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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the fourteen Quarterly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by ETS-Testconsult Ltd (ET) for the “Contract No: CV/2009/02 – Handling of Surplus Public Fill – Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank” (The Project).

This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted during the operation phase of Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 from March to May 2013.

Site Activities

As informed by the Contractor, the site activities in this reporting quarter were as below:

- Removal & delivery of public fill stockpiled material to Mainland
- Operation of the road water lorries and the road sweeper
- Maintenance of haul road within fill bank area
- Delivery of public fill received at the Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point to TKO fill bank
- Operation of the tipping hall (A1, A2 & A3)
- Operation at the queuing area for public truck lorries

Dump truck traffic and hauling activities at Barge Handling Area (BHA) were the major dust sources. Barge delivery of fill material was also undertaken in the reporting quarter. Besides the Fill Bank operation, the other dust sources near TKO Area 137 also included operation of C&DMSF at PBR2 Project and dumping activities at the SENT Landfill.

The desilting facilities were in proper operation to avoid silty discharge and the silt curtains were properly installed. There was no sediment plume observed during the monitoring events.

The major noise sources during the reporting quarter were the dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress. Noise impact on the sensitive receivers was insignificant in the reporting quarter according to the results of noise monitoring and site inspections.

Environmental Monitoring Works

Noise Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels for noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting quarter.

Air Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in this quarter.

Marine Water Quality Monitoring

Marine water quality monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

According to the summary of marine water monitoring results, no exceedance of Action and Limit Levels was recorded in this quarter.

Landscape and Visual

Erection of hoarding and chain link fencing was provided at the Fill Bank site boundary. The germination rate on the panel was satisfactory in this reporting quarter.

Environmental Complaints, Notification of summons and successful prosecutions

No complaint, notification of summon and successful prosecution with respect to environmental issues was received in this quarter.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

China Harbour – China State Joint Venture (CHCSJV) appointed Environmental Team (ET) of ETS-Testconsult Limited (ETL) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) for the “Contract No: CV/2009/02 –Handling of Surplus Public Fill – Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank” (The Project).

In accordance with the Environmental Permit (No.: EP-134/2002/K) (the EP), an EM&A programme should be implemented in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-060/2002). The EM&A programme for this study as stated in Section 2.3.1 of the EM&A Manual covers the following environmental aspects during the establishment, operation and removal phases of the Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137:

- Fugitive Dust;
- Noise generation from onsite activities;
- Water Quality; and
- Landscape and Visual.

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and water quality and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, landscape and visual, and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event/Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

Baseline monitoring was completed in August and September 2002 by MateriaLab. Action and Limit Levels were established for air and water quality parameters based on the baseline monitoring results.

This quarterly report documented the findings of EM&A Works conducted during the operation phase of Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 from March to May 2013.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Scope of the Project

The scale and scope of the Project as stated in the EP include:

- Site clearance;
- Construction of a temporary storm water system;
- Stockpiling of 6 million m³ of public fill;
- Setting up two barging points: one at the Tseung Kwan O Basin (TKO Basin) and one at the Construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&DMSF) for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barges;
- Construction and operation of a Construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&DMSF);
- Setting up a Construction and Demolition Material Crushing Facility at the TKO Basin; and
- Remove the temporary fill bank.
2.2 Site Description

Tseung Kwan O Area 137 is located at the southern end of Wan Po Road. In the vicinity of the site are other industrial uses such as SENT landfill, TKO Industrial Estate, etc. Both Island Resort and Fullview Garden are also situated at more than 1.8km from the site. Other existing ASRs and NSRs, including resident developments and schools, are located at a further distance away from TKO Area 137.

2.3 Project Activities

Details of project activities in this quarter are shown in Appendix I G.

2.4 Project Organization and Management Structure

The organization chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management and monitoring program are shown in Appendix I A.

2.5 Contact Details of Key Personnel

The key personnel contact names and telephone numbers are shown in Table 2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name of Key Staff</th>
<th>Project Role</th>
<th>Tel. No.</th>
<th>Fax No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEDD</td>
<td>Mr. Lawrence Ng</td>
<td>Engineer's Representative</td>
<td>2762 5545</td>
<td>2714 0113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Liu Chi Yuen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Liu Kai Chun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Wong Kai Chung</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. C. T Chock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC (Materialab) *</td>
<td>Mr Joseph Poon</td>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>2450 8238</td>
<td>2450 6138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC (ENVIRON) *</td>
<td>Mr Tony Cheng</td>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>3465 2888</td>
<td>3465 2899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor (CHCSJV)</td>
<td>Mr. Wah Fung Lok</td>
<td>Contractor's Agent</td>
<td>9772 7055</td>
<td>2243 4089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET (ETL)</td>
<td>Mr. C. L. Lau</td>
<td>ET Leader</td>
<td>2946 7791</td>
<td>2695 3944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark (*): Materialab was being as IEC of this Project until 31 January 2010 and was replaced by ENVIRON from 01 February 2010.

3.0 SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS

3.1 EM&A Programme

The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and marine water quality and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, marine water quality, landscape and visual, and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event/Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

The advice on implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of the Report.

3.2 Monitoring Stations and Parameters

The EM&A Manual designates several locations to monitor environmental impacts in terms of air quality, noise and water quality due to the Project. The description and detailed locations of monitoring stations for air quality, noise and marine water quality are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3 and relevant sections of this Report.
3.3 Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details

All monitoring works were conducted and monitoring equipment was calibrated in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

3.4 Environmental Quality Performance Limits (Action/Limit Levels)

The environmental quality performance limits, i.e. Action/Limit Levels (AL Levels) were derived from the baseline monitoring results. If the measured environmental quality parameters exceed the AL Levels, the respective action plan will be implemented. The AL Levels for each monitoring parameter are given in Appendix I E. The event action plan is given in Appendix I F.

3.5 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Relevant mitigation measures were recommended in the EM&A Manual for the Contractor to implement. A list of mitigation measures is given in Appendix I H.

4.0 MONITORING RESULTS

4.1 Air Quality

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hr and 24-hr TSP air quality monitoring were conducted three times and once per six days correspondingly.

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in this quarter. The trend of air quality during the reporting quarter is presented in Appendices B. Wind data included wind speed and wind direction were extracted from Tseung Kwan O Station of Hong Kong Observatory and presented in Appendix I K.

Major dust sources in the Fill Bank were dump truck traffic and hauling activities at BHA.

Table 4.1 presents the number of exceedances recorded in each month of the reporting quarter. The number of monitoring event included regular monitoring events and additional ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Parameter</th>
<th>Level of Exceedance</th>
<th>March 2013</th>
<th>April 2013</th>
<th>May 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-hr TSP</td>
<td>No of monitoring events</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Action Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limit Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hr TSP</td>
<td>No of monitoring events</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Action Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limit Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2 presents the 1-hr and 24-hr TSP averages in the baseline period and for each month in the reporting quarter. It was found that the 1-hr and 24-hr TSP averages at both stations in the reporting quarter were higher than the baseline levels but they were within the AL Levels. As a result, the Contractor should provide more mitigation measures refer to the EM&A Manual to avoid dust generation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1-hr TSP (µg/m³) TKO-A1</th>
<th>1-hr TSP (µg/m³) TKO-A2a</th>
<th>24-hr TSP (µg/m³) TKO-A1</th>
<th>24-hr TSP (µg/m³) TKO-A2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (29/08 – 13/09)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2013</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2013</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Noise

Noise monitoring was required to be conducted at least once per month. Only daytime noise was monitored in the reporting quarter.

All recorded noise levels complied with the AL Levels. The registered noise levels in the past three months are plotted in Appendices C.

Table 4.3 presents the limited level and average impact noise monitoring results during the reporting quarter.

Table 4.3 Summary of Impact Monitoring results of Noise Daytime Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Location</th>
<th>Limit Level Leq, dB(A)</th>
<th>March 2013</th>
<th>April 2013</th>
<th>May 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TKO-N1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The major noise sources in the reporting quarter were dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress. The noise impact was insignificant as the Fill Bank was remote from sensitive receivers.

4.3 Marine Water Quality

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the marine water quality monitoring was conducted at the monitoring station (M4) and the control station (C1) in the reporting quarter.

Impact marine water quality monitoring was conducted three days per week. Measurements were taken at both mid-ebb and mid-flood tides at three depths (i.e. 1m below surface, mid depth and 1m above seabed). The AL Levels are included in Appendix I E.

Table 4.4 presents the total number of marine water quality exceedances in the reporting quarter. The trend of marine water quality in the past three months is depicted in Appendix I D.

Table 4.4 Total Number of Marine Water Quality Exceedances in the Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Exceedance Level</th>
<th>March 2013</th>
<th>April 2013</th>
<th>May 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of monitoring days</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen, DO (S&amp;M)</td>
<td>Limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen, DO (B)</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>Limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended Solids, SS</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number Exceedances</td>
<td>Limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison between the quarterly mean/median of SS and the 1.3 times of the baseline mean was made for each tide at each station. The statistical analysis results are given in Appendix I I and it shows that a generally better marine quality was recorded in the reporting quarter in respect to 130% of the baseline mean. Monitoring stations with significant difference (p<0.05) is summarized in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5 Summary of Statistically Significant Results of SS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Station</th>
<th>Significant difference?</th>
<th>Mid-ebb</th>
<th>Mid-flood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 INSPECTION RESULTS

5.1 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

ET conducted weekly site inspections to monitor the Contractor’s implementation of environmental mitigation measures. After each site inspection, the Contractor was notified of ET’s observations and recommendations. A corrective action plan detailing the environmental observations was prepared by ET and the Contractor then completed this plan to propose/report their remedial works.

Air quality was the major environmental issue in the reporting quarter. The Contractor generally implemented most of the environmental mitigation measures in the reporting quarter. Dump truck traffic was the major dust source in the Fill Bank. Generally, the Contractor implemented adequate dust mitigation measures in the reporting quarter including dampening of haul roads, water spraying on the truckloads, operation of automatic wheel washing facilities and mist spraying systems, dampening of fill material prior to handling or stockpiling, etc.

Dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress were the major noise sources. As the Fill Bank was remote from the nearby NSRs, the noise impact was minimal. The powered mechanical equipment were generally operated and maintained properly.

Regarding the observations about accumulation of fill materials on the concrete pavement at the BHA in the reporting quarter, the Contractor was reminded to clean up the fill materials as soon as each unloading activity completed to avoid the fill materials from being washed into the sea. Furthermore, the Contractor should also regularly inspect and maintain the oil interceptor at the car park to ensure it properly functions.

Although there were a few observations regarding improper handling of oil drums and chemical containers, such as lack of drip tray and accumulated of stagnant water in the drip tray, the Contractor rectified most of these problems. Besides, the Contractor should provide tarpaulin sheets before repairing and maintenance works and also carry out proper cleaning activities immediately after such works.

The germination rate on the panel was satisfactory in this reporting quarter. The Contractor was reminded to maintain the panel properly.

5.2 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

The status of licences and permits is summarized in Table 5.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Valid Period</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amended Environmental Permit</td>
<td>EP-134/2002/K</td>
<td>04/02/13 to ---</td>
<td>Site clearance, Construction of a temporary storm water system, Stockpiling of 6 million m3 of public fill, Setting up two barging points for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barges, Setting up a temporary barging point at the existing Explosive Off-loading Barging Point for the period of May 2004 to December 2004 for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barge, Construction of operation of a construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&amp;DMSF), Setting up a Construction and Demolition Material Crushing Facility at the TKO Basin, Remove the temporary fill bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Waste Producer</td>
<td>5123-839-C3577-02</td>
<td>17/12/09 to ---</td>
<td>Spent Lubricating Oil, Spent Flammable Liquid, Spent Battery Containing Heavy Metals and Surplus Paint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effluent Discharge License</td>
<td>WT00005777-2010</td>
<td>12/05/10 to 31/05/15</td>
<td>Wastewater arising from the wheel washing bay, Sedimentation Tank &amp; Desilting Tank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 Advice on Solids and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor usually disposed of non-inert waste, including general refuse and materials segregated from the existing stockpiles, to SENT landfill. Table 5.2 summarizes data on offsite waste disposal in the quarter.

Table 5.2 Estimated Offsite Waste Disposal in the Reporting Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>March 2013</th>
<th>April 2013</th>
<th>May 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;D Waste</td>
<td>Domestic waste (site) collected in garbage bins and general refuse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Waste</td>
<td>Waste oil (L) / Chemical Waste (kg)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle Material</td>
<td>Metal scraps</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The site toilet and shower room and several chemical toilets were in use throughout the reporting quarter. Discharge from the site toilet and shower room was made to the additional drainage DP4 after passing through the sewage treatment system. A licensed collector also regularly collected waste from the chemical toilets.

6.0 NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS

6.1 Summary of Non-compliance

In this reporting quarter, no exceedance of Action and limit levels on marine water quality was recorded.

No exceedances on 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were recorded in this quarter.

Besides, no day-time noise level measured at the monitoring station exceeded the Action and Limit Level in this quarter.

6.2 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance

Since there was no exceedance recorded in this quarter, the review of the reasons for the non-compliance was not required.

6.3 Summary of Actions Taken

Since there was no exceedance recorded in this quarter, no further action was not required to be taken.
### 6.4 Summary of Environmental Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions Handling

No complaint, notification of summon and successful prosecution was received. A summary of environmental complaints and prosecutions was given in Table 6.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Complaints logged</th>
<th>Summon served</th>
<th>Successful Prosecution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.0 COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

In this quarter, major activity in the Fill Bank was the import and dumping of fill material. Air quality was the major environmental issue in the Fill Bank. Generally, the Contractor implemented most of the mitigation measures to minimize the dust impact.

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring in this quarter.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of noise was recorded in this reporting quarter.

No exceedance of Action and limit level on marine water quality was recorded in this quarter.

No complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution with respect to environmental issues was received in this quarter.

According to the ET weekly site inspection and IEC site audits carried out in this quarter, it was indicated that site practices of the Contractor were generally undertaken in an environmentally acceptable manner and the overall site environmental performance was up to standard. The Contractor generally implemented sufficient dust mitigation measures, including operation of the mist spraying systems and automatic wheel washing facilities, dampening of haul roads and stockpiling areas.

According to the environmental site inspections performed in this quarter, the following recommendations were provided:

**Air Quality**
- Ensure the frequency of water spraying on haul roads, unloading areas and stockpiles to be sufficient to suppress the dust sources;
- Provide proper maintenance for the powered mechanical equipment and barges to avoid emission of dark smoke;
- Provide water spraying onto the truckloads during inspection of fill material;
- Conduct road sweeping on all paved haul roads and public roads especially outside and near the site egress by the road sweeper. Undertake water spraying on stockpiling area by water bowers;
- Erect adequate speed limit signs to advise the truck drivers of the speed limit;
- Operate mist spraying systems and automatic water sprinklers in the Fill Bank;
- Implement the dust mitigation measures for the site activities;
- Designate proper haul roads to ensure effective water spraying; and
- Ensure all vehicles to be washed before leaving the site egress by provision, operation and maintenance of automatic wheel washing facilities.

**Noise**
- Conduct noisy activities at a farther location from the NSRs.
### Water Quality

- Maintain the drainage system, including the trapezoidal channels, permanent desilting chambers, DP3 & DP4 regularly;
- Operate and maintain the silt curtains regularly;
- Operate the cleaning vessel within the TKO Basin regularly;
- Provide proper treatment for the oil discharge from the area near air monitoring station TKO-A1;
- Clean up the fill material on the concrete pavement at BHA frequently; and
- Remove the stagnant water or provide approved pesticides for the stagnant water in the permanent desilting chambers, if any.

### Chemical and Waste Management

- Remove waste materials from the site to avoid accumulation regularly;
- Handle and store chemical wastes properly;
- Remove unwanted material in the existing stockpiles and avoid further dumping of such material;
- Provide and maintain sufficient drip trays for diesel drums, chemical containers, chemical waste storage drums and diesel operated generator set;
- Maintain mesh screen on top of the additional drainage, DP3 to avoid improper dumping of rubbish;
- Maintain good housekeeping at the workshop area;
- Ensure sufficient tarpaulin sheets are provided to cover drip trays; and
- Avoid soil being polluted during oil filling and equipment maintenance; hence, properly remove and store the contaminated soil, if any.

### Landscape and Visual

- Provide hydroseeding on the exposed slopes, on which the final profile has been formed;
- Erect all the site hoarding/chaining fences in accordance with agreed design at proper location;
- Maintain the hydroseeding slopes in accordance with the Landscape Plan.

- END OF REPORT -
Appendix I

A

Organization Chart and Lines of Communication
Lines of Communication

Engineer
Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

IEC
MateriaLab Consultants Limited (MateriaLab) (Until 31 Jan 2010)
ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited (ENVIRON) (From 01 Feb 2010)
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Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
### Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

#### Environmental Protection Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust control / mitigation measures shall be provided to prevent dust nuisance.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A buffer zone of at least 100m shall be maintained between the edge of the stockpiling area and the nearest ASRs at the TKO Industrial Estate. Within the buffer zone, no dusty material shall be stockpiled and no loading / unloading and similar activities should be allowed.</td>
<td>Northern Site Boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sprays shall be provided and used to dampen materials.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular cleaning and watering the site shall be provided to minimize the fugitive dust emissions.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All vehicles shall be restricted to a maximum speed of 10 km per hour.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any vehicle with open load carrying area used for moving materials which has the potential to create dust shall have properly fitting side and tail boards. Material having the potential to create dust shall not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and shall be covered by a clean tarpaulin.</td>
<td>Site Egress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The designated site main haul route shall be paved or regular watering.</td>
<td>All haul roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent watering of work site shall be at least three times per day.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jet shall be provided at the entrance of work site.</td>
<td>Site Egress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every vehicle shall be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the fill bank.</td>
<td>Site Egress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The temporary slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be covered with impermeable sheet or sprayed with water or protected by other method approved by CEDD.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be treated by compaction, followed by hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with shotcrete, latex, vinyl, bitumen, or other suitable surface stabilizer approved by CEDD.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When fill material is transfer by belt conveyor systems, the conveyors shall be enclosed on top and 2 sides.</td>
<td>C&amp;DMFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The belt scraper shall be equipped with bottom plates or other similar means to prevent falling of material from the return belt.</td>
<td>C&amp;DMFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The level of stockpiling belt conveyor shall be adjustable such that the vertical distance between the belt conveyor and the material landing point is maintained at no more than 1m.</td>
<td>C&amp;DMFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All plant and equipment should be well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noise Impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved method of working, equipment and sound-reducing measures (e.g. use of silenced type of equipment, etc.) shall be adapted.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only well maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powered mechanical equipment (PME) should be covered or shielded by appropriate acoustic materials.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air compressors and hand held breakers should have noise labels.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machines and plants that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noisy equipment and mobile plant shall always be site away from NSRs.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark: ✓ = Implemented, ▼ = Partially Implemented, X = Not Implemented, N/A = Not Applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Protection Measures</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Drainage system should be adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow, especially after rain storms.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water should be avoided to prevent mosquito breeding.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The existing/realigned intercepting channels and the sand/silt removal facilities shall be used and maintained regularly.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A buffer distance of at least 100m shall be maintained between the boundary of the public fill stockpiling area and the sea front.</td>
<td>Along the seafront</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A buffer distance of at least 20m shall be maintained between the boundary of the C&amp;DMSF and the seafront.</td>
<td>C&amp;DMSF</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The stormwater intercepting system shall be effective to collect of runoff and remove suspended solids before discharge.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The material shall be properly covered to prevent washed away especially before rainstorm.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The temporary slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be covered with impermeable sheet or sprayed with water or protected by other method approved by CEDD.</td>
<td>Temporary slopes</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A wheel washing bay shall be provided at the site exit and wash-water shall have sand and silt settled out or removed before being discharged into storm drains.</td>
<td>Wheel Washing facility</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Obtain Discharge License</td>
<td>Site Office</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adequate environmental control measures shall be provided to prevent / avoid dropping of fill material into the sea during the transfer.</td>
<td>Barge Handling Area (BHA)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The work activities shall not cause any visible foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matters to be present on the water in the vicinity of the barging facilities.</td>
<td>Barge Handling Area (BHA)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A waste collection vessel shall be deployed to remove floating debris.</td>
<td>Barge Handling Area (BHA)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landscape and Visual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction of lighting to avoid spillage and glare</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hydoseeding</td>
<td>Completed slopes</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hoarding erection</td>
<td>Site boundary</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Damage to surrounding area avoided</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Environmental Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• C&amp;D waste sorted from mixed C&amp;D material shall be transfer to SENT landfill for disposal.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise generation of waste.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Any unused materials or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All generators, fuel and oil storage are within bunded areas.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oil leakage from machinery, vehicle and plant is prevented.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bund chemical storage area to 110% capacity.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prevent disposal of hazardous materials to air, soil and water body</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide rubbish skips at all work areas</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on a regular basis so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment.</td>
<td>All areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark:  
✓ = Implemented,  
✗ = Partially Implemented  
✗ = Not Implemented  
N/A = Not Applicable
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Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids in the Quarter
Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Flood Tide

Station: M4

\[t\]-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130% Baseline Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.969</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>0.3086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Mean</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.688</td>
<td>0.6361</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal \((f\)-test\) = 0.00954

Difference between means = 3.281 (Std Dev = 1.2073 and SE = 0.3263)
(95% CI : 2.6415 < Diff < 3.9205)

\(t\)-value of difference = 10.055 (13.2 degrees of freedom)
P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: C1

\[t\]-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130% Baseline Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.969</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.2742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Mean</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8028</td>
<td>0.5916</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal \((f\)-test\) = 0.01634

Difference between means = 3.1662 (Std Dev = 1.0886 and SE = 0.2914)
(95% CI : 2.595 < Diff < 3.7374)

\(t\)-value of difference = 10.864 (13.5 degrees of freedom)
P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.
Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Ebb Tide

**Station: M4**

**t-test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130% Baseline Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.897</td>
<td>1.449</td>
<td>0.4183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Mean</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8295</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.1046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Result:**

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.00006

Difference between means = 3.0675 (Std Dev = 1.5186 and SE = 0.4312)
(95% CI : 2.2224 < Diff < 3.9126)

t-value of difference = 7.114 (11.9 degrees of freedom)
P = 0.99999 (>0.05)

**Conclusion:**

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

**Station: C1**

**t-test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130% Baseline Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.933</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>0.3017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Mean</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8133</td>
<td>0.5860</td>
<td>0.0991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Result:**

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.00466

Difference between means = 3.1197 (Std Dev = 1.1644 and SE = 0.3175)
(95% CI : 2.4974 < Diff < 3.742)

t-value of difference = 89.823 (12.9 degrees of freedom)
P = 1 (>0.05)

**Conclusion:**

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.
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Quarterly Water Quality Monitoring Summary Report for Contract No. HY/2010/02 Hong Kong – Zhuhai – Macao Bridge, Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities – Reclamation Works