.

Air Pollution Impact

 To ensure all plants and equipments are well maintained in good condition and replace air filter frequently.

Site Layout Plan

1

APPENDIX A Site Audit Summary (refer to Appendix A of EM & A Report)

Part 3 Ocean Park Symbio Show 8th Monthly Monitoring Report Ocean Park Corporation, Hong Kong

Ocean Park Symbio Show: 8th Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report

October 2011

Environmental Resources Management

21/F Lincoln House 979 King's Road Taikoo Place Island East, Hong Kong Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com Ocean Park Corporation, Hong Kong

Ocean Park Symbio Show: 8th Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report

October 2011

Reference 0128330

For and on behalf of

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited

Approved by:

Frank Wan

Signed:

Partner

Position:

20 October 2011

Date:

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	1
1.2	STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT	1
2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	2
2.1	Introduction	2
2.2	SAMPLING METHODOLOGY	2
2.3	MONITORING RESULTS	3
3	NOISE MONITORING	5
3.1	INTRODUCTION	5
3.2	NOISE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	5
3.3	RESULTS OF NOISE MONITORING	8
3.4	SUMMARY OF NOISE EXCEEDANCES	8
4	OVERALL CONCLUSIONS	11
	ANNEXES	
Annex A1	Calibration Record	
Annex A2	Laboratory Report	
Annex A3	Detailed Summary and Graphical Presentation of the Cumulative Results Since Commencement of Open-air Night Show	1
Annex A4	Recorded RSP Concentrations at EPD's AQMSs in Tung Chung, Shatin, T Po, Yuen Long, and Tap Mun on 20 September 2011	ai
Annex A5	Recorded Weather Data at HKO's Weather Station in Wong Chuk Hang of September 2011	n 20
Annex B1	Calibration Certificates of the Noise Measurement Equipment	
Annex B2	Results of Noise Monitoring	
Annex B3	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results	

1 INTRODUCTION

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) has been appointed by Ocean Park Corporation (OPC) to undertake air quality and noise monitoring for the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show under the "Repositioning and Long Term Operation Plan of Ocean Park" (the Project).

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The Open-air Night Show commenced on 27 January 2011. This is the 8th air quality and noise monitoring report which summarises the impact monitoring results during the reporting period from 27 August to 26 September 2011.

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

After this introductory section, the remainder of this report is arranged as follows:

Section 2 describes the air quality sampling methodology, presents the monitoring results and discusses the results;

Section 3 describes the noise monitoring methodology, presents the monitoring results and discusses the results;

Section 4 presents an overall conclusion of the air quality and noise monitoring.

AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1 INTRODUCTION

2

In accordance with Clause 2.31 of the Environmental Permit (EP), an updated air quality monitoring programme shall be developed as part of the updated EM&A Manual for the measurement of air quality impact (in terms of respirable suspended particulates, RSP) during the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show and for submission to the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) in January 2011. The air quality monitoring has been carried out based on the requirements given in the updated air quality monitoring programme.

2.2 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

2.2.1 Sampling Parameters and Frequency

In accordance with the updated air quality monitoring programme, 24-hr average RSP levels should be monitored on a weekly basis in the first month of the Open-air Night Show. If the monitored results in the first month complied with Action/Limit (A/L) Level, the monitoring frequency should be reduced to a monthly interval for the rest of eleven months in the first operational year. Monitoring of the 24-hr average RSP has commenced at AM1 in the 1st reporting month and at AM2 and AM3 in the 3rd reporting month. Monthly monitoring of 24-hr average RSP was taken at AM1, AM2 and AM3 on 20 September 2011.

2.2.2 Sampling Locations


Air quality monitoring was conducted at three designated air quality monitoring stations (AQMS) as presented in *Table 2.1* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Station

AQMS ID	Location	Sampling Height (m above ground)
AM1	Rooftop of Administrative Building (Former Staff	10
	Quarters) in Ocean Park	
AM2	Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park	3
AM3	Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital	20

2.2.3 Sampling and Laboratory Analysis Methodology

One 24-hr average RSP sample was collected on each scheduled day by a High Volume Sampler (HVS) following the USEPA method, EPA IO-2.1. Calibration of the equipment has followed the requirements set out in EPA IO-2.1 with the calibration record given in *Annex A1*. A summary of the sampling methodology and equipment is presented in *Table 2.2*.



Designated 24-hour Average RSP Monitoring Stations during Operation of Open Air Night Show

File: 0128330_RSP monitoring station.mxd Date: 11/05/2011



Table 2.2 Summary of Sampling and Laboratory Analysis Method

Sampling Parameter	Method	Equipment	
24-hr average RSP	EPA IO-2.1	High volume sampler	

2.2.4 Sampling Period

The sampling periods at AM1, AM2 and AM3 are summarized in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 Sampling Period

Sampling Parameter	Sampling Period	AQMS
24-hr average RSP	17:00 (20 September 2011) – 17:00 (21 September 2011)	AM1, AM2, AM3

2.2.5 Compliance Assessment

The measured 24-hr average RSP concentrations have been compared with the Action/Limit Level (A/L Level) which is the 24-hr average AQO for RSP (180 μgm^{-3}). Should exceedance of A/L Level occur, actions summarized in the Event and Action Plan (*Table 7.5* of updated EM&A Manual) should be followed.

2.3 MONITORING RESULTS

The 24-hour average RSP concentrations monitored at AM1, AM2 and AM3 are summarized in *Table 2.4*. The detailed laboratory report is presented in *Annex A2*.

Table 2.4 24-hr Average RSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Date	24-hr RSP Concentration (µgm ⁻³)	Action/Limit Level (µgm ⁻³)
AM1	20 September 2011	55	180
(Rooftop of Administrative Building			
(Old Staff Quarters) in Ocean Park)			
AM2	20 September 2011	52	180
(Landscape Storage Area in Ocean			
Park)			
AM3	20 September 2011	56	180
(Rooftop of Main Medical Block of	5		
Graham Hospital)			

All measured 24-hour average RSP concentrations have been well below the A/L Level (ie, $180 \mu gm^{-3}$). Detailed summary of the air quality monitoring data and graphical presentation of the cumulative results since the commencement of Open-air Night Show are given in *Annex A3*.

The 24-hour average RSP concentrations during the Open-air Night Show time measured at five EPD air quality monitoring stations (AQMSs) at Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long and Tap Mun were also provided as a reference (See *Annex A4*).

The 24-hour average background RSP concentrations measured at the 5 EPD stations were between 41.7 and 82.7 μg m⁻³ during the reporting period. The monitored 24-hr average RSP concentrations at AM1, AM2 and AM3 have been compared with those measured at the EPD's AQMSs during the same monitoring periods. The measured results are comparable with the background concentrations and well below the A/L Level.

Wind data (including wind directions and speeds), ambient temperature and relative humidity measured at Wong Chuk Hang weather station operated by the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) were also provided in *Annex A5* for reference.

3.1 Introduction

Noise monitoring has been carried out following the requirements given in the updated EM&A Manual. The requirements and results are detailed in the following sections.

3.2 Noise Monitoring Requirements

It has been recommended in the EIA Report for "Repositioning and Long Term Operation Plan of Ocean Park" and stated in the EM&A Manual that fixed plant noise source monitoring should be conducted during the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show at the Aqua City.

The monitoring of fixed plant noise source impact is to be conducted:

- During the lagoon night show (hereinafter referred to as "lagoon night show noise monitoring")
- Not during the lagoon night show (hereinafter referred to as "daily operational noise monitoring")

Lagoon night show noise monitoring was carried out at all designated monitoring stations during the performance of lagoon night shows at a logging interval of 30 minutes. The noise monitoring was conducted twice a week, i.e. once on a normal weekday and once on a general holiday or Sunday.

The need for noise monitoring during the lagoon night show was reviewed based on the monitoring results, any requirements to adjust the loudspeaker system, and any change to the show schedule or rundown. With the same loudspeaker system and show rundown, if the noise levels of the month comply with the fixed plant noise criteria as stipulated in *Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process* (EIAO-TM), or are consistent with the baseline noise levels, the ETL may consider not including the noise monitoring in the subsequent monitoring programme. Agreement from the IEC and approval from EPD must be sought prior to suspension of noise monitoring. Impact monitoring can be resumed if there is any change to the power, orientation, and volume of the loudspeaker system, or to the show rundown, or an increase of show frequency.

For daily operational noise monitoring, 30-minute average noise measurement at each designated station during the operational hours of Ocean Park but not during the lagoon night show should be conducted. The monitoring frequency should be the same as that for the noise monitoring during the lagoon night show. Agreement from the IEC and approval from EPD must be obtained prior to suspension of noise monitoring.

The following sections describe the detailed methodology of the fixed plant noise monitoring.

3.2.1 Monitoring Locations

Noise monitoring was conducted at five designated noise monitoring locations in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual. Alternative noise monitoring has been proposed because of accessibility problem, as presented in *Table 3.1*, and shown in *Figure 3.1*.

Table 3.1 Alternative Noise Monitoring Stations during the Operational Phase

Alternative Noise Monitoring Stations	Description	Location	With or without Façade Correction
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training School	1.2m above street level	without facade correction
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	1.2m above roof level	with facade correction
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	with facade correction
AON4	Manly Villa	1.2m above street level near the entrance	with facade correction
AON5	Hau Yuen	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	with façade correction

3.2.2 Monitoring Parameters

Lagoon Night Show Noise Monitoring

Six consecutive measurements of $L_{Aeq, 5 min}$ reading were carried out to calculate the $L_{Aeq, 30 min}$ noise level during the lagoon night show.

Daily Operational Noise Monitoring

Six consecutive measurements of $L_{Aeq, 5 \, min}$ reading were carried out to calculate the $L_{Aeq, 30 \, min}$ noise level before the lagoon night show, ie during operation of the Ocean Park.


Background Noise Level

Three consecutive measurements of $L_{Aeq, 5\,min}$ reading were carried out to calculate the $L_{Aeq, 15\,min}$ noise level after the lagoon night show, ie without operation of the Ocean Park.

Any significant influencing factors on the measured noise levels were taken into account in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices. The corrected noise level due to the lagoon night show and the operation of Ocean Park was computed based on the background noise level and measured noise level.

3.2.3 Monitoring Frequency

The monitoring for both lagoon night show noise monitoring and daily operational noise monitoring were conducted twice per week - one on a



normal weekday and one on a general holiday, including Sundays during this reporting month.

3.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

The sound level meters and calibrator used for the noise monitoring, as listed in *Table 3.2* below, complies with IEC 651: 1979 and 804:1985 (Type 1) specification.

Table 3.2 Noise Measurement Equipments

Monitori	ng Location	Monitoring Equipment
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training	RION NL-31/ B&K 2238 Sound
	School	Level Meter
		RION NC-73 calibrator
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	RION NL-31 Sound Level Meter
		RION NC-73 calibrator
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	RION NL-31 Sound Level Meter
		RION NC-73 calibrator
AON4	Manly Villa	RION NL-18 Sound Level Meter
		RION NC-73 calibrator
AON5	Hau Yuen	RION NA-27 Sound Level Meter
		RION NC-73 calibrator

Noise monitoring was conducted with reference to the calibration and measurement procedures as stated in the *Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites (IND-TM).* Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the monitoring equipments was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements were accepted if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

The sound level meters and acoustic calibrator have been calibrated by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory every two years. The relevant calibration certificates are presented in *Annex B1*.

Noise measurements were made without the presence of fog and rain, and with steady wind speed and gusts not exceeding 5ms^{-1} and 10 ms^{-1} , respectively in accordance with international standards and practices ⁽¹⁾. Broadband measurement of L_{Aeq} , L_{10} , L_{90} , L_{max} and L_{min} has been recorded at 100 ms interval.

3.2.5 Compliance Assessment

Fixed Plant Noise

As recommended in the EIA and stated in the EM&A Manual, OPC will follow the Action and Limit (A/L) Levels as recommended in EIA and EM&A Manual which are summarised in *Table 3.3*. In case exceedances are resulted

(1) ISO 11819-1:1997 and ISO/FDIS 13472-1:2001

from cumulative impacts, all steps stipulated in the Event/ Action Plan shall be followed.

Table 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for Entertainment Noise

Identification No.	Action Level	Limit Level
ON1/AON1		Leq (30 min) 60 dB(A)
ON2/AON2	When decreased association is a first in the second form	L _{eq (30 min)} 60 dB(A)
ON3/AON3	When documented complaint is received from	L _{eq (30 min)} 55 dB(A)
ON4/AON4	any one of the sensitive receivers	L _{eq (30 min)} 55 dB(A)
ON5/AON5		L _{eq (30 min)} 55 dB(A)

3.3 RESULTS OF NOISE MONITORING

The measured noise levels at the monitoring locations are given in *Annex B2* and graphically presented in *Annex B3*.

The background corrected noise levels complied with the Limit Levels at most of the monitoring stations during most of the monitoring dates. Noise exceedances were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School) due to high background noise from the visitors and traffic during public holidays with visitors were leaving, AON2 (Roof of Old Canteen Building) and AO4 (Manly Villa) due to special event held at the Ocean Park and AON3 (Woodgreen Estate), and AO5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

Detail discussion on noise exceedances are given in Section 3.4 below.

3.4 SUMMARY OF NOISE EXCEEDANCES

Noise exceedances recorded during this reporting period are summarised in *Table 3.4* below.

Table 3.4 Summary of Daily Operational Noise Exceedance during this Reporting Period

Date	Noise	Measured No	ise Level, dB(A)	Daily	Limit Level, Leq (30 min) dB(A)	
	Monitoring Station	Daily Operational Noise Level, Leq (30min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, L _{eq (15min)} dB(A)	Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected) (a), L _{eq} (30min) dB(A)		
30 August 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	67.3	65.7	61.9		
4 September 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON3	63.5	62.6	56.0	55	
		63.4 (Night Show Noise Level)	62.6	55.8 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55	
6 September 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.5	64.5	62.0	55	
		66.1 (Night Show Noise Level)	64.5	60.9 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55	
	AON5	59.7	55.5	57.6	55	
11 September 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON1	63.8	62.4	61.3	60	
14 September 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.9	65.3	61.6	55	
18 September 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON1	67.2	66.1	63.3	60	
****	AON3	66.0	65.0	58.9	55	
21 September 2011 (Weekday)	AON3	66.5	65.5	59.8	55	
25 September 2011 (Public Holiday)	AON1	69.0	66.1	68.9	60	
		68.7 (Night Show Noise Level)	66.1	68.2 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	60	
	AON2	73.0 72.0 (Night Show Noise Level)	68.0 68.0	71.3 69.7 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	60 60	
Note:	AON4	61.2 60.7 (Night Show Noise Level)	54.6 54.6	60.1 59.5 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55 55	

Note:

(a) The Background Corrected Noise Levels were either measured in front of a façade at AON2, AON3, AON4 and AON5 or with façade correction of 3 dB(A) at AON1.

The monitoring station AON1 is directly facing the bus terminus of the Ocean Park. The measured noise levels were dominated by the bus movements, ie. bus moving in and out of the terminus to pick up visitors leaving the Ocean Park during evening time. The measured background noise levels were in the range of 62 to 66 dB(A), ie 2 to 6 dB(A) higher than the Limit Level, during the three days with noise exceedances as they were public holidays with more visitors (see *Table 3.4*).

AON2 and AON4 - Noise from Special Event

The exceedances at AON2 and AON4 were mainly due to the special event held at the Ocean Park. Investigations had been conducted to review the potential causes for the noise level recorded. A summary of the investigation results is presented in *Table 3.5* below:

Table 3.5 Summary of Investigation Results

Station	Record of Exceedance	Result of Investigation	Corrective Actions
AON2 & AON4	Exceedance of Limit Level on 25 September 2011 (1820 - 1930)	It was observed that special event was held at the Ocean Park during the noise monitoring section. This is confirmed by Ocean Park.	Ocean Park will take the following action to minimize the noise from special event as far as practicable:
		According to the information provided by Ocean Park, several Halloween shows took place during the noise monitoring sections, including background music, public announcement and noise from visitors. Based on the above, the	 adjustment when the level limit is exceeded; Lower the volume of the speakers along the queuing area; lower the volume of
		exceedance observed is considered probably attributable to the noise from the special event.	 Adjust the angles of the speakers facing down to the queuing visitors.

AON3 and AON5 - Traffic Noise from Shouson Hill Road

The exceedances at AON3 and AON5 were mainly due to the traffic at Shouson Hill Road.

Summary

As mentioned above, the noise exceedances were due to the bus movements at the bus terminus during evening time, traffic from Shouson Hill Road, and the special event at the Ocean Park. Corrective actions have been proposed and will be taken throughout the special events in October 2011.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

4

The Open-air Night Show commenced on 27 January 2011. According to the requirements set out in the Environmental Permit (EP) and the updated EM&A Manual, air quality and noise monitoring shall be carried out during the first year of the operation of Open-air Night Show. This is the 8th monthly air quality and noise monitoring report which summarises the impact monitoring results during the reporting period from 27 August to 26 September 2011.

24-hr average respirable suspended particulates (RSP) monitoring were conducted at three designated monitoring stations, one on the rooftop of the Administrative Building in OP (AM1), one on the Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park (AM2) and one on the Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital (AM3) on 20 September 2011. All monitored 24-hour average RSP concentrations measured at AM1, AM2 and AM3 complied with the Action/Limit (A/L) Level.

Daily operational noise and lagoon night show noise monitoring were carried out at five designated monitoring stations during this reporting period. Noise exceedances were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School), AON2 (Roof of Old Canteen Building), AON3 (Orchid Valley), AON4 (Manly Villa) and AO5 (Hau Yuen) due to noise emanating from the bus terminus, high background noise from visitors and traffic during evening time, the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road, and the special event held at the Ocean Park. Corrective actions have been proposed and will be taken throughout the special events in October 2011.

Annex A1

HVS Calibration Report

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.



Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Report No.	: HK1116722	Equipment No.	: HK649
Location	: (AM1)	Calibration date	: 15/06/2011
Brand Name	: TISCH	Calibration Due date	: 15/09/2011

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

	Amb	ient Conditi	on			
	Ambient			Seaso	nal	
Temperature, Ta	304	K	Temperature, Ts		300	K
Pressure,Pa	1005.0	hPa	hPa Pressure,Ps		1010.4	hPa
	Orifice Transf	er Standars	Information			
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 9833620)	Slope,m _c	1.26117	Intercept, b _c	-0.01972	
Last Calibration Date	23-February-2011		$Q_a = [\sqrt{(}$	ΔH.Ta/Pa)-b _c]/	m _c	
Next Calibration Date	23-February-2012					

		Calibr	ation of RS	SP		
Calibration	Manometer Reading H(inches of water)			Q std	Continuous	W((Ta+30)/Pa) ^{1/2}
Point				(m ³ /min) Flow Recorder,		
	(up)	(down)	(ΔH)	X-axis	W (CFM)	Y-axis
1	6.5	6.1	12.6	1.5636	55	31.7068
2	5.5	5.1	10.6	1.4355	50	28.8244
3	4.5	4.1	8.6	1.2945	44	25.3655
4	2.9	2.7	5.6	1.0476	34	19.6006
5	2.2	1.7	3.9	0.8769	25	14.4122

Correlation coefficie Slope,m =	ent, R =	0.9987 24.9106			
ntercept, b =		-6.9972			
Calibration result	1				
If the correlation of	pefficient, R is < 0.9900. 0	Chacking and recalibration	n are required		
ii the correlation co	Jenioleni, 11 i5 < 0.9900. (onecking and recalibration	ir are required.		
Remarks :		onecking and recamplant	mare required.		
Remarks :		onecking and recamplant			Iris I in
	: Sam Wong : Sam Wong	onecking and recamplant	Checked by Signature	:	Iris Lin Iris Lin

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.



Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Work Order No.	: HK1116722	ALS Equipment No.	: HK647
Location	: (AM2)	Calibration date	: 15/06/2011
Brand Name	: TISCH	Calibration Due date	: 15/09/2011

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

	An	nbient Con	dition			
	Ambient			Seas	onal	
Temperature, Ta	304	K	Temperati	ıre, Ts	300	K
Pressure,Pa	1005.0	hPa	a Pressure,Ps		1010.4	hPa
	Orifice Tran	sfer Standa	ars Informat	tion		
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 9833620)	Slope,m _c 1.26117 Intercept, b _c -0.01972			2	
Last Calibration Date	23-February-2011		$Q_a = [\sqrt{(}$	Δ H.Ta/Pa)- b_c]/	m _c	
Next Calibration Date	23-February-2012					

		Ca	libration o	f RSP		人和安 克里特美国	
Calibration	Manometer Reading			Q std	Continuous	$W((Ta+30)/Pa)^{1/2}$	
Point	H(inc	H(inches of water)			Flow Recorder,		
	(up)	(down)	(AH)	X-axis	W (CFM)	Y-axis	
1	6.0	6.1	12.1	1.5326	56	32.2833	
2	5.3	5.2	10.5	1.4287	51	29.4009	
3	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.2644	46	26.5184	
4	2.6	2.7	5.3	1.0196	35	20.1771	
5	1.6	1.9	3.5	0.8315	28	16.1417	

By Linear Regression of Y Vs X

Date

Correlation coefficient, R =	0.9987
Slope,m =	22.9428
Intercept, b =	-2.9797
Calibration result :	

: 15/06/2011

*If the correlation o	coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking an	d recalibration are requried.	
Remarks :			
Calibration by	: Sam Wong	Checked by	: Iris Lin
Signature	: Sam Wong	Signature	: Iris Lin

Date

: 15/06/2011

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.



: Vico Chan

: 22/07/2011

Signature

Date

Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Report No.	: HK1117904	Equipment No.	:	HK651	
Location	: (AM3)	Calibration date	•	22/07/2011	
	TISCH	Calibration Due date	:	22/10/2011	

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

	Amb	ient Condition	on			
	Ambient			Seaso	nal	
Temperature, Ta	304.5	K	Temperatur	e, Ts	300	K
Pressure,Pa	1005.0	hPa	Pressure,Ps		1010.4	hPa
	Orifice Transf	er Standars	Information			
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 9833620)	Slope,m _c	1.26117	ntercept, b _c	-0.01972	- land
Last Calibration Date	23-February-2011		$Q_a = [\sqrt{(}$	\H.Ta/Pa)-b _c]/	m _c	
Next Calibration Date	23-February-2012				4	

		Calibr	ation of RS	P		
Calibration	Manom	eter Reading		Q _{std}	Continuous	W((Ta+30)/Pa) ^{1/2}
Point	H(inch	es of water)		(m³/min)	Flow Recorder,	
	(up)	(down)	(AH)	X-axis	W (CFM)	Y-axis
1	5.6	7.1	12.7	1.5710	51	29.4229
2	4.2	5.4	9.6	1.3679	42	24.2306
3	3.2	4.3	7.5	1.2109	34	19.6153
4	1.8	2.9	4.7	0.9618	20	11.5384
5	0.9	2.0	2.9	0.7589	5	2.8846

By Linear Regression of Y Vs X

Signature

Date

: Sam Wong

: 22/07/2011

Slope,m = ntercept, b =		-20.6096		
Calibration result	:			
If the correlation coe	fficient R is < 0.990	00. Checking and recalibrat	ion are requried	
If the correlation coe	fficient, R is < 0.990	00. Checking and recalibrate	ion are requried.	
If the correlation coe	fficient, R is < 0.990	00. Checking and recalibrate	ion are requried.	

Annex A2

Laboratory Report

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ERM HONG KONG	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 2
Contact	: MS CELINE LEE	Contact	: Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey	Work Order	: HK1122560
Address	: 21/F, LINCOLN HOUSE, 979 KING'S ROAD, TAIKOO PLACE, ISLAND EAST, QUARRY BAY, HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail Telephone Facsimile	: Celine.Lee@erm.com : +852 2271 3000 : +852 2723 5660	E-mail Telephone Facsimile	: Godfrey.Chan@alsglobal.com : +852 2610 1044 : +852 2610 2021		
Project	: OPC AIR QUALITY MONITORING FOR	Quote number	: HK/156b/2011 (revised 1453/10)	Date Samples Received	: 23-SEP-2011
Order number				Issue Date	: 30-SEP-2011
C-O-C number				No. of samples received	e
Site	: OCEAN PARK			No. of samples analysed	

General Comments

not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. The completion date of analysis is: This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is 30-SEP-2011

Key: Lor = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. Specific comments for Work Order: HK1122560

Sample(s) were collected by ALS Technichem (HK) staff on 23 September, 2011. Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried Authorised results for Inorganics out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6. General Manager Fung Lim Chee, Richard

ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Kniting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwal Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

Tei: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



: 2 of 2 : ERM HONG KONG HK1122560

Page Number Client Work Order

Analytical Results							
Sub-Matrix: FILTER			Client sample ID	AM1	AM2	AM3	
		Client sa	Client sampling date / time	[20-SEP-2011]	[20-SEP-2011]	[20-SEP-2011]	
Compound	CAS Number LOR	LOR	Unit	HK1122560-001	HK1122560-002	HK1122560-003	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties							
HK-RSP: Respirable Suspended Particulate	1	0.01	mg/m³	0.05	0.05	0.06	

Annex A3

Detailed Summary and Graphical Presentation of the Cumulative Results since Commencement of Open-air Night Show

Annex A3 Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations

RSP Monitoring Station:

AM1 (Rooftop of Admininstration Building in Ocean Park)

							Elapse	Elapsed Time	Sampling				RSP	Limit	
Start	12 44	Finish	4	Weather	Filter Weight	eight (g)	Reading	ding	Time	Flow	Flow Rate (m ³ /min)	3/min)	Conc.	Level	Filter
Date	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average		(µg/m³)	0
28-Jan-11	17:00	29-Jan-11	17:00	Sunny	2.8652	2.9914	17711.68	17735.95	24.27	1.39	1.39	1.39	63	180	202102
04-Feb-11	17:00	17:00 05-Feb-11	17:00	Sunny	2.8755	3.0567	17735.95 17760.30	17760.30	24.35	1.39	1.43	1.41	88	180	202099
12-Feb-11	17:00	13-Feb-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.8808	3.0820	17760.30	17784.33	24.03	1.39	1.39	1.39	101	180	202100
20-Feb-11	17:00	21-Feb-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.8770	2.9497	17784.33	17808.45	24.12	1.39	1.39	1.39	36	180	202101
22-Mar-11	17:00	23-Mar-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.7967	2.8948	17808.45	17833.61	25.16	1.49	1.49	1.49	44	180	202264
10-Apr-11	17:00	11-Apr-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.7924	2.9167	17842.11	17866.14	24.03	1.08	1.08	1.08	80	180	202288
11-May-11	17:00	12-May-11	17:00	Sunny	2.7992	2.8443	17866.14	17890.29	24.15	1.04	1.04	1.04	30	180	202567
16-Jun-11	17:00	17-Jun-11	17:00	Sunny	2.7956	2.8404	17890.39	17914.31	23.92	1.14	1.14	1.14	27	180	202570
22-Jul-11	17:00	23-Jul-11	12:00	Sunny	2.8046	2.8484	18009.37	18033.12	23.75	1.21	1.11	1.16	26	180	202574
09-Aug-11	17:00	10-Aug-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.7805	2.8023	18033.12	18056.98	23.86	1.21	1.16	1.18	13	180	202575
20-Sep-11	17:00	21-Sep-11	17:00	Cloudy	2.7796	2.8769	18175.92	18199.66	23.74	1.25	1.25	1.25	55	180	060418
77												Min.	13		
												Max.	101		
												Average	51		

Annex A3 Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations

RSP Monitoring Station:

AM2 (Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park)


Filter	۵	202287	202290	202291	202292	202568	202571	202573	202576	060419			
Limit Level	(md/m3)	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180			
RSP Conc.	(md/m ₃)	62	64	51	88	26	18	26	14	52	14	88	45
³/min)	Average	1.04	1.47	1.45	1.43	1.43	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.16	Min.	Max.	Average
Flow Rate (m³/min)	Final	1.04	1.47	1.45	1.43	1.43	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.16			
Flov	Initial	1.04	1.47	1.45	1.43	1.43	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.16			
Sampling Time	(hrs)	23.75	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	23.99	24.00			
Elapsed Time Reading	Final	5092.98	5116.98	5140.98	5164.98	5188.98	5213.07	5237.07	5261.06	5309.43			
Elapse Rea	Initial	5069.23	5092.98	5116.98	5140.98	5164.98	5189.07	5213.07	5237.07	5285.43			
eight (g)	Final	2.8849	2.9238	2.8799	2.9833	2.8596	2.8285	2.8283		2.8736			
Filter Weight	Initial	2.7923	2.7884	2.7727	2.8004	2.8064	2.7984	2.7834	2.7829	2.7870			
Weather		Cloudy	Cloudy	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy			
4	Time	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00			
Finish	Date	17:00 29-Mar-11	05-Apr-11	17:00 11-Apr-11	17:00 19-Apr-11	12-May-11	17-Jun-11	23-Jul-11	10-Aug-11	21-Sep-11			
	Time	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00			
Start	Date	28-Mar-11	04-Apr-11	10-Apr-11	18-Apr-11	11-May-11	16-Jun-11	22-Jul-11	09-Aug-11	20-Sep-11			


Annex A3 Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations


RSP Monitoring Station:

AM3 (Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital)

i	Filter	ID	202265	202289	202294	202295	202566	202569	202572	202577	060420			
Limit	Level	(µg/m ₃)	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180			
RSP	Conc.	(µg/m³)	114	112	87	160	40	18	26	13	56	13	160	69
3/	/min)	Average	0.91	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.74	1.08	1.16	1.10	1.17	Min.	Max.	Average
,	FIOW Rate (m/min)	Final	0.91	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.74	1.08	1.17	1.09	1.17			
	FIOV	Initial	0.91	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.74	1.08	1.15	1.11	1.17			
Sampling	Іше	(hrs)	24.00	24.01	23.98	24.02	24.01	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00			
Elapsed Time	Reading	Final	13092.67	13092.67 13116.68	13116.68 13140.66	13140.66 13164.68	13188.69	13188.72 13212.72	13212.84 13236.84	13260.84	13404.81			
Elapse	Кеа	Initial	13068.67	13092.67	13116.68	13140.66	13164.68	13188.72	13212.84	13236.84	13380.81	3		
(m)	eignt (g)	Final	2.9435	2.9049	2.8825	2.9578	2.8330	2.8294	2.8416	2.8187	2.8855			
W. 2041.1	Filter Weignt	Initial	2.7946	2.8005	2.7948	2.7966	2.7906	2.8008	2.7983	2.7983	2.7921			
Mosther	weatner		Cloudy	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Cloudy	Cloudy			
		Time	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00			
	FINISN	Date	17:00 29-Mar-11	17:00 05-Apr-11	17:00 11-Apr-11 17:00	17:00 19-Apr-11	12-May-11	17:00 17-Jun-11 17:00	23-Jul-11 17:00	17:00 10-Aug-11	21-Sep-11			
		Time	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	$\overline{}$	17:00	-	12:00			
3	Start	Date	28-Mar-11	04-Apr-11	10-Apr-11	18-Apr-11	11-May-11	16-Jun-11	22-Jul-11	09-Aug-11	20-Sep-11			







Annex A4

Recorded RSP Concentrations at EPD's AQMSs in Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long and Tap Mun on 20 September 2011

Annex A4 Recorded RSP Concentrations at EPD's AQMSs in Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai
Po, Yuen Long, and Tap Mun on 20 September 2011
20 September 2011

Tung Chung

D	505
Date & Time	RSP
21-09-2011 16:00	70.7
21-09-2011 15:00	72
21-09-2011 14:00	73.5
21-09-2011 13:00	60.1
21-09-2011 12:00	48.9
21-09-2011 11:00	47.3
21-09-2011 10:00	44.2
21-09-2011 9:00	46.3
21-09-2011 8:00	47.6
21-09-2011 7:00	46.3
21-09-2011 6:00	46.3
21-09-2011 5:00	44.5
21-09-2011 4:00	47.3
21-09-2011 3:00	52.1
21-09-2011 2:00	50.3
21-09-2011 1:00	51.2
21-09-2011 0:00	50.6
20-09-2011 23:00	50
20-09-2011 22:00	46.4
20-09-2011 21:00	54.9
20-09-2011 20:00	59.8
20-09-2011 19:00	60.2
20-09-2011 18:00	56.6
20-09-2011 17:00	57

<u>Shatin</u>

Date & Time	RSP
21-09-2011 16:00	57.4
21-09-2011 15:00	62.7
21-09-2011 14:00	62.5
21-09-2011 13:00	59
21-09-2011 12:00	53.9
21-09-2011 11:00	49.6
21-09-2011 10:00	43.2
21-09-2011 9:00	44.1
21-09-2011 8:00	42.2
21-09-2011 7:00	41.7
21-09-2011 6:00	43.8
21-09-2011 5:00	46.1
21-09-2011 4:00	45
21-09-2011 3:00	47.8
21-09-2011 2:00	ele di 🛶
21-09-2011 1:00	51.2
21-09-2011 0:00	52.4
20-09-2011 23:00	51.6
20-09-2011 22:00	50.6
20-09-2011 21:00	49.2
20-09-2011 20:00	56.3
20-09-2011 19:00	56.2
20-09-2011 18:00	55.6
20-09-2011 17:00	59

<u>Tai Po</u>

Date & Time	RSP
21-09-2011 16:00	
21-09-2011 15:00	
21-09-2011 14:00	
21-09-2011 13:00	
21-09-2011 12:00	
21-09-2011 11:00	
21-09-2011 10:00	
21-09-2011 9:00	
21-09-2011 8:00	
21-09-2011 7:00	()
21-09-2011 6:00	
21-09-2011 5:00	
21-09-2011 4:00	4-
21-09-2011 3:00	
21-09-2011 2:00	
21-09-2011 1:00	
21-09-2011 0:00	
20-09-2011 23:00	
20-09-2011 22:00	
20-09-2011 21:00	
20-09-2011 20:00	
20-09-2011 19:00	FI
20-09-2011 18:00	
20-09-2011 17:00	

Yuen Long

	SWITTER STATE OF THE PARTY OF
Date & Time	RSP
21-09-2011 16:00	74.5
21-09-2011 15:00	82.7
21-09-2011 14:00	77.4
21-09-2011 13:00	66.2
21-09-2011 12:00	64.7
21-09-2011 11:00	61
21-09-2011 10:00	56
21-09-2011 9:00	48.3
21-09-2011 8:00	46.1
21-09-2011 7:00	47.5
21-09-2011 6:00	48.1
21-09-2011 5:00	50.6
21-09-2011 4:00	50.3
21-09-2011 3:00	50.8
21-09-2011 2:00	50.2
21-09-2011 1:00	53.3
21-09-2011 0:00	53.1
20-09-2011 23:00	59.4
20-09-2011 22:00	62.2
20-09-2011 21:00	61.3
20-09-2011 20:00	59.1
20-09-2011 19:00	65.8
20-09-2011 18:00	81
20-09-2011 17:00	68.2

Tap Mun

1ap Mult	
Date & Time	RSP
21-09-2011 16:00	51.6
21-09-2011 15:00	52
21-09-2011 14:00	54.9
21-09-2011 13:00	54.6
21-09-2011 12:00	44.3
21-09-2011 11:00	50.9
21-09-2011 10:00	50.9
21-09-2011 9:00	50.6
21-09-2011 8:00	49.9
21-09-2011 7:00	50.2
21-09-2011 6:00	54
21-09-2011 5:00	51.9
21-09-2011 4:00	53
21-09-2011 3:00	57.2
21-09-2011 2:00	59
21-09-2011 1:00	61.3
21-09-2011 0:00	60.5
20-09-2011 23:00	60.8
20-09-2011 22:00	64.4
20-09-2011 21:00	57.6
20-09-2011 20:00	51.9
20-09-2011 19:00	51
20-09-2011 18:00	49.7
20-09-2011 17:00	47.5


Annex A5

Weather Data Recorded at HKO's Weather Station in Wong Chuk Hang on 20 September 2011


Annex A5 Recorded Weather Data at HKO's Weather Station in Wong Chuk Hang on 20 September 2011

20 September 2011


Prevailing Wind Speed



Prevailing Wind Direction



Ambient Temperature and Relative Humidity



Annex B1

Calibration Certificates of the Noise Measurement Equipment



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C113827

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 00603867

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113827.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Envirotech Services Co.

Address: Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road, Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 8 July 2011

Certified by: Clyn An
HC Chan



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113827

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION

: Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER: Rion

MODEL NO.

: NL-31

SERIAL NO.

: 00603867

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : (23 ± 2)°C

RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 7 July 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1657

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

Date: 8 July 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F. Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong Fax: 2744 8986 Tel: 2927 2606 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 4



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113827

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL280 CL281

Description

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Certificate No.

C110018 C1006860

- 5. Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UU'	T Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec.
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU'	T Setting		Applied	l Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		113.9

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

	UU	T Setting		Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			93.9	± 0.3



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113827

Calibration Report

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UU	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
	Treat Treat				125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.5
				18	250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
			500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4		
	A SECTION		ATTENDED		1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.1	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	90.1	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	U	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	60 - 120 L _C C Fa	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5	
				125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5	
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
			7 5 11 2		2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)
				us been	12.5 kHz	88.2	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113827

Calibration Report

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

 $250 \text{ Hz} - 500 \text{ Hz} : \pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz $\pm 0.35 \, dB$ 8 kHz $\pm 0.45 \, dB$

12.5 kHz $\pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$

104 dB: 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$ 114 dB: 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Certificate No.: C113973

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 00320533

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113973.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Envirotech Services Co.

Address: Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,

Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 18 July 2011

Certified by: Um Um C HC Chan



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113973

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER: Rion MODEL NO. : NL-31 SERIAL NO. : 00320533

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : (23 ± 2)°C RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 16 July 2011 JOB NO.: IC11-1746

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification. The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

Date: 18 July 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong Fax: 2744 8986 Tel: 2927 2606 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113973

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL280 CL281 **Description**

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Certificate No.

C110018 C1006860

- 5. Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UU'	T Setting		Applied	l Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU'	T Setting		Applied	l Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9 (Ref.)
				104.00		103.9
				114.00	San	113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	Ref.
			Slow			93.8	± 0.1



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113973

Calibration Report

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

		UUT Setting		Appl	ied Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level Burst (dB) Duration		Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
20 - 110	LA	A	FAST	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AMAX}				200 ms	105.1	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L _A		SLOW		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AMAX}				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	U	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	0 - 120 L _A A Fast	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.2	-39.4 ± 1.5		
				63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5		
			125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.0			
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.0	
					500 Hz	90.6	-3.2 ± 1.0	
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.	
					2 kHz	95.1	+1.2 ± 1.0	
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$	
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0	
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0	

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	U	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	Lc	С	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.7	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	92.9	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.6	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	93.8	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
	1. 0.803				4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5; -3.0)
			Date of Section 18		12.5 kHz	88.1	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113973

Calibration Report

6.4 Time Averaging

	UUT Setting					UUT	IEC 60804			
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
20 - 110	LAcq	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5
						1/102	F-WA	90	90.0	± 0.5
	04.25		60 sec.			1/103		80	80.0	± 1.0
			5 min.			1/104		70	70.0	± 1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value: 94 dB: 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz: ± 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz : $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$ 8 kHz : $\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$

12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB

104 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB)

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate No.: C105886

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 00983400

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C105886.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Envirotech Services Co.

Address: Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,

Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 26 October 2010

Certified by:



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C105886

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER: Rion
MODEL NO.: NL-31
SERIAL NO.: 00983400

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C RELATIVE HUMIDITY : $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification. The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by:

Date: 26 October 2010



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C105886

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID	<u>Description</u>	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C100067
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

- 5. Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level
- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied	l Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied	l Value	UUT	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)	
				104.00		104.0	
	ava jakana			114.00		114.1	

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

	UUT Setting				d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			93.9	± 0.3



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C105886

Calibration Report

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	Ul	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	(dB) -26.2 ± 1.5 -16.1 ± 1.5 -8.6 ± 1.4 -3.2 ± 1.4 Ref. $+1.2 \pm 1.6$ $+1.0 \pm 1.6$ -1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.1	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
				The Co	8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	90.1	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

		JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672			
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)			
30 - 120	Lc	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5			
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.8 ± 1.5 -0.2 ± 1.5 0.0 ± 1.4 0.0 ± 1.4 Ref. -0.2 ± 1.6			
				250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4				
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4			
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.			
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.6			
			ita in Titur Si		4 kHz	93.4	-0.8 ± 1.6			
	and the				8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)			
					12.5 kHz	88.3	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)			



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C105886

Calibration Report

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB

12.5 kHz : \pm 0.70 dB

104 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C113112

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Precision Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-18

Serial No.: 00360030

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113112.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Envirotech Services Co.

Address: Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,

Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 2 June 2011

Certified by: Clan Um HC Chan



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113112

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Precision Integrating Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER: Rion

MODEL NO. : NL-18

SERIAL NO. : 00360030

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration

DATE OF TEST: 1 June 2011 JOB NO. : IC11-1337

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification. The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

Date: 2 June 2011



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113112

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 6.4.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL280 CL281

<u>Description</u>
40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Certificate No. C110018 C1006860

- 5. Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level
- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.5	± 0.7

6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

	UUT Setting				d Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	U	UT Setting		Applie	d Value	UUT	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	
60 - 120		Fast	94.00	1			
				104.00		104.1	
				114.00		114.0	

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113112

Calibration Report

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

	U	UT Setting		Applied	l Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	Α.	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.1

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

		UUT Setting	3	App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 -110	LA	A	Fast	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx				200 ms	105.1	-1.0 ± 1.0
	LA		Slow		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx				500 ms	102.5	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	U	JT Setting		Appl	ied Value	UUT	IEC 60651		
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.4	-39.4 ± 1.5		
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.0		
				250 Hz	85.2	Type 1 Spec. (dB) -39.4 ± 1.5 -26.2 ± 1.5			
				500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0			
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.		
					2 kHz	95.3	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$		
			4 kHz	95.1	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$				
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5; -3.0)		
					12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)		



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C113112

Calibration Report

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	U	JT Setting		Appl	ied Value	UUT	IEC 651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LC	C	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.9	-3.0 ± 1.5
				v de la	-0.8 ± 1.5		
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
WO TO A STREET				500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0	
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
		F 11 (15 - 9)			2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
				4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0	
		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5; -3.0)
		a well beauti			12.5 kHz	87.7	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.4 Time Averaging

CORPORATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	UL	T Setting					UUT	IEC 60804		
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency. Weighting	Integrating Time	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LAeq	eq A	A 10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110,0	100	100.0	± 0.5
						1/102		90	90.0	± 0.5
			60 sec.	0		1/103		80	79.6	± 1.0
			5 min.			1/104		70	69.7	± 1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$

250 Hz - 500 Hz : $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz : $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$

8 kHz : $\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} 104 \ dB & : \ 1 \ kHz & : \ \pm 0.10 \ dB \ (Ref. \ 94 \ dB) \\ 114 \ dB & : \ 1 \ kHz & : \ \pm 0.10 \ dB \ (Ref. \ 94 \ dB) \\ Burst equivalent level & : \ \pm 0.2 \ dB \ (Ref. \ 110 \ dB) \end{array}$

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C106297

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Bruel & Kjaer

Model No.: 2238

Serial No.: 2448529

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C106297.

The equipment is supplied by


Co. Name: Hyder Consulting Limited

Address: 47/F., Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 16 November 2010

Certified by:

K C Lee



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C106297

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Integrating Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER: Bruel & Kjaer

MODEL NO. : 2238 SERIAL NO. : 2448529

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : (23 ± 2)°C RELATIVE HUMIDITY : (55 ± 20)%

LINE VOLTAGE : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 16 November 2010 *JOB NO*.: IC10-2916

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification. The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

L. L. Cheung

Date: 16 November 2010



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C106297

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

CL280 CL281 Description

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Certificate No.

C100067 C1006860

4. Test procedure: MA101N.

5. Results:

5.1 Sound Pressure Level

5.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT	Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type Spec. (dB)	
50 - 130	LAFP	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7	

5.1.2 Linearity

	UU	T Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00	La lista de la constitución de l	114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.

5.2 Time Weighting

5.2.1 Continuous Signal

	UUT	Γ Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC	60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	l Spec. B)
50 - 130	LAFP	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	R	ef.
	L _{ASP}		S			94.1	±	0.1
	L _{AIP}		I			94.1	±	0.1



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C106297

Calibration Report

5.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

	UUT Setting				lied Value	UUT	IEC 6065
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spe (dB)
30 - 110	LAFP	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 = 1.0
	L _{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	Lassier				500 ms	101.9	-4.1 = 1.0

5.3 Frequency Weighting

5.3.1 A-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC	60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	The state of the s	1 Spec.
50 - 130	LAFP	Α	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.9	-39.4	1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2	1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1	1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6	1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2	1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	I	Ref.
	The Table				2 kHz	95.2	+1.2	1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0	1.0
	and the				8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+	1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+	3.0; -6.0)

5.3.2 C-Weighting

		Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	L _{CFP}	С	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.3	-3.0 = 1.5
					63 Hz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (-1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C106297

Calibration Report

5.4 Time Averaging

	UUT	Γ Setting				UUT	IEC 60804			
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 110	LAeq	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	99,9	± 0.5
						1/102		90	89.7	± 0.5
			60 sec.			1/103		80	79.9	± 1.0
	iven a see		5 min.			1/104		70	69.7	±1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value: 94 dB: 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz: ± 0.40 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB 1 kHz $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz $: \pm 0.40 \, dB$ 4 kHz $\pm 0.50 \, dB$ 8 kHz $: \pm 0.70 \, dB$

12.5 kHz : ± 1.20 dB

104 dB: 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$ 114 dB: 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$ Burst equivalent level $: \pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ (Ref. 110 dB

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Certificate No.: C114103

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Precision Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NA-27

Serial No.: 00201194

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C114103.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Envirotech Services Co.

Address: Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,

Hong Kong

Date of Issue: 26 July 2011

Certified by:



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C114103

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION

: Precision Sound Level Meter

MANUFACTURER:

Rion

MODEL NO.

: NA-27

SERIAL NO.

: 00201194

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 22 July 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1826

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification. The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by: Low An Cl

Date: 26 July 2011



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C114103

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurement at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL280 CL281

<u>Description</u>
40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator
Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Certificate No. C110018 C1006860

- Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level
- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Self-Calibration

	UUT Setting	ļ .	Applied	d Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.1

6.1.1.2 After Self-Calibration

	UUT Setting			d Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	UUT Setting	g	Applied	Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
60 - 120	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
			104.00		104.0
			114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C114103

Calibration Report

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

	UUT Setting	3	Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
		Slow			94.0	± 0.1

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

	UUT Setting			ied Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 -110	LA	Fast	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmax			200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	LA	Slow		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmax			500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UUT Settin	g	Appl	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.4	-39.4 ± 1.5
				63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5
				125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.0
				250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
				500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
				1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
				2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
				4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$
				8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5; -3.0)
				12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C114103

Calibration Report

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT Settin	g	Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LC	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.0	-3.0 ± 1.5
				63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
				125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
				250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
				500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
				1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
				2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
				4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
				8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
				12.5 kHz	87.8	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)

Time Averaging 6.4

	UUT Settin	ng			Applied Va	lue		UUT	IEC 60804
Range (dB)	Mode	Integrating Time	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 110	LAeq	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.1	± 0.5
					1/102		90	90.1	± 0.5
		60 sec.			1/103		80	80.0	± 1.0
		5 min.			1/104		70	70.0	± 1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value: 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz $\pm 0.35 \, dB$

8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB

12.5 kHz $: \pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$ 104 dB : 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$ 114 dB : 1 kHz $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB (Ref. 94 dB)}$ Burst equivalent level $: \pm 0.2 \, dB \, (Ref. 110 \, dB)$

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Annex B2

Results of Noise Monitoring

Annex B2 Operational Noise Monitoring Results

		Measurement	Measurement Period, hours	Meast	Measured Noise Level [1], dB(A)	dB(A)						
Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday		End	Daily Operational Noise Level, Leg.	Show Noise Level,	Background Noise Level, Los, 15min	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected),	al Noise Level Corrected),	Lagoon Night Sh (Background	75	Noise Criteria, Leq(30mins), dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
	(Harris Maria		ì			-	Without faça	With façade correction	Without façade	With façade correction		
28-Aug-11	PH	2020	2130	65.4	65.4	65.0	54.8	57.8	55.2	58.2	09	Note [11]
30-Aug-11	WD	1850	1920	63.9	64.7	64.8	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	09	Note [11]
04-Sep-11	ЬН	2020	2130	64.6	63.9	63.9	55.8	58.8	Negligible	Negligible	09	Note [11]
06-Sep-11	WD	1850	2000	62.9	62.4	62.1	55.1	58.1	49.8	52.8	09	Note [11]
11-Sep-11	H	2020	2130	63.8	62.6	62.4	58.3	61.3	49.5	52.5	09	Note [1] & [2]
14-Sen-11	WD	1820	1930	63.5	64.0	63.2	51.5	54.5	56.4	59.4	09	Note [11]
18-Sep-11	Hd	1820	1930	67.2	9.99	66.1	60.3	63.3	56.5	59.5	09	Note [11 & 12]
21-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	63.0	63.6	62.9	44.8	47.8	55.2	58.2	09	Note [1]
25-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	69.0	68.7	66.1	62:9	689	65.2	68.2	09	Note [1] & [2]
monning rocaron	- Caucou		d being	Color borness	Managed Noice Lond [1] Jacob	(8)00						
	Weekdays/		Measurement Period, nours	Daily Operational Noise Level, Lea	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level.	Background Noise	Daily Operational Noise Level	al Noise Level	Lagoon Night Sh	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level	Noise Criteria,	
Date	(WD/PH)	Start	End	30min	Leq. 30min			min.	Leachground	30min	dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
28-Aug-11	PH	2020	2130	59.9	61.2	60.5	Negligible	ible	52	52.5	09	Note [1]
30-Aug-11	WD	1850	1920	6.09	8.09	59.8	54.5	5	53.7	7.3	09	
04-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	58.7	60.2	59.5	Negligible	ible	52	52.0	09	,
06-Sep-11	WD	1850	2000	61.3	60.3	59.4	56.9	6	53.2	27	09	•
11-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	60.4	9.09	59.7	52.0	0	53.3	33	09	.*
14-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	62.3	61.3	60.5	57.6	9	53.8	8.8	09	Note [1]
18-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	63.2	67.1	68.0	Negligible	ible	Negligible	igible	09	Note 11
21-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	61.5	60.4	59.6	57.0	0	52.8	8:	09	101/4/11
25-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	73.0	72.0	68.0	71.3	3	2.69	7.0	09	Note Harri
Monitoring Location:	ocation:		AON3	Woodgreen Estate								
		Measurement	Measurement Period, hours	Measu	Measured Noise Level [1], dB(A)	dB(A)						
Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)		End	Daily Operational Noise Level, Leq.	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, Leq. 30min	Background Noise Level, L _{eq. 15min}	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected),	al Noise Level Corrected),	Lagoon Night Show N (Background Cor	Voise Level rected),	Noise Criteria, Leq(30mins)* dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
28-Aug-11	PH	2020	2130	61.3	0.09	60.5	53.3	3	Negligible	igible	55	Note 111
30-Aug-11	WD	1850	1920	67.3	65.5	65.7	61.9	9	Negligible	igible	55	Note [1] & [4]
04-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	63.5	63.4	62.6	56.0	0	55.8	8.8	55	Note [1] & [4]
06-Sep-11	WD	1850	2000	66.5	66.1	64.5	62.0	0	6.09	6.0	55	Note [1] & [4]
11-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	63.8	63.3	63.5	52.0	c	Negligible	gible	55	Note [1]
14-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	6.99	9.59	65.3	61.6	9	53	53.0	55	Note [1] & [4]
18-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	0.99	65.1	65.0	58.9	9	43.9	63	55	Note [1] & [4]
21-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	66.5	62.9	65.5	59.8	8	55.1	13	55	Note [1] & [4]
25-Sen-11	DC	1630	0001	5 179	1 23	0 45	Neolioible	ibla	7.72			Note II

		Measurement	Measurement Period, hours	Measured N	ured Noise Level [1], dB(A)	dB(A)				
	Weekdays/ Public Holiday			Daily Operational Noise Level, Leg.	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level,	tional Lagoon Night Leg. Show Noise Level, Background Noise	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected),	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected),	Noise Criteria,	
Date	(WD/PH)	Start	End	30min	Loq, 30min	Level, Leg, 15min	Lea. 30min	Lea. 30min	dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
28-Aug-11	ЬН	2020	2130	54.0	54.7	54.6	Negligible	38.9	55	•
30-Aug-11	WD	1850	1920	58.4	57.8	57.5	50.8	46.0	55	Note [1]
04-Sep-11	ЬН	2020	2130	53.7	54.7	53.6	37.2	48.3	55	
06-Sep-11	WD	1850	2000	55.3	55.3	54.9	44.0	44.0	55	
11-Sep-11	ЬН	2020	2130	53.4	54.4	53.6	Negligible	46.2	55	
14-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	55.0	55.1	54.6	44.3	45.3	55	*
18-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	56.3	55.5	54.6	51.4	48.2	55	r
21-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	55.5	55.9	55.5	Negligible	45.3	55	Note [1]
25-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	61.2	60.7	54.6	60.1	59.5	55	Note [1] & [3]

Manly Villa

AON4

Monitoring Location:


Monitoring Location:	ocation:		AON5	Hau Yuen						
		Measurement	Measurement Period, hours		Measured Noise Level [1], dB(A)	dB(A)				
Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Start	End	Daily Operational Noise Level, Leq.	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, Leq. 30min	Daily Operational Lagoon Night Noise Level, Background Noise and Somin Level, L	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), Leo. 30min	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), Log. 30min	Noise Criteria, Leq(30mins)* dB(A)	Remark / Other Noi
28-Aug-11	H-I	2020	2130	57.1	56.3	56.8	44.5	Negligible	55	Note 11
30-Aug-11	WD	1850	1920	57.1	58.9	58.2	Negligible	50.5	55	Note 11
04-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	56.6	57.2	55.5	50.4	52.3	55	Note 11
06-Sep-11	WD	1850	2000	59.7	57.3	55.5	57.6	52.6	55	Note [1] &
11-Sep-11	PH	2020	2130	59.5	57.1	57.4	55.3	Negligible	55	Note [1]
14-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	59.1	59.3	58.7	47.5	49.9	55	Note 11
18-Sep-11	PH	1820	1930	57.3	57.8	57.1	43.4	49.7	55	Note 11
21-Sep-11	WD	1820	1930	57.9	58.6	58.0	Negligible	49.8	55	Note [1]
25-Sep-11	ЬH	1820	1930	58.0	57.6	57.1	50.6	47.6	55	Note 11

loise Source(s)


Notes:
[1] Bolded value indicates exceedance over the noise criteria.
Negligible refers to the measured impact noise levels lower than the background noise levels.
[2] The exceedances were due to the high level of background noise from visitors and traffic on 11, 18 and 25 September 2011 which are public holidays.
[3] The exceedances at AON2 and AON4 were due to noise from special event at Ocean Park.
[4] The exceedances at AON3 and AON5 were due to traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

Annex B3


Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result




Note: The exceedances were due to the high level of background noise from visitors and traffic on 11, 18 and 25 September 2011 which are public holidays.




Note: The exceedance was due to the noise from special event held at the Ocean Park.



Note: The exceedances were due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.



Note: The exceedance was due to the noise from special event held at the Ocean Park.



Note: The exceedances were due to traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.