

Development at West Kowloon Cultural District

**Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report
for September 2022**

12 October 2022

In accordance with the Environmental Permit, Condition 3.4, this Monthly EM&A Report has been certified by the Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) as complying with the requirements as set out in Sections 1, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of the EM&A Manual.

Certified by:



CK WU

Environmental Team Leader (ETL)

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority

Date

12 October 2022

Verified by:



Claudine LEE

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Ltd

Date

12 Oct. 2022

This Report Consists of:

Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex

and

**Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS
Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C**

Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex



Lyric Theatre Complex

Mott MacDonald
3/F International Trade
Tower
348 Kwun Tong Road
Kwun Tong
Kowloon
Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757
mottmac.hk

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Executive summary | 1 |
| 1 Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 Background | 3 |
| 1.2 Project Organisation | 3 |
| 1.3 Status of Construction Works in the Reporting Period | 4 |
| 1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations | 4 |
| 1.4.1 EM&A Requirements | 4 |
| 1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations | 5 |
| 2 Impact Monitoring Methodology | 7 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2 Air Quality | 7 |
| 2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Monitoring Locations | 7 |
| 2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment | 7 |
| 2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology | 8 |
| 2.3 Noise | 11 |
| 2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 11 |
| 2.3.2 Monitoring Location | 11 |
| 2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment | 11 |
| 2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology | 11 |
| 2.4 Landscape and Visual | 12 |
| 2.4.1 Monitoring Program | 12 |
| 3 Monitoring Results | 13 |
| 3.1 Impact Monitoring | 13 |
| 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring | 13 |
| 3.2.1 1-hour TSP | 13 |
| 3.2.2 24-hour TSP | 13 |
| 3.3 Noise Monitoring | 14 |
| 3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact | 14 |
| 4 Site Environmental Management | 15 |
| 4.1 Site Inspection | 15 |
| 4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status | 15 |
| 4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits | 16 |
| 4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures | 16 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| 5 | Compliance with Environmental Permit | 17 |
| 6 | Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions | 18 |
| 6.1 | Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels | 18 |
| 6.2 | Record on Environmental Complaints Received | 18 |
| 6.3 | Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution | 18 |
| 7 | Future Key Issues | 19 |
| 7.1 | Construction Works for the Coming Month(s) | 19 |
| 7.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | 19 |
| 7.3 | Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month | 20 |
| 8 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 21 |
| 8.1 | Conclusions | 21 |
| 8.2 | Recommendations | 21 |
| Figure 1 | Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations | 22 |
| Appendices | | 23 |
| A. | Project Organisation | 24 |
| B. | Tentative Construction Programme | 25 |
| C. | Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase | 26 |
| D. | Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact | 27 |
| E. | Monitoring Schedule | 28 |
| F. | Calibration Certifications | 29 |
| G. | Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results | 30 |
| H. | Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory | 31 |
| I. | Waste Flow table | 32 |
| J. | Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status | 33 |

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 September to 30 September 2022.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Noise in this reporting month.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 7, 16, 21 and 28 September 2022 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspection during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects were made during the inspections.

Record of Complaints

Two environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month.

Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- LTC construction
 - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
 - Falsework and formwork erection
 - Reinforcement work
 - Concrete work
 - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - Cabling works
 - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
 - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - Construct dog houses

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) were commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary also falls under this same category.

The M+ Museum development aims to provide an iconic presence for the M+ Museum, semi-transparent vertical plane, housing education facilities, a public restaurant and museum offices. At ground and lower levels, generous access will be provided to the park and other West Kowloon Cultural District facilities, alongside a public resource centre, theatres, retail and dining, and back-of-house functions.

The 1,200-seat Lyric Theatre Complex will be Hong Kong’s first world-class facility for dance performances, including ballet, contemporary and Chinese dance forms. In the run up to the opening of further major performing arts venues in the WKCD, it will also be used for a wide variety of performing arts events including drama, opera and musical performances. The Lyric Theatre Complex will act as a platform for Hong Kong’s leading arts organisations and be a new major venue to show programmes from Asia and worldwide.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 September to 30 September 2022. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Status of Construction Works in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at L2 undertaken include:

- LTC construction
 - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
 - Falsework and formwork erection
 - Reinforcement work
 - Concrete work
 - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - RC water tank
 - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
 - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - Construct dog houses

The Construction Works Programme of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) is provided in **Appendix B**. A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.1** on the status of the environmental licenses.

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

| Parameters | Descriptions | Locations | Frequencies |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Air Quality | 24-Hour TSP | AM1 - International Commerce Centre | At least once every 6 days |
| | 1-Hour TSP | AM1 - International Commerce Centre | At least 3 times every 6 days |
| | 24-Hour TSP | AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 | At least once every 6 days |
| | 1-Hour TSP | AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 | At least 3 times every 6 days |
| Noise | Leq, 30 minutes | NM1- The Harbourside Tower 1 | Weekly |
| Landscape & Visual | Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage | As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual | Bi-weekly |

1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

In the context of the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Theatre Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring. Other monitoring locations (i.e. AM3 to AM5 and NM2 to NM5) were so far away from M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex and could not be representative for impact monitoring.

The Harbourside management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring equipment on its premises at the podium level of Tower 1 (AM2/NM1) on 10 November 2015. Nevertheless, a suitable air quality monitoring location at AM2 was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1, which is at the same location as that of baseline monitoring for consistency. No management approval is required on the ground floor for conducting the air monitoring. However, the electricity supply at AM2 was suspended from 31 August 2016. In order to have a more secure electricity supply, an alternative air monitoring location (AM2A) was identified at Austin Road West opposite to The Harbourside Tower 1, which is close to Lyric Theatre Complex site entrance. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 28 September 2016. Due to the works programme, the air monitoring location AM2A has been relocated to the alternative monitoring location AM2B at the 1st floor of Gammon's site office, which was approved by EPD on 21 February 2019. In view of the upcoming construction works to be undertaken at the air monitoring station AM2B, AM2B was no longer available for conducting the impact air quality monitoring. Hence, an alternative air monitoring location was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1 (AM2) which is at the same location as the baseline monitoring and this previously approved monitoring location had also been used for the EM&A Programme from November 2015 to August 2016, the relocation was approved by EPD on 27 May 2021.

Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at The Arch (NM2); however, The Arch management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up noise monitoring equipment on its premises on 23 November 2015. On the other hand, noise monitoring at G/F of Harbourside could not be representative. However, approval from the management office of the International Commerce Centre has been granted on 29 February 2016 for conducting noise monitoring at the alternative noise monitoring location identified at the podium floor (NM1A) which is free from screening to the construction activities.

In short, 2 air quality monitoring stations and 1 noise impact monitoring station were confirmed for the impact monitoring.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

For air quality and noise, the monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration etc., for air quality and noise are detailed in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

For landscape and visual impact, the relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details are also presented in this Section.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.1 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Parameter | Frequency | Duration |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 24-hour TSP | At least once in every six-days | 24 hours |
| 1-hour TSP | At least 3 times every six-days | 60 minutes |

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring stations AM1 and AM2 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with updated EM&A Manual. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Location |
|--------------------|--|
| AM1 | International Commerce Centre (ICC) |
| AM2 | The Harbourside Tower 1 – Ground Floor |

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

For 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring, High Volume Sampler (HVS) was used at air monitoring station AM1 and portable direct reading dust meter was used at air monitoring station AM2 due to the unavailability of power supply for HVS at / in the vicinity of the AM2. The portable direct reading dust meter is capable of producing comparable results as that by the HVS method. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, portable direct reading dust meter was used for the measurement.

Table 2.3 summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 24-hour TSP monitoring | |
| High Volume Sampler | TE-5170 (Serial No: 0767) |
| Calibrator | TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 2454) |
| Portable direct reading dust meter | Sibata LD-5R (Serial No.: 831656) |
| 1-hour TSP monitoring | |
| Portable direct reading dust meter | Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 245834 and 436553) |

Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The portable direct reading dust meter should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

24-hour TSP Monitoring (HVS)

Installation

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 µm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.

Field Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

24-hour TSP Monitoring (Portable direct reading dust meter)

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the portable direct reading dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.

- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 24 hours for the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Push “START/STOP” to start the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 24 hours.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The portable direct reading dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer’s Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the “TIME SETTING” switch to [BG].
- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon “SPAN CHECK” is indicated in the display.
- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push “START/STOP” to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.3 Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency

| Time Period | Parameters | Frequency |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Daytime on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours) | L_{eq} (30 min), L_{90} (30 min) & L_{10} (30 min) | Once every week |

2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring station NM1A was set up. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.5** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Location |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| NM1A | International Commerce Centre (ICC) |

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Monitoring Station | Equipment Model | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Integrating Sound Level Meter | Calibrator |
| NM1A | Rion NL-52 (Serial No. 00710259) | LARSON DAVIS CAL200 (Serial No.10227) |

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast
 - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement

was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.

- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in **Appendix F**.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.4 Landscape and Visual

2.4.1 Monitoring Program

Table 2.7 details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase

| Stage | Monitoring Task | Frequency | Report | Approval |
|--------------|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| Construction | Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage. | Bi-weekly | ET to report on Contractor's compliance | Counter-signed by IEC |

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring

Construction impact monitoring for air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | 1st Result | 2nd Result | 3rd Result | | | |
| AM1 | 02-Sep-22 | 8:27 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 19-70 | 273.7 | 500 |
| | 08-Sep-22 | 8:23 | 49 | 31 | 37 | | | |
| | 14-Sep-22 | 8:31 | 37 | 42 | 46 | | | |
| | 20-Sep-22 | 8:18 | 23 | 41 | 37 | | | |
| | 26-Sep-22 | 8:27 | 60 | 65 | 70 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 8:22 | 45 | 51 | 56 | | | |
| AM2 | 02-Sep-22 | 8:41 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 26-72 | 274.2 | 500 |
| | 08-Sep-22 | 8:37 | 50 | 49 | 47 | | | |
| | 14-Sep-22 | 8:46 | 50 | 48 | 46 | | | |
| | 20-Sep-22 | 8:33 | 51 | 46 | 42 | | | |
| | 26-Sep-22 | 8:42 | 61 | 66 | 72 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 8:36 | 71 | 59 | 62 | | | |

3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | Monitoring Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| AM1 | 02-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 11 | 11-26 | 143.6 | 260 |
| | 08-Sep-22 | 08:20 | 25 | | | |
| | 14-Sep-22 | 08:28 | 15 | | | |
| | 20-Sep-22 | 08:15 | 16 | | | |
| | 26-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 20 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:20 | 26 | | | |
| AM2 | 02-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 16 | 16-49 | 151.1 | 260 |
| | 08-Sep-22 | 08:35 | 18 | | | |

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | Monitoring Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | 14-Sep-22 | 08:43 | 23 | | | |
| | 20-Sep-22 | 08:30 | 25 | | | |
| | 26-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 49 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:34 | 33 | | | |

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results at the monitoring location NM1A are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up of a free-field measurement are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays

| Monitoring Date | Start Time | End Time | L_{eq} (30 mins)*, dB(A) | Limit Level for L_{eq} (dB(A)) |
|-----------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:23 | 09:53 | 67 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:30 | 10:00 | 67 | 75 |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:17 | 09:47 | 66 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:25 | 09:55 | 66 | |

Remarks:

* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month.

3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspection on 7 & 21 September 2022 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during this inspection.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

4 Site Environmental Management

4.1 Site Inspection

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 7, 16, 21 and 28 September 2022 at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). While the site environmental management committee meeting with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor was held on 21 September 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for L2

| Inspection Date | Parameter | Observation / Recommendation | Contactors' Responses / Action(s) Undertaken | Close-out (Date) |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|------------------|
| 07-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor should ensure the wastewater treatment facility is functioning properly. | The contractor has ensured the wastewater treatment facility is functioning properly. | 07-Sep-22 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Waste Management | Waste was observed on ground, the contractor was reminded to remove the waste regularly. | The contractor has removed the waste. | 07-Sep-22 |
| 16-Sep-22 | Air Quality | Opened cement bags were observed, the contractor was reminded to cover the cement bags properly. | The contractor has removed the opened cement bags. | 19-Sep-22 |
| 16-Sep-22 | Air Quality | Stockpile was observed on ground, the contractor was reminded to remove the stockpile regularly. | The contractor has removed the stockpiles. | 16-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Waste Management | The contractor was reminded to remove the waste regularly. | The contractor has removed the waste regularly. | 26-Sep-22 |
| 28-Sep-22 | Waste Management | The contractor should maintain a high standard of housekeeping to store the materials properly. | The contractor has stored the materials properly and kept a high standard of housekeeping. | 30-Sep-22 |

4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) Contractor, 344.5 tonnes, 93.1 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month, while 348.3 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT and WENT landfill. 11.5 tonnes of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber were collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused on site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D

material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste were collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The actual amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of construction works at Lyric Theatre Complex in the reporting month are shown in **Appendix I**.

4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for L2

| Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No. | Valid Period | | Status | Remarks |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| | From | To | | |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | | | | |
| WPN:5213-217-G2347-39 | 13-Sep-21 | - | Valid | |
| Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal | | | | |
| 7032787 | 02-Jan-19 | - | Account Active | |
| Construction Noise Permit | | | | |
| GW-RE0815-22 | 25-Aug-22 | 24-Nov-22 | Valid | |
| Wastewater Discharge License | | | | |
| WT-00030694-2018 | 11-Apr-18 | 30-Apr-23 | Valid | |
| Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | |
| 448474 | 27-Aug-19 | - | Notified | |

4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

Air Quality

- High standard of housekeeping should be maintained to prevent emission of fugitive dust
- Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly

Water Quality

- All drainage facilities should be maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms

Waste Management

- All wastes generated at site should be collected and disposed to an appropriate facility regularly
- Different types of waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal

5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit

| EP Condition | Submission | Submission Date |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Condition 3.4 | Monthly EM&A Report for August 2022 | 14 September 2022 |

6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

Two environmental complaints were received in the reporting month.

On 4 September 2022, the EPD received a complaint regarding polluted water discharge at the WKCD construction site on 26 July 2022, and the complaint was referred by the EPD on 5 September 2022. The complainant has provided photos showing the situation. From the information provided by the contractor, no construction activities involving muddy materials or muddy water discharge were undertaken on 26 July 2022. And from the daily self-checking on the effluent quality, the wastewater was properly treated and was within compliance, and various mitigation measures and trainings were properly implemented. From the on-site observation and prompt investigation during the site inspection on 27 July 2022, the brownish layer with bubbles observed was believed to be due to natural fluctuation or algae bloom in hot weather, and the white splash was believed to be due to the elevated outflow of water from M+ cooling main, which was not related to the construction works of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). As concluded from the above investigation and findings, it could not directly imply the complaint was attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). However, the contractor is reminded to strictly implement and maintain good site practices to avoid water pollution to the water body of Victoria Harbour.

On 8 September 2022, the EPD has received a complaint from nearby resident regarding noise impact, and the complaint was referred by the EPD on the same day. The complainant claimed that the pilling works of WKCD Zone 3B construction site commenced from 7:00 a.m. which was too early. From the information provided by the contractor, the major construction activities for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) were carried out between 8:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. which is compliant with the statutory requirement. Preventive and mitigation measures are well-deployed and maintained by the Contractor including noise insulating fabric for breaking works. And from the regular noise monitoring results, the results were well below the action/limit levels such that the construction works of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) should not be posing significant impacts to the nearby sensitive receivers. As concluded from the above investigation and findings, it could not directly imply the complaint was attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). However, the contractor is reminded to strictly implement and maintain good site practices to avoid noise impact to the nearby residents and sensitive receivers.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

7 Future Key Issues

7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- LTC construction
 - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
 - Falsework and formwork erection
 - Reinforcement work
 - Concrete work
 - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - Cabling works
 - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
 - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - Construct dog houses

7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Key issues to be considered at Lyric Theatre Complex in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from operating equipment and machinery on-site;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site; and
- Operating conditions of drainage facilities.

7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken. The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Project is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, noise level (as L_{eq} , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise in the reporting month.

Two environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

Figure 1 Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations

Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

A. Project Organisation




Table A-1: Contact information

| Company Name | Role | Name | Telephone | Email |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Atkins China Ltd. | Project Manager | Mr. Simha LytheRao | 2204 8259 | Simha.Lytherao@atkinglobal.com |
| Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited | Independent Environmental Checker | Ms. Claudine Lee | 2859 5409 | claudinelee@meinhardt.com.hk |
| Gammon Construction Limited (L2) | Environmental Manager | Mr. Ivan Chiu | 9416 1664 | ivan.chiu@gammonconstruction.com |
| Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. | Contractor's Environmental Team Leader | Mr. Thomas Chan | 2828 5757 | thomas.chan@mottmac.com |
| West Kowloon Cultural District Authority | Senior Project Manager (Safety, Health and Environment) | Mr. C.K. Wu | 5506 9178 | ck.wu@wkcd.a.hk |

B. Tentative Construction Programme

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring station are presented in following tables:

Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| Monitoring Station | Action Level (mg/m ³) | Limit Level (mg/m ³) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AM1 | 273.7 | 500 |
| AM2 | 274.2 | 500 |

Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| Monitoring Station | Action Level (µg/m ³) | Limit Level (µg/m ³) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AM1 | 143.6 | 260 |
| AM2 | 151.1 | 260 |

Noise

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period & Monitoring Locations | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--|--|-------------|
| NM1A 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | When one valid documented complaint is received. | 75 dB(A) |

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact

Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-1: Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | WKCD A | Contractor |
| Action Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and WKCD A; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and WKCD A; 3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD A; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial to WKCD A within three working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform WKCD A, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD A informed of the results. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |

Event**Action**

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Notify IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD;2. Identify source;3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results;8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;2. Check Contractor's working method;3. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly;5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;2. Notify Contractor;3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree on the remedial measures to be implemented;4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;3. Implement the agreed proposals;4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated. |
|---|--|--|---|--|

Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | Action | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | WKCD A | Contractor |
| Action Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify WKCD A, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCD A and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the WKCD A accordingly; 3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCD A; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Limit Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, WKCD A, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCD A on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD A informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst WKCD A, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCD A accordingly. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCD A within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCD A until the exceedance is abated. |

Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact

| Event | Action | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | WKCD A | Contractor |
| Design Check | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report; 2. Prepare and submit report. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report submitted by ET; 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Undertake remedial design if necessary. | - |
| Non-conformity on one occasion | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source of non-conformity; 2. Report to IEC and WKCD A; 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCD A and Contractor; 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 3. Advise WKCD A on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 4. Check implementation of remedial actions. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor; 2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working method as necessary; 2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions. |
| Repeated conformity | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source of non-conformity; 2. Report to IEC and WKCD A; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCD A and Contractor; 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; 6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 4. Advise WKCD A on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial actions. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor; 2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working method as necessary; 2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions. |

E. Monitoring Schedule

September 2022

| August '22 | | | | | | | October '22 | | | | | | | November '22 | | | | | | |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S | S | M | T | W | T | F | S | S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 30 | 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|---|-----------|
| | | | | 1 | 2 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection | 8 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 21 Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 | |
| | | Notes AM1 - International Commerce Centre (ICC) AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 - Ground Floor NM1A - International Commerce Centre (ICC) | | | | |

October 2022

| September '22 | | | | | | | November '22 | | | | | | | December '22 | | | | | | |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S | S | M | T | W | T | F | S | S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | | | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 | 29 |
| 30 | 31 | Notes AM1 - International Commerce Centre (ICC) AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 - Ground Floor NM1A - International Commerce Centre (ICC) | | | | |

F. Calibration Certifications

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : AM1(ICC)
Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 13/07/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170
Serial Number : S/N 0767

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 27 December 2021
Slope (m) : 2.07035
Intercept (b) : -0.03737
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition


Pa (hpa) : 1006
Ta(K) : 306

| Resistance Plate | dH [green liquid] (inch water) | Z | X=Qstd (cubic meter/min) | IC (chart) | Y (corrected) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1 18 holes | 12.2 | 3.435 | 1.677 | 62 | 60.97 |
| 2 13 holes | 8.8 | 2.917 | 1.427 | 52 | 51.14 |
| 3 10 holes | 6.4 | 2.488 | 1.220 | 40 | 39.34 |
| 4 7 holes | 4.0 | 1.967 | 0.968 | 30 | 29.50 |
| 5 5 holes | 2.6 | 1.586 | 0.784 | 18 | 17.70 |

Notes: $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$, $X = Z/m - b$, $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 47.995 Intercept(b): -18.594 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9969

Checked by: 
Magnum Fan

Date: 17/07/2022

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : AM1(ICC)
Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 13/09/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170
Serial Number : S/N 0767

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 27 December 2021
Slope (m) : 2.07035
Intercept (b) : -0.03737
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition


Pa (hpa) : 1005
Ta(K) : 305

| Resistance Plate | dH [green liquid] (inch water) | Z | X=Qstd (cubic meter/min) | IC (chart) | Y (corrected) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1 18 holes | 10.2 | 3.160 | 1.544 | 60 | 59.37 |
| 2 13 holes | 7.6 | 2.728 | 1.336 | 50 | 49.47 |
| 3 10 holes | 6.0 | 2.424 | 1.189 | 40 | 39.58 |
| 4 7 holes | 4.0 | 1.979 | 0.974 | 28 | 27.70 |
| 5 5 holes | 2.6 | 1.595 | 0.789 | 18 | 17.81 |

Notes: $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$, $X = Z/m - b$, $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 55.898 Intercept(b): -26.276 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9991

Checked by: 
Magnum Fan

Date: 16/09/2022



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 27, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 740.4 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 2454 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4130 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9970 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8950 | 7.9 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8480 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7060 | 12.7 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9799 | 0.6935 | 1.4029 | 0.9957 | 0.7047 | 0.8927 |
| 0.9756 | 0.9786 | 1.9841 | 0.9914 | 0.9943 | 1.2624 |
| 0.9736 | 1.0879 | 2.2183 | 0.9893 | 1.1054 | 1.4114 |
| 0.9724 | 1.1467 | 2.3265 | 0.9881 | 1.1652 | 1.4803 |
| 0.9673 | 1.3700 | 2.8059 | 0.9828 | 1.3921 | 1.7853 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.07035 | QA | m= | 1.29642 |
| | b= | -0.03737 | | b= | -0.02378 |
| | r= | 0.99990 | | r= | 0.99990 |

| Calculations | |
|---|--|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR K.W. FAN | WORK ORDER | : HK2153962 |
| CLIENT | : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO. | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 31-DEC-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 13-JAN-2022 |
| PROJECT | : --- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2153962
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2153962-001 | S/N: 831656 | Equipments | 31-Dec-2021 | S/N: 831656 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R
Serial No. 831656
Equipment Ref: Nil
Job Order HK2153962

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 5 November 2021

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 January 2022

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2hr | 11:55 ~ 13:55 | 18.6 | 1021.6 | 55.1 | 5760 | 48.3 |
| 2hr27min | 14:23 ~ 16:50 | 18.6 | 1021.6 | 54.8 | 6913 | 47.3 |
| 2hr09min | 16:50 ~ 18:59 | 18.6 | 1021.6 | 56.5 | 5506 | 42.7 |

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.1932 (µg/m³)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9921

Date of Issue 12 January 2022

Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 1.1932 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2022

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2022

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-21
 Next Calibration Date: 5-Feb-22

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1012.5
 Temperature (°C) 25.6

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 759.37
 Temperature (K) 29

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
 Model-> 5025A
 Calibration Date-> 19-Jan-21

Qstd Slope -> 2.10574
 Qstd Intercept -> -0.00985
 Expiry Date-> 18-Jan-22

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 1.675 | 52 | 51.93 | 24.2092 | 10.8881 | 0.9959 |
| 13 | 5 | 5 | 10.0 | 1.504 | 48 | 47.93 | | | |
| 10 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 1.329 | 42 | 41.94 | | | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.065 | 36 | 35.95 | | | |
| 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.675 | 28 | 27.96 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)}] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





| |
|----------------------|
| RECALIBRATION |
| DUE DATE: |
| January 19, 2022 |

Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: January 19, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 294 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 755.1 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4830 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0420 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9290 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7340 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 1.0029 | 0.6762 | 1.4192 | 0.9958 | 0.6715 | 0.8824 |
| 0.9986 | 0.9583 | 2.0071 | 0.9915 | 0.9516 | 1.2479 |
| 0.9965 | 1.0726 | 2.2440 | 0.9894 | 1.0650 | 1.3952 |
| 0.9954 | 1.1260 | 2.3535 | 0.9883 | 1.1180 | 1.4633 |
| 0.9899 | 1.3487 | 2.8385 | 0.9829 | 1.3391 | 1.7648 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.10574 | QA | m= | 1.31858 |
| | b= | -0.00985 | | b= | -0.00612 |
| | r= | 0.99992 | | r= | 0.99992 |

| Calculations | |
|--|---|
| Vstd= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) |
| Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR K.W. FAN | WORK ORDER | : HK2144583 |
| CLIENT | : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO. | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 2-NOV-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 11-NOV-2021 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2144583
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2144583-001 | S/N: 245834 | Equipments | 02-Nov-2021 | 245834 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 245834
Equipment Ref: Nil
Job Order HK2144583

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 5 November 2021


Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 5 November 2021

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:11 ~ 11:12 | 25.6 | 1012.5 | 51.2 | 4570 | 37.7 |
| 2hr01min | 11:15 ~ 13:16 | 25.6 | 1012.5 | 47.8 | 3735 | 30.8 |
| 2hr02min | 13:20 ~ 15:22 | 25.6 | 1012.5 | 50.4 | 4022 | 33.0 |

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.4416 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)/CPM
Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9927
Date of Issue 8 November 2021




Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
2. Factor 1.4416 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-21
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 5-Feb-22

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1012.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 759.375 |
| Temperature (°C) | 25.6 | Temperature (K) | 299 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Calibration Date-> | 19-Jan-21 | Expiry Date-> | 18-Jan-22 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 1.675 | 52 | 51.93 | Slope = 24.2092 Intercept = 10.8881 Corr. coeff. = 0.9959 | | |
| 13 | 5 | 5 | 10.0 | 1.504 | 48 | 47.93 | | | |
| 10 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 1.329 | 42 | 41.94 | | | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.065 | 36 | 35.95 | | | |
| 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.675 | 28 | 27.96 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: January 19, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 294 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 755.1 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4830 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0420 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9290 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7340 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|--|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) | |
| 1.0029 | 0.6762 | 1.4192 | 0.9958 | 0.6715 | 0.8824 | |
| 0.9986 | 0.9583 | 2.0071 | 0.9915 | 0.9516 | 1.2479 | |
| 0.9965 | 1.0726 | 2.2440 | 0.9894 | 1.0650 | 1.3952 | |
| 0.9954 | 1.1260 | 2.3535 | 0.9883 | 1.1180 | 1.4633 | |
| 0.9899 | 1.3487 | 2.8385 | 0.9829 | 1.3391 | 1.7648 | |
| QSTD | m= | 2.10574 | QA | m= | 1.31858 | |
| | b= | -0.00985 | | b= | -0.00612 | |
| | r= | 0.99992 | | r= | 0.99992 | |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|
| Vstd= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= | Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= | Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR K.W. FAN | WORK ORDER | : HK2141279 |
| CLIENT | : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO. | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 11-OCT-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 21-OCT-2021 |
| PROJECT | : --- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER : HK2141279
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2141279-001 | S/N: 436553 | Equipments | 11-Oct-2021 | S/N: 436553 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 436553
Equipment Ref: Nil
Job Order HK2141279

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 2 August 2021


Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 18 October 2021

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:16 ~ 11:17 | 23.9 | 1018.3 | 40.5 | 2344 | 19.3 |
| 2hr01min | 11:20 ~ 13:21 | 23.9 | 1018.3 | 44.4 | 2391 | 19.8 |
| 2hr | 13:25 ~ 15:25 | 23.9 | 1018.3 | 48.0 | 2447 | 20.4 |

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.2416 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)/CPM
Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9956
Date of Issue 20 October 2021



Remarks:

- Strong Correlation ($R > 0.8$)**
- Factor 2.2416 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Fai So Signature:  Date: 20 October 2021

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 20 October 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 2-Aug-21
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 2-Nov-21

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.3 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.725 |
| Temperature (°C) | 30.0 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Calibration Date-> | 19-Jan-21 | Expiry Date-> | 18-Jan-22 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.677 | 50 | 49.22 | 30.5541 | -0.5839 | 0.9906 |
| 13 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 1.527 | 48 | 47.25 | | | |
| 10 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 1.391 | 44 | 43.31 | | | |
| 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.071 | 31 | 30.51 | | | |
| 5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.841 | 26 | 25.59 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: January 19, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 294 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 755.1 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |


| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4830 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0420 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9290 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7340 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 1.0029 | 0.6762 | 1.4192 | 0.9958 | 0.6715 | 0.8824 |
| 0.9986 | 0.9583 | 2.0071 | 0.9915 | 0.9516 | 1.2479 |
| 0.9965 | 1.0726 | 2.2440 | 0.9894 | 1.0650 | 1.3952 |
| 0.9954 | 1.1260 | 2.3535 | 0.9883 | 1.1180 | 1.4633 |
| 0.9899 | 1.3487 | 2.8385 | 0.9829 | 1.3391 | 1.7648 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.10574 | QA | m= | 1.31858 |
| | b= | -0.00985 | | b= | -0.00612 |
| | r= | 0.99992 | | r= | 0.99992 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2322) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 9 November 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-52

Serial No. / 編號 : 00710259

Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.

Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,
New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 November 2021

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)


The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試


H T Wong

Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證


K/C Lee

Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

22 November 2021

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| Equipment ID | Description | Certificate No. |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C210084 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | AV210017 |

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | * 96.0 | ± 1.1 |

* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | ± 1.1 |

6.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.1 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 114.1 |

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702
證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. ± 0.3 |
| | | | Slow | | | 94.0 | |

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 67.7 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.7 | -16.1 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.3 | -8.6 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.7 | -3.2 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.2 | +1.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 95.0 | +1.0 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 92.9 | -1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| 16 kHz | 86.0 | -6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0) | | | | | |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _C | C | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 93.1 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 91.0 | -3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| 16 kHz | 84.1 | -8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0) | | | | | |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702
證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 13748

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

| | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| | 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| | 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| | 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室


c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C217234

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2432) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 25 November 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Precision Acoustic Calibrator
Manufacturer / 製造商 : LARSON DAVIS
Model No. / 型號 : CAL200
Serial No. / 編號 : 10227
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,
New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 16 December 2021

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試


:


K P Cheuk
Project Engineer

Certified By

核證

:


K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

:

16 December 2021

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C217234
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL130 | Universal Counter | C213954 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | AV210017 |
| TST150A | Measuring Amplifier | C201309 |

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value | Measured Value (dB) | Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB) |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 94 dB, 1 kHz | 93.8 | ± 0.2 |
| 114 dB, 1 kHz | 113.8 | |

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1.000 | ± 1 |

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.


The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results

Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | 1 st Hour | 2 nd Hour | 3 rd Hour | | |
| 02-Sep-22 | Fine | 8:27 - 11:27 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 273.7 | 500 |
| 08-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:23 - 11:23 | 49 | 31 | 37 | 273.7 | 500 |
| 14-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:31 - 11:31 | 37 | 42 | 46 | 273.7 | 500 |
| 20-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:18 - 11:18 | 23 | 41 | 37 | 273.7 | 500 |
| 26-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:27 - 11:27 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 273.7 | 500 |
| 30-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:22 - 11:22 | 45 | 51 | 56 | 273.7 | 500 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | 1 st Hour | 2 nd Hour | 3 rd Hour | | |
| 02-Sep-22 | Fine | 8:41 - 11:41 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 274.2 | 500 |
| 08-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:37 - 11:37 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 274.2 | 500 |
| 14-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:46 - 11:46 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 274.2 | 500 |
| 20-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:33 - 11:33 | 51 | 46 | 42 | 274.2 | 500 |
| 26-Sep-22 | Sunny | 8:42 - 11:42 | 61 | 66 | 72 | 274.2 | 500 |
| 30-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:36 - 11:36 | 71 | 59 | 62 | 274.2 | 500 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)

| Start | | Finish | | Filter Weight (g) | | Elapsed Time Reading | | Sampling Time (hrs) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min) | | | Conc. (µg/m ³) | Weather Condition | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | Average | | | | |
| 02-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 03-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 2.7298 | 2.7505 | 25424.38 | 25448.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 11 | Fine | 143.6 | 260 |
| 08-Sep-22 | 08:20 | 09-Sep-22 | 08:20 | 2.7148 | 2.7597 | 25448.38 | 25472.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 25 | Sunny | 143.6 | 260 |
| 14-Sep-22 | 08:28 | 15-Sep-22 | 08:28 | 2.7326 | 2.7601 | 25472.38 | 25496.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 15 | Sunny | 143.6 | 260 |
| 20-Sep-22 | 08:15 | 21-Sep-22 | 08:15 | 2.7215 | 2.7503 | 25496.38 | 25520.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 16 | Cloudy | 143.6 | 260 |
| 26-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 27-Sep-22 | 08:25 | 2.7194 | 2.7560 | 25520.38 | 25544.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 20 | Sunny | 143.6 | 260 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 08:20 | 01-Oct-22 | 08:20 | 2.7194 | 2.7663 | 25544.38 | 25568.38 | 24 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 26 | Cloudy | 143.6 | 260 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)

| Start | | Finish | | Sampling Time (hrs) | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Weather Condition | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | | | | | |
| 02-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 03-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 24 | 16 | Fine | 151.1 | 260 |
| 08-Sep-22 | 08:35 | 09-Sep-22 | 08:35 | 24 | 18 | Sunny | 151.1 | 260 |
| 14-Sep-22 | 08:43 | 15-Sep-22 | 08:43 | 24 | 23 | Sunny | 151.1 | 260 |
| 20-Sep-22 | 08:30 | 21-Sep-22 | 08:30 | 24 | 25 | Cloudy | 151.1 | 260 |
| 26-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 27-Sep-22 | 08:39 | 24 | 49 | Sunny | 151.1 | 260 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 08:34 | 01-Oct-22 | 08:34 | 24 | 33 | Cloudy | 151.1 | 260 |

Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)



Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM1A

| Date | Time | Measured L ₁₀ , dB(A) | Measured L ₉₀ , dB(A) | L _{eq} (30 min.)*, dB(A) |
|-----------|-------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:23 | 64.4 | 60.6 | 67 |
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:28 | 65.5 | 61.7 | |
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:33 | 65.2 | 61.2 | |
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:38 | 66.9 | 62.0 | |
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:43 | 67.0 | 63.4 | |
| 08-Sep-22 | 09:48 | 66.7 | 62.9 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:30 | 65.4 | 61.6 | 67 |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:35 | 66.5 | 62.3 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:40 | 66.7 | 62.2 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:45 | 65.1 | 61.8 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:50 | 66.8 | 62.7 | |
| 14-Sep-22 | 09:55 | 66.0 | 62.1 | |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:17 | 64.4 | 60.6 | 66 |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:22 | 65.7 | 61.5 | |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:27 | 65.2 | 61.8 | |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:32 | 64.3 | 60.3 | |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:37 | 65.0 | 61.1 | |
| 20-Sep-22 | 09:42 | 66.9 | 62.0 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:25 | 65.4 | 61.3 | 66 |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:30 | 66.2 | 62.6 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:35 | 64.1 | 60.6 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:40 | 64.7 | 60.2 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:45 | 65.9 | 61.9 | |
| 26-Sep-22 | 09:50 | 66.5 | 62.0 | |


Remarks:

* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at Station NM1A.

Graphical Presentation Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM1A




H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory


Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station

September 2022


Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:



Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:



I. Waste Flow table

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Sorting Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mar | 2702.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2702.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.6 |
| Apr | 8631.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8631.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 |
| May | 12487.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12487.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 60.5 |
| Jun | 8600.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8600.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 13.5 |
| Jul | 12624.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12624.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 9.9 |
| Aug | 14419.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14419.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| Sep | 13671.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13671.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 12.4 |
| Oct | 13088.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13088.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.9 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 |
| Nov | 12424.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12424.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 10.2 |
| Dec | 12487.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12487.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 9.0 |
| Sub-total (2016) | 111138.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 111138.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 334.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 191.6 |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 9607.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9607.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
| Feb | 9108.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9108.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 9.8 |
| Mar | 11361.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11361.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 8.5 |
| Apr | 2591.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2591.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| May | 2579.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.0 | 2480.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 10.0 |
| Jun | 476.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 341.0 | 129.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| Jul | 3419.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 804.0 | 2615.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 |
| Aug | 3730.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1377.5 | 2353.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| Sep | 2108.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1133.5 | 974.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 |
| Oct | 9159.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7868.0 | 1291.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 9.3 |
| Nov | 5095.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4352.0 | 725.2 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.8 |
| Dec | 3856.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3076.0 | 780.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 8.4 |
| Sub-total (2017) | 63093.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19051.0 | 44018.7 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 187.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 137.3 |

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Sorting Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Feb | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Mar | 6120.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5782.0 | 338.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 17.6 |
| Apr | 14460.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12484.1 | 1976.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| May | 59783.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46989.0 | 12794.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Jun | 53117.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37642.8 | 15474.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 |
| Jul | 89901.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85317.1 | 4584.4 | 0.0 | 165.1 | 114.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 |
| Aug | 35137.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33731.6 | 1405.7 | 0.0 | 214.3 | 148.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.5 |
| Sep | 4924.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4641.2 | 196.1 | 87.0 | 174.6 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 179.2 |
| Oct | 19099.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11301.0 | 7642.8 | 156.1 | 0.0 | 106.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 528.5 |
| Nov | 104168.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79811.6 | 24351.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.5 |
| Dec | 62989.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51284.4 | 11699.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.9 |
| Sub-total (2018) | 449702.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 368984.8 | 80463.7 | 254.0 | 553.9 | 669.7 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 943.7 |
| 2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 74479.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69249.5 | 5229.7 | 0.0 | 318.0 | 326.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.3 |
| Feb | 21969.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17723.9 | 4246.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 |
| Mar | 19311.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8569.9 | 10742.0 | 0.0 | 337.8 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.3 |
| Apr | 28559.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21280.3 | 7279.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.9 |
| May | 45418.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11200.6 | 34217.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.7 |
| Jun | 66633.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23874.5 | 42748.0 | 10.9 | 59.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 |
| Jul | 36619.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1632.7 | 34960.9 | 26.0 | 64.4 | 120.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 |
| Aug | 2526.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2499.0 | 27.8 | 31.9 | 40.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.3 |
| Sep | 4117.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4088.7 | 28.9 | 95.2 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 127.4 |
| Oct | 6974.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6948.1 | 26.1 | 15.9 | 11.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 223.6 |
| Nov | 5334.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5304.1 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 151.6 |
| Dec | 6236.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6236.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| Sub-total (2019) | 318181.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 153531.3 | 164500.1 | 150.1 | 938.9 | 785.8 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 959.0 |

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Sorting Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 7089.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7089.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.7 |
| Feb | 16822.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16822.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 232.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.3 |
| Mar | 6559.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6559.0 | 0.0 | 110.4 | 63.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 138.3 |
| Apr | 4997.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1615.7 | 3382.2 | 0.0 | 159.2 | 1123.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 113.2 |
| May | 2236.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 452.3 | 1783.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 406.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 188.8 |
| Jun | 1134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1134.3 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 262.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 210.6 |
| Jul | 148.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 148.8 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 458.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 220.0 |
| Aug | 540.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 540.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 340.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 238.3 |
| Sep | 1432.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1432.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 750.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 291.9 |
| Oct | 1381.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1381.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 717.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 400.2 |
| Nov | 1444.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1437.4 | 6.7 | 475.8 | 473.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 377.8 |
| Dec | 793.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 793.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 478.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 435.8 |
| Sub-total (2020) | 44580.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2068.1 | 42505.8 | 6.7 | 808.3 | 5318.7 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2746.8 |
| 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 881.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 881.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 835.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 497.0 |
| Feb | 544.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 544.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 504.7 |
| Mar | 406.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 406.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 455.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 881.7 |
| Apr | 633.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 633.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 429.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 613.0 |
| May | 1125.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1125.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 355.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 355.2 |
| Jun | 877.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 877.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 420.3 |
| Jul | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 43.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 278.2 |
| Aug | 1296.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1296.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 459.1 |
| Sep | 1040.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 490.9 | 549.6 | 0.0 | 62.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 620.8 |
| Oct | 311.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 311.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 485.6 |
| Nov | 203.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 203.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 609.6 |
| Dec | 576.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 576.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 590.6 |
| Sub-total (2021) | 7905.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7346.9 | 558.5 | 0.0 | 2708.2 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6315.9 |

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Sorting Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 579.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 579.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 565.5 |
| Feb | 58.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 172.2 |
| Mar | 412.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 412.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 339.8 |
| Apr | 390.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 390.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 390.9 |
| May | 357.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 350.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 401.9 |
| Jun | 200.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 447.8 |
| Jul | 166.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 166.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 343.9 |
| Aug | 150.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 410.6 |
| Sep | 437.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 437.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 348.3 |
| Sub-total (2022) | 2754.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2746.8 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 153.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3420.8 |
| Total | 997355.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 543635.2 | 452720.7 | 999.9 | 2301.1 | 10157.3 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 14715.1 |

Note:

- 344.52 tonnes, 93.07 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month.

J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status

Table J-1: Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status (September 2022)

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage |
|--|---|--|
| Air Quality Impact (Construction) | | |
| 2.1 & 10.3.1 | <p>General Dust Control Measures</p> <p>Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (12 times a day or once every one hour), including Heavy construction activities such as construction of buildings or roads, drilling, ground excavation, cut and fill operations (i.e., earth moving)</p> | ✓ |
| 2.1 & 10.3.1 | <p>Best Practice For Dust Control</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts from the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good Site Management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good site management is important to help reducing potential air quality impact down to an acceptable level. As a general guide, the Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimise the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimising generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or • Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. • Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. | Obs |
| | | N/A No exposed earth in this project. |
| | | ✓ |
| | | ✓ |
| | | ✓ |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage |
|--------------|---|---|
| | <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The speed of the trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10km/hour in order to reduce adverse dust impacts and secure the safe movement around the site. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. <p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. | <p>L2</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |
| 2.1 & 10.3.1 | <p>Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant)</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant) BPM 3/2(93) should be followed and implemented to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p>Exhaust from Dust Arrestment Plant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wherever possible the final discharge point from particulate matter arrestment plant, where is not necessary to achieve dispersion from residual pollutants, should be at low level to minimise the effect on the local community in the case of abnormal emissions and to facilitate maintenance and inspection <p>Emission Limits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All emissions to air, other than steam or water vapour, shall be colourless and free from persistent mist or smoke <p>Engineering Design/Technical Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a general guidance, the loading, unloading, handling and storage of fuel, raw materials, products, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to prevent the release of visible dust and/or other noxious or offensive emissions | <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|
| | | L2 |
| | Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM): All NRMMs operating on-site which are subject to emission control of Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation are approved/exempted (as the case may be) and affixed with the requisite approval/exemption labels. | ✓ |
| | Noise Impact (Construction) | |
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | Good Site Practice Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during each phase of construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works; • machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum • plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; • mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and • material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | |
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | Adoption of Quieter PME The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME Inventory and " <i>Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME</i> " are presented in Table 4.26 in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong. | ✓ |
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | Use of Movable Noise Barriers Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the NSRs is blocked. | ✓ |
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note No. 9/2010. | ✓ |
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | Use of Noise Insulating Fabric Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, piling machine etc). The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric. | ✓ |

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

| | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| 3.1 & 10.4.1 | <p>Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods</p> <p>During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions (including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy construction activities during school examination periods.</p> | <p>N/A</p> <p>No educational institutions nearby the site.</p> |
|-----------------|---|--|

Water Quality Impact (Construction)

| | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 4.1 & 10.5.1 | <p>Construction site runoff and drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction; • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. • Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. • Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |
|-----------------|--|--|

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage |
|--------------|---|--|
| | | L2 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. | N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project. |
| | <p>Barging facilities and activities</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during operation of the proposed barging point include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; All hopper barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and Construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site. | N/A No barging facilities in this project. N/A No barging facilities in this project. N/A No barging facilities in this project. N/A No barging facilities in this project. |
| 4.1 & 10.5.1 | <p>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p> | ✓ |
| 4.1 & 10.5.1 | <p>General construction activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used. Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event. | ✓ ✓ |

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

Waste Management Implications (Construction)

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|
| 6.1 & 10.7.1 | Good Site Practices | <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste • Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers • Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction to public roads • Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the inert or non-inert C&D materials is not anticipated | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>Rem, Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |
| 6.1 & 10.7.1 | Waste Reduction Measures | <p>Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sort inert C&D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force • Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&D materials • Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes | <p>✓</p> <p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |
| 6.1 & 10.7.1 | Inert and Non-inert C&D Materials | <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable. In addition, inert C&D material generated from excavation works could be reused as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The surplus inert C&D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong. • Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal of the inert C&D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to proceed until all issues on management of inert C&D materials have been resolved and all relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and EPD. • The C&D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site. | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

| | | |
|--------------|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&D materials at respectively PFRFs and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction & Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site. | ✓ |
| 6.1 & 10.7.1 | <p>Chemical Waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the “Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes”. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage, collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended. | ✓ |
| 6.1 & 10.7.1 | <p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from inert C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of ‘wind blown’ light material.</p> | ✓ |

Land Contamination (Construction)

| | | |
|--------------|--|--|
| 7.1 & 10.8.1 | <p>The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials.</p> <p>The following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed; | <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
|--------------|--|--|

Implementation Stage

L2

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

| | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised; | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and | <p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

- Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements.

N/A
TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

Ecological Impact (Construction)

No mitigation measure is required.

Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction)

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM1) | Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006. | N/A No trees under this Contract. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM2) | Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity within the site. | N/A Compensatory tree planting is being reviewed. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM3) | Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM4) | Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities. | N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM5) | Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM6) | Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets. | N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM7) | Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape quality. | N/A Gardens are designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | L2 |
| Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM8) | Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to provide aesthetically pleasing designs. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 (CM9) | Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to minimize the affected extent to the waterbody | N/A No marine facilities for this project. |
| Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP1) | Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards | ✓ |
| Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP2) | Early introduction of landscape treatments | N/A No landscape treatments during this stage. |
| Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP3) | Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the transition period. | N/A No ventilation shafts for this project. |
| Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP4) | Control of night time lighting | ✓ |
| Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP5) | Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual balance and soften the hard edges of the structures. | N/A No temporary open areas for this project. |

- N/A - Not Applicable
- ✓ - Implemented
- Obs - Observed
- Rem - Reminder

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works to the end of the reporting month are summarised in the **Table K-1** below respectively.

Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Lyric Theatre Complex

| Reporting Period | Cumulative Statistics | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Complaints | Notifications of summons | Successful prosecutions |
| This reporting month | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| From 1 March 2016 to end of the reporting month (September 2022) | 52 | 0 | 0 |

END OF PART-1

Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C

Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C

APEX TESTING & CERTIFICATION LIMITED
Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Plaza, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.
Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 39733585 Fax: (852) 30079385
Email: info@apextestcert.com

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at time of printing

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Executive summary | 1 |
| 1 Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 Background | 3 |
| 1.2 Project Organisation | 3 |
| 1.3 Construction Works Status in the Reporting Period | 3 |
| 1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations | 4 |
| 1.4.1 EM&A Requirements | 4 |
| 1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations | 5 |
| 2 Impact Monitoring Methodology | 7 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2 Air Quality | 7 |
| 2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Monitoring Locations | 7 |
| 2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment | 7 |
| 2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology | 8 |
| 2.3 Noise | 10 |
| 2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 10 |
| 2.3.2 Monitoring Location | 10 |
| 2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment | 10 |
| 2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology | 11 |
| 2.4 Landscape and Visual | 11 |
| 2.4.1 Monitoring Program | 11 |
| 3 Monitoring Results | 12 |
| 3.1 Impact Monitoring | 12 |
| 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring | 12 |
| 3.2.1 1-hour TSP | 12 |
| 3.2.2 24-hour TSP | 12 |
| 3.3 Noise Monitoring | 13 |
| 3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact | 14 |
| 4 Site Environmental Management | 15 |
| 4.1 Site Inspection | 15 |
| 4.1.1 Zone 2A | 15 |
| 4.1.2 Zone 2B & 2C | 16 |
| 4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status | 17 |
| 4.2.1 Zone 2A | 18 |
| 4.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C | 18 |

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| 4.3 | Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits | 18 |
| 4.3.1 | Zone 2A | 18 |
| 4.3.2 | Zone 2B & 2C | 19 |
| 4.4 | Recommended Mitigation Measures | 19 |
| 4.4.1 | Zone 2A | 19 |
| 4.4.2 | Zone 2B & 2C | 19 |
| 5 | Compliance with Environmental Permit | 21 |
| 6 | Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions | 22 |
| 6.1 | Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels | 22 |
| 6.2 | Record on Environmental Complaints Received | 22 |
| 6.3 | Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution | 23 |
| 7 | Future Key Issues | 24 |
| 7.1 | Construction Works for the Coming Month(s) | 24 |
| 7.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | 24 |
| 7.2.1 | Zone 2A | 24 |
| 7.2.2 | Zone 2B & 2C | 25 |
| 7.3 | Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month | 25 |
| 8 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 26 |
| 8.1 | Conclusions | 26 |
| 8.2 | Recommendations | 26 |
| Figure 1 | Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations | 27 |
| | Appendices | 28 |
| A. | Project Organisation | 29 |
| B. | Tentative Construction Programme | 30 |
| C. | Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase | 31 |
| D. | Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact | 32 |
| E. | Monitoring Schedule | 33 |
| F. | Calibration Certifications | 34 |

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| G. | Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results | 35 |
| H. | Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory | 36 |
| I. | Waste Flow table | 37 |
| J. | Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status | 38 |
| K. | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions | 39 |

Executive summary

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073); and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 30 September 2022.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) in this reporting month.

One Action Level exceedance due to one complaint with no Limit Level exceedance of Construction Noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 01, 08, 15, 21 and 9 September 2022 for Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works in Zone 2A and on 07, 14, 21 and 28 September 2022 for Piling Works in Zone 2B & 2C to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractors in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspections during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

EPD inspection was conducted at Zone 2A on 16 September 2022.

EPD and DSD inspection was conducted at Zone 2B & 2C on 16 September 2022.

FEHD inspection was conducted at Zone 2B & 2C on 23 September 2022.

Record of Complaints

Two environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month.

Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

Zone 2A-1

- Hoarding Modification
 - Hoarding Modification
- BA14 Submission
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

Zone 2A-2

- BA14 Submission
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD05 (Section 1), KD09 (Section 5)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073) ; and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The purpose of the development in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is to reserve for Integrated Basement (IB) and Underground Road (UR). The Zone 2A construction activities involve the foundation, excavation and lateral support (ELS) works, road works, drainage diversion works, and temporary car parking. The Zone 2B & 2C construction activities involve the piling works. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary falls under this same category.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 30 September 2022. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Construction Works Status in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2A undertaken include:

Zone 2A-1

- Hoarding Modification
 - Hoarding Modification
- BA14 Submission
 - Prepare and Submit BA14
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

Zone 2A-2

- BA14 Submission
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2B & 2C undertaken include:

KD05 (Section 1)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

KD09 (Section 5)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

The Construction Works Programme of Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is provided in **Appendix B**. A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4** on the status of the environmental licenses.

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

| Parameters | Descriptions | Locations | Frequencies |
|-------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Air Quality | 24-Hours TSP | AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1 | At least once every 6 days |
| | 1-Hour TSP | AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1 | At least 3 times every 6 days |
| | 24-Hours TSP | AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School | At least once every 6 days |
| | 1-Hour TSP | AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School | At least 3 times every 6 days |

| Parameters | Descriptions | Locations | Frequencies |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | 24-Hours TSP | AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site | At least once every 6 days |
| | 1-Hour TSP | AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site | At least 3 times every 6 days |
| Noise | Leq, 30 minutes | NM2-The Arch, Sun Tower | Weekly |
| | Leq, 30 minutes | NM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1 | Weekly |
| | Leq, 30 minutes | NM4-Canton Road Government Primary School | Weekly |
| | Leq, 30 minutes | NM5-Development next to Austin Station | Weekly |
| Landscape & Visual | Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage | As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual | Bi-Weekly |

1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme for the Project should require 5 noise monitoring station and 5 air quality monitoring stations located closest to the Project area. With regard to the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring.

In the context of the construction activities in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C, all other monitoring locations including AM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), AM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School), and AM5 (Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) for air monitoring; and NM2 (The Arch, Sun Tower), NM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), NM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School) and NM5 (Development next to Austin Station) for noise monitoring, have been taken into account. However, access to all these originally designated monitoring stations was declined as described below point-by-point.

The Arch management office and owners' committee have formally declined the proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level of Sun Tower (NM2) on 24 July 2014. Thus, alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of The Arch – Sun Tower (NM2A), which is at the same location as stated in the EM&A Manual for consistency. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Victoria Towers management office formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premises at the podium area of Tower 1 (AM3/NM3) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM3A), in the same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of the Xiqu Centre (NM3A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No

management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Canton Road Government Primary School formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premise at the podium level (AM4/NM4) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM4A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (NM4A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

MTR also formally declined the access to the designated AM5 location (topside developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) on 15 July 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the North of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM5A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Grand Austin property management office formally declined our proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level (NM5) on 10 July 2020. Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the Pedestrian road (ground floor) outside West Kowloon Station (NM5A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

Air quality and noise monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, equipment used, parameters, frequency and duration etc., are described in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring Schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

The relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details for landscape and audit impact, are also presented in this Section.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.1 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Parameter | Frequency | Duration |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 24-hour TSP | At least once in every six-days | 24 hours |
| 1-hour TSP | At least 3 times every six-days | 60 minutes |

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring stations and locations are given in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Location Description |
|--------------------|--|
| AM3A | Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F) |
| AM4A | Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F) |
| AM5A | North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F) |

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: TE-5170) located at the designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements stated in of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the HVS, calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 24-hour TSP monitoring | |
| High Volume Sampler | TE-5170 (Serial No.: 4340; 3998; 4344) |

| Equipment | Model |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Calibrator | TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 3543) |
| 1-hour TSP monitoring | |
| Portable direct reading dust meter | Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 235811, 336338, 567188) |

Calibration of the HVS (five-point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The 1-hour TSP monitoring should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Installation

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 µm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.

Field Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.

- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.
- Calibration records for HVS and calibration kit are shown in **Appendix F**.

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push "START/STOP" to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.3 Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency

| Time Period | Parameters | Frequency |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Daytime on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours) | L_{eq} (30 min), L_{90} (30 min) & L_{10} (30 min) | Once every week |

Note: *70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.
If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Noise monitoring stations and locations are given in **Table 2.5** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Location |
|--------------------|--|
| NM2A | The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F) |
| NM3A | Xiqu Centre (G/F) |
| NM4A | Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F) |
| NM5A | Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station |

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment Model | Calibrator |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | |
| AWA5661 (Serial No.: 301135) | Quest QC-10 (Serial No.: Q19010183) |

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at NM5A monitoring location.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast
 - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in **Appendix F**.

Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.4 Landscape and Visual

2.4.1 Monitoring Program

Table 2.7 details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase

| Stage | Monitoring Task | Frequency | Report | Approval |
|--------------|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| Construction | Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage. | Bi-weekly | ET to report on Contractor's compliance | Counter-signed by IEC |

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring

Air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact monitoring was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | 1st Result | 2nd Result | 3rd Result | | | |
| AM3A | 01-Sep-22 | 14:10 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 35-73 | 280.4 | 500 |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 08:11 | 55 | 54 | 60 | | | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 14:02 | 70 | 72 | 71 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 08:07 | 67 | 69 | 70 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 14:15 | 73 | 72 | 70 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:03 | 37 | 35 | 36 | | | |
| AM4A | 01-Sep-22 | 14:18 | 65 | 72 | 70 | 31-77 | 278.5 | 500 |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 08:19 | 53 | 53 | 52 | | | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 14:10 | 63 | 69 | 67 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 08:15 | 70 | 68 | 66 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 14:23 | 77 | 77 | 72 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:11 | 32 | 40 | 31 | | | |
| AM5A | 01-Sep-22 | 14:33 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 31-76 | 275.4 | 500 |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 08:36 | 53 | 58 | 55 | | | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 14:25 | 63 | 65 | 64 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 08:32 | 67 | 66 | 71 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 14:38 | 76 | 68 | 72 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:19 | 31 | 39 | 34 | | | |

3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | Monitoring Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| AM3A | 01-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 62.4 | 30.8-66.6 | 152.4 | 260 |

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Date | Start Time | Monitoring Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| AM4A | 07-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 56.8 | 30.4-67.6 | 152.6 | 260 |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 66.6 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 66.3 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 65.0 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 30.8 | | | |
| | 01-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 61.9 | | | |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 52.4 | | | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 63.4 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 66.8 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 67.6 | | | |
| AM5A | 30-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 30.4 | 28.6-66.3 | 141.1 | 260 |
| | 01-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 66.0 | | | |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 51.3 | | | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 61.2 | | | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 63.7 | | | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 66.3 | | | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 28.6 | | | |

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up as façade and free-field measurements are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays

| Monitoring Stations | Monitoring Date | Start Time | End Time | L_{eq} (30 mins) dB(A) | Limit Level for L_{eq} (dB(A)) |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| NM2A | 01-Sep-22 | 14:40 | 15:10 | 61.3 | 75 |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 08:41 | 09:11 | 61.6 | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 14:32 | 15:02 | 61.7 | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 08:37 | 09:07 | 61.5 | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 14:45 | 15:15 | 62.2 | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 08:03 | 08:33 | 61.8 | |
| NM3A | 01-Sep-22 | 16:10 | 16:40 | 64.9 | 75 |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 10:14 | 10:44 | 65.2 | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 16:02 | 16:32 | 64.4 | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 10:10 | 10:40 | 63.5 | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 16:15 | 16:45 | 63.7 | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 09:45 | 10:15 | 63.5 | |
| NM4A | 01-Sep-22 | 16:45 | 17:15 | 64.5 | 70/65 [#] |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 10:49 | 11:19 | 65.0 | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 16:37 | 17:07 | 63.9 | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 10:45 | 11:15 | 62.9 | |

| Monitoring Stations | Monitoring Date | Start Time | End Time | L _{eq} (30 mins) dB(A) | Limit Level for L _{eq} (dB(A)) |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| NM5A* | 24-Sep-22 | 16:50 | 17:20 | 63.1 | 75 |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 10:20 | 10:50 | 63.0 | |
| | 01-Sep-22 | 15:30 | 16:00 | 65.1 | |
| | 07-Sep-22 | 09:33 | 10:03 | 64.6 | |
| | 13-Sep-22 | 15:22 | 15:52 | 64.6 | |
| | 19-Sep-22 | 09:29 | 09:59 | 64.4 | |
| | 24-Sep-22 | 15:35 | 16:05 | 64.7 | |
| | 30-Sep-22 | 09:04 | 09:34 | 64.5 | |

Remarks:

* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

^ 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

No school examination was conducted in the reporting period.

One Action Level exceedance due to one complaint with no Limit Level exceedance of Construction Noise was recorded in the reporting month

Construction works were extended to 1900-2300 hours on 01 to 03, 06, 09, 14, 19, 21 and 26 to 28 September 2022; and to holidays 1000-1900 hours on 04 and 18 September 2022. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, additional monitoring was carried out during the restricted hours on 01, 04, 06, 14, 18, 19 and 26 September 2022. The L_{eq} (5 mins) is in the range of 56.1-65.1 dB(A). Construction Noise Permits for the works carried out during restricted hours were obtained and listed in **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4**.

3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspections on 01, 15 and 29 September 2022 for Zone 2A and 07 and 21 September 2022 for Zone 2B & 2C during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

4 Site Environmental Management

4.1 Site Inspection

4.1.1 Zone 2A

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 01, 08, 15, 21 and 29 September 2022 at Zone 2A. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2A was held on 21 September 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

EPD inspection was carried out on 16 September 2022 at Zone 2A site. The EPD inspectors focused on the discharge point. No adverse comment was given.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2A

| Inspection Date | Parameter | Observation / Recommendation | Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken | Close-out (Date) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|------------------|
| 01-Sep-22 | Water Quality/ Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity. | The contractor has placed the fuel drums with drip tray. | 01-Sep-22 |
| 01-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that stagnant water in u-channel shall be removed regularly. | The contractor has removed the stagnant water in the u-channel. | 02-Sep-22 |
| 15-Sep-22 | Water Quality/ Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that chemical container shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity. | The contractor has removed the chemical container. | 16-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-21 | Air Quality/ Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as far as practicable. | The contractor has removed the idle stockpile off site. | 22-Sep-22 |
| 29-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that stagnant water near the seafront site boundary should be removed. | The contractor has removed the stagnant water near the seafront site boundary. | 29-Sep-22 |
| 29-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that sufficient nos. of water pump shall provide when rainstorms are likely. | The contractor has provided more water pumps standby on site. | 30-Sep-22 |

4.1.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 07, 14, 21 and 28 September 2022 at Zone 2B & 2C. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2B & 2C was held on 21 September 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

EPD and DSD inspection was carried out on 16 September 2022 at Zone 2B & 2C site. EPD and DSD officers inspected the condition of wastewater treatment facilities, outfall, DSD manhole and the effluent discharge manhole. No adverse comments have been given.

FEHD inspection was carried out on 23 September 2022 at Zone 2B & 2C site. The purpose of the FEHD visit was to inspect the cleanness in front of the site entrance. No adverse comments have been given. FEHD officer reminded contractor to keep cleaning regularly and maintain the cleanness of public road.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2B & 2C

| Inspecti on Date | Parameter | Observation / Recommendation | Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken | Close-out (Date) |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| 07-Sep-22 | Air Quality | The contractor was reminded that NRMM label shall be properly displayed on all regulated machineries on site. | The contractor has provided the NRMM label for the regulated machineries. | 08-Sep-22 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Water Quality/Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity. | The contractor has removed the fuel drums to designated areas. | 08-Sep-22 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Noise impact | The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly to minimize noise impact to the NSRs | The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact. | 08-Sep-22 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that to clean up the oil stains to minimize any potential for contamination of inert C&D materials. | The contractor has cleaned up the oil stains. | 08-Sep-22 |
| 14-Sep-22 | Waste Management | The contractor was reminded to carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and to remove all general refuse to designated landfill facilities regularly. | The contractor has removed general refuse to designated landfill facilities. | 20-Sep-22 |
| 14-Sep-22 | Water Quality/Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity. | The contractor has removed the fuel drums to designated areas. | 19-Sep-22 |
| 14-Sep-22 | Water Quality/Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded to clean up the drip trays regularly to avoid overflow and maintain sufficiency of drip tray capacity. | The contractor has cleaned up the drip tray. | 14-Sep-22 |

| Inspecti on Date | Parameter | Observation / Recommendation | Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken | Close-out (Date) |
|------------------|--------------------|--|---|------------------|
| 14-Sep-22 | Land Contamination | The contractor was reminded that to clean up the oil stains to minimize any potential contamination to the surrounding area. | The contractor has cleaned up the oil stains. | 14-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Noise impact | The contractor was reminded to close the door/flap of the air compressor/power pack/generator when they are in use. | The contractor has closed the door/flap of the air compressor/power pack/generator. | 28-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that temporary drainage system shall be cleaned regularly to ensure the temporary drainage system can be operated efficiently. | The contractor has cleaned up the stagnant water. | 21-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Waste Management | The contractor was reminded to Remove general refuse to designated landfill facilities regularly to avoid accumulation. | The contractor has removed general refuse to designated landfill facilities. | 28-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Noise impact | The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly to minimize noise impact to the NSRs. | The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact. | 22-Sep-22 |
| 21-Sep-22 | Air Quality | The contractor was reminded that NRMM label shall be displayed on all regulated machineries which can be easily visible. | The contractor has properly displayed the NRMM label on the regulated machineries. | 21-Sep-22 |
| 28-Sep-22 | Noise impact | The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly particular for RCD platforms and power pack to minimize noise impact to the NSRs. | The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers for the RCD platforms and power pack. | 05-Oct-22 |
| 28-Sep-22 | Water Quality | The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as frequently as practicable. | The contractor has removed the idle stockpile from the site. | 05-Oct-22 |
| 28-Sep-22 | Air Quality | The contractor was reminded to strengthen the dust suppression measures at the construction area to minimize dust impact. | The contractor has spayed water at the construction area. | 05-Oct-22 |
| 28-Sep-22 | Noise impact | The contractor was reminded to close the door/flap of the air compressor/power pack/generator when they are in use. | The contractor has closed the door/flap of the air compressor/power pack/generator. | 28-Sep-22 |

4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractors have been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

4.2.1 Zone 2A

As advised by the Zone 2A Contractor, 28.53 tonnes and 71.02 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 13.82 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill respectively. 0.0 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 37.09 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused on site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 5.75 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

4.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

As advised by the Zone 2B & 2C Contractor, 9333.41 tonnes and 23108.52 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 29.88 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill. 15.80 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 3664.45 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused on site. 2790.24 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The cumulative waste generation records for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C are shown in **Appendix I**.

4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.3**.

4.3.1 Zone 2A

Table 4.3: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2A

| Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No. | Valid Period | | Status | Remarks |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|
| | From | To | | |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | | | | |
| WPN5113-256-B2597-01 | 10-Sep-20 | -- | Valid | -- |
| Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal | | | | |
| 7037500 | 09-Jun-20 | -- | Account Active | -- |
| Construction Noise Permit | | | | |
| GW-RE0432-22 | 11-May-22 | 10-Nov-22 | Valid | Pumping Test |
| Wastewater Discharge License | | | | |
| WT00037344-2021 | 01-Feb-21 | 28-Feb-26 | Valid | -- |
| Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | |
| 456376 | 21-May-20 | -- | Notified | -- |

4.3.2 Zone 2B & 2C

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.4**.

Table 4.4: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2B & 2C

| Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No. | Valid Period | | Status | Remarks |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| | From | To | | |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | | | | |
| WPN5113-256-V2302-01 | 17-Aug-21 | -- | Valid | -- |
| Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal | | | | |
| 7041264 | 11-Aug-21 | -- | Account Active | -- |
| Construction Noise Permit | | | | |
| GW-RE0718-22 | 22-Jul-22 | 21-Sep-22 | Valid | -- |
| GW-RE0984-22 | 24-Sep-22 | 23-Nov-22 | Valid | -- |
| Wastewater Discharge License | | | | |
| WT00039734-2021 | 25-Nov-21 | 30-Nov-26 | Valid | -- |
| Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | |
| 470022 | 29-Jul-21 | -- | Notified | -- |

4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

4.4.1 Zone 2A

Air Quality

- Dusty stockpile should be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as far as practicable.

Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality

- Idle stockpile of materials should be fully covered with tarpaulin when not being used.
- Temporary drainage system should be maintained and reviewed regularly to ensure proper function at all time.
- Sufficient numbers of water pumps shall be provided on site to direct storm water to water treatment facilities during rainstorm.
- Chemical containers should be properly placed with drip trays/ removed to storage area to prevent chemical spillage.

4.4.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Air Quality

- NRMM Label should be provided for all regulated machinery on site.

Noise Impact

- Noise barriers should be properly set up to minimize noise impact to NSRs.

Waste Management

- Chemical wastes should be properly placed with drip trays/removed to storage area to prevent chemical spillage.
- Carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and remove all general waste to designated landfill facilities regularly.

Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality

- Idle stockpile of construction materials should be fully covered with tarpaulin when not being used or removed from the site during rainstorm.
- Sandbags barriers/ water pumps shall be provided on site to direct storm water to water treatment facilities during rainstorm.
- Temporary drainage system shall be maintained regularly to ensure efficient operation.

5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit

| EP Condition | Submission | Submission Date |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Condition 3.4 | Monthly EM&A Report for August 2022 | 14 September 2022 |

6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) in this reporting month.

One Action Level exceedance due to one complaint with no Limit Level exceedance of Construction Noise was recorded in the reporting month.

6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

Two environmental complaints were received in the reporting month.

On 04 September 2022, the EPD has received a complaint from a public regarding polluted water discharge at WKCD construction site on 26 July 2022 and referred the case to the WKCDA on 05 September 2022. Investigation at Zone 2A site revealed that the location of the concerned feculent water was not within the boundary of Zone 2A site. There was no feculent water flowing from Zone 2A site into the waterbody of Victoria Harbor during the self-inspection and neither from the picture provided by the complainant. No abnormalities were found at the Zone 2A site boundary. Thereby, the concerned feculent water on 26 July 2022 was not from WKCD Zone 2A site. Protective measures were properly maintained to avoid water leakage from the site. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2A site. Observed feculent brownish debris spread on 26 July 2022 from the pier of Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station into the waterbody of Victoria Harbor, might possibly be the source of the feculent water showed at the pier of Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station in one of the pictures provided by the complainant. Nonetheless, the Contractor is recommended to strictly maintain good site practices to avoid water pollution. Investigation at Zone 2B & 2C site revealed that the locations of the concerned discharge were not within the boundary of Zone 2B & 2C site. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2B & 2C site. Nonetheless, the Contractor is recommended to strictly maintain good site practices to avoid water pollution to the waterbody of Victoria Harbour.

On 08 September 2022, the EPD has received a complaint from a public regarding noise pollution at WKCD construction site and referred the case to the WKCDA on the same day. The complainant claimed that: “居民投訴西九龍文化區 3B 區打樁噪音, 今早 07:00 開始, 要求環保署跟進回覆. 早上七時太早” (Resident claims that noise generated from piling works from WKCD Zone 3B starting from early morning at 7 a.m.). Investigation at Zone 2A site revealed that no site activity was conducted before 8:00 a.m. and no piling work was carried out on Zone 2A site on 08 September 2022. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2A site. Nonetheless, the Contractor is recommended to maintain close monitoring of noise control on site, and strengthen the implementation of noise mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the nearby residents. Investigation at Zone 2B & 2C site revealed that some noise might be related to the general construction activities conducted at Zone 2B & 2C site. However, those construction activities were conducted from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., which were within statutory working hour; and there was no percussive piling conducted at Zone 2B & 2C site on 08 September 2022. Nonetheless, the Contractor is recommended to maintain close monitoring of noise control on

site, and strengthen the implementation of noise mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the nearby residents.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

7 Future Key Issues

7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

Zone 2A-1

- Hoarding Modification
 - Hoarding Modification
- BA14 Submission
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

Zone 2A-2

- BA14 Submission
 - BD Acknowledgement of BA14

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD05 (Section 1), KD09 (Section 5)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.2.1 Zone 2A

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from construction works;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- The temporary drainage system should be well managed and updated with the site condition, particularly on rainy days;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and

7.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from piling works;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.

7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air quality and noise for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken with the commencement of the construction activities at Zone 2A on 03 October 2020, and the major construction works of Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 30 September 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Projects is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, noise level (as L_{eq} , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) in this reporting month. One Action Level exceedance due to one complaint with no Limit Level exceedance of Construction Noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Two environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

Figure 1 Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations

Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

A. Project Organisation

Project Organization




Table A-1: Contract Information

| Company Name | Role | Name | Telephone | Email |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| West Kowloon Cultural District Authority | WKCDA Representative & Project ETL | Mr. C.K. WU | 5506 9178 | ck.wu@wkcda.hk |
| Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited | Independent Environmental Checker | Ms. Claudine LEE | 2859 5409 | caludinelee@meinhardt.com.hk |
| AECOM Asia Company Limited | Resident Engineer (Zone 2A) | Mr. Alex GBAGUIDI | 3619 6287 | alex.gbaguidi@aecom.com |
| AECOM Asia Company Limited | Resident Engineer (Zone 2B & 2C) | Ms. Carmen CHAN | 6892 9271 | carmen.chan@aecom.com |
| Bachy Soletanche – Fujita Corporation Joint Venture | Interface & Environmental Manager | Mr. Philip CHAN | 9668 8403 | philip.chan@soletanche-bachy.com |
| Vibro – Tysan – Chun Wo Joint Venture | Environmental Sustainability Manager | Mr. Tony YAM | 2137 5586 | tony_yam@vibro.com.hk |
| Apex Testing & Certification Limited | Contractor's Environmental Team Leader | Mr. Calvin LUI | 9629 9718 | calvinlui@apextestcert.com |

B. Tentative Construction Programme

Zone 2A

Zone 2B & 2C

| Activity ID | Activity Name | Baseline Start | Baseline Finish | Dur | Forecast / Actual Start | Forecast / Actual Finsih | Total Float | September | | | | October | | | | November | | | December | |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|----|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----------|----|
| | | | | | | | | 15 | | | | 16 | | | | 17 | | | 18 | |
| | | | | | | | | 20 | 27 | 03 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 01 | 08 | 15 | 22 | 29 | 05 | 12 |

Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B & 2C

Contract Dates

Key Dates

KD for Zone 2B

| | | | | |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------|
| KD03 | KD03 (Stage 3-1) - 26 Aug 2022 | 26-Aug-22 | 0 | 26-Aug-22 A |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------|

KD for Zone 2C

| | | | | |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------|
| KD04 | KD04 (Stage 4-1) - 26 Aug 2022 | 25-Aug-22 | 0 | 26-Aug-22 A |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------|

Optional Works subjected to CA's Instruction

| | | | | | |
|------|--|-----------|---|-----------|----|
| CO2A | Last CAI date for Optional Works Item No.2A (330 Days to 440 | 05-Oct-22 | 0 | 05-Oct-22 | 71 |
|------|--|-----------|---|-----------|----|

Access Dates of Site Portion

270 days after Commencement

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----|
| ACB35 | Access to Site Portion B35 (To be agreed with the Zone 2A con | 18-Apr-22 | 0 | 17-Sep-22 | -32 |
|-------|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----|

Mobilization Stage

Site Mobilization Works

Pre-Construction Works before Piling Commencement

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----------|-----|
| MOBP.10.1300 | Trial Pit for Drillholes & Removal of Existing Substructures / Gro | 19-Aug-21 | 30-Apr-22 | 409 | 19-Aug-21 A | 01-Oct-22 | 464 |
|--------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----------|-----|

End of Mobilization

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|-----|
| MOBP.99.1080 | End of Mobilization Stage | 18-May-22 | 0 | 01-Oct-22 | 464 |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|-----|

Construction Stage

Pile Construction

Predrilling


| | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----------|------|
| PD.1080 | Predrilling for BP in KD05 [76 nos.] | 30-Sep-21 | 30-Mar-22 | 290 | 30-Sep-21 A | 07-Oct-22 | -112 |
| PD.1160 | Predrilling for BP in KD09 [149 nos.] incl. 4 additional nos. | 30-Sep-21 | 30-Mar-22 | 290 | 30-Sep-21 A | 07-Oct-22 | 17 |

KD03 (Stage 3-1)

VD09

| | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------|---|-----------|-----------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 17 | CBA-BPC54Ja.30 | BP - Airlift, Cage Install and Concrete | 28-Feb-23 | 06-Mar-23 | 7 | 16-Aug-22 A | 24-Aug-22 A |
|----|----------------|---|-----------|-----------|---|-------------|-------------|

KD05 (Section 1)




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




ID: 2B2C-20220916_w
 Data Date: 17-Sep-22
 Print Date: 19-Sep-22_14:08
 Page 6 of 19

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | |
|--------------|-------------|
| Planned Bar | Milestone |
| Critical Bar | Critical MS |
| Baseline | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | |
|--------------|-------------|
| Planned Bar | Milestone |
| Critical Bar | Critical MS |
| Baseline | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | |
|--------------|-------------|
| Planned Bar | Milestone |
| Critical Bar | Critical MS |
| Baseline | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |




| | |
|--------------|-------------|
| Planned Bar | Milestone |
| Critical Bar | Critical MS |
| Baseline | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| | | | |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |



| | | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Planned Bar | | Milestone |
| | Critical Bar | | Critical MS |
| | Baseline | | Baseline MS |

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 16 Sep 2022
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| 4-Mar-22 | Rev.0 | KL | B |

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring stations are presented in following tables:

Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| Monitoring Station | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| AM3A | 280.4 | 500 |
| AM4A | 278.5 | 500 |
| AM5A | 275.4 | 500 |

Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| Monitoring Station | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| AM3A | 152.4 | 260 |
| AM4A | 152.6 | 260 |
| AM5A | 141.1 | 260 |

Noise

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period & Monitoring Locations | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|--|--------------------|
| NM2A, NM3A, NM4A and NM5A | | |
| 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | When one valid documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receiver | 75 |

Note:

*Reduce to 70dB(A) for school and 65 dB(A) during school examination period.

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact

Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-1: Typical Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | WKCD A | Contractor |
| Action Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and WKCD A; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and WKCD A; 3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD A; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial to WKCD A within three working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | WKCD | Contractor |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform WKCD, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD informed of the results. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the WKCD on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, WKCD, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst WKCD, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCD accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCD until the exceedance is abated. |

Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | Action | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | WKCDA | Contractor |
| Action Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify WKCDA, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the WKCDA accordingly; 3. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCDA; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Limit Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCDA on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCDA within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated. |

Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact

| Event | Action | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | Action | Event | Action | Event |
| Design Check | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report; 2. Prepare and submit report. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report submitted by ET; 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Undertake remedial design if necessary. | - |
| Non-conformity on one occasion | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source of non-conformity; 2. Report to IEC and WKCDA; 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 3. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 4. Check implementation of remedial actions. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor; 2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working method as necessary; 2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions. |
| Repeated non-conformity | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source of non-conformity; 2. Report to IEC and WKCDA; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; 6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 4. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial actions. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor; 2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working method as necessary; 2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions. |

E. Monitoring Schedule

Notes:

- AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
- NM3A - Xiqu Centre (G/F)
- NM4A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
- NM5A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

September 2022 (Hong Kong)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|-----|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 1 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C | 8 | 9 | 10 Mid-Autumn Festival |
| 11 | 12 ● Day after Mid-Autumn Festival | 13 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 14 | 15 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 20 | 21 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C | 22 | 23 | 24 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A | 30 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 1 ● National Day of the People's Republic of China |

Notes:

- AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
- NM3A - Xiqu Centre (G/F)
- NM4A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
- NM5A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

October 2022 (Hong Kong)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|-----|---|---|---|--|-----|---|
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 1 ● National Day of the People's Republic of China |
| 2 | 3 | 4 ● Chung Yeung Festival | 5 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C | 6 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 13 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 19 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring | 25 | 26 | 27 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A | 28 | 29 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring |
| 30 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

F. Calibration Certifications

Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: October 20, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 753.9 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 3543 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4300 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0060 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8990 | 7.9 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8550 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7050 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) | |
| 0.9978 | 0.6977 | 1.4156 | 0.9958 | 0.6963 | 0.8847 | |
| 0.9935 | 0.9876 | 2.0020 | 0.9915 | 0.9856 | 1.2511 | |
| 0.9915 | 1.1029 | 2.2383 | 0.9895 | 1.1007 | 1.3988 | |
| 0.9903 | 1.1583 | 2.3476 | 0.9883 | 1.1559 | 1.4670 | |
| 0.9850 | 1.3972 | 2.8313 | 0.9830 | 1.3944 | 1.7693 | |
| QSTD | m= | 2.02434 | QA | m= | 1.26761 | |
| | b= | 0.00347 | | b= | 0.00217 | |
| | r= | 1.00000 | | r= | 1.00000 | |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

Site Information

| | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|
| Location: AM3A | Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural | Date: 6-Aug-22 |
| Sampler: TE-5170 | Serial No: 4340 | Tech: CS Tang |

Site Conditions

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 756 |
| Temperature (deg F): 82 | Temperature (deg K): 301 |
| Average Press. (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Average (mm Hg): 756 |
| Average Temp. (deg F): 82 | Average Temp. (deg K): 301 |

Calibration Orifice

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Make: Tisch | Qstd Slope: 2.02434 |
| Model: TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept: 0.00347 |
| Serial#: 3543 | Date Certified: 20-Oct-21 |

Calibration Information

| Plate or Test # | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | Linear Regression |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 12.50 | 1.731 | 53.0 | 52.59 | Slope: 31.2731 |
| 2 | 10.40 | 1.579 | 48.0 | 47.63 | Intercept: -1.6802 |
| 3 | 7.90 | 1.376 | 41.0 | 40.69 | Corr. Coeff: 0.9978 |
| 4 | 4.70 | 1.061 | 33.0 | 32.75 | |
| 5 | 2.70 | 0.804 | 23.0 | 22.82 | # of Observations: 5 |

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

| |
|--|
| Average I (chart): 40 |
| Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.310262681 |
| Average Flow Calculation in CFM 46.26537527 |
| Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0 |
| Total Flow in m3/min 78.61576087 |
| Total Flow in CFM 2775.922516 |

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

Site Information

| | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|
| Location: AM4A | Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural | Date: 6-Aug-22 |
| Sampler: TE-5170 | Serial No: 3998 | Tech: CS Tang |

Site Conditions

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 756 |
| Temperature (deg F): 82 | Temperature (deg K): 301 |
| Average Press. (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Average (mm Hg): 756 |
| Average Temp. (deg F): 82 | Average Temp. (deg K): 301 |

Calibration Orifice

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Make: Tisch | Qstd Slope: 2.02434 |
| Model: TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept: 0.00347 |
| Serial#: 3543 | Date Certified: 20-Oct-21 |

Calibration Information

| Plate or Test # | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | Linear Regression |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 12.70 | 1.745 | 53.0 | 52.59 | Slope: 30.0791 |
| 2 | 10.60 | 1.594 | 48.0 | 47.63 | Intercept: 0.0438 |
| 3 | 7.70 | 1.359 | 41.0 | 40.69 | Corr. Coeff: 0.9971 |
| 4 | 4.50 | 1.038 | 33.0 | 32.75 | |
| 5 | 2.60 | 0.789 | 23.0 | 22.82 | # of Observations: 5 |

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

| |
|--|
| Average I (chart): 40 |
| Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.304957072 |
| Average Flow Calculation in CFM 46.07803422 |
| Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0 |
| Total Flow in m3/min 78.29742434 |
| Total Flow in CFM 2764.682053 |

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

Site Information

| | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|
| Location: AM5A | Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural | Date: 6-Aug-22 |
| Sampler: TE-5170 | Serial No: 4344 | Tech: CS Tang |

Site Conditions

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 756 |
| Temperature (deg F): 82 | Temperature (deg K): 301 |
| Average Press. (in Hg): 29.75 | Corrected Average (mm Hg): 756 |
| Average Temp. (deg F): 82 | Average Temp. (deg K): 301 |

Calibration Orifice

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Make: Tisch | Qstd Slope: 2.02434 |
| Model: TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept: 0.00347 |
| Serial#: 3543 | Date Certified: 20-Oct-21 |

Calibration Information

| Plate or Test # | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | Linear Regression |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 12.60 | 1.738 | 53.0 | 52.59 | Slope: 31.0531 |
| 2 | 10.80 | 1.609 | 48.0 | 47.63 | Intercept: -1.5415 |
| 3 | 7.50 | 1.341 | 41.0 | 40.69 | Corr. Coeff: 0.9984 |
| 4 | 4.90 | 1.083 | 33.0 | 32.75 | |
| 5 | 2.70 | 0.804 | 23.0 | 22.82 | # of Observations: 5 |

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

| |
|--|
| Average I (chart): 40 |
| Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.31507747 |
| Average Flow Calculation in CFM 46.43538548 |
| Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0 |
| Total Flow in m3/min 78.90464823 |
| Total Flow in CFM 2786.123129 |

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION

This is to attest that

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD
FANLING, HONG KONG

Calibration Laboratory CL-207

has met the requirements of AC204, *IAS Accreditation Criteria for Calibration Laboratories*, and has demonstrated compliance with ISO/IEC Standard 17025:2017, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. This organization is accredited to provide the services specified in the scope of accreditation.

Effective Date December 17, 2021

Expiration Date December 1, 2022



A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'Raj Nathan'.

President

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

Contact Name Lee Mei Yee

Contact Phone + 852-6309-2280

Accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2017

Effective Date December 17, 2021

CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY (CMC)*

| MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED | RANGE | UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±) | CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| <i>Dimensional</i> | | | |
| Caliper -Vernier, Dial & Electronic ³ | 0 mm to 300 mm | 30 µm | Checker by comparison method (BS 887:1982) |
| Steel Ruler ³ | 1 mm to 1000 mm | 280 µm | Reference Steel Rule by comparison method (BS 4372:1968) |
| Dial Indicator/Gauge (Plunger) ³ | 0 mm to 50 mm | 8 µm | Reference micrometer head by comparison method (BS 907:2008) |
| Feeler Gauge ³ | 0.01 mm to 1 mm | 8 µm | Reference Dial Gauge by comparison method (BS 957: 2008) |
| Measuring tape ³ | 0 m to 5 m | 1200 µm | Reference steel ruler by comparison method (BS 4035:1966) |
| Engineering Square ³ | Length: 0 mm to 160 mm | 20 µm | Reference engineering square and Feeler Gauge (BS 939:2007) |
| Slump cone ³ | Diameter: 0 mm to 200 mm Thickness: 1.5 mm Height: 0 mm to 300 mm | 560 µm 100 µm 560 µm | Reference Caliper & Reference Steel ruler by direct measurement (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A4; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A5) |

* If information in this CMC is presented in non-SI units, the conversion factors stated in NIST Special Publication 811 "Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI)" apply.

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

| MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED | RANGE | UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±) | CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Tamping rod ³ | Diameter: 0 mm to 16 mm Length: 600 mm | 600 µm 950 µm | Reference steel ruler & Reference Caliper by direct measurement (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A5; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A6) |
| Cube mould ³ | (Max dimensions 150 mm per side) Dimension Flatness Perpendicularity Parallelism | 50 µm 10 µm 10 µm 50 µm | Reference Caliper, straight edge & feeler gauge by direct measurement. (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified in BS1881: Part 108:1983; CS1:1990 Vol1, A21; CS1:2010 Vol 1, A25; BS EN 12390-2:2000) |
| Compacting Bar ³ | Ramming Face: 25 mm Length: 380 mm Weight: 1.8 kg | 100 µm 560 µm 1 g | Reference Caliper & Steel ruler by direct measurement. (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional & mass requirements as specified in BS 1881: Part 105:1984 Cl 3.3; CS1:1990 Vol 2, E3 CS1:2010 Vol 1, A15.3; BS EN 12350 -5:2000 Cl 4.3.) |
| Covermeter | 20 mm to 103 mm | 2.9 mm | Reference concrete block (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified in BS 1881-204:1988 Cl.6.4- Method C) |
| Flow table ³ | 15 kg to 17 kg 1 mm up to 71 mm | 12 g 600 µm | Weighing Balance, Reference caliper & Reference steel ruler by direct measurement |
| Test Sieve ³ | 4 mm to 50 mm | 50 µm | Reference Caliper by direct measurement |
| Mechanical | | | |
| Force Measuring Machine ³ (Compression Mode) | 1 kN to 3000 kN | 0.4 % | Reference Load cell by direct measurement BS 1610: Part 1:1985; BS 1610: Part 1:1992; BS EN ISO 12390-4:2000 Annex B; BS EN ISO 7500-1:2004 |

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

| MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED | RANGE | UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±) | CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED |
|--|--|---|---|
| Laser Dust Meter ³ | Dust particles 0.001 mg/m ³ to 10.00 mg/m ³ | 0.9 mg/m ³ | By comparison method by using reference laser dust meter |
| Rebound Hammer ³ | 80 unit (hardness) | 1.6 rebound count | Reference Rebound count by comparison method. BS1881: Part 202:1986; BS EN 12504-2:2001; BS EN 12504-2:2012 |
| Mass (F2 class and coarser) | 0 g to 200 g 200 g to 5 kg 5 kg to 10 kg 10 kg to 50 kg | 1.3 mg 0.5 g 0.88 g 3 g | Standard Weight E2/ F1 Class & Weighing Balances by comparison method (OIML-R-111) |
| Weighing Scale & Balance ³ | 0 g to 200 g 0 kg to 5 kg 0 kg to 50 kg | 0.8 mg 0.13 g 7.7 g | Standard weight of E2/F1 Grade by direct measurement (OIML-R-111) |
| Volumetric Glassware | 1 mL to 100 mL 100 mL to 1000 mL | 0.004 mL 0.09 mL | Standard weight E2 Class, Weighing Balances & Distilled water by gravimetric method |
| Thermal | | | |
| Digital/Liquid in Glass Thermometers & RTD/ Thermocouples with or without Indicators | 15 °C to 55 °C 55 °C to 95 °C | 0.4 °C 0.9 °C | Water Baths, Reference Sensor and Indicator by Comparison Method (OIML R133) |
| Curing Tank ³ | (Calibration at 20 °C & 27 °C @ 30 min) 20 °C Temperature distribution 27 °C Temperature distribution Efficiency of circulation | 0.4 °C 0.8 °C 5 s | Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method & Reference Stop Watch (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the Temp & Time requirements as specified in BS1881-111:1983 CS1:1990 Vol 1 App A24 CS1:2010 Vol 1 App A28 BE EN 12390-2:2000 |
| Oven ³ | 40.0 °C to 180.0 °C | 1.5 °C | Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986) |
| Furnace ³ | 200 °C to 1300 °C | 6 °C | Reference Thermocouple with Indicator By single point Calibration (AS 2853:1986) |
| Water bath ³ | 15 °C to 95 °C | 0.2 °C | Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986) |

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

| MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED | RANGE | UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (\pm) | CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| <i>Time and Frequency</i> | | | |
| Stop Watch / Timer ³ | 0 s to 3600 s | 0.2 s | Reference stop watch |
| | 0 s to 21600 s (6 hours) | 0.6 s | |
| | 0 s to 86400 s (24 hours) | 0.61 s | |
| Grout Flow Cone ³ | 7 s to 9 s | 0.2 s | Reference stop watch by direct method (ASTM C939-10 Cl.9) |

¹The uncertainty covered by the Calibration and Measurement Capability (CMC) is expressed as the expanded uncertainty having a coverage probability of approximately 95 %. It is the smallest measurement uncertainty that a laboratory can achieve within its scope of accreditation when performing calibrations of a best existing device. The measurement uncertainty reported on a calibration certificate may be greater than that provided in the CMC due to the behavior of the calibration item and other factors that may contribute to the uncertainty of a specific calibration.

²When uncertainty is stated in relative terms (such as percent, a multiplier expressed as a decimal fraction or in scientific notation), it is in relation to instrument reading or instrument output, as appropriate, unless otherwise indicated.

³Also available as site calibration. Note that actual measurement uncertainties achievable at a customer's site can normally be expected to be larger than the uncertainties listed on this Scope of Accreditation

FAQ / Information

Mutual Recognition Arrangements (MRA) / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA)

Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HOKLAS ^

Every effort is made to promote acceptance of test data from accredited laboratories, both internationally and locally. HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the [International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(ILAC MRA\)](#) and the [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for testing, calibration, medical testing, Proficiency Testing Providers (PTP) and Reference Material Producers (RMP). Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

Visitors checking the names, logos and accreditation symbols shown on an endorsed certificate or report should note that some of our MRA partners may have their names, logos or accreditation symbols changed recently and test reports or certificates endorsed by displaying their old accreditation symbols may still be valid during the change-over period. For details, please visit their websites or contact them directly.

» [Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(MRA\) Partners for HOKLAS](#)

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HOKLAS endorsed test certificates as having the same technical validity as certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA) for HKCAS ^

HKAS has been a signatory of [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

HKAS has also been a signatory of the [International Accreditation Forum Multilateral Recognition Arrangement \(IAF MLA\)](#) for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of IAF and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

» [Mutual / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements \(MRA / MLA\) Partners for HKCAS](#)

Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HKIAS ^






HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the [International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(ILAC MRA\)](#) and [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for inspection. Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HKIAS endorsed inspection reports or certificates having the same technical validity as reports or certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

» [Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(MRA\) Partners for HKIAS](#)

 back

Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) - Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners

| Economy | Logo | Name of Partner | URL | Test Area |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| United States of America |  | International Accreditation Service Inc. (IAS) | www.iasonline.org | Calibration, Non-medical Testing |
| United States of America |  | National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) | www.nist.gov/nvlap | Calibration, Non-medical Testing |
| United States of America |  | Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA) | www.pjlabs.com | Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing, Proficiency Testing Provider, Reference Material Producer |
| Uruguay |  | Organismo Uruguayo de Acreditación (OUA) | www.organismourugua.yodeacreditacion.org | Calibration, Non-medical Testing |
| Viet Nam | | Accreditation Office for Standards Conformity Assessment Capacity (AOSC) | aosc.vn/ | Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing |
| Viet Nam |  | Bureau of Accreditation (BoA) | www.boa.gov.vn | Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing |



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-126F
 Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
 Page Number : 1 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 235811
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21
 Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
 Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C
 Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.167 | -0.008 | 5.1% | 0.020 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.647 | -0.484 | 8.5% | 0.463 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 11.141 | -1.041 | 9.3% | 0.904 | 2.0 |

Remarks :

- * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
 Managing Director



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-126F
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
Page Number : 2 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202001563 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 210918MCA-126F |
| | Date of Issue | 21-Sep-21 |
| | Date of Testing | 18-Sep-21 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 235811


Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20

| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|-----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 18-Sep-21 | 19:00 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0613 | 0.0616 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 20:05 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0587 | 0.0586 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 21:10 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0596 | 0.0600 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.1031
 Correlation Coefficient : 0.9804
 Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 18-Sep-21
 Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 18-Sep-21



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589 FAX : 852-2674-1177 EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-166F
 Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
 Page Number : 1 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 235811
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22
 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
 Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 25.7 °C to 33.8 °C
 Relative Humidity : 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.167 | -0.008 | 5.1% | 0.020 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.647 | -0.484 | 8.5% | 0.463 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 11.141 | -1.041 | 9.3% | 0.904 | 2.0 |

Remarks

1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
 3. The results apply to the items as received.
 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
 Managing Director

The results shown in this certificate are metrologically traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards.

The certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-166F
Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
Page Number : 2 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202101714 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589

FAX : 852-2674-1177

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 220908MCA-166F |
| | Date of Issue | 10-Sep-22 |
| | Date of Testing | 8-Sep-22 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

| | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 235811 |

Standard Equipment

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Description | : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice |
| Manufacturer | : Tisch Environmental, Inc. |
| Model No. | : TE-5170 / TE-5025A |
| Serial No. | : 3476 / 3543 |
| Last Calibration | : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21 |


| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 8-Sep-22 | 19:00 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0622 | 0.0634 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 20:05 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0576 | 0.0584 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 21:10 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0589 | 0.0603 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.0651

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9897

Validity of Calibration : 7-Sep-23



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 8-Sep-22



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-123F
 Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
 Page Number : 1 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 336338
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21
 Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
 Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C
 Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.168 | -0.010 | 5.7% | 0.026 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.562 | -0.398 | 7.1% | 0.462 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 10.936 | -0.837 | 7.6% | 0.905 | 2.0 |

Remarks :

- * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
 Managing Director



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-123F
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
Page Number : 2 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202001563 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 210918MCA-123F |
| | Date of Issue | 21-Sep-21 |
| | Date of Testing | 18-Sep-21 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 336338


Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20

| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|-----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 18-Sep-21 | 19:00 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0613 | 0.0617 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 20:05 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0587 | 0.0578 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 21:10 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0596 | 0.0586 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.5207
 Correlation Coefficient : 0.9786
 Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 18-Sep-21
 Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 18-Sep-21



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589 FAX : 852-2674-1177 EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-163F
 Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
 Page Number : 1 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 336338
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22
 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
 Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 25.7 °C to 33.8 °C
 Relative Humidity : 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.168 | -0.010 | 5.7% | 0.026 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.562 | -0.398 | 7.1% | 0.462 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 10.936 | -0.837 | 7.6% | 0.905 | 2.0 |

Remarks

1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
 3. The results apply to the items as received.
 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
 Managing Director

The results shown in this certificate are metrologically traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards.

The certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-163F
 Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
 Page Number : 2 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202101714 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 220908MCA-163F |
| | Date of Issue | 10-Sep-22 |
| | Date of Testing | 8-Sep-22 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

| | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 336338 |


Standard Equipment

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Description | : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice |
| Manufacturer | : Tisch Environmental, Inc. |
| Model No. | : TE-5170 / TE-5025A |
| Serial No. | : 3476 / 3543 |
| Last Calibration | : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21 |

| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 8-Sep-22 | 19:00 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0622 | 0.0633 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 20:05 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0576 | 0.0562 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 21:10 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0589 | 0.0573 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

| | |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Slope (K-factor) | : 1.6047 |
| Correlation Coefficient | : 0.9789 |
| Validity of Calibration | : 7-Sep-23 |



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 8-Sep-22



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-125F
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
Page Number : 1 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
Model No. : LD-3B
Serial No. : 567188
Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21
Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21
Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22
Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C
Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.167 | -0.008 | 4.9% | 0.023 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.693 | -0.530 | 9.3% | 0.463 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 11.045 | -0.945 | 8.6% | 0.905 | 2.0 |

Remarks :

- * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
Managing Director



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-125F
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21
Page Number : 2 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202001563 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589

FAX : 852-2674-1177

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 210918MCA-125F |
| | Date of Issue | 21-Sep-21 |
| | Date of Testing | 18-Sep-21 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 567188

Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20


| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|-----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 18-Sep-21 | 19:00 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0613 | 0.0619 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 20:05 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0587 | 0.0577 |
| 18-Sep-21 | 21:10 | 30.8 | 1011.1 | 0.0596 | 0.0595 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.5915

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9905

Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu

Signature: *Jessica Liu*

Date: 18-Sep-21

Checked by : S Tang

Signature: *S Tang*

Date: 18-Sep-21



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589 FAX : 852-2674-1177 EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-165F
 Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
 Page Number : 1 of 2
 Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
 Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK
 Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 567188
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m³
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m³
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22
 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.
 Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 25.7 °C to 33.8 °C
 Relative Humidity : 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

| Reference True Reading (mg/m ³) | Average IUC Reading (mg/m ³) | Correction (mg/m ³) | Error of IUC Reading (%) | Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m ³) | Coverage Factor K |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0.158 | 0.167 | -0.008 | 4.9% | 0.023 | 2.0 |
| 5.164 | 5.693 | -0.530 | 9.3% | 0.463 | 2.0 |
| 10.100 | 11.045 | -0.945 | 8.6% | 0.905 | 2.0 |

Remarks

1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
 3. The results apply to the items as received.
 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: _____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia
 Managing Director

The results shown in this certificate are metrologically traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards.

The certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-165F
Date of Report : 10-Sep-22
Page Number : 2 of 2
Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

| <u>Equipment Number</u> | <u>Certificate Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| CH-LDM-1 | HBW202101714 | 粉尘测试仪 |

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589

FAX : 852-2674-1177

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK | Test Report No. | 220908MCA-165F |
| | Date of Issue | 10-Sep-22 |
| | Date of Testing | 8-Sep-22 |
| | Page | 1 of 1 |

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd
 Model No. : LD-3B
 Serial No. : 567188

Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543
 Last Calibration : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21


| Date | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3) | Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3) |
|----------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 8-Sep-22 | 19:00 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0622 | 0.0631 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 20:05 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0576 | 0.0581 |
| 8-Sep-22 | 21:10 | 29.8 | 1013.8 | 0.0589 | 0.0591 |

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.1031

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9898

Validity of Calibration : 7-Sep-23



Recorded by : Jessica Liu

Signature: Jessica Liu

Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by : S Tang

Signature: S Tang

Date: 8-Sep-22



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-05 Page 1 of 2

Item tested

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Description: | Sound Level Meter (Class 1) | , | Microphone |
| Manufacturer: | Hangzhou Aihua Instruments Co., Ltd | , | - |
| Type/Model No.: | AWA5661 | , | AWA14425 |
| Serial/Equipment No.: | 301135 | , | 15338 |
| Adaptors used: | - | , | - |

Item submitted by

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Customer Name: | Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. |
| Address of Customer: | Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T. |
| Request No.: | - |
| Date of receipt: | 28-Sep-2021 |

Date of test: 04-Oct-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

| Description: | Model: | Serial No. | Expiry Date: | Traceable to: |
|---------------------------------|----------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Multi function sound calibrator | B&K 4226 | 2288444 | 23-Aug-2022 | CIGISMEC |
| Signal generator | DS 360 | 61227 | 31-Dec-2021 | CEPREI |

Ambient conditions

| | |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Temperature: | 22 ± 1 °C |
| Relative humidity: | 55 ± 10 % |
| Air pressure: | 1005 ± 5 hPa |

Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.


Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:



Feng Junqi

Date: 06-Oct-2021

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-05 Page 2 of 2

1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Expanded Uncertainty (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| | C | Pass | 0.8 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 1.6 | |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Frequency weightings | | | |
| Time weightings | A | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | C | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Peak response | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 | |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weighting I | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time averaging | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Pulse range | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Leq | Pass | 0.4 | |

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Expanded Uncertainty (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 | |

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Fung Chi Yip

Date: 04-Oct-2021

- End -

Checked by:

Chan Yuk Yiu

Date: 06-Oct-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021
Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338

Report: 21CA0928 03-05

SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting 11.7 dB
Noise level in C weighting 12.5 dB
Noise level in Lin 16.7 dB

LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals. (SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

| Reference/Expected level | Actual level | | Tolerance | Deviation | |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| | non-integrated | integrated | | non-integrated | integrated |
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB | dB |
| 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 99.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 104.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 109.0 | 109.0 | 109.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 114.0 | 114.0 | 114.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 116.0 | 116.0 | 116.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 118.0 | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 89.0 | 89.1 | 89.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 84.0 | 84.1 | 84.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 79.0 | 79.1 | 79.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 74.0 | 74.1 | 74.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 69.0 | 69.1 | 69.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 64.0 | 64.1 | 64.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 59.0 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 54.0 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 49.0 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29.0 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 28.0 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021
Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338
Report: 21CA0928 03-05

| | | | | | |
|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 27.0 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 26.0 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 25.0 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it

| Other ranges | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 25-120 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| 45-140 | 94.0 | 93.9 | 0.7 | -0.1 |

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

| Ranges | Reference/Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 25-120 | 27.0 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| 45-140 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | 138.0 | 137.7 | 0.7 | -0.3 |

FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting networks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency weighting A:

| Frequency | Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation |
|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| | | | | + | - | |
| Hz | dB | dB | dB | | | dB |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 54.6 | 54.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.3 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 67.8 | 67.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.1 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 77.9 | 77.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 85.4 | 85.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 90.8 | 90.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | 95.0 | 95.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | 92.9 | 93.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | 89.7 | 89.4 | 3.0 | 6.0 | -0.3 |

Frequency weighting C:

| Frequency | Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation |
|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| | | | | + | - | |
| Hz | dB | dB | dB | | | dB |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 91.0 | 90.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.2 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 93.2 | 93.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.1 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021
Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338
Report: 21CA0928 03-05

| | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | 93.8 | 93.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | -0.7 |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | 93.2 | 93.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | 91.0 | 91.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | 87.8 | 87.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | -0.3 |

Frequency weighting Lin:

| Frequency Hz | Ref. level dB | Expected level dB | Actual level dB | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation dB |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------|
| | | | | + | - | |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.1 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.9 | 3.0 | 6.0 | -0.1 |

TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

| Ref. level dB | Expected level dB | Actual level dB | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation dB |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------|
| | | | + | - | |
| 116.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

| Ref. level dB | Expected level dB | Actual level dB | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation dB |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------|
| | | | + | - | |
| 116.0 | 111.9 | 111.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Positive polarities: (Weighting Z, set the generator signal to single, Lzpeak)

| Ref. level | Response to 10 ms | Response to 100 us | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021
 Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338
 Report: 21CA0928 03-05

| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----|
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 |

Negative polarities:

| Ref. level | Response to 10 ms | Response to 100 us | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 |

RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz
 Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.
 Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz
 Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz. (Set to INT)

| Time weighting | Ref. Level | Expected level | Tone burst signal | Tolerance | Deviation |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | dB | dB | indication(dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| Slow | 116.0+6.6 | 116.0 | 115.8 | 0.5 | -0.2 |

TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency: 2000 Hz
 Amplitude: The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

| Ref. Level | Single burst indication | | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | Expected (dB) | Actual (dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| 120.0 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 2.0 | -0.1 |

Repeated at 100 Hz

| Ref. Level | Repeated burst indication | | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | Expected (dB) | Actual (dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| 120.0 | 117.3 | 117.1 | 1.0 | -0.2 |

TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst: 4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst: 1 ms

| Repetition Time | Level of tone burst | Expected Leq | Actual Leq | Tolerance | Deviation | Remarks |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| msec | dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB | |
| 1000 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 89.8 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 60s integ. |
| 10000 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 79.8 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 6min. integ. |

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 5 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021
 Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338
 Report: 21CA0928 03-05

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

The integrating sound level meter set to Leq:

| Duration | Rms level of | Expected | Actual | Tolerance | Deviation |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| msec | tone burst (dB) | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 10 | 90.0 | 60.0 | 59.8 | 1.7 | -0.2 |

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

| Duration | Rms level of | Expected | Actual | Tolerance | Deviation |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| msec | tone burst (dB) | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 10.0 | 90.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz
 Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.
 Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz
 Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

| Level | Level reduced by | Further reduced | Difference | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| at overload (dB) | 1 dB | 3 dB | dB | dB | dB |
| 115.6 | 114.6 | 111.6 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as following:

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

Single burst duration: 1 msec

| Rms level | Level reduced by | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| at overload (dB) | 1 dB | dB | dB | dB | dB |
| 121.9 | 120.9 | 80.9 | 80.7 | 2.2 | -0.2 |

ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

| Frequency | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance (dB) | | Deviation |
|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----|-----------|
| Hz | dB | Measured (dB) | + | - | dB |
| 1000 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 125 | 77.9 | 78.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| 8000 | 92.9 | 93.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.7 |

-----END-----



綜合試驗有限公司

SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈

Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-07

Page: 1 of 2

Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Manufacturer: Quest
Type/Model No.: QC-10
Serial/Equipment No.: QI9010183
Adaptors used: -

Item submitted by

Customer: Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Address of Customer: Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.
Request No.: -
Date of receipt: 28-Sep-2021

Date of test: 05-Oct-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

| Description: | Model: | Serial No. | Expiry Date: | Traceable to: |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Lab standard microphone | B&K 4180 | 2341427 | 04-May-2022 | SCL |
| Preamplifier | B&K 2673 | 2239857 | 31-May-2022 | CEPREI |
| Measuring amplifier | B&K 2610 | 2346941 | 01-Jun-2022 | CEPREI |
| Signal generator | DS 360 | 33873 | 27-May-2022 | CEPREI |
| Digital multi-meter | 34401A | US36087050 | 27-May-2022 | CEPREI |
| Audio analyzer | 8903B | GB41300350 | 28-May-2022 | CEPREI |
| Universal counter | 53132A | MY40003662 | 02-Jun-2022 | CEPREI |

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity: 55 ± 10 %
Air pressure: 1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications


- 1, The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.


Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:


Feng Junqi

Date: 05-Oct-2021

Company Chop:




Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results

Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (1-hour TSP)

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Start | Finish | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 14:10 | 17:10 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 280.4 | 500 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:11 | 11:11 | 55 | 54 | 60 | 280.4 | 500 |
| 13-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:02 | 17:02 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 280.4 | 500 |
| 19-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:07 | 11:07 | 67 | 69 | 70 | 280.4 | 500 |
| 24-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:15 | 17:15 | 73 | 72 | 70 | 280.4 | 500 |
| 30-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:03 | 11:03 | 37 | 35 | 36 | 280.4 | 500 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (1-hour TSP)

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Start | Finish | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 14:18 | 17:18 | 65 | 72 | 70 | 278.5 | 500 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:19 | 11:19 | 53 | 53 | 52 | 278.5 | 500 |
| 13-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:10 | 17:10 | 63 | 69 | 67 | 278.5 | 500 |
| 19-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:15 | 11:15 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 278.5 | 500 |
| 24-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:23 | 17:23 | 77 | 77 | 72 | 278.5 | 500 |
| 30-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:11 | 11:11 | 32 | 40 | 31 | 278.5 | 500 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (1-hour TSP)

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Start | Finish | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 14:33 | 17:33 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 275.4 | 500 |
| 07-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:36 | 11:36 | 53 | 58 | 55 | 275.4 | 500 |
| 13-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:25 | 17:25 | 63 | 65 | 64 | 275.4 | 500 |
| 19-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:32 | 11:32 | 67 | 66 | 71 | 275.4 | 500 |
| 24-Sep-22 | Fine | 14:38 | 17:38 | 76 | 68 | 72 | 275.4 | 500 |
| 30-Sep-22 | Cloudy | 8:19 | 11:19 | 31 | 39 | 34 | 275.4 | 500 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (24-hour TSP)

| Start | | Finish | | Filter Weight (g) | | Elapsed Time Reading | | Sampling Time (hrs) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min) | | | Conc. (µg/m ³) | Weather Condition | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | Average | | | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 02-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8016 | 2.9020 | 4060.8 | 4084.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 62.4 | Sunny | 152.4 | 260 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 08-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8036 | 2.8949 | 4084.8 | 4108.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 56.8 | Rainy | 152.4 | 260 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 14-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8085 | 2.9156 | 4108.8 | 4132.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 66.6 | Sunny | 152.4 | 260 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 20-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8055 | 2.9123 | 4132.8 | 4156.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 66.3 | Rainy | 152.4 | 260 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 25-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8017 | 2.9063 | 4156.8 | 4180.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 65.0 | Cloudy | 152.4 | 260 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 01-Oct-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8062 | 2.8558 | 4180.8 | 4204.8 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 30.8 | Rainy | 152.4 | 260 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (24-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (24-hour TSP)

| Start | | Finish | | Filter Weight (g) | | Elapsed Time Reading | | Sampling Time (hrs) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min) | | | Conc. (µg/m ³) | Weather Condition | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | Average | | | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 02-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8026 | 2.9023 | 4480.4 | 4504.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 61.9 | Sunny | 152.6 | 260 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 08-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8064 | 2.8908 | 4504.4 | 4528.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 52.4 | Rainy | 152.6 | 260 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 14-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8086 | 2.9106 | 4528.4 | 4552.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 63.4 | Sunny | 152.6 | 260 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 20-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8046 | 2.9122 | 4552.4 | 4576.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 66.8 | Rainy | 152.6 | 260 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 25-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8071 | 2.9160 | 4576.4 | 4600.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 67.6 | Cloudy | 152.6 | 260 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 01-Oct-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8081 | 2.8569 | 4600.4 | 4624.4 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 30.4 | Rainy | 152.6 | 260 |


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (24-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (24-hour TSP)

| Start | | Finish | | Filter Weight (g) | | Elapsed Time Reading | | Sampling Time (hrs) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min) | | | Conc. (µg/m ³) | Weather Condition | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | Average | | | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 02-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8018 | 2.9080 | 4620.6 | 4644.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 66.0 | Sunny | 141.1 | 260 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 08-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8086 | 2.8911 | 4644.6 | 4668.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 51.3 | Rainy | 141.1 | 260 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 14-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8056 | 2.9041 | 4668.6 | 4692.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 61.2 | Sunny | 141.1 | 260 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 20-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8035 | 2.9061 | 4692.6 | 4716.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 63.7 | Rainy | 141.1 | 260 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 25-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8079 | 2.9146 | 4716.6 | 4740.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 66.3 | Cloudy | 141.1 | 260 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:00AM | 01-Oct-22 | 10:00AM | 2.8019 | 2.8479 | 4740.6 | 4764.6 | 24 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 28.6 | Rainy | 141.1 | 260 |

Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (24-hour TSP)




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM2A

| Date | Time | Measured L10 dB(A) | Measured L90 dB(A) | Leq (30 min.) dB(A) |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 01-Sep-22 | 14:40 | 64.0 | 58.8 | 61.3 |
| 01-Sep-22 | 14:45 | 64.7 | 58.9 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 14:50 | 64.3 | 58.8 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 14:55 | 64.8 | 59.5 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:00 | 64.3 | 58.8 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:05 | 63.3 | 59.2 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 8:41 | 63.3 | 59.3 | 61.6 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 8:46 | 63.2 | 58.8 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 8:51 | 63.9 | 58.7 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 8:56 | 64.3 | 59.1 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:01 | 63.3 | 58.7 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:06 | 63.5 | 58.9 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:32 | 64.1 | 58.6 | 61.7 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:37 | 63.3 | 58.8 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:42 | 63.7 | 60.3 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:47 | 64.0 | 58.8 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:52 | 63.2 | 59.6 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 14:57 | 63.9 | 60.4 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 8:37 | 64.0 | 59.9 | 61.5 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 8:42 | 63.6 | 58.7 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 8:47 | 63.9 | 59.9 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 8:52 | 62.9 | 59.8 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 8:57 | 63.2 | 59.0 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:02 | 63.2 | 59.3 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 14:45 | 63.6 | 59.0 | 62.2 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 14:50 | 62.9 | 59.6 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 14:55 | 64.7 | 59.6 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:00 | 63.2 | 59.2 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:05 | 63.1 | 58.8 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:10 | 64.6 | 59.8 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:03 | 63.2 | 60.5 | 61.8 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:08 | 63.7 | 59.7 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:13 | 63.8 | 60.5 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:18 | 64.1 | 60.5 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:23 | 64.1 | 60.2 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 8:28 | 63.0 | 60.5 | |



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM2A.

Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM2A




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM3A

| Date | Time | Measured L10 dB(A) | Measured L90 dB(A) | Leq (30 min.) dB(A) |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:10 | 65.9 | 61.9 | 64.9 |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:15 | 67.0 | 61.4 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:20 | 66.1 | 61.3 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:25 | 66.2 | 61.2 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:30 | 65.5 | 60.5 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:35 | 66.5 | 60.4 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:14 | 66.3 | 61.3 | 65.2 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:19 | 65.7 | 60.6 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:24 | 66.1 | 60.5 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:29 | 66.9 | 61.3 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:34 | 66.1 | 61.7 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:39 | 66.0 | 60.8 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:02 | 66.4 | 60.3 | 64.4 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:07 | 66.9 | 61.8 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:12 | 66.8 | 61.0 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:17 | 65.9 | 60.7 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:22 | 67.1 | 61.1 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:27 | 65.5 | 61.2 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:10 | 64.6 | 59.2 | 63.5 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:15 | 64.6 | 60.7 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:20 | 66.4 | 59.0 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:25 | 64.8 | 59.9 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:30 | 66.2 | 59.7 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:35 | 64.8 | 60.9 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:15 | 65.7 | 60.9 | 63.7 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:20 | 65.0 | 61.1 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:25 | 65.1 | 61.3 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:30 | 66.6 | 60.6 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:35 | 65.5 | 60.4 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:40 | 66.0 | 61.3 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:45 | 65.5 | 60.2 | 63.5 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:50 | 65.8 | 60.6 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:55 | 64.5 | 60.9 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:00 | 64.9 | 60.3 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:05 | 65.0 | 59.8 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:10 | 64.8 | 60.3 | |



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM3A.

Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM3A




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM4A

| Date | Time | Measured L10 dB(A) | Measured L90 dB(A) | Leq (30 min.) dB(A) |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:45 | 67.0 | 63.5 | 64.5 |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:50 | 65.7 | 62.6 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 16:55 | 66.4 | 62.3 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 17:00 | 65.8 | 62.8 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 17:05 | 66.5 | 63.2 | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 17:10 | 66.9 | 63.9 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:49 | 66.3 | 63.8 | 65.0 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:54 | 65.5 | 62.4 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 10:59 | 66.8 | 63.6 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 11:04 | 66.8 | 63.4 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 11:09 | 65.3 | 62.3 | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 11:14 | 66.4 | 62.7 | 63.9 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:37 | 66.8 | 63.2 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:42 | 66.9 | 61.9 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:47 | 66.8 | 63.1 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:52 | 66.8 | 61.3 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 16:57 | 66.7 | 62.7 | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 17:02 | 65.5 | 62.5 | 62.9 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:45 | 65.7 | 61.5 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:50 | 65.0 | 60.9 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 10:55 | 64.8 | 61.5 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 11:00 | 64.5 | 61.6 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 11:05 | 65.0 | 60.8 | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 11:10 | 64.8 | 61.5 | 63.1 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:50 | 65.5 | 62.0 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:55 | 65.0 | 61.3 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 17:00 | 65.6 | 60.9 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 17:05 | 65.2 | 62.1 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 17:10 | 64.1 | 61.7 | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 17:15 | 65.1 | 62.1 | 63.0 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:20 | 64.2 | 60.7 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:25 | 65.5 | 60.8 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:30 | 64.1 | 60.3 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:35 | 64.7 | 61.7 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:40 | 64.6 | 62.1 | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 10:45 | 64.2 | 62.2 | |



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM4A.

Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM4A



Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM5A

| Date | Time | Measured L10 dB(A) | Measured L90 dB(A) | Leq (30 min.) dB(A) | Leq (30 min.) +3 dB(A) |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:30 | 63.1 | 58.3 | 62.1 | 65.1 |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:35 | 64.2 | 59.6 | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:40 | 62.8 | 58.5 | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:45 | 62.9 | 58.3 | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:50 | 63.1 | 58.7 | | |
| 01-Sep-22 | 15:55 | 63.3 | 58.9 | | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:33 | 63.6 | 58.5 | 61.6 | 64.6 |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:38 | 62.6 | 58.6 | | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:43 | 63.3 | 59.1 | | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:48 | 63.9 | 58.5 | | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:53 | 63.1 | 58.6 | | |
| 07-Sep-22 | 9:58 | 63.1 | 58.8 | | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:22 | 63.9 | 58.9 | 61.6 | 64.6 |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:27 | 63.3 | 58.0 | | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:32 | 62.3 | 58.0 | | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:37 | 64.2 | 58.5 | | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:42 | 63.0 | 58.5 | | |
| 13-Sep-22 | 15:47 | 63.0 | 59.5 | | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:29 | 63.1 | 58.2 | 61.4 | 64.4 |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:34 | 63.7 | 58.6 | | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:39 | 62.9 | 58.2 | | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:44 | 63.5 | 59.0 | | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:49 | 63.7 | 59.1 | | |
| 19-Sep-22 | 9:54 | 63.9 | 59.4 | | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:35 | 63.0 | 58.1 | 61.7 | 64.7 |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:40 | 63.9 | 59.5 | | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:45 | 64.0 | 57.9 | | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:50 | 64.2 | 58.8 | | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 15:55 | 63.2 | 59.6 | | |
| 24-Sep-22 | 16:00 | 63.2 | 59.1 | | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:04 | 62.3 | 58.1 | 61.5 | 64.5 |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:09 | 63.3 | 59.1 | | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:14 | 62.4 | 58.5 | | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:19 | 63.4 | 59.3 | | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:24 | 64.1 | 58.0 | | |
| 30-Sep-22 | 9:29 | 62.3 | 59.1 | | |


Remarks:

+3dB(A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at station NM5A.


Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM5A



H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory


Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, September 2022

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Temperature/Humidity:




KPC
Pressure:




KPC
Wind Direction:



KPC
Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Wind Speed:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


KPC

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Wind Speed:



KPC


© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Wind Speed:




KPC

© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:



Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:



I. Waste Flow table

Zone 2A

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2A

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Srotng Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| Aug | 113.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 113.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.20 |
| Sep | 142.39 | 0.00 | 37.09 | 0.00 | 99.55 | 5.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.82 |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total (2022) | 7813.40 | 0.00 | 157.09 | 1077.83 | 6572.73 | 5.75 | 0.00 | 19.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 89.01 |
| Total | 96369.60 | 0.00 | 1429.81 | 11808.81 | 83125.23 | 5.75 | 1246.44 | 240.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 478.53 |

Note:

- 28.53 tonnes and 71.02 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.

Zone 2B & 2C

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2B & 2C

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Disposed to Srotting Facility | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard Packaging | Plastics | Wood/ Timber | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General Refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sep | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct | 22.58 | 22.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.19 |
| Nov | 9265.04 | 10.45 | 125.93 | 0.00 | 9128.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.12 |
| Dec | 13462.30 | 62.94 | 1041.17 | 0.00 | 12358.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.62 |
| Sub-total (2021) | 22749.92 | 95.97 | 1167.10 | 0.00 | 21486.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43.93 |
| 2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 17427.64 | 0.00 | 2091.32 | 100.04 | 15236.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.60 |
| Feb | 18230.98 | 0.00 | 991.53 | 1719.99 | 15519.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.90 |
| Mar | 24777.12 | 0.00 | 2176.32 | 11721.21 | 10879.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 16.15 |
| Apr | 32749.58 | 0.00 | 2409.00 | 22393.87 | 7946.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.79 |
| May | 31115.05 | 0.00 | 3141.32 | 15121.57 | 12852.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.31 |
| Jun | 30747.96 | 0.00 | 3120.62 | 14645.87 | 12981.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.84 |
| Jul | 34017.48 | 0.00 | 3444.43 | 10214.91 | 20358.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.43 |
| Aug | 38065.92 | 0.00 | 3272.46 | 3610.61 | 31182.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.99 |
| Sep | 38896.62 | 0.00 | 3664.45 | 2790.24 | 32441.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.88 |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total (2022) | 266028.34 | 0.00 | 24311.44 | 82318.31 | 159398.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 153.89 |
| Total | 288778.25 | 95.97 | 25478.53 | 82318.31 | 180885.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 197.82 |

Note:
 -9333.41 tonnes and 23108.52 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.
 -For inert C&D material reused in other projects, the projects refer to (1) Sai Sha (Site B) and (2) Poly U.

J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status

Table J-1: Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status (September 2022)

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| Air Quality Impact (Construction) | | | |
| 2.1 | <p>General Dust Control Measures</p> <p>Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (12 times a day or once every one hour), including Heavy construction activities such as construction of buildings or roads, drilling, ground excavation, cut and fill operations (i.e., earth moving)</p> | ✓ | Obs |
| 2.1 | <p>Best Practice For Dust Control</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts from the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good Site Management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good site management is important to help reducing potential air quality impact down to an acceptable level. As a general guide, the Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimise the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimising generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or • Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction | Obs | Obs |
| | | ✓ | ✓ |
| | | ✓ | ✓ |
| | | N/A | N/A |
| | | No exposed earth in this project. | No exposed earth in this project. |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. | | |
| | <i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. | | |
| | <i>Debris Handling</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. | N/A | N/A |
| | | No debris chute on-site | No debris chute on-site |
| | <i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. | | |
| | <i>Wheel washing</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. | | |
| | <i>Use of vehicles</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The speed of the trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10km/hour in order to reduce adverse dust impacts and secure the safe movement around the site. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <i>Site hoarding</i> | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| 2.1 | <p>Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant)</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant) BPM 3/2(93) should be followed and implemented to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Exhaust from Dust Arrestment Plant</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wherever possible the final discharge point from particulate matter arrestment plant, where is not necessary to achieve dispersion from residual pollutants, should be at low level to minimise the effect on the local community in the case of abnormal emissions and to facilitate maintenance and inspection <p><i>Emission Limits</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All emissions to air, other than steam or water vapour, shall be colourless and free from persistent mist or smoke <p><i>Engineering Design/Technical Requirements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a general guidance, the loading, unloading, handling and storage of fuel, raw materials, products, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to prevent the release of visible dust and/or other noxious or offensive emissions | N/A | N/A |
| | | No concrete batching plant in this project. | No concrete batching plant in in this project. |
| | | N/A | N/A |
| | | No concrete batching plant in this project. | No concrete batching plant in in this project. |
| | | N/A | N/A |
| | | No concrete batching plant in this project. | No concrete batching plant in this project. |
| | <p>Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM):</p> <p>All NRMMs operating on-site which are subject to emission control of Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation are approved/exempted (as the case may be) and affixed with the requisite approval/exemption labels.</p> | ✓ | Obs, Rem |
| Noise Impact (Construction) | | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|---|----------------------|--------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| 3.1 | <p>Good Site Practice</p> <p>Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works; machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | ✓ | ✓ |
| 3.1 | <p>Adoption of Quieter PME</p> <p>The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME Inventory and "<i>Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME</i>" are presented in Table 4.26 in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong.</p> | ✓ | ✓ |
| 3.1 | <p>Use of Movable Noise Barriers</p> <p>Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary</p> | ✓ | Obs |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the NSRs is blocked. | | |
| 3.1 | <p>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note No. 9/2010.</p> | ✓ | Obs |
| 3.1 | <p>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling machine etc). The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric.</p> | ✓ | ✓ |
| 3.1 | <p>Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods</p> <p>During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions (including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy construction activities during school examination periods.</p> | ✓ | ✓ |
| Water Quality Impact (Construction) | | | |
| 4.1 | <p>Construction site runoff and drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water</p> | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|--|----------------------|--------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | quality impacts: | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction; | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. | Obs | Obs |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no | ✓ | ✓ |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <p>earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</p> | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes onsite should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. | ✓ | Obs |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. | ✓ | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. | Rem | ✓ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. | N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project. | N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project. |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| 4.1 | <p>Barging facilities and activities</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during operation of the proposed barging point include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; All hopper barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and Construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site. | <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> | <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> |
| 4.1 | <p>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p> | <p>✓</p> | <p>✓</p> |
| 4.1 | <p>General construction activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, | <p>Obs</p> | <p>✓</p> |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|---|--|---|---|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <p>handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event. | Obs | Obs |
| Waste Management Implications (Construction) | | | |
| 6.1 | <p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction to public roads Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the inert or non-inert C&D materials is not anticipated | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> | <p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| 6.1 | <p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sort inert C&D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&D materials Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> |
| 6.1 | <p>Inert and Non-inert C&D Materials</p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable. In addition, inert C&D material generated from excavation works could be reused as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The surplus inert C&D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong. Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal of the inert C&D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to proceed until all issues on management of inert C&D materials have been resolved and all relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <p>EPD.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The C&D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site. In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&D materials at respectively PFRFs and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction & Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site. | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> | <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> |
| 6.1 | <p>Chemical Waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the “Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes”. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) | <p>✓</p> | <p>✓</p> |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <p>Regulation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage, collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended. | ✓ | ✓ |
| 6.1 | <p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from inert C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.</p> | ✓ | Obs |
| Land Contamination (Construction) | | | |
| 7.1 | <p>The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials. The following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping; | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation | N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised; | <p>measure is required.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> | <p>measure is required.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and | <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> | <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements. | <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> | <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p> |
| Ecological Impact (Construction) | | | |
| No mitigation measure is required. | | | |
| Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction) | | | |
| Table 9.1 (CM1) | Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Table 9.1 (CM2) | Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation | N/A Compensatory tree planting is | N/A Compensatory tree planting is |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|-----------------|--|---|---|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| | removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity within the site. | being reviewed. | being reviewed. |
| Table 9.1 (CM3) | Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 (CM4) | Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities. | N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location. | N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location. |
| Table 9.1 (CM5) | Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. | N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet. |
| Table 9.1 (CM6) | Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets. | N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, and under review. | N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, and under review. |
| Table 9.1 (CM7) | Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape quality. | N/A Gardens are designed to be built, and under review. | N/A Gardens are designed to be built, and under review. |
| Table 9.1 | Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to | N/A | N/A |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommendation Measures | Implementation Stage | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Zone 2A | Zone 2B & 2C |
| (CM8) | provide aesthetically pleasing designs. | Roof garden is designed to be built, and under review. | Roof garden is designed to be built, and under review. |
| Table 9.1 (CM9) | Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to minimize the affected extent to the waterbody | N/A No marine facilities for this project. | N/A No marine facilities for this project. |
| Table 9.2 (MCP1) | Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards | ✓ | ✓ |
| Table 9.2 (MCP2) | Early introduction of landscape treatments | N/A No landscape treatments during this stage. | N/A No landscape treatments during this stage. |
| Table 9.2 (MCP3) | Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the transition period. | N/A No ventilation shafts for this project. | N/A No ventilation shafts for this project. |
| Table 9.2 (MCP4) | Control of night time lighting | ✓ | ✓ |
| Table 9.2 (MCP5) | Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual balance and soften the hard edges of the structures. | N/A No temporary open areas for this project. | N/A No temporary open areas for this project. |

N/A - Not Applicable

✓ - Implemented

Obs - Observed

Rem - Reminder

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works (i.e. 3 October 2020 for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works; 30 September 2021 for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works) to the end of the reporting month and are summarised in the Table K-1 and Table K-2 below respectively.

Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works

| Reporting Period | Cumulative Statistics | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Complaints | Notifications of summons | Successful prosecutions |
| This reporting month (September 2022) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| From 03 October 2020 to end of the reporting month | 39 | 0 | 0 |

Table K-2: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works

| Reporting Period | Cumulative Statistics | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Complaints | Notifications of summons | Successful prosecutions |
| This reporting month (September 2022) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| From 30 September 2021 to end of the reporting month | 24 | 0 | 0 |

END OF THE REPORT