

**MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT**

Highways Department


Route 8  
Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan  
Phase 3  
Stonecutters Bridge

*Monthly Environmental  
Monitoring & Audit Report  
(29<sup>th</sup> November 2009 – 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009)*

**EP – 085/2000/E**  
**Route 8 Between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan**  
**Phase 3**  
**Stonecutters Bridge:**

**Monthly Environmental**  
**Monitoring & Audit Report**  
**(29<sup>th</sup> November 2009 – 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009)**

Certified by the Environmental Team Leader

Signed:  Date: 7 January 2010  
Ms. Natalie Kwok

Verified by the Independent Environmental Checker

Signed:  Date: 7 Jan 10  
Mr. Y T Tang

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Report .....	1
1.2 Structure of the Report.....	1
<b>2 PROJECT INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Background.....	2
2.2 Site Description.....	2
2.3 Project Organisation .....	2
2.4 Project Work Programme .....	3
<b>3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Air Quality .....	3
3.2 Noise Quality .....	6
<b>4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses .....	8
<b>6 MONITORING RESULTS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1 Air Quality .....	8
6.2 Noise .....	9
<b>7 aUDIT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
7.1 Air Quality .....	10
7.2 Noise .....	11
7.3 Water Quality.....	11
7.4 Waste Management.....	11
7.5 Site Audits / Inspections .....	12
<b>8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS.....</b>	<b>13</b>
8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance .....	13
8.2 Summary of Complaints .....	13
8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions.....	13
<b>9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS) .....</b>	<b>13</b>
9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS.....	13
9.2 Audit Results.....	14
<b>10 CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>14</b>

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)
Table 2.2	Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)
Table 3.1	TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency
Table 3.2	TSP Monitoring Locations
Table 3.3	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.4	Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters
Table 3.5	Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.6	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 6.1	Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.2	Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results
Table 6.3	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring
Table 6.4	Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring
Table 7.1	Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period
Table 8.1	Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period
Table 8.2	Summary of Total Complaint Received

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Site Location Plan
Appendix B	Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details
Appendix C	Not Used
Appendix D1	Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality
Appendix D2	Action/Limit Levels for Noise
Appendix E	Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period
Appendix F	Locations of Monitoring Stations
Appendix G1	Calibration Certificates for HVS
Appendix G2	Not Used
Appendix G3	Not Used
Appendix G4	Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator
Appendix G5	Certificate of HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
Appendix H1	Event/Action Plan for Air Quality
Appendix H2	Event/Action Plan for Noise
Appendix I	Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements
Appendix J	1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix K	Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Appendix L	Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring
Appendix M1	Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix M2	Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix N1	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour
Appendix N2	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour
Appendix O1	Environmental Complaint Log Book
Appendix O2	Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint
Appendix P	Not Used
Appendix Q	Photographic Records of Implemented Measures
Appendix R	Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- ES 1 An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan (Route 8T). This EP covers four phases of the Route 8T Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.
- ES 2 This is the (last) 66<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for “Phase 3 – Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)”. The construction commencement of this Contract was on 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2004 and this report presents the results of the EM&A works conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> November 2009 and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009 in accordance with the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report. (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- ES 3 It is considered that there would be no significant air quality and noise impact to be generated from the Contract to surrounding public at Tsing Yi Island. A proposal on the termination of air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was therefore issued to EPD on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009 for approval in accordance with EP Condition 4.1. The proposal was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality and noise monitoring would be carried out at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.
- ES 4 After reviewing the latest works programme and site situation by both IEC and ETL, it is considered that there would be no significant environmental impact to be generated from this Contract. A proposal on the termination of EM&A programme was issued to EPD on 11<sup>th</sup> November 2009. The EM&A programme for this Contract during construction phase was terminated on 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009.
- ES 5 The major construction activities carried out during normal hours are as follows: -
- i. Remedial works for access facilities to Towers
  - ii. Road paving works at ground level
  - iii. E&M remedial works
- ES 6 The major construction activities carried out during restricted hours are as follows:-
- i. Remedial works (Eastern and Western Tower Site – evening, night-time and public holidays)
- ES 7 Monitoring of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and noise were carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Weekly site inspections were conducted by ET on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009 and the joint IEC monthly audit was conducted on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009.

### Air Quality

- ES 8 A total of 27 sets of 1 hour TSP and 12 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations (ASR1, ASR2 & ASR5) during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.

### Noise

- ES 9 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations (NSR1 to NSR5) from this Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities.

*Daytime Monitoring*

- ES 10 A total of 9 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were undertaken in daytime (0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Evening-time Monitoring*

- ES 11 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken in evening-time (1900 to 2300 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Night-time Monitoring*

- ES 12 A total of 9 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken in night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

*Public Holidays Monitoring*

- ES 13 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were taken during public holidays at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

**Water Quality**

- ES 14 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- ES 15 No water samples were taken during the reporting period. All permanent drainage systems have been implemented on site and construction runoff was diverted to soak away area for treatment.

**Waste Management**

- ES 16 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.
- ES 17 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 154 m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.
- ES 18 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, all inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During this reporting period, a total of 6,446 m<sup>3</sup> of public fill and 351 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.
- ES 19 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to TW/98/02 – Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512 m<sup>3</sup> (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.
- ES 20 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to HY/2000/21 – Phase 1 Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct for re-usage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004 m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) were delivered to HY/2000/21.
- ES 21 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)” for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138 m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- ES 22 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to “Ampliacao Do Novo Terminal Maritimo Da Taipa” Project in Macau by a derrick barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.

- ES 23 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- ES 24 No chemical waste was disposed of site during the reporting period.

### Site Inspections

- ES 25 ET carried out weekly site inspections during the reporting period and the major issues identified on site are presented below:

Item	Findings	MHYHJV's Corrective and Preventive measures	Effectiveness of measures
1	The waste skips at area P3-SA5 were full.	All general refuse was collected and temporarily stored in waste skip on site. The frequency of removal of C&D waste from site has been increased.	Completed and closed. (Please refer <i>Appendix Q</i> Photo 01).

- ES 26 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009, no observations or NCs were recorded during the reporting period.

### EPD Audits

- ES 27 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting month.

### Environmental Licenses and Permits

- ES 28 The following permits / licenses have been granted by EPD for the construction of the Phase 3 Contract and they are:-

- i. Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E)
- ii. Chemical Waste Producer Registration (5213-350-M2640-01)
- iii. 2 Effluent Discharge Licences (WT00004483-2009 and EP760/350/0089331 (expired))
- iv. Licence for the conduct a Tar and Bitumen Works (Mastic Asphalt Plant) (L-15-033(1))
- v. 6 Construction Noise Permits

### Environmental Complaints

- ES 29 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting month.

### Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions

- ES 30 Since the commencement of construction, no notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for this Contract.

### Route 8 - Traffic Control and Surveillance System (TCSS)

- ES 31 The construction of the "Route 8 – Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)" (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV).
- ES 32 The construction work of TCSS was carried out within different Phases of Route 8T since 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007. Since no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for Phase 1, Phase 2a and Phase 2b Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1, Phase 2a and Phase 2b would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.
- ES 33 A joint site audit amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV was carried out on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009. No adverse comments were raised by ET, IEC and RSS.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

An Environmental Permit (EP-085/2000/E) was granted to Highways Department by the Environmental Protection Department for the construction of Route 8 Project between Tsing Yi and Cheung Sha Wan. This EP covers four phases of the Route 8 Project namely Phase 1 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct, Phase 2a – Nam Wan Tunnel and West Tsing Yi Viaduct, Phase 2b – East Tsing Yi Viaduct and Phase 3 – Stonecutters Bridge.

## 1.1 Purpose of the Report

This is the (last) 66<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the “Phase 3 - Route 8 Stonecutters Bridge (HY/2002/26)” (hereafter known as the “Phase 3 Contract”). This report presents the results of the EM&A programme conducted during the period between 29<sup>th</sup> November 2009 and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009 in accordance with the Environmental Permit EP-085/2000/E and the EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).

## 1.2 Structure of the Report

The structure of the report is as follows:

- Section 1: **INTRODUCTION** – details the scope and structure of the report.
- Section 2: **PROJECT INFORMATION** – summarizes the background and scope of the project, project organization, construction programme and the construction works undertaken during the reporting period.
- Section 3: **ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the monitoring programmes, Action and Limit Levels, Event Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA Report and relevant environmental requirements.
- Section 4: **IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
- Section 5: **ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCE AND PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS** – summarizes the environmental licences and permits obtained or being applied during the reporting period.
- Section 6: **MONITORING RESULTS** – reports the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 7: **AUDIT RESULTS** – summarizes the audit findings in the reporting period.
- Section 8: **COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD** – summarizes the complaints, notifications of summons and prosecutions recorded during the reporting period.
- Section 9: **ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM**
- Section 10: **CONCLUSIONS**



## **2 PROJECT INFORMATION**

### **2.1 Background**

- 2.1.1 Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Ltd (Arup) was awarded the Design and Construction Consultancy Assignment No. CE61/2000 “Stonecutters Bridge Design and Construction Assignment”.
- 2.1.2 The construction of the Phase 3 Contract was awarded to Maeda-Hitachi-Yogogawa-Hsin Chong Joint Venture (MHYHJV) on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2004 and is scheduled to be substantially complete by end of 2009.
- 2.1.3 The Construction Works under the Phase 3 Contract involves a cable-stayed bridge of 1.6km long with 1,018m main span and 290m high mono towers. It will span across the Rambler Channel between the Kwai Chung Container Terminal 8 (CT8) at Stonecutters Island and Container Terminal 9 (CT9) at the east side of Tsing Yi.

### **2.2 Site Description**

- 2.2.1 The Phase 3 Contract has two distinct sites; namely the Eastern Tower site which is located on the Stonecutters Island and the Western Tower site locates on the east side of Tsing Yi Island adjacent to CT9.
- 2.2.2 Five sensitive receivers have been identified for the Phase 3 Contract in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the EIA. Two monitoring stations are located at the Tsing Yi Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education (IVE) in the Main Education Building and Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence, one at Mayfair Gardens, one at Cheung Ching Estate and one at the DSD Pumping Station located adjacent to the Container’s Port Road in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military base at the Stonecutters Island. The site location plan and the monitoring locations are presented in *Appendix A* and *F* respectively.
- 2.2.3 A proposal on the termination of air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was therefore issued to EPD on 27<sup>th</sup> April 2009 for approval in accordance with EP Condition 4.1. The proposal was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality and noise monitoring would be carried out at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.
- 2.2.4 After reviewing the latest works programme and site situation by both IEC and ETL, it is considered that there would be no significant environmental impact to be generated from this Contract. A proposal on the termination of EM&A programme was issued to EPD on 11<sup>th</sup> November 2009. The EM&A programme for this Contract during construction phase was terminated on 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009.

### **2.3 Project Organisation**

- 2.3.1 The Phase 3 Contract organization chart and contact details are shown in *Appendix B*.

## 2.4 Project Work Programme

- 2.4.1 The major site activities undertaken during the normal hours and restricted hours during the reporting period are summarized in *Table 2.1* and *Table 2.2* respectively.

**Table 2.1 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Normal Hours)**

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site) P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site)	Remedial work for access facilities, road paving works at ground level and E&M works

**Table 2.2 Major Site Activities undertaken during the Reporting Period (Restricted Hours)**

Area	Details of Site Activities
P3-SA3 & SA5	Steel deck finishing / remedial work (evening, night-time and public holidays)

## 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

### 3.1 Air Quality

#### *Monitoring Requirements*

- 3.1.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) are required to be conducted to monitor the construction dust impact. The established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works are presented in *Appendix D1*.

#### *Monitoring Frequency and Schedule*

- 3.1.2 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in *Table 3.1*. The monitoring schedule for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix E*.

**Table 3.1 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency**

Parameters	Duration / hour	Frequency
24-hour TSP	24	Once Every Six Days
1-hour TSP	1	Three Times Every Six Days

#### *Monitoring Locations*

- 3.1.3 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five air quality monitoring locations were selected for the Phase 3 Contract and they are listed in *Table 3.2* and presented in *Appendix F*. The proposal on the termination air quality at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate (ASR 3 and ASR4) was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further air quality would be carried out at ASR 3 and ASR4 with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

**Table 3.2 TSP Monitoring Locations**

Location I.D.	Description
ASR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence

Location I.D.	Description
ASR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building
*ASR3	Mayfair Gardens 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool
*ASR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (25/F)
ASR5	DSD Pumping Station G/F, in the proximity of the Stonecutters Military Base

\* ASR 3 & ASR 4 was terminated since 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

3.1.4 All meteorological data was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website.

#### ***Monitoring Equipment***

3.1.5 Continuous 24-hour and 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using a TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc. High Volume Sampler (HVS), which was installed at the monitoring stations. The sampler composed of a motor, filter holder, flow controller and a sampling inlet. Its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Details of the monitoring equipment are given in **Table 3.3**. A copy of the calibration certificate for the HVS and wind data monitor is attached in **Appendix G1**.

**Table 3.3 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model	Qty.
HVS	TE-5170 Tisch Environmental Inc.	5
Calibrator	TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc.	1

#### ***Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details***

3.1.6 Calibration Procedures - Calibration procedures of HVS are as follows (calibration certificates are presented in **Appendix G3**) :

- i. A certified orifice transfer standard with a calibration curve was used for the calibration.
- ii. The transfer standard was connected to the inlet of the sampler. The orifice manometer was then connected to the orifice pressure port. The manometer's connecting tubing was inspected to make sure that there are no leaks between the orifice unit and the sampler.
- iii. The motor was then disconnected from the flow controller and plugged directly to an AC power source.
- iv. The ambient temperature,  $T_a$  (K) and the barometer pressure  $P_a$  (mmHg) were obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory website for TSP calculation.
- v. The sampler was allowed to run for at least 2 minutes to re-establish the run temperature conditions. The pressure drop across the orifice and the well-type manometer reading was recorded during calibration. The variable resistance was adjusted to repeat recording for four different flow rates.
- vi. The best fit straight line was determined by linear regression and the slope ( $m_1$ ), intercept ( $b_1$ ) and correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) are then determined.

### 3.1.7 Operating/Analytical Procedure

- i. The flow rate of the high volume sampler was set to about 1.1 m<sup>3</sup>/min - 1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min prior to commencement of the dust sampling in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- ii. The samplers was located such that:
  - a. the filter was about 1.3 meters above ground.
  - b. it was greater than 20 meters away from trees.
  - c. it was separated from any obstacle by at least twice the height of the obstacle protruding above the sampler.
  - d. it has unrestricted airflow 270° around the sampler.
- iii. Fiberglass filters were used for TSP sampling (G810) [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3 mm diameter.
- iv. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment has a temperature setting between 25°C and 30°C and should not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity was < 50% and should not vary by more than ±5%.
- v. A new filter was placed with stamped number upward on a supporting screen.
- vi. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- vii. Shelter lid closed and catch secured with the aluminum strip.
- viii. The sampler was then allowed to run for at least 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- ix. The flow indicator reading was recorded and the sampler flow rate was determined.
- x. The programmable timer was set and the starting sampling time, weather condition and the filter number was recorded.
- xi. At the end of sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded. A copy of the HOKLAS Certificate is attached in **Appendix G5**.
- xii. Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a desiccator for 24 hours with temperature of 25°C±3°C and the relative humidity (RH) 50%±5%, preferably 40%.

### 3.1.8 Maintenance

- i. The high volume sampler and their accessories were maintained in good working condition; include replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure continuous power supply.
- ii. The high volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5028A Tisch Environmental Inc. Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### ***Event/Action Plan***

- 3.1.9 The Event/Action Plan for Air Quality is presented in **Appendix H1**.

## 3.2 Noise Quality

### *Monitoring Requirements*

- 3.2.1 According to the field study, the noise generated from the major roads (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road) was noticeable at noise monitoring stations and therefore the major roads were considered as an influencing factor of the noise sensitive receivers.
- 3.2.2 As the noise monitoring stations are located at urban area and directly affected by this Influencing Factor (IF), the Area Sensitivity Rating of the noise monitoring stations is considered to be “C” according to the **Table 1** of the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling under Noise Pollution Control Ordinance.
- 3.2.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at five monitoring stations to monitor the construction noise impact from the Phase 3 Contract. **Appendix D2** presents the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

### *Monitoring Frequency and Schedule*

- 3.2.4 The monitoring schedule is presented in **Appendix E** and the frequency and parameters of noise measurement are summarized in **Table 3.4**.

**Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Frequency and Parameters**

Time Period	Duration / min.	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime (0700 to 1900)	30 (6 consecutive L <sub>eq</sub> (5min) in average)	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Once per week
*Evening (1900 to 2300)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week
*Night (2300 to 0700 next day)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Four times per week
*Holiday (0700-1900 on holidays)	5	Leq, L <sub>90</sub> & L <sub>10</sub>	Six times per week

\* Restricted hour noise monitoring: to be conducted only when there is construction work under valid CNP.

### *Monitoring Locations*

- 3.2.5 As identified in the EM&A Manual, five noise monitoring locations (as detailed in **Table 3.5** and presented in **Appendix F**) were selected for noise measurement. The proposal on the termination air quality and noise monitoring at Mayfair Gardens and Cheung Ching Estate was approved by EPD (ref.(6) in Ax(3) to EP2/N3/A/28 Pt.41) on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2009 and thus, no further noise monitoring would be carried out at NSR 3 and NSR 4 with effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

**Table 3.5 Location of the Noise Monitoring Stations**

Location I.D.	Description	Type of measurement
NSR1	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence	Free Field
NSR2	HK Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Block D of the Main Education Building	Free Field
*NSR3	Mayfair Gardens, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor adjacent to Swimming Pool	Free Field
*NSR4	Cheung Ching Estate At Roof of Ching Yung House (22/F)	Free Field
NSR5	DSD Pumping Station (in the proximity of Stonecutters Military Base)	Free Field

\* NSR 3 & NSR 4 was terminated since 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009.

### **Monitoring Equipment**

- 3.2.6 Integrating Sound Level Meters were used for noise monitoring which were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq) and percentile sound pressure level (Lx). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). Also, a portable electronic wind speed indicator capable of measuring wind speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. **Table 3.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment required.

**Table 3.6 Noise Monitoring Equipment**

<b>Equipment</b>	<b>Model</b>
Integrating Sound Level Meter	30, Pulsar; 2236 and 2238 B&K
Calibrator	100B, Pulsar; 4231 B&K
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	PWM2, Dwyer

### **Monitoring Procedures and Calibration Details**

- 3.2.7 Field Monitoring
- i. The microphone of the Sound Level Meter (with weatherproof kit) was mounted on a tripod at a height of 2m above ground level.
  - ii. For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
  - iii. AC power supply was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
  - iv. Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
    - a. frequency weighting : A
    - b. time weighting : Fast
    - c. time measurement : 30 minutes / 5 minutes
  - v. Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
  - vi. The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
  - vii. At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$  and  $L_{10}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
  - viii. Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
  - ix. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.
- 3.2.8 Maintenance and Calibration
- i. The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
  - ii. The meter was sent to the supplier to check and calibrate yearly.
  - iii. Calibration certificates are presented in **Appendix G4**
- 3.2.9 Event/Action Plan
- The Event/Action Plan for Noise impact is presented in **Appendix H2**.

## 4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

MHYHJV has implemented a series of environmental mitigation measures to fulfill requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status during the reporting period is summarized in *Appendix I*.

## 5 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES AND PERMITS

### 5.1 Status of Permits and Licenses

5.1.1 The status of permits, licenses and EPD notifications for all relevant environmental issues of the Phase 3 Contract for the reporting period is presented in *Appendix R*.

## 6 MONITORING RESULTS

### 6.1 Air Quality

6.1.1 The 1-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at three monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured 1-hour TSP levels is given in *Table 6.1*. Graphical presentations of the 1-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of 1-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*. Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory.

**Table 6.1 Summary of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results**

Location I.D.	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	Range	Mean		
ASR1	134.7 – 215.5	180.0	350	500
ASR2	115.5 – 210.7	168.4	350	500
ASR5	121.8 – 206.3	146.5	324	500

6.1.2 The 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at three monitoring stations during the reporting period. All monitoring data are presented in *Appendix J*. A summary of the measured results is given in *Table 6.2*. Graphical presentation of the results and the trend of 24-hour TSP results are shown in *Appendix K*.

**Table 6.2 Summary of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results**

Location I.D.	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	Range	Mean		
ASR1	68.0 – 125.1	102.1	174.0	260
ASR2	61.4 – 124.3	99.7	185.5	260
ASR5	23.0 – 79.9	40.9	178.0	260

6.1.3 No exceedances of the Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP were recorded during the reporting period. The wind data monitoring results recorded during the reporting period are summarized in *Appendix L*.

#### 6.1.4 Observations

Several significant dust sources were identified during the reporting period and they were mainly contributed by the following activities:

- i. On site traffic;
- ii. Roads and utilities construction; and
- iii. Vehicular emission from local traffic network.

### 6.2 Noise

6.2.1 In accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual, all noise monitoring were carried out in the absence of fog, rain and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gust exceeding 10m/s. Furthermore, an additional 3dB(A) façade correction for free field measurements were made for all monitoring locations.

6.2.2 In order to assess the construction noise impact effectively for all noise monitoring locations from Phase 3 Contract, an adjustment approach was adopted since 29<sup>th</sup> March 2005 and had been consulted with EPD to audit merely the construction noise levels against the statutory noise limits. The measured noise levels were adjusted with the corresponding baseline levels in order to facilitate the interpretation of the construction noise levels and this in turn would determine the actual construction noise impact contributed solely by the Phase 3 construction activities. No adjustments will be made on the measured noise levels, if they were lowered or equal to the corresponding baseline levels.

#### 6.2.3 Normal Hour Monitoring

Daytime noise monitoring was carried out at all noise monitoring stations during the reporting period. All corrected noise levels are presented in *Appendix M1*. A summary of the results is given in *Table 6.3*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting period and the trend of noise monitoring results are shown in *Appendix N1*.

**Table 6.3 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Normal Hour Monitoring**

Daytime 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	$L_{eq(30min)}$	$L_{10(30min)}$	$L_{90(30min)}$	$L_{eq(30min)}$	$L_{eq(30min)}$
NSR1	68.0 – 71.4	70.7 – 73.3	63.9 – 70.2	62.1 – 69.5 <sup>3</sup>	75
NSR2 <sup>2</sup>	64.8 – 67.8	65.8 – 69.7	62.7 – 64.7	– <sup>4</sup>	70
NSR5	69.6 – 70.6	71.8 – 73.4	65.5 – 66.4	– <sup>4</sup>	75

1 Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

2 Limit Level is reduced to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during examination periods. No examinations were carried out during the reporting period.

3 No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

4 No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level.

#### 6.2.4 Observations

The major noise source(s) identified at the NSRs during the normal hour monitoring were dominated by local traffic noise (such as Tsing Yi Road and Container Port Road), in particular container trucks.



### 6.2.5 Restricted Hour Monitoring

Construction works were carried out at site areas P3-SA3 (Western Tower Site) and P3-SA5 (Eastern Tower Site) during evening time, night-time and public holidays. Noise monitoring was carried out at all monitoring locations public-holidays (0700 – 1900 hours) and at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during evening-time (1900 – 2300 hours), night time (2300-0700 hours next day). All measured noise levels are presented in *Appendix M2* and a summary of the results is given in *Table 6.4*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the Reporting period is shown in *Appendix N2*.

**Table 6.4 Summary of Corrected Impact Noise Levels for Restricted Hour Monitoring**

Evening-time 1900-2300 hrs	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>10(5min)</sub>	L <sub>90(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>
NSR1	60.0 – 69.8	61.5 – 70.5	57.5 – 69.0	51.0 -69.1 <sup>2</sup>	70
NSR2	59.3 – 65.2	60.0 – 67.5	57.5 – 62.0	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR5	68.1 – 70.3	70.4 – 73.5	63.6 – 67.0	– <sup>3</sup>	70
Night-time 2300 – 0700 hrs next day	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>10(5min)</sub>	L <sub>90(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>
NSR1	55.8 – 60.0	56.5 – 61.0	54.5 – 56.5	44.6 – 54.1 <sup>2</sup>	55
NSR2	56.9 – 61.4	58.0 – 62.5	55.5 – 60.0	44.0 – 54.9 <sup>2</sup>	55
NSR5	65.8 – 67.9	67.6 – 71.4	61.2 – 64.5	– <sup>3</sup>	55
Public Holiday 0700-1900 hrs	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup> ,dB(A), (Range)			Construction Noise Level, dB(A) (Range)	Limit Level dB(A)
	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>10(5min)</sub>	L <sub>90(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(5min)</sub>
NSR1	68.8 – 69.8	69.5 – 71.0	68.0 – 69.0	66.2 – 68.9 <sup>2</sup>	70
NSR2	61.1 – 64.0	62.0 – 65.5	59.5 – 62.0	– <sup>3</sup>	70
NSR5	69.2 – 71.5	71.4 – 73.8	65.4 – 68.6	– <sup>3</sup>	70

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements.

<sup>2</sup> No adjustments were made on some of the measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise levels were mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Phase 3 Contract was not noticeable at NSRs according to the field study record.

<sup>3</sup> No adjustments were made on all measured noise levels, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level.

### 6.2.6 Observations

The major noise sources during the restricted hour monitoring were dominated by the operation of CT9 and local traffic noise (Container Port Road and Tsing Yi Road) and in particular container trucks.

## 7 AUDIT RESULTS

### 7.1 Air Quality

7.1.1 For 1-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 27 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

7.1.2 For 24-hour TSP monitoring, a total of 12 sets of measurement were carried out during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/ Limit (AL) Levels.

## 7.2 Noise

7.2.1 A total of 9 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement were carried out during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays) at three monitoring locations (NSR1, NSR 2 and NSR5) during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

7.2.2 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

7.2.3 A total of 9 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during night-time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

7.2.4 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements were carried out during public holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.

## 7.3 Water Quality

7.3.1 Two Effluent Discharge Licenses were granted by EPD, one for the Eastern Tower Site (EP760/269/009124I) and the other for the Western Tower Site (EP760/350/008933I) on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and 21<sup>st</sup> December 2004 respectively. The variation of the Discharge License (EP760/350/008933I) was granted by EPD on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005.

7.3.2 The permanent drainage systems at CT8 & CT9 site areas have been implemented and therefore no construction runoff / water samples were taken during the reporting period.

## 7.4 Waste Management

7.4.1 The Waste Management Plan (WMP–Issue 08) was approved by EPD on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2006.

7.4.2 Since May 2004, all non-inert C&D material from the Phase 3 Contract had been disposed of at WENT Landfill. A total of 154 m<sup>3</sup> of general refuse were delivered to WENT Landfill during the reporting period.

7.4.3 With effect from 6<sup>th</sup> February 2005, inert C&D material had been disposed of at Tuen Mun Fill Bank. During the reporting period, a total of 6,446 m<sup>3</sup> of public fill and 351 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38.

7.4.4 On 18<sup>th</sup> March 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 4,000m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Route 9 Section between Shek Wai Kok and Chai Wan Kok (TW/98/02)” for re-usage purposes. From March 2005 onwards, a total of 4,512m<sup>3</sup> (752 dump trucks) were delivered to TW/98/02.

7.4.5 On 7<sup>th</sup> December 2005, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Route 8 - Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct (HY/2000/21)” for re-

usage purposes. From December 2005 onwards, a total of 2,004m<sup>3</sup> (334 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to HY/2000/21.

- 7.4.6 On 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2006, approval was granted by PFC, CEDD to deliver a maximum of 3,000 m<sup>3</sup> of surplus filling material to “Drainage Improvement in East Kowloon (DC/2004/03)” for re-usage purposes. From January 2006 onwards, a total of 138m<sup>3</sup> (23 dump trucks) filling material were delivered to DC/2004/03.
- 7.4.7 CEDD was notified that a total of 1,600 m<sup>3</sup> of broken concrete and broken asphalt had been delivered to “Ampliação Do Novo Terminal Marítimo Da Taipa” Project in Macau by a derrick barge for the formation of internal haul roads in November 2007.
- 7.4.8 With the Marine Department Notice, a total of 1,345 nos. of concrete blocks were delivered and laid on the designated seabed as artificial reefs since 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008.
- 7.4.9 The quantities of different waste and their handling are summarized in *Table 7.1*.

**Table 7.1 Summary of Waste Disposal during the Reporting Period**

Material Type		Handling Method	Handling Quantities in the reporting period	Temporary Storage Locations On-site (if applicable)
C&D material	Public Fill	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	6,446 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
	Broken Concrete	Tuen Mun Fill Bank	351 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
	C&D Waste	To be recycled (paper& plastic)	N/A	P3-SA2 and P3-SA5 Contractor’s Office
To be recycled (metal)		N/A	N/A	
General Refuse		Collected by licensed collector for disposal to WENT	154 m <sup>3</sup>	N/A
Chemical waste		Collected by licensed chemical waste collector	Nil	Western Tower & Eastern Tower Site

## 7.5 Site Audits / Inspections

Photographic records provided by MHYHJV for their mitigation measures taken to rectify the deficiencies identified on site are presented in *Appendix Q*.

### 7.5.1 Environmental Team Site Inspections

Weekly site inspections were conducted by the ET during the reporting period and the major findings and MHYHJV’s proposed / implemented corrective and preventive measures are summarized as follows:

- i. The waste skips at area P3-SA5 were full and C&D waste was found scattering around.  
*Corrective and Preventive Actions* – All general refuse was collected and temporarily stored in waste skip on site. The frequency of removal of C&D waste from site has been increased. Completed and closed. (Please refer *Appendix Q* Photo 01).

### 7.5.2 Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Site Audits

No non-compliances or observations were recorded by IEC during the reporting period.

### 7.5.3 Environmental Protection Department (EPD) Site Inspections

No joint site inspections were carried out during the reporting period.

## 8 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONSES AND PROSECUTIONS

### 8.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

8.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedances were recorded in this reporting period and they are

8.1.2 Table 8.1 Summary of Non-Compliance for the Reporting Period

Media/ Nature	No. of Exceedance		Action Taken	Results of Action Taken	Remarks
	Action Level	Limit Level			
Air Quality	0	0	-	-	-
Noise	0	0	-	-	-

### 8.2 Summary of Complaints

8.2.1 No environmental related complaints were received during the reporting month. The summary for all the complaints received since the commencement of the Phase 3 Contract is presented in *Table 8.2*. The details of previous complaints and statistics are attached in *Appendices O1* and *O2* respectively.

*Table 8.2 Summary of Total Complaint Received*

Total No. of Complaint Received	No. of complaint received within reporting period	No. of Active Complaint	No. of Inactive/Closed Complaint
1	0	0	1

### 8.3 Summary of Notifications of Summonses and Prosecutions

8.3.1 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction.

## 9 ROUTE 8 – TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (TCSS)

### 9.1 Key issues for the Construction Works of TCSS

9.1.1 The construction of the “Route 8 – Traffic Control and Surveillance System Contract (HY/2003/05)” (TCSS) Contract was awarded to Delcan-Imtech-GECS-Joint Venture (DIGJV) and the construction work of TCSS within Phase 1 Contract (Route 8 – Ngong Shuen Chau Viaduct) site area was commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007.

9.1.2 The construction work of TCSS was carried out within different Phases of Route 8T since 4<sup>th</sup> April 2007. Since no further EM&A during construction phase would be carried out for Phase 1, Phase 2a and Phase 2b Contracts and therefore, all future TCSS works within Phase 1, Phase 2a and Phase 2b would be reported in this monthly EM&A report.

## 9.2 Audit Results



- 9.2.1 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.
- 9.2.2 DIGJV reported that no C&D materials were disposed off site to designated public filling area during the reporting period.

## 10 CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1.1 This Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 29<sup>th</sup> November 2009 to 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009 in accordance with EM&A Manual which forms part of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-018/1999).
- 10.1.2 A total of 27 sets of 1 hour TSP and 12 sets of 24-hours TSP measurements were carried out at all monitoring locations during the reporting period and the results of all measurements taken were below the Action/Limit (AL) Levels.
- 10.1.3 A total of 9 sets of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  measurement during daytime (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 10.1.4 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements during evening-time (i.e. 1900 to 2300 hours) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 10.1.5 A total of 9 sets of 4 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurement during night time (i.e. 2300 to 0700 hours next day) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 10.1.6 A total of 9 sets of 6 x  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements during public-holidays (i.e. 0700 to 1900 hours) were carried out at three monitoring locations during the reporting period and no exceedances were recorded.
- 10.1.7 No environmental complaints were received during the reporting period.
- 10.1.8 No notifications of summonses or prosecutions were received on the environmental performance for Phase 3 Contract since the commencement of construction works.
- 10.1.9 ET and IEC audits were carried out in accordance with the Phase 3 Contract's EM&A Manual and deficiencies identified were mainly related to removal of general refuse. MHYHJV had carried out immediate corrective / mitigation measures to rectify these issues.
- 10.1.10 No joint site inspections were carried out with EPD during the reporting period.
- 10.1.11 A joint site audit was carried out amongst IEC/ET/RSS/DIGJV on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2009. No adverse comments were raised by any parties.
- 10.1.12 The Operational Noise Monitoring has been commenced according to the approved proposal of the Operational Noise Monitoring Programme (EPD ref. (4) in Ax (1) to EP2/N3/A/28

Pt.47 dated 14 October 2009) under Condition 5.1 of EP. The baseline noise monitoring and 1<sup>st</sup> operational noise monitoring are scheduled on 25 November 2009 and 13 January 2010 respectively.



**Appendix A**  
**Site Location Plan**



**NOTE:**  
CURRENT EXTENDED COMPLETION DATE OF WORKS: 29/12/2008

**LEGEND**

	AREA NOT YET HANDED OVER TO GOVERNMENT
	(05 AUG 2009) TARGET HANDOVER DATE TO GOVERNMENT
	AREA HANDED OVER TO GOVERNMENT
	20 DEC 2005 ACTUAL HANDOVER DATE TO GOVERNMENT



<b>ARUP</b> <small>Ove Arup &amp; Partners HK Ltd</small>		
Job Title		
<b>Stonecutters Bridge</b>		
<b>Contract No. HY/2002/26</b>		
Sketch Title		
<b>GENERAL AND ALIGNMENT LAND RETURN PLAN HANDING BACK DATES</b>		
Sketch No.	ZK441	Rev. 3
Issue Date	Scale 1:10000 A3	
Drawn	Checked	Approved
KHC	WL	RDML
Related Ref.:	Working Dwg. No. N/A	
Others	N/A	

6/1/2009  
Printer by : SCB  
Filename : Z:\Drafting\Draft Sketch\zk441\zk441-3.dgn




## **Appendix B**

### **Project's Environmental Organization Chart and Contact Details**

Contract No. HY/2002/26  
 Route 8 Phase 3 Stonecutters Bridge

**Appendix B: Project's Environmental Organisational Chart and Contact Details**



## **Appendix C**

### **Three-Month Rolling Programme**

Not Used

## **Appendix D1**

### **Action/Limit Levels for Air Quality**

## Appendix D1: Action /Limit Levels for Air Quality

### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 24-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	174.0	260
ASR2	185.5	260
ASR3	200.0	260
ASR4	192.0	260
ASR5	178.0	260

### ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP

Location	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	350.0	500
ASR2	350.0	500
ASR3	350.0	500
ASR4	350.0	500
ASR5	324.0	500

## **Appendix D2**

### **Action/Limit Levels for Noise**

## Appendix D2: Action/Limit Levels for Noise

### Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

<b>Time Period</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Limit</b>
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)*
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70 dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day	When one documented complaint is received	55 dB(A)

\* Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.



## **Appendix E**

### **Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Reported Period**

**Environmental Monitoring Schedule between 29 November 2009 and 28 December 2009 for NSR1, NSR2 & NSR5 and ASR1, ASR2 & ASR5**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Noise <sub>P,H</sub> , 29-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> , 2-Dec	24hrs-TSP, 3-Dec	1hr-TSP, 4-Dec	5-Dec
Noise <sub>P,H</sub> , 6-Dec	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> , 7-Dec	24hrs-TSP, 8-Dec	1hr-TSP, 9-Dec	10-Dec	11-Dec	12-Dec
Noise <sub>P,H</sub> , 13-Dec	24hrs-TSP, 14-Dec	1hr-TSP, 15-Dec	Noise Noise <sub>evening</sub> Noise <sub>night</sub> , 16-Dec	17-Dec	18-Dec	24hrs-TSP, 19-Dec
20-Dec	21-Dec	22-Dec	23-Dec	24-Dec	25-Dec	26-Dec
27-Dec	28-Dec					

1hr-TSP 3 x 1 hour TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5 during 0900-1800.

24hrs-TSP 24 hours TSP monitoring at ASR1, ASR2 and ASR5

Noise Leq30 measurement at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during 0700-1900.

Noise<sub>Evening</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during 1900-2300 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>Night</sub> 4 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during 2300-0700 next day (if construction activities are undertaken).

Noise<sub>P,H</sub> 6 x Leq5 will be measured at NSR1, NSR2 and NSR5 during 0700-1900 (if construction activities are undertaken).

Termination of air quality & noise monitoring was effective from 12-Dec-09.


## **Appendix F**

### **Locations of Monitoring Locations**

\*\* CHEUNG CHING ESTATE (ASR4, N8R4)  
 \*# MAYFAIR GARDENS (ASR3, NSR3)

\*# TSING YI IVE (ASR2, NSR2)  
 THE MAIN  
 EDUCATION BUILDING

\*# FOK YING TUNG (ASR1, NSR1)  
 HALL OF RESIDENCE



LOCATION PLAN



NOTES:

1. CO-ORDINATES ARE RELATED TO HONG KONG METRIC GRID 11980..

LEGEND:

- # AIR MONITORING STATION
- # NOISE MONITORING STATION

N 822500  
 N 822000  
 N 821500  
 N 821000  
 N 820500  
 E 833000  
 E 834000  
 E 835000  
 E 836000  
 E 837000





**ARUP**  
 One Arup & Partners, HK Ltd

Job Title  
**Stonecutters Bridge**  
 Contract No. HY/2002/26

Sketch Title  
**AIR AND NOISE  
 MONITORING LOCATIONS**

Issue Date	Scale
21/12/04	1:10000 @A3
Drawn	Checked
KLW	KWL
Approved	
Related Ref.:	Working Day No.
	N/A
Others:	N/A



LOCATION PLAN

LEGEND

- SENSITIVE RECEIVER IDENTIFIED IN EMSA MANUAL
- \* AIR MONITORING STATION
- # NOISE MONITORING STATION
- ▽ WEATHER STATION

Rev.	Description	By	Date

Consultant  
**ARUP** 奧雅納工程顧問  
 020 Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited  
 Supported By:  
 Charles Hingwai & Partners ◯ CHM Hong Kong Ltd ◯  
 COWI Consulting Engineers ◯ CH Group ◯  
 CH2M Hill Construction ◯ CH2M Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯  
 HOK Group ◯ HOK Group ◯

Project No.  
 HY/2002/06  
 Route 8 - Stonecutters Bridge

Drawing title  
**AIR AND NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS AND WEATHER STATIONS**

Drawn by	Checked	Approved

Scale: 1:10000

COPYRIGHT RESERVED  
 香港政府  
**HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT**  
 香港工務局  
 Major Works Project Management Office

**Appendix G1**  
**Calibration Certificates for HVS**

## TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler In-situ Calibration Report (ASR1)

Calibration Date	28-Oct-09	Next Calibration Date	28-Dec-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE) Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.04

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	297.65	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	763.49

Orifice Transfer Standard Information			
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Slope, mo	1.57672	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08		
$mo \times Q_{std} + co = [\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - co\} / mo$			

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	8.1	1.81	8.1	2.85
2	6.3	1.60	6.7	2.60
3	5.5	1.50	5.4	2.33
4	4.4	1.34	4.7	2.17
5	3.4	1.18	3.5	1.88

By Linear Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.5355                      Intercept, ch = 0.0852


\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9937

**Calibration Result: ACCEPT**

\* If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By:                       Date: 28 Oct 09  
 Checked By:                       Date: 29 Oct 09







**TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler  
In-situ Calibration Report (ASR2)**

Calibration Date	28-Oct-09	Next Calibration Date	28-Dec-09
Station	H.K. Institute of Vocational Education-Tsing Yi (IVE) 5th Floor Block D of the main Education Building (ASR2)	Equipment no.	P2.HVS.03

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	297.65	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	763.49

Orifice Transfer Standard Information			
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Slope, mo	1.57672	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08		
$mo \times Q_{std} + co = [\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - co\} / mo$			

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	7.9	1.79	8.3	2.89
2	6.3	1.60	6.6	2.58
3	5.4	1.48	5.8	2.42
4	4.5	1.35	4.6	2.15
5	3.4	1.18	3.6	1.90


By Linear Regression of y on x  
Slope, mh = 1.6213      Intercept, ch = -0.0148


\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9986

**Calibration Result: ACCEPT**

\* If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.

Remark: Bi-monthly Calibration

Calibrated By:       Date: 28 Oct 09  
Checked By:       Date: 29 Oct 09





TSP - Total Suspended Particulates High Volume Sampler  
In-situ Calibration Report (ASR5)

Calibration Date	28-Oct-09	Next Calibration Date	28-Dec-09
Station	ASR5	Equipment no.	E.HVS.02

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	297.7	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	763.5

Orifice Transfer Standard Information			
Equipment no.	P2.CAL.04		
Slope, mo	1.57672	Intercept, co	-0.00705
Last Calibration Date	4-Nov-08	Next Calibration Date	4-Nov-09
$mo \times Q_{std} + co = [\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta O \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - co\} / mo$			

Calibration Point	Orifice Manometer Reading, ΔO (inch)	Orifice Q <sub>std</sub> (CMM) x-axis	HVS Manometer Reading, ΔH (inch)	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> y-axis
1	7.5	1.75	7.8	2.80
2	6.5	1.63	6.8	2.62
3	5.4	1.48	5.8	2.42
4	4.4	1.34	4.6	2.15
5	3.4	1.18	3.5	1.88

By Linear Regression of y on x

Slope, mh = 1.6256

Intercept, ch = -0.0247

\*Correction Coefficient, R = 0.9988


**Calibration Result: ACCEPT**

\* If the Correlation Coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and Recalibration are require.

Remark: \_\_\_\_\_

Calibrated By:  Date: 28 Oct 09  
 Checked By:  Date: 29 Oct 09

### Calibration Curve



Rev 0  
14<sup>th</sup> May 2002

## **Appendix G2**

### **Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

## **Appendix G2: Calibration Certificates for Weather Station**

The Weather Station was removed and meteorological data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory.

## **Appendix G3**

### **Calibration Certificates for High Volume Orifice Calibrator**

Not Used



## **Appendix G4**

### **Calibration Certificates for Sound Level Meter and Calibrator**

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

Materialab Division,  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No : 041333CA82714(3)

Page 1 of 2

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

### Client Supplied Information

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
Address : PO Box No 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
Project : Calibration Services

#### Calibration Item -

Description : Sound level meter  
Model No : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)  
Serial No : 2565848 (Microphone), 2562752 (Sound level meter)  
Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

### Laboratory Information

#### Calibrating Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Serial No : 2546175  
Date of Calibration : 16/Dec/2008  
Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
Specification Limit : EN 60651: 1994 Type 1

### Calibration Results :

(1) Frequency response  
(Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1: Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB)	Specification Limit (dB)
31.5	-38.6	-40.9 to -37.9
63	-25.8	-27.7 to -24.7
125	-16.0	-17.1 to -15.1
250	-8.6	-9.6 to -7.6
500	-3.3	-4.2 to -2.2
1000(ref.)	0.0	-1.0 to 1.0
2000	1.2	0.2 to 2.2
4000	0.9	-2.0 to 2.5
8000	-2.0	-4.1 to 0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3 to -1.3
16000	-9.8	-∞ to -3.6

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MateriaLab Division  
 Fugro Development Centre,  
 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road  
 Tai Lam Tuen Mun NT Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
 Fax : +852-2450 6138  
 E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
 Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(3)

Page 2 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER****(2) Level range control**

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Reference range setting : 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

**(3) Differential level linearity**

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

**(4) Crest factor**

(C F : 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB &amp; Test SPL: 106dB)

Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
106	0.3	± 0.5

## Remarks :

- The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards
- The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement.

Checked by :  Date : 18-12-08 Certified by :  Date : 18 Dec, 2008  
 C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN01/0808

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MateriaLab Division  
 Fugro Development Centre,  
 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road  
 Tai Lam, Tuen Mun N.T. Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
 Fax : +852-2450 6138  
 E-mail : mallab@fugro.com.hk  
 Website : www.materialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(4)

Page 1 of 2

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

### Client Supplied Information

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
 Address : PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
 Project : Calibration Services

#### Calibration Item -

Description : Sound level meter  
 Model No : Bruel & Kjaer (Type 2238)  
 Serial No : 2565853 (Microphone), 2562757 (Sound level meter)  
 Next Calibration Due Date : 16/Dec/2009

### Laboratory Information

#### Calibrating Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
 Serial No : 2546175  
 Date of Calibration : 16/Dec/2008  
 Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
 Specification Limit : EN 60651: 1994 Type 1

### Calibration Results :

(1) Frequency response  
 (Reference SPL: 94dB & Range setting: 50 - 130dB at traditional free field)

Table 1: Summary of frequency response (A - weighting)

Frequency (Hz)	Measured Value (dB)	Specification Limit (dB)
31.5	-38.8	-40.9 to -37.9
63	-26.0	-27.7 to -24.7
125	-16.1	-17.1 to -15.1
250	-8.7	-9.6 to -7.6
500	-3.4	-4.2 to -2.2
1000(ref.)	-0.1	-1.0 to 1.0
2000	1.1	0.2 to 2.2
4000	0.7	-2.0 to 2.5
8000	-2.4	-4.1 to 0.4
12500	-6.3	-10.3 to -1.3
16000	-9.2	-∞ to -3.6

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division  
 Fugro Development Centre,  
 5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road,  
 Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
 Fax : +852-2450 6138  
 E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
 Website : www.materiallab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No. : 041333CA82714(4)

Page 2 of 2

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER****(2) Level range control**

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Reference range setting : 50 - 130dB)

Table 2: Summary of level range control accuracy

Level range (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
50-130 (Ref.)	NA	NA
20-100	0.0	± 0.5
30-110	0.0	± 0.5
40-120	0.0	± 0.5
60-140	0.0	± 0.5

**(3) Differential level linearity**

(Reference SPL: 94dB, Reference frequency: 1kHz &amp; Primary indicator range: 50 - 130dB)

Table 3: Summary of differential level linearity

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
94	NA	NA
104	0.0	± 0.4
114	0.0	± 0.4

**(4) Crest factor**

(C.F : 3, Test frequency: 2kHz, Test range: 50 - 130dB &amp; Test SPL: 106dB)

Table 4: Crest factor

Sound pressure level (dB)	Measured deviation (dB)	Specification limit (dB)
106	0.2	± 0.5

**Remarks :**

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards
2. The above calibration results does comply with the Type 1 specification requirement

Checked by :  Date : 18-12-08 Certified by :  Date : 18 Dec, 2008  
 C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN01-0903

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MateriaLab Division  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun NT Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiialab.com.hk / www.fugro.com



Report No : 041333CA82714(5)

Page 1 of 1

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL CALIBRATOR****Client Supplied Information**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong JV  
Address : PO Box No 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office  
Project : Calibration Services  
Calibration Item -  
Description : Bruel & Kjaer Sound Level Calibrator  
Model No. : Type 4231  
Serial No. : 2605971  
Next Calibration Due Date : 16-Dec-2009

**Laboratory Information**



Calibrating Equipment -  
Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Serial No : 2546175  
Date of Calibration : 16-Dec-2008  
Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C  
Specification Limit : ±0.5dB

**Calibration Result :**

(1) At 94dB reading  
Correction of UUT (at 94dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB  
  
(2) At 114dB reading  
Correction of UUT (at 114dB & 1kHz) : +0.0dB

**Remarks :**

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards
2. The above calibration results does comply with the specification requirement
3. Serial number of sound level meter (microphone) used is 2562752 (2565848). Settings of SLM are 50-130dB range, A weighting and F response.

Checked by :  Date : 18-12-08 Certified by :  Date : 18 Dec 2008  
C K So (Engineer)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company

GEN01/0908

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division,  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M S. Castle Peak Road,  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk

**MaterialLab**

Page 1 of 1

Report no : 061265CA92364

**REPORT ON CALIBRATION OF SOUND LEVEL METER**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong J.V.

Address : PO Box No 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office

Project : Calibration Services

**Client Supplied Information**

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter  
Manufacturer : Pulsar Instruments Inc. (Model no. 30 (meter), MK226 (microphone))  
Serial No. : T220553 (meter), 110453 (microphone), CESVA PA14-324 (Pre-amp)  
Next Calibration Date : 11-Nov-2010  
Specification Limit : EN 60651: 1994 Type 2

**Laboratory Information**

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Equipment ID : R-108-1  
Date of Calibration : 11-Nov-2009 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C  
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab  
Method Used : By direct comparison

**Calibration Results :**

Parameters	Mean Value (dB)	Specification Limit(dB)		
A-weighting frequency response	16000Hz	-17.4	-1.6 to $-\infty$	
	12500Hz	-10.6	0.7 to $-\infty$	
	8000Hz	-3.6	3.9 to -6.1	
	4000Hz	0.3	4.0 to -2.0	
	2000Hz	1.0	3.2 to -0.8	
	1000Hz	0.0	1.5 to -1.5	
	500Hz	-3.2	-1.7 to -4.7	
	250Hz	-8.5	-7.1 to -10.1	
	125Hz	-15.9	-14.6 to -17.6	
	63Hz	-25.8	-24.2 to -28.2	
	31.5Hz	-38.9	-36.4 to -42.4	
	C-weighting frequency response	16000Hz	-18.7	-3.5 to $-\infty$
		12500Hz	-12.0	-1.2 to $-\infty$
8000Hz		-5.0	2.0 to -8.0	
4000Hz		-1.0	2.2 to -3.8	
2000Hz		0.1	1.8 to -2.2	
1000Hz		0.4	1.5 to -1.5	
500Hz		0.5	1.5 to -1.5	
250Hz		0.5	1.5 to -1.5	
125Hz		0.5	1.3 to -1.7	
63Hz		-0.1	1.2 to -2.8	
Differential level linearity	94dB-104dB	-0.4	$\pm 0.6$	
	104dB-114dB	-0.5	$\pm 0.6$	

**Remarks :**

- The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards
- The mean value is the average of four measurements
- For frequency response: Reference SPL is 94dB, range setting is 30-130dB & time weighing is fast
- For differential level linearity: range setting is 30-130dB & time weighing is fast
- The equipment does comply with EN 60651: 1994 Type 2 sound level meter for the above measurement.

Checked by : Sunny Date : 13 Nov 2009 Certified by : So Chi Kuen Date : 13 Nov 2009  
So Chi Kuen (Engineer)

CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN01/1208

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**

MaterialLab Division,  
Fugro Development Centre,  
5 Lok Yi Street, 17 M.S. Castle Peak Road,  
Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel : +852-2450 8233  
Fax : +852-2450 6138  
E-mail : mattlab@fugro.com.hk  
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk



Page 1 of 1

Report no.: 061265CA92364(1)

**REPORT ON CALIBRATION OF SOUND CALIBRATOR**

Client : Maeda-Hitachi-Yokogawa-Hsin Chong J.V.

Address : PO Box No. 80330, Cheung Sha Wan Post Office

Project : Calibration Services

**Client Supplied Information**

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Calibrator  
Manufacturer : Pulsar Instruments Inc. (Model no 100B)  
Serial No : 035213  
Next Calibration Date : 11-Nov-2010  
Specification Limit :  $\pm 0.5$  dB

**Laboratory Information**

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226  
Equipment ID : R-108-1  
Date of Calibration : 11-Nov-2009 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C  
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab  
Method Used : By direct comparison

**Calibration Results :**

Parameters (Setting of UUT)	Mean Value (error of measurement)	Specification Limit(dB)
94dB	-0.1dB	$\pm 0.5$ dB
104dB	-0.1dB	

**Remarks :**

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements
3. Sound level meter used is client sound level meter (S/N: 110453 / T220553) with range setting, time weighing and frequency weighing at 30 - 130dB, fast & A respectively
4. The equipment does comply with specification limit

Checked by: Sunny  
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Date: 13 Nov 2009

Certified by: So

So Chi Kuen (Engineer)

Date: 13 Nov 2009

The copyright of this document is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

GEN01/1208



## **Appendix G5**

**Certificate HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory**



Hong Kong Accreditation Service  
香港認可處

**Certificate of Accreditation**  
認可證書

*This is to certify that*  
特此證明

**FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED**  
輝固土力工程及檢測有限公司

**Fugro Development Centre, 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong**  
香港新界屯門大欖樂怡街五號輝固發展中心

*has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a*  
為香港認可處執行機構根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

**HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory**  
「香港實驗室認可計劃」認可實驗室

*This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of*  
此實驗室符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 - 《測試及校正實驗室能力的通用規定》所訂的要求。這項認可資格表示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗室質量管理系統的運作 (是國際標準化組織、國際實驗室認可合作組織及國際認可論壇於二零零五年六月十八日的聯合公佈)。  
*testing and calibration work*  
測試及校正工作

**Environmental Testing**  
環境測試

*This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005*  
本實驗室乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。  
*This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer Joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated 18 June 2005).*  
這項認可資格表示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗室質量管理系統的運作 (是國際標準化組織、國際實驗室認可合作組織及國際認可論壇於二零零五年六月十八日的聯合公佈)。

*The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive*  
香港認可處根據認可處執行機構的權限在此證書上通用印信

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator  
執行幹事 陳成城  
Issue Date : 17 April 2007  
簽發日期：二零零七年四月十七日  
Registration Number : **HOKLAS 015**  
註冊號碼：



Date of First Registration : 23 March 1989  
首次註冊日期：一九八九年三月二十三日

L 000260

*This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions set down by HKAS*  
本證書係根據認可處訂立的條款及條件發出



Hong Kong Accreditation Service

香港認可處

This is to certify that

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

at the address of 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong.

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive,

on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:1999 - General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the Test Category of

ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive.

Y.H. Ng


(DR L.H. NG)  
Executive Administrator

Registration Number  
HOKLAS 066

Issue Date:  
30 JANUARY 2002

Date of First Registration:  
15 SEPTEMBER 1995

This Certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS.



F 000101

## **Appendix H1**

### **Event/Action Plan for Air Quality**

## Appendix H1: Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

Event Level	Action		
	ET	ER	CONTRACTOR
<b>Action Level</b>			
Exceedance for one sample	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify source</li> <li>• Inform ER</li> <li>• Repeat Measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>• Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notify Contractor</li> <li>• Check mortaring data and Contractor's working methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rectify any unacceptable practice</li> <li>• Amend working methods if appropriate</li> </ul>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER</li> <li>3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Discuss with ER for remedial actions required</li> <li>6. If exceedance continues arrange meeting with ER</li> <li>7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>4. Discuss with Environmental Team and Contractor on potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals</li> <li>3. Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
<b>Limit Level</b>			
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER and EPD</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods</li> <li>4. Discuss with Environmental Team Leader and Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>3. Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source</li> <li>2. Inform ER and EPD the causes &amp; actions taken for the exceedances</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily</li> <li>5. Investigate the causes of exceedance</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results &amp; if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor</li> <li>3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented</li> <li>4. Discuss amongst Environmental Team Leader and the Contractor potential remedial actions</li> <li>5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness</li> <li>6. If exceedance continues consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action avoid further exceedance</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification</li> <li>3. Implements the agreed proposals</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated</li> </ol>

## **Appendix H2**

### **Event/Action Plan for Noise**

## Appendix H2: Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	Action		
	ET Leader	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify ER</li> <li>2. Analyse investigation</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Require Contractor to propose measures* for the analysed noise problem</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to Environmental Team</li> <li>2. Implement noise mitigation proposals*</li> </ol>
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify ER</li> <li>2. Notify EPD</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> <li>2. Require contractor to implement mitigation measures* Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Implement mitigation measures</li> <li>2. Prove to Environmental Team Leader ER effectiveness of measures applied</li> </ol>
*	<p><i>Mitigation Measures may include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Relocation of noise emitting plant</i></li> <li>• <i>Use of silenced or super-silenced equipment</i></li> <li>• <i>Use of acoustic sheds or screens</i></li> <li>• <i>Limit quantity of plant operating</i></li> <li>• <i>Change working technique</i></li> </ul>		

## **Appendix I**

# **Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirements**



## Appendix I: Implementation Status of Environmental Protection Requirement

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/08/09 to 28/09/09	29/09/09 to 28/10/09	29/10/09 to 28/11/09	29/11/09 to 19/12/09
Landscape and visual	Erection, painting and maintenance of site hoardings around works and storage areas.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
	Restrictions on the height of material/spoil stockpiles.		√	√	√	√
	Prompt hydro-seeding of disturbed areas and cut/fill slopes prior to the permanent landscaping works.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Avoidance of chunam or shotcreting slope treatments.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Conservation of topsoil where practical.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Site litter patrols and regular site waste collection.		√	√	√	√
	Maintenance of planting.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ecological Impact	Minimise damage outside works areas		√	√	√	√
Construction:						
Material Storage	Covers for dusty stockpiles	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Vehicle movement	Haul road watering, vehicle wheel wash prior to exit. Where practical, access roads should be protected with crushed gravel.		√	√	√	√
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue air emissions.		√	√	√	√
All plant activity	Reference should be made the EM&A Manual Action Plan for measures for consideration when Noise Limit Levels are not met.		√	√	√	√
Plant maintenance	All plant shall be maintained to prevent any undue noise nuisance.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/08/09 to 28/09/09	29/09/09 to 28/10/09	29/10/09 to 28/11/09	29/11/09 to 19/12/09
Wheel wash	All wheel wash water shall be diverted to a sediment pit.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Concrete Truck Washout	All concrete trucks shall wash out into a lined pit.		√	√	√	√
Surface water diversion	All clean surface water shall be diverted around the site.		√	√	√	√
Sediment control	Sediment removal facilities shall be provided and be maintained and excavated as necessary to prevent sedimentation of the channel. Perimeter channels shall be provided. Works shall be programmed for the dry season where feasible.		√	√	√	√
Fuel can storage	All fuel cans shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		√	√	√	√
Slope covers	Finished slopes and other slopes near drainage areas shall be covered prior to rains to reduce sedimentation of runoff. Slopes should be hydroseeded or shotcreted as early as possible to prevent erosion.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Excavation works	Excavation works shall avoid sensitive areas.	Throughout the excavation work period	√	√	√	√
Material, plant movement & fuel can refilling.	Any fuel or oil spills shall be excavated and disposed.	Throughout the construction period	√	√	√	√
Generators	All generators shall be placed within a bundled area. Any fuel spills shall be mopped up as necessary.		√	√	√	√
Material containers	All empty bags and containers shall be collected for disposal.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Implementation Stages*			
Activities			29/08/09 to 28/09/09	29/09/09 to 28/10/09	29/10/09 to 28/11/09	29/11/09 to 19/12/09
Worker generated litter and Waste	Litter receptacles shall be placed around the site. Litter shall be taken regularly to the refuse collection points. Chemical toilets (or suitable equivalent) should be provided for workers. Any canteens should have grease-traps.	Throughout the construction period	▲	▲	▲	√
Neighbourhood nuisance	All complaints regarding construction works shall be relayed to the Environmental Team.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Legal requirements	Different types of waste should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements and guidelines		√	√	√	√
On-site separation	On-site separation of municipal solid waste and construction/demolition wastes should be conducted as far as possible in order to minimize the amount of solid waste to be disposed to landfill.		√	√	√	√
Temporary storage area	Separated wastes should be stored in different containers, skips, or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and encourage their proper disposal.		√	√	√	√
Record of wastes	Records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (with locations) should be properly kept.		√	√	√	√
Trip-ticket system	To monitor the disposal of waste at landfills and control fly-tipping, a "trip-ticket" system for all solid waste transfer/disposal operations should be implemented. The system should be included as a contractual requirement, and monitored by the Environmental Team and audited by the Independent Environmental Checker.		√	√	√	√

- \*  
 N/A = Not Applicable  
 ✓ = Implemented  
 ▲ = Rectified  
 # = Not Implemented

## **Appendix J**

### **1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR 1)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
4-Dec-09	13:44	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.49	2.7998	2.8123	155.3
4-Dec-09	14:52	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.49	2.7985	2.8125	173.9
4-Dec-09	15:58	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.49	2.7335	2.7497	201.3
9-Dec-09	14:46	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.9282	2.9450	209.5
9-Dec-09	15:51	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.8953	2.9079	157.1
9-Dec-09	16:58	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.19	2.9266	2.9374	134.7
15-Dec-09	14:46	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.29	2.9381	2.9518	170.6
15-Dec-09	15:55	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.29	2.9424	2.9597	215.5
15-Dec-09	17:02	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.29	2.9265	2.9427	201.8

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
3-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1932.02	2.7894	2.9922	105.0
8-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1926.33	2.7447	2.9569	110.2
14-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1925.47	2.9330	3.0640	68.0
19-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.35	1.35	1.35	1945.84	2.9128	3.1563	125.1

Termination of air quality was effective from 20-Dec-09.

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
4-Dec-09	13:26	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.7923	2.8049	157.6
4-Dec-09	14:35	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.7717	2.7858	176.4
4-Dec-09	15:41	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.94	2.7449	2.7588	173.9
9-Dec-09	14:15	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.65	2.9149	2.9286	172.0
9-Dec-09	15:22	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.65	2.9144	2.9251	134.3
9-Dec-09	16:29	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.65	2.9278	2.9370	115.5
15-Dec-09	14:33	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.74	2.9397	2.9543	183.1
15-Dec-09	15:41	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.74	2.9136	2.9289	191.9
15-Dec-09	16:48	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	79.74	2.9134	2.9302	210.7

**The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR 2)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
3-Dec-09	0:00	1442.40	1.33	1.33	1.33	1921.79	2.7916	2.9931	104.9
8-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1913.20	2.7567	2.9639	108.3
14-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	1912.39	2.9171	3.0345	61.4
19-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1931.68	2.9440	3.1842	124.3

Termination of air quality was effective from 20-Dec-09.

**The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
4-Dec-09	10:20	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.45	2.9152	2.9250	121.8
4-Dec-09	15:00	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.45	2.9120	2.9245	155.4
4-Dec-09	16:10	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.45	2.9206	2.9372	206.3
9-Dec-09	9:50	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.01	2.9420	2.9524	130.0
9-Dec-09	10:57	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.01	2.9250	2.9362	140.0
9-Dec-09	15:26	60.00	1.33	1.33	1.33	80.01	2.9398	2.9496	122.5
15-Dec-09	8:10	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.20	2.9101	2.9221	149.6
15-Dec-09	9:20	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.20	2.9113	2.9239	157.1
15-Dec-09	17:00	60.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	80.20	2.9084	2.9193	135.9

**The Summary of 24-hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)**

Date	Sampling Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Initial Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Final Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Averaged Standard Flow Rate ( $\text{m}^3/\text{min}$ )	Total Standard Volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )	Initial Filter Weight (g)	Final Filter Weight (g)	TSP Concentration $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
3-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1931.92	2.7673	2.8183	26.4
8-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.33	1.34	1922.53	2.7586	2.8028	23.0
14-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	1923.59	2.9100	2.9757	34.2
19-Dec-09	0:00	1440.00	1.36	1.35	1.36	1951.43	2.9192	3.0751	79.9

Termination of air quality was effective from 20-Dec-09.


## **Appendix K**

### **Graphical Presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result**




### 1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)

× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (ASR1)




1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the main Building (ASR2)


× TSP Concentration — Mean of TSP concentration at same monitoring day — Action Level — Limit Level




24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at HKIVE 5th floor Block D of the Main Building (ASR2)



1 hr TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)



24 hrs TSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Stonecutters Base (ASR5)



## **Appendix L**

### **Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring**

**Appendix L: Weather Condition during Impact Monitoring (ASR1, ASR2 & ASR5)**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Ambient Pressure P (mmHg)	Average Ambient Temperature		Relative Humidity %	Wind Direction	Wind Speed m/s
				oC	K			
3-Dec-09	00:00~24:00	Sunny	766.04	17.4	290.55	52~66	N	8.5
4-Dec-09	10:10~17:15	Sunny	764.92	17.6	290.75	60~76	N	4.9
8-Dec-09	00:00~24:00	Cloudy	762.67	18.6	291.75	88~97	NNE	9.9
9-Dec-09	09:30~18:00	Fine	761.77	19.7	292.85	87~96	N	5.4
14-Dec-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	763.94	19.8	292.95	76~95	ENE	9.3
15-Dec-09	08:00~18:00	Fine	763.64	19.0	292.15	83~97	ENE	8.9
19-Dec-09	00:00~24:00	Fine	770.92	13.3	286.45	58~72	N	7.3

Meteorological data such as atmospheric pressure and temperature used for the calculation of TSP values was obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory



## **Appendix M1**

### **Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour**

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	9:38	30	71.4	73.3	69.1	66.9	69.5	75.0
7-Dec-09	10:55	30	71.3	72.1	70.2	67.0	69.3	75.0
16-Dec-09	14:30	30	68.0	70.7	63.9	66.7	62.1	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	10:29	30	67.8	69.7	64.7	71.5	67.8*	70.0
7-Dec-09	9:36	30	64.8	65.8	63.0	71.6	64.8*	70.0
16-Dec-09	14:57	30	65.0	66.9	62.7	71.7	65.0*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	9:45	30	70.6	73.3	66.4	75.2	70.6*	75.0
7-Dec-09	11:11	30	70.3	73.4	65.5	75.0	70.3*	75.0
16-Dec-09	13:45	30	69.6	71.8	66.4	75.0	69.6*	75.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

## **Appendix M2**

### **Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour**

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	19:23	5	68.8	69.5	67.5	64.6	66.7	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:28	5	68.7	69.5	67.5	63.7	67.0	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:33	5	68.5	69.0	67.5	63.8	66.7	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:38	5	68.7	69.5	67.5	63.8	67.0	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:43	5	69.0	70.5	67.5	63.4	67.6	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:48	5	68.4	69.0	67.5	63.6	66.7	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:30	5	69.7	70.0	69.0	61.9	68.9	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:35	5	69.8	70.0	69.0	61.8	69.1	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:40	5	69.8	70.0	69.0	61.4	69.1	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:45	5	69.8	70.0	69.0	61.3	69.1	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:50	5	69.8	70.0	69.0	62.8	68.8	70.0
7-Dec-09	20:55	5	69.7	70.0	69.0	62.0	68.9	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:05	5	60.6	62.5	58.0	60.8	60.6*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:10	5	61.6	63.5	58.0	61.2	51.0	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:15	5	60.5	62.0	58.5	60.6	60.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:20	5	62.1	63.0	59.0	60.6	56.8	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:25	5	60.5	62.5	58.0	60.9	60.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:30	5	60.0	61.5	57.5	61.1	60.0*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup> Leq dB(A)	Construction Noise Level Leq dB(A)	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)			
2-Dec-09	23:03	5	58.3	60.0	56.0	58.7	58.3*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:08	5	57.1	58.0	55.5	59.2	57.1*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:13	5	57.0	58.0	55.5	58.5	57.0*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:18	5	55.8	56.5	54.5	58.3	55.8*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:25	5	57.5	58.5	56.0	58.1	57.5*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:30	5	57.8	58.5	56.0	58.2	57.8*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:35	5	58.1	59.5	56.0	57.9	44.6	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:40	5	58.2	59.5	55.5	58.4	58.2*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:05	5	60.0	61.0	56.0	58.7	54.1	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:10	5	58.6	60.5	56.5	59.2	58.6*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:15	5	57.8	59.0	55.5	58.5	57.8*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:20	5	57.1	58.0	56.0	58.3	57.1*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR 1)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
29-Nov-09	10:13	5	69.7	70.5	68.5	64.6	68.1	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:18	5	69.8	71.0	68.5	65.1	68.0	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:23	5	69.4	70.0	68.5	64.8	67.6	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:28	5	69.3	70.0	68.5	64.5	67.6	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:33	5	69.3	69.5	68.5	63.7	67.9	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:38	5	69.1	69.5	68.5	63.6	67.7	70.0
6-Dec-09	10:55	5	69.1	69.5	68.0	64.5	67.3	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:00	5	69.3	70.0	68.0	64.2	67.7	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:05	5	68.8	69.5	68.0	63.7	67.2	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:10	5	68.8	69.5	68.0	65.3	66.2	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:15	5	69.3	70.5	68.0	64.4	67.6	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:20	5	68.9	69.5	68.0	64.5	66.9	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:04	5	69.7	70.0	69.0	62.4	68.8	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:09	5	69.6	70.0	69.0	61.1	68.9	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:14	5	69.7	70.0	69.0	64.5	68.1	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:19	5	69.8	70.0	69.0	62.9	68.8	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:24	5	69.7	70.0	69.0	63.0	68.7	70.0
13-Dec-09	14:29	5	69.5	70.0	69.0	62.0	68.6	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	20:04	5	62.6	63.5	61.5	66.4	62.6*	70.0
2-Dec-09	20:09	5	65.2	67.5	62.0	65.3	65.2*	70.0
2-Dec-09	20:14	5	63.3	64.5	61.5	66.2	63.3*	70.0
2-Dec-09	20:19	5	64.0	65.0	62.0	65.5	64.0*	70.0
2-Dec-09	20:24	5	64.0	65.0	61.5	65.4	64.0*	70.0
2-Dec-09	20:29	5	62.8	64.0	61.0	65.6	62.8*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:26	5	62.3	63.5	61.0	66.7	62.3*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:31	5	62.7	63.5	61.0	65.7	62.7*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:36	5	62.2	63.0	61.0	66.0	62.2*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:41	5	62.2	63.0	61.0	66.1	62.2*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:46	5	63.3	64.5	61.0	66.3	63.3*	70.0
7-Dec-09	19:51	5	63.2	64.5	61.0	65.7	63.2*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:17	5	61.1	63.0	58.5	63.4	61.1*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:22	5	60.7	62.5	58.0	63.6	60.7*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:27	5	59.5	60.0	58.0	64.0	59.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:32	5	59.5	61.0	57.5	63.1	59.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:37	5	59.3	60.5	58.0	64.2	59.3*	70.0
16-Dec-09	21:42	5	59.8	61.5	57.5	62.2	59.8*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09



**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)			
2-Dec-09	23:04	5	60.5	61.5	59.0	60.7	60.5*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:09	5	60.4	61.5	58.5	60.3	44.0	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:14	5	60.4	61.5	59.0	61.0	60.4*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:19	5	61.3	62.0	60.0	60.2	54.8	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:01	5	60.4	61.0	59.0	60.3	44.0	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:06	5	61.0	62.0	59.5	60.7	49.2	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:11	5	61.4	62.5	60.0	60.3	54.9	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:16	5	60.3	61.0	59.0	61.0	60.3*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:02	5	57.0	58.0	55.5	60.3	57.0*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:07	5	59.9	61.0	56.5	60.7	59.9*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:12	5	57.9	59.0	56.0	60.3	57.9*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:17	5	56.9	58.0	55.5	61.0	56.9*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Building (NSR 2)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
29-Nov-09	9:59	5	62.6	64.0	60.5	67.3	62.6*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:04	5	61.5	63.0	59.5	68.3	61.5*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:09	5	61.6	62.5	60.0	67.0	61.6*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:14	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	68.3	62.3*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:19	5	62.6	64.0	60.0	67.7	62.6*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:24	5	61.4	62.0	60.0	66.9	61.4*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:06	5	62.1	63.0	61.0	67.8	62.1*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:11	5	64.0	65.5	61.5	68.0	64.0*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:16	5	62.1	63.0	61.0	69.1	62.1*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:21	5	63.7	65.5	62.0	67.9	63.7*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:26	5	63.7	65.0	61.5	66.0	63.7*	70.0
6-Dec-09	11:31	5	63.8	65.5	62.0	66.4	63.8*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:17	5	61.6	63.0	59.5	68.3	61.6*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:22	5	63.0	65.0	60.0	67.7	63.0*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:27	5	61.1	62.5	59.5	66.9	61.1*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:32	5	62.3	64.0	60.0	67.8	62.3*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:37	5	63.5	64.5	60.5	66.2	63.5*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:42	5	62.0	63.5	60.0	66.7	62.0*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Evening-time Leq<sub>s</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
2-Dec-09	19:30	5	70.1	73.2	65.2	73.1	70.1*	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:35	5	70.2	73.5	65.2	72.6	70.2*	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:40	5	69.7	72.3	66.1	73.1	69.7*	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:45	5	69.3	72.0	64.9	73.3	69.3*	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:50	5	68.8	71.8	65.0	72.5	68.8*	70.0
2-Dec-09	19:55	5	69.2	71.6	64.6	72.6	69.2*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:12	5	70.0	72.9	65.2	71.4	70.0*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:17	5	69.3	71.9	64.9	71.4	69.3*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:22	5	70.3	73.0	66.8	72.0	70.3*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:27	5	70.2	72.7	67.0	71.0	70.2*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:32	5	68.2	70.4	64.6	71.0	68.2*	70.0
7-Dec-09	21:37	5	69.9	71.7	66.6	70.9	69.9*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:34	5	68.1	70.7	63.6	72.3	68.1*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:39	5	69.4	71.8	64.9	72.5	69.4*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:44	5	69.6	72.6	64.1	72.1	69.6*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:49	5	68.5	71.0	64.9	72.0	68.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:54	5	69.5	72.8	64.7	71.6	69.5*	70.0
16-Dec-09	20:59	5	70.0	73.0	64.7	71.7	70.0*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup> Leq dB(A)	Construction Noise Level Leq dB(A)	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)			
2-Dec-09	23:03	5	67.9	70.9	64.5	69.1	67.9*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:08	5	66.8	69.5	63.4	69.6	66.8*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:13	5	67.1	70.4	63.5	69.2	67.1*	55.0
2-Dec-09	23:18	5	67.6	70.6	63.5	69.0	67.6*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:22	5	66.9	69.9	63.3	69.0	66.9*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:27	5	66.5	69.6	62.4	68.5	66.5*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:32	5	65.8	67.6	61.7	68.2	65.8*	55.0
7-Dec-09	23:37	5	67.3	71.4	61.2	69.0	67.3*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:17	5	67.3	70.0	63.5	69.2	67.3*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:22	5	66.8	70.0	62.8	69.0	66.8*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:27	5	66.8	70.4	63.1	68.5	66.8*	55.0
16-Dec-09	23:32	5	66.9	69.8	61.8	68.2	66.9*	55.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period

# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

**The Summary of Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> Level at Stonecutters Base (NSR 5)**

Date	Monitoring Time	Duration min	Measured Noise Level <sup>1</sup>			Baseline Level <sup>1</sup>	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level dB(A)
			Leq dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	Leq dB(A)	
29-Nov-09	10:06	5	70.7	73.3	65.9	74.6	70.7*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:11	5	70.9	72.9	66.9	75.9	70.9*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:16	5	71.3	73.8	67.6	74.6	71.3*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:21	5	70.4	73.1	65.8	73.2	70.4*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:26	5	71.0	73.8	66.1	74.9	71.0*	70.0
29-Nov-09	10:31	5	69.2	71.4	65.4	74.4	69.2*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:18	5	70.6	72.0	65.9	74.9	70.6*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:23	5	70.2	71.8	68.5	74.9	70.2*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:28	5	71.5	73.7	68.6	74.5	71.5*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:33	5	70.6	72.3	68.0	74.8	70.6*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:38	5	69.9	71.6	68.0	74.8	69.9*	70.0
6-Dec-09	9:43	5	69.8	71.5	67.8	74.2	69.8*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:35	5	69.4	71.6	66.5	75.2	69.4*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:40	5	69.8	71.6	67.3	73.9	69.8*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:45	5	70.4	72.5	66.9	73.3	70.4*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:50	5	69.3	71.7	66.1	74.7	69.3*	70.0
13-Dec-09	10:55	5	69.6	71.7	66.3	74.8	69.6*	70.0
13-Dec-09	11:00	5	70.0	72.0	67.4	74.5	70.0*	70.0

**NB: Bold - exceedance**

<sup>1</sup> Additional 3dB (A) façade correction was made to the Free-field measurements

\* No adjustment was made on the measured noise level, since corresponding baseline level  $\geq$  measured noise level. The measured noise level was mainly dominated by local traffic noise and the construction noise generated from the Project was not noticeable at NSR according to the field study record.

\*\* No Construction works was carried out during the reporting period


# No monitoring was undertaken due to bad weather

Termination of noise monitoring was effective from 20-Dec-09

## **Appendix N1**


### **Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Normal Hour**

### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.


### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.



### Day-time Leq<sub>30</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M1 for more details.

## **Appendix N2**


### **Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour**

### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE Fok Ying Tung Hall of Residence (NSR1)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of the Main Education Building (NSR2)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.


Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at HKIVE 5th Floor Block D of Main Education Building (NSR2)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.




### Evening-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)




\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Night-time Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

### Public Holiday Leq<sub>5</sub> (Construction Noise Level) at Stonecutters Base (NSR5)



\* Construction Noise Level (CNL) = Measured Noise Level - Corresponding Baseline Level  
 Please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix M2 for more details.

## **Appendix O1**

### **Environmental Complaint Log Book**

**Appendix O1 - Environmental Complain Log**


Case No	Date of Received	Date of Complaint	Complainant's information	Detail's of complaint	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Follow-up Action	Status/Remarks
EC01	25-Nov-04 by e-mail from HyD	23-Nov-04 to HyD Enquiry e- mail and EPD Hotline e-mail.	The complainant claimed to be a resident of Rambler Crest, east Tsing Yi.	The complainant mainly enquired about why impact monitoring at Rambler Crest is not being carried out as part of the routine EM&A Programme currently being implemented for the Route 8 Stonecutter's Bridge Project during the construction stage. In addition, the complainant also enquired why monitoring at the 4 sensitive receivers are not being done for the same Project.	N/A	Both HyD and EPD have formally replied to the complainant by e-mail on 10 December 2004. Further enquiries were made by the complainant and a joint meeting was held between HyD, EPD and the ET and a second formal reply was issued by HyD on 23 December 2004 via e-mail. No further enquiries were received since and therefore the complaint is considered closed.	Closed.

## **Appendix O2**

### **Cumulative Statistics for Environmental Complaint**

## Appendix O2 - Cumulative Statistics of Complaints

Route 8 Phase 3 - Stonecutters Bridge



## **Appendix P**

### **Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Months**



Not Used

## **Appendix Q**

### **Photographic Records of Implemented Measures**

**Appendix Q**  
**Photographical Records of Implemented Measures**



**Photo 01 (P3-SA5)**

## **Appendix R**

### **Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status**

## Route 8

## Appendix R

Contract No. H/2002/26 – Stonecutters Bridge  
Summary of Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

Item	Nature of Permits/License	Date of Application	Date of issue of Permits/License	Permit/License No.	Remark
1	Environmental Permit	6/9/2002 (HyD, VEP-073/2002)	01/12/2008	EP-085/2000/E	Valid
2	Registration as a Waste Producer	05/05/2004 ( M45/100/000773)	06/08/2004 (EP760/350/0089331)	WPN 5213-350- M2640-01	Valid
3	Effluent Discharge License	06/09/2004 (M45/100/001766)	20/09/2004 (EP760/269/009124I)	EP760/269/009124I (surrendered)	For Eastern Tower Site Works Area
		/	30/07/2009 (EP/RW/0000004254)	WT00004483-2009 (until 30/09/2014)	For Eastern Tower Site Works Area
		09/09/2004 (M45/400/002475)	21/12/2004 (EP760/350/008933I)	EP760/350/008933I (until 31/12/2009) (Expired)	For Western Tower Site Works Area
4	Construction Noise Permit	15/05/09 (received by EPD)	20/06/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0215-09)	GW-RW0215-09 (until 19/12/2009) (Superseded)	For Western Tower Site area P3-SA5, SA5A & SA6: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		12/06/09 (received by EPD)	15/07/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0260-09)	GW-RW0260-09 (until 14/01/2010)	For Western Tower Site area P3-SA5, SA5A and SA6: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		19/06/09 (received by EPD)	29/06/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0264-09)	GW-RW0264-09 (until 28/12/2009) (Expired)	For ETYV access to SCB bridge 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		13/07/09 (received by EPD)	12/08/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0308-09)	GW-RW0308-09 (until 11/02/2010)	For Western Site area P3-SA2, SA2A: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		03/09/09 (received by EPD)	17/09/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0398-09)	GW-RW0398-09 (until 29/04/2010)	For Western Tower Site area P3-SA3: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)
		03/09/09 (received by EPD)	17/09/2009 (EP731/N31/RW0412-09)	GW-RW0412-09 (until 20/04/2010)	For Western Tower Site area P3-SA3: 00:00 to 24:00 (General Holiday, including Sunday), 00:00 to 07:00 and 19:00 to 24:00 (Any day not being a general holiday)