# Contract No. SS M333

# Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium

Monthly EM&A Report for May 2005

June 2005

porene	guen
Reviewed	by (PM):

<10.....

Checked by:

Approved by: Environmental Team Leader

Report Version:	Revision 0	Date of Submission:	13 June 2005
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The information contained in this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing. The interpretation and recommendations in the report are based on our experience, using reasonable professional skill and judgment, and based upon the information that was available to us. These interpretations and recommendations are not necessarily relevant to any aspect outside the restricted requirements of our brief. This report has been prepared for the sole and specific use of our client and MEMCL accepts no responsibility for its use by others.

This report is copyright and may not be reproduced in whole or in part without prior written permission.

# MAUNSELL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS LTD

11/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2893 1551 Fax: (852) 2891 0305 Email: <a href="mailto:mem@maunsell.aecom.com">mem@maunsell.aecom.com</a>

	-

13-JUN-2005

Consulting

安행王程顧問有限公司

香港灣仔 皇后大道單183號 合和中心47隻

集 話: (852) 2911·2233 國文傳真: (852) 2805 5028 電子秘語: hyder@hyder.com.hk 網 址: www.hyderconsulting.com Hyder Consulting Limited 47/F Hopswell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East, Wan Chal, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2911 2233
Fax: (852) 2805 5028
Email: hyder@hyder.com.hk
Website: www.hyderconsulting.com

Hyder Consulting Limited is incorporated in Hong Kong with limited liability. COI Number 126012

13 June 2005

Architectural Services Department Queensway Government Offices 66 Queensway Hong Kong BY POST & FAX (2524 8194)

Your Ref:

Our

1148-06/E05-41908

Ref:

For attention of: Mr Michael Mak

Dear Michael

Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium Monthly EM&A Report for May 2005 (Revision 0)

We refer to the email, which was copied to us, enclosing the draft monthly EM&A Report for May 2005 (Revision 0) on 8 June 2005 from MEMCL and subsequent emails on 10 and 13 June 2005 from MEMCL regarding MEMCL's response to our comments and the revised EM&A report.

We have no further comment and hereby verified the captioned EM&A report.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on 2911 2719 or Adi Lee on 2911 2729.

Yours sincerely

Coleman Ng Independent Environmental Checker HYDER CONSULTING LIMITED

MEMCL - Mr. Y. T. Tang/Ms Florence Yuen CRCCL - Mr. Eric To

(Fax: 2891 0305) (Fax: 2827 2921)

CNIAL

ÇĊ



	Ü
•	

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECL	ITIVE SUMMARYII
1.	INTRODUCTION
	Background
2.	AIR QUALITY
	Monitoring Requirements
3.	NOISE 5
	Monitoring Requirements
4.	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION
	Site Inspections
5.	FUTURE KEY ISSUES
	Key Issues and Recommendations for Coming Month Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Coming Months
6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
	Conclusions

IVIOITINI LIVIO	A Report for May 2005 (Revision 0)
List of Table	s
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.3	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 2.4	Summary of Impact 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Table 2.5	Summary of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.3	Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.4	Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results during 07:00 – 19:00 on Normal Weekdays
Table 4.1	Summary of Waste Disposal in The Month
List of Figur	es
Figure 1.1	Project Organisation for Environmental Management
Figure 1.2	Layout of Work Site
Figure 2.1	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3.1	Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 4.1	Complaint Flow Diagram
Appendices	
Appendix A	Key Contacts of Environmental Personnel
Appendix B	Construction Programme
Appendix C	Environmental Action and Limit Levels
Appendix D	Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedules
Appendix E	Calibration Details
Appendix F	Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation
Appendix G	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation
Appendix H	Summary of Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Observations
Appendix I	Status of Environmental Permits/Licences
Appendix J	Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures
Appendix K	Event and Action Plans

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Limited (MEMCL) is the designated Environmental Team (ET) for "Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium" (The Project). This is the seventh monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report prepared by MEMCL for the Project. The EM&A programme for the Project commenced on 29 October 2004. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted in the month of May 2005 (1 to 31 May 2005).

As informed by the Contractor, construction activities in the reporting period were:

- nosec Sheet pile or pipe pile construction;
- Excavation and site formation work to reduced level; and work

  Steel fixing, erection of formation and contents. Construction of tower crane foundation and tower crane erection;

A summary of monitoring and audit activities conducted in the reporting period is listed below:

1-hour TSP monitoring	15 sessions
24-hour TSP monitoring	5 sessions
Daytime noise monitoring	5 sessions
Environmental site inspection	4 sessions

### **Breaches of Action and Limit Levels**

# Air Quality

All 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results recorded in the month complied with the Action and Limit Levels.

#### **Construction Noise**

All noise monitoring results recorded in the month complied with the Action and Limit Levels.

### Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

In general, the Contractor satisfactorily implemented all the required mitigation measures and was reasonably responsive to the ET's recommendations on any discrepancy observed during the weekly environmental site inspection.

#### Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No environmental complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received or made against this Project in the month.

#### Reporting Changes

No reporting change was required in the month.

### **Future Key Issues**

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from activities on-site;
- Noise impact from operating equipment and machinery on-site;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste from activities on-site;

Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium  Monthly EM&A Report for May 2005 (Revision 0)	
Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage.	ſ
摘要	<u>_</u>
簡介	L
茂盛環境管理顧問有限公司乃「重置鑽石山火葬場」[下稱(工程項目)] 的指定環境小組。本冊是茂盛為工程項目製作的第七份每月環境監察及審核報告。工程項目的環境監察及審核由二零零四年十月廿九日開始、本報告記錄了二零零五年五月份(二零零五年五月一日至五月三十一日)所進行的環境監察及審核工作。	
根據承建商的資料,本月有以下的建築活動:	
• 拆毀凉亭, 洗手間及現存變壓房	{
本月有下列幾項的監察及審核活動: 一小時總懸浮粒子監察 15 次	_
廿四小時總懸浮粒子監察       5次         日間噪音監察       5次	ĺ
環境巡查 5次	٢
違反監察標準	Ĺ
空氣質素 本月所有一小時與廿四小時總懸浮粒子監測結果皆符合行動水平和極限水平。	
建築噪音 本月所有噪音監測結果皆符合行動水平和極限水平。	
環境影響緩和措施	
承建商大致上完成所需的緩和措施,同時已對環境小組在每週的環境巡查中的建議作出合理的回應及跟進。	ب
有關環境的投訴,傳票及檢控	
本月沒有收到有關環境的投訴,傳票及檢控。	٢
報告修訂	_
本月並沒有修訂報告。	{
預計要注意的事項	_
下月要注意事項包括: -工程活動所產生的塵埃	]
<ul><li>一操作中儀器及機器產生的噪音影響</li><li>一工程活動所產生的污水</li></ul>	T
一普通廢物與建築廢物的暫貯及棄置 一化學品的管理及防止意外漏油	٤.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

# Background

1.1 Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Limited (MEMCL) (hereinafter called the "ET") was appointed by China Resources Construction Company Limited (CRC) (hereinafter called the "Contractor") to undertake Environmental Monitoring and Audit for "Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium" (hereinafter called the "Project"). Under the requirements of Section 4 of Environmental Permit EP-179/2004, EM&A programme as set out in the approved EM&A Manual is required to be implemented. In accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, environmental monitoring of air quality and noise and environmental site inspections are required for the Project.

# Scope of Report

1.2 The EM&A programme for the Project commenced on 29 October 2004. This report presents a summary of the environmental monitoring and audit works, list of activities, and mitigation measures for the Project in May 2005 (from 1 to 31 May 2005).

# **Project Organisation**

1.3 The organisation of the environmental management team is shown in Figure 1.1. Key personnel contacts are presented in Appendix A.

# **Environmental Status in the Reporting Month**

- 1.4 The construction programme of the Project is provided in Appendix B. In the month, the following activities took place for the construction of the Project:
  - Sheet pile or pipe pile drilling;
  - Construction of tower crane foundation and tower crane erection;
  - Construction of footing and ground beam.
  - · Excavation and site formation work to reduced level; and
  - · Steel fixing, erection of formation and concreting for fair face mock-up panel;
- 1.5 Layout plan of the Project work site is provided in Figure 1.2.

# Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.6 The description and detailed locations of sensitive receivers and monitoring stations for air quality and noise are shown in Figures 2.1 and 3.1 respectively and relevant sections of this Report.
- 1.7 The EM&A programme require environmental monitoring for air quality and noise and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, landscape and visual, and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:
  - All monitoring parameters
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters
  - Event and Action Plans
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project final EIA report
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.

Reprovisioning of Dian	nond Hill Crematorium
	for May 2005 (Revision 0)

1.8 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarised in Appendix J of the Report.

# 2. AIR QUALITY

# **Monitoring Requirements**

- 2.1 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels at two designated monitoring stations were monitored in the month in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Appendix C shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 2.2 The monitoring schedule for the month is shown in Appendix D. Air quality monitoring stations for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP measurements are shown in Figure 2.1.

# **Monitoring Equipment**

2.3 Portable dust meter was used to carry out 1-hour TSP monitoring. High volume sampler (HVS - Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with the appropriate sampling inlets was installed for 24-hour TSP sampling. The HVS meet all the requirements as specified in the approved EM&A Manual. Table 2.1 summarised the equipment that were used in the dust-monitoring programme.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Dust Meter (for 1-hour TSP measurement	Laser Dust Monitor – Model LD- 1/LD-3
HVS (for 24-hour TSP measurement)	GMWS 2310 Accy-Vol system
Calibration Kit (for HVS)	GMW 25

# Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.4 Table 2.2 summarised the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact air quality monitoring.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Duration	Frequency
1-hour TSP	1 hour	3 times every six days
24-hour TSP	24 hours	Once every six days

### **Monitoring Locations**

2.5 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, two air quality monitoring stations, as shown in Figure 2.1 were selected for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP sampling. Table 2.3 describes the location of the air quality monitoring stations.

Table 2.3 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Identity / Description	Level
ASR8	Po Leung Kuk Grandmont Primary School	Roof top level of 7 storey building
ASR17	Staff Quarter for Diamond Hill Crematorium	Roof top level of 1 storey building

# Monitoring Methodology

# 1-hour TSP Monitoring

Monitoring Procedure

- 2.6 The measuring procedures of 1-hour TSP by a portable dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:
  - Set POWER to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range
    with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet
    has been capped).
  - Push the knob at MEASURE position.
  - Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
  - Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
  - Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
  - Push "START" button.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour TSP dust meters are verified at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the impact air quality monitoring.
- Calibration details for the dust meters are provided in Appendix E.

# 24-hour TSP Monitoring

Installation

- 2.7 The HVSs were installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVSs:
  - A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind was provided.
  - The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
  - A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was provided for rooftop sampler.
  - No furnace or incinerator flues were nearby.
  - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
  - Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring stations.
  - A secure supply of electricity was obtained to operate the sampler.

Preparation of Filter papers

- Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The
  conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C;
  the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working
  RH was 40%.</li>
- ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory which has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVSs work properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.

- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- Then the shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- The HVSs were warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flowrate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m<sup>3</sup>/min. The range was between 0.6-1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs ± 1 hr, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- · The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then be placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- · All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

#### Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVSs and their accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs are calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using GMW-25 Calibration Kit throughout all stages
  of the impact air quality monitoring.
- Calibration details for the HVSs are provided in Appendix E.

#### **Results and Observations**

2.8 Dust monitoring was conducted for both 1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP at all designated monitoring stations in the month. Air quality monitoring results and graphical presentations are provided in Appendix F.

### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

2.9 All measured 1-hour TSP levels complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the month. A summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results is presented in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4 Summary of Impact 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level	1	o. of edance
	Range	(μg/m³)	(μg/m³)	Action	Limit
ASR8	35.2 – 108.6	408.1	500.0	Nil	Nil
ASR17	35.9 – 122.5	408.4	500.0	Nil	Nil

### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

2.10 All measured 24-hour TSP levels complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the month. A summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results is presented in Table 2.5.

Table 2.5 Summary of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level		o. of edance
	Range	(μg/m³)	(μg/m³)	Action	Limit
ASR8	33.8 - 64.4	195.0	260.0	Nil	Nil
ASR17	31.4 - 65.0	174.1	260.0	Nil	Nil

# 3. NOISE

# **Monitoring Requirements**

- 3.1 Noise levels at three designated monitoring stations were monitored in the month in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Appendix C shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 3.2 The monitoring schedule for the month is shown in Appendix D. Noise monitoring stations are shown in Figure 3.1.

# **Monitoring Equipment**

3.3 Integrating Sound Level Meter was employed for noise monitoring. They were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L<sub>eq</sub>) and percentile sound pressure level (L<sub>x</sub>). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). Portable electronic wind speed indicator capable of measuring wind speed in m/s was employed to check the wind speed. Table 3.1 details the noise monitoring equipment used.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-18/31
Calibrator	Rion NC-73

# Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.4 Table 3.2 summarised the monitoring parameters, period, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Time Period	Parameters	Duration (min)	Frequency
Daytime (0700 to 1900		30	Once per week
on normal weekdays)	∟eq	30	Office her week

### **Monitoring Locations**

3.5 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, three noise monitoring stations, as shown in Figure 3.1 were selected for noise monitoring. Table 3.3 describes the location of this monitoring station.

Table 3.3 Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Identity / Description	Level
SR3	International Christian Quality Music Secondary and Primary School	Roof top level of 7 storey building
SR4	Po Leung Kuk Grandmont Primary School	Roof top level of 7 storey building
SR6	Staff Quarter for Diamond Hill Crematorium	Roof top level of 1 storey building

# **Monitoring Methodology**

# Monitoring Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- Facade measurements were made at all three monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - frequency weighting: A
  - time weighting: Fast
  - $-\,$  time measurement:  $L_{eq}(30$  minutes) during non-restricted hours i.e. between 07:00 and 19:00 on normal weekdays
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with a portable wind meter.
- During the monitoring period, the L<sub>eq</sub>, L<sub>10</sub> and L<sub>90</sub> were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

#### Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration details for the sound level meter and calibrator are provided in Appendix E.

### **Results and Observations**

- 3.6 Noise monitoring was conducted at all designated monitoring stations as scheduled in the month. Noise monitoring results and graphical presentations are provided in Appendix G.
- 3.7 All measured noise levels complied with the Action and Limit Levels in the month. A summary of noise monitoring results is presented in Table 3.4.

Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results during 07:00 - 19:00 on Normal Table 3.4 Weekdays

Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level, dB(A) L <sub>eg (30 min)</sub>	Calculated Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level	No. of Exceedance	
	Average and Range	Average and Range		Action*	Limit
SR3	66.3 (64.7 – 67.8)	, (* - 64.5)	70/65***	Nil	Nil
SR4	64.5 (63.5 – 65.6)	(# - #)	70/65##	Nil	Nil
SR6	62.5 (60.6 – 65.0)	(# - #)	75	Nil	Nil

<sup>\* -</sup> Action Level is triggered by receipt of a noise complaint

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION** 4.

# Site Inspections

Site inspection was carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper 4.1 environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. In the month, four site inspections were carried out in the month. The summary of weekly environmental site inspections observations and environmental site inspection checklists are attached in Appendix H.

# **Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures**

The monitoring works conducted by the Environmental Team were inspected regularly. Observations 4.2 have been recorded for the monitoring works as follows:

#### Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded the observations around the monitoring stations within and outside of the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and general weather condition on the monitoring day.

### Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded the observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the results.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded.

# Advice on Waste Management Status

The actual quantities of inert C&D materials and non-inert C&D wastes generated by activities of the 4.3 Project in the month are provided in Table 4.1. Trip ticket system was implemented for all offsite waste disposal.

<sup># -</sup> Measured noise level is less than the baseline noise level

\*\*\* - reduce to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during school examination periods

Table 4.1 Summary of Waste Disposal in The Month

Type of Waste Material  Inert C&D materials		Disposed Quantity	Destination
		582m³	Kai Tak Public Fill Barging Point
Non-inert C&D	Metals	Nil	Not Applicable
waste	Paper/cardboard packaging	Nil	Not Applicable
	Plastics	Nil	Not Applicable
	Chemical waste	Nil	Not Applicable
	Others, e.g. general refuse	154m <sup>3</sup>	SENT Landfill

# Status Environmental Licences and Permits

4.4 The status of all permits/licences obtained/in-use in the month is summarised in Appendix I.

# Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 4.5 An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is presented in Appendix J.
- 4.6 During the weekly site inspection conducted by the Environmental Team in the month, the following observations and recommendations were made.

# Water Quality

- Capacity of the existing wastewater treatment system was inadequate to handle the high flow during rainy days. The Contractor indicated that they would provide a wastewater treatment system with higher capacity by end of the week. Wastewater treatment system with higher capacity was installed by the next weekly site inspection.
- Muddy water was accumulated in the wheel wash bay. The Contractor was reminded to remove mud accumulated in the bay more frequently.
- Footing of hoarding was sealed by concrete. However, minor seepage of silty water was
  observed. The Contractor was reminded to clean up any seepage and ensure the footing of the
  hoarding was properly sealed. Footing of the hoarding was properly sealed by the next weekly
  site inspection. However, stagnant water was accumulated on-site. The Contractor was
  reminded to remove them as soon as possible.

#### Air Quality

 No particular observations and recommendations were made during the weekly site inspections in the month.

# Noise

A valid noise label was displayed on the air compressor.

# Waste or Chemical Management

- An oil drum was placed on bare ground. The Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray for the
  oil drum to avoid oil spillage on-site. Drip tray was provided to oil drums on-site by the next
  weekly site inspection.
- The Contractor was reminded to provide a lock for the Chemical Waste Storage Area on-site. A
  lock was provided to the Chemical Waste Storage Area on-site by the next weekly site
  inspection.
- Temporary formwork was accumulated on-site. The Contractor was reminded to remove them as soon as possible.

# Landscape and Visual

 No particular observations and recommendations were made during the weekly site inspections in the month.

#### Others

 No particular observations and recommendations were made during the weekly site inspections in the month.

# Summary of Exceedances of Environmental Quality Performance Limit

4.8

The Event and Action Plans for air quality and noise are presented in Appendix K.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP and noise levels was recorded in the month.

# Summary of Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- 4.9 Figure 4.1 presents the environmental complaint flow diagram of the Project.
- 4.10 No environmental complaint, notification of summons and prosecution was received or made against the Project in the month.

# 5. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

# Key Issues and Recommendations for Coming Month

- 5.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
  - · Generation of dust from activities on-site;
  - · Noise impact from operating equipment and machinery on-site;
  - Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
  - · Storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste from activities on-site; and
  - · Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage.
- 5.2 Recommendations for the coming month include:
  - Maintain the wheel wash bay more frequently;
  - · Avoid accumulation of stagnant water on site; and
  - Avoid accumulation of construction waste on site.

# **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Coming Months**

5.3 The tentative schedules for environmental monitoring and audit for the next three months are provided in Appendix D.


# 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# **Conclusions**


- 6.1 Environmental monitoring and audit was performed in May 2005. All monitoring and audit results in the month were checked and reviewed.
- 6.2 All 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results recorded in the month complied with the Action and Limit Levels.

	ovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium thly EM&A Report for May 2005 (Revision 0)
6.3	All noise monitoring results recorded in the month complied with the Action and Limit Levels.
6.4	In general, the Contractor satisfactorily implemented all the required mitigation measure and was reasonably responsive to the ET's recommendations on any discrepancy observed during the weekly environmental site inspection.
6.5	No environmental complaint, notification summons or successful prosecution was received or made against this Project in the month.
	Recommendations
6.6	According to results of weekly environmental site inspections performed in the month and the construction programme for the coming month, recommendations for air quality, construction noise, water quality and waste and chemical management are detailed in Sections 5.1 and 5.2.
	t.

FIGURES



# PROJECT ORGANIZATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT



#### LEGEND:

--- DIRECT COMMUNICATION

- - - LIAISON


MAUNSELL	AECOM
Maunsell Environme	ntal

Management Consultants Ltd

CONTRACT	NO: S	5 M333	- RE	PROVIS	IUNING	U₹
0	LAMOND	HILL	CREM/	ATOR I UM		
-	***********					

PROJECT ORGANIZATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

	SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	200	5
	CHECK	FSYY	DRAWN	LLM	IC
$R_{\perp}$	J08 No.	607004	DRAWING	No.	REV
IT		507904			_





MAUNSELL AECOM


Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Ltd CONTRACT NO: SS M333 - REPROVISIONING OF DIAMOND HILL CREMATORIUM

LAYOUT OF WORK SITE

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	2005		ſ
CHECK	FSYY	DRAWN	LLM	IC _	Į
JOB No.	S07904	DRAWING	1.2	REV	








I
,
,
į į
i    -
i j
†   
)   
}
}
]
<b>)</b>
<b>,</b>

APPENDIX A
KEY CONTACTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PERSONNEL




# Appendix A Key Contacts of Environmental Personnel

Party	Name	Telephone No.	Fax No.
<b>Environmental Protection</b>	Department		
SEPO	Mr. David Cox	2835 1106	2591 0558
EPO	Ms. Marlene Ho	2835 1186	2591 0558
EPO (LCO)	Mr. Charles Wu	2117 7540	2756 8588
Architect			
Architectural Services Depa	rtment		
Project Architect	Mr. Michael Mak	2867 3802	2524 8194
Assistant Architect	Mr. Johnson Lee	2867 4135	2524 8194
Independent Environment	al Checker		
Hyder Consulting Limited			
IEC	Mr. Coleman Ng	2911 2233	2805 5028
Assistant to IEC	Mr. Adi Lee	2911 2233	2805 5028
Contractor			
China Resources Constructi	ion Company Limited		
Project Manager	Mr. Eric To	2828 1515	2827 2921
Environmental Team			
Maunsell Environmental Ma	nagement Consultants Li	imited	
ET Leader	Mr. Y.T. Tang	2893 1551	2891 0305
Audit Team Leader	Ms. Florence Yuen	2893 1551	2891 0305
Monitoring Team Leader	Mr. Thomas Chan	2893 1551	2891 0305


	·		
		,	


APPENDIX B CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME





0							
🏑 🕆 (Size Poscesion (Societo I)		(4) }.	स्त इज्राध्यम		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
✓ Mobilication		74033	SALXOTOVIA	F6.571.04			
/ Initial Suivey		locays	Tue 2017/01	Wed 17/11/DS			
Sie Office Sel Up		20 Grys	150 ISO DA	Sat 19/2/04	21/81		
Tree Survey Repud		33 days	525 6711,091	Wedzilzby	and and an		
Sthrif Wate Mangazza Plan		Jdsy	Eristras	FILST LON			
Apparal of Will		) day	Fri 10'12551	Fri totlass	1017 11013		
		133 days	The Milos	SOPERPA			
✓ AraBOye 33		STANS	The 2/11/04	THE SERVICE	2/1/2		
10 Section 1 (Type 1)		14 (12)3	The LS/205	Aca 28/2/05	0.0		
	2		Tes 12.05	Wed 9/3-65	B =		-
		SA dies	Phi 7K/1 LDA	FitzMins			
	et from ASD	14.6	The Indian	The 13/12/06			
,	Develope Com SCD		100 P. C. D.	T. 26011.02			
19 C Defined towns [13] for broad fact attract	Mental particularity		Tar I and	THE PARTY OF			
, , ,	ON HULL PARK	er i	TOTAL PROPERTY	CHAPTER ST			
<u> </u> *	Americal of farcanise Citible Things (These By Davidson Louis	3 4	_	A CH CA LOVE			
, , ,	The Court of the C	3	DOLLAR IN	ALCH AN LOVE	Zinzilżinże		
<u>}</u>	(Karra)	Ŝ,	PA1100	Ten for			
<b>,</b>		3 0833	COUNT HOW	COVERED LA			
>		/ Cays	24 27 003	Fri 237,005	221   1221		
_	: Бімстіра	Dens	F-1391604	FE 29/10/04			<b>,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	αj)	ರಿಲೆಗು	Fit 29102M	Ft. 2370203	0182		
		0 days		Eri 29/10/DS	23/10		
-		Petars	} ;	Fri ZSVIOVO			•
7 Sile		16 (49)\$	1	Mon 20205		1 1000	
· /	, a	2 days	٠.	The 16/12/DA	15/12 15/12		
<b>,</b>	ונסגדו	2 days	1907/1/1.55	SM 32/12/54	1202 1502		
>	•	l day	10731/2C #S	SM JST2DM	L\$12   1802		
<u> </u>		19 days		Fii 7/L/05	120/12 mg 7/41	,,,,	<b>-</b>
<u> </u>	n	2 (strys	L	7471JE	Zantz III		
31 V Lay Water Three		10 days	The LVI,435	Thu 250/A05			
32 C Lay Soil & Walls Pipes		to days		Mos 18/2/05	in Carlina Par		
Area Area		Sydays	L	Sat 12/2/05			
<u> </u>	124	· 3days	ட	WedWIZON	6/12		
\>		19 days	ľ	THE PURIS	2002		
>	15	15 days	56141204	20/1/2 TH	7M2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
<u>٠</u>		KIP IZ	L	Tan 27/1/US	with the same of t		•
Ņ	nCi&CD	72 days	١.	S1/2/2/11S		1 201	
<b>₩</b>		strp 99	Fii 31/120	Sua 6/3405			•
>	Z)	15 days		Fri (4/A)S			
, j	B≿till	ફિલ્મોર		Thu 700/05			
	191	2daya	L	Men 31/18/05		,	
	14 74.	9 days	L	Sun 6/2/05	250, 100		
44 V Reconstruct Cach PA.& Serface Changed	Antiboa Chuned	10 days	_	Sun 2022US	770		
	(1.fra Walb)	Iddays		Men 78/2/05			
46 V Construct & Lay U/G Scribes Perench	vices Hypework	15 days	74 21/155	Men 117235			
17 July Drawfits & Cible Doots	bcds	17 days	L	Sun 6/2/US	Z		
>	abolca	17695	L	San \$2205	ł		
49 C		16 days		Men 147,05			
50 🗸 Backfill & Charaste Road Slab	राफ़	14 days	L	Fittin			
Ý	Cabbe Dura	(d)		Men 772'05			
٠,		15 days		Eri 42/03			
\ \ \	# Tx Room	2 darys	Sta 15	San 62703	29000		
Seal of Traffic Crash Print For Service		25 days	The HAMS	Fri 29200	120		
		i navi	The same	and town	;		
Transciby; Mr. Eric To  become ref: UMCARPSMM1 2-r-C	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, Name of Street, or ot	Nikatore	•	Salled Up Tack	Manager (1) Rolled Up Frogress Person External Taxts	COOP BY SURENCY	
	Progress . Stri	Stheney		Rolled Up Milestone	Split Project Summer	Company of the Compan	
_							


The second second second second second












0/01/2000 10.70 10000

O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         D
1
Control of the cont
Ed         CLUTA & PASTAGON         Natural Assistance
Controller of the Controller
1
15   Secretal workstand   15 days   This 1660   1 lan 30 1064     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   This 1660   1 lan 30 1064     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     15   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 16 1100   Wed 20 10     16   Secretal workstand   15 days   Wed 17
12   Contract front   Cartest with   Cartest with
13   Execution of the control of t
The Notation of Participant   The Notation   The Notation of Participant   The Notation   The
Fig.   Current Fooding   Fig.   Fi
Harder   Connect Front   Harder   Ha
Control Court   Cour
EX         Concent Wald         18 days         Weal 1870 Mode         18 mill 1870
Edit (M/X Soviets)         19 days
23   3-5-64(filleg   24-54)   24-54
12   Read-Signed   12 deps   12 d
Experiment   Exp
12   The Wall   12 days   The Wall   12 days   The 1910   Wed 2005   The 1910   Wed 2005   The 1910   The 19
15   15   15   15   15   15   15   15
Each black of Plantar &
Equipment   Prince   Prince
Control From   Many
Classification   Cla
Edward Works Publing   55 chs   The 16505 Sta 157105     Edward Challeding   13 chs   157105     Edward Challeding   15 chs
Edit   Self bedeape   78 days   18
13   Percoval of Scalibiling   11 days   13 ladys   15 days   14 ladys   13 ladys   15 days   14 ladys   15 days   15 ladys
Eg   Fozzorii of Towar Crack   5 days   Tue 124/106   Sea 224/105     Eg   Fractening   20 days   Sai 17760   The 217750     Eg   Fractening   0 days   Wed 11760   Wed 11760     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 11760   Wed 11760     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Eg   17170     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Eg   17170     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Eg   17170     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Sai 17400   Sai 17400     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 102108   Wed 102108     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 102108   Wed 102108     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 102108   Wed 102108     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 102108   Wed 112108     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 102108   Wed 112108     Eg   Tractening   0 days   Wed 112108
Fig.   Franklanding   Fig.
E.   Project Keep High   Project High   Project High   Project High   Project High   Project   Project High   Project   Project   Project High   Project
CLP   Inspection   Clays   Wed   10.05   Wed   10.05     Emiliary   Permison   Clays   First   10.05     Emiliary   Permison   Clays   Permison   Clays   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Clays   Permison   Clays   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Permison   Clays   Permison   Clays   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Clays   Permison   Permison   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Permison   Permison   Permison   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Permison   Permison   Permison   Permison     Emiliary   Permison   Permiso
Ed.   Dermanon! Waret Ob   O days   Eri 31,000   Fri 3
Ci   Solució WYOLOG   Color   Color
Class   WWA) Impaction   U-dry   Sta 15/4050   Sta 15/4050     Class   Evansiona Water Shipsky   U-dry   U-dry   Wwa   U20260     Class   Element Ferm 5   U-dry   Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Approval of Feat 5   Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Approval of Feat 5   Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Approval of Feat 5   Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Approval of Feat 5   Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Wwa   U20260     Class   Submit & Wwa   U20260     Class   Wwa   U2
Ed.   Promiscost Videz Supsyty   0 days   Wed 1024706   Sun 2194050     Ed.   Subrail Form 5   0 days   Sun 2194050     Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Subrail & Asproval of Foat 3144   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Subrail & Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Subrail & Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.   Ed.     Ed.   E
Ed.         Sabard Fenn 5         0 days         San 1304GA         San 2004GA           Ed. BAND inspection         0 days         Feb. 1540G         Alon 1543GA         2300           Sabard & Aspend of Fool Stat         30 days         Feb. 1340GA         2310         1650           Ed.         30 bard & Aspend of Fool Stat         30 days         Feb. 1340GA         Weal 1540GA           Ed.         31 bard i & Aspend of Fool Stat         78 days         Weal 1540GA         Non 1556GA           Ed.         51 bard i Kem, 201         Weal 1540GA         Non 1556GA         Non 1556GA           Ed.         Feb. Injocation         70 days         The 3051GS         Weal 2545GA
END tarperties
Lift cardinale   Codays   Fri 1941 DOM   Ext 1971 DOM   2540 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Sobral & Agreeval of Fluid Gibp   30 days Frit Strington   Sea 27711   Sea 2
12   3   3   3   4   4   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5
124 Jahren 1888 J.
EN F. Lindockon National States The 200785
E.S. Catilitate (0 days
Handover of Soften 1 Udsys
ומזוקות בלאסח חלקם המקובה ה

APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS



### Appendix C Environmental Action and Limit Levels

### Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR8	195.0	260
ASR17	174.1	260

### Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR8	408.1	500
ASR17	408.4	500


### Action and Limit Levels ( $L_{\text{eq}}$ ) for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level					
		SR3	SR4	SR6			
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	70/65* 70/65* 75					
0700 – 2300 hours on public holidays including Sundays and	from any one of the sensitive receivers	Subject to requirements stipulated in future					
1900 – 2300 hours on all days		Construc	ction Noise	Permits			
2300 – 0700 on all days							

<sup>\*</sup>reduce to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during school examination periods

	]
	<u>)</u> .
	]
	]
	]
	]
	]

APPENDIX D
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND
AUDIT SCHEDULES



Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring and Audit Schedule for May 2005

Saturday 7-Way		gangle and 45May		1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AND REPORT OF COLUMNS		Charles Company (28-May	24-hour TSP	The second secon		
Friday William	1-hour TSP Noise	Shape of the 13-May			/ क्राप्ट्राह्म क्रिक्ट र 20-May		/ great - 27. May				
Water Thursday	24-hour TSP	/2007/10/2009/2009/2019/	1-hour TSP Noise		y energy was 19-May		V TO THE PARTY OF MAY	#	The state of the s		
Wednesday 4-May	Site Environmental Audit		24-hour TSP	75	/	1-hour TSP Noise	॥ √ विकास क्षेत्रक क्षेत्रक विकास 25-Maÿ	Site			Iverse weather, etc)
Tuesday 3.May		Seat 10-May			A STATE OF SECTION AND A MAY	24-hour TSP	Site Environmental Audit	1-hour Nois	/  **		eeable circumstances (ac
Monday May		- September 19-May			16-May		ve/N=23-Mav		30-May	1-hour TSP Noise	to change due to unfores
Sunday 1-May		8-May			15-May		VeM-02		29-May		The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (adverse weather, etc.)

Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring and Audit Schedule for June 2005 Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium

Saturdays and Comment	1-hour TSP			UNISHED BY THE PROPERTY OF THE		Christian Committee Sun			
Commence of the commence of th	24-hour TSP		1-hour TSP Noise	<b>。                                    </b>				は、「は、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで	
A Thursday Studies			24-hour TSP		1-hour TSP Noise	Soloment (23-100)		unc-08	
WVednesday 1.Jun	Site Environmental Audit	Unitabilitation salaran managaran salaran	Site Environmental Audit		24-hour TSP	Site Environmental Audit	1-hour TSP Noise Site Environmental Audit	100 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Site Environmental Audit
Tuesday The				104 (144) 10 (144) 10 (144)		TO THE RESERVE TO THE OWN	24-hour TSP	7.00 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	1-hour TSP Noise
W. Wonday						100 - 100 -		27-Jun	24-hour TSP
Sunday		unf-9:		12-Jun				26-Jun	

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring and Audit Schedule for July 2005


Saturday 24-hour TSF		1-hour TSP		<u>                                    </u>				李宝子子三世報会  [57235Jul								
The Priday Miller of the Pride of the Priday Miller of the Priday Miller of the Priday Miller		24-hour TSP	:			1-hour TSP Noise		22-Jul								
R COM CONTROLL OF THE CONTROL	IDC-124 国际人员协会心部				24-hour TSP				1-hour TSP	Noise		7 7 W - 1 W - 1 W - 28-30				
Wednesday	INC-9. 3286 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Site Environmental Audit				Site Environmental Audit	*** (5.2%; **; 20-Jul	24-hour TSP		Site Environmental Audit			1-hour 1SP	Noise Site Environmental Audit	dverse weather, etc)
Tuesday with the state of the s				12-2101 July 12-301				INC-61				7.56-Jul	24-hour TSP			]∂
Monday and a second sec		1-hour TSP	Noise					Int-81				25-Jul				change due to unforesee
Sunday	lnC-e			10-Jul				nC-71				24-Jul				The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (a

Reprovisioning of Diamond Hill Crematorium Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring and Audit Schedule for August 2005

Saturday	7-Aug	24-hour TSP			Market At And	1-hour TSP	5		WIRE THE THE YOUR				A STATE OF THE SEANOR					24 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	
William Enday was selected to	E. P. C. S.	-			West Area of the Area	24-hour TSP					1-hour TSP	D SOION	A STANDARY STANDER						
Thursday	BnV-9				608-21 112-4V0 <u>8</u>				GAT TO THE PARTY OF AUG	24-hour TSP			The second secon		1-hour TSP	Noise		All the states with the second of the second	
	4-Aug			Site Environmental Audit				Site Environmental Audit	WAS THE WAS TO BE A LIG			Site Environmental Audit	STREET STREET STREET	24-hour T			Site Environmental Audit	en bligger i de verken i de verken i de servición de verken bligger i de percenta de verken de servición de ve Percenta de verken de verken de verken de verken i de verken i de verken de	
Tuesday	THE REPORT OF AUG		1-hour TSP Noise										CATALON SANCTON SALANG						24-hour TSP
Monday	2-Aug	24-hour TSP			STATES SEEDING	1-hour TSP	Noise		16-Aug				Service of the servic					Section 30-Aug	
Sunday	1-Aug				8-Aug	(1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4			15-Aug				22-Aug					29-Aug	

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

APPENDIX E CALIBRATION DETAILS



## Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Ltd. TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station	Po Leung Kuk Gr	andmont Primar	y School (ASR8)	Operator:	Porky	Chu				
Cal. Date:	19-Apr-05	<u> </u>		Next Due Date:		9-Jun-05				
Equipment No.:	A-001-69T			Serial No.	07	16				
_			Ambient	Condition						
Temperatu	ire, Ta (K)	298	Pressure, I	Pa (mmHg)		768.0				
···			Orifice Transfer S	tandard Informatio						
Equipme	ent No.:	A-003-03	Slope, mc	2.01571	Interce					
Last Calibra	ation Date:	03-Dec-04		mc x Qstd + bc	= [DH x (Pa/760) x	(298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>				
Next Calibra	ation Date:	02-Dec-05		Qstd = {[DH x (	Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]	<sup>1/2</sup> -bc} / mc				
<u> </u>		•			<u>.</u>					
	<del> </del>		Calibration of	of TSP Sampler						
<u> </u>		(	Orfice		HV	S Flow Recorder				
Resistance Plate No.	DH (orifice), in. of water	[DH x (Pa/7	'60) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	Qstd (m³/min) X axis	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM) Y-axis				
18	12.6		3.57	1.78	52.0	52.27				
13	10.0		3.18	1.59	45.0	45.24				
10	7.8		2.81	1.40	38.0	38.20				
7	5.0	<del> </del>	2.25	1.13	30.0	30.16				
5	2.3		1.52	0.77	21.0	21.11				
By Linear Regre Slope , mw =	ession of Y on X 30.6659	_		Intercept, bw =	-3.4	1736				
Correlation Coe	efficient* =	0	.9916							
*If Correlation Co	pefficient < 0.990,	check and recal	ibrate.							
			Set Point	Calculation						
From the TSP Fi	ield Calibration Cu	ırve, take Qstd =	: 1.30m <sup>3</sup> /min							
From the Regres	ssion Equation, the	e "Y" value acco	rding to							
					10					
		mv	v x Qstd + bw = IC	x [(Pa/760) x (298/	Ta)]" <sup>*</sup>					
		Oold Lhurler II	760 / Da \ v / Ta / 9	08 \1 <sup>1/2</sup> =		36.20				
i neretore, Set F	oint; i∪ = ( mw x i	usio + DW ) X [(	760 / Pa ) x ( Ta / 2	50 <u>J</u> ] _						
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
Remarks:										
. which	<del></del>	<del></del>	· · ·							
			<u>.</u>		<del></del>					
OC Reviewer	Thomas 1	Cham	Signature:	1. Clean		Date: 19/04/05				
					·	•				
P:\Genera	al\HVS\S07904	4\ASR8\2005\	ASR8_19Apr05	.xls						

## Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Ltd. TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station	Staff Quarter For	Diamond Hill Cr	ematorium (ASR17)	Operator:	Porky	Chu				
Cal, Date:	19-Арг-04			Next Due Date:	19-Ju	in-05				
Equipment No.:	A-001-21T			Serial No.	102	278				
				Condition		768.0				
Temperat	ure, Ta (K)	298	Pressure, P	'a (mmHg)	·	700.0				
		44.7	Orifice Transfer St	andard Informatio	n					
Eauipm	ent No.:	A-003-03	Slope, mc	2.01571	Interce	ept, bc -0.02096				
	ration Date:	03-Dec-04		mc x Qstd + bc	= [DH x (Pa/760) x	(298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>				
	ration Date:	02-Dec-05		$Qstd = \{[DH \times (Family + Family + Fami$	Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]	<sup>/2</sup> -bc} / mc				
						·				
			Calibration o	f TSP Sampler						
	<u></u>	(	Orfice		HV	S Flow Recorder				
Resistance Plate No.	DH (orifice), in. of water	[DH x (Pa/i	760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	Qstd (m³/min) X · axis	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM) Y-axis				
18	12.2	-	3.51	1.75	53.0	53.28				
13	9.4		3.08	1.54	46.0	46.24				
10	7.3		2.72	1.36	38.0	38.20				
7	5.4		2.34	1.17	31.0	31.16				
5	3.1		1.77	0.89	21.0	21.11				
Slope , mw = Correlation Co	ression of Y on X 37.7793 efficient* = coefficient < 0.990	0	.9985 ibrate.	Intercept, bw =	-12.	6810				
				Calculation						
From the TSD F	Field Calibration C	urve, take Ostd =		Valuation1		<u> </u>				
	ession Equation, the									
i rom alo regie	outer Equation, a									
		mv	w x Qstd + bw = IC	x [(Pa/760) x (298/	Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>					
		A 11 . 1 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2	700 (D_) · (T_ (00	10 11/2_		36.24				
Therefore, Set	Point; IC = ( mw x	usta + bw ) x [(	760 / Pa ) x ( Ta / 29	00]] -		JU.24				
		<del></del>			<del>_</del>	<u> </u>				
Remarks:										
-										
QC Reviewer:	Thomas P	Lan_	Signature:	1. Clean		Date: 19/04/05				
D10	N 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4) A C D 4 7) 2000	5\ASR17	NE via						

### EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

			L	iser Dusi	Monito	r		
Type:	·/D-ond:			BATA				
	cturer/Brand:			<del>D-1</del>				
Model N			A	005.05a				
Equipme Sensitiv	ent No.: ity Adjustment S	Scale Setting:	5	10 CPM				
Operato	r:		<u></u>	ddie <u>Yan</u> z	g (EWNY	? 		<del></del> _
Standard	Equipment							
	amt.	Rupprecht o	& Pate	ashnick T	EOM®_			
Equipm		Cyberport (	Pui Y	ing Secoi	ıdary Scl	hool)		
Venue:		Series 1400	)AB					<del></del>
Model 1		Control:	140	4B21989	9803			
Serial N	No:	Sensor:		C14365		K <sub>o</sub> : 12500		
Last Ca	alibration Date*:							
*Remark	s: Recommende	ed interval for ha	rdwar	e calibrat	ion is 1 y	year		
	ion Result							
Commiti	rite Adjustment	Scale Setting (H	3efore	Calibrati	ion):	CPI		
Sensin	Vity Adjustment	Scale Setting (A	After (	Calibratio	n):	510 CP	M	
Sensiu	(Alfa Walazanen)	, Doute passage (-			·			
r <del></del>		Time		Amb	ient	Concentration <sup>1</sup>	Total	Count/
Hour	Date	11110	•	Cond	,	$(mg/m^3)$	Count <sup>2</sup>	Minute <sup>3</sup>
	(dd-mm-yy)			Temp	R.H.	Y-axis	Į	X-axis
				(°C)	(%)			
		10.00	1.00	29	69	0.02442	1360	22.67
1	07-08-04		1:00	30	68	0.03482	1720	28.67
2_	07-08-04		3:00	30	70	0.03421	1694	28.23
3	07-08-04		5:00	29	72	0.04043	1961	32.68
4	07-08-04	16:00 - 1	7:00	-1-4 Pr Protor				
Note:	Total Count W	ta was measured by as logged by Laser I was calculated by (T	Just Ivi	JIIIOI		7.12		
By Line	ear Regression o	of Y or X	012					
Slope	(K-factor):		012					
Сотте	lation coefficien	nt: <u>0.9</u>	286					
Valid	lity of Calibratio	n Record: _7.4	lugust	2005				
Remar	ks:							
						•		
								, ,
		C <sup>1</sup>	c:	atire:	Al.	Cha Da	ite: <u>27/</u>	108/04
QC:	Reviewer: <u>The</u>	omas Chan	oigi	<u> </u>	1 years			

### EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

			L	aser Dus	A MIONIL			
Type: Manufac	cturer/Brand:			<i>IBATA</i>			•	
Model N				.D-3				
Equipme				.005.07a				
Sensitiv	ity Adjustment	Scale Sett	ing: <u>5</u>	57 CPM	<u> </u>	<del></del>		
DOLLDIA.					/E17/17	727		
Operato	r:		_ <u>E</u>	lddie Yan	g (EWIY			
tandard	Equipment							
				. v +_7_7	real®			
Equipm	ent:	Rupp	recht & Pat	ashnick .	ECM	chool)		
Venue:			erport (Pui Y	ing seco	nuary be			<del></del>
Model 1			es 1400AB	AB21989	0803			_ <del>_</del>
Serial N	√o:	Cont		<u>AB21969</u> 0C14365		K <sub>o</sub> : 12500		<del></del>
		Sens		0014303	,			
Last Ca	alibration Date*	$\frac{1}{J}$	une 2004					<del></del>
*Remark	s: Recommende	ed interval	for hardwar	e calibra	tion is 1	year		
Calibrat	ion Result							
		t Carla Cal	Hing (Refore	Calibrat	ion):	<i>557</i> CP	M	
Sensiti	vity Adjustment	Coale Set	mina (v ter (	alibratic	m):	557 CP		
Sensiti	vity Adjustment	t Scale Set	mmg (wrigh,	عادان دراد دهر				
	Date	т	ime	Aml	oient	Concentration <sup>1</sup>	Total	Count/
Hour	•	1			lition	(mg/m³)	Count <sup>2</sup>	Minute
1	(dd-mm-yy)			Temp	R.H.	Y-axis		X-axis
\ i		1		(°C)	(%)			
1	10-06-04	12:00	- 13:00	31	80	0.02767	762	12.70
1 2	19-06-04	12:00 13:00	- 13:00 - 14:00	<del></del>	80 80	0.02698	727	12.12
2	19-06-04	13:00	- 14:00	31		0.02698 0.02574	727 704	12.12 11.73
3	19-06-04 19-06-04	13:00 14:00	- 14:00 - 15:00	31 31 30 29	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727	12.12
3 4	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was measi	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
3	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da:	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
3 4	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass as logged by was calculat	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass as logged by was calculat	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre v Laser Dust Mo ed by (Total Co	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor):	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass as logged by was calculat	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Mo ed by (Total Co	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meass as logged by was calculat	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre v Laser Dust Mo ed by (Total Co	31 31 30 29 cht & Patas	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note: By Line Slope Correl	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute car Regression of (K-factor):	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note: By Line Slope Correl	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor):	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note: By Line Slope Correl	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute car Regression of (K-factor):	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note: By Line Slope Correl	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73
2 3 4 Note:  By Line Slope Correl  Validi	19-06-04 19-06-04 19-06-04 1. Monitoring da: 2. Total Count w 3. Count/minute ear Regression of (K-factor): lation coefficient	13:00 14:00 16:00 ta was meast as logged by was calculat of Y or X	- 14:00 - 15:00 - 17:00 ured by Ruppre Laser Dust Moded by (Total Company) 0.0022 0.9345	31 31 30 29 29 20 cht & Patasonitor 20 cht & Onitor 20 cht & O	80 79 78	0.02698 0.02574 0.02939	727 704	12.12 11.73



### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 Website: www.cigismec.com 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12樓



### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

05CA0519 02

Page

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Integrating Sound Level Meter

Preamp,

: Microphone

Manufacturer:

Rion Co., Ltd.

Rion Co., Ltd.

; Rion Co., Ltd.

Type/Model No.:

NL-31

NH-21

; UC-53A

Serial/Equipment No.:

00320528

Adaptors used:

03575

; 102439

Item submitted by

Client:

Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Ltd.

Request No.:

Date of request:

19-May-2005

Date of test:

25-May-2005

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Measuring amplifier

B&K 4226 B&K 2610 DS 360

2288444 2346941 11/Jan/2006 09/Nov/2005 NIM NIM

Signal generator Audio analyzer Digital multi-meter

Agilent 8903B Agilent 34401A 33873 GB41300350 US36087050 09/Nov/2005 08/Nov/2005 21/Nov/2005 NIM NIM SCM

**Ambient conditions** 

Temperature:

(23 ± 1) °C

Relative humidity:

(60 ± 10) %

Air pressure:

(1000 ± 10) hPa

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2, replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference 3, between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

### **Test results**

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the Type 1 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.A/01/12/2002



### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港實竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 Website: www.cigismec.com



### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

	05CA0304 02-01		Page	1	of 	2
Item tested						
Description:	Integrating Sound Lo	evel Meter;	Microphone;	Pream		
description: Manufacturer:	RION Co., Ltd.		RION Co., Ltd.;		Co., Ltd.	
	NL-18;		UC-53A;	NH-19		
Type/Model No.:	00570446		76066;	75883		
Serial/Equipment No.:	00070-770					
Adaptors used:	<u>-</u>				<del></del>	
item submitted by	•					
Client:	Maunsell Environme	ental Management Co	nsultants Ltd.			
Request No.:	05CA0304 02-01					
Date of request:	01-Mar-2005		•			
Date of test:	04-Mar-2005					<del></del>
Reference equipment u	used in the calibra	ation				
Decembra	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	•	Traceabl	le to:
Description:	B&K 4226	2288444	11/Jan/2006		NIM	
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 2610	2346941	09/Nov/2005	1	NIM	
Measuring amplifier	DS 360	33873	09/Nov/2005	1	NIM	
Signal generator	Agilent 8903B	GB41300350	08/Nov/2005	- 1	NIM	
Audio analyzer Digital multi-meter	Agilent 34401A	US36087050	21/Nov/2005	;	SCM	
Ambient conditions						
	(21 ± 1) °C					
Temperature:	\ <del>_</del>					
Temperature: Relative humidity:	(50 ± 10) %					
Temperature: Relative humidity: Air pressure:						_
Relative humidity: Air pressure:	(50 ± 10) %	·				
Relative humidity: Air pressure: Test specifications	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa					590: Part 1: 19
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1 The Sound Level Mi	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa	ed in accordance with	the requirements as	specified	in BS 7	580: Part 1: 19
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1, The Sound Level Me	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa eter has been calibrate					
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibration  2. The electrical tests of the second sec	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using	an electrical signal su	bstituted for the micro			
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests of the second seco	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using	)4-CA-152. an electrical signal su	bstituted for the micro	ophone v	vhich wa	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibrate 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equiv	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using	pa-CA-152. an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con	ophone v	vhich wa	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibrate 2. The electrical tests replaced by an equi-	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using	pa-CA-152. an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con	ophone v	vhich wa	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibration 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equitable acoustic calibration between the free-field.	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us eld and pressure respo	nan electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound L	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibration 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equitable acoustic calibration between the free-field.	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us eld and pressure respo	nan electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound L	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us eld and pressure respo	nan electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound L	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the So the test was performed.	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	pa-CA-152.  an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo	bstituted for the micro %. and calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibrations 2. The electrical tests of replaced by an equivalent of the free-field services.  Test results  This is to certify that the So	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	pa-CA-152.  an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo	bstituted for the micro %. and calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the So the test was performed.	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	pa-CA-152.  an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo	bstituted for the micro %. and calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the So the test was performed.	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	pa-CA-152.  an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo	bstituted for the micro %. and calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type	ophone v	vhich wa was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results This is to certify that the So the test was performed.  Details of the performed me	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo orms to BS 7580: Part ented on page 2 of this	bstituted for the micro %. Indicalibrator and concevel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.	ophone v	which was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results This is to certify that the So the test was performed.  Details of the performed me	eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with and pressure respo	pa-CA-152.  an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo	bstituted for the micro %. Indicalibrator and concevel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.	ophone v	which was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results This is to certify that the So the test was performed.  Details of the performed me	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance wit ation was performed us ild and pressure respo	an electrical signal su hin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sou nsess of the Sound Lo orms to BS 7580: Part ented on page 2 of this	bstituted for the micro %. Indicalibrator and concevel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.	ophone v	which was appl	s removed and
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results This is to certify that the So the test was performed.  Details of the performed me	eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with alion was performed usid and pressure respo	an electrical signal su an electrical signal su the sing an B&K 4226 sound insess of the Sound Lo arms to BS 7580: Part ented on page 2 of this	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.  -2005: Compan	1 for the	was appl conditio	s removed and lied for the difference of the di
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the Southe test was performed.  Details of the performed meaning and th	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with atton was performed usid and pressure responses are present to the capacitans are present to the c	an electrical signal suchin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sounsess of the Sound Lorens to BS 7580: Partented on page 2 of this page 2. Date:	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.  -2005: Compan	1 for the	was appl conditio	s removed and lied for the difference of the di
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Me and the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results This is to certify that the So the test was performed.  Details of the performed me	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with atton was performed usid and pressure responses are present to the capacitans are present to the c	an electrical signal suchin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sounsess of the Sound Lorens to BS 7580: Partented on page 2 of this page 2. Date:	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.  -2005: Compan	1 for the	was appl conditio	s removed and lied for the difference of the di
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the Southe test was performed.  Details of the performed means of	(50 ± 10) % (1013 ± 15) hPa  eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with atton was performed usid and pressure responses are present to the capacitans are present to the c	an electrical signal suchin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sounsess of the Sound Lorens to BS 7580: Partented on page 2 of this page 2. Date:	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.  Companion of the instrument	1 for the	conditio	s removed and lied for the difference of the di
Relative humidity: Air pressure:  Test specifications  1. The Sound Level Meand the lab calibratic 2. The electrical tests or replaced by an equit 3. The acoustic calibrate between the free-fie  Test results  This is to certify that the Southe test was performed.  Details of the performed means of	eter has been calibrate on procedure SMTP00 were performed using valent capacitance with and pressure respondent and pressure respondent capacitans are present and Level Meter conformation was performed using the long-term stability.	an electrical signal suchin a tolerance of ±20 sing an B&K 4226 sounsess of the Sound Lorens to BS 7580: Partented on page 2 of this page 2. Date:	bstituted for the micro %. nd calibrator and con evel Meter.  1: 1997 for the Type s certificate.  Companion of the instrument	1 for the	conditio	s removed and lied for the difference of the di

specific laboratory activities as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by this laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.I.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.



### 綜合訊器用以公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 Website: www.cigismec.com 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12模



### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

04CA0519 02-02

Page:

Pages

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Integrating Sound Level Meter, RION Co., Ltd.

Microphone; RION Co., Ltd; Preamplifier RION Co., Ltd.

Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: NL-31: 00320528; UC-53A; 102439;

NH-20 84131

Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Client

Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Ltd.

Request No.:

04CA0519 02-02

Date of request:

18/05/2004

Date of test:

20/05/2004

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Multi function sound calibrator Measuring amplifier Signal generator Audio analyzer

B&K 4226 B&K 2610 DS 360 8903B 34401A CST2001B

Model:

Serial No. 2288444 2346941 33873 GB41300350 US36087050

**RR163** 

Expiry Date: 05/01/2005 10/12/2004 14/11/2004 09/12/2004

23/12/2004

20/08/2007

Traceable to: NIM, China NIM NIM NIM SCM

NIM

Digital barometer Ambient conditions

Temperature:

Digital multi-meter

 $(23 \pm 3)$  °C (50 ± 15) %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

(1010 ± 10) hPa

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 1, and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2, replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator. 3.

Test results This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580; Part 1; 1997 for the Type 1 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

20/05/2004

Company Chop:

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.A/01/12/2002



### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 Website: www.cigismec.com




### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	05CA0119 01-01		Page: 1	of 2
Item tested				
Description: Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:	Sound Level Calibrator RION,Tokyo, Japan NC-73 N.004.09	101364327		
Item submitted by				
Client: Request No.: Date of request:	Maunsell Consultants 05CA0119 01 19-Jan-2005	Asia Ltd.		
Date of test:	19-Jan-2005			
Reference equipment	used in the calibrati	on		<del></del>
Description: Lab standard microphone Preamplifier Measuring amplifier Signal generator Digital multi-meter Audio analyzer Universal counter  Ambient conditions Temperature: Relative humidity: Air pressure:	Model: B&K 4180 B&K 2673 B&K 2610 D\$ 360 34401A 8903B 53132A  23 ± 2 °C 50 ± 15 % 1013 ± 15 hPa	Serial No. 2341427 2239857 2346941 33873 US36087050 GB41300350 MY40003662	Expiry Date: 10-Nov-2005 09-Nov-2005 09-Nov-2005 09-Nov-2005 22-Nov-2005 08-Nov-2005 12-Nov-2005	Traceable to: NIM NIM NIM NIM NIM SCM NIM NIM
Test specifications  1, The Sound Calibra	tor has been calibrated in	accordance with the	requirements as specifi	ied in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
and the lab calibrat  2, The calibrator was technique.	ion procedure SM1P004- tested with its axis vertica	GA-156. I facing downwards	at the specific frequency	vusing equivalent insert voltage for variations from a reference ent is insensitive to pressure
Test results				4007 to the Close 1 for
This is to certify that the so the conditions under which imply that the sound calibr  Details of the performed in Approved Signatory:	ator meets IEC 60942 und neasurements are present	der any other conditions of this Date: 19-Jan	certificate. 1-2005 Company C	SENGINESQUE SENGINESQUE 综合試驗 CO 有限公司表
The standard(s) and equipolation calibrated on a schedule to	pment used in the calibrat to maintain the required a	tion are traceable to ccuracy level.	national or international	recognised standards and are

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.A/01/12/2002

APPENDIX F
AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION



### **APPENDIX F: Air Quality Monitoring Results**

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ASR8

Date	Starting		Concentra	ition, µg/m3	
	Time	1st	2nd	3rd	Average
6-May-05	7:00	105.2	100.4	105.6	103.7
12-May-05	11:00	79.4	86.5	84.5	83.5
18-May-05	11:30	41.8	42.6	43.2	42.5
24-May-05	7:00	35.3	35.2	36.3	35.6
30-May-05	10:55	96.6	108.6	108.2	104.5
				Min.	35.2
				Max.	108.6
				Average	74.0

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ASR17

1-11041 101	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u>19 11000114</u>			
Date	Starting		Concentra	tion, µg/m3	
	Time	1st	2nd	3rd	Average
6-May-05	7:00	121.2	122.5	113.9	119.2
12-May-05	11:00	86.8	80.7	90.5	86.0
18-May-05	11:30	44.2	36.8	41.0	40.7
24-May-05	7:00	36.9	35.9	37.5	36.8
30-May-05	10:40	104.9	94.6	99.9	99.8
		-		Min.	35.9
				Max.	122.5
				Average	76.5

Remark: Bold value indicated an Action level exceedance
Bold & Italic value indicated an Limit level exceedance

# APPENDIX F: Air Quality Monitoring Results


## 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ASR8


Date	Filter W	Filter Weight (g)	Flow Rate (m³/	e (m³/min.)	Elapse	Elapse Time	Sampling	Conc.	Weather	Particulate	Av. flow	Total vol.
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	(mg/m <sub>3</sub> )	Condition	weight(g)	(m³/min)	(m³)
5-May-05	3.4518	3.5731	1.31	1.31	998.4	1022.4	24.0	64.4	Sunny	0.12	1.31	1885.0
11-May-05	3.4778	3.5768	1.28	1.28	1022.4	1046.4	24.0	53.8	Fine	0.10	1.28	1838.9
17-May-05	3.4930	3.5737	1.31	1.31	1046.4	1070.4	24.0	42.8	Sunny	90:08	1.31	1885.0
23-May-05	3.4687	3.5313	1.29	1.29	1070.4	1094.4	24.0	33.8	Fine	90.0	1.29	1854.1
28-May-05	3.5731	3.6469	1.22	1.22	1094.4	1118.5	24.0	41.9	Sunny	0.07	1.22	1760.4
							Min	33.8				
							Max	64.4				
							Average	47.3	<del></del>			

## 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ASR17


Date	Filter W	Filter Weight (g)	Flow Rate	e (m³/min.)	Elapse	Elapse Time	Sampling	Сопс.	Weather	Weather Particulate		Total vol.
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	(hg/m³)	Condition	weight(g)	(m³/min)	(m³)
5-May-05	3.4850	3.5986	1.21	1.21	15071.5	15095.5	24.0	65.0	Sunny	0.11	1.21	1748.2
11-May-05	3.4848	3.5545	1.21	1.21	15095.5	15119.5	24.0	39.9	Fine	0.07	1.21	1748.2
17-May-05	3.5252	3.5974	1.16	1.16	15119.5	15143.5	24.0	43.3	Sunny	20.0	1.16	1666.1
23-May-05	3,4851	3.5525	1.18	1.18	15143.5	15167.5	24.0	39.5	Fine	0.07	1.18	1704.2
28-May-05	3.5098	3.5633	1.18	1.18	15167.5	15191.5	24.0	31.4	Sunny	0.05	1.18	1703.5
							Min	31.4				
							Max	65.0				
							Average	43.8				

Remark: Bold value indicated an Action level exceedance Bold & Italic value indicated an Limit level exceedance





APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION



### Appendix G Noise Monitoring Results

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station SR3

	Weather	Noise	Level for	30-min, d	B(A) <sup>±</sup>	Baseline Noise	Calculated	Limit Level,	Exceedance
Date	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Level, dB(A)	Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)
6-May-05	Sunny	10:48	64.0	68.5	66.2	65.1	59.7	70	Ň
12-May-05	Fine	11:12	64.5	69.5	67.0	65.1	62.5	70	N
18-May-05	Sunny	13:39	65.5	70.0	67.8	65.1	64.5	70	N
24-May-05	Fine	8:43	62.0	67.5	65.8	65.1	57.5	70	N
30-May-05	Sunny	11:30	63.0	66.0	64.7	65.1	*Note	70	N
		Min	62.0	66.0	64.7				
		Max	65.5	70.0	67.8	Ì			
		Average	63.8	68.3	66.3	j			


### Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station SR4

	Weather	Noise	Level for	30-min, d	B(A) <sup>†</sup>	Baseline Noise	Calculated Construction Noise	Limit Level.	Exceedance
Date	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Level, dB(A)	Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)
6-May-05	Sunny	10:40	62.4	67.0	64.5	65.6	*Note	70	N
12-May-05	Fine	11:00	63.0	68.2	65.6	65.6	*Note	70	N
18-May-05	Sunny	13:00	63.0	68.2	65.0	65.6	*Note	70	NN
24-May-05	Fine	8:00	61.0	66.2	63.8	65.6	*Note	70	N
30-May-05	Sunny	11:25	61.5	65.2	63.5	65.6	*Note	70	N
<del></del>		Min	61.0	65.2	63.5				
		Max	63.0	68.2	65.6				
		Average	62.2	67.0	64.5				


### Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station SR6

	Weather	Noise	Level for	30-min, d	B(A) <sup>+</sup>	Baseline Noise	Calculated Construction Noise	Limit Level.	Exceedance
Date	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Level, dB(A)	Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)
6-May-05	Sunny	11:30	58.0	63.8	61.3	68.5	*Note	75	N
12-May-05	Fine	13:00	60.0	67.0	65.0	68.5	*Note	75	N
18-May-05	Sunny	11:30	60.0	65.0	63.4	68.5	*Note	75	N
24-May-05	Fine	7:20	57.4	62.5	60.6	68.5	*Note	75	N
30-May-05	Sunny	10:43	58.0	63.7	62.0	68.5	*Note	75	N N
	<b>U</b>	Min	57.4	62.5	60.6				<u> </u>
		Max	60.0	67.0	65.0	1			
		Average	58.7	64.4	62.5	1			

<sup>+</sup> - Façade measurement Bold & Italic value indicated an Limit level exceedance Note: Measured noise level is less than the baseline noise level.



APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF WEEKLY ENVIRONMENTAL
SITE INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS



# Appendix H Summary of Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Observations

## Inspection Information

Date	4 May 2005
Time	9:30 – 10:15 a.m.

#### Remarks/Observations

#### Water Quality

No violation was observed in this site inspection.

# Air Quality

2. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Noise

3. A valid noise label was displayed on the air compressor.

#### Waste/Chemical Management

- An oil drum was placed on bare ground. The Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray for the oil drum to avoid oil spillage.
- 5. The Contractor was reminded to provide a lock for the Chemical Waste Storage Area.

#### Landscape and Visual

6. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Others

7. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

### Inspection Information

Date	11 May 2005
Time	9:30 – 10:15 a.m.

#### Remarks/Observations

#### Water Quality

- 1. The Contractor indicated that the existing wastewater treatment system was not adequate to handle the high water flow during rainstorm. They would provide and install another wastewater treatment system with larger capacity by the end of this week to improve the drainage system.
- 2. The wheel-washing bay was cleaned up this morning.
- 3. The footing of the hoarding was sealed by concrete, however, it was noted that minor silty water was seeping from the leak. The Contractor was reminded to clean up the seepage as soon as possible and repair the leak of the footing to prevent seepage of silty water.

#### Air Quality

4. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Noise

5. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

### Waste/Chemical Management

- 6. Following up the previous inspection, all oil drums provided with drip trays to avoid oil spillage.
- 7. Following up the previous inspection, the Contractor provided a lock for the Chemical Waste Storage Area.

#### Landscape and Visual

8. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Others

9. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

# Inspection Information

Date	17 May 2005
Time	11:30 – 12:15

#### Remarks/Observations

## Water Quality

- 1. Wastewater treatment system with larger capacity was installed.
- 2. Gaps of the footing were sealed. However, stagnant water was accumulated on-site. The Contractor was reminded to remove them as soon as possible.
- 3. Muddy water was accumulated in the wheel wash bay. The Contractor was reminded to remove mud accumulated in the bay more frequently.

#### Air Quality

4. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Noise

5. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

### Waste/Chemical Management

Temporary formwork was accumulated on-site. The Contractor was reminded to remove them as soon as possible.

# Landscape and Visual


7. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

#### Others

8. No violation was observed in this site inspection.

nspection Informatio	n
Date	25 May 2005
Time	9:35 — 10:15
Remarks/Observation	ons ·
remove the range of 2. Cleaning wor Air Quality  3. No violation v	ter accumulated on site was removed regularly. The Contractor was reminded to ainwater accumulated in the drip tray as soon as possible.  It for the wheel wash bay was in progress during this site inspection.  was observed in this site inspection.
Noise	was absented in this cite inapportion
4. No violation waste/Chemical Man	was observed in this site inspection.  agement
	ormwork accumulated on-site was not removed yet.
Landscape and Visua	
6. No violation v	was observed in this site inspection.
	was observed in this site inspection.

APPENDIX I STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS/LICENCES




# Appendix I

# Status of Environmental Permits/Licenses

Down it No	Valid	Period			Status			
Permit No.	From	То		Otatas				
Environmental Permit & Further Environmental Permit								
EP-179/2004/B	14 Feb 2005	N/A	Reprovisioning Crematorium	of	Diamond	Hill	Valid	
Registration as a C	hemical Wa	ste Produc	er					
5213-288-C3108- 10	6 Dec 2004	N/A	Reprovisioning Crematorium	of	Diamond	Hill	Valid	
Water Discharge Li	cense							
RE/C0202/288/1	9 Mar 2005	31 Mar 2010	Reprovisioning Crematorium	of	Diamond	Hill	Valid	

,			

APPENDIX J
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF
MITIGATION MEASURES



# Appendix J - Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Air Quality Mitigation Measures		l			
Special air pollution control systems shall be installed and operate to reduce the emissions of air pollutants to acceptable levels	New cremators in New Crematorium	Arch SD	Design, Construction, Demolition and Operation stage	BPWAPCO	<b>√</b>
FEHD shall apply for a Specified Process License under the APCO	New Cremators in the New Crematorium / prior to operation	FEHD	Construction, Demolition and Operation stage	APCO	N/A
The efflux velocity of chimney shall be at least 15 m/s, the design diameter of the chimneys shall be 0.22 m and 0.30 m, the design chimney height shall be 101mP.D. (28.5m above ground), for 170 kg and 250 kg cremators respectively	Chimney of New Crematorium / design and construction stages	Arch SD	Design and Construction stage	BPWAPCO	N/A
If the interior wall of existing cremators and chimney are confirmed dioxins contaminated, special precautions shall be taken avoid fugitive emissions of dioxin contaminated materials	Cremator room and chimney in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Arch SD/Contractor	Demolition stage		N/A
Sufficient water spraying should be applied during the construction work, the fugitive dust generated from general construction dust would be reduced by 90%	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Arch SD, contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	APCO	7
Carry out a confirmatory test of dioxins in the depositions on chimney wall, flue gas ducting and combustion chambers when the existing Crematorium is shut down	Chimney, flue and cremators in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	FEHD, Arch SD	Demolition stage		N/A
If the dioxin level of surface deposition is between 1 and 10 ppb I-TEQ, it is classified as moderately contaminated with dioxins. The demolition work site should be covered up to avoid emission of fugitive dust during demolition	Chimney, flue and cremators in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD 3	Demolition stage		

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
If the dioxin level of surface deposition exceeds 10 ppb I-TEO, it is classified as severely dioxin-contaminated waste. If it is confirmed that the existing facilities are severely contaminated with dioxins, a special decommissioning method — Containment method — would be adopted	Chimney, flue and cremators in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD 3	Demolition stage		N/A
All the demolition waste would be carefully handled, sealed and treated as chemical waste. The waste collector shall be responsible for preventing fugitive dust emission when handling the demolition waste	Chimney, flue and cremators in Existing Crematorium / demolition stage	Arch SD, contractor	Demolition stage		1
Employ a registered asbestos contractor to remove asbestos containing material during the demolition of the existing crematorium building	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD, contractor	Demolition stage	APCO	N/A
Submit a formal AIR and Asbestos Abatement plan signed by a registered asbestos consultant to the Authority for approval under APCO 28 days prior to the start of any asbestos abatement work.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD, consultant	Demolition stage	APCO	N/A
When removing asbestos containing materials, enclosure of the work area; containment and sealing for the asbestos containing waste; provision of personal decontamination facility; use of personal respiratory/protection equipment; use of vacuum cleaner equipped with highefficiency air particulate (HEPA) filter for cleaning up the work area; and carry out air quality monitoring during the asbestos abatement work	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD, consultant	Demolition stage	APCO	N/A
Appoint qualified personnel to carry out the asbestos containing material removal work, including a registered asbestos contractor to carry out the work; a registered asbestos supervisor to supervise the work; a registered asbestos laboratory to monitor the air quality, and a registered asbestos consultant to supervise and certify the asbestos abatement work.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / decommissioning	Arch SD, consultant	Demolition stage	APCO	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Erect a site barrier with the height of no less than 2.4m to enclose the construction site Apply frequent water spraying to ensure the surface of the construction site sufficiently wet to reduce fugitive dust due to wind erosion and transportation on unpaved hauf road Cover up stockpiles of fill material and dusty material Install a vehicle-cleaning system at the main entrance of the construction site to clean up the vehicles before leaving the site The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation shall be followed for fugilitye dust control	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	APCO, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	V
No more than 6 cremators (including both the existing and new ones) are in operation during commissioning test of new cremators.  The commissioning test of each new cremator shall be recorded by a log book	Existing and new cremators in Exiting and New Crematorium / text and commissioning	Arch SD/FEHD/ Contractor	Construction stage		N/A
Special air pollution control systems shall be installed and operate to reduce the emissions of air pollutants to acceptable levels	New cremators in New Crematorium / all stages	Arch SD	Design, Construction, Demolition and Operation stage	BPWAPCO	N/A
Conduct baseline and regular 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring.	A8 and A17 / baseline monitoring prior to Phase I & II works and regular monitoring throughout Phase I & II works	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	APCO, EM&A Guidelines for Development Projects in Hong Kong	٧
When the demolition material is confirmed to have ACM, monitoring for asbestos fibre would be carried out at the boundary of the construction site for reassurance purposes as per the requirement of future	Construction site boundary / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Asbestos Study Report, AIR and AAP to be submitted under	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
license for asbestos abatement, though it is not expected that asbestos fibre would be liberated from the demolition of the Existing Crematorium building.				APCO, future licence for asbestos abatement (if any)	
Noise Mitigation Measures	ļ				1.,
Select quiet plant, which is defined as PME with a sound power level lower than that specified in GW-TM. Examples of quiet plant can be referred to those listed in British Standard BS5228.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	GW-TM	1
Where practicable, use movable barriers of 3 to 5 m height with a small cantilevered upper portion and skid footing can be located within a few metres from a stationary plant (e.g. generator, compressor, etc.) and within about 5 m for a mobile equipment (e.g. breaker, excavator, etc.), especially in the vicinity of SR3, SR4 and SR6. The purpose-built noise barriers or screens shall be constructed of appropriate materials with a minimum superficial density of 15kg/m2.	Project site I construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	NCO	N/A
Only well-maintained plant should be operated on site and plant should be regularly serviced during the construction works Plant that is used intermittently should be turned off or throttled down when not in active use	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	NCO	4
Plant that is known to emit noise strongly in one direction should be oriented to face away from NSRs	1				
Silencers, mufflers and enclosures for plant should be used where possible and maintained adequately throughout the works					
Where possible mobile plant should be sited away from NSRs					

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
<ul> <li>Stockpiles of excavated materials and other structures such as site buildings should be used effectively to screen noise from the works</li> </ul>					
Liaise with the school and the Examination Authority to ascertain the dates and times of examination periods during the course of the construction/ demolition works so as to avoid any noisy activities during these periods. Programme of the on-site works should hence be well programmed such that the noisier construction activities would not be coincided with the examination of the schools.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Demolition stage	NCO	1
Conduct regular noise monitoring.	SR 3, SR 4 and SR 6 / Phase I & II works	Contractor	Demolition stage	NCO, EM&A Guidelines for Development Projects in Hong Kong	٧
Land Contamination Mitigation Measures  Additional site investigations in areas of the site that are currently in use and cannot be readily accessed. These investigations will be carried out once the existing facility has been decommissioned. The additional site investigations are required in the vicinity of the existing CLP secondary substation, and around the cremators and flues inside the crematorium building. Once access to these areas is available, a sampling and analysis plan will be prepared for approval by EPD, additional investigations will take place, and the need for remedial works will be determined. Any remedial works required will be in addition to those described in this current report.	CLP secondary substation and cremator room/ demolition stage (Phase I – CLP secondary substation; Phase II – cremator room)	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94	1
Once the Existing Crematorium has ceased operating during Phase II, confirmatory surface	Locations S1 to S6 specified in the	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
samples will be taken from the samples points S1 to S6 at a depth of 0.1m, and these samples will be analysed for the same suite of determinands (i.e. dioxins, metals and PAH) in order to confirm that no further contamination has occurred. The Remediation Action Plan will be revised on the basis of these results.	CAP/demolition				
The underground fuel storage tank and associated pipework will be removed as part of the site formation works. The base of the excavations will be inspected during and after tank removal by a suitably experienced environmental specialist in order to determine whether there is any visual or olfactory evidence of fuel contamination. If such contamination is suspected, then confirmatory soil sampling will be carried out, and the samples analysed for TPH.	Underground fuel storage tank/during and after tank removal	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	N/A
Summary of remediation works at locations S3 and S5:					
1. Mark out 5m radius around S3 and S5 2. Excavate to depth of 0.5m 3. Transport to landfill site for final disposal4. Take 4 samples from edges of excavation and one sample from base of excavation, analyse for lead and tin 5. If the results exceed Dutch B Levels, extend excavation to a further 5 m radius and 0.5 m depth in the quadrant where the contaminated samples is encountered and repeat steps 3 and 4 6. If the results less than Dutch B Levels, then remediation completed.	Locations S3 and S5 specified in CAP/demolition	Contractor	Demotition stage	ProPECC PN3/94	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
During removal of the underground fuel storage tank, appropriate precautions should be taken to avoid contamination. All fuel tanks and associated pipework should be emptied prior to any demolition work being undertaken. Any remaining studge or sediment in the tanks or pipework should be removed and disposed of as chemical waste in accordance with the appropriate regulations for disposal of such material.	Underground fuel storage tank / Phase II demolition	Agent Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	N/A
Should contamination be encountered beneath the fuel tank or the CLP secondary substation, further remedial work will be required. Such potential contamination would consist of either TPH (in the case of the fuel tank) or PCBs (in the case of the CLP secondary substation). As a realistic worst-case estimate, the PCB contaminated soil at CLP secondary substation may require stabilisation with cement prior to disposal to landfill. A realistic worst case estimate is that the volume of TPH contaminated soil at underground storage tank would require landfill disposal.	CLP secondary substation /Phase I demolition and underground fuel tank / Phase II demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	N/A
Health and Safety Precautions during Remedial Works					
The site workers engaged in the remedial works should be provided with adequate personal protective equipment, which should include: Protective footwear; Gloves: Dust masks; and Overalis. A clean area should be provided, equipped with washing facilities. Eating, drinking and smoking should only be permitted within designated "clean" areas after washing. Excavated material should not be stockpiled, but should immediately be treated/transported to landfill on a daily basis.	All areas requiring remedial works in Project sit of demolition during Phases I and II	Contractor	Demolilion stage	ProPECC PN 3/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling	N/A

7		

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Avoidance of Impacts on Water Quality during Remedial Works In order to avoid impacts on water quality during remedial works, care will be taken to minimise the mobilisation of sediment during excavation and transport. Measures to be adopted will be based on the recommendations set out in Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC PN1/94  "Construction Site Drainage". The results of the site investigation suggest that there is unlikely to be any requirement for dewatering of excavations, since groundwater was not encountered in any of the exploratory holes. The contractor carrying out the remedial works will be required to submit a method statement detailing the measures to be taken to avoid water quality impacts. Typical measures would include;  Carry out the works during the dry season (i.e. October to March) if possible;  Use bunds or perimeter drains to prevent run-off water entering excavations;  Sheet or otherwise cover excavations whenever rainstorms are expected to occur;  Minimise the requirements for stockpiling of material and ensure any stockpiles are covered;  Temporary on-wit stockpiling of contaminated materials should be avoided, and all excavated contaminated soils/materials should be disposed of on a daily basis;  Ensure that any discharges to storm drains pass through an appropriate sill trap.  Waste Disposal Requirements during Remedial	All areas requiring remedial works in Project site / demolition during Phases I and II	Agent Contractor	Demolition stage	Propecc PN 3/94, Propecc PN 1/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	N/A
Works  An application for permission to dispose of excavated material should be made to the Facilities Management Group of EPD three months prior to disposal. A "tripticket" system should be implemented. Each load of contaminated soil despatched to landfill should be	All areas requiring remedial works in Project site / demolition during Phases I and II	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94, Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), WBTC No. 21/2002 and	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
accompanied by an admission licket. Vehicles leaving the site should be adequately sheeled to prevent dispersion of contaminated material during transport. The wheels of vehicles should be cleaned prior to leaving site, to prevent contaminated material leaving site on the wheels of vehicles.				Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filting Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	
Compliance Report for Remedial Works Following completion of remediation works, a Remediation Report should be compiled and submitted, to demonstrate that the remediation works have been carried out in accordance with the Remediation Action Plan. The Remediation Report should include details of the excavation works carried out, records of material taken to landfill, and results of confirmatory testing, and should be submitted to EPD for approval before the commencement of building works.	All areas requiring remedial works in Project site / after completion of remediation works	Agent Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94 and Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair / Dismantling Workshops	N/A
Land Contamination Mitigation Measures Conduct supplementary site investigation for TPH and PCB in soil samples.	CLP substation / after decommissioning but prior to demolition during Phase I work	Contractor	Demolition stage	CAR, RAP, future sampling and analysis plan	1
Conduct confirmatory testing of PAH, dioxins and metals (the "Dutch List") in soil samples.	S1 to S6 / Phase II work	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	CAR, RAP, future sampling and analysis plan	N/A
If fuel contamination underneath the underground fuel tank is suspected, confirmatory soil sampling will be carried out for analysis of TPH.	Underneath the underground fuel tank / Phase II	Contractor	Demolition stages	CAR, RAP, future sampling and analysis plan	N/A
Conduct confirmatory testing of tin and lead in soil	\$3 and \$5 / during	Contractor	Construction and	CAR, RAP, future	N/A

0		

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
samples to confirm all contaminated soil has been excavated.	Phase II work following excavation at each location		Demolition stages	sampling and analysis plan	
Waste Management Miligation Measures Good Site Practice - Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provision) Ordinance(Cap. 28) - Prepare a Waste Management Plan approved by the Engineers / Supervising Officer of the Project in accordance with Environment, Transport and Works Bureau Technical Circular (Works) (ETWBTC(W)) 15/2003, Waste Management On Construction Sites - Nominate an approved person, such as site manager, to be responsible for good site practice, arrangements for collection and effective disposal of all types of wastes generated on-site to appropriate facility Use waste haulier authorized or ticensed to collect specific category of waste - Establish frip ticket system as contractual requirement (with reference to Works Branch Technical Circular (WBTC) No. 21/2002) for monitoring of public fill and C&D waste at public filling facilities and landfills. Such activities should be monitored by the Environmental Team - Provide training to site staff in terms of proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures - Separate chemical wastes for special handling and dispose them at licensed facility for treatment - Establish routine cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors - Provide sufficient waste disposal points and regular	Project site/ design, construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Design, Construction and Demolition stages	Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal(Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation(Cap. 354) Land(Miscellaneou s Provision) Ordinance(Cap. 28) WDO, ETWBTC(W) 15/2003, WBTC No. 21/2002	

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
collection for disposal  • Adopt measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste, such as covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers  • Establish recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites)					
Waste Management Plan The contractor should submit the Waste Management Plan to Engineer/Supervising Officer of the Project for approval. The Waste Management Plan should describe the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery and recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from the activities of the Project and indicate the disposal location(s) of all waste. A trip ticket system shall be included in the Waste Management Plan.	Project site / design, construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Design, Construction and Demolition stages	Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354)	***************************************
Waste Reduction Measures • Minimize the damage or contamination of construction material by proper storage and site practices • Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste • Prior to disposal of C&D waste, wood, steel and other metals should be separated for reuse and / or recycling to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill • Minimize use of wood and reuse non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D waste • Recycle any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity as far as practicable • As far as practicable, segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of aluminium cans, plastic bottles and packaging material (e.g. carton boxes) and office paper by individual collectors, separate labeled	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Agent Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	WBTC No. 32/92, 5/98 and 19/99	7

4	1
- 11	

Recommended Miligation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
bins should be provided to help segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force					j
Excavated Material Rock and soil generated from excavation should be reused for site formation as far as possible. In addition, excavated material from foundation work can be reused for landscaping as far as practicable to avoid disposal off-site.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stages	WBTC 12/2000	7
Construction and Demolition Material Careful design, planning and good site management can minimize over-ordering and generalion of waste materials such as concrete, mortar and cement grouts. Standard formwork should be used as far as practicable, wooden formwork should be replaced by metal ones whenever possible. Alternatives such as plastic fencing and reusable site office structures can also minimize C&D waste generation. The contractor should recycle as much as possible of the C&D material on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Materials such as concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill and steel reinforcing bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of sites should be designated for such segregation and storage. To maximize landfill life, government policy discourages the disposal of C&D materials with more than 20% inert material by volume (or 30% inert material by weight) at landfill. Inert C&D material (public fill) should be directed to an approved public filling area, where it has the added benefit of offsetting the need for removal of materials from borrow areas for reclamation purposes.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Design, Construction and Demolition stages	WBTC 5/98 and19/99	1
Contaminated Material – Further Contamination	CLP secondary	Contractor	Demolition	ProPECC PN	N/A

Recommende	Recommended Mitigation Measures		Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Location	Investigation Parameter	Investigation Period	]		,		
Cremators/ flue/chimney and surrounding areas	Asbestos (building structure)	Phase II					
CLP secondary substation	PCB, TPH (soil samples)	Phase I					
Cremators/ flue/chimney and surrounding areas	Dioxins, heavy metals, PAH (ash waste)	Phase II					
Surface soil around Existing Crematorim	Dioxins, heavy metals, PAH (soil sample)	Phase II					
information on at cremators /	materials requiring	imination well as the quantity of					

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Samples of ash/particulate matters should be collected from within the cremators (including the bottom ash), chimney walls, flues and surrounding area of the Existing Crematorium for analysis of dioxin, heavy metals and PAHs by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. A consultant experienced in the abatement of chemical wastes particularly the handling of DCM, should be appointed in order to assist with the evaluation of the information and prepare an abatement plan for the ash waste. Such a plan shall be submitted to EPD and the Labour Department (LD) to establish an acceptable and safe method for these potentially hazardous wastes. The abatement plan should identify the method of abatement, the performance criteria for the protection of workers and the environment and any emergency procedures and contingency measures required.					
It must be ensured that the treatment of ash wastes will comply with all routine construction site safety procedures would apply as well as statutory requirements under the Occupational Safety and Health Ordinance and Factories and Industrial Undertakings Ordinance. Due to the difficulties in establishing permanent and effective engineering controls, the protection of workers is likely to be at the worker level. A safe system of work must be provided, and training and suitable personal protective equipment as well as hygienic decontamination facilities should be provided. It is recommended that the methods to be adopted by the contractor for disposal of the ash waste should be agreed with LD and EPD.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / before demolition and after decommission	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 3/94	N/A
Sufficient time should be allocated to abate all ash waste with DCM/HMCM/PAHCM. The contractor should ensure the implications of dust				ProPECC PN 3/94 Code of Practice on	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
containing DCM/HMCM on air quality and workers health during the clean up work are mitigated. Since DCM is chemically related to Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) wastes, the requirements of the Code of Practice on the Handling, Transportation and Disposal of (PCB) Wastes should be referenced when developing the abatement plan.				the Handling, Transportation and Disposal of (PCB) Wastes	
A land contamination site investigation was carried out under this EIA to determine disposal requirements for contaminated soil. Further site investigation on soil around CLP secondary substation is needed when decommissioned, which will be during Phase I of the works. In addition, confirmatory testing on DCM level in locations S1 to S6 will be required to identify the appropriate remediation and disposal requirements during Phase II of the works.	Locations S1 to S6 in CAP / prior to Phase II demolition		Demolition stage		N/A
Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) Further asbestos assessment should be carried out when access to the cremators iffue /chimney is accessible after decommissioning and before demolition. An AMP should be prepared. The AAP should be prepared and submitted to EPD for approval prior to commencement of demolition works in accordance to the APCO. It is preferable to remove all ACM before actual demolition. A registered asbestos removal contractor should be employed to remove all ACM in accordance with the approved AAP which will be prepared in due course in accordance with the Code of Practice (COP) on Asbestos Control for Safe Handling of Low Risk ACM and Asbestos Work Using Full Containment or Mini Containment Method published by EPD. A registered asbestos consultant should also be employed to	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / before demolition and after decommission	Contractor	Demolition stage	Code of Practice (COP) on Asbestos Control for Safe Handling of Low Risk ACM and Asbestos Work Using Full Containment or Mini Containment Method COP on Handling, Transportation and Disposal of Asbestos Waste under the Waste Disposal	N/A

4	_

Recommend	ed Mitigation Meas	ures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
the contracto on Handling, Waste under	atement works. For the r should observe the Transportation and D the Waste Disposal aste) (General) Regu	COP Disposal of Asbestos				(Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation APCO	
Dioxin Contai Containing M Polyaromatic (PAHCM) from Crematorium Proposed Co with DCM/HM	ning Materials (DCM) aterials (HMCM) / Hydrocarbon Contain m Demolition of the E ntamination Classific	) / Heavy Metal ning Materials xisting	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / before demolition and after decommission	Contractor	Demolition	ProPECC PN3/94 USEPA dioxin assessment criterion	N/A
Low/Non Contaminat ed by DCM / HMCM / PAHCM	< 1 ppb TEQ	< Dutch "B" List					
Moderately/ Severely Contaminat ed HMCM / PAHCM	< 1 ppb TEQ	≥ Dutch "B" List					
Moderately Contaminat ed DCM	≥ 1 and <10 ppb TEQ	Any level					

Recommen	ded Mitigation Mea	sures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Severely Contaminat ed DCM	≥10 ppb TEQ	Any level					
Low/Non-Cc PAHCM froi Where the a DCM/HMCM should avoic demolition. measures m All such ash disposal of a Subject to th investigation ash waste is	waste can be direct	MCM/ ing Crematorium w/non contaminated ctor g airborne during ssion 4 should be followed. y her asbestos where such ated with asbestos	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	APCO	N/A
Demolition, Moderately Moderately/ from Demol Crematorius Procedure of disposal of l	Handling, Treatment Contaminated DCM Severely Contamina- ition of the Existing on demolition, handlin Moderately Contamir loderately/Severely (	and Disposal of and led HMCM / PAHCM ng, treatment and	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures		Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Site Preparation	The contractor should ensure the impacts of dust containing dioxin and/or heavy metals on air quality and workers health during the handling and transportation of the contaminated materials are mitigated. Except the cremators/flue/chimney, all removable items where moderately/severely contaminated DCM or moderately/severely contaminated HMCM / PAHCM is identified should be removed as far as practicable to avoid obstructing the decontamination activities. Preliminary site decontamination activities. Preliminary site decontamination of all debris shall be carried out using HEPA vacuum cleaner. The top portion of the chimney above the roof shall be endosed by a chamber with three layers of polyethene sheets. At the entrance to the cremators /flues /chimney, a 3-chamber decontamination unit shall be constructed for entry and exit from the work area.  The 3-chamber decontamination unit shall comprise a dirty room, a shower room and a clean room of at least 1m x 1m base each with 3 layers of fire retardant polyethene sheet where all workers shall carry out decontamination procedures before leaving the work area. Warning signs in both Chinese and English should be put up in conspicuous areas.					

Recommend	Recommended Mitigation Measures		Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
	All workers shall wear full protective equipment, disposable protective coverall (such as Tyvek) (with hood and shoe covers), nitrile gloves, rubber boots (or boot covers), and full-face positive pressure respirators equipped with a combination cartridge that fillers particulate and removes organic vapour. The organic vapour protection is an added protection against the unlikely exposure to any vapour.  If ACM is identified in building structures where moderately contaminated DCM or moderately/severely contaminated HMCM / PAHCM is found, relevant abatement measures for building structures described in the AAP (see 7.7.16) should be implemented prior to the above site preparation.					
Decontamin ation, demotition and handling	The cremators/flue/chimney shall be removed from top down starting from the chimney. Any ash or residues attached to the cremators/flue/chimney or any other building structures shall be removed by scrubbing and HEPA vacuuming.  Wastes generated from the containment or decontamination unit including the protection dothing of the workers such as the coverall, nitrile glove, rubber boots and materials used for wet wiping shall be disposed of at landfill site.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

Recommend	Recommended Mitigation Measures		Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
	After completion of removal, decontaminate all surfaces by HEPA vacuum.					
	If ACM is identified in building structures where moderately contaminated DCM or moderately/severely contaminated HMCM / PAHCM is found, relevant abatement measures for building structures described in the AAP (see 7.7.16) should be implemented prior to the above decontamination, demolition and handling measures.					
Treatment	The ash waste contains dioxin/heavy metals and in its untreated state would be classified as a chemical waste under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. While the quantity of DCM/HMCM is not expected to be significant, the levels of dioxin and heavy metals would affect the treatment option. Immobilization of the contaminated materials by mixing with cement followed by disposal at landfill (if landfill disposal criteria can be met) would					
	be the most preferable option. Rather than treating the already incinerated ash waste by incineration, the ash					
	waste with moderately contaminated					

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
DCM or moderately/severely contaminated HMCM / PAHCM should be collected and stabilized to meet landfill disposal criteria of the Facilities Management Group (FMG) of EPD. In this case it is envisaged that the process would involve collection and mixing of the ash waste with cement. Pilot mixing and TCLP tests should be camed out to establish the appropriate ratio of cement to ash waste to the satisfaction of EPD. It is envisaged that the pilot tests would involve the mixing of say 5%, 10% and 15% ratios of cement to ash waste and three replicate of 300 mm cube blocks for each ratio. TCLP tests should then be used to establish the correct ratio of cement to ash waste to the satisfaction of EPD.					

Recommend	Recommended Mitigation Measures		Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Disposal	After immobilization of the ash waste by mixing with cement in the correct ratio as determined by the pilot mixing and TCLP test, the waste materials should be placed inside polyethene lined steel drums for disposal at landfill.  Transparent plastic sheeting of 0.15 mm thickness low-density polyethene or PVC should be employed. The drums should be 16 gauge steel or thicker and fitted with double bung fixed ends adequately sealed and well labelled in new or good condition. The drums should be clearly marked "DANGEROUS CHEMICAL WASTE" in English and Chinese. Prior agreement of the disposal criteria from the FMG of EPD and agreement to disposal from the landfill operator must be obtained.  As a fall back option, if the landfill disposal criteria cannot be met after immobilization of the ash waste, disposal at the CWTC should be considered.  The building structures will be disposal of at landfill.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Confractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

Recommende	Recommended Mitigation Measures		Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
	If ACM is identified in building structures where moderately contaminated DCM or moderately/severely contaminated HMCM / PAHCM is found, relevant disposal measures for building structures described in the AAP (see 7.7.16) should be implemented instead.					
Severely Cont Demolition of Procedure for	andling, Treatment and Disposal of laminaled DCM from the Existing Crematorium demolition, handling, treatment and everely Contaminated DCM	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A
Item	Procedure					·
Site Preparation	Except the cremators/flue/chimney, all removable items where severely contaminated DCM is identified should be removed from the cremator room as far as practicable to avoid obstructing the decontamination activities. Preliminary site decontamination of all debris shall be arrited out using HEPA vacuum cleaner. The walls, floor and ceiling of the cremator room where severely contaminated DCM located shall be lined with 3 layers of fire retardant polyethene sheets. The top portion of the chimney above the roof shall be enclosed by a chamber with three layers of polyethene sheets. At the entrance to the cremators/flues/chimney, a 3-chamber					

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
decontamination unit shall be constructed for entry and exit from the work area. The 3-chamber decontamination unit shall comprise a dirty room, a shower room and a clea room of at least 1 m x 1m base each with 3 layers of fire retardant polyethene sheet where all workers shall carry ou decontamination procedures before leaving the work area. Warning signs in both Chinese and English should b put up in conspicuous areas.	n de la companya de l				
Air movers should be installed at the cremator room, and at the bottom of the chimney to exhaust air from the work area. A stand-by air mover shall also be installed with each of the air movers. Sufficient air movement shall be maintained to give a minimum of 6 air changes per hour to the work area, and maintain a negative pressure of 0.05-0.15 inches of water within the work area throughout the entire course of the decommissioning works. A pressure monitor with printout records and audible alarm shall be installed at an easily accessible location to demonstrate the negative pressure is maintained. New pre-filters and HEPA filters shall be used on the air movers.  A copy of the maintenance records of	et i				

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
the air movers should be kept on site for inspection upon request. The appointed contractor shall also check the differential pressure of the air mover to make sure the filter is not blocked. A differential pressure above 0.2 inches of water indicates that the filters would need to be changed.					
Smoke Test: before commencement of the decommissioning work, a smoke test with non-toxic smoke shall be carried out to ensure the air-tightness of the containment. Also check whether there are stagnant air pockets indicated by an aggregate of smoke that cannot effectively be extracted. After a successful test, switch on the air mover to exhaust smoke from the containment and to give a minimum of 6 air changes per hour, and check visually to see that the filters screen out the smoke effectively and if the pressure gauges read normal. If not, the air mover shall be sealed up and returned to the supplier workshop for necessary servicing, and replaced by a tested air mover. The normal reading pressure range for maintaining 6 air changes per hour shall be 1.5-4 mm/0.05-0.15 inches of water or equivalent	Cremator room in Exemple Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location ar Timing	who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
(negative pressure). The alarm's integrity should also be the trigger shall be at < inches of water (negative pressure). The alarm's of water (negative pressure) sea openings before switching off the air mo.  Treatment of Waste/W. Protection: the contract required to register as a Chemic Producer. All workers a protective equipment, disposable coverall (such as Tyvel and shoe covers), nitrile gloves, boot covers), and full-from the pressure respirators ecombination cartridge to particulate and remove vapour. The organic versis an added protection again exposure to any vapour necessary measure.	checked and 1.5 mm/0.05 sure). If up all ver. orkers Safety or shall be al Waste hall wear full protective c) (with hood tubber boots (or ace positive quipped with a hat filters s organic pour protection st the unlikely				
If ACM is identified in the structures where sever contaminated DCM is found, relevant abate for building structures (AAP (see 7.7.16) shout implemented prior to the preparation.	ely ement measures described in the				

Recommende	ed Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Decontamin ation, demolition and handling	The cremators/flue/chimney shall be removed from top down starting from the chimney. Any ash or residues attaching to the cremators/flue/chimney or any other building structures shall be removed by scrubbing and HEPA vacuurning.  The detached sections of the building structures where severely contaminated DCM is located shall be wrapped with 2 layers of fire retardant polyethene sheets. A third layer shall then be wrapped and secured with duct tape. Decontaminate the outer layer of the wrapped flue sections by wet wiping.	Cremator room in Existing Crematonum / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A
	Wastes generated from the containment or decontamination unit including the fire retardant polyethene sheets, protection clothing of the workers such as the coverall, nitrile glove, rubber boots and materials used for wet wiping shall be disposed of at landfill site.	Cremator room in Existing Crematorium / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
The quantity of wastewater generated from the decontaminated process will be very small but the contractor should take precautionary measures as to minimize the quantity of contaminated water arising. Nevertheless, if any contaminated wastewater needs to be discharged out of the site, if has to be properly treated to WPCO requirements with prior agreement from EPO on discharge standards.  After completion of removal, decontaminate the surface where severely contaminated DCM was located, including the wrapped incinerator furnace and flue sections left within the containment, by wet wiping and HEPA vacuum.  Then spray the innermost layer of the fire retardant polyethene sheet covering the walt, ceiting and floor with PVA. Upon drying, peel off this innermost layer of the polyethene sheet covering the containment and dispose of at landfill site.					

Recommende	d Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
	Repeat the above decontamination procedure for the second innermost layer of fire retardant polyethene sheet by wet wiping and HEPA vacuuming. After spraying with PVA, peel off this second innermost layer of the polyethene sheet covering the wall, ceiling and floor and dispose of at landfill site. Finally, the last layer of polyethene sheet shall then be taken down after spaying with PVA and be disposed as contaminated wastes.					
Treatment and disposal	If ACM is identified in building structures where severely contaminated DCM is found, relevant abatement measures for building structures described in the AAP (see 7.7.16) should be implemented prior to the above decontamination, demolition and handling measures. Waste to be disposed to CWTC: all contaminated ash waste with severely	Cremator room in Existing	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)	N/A
ano 0.0p032ii	contaminated DCM removed and the used HEPA filters shall be sent to CWTC in Tsing Yi. The total volume should be confirmed by further site investigation.	Crematorium / demolition			(General) Regulation	

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Waste to be Disposed of at Landfill: other wastes including the building structures and its associated panels as well as wastes generated from this decommissioning works are also considered as contaminated waste and shall be disposed of at a designated landfill. Wastes generated from this decommissioning works refer to the polyethene wrapping sheets for the building structures, waste generated from the dismantlement of the containment and decontamination units, and cloth used in wet wrapping, etc. as previously described in this section. They shall be placed into appropriate containers such as drums, jerricans, or heavy duty and leak-proof plastic as a prudent approach. A disposal permit has to be obtained from the Authority. The disposal trip ticket is required to be made available as record after disposal.  If ACM is identified in building structures where severely contaminated DCM is found, relevant disposal measures for building structures described in the AAP (see 7.7.16) should be implemented in prior to the above disposal measures.					

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Dioxin Containing Materials (DCM) / Heavy Metal Containing Materials (HMCM) /Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon Containing Materials ( PAHCM) / Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Containing Materials (TPHCM) / Polychlorinated Biphenyls Containing Materials (PCBCM) from Soil Remediation at the Project Site					
According to the CAR and RAP, less than 100 m3 of soil would require disposal at landfill. Relevant health and safety procedure, waste disposal requirements and compliance report are as detailed in Figure 6.3. Mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emission mentioned in \$1.4.7.2 should also be observed.	Locations S3 and S5 of CAP / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN3/94 APCO	N/A
In addition, after decommissioning but before demolition of the Existing Crematorium, further investigalions during Phase I of the works at the vicinity of CLP secondary substation should also be carried out to determine if additional remediation (in addition to the current RAP) is required. Confirmatory test on levels of DCM, HMCM and PAHCM in locations S1 to S6 during Phase II of the works is also required to determine any further remediation //reatment/disposal. In addition, the ash waste in cremator/chimney/flues should also be collected for the testing of DCM/HMCM/PAHCM during Phase II of the works. The sampling and analysis plan should be prepared and submitted to EPD for approval.	CLP secondary substation / after decommission and before demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	ProPECC PN3/94	N/A
All the aforementioned ACM / DCM / HMCM / PAHCM / TPHCM / PCBCM are classified as chemical waste. In addition to the measures mentioned above, the packaging, labelling and storage practices of chemical waste as stiputated in the following paragraphs should also be applied to these contaminated materials.	Project site / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	N/A

2	4
v	1

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Chemical Waste All the chemical waste should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer. The chemical waste should be stored and collected by an approved contractor for disposal at a licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. Containers used for the storage of chemical waste should:	Project site / demolition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	•
<ul> <li>Be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in good condition, and securely closed;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specifications have been approved by the EPD; and</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.</li> </ul>					
The storage area for chemical waste should:					
<ul> <li>Be clearly labeled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Be enclosed on at least 3 sides;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Have adequate ventilation;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Be covered to prevent rainfall from entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposal as chemical waste if necessary); and</li> </ul>					
Be properly arranged so that incompatible		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
materials are adequately separated.  The chemical waste should be disposed of by:  A licensed waste collector;  A facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the CWTC at Tsing YI, which offers chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; and/or  A waste recycling plant as approved by EPD.	Project site / demofition	Contractor	Demolition stage	Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	N/A
General Refuse General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from C&D and chemical wastes. A reliable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D and chemical wastes, on a daily or every second day basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. The buming of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminum cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated or easily accessible. Therefore, separately labeled bins for deposit of these cans should be provided if feasible. Similarly, plastic bottles and carton package material generated on-site should be separated for recycling as far as practicable. Site office waste should be reduced through recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered if one is available.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage		7
Conduct supplementary site investigation for asbestos in building structures and for dioxins, metals (the "Dutch List") and PAH in ash/particular	Around existing cremators, chimney and flues	Contractor	Demolition stage	AIR, AMP/AAP to be submitted under	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
maller samples.	inside cremator room / after decommissioning but prior to demolition during Phase II work			APCO, future supplementary site investigation plan	
Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures					
The identification of the landscape and visual impacts will highlight those sources of conflict requiring design solutions or modifications to reduce the impacts and, if possible, blend the development with the surrounding landscape. The proposed landscape mitigation measures will be described and illustrated by means of site plans and photomontage and take into account factors including:	Project site / design, construction and demolition stages	Contractor/FEH D/Arch SD	Construction and Demolition stage	EIAO-TM	N/A
<ul> <li>Screen planting</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Transplanting of mature trees with good amenity value where appropriate</li> </ul>					
Conservation of topsoil for reuse					
<ul> <li>Sensitive alignment of structures to minimise disturbance to surrounding vegetation</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Reinstatement of areas disturbed during construction</li> </ul>	}				
<ul> <li>The design and finishes / colours of architectural and engineering structures such as terminals and pylons</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Existing views, views of the development with no mitigation, views with mitigation at day one of operation and after 10 years of operation</li> </ul>				14070 74000	N/A
Tree transplanting: The tree survey has identified the trees which will be affected by the development and which could be considered for	Project site / construction and demolition as well	Contractor/Arch SD	Construction and Demolition stage	WBTC 7/2002, WBTC 14/2002, EIAO-TM	N/A

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
transplanting prior to commencement of construction work. Felling is considered as a last resort and every effort should be made to transplant the many good trees of high amenity value to either nearby suitable sites within the cemetery or to available space in FEHD's Wo Hop Shek Crematorium pending identification of an alternative site. The feasibility of transplanting will depend on a number of factors such as size, health and species of the tree. Adequate time (a minimum of 4 months) should be allowed for preparing trees for transplanting. Weekly inspection of free protection measures as well as monitoring of tree transplant operations during both phases should be implemented. Particular care should be taken to save the 9 nos. mature and semi-mature protected tree species and 12 nos. protected shrub and immature tree species identified. To give the protected species the best possible chance of survival it is recommended that they are relocated to sheltered and well maintained planted areas within the cemetery. The following measures for tree transplanting should be adopted: (a) Appoint a landscape contractor for the establishment and maintenance of the transplanted trees as well as any new tree planting for 12 months upon completion of the works.  (b) Careful co-ordination of Phase I and II works to allow tree transplanting from Phase II site directly to Phase I site.	as operation stages				N/A
Tree protection: Trees to be retained adjacent to works areas will be carefully protected by strong hoarding and if necessary additional protection to individual tree trunks to avoid damage by machinery. The hoarding will also prevent	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Arch SD	Construction and Demolition stage	WBTC 7/2002, WBTC 14/2002, EIAO-TM	IWA

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
contractors from compacting soil around tree roots or dumping materials. Reference should be made to the guidelines for tree protection in the Government publication "Tree Planting and Maintenance in Hong Kong".	To the state of th				
Topsoil conservation: Any topsoil excavated during construction will be carefully saved and stored to one side of the works area for reuse upon completion.	Project site / upon completion of construction works for each phase	Arch SD	Construction and Demolition stage	WBTC 7/2002, WBTC 14/2002, EIAO-TM	N/A
Replanting: Upon completion planting of ornamental trees and shrubs will be provided to the periphery of the new crematorium building to help screen and soften the overall appearance of the structure. In addition, a reprovisioned memorial garden with a lotus pond and ornamental planting will be incorporated in the deck area of the building. Since the majority of the new planting will be on the deck structure the selection of species will be more limited with emphasis on smaller trees and ornamental shrubs to comply with loading restrictions. Notwithstanding this site constraint on tree selection, a minimum of 1.2m soil depth will be provide for tree planting on the podium / roof structure for healthy establishment of the new tree planting.	Project site I upon completion of construction works for each phase	Arch SD	Construction and Demolition stage	WBTC 7/2002, WBTC 14/2002, EIAO-TM	N/A
Weekly inspections of tree protection measures as well as monitoring of tree transplant operations.	Project site / Phase I & II works	Project Landscape Architect	Construction and Demolition stage	Landscape Master Plan, Tree Planting and Maintenance in Hong Kong	N/A
Water Quality Mitigation Measures					
Construction and Demolition Phases – General To safeguard the water quality of the WSRs potentially affected by the Project works, the	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 1/94	1

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
contractor should implement appropriate mitigation measures with reference to the Practice Note for Professional Persons, Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC PN 1/94) published by EPD. Such measures are highlighted as follows.					
Construction and Demolition Phases - Construction and Demolition Run-off and Drainage Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce the potential for Increased sittation, contamination of run-off and erosion. Any effluent discharge from the Project site is subject to the control of Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) discharge license and should be treated to meet the discharge standard set out in the relevant license. In addition, no site run-off should enter the stream on the eastern side of the Project site. Run-off impacts associated with the construction and demolition activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures, which include:	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 1/94	
Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond					
Boundaries of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes					
Open material storage stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric to prevent material washing away					
Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce the potential for increased siltation and contamination of run-off					
Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted and subsequent permanent work should be immediately performed					
Use of sediment traps wherever necessary		]		l	

^	~
J	1


Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
Maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow					
All temporary drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate run-off discharge should be adequately designed to facilitate rapid discharge of storm flows. All sediment traps should be regularly cleaned and maintained. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition, when the construction/demolition work is completed.					1
Sand and silt in wash water from wheel washing facilities should be settled out and removed from discharge into temporary drainage pipes or culverts. A section of the haul road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfall to prevent wash water or other site run-off from entering public road drains.					•
Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any significant oil and grease sources. They should be regularly maintained to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. The inceptor should have a bypass to prevent flooding during periods of heavy rain, as specified in <i>ProPECC PN</i> 1/94.	Project site I construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 1/94	N/A
Construction and Demolition Phases - General Construction and Demolition Activities All the solid waste and chemical waste generated on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid affecting the water quality of the nearby WSRs. The proper waste management measures are detailed in S.7.7.5-S.7.7.6.	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 1/94	1
Construction and Demolition Phases - Sewage Generated from On-site Workforce	Project site / construction and	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	ProPECC PN 1/94	1

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
The sewage from construction work force is expected to be handled by portable chemical toilets if the existing toilets in the Project site are not adequate. Appropriate and adequate portable toilets should be provided by licensed contractors who will be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.	demolition stages				
Construction and Demolition Phases - Scil Remediation Activities Mitigation measures will need to be implemented during the currently identified soil remediation activities. If further land contamination investigation results (at CLP secondary substation during Phase I and at locations S1 to S6 during Phase II) confirm the needs for further soil remediation prior to demolition of the Existing Crematorium, relevant water quality mitigation measures (in addition to the current RAP) will need to be identified and implemented by the contractor. In addition, the mitigation measures recommended for minimizing water quality impacts for construction and demolition run-off and drainage as well as for general construction and demolition nun-off and drainage as well as for general construction and demolition activities should also be adopted where applicable. In order to avoid impacts on water quality during further remedial works, care will be taken to minimise the mobilisation of sediment during excavation and transport. Measures to be adopted will be based on the recommendations set out in Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC PN1/94 "Construction Site Drainage". The results of the site investigation suggest that there is unlikely to be any requirement for dewatering of	Project site / construction and demolition stages	Contractor	Construction and Demolition stage	Propect PN 1/94	7

Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location and Timing	Who to Implement?	When to Implement?	What Requirements or Standards to Achieve?	Status
excavations, since groundwater was not encountered in any of the exploratory holes. The contractor carrying out the remedial works will be required to submit a method statement detailing the measures to be taken to avoid water quality impacts. Typical measures would include:  Carry out the works during the dry season (i.e. October to March) if possible  Use bunds or perimeter drains to prevent run-off water entering excavations  Sheet or otherwise cover excavations whenever rainstoms are expected to occur  Mnimise the requirements for stockpiling of material and ensure any stockpiles are covered  Temporary on-site stockpiling of contaminated materials should be avoided, all excavated contaminated soils/materials should be disposed of on a daily basis  Ensure that any discharges to storm drains pass throuch an appropriate silt frap					

Note: √ × • N/A Compliance of mitigation measure Non-compliance of mitigation measures Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor Not applicable

APPENDIX K EVENT AND ACTION PLANS



Appendix K Event and Action Plans

Table K.1 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

		ACTION		
EVENT	13	IEC	AR	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				# 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the cause of exceedance and propose remedial measures;     Inform IEC and AR;     Repeat measurement to confirm finding;     Increase monitoring frequency to daily, if ET assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction works.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method.	<ol> <li>Notify Contractor.</li> </ol>	Rectify any unacceptable     practice;     Amend working methods if     appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source, investigate the cause of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and AR; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily, if ET assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction works; 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and AR; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method;     Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;     Advise the AR on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;     Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Amend proposal if appropriate.
LIMIT LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the cause of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC, AR and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily, if ET assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction works; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, AR and EPD informed of the results.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method;     Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;     Advise the AR on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;     Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing:     Notify Contractor:     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	Notify Contractor, IEC, AR and EPD;     Identify source, investigate the cause of exceedance and propose remedial measures;     Repeat measurement to confirm findings;     Increase monitoring frequency to daily, if ET	Discuss amongst AR, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;     Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the AR accordingly;	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;     Notify Contractor;     In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;

Ė		ACTION		
N N N	ET	IEC	AR	CONTRACTOR
	assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction works;  5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;  6. Arrange meeting with IEC and AR to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;  7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, AR and EPD informed of the results:	3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	3. Implement the agreed proposals: 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the AR until the exceedance is abated.
	8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			

Table K.2 Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT			ACTION			
; ; ;	ᇤ		IEC	AR		CONTRACTOR
Action Level	+ 5.0 € 4. €	Notify Contractor and IEC; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor, Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness, if ET assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction work.	Review the analysed results submitted by the ET;     Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the AR accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	<del>-</del> - 21 € 4.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation     proposals to IEC;     Implement noise     mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	+ 4 · 6 · 6 · 8	Notify Contractor, IEC, AR and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency, if ET assessment indicates that exceedance is due to contractor's construction work; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, AR and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, AR and EPD informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst AR, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions:     Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the AR accordingly;     Supervise the medial implementation of remedial measures.	ન ડાઘ 4 છે	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;  Notify Contractor;  Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;  Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;  If exceedance continues, on sider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the AR until the exceedance is abated.