

東業德勤測試顧問有限公司

ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong

Tel : 2695 8318

E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com

Fax : 2695 3944

Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com

TEST REPORT

Kaden Construction Limited

CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/18

**YUNG SHUE WAN AND
SOK KWU WAN VILLAGE SEWERAGE,
STAGE 1 WORKS**

**MONTHLY IMPACT MONITORING
REPORT NO.18**

(NOVEMBER 2009)

Prepared by:

LAW, Sau Yee
Senior Environmental Officer

Checked by:

LAU, Chi Leung
Environmental Team Leader

Issue Date: 12 December 2009

Report No.: ENA90917

Scott Wilson CDM Joint Venture

Chief Engineer/Harbour Area Treatment Scheme
Drainage Services Department
5/F Western Magistracy
2A Pok Fu Lam Road
Hong Kong

Your reference:

Our reference: 05117/6/10/331775

Date: 21 December 2009

Attention: Mr. C K Au

BY FAX ONLY

Dear Sir

Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Monthly Impact Monitoring Report No. 18

I refer to the Environmental Permit (EP-281/2007) and the email from the environmental team, ETS-Testconsult Limited with the revised report, dated 18 December 2009. I do not have further comment and have verified the captioned report.

Yours faithfully
SCOTT WILSON CDM JOINT VENTURE



Rodney Ip

ANCP/ancp

cc	Kaden Construction Ltd	(Attn: Mr Stephen Leung)
	ETS-Testconsult	(Attn: Ms Linda Law)
	ER/LAMMA	(Attn: Mr Ian Jones)
	CDM	(Attn: Mr Mark Sin)



TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
	2.1 Background	1
	2.2 Site Description	1
	2.3 Construction Programme	1
	2.4 Project Organization and Management Structure	2
	2.5 Contact Details of Key Personnel	2
	2.6 Construction Progress in this reporting month	2
3.0	IMPACT AIR QUALITY MONITORING	
	3.1 Monitoring Requirement	2
	3.2 Monitoring Equipment	2 – 3
	3.3 Laboratory Measurement / Analysis	3
	3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	4
	3.5 Monitoring Locations	4
	3.6 Action and Limit levels	4
	3.7 Event-Action Plans	4
	3.8 Results	4 – 5
4.0	IMPACT NOISE MONITORING	
	4.1 Monitoring Requirement	5
	4.2 Monitoring Equipment	5
	4.3 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	6
	4.4 Monitoring Locations	6
	4.5 Monitoring Methodology	6 – 7
	4.6 Action and Limit levels	7
	4.7 Event-Action Plans	7
	4.8 Results	7
5.0	SITE INSPECTION	8
	5.1 Summary of the site inspection findings and Action(s) taken by Kaden and ET	8 – 9
	5.2 Recommendations on site inspection findings in Site Inspections of this month	9
6.0	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS	9
7.0	WASTE MANAGEMENT	9 – 10
8.0	ECOLOGY	10
9.0	ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	10 – 11
10.0	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	
	10.1 Summary of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring	11
	10.2 Summary of Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	11
11.0	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS	
	11.1 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	11
	11.2 Implementation Status of Event and Action Plan	11
	11.3 Implementation Status of Environmental Complaint Handling	12
	11.4 Implementation Status of Notification of Summons and Prosecution	12
12.0	CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION	12
13.0	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
	13.1 Upcoming Environmental Monitoring Schedule in coming month	12
	13.2 Upcoming Construction Works Schedule in coming month	13
	13.3 Environmental Issues for the coming month	13



APPENDIX

- A Organization Chart and Lines of Communication
- B1 Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipments
- B2 Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results
- B3 Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Data
- C1 Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment
- C2 Impact Noise Monitoring Results
- C3 Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data
- D Event-Action Plans
- E Construction Programme
- F Summary of Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures during Site Inspection
- G Updated Vegetation and Plant Species Survey Report at Sok Kwu Wan
- H Revised Final Report of Archaeological Watching Brief at Chung Mei, Sok Kwu Wan
- I Photographic Records of the Uncommon Tree Species
- J Letter of Variation Environmental Permit (VEP-299/2009)
- K AFCE Letters dated on 28 October 2009 and 13 November 2009
- L EPD Letter dated on 16 October 2009

Figures

- 2005/C1/2004 Village Sewerage Layout Plans – Sok Kwu Wan (Sheet 1 of 3)
- 2005/C1/2005 Village Sewerage Layout Plans – Sok Kwu Wan (Sheet 2 of 3)
- 2005/C1/2006 Village Sewerage Layout Plans – Sok Kwu Wan (Sheet 3 of 3)

Tables

- 2.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel
- 3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Duration and Frequency of Impact Air Quality Monitoring
- 3.2 Air Monitoring Stations
- 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hr TSP and 1-hr TSP
- 3.4 Summary of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results
- 4.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment
- 4.2 Duration, Frequencies and Parameters of Noise Monitoring
- 4.3 Noise Monitoring Stations
- 4.4 Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring
- 4.5 Summary of Noise Daytime Monitoring Results
- 5.1 Summary of Site Inspection Findings and Action(s) taken by Kaden and ET
- 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
- 7.1 Summary of Quantities of Waste for Disposal in this reporting month
- 10.1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaint-log
- 13.1 Proposed Environmental Monitoring Schedule in coming month



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Contractor, Kaden Construction Limited (Kaden), appointed Environmental Team of ETS-Testconsult Limited (ET) to undertake the environmental impact monitoring for "Contract No. DC/2007/18 Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works" (the Project) under the requirements and specifications of "the amended Environmental Permit (Application No. EP-281/2007/A)" (the amended EP) and "the Final EM&A Manual – Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 Package J – Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities" (the EM&A Manual).

This Monthly Impact Monitoring Report No.18 has been prepared by the ET of ETS-Testconsult Limited to document the impact monitoring works conducted for the Project in November 2009.

The Environmental Permit of this Project have been amended as EP-281/2007/A and issued on 23 September 2009. Condition 1.7 and 3.7 in Part C and Figure 4 of the amended EP have been changed. Refer to the change, the amended EP present that the uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*, as shown in Figure 4 of the amended EP shall be labeled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during the construction of the Project. The letter of Variation Environmental Permit (VEP-299/2009) is shown in Appendix J.

Construction Progress

The major construction works in this monitoring period were as below:

- Sewer drainage pipe & manhole construction (include open cut & trenchless method); and
- Road reinstatement work.

Environmental Monitoring Progress

The summary of the monitoring activities in this monitoring period is listed below:

- Noise Monitoring (Day-time): 5 Occasions at 4 designated locations;
- 24-hour TSP Monitoring: 6 Occasions at 3 designated locations;
- 1-hour TSP Monitoring: 18 Occasions at 3 designated locations.

Impact Air Quality Monitoring

No exceedances of Action and Limit levels were recorded for 24-hr and 1-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting period.

Impact Noise Monitoring

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were recorded in this reporting month.

Waste Management

According to weekly site inspection, ET found that the Contractor followed the recommended procedures stipulated in the Waste Management Plan (WMP) on handling and disposal of wastes. In this reporting month, 31.0 m³ Public Fill, 0.8 m³ hard rock and large broken concrete and 1.57 tonne rubbish were generated and disposed to Sok Kwu Wan Refuse Transfer Station (SKWRTS) properly. Besides, 3.4 m³ inert C&D materials reused in the Contract was recorded in this reporting month.

Site Inspection

Environmental site inspections conducted in this reporting month are presented as follows:

Concerned Parties

ET

RE / IEC / Kaden / ET

Dates of Audit / Inspection

02, 12, 18, 24 and 30 November 2009

18 November 2009

According to the summary of the weekly site inspections carried out in this month, it indicated that site practices of the Kaden were generally undertaken in an environmentally acceptable manner and the overall site environmental performance was satisfactory.



Summary of the site inspection findings in this reporting month is shown as below:

Item	Aspect	Finding	Action(s) to be taken by the Contractor	ET Verification
1	Air	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, stockpile of C&D materials at S170 were covered during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.
2	Water	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, the sedimentation tank at S64 were found not-in-use during the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.
3	Water	Site run-off was noted directly discharge to the drainage system at S146 without passing through sedimentation facilities during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to treat all site run-off before discharge.	During the weekly site inspection on 12/11/09, no direct discharge of site run-off was noted at S146.
4	Water	Stagnant water was found inside two unused water tanks at S64 during the weekly site inspection on 12/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to drain the water out or apply pesticide to avoid mosquito breeding.	During the subsequent weekly site inspection on 18/11/09, pesticide was applied.
5	Water	Stagnant water was found inside the borehole at S81 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to drain the water out or apply pesticide to avoid mosquito breeding.	During the subsequent weekly site inspection on 24/11/09, pesticide was applied.
6	Water	Inappropriate sedimentation tank was used at S147 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide an appropriate sedimentation tank.	Since the finding was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
7	Chemical	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, two oil drums at S75 were removed during weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.
8	Chemical	A generator at S70 was found without drip tray during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide drip tray for all generators.	During the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09, a drip tray was provided for the generator.
9	Chemical	Oil stains were noted on the ground and under generator at S73-75 during the weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to clean up the oil stain and treat the contaminated soil and materials as chemical waste.	Since the finding was observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
10	Site Practice	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, site areas, S75 and S64.1 were found untidy during the weekly site inspections on 02/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide more manpower to maintain the site areas tidy.	The tidiness at site areas, S75 and S64.1 were found improved during the weekly site inspections on 12/11/09 and 24/11/09.



Item	Aspect	Finding	Action(s) to be taken by the Contractor	ET Verification
11	Site Practice	C&D materials and oil drums / buckets were found disposed of at the roadside or on the ground at S62, S64, S35 and S36 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to collect and store them properly.	During the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09, all the area except S64 were found improved. Since the finding at S64 was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
12	Site Practice	Site area S73-75 was found untidy such as accumulation of C&D waste during the weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	The Contractor replied to arrange more manpower to keep the site area tidy.	Since the finding was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.

Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were received in this reporting period.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The monitored environmental data indicated that no unacceptable environmental impacts arising from the Project had been caused to the surrounding sensitive receivers. The environmental mitigation measures had been effective in controlling potential impacts to within acceptable sensitive receivers. Besides, the Contractor was recommended to maintain good site practice in order to minimize the environmental impacts at the site.

Construction Programme for Coming Month

The Construction programme for the Project is shown in Appendix E.

As informed by the Contractor, the activities to be conducted by them in coming month included:

- Sewer drainage pipe & manhole construction (include open cut & trenchless method);
- Road reinstatement work; and
- Protection of uncommon tree species.

Future Key Issues

Based on the forecast of engineering works in the coming month, key issues to be considered are as follows:

- Noise and air quality impact due to construction works; and
- Maintain good site practice to minimize environmental impacts at the site.

Internet Website

The monthly EM&A report can be accessed on the web at <http://www.skwsewer.com>.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Customer, Kaden Construction Limited (Kaden), appointed Environmental Team of ETS-Testconsult Limited to undertake the environmental impact monitoring for "Contract No. DC/2007/18 Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works" (the Project) under the requirements and specifications of "the Environmental Permit (Application No. EP-281/2007/A)" (the amended EP) and "the Final EM&A Manual – Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 Package J – Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities" (the EM&A Manual).

The Environmental Permit of this Project was amended as EP-281/2007/A and issued on 23 September 2009. Condition 1.7 and 3.7 in Part C and Figure 4 of the amended EP have been changed. Refer to the change, the amended EP present that the uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*, as shown in Figure 4 of the amended EP shall be labeled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during the construction of the Project. The letter of Variation Environmental Permit (VEP-299/2009) is shown in Appendix J.

In accordance with the Section 5 of the EP, EM&A programme as set out in the EM&A Manual is required to be implemented. In accordance with the EM&A manual, environmental monitoring of air quality and noise is required for the Project. The EM&A requirement for each parameter are described in details in subsequent sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event-Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study report;
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

This monthly EM&A report summarizes the impact monitoring in November 2009. This monthly EM&A report can be accessed on the web at <http://www.skwsewer.com>.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Background

Under this Project, Kaden is required to construct village sewerage in Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan, Lamma Island.

Village sewage works will undertake in this Project. These will comprise laying approximately 1.4km of sewerage pipes from 220mm to 350mm diameter in Sok Kwu Wan Village.

As the main Contractor of the captioned project contracted by, Kaden will follow the environmental monitoring recommendation stated in the EM&A Manual that was prepared with reference to the EIA Report (Register No.: AEIAR-075/2003).

According to the EP and the EM&A Manual, the environmental programme is mainly focused on the construction activities of this Project in Sok Kwu Wan. At the same time, all air quality and noise monitoring stations proposed in the EM&A Manual are located in Sok Kwu Wan. The baseline report is prepared in accordance with EP (No. EP-281/2007) for the Designated Project "Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 – Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities" and the EM&A Manual.

2.2 Site Description

The general layout plan of the project in Sok Kwu Wan is shown in Drawing No. 2005/C1/2004, 2005/C1/2005 and 2005/C1/2006.

Surrounding the construction site, there are air and noise sensitive receivers at Chung Mei Village, Sok Kwu Wan and Ta Shui Wan.



2.3 Construction Programme

The construction programme is shown in Appendix E.

2.4 Project Organization and Management Structure

The organization chart with respect to the on-site environmental management and monitoring program are shown in Appendix A.

2.5 Contact Details of Key Personnel

The key personnel contact names and telephone numbers, and construction programme are shown in table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Key Staff	Tel. No.	Fax No.
Scott Wilson CDM JV	Engineer Representative	Ir Ian J Jones	2982 0240	2982 4129
Scott Wilson CDM JV	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Rodney Ip	2410 3750	2428 9922
Kaden Construction Ltd	Contractor	Ir Stephen Leung	2454 9102	2465 1207
ETS-Testconsult Ltd	Environmental Team	Mr. C L Lau	2946 7791	2695 3944

2.6 Construction Progress in this reporting month

A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this monitoring month were:

- Sewer drainage pipe & manhole construction (include open cut & trenchless method); and
- Road reinstatement work.

3.0 IMPACT AIR QUALITY MONITORING

3.1 Monitoring Requirement

Both 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring is required to be conducted in order to monitor the air quality of the environment during the construction period. For regular monitoring, a sampling frequency of at least one in every six days should be carried out at all designated monitoring stations.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

24-hour TSP Monitoring

High volume sampler, as HVS, (Greasby GMWS2310) complete with appropriate sampling inlets are employed for 24-hour TSP. The sampler is composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Installation

The installation of HVS refers to the requirement stated in EM&A Manual.

Operation/Analytical Procedures

Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS are as below:

Prior to the commencement of the air sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 0.6m³/min and 1.7m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.

- For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters (GA-55) were used.
- The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
- On sampling, the sampler was operated 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at designated air monitoring station.



- The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holder frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The programmable timer will be set for a sampling period of 24 hours. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number.).
- After sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealed plastic bag and sent to the laboratory for weighting. The elapsed time was also recoded.
- Before weighting, all filters were equilibrated in a desiccator for 24 hour with the temperature of $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the relative humidity (RH) $<50\% \pm 5\%$.

Maintenance & Calibration

- The HVS and their accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- The HVSs used were calibrated before the commencement of air quality monitoring, after maintenance and every two months. Five-point calibration by using calibration kit (e.g. Tisch TE-5025A) was performed to establish a relationship between the flow recorder meter reading in cfm (cubic feet per minute) and the standard flow rate, QStd, in m^3/min .

1-hour TSP Monitoring

1-hour TSP levels were measured by using 1-hour dust meter which are capable of producing comparable results as the by high volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts.

Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hr dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's instruction Manual as follows:

- Set POWER to ON, check the battery indicator to ensure whether the power supply is enough to conduct the TSP monitoring;
- Calibrate the dust meter by zero check;
- Set the TIME CONSTANT of the dust meter;
- Press SAMPLE to start the TSP monitoring;
- Record the maximum, minimum and average reading directly from the dust meter by press STATISTICS when monitoring complete.

Maintenance & Calibration

- 1-hour dust meter should be checked at 6-month intervals throughout all stages of impact air quality monitoring. Through a five-point calibration by placing the dust meter and calibrated HVS under the same environmental condition, a relationship in TSP level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) between dust meter and HVS was established.

3.3 Laboratory Measurement / Analysis

Environmental Laboratory of ETS-Testconsult which is HOKLAS accredited, carried out the laboratory analysis.

A clean filter paper with no pinholes was used for each time of monitoring. Before sampling, the filter paper of size 8" x 10" was labelled and conditioned in a humidity controlled chamber for over 24 hours and pre-weighed before use for the sampling.

After sampling, the filter paper loaded with dust was kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag. The filter paper then returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber following by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with readout down to 0.1mg. The balance was calibrated against a traceable standard.

All the analysis results and collected samples were kept in a good condition for 6 months after completion of the monitoring exercise before disposal.

3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 3.1 summarizes the monitoring parameters, monitoring duration and frequencies of impact air quality monitoring.

Table 3.1 Monitoring parameters, duration and frequencies of impact air quality monitoring

Parameter	Duration	Frequency
24-hr TSP	24 hr (0000-2400)	One in every six days
1-hr TSP	1 hr (0700-1900)	3 times per day in every six days

3.5 Monitoring Locations

As the requirement in the EM&A Manual, three designated air monitoring stations were selected. Table 3.2 tabulates the air quality monitoring locations of this Project.

Table 3.2 Air monitoring stations

Air monitoring station	Description of location
AM1	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village
AM2	Squatter house in Chung Mei Village
AM3	Football Court

3.6 Action and Limit Levels

Action and Limit levels for 24-hr TSP and 1-hr TSP derived as illustrated in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Action and Limit levels for 24-hr TSP and 1-hr TSP

Monitoring Station	24-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
AM1	173	260	343	500
AM2	175	260	331	500
AM3	191	260	353	500

3.7 Event-Action Plans

Should the results of the monitoring parameters at any designated monitoring stations indicate that the air quality criteria are exceeded, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan that summarized in Appendix D should be carried out.

3.8 Results

Totally 6 occasions of 24-hr TSP monitoring and 18 occasions of 1-hr TSP monitoring were carried out in this reporting period. All monitoring data of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring is provided in Appendix B2. Graphical presentation of both 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in Appendix B3.

No exceedances of Action and Limit Level of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring results were recorded during the reporting month. Table 3.4 summaries the 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring results.

Table 3.4 Summary of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Parameter	1-hr TSP Monitoring								
	Station	AM1			AM2			AM3	
Date	Time	Result	Exceed*	Time	Result	Exceed*	Time	Result	Exceed*
02/11/09	13:00	145	X	13:06	140	X	09:13	127	X
02/11/09	14:00	163	X	14:06	149	X	10:13	161	X
02/11/09	15:00	120	X	15:06	120	X	11:13	153	X
06/11/09	13:00	140	X	13:05	152	X	09:17	139	X
06/11/09	14:00	170	X	14:05	167	X	10:17	158	X
06/11/09	15:00	125	X	15:05	136	X	11:17	151	X
12/11/09	09:10	98	X	09:15	117	X	13:00	120	X
12/11/09	10:10	106	X	10:15	126	X	14:00	117	X
12/11/09	11:10	103	X	11:15	105	X	15:00	126	X
18/11/09	09:15	92	X	13:10	112	X	13:30	83	X
18/11/09	10:15	101	X	14:10	113	X	14:30	120	X
18/11/09	11:15	107	X	15:10	127	X	15:30	115	X
24/11/09	09:10	101	X	09:05	86	X	13:05	113	X
24/11/09	10:10	109	X	10:05	96	X	14:05	108	X
24/11/09	11:10	115	X	11:05	84	X	15:05	117	X
30/11/09	09:25	110	X	09:18	115	X	13:00	108	X
30/11/09	10:25	128	X	10:18	130	X	14:00	129	X
30/11/09	11:25	103	X	11:18	108	X	15:00	122	X

Parameter	24-hr TSP Monitoring					
	Station	AM1		AM2		AM3
Date	Result	Exceed*	Result	Exceed*	Result	Exceed*
02/11/09	152	X	163	X	179	X
06/11/09	30	X	53	X	44	X
12/11/09	60	X	48	X	47	X
18/11/09	61	X	79	X	61	X
24/11/09	60	X	77	X	65	X
30/11/09	142	X	103	X	90	X

Remark (*): L=Limit Level exceedance, A=Action Level exceedance and X=not an exceedance

4.0 IMPACT NOISE MONITORING

4.1 Monitoring Requirements

As the requirement in the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring was conducted for a weekly basis at designated monitoring locations.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meters used for impact noise monitoring were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level reading including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They complied with International Electro technical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type1) and speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. Table 4.1 summarized the noise monitoring equipment model used during the impact monitoring. Copies of calibration certificates and Calibration Summary for noise meters and calibrators used are attached in Appendix C1.

Table 4.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-31 Sound Level Meter
Calibrator	Rion NC-73 Sound Level Meter
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	TSI Model 8340-M Air Velocity Meter

4.3 Monitoring Parameters, duration and Frequency

Impact noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded once per week. Data obtained from impact noise monitoring was processed and presented as below:

- Daytime: three sets of 30-minute noise level monitored between 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays;
 - Evening-time*: three sets of 5-minute noise level monitored between 1900-2300 hrs ;
 - Night-time*: three sets of 5-minute noise level monitored between 2300-0700 hrs of next day; and
 - Holiday*: three sets of 5-minute noise level monitored between 0700-1900 hrs on holiday.
- (*): Noise monitoring to be conducted only when there is construction work.

Duration, frequencies and parameters of noise measurement are presented in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Duration, Frequencies and Parameters of Noise Monitoring

Time period	Duration/min	Parameters
Day-time: 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekday	30	L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}
Evening-time: 1900-2300 hrs	5	L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}
Night-time: 2300-0700 hrs of next day	5	L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}
Holiday: 0700-1900 hrs	5	L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}

4.4 Monitoring Locations

Since the owner of 1B Sok Kwu Wan objected to set up the noise monitoring station NM3 at 1B Sok Kwu Wan (Eastern Façade), an alternative position, Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out Area RNM3, which is just a 3m width footpath away from house 1B for the free field noise measurement, was proposed by ET. Under the approval from ER and agreement from the IEC and EPD, both baseline and impact noise monitoring was carried out at RNM3.

Hence, there were four noise monitoring locations NM1, NM2, RNM3 and NM4 required to carry out impact noise monitoring.

The details of noise monitoring stations are summarized in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Noise Monitoring Stations

Noise monitoring station	Description of location	Type of Measurement
NM1	1, Chung Mei Village	Façade
NM2	20, Sok Kwu Wan	Façade
RNM3	Sok Kwu Wan Sitting-out Area	Free Field
NM4	2-storey village house at Ta Shui Wan	Façade

4.5 Monitoring Methodology

Instrumentation

Integrating Sound Level Meters were employed for noise monitoring.

Operation/Analysis Procedures

- Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2m above the ground;
- For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter:
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - Frequency weighting : A
 - Time weighting : Fast
 - Time measurement : 5 mins

- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000HZ. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment;
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet;
- Noise measurement may be paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking directly towards the receiver of noise level meter). If noise measurement was paused during high intrusive noise, the noise level meter would be resumed and continued the noise measurement and the observations would also be recorded. Any pause intervals were not included in the measurement time; and
- Noise monitoring would be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, storm, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gusts exceeding 10m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator are cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals; and
- The meters are sent to supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrated at yearly intervals.

4.6 Actions and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels (AL Levels) were established in accordance to the Clause 3.6.4 of the EM&A Manual. Table 4.4 presents the AL levels for noise monitoring.

Table 4.4 Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring

<i>Time Period</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Limit</i>
<i>0700 –1900 hrs normal weekdays</i>	<i>When one documented complaint is received</i>	<i>75 dB(A)</i>

4.7 Event-Action Plans

Should the results of the monitoring parameters at any designated monitoring stations indicate that the noise level criteria are exceeded, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan that summarized in Appendix D should be carried out.

4.8 Results

Totally 5 occasions of Day-time noise monitoring were carried out at monitoring stations in this reporting month. No Evening-time, Night-time and Holiday noise monitoring were required since no construction works were processed during the night-time period.

No exceedances of Action Level of noise monitoring were recorded in this reporting month since no complaint on noise issue was received. Besides, no exceedances in Limit Level were recorded according to the results from Day-time noise monitoring. Table 4.5 summaries the noise daytime monitoring results in the reporting period.

Table 4.5 Summary of Noise Daytime Monitoring Results

<i>Monitoring Parameter</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>NM1</i>		<i>NM2</i>		<i>RNM3[#]</i>		<i>NM4</i>	
		<i>Result</i>	<i>Exceedance*</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Exceedance*</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Exceedance*</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Exceedance*</i>
<i>Noise Daytime Monitoring</i>	<i>02/11/09</i>	<i>73.5</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>66.6</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>64.5</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>53.0</i>	<i>X</i>
	<i>12/11/09</i>	<i>62.5</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>64.2</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>65.3</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>54.2</i>	<i>X</i>
	<i>18/11/09</i>	<i>60.8</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>65.2</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>52.4</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>56.9</i>	<i>X</i>
	<i>24/11/09</i>	<i>58.4</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>64.5</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>69.8</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>60.1</i>	<i>X</i>
	<i>30/11/09</i>	<i>61.7</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>64.2</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>68.1</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>50.0</i>	<i>X</i>

Remark (*): L = Limit Level exceedance, A = Action Level exceedance and X = not an Exceedance
([#]): 3dB(A) correction had been added to the results since noise measurements at RNM3 were free-field.



5.0 SITE INSPECTION

During this reporting month, weekly site inspections were undertaken on 02, 12, 18, 24 and 30 November 2009 by ET. Monthly joint site inspection at 18 November 2009 was carried out by Engineer's Representative, IEC, Kaden and ET. A summary of implementation status of mitigation measures on site inspections is presented in Appendix F.

5.1 Summary of the site inspection findings and Action(s) taken by Kaden and ET

According to the summary of the weekly site inspections carried out in this month, it indicated that site practices of the Kaden were generally undertaken in an environmentally acceptable manner and the overall site environmental performance was satisfactory. Summary of the site inspection findings in this reporting month is shown in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Summary of Site Inspection Findings and Action(s) taken by Kaden and ET

Item	Aspect	Finding	Action(s) to be taken by the Contractor	ET Verification
1	Air	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, stockpile of C&D materials at S170 were covered during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.
2	Water	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, the sedimentation tank at S64 were found not-in-use during the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.
3	Water	Site run-off was noted directly discharge to the drainage system at S146 without passing through sedimentation facilities during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to treat all site run-off before discharge.	During the weekly site inspection on 12/11/09, no direct discharge of site run-off was noted at S146.
4	Water	Stagnant water was found inside two unused water tanks at S64 during the weekly site inspection on 12/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to drain the water out or apply pesticide to avoid mosquito breeding.	During the subsequent weekly site inspection on 18/11/09, pesticide was applied.
5	Water	Stagnant water was found inside the borehole at S81 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to drain the water out or apply pesticide to avoid mosquito breeding.	During the subsequent weekly site inspection on 24/11/09, pesticide was applied.
6	Water	Inappropriate sedimentation tank was used at S147 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide an appropriate sedimentation tank.	Since the finding was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
7	Chemical	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, two oil drums at S75 were removed during weekly site inspection on 02/11/09.	Since the finding was improved, no further action is required to be taken by the Contractor.	Since the finding was improved during the weekly site inspection on 02/11/09, no further verification is required to be taken by ET.



Item	Aspect	Finding	Action(s) to be taken by the Contractor	ET Verification
8	Chemical	A generator at S70 was found without drip tray during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide drip tray for all generators.	During the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09, a drip tray was provided for the generator.
9	Chemical	Oil stains were noted on the ground and under generator at S73-75 during the weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to clean up the oil stain and treat the contaminated soil and materials as chemical waste.	Since the finding was observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
10	Site Practice	Follow up action to the outstanding finding in the previous month, site areas, S75 and S64.1 were found untidy during the weekly site inspections on 02/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to provide more manpower to maintain the site areas tidy.	The tidiness at site areas, S75 and S64.1 were found improved during the weekly site inspections on 12/11/09 and 24/11/09.
11	Site Practice	C&D materials and oil drums / buckets were found disposed of at the roadside or on the ground at S62, S64, S35 and S36 during the weekly site inspection on 18/11/09.	The Contractor was replied to collect and store them properly.	During the last weekly site inspection on 30/11/09, all the area except S64 were found improved. Since the finding at S64 was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.
12	Site Practice	Site area S73-75 was found untidy such as accumulation of C&D waste during the weekly site inspection on 30/11/09.	The Contractor replied to arrange more manpower to keep the site area tidy.	Since the finding was still observed in the last weekly site inspection, it will be verified in the coming month.

5.2 Recommendations on site inspection findings in Site Inspections of this month

Based on the site inspection findings, the recommendations are as below:

- Providing dust suppression measures (such as water spraying) during the construction works especially excavation and earth moving operation;
- Checking and maintaining all the site machines to prevent black smoke emission;
- Providing briefing to the concerned site staff on remedial actions, such as handling method of chemicals and chemical waste;
- Maintain good waste management at the site; and
- Remove all stagnant water and applied proper treatment facilities to wastewater before discharge.

6.0 Status of Environmental Permits

All permits/licenses obtained in this reporting month are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Description	Permit No.	Valid Period		Section
		From	To	
Environmental Permit	EP-281/2007/A	23/09/09	End of Project	Valid
Water Discharge Licence	EP890/W2/XD 026	23/05/08	31/03/12	Valid
Notification under APCO	Application had been submitted to EPD on 15 April 2008			

7.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT

The quantities of waste for disposal from Sok Kwu Wan in this month are summarized in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Summary of Quantities of Waste for Disposal in this reporting month

Type of Waste		Quantity	Disposal Location	Cumulative Quantity
Inert C&D Materials	Total Quantity Generated (in '000m ³)	0.0344		1.2614
	Broken Concrete (in '000m ³)	0.0008	SKWRTS	0.0721
	Reused in the Contract (in '000m ³)	0.0034	For Stockpile / Reuse	0.5218
	Reused in other Projects (in '000m ³)	0	N/A	0.208
	Disposal as Public Fill (in '000m ³)	0.0310	SKWRTS	0.4605
C&D Waste	Metals (in '000kg)	0	N/A	0
	Paper/Cardboard Packaging (in '000kg)	0	N/A	0
	Plastics (in '000kg)	0	N/A	0
	Chemical Waste (in '000kg)	0	N/A	0
	Other, e.g. General Refuse (tonne)	1.57	SKWRTS	8.90

8.0 ECOLOGY

A comprehensive tree survey was carried out by Kaden in mid 2008. The two uncommon tree species (*Celtis timorensis* and *Celtis biondii*) could not be identified on site as per the Figure 4 of the EP.

A joint visit amongst EPD, AFCD, Kaden, DSD and RE was subsequently held on 24 March 2009 and some immature *Celtis timorensis* plants were identified at certain locations at Chung Mei. It was agreed that a full vegetation survey (in addition to the previous tree survey) should be conducted to identify the immature uncommon species.

Kaden then employed a landscape subcontractor "Bluet" and carried out a vegetation survey on 17 April 2009. Some immature uncommon trees species of *Celtis timorensis* were identified at twelve locations near the Works Area.

Subsequently, the Environmental Permit of this Project was amended as EP-281/2007/A and issued on 23 September 2009. Condition 1.7 and 3.7 in Part C and Figure 4 of the amended EP have been changed. Refer to the change, the amended EP present that the uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*, as shown in Figure 4 of the amended EP shall be labelled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during the construction of the Project. The letter of Variation Environmental Permit (VEP-299/2009) is shown in Appendix J.

In the previous weekly site inspections in September and October 2009, some uncommon plants were suspected to be missing. This was reported in the last Monthly Report. Letters were also received from AFCD and EPD (see Appendix K and L) regarding this issue and the mis-identification of some uncommon plants. Another vegetation survey was subsequently carried out by the landscaping subcontractor "Bluet" on 18 November 2009 for verification (see attached updated survey report in Appendix G). It was reported that all uncommon plants (CT 1 to 12) are still existing and all the mislabeling has been rectified.

In this reporting month, all uncommon plants have been labelled and fenced off with safety net and notices have been posted for warning the site personnel of the presence of the uncommon tree species. Photos attached in Appendix I present the fencing and protection provided for those uncommon species in this reporting month.

9.0 ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE

Refer to the Section 9 of EM&A Manual, watching brief works were conducted in Chung Mei, Sok Kwu Wan by Archaeological Assessments Limited on 01 September 2008 and 12 June 2009.

The watching brief works took place along approximately 50m long alignment in two segments, MHS52 to MHS54 on 1st September 2008 and MHS50 to MHS52 on 12th June 2009. In overview, the steep lower hill slope area traversed by the MHS50 and MHS54 has seen little or no human activity prior to the 20th century and in contrast to the valley to the west, can be considered to have no archaeological potential. Details of the watching brief works present in Appendix H.

10.0 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

10.1 Summary of Air Quality and Noise monitoring

No exceedances of Action and Limit Level of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP monitoring results were recorded during the reporting period.

No exceedances of Action Level of noise monitoring were recorded in this reporting month since no complaint on noise issue was received. Besides, no exceedances in Limit Level were recorded according to the results from Day-time noise monitoring.

No evening-time, night-time and holiday noise monitoring were required since no construction works were processed during these periods.

10.2 Summary of Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were received in this reporting month. A summary of environmental complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions was given Table 10.1.

Table 10.1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaint-log

Reporting Period	Complaint logged		Summons served		Successful Prosecution	
	Frequency	Cumulative	Frequency	Cumulative	Frequency	Cumulative
June 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
July 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
August 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
September 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
October 2008	1	1	0	0	0	0
November 2008	0	1	0	0	0	0
December 2008	0	1	0	0	0	0
January 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
February 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
March 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
April 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
May 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
June 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
July 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
August 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
September 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
October 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
November 2009	0	1	0	0	0	0

11.0 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

11.1 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Kaden has been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures indicating in Appendix A of the EM&A manual. A summary of the implementation status of the mitigation measures is presented in Appendix F.

11.2 Implementation Status of Event and Action Plan

No exceedances of Action and limit Levels of noise air quality monitoring were recorded in this reporting month and hence no further actions were required to be taken.

11.3 Implementation Status of Environmental Complaint Handling

No complaints were received in this reporting month and hence no further actions were required to be handled.

11.4 Implementation Status of Notification of Summons and Prosecution

There were no notifications of summons respect to environmental issues registered in this reporting month.

12.0 Conclusion and discussion

According to the summary of noise and air quality monitoring results, no exceedances of Action and Limit Level were recorded during the reporting period.

According to the ET weekly site inspections carried out in this reporting month, it indicated that site practices of the Kaden were generally undertaken in an environmentally acceptable manner and the overall site environmental performance was satisfactory. Summary of the site inspection findings is shown in Table 5.1.

The monitored environmental results indicated that no unacceptable environmental impacts arising from the Project had been caused to the surrounding sensitive receivers. The environmental measures had been effective in controlling potential impacts to within acceptable sensitive receivers.

No complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were received in this reporting period.

13.0 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

13.1 Upcoming Environmental Monitoring Schedule in coming monitoring month

Proposed Environmental Monitoring program in coming month is presented as following table:

Table 13.1 Proposed Environmental Monitoring Schedule in coming month

December 2009						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1	2	3	4 1-hr TSP x 3 24-hr TSP	5
6	7	8	9	10 1-hr TSP x 3 24-hr TSP NM Weekly SI	11	12
13	14	15	16 1-hr TSP x 3 24-hr TSP NM Weekly SI	17	18	19
20	21	22 1-hr TSP x 3 24-hr TSP NM Weekly SI	23	24	25 Holiday	26 Holiday
27	28 1-hr TSP x 3 24-hr TSP NM Weekly SI	29	30	31		



13.2 Upcoming Construction Works Schedule in coming month

Major construction works planned to be carried out in coming month are shown as below:

- Sewer drainage pipe & manhole construction (include open cut & trenchless method);
- Road reinstatement work; and
- Protection of uncommon tree species.

13.3 Environmental Issues for the Coming Month

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Dust generated from the construction activities; and
- Noise generated from the noisy activities.

Mitigation measures to be required in the coming month:

Air Quality Impact

- To provide adequate water spraying on roads and working platform;
- To ensure implementation of the dust mitigation measures for the construction activities; and
- To provide proper maintenance for vehicles and machines on site.

Noise

- To switch off equipment if not in use;
- To operate silent equipment;
- To identify the noise sources inside and outside of the site; and
- To re-schedule the work activities in the event of valid noise exceedance.



Appendix A

Organization Chart and Lines of Communication


Kaden Construction Limited



DSD Contract No. DC/2007/18


Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works

Organization Structure for Environmental Management (EMP Rev. 21.00)





Lines of Communication





Appendix B1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipments



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司
ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong
Tel : 2695 8318 E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com
Fax : 2695 3944 Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com


TEST REPORT

**Calibration Report
of
High Volume Air Sampler**

Manufacturer : Graseby GMW **Date of Calibration** : 14 October 2009
Serial No. : 1173 (ET/EA/003/17) **Calibration Due Date** : 13 December 2009
Method : Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations Manual

Results	Flow recorder reading (cfm)	56	50	43	33	24
	Qstd (Actual flow rate, m ³ /min)	1.66	1.49	1.29	1.03	0.81
	Pressure : 764.31 mmHg	Temp. : 299 K				

**Sampler 1173 Calibration Curve
Site: Sok Kwu Wan (AM-1)**



Acceptance Criteria : Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after 5-point calibration

The high volume sampler complies* / ~~does not comply*~~ with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable* / unacceptable* for use.

Calibrated by :
LEUNG, Ka Chun
(Assistant Environmental Officer)

Approved by :
LAW, Sau Yee
(Senior Environmental Officer)



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司
ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F, Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong

Tel : 2695 8318

E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com

Fax : 2695 3944

Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com

TEST REPORT


Calibration Report
of
High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer : Graseby GMW **Date of Calibration** : 14 October 2009
Serial No. : 9865 (ET / EA / 003 / 14) **Calibration Due Date** : 13 December 2009
Method : Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations Manual

Results :

Flow recorder reading (cfm)	52	44	38	31	23
Qstd (Actual flow rate, m ³ /min)	1.73	1.50	1.30	1.06	0.83
Pressure :	764.31 mm Hg		Temp. :	297 K	


Sampler 9865 Calibration Curve
Site: Sok Kwu Wan (AM-2)




Acceptance Criteria : Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration

The high volume sampler complies* / ~~does not comply*~~ with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable* / unacceptable* for use.

Calibrated by : 
LEUNG, Ka Chun
(Assistant Environmental Officer)

Approved by : 
LAW, Sau Yee
(Senior Environmental Officer)



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司
ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F, Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong

Tel : 2695 8318

E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com

Fax : 2695 3944

Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com

TEST REPORT


Calibration Report
of
High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer : Graseby GMW **Date of Calibration** : 14 October 2009
Serial No. : 9912 (ET / EA / 003 / 15) **Calibration Due Date** : 13 December 2009
Method : Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations Manual

Results

Flow recorder reading (cfm)	51	45	40	31	24
Qstd (Actual flow rate, m ³ /min)	1.74	1.54	1.34	1.08	0.85
Pressure :	765.06 mm Hg		Temp. :	297 K	

Sampler 9912 Calibration Curve
Site: Sok Kwu Wan (AM-3)



Acceptance Criteria : Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration


The high volume sampler complies* / does not comply* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable* / unacceptable* for use.

Calibrated by :


LEUNG, Ka Chun
(Assistant Environmental Officer)

Approved by :


LAW, Sau Yee
(Senior Environmental Officer)



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司
ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong
Tel : 2695 8318 E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com
Fax : 2695 3944 Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com


TEST REPORT

Internal Calibration Report
of
Dust Trak Monitor

Manufacturer : TSI - 8520 Dust Trak Date of Calibration : 10 July 2009
Serial No. : 15115 (ET/EA/001/02) Calibration Due Date : 09 January 2010
Method : Parallel measurement (five-point calibration) by placing the Dust Trak Monitor and High Volume Air Sampler together under the same environmental condition

Results :

Dust Trak Monitor ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	50	85	120	168	235
High Volume Air Sampler ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	42	68	102	132	171
Serial No of High Volume Air Sampler : 1178		Calibration Due Date: 29 August 2009			




Acceptance Criteria :


Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a five point calibration


The Dust Trak Monitor complies * / does-not-comply * with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use.

Calibrated by :


CHOW, Hoi Tat
(Assistant Environmental Officer)

Approved by :


LAW, Sau Yee
(Senior Environmental Officer)



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司
ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

8/F, Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong
Tel : 2695 8318 E-mail : etl@ets-testconsult.com
Fax : 2695 3944 Web site : www.ets-testconsult.com

TEST REPORT

**Internal Calibration Report
of
Dust Trak Monitor**


Manufacturer : TSI - 8520 Dust Trak Date of Calibration : 10 July 2009

Serial No. : 14230 (ET/EA/001/04) Due Date : 09 January 2010

Method : Parallel measurement (five-point calibration) by placing the Dust Trak Monitor and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Trak Monitor (ug/m ³)	55	87	126	168	241
High Volume Air Sampler (ug/m ³)	42	68	102	132	171
High Volume Air Sampler Serial No.: 1178			Calibration Due Date: 29 August 2009		



Acceptance Criteria : Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a five point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies * / ~~does not comply~~ * with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use.

Calibrated by :
CHOW, Hoi Tat
(Assistant Environmental Officer)

Approved by :
LAW, Sau Yee
(Senior Environmental Officer)



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - May 18, 2009 Rootsmeter S/N 9833620 Ta (K) - 293
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1560 Pa (mm) - 765.81

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4310	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0060	6.3	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8990	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8580	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7070	12.7	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0205	0.7132	1.4317	0.9958	0.6959	0.8748
1.0164	1.0104	2.0247	0.9918	0.9859	1.2371
1.0142	1.1281	2.2637	0.9896	1.1008	1.3831
1.0132	1.1809	2.3742	0.9886	1.1522	1.4506
1.0078	1.4255	2.8633	0.9834	1.3909	1.7495
Qstd slope (m) = 2.01170			Qa slope (m) = 1.25969		
intercept (b) = -0.00455			intercept (b) = -0.00278		
coefficient (r) = 0.99998			coefficient (r) = 0.99998		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:


$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(\text{H2O}(\text{Pa}/760) (298/\text{Ta}))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(\text{H2O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa}))] - b \}$$



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:
$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P_a}{P_{std}} \right) \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_a} \right)}$$

Qa series:
$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (T_a / P_a))}$$

1560



Appendix B2

Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results

Summary of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station : AM1

Start Date	Start Time	Finish		Elapse Time		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average (m ³ /min.)	Filter Weight (g)		Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition
		Date	Time	Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
02/11/09	11:59	03/11/09	11:59	14879.35	14903.35	24.00	1.0674	1.0674	1.0674	2.8283	3.0621	152	Fine
06/11/09	09:25	07/11/09	09:25	14903.35	14927.35	24.00	1.1470	1.1470	1.1470	2.8302	2.8792	30	Fine
12/11/09	14:10	13/11/09	14:10	14927.35	14951.35	24.00	1.0408	1.0408	1.0408	2.8371	2.9272	60	Cloudy
18/11/09	10:45	19/11/09	10:45	14951.35	14975.35	24.00	1.1470	1.1470	1.1470	2.8156	2.9162	61	Cloudy
24/11/09	12:45	25/11/09	12:45	14975.35	14999.35	24.00	1.1470	1.1470	1.1470	2.8175	2.9161	60	Sunny
30/11/09	13:00	01/12/09	13:00	14999.35	15023.35	24.00	1.0674	1.0674	1.0674	2.8901	3.1084	142	Sunny

Monitoring Station : AM2

Start Date	Start Time	Finish		Elapse Time		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average (m ³ /min.)	Filter Weight (g)		Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition
		Date	Time	Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
02/11/09	11:55	03/11/09	11:55	18915.29	18939.29	24.00	1.0765	1.0765	1.0765	2.8091	3.0623	163	Fine
06/11/09	09:20	07/11/09	09:20	18939.29	18963.29	24.00	1.1080	1.1080	1.1080	2.8199	2.9045	53	Fine
12/11/09	14:19	13/11/09	14:19	18963.29	18987.29	24.00	0.9821	0.9821	0.9821	2.8535	2.9218	48	Cloudy
18/11/09	11:05	19/11/09	11:05	18987.30	19011.30	24.00	1.0451	1.0451	1.0451	2.8288	2.9473	79	Cloudy
24/11/09	12:50	25/11/09	12:50	19011.30	19035.30	24.00	1.0451	1.0451	1.0451	2.8250	2.9405	77	Sunny
30/11/09	13:00	01/12/09	13:00	19035.30	19059.30	24.00	1.1080	1.1080	1.1080	2.8320	2.9962	103	Sunny

Monitoring Station : AM3

Start Date	Start Time	Finish		Elapse Time		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average (m ³ /min.)	Filter Weight (g)		Conc. (µg/m ³)	Weather Condition
		Date	Time	Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
02/11/09	09:20	03/11/09	09:20	2975.52	2999.52	24.00	1.2382	1.2382	1.2382	2.7928	3.1118	179	Fine
06/11/09	13:00	07/11/09	13:00	2999.52	3023.52	24.00	1.2382	1.2382	1.2382	2.8230	2.9015	44	Fine
12/11/09	13:32	13/11/09	13:32	3023.52	3047.52	24.00	1.2709	1.2709	1.2709	2.8017	2.8870	47	Cloudy
18/11/09	11:17	19/11/09	11:17	3047.52	3071.52	24.00	1.3364	1.3364	1.3364	2.8018	2.9190	61	Cloudy
24/11/09	13:11	25/11/09	13:12	3071.52	3095.53	24.01	1.3036	1.3036	1.3036	2.8245	2.9468	65	Sunny
30/11/09	13:00	01/12/09	13:00	3095.53	3119.53	24.00	1.3036	1.3036	1.3036	2.8262	2.9953	90	Sunny

Summary of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station : AM1

Date	Monitoring Period			1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Weather
	Start	Finish		Minimum	Maximum	Average	
02/11/09	13:00	14:00		89	412	145	Sunny
02/11/09	14:00	15:00		92	490	163	Sunny
02/11/09	15:00	16:00		96	437	120	Sunny
06/11/09	13:00	14:00		102	412	140	Fine
06/11/09	14:00	15:00		99	446	170	Fine
06/11/09	15:00	16:00		88	328	125	Fine
12/11/09	09:10	10:10		78	355	98	Fine
12/11/09	10:10	11:10		81	400	106	Fine
12/11/09	11:10	12:10		75	398	103	Fine
18/11/09	09:15	10:15		63	425	92	Cloudy
18/11/09	10:15	11:15		82	436	101	Cloudy
18/11/09	11:15	12:15		94	482	107	Cloudy
24/11/09	09:10	10:10		81	524	101	Sunny
24/11/09	10:10	11:10		89	583	109	Sunny
30/11/09	11:10	12:10		101	602	115	Sunny
30/11/09	09:25	10:25		90	517	110	Fine
30/11/09	10:25	11:25		98	539	128	Fine
30/11/09	11:25	12:25		112	482	103	Fine

Monitoring Station : AM2

Date	Monitoring Period			1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Weather
	Start	Finish		Minimum	Maximum	Average	
02/11/09	13:06	14:06		95	500	140	Sunny
02/11/09	14:06	15:06		101	566	149	Sunny
02/11/09	15:06	16:06		94	481	120	Sunny
06/11/09	13:05	14:05		92	461	152	Fine
06/11/09	14:05	15:05		106	497	167	Fine
06/11/09	15:05	16:05		82	424	136	Fine
12/11/09	09:15	10:15		65	456	117	Fine
12/11/09	10:15	11:15		88	485	126	Fine
12/11/09	11:15	12:15		80	411	105	Fine
18/11/09	13:10	14:10		103	539	112	Cloudy
18/11/09	14:10	15:10		98	518	113	Cloudy
18/11/09	15:10	16:10		114	608	127	Cloudy
24/11/09	09:05	10:05		77	511	86	Sunny
24/11/09	10:05	11:05		85	591	96	Sunny
24/11/09	11:05	12:05		80	535	84	Sunny
30/11/09	09:18	10:18		97	542	115	Fine
30/11/09	10:18	11:18		106	570	130	Fine
30/11/09	11:18	12:18		122	499	108	Fine

Summary of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station : AM3

Date	Monitoring Period		1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Weather
	Start	Finish	Minimum	Maximum	Average	
02/11/09	09:13	10:13	89	470	127	Sunny
02/11/09	10:13	11:13	90	496	161	Sunny
02/11/09	11:13	12:13	96	388	153	Sunny
06/11/09	09:17	10:17	102	416	139	Fine
06/11/09	10:17	11:17	107	433	158	Fine
06/11/09	11:17	12:17	89	385	151	Fine
12/11/09	13:00	14:00	91	521	120	Fine
12/11/09	14:00	15:00	88	503	117	Fine
12/11/09	15:00	16:00	95	541	126	Fine
18/11/09	13:30	14:30	74	489	83	Cloudy
18/11/09	14:30	15:30	90	588	120	Cloudy
18/11/09	15:30	16:30	121	631	115	Cloudy
24/11/09	13:05	14:05	98	662	113	Sunny
24/11/09	14:05	15:05	138	651	108	Sunny
24/11/09	15:05	16:05	152	634	117	Sunny
30/11/09	13:00	14:00	120	482	108	Fine
30/11/09	14:00	15:00	106	549	129	Fine
30/11/09	15:00	16:00	99	521	122	Fine




Appendix C3


Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Data




1-hour TSP level at AM1



1-hour TSP level at AM2




1-hour TSP level at AM3







24-hour TSP level at AM1



24-hour TSP level at AM2



24-hour TSP level at AM3





Appendix C1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipments



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91495

Page 1 of 4 Pages

Customer : ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address : 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No. : Q90663

Date of receipt : 1-Apr-09

Item Tested

Description : Precision Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer : Rion

Model : NL-31

Serial No. : 00110024

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 15-Apr-09

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : Z01.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1 & IEC 804 Type 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017A	Multi-Function Generator	86228	11-Dec-09	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	82926	16-Jul-09	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :


P.F. Wong

Approved by :


for Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 16-Apr-09

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91495

Page 2 of 4 Pages

Results :

1. SPL Accuracy

UUT Setting			Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
Level Range (dB)	Weight	Response		
20 - 100	L _A	Fast	94.03	93.7
		Slow		93.7
	L _C	Fast		93.7
	L _p	Fast		93.7
30 - 120	L _A	Fast	94.03	93.6
		Slow		93.6
	L _C	Fast		93.6
	L _p	Fast		93.6
30 - 120	L _A	Fast	113.97	113.6
		Slow		113.6
	L _C	Fast		113.6
	L _p	Fast		113.6

IEC Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.7 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Level Stability : 0.0 dB

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91495

Page 3 of 4 Pages

3. Linearity

3.1 Level Linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (Primary Indicator Range)
130	114.0	113.8	+0.2	± 0.7 dB
130	104.0	103.7	+0.1	
120	94.0	93.6 (Ref.)	--	
110	84.0	83.6	0.0	
100	74.0	73.6	0.0	
90	64.0	63.7	+0.1	
80	54.0	53.8	+0.2	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

3.2 Differential level linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
120	84.0	83.7	+0.1	± 0.4 dB
	94.0	93.6 (Ref.)	--	
	95.0	94.7	+0.1	± 0.2 dB
	104.0	103.6	0.0	± 0.3 dB
	105.0	104.6	0.0	± 1.0 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

4. Frequency Weighting

A weighting

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.8	- 39.4 dB, ± 1.5 dB
63 Hz	-26.5	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.5	- 16.1 dB, ± 1 dB
250 Hz	-8.9	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.4	- 3.2 dB, ± 1 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref.)	0 dB, ± 1 dB
2 kHz	+1.5	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1 dB
4 kHz	+1.4	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1 dB
8 kHz	-0.8	- 1.1 dB, + 1.5 dB ~ - 3 dB
16 kHz	-6.4	- 6.6 dB, + 3 dB ~ ∞

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91495

Page 4 of 4 Pages

5. Time Averaging

Applied Burst duty Factor	Applied Leq Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 804 Type 1 Spec.
continuous	40.0	40.0	--
1/10	40.0	39.8	± 0.5 dB
1/10 ²	40.0	39.8	
1/10 ³	40.0	40.0	± 1.0 dB
1/10 ⁴	40.0	40.3	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

- Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 002 hPa.

----- END -----



Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

香港校正有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91496

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address : 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No. : Q90663

Date of receipt : 1-Apr-09

Item Tested

Description : Acoustic Calibrator

Manufacturer : Castle

Model : GA607

Serial No. : 038641

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 15-Apr-09

Supply Voltage : -

Ambient Temperature : $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F06, F20, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	83240	30-Jun-09	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	82926	16-Jul-09	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	84077	22-Aug-09	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	83964	13-Aug-09	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :


P.F. Wong

Approved by :


Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 16-Apr-09

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 91496

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Level Accuracy (at 1 kHz)

UUT Setting (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	93.92	± 0.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1	1.001	± 2 %

Uncertainty : $\pm 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$

- 3. Level Stability : 0.0 dB**
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

- 4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 2.8 %**
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %
Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of rdg.

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.
3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
4. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 002 hPa

----- END -----



Appendix C2

Impact Noise Monitoring Results

Day-time Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Station: NM1

Date	Weather Condition	Start Time (hh:mm)	End Time (hh:mm)	Noise Level at the monitoring point, dB (A)			Wind Speed (m/s)
				Leq (30min)	L10	L90	
02/11/09	Sunny	11:20	11:50	73.5	75.1	68.7	0.5
12/11/09	Fine	09:30	10:00	62.5	66.8	59.5	1.6
18/11/09	Cloudy	11:11	11:41	60.8	63.9	58.1	2.6
24/11/09	Sunny	09:40	10:10	58.4	60.2	56.4	1.2
30/11/09	Fine	09:35	10:05	61.7	63.2	57.6	0.2

Monitoring Station: NM2

Date	Weather Condition	Start Time (hh:mm)	End Time (hh:mm)	Noise Level at the monitoring point, dB (A)			Wind Speed (m/s)
				Leq (30min)	L10	L90	
02/11/09	Sunny	10:35	11:05	66.6	68.3	58.7	0.5
12/11/09	Fine	10:20	10:50	64.2	68.1	60.3	1.3
18/11/09	Cloudy	10:20	10:50	65.2	69.2	62.4	2.9
24/11/09	Sunny	13:00	13:30	64.5	68.9	62.1	1.0
30/11/09	Fine	10:28	10:58	64.2	65.3	55.1	0.4

Monitoring Station: RNM3

Date	Weather Condition	Start Time (hh:mm)	End Time (hh:mm)	Noise Level at the monitoring point, dB (A)			Wind Speed (m/s)
				Leq (30min)	L10	L90	
02/11/09	Sunny	10:00	10:30	64.5	66.7	61.4	0.8
12/11/09	Fine	11:15	11:45	65.3	68.0	60.8	1.5
18/11/09	Cloudy	13:48	14:18	52.4	57.1	59.2	2.0
24/11/09	Sunny	11:20	11:50	69.8	72.8	63.6	1.3
30/11/09	Fine	10:55	11:25	68.1	69.0	57.1	0.2

Remark: 3dB(A) correction had been added to the results since noise measurements at RNM3 were free-field.

Monitoring Station: NM4

Date	Weather Condition	Start Time (hh:mm)	End Time (hh:mm)	Noise Level at the monitoring point, dB (A)			Wind Speed (m/s)
				Leq (30min)	L10	L90	
02/11/09	Sunny	09:25	09:55	53.0	55.4	47.5	1.5
12/11/09	Fine	14:45	15:15	54.2	57.2	48.8	1.8
18/11/09	Cloudy	14:35	15:05	56.9	58.4	52.0	3.1
24/11/09	Sunny	15:05	15:35	60.1	63.4	53.7	1.4
30/11/09	Fine	10:20	10:50	50.0	52.6	41.1	0.0


Appendix C3

Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data




Noise Monitoring (Day-time)


Noise level at NM1




Noise level at NM2



Noise level at RNM3



Noise level at NM4





Appendix D

Event-Action Plans

Event / Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			CONTRACTOR
	ET	IC(E)	ER	
Action Level				
Action Level being exceeded for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source, investigate the causes of Exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor on remedial actions required; if exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER; if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level				
Limit Level being exceeded for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Checking monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on the possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial actions properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; Carry our analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; if exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assume their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; In consolidation with the IC(E), agree measures to be implemented; if exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Same as the above; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION				CONTRACTOR
	ET	IC(E)	ER	ER	
Action level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IC(E) and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IC(E), ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures ; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review and investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure proper implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposal to IC(E); 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. 	
Limit level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions to ensure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Same as above; 2. If exceedances continue, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E); 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still out of control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER, until the exceedance is abated. 	



Appendix E


Construction Programme


NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	REMARKS
1.1	Excavation for foundation	m ³	100	100	10000	
1.2	Concrete for foundation	m ³	50	200	10000	
1.3	Reinforcement for foundation	kg	1000	10	10000	
1.4	Formwork for foundation	m ²	200	50	10000	
1.5	Backfill for foundation	m ³	100	100	10000	
1.6	Excavation for floor slab	m ³	200	100	20000	
1.7	Concrete for floor slab	m ³	100	200	20000	
1.8	Reinforcement for floor slab	kg	2000	10	20000	
1.9	Formwork for floor slab	m ²	400	50	20000	
1.10	Backfill for floor slab	m ³	200	100	20000	
1.11	Excavation for wall	m ³	100	100	10000	
1.12	Concrete for wall	m ³	50	200	10000	
1.13	Reinforcement for wall	kg	1000	10	10000	
1.14	Formwork for wall	m ²	200	50	10000	
1.15	Backfill for wall	m ³	100	100	10000	
1.16	Excavation for roof	m ³	200	100	20000	
1.17	Concrete for roof	m ³	100	200	20000	
1.18	Reinforcement for roof	kg	2000	10	20000	
1.19	Formwork for roof	m ²	400	50	20000	
1.20	Backfill for roof	m ³	200	100	20000	

DC200718
 King Ship Way and Box Hill Way Upgrade Stage 1 Work
 Project Programme Part 1

NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
1	Excavation for foundation	m ³	100	100	10000
2	Concrete for foundation	m ³	50	200	10000
3	Reinforcement for foundation	kg	1000	10	10000
4	Formwork for foundation	m ²	200	50	10000
5	Backfill for foundation	m ³	100	100	10000

4050
 King Ship Way and Box Hill Way Upgrade Stage 1 Work
 Project Programme Part 1





NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	CHECKED	APPROVED
1	DESIGNED	10/10/2007
2	CHECKED
3	APPROVED

DC200718	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
Project Reference No. 1	

1	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
2	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
3	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
4	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
5	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
6	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
7	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
8	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
9	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
10	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works

1	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
2	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
3	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
4	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
5	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
6	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
7	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
8	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
9	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works
10	100m x 50m New Van Village Sewerage Stage 1 Works



Appendix F

Summary of Implementation Status

of

Mitigation Measures during Site Inspection



Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Environmental Protection Measures	Location	Implementation Status			
		Implemented	Partially implemented	Not implemented	Not Applicable
Air Quality					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpiles of imported material kept on site should be contained within hoarding, dampened and / or covered during dry and windy weather. ▪ Material stockpiled alongside trenches should be covered with tarpaulins whenever works are close to village houses. ▪ Water sprays should be used during the delivery and handling of cement, sands, aggregates and the like. ▪ Any vehicle used for moving sands, aggregates and construction waste should have properly fitting side and tail boards. Materials should not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and should be covered by a clean tarpaulin. ▪ Unpaved areas should be watered regularly to avoid dust generation. ▪ The enclosures should be around the main dust-generating activities. ▪ All plant and equipment should be well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission. ▪ Open burning should be prohibited. 	All areas	√			
Noise Impact					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Quite powered mechanical equipment (PME) or method should be used. ▪ The number plant should be restricted (1 item for each type of plant). ▪ Only well maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works. ▪ Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. ▪ Machines and plants that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. ▪ Plant known to emit noise strongly should be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs. ▪ The constructions works should be scheduled to minimize noise nuisance. ▪ Air compressors and hand held breakers should have noise labels. ▪ Compressors and generators should operate with door closed. 	All areas	√			
Water Quality					
General Construction Works					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby coastal water and stormwater drains. ▪ All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed area, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. ▪ Open drainage channels and culverts near the works areas should be covered to block the entrance of large debris and refuse. 	All areas	√			



Environmental Protection Measures	Location	Implementation Status		
		Implemented	Partially implemented	Not implemented
Waste Management				
<i>General Site Wastes</i>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate measures, such as transporting wastes in enclosed containers, should be taken to minimize windblown litter and dust to nearby environment. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient waste disposal points and regular waste collection for disposal should be provided. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A collection area for construction site waste should be provided where waste can be stored prior to removal from site. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on a regular basis so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment. 	All areas		√	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Records of the quantities of waste generated, recycled and disposed should be kept and maintained. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Different types of waste should be segregated and stored in different container, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of material and their proper disposal. 	All areas	√		
Chemical Wastes				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> After use, chemical waste should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Package, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste should be properly stored on site within suitably designed containers and should be collected by an approved licensed waste collectors for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility or other licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation under the Waste Disposal Ordinance. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standing within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should be undertaken within the designated areas equipped control these discharges. 	All areas		√	
Construction and Demolition (C&D) Wastes				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> C&D waste should be separated on site before disposal. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inert material, such as concrete and rubble, should be re-used on site. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and / or recycling prior to disposal of C&D material. 	All areas	√		
Ecological Impact				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Labelling and fencing of the uncommon tree species. 	All areas	√		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance of use of woodland habitats as Works Area, in particular where trees located. 	All areas	√		



Environmental Protection Measures	Location	Implementation Status			
		Implemented	Partially implemented	Not implemented	Not Applicable
Landscape and Visual Impact					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing trees should be retained. Damage to vegetation should be minimized by close coordination and on site alignment adjusted of rising main and gravity sewer pipelines. Short excavation and immediate backfilling section upon completion of works should be performed to reduce active site area. 	All areas	√			
Site Practice					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor assigned worker is responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site. Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. All generators, fuel and oil storage are within bundle areas. Oil leakage from machinery, vehicle and plant should be prevented. The Environmental Permit should be displaced conspicuously on site. 	All areas	√			
	All areas		√		
	All areas		√		
	All areas		√		
	All areas	√			



Appendix G

Updated Vegetation and Plant Species Survey Report at Sok Kwu Wan

Your Ref.: DC200718/M45/800/O01571
Our Ref.: K0801/01.01.00.00/2710/L
Date: 20 November 2009

I03184



Scott Wilson CDM Joint Venture
38/F, Metroplaza Tower 1
223 Hing Fong Road
Kwai Fong, N.T.
Hong Kong

FAXED

Attn: Ir. Ian J. Jones

By Hand

Dear Sir,

Drainage Services Department
Contract No. DC/2007/18

Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works

Impact Monitoring Report – Updated Vegetation and Plant Species Survey Report at SKW

We refer to your above referenced letter dated 17 November 09 the letters from AFCD as ref. (16) in AF EA 027/07 Pt.2 and from EPD as ref. (5) in EP771/E1/083 dated 13 & 16 November 09 respectively regarding the misidentification and mislabeling of uncommon species at Sok Kwu Wan as report in Impact Monitoring Report under Environmental Permit (EP-281/2009/A).


Please be informed that our landscape specialist sub-contractor "Bluet" has carried out further site investigation and vegetation survey on 18 November 09. We would like to clarify and confirm that uncommon tree species "Celtis Timorensis" from CT1 to CT12 were still existed in place. We then immediately rectified all mislabeling, fenced and protected.

Enclosed please find herewith the latest photographic records showing the plant with labels and figure with correct locations for your reference.

As a responsible contractor and permit holder of EP, we would strictly follow the permit condition and ensure the plants were properly labeled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during construction in future.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Yours faithfully,
For and on behalf of
Kaden Construction Limited.


Stephen Leung
Site Agent

StL/JC/pys
Encl.

c.c. AFCD Attn: Dr. Joseph Cheung (By Fax only: 2377 3327)
EPD Attn: Mr. Matthew Chan (By Fax only: 2591 0558)
DSD Attn: Mr. C K Au (By Fax only: 2833 9162)
IEC Attn: Mr. Rodney Ip (By Fax only: 2428 9922)
ETS Attn: Mr. C. L. Lau (By Fax only: 2695 3944)
Kaden – RP/WW/JC/AT/KKL/IS

Kaden Construction Limited

Units 1001 - 1015, 10/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Sha Tin, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel (852) 2272 3670 Fax (852) 2528 1751

A MEMBER OF BUILD KING HOLDINGS 利基控股集團成員





CT 1 and CT 2



CT 3



CT 4



CT 5



CT 6



CT 7



CT 8



CT 9




CT 10







CT 11



CT 12



LEGEND	
	CELTIS TIMORENSIS TO BE LABELLED, FENCED AND PROTECTED AND TO BE TRANSPLANTED IN ADVANCE OF PUMPING STATION CONSTRUCTION
	CELTIS TIMORENSIS TO BE LABELLED, FENCED AND PROTECTED
	VEGETATION SURVEY BOUNDARY (10m OFFSET FROM SEWERAGE ALIGNMENT)
	PROPOSED SEWERAGE ALIGNMENT AND PUMPING STATION AREAS

CT No.	Page
1,2	P.4
3,4,5,6,	P.5
7,8	P.6
9,10	P.7
11,12	P.8



Appendix H

Revised Final Report of Archaeological Watching Brief at Chung Mei, Sok Kwu Wan

**DSD Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan & Sok Kwu Wan
Village Sewerage,
Stage 1 Works**

**Archaeological Watching Brief
at Chung Mei, Sok Kwu Wan**

Revised Final Report

**Prepared for Kaden Construction Ltd.
By Archaeological Assessments Ltd.**

September 2009

List of Contents

1.	Non-Technical Summary	3
2.	Introduction	3
3.	Project Aims	4
4.	Topographical, Geological, Historical and Archaeological background	4
5.	Methodology	5
6.	Results	5
6.1	Introduction	5
6.2	Alignment between MH S54 and S52	6
6.3	Alignment between MH S52 and S50	6
7.	Conclusions	7
8.	References	7
9.	Supporting Illustrations	8
9.1	Figures	8
9.2	Plates	14
10.	Supporting Data	24
10.1	Tabulated Stratigraphic, Contextual and Finds List	24
11.	Supporting Documents	24
11.1	Requirements for Archaeological Watching Brief	24

List of Figures

- Figure 1: Study Area location – Chung Mei site marked with star
Figure 2: Plan showing location of sewer trench alignment at Chung Mei, with September 2008 and June 2009 watching brief areas highlighted
Figure 3: Geology of Study Area
Figure 4: Transverse sketch section of sewer trench in environs of MH S53 – looking north
Figure 5: Surveyors' plan of the MH S50 to S52 alignment
Figure 6: Surveyors' plan of the MH S52 to S54 alignment

List of Plates

- Plate 1: Pre-excavation view of alignment between MHs S52 and S54 – looking north
Plate 2: Pre-excavation view of alignment between MHs S51A and S50 – looking south
Plate 3: Post-excavation overview of alignment between MHs S54 and S52 – looking south
Plate 4: Deeper sondage excavated at MH S52 – looking south
Plate 5: West facing section at MH S53 – trench stepped at base of 0.5m scale
Plate 6: East facing section at MH S54
Plate 7: Undiagnostic village ware sherd found on surface of topsoil 101
Plate 8: West facing section in environs of MH S50
Plate 9: Post-excavation view in environs of MH S50 – looking north
Plate 10: East facing section in environs of MH S51
Plate 11: East facing section in environs of MH S51A
Plate 12: Post-excavation view in environs of MH S51A – looking south

1. Non-Technical Summary

As part of DSD Contract No. DC/2007/18, Yung Shue Wan & Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works, archaeological watching briefs were conducted in Chung Mei, Sok Kwu Wan on 1st September 2008 and 12th June 2009 (Figure 1). The monitoring works were required as a result of previous findings of kiln-oven debris and Tang Dynasty pottery in the small valley to the west (AAL 2003).

The alignment in question ran across the west facing slope of a steep, wooded hillside – the southern half following an existing concrete-surfaced footpath, while the northern half crossed the natural slope. The contractor's groundworks consisted of a c.0.6m wide by c.1.2m deep machine-excavated pipe trench, which was monitored over a total length of approximately 50m.

No cultural layers were found and there was just one surface find of undiagnostic pottery.

為配合渠務署的榕樹灣及索罟灣第一階段鄉村污水處理及排放工程 (合約編號 DC/2007/18)，索罟灣涌尾的考古監察已分別在2008年9月1日及2009年6月12日完成。鑑於過往曾在工程範圍以西之谷地發現窯具及唐代陶片 (AAL 2003)，故此在上述污水管鋪設工程施工時必須進行考古監察。

是次涉及之污水管鋪設路線主要沿著一處向西、樹木茂盛之陡坡而建：南半部的污水管路線乃沿著現有之石屎小徑鋪設；而北半部的污水管路線則穿越一處自然山坡。是次考古監察範圍包括一條闊約0.6米、深約1.2米及長約50米，由承建商用機器挖掘之溝坑。

是次考古監察並未發現文化層，只有在其中一處地面採集到一片未能斷定年份之陶片。

2. Introduction

As part of the Drainage Services Department's Contract No. DC/2007/18 – Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works – it was required that an archaeological watching brief be undertaken in the village of Chung Mei, which lies approximately 0.5km south of Sok Kwu Wan on the eastern side of Lamma Island (Figure 1). The archaeological monitoring works were required following findings of kiln-oven debris and Tang Dynasty pottery in an adjoining area during an earlier archaeological survey (AAL 2003). The watching brief works on the approximately 50m long alignment were conducted in two segments, the first on 1st September 2008 and the second on 12th June 2009 (Figure 2).

3. Project Aims

The aim of this project was to ensure that any archaeological remains encountered during construction works within the study area alignment were properly identified, recorded and recovered whilst, at the same time, minimising delays to the engineering schedule.

The objectives of the study were as follows:

- To implement a monitoring strategy designed to fulfil the above aim;
- To process and analyse the results in light of previous findings;
- To report on the results of the fieldwork; and
- If required, to recommend mitigation measures.

4. Topographical, Geological, Historical and Archaeological background

4.1 Topography

The Chung Mei area comprises a small flat valley surrounded by steep wooded hillsides to the west, south and east, the latter reaching up to the peak of Ling Kok Shan at 250m PD. To the north, the ground falls gradually away to the sandy shallows of Picnic Bay (Sok Kwu Wan). The sewer alignment in question traversed the lower west facing hill slope overlooking the small valley mentioned above. The c.50m long alignment ran downhill from manhole (MH) S50 (surface level 10.06mPD) at its southern end to manhole S54 (surface level 5.75mPD) at its northern end.

4.2 Geology

In the following short discussion, the codes in brackets are those used for the various rocks/sediments depicted on the geological map (Figure 3). The solid geology at Chung Mei consists of fine to medium grained granite (gfm) with east-west running feldsparphyric rhyolite (rf) dykes. In terms of drift geology, the small valley of Chung Mei, to the west of and below the study alignment, is filled with alluvium (Qa), while debris flow deposits (Qd) are recorded in a narrow valley to the northeast of the study area (Hong Kong Government 1987). The monitored alignment was located as shown on Figure 3, crossing the western edge of the granite bedrock close to where sank beneath the alluvial fill of the valley bottom.

4.3 History

According to Hase (2002, 7), although the sheltered anchorage at Sok Kwu Wan was used by generations of boat-people, there were just seven residents on land in 1911 and it was not until the 1950s and 1960s that the settlement expanded to the landward side. The few houses dotted across the hillside at Chung Mei appear to be later 20th century in date.

4.4 Archaeology

The one previous campaign of archaeological fieldwork in the Chung Mei area was centred on the aforementioned small alluvium-filled valley just below the present study area. Eight 2x2m test pits were excavated and two of their number (TP1 and TP2)

revealed evidence for historical kiln-oven debris and Tang Dynasty pottery, whilst a lower layer produced a single sherd of Bronze Age hard geometric pottery (AAL 2003).

5. Methodology

The watching brief was in general conducted following the specification as set out in Section 11.1, but further details of the field implementation are provided below. As previously mentioned, the watching brief on the c.50m long alignment was conducted in two segments to fit in with the contractor's work programme – the lower segment first spanning MH S54 and S52, followed by the uphill segment from MH S52 and up to and including MH S50 (see Figure 2). Between MH S54 and S52 the trench was machine excavated forming a c.0.60m wide square-sectioned slot down to between 1-1.2m below the modern surface when measured at the downhill side of the trench and 2m+ on the uphill side. A sketch profile in the environs of MH S53, where the trench was locally stepped to avoid a lighting cable, is shown in Figure 4. At the southern end of the downhill half of the alignment on the site of MH S52, a locally deeper area was excavated to approximately 2.4m below surface, which further confirmed the depth of the completely decomposed granite (CDG) beneath the alignment. Between MH S52 and S50 the trench followed the existing concrete raft-surfaced footpath, the construction of which had necessitated the terracing of the hillside. Here, the trench was machine excavated to form a c.0.60 wide slot with sides c.1.2m deep (when measured from the modern footpath surface). Given that the alignment between MH S54 and S52 was off the main footpath, it was possible to excavate that length as one continuous open cut. In contrast, the length between MH S52 and S50 effectively closed the footpath in that area for the duration of the works, and the client therefore requested that the trench be dug, monitored and recorded, and then backfilled. During the monitoring works a full written, video and photographic record was taken, which will form the core of the project archive.

6. Results

6.1 Introduction

The results of the watching brief are presented in two sub-sections: one for the length of trench excavated in September 2008 (MH S54 to S52), and the other for the length excavated in June 2009 (MH S52 to S50). For each length of alignment, the sequence of deposits is introduced and then the various layers are interpreted with reference to any finds recovered.

In the text below, the following conventions should have been used: the alphanumeric codes used in deposit descriptions are taken from the Munsell system of soil colour charts (Gretagmacbeth 2000) and deposit depths are maximum values. During the discussion below reference should be made to the following illustrations: Figures 2 and 4, which respectively show the overall alignment and sketch section; Figures 5 & 6 showing the surveyors' plans of the watching brief alignment; Plates 1 and 2 respectively offering pre-excavation overviews of the alignment between MH S54 and MH S52 and between MH S51A to MH S50; and Plate 3 showing a post-excavation overview of the MH S54 to S52

alignment. NB: no post-excavation overview of the MH S51A to S50 alignment is available as the trench was excavated, recorded and immediately backfilled (but see photographs recording this process below).

6.2 Alignment between MH S54 and S52

The excavation of the down-slope half of the sewer trench revealed a simple sequence of three naturally-formed deposits (see Plates 4-6), the lowest of which was a 0.20-0.30m thick band reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) clayey gravel (103), which extended beyond the 1.2m below surface general limit of excavation (l.o.e.) and, in the 2.4m deep sondage excavated at MH S52, was shown to be at least 1.5m thick and continuing beyond the sondage l.o.e. Over 103 there was a 0.70-0.80m thick layer of strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) gravelly clay (102), which was sealed by an approximately 0.1m thick greyish brown (10YR 5/2) slightly sandy, clayey silt (101).

A modern electricity cable trench was noted running along the eastern side of the sewer trench, and had clearly been cut from the modern surface through layers 101 and 102, which were already in place. Given that the cable slot was a localised modern intrusion it was not allocated a context number.

The lower two deposits were completely sterile and can be interpreted as *in situ* decaying granite (103), overlain by an associated clay-rich layer (102), which had all the appearances of mass-transported decayed granite (slope deposits). Sealing the above granite-derived layers was a naturally-accumulating forest soil (101). No cultural horizons/deposits were identified, but one undiagnostic sherd of village ware pottery was recovered from the surface of 101 (see Plate 7).

6.3 Alignment between MH S52 and S50

The excavation of the up-slope half of the sewer trench alignment also revealed a sequence of naturally-formed deposits, which exhibited some variation moving downhill from south to north.

Broadly between MHs S50 and S51, the sequence was as follows: a lower layer of c.0.40m thick (at l.o.e.) strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) slightly gravelly clay (204), overlain by a c.0.80m thick layer of reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) very gravelly clay (203), which was then sealed by a thin raft of concrete forming the temporary path surface (201) – see Plates 8-10.

Between MH S51 and S52 – in the environs of MH S51A, the sequence was as follows: c.0.60m thick (at l.o.e.) strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) slightly gravelly clay (204); overlain by a c.0.50m thick layer of reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) very gravelly clay (203); which was covered by an approximately 0.1m thick greyish brown (10YR 5/2) slightly sandy, clayey silt (202), which was in turn sealed by the concrete raft surfacing of the footpath (201) – see Plates 11 and 12.

A modern water pipe was noted running along the eastern side of the sewer trench and an electricity cable along the west – the narrow slots within which each service was located had clearly been cut from the modern surface with layers 202 and 203 already in place. Given that these narrow service trenches were localised modern intrusion, they were not allocated context numbers.

The lower two deposits (204 & 203) were completely sterile and can be interpreted as mass-transported decayed granite, with clay-rich layer 204 perhaps reflecting water-borne finer material and layer 203 a more gravelly debris flow-type component. Layer 202 can be interpreted as a thin forest soil equivalent to 101 above. On the upper portion of the alignment, between MH S50 and S51, Layer 202 had apparently been removed during levelling works for the path. No finds were recovered and no cultural horizons/deposits were therefore identified.

7. Conclusions

In summary, it can be concluded that the steep lower hill slope area traversed by the MH S50 to MH S54 sewer trench at Chung Mei has seen little or no human activity prior to the 20th century and, in contrast to the valley to the west, can be considered to have no archaeological potential.

8. References

AAL 2003 *Agreement No. CE 20/96 Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase II Package J – Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment & Disposal Facilities, Archaeological Investigation*. Unpublished excavation report.

Gretagmacbeth 2000 *Munsell Soil Colour Charts*. Gretagmacbeth: New Windsor, NY.

Hase, P 2002 'Some notes on the history of Lamma Island, especially Yung Shue Wan', unpublished paper

Hong Kong Government (1987) *Hong Kong South & Lamma Island, Sheet 15, Solid and Superficial Geology*, Geotechnical Control Office: Hong Kong.

9. Supporting Illustrations

9.1 Figures




Figure 1: Study Area location – Chung Mei site marked with star




Figure 2: Plan showing location of sewer trench alignment at Chung Mei, with September 2008 and June 2009 watching brief areas highlighted. The corner coordinates for the above map are as follows: SW corner 831400E, 806825N; NW corner 831400E, 806990N; NE corner 831555E, 806990N; SE corner 831555E, 806825N.




Figure 3: Geology of Study Area – alignment followed western edge of granite bedrock




Figure 4: Transverse sketch section of sewer trench in environs of MH S53 – looking north




Figure 5: Surveyors' plan of the MH S50 to S52 alignment (kindly supplied by Kaden Engineering Ltd)




Figure 6: Surveyors' plan of the MH S52 to S54 alignment (kindly supplied by Kaden Engineering Ltd)

9.2 Plates




Plate 1: Pre-excavation view of the alignment between MHs S52 and S54 – looking north




Plate 2: Pre-excavation view of alignment between MHs S51A and S50 – looking south



Plate 3: Post-excavation view of the alignment between MHs S54 and S52 – looking south



Plate 4: Deeper sondage excavated at MH S52 – looking south




Plate 5: West facing section at MH S53 – trench stepped at base of 0.5m scale




Plate 6: East facing section at MH S54



Plate 7: Undiagnostic village ware sherd found on surface of topsoil 101




Plate 8: West facing section in environs of MH S50




Plate 9: Post-excavation view in environs of MH S50 – looking north




Plate 10: East facing section in environs of MH S51




Plate 11: East facing section in environs of MH S51A




Plate 12: Post-excavation view in environs of MH S51A – looking south

10. Supporting Data

10.1 MHs S52 to S54: Tabulated stratigraphic, contextual and finds summary

Context	Description	Finds & Dating	Thickness
101	Topsoil: Greyish brown (10YR 5/2) slightly sandy, clayey SILT	1 sherd of undiagnostic VW: date unknown	0.10m max.
102	Slope Deposits: Strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) gravelly CLAY	None: date unknown	0.70-0.80m
103	CDG: Reddish Yellow (7.5YR 6/8) clayey GRAVEL	None: date unknown	1.5m at l.o.e

10.2 MHs S50 to S51A: Tabulated stratigraphic, contextual and finds summary

Context	Description	Finds & Dating	Thickness
201	Footpath Surfacing: Grey concrete	None: modern	0.03-0.05m
202	Topsoil: Greyish brown (10YR 5/2) slightly sandy, clayey SILT	None: date unknown	0.10 max
203	Slope Deposits: Reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) very gravelly CLAY	None: date unknown	0.50m max.
204	Slope deposits: Strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) slightly gravelly CLAY	None: date unknown	0.60m at l.o.e.

11. Supporting Documents

11.1 Requirements for Archaeological Watching Brief

11.1.1 Introduction

An archaeological watching brief is a programme involved observation and investigation which is required when engineering works impact on areas that have been assessed as having archaeological potential and where conventional testing methods are not possible due to inaccessibility, for examples, concrete coverage and housing settlement. The range of archaeological resources that require monitoring include both historical and prehistoric material and features.

The monitoring process entails the observation of the engineering works by qualified archaeologists in order to identify any archaeological material or features that revealed during the excavation phase of the works schedule. Upon identification of such material or features, the archaeologists will require immediate access to the excavation area for recording of the material/features in-situ location, artefact retrieval and sample collection.

These guidelines serve for two basic purposes, firstly, that the archaeological resources are adequately recorded and recovered and secondly, that appropriate measures are taken on site to create a minimum of delays to the engineering schedule.

11.1.2 Watching Brief Personnel

Watching brief should be undertaken by a qualified archaeologist, whose must apply for a licence under the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53) from the Authority before the monitoring works commence. All staff employed by the archaeologist must be suitably qualified and experience for their role.

11.1.3 Area to be Monitored

The Contractor shall carry out archaeological watching brief in Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan except those areas at which rescue excavation are required as shown in Drawing No. 2005/C1/1004 to 1009.

11.1.4 Scale of Watching Brief

The sewer alignment identified for archaeological watching brief in Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan as shown in Drawing No. 2005/C1/1004 to 1009 should be fully monitored by the archaeologist.

11.1.5 Site Access

Archaeologist should be allowed reasonable access to relevant areas of groundworks, so that deposits can be examined and recorded. Trenches may require temporary shoring and groundworks might need to be temporarily re-scheduled, to provide a safe environment for such works. Provision should be made, at the earliest of development programming, for specified blocks of time to be available for unrestricted archaeological access to areas of groundworks.

11.1.6 Schedule of Works

A construction programme should be provided by the Contractor to the archaeologist to arrange the monitoring schedule. The archaeologist should be notified no less than 2 working days prior to any change on the commencement of the excavation works so arrangement could be made to monitor the works. The Contractor should facilitate arrangement and liaison with the archaeologist.

11.1.7 Watching Brief and Retrieval Methodology

In Table 1 are the various categories of archaeological material and features that are most likely to occur in local contexts. Also listed are the recommended type and degree of recording and retrieval required for each category. Upon discovery of any archaeological relics, the qualified archaeologist will advise the Contractor who shall contact the AMO informing the discovery. Any archaeological relics recovered during the programme should be properly recorded and submitted to the AMO.

Table 1 – Categories of Archaeological Finds and Recommended Action

Categories of Archaeological Material	Retrieval Procedures
Human Burial <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skeletal remains • Item associated with Human Burial, i.e. grave goods. 	Full Recording and Recovery of Human Remains and Associated Features <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete recording by photography, drawing, written description. • Full measurement of burial and surrounding matrix. • Retrieval of human remains and associated items. • Retrieval of surrounding soil for further analysis.
Intact Features <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structural/ architectural remains. • Undisturbed contexts, e.g. hearth, midden, habitation area, assemblages of artefacts and/ or environmental material. 	Full Recording and Recovery of Archaeological Features <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recording and measurement of salient features by photography, drawing and written description. • Retrieval of all archaeological material • Retrieval of samples from the surrounding matrix.
Intact Artefacts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete objects, e.g. pottery, metal objects, stone or bone tools. The objects are complete but isolated and are not part of assemblage or feature. 	Recovery of Artefacts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recovery of Objects • Sampling of surrounding matrix • Recording by written description and by photography.
Isolated Material <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sherds, non-human bone, artefact fragments (metal, pottery, glass). There are no complete objects, the material is isolated and fragmentary in nature. 	Recovery of Artefact Fragments/ Archaeological Material <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recovery of material, e.g. artefact fragments, environmental material and sampling of surrounding matrix. • Recording by written description and by photography, if appropriate.
Deposits with Archaeological Potential <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soil deposits which exhibit characteristics associated with archaeological remains in Hong Kong. 	Sampling of Deposit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collection of soil samples from deposits displaying archaeological potential • Recording of soils by photography and written description.

11.1.8 Recording Forms for Watching Brief

A set of forms for the recording of any archaeological material identified during the watching brief process must be approved by the AMO. They should include the following:

- Registers to record finds, special finds, contexts, photographs, drawings, levels and samples

- Context descriptions forms
- A daily record form specifically designed for archaeological watching brief. This form must locate clearly the area of works monitored, the nature and extent of the works, summaries of the days findings and cross references to all register numbers used that day.

11.1.9 Safety Requirements

Archaeologists and staff employed in watching brief must follow the safety procedures enforced by the Contractor on site.

11.1.10 Watching Brief Report

The procedures and results of the watching brief programme should be presented in report form, following 'Guidelines for Archaeological Reports' set by the AMO. All data, material and records forming the site archive must be submitted to the AMO upon completion of the project.

11.1.11 Mitigation Measures

The Contractor should follow a flexibility to undertake the contingency arrangements. Should significant materials be discovered, appropriate mitigation measures will be designed and implemented.

12. Comments and Responses

12.1 Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works, Draft Archaeological Watching Brief Report: Response to AMO Comments of 27/08/09

Item	AMO Comment	AAL Response
1	In the English Non-Technical Summary, please state the project name instead of "the above captioned project".	Text will be amended accordingly.
2	Please state the Chinese project name in the Chinese Non-technical Summary.	Text will be amended accordingly.
3	非技術性中文摘要 (Chinese Non-technical Summary) 內有錯字、文法錯誤及行	Text will be amended accordingly.

	文不流暢等問題。請加以修改。	
4	It is noted that the alignment between MH S54 and S52 was carried out on a natural slope instead of running along the concrete footpath. Hence, the location of the said alignment in Figure 2 is not tally with the description. Please check and revise the figure accordingly.	Figure 2 will be checked and revised.
5	Given that a modern electricity cable was found under contexts 101 and 102, please explain why such layers are identified as naturally-formed deposits instead of disturbed layers.	<p>The electricity cable was in a service trench which had been cut from the modern surface with layers 101-103 already in place. Therefore, the cable was not found under layers 101 & 102 but, rather, had been cut through them. Thus the only disturbed material was the backfill of the electricity cable trench which, as a modern intrusion, was not allocated a context number.</p> <p>Layers 101 and 102 are therefore correctly described as “naturally-formed deposits”.</p>
6	Given that a modern water pipe and an electricity cable were found under contexts 201, 202 and 203, please explain why such layers are identified as naturally-formed deposits instead of disturbed layers.	<p>Context 201 is the concrete surface of the modern path, which was probably patched up after the utilities were inserted. The water pipe and electricity cable were in narrow utilities trenches which had been cut from the modern surface with layers 202-203 already in place. Therefore, the modern utilities were not found under layers 202-203 but, rather, had been locally cut through them. Thus the only disturbed material was the backfill of the utilities cuts which, as modern intrusions, were not allocated context numbers.</p> <p>Layers 202-203 are therefore correctly</p>













		described as “naturally-formed deposits”.
7	Please provide the coordinates of Figure 2.	The corner coordinates for Figure 2 are as follows: SW corner: 831400E, 806825N NW corner: 831400E, 806990N NE corner: 831555E, 806990N SE corner: 831555E, 806825N These coordinates will be added to the caption for Figure 2.
8	Please cite the relevant documents in Section 8 regarding the citations of “Hong Kong Government 1987” mentioned in Section 4.2 and “Hase (2002, 7)” mentioned in Section 4.3.	Cited documents will be added to References
9	Please provide the legend for Figures 5 and 6.	A legend will be provided for the two figures.
10	Please supplement the “Requirements for Archaeological Watching Brief” in Section 11.1.	The ‘Requirements for Archaeological Watching Brief’ text will be added to Section 11.1.



Appendix I

Photographic Records of the Uncommon Tree Species

Photos (Date of Weekly Inspection: 30-11-09)

<p>CT No.01</p> 	<p>CT No.02</p> 	<p>CT No.03</p> 	<p>CT No.04</p> 
<p>CT No.05</p> 	<p>CT No.06</p> 	<p>CT No.07</p> 	<p>CT No.08</p> 
<p>CT No.09</p> 	<p>CT No.10</p> 	<p>CT No.11</p> 	<p>CT No.12</p> 



Appendix J

Letter of Variation Environmental Permit (VEP-299/2009)

24-SEP-2009 16:48 FROM DSD/HATS DIU

TO 29824129

本署編號
OUR REF: Annex (10) to EP2/N9/F/50 IV
來函編號
YOUR REF:
電話
TEL NO.: 2835 1105
圖文傳真
FAX NO.: 2591 0558
電子郵件
E-MAIL:
網址
HOME PAGE: <http://www.epd.gov.hk>

Environmental Protection Department
Branch Office
28th Floor, Southern Centre,
130 Hennessey Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong.

P.01/16
環境保護署
香港灣仔
軒尼詩道
一百三十一號
維多利亞中心八樓

23 September 2009

By Registered Post & Fax : 2833 9162
Drainage Services Department,
5th Floor, Western Magistracy,
2A Pok Fu Lam Road,
Hong Kong.

(Attn.: Mr. CHEUNG Kai Cheung)

Dear Sir,

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Ordinance, Cap. 499
Application for Variation of an Environmental Permit
Project Title : Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 –
Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities
(Application No.: VEP-299/2009)

I refer to your application submitted on 28 August 2009 under Section 13(1) of the EIA Ordinance (the Ordinance).

Pursuant to Section 13(5) of the Ordinance, we have amended the Environmental Permit (EP-281/2007). We attach the Environmental Permit as amended (EP-281/2007/A) for your use.

Should you have any question, please contact our Mr. Colin Keung at Tel : 2835 1125.

Yours faithfully,



(Sam W.H. Wong)
Principal Environmental Protection Officer
for Director of Environmental Protection

Encl.

23-SEP-2009 11:20

+852 2147 0894

50%

P.01

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT ORDINANCE
(CHAPTER 499)
SECTIONS 10 and 13**

**環境影響評估條例
(第499章)
第 10 及 13 條**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE
A DESIGNATED PROJECT**

建造及營辦指定工程項目的環境許可證

**PART A (MAIN PERMIT)
A部 (許可證主要部分)**

Pursuant to Section 10 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), the Director of Environmental Protection (the Director) granted the environmental permit EP-281/2007 to the DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT (hereinafter referred to as the "Permit Holder") on 29 June 2007. Pursuant to Section 13 of the Ordinance, the Director amends the Environmental Permit (No. EP-281/2007) based on the Application No. VEP-299/2009. The amendments, described below, are incorporated into this Environmental Permit (No. EP-281/2007/A). This Environmental Permit as amended is for the construction and operation of the designated project described in Part B subject to the conditions specified in Part C.


根據《環境影響評估條例》(環評條例)第10條的規定，環境保護署署長(署長)於2007年6月29日將環境許可證編號 EP-281/2007 批予渠務署(下稱"許可證持有人")。根據條例第13條的規定，署長因應申請書編號 VEP-299/2009 修訂環境許可證編號 EP-281/2007，下文說明的修訂，已包含在本環境許可證內 (EP-281/2007/A)。本經修訂的環境許可證，適用於建造及營辦B部所說明的指定工程項目，但須遵守C部所列明的條件。

The issue of this Environmental Permit is based on the documents, approval or permissions described below:
本環境許可證乃依據下列的文件、批准或許可而簽發：

Application No. 申請書編號	VEP-299/2009
Document in the Register: 登記冊上的文件:	<p>1. Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 Package J – Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Final Environmental Impact Assessment Report - Final Environmental Impact Assessment Executive Summary - Final Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual <p>Hereinafter referred to as the "EIA Report" (Register No.: AEIAR-075/2003) 離島污水收集計劃第1階段第11期工程組件J-索罟灣污水收集、處理及排放</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 環境影響評估報告 - 環境影響評估行政摘要 - 環境監察及審核手冊 <p>下稱 "環評報告" (登記冊編號 AEIAR-075/2003)</p>

Application No. 申請書編號	VEP-299/2009
Document in the Register: 登記冊上的文件:	<p>2. The Director's letter of approval of the EIA Report dated 25 October 2003 in Ax (10) to EP2/N9/F/50 II 環境保護署署長於二〇〇三年十月二十五日發出批准環評報告的信件，檔案編號 Ax (10) to EP2/N9/F/50 II</p> <p>3. Application for Environmental Permit received on 5 June 2007 (Application No.: AEP-281/2007) 於二〇〇七年六月五日提交的環境許可證申請文件（申請書編號：AEP-281/2007）</p> <p>4. Environmental Permit issued on 29 June 2007 (Permit No. EP-281/2007) 於二〇〇七年六月二十九日發出的環境許可證（許可證編號 EP-281/2007）</p> <p>5. Application for Variation of an Environmental Permit submitted by the Permit Holder on 28 August 2009 (Application No. VEP-299/2009) 許可證持有人於二〇〇九年八月二十八日提交的更改環境許可證申請文件（申請書編號 VEP-299/2009）</p>

Application No. 申請編號	Date of Application 申請日期	List of Amendments Incorporated into Environmental Permit 已包含在環境許可證內的修訂項目	Date of Amendments 修訂日期
VEP-299/2009	28 August 2009 2009年8月28日	(1) Vary Conditions 1.7 and 3.7 in Part C (2) Vary Figure 4 (1) 更改 C 部條件第 1.7 及 3.7 項 (2) 更改附圖 4	23 September 2009 2009年9月23日



23 September 2009

Date
日期

(Sam W H WONG)
 Principal Environmental Protection Officer (Regional Assessment)
 for Director of Environmental Protection
 環境保護署署長
 (首席環境保護主任(區域評估) 黃偉康代行)

PART B (DESCRIPTION OF DESIGNATED PROJECT)**B部 (指定工程項目的說明)**

Hereunder is the description of the designated project mentioned in Part A of this environmental permit (hereinafter referred to as "the Permit"):

下列為本環境許可證(下稱"許可證")A部所提述的指定工程項目的說明:

Title of Designated Project 指定工程項目的名稱	Outlying Islands Sewerage Stage 1 Phase 2 - Sok Kwu Wan Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities. (This designated project is hereafter referred to as "the Project") 離島污水收集計劃第1階段第2期- 索苦灣污水收集、處理及排放。 (本指定工程項目下稱"工程項目")
Nature of Designated Project 指定工程項目的性質	A submarine sewage outfall; and 海底污水渠口；及 Sewers in a conservation area. 在自然保育區內的污水管道。
Location of Designated Project 指定工程項目的地點	Sok Kwu Wan, Lamma Island. 南丫島索苦灣。 The location of the Project is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 of this Permit. 工程項目的地點展示於本許可證圖1及圖2內。
Scale and Scope of Designated Project 指定工程項目的規模和範圍	The Project is mainly to construct and operate the following sewage infrastructures: - a sewage treatment works of capacity about 1,430m ³ /day; - a submarine outfall of about 750m in length and 225mm in diameter; and - village sewage works including two pumping stations and underground sewerage pipes. 工程項目主要為建造及督辦下列的污水處理基礎設施： - 一所處理量達約每日 1,430 m ³ 的污水處理設施； - 長約 750m 和直徑約 225mm 的海底排放渠；及 - 鄉村污水收集系統，其中包括二所污水泵房和地下污水管道。



PART C (PERMIT CONDITIONS)

1. General Conditions

- 1.1 The Permit Holder and any person working on the Project shall comply with all conditions set out in this Permit. Any non-compliance by any person may constitute a contravention of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap.499) and may become the subject of appropriate action being taken under the Ordinance.
- 1.2 The Permit Holder shall ensure full compliance with all legislation from time to time in force including, without limitation to, the Noise Control Ordinance (Cap. 400), Air Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 311), Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 358), Dumping at Sea Ordinance (Cap. 466) and Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354). This Permit does not of itself constitute any ground of defense against any proceedings instituted under any legislation or imply any approval under any legislation.
- 1.3 The Permit Holder shall make copies of this Permit together with all documents referred to in this Permit and the documents referred to in Part A of the Permit readily available at all times for inspection by the Director or his authorized officers at all sites/offices covered by this Permit. Any reference to the Permit shall include all documents referred to in the Permit and also the relevant documents in the Register.
- 1.4 The Permit Holder shall give a copy of this Permit to the person(s) in charge of the site(s) and ensure that such person(s) fully understands all conditions and all requirements incorporated by the Permit. The site(s) refers to site(s) of construction and operation of the Project and shall mean the same hereafter.
- 1.5 The Permit Holder shall display conspicuously a copy of this Permit on the Project site(s) at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public's information at all times. The Permit Holder shall ensure that the most updated information about the Permit, including any amended Permit, is displayed at such locations. If the Permit Holder surrenders a part or the whole of the Permit, the notice he sends to the Director shall also be displayed at the same locations as the original Permit. The suspended, varied or cancelled Permit shall be removed from display at the Project site(s).
- 1.6 The Permit Holder shall construct and operate the Project in accordance with the project description in Part B of this Permit.
- 1.7 The Permit Holder shall ensure that the Project is designed, constructed and operated in accordance with the information and recommendations described in the approved EIA Report (Register No. AELAR-075/2003), the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007), the application documents for variation of an environmental permit (Application No. VEP-299/2009) and other relevant documents in the Register, the information and mitigation measures described in this Permit, mitigation measures to be recommended in submissions that shall be deposited with or approved by the Director as a result of permit conditions contained in this Permit, and mitigation measures to be recommended under on-going surveillance and monitoring activities during all stages of the Project. Where recommendations referred to in the documents of the Register are not expressly referred to in this Permit, such recommendations are nevertheless to be implemented unless expressly excluded or impliedly amended in this Permit.
- 1.8 All deposited submissions, as required under this Permit, shall be rectified and resubmitted in accordance with the comments, if any, made by the Director within one month of the receipt of the Director's comments or otherwise specified by the Director.



- 1.9 All submissions approved by the Director, all submissions deposited without comments by the Director, or all submissions rectified in accordance with comments by the Director under this Permit shall be construed as part of the permit conditions described in Part C of this Permit. Any variation of the submissions shall be approved by the Director in writing or as prescribed in the relevant permit conditions. Any non-compliance with the submissions may constitute a contravention of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap.499). All submissions or any variation of the submissions shall be certified by the Environmental Team (ET) Leader and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) referred to in Condition 2.1 and 2.2 below before submitting to the Director under this Permit.
- 1.10 The Permit Holder shall release all finalized submissions, as required under this Permit, to the public by depositing copies in the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Register Office, or in any other places, or any internet websites as specified by the Director, or by any other means as specified by the Director for public inspection. For this purpose, the Permit Holder shall provide sufficient copies of the submissions.
- 1.11 All submissions to the Director required under this Permit shall be delivered either in person or by registered mail to the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Register Office (currently at 27/F, Southorn Centre, 130 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong). Electronic copies of all finalized submissions required under this Permit shall be prepared in Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML) (version 4.0 or later) and in Portable Document Format (PDF version 4.0 or later), unless otherwise agreed by the Director and shall be submitted at the same time as the hard copies.
- 1.12 The Permit Holder shall notify the Director in writing the commencement dates of construction and operation of the Project no later than two weeks prior to the commencement of construction and operation of the Project. The Permit Holder shall notify the Director in writing immediately if there is any change of the commencement dates of the construction and operation.
- 1.13 For the purpose of this Permit, "commencement of construction" does not include works related to site clearance and preparation or other works as agreed by the Director.

2. Measures before Commencement of the Construction of the Project

- 2.1 An Environment Team (ET) shall be established by the Permit Holder no later than one month before commencement of construction of the Project. The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for the Project. The ET shall be headed by an ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years of experience in environmental monitoring and auditing (EM&A) or environmental management. The ET and the ET Leader shall be responsible for the implementation of the EM&A programme in accordance with the requirements as contained in the EM&A Manual provided in the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007). The ET Leader shall keep a contemporaneous log-book of each and every instance or circumstance or change of circumstances which may affect the environmental impact assessment and each and every non-compliance with the recommendations of the approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003), application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) and this Permit. The ET Leader shall notify the IEC within one working day of the occurrence of any such instance or circumstance or change of circumstances. The ET Leader's log-book shall be kept readily available for inspection by all persons assisting in supervision of the implementation of the recommendations of the approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003), application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) and this Permit or by the Director or his authorized officers. Failure to maintain



records in the log-book, failure to discharge the duties of the ET Leader as defined in the EM&A Manual or failure to comply with this Condition would entitle the Director to require the Permit Holder by notice in writing to replace the ET Leader. Failure by the Permit Holder to make replacement, or further failure to keep contemporaneous records in the log-book despite the employment of a new ET Leader may render the Permit liable to suspension, cancellation or variation.

- 2.2 An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by the Permit Holder no later than one month before commencement of construction of the Project. The IEC shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC shall be a person who has at least 7 years of experience in EM&A or environmental management. The IEC shall be responsible for duties defined in the EM&A Manual provided in the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) and shall audit the overall EM&A performance, including the implementation of all environmental mitigation measures, submissions required in the EM&A Manual, and any other submissions required under this Permit. In addition, the IEC shall be responsible for verifying the environmental acceptability of permanent and temporary works, relevant design plans and submissions under this Permit. The IEC shall verify the log-book(s) mentioned in above condition of this Permit. The IEC shall notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the Approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003), application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) and this Permit, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project. In the case where the IEC fails to so notify the Director of the same, fails to discharge the duties of the IEC as defined in the EM&A Manual or fails to comply with this Condition, the Director may require the Permit Holder by notice in writing to replace the IEC. Failure to replace the IEC as directed or further failure to so notify the Director despite employment of a new IEC may render the Permit liable to suspension, cancellation or variation. Notification by the Permit Holder is the same as notification by the IEC for the purpose of this Condition.

3. Submissions or Measures during the Construction of the Project

Management Organization of Main Construction Companies

- 3.1 The Permit Holder shall, within one month after commencement of construction of the Project, inform the Director in writing the management organization of the main companies and/or any form of joint ventures associated with the construction of the Project. The submitted information shall include at least an organization chart, names of responsible persons and their contact details.

Measures to Mitigate Water Quality, Marine Ecological and Fisheries Impacts during Construction

- 3.2 No marine dredging works within 500m from the shore as shown in Figure 2 of this Permit shall be carried out for the construction of the submarine outfall of the Project. Only Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) technique shall be used for the construction of this inner part of the submarine outfall.
- 3.3 The Permit Holder shall, no later than one month before commencement of construction of the submarine outfall of the Project, deposit with the Director four hard copies and one electronic copy of the detailed arrangements of using HDD technique for the construction of the submarine outfall. The submission shall include the construction details, the length of submarine outfall using HDD technique for construction, the depth of submarine outfall below the seabed, the details of drilling fluid to be used in the HDD process and the disposal arrangements of the HDD



drilling fluid. Before submission to the Director, the proposal shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and recommendations contained in the Approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) to mitigate the water quality, marine ecological and fisheries impacts during construction.

- 3.4 The following mitigation measures shall be implemented when carrying out marine dredging works in areas further than 500m from the shore for the construction of the outer part of the submarine outfall as shown in Figure 2 of this Permit:
- i) not more than one closed grab dredger, with dredging rate not more than 55m³/hr, shall be used;
 - ii) two layers of silt curtain as shown in Figure 3 of this Permit shall be used, with the first layer enclosing the grab and the second layer deploying at around 50m from the dredging area;
 - iii) dredging shall only be carried out during ebb tide; and
 - iv) no dredged materials shall be allowed to overflow, splash or leak into the sea during loading or transportation.

Measures to Avoid, Minimize or Mitigate Terrestrial Ecological Impact during Construction

- 3.5 The sewage treatment works and the village sewerage works of the Project shall be constructed at the locations shown in Figure 1 of this Permit. No woodland and Romer's Tree Frog habitats shall be affected during construction of the Project.
- 3.6 All sewers shall be laid underground in the urbanized areas or existing footpaths.
- 3.7 The uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*, as shown in Figure 4 of this Permit shall be labeled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during construction of the Project. Before commencement of construction of the pumping station P1b, the uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*, found in the pumping station P1b area as shown in Figure 4 of this Permit shall be properly transplanted to the area immediately south of the pumping station P1b in accordance with the information and recommendations described in the application documents for variation of an environmental permit (Application No. VEP-299/2009). The Permit Holder shall, no later than three weeks before commencement of the transplantation, deposit with the Director a transplantation proposal showing details of the location(s) of reception site(s), methodology, implementation programme, post-transplantation monitoring and personnel for supervising the transplantation. Before submission to the Director, the transplantation proposal shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and recommendations contained in the application documents for variation of an environmental permit (Application No. VEP-299/2009) to effectively transplant the uncommon tree species, *Celtis Timorensis*.
- 3.8 All temporary works area shall be reinstated upon completion of works. Local native plant species shall be used as far as practicable.

4. Submissions or Measures for the Operation of the Project

Effluent from the Submarine Outfall

- 4.1 All influent shall be treated by Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) process, Sequencing Batching



Reactor (SBR) with ultra-violet disinfection process or other process as agreed with the Director in the sewage treatment works of the Project prior to discharge. Effluent shall only be discharged through the submarine outfall of the Project.

- 4.2 The Permit Holder shall, no later than three months before commencement of operation of the Project, deposit with the Director four hard copies and one electronic copy of the schematic design of the Project showing the treatment and discharge processes used in the Project. Before submission to the Director, the schematic design shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and recommendations contained in the Approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007).

Design of the Submarine Outfall

- 4.3 Effluent shall only be discharged through the diffuser of the submarine outfall of the Project. The diffuser of the submarine outfall shall be located at a distance greater than 600m from the shore and at a water depth greater than 13m.
- 4.4 Except the outermost location of the submarine outfall for the diffuser, no protective backfill and rock armour for the submarine outfall shall be protruded above the seabed.
- 4.5 The Permit Holder shall, no later than one month after completion of construction of the Project, deposit with the Director four hard copies and one electronic copy of the as-built drawings showing the details of the submarine outfall and its diffuser. Before submission to the Director, the as-built drawings shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and recommendations contained in the Approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007).

Emergency Discharge

- 4.6 No emergency discharge shall be made at the locations of the sewage treatment works and the pumping station P2. 24-hour temporary storage capacity shall be provided at the two pumping stations.
- 4.7 The Permit Holder shall, no later than three months before the commencement of operation of the Project, deposit with the Director a detailed response and action plan for the emergency discharge. Before submission to the Director, the plan shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the information and recommendations contained in the Approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-075/2003) and the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007).

5. Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) for the Project

- 5.1 The EM&A programme shall be implemented in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual provided in the application documents for Environmental Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007). Any changes to the EM&A programme shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as conforming to the requirements set out in the EM&A Manual and shall seek the prior approval from the Director before their implementation.
- 5.2 Samples, measurements and necessary remedial actions shall be taken in accordance with the requirements of the EM&A Manual provided in the application documents for Environmental



Permit (Application No. AEP-281/2007) by:

- i) conducting baseline environmental monitoring;
- ii) conducting impact monitoring; carrying out remedial actions described in the Event/Action Plans of the EM&A Manual in accordance with the time frames set out in the Event/Action Plans, or as agreed by the Director, in case where specified criteria in the EM&A Manual are exceeded; and logging and keeping records of details of all parameters within 3 working days of the collection of data or completion of remedial action(s), for the purpose of preparing and submitting the monthly EM&A Reports and to make available for inspection on site; and
- iii) conducting post-construction and post-commissioning water quality monitoring.

- 5.3 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the Baseline Monitoring Report shall be submitted to the Director at least 2 weeks before commencement of construction of the Project. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided upon request by the Director.
- 5.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of the reporting month. The monthly EM&A Report shall include a summary of all non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit levels), the status of submission required under this Permit and the types, quantities and disposal locations of all surplus excavated materials and wastes arising from the Project. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided upon request by the Director.
- 5.5 All environmental monitoring and audit data submitted under this Permit shall be true, valid and correct.
- 5.6 To ensure a high degree of transparency regarding the monitoring data and results in view of the public concern about the Project, all environmental monitoring and audit data and results and all submissions and all performance test data and results required by this Permit shall be made available by the Permit Holder to the public through a dedicated web site to be set up by the Permit Holder under Condition 6.2 below, in the shortest practicable time and in no event later than 2 weeks after such information is available.

6. Electronic Reporting of EM&A Information

- 6.1 To facilitate public inspection of the Baseline Monitoring Report and the monthly EM&A Reports via the EIAO Internet Website and at the EIAO Register Office, electronic copies of these Reports shall be prepared in the Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML) (version 4.0 or later) and in Portable Document Format (PDF version 4.0 or later), unless otherwise agreed by the Director and shall be submitted at the same time as the hard copies as described in Conditions 5.3 and 5.4 of this Permit. For the HTML version, a content page capable of providing hyperlink to each section and sub-section of these Reports shall be included in the beginning of the document. Hyperlinks to all figures, drawings and tables in these Reports shall be provided in the main text from where the respective references are made. All graphics in these Reports shall be in interlaced GIF format unless otherwise agreed by the Director. The content of the electronic copies of these Reports must be the same as the hard copies.
- 6.2 The Permit Holder shall set up a dedicated web site and notify the Director in writing the internet



Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A
 環境許可證編號 EP-281/2007/A

address where the environmental monitoring and project data is to be placed within six weeks after the commencement of construction of the Project. All environmental monitoring results described in Condition 6.1 above and all submissions required by this Permit shall be made available to the public via this dedicated web site to be set up by the Permit Holder in the shortest time practicable, and in no event later than 2 weeks after the relevant environmental monitoring data are collected or become available, unless otherwise agreed with the Director. The Permit Holder shall maintain the dedicated website throughout the entire construction stage and during the first operating year of the Project to facilitate public access to environmental monitoring data.

6.3 The internet website as described in Condition 6.2 above shall enable user-friendly public access to the monitoring data and project data including the EIA report, the environmental permit(s) and project profile of the Project. The internet website shall have features capable of:

- i) providing access to all environmental monitoring data collected since the commencement of work and all submissions under this permit;
- ii) searching by date;
- iii) searching by types of monitoring data; and
- iv) hyperlinks to relevant monitoring data after searching;

or otherwise as agreed by the Director.

Notes :

1. This Permit consists of three parts, namely, Part A (Main Permit), Part B (Description of Designated Project) and Part C (Permit Conditions). Any person relying on this permit should obtain independent legal advice on the legal implications under the Ordinance, and the following notes are for general information only.
2. If there is a breach of any conditions of this Permit, the Director or his authorized officer may, with the consent of the Secretary for the Environment, order the cessation of associated work until the remedial action is taken in respect of the resultant environmental damage, and in that case the Permit Holder shall not carry out any associated works without the permission of the Director or his authorized officer.
3. The Permit Holder may apply under Section 13 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (the "Ordinance") to the Director for a variation of the conditions of this Permit. The Permit Holder shall replace the original permit displayed on the Project site by the amended permit.
4. A person who assumes the responsibility for the whole or a part of the Project may, before he assumes responsibility of the Project, apply under Section 12 of the Ordinance to the Director for a further environmental permit.
5. Under Section 14 of the Ordinance, the Director may with the consent of the Secretary for the Environment, suspend, vary or cancel this Permit. The suspended, varied or cancelled Permit shall be removed from display at the Project site.
6. If this Permit is cancelled or surrendered during construction or operation of the Project, another environmental permit must be obtained under the Ordinance before the Project could be




continued. It is an offence under Section 26 (1) of the Ordinance to construct or operate a designated project listed in Schedule 2 of the Ordinance without a valid environmental permit.

7. Any person who constructs or operates the Project contrary to the conditions in the Permit, and is convicted of an offence under the Ordinance, is liable:
 - (i) on a first conviction on indictment to a fine of \$2 million and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (ii) on a second or subsequent conviction on indictment to a fine of \$5 million and to imprisonment for 2 years;
 - (iii) on a first summary conviction to a fine at level 6 and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (iv) on a second or subsequent summary conviction to a fine of \$1 million and to imprisonment for 1 year; and
 - (v) in any case where the offence is of a continuing nature, the court or magistrate may impose a fine of \$10,000 for each day on which he is satisfied the offence continued.
8. The Permit Holder may appeal against any condition of this Permit under Section 17 of the Ordinance within 30 days of receipt of this Permit.
9. The Notes are for general reference only and that the Permit Holder should refer to the EIA Ordinance for details and seek independent legal advice.

Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A
環境許可證編號 EP-281/2007/A






Environmental Permit No.: EP-281/2007/A
 環境許可證編號: EP-281/2007/A



Figure 1: Location Plan of the Project (1 of 2)

圖 1: 工程項目地點 (二之一)



LEGEND :

- PROPOSED SEWER ALIGNMENT
- - - SUBMARINE OUTFALL
- ▨ SEWERAGE TREATMENT WORKS
- FISH CULTURE ZONE
- ⊙ DIFFUSER



Environmental Permit No.: EP-281/2007/A
 環境許可證編號: EP-281/2007/A



Figure 2: Location Plan of the Project (2 of 2)
 圖 2: 工程項目地點 (二之二)




Figure 3: Arrangement of Silt Curtain during Construction
圖 3: 建造期間設置淤泥屏障的安排




Figure 4: Location of the Uncommon Tree Species, *Celtis timorensis*

圖 4 : 不常見樹 樟葉木的位置

Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A

環境許可證編號: EP-281/2007/A





Appendix K

AFCD Letters dated on 28 October 2009 and 13 November 2009

漁農自然護理署

九龍長沙灣道 303 號

長沙灣政府合署七樓



AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND
CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT

7/F, Cheung Sha Wan Government Offices
303 Cheung Sha Wan Road,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

本署檔號 Our Ref. : (13) in AF EA 027/07 Pt.2

來函檔號 Your Ref. : OC/906296/CLL

電話 Tel No. : (852) 2150 6942

圖文傳真 Fax No. : (852) 2377 4427

By Fax
2695 3944

28 October 2009

ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED
8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre
34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Potan
Hong Kong

(Attn.: Mr. C.L. LAU)

Dear Mr. LAU,

Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report

I refer to your letter dated 23 October 2009 on the captioned.

Based on the information provided, I note that the uncommon plants CT Nos. 1, 2 and 12 were found missing during the Environmental Team site inspection on 10 September 2009. Please be reminded that all uncommon tree species, *Celtis timorensis*, as shown in Figure 4 of Environmental Permit No. EP-281/2007/A should be labelled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during construction of the captioned Project.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. CHEUNG Ka-hong, Joseph)
for Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation

c.c. Environmental Protection Department
(Attn.: Mr. Matthew CHAN; Fax: 2591 0558)

Drainage Services Department
(Attn.: Ir. Henry CHEUNG; Fax: 2833 9162)

覆函請寄交「漁農自然護理署署長」
Please address all replies to Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation

15-11-2009 12:02 FROM KADEN CONSTRUCTION LTD

TO K0801

c.c. wwyjw/kcl/s
P.01/03
John, pls. immediate
take action

L 03155

漁農自然護理署
九龍長沙灣道 303 號
長沙灣政府合署七樓



AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND
CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT

7/F, Cheung Sha Wan Government Offices
303 Cheung Sha Wan Road,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

本署編號 Our Ref. : (16) in AF EA 027/07 PL2
來函編號 Your Ref. : K0801/03.23.0.00/2633/L
電話 Tel No. : (852) 2150 6942
圖文傳真 Fax No. : (852) 2377 4427

By Fax
2528 1751

13 November 2009

Kaden Construction Limited
Units 1001-1015, 10/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road
Sha Tin, N.T.
(Attn.: Mr. Stephen LEUNG)

Dear Mr. LEUNG,

Drainage Services Department
Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report

I refer to your letter dated 4 November 2009 on the captioned.

Upon site visit, we found that 6 nos. of the labelled plants were misidentified as *Celtis timorensis*, including CT3, CT4, CT5, CT6, CT10 and CT12. On the other hand, 7 nos. of *C. timorensis*, possibly corresponding to CT1 to CT6 and CT10 in Figure 4 of EP-281/2007/A, were found not labelled or some even left unattended (see enclosed photos). No *C. timorensis* was found at the location of CT12 in the Figure. Please ensure that all *C. timorensis* shown in the Figure are accurately identified for labelling, fencing and protection in order to avoid any disturbance during construction of the captioned project.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. CHEUNG Ka-hong, Joseph)
for Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation

Encl.

c.c. Environmental Protection Department (Attn.: Mr. Matthew CHAN; Fax: 2591 0558)
Drainage Services Department (Attn.: Mr. Henry CHEUNG; Fax: 2833 9162)

覆函請寄交「漁農自然護理署署長」
Please address all replies to Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation


**Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report**



Celtis timorensis (CT1 refers)




Celtis timorensis (CT2 refers)




Celtis timorensis (CT3 refers)







Celtis timorensis (CT4 refers)



Celtis timorensis (CTS refers)



Celtis timorensis (CT6 refers)



Celtis timorensis (CT10 refers)



c.c. wwpj/kkly
P.01/03
John, pls. immediate
take action

Z 03155

漁農自然護理署
九龍長沙灣道 303 號
長沙灣政府合署七樓



AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND
CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT

7/F, Cheung Sha Wan Government Offices
303 Cheung Sha Wan Road,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

本署權號 Our Ref. : (16) in AF EA 027/07 Pt.2
來函編號 Your Ref. : K0801/03.23.0.00/2633/L
電話 Tel No. : (852) 2150 6942
圖文傳真 Fax No. : (852) 2377 4427

By Fax
2528 1751

13 November 2009

Kaden Construction Limited
Units 1001-1015, 10/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road
Sha Tin, N.T.
(Attn.: Mr. Stephen LEUNG)

Dear Mr. LEUNG,

Drainage Services Department
Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report

I refer to your letter dated 4 November 2009 on the captioned.

Upon site visit, we found that 6 nos. of the labelled plants were misidentified as *Celtis timorensis*, including CT3, CT4, CT5, CT6, CT10 and CT12. On the other hand, 7 nos. of *C. timorensis*, possibly corresponding to CT1 to CT6 and CT10 in Figure 4 of EP-281/2007/A, were found not labelled or some even left unattended (see enclosed photos). No *C. timorensis* was found at the location of CT12 in the Figure. Please ensure that all *C. timorensis* shown in the Figure are accurately identified for labelling, fencing and protection in order to avoid any disturbance during construction of the captioned project.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. CHEUNG Ka-hong, Joseph)
for Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation

Encl.

c.c. Environmental Protection Department (Attn.: Mr. Matthew CHAN; Fax: 2591 0558)
Drainage Services Department (Attn.: Ir. Henry CHEUNG; Fax: 2833 9162)

覆函請寄交「漁農自然護理署署長」
Please address all replies to Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation



**Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage I Works
Impact Monitoring Report**




Celtis timorensis (CT1 refers)




Celtis timorensis (CT2 refers)




Celtis timorensis (CT3 refers)







Celtis timorensis (CT4 refers)




Celtis timorensis (CTS refers)



Celtis timorensis (CT6 refers)



Celtis timorensis (CT10 refers)





Appendix L

EPD Letter dated on 16 November 2009

本署檔案 (6) in EP771/E1/083
 OUR REF: (6) in EP771/E1/083
 來函檔案
 YOUR REF: 2516 1719
 電話
 TEL NO: 2960 1760
 圖文傳真
 FAX NO:
 網址
 HOME PAGE: <http://www.epd.gov.hk/>

Environmental Protection Department
Environmental Compliance Division
Regional Office (South)
 2/F, Chinachem Exchange Square
 1, Hoi Wan Street
 Quarry Bay, Hong Kong



環境保護署
 環保法規管理科
 區域辦事處(南)
 香港銅鑼灣
 海灣街一號
 華懋交易廣場二樓

ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED
 8/F, Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre,
 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fotan, Hong Kong
 (Attn: Ms. Law Sau Yee)

16 November 2009

Dear Ms. Law,

Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report

Please find enclosed a copy of the letter to Kaden Construction Limited dated 16 November 2009 on the protection of *Celtis Timorensis* issue for the captioned project.

Best Regards,

CHAN Ho-sun
 Assistant Environmental Protection Officer
 Environmental Protection Department

本署檔案
 OUR REF: (5) in EP771/E1/083
 來函檔案
 YOUR REF: 2516 1719
 電話
 TEL NO: 2960 1760
 圖文傳真
 FAX NO:
 網址
 HOMEPAGE: <http://www.epd.gov.hk/>

Environmental Protection Department
Environmental Compliance Division
Regional Office (South)
 2/F., Chinachem Exchange Square
 1 Hoi Wan Street
 Quarry Bay, Hong Kong



環境保護署
 環保法規管理科
 區域辦事處(南)
 香港鰂魚涌
 海灣街一號
 華懋交易廣場二樓

Kaden Construction Limited
 Units 1001-1015, 10/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1
 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, N.T.
 (Attn: Mr. Stephen LEUNG)

By post and by fax (2528 1751)

16 November 2009

Dear Mr. Leung,

Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2007/18
Yung Shue Wan and Sok Kwu Wan Village Sewerage, Stage 1 Works
Impact Monitoring Report

Further to AFCD's letters dated 28 October 2009 and 13 November 2009.

Our staff inspected the project area on 5 November 2009, and noted that the *C. Timorensis* marked as CT-12 was still in place. However, CT-1 and CT-2 were removed due to accidental damages. Moreover, as advised by AFCD in their letter on 13 November, you may have either mislabeled or did not properly label the species as shown in Figure 4 of the Environmental Permit (EP-281/2009/A).

It was understood that as the holder of said environmental permit, the Permit Holder should strictly follow the permit conditions as issued by this department, particularly Section 3.7, i.e.

"The uncommon tree species, Celtis Timorensis, as shown in Figure 4 of this Permit shall be labeled, fenced and protected in order to avoid any disturbance during construction of the Project....."

To avoid further contravention to the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499), please rectify the situations immediately and provide us with a copy of your proposed follow-up actions. Your advise upon the completion of the remediation works is much appreciated.

We will closely monitor the above situations. Should you have any queries, please feel free to contact me or Mr. Sit at 2516 1700.

Best regards,



CHAN Ho-sun
Assistant Environmental Protection Officer
Environmental Protection Department

c.c. by fax

Environmental Protection Department (Attn: Mr. Matthew Chan

Fax: 2591 0558)

Drainage Services Department (Attn: Mr. C K Au

Fax: 2833 9162)

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department

(Attn: Dr. Joseph Cheung

Fax: 2377 4427)


c.c. by post

ETS-Testconsult Limited


(Attn: Law Sau Yee - Senior Environmental Officer)



Figures



NOTES :
1. FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND, REFER TO DRAWING NO. DS057/2004.



NO.	DATE	REVISION	BY	CHK.
1	10/11/03	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	J. W.	J. W.
2	10/11/03	REVISION	J. W.	J. W.


The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
Sewerage Services Department

CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/16
YUNG SHUE WAN AND SOK KWU WAN
VILLAGE SEWERAGE, STAGE 1 WORKS

VILLAGE SEWERAGE LAYOUT
PLANS - SOK KWU WAN
(SHEET 1 OF 3)

DATE	2005/11/2004
SCALE	AS SHOWN
PROJECT NO.	DC/2007/16
DESIGNER	SCOTT WILSON ODM JOINT VENTURE





KEY PLAN

NOTES :

1. FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND, REFER TO DRAWING NO. 2005/C1/2005.


NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CHKD.
1.	15/03/2005	ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION	AL	AS
2.	15/03/2005	REVISION	AL	AS
3.	15/03/2005	REVISION	AL	AS

The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
 Drainage Services Department

CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/18
 YUNG SHUE WAN AND SOK KWU WAN
 VILLAGE SEWERAGE - STAGE 1 WORKS

VILLAGE SEWERAGE LAYOUT
 PLANS - SOK KWU WAN
 (SHEET 2 OF 3)

DATE	2005/C1/2005
SCALE	AS SHOWN
PROJECT NO.	DC/2007/18
DATE OF ISSUE	15/03/2005



Noise Monitoring Station (NM2)
 20, Sok Kwu Wan

索罟灣
 PICNIC BAY
 (SOK KWU WAN)

