

PROJECT No.: TCS/00512/09

DSD CONTRACT No. DC/2009/13 CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS AT YUNG SHUE WAN AND SOK KWU WAN

SOK KWU WAN PORTION AREA MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT (EM&A) REPORT (NO.57) – APRIL 2015

PREPARED FOR

LEADER CIVIL ENGINEERING CORPORATION LIMITED

Quality Index			
Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Approved By
11 May 2015	TCS00512/09/600/R0884v1	HUD	Burn
		Martin Li Assistant Environmental Consultant	T.W. Tam Environmental Team Leader

Version	Date	Description
1	11 May 2015	First Submission

URS CDM Joint Venture

Chief Engineer/Harbour Area Treatment Scheme

Drainage Services Department

5/F, Western Magistracy 2A, Pok Fu Lam Road

Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. P.F. Ma

Your reference:

Our reference:

05117/6/16/441166

Date:

20 May 2015

BY FAX

Dear Sir.

Contract No. DC/2009/13

Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan

Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report No. 57 (April 2015)

We refer to the Monthly EM&A Monitoring Report No. 57 for April 2015 received under cover of the email from the Environmental Team, Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES), dated on 11 May 2015. We have no comment and have verified the captioned report.

Yours faithfully

URS CDM JOINT VENTURE

Rodney Ip

Independent Environmental Checker

ICWR/DCYO/wwsc

CC

Leader Civil Engineering

AUES

ER/LAMMA

CDM

(Attn: Mr Ron Hung)

(Attn: Mr T.W. Tam)

(Attn: Mr Kenneth Kwong) (Attn: Mr Sylvester Hsu)



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.01. This is the 57th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for Sok Kwu Wan (hereinafter 'this Report') for the designated works under the Environmental Permit [EP-281/2007/A], covering a period from 26 March 2015 to 25 April 2015 (hereinafter 'the Reporting Period').

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES.02. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in this Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Issues	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	45
Till Quality	24-hour TSP	12
Construction Noise	L _{eq(30min)} Daytime	<i>16</i>
Inspection / Audit	ET Regular Environmental Site Inspection	4

ES.03. According to the EM&A Manual of Sok Kwu Wan, water quality monitoring should be carried out during the course of marine work. As informed by the Contractor in May 2014, the marine works in Sok Kwu Wan has been completed in April 2014. Marine water quality monitoring was therefore terminated from May 2014 after consent was obtained with IEC. In this regards, an associated letter ref. TCS00512/10/300/L0783 dated 19 May 2014 has been issued to EPD for approval and no comment was received.

BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES.04. No exceedance of air quality and construction noise monitoring were recorded in this Reporting Period. The statistics of environmental exceedance, NOE issued and investigation of exceedance are summarized in the following table.

Environmental	Monitoring	Action	Limit	Event & Action		
Issues	Parameters Parameters		Level	NOE Issued	Investigation	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0		
	24-hour TSP	0	0	0		
Construction Noise	L _{eq(30min)} Daytime	0	0	0		

Note: NOE – Notification of Exceedance

SITE INSPECTION BY EXTERNAL PARTIES

ES.05. In this Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by RE, the Contractor and ET was carried out on 31 March 2015, 9, 13 and 21 April 2015. All the observation has been rectified in the set time frame.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES.06. No written or verbal environmental complaint, summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES.07. No reporting change was made in this Reporting Period.



FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- ES.08. As wet season is approaching, muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay and the Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- ES.09. Muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish Culture Zone (FCZ) at Picnic Bay and the secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION PROJECT BACKGROUND REPORT STRUCTURE	1 1 2
2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	3 3 3 3
3	SUMMARY OF BASELINE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECT MONITORING LOCATIONS MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD MONITORING EQUIPMENT EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL REPORTING DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	4 4 4 5 6 9 9 9
4	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS - AIR QUALITY	11
5	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – CONSTRUCTION NOISE	12
6	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – WATER QULAITY	13
7	ECOLOGY	14
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	15
9	SITE INSPECTION	16
10	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	17
11	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	18
12	IMPACT FORECAST	24
13	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATIONS	25 25 25



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits
Table 3-1	Summary of EM&A Requirements
Table 3-2	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
Table 3-3	Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Station
Table 3-4	Location of Marine Water Quality Monitoring Station
Table 3-5	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality
Table 3-6	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise
Table 3-7	Action and Limit Levels for Marine Water Quality
Table 4-1	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1
Table 4-2	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2
Table 4-3	Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3
Table 5-1	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM1
Table 5-2	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM2
Table 5-3	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at RNM3
Table 5-4	Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM4
Table 8-1	Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials
Table 8-2	Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes
Table 8-1	Site Observations
Table 10-1	Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints
Table 10-2	Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons
Table 10-3	Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution
Table 11-1	Environmental Mitigation Measures

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Layout Plan – Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area
Appendix B	Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties
Appendix C	Three Months Rolling Construction Programme
Appendix D	Location of Monitoring Stations (Air Quality / Construction Noise / Water Quality)
Appendix E	Monitoring Equipments Calibration Certificate
Appendix F	Event and Action Plan
Appendix G	Impact Monitoring Schedule
Appendix H	Monitoring Data Sheet
Appendix I	Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results
Appendix J	Meteorological Information
Appendix K	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table
Appendix L	Weekly Site Inspection Checklist
Appendix M	Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures
Appendix N	Tree Inspection Report



1 INTRODUCTION

PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.01 The Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Limited (Leader) has been awarded the *Contract DC/2009/13 Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan* (the Project) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) on 4 May 2010. The Project is part of an overall plan approved under a statutory EIA for Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 Package J Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection and Treatment (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and Disposal Facilities and Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 1 Package C Yung Shue Wan Sewage Treatment Works and Outfall (Register No. EIA-124/BC). The Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-281/2007 and EP-282/2007 for the Project have been obtained by the DSD on 29 June 2007 for the relevant works. After July 2009, EP-281/2007/A stead EP-281/2007 is EP for Sok Kwu Wan relevant works.
- 1.02 The Project involves construction of sewage treatment works at Sok Kwu Wan and Yung She Wan with a capacity of 1,430m³/day and 2,850m³/day respectively to provide secondary treatment, construction of 2 pumping stations at Sok Kwu Wan and 1 pumping station at Yung Shue Wan, construction of submarine outfall from the coastline and lying of underground sewerage pipeline. The site layout plan for the captioned work under the Project is showing in *Appendix A*.
- 1.03 According to the Particular Specification (PS) and *Appendix 25* of the Project, Leader should establish an Environmental Team (ET) to implement the environmental monitoring and auditing works to fulfill the requirements as stipulated in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual. This EM&A Manual is referred to the Appendix B of the Review Report on EIA Study Sok Kwu Wan (Final) in January 2007 (Agreement No. CE 20/2005(DS)).
- 1.04 Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) has been commissioned by Leader as the ET to implement the relevant EM&A programme. Organization chart of the Environmental Team for the Project is shown in *Appendix B*. For ease of reporting, the proposed EM&A programme for baseline and impact monitoring is spilt to following two stand-alone parts:
 - (a) Proposed EM&A Programme for Baseline and Impact Monitoring Sok Kwu Wan (under EP No. 281/2007/A varied on 23 September 2009)
 - (b) Proposed EM&A Programme for Baseline and Impact Monitoring Yung Shue Wan (under EP No. 282/2007)
- 1.05 According to the EM&A Manual of Sok Kwu Wan and Yung Shue Wan, baseline water quality monitoring should be carried out for consecutive six months before the marine work commencement. Therefore, the baseline reports of Sok Kwu Wan and Yung Shue Wan are divided to two volumes i.e. the Volume 1 for air quality and noise monitoring; and the Volume II for water quality monitoring for separate submission.
- 1.06 There is a concurrent DSD contract "DC/2007/18 Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works" undertaking at Sok Kwu Wan since April 2008.
- 1.07 Consider that the construction works of DC/2007/18 and DC/2009/13 at Sok Kwu Wan is under the same Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual, the performance criteria of air quality and construction noise at Sok Kwu Wan under the Project is recommended to adopt the Action/Limit Levels established by contract DC/2007/18. The Baseline Monitoring Report Volume 1 under the Project for air quality and noise at Sok Kwu Wan was submitted on 9 July 2010 and verified by IEC and for EPD endorsement before the relevant land works commencement on 27 July 2010.
- 1.08 This is the 57th monthly EM&A Report Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 26 March 2015 to 25 April 2015.



REPORT STRUCTURE

1.09 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report – Sok Kwu Wan is structured into the following sections:-

SECTION 1	Introduction
SECTION 2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS
SECTION 3	SUMMARY OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
SECTION 4	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 5	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 6	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
SECTION 7	WASTE MANAGEMENT
SECTION 8	SITE INSPECTIONS
SECTION 9	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE
SECTION 10	IMPLEMENTATION STATUES OF MITIGATION MEASURES
SECTION 11	IMPACT FORECAST
SECTION 12	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION



2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

2.01 Organization structure and contact details of relevant parties with respect to on-site environmental management are shown in *Appendix B*.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.02 The three month rolling construction programme are enclosed in *Appendix C* and the major construction activities undertaken in this Reporting Period are listed below:-
 - Excavation works in SKWSTW
 - Rock dowels installation works in SKWSTW
 - Concreting works in SKWSTW
 - Finishing works in SKWSTW
 - Pipe installation works in SKWSTW
 - Site clearance works in SKWSTW
 - Installation and operation of E&M equipments in SKWSTW

SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.03 Summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project in this Reporting Period is presented in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Notified EPD on 19 May 2010
	Regulation	Ref.: 317486
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Issued on 8/6/2010
		WPN 5213-912-L2720-01
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance	Approved on 29/9/2010
		Valid to: 30/09/2015
		Licence no.: WT00007567-2010
4	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction	Issued on 26 May 2010
	Waste	A/C No: 7010815
ł		

- 2.04 The "Baseline/Impact Monitoring Methodology (TCS00512/09/600/R0010Ver.4)" was set out in accordance with the Sok Kwu Wan EM&A Manual' requirements. It was approved by the Engineer Representative (ER) and agreed with the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and then submitted to the EPD on 8 July 2010.
- 2.05 Baseline Monitoring Report Volume 1 for Sok Kwu Wan (TCS00512/09/600/R0020Ver.3) was verified by the IEC on 12 July 2010 and submitted to EPD on 12 July 2010.
- 2.06 Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report Volume 2 for Sok Kwu Wan (TCS00512/09/600/R0182v7) was revised against EPD comments and re-submitted on 11 October 2011.



3 SUMMARY OF BASELINE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECT

- 3.01 The EM&A baseline monitoring programme cover the following environmental issues:
 - Air quality;
 - Construction noise; and
 - Marine water quality
- 3.02 The ET implements the EM&A programme in accordance with the aforementioned requirements. Detailed air quality, construction noise and water quality of the EM&A programme are presented in the following sub-sections.
- 3.03 A summary monitoring parameters for the air quality, noise and marine water monitoring is presented in *Table 3-1*:

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	1-hour TSP Monitoring by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and
All Quality	• 24-hour TSP Monitoring by High Volume Air Sampler.
Noise	Leq (30min) during normal working hours; and
Noise	Leq (15min) during Restricted Hours.
	In-situ Measurements
	• Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (DO) (mg/L);
	Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%);
	Turbidity (NTU);
Marine Water Quality	pH unit;
Waitine Water Quanty	• Salinity (ppt);
	Water depth (m); and
	• Temperature (°C).
	Laboratory Analysis
	Suspended Solids (SS) (mg/L)

MONITORING LOCATIONS

Air Quality

3.04 Three air monitoring stations: AM1, AM2 and AM3 were designated in the *EM&A Manual Section* 2.5. The detailed air monitoring stations is described in *Table 3-2* and graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-2 Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station

Sensitive Receiver	Location
AM1	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village
AM2	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village
AM3	Football court

Construction Noise

3.05 According to *EM&A Manual Section 3.4*, there were four noise sensitive receivers (NM1-NM4) designated for the construction noise monitoring. NM1, NM2 and NM4 of the three designated monitoring stations were identified and are monitored by the current DSD contract DC/2007/18. However, the premises monitoring station NM3 was rejected by the owner of 1B Sok Kwu Wan and an alternative noise monitoring station RNM3 replacement was proposed by the contract DC/2007/18 ET and accepted by the IEC and EPD before the baseline monitoring commencement in April 2008. The location RNM3 is located at Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out area which just 3m width footpath away from the original location house 1B. The detailed construction noise monitoring stations to also under the Project is described in *Table 3-3* and graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.



Table 3-3 Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Station

Sensitive Receiver	Location
NM1	1, Chung Mei Village
NM2	20, Sok Kwu Wan
RNM3	Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out Area
NM4	2-storey village house at Ta Shui Wan

Water Quality

3.06 Three control stations (C1-C3) and three impact stations (W1-W3) were recommended in the *EM&A Manual Section 4.5*. Impact stations W1-W3 identified at the sensitive receivers (FCZ and secondary contact recreation subzone) to monitor the impacts from the construction of the submarine outfall as well as the effluent discharge from the proposed STW on water quality. Three control stations: C1, C2 & C3 were specified at locations representative of the project site in its undisturbed condition and located at upstream and downstream of the works area. Detailed and co-ordnance of marine water quality monitoring stations is described in *Table 3-4* and the graphical is shown in *Appendix D* and would be performed for EM&A programme.

Table 3-4 Location of Marine Water Quality Monitoring Station

Station	Description	Co-ordnance		
Station	Description	Easting	Northing	
W1	Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan	832 968	807 732	
W2	Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay	832 670	807 985	
W3	Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay	832 045	807 893	
C1 (flood)	Control Station	833 703	808 172	
C2	Control Station	831 467	807 747	
C3 (ebb)	Control Station	832 220	808 862	

MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

3.07 The impact monitoring carried out in the EM&A programme is basically in accordance with the requirements in *EM&A Manual Sections* 2.7, 3.6, 4.7 and 4.8. The monitoring requirements are listed as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

Parameters: 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP.

Frequency: Once in every six days for 24-hour TSP and three times in every six days for

1-hour TSP.

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

Noise Monitoring

<u>Parameters</u>: $L_{eq 30min}$ & $L_{eq(5min)}$, L_{10} and L_{90} .

 $L_{eq(15min)}$ & $L_{eq(5min)}$, L_{10} and L_{90} during the construction undertaken during Restricted hours (19:00 to 07:00 hours next of normal working day and full day of

public holiday and Sunday)

Frequency: Once per week during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. Restricted hour

monitoring should depend on conditions stipulated in Construction Noise Permit.

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Marine Water Quality Monitoring

Parameters: Duplicate in-situ measurements: water depth, temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH,

turbidity and salinity;

HOKLAS-accredited laboratory analysis: suspended solids



Frequency:	Three days a week, at mid ebb and mid flood tides.	The interval between 2 sets
	of monitoring will be more than 36 hours.	

Sampling Depth

- (i.) Three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above sea bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m.
- (ii.) If the water depth is between 3m and 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above sea bottom.
- (iii.) If the water depth is less than 3m, 1 sample at mid-depth is taken

<u>Duration</u>: During the course of marine works

Post-Construction Monitoring – Marine Water

3.08 Upon the marine works (dredging and HDD pipe installation) completion, 4 weeks of post-construction monitoring would be undertaken in accordance with the *Section 4.8 of EM&A Manual*. The requirements of post-construction monitoring such as the parameter, frequency, location and sampling depth is same as the impact monitoring.

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

3.09 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve. The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

1-hour TSP

- 3.10 The 1-hour TSP monitor, a TSI Dust Track Aerosol Monitor Model 8520 or Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust Meter is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consisted of the following:
 - a. A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - b. A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - c. A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

24-hour TSP

- 3.11 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement will be a TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170, which complied with EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The HVS consists of the following:
 - a. An anodized aluminum shelter:
 - b. A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
 - c. A blower motor assembly;
 - d. A continuous flow/pressure recorder:
 - e. A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
 - f. A 7-day mechanical timer, and
 - g. A power supply of 220v/50 hz
- 3.12 For HVS for 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS is mounted in a metallic cage with a top for protection and also it is sat on the existing ground. The flow rate of the HVS between 0.63m3/min and 1.7m3/min will be properly set in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50. Glass Fiber Filter 8" x 10" of TE-653 will be used for 24-hour TSP monitoring and would be supplied by laboratory. The general procedures of sampling are described as below:-
 - A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind should be provided;



- No two samplers should be placed less than 2 meters apart;
- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as building, must be at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sample;
- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required;
- Before placing any filter media at the HVS, the power supply will be checked to ensure the sampler work properly;
- The filter paper will be set to align on the screen of HVS to ensure that the gasket formed an air tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then filter holder frame will be tightened to the filter hold with swing bolts. The holding pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edge.
- The mechanical timer will be set for a sampling period of 24 hours (00:00 mid-night to 00:00 mid-night next day). Information will be recorded on the field data sheet, which would be included the sampling data, starting time, the weather condition at current and the filter paper ID with the initial weight;
- After sampling, the filter paper will be collected to transfer from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealed in the envelope and sent to a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory for quantifying.
- 3.13 All the sampled 24-hour TSP filters will be kept in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% HR (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.
- 3.14 The HVS used for 24-hour TSP monitoring will be calibrated before the commencement for sampling, and after in two months interval for 1 point checking of maintenance and six months interval for five points calibrate in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5028A) to establish a relationship between the follow recorder meter reading in cfm (cubic feet per minute) and the standard flow rate, Qstd, in m³/min.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.15 Sound level meter in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications* 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m s⁻¹.
- 3.16 All noise measurements will be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq). Leq(30 min) in six consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements will be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays throughout the construction period. Leq(15 min) in three consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours) will only be conducted for monitoring the construction noise during restricted hours as necessary.
- 3.17 The sound level meter will be mounted on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield will be fitted for all measurements. Where a measurement is to be carried out at a building, the assessment point would normally be at a position 1 m from the exterior of the building façade. Where a measurement is to be made for noise being received at a place other than a building, the assessment point would be at a position 1.2 m above the ground in a free-field situation, i.e. at least 3.5 m away from reflective surfaces such as adjacent buildings or walls.
- 3.18 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter will be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0dB.
- 3.19 Noise measurements will not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or



wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed will be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. An acoustic calibrator and sound level meter will be calibrated yearly. A valid of Calibration certificates will be shown in the Environmental Monitoring Report accordingly.

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.20 Marine water quality monitoring will be conducted at the designated locations in accordance with EM&A Manual. The operating and analytical of sampling procedures are described as below:
 - A Global Positioning System (GPS) will be used to ensure that the correct location was selected prior to sample collection. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station.
 - The marine water sampler will be lowered into the water body at a predetermined depth. The trigger system of the sampler is activated with a messenger and opening ends of the sampler are closed accordingly then the sample of water is collected.
 - During the sampling, the sampling container will be rinsed to use a portion of the marine water sample before the water sample is transferred to the container. Upon sampling completion, the container is sealed with a screw cap.
 - Before the sampling process, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition and tidal condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring will be recorded on the monitoring field data sheet.
 - In-situ measurement including water temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, salinity, pH and water depth undertake at the identified monitoring point. At each station, marine water samples are collected at three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above sea bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m. Samples at 1m below water surface and 1m above sea bottom are collected when the water depth is between 3m and 6m. Only 1 sample at mid-depth is taken when the water depth is below 3m.
 - For the in-situ measurement, two consecutive measurements of sampling depth, temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, turbidity and pH concentration will be measured at the sea. The YSI Model 6820 Multi-parameter Water Quality Sonde is retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement. Where the difference in the value between the first and second readings of each set is more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading is discarded and further readings is taken.
 - Water sample collection would be used the water sampler. During the water sample collected from the sea, it is fill in high-density polythene bottles. Before the water sample storage, the sampling bottles will be pre-rinsed with the same water sample. The sample bottles then is packed in cool-boxes (cooled at 4°C without being frozen), and delivered to HOKLAS accredited laboratory for the chemical analysis as followed APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 19ed 2540D, unless otherwise specified.
 - The laboratory has be comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes. For QA/QC procedures, one duplicate samples of every batch of 20 samples is analyzed as followed the HOKLAS accredited requirement.
- 3.21 For the marine water sampling period, the Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System will be calibrated by three month interval accordingly. The available calibration certificate will be issued to ensure the performance of Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System to use for in-situ measurement.
- 3.22 All water samples will be analyzed with various chemical tests as specified in the EM&A Manual by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). Duplicate samples from each independent sampling event are required for all parameters and the samples will be mixed and analyzed in one set of laboratory analysis. The mixed process would be carried by the laboratory. The determination works should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples or within the holding time as advised by the laboratory. The laboratory analysis result will be input in our computer database upon received from the laboratory.



EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 3.23 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.24 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment was checked before and after each monitoring event. In-house calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition was undertaken in yearly basis.
- 3.25 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.26 The Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System will be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals. The available calibration certificate will be issued to ensure the performance of Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System to use for in-situ measurement.
- 3.27 All updated calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring programme in the Reporting Period would be attached in *Appendix E*.

METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

3.28 The meteorological information during the construction phase is obtained from the Wong Chuk Hang Station of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) due to it nearly the Project site.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 3.29 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring programme.
- 3.30 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP meter, sound level meter and Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System, are downloaded directly from the equipments at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data. For monitoring activities require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

REPORTING

3.31 It was agreed among the ER, IEC, Contractor and ET that, in order to streamline the EM&A report submission and to cater for the occasional delay in obtaining laboratory analysis results, the cutoff day for each month is the 25th i.e. the first day of each report is the 26th of the last month and the end day, the 25th of that month.

DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

3.32 According to the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and marine water quality were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-5*, *3-6 and 3-7* as below.

Table 3-5 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

Monitoring Station	Action Le	vel (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)		
Withintoning Station	1-hour	24-hour	1-hour	24-hour	
AM1	343	173	500	260	
AM2	331	175	500	260	
AM3	353	191	500	260	



Table 3-6 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring	Action Level	Limit Level			
Location	0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays				
NM1 NM2 RNM3 NM4	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) of $L_{eq(30min)}$ during normal hours from 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays, reduced to 70 dB(A) of $L_{eq(30min)}$ for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods			

Table 3-7 Action and Limit Levels for Marine Water Quality

Domomotor	Performance	Impact Station			
Parameter	Criteria	W1	W2	W3	
DO Concentration (Surface and Middle)	Action Level	5.39	4.64	4.71	
(mg/L)	Limit Level	5.29	4.56	4.54	
DO Concentration (Bottom)	Action Level	N/A	3.60	3.37	
(mg/L)	Limit Level	N/A	3.06	3.18	
Turbidity (Depth-Average)	Action Level	4.39	4.84	6.48	
(NTU)	Limit Level	6.06	5.99	6.71	
Suspended Solids (Depth-Average)	Action Level	12.41	9.24	10.79	
(mg/L)	Limit Level	12.68	11.28	12.25	

3.33 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in Appendix F.



4 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS - AIR QUALITY

4.01 The impact EM&A programme was carried out as compliance with the contract Particular Specification, Sok Kwu Wan EM&A Manual and the EP. The impact monitoring schedule for the Reporting Period and next Reporting Period is presented in *Appendix G*

Results of Air Quality Monitoring

4.02 In this Reporting Period, **45** and **12** monitoring events were performed for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring respectively at the designated locations AM1, AM2 and AM3. The monitoring results for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are summarized in *Tables 4-1*, *4-2* and *4-3*. The detail 24-hour TSP data are shown in *Appendix H* and the graphical plots of are shown in *Appendix I*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1

	24-hour			1-hour TSP	(μg/m ³)		
Date	TSP (μg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured	
31-Mar-15	37	30-Mar-15	13:05	124	129	134	
9-Apr-15	29	8-Apr-15	9:01	75	79	89	
15-Apr-15	40	14-Apr-15	12:40	43	43	43	
21-Apr-15	36	20-Apr-15	13:06	57	59	52	
		25-Apr-15	13:04	101	102	101	
Average	36	Avera	ge	82			
(Range)	(29 - 40)	(Rang	ge)	(43 - 134)			

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

	24-hour			1-hour TSP	$(\mu g/m^3)$			
Date	TSP (µg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured		
31-Mar-15	28	30-Mar-15	13:05	114	114	118		
9-Apr-15	18	8-Apr-15	9:06	85	88	94		
15-Apr-15	26	14-Apr-15	12:53	33	37	35		
21-Apr-15	27	20-Apr-15	12:51	66	57	55		
		25-Apr-15	13:09	105	101	91		
Average	25	Avera	ge	80				
(Range)	(18 - 28)	(Rang	e)	(33 – 118)				

Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3

	24-hour			1-hour TSP	$(\mu g/m^3)$		
Date	TSP (μg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured	
31-Mar-15	47	30-Mar-15	13:14	116	114	112	
9-Apr-15	17	8-Apr-15	13:26	96	100	110	
15-Apr-15	34	14-Apr-15	12:45	37	37	36	
21-Apr-15	34	20-Apr-15	12:53	70	54	49	
		25-Apr-15	13:07	97	98	83	
Average	33	Avera	Average		81		
(Range)	(17 - 47)	(Rang	e)	(36 – 116)			

- 4.03 As shown in *Tables 4-1, 4-2* and *4-3*, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP results fluctuated well below the Action / Limit Level during the Reporting Period.
- 4.04 The meteorological information during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix J*.



5 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE

5.01 The noise monitoring results are presented in the following sub-sections.

Results of Construction Noise Monitoring

5.02 In this Reporting Period, a total of **16** construction noise monitoring events were undertaken at designated locations. The results for L_{eq30min} at NM1, NM2, RNM3 and NM4 are summarized in *Tables 5-1, 5-2, 5-3* and *5-4* and graphical plots are shown in *Appendix I*.

Table 5-1 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM1

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
30-Mar-15	13:09	13:39	56.2	55.6	47.4	48.5	50.1	47.3	52.5
8-Apr-15	9:33	10:03	54.8	56.2	54.7	51.8	46.9	46.3	53.2
14-Apr-15	13:13	13:43	53.5	58.1	60.8	55.4	54.4	53.8	56.9
20-Apr-15	11:02	11:32	55.6	53.5	55.9	54.1	53.2	53.0	54.4
Limit Le	vel in dE	B(A)	-						75

Table 5-2 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM2

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
30-Mar-15	13:45	14:15	58.9	59.7	59.4	66.7	59.7	59.7	61.8
8-Apr-15	10:19	10:49	61.1	58.9	63.9	60.9	59.2	59.1	60.9
14-Apr-15	13:48	14:18	66.4	66.5	57.8	60.7	58.9	58.0	63.0
20-Apr-15	11:36	12:06	59.6	61.8	60.2	60.5	58.0	60.1	60.2
Limit Level in dB(A)					-	-			75

Table 5-3 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at RNM3

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30	Corrected* Leq30
30-Mar-15	14:18	14:48	54.5	59.1	60.3	55.6	56.4	54.8	57.4	60.4
8-Apr-15	13:21	13:51	55.4	54.4	54.5	55.0	54.1	54.5	54.7	57.7
14-Apr-15	14:21	14:51	54.1	54.0	55.2	55.4	56.8	54.7	55.1	58.1
20-Apr-15	13:07	13:37	56.3	57.5	55.9	53.2	54.1	57.0	55.9	58.9
Limit Le	vel in dE	B(A)	-					75		

^{*} A façade correction of +3dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

Table 5-4 Summarized of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at NM4

Date	Start Time	End time	1 st Leq5	2 nd Leq5	3 rd Leq5	4 th Leq5	5 th Leq5	6 th Leq5	Leq30
30-Mar-15	14:51	15:21	51.1	48.0	49.0	50.4	49.7	50.8	50.0
8-Apr-15	14:01	14:31	50.7	49.1	46.6	49.8	50.4	52.0	50.1
14-Apr-15	14:54	15:24	48.8	48.5	46.1	48.7	46.4	48.9	48.0
20-Apr-15	13:39	14:09	47.3	48.8	45.0	48.1	46.0	47.1	47.2
Limit Le	vel in dE	B(A)	-						75

5.03 It was noted that no noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received. In view of the results shown in *Tables 5-1*, *5-2*, *5-3 and 5-4* which were all below 75dB(A), no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this month.

Contract No. DC/2009/13 – Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan
Sok Kwu Wan – EM&A Monthly Report – April 2015



6 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS – WATER QULAITY

According to the EM&A Manual of Sok Kwu Wan, water quality monitoring should be carried out during the course of marine work. As informed by the Contractor in May 2014, the marine works in Sok Kwu Wan has been completed in April 2014. Marine water quality monitoring was therefore terminated from May 2014 after consent was obtained with IEC. In this regards, an associated letter ref. TCS00512/10/300/L0783 dated 19 May 2014 has been issued to EPD for approval and no comment was received.



7 ECOLOGY

- 7.01 According to Clause 3.7 and Figure 4 in the Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A, a total of 12 numbers *Celtis Timorensis* (uncommon species) in Chung Mei at Sok Kwu Wan, are identified to require labeling, fencing and protection. Out of these, four numbers located in the Pumping Station No.1 area are required to be transplanted in advance of pumping station construction and the transplantation proposal has been submitted to EPD previously.
- 7.02 Regular inspection of the transplanted tree was carried out by the landscaping sub-Contractor (Melofield Nursery and Landscape Contractor Limited) on 31 March 2015 and 15 April 2015. As a contingency measure in case that CT7 to CT10 can no longer be recovered, additional 7 no. of *Celtis Timorensis* (No. CT_1A to CT7A) were planted adjacent to the under-monitoring Celtis Timorensis CT7 to CT10 on 30 April 2011.
- 7.03 In April 2012, CT_1A and CT_7A were damaged by the fell broken tree trunk due to tree decayed by white ants. Therefore, only 5 no. of additional *Celtis Timorensis*, namely CT_2A, CT_3A, CT4A, CT_5A and CT_6A were inspected since May 2012. Furthermore, during tree inspection on 30 July, CT4A was disappeared after typhoon No.10 on 24 July 2012 and it was certified as dead. Eventually, 4 no. of additional *Celtis Timorensis*, namely CT_2A, CT_3A, CT_5A and CT 6A were inspected in the remaining period.
- 7.04 During the tree inspection on 15 August 2013, CT2A and CT3A were lost due to typhoon on 14 August 2013. Compensatory of additional *Celtis Timorensis* is recommended to carry out by the Landscape Contractor.
- 7.05 The tree inspection report for this Reporting Period is presented in *Appendix N*.



8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.01 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

Records of Waste Quantities

- 8.02 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
 - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
 - Chemical Waste;
 - General Refuse; and
 - Excavated Soil
- 8.03 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix K*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
C&D Materials (Inert) ('000m³)	0	-
Reused in the Contract (Inert) ('000m ³)	0	-
Reused in other Projects (Inert) ('000m ³)	0	-
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) ('000m ³)	1.325	Sok Kwu Wan Transfer Facility

Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Metal (kg)	0	-
Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	-
Plastic (kg)	0	-
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	-
General Refuses (tonne)	5.940	Outlying Islands Transfer Facilities (Sok Kwu Wan)

8.04 There was no site effluent discharged but the estimated volume of surface runoff was less than 50m³ in this monthly period.



9 SITE INSPECTION

- 9.01 According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the environmental site inspection should been formulated by ET Leader. Regular environmental site inspections had been carried out by the ET to confirm the environmental performance. In this Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by RE, the Contractor and ET was carried out on 31 March 2015, 9, 13 and 21 April 2015.
- 9.02 The findings/ deficiencies that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 9-1* and the relevant checklists are attached in *Appendix L*.

Table 9-1 Site Observations

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status	
31 March 2015	• No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection	NA	
9 April 2015	• The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile with impervious sheet to reduce dust generation.	The stockpile has been well-covered.	
13 April 2015	• The Contractor was reminded to clear the stagnant water at the drainage channel in the SKWSTW for mosquito breeding prevention.	Stagnant water has been removed.	
21 April 2015	No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection	NA	



10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

10.01 No environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received in this Reporting Period. The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1*, *10-2* and *10-3*.

Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Danauting Davied	Environmental Complaint Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 31 December 2011	1 (Nov 2011)	1 (Nov 2011)	water quality	
January - December 2012	0	1 (Nov 2011)	NA	
January - December 2013	0	1 (Nov 2011)	NA	
January – December 2014	0	1 (Nov 2011)	NA	
January – March 2015	0	1 (Nov 2011)	NA	
April 2015	0	1 (Nov 2011)	NA	

Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Danauting Pariod	Environmental Summons Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 31 December 2011	0	0	NA	
January - December 2012	0	0	NA	
January - December 2013	0	0	NA	
January – December 2014	0	0	NA	
January – March 2015	0	0	NA	
April 2015	0	0	NA	

Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Donouting Donied	Environmental Prosecution Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
27 July 2010 – 31 December 2011	0	0	NA	
January - December 2012	0	0	NA	
January - December 2013	0	0	NA	
January – December 2014	0	0	NA	
January –March 2015	0	0	NA	
April 2015	0	0	NA	



11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

11.01 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized as following:

Dust Mitigation Measure

- 11.02 Installation of 2m high solid fences around the construction site of Pumping Station P2 is recommended. Implementation of the requirements stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and the following good site practices are recommended to control dust emission from the site:
 - (a) Stockpiles of imported material kept on site should be contained within hoardings, dampened and / or covered during dry and windy weather;
 - (b) Material stockpiled alongside trenches should be covered with tarpaulins whenever works are close to village houses;
 - (c) Water sprays should be used during the delivery and handling of cement, sands, aggregates and the like.
 - (d) Any vehicle used for moving sands, aggregates and construction waste shall have properly fitting side and tail boards. Materials should not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and should be covered by a clean tarpaulin.

Noise Mitigation Measure

- 11.03 As detailed in the EIA report, concreting work of the Pumping Station P1a and sewer alignment construction activities would likely cause adverse noise impacts on some of the noise sensitive receivers. Appropriate mitigation measures have therefore been recommended. The mitigation measures recommended in the EIA report are summarised below:
 - (a) Use of quiet equipment for the construction activities of the Pumping Stations and sewer alignment;
 - (b) Use of temporary noise barrier around the site boundary of Pumping Station P1a;
 - (c) Use of kick ripper (saw and lift) method to replace the breaker for pavement removal during sewer alignment construction;
 - (d) Restriction on the number of plant during sewer alignment construction;
 - (e) Use of noise screening structures in the form of acoustic shed or movable barrier wherever practicable and feasible in areas with sufficient clearance and headroom during the construction of sewer alignment;
 - (f) Adoption of manual working method wherever practicable and feasible in areas where the worksites of the proposed sewer alignment are located less than 20m from the residential noise sensitive receivers and less than 30m from the temple and the public library; and
 - (g) Implementation of the following good site practices:
 - Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme.
 - Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.
 - Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.
 - Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.
 - Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.

Water Quality Mitigation Measure

11.04 No-dig method using Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) would be used for the installation of outfall pipe of about 480 m from shore to minimize the potential water quality impacts arising from the dredging works required for the submarine outfall construction. For the remaining outfall pipe of about 240m and the diffuser section, open trench dredging would still be required.



- 11.05 During the dredging works, the Contractor should be responsible for the design and implementation of the following mitigation measures.
 - Dredging should be undertaken using closed grab dredgers with a total production rate of 55m³/hr;
 - Deployment of 2-layer silt curtains with first layer enclosing the grab and the second layer at around 50, from the dredging area while dredging works are in progress;
 - all vessels should be sized such that adequate clearance (i.e. minimum clearance of 0.6m) is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash;
 - all pipe leakages should be repaired promptly and plant shall not be operated with leaking pipes;
 - excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges before the vessel is moved;
 - adequate freeboard (i.e. minimum of 200m) should be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action;
 - all barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and
 - loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of dredged material to the surrounding water, and barges and hoppers should not be filled to a level which would cause the overflow of materials or sediment laden water during loading or transportation; and
 - the decks of all vessels should be kept tidy and free of oil or other substances that might be accidentally or otherwise washed overboard.

Construction Run-off and Drainage

- 11.06 The Contractor should observe and comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and the subsidiary regulations. The Contractor should follow the practices, and be responsible for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures as specified in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage". The design of the mitigation measures should be submitted by the Contractor to the Engineer for approval. These mitigation measures should include the following practices to minimise site surface runoff and the chance of erosion, and also to retain and reduce any suspended solids prior to discharge:
 - Provision of perimeter channels to intercept storm-runoff from outside the site. These should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.
 - Works programmes should be designed to minimize works areas at any one time, thus minimising exposed soil areas and reducing the potential for increased siltation and runoff.
 - Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove the sand/silt particles from run-off. These facilities should be properly and regularly maintained. These facilities shall be carefully planned to ensure that they would be installed at appropriate locations to capture all surface water generated on site.
 - Careful programming of the works to minimise soil excavation works during rainy seasons.
 - Exposed soil surface should be protected by paving or hydroseeding as soon as possible to reduce the potential of soil erosion.
 - Trench excavation should be avoided in the wet season, and if necessary, these should be excavated and backfilled in short sections.
 - Open stockpiles of construction materials on site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric.

General Construction Activities

11.07 Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby coastal waters and stormwater drains. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. Open drainage channels and culverts near the works areas should be covered to block the entrance of large debris and refuse.



Wastewater Arising from Workforce

11.08 Portable toilets shall be provided by the Contractors, where necessary, to handle sewage from the workforce. The Contractor shall also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices

Sediment Contamination Mitigation Measure

- 11.09 The basic requirements and procedures for dredged mud disposal are specified under the WBTC No. 34/2002. The management of the dredging, use and disposal of marine mud is monitored by the MFC, while the licensing of marine dumping is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP).
- 11.10 The uncontaminated dredged sediment will be loaded onto barges and transported to the designated marine disposal site. Appropriate dredging methods have been incorporated into the recommended water quality mitigation measures including the use of closed-grab dredgers and silt curtains. Category L sediment would be suitable for disposal at a gazetted open sea disposal ground.
- During transportation and disposal of the dredged marine sediments, the following measures should be taken to minimize potential impacts on water quality:
 - Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved.
 - Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.

Construction Waste Mitigation Measure

Good Site Practices and Waste Reduction Measures

- 11.12 It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices for the construction waste arising include:
 - Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for the implementation of good site practices, arranging for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.
 - Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures.
 - Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.
 - Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal.
 - Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility.
 - Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors.
 - Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed.
- In order to monitor the disposal of C&D waste at landfills and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.
- 11.14 Good management and control can prevent the generation of significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:
 - segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;



- to encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force;
- any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;
- use of reusable non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material;
- prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;
- proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and
- plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.

General Site Wastes

11.15 A collection area should be provided where waste can be stored prior to removal from site. An enclosed and covered area is preferred for the collection of the waste to reduce 'wind blow' of light material.

Chemical Wastes

- 11.16 After use, chemical waste (eg. cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled. Spent chemicals should be properly stored on site within suitably designed containers, and should be collected by an approved operator for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility or other licenced facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation under the Waste Disposal Ordinance.
- 11.17 Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakages and spillage should only be undertaken with the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges.

Construction and Demolition Material

- 11.18 The C&D material should be separated on-site into three categories: (i) public fill, the inert portion of the C&D material (e.g. concrete and rubble), which should be re-used on-site or disposed of at a public filling area; (ii) C&D waste for re-use and/or recycling, the non-inert portion of the C&D material, (e.g. steel and other metals, wood, glass and plastic); (iii) C&D waste which cannot be re-used and/or recycled. The waste producers are responsible for its disposal at strategic landfills.
- 11.19 In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of material for off-site disposal, it was recommended that inert material should be re-used on-site where possible. Prior to disposal of C&D material, it was also recommended that steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling where practicable to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill.

Ecology Mitigation Measure

Terrestrial Ecology

- 11.20 The uncommon tree species should be labelled and probably fenced to avoid direct or indirect disturbance during construction. Works areas should avoid woodland habitats, in particular where these trees are located.
- 11.21 Construction and maintenance of site runoff control measures would be required at all work sites during construction. These should include barriers to direct runoff to sand/silt removal facilities (sand/silt/traps and/or sediment basins); minimisation of earthworks during rainy season (May to September); and coverage of sand/fill piles and exposed earth during storms.



11.22 Special attention should be paid during the breeding season of Romer's Tree Frog (March to September) to ensure their habitat landward to Pumping Station P2 site is well protected from site runoff. Barriers should be deployed completely along the landward side of the pumping station site boundary to prevent any site runoff from entering the tree frog habitat. Intactness of the barriers should be frequently inspected.

Intertidal and Subtidal Ecology

- 11.23 Construction and maintenance of site runoff control measures would be required at all work sites during construction. These should include barriers to direct runoff to sand/silt removal facilities (sand/silt/traps and/or sediment basins); use of silt curtains along coastline; minimisation of earthworks during rainy season (May to September); and coverage of sand/fill piles and exposed earth during storms.
- 11.24 To reduce impacts of sediment resuspension upon nearby habitats and organisms during dredging, all dredging should be done using a closed-grab dredger, and silt curtains should be deployed around the dredger during all dredging activity

Fisheries Mitigation Measure

11.25 Closed grab dredger, deployment of silt curtains around the immediate dredging area and low dredging rate have been recommended in Water Quality of the EIA report in order to minimise sediment release into the water column.

Landscape & Visual Mitigation Measure

- 11.26 Mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report for landscape and visual impacts during the construction stage are summarised below.
 - Screening of site construction works by use of hoarding that is appropriate to its site context;
 - Retaining existing trees and minimising damage to vegetation where possible by close co-ordination and on site alignment adjusted of rising main and gravity sewer pipelines. Tree protective measures should be implemented to ensure trees identified as to be retained are satisfactorily protected during the construction phase;
 - Careful and efficient transplanting of affected trees (1 no.) to temporary or final transplant location (the proposed tree to be transported is a semi-mature *Macaranga tanarius* and is located at the proposed Pumping Station P2 location);
 - Short excavation and immediate backfilling of sections upon completion of works to reduce active site area;
 - Conservation of top-soil for reuse.
 - Night-time light source from marine fleets should be directed away from the residential units
- 11.27 The implementation schedule of mitigation measures is presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.28 Leader had been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the Sok Kwu Wan Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Leader in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 11-1*.

Table 11-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures			
Water Quality	Drainage channels were provided to convey run-off into the treatment facilities; and			
` •	Drainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained.			
Air Quality	• Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet;			
	• Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust; and			
	• Tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.			



Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Noise	 Good site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources;
	 Use of quite plant and working methods;
	 Use of site hoarding or other mass materials as noise barrier to screen noise at
	ground level of NSRs; and
	To minimize plant number use at the worksite.
Waste and	• Excavated material should be reused on site as far as possible to minimize off-site
Chemical	disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment should be recycled if possible;
Management	• Waste arising should be kept to a minimum and be handled, transported and
ivianagement	disposed of in a suitable mainler,
	• The Contractor should adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D
	materials to any designed public filling facility and/or landfill; and
	• Chemical waste shall be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the
	Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.
General	The site was generally kept tidy and clean.



12 IMPACT FORECAST

12.01 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

Water Quality

- Erect of sand bag in proper area to avoid any muddy surface runoff from the loose soil surface or haul road during the rainy days; and
- The accumulated stagnant water should be drained away.

Air Quality

- Vehicles shall be cleaned of mud and debris before leaving the site;
- Stockpile and loose soil surface shall be covered with tarpaulin sheet or other means to eliminate the fugitive dust;
- Water spaying on the dry haul road and exit/entrance of the site in regular basis is reminded; and
- Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust.

Noise

- Works and equipment should be located to minimize noise nuisance from the nearest sensitive receiver; and
- Idle equipments should be either turned off or throttled down;

Waste and Chemical Management

- Housekeeping on site shall be improved;
- The Contractor is advised to fence off the construction waste at a designated area in order to maintain the tidiness of the site;
- Drip tray and proper label should be provided for all chemical containers.
- C&D waste should be disposed in regular basis.



13 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

- 13.01 This is the 57th monthly EM&A Report covering the construction period from 26 March 2015 to 25 April 2015.
- 13.02 In this Reporting Period, no 1-hour and 24-hour TSP results were found to be triggered the Action or Limit Level
- 13.03 No noise complaint (an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded in this Reporting Period.
- 13.04 According to the construction information provided by the Contractor, the marine works in Sok Kwu Wan has been completed in April 2014. As agreed by the Contractor, IEC and RE, the marine water quality monitoring was therefore terminated from May 2014.
- 13.05 No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received.
- 13.06 In this Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by RE, the Contractor and ET was carried out on 31 March 2015, 9, 13 and 21 April 2015. All the observation has been rectified in the set time frame. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered as satisfactory.

RECOMMENDATIONS


- 13.07 As wet season is approaching, muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish culture zone at Picnic Bay and the Secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- 13.08 Muddy water and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the sea body within Fish Culture Zone (FCZ) at Picnic Bay and the secondary recreation contact subzone at Mo Tat Wan is the key issue of the Project. Mitigation measures for water quality should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.



Appendix A

Site Layout Plan – Sok Kwu Wan Portion Area







Appendix B

Organization Structure and Contact Details of Relevant Parties



Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. F K Pong	2159-3550	2833-9162
UCJV	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Kenneth WK Kwong	2982 0240	2982 4129
URS	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Rodney Ip	2410 3750	2428 9922
Leader	Director	Mr. Wilfred So	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Contracts Manager	Mr. Vincent Chan	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Site Agent	Mr. Ron Hung	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Environmental Officer	Mr. Leung Man Kin	2982 8652	2982 8650
Leader	Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Chan Chi Kau	2982 8652	2982 8650
Leader	Sub-Agent	Mr. Leung Man Kin	2982 1750	2982 1163
Leader	Safety Officer	Ms. Vanessa Chan	2982 1750	2982 1163
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Team Supervisor	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

DSD (Employer) – Drainage Services Department

UCJV (Engineer) –URS Hong Kong Limited CDM Joint Venture

Leader (Main Contractor) – Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Limited


URS (IEC) – URS Hong Kong Limited


AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting




Appendix C

Three Months Rolling Construction Programme











Appendix D

Location of Monitoring Stations(Air Quality / Construction Noise / Water Quality)



















Appendix E

Monitoring Equipments Calibration Certificate



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR BEN TAM

CLIENT **ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES ADDRESS**

RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG. N.T. HONG KONG

PROJECT

SUB-BATCH DATE RECEIVED DATE OF ISSUE

WORK ORDER

8-JAN-2015 9-JAN-2015

HK1500975

NO. OF SAMPLES

CLIENT ORDER

: 1

General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. WORK ORDER

: HK1500975

SUB-BATCH

: 1

CLIENT PROJECT

: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

. ____



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK1500975-001	S/N: 366410	AIR	08-JAN-2015	S/N: 366410	

Page: 2 of 2

Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.

366410

Equipment Ref:

EQ 110

Job Order

HK1500975

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

10 Nov 2014

Equipment Calibration Results:

Calibration Date:

4 January 2015

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
1hr19min	10:00 ~ 11:19	17.3	1017.0	0.076	2703	34.1
2hr15min	11:25 ~ 13:40	17.3	1017.0	0.111	6911	51.2
2hr06min	15:40 ~ 17:46	17.3	1017.0	0.047	2437	19.3

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 656 662 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):


0.0022

Correlation Coefficient

0.9974

Date of Issue

6 January 2015



(CPM)

(CPM)

Operator: Donald Kwok

Signature:

6 January 2015

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam

Signature:

Date:

6 January 2015

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 10-Nov-14
Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 10-Feb-15

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1017.3 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 762.975
Temperature (°C) 23.3 Temperature (K) 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH Qstd Slope -> 2.00757

Model-> 5025A Qstd Intercept -> -0.01628

Calibration Date-> 7-Apr-14 Expiry Date-> 7-Apr-15

CALIBRATION

L								
	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
L	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.351	58	58.28	Slope = 33.8083
	13	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.193	54	54.26	Intercept = 12.9642
	10	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.058	48	48.23	Corr. coeff. = 0.9976
ı	8	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.875	42	42.20	
	5	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.680	36	36.17	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR BEN TAM WORK ORDER : HK1500973

CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

ADDRESS : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, SUB-BATCH : 1
DATE RECEIVED : 8-JAN-2015
DATE OF ISSUE : 9-JAN-2015

KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG

PROJECT : ---- NO. OF SAMPLES : 1 CLIENT ORDER : ---

General Comments

• Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER

: HK1500973

SUB-BATCH

: 1

CLIENT PROJECT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

; ---



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK1500973-001	S/N: 366409	AIR	08-JAN-2015	S/N: 366409	

Page: 2 of 2

Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.

366409

Equipment Ref:

EQ 109

Job Order

HK1500973

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

10 Nov 2014

Equipment Calibration Results:

Calibration Date:

4 January 2015

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
1hr19min	10:00 ~ 11:19	17.3	1017.0	0.076	2615	33.0
2hr15min	11:25 ~ 13:40	17.3	1017.0	0.111	6854	50.8
2hr06min	15:40 ~ 17:46	17.3	1017.0	0.047	2319	18.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration)

538 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration)

533 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):


0.0022

Correlation Coefficient

0.9952

Date of Issue

6 January 2015



Operator:

Donald Kwok

Signature:

Date:

6 January 2015

QC Reviewer : __

Ben Tam

Signature:

Date:

6 January 2015

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 10-Nov-14
Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 10-Feb-15

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1017.3 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 762.975
Temperature (°C) 23.3 Temperature (K) 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH Qstd Slope -> 2.00757

Model-> 5025A Qstd Intercept -> -0.01628

Calibration Date-> 7-Apr-14 Expiry Date-> 7-Apr-15

CALIBRATION

L								
	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
L	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.351	58	58.28	Slope = 33.8083
	13	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.193	54	54.26	Intercept = 12.9642
	10	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.058	48	48.23	Corr. coeff. = 0.9976
ı	8	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.875	42	42.20	
	5	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.680	36	36.17	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT WORK ORDER : MR BEN TAM HK1441938

CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES SUB-BATCH DATE RECEIVED **ADDRESS** RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,

19-DEC-2014 30-DEC-2014 NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, DATE OF ISSUE KWAI CHUNG,

N.T. HONG KONG

NO. OF SAMPLES **PROJECT** CLIENT ORDER

General Comments

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

WORK ORDER : HK1441938

SUB-BATCH

CLIENT

: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID Client's S	ample ID Sample	Type Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1441938-001 S/N: 3Y6505	AIR	19-DEC-2014	S/N: 3Y6505

Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor

Sibata LD-3B Manufacturer:

Serial No. 3Y6505

Equipment Ref: EQ114

Job Order HK1441938

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref: HVS 018

Last Calibration Date: 10 Nov 2014

Equipment Calibration Results:

14 December 2014 Calibration Date:

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr03min	11:00 ~ 13:03	16.0	1023.5	0.042	2392	19.4
2hr37min	13:10 ~ 15:47	16.0	1023.5	0.037	2529	16.1
1hr33min	15:50 ~ 17:23	16.0	1023.5	0.032	1432	15.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM) 594


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9955

Date of Issue 18 December 2014



Operator: Donald Kwok Signature: 18 December 2014

Date: _ QC Reviewer: Signature: 18 December 2014 Ben Tam

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 10-Nov-14
Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 10-Feb-15

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1017.3 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 762.975
Temperature (°C) 23.3 Temperature (K) 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH Qstd Slope -> 2.00757

Model-> 5025A Qstd Intercept -> -0.01628

Calibration Date-> 7-Apr-14 Expiry Date-> 7-Apr-15

CALIBRATION

L								
	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
L	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.351	58	58.28	Slope = 33.8083
	13	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.193	54	54.26	Intercept = 12.9642
	10	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.058	48	48.23	Corr. coeff. = 0.9976
ı	8	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.875	42	42.20	
	5	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.680	36	36.17	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL: 048-933-1582 FAX: 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: May 30, 2014

Equipment Name : Laser Dust Monitor, Model LD-3B (EQ(15)

Code No. : 080000-42

Quantity : 1 unit

Serial No. : 456658

Sensitivity : 0.001 mg/m3
Sensitivity Adjustment : 702 CPM

Scale Setting : May 24, 2014

We hereby certify that the avobe mentioned instrment has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

Kentaro Togo

Overseas Sales Division



SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL: 048-933-1582 FAX: 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: May 30, 2014

Equipment Name : Laser Dust Monitor, Model LD-3B (EQ! 17)

Code No. : 080000-42

Quantity : 1 unit

Serial No. : 456660

Sensitivity : 0.001 mg/m3
Sensitivity Adjustment : 598 CPM
Scale Setting : May 24, 2014

We hereby certify that the avobe mentioned instrment has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

Kentaro Togo

Overseas Sales Division



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR BEN TAM WORK ORDER : HK1514373

CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
ADDRESS : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG.

DDRESS : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,
NO. 35-41TAI LIN PAI ROAD,
DATE RECEIVED
27-APRDATE OF ISSUE
2-MAY-2

KWAI CHUNG,
N.T. HONG KONG

PROJECT : ---- NO. OF SAMPLES : 1 CLIENT ORDER : ---

General Comments

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

· Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung


General Manager

WORK ORDER

: HK1514373

SUB-BATCH

CLIENT PROJECT





ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1514373-001	S/N: 366418	AIR	05-APR-2015	S/N: 366418

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.

366418

Equipment Ref:

EQ108

Job Order

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

6 February 2015

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date:

5 April 2015

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr11min	10:00 ~ 12:11	26.0	1011.3	0.041	2614	20.0
2hr21min	12:20 ~ 14:41	26.0	1011.3	0.038	2443	17.3
2hr17min	14:50 ~ 17:07	26.0	1011.3	0.057	3407	24.9

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration)

683 (CPM) 675 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):

0.0022

Correlation Coefficient

0.9965

Date of Issue

20 April 2015

Remarks:

- 1. Strong Correlation (R>0.8)
- Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 v = 0.0022x - 0.0004 $R^2 = 0.993$ 0.01 0 5 10 15 20 25 30

Operator: _____Donald Kwok

Signature:

Date:

20 April 2015

QC Reviewer:

Ben Tam

Signature:

Date:

20 April 2015

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 6-Feb-15
Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 6-May-15

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

1024.5 13.4

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

768.375 286

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Calibration Date-> 7-Apr-14

Qstd Slope ->
Qstd Intercept ->
Expiry Date->

2.00757 -0.01628 7-Apr-15

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.417	56	57.44	Slope = 30.5075
13	3	3	6.0	1.260	52	53.33	Intercept = 14.6821
10	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.104	48	49.23	Corr. coeff. = 0.9974
8	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.950	42	43.08	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.731	36	36.92	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



27-APR-2015

SUB-BATCH DATE RECEIVED

DATE OF ISSUE

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR BEN TAM WORK ORDER : HK1514359

CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

ADDRESS : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG

PROJECT : ---- NO. OF SAMPLES : 1
CLIENT ORDER : ---

General Comments

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

WORK ORDER

: HK1514359

SUB-BATCH

: 1

CLIENT

: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK1514359-001	S/N: 366407	AIR	05-APR-2015	S/N: 366407	

Page: 2 of 2

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.

366407

Equipment Ref:

EQ107

Job Order

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

6 February 2015

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date:

5 April 2015

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)	
2hr11min	10:00 ~ 12:11	:00 ~ 12:11 26.0		0.041	2711	20.7	
2hr21min	12:20 ~ 14:41	26.0	1011.3	0.038	2541	17.9	
2hr17min	14:50 ~ 17:07	26.0	1011.3	0.057	3255	23.8	

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration)

571 (CPM) 566 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):

0.0022

Correlation Coefficient

0.9884

Date of Issue

20 April 2015

Remarks:

- 1. Strong Correlation (R>0.8)
- 2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0 5 10 15 20 25

Operator:

Donald Kwok

Signature:

Date:

20 April 2015

QC Reviewer:

Ben Tam

Signature

Date:

20 April 2015

Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 6-Feb-15 Location: Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 6-May-15 CONDITIONS Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1024.5 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 768.375 Temperature (°C) 13.4 Temperature (K) 286 **CALIBRATION ORIFICE** Make-> TISCH Qstd Slope -> 2.00757 -0.01628 Model-> 5025A Qstd Intercept -> 7-Apr-14 7-Apr-15 Calibration Date-> Expiry Date-> CALIBRATION H20 (L)H2O (R) H20 T IC LINEAR Plate Ostd REGRESSION No. (m3/min) (chart) corrected (in) (in) (in) 18 3.8 3.8 7.6 1.417 56 57.44 Slope = 30.5075 13 3 3 6.0 1.260 52 53.33 Intercept = 14.6821 10 2.3 2.3 1.104 48 49.23 Corr. coeff. = 0.9974 4.6 0.950 42 43.08 8 1.7 1.7 3.4 36 36.92 5 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.731 FLOW RATE CHART Calculations: 70.00 Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]60.00 Ostd = standard flow rate IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pay = daily average pressure



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



HK1500976

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR BEN TAM

CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
ADDRESS : RM A 20/F GOLD KING IND BLDG

: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG

N. I. HONG KONG

NO. OF SAMPLES

SUB-BATCH DATE RECEIVED

DATE OF ISSUE

WORK ORDER

CLIENT ORDER

: 1

General Comments

Sample(s) were received in an ambient condition.

Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the Electronic Transactions Ordinance of Hong Kong, Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

WORK ORDER

: HK1500976

SUB-BATCH

: 1

CLIENT

: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

: ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK1500976-001	S/N: 2X6145	AIR	08-JAN-2015	S/N: 2X6145	

Page: 2 of 2

Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B

Serial No. 2X6145

Equipment Ref: EQ 105

Job Order HK1500976

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler

Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref: HVS 018

Last Calibration Date: 10 Nov 2014

Equipment Calibration Results:

Calibration Date: 4 January 2015

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)	
1hr19min	10:00 ~ 11:19			0.076	2637	33.3 50.2	
2hr15min	11:25 ~ 13:40			0.111	6771		
2hr06min	15:40 ~ 17:46	17.3	1017.0	0.047	2331	18.5	

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 592 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9963

Date of Issue 6 January 2015



Operator: ______ Donald Kwok ____ Signature : ______ Date : ____ 6 January 2015

QC Reviewer : _____ Ben Tam ____ Signature : _____ Date : ____ 6 January 2015

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 10-Nov-14
Location ID: Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 10-Feb-15

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1017.3 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 762.975
Temperature (°C) 23.3 Temperature (K) 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH Qstd Slope -> 2.00757

Model-> 5025A Qstd Intercept -> -0.01628

Calibration Date-> 7-Apr-14 Expiry Date-> 7-Apr-15

CALIBRATION

L								
	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
L	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.351	58	58.28	Slope = 33.8083
	13	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.193	54	54.26	Intercept = 12.9642
	10	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.058	48	48.23	Corr. coeff. = 0.9976
ı	8	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.875	42	42.20	
	5	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.680	36	36.17	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C142547

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送給項目

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC14-0853)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 14 April 2014

Description / 儀器名稱 :

Sound Level Meter (EQ067)

Manufacturer / 製造商

Rion NL-31

Model No. / 型號 Serial No. / 編號

00410221

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 温度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規節

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 26 April 2014

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By 測試

Project Engineer

Certified By 核證

K M Wu Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期 29 April 2014

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準、局部複印本證書當先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: C142547

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

CL280 CL281

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Certificate No. C140016 DC130171

Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

5.

Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UU	JT Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8	± 1.1	

6.1.2 Linearity

	U	UT Setting		Applied	Value	UUT		
Range (dB)	Mode Frequency Tir		Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)		
30 - 120 L _A A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8 (Ref.)				
, , , , , , ,				104.00	11	103.8		
				114.00		113.9		

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

Time Weighting

	UUT Setting				l Value	UUT	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	1		Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8	Ref.	
7			Slow			93.8	± 0.3	

The lest equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可淵源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C142547

Page 3 of 4

證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UU'	T Setting		Appl	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range (dB)		Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
		10.20	77.4		125 Hz	77.6	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.1	-8.6 ± 1.4
				500 Hz	90.5	-3.2 ± 1.4	
					1 kHz	93.8	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.1	$+1.2 \pm 1.6$
					4 kHz	94.9	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT Setting			App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range (dB)			Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	L _C	С	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	92.9	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.6	-0.2 ± 1.5
				250 Hz	93.8	0.0 ± 1.4	
					500 Hz	93.8	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	93.8	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.7	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	88.0	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C142547

證書編號

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-53A & S/N: 319734

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz ; $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz ; $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz ; $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$ 8 kHz ; $\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$

12.5 kHz : $\pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$ 104 dB : 1 kHz : $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB}$ (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : $\pm 0.10 \text{ dB}$ (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No. : C142548

證書編號

校正證書

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC14-0853)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 14 April 2014

Description / 儀器名稱 Sound Level Meter (EQ068)

Manufacturer / 製造商 Rion Model No. / 型號 NL-31 Serial No. / 編號 00410247

Supplied By / 委託者 Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

> Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 26 April 2014

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By 測試

K/Q Lee Project Engineer

Certified By 核證

Engineer

Date of Issue 簽發日期

29 April 2014

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: C142548

證書編號

校正證書

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to 1. warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

CL281

Equipment ID CL280

Description

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Certificate No. C140016

DC130171

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UU	Γ Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	± 0.7	

6.1.2 Linearity

	UL	JT Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT
Range Mode (dB)		Frequency Time Weighting Weighting		Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 120		A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9 (Ref.)
	1 1 1			104.00		103.9
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

	UUT Setting				d Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)	
30 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	Ref.	
F 2. 15. 11			Slow	A 1		93.9	± 0.1	

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, will out the prime written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所嚴校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.:

C142548

證書編號

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

		UUT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
20 -110	L_{A}	A	Fast	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _A max				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L_A		Slow		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	Lamax	1			500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A Waighting

	UU	JT Setting		App	lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.2	-39.4 ± 1.5
		T			63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.6	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.6	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
		li la			2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$
		ļ			8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT Setting				lied Value	UUT	IEC 60651 Type 1
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Spec. (dB)
30 - 120	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.6	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.0	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.7	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
			1 1		8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	88.1	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准+



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 松正惑事

Certificate No.: C142548

證書編號

6.4 Time Averaging

	UUT Setting						UUT	IEC 60804		
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
20 - 110	LAcq	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5
	100					$1/10^2$		90	90.0	± 0.5
			60 sec.			$1/10^3$	1.0	80	80.0	± 1.0
			5 min.			1/104		70	70.0	± 1.0

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-53A & S/N: 319841

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz : $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$ 8 kHz : $\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$ 12.5 kHz : $\pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$

104 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) Burst equivalent level : \pm 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部被印本證書需先獲本實驗所書而批准。

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: C142545

證書編號

校正證書

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC14-0853)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 14 April 2014

Description / 儀器名稱

Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)

Manufacturer/製造商 Model No. / 型號

Brüel & Kjær

4231

Serial No. / 編號

2326408

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 温度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 26 April 2014

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By

測試

K C Lee

Certified By

核證

Project Engineer

K M Wú


Engineer

Date of Issue 簽發日期

29 April 2014

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior

written approval of this laboratory 本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C142545

證書編號

- 1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- 2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 3. Test equipment:

Equipment IDDescriptionCertificate No.CL130Universal CounterC133632CL281Multifunction Acoustic CalibratorDC130171TST150AMeasuring AmplifierC141558

- 4. Test procedure: MA100N.
- 5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)		
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2		
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0				

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)		
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.			
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1		

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C142870

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC14-0853)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 8 May 2014

Description / 儀器名稱

Acoustical Calibrator (EQ082)

Manufacturer/製造商

Brüel & Kjær

Model No. / 型號 Serial No./編號

4231 2713428

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}C$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 13 May 2014

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By 測試

K Lee

Certified By

核證

Project Engineer

K M Wu Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期

15 May 2014

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laborator

木置書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C142870

證書編號

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.

2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

3. Test equipment:

> Equipment ID Description Certificate No. Universal Counter CL130 C133632 CL281 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator DC130171 TST150A Measuring Amplifier C141558

Test procedure: MA100N.

5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)		
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2		
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1				

Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laborators

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。 局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Appendix F

Event/Action Plan



Air Quality

		All Quality		
EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	Notify Contractor.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
		LIMIT LEVEL		
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IC(E), ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IC(E), agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Notify IC(E) and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IC(E), ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E); Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	 Identify source; Inform IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Water Quality

EVENT ACTION										
EVENI	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR						
A COTION I ENTEL	El	IC(E)	EK	CONTRACTOR						
1. Exceedance for one sampling day	 Repeat in-situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform ICE, Contractor, ER, EPD and AFCD; and Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working methods	Confirm receipt of notification of non-compliance in writing; and Notify Contractor	Information the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; and 3. Amend working methods if appropriate						
Exceedance for two or more consecutive sampling days	 Same as the above; Inform ICE, Contractor, ER, EPD and AFCD; Discuss mitigation measures with IC(E), RE and Contractor; Ensure well implementation of mitigation measures; and Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Action Level 	Same as the above; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.	Discuss with IC(E) on the proposed mitigation measures; Ensure well implementation of mitigation measures; and Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures	Same as the above; Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; Submit proposal of additional mitigation measures to ER within 3 working days of notification and discuss with ET, IC(E), and ER; and Implement the agreed mitigation measures						
		LIMIT LEVEL								
Exceedance for one sampling day	 Repeat in-situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform ICE, Contractor, ER, EPD and AFCD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; and Discuss mitigation measures with IC(E), RE and Contractor 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method Discuss with ER and Contractor on possible remedial actions; and Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly	Confirm receipt of notification failure in writing; and Discuss with IC(E), ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and Request Contractor to review the working methods	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the failure in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; and Submit proposal of mitigation measures to ER within 3 working days of notification and discuss with ET and ER						
Exceedance for two or more consecutive sampling days	 Same as the above; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days 	Same as the above; and Supervise the Implementation of mitigation measures	Same as the above; Ensure well implementation of mitigation measures Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to stow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of limit level	measures; 4. Resubmit proposals of mitigation measures if problem still not under control; and						



Appendix G

Impact Monitoring Schedule



Impact Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period

	Date	Air (Quality	Noise	Water Quality		
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	Leq (30min)			
Thu	26-March-15						
Fri	27-March-15						
Sat	28-March-15						
Sun	29-March-15						
Mon	30-March-15	✓		✓			
Tue	31-March-15		✓				
Wed	1-April-15						
Thu	2-April-15						
Fri	3-April-15						
Sat	4-April-15						
Sun	5-April-15						
Mon	6-April-15						
Tue	7-April-15						
Wed	8-April-15	✓		✓			
Thu	9-April-15		✓				
Fri	10-April-15						
Sat	11-April-15						
Sun	12-April-15						
Mon	13-April-15						
Tue	14-April-15	✓		✓			
Wed	15-April-15		✓				
Thu	16-April-15						
Fri	17-April-15						
Sat	18-April-15						
Sun	19-April-15						
Mon	20-April-15	✓		✓			
Tue	21-April-15		✓				
Wed	22-April-15						
Thu	23-April-15						
Fri	24-April-15						
Sat	25-April-15	✓					

^{*}Post-Construction Water Quality Monitoring

✓	Monitoring Day					
	Sunday	or	Public			
	Holiday					



Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period

Date		Air Q	Quality	Noise	Water Quality		
		1-hour TSP 24-hour TSP		Leq (30min)			
Sun	26-April-15						
Mon	27-April-15		✓				
Tue	28-April-15						
Wed	29-April-15						
Thu	30-April-15	✓		✓			
Fri	1-May-15						
Sat	2-May-15		✓				
Sun	3-May-15						
Mon	4-May-15						
Tue	5-May-15						
Wed	6-May-15	✓		✓			
Thu	7-May-15						
Fri	8-May-15		✓				
Sat	9-May-15						
Sun	10-May-15						
Mon	11-May-15						
Tue	12-May-15	✓		✓			
Wed	13-May-15						
Thu	14-May-15		✓				
Fri	15-May-15						
Sat	16-May-15						
Sun	17-May-15						
Mon	18-May-15	✓		✓			
Tue	19-May-15						
Wed	20-May-15		✓				
Thu	21-May-15						
Fri	22-May-15						
Sat	23-May-15	✓					
Sun	24-May-15						
Mon	25-May-15						

✓	Monitorin	Monitoring Day					
	Sunday	or	Public				
	Holiday						



Appendix H

Monitoring Data Sheet

Contract No. DC/2009/13 – Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan
Sok Kwu Wan – EM&A Monthly Report – April 2015



24-hour TSP Monitoring Data Sheet

Air Qualtiy Monitoring - 24-hour TSP Monitoring data sheet

		EI	APSED TI	ME	CHA	ART READ	ING			STANDARD)	INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	DUST
DATE	SAMPLE							AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hour TSP
	NUMBER	INITIAL	FINAL	ACTUAL	MIN	MAX	AVG	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUME	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	IN AIR
				(min)				(oC)	(hPa)	(m3/min)	(std m3)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m^3)
24-hour TSP	Monitoring F	Results - AN	11												
31-Mar-15	27878	16103.99	16127.92	1435.80	41	46	43.5	20.6	1014.5	1.27	1828	2.7085	2.7769	0.0684	37
9-Apr-15	27825	16127.92	16151.92	1440.00	40	41	40.5	21.8	1013.1	1.19	1710	2.881	2.9307	0.0497	29
15-Apr-15	27660	16151.92	16175.99	1444.20	40	41	40.5	22	1013.6	1.19	1715	2.7918	2.8603	0.0685	40
21-Apr-15	27908	16175.99	16199.92	1435.80	40	41	40.5	23.9	1011.5	1.18	1698	2.7319	2.7925	0.0606	36
24-hour TSP	Monitoring F	Results - AN	12												
31-Mar-15	27877	14769.34	14793.48	1448.40	39	43	41	20.6	1014.5	1.45	2093	2.7194	2.7784	0.0590	28
9-Apr-15	27668	14793.48	14817.49	1440.60	41	42	41.5	21.8	1013.1	1.46	2098	2.8852	2.9239	0.0387	18
15-Apr-15	27668	14817.49	14841.5	1440.60	40	41	40.5	22	1013.6	1.43	2056	2.8385	2.892	0.0535	26
21-Apr-15	27907	14841.5	14865.5	1440.00	40	41	40.5	23.9	1011.5	1.42	2047	2.7469	2.8027	0.0558	27
24-hour TSP	Monitoring F	Results - AN	13												
31-Mar-15	27879	10220.72	10244.76	1442.40	36	41	38.5	20.6	1014.5	1.33	1911	2.7054	2.7948	0.0894	47
9-Apr-15	27675	10244.76	10268.14	1402.80	41	42	41.5	21.8	1013.1	1.43	2006	2.8303	2.8649	0.0346	17
15-Apr-15	27667	10268.14	10292.4	1455.60	40	41	40.5	22	1013.6	1.39	2029	2.8381	2.9069	0.0688	34
21-Apr-15	27909	10292.4	10316.55	1449.00	40	41	40.5	23.9	1011.5	1.39	2011	2.7216	2.7899	0.0683	34




Appendix I

Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results




Air Quality Monitoring – 1 hour TSP Monitoring







Air Quality Monitoring – 24 hour TSP Monitoring






Construction Noise Monitoring











Appendix J

Meteorological Information



Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

Date		Weather							
26-Mar-15	Thu	Mainly cloudy with relatively low visibility. Light to moderate easterly winds.							
27-Mar-15	Fri	Sunny intervals during the day. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.							
28-Mar-15	Sat	Mainly cloudy with relatively low visibility. Light to moderate easterly winds.							
29-Mar-15	Sun	Mainly cloudy with one or two rain patches. Fresh easterly winds, strong offshore.							
30-Mar-15	Mon	Sunny intervals during the day. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.							
31-Mar-15	Tue	Sunny intervals during the day. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.							
1-Apr-15	Wed	It will be fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate north to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.							
2-Apr-15	Thu	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
3-Apr-15	Fri	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
4-Apr-15	Sat	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
5-Apr-15	Sun	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
6-Apr-15	Mon	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
7-Apr-15	Tue	Cloudy and cooler with one or two rain patches. Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.							
8-Apr-15	Wed	Cloudy and cooler with one or two rain patches. Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.							
9-Apr-15	Thu	Cloudy with a few rain patches and relatively low visibility. Moderate east to northeasterly winds.							
10-Apr-15	Fri	Cloudy with a few rain patches. Moderate northeasterly winds.							
11-Apr-15	Sat	Cloudy to overcast with rain patches at first. Moderate north to northeasterly winds.							
12-Apr-15	Sun	Cloudy to overcast with rain patches at first. Moderate north to northeasterly winds.							
13-Apr-15	Mon	It will be fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate north to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.							
14-Apr-15	Tue	Fine and very dry. Light to moderate northeasterly winds.							
15-Apr-15	Wed	It will be fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Light winds.							
16-Apr-15	Thu	Fine and dry. Hot in the afternoon. Light winds.							
17-Apr-15	Fri	Mainly fine. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.							
18-Apr-15	Sat	Mainly fine. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.							
19-Apr-15	Sun	Fine and dry. Hot in the afternoon. Light winds.							
20-Apr-15	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Moderate north to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.							
21-Apr-15	Tue	Mainly cloudy. Moderate north to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.							
22-Apr-15	Wed	Sunny periods in the afternoon. Cloudy tonight. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.							
23-Apr-15	Thu	Mainly fine in the afternoon. Cloudy periods tonight. Moderate easterly winds.							
24-Apr-15	Fri	Mainly fine in the afternoon. Cloudy periods tonight. Moderate easterly winds.							
25-Apr-15	Sat	Mainly fine in the afternoon. Moderate east to southeasterly winds.							



Appendix K

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for April 2015

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							A	ctual Qu	ıantities	of C&D	Wastes	Generate	ed Montl	nly											
Month	Total Quantity Generated Lai		Total Quantity Generated		Generated		Hard Ro Large I Cond	Broken crete	Reused Con		Reused Proj	ects		sed as ic Fill e)	Import (i		Ме	tals	Pap cardl packa	ooard	Plas	stics	Cher Wa		Oth e.g. ru	
	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00m^3)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '00	00m^3)	(in '00	00m ³)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in to	onne)				
	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW	YSW	SKW				
2015	16.581	51.087	0.160	0.442	0.740	2.802	0.000	0.000	15.841	48.285	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	709.700	348.610				
Jan	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.020	3.950				
Feb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.920	3.080				
Mar	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.080	4.500				
Apr	0.000	1.325	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.325	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.460	5.940				
May																										
Jun																										
Sub-total	16.581	52.412	0.160	0.442	0.740	2.802	0.000	0.000	15.841	49.610	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	723.180	366.080				
Jul																										
Aug																										
Sep																										
Oct																										
Nov																										
Dec																										
Total	16.581	52.412	0.160	0.442	0.740	2.802	0.000	0.000	15.841	49.610	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	723.180	366.080				
2 3441	68.9	993	0.6	02	3.5	542	0.0	00	65.4	451	0.0	00	0.0	0.000		00	0.0	000	0.0	00	1089	.260				

Remark: Assume 1.0 m^3 vehicle dump load = 1.6 tonnes C&D materials

YSW: Yung Shue Wan

SKW: Sok Kwu Wan



Appendix L

Weekly Site Inspection Checklist

AUES

Hum	T A: Sunny erature: 23.3 OC idity: High Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan GENERAL INFORMATION Cloudy Moderate Low	RE's Repro	Representa		TCS512B-31 Marcl 2015 Mr. Martin Li Mr. Daniel Chau Mr. M.K. Leung 11:00 Environmental Permit No. PP- 281/2007A				
PART	B: SITE AUDIT								
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks		
	on 1: Water Quality								
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		M						
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?								
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided? Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to		\square						
1.04	reduce SS levels in effluent?	Ш							
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	Ш	$\overline{\checkmark}$						
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?		\checkmark						
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark						
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?					\checkmark			
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?					\checkmark			
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark						
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?	- 1	\checkmark						
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark						
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?					\checkmark			
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?					\checkmark	2		
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark						
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark						
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?					\checkmark			
1.18	Is the oil/grease leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark						
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?		\checkmark				3 v		
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?		\checkmark						
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?								

AUES.

Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?					\checkmark	
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					$\overline{\checkmark}$	
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m3 capacities for sedimentation.					\checkmark	
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.					$\overline{\checkmark}$	
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.					\checkmark	
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.		\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.		\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark				
Sectio	on 2: Air Quality					-	
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?					\checkmark	
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?					\checkmark	
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?					\checkmark	
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?					\checkmark	
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?					\checkmark	
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?					\checkmark	
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?					\checkmark	
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?					\checkmark	
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?					\checkmark	
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?					\checkmark	
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must be removed from the site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.					\checkmark	
Sectio	n 3: Noise					-	
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?					\checkmark	
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?	\checkmark					
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	
3.06	during operation.						

AUES

Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?					\checkmark	
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?					\checkmark	
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height					\checkmark	
3.14	with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark				
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?					\checkmark	
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?					\checkmark	
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?					\checkmark	
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?					\checkmark	
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?					\checkmark	
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?					\checkmark	
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?					\checkmark	
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?					\checkmark	
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?					\checkmark	
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?					\checkmark	
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark				
4.23	Contaminated sediments will be managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.					\checkmark	

Environmental Team – Weekly Site Inspection and Audit Checklist – Sok Kwu Wan

1	Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observe Follow Up: Observation	d; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Cons requiring follow-Up actions	ompliance; N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks	
	Sectio	on 5: Landscape & V	Isual								
5	5.01	Are retained and trai	nsplanted trees in health con	idilion?							
5	5.02	Are retained and tran	nsplanted trees properly prot	tected?		\checkmark					
5	5.03	Are surgery works ca	arried out for the damaged tr	ees?							
8	5.04	Is damage to trees activities avoided?	outside site boundary du	ue to construction							
5	5.05	Is the night-time light receivers?	nting controlled to minimize	glare to sensitive							
5	Sectio	n 6: Others							•~		
6	3.01	Are relevant Enviro entrances/exits?	onmental Permits posted a	at all vehicle site					A		
(Sok Kwu Wan) Remarks: Findings of Site Inspection: (31 March 2015) Follow up (31 March 2015)											
		environmental issi ection	ue was observed durin	g the site	Nil.						
IL	EC's r	epresentative	RE's representative	ET's representa	tive	EO's reg	oresentati	ve	Contracto	r's representative	
				nut?	•		1				
,			(Mr. Daniel Chau)	Mr. Martin Li		/ k.t. = 1	<i></i>	<u> </u>	1		
()	(wir. Daniel Chau)	7 MILERIA EI	')	(tvir. f	И.K. Leui	19)	(

Environmental Team – Weekly Site Inspection and Audit Checklist – Sok Kwu Wan



Humid Wind: Area II	DC-2009-13: Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan 9 April 2015 T A: GENERAL INFORMATION her: Sunny Fine Cloudy erature: 18.0 °C dity: High Moderate V Low : Strong Breeze V Light nspected Sok Kwu Wan	RE's Repre	Representa esentative 's Represe		Mr. Martin Li Mr. Daniel Chau Mr. M.K. Leung 11:00 Environmental Permit No. ✓ EP- 281/2007A					
PART		No4			Follow		Photo/			
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Up	N/A	Remarks			
Sectio 1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\overline{V}							
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence	? 🗌								
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?									
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?	0 🔲	\checkmark							
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to sedimentation tanks?	0 🔲	\checkmark							
1.06	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	0 🔲	\checkmark							
1.07	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark							
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected b crushed stone or gravel?	у 🔲				\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?					\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark							
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark							
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark							
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?					\checkmark				
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?					\checkmark				
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark							
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark							
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?	n 🗌				\checkmark				
1.18	Is the oil/grease leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark							
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering th drainage system?	е 🗌	\checkmark							
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concret washings during concreting works?	е	\checkmark							
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage system for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	s				$\overline{\checkmark}$				



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?					\checkmark	
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m3 capacities for sedimentation.					\checkmark	
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.					\checkmark	
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.					\checkmark	
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.		\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.		\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?					\checkmark	
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?					\checkmark	
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?					\checkmark	
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?					\checkmark	
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?					\checkmark	
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?					\checkmark	
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?					\checkmark	
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?					\checkmark	
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?					\checkmark	
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?					\checkmark	
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must be removed from the site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.					\checkmark	
Section	on 3: Noise						
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?					\checkmark	
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?	\checkmark					
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	





Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?					\checkmark	
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?					\checkmark	
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure)					\checkmark	
3.14	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark				
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?					\checkmark	
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?					\checkmark	
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?					\checkmark	
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?					\checkmark	
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?					\checkmark	
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?					\checkmark	
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?					\checkmark	
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?					\checkmark	
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?					\checkmark	
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?					\checkmark	
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark				
4.23	Contaminated sediments will be managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.					\checkmark	





Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up; Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual						
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?					\square	
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?						
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?						
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\square				
5.05	is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?					$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
Section 6: Others							
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?						

(Sok Kwu Wan)


Remarks:

Findings of Site Inspection: (9 April 2015)





The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile with impervious sheet to reduce dust generation.



•

NA V	
$\mathcal{X} \sim \mathcal{X}$	
() (Mr. Daniel Chau) (Mr. Martin Li) (Mr. M.K. Leung) (}
(m. martin of the martin of t	,



Project: TCS/00512/09 DC-2009-13: Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Date: 13 April 2015 PART A: GENERAL INFORMATION Weather: Sunny Fine Cloudy Temperature: 22.4 °C Humidity: High Moderate Low Wind: Strong Breeze Light Area Inspected 1 Sok Kwu Wan			Inspected ETL/ ET's RE's Reproduction IEC's Reproduction Time: Rainy Calm	Represent esentative 's Repres	entative	Mr. Martin Li Mr. Daniel Chau Mr. M.K. Leung 11:00 Environmental Permit No. ✓ EP- 281/2007A				
PART		SITE AUDIT	Mat			Ecllo		Photo/		
Note:	Follow	os.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; VD: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks		
Sectio 1.01		fater Quality effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\overline{V}						
1.02		effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?								
1.03		discharge of turbid water avoided?								
1.04	Are t	nere proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to								
1.05	Are th	e SS levels in effluent? here channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off to								
1.06	Are th	entation tanks? here any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to								
1.07		ept storm runoff from crossing the site? inage system well maintained?					П			
1.08	As ex	cavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by								
1.09		ed stone or gravel? mporary exposed slopes properly covered?					$\overline{\checkmark}$			
1.10	Are ea	arthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		$\overline{\checkmark}$						
1.11	Are m	anholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark						
1.12	Are th	ere any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark						
1.13	Are w	heel washing facilities well maintained?					\checkmark			
1.14	Is run	off from wheel washing facilities avoided?					\checkmark			
1.15	Are th	ere toilets provided on site?		\checkmark						
1.16	Are to	ilets properly maintained?		\checkmark						
1.17		e vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within dareas?					\checkmark			
1.18	Is the	oil/grease leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark						
1.19		nere any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the ige system?		\checkmark						
1.20		nere any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete ngs during concreting works?		\checkmark						
1.21		ere any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems hicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?					\checkmark			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?					\checkmark	
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m3 capacities for sedimentation.					\checkmark	
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.					\checkmark	
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.					\checkmark	
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.		\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.		\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?					\checkmark	
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?					\checkmark	
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?					\checkmark	
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?					\checkmark	
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?					\checkmark	
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?					\checkmark	
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?					\checkmark	
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?					\checkmark	
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?					\checkmark	
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?					\checkmark	
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must be removed from the site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.					\checkmark	
Sectio	n 3: Noise						
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?					\checkmark	
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?	\checkmark					
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	





Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?					\checkmark	. tomanto
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?					\checkmark	
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure)					\checkmark	
3.14	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
Section	n 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for approval.		\checkmark				
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?					\checkmark	1,42
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?					\checkmark	
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?					\checkmark	V 1
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?					\checkmark	
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?					\checkmark	
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?					\checkmark	
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?					\checkmark	
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?					\checkmark	
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?					\checkmark	
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?					\checkmark	
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark				
4.23	Contaminated sediments will be managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.					\checkmark	


AUES

Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
Section 5: Landscape & Visual					-		
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?					\checkmark	
i.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				
i.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
ectio	n 6: Others						
	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?					V	

(Sok Kwu Wan)


Remarks:

Findings of Site Inspection: (13 April 2015)



The Contractor was reminded to clear the stagnant water at the drainage channel in the SKWSTW for mosquito breeding prevention.

Follow up (13 April 2015)



Stagnant water has been removed.

IEC's representative	RE's representative	ET's representative	EO's representative	Contractor's representative	
		· -			
		11/1	1		
	(Mr. Daniel Chau)	(Mr. Martin LI)	(Mr.M.K. Leuna)		.



Project: TCS/00512/09 DC-2009-13: Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Date: 21 April 2015 PART A: GENERAL INFORMATION Weather: Sunny Fine Cloudy Temperature: 23.7 °C Humidity: High Moderate Low Wind: Strong Breeze Light Area Inspected 1 Sok Kwu Wan			by Representa esentative r's Represe resentative	entative	Mr. Martin Li Mr. Daniel Chau Mr. M.K. Leung 11:00 Environmental Permit No. ✓ EP- 281/2007A				
PART		NI-4		>	Faller		Dhetal		
Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks		
	n 1: Water Quality Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\overline{V}						
	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence	2	V						
	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		<u> </u>						
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems t	io	V						
	reduce SS levels in effluent? Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to direct surface run-off t sedimentation tanks?								
	Are there any perimeter channels provided at site boundaries tintercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	to \square	$\overline{\checkmark}$						
	Is drainage system well maintained?		\checkmark						
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected be crushed stone or gravel?	ру 🔲				\checkmark			
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?					\checkmark	,		
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark						
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark						
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark						
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?					\checkmark			
1.14	Is runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?					\checkmark			
1.15	Are there toilets provided on site?		\checkmark						
1.16	Are toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark						
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located with roofed areas?	in \square				\checkmark			
1.18	Is the oil/grease leakage or spillage avoided?		\checkmark						
	Are there any measures to prevent leaked oil from entering the drainage system?	ne 🔲	\checkmark						
	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concret washings during concreting works?	te	\checkmark						
	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage system for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	is				\overline{V}			



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?					\checkmark	
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Designated settlement area for runoff/wheel wash waste is provide and located at the streambed with 1-2m deep, 12m long and around 50m3 capacities for sedimentation.					\checkmark	
1.25	No excavation is undertaken in the settlement area.					\checkmark	
1.26	Concreting wastes water should be neutralized below the pH Action Levels before discharge.					\checkmark	
1.27	Mobile toilets should provide on site and located away the stream course.		\checkmark				
1.28	License collector should be employed for handling the sewage of mobile toilet.		\checkmark				
1.29	Is ponding /stand water avoided?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?					\checkmark	
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials sprayed with water during handling?					\checkmark	
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?					\checkmark	,
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved?					\checkmark	
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?					\checkmark	
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?					\checkmark	
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?					\checkmark	
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?					\checkmark	
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement?					\checkmark	
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit not more than 15km/hour?					\checkmark	
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Excavated materials from the stream must be removed from the site on the same day. The materials shall be stored in covered impermeable skips awaiting removal from site.					\checkmark	
Section	n 3: Noise						
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?					\checkmark	
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?	\checkmark					
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?					\checkmark	
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?					\checkmark	



Note:	Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Follow Up: Observations requiring follow-Up actions N/A: Not Applicable	Not Obs.	Yes	No	Follow Up	N/A	Photo/ Remarks
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?					\checkmark	
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?					\checkmark	
3.12	Use of quiet plant had been used on site to minimise the construction noise impact to the surrounding residences/dwellings (Level 1 mitigation measures).					\checkmark	
3.13	Temporary/Moveable noise barrier or site hoarding are provide or erect at the site boundary to minimise the noise impact of the closest NSRs or stationary equipments shield by the noise barrier which cannot visible from NSRs (Level 2 mitigation measure) Temporary/Moveable noise barrier equal to or more than 3m height with 10kg/m2 are provide for noise mitigation measures (Level 2					✓	
3.14	mitigation measures).	Ш	Ш	ш		V	
	In 4: Waste/Chemical Management Waste Management Plan had been submit to Engineer for						
4.01	approval.						
4.02	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?						
4.03	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?	Ш	\checkmark				
4.04	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.05	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?					\checkmark	
4.06	Are the chemical waste containers and storage area properly labelled?					\checkmark	
4.07	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?					\checkmark	
4.08	Is the chemical container or equipment provided with drip tray?					\checkmark	
4.09	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?					\checkmark	
4.10	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?					\checkmark	
4.11	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?					\checkmark	
4.12	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.13	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bounded?					\checkmark	
4.14	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?					\checkmark	
4.15	Are construction wastes sorted (inert and non-inert) on site?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.18	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.19	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.20	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?					\checkmark	
4.21	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?					\checkmark	
4.22	Site cleanliness and appropriate waste management training had provided for the site workers.		\checkmark				
4.23	Contaminated sediments will be managed according to WBTC No.12/2000 and EWTB TC(W) No. 34/2002.					\checkmark	



Note: Not Obs.: Not Observed; Yes: Compliance; No: Non-Compliance; Not Obs.: Yes No Up N/A Section 5: Landscape & Visual	Photo/
	Remarks
5.01 Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?	
5.02 Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?	
5.03 Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	
5.04 Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?	
5.05 Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?	
Section 6: Others	
6.01 Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?	
(Sok Kwu Wan) Remarks: <u>Findings of Site Inspection:</u> (21 April 2015) <u>Follow up (</u> 21 April 2015)	management data.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection	
IFC's representative PE's representative FT's representative FT's	or's representative
IEC's representative RE's representative ET's representative EO's representative Contracto	
IEC's representative RE's representative ET's representative EO's representative Contracto	
IEC's representative RE's representative ET's representative EO's representative Contract	
IEC's representative RE's representative ET's representative EO's representative Contract.	



Appendix M

Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures



Implementation Schedule of Air Quality Measures

EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		olementa Stages**		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	О	& Guidelines
Consti	uction Phase							
3.32	2.34	Installation of 2m high solid fences around the construction site of Pumping Station P2.	Work site / during construction	Contractor		√		
3.34	2.34	 Adopting the following good site practices and follow the dust control requirements of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation: Stockpiles of imported material kept on site should be contained within hoardings, dampened and / or covered during dry and windy weather; Material stockpiled alongside trenches should be covered with tarpaulins whenever works are close to village houses; Water sprays should be used during the delivery and handling of cement, sands, aggregates and the like. Any vehicle used for moving sands, aggregates and construction waste should have properly fitting side and tail boards. Materials should not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and should be covered by a clean tarpaulin. 	Work site / during construction	All contractors		V		EIAO-TM, APCO, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.36	Section 2	1 hour and 24 hour dust monitoring and site audit	Designated air monitoring locations / throughout construction period	Contractor/ Environmental Team		\[\text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \text{ \qq \qua		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

N/A Not applicable

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Noise Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location/Timing	Implementation	_	olementa Stages *		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref			Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
Construc	tion Phase							
4.41-4.43	3.19	 Use of quiet PME for the construction of the pumping stations Use of temporary noise barrier during the construction of Pumping Station P1a 	Work site /during the construction of Pumping Stations	Contractor		V		EIAO-TM, NCO
4.44 – 4.49	3.19	 Implementation of following measures during the sewer construction: Use of quiet PME or method; Restriction on the number plant (1 item for each type of plant); and Good Site Practices Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	Work site /during the construction of Sewer.	Contractor		N		



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location/Timing	Implementation		lementa Stages *:		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref			Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
4.50 – 4.53	3.19	 Use of noise screening structures such as acoustic shed and barrier wherever practicable and feasible in areas with sufficient clearance and headroom. Adoption of manual working method wherever practicable and feasible in areas where the worksites of the proposed sewer alignment are located less than 20 m from the residential NSRs and less than 30 m from the temple (THT) and the public library. Use of PME for the construction of the section of sewer between the NSR and the Pumping Station P1a should not be allowed during the excavation work of Pumping Station P1a. 	Work site /during the construction of Sewer.	Contractor		√		
4.60	Section 35	Noise monitoring	Designated noise monitoring locations / throughout construction period	Contractor/ Environmental Team		V		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

N/A Not applicable

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Water Quality Control Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration /completion of	Implementation		lement Stages*		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref		measures)	Agent	D	C	O	and Guidelines
	iction Phase						1	1
5.77	4.35	No-dig method using Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) would be used for the installation of outfall pipe of about 480 m from shore to minimize the potential water quality impacts arising from the dredging works required for the submarine outfall construction. Silt curtains will be installed around the exit area of the pilot drill.	Marine works site / During construction of submarine outfall	Contractor		√ 		
5.73 – 5.78	4.36	 Dredging Works Implementation of following measures during the dredging works: dredging should be undertaken using closed grab dredgers with a maximum total production rate of 55m³/hr; deployment of 2-layer silt curtains with the first layer enclosing the grab and the second layer at around 50m from the dredging area while dredging works are in progress; dredging operation should be undertaken during ebb tide only; all vessels should be sized such that adequate clearance (i.e. minimum clearance of 0.6m) is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; all pipe leakages should be repaired promptly and plant should not be operated with leaking pipes; excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges before the vessel is moved; adequate freeboard (i.e. minimum of 200mm) should be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action; all barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; loading of barges should be controlled to prevent splashing of 	Marine works site and at the identified water sensitive receivers/ During construction	Contractor		V		



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration /completion of	Implementation		lement Stages*		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection (vicasures	measures)	Agent	D	C	o	and Guidelines
		 be filled to a level which will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; and the decks of all vessels should be kept tidy and free of oil or other substances that might be accidentally or otherwise washed overboard. 						
5.79	4.37	Construction Run-off and Drainage	Construction works	Contractor		√		ProPECC
		Implementation of the following site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 for "Construction Site Drainage" • Provision of perimeter channels to intercept storm-runoff from	sites					PN 1/94
		• Provision of perimeter channels to intercept storm-runoff from outside the site. These should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.						
		• Works programmes should be designed to minimize works areas at any one time, thus minimizing exposed soil areas and reducing the potential for increased siltation and runoff.						
		• Sand / silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove the sand / silt particles from run-off. These facilities should be properly and regularly maintained. These facilities should be carefully planned to ensure that they would be installed at appropriate locations to capture all surface water generated on site.						
		• Careful programming of the works to minimise soil excavation works during rainy seasons.						
		• Exposed soil surface should be protected by paving or hydroseeding as soon as possible to reduce the potential of soil erosion.						
		Trench excavation should be avoided in the wet season, and if necessary, these should be excavated and backfilled in short sections.						
		Open stockpiles of construction materials on site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric						
5.80	4.38	General Construction Activities	Construction works	Contractor		√		
		Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and	sites					



EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location (duration /completion of	Implementation		lement Stages*		Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Weasures	measures)	Agent	D	C	0	and Guidelines
		disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby coastal waters and stormwater drains. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. Open drainage channels and culverts near the works areas should be covered to block the entrance of large debris and refuse.						
5.81	4.39	Wastewater Arising from Workforce Portable toilets should be provided by the Contractors, where necessary, to handle sewage from the workforce. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	Construction works sites	Contractor		√		
5.96	Section 4	Water quality monitoring	Designated water monitoring locations/ throughout construction period	Contractor		V		EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation

N/A Not applicable



Implementation Schedule of Sediment Contamination Mitigation Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Implementation	Im	plementa Stages**		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental 110tection (veasures	Location / Timing	Agent	D	C	О	Guidelines
6.17	5.3	Follow the requirement and procedures for dredged mud disposal specified under the WBTC No. 34/2002.	Marine works site / during dredging works	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 34/2002
6.18	5.4	Implement appropriate dredging methods which have been incorporated into the recommended water quality mitigation measures.	Marine works site, during dredging works	Contractor		√		
6.19	5.5	 During the transportation and disposal of the dredged sediment, the following measures should be taken: Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved. Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self monitoring devices as specified by the DEP. 	Marine works site and at the identified sensitive receivers	Contractor		√		

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation

N/A Not applicable



Implementation Schedule of Solid Waste Management Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Maggures*	Location /	Implementation		plementa Stages *		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	С	0	Guidelines
Construct	tion Phase			1		I	1	-
7.14	6.4	 Good site practices Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for implementation of good site practices, arranging for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site Training (proper waste management and chemical handling procedure) should be provided for site staffs Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. Maintain records of the quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed. 	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		٨		Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.54)
7.15	6.5	To monitor the disposal of C&D waste at landfills and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 21/2002
7.16	6.6	Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: • segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; • to encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 4/98, 5/98



EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		olementa Stages **		Relevant Legislation &
Ref	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	O	Guidelines
	Kei	 by the work force; any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled; use of reusable non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material; prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill; proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and 		. Tigette				Guitemies
7.18	6.7	 plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. General Site Wastes	Work	Contractor		√		Public Health and
7.10	0.7	A collection area for construction site waste should be provided where waste can be stored prior to removal from site An enclosed and covered area for the collection of the waste is recommended to reduce 'wind blow' of light material	sites/During construction	Contractor		v		Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132)
7.19-7.20	6.8 - 6.9	 Chemical Wastes After use, chemical waste should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Package, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled Waste should be properly stored on site within suitably designed containers and should be collected by an approved licensed waste collectors for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility or other licenced facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation under the Waste Disposal Ordance. 	Work sites/During construction	Contractor		٧		Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes



EIA	EM&A		Location /	Implementation		olementa Stages *:		Relevant Legislation &
RAt	Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Timing	Agent	D	C	О	Guidelines
		 Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standing within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. 						
		 Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should be undertaken within the designated areas equipped control these discharges 						
7.21-7.22	6.10 – 6.11	 Construction and Demolition Material The C&D waste should be separated on-site into three categories: 	During all construction phases	Contractors		V		WBTC No. 4/98, 5/98, 21/2002, 25/99, 12/2000
		public fill, the inert portion of the C&D material (e.g. concrete and rubble), which should be re-used on-site or disposed of at a public filling area;						
		C&D waste for re-use and / or recycling, the non-inert portion of the C&D material, (e.g. steel and other metals, woods, glass and plastic);						
		 C&D waste which cannot be re-used and / or recycled (e.g. wood, glass and plastic) Where possible, inert material should be re-used on-site 						
	A 11	• Where practicable, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling prior to disposal of C&D material						

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

N/A Not applicable

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Ecological Impact Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures*	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Imp	lement: Stages		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
			Tilling	Agent	D			Guidennes
	tion Phase							
8.157	7.2	 Terrestrial Ecology Labeling and fencing of the uncommon tree species Avoidance of use of woodland habitats as Works Area, in particular where trees are located 	Work sites / during construction phase	Contractor		√ 		
8.159 – 8.160	7.3	Subtidal Ecology Use of HDD technique Dredging Use of closed-grab dredger Deploy silt curtains during dredging.	Marine works site / during dredging works	Contractor		V		
8.161	7.4	 Site runoff Construction and maintenance of sand / silt removal facilities Silt curtains Timing of earthworks Coverage of sand / fill piles during storms. Barriers along the landward side of Pumping Station P2 site boundary (to prevent site runoff from entering area with Romer's Tree Frog) 	All work sites / during construction phase	Contractor		V		

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

N/A Not applicable

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Implementation Schedule of Fisheries Impact Measures

EIA	EM&A	Environmental Protection Measures*		Implementation	Implementation Stages**			Relevant Legislation
Ref	Ref		Timing	Agent	D	C	0	& Guidelines
9.29	8.3	Use of closed grab dredging and silt curtains around the immediate dredging area and low dredging rates as recommended in Water Quality of the EIA report	Marine works site, during dredging works	Contractor		√		TM on EIA Process
9.32	Section 8	Water quality monitoring (see Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Control Measures)	Designated monitoring locations / throughout construction period and 1 year following operation of the STW	Contractor and Environmental Team		\checkmark	V	EM&A Manual

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation

N/A Not applicable



Implementation Schedule of Landscape and Visual Impact Measures

EIA EM&A		Environmental Protection Measures*	Location /	Implementation	Implementation Stages **			Relevant Legislation &
Kei	Ref Ref Environmental Protection Measures		Timing	Agent	D	C	0	Guidelines
Constr	uction Ph	ase						
10.74	9.10	Retaining existing trees and minimizing damage to vegetation by close coordination and on site alignment adjusted of rising main and gravity sewer pipelines.	All sites	Contractor		$\sqrt{}$		WBTC No. 14/2002
		Careful and efficient transplanting of affected trees to temporary or final transplant location (the proposed tree to be transplanted is a semi-mature <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> and is located at the proposed Pumping Station P2 location).	All sites	Contractor		V		WBTC No. 14/2002
		Short excavation and immediate backfilling sections upon completion of works to reduce active site area.	All sites	Contractor		√		
		Screening of site construction works by use of hoarding that is appropriate to its site.	All sites	Contractor		√		WBTC No. 19/2001
		Conservation of topsoil for reuse.	All sites	Contractor		V		
		Night-time light source from marine fleets should be directed away from the residential units.	Outfall area.	Contractor		V		

^{*} All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project.

N/A Not applicable

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation



Appendix N

Tree Inspection Report

經緯園藝有限公司

Melofield Nursery & Landscape Contractor Ltd

元朗下攸田村 125 號 125, Ha Yau Tin Tsuen, Yuen Long, N.T.

TEL: (852) 2572-0048 FAX: (822)2573-9099 E-mail: melofield@netvigator.com

Contract No. DC/2009/13

Project Name: Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan

Sok Kwu Wan


Tree Inspection Report for Celtis timorensis

Inspection Date: 31-03-2015



1. Introduction


According to the requirement in the Environmental Permit EP-281/2007/A, the uncommon tree species, *Celtis timorensis*, found in the pumping station P1b area as shown in figure below shall be properly transplanted to the area immediately south of the Pumping Station P1b before commencement of construction of the Pumping Station P1b



This Tree Inspection Report describes the bi weekly monitoring result of the *Celtis timorensis*, which were additionally planted as the compensation of previously transplanted Celtis timorensis CT7, CT8, CT9 & CT10.

It was reported that on 5 January 2015, a private contractor started their construction in close vicinity of the pumping station P1b and found that part of the tree protection zone was within their private lot. Therefore, the tree protection zone was temporary relocated to the area adjacent to the Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Treatment Works until a suitable area is provided as the tree protection zone.

In March 2015, the *Celtis timorensis* were transplanted to the below area near PS1 as the new tree protection zone.



Page 2

2. Summary of Inspection

Date of Inspection	31 March 2015, around 16:00	
Location	A soil ground adjacent to the Pumping	
	Station P1b Chung Mei, at Sok Kwu Wan,	
	Lamma Island.	
Weather	Sunny, the vegetations are located under	
	the shade of existing tall trees.	
The labeled Celtis timorensis	CT_5A & CT_6A	
under Tree Protection Zone		

3. Proposed Inspection Schedule

Month	Actual / proposed Inspection Date
October, 2011	10 and 24 October 2011
November, 2011	8 November 2011
December, 2011	14 and 30 December 2011
January 2012	31 January 2012
February 2012	15 and 29 February 2012
March 2012	15 and 31 March 2012
April 2012	16 and 30 April 2012
May 2012	15 and 31 May 2012
June 2012	15 and 30 June 2012
July 2012	16 and 30 July 2012
August 2012	15 and 31 August 2012
September 2012	15 and 29 September 2012
October 2012	15 and 31 October 2012
November 2012	15 and 30 November 2012
December 2012	15 and 30 December 2012
January 2013	15 and 30 January 2013
February 2013	15 and 28 February 2013
March 2013	15 and 30 March 2013
April 2013	15 and 30 April 2013
May 2013	15 and 30 May 2013
June 2013	15 and 29 June 2013
July 2013	15 and 31 July 2013
August 2013	15 and 31 August 2013
September 2013	14 and 30 September 2013

October 2013	15 and 31 October 2013		
November 2013	15 and 30 November 2013		
December 2013	14 and 31 December 2013		
January 2014	15 and 30 January 2014		
February 2014	15 and 28 February 2014		
March 2014	15 and 31 March 2014		
April 2014	15 and 30 April 2014		
May 2014	15 and 31 May 2014		
June 2014	16 and 30 June 2014		
July 2014	15 and 31 July 2014		
August 2014	15 and 30 August 2014		
September 2014	15 and 30 September 2014		
October 2014	15 and 31 October 2014		
November 2014	15 and 29 November 2014		
December 2014	15 and 31 December 2014		
January 2015	15 and 31 January 2015		
February 2015	14 and 28 February 2015		
March 2015	16 and 31 March 2015		

4. Summary of Inspection Result

Tree No	Speciation	Health Status		
CT_5A	Celtis timorensis	Very Poor		
CT_6A	Celtis timorensis	Very Poor		

Inspection parameters or criteria

Good Leaves and stem grown very lush, additional or larger in size of leaves can be observed in each inspection

Fair Green leaves can be found. No major unhealthy condition of the plant is observed. The condition is stable.

Poor Fewer green leaves than usual are observed. No new leaf is grown and the condition keep stable. The bark is dry. The plant is weak.

Very Poor No new green leaf or bud can be observed. The bark is dry. The plant is weak.

5. Description of Inspection Results:

Tree ID: CT_5A



Current Status: Very Poor

Justification: No leaves. The bark was dry. No significant improvement in health. The plant was very weak.

Tree ID: CT_6A



Current Status: Very Poor

Justification: No leaves. The bark was dry. No significant improvement in health. The plant was very weak.

Overall Condition

In the Temporary Tree Protection Zone, the health of CT_5A and CT_6A were found poor. Regular watering and weeding will be carried out during dry weather. They may better recover under this warm and rainy weather. Some leaves were found eaten by insects. Remove any insect found on the plant physically to prevent the bud attacked by leaf-feeding insect. No pesticide should be used when the plants are weak.

Considering CT2A, CT3A were lost due to typhoon, compensatory of additional Celtis timorensis is proposed and will be carried out in the coming warm weather season for better growing.

經緯園藝有限公司

Melofield Nursery & Landscape Contractor Ltd

元朗下攸田村 125 號 125, Ha Yau Tin Tsuen, Yuen Long, N.T.

TEL: (852) 2572-0048 FAX: (822)2573-9099 E-mail: melofield@netvigator.com

Contract No. DC/2009/13

Project Name: Construction of Sewage Treatment Works at Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan

Sok Kwu Wan


Tree Inspection Report for Celtis timorensis

Inspection Date: 15-04-2015



1. Introduction


According to the requirement in the Environmental Permit EP-281/2007/A, the uncommon tree species, *Celtis timorensis*, found in the pumping station P1b area as shown in figure below shall be properly transplanted to the area immediately south of the Pumping Station P1b before commencement of construction of the Pumping Station P1b



This Tree Inspection Report describes the bi weekly monitoring result of the *Celtis timorensis*, which were additionally planted as the compensation of previously transplanted Celtis timorensis CT7, CT8, CT9 & CT10.

It was reported that on 5 January 2015, a private contractor started their construction in close vicinity of the pumping station P1b and found that part of the tree protection zone was within their private lot. Therefore, the tree protection zone was temporary relocated to the area adjacent to the Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Treatment Works until a suitable area is provided as the tree protection zone.

In March 2015, the *Celtis timorensis* were transplanted to the below area near PS1 as the new tree protection zone.



Page 2

2. Summary of Inspection

Date of Inspection	15 April 2015, around 10:00	
Location	A soil ground adjacent to the Pumping	
	Station P1b Chung Mei, at Sok Kwu Wan,	
	Lamma Island.	
Weather	Cloudy, the vegetations are located under	
	the shade of existing tall trees.	
The labeled Celtis timorensis	CT_5A & CT_6A	
under Tree Protection Zone		

3. Proposed Inspection Schedule

Month	Actual / proposed Inspection Date	
October, 2011	10 and 24 October 2011	
November, 2011	8 November 2011	
December, 2011	14 and 30 December 2011	
January 2012	31 January 2012	
February 2012	15 and 29 February 2012	
March 2012	15 and 31 March 2012	
April 2012	16 and 30 April 2012	
May 2012	15 and 31 May 2012	
June 2012	15 and 30 June 2012	
July 2012	16 and 30 July 2012	
August 2012	15 and 31 August 2012	
September 2012	15 and 29 September 2012	
October 2012	15 and 31 October 2012	
November 2012	15 and 30 November 2012	
December 2012	15 and 30 December 2012	
January 2013	15 and 30 January 2013	
February 2013	15 and 28 February 2013	
March 2013	15 and 30 March 2013	
April 2013	15 and 30 April 2013	
May 2013	15 and 30 May 2013	
June 2013	15 and 29 June 2013	
July 2013	15 and 31 July 2013	
August 2013	15 and 31 August 2013	
September 2013	14 and 30 September 2013	

15 and 31 October 2013		
15 and 30 November 2013		
14 and 31 December 2013		
15 and 30 January 2014		
15 and 28 February 2014		
15 and 31 March 2014		
15 and 30 April 2014		
15 and 31 May 2014		
16 and 30 June 2014		
15 and 31 July 2014		
15 and 30 August 2014		
15 and 30 September 2014		
15 and 31 October 2014		
15 and 29 November 2014		
15 and 31 December 2014		
15 and 31 January 2015		
14 and 28 February 2015		
16 and 31 March 2015		
15 April 2015		

4. Summary of Inspection Result

Tree No	Speciation	Health Status		
CT_5A	Celtis timorensis	Very Poor		
CT_6A	Celtis timorensis	Very Poor		

Inspection parameters or criteria

Good Leaves and stem grown very lush, additional or larger in size of leaves can be observed in each inspection

Fair Green leaves can be found. No major unhealthy condition of the plant is observed. The condition is stable.

Poor Fewer green leaves than usual are observed. No new leaf is grown and the condition keep stable. The bark is dry. The plant is weak.

Very Poor No new green leaf or bud can be observed. The bark is dry. The plant is weak.

5. Description of Inspection Results:

Tree ID: CT_5A



Current Status: Very Poor

Justification: No leaves. The bark was dry. No significant improvement in health. The plant was very weak.

Tree ID: CT_6A



Current Status: Very Poor

Justification: No leaves. The bark was dry. No significant improvement in health. The plant was very weak.

Overall Condition

In the Temporary Tree Protection Zone, the health of CT_5A and CT_6A were found poor. Regular watering and weeding will be carried out during dry weather. They may better recover under this warm and rainy weather. Some leaves were found eaten by insects. Remove any insect found on the plant physically to prevent the bud attacked by leaf-feeding insect. No pesticide should be used when the plants are weak.

Considering CT2A, CT3A were lost due to typhoon, compensatory of additional Celtis timorensis is proposed and will be carried out in the coming warm weather season for better growing.