#### Leader and JEC Joint Venture

# Contract No. DC/2009/24 HATS Stage 2A – Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report April 2017

(Version 1.0)

Certified By

(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

#### CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: info@cinotech.com.hk



CE/Harbour Area Treatment Scheme **Drainage Services Department** Sewage Services Branch Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Division 5/F, Western Magistracy 2A Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

Attn: Mr. Danny Tang

Agreement No. CE 8/2009(EP) Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Stage 2A Independent Environmental Checker for Construction Phase - Investigation

**Our Reference** GCB/AFK/DC/bw/ T261332/22.01/L-1201

20/F AIA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwun Tong Kowloon Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2827 1823 mottmac.hk

Contract No. DC/2009/24 - Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at

Condition 4.4 - Monthly EM&A Report for April 2017 (no. 64) Version 1.0

Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

11 May 2017 By Post

Dear Sir,

I refer to the captioned Monthly EM&A Report for April 2017 (version 1.0) submitted by ETL on 11 May 2017 via email. In accordance with Condition 4.4 of Environmental Permit No. EP-322/2008/G, I hereby verify the captioned Monthly EM&A Report.

Yours faithfully for MOTT MACDONALD HONG KONG LIMITED

Dr. Anne F Kerr

Independent Environmental Checker

T +852 2828 5757

anne.kerr@mottmac.com

C.C.

Ove Arup & Partners HK Ltd. Mr. Ted Y F Tang

Mr. Kelvin Cheung / Ms. S P Ngan

Fax: 2370 4377

Leader - JEC JV

By email By email

Cinotech Consultants Ltd.

Dr Priscilla Choy

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	r	age
EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
	Introduction	
	Environmental Monitoring Works	
	Air Quality and Noise	
	Noise (Sandy Bay PTW)	
	Environmental Licenses and Permits	
	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule	
	Key Information in the Reporting Month	
	Future Key Issues:	
1	·	
1.	INTRODUCTION	
	Background	
	Project Organizations	
	Construction Programme	
	•	
2.	AIR QUALITY	8
	Monitoring Requirements	8
	Monitoring Locations	8
	Monitoring Equipment	
	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	
	Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	
	Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	
•		
3	NOISE	
	Monitoring Requirements	
	Monitoring Locations	
	Monitoring Equipment	
	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	
	Maintenance and Calibration	13
	Results and Observations	
4	ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	15
4		
	Site Audits	
	Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures	
	Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	
	Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	
	Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions	
5.	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
J.		
	Key Issues for the Coming Month	
	Construction Program for the Next Month	
,	<u> </u>	
6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Conclusions	
	Recommendations	. 19

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Summary Table for Non-compliance Recorded in the Reporting Month
Table II	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in Reporting Month
Table 3.1	Locations for Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Summary the Noise Monitoring Results in Reporting Month
Table 4.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 4.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	General Location Plan of the Project and
	Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 2	ET Organization Chart

### LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
В	Copies of Calibration Certificates
C	Environmental Monitoring Schedule
D	Meteorological Data on monitoring dates
E	Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
F	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
G	Summary of Exceedance
H	Site Audit Summary
I	Summary of Amount of Waste Generated
J	Event Action Plans
K	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
L	Complaint Log
M	Construction Programme

ii Cinotech

#### ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYM

AL Levels Action and Limit Levels

DSD Drainage Services Department

E / ER Engineer/Engineer's Representative

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

EM&A Environmental Monitoring and Audit

EMIS Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EP Environmental Permit

EPD Environmental Protection Department

ET Environmental Team

HATS 2A Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Stage 2A

HVS High Volume Sampler

IEC Independent Environmental Checker

RE Resident Engineer
RH Relative Humidity

QA/QC Quality Assurance / Quality Control

SLM Sound Level Meter

WMP Waste Management Plan

iii Cinotech

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

- 1. This is the 64<sup>th</sup> Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for DSD Contract No. DC/2009/24 "HATS Stage 2A Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau" (The Project) which documents the key information of EM&A of Contract No. DC/2009/24 and environmental monitoring results from DC/2009/24 HATS Stage 2A with the Environmental Permit (Permit No. EP-322/2008/G) for April 2017.
- 2. The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
  - Wah Fu PTW –Green roof installation for FSGT, Grit Handling Room and Switch and Control Room, Continuous interim operation and maintenance of new FSGT facilities, Boundary wall construction adjacent to sea side, DCS programming work and T&C is in progress, Installation of doors, Road and Drainage works construction;
  - Ap Lei Chau PTW Continuous interim operation and maintenance of the ALC PTW, Construction of Remaining Part of Screening and Degritting Facilities and Effluent Pumping Station, Installation of window at Workshop, E&M building services work in HEC substation and transformer room;
  - Aberdeen PTW Continuous interim operation and maintenance of the ABN PTW, Glass block and window installation in Admin. & Workshop Building is in progress, Underground drainage construction, Internal & external finishing works installation inside Admin. & Workshop Building, Installation of stoplogs in middle channel, Excavation of existing outfall chamber, Modify the existing switchboard compartments for upgraded plant equipment in existing switch room is in progress; Building services installation of Administration Building;
  - Sandy Bay PTW N/A;
  - Cyberport PTW New walkway and associated facilities construction.

#### **Environmental Monitoring Works**

3. The environmental monitoring works of the Project was conducted by the ET for the Contract DC/2009/24 under HATS 2A with the Environmental Permit and in accordance with the EM&A Manual. The monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.

#### Air Quality and Noise

4. The monitoring of air quality monitoring station at Wah Ming House, Wah Fu Estate (CM\_WF1a) and noise monitoring station at Aegean Terrace (M6a), Wah Ming House (M7a) and Wah Ling House (M8) was handed over to Contract No. DC/2009/24 from Contract No. DC/2007/24 in July 2014. The noise monitoring station at Mei Chun Court, South Horizons (M9) was handed over to Contract No. DC/2009/24 from Contract No. DC/2008/09 on 28 July 2014. The air quality and noise monitoring stations was set up by Cinotech Consultants Limited (ET for this project) to monitor the air quality and noise in the vicinity of the sensitive receivers starting from July 2014. The environmental monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix C**.

Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau Monthly EM&A Report – April 2017

- 5. Hence, the monitoring of air quality monitoring station at The Arcade, Cyberport (CM\_CB1a), The Hong Kong Ice and Cold Storage (CM\_AB1a) was handed over to Contract No. DC/2009/24 from Contract No. DC/2007/24 in August 2014. The air quality monitoring stations was set up by Cinotech Consultants Limited (ET for this project) to monitor the air quality and noise in the vicinity of the sensitive receivers starting from August 2014. The environmental monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix C**.
- 6. However, the air quality monitoring at CM\_AB1a had been rejected and could not be continued, the proposed location (CM\_AB1b Works Site Boundary of Aberdeen PTW) was approved by ER on 22 July 2014. The air quality monitoring stations was set up by Cinotech Consultants Limited (ET for this project) to monitor the air quality and noise in the vicinity of the sensitive receivers starting from August 2014. The environmental monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix C**. The location of CM\_AB1b is shown in **Figure 1c**.

#### **Noise (Sandy Bay PTW)**

- 7. The Proposal for Termination of Construction Phase EM&A Works for Contract No. DC/2007/24 was submitted by its ET to EPD in July 2015. The proposal, including the termination of noise monitoring at Chuk Lam Ming Tong (M5), was approved by the EPD on 27 July 2015. The result of noise monitoring at M5 would not be reported from 27 July 2015, based on section 15.11 of the EM&A Manual of this Project as below:
  - i) Construction activities including the remaining outstanding construction works for Sandy Bay PTW have been completed by the Contractor of this Project, therefore, no major environmental impact from Sandy Bay PTW in anticipated due to the Project.
- 8. Summary of the non-compliance of the reporting month is tabulated in **Table I**.

Table I Summary Table for Non-compliance Recorded in the Reporting Month

Monitoring	Parameter	No. of Exceedance		No. of Exceedance Due to the Project		Action Taken
Station	Farameter	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Taken
CM CB1a	1-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
CWI_CB1a	24-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
CM WF1a	1-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
CIVI_WF1a	24-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
CM AD11	1-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
CM_AB1b	24-hr TSP	0	0	0	0	N/A
M5						
M6a	Noise	0	0	0	0	N/A
M7a	(Day Time)	0	0	0	0	N/A
M8 M9		0	0	0	0	N/A
		0	0	0	0	N/A

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

9. All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

10. All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### Construction Noise

11. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### **Environmental Licenses and Permits**

12. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP), Notification of Works under APCO, Water Discharge Licences and Registered as a Chemical Waste Producer for Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Ap Lei Chau, Aberdeen, Wah Fu PTWs sites.

#### **Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule**

13. According to the EIA Report Section 3.74, 4.56, 6.384, 9.154 and 13.44, air quality, noise, water quality, waste management and landscape and visual would be the key environmental issues and mitigation measures shall be implemented during the construction phase. Details of the implementation of mitigation measures are provided in the **Appendix K**.

#### **Key Information in the Reporting Month**

14. Summary of key information in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table II**.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Even	vent Details Action Take		Status	Remark
Event	Number	Nature	Action Taken	Status	Kemark
Complaint received	0		N/A	N/A	
Status of submissions under EP	1	Environmental Monitoring and Audit Monthly Report – March 2017	Submitted to EPD on 11 April 2017	No comment	
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received			N/A	N/A	

#### **Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions**

15. There was no environmental prosecution, complaint or notification of summons received in the reporting month, while six complaints were already received since the Project commencement. The Complaint Log is presented in **Appendix L**.

#### **Future Key Issues:**

- 16. Major site activities for the coming two months include:
  - Wah Fu PTW: Operation of New Treatment Plant, Green Roof Installation at FSGT Building, Installation of doors, Construction of boundary wall;
  - Aberdeen PTW: Operation of PTW, Finishing Works of the Workshop and Administration Building, Building Service Installation of the Workshop and Administration Building, Road & Drainage Works along access road, Modification of Existing Outfall Chamber and Bypass Manhole, Construction of Cable Drawpits and Cable Ducts;
  - Ap Lei Chau PTW: Operation of PTW, Construction for the Remaining Part of Screening and Degritting Facilities and Effluent Pumping Station, Building Service installation of Screening and Degritting Facilities and Effluent Pumping Station;
  - Sandy Bay PTW: N/A; and
  - Cyberport PTW: Road works.
- 17. The environmental concerns in coming months are mainly on chemicals storage, surface run off, spillage of wastewater during rainstorm and dust generated from the construction works.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### **Background**

- 1.1 The Project 'HATS Stage 2A Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau' with Contract No: DC/2009/24 mainly comprises the following major works:
  - The construction of screens, grit traps, deodourisation rooms, workshop and administration buildings, and modification of existing inlet pumping stations at the preliminary treatment works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau.
- 1.2 The general location plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.3 The Project is under Harbour Area Treatment Scheme (HATS) Stage 2A and is a designated project (Register No. : AEIAR-121/2008). The environmental permit: (Permit No. EP-322/2008/G) which was issued on 9<sup>th</sup> May 2014 to the Drainage Services Department (hereinafter called the DSD) as the Permit Holder.
- 1.4 Leader and JEC Joint Venture (hereafter called the LJJV) was commissioned by the DSD to undertake the construction of the Contract No. DC/2009/24 "Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau".
- 1.5 Cinotech Consultants Limited was commissioned by LJJV to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the project and was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) of the Project under Condition 2.1 of the EP.
- 1.6 The construction works at Wah Fu PTW and Ap Lei Chau PTW were commenced in the January 2012.
- 1.7 The construction phase of EM&A programme of the Project commenced in January 2012.
- 1.8 This is the 64<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works conducted for the Project in April 2017.

#### **Project Organizations**

1.9 The contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1** and the organization chart of ET for Contract is shown in **Figure 2**.

**Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts** 

Party	Role	Name	Position	Phone No.
Drainage Services Department	Project Proponent	Mr. Vincent Y.K. Wong	Senior Engineer 2	2159 3406
Ove Arup & Partners	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Ted Tang	Principal Resident Engineer	2370-4311
Hong Kong Ltd	Coordinator	Ms. Natalie Kwok	Resident Engineer	6794 8844
Cinotech	Environmental	Dr. Priscilla Choy	ET Leader	2151 2089

Party	Role	e Name Posi		Phone No.
	Team	Ms. Janet Wai	Project Coordinator & Audit Team Leader	2157 3879
Mott MacDonald	Independent Environmental Checker	Dr. Anne Kerr	Independent Environmental Checker	2828 5757
Leader and JEC	Contractor	Mr. Kelvin Cheung	Site Agent	9656 8865
Joint Venture		Ms. S.P. Ngan	Environmental Officer	9516 9431

#### **Construction Programme**

- 1.10 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
  - Wah Fu PTW –Green roof installation for FSGT, Grit Handling Room and Switch and Control Room, Continuous interim operation and maintenance of new FSGT facilities, Boundary wall construction adjacent to sea side, DCS programming work and T&C is in progress, Installation of doors, Road and Drainage works construction;
  - Ap Lei Chau PTW Continuous interim operation and maintenance of the ALC PTW, Construction of Remaining Part of Screening and Degritting Facilities and Effluent Pumping Station, Installation of window at Workshop, E&M building services work in HEC substation and transformer room;
  - Aberdeen PTW Continuous interim operation and maintenance of the ABN PTW, Glass block and window installation in Admin. & Workshop Building is in progress, Underground drainage construction, Internal & external finishing works installation inside Admin. & Workshop Building, Installation of stoplogs in middle channel, Excavation of existing outfall chamber, Modify the existing switchboard compartments for upgraded plant equipment in existing switch room is in progress; Building services installation of Administration Building;
  - Sandy Bay PTW N/A;
  - Cyberport PTW New walkway and associated facilities construction.

#### **Summary of EM&A Requirements**

- 1.11 The EM&A programme requires construction phase monitoring for air quality and construction noise, landscape and visual and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:
  - All monitoring parameters;
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
  - Event Action Plans;
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study final report; and
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.12 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in **Section 4** of this report.
- 1.13 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, for required monitoring parameter namely dust, noise levels, and audit works conducted for the Project in April 2017. For the methodology and QA/QC procedures of the monitoring

parameters, please refer to the Section 2 and 3 of this report.

#### 2. AIR QUALITY

#### **Monitoring Requirements**

2.1 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring were conducted to monitor the air quality. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

#### **Monitoring Locations**

2.2 Three designated monitoring stations, CM\_CB1a, CM\_WF1a and CM\_AB1b were selected for impact dust monitoring for the Project. **Table 2.1** describes the air quality monitoring locations and the responsible ET who is carrying out the impact air quality monitoring. The monitoring locations which are also depicted in **Figure 1**.

**Table 2.1** Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Station	Monitored by	Location of Measurement
CM_CB1a <sup>(1)</sup>		The Arcade, Cyberport
CM_WF1a <sup>(1)</sup>	DC/2009/24	Wah Ming House, Wah Fu Estate
CM_AB1b <sup>(2)</sup>		Works Site Boundary of Aberdeen PTW

#### Remarks:

1: Refer to the monthly report of DC/2007/24, revision to the original monitoring location in EM&A Manual was made and was verified by IEC on 19 November 2009 and subsequently approved by EPD on 27 November 2009.

2: Relocation of the air quality monitoring station was verified by IEC on 23 October 2014 and approved by EPD on 5 December 2014.

#### **Monitoring Equipment**

2.3 Both 1-hour TSP monitoring and continuous 24-hour TSP impact air quality monitoring were performed and complied with the specifications stipulated in the approved EM&A Manual. **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring programme. Copies of the calibration certificates for the equipment are presented in **Appendix B**.

**Table 2.2** Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
HVS Samplers	GMWS 2310 HVS, Model GS-2310-105	2
H v S Samplers	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170	1
Laser Dust Meter	Sibata; Model no. LD-3B	2
Laser Dust Meter	Hal Technology; Model no. Hal-HPC300	2
Calibrator	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5025A	1

#### Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.4 **Table 2.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedules could be found in Appendix C of this report.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Parameter	Period	Frequency
All monitoring locations	1-hour TSP	0700-1900 hrs	3 times/ every 6 days
	24-hour TSP	0000-2400 hrs	once in every 6 days

#### Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

2.5 Weather data was recorded during the monitoring period and is shown in **Appendix D**. The data was obtained from the Meteorological Observations from Hong Kong Observatory Station. The general weather conditions (i.e. sunny, cloudy or rainy) were recorded by the field staff's observation on the monitoring day.

#### Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

1-hour TSP Monitoring

(Equipment: Sibata; Model no. LD-3, LD-3B)

#### Measuring Procedures

- 2.6 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:
  - Pull up the air sampling inlet cover
  - Change the Mode 0 to BG with once
  - Push Start/Stop switch once
  - Turn the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
  - Push Start/Stop switch once
  - Return the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
  - Push the timer set switch to set measuring time
  - Remove the cap and make a measurement

#### Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.7 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:
  - Check the meter at a 3-month interval and calibrate the meter at a 1-year interval throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

#### Instrumentation

2.8 High volume (HVS) samplers (Model no. TE-5170 and GS-2310-105) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

Monthly EM&A Report – April 2017

#### Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.9 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:
  - A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
  - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
  - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
  - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
  - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
  - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
  - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
  - The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
  - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 2.10 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 2.11 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 µm diameter.
- 2.12 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly. On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.13 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and a weighted and conditioned filter was carefully centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- 2.14 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.15 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- 2.16 The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- 2.17 After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 2.18 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than  $\pm 3$ °C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than

 $\pm 5\%$ . A convenient working RH is 40%.

#### Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.19 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
  - The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
- 2.20 High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using Calibration Kit (Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5025A) throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

#### **Results and Observations**

2.21 **Table 2.4** summarizes the monitoring results at CM\_CB1a, CM\_WF1a and CM\_AB1b in the reporting month.

Table 2.4	Summary of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in Reporting
	Month

Air Quality Monitoring Station	<b>Average</b> μg/m³	Range μg/m³	<b>Action Level</b> μg/m³	Limit Level µg/m³		
		1 hour TSP				
CM_CB1a	115	30-261	280			
CM_WF1a	108	22-211	285	500		
CM_AB1b	127	36-251	283			
	24 hours TSP					
CM_CB1a	78	52-119	178			
CM_WF1a	46	20-78	185	260		
CM_AB1b	77	47-119	174			

- 2.22 The detailed monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results could be referred to **Appendix E**.
- 2.23 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. Summary of exceedance is presented in **Appendix G.**
- 2.24 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. Summary of exceedance is presented in **Appendix G**.
- 2.25 The identified dust sources at the monitoring stations were mainly from sea traffic, road traffic.

#### 3 NOISE

#### **Monitoring Requirements**

Four noise monitoring stations, namely M6a, M7a, M8 and M9 were designated in the EM&A Manual for impact monitoring in the reporting month. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

#### **Monitoring Locations**

- 3.2 Noise monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring stations as listed in **Table** 3.1
- 3.3 Noise monitoring at M5 Chuk Lam Ming Tong was completed by the end of July 2015.

**Table 3.1** Location of Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Monitored By	<b>Location of Measurement</b>
M6a <sup>(1)</sup> (Cyberport PTW)		Aegean Terrace
M7a <sup>(1)</sup> (Wah Fu PTW)	DC/2000/24	Wah Ming House
M8 (Aberdeen PTW)	DC/2009/24	Wah Lai House
M9 (Ap Lei Chau PTW)		Mei Chun Court, South Horizons

Remark 1: Refer to the monthly report of DC/2007/24, revision to the original monitoring location in EM&A Manual was made and was verified by IEC on 19 November 2009 and subsequently approved by EPD on 27 November 2009.

#### **Monitoring Equipment**

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. The meter is a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq) and percentile sound pressure level (Lx) and also complied with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment being used. Copies of the calibration certificates for the sound level meter and calibrator are attached in **Appendix B**.

**Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment** 

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
Integrating Sound Level Meter	SVAN 957	2
Colibrator	SV30A	2
Calibrator	B&K 4231	1

#### **Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

- 3.5 **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedules could be found in **Appendix** C of this report.
- 3.6 As advised by the Contractor, no construction work under this project was conducted during

the restricted hours in reporting month.

**Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration** Table 3.3

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Period	Frequency
M6a M7a M8 M9	L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min.) dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs. on normal weekdays	Once per week
M6a M7a M8 M9	L <sub>eq</sub> (5 min.) dB(A)	During restricted hours	Weekly monitoring to be conducted during the construction works

#### Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was generally set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground, depending to the actual monitoring condition.
- For free field measurement (if any), the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces. All records for free field noise levels were adjusted with a correction of +3 dB(A).
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

frequency weighting time weighting : Fast

time measurement : 30 minutes / 5 minutes

- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$  and  $L_{10}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady

speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

#### **Maintenance and Calibration**

The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator was cleaned with soft cloth 3.7 regularly. The meters were sent to the supplier to check and calibrate on a yearly interval.

#### **Results and Observations**

3.8 **Table 3.4** summarizes the monitoring results at M6a, M7a, M8 and M9 in reporting month.

#### Table 3.4 Summary of the Noise Monitoring Results in Reporting Month

For the time period 0700-1900 hrs. on weekdays					
Monitoring Station	Range, dB(A) L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min.)	Limit Level, dB(A) L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min.)			
M6a	49-56 <sup>(1)</sup>				
M7a	57-67	75.0			
M8	56-70	/3.0			
M9	46-60				

Remark: (1) Free-field measurement, +3dB correction.

- 3.9 The construction noise monitoring at the designated locations was conducted by the ET of this project as scheduled in the reporting month. The monitoring results and graphical presentation are provided in **Appendix F**.
- 3.10 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. Summary of exceedance is presented in **Appendix G**.
- 3.11 The major noise sources identified at the designated noise monitoring stations were from road traffic noise, sea traffic.

#### 4 ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

#### **Site Audits**

- 4.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.
- 4.2 Environmental site audits were conducted on 7, 13, 21 and 28 April 2017. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.
- 4.3 Site inspections were undertaken to ensure and check that the implementation and maintenance of mitigation measures for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality, Waste Management, Landscape and Visual are being properly carried out in the reporting month in accordance to section 14.1 of the EM&A Manual. No non-compliance was observed during the site inspections.
- 4.4 The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.

#### **Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures**

4.5 The monitoring works were conducted by the monitoring team of this project. The monitoring procedures were reviewed by its ET.

#### Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

4.6 All permits/licenses obtained for the Contract DC/2009/24 are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status for Contract DC/2009/24

Permit	Valid	Period	Details	Status			
Number	From	To	Details	Status			
Water Disch	Water Discharge License						
WT000116 29-2012	N/A	31/1/2017	Location: Sandy Bay PTW				
WT000116 33-2012	N/A	31/1/2017	Location: Cyber Port PTW				
WT000116 32-2012	$\mathbf{N}/\Delta = 1 \cdot 3 1/1/21 1 \cdot 1$		Location: Ap Lei Chau	Expiry			
WT000162 42-2013	N/A	31/3/2017	Location: Aberdeen PTW				
WT000168 37-2013	N/A	31/8/2018	Location: Wah Fu PTW	Valid			
Notification	of Works Und	ler APCO					
334694	6/9/2011	N/A	All PTWs	N/A			
Registered C	Registered Chemical Waste Producer						
5218-171- L2783-01	14/12/2011	N/A	Location: Sandy Bay PTW	Valid			
5218-171- L2783-02	30/12/2011	N/A	Location: Cyber Port PTW	v and			

5218-174- L2783-03	30/12/2011	N/A	Location: Ap Lei Chau				
5218-173- L2783-04	30/12/2011	N/A	Location: Aberdeen PTW				
5218-172- L2783-05	30/12/2011	N/A	Location: Wah Fu PTW				
Special Was	Special Waste Admission Ticket						
13434	24/11/2016	23/11/2017	Location: Ap Lei Chau	Valid			
13435	24/11/2016	23/11/2017	Location: Aberdeen PTW	Valid			
13433	24/11/2016	23/11/2017	Location: Wah Fu PTW	Valid			

#### **Status of Waste Management**

4.7 The amount of wastes generated by the activities of the Project in the reporting month is shown in **Appendix I.** 

#### **Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures**

- 4.8 Details of the implementation of mitigation measures are provided in the **Appendix K.**
- 4.9 During the weekly environmental site inspections in the reporting period, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations for the Projects are summarized in **Table 4.2.**

Table 4.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Ref. Number	Observations	Follow Up Action
Water	170428- O01	Stand water should be avoided at Wah Fu PTW to prevent mosquitoes breeding.	The stand water was cleared at Wah Fu-PTW.
Quality	170428- O02	Stand water should be avoided at Aberdeen PTW.	The stand water was cleared at Abd-PTW.
Air Quality			
Waste/ Chemical Management	170407- R01	To clear the oil stain under the excavator at Abd-PTW.	The oil stain was cleared at Abd-PTW.
Noise	Noise		
Landscape and Visual			
Permit/ Licenses			

#### **Implementation Status of Event Action Plans**

4.10 The Event Action Plans for air quality and noise are presented in **Appendix J.** 

1-hr TSP

4.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

24-hr TSP

4.12 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise

4.13 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Landscape and Visual

4.14 No non-compliance was recorded.

#### **Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions**

4.15 There was no environmental prosecution or notification of summons received while six complaints were already received since the Project commencement. The Complaint Log is presented in **Appendix L.** 

#### 5. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

#### **Key Issues for the Coming Month**

- 5.1 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:
  - Generation of dust from stockpiles of excavated and dusty materials, unpaved site area and vehicle movement, roadworks, excavation works and loading and unloading dusty materials on-site;
  - Noise nuisance from operation of equipment and machinery on-site;
  - Provision well maintenance on the storage facilities of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on-site;
  - Maintenance of de-silting facilities and drainage system such as U-channels;
  - Blockage of U-channel by accumulated silt;
  - Silty surface runoff generated from the site area; and
  - Silt and dust getting into the public area by the leaving site vehicles at the site exits without adequate wheel washing facilities.

#### Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

5.2 The tentative environmental monitoring schedules for the next month could be found in the **Appendix C** of this report.

#### **Construction Program for the Next Month**

5.3 The tentative construction program is provided in **Appendix M.** 

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Conclusions**

6.1 Environmental monitoring and audit works were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

6.2 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

6.3 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### **Construction Noise Monitoring**

6.4 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

#### Environmental Audit

6.5 Environmental site audits were conducted as weekly basis in the reporting month. No non-compliance was recorded.

#### Complaint and Prosecution

6.6 No environmentally related summons, prosecutions or complaints were received in the reporting month.

#### Recommendations

6.7 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:


#### Water Quality


• Regular clear the stand water to prevent the accumulation in the site.


#### Waste/Chemical Management


• To provide the maintenance of PMEs to prevent the oil spillage and proper clear the oil stain in the site.

#### **FIGURES**









# Environmental Team Leader Dr. Priscilla Choy (Tel: 2151 2089)

#### **Project Coordinator**

- coordination of the Project and compile reports

Janet Wai

(Tel: 2151 2078)

#### **Monitoring Team**

- perform environmental monitoring works

Team Leader: Tang Wing Kwai (Tel: 2151 2087)

Team Members: Lee Man Hei, Chau Kin Wa, Ho Yam Chun, Ho Ka Chun, Fong Ka Chun, Ho Chi Wai, Wong Chi Hung

#### **Audit Team**

- conduct site inspection, complete the environmental checklist once a week

Team Leader: Ivy Tam (Tel: 2151 2090)

Team Members: Johnny Fung, Victor Wong

Title	Contract No. DC/2009/24
	HATS Stage 2A - Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu,
	Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau
	ET's Organization Chart

Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA11060
Date	Mar-15	Figure	2



APPENDIX A ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITYAND NOISE

## Appendix A Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP and 24-Hour TSP

Manitaning Stations	Action Level (μg/m³)		Limit Level (µg/m³)	
Monitoring Stations	1-hour	24-hour	1-hour	24-hour
CM_CB1a	280	178		
CM_WF1a	285	185	500	260
CM_AB1b	283	174		

Table A-2 Action and Limit Level for Construction Noise

Monitoring Stations	Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
M5 M6a M7a M8 M9	0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 <sup>(1)</sup>

Remark: 1: 70dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

#### APPENDIX B COPIES OF CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

# High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

## CINOTECH

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET File No. MA11060/69/0018 CM\_WF1a - Wah Ming House Station Operator: WK Date: 24-Mar-17 Next Due Date: 23-May-17 Equipment No.: A-01-69 Serial No. 3222 Ambient Condition Temperature, Ta (K) 294.7 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 765.2 Orifice Transfer Standard Information Serial No.: 0993 Slope, mc (CFM) 0.0578 Intercept, bc -0.04890 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 28-Feb-17 Qstd =  $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} -bc \} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 27-Feb-18 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration  $\Delta H$  (orifice),  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Qstd (CFM) ΔW (HVS), in. Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]<sup>1/2</sup> in. of water X - axis of water Y-axis 1 12.2 3.52 61.85 7.9 2.84 2 9.8 3.16 55.52 6.1 2.49 3 7.5 2.76 48.68 4.7 2.19 4 5.2 2.30 40.67 3.3 1.83 5 3.4 1.86 33.05 2.3 1.53 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0450Intercept, bw : \_\_\_\_\_ 0.0157 Correlation coefficient\* = 0.9986 \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point;  $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks:

Checked by:

Date:

Date:

# High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

## CINOTECH

File No. MA11060/38/0018

Station	CM_AB1b - Wor	ks Site Boundary	of Aberdeen PTW	_ Operator:	W	ζ		
Date:	24-Mar-17		_	Next Due Date:		23-May-17		
Equipment No.:	quipment No.: A-01-38			Serial No.		1402		
			Ambient	Condition				
Temperatu	re, Ta (K)	294	Pressure, Pa	ı (mmHg)		766.2		
						***************************************		
		О	rifice Transfer St	andard Inform	ation			N. S.
Serial	No.:	0993	Slope, mc (CFM) 0.0578 Intercept, bc -0.0489					
Last Calibration Date:		28-Feb-17	mc x Qstd + bc = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$					
Next Calibration Date:		27-Feb-18	Qstd = $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta) \}^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$					
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler				
Calibration Point		O	rfice	HVS				
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>		Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in, of water	[ΔW x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] axis		½ <b>Y</b> -
1	11.8		3,47	60.95	6.9		2.66	
2	9.7	3.15		55.34	5.8	2.43		
3	7.4		2.75	48.44	4.5	2.14		
4	5.1	2.28		40.36	3.1	1.78		
5	3.3		1.84	32.63	2.0	1.43		
Slope, mw = Correlation co	0.0434 pefficient* =	0.9	9996	Intercept, bw:	0.022	29	_	
*If Correlation C	oefficient < 0.99	0, check and rec	alibrate.	-				
			Set Point C	Calculation				9363 (N 1763 (N
From the TSP Fig	eld Calibration C	urve, take Qstd	= 43 CFM			•		
	sion Equation, the	<del>-</del>						
			_					
		mw x	$Qstd + bw = [\Delta W]$	x (Pa/760) x (29	98/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			
Therefore, Se	t Point: W = ( my	w x Ostd + bw )	<sup>2</sup> x ( 760 / Pa ) x ( 1	ra / 298 ) =	3.50	1		
,			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_	5,50	<u> </u>	_	
	***************************************						1	
Remarks:								
				-				
Conducted by: Checked by:	NK lang	Signature: Signature:	Kw	ai)		Date:	24317 24 March	<u>201</u> 2
	·			/				

# High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

## CINOTECH

File No. MA11060/41/0012

Date:	Station CM_CB1a - The Arcade, Cyberpo			ort Operator:		ζ		
Date.	Minimum T				23-May-17		_	
Equipment No.: A-01-41			Serial No.					
3 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -	and the first transmission that was a read of							
			Ambient Co	ondition				
Temperature, Ta (K) 293.6		Pressure, Pa (mmHg)		765.7				
teras detakti sur decurs d					Name and American	Jane Parker (1980)		
			Orifice Transfer Stan	1 1				
Serial		0993 Slope, mc (CFM)		0.0578			-0.04890	
Last Calibration Date:		28-Feb-17	<b></b>		= $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ $(Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ -be} / mc			
Next Calibra	ation Date:	27-Feb-18		$2std = \{   \Delta H \times (a)   \Delta H \times (a) \}$	Pa/760) x (298/	[a)]""-bc}	/ me	
				erenguneen hetel gegigt van Heureland.		ased waters are		
			Calibration of T	SP Sampler			· 的复数 (1995年)	
Calibration Point	ATT (onition)		Orfice		HVS			
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	[ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>		Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	LΔW x (P	a/760) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup> Y- axis	
1	11.8		3.47	60.97	7.1		2.69	
2	9.7		3.15	55.36	5.9		2.46	
3	7.4	2.75		48.46	4.6		2.17	
4	5,2		2.31	40.76	3.3		1.84	
5	3.5		1.89	33.59	2.2		1.50	
Slope, mw = Correlation co	0.0434 oefficient* =		<b>.9</b> 997	Intercept, bw :	0.055	54	_	
	Coefficient < 0.99			=				
ii Corrolation C	Compone vo.	o, oncor and root	morate.					
							1	
			Set Point Ca	lculation				
rom the TSP Fi	eld Calibration C	urve, take Qstd =		lculation				
	eld Calibration C	=	: 43 CFM	lculation				
		e "Y" value acco	43 CFM					
		e "Y" value acco	: 43 CFM					
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	43 CFM	(Pa/760) x (298				
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	<u>l</u>		
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	L		
From the Regress	sion Equation, the	"Y" value acco.	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			
Therefore,	Set Point; W = (	mw x mw x Qstd + bw	= 43 CFM rding to  Qstd + bw = [ΔW x    ) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x ( Ta	(Pa/760) x (298.	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			
Therefore,  Remarks:	sion Equation, the	mw x mw x Qstd + bw Signature:	= 43 CFM rding to Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (	(Pa/760) x (298.	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>	Date:	2413117	
Therefore,	Set Point; W = (	mw x mw x Qstd + bw	= 43 CFM rding to  Qstd + bw = [ΔW x    ) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x ( Ta	(Pa/760) x (298.	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>		2413117 24 March 20	
Therefore,  emarks:	Set Point; W = (	mw x mw x Qstd + bw Signature:	= 43 CFM rding to  Qstd + bw = [ΔW x    ) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x ( Ta	(Pa/760) x (298.	/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>		2413117	



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Fe Operator	•	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I	•	438320 0993 	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	294 - 750.57
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.3860 0.9910 0.8840 0.8430 0.6970	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.7 12.6	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967 0.9925 0.9904 0.9894 0.9842	0.7191 1.0015 1.1204 1.1737 1.4120	1.4149 2.0010 2.2372 2.3464 2.8299		0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9884 0.9832	0.7184 1.0005 1.1192 1.1725 1.4106	0.8851 1.2517 1.3995 1.4678 1.7702
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	= (b) $=$	2.04055 -0.04890 0.99995		Qa slope intercept coefficie	= (b) $=$	1.27776 -0.03059 0.99995
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)] y axis = SQRT[H				SQRT[H20(	[a/Pa)]	

### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:



WBLLAB LIMITED Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/A/170303C
Date of Issue:	2017-03-06
Date Received:	2017-03-03
Date Tested:	2017-03-03
Date Completed:	2017-03-06
Next Due Date:	2017-05-05

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### Item for Calibration:

Description

: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer

: Sibata

Model No.

: LD-3B

Serial No.

: 541146

Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM

 $: 0.001 \text{ mg/m}^3$ 

Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting

: 625 CPM

Equipment No.

: A-02-07

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature

: 23 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 64 %

### Test Specifications & Methodology:

- 1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
- 2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

### Results:


Correlation Factor (CF)

0.0034

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/170302C Date of Issue: 2017-03-04

Date Received: 2017-03-02

Date Tested: 2017-03-02 2017-03-04 Date Completed:

Next Due Date:

2017-05-03

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### **Certificate of Calibration**

### Item for Calibration:

Description

: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer

: Sibata

Model No.

: LD-3B

Serial No.

: 095029

Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM

 $: 0.001 \text{ mg/m}^3$ 

Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting

: 551 CPM

Equipment No.

: A-02-10

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 61 %

### Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.

\*

2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

### Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)

0.0037

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.



WELLAB LIMITED Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/170217
Date of Issue: 2017-02-20
Date Received: 2017-02-17
Date Tested: 2017-02-17
Date Completed: 2017-02-20

Date Completed: 2017-02-20 Next Due Date: 2017-04-19

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### **Certificate of Calibration**

### Item for Calibration:

Description

: Handheld Particle Counter

Manufacturer

: Hal Technology

Model No.

: Hal-HPC300

Serial No. Flow rate

: 3020408 : 0.1 cfm

Zero Count Test

: 0 count per 5 minutes

Equipment No.

: A-26-01

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 61 %

### **Test Specifications & Methodology:**

- 1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
- 2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.


#### Results:

Correlation Factor (C	CF)	1.081	

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/170419A
Date of Issue: 2017-04-22
Date Received: 2017-04-19
Date Tested: 2017-04-19
Date Completed: 2017-04-22
Next Due Date: 2017-06-21

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### Item for Calibration:

Description : Handheld Particle Counter

Manufacturer: Hal TechnologyModel No.: Hal-HPC300Serial No.: 3020408

Flow rate : 0.1 cfm

Zero Count Test : 0 count per 5 minutes

Equipment No. : A-26-01

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature : 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity : 62 %

### Test Specifications & Methodology:

- 1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
- 2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

### Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.137			
***********************				

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/170217A
Date of Issue: 2017-02-20
Date Received: 2017-02-17
Date Tested: 2017-02-17
Date Completed: 2017-02-20
Next Due Date: 2017-04-19

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### **Item for Calibration:**

Description

: Handheld Particle Counter

Manufacturer

: Hal Technology

Model No.

: Hal-HPC300

Serial No.

: 3020409

Flow rate

: 0.1 cfm

Zero Count Test

: 0 count per 5 minutes

Equipment No.

: A-26-02

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 61 %

### **Test Specifications & Methodology:**

- 1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
- 2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.


### Results:

ĺ	Correlation Factor (CF)	1.098	

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/170419B
Date of Issue: 2017-04-22
Date Received: 2017-04-19
Date Tested: 2017-04-19
Date Completed: 2017-04-22
Next Due Date: 2017-06-21

ATTN:

Mr. W. K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### Item for Calibration:

Description

: Handheld Particle Counter

Manufacturer

: Hal Technology

Model No.

: Hal-HPC300

Serial No.

: 3020409

Flow rate

: 0.1 cfm

Zero Count Test

: 0 count per 5 minutes

Equipment No.

: A-26-02

**Test Conditions:** 

Room Temperature

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 62 %

### Test Specifications & Methodology:

- 1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
- 2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

### Results:


Correlation Factor (CF)

1.194

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk



### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819B
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 957

Serial No.
Microphone No.

: 21459 : 43676

Equipment No.

: N-08-08

### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 58%

### **Test Specifications:**

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

### Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

### Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB	
94	94.0	
114	114.0	

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WBLLAB LIMITED Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819C
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### **Certificate of Calibration**

### Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 957

Serial No.

: 21460

Microphone No.

: 43679

Equipment No.

: N-08-09

### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 58%

### **Test Specifications:**

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

### Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

### Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB	
94	94.0	
114	114.0	

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE





TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/160930A
Date of Issue: 2016-10-03
Date Received: 2016-09-30
Date Tested: 2016-09-30
Date Completed: 2016-10-03
Next Due Date: 2017-10-02

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A : 24803

Serial No. Equipment No.

: N-09-03

### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

### Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

### Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager



Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24791

Equipment No.

: N-09-04

### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

### Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

### Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

BATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

-	
Test Report No.:	C/N/160819D
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

### Certificate of Calibration

### Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2412367

Equipment No.

: N-02-03

### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 58%

### Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

### Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SCHEDULE

### Contract No. DC/2009/24

## HATS 2A – Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring for April 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1-Apr
2-Apr	3-Apr	4-Apr	5-Apr	6-Apr	7-Apr	8-Apr
			1 hr TSP			
			(CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)			
			Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			
	24hr TSP					24hr TSP
9-Apr	10-Apr	11-Apr	12-Apr	13-Apr	14-Apr	15-Apr
		1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP		
		(CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)		(CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)		
		Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)	241 TOD			
16-Apr	17-Apr	18-Apr	24hr TSP 19-Apr	20-Apr	21-Apr	22-Apr
10 1101	17 1101	10 11p1	17 7151	20 1101	21 1191	
			1 hr TSP			
			(CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			
		24hr TSP	Noise (Moa, M/a, Mo & M/3)			
23-Apr	24-Apr		26-Apr	27-Apr	28-Apr	29-Apr
		1.1 TCD			11 TCD	
		1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)			1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)	
		Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			(e.ii.b.is, e.b.ia a .i.i.a)	
	24hr TSP			24hr TSP		
30-Apr						

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station (1 hr TSP & 24 hr TSP)

CM\_CB1a - The Arcade, Cyberport

CM WF1a - Wah Ming House

CM AB1b - Works Site Boundary of Aberdeen PTW

Noise Monitoring Station

M6a - Aegean Terrace

M7a - Wah Ming House

M8 - Wah Lai House

M9 - Mei Chun Court

### Contract No. DC/2009/24

## HATS 2A – Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring for May 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	1-May	2-May	3-May	4-May	5-May	6-May
		24hr TSP		1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)		
7-May	8-May	9-May	10-May	11-May	12-May	13-May
	24hr TSP	1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			24hr TSP	
14-May	15-May	16-May	17-May	18-May	19-May	20-May
	1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			24hr TSP	1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a)	
21-May	22-May	23-May	24-May	25-May	26-May	27-May
			24hr TSP	1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)		
28-May	29-May	30-May	31-May			
The shell have been determined as	24hr TSP		1 hr TSP (CM_AB1b, CB1a & WF1a) Noise (M6a, M7a, M8 & M9)			

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

### Air Quality Monitoring Station (1 hr TSP & 24 hr TSP)

CM\_CB1a - The Arcade, Cyberport CM\_WF1a - Wah Ming House

CM\_AB1b - Works Site Boundary of Aberdeen PTW

#### Noise Monitoring Station

M6a - Aegean Terrace

M7a - Wah Ming House

M8 - Wah Lai House

M9 - Mei Chun Court


### APPENDIX D METEOROLOGICAL DATA ON MONITORING DATES


### Appendix D


### **Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (3 April 2017)**

(Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:










## Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (4 April 2017)

(Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:










## Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (5 April 2017)

(Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:









# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (8 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

Temperature/Humidity:









# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (9 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:









# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (11 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:










# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (12 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:










# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (13 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

Temperature/Humidity:









# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (18 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:








Monthly EM&A Report

# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (19 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:









# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (24 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:










## Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (25 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:








Monthly EM&A Report

# Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (27 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:








## Meteorological Data Recorded from HKO Station (28 April 2017) (Source: www.hko.gov.hk)

### Temperature/Humidity:







APPENDIX E AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

### **Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

Location CM_W	/F1a - Wah N	ling House (Roof)	
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ( μg/m³)
5-Apr-17	13:00	Sunny	72.2
5-Apr-17	14:00	Sunny	70.6
5-Apr-17	15:00	Sunny	76.0
11-Apr-17	13:00	Cloudy	24.3
11-Apr-17	14:00	Cloudy	25.4
11-Apr-17	15:00	Cloudy	22.0
13-Apr-17	13:00	Cloudy	210.7
13-Apr-17	14:00	Cloudy	187.0
13-Apr-17	15:00	Cloudy	208.1
19-Apr-17	13:00	Sunny	122.7
19-Apr-17	14:00	Sunny	133.2
19-Apr-17	15:00	Sunny	111.5
25-Apr-17	9:00	Fine	183.7
25-Apr-17	10:00	Fine	174.8
25-Apr-17	11:00	Fine	170.5
28-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	52.0
28-Apr-17	10:00	Cloudy	49.4
28-Apr-17	11:00	Cloudy	56.0
		Average	108.3
		Maximum	210.7
		Minimum	22.0


Location CM_Al	B1b - Works	Site Boundary of	f Aberdeen PTW
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ( μg/m³)
5-Apr-17	9:00	Sunny	94.9
5-Apr-17	10:00	Sunny	86.9
5-Apr-17	11:00	Sunny	93.8
11-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	35.8
11-Apr-17	10:00	Cloudy	40.5
11-Apr-17	11:00	Cloudy	38.1
13-Apr-17	13:00	Cloudy	248.7
13-Apr-17	14:00	Cloudy	251.4
13-Apr-17	15:00	Cloudy	241.3
19-Apr-17	9:00	Sunny	105.9
19-Apr-17	10:00	Sunny	98.7
19-Apr-17	11:00	Sunny	111.6
25-Apr-17	8:30	Fine	219.7
25-Apr-17	9:30	Fine	230.6
25-Apr-17	10:30	Fine	221.6
28-Apr-17	13:00	Cloudy	56.5
28-Apr-17	14:00	Cloudy	54.2
28-Apr-17	15:00	Cloudy	50.7
		Average	126.7
		Maximum	251.4
		Minimum	35.8

MA11060/App E - 1hr TSP Cinotech

### **Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

Location CM_C	B1a - The Ar	cade, Cyberport	
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ( μg/m³)
5-Apr-17	13:00	Sunny	89.3
5-Apr-17	14:00	Sunny	76.4
5-Apr-17	15:00	Sunny	79.4
11-Apr-17	13:00	Cloudy	30.1
11-Apr-17	14:00	Cloudy	33.6
11-Apr-17	15:00	Cloudy	34.8
13-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	241.4
13-Apr-17	10:00	Cloudy	251.9
13-Apr-17	11:00	Cloudy	260.8
19-Apr-17	13:00	Sunny	112.9
19-Apr-17	14:00	Sunny	115.4
19-Apr-17	15:00	Sunny	102.7
25-Apr-17	8:30	Fine	169.3
25-Apr-17	9:30	Fine	172.6
25-Apr-17	10:30	Fine	177.1
28-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	36.9
28-Apr-17	10:00	Cloudy	41.5
28-Apr-17	11:00	Cloudy	41.5
		Average	114.9
		Maximum	260.8
		Minimum	30.1

MA11060/App E - 1hr TSP Cinotech



### **Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

### Location CM\_WF1a - Wah Ming House

Start Date	t Date Start Time Weather		Air	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	culate Elapse Time		Sampling	mpling Flow Rate (m³/min.)		Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.	Filter
Start Date	Start Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Initial	Final	Weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	ID no.
3-Apr-17	9:00	Sunny	294.2	2.8933	3.0295	0.1362	5781.9	5805.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1738.3	78.4	170304/012
8-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	296.8	2.8429	2.9098	0.0669	5805.9	5829.9	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1734.7	38.6	170304/068
12-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	291.9	3.5930	3.6275	0.0345	5829.9	5853.9	24.0	1.22	1.21	1.22	1749.7	19.7	170401/023
18-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	298.4	3.6656	3.7884	0.1228	5853.9	5877.9	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1726.7	71.1	170401/050
24-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	294.7	2.8617	2.9259	0.0642	5877.9	5901.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1742.0	36.9	170304/036
27-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	295.3	3.6214	3.6784	0.0570	5901.9	5925.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1738.1	32.8	170303/085
													Min	19.7	
													Max	78.4	1
													Average	46.2	1

### Location CM\_AB1b - Works Site Boundary of Aberdeen PTW


Start Date	Date Start Time Weather Air		Air	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate	articulate Elapse Time		Sampling	Flow Rate (m³/min.)		Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.	Filter
Start Date	Start Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Initial	Final	Weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	$(m^3)$	(µg/m³)	ID no.
3-Apr-17	9:00	Sunny	294.7	2.8406	3.0482	0.2076	6755.4	6779.4	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1743.7	119.1	170304/013
8-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	295.6	2.8049	2.9092	0.1043	6779.4	6803.4	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1745.0	59.8	170304/067
12-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	292.3	3.5815	3.6703	0.0888	6803.4	6827.4	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1757.6	50.5	170401/022
18-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	298.8	3.6020	3.7456	0.1436	6827.4	6851.4	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1734.4	82.8	170401/051
24-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	295.3	2.8436	3.0277	0.1841	6851.4	6875.4	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1748.6	105.3	170304/035
27-Apr-17	9:00	Cloudy	295.7	2.8656	2.9477	0.0821	6875.4	6899.4	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1744.9	47.1	170304/054
													Min	47.1	
													Max	119.1	
													Average	77 <i>1</i>	

### Location CM\_CB1a - The Arcade, Cyberport

Start Date Start Ti	ile			eight (g)	Particulate	te Elapse Time		Sampling	Flow Rate (m³/min.)		Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.	Filter
	Condition	Temp. (K)	Initial	Final	Weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	ID no.
3-Apr-17 9:00	Sunny	295.4	2.8518	3.0580	0.2062	11079.8	11103.8	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1737.1	118.7	170304/016
8-Apr-17 9:00	Cloudy	296.5	2.8528	2.9857	0.1329	11103.8	11127.8	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1738.1	76.5	170304/069
12-Apr-17 9:00	Cloudy	291.6	3.5807	3.6770	0.0963	11127.8	11151.8	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1753.3	54.9	170401/024
18-Apr-17 9:00	Cloudy	299.4	2.8673	2.9936	0.1263	11151.8	11175.8	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1725.8	73.2	170304/023
24-Apr-17 9:00	Cloudy	294.7	2.8105	2.9758	0.1653	11175.8	11199.8	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1744.0	94.8	170304/037
27-Apr-17 9:00	Cloudy	294.9	3.6619	3.7528	0.0909	11199.8	11223.8	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1740.5	52.2	170303/086

MA11060/App E - 24hr TSP

Min 52.2 Max 118.7 Average 78.4



APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

### Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

### (0700-1900 hrs on Normal Weekdays)


ocation M6a (Cyberport PTW) Outside of Aegean Terrace							
					Unit:	dB (A) (30-min)	
Date	Time	Weather	Mea	sured Noise	Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>
5-Apr-17	15:00	Sunny	56.2	57.3	48.3		56.2 Measured ≦ Baseline
11-Apr-17	14:45	Cloudy	55.2	56.8	49.1	57.3	55.2 Measured ≦ Baseline
19-Apr-17	16:25	Sunny	57.9	59.3	54.7		49.0
25-Apr-17	10:00	Fine	52.7	55.0	46.2		52.7 Measured ≦ Baseline

Location M7a (Wah Fu PTW) The rooftop of Wah Ming House							
					Unit:	dB (A) (30-min)	
Date	Time	Weather	Meas	sured Noise I	Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>
5-Apr-17	13:05	Sunny	61.8	63.4	60.1		58.3
11-Apr-17	13:05	Cloudy	61.2	64.3	59.9	50.0	56.9
19-Apr-17	17:20	Sunny	67.2	68.9	65.6	59.2	66.5
25-Apr-17	9:10	Fine	57.6	59.6	52.4		57.6 Measured ≦ Baseline

ocation M8 (Aberdeen PTW) The rooftop of Wah Lai House							
					Unit:	dB (A) (30-min)	
Date	Time	Weather	Mea	sured Noise I	Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>
5-Apr-17	14:00	Sunny	68.8	70.7	66.2		67.1
11-Apr-17	10:30	Cloudy	69.8	71.4	66.9	64.0	68.5
19-Apr-17	9:40	Sunny	71.2	73.6	67.9	64.0	70.3
25-Apr-17	10:25	Fine	64.6	66.1	62.8		55.7


Location M9 (Ap Lei Chau PTW) The Podium of Mei Chun Court, South Horizons									
				Unit: dB (A) (30-min)					
Date	Time	Weather	Meas	sured Noise	Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level		
			L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>eq</sub>		
5-Apr-17	15:45	Sunny	61.7	65.0	67.1		60.1		
11-Apr-17	9:30	Cloudy	61.4	63.2	55.9	56.5	59.7		
19-Apr-17	11:00	Sunny	56.9	58.3	53.2	50.5	46.3		
25-Apr-17	11:15	Fine	60.6	62.0	58.5		58.5		

MA11060/App F - Noise Cinotech



#### **Noise Levels**

### (0700-1900 hrs on Normal Weekdays)



Title Contract No. DC/2009/24

HATS 2A – Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Abredeen and Ap Lei Chau

Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result

Scale		Project	NAA44000
	N.T.S	NO.	MA11060
Date	Apr 17	Appendi	F



### APPENDIX G SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

### APPENDIX G - SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

**Reporting Month:** April 2017

- a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP (0)
- b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP (0)
- c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise on normal week days (0)

### APPENDIX H SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

### Contract No: DC/2009/24

## HATS 2A - Upgrading of PTWs at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

**Inspection Information** 

Checklist Reference Number	170407
Date	7 April 2017 (Friday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part A - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part B – Landscape and Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	10 mm
	Part C - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Waste / Chemical Management	
170407-R01	• To clear the oil stain under the excavator at Abd- PTW.	E 7i
	Part F - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Others	
	Follow-up on previous audit sessions:	
	On previous audit session (Ref. No. 170331), all environmental deficiencies were improved by the Contractor.	
	Remark:	
	• N/A	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung	Chung	7 April 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NT.	7 April 2017

CINOTECH MA11060 170407\_audit.doc

## HATS 2A - Upgrading of PTWs at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170413
Date	13 April 2017 (Thursday)
Time	09:40 – 11:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No
_	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No
	Part A - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	1
	Part B - Landscape and Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
*	Part E – Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Others	
	Follow-up on previous audit sessions:	
	On previous audit session (Ref. No. 170407), all environmental deficiencies were improved by the Contractor.	·
	Remark:	
	• N/A	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Janet Wai	VID.	13 April 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WZ	13 April 2017
		1	

CINOTECH MA11060 170413\_audit

## Contract No: DC/2009/24

## HATS 2A - Upgrading of PTWs at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

## Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Inspection into mation	
Checklist Reference Number	170421
Date	21 April 2017 (Friday)
Time	09:30 - 12:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No
-	None identified	
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No
	Part A - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part B – Landscape and Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D - Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	£
	Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit sessions:	
	On previous audit session (Ref. No. 170413), all environmental deficiencies were improved by the Contractor.	
	Remark:  • N/A	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Leung Hei Kan	Leune	21 April 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	W.Z.	21 April 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Ciloy		-

## HATS 2A - Upgrading of PTWs at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Aberdeen and Ap Lei Chau

### **Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection**

**Inspection Information** 

Checklist Reference Number	170428
Date	28 April 2017 (Friday)
Time	13:30 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part A - Water Quality	
170428-O01	• Stand water should be avoided at Wah Fu PTW to prevent mosquitoes breeding.	A 11
170428-O02	Stand water should be avoided at Aberdeen PTW.	A 11
	Part B – Landscape and Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	D. D. W.	
	<ul> <li>Part D – Noise</li> <li>No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.</li> </ul>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Others	
	Follow-up on previous audit sessions:	
	On previous audit session (Ref. No. 170421), all environmental deficiencies were improved by the Contractor.	
	Remark:	
	• N/A	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Leung Hei Kan	Leung	28 April 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NE	28 April 2017

CINOTECH MA11060 170428\_audit

APPENDIX I SUMMARY OF AMOUNT OF WASTE GENERATED Name of Department: DSD

Name of Contract: Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Stage 2A – Upgrading of Preliminary Treatment Works

at Sandy Bay, Cyberport, Wah Fu, Ap Lei Chau and Aberdeen

#### APPENDIX I MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR <u>2017</u> (YEAR)

APPENI	JIAI MU	VIULI SUM	IMAKY WAS	SIE FLOW I.	ABLE FUR	<u> 2017</u> (Y.E.	AK)					
	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Broken Concrete (4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposal as Public Fill	Import Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard Packaging	Plastics (3)	Chemical Waste	Other, e.g. general refuse	Special Waste
	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000ton]
Year2012	1.002910	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	1.002910	0.000000	6.680000	0.070000	0.070000	0.100000	0.014000	2.406456
Year2013	4.264035	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	4.264035	0.000000	10.750000	0.000000	0.000000	0.350000	0.064890	2.232710
Year2014	4.639730	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	4.639730	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.450000	0.145370	1.832460
Year2015	5.361825	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	5.361825	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.031000	0.050000	0.461870	1.082870
Year 2016	5.172790	0.000000	0.000000	0.060000	5.112790	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.757580	0.980878
JAN	0.33416	0	0	0	0.33416	0	0	0	0	0	0.06044	0.08373
FEB	0.16203	0	0	0	0.16203	0	0	0	0	0	0.03384	0.0981
MAR	0.23135	0	0	0	0.23135	0	0	0	0	0	0.03388	0.13383
APR	0.15905	0	0	0	0.15905	0	0	0	0	0	0.04766	0.15085
MAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUB- TOTAL	21.327880	0.000000	0.000000	0.060000	21.267880	0.000000	17.430000	0.070000	0.101000	0.950000	1.619530	9.001884
JULY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AUG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SEPT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OCT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	21.327880	0.000000	0.000000	0.060000	21.267880	0.000000	17.430000	0.070000	0.101000	0.950000	1.619530	9.001884

Contract No.: DC/2009/24

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D materials to be Generated from the Contracts *											
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Broken Concrete (4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposal as Public Fill	Import Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard Packaging	Plastics (3)	Chemical Waste	Other, e.g. general refuse	Special Waste
[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000m <sup>3</sup> ]	[in '000ton]
24.714	1.544	1.73	0.06	21.38	0	30	1	1	4	1.65	9.6

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6(14).
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles / containers, plastic sheets / foam from packaging material.
- (3) The contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where to total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,000m3. (PS Clause 5(4)(b) referes). [Delete Note (4) and the table above on the forecast, where inapplicable].
- \* (4) The assumed density (kg/m³) for both C&D material and general refuse.
   C&D material 2000kg/m3

C&D material 2000kg/m3 General refuse 1.0 tonnes/m3

(5) Conversion factors for reporting purpose:

in-situ: rock = 2.5 tonnes/m3; soil = 2.0 tonnes/m3

excavated: rock = 2.0 tonnes/m3; soil = 1.8 tonnes/m3

broken concrete and bitumen = 2.5 tonnes/m3

C&D Waste = 1.0 tonnes/m3

bentonite slurry = 2.8 tonnes/m3

Paper = 800 kg/m3

Chemical = 800kg/m3

Special waste = 0.6m3 / container

### APPENDIX J EVENT ACTION PLANS

### **APPENDIX J – Event / Action Plans**

## **Table J-1 Event / Action Plan For Air Quality**

	ACTION			
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for	1. Identify source, investigate	1. Check monitoring data	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable
one sample	the causes of exceedance and	submitted by ET;		practice;
	propose remedial measures;	2. Check Contractor's working		2. Amend working methods if
	2. Inform IEC and ER;	method.		appropriate.
	3. Repeat measurement to			
	confirm finding;			
	4. Increase monitoring			
	frequency to daily.			
2. Exceedance for	1. Identify source;	1. Check monitoring data	1. Confirm receipt of notification of	1. Submit proposals for
two or more	2. Inform IEC and ER;	submitted by ET;	failurein writing;	remedial to ER within 3
consecutive	3. Advise the ER on the	2. Check Contractor's working	2. Notify Contractor;	working days of notification;
samples	effectiveness of the proposed	method;	3. Ensure remedial measures properly	2. Implement the agreed
	remedial measures;	3. Discuss with ET and Contractor	implemented	proposals;
	4. Repeat measurements to	on possible remedial measures;		3. Amend proposal if
	confirm findings;	4. Advise the ET on the		appropriate
	5. Increase monitoring	effectiveness of the		
	frequency to daily;	proposed remedial measures;		
	6. Discuss with IEC and	5. Supervise Implementation of		
	Contractor on remedial	remedial measures.		

	ACTION			
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	actions required;			
	7. If exceedance continues,			
	arrange meeting with IEC and			
	ER;			
	8. If exceedance stops, cease			
	additional monitoring			
LIMIT LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for	1. Identify source, investigate	1. Check monitoring data	1. Confirm receipt of notification	1. Take immediate action to
one sample	the causes of exceedance and	submitted by ET;	of failure in writing;	avoid further exceedance;
	propose remedial measures;	2. Check Contractor's working	2. Notify Contractor;	2. Submit proposals for
	2. Inform ER, Contractor and	method;	3. Ensure remedial measures	remedial actions to IEC
	EPD;	3. Discuss with ET and Contractor	properly implemented	within 3 working days of
	3. Repeat measurement to	on possible remedial measures;		notification;
	confirm finding;	4. Advise the ER on the		3. Implement the agreed
	4. Increase monitoring	effectiveness of the proposed		proposals;
	frequency to daily;	remedial measures;		4. Amend proposal if
	5. Assess effectiveness of	5. Supervise implementation of		appropriate
	Contractor's remedial actions	remedial measures		
	and keep IEC, EPD and ER			
	informed of the results.			

	ACTION							
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR				
2. Exceedance for	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor	1. Check monitoring data	1. Confirm receipt of notification	1. Take immediate action to				
two or more	and EPD;	submitted by ET;	of failure in writing;	avoid further exceedance;				
consecutive	2. Identify source;	2. Check Contractor's working	2. Notify Contractor;	2. Submit proposals for				
samples	3. Repeat measurement to	method;	3. In consolidation with the IEC,	remedial actions				
	confirm findings;	3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and	agree with the Contractor on the	to IEC within 3 working days				
	4. Increase monitoring	Contractor on the potential	remedial measures to be	of notification;				
	frequency to daily;	remedial actions;	implemented;	3. Implement the agreed				
	5. Carry out analysis of	4. Review Contractor's remedial	4. Ensure remedial measures	proposals;				
	Contractor's working	actions whenever necessary to	properly implemented;	4. Resubmit proposals if				
	procedures to determine	assure their effectiveness and	5. If exceedance continues,	problem still not under				
	possible mitigation to be	advise the ER accordingly;	consider what portion of the work	control;				
	implemented;	5. Supervise the implementation	is responsible and instruct the	5. Stop the relevant portion of				
	6. Arrange meeting with IEC	of remedial measures.	Contractor to stop that portion of	works as determined by the				
	and ER to discuss the remedial		work until the exceedance is	ER until the exceedance is				
	actions to be taken;		abated.	abated				
	7. Assess effectiveness of							
	Contractor's remedial actions							
	and keep IEC, EPD and ER							
	informed of the results;							
	8. If exceedance stops, cease							
	additional monitoring							

**Table J-2 Event / Action Plan For Construction Noise** 

	ACTION	ACTION							
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR					
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor;	1. Review the investigation	1. Confirm receipt of	1. Submit noise mitigation					
being	2. Carry out investigation;	results submitted by the ET;	notification of failure in writing;	proposals to IEC and ER;					
exceeded	3. Report the results of investigation	2. Review the proposed remedial	2. Notify Contractor;	2. Implement noise mitigation					
CACCCACA	to the IEC, ER and Contractor;	measures by the Contractor and	3. In consolidation with the IEC,	proposals					
	4. Discuss with the IEC and	advise the ER accordingly;	agree with the Contractor on the						
	Contractor on remedial measures	3. Advise the ER on the	remedial measures to be						
	required;	effectiveness of the proposed	implemented;						
	5. Increase monitoring frequency to	remedial measures	4. Supervise the implementation of						
	check mitigation effectiveness		remedial measures						
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and	1. Confirm receipt of	1. Take immediate action to					
being	EPD;	Contractor on the potential	notification of failure in writing;	avoid further exceedance;					
exceeded	2. Repeat measurements to confirm	remedial actions;	2. Notify Contractor;	2. Submit proposals for					
Checedea	findings;	2. Review Contractor's remedial	3. In consolidation with the	remedial actions to IEC					
	3. Increase monitoring frequency;	actions whenever necessary	IEC, agree with the Contractor on	and ER within 3 working					
	4. Identify source and investigate	to assure their effectiveness	the remedial measures to be	days of notification;					
	the cause of exceedance;	and advise the ER accordingly.	implemented;	3. Implement the agreed					
	5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's		4. Supervise the implementation of	proposals;					
	working procedures;		remedial measures;	4. Submit further proposal if					
	6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor		5. If exceedance continues,	problem still not under					
	and ER on remedial measures		consider stopping the Contractor to	control;					
	required;		continue working on that portion of	5. Stop the relevant portion					
	7. Assess effectiveness of		work which causes the exceedance	of works as instructed by					

	ACTION							
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR				
	Contractor's remedial actions and		until the exceedance is abated	the ER until the exceedance is				
	keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of			abated				
	the results;							
	8. If exceedance stops, cease							
	additional monitoring							

APPENDIX K ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)

### APPENDIX K IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES (EMIS)

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	<b>Implementation Status</b>
Ref.			
A	Air Quality		
3.74	Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting.	All construction sites	N/A
	Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point.		٨
	The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore.		۸
	Where a site boundary adjoins a road, streets or other areas accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length except for a site entrance or exit.		۸
	Use of regular watering, with complete coverage, to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather.		۸
	Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines.		۸
	Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs.		۸
	Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations.		۸
	Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on unpaved site roads. Ten kilometers per hour is the recommended limit.		٨
	Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides.		۸
	Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites.		۸
3.74	Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise.	All construction sites	۸

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	<b>Location of the measure</b>	<b>Implementation Status</b>
Ref.			
В	Airborne Noise		
4.56-	Use of quiet PME, movable barriers and acoustic mats.	All construction sites	٨
4.61			
4.67	Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program.		۸
	Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program.		۸
	Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.		٨
	Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between works periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum.		۸
4.67	Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.		۸
	Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.		۸
C	Water Quality		
6.349 to	Construction Site Runoff and General Construction Activities	A 11	٨
6.375	The mitigation measures as outlined in the ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted where applicable.	All construction sites	
6.376	Effluent Discharge		٨
	There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD.		
	Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site effluent and the existing saltwater intakes.		
6.377	Accidental Spillage of Chemicals		٨
	Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General)		

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Implementation Status
Ref.			
	Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.		
6.378	Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges.		٨
6.379	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:  • Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport.  • Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents.  • Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area.		۸
6.380	Construction Works in Close Proximity of Storm Drains or Seafront:	All construction sites	٨
	<ul> <li>To minimize the potential water quality impacts from the construction works located at or near any watercourse, the practices outlined below should be adopted where applicable.</li> <li>The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified to reduce the disturbance to the storm water courses or marine environment.</li> <li>Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, filling materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works.</li> <li>Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.</li> <li>Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.</li> <li>Construction activities, which generate large amount of wastewater, should be carried out in a distance away from the waterfront, where practicable.</li> <li>Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil/mud from slipping into the storm culvert or sea.</li> </ul>		

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	<b>Implementation Status</b>
Ref.			
D	Waste Management		
9.107	Reusable steel or concrete panel shutters, fencing and hoarding and signboard should be used as a preferred alternative to items made of wood, to minimize wastage of wood. Attention should be paid to WBTC No. 19/2001 - Metallic Site Hoardings and Signboards to reduce the amount of timber used on construction sites. Metallic alternatives to timber are readily available and should be used rather than new timber. Precast concrete units should be adopted wherever feasible to minimize the use of timber formwork.	All construction sites	۸
9.109	All waste materials should be segregated into categories covering:  • excavated materials suitable for reuse on-site;  • excavated materials suitable for public filling facilities;  • remaining C&D waste for landfill;  • chemical waste; and  • general refuse for landfill.	All construction sites	۸
9.113	Sort C&D waste from demolition of existing facilities to recover recyclable portions such as metals.		۸
	Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.		۸
	Encourage collection of aluminum cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force.		۸
	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.		۸
	Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.		۸
9.115	Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.		۸
	Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures.		۸
9.115	Develop and provide toolbox talk for on-site sorting of C&D materials to enhance worker's awareness in handling, sorting, reuse and recycling of C&D materials.		۸
	Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste.		۸

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	<b>Implementation Status</b>
Ref.			
	Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors.		۸
9.125	Bentonite slurries used in diaphragm wall construction should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. The disposal of residual used bentonite slurry should follow the good practice guidelines stated in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage".	All construction sites	N/A
9.131	Adequate number of portable toilets at temporary works areas or the PTWs to ensure that sewage from site staff would be properly collected.		۸
9.133	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins, skips or compaction units separating from C&D material and disposed of at designated landfill.		۸
9.135	The recyclable component of the municipal waste generated by the workforce, such as aluminium cans, paper and cleansed plastic containers should be separated from other waste. Provision and collection of recycling bins for different types of recyclable waste should be set up by the Contractor. The Contractor should also be responsible for arranging recycling companies to collect these materials.		۸
9.137	If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.		*
9.142	Prior to excavation of the marine deposit layer, the deposit should be tested in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 and the results should be presented in a Preliminary Sediment Quality Report. The marine deposit should be disposed of at the disposal site designated by the Marine Fill Committee (MFC) or Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) depending on the test results.		N/A

EIA	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Implementation Status
Ref.			
E	Terrestrial Ecology		
10.94	To implement effective noise mitigation measures as recommended in Section 4 of EIA.	All construction sites	N/A
10.95	Dust control practices such as regular watering, complete coverage of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles, and re-schedule of dusty activities during high-wind conditions as well as other measures recommended in Section 3 of EIA, should be implemented.		۸
10.96	Fences/hoardings should be erected and installed along the boundary of the works areas.		٨
10.97	Standard good site practices as suggested in Section 10 of EIA should be implemented.		N/A
10.98	Provision of proper drainage system and runoff control measures such as use of sand/silt traps, oil/grease separators, sedimentation tanks, etc.		۸
F	Landscape and Visual		
Table 13.7	Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical.	All construction sites	۸
	Existing trees to be retained on site should be carefully protected during construction.		٨
	Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical.		٨
	Compensatory tree planting should be provided to compensate for felled trees.		٨
	Control of night-time lighting.		٨
Table	Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting.	All construction sites	N/A
13.7			
G	Marine Ecology		
11.137	To minimize the potential indirect impacts on water quality from construction site runoff and various construction activities, the practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted.	All construction sites	٨
Н	Hazard to Life		
14A.201	Limiting use of cranes in terms of locations, lifting height, swing angle and setting up safety zone.	Exact location will be determined on construction site by the engineer	۸

Remarks:	^ Compliance of mitigation measure;
	N/A Not Applicable;
	* Recommendation was made during site audit but
	improved/rectified by the contractor.
	# Recommendation was made during site audit and to be
	improved / rectified by the contractor.
	X Non-compliance of mitigation measure;
	Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor;

### APPENDIX L COMPLAINT LOG

### APPENDIX L - COMPLAINT LOG

**Reporting Month**: April 2017

**Cumulative complaints received:** 

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
CIR#1_121228	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Ap Lei Chau	28th December 2012	The residents of South Horizons and Ap Lei Chau Estate complained about the noise generated from our construction site at Ap Lei Chau PTW. The ETL of the Project was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 31st December 2012 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures. According to the information provided by the Contractor, major construction activities that contributed to the noise at Ap Lei Chau during the time of complaint include: general site works and safety works; maintenance and handling of plants; and drilling works for pipe pile wall.	There was no exceedance report received from Contract DC/2008/09 at noise monitoring stations M9 for Ap Lei Chau PTW in December 2012.  Resident site staff also revealed that rock excavation works and other construction activities were being carried out at nearby construction sites on 29 & 31 December 2012.  After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to minimize noise nuisance to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Adopting a relatively low-noise construction method – small drilling rig to install the pipe piles;  • Equipping noise reducing jacket on the small drilling rig.  The Contractor was recommended to continue the following mitigation measures in order to minimize the potential construction noise nuisance to the nearby community:  • To adopt movable noise barrier;  • To use silenced equipment where practicable;  • To avoid concurrent uses of noisy equipment near the sensitive area;  • To ensure the equipment are maintaining in good operation condition; and  • To turned off any idle equipment on site.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
CIR#2_130809	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Wah Fu	9 <sup>th</sup> August 2013	One anonymous complainant complained about the noise generated from Contract DC/2009/24 construction site at Wah Fu PTW. The ETL of the Contract was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 12 <sup>th</sup> August 2013 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures. According to the information provided by the Contractor, major construction activities that contributed to the noise at Wah Fu during the time of complaint include: pipe pile wall construction.	There was no exceedance report received from Contract DC/2007/24 at noise monitoring stations M7a for Wah Fu PTW in August 2013.  After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to minimize noise nuisance to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Properly maintained and operated the construction plant (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced);  • To install movable noise absorption screen located close to the operating PME/noisy works (noise sources);  • To enclose or wrap the breaking tip with sound insulating materials to reduce the noise.  According to the complaint, the Contractor had enhanced the movable noise barrier by increasing the height of the noise barrier and adding the upper sloped section which could further reduce the noise generated from construction works in Wah Fu PTW.	Closed
CIR#3_131119	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Wah Fu	19 <sup>th</sup> November 2013	One anonymous complainant complained about the noise generated from Contract DC/2009/24 construction site at Wah Fu PTW. The ETL of the Contract was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 29 <sup>th</sup> November 2013 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures.  According to the information provided by the Contractor, major construction activities that contributed to the noise at Wah Fu	There was no exceedance report received from Contract DC/2007/24 at noise monitoring stations M7a for Wah Fu PTW in November 2013.  After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to minimize noise nuisance to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Properly maintained and operated the construction plant (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced);  • To install the erected noise absorption screen located close to the operating PME/noisy works (noise sources).  According to the site diary, the Contractor had provided the sound insulating materials to enclose and wrap the breaking tip which could further reduce the noise generated from	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			during the time of complaint include: pipe pile wall construction, grout curtain construction and ELS in progress.	construction works in Wah Fu PTW.	
CIR#4_150330	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Wah Fu	30 <sup>th</sup> March 2015	One anonymous complainant complained about the dark smoke emission generated from Contract DC/2009/24 construction site at Wah Fu PTW. The ETL of the Contract was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 30th March 2015 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures.  According to the information provided by the Contractor, the sheet pile machine was deployed at Wah Fu PTW for sheet piling installation on the day of complaint. However, no dark smoke emission was observed at Wah Fu PTW during the routine inspection by the Contractor such as the Environmental Officer on the day of complaint. The machine was removed off site after finishing the works.	After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to prevent dark smoke emission to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Remove the sheet pile machine after finishing the works on 31st March 2015;  • Properly maintained and operated the construction plant (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced).  The Contractor was reminded to consider to increase the frequency of checking the darkness of smoke generated from mechanical equipment. With comparison to the shade of smoke to the shades on a Ringelmann Chart or other approved devices to ensure the emitting smoke is lighter than shade 1 on the Ringelmann Chart. The Contractor was also reminded to avoid any dark smoke emission generated from mechanical equipment for more than 6 minutes in any period of 4 hours or for more than 3 minutes continuously at any one time; and remove the carbon deposits from the muffler and keep the mesh at the inlet of the air blower clear frequently which could further prevent the dark smoke emission generated from construction machines of construction works in Wah Fu PTW.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
CIR#5_151026	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Wah Fu	26 <sup>th</sup> October 2015	One anonymous complainant complained about the noise generated from Contract DC/2009/24 construction site at Wah Fu PTW. The ETL of the Contract was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 27th October 2015 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures.  According to the information provided by the Contractor, major construction activity that contributed to the noise at Wah Fu PTW during the time of complaint was breaking works	There was no exceedance recorded at noise monitoring stations M7a for Wah Fu PTW in October 2015.  After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to minimize noise nuisance to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Properly maintained and operated the construction plant (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced);  • To install the erected noise absorption screen on top of the FSGT building's roof located close to the operating PME/noisy works (noise sources).  According to the site diary, the Contractor had provided the sound insulating materials to enclose and wrap the breaking tip which could further reduce the noise generated from construction works in Wah Fu PTW.	Closed
CIR#6_151209	DSD's Preliminary Treatment Work (PTW) at Wah Fu	9 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	One anonymous complainant complained about the noise generated from Contract DC/2009/24 construction site at Wah Fu PTW. According to the complainant, site works had commenced at about 8 am and was considered to be too early. The ETL of the Contract was informed of the complaint through the e-mail on 9th December 2015 and initiated the complaint investigation procedures.  According to the information provided by the Contractor, major construction activity that contributed to the noise at Wah Fu PTW during the time of complaint	There was no exceedance recorded at noise monitoring stations M7a for Wah Fu PTW in December 2015.  After complaint received, the Contractor has taken initiative to minimize noise nuisance to the nearby residents by implementation of mitigation measures as below:  • Properly maintained and operated the construction plant (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced);  • Operated the machines and plant in intermittent use and shut down between works periods.  As reported by the Contractor of Contract DC/2009/24 during the site inspection on 11th December 2015, the Contractor agreed to reschedule the site works and noisy activities would only be started from 9 a.m. at Wah Fu PTW in order to minimize the impact to the nearby noise sensitive receiver.	Closed

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			were breaking and excavation works of flume channel on the pavement, and breaking and excavation works for construction of cable shaft which were conducted and started around 8:20 a.m. and around 1 p.m. respectively on 9th December 2015.		

Remarks: No environmental complaint was received in April 2017.

# APPENDIX M CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

