#### MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT

Highways Department

Route 8
Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan
Phase 3
Stonecutters Bridge

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (29<sup>th</sup> May 2009 – 28<sup>th</sup> June 2009)

#### EP – 085/2000/E Route 8 Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge:

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (29<sup>th</sup> May 2009 – 28<sup>th</sup> June 2009)

Certified by	the Environmental Team Leader		
Signed:	Stalie twok	Date: _	9 July 2009
M	s. Natalie Kwok		
Verified by	the Independent Environmental Checke	er	
Signed:	Toeghthing	Date: _	10 July 2009

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

E	XECU	TIVE SUMMARY	1
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
_	1.1	Purpose of the Report	
	1.2	Structure of the Report.	
2	PRO	OJECT INFORMATION	
	2.1	Background	
	2.2	Site Description.	
	2.3	Project Organisation	
	2.4	Project Work Programme	
3	EN	VIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
	3.1	Air Quality	
	3.2	Noise Quality	
4	IMI	PLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
		QUIREMENTS	7
5	EN	VIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS	8
	5.1	Status of Permits and Licenses	8
6	MO	NITORING RESULTS	
	6.1	Air Quality	8
	6.2	Noise	
7	AU	DIT RESULTS	
	7.1	Air Quality	10
	7.2	Noise	
	7.3	Water Quality	11
	7.4	Waste Management	
	7.5	Site Audits / Inspections	12
8	EN	VIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF	
		MMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS	13
	8.1	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	13
	8.2	Summary of Complaints	
	8.3	Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions	14
9	RO	UTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)	
	9.1	Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS	14
	9.2	Audit Results	
10	) FU	FURE KEY ISSUES	
	10.1	Key Issues for the Coming Month	
	10.2	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months	
1	1 RE	COMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
	11.1	Conclusions	16
	112	Recommendations	16

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)
Table 2.2	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)
Table 3.1	TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency
Table 3.2	TSP Monitoring Locations
Table 3.3	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.4	Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters
Table 3.5	Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.6	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 6.1	Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.2	Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.3	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring
Table 6.4	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring
Table 7.1	Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period
Table 8.1	Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period
Table 8.2	Summary of Total Complaint Received

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Location Plan
Appendix B	Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details
Appendix C	Three Months Rolling Programme
Appendix D1	Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality
Appendix D2	Action/Limit Levels for Noise
Appendix E	Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period
Appendix F	Locations of Monitoring Stations
Appendix G1	Calibration Certificates for HVS
Appendix G2	Not used
Appendix G3	Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator
Appendix G4	Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator
Appendix G5	Certificate of HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
Appendix H1	Event/Action Plan for Air Quality
Appendix H2	Event/Action Plan for Noise
Appendix I	Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements
Appendix J	1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix K	Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix L	Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring
Appendix M1	Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix M2	Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix N1	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix N2	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix O1	Environmental Complaint Log Book
Appendix O2	Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint
Appendix P	Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months
Appendix Q	Photographic Records of Implemented Measures
Appendix R	Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- ES 1 An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge.
- ES 2 This is the 60<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for "Phase 3 Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)". The construction commencement of this Contract was on 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2004 and this report presents the results of the EM&A works conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> May 2009 and 28<sup>th</sup> June 2009 in accordance with the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report. (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- ES 3 It is considered that there would be no significant air quality and noise impact to be generated from the Project Contract to surrounding public at Tsing Yi Island. A proposal on the termination of air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was therefore issued to EPD on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009 for approval in accordance with EP Condition 4.1. The proposal was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality and noise monitoring would be carried out at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.
- ES 4 The major construction activities carried out during normal hours are as follows:
  - i. Access to Tower (Western and Eastern Tower Site)
  - ii. Steel deck and stay cable works (Western and Eastern Tower Site)
  - iii. Steel deck finishing work
  - iv. Roads and utilities construction (Eastern Tower Site)
  - v. E&M works
  - vi. Demolition of uploading platform
- ES 5 The major construction activities carried out during restricted hours are as follows:
  - i. Tower and steel deck construction (Eastern and Western Tower Site evening, night-time and public holidays)
- ES 6 Monitoring of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and noise were carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Weekly site inspections were conducted by ET on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009 and the joint IEC monthly audit was conducted on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009.

#### Air Quality

ES 7 A total of 45 sets of 1 hour TSP and 11 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations (ASR1 to ASR5) during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.

#### **Noise**

ES 8 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) from this Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities.

Daytime Monitoring

ES 9 A total of 12 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were undertaken in daytime (0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances

were recorded.

Evening-time Monitoring

ES 10 A total of 12 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements were taken in evening-time (1900 to 2300 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

Night-time Monitoring

- ES 11 A total of 12 sets of 4 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements were taken in night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

  \*Public Holidays Monitoring\*
- ES 12 A total of 17 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken during public holidays at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

#### **Water Quality**

- ES 13 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- ES 14 In accordance with the approved licenses' conditions, water sampling is required on a bi-monthly basis. One water sample was taken for CT8 site area by MHYHJV on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2009. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.
- ES 15 One water sample was taken on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2009 at CT9 site area. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results will be reported in coming EM&A monthly report. The next sampling is scheduled for May 2009 for CT8 site area.

#### **Waste Management**

- ES 16 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.
- ES 17 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 42 m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.
- ES 18 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, all inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, a total of 4,210 m<sup>3</sup> of public fill and 639 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.
- ES 19 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m³ of surplus filling material to TW/98/02 Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512 m³ (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.
- ES 20 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to HY/2000/21 Phase 1 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct for re-usage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004 m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) were delivered to HY/2000/21.
- ES 21 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to "Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)" for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138 m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- ES 22 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to "Ampliacao Do Novo Terminal Maritimo Da Taipa" Project in Macau by a derrick

- barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.
- ES 23 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- ES 24 No chemical waste was disposed of site during the reporting period.

#### **Site Inspections**

ES 25 ET carried out weekly site inspections during the reporting period and the major issues identified on site are presented below:

Item	Findings	MHYHJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1	The waste skip at area P3-SA3 was full and general refuse was found stockpiled on site without proper container. MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly and provide proper storage containers for different C&D waste.	The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Proper containers have been provided on site for waste storage.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 01).
2	Stagnant water was accumulated in the steelworks at area P3-SA3C.	Labours have been allocated to remove stagnant water as far as practical and apply larvicidal oil wherever necessary in order to reduce the risk of mosquito breeding.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 02).
3	MHYHJV was reminded to replace the damaged geo-textiles for the existing gullies at area P3-SA6.	The damaged geo-textile had been replaced after the site inspection.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 03).

ES 26 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009 and two general reminders were recorded and presented as follows:-

Item	Findings	MHYHJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1	The waste skip at area P3-SA3 was full and general refuse was found stockpiled on site without proper container. MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly and provide proper storage containers for different C&D waste.	The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Proper containers have been provided on site for waste storage.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 01).
2	Stagnant water was accumulated in the steelworks at area P3-SA3C.	Labours have been allocated to remove stagnant water as far as practical and apply larvicidal oil wherever necessary in order to reduce the risk of mosquito breeding.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 02).

#### **EPD Audits**

ES 27 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.

#### **Environmental Licenses and Permits**

- ES 28 The following permits / licenses have been granted by EPD for the construction of the Phase 3 Contract and they are:
  - i. Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E)
  - ii. Chemical Waste Producer Registration (5213-350-M2640-01)
  - iii. 2 Effluent Discharge Licences (EP760/269/009124I and EP760/350/008933I)
  - iv. Licence for the conduct a Tar and Bitumen Works (Mastic Asphalt Plant) (L-15-033(1))
  - v. 5 Construction Noise Permits

#### **Environmental Complaints**

ES 29 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting month.

#### **Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions**

ES 30 Since the commencement of construction, no notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for this Contract.

#### **Future Key Issues**

ES 31 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming three months, i.e. July 2009 to September 2009 are summarized as follows:

Construction	Major Impact	Control Measures
Works	Prediction	
Tower and steel	Air impact (dust)	i) Frequent watering (or remove dusty material) of haul road
deck finishing		and unpaved/exposed areas;
work; Roads and		ii) Frequent watering or covering open stockpiles with tarpaulin
utilities		or similar means; and
construction		iii) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality	i) Diversion of collected effluent to adequate de-silting facilities
	impact (construction	for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains;
	effluent and surface	ii) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface
	run-off)	run-off and other collected effluent prior to discharge; and
		iii) Provision of perimeter protection such as perimeter channel.
	Noise Impact	i) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to
		avoid persistent noisy operation;
		ii) Controlling the number of plants use on site;
		iii) Regular maintenance of machinery; and
		iv) Use of acoustic barriers if deemed necessary.

#### **Route 8 - Traffic Control and Surveillance System (TCSS)**

- ES 32 The construction of the "Route 8 Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)" (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV).
- ES 33 The construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) and Phase 2a Contract (Route 8 Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007 and 25<sup>th</sup> October 2006 respectively. Since the no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for both Phase 1 and Phase 2a Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1 and Phase 2a would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.
- ES 34 A joint site audit amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV was carried out on 24<sup>th</sup> June May 2009. No adverse comments were raised by ET, IEC and RSS.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.

#### 1.1 Purpose of the Report

This is the 60<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the "Phase 3 - Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)" (hereafter known as the "Phase 3 Contract"). This report presents the results of the EM&A programme conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> May 2009 and 28<sup>th</sup> June 2009 in accordance with the Environmental Permit EP-085/2000/E and the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).

#### **1.2** Structure of the Report

The structure of the report is as follows:

- Section 1: **INTRODUCTION** details the scope and structure of the report.
- Section 2: **PROJECT INFORMATION** summarizes the background and scope of the project, project organization, construction programme and the construction works undertaken during the reporting period.
- Section 3: **ENVIRONMENTAL MONOTORING REQUIREMENTS** summarizes the monitoring programmes, Action and Limit Levels, Event Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA Report and relevant environmental requirements.
- Section 4: <u>IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL</u>

  <u>PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS</u> summarizes the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
- Section 5: ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCE AND PERMITTING
  REQUIREMENTS summarizes the environmental licences and permits obtained or being applied during the reporting period.
- Section 6: MONITORING RESULTS reports the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 7: <u>AUDIT RESULTS</u> summarizes the audit findings in the reporting period.
- Section 8: COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD summarizes the complaints, notifications of summons and prosecutions recorded during the reporting period.
- Section 9: **ROUTE 8 TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM**
- Section 10: **FUTURE KEY ISSUES** summarizes the future key issues as reviewed from the works programme and work method statements.
- Section 11: **RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

#### 2 PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Ltd (Arup) was awarded the Design and Construction Consultancy Assignment No. CE61/2000 "Stonecutters Bridge Design and Construction Assignment".
- 2.1.2 The construction of the Phase 3 Contract was awarded to Maeda-Hitachi-Yogogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture (MHYHJV) on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2004 and is scheduled to be substantially complete in mid 2009.
- 2.1.3 The Construction Works under the Phase 3 Contract involves a cable-stayed bridge of 1.6km long with 1,018m main span and 290m high mono towers. It will span across the Rambler Channel between the Kwai Chung Container Terminal 8 (CT8) at Stonecutters Island and Container Terminal 9 (CT9) at the east side of Tsing Yi.

#### 2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The Phase 3 Contract has two distinct sites; namely the Eastern Tower site which is located on the Stonecutters Island and the Western Tower site locates on the east side of Tsing Yi Island adjacent to CT9.
- 2.2.2 Five sensitive receivers have been identified for the Phase 3 Contract in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the EIA. Two monitoring stations are located at the Tsing Yi Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education (IVE) in the Main Education Building and Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence, one at Mayfair Gardens, one at Cheung Ching Estate and one at the DSD Pumping Station located adjacent to the Container's Port Road in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military base at the Stonecutters Island. The site location plan and the monitoring locations are presented in *Appendix A* and *F* respectively.
- 2.2.3 It is considered that there would be no significant air quality and noise impact to be generated from the Project to surrounding public at Tsing Yi Island. A proposal on the termination of air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was therefore issued to EPD on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009 for approval in accordance with EP Condition 4.1. The proposal was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality and noise monitoring would be carried out at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

#### 2.3 Project Organisation

2.3.1 The Phase 3 Contract organization chart and contact details are shown in *Appendix B*.

#### 2.4 Project Work Programme

2.4.1 The Phase 3 Contract's Three Months Rolling Programme is presented in *Appendix C*. The major site activities undertaken during the normal hours and restricted hours during the reporting period are summarized in *Table 2.1* and *Table 2.2* respectively.

Table 2.1 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site)	Access to Tower, steel deck finishing work
P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site)	Access to Tower, steel deck finishing work
P3-SA6 (Eastern Tower	Roads and utilities construction.
Site)	
P3-SA5A	Demolition and reinstatement of uploading platform

Table 2.2 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 & SA5 (Eastern &	Tower and steel deck construction (evening, night-time and
Western Tower Site)	public holidays)

#### 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 Air Quality

#### Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) are required to be conducted to monitor the construction dust impact. The established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works are presented in *Appendix D1*.

#### Monitoring Frequency and Schedule

3.1.2 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in *Table 3.1*. The monitoring schedule for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix E*.

Table 3.1 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

Parameters	Duration / hour	Frequency
24-hour TSP	24	Once Every Six Days
1-hour TSP	1	Three Times Every Six Days

#### **Monitoring Locations**

3.1.3 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five air quality monitoring locations were selected for the Phase 3 Contract and they are listed in *Table 3.2* and presented in *Appendix F*. The proposal on the termination air quality at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate (ASR 3 and ASR4) was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality would be carried out at ASR 3 and ASR4 with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

Table 3.2 TSP Monitoring Locations

Location I.D.	Description
ASR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence
ASR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building
*ASR3	Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool

Location I.D.	Description
*ASR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)
ASR5	DSD Pumping Station G/F, in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military Base

<sup>\*</sup> ASR 3 & ASR 4 was terminated since 1st June 2009.

3.1.4 All meteorological data was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website.

#### Monitoring Equipment

3.1.5 Continuous 24-hour and 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using a TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc. High Volume Sampler (HVS), which was installed at the monitoring stations. The sampler composed of a motor, filter holder, flow controller and a sampling inlet. Its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Details of the monitoring equipment are given in *Table 3.3*. A copy of the calibration certificate for the HVS and wind data monitor is attached in *Appendix G1*.

Table 3.3 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Qty.
HVS	TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc.	5
Calibrator	TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc.	1

#### Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details

- 3.1.6 Calibration Procedures Calibration procedures of HVS are as follows (calibration certificates are presented in *Appendix G3*):
  - i. A certified orifice transfer standard with a calibration curve was used for the calibration.
  - ii. The transfer standard was connected to the inlet of the sampler. The orifice manometer was then connected to the orifice pressure port. The manometer's connecting tubing was inspected to make sure that there are no leaks between the orifice unit and the sampler.
  - iii. The motor was then disconnected from the flow controller and plugged directly to an AC power source.
  - iv. The ambient temperature, Ta (K) and the barometer pressure Pa (mmHg) were obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website for TSP calculation.
  - v. The sampler was allowed to run for at least 2 minutes to re-establish the run temperature conditions. The pressure drop across the orifice and the well-type manometer reading was recorded during calibration. The variable resistance was adjusted to repeat recording for four different flow rates.
  - vi. The best fit straight line was determined by linear regression and the slope (m1), intercept (b1) and correlation coefficient (r) are then determined.
- 3.1.7 Operating/Analytical Procedure
  - i. The flow rate of the high volume sampler was set to about 1.1 m<sup>3</sup>/min 1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min prior to commencement of the dust sampling in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
  - ii. The samplers was located such that:
    - a. the filter was about 1.3 meters above ground.
    - b. it was greater than 20 meters away from trees.

- c. it was separated from any obstacle by at least twice the height of the obstacle protruding above the sampler.
- d. it has unrestricted airflow 270° around the sampler.
- iii. Fiberglass filters were used for TSP sampling (G810) [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3 mm diameter.
- iv. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment has a temperature setting between 25°C and 30°C and should not vary by more than  $\pm 3$ °C; the relative humidity was < 50% and should not vary by more than  $\pm 5$ %.
- v. A new filter was placed with stamped number upward on a supporting screen.
- vi. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- vii. Shelter lid closed and catch secured with the aluminum strip.
- viii. The sampler was then allowed to run for at least 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- ix. The flow indicator reading was recorded and the sampler flow rate was determined.
- x. The programmable timer was set and the starting sampling time, weather condition and the filter number was recorded.
- xi. At the end of sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded. A copy of the HOKLAS Certificate is attached in *Appendix G5*.
- xii. Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a desiccator for 24 hours with temperature of 25°C±3°C and the relative humidity (RH) 50%±5%, preferably 40%.

#### 3.1.8 Maintenance

- i. The high volume sampler and their accessories were maintained in good working condition, include replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure continuous power supply.
- ii. The high volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc. Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### Event/Action Plan

3.1.9 The Event/Action Plan for Air Quality is presented in *Appendix H1*.

#### 3.2 Noise Quality

#### Monitoring Requirements

- 3.2.1 According to the field study, the noise generated from the major roads (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road) was noticeable at noise monitoring stations and therefore the major roads were considered as an influencing factor of the noise sensitive receivers.
- 3.2.2 As the noise monitoring stations are located at urban area and directly affected by this Influencing Factor (IF), the Area Sensitivity Rating of the noise monitoring stations is considered to be "C" according to the *Table 1* of the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling under Noise Pollution Control Ordinance.

3.2.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at five monitoring stations to monitor the construction noise impact from the Phase 3 Contract. *Appendix D2* presents the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

#### Monitoring Frequency and Schedule

3.2.4 The monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix E* and the frequency and parameters of noise measurement are summarized in *Table 3.4*.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters

Time Period	Duration / min.	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime (0700 to 1900)	30 (6 consecutive L <sub>eq</sub> (5min) in average)	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Once per week
*Evening (1900 to 2300)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week
*Night (2300 to 0700 next day)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Four times per week
*Holiday (0700-1900 on holidays)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week

<sup>\*</sup> Restricted hour noise monitoring: to be conducted only when there is construction work under valid CNP.

#### **Monitoring Locations**

3.2.5 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five noise monitoring locations (as detailed in *Table 3.5* and presented in *Appendix F*) were selected for noise measurement. The proposal on the termination air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further noise monitoring would be carried out at NSR 3 and NSR 4 with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

Table 3.5 Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations

Location I.D.	Description	Type of measurement
NSR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence	Free Field
NSR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building	Free Field
*NSR3	Mayfair Gardens, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool	Free Field
*NSR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (22/F)	Free Field
NSR5	DSD Pumping Station (in the proximity of Stonecutters Military Base)	Free Field

<sup>\*</sup> NSR 3 & NSR 4 was terminated since 1st June 2009.

#### Monitoring Equipment

3.2.6 Integrating Sound Level Meters were used for noise monitoring which were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq) and percentile sound pressure level (Lx). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). Also, a portable electronic wind speed indicator capable of measuring wind speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. *Table 3.6* summarizes the noise monitoring equipment required.

Table 3.6 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	30, Pulsar; 2236 and 2238 B&K
Calibrator	100B, Pulsar; 4231 B&K
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	PWM2, Dwyer

#### Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details

#### 3.2.7 Field Monitoring

- i. The microphone of the Sound Level Meter (with weatherproof kit) was mounted on a tripod at a height of 2m above ground level.
- ii. For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
- iii. AC power supply was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- iv. Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

a. frequency weightingb. time weighting: Fast

c. time measurement : 30 minutes / 5 minutes

- v. Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- vi. The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- vii. At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$  and  $L_{10}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- viii. Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- ix. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

#### 3.2.8 Maintenance and Calibration

- i. The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- ii. The meter was sent to the supplier to check and calibrate yearly.
- iii. Calibration certificates are presented in Appendix G4

#### 3.2.9 Event/Action Plan

The Event/Action Plan for Noise impact is presented in *Appendix H2*.

## 4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

MHYHJV has implemented a series of environmental mitigation measures to fulfill requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status during the reporting period is summarized in *Appendix I*.

#### 5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS

#### 5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses

5.1.1 The status of permits, licenses and EPD notifications for all relevant environmental issues of the Phase 3 Contract for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix R*.

#### 6 MONITORING RESULTS

#### 6.1 Air Quality

6.1.1 The 1-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at three monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured 1-hour TSP levels is given in *Table 6.1*. Graphical presentations of the 1-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of 1-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*. Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory.

Table 6.1 Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results

Location	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)		Action Level	Limit Level
I.D.	Range	Mean	$(\mu g/m^3]$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
ASR1	116.7 – 144.7	75.1	350	500
ASR2	109.4 – 135.8	56.5	350	500
ASR3	77.5 – 81.3	72.4	350	500
ASR4	84.3 – 116.3	59.4	350	500
ASR5	246.0 - 322.7	191.4	324	500

6.1.2 The 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at three monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured results is given in *Table 6.2*. Graphical presentation of the results and the trend of 24-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*.

Table 6.2 Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results

Location	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)		Action Level	Limit Level
I.D.	Range	Mean	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
ASR1	44.2 – 65.6	32.0	174.0	260
ASR2	41.7 – 65.0	29.5	185.5	260
ASR5	52.2 - 52.2	52.2	178.0	260

6.1.3 No exceedances of the Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP were recorded during the reporting period. The wind data monitoring results recorded during the reporting period are summarized in *Appendix L*.

#### 6.1.4 Observations

Several significant dust sources were identified during the reporting period and they were mainly contributed by the following activities:

i. On site traffic;

- ii. Roads and utilities construction; and
- iii. Vehicular emission from local traffic network.

#### 6.2 Noise

- 6.2.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual, all noise monitoring were carried out in the absence of fog, rain and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gust exceeding 10m/s. Furthermore, an additional 3dB(A) façade correction for free field measurements were made for all monitoring locations.
- 6.2.2 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations from Phase 3 Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities. No adjustments will be made on the measured noise levels, if they were lowered or equal to the corresponding baseline levels.

#### 6.2.3 Normal Hour Monitoring

Daytime noise monitoring was carried out at all noise monitoring stations during the reporting period. All corrected noise levels are presented in *Appendix M1*. A summary of the results is given in *Table 6.3*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of noise monitoring results are shown in *Appendix N1*.

Table 6.3 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring

table did Summary of Corrected Impact House Devels for Horman Hom Mondoning					
Daytime 0700-1900 hrs on	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
normal weekdays	$L_{eq(30min)}$	$L_{10(30 min)}$	L <sub>90(30min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub>	$L_{eq(30min)}$
NSR1	64.5 - 67.2	65.9 - 68.4	62.6 - 65.6	$56.6^{3}$	75
NSR2 <sup>2</sup>	63.8 - 64.0	64.7 - 65.2	62.3 - 62.4	_ 4	70
NSR2 <sup>2</sup>	63.3 - 64.1	64.6 - 65.1	61.4 - 62.8	_ 4	65
NSR5	70.0 - 71.4	72.2 - 74.6	65.6 - 66.7	_ 4	75

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

#### 6.2.4 Observations

The major noise source(s) identified at the NSRs during the normal hour monitoring were dominated by local traffic noise (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road), in particular container trucks.

#### 6.2.5 Restricted Hour Monitoring

Construction works were carried out at site areas P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site) and P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site) during evening time, night-time and public holidays. Noise monitoring

<sup>2</sup> Limit Level is reduced to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during examination periods. Examinations were carried out from 1<sup>st</sup> to 13<sup>th</sup> June 2009.

<sup>3</sup> No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

<sup>4</sup> No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level.

was carried out at all monitoring locations public-holidays (0700 - 1900 hours) and at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during evening-time (1900 - 2300 hours) and night time (2300-0700 hours next day). All measured noise levels are presented in *Appendix M2* and a summary of the results is given in *Table 6.4*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the Reporting period is shown in *Appendix N2*.

Table 6.4 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring

Evening-time	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level,	Limit Level dB(A)
1900-2300 hrs		(= <b>g</b> -)		dB(A) (Range)	()
	$L_{eq(5min)}$	$L_{10(5min)}$	$L_{90(5min)}$	$L_{eq(5min)}$	$L_{eq(5min)}$
NSR1	60.7 - 63.9	62.0 - 66.5	58.5 - 62.0	$49.9 - 59.5^{2}$	70
NSR2	60.3 - 62.8	61.0 - 64.5	59.5 - 60.5		70
NSR5	67.7 - 72.4	69.6 - 75.8	62.1 - 67.1	_ 3	70
Night-time	Measure	d Noise Level	$^{-1}$ ,dB(A),	Construction	Limit Level
2300 – 0700 hrs		(Range)		Noise Level,	dB(A)
next day				dB(A) (Range)	
next day	$L_{eq(5min)}$	$L_{10(5min)}$	$L_{90(5min)}$	$L_{eq(5min)}$	$L_{eq(5min)}$
NSR1	57.3 - 60.0	58.0 - 62.5	54.5 - 58.0	$45.0 - 52.3^{2}$	55
NSR2	58.3 – 61.6	59.0 - 63.0	57.0 - 60.0	$43.9 - 53.1^{2}$	55
NSR5	65.6 - 68.6	67.4 - 72.7	60.8 - 65.2	$51.2 - 52.2^{2}$	55
	Measure	d Noise Level	$^{-1}$ ,dB(A),	Construction	Limit Level
Public Holiday		(Range)		Noise Level,	dB(A)
0700-1900 hrs				dB(A) (Range)	
	$L_{eq(5min)}$	$L_{10(5min)}$	$L_{90(5min)}$	$L_{ m eq(5min)}$	$L_{eq(5min)}$
NSR1	62.0 - 65.9	62.5 - 67.0	61.0 - 64.5	$49.2 - 60.0^{2}$	70
NSR2	59.6 – 62.3	60.5 - 64.5	58.0 - 60.5	- <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR3	61.4 - 62.9	63.2 - 65.3	59.2 - 60.2	_ 3	·
NSR4	59.2 – 62.8	61.8 - 65.6	56.1 – 58.2	_ 3	
NSR5	68.4 - 73.5	70.2 - 77.4	60.4 - 69.5	_ 3	70

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

#### 6.2.6 Observations

The major noise sources during the restricted hour monitoring were dominated by the operation of CT9 and local traffic noise (Container Port Road and Tsing Yi Road) and in particular container trucks.

#### 7 AUDIT RESULTS

#### 7.1 Air Quality

7.1.1 For 1-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 45 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

<sup>2</sup> No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

<sup>3</sup> No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level.

7.1.2 For 24-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 11 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

#### 7.2 Noise

- 7.2.1 A total of 12 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were carried out during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations (NSR1, NSR 2 and NSR5) during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.2 A total of 12 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq (5min)</sub> measurements were carried out during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.3 A total of 12 sets of 4 x L<sub>eq (5min)</sub> measurements were carried out during night-time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 7.2.4 A total of 17 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements were carried out during public holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

#### 7.3 Water Quality

- 7.3.1 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- 7.3.2 In accordance with the approved licenses' conditions, water sampling is required on a bimonthly basis. One water sample was taken for CT8 site area by MHYHJV on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2009. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results indicated that they have fully complied with the Specific Condition as stipulated in the approved license.
- 7.3.3 One water sample was taken on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2009 at CT9 site area. The water sample was subsequently tested by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and the results will be reported in coming EM&A monthly report. The next sampling is scheduled for July 2009 for CT8 site area.

#### 7.4 Waste Management

- 7.4.1 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.
- 7.4.2 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 42 m³ of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.

- 7.4.3 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, a total of 4,210 m³ of public fill and 639 m³ broken concrete were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.
- 7.4.4 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to "Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok (TW/98/02)" for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512m<sup>3</sup> (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.
- 7.4.5 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to "Route 8 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct (HY/2000/21)" for reusage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to HY/2000/21.
- 7.4.6 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to "Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)" for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- 7.4.7 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m³ of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to "Ampliacao Do Novo Terminal Maritimo Da Taipa" Project in Macau by a derrick barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.
- 7.4.8 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- 7.4.9 The quantities of different waste and their handling are summarized in *Table 7.1*.

Table 7.1 Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period

Material	І Туре	Handling Method	Handling Quantities in the reporting period	Temporary Storage Locations On-site (if applicable)
C&D	Public Fill	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	4,210 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
material	Broken Concrete	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	639 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
	C&D Waste	To be recycled	280 kg (paper)	P3-SA2 and P3-SA5
		(paper& plastic)	6 kg (plastic)	Contractor's Office
		To be recycled (metal)	40,000 kg	N/A
General Refuse		Collected by licensed collector for disposal to WENT	42 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
Chemical waste		Collected by licensed chemical waste collector	N/A	Western Tower & Eastern Tower Site

#### 7.5 Site Audits / Inspections

Photographic records provided by MHYHJV for their mitigation measures taken to rectify the deficiencies identified on site are presented in Appendix O.

#### 7.5.1 Environmental Team Site Inspections

Weekly site inspections were conducted by the ET during the reporting period and the major findings and MHYHJV's proposed / implemented corrective and preventive measures are summarized as follows:

i. The waste skip at area P3-SA3 was full and general refuse was found stockpiled on site without proper container. MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly and provide proper storage containers for different C&D waste.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Proper containers have been provided on site for waste storage. Completed and closed. (Please refer *Appendix Q* Photo 01).

ii. Stagnant water was accumulated in the steelworks at area P3-SA3C.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – Labours have been allocated to remove stagnant water as far as practical and apply larvicidal oil wherever necessary in order to reduce the risk of mosquito breeding. Completed and closed. (Please refer **Appendix Q** Photo 02).

iii. MHYHJV was reminded to replace the damaged geo-textiles for the existing gullies at area P3-SA6.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The damaged geo-textile had been replaced after the site inspection. Completed and closed. (Please refer **Appendix Q** Photo 03).

7.5.2 Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Site Audits

The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009. Two general reminders were recorded and presented as follows:

i. The waste skip at area P3-SA3 was full and general refuse was found stockpiled on site without proper container. MHYHJV was reminded to remove the general refuse from site regularly and provide proper storage containers for different C&D waste.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – The waste skip had been cleared immediately after the site audit. Proper containers have been provided on site for waste storage. Completed and closed. (Please refer Appendix O Photo 01).

ii. Stagnant water was accumulated in the steelworks at area P3-SA3C.

Corrective and Preventive Actions – Labours have been allocated to remove stagnant water as far as practical and apply larvicidal oil wherever necessary in order to reduce the risk of mosquito breeding. Completed and closed. (Please refer **Appendix Q** Photo 02).

7.5.3 Environmental Protection Department (EPD) Site Inspections

No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.

## 8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS

- 8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance
- 8.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedances were recorded in this reporting period and they are
- 8.1.2 Table 8.1 Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period

Media/	No. of Exceedance		edia/ No. of Exceedance Action		Action	Results of Action	Remarks
Nature	<b>Action Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	Taken	Taken			
Air Quality	0	0	-	-	-		
Noise	0	0	-	-	-		

#### 8.2 Summary of Complaints

8.2.1 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting month. The summary for all the complaints received since the commencement of the Phase 3 Contract is presented in *Table 8.2*. The details of previous complaints and statistics are attached in *Appendices 01* and *02* respectively.

Table 8.2 Summary of Total Complaint Received

Total No. of	No. of complaint received	No. of Active	No. of Inactive/Closed
Complaint Received	within reporting period	Complaint	Complaint
1	0	0	1

#### 8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions

8.3.1 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction.

## 9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)

#### 9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS

- 9.1.1 The construction of the "Route 8 Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)" (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV) and the construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007.
- 9.1.2 The construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) and Phase 2a Contract (Route 8 Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007 and 25<sup>th</sup> October 2006 respectively. Since the no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for both Phase 1 and Phase 2a Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1 and Phase 2a would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.

#### 9.2 Audit Results

- 9.2.1 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.
- 9.2.2 DIGJV reported that no C&D materials were disposed off site to designated public filling area during the reporting period.

#### 10 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

#### 10.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month

- 10.1.1 Works to be taken for the coming monitoring period will be similar to the previous month as follows:
  - i. Assess to Tower
  - ii. Steel decks finishing work
  - iii. Road and utilities construction
- 10.1.2 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, noise, site run-off and waste. However, with the implementation of the following mitigation measures, potential impacts to the surrounding sensitive receivers could be minimized.

#### 10.1.3 Construction Dust

- i. frequently watering of haul road and unpaved areas;
- ii. prohibition of open burning on site;
- iii. investigation of other dust sources near air sensitive receivers;
- iv. regularly watering or covering of open areas and stockpiles with tarpaulin;
- v. hydro-seeding or covering inactive sand fill areas with impervious sheeting if necessary;
- vi. frequently watering during concrete breaking operation;
- vii. switching off vehicles and equipment while not in use; and
- viii. regular maintenance of onsite machinery and vehicles.

#### 10.1.4 Construction Noise

- i. identification of noise sources arising within and outside work site; and
- ii. provision of noise barriers when necessary.

#### 10.1.5 Construction Run-off

- i. identification of sources of run-off from site;
- ii. provision of sandbags/bunds/channels to direct run-off to silt/sand removal facilities;
- iii. avoidance of direct discharge of wastewater into storm water drainage; and
- iv. provision of treatment of wastewater and run-off prior to discharge.

#### 10.1.6 Construction Waste Management

- i. avoidance of accumulation of construction waste materials and/or general refuse on site;
- ii. segregation of C&D waste;
- iii. collection of chemical waste or oil and disposal of chemical waste in accordance with relevant regulations;
- iv. regularly removing of waste materials on site; and
- v. every dump truck should be properly covered before leaving site.

#### **10.2** Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Three Months

The tentative schedules for dust and noise monitoring for the next three months are attached in Appendix P.

#### 11 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 11.1 Conclusions

- 11.1.1 This Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 29<sup>th</sup> May 2009 to 28<sup>th</sup> June 2009 in accordance with EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- 11.1.2 A total of 45 sets of 1 hour TSP and 11 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.
- 11.1.3 A total of 12 sets of L<sub>eq(30min)</sub> measurement during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.4 A total of 12 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.5 A total of 12 sets of 4 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurement during night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.6 A total of 17 sets of 6 x L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> measurements during public-holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at five monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 11.1.7 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting period.
- 11.1.8 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction works.
- 11.1.9 ET and IEC audits were carried out in accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual and deficiencies identified were mainly related to protection of the existing drainage. MHYHJV had carried out immediate corrective / mitigation measures to rectify these issues.
- 11.1.10 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.
- 11.1.11 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.

#### 11.2 Recommendations

According to the environmental audits undertaken during the reporting period, the following recommendations have been made:

#### 11.2.1 Construction Dust

- i. Site access road and exposed areas should be watered regularly to ensure the soil surface is moist;
- ii. Dusty areas should be watered frequently;

- iii. Open stockpiles should be covered properly by tarpaulin or similar fabric;
- iv. Concrete breaking works should be watered frequently; and
- v. Watering for any earth moving activities.

#### 11.2.2 Construction Noise

- i. The numbers of powered mechanical plant operating should not exceed the allowable plant number for each construction activity stated in the Construction Noise Permits;
- ii. Regular maintenance of machinery; and
- iii. Noisy equipment should be located as far as possible from the NSRs.

#### 11.2.3 Water Quality


- i. All surface run-off/wastewater should be diverted to appropriate water treatment facilities before discharge;
- ii. Sedimentation tanks/basins should have adequate capacity for settling surface runoff;
- iii. The condition of u-channel, catch pits and wheel washing facilities should be regularly maintained.
- iv. Vehicle and plant servicing area, wheel washing bay should be connected to storm drains via a petrol interceptor;
- v. Site hoarding should be tightly sealed at the bottom to prevent seepage of surface runoff from the site; and
- vi. Accumulation of water in drip trays and at chemical/fuel storage area should be avoided.

#### 11.2.4 Waste/Chemical Management

- i. Contaminated soil should be collected and disposed of as chemical waste;
- ii. All types of waste should be separated on site prior disposal;
- iii. All types of waste should be collected by licensed waste collectors; and
- iv. Good housekeeping should be implemented throughout the whole construction period.

## Appendix A

**Site Location Plan** 



2009 er by : SCB


6/1/2009 Printer by

### Appendix B

**Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details** 


#### Contract No. HY/2002/26 Route 8 Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge


Appendix B: Project's Environmental Organisational Chart and Contact Details



## Appendix C

**Three-Month Rolling Programme** 





# Appendix D1 Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality

### Appendix D1: Action /Limit Levels for Air Quality

#### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 24-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR1	174.0	260
ASR2	185.5	260
ASR3	200.0	260
ASR4	192.0	260
ASR5	178.0	260

#### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
ASR1	350.0	500
ASR2	350.0	500
ASR3	350.0	500
ASR4	350.0	500
ASR5	324.0	500

## Appendix D2 Action/Limit Levels for Noise

#### **Appendix D2: Action/Limit Levels for Noise**

#### Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action	Limit
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)*
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70 dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day	When one documented complaint is received	55 dB(A)

<sup>\*</sup> Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

# Appendix E

**Environmental Monitoring Schedule for** the Reported Period

#### Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 May 2009 and 28 June 2009 for NSR1 to NSR5 and ASR1 to ASR5

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
										1hr-TSP (with ASR3	29-May & ASR4)		30-May
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub> (with NSR3 &	k NSR4)	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	1-Jun	24hrs-TSP	2-Jun	1hr-TSP	3-Jun		4-Jun		5-Jun		6-Jun
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	7-Jun	24hrs-TSP	8-Jun	1hr-TSP	9-Jun	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	10-Jun		11-Jun		12-Jun	24hrs-TSP	13-Jun
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	14-Jun	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	16-Jun		17-Jun		18-Jun	24hrs-TSP	19-Jun	1hr-TSP	20-Jun
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	21-Jun		22-Jun		23-Jun	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	24-Jun	24hrs-TSP	25-Jun	1hr-TSP	26-Jun		27-Jun
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	28-Jun			and ACDS during									

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5


Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.


NoiseEvening 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

NoiseNight 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

NoiseP.H. 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

# Appendix F Locations of Monitoring Locations





# Appendix G1 Calibration Certificates for HVS

#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR1)

Calibration Date	30-Mar-09	Next Calibration Date	30-May-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.04
	Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)		

J. S.	27 Ashadid Tool	Ambient Condition	ETT SERVICE - SERVICE	
Temperature, Ta (K)	291.65		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	761.95

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04				
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705		
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09		

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.0	1.82	8.2	2.90
2	6.5	1.64	6.3	2.54
3	5.5	1.51	5.7	2.42
4	4.5	1.37	4.7	2.19
5	3.4	1.19	3.5	1.89

STATE OF THE STATE	Reading, ΔO (inch)	x-axis	Reading, ΔH (inch)	y-axis
1	8.0	1.82	8.2	2.90
2	6.5	1.64	6.3	2.54
3	5.5	1.51	5.7	2.42
4	4.5	1.37	4.7	2.19
5	3.4	1.19	3.5	1.89
			0.0	1.00

By Liner Regression of y on x Slope, mh = 1.5379 Intercept, ch = 0.0739 \*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9958 Calibration Result: ACCEPT


\* If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.

ASR1 2009-03-30.xls

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Page 1 of 2

Rev 0 14<sup>th</sup> May 2002



Temperature, Ta (K)

#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR1)

Calibration Date	1-Jun-09	Next Calibration Date	1-Aug-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.04
	Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)		
	Ambient Condition	A1000	0.75

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04				
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705		
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09		
mo x $Q_{std}$ + co = $[\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ $Q_{ssd}$ = $\{[\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - co\} / mo$					

Pressure, Pa (mmHg)

754.94

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>sid</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	7.9	1.78	8.1	2.83
2	6.6	1.62	6.5	2.53
3	5.4	1.47	5.6	2.35
4	4.4	1.33	4.6	2.13
5	3.5	1.18	3.7	1.91


By Liner Regression of y on x Slope, mh = 1.5089 Intercept, ch = 0.1245 \*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9976

300.05

Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration Calibrated By:

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.




#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR2)

Calibration Date	30-Mar-09	Next Calibration Date	30-May-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.03
	5th Floor Block D of the main Education Building (A	ASR2)	
1887 - 1883 <u>- 1</u> 288	Ambient Condi	tion	(5) 21 Cesaretal (5) 24
Temperature, Ta (K)	291.65	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	761.95
	Orifice Transfer Standar	d Information	Service Company
Equipment no.	P2 CAL 04	The second secon	

Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04		Market Control of the
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
11	8.5	1.88	8.3	2.92
2	6.5	1.64	6.8	2.64
3	5.4	1.50	5.5	2.37
4	4.0	1.29	4.4	2.12
5	3.3	1 17	3.5	1.90

By Liner Regression of y on x Slope, mh = 1.4406 Intercept, ch = 0.2362 \*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9968 Calibration Result: ACCEPT  $^{\star}$  If the Correlation Coefficient, R  $_{\rm IS}$  < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require. Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration 31/Marlof Calibrated By: \_ Date: Checked By:



#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR2)

Calibration Date	1-Jun-09	Next Calibration Date	1-Aug-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.03
	5th Floor Block D of the main Education Building (ASR2)		

		Ambient Condition		
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.05		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	754.94

La SARFARO STADES	Orifice Transfe	r Standard Information	Maria e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04		
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
		(ΔΟ x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> 760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> - co} / mo	41101 00

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, AO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.0	1.79	7.9	2.79
2	6.6	1.62	6.7	2.57
3	5.4	1.47	5.7	2.37
4	3.9	1.25	4.6	2.13
5	3.5	1.18	3.8	1.94

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.3412 Intercept, ch =

0.3996


\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9938

Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By: \_\_

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.



#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR3)

Calibration Date	30-Mar-09		Next Calibration Date	30-May-09
Station	Mayfair Gardens		Equipment no.	P2.HVS.01
	1st floor adjacent to swimr	ming pool (ASR3)		
L. Brakkingsort		Ambient Condition		
Temperature, Ta (K)	291.65		Pressure Pa (mmHn)	761.95

	Orifice Transfe	r Standard Information	
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.03		
Slope, mo	1.5842	Intercept, co	-0.00884
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
Last Calibration Dave	mo x Q <sub>std</sub> + co = [	ΔΟ x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> 760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> - co} / mo	4-Nov-09

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>sid</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.5	1.87	8.3	2.92
2	6.4	1.62	6.6	2.60
3	5.3	1.48	5.6	2.40
4	4.5	1.36	4.7	2.19
5	3.4	1.18	3.6	1.92

By Liner Regression of y on x


Slope, mh = 1.4599 Intercept, ch =

0.2121

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9981 Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly C	Calibration		
Calibrated By: Checked By:	cm grag	Date:	31/1Mar 109

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  If the Correlation Coefficient, R  $_{\rm IS}$  < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.



#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR4)

Calibration Date	30-Mar-09	Next Calibration Date	30-May-09
Station	Cheung Ching Estate	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.02
	At the roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)(ASR4)		

and the second		Ambient Condition		VOVERENCE LOV
Temperature, Ta (K)	291.65		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	761.95

metruskaYanil	Orifice Transfe	r Standard Information	SAFONEL CONTRACTOR
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.03		
Slope, mo	1.5842	Intercept, co	-0.00884
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
		ΔΟ x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> 760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> - co} / mo	

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.4	1.86	8.1	2.88
2	6.5	1.63	6.8	2.64
3	5.4	1.49	5.7	2.42
4	4.4	1.35	4.3	2.10
5	3.3	1,17	3.6	1.92

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.4597

Intercept, ch =


0.2034

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9917 Calibration Result: ACCEPT

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration


Calibrated By: On thing Date: 31/nar/07
Checked By: Date: 31/ Mar (19

<sup>\*</sup> If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900, Checking and Recalibration are require.



#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR5)

Calibration Date	30-Mar-09		Next Calibration Date	30-May-09
Station	ASR5		Equipment no.	E.HVS.02
		Ambient Condition		
Temperature, Ta (K)	291.7		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	762.0
		e Transfer Standard Infor	rmation	
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04			
Slope, mo	1.57672		Intercept, co	-0.00705
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08		Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
	mo x C	$t_{std} + co = [\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (2)]$	98/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	
	Q <sub>std</sub> = {	[ΔΟ x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	- co} / mo	
Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM)	HVS Manometer	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/3</sup>
	Reading, ΔO (inch)	x-axis	Reading, ΔH (inch)	y-axis
11	7.5	1.76	7.7	2.81
22	6.5	1.64	6.6	2.60
3	5.5	1.51	5.7	2.42
4	4.5	1.37	4.2	2.07
5	3.4	1.19	3.6	1.92
	1.6073 0.9904 ACCEPT 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are	Intercept, ch =	-0.0366	
Remark:	A way	Date: 3/1,W(&	~ (of	
hecked By:	*	Date: 3 ( 1 ( \delta \) Date: 3 ( 1 \)	60109	



#### TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR5)

Calibration Date	1-Jun-09	Next Calibration Date	1-Aug-09
Station	ASR5	Equipment no.	E.HVS.02
	Amb	ent Condition	
Temperature, Ta (K)	300.1	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	754.9
	Orifice Transfe	er Standard Information	
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04		
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
	mo x Q <sub>std</sub> + co =	ΔO x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	
	$Q_{std} = \{[\Delta O \times (Pa)]\}$	760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> - co} / mo	

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM)	HVS Manometer	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>
Calibration Folia	Reading, AO (inch)	x-axis	Reading, ΔH (inch)	y-axis
1	7.6	1.74	7.9	2.79
2	6.4	1.60	6.7	2.57
3	5.5	1.48	5.6	2.35
4	4.4	1.33	4.5	2.11
5	3.5	1.18	3.4	1.83

By Liner Regression of y on x

Slope, mh =

1.7166

Intercept, ch =

-0.1862

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9993 Calibration Result:

Remark:	
Calibrated By: Checked By:	Date: 1/ Tune (09 Date: (7) June (09)

ACCEPT  $^{\star}$  If the Correlation Coefficient, R  $_{18}$  < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.

# Calibration Curve 4.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.00 Orifice Q<sub>and</sub> (CMM) 1.50 1.75 2.00

Rev 0 14<sup>th</sup> May 2002

# Appendix G2

**Calibration Certificates for Weather Station** 

### **Appendix G2: Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

The Weather Station was removed and meteorological data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory.

# Appendix G3

Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

Date - Operat	Nov 04, 2008 or Tisch	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		833620 1272	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 - 758.19
PLATE OR VDC #	START	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.2800 0.9910 0.9050 0.8350 0.6320	4.2 7.1 8.5 9.9 17.1	1.50 2.50 3.00 3.50 6.00

#### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0021 0.9983 0.9964 0.9946 0.9850	0.7829 1.0073 1.1010 1.1911 1.5586	1.2295 1.5873 1.7388 1.8781 2.4590		0.9944 0.9906 0.9887 0.9869 0.9774	0.7769 0.9996 1.0925 1.1819 1.5466	0.7640 0.9863 1.0804 1.1670 1.5279
Qstd slop intercept coefficient y axis =	t (b) = ent (r) =	1.58420 -0.00884 0.99998	ra)]	Qa slope intercept coefficie y axis =	(b) =	0.99200 -0.00549 0.99998

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd =  $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa =  $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$ 



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right) \left(\frac{T s t d}{T a}\right)}$$

Qa series:

#1272



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5028A

	04, 2008 Tisch	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		833620 1274	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 758.19
OR	VOLUME START	VOLUME STOP	DIFF VOLUME	DIFF TIME	METER DIFF Hg	ORFICE DIFF H2O
VDC #	(m3) NA NA	(m3) NA NA	1.00 1.00	(min) 1.2760 0.9840	(mm) 4.2 7.1	(in.) 1.50 2.50
3 4 5	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00	0.9030 0.8340 0.6290	8.4 9.9 17.1	3.00 3.50 6.00
	,					

#### DATA TABULATION

7							
	Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
	1.0021	0.7854	1.2295	1.00	0.9944	0.7793	0.7640
	0.9983	1.0145	1.5873	C V - Y - C	0.9906	1.0067	0.9863
	0.9965	1.1036	1.7388		0.9889	1.0951	1.0804
	0.9946	1.1925	1.8781	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.9869	1.1833	1.1670
	0.9850	1.5660	2.4590		0.9774	1.5539	1.5279
	Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.57672 -0.00705 0.99988		Qa slope	(b) =	0.98732
	COELLICIE	siic (I) =	0.99900	1000	coefficie	enc (r) =	0.99988
	y axis =	SQRT[H2O(I	Pa/760) (298/1	ra)]	v axis =	SORT [H20 (7	Ta/Pa)]

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta) Qstd = Vstd/Time


Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right) \left(\frac{T s t d}{T a}\right)}$$

Qa series:

#1274

# Appendix G4

Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator



# 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

GF, 9F, 12F, 13F, 8 20F, Leader Center 37 Wong Chik Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong 労運資計な道37就利達中に地下・9種-12種-13種及20個 E-mail: smeo®olgismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	08CA0904 01-01B		Page 1	of	2
tem tested					
Description:	Sound Level Meter	(Type 1)	Microphone		
Manufacturer:	Pulsar, England	1-36	Pulsar, England		
Type/Model No :	Model 30		MK226		
Serial/Equipment No :	T220553		110453		
Adaptors used:					
tem submitted by					
Customer Name:	Meada-Hitachi-Yok	ogawa-Hsin Chong J	loint Venture		
Address of Customer:					
Request No.:	PO/HY26/7192				
Date of request:	01-09-2008				
Date of test:	04-09-2008				
Reference equipment	used in the calibr	ation			
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceab	ele to:
Auti function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	11-01-2009	CIGISME	ic .
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	12-06-2009	CEPREI	
lignal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009	CEPRE	
Ambient conditions				-	
emperature:	23 ± 2 °C				
Relative humidity:	50 ± 15 %				
Vr pressure:	1000 ± 15 hPa				
est specifications					
The Sound Level Me	ter has been calibrate	d in accordance with	the requirements as specif	ed in BS 75	80: Part 1: 1997
and the lab calibration					
			bstituted for the microphon	e which was	removed and
replaced by an equiv					
The acoustic calibrati	on was performed usi	ng an 8&K 4226 sour	nd calibrator and correction	s was applic	d for the differe
	and pressure respon				

Test results This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

ng Jun Qi

oved Signatory: Str Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in the certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soits & Materials Engineering Co. Ltd.

Form No CARP152-1/Move 1/Rev C/01/02/0007



# 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO, LTD.

GF, SF, 12F, 13F, & 20F, Leader Centre 37 Wong Chick Hang Road. Aberdese, Hong Kong. 香油資訊的原理37程制建中心地下,9億12度12度13個及20億 E-mail: smed@cigismec.com Website: www.rcigismec.com



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No :	08CA0917 02A		P	age	1	of	2
Item tested							
Description:	Sound Level Meter	r (Type 1)	, Microphor	ne			
Manufacturer:	Pulsar, England		Pulsar, Er	gland			
Type/Model No.:	Model 30		MK226	-			
Serial/Equipment No :	T220551		110452				
Adaptors used:							
Item submitted by				-			
Customer Name:	Meada-Hitachi-Yok	kogawa-Hsin Chong	Joint Venture				
Address of Customer:							
Request No.:	PO/HY26/7192						
Date of request:	12-09-2008						
Date of test:	17-09-2008						
Reference equipment	used in the calibr	ation					
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Da	te:		Traceabl	e to:
Multi function sound calibrator	88K 4226	2288444	11-01-2009			CIGISMEC	;
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	12-06-2009	)		CEPREI	
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009			CEPREI	
Ambient conditions							
Temperature:	23 ± 2 °C						
Relative humidity:	50 ± 15 %						
	1000 ± 10 hPa						

- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152. The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%. The acoustic calibration was performed using an BSK 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter. 1
- 2,

#### Test results

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets. Huang dan Mintrons Jun Qi

Approved Signatory:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument

© Soits & Materials Engineering Co. Ltd.

Form No GARP152-Lifesive 1/Fex C/91/02/2007

Certificate No : C085814

# Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 00352013

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085814.

The equipment is supplied by

Co Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue 10 November 2008

Certifled by

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



輝 創 工 程 有 限 公 司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No

C085815

# Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

Model No.: NL-31

Serial No.: 01262850

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085815.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture Address 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue 10 November 2008

Certified by

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be repectuced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



#### 綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO, LTD

GF, SET, 12所, 13所, 5, 23所, Leader Canner 37 Wong Chuk Hang Read, Abendeen, Hong Kong 曾海黃竹坑湖37號刊進中心地下,9個 1.2 極 1.3 植及20様 E-mail: smec@digismec.com Website, www.cigismec.com



#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	08CA0904 01-02B		Page:	1	of 2
Item tested					
Description:	Sound Calibrator (	Class 1L)			
Manufacturer:	Pulsar England				
Type/Model No :	MODEL 100B				
Serial/Equipment No.:	035213				
Adaptors used:	Yes				
Item submitted by					
Curstomer:	Meada-Hitachi-Yok	togawa-Hsin Chong Joi	int Venture		
Address of Customer:					
Request No :	PO/HY26/7192				
Date of request:	01-09-2008				
Date of test:	04-09-2008				
Reference equipment	used in the calib	ration			
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:		Traceable to
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	29-06-2009		SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	12-12-2008		CEPRE
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	15-12-2008		CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	18-07-2009		CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	30-11-2008		CIGISMEC
Audio analyzer	89038	GB41300350	06-12-2008		CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	11-07-2009		CEPREI
Ambient conditions					
Temperature:	24 ± 1 °C				
Relative humidity:	55 ± 10 %				
Air pressure:	1000 ± 10 hPa				

#### Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156
  The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes

#### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex 8 of IEC 60942, 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date: 24-09-2008 Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

@ Sois & Materials Engineering Co. 116

Form No CARP155-Lissue URay 0/01/03/0007

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific laboratory activities as fisted in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by this laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.I.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.



#### 輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C085728

# Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description . Sound Calibrator Manufacturer: Rion Model No. NC-74 Serial No. 34351581

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085728.

The equipment is supplied by

Co Name: Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue 5 November 2008

Certified by : CF Leurig

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

C085729

Certificate No

# Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer Rion

Model No NC-74

Serial No 34973223

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.

The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C085729.

The equipment is supplied by

Co Name Dragages China Harbour Joint Venture

Address: 22/F, China Harbour Bldg, 370-374 King's Rd, North Point, HK

Date of Issue 5 November 2008

Certified by CF Leung

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

MateriaLab Division, Fugro Development Centre, 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road, Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N T., Hong Kong.

Report No: 041333CA82714(3)

Tel: +852-2450 8233
Fax: +952-2450 6138
E-mail: mattab@fugro.com.hk
Website: www.materialab.com.hk/www.fugro.com



Page 1 of 2

# CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

# Client Supplied Information

Client: Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV Address: PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office

Project: Calibration Services

Calibration Item -

: Sound level meter Description Model No : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)
Serial No : 2565848 (Microphone), 2562752 (Sound level meter)
Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

#### Laboratory Information

Calibrating Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226

Serial No. : 2546175 : 16/Dec/2008 Date of Calibration

 Ambient Temperature
 : 20±2 °C

 Specification Limit
 : EN 60651: 1994 Type 1

#### Calibration Results :

(1) Frequency response

(Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1: Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB)	Specific	ation L	mit (dB)
31.5	-38.6	-40.9	to	-37.9
63	-25.8	-27.7	to	-24.7
125	-16.0	-17.1	to	-15.1
250	-8.6	-9.6	to	-7.6
500	-3.3	-4.2	to	-2.2
1000(ref.)	0.0	-1.0	to	1.0
2000	1.2	0.2	to	2.2
4000	0.9	-2.0	to	2.5
8000	-2.0	-4.1	to	0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3	to	-1.3
16000	-9.8	-00	to	-3.6

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approved from the Company

MateriaLab Division, Fugro Development Centre, 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road, Tal Lam, Tuen Mun, N T., Hong Kong

Report No.: 041333CA82714(3)

Tel :+852-2450 8233
Fax :+852-2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk
Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Page 2 of 2

# CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

(2) Level range control

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz & Reference range setting: 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

(3) Differential level linearity

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz & Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level	Measured deviation	Specification limit
(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

(4) Crest factor

(C.F.: 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB & Test SPL: 106dB)

# Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level	Measured deviation	Specification limit
(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
106	0.3	± 0.5

# Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement

ned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company

MateriaLab Division.
Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road
Tal Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Report No.: 041333CA82714(4)

Tel :+852-2450 8233
Fax :+852-2450 6138
E-mail : metiab@fugro com.hk
Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Page 1 of 2

# CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

# Client Supplied Information

Client: Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV Address: PO Box No 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office

Project: Calibration Services

Calibration Item -

: Sound level meter Description

Model No. : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)
Serial No. : 2565853 (Microphone), 2562757 (Sound level meter)

Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

#### Laboratory Information

Calibrating Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226

Serial No. : 2546175 : 16/Dec/2008 Date of Calibration

: 20±2 °C Ambient Temperature

: EN 60651: 1994 Type 1 Specification Limit

#### Calibration Results :

(1) Frequency response

(Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1: Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB) Spec		ecification Limit	
31.5	-38.8	-40.9	to	-37.9
63	-26.0	-27.7	to	-24.7
125	-16.1	-17.1	to	-15.1
250	-8.7	-9.6	to	-7.6
500	-3.4	-4.2	to	-2.2
1000(ref.)	-0.1	-1.0	to	1.0
2000	1.1	0.2	to	2.2
4000	0.7	-2.0	to	2.5
8000	-2.4	-4.1	to	0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3	to	-1.3
16000	-9.2	95	to	-3.6

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company

MateriaLab Division
Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road,
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong.

Report No.: 041333CA82714(4)

Tel :+852-2450 8233
Fax :+852-2450 6138
E-mail :mattab@fugro.com.hk
Website : www.materiatab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Page 2 of 2

# CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

(2) Level range control

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz & Reference range setting: 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

(3) Differential level linearity

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz & Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level	Measured deviation	Specification limit
(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

(4) Crest factor

(C.F.: 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB & Test SPL: 106dB)

Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level	Measured deviation	Specification limit
(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
106	0.2	± 0.5

# Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2 The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement

Checked by Certified by :

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company

MateriaLab Division.
Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road,
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N T., Hong Kong.

: +852-2450 8233 : +852-2450 6138 Tel Fax E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No: 041333CA82714(5) Page 1 of 1

# CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL CALIBRATOR

### Client Supplied Information

Client: Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV Address: PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office

Project: Calibration Services

Calibration Item -

Description

: Bruel & Kjaer Sound Level Calibrator

Model No

: Type 4231

Serial No.

: 2605971

Next Calibration Due Date : 16-Dec-2009

Laboratory Information

Calibrating Equipment -

: B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226

Serial No.

: 2546175

Date of Calibration

: 16-Dec-2008 : 20±2 °C

Ambient Temperature Specification Limit

: ±0.5dB

Calibration Result:

(1) At 94dB reading

Correction of UUT (at 94dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB

(2) At 114dB reading

Correction of UUT (at 114dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB

#### Remarks:

- 1 The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2 The above calibration results does comply with the specification requirement.
- 3. Serial number of sound level meter (microphone) used is 2562752 (2565848) Settings of SLM are 50-130dB range, A weighting and F response

Date: 18-12-58 Certified by: CK So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

# Appendix G5 Certificate HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory



#### Hong Kong Accreditation Service 香港認可處

# Certificate of Accreditation

認可證書

This is to certify that 特此證明

# FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

輝固土力工程及檢測有限公司

Fugro Development Centre, 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong 香港新界电門大機樂怡街五號輝園發展中心

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a 為香港製可處執行機類相談認可疑的委員會理論而授受的

**HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory** 「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 - General requirements for the competence This inductiony meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 - 2003 - General requirements for the competency 此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 - 2005 - (創於及校正實驗所能力的透明規定)所訂的要求 of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as 課證可進行數於要潛實驗所證可對數(證可實驗所名圖)內下透測試驗例中的指定 itsted in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of 测於収較正工作

### **Environmental Testing** 環境測試

Phis laboratory is a corecised in accordance with the recognized international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 ; 2005. 本實驗所乃根據公認的課題標準 ISO / IEC 17025 ; 2005. 資格即可 ·
This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory 运动能可提供条件还是更具体的 quality management system piece pint ISO-LAC-IAF Committed 電子を持ちませる。 (及稿数様学化総数・観響書籍系数司合作組載品開修数可論達於二学幸五年八月十八日の数古公前)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive 搬港即可應根據認可處執行機關的機関在此蓋上適用印度

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator 軟行幹事 陳成城

Issue Date: 17 April 2007 簽發日期: 二零零七年四月十七日

Registration Number: MOIGAS 015

Date of First Registration : 23 March 1989 首次註冊日期:一九八九年三月二十三日

L 000260

This conficence in issued subject to the leaves and condition 中國實際與實際的可能對立的機能及可能與



# Hong Kong Accreditation Service

# 香港認可處

This is to certify that

# ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED et the address of 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong. has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a

# HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory

This leboratory maste the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:1999 — General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories and it has been accredited for parforming specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the Test Category of

# ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

The common seel of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive.

(DR. L.H. NG) Executive Administrator

J.H. Ng

Registration Number

Issue Date: 30 JANUARY 2002

Date of First Registration: 15 SEPTEMBER 1995

This Cartificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS.

F 000101

# Appendix H1 Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

Appendix H1: Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

Event		Action	
Level	ET	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	ı	LIX	CONTINUENCE
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source     Inform ER     Repeat Measurement to confirm finding     Increase monitoring frequency to daily	Notify Contractor     Check mortaring data and Contractor's working methods	Rectify any unacceptable practice     Amend working methods if appropriate
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	Identify source     Inform ER     Repeat measurements to confirm findings     Increase monitoring frequency to daily     Discuss with ER for remedial actions required     If exceedance continues arrange meeting with ER     If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing     Notify Contractor     Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods     Discuss with Environmental Team and Contractor on potential remedial actions     Ensure remedial actions properly implemented	Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification     Implement the agreed proposals     Amend proposal if appropriate
Limit Level			
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source     Inform ER and EPD     Repeat measurement to confirm finding     Increase monitoring frequency to daily     Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results	<ol> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>Notify Contractor</li> <li>Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>Discuss with Environmental Team Leader and Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance</li> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source 2. Inform ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Investigate the causes of exceedance 6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results & if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 4. Discuss amongst Environmental Team Leader and the Contractor potential remedial actions 5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness 6. If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	<ol> <li>Take immediate action avoid further exceedance</li> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control</li> <li>Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>

# Appendix H2 Event/Action Plan for Noise

**Appendix H2: Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise** 

Event	Action		
	ET Leader	ER	Contractor
Action Level	Notify ER     Analyse investigation     Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose measures* for the analysed noise problem	Submit noise mitigation proposals to Environmental Team     Implement noise mitigation proposals*
Limit Level	Notify ER     Notify EPD	Notify Contractor     Require contractor to implement mitigation measures* Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Implement mitigation measures     Prove to Environmental Team     Leader ER effectiveness of     measures applied
*	Mitigation Measures may include:  Relocation of noise emitting p  Use of silenced or super-siler  Use of acoustic sheds or scree  Limit quantity of plant operatin  Change working technique	aced equipment ens	

# Appendix I

Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements

**Appendix I: Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirement** 

	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing		Implementa	tion Stages*	
Activities	Activities		01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09	29/05/09 to 28/06/09
Landscape and visual	Erection, painting and maintenance of site hoardings around works and storage areas.	Throughout the	√	1	√	√
	Restrictions on the height of material/spoil stockpiles.	construction period	V	√	√	V
	Prompt hydro-seeding of disturbed areas and cut/fill slopes prior to the permanent landscaping works.	period	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Avoidance of chunam or shotcreting slope treatments.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Conservation of topsoil where practical.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Site litter patrols and regular site waste collection.		√	√	√	<b>V</b>
	Maintenance of planting.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ecological Impact	Minimise damage outside works areas		√	V	√	√
Construction:		1	1	l .	1	1
Material Storage	Covers for dusty stockpiles	Throughout the	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Vehicle movement	Haul road watering, vehicle wheel wash prior to exit. Where practical, access roads should be protected with crushed gravel.	construction period	√	$\sqrt{}$	√	√
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue air emissions.		√	V	√	√
All plant activity	Reference should be made the EM&A Manual Action Plan for measures for consideration when Noise Limit Levels are not met.		V	V	V	V
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue noise nuisance.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable
✓ = Implemented
▲ = Rectified
# = Not Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing		Implementa	tion Stages*	
Activities			01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09	29/05/09 to 28/06/09
Wheel wash	All wheel wash water shall be diverted to a sediment pit.	Throughout	V	V	V	V
Concrete Truck Washout	All concrete trucks shall wash out into a lined pit.	the construction period	√	V	V	√
Surface water diversion	All clean surface water shall be diverted around the site.	period	V	V	V	V
Sediment control	Sediment removal facilities shall be provided and be maintained and excavated as necessary to prevent sedimentation of the channel. Perimeter channels shall be provided. Works shall be programmed for the dry season where feasible.		V	V	V	V
Fuel can storage	All fuel cans shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		√	$\sqrt{}$	<b>A</b>	$\sqrt{}$
Slope covers	Finished slopes and other slopes near drainage areas shall be covered prior to rains to reduce sedimentation of runoff. Slopes should be hydroseeded or shotcreted as early as possible to prevent erosion.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Excavation works	Excavation works shall avoid sensitive areas.	Throughout the excavation work period	V	√	V	√
Material, plant movement & fuel can refilling.	Any fuel or oil spills shall be excavated and disposed.	Throughout the construction	V	V	V	V
Generators	All generators shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.	period	V	V	V	V
Material containers	All empty bags and containers shall be collected for disposal.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable
✓ = Implemented
▲ = Rectified
# = Not Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing		Implementa	tion Stages*	
Activities			01/03/09 to 28/03/09	29/03/09 to 28/04/09	29/04/09 to 28/05/09	29/05/09 to 28/06/09
Worker generated litter and Waste	Litter receptacles shall be placed around the site. Litter shall be taken regularly to the refuse collection points. Chemical toilets (or suitable equivalent) should be provided for workers. Any canteens should have grease-traps.	Throughout the construction period	V	V	V	V
Neighbourhood nuisance	All complaints regarding construction works shall be relayed to the Environmental Team.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Legal requirements	Different types of waste should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements and guidelines		V	V	V	√
On-site separation	On-site separation of municipal solid waste and construction/demolition wastes should be conducted as far as possible in order to minimize the amount of solid waste to be disposed to landfill.		V	V	V	V
Temporary storage area	Separated wastes should be stored in different containers, skips, or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and encourage their proper disposal.		V	V	V	<b>V</b>
Record of wastes	Records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (with locations) should be properly kept.		V	√	√	V
Trip-ticket system	To monitor the disposal of waste at landfills and control fly-tipping, a "trip-ticket" system for all solid waste transfer/disposal operations should be implemented. The system should be included as a contractual requirement, and monitored by the Environmental Team and audited by the Independent Environmental Checker.		V	V	V	V

N/A = Not Applicable
✓ = Implemented
▲ = Rectified
# = Not Implemented

# Appendix J

1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

# The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR 1)

		1.0							
			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-May-09	12:30	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.16	2.8746	2.8851	131.0
29-May-09	14:28	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.16	2.8792	2.8908	144.7
29-May-09	15:37	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.16	2.8828	2.8925	121.0
3-Jun-09	10:16	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.84	2.9214	2.9295	102.7
3-Jun-09	11:23	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.84	2.9031	2.9112	102.7
3-Jun-09	12:36	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.84	2.9248	2.9317	87.5
9-Jun-09	15:09	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.02	2.9147	2.9260	143.0
9-Jun-09	16:44	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.02	2.8925	2.9036	140.5
9-Jun-09	17:20	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.02	2.8820	2.8908	111.4
15-Jun-09	10:16	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.06	2.9314	2.9411	122.7
15-Jun-09	11:25	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.06	2.9420	2.9520	126.5
15-Jun-09	16:57	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.06	2.8281	2.8370	112.6
20-Jun-09	9:52	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.60	2.8327	2.8416	113.2
20-Jun-09	10:56	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.60	2.8363	2.8448	108.1
20-Jun-09	16:00	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.60	2.8427	2.8486	75.1
26-Jun-09	14:00	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.91	2.8149	2.8244	120.4
26-Jun-09	15:17	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.91	2.8340	2.8429	112.8
26-Jun-09	17:02	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	78.91	2.8494	2.8592	124.2

# The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
2-Jun-09	0:00	1440.60	1.32	1.31	1.32	1894.50	2.8670	2.9912	65.6
8-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	1895.22	2.9245	2.9880	33.5
13-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.32	1.31	1893.22	2.9270	2.9876	32.0
19-Jun-09	0:00	1441.80	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.91	2.8303	2.9335	54.6
25-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.31	1.32	1.31	1891.71	2.8271	2.8938	35.3

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)

		ν. υ							
		•	Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-May-09	12:15	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.81	2.8758	2.8860	129.4
29-May-09	14:20	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.81	2.8597	2.8703	134.5
29-May-09	15:25	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.81	2.8723	2.8811	111.7
3-Jun-09	10:10	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.39	2.9278	2.9370	120.4
3-Jun-09	11:17	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.39	2.9045	2.9120	98.2
3-Jun-09	12:24	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.39	2.9252	2.9324	94.3
9-Jun-09	14:58	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.59	2.8874	2.8978	135.8
9-Jun-09	16:06	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.59	2.9484	2.9585	131.9
9-Jun-09	17:15	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.59	2.9213	2.9289	99.2
15-Jun-09	10:03	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.64	2.9135	2.9237	133.1
15-Jun-09	11:08	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.64	2.8324	2.8404	104.4
15-Jun-09	17:05	60.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	76.64	2.8355	2.8427	93.9
20-Jun-09	9:14	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.12	2.8179	2.8244	85.4
20-Jun-09	10:19	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.12	2.8524	2.8604	105.1
20-Jun-09	16:15	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.12	2.8382	2.8425	56.5
26-Jun-09	11:20	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.47	2.8214	2.8312	128.2
26-Jun-09	12:26	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.47	2.8502	2.8580	102.0
26-Jun-09	13:36	60.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76.47	2.8311	2.8391	104.6

# The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)

		110	•						
			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
2-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.28	1.27	1.27	1835.14	2.8851	3.0044	65.0
8-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.27	1.28	1.28	1836.83	2.9373	2.9967	32.3
13-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.27	1.28	1.27	1834.58	2.9326	2.9867	29.5
19-Jun-09	0:00	1440.60	1.27	1.27	1.27	1828.96	2.8134	2.9058	50.5
25-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	1832.88	2.8213	2.8783	31.1

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)

The Gammary	The Summary of 1-th 15F Concentration (pg/m ) at Mayran Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming poor (ASKS)									
			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard					
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration	
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³	
29-May-09	12:45	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.76	2.8392	2.8449	72.4	
29-May-09	14:40	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.76	2.8478	2.8540	78.7	
29-May-09	15:49	60.00	1.31	1.31	1.31	78.76	2.8178	2.8242	81.3	
3-Jun-09										
3-Jun-09										
3-Jun-09										
9-Jun-09										
9-Jun-09										
9-Jun-09										
15-Jun-09										
15-Jun-09										
15-Jun-09										
20-Jun-09										
20-Jun-09										
20-Jun-09										
26-Jun-09										
26-Jun-09										
26-Jun-09										

<sup>--</sup>No monitoring works was carried out, the monitoring station was terminated with effective from 1 st June 2009.

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (μg/m³) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard				
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-May-09	12:55	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.13	2.8510	2.8571	77.1
29-May-09	14:56	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.13	2.8302	2.8394	116.3
29-May-09	16:00	60.00	1.32	1.32	1.32	79.13	2.8310	2.8357	59.4
3-Jun-09									
3-Jun-09									
3-Jun-09									
9-Jun-09									
9-Jun-09									
9-Jun-09									
15-Jun-09									
15-Jun-09									
15-Jun-09									
20-Jun-09									
20-Jun-09									
20-Jun-09									
26-Jun-09									
26-Jun-09									
26-Jun-09									

<sup>--</sup>No monitoring works was carried out, the monitoring station was terminated with effective from 1 st June 2009.

# The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)

			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
	. •	(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
29-May-09	**	60.00							
29-May-09	**	60.00							
29-May-09	**	60.00							
3-Jun-09	**	60.00							
3-Jun-09	**	60.00							
3-Jun-09	**	60.00							
9-Jun-09	**	60.00							
9-Jun-09	**	60.00							
9-Jun-09	**	60.00							
15-Jun-09	**	60.00							
15-Jun-09	**	60.00							
15-Jun-09	**	60.00							
20-Jun-09	**	60.00							
20-Jun-09	**	60.00							
20-Jun-09	**	60.00							
26-Jun-09	12:15	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.8823	2.9081	322.7
26-Jun-09	15:40	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.9234	2.9413	223.9
26-Jun-09	16:45	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.8367	2.8520	191.4

# The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)


			Initial Standard Flow	Final Standard Flow	Averaged Standard	Total Standard			
Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time	Rate	Rate	Flow Rate	Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Concentration
		(min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(g)	(g)	μg/m³
2-Jun-09	**								
8-Jun-09	**								
13-Jun-09	**								
19-Jun-09	**								
25-Jun-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1917.85	2.8919	2.9921	52.2

<sup>\*\*</sup> No monitoring works was carried out, no power supply was provided for the high volume sampler.


# Appendix K

Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result


1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)




1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the main Building (ASR2)




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR2)




1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (ASR3)




1 hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Cheung Ching Estate at the roof of Ching Yung House (ASR4)



1 hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)



24 hrs TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)



# Appendix L

**Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring** 

# **Appendix L: Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring (ASR1-ASR5)**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Ambient Pressure	Average Ambie	nt Temperature	Relative Humidity	Wind Direction	Wind Speed m/s
			P (mmHg)	оС	K	%		
29-May-09	12:00~16:30	Fine	758.54	22.2	295.35	73~94	ENE	8.8
2-Jun-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	753.14	27.1	300.25	69~83	S	5.4
3-Jun-09	10:00~14:15	Cloudy	750.66	28.2	301.35	76~88	SSW	8.4
8-Jun-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	754.86	27.5	300.65	68~95	ESE	7.4
9-Jun-09	14:30~17:45	Cloudy	755.09	26.9	300.05	77~93	SE	5.5
13-Jun-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	754.11	29.0	302.15	74~87	SW	4.3
15-Jun-09	09:45~18:00	Cloudy	754.26	26.6	299.75	81~96	E	5.1
19-Jun-09	00:00~24:00	Sunny	752.24	29.1	302.25	71~93	E	2.3
20-Jun-09	09:00~17:00	Sunny	751.86	29.9	303.05	61~85	SW	3.9
25-Jun-09	00:00~24:00	Cloudy	753.66	28.8	301.95	77~92	ESE	4.1
26-Jun-09	11:00~17:30	Cloudy	752.24	27.7	300.85	78~94	E	9.6

Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory

# Appendix M1

**Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour** 

# The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	9:11	30	67.2	68.4	65.6	66.8	56.6	75.0
10-Jun-09	15:33	30	64.8	66.1	63.0	66.7	64.8*	75.0
16-Jun-09	14:28	30	64.5	65.9	62.6	66.7	64.5*	75.0
24-Jun-09	10:19	30	66.3	67.1	65.1	67.1	66.3*	75.0

### NB: Bold - exceedance

# The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	10:55	30	63.3	64.6	61.4	71.4	63.3*	65.0
10-Jun-09	9:42	30	64.1	65.1	62.8	71.6	64.1*	65.0
16-Jun-09	11:07	30	64.0	65.2	62.4	71.5	64.0*	70.0
24-Jun-09	15:15	30	63.8	64.7	62.3	71.5	63.8*	70.0

# NB: Bold - exceedance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Limit Level is reduced to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during examination periods. Examinations were carried out from 1-Jun-09 to 13-Jun-09

# The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	9:46	30	71.2	74.1	66.7	75.2	71.2*	75.0
10-Jun-09	11:20	30	70.9	74.2	65.6	74.9	70.9*	75.0
16-Jun-09	13:44	30	70.0	72.2	66.5	75.0	70.0*	75.0
24-Jun-09	10:17	30	71.4	74.6	66.4	75.1	71.4*	75.0

# NB: Bold - exceedance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# Appendix M2

**Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour** 

The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	20:16	5	61.1	62.0	59.5	62.6	61.1*	70.0
1-Jun-09	20:21	5	61.1	62.0	59.5	62.6	61.1*	70.0
1-Jun-09	20:26	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	62.7	60.9*	70.0
1-Jun-09	20:31	5	61.8	63.0	60.0	61.9	61.8*	70.0
1-Jun-09	20:36	5	61.1	62.0	60.0	61.8	61.1*	70.0
1-Jun-09	20:41	5	61.7	62.5	60.0	61.4	49.9	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:28	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	63.7	60.9*	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:33	5	60.8	62.0	59.0	63.8	60.8*	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:38	5	61.2	63.0	59.0	63.8	61.2*	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:43	5	61.3	62.5	58.5	63.4	61.3*	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:48	5	61.5	62.5	58.5	63.6	61.5*	70.0
10-Jun-09	19:53	5	61.3	63.0	59.5	63.0	61.3*	70.0
16-Jun-09	19:43	5	62.1	63.5	60.5	63.4	62.1*	70.0
16-Jun-09	19:48	5	63.9	66.5	60.0	63.6	52.1	70.0
16-Jun-09	19:53	5	60.8	62.0	59.5	63.0	60.8*	70.0
16-Jun-09	19:58	5	62.9	65.5	59.5	62.5	52.3	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:03	5	60.7	62.0	59.5	62.9	60.7*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:08	5	60.9	62.5	58.5	62.7	60.9*	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:05	5	62.7	63.5	61.5	60.8	58.2	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:10	5	62.7	63.5	61.5	61.2	57.4	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:15	5	63.1	64.0	62.0	60.6	59.5	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:20	5	62.6	63.0	61.5	60.6	58.3	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:25	5	62.3	63.0	61.5	60.9	56.7	70.0
24-Jun-09	21:30	5	62.3	63.0	61.5	61.1	56.1	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level > measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	23:01	5	58.4	59.0	57.0	59.4	58.4*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:06	5	59.4	61.0	57.5	58.7	51.1	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:11	5	60.0	62.5	58.0	59.2	52.3	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:16	5	59.2	60.5	58.0	58.5	50.9	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:03	5	58.0	59.0	56.5	58.7	58.0*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:08	5	58.5	59.5	57.0	59.2	58.5*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:13	5	57.4	58.0	56.5	58.5	57.4*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:18	5	57.5	58.5	56.0	58.3	57.5*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:04	5	57.3	58.5	56.0	58.7	57.3*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:09	5	58.4	59.5	57.0	59.2	58.4*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:14	5	58.7	59.5	57.5	58.5	45.2	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:19	5	58.5	59.5	57.5	58.3	45.0	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:05	5	58.3	59.5	54.5	58.7	58.3*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:10	5	58.5	60.0	55.0	59.2	58.5*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:15	5	57.9	59.5	54.5	58.5	57.9*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:20	5	58.7	59.5	54.5	58.3	48.1	55.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level > measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq₅ Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
31-May-09	13:44	5	62.7	65.0	61.0	62.5	49.2	70.0
31-May-09	13:49	5	62.7	63.5	61.5	63.3	62.7*	70.0
31-May-09	13:54	5	62.1	63.0	61.0	63.5	62.1*	70.0
31-May-09	13:59	5	62.0	62.5	61.0	63.0	62.0*	70.0
31-May-09	14:04	5	62.8	64.0	61.0	62.4	52.2	70.0
31-May-09	14:09	5	62.0	62.5	61.0	61.1	54.7	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:19	5	64.1	65.0	62.5	64.8	64.1*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:24	5	64.0	65.0	62.5	64.8	64.0*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:29	5	62.9	63.5	62.0	66.4	62.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:34	5	62.9	63.5	62.0	65.7	62.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:39	5	63.9	65.5	62.5	66.0	63.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:44	5	63.0	63.5	62.0	64.1	63.0*	70.0
14-Jun-09	15:40	5	62.4	63.5	61.0	61.6	54.7	70.0
14-Jun-09	15:45	5	62.5	63.5	61.0	62.9	62.5*	70.0
14-Jun-09	15:50	5	62.8	63.5	61.5	63.0	62.8*	70.0
14-Jun-09	15:55	5	63.0	64.0	62.0	62.8	49.5	70.0
14-Jun-09	16:00	5	62.5	63.5	61.0	61.5	55.6	70.0
14-Jun-09	16:05	5	62.6	63.5	61.5	63.3	62.6*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:14	5	62.2	63.0	61.5	64.4	62.2*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:19	5	62.6	63.5	61.5	64.5	62.6*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:24	5	62.6	63.5	61.5	63.8	62.6*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:29	5	63.7	64.5	61.5	63.7	63.7*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:34	5	62.8	63.5	62.0	64.1	62.8*	70.0
21-Jun-09	11:39	5	62.5	63.0	61.5	64.9	62.5*	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:00	5	64.2	65.5	62.5	63.5	55.9	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:05	5	65.6	67.0	63.0	65.2	55.0	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:10	5	64.8	65.5	63.5	64.2	55.9	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:15	5	65.9	67.0	64.0	64.6	60.0	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:20	5	65.4	66.0	64.5	65.1	53.6	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:25	5	64.4	65.0	63.0	64.8	64.4*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	19:20	5	61.2	62.0	60.5	66.4	61.2*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:25	5	62.8	64.5	60.5	66.7	62.8*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:30	5	62.5	64.5	60.5	65.7	62.5*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:35	5	62.2	64.0	60.0	66.0	62.2*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:40	5	61.6	63.0	60.0	66.1	61.6*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:45	5	61.4	62.5	59.5	66.3	61.4*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:27	5	61.2	62.0	60.0	65.4	61.2*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:32	5	61.1	62.0	60.0	65.6	61.1*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:37	5	60.7	61.5	59.5	64.9	60.7*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:42	5	61.1	62.0	60.0	64.3	61.1*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:47	5	60.5	61.0	59.5	64.6	60.5*	70.0
10-Jun-09	20:52	5	60.3	61.0	59.5	64.3	60.3*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:02	5	61.4	62.5	60.0	64.4	61.4*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:07	5	60.9	61.5	59.5	64.4	60.9*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:12	5	62.6	64.5	60.0	64.6	62.6*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:17	5	61.2	62.0	60.0	63.4	61.2*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:22	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	63.6	62.3*	70.0
16-Jun-09	21:27	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	64.0	60.9*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:41	5	61.1	62.5	59.5	66.1	61.1*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:46	5	61.2	62.0	60.0	66.3	61.2*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:51	5	62.0	62.5	60.5	65.7	62.0*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:56	5	61.3	62.0	60.0	66.3	61.3*	70.0
24-Jun-09	20:01	5	61.5	63.0	60.0	65.2	61.5*	70.0
24-Jun-09	20:06	5	60.9	61.5	60.0	66.4	60.9*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	23:05	5	58.3	59.0	57.0	60.7	58.3*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:10	5	59.1	60.0	58.0	60.3	59.1*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:15	5	58.6	59.5	57.5	61.0	58.6*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:20	5	59.3	60.5	58.0	60.2	59.3*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:02	5	60.7	61.5	59.5	60.3	50.1	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:07	5	60.8	61.5	59.5	60.7	44.4	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:12	5	61.0	62.0	59.5	60.3	52.7	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:17	5	61.6	63.0	60.0	61.0	52.7	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:03	5	58.8	59.5	57.5	60.7	58.8*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:08	5	59.9	60.5	59.0	60.3	59.9*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:13	5	60.1	60.5	59.0	61.0	60.1*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:18	5	60.0	60.5	59.0	60.2	60.0*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:16	5	60.1	61.0	59.0	61.0	60.1*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:21	5	60.3	61.0	59.5	60.2	43.9	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:26	5	60.4	61.0	59.0	59.5	53.1	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:31	5	60.1	61.0	58.5	60.2	60.1*	55.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
31-May-09	10:25	5	60.7	61.5	59.0	66.9	60.7*	70.0
31-May-09	10:30	5	59.8	60.5	58.5	67.8	59.8*	70.0
31-May-09	10:35	5	60.0	61.5	58.5	66.2	60.0*	70.0
31-May-09	10:40	5	60.5	61.5	59.0	66.7	60.5*	70.0
31-May-09	10:45	5	59.8	61.0	58.5	67.9	59.8*	70.0
31-May-09	10:50	5	60.3	61.5	58.5	67.5	60.3*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:29	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	66.8	61.6*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:34	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	67.8	60.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:39	5	60.5	61.5	59.0	67.7	60.5*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:44	5	61.0	61.5	59.5	68.3	61.0*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:49	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	68.3	60.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09	9:54	5	61.2	62.0	59.5	68.2	61.2*	70.0
14-Jun-09	13:46	5	61.3	62.5	59.5	65.9	61.3*	70.0
14-Jun-09	13:51	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	66.3	61.6*	70.0
14-Jun-09	13:56	5	61.7	62.5	60.5	65.4	61.7*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:01	5	61.5	62.5	60.0	67.2	61.5*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:06	5	61.0	62.0	59.5	65.1	61.0*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:11	5	61.3	62.5	59.5	64.7	61.3*	70.0
21-Jun-09	14:55	5	60.4	61.5	59.0	64.1	60.4*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:00	5	60.1	61.0	58.5	66.4	60.1*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:05	5	59.6	60.5	58.0	66.5	59.6*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:10	5	60.8	62.0	59.0	65.2	60.8*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:15	5	60.6	61.5	59.0	66.3	60.6*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:20	5	60.9	62.0	59.5	65.5	60.9*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:31	5	62.1	64.0	59.5	66.8	62.1*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:36	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	67.8	62.3*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:41	5	62.0	63.0	60.5	67.7	62.0*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:46	5	61.6	63.0	59.5	68.3	61.6*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:51	5	61.5	62.5	60.0	68.3	61.5*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:56	5	62.1	64.5	60.0	68.2	62.1*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Mayfair Gardens 1st Floor adjacet to Swimming Pool (NSR 3)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Me	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
31-May-09	9:21	5	62.3	64.6	59.6	67.8	62.3*	70.0
31-May-09	9:26	5	61.4	63.2	59.2	66.7	61.4*	70.0
31-May-09	9:31	5	62.9	65.3	60.2	67.5	62.9*	70.0
31-May-09	9:36	5	62.6	64.7	60.2	67.3	62.6*	70.0
31-May-09	9:41	5	61.7	63.6	59.4	67.4	61.7*	70.0
31-May-09	9:46	5	61.9	63.2	59.7	67.8	61.9*	70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5			-			70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>--</sup>No monitoring works was carried out, the monitoring station was terminated with effective from 1 st June 2009.

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq₅ Level at Cheung Ching Estate at Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR 4)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Me	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
31-May-09	11:19	5	59.2	61.8	56.1	66.7	59.2*	70.0
31-May-09	11:24	5	61.5	63.8	58.1	67.5	61.5*	70.0
31-May-09	11:29	5	62.5	65.6	57.3	67.1	62.5*	70.0
31-May-09	11:34	5	61.3	64.3	57.0	67.9	61.3*	70.0
31-May-09	11:39	5	62.8	65.5	58.2	67.3	62.8*	70.0
31-May-09	11:44	5	61.6	64.5	56.9	67.6	61.6*	70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
7-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
14-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
21-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0
28-Jun-09		5						70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level ≥ measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>--</sup>No monitoring works was carried out, the monitoring station was terminated with effective from 1 st June 2009.

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Me	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	19:23	5	70.6	73.7	65.2	72.5	70.6*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:28	5	70.7	74.2	64.7	73.1	70.7*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:33	5	70.3	72.3	66.1	72.6	70.3*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:38	5	69.6	72.2	64.8	73.1	69.6*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:43	5	68.8	71.5	64.5	73.3	68.8*	70.0
1-Jun-09	19:48	5	69.6	71.9	64.5	72.5	69.6*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:20	5	70.6	73.6	65.4	72.0	70.6*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:25	5	69.5	72.0	64.1	71.0	69.5*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:30	5	70.7	73.0	67.1	71.0	70.7*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:35	5	70.8	73.3	67.1	70.9	70.8*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:40	5	67.7	69.6	63.7	70.9	67.7*	70.0
10-Jun-09	21:45	5	70.3	72.1	67.0	70.8	70.3*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:19	5	67.9	70.5	63.5	72.3	67.9*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:24	5	69.5	72.0	64.8	72.0	69.5*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:29	5	69.6	72.6	63.5	72.6	69.6*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:34	5	68.2	70.8	64.9	72.3	68.2*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:39	5	69.6	73.2	64.3	72.5	69.6*	70.0
16-Jun-09	20:44	5	70.0	73.2	64.1	72.1	70.0*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:36	5	68.2	71.0	62.1	72.6	68.2*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:41	5	69.6	71.7	64.3	73.1	69.6*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:46	5	72.4	75.8	66.7	73.3	72.4*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:51	5	70.2	74.0	63.4	72.5	70.2*	70.0
24-Jun-09	19:56	5	68.6	70.9	64.0	72.6	68.6*	70.0
24-Jun-09	20:01	5	70.7	73.4	66.3	73.0	70.7*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level > measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
1-Jun-09	23:23	5	68.6	71.1	65.2	68.5	52.2	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:28	5	67.5	69.8	63.8	68.2	67.5*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:33	5	67.7	70.5	63.8	69.0	67.7*	55.0
1-Jun-09	23:38	5	68.6	71.0	63.5	68.7	68.6*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:21	5	67.9	70.3	63.3	69.0	67.9*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:26	5	67.0	69.7	62.2	68.5	67.0*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:31	5	65.6	67.4	61.4	68.2	65.6*	55.0
10-Jun-09	23:36	5	68.1	71.8	60.8	69.0	68.1*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:36	5	68.1	70.0	63.6	69.0	68.1*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:41	5	67.5	70.3	62.9	68.7	67.5*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:46	5	67.6	70.8	63.5	68.9	67.6*	55.0
16-Jun-09	23:51	5	67.6	69.9	61.5	67.5	51.2	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:08	5	66.5	68.3	62.4	69.6	66.5*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:13	5	65.9	68.8	61.8	69.2	65.9*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:18	5	68.3	72.7	62.2	69.0	68.3*	55.0
24-Jun-09	23:23	5	67.7	69.4	62.1	68.5	67.7*	55.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level > measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration	Mea	asured Noise Le	vel <sup>1</sup>	Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
		min	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
31-May-09	10:20	5	70.9	74.1	66.0	73.2	70.9*	70.0
31-May-09	10:25	5	71.0	73.4	65.8	74.9	71.0*	70.0
31-May-09	10:30	5	71.3	74.1	67.0	74.4	71.3*	70.0
31-May-09	10:35	5	70.4	73.7	64.0	75.2	70.4*	70.0
31-May-09	10:40	5	71.5	74.8	64.4	73.9	71.5*	70.0
31-May-09	10:45	5	68.4	71.3	63.3	73.3	68.4*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:07	5	71.4	72.8	65.0	74.3	71.4*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:12	5	70.6	72.2	69.0	73.8	70.6*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:17	5	72.5	74.9	69.5	74.2	72.5*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:22	5	71.2	72.7	68.8	74.2	71.2*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:27	5	70.4	72.0	68.6	72.9	70.4*	70.0
7-Jun-09	11:32	5	69.7	71.3	67.9	73.5	69.7*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:27	5	69.2	70.2	68.1	74.1	69.2*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:32	5	70.0	71.3	68.5	74.6	70.0*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:37	5	70.2	71.8	67.4	72.8	70.2*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:42	5	69.1	71.4	66.5	74.6	69.1*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:47	5	69.7	71.8	67.3	73.2	69.7*	70.0
14-Jun-09	14:52	5	70.3	71.9	68.5	74.2	70.3*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:38	5	69.7	74.5	60.4	72.6	69.7*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:43	5	70.3	73.5	64.1	73.3	70.3*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:48	5	71.1	75.0	64.9	72.9	71.1*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:53	5	69.4	72.6	64.0	73.4	69.4*	70.0
21-Jun-09	15:58	5	68.9	71.2	63.1	72.9	68.9*	70.0
21-Jun-09	16:03	5	69.6	72.8	64.5	74.0	69.6*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:50	5	72.0	76.9	62.5	73.8	72.0*	70.0
28-Jun-09	9:55	5	72.7	76.0	66.3	74.4	72.7*	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:00	5	73.5	77.4	67.1	74.6	73.5*	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:05	5	71.7	75.0	66.2	74.6	71.7*	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:10	5	71.2	73.6	65.2	75.9	71.2*	70.0
28-Jun-09	10:15	5	71.9	75.2	66.7	74.6	71.9*	70.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

<sup>\*</sup> No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period


<sup>#</sup> No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

# Appendix N1

Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour


#### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)





<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.


Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

#### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)






<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

# Appendix N2


**Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour** 

#### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

#### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


#### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


# Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)

× Construction Noise Level\* —— Limit Level




 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Night-time  $Leq_5$  (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of Main Education Building (NSR2)



<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

# Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Mayfair Gardens 1st floor adjacent to swimming pool (NSR3)


× Construction Noise Level\* —— Limit Level



 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

# Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Cheung Ching Estate at the Roof of Ching Yung House (NSR4)


× Construction Noise Level\* —— Limit Level



 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

#### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)






<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


#### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)






<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

#### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)





<sup>\*</sup> Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

# Appendix O1 Environmental Complaint Log Book


Case No	Date of Received		Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Follow-up Action	Status/Remarks
EC01	25-Nov-04 by e-mail from HyD	mail and	The complainant claimed to be a resident of Rambler Crest, east Tsing Yi.	The complainant mainly enquired about why impact monitoring at Rambler Crest is not being carried out as part of the routine EM&A Programme currently being implemented for the Route 8 Stonecutter's Bridge Project during the construction stage. In addition, the complainant also enquired why monitoring at the 4 sensitive receivers are not being done for the same Project.		Both HyD and EPD have formally replied to the complainant by e-mail on 10 December 2004. Further enquiries were made by the complainant and a joint meeting was held between HyD, EPD and the ET and a second formal reply was issued by HyD on 23 December 2004 via e-mail. No further enquiries were received since and therefore the complaint is considered closed.	Closed.

# Appendix O2

**Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint** 

#### **Appendix O2 - Cumulative Statistics of Complaints**

Route 8 Phase 3 - Stonecutters Bridge



# Appendix P

Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 June 2009 and 28 July 2009

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	29-Jun	24hrs-TSP	30-Jun		1-Jul	1hr-TSP	2-Jul		3-Jul		4-Jul
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	5-Jul	24hrs-TSP	6-Jul	1hr-TSP	7-Jul	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	8-Jul		9-Jul		10-Jul	24hrs-TSP	11-Jul
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	12-Jul	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	14-Jul		15-Jul		16-Jul	24hrs-TSP	17-Jul	1hr-TSP	18-Jul
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	19-Jul		20-Jul		21-Jul	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	22-Jul	24hrs-TSP	23-Jul	1hr-TSP	24-Jul		25-Jul
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	27-Jul		28-Jul								

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 July 2009 and 28 August 2009

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday	1	Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
						24hrs-TSP	29-Jul	1hr-TSP	30-Jul		31-Jul		1-Aug
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	2-Aug	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	3-Aug	24hrs-TSP	4-Aug	1hr-TSP	5-Aug		6-Aug		7-Aug		8-Aug
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	9-Aug	24hrs-TSP	10-Aug	1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	12-Aug		13-Aug		14-Aug	24hrs-TSP	15-Aug
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	16-Aug	1hr-TSP	17-Aug		18-Aug			Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	20-Aug	24hrs-TSP	21-Aug	1hr-TSP	22-Aug
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	23-Aug		24-Aug			Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	26-Aug	24hrs-TSP	27-Aug	1hr-TSP	28-Aug		

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.

Noise Evening 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

#### Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 August 2009 and 28 September 2009

Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday		Saturday	
													29-Aug
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	30-Aug	<u> </u>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	1-Sep	24hrs-TSP	2-Sep	1hr-TSP	3-Sep		4-Sep		5-Sep
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	7-Sep	24hrs-TSP	8-Sep	1hr-TSP	9-Sep		10-Sep		11-Sep		12-Sep
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	13-Sep	24hrs-TSP	14-Sep	1hr-TSP	15-Sep	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	16-Sep		17-Sep		18-Sep	24hrs-TSP	19-Sep
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>	20-Sep	2 1hr-TSP		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	22-Sep		23-Sep		24-Sep	24hrs-TSP	25-Sep	1hr-TSP	26-Sep
Noise <sub>P.H.</sub>		Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub>	28-Sep	O and ACDS duri	29-Sep								

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900~1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 1900~2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 2300~0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P.H.</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0700~1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

# Appendix Q

**Photographic Records of Implemented Measures** 

### Appendix Q Photographical Records of Implemented Measures








Photo 02 (P3-SA3C)



Photo 01 (P3-SA6)

## Appendix R

Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

Route 8 Contract No. H/2002/26 – Stonecutters Bridge Summary of Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

Item	Nature of	Date of	Date of issue of	Permit/License	Remark
	Permits/License	Application	Permits/License	No.	
1	Environmental Permit	6/9/2002 (HyD,	26/09/2002	EP-085/2000/E	Valid
		VEP-073/2002)			
2	Registration as a Waste	5/5/2004	06/08/2004	WPN 5213-350-	Valid
	Producer	( M45/100/000773)	(EP760/350/0089331)	M2640-01	
3	Effluent Discharge	6/9/2004	20/09/2004	EP760/269/009124I	For Eastern Tower Site Works Area
	License	(M45/100/001766)	(EP760/269/009124I)	(until 30/09/2009)	
		9/9/2004	21/12/2004	EP760/350/008933I	For Western Tower Site Works Area
		(M45/400/002475)	(EP760/350/008933I)	(until 31/12/2009)	
4	Construction Noise	19/11/2008	20/12/2008	GW-RW0594-08	For Eastern Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General
	Permit	(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0594-	(until 19/06/2009)	Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00
			08)	(Expired)	to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		02/01/2009	15/01/2009	GW-RW0009-09	For Eastern Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General
		(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0009-	(until 14/07/2009)	Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00
			09)		to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		22/01/2009	12/02/2009	GW-RW0055-09	For Western Site area P3-SA2, SA2A: 00:00 to 24:00
		(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0055-	(until 11/08/2009)	(General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00
			09)		and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general
					holiday)
		24/03/2009	21/04/2009	GW-RW0115-09	For Western Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General
		(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0115-	(until 20/10/2009)	Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00
			09)		to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		09/04/09	30/04/2009	GW-RW0132-09	For Western Tower Site: 00:00 to 24:00 (General
		(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0132-	(until 29/10/2009)	Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00
			09)		to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		15/05/09	20/06/2009	GW-RW0215-09	For whole site areas: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday,
		(received by EPD)	(EP731/N31/RW0215-	(until 19/12/2009)	including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00
			09)		(Any day not being a general holiday)