



CONTRACT NO: KL/2009/01
SITE FORMATION FOR
KAI TAK CRUISE TERMINAL DEVELOPMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT
MONTHLY REPORT
- OCTOBER 2012 -

CLIENT:

Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd.

Unit 601, K. Wah Centre,
191 Java Road,
North Point,
Hong Kong

PREPARED BY:

Lam Environmental Services Limited

11/F Centre Point
181-185 Gloucester Road,
Wanchai, H.K.


Telephone: (852) 2882-3939
Facsimile: (852) 2882-3331
E-mail: info@lamenviro.com
Website: <http://www.lamenviro.com>

CERTIFIED BY:

Raymond Dai
Environmental Team Leader

DATE:

12 November 2012

FUGRO (HONG KONG) LIMITED CONSULTING ENGINEERS7/F., Guardian House,
32 Oi Kwan Road,
Wanchai, Hong KongTel : +852 2577 9023
Fax : +852 2895 2379
Email : fugro@fugro.com.hk**FAX MESSAGE**Priority normal / urgentTo Lam Environmental Services LimitedRef. No. MCLF3143

Country _____

Email raymond dai@lamenviro.comAttn. Mr. Raymond DaiDate 12 November 2012From Joseph PoonNo. of Pages 1 (Incl. this page)C.c. To Mr. Barry Wong
(Scott Wilson Limited)Email barry.wong@scottwilson.com.hkMr. K. Y. Shin
(Civil Engineering and Development Department)Email kyshin@cedd.gov.hkMr. Stephen Cheng
(Scott Wilson Limited)Email stephen.cheng@scottwilson.com.hkMr. Andrew Tam
(Scott Wilson Limited)Email andrew.tam@scottwilson.com.hkMr. Perry Yam
(Penta-Ocean Construction Company Limited)Email perry.yam@pentaocan.com.hkSubject **Agreement No. CE 19/2009 (EP)**
Dredging Works for Proposed Cruise Terminal at Kai Tak –
Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for October 2012

We refer to the revised Monthly EM&A Report for October 2012 that we received through email on 10 November 2012 and are pleased to confirm we have no further comment on the report.

Should you require further information, please feel free to contact us.

Best regards,

Joseph Poon
Independent Environmental Checker

JP/CY/by

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and is confidential. If you are not the addressee it may be unlawful for you to read, copy, disclose or otherwise use the information in this facsimile. If you are not the intended recipient, please telephone or fax us immediately.

(If you do not receive all pages, please fax response or phone +852-24508238.)



CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
1 Introduction	7
1.1 Scope of the Report.....	7
1.2 Structure of the Report.....	7
2 Project Background	9
2.1 Background	9
2.2 Scope of the Project and Site Description	9
2.3 Project Organization and Contact Personnel	10
2.4 Construction Programme and Works	10
3 Implementation Requirements	10
3.1 Status of Regulatory Compliance	11
4 Monitoring Requirements	12
4.1 Noise Monitoring.....	12
4.2 Water Quality Monitoring	12
4.3 Water Quality Parameters	13
4.4 Sampling Procedures and Monitoring Equipment	14
5 Monitoring Results.....	17
5.1 Water Monitoring Results	17
5.2 Waste Monitoring Results.....	17
6 Compliance Audit.....	18
6.1 Noise Monitoring.....	18
6.2 Water Quality Monitoring	18
6.3 Dredging and Disposal.....	23
7 Site Inspection.....	25
8 Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution	26
9 Conclusion.....	27



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of the Exceedances Recorded in Reporting Month
Table 2.2	Contact Details of Key Personnel
Table 3.1	Summary of Valid Licences and Permits
Table 4.1	Planned Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 4.2	Water Quality Monitoring Stations for Baseline and Impact Monitoring
Table 4.3	Water Quality Monitoring Frequency and Parameters
Table 4.4	Equipment Used in Water Quality Monitoring in the Reporting Month
Table 6.2	Summary of Exceedances recorded in the Reporting Month
Table 6.4.1	Compliance with EP Conditions in the Reporting Month
Table 6.4.2	Waste Quantities Related To Dredging Works
Table 8.1	Environmental Complaints Log
Table 8.2	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints
Table 8.3	Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions
Table 9.0	Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Report Month

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure 2.1</u>	General Layout
<u>Figure 2.2</u>	Project Organisation Chart
<u>Figure 4.1</u>	Layout of Environmental Monitoring Stations
<u>Figure 6.1</u>	Layout of Monitoring Stations for Water Quality Surveillance System

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Appendix 3.1</u>	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures
<u>Appendix 4.1</u>	Action and Limit Levels
<u>Appendix 4.2</u>	Copies of Calibration Certificates
<u>Appendix 5.1</u>	Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month and Coming Three Months
<u>Appendix 5.2</u>	Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation
<u>Appendix 5.3</u>	Event and Action Plan
<u>Appendix 5.4</u>	Graphic Presentation of SS Results against to Tidal Movement along Victoria Harbour
<u>Appendix 5.5</u>	Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result with respect to Local Variation
<u>Appendix 5.6</u>	Graphical Presentation of Water Quality Surveillance System
<u>Appendix 5.7</u>	Details of Notification of Exceedances
<u>Appendix 9.0</u>	Construction Programme

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- i. This is the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Monthly Report – [October 2012](#) for Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development under Contract No. KL/2009/01. Dredging of marine sediment has been commenced since 28 June 2010 while removal and reconstruction of existing seawall has been commenced since 22 November 2010. This report presents the environmental monitoring findings and information recorded from 1st to 31st [October 2012](#).

Construction Activities for the Reporting Period

- ii. During this reporting period, the principal work activities included:
- [Dredging of Marine Sediment;](#)
 - [Removal of Existing Seawall;](#)
 - [Fabrication and installation of silt curtain for seawall removal;](#)
 - [Maintenance of Silt Curtain and Silt Screens;](#)
 - [Sorting of inert C&D material from existing seawall;](#)
 - [Disposal of surplus fill material off-site; and](#)
 - [Reconstruction of New Seawall](#)

Water Quality Monitoring

- iii. Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report – Review of Action and Limit Levels (Revision 1.2) was submitted to EPD on 13 October 2011. With respect to the EPD's no comment on the new Action and Limit Levels for water monitoring on 19 October 2011, the new set Action and Limit Levels for turbidity and SS was started to use from 19 October 2011.
- iv. Water quality monitoring at 6 designated monitoring stations namely WSD9, WSD10, WSD15, WSD17, WSD19 and WSD21 were conducted during the reporting period. As per the EM&A Manual, water quality impact monitoring was conducted during the dredging works, which commenced on 28 June 2010. [Suspended solid \(SS\) and turbidity exceedances of water quality at various monitoring stations are summarized in **Table I**](#). The exceedance on 02, 29 Oct and 31 Oct 2012, WSD10 were due to localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream, and the exceedance on 17, 20 and 22 Oct 2012 were due to localized impact or changes in ambient conditions. The exceedances on 04, 13, 15, 27 and 31 Oct 2012, WSD10 (Mid-flood), WSD15, WSD17 and WSD19 were due to upstream of the Project site.

Table I Summary of the Exceedances Recorded in Reporting Month

Date	Tide	Station	Parameter	Exceedance	Value	Possible Cause of Exceedance
2/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
2/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	7.3	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream.
2/10//2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.6	Localized impact from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	AL	12.0	Localized impact from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.2	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	AL	14.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream, and screen cleaning in WSD17
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	13.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	LL	21.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	LL	17.6	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
13/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	13.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
13/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	AL	14.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
15/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD19	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	12.2	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.9	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
20/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.7	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	11.3	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	16.5	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities

Date	Tide	Station	Parameter	Exceedance	Value	Possible Cause of Exceedance
22/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.1	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.1	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
27/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.7	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	12.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	AL	11	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	18	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	AL	16.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions

- v. For the exceedances, further investigations were conducted to determine the cause of impact in terms of Water Quality against the Tidal Movement along Victoria Harbour, Natural Variation Comparison and Water Quality Surveillance System.
- vi. Investigations were also conducted to present the water quality along Victoria Harbour in terms of Natural Variation Comparison and Water Quality Surveillance System.
- vii. Water Quality against the Tidal Movement along Victoria Harbour
In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on 2 Oct 2012, WSD9, WSD10 (Mid-ebb) and WSD15, were the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- viii. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on 17 Oct 2012, WSD9, WSD19 were the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station,

- WSD15. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- ix. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [20 Oct 2012](#), WSD9 (Mid-flood) was the one of the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD17. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- x. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [22 Oct 2012](#), WSD15 was the one of the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xi. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [29 Oct 2012](#), WSD9 were the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD15. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xii. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [31 Oct 2012](#), WSD9(Mid-flood) were the downstream stations from project location, no apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD17. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xiii. In the recorded exceedance(SS & Turbidity) on [4 Oct 2012](#), WSD15 and WSD17 were upstream from project location, no rising of turbidity was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xiv. In the recorded exceedance(SS & Turbidity) on [17 Oct 2012](#), WSD15 was upstream from project location, no rising of turbidity was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xv. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [20 Oct 2012](#), WSD9 (Mid-ebb) and WSD17 were upstream from project location, no rising of turbidity was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xvi. In the recorded exceedance(SS & Turbidity) on [22 Oct 2012](#), WSD10 was upstream from project location, no rising of turbidity was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.
- xvii. In the recorded exceedance(Turbidity) on [31 Oct 2012](#), WSD9(Mid-ebb) and WSD17 were upstream from project location, no rising of turbidity was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.

Natural Variation Comparison

- xviii. Based on the determination of upper bound of the natural variation levels from the Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report, all SS results in reporting month were well within the upper bound of natural variation levels.
- xix. Investigations on the recorded exceedance(SS) on [2 Oct 2012](#), [WSD10](#), [WSD15](#) and [WSD17](#) revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD19, the furthest upstream station. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works.
- xx. Investigations on the recorded exceedance(SS) on [22 Oct 2012](#), [WSD19](#) revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD10 and WSD15, the furthest upstream station. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works.
- xxi. Investigations on the recorded exceedance(SS) on [31 Oct 2012](#), [WSD10\(Mid-ebb\)](#) revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD19, the furthest upstream station. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works.
- xxii. Investigations on the recorded exceedance(SS) on [2 Oct 2012](#) of [WSD19](#), [4 Oct 2012](#), [13 Oct 2012](#), [15 Oct 2012](#), [17 Oct 2012](#), [20 Oct 2012](#), [22 Oct 2012](#) of [WSD10](#) and [WSD15](#), [27 Oct 2012](#), [29 Oct 2012](#) and [31 Oct 2012](#) of [WSD10 \(Mid-flood\)](#), [WSD15](#), [WSD17](#) and [WSD19](#) were upstream from project location, no rising of SS was observed at downstream stations. It is concluded that no water quality impact was arising from the Project works.

Water Quality Surveillance System

- xxiii. With reference to the upper bound of natural variation levels and self water quality surveillance system conducting in reporting month, it shows no fluctuation over the upper bound.

Noise Monitoring

- xxiv. Due to the non-existence of planned NSRs during the reporting period, no noise monitoring was required to be conducted at the planned noise monitoring locations NM1 and NM2.

Waste Management

- xxv. There was 8,018m³ marine sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal) disposed to South Cheung Chau Spoil Disposal Area denoted “KTCT-1” and “KTCT -2” in this reporting month. The disposal of the sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicate Sites) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal) to East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IVc was completed. 6,000m³ surplus fill material and 25m³ non-inert C&D material related to dredging works were also disposed off site in the reporting month.

Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- xxvi. No complaint, notification of prosecutions or summons was received in the reporting period.

Site Inspections and Audit

- xxvii. The Environmental Team (ET) conducted site inspections on 5, 11, 16 and 25 October 2012. Observation and/or recommendation related to the dredging work during the audit sessions can be referred to Section 7.

Compliance with Specific EP Conditions

- xxviii. Implementation of contractor's mitigation for dredging work and the associated dredging records were checked. It was concluded that the dredging is conducted orderly in compliance with the EP requirements on site mitigation measures.

Construction Activities for the Coming Reporting Period

- xxix. In the coming reporting period, the principal work activities included:
- Dredging of Marine Sediment;
 - Removal of Existing Seawall;
 - Fabrication and installation of silt curtain for seawall removal;
 - Maintenance of Silt Curtain and Silt Screens;
 - Sorting of inert C&D material from existing seawall;
 - Disposal of surplus fill material off-site; and
 - Reconstruction of New Seawall

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE OF THE REPORT

- 1.1.1. Lam Environmental Services Limited (LES) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) for dredging works to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme for Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development under Contract No. KL/2009/01. Dredging of marine sediment has been commenced since 28 June 2010 while removal and reconstruction of existing seawall has been commenced since 22 November 2010.
- 1.1.2. This report presents the environmental monitoring and auditing work carried out in accordance to the Section 10.4 under Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual.
- 1.1.3. This report documents the finding of EM&A works from 1st to 31st [October 2012](#). The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

- Section 1** *Introduction* – details of the scope and structure of the report.
- Section 2** *Project Background* – summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details of key personnel during the reporting period.
- Section 3** *Implementation Status* – summarizes the status of valid Environmental Permits / Licenses during the reporting period.
- Section 4** *Monitoring Requirements* – summarizes all monitoring parameters, monitoring methodology and equipment, monitoring locations, monitoring frequency, criteria and respective event and action plan and monitoring programmes.
- Section 5** *Monitoring Results* – summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 6** *Compliance Audit* – summarizes the auditing of monitoring results and all exceedances environmental parameters.
- Section 7** *Site Inspection* – summarizes the findings of weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period, with a review of any relevant follow-up actions within the reporting period.



Section 8 ***Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution*** – summarizes the complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecution for breaches of environmental legislation and the actions taken within the reporting period.

Section 9 ***Conclusion***

2 PROJECT BACKGROUND

2.1 BACKGROUND

- 2.1.1. The former Kai Tak Airport located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula was the international airport of Hong Kong. The Kai Tak Airport had come into operations since 1920s. The operation of the Kai Tak Airport was ceased and replaced by the new airport at Chek Lap Kok in July 1998. After closure, the disused airport site has been occupied by various temporary uses, including a golf driving range on the runway area.
- 2.1.2. In 2002, the Chief Executive in Council approved the Kai Tak Outline Zoning Plans (No. S/K19/3 and S/K21/3) to provide the statutory framework to proceed with the South East Kowloon Development at the former Kai Tak Airport. However, following the judgment of the Court of Final Appeal in January 2004 regarding the Harbour reclamation, the originally proposed development which involves reclamation has to be reviewed. The Kai Tak Planning Review (KTPR) has resulted with a Preliminary Outline Development Plan (PODP) for Kai Tak in October 2006. Subsequently, the Administration announced in October 2006 a plan to implement a cruise terminal at Kai Tak, as part of the development.
- 2.1.3. Development of the cruise terminal at Kai Tak would require dredging at the existing seawall at the southern tip of the former Kai Tak Airport runway for construction of a quay deck structure for two berths, and dredging the seabed fronting the new quay to provide necessary manoeuvring basin. The general layout of the proposed cruise terminal construction is shown in **Figure 2.1**.
- 2.1.4. The current Project involves a dredging operation exceeding 500,000m³ for construction and operation of the proposed cruise terminal at Kai Tak and is therefore classified as a Designated Project under Item C.12, Part I, Schedule 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Study for the Project has been undertaken in accordance with the EIA Study Brief (No. ESB-159/2006) and the Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO-TM).

2.2 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.2.1. The scope of the Project comprises:
- Dredging of marine sediment of about 700,000 m³ from the existing seabed (Stage 1 dredging) in the Harbour area off the southern tip of the former Kai Tak Airport runway to provide the necessary water depth within the manoeuvring area for cruise vessels; and
 - Removal of existing seawall of about 322,300m³ by dredging at the southern tip of the former Kai Tak Airport runway for cruise berth construction.

2.3 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONTACT PERSONNEL

2.3.1. Kowloon Development Office of Civil Engineering and Development Department is the overall project controller. For the construction phase of KL/2009/01, Project Engineer, Contractor, Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker are appointed to manage and control environmental issues.

2.3.2. The proposed project organization and lines of communication with respect to environmental protection works are shown in **Figure 2.2**. Key personnel and contact particulars are summarized in **Table 2.2**:

Table 2.2 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Name	Post	Contact No.	Contact Fax
Civil Engineering and Development Department (Kowloon Development Office)	Project Proponent	Ir. KY Shin	Senior Engineer	2301 1461	2301 1277
URS / Scott Wilson Limited	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Stephen Cheng	Chief Resident Engineer	2148 7638	2148 7277
Penta-Ocean Construction Company Limited	Contractor	Mr. H. Taguchi	Project Manager	2148 7238	2148 7138
		Mr. K. Takae	Site Agent		
		Mr. Perry Yam	Environmental Officer		
Fugro (HK) Limited	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Mr. Joseph Poon	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	2450 8238	2450 6138
Lam Environmental Services Limited	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Raymond Dai	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	2882 3939	2882 3331

2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND WORKS

2.4.1. During this reporting period, the principal work activities included:

- Dredging of marine sediment;
- Removal of Existing Seawall;
- Fabrication and installation of silt curtain for seawall removal;
- Maintenance of Silt Curtain and Silt Screens;
- Sorting of inert C&D material from existing seawall;
- Disposal of surplus fill material off-site; and
- Reconstruction of New Seawall



3 IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

3.1 STATUS OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

3.1.1. A summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project is shown in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Summary of Valid Licences and Permits

Permits and/or Licences	Reference No.	Issued Date	Valid Period	Status in Reporting Month
Environmental Permit	EP-328/2009/A	15 Jun 2009	N/A	Valid
Notification of Works Under APCO	KTCT/907/S/3.14/7.00/L/0060 (POC's REF. number) dated 9 December 2009	--	N/A	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)	GW-RE0666-12	20 Aug 2012	22 Aug 2012 (00:00) to 16 Feb 2013 (07:00)	Valid
Discharge Licence	WT00005933-2010	18 Mar 2010	Until 31 March 2015	Valid
Registration of Waste Producer	5213-247-P2984-01	14 Jan 2010	N/A	Valid
Dumping Permit (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal)	EP/MD/12-154	17 Apr 2012	3 May to 2 Nov 2012	Valid

3.1.2. Implementation status of the recommended mitigation measures during this reporting period is presented in **Appendix 3.1**.

4 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 NOISE MONITORING

- 4.1.1. In accordance with the EIA Report and the approved EM&A Manual, it is anticipated that construction activities, if unmitigated, would not cause any adverse noise impact to the nearest NSRs in the vicinity of the work site. The predicted noise levels at the NSRs would comply with construction noise criteria. These nearest NSRs are designated for construction noise monitoring as listed in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 *Planned Noise Monitoring Stations*

Station	Description
NM1	Planned Residential Development (R3 site)
NM2	Planned Residential Development (R3 site)

- 4.1.2. As per S.3.1.1 of the approved EM&A Manual states that "Noise levels shall be monitored to evaluate the construction noise impact if there is any planned noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) occupied within 300m from the works area of this Project during the proposed dredging works". Therefore, the impact monitoring for construction noise shall only be carried out when the planned residential development at the two identified monitoring stations are occupied at a later stage.

4.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 4.2.1. The EIA Report has identified that suspended solids (SS) would be the most critical water quality parameter during the dredging operations. Water quality monitoring for SS and turbidity is therefore recommended to be carried out at selected WSD flushing water intakes. The impact monitoring should be carried out during the proposed dredging works for cruise terminal construction to ensure the compliance with the water quality standards.

- 4.2.2. It is proposed to monitor the water quality at six WSD flushing water intakes along the seafront of the Victoria Harbour. The proposed water quality monitoring stations are shown in **Table 4.2** and **Figure 4.1**.

Table 4.2 *Water Quality Monitoring Stations for Baseline and Impact Monitoring*

Station Ref.	WSD Flushing Water Intake	Easting	Northing
WSD9	Tai Wan	837921.0	818330.0
WSD10	Cha Kwo Ling	841900.9	817700.1

Station Ref.	WSD Flushing Water Intake	Easting	Northing
WSD15	Sai Wan Ho	841110.4	816450.1
WSD17	Quarry Bay	839790.3	817032.2
WSD21	Wan Chai	836220.8	815940.1
WSD19	Sheung Wan	833415.0	816771.0

4.3 WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

4.3.1. During the period of dredging, monitoring should be undertaken three days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides, with sampling / measurement at the designated monitoring stations as shown in **Table 4.2**. The interval between two sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours except where there are exceedances of Action and/or Limit Levels, in which case the monitoring frequency will be increased. **Table 4.3** shows the proposed monitoring frequency and water quality parameters. Duplicate in-situ measurements and water sampling should be carried out in each sampling event. For selection of tides for in-situ measurement and water sampling, tidal range of individual flood and ebb tides should not be less than 0.5m.

4.3.2. Silt screens in frame type or floating type shall be deployed at these intakes during the dredging period. It is recommended to conduct the monitoring behind the silt screens at the seawater intake culvert at each seawater pumping station to collect information on the water quality condition after passed the silt screen.

Table 4.3 Water Quality Monitoring Frequency and Parameters

Activities	Monitoring Frequency ¹	Parameters ²
During the 4-week baseline monitoring period	Three days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides	Turbidity (in NTU), Suspended Solids (SS in mg/L)
During dredging works for proposed cruise terminal at Kai Tak	Three days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides	Turbidity (in NTU), Suspended Solids (SS in mg/L)

Notes:

1. For selection of tides for in-situ measurement and water sampling, tidal range of individual flood and ebb tides should be not less than 0.5m.
2. Turbidity should be measured in situ whereas SS should be determined by laboratory.

4.3.3. Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report – Review of Action and Limit Levels (Revision 1.2) was submitted to EPD on 13 October 2011. With respect to the EPD's no comment on the new Action and Limit Levels for water monitoring on 19 October 2011, the new set Action and Limit Levels for turbidity and SS was adopted from 19 Oct 2011 and can be referred to **Appendix 4.1**.

4.3.4. Current calibration certificates of equipment are presented in **Appendix 4.2**.

4.4 **SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT**

- 4.4.1. In-situ measurements and water sampling shall be conducted at mid-depth. Duplicate *in-situ* measurements and water sampling have been conducted in each sampling event. Water samples for all monitoring parameters shall be collected, stored, preserved and analysed according to the Standard Methods, APHA 17 and/or agreed by IEC and EPD.

Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment

- 4.4.2. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof dissolved oxygen measuring instrument complete with cable, sensor, comprehensive operation manuals, and use a DC power source. It should be capable of measuring:
- a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation
 - a temperature of 0-45 degree Celsius
- 4.4.3. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. Sufficient stocks of spare electrodes and cables should be available for replacement where necessary. (e.g. YSI model 59 meter, YSI 5739 probe, YSI 5795A submersible stirrer with reel and cable or an approved similar instrument).
- 4.4.4. Should salinity compensation not be build-in in the DO equipment, in-situ salinity shall be measured to calibrate the DO equipment prior to each DO measurement.

Turbidity Measurement Instrument

- 4.4.5. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof turbidity-measuring instrument complete with comprehensive operation manual. The equipment should use a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU and be complete with a cable (e.g. Hach model 2100P or an approved similar instrument).

Suspended Solids

- 4.4.6. A water sampler comprises a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, and can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler should have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth (e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler or an approved similar instrument).
- 4.4.7. Water samples for suspended solids measurement should be collected in high-density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen), and

delivered to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. as soon as possible after collection for analysis.

Water Depth Detector

- 4.4.8. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder shall be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit can either be handheld or affixed to the bottom of the workboat, if the same vessel is to be used throughout the monitoring programme.

Salinity

- 4.4.9. A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-40 ppt shall be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each of monitoring location.

Locating the Monitoring Site

- 4.4.10. A hand-held or boat-fixed type digital Global Positioning System (GPS) with way point bearing indication or other equivalent instrument of similar accuracy shall be provided and used during monitoring to ensure the monitoring vessel is at the correct location before taking measurements.

Calibration and Accuracy of Instrument

- 4.4.11. All in-situ monitoring instruments shall be checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or equivalent before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes should be checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter shall be carried out before measurement at each monitoring location.
- 4.4.12. For the on site calibration of field equipment by the ET, the BS 127:1993, "Guide to Field and on-site test methods for the analysis of waters" should be observed.
- 4.4.13. Sufficient stocks of spare parts should be maintained for replacements when necessary. Backup monitoring equipment shall also be made available so that monitoring can proceed uninterrupted even when some equipment is under maintenance, calibration, etc.
- 4.4.14. The equipment used in the water quality monitoring in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 4.4**. Current calibration certificates of the used equipment are presented in **Appendix 4.2**



Table 4.4 ***Equipment Used in Water Quality Monitoring in the Reporting Month***

Equipment	Model	Qty.
Multi-meter	YSI Professional Plus	1
Turbidimeter	HACH 2100Q	1

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 WATER MONITORING RESULTS

- 5.1.1. The water monitoring schedule for the reporting month and coming three months are presented in **Appendix 5.1**.
- 5.1.2. Water monitoring results measured in reporting month are reviewed and presented in **Appendix 5.2**. There were twenty-two SS and twenty turbidity exceedances recorded in this reporting month.
- 5.1.3. The details of Event and Action Plans and Notification of Exceedance can be referred to **Appendix 5.3** and **Appendix 5.7**.

5.2 WASTE MONITORING RESULTS

- 5.2.1. There were 6,000m³ inert surplus fill material and 25m³ non-inert C&D material related to dredging works were disposed off site in the reporting month.

6 COMPLIANCE AUDIT

6.1 NOISE MONITORING

6.1.1. Noise monitoring was not necessary in the reporting period due to non-presence of NSR.

6.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1. SS and turbidity exceedances were recorded on the 2, 4, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 27, 29 and 31 Oct 2012 in the reporting month. **Table 6.2** summarizes the details of SS and turbidity exceedances recorded. Investigation indicated the exceedances were not related to the Project works.

Table 6.2 Summary of Exceedances recorded in the Reporting Month

Date	Tide	Station	Parameter	Exceedance	Value	Possible Cause of Exceedance
2/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
2/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	7.3	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream.
2/10//2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.6	Localized impact from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	AL	12.0	Localized impact from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.2	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	AL	14.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream, and screen cleaning in WSD17
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	13.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
2/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	LL	21.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	LL	17.6	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
4/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
13/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	13.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
13/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	AL	14.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
15/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions

Date	Tide	Station	Parameter	Exceedance	Value	Possible Cause of Exceedance
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
17/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD19	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	12.2	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.9	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
20/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.7	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	15.5	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	11.3	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities
20/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	16.5	Localized impact or accumulation of unknown particles from nearby grabbing activities
22/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.1	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.1	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
22/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	LL	9.8	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
27/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	8.0	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	9.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
29/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	AL	11.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.7	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	6.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	Turbidity (NTU)	AL	12.4	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	AL	11	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	LL	12.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	LL	18	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions
31/10/2012	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	AL	16.5	Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions

xxx. Total twenty-two SS and twenty turbidity exceedances were recorded in the reporting period. Silt curtain and silt screens were checked and confirmed in

proper condition during the water monitoring. The SS exceedance on 2 Oct 2012 of WSD19, 4 Oct 2012, 13 Oct 2012, 15 Oct 2012, 17 Oct 2012, 20 Oct 2012, 22 Oct 2012 of WSD10 and WSD15, 27 Oct 2012, 29 Oct 2012 and 31 Oct 2012 of WSD10(Mid-flood), WSD15, WSD17 and WSD19 were upstream from project location, no rising of SS was observed at downstream stations. The SS exceedance on 2 Oct 2012, WSD10, WSD15 and WSD17 revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD19. The SS exceedance on 22 Oct 2012, WSD19 revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD10 and WSD15. The SS exceedance on 31 Oct 2012, WSD10 revealed that no apparent rise of SS after passing the project site. The rise in SS level might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively SS concentration were recorded at WSD19. The turbidity exceedances on 2 Oct 2012 of WSD9 and WSD15, 17 Oct 2012 of WSD9 and WSD19, 20 Oct 2012 of WSD9, 22 Oct 2012 of WSD15, 29 Oct 2012 of WSD9 and 31 Oct 2012 of WSD9 were due to localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station.

- 6.2.2. For the exceedances, further investigations were conducted to determine the cause of impact in terms of the following areas:
- Water Quality against the Tidal Movement along Victoria Harbour;
 - Natural Variation Comparison; and
 - Water Quality Surveillance System

6.3 WATER QUALITY AGAINST THE TIDAL MOVEMENT ALONG VICTORIA HARBOUR

6.3.1 In order to conclude the cause of an adverse water quality impact, the trend across the 6 monitoring stations is reviewed. Whether the adverse impact is due to project work will be evaluated from the trend of SS level in downstream across the Victoria Harbour after passing the project location. By observing this trend of SS, contribution of the adverse water quality impact from the dredging activities under the project can be evaluated by checking if there is a significant rising up trend in the SS level in the WSD intakes at project downstream.

6.3.2 Moreover, a comparison of the monitoring station at project downstream stations with the upstream monitoring stations can also indicate whether the extent of exceedance in SS content recorded at the WSD intakes downstream to the project is likely to be caused by upstream source or not. If the SS values of the upstream and downstream show similar levels, the impact at the project downstream stations shall probably be due to the project upstream source and the contribution from project work can be eliminated. A review on the tidal

movement across the Victoria Harbour is plotted against the SS results and graphical presentation is presented in **Appendix 5.4**.

- 6.3.3 Investigations on the recorded exceedance on **2 Oct 2012** revealed that no rising of SS level at other downstream stations of WSD 10, WSD17 and WSD15. The rise in SS level might have been caused by **localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD19**. It is concluded that no adverse water quality impact was arising from the Project works. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works.
- 6.3.4 Investigations on the recorded exceedance on **22 Oct 2012** revealed that no rising of SS level at other downstream stations of WSD21 and WSD9. The rise in SS level might have been caused by **localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD10 and WSD15**. It is concluded that no adverse water quality impact was arising from the Project works. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works
- 6.3.5 Investigations on the recorded exceedance on **31 Oct 2012** revealed that no rising of SS level at other downstream stations of WSD17 and WSD15. The rise in SS level might have been caused by **localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD19**. It is concluded that no adverse water quality impact was arising from the Project works. It definitely concluded that the exceedance was not related to the Project works

6.4 NATURAL VARIATION COMPARISON

- 6.4.1 Referring to the ER Letter ref. CEDD/KL/2009/01/M45/130(369767) dated 14 February 2011, a Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report – Review Action and Limit Levels (Revision 1.0) has been provided to EPD by ER in February 2011 in according to Sections 4.92 and 10.7 of EM&A Manual. This report in Revision 1.1 has been provided on 26 April 2011 in response to EPD's comments dated 1 April 2011. This report presents the methodology for enlargement baseline database and the review and determination of the Action and Limit Levels in dry and wet seasons.
- 6.4.2 On the basis of this Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report, the maximum SS levels in the establishment of larger baseline database will be applied and acted as the upper bound of natural variation levels for the comparison with SS results in reporting quarter. The upper bound of natural

variation levels are shown in **Table 6.4**. The graphic presentation of water quality results with respect to local variation is shown in **Appendix 5.5**.

Table 6.4 Upper Bound of Natural Variation Levels at Water Monitoring Stations

Upper Bound of Natural Variation Levels (mg/L)	WSD9	WSD10	WSD15	WSD17	WSD19	WSD21
Dry Season	12.0	19.0	14.0	16.0	18.0	15.0
Wet Season	15.1	21.2	22.7	17.9	17.1	18.8

6.4.3 According to the graphic presentation, most SS results were well within the upper bound of natural variation level.

6.5 WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

6.5.1. 2 self water quality surveillance monitoring events for removal of existing seawall were conducted on **10 and 25 October 2012**. Turbidity and SS monitoring were conducted at 12 locations as follows and shown in **Figure 6.1**.

- One sampling point inside the silt curtain (SP1);
- Four sampling points outside the first layer silt curtain (MP1-MP4);
- Seven control points (C1-C7)

6.5.2. The trend of monitoring results from the location of dredging works to the nearest WSD pumping stations were projected for checking the water quality surveillance. The graphical presentation of the SS levels at SP1, sampling points outside the first layer silt curtain, control points and impact water quality monitoring stations against the distance are shown in **Appendix 5.6**.

6.5.3. Based on the graphic presentation and the trend description of the SS levels in **Appendix 5.6** conclusion of the water quality surveillance can be draw as follows:

- One SS result recorded on 10 Oct 2012 was higher than Trigger Level and two SS results recorded on 25 Oct 2012 were equal to Trigger Level. Moreover, SS levels at all control points and downstream WSD intakes were below SP1 and well within the Action level;
- When the WSD intakes were located at upstream of the Project, it found that SS level was occasionally higher than the control points or sampling points near dredging area. Thus, uncertain interference of water quality was apparently interfering in the vicinity of intakes frequently;
- For WSD intakes located at downstream of the Project, SS levels were below the Action level, sampling points MP and control points were recorded. The trend in the projections indicated that no significant rising of SS in the projection from the dredging area to the control points and the WSD pumping stations.

- 6.5.4. With reference to the upper bound of natural variation levels and water quality surveillance conducting in reporting period, it shows mostly no fluctuation over the upper bound.

6.6 ***DREDGING AND DISPOSAL***

- 6.6.1. Implementation of mitigation measures for dredging work and the associated dredging records were checked and the findings are summarized in **Table 6.4.1**.

Table 6.4.1 Compliance with EP Conditions in the Reporting Month

EP Condition	Compliance Status and/or Recommendation
2.6 Silt Curtain Deployment	In accordance with the EP requirement and Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Measure
2.6 For removal of the existing seawall and the seabed, Daily Dredging Rate \leq 4,000m ³ /d Hourly Dredging Rate \leq 334m ³ /hr	Complied with the EP requirement in reporting month: Daily Dredging Rate maintained at 600m ³ /day and Hourly Dredging Rate maintained at 100m ³ /hr.
2.7 For removal of marine sediment from seabed, Daily Dredging Rate \leq 4,000m ³ /d Hourly Dredging Rate \leq 334m ³ /hr	Complied with the EP requirement in reporting month: Daily Dredging Rate maintained at 609m ³ /day and Hourly Dredging Rate maintained at 150m ³ /hr.
2.8 Silt Screen Deployment	In accordance with the Silt Screen Deployment Plan for all 6 intakes

6.6.2. The daily and hourly dredging rates were checked and reviewed that were below the EP requirements. It was concluded that the dredging was conducted in compliance with the specific EP requirements.

6.6.3. There was 8,018m³ marine sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal) was disposed to South Cheung Chau Spoil Disposal Area denoted “KTCT-1” and “KTCT -2” in this reporting month. The disposal of the sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicate Sites) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal) to East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IVc was completed. 6,000m³ inert surplus fill material and 25m³ non-inert C&D material related to dredging works were disposed off site in the reporting month. The details can be referred to the **Table 6.4.2**.

Table 6.4.2 Waste Quantities Related To Dredging Works

Waste Type	Quantity this month, m ³ (Bulk volume)	Cumulative-to-Date. m ³ (Bulk volume)	Disposal / Dumping Ground
Marine Sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal)(8,018	515,014	South Cheung Chau Spoil Disposal Area denoted “KTCT-1” and “KTCT -2”
Marine Sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Sites) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal) *	NIL	Completed	East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IVc

* Remarks: The disposal of marine sediment (Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Sites) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal) was completed.



7

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE AUDIT

- 7.0.1. Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.

- 7.0.2. The joint site audits were conducted on [5](#), [11](#), [16](#) and [25 Oct 2012](#) by the representatives of IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET. No particular finding was obtained on the dredging works during the site inspections.

8 COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

8.0.1. In this reporting period, no complaint, inspection notice, notification of summons or prosecution was received. Cumulative complaint log, summaries of complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions are presented in **Tables 8.1, 8.2** and **8.3** respectively.

Table 8.1 Environmental Complaints Log

Complaint Log No.	Date of Receipt	Received From and Received By	Nature of Complaint	Date Investigated	Outcome	Date of Reply
NIL	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 8.2 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Complaints This Month	Cumulative No. Project-to-Date
Air	0	0	0
Noise	0	0	0
Water	0	0	0
Waste	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

Table 8.3 Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Successful Prosecutions this month (Offence Date)	Cumulative No. Project-to-Date
Air	0	0	0
Noise	0	0	0
Water	0	0	0
Waste	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

9 CONCLUSION

- 9.0.1. Dredging of marine sediment and removal and reconstruction of existing seawall were commenced on 28 June and 22 November 2010 respectively. The EM&A programme was carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual requirements. As per the EM&A Manual, water quality impact monitoring was conducted during the dredging work, which was commenced on 28 June 2010.
- 9.0.2. Turbidity and SS exceedances were recorded on 2, 4, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 27, 29 and 31 Oct 2012. Investigations indicated the exceedances were not related to the Project.
- 9.0.3. Supplementary to Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report – Review of Action and Limit Levels (Revision 1.2) was submitted to EPD on 13 October 2011. With respect to the EPD's no comment on the new Action and Limit Levels for water monitoring on 19 October 2011, the new set Action and Limit Levels for turbidity and SS was started to use from 19 October 2011.
- 9.0.4. The scheduled construction activities and the recommended mitigation measures for the coming month are listed in **Table 9.0**. The construction programme of the Project is provided in **Appendix 9.0**.


Table 9.0 Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Report Month

Location	Construction Works	Recommended Mitigation Measures
Marine work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dredging of Marine Sediment; • Removal of Existing Seawall; • Fabrication and installation of silt curtain for seawall removal; • Maintenance of Silt Curtain and Silt Screens; • Sorting of inert C&D material from existing seawall; • Disposal of surplus fill material off-site; and • Reconstruction of New Seawall 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collection and removal of floating refuse at regular intervals; • Regular inspection and maintenance of the silt screens and silt curtain; • Silt curtain shall be deployed around the closed grab dredgers used for seawall removal; • Covering the stockpile and watering the dust surface to suppress dust emission; • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; • Opening of the silt curtain should be closed except for vessel movement.




Figure 2.1

General Layout



- NOTES:**
1. ALL COORDINATES ARE RELATED TO HONG KONG METRIC GRID (1980).
 2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
 3. SETTING OUT REFER TO DRAWING NO.08290/1021.


- LEGEND:**
- SOUTHERN DESIGNATED AREA
 - NORTHERN DESIGNATED AREA
 - DREDGING ZONE / SEAWALL REMOVAL
 - PHASE I BERTH AREA
 - PHASE II BERTH AREA



HARBOUR AREA--TREATMENT SCHEME (HATS) TUNNEL (OUTER DIAMETER 3320, INTERNAL DIAMETER 2820, AT APPROX. -130mPD)

BOUNDARY OF 200m SEWAGE TUNNEL OUTER PROTECTION AREA

BOUNDARY OF 100m SEWAGE TUNNEL PROTECTION AREA



DREDGING ZONE A

Rev.	Date	Description	LC	CS
06/09		TENDER		

CEPD 土木工程拓展署
Civil Engineering and Development Department

Contract No.KL200901
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

GENERAL LAYOUT PLAN

Drawing No. 圖則編號	08290/1011			
Designed 設計	Drawn 繪圖	Checked 校核	Scale 比例	1:3000
Approved 批准	Date 日期	Status 現況	TENDER	

Scott Wilson Ltd
偉信顧問集團有限公司






Figure 2.2
Project Organization Chart

Project Organization Chart








Figure 4.1

Layout of Environmental Monitoring Stations





MAUNSELL | AECOM
 Maunsell Consultants Asia Ltd


AGREEMENT NO. CE 35/2006 (CE)
 KAI TAK DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING STUDY CUM DESIGN AND
 CONSTRUCTION OF ADVANCE WORKS-INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

SCALE	A3 1:35000	DATE	AUG 07
CHECK	AKYC	DRAWN	WCM
JOB No.	60022503	DRAWING No.	4.1
		REV	-



Figure 6.1

Layout of Monitoring Stations for Water Quality Surveillance System



- LEGEND:
- SILT CURTAIN (20m x 20m)
 - SAMPLING POINT INSIDE SILT CURTAIN (CONTRACTOR'S PROPOSED SAMPLING POINT TO MONITOR EFFECTIVENESS OF SILT CURTAINS)
 - SAMPLING POINT AT ABOUT 10m OUTSIDE SILT CURTAIN (CONTRACTOR'S PROPOSED SAMPLING POINT TO MONITOR EFFECTIVENESS OF SILT CURTAINS)
 - ⊕ SAMPLING POINT AS CONTROL POINT (C1 TO C4) (ADDITIONAL)
 - ⊕ SAMPLING POINT AS CONTROL POINT (C5 TO C7) AT ABOUT 100m AWAY FROM SILT CURTAIN (ADDITIONAL)

ADDITIONAL WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

COORDINATE	NORTH	EAST
C1	018867.763	839495.740
C2	018152.875	839775.604
C3	017702.168	839931.601
C4	017780.785	840334.093
C5		
C6		
C7		

POSITIONS CHANGE WITH SILT CURTAIN

— SITE BOUNDARY

Scope of Works for Water Quality Surveillance System

1. The water quality monitoring works comprised of Set A and Set B. The Contractor shall carry out both sets of works (7 sampling stations in total).
2. Set A (4 sampling stations), the water quality monitoring works shall be taken at the Control Points (i.e. C1 to C4). Set B (3 sampling stations), the water quality monitoring works shall be taken at approximate 100m outside the silt curtain (i.e. C5 to C7) which the locations shall be changed from time to time to follow the movement of silt curtain.
3. The water quality monitoring works shall be carried out during the dredging period.
4. The water quality monitoring works shall be carried out at a frequency to be agreed by the Engineer. The date and time of monitoring should be in line with the impact water quality monitoring schedule under the EM&A manual. Each sampling event shall be carried out at 3 depth (i.e. 1m below the surface, mid depth, and 1m above the seabed) of the water column at each location. Duplicate in-situ measurements and water sampling shall be carried out in each sampling event. For selection of tides for in-situ measurement and water sampling, tidal range of individual flood and ebb tides shall not less than 0.5m. The schedule of water quality monitoring shall be reviewed by the Engineer and the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) depending on whether the water quality monitoring results could indicate any trend of water quality for determination of trigger/action level or whether there is a ad-hoc requirement (e.g. change of working methods, complaints, etc.). The Contractor shall carry out the works according to the revised schedule if instructed by the Engineer.
5. As the key parameters, turbidity shall be measured in situ whereas Suspended Solids (SS) shall be determined by laboratory. Analysis of SS level shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or other international accredited laboratory. Sufficient water samples of not less than 1 liter shall be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory SS determinations.
6. Requirements on the monitoring equipments and calibration shall be referred to Paragraph 4.7 "Monitoring Equipment" of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual for the Dredging Works for Proposed Cruise Terminal at Kai Tak.
7. Laboratory analysis of the sampling data shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or other international accredited laboratory and follow the requirements as stated in Paragraph 4.8 "Laboratory Measurement/Analysis" of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual for the Dredging Works for Proposed Cruise Terminal at Kai Tak. Monitoring data together with the report shall be reported to the Engineer and the IEC on monthly basis.
8. Other relevant data shall also be recorded including monitoring location/position, time, water depth, sampling depth, water temperature, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway nearby.

Control Copy
No. 35

RECEIVED
22 SEP 2010

BY: 001367
(341819) Y.C.#5


B	09/10	REVISION AS SHOWN	EW	JK
A	07/10	REVISION AS SHOWN	JY	SC
Scale	Date	Revision	Drawn	Checked

CEED CONTRACT KL/2009/01
SITE FORMATION FOR KAI TAK
CRUISE TERMINAL DEVELOPMENT

WATER QUALITY
SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

SKETCH NO.	(CEED/CL/2009/01)SK0067B
DATE	08/10
CHECKED	AT
APPROVED	SC
AFFECTED Dwg. NO.	

URS
URS SCOTT WILSON LTD
Engineer for the Contract
ENGINEERS REPRESENTATIVES OFFICE



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development


TITLE
 Water Quality Surveillance System – 10 Oct 2012

DATE
 24 Oct 2012

PREPARED BY
 PY

SCALE
 NOT TO SCALE

SKETCH No.
 SK-0936



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

TITLE
 Water Quality Surveillance System – 25 Oct 2012

DATE
 7 Nov 2012

PREPARED BY
 PH

SCALE
 NOT TO SCALE

SKETCH No.
 SK-0942



Appendix 3.1

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S3.6	Requirements of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation shall be adhered to during the construction period.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S3.6	In order to minimize the potential odour emissions, if any, the dredged sediment placed on barge should be properly covered as far as practicable to minimise the exposed area and hence the potential odour emissions during the transportation of the dredged sediment.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM
S4.8	Good Site Practices: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program.• Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.• Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.• Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.• Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	NCO EIAO-TM



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S4.9	If there is any planned NSRs within 300m from the work area occupied during the dredging period, an EM&A programme is recommended to be established according to the predicted occurrence of noisy activities. All the recommended mitigation measures for daytime normal working activities should be incorporated into the EM&A programme for implementation during dredging.	Representative NSRs at the former Kai Tak Airport runway / Upon formal occupation	N/A	Not applicable	NCO EIAO-TM
S5.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Dredging will be carried out by closed grab dredger to minimize release of sediment and other contaminants during both capital and maintenance dredging.The maximum production rate for dredging from the seabed to provide necessary manoeuvring area would not be more than 4,000m³ per day (and no more than 2 closed grab dredgers) during capital dredging and 2,000m³ per day (and no more than 1 closed grab dredger) during maintenance dredging.The maximum production rate for dredging at or near the seawall area would not be more than 4,000m³ per day for berth construction. No more than two closed grab dredger would be operated at the same time at or near the seawall for berth construction.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM WPCO
S5.9	Silt curtains should be deployed around the closed grab dredgers used for dredging at and near the existing seawall of the former Kai Tak Airport runway for construction of the cruise berth structures.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S5.9	Silt screens should be installed at the WSD flushing water intakes at Cha Kwo Ling, Sai Wan Ho, Quarry Bay, Sheung Wan, Wan Chai and Tai Wan for dredging in the manoeuvring basin of the first berth during the capital dredging.	Seawater intakes in Victoria Harbour/ During the construction of cruise terminal	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO
S5.9	Silt screens should be installed at the WSD flushing water intakes at Cha Kwo Ling, Quarry Bay and Tai Wan for dredging in the manoeuvring basin of the second berth during the capital dredging.	Seawater intakes in Victoria Harbour / During the construction of cruise terminal	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO
S5.9	If the opening has been introduced at the northern runway, silt screens should also be installed at the WSD flushing water intake at Sai Wan Ho, Sheung Wan and Wan Chai for dredging in the manoeuvring basin of the second berth during the capital dredging.	Seawater intake at Sai Wan Ho, Sheung Wan and Wan Chai / During the construction of cruise terminal	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S5.9	<p>Other good site practices that should be undertaken during dredging include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• all vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash;• all barges / dredgers should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material;• construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site or dumping grounds;• barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation.	Work site and adjacent waters / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO, EIAO-TM, WPCO, WDO
S5.9	Appropriate numbers of portable chemical toilets shall be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor shall also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	Work site and adjacent waters / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO, WDO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref #	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S5.9	Collection and removal of floating refuse should be performed at regular intervals on a daily basis. The contractor should be responsible for keeping the water within the site boundary and the neighbouring water free from rubbish during the dredging works.	Work site and adjacent waters / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO, WDO
S5.9	An environmental monitoring and audit programme should be implemented to verify whether or not impact predictions are representative, and to ensure that all the recommended mitigation measures are implemented properly. If the water quality monitoring data indicate that the proposed dredging works result in unacceptable water quality impacts in the receiving water, appropriate actions should be taken to review the dredging operation and additional measures such as use of frame-type silt curtain, deployment of double silt curtains, slowing down, or rescheduling of works should be implemented as necessary.	6 selected WSD flushing water intakes in Victoria Harbour/ During dredging in construction stage	Environmental Team and verified by Independent Environmental Checker	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S5.9	Silt screens are recommended to be deployed at 6 selected WSD flushing water intakes during the capital dredging. The contractor for capital dredging shall demonstrate and ensure that the design of the silt screen will not affect the normal operation of flushing water intake. The contractor shall obtain consensus from all relevant parties, including WSD and Marine Department on the design of the silt screen at each of the six selected flushing water intake points before installation of the silt screen and commencement of the proposed dredging works. As a mitigation measure to avoid the pollutant and refuse entrapment problems and to ensure that the impact monitoring results are representative, regular maintenance of the silt screens and refuse collection should be performed at the monitoring stations at regular intervals on a daily basis. The Contractor should be responsible for keeping the water behind the silt screen free from floating rubbish and debris during the impact monitoring period.	6 selected WSD flushing water intakes in Victoria Harbour/ During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM, WPCO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7	<p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to. Recommendations for good site practices during the dredging activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.• Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures.• Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal.• Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.• A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites).• Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7 (cont.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the workforce.Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	EIAO-TM
S6.7	<p>Marine Sediments</p> <p>The dredged marine sediments would be loaded onto barges and transported to the designated disposal sites allocated by the MFC depending on their level of contamination. Sediment classified as Category L would be suitable for Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal. Contaminated sediment would require either Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Sites) or Type 2 - Confined Marine Disposal and must be dredged and transported with great care in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 34/2002. Subject to the final allocation of the disposal sites by MFC, the dredged contaminated sediment must be effectively isolated from the environment upon final disposal and shall be disposed of at the East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Pits that are designated for the disposal of contaminated mud in Hong Kong.</p>	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	ETWB TCW No. 34/2002



EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7	It will be the responsibility of the Contractor to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the contamination levels of the marine sediment to be dredged have been analysed and recorded. According to the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002, this will involve the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report to the DEP, prior to the dredging contract being tendered. The contractor for the dredging works shall apply for the allocation of marine sediment disposal sites from all relevant authorities.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Dumping Permits were issued by EPD	ETWB TCW No. 34/2002
S6.7	During transportation and disposal of the dredged marine sediments requiring Type 1 and Type 2 disposal, the following measures shall be taken to minimise potential impacts on water quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Bottom opening of barges shall be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material shall be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved.• Monitoring of the barge loading shall be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels shall be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.• Barges or hopper barges shall not be filled to a level that would cause the overflow of materials or sediment laden water during loading or transportation.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	WDO; WPCO



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7	Chemical Wastes After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation; Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes
S6.7	General Refuse General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	Work site / During dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	WDO, WPCO



EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7	<p>Construction and Demolition Material</p> <p>It is recommended that the extent of dredging of the existing seawall should be kept to a minimum in the detailed design of the new cruise terminal to minimize generation of C&D material. Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated in the contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles shall be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible.• Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric.• Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting.• Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site.• The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	ETWB TCW No. 33/2002, 31/2004, 19/2005



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S6.7 (cont.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle.• All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet.• The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor for capital dredging	Implemented	ETWB TCW No. 33/2002, 31/2004, 19/2005
S6.7	When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material shall consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the Contractor under the Waste Management Plan certified by the Environmental Team and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker who should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor for capital dredging, Engineer, Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker	Not applicable	ETWB TCW No. 31/2004



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S7.8	The dredging activities of the proposed cruise terminal should ensure that disturbance to the existing seawall masonry outside the Project boundary should be avoided as far as practicable.	Work site/ During construction of cruise terminal	Contractor for capital dredging as per CEDD's advice	Implemented	Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance EIAO, EIAO-TM Guidance Notes on Assessment of Impact on Sites of Cultural Heritage in Environmental Impact Assessment Studies (GN-CH) Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)
S7.10, App. 7.1	It is recommended that the dredged spoil should be monitored for the presence of archaeological material. Guidelines for the monitoring brief have been prepared in consultation with the AMO. A qualified marine archaeologist needs to be on standby to provide specialist advice, if required, but the monitoring can be carried out by a member of staff on the dredging barge.	Work site / during dredging in construction stage	Contractor for capital dredging, Environmental Team	Implemented	Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance EIAO, EIAO-TM GN-CH HKPSG Marine Archaeological Investigation Guidelines



EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
8.7	<p>Translocate those existing coral colonies attached on boulders that are manually movable by a diver underwater (possibly longest dimension of less than 50cm) located within the hard substrata sea area within the dredging site as far as practicable prior to the commencement of the capital dredging activities. The entire translocation exercise include the preparation of a detailed translocation plan, the pre-translocation coral survey, the coral translocation, and the quarterly post-translocation monitoring for one year. Pre-translocation survey would be focused on identifying and mapping of coral colonies that would be directly impacted by the proposed dredging and investigating the translocation feasibility of these coral colonies. A detailed translocation plan (including pre-translocation coral survey, translocation methodology and monitoring of transplanted corals) should be prepared during the detailed design stage of the Project which, together with the ecologist involved in coral translocation, should be approved by AFCD prior to commencement of the translocation exercises. The proposed relocation of the coral colonies should not affect any private/public marine rights at the recipient site.</p>	<p>Along the section of the former Kai Tak Airport runway that will be directed affected by the cruise terminal construction / During detailed design stage</p>	<p>Other ET specifically employed for coral translocation works</p>	<p>Final Detailed Coral Translocation Plan was approved by EPD in letter ref. (18) in EP2/K19/C/19 Pt.5 dated 5 June 2009. Form 5 was submitted under CEDD's memo ref. (6) in KD 2/31/4 Pt.3 dated 10 June 2009 regarding minor alteration of the position of the coral recipient site. Coral Translocation Report was submitted in Scott Wilson letter ref. 08290/325723 dated 2 July 2009. Post-translocation report shall be referred to the submissions by another ET specifically employed for coral translocation works.</p>	<p>EIAO-TM</p>



IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

EIA Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines
S8.7	New seawalls at the berth structure of the cruise terminal shall be constructed in order to provide large area of hard substrata for settlement and recruitment of intertidal and subtidal assemblages similar to those previously recorded from existing habitats.	The section of the former Kai Tak Airport runway that will be directed affected by the cruise terminal construction / During detailed design stage	To be confirmed at later stage	To be confirmed at later stage	EIAO-TM
9.6	No fisheries-specific mitigation measures would be required.	-	Not applicable	Not applicable	-



Appendix 4.1

Action and Limit Levels



Action and Limit Levels

Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
07:00 – 19:00 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	75 dB(A)

Remarks: No noise monitoring was conducted due to no planned noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) occupied within 300m from the works area of this Project during the dredging works.

Action and Limit Levels for Water Monitoring

Parameters	Action Level			Limit Level		
Turbidity in NTU	<u>All Season</u>			<u>All Season</u>		
	WSD9	5.67		WSD9	12.27	
	WSD10	6.26		WSD10	10.47	
	WSD15	8.15		WSD15	14.41	
	WSD17	11.60		WSD17	16.91	
	WSD21	9.11		WSD21	15.38	
	WSD19	13.09		WSD19	15.34	
Suspended Solids (SS) in mg/L	<u>Dry Season</u>		<u>Wet Season</u>	<u>Dry Season</u>		<u>Wet Season</u>
	WSD9	6.9	9.7	WSD9	7.8	10.9
	WSD10	7.7	9.1	WSD10	10.3	12.2
	WSD15	7.8	13.5	WSD15	8.4	14.5
	WSD17	9.5	11.2	WSD17	13.7	16.2
	WSD21	13.3	17.1	WSD21	13.9	17.8
	WSD19	16.3	15.1	WSD19	17.0	15.7

Remarks:
Wet season is the period from April to September.
Dry season is the period from October to March.

Revised Action and Limit Levels for Water Monitoring

Station	Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solid (mg/L)			
	Action Level for individual stations		Limit Level for individual stations		Action Level for individual stations		Limit Level for individual stations	
	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season
WSD9	5.6	7.0	10.6	13.4	10.2	12.8	10.8	13.5
WSD10	6.3	8.1	9.4	12.1	10.0	11.2	11.8	13.2
WSD15	7.5	11.9	12.5	19.6	10.8	17.5	11.8	19.1



Station	Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solid (mg/L)			
	Action Level for individual stations		Limit Level for individual stations		Action Level for individual stations		Limit Level for individual stations	
	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season
WSD17	10.0	12.9	15.3	19.7	13.2	14.7	15.3	17.0
WSD19	10.9	13.7	14.7	18.4	14.0	13.3	17.0	16.2
WSD21	8.9	11.6	13.4	17.6	13.3	16.7	14.0	17.5

Remarks:

Revised Action and Limit Levels for water monitoring was approved on 19 October 2011.



Appendix 4.2

Copies of Calibration Certificates



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MS EMILY KONG
CLIENT: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED
ADDRESS: 11/F., CENTRE POINT,
181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD,
WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1221110
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 10/08/2012
DATE OF ISSUE: 14/08/2012

PROJECT: --

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.
Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature
Description: YSI SONDE
Brand Name: YSI
Model No.: YSI Professional plus
Serial No.: 11H100476
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 13 August, 2012

NOTES


This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com


Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1221110
Date of Issue: 14/08/2012
Client: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED



Description: YSI SONDE
Brand Name: YSI
Model No.: YSI Professional plus
Serial No.: 11H100476
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 13 August, 2012 **Date of next Calibration:** 13 November, 2012

Parameters:

Dissolved Oxygen **Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G**

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.10	3.06	-0.04
5.65	5.64	-0.01
8.19	8.18	-0.01
Tolerance Limit (\pm mg/L)		0.20

pH Value **Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B**

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.02	0.02
7.0	7.02	0.02
10.0	9.86	-0.14
Tolerance Limit (\pm unit)		0.20

Salinity **Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B**

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.00	--
10	9.74	-2.6
20	18.89	-5.6
30	28.96	-3.5
Tolerance Limit (\pm %)		10.0

Temperature **Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.**

Expected Reading ($^{\circ}$ C)	Displayed Reading ($^{\circ}$ C)	Tolerance ($^{\circ}$ C)
9.5	9.8	0.3
20.5	21.2	0.7
39.5	38.3	-1.2
Tolerance Limit ($^{\circ}$ C)		2.0


 Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
 Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MS CHERRY MAK
CLIENT: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED
ADDRESS: 11/F., CENTRE POINT,
181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD,
WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1221113
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 10/08/2012
DATE OF ISSUE: 14/08/2012

PROJECT: --

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.
Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Turbidity
Description: Turbidimeter
Brand Name: HACH
Model No.: 2100Q
Serial No.: 11080C011937
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 13 August, 2012

NOTES


This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com


Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1221113
Date of Issue: 14/08/2012
Client: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED



Description: Turbidimeter
Brand Name: HACH
Model No.: 2100Q
Serial No.: 11080C011937
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 13 August, 2012 Date of next Calibration: 13 November, 2012

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.69	--
4	4.36	9.0
40	37.7	-5.7
80	75.7	-5.4
400	404	1.0
800	801	0.1
	Tolerance Limit ($\pm\%$)	10.0


Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



Appendix 5.1

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month and Coming Three Months

Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

Water Quality Monitoring Schedule

October 2012

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
30-Sep	1-Oct	2-Oct	3-Oct	4-Oct	5-Oct	6-Oct
		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 7:31 Mid-ebb: 13:26		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:19 Mid-flood: 8:50		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:57 Mid-flood: 10:26
7-Oct	8-Oct	9-Oct	10-Oct	11-Oct	12-Oct	13-Oct
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 5:02 Mid-flood: 17:49		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 7:54 Mid-flood: 15:39			Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 10:30 Mid-flood: 16:50
14-Oct	15-Oct	16-Oct	17-Oct	18-Oct	19-Oct	20-Oct
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 12:01 Mid-flood: 17:55		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 13:28 Mid-flood: 19:08			Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 3:17 Mid-flood: 10:30
21-Oct	22-Oct	23-Oct	24-Oct	25-Oct	26-Oct	27-Oct
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 5:32 Mid-flood: 13:11			Impact WQM Mid-flood: 15:52 Mid-ebb: 21:57		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 10:39 Mid-flood: 16:54
28-Oct	29-Oct	30-Oct	31-Oct	1-Nov	2-Nov	3-Nov
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 6:05 Mid-ebb: 11:55		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 12:58 Mid-flood: 18:34		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 18:39	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:48

Notes:

1. Water Quality Monitoring for 6 water quality monitoring stations: WSD9, WSD10, WSD15, WSD17, WSD21, WSD19
2. Actual monitoring will be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition.
3. Cut-off day is the end of day of each month.

Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

Tentative Water Quality Monitoring Schedule

November 2012

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
28-Oct	29-Oct	30-Oct	31-Oct	1-Nov	2-Nov	3-Nov
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 6:05 Mid-ebb: 11:55		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 12:58 Mid-flood: 18:34		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 18:39	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:48
4-Nov	5-Nov	6-Nov	7-Nov	8-Nov	9-Nov	10-Nov
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 20:04	Mid-ebb: 3:58		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 6:19 Mid-flood: 14:19		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 9:01 Mid-flood: 15:27
11-Nov	12-Nov	13-Nov	14-Nov	15-Nov	16-Nov	17-Nov
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 10:50 Mid-Flood 16:38		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 12:27 Mid-flood: 17:56			Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:13 Mid-flood: 9:26
18-Nov	19-Nov	20-Nov	21-Nov	22-Nov	23-Nov	24-Nov
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 4:00 Mid-flood: 11:24			Impact WQM Mid-flood: 14:16 Mid-ebb: 20:29		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 15:37 Mid-ebb: 22:17
25-Nov	26-Nov	27-Nov	28-Nov	29-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 16:37 Mid-ebb: 23:27		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 17:27	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 0:27	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 18:01	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:13

Notes:

1. Water Quality Monitoring for 6 water quality monitoring stations: WSD9, WSD10, WSD15, WSD17, WSD21, WSD19
2. Actual monitoring will be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition.
3. Cut-off day is the end of day of each month.

Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

Tentative Water Quality Monitoring Schedule

December 2012

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
25-Nov	26-Nov	27-Nov	28-Nov	29-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 16:37 Mid-ebb: 23:27		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 17:27	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 0:27	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 18:01	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:13
2-Dec	3-Dec	4-Dec	5-Dec	6-Dec	7-Dec	8-Dec
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:07 Mid-flood: 9:51		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 3:22 Mid-flood: 11:30		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 5:07 Mid-flood: 13:07	
9-Dec	10-Dec	11-Dec	12-Dec	13-Dec	14-Dec	15-Dec
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 9:30 Mid-flood: 15:16		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 16:45 Mid-ebb: 23:37			Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:14 Mid-flood: 8:27
16-Dec	17-Dec	18-Dec	19-Dec	20-Dec	21-Dec	22-Dec
		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 3:33 Mid-flood: 10:48		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 5:01 Mid-flood: 12:23		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 13:52 Mid-ebb: 21:12
23-Dec	24-Dec	25-Dec	26-Dec	27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 15:10 Mid-ebb: 22:39		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 16:18 Mid-ebb: 23:42		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 17:26	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 0:42
30-Dec	31-Dec	1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan
	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 1:43 Mid-flood: 8:42		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:42 Mid-flood: 9:55			Impact WQM Mid-flood: 12:06 Mid-ebb: 18:30

Notes:

1. Water Quality Monitoring for 6 water quality monitoring stations: WSD9, WSD10, WSD15, WSD17, WSD21, WSD19
2. Actual monitoring will be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition.
3. Cut-off day is the end of day of each month.

Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

Tentative Water Quality Monitoring Schedule

January 2013

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan
			Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 2:42 Mid-flood: 9:55			Impact WQM Mid-flood: 12:06 Mid-ebb: 18:30
6-Jan	7-Jan	8-Jan	9-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 13:41 Mid-ebb: 20:53		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 15:31 Mid-ebb: 22:41		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 17:20	Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 0:19
13-Jan	14-Jan	15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 8:48 Mid-ebb: 14:25		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 10:03 Mid-ebb: 15:55		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 11:22 Mid-ebb: 17:44	
20-Jan	21-Jan	22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan
	Impact WQM Mid-flood: 13:17 Mid-ebb: 21:40		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 10:35 Mid-ebb: 22:52		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 16:34 Mid-ebb: 23:54	
27-Jan	28-Jan	29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan	1-Feb	2-Feb
		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 8:06 Mid-ebb: 13:43		Impact WQM Mid-flood: 9:10 Mid-ebb: 15:02		Impact WQM Mid-ebb: 16:40 Mid-flood: 22:47

Notes:

1. Water Quality Monitoring for 6 water quality monitoring stations: WSD9, WSD10, WSD15, WSD17, WSD21, WSD19
2. Actual monitoring will be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition.
3. Cut-off day is the end of day of each month.



Appendix 5.2

Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD9 - Tai Wan
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	06:35	Fine	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.48	8.48	8.48	32.98	32.98	32.98	84.4	84.9	84.8	5.63	5.66	5.65	6.54	6.71	6.54	7	7.0
	06:36		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.48	8.48		32.98	32.98		85.1	84.8		5.66	5.65		6.44	6.46		7	
04/10/2012	07:22	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.15	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.84	32.84	32.85	72.2	72.5	72.5	4.78	4.80	4.80	5.33	5.19	5.23	6	6.0
	07:24		Middle	3	27.20	27.20		8.39	8.39		32.85	32.85		72.9	72.2		4.83	4.79		5.17	5.24		6	
06/10/2012	09:00	Fine	Middle	3	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.34	8.34	8.35	32.79	32.79	32.80	73.7	73.7	73.5	4.84	4.84	4.82	4.30	4.22	4.22	5	4.5
	09:02		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.35	8.35		32.80	32.80		73.1	73.3		4.80	4.81		4.17	4.20		4	
08/10/2012	16:00	Cloudy	Middle	3	27.80	27.80	27.80	8.52	8.52	8.54	33.16	33.16	33.17	82.6	81.6	82.1	5.51	5.44	5.48	4.52	4.66	4.63	4	4.5
	16:02		Middle	3	27.80	27.80		8.55	8.55		33.18	33.18		82.5	81.8		5.51	5.45		4.71	4.61		5	
10/10/2012	15:50	Fine	Middle	3	27.70	27.70	27.70	8.46	8.46	8.46	33.40	33.40	33.40	86.2	84.7	85.7	5.63	5.53	5.60	3.12	3.18	3.12	9	7.5
	15:52		Middle	3	27.70	27.70		8.46	8.46		33.40	33.40		86.2	85.6		5.63	5.59		3.08	3.11		6	
13/10/2012	15:12	Fine	Middle	3	26.90	26.90	26.95	8.55	8.55	8.56	33.25	33.25	33.26	86.8	86.6	86.4	5.74	5.73	5.72	5.42	5.52	5.41	8	8.5
	15:14		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.57	8.57		33.27	33.27		86.1	86.0		5.71	5.70		5.33	5.38		9	
15/10/2012	17:06	Fine	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.46	8.46	8.46	33.02	33.02	33.02	85.8	84.6	85.7	5.73	5.70	5.75	6.33	6.37	6.35	8	8.0
	17:07		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.46	8.46		33.02	33.02		85.9	86.5		5.75	5.81		6.32	6.36		8	
17/10/2012	18:19	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.45	8.45	8.45	33.34	33.34	33.34	86.6	86.0	86.1	5.81	5.75	5.76	6.61	6.54	6.47	7	7.5
	18:20		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.45	8.45		33.34	33.34		86.2	85.4		5.78	5.71		6.44	6.29		8	
20/10/2012	09:48	Fine	Middle	3	26.50	26.50	26.45	8.44	8.44	8.44	33.02	33.02	33.03	81.8	81.3	81.6	5.48	5.43	5.46	6.98	6.89	6.86	8	8.5
	09:50		Middle	3	26.40	26.40		8.44	8.44		33.03	33.03		81.1	82.0		5.44	5.50		6.74	6.81		9	
22/10/2012	12:10	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.20	8.38	8.38	8.38	32.94	32.94	32.97	77.4	78.4	78.4	5.11	5.17	5.17	4.41	4.33	4.40	10	10.0
	12:12		Middle	3	27.30	27.30		8.38	8.38		32.99	32.99		79.2	78.5		5.21	5.18		4.52	4.32		10	
25/10/2012	16:02	Fine	Middle	3	26.50	26.50	26.50	8.45	8.45	8.45	33.49	33.49	33.49	90.0	88.9	86.8	6.00	5.92	5.79	6.40	5.22	5.45	5	4.5
	16:04		Middle	3	26.50	26.50		8.45	8.45		33.49	33.49		85.0	83.4		5.67	5.56		5.03	5.16		4	
27/10/2012	15:20	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.44	8.44	8.44	33.13	33.13	33.13	86.3	86.9	86.6	5.81	5.86	5.83	4.29	4.43	4.56	3	3.5
	15:22		Middle	3	26.00	26.00		8.44	8.44		33.13	33.13		86.9	86.3		5.85	5.81		4.60	4.91		4	
29/10/2012	05:25	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.60	25.60	25.60	8.43	8.43	8.43	33.28	33.28	33.28	86.5	86.5	86.0	5.90	5.90	5.85	8.01	8.12	8.00	8	9.0
	05:26		Middle	3	25.60	25.60		8.43	8.43		33.28	33.28		85.0	86.0		5.76	5.83		8.06	7.79		10	
31/10/2012	17:38	Fine	Middle	3	24.60	24.60	24.60	8.41	8.41	8.41	32.41	32.41	32.41	87.1	87.1	88.3	6.04	6.04	6.12	7.01	7.04	6.74	6	7.0
	17:39		Middle	2.5	24.60	24.60		8.41	8.41		32.41	32.41		89.7	89.2		6.22	6.18		6.42	6.49		8	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD10 - Cha Kwo Ling
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m		Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average				
02/10/2012	06:02	Fine	Middle	3	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.52	8.52	8.52	32.92	32.92	32.93	87.1	88.7	88.5	5.77	5.87	5.86	7.23	7.32	7.33	7	7.5
	06:03		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.52	8.51		32.93	32.93		89.4	88.9		5.92	5.88		7.38	7.37		8	
04/10/2012	07:59	Fine	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.43	8.43	8.43	32.80	32.80	32.81	79.0	78.2	78.5	5.27	5.22	5.23	4.69	4.55	4.64	6	6.5
	08:01		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.43	8.43		32.81	32.81		78.2	78.5		5.21	5.23		4.67	4.64		7	
06/10/2012	09:36	Fine	Middle	3	27.20	27.20	27.25	8.40	8.40	8.40	32.66	32.66	32.67	81.8	81.7	81.7	5.41	5.40	5.40	4.14	4.34	4.26	4	5.5
	09:38		Middle	3	27.30	27.30		8.40	8.40		32.67	32.67		81.7	81.7		5.40	5.40		4.17	4.38		7	
08/10/2012	16:33	Cloudy	Middle	3	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.51	8.51	8.52	32.74	32.74	32.75	77.1	76.2	76.5	5.11	5.05	5.08	3.62	3.68	3.70	3	3.0
	16:35		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.52	8.52		32.76	32.76		76.7	76.0		5.09	5.05		3.75	3.74		3	
10/10/2012	16:30	Fine	Middle	3	27.70	27.70	27.70	8.45	8.45	8.46	33.34	33.34	33.36	85.3	84.5	84.8	5.57	5.52	5.54	3.37	3.28	3.28	6	5.5
	16:31		Middle	3	27.70	27.70		8.46	8.46		33.38	33.38		85.7	83.8		5.60	5.47		3.20	3.25		5	
13/10/2012	15:46	Fine	Middle	3	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.77	8.77	8.77	33.64	33.64	33.65	94.2	93.8	93.9	6.22	6.20	6.21	5.88	6.01	5.85	5	5.5
	15:48		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.77	8.77		33.65	33.65		93.5	94.1		6.19	6.22		5.63	5.86		6	
15/10/2012	16:30	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.20	8.49	8.50	8.50	33.68	33.68	33.68	95.9	95.8	95.9	6.30	6.30	6.30	3.34	3.38	3.25	5	5.5
	16:31		Middle	2	27.20	27.20		8.50	8.50		33.68	33.68		96.0	96.0		6.29	6.29		3.16	3.10		6	
17/10/2012	17:45	Cloudy	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.13	8.46	8.46	8.46	33.50	33.50	33.50	86.6	86.6	86.3	5.70	5.70	5.68	6.26	6.14	6.26	9	10.0
	17:46		Middle	3	27.10	27.20		8.46	8.46		33.50	33.50		85.9	85.9		5.66	5.66		6.38	6.25		11	
20/10/2012	11:15	Fine	Middle	3	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.42	8.42	8.42	32.46	32.46	32.45	80.8	80.2	80.6	5.53	5.48	5.51	4.04	4.12	4.06	15	15.5
	11:17		Middle	3	26.00	26.00		8.41	8.41		32.43	32.43		81.0	80.4		5.54	5.49		4.07	4.02		16	
22/10/2012	12:38	Fine	Middle	3	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.42	8.42	8.42	32.59	32.59	32.59	79.5	80.8	79.9	5.39	5.45	5.41	8.40	9.22	9.12	15	16.0
	12:39		Middle	3	27.00	27.00		8.42	8.42		32.58	32.58		79.7	79.4		5.40	5.38		9.87	8.98		17	
25/10/2012	15:00	Fine	Middle	3	26.80	26.80	26.80	8.50	8.50	8.50	32.76	32.76	32.77	84.7	86.0	85.4	5.61	5.69	5.66	3.70	3.73	3.72	8	7.0
	15:02		Middle	3	26.80	26.80		8.50	8.50		32.78	32.78		85.0	86.0		5.64	5.69		3.69	3.77		6	
27/10/2012	15:53	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.20	26.20	26.20	8.62	8.62	8.63	33.31	33.31	33.33	90.3	90.2	90.2	6.06	6.05	6.05	6.92	6.31	6.34	13	12.5
	15:55		Middle	3	26.20	26.20		8.64	8.64		33.34	33.34		90.4	90.0		6.06	6.03		6.01	6.12		12	
29/10/2012	04:48	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.90	25.90	25.90	8.55	8.55	8.55	33.46	33.46	33.46	91.8	92.0	92.0	6.20	6.20	6.21	4.28	4.78	4.54	4	4.5
	04:49		Middle	2	25.90	25.90		8.55	8.55		33.46	33.46		92.2	92.1		6.21	6.21		4.50	4.60		5	
31/10/2012	17:06	Fine	Middle	2	25.00	25.00	25.00	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.51	32.51	32.51	93.9	94.7	94.9	6.46	6.52	6.28	6.38	6.41	6.34	12	12.5
	17:07		Middle	2	25.00	25.00		8.44	8.44		32.51	32.51		96.0	95.1		6.59	6.55		6.15	6.40		13	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD15 - Sai Wan Ho
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	08:27	Fine	Middle	3	26.80	26.80	26.75	8.55	8.55	8.55	33.17	33.17	33.18	88.1	88.4	88.3	5.86	5.88	5.88	7.57	7.18	7.33	6	7.0
	08:28		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.54	8.54		33.18	33.18		88.5	88.3		5.89	5.87		6.96	7.60		8	
04/10/2012	08:35	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.10	8.49	8.49	8.49	33.43	33.43	33.42	81.5	81.9	81.7	5.37	5.41	5.40	9.67	9.57	9.46	15	15.5
	08:37		Middle	3	27.10	27.10		8.48	8.48		33.40	33.40		81.8	81.7		5.40	5.41		9.37	9.23		16	
06/10/2012	10:06	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.15	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.16	32.16	32.17	68.8	68.0	69.0	4.55	4.50	4.57	4.82	4.60	4.62	7	7.5
	10:08		Middle	2	27.10	27.10		8.39	8.39		32.18	32.18		69.0	70.0		4.57	4.65		4.56	4.49		8	
08/10/2012	16:58	Cloudy	Middle	3	27.20	27.20	27.20	8.50	8.50	8.49	33.22	33.22	33.24	72.7	72.5	72.1	4.79	4.78	4.75	3.27	3.49	3.35	3	3.0
	17:00		Middle	3	27.20	27.20		8.47	8.47		33.25	33.25		71.9	71.2		4.74	4.70		3.40	3.25		3	
10/10/2012	16:50	Fine	Middle	3	27.70	27.70	27.75	8.43	8.43	8.42	33.39	33.39	25.89	87.2	86.4	87.0	5.70	5.65	5.69	3.33	3.20	3.26	4	4.0
	16:51		Middle	3	27.80	27.80		8.40	8.40		33.39	33.39		87.5	86.9		5.72	5.68		3.26	3.25		4	
13/10/2012	16:23	Fine	Middle	3	26.90	26.90	26.85	8.72	8.72	8.71	33.73	33.73	33.74	91.6	91.2	91.3	6.06	6.02	6.03	3.60	3.66	3.63	13	13.5
	16:25		Middle	3	26.80	26.80		8.70	8.70		33.74	33.74		91.0	91.3		6.01	6.03		3.71	3.53		14	
15/10/2012	18:11	Fine	Middle	3	26.50	26.50	26.45	8.54	8.54	8.54	33.28	33.28	33.28	92.6	92.9	92.8	6.22	6.29	6.26	7.33	7.72	7.33	12	12.0
	18:12		Middle	3	26.40	26.40		8.54	8.54		33.28	33.28		93.0	92.7		6.24	6.28		7.09	7.16		12	
17/10/2012	19:41	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.60	26.60	26.60	8.55	8.55	8.55	31.77	31.77	31.78	88.1	89.8	89.7	5.96	5.98	6.00	9.68	9.58	9.79	11	11.5
	19:42		Middle	3	26.60	26.60		8.55	8.55		31.79	31.79		91.7	89.3		6.12	5.95		10.20	9.68		12	
20/10/2012	10:27	Fine	Middle	3	25.40	25.40	25.30	8.45	8.45	8.44	32.17	32.17	32.17	80.1	79.4	79.5	5.47	5.41	5.42	4.34	4.17	4.15	6	6.5
	10:30		Middle	3	25.20	25.20		8.42	8.42		32.17	32.17		78.9	79.4		5.38	5.41		3.97	4.13		7	
22/10/2012	12:58	Fine	Middle	3	26.80	26.80	26.80	8.47	8.47	8.47	33.67	33.67	33.68	80.5	81.3	80.8	5.32	5.38	5.35	5.65	5.63	5.58	17	17.0
	13:00		Middle	3	26.80	26.80		8.47	8.47		33.68	33.68		80.5	80.8		5.33	5.35		5.69	5.35		17	
25/10/2012	15:27	Fine	Middle	3	26.30	26.30	26.30	8.64	8.64	8.64	33.73	33.73	33.74	80.1	80.4	80.8	5.35	5.36	5.40	3.20	3.27	3.22	9	8.5
	15:29		Middle	3	26.30	26.30		8.64	8.64		33.74	33.74		80.2	82.6		5.36	5.53		3.17	3.23		8	
27/10/2012	16:18	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.59	8.59	8.59	33.16	33.16	33.17	87.4	85.8	86.6	5.87	5.76	5.82	6.84	6.82	6.65	<2	<2
	16:20		Middle	3	26.00	26.00		8.59	8.59		33.18	33.18		84.9	88.4		5.70	5.93		6.63	6.29		<2	
29/10/2012	07:10	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.50	25.50	25.50	8.54	8.54	8.54	33.47	33.47	33.47	95.8	96.5	95.8	6.49	6.54	6.49	9.15	9.33	9.39	11	11.5
	07:11		Middle	3	25.50	25.50		8.54	8.54		33.47	33.47		95.7	95.3		6.47	6.45		9.69	9.37		12	
31/10/2012	19:05	Fine	Middle	3	24.40	24.40	24.40	8.57	8.57	8.57	32.54	32.54	32.54	95.1	94.9	95.0	6.81	6.65	6.68	6.47	6.17	6.31	12	12.5
	19:06		Middle	3	24.40	24.40		8.57	8.57		32.54	32.54		95.2	94.7		6.64	6.61		6.29	6.30		13	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD17 - Quarry Bay
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	08:04	Fine	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.55	8.55	8.55	33.08	33.08	33.08	90.4	90.7	90.6	6.02	6.04	6.03	6.89	6.81	6.80	8	7.5
	08:05		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.55	8.55		33.08	33.08		90.6	90.7		6.03	6.04		6.57	6.91		7	
04/10/2012	09:02	Fine	Middle	3	27.40	27.40	27.45	8.36	8.36	8.37	33.45	33.45	33.46	75.7	75.9	75.8	4.97	4.98	4.98	17.70	17.90	17.60	15	15.5
	09:04		Middle	3	27.50	27.50		8.38	8.38		33.47	33.47		75.7	75.8		4.97	4.98		17.60	17.20		16	
06/10/2012	10:30	Fine	Middle	4	27.30	27.30	27.30	8.36	8.36	8.36	32.72	32.72	32.73	69.8	69.1	69.4	4.60	4.55	4.57	5.79	5.83	5.81	8	8.5
	10:32		Middle	4	27.30	27.30		8.36	8.36		32.73	32.73		69.6	69.2		4.58	4.56		5.89	5.72		9	
08/10/2012	17:36	Cloudy	Middle	3	27.30	27.30	27.35	8.56	8.56	8.57	33.46	33.46	33.48	80.8	80.9	81.0	5.31	5.32	5.32	9.96	9.89	9.97	10	10.0
	17:38		Middle	3	27.40	27.40		8.57	8.57		33.49	33.49		81.3	81.0		5.34	5.32		9.93	10.10		10	
10/10/2012	14:00	Fine	Middle	4	27.70	27.70	27.70	8.49	8.49	8.49	33.22	33.22	33.22	81.6	80.6	81.0	5.34	5.27	5.29	4.28	4.50	4.39	6	6.5
	14:02		Middle	4	27.70	27.70		8.49	8.49		33.22	33.22		80.7	81.0		5.27	5.29		4.42	4.37		7	
13/10/2012	16:58	Fine	Middle	4	27.10	27.10	27.10	8.54	8.54	8.55	33.64	33.64	33.65	86.5	86.7	86.4	5.70	5.71	5.69	3.61	3.80	3.69	13	14.0
	17:00		Middle	4	27.10	27.10		8.55	8.55		33.65	33.65		86.3	86.0		5.69	5.67		3.70	3.63		15	
15/10/2012	17:48	Fine	Middle	3	26.40	26.40	26.35	8.55	8.55	8.55	31.99	31.99	32.00	94.4	94.1	94.4	6.31	6.30	5.64	8.44	8.21	8.35	12	13.0
	17:49		Middle	3	26.30	26.30		8.55	8.55		31.99	32.02		94.4	94.6		6.30	6.33		8.28	8.46		14	
17/10/2012	19:10	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.60	26.60	26.60	8.52	8.52	8.52	32.69	32.69	32.69	89.3	89.3	90.6	5.93	5.93	6.01	6.81	6.98	7.03	11	11.0
	19:11		Middle	3	26.60	26.60		8.52	8.52		32.69	32.69		92.3	91.3		6.13	6.06		7.05	7.28		11	
20/10/2012	10:57	Fine	Middle	4	26.30	26.30	26.30	8.51	8.51	8.51	33.16	33.16	33.15	87.1	86.9	87.5	5.84	5.81	5.86	11.90	11.00	11.30	17	16.5
	10:59		Middle	4	26.30	26.30		8.50	8.50		33.14	33.14		88.6	87.3		5.93	5.84		11.40	10.90		16	
22/10/2012	13:17	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.15	8.39	8.39	8.39	33.43	33.43	33.43	73.0	72.4	73.0	4.80	4.77	4.80	7.53	7.68	7.64	9	9.5
	13:19		Middle	3	27.20	27.20		8.39	8.39		33.43	33.43		73.9	72.6		4.86	4.78		7.71	7.63		10	
25/10/2012	14:30	Fine	Middle	3	26.60	26.60	26.55	8.48	8.48	8.48	33.70	33.70	33.71	80.0	74.3	77.0	5.34	4.92	5.15	9.33	9.47	9.44	10	10.0
	14:32		Middle	3	26.50	26.50		8.48	8.48		33.71	33.71		76.5	77.3		5.14	5.20		9.44	9.52		10	
27/10/2012	16:48	Cloudy	Middle	4	26.20	26.20	26.20	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.99	32.99	32.99	79.7	79.3	79.2	5.35	5.32	5.31	6.48	6.80	6.68	11	11.5
	16:50		Middle	4	26.20	26.20		8.44	8.44		32.98	32.98		78.9	78.9		5.29	5.29		6.90	6.55		12	
29/10/2012	06:38	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.30	25.30	25.30	8.53	8.53	8.53	33.56	33.56	33.56	92.9	93.8	93.4	6.31	6.54	6.39	8.79	8.38	8.53	9	8.0
	06:39		Middle	3	25.30	25.30		8.53	8.53		33.56	33.56		93.9	92.8		6.40	6.29		8.53	8.42		7	
31/10/2012	18:30	Fine	Middle	3	24.00	24.00	24.00	8.45	8.45	8.45	32.50	32.50	32.50	89.3	89.9	89.6	6.24	6.28	6.26	12.20	12.40	12.35	18	18.0
	18:31		Middle	3	24.00	24.00		8.45	8.45		32.50	32.50		89.1	90.2		6.22	6.30		12.50	12.30		18	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD21 - Wan Chai
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	07:03	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.15	7.52	7.52	7.52	32.21	32.21	32.25	53.0	52.9	52.8	3.52	3.51	3.50	2.67	2.64	2.63	4	4.0
	07:06		Middle	2	27.10	27.10		7.52	7.52		32.29	32.29		52.7	52.4		3.50	3.47		2.61	2.59		4	
04/10/2012	09:24	Fine	Middle	2	27.70	27.70	27.65	7.45	7.45	7.45	32.08	32.08	32.08	51.5	52.1	52.1	3.40	3.45	3.45	3.47	3.36	3.31	6	6.5
	09:26		Middle	2	27.60	27.60		7.45	7.45		32.08	32.08		51.3	53.5		3.39	3.54		3.34	3.07		7	
06/10/2012	11:00	Fine	Middle	2	27.40	27.40	27.40	7.42	7.42	7.42	32.12	32.11	32.12	54.6	54.7	54.2	3.63	3.63	3.60	4.90	4.95	4.74	10	9.5
	11:01		Middle	2	27.40	27.40		7.42	7.42		32.12	32.12		53.5	54.0		3.54	3.58		4.82	4.30		9	
08/10/2012	18:01	Cloudy	Middle	2	27.40	27.40	27.40	7.45	7.45	7.46	32.13	32.13	32.14	60.0	60.8	60.7	3.98	3.99	4.02	3.45	3.47	3.43	5	5.0
	18:02		Middle	2	27.40	27.40		7.47	7.47		32.15	32.15		60.6	61.2		4.02	4.09		3.41	3.39		5	
10/10/2012	14:58	Fine	Middle	2	27.90	27.90	27.80	7.42	7.42	7.42	32.18	32.18	32.19	51.4	51.2	51.7	3.37	3.36	3.39	3.71	3.69	3.66	6	5.5
	15:00		Middle	2	27.70	27.70		7.42	7.42		32.19	32.19		51.8	52.2		3.40	3.42		3.66	3.59		5	
13/10/2012	15:53	Fine	Middle	1	27.30	27.30	27.30	7.57	7.57	7.57	32.47	32.47	32.47	54.9	54.0	54.1	3.64	3.56	3.58	2.79	2.83	2.81	4	4.0
	15:55		Middle	1	27.30	27.30		7.57	7.57		32.47	32.47		54.0	53.4		3.58	3.54		2.68	2.92		4	
15/10/2012	17:20	Fine	Middle	2	27.70	27.70	27.60	7.55	7.55	7.56	32.46	32.46	32.46	62.3	62.1	62.0	4.11	4.10	4.10	3.33	3.31	3.31	6	7.0
	17:23		Middle	2	27.50	27.50		7.57	7.57		32.46	32.46		61.9	61.7		4.09	4.08		3.30	3.28		8	
17/10/2012	18:33	Cloudy	Middle	2	27.10	27.10	27.05	7.49	7.49	7.50	32.24	32.24	32.25	60.0	59.7	59.6	3.99	3.99	3.97	3.95	3.92	3.92	8	7.5
	18:35		Middle	2	27.00	27.00		7.50	7.50		32.25	32.25		59.4	59.1		3.95	3.95		3.91	3.89		7	
20/10/2012	10:52	Fine	Middle	2	26.80	26.80	26.80	7.51	7.51	7.51	32.32	32.32	32.32	60.1	61.0	61.1	4.03	4.08	4.10	5.47	5.67	5.62	6	6.0
	10:54		Middle	2	26.80	26.80		7.51	7.51		32.32	32.32		61.4	61.8		4.12	4.15		5.61	5.74		6	
22/10/2012	11:12	Fine	Middle	2	28.10	28.10	28.10	7.43	7.43	7.43	32.15	32.15	32.15	51.9	51.2	51.4	3.41	3.37	3.38	2.92	3.21	3.07	4	3.5
	11:14		Middle	2	28.10	28.10		7.43	7.43		32.15	32.15		51.4	51.2		3.37	3.36		3.21	2.93		3	
25/10/2012	15:47	Fine	Middle	2	26.90	26.90	26.80	7.56	7.56	7.56	32.48	32.48	32.49	62.8	62.5	62.7	4.19	4.17	4.18	4.86	4.76	4.79	5	5.5
	15:49		Middle	2	26.70	26.70		7.56	7.56		32.50	32.50		63.0	62.4		4.20	4.16		4.80	4.74		6	
27/10/2012	16:25	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.50	26.50	26.50	7.60	7.60	7.60	32.59	32.59	32.59	69.3	68.9	68.5	4.66	4.62	4.60	6.74	7.05	6.87	8	7.0
	16:27		Middle	2	26.50	26.50		7.60	7.60		32.59	32.59		67.9	67.8		4.57	4.54		6.98	6.70		6	
29/10/2012	05:42	Cloudy	Middle	1	25.90	25.90	25.80	7.52	7.52	7.53	32.63	32.63	32.63	60.4	60.2	60.2	4.10	4.09	4.09	2.06	2.03	2.02	6	7.0
	05:43		Middle	1	25.70	25.70		7.53	7.53		32.63	32.63		60.1	60.0		4.09	4.08		2.00	1.98		8	
31/10/2012	18:03	Fine	Middle	3	25.20	25.20	25.15	7.72	7.72	7.71	32.67	32.67	32.68	63.4	63.2	63.1	4.25	4.24	4.24	4.63	4.60	4.60	10	9.5
	18:04		Middle	2.5	25.10	25.10		7.70	7.70		32.69	32.69		63.0	62.9		4.23	4.23		4.59	4.58		9	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD19 - Sheung Wan
Mid-Flood Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	07:30	Fine	Middle	2	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.40	8.40	8.40	32.65	32.65	32.65	80.7	81.1	80.6	5.38	5.42	5.38	7.11	6.61	6.74	7	7.0
	07:31		Middle	2	26.70	26.70		8.40	8.40		32.65	32.65		80.3	80.3		5.36	5.36		6.60	6.63		7	
04/10/2012	10:11	Fine	Middle	3	27.50	27.50	27.60	8.37	8.37	8.38	32.52	32.52	32.54	70.1	70.4	70.4	4.63	4.65	4.65	6.48	6.41	6.49	8	8.0
	10:13		Middle	3	27.70	27.70		8.38	8.38		32.56	32.56		70.6	70.3		4.67	4.64		6.57	6.51		8	
06/10/2012	12:02	Fine	Middle	3	27.50	27.50	27.55	8.34	8.34	8.35	32.60	32.60	32.61	73.0	73.1	73.1	4.80	4.80	4.81	6.49	6.56	6.60	13	13.5
	12:04		Middle	3	27.60	27.60		8.36	8.36		32.61	32.61		73.2	73.2		4.81	4.81		6.66	6.67		14	
08/10/2012	18:22	Cloudy	Middle	2	27.40	27.40	27.40	8.40	8.40	8.40	33.15	33.15	32.65	82.4	81.4	83.5	5.41	5.35	5.39	4.88	4.70	4.73	6	5.0
	18:24		Middle	2	27.40	27.40		8.40	8.40		32.15	32.15		88.8	81.5		5.44	5.35		4.72	4.63		4	
10/10/2012	14:30	Fine	Middle	2	28.00	28.00	28.00	8.37	8.37	8.37	33.11	33.11	33.11	82.9	83.2	83.3	5.40	5.41	5.42	4.70	4.45	4.59	6	6.5
	14:32		Middle	2	28.00	28.00		8.37	8.37		33.11	33.11		84.4	82.6		5.49	5.37		4.57	4.62		7	
13/10/2012	18:11	Fine	Middle	3	27.80	27.80	27.80	8.46	8.45	8.46	33.37	33.37	33.38	74.5	74.7	74.9	4.87	4.88	4.89	5.30	5.13	5.18	6	6.5
	18:13		Middle	3	27.80	27.80		8.46	8.46		33.39	33.39		75.1	75.3		4.90	4.91		5.20	5.10		7	
15/10/2012	18:46	Fine	Middle	2	26.40	26.30	26.33	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.74	32.74	32.74	85.7	85.9	85.6	5.91	5.90	5.91	4.75	4.53	4.61	10	10.0
	18:47		Middle	2	26.30	26.30		8.39	8.39		32.74	32.74		85.9	85.0		5.91	5.91		4.41	4.74		10	
17/10/2012	20:13	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.20	26.20	26.20	8.34	8.34	8.34	32.61	32.61	32.61	79.8	79.9	79.9	5.51	5.51	5.48	12.00	12.10	12.20	12	12.5
	20:14		Middle	2	26.20	26.20		8.34	8.34		32.61	32.61		80.2	79.7		5.50	5.38		12.30	12.40		13	
20/10/2012	10:12	Fine	Middle	2	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.37	8.37	8.37	32.52	32.52	32.56	77.4	77.1	77.3	5.23	5.21	5.22	10.80	10.90	10.60	12	12.0
	10:14		Middle	2	26.00	26.00		8.37	8.37		32.60	32.60		78.1	76.6		5.27	5.17		10.30	10.40		12	
22/10/2012	13:40	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.20	8.38	8.38	8.38	32.50	32.50	32.50	73.3	71.9	72.6	4.86	4.76	4.81	10.20	10.90	10.68	21	20.5
	13:42		Middle	2	27.20	27.20		8.37	8.37		32.49	32.49		72.8	72.4		4.82	4.79		10.90	10.70		20	
25/10/2012	17:02	Fine	Middle	3	26.60	26.60	26.60	8.38	8.38	6.38	32.64	32.64	32.65	79.0	80.5	79.8	5.27	5.35	5.32	7.82	7.90	7.77	7	7.5
	17:04		Middle	3	26.60	26.60		8.37	0.37		32.65	32.65		79.5	80.3		5.31	5.35		7.82	7.53		8	
27/10/2012	17:44	Cloudy	Middle	4	25.90	25.90	25.85	8.38	8.38	8.39	32.60	32.69	32.67	87.0	86.2	86.6	5.88	5.82	5.86	7.98	7.90	7.86	6	6.5
	17:46		Middle	4	25.80	25.80		8.39	8.39		32.70	32.70		85.1	87.9		5.75	5.97		8.12	7.45		7	
29/10/2012	06:00	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.50	25.50	25.50	8.38	8.38	8.38	32.97	32.97	32.97	88.7	89.9	89.3	6.20	6.26	6.20	7.24	7.65	7.29	8	7.0
	06:01		Middle	2	25.50	25.50		8.38	8.38		32.97	32.97		89.6	88.9		6.15	6.20		7.19	7.08		6	
31/10/2012	19:35	Fine	Middle	2	24.00	24.00	24.00	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.10	32.10	32.10	85.6	84.1	84.2	6.11	6.32	6.11	6.68	6.59	6.71	10	10.0
	19:36		Middle	2	24	24		8.39	8.39		32.10	32.10		83.2	83.8		6.11	5.91		6.94	6.62		10	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD9 - Tai Wan
Mid-Ebb Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	13:27	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.20	8.50	8.50	8.50	33.39	33.39	33.39	84.6	86.1	84.9	5.58	5.67	5.59	5.52	5.57	5.44	8	7.5
	13:28		Middle	2	27.20	27.20		8.50	8.50		33.39	33.39		84.7	84.0		5.59	5.53		5.46	5.22		7	
04/10/2012	01:27	Fine	Middle	2	27.00	27.00	27.00	8.45	8.45	8.45	32.66	32.66	32.66	80.6	80.6	80.7	5.35	5.35	5.36	4.67	4.25	4.56	6	5.5
	01:28		Middle	2	27.00	27.00		8.45	8.45		32.66	32.66		81.0	80.7		5.36	5.36		4.56	4.77		5	
06/10/2012	02:20	Fine	Middle	2	26.60	26.60	26.60	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.75	32.75	32.75	86.0	86.0	86.2	5.74	5.74	5.75	5.00	5.20	4.97	9	9.0
	02:21		Middle	2	26.60	26.60		8.44	8.44		32.75	32.75		85.9	86.8		5.73	5.80		4.90	4.77		9	
08/10/2012	04:07	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.40	26.40	26.40	8.40	8.40	8.40	32.99	32.99	32.99	87.7	87.7	87.7	6.05	6.05	6.10	3.56	3.58	3.46	2	2.0
	04:08		Middle	2	26.40	26.40		8.40	8.40		32.99	32.99		87.9	87.4		6.16	6.14		3.30	3.38		2	
10/10/2012	06:05	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.50	26.50	26.50	8.49	8.49	8.49	33.02	33.02	33.02	88.6	88.7	88.3	5.94	5.92	5.91	3.12	3.11	3.16	4	4.0
	06:07		Middle	2	26.50	26.50		8.49	8.49		33.02	33.02		88.1	87.7		5.89	5.87		3.20	3.19		4	
13/10/2012	09:02	Fine	Middle	2	26.70	26.70	26.65	8.53	8.53	8.54	33.24	33.24	33.24	84.4	86.8	85.6	5.61	5.77	5.69	4.76	4.95	4.73	4	4.5
	09:04		Middle	2	26.70	26.50		8.54	8.54		33.24	33.24		85.4	85.7		5.68	5.70		4.74	4.46		5	
15/10/2012	10:28	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.20	8.54	8.54	8.56	33.66	33.66	33.67	81.9	82.3	82.4	5.47	5.49	5.50	4.47	4.20	4.34	6	6.5
	10:30		Middle	3	27.30	27.30		8.58	8.58		33.67	33.67		82.5	82.8		5.50	5.52		4.33	4.36		7	
17/10/2012	12:24	Fine	Middle	3	27.90	27.90	27.90	8.43	8.43	8.43	32.52	32.52	32.51	83.3	82.0	82.8	5.49	5.39	5.45	3.46	3.61	3.50	5	4.5
	12:26		Middle	3	27.90	27.90		8.42	8.42		32.50	32.50		83.5	82.3		5.48	5.42		3.41	3.50		4	
20/10/2012	02:15	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.80	25.80	25.80	8.52	8.52	8.52	33.36	33.36	33.36	88.2	88.2	88.6	5.95	5.95	5.98	6.87	6.79	6.68	5	5.0
	02:17		Middle	2	25.80	25.80		8.52	8.52		33.36	33.36		88.9	89.1		6.00	6.01		6.42	6.62		5	
22/10/2012	04:38	Fine	Middle	2	25.70	25.70	25.70	8.40	8.40	8.40	33.02	33.02	33.02	84.5	84.4	83.7	5.71	5.70	5.66	3.18	3.59	3.12	11	10.0
	04:39		Middle	2	25.70	25.70		8.40	8.40		33.02	33.02		83.3	82.6		5.63	5.58		2.93	2.78		9	
25/10/2012	21:13	Smoky	Middle	2	25.70	25.70	25.70	8.50	8.50	8.50	33.32	33.32	33.32	90.4	90.1	89.6	6.13	6.13	6.09	4.93	4.85	4.89	6	5.5
	21:14		Middle	2	25.70	25.70		8.50	8.50		33.32	33.32		89.9	88.1		6.12	5.97		4.89	4.87		5	
27/10/2012	08:42	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.00	26.00	26.05	8.44	8.44	8.44	33.19	33.19	33.21	86.3	85.4	86.5	5.80	5.74	5.81	4.58	4.44	4.48	<2	<2
	08:44		Middle	3	26.10	26.10		8.44	8.44		33.22	33.22		88.1	86.0		5.92	5.78		4.47	4.44		4.48	
29/10/2012	10:28	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.30	25.30	25.30	8.51	8.51	8.51	33.46	33.46	33.46	95.4	95.5	95.0	6.48	6.49	6.46	5.42	5.39	5.43	7	7.0
	10:30		Middle	3	25.30	25.30		8.51	8.51		33.46	33.46		94.5	94.6		6.43	6.43		5.45	5.47		7	
31/10/2012	11:20	Cloudy	Middle	3	24.00	24.00	23.95	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.43	32.43	32.44	92.9	93.2	92.6	6.50	6.52	6.47	6.43	6.47	6.42	9	9.5
	11:22		Middle	3	23.90	23.90		8.43	8.43		32.44	32.44		92.3	91.9		6.44	6.43		6.20	6.57		6.42	



Water Monitoring Result at WSD10 - Cha Kwo Ling
Mid-Ebb Tide

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature		pH			Salinity			DO Saturation		DO		Turbidity		Suspended Solids					
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average					
02/10/2012	13:58	Fine	Middle	2	27.30	27.30	27.30	8.53	8.53	8.53	33.52	33.52	33.52	89.2	89.8	89.6	5.87	5.91	5.90	8.49	9.05	8.64	12	12.0
	13:59		Middle	2	27.30	27.30		8.53	8.53		33.52	33.52		89.7	89.8		5.91	5.91		8.36	8.67		12	
04/10/2012	01:05	Fine	Middle	3	26.90	26.90	26.90	8.50	8.50	8.50	33.03	33.03	33.03	89.4	89.5	89.5	5.92	5.93	5.93	5.76	5.50	5.79	10	9.5
	01:06		Middle	3	26.90	26.90		8.50	8.50		33.03	33.03		89.6	89.3		5.94	5.92		5.96	5.94		9	
06/10/2012	01:48	Fine	Middle	3	27.10	27.10	27.10	8.42	8.42	8.42	33.46	33.46	33.46	86.4	86.7	86.2	5.70	5.75	5.71	6.15	6.28	6.33	6	5.5
	01:49		Middle	3	27.10	27.10		8.41	8.41		33.46	33.46		86.4	85.3		5.70	5.67		6.53	6.37		5	
08/10/2012	03:30	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.40	8.40	8.40	32.81	32.81	32.81	93.7	94.0	93.8	6.48	6.74	6.59	4.84	4.79	4.85	3	3.5
	03:31		Middle	2	26.70	26.70		8.39	8.40		32.81	32.81		93.9	93.4		6.73	6.42		4.81	4.95		4	
10/10/2012	05:40	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.80	26.80	26.80	8.56	8.56	8.56	33.77	33.77	33.77	90.5	92.6	91.5	6.00	6.13	6.06	6.32	6.28	6.32	6	6.5
	05:41		Middle	2	26.80	26.80		8.56	8.56		33.77	33.77		92.3	90.6		6.11	6.00		6.32	6.34		7	
13/10/2012	09:29	Fine	Middle	2	27.20	27.20	27.25	8.59	8.59	8.60	33.76	33.76	33.77	87.4	88.9	88.4	5.74	5.84	5.81	5.24	5.29	5.36	7	6.0
	09:31		Middle	2	27.30	27.30		8.60	8.60		33.77	33.77		89.2	88.2		5.86	5.79		5.31	5.58		5	
15/10/2012	10:59	Fine	Middle	3	27.30	27.30	27.30	8.59	8.59	8.60	31.46	31.46	31.47	82.2	80.9	82.4	5.45	5.37	5.45	5.69	5.80	5.85	6	6.5
	11:01		Middle	3	27.30	27.30		8.60	8.60		31.47	31.47		83.0	83.6		5.46	5.50		5.90	6.02		7	
17/10/2012	12:50	Fine	Middle	3	27.40	27.40	27.45	8.47	8.47	8.47	33.40	33.40	33.40	84.3	84.0	84.4	5.53	5.51	5.54	6.15	6.23	6.07	8	7.0
	12:52		Middle	3	27.50	27.50		8.46	8.46		33.40	33.40		84.7	84.5		5.59	5.53		6.00	5.90		6	
20/10/2012	01:51	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.10	26.10	26.10	8.51	8.51	8.51	33.34	33.34	33.34	93.7	94.3	93.8	6.43	6.49	6.38	4.98	4.93	4.99	7	6.5
	01:53		Middle	2	26.10	26.10		8.51	8.51		33.34	33.34		93.6	93.4		6.29	6.29		5.02	5.03		6	
22/10/2012	04:12	Fine	Middle	2	25.80	25.80	25.80	8.47	8.47	8.47	33.26	33.26	33.26	86.5	86.3	86.1	5.83	5.81	5.80	4.44	4.24	4.27	6	6.0
	04:13		Middle	2	25.80	25.80		8.47	8.47		33.26	33.26		85.7	85.7		5.78	5.78		4.26	4.12		6	
25/10/2012	20:38	Smoky	Middle	2	26.50	26.50	26.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	33.15	33.15	33.15	89.9	91.4	90.3	6.08	6.12	6.08	5.16	5.21	5.17	4	4.5
	20:39		Middle	2	26.50	26.50		8.50	8.50		33.15	33.15		90.2	89.5		6.07	6.03		5.23	5.09		5	
27/10/2012	09:22	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.10	26.10	26.15	8.54	8.54	8.54	33.55	33.55	33.55	85.3	84.6	85.5	5.71	5.66	5.73	5.18	5.32	5.32	7	6.0
	09:24		Middle	3	26.20	26.20		8.53	8.53		33.54	33.54		86.6	85.6		5.80	5.73		5.50	5.29		5	
29/10/2012	10:53	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.80	25.80	25.80	8.51	8.51	8.51	33.67	33.67	33.67	84.4	86.8	86.0	5.69	5.85	5.79	4.90	4.93	4.91	8	7.0
	10:55		Middle	3	25.80	25.80		8.51	8.51		33.67	33.67		86.3	86.3		5.81	5.82		4.88	4.91		6	
31/10/2012	11:54	Cloudy	Middle	3	24.40	24.40	24.35	8.52	8.52	8.53	32.48	32.48	32.48	93.9	94.6	94.2	6.52	6.58	6.55	5.72	6.25	6.06	12	11.0
	11:56		Middle	3	24.30	24.30		8.53	8.53		32.48	32.48		94.1	94.1		6.54	6.54		6.26	6.00		10	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD15 - Sai Wan Ho
Mid-Ebb Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	12:37	Fine	Middle	3	27.50	27.50	27.50	8.57	8.57	8.57	33.47	33.47	33.47	89.9	90.3	90.1	5.91	5.93	5.92	8.14	8.34	8.25	10	11.0
	12:38		Middle	3	27.50	27.50		8.57	8.57		33.47	33.47		90.3	90.0		5.92	5.91		8.37	8.13		12	
04/10/2012	03:10	Fine	Middle	3	26.90	26.90	26.90	8.42	8.42	8.43	32.77	32.78	32.78	80.0	80.1	80.1	5.32	5.32	5.32	4.47	4.58	4.51	8	7.0
	03:11		Middle	3	26.90	26.90		8.43	8.43		32.78	32.78		80.1	80.0		5.32	5.32		4.53	4.46		6	
06/10/2012	03:42	Fine	Middle	3	26.10	26.10	26.10	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.88	32.88	32.88	85.9	86.3	85.8	5.78	6.01	5.83	5.14	5.47	5.14	4	4.5
	03:43		Middle	3	26.10	26.10		8.44	8.44		32.88	32.88		86.0	85.0		5.79	5.72		5.10	4.84		5	
08/10/2012	05:47	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.45	8.45	8.45	32.93	32.94	32.93	82.1	84.0	83.6	5.48	5.60	5.58	4.18	4.27	4.16	3	2.5
	05:48		Middle	3	26.70	26.70		8.45	8.45		32.93	32.93		84.3	83.9		5.62	5.60		4.02	4.16		2	
10/10/2012	08:32	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.30	26.30	26.30	8.50	8.50	8.50	32.24	32.24	32.24	90.9	91.0	90.9	6.12	6.13	6.12	3.58	3.61	3.55	7	6.5
	08:33		Middle	3	26.30	26.30		8.50	8.50		32.24	32.24		91.0	90.5		6.13	6.10		3.54	3.45		6	
13/10/2012	10:12	Fine	Middle	2	26.90	26.90	26.95	8.62	8.62	8.63	33.60	33.60	33.61	90.2	89.9	90.4	5.92	5.90	5.93	6.38	6.61	6.43	8	7.5
	10:14		Middle	2	27.00	27.00		8.63	8.63		33.62	33.62		90.8	90.6		5.96	5.94		6.49	6.24		7	
15/10/2012	11:33	Fine	Middle	2	27.30	27.30	27.30	8.66	0.66	6.66	33.63	33.63	33.64	86.8	88.1	87.5	5.70	5.79	5.75	6.80	6.71	6.78	9	9.0
	11:35		Middle	2	27.30	27.30		8.65	8.65		33.64	33.64		87.6	87.4		5.76	5.74		6.86	6.73		9	
17/10/2012	13:13	Fine	Middle	3	27.40	27.40	27.40	8.46	8.46	8.46	33.64	33.64	33.62	83.8	81.8	83.4	5.50	5.36	5.47	6.48	6.54	6.47	8	7.5
	13:15		Middle	3	27.40	27.40		8.46	8.46		33.60	33.60		84.2	83.8		5.52	5.49		6.46	6.40		7	
20/10/2012	02:47	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.90	25.90	25.90	8.52	8.52	8.52	33.28	33.28	33.28	83.4	84.8	84.4	5.15	5.86	5.76	5.71	5.70	5.69	6	5.5
	02:49		Middle	3	25.90	25.90		8.52	8.52		33.28	33.28		85.0	84.4		6.22	5.81		5.79	5.54		5	
22/10/2012	06:35	Fine	Middle	3	26.20	26.20	26.20	8.41	8.41	8.41	32.86	32.86	32.86	80.4	79.7	79.3	5.40	5.35	5.33	9.88	9.89	9.77	8	9.0
	06:36		Middle	3	26.20	26.20		8.41	8.41		32.86	32.86		78.6	78.5		5.28	5.28		9.43	9.89		10	
25/10/2012	22:40	Smoky	Middle	3	25.40	25.40	25.40	8.50	8.50	8.50	33.31	33.31	33.31	88.4	88.7	88.6	6.01	6.03	6.03	4.66	4.65	4.41	6	6.0
	22:41		Middle	3	25.40	25.40		8.50	8.50		33.31	33.31		88.7	88.7		6.03	6.03		4.23	4.08		6	
27/10/2012	09:57	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.55	8.55	8.55	33.61	33.61	33.63	89.5	87.6	88.7	5.94	5.88	5.93	4.88	4.93	4.78	6	5.0
	09:59		Middle	3	26.00	26.00		8.55	8.55		33.64	33.64		89.8	87.9		6.02	5.89		4.52	4.77		4	
29/10/2012	11:29	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.70	25.70	25.70	8.55	8.55	8.55	33.58	33.58	33.58	86.1	85.2	86.5	5.81	5.75	5.84	6.97	6.91	6.89	8	7.5
	11:31		Middle	3	25.70	25.70		8.54	8.54		33.57	33.57		87.6	87.1		5.92	5.88		6.77	6.89		7	
31/10/2012	12:30	Cloudy	Middle	3	24.00	24.00	24.05	8.61	8.61	8.61	32.27	32.27	32.26	91.6	93.5	92.5	6.40	6.57	6.47	5.71	5.99	5.78	7	8.0
	12:32		Middle	3	24.10	24.10		8.60	8.60		32.24	32.24		93.6	91.3		6.54	6.38		5.63	5.78		9	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD17 - Quarry Bay
Mid-Ebb Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature		pH			Salinity			DO Saturation		DO		Turbidity			Suspended Solids				
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m		Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average				
02/10/2012	12:10	Fine	Middle	3	27.50	27.50	27.50	8.53	8.53	8.53	33.35	33.35	33.36	86.3	86.4	86.5	5.68	5.69	5.52	9.93	10.20	10.05	15	14.5
	12:11		Middle	3	27.50	27.50		8.53	8.53		33.36	33.36		86.6	86.8		5.00	5.71		9.95	10.10		14	
04/10/2012	02:17	Fine	Middle	3	26.40	26.40	26.40	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.55	32.55	32.55	77.3	76.0	76.5	5.15	5.09	5.12	4.97	4.68	4.63	7	6.5
	02:18		Middle	3	26.40	26.40		8.39	8.39		32.55	32.55		76.0	76.6		5.09	5.13		4.49	4.38		6	
06/10/2012	03:05	Fine	Middle	3	26.40	26.40	26.35	8.41	8.41	8.41	32.62	32.62	32.62	79.7	81.5	80.1	5.34	5.47	5.38	5.22	5.18	4.99	6	5.5
	03:06		Middle	3	26.30	26.30		8.41	8.41		32.62	32.62		79.0	80.0		5.32	5.37		4.90	4.64		5	
08/10/2012	05:12	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.60	26.60	26.60	8.42	8.42	8.42	32.82	32.82	32.82	82.2	82.1	82.2	5.50	5.48	5.49	5.09	5.03	4.87	4	3.5
	05:13		Middle	3	26.60	26.60		8.42	8.42		32.82	32.82		82.3	82.1		5.50	5.49		4.83	4.52		3	
10/10/2012	07:54	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.40	26.40	26.40	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.68	32.68	32.68	78.6	78.1	78.0	5.27	5.24	5.24	4.79	4.80	4.64	5	5.0
	07:55		Middle	3	26.40	26.40		8.44	8.44		32.68	32.68		77.6	77.8		5.21	5.23		4.53	4.44		5	
13/10/2012	10:39	Fine	Middle	4	27.10	27.10	27.10	8.58	8.58	8.58	33.60	33.60	33.60	80.9	81.1	81.4	5.32	5.33	5.35	6.38	6.24	6.25	9	8.5
	10:41		Middle	4	27.10	27.10		8.58	8.58		33.60	33.60		81.6	81.9		5.36	5.38		6.28	6.11		8	
15/10/2012	11:58	Fine	Middle	2	27.40	27.40	27.40	8.53	8.53	8.52	33.41	33.41	33.41	75.3	76.3	76.1	4.97	5.01	5.00	7.21	7.48	7.41	11	10.5
	12:00		Middle	2	27.40	27.40		8.51	8.51		33.41	33.41		76.8	76.1		5.03	5.00		7.33	7.61		10	
17/10/2012	13:31	Fine	Middle	3	27.60	27.60	27.60	8.38	8.38	8.38	33.30	33.30	33.30	81.6	80.6	81.1	5.39	5.29	5.34	8.36	8.20	8.30	10	9.5
	13:33		Middle	3	27.60	27.60		8.38	8.38		33.29	33.29		81.8	80.5		5.40	5.28		8.36	8.27		9	
20/10/2012	03:01	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.60	25.60	25.60	8.42	8.42	8.42	32.12	32.12	32.12	81.2	81.8	81.6	5.59	5.57	5.57	5.87	6.07	5.95	8	8.0
	03:03		Middle	3	25.60	25.60		8.42	8.42		32.12	32.12		82.0	81.2		5.60	5.52		6.11	5.74		8	
22/10/2012	06:10	Fine	Middle	3	26.20	26.20	26.20	8.36	8.36	8.36	32.75	32.75	32.75	76.5	77.4	76.2	5.14	5.20	5.12	6.17	6.07	6.24	10	9.5
	06:11		Middle	3	26.20	26.20		8.36	8.36		32.75	32.75		75.6	75.2		5.08	5.05		6.50	6.21		9	
25/10/2012	22:04	Smoky	Middle	3	25.40	25.40	25.40	8.48	8.48	8.48	33.31	33.31	33.31	89.6	89.2	89.2	6.64	6.67	6.66	5.49	5.26	5.54	6	6.0
	22:05		Middle	3	25.40	25.40		8.48	8.48		33.31	33.31		89.7	88.1		6.70	6.64		5.62	5.77		6	
27/10/2012	10:20	Cloudy	Middle	4	25.90	25.90	25.90	8.49	8.49	8.49	33.17	33.17	33.16	84.0	82.1	83.6	5.68	5.53	5.65	8.49	8.32	8.46	6	6.0
	10:22		Middle	4	25.90	25.90		8.49	8.49		33.15	33.15		85.0	83.1		5.73	5.66		8.90	8.14		6	
29/10/2012	11:57	Cloudy	Middle	3	26.70	26.70	26.65	8.51	8.51	8.51	33.50	33.50	33.50	86.5	86.4	87.0	5.84	5.84	5.88	7.54	7.49	7.42	8	8.0
	11:59		Middle	3	26.60	26.60		8.51	8.51		33.50	33.50		86.2	88.7		5.83	5.99		7.41	7.25		8	
31/10/2012	12:53	Cloudy	Middle	3	24.60	24.60	24.55	8.44	8.44	8.44	32.44	32.44	32.44	88.7	88.6	88.5	6.15	6.16	6.14	6.19	6.32	6.23	9	8.5
	12:54		Middle	3	24.50	24.50		8.44	8.44		32.44	32.44		88.3	88.3		6.12	6.12		6.22	6.18		8	



**Water Monitoring Result at WSD21 - Wan Chai
Mid-Ebb Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature		pH			Salinity		DO Saturation		DO		Turbidity		Suspended Solids						
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average					
02/10/2012	14:21	Fine	Middle	2	27.40	27.40	27.35	7.53	7.53	7.54	32.35	32.35	32.38	54.9	54.5	54.4	3.62	3.60	3.59	2.98	2.93	2.90	3	4.0
	14:25		Middle	2	27.30	27.30		7.54	7.54		32.40	32.40		54.1	53.9		3.58	3.57		2.86	2.83		5	
04/10/2012	01:45	Fine	Middle	1	26.90	26.90	26.90	7.45	7.45	7.47	32.13	32.13	32.15	62.8	62.6	62.5	4.22	4.21	4.20	2.36	2.35	2.33	7	7.5
	01:48		Middle	1	26.90	26.90		7.48	7.48		32.17	32.17		62.3	62.2		4.19	4.18		2.31	2.30		8	
06/10/2012	02:48	Fine	Middle	1	26.90	26.90	26.85	7.46	7.46	7.46	32.23	32.23	32.24	61.7	61.5	61.5	4.12	4.11	4.11	2.31	2.29	2.28	4	4.5
	02:50		Middle	1	26.80	26.80		7.45	7.45		32.24	32.24		61.4	61.2		4.10	4.09		2.27	2.25		5	
08/10/2012	04:27	Cloudy	Middle	1	26.70	26.70	26.65	7.45	7.45	7.45	32.33	32.33	32.34	61.7	61.4	61.3	4.14	4.12	4.12	3.30	3.26	3.25	2	2.5
	04:30		Middle	1	26.60	26.60		7.44	7.44		32.35	32.35		61.1	60.9		4.11	4.09		3.23	3.21		3	
10/10/2012	06:38	Cloudy	Middle	1	26.40	26.40	26.40	7.42	7.42	7.44	32.13	32.13	32.14	67.4	61.1	62.6	4.15	4.13	4.12	1.97	1.94	1.94	4	5.0
	06:40		Middle	1	26.40	26.40		7.45	7.45		32.14	32.14		60.9	60.8		4.11	4.10		1.93	1.90		6	
13/10/2012	10:02	Fine	Middle	2	26.80	26.80	26.80	7.56	7.56	7.56	32.64	23.64	30.39	53.6	53.8	53.9	3.57	3.58	3.59	3.93	3.86	3.90	6	6.5
	10:03		Middle	2	26.80	26.80		7.56	7.56		32.64	32.64		53.9	54.1		3.60	3.61		3.89	3.92		7	
15/10/2012	12:32	Fine	Middle	2	27.60	27.60	27.55	7.50	7.50	7.50	32.39	32.39	32.41	50.5	50.0	50.4	3.46	3.45	3.47	1.89	2.06	1.93	6	5.5
	12:34		Middle	2	27.50	27.50		7.50	7.50		32.43	32.43		50.7	50.3		3.49	3.46		1.82	1.94		5	
17/10/2012	12:50	Fine	Middle	2	27.70	27.70	27.70	7.47	7.47	7.47	32.29	32.29	32.29	51.6	50.8	51.5	3.39	3.35	3.39	3.79	3.91	3.84	8	8.0
	12:52		Middle	2	27.70	27.70		7.47	7.47		32.29	32.29		51.8	51.6		3.41	3.40		4.37	3.29		8	
20/10/2012	02:39	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.90	25.90	25.85	7.51	7.51	7.52	32.57	32.57	32.58	60.6	60.4	60.3	4.11	4.10	4.10	2.52	2.49	2.48	4	4.0
	02:41		Middle	2	25.80	25.80		7.53	7.53		32.59	32.59		60.2	60.0		4.09	4.08		2.47	2.45		4	
22/10/2012	05:00	Fine	Middle	2	25.80	25.80	25.70	7.45	7.45	7.44	32.01	32.01	31.77	59.4	59.1	59.1	4.06	4.04	4.04	3.24	3.22	3.21	10	10.0
	05:03		Middle	2	25.60	25.60		7.43	7.43		32.03	31.03		59.0	58.9		4.04	4.03		3.19	3.17		10	
25/10/2012	21:34	Smoky	Middle	1	26.10	26.10	26.05	7.56	7.56	7.54	32.52	32.52	32.54	60.3	60.2	60.1	4.13	4.13	4.12	2.21	2.17	2.17	3	3.0
	21:35		Middle	1	26.00	26.00		7.52	7.52		32.55	32.55		60.1	59.9		4.12	4.11		2.15	2.14		3	
27/10/2012	09:41	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.30	26.30	26.30	7.58	7.58	7.58	32.80	32.80	32.80	64.7	64.2	63.9	4.35	4.31	4.30	5.23	4.81	5.14	2	2.5
	09:43		Middle	2	26.30	26.30		7.58	7.58		32.80	32.80		63.2	63.5		4.25	4.27		5.94	4.58		3	
29/10/2012	12:04	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.20	26.20	26.20	7.60	7.60	7.60	32.92	32.92	32.92	72.1	71.7	71.8	4.84	4.83	4.83	2.94	3.11	3.02	13	12.0
	12:06		Middle	2	26.20	26.20		7.60	7.60		32.92	32.92		71.9	71.6		4.83	4.82		3.12	2.91		11	
31/10/2012	11:15	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.00	25.00	25.00	7.59	7.59	7.59	32.60	32.60	32.61	63.5	64.1	64.4	4.38	4.43	4.46	5.31	4.89	5.00	8	8.5
	11:16		Middle	2	25.00	25.00		7.59	7.59		32.61	32.61		64.9	65.2		4.49	4.52		4.89	4.90		9	





**Water Monitoring Result at WSD19 - Sheung Wan
Mid-Ebb Tide**

Date	Time	Weather Condition	Sampling Depth		Water Temperature			pH			Salinity			DO Saturation			DO			Turbidity			Suspended Solids	
					°C		-		ppt		%		mg/L		NTU		mg/L							
			m	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average			
02/10/2012	13:02	Fine	Middle	2	27.80	27.80	27.80	8.42	8.42	8.42	33.15	33.15	33.15	80.9	81.7	81.3	5.29	5.35	5.32	13.60	13.80	13.78	21	21.5
	13:03		Middle	2	27.80	27.80		8.42	8.42		33.14	33.14		81.5	80.9		5.33	5.30		13.20	14.50		22	
04/10/2012	02:43	Fine	Middle	2	26.80	26.80	26.80	8.34	8.34	8.34	32.45	32.45	32.45	76.2	77.7	77.1	5.08	5.19	5.14	5.97	5.82	5.96	9	8.0
	02:44		Middle	2	26.80	26.80		8.34	8.34		32.45	32.45		77.4	77.0		5.16	5.13		6.28	5.76		7	
06/10/2012	04:10	Fine	Middle	2	26.30	26.30	26.30	8.29	8.29	8.29	32.54	32.54	32.55	85.8	84.9	85.2	5.78	5.72	5.74	4.11	4.09	4.09	6	6.5
	04:11		Middle	2	26.30	26.30		8.29	8.29		32.55	32.55		85.0	84.9		5.74	5.72		4.22	3.92		7	
08/10/2012	04:53	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.70	26.70	26.70	8.35	8.35	8.35	32.68	32.68	32.68	79.7	79.7	79.8	5.32	5.32	5.33	4.60	4.93	4.66	3	3.0
	04:54		Middle	2	26.70	26.70		8.35	8.35		32.68	32.68		79.8	80.0		5.33	5.36		4.67	4.43		3	
10/10/2012	07:01	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.00	26.00	26.00	8.22	8.22	8.22	32.59	32.59	32.59	80.7	81.0	81.0	5.46	5.50	5.47	3.84	3.88	3.90	5	4.5
	07:02		Middle	2	26.00	26.00		8.22	8.22		32.59	32.59		80.6	81.5		5.43	5.50		4.07	3.82		4	
13/10/2012	11:59	Fine	Middle	3	27.40	27.40	27.40	8.45	8.45	8.46	33.41	33.41	33.43	70.3	70.5	70.7	4.61	4.62	4.63	5.40	5.41	5.28	13	12.0
	12:01		Middle	3	27.40	27.40		8.46	8.46		33.44	33.44		70.9	71.0		4.64	4.64		5.20	5.10		11	
15/10/2012	13:11	Fine	Middle	3	27.40	27.40	27.50	8.52	8.52	8.53	32.76	32.76	32.77	80.6	80.7	80.7	5.30	5.31	5.31	10.20	10.30	10.12	14	13.5
	13:13		Middle	3	27.60	27.60		8.54	8.54		32.78	32.78		80.4	80.9		5.29	5.32		9.99	9.97		13	
17/10/2012	14:00	Fine	Middle	2	27.60	27.60	27.65	8.37	8.37	8.39	32.30	32.30	32.29	67.0	67.9	67.6	4.43	4.49	4.46	7.73	7.61	7.72	10	10.0
	14:02		Middle	2	27.70	27.70		8.41	8.41		32.27	32.27		68.3	67.2		4.52	4.41		7.87	7.66		10	
20/10/2012	03:31	Cloudy	Middle	2	25.70	25.70	25.70	8.39	8.39	8.39	32.79	32.80	32.80	82.2	81.9	82.2	5.58	5.56	5.58	6.62	6.45	6.59	8	8.0
	03:33		Middle	2	25.70	25.70		8.39	8.39		32.80	32.80		82.3	82.5		5.59	5.60		6.52	6.78		8	
22/10/2012	05:43	Fine	Middle	2	25.90	25.90	25.90	8.37	8.37	8.37	32.82	32.82	32.82	79.2	79.9	79.6	5.34	5.41	5.37	5.21	5.12	5.08	11	11.0
	05:44		Middle	2	25.90	25.90		8.37	8.37		32.82	32.82		79.6	79.5		5.37	5.37		4.89	5.11		11	
25/10/2012	23:11	Smoky	Middle	2	25.50	25.50	25.50	8.45	8.45	8.45	32.91	32.91	32.91	85.4	85.8	85.0	5.85	6.11	5.90	6.79	6.77	6.78	8	7.5
	23:12		Middle	2	25.50	25.50		8.45	8.45		32.91	32.91		84.7	84.2		5.85	5.80		6.75	6.80		7	
27/10/2012	11:02	Cloudy	Middle	2	26.10	26.10	26.10	8.53	8.53	8.52	33.02	33.02	33.03	81.1	80.8	81.1	5.45	5.43	5.45	7.89	7.65	7.59	5	5.5
	11:04		Middle	2	26.10	26.10		8.51	8.51		33.03	33.03		81.7	80.9		5.49	5.44		7.43	7.39		6	
29/10/2012	12:56	Cloudy	Middle	3	25.80	25.80	25.75	8.40	8.40	8.40	33.12	33.12	33.12	82.8	83.2	83.1	5.58	5.61	5.60	9.12	8.60	8.87	11	11.0
	12:58		Middle	3	25.70	25.70		8.39	8.39		33.12	33.12		83.1	83.4		5.60	5.62		9.01	8.73		11	
31/10/2012	13:56	Cloudy	Middle	2	24.40	24.40	24.30	8.34	8.34	8.34	31.96	31.96	31.97	87.6	86.9	87.1	6.15	6.07	6.09	8.10	8.19	8.21	17	16.5
	13:58		Middle	2	24.20	24.20		8.34	8.34		31.98	31.98		86.9	86.9		6.06	6.06		8.23	8.30		16	



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD9 - Tai Wan





Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD10 - Cha Kwo I





Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD15 - Sai Wan H





Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD17 - Quarry Ba





Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD19 - Sheung W





Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD21 - Wan Chai



Remarks:

- Two sets of Suspended Solid Action and Limit levels for the dry season (the period from October to March) and wet season (the period from April to September).
- New sets Turbidity and SS Action Level and Limit Level for dry and wet season were approved by EPD on 19 Oct 2011



Appendix 5.3

Event and Action Plan



Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Event and Action Plan for Marine Water Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Review the working methods and consider additional measures such as use of frame-type silt curtain, deployment of double silt curtains, slowing down, or rescheduling of works; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)
Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and



EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">5. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;6. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;7. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)8. Repeat measurement on next working day of exceedance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.4. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">of the implemented mitigation measures.4. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">equipment;4. Review the working methods and consider additional measures such as use of frame-type silt curtain, deployment of double silt curtains, slowing down, or rescheduling of works;5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.7. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)



EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level. 8. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 4. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 5. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Review the working methods and consider additional measures such as use of frame-type silt curtain, deployment of double silt curtains, slowing down, or rescheduling of works; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 7. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)




EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source(s) of impact; 2. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 3. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 4. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 5. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 6. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 7. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 4. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit level. 6. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Review the working methods and consider additional measures such as use of frame-type silt curtain, deployment of double silt curtains, slowing down, or rescheduling of works; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities. 8. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)




Appendix 5.4


Graphic Presentation of SS Results against to Tidal Movement along Victoria Harbour



Suspended Solid on 2 Oct 2012




Suspended Solid on 4 Oct 2012









Suspended Solid on 20 Oct 2012




Suspended Solid on 22 Oct 2012





Suspended Solid on 27 Oct 2012



Suspended Solid on 29 Oct 2012








Appendix 5.5

Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result with respect to Local Variation




Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD9 - Tai Wan with respect to Local Variation



Remarks: WSD9 is located at upstream during the ebb tides while at downstream during flood tides.




Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD10 - Cha Kwo Ling with respect to Local Variation




Remarks: WSD10 is located at upstream during the flood tides while at downstream during ebb tides.

Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD15 - Sai Wan Ho with respect to Local Variation



Remarks: WSD15 is located at upstream during the flood tides while at downstream during ebb tides.


Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD17 - Quarry Bay with respect to Local Variation



Remarks: WSD17 is located at upstream during the flood tides while at downstream during ebb tides.




Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD19 - Sheung Wan with respect to Local Variation



Remarks: WSD19 is located at upstream during the ebb tides while at downstream during flood tides.



Graphic Presentation of Water Quality Result of WSD21 - Wan Chai with respect to Local Variation



Remarks: WSD21 is located at upstream during the ebb tides while at downstream during flood tides.




Appendix 5.6

Graphical Presentation of Water Quality Surveillance System

Water Quality Surveillance System Monitoring Results - 10 October 2012 (Ebb Tide)

Monitoring Location		Turbidity in NTU	Compare to Trigger Level	Suspended Solids in mg/L	Compare to Trigger Level
SP1	1m below the surface	28.2	N/A	43	N/A
	mid depth	10.9	N/A	20	N/A
	1m above the seabed	10.8	N/A	32	N/A
MP1	1m below the surface	3.07	N/A	8	N/A
	mid depth	2.87	N/A	11	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.84	N/A	6	N/A
MP2	1m below the surface	2.42	N/A	5	N/A
	mid depth	2.14	N/A	5	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.40	N/A	3	N/A
MP3	1m below the surface	3.15	N/A	5	N/A
	mid depth	2.25	N/A	5	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.28	N/A	7	N/A
MP4	1m below the surface	2.45	N/A	12	N/A
	mid depth	2.18	N/A	6	N/A
	1m above the seabed	1.95	N/A	7	N/A
C1	1m below the surface	2.10	Lower	6	Lower
	mid depth	1.90	Lower	6	Lower
	1m above the seabed	2.23	Lower	5	Lower
C2	1m below the surface	2.05	Lower	7	Lower
	mid depth	1.72	Lower	16	Higher
	1m above the seabed	1.79	Lower	6	Lower
C3	1m below the surface	2.71	Lower	3	Lower
	mid depth	2.41	Lower	2	Lower
	1m above the seabed	2.32	Lower	5	Lower
C4	1m below the surface	2.58	Lower	10	Lower
	mid depth	2.03	Lower	11	Lower
	1m above the seabed	2.05	Lower	3	Lower
C5	1m below the surface	2.73	N/A	8	N/A
	mid depth	2.08	N/A	4	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.01	N/A	5	N/A
C6	1m below the surface	2.00	N/A	6	N/A
	mid depth	2.02	N/A	10	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.27	N/A	5	N/A
C7	1m below the surface	2.06	N/A	4	N/A
	mid depth	2.24	N/A	6	N/A
	1m above the seabed	2.32	N/A	4	N/A

Control Point	Trigger Level for Turbidity in NTU for All Season	Trigger Level for SS in mg/L for Dry Season (October - March)
C1	12.3 for Flood Tide	14.0
C2	12.3 for Flood Tide	
C3	16.9	
C4	10.5 for Ebb Tide	



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development


TITLE
WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - (10 Oct 2012 EBB) - Page 1

DATE
24 Oct 2012

PREPARED BY
PY

SCALE
NOT TO SCALE

SKETCH No.
SK-0937



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

TITLE
 WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - (10 Oct 2012 EBB) - Page 2

DATE
 24 Oct 2012


PREPARED BY
 PY

SCALE
 NOT TO SCALE


SKETCH No.
 SK-0938

Legend


- Tidal Direction
- Action Level for WSD intake
- Limit Level for WSD intake




Description: WSD9 was located at upstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD15 was located at downstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD17 was located at downstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD10 was located at downstream which was well within the Action Level.



PROJECT KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development	DATE 24 Oct 2012	PREPARED BY PY
	SCALE NOT TO SCALE	SKETCH No. SK-0939
TITLE GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF THE WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM MONITORING RESULTS AT MID DEPTH - (10 Oct 2012 EBB)		


Photograph taken right before sampling at monitoring station C2 shown vessels and marine traffic upstream



Water Quality Surveillance System Monitoring Results - 25 October 2012 (Flood Tide)

Monitoring Location		Turbidity in NTU	Compare to Trigger Level	Suspended Solids in mg/L	Compare to Trigger Level
SP1	1m below the surface	10.7	N/A	19	N/A
	mid depth	12.6	N/A	20	N/A
	1m above the seabed	13.6	N/A	8	N/A
MP1	1m below the surface	7.24	N/A	8	N/A
	mid depth	4.56	N/A	10	N/A
	1m above the seabed	5.48	N/A	10	N/A
MP2	1m below the surface	5.95	N/A	11	N/A
	mid depth	4.30	N/A	9	N/A
	1m above the seabed	4.47	N/A	10	N/A
MP3	1m below the surface	4.46	N/A	9	N/A
	mid depth	5.21	N/A	8	N/A
	1m above the seabed	4.69	N/A	10	N/A
MP4	1m below the surface	3.22	N/A	12	N/A
	mid depth	6.38	N/A	13	N/A
	1m above the seabed	7.45	N/A	10	N/A
C1	1m below the surface	3.53	Lower	10	Lower
	mid depth	3.81	Lower	10	Lower
	1m above the seabed	4.46	Lower	8	Lower
C2	1m below the surface	4.84	Lower	14	Equal
	mid depth	4.21	Lower	14	Equal
	1m above the seabed	3.91	Lower	10	Lower
C3	1m below the surface	5.14	Lower	9	Lower
	mid depth	3.53	Lower	10	Lower
	1m above the seabed	3.25	Lower	9	Lower
C4	1m below the surface	5.13	Lower	9	Lower
	mid depth	5.12	Lower	10	Lower
	1m above the seabed	4.93	Lower	12	Lower
C5	1m below the surface	5.59	N/A	13	N/A
	mid depth	6.39	N/A	11	N/A
	1m above the seabed	6.71	N/A	9	N/A
C6	1m below the surface	3.43	N/A	8	N/A
	mid depth	4.27	N/A	8	N/A
	1m above the seabed	3.54	N/A	7	N/A
C7	1m below the surface	5.29	N/A	9	N/A
	mid depth	4.71	N/A	9	N/A
	1m above the seabed	4.66	N/A	8	N/A


Control Point	Trigger Level for Turbidity in NTU for All Season	Trigger Level for SS in mg/L for Dry Season (October - March)
C1	12.3 for Flood Tide	14.0
C2	12.3 for Flood Tide	
C3	16.9	
C4	10.5 for Ebb Tide	



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

TITLE
WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - (25 Oct 2012 FLOOD) - Page 1

DATE 7 Nov 2012	PREPARED BY PH
SCALE NOT TO SCALE	SKETCH No. SK-0943



Notes:
Results of the impact monitoring conducted on the same day are shown as reference:



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

TITLE
WATER QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - (25 Oct 2012 FLOOD) - Page 2

DATE
7 Nov 2012


PREPARED BY
PH

SCALE
NOT TO SCALE


SKETCH No.
SK-0944

Legend


- Tidal Direction
- Action Level for WSD intake
- Limit Level for WSD intake




Description: WSD9 was located at upstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD15 was located at upstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD17 was located at upstream which was well within the Action Level.



Description: WSD10 was located at upstream which was well within the Action Level.



PROJECT
KL/2009/01 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development

TITLE
 GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF THE WATER
 QUALITY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM MONITORING
 RESULTS AT MID DEPTH - (25 Oct 2012 FLOOD)

DATE
 7 Nov 2012

PREPARED BY
 PH

SCALE
 NOT TO SCALE

SKETCH No.
 SK-0945



Appendix 5.7

Details of Notification of Exceedances



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X238	2-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.5	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD19 and WSD 21, the other downstream stations, showed low and similar turbidity, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and might be caused by localized impact or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X239	2-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD10	Turbidity (NTU)	7.3	6.3	9.4	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD10 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station or changes in ambient conditions at upstream. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD10 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
X240	2-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	12.0	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, and transferal of filling materials nearby was observed during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of SS concentration and turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by accumulation of filling materials from nearby transferral of filling materials activities or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity and SS concentration were recorded at WSD19 and WSD 17, the furthest upstream station. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	8.6	6.3	9.4	Action Level	
X241	2-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	11.0	10.8	11.8	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of SS concentration and turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity and SS concentration were recorded at WSD19 and WSD17, the furthest upstream station. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	8.2	7.5	12.5	Action Level	



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X242	2-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	21.5	14.0	17	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD19 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station or changes in ambient conditions at upstream. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD19 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	13.8	10.9	14.7	Action Level	
X243	4-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	15.5	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station or changes in ambient conditions at upstream. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.5	7.5	12.5	Action Level	
X244	4-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	15.5	13.2	15.3	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions at upstream. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD17 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	17.6	10.0	15.3	Limit Level	
X245	2-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	14.5	13.2	15.3	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream, and screen cleaning in WSD17</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of SS concentration and turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity and SS concentration were recorded at WSD19, the furthest upstream station and screen washing in WSD17 during monitoring. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X246	17-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.5	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD15. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact or changes at upstream and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X247	17-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	11.5	10.8	11.8	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.8	7.5	12.5	Action Level	
X248	17-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD19	Turbidity (NTU)	12.2	10.9	14.7	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by localized impact or changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at the upstream station, WSD15. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact or changes at upstream and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X249	13-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	13.5	10.8	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X250	13-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	14.0	13.2	15.3	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD17 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
X251	20-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.9	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity was recorded at an upstream station, WSD17. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X252	20-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	16.5	13.2	15.3	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, derrick barge performing grabbing activities was observed during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or accumulation of unknow particles from nearby grabbing activities</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station or accumulation of unknow particles from nearby grabbing activities. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD17 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	11.3	10.0	15.3	Action Level	
X253	20-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.7	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD9 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD9 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X254	15-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	12.0	10.8	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>
X255	22-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	16.0	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD10 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD10 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.1	6.3	9.4	Action Level	
X256	22-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD15	Turbidity (NTU)	9.8	7.5	12.5	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X257	20-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	15.5	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, derrick barge performing grabbing activities was observed during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or accumulation of unknow particles from nearby grabbing activities</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD10 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station or accumulation of unknow particles from nearby grabbing activities. No further exceedance was recorded in the consecutive monitoring. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD10 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required</p>



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X258	22-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	17.0	10.8	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X259	22-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	20.5	14.0	17	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of SS concentration after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity and SS concentration were recorded at WSD10 and WSD15, the furthest upstream station. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X260	27-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	12.5	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD10 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD10 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X261	29-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	8.0	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity were recorded at WSD15, the furthest upstream station. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>



Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X262	29-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	11.5	10.8	11.8	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.4	7.5	12.5	Action Level	
X263	31-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.7	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of turbidity after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high turbidity were recorded at WSD17, the furthest upstream station. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X264	31-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD9	Turbidity (NTU)	6.4	5.6	10.6	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD9 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD9 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X265	31-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD17	SS (mg/L)	18.0	13.2	15.3	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD17 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
				Turbidity (NTU)	12.4	10.0	15.3	Action Level	



Summary for Notification of Exceedance


Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Average	Action Level	Limit Level	Level of Exceedance	Follow-up action
X266	31-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	12.5	10.0	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD10 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, a sand barge and working barge close to the station. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD10 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X267	31-Oct-12	Mid-flood	WSD15	SS (mg/L)	12.5	10.8	11.8	Limit Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD15 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD15 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X268	31-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD10	SS (mg/L)	11.0	10.0	11.8	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions at upstream</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No apparent rise of SS after passing the project site, which suggest that the exceedance might not be caused by the Project works and might be caused by changes at upstream, as relatively high SS were recorded at WSD19, the furthest upstream station. It is concluded that the exceedance was due to localized impact and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>
X269	31-Oct-12	Mid-ebb	WSD19	SS (mg/L)	16.5	14.0	17.0	Action Level	<p>Action taken / to be taken: Silt screen was inspected and confirmed in a proper condition during the water monitoring. Any abnormal observation should be recorded, but no sign of traceable source was visualized and identified during monitoring.</p> <p>Possible reason: Localized impact or changes in ambient conditions</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Since WSD19 was located at the upstream of the Project, it is definitely not caused by the Project works and may be caused by influences in the vicinity of the station, discharge from nearby nullah or changes in ambient conditions. It is concluded that the source of impact was due to variation or change around WSD19 and not related to the project work, and hence no further mitigation nor repeated measurement under the EAP is required.</p>



Appendix 9.0

Construction Programme

Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Late Start	Early Finish	Late Finish	Total Float	% Comp	2012							2013			
									OCT 15	OCT 22	OCT 29	NOV 5	NOV 12	NOV 19	NOV 26	DEC 3	DEC 10	DEC 17	DEC 24
Site Formation KT Cruise Terminal Development																			
Contract Period																			
PD1100	Contract Period	1,495	30/11/09A	30/11/09A	27/12/13	02/01/14	6	71	Contract Period										
Preliminaries & General Requirements																			
Works Interfaces																			
IFW1005	EMSD Installation of Gangway - Phase 1 Berth	242	09/10/12A	09/10/12A	13/05/13	30/05/13*	17	15	EMSD Installation of Gangway - Phase 1 Berth										
Temporary Accommodation																			
TA1060	Servicing of Temp Accommodation for the Engineer	1,406	28/01/10A	28/01/10A	15/12/13	02/01/14	18	70	Servicing of Temp Accommodation for the Engineer										
TA1070	Maintenance of Traffic Flow	1,344	28/02/10A	28/02/10A	23/12/13	02/01/14	10	68	Maintenance of Traffic Flow										
Environmental and Site Safety Monitoring																			
ES1050	Monthly Update of SSP & EMP	1,422	07/12/09A	07/12/09A	20/12/13	02/01/14	13	70	Monthly Update of SSP & EMP										
ES1110	Impact Monitoring for Water Quality	1,380	05/02/10A	05/02/10A	21/12/13	02/01/14	12	69	Impact Monitoring for Water Quality										
ES1125	Maintenance of Silt Screen at WSD Intakes	1,380	31/01/10A	31/01/10A	21/12/13	02/01/14	12	69	Maintenance of Silt Screen at WSD Intakes										
Mobilization & Site Clearance																			
MP1020	Routine Site Cleanliness and Tidiness	1,484	30/11/09A	30/11/09A	23/12/13	02/01/14	10	71	Routine Site Cleanliness and Tidiness										
MP1060	Disposal of Surplus C&D Material	695	10/03/10A	10/03/10A	16/05/13	02/01/14	231	70	Disposal of Surplus C&D Material										
MP1070	Primary Sorting of C&D Material	1,200	26/03/10A	26/03/10A	01/12/13	02/01/14	32	66	Primary Sorting of C&D Material										
MP1080	Surplus Rock Disposal in Area 1 & 2	700	15/02/11A	15/02/11A	04/10/13	02/01/14	90	50	Surplus Rock Disposal in Area 1 & 2										
Sorting of C&D Material																			
SM1110	Bay K - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material	100	17/04/12A	17/04/12A	28/11/12	28/11/12	0	60	Bay K - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material										
SM1120	Bay L - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material	100	04/06/12A	04/06/12A	07/01/13	30/03/13	82	20	Bay L - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material										
SM1130	Bay M - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material	100	06/08/12A	06/08/12A	07/01/13	11/01/13	4	20	Bay M - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material										
SM1140	Bay NDA - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material	100	04/10/12A	04/10/12A	29/01/13	28/04/13	89	5	Bay NDA - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material										
SM1160	Portion DZB - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material (toe)	700	02/04/12A	02/04/12A	08/03/13	02/01/14	300	80	Portion DZB - Sorting and Mixing C&D Material (toe)										
Preparatory Works																			
Production of Precast Units																			
Precast Planks for Decking																			
PW.4.1050	Deliver to Portion MQ4	0	20/10/12	03/01/14			440	0	Deliver to Portion MQ4										
Section 2 - Portions MQ2, LS1, LS2, SDA & DZA																			
Portion LS1																			
Road & Drainage Works																			
SW.23.1090	Street Furniture & Lighting	10	20/10/12	21/11/12	29/10/12	30/11/12*	32	0	Street Furniture & Lighting										
Portion LS2																			
Road & Drainage Works																			
SW.24.1000	600 Dia. Drainage Pipe	42	15/04/11A	15/04/11A	23/10/12	10/12/12	48	90	600 Dia. Drainage Pipe										
SW.24.1060	Base Course	20	06/10/12A	06/10/12A	29/10/12	15/11/12	17	50	Base Course										
SW.24.1070	Wearing Course	15	20/10/12	06/11/12	03/11/12	20/11/12	17	0	Wearing Course										
SW.24.1080	Road Marking	7	30/10/12	16/11/12	05/11/12	22/11/12	17	0	Road Marking										
SW.24.1090	Street Furniture & Lighting	10	04/11/12	21/11/12	13/11/12	30/11/12*	17	0	Street Furniture & Lighting										
Section 3 - Portion MQ3																			
Portion MQ3 (Bays H - I)																			
New Seawall Construction																			
SW.3.4075	Scour Protection Layer (6500m3)	77	24/09/12A	24/09/12A	27/12/12	30/05/13	154	10	Scour Protection Layer (6500m3)										
RC Deck Construction																			
SW.3.5061	Bay H - Site Clearance	4	20/09/12A	20/09/12A	21/10/12	08/05/13	199	50	Bay H - Site Clearance										
SW.3.5070	Bay H - Protective Coating	7	20/08/12A	20/08/12A	22/10/12	09/05/13*	199	80	Bay H - Protective Coating										
SW.3.5140	Bay I - Precast Planks / Fix Rebar	14	20/10/12	25/03/13	02/11/12	07/04/13	156	0	Bay I - Precast Planks / Fix Rebar										
SW.3.5150	Bay I - RC Topping Concreting	7	03/11/12	08/04/13	09/11/12	14/04/13*	156	0	Bay I - RC Topping Concreting										
SW.3.5151	Bay I - Site Clearance	4	24/11/12	29/04/13	27/11/12	02/05/13	156	0	Bay I - Site Clearance										
SW.3.5160	Bay I - Protective Coating	7	28/11/12	03/05/13	04/12/12	09/05/13	156	0	Bay I - Protective Coating										
SW.3.5170	Bay I - Dismantling Working Platform	21	05/12/12	10/05/13	25/12/12	30/05/13	156	0	Bay I - Dismantling Working Platform										




KTAP
Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd.
CEDD Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development
Three Months Rolling Programme (NOVEMBER 2012- JANUARY 2013)

Sheet 1 of 3
Start Date 30/11/09
Finish Date 02/01/14
Data Date 20/10/12
Run Date 25/10/12 15:58

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
31/05/11	K	TM	WT
10/10/12	L	TT	KT

Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Late Start	Early Finish	Late Finish	Total Float	% Comp	2012												2013								
									OCT			NOV				DEC					JAN		FEB						
									15	22	29	5	12	19	26	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	4	11			
Miscellaneous Work																													
SW.3.6020	Installation of Marine Fittings (Fender etc)	28	10/11/12	03/05/13	07/12/12	30/05/13	174	0	Installation of Marine Fittings (Fender etc)																				
SW.3.6030	T&C of Corrosion Monitoring System	21	10/11/12	10/05/13	30/11/12	30/05/13	181	0	T&C of Corrosion Monitoring System																				
SW.3.6040	Installation of Navigation Light Post	28	10/11/12	03/05/13	07/12/12	30/05/13	174	0	Installation of Navigation Light Post																				
SW.3.6050	Flexible Surfacing	28	10/11/12	26/04/13	07/12/12	23/05/13	167	0	Flexible Surfacing																				
SW.3.6060	Road Marking	7	08/12/12	24/05/13	14/12/12	30/05/13	167	0	Road Marking																				
Section 4 - Portions MQ4, LS3, NDA & DZB																													
Portion MQ4 (Bays J - M) & LS3																													
Dredging Work & Removal of Existing Seawall																													
SW.4.3030	Bay K - Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)	35	13/06/12A	13/06/12A	30/10/12	30/10/12	0	70	Bay K - Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)																				
SW.4.3045	Bay L - Existing Seawall Rockfill (16500m3)	35	19/06/12A	19/06/12A	02/11/12	05/01/13	64	60	Bay L - Existing Seawall Rockfill (16500m3)																				
SW.4.3050	Bay L - Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)	35	18/07/12A	18/07/12A	23/11/12	26/01/13	64	40	Bay L - Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)																				
SW.4.3065	Bay M - Existing Seawall Rockfill (20000m3)	35	10/07/12A	10/07/12A	02/11/12	02/11/12	0	60	Bay M - Existing Seawall Rockfill (20000m3)																				
SW.4.3070	Bay M - Excavation Within MQ2 (25000m3)	35	18/07/12A	18/07/12A	06/11/12	06/11/12*	0	50	Bay M - Excavation Within MQ2 (25000m3)																				
New Seawall Construction																													
SW.4.4020	Bay K - Filter 1 (2000m3)	11	16/09/12A	16/09/12A	05/11/12	05/11/12	0	50	Bay K - Filter 1 (2000m3)																				
SW.4.4025	Bay K - Filter 2 (2000m3)	10	17/09/12A	17/09/12A	10/11/12	10/11/12	0	50	Bay K - Filter 2 (2000m3)																				
SW.4.4030	Bay K - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (2500m3)	14	19/09/12A	19/09/12A	18/11/12	18/11/12	0	40	Bay K - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (2500m3)																				
SW.4.4035	Bay K - Primary Armour 2.3T (1500m3)	15	14/11/12	14/11/12	28/11/12	28/11/12*	0	0	Bay K - Primary Armour 2.3T (1500m3)																				
SW.4.4040	Bay L - Filter 1 (2000m3)	11	24/11/12	27/01/13	04/12/12	06/02/13	64	0	Bay L - Filter 1 (2000m3)																				
SW.4.4045	Bay L - Filter 2 (2000m3)	10	05/12/12	07/02/13	14/12/12	16/02/13	64	0	Bay L - Filter 2 (2000m3)																				
SW.4.4050	Bay L - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (2500m3)	21	15/12/12	17/02/13	04/01/13	09/03/13	64	0	Bay L - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (2500m3)																				
SW.4.4055	Bay L - Primary Armour 2.3T (1500m3)	21	05/01/13	10/03/13	25/01/13	30/03/13	64	0	Bay L - Primary Armour 2.3T (1500m3)																				
SW.4.4060	Bay M - Filter 1 (2150m3)	11	07/11/12	14/11/12	17/11/12	24/11/12	7	0	Bay M - Filter 1 (2150m3)																				
SW.4.4065	Bay M - Filter 2 (2150m3)	10	18/11/12	25/11/12	27/11/12	04/12/12	7	0	Bay M - Filter 2 (2150m3)																				
SW.4.4070	Bay M - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (3000m3)	14	23/11/12	30/11/12	06/12/12	13/12/12	7	0	Bay M - Secondary Armour 0.16T ~ 0.25T (3000m3)																				
SW.4.4075	Bay M - Primary Armour 2.3T (1650m3)	14	05/12/12	09/12/12	18/12/12	22/12/12*	4	0	Bay M - Primary Armour 2.3T (1650m3)																				
RC Deck Construction																													
SW.4.5005	Bay J (S) - Formwork	30	15/09/12A	15/09/12A	31/10/12	05/11/12	5	60	Bay J (S) - Formwork																				
SW.4.5010	Bay J (S) - Rebar Fixing	15	01/10/12A	01/10/12A	27/10/12	10/11/12	14	80	Bay J (S) - Rebar Fixing																				
SW.4.5015	Bay J - Corrosion Monitoring System	7	06/11/12	11/11/12	12/11/12	17/11/12	5	0	Bay J - Corrosion Monitoring System																				
SW.4.5020	Bay J (S) - Deck Beam Concreting	35	13/11/12	18/11/12*	17/12/12	22/12/12	5	0	Bay J (S) - Deck Beam Concreting																				
SW.4.5021	Bay J (N) - Formwork	30	15/09/12A	15/09/12A	31/10/12	05/11/12	5	60	Bay J (N) - Formwork																				
SW.4.5022	Bay J (N) - Rebar Fixing	15	22/10/12	27/10/12	05/11/12	10/11/12	5	0	Bay J (N) - Rebar Fixing																				
SW.4.5023	Bay J (N) - Deck Beam Concreting	35	13/11/12	18/11/12*	17/12/12	22/12/12	5	0	Bay J (N) - Deck Beam Concreting																				
SW.4.5025	Bay J - Precast Planks/Fix Rebar	14	18/12/12	10/09/13	31/12/12	23/09/13	266	0	Bay J - Precast Planks/Fix Rebar																				
SW.4.5030	Bay J - RC Topping Concreting	7	01/01/13	24/09/13	07/01/13	30/09/13*	266	0	Bay J - RC Topping Concreting																				
SW.4.5031	Bay J - Site Clearance	4	22/01/13	09/12/13	25/01/13	12/12/13	321	0	Bay J - Site Clearance																				
SW.4.5035	Bay J - Protective Coating	7	26/01/13	13/12/13	01/02/13	19/12/13	321	0	Bay J - Protective Coating																				
SW.4.5040	Bay J - Dismantling Working Platform	14	02/02/13	20/12/13	15/02/13	02/01/14	321	0	Bay J - Dismantling Working Platform																				
SW.4.5045	Bay K - Erection of Suspension Working Platform	20	17/01/13	14/02/13	05/02/13	05/03/13	28	0	Bay K - Erection of Suspension Working Platform																				
SW.4.5050	Bay K - Formwork	30	27/01/13	24/02/13	25/02/13	25/03/13	28	0	Bay K - Formwork																				
SW.4.5135	Bay M - Erection of Suspension Working Platform	20	23/01/13	13/03/13	11/02/13	01/04/13	49	0	Bay M - Erection of Suspension Working Platform																				
Miscellaneous Work																													
SW.4.6010	Bay J - Utilities Trough/Sewerage Inside Deck	35	18/12/12	29/11/13	21/01/13	02/01/14	346	0	Bay J - Utilities Trough/Sewerage Inside Deck																				
Portion NDA (Bay NDA)																													
Precast Front Panel (PFP) & Temp. Piling Bracing																													
SW.41.2040	Installation of Temp. Bracing & Supporting	21	20/09/12A	20/09/12A	23/10/12	30/12/12	68	80	Installation of Temp. Bracing & Supporting																				
Dredging Work & Removal of Existing Seawall																													
SW.41.2900	Install Silt Curtain System (Bay NDA)	7	20/10/12	10/12/12	26/10/12	16/12/12	51	0	Install Silt Curtain System (Bay NDA)																				
SW.41.3000	Removal of Existing Seawall Armour (4500m3)	21	27/10/12	17/12/12	16/11/12	06/01/13	51	0	Removal of Existing Seawall Armour (4500m3)																				
SW.41.3010	Removal of Existing Seawall Rockfill (16500m3)	35	10/11/12	31/12/12	14/12/12	03/02/13	51	0	Removal of Existing Seawall Rockfill (16500m3)																				
SW.41.3020	Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)	35	01/12/12	21/01/13	04/01/13	24/02/13	51	0	Excavation Within MQ4 (22000m3)																				



KTAP

Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd.

CEDD Contract No. KL/2009/01
 Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development
 Three Months Rolling Programme (NOVEMBER 2012- JANUARY 2013)

Sheet 2 of 3

Start Date	30/11/09
Finish Date	02/01/14
Data Date	20/10/12
Run Date	25/10/12 15:58

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
31/05/11	K	TM	WT
10/10/12	L	TT	KT

Activity ID	Activity Description	Orig Dur	Early Start	Late Start	Early Finish	Late Finish	Total Float	% Comp	2012									2013								
									15	22	29	5	12	19	26	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	4	11
New Seawall Construction																										
SW.41.4000	Filter 1 - (2000m3)	11	05/01/13	25/02/13	15/01/13	07/03/13	51	0																		
SW.41.4010	Filter 2 - (2000m3)	10	16/01/13	08/03/13	25/01/13	17/03/13	51	0																		
SW.41.4020	Secondary Armour 0.16T - 0.25T (2500m3)	21	26/01/13	18/03/13	15/02/13	07/04/13	51	0																		
Concrete Block Seawall																										
SW.41.7000	Filters & Rockfill Under Seawall Blocks	42	05/01/13	26/07/13	15/02/13	05/09/13	202	0																		
Section 5 - Portion CA3, CA5B & WA1A																										
Transplanting and Tree Preservation																										
LS1030	Preservation & Protection of Existing Trees	1,300	03/05/10A	03/05/10A	13/11/13	23/11/13	10	70																		



PENTA-OCEAN
CONSTRUCTION CO., LTD.
五洋建設

© Primavera Systems, Inc.

- Early Bar
- Target
- Progress Bar
- Critical Activity

KTAP

Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd.

CEDD Contract No. KL/2009/01
Site Formation for Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Development
Three Months Rolling Programme (NOVEMBER 2012- JANUARY 2013)

Sheet 3 of 3

Start Date 30/11/09
Finish Date 02/01/14
Data Date 20/10/12
Run Date 25/10/12 15:58

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
31/05/11	K	TM	WT
10/10/12	L	TT	KT