#### MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT

Highways Department

Route 8
Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan
Phase 2b East Tsing Yi Viaduct

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (29<sup>th</sup> October 2008 - 28<sup>th</sup> November 2008)

### EP – 085/2000/E Route 8 Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan Phase 2b East Tsing Yi Viaduct:

Monthly Environmental
Monitoring & Audit Report
(29th October 2008 – 28th November 2008)

Certified by the Environmental Team Leader

Signed: Signed: Ataliae Lavolt Date: 9 December 200 f

Ms. Natalie Kwok

Verified by the Independent Environmental Checker

Signed: Mr. YTTang

Date: 9 Dec 08

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Purpose of the Report	1
1.2	Structure of the Report	
2	PROJECT INFORMATION	
2.1	Background	2
2.2	Site Description.	2
2.3	Project Organisation	2
2.4	Project Work Programme	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
3.1	Air Quality	3
3.2	Noise Quality	
4	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
	REQUIREMENTS	7
5	ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS	7
5.1	Status of Permits and Licenses	7
6	MONITORING RESULTS	7
6.1	Air Quality	
6.2	Noise	8
7	AUDIT RESULTS	10
7.1	Air Quality	
7.2	Noise	
7.3	Water Quality	10
7.4	Waste Management	10
7.5	Site Audits / Inspections	
7.6	Landscaping Works	
8	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS	
	SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS	
8.1	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	
8.2	Summary of Complaints	
8.3	Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions	
9	ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)	
9.1	Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS	
9.2	Audit Results	
10	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
10.1	Key Issues for the Coming Month	
10.2	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months	
11	RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
11.1	Conclusions	
11.2	Recommendations	16

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)
Table 2.2	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)
Table 3.1	TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency
Table 3.2	TSP Monitoring Locations
Table 3.3	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.4	Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters
Table 3.5	Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.6	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 6.1	Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.2	Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.3	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring
Table 6.4	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring
Table 7.1	Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period
Table 8.1	Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period
Table 8.2	Summary of Total Complaint Received

LIST OF APPE	NDICES
Appendix A	Site Location Plan
Appendix B	Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details
Appendix C	Planned Construction Activities
Appendix D1	Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality
Appendix D2	Action/Limit Levels for Noise
Appendix E	Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period
Appendix F	Locations of Monitoring Stations
Appendix G1	Calibration Certificates for HVS
Appendix G2	Not used
Appendix G3	Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator
Appendix G4	Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator
Appendix G5	Certificate of HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
Appendix H1	Event/Action Plan for Air Quality
Appendix H2	Event/Action Plan for Noise
Appendix I	Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements
Appendix J	1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix K	Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix L	Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring
Appendix M1	Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix M2	Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix N1	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix N2	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix O1	Environmental Complaint Log Book
Appendix O2	Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint
Appendix P	Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months
Appendix Q	Photographic Records of Implemented Measures
Appendix R	Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- ES 1 An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge.
- ES 2 This is the 45<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the "Phase 2b Route 8 East Tsing Yi Viaduct Contract (HY/2004/02)". The construction commencement of this Contract was on 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2005 and this report presents the results of the EM&A works conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> October 2008 and 28<sup>th</sup> November 2008 in accordance with the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- ES 3 The major construction activities carried out during normal hours are as follows:
  - i. Slope works
  - ii. Reinstatement of temporary haul road
  - iii. Road works
  - iv. Viaduct E&M and finishing
  - v. Drainage installation
  - vi. Utilities and services diversion
  - vii. Asphalt paving
  - viii. Landscape works
- ES 4 The major construction activities carried out during public holidays are as follows:
  - i. Viaduct E&M and Finishing
- ES 5 Monitoring of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and Noise were carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual. The Environmental Team (ET) site inspections were conducted on 30<sup>th</sup> October and 6<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, and 27<sup>th</sup> November 2008 and the joint IEC monthly audit was conducted on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008. No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting month.

#### Air Ouality

ES 6 A total of 72 sets of 1-hour TSP and 20 sets of 24-hour TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.

#### **Noise**

ES 7 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR4) from this Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 2b construction activities.

Daytime Monitoring

ES 8 A total of 16 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were undertaken during daytime (0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

Evening and Night-time Monitoring

ES 9 During this reporting period, no construction works were carried out during evening and night-time. Therefore no evening or night-time noise monitoring was conducted.

Public Holidays Monitoring

ES 10 A total of 16 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements were undertaken during public holidays (0700 to 1900 hours on public holidays) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

#### **Water Quality**

ES 11 An Effluent Discharge License (EP760/350/009539I) was granted by EPD to DCBJV on 21<sup>st</sup> April 2005. In accordance with the approved License conditions, water sampling is required on a monthly basis. Two water samples were taken by DCBJV in November 2008 for Total Suspended Solid (TSS) and analyzed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. The results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.

#### **Waste Management**

- ES 12 The revised Waste Management Plan (Rev. M) was approved by EPD on 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2006 (EPD ref. (10) in Ax (3) to EP2/N3/A/28 PT.7).
- ES 13 Since April 2005, all non-inert C&D waste from the Phase 2b Contract had been disposed of at NENT and WENT Landfills. A total of 22 m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill and no non-inert C&D waste was disposed of at NENT landfill during the reporting period.
- ES 14 All inert C&D material had been disposed of to Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, 205 m<sup>3</sup> of public fill and 291 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete were delivered off site to Tuen Mun Fill Bank.
- ES 15 No chemical waste was disposed off site during the reporting period.

#### **Site Audits / Inspections**

ES 16 ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting period and the major issues identified are presented below:

Item	Findings	DCBJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1.	The haul roads at areas P2-SA6G, P2-SA6B (next to the Gate 4) and P2-SA8 were dry and dusty.	The majority of the haul roads at area P2-SA6G are paved or compacted. In addition, water sprinklers have been deployed on site for dust suppression. For area P2-SA6G and P2-SA6B, water spraying by water hose had been arranged in order to minimize the dust impact generated from vehicular movement.	Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 01 & 02).
2.	A significant amount of sediment was accumulated in the trapezoidal channel at P2-SA6B (adjacent to Gate 4) and rubbish was found inside the u-channel at Tsing Yi Road. DCBJV was reminded to clean up the channels without further delay and all the drainage systems should be suitably maintained at least once a week.	The u-channel at Tsing Yi Road had been cleared after the site inspection.  The trapezoidal channel is still under construction and DCBJV reported that labours have been arranged to clean up the channel in due course.	Completed and closed. (Please refer to <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 03). The situation will be monitored by both RSS and ET continuously.

Item	Findings	DCBJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
3.	Fuel / chemical containers without proper trip trays and oil stains on the ground were observed on site.	All the fuel / chemical containers had been removed from site and all contaminated material had been cleared and handled as chemical waste.	Completed and closed. (Please refer to <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 04).
4.	DCBJV was reminded that the haul road at area P2-SA6E should be kept clear of dusty materials. In addition, fugitive dust emission was observed during the unloading of aggregate at Pier P1-M5 and therefore DCBJV was urged to provide sufficient watering at the unloading area.	A labour has been deployed at area P2-SA6E to clean up the haul road regularly.  A water hose has been provided at the unloading area at Pier P1-M5 in order to avoid dust impact generated from unloading and handling of aggregate / dusty material.	Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 05 & 06).
5.	No watering or other dust suppression measures were provided for the concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E.	The operation of concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E had been stopped immediately during the site audit and DCBJV reported that water spraying will be according in due course.	The situation will be closely monitored by both RSS and ET.

ES 17 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008, two observations were recorded by IEC and they are presented as follows:-

Item	Findings	DCBJV's Corrective and Preventive	Effectiveness of
1.	DCBJV was reminded that the haul road at area P2-SA6E should be kept clear of dusty materials. In addition, fugitive dust emission was observed during the unloading of aggregate at Pier P1-M5 and therefore DCBJV was urged to provide sufficient watering at the unloading area.	A labour has been deployed at area P2-SA6E to clean up the haul road regularly.  A water hose has been provided at the unloading area at Pier P1-M5 in order to avoid dust impact generated from unloading and handling of aggregate / dusty material.	measures  Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 05 & 06).
2	No watering or other dust suppression measures were provided for the concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E.	The operation of concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E had been stopped immediately during the site audit and DCBJV reported that water spraying will be according in due course.	The situation will be closely monitored by both RSS and ET.

#### **EPD Site inspections**

ES 18 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.

#### **Environmental Licenses and Permits**

- ES 19 The following permits / licenses have been granted by EPD for the construction of the Phase 2b Contract and they are:
  - i. Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E)
  - ii. Chemical Waste Producer Registration (5213-350-D2350-01)
  - iii. Effluent Discharge Licence (EP760/350/009539I)
  - iv. 1 Construction Noise Permits

#### **Environmental Complaints**

ES 20 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting period. A total of 10 environmental complaints had been received for Phase 2b Contract since the commencement of the construction.

#### **Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions**

ES 21 Since the commencement of construction, no notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 2b Contract.

#### **Future Key Issues**

ES 22 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming three months, i.e. December 2008 to February 2009 are summarized as follows:

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures	
Utilities Diversion;	Air impact	i) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas;	
deck construction;	(dust)	ii) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar	
soil works for cut		means;	
slopes and soil fill		iii) Watering of any earth moving activities and	
slopes		iv) Cover the exposed slope and open stockpiles of dusty materials.	
	Water quality	i) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for	
	impact	treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains;	
	(surface run-	ii) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-	
	off)	off and other collected effluents prior to discharge;	
		iii) Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding	
		footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water	
		drainage system via public road; and	
		iv) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.	
	Noise Impact	i) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid	
		persistent noisy operation;	
		ii) Controlling the number of plants use on site;	
		iii) Regular maintenance of machines; and	
		iv) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.	

#### **Route 8 - Traffic Control and Surveillance System (TCSS)**

- ES 23 The construction commencement date for "Route 8 Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)" (TCSS) at Phase 2b Contract site area was on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2008 and the TCSS Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV).
- ES 24 A joint site audit amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV was carried out on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008. No adverse comments were raised by ET, IEC and RSS.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan Project. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.

#### 1.1 Purpose of the Report

This is the 45<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report for the "Phase 2b – Route 8 East Tsing Yi Viaduct Contract (HY/2004/02)" (hereinafter called the "Phase 2b Contract"). This report presents the results of the EM&A programme conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> October 2008 and 28<sup>th</sup> November 2008 in accordance with the Environmental Permit EP-085/2000/E and the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).

#### 1.2 Structure of the Report

The structure of the report is as follows:

- Section 1: **INTRODUCTION** details the scope and structure of the report.
- Section 2: **PROJECT INFORMATION** summarizes the background and scope of the project, project organization, construction programme and the construction works undertaken during the reporting period.
- Section 3: **ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS** summarizes the monitoring programmes, Action and Limit Levels, Event Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA Report and relevant environmental requirements.
- Section 4: **IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS** summarizes the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
- Section 5: **ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCE AND PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS** summarizes the environmental licences and permits obtained or being applied during the reporting period.
- Section 6: **MONITORING RESULTS** reports the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 7: **AUDIT RESULTS** summarizes the audit findings in the reporting period.
- Section 8: COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD summarizes the complaints, notifications of summons and prosecutions recorded during the reporting period.
- Section 9: ROUTE 8 TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)
- Section 10: **FUTURE KEY ISSUES** summarizes the future key issues as reviewed from the works programme and work method statements.
- Section 11: **RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

#### 2 PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Ltd (Arup) was awarded the Design and Construction Consultancy Assignment "Agreement No. CE72/98 R9T between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan".
- 2.1.2 The construction of the Phase 2b Contract was awarded to Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture (DCBJV) on 7<sup>th</sup> December 2004 and is scheduled to be substantially complete by end of October 2008. The construction commencement date of the Phase 2b Contract was on 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2005.
- 2.1.3 The Works under the contract covers a 1.1 km dual 3 lane viaduct linking Stonecutters Bridge and Nam Wan Tunnel. It will form part of the Route 8 and provides linkage between the eastern part of the New Territories and the International Airport. The Works also include the construction of viaduct ramps linking the mainline and the road networks within the vicinity of Container Terminal No. 9.

#### 2.2 Site Description

2.2.1 For the Phase 2b Contract, a total of four sensitive receivers have been identified in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the EIA; three residential areas and one school, all located on the east side of Tsing Yi Island. Two monitoring stations are located at the Tsing Yi Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education (IVE) in the Main Education Building and Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence, one at Mayfair Gardens and the other at Cheung Ching Estate. The site location plan and the monitoring locations are presented in *Appendix A* and *F* respectively.

#### 2.3 Project Organisation

2.3.1 The Phase 2b Contract organization chart and contact details are shown in *Appendix B*.

#### 2.4 Project Work Programme

2.4.1 The Phase 2b Contract's planned activities for the remaining works is presented in *Appendix C*. The major site activities undertaken during normal hours and restricted hours in the reporting period are summarized in *Table 2.1* and *Table 2.2* respectively.

Table 2.1 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)

Area	Details of Site Activities
P2-SA6B	Slope works, drainage installation, utilities diversions
	and asphalt paving.
P2-SA6E, SA6F, SA7, SA8, SA8B,	Viaduct E&M and Finishing, drainage installation,
SA8D, SA8E	booster pump house construction and asphalt paving.
P2-SA6G	Road works, asphalt paving and drainage installation.

Table 2.2 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)

Area	Details of Site Activities
P2-SA6B, SA6E, SA7, SA8 & SA8B	Viaduct E&M and Finishing (public holidays)

#### 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 Air Quality

#### Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) are required to be conducted to monitor the construction dust impact.
- 3.1.2 The Action/Limit Levels for the four air monitoring locations had been established since the commencement of the construction of Route 8 Phase 2a Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct Contract on 21<sup>st</sup> August 2003. The established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works are presented in *Appendix D1*.

#### Monitoring Frequency and Schedule

3.1.3 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in *Table 3.1*. The monitoring schedule for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix E*.

Table 3.1 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

Parameters	Duration / hour	Frequency
24-hour TSP	24	Once Every Six Days
1-hour TSP	1	Three Times Every Six Days

#### **Monitoring Locations**

3.1.4 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, four air quality monitoring locations were selected for the Phase 2b Contract and they are listed in *Table 3.2* and presented in *Appendix F*.

 Table 3.2
 TSP Monitoring Locations

Location I.D.	Description
ASR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence
ASR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building
ASR3	Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool
ASR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)

3.1.5 All meteorological data was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website.

#### Monitoring Equipment

- 3.1.6 Continuous 24-hour and 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using a TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc. High Volume Sampler (HVS), which was installed at the monitoring stations. The sampler composed of a motor, filter holder, flow controller and a sampling inlet. Its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).
- 3.1.7 Details of the monitoring equipment are given in Table 3.3. A copy of the calibration certificate for the HVS is attached in *Appendix G1*.

Table 3.3 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Qty.
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc.	4
Calibrator	TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc.	1

#### Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details

- 3.1.8 Calibration Procedures Calibration procedures of HVS are as follows (calibration certificates are presented in *Appendix G3*):
  - i. A certified orifice transfer standard with a calibration curve was used for the calibration.
  - ii. The transfer standard was connected to the inlet of the sampler. The orifice manometer was then connected to the orifice pressure port. The manometer's connecting tubing was inspected to make sure that there are no leaks between the orifice unit and the sampler.
  - iii. The motor was then disconnected from the flow controller and plugged directly to an AC power source.
  - iv. A weather station has been setup at the Site Office to measure and record the ambient temperature, Ta (K) and the barometer pressure Pa (mmHg) during calculation.
  - v. The sampler was allowed to run for at least 2 minutes to re-establish the run temperature conditions. The pressure drop across the orifice and the well-type manometer reading was recorded during calibration. The variable resistance was adjusted to repeat recording for four different flow rates.
  - vi. The best fit straight line was determined by linear regression and the slope (m1), intercept (b1) and correlation coefficient (r) are then determined.

#### 3.1.9 Operating/Analytical Procedure

- i. The flow rate of the high volume sampler was set to about 1.1 m³/min 1.7 m³/min prior to commencement of the dust sampling in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- ii. The samplers was located such that:
  - a. the filter was about 1.3 meters above ground.
  - b. it was greater than 20 meters away from trees.
  - c. it was separated from any obstacle by at least twice the height of the obstacle protruding above the sampler.
  - d. it has unrestricted airflow 270° around the sampler.
- iii. Fiberglass filters were used for TSP sampling (G810) [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3 mm diameter.
- iv. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment has a temperature setting between 25°C and 30°C and should not vary by more than  $\pm 3$ °C; the relative humidity was < 50% and should not vary by more than  $\pm 5$ %.
- v. A new filter was placed with stamped number upward on a supporting screen.
- vi. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- vii. Shelter lid closed and catch secured with the aluminum strip.
- viii. The sampler was then allowed to run for at least 5 minutes to establish runtemperature conditions.
- ix. The flow indicator reading was recorded and the sampler flow rate was determined.
- x. The programmable timer was set and the starting sampling time, weather condition and the filter number was recorded.
- xi. At the end of sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded. A copy of the HOKLAS certificate is attached in *Appendix G5*.

xii. Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a desiccator for 24 hours with temperature of 25°C±3°C and the relative humidity (RH) 50%±5%, preferably 40%.

#### 3.1.10 Maintenance

- i. The high volume sampler and their accessories were maintained in good working conditions include replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure continuous power supply.
- ii. The high volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc. Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### Event/Action Plan

3.1.11 The Event/Action Plan for Air Quality is presented in *Appendix H1*.

#### 3.2 Noise Quality

#### Monitoring Requirements

- 3.2.1 According to the field study, the noise generated from the major roads (such as Tsing Yi Road) was noticeable at all noise monitoring stations and therefore the major roads were considered as an influencing factor of the noise sensitive receivers.
- 3.2.2 As the noise monitoring stations are located within urban area and directly affected by this Influencing Factor (IF), the Area Sensitivity Rating of the noise monitoring stations is considered to be "C" according to the Table 1 of the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling under Noise Pollution Control Ordinance.
- 3.2.3 The Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works is established according to the EM&A manual and the Technical Memorandum under Noise Pollution Control Ordinance and is presented in *Appendix D2*.

#### Monitoring Frequency and Schedule

3.2.4 The monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix E* and the frequency and parameters of noise measurement are summarized in *Table 3.4*.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters

Time Period	Duration / min.	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime (0700 to 1900)	30 (6 consecutive L <sub>eq</sub> (5min) in average)	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Once per week
*Evening (1900 to 2300)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week
*Night (2300 to 0700 next day)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Four times per week
*Holiday (0700-1900 on holidays)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week

<sup>\*</sup> Restricted hour noise monitoring: to be conducted only when there is construction work under valid CNP.

#### **Monitoring Locations**

3.2.5 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, four noise monitoring locations (as detailed in *Table 3.5* and presented in *Appendix F*) were selected for noise measurement.

Table 3.5 Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations

Location I.D.	Description	Type of measurement
NSR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence	Free Field
NSR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building	Free Field
NSR3	Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool	Free Field
NSR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (22/F)	Free Field

#### Monitoring Equipment

3.2.6 Integrating Sound Level Meters were used for noise monitoring which were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq) and percentile sound pressure level (Lx). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). Also, a portable electronic wind speed indicator capable of measuring wind speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. Table 3.6 summarizes the noise monitoring equipment required.

Table 3.6 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Type 2238, B&K & NL-31, Rion
Calibrator	Type 4231, B&K & NC-74, Rion
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	45118, Extech

#### Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details

#### 3.2.7 Field Monitoring

- i. The microphone of the Sound Level Meter (with weatherproof kit) was mounted on a tripod at a height of 2m above ground level.
- ii. For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces
- iii. AC power supply was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- iv. Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

a. frequency weightingb. time weighting: Fast

c. time measurement : 30 minutes / 5 minutes

- v. Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- vi. The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- vii. At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$  and  $L_{10}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- viii. Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- ix. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

- 3.2.8 Maintenance and Calibration
  - i. The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
  - ii. The meter was sent to the supplier to check and calibrate yearly.
  - iii. Calibration certificates are presented in *Appendix G4*.
- 3.2.9 Event/Action Plan

The Event/Action Plan for Noise impact is presented in *Appendix H2*.

# 4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

DCBJV has implemented a series of environmental mitigation measures to fulfill the requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status during the reporting period is summarized in *Appendix I*.

#### 5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS

#### 5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses

5.1.1 The status of permits, licenses and EPD notifications for all relevant environmental issues of the Phase 2b Contract for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix R*.

#### 6 MONITORING RESULTS

#### 6.1 Air Quality

6.1.1 The 1-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at four monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured 1-hour TSP levels is given in *Table 6.1*. Graphical presentations of the 1-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of 1-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*. Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory.

Table 6.1 Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results

Location	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)		Action Level	Limit Level
I.D.	Range	Mean	$(\mu g/m^3]$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
ASR1	47.8 – 201.4	104.6	350	500
ASR2	45.3 – 174.0	97.0	350	500
ASR3	13.5 – 137.4	75.7	350	500
ASR4	11.0 – 111.8	70.4	350	500

6.1.2 The 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at four monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the

measured results is given in *Table 6.2*. Graphical presentations of the results for the reporting period and the trend of 24-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*.

Table 6.2	Summary of	f 24-hour TSP	Impact M	onitoring .	Results

Location	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)		Action Level	Limit Level
I.D.	Range	Mean	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
ASR1	69.3 – 116.3	85.1	174.0	260
ASR2	40.7 – 106.6	77.0	185.5	260
ASR3	41.9 – 110.4	79.3	200.0	260
ASR4	39.5 – 117.5	79.3	192.0	260

6.1.3 No exceedances of the Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP were recorded during the reporting period. The weather conditions recorded during the reporting period are summarized in *Appendix L*.

#### 6.1.4 Observations

There were several significant dust sources identified during the reporting period and they were mainly contributed by the following activities:

- i. general / utilities / slope works;
- ii. stockpiling and excavation;
- iii. other construction activities nearby;
- iv. on-site traffic and local traffic network.

#### 6.2 Noise

- 6.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual all noise monitoring were carried out in the absence of fog, rain and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gust exceeding 10m/s. Furthermore, an additional 3dB(A) facade correction for free field measurements were made for all monitoring locations.
- 6.2.2 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR4) from Phase 2b Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29th March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 2b construction activities. No adjustments will be made on the measured noise levels, if the measured noise levels were lowered or equal to the corresponding baseline levels.

#### 6.2.3 Normal Hour Monitoring

Daytime noise monitoring was carried out at all the noise monitoring stations during the reporting period. All corrected noise levels are presented in *Appendix M1*. A summary of the results is given in Table 6.3. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting period is shown in *Appendix N1*.

Table 0.5 Summary of Corrected Impact House Levels for Hormal Hour Mondolling					
Daytime	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A),			Construction	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on	(Range)		Noise Level,	dB(A)	
normal	_		dB(A) (Range)		
weekdays	Leq <sub>(30min)</sub>	$L_{10(30min)}$	$L_{90(30min)}$	Leq <sub>(30min)</sub>	Leq <sub>(30min)</sub>
NSR1	63.9 - 69.7	64.8 - 72.0	62.8 - 65.3	$61.0 - 66.5^3$	75
NSR2 <sup>2</sup>	64.6 - 65.6	66.2 - 67.6	62.4 - 63.8	<b>-</b> <sup>4</sup>	70
NSR3	64.6 - 65.7	66.2 - 67.5	62.4 - 63.2	<b>-</b> <sup>4</sup>	75
NSR4	65 6 – 66 3	67.9 - 68.5	62.0 - 62.9	_ 4	75

Table 6.3 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring

#### 6.2.4 Observations

The major noise sources identified at the NSRs during the normal hour monitoring were dominated by local traffic noise (such as Tsing Yi Road), in particular container trucks.

#### 6.2.5 Restricted Hour Monitoring

Construction works were also carried out at areas P2-SA6B, SA6E, SA7, SA8 and SA8B during public holidays. Therefore, noise monitoring was carried out at all monitoring locations during public holidays (0700 – 1900 hours during public holidays). All measured noise levels are presented in *Appendix M2*. A summary of the results is given in *Table 6.4*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the Reporting period is shown in *Appendix N2*.

Table 6.4 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring

Public Holidays 0700-1900 hrs on holidays	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
nonuays	Leq <sub>(5min)</sub>	$L_{10(5min)}$	$L_{90(5min)}$	Leq <sub>(5min)</sub>	Leq <sub>(5min)</sub>
NSR1	63.0 - 66.6	63.5 - 68.0	62.0 - 64.0	$48.4 - 64.5^2$	70
NSR2	59.9 – 63.8	60.5 - 65.0	58.5 - 62.0	_3	70
NSR3	62.1 - 65.4	63.7 - 67.7	59.7 - 63.2	_3	70
NSR4	62.8 - 66.5	65.2 - 69.2	58.2 - 64.2	$49.9^2$	70

Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

In addition, no evening and night-time noise monitoring was carried out during the past four-month.

#### 6.2.6 Observation

The major noise sources during the restricted hour monitoring were dominated by the local traffic noise (such as Tsing Yi Road) and in particular container trucks.

Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Limit Level is reduced to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during examination periods. No examinations were carried out during the reporting period.

No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 2b Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> No adjustments were made on all the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level.

No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 2b Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> No adjustments were made on all the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level.

#### 7 AUDIT RESULTS

#### 7.1 Air Quality

- 7.1.1 For 1-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 72 sets of measurements were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.
- 7.1.2 For 24-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 20 sets of measurements were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

#### 7.2 Noise

- 7.2.1 A total of 16 sets of Leq(30min) measurement during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) were carried out during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.2 A total of 16 sets of 6 x Leq(5min) measurements were taken during public holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on holidays) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

#### 7.3 Water Quality

- 7.3.1 An Effluent Discharge License for the discharge of effluent arising from construction activities was granted by EPD to DCBJV on 26<sup>th</sup> April 2005.
- 7.3.2 In accordance with the approved License conditions, water sampling is required on a monthly basis. Two water samples were taken by DCBJV on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2008 for Total Suspended Solid (TSS) and analyzed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. The results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.

#### 7.4 Waste Management

- 7.4.1 The revised Waste Management Plan (Rev. M) was approved by EPD on 22nd June 2006 (EPD ref. (10) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 PT.7).
- 7.4.2 Since April 2005, all non-inert C&D waste from the Phase 2b Contract had been disposed of at NENT and WENT Landfills. All inert C&D material had been disposed of to Tuen Mun Fill Bank.
- 7.4.3 On 28<sup>th</sup> July 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 30,000m3 of inert material to HY/2002/23 Deep Bay Link Southern Section and HY/2002/24 Deep Bay Link Northern Section for re-usage purposes. A total of 2,640 m3 of inert material were delivered to HY/2002/23 site since July 2005.
- 7.4.4 On 21<sup>st</sup> December 2006, PFC, CEDD has no objection in principle to deliver a maximum of 1,000m3 of inert C&D material to HY/2003/04 Design and Build of Improvement to Castle Peak Road between Ka Loon Tsuen and Siu Lam (CPRI). Since December 2006, a total of 528 m3 of inert material were delivered to HY/2003/04.

7.4.5 The quantities of different waste and their handling are summarized in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period

Materia	al Type	Handling Method	Handling Quantities in the reporting period	Temporary Storage Locations On-site (if applicable)
C&D materi	Soft Public Fill	Tuen Mun Fill Bank (Tuen Mun Area 38)	205 m <sup>3</sup>	P2-SA6B and P2- SA12
al	Broken concrete (including rock)	Tuen Mun Fill Bank (Tuen Mun Area 38)	291 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
Rock		Deliver to Lam Tei Quarry	N/A	P2-SA12
	Public Fill	HY/2003/04 (CPRI)	N/A	P2-SA12
	C&D Waste	To be recycled (paper)	110 kg	Contractor's Office
		To be reused and returned to supplier (timber and metal)	N/A	N/A
		Collected by licensed collector for disposal to Landfill	22 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
Chemic	al waste	Collected by licensed chemical waste collector	N/A	P2-SA8

#### 7.5 Site Audits / Inspections

Photographic records provided by DCBJV for their mitigation measures taken to rectify the deficiencies identified on site are presented in Appendix Q.

#### 7.5.1 Environmental Team Site Inspections

Weekly site inspections were conducted by the ET during the reporting period and the major deficiencies and DCBJV's proposed / implemented corrective and preventive measures are summarized as follows:-

- i. The haul roads at areas P2-SA6G, P2-SA6B (next to the Gate 4) and P2-SA8 were dry and dusty.
  - Corrective and Preventive Actions The majority of the haul roads at area P2-SA6G had been paved or compacted. In addition, water sprinklers have been deployed on site for dust suppression. For area P2-SA6G and P2-SA6B, water spraying by water hose had been arranged in order to minimize the dust impact generated from vehicular movement. Completed and closed. (Please refer to **Appendix Q** Photo 01 & 02).
- ii. A significant amount of sediment was accumulated in the trapezoidal channel at P2-SA6B (adjacent to Gate 4) and rubbish was found inside the u-channel at Tsing Yi Road. DCBJV was reminded to clean up the channels without further delay and all the drainage systems should be suitably maintained at least once a week.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The u-channel at Tsing Yi Road had been cleared after the site inspection. Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 03). The trapezoidal channel is still under construction and DCBJV reported

that labours have been arranged to clean up the channel in due course. The situation will be monitored by both RSS and ET continuously.

iii. Fuel / chemical containers without proper trip trays and oil stains on the ground were observed on site.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – All the fuel / chemical containers had been removed from site and all contaminated material had been cleared and handled as chemical waste. Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 04).

iv. DCBJV was reminded that the haul road at area P2-SA6E should be kept clear of dusty materials. In addition, fugitive dust emission was observed during the unloading of aggregate at Pier P1-M5 and therefore DCBJV was urged to provide sufficient watering at the unloading area.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – A labour has been deployed at area P2-SA6E to clean up the haul road regularly. A water hose has been provided at the unloading area at Pier P1-M5 in order to avoid dust impact generated from unloading and handling of aggregate / dusty material. Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 05 & 06).

v. No watering or other dust suppression measures were provided for the concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The operation of concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E had been stopped immediately during the site audit and DCBJV reported that water spraying will be according in due course. The situation will be closely monitored by both RSS and ET.

#### 7.5.2 Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Site Audits

The IEC monthly audit was conducted on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008, two observations were recorded and they are presented as follows:

i. DCBJV was reminded that the haul road at area P2-SA6E should be kept clear of dusty materials. In addition, fugitive dust emission was observed during the unloading of aggregate at Pier P1-M5 and therefore DCBJV was urged to provide sufficient watering at the unloading area.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – A labour has been deployed at area P2-SA6E to clean up the haul road regularly. A water hose has been provided at the unloading area at Pier P1-M5 in order to avoid dust impact generated from unloading and handling of aggregate / dusty material. Completed and closed. (Please refer to Appendix Q Photo 05 & 06).

ii. No watering or other dust suppression measures were provided for the concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The operation of concrete breaking at area P2-SA6E had been stopped immediately during the site audit and DCBJV reported that water spraying will be according in due course. The situation will be closely monitored by both RSS and ET.

#### 7.5.3 Environmental Protection Department (EPD) Site Inspections

No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting month

#### 7.6 Landscaping Works

7.6.1 Under the Phase 2b Contract, approximate 1,000,000 nos. of seeding are required to be planted on site. The soft landscaping works was over 60% complete. DCBJV reported that all seedlings would be planted before substantial completion of the works.

# 8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS

#### 8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

8.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedances were recorded in this reporting period and they are summarized in *Table 8.1*.

Table 8.1 Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period

Media/	No. of Exceedance		Action Taken	<b>Results of Action</b>	Remarks
Nature	Action	Limit		Taken	
	Level	Level			
Air Quality	0	0	-	-	-
Noise	0	0	-	-	-

#### 8.2 Summary of Complaints

- 8.2.1 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting period.
- 8.2.2 The summary of all complaints received since the commencement of the Phase 2b Contract is presented in Table 8.2. The details of the complaint and statistics are attached in *Appendices O1* and *O2* respectively.

Table 8.2 Summary of Total Complaint Received

Total No. of	No. of complaint received	No. of Active	No. of Inactive / Closed
	during reporting period	Complaint	Complaint
11	0	0	11

#### 8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions

8.3.1 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 2b Contract since the commencement of construction.

# 9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)

#### 9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS

9.1.1 The construction commencement date for "Route 8 – Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)" (TCSS) at Phase 2b Contract site area was on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2008 and the TCSS Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV).

#### 9.2 Audit Results

- 9.2.1 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.
- 9.2.2 DIGJV reported that no C&D materials were disposed off site to designated public filling area during the reporting period.

#### 10 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

#### 10.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month

- 10.1.1 Works to be taken for the coming monitoring period will be similar to the previous month as follows:
  - i. Utilities and services diversion
  - ii. Removal and Demolition of Haul Road
  - iii. Slope works
  - iv. Road works
  - v. Viaduct E&M and Finishing
  - vi. Noise barrier construction
  - vii. Landscape works
- 10.1.2 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, noise, site runoff and waste. However, with the implementation of the following mitigation measures, potential impacts to the surrounding sensitive receivers could be minimized:

#### 10.1.3 Construction Dust

- i. frequently watering of haul road and unpaved areas;
- ii. prohibition of any open burning on site;
- iii. investigation of other dust sources near air sensitive receivers;
- iv. regularly watering or covering of open areas and stockpiles with tarpaulin;
- v. hydroseeding or covering inactive sand fill areas with impervious sheeting if necessary;
- vi. switching off vehicles and equipment while not in use; and
- vii. regular maintenance of onsite machinery and vehicles.

#### 10.1.4 Construction Noise

- i. Identification of noise sources arising within and outside work site; and
- ii. Provision of noise barriers as when necessary.

#### 10.1.5 Construction Runoff

- i. identification of sources of run-off from site;
- ii. provision of sandbags/bunds/channels to direct run-off to silt/sand removal facilities;
- iii. avoidance of direct discharge of wastewater into storm water drainage; and
- iv. provision of treatment for wastewater and run-off prior to discharge.

#### 10.1.6 Construction Waste Management

- i. avoidance of accumulation of construction waste materials and/or general refuse on site;
- ii. segregation of C&D waste;
- iii. collection of chemical waste or oil and disposal of as chemical waste in accordance with the relevant regulations; and
- iv. regularly removing of waste materials on site.

#### **10.2** Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months

The tentative schedules for dust and noise monitoring for the next three months are attached in Appendix P.

#### 11 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 11.1 Conclusions

- 11.1.1 This Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presents the EM&A works conducted from 29<sup>th</sup> October 2008 to 28<sup>th</sup> November 2008 in accordance with EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- 11.1.2 A total of 72 sets of 1-hour TSP and 20 sets of 24-hour TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.
- 11.1.3 A total of 16 sets of Leq(30min) measurement during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.4 A total of 16 sets of 6 x Leq(5min) measurements during public holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on holidays) were carried out during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.5 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting period.
- 11.1.6 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 2b Contract since the commencement of construction.
- 11.1.7 ET and IEC audits were carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual and major deficiencies identified were related to dust control for the haul road, maintenance of drainage systems and handling of chemical waste. DCBJV had carried out immediate corrective / mitigation measures to rectify these issues.
- 11.1.8 No joint site inspections were carried with EPD during the reporting month.
- 11.1.9 The construction commencement date for TCSS at Phase 2b Contract was on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2008. A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2008 and no adverse comments were raised by ET, IEC and RSS.

#### 11.2 Recommendations

According to the environmental audits undertaken during the reporting period, the following recommendations have been made:

#### 11.2.1 Construction Dust

- i. Site access road and exposed areas should be watered regularly to ensure the soil surface is moist;
- ii. Dusty areas should be watered frequently and extensively during dry weather;
- iii. All open stockpiles should be covered properly by tarpaulin or similar fabric; and
- iv. Watering of any earth moving activities or dust emission activities.

#### 11.2.2 Construction Noise

- i. The numbers of powered mechanical plants operating should not exceed the allowable plant number for each construction activity stated in the Construction Noise Permits;
- ii. Regular maintenance of machinery; and
- iii. Noisy equipment should be located away from nearby NSRs.

#### 11.2.3 Water Quality


- i. All surface runoff/wastewater should be diverted to appropriate water treatment facilities before discharge;
- ii. Sedimentation tanks/basins should have adequate capacity for settling surface runoff;
- iii. Wheel washing facilities should be installed at all vehicular exits and used by all vehicles before leaving the construction site;
- iv. Vehicle and plant servicing area, wheel washing bay should be connected to storm drains via a petrol interceptor;
- v. Site hoarding should be tightly sealed at the bottom to prevent seepage of surface runoff from the site; and
- vi. Accumulation of water in drip trays and at chemical/fuel storage area should be avoided.

#### 11.2.4 Waste Management

- i. Contaminated soil should be collected and disposed of as chemical waste;
- ii. All types of waste should be collected by licensed waste collectors; and
- iii. Good housekeeping should be implemented throughout the whole construction period.

# Appendix A


**Site Location Plan** 



## **Appendix B**

**Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details** 

Appendix B: Project's Environmental Organisation Chart and Contact Details



# Appendix C Three-Month Rolling Programme

#### **Planning Activities for the Remaining Works**

The Contractor has scheduled to:

- continue reinstatement of temporary cut slopes (soil filling and compaction works, drainage system construction and handrailing for the maintenance staircase);
- continue the bridge deck surfacing;
- continue the bridge deck waterproofing;
- continue the MJ installation on bridge decks;
- continue construction of at grade road drainage between Roundabout No.2 and Bridge C1/D1; Bridge C1/D1 and Bridge C2/D2; and Bridge C2/D2 and Bridge C3/D3;
- continue construction of Drainage System DS10.1 at OMC area;
- continue construction of at grade concrete profile barriers;
- continue construction of noise barrier; and
- continue architectural works, pipe works, E&M works, testing and commissioning of various systems within the Booster Pump House compound.

# Appendix D1 Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality

## Appendix D1: Action /Limit Levels for Air Quality

#### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 24-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
ASR1	174.0	260
ASR2	185.5	260
ASR3	200.0	260
ASR4	192.0	260

### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
ASR1	350.0	500
ASR2	350.0	500
ASR3	350.0	500
ASR4	350.0	500

# Appendix D2 Action/Limit Levels for Noise

## **Appendix D2: Action/Limit Levels for Noise**

## Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action	Limit		
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)*		
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70 dB(A)		
2300-0700 hrs of next day	When one documented complaint is received	55 dB(A)		

<sup>\*</sup> Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

## **Appendix E**

**Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period** 

#### Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 October 2008 and 28 November 2008

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
						1hr-TSP	29-Oct		30-Oct		31-Oct		1-Nov
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	2-Nov	24hrs-TSP	3-Nov	1hr-TSP	4-Nov	Noise	5-Nov		6-Nov		7-Nov	24hrs-TSP	8-Nov
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	9-Nov	1hr-TSP	10-Nov	Noise	11-Nov		12-Nov		13-Nov	24hrs-TSP	14-Nov	1hr-TSP	15-Nov
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	16-Nov		17-Nov		18-Nov	Noise	19-Nov	24hrs-TSP	20-Nov	1hr-TSP	21-Nov		22-Nov
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	23-Nov		24-Nov	Noise	25-Nov	24hrs-TSP	26-Nov	1hr-TSP	27-Nov		28-Nov		

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4


Noise Leq30 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900.

NoiseEvening 6 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 1900~2300

NoiseNight 4 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 2300~0700

NoiseP.H. 6 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900

# Appendix F Locations of Monitoring Stations



## Appendix G1 Calibration Certificates for HVS



## TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR1)

2 111 11 2			
Calibration Date	30-Sep-08	Next Calibration Date	30-Nov-08
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.04
	Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)		

		Ambient Condition	
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.85	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.32

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04				
Slope, mo	1.58686	Intercept, co	-0.03299		
Last Calibration Date	22-Oct-07	Next Calibration Date	22-Oct-08		

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.0	1.79	7.9	2.79
2	6.5	1.62	6.6	2.55
3	5.4	1.48	5.7	2.37
4	4.5	1.35	4.4	2.09
5	3.5	1.19	3.3	1.81

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.6589

Intercept, ch =

-0.1426

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9942 Calibration Result: ACCEPT


Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By: Carg

Date: 2/oct/

Date

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.





## TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR2)

Calibration Date	30-Sep-08	Next Calibration Date	30-Nov-08
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.03
	5th Floor Block D of the main Education Building (ASR2	?)	

		Ambient Condition	
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.85	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.32

P2.CAL.04		
1.58686	Intercept, co	-0.03299
22-Oct-07	Next Calibration Date	22-Oct-08
	1.58686 22-Oct-07	1.58686 Intercept, co

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.0	1.79	7.8	2.78
2	6.5	1.62	6.7	2.57
3	5.5	1.49	5.3	2.29
4	4.5	1.35	4.7	2.16
5	3.5	1.19	3.8	1.94

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.4232

Intercept, ch =

0.2276

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9937

Calibration Result: ACCEPT


Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By: Checked By:

Date: 2/0c+/od

ate: \_\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.



## **ARUP**

#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR3)

Calibration Date	30-Sep-08	Next Calibration Date	30-Nov-08
Station	Mayfair Gardens	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.01
	1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)		

		Ambient Condition		
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.85		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.32

DO OAL OO		
P2.CAL.03		
1.58181	Intercept, co	-0.01986
22-Oct-07	Next Calibration Date	22-Oct-08
	1.58181 22-Oct-07	1.58181 Intercept, co

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.1	1.80	7.9	2.79
2	6.6	1.63	6.5	2.53
3	5.4	1.47	5.5	2.33
4	4.5	1.35	4.6	2.13
5	3.5	1.19	3.3	1.81

By Liner Regression of y on x


Slope, mh = 1.5763 Intercept, ch =

-0.0245

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9959 Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.



## **ARUP**

#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR4)

Calibration Date	30-Sep-08	Next Calibration Date	30-Nov-08
Station	Cheung Ching Estate	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.02
	At the roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)(ASR4)		

450		Ambient Condition	
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.85	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.32

Orifice Transfer Standard Information						
P2.CAL.03						
1.58181	Intercept, co	-0.01986				
22-Oct-07	Next Calibration Date	22-Oct-08				
	P2.CAL.03 1.58181 22-Oct-07	P2.CAL.03 1.58181 Intercept, co				

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.0	1.79	7.7	2.76
2	6.5	1.61	6.7	2.57
3	5.5	1.49	5.4	2.31
4	4.5	1.35	4.3	2.06
5	3.5	1.19	3.6	1.89

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.5245 Intercept, ch =


0.0540

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9938 Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By:

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.



## Appendix G2

**Calibration Certificates for Weather Station** 

### **Appendix G2: Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

The weather Station was removed on  $2^{\rm nd}$  April 2007 and therefore meteorological data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory website.

## Appendix G3

Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

I	Date - No Operator	ov 04, 2008 Tisch	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		833620 1272	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 758.19
	PLATE OR VDC #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
	1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.2800 0.9910 0.9050 0.8350 0.6320	4.2 7.1 8.5 9.9 17.1	1.50 2.50 3.00 3.50 6.00

#### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0021 0.9983 0.9964 0.9946 0.9850	0.7829 1.0073 1.1010 1.1911 1.5586	1.2295 1.5873 1.7388 1.8781 2.4590	 0.9944 0.9906 0.9887 0.9869 0.9774	0.7769 0.9996 1.0925 1.1819 1.5466	0.7640 0.9863 1.0804 1.1670 1.5279
Qstd slo intercep coeffici y axis =	t (b) = ent (r) =	1.58420 -0.00884 0.99998	 Qa slope intercept coefficie	(b) =	0.99200 -0.00549 0.99998

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd =  $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa =  $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$ 



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right) \left(\frac{T s t d}{T a}\right)}$$

Qa series:

#1272



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

Date - No Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		833620 1274	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 758.19
PLATE OR	VOLUME START	VOLUME STOP	DIFF VOLUME	DIFF TIME	METER DIFF Hg	ORFICE DIFF H2O
VDC #	(m3)  NA	(m3) NA	(m3) 	(min)  1.2760	(mm) 4.2	(in.) 
2 3 4	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00	0.9840 0.9030 0.8340	7.1 8.4 9.9	2.50 3.00 3.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6290	17.1	6.00

#### DATA TABULATION

7							
	Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
	1.0021	0.7854	1.2295		0.9944	0.7793	0.7640
	0.9983	1.0145	1.5873		0.9906	1.0067	0.9863
	0.9965	1.1036	1.7388		0.9889	1.0951	1.0804
	0.9946	1.1925	1.8781	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.9869	1.1833	1.1670
	0.9850	1.5660	2.4590	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.9774	1.5539	1.5279
	Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.57672 -0.00705 0.99988		Qa slope intercept coefficie	(b) =	0.98732 -0.00438 0.99988
	y axis =	SQRT [H2O (H	Pa/760) (298/	ra)]	y axis =	SQRT [H20 (7	Ca/Pa)]

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta) Qstd = Vstd/Time


Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:


$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right) \left(\frac{T s t d}{T a}\right)}$$

Qa series:

#1274

## **Appendix G4**

Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator



#### SPECTRIS CHINA LIMITED 思百吉中國有限公司

#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Cert	ificate	No.	:	2KS071	103 - 1

Page 1 of 2

Calibration of:

Description :

Sound Level Meter

Microphone

Manufacture:

Brü el & Kjar

4188

Type No. Serial No. 2238 2562752

2565848

Client:

Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV

-Stonecutters Bridge Tsing Yi CT9 Site

#### Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature :

101.3 kPa

Air Pressure Relative Humidity:

62 %

#### Test Specifications:

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60651 and IEC 60804 type 1, and vendor specific procedures.

The measurements has been performed with the assistance of:

Brū el & Kjar's Sound Level Meter Calibration System B&K 9600 CAL2236, Ver.03.11.1995

The standard(s) and instrument(s) used in the calibration are traceable to international standard and are calibrated on a schedule which is adjusted to maintain the required accuracy level.

#### Test Result:

A list of the performed (sub) tests is stated on page 2 of this certificate. Actual Measurement are documented on worksheet.

Date of Calibration: 07 November, 2007

Certificate issued: 08 November, 2007 Approved Signatory:

Calibrated By:

Dai Bin

Jacky Leung

Reproduction of the complete certificate is allowed. Parts of the certificate may only be reproduced after written permit

Unit 706 7/F, Miramar Tower, 132 Nathan Road, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong 各 注 九 龍 尖 沙 咀 彌 敦 證 1 3 2 號 美 麗 華 大 度 7 樓 7 0 6 室

Tel: (852) 2548 7486 Fax: (852) 2858 1168

## Brüel & Kjær \*\*\*

#### SPECTRIS CHINA LIMITED 思百吉中國有限公司

2

#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 2KS071103-2	Page 1 c
Certificate 110 Eliboration E	

Calibration of:

Description : Sound Level Meter

Microphone

Manufacture :

Brü el & Kjær

4188

Type No. Serial No. 2238 2562757

2565853

Client:

Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV

-Stonecutters Bridge Tsing Yi CT9 Site

Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature :

23 °C

Air Pressure

101.3 kPa 62 %

Relative Humidity: 6

Test Specifications:

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60651 and IEC 60804 type 1, and vendor specific procedures.

The measurements has been performed with the assistance of:

Brū el & Kjar's Sound Level Meter Calibration System B&K 9600 CAL2236, Ver.03.11.1995

The standard(s) and instrument(s) used in the calibration are traceable to international standard and are calibrated on a schedule which is adjusted to maintain the required accuracy level.

Test Result

A list of the performed (sub) tests is stated on page 2 of this certificate. Actual Measurement are documented on worksheet.

Date of Calibration: 07 November, 2007 Calibrated By: Certificate issued: 08 November, 2007

Approved Signatory:

Dai Ba

Dai Bin

Jacky Leung

Reproduction of the complete certificate is allowed. Parts of the certificate may only be reproduced after written permission

Unit 706 7/F, Miramar Tower, 132 Nathan Road, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong 香港九龍火沙咀彌放巡132號英麗草大廈7樓706室

Tel: (852) 2548 7486 Fax: (852) 2858 1168



#### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

GF, 3F, 12F, 13F, 8 25F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong 労 抱 美 竹 坑 透 3 7 限 利 途 中 心 地 F ・ 9 屋 ・ 1 2 標 ・ 1 3 標 及 2 0 複 E-nail: xmedicipismes com Whotalite: www.cipismes.com



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

08CA0904 01-01B Page 1 of 2 Certificate No.: Item tested Sound Level Meter (Type 1) Pulsar, England Description: Microphone Pulsar, England Manufacturer: Model 30 MK226 Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used: T220553 110453 Item submitted by Customer Name: Meada-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture

Address of Customer: Request No.:

PO/HY26/7192 Date of request: 01-09-2008

Date of test: 04-09-2008

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Serial No. Expiry Date: Description: Multi function sound calibrator B&K 4226 2288444 11-01-2009 CIGISMEC DS 360 DS 360 Signal generator Signal generator 33873 CEPRE) CEPRE

Ambient conditions

23 ± 2 °C Temperature: Relative humidity: Air pressure: 50 ± 15 % 1000 ± 15 hPa

#### Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

and the late calibration procedure SM F004-04-156.
The electrical flesh were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of £20%.
The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Motor.

#### Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

9m eng Jun Qi

Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Nationals Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No CARP152-Unaue SRay C/01/02/2007

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific laboratory activities as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by this laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.I.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.



#### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	08CA0917 02A		Page	1	of	2
Item tested		2 - 2				
Description:	Sound Level Meter	(Type 1)	Microphone			
Manufacturer:	Pulsar, England		Pulsar, England			
Type/Model No.:	Model 30		MK226			
Serial/Equipment No.:	T220551		110452			
Adaptors used:	*	5	4			
Item submitted by						
Customer Name:	Meada-Hitachi-Yok	ogawa-Hsin Chong J	oint Venture			
Address of Customer:						
Request No.:	PO/HY26/7192					
Date of request:	12-09-2008					
Date of test:	17-09-2008	******************				
Reference equipment	used in the calibr	ation	3			
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:		Traceal	ble to:
Multi function sound calibrator	88K 4226	2288444	11-01-2009		CIGISMI	EC.
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	12-06-2009		CEPRE	
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009		CEPREI	
Ambient conditions						
Temperature:	23 ± 2 °C					
Relative humidity:	50 ± 15 %					
Air pressure:	1000 ± 10 hPa					
Test specifications						77.

- The Sound Level Meter his been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152. 
  The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%. 
  The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.
- 2,

#### Test results

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

Huang dan ManiPeng dun Q

Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Sol's & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No CARP152-1/base 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HCKLAS) for specific laboratory activities as listed in the HCKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by this laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.1.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full



Certificate No.: C085814

## Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 00352013

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085814.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue: 10 November 2008

Certified by:

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Certificate No.: C085815

## Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 01262850

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085815.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue: 10 November 2008

Certified by:

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



#### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

GF, 9F, 12F, 13E & 20F, Leafer Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Abendeen, Hong Kong 資源與竹誌週37號到達中心地下,9個,12個,13艘及20個 E-mail: smeciBolgismec.com Website: www.cipismec.com



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Page: 1 of 2 08CA0904 01-02B Certificate No.: Item tested Sound Calibrator (Class 1L) Pulsar, England MODEL 1008 Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used: 035213 Yes Item submitted by Meada-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hain Chong Joint Venture Curstomer Address of Customer: Request No.: Date of request: PO/HY26/7192

Date of test:

#### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	29-06-2009	SCL
Préampilifer	B&K 2673	2239857	12-12-2008	CEPREI
Measuring ampilier	B&K 2610	2346941	15-12-2008	CEPREI
Signal generator	OS 360	61227	18-07-2009	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	30-11-2008	CIGISMEC
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	06-12-2008	CEPREI
Audio anaryzer Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	11-07-2009	CEPREI

#### Ambient conditions

24 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 % Relative humidity: Air pressure: 1000 ± 10 hPa

#### Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.

  The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique. dance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
- 2,
- The results are rounded to the meanest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure. 3.

#### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of sines, B of IEC 60942; 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication reporting the log-girm stability of the instrument.

O Sols & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

From No. CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev G/51/G3/2007

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific laboratory activities as fisted in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by the laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.I.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full



Certificate No.: C085728

## Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NC-74

Serial No.: 34351581

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085728.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue: 5 November 2008

Certified by:

CELoudo

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



Certificate No.: C085729

## Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NC-74

Serial No.: 34973223

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085729.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue: 5 November 2008

Certified by:

CF Leung

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Appendix G5

**Certificate of HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory** 



## **Hong Kong Accreditation Service**

## 香港認可處

This is to certify that

### WELLAB LIMITED

at the address of Unit C, 1/F, Goldlion Holdings Center,

13-15 Yuen Shun Circuit, Shatin, N.T., HK

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a

## **HOKLAS** Accredited Laboratory

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:1999 — General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the Test Category of

### ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive.

(S.S. CHAN)
Executive Administrator

Registration Number 083

Issue Date : 2 NOVEMBER 2004

Date of First Registration 29 MAY 1998

This Certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS.

F 000310

## Appendix H1 Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

### **Appendix H1: Event/Action Plan for Air Quality**

Event	Action							
Level	ET	ER	CONTRACTOR					
Action Level	LI	LIN	CONTRACTOR					
Exceedance for one sample	<ul> <li>Identify source</li> <li>Inform ER</li> <li>Repeat Measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> </ul>	Notify Contractor     Check mortaring data and Contractor's working methods	<ul> <li>Rectify any unacceptable practice</li> <li>Amend working methods if appropriate</li> </ul>					
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol> <li>Identify source</li> <li>Inform ER</li> <li>Repeat measurements to confirm findings</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>Discuss with ER for remedial actions required</li> <li>If exceedance continues arrange meeting with ER</li> <li>If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>Notify Contractor</li> <li>Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>Discuss with Environmental Team and Contractor on potential remedial actions</li> <li>Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>Implement the agreed proposals</li> <li>Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>					
Limit Level	1	T						
Exceedance for one sample	<ol> <li>Identify source</li> <li>Inform ER and EPD</li> <li>Repeat measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>Notify Contractor</li> <li>Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>Discuss with Environmental Team Leader and Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance</li> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>					
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol> <li>Identify source</li> <li>Inform ER and EPD the causes &amp; actions taken for the exceedances</li> <li>Repeat measurement to confirm findings</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>Investigate the causes of exceedance</li> <li>Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results &amp; if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>Notify Contractor</li> <li>Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented</li> <li>Discuss amongst Environmental Team Leader and the Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness</li> <li>If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Take immediate action avoid further exceedance</li> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control</li> <li>Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>					

## Appendix H2 Event/Action Plan for Noise

**Appendix H2: Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise** 

Event	Action		
	ET Leader	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol> <li>Notify ER</li> <li>Analyse         investigation</li> <li>Increase monitoring         frequency to check         mitigation         effectiveness</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Notify Contractor</li> <li>Require Contractor to propose measures* for the analysed noise problem</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Submit noise mitigation proposals to Environmental Team</li> <li>Implement noise mitigation proposals*</li> </ol>
Limit Level	<ol> <li>Notify ER</li> <li>Notify EPD</li> </ol>	Notify Contractor     Require contractor to implement mitigation measures* Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Implement mitigation measures     Prove to Environmental Team     Leader ER effectiveness of     measures applied
*	Mitigation Measures may include:  Relocation of noise emitting p  Use of silenced or super-siler  Use of acoustic sheds or scree  Limit quantity of plant operation  Change working technique	aced equipment vens	

## Appendix I

Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements

**Appendix I: Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirement** 

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/07/08 to 28/08/08	29/08/08 to 28/09/08	29/09/08 to 28/10/08	29/10/08 to 28/11/08
Landscape and visual	Erection, painting and maintenance of site hoardings around works and storage areas.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Restrictions on the height of material/spoil stockpiles.		$\sqrt{}$	V	V	$\sqrt{}$
	Prompt hydro-seeding of disturbed areas and cut/fill slopes prior to the permanent landscaping works.	Throughout	V	V	V	$\sqrt{}$
	Avoidance of chunam or shotcreting slope treatments.	the	<b>V</b>	√	<b>V</b>	V
	Conservation of topsoil where practical.	construction period	$\sqrt{}$	√	$\sqrt{}$	V
	Site litter patrols and regular site waste collection.	ponou	$\sqrt{}$	√	$\sqrt{}$	V
	Maintenance of planting.		<b>V</b>	√	<b>√</b>	V
Ecological Impact	Minimise damage outside works areas		V	V	V	V
Material Storage	Covers for dusty stockpiles		V	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	V
Vehicle movement	Haul road watering, vehicle wheel wash prior to exit. Where practical, access roads should be protected with crushed gravel.	Throughout the construction	V	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue air emissions.	period	V	V	V	V
All plant activity	Reference should be made the EM&A Manual Action Plan for measures for consideration when Noise Limit Levels are not met.	Throughout	V	V	V	V
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue noise nuisance.	the construction period	V	V	V	V
Wheel wash	All wheel wash water shall be diverted to a sediment pit.	Poriod	<b>V</b>	√	V	V
Concrete	All concrete trucks shall wash out into a lined pit.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable

✓ = Implemented

▲ = Rectified

# = Not Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing		Implement	ation Stages*	
Activities			29/07/08 to 28/08/08	29/08/08 to 28/09/08	29/09/08 to 28/10/08	29/10/08 to 28/11/08
Truck Washout						
Surface water diversion	All clean surface water shall be diverted around the site.		√	√	<b>V</b>	V
Sediment control	Sediment removal facilities shall be provided and be maintained and excavated as necessary to prevent sedimentation of the channel. Perimeter channels shall be provided. Works shall be programmed for the dry season where feasible.	Throughout the	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
Fuel can storage	All fuel cans shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.	construction period	V	V	V	<b>A</b>
Slope covers	Finished slopes and other slopes near drainage areas shall be covered prior to rains to reduce sedimentation of runoff. Slopes should be hydroseeded or shotcreted as early as possible to prevent erosion.		<b>A</b>	V	<b>A</b>	V
Excavation works	Excavation works shall avoid sensitive areas.	Throughout the excavation work period	V	V	V	V
Material, plant movement & fuel can refilling	Any fuel or oil spills shall be excavated and disposed.	Throughout the construction period	V	V	<b>√</b>	V
Generators	All generators shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		V	V	V	V
Material containers	All empty bags and containers shall be collected for disposal.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable ✓ = Implemented ▲ = Rectified

# = Not Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing		Implement	ation Stages*	
Activities			29/07/08 to 28/08/08	29/08/08 to 28/09/08	29/09/08 to 28/10/08	29/10/08 to 28/11/08
Worker generated litter and Waste	Litter receptacles shall be placed around the site. Litter shall be taken regularly to the refuse collection points. Chemical toilets (or suitable equivalent) should be provided for workers. Any canteens should have grease-traps.		√ V	√ V	√ V	√ √
Neighbourhood nuisance	All complaints regarding construction works shall be relayed to the Environmental Team.	Throughout the	V	V	V	V
Legal requirements	Different types of waste should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements and guidelines	construction period	V	V	V	V
On-site separation	On-site separation of municipal solid waste and construction/demolition wastes should be conducted as far as possible in order to minimize the amount of solid waste to be disposed to landfill.		$\sqrt{}$	V	√	V
Temporary storage area	Separated wastes should be stored in different containers, skips, or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and encourage their proper disposal.		$\sqrt{}$	V	<b>V</b>	V
Record of wastes	Records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (with locations) should be properly kept.		V	V	V	V
Trip-ticket system	To monitor the disposal of waste at landfills and control fly-tipping, a "trip-ticket" system for all solid waste transfer/disposal operations should be implemented. The system should be included as a contractual requirement, and monitored by the Environmental Team and audited by the Independent Environmental Checker.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable ✓ = Implemented ▲ = Rectified

# = Not Implemented

# Appendix J

1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR 1)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3)	(g)	(g)	μg/m3
29-Oct-08	10:50	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.61	2.8607	2.8646	47.8
29-Oct-08	11:57	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.61	2.8101	2.8178	94.4
29-Oct-08	13:06	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.61	2.8581	2.8645	78.4
4-Nov-08	11:15	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.75	2.7963	2.8056	113.8
4-Nov-08	12:21	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.75	2.8009	2.8080	86.8
4-Nov-08	13:32	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.75	2.7855	2.7942	106.4
10-Nov-08	8:47	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.48	2.8328	2.8421	112.8
10-Nov-08	9:50	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.48	2.8143	2.8212	83.7
10-Nov-08	11:00	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.48	2.8543	2.8609	80.0
15-Nov-08	8:30	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	81.92	2.8430	2.8541	135.5
15-Nov-08	9:47	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	81.92	2.8182	2.8257	91.6
15-Nov-08	12:40	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	81.92	2.8545	2.8710	201.4
21-Nov-08	7:50	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.47	2.8079	2.8180	122.5
21-Nov-08	8:56	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.47	2.8504	2.8627	149.2
21-Nov-08	10:03	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.47	2.7750	2.7855	127.3
27-Nov-08	7:40	60.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	82.51	2.7974	2.8068	113.9
27-Nov-08	9:16	60.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	82.51	2.8218	2.8274	67.9
27-Nov-08	10:45	60.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	82.51	2.8355	2.8412	69.4

The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3)	(g)	(g)	μg/m3
3-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	1962.30	2.8388	2.9443	53.8
8-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.37	1.37	1971.98	2.8302	2.9669	69.3
14-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	1966.48	2.8311	3.0355	103.9
20-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.38	1.37	1.38	1982.53	2.8146	3.0452	116.3
26-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.37	1.38	1.37	1977.14	2.8173	2.9798	82.2

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)

		💆	Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3)	(g)	(g)	μg/m3
29-Oct-08	10:04	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.52	2.7807	2.7843	45.3
29-Oct-08	12:28	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.52	2.8169	2.8228	74.2
29-Oct-08	13:35	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.52	2.8380	2.8427	59.1
4-Nov-08	11:06	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.68	2.8076	2.8140	80.3
4-Nov-08	12:14	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.68	2.7893	2.7969	95.4
4-Nov-08	13:20	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.68	2.7898	2.7962	80.3
10-Nov-08	8:15	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.53	2.8217	2.8269	64.6
10-Nov-08	9:20	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.53	2.8191	2.8275	104.3
10-Nov-08	10:27	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.53	2.8299	2.8368	85.7
15-Nov-08	8:00	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.88	2.8125	2.8225	125.2
15-Nov-08	9:33	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.88	2.8242	2.8314	90.1
15-Nov-08	11:30	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.88	2.8435	2.8574	174.0
21-Nov-08	8:06	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.52	2.8532	2.8660	159.0
21-Nov-08	9:10	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.52	2.7796	2.7888	114.3
21-Nov-08	10:14	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.52	2.7846	2.7956	136.6
27-Nov-08	8:20	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.56	2.7613	2.7705	114.2
27-Nov-08	10:06	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.56	2.7887	2.7937	62.1
27-Nov-08	11:10	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.56	2.8407	2.8472	80.7

The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3/min)	(m3)	(g)	(g)	μg/m3
3-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1912.72	2.8086	2.8864	40.7
8-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.34	1.34	1924.00	2.8132	2.9437	67.8
14-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1917.59	2.8127	2.9956	95.4
20-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.35	1.34	1.34	1936.30	2.8400	3.0465	106.6
26-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1930.01	2.7872	2.9314	74.7

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	<u> </u>			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-Oct-08	10:53	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.39	2.8754	2.8851	119.2
29-Oct-08	12:02	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.39	2.8699	2.8739	49.1
29-Oct-08	13:15	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.39	2.8822	2.8881	72.5
4-Nov-08	9:58	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.54	2.8845	2.8957	137.4
4-Nov-08	11:47	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.54	2.8915	2.8998	101.8
4-Nov-08	12:53	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.54	2.8323	2.8373	61.3
10-Nov-08	9:08	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.31	2.8863	2.8927	77.8
10-Nov-08	10:11	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.31	2.8310	2.8370	72.9
10-Nov-08	11:15	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.31	2.8573	2.8625	63.2
15-Nov-08	7:45	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.72	2.8880	2.8944	78.3
15-Nov-08	9:21	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.72	2.8353	2.8364	13.5
15-Nov-08	11:23	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.72	2.8315	2.8399	102.8
21-Nov-08	9:20	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.29	2.8587	2.8644	69.3
21-Nov-08	10:26	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.29	2.8837	2.8894	69.3
21-Nov-08	11:35	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.29	2.8507	2.8576	83.8
27-Nov-08	8:47	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.33	2.8554	2.8597	52.2
27-Nov-08	9:51	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.33	2.8215	2.8302	105.7
27-Nov-08	12:30	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.33	2.9090	2.9116	31.6

The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard				
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
3-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	1957.24	2.8410	2.9231	41.9
8-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.37	1.37	1967.43	2.8666	2.9952	65.4
14-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	1961.64	2.8522	3.0462	98.9
20-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.38	1.37	1.37	1978.53	2.8669	3.0854	110.4
26-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	1972.85	2.8851	3.0428	79.9

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard				
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-Oct-08	11:08	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.07	2.8790	2.8849	72.8
29-Oct-08	12:15	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.07	2.8951	2.9010	72.8
29-Oct-08	15:20	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.07	2.8777	2.8826	60.4
4-Nov-08	10:44	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.22	2.8664	2.8742	96.0
4-Nov-08	12:26	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.22	2.8572	2.8647	92.3
4-Nov-08	13:30	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	81.22	2.8695	2.8726	38.2
10-Nov-08	9:16	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.01	2.9047	2.9110	76.8
10-Nov-08	10:19	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.01	2.8672	2.8718	56.1
10-Nov-08	11:24	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.01	2.8740	2.8811	86.6
15-Nov-08	7:15	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.40	2.8214	2.8287	89.7
15-Nov-08	9:11	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.40	2.8988	2.8998	12.3
15-Nov-08	11:15	60.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	81.40	2.8555	2.8646	111.8
21-Nov-08	9:25	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.00	2.8027	2.8114	106.1
21-Nov-08	10:31	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.00	2.8404	2.8493	108.5
21-Nov-08	11:46	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.00	2.8634	2.8693	72.0
27-Nov-08	8:39	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.04	2.8732	2.8741	11.0
27-Nov-08	10:24	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.04	2.8459	2.8507	58.5
27-Nov-08	11:30	60.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	82.04	2.8432	2.8469	45.1


### The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Initial Standard Flow Rate	Final Standard Flow Rate	Averaged Standard Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³)	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
3-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	1949.60	2.8777	2.9547	39.5
8-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.37	1.36	1960.13	2.8416	2.9701	65.6
14-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.36	1.36	1954.14	2.8810	3.0643	93.8
20-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.37	1.37	1.37	1971.61	2.8325	3.0642	117.5
26-Nov-08	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.37	1.37	1965.74	2.8836	3.0415	80.3


## **Appendix K**

Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result


1 hr TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)




### 24 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence(ASR1)




1 hr TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the main Building (ASR2)




24 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the main Building (ASR2)




1 hr TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)




24 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)



1 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House(ASR4)



24 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m3) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House(ASR4)



## **Appendix** L

**Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring** 

## Appendix L: Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring

		Weather	Ambient Pressure	Average Ambier	nt Temperature	Relative Humidity	Wind	Wind Speed
Date	Time	Condition	P (mmHg)	°C	K	%	Direction	m/s
29-Oct-08	10:15~18:30	Sunny	760.94	26.3	299.45	69~82	ENE	7.1
3-Nov-08	00:00~24:00	Fine	761.77	24.9	298.05	74~96	ENE	7.5
4-Nov-08	09:15~18:15	Fine	762.07	25.2	298.35	74~86	Е	9.8
8-Nov-08	00:00~24:00	Fine	759.67	24.3	297.45	62~85	N	8.6
10-Nov-08	07:45~18:00	Sunny	763.79	19.6	292.75	40~64	N	7.5
14-Nov-08	00:00~24:00	Sunny	762.37	23.5	296.65	58~82	ENE	7.2
15-Nov-08	07:00~18:00	Sunny	761.17	23.9	297.05	62~85	ENE	6.0
20-Nov-08	00:00~24:00	Sunny	767.54	17.5	290.65	49~66	ENE	8.3
21-Nov-08	07:30~15:00	Sunny	765.97	19.7	292.85	45~73	Е	7.5
26-Nov-08	00:00~24:00	Sunny	765.29	21.2	294.35	52~76	N	5.9
27-Nov-08	07:30~17:15	Sunny	766.57	19.4	292.55	28~57	N	13.4

Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory

## Appendix M1

**Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour** 

The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

	3 · 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Construction Noise Level	Limit Level				
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)				
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)					
5-Nov-08	17:35	30	63.9	64.8	62.8	66.0	63.9*	75.0				
11-Nov-08	9:31	30	65.5	67.2	63.5	66.8	65.5*	75.0				
19-Nov-08	10:06	30	67.9	69.6	65.3	66.9	61.0	75.0				
25-Nov-08	13:31	30	69.7	72.0	65.0	66.9	66.5	75.0				

#### NB: Bold - exceedance

The Summary of Day-time Legao Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
5-Nov-08	13:42	30	65.4	66.5	63.8	71.7	65.4*	70.0
11-Nov-08	8:37	30	64.6	66.2	62.4	71.2	64.6*	70.0
19-Nov-08	10:14	30	65.4	67.1	63.2	71.7	65.4*	70.0
25-Nov-08	11:21	30	65.6	67.6	63.0	71.3	65.6*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR 3)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
5-Nov-08	8:45	30	64.6	66.2	62.4	69.5	64.6*	75.0
11-Nov-08	11:14	30	65.2	67.1	62.5	69.1	65.2*	75.0
19-Nov-08	10:36	30	65.5	67.1	63.1	70.1	65.5*	75.0
25-Nov-08	13:25	30	65.7	67.5	63.2	69.0	65.7*	75.0

#### NB: Bold - exceedance

The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
5-Nov-08	10:26	30	66.3	68.4	62.9	70.2	66.3*	75.0
11-Nov-08	11:17	30	65.8	68.1	62.1	69.5	65.8*	75.0
19-Nov-08	14:33	30	66.2	68.5	62.4	69.2	66.2*	75.0
25-Nov-08	9:45	30	65.6	67.9	62.0	69.7	65.6*	75.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

## Appendix M2

**Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour** 

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq, Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
2-Nov-08	8:53	5	64.8	66.0	63.0	64.7	48.4	70.0
2-Nov-08	8:58	5	64.6	65.5	63.5	63.2	59.0	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:03	5	64.1	65.0	63.0	63.2	56.8	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:08	5	65.7	66.5	64.0	64.7	58.8	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:13	5	64.5	65.0	63.5	64.6	64.5*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:18	5	64.6	65.5	63.5	64.8	64.6*	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:08	5	64.2	65.5	62.5	63.1	57.7	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:13	5	66.5	68.0	63.0	62.1	64.5	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:18	5	65.7	66.5	63.0	62.6	62.8	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:23	5	65.0	66.0	62.5	63.3	60.1	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:28	5	66.6	68.0	63.5	63.9	63.3	70.0
9-Nov-08	15:33	5	65.8	67.0	63.0	61.8	63.6	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:36	5	63.9	65.0	62.5	63.7	50.4	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:41	5	63.8	64.5	62.5	63.6	50.3	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:46	5	64.2	65.0	62.5	63.9	52.4	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:51	5	64.2	66.0	62.5	66.2	64.2*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:56	5	63.9	65.0	62.5	64.5	63.9*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:01	5	63.9	65.0	62.5	64.2	63.9*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:21	5	63.7	65.0	62.5	64.8	63.7*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:26	5	63.8	65.5	62.5	64.8	63.8*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:31	5	63.9	65.0	62.0	66.4	63.9*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:36	5	63.6	64.5	62.5	65.7	63.6*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:41	5	64.3	66.0	62.5	66.0	64.3*	70.0
23-Nov-08	9:46	5	63.0	63.5	62.0	64.1	63.0*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq. Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration		asured Noise Le		<del></del>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
2-Nov-08	9:27	5	62.8	64.0	61.5	67.5	62.8*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:32	5	63.5	64.5	62.0	66.8	63.5*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:37	5	63.4	64.0	61.5	67.8	63.4*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:42	5	63.8	64.5	61.5	67.7	63.8*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:47	5	63.0	64.0	61.5	68.3	63.0*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:52	5	63.0	64.0	61.5	68.3	63.0*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:02	5	62.3	63.5	60.5	67.2	62.3*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:07	5	62.1	63.5	60.5	65.1	62.1*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:12	5	61.3	62.0	60.0	64.7	61.3*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:17	5	61.6	62.5	60.5	66.5	61.6*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:22	5	61.6	62.5	60.5	66.6	61.6*	70.0
9-Nov-08	14:27	5	61.7	62.5	60.5	65.7	61.7*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:39	5	62.1	63.5	60.5	66.7	62.1*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:44	5	62.6	64.5	60.0	67.9	62.6*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:49	5	63.0	64.5	60.5	67.5	63.0*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:54	5	61.8	63.0	60.5	67.5	61.8*	70.0
16-Nov-08	10:59	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	66.6	61.6*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:04	5	62.5	65.0	60.0	67.8	62.5*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:21	5	62.8	64.5	60.0	66.9	62.8*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:26	5	61.9	64.0	59.5	66.8	61.9*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:31	5	62.3	64.5	59.0	66.1	62.3*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:36	5	61.5	63.5	59.0	66.9	61.5*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:41	5	59.9	60.5	58.5	67.5	59.9*	70.0
23-Nov-08	13:46	5	61.1	63.0	59.0	65.9	61.1*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>6</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacet to Swimming Pool (NSR 3)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
2-Nov-08	8:53	5	64.9	66.7	62.2	68.2	64.9*	70.0
2-Nov-08	8:58	5	65.4	66.7	63.2	67.5	65.4*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:03	5	64.8	66.7	61.7	68.1	64.8*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:08	5	63.7	64.7	61.2	67.8	63.7*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:13	5	63.0	64.2	61.2	67.5	63.0*	70.0
2-Nov-08	9:18	5	63.4	65.2	60.7	67.8	63.4*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:26	5	64.7	66.7	60.7	66.7	64.7*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:31	5	63.5	65.7	60.7	67.5	63.5*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:36	5	63.0	64.7	60.2	67.3	63.0*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:41	5	65.0	67.7	60.7	67.4	65.0*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:46	5	62.6	64.2	60.2	67.8	62.6*	70.0
9-Nov-08	9:51	5	63.2	64.7	60.7	67.4	63.2*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:13	5	63.1	64.7	60.7	66.6	63.1*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:18	5	63.4	65.2	61.2	66.5	63.4*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:23	5	63.2	64.7	60.7	66.7	63.2*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:28	5	63.3	64.7	61.2	67.6	63.3*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:33	5	65.3	67.2	61.2	66.6	65.3*	70.0
16-Nov-08	11:38	5	63.6	65.7	60.7	66.3	63.6*	70.0
23-Nov-08	15:57	5	62.6	64.2	60.2	65.5	62.6*	70.0
23-Nov-08	16:02	5	63.2	64.7	60.7	66.0	63.2*	70.0
23-Nov-08	16:07	5	62.1	63.7	59.7	66.2	62.1*	70.0
23-Nov-08	16:12	5	63.5	66.7	60.2	65.1	63.5*	70.0
23-Nov-08	16:17	5	62.9	64.7	60.2	65.1	62.9*	70.0
23-Nov-08	16:22	5	63.8	65.2	61.2	65.6	63.8*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

The Summary of Public Holiday Leg, Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)

The Summary of Public Holiday Led <sub>6</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Tung House (NSR 4)									
Date	Monitoring Time	Duration		asured Noise Le			Construction Noise Level	Limit Level	
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	dB(A)	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		
2-Nov-08	16:48	5	64.0	66.2	60.7	66.2	64.0*	70.0	
2-Nov-08	16:53	5	63.6	66.2	59.2	67.4	63.6*	70.0	
2-Nov-08	16:58	5	63.2	65.7	59.7	67.3	63.2*	70.0	
2-Nov-08	17:03	5	63.3	65.7	59.7	67.0	63.3*	70.0	
2-Nov-08	17:08	5	64.8	67.2	61.7	66.6	64.8*	70.0	
2-Nov-08	17:13	5	64.9	67.2	61.2	67.8	64.9*	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:25	5	64.5	66.7	61.2	66.9	64.5*	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:30	5	66.3	69.2	62.7	66.2	49.9	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:35	5	66.2	67.7	64.2	67.5	66.2*	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:40	5	65.7	67.2	63.7	67.4	65.7*	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:45	5	66.5	68.2	63.7	67.3	66.5*	70.0	
9-Nov-08	9:50	5	64.9	66.7	62.2	66.9	64.9*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:18	5	63.9	66.7	60.2	66.9	63.9*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:23	5	64.9	67.7	60.7	66.8	64.9*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:28	5	64.1	66.7	59.7	67.0	64.1*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:33	5	64.3	67.2	60.7	66.6	64.3*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:38	5	63.2	65.7	59.7	67.3	63.2*	70.0	
16-Nov-08	10:43	5	64.5	67.2	60.2	66.4	64.5*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:33	5	63.6	66.2	58.7	67.9	63.6*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:38	5	63.2	65.7	59.7	67.5	63.2*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:43	5	64.0	66.2	60.2	66.6	64.0*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:48	5	64.6	67.2	59.2	66.4	64.6*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:53	5	62.8	65.7	58.2	66.4	62.8*	70.0	
23-Nov-08	8:58	5	63.1	65.2	58.7	67.2	63.1*	70.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements


<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period


## Appendix N1

**Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour** 

## Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




## Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)




<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

## Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)




<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

## Appendix N2


**Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour** 

### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)



Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


# Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of Main Education Building (NSR2)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)





<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

# Appendix O1 Environmental Complaint Log Book

Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Investigation	Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
EC01	Referred to ET on 21-Jul-05.	HyD received the complaint on 19-Jul-05. (ICC case: 1- 61131774)	No complainant's details were available.	sand were deposited on Tsing Hung Road which may cause potential	An investigation was carried out by ET and ER, followed by separate site inspections by RSS, HyD, DCBJV and ET, it was concluded that the nuisances was caused by vehicles leaving the temporary car park next to the site of Phase 2b Project in Tsing Hung Road.	The complaint case was subsequently referred to DLO/TW&KT on 22-Jul-05 by HyD for further investigation with the car park operator and therefore the complaint was considered closed on 22-Jul-05.	
EC02	Referred to ET on 20-Dec-05.			The complainant was concerned with the amount of dust generated from the site and in particular from the construction of soil nail.	A joint complaint investigation was carried out amongst EPD, ET, ER and DCBJV on 20-Dec-05 and it was concluded that dust mitigation measures were adopted / provided for the soil nail operation at P2-SA6B. However, further dust control measures should be considered to further improve the current site situation to minimize the extent of dust impacts from other construction activities.  A follow up meeting was held amongst ET, ER and DCBJV on 21-Dec-05 and concluded that an additional 26 nos. water sprinklers within the site area (mainly at P2-SA6B) would be installed to further enhance the dust suppression measures on site and during this interim period (until these water sprinklers are installed), a total of 3 water bowsers would be deployed on site.	The installation of 26 numbers water sprinklers were completed on 28-Dec-05 at P2-SA6B, P2-SA6G (adjacent to Rambler Crest) and P2-SA13. Furthermore, DCBJV reported that two water bowsers would be deployed at these areas to reduce / eliminate the dust emission from their day-to-day operations and if deemed necessary, an additional water bowser would be available immediately to ensure the dust emission from the site activities would always keep to its minimum.  A joint inspection was carried out on 28-Dec-05 and IEC / ET / ER were satisfied with the additional air mitigation measures provided by DCBJV. In total, there are currently 43 water sprinklers installed on site to reduce / eliminate the dust emission from our day-to-day operations.	representative of EPD or 13-Jan-06 and EPD was in general satisfied with the remedial actions taken by DCBJV and the complaint was considere closed
EC03	HyD received a complaint on 24- Jan-06 and subsequently referred to ER on 24-Jan-06	23-Jan-06	Whampoa Property Management Limited (WPML) - Management Office of Rambler Crest	WPML claimed that dirty water was dripping from C1/D1 Bridge and droplets deposited onto vehicles passed under the bridge.	A detailed investigation by both ER and DCBJV and it was concluded that dirty water probably spilled down from the deck when it was raining heavily over the weekend of 21 and 22-Jan-06.	A layer of tarpaulin sheets was installed along the deck edges in question on 25-Jan-06 to avoid the reoccurrence of the same issue.	No dripping of dirty wate was observed according to the subsequent site inspections and the complaint was considere "completed and closed" on 27-Jan-06.

Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Investigation	Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
EC04	complaint on 16- Mar-06 and subsequently referred to ER and ETL on 16- Mar-06  R  Normaliable.  details were available.  normaliable.  normali		Road adjacent to Rambler Crest and	A detailed complaint investigation amongst RSS, ET and DCBJV was conducted and was able to confirm that only removal of temporary portal structures under Bridge C1/D1 at Tsing Hung Road was carried out by DCBJV after 23:00 hours on 15-Mar-06. The road closure and the removal of temporary portal structures under Bridge C1/D1 could only be conducted during restricted hours due to traffic conditions / restrictions and the removal of such temporary works were completed on 16-Mar-06 at 6:00am.  A relevant CNP (GW-RW0124-06) was in place for the mentioned works and the number of plants / equipment deployed during restricted hours was fully complied with the approved CNP. In addition, a notification of restricted hours construction work for the removal of temporary Portal Structure at Tsing Hung Road was issued by DCBJV to EPD on 8-Mar-06 in accordance with the approved CNP condition.	DCBJV was reminded to adopt all appropriate noise mitigiation measures to eliminate / limit the noise to be created during the execution of construction works in restricted hours.	The outcome of the investigation was conveyed to EPD on 17-Mar-06. On 20-Mar-06, a follow-up phone call was made to EPD and EPD was satisfied with the outcome of our investigation and confirmed that no further action was required for this particular complaint	
EC05	EPD received a complaint and subsequently referred to ETL and RSS on 3- May-06	3-May-06	No complainant's details were available.	The complainant was concerned with the numbers of power mechanical equipment (PME) in operation during Sundays and public holidays exceeded the corresponding numbers allowed in the appropriate valid construction noise permit (CNP) at P2-SA6B and P2-SA6G. The complainant also claimed that the excessive use of PME was not a one-off incident, but happened throughout the month of April 2006.	A detailed complaint investigation amongst RSS, ET and DCBJV was conducted and able to confirm that no excessive PME was used during Sundays and public holidays throughout the month of April 2006. Three valid CNPs (GW-RW0134-06, GW-RW0764-05 and GW-RW0140-06) were in place to cover the construction work in April 2006 during Sundays and public holidays.	their supervision control and restricted	The outcome of the site investigation was conveyed to EPD on 12-May-06 and a formal reply was issued to EPD on 13-May-06.

Case No		Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Investigation	Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
EC06	HyD received a complaint on 22- Jun-06 and subsequently referred to ER and ET on 22- Jun-06	22-Jun-06			06 and it was confirmed that the construction	DCBJV cleaned up the concerned area immediately for IVE as a goodwill gesture. A follow-up joint site inspection was conducted with the complainant on 23-Jun-06.	The complainant was satisfied with the cleaning work that DCBJV carried out and considered the current condition of the concerned area was satisfactory acceptable on 23-Jun-06.
EC07	EPD received a complaint on 10- Jul-06 and subsequently referred to ER and ET on 12- Jul-06		Vocational	The complaint regarding the emission of smoke from a generator located at area P2-SA6B (Tsing Yi Road) adjacent to the Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education – Tsing Yi (IVE)	concerned generator was observed emitting white smoke at the time. Although the concerned generator was turned off immediately during the joint investigation, remedial action had to be arranged by the Contractor.	The concerned generator was replaced by a new generator on 13-Jul-06 and it was relocated to a new position further away from IVE on 14-Jul-06. In addition, a noise barrier was also deployed and placed next to the new generator in order to minimize the nuisance further. Thus, there would be no direct line of sight of the new generator from IVE in the future. Follow-up site inspections were carried out by ET and RSS on 14 and 15-Jul-06 and it was noted that the new generator was operating / functioning in good condition and no white smoke was emitting from the new generator.	The outcome of the site investigation was conveyed to EPD on 14-Jul-06 and a formal reply was issued to EPD on 17-Jul-06.

Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Investigation	Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
E08	29-Dec-06	Not known	The complaint was received by EPD and forwarded to ET/RSS on 29-Dec-06.	uncovered or poorly covered when entering	mail from CEDD to HyD and subsequently referred to ET and RSS on 7-Dec-06 for investigation. After reviewing the records, an alarming high percentage of dump trucks (64 out of 160) were found without covering when entering into Tuen Mun Area 38 (TMA38), a joint investigation was carried out amongst ET, RSS and DCBJV and was subsequently concluded that most of the recorded incidents (49 nos.) were related to the delivery of either broken concrete or broken rock. Without an ancillary working platform, the drivers have had to climb over the broken rock or concrete when covering or uncovering their loads and as this may entail a risk of injury, thus, the	during the monthly environmental meeting on 7-Dec-06 and DCBJV agreed to provide proper covers for all dump trucks loaded with all types of C&D material before leaving the site. In order to ensure the covering would be secured and maintained throughout the journey to off-loading destinations, regular training has been provided for	Since the implementation of the strengthened trip ticket system procedure on 11-Dec-06, no dump trucks have been found to be uncovered when leaving the site. A comprehensive report was prepared by ETL and issued to EPD on 2-Jan-07.

Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Investigation	Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
						In order to further strengthen the current trip ticket system procedure, reminding notices have been given to each and every dump truck driver carrying C&D materials leaving the site and photographic records have also been taken for all dump trucks leaving the site since 11-Dec-06. Furthermore, surprise checks by ET, RSS and DCBJV have also been carried out to ensure compliance of dump trucks delivering C&D materials to TMA38 at least once a week since 11-Dec-06 and these additional measures would ensure the rules of proper transportation in particular the covering of dump trucks have been followed by sub-contractors at TMA38.	
E09	30-Nov-07	Not Known	Residents of Rambler Crest	The complaint was originally lodged by the residents of Rambler Crest complaining that excessive noise was generated from breaking of hard surface on the ramp in area P2-SA6G (next to Rambler Crest).	A detailed investigation was conducted on 30-Nov 08 and it was confirmed that the mentioned work was carried out during normal working hours (0700 – 1900 hours) and no non-compliance was noted. In addition, no noise exceedances were recorded at the designated noise monitoring locations since the commencement of construction work for the Phase 2b Contract.	and no remedial action was therefore	The details of this complaint were forwarded to HyD 30-Nov-07.


Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint		Follow-up Action / Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status/Remarks
E10	29-Apr-08	28-Apr-08	Residents of Rambler Crest	The complaint was originally lodged by the residents of Rambler Crest complaining that the dust emission generated from the construction site (next to Rambler Crest).	main haul road near the Rambler Crest and all open stockpiles had been covered entirely by		An email regarding the details of the complaint was issued to HyD on 2-May-08. The complaint was considered "completed and closed" on 2-May-08.
E11	25-Sep-08	25-Sep-08	Residents of Rambler Crest	The complaint was originally lodged by the residents of Rambler Crest regarding the potential dust emission generated from the exposed surface within the construction site.	September 2008 and it was found that the dust control measures provided on site should be further improved. Thus, additional water sprinklers had been installed along the main haul road near the Rambler Crest by DCBJV and the frequency of water spraying has been further increased to minimize the dust impact generated from site.	work near Rambler Crest (the asphalt	The complainant was satisfied with the outcome of the investigation. The complaint was considered completed and closed on 25th September 2008

## **Appendix O2**

**Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint** 

#### **Appendix O2 - Cumulative Statistics of Complaints**

Route 8 East Tsing Yi Viaduct



### Appendix P

**Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months** 

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 November 2008 and 28 December 2008

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
													29-Nov
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	1-Dec	24hrs-TSP	2-Dec	1hr-TSP	3-Dec		4-Dec		5-Dec		6-Dec
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	7-Dec	24hrs-TSP	8-Dec	1hr-TSP	9-Dec	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	10-Dec		11-Dec		12-Dec	24hrs-TSP	13-Dec
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	14-Dec	1hr-TSP	15-Dec		16-Dec		17-Dec	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	18-Dec	24hrs-TSP	19-Dec	1hr-TSP	20-Dec
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	22-Dec	24hrs-TSP	23-Dec	1hr-TSP	24-Dec		25-Dec		26-Dec		27-Dec
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	28-Dec												

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4

Noise Leg30 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Niight</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 December 2008 and 28 January 2009

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
		24hrs-TSP	29-Dec	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	31-Dec		1-Jan		2-Jan	24hrs-TSP	3-Jan
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	4-Jan	1hr-TSP	5-Jan		6-Jan		7-Jan	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	8-Jan	24hrs-TSP	9-Jan	1hr-TSP	10-Jan
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	11-Jan	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	12-Jan	24hrs-TSP	13-Jan	1hr-TSP	14-Jan		15-Jan		16-Jan	24hrs-TSP	17-Jan
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	18-Jan	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	20-Jan		21-Jan		22-Jan	24hrs-TSP	23-Jan	1hr-TSP	24-Jan
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	25-Jan		26-Jan		27-Jan		28-Jan						

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4

Noise Leq30 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise\_{P.H.} 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 January 2009 and 28 February 2009

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
								24hrs-TSP	29-Jan	1hr-TSP	30-Jan	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	31-Jan
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	1-Feb			Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	3-Feb	24hrs-TSP	4-Feb	1hr-TSP	5-Feb		6-Feb		7-Feb
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	8-Feb	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	9-Feb	24hrs-TSP	10-Feb	1hr-TSP	11-Feb		12-Feb		13-Feb		14-Feb
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	15-Feb	24hrs-TSP	16-Feb	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	18-Feb		19-Feb		20-Feb	24hrs-TSP	21-Feb
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	22-Feb	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	24-Feb		25-Feb		26-Feb	24hrs-TSP	27-Feb	1hr-TSP	28-Feb

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR4

Leq30 measurement at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900. Noise

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).  $\mathsf{Noise}_{\mathsf{Night}}$ 4 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken). Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1 to NSR4 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

# Appendix Q

**Photographic Records of Implemented Measures** 

# Appendix Q Photographical Records of Implemented Measures

Photo 01 (area P2-SA6G (next to Rambler Crest))



Photo 03 (area P2-SA6B (Tsing Yi Road))



Photo 05 (area P2-SA6E)



Photo 02 (area P2-SA6B (Gate 4))



Photo 04 (area P2-SA6B (next to Gate 4))



Photo 06 (area P2-SA6E)



# Appendix R

Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

#### Route 8 Contract No. HY/2004/02 - East Tsing Yi Viaduct Summary of Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

Item	Nature of Permits/License	Date of issue of Permits/License	Permit/License No.	Remark
1	Environmental Permit	26/09/2002	EP-085/2000/E	Valid
2	Registration as a Waste Producer	3/16/2005 (EP760/350/009539I1)	WPN 5213-350-D2350-01	Valid
3	Effluent Discharge License	4/21/2005 ((18) in EP760/350/009839I)	EP760/269/009539I (until 30/04/2010)	For the whole site area
4	Construction Noise Permit	6/13/2008 ((4) in EP731/N03/RW0276-08)		For P2-SA6B, SA6E, SA6F, SA7, SA8, SA8A SA8B and SA8D: until 23:00