

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT

Highways Department

Route 8  
Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan  
Phase 3  
Stonecutters Bridge

*Monthly Environmental  
Monitoring & Audit Report  
(29<sup>th</sup> April 2009 – 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009)*

**EP – 085/2000/E**  
**Route 8 Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan**  
**Phase 3**  
**Stonecutters Bridge:**

**Monthly Environmental**  
**Monitoring & Audit Report**  
**(29<sup>th</sup> April 2009 – 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009)**

Certified by the Environmental Team Leader

Signed:  Date: 10 June 2009  
Ms. Natalie Kwok

Verified by the Independent Environmental Checker

Signed:  Date: 10-Jun-09  
Mr. Y T Tang

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Report .....	1
1.2 Structure of the Report.....	1
<b>2 PROJECT INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Background.....	2
2.2 Site Description.....	2
2.3 Project Organisation .....	2
2.4 Project Work Programme .....	2
<b>3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Air Quality .....	3
3.2 Noise Quality .....	5
<b>4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses .....	7
<b>6 MONITORING RESULTS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1 Air Quality .....	8
6.2 Noise .....	9
<b>7 AUDIT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
7.1 Air Quality .....	11
7.2 Noise .....	11
7.3 Water Quality.....	11
7.4 Waste Management.....	12
7.5 Site Audits / Inspections .....	13
<b>8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance .....	13
8.2 Summary of Complaints .....	14
8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions .....	14
<b>9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS) .....</b>	<b>14</b>
9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS.....	14
9.2 Audit Results.....	14
<b>10 FUTURE KEY ISSUES .....</b>	<b>15</b>
10.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month .....	15
10.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months.....	15
<b>11 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>16</b>
11.1 Conclusions.....	16
11.2 Recommendations.....	16

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)
Table 2.2	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)
Table 3.1	TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency
Table 3.2	TSP Monitoring Locations
Table 3.3	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.4	Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters
Table 3.5	Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.6	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 6.1	Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.2	Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.3	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring
Table 6.4	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring
Table 7.1	Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period
Table 8.1	Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period
Table 8.2	Summary of Total Complaint Received

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Site Location Plan
Appendix B	Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details
Appendix C	Three Months Rolling Programme
Appendix D1	Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality
Appendix D2	Action/Limit Levels for Noise
Appendix E	Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period
Appendix F	Locations of Monitoring Stations
Appendix G1	Calibration Certificates for HVS
Appendix G2	Not used
Appendix G3	Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator
Appendix G4	Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator
Appendix G5	Certificate of HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
Appendix H1	Event/Action Plan for Air Quality
Appendix H2	Event/Action Plan for Noise
Appendix I	Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements
Appendix J	1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix K	Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix L	Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring
Appendix M1	Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix M2	Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix N1	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix N2	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix O1	Environmental Complaint Log Book
Appendix O2	Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint
Appendix P	Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months
Appendix Q	Photographic Records of Implemented Measures
Appendix R	Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

---

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- ES 1 An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.
- ES 2 This is the 59<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for “Phase 3 – Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)”. The construction commencement of this Contract was on 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2004 and this report presents the results of the EM&A works conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> April 2009 and 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009 in accordance with the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report. (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- ES 3 The major construction activities carried out during normal hours are as follows: -
- i. Access to Tower (Western and Eastern Tower Site)
  - ii. Steel deck and stay cable works – (Western and Eastern Tower Site)
  - iii. Steel deck finishing work
  - iv. Roads and utilities construction (Eastern Tower Site)
  - v. E&M works
  - vi. Demolition of uploading platform
- ES 4 The major construction activities carried out during restricted hours are as follows:-
- i. Tower and steel deck construction (Eastern and Western Tower Site – evening, night-time and public holidays)
- ES 5 Monitoring of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and noise were carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Weekly site inspections were conducted by ET on 6<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> May 2009 and the joint IEC monthly audit was conducted on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2009.

**Air Quality**

- ES 6 A total of 75 sets of 1 hour TSP and 29 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations (ASR1 to ASR5) during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.

**Noise**

- ES 7 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) from this Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities.

*Daytime Monitoring*

- ES 8 A total of 20 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were undertaken in daytime (0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at all monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Evening-time Monitoring*

- ES 9 A total of 20 sets of  $6 \times L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken in evening-time (1900 to 2300 hours on normal weekdays) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Night-time Monitoring*

- ES 10 A total of 20 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken in night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Public Holidays Monitoring*

- ES 11 A total of 20 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken during public holidays at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

**Water Quality**

- ES 12 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- ES 13 In accordance with the approved licenses' conditions, water sampling is required on a bi-monthly basis. One water sample was taken for CT9 site area by MHYHJV on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.
- ES 14 One water sample was taken on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2009 at CT8 site area. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results will be reported in coming EM&A monthly report. The next sampling is scheduled for May 2009 for CT9 site area.

**Waste Management**

- ES 15 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.
- ES 16 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 36 m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.
- ES 17 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, all inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, a total of 1,622 m<sup>3</sup> of public fill were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.
- ES 18 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to TW/98/02 – Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512 m<sup>3</sup> (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.
- ES 19 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to HY/2000/21 – Phase 1 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct for re-usage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004 m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) were delivered to HY/2000/21.
- ES 20 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)” for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138 m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- ES 21 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to “Ampliação Do Novo Terminal Marítimo Da Taipa” Project in Macau by a derrick barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.
- ES 22 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- ES 23 800L of spent lube oil was disposed as chemical waste during the reporting period.

**Site Inspections**

ES 24 ET carried out weekly site inspections during the reporting period and the major issues identified on site are presented below:

Item	Findings	MHYHJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1	The waste skip on the deck at area P3-SA5A was full and MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly.	The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site inspection.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 01).
2	MHYHJV was reminded to replace the damaged geo-textiles for the existing gullies at area P3-SA6.	The damaged geo-textile had been replaced after the site inspection.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 02).
3	Oil stain on the ground was observed at area P3-SA5. MHYHJV was reminded to clean up all contaminated material as chemical waste.	All contaminated material had been cleared and handled as chemical waste.	Completed and closed.

ES 25 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2009 and one general reminder was recorded and presented as follows:-

Item	Findings	MHYHJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1	The waste skip on the deck at area P3-SA5A was full and MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly.	The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 01).

**EPD Audits**

ES 26 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.

**Environmental Licenses and Permits**

ES 27 The following permits / licenses have been granted by EPD for the construction of the Phase 3 Contract and they are:-

- i. Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E)
- ii. Chemical Waste Producer Registration (5213-350-M2640-01)
- iii. 2 Effluent Discharge Licences (EP760/269/009124I and EP760/350/008933I)
- iv. Licence for the conduct a Tar and Bitumen Works (Mastic Asphalt Plant) (L-15-033(1))
- v. 5 Construction Noise Permits

**Environmental Complaints**

ES 28 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting month.

**Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions**

ES 29 Since the commencement of construction, no notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for this Contract.

**Future Key Issues**

ES 30 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming three months, i.e. June 2009 to August 2009 are summarized as follows:

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
Tower and steel deck finishing work; Roads and utilities construction	Air impact (dust)	i) Frequent watering (or remove dusty material) of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; ii) Frequent watering or covering open stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and iii) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality impact (construction effluent and surface run-off)	i) Diversion of collected effluent to adequate de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; ii) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluent prior to discharge; and iii) Provision of perimeter protection such as perimeter channel.
	Noise Impact	i) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; ii) Controlling the number of plants use on site; iii) Regular maintenance of machinery; and iv) Use of acoustic barriers if deemed necessary.

### Route 8 - Traffic Control and Surveillance System (TCSS)

- ES 31 The construction of the “Route 8 – Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)” (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV).
- ES 32 The construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 - Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) and Phase 2a Contract (Route 8 Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007 and 25<sup>th</sup> October 2006 respectively. Since the no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for both Phase 1 and Phase 2a Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1 and Phase 2a would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.
- ES 33 A joint site audit amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV was carried out on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2009. No adverse comments were raised by ET, IEC and RSS.



# 1 INTRODUCTION

An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.

## 1.1 Purpose of the Report

This is the 59<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the “Phase 3 - Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)” (hereafter known as the “Phase 3 Contract”). This report presents the results of the EM&A programme conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> April 2009 and 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009 in accordance with the Environmental Permit EP-085/2000/E and the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).

## 1.2 Structure of the Report

The structure of the report is as follows:

- Section 1: **INTRODUCTION** – details the scope and structure of the report.
- Section 2: **PROJECT INFORMATION** – summarizes the background and scope of the project, project organization, construction programme and the construction works undertaken during the reporting period.
- Section 3: **ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the monitoring programmes, Action and Limit Levels, Event Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA Report and relevant environmental requirements.
- Section 4: **IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
- Section 5: **ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCE AND PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the environmental licences and permits obtained or being applied during the reporting period.
- Section 6: **MONITORING RESULTS** – reports the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 7: **AUDIT RESULTS** – summarizes the audit findings in the reporting period.
- Section 8: **COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD** – summarizes the complaints, notifications of summons and prosecutions recorded during the reporting period.
- Section 9: **ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM**
- Section 10: **FUTURE KEY ISSUES** – summarizes the future key issues as reviewed from the works programme and work method statements.
- Section 11: **RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

## 2 PROJECT INFORMATION

### 2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Ltd (Arup) was awarded the Design and Construction Consultancy Assignment No. CE61/2000 “Stonecutters Bridge Design and Construction Assignment”.
- 2.1.2 The construction of the Phase 3 Contract was awarded to Maeda-Hitachi-Yogogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture (MHYHJV) on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2004 and is scheduled to be substantially complete in mid 2009.
- 2.1.3 The Construction Works under the Phase 3 Contract involves a cable-stayed bridge of 1.6km long with 1,018m main span and 290m high mono towers. It will span across the Rambler Channel between the Kwai Chung Container Terminal 8 (CT8) at Stonecutters Island and Container Terminal 9 (CT9) at the east side of Tsing Yi.

### 2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The Phase 3 Contract has two distinct sites; namely the Eastern Tower site which is located on the Stonecutters Island and the Western Tower site locates on the east side of Tsing Yi Island adjacent to CT9.
- 2.2.2 Five sensitive receivers have been identified for the Phase 3 Contract in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the EIA. Two monitoring stations are located at the Tsing Yi Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education (IVE) in the Main Education Building and Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence, one at Mayfair Gardens, one at Cheung Ching Estate and one at the DSD Pumping Station located adjacent to the Container’s Port Road in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military base at the Stonecutters Island. The site location plan and the monitoring locations are presented in *Appendix A* and *F* respectively.

### 2.3 Project Organisation

- 2.3.1 The Phase 3 Contract organization chart and contact details are shown in *Appendix B*.

### 2.4 Project Work Programme

- 2.4.1 The Phase 3 Contract’s Three Months Rolling Programme is presented in *Appendix C*. The major site activities undertaken during the normal hours and restricted hours during the reporting period are summarized in *Table 2.1* and *Table 2.2* respectively.

**Table 2.1 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)**

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site)	Access to Tower, steel deck finishing work
P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site)	Access to Tower, steel deck finishing work
P3-SA6 (Eastern Tower Site)	Roads and utilities construction.
P3-SA5A	Demolition and reinstatement of uploading platform

**Table 2.2 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)**

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 & SA5 (Eastern & Western Tower Site)	Tower and steel deck construction (evening, night-time and public holidays)

### 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 Air Quality

##### *Monitoring Requirements*

- 3.1.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) are required to be conducted to monitor the construction dust impact. The established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works are presented in *Appendix D1*.

##### *Monitoring Frequency and Schedule*

- 3.1.2 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in *Table 3.1*. The monitoring schedule for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix E*.

**Table 3.1 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency**

Parameters	Duration / hour	Frequency
24-hour TSP	24	Once Every Six Days
1-hour TSP	1	Three Times Every Six Days

##### *Monitoring Locations*

- 3.1.3 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five air quality monitoring locations were selected for the Phase 3 Contract and they are listed in *Table 3.2* and presented in *Appendix F*.

**Table 3.2 TSP Monitoring Locations**

Location I.D.	Description
ASR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence
ASR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building
ASR3	Mayfair Gardens 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool
ASR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)
ASR5	DSD Pumping Station G/F, in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military Base

- 3.1.4 All meteorological data was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website.

**Monitoring Equipment**

- 3.1.5 Continuous 24-hour and 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using a TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc. High Volume Sampler (HVS), which was installed at the monitoring stations. The sampler composed of a motor, filter holder, flow controller and a sampling inlet. Its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Details of the monitoring equipment are given in **Table 3.3**. A copy of the calibration certificate for the HVS and wind data monitor is attached in **Appendix G1**.

**Table 3.3 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model	Qty.
HVS	TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc.	5
Calibrator	TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc.	1

**Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details**

- 3.1.6 Calibration Procedures - Calibration procedures of HVS are as follows (calibration certificates are presented in **Appendix G3**) :
- i. A certified orifice transfer standard with a calibration curve was used for the calibration.
  - ii. The transfer standard was connected to the inlet of the sampler. The orifice manometer was then connected to the orifice pressure port. The manometer's connecting tubing was inspected to make sure that there are no leaks between the orifice unit and the sampler.
  - iii. The motor was then disconnected from the flow controller and plugged directly to an AC power source.
  - iv. The ambient temperature,  $T_a$  (K) and the barometer pressure  $P_a$  (mmHg) were obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website for TSP calculation.
  - v. The sampler was allowed to run for at least 2 minutes to re-establish the run temperature conditions. The pressure drop across the orifice and the well-type manometer reading was recorded during calibration. The variable resistance was adjusted to repeat recording for four different flow rates.
  - vi. The best fit straight line was determined by linear regression and the slope ( $m_1$ ), intercept ( $b_1$ ) and correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) are then determined.
- 3.1.7 Operating/Analytical Procedure
- i. The flow rate of the high volume sampler was set to about  $1.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$  -  $1.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$  prior to commencement of the dust sampling in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
  - ii. The samplers was located such that:
    - a. the filter was about 1.3 meters above ground.
    - b. it was greater than 20 meters away from trees.
    - c. it was separated from any obstacle by at least twice the height of the obstacle protruding above the sampler.
    - d. it has unrestricted airflow  $270^\circ$  around the sampler.
  - iii. Fiberglass filters were used for TSP sampling (G810) [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of  $> 99\%$  for particles of 0.3 mm diameter.
  - iv. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment has a temperature setting between  $25^\circ\text{C}$  and

- 30°C and should not vary by more than  $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; the relative humidity was  $< 50\%$  and should not vary by more than  $\pm 5\%$ .
- v. A new filter was placed with stamped number upward on a supporting screen.
  - vi. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
  - vii. Shelter lid closed and catch secured with the aluminum strip.
  - viii. The sampler was then allowed to run for at least 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
  - ix. The flow indicator reading was recorded and the sampler flow rate was determined.
  - x. The programmable timer was set and the starting sampling time, weather condition and the filter number was recorded.
  - xi. At the end of sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded. A copy of the HOKLAS Certificate is attached in **Appendix G5**.
  - xii. Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a desiccator for 24 hours with temperature of  $25^{\circ}\text{C}\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$  and the relative humidity (RH)  $50\%\pm 5\%$ , preferably 40%.

### 3.1.8 Maintenance

- i. The high volume sampler and their accessories were maintained in good working condition, include replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure continuous power supply.
- ii. The high volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc. Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### ***Event/Action Plan***

- 3.1.9 The Event/Action Plan for Air Quality is presented in **Appendix H1**.

## **3.2 Noise Quality**

#### ***Monitoring Requirements***

- 3.2.1 According to the field study, the noise generated from the major roads (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road) was noticeable at noise monitoring stations and therefore the major roads were considered as an influencing factor of the noise sensitive receivers.
- 3.2.2 As the noise monitoring stations are located at urban area and directly affected by this Influencing Factor (IF), the Area Sensitivity Rating of the noise monitoring stations is considered to be “C” according to the **Table 1** of the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling under Noise Pollution Control Ordinance.
- 3.2.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at five monitoring stations to monitor the construction noise impact from the Phase 3 Contract. **Appendix D2** presents the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

**Monitoring Frequency and Schedule**

- 3.2.4 The monitoring schedule is presented in **Appendix E** and the frequency and parameters of noise measurement are summarized in **Table 3.4**.

**Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters**

Time Period	Duration / min.	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime (0700 to 1900)	30 (6 consecutive L <sub>eq</sub> (5min) in average)	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Once per week
*Evening (1900 to 2300)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week
*Night (2300 to 0700 next day)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Four times per week
*Holiday (0700-1900 on holidays)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week

\* Restricted hour noise monitoring: to be conducted only when there is construction work under valid CNP.

**Monitoring Locations**

- 3.2.5 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five noise monitoring locations (as detailed in **Table 3.5** and presented in **Appendix F**) were selected for noise measurement.

**Table 3.5 Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations**

Location I.D.	Description	Type of measurement
NSR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence	Free Field
NSR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building	Free Field
NSR3	Mayfair Gardens, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool	Free Field
NSR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (22/F)	Free Field
NSR5	DSD Pumping Station (in the proximity of Stonecutters Military Base)	Free Field

**Monitoring Equipment**

- 3.2.6 Integrating Sound Level Meters were used for noise monitoring which were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq) and percentile sound pressure level (Lx). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). Also, a portable electronic wind speed indicator capable of measuring wind speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. **Table 3.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment required.

**Table 3.6 Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	30, Pulsar; 2236 and 2238 B&K
Calibrator	100B, Pulsar; 4231 B&K
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	PWM2, Dwyer

### ***Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details***

#### 3.2.7 Field Monitoring

- i. The microphone of the Sound Level Meter (with weatherproof kit) was mounted on a tripod at a height of 2m above ground level.
- ii. For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
- iii. AC power supply was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- iv. Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - a. frequency weighting : A
  - b. time weighting : Fast
  - c. time measurement : 30 minutes / 5 minutes
- v. Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- vi. The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- vii. At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$  and  $L_{10}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- viii. Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- ix. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

#### 3.2.8 Maintenance and Calibration

- i. The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- ii. The meter was sent to the supplier to check and calibrate yearly.
- iii. Calibration certificates are presented in ***Appendix G4***

#### 3.2.9 Event/Action Plan

The Event/Action Plan for Noise impact is presented in ***Appendix H2***.

## **4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS**

MHYHJV has implemented a series of environmental mitigation measures to fulfill requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status during the reporting period is summarized in ***Appendix I***.

## **5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS**

### **5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses**

- 5.1.1 The status of permits, licenses and EPD notifications for all relevant environmental issues of the Phase 3 Contract for the reporting period is presented in ***Appendix R***.

## 6 MONITORING RESULTS

### 6.1 Air Quality

6.1.1 The 1-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at five monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured 1-hour TSP levels is given in *Table 6.1*. Graphical presentations of the 1-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of 1-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*. Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory for ASR1 to ASR4 and the weather station at the Stonecutters Island for ASR5.

**Table 6.1 Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results**

Location I.D.	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	Range	Mean		
ASR1	76.9 – 191.3	127.9	350	500
ASR2	71.9 – 176.8	120.0	350	500
ASR3	56.5 – 131.1	102.5	350	500
ASR4	65.2 – 151.8	105.1	350	500
ASR5	106.3 – 180.8	132.1	324	500

6.1.2 The 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at five monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured results is given in *Table 6.2*. Graphical presentation of the results and the trend of 24-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*.

**Table 6.2 Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results**

Location I.D.	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	Range	Mean		
ASR1	42.1 – 82.3	60.1	174.0	260
ASR2	32.8 – 71.4	51.4	185.5	260
ASR3	31.8 – 69.9	54.4	200.0	260
ASR4	33.1 – 66.6	52.8	192.0	260
ASR5	36.9 – 62.6	52.5	178.0	260

6.1.3 No exceedances of the Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP were recorded during the reporting period. The wind data monitoring results recorded during the reporting period are summarized in *Appendix L*.

#### 6.1.4 Observations

Several significant dust sources were identified during the reporting period and they were mainly contributed by the following activities:

- i. On site traffic;
- ii. Roads and utilities construction; and
- iii. Vehicular emission from local traffic network.



## 6.2 Noise

6.2.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract’s EM&A Manual, all noise monitoring were carried out in the absence of fog, rain and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gust exceeding 10m/s. Furthermore, an additional 3dB(A) façade correction for free field measurements were made for all monitoring locations.

6.2.2 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) from Phase 3 Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities. No adjustments will be made on the measured noise levels, if they were lowered or equal to the corresponding baseline levels.

### 6.2.3 Normal Hour Monitoring

Daytime noise monitoring was carried out at all noise monitoring stations during the reporting period. All corrected noise levels are presented in *Appendix M1*. A summary of the results is given in *Table 6.3*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of noise monitoring results are shown in *Appendix N1*.

**Table 6.3 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring**

Daytime 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> , dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq</sub> (30min)	L <sub>10</sub> (30min)	L <sub>90</sub> (30min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (30min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (30min)
NSR1	63.0 – 66.9	64.0 – 69.5	61.3 – 65.6	50.4 <sup>3</sup>	75
NSR2 <sup>2</sup>	63.2 – 64.4	64.0 – 65.5	61.9 – 63.0	– <sup>4</sup>	70
NSR3	64.8 – 65.7	66.7 – 67.8	62.3 – 63.4	– <sup>4</sup>	75
NSR4	63.5 – 64.7	65.8 – 66.8	60.3 – 61.6	– <sup>4</sup>	75
NSR5	69.5 – 70.6	71.5 – 73.3	65.6 – 66.4	– <sup>4</sup>	75

1 Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

2 Limit Level is reduced to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during examination periods. No examinations were carried out during the reporting period.

3 No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

4 No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level.

### 6.2.4 Observations

The major noise source(s) identified at the NSRs during the normal hour monitoring were dominated by local traffic noise (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road), in particular container trucks.

## 6.2.5 Restricted Hour Monitoring

Construction works were carried out at site areas P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site) and P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site) during evening time, night-time and public holidays. Noise monitoring was carried out at all monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) during evening-time (1900 – 2300 hours), night time (2300-0700 hours next day) and public-holidays (0700 – 1900 hours). All measured noise levels are presented in *Appendix M2* and a summary of the results is given in *Table 6.4*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the Reporting period is shown in *Appendix N2*.

**Table 6.4 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring**

Evening-time 1900-2300 hrs	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>10</sub> (5min)	L <sub>90</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)
NSR1	58.2 – 63.4	59.5 – 65.5	56.0 – 62.5	46.7 – 59.3 <sup>2</sup>	70
NSR2	61.1 – 62.8	62.0 – 64.0	59.5 – 61.5	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR3	61.1 – 65.0	62.6 – 67.4	59.4 – 62.1	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR4	61.1 – 65.0	63.4 – 68.4	57.2 – 60.1	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR5	68.6 – 71.1	71.2 – 74.3	64.0 – 67.1	– <sup>3</sup>	70
Night-time 2300 – 0700 hrs next day	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>10</sub> (5min)	L <sub>90</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)
NSR1	55.9 – 60.1	57.0 – 63.5	54.0 – 58.0	50.0 – 55.0 <sup>2</sup>	55
NSR2	58.7 – 61.1	59.5 – 62.5	57.5 – 59.5	46.9 – 53.8 <sup>2</sup>	55
NSR3	58.9 – 62.5	61.1 – 65.1	55.7 – 59.8	45.9 <sup>2</sup>	55
NSR4	59.4 – 62.9	62.3 – 66.2	54.3 – 58.0	– <sup>3</sup>	55
NSR5	65.8 – 67.3	68.1 – 71.3	61.8 – 63.9	– <sup>3</sup>	55
Public Holiday 0700-1900 hrs	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>10</sub> (5min)	L <sub>90</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)	L <sub>eq</sub> (5min)
NSR1	56.5 – 62.9	57.5 – 66.0	54.5 – 60.0	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR2	59.1 – 64.8	60.0 – 66.4	57.5 – 63.5	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR3	61.5 – 65.2	63.0 – 66.7	58.7 – 63.8	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR4	61.0 – 63.5	63.1 – 66.3	56.4 – 59.8	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR5	69.5 – 71.5	71.4 – 73.8	64.0 – 68.3	– <sup>3</sup>	70

1 Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

2 No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

3 No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level.

## 6.2.6 Observations

The major noise sources during the restricted hour monitoring were dominated by the operation of CT9 and local traffic noise (Container Port Road and Tsing Yi Road) and in particular container trucks.

## **7 AUDIT RESULTS**

### **7.1 Air Quality**

- 7.1.1 For 1-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 75 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.
- 7.1.2 For 24-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 29 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

### **7.2 Noise**

- 7.2.1 A total of 20 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were carried out during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at all monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.2 A total of 20 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.3 A total of 20 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during night-time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.4 A total of 20 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during public holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

### **7.3 Water Quality**

- 7.3.1 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- 7.3.2 In accordance with the approved licenses' conditions, water sampling is required on a bi-monthly basis. One water sample was taken for CT8 site area by MHYHJV on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2009. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.
- 7.3.3 One water sample was taken on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009 at CT9 site area. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results will be reported in coming EM&A monthly report. The next sampling is scheduled for May 2009 for CT8 site area.

**7.4 Waste Management**

- 7.4.1 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.
- 7.4.2 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 36m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.
- 7.4.3 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, a total of 1,622m<sup>3</sup> of public fill were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.
- 7.4.4 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok (TW/98/02)” for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512m<sup>3</sup> (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.
- 7.4.5 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Route 8 - Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct (HY/2000/21)” for re-usage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to HY/2000/21.
- 7.4.6 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)” for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- 7.4.7 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to “Ampliacao Do Novo Terminal Maritimo Da Taipa” Project in Macau by a derrick barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.
- 7.4.8 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- 7.4.9 The quantities of different waste and their handling are summarized in *Table 7.1*.

**Table 7.1 Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period**

Material Type		Handling Method	Handling Quantities in the reporting period	Temporary Storage Locations On-site (if applicable)
C&D material	Public Fill	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	1,622 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
	Broken Concrete	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	N/A	N/A
	C&D Waste	To be recycled (paper & plastic)	N/A	P3-SA2 and P3-SA5 Contractor's Office
To be recycled (metal)		110,000 kg	N/A	
General Refuse		Collected by licensed collector for disposal to WENT	36 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
Chemical waste		Collected by licensed chemical waste collector	800L (Spent Lube Oil)	Western Tower & Eastern Tower Site

## 7.5 Site Audits / Inspections

Photographic records provided by MHYHJV for their mitigation measures taken to rectify the deficiencies identified on site are presented in *Appendix Q*.

### 7.5.1 Environmental Team Site Inspections

Weekly site inspections were conducted by the ET during the reporting period and the major findings and MHYHJV's proposed / implemented corrective and preventive measures are summarized as follows:

- i. The waste skip on the deck at area P3-SA5A was full and MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly.

*Corrective and Preventive Actions* – The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Completed and closed. (Please refer *Appendix Q* Photo 01).

- ii. MHYHJV was reminded to replace the damaged geo-textiles for the existing gullies at area P3-SA6.

*Corrective and Preventive Actions* – The damaged geo-textile had been replaced after the site inspection. Completed and closed. (Please refer *Appendix Q* Photo 02).

- iii. Oil stain on the ground was observed at area P3-SA5. MHYHJV was reminded to clean up all contaminated material as chemical waste.

*Corrective and Preventive Actions* – All contaminated material had been cleared and handled as chemical waste. .

### 7.5.2 Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Site Audits

The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2009. One general reminder was recorded and presented as follows:

- i. The waste skip on the deck at area P3-SA5A was full and MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly.

*Corrective and Preventive Actions* – The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Completed and closed. (Please refer *Appendix Q* Photo 01).

### 7.5.3 Environmental Protection Department (EPD) Site Inspections

No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.

## 8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS

### 8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

- 8.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedances were recorded in this reporting period and they are

## 8.1.2 Table 8.1 Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period

Media/ Nature	No. of Exceedance		Action Taken	Results of Action Taken	Remarks
	Action Level	Limit Level			
Air Quality	0	0	-	-	-
Noise	0	0	-	-	-

## 8.2 Summary of Complaints

8.2.1 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting month. The summary for all the complaints received since the commencement of the Phase 3 Contract is presented in **Table 8.2**. The details of previous complaints and statistics are attached in **Appendices O1** and **O2** respectively.

**Table 8.2 Summary of Total Complaint Received**

Total No. of Complaint Received	No. of complaint received within reporting period	No. of Active Complaint	No. of Inactive/Closed Complaint
1	0	0	1

## 8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions

8.3.1 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction.

## 9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)

### 9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS

9.1.1 The construction of the “Route 8 – Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)” (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV) and the construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007.

9.1.2 The construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 - Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) and Phase 2a Contract (Route 8 Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007 and 25<sup>th</sup> October 2006 respectively. Since the no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for both Phase 1 and Phase 2a Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1 and Phase 2a would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.

### 9.2 Audit Results

9.2.1 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.

9.2.2 DIGJV reported that no C&D materials were disposed off site to designated public filling area during the reporting period.

## **10 FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

### **10.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month**

10.1.1 Works to be taken for the coming monitoring period will be similar to the previous month as follows:

- i. Assess to Tower
- ii. Steel decks finishing work
- iii. Road and utilities construction

10.1.2 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, noise, site run-off and waste. However, with the implementation of the following mitigation measures, potential impacts to the surrounding sensitive receivers could be minimized.

10.1.3 Construction Dust

- i. frequently watering of haul road and unpaved areas;
- ii. prohibition of open burning on site;
- iii. investigation of other dust sources near air sensitive receivers;
- iv. regularly watering or covering of open areas and stockpiles with tarpaulin;
- v. hydro-seeding or covering inactive sand fill areas with impervious sheeting if necessary;
- vi. frequently watering during concrete breaking operation;
- vii. switching off vehicles and equipment while not in use; and
- viii. regular maintenance of onsite machinery and vehicles.

10.1.4 Construction Noise

- i. identification of noise sources arising within and outside work site; and
- ii. provision of noise barriers when necessary.

10.1.5 Construction Run-off

- i. identification of sources of run-off from site;
- ii. provision of sandbags/bunds/channels to direct run-off to silt/sand removal facilities;
- iii. avoidance of direct discharge of wastewater into storm water drainage; and
- iv. provision of treatment of wastewater and run-off prior to discharge.

10.1.6 Construction Waste Management

- i. avoidance of accumulation of construction waste materials and/or general refuse on site;
- ii. segregation of C&D waste;
- iii. collection of chemical waste or oil and disposal of chemical waste in accordance with relevant regulations;
- iv. regularly removing of waste materials on site; and
- v. every dump truck should be properly covered before leaving site.

### **10.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months**

The tentative schedules for dust and noise monitoring for the next three months are attached in *Appendix P*.

## **11 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

### **11.1 Conclusions**

- 11.1.1 This Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 29<sup>th</sup> April 2009 to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009 in accordance with EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- 11.1.2 A total of 75 sets of 1 hour TSP and 29 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.
- 11.1.3 A total of 20 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.4 A total of 20 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) were carried out at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.5 A total of 20 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurement during night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) were carried out at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.6 A total of 20 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements during public-holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.7 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting period.
- 11.1.8 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction works.
- 11.1.9 ET and IEC audits were carried out in accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual and deficiencies identified were mainly related to protection of the existing drainage. MHYHJV had carried out immediate corrective / mitigation measures to rectify these issues.
- 11.1.10 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.
- 11.1.11 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 20<sup>nd</sup> May 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.

### **11.2 Recommendations**

According to the environmental audits undertaken during the reporting period, the following recommendations have been made:

- 11.2.1 Construction Dust
- i. Site access road and exposed areas should be watered regularly to ensure the soil surface is moist;
  - ii. Dusty areas should be watered frequently;



- iii. Open stockpiles should be covered properly by tarpaulin or similar fabric;
- iv. Concrete breaking works should be watered frequently; and
- v. Watering for any earth moving activities.

#### 11.2.2 Construction Noise

- i. The numbers of powered mechanical plant operating should not exceed the allowable plant number for each construction activity stated in the Construction Noise Permits;
- ii. Regular maintenance of machinery; and
- iii. Noisy equipment should be located as far as possible from the NSRs.



#### 11.2.3 Water Quality

- i. All surface run-off/wastewater should be diverted to appropriate water treatment facilities before discharge;
- ii. Sedimentation tanks/basins should have adequate capacity for settling surface runoff;
- iii. The condition of u-channel, catch pits and wheel washing facilities should be regularly maintained.
- iv. Vehicle and plant servicing area, wheel washing bay should be connected to storm drains via a petrol interceptor;
- v. Site hoarding should be tightly sealed at the bottom to prevent seepage of surface runoff from the site; and
- vi. Accumulation of water in drip trays and at chemical/fuel storage area should be avoided.

#### 11.2.4 Waste/Chemical Management

- i. Contaminated soil should be collected and disposed of as chemical waste;
- ii. All types of waste should be separated on site prior disposal;
- iii. All types of waste should be collected by licensed waste collectors; and
- iv. Good housekeeping should be implemented throughout the whole construction period.


**Appendix A**  
**Site Location Plan**




**NOTE:**  
CURRENT EXTENDED COMPLETION DATE OF WORKS: 29/12/2008

**LEGEND**

	AREA NOT YET HANDED OVER TO GOVERNMENT
	TARGET HANDOVER DATE TO GOVERNMENT
	AREA HANDED OVER TO GOVERNMENT
	ACTUAL HANDOVER DATE TO GOVERNMENT



<b>ARUP</b> <small>Ove Arup &amp; Partners HK Ltd</small>		
Job Title		
<b>Stonecutters Bridge</b>		
<b>Contract No. HY/2002/26</b>		
Sketch Title		
<b>GENERAL AND ALIGNMENT LAND RETURN PLAN HANDING BACK DATES</b>		
Sketch No.	ZK441	Rev. 3
Issue Date	Scale	1:10000 A3
Drawn	Checked	Approved
KHC	WL	RDML
Related Ref.:	Working Dwg. No.	N/A
Others	N/A	




6/1/2009  
Printer by : SCB  
Filename : Z:\Drafting\Draft Sketch\zk441\zk441-3.dgn

## **Appendix B**

### **Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details**

Contract No. HY/2002/26  
Route 8 Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge

Appendix B: Project's Environmental Organisational Chart and Contact Details



## **Appendix C**

### **Three-Month Rolling Programme**

Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Early Finish	% Comp	Total Float	2009						
							F	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
<b>PRELIMINARIES</b>													
<b>Project Dates &amp; Key Dates</b>													
<b>Key Dates</b>													
SC_KD06	KD-6 Achievement of Stage 6	0		24 APR 09A	100								
TCSS01	TCSS Access to all containment in Deck	0		24 APR 09A	100								
<b>+ Contractor's Submission &amp; Engineer's Approval</b>													
		2	02 APR 09A	03 APR 09A	100								
<b>+ EAST BACKSPAN</b>													
		189	19 DEC 08A	06 AUG 09	54	38							
<b>+ EAST TOWER</b>													
		383	23 MAY 08A	28 AUG 09	73	351							
<b>+ WEST BACK SPAN</b>													
		169	29 JAN 09A	18 AUG 09	42	36							
<b>+ WEST TOWER</b>													
		305	25 AUG 08A	28 AUG 09	76	27							
<b>STEEL DECKS</b>													
<b>Steel Deck Fabrication Works</b>													
<b>+ Segment Delivery to Site</b>													
		4	23 FEB 09A	25 FEB 09A	100								
<b>CT8 Gravity Jetty</b>													
SC011630	CT8 - Break and Remove RC Concrete	12	04 MAY 09A	04 JUN 09	50	-6							
<b>CT9 Gravity Jetty</b>													
SC011790	CT9 - Break and Remove RC Concrete	18	28 MAR 09A	09 MAY 09A	100								
SC011805	CT9 - Remove Rockfill behind sea wall	18	11 MAY 09A	23 MAY 09	85	-9							
SC011815	CT9 N - Remove Accropode, Rock Armour and Blocks	12	20 MAY 09	02 JUN 09	0	-9							
<b>+ Steel Deck &amp; Stay Cable - East Deck</b>													
		76	09 FEB 09A	10 MAY 09A	100								
<b>+ Steel Deck &amp; Stay Cable - West Deck</b>													
		116	06 JAN 09A	26 MAY 09	95	106							
<b>SD Surveys, Adjustment &amp; Anti Vibration</b>													
<b>Stay Cable Anti-Vibration</b>													
NSC04678	Approval for Damper Installation	12	20 MAY 09	02 JUN 09	0	-8							
<b>Cable Survey and Adjustment</b>													
SC045303	Survey Stay Cables	10	13 APR 09A	22 APR 09A	100								
SC045263	Adjust Stay Cables incl Arup approval	18	16 MAY 09A	07 JUN 09	15	-2							
<b>Cable Repairs</b>													
SC045323	Cable Repairs using mobile crane	28	30 MAR 09A	28 MAY 09	90	95							
<b>+ Steel Deck Finishing Works</b>													
		421	08 APR 08A	28 AUG 09	63	27							
<b>+ Steel Deck Miscellaneous Works</b>													
		199	12 JAN 09A	08 SEP 09	60	18							
<b>Dehumidification Systems</b>													
<b>Installation Works</b>													
SC048230	SD-E Install Dehumidification Plant/System No. 2	36	14 APR 09A	17 JUN 09	50	4							
SC048240	SD-W Install Dehumidification Plant/System No. 1	36	17 APR 09A	17 JUN 09	50	4							
<b>+ Access Facilities in Steel Deck</b>													
		96	10 DEC 08A	24 APR 09A	100								
<b>Deck Shuttle</b>													
<b>Installation Works</b>													
SC048280	DS Install/T&C Deck Shuttle	90	09 FEB 09A	30 JUN 09	50	77							
<b>+ Sign Gantry</b>													
		138	17 NOV 08A	04 MAY 09A	100								
<b>+ ACCESS TO WEST TOWER</b>													
		171	21 NOV 08A	17 JUN 09	82	70							
<b>+ ACCESS TO EAST TOWER</b>													
		344	05 MAY 08A	24 JUN 09	73	63							
<b>+ Temporary Lookout Point</b>													
		1,132	24 APR 06A	12 AUG 09	75	13							
<b>Wind Turbulence Intensity Field Measurement</b>													
<b>WTIFM Structure</b>													
<b>CT9 Side</b>													
SC102950	CT9 Operation & Maintenance of WTIFM	1,207	27 JAN 06A	30 SEP 09	77	370							

F	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
2009						

■ Current  
■ Progress Bar  
■ Critical Activity

917A Contract No. HY/2002/26 - Stonecutters Bridge

MHYH JV

3 Month Rolling Programme

Sheet 1 of 2

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
27 DEC 07	Comments Incorporated into Programme P3 - SC		
16 FEB 08	Comments of DWP7a into Programme P3-SC7E		
10 NOV 08	DWP9		
02 JAN 09	DWP9b		
05 FEB 09	DWP9c		
06 MAR 09	DWP9c incorporating 17/2 comments		

Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Early Finish	% Comp	Total Float	2009						
							F	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
<b>+ Wind &amp; Structural Health Monitoring System</b>													
		1,437	29 NOV 04A	05 SEP 09	88	76							
<b>E&amp;M Works</b>													
<b>Environmental Control System</b>													
<b>+ Procurement &amp; Delivery to Site</b>													
		24	15 DEC 08A	28 FEB 09A	100								
<b>+ Supervisory Control &amp; Data Acquisition System</b>													
		2	13 JAN 09A	20 MAY 09	75	21							
<b>+ T&amp;C and Statutory Approvals</b>													
		0	20 MAY 09	19 MAY 09	0	112							
<b>+ Architectural Lighting</b>													
		318	10 APR 08A	29 APR 09A	100								
<b>Security System</b>													
<b>+ Procurement &amp; Delivery to Site</b>													
		72	03 OCT 07A	23 MAY 09	95	18							
<b>+ Overall Submission for E &amp; M Works</b>													
		297	20 AUG 08A	12 JUN 09	60	48							
<b>CONTRACTOR'S DESIGN (Design &amp; Procurement)</b>													
<b>+ Tower Top Maintenance Unit</b>													
		136	30 SEP 08A	14 MAR 09A	100								
<b>Windscreens</b>													
<b>Procurement/Fabrication/Delivery</b>													
SC134110	ET - Site Measurement & Trial	12	20 APR 09A	20 APR 09A	100								
SC134100	ET - Manufacture Windscreens	100	04 MAY 09A	22 AUG 09	5	8							
SC134180	WT - Site Measure & Trial	1	20 APR 09A	20 APR 09A	100								
SC134160	WT - Manufacture Windscreens	100	04 MAY 09A	22 AUG 09	5	8							
<b>+ Dehumidification Systems</b>													
		20	17 FEB 09A	09 APR 09A	100								
<b>Highway Lighting</b>													
<b>Procurement/Fabrication/Delivery</b>													
SC135000	HL Procure/Fabricate Highway Lighting	216	27 AUG 07A	16 JUN 09	80	17							
SC135050	HL Deliver Highway Lighting to Site	48	02 MAR 09A	02 JUL 09	50	4							
<b>+ Steel Deck Gantry</b>													
		312	03 JAN 08A	11 AUG 09	40	-8							
<b>Concrete Deck Gantry</b>													
<b>Procurement/Fabrication/Delivery</b>													
SC140000	RDG Manufacture Concrete Deck Gantry	330	18 OCT 07A	18 JUL 09	70	-4							
SC140050	Manufacture Gantry Rail	80	01 SEP 08A	31 MAR 09A	100								
<b>+ Stay Cable Gantry</b>													
		200	05 JAN 09A	26 MAY 09	95	46							
<b>+ Rack and Pinion Lift</b>													
		190	04 AUG 08A	21 MAR 09A	100								
<b>+ Booms &amp; Masts for WASHMS</b>													
		191	15 OCT 08A	02 JUN 09	91	29							

F	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG



## **Appendix D1**

### **Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality**

## Appendix D1: Action /Limit Levels for Air Quality

### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 24-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	174.0	260
ASR2	185.5	260
ASR3	200.0	260
ASR4	192.0	260
ASR5	178.0	260

### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	350.0	500
ASR2	350.0	500
ASR3	350.0	500
ASR4	350.0	500
ASR5	324.0	500

## **Appendix D2**

### **Action/Limit Levels for Noise**

## Appendix D2: Action/Limit Levels for Noise

### Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

<b>Time Period</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Limit</b>
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)*
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70 dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day	When one documented complaint is received	55 dB(A)

\* Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

## **Appendix E**

### **Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reported Period**

**Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 April 2009 and 28 May 2009 for NSR1 to NSR5 and ASR1 to ASR5**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			24hrs-TSP 29-Apr	1hr-TSP 30-Apr		
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub> 3-May	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> 4-May	24hrs-TSP 5-May	1hr-TSP 6-May			
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub> 10-May	24hrs-TSP 11-May	1hr-TSP 12-May	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> 13-May			
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub> 17-May	1hr-TSP 18-May			Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> 21-May		
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub> 24-May		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> <b>1hr-TSP</b> 26-May	24hrs-TSP <b>(except ASR5)</b> 27-May			

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR5 during 0900-1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1 to ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at NSR1 to NSR5 during 0700-1900.

NoiseEvening 6 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR5 during 1900-2300

NoiseNight 4 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR5 during 2300-0700

NoiseP.H. 6 x Leq5 measurement at NSR1 to NSR5 during 0700-1900

***Rescheduled monitoring events***

***Reschedule of Air Monitoring Event due to Adverse Weather***

<b><i>Tentative Schedule</i></b>	<b><i>Rescheduled to</i></b>
<b><i>23-May-09</i></b>	<b><i>26-May-09</i></b>


## **Appendix F**

### **Locations of Monitoring Locations**

\*\* CHEUNG CHING ESTATE (ASR4, N8R4)  
 \*# MAYFAIR GARDENS (ASR3, NSR3)

\*# TSING YI IVE (ASR2, NSR2)  
 THE MAIN  
 EDUCATION BUILDING

\*# FOK YING TUNG (ASR1, NSR1)  
 HALL OF RESIDENCE



LOCATION PLAN

NOTES:

1. CO-ORDINATES ARE RELATED TO HONG KONG METRIC GRID 11980..

LEGEND:

- # AIR MONITORING STATION
- # NOISE MONITORING STATION

CONTAINER TERMINAL NO. 5 (CT5)

RAILWAY CHANNEL

STORE ROOM

SUBSTATION 'B'

WESTERN TOWER


STONECUTTERS BRIDGE

EASTERN TOWER

TO THE MID EXISTING ROAD

N 822500  
 N 822000  
 N 821500  
 N 821000  
 N 820500

E 833000  
 E 832500  
 E 832000  
 E 831500  
 E 831000





**ARUP**  
 One Arup & Partners, HK Ltd

Job Title  
**Stonecutters Bridge**  
 Contract No. HY/2002/26

Sketch Title  
**AIR AND NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS**

Issue Date	Scale
21/12/04	1:10000 @A3
Drawn	Checked
KLW	KWL
Approved	
Related Ref.:	Working Draw No.
	N/A
Others:	N/A





LOCATION PLAN

LEGEND

- SENSITIVE RECEIVER IDENTIFIED IN EMSA MANUAL
- \* AIR MONITORING STATION
- # NOISE MONITORING STATION
- ▽ WEATHER STATION

Rev.	Description	By	Date

Consultant  
**ARUP** 奧雅納工程顧問  
 020 Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited  
 Supported By:  
 Charles Hooper & Partners ◯ CHM Hong Kong Ltd ◯  
 COWI Consulting Engineers ◯ CH Group ◯  
 CH2M Hill Construction ◯ CH2M Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯

Project No.  
 HY/2002/06  
 Route 8 - Stonecutters Bridge

**AIR AND NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS AND WEATHER STATIONS**


Drawn by	Checked by	Scale

Copyright Reserved


香港政府  
 HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT  
 香港工務局  
 Major Works Project Management Office

**Appendix G1**  
**Calibration Certificates for HVS**
























### Calibration Curve



## **Appendix G2**

### **Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

## **Appendix G2: Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

The Weather Station was removed and meteorological data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory.

## **Appendix G3**

### **Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator**



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX  
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

Date - Nov 04, 2008 Rootsmeter S/N 9833620 Ta (K) - 295  
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1272 Pa (mm) - 758.19

PLATE OR VDC #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.2800	4.2	1.50
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9910	7.1	2.50
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9050	8.5	3.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8350	9.9	3.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6320	17.1	6.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0021	0.7829	1.2295	0.9944	0.7769	0.7640
0.9983	1.0073	1.5873	0.9906	0.9996	0.9863
0.9964	1.1010	1.7388	0.9887	1.0925	1.0804
0.9946	1.1911	1.8781	0.9869	1.1819	1.1670
0.9850	1.5586	2.4590	0.9774	1.5466	1.5279
Qstd slope (m) = 1.58420			Qa slope (m) = 0.99200		
intercept (b) = -0.00884			intercept (b) = -0.00549		
coefficient (r) = 0.99998			coefficient (r) = 0.99998		

y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]

y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)  
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]  
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:


Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}  
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}





TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX  
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series: 
$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{P_a}{P_{std}} \right) \left( \frac{T_{std}}{T_a} \right)}$$

Qa series: 
$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (T_a / P_a))}$$

#1272



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX  
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

Date - Nov 04, 2008 Rootmeter S/N 9833620 Ta (K) - 295  
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1274 Pa (mm) - 758.19

PLATE OR VDC #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.2760	4.2	1.50
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9840	7.1	2.50
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9030	8.4	3.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8340	9.9	3.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6290	17.1	6.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0021	0.7854	1.2295	0.9944	0.7793	0.7640
0.9983	1.0145	1.5873	0.9906	1.0067	0.9863
0.9965	1.1036	1.7388	0.9889	1.0951	1.0804
0.9946	1.1925	1.8781	0.9869	1.1833	1.1670
0.9850	1.5660	2.4590	0.9774	1.5539	1.5279
Qstd slope (m) = 1.57672			Qa slope (m) = 0.98732		
intercept (b) = -0.00705			intercept (b) = -0.00438		
coefficient (r) = 0.99988			coefficient (r) = 0.99988		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)  
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]  
 Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT (H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b }  
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b }



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 43002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX  
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:  $\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{P_a}{P_{std}} \right) \left( \frac{T_{std}}{T_a} \right)}$

Qa series:  $\sqrt{(\Delta H (T_a / P_a))}$

#1274

## **Appendix G4**

### **Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator**



**綜合試驗有限公司**  
**SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.**

G/F, 8/F, 12/F, 13/F & 20/F, Leader Centre 37 Wong Chuk Hong Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong  
愛德蘭打士道37號利達中心地下、9樓、12樓、13樓及20樓  
E-mail: sme@sigsmec.com Website: www.sigsmec.com

Tel : (852) 2873 8860  
Fax : (852) 2553 7333



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Certificate No : 08CA0904 01-01B Page 1 of 2

**Item tested**

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone
Manufacturer:	Pulsar, England	Pulsar, England
Type/Model No :	Model 30	MK228
Serial/Equipment No :	T220553	110453
Adaptors used:	-	-

**Item submitted by**

Customer Name: Meada-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture  
Address of Customer: -  
Request No.: PO/HY26/7192  
Date of request: 01-09-2008

Date of test: 04-09-2008

**Reference equipment used in the calibration**

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2388444	11-01-2009	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	12-06-2009	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009	CEPREI

**Ambient conditions**

Temperature: 23 ± 2 °C  
Relative humidity: 50 ± 15 %  
Air pressure: 1000 ± 15 hPa

**Test specifications**



- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTPO04-CA-152
- The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%
- The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responses of the Sound Level Meter.

**Test results**

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets

Approved Signatory:  Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop: 

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



綜合試驗有限公司  
SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F & 20/F, Leader Centre 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong  
香港黃竹坑滙37號利達中心地下、9樓、12樓、13樓及20樓  
E-mail: smec@sigamec.com Website: www.sigamec.com

Tel : (852) 2873 6880  
Fax : (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No : 08CA0917 02A Page 1 of 2

Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone
Manufacturer:	Pulsar, England	Pulsar, England
Type/Model No.:	Model 30	MK226
Serial/Equipment No.:	T220551	110452
Adaptors used:	-	-

Item submitted by

Customer Name: Meada-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture  
Address of Customer: -  
Request No.: PO/HY26/7192  
Date of request: 12-09-2008

Date of test: 17-09-2008

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	11-01-2009	CHGSMC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	12-06-2009	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 23 ± 2 °C  
Relative humidity: 50 ± 15 %  
Air pressure: 1000 ± 10 hPa


Test specifications

- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTPO04-CA-152.
- The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responses of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets

Approved Signatory:  Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No : C085814

## Certificate of Calibration

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-31*

*Serial No. : 00352013*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085814.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co Name : Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture*

*Address : 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK*

*Date of Issue : 10 November 2008*

*Certified by*



*K C Lee*

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No C085815

## Certificate of Calibration

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-31*

*Serial No. : 01262850*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085815.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co Name : Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture*

*Address : 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK*

*Date of Issue : 10 November 2008*

*Certified by*

*K.C. Lee*

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com





綜合試驗有限公司  
SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD

G/F, 4/F, 12/F, 13/F & 20/F, Leader Centre 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong  
香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下、9樓、12樓、13樓及20樓  
E-mail: smec@sigsmec.com Website: www.sigsmec.com

Tel : (852) 2873 6880  
Fax : (852) 2555 7533



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 08CA004 01-02B Page: 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description: Sound Calibrator (Class 1L)  
Manufacturer: Pulsar England  
Type/Model No: MODEL 100B  
Serial/Equipment No: 035213  
Adaptors used: Yes

### Item submitted by

Customer: Meada-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hain Chong Joint Venture  
Address of Customer:  
Request No: PO/HY26/7192  
Date of request: 01-09-2008

Date of test: 04-09-2008

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	29-06-2009	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2230857	12-12-2008	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2340941	15-12-2008	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	30-11-2008	CIGISMEC
Audio analyzer	8903B	0B41300350	06-12-2008	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	11-07-2009	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature: 24 ± 1 °C  
Relative humidity: 55 ± 10 %  
Air pressure: 1000 ± 10 hPa

### Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes

### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:  Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C085728

## Certificate of Calibration

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Calibrator*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NC-74*

*Serial No. : 34351581*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085728.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co Name : Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture*

*Address : 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK*

*Date of Issue : 5 November 2008*

*Certified by :*   
C.F. Leung

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited  
c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wai Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2600 Fax: 2741 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No C085729

## Certificate of Calibration

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description · Sound Calibrator*

*Manufacturer · Rion*

*Model No · NC-74*

*Serial No · 34973223*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085729.*


*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co Name · Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture*

*Address · 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK*

*Date of Issue · 5 November 2008*

*Certified by*

  
C F Leung

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division,  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road,  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong.

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No : 041333CA82714(3)

Page 1 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER****Client Supplied Information**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
Address : PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
Project : Calibration Services

**Calibration Item -**

Description : Sound level meter  
Model No : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)  
Serial No : 2565848 (Microphone), 2562752 (Sound level meter)  
Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

**Laboratory Information****Calibrating Equipment -**

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Serial No : 2546175  
Date of Calibration : 16/Dec/2008  
Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
Specification Limit : EN 60651: 1994 Type 1

**Calibration Results :**

(1) Frequency response  
(Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1: Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB)	Specification Limit (dB)
31.5	-38.6	-40.9 to -37.9
63	-25.8	-27.7 to -24.7
125	-16.0	-17.1 to -15.1
250	-8.6	-9.6 to -7.6
500	-3.3	-4.2 to -2.2
1000(ref.)	0.0	-1.0 to 1.0
2000	1.2	0.2 to 2.2
4000	0.9	-2.0 to 2.5
8000	-2.0	-4.1 to 0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3 to -1.3
16000	-9.8	-∞ to -3.6

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division,  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S, Castle Peak Road,  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(3)

Page 2 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER**

(2) Level range control

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Reference range setting : 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

(3) Differential level linearity

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

(4) Crest factor

(C.F.: 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB &amp; Test SPL: 106dB)

Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
106	0.3	± 0.5

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement

Checked by :  Date : 18-12-08 Certified by :  Date : 18 Dec, 2008  
C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN1/0908

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

Materialab Division  
 Fugro Development Centre,  
 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road  
 Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
 Fax : +852-2450 6138  
 E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
 Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(4)

Page 1 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER****Client Supplied Information**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
 Address : PO Box No 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
 Project : Calibration Services

**Calibration Item -**

Description : Sound level meter  
 Model No : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)  
 Serial No : 2565853 (Microphone), 2562757 (Sound level meter)  
 Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

**Laboratory Information****Calibrating Equipment -**

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
 Serial No : 2546175  
 Date of Calibration : 16/Dec/2008  
 Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
 Specification Limit : EN 60651: 1994 Type 1

**Calibration Results :**

(1) Frequency response  
 (Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1 Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB)	Specification Limit (dB)
31.5	-38.8	-40.9 to -37.9
63	-26.0	-27.7 to -24.7
125	-16.1	-17.1 to -15.1
250	-8.7	-9.6 to -7.6
500	-3.4	-4.2 to -2.2
1000(ref.)	-0.1	-1.0 to 1.0
2000	1.1	0.2 to 2.2
4000	0.7	-2.0 to 2.5
8000	-2.4	-4.1 to 0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3 to -1.3
16000	-9.2	--∞ to -3.6

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division  
 Fugro Development Centre,  
 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road,  
 Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
 Fax : +852-2450 6138  
 E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
 Website : www.materiallab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(4)

Page 2 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER**

(2) Level range control

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Reference range setting : 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

(3) Differential level linearity

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

(4) Crest factor

(C.F. : 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB &amp; Test SPL: 106dB)

Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
106	0.2	± 0.5

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement

Checked by:  Date: 18-12-08 Certified by:  Date: 18 Dec. 2008  
 C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company

GEN1-0208

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S, Castle Peak Road,  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N T, Hong Kong.

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No : 041333CA82714(5)

Page 1 of 1

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL CALIBRATOR****Client Supplied Information**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
Address : PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
Project : Calibration Services

**Calibration Item -**

Description : Bruel & Kjaer Sound Level Calibrator  
Model No : Type 4231  
Serial No. : 2605971  
Next Calibration Due Date : 16-Dec-2009

**Laboratory Information****Calibrating Equipment -**

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Serial No. : 2546175  
Date of Calibration : 16-Dec-2008  
Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
Specification Limit : ±0.5dB



**Calibration Result :**

(1) At 94dB reading  
Correction of UUT (at 94dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB

(2) At 114dB reading  
Correction of UUT (at 114dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB

**Remarks :**

- 1 The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2 The above calibration results does comply with the specification requirement.
3. Serial number of sound level meter (microphone) used is 2562752 (2565848) Settings of SLM are 50-130dB range, A weighting and F response

Checked by :  Date : 18-12-08 Certified by :  Date : 18 Dec 2008  
C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN01/0003



## **Appendix G5**

**Certificate HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory**



Hong Kong Accreditation Service  
香港認可處

**Certificate of Accreditation**  
認可證書

*This is to certify that*  
特此證明

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**  
輝固土力工程及檢測有限公司

**Fugro Development Centre, 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong**  
香港新界屯門大欖樂怡街五號輝固發展中心

*has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a*  
為香港認可處執行機構根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

**HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory**  
「香港實驗室認可計劃」認可實驗室

*This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of*  
此實驗室符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 - 《測試及校正實驗室能力的通用規定》所訂的要求。這項認可資格表示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗室質量管理系統的運作。  
*Testing and Calibration Work*  
測試及校正工作

**Environmental Testing**  
環境測試

*This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005*  
本實驗室乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。  
*This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer Joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated 18 June 2005).*  
這項認可資格表示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗室質量管理系統的運作。  
*(見國際標準化組織、國際實驗室認可合作組織及國際認可論壇於二零零五年六月十八日的聯合公報)。*

*The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive*  
香港認可處根據認可處執行機構的權限在此證書上通用印信

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator  
執行幹事 陳成城  
Issue Date : 17 April 2007  
簽發日期：二零零七年四月十七日  
Registration Number : **HOKLAS 015**  
註冊號碼：



Date of First Registration : 23 March 1989  
首次註冊日期：一九八九年三月二十三日

L 000260

*This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions set down by HKAS*  
本證書係根據認可處訂立的條款及條件發出



Hong Kong Accreditation Service

香港認可處

This is to certify that

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

at the address of 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong.

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:1999 - General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the Test Category of

ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive.

Y.H. Ng


(DR L.H. NG) Executive Administrator

Registration Number HOKLAS 066

Issue Date: 30 JANUARY 2002

Date of First Registration: 15 SEPTEMBER 1995

This Certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS.



F 000101

## **Appendix H1**

### **Event/Action Plan for Air Quality**

## Appendix H1: Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

Event Level	Action		
	ET	ER	CONTRACTOR
<b>Action Level</b>			
Exceedance for one sample	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify source</li> <li>• Inform ER</li> <li>• Repeat Measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>• Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notify Contractor</li> <li>• Check mortaring data and Contractor's working methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rectify any unacceptable practice</li> <li>• Amend working methods if appropriate</li> </ul>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER</li> <li>3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Discuss with ER for remedial actions required</li> <li>6. If exceedance continues arrange meeting with ER</li> <li>7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>4. Discuss with Environmental Team and Contractor on potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals</li> <li>3. Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
<b>Limit Level</b>			
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER and EPD</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>4. Discuss with Environmental Team Leader and Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>3. Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER and EPD the causes &amp; actions taken for the exceedances</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Investigate the causes of exceedance</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results &amp; if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented</li> <li>4. Discuss amongst Environmental Team Leader and the Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness</li> <li>6. If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action avoid further exceedance</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>3. Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>

## **Appendix H2**

### **Event/Action Plan for Noise**

## Appendix H2: Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	Action		
	ET Leader	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify ER</li> <li>2. Analyse investigation</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Require Contractor to propose measures* for the analysed noise problem</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to Environmental Team</li> <li>2. Implement noise mitigation proposals*</li> </ol>
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify ER</li> <li>2. Notify EPD</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Require contractor to implement mitigation measures* Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Implement mitigation measures</li> <li>2. Prove to Environmental Team Leader ER effectiveness of measures applied</li> </ol>
*	<p><i>Mitigation Measures may include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Relocation of noise emitting plant</i></li> <li>• <i>Use of silenced or super-silenced equipment</i></li> <li>• <i>Use of acoustic sheds or screens</i></li> <li>• <i>Limit quantity of plant operating</i></li> <li>• <i>Change working technique</i></li> </ul>		

## **Appendix I**

# **Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements**



## Appendix I: Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirement

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/01/09 to 28/02/09	01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09
Landscape and visual	Erection, painting and maintenance of site hoardings around works and storage areas.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
	Restrictions on the height of material/spoil stockpiles.		√	√	√	√
	Prompt hydro-seeding of disturbed areas and cut/fill slopes prior to the permanent landscaping works.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Avoidance of chunam or shotcreting slope treatments.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Conservation of topsoil where practical.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Site litter patrols and regular site waste collection.		√	√	√	√
	Maintenance of planting.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ecological Impact	Minimise damage outside works areas		√	√	√	√
Construction:						
Material Storage	Covers for dusty stockpiles	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Vehicle movement	Haul road watering, vehicle wheel wash prior to exit. Where practical, access roads should be protected with crushed gravel.		√	√	√	√
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue air emissions.		√	√	√	√
All plant activity	Reference should be made the EM&A Manual Action Plan for measures for consideration when Noise Limit Levels are not met.		√	√	√	√
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue noise nuisance.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/01/09 to 28/02/09	01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09
Wheel wash	All wheel wash water shall be diverted to a sediment pit.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Concrete Truck Washout	All concrete trucks shall wash out into a lined pit.		√	√	√	√
Surface water diversion	All clean surface water shall be diverted around the site.		√	√	√	√
Sediment control	Sediment removal facilities shall be provided and be maintained and excavated as necessary to prevent sedimentation of the channel. Perimeter channels shall be provided. Works shall be programmed for the dry season where feasible.		√	√	√	√
Fuel can storage	All fuel cans shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		√	√	√	▲
Slope covers	Finished slopes and other slopes near drainage areas shall be covered prior to rains to reduce sedimentation of runoff. Slopes should be hydroseeded or shotcreted as early as possible to prevent erosion.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Excavation works	Excavation works shall avoid sensitive areas.	Throughout the excavation work period	√	√	√	√
Material, plant movement & fuel can refilling.	Any fuel or oil spills shall be excavated and disposed.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Generators	All generators shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		√	√	√	√
Material containers	All empty bags and containers shall be collected for disposal.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/01/09 to 28/02/09	01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09
Worker generated litter and Waste	Litter receptacles shall be placed around the site. Litter shall be taken regularly to the refuse collection points. Chemical toilets (or suitable equivalent) should be provided for workers. Any canteens should have grease-traps.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Neighbourhood nuisance	All complaints regarding construction works shall be relayed to the Environmental Team.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Legal requirements	Different types of waste should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements and guidelines		√	√	√	√
On-site separation	On-site separation of municipal solid waste and construction/demolition wastes should be conducted as far as possible in order to minimize the amount of solid waste to be disposed to landfill.		√	√	√	√
Temporary storage area	Separated wastes should be stored in different containers, skips, or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and encourage their proper disposal.		√	√	√	√
Record of wastes	Records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (with locations) should be properly kept.		√	√	√	√
Trip-ticket system	To monitor the disposal of waste at landfills and control fly-tipping, a "trip-ticket" system for all solid waste transfer/disposal operations should be implemented. The system should be included as a contractual requirement, and monitored by the Environmental Team and audited by the Independent Environmental Checker.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

## **Appendix J**

### **1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR 1)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
30-Apr-09	12:33	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.08	2.8795	2.8905	137.4
30-Apr-09	13:35	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.08	2.8586	2.8695	136.1
30-Apr-09	14:40	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.08	2.8627	2.8746	148.6
6-May-09	12:30	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.97	2.8976	2.9080	130.0
6-May-09	13:30	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.97	2.8884	2.9037	191.3
6-May-09	15:00	61.20	1.33	1.33	1.33	81.57	2.8579	2.8688	133.6
12-May-09	12:31	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.49	2.8951	2.9084	167.3
12-May-09	13:49	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.49	2.8657	2.8782	157.3
12-May-09	14:53	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.49	2.8744	2.8855	139.6
18-May-09	15:35	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.28	2.8844	2.8920	95.9
18-May-09	16:40	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.28	2.8700	2.8778	98.4
18-May-09	17:42	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.28	2.8636	2.8697	76.9
26-May-09	10:08	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.72	2.8707	2.8781	92.8
26-May-09	11:15	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.72	2.8745	2.8833	110.4
26-May-09	12:33	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.72	2.8665	2.8747	102.9

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
29-Apr-09	0:00	1440.60	1.34	1.33	1.34	1923.99	2.8449	2.9864	73.5
5-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1918.32	2.8325	2.9903	82.3
11-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.32	1.33	1908.35	2.8745	2.9593	44.4
16-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.32	1.32	1907.04	2.8593	2.9912	69.2
22-May-09	0:00	1441.20	1.32	1.33	1.33	1910.77	2.8632	2.9436	42.1
27-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.34	1.33	1918.00	2.8488	2.9433	49.3

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
30-Apr-09	8:45	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.73	2.8417	2.8526	138.4
30-Apr-09	16:28	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.73	2.8650	2.8759	138.4
30-Apr-09	17:31	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.73	2.8305	2.8408	130.8
6-May-09	12:00	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.61	2.8934	2.9010	96.7
6-May-09	13:09	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.61	2.8714	2.8853	176.8
6-May-09	14:50	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.61	2.8852	2.8947	120.8
12-May-09	12:30	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.10	2.8748	2.8862	146.0
12-May-09	13:35	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.10	2.8811	2.8916	134.4
12-May-09	14:39	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.10	2.8990	2.9096	135.7
18-May-09	15:27	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.88	2.8732	2.8804	92.5
18-May-09	16:30	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.88	2.8710	2.8766	71.9
18-May-09	17:33	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.88	2.8887	2.8953	84.7
26-May-09	9:45	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.35	2.8843	2.8910	85.5
26-May-09	10:50	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.35	2.8703	2.8806	131.5
26-May-09	12:15	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.35	2.8722	2.8813	116.1

**The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
29-Apr-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1890.85	2.8756	2.9883	59.6
5-May-09	0:00	1443.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.58	2.8149	2.9499	71.4
11-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	1875.00	2.8808	2.9549	39.5
16-May-09	0:00	1441.80	1.30	1.30	1.30	1875.95	2.8649	2.9782	60.4
22-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.31	1.30	1875.90	2.8478	2.9094	32.8
27-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1885.32	2.9090	2.9937	44.9

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
30-Apr-09	12:48	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.68	2.8440	2.8528	111.8
30-Apr-09	13:51	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.68	2.8575	2.8670	120.7
30-Apr-09	15:01	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.68	2.8589	2.8689	127.1
6-May-09	12:50	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.57	2.8887	2.8981	119.6
6-May-09	14:00	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.57	2.8313	2.8416	131.1
6-May-09	15:48	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.57	2.8467	2.8551	106.9
12-May-09	12:05	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.06	2.8680	2.8768	112.7
12-May-09	13:13	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.06	2.8678	2.8780	130.7
12-May-09	14:25	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.06	2.8305	2.8399	120.4
18-May-09	13:50	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.84	2.8520	2.8564	56.5
18-May-09	16:55	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.84	2.8610	2.8666	71.9
18-May-09	18:00	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	77.84	2.8626	2.8685	75.8
26-May-09	10:17	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.30	2.8540	2.8608	86.8
26-May-09	12:05	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.30	2.8290	2.8346	71.5
26-May-09	17:50	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.30	2.8745	2.8819	94.5

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
29-Apr-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.63	2.8651	2.9901	66.2
5-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1884.49	2.8876	3.0193	69.9
11-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	1873.99	2.8561	2.9421	45.9
16-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	1872.61	2.8541	2.9737	63.9
22-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.31	1.30	1874.87	2.8162	2.8758	31.8
27-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.30	1.31	1.31	1884.16	2.8923	2.9837	48.5

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
30-Apr-09	13:03	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.05	2.8653	2.8761	136.6
30-Apr-09	14:06	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.05	2.8991	2.9105	144.2
30-Apr-09	15:10	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.05	2.8943	2.9063	151.8
6-May-09	13:00	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.93	2.8423	2.8495	91.2
6-May-09	14:15	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.93	2.8610	2.8724	144.4
6-May-09	15:21	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.93	2.8624	2.8701	97.5
12-May-09	13:03	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.42	2.8840	2.8940	127.5
12-May-09	14:14	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.42	2.8817	2.8888	90.5
12-May-09	15:22	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.42	2.8770	2.8859	113.5
18-May-09	15:50	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.21	2.8781	2.8858	98.5
18-May-09	16:55	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.21	2.8696	2.8747	65.2
18-May-09	18:00	60.00	1.30	1.30	1.30	78.21	2.8363	2.8428	83.1
26-May-09	10:11	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.67	2.8740	2.8792	66.1
26-May-09	12:12	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.67	2.8742	2.8796	68.6
26-May-09	17:40	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.67	2.8323	2.8400	97.9

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
29-Apr-09	0:00	1440.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	1898.47	2.8517	2.9602	57.2
5-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.32	1.31	1893.33	2.8757	3.0018	66.6
11-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	1882.83	2.8712	2.9563	45.2
16-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.30	1.31	1881.45	2.8442	2.9655	64.5
22-May-09	0:00	1439.40	1.31	1.31	1.31	1882.93	2.8555	2.9178	33.1
27-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.32	1.31	1893.00	2.8126	2.9077	50.2



**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
30-Apr-09	10:20	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.97	2.8145	2.8247	126.0
30-Apr-09	11:25	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.97	2.8183	2.8285	126.0
30-Apr-09	13:56	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.97	2.8206	2.8301	117.3
6-May-09	12:30	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.72	2.8410	2.8496	106.5
6-May-09	14:08	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.72	2.8329	2.8418	110.3
6-May-09	16:20	60.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	80.72	2.8142	2.8280	171.0
12-May-09	8:00	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.8238	2.8369	163.4
12-May-09	9:49	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.8880	2.8984	129.7
12-May-09	11:17	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.8927	2.9072	180.8
18-May-09	9:57	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.95	2.9126	2.9241	143.8
18-May-09	11:33	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.95	2.9022	2.9107	106.3
18-May-09	13:49	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.95	2.8903	2.8990	108.8
26-May-09	11:50	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.33	2.8972	2.9073	125.7
26-May-09	13:20	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.33	2.8816	2.8931	143.2
26-May-09	16:05	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.33	2.9012	2.9111	123.2

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
29-Apr-09	0:00	1433.40	1.35	1.35	1.35	1935.83	2.8263	2.9374	57.4
5-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	1937.12	2.8013	2.9034	52.7
11-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1925.57	2.8244	2.9259	52.7
16-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.33	1.34	1924.45	2.8975	3.0179	62.6
22-May-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.34	1.34	1924.99	2.8848	2.9559	36.9
27-May-09	**								


\*\* No Monitoring works was carried out, no power supply for the high volume sample was broken.

## **Appendix K**


### **Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result**

### 1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)

× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)




1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the main Building (ASR2)

× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR2)




1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)

× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)






1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)




### 1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)

× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level



24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)



## **Appendix L**

### **Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring**

## Appendix L: Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring (ASR1-ASR5)

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Ambient Pressure P (mmHg)	Average Ambient Temperature		Relative Humidity %	Wind Direction	Wind Speed m/s
				oC	K			
29-Apr-09	00:00~24:00	Sunny	762.44	21.9	295.05	57~77	E	10.1
30-Apr-09	09:45~18:15	Sunny	761.99	22.7	295.85	54~81	E	10.6
5-May-09	00:00~24:00	Sunny	760.42	24.0	297.15	49~84	E	7.2
6-May-09	10:45~18:30	Sunny	759.29	23.5	296.65	54~82	E	9.5
11-May-09	00:00~24:00	Sunny	758.39	26.5	299.65	64~89	E	4.6
12-May-09	07:45~16:20	Sunny	758.09	27.0	300.15	65~88	NNE	2.3
16-May-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	759.37	25.8	298.95	70~86	NNE	1.5
18-May-09	09:45~18:30	Sunny	757.26	28.5	301.65	54~88	SSW	3.8
22-May-09	00:00~24:00	Cloudy	757.79	27.7	300.85	75~93	E	6.8
26-May-09	10:00~18:25	Fine	756.51	25.3	298.45	89~97	E	6.4
27-May-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	755.61	25.5	298.65	81~96	ESE	8.9

Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory

## **Appendix M1**

### **Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour**

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	10:23	30	66.1	68.5	62.3	66.9	66.1*	75.0
13-May-09	8:39	30	63.0	64.0	61.3	66.9	63.0*	75.0
21-May-09	11:06	30	66.9	69.5	62.3	67.0	66.9*	75.0
26-May-09	13:32	30	66.8	67.6	65.6	66.7	50.4	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	9:10	30	64.4	65.5	63.0	71.7	64.4*	70.0
13-May-09	10:34	30	63.2	64.0	61.9	71.4	63.2*	70.0
21-May-09	11:18	30	63.9	65.2	62.3	71.3	63.9*	70.0
26-May-09	13:53	30	64.3	65.2	62.9	71.9	64.3*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period



**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR 3)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	18:12	30	64.8	66.7	62.5	67.6	64.8*	75.0
13-May-09	10:20	30	65.7	67.8	62.6	70.1	65.7*	75.0
21-May-09	11:01	30	65.5	67.8	62.3	69.8	65.5*	75.0
26-May-09	14:25	30	65.7	67.3	63.4	68.9	65.7*	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	9:55	30	64.5	66.7	61.3	69.8	64.5*	75.0
13-May-09	10:20	30	63.5	65.8	60.3	70.3	63.5*	75.0
21-May-09	8:36	30	64.3	66.8	61.1	69.5	64.3*	75.0
26-May-09	9:01	30	64.7	66.7	61.6	69.9	64.7*	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	10:48	30	70.2	72.7	66.3	75.2	70.2*	75.0
13-May-09	9:03	30	69.9	72.6	65.6	75.1	69.9*	75.0
21-May-09	11:11	30	69.5	71.5	66.4	75.0	69.5*	75.0
26-May-09	14:31	30	70.6	73.3	66.3	74.7	70.6*	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

## **Appendix M2**

### **Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour**

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	19:43	5	61.8	64.0	59.0	63.4	61.8*	70.0
4-May-09	19:48	5	61.6	63.5	59.5	63.6	61.6*	70.0
4-May-09	19:53	5	63.1	65.5	59.5	63.0	46.7	70.0
4-May-09	19:58	5	61.7	63.0	60.0	62.5	61.7*	70.0
4-May-09	20:03	5	60.9	62.5	58.5	62.9	60.9*	70.0
4-May-09	20:08	5	60.7	62.0	59.0	62.7	60.7*	70.0
13-May-09	20:14	5	60.9	62.0	59.0	62.6	60.9*	70.0
13-May-09	20:19	5	59.8	61.0	58.0	62.6	59.8*	70.0
13-May-09	20:24	5	60.5	62.0	59.0	62.7	60.5*	70.0
13-May-09	20:29	5	60.8	63.0	58.5	61.9	60.8*	70.0
13-May-09	20:34	5	61.0	62.5	58.5	61.8	61.0*	70.0
13-May-09	20:39	5	60.1	61.5	58.0	61.4	60.1*	70.0
21-May-09	21:21	5	58.2	59.5	56.0	60.6	58.2*	70.0
21-May-09	21:26	5	59.7	61.5	57.5	60.9	59.7*	70.0
21-May-09	21:31	5	60.5	63.5	57.0	61.1	60.5*	70.0
21-May-09	21:36	5	58.5	60.0	57.0	60.7	58.5*	70.0
21-May-09	21:41	5	59.0	60.5	56.5	60.5	59.0*	70.0
21-May-09	21:46	5	58.3	59.5	56.0	60.4	58.3*	70.0
26-May-09	20:37	5	63.3	64.0	62.0	61.8	58.0	70.0
26-May-09	20:42	5	63.2	64.0	62.0	61.4	58.5	70.0
26-May-09	20:47	5	63.4	64.0	62.5	61.3	59.2	70.0
26-May-09	20:52	5	63.4	64.0	62.5	62.8	54.5	70.0
26-May-09	20:57	5	63.2	64.0	62.0	62.0	57.0	70.0
26-May-09	21:02	5	63.3	64.0	62.5	61.1	59.3	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	23:03	5	56.8	58.5	55.0	58.7	56.8*	55.0
4-May-09	23:08	5	57.6	59.0	55.5	59.2	57.6*	55.0
4-May-09	23:13	5	57.7	59.0	56.0	58.5	57.7*	55.0
4-May-09	23:18	5	57.2	58.0	55.5	58.3	57.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:04	5	57.2	58.5	55.5	58.7	57.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:09	5	58.4	60.0	56.0	59.2	58.4*	55.0
13-May-09	23:14	5	58.4	59.5	56.5	58.5	58.4*	55.0
13-May-09	23:19	5	58.9	60.5	57.0	58.3	50.0	55.0
21-May-09	23:01	5	55.9	57.0	54.5	59.4	55.9*	55.0
21-May-09	23:06	5	56.8	58.5	54.5	58.7	56.8*	55.0
21-May-09	23:11	5	56.6	58.0	54.5	59.2	56.6*	55.0
21-May-09	23:16	5	56.4	57.5	54.0	58.5	56.4*	55.0
26-May-09	23:07	5	60.0	63.5	57.5	58.7	54.1	55.0
26-May-09	23:12	5	60.0	63.5	58.0	59.2	52.3	55.0
26-May-09	23:17	5	60.1	63.5	58.0	58.5	55.0	55.0
26-May-09	23:22	5	59.8	63.5	57.4	58.3	54.5	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
3-May-09	13:18	5	57.3	58.5	55.0	64.2	57.3*	70.0
3-May-09	13:23	5	58.5	61.0	55.5	64.2	58.5*	70.0
3-May-09	13:28	5	56.5	57.5	54.5	63.6	56.5*	70.0
3-May-09	13:33	5	57.3	58.5	55.0	64.0	57.3*	70.0
3-May-09	13:38	5	56.7	58.0	55.0	63.7	56.7*	70.0
3-May-09	13:43	5	56.9	58.5	55.0	62.5	56.9*	70.0
10-May-09	10:53	5	62.1	64.0	60.0	64.5	62.1*	70.0
10-May-09	10:58	5	61.2	63.0	58.5	64.2	61.2*	70.0
10-May-09	11:03	5	61.7	63.5	59.5	63.7	61.7*	70.0
10-May-09	11:08	5	61.5	63.0	59.5	65.3	61.5*	70.0
10-May-09	11:13	5	62.9	66.0	59.0	64.4	62.9*	70.0
10-May-09	11:18	5	61.4	63.0	59.5	64.5	61.4*	70.0
17-May-09	11:21	5	60.5	61.5	57.5	64.5	60.5*	70.0
17-May-09	11:26	5	59.7	61.0	57.5	63.8	59.7*	70.0
17-May-09	11:31	5	59.1	60.5	57.0	63.7	59.1*	70.0
17-May-09	11:36	5	59.5	61.0	57.0	64.1	59.5*	70.0
17-May-09	11:41	5	59.2	61.0	57.0	64.9	59.2*	70.0
17-May-09	11:46	5	58.4	60.0	56.0	63.4	58.4*	70.0
24-May-09	16:11	5	59.7	61.5	57.5	63.2	59.7*	70.0
24-May-09	16:16	5	58.2	59.5	56.5	62.7	58.2*	70.0
24-May-09	16:21	5	58.9	60.0	56.0	62.6	58.9*	70.0
24-May-09	16:26	5	59.0	60.5	57.0	63.4	59.0*	70.0
24-May-09	16:31	5	58.3	59.5	56.5	64.6	58.3*	70.0
24-May-09	16:36	5	58.9	60.0	57.0	64.8	58.9*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	19:21	5	61.9	63.0	60.0	66.4	61.9*	70.0
4-May-09	19:26	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	66.7	62.3*	70.0
4-May-09	19:31	5	62.4	64.0	60.5	65.7	62.4*	70.0
4-May-09	19:36	5	61.7	62.5	60.0	66.0	61.7*	70.0
4-May-09	19:41	5	61.5	62.0	60.5	66.1	61.5*	70.0
4-May-09	19:46	5	61.8	63.0	60.5	66.3	61.8*	70.0
13-May-09	20:09	5	61.5	62.5	60.0	65.3	61.5*	70.0
13-May-09	20:14	5	62.1	63.0	60.5	66.2	62.1*	70.0
13-May-09	20:19	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	65.5	61.6*	70.0
13-May-09	20:24	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	65.4	61.6*	70.0
13-May-09	20:29	5	62.0	63.5	60.5	65.6	62.0*	70.0
13-May-09	20:34	5	61.9	62.5	61.0	64.9	61.9*	70.0
21-May-09	20:43	5	61.9	63.0	60.5	64.6	61.9*	70.0
21-May-09	20:48	5	61.6	62.0	60.5	64.3	61.6*	70.0
21-May-09	20:53	5	61.6	62.0	60.5	64.7	61.6*	70.0
21-May-09	20:58	5	61.8	62.5	60.5	64.4	61.8*	70.0
21-May-09	21:03	5	61.6	62.5	60.5	64.4	61.6*	70.0
21-May-09	21:08	5	61.1	62.5	59.5	64.6	61.1*	70.0
26-May-09	21:08	5	62.3	63.5	61.0	64.6	62.3*	70.0
26-May-09	21:13	5	62.8	63.5	61.0	63.4	62.8*	70.0
26-May-09	21:18	5	61.6	62.5	60.5	63.6	61.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:23	5	61.6	62.0	60.5	64.0	61.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:28	5	62.2	63.0	60.5	63.1	62.2*	70.0
26-May-09	21:33	5	62.5	63.5	61.5	64.2	62.5*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	23:01	5	58.8	59.5	57.5	60.3	58.8*	55.0
4-May-09	23:06	5	58.8	59.5	58.0	60.7	58.8*	55.0
4-May-09	23:11	5	58.7	59.5	57.5	60.3	58.7*	55.0
4-May-09	23:16	5	59.3	60.0	58.5	61.0	59.3*	55.0
13-May-09	23:04	5	59.2	60.0	58.0	60.7	59.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:09	5	59.9	60.5	58.5	60.3	59.9*	55.0
13-May-09	23:14	5	60.4	61.0	59.0	61.0	60.4*	55.0
13-May-09	23:19	5	60.4	61.5	59.0	60.2	46.9	55.0
21-May-09	23:08	5	59.0	60.0	58.0	60.3	59.0*	55.0
21-May-09	23:13	5	60.0	61.0	58.5	61.0	60.0*	55.0
21-May-09	23:18	5	60.1	62.0	58.0	60.2	60.1*	55.0
21-May-09	23:23	5	60.0	61.5	58.5	59.5	50.4	55.0
26-May-09	23:03	5	60.7	61.5	59.5	60.7	60.7*	55.0
26-May-09	23:08	5	60.7	62.0	59.5	60.3	50.1	55.0
26-May-09	23:13	5	60.6	61.5	59.5	61.0	60.6*	55.0
26-May-09	23:18	5	61.1	62.5	59.5	60.2	53.8	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather



**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
3-May-09	10:50	5	60.6	61.5	59.0	67.5	60.6*	70.0
3-May-09	10:55	5	60.6	62.0	59.0	67.5	60.6*	70.0
3-May-09	11:00	5	60.3	61.5	59.0	66.6	60.3*	70.0
3-May-09	11:05	5	60.6	61.5	59.0	67.8	60.6*	70.0
3-May-09	11:10	5	60.0	61.0	58.5	68.0	60.0*	70.0
3-May-09	11:15	5	60.4	62.0	58.5	69.1	60.4*	70.0
10-May-09	9:05	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	67.4	61.6*	70.0
10-May-09	9:10	5	63.1	64.5	61.0	67.4	63.1*	70.0
10-May-09	9:15	5	62.2	63.5	60.5	66.7	62.2*	70.0
10-May-09	9:20	5	62.9	64.5	61.0	67.6	62.9*	70.0
10-May-09	9:25	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	67.5	62.3*	70.0
10-May-09	9:30	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	66.8	62.3*	70.0
17-May-09	10:26	5	59.1	60.0	57.5	66.9	59.1*	70.0
17-May-09	10:31	5	59.7	61.0	58.0	67.8	59.7*	70.0
17-May-09	10:36	5	59.8	62.5	58.0	66.2	59.8*	70.0
17-May-09	10:41	5	61.0	66.0	58.5	66.7	61.0*	70.0
17-May-09	10:46	5	62.2	66.4	59.0	67.9	62.2*	70.0
17-May-09	10:51	5	62.3	65.5	58.5	67.5	62.3*	70.0
24-May-09	13:58	5	64.3	65.0	63.0	67.2	64.3*	70.0
24-May-09	14:03	5	64.6	65.0	63.5	65.1	64.6*	70.0
24-May-09	14:08	5	64.5	65.5	63.0	64.7	64.5*	70.0
24-May-09	14:13	5	64.8	66.0	63.5	66.5	64.8*	70.0
24-May-09	14:18	5	64.2	65.5	62.5	66.6	64.2*	70.0
24-May-09	14:23	5	63.2	64.5	61.5	65.7	63.2*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool (NSR 3)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	20:07	5	62.3	64.1	59.9	65.2	62.3*	70.0
4-May-09	20:12	5	63.2	65.2	60.4	64.8	63.2*	70.0
4-May-09	20:17	5	62.6	64.3	60.8	64.6	62.6*	70.0
4-May-09	20:22	5	62.8	65.0	60.4	64.8	62.8*	70.0
4-May-09	20:27	5	62.4	64.4	59.4	64.5	62.4*	70.0
4-May-09	20:32	5	61.4	63.2	59.5	64.3	61.4*	70.0
13-May-09	19:25	5	64.5	66.5	61.7	65.5	64.5*	70.0
13-May-09	19:30	5	63.5	65.2	61.2	65.4	63.5*	70.0
13-May-09	19:35	5	64.2	66.5	61.4	65.3	64.2*	70.0
13-May-09	19:40	5	65.0	67.4	61.7	65.1	65.0*	70.0
13-May-09	19:45	5	64.3	66.8	61.1	65.1	64.3*	70.0
13-May-09	19:50	5	62.9	64.9	60.6	65.4	62.9*	70.0
21-May-09	20:36	5	63.2	64.9	60.9	64.2	63.2*	70.0
21-May-09	20:41	5	61.1	62.6	59.6	64.2	61.1*	70.0
21-May-09	20:46	5	62.3	64.0	60.1	64.4	62.3*	70.0
21-May-09	20:51	5	62.2	63.7	60.4	64.3	62.2*	70.0
21-May-09	20:56	5	62.1	63.6	60.5	64.4	62.1*	70.0
21-May-09	21:01	5	63.1	64.9	60.6	64.3	63.1*	70.0
26-May-09	21:10	5	63.0	64.8	61.0	64.2	63.0*	70.0
26-May-09	21:15	5	63.6	66.3	60.6	64.5	63.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:20	5	63.0	64.6	60.3	65.4	63.0*	70.0
26-May-09	21:25	5	63.6	65.3	61.7	64.7	63.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:30	5	64.1	65.6	62.1	64.3	64.1*	70.0
26-May-09	21:35	5	63.6	65.4	61.5	64.6	63.6*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool (NSR 3)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	23:07	5	61.3	63.4	58.3	62.8	61.3*	55.0
4-May-09	23:12	5	60.4	62.9	57.4	62.9	60.4*	55.0
4-May-09	23:17	5	62.1	65.1	57.1	63.0	62.1*	55.0
4-May-09	23:22	5	58.9	61.1	56.2	62.8	58.9*	55.0
13-May-09	23:25	5	61.0	63.5	57.8	62.2	61.0*	55.0
13-May-09	23:30	5	61.2	64.4	57.5	62.3	61.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:35	5	61.1	63.9	57.7	62.1	61.1*	55.0
13-May-09	23:40	5	60.0	61.9	57.9	62.9	60.0*	55.0
21-May-09	23:31	5	59.9	63.2	56.9	62.3	59.9*	55.0
21-May-09	23:36	5	59.7	62.2	56.8	62.1	59.7*	55.0
21-May-09	23:41	5	59.5	62.5	55.7	62.9	59.5*	55.0
21-May-09	23:46	5	60.0	61.9	57.9	62.2	60.0*	55.0
26-May-09	23:15	5	61.4	63.2	59.3	63.0	61.4*	55.0
26-May-09	23:20	5	62.5	64.8	59.8	62.8	62.5*	55.0
26-May-09	23:25	5	62.3	64.3	59.7	62.2	45.9	55.0
26-May-09	23:30	5	62.0	64.2	59.6	62.3	62.0*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool (NSR 3)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
3-May-09	11:18	5	65.2	66.4	63.8	66.5	65.2*	70.0
3-May-09	11:23	5	64.4	65.8	63.0	66.7	64.4*	70.0
3-May-09	11:28	5	64.7	66.0	62.2	67.6	64.7*	70.0
3-May-09	11:33	5	62.7	64.5	60.1	66.6	62.7*	70.0
3-May-09	11:38	5	62.7	64.4	60.5	66.3	62.7*	70.0
3-May-09	11:43	5	64.1	66.1	61.6	66.4	64.1*	70.0
10-May-09	10:24	5	64.7	66.7	61.7	67.6	64.7*	70.0
10-May-09	10:29	5	63.9	65.4	61.9	66.7	63.9*	70.0
10-May-09	10:34	5	63.5	65.1	61.6	66.3	63.5*	70.0
10-May-09	10:39	5	63.9	65.9	61.5	66.7	63.9*	70.0
10-May-09	10:44	5	63.8	65.2	61.6	66.5	63.8*	70.0
10-May-09	10:49	5	63.6	65.5	61.3	67.3	63.6*	70.0
17-May-09	9:36	5	63.1	65.2	60.4	67.3	63.1*	70.0
17-May-09	9:41	5	61.9	63.7	59.9	67.4	61.9*	70.0
17-May-09	9:46	5	62.1	64.1	59.7	67.8	62.1*	70.0
17-May-09	9:51	5	63.3	65.5	60.3	67.4	63.3*	70.0
17-May-09	9:56	5	61.5	63.0	59.7	68.1	61.5*	70.0
17-May-09	10:01	5	62.8	64.9	59.4	67.7	62.8*	70.0
24-May-09	13:41	5	62.1	64.5	58.7	65.0	62.1*	70.0
24-May-09	13:46	5	61.7	63.3	59.3	65.1	61.7*	70.0
24-May-09	13:51	5	61.7	63.3	59.2	65.0	61.7*	70.0
24-May-09	13:56	5	61.6	63.1	59.8	65.5	61.6*	70.0
24-May-09	14:01	5	62.8	64.4	60.1	64.7	62.8*	70.0
24-May-09	14:06	5	61.9	64.4	59.3	65.1	61.9*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	20:00	5	62.4	64.8	58.7	66.8	62.4*	70.0
4-May-09	20:05	5	62.9	65.8	58.9	66.8	62.9*	70.0
4-May-09	20:10	5	61.1	63.6	57.2	67.1	61.1*	70.0
4-May-09	20:15	5	65.0	68.4	59.7	67.3	65.0*	70.0
4-May-09	20:20	5	61.4	63.8	57.8	66.5	61.4*	70.0
4-May-09	20:25	5	62.3	64.8	57.6	66.5	62.3*	70.0
13-May-09	19:45	5	62.6	65.7	59.0	67.2	62.6*	70.0
13-May-09	19:50	5	61.5	64.0	58.5	67.5	61.5*	70.0
13-May-09	19:55	5	63.1	65.3	60.1	67.8	63.1*	70.0
13-May-09	20:00	5	63.1	66.0	58.9	66.8	63.1*	70.0
13-May-09	20:05	5	63.8	66.3	58.7	66.8	63.8*	70.0
13-May-09	20:10	5	63.4	66.5	58.9	67.1	63.4*	70.0
21-May-09	20:16	5	62.3	64.8	58.3	67.3	62.3*	70.0
21-May-09	20:21	5	63.2	65.9	59.1	66.5	63.2*	70.0
21-May-09	20:26	5	63.4	66.0	59.8	66.5	63.4*	70.0
21-May-09	20:31	5	61.2	63.5	57.6	66.1	61.2*	70.0
21-May-09	20:36	5	62.3	65.4	58.6	66.9	62.3*	70.0
21-May-09	20:41	5	61.4	63.7	58.3	66.1	61.4*	70.0
26-May-09	21:11	5	62.5	64.6	59.3	65.8	62.5*	70.0
26-May-09	21:16	5	62.6	65.6	58.8	66.3	62.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:21	5	61.6	63.4	59.1	66.3	61.6*	70.0
26-May-09	21:26	5	61.8	64.7	57.7	67.2	61.8*	70.0
26-May-09	21:31	5	62.8	66.0	59.0	66.3	62.8*	70.0
26-May-09	21:36	5	62.4	64.7	59.1	66.6	62.4*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	23:05	5	59.7	62.3	56.0	66.0	59.7*	55.0
4-May-09	23:10	5	61.7	65.1	56.5	65.7	61.7*	55.0
4-May-09	23:15	5	62.5	65.8	58.0	66.7	62.5*	55.0
4-May-09	23:20	5	60.4	63.4	55.3	65.7	60.4*	55.0
13-May-09	23:30	5	61.1	64.2	56.3	65.4	61.1*	55.0
13-May-09	23:35	5	61.0	63.7	57.0	65.7	61.0*	55.0
13-May-09	23:40	5	60.8	64.2	56.0	65.8	60.8*	55.0
13-May-09	23:45	5	61.8	65.5	54.6	65.2	61.8*	55.0
21-May-09	23:16	5	62.0	64.6	57.8	66.7	62.0*	55.0
21-May-09	23:21	5	60.8	64.1	55.1	65.7	60.8*	55.0
21-May-09	23:26	5	61.6	65.0	56.1	65.3	61.6*	55.0
21-May-09	23:31	5	62.9	66.2	57.3	65.4	62.9*	55.0
26-May-09	23:26	5	61.0	64.0	56.1	65.3	61.0*	55.0
26-May-09	23:31	5	61.7	65.0	55.6	65.4	61.7*	55.0
26-May-09	23:36	5	59.4	62.6	54.3	65.7	59.4*	55.0
26-May-09	23:41	5	60.8	64.0	56.3	65.8	60.8*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
3-May-09	14:13	5	62.9	65.8	59.0	66.6	62.9*	70.0
3-May-09	14:18	5	62.0	64.5	58.6	66.9	62.0*	70.0
3-May-09	14:23	5	62.1	63.4	57.5	66.2	62.1*	70.0
3-May-09	14:28	5	62.8	65.5	57.0	66.2	62.8*	70.0
3-May-09	14:33	5	63.3	66.3	59.1	67.0	63.3*	70.0
3-May-09	14:38	5	62.7	65.3	58.7	67.5	62.7*	70.0
10-May-09	9:28	5	62.7	65.5	57.7	66.2	62.7*	70.0
10-May-09	9:33	5	61.6	64.8	56.9	67.5	61.6*	70.0
10-May-09	9:38	5	62.6	65.2	58.7	67.4	62.6*	70.0
10-May-09	9:43	5	61.0	63.7	57.0	67.3	61.0*	70.0
10-May-09	9:48	5	62.9	65.6	57.4	66.9	62.9*	70.0
10-May-09	9:53	5	61.7	64.2	57.9	66.6	61.7*	70.0
17-May-09	9:58	5	61.6	63.7	57.6	67.9	61.6*	70.0
17-May-09	10:03	5	61.7	64.3	57.3	67.5	61.7*	70.0
17-May-09	10:08	5	63.3	65.9	58.5	66.8	63.3*	70.0
17-May-09	10:13	5	61.0	63.1	56.4	67.3	61.0*	70.0
17-May-09	10:18	5	63.5	66.0	59.8	66.9	63.5*	70.0
17-May-09	10:23	5	61.5	64.4	57.0	66.8	61.5*	70.0
24-May-09	8:46	5	62.3	64.2	59.5	66.6	62.3*	70.0
24-May-09	8:51	5	62.8	65.5	59.4	66.4	62.8*	70.0
24-May-09	8:56	5	62.7	64.9	58.8	66.4	62.7*	70.0
24-May-09	9:01	5	62.7	65.2	59.0	67.2	62.7*	70.0
24-May-09	9:06	5	61.7	64.0	59.2	68.0	61.7*	70.0
24-May-09	9:11	5	61.3	63.7	57.6	67.5	61.3*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	19:19	5	69.9	72.9	65.5	73.3	69.9*	70.0
4-May-09	19:24	5	69.9	73.0	65.8	72.5	69.9*	70.0
4-May-09	19:29	5	69.3	72.6	66.4	73.1	69.3*	70.0
4-May-09	19:34	5	69.2	72.1	65.2	72.6	69.2*	70.0
4-May-09	19:39	5	69.1	72.4	65.6	73.1	69.1*	70.0
4-May-09	19:44	5	69.1	71.5	65.0	73.3	69.1*	70.0
13-May-09	21:01	5	69.5	72.3	65.2	71.7	69.5*	70.0
13-May-09	21:06	5	69.3	72.0	65.8	71.7	69.3*	70.0
13-May-09	21:11	5	70.1	73.2	66.8	71.4	70.1*	70.0
13-May-09	21:16	5	69.7	72.3	67.1	71.4	69.7*	70.0
13-May-09	21:21	5	68.8	71.2	65.5	72.0	68.8*	70.0
13-May-09	21:26	5	69.7	71.6	66.5	71.0	69.7*	70.0
21-May-09	19:54	5	68.6	71.2	64.0	72.6	68.6*	70.0
21-May-09	19:59	5	69.5	71.9	65.2	73.0	69.5*	70.0
21-May-09	20:04	5	69.8	72.8	64.9	72.4	69.8*	70.0
21-May-09	20:09	5	69.0	71.5	65.2	72.5	69.0*	70.0
21-May-09	20:14	5	69.7	72.7	65.2	72.2	69.7*	70.0
21-May-09	20:19	5	70.3	73.0	65.5	72.3	70.3*	70.0
26-May-09	20:38	5	69.1	71.4	64.3	72.5	69.1*	70.0
26-May-09	20:43	5	69.9	71.7	65.6	72.1	69.9*	70.0
26-May-09	20:48	5	71.1	74.3	67.1	72.0	71.1*	70.0
26-May-09	20:53	5	70.1	73.2	64.8	71.6	70.1*	70.0
26-May-09	20:58	5	69.1	71.4	65.0	71.7	69.1*	70.0
26-May-09	21:03	5	70.6	72.8	66.5	71.7	70.6*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather



**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
4-May-09	23:05	5	67.3	71.0	63.9	69.1	67.3*	55.0
4-May-09	23:10	5	66.3	69.5	63.3	69.6	66.3*	55.0
4-May-09	23:15	5	66.7	70.5	63.4	69.2	66.7*	55.0
4-May-09	23:20	5	66.7	70.5	63.7	69.0	66.7*	55.0
13-May-09	23:32	5	65.9	69.7	63.5	68.2	65.9*	55.0
13-May-09	23:37	5	66.2	69.7	62.8	69.0	66.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:42	5	66.2	68.1	62.2	68.7	66.2*	55.0
13-May-09	23:47	5	66.7	71.3	61.8	68.9	66.7*	55.0
21-May-09	23:24	5	66.7	70.2	63.6	68.5	66.7*	55.0
21-May-09	23:29	5	66.3	69.9	63.0	68.2	66.3*	55.0
21-May-09	23:34	5	66.2	70.2	63.0	69.0	66.2*	55.0
21-May-09	23:39	5	66.4	70.0	62.2	68.7	66.4*	55.0
26-May-09	23:13	5	66.2	68.7	63.2	69.2	66.2*	55.0
26-May-09	23:18	5	65.8	69.2	62.7	69.0	65.8*	55.0
26-May-09	23:23	5	67.2	71.0	63.2	68.5	67.2*	55.0
26-May-09	23:28	5	66.7	69.1	62.9	68.2	66.7*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
3-May-09	9:25	5	70.8	72.7	66.0	74.9	70.8*	70.0
3-May-09	9:30	5	71.0	72.7	68.0	74.5	71.0*	70.0
3-May-09	9:35	5	71.5	73.8	68.3	74.8	71.5*	70.0
3-May-09	9:40	5	70.6	72.6	67.2	74.8	70.6*	70.0
3-May-09	9:45	5	70.8	72.9	67.5	74.2	70.8*	70.0
3-May-09	9:50	5	70.0	71.8	66.9	73.8	70.0*	70.0
10-May-09	11:21	5	69.9	71.4	66.8	74.2	69.9*	70.0
10-May-09	11:26	5	70.1	71.7	68.2	72.9	70.1*	70.0
10-May-09	11:31	5	70.6	72.5	67.8	73.5	70.6*	70.0
10-May-09	11:36	5	70.2	72.1	67.4	74.4	70.2*	70.0
10-May-09	11:41	5	69.7	71.5	67.5	72.6	69.7*	70.0
10-May-09	11:46	5	70.2	71.9	68.0	74.6	70.2*	70.0
17-May-09	11:17	5	69.9	72.9	64.5	74.2	69.9*	70.0
17-May-09	11:22	5	69.9	72.2	66.0	74.2	69.9*	70.0
17-May-09	11:27	5	70.8	73.4	66.5	72.9	70.8*	70.0
17-May-09	11:32	5	69.8	72.3	65.9	73.5	69.8*	70.0
17-May-09	11:37	5	69.8	71.8	65.4	74.4	69.8*	70.0
17-May-09	11:42	5	69.9	72.3	66.3	72.6	69.9*	70.0
24-May-09	10:44	5	69.6	72.6	64.0	73.3	69.6*	70.0
24-May-09	10:49	5	69.9	72.3	65.8	74.7	69.9*	70.0
24-May-09	10:54	5	70.6	73.5	65.9	74.8	70.6*	70.0
24-May-09	10:59	5	69.7	72.3	65.5	74.5	69.7*	70.0
24-May-09	11:04	5	69.5	71.6	65.1	74.3	69.5*	70.0
24-May-09	11:09	5	69.9	72.4	66.1	73.8	69.9*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.


\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

## **Appendix N1**


### **Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour**

### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.



## **Appendix N2**


### **Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour**

### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of Main Education Building (NSR2)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.




Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.



## **Appendix O1**

### **Environmental Complaint Log Book**

**Appendix O1 - Environmental Complain Log**


Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Follow-up Action	Status/Remarks
EC01	25-Nov-04 by e-mail from HyD	23-Nov-04 to HyD Enquiry e- mail and EPD Hotline e-mail.	The complainant claimed to be a resident of Rambler Crest, east Tsing Yi.	The complainant mainly enquired about why impact monitoring at Rambler Crest is not being carried out as part of the routine EM&A Programme currently being implemented for the Route 8 Stonecutter's Bridge Project during the construction stage. In addition, the complainant also enquired why monitoring at the 4 sensitive receivers are not being done for the same Project.	N/A	Both HyD and EPD have formally replied to the complainant by e-mail on 10 December 2004. Further enquiries were made by the complainant and a joint meeting was held between HyD, EPD and the ET and a second formal reply was issued by HyD on 23 December 2004 via e-mail. No further enquiries were received since and therefore the complaint is considered closed.	Closed.

## **Appendix O2**

### **Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint**

## Appendix O2 - Cumulative Statistics of Complaints

Route 8 Phase 3 - Stonecutters Bridge



## **Appendix P**

### **Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months**

**Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 May 2009 and 28 June 2009**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					29-May 1hr-TSP	30-May
31-May Noise <sub>P,H.</sub>	1-Jun Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	2-Jun 24hrs-TSP	3-Jun 1hr-TSP	4-Jun	5-Jun	6-Jun
7-Jun Noise <sub>P,H.</sub>	8-Jun 24hrs-TSP	9-Jun 1hr-TSP	10-Jun Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	11-Jun	12-Jun	13-Jun 24hrs-TSP
14-Jun Noise <sub>P,H.</sub>	15-Jun 1hr-TSP	16-Jun Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	17-Jun	18-Jun	19-Jun 24hrs-TSP	20-Jun 1hr-TSP
21-Jun Noise <sub>P,H.</sub>	22-Jun	23-Jun	24-Jun Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	25-Jun 24hrs-TSP	26-Jun 1hr-TSP	27-Jun
28-Jun Noise <sub>P,H.</sub>						

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P,H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

**Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 June 2009 and 28 July 2009**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	29-Jun Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	30-Jun 24hrs-TSP	1-Jul	2-Jul 1hr-TSP	3-Jul	4-Jul
5-Jul Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	6-Jul 24hrs-TSP	7-Jul 1hr-TSP	8-Jul Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	9-Jul	10-Jul	11-Jul 24hrs-TSP
12-Jul Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	13-Jul 1hr-TSP	14-Jul Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	15-Jul	16-Jul	17-Jul 24hrs-TSP	18-Jul 1hr-TSP
19-Jul Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	20-Jul	21-Jul	22-Jul Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	23-Jul 24hrs-TSP	24-Jul 1hr-TSP	25-Jul
26-Jul Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	27-Jul Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	28-Jul				

- 1hr-TSP      3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.
- 24hrs-TSP    24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5
- Noise        Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.
- Noise<sub>Evening</sub>    6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).
- Noise<sub>Night</sub>        4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).
- Noise<sub>P.H.</sub>        6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

**Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 July 2009 and 28 August 2009**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			29-Jul 24hrs-TSP	30-Jul 1hr-TSP	31-Jul	1-Aug
2-Aug Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	3-Aug Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	4-Aug 24hrs-TSP	5-Aug 1hr-TSP	6-Aug	7-Aug	8-Aug
9-Aug Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	10-Aug 24hrs-TSP	11-Aug 1hr-TSP	12-Aug Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	13-Aug	14-Aug	15-Aug 24hrs-TSP
16-Aug Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	17-Aug 1hr-TSP	18-Aug	19-Aug	20-Aug Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	21-Aug 24hrs-TSP	22-Aug 1hr-TSP
23-Aug Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	24-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	27-Aug 24hrs-TSP	28-Aug 1hr-TSP	

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).



## **Appendix Q**

### **Photographic Records of Implemented Measures**

**Appendix Q**  
**Photographical Records of Implemented Measures**



**Photo 01 (P3-SA5A )**



**Photo 02 (P3-SA6 )**

## **Appendix R**

### **Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status**

## Route 8

## Appendix R

Contract No. H/2002/26 – Stonecutters Bridge  
Summary of Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

Item	Nature of Permits/License	Date of Application	Date of issue of Permits/License	Permit/License No.	Remark
1	Environmental Permit	6/9/2002 (HyD, VEP-073/2002)	26/09/2002	EP-085/2000/E	Valid
2	Registration as a Waste Producer	5/5/2004 ( M45/100/000773)	06/08/2004 (EP760/350/0089331)	WPN 5213-350-M2640-01	Valid
3	Effluent Discharge License	6/9/2004 (M45/100/001766)	20/09/2004 (EP760/269/009124I)	EP760/269/009124I (until 30/09/2009)	For Eastern Tower Site Works Area
		9/9/2004 (M45/400/002475)	21/12/2004 (EP760/350/008933I)	EP760/350/008933I (until 31/12/2009)	For Western Tower Site Works Area
4	Construction Noise Permit	19/11/2008 (received by EPD)	20/12/2008 (EP731/N31/RW0594-08)	GW-RW0594-08 (until 19/06/2009)	For Eastern Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		02/01/2009 (received by EPD)	15/01/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0009-09)	GW-RW0009-09 (until 14/07/2009)	For Eastern Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		22/01/2009 (received by EPD)	12/02/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0055-09)	GW-RW0055-09 (until 11/08/2009)	For Western Site area P3-SA2, SA2A: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		24/03/2009 (received by EPD)	21/04/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0115-09)	GW-RW0115-09 (until 20/10/2009)	For Western Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		09/04/09 (received by EPD)	30/04/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0132-09)	GW-RW0132-09 (until 29/10/2009)	For Western Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)