# **Drainage Service Department**

# Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing report for

# Contract No.DC/2006/11 Drainage Improvement in Southern Lantau

October 2011

#### **Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited**

Flat A, 19/F, Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Tel: 3678 9762 Fax: 2856 2010

## APPROVAL SHEET

Prepared and Certified by: ET Leader (Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited)

Signature:

Miss Goldie Fung

(ET\* Leader)

Date: 22/12/2011

Signature:

Mr. Vincent Lai

(Ecologist)

Date: 22/12/2011

\* ET – Environmental Team

# **TABLE of CONTENT**

TAE	BLE of	f CONTENT	ii
EXE	ECUT	IVE SUMMARY	iv
1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.	Proj	ect Information	1
	2.1	Construction program	1
	2.2	Project organization	2
	2.3	Key personal contact information chart	2
3.	Con	struction Stage	3
	3.1	Construction activities in the reporting month	3
	3.2	Construction activities for the coming month	3
4.	Nois	se Monitoring	4
	4.1	Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	4
	4.2	Monitoring Equipment	4
	4.3	Monitoring Locations	5
	4.4	Monitoring Results and Interpretation	7
	4.5	Action and Limit level for Construction noise	7
	4.6	Noise Mitigation Measures	9
5.	Wate	er Monitoring	10
	5.1	Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and methodology	10
	5.2	Monitoring Equipment	10
	5.4	Monitoring Frequency	13
	5.5	Monitoring Results and Interpretation	13
	5.6	Action and limit level for Water Quality	14
	5.7	Water Quality Mitigation Measures	16
	5.8	Water Monitoring Schedule for the Next reporting period	16
6.	Ecol	logy Monitoring	17
	6.1	Ecological Monitoring Parameters	17
	6.2	Monitoring Equipment and Methodology	18
	6.3	Monitoring Locations	19
	6.4	Monitoring Frequency	24
	6.5	Monitoring results	24
	6.6	Action and Limit level for Monitoring of White-shouldered Starlings	29
	6.7	Ecological monitoring Schedule	30
7.	Acti	on taken in Event of Exceedence	31

8.	Construction waste disposal	32
9.	Status of Permits and Licenses obtained	33
10.	Complaint Log	34
11.	Site Environmental Audits	34
11.1	Site Inspection	34
	11.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement	ent36
	11.3 Environmental Complaint and follow up actions	36
12.	Future key issues	36
13.	Conclusions	37

#### **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A Construction Programme and location plan

Appendix B Key Personal Contact information chart

Appendix C Calibration Certificates for measuring instruments

Appendix D1 Plant species recorded at Pak Ngan Heung River (N)

Appendix D2 Plant species recorded at Pak Ngan Heung River (S)

Appendix D3 Plant species recorded at Luk Tei Tong River

Appendix E Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Appendix F Monitoring Schedule for October 2011

Appendix G Implementation status of environmental protection / mitigation measures

Appendix H Graphical plot of noise monitoring results

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is the thirty-ninth monthly environmental Monitoring and audit (EM&A) report for "Drainage Improvement in Southern Lantau Investigation". The environmental permit number is "EP-237/2005/B". The report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period of 1 October 2011 to 31 October 2011. Landscaping works and railing installation were carried out within this reporting month.

Noise and ecological monitoring were performed. Results obtained were checked against the previously established Action / Limit (A/L) levels. Additionally, the implementation status of environmental mitigation measures, event / action plan and environmental complaint handling procedures were inspected during weekly site environmental audit.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting month.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Refer to EPD memo received on 4 May 2011, post-construction phase water quality monitoring was completed on 1 June 2011.

According to the EM&A manual, the ecological water quality monitoring should be carried out every two months a year for 4 years after the completion of works. The post-construction phase ecological water quality monitoring was started in June 2011 and monitored bi-monthly. The result of post-construction ecological water quality monitoring (EWQM) was not presented in the report as it had been conducted in September 2011.

During ecological monitoring survey, no White-shouldered Starling was recorded breeding in the watch tower. There was no sign of disturbance from the Project to the watch tower. The watch tower may not be suitable for birds as nesting habitat. In addition, no disturbance on the flora and fauna in the river channels were observed during the ecological monitoring.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

Future site activities to be carried out will be mainly landscaping works and railing installation. It is expected that environmental impact in different aspects will be resulted from the works. With reference to the EM&A manual and mitigation measure report, mitigation measures are proposed to be taken, if necessary.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual and Environmental Permit requirement.

#### 1. Introduction

This is the thirty-ninth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for "Drainage Improvement in Southern Lantau Investigation" project (Environmental Permit No. EP-237/2005/B)

## 2. Project Information

#### 2.1 Construction program

Majority of construction works of "Drainage Improvement in Southern Lantau Investigation" project were carried out in October 2011. The project comprises the following:

- Box A Landscaping Establishments Works at LTT River
- Seawall landscaping at LTT River
- Landscaping Establishment Works at TTT River
- Landscaping Establishment Works of EVA Area

Appendix A shows the construction program and location plan of the project.

#### 2.2 Project organization

The Main Contractor, Yick Hing Construction Company Limited, has commissioned Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited and Ecosystems Limited as the Environmental Team, which comprises the environmental team leader, the ecologists and the environmental technicians to undertake the environmental monitoring and audit work for this project.

The environmental management structure and is shown in Fig 2.2.1.




Figure. 2.2.1 Environmental Management structure for the project

#### 2.3 Key personal contact information chart

Detailed contact of key persons involved in environmental aspect of the project is shown in Appendix B.

# 3. Construction Stage

#### 3.1 Construction activities in the reporting month

Major activities in the reporting month included the followings:

- 1. Landscaping works.
- 2. Railing installation

#### 3.2 Construction activities for the coming month

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

- 1. Landscaping works.
- 2. Installation railing.

#### 3.3 Environmental Status

Appendix A shows the drawing of the project area.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 4.3, 5.3 and 6.3 for noise, water and ecological monitoring respectively.

#### 4. Noise Monitoring

#### 4.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq~(30minutes)}$  was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period,  $L_{eq~(5minutes)}$  was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (Appendix E) immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 4.5.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

#### **4.2** Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5ms<sup>-1</sup> or wind with gust exceeding 10ms<sup>-1</sup>. Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 4.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	<b>Precision Grade</b>	Qty
Digital sound level meter	Model 949 Serial No: 8569	IEC 651 Type 1 IEC 804 Type 1	1
Windscreen	Microtech gefell model W2	N/A	1
Sound level calibrator	Model: SV30A Serial No: 7908	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Wind speed indicator	Kestrel K1000	N/A	1

Table 4.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

reference

#### 4.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the Baseline Monitoring Report issued in May 2008 for the captioned project, four locations where are alternative from the locations proposed in EM&A manual, were designated for baseline noise monitoring. For the data validation, impact noise monitoring was undertaken in the same locations during the construction phase of the project. The proposed monitoring locations are summarized in Table 4.3.1. Figure 4.3.1 shows the Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise measurement in each monitoring locations were taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view.

	$\varepsilon$
Identification No.	Noise Monitoring Locations
N1	No. 73, Village House, Ling Tsui Tau Tsuen (ground level)
N2	No. 31, Village House, Ling Tsui Tau Tsuen (ground level)
N3	Fence wall outside No. 5 village house adjacent to Luk Tei Tong River Outlet (ground level)
N4	No. 23, Village House, Tai Tei Tong River (ground level)

Table 4.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A manual, weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of  $L_{eq~(30minutes)}$  was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (ie. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive  $L_{eq~(5minutes)}$  would be carried out.




Figure 4.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

#### 4.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 4.4.1. The results, ranged between 38.5.dB (A) and 56.3dB (A) were within the limit levels and therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 4.4.1 Noise monitoring results

Table 4.4.1 Noise Monitoring Results for the reporting month									
Location	Parameter     1916     11m6		Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather				
N1	Leq30min	3-Oct-11	15:00	46.4	75	N	Sunny		
N1	Leq30min	10-Oct-11	14:40	41.2	75	N	Sunny		
N1	Leq30min	17-Oct-11	15:31	46.4	75	N	Sunny		
N1	Leq30min	24-Oct-11	15:03	48.9	75	N	Sunny		
N1	Leq30min	31-Oct-11	14:44	48.8	75	N	Sunny		
N2	Leq30min	3-Oct-11	14:26	51.6	75	N	Sunny		
N2	Leq30min	10-Oct-11	15:22	49.2	75	N	Sunny		
N2	Leq30min	17-Oct-11	14:59	44.9	75	N	Sunny		
N2	Leq30min	24-Oct-11	14:30	47.9	75	N	Sunny		
N2	Leq30min	31-Oct-11	14:10	49.7	75	N	Sunny		
N3*	Leq30min	3-Oct-11	13:14	41.5	75	N	Sunny		
N3*	Leq30min	10-Oct-11	13:30	44.6	75	N	Sunny		
N3*	Leq30min	17-Oct-11	13:40	45.9	75	N	Sunny		
N3*	Leq30min	24-Oct-11	13:10	43.1	75	N	Sunny		
N3*	Leq30min	31-Oct-11	13:08	41.9	75	N	Sunny		
N4	Leq30min	3-Oct-11	13:50	51.4	75	N	Sunny		
N4	Leq30min	10-Oct-11	14:04	47.8	75	N	Sunny		
N4	Leq30min	17-Oct-11	14:24	56.3	75	N	Sunny		
N4	Leq30min	24-Oct-11	13:44	53.6	75	N	Sunny		
N4	Leq30min	31-Oct-11	13:42	55.1	75	N	Sunny		

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in Appendix E for reference. Remark\*: The equivalent noise level of N3 is corrected by +3 dB from the raw data result due to

the fact that free field measurement was carried out in the location.

#### 4.5 Action and Limit level for Construction noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 4.5.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 4.5.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting month.

Table 4.5.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction noise								
Time Period Action Level Limit Level								
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)						

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

Table 4.5.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION									
	ET	IC(E)	ER	Contractor						
Action Level	<ol> <li>Notify IC(E) and Contractor;</li> <li>Carry out investigation;</li> <li>Report the results of investigation to the IC(E), ER and Contractor;</li> <li>Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Review the analysed results submitted by the ET;</li> <li>Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly;</li> <li>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	notification of failure in writing;	Submit noise     mitigation proposals     to IC(E);     Implement Noise     mitigation     proposals.						
Limit Level	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;  2. Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly;  3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	<ol> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>Notify Contractor;</li> <li>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;</li> <li>Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;</li> <li>If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work</li> </ol>	for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification;  3. Implement the agreed proposals;  4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;  5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the						

# **4.6** Noise Mitigation Measures

No noise sources were recorded from machines or construction works during the site inspection.

## 5. Water Monitoring

#### 5.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L and Suspended Solids (SS) in mg/L are required to measure in this project. Turbidity, DO was measured in-situ while water samples were delivered to Accredited HOKLAS Laboratory for analysis of SS.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, water depth, temperature, salinity, weather conditions and any other special phenomena and work underway at the construction site were recorded during sampling.

According to the requirement of the EM&A manual, two consecutive measurements for parameters of DO concentration, DO saturation and Turbidity are required to be taken at each monitoring location. When the difference in value between the first and second reading of DO or Turbidity is more than 25%, the reading would be discarded and further reading would be taken.

#### **5.2** Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-800NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- Salinity in the range of 0-40ppt;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

Suspended solid was determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection. Duplicate samples from each independent sampling event were undertaken during impact monitoring.

Detailed calibration records of the multimeter were shown in Appendix C for reference.

## 5.3 Monitoring Locations

Seven locations included a control station in upstream of each stream/ river, a monitoring station at the end of each stream/ river of the works area and a monitoring station at Silver River were proposed for the impact water quality monitoring. Water samples were collected at mid-depth of each proposed monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection. The Location Plan is shown in Figure 5.3.1 for reference.




Figure 5.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

# 5.4 Monitoring Frequency

Impact water quality monitoring was undertaken three days per week and at ebb tides during the course of the construction river works. Upon the completion of the construction works, the monitoring exercises at the designated monitoring stations will be continued for four weeks in the same manner as the impact monitoring.

# 5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

The water quality monitoring programme was completed on 1 June 2011. Therefore, no water quality results were presented in this report.

#### 5.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in EM&A manual Section 4.8 and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 5.6.1 and Table 5.6.2. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 5.6.3 should be taken.

Table 5.6.1 Water quality criteria for monitoring

Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	5%-ile of baseline data	4mg/L
(mid-depth)		
SS in mg/L	95%-ile of baseline data;	99%-ile of baseline; or
(mid-depth)	or	130% of control station's
	120% of control station's	SS on the same day of
	SS on the same day of	measurement
	measurement	
Turbidity in NTU	95%-ile of baseline data;	99%-ile of baseline; or
(mid-depth)	or	130% of control station's
	120% of control station's	turbidity on the same day
	turbidity on the same day	of measurement
	of measurement	

Table 5.6.2 Action and Limit Levels established according to baseline data

	Monitoring locations										
Parameters	M	[1	M	[2	M	[3	<b>M4</b>				
i ai aineteis	Action	Limit	Action Limit		Action Limit		Action	Limit			
	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level			
Turbidity (NTU)	15.2	16.9	5.3	6.5	16.8	26.0	16.2	18.0			
DO (mg/L)	5.7	4.0	6.2	4.0	5.9	4.0	5.9	4.0			
SS (mg/L)	12.2	12.8	3.1	4.2	12.4	17.7	13.9	15.2			

Remarks:

For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits

For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 5.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

E\/ENIT				AC <sup>-</sup>	ΓIC	N	
EVENT		ET		IC(E)		ER	Contractor
Action Level being exceed by one sampling day	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IC(E) and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IC(E) and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	2.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals in mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	2.	make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment;  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET and
Action level being exceed by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IC(E) and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IC(E) and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance	3.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review	2.	make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment;  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET and
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IC(E) and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IC(E) and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level	2.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals in mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	2.	make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment;  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET and

## **5.7** Water Quality Mitigation Measures

#### **Construction Run-off and Drainage**

The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 'Construction Site Drainage' should be followed as far as practicable during both construction and operation phase of the drainage improvement works in order to minimize surface runoff and the chance of erosion, and also to retain and reduce any suspended solids prior to discharge.

As recommended in the final EM&A manual, attention would be paid especially construction run-off and drainage, general construction activities, sewage discharged from construction workforce and river channel excavation works.

Contractor was recommended to provide sufficient water treatment facilities for accumulated site water and excavation activities carried out nearby river channel. Earth bunds should be provided to the construction site in / next to the river channel to form an enclosed, dry environment to minimize water quality impact.

#### 5.8 Water Monitoring Schedule for the Next reporting period

As water quality monitoring (WQM) programme for the project was completed, no WQM will be conducted at next month.

## 6. Ecology Monitoring

#### **6.1 Ecological Monitoring Parameters**

According to the Final EM&A Manual, a specific ecological monitoring programme of the improved section of PNH and LTT Rivers is recommended. The monitoring parameters required to measure in this project and survey methodology are described below:

- (1) Avifauna species and abundance: Birds will be surveyed quantitatively using transect count method. Birds within the river channel and on the riverbank will be identified and their abundance will be recorded.
- (2) Aquatic macroinvertebrate community species composition and abundance: Survey on aquatic fauna will focus on determination of the diversity and abundance of stream aquatic communities. Sampling methods, such as active searching, direct observation, netting, and kick sampling, will be determined according to the site conditions during field survey.
- (3) Fish community species composition and abundance: Sampling methods, such as active searching, direct observation, and hand netting, will be determined according to the site conditions during field survey.
- (4) Adult odonate community species composition and abundance: Adult dragonfly will be surveyed quantitatively using transect count method. Adult dragonflies within the river channel and on the riverbank will be identified and their abundance will be recorded. Species requiring close examination will be netted.
- (5) Aquatic, emergent and riparian vegetation community species composition and abundance: The area will be walked through. Plant species composition and their relative abundance will be recorded.
- (6) Surveys of White-shouldered Starling Sturnus sinensis will be conducted at the disused watchtowers next to LTT river. Breeding of the White-shouldered Starlings will be determined by checking signs of attempt to breed or sign of breeding which include carrying nesting materials, to-and-fro movement of adults carrying food, presence of recently fledged juveniles, etc. The number of breeding pairs and the site observation will be recorded whenever possible.

Water Quality Monitoring along LTT and PNH River as well as LTT bypass channel was carried out. Water quality monitoring will include Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L and Suspended Solids (SS) in mg/L are required to measure in this project. Moreover, additional water monitoring parameters will be taken for the purposes of ecological monitoring of water quality in this project. The added information will include: BOD, Ammonia, Nitrate and Phosphate concentrations. Turbidity, DO, pH and water flow will be measured in-situ while water samples will be delivered to Accredited HOKLAS Laboratory accredited laboratory and the analyses followed the standard methods according to APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20<sup>th</sup> Edition, or equivalent for analysis of SS, BOD, Ammonia, Nitrate and Phosphate concentrations.

Other relevant data such as monitoring location, time, water depth, temperature, salinity, weather conditions and any other special phenomena and work underway at the construction site will be recorded during sampling.

According to the requirement of the EM&A manual, two consecutive measurements for parameters of DO concentration, DO saturation and Turbidity are required to be taken at each monitoring. When the difference in value between the first and second reading of DO or Turbidity is more than 25%, the reading will be discarded and further reading will be taken.

#### 6.2 Monitoring Equipment and Methodology

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and Temperature will be measured by a instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-800NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- Salinity in the range of 0-40ppt;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

Suspended solid was determined by the water samples collected from the

monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection. Duplicate samples from each independent sampling event were undertaken during impact monitoring.

#### **6.3** Monitoring Locations

According to the Final EM&A Manual, the improved section of the river channels will be divided into 50m long sections, and ecological survey will be carried out in each of the 50m sections. A total of nine sections will be divided for the two rivers which include:

- Two sections for existing upstream of PNH river (i.e. the proposed 80m long trapezoidal channel)
- Two sections for existing downstream of PNH river (i.e. the proposed 100m long rectangular channel)
- Five sections for existing Luk Tei Tong River (i.e. the proposed 240m long trapezoidal channel)

The disused watchtowers are located at the confluence of the three rivers and next to LTT river.

The Location Plan for ecological monitoring is shown in Figure 6.1 for reference.

The improved sections of the river channels require to carrying out water quality monitoring for the ecological purpose. The sampling points for post-construction phase monitoring was undertaken in the same place as the impact monitoring proposed, where include:

- Three points for existing of PNH river
- Three points for existing of Luk Tei Tong River

The Location Plan for ecological water monitoring is shown in Figure 6.2 for reference.

The proposed EWQM monitoring locations for LTT Bypass Channel and Reference Site were submitted to EPD and AFCD for their approval. After

receiving confirmation, the EWQM monitoring for LTT Bypass Channel and Reference Site will be started in accordance with EM&A manual Section 6.2.31 & 6.2.32 requirements.

The proposed EWQM monitoring locations for LTT Bypass channel and Reference Site is shown in Figure 6.3 for reference.




Figure 6.1 Ecological Monitoring Locations




Figure 6.2 Ecological Water Quality monitoring locations




Figure 6.3 Proposed Ecological Water Quality Monitoring Locations for LTT Bypass Channel and Reference Site

#### **6.4** Monitoring Frequency

As proposed, ecological impact monitoring was carried out once for each monitoring location in the reporting month.

#### 6.5 Monitoring results

#### Pak Ngan Heung Stream N and S sections

#### Vegetation

Surveys were conducted on 19 October 2011. During the current monitoring session, construction of new rock gabion wall and soft landscape works were completed. Temporary works areas beyond both sides of gabions were planted with tree and shrub seedlings.

The walk through survey recorded a total of 45 species, including 17 tree, 2 shrub, 15 herb and 5 grass species (Appendix D1) on PNH N section. 34 of the species recorded are natives, while 11 were exotics. The gabion wall bank and river bed was largely covered by the exotici climber Micrantha micrantha which also strangled and covered other tree seedlings and herbs. Remnants of vegetation including native trees (e.g. *Macaranga tanarius*) and grasses species (e.g. *Microstegium ciliatum*) were still seen along the east stream bank. No species of conservation interest was recorded. No quantitative surveys were carried out on both PNH3 and PNH4 due to sporadic occurrence of colonised vegetation (except Mikania) on the new gabion banks.

Vegetation was only found on remnants of the old concrete bank along PNH S section. A total of 4 species recorded, 3 of which were native and 1 were exotic. It was composed of exotic grass (*Panicum maximum*) and native trees (*Ficus supbera*) (Appendix D2). No species of conservation interest was recorded.

#### Terrestrial Fauna

Surveys were conducted on 28 October 2011.

Four species of birds were recorded in the proposed work area of the Pak Ngan Heung River (Table 6.5.2). All are common in Hong Kong.

Table 6.5.2 Avifauna in Pak Ngan Heung

Common names	Latin names	PNH	PNH	PNH	PNH	Commonness
		1	2	3	4	& distribution
Chinese Bulbul	Pycnonotus sinensis	2			3	CW
Crested Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus	2				CW
Japanese White-eye	Zosterops japonica			2	1	CW
Spotted Munia	Lonchura punctulata				3	CL

CW = common and widespread

Three species of dragonfly were recorded in the proposed work area of the Pak Ngan Heung River (Table 6.5.3). All are very common in Hong Kong (Wilson 2004).

Table 6.5.3 Dragonfly in Pak Ngan Heung River

Common names	Latin names	PNH	PNH	PNH	PNH	Commonness
		1	2	3	4	& distribution
Wandering Glider	Pantala flavescens	2	1			A
Crimson Dropwing	Trithemis aurora		1			A
Indigo Dropwing	Trithemis festiva		1			A

A = abundant

#### Aquatic fauna and fish

6 species of fish and 2 species of crustaceans were recorded in the 4 sections at PNH. All are common and widespread in Hong Kong. Though Predaceous Chub was observed, the another one fish species of conservation concern reported in the EIA report, i.e. Flagtail *Kuhlia marginata*, was not recorded in

PNH during the present monthly monitoring survey.

Table 6.5.4 Aquatic Invertebrates and fish in Pak Ngan Heung

Common names	Scientific names	PNH 1	PNH 2	PNH3	PNH4
Invertebrates					
Atyid shrimp	Caridina elongata			+++	+++
	Macrobrachium				
Palaemond shrimp	hainanensis			+	++
Crab	Varuna litterata				
Mitten Crab	Eriocheir japonica				
Fish					
Mosquito fish	Gamusia affinis				+++
Goby	Rhinogobius duospilus			++	++
Barcheek Goby	Rhinogobius giurinus				
Swordtail	Xiphophorus hellerii				
	Puntius				
Six-banded Barb	semifasciolatus				
Unidentified Cichlid					
fish					
Tilapia		+++	+++	++	
Predaceous Chub	Parazacco spilurus			+++	+++
Jarbua Terapon	Terapon jarbua	++			
Common Silver-biddy	Gerres oyena				
Mullet	Mugil cephalus	+++	+++		
Broken-band	Liniparhomaloptera				
Hillstream Loach	disparis				

<sup>+ =</sup> Occasional, less than 5 individuals were found; ++ = Common, 5-20 individuals were found; +++ = Abundant, more than 20 individuals were found.

# Luk Tei Tong Stream Section Vegetation

Surveys were conducted on 19 October 2011. During the current survey, construction of concrete channel bank and rock gabions and soft landscape works were completed. Some renmants of mangroves remained at LTT2, while a few grass, herb, climber and mangrove colonised the gabion of LTT3 to LTT5.

The walk through survey recorded a total of 21 species, including 6 tree, 7 herb and and 2 grass species (Appendix D3). 16 species recorded are natives, while 5 were exotics. No quantitative survey was carried out due to sporadic occurrence of colonised vegetation on the new gabion banks.

#### Terrestrial Fauna

The proposed work area of Luk Tei Tong River was divided into 5 sections. All recorded avifauna and dragonfly species are common in Hong Kong

Surveys were conducted on 28 October 2011.

A total of six species of birds were recorded in these sections (Table 6.5.6). All are common in Hong Kong.

Table 6.5.6 Avifauna in Luk Tei Tong River

Common names	Latin names	LTT	LTT	LTT	LTT	LTT	Commonness
		1	2	3	4	5	& distribution
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta		3				CW
Great Egret	Ardea alba		3				CW
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	1					CW
Chinese Bulbul	Pycnonotus sinensis		2				CW
Rufous-backed	Lanius schach				1		CW
Shrike							
Crested Myna	Acridotheres				2		CW
	cristatellus						

CW = common and widespread, CL = common/uncommon and localised

Two species of dragonfly were recorded in the Luk Tei Tong River (Table 6.5.7). Both are common/abundant in Hong Kong (Tam *et al.* 2011).

Table 6.5.7 Dragonfly in Luk Tei Tong River

Common names	Latin names	LTT	LTT	LTT	LTT	LTT	Commonness	
		1	2	3	4	5	& distribution	
Wandering Glider	Pantala flavescens				6		A	
Crimson Dropwing	Trithemis aurora				1		A	

A = abundant

# Aquatic invertebrates and fish

4 species of fish, 1 species of crustaceans and 4 species of mollusks were recorded in the 5 sections at LTT. All are common and widespread in Hong Kong. The two fish species of conservation concern reported in the EIA report, i.e. Flagtail *Kuhlia marginata* and Predaceous Chub *Parazacco spilurus* were not recorded in LTT during the present monitoring as well as the baseline monitoring survey.

Table 6.5.8 Aquatic invertebrates and fish in Luk Tei Tong River

Common names	Scientific names	LTT1	LTT2	LTT3	LTT4	LTT5	
Invertebrates	Invertebrates						
Mangrove clam	Geloina erosa						
Rock oyster	Saccostrea cuculata	+++	+++				
	Melanoides				+++	+++	
Snail	tuberculata						
Snail	Terebralia sp.						
Snail	Nerita sp.	+++	+++				
Snail	Littoraria articulata	++	+++				
Crab	Varuna litterata						
Fiddler crab	Uca lactea						
Fiddler crab	Uca arcuata						
Fiddler crab	Uca crassipes						
Crab	Perisesarma bidens						
Mangrove mud crab	Scylla paramamosain		+				
Mitten crab	Eriocheir japonica						
Fish							
Common mudskipper	Periophthalmus	+					

	cantonensis				
Tilapia		+++	+++		
Jarbua terapon	Terapon jarbua				
Mullet	Mugil cephalus	+++	+++	+++	
Common Silver-biddy	Gerres oyena				
Barcheek Goby	Rhinogobius giurinus				++

<sup>+ =</sup> Occasional, less than 5 individuals were found; ++ = Common, 5 - 20 individuals were found; +++ = Abundant, more than 20 individuals were found.

#### **Disused Watchtowers**

Surveys were conducted on 28 October 2011.

There was no sign (e.g., adults carrying food or nesting materials) of use of the watchtower as nesting habitat by White-shouldered Starling.

White-shouldered Starling was not observed during the monitoring in October 2011. No bird of other species was observed entering the watchtower.

Since the monitoring surveys commenced in August 2008, no bird was observed entering the watchtower. It seems the birds do not prefer the watchtower as nesting habitat.

#### **Ecological Water Quality Monitoring (EWQM)**

The post-construction EWQM was started on 1 June 2011 and conducted in bi-monthly, which was carried out in September 2011. Therefore, post-construction EWQM was not carried during this reporting month. No EWQM results were presented in this report.

## 6.6 Action and Limit level for Monitoring of White-shouldered Starlings

A simple Event and Action Plan is shown in Table 6.6.1. Should the Event occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan should be carried out.

There was no recorded event in the reporting month.

Table 6.6.1 Event / Action Plan for Monitoring of White-shouldered Starlings

EVENT	ACTION				
	ET Leader	Contractor			
Identification of	1. Increase frequency of	1. Check all construction			
disturbance to breeding	monitoring to twice	actions and working			
White-shouldered	weekly	methods			
Starlings	2. Notify Site Engineer	2. Submit proposals for			
		remedial action to prevent			
		abandonment of the			
		breeding site.			
	3. Review construction	3. Implement remedial			
	activities of previous	action.			
	week.				
	4. Identify any changes in	4. Liaise with ET			
	construction activities in	regarding effectiveness of			
	previous week	remedial actions.			
	5. Discuss remedial				
	actions with Site Engineer				

# 6.7 Ecological monitoring Schedule

The next ecological surveys are scheduled on 30 November 2011, while ecological water quality monitoring is scheduled on 23 November 2011.

#### 7. Action taken in Event of Exceedence

If the measurements (Noise, Water, and Ecology) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting period there was no exceedance for noise, ecological measurements recorded; therefore no actions were taken.

#### 8. Construction waste disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed of in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Contractor has completed the registration of Waste Producer under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)(General) Regulation. The Waste Producer Number, WPN 5213-950-Y2443-03 was assigned by EPD on 12 Aug 2008. The Contractor would be responsible for the implementation of any mitigation measure to minimize waste or redress problems arising from the waste materials.

Table 8.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 8.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

	Amount of Construction Waste disposed					
Month	Inert Waste Non-inert Waste Chemical Waste					
	(to Public Fill)	(to Landfill)	(to treatment			
			plant)			
1st to 31st Oct 11	9.8 (tons)	0	Nil			
Total	36897.06 (tons)	247.43 (ton)				

#### 9. Status of Permits and Licenses obtained

Table 9.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 9 .1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained

Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Date of Expiry	Remarks
<b>Environmental Permit</b>	EP-237/2005/A	05 Mar 2007		Issued
Varied Environmental Permit	EP-237/2005/B	23 April 2009		Issued
Registration of C&D Waste Producer	7006521			Issued
Chemical Waste Producer	5213-950-Y2443-03	12 Aug 2008		Issued
Construction Noise Permit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Effluent Discharge License	EP890/W2/XG032 EP890/W2/XG033 EP890/W2/XG034 EP890/W2/XG035 EP890/W2/XG036 EP890/W2/XG037 EP890/W2/XG038 EP890/W2/XG039 EP890/W2/XG040 EP890/W2/XG041	23 Oct 2008	31 Oct 2013	Issued

The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit and Final Mitigation Measures Report. The implemented schedule is presented in Appendix G.

### 10. Complaint Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting month.

Table 10.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received								
Noise Water Ecology Cultural Others								
Oct 2011	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	1	0	0	1			

#### 11. Site Environmental Audits

### 11.1 Site Inspection

With an intention to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented, regular environmental site inspections have been scheduled.

Within the reporting month, site inspections were conducted on 3, 6, 10, 17, 24, 28 and 31October 2011

A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments, relevant photos and maps have been filed and kept. A summary of observation and follow-up action is shown in Table 11.1

	Table 11.1 Summary of site inspection						
Date	Observations	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing Date			
4, 13, 20, 27	C&D wastes, site materials	Contractor should remove wastes	To be followed during next	ongoing			
May 11, 4, 14,	and general wastes were	and site materials from the	reporting period.				
18 July 11,	observed within site area	concerned area as soon as possible					
1,8,15,25		as works finished					
August 11							
1,5,12,19 & 26							
Sep 11							
3, 24, 28, 31							
Oct 11							
18 Mar 11, 4	Stockpile of earthy Materials	Contractor should provide tarpaulin	Still outstanding. To be	Ongoing			
April 11, 4, 18,	were observed without	cover to the stockpiles to prevent	followed during the next				
25 July 11	protective measure	dust generation	reporting period				
1,5,12,19 & 26							
Sep 11							
3,10 17, 28 31							
Oct 11							

### 11.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement

ET leader has reviewed the progress and programme of the works to check any relevant environmental laws has not violated.

#### 11.3 Environmental Complaint and follow up actions

During this reporting period, there was no documented complaint received. Therefore, follow up actions for the environmental complaint is not required.

#### 12. Future key issues

Landscaping and installation of railing would be major site activities to be carried out in the upcoming month. Although environmental impact arisen from those activities would be expected to be minimal, Contractor was still reminded to pay serious attention to the following key issues:

- Dust generation due to handling of earthy material and dusty site surface.
- Housekeeping of site, such as stockpiling of C&D waste and earthy material.
- Removal of wastes as part of site clearance and evacuation.

Contractor was recommended to provide tarpaulin coverings to all earthy stockpiles on site. Dusty static area should be dampened regularly to avoid dust generation.

Contractor should also prevent excessive storage of wastes on site. Wastes should be collected and disposed to designated public fill.

#### 13. Conclusions

Landscaping works and railing installation were major site activities being carried out within this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Project Proponent, Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 6 and 28 October 11.

For noise level monitoring, all results were within the established A/L limits.

For water quality monitoring, Post-construction WQM had been completed on 1 June 2011. The post-construction phase ecological water quality monitoring will be conducted bi-monthly. As the post-construction EWQM had been conducted in September 2011, no EWQM was carried out in the reporting period.

During ecological monitoring survey, no White-shouldered Starling was recorded breeding in the watch tower. The absence of nesting of White-shouldered Starling in the watch tower did not seem to be related to construction works in Luk Tei Tong River. A bird species nests in village houses should be to certain extent disturbance tolerant.

No bird was observed entering the watchtower since the monitoring surveys commenced in August 2008. Also, no breeding was recorded in the baseline survey in September 2007. It appears that the birds do not prefer to roost or nest in the watch tower.

Also, there were not any notifications of summons recorded during the reporting period. Furthermore, there were not any formal prosecution and complaints recorded.

ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary; and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual and Environmental Permit requirement.

# **Appendix A**


**Construction Programme and Location Plan** 


Contract No.: DC/2006/11

Contract Name.: Drainage Improvement Works In Southern Lantau and Construction of Mui Wo Village Sewerage Phase I

## **Working Schedule of Outstanding Works for Oct 2011**

		Oct 201  Month 1				Nov 201 Month 2				Dec 2011  Month 3			
a)	LTT River	w9	w10	w11	w12	w1	w2	w3	w4	w5	w6	w7	w8
	Major Item												
1	Box A - Landscaping Establishment Works												
	Minor Item												+
2	Seawall landscaping												
	1 5												
$\boldsymbol{b})$	TTT River												
	Major works was completed including all VOs.												
	Minor Item												+
1	Landscaping Establishment Works												
c)	PNH River												
	Major Works												
1													
1	Landscaping Establishment Works - EVA Area												





## **Appendix B Key Personal Contact information chart**

Organization	Role	Title Name		Telephone	Fax
Name					Number
Drainage	Project	Engineering	Mr. Chan	2594 7464	2827 8700
Service	Proponent	Representative	Wai Hong		
Department					
Allied	Independent	Principal	Ms. Grace	2815 7028	2815 5399
Environmental	Environmental	Consultant	Kwok		
Consultants	Checker (IEC)				
Limited					
Yick-Hing	Main	Senior Project	Mr. Liu Kai	2394 4988	2787 4890
Construction	Contractor	Manager	Choi		
Company					
Limited					
Environmental	Environmental	Environmental	Ms. Goldie	3678 9778	2856 2010
Pioneers &	Team (ET)	Team Leader	Fung		
Solutions					
Limited					

# Appendix C

**Calibration Certificates for Measuring Equipments** 



## **ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd**

## REPORT OF EOUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR ALLEN CHAN

CLIENT:

**ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD** 

ADDRESS:

FLAT 19A, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING,

20 LEE CHUNG STREET.

CHAI WAN. HONG KONG.

PROJECT:

WORK ORDER:

HK1118870

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF ISSUE:

11/08/2011 17/08/2011

**COMMENTS** 

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity

Description:

Hand-held Water Quality Meter

Brand Name:

**DKK-TOA** WQC-24

Model No.: Serial No.:

682337

**Equipment No.:** 

Date of Calibration: 16 August, 2011

#### **NOTES**

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

#### ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

#### **Address**

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung HONG KONG Phone:

852-2610 1044

Fax:

852-2610 2021

Email:

hongkong@alsglobal.com

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey Laboratory Manager Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: Date of Issue: HK1118870 17/08/2011

Client:

**ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD** 



Description:

Hand-held Water Quality Meter

Brand Name: Model No.: Serial No.: DKK-TOA WQC-24 682337

Equipment No.:

: --

Date of Calibration:

16 August, 2011

Date of next Calibration:

16 November, 2011

Parameters:

**Dissolved Oxygen** 

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.61	4.48	-0.13
6.82	6.77	-0.05
7.95	7.85	-0.10
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.00	3.97	-0.03
7.00	6.97	-0.03
10.00	10.08	0.08
	Tolerance Limit (±unit)	0.20

**Temperature** 

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C )	Tolerance (°C )
12.0	11.8	-0.2
24.5	24.0	-0.5
31.5	31.4	-0.1
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	2.0

**Turbidity** 

Method Ref: ALPHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	ected Reading (NTU) Displayed Reading (NTU)	
0.0	0.1	
4.0	3.9	-2.5
40.0	39.3	-1.8
80.0	78.0	-2.5
400.0	399.0	-0.3
800.0	798.6	-0.2
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	/ 10.0

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey

Laboratory Manager Hong Kong



Certificate No. 11495

2 Pages Page

Customer: Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address: Flat B, 6/F., Hop Shi Factory Building, 29 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q10260

Date of receipt

15-Mar-11

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer: Svantek

Model : SV30A Serial No.

: 7908

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 17-Mar-11

Supply Voltage : --

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: F21, Z02.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	<u>Description</u>	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	03926	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	04062	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	04461	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	04462	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

21-Mar-11

Date:

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Certificate No. 11495

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results:

## 1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.08	± 0.3.dB
114	114.18	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

#### 2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.000 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty:  $\pm 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 

3. Level Stability: 0.0 dB

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 1.0 %

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 % Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.
- 3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 4. Atmospheric Pressure: 1012 hPa.

	<b>END</b>	
--	------------	--



11218 Certificate No.

of 3 Pages Page

Customer: Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address: Flat B, 6/F., Hop Shi Factory Building, 29 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q10260

Date of receipt

1-Mar-11

Item Tested

**Description**: Digital Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: SVAN

Model : 949 Serial No.

: 8569

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 14-Mar-11

**Supply Voltage** 

**Ambient Temperature:**  $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$  Relative Humidity:  $(50 \pm 25)$  %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1 & IEC 804 Type 1 specification after adjustment.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017A

Multi-Function Generator

07279

SCL-HKSAR

S024

Sound Level Calibrator

04062

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Approved by:

This Certificate is issued by

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

15-Mar-11

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong. Tel; 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Certificate No. 11218

Page 2 of 3 Pages

#### Results:

## 1. SPL Accuracy

	UUT Set	ting		Applied Value	UUT Rea	ding (dB)
Level Range	Octave Filter	Weight	Response	(dB)	Before Adjust.	After Adjust.
105 dB	OFF	Α	Fast	94.0	*92.2	93.9
			Slow			93.9
		С	Fast	]	·	93.9
130 dB	OFF	Α	Fast	94.0		93.9
			Slow			93.9
		С	Fast			93.9
	OFF	A	Fast	114.0		113.9
			Slow			113.9
		С	Fast			113.9

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.7 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

2. Level Stability: 0.0 dB

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.3 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

#### 3. Linearity

3.1 Level Linearity

	Applied			IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
UUT Range	Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	(inside Primary)
130	114.0	113.9	0.0	± 0.7 dB
	104.0	103.9	0.0	
	94.0	93.9 (Ref.)		
105	84.0	83.9	0.0	
	74.0	74.0	+0.1	
	64.0	64.1	-0.2	
	54.0	54.1	-0.2	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB



Certificate No. 11218

Page 3 of 3 Pages

### 3.2 Differential level linearity

UUT Range	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
130	84.0	83.9	0.0	± 0.4 dB
	94.0	93.9 (Ref.)		
	95.0	95.0	-0.1	± 0.2 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

## 4. Frequency Weighting

A weighting

A weighting		
Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.7	- 39.4 dB, ± 1.5 dB
63 Hz	-26.5	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.5	- 16.1 dB, ± 1 dB
250 Hz	-9.0	- $8.6  dB, \pm 1  dB$
500 Hz	-3.5	- $3.2  dB, \pm 1  dB$
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	$0 \text{ dB}, \pm 1 \text{ dB}$
2 kHz	+1.5	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1 dB
4 kHz	+1.4	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1 dB
8 kHz	-0.7	- $1.1 \text{ dB}$ , + $1.5 \text{ dB} \sim -3 \text{ dB}$
16 kHz	-6.6	- $6.6  dB$ , + $3  dB \sim -\infty$

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 dB$ 

#### 5. Time Averaging

Applied Burst duty Factor	Applied Leq. Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 804 Type 1 Spec.
continuous	40.0		-
1/10	40.0	40.1	± 0.5 dB
1/10 <sup>2</sup>	40.0	40.0	
$1/10^3$	40.0	40.2	± 1.0 dB
1/104	40.0	40.0	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 010 hPa.
- 4. \*Out of Specification

 CINIT	

Appendix D1 Plant species recorded at Pak Ngan Heung River (N)

			Relative	Occurrence	
Species	Habit	Native	Abundance	PNH3	PNH4
Acacia confusa	tree	no	occasional		+
Achyranthes aspera	herb	yes	scarce		+
Alangium chinense	tree	yes	scarce		+
Alocasia macrorrhiza	herb	yes	occasional		+
Annona squamosa	tree	no	scarce		+
Bidens pilosa	herb	no	occasional		+
Bridelia tomentosa	tree	yes	scarce		+
Celosia argentea	herb	yes	scarce		+
Celtis sinensis	tree	yes	scarce		+
Cinnamomum camphora	tree	yes	scarce		+
Coix lacryma-jobi	grass	yes	scarce		+
Colocasia esculenta	herb	no	scarce		+
Commelina sp.	herb	yes	scarce		+
Conyza sumatrensis	herb	no	scarce	+	+
Dimocarpus longan	tree	no	occasional		+
Emilia sonchifolia	herb	yes	scarce		+
Eupatorium catarium	herb	no	scarce		+
Ficus hispida	tree	yes	scarce		+
Ficus microcarpa	tree	yes	scarce		+
Ficus superba	tree	yes	occasional		+
Ficus variegata	tree	yes	scarce		+
Hedychium coronarium	herb	no	occasional		+
Liquidambar formosana	tree	yes	occasional		+
Lophatherum gracile	grass	yes	scarce		+
Lygodium japonicum	fern	yes	scarce		+
Macaranga tanarius	tree	yes	occasional		+
Mallotus paniculatus	tree	yes	occasional		+
Microcos paniculata	tree	yes	scarce		+
Microstegium ciliatum	grass	yes	common		+
Mikania micrantha	climber	no	common	+	+
Panicum maximum	grass	no	scarce	+	+
Phyllanthus sp.	shrub	yes	scarce		+
Pogostemon auricularius	herb	yes	scarce	+	+

			Relative	Occurren	nce
Species	Habit	Native	Abundance	PNH3	PNH4
Polygonum perfoliatum	climber	yes	scarce		+
Psychotria asiatica	shrub	yes	scarce		+
Pteris vittata	fern	yes	scarce		+
Pterocypsela indica	herb	yes	scarce		+
Pueraria phaseoloides	climber	yes	scarce		+
Rhus hypoleuca	tree	yes	scarce		+
Sacciolepis indica	grass	yes	scarce		+
Scleria sp.	herb	yes	scarce		+
Solanum nigrum	herb	yes	scarce		+
Sterculia lanceolata	tree	yes	scarce		+
Urena lobata	herb	yes	scarce		+
Wedelia triloba	climber	no	scarce		+

Appendix D2 Plant species recorded at Pak Ngan Heung River (S)

			Relative	Occu	rrence
Species	Habit	Native	Abundance	PNH1	PNH2
Ficus microcarpa	tree	yes	scarce		+
Ficus superba	tree	yes	occasional		+
Ipomoea cairica	climber	yes	occasional		+
Panicum maximum	grass	no	common		+

Appendix D3 Plant species recorded at Luk Tei Tong River

			Relative	Occurrence				
Species	Habit	Native	Abundance	LTT1	LTT2	LTT3	LTT4	LTT5
Acanthus ilicifolius	shrub	yes	scarce		+			
Bidens pilosa	herb	no	scarce				+	
Canavalia maritima	climber	yes	scarce					+
Celtis sinensis	tree	yes	scarce	+				
Clerodendrum								
inerme	shrub	yes	scarce					+
Commelina diffusa	herb	yes	scarce				+	
Mariscus javanicus	herb	yes	scarce					+
Ficus hispida	tree	yes	scarce	+				
Ficus microcarpa	tree	yes	scarce	+				
Fimbristylis								
ferruginea	herb	yes	scarce					+
Hibiscus tiliaceus	tree	yes	scarce	+				
Kandelia obovata	tree	yes	occasional		+			+
Ipomoea triloba	climber	yes	scarce					+
Mikania micrantha	herb	no	scarce				+	
Neyraudia								
reynaudiana	grass	no	scarce				+	+
Panicum maximum	grass	yes	scarce	+				
Rhynchelytrum								
repens	herb	no	scarce	+				
Scolopia chinensis	tree	yes	scarce					+
Severinia buxifolia	shrub	yes	scarce					+
Solanum nigrum	herb	yes	scarce				+	
Wedelia triloba	climber	no	scarce					+

# **Appendix E**



Monitoring Location		N1	N2		
Description of Location		Façade	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			3/10/	/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	15:00	14:26	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 ı	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificatio	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	1)	n/s)	0.2	0.4	
	L90	(dB(A))	38.8	49.8	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	49.8	54.1	
	Leq	(dB(A))	46.4	51.6	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoirng.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Public noise	1. Public noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	3/10/2011



Monitoring Location		N3	N4		
Description of Location		Freefield	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			3/10/	/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	13:14	13:50	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 r	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntification	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	(1	m/s)	0.4	0.2	
	L90	(dB(A))	31.0	49.1	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	42.0	54.6	
	Leq	(dB(A))	38.5	51.4	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Background noise	1. Background noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	3/10/2011	



Monitoring Location		N1	N2		
Description of Location		Façade	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			10/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	14:40	15:22	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 г	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificat	ion	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication	1	79	08	
Wind Speed		(m/s)	0.3	0.4	
	L90	(dB(A))	39.2	41.2	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	48.4	53.7	
	Leq	(dB(A))	41.2	49.2	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Background noise	Public noise     Traffic noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	10/10/2011	



Monitoring Location		N3	N4		
Description of Location		Freefield	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			10/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	13:30	14:04	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 1	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ide	ntificati	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	(	m/s)	0.5	0.2	
	L90	(dB(A))	38.4	46.2	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	43.1	54.2	
	Leq	(dB(A))	41.6	47.8	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring			Background noise	1. Background noise	
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	10/10/2011



Monitoring Location		N1	N2		
Description of Location			Façade	Façade	
Date of Monitoring			17/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	e (	hhmm)	15:31	14:59	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 ı	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificatio	n	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	(n	n/s)	0.4	0.5	
	L90	(dB(A))	42.7	40.2	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	48.1	47.6	
	Leq	(dB(A))	46.4	44.9	
Weather condition:			Cloudy		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Public noise	1. Public noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	17/10/2011	



Monitoring Location			N3	N4	
Description of Location		Freefield	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			17/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	13:40	14:24	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 1	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificat	ion	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication	1	79	08	
Wind Speed		(m/s)	0.4	0.2	
	L90	(dB(A))	41.1	54.4	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	46.3	57.6	
	Leq	(dB(A))	42.9	56.3	
Weather condition:			Cloudy		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring		No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.		
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Background noise	1. Background noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen Chan	17/10/2011	



Monitoring Location		N1	N2		
Description of Location			Façade	Façade	
Date of Monitoring			24/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	15:03	14:30	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 ı	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificatio	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	1)	m/s)	0.2	0.3	
	L90	(dB(A))	48.7	46.4	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	51.6	50.1	
	Leq	(dB(A))	48.9	47.9	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring			No contruction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring			Public noise     Traffic noise	Public noise     Traffic noise	
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	24/10/2011



Monitoring Location		N3	N4		
Description of Location			Freefield	Façade	
Date of Monitoring			24/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	e	(hhmm)	13:10	13:44	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 ı	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificatio	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	08	
Wind Speed	(r	n/s)	0.5	0.2	
	L90	(dB(A))	38.6	51.4	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	41.0	55.9	
	Leq	(dB(A))	40.1	53.6	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring			No contruction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring			1. Background noise	1. Background noise	
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	24/10/2011	



Monitoring Location		N1	N2		
Description of Location		Façade	Façade		
Date of Monitoring			31/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	е	(hhmm)	14:44	14:10	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 r	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntification	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	ication		79	908 I	
Wind Speed	(1	m/s)	0.2	0.4	
	L90	(dB(A))	46.4	49.6	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	52.3	50.9	
	Leq	(dB(A))	48.8	49.7	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring			No contruction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		1. Public noise	1. Public noise		
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	31/10/2011	



Monitoring Location		N3	N4		
Description of Location			Freefield	Façade	
Date of Monitoring			31/10	)/2011	
Measurement Start Time	e	(hhmm)	13:08	13:42	
Measurement Time Len	gth	(mins.)	30 r	mins	
Noise Meter Model/ Ider	ntificatio	on	ACO Japan, model	949,Serial No: 8569	
Calibrator Model/ Identif	fication		79	08	
Wind Speed	1)	n/s)	0.4	0.2	
	L90	(dB(A))	38.4	54.8	
Measurement Results	L10	(dB(A))	40.6	55.6	
	Leq	(dB(A))	38.9	55.1	
Weather condition:			Fine		
Major Construction Noise Sourse(s) During Monitoring			No contruction work has been carried out during monitoring.	No construction work has been carried out during monitoring.	
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring			1. Background noise	1. Background noise	
Remarks					

	Name & Designation	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Prepared by:	Allen Chan	Allen	31/10/2011	

Appendix F

Monitoring Schedule
for October 2011

## **Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited**

## DC/2006/11 - DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT IN SOUTHERN LANTAU

Master Schedule of EM&A works in October 2011

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						10/1
10/2	10/3	10/4	10/5	10/6	10/7	10/8
	Noise Monitoring					
10/9	10/10	10/11	10/12	10/13	10/14	10/15
	Noise Monitoring					
10/16	10/17	10/18	10/19	10/20	10/21	10/22
	Noise Monitoring					
10/23	10/24	10/25	10/26	10/27	10/28	10/29
	Noise Monitoring					
10/30	10/31					
	Noise Monitoring					

Noise Monitoring Locations: Total 4 Locations as N1, N2, N3 and N4

## Appendix G Implementation Status of environmental protection / mitigation measures

Environmental	Protection / Mitigation Maggarage	Implementation	Follow up
Environmentai	Protection / Mitigation Measures	Implementation	ronow-up
Aspect		status	action
Air Quality	Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved road, with complete coverage.	Inplemented	-
	Use of frequent watering for particular dusty static construction areas and areas close to ASRs.	Implemented	-
	Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to and from and between site location;	Ipmplemeted	-
	Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site.	Implemented	-
	Routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs.	Implemented	-
Noise	Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment (PME)	Implemented	-
INUISE	Adoption of movable noise barriers and temporary noise barriers	-	-
	Application of good site practices mentioned in EM&A manual Clause 3.8.1	_	-
Water Quality	Before commencing any site formation works, all sewer and drainage connections should be sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public sewers/drains.	Implemented	-
	Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. No site run-off should enter the freshwater marshes at Luk Tei Tong.	Implemented	-
	Sand/ silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/ silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance.	•	-
	Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into silt removal facilities.	Implemented	-
	During rainstorms, exposed slope surface should be covered by a tarpaulin or the means.	Implemented	-
	Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff.	Implemented	-
	Exposed soil surfaces should be protected by paving or fill material as soon as possible to reduce potential of soil erosion.	Implemented	-
	Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site of more than 50m <sup>3</sup> should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.	Implemented	-
	Oils and fuels should only be used and stored on designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities.	Implemented	-
	Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site.	Not available	-
	The excavation and widening works for the drainage improvements to the Pak Ngan Heung River, Tai Tei Tong River, Luk Tei Tong River and Luk Tei Tong By-pass Channel should be carried out in sections (approximately 300–400	Implemented	-
	m in length) and in dry condition.		

Environmental	Protection / Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Follow-up
Aspect		status	action
	Maintenance desilting of the re-profiled river channels of the Pak Ngan Heung River, Tai Tei Tong River, Luk Tei tong River and Luk Tei Tong By-pass Channel, temporary barrier walls should be used to provide a dry zone for desilting work.	Not applicable at this stage	-
Ecology	Existing natural habitats should be retained as far as practicable	Implemented	-
	Boundary of working areas should be identified to prevent loss of vegetation	Implemented	-
	All existing trees / plant should be well protected within the site or transplanted properly	Implemented	-
	Turf removal from the Luk Tei Tong marsh due to the construction of Luk Tei Tong Bypass Channel shall be minimized	Implemented	-
	Turf from the Luk Tei Tong marsh shall be properly removed, stored, maintained and reused for lining the riverbed of the Luk Tei Tong Bypass Channel	Implemented	-
Chemical and	Chemical wastes should be properly stored in a proper store as per statutory requirements (i.e. on a hard standing, within an enclosed and locked area)	Implemented	-
Solid Waste	Chemical waste stores should be provided with fire precaution facilities (i.e. fire extinguisher, no smoking warning etc).	Implemented	-
	Chemical wastes should be properly stored in corrosion resistant containers placed inside the store and labelled with warning signs in English and Chinese.	Implemented	-
	Chemical wastes should be disposed of by licensed chemical waste collector with supporting delivery records.	Implemented	-
	All containers for fuel, diesel and fluid chemical (in use) and oil filled stationery plants located with proper drip pans.		-
	Construction wastes should be managed and disposed to the designated public fill and landfill areas in acceptable manner.		
	All waste disposals managed in a proper manner i.e. trip ticket system implementation.	Implemented	-

Appendix H
Graphical plot of noise
monitoring results

