

PROJECT No.: TCS/00512/09

DSD CONTRACT No. DC/2009/13 CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS AT YUNG SHUE WAN AND SOK KWU WAN

SOK KWU WAN PORTION AREA
MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
(EM&A) REPORT (No.8) – MARCH 2011

PREPARED FOR LEADER CIVIL ENGINEERING CORPORATION LIMITED

Quality	Index
---------	-------

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Approved By
15 April 2011	TCS00512/09/600/R0211v2	Aula	Sham
		Nicola Hon Environmental Consultant	T.W. Tam Environmental Team Leader

Version	Date	Description
1	12 April 2011	First Submission
2	15 April 2011	Amended against IEC's comments on 15 April 2011

Scott Wilson CDM Joint Venture

Chief Engineer/Harbour Area Treatment

Scheme

Drainage Services Department

5/F Western Magistracy 2A Pok Fu Lam Road

Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. C K Au

Your reference:

Our reference:

05117/6/16/349541

Date:

20 April 2011

BY FAX ONLY

Dear Sirs.

Contract No. DC/2009/13
Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report No. 8 (Mar 2011)

We refer to the Monthly EM&A Monitoring Report No. 8 for March 2011 received under cover of the email from the Environmental Team, Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES), dated on 20 April 2011. We do not have further comment and have verified the captioned report.

Yours faithfully

SCOTT WILSON CDM JOINT VENTURE

Rodney Ip

ICWR/KKK/ecwc

cc Leader Civil Engineering

AUES ER/LAMMA CDM (Attn: Mr Vincent Chan)

(Attn: Mr T.W. Tam) (Attn: Mr Neil Wong) (Attn: Mr Mark Sin)



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.01. This is the 8th monthly EM&A Report for Sok Kwu Wan (hereinafter 'this Report') for the designated works under Environmental Permit No.EP-281/2007/A, covering a period from 1 to 31 March 2011 (hereinafter 'the Reporting Period') during the construction of relevant land works commencement on 27 July 2010.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES.02. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A program in this Reporting Month are summarized in the following table.

Issues	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	45
All Quality	24-hour TSP	15
Construction Noise	Leq (30min) Daytime	20
Water Quality	Marine Water Sampling	0
Inspection / Audit	ET Regular Environmental Site Inspection	5

ES.03. According to the EM&A Manual of Sok Kwu Wan, water quality monitoring should be carried out during the marine work commencement. Since the marine work of outfall construction has not yet commenced, no impact water quality monitoring was undertaken in this reporting month.

BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES.04. No exceedance of air quality and construction noise monitoring were recorded in this Reporting Month. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) was, therefore, issued. The statistics of environmental exceedance, NOE issued and investigation of exceedance are summarized in the following table.

Environmental	Monitoring	Action Limit			Event & Acti	on
Issues	Parameters Parameters	Level	Level	NOE Issued	Investigation	Corrective Actions
Air Ouglity	1-hour TSP	0	0	0		
Air Quality	24-hour TSP	0	0	0		
Construction Noise	Leq _{30min} Daytime	0	0	0		
	DO	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Water Quality	Turbidity	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	SS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note: NOE – Notification of Exceedance

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES.05. No written or verbal complaint was recorded in this Reporting Month. The statistics of environmental complaint are summarized in the following table.

Donauting Davied	Environmental Complaint Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA	
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA	

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES.06. No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this Reporting Month. The statistics of environmental complaint are summarized in the following tables.



Departing Paried	Environmental Summons Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA	
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA	

Depositing Deviced	Environmental Prosecution Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA	
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA	

REPORTING CHANGE

ES.07. There is no reporting change in this reporting month.

SITE INSPECTION BY EXTERNAL PARTIES

ES.08. No site inspection was undertaken by external parties i.e. EPD or AFCD within the Reporting Period.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- ES.09. As wet season is approaching, muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay and the Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- ES.10. Moreover, special attention should be also paid on the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Mitigation measures implemented for control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should fully implement.
- ES.11. Construction of outfall marine works cannot be carried out until the baseline water quality monitoring completion and the related Action and Limit (A/L) levels have established.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	3
3	SUMMARY OF BASELINE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	4
4	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS - AIR QUALITY	9
5	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – CONSTRUCTION NOISE	10
6	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – WATER QULAITY	11
7	ECOLOGY	11
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	12
9	SITE INSPECTION	13
10	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	14
11	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	15
12	IMPACT FORECAST	21
13	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	22



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits
Table 3-1	Summary of the Air and Noise monitoring parameters of EM&A Requirements
Table 3-2	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
Table 3-3	Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Station
Table 3-4	Location of Marine Water Quality Monitoring Station
Table 3-5	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 3-6	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise
Table 4-1	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1
Table 4-2	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2
Table 4-3	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3
Table 5-1	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM1
Table 5-2	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM2
Table 5-3	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at RNM3
Table 5-4	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM4
Table 7-1	Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials
Table 7-2	Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes
Table 8-1	Site Observations
Table 9-1	Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints
Table 9-2	Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons
Table 9-3	Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution
Table 10-1	Environmental Mitigation Measures

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Layout Plan – Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area
Appendix B	Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties
Appendix C	A Master and Three Months Rolling Construction Programs
Appendix D	Location of Monitoring Stations (Air Quality / Construction Noise / Water Quality)
Appendix E	Monitoring Equipments Calibration Certificate
Appendix F	Event and Action Plan
Appendix G	Monitoring Data Sheet
Appendix H	Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results
Appendix I	Meteorological Information
Appendix J	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table
Appendix K	Weekly Site Inspection Checklist
Appendix L	Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures
Appendix M	Tree Inspection Report



REPORT STRUCTURE

1.09 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report – Sok Kwu Wan is structured into the following sections:-

SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION
SECTION 2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS
SECTION 3	SUMMARY OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
SECTION 4	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 5	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 6	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 7	WASTE MANAGEMENT
SECTION 8	SITE INSPECTIONS
SECTION 9	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE
SECTION 10	IMPLEMENTATION STATUES OF MITIGATION MEASURES
SECTION 11	IMPACT FORECAST
SECTION 12	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION



1 INTRODUCTION

PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.01 The Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Limited (Leader) has been awarded the *Contract DC/2009/13 Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwn Wan* (the Project) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) on 4 May 2010. The Project is part of an overall plan approved under a statutory EIA for Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 Package J Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection and Treatment (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and Disposal Facilities and Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 1 Package C Yung Shue Wan Sewage Treatment Works and Outfall (Register No. EIA-124/BC). The Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007 and EP-282/2007 for the Project have been obtained by the DSD on 29 June 2007 for the relevant works. After July 2009, EP-281/2007/A stead EP-281/2007 is EP for Sok Kwu Wan relevant Works.
- 1.02 The Project involves construction of sewage treatment works at Sok Kwn Wan and Yung She Wan with a capacity of 1,430m³/day and 2,850m³/day respectively to provide secondary treatment, construction of 2 pumping stations at Sok Kwu Wan and 1 pumping station at Yung Shue Wan, construction of submarine outfall from the coastline and lying of underground sewerage pipeline. The site layout plan for the captioned work under the Project is showing in *Appendix A*
- 1.03 According to the Particular Specification (PS) and *Appendix 25* of the Project, Leader should establish an Environmental Team to implement the environmental monitoring and auditing works to fulfill the requirements as stipulated in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual. This EM&A Manual is referred to the Appendix B of the Review Report on EIA Study Sok Kwu Wan (Final) in January 2007 (Agreement No. CE 20/2005(DS))."
- 1.04 Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) has been commissioned by Leader as the ET to implement the relevant EM&A program. Organization chart of the Environmental Team for the Project is shown in *Appendix B*. For ease of reporting, the proposed EM&A programme for baseline and impact monitoring is spilt to following two stand-alone parts:
 - (a) Proposed EM&A Programme for Baseline and Impact Monitoring Sok Kwu Wan (under EP No. 281/2007/A varied on 23 September 2009)
 - (b) Proposed EM&A Programme for Baseline and Impact Monitoring Yung Shue Wan (under EP No. 282/2007)
- 1.05 According to the EM&A Manuals of Sok Kwu Wan and Yung Shue Wan, baseline water quality monitoring should be carried out for consecutive six months before the marine work commencement. Therefore, the baseline reports of Sok Kwu Wan and Yung Shue Wan are divided to two volumes i.e. the Volume 1 for air quality and noise monitoring; and the Volume II for water quality monitoring for separate submission.
- 1.06 There is a concurrent DSD contract "DC/2007/18 Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works" undertaking at Sok Kwu Wan since April 2008 and the works are ongoing.
- 1.07 Consider that the construction works of DC/2007/18 and DC/2009/13 at Sok Kwu Wan is under the same Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual, so the performance criteria of air quality and construction noise at Sok Kwu Wan under the Project is recommended to adopt the Action/Limit Levels established by contract DC/2007/18. The Baseline Monitoring Report Volume 1 under the Project for air quality and noise at Sok Kwu Wan was submitted on 9 July 2010 and verified by IEC and for EPD endorsement before the relevant land works commencement on 27 July 2010.
- 1.08 This is the 8th monthly EM&A report Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from 1 to 31 March 2011.



2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

2.01 Organization structure and contact details of relevant parties with respect to on-site environmental management are shown in *Appendix B*.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.02 The master and three month rolling construction programs are enclosed in *Appendix C* and the major construction activities undertaken in this Reporting Month are listed below:-
 - Footpath Diversion adjacent to SKW Sewage Treatment Works
 - Construction for pumping station no.1 & 2

SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.03 Summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project in this Reporting Month is presented in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Notified EPD on 19 May 2010
		Ref.: 317486
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	In progress
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance	Approved on 29/9/2010
		Valid to: 30/09/2015
		Licence no.: WT00007567-2010
4	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Issued on 26 May 2010
		A/C No: 7010815

- 2.04 The "Baseline/Impact Monitoring Methodology (TCS00512/10/600/R0010Ver.4)" was set out in accordance with the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual. It was approved by the ER and agreed with the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and submitted to the EPD for endorsement.
- 2.05 Baseline Monitoring Report Volume 1 (TCS00512/10/600/R0020Ver.3) for Sok Kwu Wan for the Project was issued by the ETL and verified by the IEC on 12 July 2010. The report was also submitted to the EPD for endorsement.
- 2.06 Baseline Monitoring Report Volume 2 of water quality for Sok Kwu Wan for the Project will be submitted to IEC verification and EPD endorsement upon the six months baseline marine water monitoring completion.



3 SUMMARY OF BASELINE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECT

- 3.01 The EM&A baseline monitoring program cover the following environmental issues:
 - Air quality;
 - Construction noise; and
 - Marine Water quality;
- 3.02 The ET implements the EM&A programme in accordance with the aforementioned requirements. Detailed air quality, construction noise and water quality of the EM&A program are presented in the following sub-sections.
- 3.03 A summary of the Air, Noise and Marine Water monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*:

Table 3-1 Summary of the Air and Noise monitoring parameters of EM&A Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameters				
Air Quality	• 1-hour TSP Monitoring by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and				
All Quality	• 24-hour TSP Monitoring by High Volume Air Sampler.				
Noise	Leq (30min) during normal working hours; and				
Noise	Leq (15min) during Restricted Hours.				
	In-situ Measurements				
	Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L);				
	Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%);				
	• Turbidity (NTU);				
Marina Water Quality	pH unit;				
Marine Water Quality	Salinity (ppt);				
	Water depth (m); and				
	• Temperature (°C).				
	Laboratory Analysis				
	Suspended Solids (mg/L)				

MONITORING LOCATIONS

Air Quality

3.04 Three air monitoring stations: AM1, AM2 and AM3 were designated in the *EM&A Manual Section* 2.5. The detailed air monitoring stations is described in *Table 3-2* and graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-2 Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station

Sensitive Receiver Location						
AM1	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village					
AM2	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village					
AM3	Football court					

Construction Noise

3.05 According to *EM&A Manual Section 3.4* stipulations, there were four noise sensitive receivers (NM1-NM4) designated for the construction noise monitoring. NM1, NM2 and NM4 of the three designated monitoring stations were identified and are monitored by the current DSD contract DC/2007/18. However, the premises monitoring station NM3 was rejected by the owner of 1B Sok Kwu Wan and an alternative noise monitoring station RNM3 replacement was proposed by the contract DC/2007/18 ET and accepted by the IEC and EPD before the baseline monitoring commencement in April 2008. The location RNM3 is located at Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out area which just 3m width footpath away from the original location house 1B. The detailed construction noise monitoring stations to also under the Project is described in *Table 3-3* and graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.



Table 3-3 Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Station

Sensitive Receiver	Location
NM1	1, Chung Mei Village
NM2	20, Sok Kwu Wan
RNM3	Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out Area
NM4	2-storey village house at Ta Shui Wan

Water Quality

3.06 Three control stations (C1-C3) and three impact stations (W1-W3) were recommended in the *EM&A Manual Section 4.5*. Impact stations W1-W3 identified at the sensitive receivers (FCZ and secondary contact recreation subzone) to monitor the impacts from the construction of the submarine outfall as well as the effluent discharge from the proposed STW on water quality. Three control stations: C1, C2 & C3 were specified at locations representative of the project site in its undisturbed condition and located at upstream and downstream of the works area. Detailed and co-ordnance of marine water quality monitoring stations is described in *Table 3-4* and the graphical is shown in *Appendix D* and would be performed for EM&A programme.

Table 3-4 Location of Marine Water Quality Monitoring Station

Station	Description	Co-ordnance		
Station	Description	Easting	Northing	
W1	Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan	832 968	807 732	
W2	Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay	832 607	807 985	
W3	Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay	832 045	807 893	
C1 (flood)	Control Station	833 703	808 172	
C2	Control Station	831 467	807 747	
C3 (ebb)	Control Station	832 220	808 862	

MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

3.07 The Impact monitoring carried out in the EM&A programme is basically in accordance with the requirements in *EM&A Manual Sections* 2.7, 3.6, 4.7 and 4.8. The monitoring requirements are listed as follows:

Air Quality Monitoring

Parameters: 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP.

Frequency: Once in every six days for 24-hour TSP and three times in every six days for

1-hour TSP.

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

Noise Monitoring

Parameters: Leq (30min) & Leq (5min), L10 and L90.

Leq (15min) & Leq (5min), L10 and L90 during the construction undertaken during Restricted Hours (19:00 to 07:00 hours next of normal working day and full

day of public holiday and Sunday)

Frequency: Once per week during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. Restricted Hour

monitoring should depend on conditions stipulated in Construction Noise Permit.

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Marine Water Quality Monitoring

<u>Parameters</u>: Duplicate in-situ measurements: water depth, temperature, Dissolved Oxygen,

pH, turbidity and salinity;

HOKLAS-accredited laboratory analysis: Suspended Solids



<u>Frequency</u>: Three days a week, at mid ebb and mid flood tides. The interval between 2 sets of monitoring will be more than 36 hours.

Sampling Depth

- (i.) Three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above sea bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m.
- (ii.) If the water depth is between 3m and 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above sea bottom.
- (iii.) If the water depth is less than 3m, 1 sample at mid-depth is taken

<u>Duration</u>: During the course of marine works

<u>Post-Construction Monitoring – Marine Water</u>

3.08 Upon the marine works (dredging and HDD pipe installation) completion, 4 weeks of post-construction monitoring would be undertaken in accordance with the *Section 4.8 of EM&A Manual*. The requirements of post-construction monitoring such as the parameter, frequency, location and sampling depth is same as the impact monitoring.

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

3.09 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve. The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

Noise Monitoring

3.10 Sound level meter in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications* 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.11 **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment** The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof dissolved oxygen (DO) measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor, and use a DC power source. The equipment should be capable of measuring as included a DO level in the range of 0 20 mg L-1 and 0 200% saturation; and a temperature of 0 45 degree Celsius.
- 3.12 *pH Meter* The instrument shall consist of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It shall be readable to 0.1 pH in arrange of 0 to 14.
- 3.13 *Turbidity (NTU) Measuring Equipment* The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof turbidity measuring instrument using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 1000 NTU.
- 3.14 *Water Sampling Equipment* A water sampler should comprise a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler should have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth.
- 3.15 *Water Depth Detector* A portable, battery-operated echo sounder should be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit can either be hand held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat.
- 3.16 *Salinity Measuring Equipment* A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0 40 parts per thousand (ppt) should be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each



monitoring location.

- 3.17 **Sample Containers and Storage** Water samples for SS should be stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen).
- 3.18 *Monitoring Position Equipment* A hand-held or boat-fixed type digital Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) with way point bearing indication and Radio Technical Commission for maritime (RTCM) Type 16 error message 'screen pop-up' facilities (for real-time auto-display of error messages and DGPS corrections from the Hong Kong Hydrographic Office), or other equipment instrument of similar accuracy, should be provided and used during marine water monitoring to ensure the monitoring vessel is at the correct location before taking measurements.
- 3.19 **Suspended Solids Analysis** Analysis of suspended solids shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or other international accredited laboratory.

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 3.20 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.21 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment was checked before and after each monitoring event. In-house calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition was undertaken in yearly basis.
- 3.22 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.23 The Water Quality Monitoring equipments such as Dissolved Oxygen meter, pH Meter, Turbidity Measuring Instrument and Salinometer, are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.24 All updated calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Month would be attached in *Appendix E*.

METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

3.25 The meteorological information during the construction phase is obtained from the Wong Chuk Hang Station of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) due to it nearly the Project site.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 3.26 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring program.
- 3.27 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP meter, noise meter and Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System, are downloaded directly from the equipments at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data. For monitoring activities require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

3.28 According to the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-5* and *3-6* as below.



Table 3-5 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Station	Action Le	evel (µg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)		
Monitoring Station	1-hour	24-hour	1-hour	24-hour	
AM1	343	173	500	260	
AM2	331	175	500	260	
AM3	353	191	500	260	

Table 3-6 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring	Action Level	Limit Level			
Location	0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays				
NM1 NM2 RNM3 NM4	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) of Leq(30min) during normal hours from 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays, reduced to 70 dB(A) of Leq(30min) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods			

- 3.29 Due to water quality baseline monitoring still not yet completed, the Action/Limit Levels will be provided in due course.
- 3.30 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in *Appendix F*.



4 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS - AIR QUALITY

4.01 As informed by the Contractor, the construction of relevant land works at Sok Kwu Wan was commenced on 27 July 2010, therefore, the impact EM&A program was started as compliance with the contract Particular Specification, Sok Kwu Wan the EM&A Manual, and the EP. The air quality monitoring results shared with Contract DC/2007/18 are presented in the following sub-sections.

Results of Air Quality Monitoring

4.02 In this reporting period, **5** air quality monitoring days were performed at the designated locations AM1, AM2 and AM3. The results for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP at AM1, AM2 and AM3 are summarized in *Tables 4-1, 4-2* and *4-3* respectively. The 24-hour TSP data are shown in *Appendix G*. Also, the graphical plots of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are shown in *Appendix H*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1

	24-hour	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)						
Date	TSP (μg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured		
3-Mar-11	114	5-Mar-11	11:00	186	176	191		
9-Mar-11	90	11-Mar-11	10:00	135	125	160		
15-Mar-11	75	17-Mar-11	10:30	116	127	120		
21-Mar-11	42	23-Mar-11	11:00	107	106	118		
26-Mar-11	44	29-Mar-11	11:00	119	120	117		
Average	73	Avera	Average		135			
(Range)	(42 - 114)	(Rang	(Range) (106 – 191)					

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

	24-hour	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)						
Date	TSP (µg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured		
3-Mar-11	126	5-Mar-11	11:10	169	148	176		
9-Mar-11	94	11-Mar-11	10:10	151	129	141		
15-Mar-11	169	17-Mar-11	10:35	132	122	128		
21-Mar-11	53	23-Mar-11	11:05	102	105	108		
26-Mar-11	93	29-Mar-11	11:05	128	123	122		
Average	107	Avera	ge	132				
(Range)	(53 - 169)	(Rang	e)	(102 - 176)				

Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3

	24-hour	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)						
Date	TSP (μg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured		
3-Mar-11	171	5-Mar-11	14:30	137	142	144		
9-Mar-11	56	11-Mar-11	14:00	139	146	136		
15-Mar-11	147	17-Mar-11	14:00	97	95	103		
21-Mar-11	42	23-Mar-11	14:30	107	129	116		
26-Mar-11	180	29-Mar-11	14:30	152	139	142		
Average	119	Avera	Average 128					
(Range)	(42 - 180)	(Rang	ge)	(95 – 152)				

- 4.03 As shown in *Tables 4-1*, *4-2* and *4-3*, 24-hour and 1-hour TSP results fluctuated well below the Action Level during the Reporting Period. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP air quality criteria or corrective action was therefore required.
- 4.04 The meteorological information during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix I*.



5 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – CONSTRUCTION NOISE

5.01 The noise monitoring results extracted from Contract DC/2007/18 are presented in the following sub-sections.

Results of Construction Noise Monitoring

5.02 In this monthly report period, 5 construction noise monitoring events were undertaken at designated location NM1, NM2, RNM3 and NM4. The results for Leq_{30min} at NM1, NM2, RNM3 and NM3 are summarized in *Tables 5-1*, 5-2, 5-3 and 5-4 respectively. Also, the graphical plots are shown in *Appendix H*.

Table 5-1 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM1

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
5-Mar-11	11:00	11:30	55.8	57.6	59.8	60.5	58.6	60.0	59.0
11-Mar-11	10:30	11:00	58.2	57.7	72.1	56.0	56.8	55.0	64.9
17-Mar-11	10:30	11:00	55.2	54.6	55.9	51.1	54.8	52.7	54.3
23-Mar-11	11:10	11:40	56.8	59.7	58.1	56.5	56.2	54.2	57.2
29-Mar-11	13:00	13:30	58.9	45.3	42.9	42.9	43.7	41.1	51.7
Limit Level in dB(A)									75

Table 5-2 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM2

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
5-Mar-11	11:37	12:07	65.2	62.5	65.4	63.9	60.8	63.7	63.8
11-Mar-11	11:05	11:35	51.2	65.8	71.5	65.1	58.0	58.7	65.8
17-Mar-11	11:07	11:37	60.6	63.0	63.6	61.9	64.3	68.8	64.6
23-Mar-11	13:06	13:36	56.4	59.3	58.6	57.9	57.0	63.1	59.4
29-Mar-11	13:35	14:05	51.6	50.4	53.4	48.9	58.0	50.8	53.4
Limit Level in dB(A)						•			75

Table 5-3 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at RNM3

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30	Corrected* Leq30
5-Mar-11	13:00	13:30	61.4	60.1	61.2	60.3	61.2	60.5	60.8	63.8
11-Mar-11	11:40	12:10	66.2	64.5	63.7	63.4	58.0	58.1	63.3	66.3
17-Mar-11	13:00	13:30	56.0	55.7	56.7	58.2	57.4	55.6	56.7	59.7
23-Mar-11	13:40	14:10	58.1	56.9	61.8	62.3	58.6	59.3	59.9	62.9
29-Mar-11	14:10	14:40	54.6	52.3	52.7	51.6	47.2	45.6	51.7	54.7
Limit Le	vel in dE	B(A)	-				75			

 $^{^*}$ A façade correction of +3dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

Table 5-4 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM4

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
5-Mar-11	13:40	14:10	58.3	55.3	54.5	52.4	52.8	53.4	55.0
11-Mar-11	13:00	13:30	60.6	52.2	52.3	48.1	47.2	51.1	54.6
17-Mar-11	13:40	14:10	57.8	56.8	54.5	55.3	58.1	50.7	56.1
23-Mar-11	14:20	14:50	62.5	62.9	64.7	58.3	65.0	61.0	62.9
29-Mar-11	14:50	15:20	53.7	59.8	55.4	53.2	58.3	43.1	56.1
Limit Level in dB(A)									75

5.03 It was noted that no noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received. In view of the results shown in *Tables 5-1*, *5-2*, *5-3* and *5-4* which were all below 75dB(A), no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this month.



6 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – WATER QULAITY

6.01 Due to marine water quality baseline monitoring still not yet completed, no marine works was commenced in the Project at Sok Kwu Wan. No impact water quality monitoring was undertaken in this reporting month and no results are presented accordingly in this section.

7 ECOLOGY

- 7.01 According to Clause 3.7 and Figure 4 in the Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A, a total of 12 numbers Celtis Timorensis (uncommon species) in Chung Mei at Sok Kwu Wan, are identified to require labeling, fencing and protection. Out of these, four numbers located in the Pumping Station No.1 area are required to be transplanted in advance of pumping station construction and the transplantation proposal has been submitted to EPD previously.
- 7.02 Regular inspections were carried out on **19 March 2011** by the landscaping sub-Contractor (Melofield Nursery and Landscape Contractor Limited) after the transplantation. A copy of the inspection reports are attached in *Appendix M*.



8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.01 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

Records of Waste Quantities

- 8.02 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
 - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
 - Chemical Waste;
 - General Refuse; and
 - Excavated Soil.
- 8.03 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 7-1* and *7-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix J*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 7-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
C&D Materials (Inert) ('000m³)	0.106	Sok Kwu Wan Transfer Facility
Reused in the Contract (Inert) ('000m ³)	0.255	-
Reused in other Projects (Inert) ('000m ³)	1.175	-
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) ('000m ³)	0	Sok Kwu Wan Transfer Facility

Table 7-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Recycled Metal (kg)	0	-
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	-
Recycled Plastic (kg)	0	-
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	-
General Refuses (tonne)	0.36	Sok Kwu Wan Transfer Facility

8.04 There was no site effluent discharged but the estimated volume of surface runoff was less than 50m^3 in this monthly period.



9 SITE INSPECTION

- 9.01 According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the environmental site inspection should been formulated by ET Leader. Regular environmental site inspections had been carried out by the ET to confirm the environmental performance. In this reporting period, site inspection was carried out on 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 March 2011 after the relevant land work commencement at Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area on 27 July 2010. Besides, routine joint-site visit by IEC, RE, Leader and ET was carried out on 8 March 2011.
- 9.02 The findings/ deficiencies that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 8-1* and the relevant checklists are attached in **Appendix K**.

Table 8-1 Site Observations

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 March 2011	• No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection.	N.A
8 March 2011	 The sediment inside the sedimentation tank should be removed to restore the tank de-silting capacity. The cement bag was disposed on the site. The Contractor should remove it to prevent any runoff to the ground. 	The observations have been followed on 15 March 2011.
15 March 2011	Muddy water discharging was observed, the Contractor should improve de-silting facility to minimize SS content. (PS2)	The observation has been followed on 22 March 2011.
22 March 2011	• Turbid water was discharged from sedimentation tank. The Contractor should improve de-silting system to minimize SS content. (PS1)	The water quality was improved on 25 March 2011.
29 March 2011	Turbid water was discharged from sedimentation tank. The Contractor should improve de-silting system to minimize SS content. (PS1)	More de-silting facilities were further applied and water quality was improved on 29 March 2011.



10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

10.01 No environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received in this reporting period. The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 9-1, 9-2* and *9-3*.

Table 9-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Departing Devied	Environmental Complaint Statistics				
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature		
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA		
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA		

 Table 9-2
 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Domontino Domio d	Environmental Summons Statistics				
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature		
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA		
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA		

Table 9-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Donouting Donied	Environmental Prosecution Statistics				
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature		
27 July 2010 – 28 February 2011	0	0	NA		
1 – 31 March 2011	0	0	NA		



11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

11.01 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized as following:

Dust Mitigation Measure

- 11.02 Installation of 2m high solid fences around the construction site of Pumping Station P2 is recommended. Implementation of the requirements stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and the following good site practices are recommended to control dust emission from the site:
 - (a) Stockpiles of imported material kept on site should be contained within hoardings, dampened and / or covered during dry and windy weather;
 - (b) Material stockpiled alongside trenches should be covered with tarpaulins whenever works are close to village houses;
 - (c) Water sprays should be used during the delivery and handling of cement, sands, aggregates and the like.
 - (d) Any vehicle used for moving sands, aggregates and construction waste shall have properly fitting side and tail boards. Materials should not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and should be covered by a clean tarpaulin.

Noise Mitigation Measure

- 11.03 As detailed in the EIA report, concreting work of the Pumping Station P1a and sewer alignment construction activities would likely cause adverse noise impacts on some of the noise sensitive receivers. Appropriate mitigation measures have therefore been recommended. The mitigation measures recommended in the EIA report are summarised below:
 - (a) Use of quiet equipment for the construction activities of the Pumping Stations and sewer alignment;
 - (b) Use of temporary noise barrier around the site boundary of Pumping Station P1a;
 - (c) Use of kick ripper (saw and lift) method to replace the breaker for pavement removal during sewer alignment construction;
 - (d) Restriction on the number of plant during sewer alignment construction;
 - (e) Use of noise screening structures in the form of acoustic shed or movable barrier wherever practicable and feasible in areas with sufficient clearance and headroom during the construction of sewer alignment;
 - (f) Adoption of manual working method wherever practicable and feasible in areas where the worksites of the proposed sewer alignment are located less than 20m from the residential noise sensitive receivers and less than 30m from the temple and the public library; and
 - (g) Implementation of the following good site practices:
 - Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program.
 - Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.
 - Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.
 - Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.
 - Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.

Water Quality Mitigation Measure

11.04 No-dig method using Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) would be used for the installation of outfall pipe of about 480 m from shore to minimize the potential water quality impacts arising from the dredging works required for the submarine outfall construction. For the remaining outfall pipe of about 240m and the diffuser section, open trench dredging would still be required.



- 11.05 During the dredging works, the Contractor should be responsible for the design and implementation of the following mitigation measures.
 - Dredging should be undertaken using closed grab dredgers with a total production rate of 55m³/hr;
 - Deployment of 2-layer silt curtains with first layer enclosing the grab and the second layer at around 50, from the dredging area while dredging works are in progress;
 - all vessels should be sized such that adequate clearance (i.e. minimum clearance of 0.6m) is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash;
 - all pipe leakages should be repaired promptly and plant shall not be operated with leaking pipes;
 - excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges before the vessel is moved;
 - adequate freeboard (i.e. minimum of 200m) should be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action;
 - all barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and
 - loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of dredged material to the surrounding water, and barges and hoppers should not be filled to a level which would cause the overflow of materials or sediment laden water during loading or transportation; and
 - the decks of all vessels should be kept tidy and free of oil or other substances that might be accidentally or otherwise washed overboard.

Construction Run-off and Drainage

- 11.06 The Contractor should observe and comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and the subsidiary regulations. The Contractor should follow the practices, and be responsible for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures as specified in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage". The design of the mitigation measures should be submitted by the Contractor to the Engineer for approval. These mitigation measures should include the following practices to minimise site surface runoff and the chance of erosion, and also to retain and reduce any suspended solids prior to discharge:
 - Provision of perimeter channels to intercept storm-runoff from outside the site. These should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.
 - Works programmes should be designed to minimize works areas at any one time, thus minimising exposed soil areas and reducing the potential for increased siltation and runoff.
 - Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove the sand/silt particles from run-off. These facilities should be properly and regularly maintained. These facilities shall be carefully planned to ensure that they would be installed at appropriate locations to capture all surface water generated on site.
 - Careful programming of the works to minimise soil excavation works during rainy seasons.
 - Exposed soil surface should be protected by paving or hydroseeding as soon as possible to reduce the potential of soil erosion.
 - Trench excavation should be avoided in the wet season, and if necessary, these should be excavated and backfilled in short sections.
 - Open stockpiles of construction materials on site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric.

General Construction Activities

11.07 Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby coastal waters and stormwater drains. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. Open drainage channels and culverts near the works areas should be covered to block the entrance of large debris and refuse.



Wastewater Arising from Workforce

11.08 Portable toilets shall be provided by the Contractors, where necessary, to handle sewage from the workforce. The Contractor shall also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices

Sediment Contamination Mitigation Measure

- 11.09 The basic requirements and procedures for dredged mud disposal are specified under the WBTC No. 34/2002. The management of the dredging, use and disposal of marine mud is monitored by the MFC, while the licensing of marine dumping is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP).
- 11.10 The uncontaminated dredged sediment will be loaded onto barges and transported to the designated marine disposal site. Appropriate dredging methods have been incorporated into the recommended water quality mitigation measures including the use of closed-grab dredgers and silt curtains. Category L sediment would be suitable for disposal at a gazetted open sea disposal ground.
- During transportation and disposal of the dredged marine sediments, the following measures should be taken to minimize potential impacts on water quality:
 - Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved.
 - Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.

Construction Waste Mitigation Measure

Good Site Practices and Waste Reduction Measures

- 11.12 It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices for the construction waste arising include:
 - Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for the implementation of good site practices, arranging for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.
 - Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures.
 - Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.
 - Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal.
 - Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility.
 - Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors.
 - Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed.
- 11.13 In order to monitor the disposal of C&D waste at landfills and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.
- 11.14 Good management and control can prevent the generation of significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:
 - segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;



- to encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force:
- any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;
- use of reusable non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material;
- prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill:
- proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and
- plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.

General Site Wastes

11.15 A collection area should be provided where waste can be stored prior to removal from site. An enclosed and covered area is preferred for the collection of the waste to reduce 'wind blow' of light material.

Chemical Wastes

- 11.16 After use, chemical waste (eg. cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled. Spent chemicals should be properly stored on site within suitably designed containers, and should be collected by an approved operator for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility or other licenced facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation under the Waste Disposal Ordinance.
- 11.17 Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakages and spillage should only be undertaken with the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges.

Construction and Demolition Material

- 11.18 The C&D material should be separated on-site into three categories: (i) public fill, the inert portion of the C&D material (e.g. concrete and rubble), which should be re-used on-site or disposed of at a public filling area; (ii) C&D waste for re-use and/or recycling, the non-inert portion of the C&D material, (e.g. steel and other metals, wood, glass and plastic); (iii) C&D waste which cannot be re-used and/or recycled. The waste producers are responsible for its disposal at strategic landfills.
- 11.19 In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of material for off-site disposal, it was recommended that inert material should be re-used on-site where possible. Prior to disposal of C&D material, it was also recommended that steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling where practicable to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill.

Ecology Mitigation Measure

Terrestrial Ecology

- 11.20 The uncommon tree species should be labelled and probably fenced to avoid direct or indirect disturbance during construction. Works areas should avoid woodland habitats, in particular where these trees are located.
- 11.21 Construction and maintenance of site runoff control measures would be required at all work sites during construction. These should include barriers to direct runoff to sand/silt removal facilities (sand/silt/traps and/or sediment basins); minimisation of earthworks during rainy season (May to September); and coverage of sand/fill piles and exposed earth during storms.



11.22 Special attention should be paid during the breeding season of Romer's Tree Frog (March to September) to ensure their habitat landward to Pumping Station P2 site is well protected from site runoff. Barriers should be deployed completely along the landward side of the pumping station site boundary to prevent any site runoff from entering the tree frog habitat. Intactness of the barriers should be frequently inspected.

Intertidal and Subtidal Ecology

- 11.23 Construction and maintenance of site runoff control measures would be required at all work sites during construction. These should include barriers to direct runoff to sand/silt removal facilities (sand/silt/traps and/or sediment basins); use of silt curtains along coastline; minimisation of earthworks during rainy season (May to September); and coverage of sand/fill piles and exposed earth during storms.
- 11.24 To reduce impacts of sediment resuspension upon nearby habitats and organisms during dredging, all dredging should be done using a closed-grab dredger, and silt curtains should be deployed around the dredger during all dredging activity

Fisheries Mitigation Measure

11.25 Closed grab dredger, deployment of silt curtains around the immediate dredging area and low dredging rate have been recommended in Water Quality of the EIA report in order to minimise sediment release into the water column.

Landscape & Visual Mitigation Measure

- 11.26 Mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report for landscape and visual impacts during the construction stage are summarised below.
 - Screening of site construction works by use of hoarding that is appropriate to its site context;
 - Retaining existing trees and minimising damage to vegetation where possible by close co-ordination and on site alignment adjusted of rising main and gravity sewer pipelines. Tree protective measures should be implemented to ensure trees identified as to be retained are satisfactorily protected during the construction phase;
 - Careful and efficient transplanting of affected trees (1 no.) to temporary or final transplant location (the proposed tree to be transported is a semi-mature *Macaranga tanarius* and is located at the proposed Pumping Station P2 location);
 - Short excavation and immediate backfilling of sections upon completion of works to reduce active site area:
 - Conservation of top-soil for reuse.
 - Night-time light source from marine fleets should be directed away from the residential units
- 11.27 The implementation schedule of mitigation measures is presented in *Appendix L*.
- 11.28 Leader had been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Leader in this Reporting Month are summarized in *Table 10-1*.

Table 10-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Quality	 Drainage channels were provided to convey run-off into the treatment facilities; and Drainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained.
	 Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet; Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust; and Tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.



Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Noise Waste and Chemical	 Good site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources; Use of quite plant and working methods; Use of site hoarding or other mass materials as noise barrier to screen noise at ground level of NSRs; and To minimize plant number use at the worksite. Excavated material should be reused on site as far as possible to minimize off-site disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment should be recycled if possible;
Management	 disposed of in a suitable manner; The Contractor should adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials to any designed public filling facility and/or landfill; and Chemical waste shall be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.
General	The site was generally kept tidy and clean.



12 IMPACT FORECAST

12.01 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

Water Quality

- Erect of sand bag in proper area to avoid any muddy surface runoff from the loose soil surface or haul road during the rainy days; and
- The accumulated stagnant water should be drained away.

Air Quality

- Vehicles shall be cleaned of mud and debris before leaving the site;
- Stockpile and loose soil surface shall be covered with tarpaulin sheet or other means to eliminate the fugitive dust;
- Water spaying on the dry haul road and exit/entrance of the site in regular basis is reminded;
 and
- Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust.

Noise

- Works and equipment should be located to minimize noise nuisance from the nearest sensitive receiver; and
- Idle equipments should be either turned off or throttled down;

Waste and Chemical Management

- Housekeeping on site shall be improved;
- The Contractor is advised to fence off the construction waste at a designated area in order to maintain the tidiness of the site;
- Drip tray and proper label should be provided for all chemical containers.
- C&D waste should be disposed in regular basis.



13 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

- 13.01 This is the 8th Monthly EM&A Report covering the construction period from 1 to 31 March 2011 (the Reporting Period).
- 13.02 No 1-hour TSP or 24-hr TSP monitoring results was found to be triggered the Action or Limit Level in this Reporting Period.
- 13.03 No noise complaint (an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded in this reporting month.
- 13.04 No impact water quality monitoring was undertaken in this reporting month and baseline monitoring is in progress.
- 13.05 No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received.
- 13.06 In this reporting period, site inspection was carried out on 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 March 2011 after the relevant land work commencement at Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area on 27 July 2010. Besides, routine joint-site visit by IEC, RE, Leader and ET was carried out on 8 March 2011. All the observation has been rectified during the next week site inspection. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered as satisfactory.

RECOMMENDATIONS


- 13.07 As wet season is approaching, muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay and the Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- 13.08 Moreover, special attention should be also paid on the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Mitigation measures implemented for control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should fully implement.
- 13.09 Construction of outfall marine works cannot be carried out. The work perform should be until to the baseline water quality monitoring completion and the related Action and Limit (A/L) levels establishment.



Appendix A

Site Layout Plan – Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area







Appendix B

Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties



Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. AU Chi Kwong	-	-
SCJV	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Neil Wong	2982 0240	2982 4129
SCJV	Resident Engineer	Mr. Alfred Cheung	2982 0240	2982 4129
Scott Wilson	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Rodney Ip	2410 3750	2428 9922
Leader	Project Manager	Mr. Wilfred So	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Site Agent/ Environmental Officer	Mr. Vincent Chan	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Section Engineer	Mr. Burgess Yip	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Safety Officer	Mr. Edwin Leung	2982 1750	2982 1163
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Assistance Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ray Cheung	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Team Supervisor	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079


Legend:


DSD (Employer) – Drainage Services Department
CDM (Engineer) – Scott Wilson CDM Joint Venture
Leader (Main Contractor) – Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Limited
Scott Wilson (IEC) – Scott Wilson Limited
AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting





Appendix C

A Master and Three Months Rolling Construction Programs










Start date	05/05/10		Early bar
Finish date	14/02/14		Progress bar
Data date	17/05/10	_	Critical bar Summary bar
Run date	11/08/10	A	Progress point
Page number	4A	▼	Critical point
		▽	Summary point Start milestone point
c Primavera	Systems, Inc.	*	Finish milestone point

Leader Civil Engineering Corp. Ltd.
Contract No. DC/2009/13
Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at YSW & SKW
Works Programme (Rev. 1)

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
17/05/10	Revision 0	StL	VC
31/07/10	Revision 1	StL	VC

ID		Task Name				Duration	Start	Finish		2011
1	0	Portion G - Proposed	Retaining Wall			28 days	Fri 10/9/10	Wed 13/10/10	Sep Oct Nov D	ec Jan Fe
2		Bay 1	Retaining wan			7 days	Fri 10/9/10	Fri 17/9/10	V : V	
3			es & install dowel h	are		1 day	Fri 10/9/10	Fri 10/9/10		
<u></u>	H		Drilling holes & install dowel bars Erect formwork & concreting				Mon 13/9/10	Fri 17/9/10		-
5	1	Bay 3	voik & concreting			5 days 11 days	Sat 11/9/10	Fri 24/9/10		1
5	+		es & install dowel b	ars		1 day	Sat 11/9/10	Sat 11/9/10		
7			Drilling holes & install dowel bars Erect formwork & concreting				Sat 18/9/10	Fri 24/9/10		
3		Bay 5	Tork & concreting			5 days	Mon 13/9/10	Thu 30/9/10		İ
)			es & install dowel b	ars		1 day	Mon 13/9/10	Mon 13/9/10	- Y	
0			vork & concreting	110		5 days	Sat 25/9/10	Thu 30/9/10		į
1		Bay 0	tork a concreting			13 days	Tue 14/9/10	Wed 29/9/10	183	
2			es & install dowel be	ars		1 day	Tue 14/9/10	Tue 14/9/10		
3						4 days	Sat 25/9/10	Wed 29/9/10		4
4	1	Erect formwork & concreting Bay 2				16 days	Wed 15/9/10	Mon 4/10/10		
5		Drilling holes & install dowel bars				1 day	Wed 15/9/10	Wed 15/9/10		
5			ork & concreting			4 days	Thu 30/9/10	Mon 4/10/10		
7		Bay 4				19 days	Thu 16/9/10	Fri 8/10/10		•
8			es & install dowel ba	ars		1 day	Thu 16/9/10	Thu 16/9/10		į
9			ork & concreting			4 days	Tue 5/10/10	Fri 8/10/10	l ' K	:
0		Bay 6				22 days	Fri 17/9/10	Wed 13/10/10		
1			es & install dowel ba	ars		1 day	Fri 17/9/10	Fri 17/9/10		:
2			ork & concreting			4 days	Sat 9/10/10	Wed 13/10/10	Î	:
3										;
1		Portion I - Proposed R	Rock Cut Slope			169.78 days	Mon 13/9/10	Thu 7/4/11		
5	H	Erection of temp	rock fall Fence			7 days	Mon 13/9/10	Mon 20/9/10		
5		Forming the haul	road & platform at	+13.0mPD		5 days	Tue 21/9/10	Mon 27/9/10		1
7		Forming the haul	road & platform at	+21.0mPD		5 days	Tue 28/9/10	Sat 2/10/10		1
3		Forming the haul	road & platform at	+29.0mPD		5 days	Mon 4/10/10	Fri 8/10/10		
)		Forming the haul	road & platform at	+37.0mPD		5 days	Sat 9/10/10	Thu 14/10/10		
)		Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw +49mPD to +42.5mPD(berm 1)				30 days	Fri 15/10/10	Fri 19/11/10	1	
		Construct the ass	ociated 225 & 600 U	I-channel at above berm		14 days	Fri 12/11/10	Mon 29/11/10		
			Tools		Milestone	A	External Tasks			
ect:	Rollina I	Programme for Mau V	Task			V	_			
	ed 22/9/		Split		Summary		External Milestone			
			Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	1		

ID	0	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2011
32	0	Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw +42.5mPD to +35.0mPD(berm 2)	21 days	Sat 20/11/10	Tue 14/12/10	Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Fel
33		Construct the associated 225U-channel & 900 S-channel at above berm	14 days	Tue 7/12/10	Thu 23/12/10	
34		Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw +35.0mPD to +27.5mPD(berm 3)	21 days	Wed 15/12/10	Tue 11/1/11	
35		Construct the associated 225U-channel & 900 S-channel at above berm	14 days	Tue 4/1/11	Thu 20/1/11	
36		Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw+27.5mPD to +20.0mPD(berm 4)	21 days	Wed 12/1/11	Tue 8/2/11	<u> </u>
37		Construct the associated 225U-channel & 900 S-channel at above berm	14 days	Fri 28/1/11	Thu 17/2/11	
38		Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw +20.0mPD to +12.5mPD(berm 5)	21 days	Wed 9/2/11	Fri 4/3/11	
39		Construct the associated 225U-channel & 900 S-channel at above berm	14 days	Fri 25/2/11	Mon 14/3/11	188
40		Rock cutting & excavate the slope profile btw +12.5mPD to +4.8mPD(ground)	21 days	Sat 5/3/11	Tue 29/3/11	
41		Construct the associated 225U-channel at above berm	14 days	Tue 22/3/11	Thu 7/4/11	
42		Portion E - Pumping Station 2	149 days	Mon 13/9/10	Mon 14/3/11	
44	E I	Breaking & removal of rock blouder	5 days	Mon 13/9/10	Fri 17/9/10	
45	11111	Forming the formation level	10 days	Sat 18/9/10	Thu 30/9/10	
46		Trimming the proposed cut slope	7 days	Wed 22/9/10	Thu 30/9/10	
47	FF	Mobilization of Plant for ELS Works	2 days	Fri 15/10/10	Mon 18/10/10	
48	_	Erection of ELS Works & Excavation to formation level	30 days	Tue 19/10/10	Mon 22/11/10	
49		Commence the structure works	90 days	Tue 23/11/10	Mon 14/3/11	<u> </u>
50						
51		Portion D - Pumping Station 1	136 days	Mon 13/9/10	Sat 26/2/11	
52	n.	Trimming the formation at +2.5mPD	3 days	Mon 13/9/10	Wed 15/9/10	
53	F	Transport & delivery the ELS materials	2 days	Mon 13/9/10	Tue 14/9/10	L.
54		Erection of ELS works to +2.0mPD	14 days	Wed 15/9/10	Fri 1/10/10	
55		Erection of ELS Works & Excavation to formation level	30 days	Sat 2/10/10	Sat 6/11/10	
56		Commence the structure works	90 days	Mon 8/11/10	Sat 26/2/11	







Appendix D

Location of Monitoring Stations
(Air Quality / Construction Noise / Water Quality)





















Appendix E

Monitoring Equipments Calibration Certificate



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ju Operator	ın 02, 2010 Tisch	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		833620 1483	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	297 746.76
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.3990 0.9820 0.8770 0.8350 0.6910	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va (x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9816 0.9775 0.9754 0.9742 0.9689	0.7017 0.9954 1.1122 1.1668 1.4023	1.4042 1.9858 2.2202 2.3286 2.8084	0.9914 0.9893 0.9882	0.7117 1.0096 1.1281 1.1835 1.4223	0.8919 1.2613 1.4102 1.4790 1.7837
Qstd slo intercep coeffici	t (b) = ent (r) =	2.00279 -0.00494 0.99994	coefficien		1.25411 -0.00314 0.99994
y axis =	SQRT[H2O(I	?a/760)(298/Ta)]	y axis = S	QRT [H2O (7	[a/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta \ H \ \left(\ \frac{P \ a}{P \ s \ t \ d} \right) \left(\ \frac{T \ s \ t \ d}{T \ a} \right)}$$

Qa series:

$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (Ta/Pa))}$$

#1483



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C102286

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)

Manufacturer: Bruel & Kjaer

Model No.: 2238

Serial No.: 2285762

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C102286.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Address: Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Date of Issue: 27 April 2010

Certified by:



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102286

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)

MANUFACTURER: Bruel & Kjaer

MODEL NO. :

2238

SERIAL NO.

: 2285762

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

. ___

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 26 April 2010

JOB NO. : IC10-0951

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by:

WIIai

Date: 27 April 2010



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102286

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration using the B & K Acoustic Calibrator 4231, S/N: 2326408 was performed before the test.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280 CL281

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

C100067

DC090052

- 5. Test procedure: MA101N.
- 6. Results:
- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level
- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651	
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	T Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

	Setting		Applied Value		UUT	IEC 60651	
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type I Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L_{ASP}		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L_{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102286

Calibration Report

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

Tono Dans	Tono Build Olgital (2 KHZ)										
	UUT	Setting		App	olied Value	UUT	IEC 60651				
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Burst	Reading	Type 1 Spec.				
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	Duration	(dB)	(dB)				
30 - 110	L_{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.				
	L _{AFMax}				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0				
	L _{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.				
	L_{ASMax}				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0				

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type I Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	-	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	55.4	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	68.1	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	78.0	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
			*		500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.1	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$
					4 kHz	95.1	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$
					8 kHz	93.3	-1.1 (+1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	90.0	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)		(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{CFP}	С	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.8	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.5	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.3	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	88.0	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102286

Calibration Report

6.4 Time Averaging

	UUT Setting				Aŗ		UUT	IEC 60804		
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 110	L _{Aeq}	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10 1/10 ²	110.0	100	99.8 89.8	± 0.5 ± 0.5
			60 sec. 5 min,			1/10 ³		80 70	79.3 69.3	± 1.0 ± 1.0

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.40 dB

104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB)

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C102350

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ008)

Manufacturer: Bruel & Kjaer

Model No.: 2238

Serial No.: 2285690

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C102350.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Address: Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Date of Issue: 30 April 2010

Certified by:



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C102350

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION

: Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ008)

MANUFACTURER:

Bruel & Kjaer

MODEL NO.

2238

SERIAL NO.

2285690

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 29 April 2010

JOB NO. : IC10-0951

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies, USA
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested by:

Date: 30 April 2010



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102350

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- 2. Self-calibration using the laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- 3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280 CL281

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

C100067 DC090052

Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

5.

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level
- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

	UUT	Setting	Applied	Value	UUT	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.1

6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

	UUT Setting				Applied Value		IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	T Setting		Applie	d Value	UUT
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	Α	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102350

Calibration Report

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

Communa	915						
	UU'	T Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L_{ASP}		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L_{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1


6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

	UUT	Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Level Burst		Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	Duration	(dB)	(dB)
30 - 110	L_{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}	2			200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L_{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{ASMax}				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

A-Weighting 6.3.1

	UUT	Setting		Applie	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)	_	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{AFP}	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.7	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102350

Calibration Report

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range	Parameter	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Type 1 Spec.
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)		(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L_{CFP}	С	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.1	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.8	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.4 Time Averaging

	UUT Setting				Ap	***************************************	UUT	IEC 60804		
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration	Burst Duty	Burst Level	Equivalent Level	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec.
					(ms)	Factor	(dB)	(dB)		(dB)
30 - 110	L_{Aeq}	Α	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	99.9	± 0.5
						1/10 ²		90	89.6	± 0.5
			60 sec.			1/10 ³		80	79.7	± 1.0
			5 min.			1/104		70	69.7	± 1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.40 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB 2 kHz : ± 0.40 dB 4 kHz : ± 0.50 dB 8 kHz : ± 0.70 dB

12.5 kHz : $\pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$

 $104 \, dB : 1 \, kHz$: $\pm 0.10 \, dB \, (Ref. 94 \, dB)$ $114 \, dB : 1 \, kHz$: $\pm 0.10 \, dB \, (Ref. 94 \, dB)$ Burst equivalent level : $\pm 0.2 \, dB \, (Ref. 110 \, dB)$

continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No.: C102285

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)

Manufacturer: Bruel & Kjaer

Model No.: 4231

Serial No.: 2326408

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C102285.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name: Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Address: Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Date of Issue: 27 April 2010

Certified by:

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Website: www.suncreation.com

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102285

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION

: Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)

MANUFACTURER:

Bruel & Kjaer

MODEL NO.

4231

SERIAL NO.

: 2326408

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

RELATIVE HUMIDITY: $(55 \pm 20)\%$

LINE VOLTAGE

. ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST: 26 April 2010

JOB NO. : IC10-0951

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Precision Measurement Ltd., UK
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by:

W I I ai

Date: 27 April 2010

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited



Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No.: C102285

Calibration Report

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours before the commencement of the test.
- 2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID TST150A CL130 CL281 <u>Description</u>
Measuring Amplifier
Universal Counter
Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Certificate No. C101008 C093122 DC090052

- 4. Test procedure: MA100N.
- 5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT	Measured Value	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value
Nominal Value	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	(Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark: - The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition	Model			
Temperature	٦	Model		
Relative Humidity	38	%RH		G
Barometric Pressure	28.96 (980.7)	inHg (hPa)		Serial Num

Model	AM510
Serial Number	11008017

☐ As Left ☐ In Tolerance ☐ Out of Tolerance



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Sona H.

Final Function Check

August 6, 2010

Date




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition		<u> </u>
Temperature	73.2 (22.9)	°F (°C)
Relative Humidity	38	%RH
Barometric Pressure	29.08 (984.8)	inHg (hPa)

Model	AM510
Serial Number	11008060



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, AI test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable Photometer DC Voltage(Keithley) Barometric Pressure Humidity	System ID E003433 E002859 E003733 E002873	Last Cal. 05-17-10 01-05-10 12-26-09 02-23-10	Cal. Due 11-17-10 01-05-11 12-26-10 02-23-11	Measurement Variable Flow and temperature Microbalance Temperature Pressure	System ID E003434 E003403 E002873 E003440	Last Cal. 04-21-10 01-07-10 02-23-10 08-26-09	Cal. Due 04-21-11 01-07-11 02-23-11 08-26-10
---	---	---	--	---	---	---	--

Tao Vang Calibrated

Final Function Check

August 17, 2010

Date




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Condition				
Temperature 74.8 (23.8) °F (°C)				
Relative Humidity	38	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.96 (980.7)	inHg (hPa)		Ser

Model	AM510
Serial Number	11008018



TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adusted to respirable mass of standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Soua H.

Final Function Check

August 6, 2010

Date

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Squatter house in Chung Mei Village

Location ID: AM1 Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

1014
21.6

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

760.5 295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH		
Model->	5025A		
Serial # ->	1483		

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

2.00279 -0.00494

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.622	59	59.70	Slope = 32.9014
13	4	4	8	1.423	53	53.63	Intercept = 6.5993
10	2.9	2.9	5.8	1.212	46	46.55	Corr. coeff. = 0.9998
7	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.929	37	37.44	
5	0.8	0.8	1.6	0.638	27	27.32	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Squatter house in Chung Mei Village

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11 Location ID: AM2 Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

1014
21.6

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) Temperature (K)

760.5 295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH
Model->	5025A
Serial # ->	1483

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

.00279 0.00494

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	6	6	12	1.743	58	58.69	Slope = 24.5723
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.476	51	51.61	Intercept = 15.5545
10	3	3	6	1.233	45	45.53	Corr. coeff. = 0.9997
7	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.929	38	38.45	
5	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.597	30	30.36	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Football court

Location ID: AM3

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11

Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

1014
21.6

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

760.5 295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH
Model->	5025A
Serial # ->	1483

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

2.00279 -0.00494

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.638	51	51.61	Slope = 23.9771
13	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.441	46	46.55	Intercept = 12.1533
10	3	3	6	1.233	41	41.49	Corr. coeff. = 0.9997
7	1.5	1.5	3	0.873	33	33.39	
5	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.553	25	25.30	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





Appendix F

Event/Action Plan



Air Quality



EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	Notify Contractor.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
		LIMIT LEVEL		
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IC(E), ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IC(E), agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Construction Noise



EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	 Notify IC(E) and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IC(E), ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness 	 Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	 Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E); Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	 Identify source; Inform IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Appendix G

Monitoring Data Sheet

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results - AM1

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11

Slope = 32.9014

Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Intercept = 6.5993

		EI	LAPSED TI	ME	CHA	ART READ	ING			STANDARD		INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	DUST
DATE	SAMPLE							AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hour TSP
	NUMBER	INITIAL	FINAL	ACTUAL	MIN	MAX	AVG	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUME	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	IN AIR
				(min)				(oC)	(hPa)	(m3/min)	(std m3)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m^3)
3-Mar-11	23380	10256.98	10280.44	1407.60	30	32	31.0	18.1	1018.5	0.76	1063	2.8045	2.9252	0.1207	114
9-Mar-11	23406	10281.62	10306.02	1464.00	30	32	31.0	15.7	1021.8	0.76	1114	2.803	2.9028	0.0998	90
15-Mar-11	23440	10306.62	10331.13	1470.60	30	32	31.0	19	1017.9	0.75	1108	2.8222	2.9048	0.0826	75
21-Mar-11	23470	10331.03	10355.23	1452.00	29	31	30.0	22.9	1011.9	0.71	1036	2.7867	2.8305	0.0438	42
26-Mar-11	23473	10355.83	10380.22	1463.40	30	32	31.0	17.8	1022.9	0.76	1109	2.7927	2.8416	0.0489	44

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results - AM2

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11

Slope = 24.5723

Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Intercept = 15.5545

		EI	LAPSED TI	ME	CHA	ART READ	OING			STANDARD)	INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	DUST
DATE	SAMPLE							AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hour TSP
	NUMBER	INITIAL	FINAL	ACTUAL	MIN	MAX	AVG	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUME	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	IN AIR
				(min)				(oC)	(hPa)	(m3/min)	(std m3)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m^3)
3-Mar-11	23382	8792.81	8816.19	1402.80	30	32	31.0	18.1	1018.5	0.65	907	2.8126	2.9272	0.1146	126
9-Mar-11	23408	8816.19	8839.58	1403.40	29	31	30.0	15.7	1021.8	0.61	860	2.7838	2.8648	0.0810	94
15-Mar-11	23439	8839.58	8863.07	1409.40	30	32	31.0	19	1017.9	0.64	908	2.8114	2.965	0.1536	169
21-Mar-11	23471	8863.07	8887.18	1446.60	30	32	31.0	22.9	1011.9	0.63	914	2.7878	2.836	0.0482	53
26-Mar-11	23474	8887.18	8910.55	1402.20	30	32	31.0	17.8	1022.9	0.65	912	2.7876	2.8727	0.0851	93

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results - AM3

Date of Calibration: 28-Feb-11

Slope = 23.9771

Next Calibration Date: 28-Apr-11

Intercept = 12.1533


		EI	LAPSED TI	ME	CHA	ART READ	ING			STANDARD		INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	DUST
DATE	SAMPLE							AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hour TSP
	NUMBER	INITIAL	FINAL	ACTUAL	MIN	MAX	AVG	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUME	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	IN AIR
				(min)				(oC)	(hPa)	(m3/min)	(std m3)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m^3)
3-Mar-11	23381	4300.69	4323.85	1389.60	30	32	31.0	18.1	1018.5	0.80	1118	2.8035	2.9946	0.1911	171
9-Mar-11	23407	4323.85	4347.85	1440.00	30	32	31.0	15.7	1021.8	0.81	1170	2.8082	2.874	0.0658	56
15-Mar-11	23441	4347.85	4371.85	1440.00	29	32	30.5	19	1017.9	0.78	1125	2.8063	2.9714	0.1651	147
21-Mar-11	23472	4371.85	4396.11	1455.60	30	32	31.0	22.9	1011.9	0.79	1149	2.797	2.8453	0.0483	42
26-Mar-11	23475	4396.11	4421.02	1494.60	30	32	31.0	17.8	1022.9	0.81	1208	2.7712	2.9886	0.2174	180




Appendix H

Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results


























Appendix I

Meteorological Information



Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

Date		Weather
1-Mar-11	Tue	Mainly cloudy with haze.
2-Mar-11	Wed	Cloudy.
3-Mar-11	Thu	A few rain patches.
4-Mar-11	Fri	Moderate east to northeasterly winds
5-Mar-11	Sat	Light winds.
6-Mar-11	Sun	Mainly fine apart from some haze in the afternoon.
7-Mar-11	Mon	Mainly cloudy with haze.
8-Mar-11	Tue	Moderate east to northeasterly winds
9-Mar-11	Wed	Mainly cloudy and dry.
10-Mar-11	Thu	Light winds.
11-Mar-11	Fri	Mainly fine and dry.
12-Mar-11	Sat	Moderate north to northeasterly winds
13-Mar-11	Sun	Cloudy.
14-Mar-11	Mon	Fresh to strong northerly winds.
15-Mar-11	Tue	Mainly cloudy.
16-Mar-11	Wed	Mainly cloudy and dry.
17-Mar-11	Thu	Moderate east to northeasterly winds
18-Mar-11	Fri	Fresh easterly winds
19-Mar-11	Sat	Moderate northeasterly winds.
20-Mar-11	Sun	Cloudy
21-Mar-11	Mon	Sunny periods
22-Mar-11	Tue	Cloudy with haze.
23-Mar-11	Wed	Moderate north to northeasterly winds
24-Mar-11	Thu	Mainly fine and dry.
25-Mar-11	Fri	Moderate northeasterly winds.
26-Mar-11	Sat	Mainly fine and very dry.
27-Mar-11	Sun	Dry with sunny periods.
28-Mar-11	Mon	Moderate east to northeasterly winds.
29-Mar-11	Tue	Moderate east to northeasterly winds.
30-Mar-11	Wed	Cloudy with a few rain patches.
31-Mar-11	Thu	Moderate easterly winds.



Appendix J

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for March 2011

			Actu	ıal Quant	ities of Ir	nert C&D	Material	s Genera	ted Mont	hly				A	ctual Qu	antities	of C&D	Wastes	Generate	ed Month	nly	
Month	Total Q Gene (a) = (c)		Hard Re Large I Cone (t	crete	Reused Con	tract	Reused Proj	ects	Dispo Publi (6	c Fill	Import (i		Me	tals	Par cardt packa	oard	Plas	stics	Cher Wa		Oth e.g. ru	
	(in '00	$00m^3$)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	$00m^3$)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00kg)	(in '00	00kg)	(in '00	00kg)	(in '00	00kg)	(in to	onne)
	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW
2010	4.522	0.030	0.068	0.104	0.488	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.033	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	18.460
Jan	0.985	3.110	0.003	0.013	0.120	0.484	0.000	2.626	0.865	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.240
Feb	0.377	0.000	0.000	0.043	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.377	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.350
Mar	0.758	1.430	0.002	0.106	0.006	0.255	0.000	1.175	0.752	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.360
Apr																						
May																						
Jun																						
<mark>Sub-total</mark>	6.6419	4.5703	0.0719	0.2663	0.6145	0.7390	0.0000	3.8010	6.0275	0.0303	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	21.4100
Jul																						
Aug																						
Sep																						
Oct																						
Nov																						
Dec																						
Total	6.6419	4.5703	0.0719	0.2663	0.614	0.739	0.000	3.801	6.0275	0.0303	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.41
20001	11.2	212	0.3	38	1.3	53	3.8	01	6.0	58	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	21.	41

Remark: Assume 1.0 m^3 vehicle dump load = 1.6 tonnes C&D materials

YSW: Yung Shue Wan

SKW: Sok Kwu Wan



Appendix K

Weekly Site Inspection Checklist



Projec	ct: TCS/00512/ 09	Inspecte	ed by		Che	ecklist No.	TCS512B-010311
•	Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at	ETL/ ET	's Represer	ntative:		/ Cheung	
	Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan		presentativ		-	eph Ng	
			tor's Repre presentativ		e: <u>Eav</u>	vin Leung	
Date:	1 March 2011	Time:	•		14:0	00	
PAR	T A: GENERAL INFORMATION				Envi	ronmental	Permit No.
Wea		Rainy			✓ EP- 28	81/2007A	
Hum	oerature: 21.2 °C idity: High ✓ Moderate Low						
Wind		Calm					
Area I	Inspected Sok Kwu Wan						
ı	SUK KWU WAII						
PART	B: SITE AUDIT						
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No		Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 1: Water Quality					_	
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?		\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?		\checkmark				
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?		\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?		\checkmark				
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?		\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?	\checkmark					
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?	\checkmark					
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?	\checkmark					
1.18	Is the oil leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?		\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?					\checkmark	
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	\checkmark					
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?	\checkmark				
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m³ capacities for sedimentation.	\checkmark				
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.		\checkmark			
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.	\checkmark				
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.	\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.	\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark			
1.30	Is open stockpiles well covered by impermeable sheet?		\checkmark			
Section	on 2: Air Quality					
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?	\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark			
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark			
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?					
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?	\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?		\checkmark			
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark			
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark			
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark			
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark			
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark			
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?	\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?		\checkmark			
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark			
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark			
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must remove form site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.		\checkmark			
Section	on 3: Noise					
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark			
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark			
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark			
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark			
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark			
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?	\checkmark				
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?	\checkmark				
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?	\checkmark				
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height	V				
3.14	with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management					
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark			
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark			
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark			
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark			
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?	\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark			
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark			
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?		\checkmark			
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark			
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?		\checkmark			
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?		\checkmark			
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?		\checkmark			
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark			
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark			
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark			
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark			
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark			
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark			
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark			
4.23	Contaminated sediments will managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.	\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?			\checkmark		Refer to Monthly EM&A report - March 2011 - Appendix M
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark			Refer to tree photos
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark				
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark			
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others					
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	\checkmark				

Remarks:

Findings of Site Inspection (Sok Kwu Wan): (1 March 2011)

Follow up:

No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection.



Tree Photos:



The transplanted and retained uncommon tree species trees were found to be labeled, fenced and protected.

Prepared by ET's representative

Ray Cheung



Projec	ct: TCS/00512/ 09	Inspecte	ed by		Che	ecklist No.	TCS512B-080311
-	Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at		's Represen	tative:	TW	Tam	
	Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan	•	presentative		-	eph Ng	
		•	tor's Repres epresentativ		-	vin Leung Kwok	
Date:	8 March 2011	Time:	presentativ	·.	09:		
PAR	T A: GENERAL INFORMATION				Envi	ronmental	Permit No.
Wea	ther: Sunny Fine Cloudy	Rainy			✓ EP- 28	31/2007A	
Temp	perature: 15.5 °C						
	idity: High Moderate Low	1					
Wind	d: Strong Breeze Light Inspected	Calm					
1	Sok Kwu Wan						
PART	B: SITE AUDIT						
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No		Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 1: Water Quality	1				_	
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence	?	\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?	0 🔲			\checkmark		Remark 1
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	0 🗌	\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	0 🗌	\checkmark				
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected be crushed stone or gravel?	у 🔲	\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?	\checkmark					
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?	\checkmark					
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?	n 🗸					
1.18	Is the oil leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?	е 🗌	\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?	е 🗌				$\overline{\checkmark}$	
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage system for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	s 🔽					
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?	\checkmark				
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m³ capacities for sedimentation.	\checkmark				
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.		\checkmark			
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.	\checkmark				
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.	\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.	\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark			
1.30	Is open stockpiles well covered by impermeable sheet?		\checkmark			
Section	on 2: Air Quality					
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?	\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark			
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark			
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?					
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?	\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?		\checkmark			
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark			
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark			
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark			
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark			
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark			
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?	\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?		\checkmark			
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark			
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark			
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must remove form site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.		\checkmark			
Section	on 3: Noise					
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark			
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark			
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark			
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark			
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		$\overline{\checkmark}$			
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?	\checkmark				
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?	\checkmark				
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?	\checkmark				
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure)	\checkmark				
3.14	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management					
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark			
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark			
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark			
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark			
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?	\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark			
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark			
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?		\checkmark			
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark			
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?		\checkmark			
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?		\checkmark			
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?		\checkmark			
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark			
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark			
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark			
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?			\checkmark		Remark 2
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark			
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark			
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark			
4.23	Contaminated sediments will managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.	\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?			\checkmark		Refer to Monthly EM&A report - March 2011 - Appendix M
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark			Refer to tree photos
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark				
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark			
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others					
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	\checkmark				

Remarks: Findings of Site Inspection (Sok Kwu Wan): (8 March 2011)



Rectified on 15 March 2011

Follow up:



The sediment inside the sedimentation tank should be The tank was cleaned. removed to restore the tank de-silting capacity.



The cement bag was disposed on the site. The Contractor should remove it to prevent any runoff to the ground.



The cement bag was removed.



Tree Photos:



The transplanted and retained uncommon tree species trees were found to be labeled, fenced and protected.

Prepared by *ET's representative*

Ray Cheung



Proje	ect: TCS/00512/ 09	Inspect	ed by		Ch	ecklist No.	TCS512B-150311
-	Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at	ETL/ ET	's Represe	ntative:		y Cheung	
	Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan		presentativ			seph Ng	
			tor's Repre epresentati		: <u>Eu</u>	win Leung	
Date:	15 March 2011	Time:			14:	00	
PAR	RT A: GENERAL INFORMATION	_			Envi	ironmental	Permit No.
	ather: Sunny Fine Cloudy	Rainy			✓ EP- 2	81/2007A	
	perature: 19.0 °C nidity: High ✓ Moderate Low						
Wind		Calm					
Area 1	Inspected Sok Kwu Wan						
PART	T B: SITE AUDIT						
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No		Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	ion 1: Water Quality					-	
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\checkmark				_
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?	?	\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?				\checkmark		Remark 1
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	· 🗆	\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	P	\checkmark				
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?	/	\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?	\checkmark					
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?	\checkmark					
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?						
1.18	Is the oil leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?		\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?	Ш				$\overline{\checkmark}$	
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	S 🗹					
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance;	Not		Follow		Photo/
Note:	Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Obs.	Yes No	 Up	N/A	Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?	\checkmark				
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m ³ capacities for sedimentation.	\checkmark				
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.		\checkmark			
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.	\checkmark				
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.	\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.	\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark			
1.30	Is open stockpiles well covered by impermeable sheet?		\checkmark			
Section	on 2: Air Quality				-	
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?	\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark			
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark			
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?					
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?	\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?		\checkmark			
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark			
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark			
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark			
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark			
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark			
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?	\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?		\checkmark			
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark			
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark			
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must remove form site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.		\checkmark			
Section	on 3: Noise					
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark			
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark			
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark			
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark			
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		V			
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?	\checkmark				
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?	\checkmark				
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?	\checkmark				
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height	V				
3.14	with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management					
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark			
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark			
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark			
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark			
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?	\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark			
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark			
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?		\checkmark			
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark			
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?		\checkmark			
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?		\checkmark			
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?		\checkmark			
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark			
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark			
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark			
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark			
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark			
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark			
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark			
4.23	Contaminated sediments will managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.	\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?			\checkmark		Refer to Monthly EM&A report - March 2011 - Appendix M
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark			Refer to tree photos
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark				
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark			
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others					
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	\checkmark				

Remarks:

Findings of Site Inspection (Sok Kwu Wan): (15 March 2011)



Follow up:



Muddy water discharging was observed, the Contractor Sand bags and tarpaulin sheet were applied and should improve de-silting facility to minimize SS content. no muddy water discharging was observed. (PS2)



Tree Photos:



The transplanted and retained uncommon tree species trees were found to be labeled, fenced and protected.

Prepared by ET's representative

Ray Cheung



Proje	ct: TCS/00512/ 09	Inspect	ed by		Ch	ecklist No.	TCS512B-220311
-	Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at	ETL/ ET	's Represe	ntative:	Ra	y Cheung	
	Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan		presentativ			eph Ng	
			tor's Repre epresentati		: <u>Eu</u>	win Leung	
Date:	22 March 2011	Time:			14:	00	
PAR	RT A: GENERAL INFORMATION	_		_	Envi	ronmental	Permit No.
	ather: Sunny Fine Cloudy	Rainy			✓ EP- 2	81/2007A	
	perature: 19.7 °C nidity: High ✓ Moderate Low						
Wind		Calm					
Area 1	Inspected Sok Kwu Wan						
·							
PART	T B: SITE AUDIT						
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No		Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	ion 1: Water Quality						
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\checkmark				
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?	?	\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?				\checkmark		Remark 1
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	· 🗆	\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	P	\checkmark				
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?	/	\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?	\checkmark					
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?	\checkmark					
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?						
1.18	Is the oil leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?		\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?	Ш				$\overline{\checkmark}$	
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	S 🗸					
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance;	Not		Follow		Photo/
Note:	Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Obs.	Yes No	 Up	N/A	Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?	\checkmark				
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m ³ capacities for sedimentation.	\checkmark				
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.		\checkmark			
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.	\checkmark				
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.	\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.	\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark			
1.30	Is open stockpiles well covered by impermeable sheet?		\checkmark			
Section	on 2: Air Quality				-	
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?	\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark			
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark			
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?					
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?	\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?		\checkmark			
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark			
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark			
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark			
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark			
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark			
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?	\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?		\checkmark			
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark			
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark			
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must remove form site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.		\checkmark			
Section	on 3: Noise					
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark			
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark			
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark			
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark			
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		V			
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?	\checkmark				
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?	\checkmark				
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?	\checkmark				
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height	V				
3.14	with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management					
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark			
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark			
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark			
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark			
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?	\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark			
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark			
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?		\checkmark			
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark			
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?		\checkmark			
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?		\checkmark			
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?		\checkmark			
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark			
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark			
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark			
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark			
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark			
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark			
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark			
4.23	Contaminated sediments will managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.	\checkmark				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?			\checkmark		Refer to Monthly EM&A report - March 2011 - Appendix M
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark			Refer to tree photos
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark				
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark			
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others					
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	\checkmark				

Remarks: Findings of Site Inspection (Sok Kwu Wan): (22 March 2011)



Turbid water was discharged from sedimentation tank. The water quality was improved. The Contractor should improve de-silting system to minimize SS content. (PS1)

Follow up: Rectified on 25 March 2011





Tree Photos:



The transplanted and retained uncommon tree species trees were found to be labeled, fenced and protected.

Prepared by: *ET's representative*

Ray Cheung)



Projec	ct: TCS/00512/ 09	Inspecte	ed by		Che	ecklist No.	TCS512B-290311		
	Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at	ETL/ ET	's Represer	ntative:	Ray	Ray Cheung			
	Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan	•	presentativ			eph Ng			
		•	tor's Repres		: <u>Eav</u>	Edwin Leung			
Date:	29 March 2011	Time:			14:0	00			
PAR	RT A: GENERAL INFORMATION				Envi	ronmental	Permit No.		
	ther: Sunny Fine V Cloudy	Rainy			✓ EP- 28	31/2007A			
	perature: 18.0 °C nidity: High ✓ Moderate Low								
Winc		Calm							
	Inspected	1							
1	Sok Kwu Wan								
PART	B: SITE AUDIT								
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No		Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks		
Section	on 1: Water Quality					-			
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		$\overline{\checkmark}$						
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence	?	\checkmark						
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark						
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?	0 🗌			\checkmark		Remark 1		
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	° 🔲	\checkmark						
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries tintercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	0 🔲	\checkmark						
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark						
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected b crushed stone or gravel?	у 🔲	\checkmark						
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark						
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark						
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark						
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark						
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?	\checkmark							
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?	\checkmark							
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark						
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark						
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located withir roofed areas?	n 🗹							
1.18	Is the oil leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark						
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?	е 🗌	\checkmark						
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concret washings during concreting works?	е 🗌				$\overline{\checkmark}$			
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage system for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	s 🗸							
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark						



	Not Obe : Not Observed: Vac: Compliance: No: Non Compliance:	Not		Follow		Photo/
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Obs.	Yes No	Up	N/A	Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?	\checkmark				
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m³ capacities for sedimentation.	\checkmark				
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.		\checkmark			
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.	\checkmark				
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.	\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.	\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark			
1.30	Is open stockpiles well covered by impermeable sheet?		\checkmark			
Section	on 2: Air Quality					
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?	\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark			
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark			
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?					
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?	\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?		\checkmark			
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark			
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark			
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark			
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark			
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark			
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?	\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?		\checkmark			
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark			
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark			
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must remove form site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.		\checkmark			
Section	on 3: Noise					
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark			
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark			
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark			
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark			
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?	\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark			

Environmental Team – Weekly Site Inspection and Audit Checklist – Sok Kwu Wan



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark			
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?	\checkmark				
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?	\checkmark				
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?	\checkmark				
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height	V				
3.14	with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).	\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management					
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark			
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark			
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark			
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark			
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?	\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark			
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark			
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?		\checkmark			
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark			
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?		\checkmark			
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?		\checkmark			
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?		\checkmark			
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark			
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark			
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark			
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark			
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark			
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark			
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark			
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark			
4.23	Contaminated sediments will managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.	\checkmark				

Environmental Team – Weekly Site Inspection and Audit Checklist – Sok Kwu Wan



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes No	Yes No		N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	n 5: Landscape & Visual						
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?				\checkmark		Refer to Monthly EM&A report - March 2011 - Appendix M
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				Refer to tree photos
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	\checkmark					
Section	nn 6: Others						
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	\checkmark					

Remarks:

Findings of Site Inspection (Sok Kwu Wan): (29 March 2011)



Follow up: Rectified on 29 March 2011



Turbid water was discharged from sedimentation tank. More de-silting facilities were further applied and The Contractor should improve de-silting system to water quality was improved. minimize SS content. (PS1)



Tree Photos:



The transplanted and retained uncommon tree species trees were found to be labeled, fenced and protected.

Prepared by: ET's representative

Ray Cheung)



Appendix L

Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures



Implementation Schedule of Air Quality Measures

EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		olementa Stages**		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	С	О	& Guidelines
Consti	ruction Phase							
3.32	2.34	Installation of 2m high solid fences around the construction site of Pumping Station P2.	Work site / during construction	Contractor		√		
3.34	2.34	 Adopting the following good site practices and follow the dust control requirements of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation: Stockpiles of imported material kept on site should be contained within hoardings, dampened and / or covered during dry and windy weather; Material stockpiled alongside trenches should be covered with tarpaulins whenever works are close to village houses; Water sprays should be used during the delivery and handling of cement, sands, aggregates and the like. Any vehicle used for moving sands, aggregates and construction waste should have properly fitting side and tail boards. Materials should not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and should be covered by a clean tarpaulin. 	Work site / during construction	All contractors		√ ·		EIAO-TM, APCO, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.36	Section 2	1 hour and 24 hour dust monitoring and site audit	Designated air monitoring locations / throughout construction period	Contractor/ Environmental Team		√		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Noise Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location/Timing	Implementation		olementa Stages *:		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	220, 20 0222022022 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Agent	D	C	О	Guidelines
Construct	tion Phase							
4.41-4.43	3.19	 Use of quiet PME for the construction of the pumping stations Use of temporary noise barrier during the construction of Pumping Station P1a 	Work site /during the construction of Pumping Stations	Contractor		V		EIAO-TM, NCO
4.44 – 4.49	3.19	 Implementation of following measures during the sewer construction: Use of quiet PME or method; Restriction on the number plant (1 item for each type of plant); and Good Site Practices Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	Work site /during the construction of Sewer.	Contractor		N		



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location/Timing	Implementation		lementa Stages **		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref			Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
4.50 – 4.53	3.19	 Use of noise screening structures such as acoustic shed and barrier wherever practicable and feasible in areas with sufficient clearance and headroom. Adoption of manual working method wherever practicable and feasible in areas where the worksites of the proposed sewer alignment are located less than 20 m from the residential NSRs and less than 30 m from the temple (THT) and the public library. Use of PME for the construction of the section of sewer between the NSR and the Pumping Station P1a should not be allowed during the excavation work of Pumping Station P1a. 	Work site /during the construction of Sewer.	Contractor		V		
4.60	Section 35	Noise monitoring	Designated noise monitoring locations / throughout construction period	Contractor/ Environmental Team		√		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Water Quality Control Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration /completion of	Implementation		lement Stages*		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref		measures)	Agent	D	C	O	and Guidelines
	ction Phase			1	1		1	ı
5.77	4.35	No-dig method using Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) would be used for the installation of outfall pipe of about 480 m from shore to minimize the potential water quality impacts arising from the dredging works required for the submarine outfall construction. Silt curtains will be installed around the exit area of the pilot drill.	Marine works site / During construction of submarine outfall	Contractor		V		
5.73 – 5.78	4.36	 Dredging Works Implementation of following measures during the dredging works: dredging should be undertaken using closed grab dredgers with a maximum total production rate of 55m³/hr; deployment of 2-layer silt curtains with the first layer enclosing the grab and the second layer at around 50m from the dredging area while dredging works are in progress; dredging operation should be undertaken during ebb tide only; all vessels should be sized such that adequate clearance (i.e. minimum clearance of 0.6m) is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; all pipe leakages should be repaired promptly and plant should not be operated with leaking pipes; excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges before the vessel is moved; adequate freeboard (i.e. minimum of 200mm) should be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action; all barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; loading of barges should be controlled to prevent splashing of dredged material to the surrounding water, and barges should not 	Marine works site and at the identified water sensitive receivers/ During construction	Contractor		~		



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration /completion of	Implementation		lement Stages*		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref	Environmentari Totection vicasures	measures)	Agent	D	С	O	and Guidelines
		be filled to a level which will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; and						
		• the decks of all vessels should be kept tidy and free of oil or other substances that might be accidentally or otherwise washed overboard.						
5.79	4.37	Construction Run-off and Drainage	Construction works	Contractor				ProPECC
		Implementation of the following site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 for "Construction Site Drainage"	sites					PN 1/94
		• Provision of perimeter channels to intercept storm-runoff from outside the site. These should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.						
		• Works programmes should be designed to minimize works areas at any one time, thus minimizing exposed soil areas and reducing the potential for increased siltation and runoff.						
		• Sand / silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove the sand / silt particles from run-off. These facilities should be properly and regularly maintained. These facilities should be carefully planned to ensure that they would be installed at appropriate locations to capture all surface water generated on site.						
		• Careful programming of the works to minimise soil excavation works during rainy seasons.						
		• Exposed soil surface should be protected by paving or hydroseeding as soon as possible to reduce the potential of soil erosion.						
		• Trench excavation should be avoided in the wet season, and if necessary, these should be excavated and backfilled in short sections.						
		Open stockpiles of construction materials on site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric						
5.80	4.38	General Construction Activities	Construction works	Contractor		V		
		Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and	sites					



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration Implementation			lement Stages*	Relevant Legislation	
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Weasures	measures)	Agent	D	C	O	and Guidelines
		disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby coastal waters and stormwater drains. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. Open drainage channels and culverts near the works areas should be covered to block the entrance of large debris and refuse.						
5.81	4.39	Wastewater Arising from Workforce Portable toilets should be provided by the Contractors, where necessary, to handle sewage from the workforce. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	Construction works sites	Contractor		\checkmark		
5.96	Section 4	Water quality monitoring	Designated water monitoring locations/ throughout construction period	Contractor		V		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Sediment Contamination Mitigation Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Agent D C O Guide works ring g works works contractor ing g works works ting g works contractor ting g works contractor ting g works works at the ed ee	Relevant Legislation &			
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Prediction	Location / Timing	Agent	D	C	О	Guidelines
6.17	5.3	Follow the requirement and procedures for dredged mud disposal specified under the WBTC No. 34/2002.	Marine works site / during dredging works	Contractor		1		WBTC No. 34/2002
6.18	5.4	Implement appropriate dredging methods which have been incorporated into the recommended water quality mitigation measures.	Marine works site, during dredging works	Contractor		~		
6.19	5.5	During the transportation and disposal of the dredged sediment, the following measures should be taken: Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight	Marine works site and at the identified	Contractor		√		
		fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved.	sensitive receivers					
		• Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.						

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Solid Waste Management Measures

EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		plementa Stages **		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
Construc	tion Phase					1	1	
7.14	6.4	 Good site practices Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for implementation of good site practices, arranging for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site Training (proper waste management and chemical handling procedure) should be provided for site staffs Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed. 	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		N		Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.54)
7.15	6.5	To monitor the disposal of C&D waste at landfills and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 21/2002
7.16	6.6	Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: • segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; • to encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 4/98, 5/98



EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation	Implementation Stages **			Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
		 by the work force; any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled; use of reusable non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material; prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill; proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid 						
7.18	6.7	unnecessary generation of waste. General Site Wastes A collection area for construction site waste should be provided where waste can be stored prior to removal from site An enclosed and covered area for the collection of the waste is recommended to reduce 'wind blow' of light material	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		√		Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132)
7.19-7.20	6.8 – 6.9	 Chemical Wastes After use, chemical waste should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Package, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled Waste should be properly stored on site within suitably designed containers and should be collected by an approved licensed waste collectors for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility or other licenced facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation under the Waste Disposal Ordance. 	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		V		Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes



EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		lementa Stages **		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
		 Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standing within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. 						
		 Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should be undertaken within the designated areas equipped control these discharges 						
7.21-7.22	6.10 – 6.11	 Construction and Demolition Material The C&D waste should be separated on-site into three categories: 	During all construction phases	Contractors		V		WBTC No. 4/98, 5/98, 21/2002, 25/99, 12/2000
		public fill, the inert portion of the C&D material (e.g. concrete and rubble), which should be re-used on-site or disposed of at a public filling area;						
		C&D waste for re-use and / or recycling, the non-inert portion of the C&D material, (e.g. steel and other metals, woods, glass and plastic);						
		 C&D waste which cannot be re-used and / or recycled (e.g. wood, glass and plastic) Where possible, inert material should be re-used on-site 						
		 Where practicable, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling prior to disposal of C&D material 						

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Ecological Impact Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
					D	C	О	Guidennes
	tion Phase		1		1	, ,	_	
8.157	7.2	 Terrestrial Ecology Labeling and fencing of the uncommon tree species Avoidance of use of woodland habitats as Works Area, in particular where trees are located 	Work sites / during construction phase	Contractor		V		
8.159 – 8.160	7.3	Subtidal Ecology Use of HDD technique Dredging Use of closed-grab dredger Deploy silt curtains during dredging.	Marine works site / during dredging works	Contractor		V		
8.161	7.4	 Site runoff Construction and maintenance of sand / silt removal facilities Silt curtains Timing of earthworks Coverage of sand / fill piles during storms. Barriers along the landward side of Pumping Station P2 site boundary (to prevent site runoff from entering area with Romer's Tree Frog) 	All work sites / during construction phase	Contractor		V		

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Fisheries Impact Measures

EIA	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**			Relevant Legislation
Ref					D	C	О	& Guidelines
9.29	8.3	Use of closed grab dredging and silt curtains around the immediate dredging area and low dredging rates as recommended in Water Quality of the EIA report	Marine works site, during dredging works	Contractor		V		TM on EIA Process
9.32	Section 8	Water quality monitoring (see Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Control Measures)	Designated monitoring locations / throughout construction period and 1 year following operation of the STW	Contractor and Environmental Team		V	V	EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Landscape and Visual Impact Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages **			Relevant Legislation &
					D	C	O	Guidelines
Constr	uction Pha	ase						
10.74	9.10	Retaining existing trees and minimizing damage to vegetation by close coordination and on site alignment adjusted of rising main and gravity sewer pipelines.	All sites	Contractor		$\sqrt{}$		WBTC No. 14/2002
		Careful and efficient transplanting of affected trees to temporary or final transplant location (the proposed tree to be transplanted is a semi-mature <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> and is located at the proposed Pumping Station P2 location).	All sites	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 14/2002
		Short excavation and immediate backfilling sections upon completion of works to reduce active site area.	All sites	Contractor		V		
		Screening of site construction works by use of hoarding that is appropriate to its site.	All sites	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 19/2001
		Conservation of topsoil for reuse.	All sites	Contractor		V		
		Night-time light source from marine fleets should be directed away from the residential units.	Outfall area.	Contractor		√		

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation

Appendix M

Tree Inspection Report

經緯園藝有限公司

Melofield Nursery & Landscape Contractor Ltd

元朗宏業東街宏業工貿中心 7 樓 A 室 FLAT A, //F, WANG YIP CENTRE, 18 WANG YIP ST. EAST, YUEN LONG, N.T.

TEL: (852) 2572-0048 FAX: (822)2573-9099 E-mail: melofield@netvigator.com

Project Name: Construction of Sewage Treatment works at Yung Shu Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Contract No. DC/2009/13

Sok Kwu Wan

Tree Inspection Report for CT7, CT8, CT9, CT10 2011-03-19

Project Name: Construction of Sewage Treatment works at Yung Shu Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Contract No. DC/2009/13

Sok Kwu Wan

A tree inspection was carried out on 19-03-2011. Observations and comment are described below and photo records are attached in **Annex A** for reference.

Remarks:

Tree T8 in previous report is the Tree CT10 in this report Tree T10 in previous report is the Tree CT8 in this report

CT7

No bud was found in the top of the twig. No significant improvement in health. The plant is weak. Soil is still cold and wet.

CT8

No bud was found in the top of the twig. No significant improvement in health. The plant is weak. Soil is still cold and wet.

CT9

No bud was found in the top of the twig. No significant improvement in health. The plant is weak. Soil is still cold and wet.

CT10

No bud was found in the top of the twig. No significant improvement in health. The plant is weak. Soil is still cold and wet.

Overall

The overall health conditions were not satisfactory. The soil around the vegetation was cold and wet. The soil temperature will increase in this a few weeks. These plants may get better recovery. There are some weeds growing in the Tree Protection Zone. Weeding in the next inspection is suggested. Remove any insect found on the plant physically to prevent the bud attacked by leaf-feeding insect. No pesticide should be used when the plants are weak.

Annex A - Photo Records of Tree CT7, CT8, CT9, CT10



Photo 1 Overall view of CT7



Photo 2 Overall view of CT8



Photo 3 Overall view of CT9



Photo 4 Overall view of CT10



Photo 5 Overall views of the tree protection zone and warning sign