

Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2

Monthly EM&A Report

March 2014

Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited

Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2

Monthly EM&A Report

(March 2014)

Certified by:	Fredrick Leong
Position:	
Position:	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	11 April 2014



Our ref

AFK/TK/jn/T329380/22.05/L-0020

т 2828 5919

. 2020 0010

terence.kong@mottmac.com.hk

Your ref

Hyder-Arup-Black & Veatch Joint Venture c/o Hyder Consulting Limited 47/F Hopewell Centre 183 Queen's Road East Wanchai, Hong Kong

Dear Sir,

11 April 2014 By Fax (2805 5028) & Post

Attn: Mr. James Penny

Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling

Stage 2 (between Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange) – Entrusted Works Environmental Permit No. EP-324/2008/B

Condition 3.3 – Submission of Monthly EM&A Report – March 2014 for the portion of Stage 2 works entrusted to Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) under Contract No. CV/2012/09

We refer to the revised Monthly EM&A Report – March 2014 received on 9 and 10 April 2014 submitted by the Environmental Team via email. Pursuant to Environmental Permit Condition 3.3, I hereby verify the Monthly EM&A Report – March 2014 (Rev. 0) for the portion of works under Stage 2 of the captioned Project which is entrusted to CEDD under Contract No. CV/2012/09.

Yours faithfully

for MOTT MACDONALD HONG KONG LIMITED

Terence Kong

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c. HyD - Mr. Chung Lok Chin (Fax: 2714 5198) / Ms. Jackei Yin (Fax: 2761 4864)

CEDD/BCP - Mr. Chris Wong / Mr. Desmond Lam (Fax: 2714 0103)

AECOM - Mr. Alan Lee (Fax: 3922 9797)

Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Limited - Mr. Fredrick Leong (Fax: 2540 1580)



Date	Revision	Prepared By	Checked By	Approved By
11 April 2014	0	Ivan TING Cindy KWOK	Fredrick LEONG	Helen COCHRANE
		h ly		
			N	
			U	



Contents

			Page
EXI	ECUTIVI	ESUMMARY	v
1	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
	1.2	Purpose of the Report	1
	1.3	Report Structure	1
2	PROJ	ECT INFORMATION	2
	2.1	Background	2
	2.2	Site Description	2
	2.3	Construction Programme and Activities	3
	2.4	Project Organisation	3
3	STAT	US OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS	5
4	AIR Q	UALITY MONITORING	6
	4.1	Monitoring Requirement	6
	4.2	Monitoring Equipment	6
	4.3	Monitoring Location	6
	4.4	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
	4.5	Monitoring Methodology	7
	4.6	Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting month	8
	4.7	Monitoring Results	8
5	NOISE	MONITORING	9
	5.1	Monitoring Requirements	9
	5.2	Monitoring Equipment	9
	5.3	Monitoring Locations	9
	5.4	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	9
	5.5	Monitoring Methodology	10
	5.6	Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	10
	5.7	Monitoring Results	10
6	WATE	R MONITORING	12
	6.1	Monitoring Requirements	12
	6.2	Monitoring Equipment	12
	6.3	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	12
	6.4	Monitoring Locations	12
	6.5	Monitoring Methodology	13
	6.6	Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	
	6.7	Monitoring Results	13
7	WAST	E MANAGEMENT	15



8	ENVIR	ONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	16
	8.1	Site Inspection	16
9	IMPLE	MENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	17
10	ENVIR	ONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	18
	10.1	Summary of Monitoring Exceedances	18
	10.2	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	18
	10.3	Summary of Environmental Complaints	18
	10.4	Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions	18
11	FUTUI	RE KEY ISSUES	19
	11.1	Construction Programme for the Next Month	19
	11.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	19
	11.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month	20
12	CONC	LUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	21
	12.1	Conclusions	21
	12.2	Recommendations	21



List of Tables

Table 2.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 3.1	Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits
Table 4.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 4.2	Location of Air Quality Monitoring
Table 4.3	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 4.4	Summary of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results
Table 4.5	Summary of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results
Table 5.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 5.2	Location of Noise Monitoring
Table 5.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 5.4	Summary of Noise Monitoring Results
Table 6.1	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 6.2	Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 6.3	Locations of Water Quality Monitoring
Table 6.4	Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 8.1	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit
Table 9.1	Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit

List of Figures

Figure 1	Demarcation of Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway
	between Island House Interchange and Fanling – Stage 2
Figure 2	Air and Noise Monitoring Locations
Figure 3	Water Quality Monitoring Locations
-	

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Construction Programme
Appendix B	Project Organization Structure
Appendix C	Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipment
Appendix D	EM&A Monitoring Schedules
Appendix E	Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
Appendix F	Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix G	Summary of Event and Action Plan
Appendix H	Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix I	Laboratory Results for Water Quality
Appendix J	Water Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix K	Waste Flow Table
Appendix L	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix M	Investigation Report for Exceedances
Appendix N	Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- iv -



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2 (hereafter called "the Project") covers part of the construction of the widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling which aimed to widen Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway to dual 4-lane carriageway in order to alleviate the current traffic congestion problems and to cope with the increasing transport demands to and from the urban areas and also cross boundary traffic. The Project covers construction activities at Yuen Leng along the existing Fanling Highway.

The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality, noise and water quality monitoring. The EM&A programme commenced on 5 November 2013.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in March 2014. As informed by the Contractor, the major activities in the reporting period were:

- Cable detection and trial trenches:
- Tree felling works;
- Pre-drilling works and piling works;
- Extension of box culvert ID04, ID05 and BC01;
- Bored pile wall construction;
- Construction of haul road and temporary soil platform for geotechnical works;
- Slope upgrading works;
- Noise barrier installation; and
- Waterworks.

Breach of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

One (1) Action Level exceedance of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) on 24 March 2014 in the reporting month. Investigation for the exceedances was conducted which concluded that the exceedances was not related to the project works. The investigation reports for the incidents are presented in **Appendix M**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) in the reporting month.

Breach of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

No noise complaint was received in the reporting month, so no Action Level exceedance was recorded. Also, no Limit Level exceedance of noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

Breach of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Three (3) exceedances of Limit Level of Suspended Solids were recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 24, 26 and 31 March 2014 in the reporting month. One (1) exceedance of Action

- V -



Level on Turbidity was recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 31 March 2014. Investigation for the exceedance was conducted which concluded that the exceedance was not related to the project works. The investigation reports are presented in **Appendix M**.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

Reporting Change

There was no reporting of change recorded in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major construction works in the coming reporting month are anticipated to include:

- Cable detection and trial trenches;
- Pre-drilling works and piling works;
- Tree felling and Transplanting works;
- Pile cap works;
- Waterworks;
- Slope upgrading works;
- Noise barrier footing;
- Laying of concrete pipe works;
- Bored pile wall construction;
- Pier Construction;
- Piling works for Bridge E;
- · Site formation:
- Demolition; and
- Diversion of DN600 & DN1400.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are anticipated to be mainly associated with construction dust, noise, water quality and waste management.

- vi -



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1.1 Chun Wo Construction & Engineering Co Ltd (Chun Wo) was commissioned by the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) as the Civil Contractor for the Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2. Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Ltd (MIEL) has been appointed by Chun Wo as the Environmental Team (ET) to fulfill the corresponding EM&A requirements pursuant to Environmental Permit No. EP-324/2008/B in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual (dated October 2013) for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2. The EM&A programme commenced in 5 November 2013.

1.2 Purpose of the Report

1.2.1 This is the monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the reporting month of March 2014.

1.3 Report Structure

- 1.3.1 This monthly EM&A Report comprises the following sections:
 - Section 1: Introduction
 - Section 2: Project Information
 - Section 3: Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits
 - Section 4: Air Quality Monitoring
 - Section 5: Noise Monitoring
 - Section 6: Water Monitoring
 - Section 7: Waste Management
 - Section 8: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
 - Section 9: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 1 -

- Section 10: Environmental Non-conformance
- Section 11: Future Key Issues
- Section 12: Conclusions and Recommendations



2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway are expressways in the North East New Territories connecting Sha Tin, Tai Po and Fanling. These highways form a vital part of the strategic Route 1, which links Hong Kong Island to Shenzhen. At present, this section of Route 1 is a dual 3-lane carriageway. However, at several major interchanges along this section of Route 1, the highway is only dual-2 lane. Severe congestion is a frequent occurrence during peak periods, particularly in the Kowloon bound direction.
- 2.1.2 The objective of the Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling is to widen Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway to dual 4-lane carriageway in order to alleviate the current traffic congestion problems and to cope with the increasing transport demands to and from the urban areas and also cross boundary traffic.
- 2.1.3 The construction works for the Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling are to be delivered in 2 stages:
 - Stage 1 Construction works between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang;
 and
 - Stage 2 Construction works between Tai Hang and Wo Hop Shek Interchange.
- 2.1.4 The construction works of Stage 1 under the EP commenced in November 2009 and was planned to be completed in December 2013 tentatively. The works of Stage 2 was planned to commence in November 2013 and complete by end of 2016. Hyder-Arup-Black and Veatch Joint Venture (HABVJV) was appointed by the Highways Department (HyD) as the consultants for the design and construction assignment for the Project. Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd is the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) of both Stage 1 and Stage 2 works.
- 2.1.5 A portion of Stage 2 works of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling (hereafter called "the Project") is entrusted to the contractor of Contract No. CV/2012/09 Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works Contract 3, i.e. Chun Wo. AECOM Asia Co Ltd was appointed by the CEDD as the consultant for the design and construction assignment for the Liantang development.
- 2.1.6 The Project is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) (Cap. 499). An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report together with an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual were approved on 14 July 2000 (Register Number: EIA-043/2000). The Project is governed by an Environmental Permit (EP) (EP-324/2008) which was granted on 23 December 2008. A variation of EP (VEP) was applied and the VEP (EP-324/2008/A) was subsequently granted on 31 January 2012. An additional VEP has been applied on 24 February 2014 and the VEP (EP-324/2008/B) was subsequently granted on 17 March 2014.

2.2 Site Description

2.2.1 The major construction activities under the Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2 include:

- 2 -



- At-Grade Road Works Temporary and permanent road formation, pipe laying, road drainage, footpath and noise barrier construction;
- Demolition of existing Kiu Tau Footbridge and Footbridge Reprovision; and
- Box Culvert Extension Flow diversion of existing stream, excavation, sub-base and blinding, base, wall and top slab construction.
- 2.2.2 **Figure 1** shows the works areas for the Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2.

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

- 2.3.1 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarized below:
 - Cable detection and trial trenches;
 - Tree felling works;
 - Pre-drilling works and piling works;
 - Extension of box culvert ID04, ID05 and BC01;
 - Bored pile wall construction;
 - Construction of haul road and temporary soil platform for geotechnical works;
 - Slope upgrading works;
 - Noise barrier installation; and
 - Waterworks.
- 2.3.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

2.4 Project Organisation

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarised in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
Engineer's	Senior Resident Engineer	Mr. Alan Lee	2472 7228	2472 0132	
AECOM	Representative	Resident Engineer (Environmental)	Mr. Perry Yam	2674 2273	
Mott MacDonald	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	IEC	Mr. Terence Kong	2828 5919	2827 1823

- 3 -



Party	Role	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
		Site Agent	Mr. Daniel Ho	2638 6144	
Chun Wo	Contractor	Environmental Officer	Mr. Victor Huang	2638 6115	2638 7077
		Environmental Officer	Mr. Sam Lam	2638 6147	
Meinhardt	Environmental Team (ET)	ET Leader	Mr. Fredrick Leong	2859 1739	2540 1580

- 4 -



3 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS

3.1.1 The relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits

Permit / License No.	Valid	Period	Status	Remarks	
/ Notification/ Reference No.	From	То	Status	nemarks	
Environmental Permit	•				
EP-324/2008/A	31 Jan 2012		Superseded by EP-324/2008/B		
EP-324/2008/B	17 Mar 2014		Granted on 17/03/2014		
Construction Noise P	ermit				
GW-RN0004-14	26 Jan 2014	22 Jun 2014	Cancelled on 04/03/2014	For tree felling / transplanting works	
GW-RN0109-14	25 Feb 2014	17 May 2014	Valid	For erection of catch fence in the night time	
GW-RN0136-14	9 Mar 2014	22 Jun 2014	Valid	For tree felling / transplanting works	
Wastewater Discharg	e License				
WT00016832-2013	28 Aug 2013	31 Aug 2018	Valid		
Chemical Waste Prod	ucer Registrati	on			
5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013		Valid		
Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal					
7017914	2 Aug 2013		Account Active		
Notification Under Air	Pollution Cont	rol (Construction	on Dust) Regulati	on	
	31 Jul 2013	30 Jul 2019	Notified		

- 5 -



4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 Monitoring Requirement

4.1.1 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, 1-hr and 24-hr total suspended particulate (TSP) levels at the designated air quality monitoring station are required. Impact 24-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days. For the 1-hr TSP impact monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every 6 days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

4.2.1 The 24-hr TSP air quality monitoring was performed using a High Volume Sampler (HVS), of which its location and operation satisfy, as far as practicable, all the requirements as specified in the Updated EM&A Manual. A portable direct reading dust meter, which was proven to be capable of achieving comparable results as that of the HVS, was used to carry out the 1-hr TSP monitoring. The brand and model of the equipment are given in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model	Quantity	Serial Number
Handheld TSP meter (1-hr TSP)	TSI (Model No. AM 510)	1	11008019
High Volume Sampler (24-hr TSP)	Tisch Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. TE-5170 MFC)	1	2359

- 4.2.2 The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- 4.2.3 Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice and the handheld TSP meter will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit, the handheld TSP meter and the HVS are provided in **Appendix C**.

4.3 Monitoring Location

4.3.1 Air quality monitoring was conducted at the location specified in the Updated EM&A Manual. **Table 4.2** describes the details of the air quality monitoring station with its location as shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 4.2 Location of Air Quality Monitoring

Air Monitoring Station ID	Monitoring Location	Description
AM1(SR77) *	Yuen Leng 2 *	Residential, Ground floor

Remark

- 6 -

^{*} Location and Station / ASR ID as identified in Updated EM&A Manual / EIA Report for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling



4.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 4.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact TSP monitoring.

Table 4.3 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency and Duration
1-hour TSP	At least three times in every 6 days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occur
24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days

4.5 Monitoring Methodology

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 4.5.1 With the consideration of criteria stated in the Updated EM&A Manual, the HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers.
- 4.5.2 The relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and any special phenomena observed were recorded. The weather information was referenced from Hong Kong Observatory (http://www.weather.gov.hk/wxinfo/pastwx/extractc.htm).
- 4.5.3 A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Enviro Labs Ltd. (HOKLAS no.: 128), with constant temperature and humidity control, and equipped with necessary measuring and conditioning instruments, to handle the 24-hr TSP samples, was employed for sample analysis.
- 4.5.4 Filter papers of size 8"x10" were labelled before sampling. They were inspected to be clean with no pin holes and conditioned in a humidity controlled chamber for over 24-hr and were pre-weighed before use for the sampling.
- 4.5.5 The 24-hr TSP levels were measured by following the standard high volume sampling method for TSP as set out in the Title 40 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. TSP was sampled by drawing air through a conditioned, pre-weighted filter paper inside the HVS at a controlled air flow rate. After 24-hr sampling, the filter papers loaded with dust were kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag, and then returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with a readout down to 0.1 mg.
- 4.5.6 All the collected samples were kept in a good condition for 6 months before disposal.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 4.5.7 The 1-hr TSP measurement followed manufacturer's instruction manual. Before initiating a measurement, zeroing the portable dust monitor was carried out to ensure maximum accuracy of concentration measurements.
- 4.5.8 The 1-hr TSP was sampled by drawing air into the portable dust monitor where particular concentrations were measured instantaneously with an in-built silicon

- 7 -



detector sensing light scattered by the particulates in the sampled air. Continuous TSP levels were indicated and logged by a built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

4.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting month

4.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix D**. Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.

4.7 Monitoring Results

4.7.1 The monitoring results for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP are summarised in **Table 4.4** and **Table 4.5** respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results and the graphical presentation of air quality monitoring data for the current and past three reporting months are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 4.4 Summary of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results

ASR ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
AM1(SR77) *	200.3	161.0 – 232.0	292.7	500

Remark:

Table 4.5 Summary of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

ASR ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
AM1(SR77) *	139.4	67.1 – 188.1	170.3	260

Remark:

- 4.7.2 One (1) Action Level exceedance of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) on 24 March 2014 in the reporting month. Investigation for the exceedances was conducted which concluded that the exceedances were not related to the project works. The investigation reports for the incidents are presented in **Appendix M**.
- 4.7.3 No exceedance of Action and Limit Level was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) in the reporting month.
- 4.7.4 The Event and Action Plan for the occurrence of non-compliance of the air quality criteria is annexed in **Appendix G**.
- 4.7.5 Details of monitoring conditions including influencing factors such as weather conditions and site observation are presented in **Appendix E**.

- 8 -

^{*} Station / ASR ID as identified in Updated EM&A Manual / EIA Report for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling

Station / ASR ID as identified in Updated EM&A Manual / EIA Report for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling



5 NOISE MONITORING

5.1 Monitoring Requirements

5.1.1 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, the impact noise monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. An initial guide on the regular monitoring frequency should be at least once per week when noise generating activities are underway.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

5.2.1 Noise monitoring was performed using a sound level meter at the monitoring station. The sound level meter deployed complies with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. An acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meter at a known sound pressure level. The brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model	Quantity	Serial Number
Sound Level Calibrator	B&K (Model No. 4231)	1	2685684
Sound Level Meter	Rion (Model No. NL-52)	1	00220553

5.2.2 The sound level calibrator and sound level meter were verified by the certified laboratory once every two years. Calibration certificates of the sound level meter and acoustic calibrator are provided in **Appendix C**.

5.3 Monitoring Locations

5.3.1 Impact noise monitoring was conducted at the location specified in the Updated EM&A Manual. **Table 5.2** describes the details of the noise monitoring station with its location as shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 5.2 Location of Noise Monitoring

NSR ID	Monitoring Location	Description
M1(SR77) *	Yuen Leng 2 *	Residential, Ground floor

Remark:

5.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 5.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

- 9 -

Table 5.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter and Duration	Frequency
30-mins measurement at between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L10 and L90 would be recorded.	At least once per week

Location and Station / NSR ID as identified in Updated EM&A Manual / EIA Report for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling



5.5 Monitoring Methodology

- 5.5.1 The monitoring procedures are summarised as below:
 - (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
 - (b) The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - (c) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

(i) frequency weighting: A

(ii) time weighting: Fast

(iii) parameters: Leq, L10 and L90

- (iv) time measurement: Leq(30-minutes) during non-restricted hours i.e. 07:00 1900 hrs on normal weekdays; Leq(5-minutes) during restricted hours i.e. 19:00 23:00 hrs and 23:00 07:00 hrs of normal weekdays, whole day of Sundays and Public Holidays
- (d) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after recalibration or repair of the equipment.
- (e) At the end of the monitoring period, the Leq, L10 and L90 were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (f) A façade correction of +3dB (A) shall be made to the noise parameter obtained by free field measurement.

5.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

5.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix D**. Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.

5.7 Monitoring Results

5.7.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 5.4** and the monitoring results and the graphical presentation of noise level for the current and past three reporting months are presented in **Appendix H**.

- 10 -



Table 5.4 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results

Noise Monitoring Station ID	Average, dB(A), Leq (30min) ⁽²⁾	Range, dB(A), Leq (30min) ⁽²⁾	Action Level	Limit Level, dB(A)
M1(SR77) ⁽¹⁾	64.9	61.6 – 66.9	When one documented valid complaint is received	75

Remark:

- (1) Station / NSR ID as identified in Updated EM&A Manual / EIA Report for Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling
- (2) +3dB(A) façade correction included
- 5.7.2 Major noise sources during the noise monitoring included construction activities of the Project and that along Tai Wo Service Road East, and nearby traffic noise.
- 5.7.3 No noise complaint was received in the reporting month, so no Action Level exceedance was recorded. Also, no Limit Level exceedance of noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.7.4 The Event and Action Plan for the occurrence of non-compliance of the noise criteria is annexed in **Appendix G**.

- 11 -



6 WATER MONITORING

6.1 Monitoring Requirements

6.1.1 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, during the course of the culvert extension works, monitoring shall be undertaken on three occasions per week. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours except where there are exceedances of Action and/or Limit levels.

6.2 Monitoring Equipment

6.2.1 The equipment used in the water quality monitoring programme is summarised in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make
Turbidity meter	HACH Model 2100Q is (Serial No. 11050C001264)
Multimeter (Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature)	YSI Proplus (Serial No. 09K100735)

6.2.2 The monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS before use and subsequently re-calibrated at 3-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the water quality monitoring equipment are attached in **Appendix C**.

6.3 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

6.3.1 Measurements for each monitoring station were conducted 3 days per week for the reporting month. **Table 6.2** summarises the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the baseline water quality monitoring.

 Table 6.2
 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter, unit	Frequency
Control Stations: C3a and C3b Impact Station: I5	- Depth, m - Temperature, °C - Salinity, ppt - pH - DO, mg/L - DO Saturation, % - Turbidity, NTU - SS, mg/L	3 days per week

6.4 Monitoring Locations

6.4.1 According to the Updated EM&A Manual, measurements were taken at all impact and control stations as summarised in **Table 6.3**. The locations of the monitoring stations are shown in **Figure 3**.

- 12 -



Table 6.3 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring

Station	Description	Easting	Northing
15	Downstream of Ma Wat River (Yuen Leng)	833931	837859
C3a	Upstream of Ma Wat River (Nam Wa Po)	833816	837644
C3b	Upstream of Ma Wat River (Yuen Leng)	833931	837736

6.5 Monitoring Methodology

Instrumentation

6.5.1 The parameters of in-situ measurements included water depth, dissolved oxygen (DO), dissolved oxygen saturation (DOS), turbidity level, pH value and water temperature.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 6.5.2 Since water depths for all monitoring stations were less than 1m throughout the whole baseline measurement period, only mid-depth level was monitored.
- 6.5.3 At each monitoring station, at least duplicate readings of dissolved oxygen content and turbidity were taken. The probes were retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement.
- 6.5.4 Water samples were collected by the water sampler and filled into polyethylene bottles for laboratory determination of suspended solids. Sampling bottles were pre-rinsed with the same water samples, and filled up to the rim, capped tightly and labeled immediately. The sample bottles were then packed into a cool-box kept at 4℃, and delivered to a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Enviro Labs Ltd. (HOKLAS no.: 128) for analysis. The results for laboratory analysis of suspended solids are presented in **Appendix I**.

6.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

6.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix D**. Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.

6.7 Monitoring Results

6.7.1 The water quality criteria, namely Action and Limit Levels, as specified in the Updated EM&A Manual are shown in **Table 6.4**.

Table 6.4 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	6.7 mg/L	4 mg/L or 40% saturation at 15 degree Celsius
SS in mg/L	42.6 mg/L or 120% of upstream control station's SS of the same day	46.8 mg/L or 130% of upstream station's SS of the same day and specific sensitive receiver water quality requirements

- 13 -



Parameters	Action	Limit
Turbidity (Tby) in NTU	81.9NTU or 120% of upstream control station's Tby of the same day	91.9NTU or 130% of upstream control station's Tby of the same day

Notes:

For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits. For SS and Tby, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

- 6.7.2 The detailed water quality monitoring results and the graphical presentation of water quality monitoring data for the current and past three reporting months are presented in **Appendix J**.
- 6.7.3 The possible influences in monitoring results were suspected to be natural variation.
- 6.7.4 Three (3) exceedances of Limit Level of Suspended Solids were recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 24, 26 and 31 March 2014 in the reporting month. One (1) exceedance of Action Level on Turbidity was recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 31 March 2014. Investigation for the exceedance was conducted which concluded that the exceedance was not related to the project works. The investigation reports are presented in **Appendix M**.
- 6.7.5 The Event and Action Plan for the occurrence of non-compliance of the water quality criteria is annexed in **Appendix G**.



7 WASTE MANAGEMENT

- 7.1.1 The Contractor has registered as a chemical waste producer of the Project. The C&D materials and waste sorting were carried out on-site. Receptacles were provided for general refuse collection.
- 7.1.2 As advised by the Contractor, a total of 4,460m³ of excavated material has been generated. 2,862m³ of inert C&D materials was disposed of at public fill to Tuen Mun Area 38. 1,092m³ of inert C&D materials was reused on site. 265m³ of general refuse was disposed of at North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill. No plastics, paper/cardboard packaging was collected, and no metals were collected by recycling contractor in the reporting month. No chemical waste was collected by licensed contractor in the reporting period. Details of the waste management data are presented in **Appendix K**.
- 7.1.3 In the reporting month, the Contractor was reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of wastes accumulated site regularly and properly.

- 15 -



8 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

8.1 Site Inspection

- 8.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix L**.
- 8.1.2 In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 3, 10,17, 24 and 31 March 2014. The one held on 31 March 2014 was a joint inspection with the IEC, ER, ET and Contractor. No site inspection was conducted by the EPD during the reporting month. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. A summary of the reminders and observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 8.1**.

Table 8.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
Water Quality	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	3 Mar 2014	Reminder: The contractor was reminded to provide sufficient water spraying at SA12.	The area has been watered as observed during the site inspection on 10 Mar 2014
Noise	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	N/A	N/A
Landscape & Visual	N/A	N/A	N/A
Permits / Licenses	N/A	N/A	N/A

- 16 -



9 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

9.1.1 The Contractor has implemented the relevant environmental mitigation measures as specified in the EIA Reports, EPs and updated EM&A Manual. The implementation status of environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix L**. The status of the required submissions under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 9.1**.

Table 9.1 Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.3	Monthly EM&A Report	13 March 2014

- 17 -



10 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

10.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 10.1.1 One (1) Action Level exceedance of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) on 24 March 2014 in the reporting month. Investigation for the exceedances was conducted which concluded that the exceedances were not related to the project works. The investigation reports for the incidents are presented in **Appendix M**.
- 10.1.2 No exceedance of Action and Limit Level was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) in the reporting month.
- 10.1.3 No noise complaint was received in the reporting month, so no Action Level exceedance was recorded. Also, no Limit Level exceedance of noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.
- 10.1.4 Three (3) exceedances of Limit Level of Suspended Solids were recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 24, 26 and 31 March 2014 in the reporting month. One (1) exceedance of Action Level on Turbidity was recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 31 March 2014. Investigation for the exceedance was conducted which concluded that the exceedance was not related to the project works. The investigation reports are presented in **Appendix M**.

10.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

10.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month. The cumulative statistics are provided in **Appendix N**.

10.3 Summary of Environmental Complaints

10.3.1 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month. The cumulative statistics are provided in **Appendix N**.

10.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions

10.4.1 No environmental related prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month. The cumulative statistics are provided in **Appendix N**.

- 18 -



11 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

11.1 Construction Programme for the Next Month

- 11.1.1 The major construction works in the coming reporting month are anticipated to include:
 - Cable detection and trial trenches;
 - Pre-drilling works and piling works;
 - Tree felling and transplanting works;
 - Pile cap works;
 - Waterworks;
 - Slope upgrading works;
 - Noise barrier footing;
 - Laying of concrete pipe works;
 - Bored pile wall construction;
 - Pier Construction;
 - Piling works for Bridge E;
 - Site formation;
 - Demolition; and
 - Diversion of DN600 and DN1400.

11.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

- 11.2.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month are anticipated to include:
 - Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
 - Properly maintain all drainage facilities and wheel washing facilities on site;
 - Chemical, chemical waste and waste management;
 - Tree protective measures for all retained trees should be well maintained;
 - Expose slopes and dusty stockpile should be covered up properly if no temporary work will be conducted; and
 - Operation of construction plant should be sequenced where practicable.

- 19 -



- 11.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month
- 11.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring for the coming month is provided in **Appendix D**.

- 20 -



12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 Conclusions

- 12.1.1 The construction phase EM&A programme of the Project commenced on 5 November 2013.
- 12.1.2 The 1-hr TSP, 24-hr TSP, noise and water monitoring were carried out in the reporting period.
- 12.1.3 One (1) Action Level exceedances of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) on 24 March 2014 in the reporting month. Investigation for the exceedances was conducted which concluded that the exceedances were not related to the project works. The investigation reports for the incidents are presented in **Appendix M**.
- 12.1.4 No exceedance of Action and Limit Level was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location AM1(SR77) in the reporting month.
- 12.1.5 No noise complaint was received in the reporting month, so no Action Level exceedance was recorded. Also, no Limit Level exceedance of noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.
- 12.1.6 Three (3) exceedances of Limit Level of Suspended Solids were recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 24, 26 and 31 March 2014 in the reporting month. One (1) exceedance of Action Level on Turbidity was recorded at the monitoring location I5 on 31 March 2014. Investigation for the exceedance was conducted which concluded that the exceedance was not related to the project works. The investigation reports are presented in **Appendix M**.
- 12.1.7 Five (5) environmental site inspections were carried out in the reporting month. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractors for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.

12.2 Recommendations

12.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality

• Water spraying should be properly implemented whenever necessary for the unpaved roads, access roads and construction areas..

Water Quality

• Silty effluent should be treated/desilted before discharged. Untreated effluent should be prevented from entering public drain channel.

Chemical and Waste Management

Ensuring regular maintenance and cleaning of waste storage area.


- 21 -



Figure


Contract No. CV/2012/09 **俊和建築工程有限公司** Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works - Contract 3 CHUN WO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO., LTD. SETTING OUT POINTS 833867.6259 837368.5638 833945.6833 837375.1412 C 833721.8117 838310.5250 D 833782.3083 838375.1303 ENGLINE OF GRACES IS E TO ME COMO TRUCTED BY OTHERS Works Area for Entrusted Portion CV201209-T-CWC-SK-001g_AD_edit.dgn 22/1/2014 17:10:34






MEIN-ARDT

Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2





Appendix A Construction Programme



CWP004-1





• •	Milestone
	Critical Remaining Work
	Remaining Work
	Actual Work

Works Sequence for Fanling Highway North Portion

Page 1 of 1 11-Oct-13

1-Oct-13	SL	










CEDD Contract No. CV/2012/09

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai BCP - Site Formation & Infrastructure Works, Contract 3

Works Sequence for TWSRE

CWP004-1 Page 1 of 1 11-Oct-13


Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
11-Oct-13		SL	





Appendix B Project Organization Structure







Appendix C Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipment



Enovative Environmental Service Limited

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the item under calibration has been calibrated by corresponding calibrated High Volume Sampler and the filter paper is weighted by HOKLAS laboratory.

Instrument: Handheld TSP meter

Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM510
Serial No.: 11008019
Date Received: 16/10/2013
Date of Issue: 27/10/2013
Date of Calibration: 22/10/2014
Date of Next Calibration: 22/10/2014

ISSUING ORGANISATION

Email:

Address

Enovative Environmental Service Limited
Phone: 852-2242 1020
Flat 6, 3/F, Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre
Fax: 852-3691 9240

31-35 Shan Mei Street

Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong

ENOVATIVE OF

info@eno.com.hk

Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas Manager

homas




Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM510
Serial No.: 11008019
HVS No.: A12-TSP-102
HVS Calibration Kit No.: Tisch 1941
Date of Calibration: 22/10/2013
Date of next Calibration: 22/10/2014

Calibration Record

HVS - TSP ug/m3	121.5	57.8	63.2	69.4
TSI AM510	131.1	58.5	64.9	73.3



*** Filter paper being used in the calibration 205472, 205476, 205480, 205483 Those filter papers are weighted by HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.)

ENOVATIVE OF

Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas Manager

homas



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX
WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ap Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I	•	438320 1941	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	296 - 751.84
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4710 1.0370 0.9270 0.8840 0.7300	3.3 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9916 0.9874 0.9854 0.9843 0.9790	0.6741 0.9521 1.0630 1.1134 1.3410	1.4113 1.9959 2.2315 2.3405 2.8227	,	0.9956 0.9914 0.9894 0.9883 0.9829	0.6768 0.9560 1.0673 1.1180 1.3465	0.8874 1.2549 1.4030 1.4715 1.7747
Qstd slop intercept coefficient	t (b) = ent (r) =	2.11662 -0.01714 0.99999		Qa slope intercept coefficie y axis =	= (b) $=$	1.32539 -0.01078 0.99999

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: Lian Tang 3 Date: March 4, 2014 Sampler: TE-5170 MFC (Serial # : 2359) Tech: Sam Wong


CONDITIONS Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 40.05 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 1017 Temperature (deg F): 62 Temperature (deg K): 290 Average Press. (in Hg): 40.05 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 1017 Average Temp. (deg F): 62 Average Temp. (deg K): 290

CALIBRATION ORIFICE Make: Tisch Qstd Slope: 2.11662 Model: TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.01714 Serial#: 1941 Date Certified: April 9, 2013

	CALIBRATIONS					
Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION	
1	12.00	1.929	58.0	68.06	Slope =	34.9931
2	10.00	1.761	52.0	61.02	Intercept =	0.1468
3	8.20	1.596	48.0	56.33	Corr. coeff.=	0.9994
4	5.20	1.272	38.0	44.59		
5	3.20	1.000	30.0	35.20	# of Observations:	5

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]Qstd = standard flow rate IC = corrected chart response I = actual chart response m = calibrator Qstd slope b = calibrator Qstd intercept Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K) Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg) Tstd = 298 deg KPstd = 760 mm Hg For subsequent calculation of sampler flow: 1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b) = sampler slope = sampler intercept m b = chart response Tav = daily average temperature Pav = daily average pressure





37521 Certificate No.

1 of 2 Pages Page

Customer: Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Address: Room 3, 12/F., New City Centre, 2 Lei Yue Mun Road, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, H.K.

Order No.: Q32432

Date of receipt

16-Oct-13

Item Tested

Description: Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer: B&K

Model : Type 4231 Serial No.

: 2685684

Test Conditions

Date of Test: 31-Oct-13

Supply Voltage

Ambient Temperature:

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: F21, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	35730	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S205	Ref. Sound Level Calibrator	PHCO40002	SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	34621	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	36203	SCL-HKSAR
S031	6½ dgt. Multimeter	30128	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by

Dorothy Cheuk

Approved by :

Date:

31-Oct-13

Steve Kwan

This Certificate is issued by

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Certificate No. 37521

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results:

1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.08	± 0.3 dB
114	114.07	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.002 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty: $\pm 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$

3. Level Stability: 0.0 dB

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.7 %

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 % Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1014 hPa.

----- END -----



Certificate No. 36604

Page 1 of 4 Pages

Customer: Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Address: Room 3, 12/F., New City Centre, 2 Lei Yue Mun Road, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, H.K.

Order No.: Q32395

Date of receipt

4-Sep-13

Item Tested

Description: Sound Level Meter (N12-RION-004)

Manufacturer: Rion

Model: NL-52

Serial No.

: 00220553

Test Conditions

Date of Test: 10-Sep-13

Supply Voltage

. __

Ambient Temperature: (23

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017

Multi-Function Generator

C127181

SCL-HKSAR

S205

Ref. Sound Level Calibrator

PHCO40002

SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by:

Dorothy Cheuk

Approved by:

16-Sep-13

Steve Kwan

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.

Certificate No. 36604 Pages

Results:

1. Self-generated noise: 16.4 dBA (Mfr's Spec ≤17 dBA)

2. Acoustical signal test

UUT Setting				
Level Range (dB)	Weight	Response	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L_A	Fast	94.0	94.0
		Slow		94.0
	$L_{\rm C}$	Fast		94.0
	L_{Z}	Fast		94.0
	L_A	Fast	114.0	114.0
		Slow		114.0
	$L_{\rm C}$	Fast		114.0
	L_{Z}	Fast		114.0

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. : ± 1.1 dB

Uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$

3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.	
31.5 Hz	-39.8	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB	
63 Hz	-26.4	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB	
125 Hz	-16.3	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB	
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB	
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB	
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1.1 dB	
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB	
4 kHz	+0.9	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB	
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB , + $2.1 \text{ dB} \sim -3.1 \text{ dB}$	
16 kHz	-8.0	$-6.6 \text{ dB}, +3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$	

Uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$



Certificate No. 36604

Page 3 of 4 Pages

4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

	8	_		
UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Z	94.0	94.0	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	94.0	0.0	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

5. Level linearity on the reference level range

19. 5. 5. 5	Applied			
UUT Range	Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
130 dB	129.0	129.0	0.0	± 1.1 dB
(Ref Level)	124.0	124.0	0.0	
	119.0	119.0	0.0	
*	114.0	114.0	0.0	
	109.0	109.0	0.0	
	104.0	104.0	0.0	
	99.0	99.0	0.0	
	94.0	94.0 (Ref)		
*	89.0	89.0	0.0	,
	84.0	84.0	0.0	
	79.0	79.0	0.0	
	74.0	74.0	0.0	
	69.0	69.0	0.0	
	64.0	64.0	0.0	
	59.0	59.0	0.0	
	54.0	54.0	0.0	
	49.0	49.0	0.0	
	44.0	44.0	0.0	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB



Certificate No. 36604

Page 4 of 4 Pages

6. Toneburst response (4kHz)

UUT	Tone Burst	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Duration(ms)	Reading(dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	Steady	127.0(Ref)		
	200	126.0	-1.0	-1.0 ± 0.8 dB
	2	108.9	-18.1	-18.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	99.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Slow	Steady	127.0(Ref)		
	200	120.2	-6.8	-7.4 ± 0.8 dB
	2	100.6	-26.4	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Time	Steady	127.0(Ref)		
averaging	200	120.1	-6.9	-7.0±0.8dB
	2	99.5	-27.5	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	91.7	-35.3	-36.0 , $+1.3 \text{ dB} \sim -3.3 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

7. Overload indication (130 dB range, A-weighted, Time-average, 4kHz)

UUT Reading	at overload (dB)		
+ ve one half cycle	- ve one half cycle	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
138.4	138.2	0.2	< 1.8 dB
	+ ve one half cycle		+ ve one half cycle - ve one half cycle Difference (dB)

The overload indicator latched on until reset

Uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 996 hPa.
- 4. Preamplifier model: NH-25, S/N: 10553
- 5. Firmware Version: 1.2
- 6. Power Supply Check: OK
- 7. The UUT was adjusted with the laboratory's sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

 END	



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EOUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR THOMAS WONG

CLIENT:

ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

ADDRESS:

RM811. HIN PUI HOUSE. HIN KENG ESTATE,

TAI WAI.

N.T., HONG KONG

PROJECT:

WORK ORDER:

HK1403404

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

04/02/2014

DATE OF ISSUE:

20/02/2014

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Turbidity

Description:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name:

HACH

Model No.: Serial No.:

2100Q is 11050C001264

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 04 February, 2014

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

> Mr. Fung Lim C hee, Richard General Manager

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1403404

Date of Issue:

20/02/2014

Client:

ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD



Description:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name:

HACH

Model No.:

21000 is

Serial No.:

11050C001264

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

04 February, 2014

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Method Rei. Arria 213t Lu. 21	1300		
Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	
0	0.18		
4	4.09	2.3	
40	38.0	-5.0	
80	87.4	9.3	
400	364	-9.0	
800	823	2.9	
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0	

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street

WORK ORDER: HK1334794

HONG KONG

01/12/2013

27/12/2013

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

LABORATORY:

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE OF ISSUE:

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR THOMAS WONG

CLIENT:

ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LIMITED

ADDRESS:

RM 3704, SIK MAN HOUSE,

HOMANTIN ESTATE,

KOWLOON, HONG KONG

PROJECT:

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature

Equipment Type:

Multimeter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

09K100735

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 01 December, 2013

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

> Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Rig General Manager -

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1334794

Date of Issue:

27/12/2013

Client:

ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LIMITED



Description:

Multimeter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

09K100735

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

01 December, 2013

Date of next Calibration:

01 March, 2014

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Method Ren. / II The (E 15t cartie	Method Ref. 71 117 (21st edition), 2510B							
Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)						
146.9	148.9	1.4						
6667	6326	-5.1						
12890	12227	-5.1						
58670	54000	-8.0						
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0						

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	
2.11	2.20	0.10	
3.11	3.30	0.19	
5.16	5.36	0.20	
8.82	8.82	0.00	
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20	

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B

Method Rei. AFTIA 213t Ed. 4300Ti.B							
Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)					
4.0	4.20	0.30					
4.0	4.20	0.20					
7.0	7.16	0.16					
10.0	10.06	0.06					
	Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)	0.20					

Salinity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

Method Ref: APHA (21st editi	on), 2320B	
Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.00	
10	10.09	0.9
20	20.01	0.1
30	30.26	0.9
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -Greater China & Hong Kong

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd ALS Environmental

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1334794

Date of Issue:

27/12/2013

Client:

ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LIMITED



Description:

Multimeter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

09K100735

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

01 December, 2013

Date of next Calibration:

01 March, 2014

Parameters:

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
9.0	8.4	-0.6
20.0	19.6	-0.4
38.0	38.3	0.3
	Tolerance Limit (±°C)	2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -



Appendix D EM&A Monitoring Schedules

Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2 Impact Monitoring & Site Auditing Schedule for March 2014

			March 2014			
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
2	Water (I5, C3a, C3b) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC	4	5 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	6 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77)	7 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	8
9	Water (I5, C3a, C3b) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC	11	12 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77)	13	14 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	15
16	17 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC + SSEMC	18 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77)	19 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	20	21 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	22
23	24 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC	25	26 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	27	28 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	29 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP
30	31 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Fanling Stage 2 IEC & Liantang Project- wide ET and IEC					

Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling Stage 2 Impact Monitoring & Site Auditing Schedule for April 2014

April 2014						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1	2 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	3	4 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77)	5 Ching Ming Festival
6	7 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC	8	9 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	10 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77)	11 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	12
13	14 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	15	16 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC + SSEMC	17 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	18 Good Friday	19 The day following Good Friday
20	Easter Monday	Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Liantang Project-wide ET and IEC	23	24 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)	25	26 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)
27	28 Water (I5, C3a, C3b) 24-hour TSP + 3 x 1-hour TSP, Noise (SR77) ET Site Walk(09:30 – 11:00) with Fanling Stage 2 IEC & Liantang Project- wide ET and IEC	29	30 Water (I5, C3a, C3b)			



Appendix E Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory

Climatological Information Services > Extracts of Climatological Data > Extract of Automatic Weather Station > Station: Sheung Shui Automatic Weather Station, Year: 2014, Month: March

Extract of Meteorological Observations for Sheung Shui Automatic Weather Station, March 2014 (Table 1)

Date	Mean		Air Temperatur	e	Mean	Relative Humidity				
	Pressure at M.S.L. (hPa)	Max. (deg C)	Mean (deg C)	Min. (deg C)	Dew Point Temperature (deg C)	Max. (%)	Mean (%)	Min. (%)		
Mar 1	1014.3	24.0	21.0	18.8	17.9	93	83	72		
Mar 2	1014.7	21.7	18.7	15.2	16.0	96	84	66		
Mar 3	1016.8	16.4	16.0	15.2	12.6	90	81	73		
Mar 4	1017.6	19.3	17.3	15.8	15.1	96	87	79		
Mar 5	1018.8	17.6	16.5	15.4	12.1	94	75			
Mar 6	1018.1	16.6	15.8	15.2	11.8	89	77	64		
Mar 7	1020.4	15.6	15.0	14.3	11.7	89	81	74		
Mar 8	1018.9	16.5	15.1	14.2	13.3	96	89	80		
Mar 9	1021.4	14.9	13.6	12.1	10.3	88	80	74		
Mar 10	1022.5	15.6	13.9	12.5	10.9	95	82	74		
Mar 11	1020.0	17.0	15.8	14.2	12.4	91	80	75		
Mar 12	1014.4	22.5	18.9	16.0	17.6	96	92	82		
Mar 13	1016.6	23.1	20.7	19.1	14.3	98	69	49		
Mar 14	1022.4	20.0	17.3	15.4	7.5	70	53	36		
Mar 15	1022.2	18.2	16.4	14.9	8.3	78	60	40		
Mar 16	1021.0	21.2	18.9	16.3	12.4	85	66	54		
Mar 17	1018.9	24.8	21.0	18.3	18.1	92	84	70		
Mar 18	1015.8	28.2	23.0	20.2	19.5	96	82	60		
Mar 19	1013.4	28.5	23.2	19.3	19.2	96	80	56		
Mar 20	1014.3	28.5	21.9	17.4	16.8	96	96 75			
Mar 21	1020.8	17.5	15.8	14.6	7.9	68	60	54		
Mar 22	1021.1	24.8	17.6	14.0	9.1	73	59	33		
Mar 23	1021.8	26.3	19.6	15.9	10.1	75	56	30		
Mar 24	1019.2	27.4	20.8	16.2	12.9	78	61	43		
Mar 25	1015.4	28.7	22.5	18.2	16.1	85	68	48		
Mar 26	1013.7	27.7	22.2	17.5	18.4	95	80	59		
Mar 27	1012.5	30.4	23.4	17.6	18.9	96	77	50		
Mar 28	1011.8	26.1	23.3	21.8	20.6	92	85	74		
Mar 29	1011.1	23.6	22.1	20.6	21.0	97	94	86		
Mar 30	1010.3	25.5	22.4	19.6	20.6	98	98 90			
Mar 31	1009.6	21.0	19.9	19.0	19.3	98	96	89		
Mean	1017.1	22.2	19.0	16.6	14.6	90	77	62		
Maximum	1022.5	30.4	23.4	21.8	21.0	98	96	89		
Minimum	1009.6	14.9	13.6	12.1	7.5	68	53	30		

Extract of Meteorological Observations for Sheung Shui Automatic Weather Station, March 2014 (Table 2)

Date	Total Rainfall (mm)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)			
Mar 1	0.0	***	****			
Mar 2	0.0	* * *	****			
Mar 3	0.0	***	****			
Mar 4	0.0	***	****			
Mar 5	0.0	***	****			
Mar 6	0.0	***	****			
Mar 7	0.0	***	****			
Mar 8	1.0	***	****			
Mar 9	1.0	***	****			
Mar 10	1.0	***	****			
Mar 11	0.0	***	****			
Mar 12	0.0	***	****			
Mar 13	3.0	***	****			
Mar 14	0.0	***	****			
Mar 15	0.0	***	****			
Mar 16	0.0	***	****			
Mar 17	0.0	***	****			
Mar 18	0.0	***	****			
Mar 19	0.0	***	****			
Mar 20	0.0	***	****			
Mar 21	0.0	***	****			
Mar 22	0.0	***	****			
Mar 23	0.0	***	****			
Mar 24	0.0	***	****			
Mar 25	0.0	***	****			
Mar 26	0.0	***	****			
Mar 27	0.0	***	****			
Mar 28	0.0	***	****			
Mar 29	8.0	***	****			
Mar 30	122.5	***	****			
Mar 31	99.0	***	****			
Mean		* * *	****			
Total	235.5					
Maximum	122.5		****			
Minimum	0.0		****			

^{***} unavailable

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

[#] missing (less than 24 hourly observations a day)



Appendix F Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation

Appendix F

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation


24-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at station: SR77


Sampling Weather Date Condition	Paper No.	Paner No		/t. of pape	r (g)	E	lapse Tim	ne	Flo	w Rate (C	FM)	Flov	v Rate (m³	/min)	Total Volume	TSP Concentration	Action Level	Limit Level	Wind speed	Wind direction
	Condition		Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of Dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	(m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m3)	(µg/m3)	m/s	direction
6-Mar-14	Cloudy	3	2.7216	3.0258	0.3042	0.00	24.00	24.00	51	51	51.0	1.44	1.44	1.44	2079.59	146.3	170.3	260.0	<5	N
12-Mar-14	Cloudy	6	2.7007	3.0468	0.3461	0.00	24.00	24.00	51	51	51.0	1.44	1.44	1.44	2079.59	166.4	170.3	260.0	<5	N
18-Mar-14	Fine	7	2.7102	2.9790	0.2688	0.00	24.00	24.00	51	51	51.0	1.44	1.44	1.44	2079.59	129.3	170.3	260.0	<5	N
24-Mar-14	Fine	8	2.6925	3.0836	0.3911	0.00	24.00	24.00	51	51	51.0	1.44	1.44	1.44	2079.59	188.1	170.3	260.0	<5	N
29-Mar-14	Rainy	9	2.6958	2.8354	0.1396	0.00	24.00	24.00	51	51	51.0	1.44	1.44	1.44	2079.59	67.1	170.3	260.0	<5	N
															Average	139.4				

Min 67.1 Max 188.1

Note:

No major dust source observed during the monitoring period Data in **Bold** denotes exceedanece of respective Action Level Data in **Bold Underline** denotes exceedance of respective Limit Level





Appendix F

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation

1-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at station: SR77


Date	Weather	Time				Conc.(µg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level		
Date	Condition		Time			2 nd Hour	3 rd Hour	(µg/m3)	(µg/m3)	
6-Mar-14	Cloudy	11:00	-	14:04	211.0	218.0	197.0	292.7	500.0	
12-Mar-14	Cloudy	11:00	-	14:04	197.0	199.0	232.0	292.7	500.0	
18-Mar-14	Fine	14:00	-	17:04	212.0	216.0	203.0	292.7	500.0	
24-Mar-14	Fine	11:00	-	14:04	199.0	198.0	221.0	292.7	500.0	
29-Mar-14	Rainy	10:00	-	13:04	167.0	161.0	173.0	292.7	500.0	


 Average
 200.3

 Min
 161.0

 Max
 232.0

Note: No major dust source observed during the monitoring period







Appendix G Summary of Event and Action Plan



Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level being	 Identify source; 	1. Check monitoring data submitted	Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable
exceeded by one sampling day	2. Inform IEC and ER;	by ET;		practice;
Sampling day	3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;	Check Contractor's working method.		Amend working methods if appropriate.
	4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.			
Action level being	 Identify source; 	Check monitoring data submitted	1. Confirm receipt of notification of	
exceeded by two or more consecutive	2. Inform IEC and ER;	by ET;	failure in writing;	actions to IEC within 3 working
sampling days	3. Repeat measurements to confirm	2. Check Contractor's working	Notify Contractor;	days of notification;
	findings;	method;	3. Ensure remedial measures	Implement the agreed proposals;
	4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;	properly implemented.	Amend proposal if appropriate.
	5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required;	Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;		
	6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER;	Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.		
	If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			



Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;
	 EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	 Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor, and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase frequency to daily; Analyse Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	Discus amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.



Event and Action Plan for Noise Quality

Event	Action											
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor								
Action Level	 Notify IEC and the Contractor. Carry out investigation. 	Review with analysed results submitted by ET.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC.								
	Report the results of investigation to IEC and the Contractor.	Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly. Supervise the implement of	3. Require the Contractor to	Implement noise mitigation proposals.								
	Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures.		· ·									
	Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	remedial measures.	Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.									
Limit Level	Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor.	Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.								
	2. Identify the source.	actions whenever necessary to	2. Notify the Contractor.	2. Submit proposals for remedial								
	Repeat measurement to confirm findings.		3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for	actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification.								
	4. Increase monitoring frequency.	assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly.	the analysed noise problem.	3. Implement the agreed proposals.								
	Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine	3. Supervise the implementation of	Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control.								
	possible mitigation to be implemented.	remedial measures. 5.	consider what activity of the	5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the ER until the								
	Inform IEC, ER, and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances.		work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated.	exceedance is abated.								
	7. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.		abatou.									
	If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.											



Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

Event and Action Plan				
Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement on next day of exceedance to confirm findings;	Check monitoring data submitted by ET & Contractor's working methods;	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify, Contractor	Inform the ER & confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;
	2. Identify source(s) of impact;			2. Rectify unacceptable practice;
	3. Inform IEC, Contractor & ER;			3. Amend working methods if
	Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment & contractor's working methods;			appropriate.
Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive	Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance to confirm findings;	Checking monitoring data submitted by ET & Contractor's working method;	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Ensure mitigation measures	Inform the Engineer & confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;
sampling days	2. Identify source(s) of impact;	2. Discuss with ET & Contractor on	properly implemented;	2. Rectify unacceptable practice;
	3. Inform IEC, Contractor, ER & EPD;	possible remedial actions; 3. Review the proposed mitigation	Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation	Check all plant & equipment & consider changes of working
	4. Check monitoring data, all plant,	measures submitted by Contractor & advise the ER	measures.	methods;
	equipment & Contractor's working methods;	accordingly;		Submit proposal of mitigation measures to ER within 3 working
	5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER & Contractor;	Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.		days of notification & discuss with ET, IEC & ER;
	Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;			Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
	7. Increase monitoring to daily until no exceedance of Action level.			



Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	 Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, contractor, ER & EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment & contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Contractor & ER. 	 Checking monitoring data submitted by ET & Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET & Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor & advise the ER accordingly. 	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Discuss with IEC, ET & Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to review the working methods.	 Inform the ER & confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant & equipment & consider changes of working methods; Submit proposal of mitigation measures to ER within 3 working days of notification & discuss with ET, IEC & ER.
Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days	 Repeat measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor, ER & EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment & Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures within IEC, Contractor & ER; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 	 Checking monitoring data submitted by ET & Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET & Contractor on potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's mitigation measures whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness & advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures. 	review the working methods;	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposal of mitigation measures to ER within 3 working days of notification & discuss with ET, IEC & ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; Resubmit proposals of mitigation measures if problem still not under control; As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.



Appendix H Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation

Project Name: Contract No. CV/2012/09 Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and

Infrastructure works - Contract 3

Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange

and Fanling - Stage 2

Noise Monitoring Result at SR77


Date	Weather	Start	End	Measure	ed Noise Level	(dB(A))*	Baseline Corrected	Baseline Noise Level	Limit Level	Exceedance
	Condition	Time	Time	L10(30min)	L90(30min)	Leq(30min)	Level, dB(A)**	(dB(A)), Leq(30min)	dB(A)	(Y / N)
2014/03/06	Cloudy	11:00	11:30	70.8	76.1	64.9	-	67.8	75.0	N
2014/03/12	Cloudy	11:00	11:30	71.8	88.1	66.1	-	67.8	75.0	N
2014/03/18	Fine	14:00	14:30	74.2	89.1	66.9	-	67.8	75.0	N
2014/03/24	Fine	11:00	11:30	71.8	83.3	61.6	-	67.8	75.0	N


00.0	01.0
Average	64.9
Minimum	61.6
Maximum	66.9

Remarks

* +3dB(A) Façade effect correction included

^{**} Baseline corrected level is only calculated when measured noise level (Leq) > limit level.







Appendix I Laboratory Results for Water Quality



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860 e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030023

DATE OF ISSUE

05 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre,

31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a Received Date

03 Mar 2014 03 Mar 2014

Testing Period

03 Mar 2014 to 05 Mar 2014

Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD^{b}
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	29	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	31	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	8.0	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	7.6	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	16	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	16	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860

e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030133

DATE OF ISSUE

11 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Flat 6, 3/F., Block E,

Wah Lok Industrial Centre,

31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

: Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

05 Mar 2014

Received Date

05 Mar 2014

Testing Period

05 Mar 2014 to 06 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	10	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	10	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	4.0	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	4.4	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	6.8	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	7.0	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^e APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860 e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030250

DATE OF ISSUE

12 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Flat 6, 3/F., Block E,

Wah Lok Industrial Centre,

31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

07 Mar 2014

Received Date

07 Mar 2014

Testing Period

07 Mar 2014 to 11 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	22	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	23	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	9.2	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	7.0	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	4.2	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	5.2	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^o APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860

e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030277

DATE OF ISSUE

17 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

10 Mar 2014

Received Date

10 Mar 2014

Testing Period

10 Mar 2014 to 12 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD⁵
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	АРНА ^с 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	12	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	19	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	6.0	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	6.8	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	17	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	20	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^o APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860

e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030387

DATE OF ISSUE

17 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Flat 6, 3/F., Block E,

Wah Lok Industrial Centre,

31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

12 Mar 2014

Received Date

12 Mar 2014

Testing Period

12 Mar 2014 to 17 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	АРНА ^с 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	9.6	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	10	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	6.6	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	7.4	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	8.6	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	9.4	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860 e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO. : 14030487

DATE OF ISSUE : 20 March 2014 PAGE : Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description : Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling : Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a 14 Mar 2014 Received Date : 14 Mar 2014

Testing Period : 14 Mar 2014 to 18 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ⁶
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	6.6	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	6.6	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	22	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	22	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	12	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	12	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

a Information provided by the customer

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK
Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860
e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030522

DATE OF ISSUE

19 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

: Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

17 Mar 2014

Received Date

17 Mar 2014

Testing Period

17 Mar 2014 to 18 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LODb
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L.

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	5.8	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	5.8	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	5.8	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	6.6	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	6.0	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	7.6	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

a Information provided by the customer

^e APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK
Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860
e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030616

DATE OF ISSUE

21 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

: Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

19 Mar 2014

Received Date

19 Mar 2014

Testing Period

19 Mar 2014 to 21 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	АРНА ^с 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1	001	TSS	9.2	mg/L
C3a-2	002	TSS	7.6	mg/L
C3b-1	003	TSS	4.3	mg/L
C3b-2	004	TSS	4.8	mg/L
IS-1	005	TSS	8.4	mg/L
IS-2	006	TSS	6.6	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860 e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030730

DATE OF ISSUE

25 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

•

Conducted by the customer 21 Mar 2014

Sampling Date^a Received Date

21 Mar 2014

Testing Period

21 Mar 2014 to 24 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units	
C3a-1	001	TSS	8.2	mg/L	
C3a-2	002	TSS	8.6	mg/L	
C3b-1	003	TSS	13	mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L	
C3b-2	004	TSS	13		
IS-1	005	TSS	11		
IS-2	006	TSS	8.8		

--- END OF REPORT ---

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

[°] APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860

e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030800

DATE OF ISSUE

27 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

: Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

24 Mar 2014

Received Date

24 Mar 2014

Testing Period

24 Mar 2014 to 25 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ⁶
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1, 24-03-2014	001	TSS	3.6	mg/L
C3a-2, 24-03-2014	002	TSS	5.3	mg/L
C3b-1, 24-03-2014	003	TSS	4.1	mg/L
C3b-2, 24-03-2014	004	TSS	4.0	mg/L
IS-1, 24-03-2014	005	TSS	13	mg/L
IS-2, 24-03-2014	006	TSS	9.6	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

⁶ APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK
Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860
e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030858

DATE OF ISSUE

31 March 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T. Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

: Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

26 Mar 2014

Received Date

26 Mar 2014

Testing Period

26 Mar 2014 to 27 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	APHA ^c 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1, 26-03-2014	001	TSS	4.4	mg/L
C3a-2, 26-03-2014	002	TSS	4.8	mg/L
C3b-1, 26-03-2014	003	TSS	3.2	mg/L
C3b-2, 26-03-2014	004	TSS	6.6	mg/L
IS-1, 26-03-2014	005	TSS	13	mg/L
IS-2, 26-03-2014	006	TSS	13	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

⁶ APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860 e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030967

DATE OF ISSUE

01 April 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

28 Mar 2014

Received Date

28 Mar 2014

Testing Period

28 Mar 2014 to 31 Mar 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ⁶
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	АРНА ^с 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units	
C3a-1, 28-03-2014	001	TSS	22	mg/L	
C3a-2, 28-03-2014	002	TSS	22	mg/L	
C3b-1, 28-03-2014	003	TSS	4.7	mg/L	
C3b-2, 28-03-2014	004	TSS	4.3	mg/L	
IS-1, 28-03-2014	005	TSS	10	mg/L	
IS-2, 28-03-2014	006	TSS	9.4	mg/L	

--- END OF REPORT ---

b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

a Information provided by the customer

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Rm 611-612, Hong Leong Plaza, 33 Lok Yip Rd, Fanling, NT, HK
Tel: (852) 2676 2983 Fax: (852) 2676 2860
e-mail: ell@envirolabs.com.hk website: http://www.envirolabs.com.hk

TEST REPORT

JOB NO.

14030996

DATE OF ISSUE

02 April 2014

PAGE

Page 1 of 1

1. Customer

Enovative Environmental Service Limited Flat 6, 3/F., Block E, Wah Lok Industrial Centre, 31-35 Shan Mei Street, Shatin, N.T.

Attn: Mr. Thomas Wong

2. Sample Identification

Sample Description

Six batch(es) of water sample(s) were submitted by the customer and received at the

laboratory in cool condition.

Sampling

Conducted by the customer

Sampling Date^a

31 Mar 2014

Received Date

31 Mar 2014

Testing Period

31 Mar 2014 to 01 Apr 2014

3. Test Methods

Parameters	Reference Methods	LOD ^b
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	АРНА ^с 17e 2540 D	2.5 mg/L

4. Test Results^d

Sample I.D. marked by the customer	Sample No.	Parameters	Test Results	Units
C3a-1, 3103-2014	001	TSS	71	mg/L
C3a-2, 31-03-2014	002	TSS	73	mg/L
C3b-1, 31-03-2014	003	TSS	77	mg/L
C3b-2, 31-03-2014	004	TSS	73	mg/L
IS-1, 31-03-2014	005	TSS	73	mg/L
IS-2, 31-03-2014	006	TSS	72	mg/L

--- END OF REPORT ---

^d Test results relate only to the items received

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

^a Information provided by the customer

^b LOD denotes Limit of detection

^c APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater



Appendix J Water Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation

Project Name:

Contract No. CV/2012/09 Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure works - Contract 3
Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling - Stage 2

Date of Monitoring 3/3/2014 Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	pН		DO (mg/L)		DO (% saturation)		Turbidity (NTU)		Salinity (g/L)		SS (mg/L)	
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	11:13	<0.5	17.7	17.7	7.2	7.2	8.3	8.3	87.1	87.2	27.8	27.8	<0.1	<0.1	29.0	30.0
USA	11.13	<0.5	17.7	17.7	7.2	1.2	8.3	0.3	87.2	07.2	27.8	27.0	<0.1	<0.1	31.0	30.0
C3b	10:56	<0.5	17.6	17.6	8.3	0.2	6.8	6.8	71.2	71.2	61.6	61.8	<0.1	<0.1	8.0	7.8
CSD	10.56	<0.5	17.6	17.0	8.3	8.3	6.8	0.0	71.2	/1.2	61.9	01.0	<0.1	<0.1	7.6	7.0
15	10:45	<0.5	17.5	17.5	8.2	8.2	7.6	7.6	80.0	80.0	56.2	56.2	<0.1	<0.1	16.0	16.0
15	10.45	₹0.5	17.5	17.5	8.2	0.2	7.6	7.0	80.0	55.0	56.1	30.2	<0.1	₹0.1	16.0	10.0

Date of Monitoring Weather : Cloudy 5/3/2014

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	pН		DO (mg/L)		DO (% saturation)		Turbidity (NTU)		Salinity (g/L)		SS (mg/L)	
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	9:41	<0.5	17.7	17.7	7.4	7.4	8.3	8.3	86.7	86.7	11.0	11.1	<0.1	<0.1	10.0	10.0
Osa	9.41	<0.5	17.7	17.7	7.4	7.4	8.3	0.3	86.7	00.7	11.1	11.1	<0.1	<0.1	10.0	10.0
C3b	9:18	<0.5	17.5	17.5	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.2	85.7	85.7	8.7	0.7	<0.1	<0.1	4.0	4.2
CSD	9.10	<0.5	17.4	17.5	8.0	0.0	8.2	0.2	85.7	65.7	8.7	0.7	<0.1	<0.1	4.4	4.2
15	9:25	<0.5	17.4	17.6	7.4	7.4	7.6	7.6	79.9	79.9	11.4	11.5	<0.1	<0.1	6.8	6.9
13	9.23	<0.5	17.7	17.0	7.4	7.4	7.6	7.0	79.9	79.9	11.5	11.5	< 0.1	<0.1	7.0	0.9

7/3/2014 Date of Monitoring Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	pН		DO (mg/L)		DO (% saturation)		Turbidity (NTU)		Salinity (g/L)		SS (mg/L)	
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	9:59	<0.5	16.4	16.4	7.6	7.6	7.9	7.0	81.0	81.0	13.6	13.7	<0.1	<0.1	22.0	22.5
OJa	9.55	CO.5	16.4	10.4	7.6	7.0	7.9	7.9	81.0	01.0	13.8	13.7	< 0.1	VO. 1	23.0	22.5
C3b	9:30	<0.5	16.2	16.2	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.8	79.3	79.3	6.4	6 5	< 0.1	<0.1	9.2	8.1
CSD	9.30	<0.5	16.2	10.2	8.0	6.0	7.8	7.0	79.3	19.3	6.6	6.5	<0.1	<0.1	7.0	0.1
15	9:41	<0.5	15.8	15.8	7.6	7.6	7.9	7.0	79.3	79.3	11.0	10.6	<0.1	<0.1	4.2	4.7
13	9.41	<0.5	15.8	15.6	7.6	7.0	7.9	7.9	79.3	79.5	10.1	10.6	< 0.1	<0.1	5.2	4.7

Date of Monitoring 10/3/2014 Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	ı	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:29	<0.5	14.9	14.9	7.8	7.0	8.5	8.6	84.1	84.7	16.1	16.1	< 0.1	<0.1	12.0	15.5
Osa	10.29	<0.5	14.9	14.9	7.8	7.6	8.6	0.0	85.3	04.7	16.1	10.1	<0.1	<0.1	19.0	15.5
C3b	10:01	<0.5	15.1	15.1	8.3	0.2	8.7	8.7	86.4	86.4	9.9	9.9	< 0.1	<0.1	6.0	6.4
CSD	10.01	<0.5	15.1	15.1	8.3	0.3	8.7	0.7	86.4	00.4	9.9	9.9	<0.1	<0.1	6.8	0.4
15	10:09	<0.5	14.7	14.7	8.1	0.1	7.8	7.8	77.2	76.4	16.4	16.4	<0.1	<0.1	17.0	18.5
15	10:09	<0.5	14.7	14.7	8.1	0.1	7.7	7.0	75.6	76.4	16.4	16.4	< 0.1	<0.1	20.0	16.5

Date of Monitoring 12/3/2014 Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	ı	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:24	<0.5	16.8	16.8	7.5	7.5	8.2	8.2	78.1	78.1	8.2	8.2	<0.1	<0.1	9.6	9.8
Osa	10.24	<0.5	16.8	10.0	7.5	7.5	8.2	0.2	78.1	70.1	8.2	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	10.0	9.0
C3b	10:01	<0.5	16.9	16.9	7.9	7.0	7.8	7.8	74.2	74.2	7.7	77	<0.1	<0.1	6.6	7.0
CSD	10.01	<0.5	16.9	10.9	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.0	74.2	74.2	7.7	7.7	<0.1	<0.1	7.4	7.0
15	10:09	<0.5	16.7	16.7	8.0	8.0	6.6	6.7	62.2	62.5	9.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	8.6	9.0
13	10.09	<0.5	16.7	10.7	8.0	0.0	6.7	0.7	62.7	02.5	9.1	9.1	<0.1	<0.1	9.4	3.0

Date of Monitoring 14/3/2014 Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Tempe	rature (°C)	ļ.	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:23	<0.5	17.6	17.6	7.8	7.8	8.1	8.1	84.5	84.5	15.4	15.4	<0.1	<0.1	6.6	6.6
OJa	10.23	V0.5	17.6	17.0	7.8	7.0	8.1	0.1	84.5	04.5	15.4	13.4	<0.1	VO. 1	6.6	0.0
C3b	10:01	<0.5	17.4	17.4	8.1	0.1	8.4	8.4	87.6	87.6	33.1	33.1	<0.1	<0.1	22.0	22.0
CSD	10.01	<0.5	17.4	17.4	8.1	0.1	8.4	0.4	87.6	67.0	33.1	33.1	<0.1	<0.1	22.0	22.0
15	10:08	<0.5	17.9	17.9	7.9	7.0	8.0	8.0	84.2	84.2	19.4	19.4	< 0.1	<0.1	12.0	12.0
10	10:08	<0.5	179	17.9	7.9	7.9	8.0	0.0	84.2	04.2	19.4	19.4	<0.1	<0.1	12.0	12.0

Date of Monitoring 17/3/2014 Weather: Fine

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	- 1	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	nity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	14:21	<0.5	22.1	22.1	7.8	7.8	7.1	7.1	81.8	81.8	7.6	7.6	< 0.1	<0.1	5.8	5.8
Ooa	14.21	<0.5	22.1	22.1	7.8	7.0	7.1	7.1	81.8	01.0	7.6	7.0	<0.1	<0.1	5.8	5.6
C3b	14:00	<0.5	22.3	22.3	8.1	8.1	7.8	7.8	90.2	90.1	11.7	11.7	<0.1	<0.1	5.8	6.2
COD	14.00	CO.5	22.3	22.5	8.1	0.1	7.8	7.0	89.9	30.1	11.7	11.7	<0.1	V0.1	6.6	0.2
15	14:07	<0.5	22.2	22.2	7.9	7.9	7.5	7.5	85.6	85.6	10.0	10.0	<0.1	<0.1	6.0	6.8
10	14:07	<0.5	22.2	22.2	7.9	7.9	7.5	1.5	85.6	00.0	10.0	10.0	<0.1	<0.1	7.6	0.0

Date of Monitoring 19/3/2014 Weather: Fine

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)		Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	dity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:39	<0.5	24.4	24.4	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.5	89.6	89.6	11.8	11.8	<0.1	<0.1	9.2	8.4
OJa	10.55	CO. 5	24.4	24.4	7.8	7.0	7.5	7.5	89.6	03.0	11.8	11.0	< 0.1	ζ0.1	7.6	0.4
C3b	10:15	<0.5	23.9	23.9	8.1	0.1	6.6	6.6	78.8	78.8	10.6	10.6	<0.1	-0.1	4.3	4.6
CSD	10.13	<0.5	23.9	23.9	8.1	0.1	6.6	0.0	78.8	70.0	10.6	10.6	<0.1	<0.1	4.8	4.0
15	10:23	<0.5	23.4	23.4	7.9	7.9	7.2	7.2	84.2	84.2	9.4	9.4	< 0.1	-0.1	8.4	7.5
10	10.23	<0.5	23.4	23.4	7.9	7.9	72	1.2	84.2	04.2	94	5.4	<0.1	<0.1	6.6	7.5

Contract No. CV/2012/09 Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure works - Contract 3
Entrusted Portion of Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling - Stage 2 Project Name:

Date of Monitoring 21/3/2014 Weather: Fine

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	F	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	dity (NTU)	Salir	nity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:31	<0.5	18.2	18.2	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.6	80.1	80.1	43.4	43.4	< 0.1	<0.1	8.2	8.4
Osa	10.31	<0.5	18.2	10.2	7.8	7.0	7.6	7.0	80.1	60.1	43.4	43.4	< 0.1	<0.1	8.6	0.4
C3b	10:15	<0.5	18.0	18.0	8.1	0.1	8.4	8.4	88.6	88.6	51.2	51.2	<0.1	<0.1	13.0	13.0
COD	10.15	<0.5	18.0	10.0	8.1	0.1	8.4	0.4	88.6	00.0	51.2	31.2	<0.1	<0.1	13.0	13.0
15	10:06	<0.5	17.8	17.8	7.9	7.0	7.6	7.6	79.8	79.8	49.7	49.7	<0.1	<0.1	11.0	9.9
13	10.06	<0.5	17.8	17.0	7.9	1.9	7.6	7.0	79.8	79.0	49.7	49.7	<0.1	<0.1	8.8	9.9

Date of Monitoring 24/3/2014 Weather: Fine

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	ı	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:34	<0.5	21.0	21.0	7.7	77	6.4	6.4	71.5	71 5	15.4	15.4	<0.1	<0.1	3.6	4.5
Osa	10.54	<0.5	21.0	21.0	7.7	7.7	6.4	0.4	71.5	/1.5	15.4	13.4	<0.1	<0.1	5.3	4.5
C3b	10:11	<0.5	21.0	21.0	7.8	7.0	7.7	7.7	86.3	86.3	14.8	14.8	<0.1	<0.1	4.1	4.1
CSD	10.11	<0.5	21.0	21.0	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.7	86.3	00.3	14.8	14.0	<0.1	<0.1	4.0	4.1
15	10:03	<0.5	20.3	20.3	7.9	7.0	8.6	8.6	95.3	95.3	12.3	12.3	< 0.1	<0.1	13.0	11.3
13	10.03	<0.5	20.3	20.3	7.9	7.9	8.6	0.0	95.3	95.5	12.3	12.3	< 0.1	<0.1	9.6	11.3

26/3/2014 Weather: Fine Date of Monitoring

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)		Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:39	<0.5	24.3	24.3	7.8	7.8	6.9	6.9	82.9	82.9	13.5	13.5	< 0.1	<0.1	4.4	4.6
OJa	10.55	CO. 5	24.3	24.5	7.8	7.6	6.9	0.5	82.9	02.3	13.5	13.3	<0.1	CO.1	4.8	4.0
C3b	10:21	<0.5	24.0	24.0	8.1	0.1	6.6	6.6	78.1	78.1	9.8	9.8	<0.1	<0.1	3.2	4.9
COD	10.21	<0.5	24.0	24.0	8.1	0.1	6.6	0.0	78.1	70.1	9.8	9.0	<0.1	<0.1	6.6	4.9
15	10:09	<0.5	24.4	24.4	7.9	7.0	6.7	6.7	80.4	80.4	14.4	14.4	< 0.1	<0.1	13.0	13.0
13	10:09	<0.5	24.4	24.4	7.9	7.9	6.7	0.7	80.4	60.4	14.4	14.4	< 0.1	<0.1	13.0	13.0


Date of Monitoring 28/3/2014 Weather: Cloudy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	ature (oC)	ı	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	ity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	10:47	<0.5	24.2	24.2	7.9	7.0	7.6	7.6	90.5	90.5	13.2	13.2	< 0.1	<0.1	22.0	22.0
Osa	10.47	<0.5	24.2	24.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	7.6	90.5	90.5	13.2	13.2	<0.1	<0.1	22.0	22.0
C3b	10:01	<0.5	22.8	22.8	8.1	0.1	6.9	6.9	80.7	80.7	4.9	4.9	< 0.1	<0.1	4.7	4.5
CSD	10.01	<0.5	22.8	22.0	8.1	0.1	6.9	0.9	80.7	60.7	4.9	4.9	<0.1	<0.1	4.3	4.5
15	10:19	<0.5	23.4	23.4	7.9	7.0	6.8	6.8	79.5	79.5	13.3	13.3	< 0.1	<0.1	10.0	9.7
15	10:19	<0.5	23.4	23.4	7.9	7.9	6.8	0.0	79.5	79.5	13.3	13.3	< 0.1	<0.1	9.4	9.7


Date of Monitoring 31/3/2014 Weather: Rainy

Monitoring	Time	Water	Temper	rature (oC)	- 1	Н	DO	(mg/L)	DO (% s	aturation)	Turbio	lity (NTU)	Salir	nity (g/L)	SS	(mg/L)
Location		Depth (m)	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average	Value	Average
C3a	11:31	<0.5	19.6	19.6	7.9	7.9	7.6	7.6	83.3	83.3	87.7	87.7	<0.1	-0.1	71.0	72.0
Ooa	11.31	<0.5	19.6	19.0	7.9	7.9	7.6	7.0	83.3	03.3	87.7	07.7	< 0.1	<0.1	73.0	72.0
C3b	11:01	<0.5	19.5	19.5	7.9	7.9	8.0	8.0	87.4	87.4	90.3	90.3	< 0.1	-0.1	77.0	75.0
CSD	11.01	<0.5	19.5	19.5	7.9	7.9	8.0	6.0	87.4	07.4	90.3	90.3	<0.1	<0.1	73.0	75.0
15	11:09	<0.5	19.3	19.3	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.4	80.5	80.5	86.7	86.7	<0.1	-0.1	73.0	72.5
13	11.09	<0.5	193	19.5	73	7.3	7.4	7.4	80.5	60.5	86.7	00.7	-0.1	<0.1	72 N	12.5


Dissolved Oxygen




Dissolved Oxygen (December 2013 - March 2014)




Turbidity




Turbidity (December 2013 - March 2014)



Suspended Solid



Suspended Solid (December 2013 - March 2014)





Appendix K Waste Flow Table

Appendix K Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

		Actual C	Quantities of In-	ert C&D Materi	als Generated	Monthly		Actual	Quantities of	C&D Wastes	Generated M	lonthly
		Hard Rock							Paper/			
	Total	and Large		Soil Reused	Soil Reused				cardboard			General
	Quantity	Broken		in the	in other	Soil Disposed			packaging		Chemical	Refuse
Month	Generated	Concrete	Soil	Contract	Projects	as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	(Note 3)	Plastics	Waste	(Note 2)
Unit	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)
Jul-13		-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Aug-13		-	ı	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Sep-13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.004
Oct-13	-	-	ı	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	0.003
Nov-13	1.351	-	1.351	0.473	-	0.878	-	-	-	-	-	0.055
Dec-13	0.177	0.007	0.170	0.030	-	0.140	0.600	-	-	-	-	0.055
Sub-Total	1.528	0.007	1.521	0.503	-	1.018	0.600	-	-	-	-	0.117
Jan-14	0.493	0.084	0.409	-	-	0.409	0.200	-	-	0.010	-	0.110
Feb-14	2.209	0.356	1.853	0.380	-	1.473	-	0.002	-	-	0.019	0.040
Mar-14		0.506	3.954	1.092	-	2.862	-	-	-	-	-	0.265
Apr-14	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
May-14	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Jun-14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	8.690	0.953	7.737	1.975	-	5.762	0.800	0.002	-	0.010	0.019	0.532

Note:

- 1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m3.
- 2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m3.
- 3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m3.
- 4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
- 5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
- 6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
- 7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m3.



Appendix L Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
Air Quality		•		
Air Quality during Construction	Restricting heights from which materials are dropped, as far as practicable to minimize the fugitive dust arising from unloading/loading.	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	• All stockpiles of excavated materials or spoil of more than 50m³ shall be enclosed, covered or dampened during dry or windy conditions.			✓
	Effective water sprays shall be used to control potential dust emission sources such as unpaved haul roads and active construction areas.			Rem
	All spraying of materials and surfaces shall avoid excessive water usage.			✓
	• Vehicles that have the potential to create dust while transporting materials shall be covered, with the cover properly secured and extended over the edges of the side and tail boards.			✓
	Materials shall be dampened, if necessary, before transportation.			✓
	Travelling speeds shall be controlled to reduce traffic induced dust dispersion and re-suspension within the site from the operating haul trucks.			✓
	Vehicle washing facilities shall be provided to minimise the quantity of material deposited on public roads.			✓
Air Quality during Operation	Not required	N/A	N/A	N/A
Noise	1			ļ ·
Noise during Construction	Use of silenced plant or plant equipped with mufflers or dampers in substitute of ordinary plant.	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Reduce the number of equipment and their percentage on-time.			✓
Noise during Operation	Not required	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water Quality				
Water Quality during	Road Widening Works, Earthworks and Culvert Extension Works	During Construction	Contractor	✓
Construction	 Wastewater generated from any concrete batching washdown of equipment or similar activities should be discharged into foul sewers, after the removal of settable solids, and pH adjustment as necessary. All sewage discharges from the study area should meet the TM standards and approval from EPD through the licensing process is required. 			

Notes ([#]): ✓ – Compliance; Rem – Reminder; Obs – Observation; N/C – Non Compliance; N/A – Not Applicable;



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
	 Sand traps, oil interceptors and other pollution prevention installations should be provided, properly cleaned and maintained. 			✓
	 Runoff from exposed working areas, unfinished slopes and from unlined temporary channels should be directed to stilling basins and/or silt traps before discharging to the drainage outfalls. 			✓
	 Regular inspections of stilling basins and/or silt traps is required to ensure that sediment is not conveyed into the existing drainage system. 			✓
	Open stockpiles should be covered with a tarpaulin cover.			✓
	 During the wet season, any exposed top soils should be covered with a tarpaulin, shotcreted or hydroseeded. 			N/A
	 Sand and silt from wash-water from vehicle washing should be settled out before discharging into storm drains. 			✓
	• Fuels should be stored in bunded areas such that spillage can be easily collected.			✓
Water Quality during Operation	Not required	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waste Management			T -	
Waste Management during Construction	General WasteTransport of wastes off site as soon as possible.	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Maintenance of accurate waste records.			✓
	Minimisation of waste generation for disposal (via reduction/recycling/re-use).			✓
	No on-site burning will be permitted.			✓
	Use of re-useable metal hoardings/signboards.			✓
	Vegetation from site clearance	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Segregation of materials to facilitate disposal.			
	Mulching to reduce bulk and where possible review opportunities for the possible beneficial use within landscaping areas.			✓



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
	<u>Demolition Wastes</u>	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Segregation of materials to facilitate disposal.			
	Appropriate stockpile management.			✓
	Excavated Materials	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Segregation of materials to facilitate disposal / reuse.			
	Appropriate stockpile management.			✓
	Re-use of excavated material on or off site (where possible).			✓
	Special handling and disposal procedures in the event that contaminated materials are excavated.			N/A
	Construction Wastes	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	• Segregation of materials to facilitate recycling/reuse (within designated area in appropriate containers/stockpiles).			
	Appropriate stockpile management.			✓
	Planning to reduce over ordering and waste generation.			✓
	 Recycling and re-use of materials where possible (e.g. metal, wood from formwork) 			✓
	• For material which cannot be re-used/recycled, collection should be carried out by an approved waste contractor for landfill disposal.			✓
	Bentonite Slurries	During Construction	Contractor	N/A
	Bentonite slurries should be reused as far as possible.			
	Disposal in accordance with Practice Note For Professional Persons ProPECC PN 1/94.			N/A
	Chemical Wastes	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	Storage within locked, covered and bunded area.			
	• The storage area shall not be located adjacent to sensitive receivers e.g. drains.			✓



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
	Minimise waste production and recycle oils/solvents where possible.			✓
	• A spill response procedure shall be in place and absorption material available for minor spillages.			✓
	Use appropriate and labelled containers.			✓
	• Educate site workers on site cleanliness/waste management procedures.			✓
	• If chemical wastes are to be generated, the contractor must register with EPD as a chemical waste producer.			✓
	• The chemical wastes shall be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector.			✓
	Municipal Wastes	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	• Waste shall be stored within a temporary refuse collection facility, in appropriate containers prior to collection and disposal.			
	• Regular, daily collections are required by an approved waste collector.			✓
Waste Management during Operation	Not required.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ecology				
Ecology during Construction	Accurate Delineation of Works Area	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	 Boundaries of proposed works areas shall be clearly identified and separated from external areas by a physical barrier to prevent encroachment of adjacent habitats. 			
	• Individual trees which fall within the works areas but which work plans show do not require removal are to be retained and fenced off to maximise protection.			✓
	<u>Dust generation</u>	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	There are a number of measures which shall be taken as specified in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation on 'Dust Control Requirements, including the following key measures to be applied during construction:			
	 vehicle washing facilities to be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point; 			



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
	all temporary site access roads shall be sprayed with water to suppress dust as necessary;			✓
	all dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any handling; and			✓
	• all debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a sheltered debris collection area.			✓
	Surface Run-off	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	In general, mitigation measures shall be in accordance with ProPECC PN1/94 on 'Construction Site Drainage'. Key measures include:			
	Bund and cover stock piles to avoid run-off;			
	Channel any run-off through a system of oil, grease and sediment / silt traps and reuse water on site where ever practical;			✓
	All vehicle maintenance to be undertaken within a bunded area; and			N/A
	Maximise vegetation retention on-site to maximise absorption (minimise transport).			✓
Ecology during Operation	• To conduct compensatory ecological planting as specified in the latest landscape plans approved by EPD (Clause 2.6 of the Environmental Permit refers).	During Construction and operation	Contractor (during construction) / LCSD* (during operation)	N/A
			(Note: * The division of vegetation planting and maintenance responsibilities shall follow the guidelines stipulated in ETWB TCW No. 2/2004.)	
Landscape and Visual Landscape and Visual during	Preservation of Existing Vegetation	During Construction	Contractor	✓
Construction	Trees identified for retention within the project limit would be protected during the works			
	The tree transplanting and planting works shall be implemented by approved Landscape Contractors			✓



Impact	Environmental Protection Measures	Timing	Responsibility	Implementation Status #
	Temporary Works Areas	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	 Where feasible the works areas would be screened using hoarding and existing vegetation would be retained where possible to reduce the landscape and visual impacts arising from the construction activity. The landscape of these works areas would be restored following the completion of the construction phase. 			
	<u>Hoarding</u>	During Construction	Contractor	✓
	• A hoarding would be erected where practicable in the most visually sensitive locations to screen the temporary construction works from the local VSRs.			
	<u>Top Soils</u>	During Construction	Contractor	N/A
	 The works will result in disturbance to extensive areas of topsoil. Topsoil worthy of retention should be stockpiled for use following completion of the civil engineering works. It should either be temporarily vegetated with hydroseeded grass or turned over on a regular basis. 			
	Protection of Important Landscape Features	During Construction	Contractor	N/A
	 Important features such as temples, Island House and kilns within the study area, although remote from the proposed works retained and adequately protected. 			
Landscape and Visual during Operation	Not required.	N/A	N/A	N/A



Appendix M Investigation Report for Exceedances

Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Exceedance(s) Ref. No.: A140324_24TSP

Date	24 March 2014
Time	
Monitoring Location	SR77
Parameter	24-Hr Total Suspended Particulate
Action /	Action Level: 170.3µg/m ³
Limit Levels	Limit Level: 260µg/m³
Measured	188.1μg/m ³
Level	(Action level being exceeded)
Possible reason for the exceedance	It was noticed that there were construction works being undertaken by another Contractor (under Contract No. TP/2010/02) which occurred immediately next to the High Volume Sampler (HVS) of the air quality monitoring station at SR77 (refer to the attached photo).
	Such works mainly involved excavation of earth materials, operation of excavator (with exhaust emission), handling and moving of earth materials, etc. These construction works are anticipated to cause considerable suspended particulates impact that may lead to high TSP levels as have been measured by our HVS.
	The construction works are anticipated to be completed by the end of April 2014.
	Also, the HVS is located close to roadside. When there is traffic, the vehicles may cause disturbance to the nearby open excavation sites, generate dust impact and affect the TSP results recorded by the HVS.
	On the other hand, the construction works carried out during the monitoring period included backfilling works being carried out at northern side of the site and extension of box culverts, which were at a much farther distance from the air quality monitoring station at SR77 (refer to the attached location plan showing the works activities of the entrusted portion).
	As such, the exceedance was unlikely due to the construction works of the project.

Action taken / to be taken

As the exceedance was non-project related, no further investigation and specific remedial measure(s) would be recommended for the Entrusted Works.

Nevertheless, the following mitigation measures had been implemented on-site for dust suppression:

- 1. Exposed slopes near the river were covered with impervious sheets;
- 2. Any open stockpile of construction materials were covered with impervious sheet;
- 3. Sufficient watering was applied along the haul road.

Remarks


| -

Construction works observed within close proximity of SR77 (Date: 24 March 2014)



Dust suppression is being undertaken at construction site (Date: 24 March 2014)





Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Exceedance(s) Ref. No.: W140324_SS

Date	24 March 2014
Time	10:03am
Monitoring Location	15
Parameter	Suspended Solids
Action / Limit Levels	Action Level: 42.6 mg/L or 120% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 5.3mg/L)
	Limit Level: 46.8 mg/L or 130% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 5.8mg/L)
Measured Level	11.3mg/L (Limit level being exceeded - 130% of C3a)
Possible reason for the exceedance	Construction within proximity of the river channel is listed as follows:
	Box Culvert ID4 (refer to attached photos) - Formwork erection for casting Bay 3
	Box Culvert ID5 (refer to attached photos) - Backfill of outfall
	Construction works at the river stream are properly enclosed by sandbags to avoid site runoff. No spillage is identified. (refer to attached photos)
	For comparison, suspended solids levels at C3a and C3b on 24 March 2014 are 4.5mg/L and 4.1mg/L respectively. The results are very low when comparing against the baseline monitoring where the suspended level can be as high as 51mg/L and 88mg/L at C3a and at C3b respectively. Also, recorded suspended solids level at I5 has not exceeded the maximum recorded level of 47mg/L during baseline monitoring and significantly below the absolute action level of 42.6mg/L.
	As 11.3mg/L is considered low level, and proper mitigations were fully implemented as a preventive measure to ensure no adverse water quality impact to the river environment, it is unlikely that the construction works activities would be the possible sources and reasons of contamination.

	It is therefore considered that elevation of suspended solids would be due to natural fluctuation.
Action taken / to be taken	As the non-compliance was non-project related, no further investigation and remedial measure(s) would be required.
	Nonetheless, contractor has been reminded to closely monitor the mitigation measures and ensure no siterunoff into the river channel.
Remarks	-

Construction works at ID4 (24 March 2014)





The construction works are properly protected with sand bags. (Date: 24 March 2014)



Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Exceedance(s) Ref. No.: W140326_SS

Date	26 March 2014
Time	10:09am
Monitoring Location	15
Parameter	Suspended Solids
Action / Limit Levels	Action Level: 42.6 mg/L or 120% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 5.9mg/L)
	Limit Level: 46.8 mg/L or 130% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 6.4mg/L)
Measured Level	13mg/L (Limit level being exceeded - 130% of C3a)
Possible reason for the exceedance	Construction within proximity of the river channel is listed as follows:
	Box Culvert ID4 (refer to attached photos) - Concreting of Bay 3
	Box Culvert ID5 (refer to attached photos) - Formwork erection for headwell
	Construction works at the river stream are properly enclosed by sandbags to avoid site runoff. No spillage is identified. (refer to attached photos)
	For comparison, suspended solids levels at C3a and C3b on 26 March 2014 are 4.6mg/L and 4.9mg/L respectively. The results are very low when comparing against the baseline monitoring where the suspended level can be as high as 51mg/L and 88mg/L at C3a and at C3b respectively. Also, recorded suspended solids level at I5 has not exceeded the maximum recorded level of 47mg/L during baseline monitoring and significantly below the absolute action level of 42.6mg/L.
	As 13mg/L is considered low level, and proper mitigations were fully implemented as a preventive measure to ensure no adverse water quality impact to the river environment, it is unlikely that the construction works activities would be the possible sources and reasons of contamination.

	It is therefore considered that elevation of suspended solids would be due to natural fluctuation.
Action taken / to be taken	As the non-compliance was non-project related, no further investigation and remedial measure(s) would be required.
	Nonetheless, contractor has been reminded to closely monitor the mitigation measures and ensure no siterunoff into the river channel.
Remarks	-

Construction works at ID4 (26 March 2014)



Construction works at ID5 (26 March 2014)



The construction works are properly protected with sand bags. (Date: 26 March 2014)



Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Exceedance(s) Ref. No.: W140331_SS

Date	31 March 2014
Time	11:09am
Monitoring Location	15
Parameter	Suspended Solids
Action / Limit Levels	Action Level: 42.6 mg/L or 120% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 90mg/L)
	Limit Level: 46.8 mg/L or 130% of upstream control station's SS of the same day (i.e. 97.5mg/L)
Measured Level	72.5.mg/L (Limit level being exceeded)
Possible reason for the exceedance	There was a heavy rainstorm during water sampling and the river is muddy due to vigorous water flow. The elevated suspended solids level was due to riverbed disturbed by the river flow.
	During the water sampling, there is no works being carried out within proximity of the river channel due to adverse weather condition.
	Both control stations also showed elevated suspended solids levels due to the adverse weather condition.
	It is therefore considered that elevation of suspended solids level would be due to natural fluctuation.
Action taken / to be taken	As the non-compliance was non-project related, no further investigation and remedial measure(s) would be required.
	Nonetheless, contractor has been reminded to closely monitor the mitigation measures and ensure no siterunoff into the river channel.
Remarks	-

Muddy water observed at I5 during rainstorm. (Date: 31 March 2014)



Muddy water observed at C3a during rainstorm. (Date: 31 March 2014)



Investigation Report of Environmental Quality Exceedance(s) Ref. No.: W140331_Tby

Date	31 March 2014
Time	11:09am
Monitoring Location	15
Parameter	Turbidity
Action / Limit Levels	Action level: 81.9 NTU or 120% of upstream control station's Tby of the same day (i.e. 108.4NTU)
	Limit Level: 91.9 NTU or 130% of upstream control station's Tby of the same day (i.e. 117.4NTU)
Measured Level	86.7NTU (Action level being exceeded)
Possible reason for the exceedance	There was a heavy rainstorm during water sampling and the river is muddy due to vigorous water flow. The elevated turbidity was due to riverbed disturbed by the river flow.
	During the water sampling, there is no works being carried out within proximity of the river channel due to adverse weather condition.
	Both control stations also showed elevated turbidity levels due to the adverse weather condition.
	It is therefore considered that elevation of turbidity would be due to natural fluctuation.
Action taken / to be taken	As the non-compliance was non-project related, no further investigation and remedial measure(s) would be required.
	Nonetheless, contractor has been reminded to closely monitor the mitigation measures and ensure no siterunoff into the river channel.
Remarks	-

Muddy water observed during rainstorm. (Date: 31 March 2014)



Muddy water observed at C3a during rainstorm. (Date: 31 March 2014)





Appendix N Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions



Cumulative Complaint Log

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
C131126	November 26, 2013	Mr. Tony Hung from WWF	Mat Wat River (works sites for box culvert extension)	Suspected unauthorised discharge of water from a construction site to Ma Wat River, Tai Wo Service Road East, Tai Po	 It was found that the water leaving the end of the steel pipes was the diverted water from the upstream of the existing box culverts, instead of being discharged from the construction works sites. An EM&A Programme is being undertaken to monitoring the environmental performance of the construction works, and the Contractor has also implemented appropriate mitigation measures to avoid silt-laden runoff discharging from the works sites into the river. The complaint is considered an invalid complaint under this Project. 	Completed



Cumulative Log for Notifications of Summons

Log No.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement

Cumulative log for Successful Prosecutions

Log No.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement



Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Ltd

邁進基建環保工程顧問有限公司

4/F Wah Ming Centre 421 Queen's Road West Hong Kong 香港皇后大道西421號華明中心4樓

Tel 電話: +852 2858 0738 Fax 傳真: +852 2540 1580

mail@meinhardt.com.hk www.meinhardtgroup.com