Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section and Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 53

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

(February 2017)

Verified by:	Fredrick Leong	
Position: <u>Independ</u>	dent Environment	tal Checker
Date:	13 FEB 2017	

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section and Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 53

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

(February 2017)

Certified by	:Felice Wong
Position: _	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	13 th February 2017

Consultancy Agreements No. C11033 & C11033B

Shatin to Central Link - Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section and Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 53

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Joanne Tsoi	1. 4-
Reviewed & Approved:	Josh Lam	(My

Version: Date: 13 Feb 2017

This Monthly EM&A Report is prepared for MTR Corporation Limited and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Consultancy Agreement No. C11033 & C11033B and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than MTR Corporation Limited without our prior written consent. No person (other than MTR Corporation Limited) into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this plan without our express written consent and MTR Corporation Limited may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 3922 9797 www.aecom.com

Table of Contents

	Page
1 INTR	ODUCTION1
1.1	Background1
1.2	Project Programme1
1.3	Purpose of the Report2
2 ENVI	RONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT2
3 IMPL	EMENTATION STATUS ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS 10
List of Table	s
Table 1.1	Summary of Awarded Works Contracts
Table 2.1	Summary of Major Construction Activities in the Reporting Period
Table 2.2 Table 2.3	Summary of 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 2.4	Log for Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions for the Reporting Month
Table 3.1	Summary of Status of Required Submissions for and EP-438/2012/K
Table 3.2	Summary of Status of Required Submissions for EP-437/2012
List of Appe	ndices
Appendix A	53 rd Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1109 – Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section
Appendix B	49 th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1111 – Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels
Appendix C	48 th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1103 – Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels
Appendix D	47 th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1106 – Diamond Hill Station
Appendix E	$45^{ ext{th}}$ Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1107 – Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels
Appendix F	44 th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1112 – Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings
Appendix G	$44^{ ext{th}}$ Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1108 – Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels
Appendix H	40 th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1102 – Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. i Jan 2017

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Shatin to Central Link (SCL) is a 17km extension of the existing Ma On Shan Line (MOL) and East Rail Line (EAL) comprising (i) The East-West Corridor which extends the MOL from Tai Wai to Hung Hom via East Kowloon to connect with the West Rail Line (WRL) at Hung Hom Station (HUH) and Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard (HHS); and (ii) The North-South Corridor which is an extension of the East Rail Line (EAL) at Hung Hom across the harbour to Admiralty Station (ADM).
- 1.1.2 Shatin to Central Link Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section [SCL (TAW-HUH)] and Shatin to Central Link Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section [SCL (MKK-HUH) (hereafter referred to as "the Project") are parts of the SCL. Shatin to Central Link Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard [SCL (HHS)] is a proposed stabling sidings option for SCL (TAW HUH) at the former freight yard in Hung Hom.
- 1.1.3 The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Reports for SCL (TAW-HUH) (Register No.: AEIAR-167/2012), SCL (MKK-HUH) (Register No.: AEIAR-165/2012) and SCL (HHS) (Register No.: AEIAR-164/2012) were approved on 17 February 2012 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). Following the approval of the EIA Reports, two Environmental Permits (EPs) were granted on 22 March 2012, one covers SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS) (EP No: EP-438/2012) and the other covers SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS) (EP No: EP-437/2012), for their construction and operation. Variations of environmental permit (VEP) was subsequently applied for EP-438/2012 and the latest Environmental Permit (EP No: EP-438/2012/K) was issued by Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 4 October 2016.

1.2 Project Programme

1.2.1 Ten civil construction works contracts of the Project have been awarded since July 2012. The construction of the Project commenced in September 2012 and is expected to complete in 2019 tentatively. **Table 1.1** summarises the information of the awarded Works Contracts.

Table 1.1 Summary of Awarded Works Contracts

Works Contract	Description	Construction Start Date	Contractor	Environmental Team			
1101 ⁽¹⁾	Ma On Shan Line Modification Works	December 2012	Sun Fook Kong Joint Venture (SFKJV)	ANewR Consulting Ltd. (ANewR)			
1102	Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures	October 2013	Penta-Ocean Construction Co. Ltd.	Cinotech Consultants Ltd. (Cinotech)			
1103	Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels	February 2013	Vinci Construction Grands Projets	Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd. (Arup)			
1106	Diamond Hill Station	March 2013	Leader Joint Venture	Cinotech Consultants Ltd. (Cinotech)			
1107	Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels	May 2013	Chun Wo - SELI Joint Venture	Cinotech Consultants Ltd. (Cinotech)			

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 1 Jan 2017

Works Contract	Description	Construction Start Date	Contractor	Environmental Team
1108	Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels	June 2013	Kaden -Chun Wo Joint Venture	Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Ltd.
1108A ⁽²⁾	Kai Tak Barging Point Facilities	September 2012	Concentric – Hong Kong River Joint Venture (CCL-HKR JV)	Cinotech Consultants Ltd. (Cinotech)
1109	Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section	September 2012	Samsung-Hsin Chong JV (SSHCJV)	ERM-Hong Kong Limited (ERM)
1111	Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels	January 2013	Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 JV	AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.
1112	Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings	June 2013	Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited	SMEC Asia Ltd., HK

Notes:

- (1) All construction works (works areas at Tai Wai Mei Tin Road and the offsite temporary storage areas) under Works Contract 1101 were completed on 29 February 2016.
- (2) All construction works (Kai Tak Barging Point Facilities) under Works Contract 1108A were completed on 29 September 2016.

1.3 Purpose of the Report

1.3.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme for the Project commenced in September 2012. This is the fifty-third EM&A Report for the Project which summarises the EM&A works undertaken by the respective Contractor's ETs during the period from 1 to 31 January 2017.

2 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT

2.1.1 The construction of SCL has been divided into different civil construction works contracts which are covered by EP No. EP-437/2012 and/or EP-438/2012/K. As per the EP Conditions, EM&A Reports for the works contracts as shown in the table below have been prepared by the respective Contractor's ETs.

Works Contract	Contract Title	Works Covered in Environmental Permit No.
1101	Ma On Shan Modification Works	EP-438/2012/K
1102	Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures	EP-438/2012/K
1103	Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels	EP-438/2012/K
1106	Diamond Hill Station	EP-438/2012/K
1107	Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels	EP-438/2012/K
1108	Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels	EP-438/2012/K
1108A	Kai Tak Barging Point Facilities	EP-438/2012/K
1109	Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section	EP-438/2012/K
1111	Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels	EP-437/2012 & EP-438/2012/K
1112	Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings	EP-437/2012 & EP-438/2012/K

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 2 Jan 2017

- 2.1.2 The EM&A Reports for Works Contracts 1109, 1111, 1103, 1106, 1107, 1112, 1108, and 1102, prepared by the respective Contractor's ETs are provided in **Appendices A** to **H**, respectively. The EM&A Reports provide details of the project information, EM&A requirements, impact monitoring and audit results for the corresponding Contracts.
- 2.1.3 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken by the respective Contractors of various Works Contracts during the reporting period are presented in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Summary of Major Construction Activities in the Reporting Period

Table 2.1	Summary of Major Construction Activities in the Reporting Period					
Works Contract	Site	Construction Activities				
1102	Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures	 Soft Landscaping; External Wall Tiling at Viaduct & At-Grade Box; ABWF works at Hin Keng Station; and Modification of Retaining Wall and Installation of Noise Barrier 				
	Diamond Hill Area	Underground Remedial Works				
	Hin Keng Area	Tunnel Lining, Partition Walls, Dividing Slabs, Drains, Walkways and Site Formation				
1103	Fung Tak Area	 Tunnels Connection, RC Concrete, ELS Work, Sheet piling for retaining wall and RRIW for PTT 				
	Ma Chai Hang Area	 Central Core, Ventilation Tunnel, C&S Works and ABWF Works 				
	Shui Chuen O	Storage Area				
1106	Diamond Hill Station Area	 Construction of Level U1 wall and structural steel erection; ABWF works at SCL-DIH station area; Foundation works and temporary road works TTA for site access and temporary footpath diversion at Lung Cheung Road and Choi Hung Road; Installation sheet piling, grouting works, excavation and lateral support works at Lung Cheung Road; Grouting works at MOE near Entrance B; and Temporary bulk head wall construction at Entrance A2 				
1107	Tunnel section next to Kai Tak Station	Backfilling works at cut and cover tunnels;Reinstatement and Backfilling works of Drainage				
1108	Kai Tak Station	 Open cut tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, materials clearance at UT stitch joint in progress; Cut and cover tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, access shaft pre-cast concrete slab construction in progress; Station: Drainage work at all area, leveling to F.F.L. in Area 3, installation of roof cladding at Entrance A, B & D, installation of 5W at all area, 				

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 3 Jan 2017

Works Contract	Site	Construction Activities
		rectification for plumbing works, installation of glazed wall at Entrance A. B & D, modification works of existing manhole SM04 and application of cementitious fire-proofing at steel roof of Entrance A
	Ma Tau Wai (MTW) Works Area	 Along Ma Tau Wai Road and TKW/MTW Road Garden – Station construction and removal of ELS; ABWF works; and EEP construction
1109	To Kwa Wan (TKW) Works Area	 Olympic Garden - Construction of station entrance and demolition of Pier 46; TKW Station - Construction of TKW station, and batching plant decommissioning; Tam Kung Road - Sump pit construction; Nam Kok Road - Slab and wall construction
	Mong Kok Freight Terminal ⁽¹⁾	All construction activities were completed in May 2015
	Ho Man Tin	 Manhole construction, pipe laying, concreting works, EVA construction
	NSL 3 - 8	 Parapet modification works, ELS & decking removal work, concreting works, form work erection, reinforcement fixing, backfill, road & drainage construction, steel mesh enclosure erection, excavation, pipe laying
1111	OB2	ELS for soil replacement, pipe bridge erection, TB1 dismantling and watermain diversion
	OB2A	Soil replacement, OB2A bridge reinstatement
	NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path	Backfilling, drainage work, Scaffolding platform erection, dismantling of scaffolding, construction of noise enclosure, pre-split, lifting works, temporary working platform removal, ELS removal, tunnel works, rock breaking, rock cutting
	EWL 7 - 9	Reinstatement, road diversion, backfilling, steel deck dismantling
1112	Hong Hom (HUH and HHS) Works Area	 EWL trough wall construction at SAT EWL and NSL platform & structural construction at HUH Overhead Track Exhaust (OTE) structure construction at HUH Construction of Back of House (BoH) structures at HUH Construction of plant rooms and staff rooms at HUH ABWF Works at HHS, HUH Utility works at NAT, HUH Slab construction at NAT Modification works at Concourse level, mid-level walkway

Note:

(1) Construction works were completed.

- 2.1.4 Impact monitoring for air quality and construction noise were conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual in the reporting period. Continuous noise monitoring was not required in the reporting period for all Works Contracts according to the Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP). The air quality and construction noise for this reporting month are summarised in **Tables 2.2** and **2.3**. Details of the monitoring requirements, locations, equipment, methodology and QA/QC procedures are presented in the EM&A Reports as provided in **Appendices A** to **H**.
- 2.1.5 Water quality monitoring was not carried out during this reporting period since no dredging activity was conducted in the reporting month.
- 2.1.6 No environmental complaints, exceedance of action and limit levels, or notification of summons was received during the reporting period. Log for environmental complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions are provided in **Table 2.4**.
- 2.1.7 Regular site inspections were conducted by the respective Contractor's ETs on a weekly basis to check the implementation of environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. No non-conformance was identified in the reporting period.

Table 2.2 Summary of 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Monitoring Station ID	Location	TSP Concentration (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)	Exceedance due to the Project Construction (Yes/No)
Works Contro	act 1102 and 1103				
DMS-1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School	15.4-117.4	148.7	260	No
Works Contra	act 1103				
DMS-2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School	44.6-156.5	167.4	260	No
Works Contra	acts 1103 and 1106				
DMS-3	Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home ⁽¹⁾	47.3-90.6	159.1	260	No
Works Contra	act 1106 and 1107				
DMS-4	Block 1, Rhythm Garden	36.5-71.6	160.4	260	No
Works Contra	act 1108 ⁽⁵⁾				
Works Contra	1				
DMS-6	Katherine Building (2)	39-62	156.8	260	No
DMS-7	Parc 22 (3)(10)	-	166.7	260	No
DMS-8	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	42-61	152.2	260	No
DMS-9	No. 12 Pau Chung Street ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁹⁾	43-52	160.9	260	No
DMS-10	Chat Ma Mansion	41-64	170.4	260	No
Works Contro					
No. 234 – 238 AM1 ⁽⁶⁾ Chatham Road North		47.5-82.7	183.9	260	No
Works Contra	act 1112				
AM2	Site Boundary of Finger Pier Adjacent To Harbourfront Horizon ⁽⁸⁾	45.3 – 48.9	182	260	No

Notes:

- (1) Alternative monitoring location to Shek On House
- (2) Alternative monitoring location to Prosperity House
- (3) Alternative monitoring location to Skytower Tower 2
- Alternative monitoring location to Lucky Building (4)
- (5) No TSP monitoring is required under this contract
- (6) AM1 named as HUH-1-3 in SCL(TAW-HUH) and SCL(HHS) EIA Reports.
- (7) Alternative monitoring location to Wing Fung Building
- (8) Alternative monitoring location to Harbourfront Horizon
- (9)24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-9 No. 26 Kowloon City Road (alternative location of Lucky Building) has been suspended since March 2014 due to denied access by the occupant of the premise. However, No. 12 Pau Chung Street, as an alternative monitoring location, was formally approved by EPD on 19 May 2014. Impact dust monitoring was resumed on 12 June 2014.
- (10) 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-7 Parc 22 (alternative monitoring location of Skytower Tower 2) has been temporary suspended since 13 December 2016 due to request from the management office.

Table 2.3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

Monitoring Station ID		Noise Level (L _{Aeqr30mins} , dB(A))			Limit Level	Exceedance due to the
	Location	Measured	Baseline	Corrected (7)	(dB(A))	Project Construction (Yes/No)
Works Contrac	t 1102 and 1103					
NMS-CA-1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School	54.7-56.8	57.0	< Baseline	70 (65 during examination period)	No
Works Contrac	t 1103					
NMS-CA-2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School	59.1-61.7	66.0	< Baseline	70 (65 during examination period)	No
Works Contrac	ts 1103 and 1106					
NMS-CA-3	Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home ⁽¹⁾	70.1-72.2	73.0	< Baseline	70	No
Works Contrac	t 1106 and 1107					
NMS-CA-4	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)	72.5-73.2	71.0	67.2-69.2	75	No
NMS-CA-5	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade) ⁽²⁾	70.6-71.5	74.0	< Baseline	70 (65 during examination period)	No
Works Contrac	t 1108 ⁽⁶⁾					
Works Contrac	t 1109					
NMS-CA-6	No. 16-23 Nam Kok Road (3)	61.6-62.6	76.1	< Baseline	75	No
NMS-CA-7	Skytower Tower 2	65.4-66.9	70.0	< Baseline	75	No
NMS-CA-8	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	72.2-73.4	75.4	< Baseline	70 (65 during examination period) (79 during the period of conducting the continuous noise monitoring) (8)	No
NMS-CA-9	Kong Yiu Mansion ⁽⁴⁾	68.8-69.6	69.2	< Baseline-59.0	75	No
NMS-CA-10	Chat Ma Mansion	75.8-76.5	76.6	< Baseline	75	No

Monitoring	_	Noise Level (L _{Aeq,30mins} , dB(A))			Limit Level	Exceedance due to the	
Station ID	Location	Measured	Baseline	Corrected (7)	(dB(A))	Project Construction (Yes/No)	
NM1	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	64.4-66.7	68.0	< Baseline	70 (65 during examination period) (68 during the period of conducting the continuous noise monitoring) ⁽⁹⁾	No	
NM2	No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North ⁽⁵⁾	69.6-70.9	79.0	< Baseline	75 (77) ⁽¹⁰⁾	No	
Works Contract	Works Contract 1112 ⁽⁶⁾						

Notes:

- (1) Alternative monitoring location to Shek On House.
- (2) Alternative monitoring location to Canossa Primary School (San Po Kong).
- (3) Alternative monitoring location to Prosperity House.
- (4) Alternative monitoring location to Lucky Building.
- (5) Alternative monitoring location to Wing Fung Building.
- (6) No construction noise monitoring is required under this contract.
- (7) The measured noise levels are corrected against the corresponding baseline noise levels.
- (8) The Limit Level of 79 dB(A) was updated on 22 Aug 2013 as per the latest Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) and Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) which were approved by EPD.
- (9) The Limit of 68 dB(A) was updated on 20 Jan 2014 as per the latest CNMMP and CNMP which were approved by EPD.
- (10) Daytime noise Limit Level of 77 dB(A) applies during the continuous noise monitoring period.

Table 2.4 Log for Environmental Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions for the Reporting Month

Works Contract	Environmental Complaints	Notification of Summons	Successful Prosecutions
1102	0	0	0
1103	0	0	0
1106	0	0	0
1107	0	0	0
1108	0	0	0
1109	0	0	0
1111	0	0	0
1112	0	0	0

3 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

3.1.1 The respective Contractors have implemented all mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, EM&A Manuals and EPs (EP-437/2012 and EP-438/2012/K). The status of required submissions under the EPs as of the reporting period are summarised in **Tables 3.1** and **3.2**.

Table 3.1 Summary of Status of Required Submissions for and EP-438/2012/K

	Table 3.1 Summary of Status of Required Submissions for and EP-438/2012/K					
EP Condition Submission (EP-438/2012/K)		Submission date				
Condition 1.12	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of the Project	1 Aug 2012				
Condition 2.3	Notification of Information of Community Liaison Groups	13 Jul 2012 (1 st submission) 31 Aug 2012 (2 nd submission) 30 Nov 2012 (3 rd submission)				
Condition 2.7	Management Organisation of Main Construction Companies	27 Jul 2012 (1st submission) 21 Aug 2012 (2nd submission) 19 Dec 2012 (3rd submission) 22 Jan 2013 (4th submission) 30 Apr 2013 (5th submission) 21 May 2013 (6th submission)				
Condition 2.8	Construction Programme and EP Submission Schedule	27 Jul 2012				
Condition 2.9	Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP)	1 Aug 2012 (1st submission) 28 Sep 2012 (2nd submission) 30 Nov 2012 (3rd submission) 11 Jan 2013 (4th submission) 8 Feb 2013 (Approved) 8 Feb 2013 (5th submission) 26 Apr 2013 (6th submission) 11 Jun 2013 (7th submission) 12 July 2013 (Approved) 26 July 2013 (Approved) 26 July 2013 (Approved) 23 Aug 2013 (Approved) 23 Aug 2013 (Approved) 20 Jan 2014 (10th submission) 13 Sept 2013 (Approved) 20 Jan 2014 (10th submission) 26 Feb 2014 (Approved) 31 Mar 2015 (Contract 1106 submission only) 13 Apr 2015 (Contract 1106 submission only) 15 Apr 2015 (Approved)				
Condition 2.10	Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP)	1 Aug 2012 (1st submission) 28 Sep 2012 (2nd submission) 30 Nov 2012 (3rd submission) 11 Jan 2013 (4th submission) 8 Feb 2013 (Approved) 8 Feb 2013 (5th submission) 26 Apr 2013 (6th submission) 11 Jun 2013 (7th submission) 12 July 2013 (Approved) 26 July 2013 (8th submission) 22 Aug 2013 (Approved) 23 Aug 2013 (9th submission) 13 Sept 2013 (Approved) 20 Jan 2014 (10th submission)				

EP Condition (EP-438/2012/K)	Submission	Submission date
		26 Feb 2014 (Approved) 7 Oct 2014 (11 th submission) 23 Oct 2014 (Approved)
Condition 2.11	Construction and Demolition Materials Management Plan (C&DMMP)	6 Jul 2012 (1 st submission) 12 Sep 2012 (2 nd submission) 10 Oct 2012 (Approved)
Condition 2.12	Sediment Management Plan	6 Jul 2012 (1st submission) 12 Sep 2012 (2 nd submission) 5 Oct 2012 (3 rd submission) 10 Oct 2012 (Approved) 4 Mar 2013 (4 th submission) 9 May 2013 (5 th submission) 24 July 2013 (6 th submission) 26 July 2013 (Approved)
Condition 2.13	Visual, Landscape, Tree Planting & Tree Protection Plan	6 Jul 2012 (1st submission) 30 Aug 2012 (2 nd submission) 3 Oct 2012 (3 rd submission) 13 Nov 2013 (Approved) 14 Nov 2012 (4 th submission) 8 Feb 2013 (5 th submission) 18 Mar 2013 (6 th submission) 18 June 2013 (7 th submission) 12 July 2013 (Approved)
Condition 2.14	Transplantation Proposal for Plant Species of Conservation Importance	22 Aug 2012 (1st submission) 5 Oct 2012 (2nd submission) 26 Nov 2012 (3rd submission) 4 Dec 2012 (Approved)
Condition 2.15	Conservation Plan	31 Jan 2013 (1st submission) 18 Mar 2013 (2nd submission) 24 Apr 2013 (Approved)
Condition 2.16	Archaeological Action Plan(s) (AAP(s)) for Works Contract 1109	10 Aug 2012 (1st submission) 3 Sep 2012 (2nd submission) 21 Sep 2012 (Approved) 11 Oct 2013 (3rd submission) 1 Nov 2013 (Approved)
Condition 2.16	Archaeological Action Plan(s) (AAP(s)) for Works Contract 1106	29 Jan 2013 (1 st submission) 19 Mar 2013 (2 nd submission) 8 Apr 2013 (Approved)
Condition 2.23	Supplementary Contamination Assessment Report for New Territories South Animal Centre	28 Sep 2012 25 Oct 2012 (Approved)
Condition 2.27	Operational Ground-borne Noise Mitigation Measures Plan	18 Mar 2016 (Batch 1 Version A submission) 28 Apr 2016 (Batch 1 Version B submission) 28 Apr 2016 (Batch 2 Version A submission) 1 Jun 2016 (Batch 1 Version C submission) 1 Jun 2016 (Batch 2 Version B submission) 23 Jun 2016 (Batch 1 Version D submission) 23 Jun 2016 (Batch 2 Version C submission) 23 Jun 2016 (Batch 1 Version C submission) 15 Jul 2016 (Batch 1 Version D approved)

EP Condition (EP-438/2012/K)	Submission	Submission date
		15 Jul 2016 (Batch 2 Version C approved) 15 Sep 2016 (Batch 3 Version A submission) 4 Oct 2016 (Batch 3 Version A approved)
Condition 2.30	As-built Drawings for Operational Air-borne Noise Mitigation Measures	4 Dec 2015 (1 st submission) 28 Dec 2015 (2 nd submission) 4 Feb 2016 (Approved)
Condition 2.33	As-built Drawings for Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures	4 Dec 2015 (1 st submission) 28 Dec 2015 (2 nd submission) 4 Feb 2016 (Approved)
Condition 2.36	Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) for the Temporary Magazine Site at TKO Area 137	23 Mar 2016 (1st submission) 20 Apr 2016 (2nd submission) 22 Apr 2016 (Approved)
Condition 2.36	Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for the Temporary Magazine Site at TKO Area 137	19 May 2016 (1st submission) 3 Jun 2016 (2nd submission) 15 Jun 2016 (Approved)
Condition 3.1	Proposal for Termination of Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Programme for Kai Tak Barging Point Facilities	7 Oct 2016 (Approved)
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report (Works Contract 1109 - Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section)	27 Jul 2012
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report (Works Contract 1108A – Kai Tak Barging Point Facilities)	31 Jul 2012
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report (Works Contracts 1103, 1106 and 1111 – Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels, Diamond Hill Station, and Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels)	19 Oct 2012
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Reports No. 1-51 Monthly EM&A Report No. 52	Reported in previous Monthly EM&A Reports 13 Jan 2017

Table 3.2 Summary of Status of Required Submissions for EP-437/2012

EP Condition (EP-437/2012)	Submission	Submission date
Condition 1.11	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of the Project	30 Nov 2012
Condition 2.3	Notification of Information of Community Liaison Groups 30 Nov 2012	
Condition 2.5	Management Organisation of Main Construction Companies	19 Dec 2012 (1 st submission) 30 Apr 2013 (2 nd submission)
Condition 2.6	Construction Programme and EP Submission Schedule	19 Dec 2012

EP Condition (EP-437/2012)	Submission	Submission date
Condition 2.7	Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP)	30 Nov 2012 (1st submission) 8 Feb 2013 (Approved) 26 Apr 2013 (2nd submission) 11 Jun 2013 (3rd submission) 27 Aug 2013 (Approved) 20 Jan 2014 (4th submission) 28 Apr 2016 (Approved)
Condition 2.8	Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP)	30 Nov 2012 (1st submission) 11 Jan 2013 (2nd submission) 8 Feb 2013 (Approved) 20 Jan 2014 (3rd submission) 28 Apr 2016 (Approved)
Condition 2.9	Construction and Demolition Materials Management Plan (C&DMMP)	6 Jul 2012 (1 st submission) 12 Sep 2012 (2 nd submission) 15 Oct 2012 (Approved)
Condition 2.10	Sediment Management Plan	6 Jul 2012 (1st submission) 12 Sep 2012 (2 nd submission) 5 Oct 2012 (3 rd submission) 15 Oct 2012 (Approved)
Condition 2.11	Visual, Landscape, Tree Planting & Tree Protection Plan (VLTTP)	14 Nov 2012 (1 st submission) 8 Feb 2013 (2 nd submission) 4 Feb 2015 (3 rd submission) 26 Jun 2015 (4 th submission)
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report (Works Contracts 1103, 1106 and 1111 – Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels, Diamond Hill Station, and Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels)	19 Oct 2012
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Reports No. 5-51 Monthly EM&A Report No. 52	Reported in previous Monthly EM&A Reports 13 Jan 2017

Appendix A

53rd EM&A Report for Works Contract 1109 – Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 53 [Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1109 - Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section

(13 February 2017)

Certified by:	Mandy To	_
Position:	Environmental Team Leader	
Date:	13 February 2017	_

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT

Samsung-Hsin Chong JV

Shatin to Central Link (SCL) - Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section: Works Contract 1109 – Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Monthly EM&A Report No.53

January 2017

Environmental Resources Management

16/F Berkshire House 25 Westlands Road Quarry Bay, Hong Kong Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT

Samsung-Hsin Chong JV

Shatin to Central Link (SCL) - Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section: Works Contract 1109 - Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Monthly EM&A Report No.53

January 2017

Reference 0171181

For and on behalf of

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited

Approved by: Frank Wan

Signed:

Position: Partner

Date: 13 February 2017

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	1	
1.2	STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT	1	
2	PROJECT INFORMATION		
2.1	BACKGROUND	3	
2.2	GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION	3	
2.3	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND ACTIVITIES	3	
2.4	PROJECT ORGANISATION	4	
2.5	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS	4	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	6	
3.1	REGULAR CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	6	
3.1.1	Monitoring Location	6	
3.1.2	Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	6	
3.1.3	Monitoring Equipment and Methodology	7	
3.1.4	Action and Limit Levels	7	
3.2	CONTINUOUS NOISE MONITORING	8	
3.2.1	Monitoring Location	8	
3.2.2	Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	9	
3.2.3	Monitoring Equipment and Methodology	9	
3.2.4	Action and Limit Levels	9	
3.3	CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING	10	
3.3.1	Monitoring Location	10	
3.3.2	Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	11	
3.3.3	Monitoring Equipment	11	
3.3.4	Monitoring Methodology	12	
3.3.5	Action and Limit Levels	14	
3.4	CULTURAL HERITAGE	14	
3.5	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MITIGATION MEASURES	15	
4	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION	
	REQUIREMENTS	16	
5	MONITORING RESULTS	17	
5.1	REGULAR CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	17	
5.2	CONTINUOUS NOISE MONITORING	17	
5.3	CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING	17	
5.4	CULTURAL HERITAGE	18	
5.5	WASTE MANAGEMENT	18	
5.6	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MITIGATION MEASURES	18	
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION	20	

7	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	21
7.1	SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCE	21
7.2	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE	21
7.3	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT	21
7.4	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMON AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION	21
8	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	22
8.1	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	22
8.2	MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT MONTH	22
8.3	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE NEXT MONTH	22
9	CONCLUSIONS	23

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex A	The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract
Annex B	Construction Programme for the Reporting Month and Coming Month
Annex C	Project Organisation Chart and Contact Detail
Annex D	Locations of Monitoring Stations for Noise and Dust Monitoring
Annex E	Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Period and the Next Month
Annex F	Calibration Reports
Annex G	Summary of Event/Action Plans
Annex H	Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation
Annex I	Regular Noise Monitoring Results
Annex J	Construction Dust Monitoring Results
Annex K	Waste Flow Table
Annex L	(Not Used)
Annex M	Environmental Complaint, Environmental Summon and Prosecution Log

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The construction works of MTR Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1109 – Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section commenced on 1 September 2012. This is the fifty-third monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 January 2017 to 31 January 2017 in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

Summary of the Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month

The major construction works undertaken during the reporting month include:

Construction Activities undertaken

Works in Ma Tau Wai (MTW)

 Along Ma Tau Wai Road and TKW/MTW Road Garden - Station construction and removal of ELS; ABWF works; and EEP construction.

Works in To Kwa Wan (TKW)

- Olympic Garden Construction of station entrance and demolition of Pier 46;
- TKW Station Construction of TKW station, and batching plant decommissioning;
- Tam Kung Road Sump pit construction; and
- Nam Kok Road Slab and wall construction.

Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring

A summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours

•	NMS-CA-6	4 times
•	NMS-CA-7	4 times
•	NMS-CA-8	4 times
•	NMS-CA-9	4 times
•	NMS-CA-10	4 times
• C	onstruction dust (24-hour TSP) monitoring	
•	DMS-6	5 times
•	DMS-7	0 time
•	DMS-8	5 times
•	DMS-9	5 times
•	DMS-10	5 times

Continuous Noise Monitoring

No continuous noise monitoring was required during this reporting month, according to the schedule presented in the latest approved CNMP.

Cultural Heritage

A License to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance has been obtained from Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 29 October 2012. The archaeological survey-cumexcavation and additional investigation at the Sacred Hill (North) commenced

on 1 November 2012 and was conducted in accordance with the License and the approved Archaeological Action Plan (AAP). An updated AAP was submitted to AMO for renewal of the 1 year archaeological license. The license was renewed and granted by AMO on 24 October 2013. The updated AAP was submitted to EPD for approval on 11 October 2013 and it was approved on 1 November 2013. The fieldworks of the archaeological survey-cum-excavation and additional investigation were completed on 27 December 2013. The Interim Archaeological Report was provided to AMO in April 2014.

No vibration monitoring was conducted during the reporting period as relevant tunnelling work for this Works Contract had been completed in vicinity of the historical structures listed in EM&A Manual.

Waste Management

Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. No inert C&D material was generated from the Project during the reporting month. 276 kg of plastics was generated and sent to recyclers for recycling during the reporting period. About 769 m³ of non-recyclable non-inert C&D materials, such as general refuse, were disposed of at NENT Landfill. No metal waste was generated during this reporting month. 126 kg of paper/cardboard packaging was generated and sent to recyclers for recycling during the reporting period. No chemical waste was generated during this reporting month.

Landscape and Visual

Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 3 and 16 January 2017. No audit findings were observed during the reporting month. The implementation status is presented in *Section 5*.

Environmental Site Inspection

Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 3, 9, 16 and 23 January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 9 January 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in *Section 6*.

Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Compliant/Summons and Prosecution

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.

No complaint was received during reporting period.

No summon or prosecution was received in this reporting period.

Future Key Issues

The major construction works to be undertaken in the next reporting month include:

Construction Activities to be undertaken

Work in Ma Tau Wai (MTW)

• Along Ma Tau Wai Road and TKW/MTW Road Garden - Station construction; ABWF works; and EEP construction.

Work in To Kwa Wan (TKW)

- Olympic Garden Construction of station entrance and construction of new Pier 46;
- Tam Kung Road Sump pit construction;
- TKW Station Construction of TKW station, and batching plant decommissioning; and
- Nam Kok Road Roof and wall construction.

1 INTRODUCTION

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) was appointed by Samsung-Hsin Chong JV (SSHCJV) as the Environmental Team (Contractor's ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during the construction phase of the MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1109 – Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section (the Project).

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This is the fifty-third EM&A report which summarises the monitoring results and audit findings during the reporting period from 1 January to 31 January 2017.

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

Section 1: **Introduction**

It details the purpose and structure of the report.

Section 2: Project Information

It summarises the background and scope of the project, site description, project organisation and contact details, construction programme, construction works undertaken and status of the Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.

Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement

It summarises the monitoring parameters, programmes, methodologies, frequency, locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event / Action Plans.

Section 4 : **Implementation Status of the Environmental Protection Requirements**

It summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.

Section 5: **Monitoring Results**

It summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.

Section 6: **Environmental Site Inspection**

It summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.

Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance

It summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and summons within the reporting period.

Section 8 : Future Key Issues

It summarises the forecast of environmental impact and monitoring schedule for the next three months.

Section 9: Conclusions

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 BACKGROUND

The Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (hereafter referred to as SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an extension of the Ma On Shan Line and is approximately 11 km long. It links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic east-west rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance* (Cap. 499) (EIAO).

The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) has been divided into a series of civil construction Works Contracts and this Works Contract 1109 covers the construction of stations in To Kwa Wan (TKW) and Ma Tau Wai (MTW), and the tunnels between the TKW station and Ho Man Tin station (HOM).

2.2 GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

For the Works Contract 1109, the alignment runs from TKW station below Ma Tau Chung Road/Ma Tau Wai Road towards the west, reaching the MTW station. After leaving MTW station, the alignment passes Ko Shan Road and joins the HOM station at the intersection of Fat Kwong Street and Shun Yung Street. The underground sections of the alignment between TKW and HOM stations will be constructed by bored tunneling. Both the TKW and MTW stations will be constructed by cut-and-cover method.

The alignment and works area for the Works Contract 1109 are shown in *Annex A*.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND ACTIVITIES

A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown in *Table 2.1*. The construction programme is presented in *Annex B*.

Table 2.1 Summary of the Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Month

Construction Activities undertaken

Works in Ma Tau Wai (MTW)

 Along Ma Tau Wai Road and TKW/MTW Road Garden – Station construction and removal of ELS; ABWF works; and EEP construction.

Works in To Kwa Wan (TKW)

- Olympic Garden Construction of station entrance and demolition of Pier 46;
- TKW Station Construction of TKW station, and batching plant decommissioning;
- Tam Kung Road Sump pit construction; and
- Nam Kok Road Slab and wall construction.

2.4 PROJECT ORGANISATION

The project organisational chart and contact details are shown in *Annex C*.

2.5 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS

A summary of the valid permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in *Table 2.2*.

Table 2.2 Summary of the Status of Valid Environmental Licence, Notification, Permit and Documentations

	rmit/ Licences/ otification	Reference	Validity Period	Remarks
En	vironmental Permit	EP-438/2012/K	Throughout the Contract	Permit granted on 4 October 2016
No	otification of	348516	13 August 2012 - 30	-
Co	onstruction Works		April 2017	
un	der the Air Pollution			
Co	ontrol (Construction			
Dι	ust) Regulation (Form			
NA	A)			
	otification of	351125	16 October 2012 - 30	-
Co	onstruction Works		April 2017	
	der Air Pollution			
	ontrol (Construction			
Di NI	ust) Regulation (Form B)			
W	astewater Discharge Lic	cence		
Sit	te at TKW	WT00019555-2014	30-September-2017	-
Sit	te at MTW	WT00019556-2014	30-September-2017	-
Ch	nemical Waste Producer	Registration		
	te at TKW	5213-286-S3682-01	Throughout the	_
			Contract	
Sit	te at MTW	5213-242-S3682-02	Throughout the	-
			Contract	
Сс	onstruction Noise Permi	it		
-	PME at TKW Garden	GW-RE1071-16	11 November 2016 –	-
			10 May 2017	
-	PME at Kai Tak	GW-RE0923-16	18 September 2016 –	
	Storage Yard 1		16 March 2017	
-	PME at Kai Tak New	GW-RE0691-16	27 July 2016 – 26	-
	Land 2		January 2017	
-		GW-RE1258-16	26 january 2017 – 25 July 2017	-
_	PME at MTW Road	GW-RE1091-16	9 November 2016 – 8	-
	E1-E6		May 2017	
-	PME at SUW works	GW-RE1052-16	2 November 2016 – 25	-
	Area (TBM)		January 2017	
-		GW-RE0002-17	25 January 2017 – 24 April 2017	-
-	PME at SUW works Area	GW-RE0994-16	15 October 2016 - 6 April 2017	-
-	PME at Olympic	GW-RE1101-16	24 November 2016 -	-
	Garden		23 May 2017	
-	PME at Olympic	GW-RE1014-16	17 October 2016 - 16	-
	Playground	OV. V. D. D. J. C.	April 2017	
-	PME at TKW	GW-RE1096-16	20 November 2016 –	-
_				

Permit/ Licences/	Reference	Validity Period	Remarks
Notification			
Opening (1-8)		19 February 2017	
- PME at MTW Road	GW-RE1099-16	17 November 2016 -	-
TTMS (Inclinometer)		16 February 2017	
- PME at Lok Shan	GW-RE1085-16	9 November 2016 - 8	-
Road and Kiang Su		May 2017	
Street			
- PME at MTW Road	GW-RE1173-16	11 December 2016 - 8	-
		January 2017	
SP-Licence for TBM	L-3-249(1)	19 May 2015 - 18	Notification for the
operation		May 2018	cancellation of the
			Specified Process
			Licence has been
			given to EPD in Nov
			2016
Billing Account for	7015758	Throughout the	-
Disposal of		Contract	
Construction Waste			

3.1 REGULAR CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

3.1.1 Monitoring Location

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, monitoring of construction noise impact should be conducted at designated monitoring stations. Since access to some of the proposed monitoring locations stated in the EM&A Manual was either rejected or unavailable; alternative locations were proposed and agreed by the ER (Engineer's Representative), IEC (Independent Environmental Checker) and EPD (Environmental Protection Department). The construction noise monitoring locations are listed in *Table 3.1* and shown in *Annex D*. The noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) related to this Works Contract are also shown in *Annex D*.

Table 3.1 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location

Proposed Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location	Description	Type of Measurement
NMS-CA-6 (a)	No.16-23 Nam Kok Road	Façade
NMS-CA-7	Skytower Tower 2	Façade
NMS-CA-8	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	Façade
NMS-CA-9 (b)	Kong Yiu Mansion	Façade
NMS-CA-10	Chat Ma Mansion	Façade

Notes:

- (a) Access to the monitoring location at Prosperity House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. Furthermore, the alternative location, No. 420 Prince Edward Road West, used in the baseline monitoring was also not available as access permission was rejected by the owner of the building. An alternative location (No.16-23 Nam Kok Road) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (b) As the Incorporated Owners Association of the monitoring location at Lucky Building (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) did not reply to our request for access to their premise, an alternative location, Kong Yiu Mansion, was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.

3.1.2 Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

Weekly construction noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. The monitoring schedule for this reporting period is shown in *Annex E*.

The construction noise levels were measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) in decibels dB(A). L_{Aeq} (30min) was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays. The measured noise levels were logged every 5 minutes throughout the monitoring period.

3.1.3 Monitoring Equipment and Methodology

Construction noise measurements were conducted in accordance with the calibration and measurement procedures as stated in *Annex – General Calibration and Measurement Procedures* of *Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling (GW-TM)* issued under the *Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)* (Cap 400).

The sound level meters and calibrator used for the noise measurement, as listed in *Table 3.2*, compile with the IEC 651: 1979 and 804:1985 (Type 1) specification. The calibration certificates of the sound level meters are included in *Annex F*.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Stations	Monitoring Equipment (Sound Level Meter and Calibrator)
NMS-CA-6, NMS-CA-7,	Calibrator: NC 73 (Serial No. 10997142)
NMS-CA-8, NMS-CA-9 and	Sound Level Meter: NL 52 (Serial No. 00131627)
NMS-CA-10	

Immediately prior to and following the noise measurements, the accuracy of the measurement equipment was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency.

Measurements were accepted when the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agreed to be within 1.0 dB(A).

3.1.4 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels are presented in *Table 3.3* and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for noise monitoring is presented in *Annex G*.

Table 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Regular Noise Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level
0700 - 1900 hours on normal weekdays	NMS- CA-6	When one documented valid complaint is received	75 dB(A)
	NMS- CA-7	When one documented valid complaint is received	75 dB(A)
	NMS- CA-8	When one documented valid complaint is received	70 dB(A)
			65 dB(A) during examination periods
			79 dB(A) ^(b) during the period of conducting the continuous noise monitoring
	NMS- CA-9	When one documented valid complaint is received	75 dB(A)
	NMS- CA-10	When one documented valid complaint is received	75 dB(A)

Notes:

- (a) If works are to be carried out during restricted hours (ie, outside 0700 1900 from Monday to Saturday), the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.
- (b) The Limit Level of 79 dB(A) was updated on 22 August 2013 as per the latest Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) and Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP), which were approved by EPD.

3.2 CONTINUOUS NOISE MONITORING

3.2.1 *Monitoring Locations*

With reference to the Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) and EP Condition 2.10, continuous noise monitoring should be conducted during the construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) under Works Contract 1109 at eight noise sensitive receivers (NSRs), where the predicted residual air-borne construction noise impacts exceed the relevant noise criteria. The proposed continuous noise monitoring locations are presented in *Table 3.4* and shown in *Annex D*.

Table 3.4 Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Locations

Continuous Noise Monitoring Location(a)	Description	
TKW-3-2(B)	Hing Fu Building	
MTW-12-3(A)	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	
MTW-12-4(A)	Kong Yiu Mansion	
MTW-12-4-1(A)	59 Maidstone Road	
MTW-12-10	Lucky Building (South Façade)	
MTW-12-10-1	Lucky Building (East Façade)	
MTW-12-11(A)	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	
MTW-16-1	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	
Note:		
(a) Subject to the latest Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan approved in October 2014 and		

Continuous Noise Monitoring Location(a)	Description
review in March 2015.	

3.2.2 Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

Continuous monitoring of $L_{Aeq(30min)}$ noise levels are required to be carried out at the eight proposed continuous noise monitoring locations identified in *Table 3.4* during the normal construction working hours (0700 – 1900 Monday to Saturday) in the period that presented in the CNMP. The recommended measurement period for the continuous noise monitoring programme in the CNMP are presented in *Table 3.6*. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours (ie, outside 0700 – 1900 from Monday to Saturday), the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

3.2.3 Monitoring Equipment and Methodology

In accordance to the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the *Noise Control Ordinance* (NCO), sound level meters in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications* 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications will be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter will be checked using an acoustic calibrator, which generated a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The accuracy of the sound level meter will also be checked on an annual-basis. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration level before and after the noise measurement agrees to be within 1.0 dB(A). Noise measurements will be made in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices in relation to weather conditions.

3.2.4 Action and Limit Levels

The Action/Limit Levels for the continuous noise monitoring programme recommended in the latest CNMP are presented in *Table 3.6*.

Table 3.6 Action/Limit Levels for Continuous Noise Monitoring (a)

Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Stations	Description	Action/ Limit Level	Measurement Period (a)
TKW-3-2(B)	Hing Fu Building	80	September 2014 – December 2014 ^(b)
MTW-12-3(A)	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	80	August 2014 – January 2015(b), March 2015 – June 2015
MTW-12-4(A)	Kong Yiu Mansion	80	August 2014 – June 2015 ^(b)
MTW-12-4-1(A)	59 Maidstone Road	82	October 2014, December 2014 – June 2015
MTW-12-10	Lucky Building (South Façade)	84	March 2015 – April 2015, September 2015 – January 2016
MTW-12-10-1	Lucky Building (East Façade)	80	December 2014 – May 2015, September 2015 – January 2016
MTW-12-11(A)	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	81	September 2014 – June 2015 (b)
MTW-16-1	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	78	December 2012 – January 2013; April 2013 – 21 August 2013,
Notes		79 (c)	22 August 2013 – December 2013, August 2014 – March 2016

Notes:

- (a) The A/L Levels and Measurement Periods will be subject to the latest Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) and Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP).
- (b) The latest CNMP was approved by EPD in October 2014. Continuous noise monitoring at TKW-3-2 (B), MTW-12-3(A), MTW-12-4(A) and MTW-12-11(A) commenced in October 2014.
- (c) The A/L Level of 79 dB(A) was updated on 22 August 2013 as per the latest Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) and Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) which were approved by EPD.

The Event/Action Plan (EAP) of the latest CNMP for continuous noise monitoring is presented in *Annex G*.

3.3 CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING

3.3.1 Monitoring Location

The proposed dust monitoring stations for the construction phase of the Project, as recommended in the approved EM&A Manual, are listed in *Table* 3.7 and shown in *Annex D*. The proposed locations have been agreed with the ER, EPD and IEC.

Table 3.7 Construction Dust Monitoring Location

Proposed Construction Dust Monitoring Location	Description
DMS-6 (a)	Katherine Building
DMS-7	Parc 22
DMS-8	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School
DMS-9 (b)	No. 12 Pau Chung Street
DMS-10	Chat Ma Mansion

Notes:

- (a) Access to the monitoring location at Prosperity House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. Furthermore, the alternative location at No. 420 Prince Edward Road West, which was used in the baseline monitoring, was also not available as access permission was not granted by the owner of the building. An alternative location, Katherine Building, was proposed and had been approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (b) As the Incorporated Owners Association of the originally proposed monitoring location at Lucky Building did not reply to our request for access to their premise, an alternative location, No. 26 Kowloon City Road, was proposed and had been approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD. However, 24-hour averaged dust monitoring had been suspended at DMS-9 No. 26 Kowloon City Road since March 2014 due to denied access by the occupant of the premise. No. 12 Pau Chung Street, as an alternative monitoring location, was formally approved by EPD on 19 May 2014. Impact dust monitoring at No. 12 Pau Chung Street commenced on 12 June 2014.

3.3.2 Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

The construction dust monitoring (in terms of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was conducted at the designated monitoring stations in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. The 24-hour TSP levels were monitored at the frequency and duration stated in *Table 3.8*. The TSP monitoring was conducted as per the schedule presented in *Annex E*.

 Table 3.8
 Construction Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Period	Duration	Parameter	Frequency
Dust Monitoring	Throughout the construction period of the Project	24-hour TSP	Once per 6 days

3.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

24-hour averaged TSP monitoring was performed at designated monitoring stations using High Volume Samplers (HVS) with the appropriate sampling inlets installed. The performance specification of HVS complied with the standard method "Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere (High Volume Method)" as stipulated in US EPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50 Appendix B). Table 3.9 summarises the equipment that was deployed for the 24-hour averaged monitoring.

Table 3.9 Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Equipment (HVS and Calibrator)
DMS-6	TE-5170 (Serial No. 0107), CM-AIR-43 (Orifice ID 2454)
DMS-7	TE-5170 (Serial No. 3574), CM-AIR-43 (Orifice ID 2454)
DMS-8	TE-5170 (Serial No. 3572), CM-AIR-43 (Orifice ID 2454)
DMS-9 (a)	TE-5170 (Serial No. 0814), CM-AIR-43 (Orifice ID 2454)
DMS-10	TE-5170 (Serial No. 3573), CM-AIR-43 (Orifice ID 2454)

Note:

(a) 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-9 No. 26 Kowloon City Road had been suspended since March 2014 due to denied access by the occupant of the premise. However, No. 12 Pau Chung Street, as an alternative monitoring location, was formally approved by EPD on 19 May 2014. Impact dust monitoring at No. 12 Pau Chung Street commenced on 12 June 2014.

3.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

All HVSs were free-standing with no obstruction.

The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVSs:

- appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind needed to be provided at the monitoring stations;
- a minimum of 2m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samplers;
- no furnace or incinerator flues was nearby;
- airflow around the sampler was unrestricted; and
- permission could be obtained to set up the samplers and gain access to the monitoring stations.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected;
- all filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25°C and not varied by more than \pm 3°C; the relative humidity (RH) was 40%; and
- SGS Hong Kong Ltd, a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, implemented comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes on the filters.

Field Monitoring

 the power supply was checked to ensure that the HVSs were working properly;

- the filter holder and area surrounding the filter were cleaned;
- the filter holder was removed by loosening the foul bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully;
- the filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter;
- the swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame.
 The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges;
- the shelter lid was closed and secured with an aluminium strip;
- the HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish runtemperature conditions;
- a new flow rate record sheet was inserted into the flow recorder;
- the flow rates of the HVSs were checked and adjusted to between 1.22 1.37 m³min⁻¹, which was within the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6 1.7 m³min⁻¹);
- the programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours \pm 1 hour, and the starting time, weather condition and filter number were recorded;
- the initial elapsed time was recorded;
- at the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact;
- the filter paper was placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed;
- all monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet; and
- the filters were sent to SGS Hong Kong Ltd for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- the HVSs and their accessories were maintained in a good working condition. For example, motor brushes were replaced routinely and electrical wiring was checked to ensure a continuous power supply; and
- the flow rate of each HVS with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator. Initial calibrations of the dust monitoring equipment were conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Five-point calibration was carried out for HVSs using CM-AIR-43 Calibration Kit. HVSs are calibrated every six-month. The calibration records for the HVSs are given in *Annex F*.

Average wind data (wind speed and direction) at the Kai Tak
meteorological station during the monitoring period were obtained from
the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) and presented in *Annex J*.

3.3.5 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit levels have been established and are presented in *Table* 3.10.

Table 3.10 Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring

Parameters	Dust Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg m-3) (a)	Limit Level (µg m-3) (a)
24-hour TSP	DMS-6	156.8	260
	DMS-7	166.7	260
	DMS-8	152.2	260
	DMS-9 (c)	160.9	260
	DMS-10	170.4	260
1-hour TSP (b)	DMS-6	288.8	500
	DMS-7	289.7	500
	DMS-8	300.0	500
	DMS-9 (c)	303.0	500
	DMS-10	294.7	500

Notes

- (a) Reference to the Baseline Monitoring Report submitted in July 2012.
- (b) Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP will only be used when 1-hour TSP is required to be monitored when a valid complaint is received.
- (c) 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-9 No. 26 Kowloon City Road had been suspended since March 2014 due to denied access by the occupant of the premise. However, No. 12 Pau Chung Street, as an alternative monitoring location, was formally approved by EPD on 19 May 2014. Impact dust monitoring at No. 12 Pau Chung Street commenced on 12 June 2014.

The Event/Action Plan (EAP) for dust monitoring is presented in *Annex G*.

3.4 CULTURAL HERITAGE

A License to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance was obtained from the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 29 October 2012. The archaeological survey-cumexcavation and additional investigation at the Sacred Hill (North) commenced on 1 November 2012 and was conducted in accordance with the Licence and the approved Archaeological Action Plan (AAP). An updated AAP was submitted to AMO for renewal of the 1 year archaeological license. The license was renewed and granted by AMO on 24 October 2013. The updated AAP was submitted to EPD for approval on 11 October 2013 and it was approved on 1 November 2013. The fieldworks of the archaeological survey-cum-excavation and additional investigation were completed on 27 December 2013. The Interim Archaeological Report was provided to AMO in April 2014.

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, appropriate vibration monitoring on the identified built heritage will be agreed with the Building Department (BD)/Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) under the requirement of Buildings Ordinance and/or Blasting Permit as appropriate. Vibration levels will be controlled to appropriate levels. Vibration monitoring will be carried out by the Contractor. The structures requiring vibration monitoring during the relevant tunneling work for this Works Contract include S.K.H. Holy Trinity Church and Old Fast East Flying Training School.

3.5 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MITIGATION MEASURES

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period. The implementation status is given in *Annex H*.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

The Contractor has implemented all the environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures for this Works Contract during the reporting period is summarised in *Annex H*. The status of the required submissions under the EP for this Works Contract during this reporting month is presented in *Table 4.1*.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submission under Works Contract 1109

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Fifty-second Monthly EM&A Report	13 January 2017

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 REGULAR CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

A total of 20 sets of 30-minute construction noise measurements were carried out at the monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period. The noise level recorded at all five monitoring locations during the whole reporting period are below baseline level or below limit level after baseline-level correction.

The monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in *Annex I-1*.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of construction noise was recorded during the reporting period.

5.2 CONTINUOUS NOISE MONITORING

No continuous noise monitoring was required during the reporting period in accordance with the schedule presented in the latest approved CNMP.

5.3 CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING

A total of 20 sets of 24-hr TSP monitoring were carried out at the designated monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period. The monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in *Annex J* and a summary of the dust monitoring results in this reporting month is given in *Table 5.1*.

Table 5.1 Summary of the Dust Monitoring Results in this Reporting Month

Monitoring Station	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results measured, µgm ^{-3 (a)}		Action Level, μgm ⁻³	Limit Level, μgm ⁻³
	Average Range			
DMS-6	46	39 - 62	156.8	260
DMS-7 (b)	-	-	166.7	260
DMS-8	49	42 - 61	152.2	260
DMS-9 (a)	48	43 - 52	160.9	260
DMS-10	50	41 - 64	170.4	260

Note:

- (a) 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-9 No. 26 Kowloon City Road has been suspended since March 2014 due to denied access by the occupant of the premise.
 However, No. 12 Pau Chung Street, as an alternative monitoring location, was approved by EPD. 24-hour averged dust monitoring commenced on 12 June 2014.
- (b) 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-7 Parc 22 was temporary suspended since 13 December 2016 due to request from the Management Office.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the 24-hr TSP was recorded during the reporting period.

5.4 CULTURAL HERITAGE

A License to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance was obtained from Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 29 October 2012. The archaeological survey-cum-excavation and additional investigation at the Sacred Hill (North) commenced on 1 November 2012 and was conducted in accordance with the License and the approved Archaeological Action Plan (AAP). An updated AAP was submitted to AMO for renewal of the 1 year archaeological license. The license was renewed and granted by AMO on 24 October 2013. The updated AAP was submitted to EPD for approval on 11 October 2013 and it was approved on 1 November 2013. The fieldworks of the archaeological survey-cum-excavation and additional investigation were completed on 27 December 2013. The Interim Archaeological Report was provided to AMO in April 2014.

No vibration monitoring was conducted during the reporting period as relevant tunnelling work for this Works Contract had been completed in vicinity of the historical structures listed in EM&A Manual.

5.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT

The waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in *Table 5.2*. Details of waste management data are presented in *Annex K*.

Table 5.2 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

Reporting			Quantity							
Month	Inert C&D	Chemical	Non-inert C&D Materials							
	Materials (a)	Waste (c)	General	General Recycled materials						
	(b)		Refuse/Vegetative	Paper/card	Plastics	Metals				
			Waste	board						
January 2017	0 m ³	0 kg	769 m ³	126 kg	276 kg	0 kg				

Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated spoil.
- (b) No inert C&D materials was generated from the Project during the reporting month.
- (c) Chemical waste includes waste oil. It is assumed density of waste oil to be 0.8 kg/L.

5.6 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MITIGATION MEASURES

Bi-weekly inspections of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on 3 and 16 January 2017. Most of the mitigation measures given in *Annex H* have been implemented. Required Actions that were found are listed below:

3 January 2017

• No observation was reported during the site inspection.

16 January 2017

• No observation was reported during the site inspection.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION

Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 3, 9, 16 and 23 January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 9 January 2017. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspections.

Findings and recommendations for the site inspection in this reporting month are summarised as follows:

3 January 2017

• There was no major observation during site inspection.

9 January 2017

There was no major observation during site inspection.

16 January 2017

• There was no major observation during site inspection.

23 January 2017

There was no major observation during site inspection.

All follow-up actions requested by Contractor's ET and IEC during the site inspections were undertaken as reported by the Contractor. The abovementioned environmental issues had been addressed and mitigated during the reporting period.

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCE

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting period.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting month.

7.2 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE

No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting month.

7.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

No complaint was received during reporting period. The cumulative environmental complaint log is shown in *Annex M*.

7.4 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMON AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

No summon was received during the reporting month. The cumulative summon/prosecution log is shown in *Annex M*.

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

Works to be undertaken in the next reporting month are summarised in *Table* 8.1.

Table 8.1 Construction Works to be undertaken in the Next Reporting Month

Construction Activities to be undertaken

Work in Ma Tau Wai (MTW)

 Along Ma Tau Wai Road and TKW/MTW Road Garden – Station construction; ABWF works; and EEP construction.

Work in To Kwa Wan (TKW)

- Olympic Garden Construction of station entrance and construction of new Pier 46;
- Tam Kung Road Sump pit construction;
- TKW Station Construction of TKW station, and batching plant decommissioning; and
- Nam Kok Road Roof and wall construction.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise and waste management.

8.2 MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT MONTH

The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring in the next reporting period is presented in *Annex E*. The regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period.

8.3 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE NEXT MONTH

The construction programme for the Project for the next reporting month is presented in *Annex B*.

9 CONCLUSIONS

This 53rd monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 January 2017 to 31 January 2017 in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP-438/2012/K.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting period.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during the reporting period.


No complaint was received during reporting period.

No summon or prosecution was received during the reporting period.

The Contractor has implemented possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction. The Contractor's ET will continue to keep track of the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the effectiveness and efficiency of the mitigation measures implemented. If necessary, the Contractor will provide more mitigation measures to further alleviate the impacts.

Annex A


The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract





Annex B


Construction Programme for the Reporting Month and the Coming Month (1)

 $[\]label{thm:continuity} (1) Sung Wong Toi and To Kwa Wan Stations in the programme mean To Kwa Wan and Ma Tau Wai Stations in the Monthly EM&A Report respectively.$













Activity ID	Activity Name		Physical % Start	Finish	2016			2017					
		100	Complete			Jan		Feb	Mai		Apr		
	ON, ENTRANCES AND A	ADITS											
Implementation of TTA a	at TKW									1			
01109.PDC28940A20	TTMS S3P2 is target on end Ma	ar 2017	0% 27-Mar-17*	27-Mar-17						I V			
TKW Station - Main Slab	os						1			*			
Lower Track Slab Works	5												
LT Span 1				<u> </u>			1						
01109.PDC20370-1A-3	LowerTrack Concrete Slab; GL	.3 to 4.5	100% 01-Dec-16 A	29-Dec-16 A									
	LowerTrack Concrete Slab; GL		100% 03-Dec-16 A	30-Dec-16 A									
	Lower Frack Concrete Slab, GL	1.5 (0.5	100 % 03-Dec-10 A	30-Dec-10A						1			
LT Span 2													
01109.PDC20410-1A-1	LowerTrack Concrete Slab; GL	.4.5 to 6.5	100% 26-Nov-16 A	31-Dec-16 A		7	-						
TKW Station													
Station - C&S Works (Up	pper Platform Level)									1			
Upper Track Slab Works	s									1			
TBM Works - Upper Tr	rack Level												
01109.PDC20180A10	Tunnel collar/GL28		100% 21-Nov-16 A	24-Jan-17 A									
01109.PDC20180A20	Remaining Permanent slab at G	SI 1	100% 26-Nov-16 A	04-Jan-17 A									
		9E 1				7	n .						
01109.PDC20180A30			90% 09-Jan-17 A	26-Jan-17		V				1			
Upper Track OTE- GL 1	to 23												
Slabs													
01109.PDC27180A10	Completion of Upper Track Plat	form next to Trackway	60% 03-Nov-16 A	02-Feb-17		7	:						
01109.PDC27180A20	Completion of Mass Concrete V	Valkway, D Wall Side	80% 07-Nov-16 A	04-Feb-17				-					
01109.PDC27180A30	Completion of Mass Concrete V	Nalkway, Platform Side	80% 10-Nov-16 A	04-Feb-17									
01109.PDC27180A40	Completion of erection of suppo	orting post on Walkway for OTE Construction	60% 14-Nov-16 A	07-Feb-17		─	-						
01109.PDC27180A50	Completion of erectin of suppor	ting beam on Walkway for OTE Construction	50% 17-Nov-16 A	09-Feb-17		→							
01109.PDC27180A60	Falsework on supporting beam		60% 21-Nov-16 A	11-Feb-17									
01109.PDC27180A70	Formwork for OTE Slab Constr	ruction	60% 26-Nov-16 A	14-Feb-17		→		_					
Station - C&S Works (Lo							-						
Lower Track Platform W	Vorks												
01109.PDC20730-2A	Dismantle ELS above LowerTra	ack Concrete Slab; Span 6	100% 17-Dec-16 A	28-Dec-16 A									
01109.PDC20820-2A	Dismantle ELS above LowerTra	ack Concrete Slab; Span 7	100% 28-Dec-16 A	05-Jan-17 A			-						
01109.PDC20870-2A	Dismantle ELS above LowerTra	ack Concrete Slab; Span 8	100% 04-Jan-17 A	07-Jan-17 A						1			
01109.PDC20410-2A	Dismantle ELS above LowerTra	ack Concrete Slab; Span 2	100% 09-Jan-17 A	12-Jan-17 A									
01109.PDC20370-2A	Dismantle ELS above LowerTra		100% 13-Jan-17 A	18-Jan-17 A		—							
Lower Track Mezzanine				2 22		▼							
		MTR Corporation Lim	ited	1109-MPR2-2E, Page 6 of 1	2			Actual Work		Milestone			
SAMSUN	NG H	Shatin to Central Link Cont	ract 1109	THREE MONTH ROLLING PR Dates, MTRC 1109 - 3MRP.	ROGRAMME	Jan 17 TASK filters: 3MRP		Remaining WorkMaster Programme R		MP Rev.2 Milest Last Month Miles			
SAMSUNG-HSIN CHO	ONG JOINT VENTURE			Printed:06-Feb-17				Last Month Update		Last MOTHET MILES	10		
C Oliv				7 HILLOU-1 CD-17									




Activity ID	Activity Name		Physical % Start Complete	Finish	2016	2017		
01109.PDC27820A30	Construction of TKW Stair Case	e at Opening 7 below Upper Track Level	0% 14-Mar-17	21-Apr-17	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
01109.PDC27820A20	Construction of TKW Stair Case	e at Opening 7 at Concourse Level	0% 22-Apr-17	29-May-17			V	
Moling Hole 8								▼
01109.PDC27820-3A90	Curing, Falsework Removal, Fo	ormwork, Rebar and Casting for Opening 8 at upper track level	5% 24-Dec-16 A	08-Feb-17				
Station - ABWF Works (C	oncourse Level and Above)							
01109.PDC27990-11A10	Ceiling Bracket Installation (GL	6-14)	50% 15-Nov-16 A	17-Feb-17				
01109.PDC27990-10A	Deg 1 - ABWF works at FOH (GL 16-20/A-B)	100% 09-Dec-16 A	20-Jan-17 A				
01109.PDC27990-11A	Deg 3 - ABWF Works in CLP R	Room (Metal Works)	50% 22-Dec-16 A	06-Mar-17				
Entrance A & Vent Shaft	A							
Vent Shaft A								
Civil & Structure								
01109.PDC27430-4A10	Vent Shaft A - Concourse Slab	Concrete (GL 15-16.5, 1st pour)	100% 28-Dec-16 A	23-Jan-17 A				
01109.PDC27430-3A50	Internal wall construction (-5.03	3 to +0.45) / 15.5-16.5	100% 29-Dec-16 A	10-Jan-17 A				
01109.PDC27430-3A30	Dismantle S6		100% 09-Jan-17 A	23-Jan-17 A				
01109.PDC27430-3A70	1st Cutting Dwall and connection	on	50% 09-Jan-17 A	25-Feb-17				
01109.PDC27430-4A20	Vent Shaft A - Concourse Slab	Concrete (GL 16.5-17.5, 2nd pour)	0% 01-Feb-17*	25-Feb-17				
01109.PDC27430-3A60	Internal wall construction (-6.03	3 to +0.45) / 16.5-17.5	0% 21-Feb-17*	25-Feb-17				
01109.PDC27430-3A90	Installation of reprop at vent rise	er wall (GL16.5~17.5)	0% 27-Feb-17	08-Mar-17				
01109.PDC27430-3A80	2nd Cutting Dwall and connection	on	0% 08-Mar-17	22-Mar-17				
01109.PDC27430-3A81	Dismantle S3		0% 09-Mar-17	18-Mar-17				
Entrance A								
Civil & Structure								
01109.PDC22646A10	Cutting Dwall and connection Po	Portion 1,2,3,4	38% 09-Dec-16 A	08-Feb-17				
01109.PDC22643A10	Form work, rebar fixing and for	internal wall	10% 09-Dec-16 A	04-Mar-17	V			
01109.PDC22642A	Ent A - Perimeter Wall (+9.0 to	GL)	50% 28-Dec-16 A	22-Feb-17				
01109.PDC22646A20	Cutting Dwall and connection Po	Portion 5,6,7,8	50% 17-Jan-17 A	04-Mar-17		•		
01109.PDC22642A10	Ent A - Erect Tower Crane		0% 01-Feb-17	22-Feb-17				
01109.PDC22644A	Ent A - Remove S5		0% 23-Feb-17	06-Mar-17		7		
01109.PDC22645A	Ent A - Internal Wall after S5 ren	moval (+7.82MPD to +17.2mPD)	0% 07-Mar-17	10-May-17		·	V	
Entrance B							•	
Entrance B (Part A)								
01109.PDC16290-5A10	Ent B - Base slab and P wall co	onstruction (+9.5 to 11.95)	100% 12-Dec-16 A	05-Jan-17 A				
01109.PDC16290-5A20	Ent B - Base slab and P wall co	onstruction (+11.95 to 14.13)	20% 05-Jan-17 A	27-Jan-17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	□		
01109.PDC16290-5A30	Ent B - Decking Removal and S	Strut S1	0% 24-Feb-17	02-Mar-17	·			
	<u> </u>	MTR Corporation Limi	ted	1109-MPR2-2E, Page 8 of 12	2	Actual Work	◆ Milestone	<u>:</u>
SAMSUN	G	Shatin to Central Link Contr		THREE MONTH ROLLING PR Dates, MTRC 1109 - 3MRP.	OGRAMME - Jan 17 TASK filters: 3MRP	Remaining Work Master Programme Re	O MP Rev.2 M	
SAMSUNG-HSIN CHO	NG JOINT VENTURE			Printed:06-Feb-17		V Last Month Update		











MTR Corporation Limited Shatin to Central Link Contract 1109

THREE MONTH ROLLING PROGRAMME - Jan 17 TASK filters: 3MRP Dates, MTRC 1109 - 3MRP. Printed:06-Feb-17

1109-MPR2-2E, Page 12 of 12

Actual Work Remaining Work ■ Master Programme Rev.2 ▼ Last Month Update

Milestone O MP Rev.2 Milestone


 \circ

▼ Last Month Milestone

Annex C


Project Organization Chart and Contact Detail


Annex C Project Organization of SCL Works Contract 1109





Annex D

Locations of Noise and Dust Monitoring Stations









Annex E

Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Period and the Next Month

Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1109 Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Regular Noise Monitoring Schedule

Noise Monitoring Stations: NMS-CA-6, NMS-CA-7, NMS-CA-8, NMS-CA-9 and NMS-CA-10 Monitoring Month: January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
01-Jan	02-Jan	03-Jan	04-Jan	05-Jan	06-Jan	07-Jan
			Noise Monitoring			
08-Jan	09-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
		Noise Monitoring				
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
	Noise Monitoring					
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
					Noise Monitoring	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				
	Public Holiday	Public Holiday				

Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1109 Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Regular Noise Monitoring Schedule

Noise Monitoring Stations: NMS-CA-6, NMS-CA-7, NMS-CA-8, NMS-CA-9 and NMS-CA-10 Monitoring Month: February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			01-Feb	02-Feb	03-Feb	04-Feb
				Noise Monitoring		
05-Feb	06-Feb	07-Feb	08-Feb	09-Feb	10-Feb	11-Feb
		Noise Monitoring				
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
	Noise Monitoring					
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
				Noise Monitoring		
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				

Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1109 Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Regular Dust Monitoring Schedule

24-hr TSP Monitoring Stations: DMS-6, DMS-7, DMS-8, DMS-9 and DMS-10 Monitoring Month: January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
01-Jan	02-Jan			05-Jan	06-Jan	
			24-hr TSP Monitoring			
08-Jan	09-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
		24-hr TSP Monitoring				
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
	24-hr TSP Monitoring					24-hr TSP Monitoring
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
					24-hr TSP Monitoring	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				
	Public Holiday	Public Holiday				

Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1109 Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section Regular Dust Monitoring Schedule

24-hr TSP Monitoring Stations: DMS-6, DMS-7, DMS-8, DMS-9 and DMS-10 Monitoring Month: February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			01-Feb	02-Feb	03-Feb	
				24-hr TSP Monitoring		
05-Feb	06-Feb	07-Feb	08-Feb	09-Feb	10-Feb	11-Feb
		24-hr TSP Monitoring				
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
	24-hr TSP Monitoring				24-hr TSP Monitoring	
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
				24-hr TSP Monitoring		
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				

Annex F

Calibration Reports

Annex F Calibration Reports

Dust Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Station ID	Location	Monitoring Equipment		Last Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
24-hr TSP		HVS	Calibrator		
DMS-6	Katherine Building	TE-5170 (S/N 0107)	CM-AIR-43 (Orifice I.D. 2454)	5 November 2016	5 May 2017
DMS-7	Parc 22	TE-5170 (S/N 3574)	CM-AIR-43 (Orifice I.D. 2454)	5 November 2016	5 May 2017
DMS-8	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School	TE-5170 (S/N 3572)	CM-AIR-43 (Orifice I.D. 2454)	5 November 2016	5 May 2017
DMS-9	No. 12 Pau Chung Street	TE-5170 (S/N 0814)	CM-AIR-43 (Orifice I.D. 2454)	5 November 2016	5 May 2017
DMS-10	Chat Ma Mansion	TE-5170 (S/N 3573)	CM-AIR-43 (Orifice I.D. 2454)	5 November 2016	5 May 2017

Noise Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Station ID	Monitoring Equipment	Model & Serial No.	Last Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
NMS-CA-6, NMS-CA-7, NMS-		Rion NC-73 (S/N 10997142)	15 June 2016	15 June 2017
CA-8, NMS-CA-9 and NMS-CA-10	Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52 (S/N 00131627)	19 May 2016	19 May 2017

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-Point Calibration Record

Location : DMS-6(Katherine Building)

Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 05/11/2016

Sampler

Model : TE-5170 Serial Number : S/N 0107

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

 Service Date
 : 14 Mar 2016

 Slope (m)
 : 2.10326

 Intercept (b)
 : -0.06696

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 : 0.99989

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1019 Ta(K) : 299

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	12.6	3.554	1.722	52	52.07
2	13 holes	9.6	3.102	1.507	46	46.06
3	10 holes	7.4	2.724	1.327	39	39.05
4	7 holes	4.4	2.100	1.030	30	30.04
5	5 holes	2.8	1.675	0.828	24	24.03

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

Slope(m):31.816	Intercept(b): <u>-2.566</u>		Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9991
Chacked by Magnum Fa	n	Data: 10/11/201	6

Location : DMS-7(Parc 22)

Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 05/11/2016

Sampler

 Model
 :
 TE-5170

 Serial Number
 :
 S/N 3574

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

 Serial Number
 : 2454

 Service Date
 : 14 Mar 2016

 Slope (m)
 : 2.10326

 Intercept (b)
 : -0.06696

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 : 0.99989

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1019 Ta(K) : 299

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	12.2	3.497	1.695	63	63.08
2	13 holes	9.6	3.102	1.507	56	56.07
3	10 holes	6.6	2.572	1.255	48	48.06
4	7 holes	4.6	2.148	1.053	41	41.05
5	5 holes	2.8	1.675	0.828	34	34.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

Slope(m):33.403 Intercept(b):6.122 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9996

Location : DMS-8(SHK Good Shepherd Primary School)

Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 05/11/2016

Sampler

Model : TE-5170 Serial Number : S/N 3572

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

 Service Date
 :
 14 Mar 2016

 Slope (m)
 :
 2.10326

 Intercept (b)
 :
 -0.06696

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99989

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1019 Ta(K) : 299

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	11.2	3.351	1.625	64	64.08
2	13 holes	8.8	2.970	1.444	56	56.07
3	10 holes	6.8	2.611	1.273	49	49.06
4	7 holes	4.8	2.194	1.075	40	40.05
5	5 holes	3.0	1.734	0.856	30	30.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

Slope(m): 44.155 Intercept(b): -7.541 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9998

Location : DMS-9(No. 12 Pau Chung Street)

Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 05/11/2016

Sampler

 Model
 :
 TE-5170

 Serial Number
 :
 S/N 0814

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

 Service Date
 :
 14 Mar 2016

 Slope (m)
 :
 2.10326

 Intercept (b)
 :
 -0.06696

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99989

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1019 Ta(K) : 299

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	12.4	3.526	1.708	67	67.09
2	13 holes	9.8	3.134	1.522	58	58.07
3	10 holes	7.6	2.760	1.344	51	51.07
4	7 holes	4.6	2.148	1.053	40	40.05
5	5 holes	2.8	1.675	0.828	30	30.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

Slope(m):41.227 Intercept(b): -3.989 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9991

Location : DMS-10(Chat Ma Mansion)

Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 05/11/2016

Sampler

Model : TE-5170 Serial Number : S/N 3573

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

 Service Date
 : 14 Mar 2016

 Slope (m)
 : 2.10326

 Intercept (b)
 : -0.06696

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 : 0.99989

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1019 Ta(K) : 299

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	11.6	3.410	1.653	60	60.08
2	13 holes	9.4	3.070	1.491	54	54.07
3	10 holes	7.2	2.687	1.309	48	48.06
4	7 holes	4.6	2.148	1.053	40	40.05
5	5 holes	2.0	1.416	0.705	29	29.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

 $Slope(m): \underline{32.457} \quad Intercept(b): \underline{5.936} \quad Correlation \ Coefficient(r): \underline{0.9996}$



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - Operator Tisch Orifice I.D 2454 Pa (mm) -									
PLATE OR Run # 1 2 3 4 5	VOLUME START (m3) NA NA NA NA NA	VOLUME STOP (m3) NA NA NA NA NA	DIFF VOLUME (m3) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	DIFF TIME (min) 1.4020 1.0060 0.9010 0.8590 0.7090	METER DIFF Hg (mm) 3.2 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.) 2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00			

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	×	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866 0.9824 0.9803 0.9792 0.9738	0.7037 0.9765 1.0880 1.1399 1.3735	1.4078 1.9909 2.2259 2.3345 2.8155		0.9957 0.9914 0.9893 0.9882 0.9828	0.7102 0.9855 1.0980 1.1504 1.3862	0.8896 1.2581 1.4066 1.4753 1.7792
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) = nt (r) =	2.10326 -0.06696 0.99989		Qa slope intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.31703 -0.04232 0.99989
y axis =	SQRT [H2O (P	a/760)(298/1	[a)]	y axis =	SQRT [H2O (T	 a/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.:

C163248

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC16-1307)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 10 June 2016

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer / 製造商

Rion

Model No. / 型號 Serial No./編號

NC-73 10997142

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(55 \pm 20)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

15 June 2016

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試

HT Wong

Technical Officer

Certified By

核證

Lee Project/Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期

17 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗所 c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C163248

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.

2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL130 CL281 TST150A <u>Description</u>
Universal Counter
Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator
Measuring Amplifier

Certificate No. C153519 PA160023 C161175

4. Test procedure: MA100N.

5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.7	± 0.5	± 0.2

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	(Hz)
1	0.985	1 kHz ± 2 %	± 1

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.:

C162665

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC16-1067)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 12 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商

Model No./型號 Serial No. / 編號

NL-52 00131627

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS/測試條件

Temperature / 溫度

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(55 \pm 20)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

19 May 2016

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By 測試

HT Wong

Technical Officer

Certified By 核證

Date of Issue 簽發日期

20 May 2016

Project Engineer

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C162665

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280 CL281 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator C160077 PA160023

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT	Setting		Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Time Weighting Weighting		Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)	
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.7	± 1.1	

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	T Setting	Applie	d Value	UUT	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00 104.00	1	93.7 (Ref.) 103.7
				114.00		113.7

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

	UUT Setting				d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level Freq. (dB) (kHz)		Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L_A	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.7	Ref.
			Slow			93.7	± 0.3

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong 輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗所

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓 Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab(a)suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C162665

證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appl	ied Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.5	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.5	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.0	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.4	-3.2 ± 1.4
					l kHz	93.7	Ref.
					2 kHz	94.9	$+1.2 \pm 1.6$
					4 kHz	94.7	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	92.6	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.3	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appl	ied Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L_{C}	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.4	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.5	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.4	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	93.7	Ref.
					2 kHz	94.9	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	94.7	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.6	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.3	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-59 & S/N: 06946

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB 3: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 9)

104 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong 輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗所

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Annex G

Summary of Event/ Action Plans

Annex G1 Event and Action Plan for Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

EVENT	Action			
	Contractor's Environmental Team	Independent Environmental	Engineer Representative (ER)	The Contractor
	(Contractor's ET)	Checker (IEC)		
Exceeding Action Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Increase the monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER; Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification; Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Exceeding Limit Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase the monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 	Contractor on the potential remedial measures; 4. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion	 causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals;
	 5. Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; 6. Inform the IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances 7. Assess the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep the IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results 	remedial measures proposed by the Contractor	of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	5. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem is still not under control;6. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Annex G2 Event and Action Plan for Continuous Noise Monitoring

Event	Action								
	Works Contract 1	1109 ET	IEC		ER	ER		Contractor	
Exceeding Action/Limit Level	Identify sour Repeat meas consecutive a Action/Limithen confirm If exceedance	ce urement. If two measurements exceed t Level, the exceedance is ed e is confirmed, notify IEC,	 2. 3. 	Check monitoring data submitted by the Works Contract 1109 ET Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with the ER, Works Contract 1109 ET and Contractor on	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Notify the Contractor and IEC In consultation with the Works Contract 1109 ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented	1. 2.	Identify source with Works Contract 1109 ET If exceedance is confirmed, investigate the cause of exceedance and take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial	
	4. Investigate the and check Comprocedures to mitigation to the distribution of the procession of the procession	ER and Contractor Investigate the cause of exceedance and check Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Discuss jointly with the IEC, ER and Contractor and formulate remedial	the potential remedial measures 4. Review and advise the Works Contract 1109 ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor	4.5.	Ensure the proper implementation of remedial measures If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	4. 5.	measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET of notification Implement the agreed proposals Liaise with ER to optimize the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation Revise and resubmit proposals if		
		iveness of Contractor's ions and keep IEC and ER the results					7.	problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated	

Annex G3 Event and Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

Event	Action			
	Contractor's Environmental Team	Independent Environmental Checker	Engineer Representative (ER)	The Contractor
	(Contractor's ET)	(IEC)		
Action Level				
Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the Contractor, 	by the ET; 2. Check the Contractor's working	 Confirm receipt of notifications of exceedance in writing; 	Identify reason(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;
	IEC and ER on the remedial measures required;3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;	method; 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.		2. Implement remedial measures;3. Amend working methods and agree them with the ER as appropriate.
	4. Increase the monitoring frequency			
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER;	1. Check the monitoring data submitted by the ET;	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 	 Identify reasons and investigate the causes of exceedance;
	Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required;		 Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the 	2. Submit proposals of remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the ET and IEC within three
	3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;	the effectiveness of the proposed	Contractor; 4. Supervise the Implementation of	working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals;
	4. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily;		remedial measures.	4. Amend the proposal as appropriate.
	5. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor:			
	6. If exceedance stops, the monitoring frequency will resume normal.			

Event	Action			
	Contractor's Environmental Team (Contractor's ET)	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Engineer Representative (ER)	The Contractor
Limit Level				_
Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor on the remedial measures and assess the effectiveness. 	 Check the monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. 	exceedance in writing; 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; 3. Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; 4. Supervise the implementation of	 Identify reason(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals of remedial measures to ER with a copy to the ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Review the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep the IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, the monitoring frequency will return to normal. 	the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures.	exceedance in writing; 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; 3. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;	 Identify reason(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate actions to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals of remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Annex G4 Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impacts during the Construction Phase

Event	Action			
	Contractor's Environmental Team	Independent Environmental Checker	Engineer Representative (ER)	The Contractor
	(Contractor's ET)	(IEC)		
Non-conformity on one occasion	 Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER. 	 Check the inspection report. Check the Contractor's working 	 Confirm receipt of notifications of nonconformity in writing. 	1. Identify reasons and investigate the non-conformity.
	2. Discuss remedial actions with	method.	2. Review and agree on the remedial	2. Implement remedial measures
	the IEC, ER and Contractor.3. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures.	measures proposed by the Contractor.3. Supervise the implementation of	3. Amend working methods and agree them with the ER as appropriate.
	completed.	4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of	remedial measures.	4. Rectify the damage and
	completed.	proposed remedial measures.	remedial measures.	undertake any necessary
				replacement.
Repeated Nonconformity	 Identify Reasons. 	 Check the inspection report. 	1. Notify the Contractor.	1. Identify Reasons and investigate
	2. Inform the Contractor, IEC and	2. Check the Contractor's working	2. In consultation with the ET and IEC,	the non-conformity.
	ER.	method.	agree with the Contractor on the	Implement remedial measures.
	3. Increase the inspection	3. Discuss with the ET and Contractor	remedial measures to be	3. Amend working methods and
	frequency.	on possible remedial measures.	implemented.	agree them with the ER as
	4. Discuss remedial actions with	4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of	3. Supervise the implementation of	appropriate.
	the IEC, ER and Contractor.	proposed remedial measures.	remedial measures.	4. Rectify the damage and
	Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been			undertake any necessary replacement.
	completed.			5. Stop relevant works as
	6. If non-conformity stops, the			determined by the ER until the
	inspection frequency return to normal (ie,. Once every two weeks)			non-conformity is abated.

Annex H

Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation

Annex H Environmental Mitigation Implementation Status – SCL Works Contract 1109 (Stations and Tunnels of Kowloon City Section)

Note:

- * Reference has been made to the approved SCL (TAW-HUH) EM&A Manual.
- ✓ Compliance of Mitigation Measures
- Compliance of Mitigation but need improvement
- x Non-compliance of Mitigation Measures
- ▲ Non-compliance of Mitigation Measures but rectified by Samsung-Hsin Chong JV
- Δ Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by Samsung-Hsin Chong JV

N/A Not Applicable in Reporting Period

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
	Heritage Im						
S4.9	СН3	Submit an Archaeological Action Plan Conduct survey-cum-excavation and additional boreholes/trenches investigation at the Sacred Hill (North) Study Area prior to construction.	Salvage cultural remains at the Sacred Hill (North) Study Area	Contractor	Sacred Hill (North) Area	Prior to the Construction Phase of TKW and associated tunnels	√
Ecology (Construction	n Phase)					
S5.7	E5	Good Site Practices Impact on any habitats or local fauna should be avoided by implementing good site practices, including the containment of silt runoff within the site boundary, containment of contaminated soils for removal from the site, appropriate storage of chemicals and chemical waste away from sites of ecological value and the provision of sanitary facilities for on-site workers. Adoption of such measures should permit waste to be suitably contained within the site for subsequent removal and appropriate disposal.	Minimise ecological impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction Stage	√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		The following good site practices should also be implemented:					
		 Erection of temporary geotextile silt or sediment fences/oil traps around earthmoving works to trap sediments and prevent them from entering watercourses; Avoidance of soil storage against trees or close to water bodies; Delineation of works site by erecting hoardings to prevent encroachment onto adjacent habitats and fence off areas which have some ecological value e.g. tunnel on hill at top of slope stabilisation works; No on-site burning of waste; Store waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles. 					
Landscap S6.9.3	E & Visual ((Construction Phase) The following good site practices and	Minimize visual & landscape	Contractor	Within Project Site	Construction Stage	<i>→</i>
30.7.0	EVI	measures for minimisation and avoidance of potential impacts are recommended:	impact	Contractor	within Froject Site	Construction Stage	v
		 Re-use of Existing Soil For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where possible for new planting areas within the project. The construction program shall consider using the soil removed from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, gathering ground and mixing 					

E	IA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
		Log Ref*		Recommended Measures &	implement	implementation of	the measures?	Status
		-		Main Concerns to address	the	measures		
					measures?			

ground may be set up on-site as necessary.

No-intrusion Zone

To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing. The contractor should closely monitor and restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.

Protection of Retained Trees

- All retained trees including trees in contractor's works sites should be recorded and photographed at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which specifies the tree protection requirement, submission and approval system, and the tree monitoring system.
- The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S6.12	LV2	trees in Contractor's works sites. Decorative Hoarding Erection of decorative screen in visual and landscape sensitive areas during the construction stage to screen off undesirable views of the construction site. Hoarding should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context.	Minimize visual & landscape impact	Contractor	Within Project Site	Construction Stage	√
		Management of facilities on work sites • To provide proper management of the on-site facilities, control the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs).					
		Tree Transplanting • Trees of high to medium survival rates that would be affected by the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable. Tree transplanting proposal including the final locations for the transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek relevant government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB TCW No 3/2006.					
Construct	tion Dust	·					
67.6.5	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation.	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S7.6.5	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul roads in the Kowloon area should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8 l/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√
S7.6.5	D3	 Proper watering of exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase; Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by an impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain an entirely wet surface and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile has been removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; A stockpile of dusty materials should not be extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones. The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by an impervious 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	

IA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; • Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; • When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; • The portion of any road which leads only to construction site and is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; • Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other			nicastres		
		 mechanical breaking operations take place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain an entirely wet surface • Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building upward, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; • Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by an impervious sheeting; • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by an impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides; • Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; • Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should		measures?			
		be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system;					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.					
S7.6.5	D6	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage.	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected representative dust monitoring station	Construction stage	√
EP Conditio n 2.18(a)	D7	Watering once every working hour for active works areas, exposed areas and paved haul roads shall be provided in Kowloon area to keep these active works areas, exposed areas and paved haul roads wet.	Minimize construction dust impact	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√
EP Conditio n 2.19	D8	All diesel fuelled construction plant, including marine vessels if possible, used by the contractors within the works areas of the Project shall be powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel.	Minimize aerial emissions of sulphur dioxide from construction plant	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√
Construct	ion Noise (A	Airborne)					
S8.3.6	N1	 Implement the following good site practices: only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work 	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;					
		 plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, should be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; 					
		silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the period of construction works;					
		 mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; 					
		 material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 					
8.3.6	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening.	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	1
8.3.6	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressor, generators and saw.	Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	J
58.3.6	N4	Use "Quiet plants"	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	√
8.3.6	N5	Sequencing operation of construction plants	Operate sequentially within	Contractor	Contractor All	Construction stage	\checkmark

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		where practicable.	the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise		construction sites where practicable		
S8.3.6	N6	Implement noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations	Contractor	Selected representative noise monitoring station	Construction stage	\checkmark
Water Qu	ality				-		
S10.7.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: Construction Runoffs and Site Drainage • At the start of the site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. • The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to	To minimise water quality impact from construction site runoffs and general construction activities	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	

	Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	implement the measures?	implementation of measures	the measures?	Status
 in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. 		measures?			
	 appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. The overall slope of the site should be kept 	facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. • The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. • All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.	facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. • The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. • All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. • The overall slope of the site should be kept	facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. All exposed earth areas should be completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.	facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s, a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, and definitely, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.

EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
	coarse stone ballast. An additional advantage from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows. • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operations at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silts and grits should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading them evenly over stable, vegetated areas. • Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, trenches should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. • Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.		measures?			

IA Ref. EM&A Log Re	O	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
	ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers. • Precautions should be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely. Actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoffs during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure that no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and		measures:			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		 silty water to public roads and drains. Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited in sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching nearby water sensitive receivers. All the earth works should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoffs generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. 					
S10.7.1	W2	 Adopt best management practices <u>Tunnelling Works</u> Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge. The wastewater with a high concentration 	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunnelling works	Contractor	All tunnelling portion	Construction stage	N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		of suspended solids should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater. • Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. The slurry should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities have been completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.		inclusive Co.			
S10.7.1	W3	Sewage Effluent Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for their appropriate disposal and maintenance.	To minimize water quality from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	√
S10.7.1	W4	Groundwater from Contaminated Area in case contamination is found: No direct discharge of groundwater from	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found.	Construction stage	N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
	Log Ref*		Recommended Measures &	implement	implementation of	the measures?	Status
			Main Concerns to address	the	measures		
				measures?			
		contaminated areas is allowed. Prior to the					

excavation works within potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed with reference to the site investigation data in the EIA report for compliance and the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-Water). The existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. The review results should be submitted to EPD for examination if the review results indicate that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated. The contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-Water or properly recharged into the ground.

• If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH)) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from the wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM Water and should be discharged into the foul sewers.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		If groundwater recharging wells are					
		deployed, recharging wells should be					
		installed as appropriate for recharging the					
		contaminated groundwater back into the					
		ground. The recharging wells should be					
		selected at places where the groundwater					
		quality will not be affected by the recharge					
		operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of					
		TM-Water. The baseline groundwater					
		quality shall be determined prior to the					
		selection of the recharge wells. It is					
		necessary to submit a working plan					
		(including the laboratory analytical results					
		showing the quality of groundwater at the					
		proposed recharge location(s) as well as					
		the pollutant levels of groundwater to be					
		recharged) to EPD for agreement.					
		Pollution levels of groundwater to be					
		recharged shall not be higher than the					
		pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at					
		the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any					
		prohibited substances such as TPH					
		products should be removed as necessary					
		by installing the petrol interceptor. The					
		Contractor should apply for a discharge					
		licence under the Water Pollution Control					
		Ordinance (WPCO) through the Regional					
		Office of EPD for groundwater recharge					
		operation or discharge of treated					
		groundwater.					
0.7.1	W7	In order to prevent accidental spillage of	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	\checkmark
		chemicals, the following is recommended:	impact from accidental		where practicable	0-	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. • The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. • Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	spillage				
Waste Ma	nagement (Construction Waste)					
S11.4.1.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D (Construction and Demolition) material • Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored in the designated stockpile areas avoiding delivering them to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at Concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S11.5.1	WM2	being ended up at concrete batching plants and turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source sites and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated. The traceability of delivery will be ensured via the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforcement by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be explored. Construction and Demolition (C&D) Material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse	Good site practice to minimize waste generation and recycle C&D materials as far as	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	√
		excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; Carry out on-site sorting; Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible;	practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal				

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S11.5.1	WM3	 Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; Implement an enhanced Waste management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and minimize waste generation during the course of construction. Disposal of the C&D materials to any sensitive locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project Proponent and get his approval before implementation C&D Waste Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchase of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage. The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. 	Good site practice to minimize waste generation and recycle C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage.					
S11.5.1	WM4	 General Refuse General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible. Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme 	odour, pest and litter impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S11.5.1	WM7	 should be considered by the Contractor. Chemical Waste Chemical Waste as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, that is produced should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed. They should have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specification has been approved by the EPD. A label in English and Chinese should be displayed in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides. It should also have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest. It should have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. 		Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref*	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the implementation of measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		Disposal of chemical waste should be via a					
		licensed waste collector; to a facility					
		licensed to receive chemical waste, such as					
		the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre					
		(which also offers a chemical waste					
		collection service and can supply the					
		necessary storage containers); or to a					
		reuser of the waste, under the approval					
		from the EPD.					

Annex I

Regular Noise Monitoring Results

Regular Noise Monitoring Results Annex I

Station NM	S-CA-6 No.	16-23 Nam Kok Road
------------	------------	--------------------

Date	Start Time	End Time	Weather	Measured Noise level (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Baseline (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Corrected LAeq(dBA) ^(a)	Major Construction Noise Source(s) Observed	Other Noise Source(s) Observed	Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Model / ID	Calibrator Model /
04-Jan-17	11:05	11:35	Cloudy	62.0	76.1	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
10-Jan-17	11:10	11:40	Cloudy	62.2	76.1	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
16-Jan-17	11:00	11:30	Cloudy	62.6	76.1	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
27-Jan-17	11:10	11:40	Sunny	61.6	76.1	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142

Station	NMS-CA-7		Skytower To	ower 2								
Date	Start Time	End Time	Weather	Measured Noise level (dB(A)), L _{Aed} (30 min)	Baseline (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Corrected LAeg(dBA) ^(a)	Major Construction Noise Source(s) Observed	Other Noise Source(s) Observed	Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Noise Meter Model / ID	Calibrator Model /
					,	(b)	Courte(3) Observed	\ /	1011101 (0)	, ,		NC-73 10997142
04-Jan-17	10:05	10:35	Cloudy	65.6	70.0	-(D)	-	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	
10-Jan-17	10:05	10:35	Cloudy	66.9	70.0	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
16-Jan-17	10:05	10:35	Cloudy	66.0	70.0	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
27-Jan-17	10:10	10:40	Sunny	65.4	70.0	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142

Station	NMS-CA-8		SKH Good S	Shepherd Primary School								
Date	Start Time	End Time	Weather	Measured Noise level (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Baseline (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Corrected LAeg(dBA) ^(a)	Major Construction Noise Source(s) Observed	Other Noise Source(s) Observed	Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Noise Meter Model / ID	Calibrator Model /
					,	LAeq(ubA)		` '	remp. (c)	· /		
04-Jan-17	8:00	8:30	Cloudy	73.4	75.4	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
10-Jan-17	8:00	8:30	Cloudy	73.1	75.4	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
16-Jan-17	8:00	8:30	Cloudy	72.2	75.4	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
27-Jan-17	8:00	8:30	Sunny	72.9	75.4	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142


Station	NMS-CA-9		Kong Yiu Ma	ansion								
Date	Start Time	End Time	Weather	Measured Noise level (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Baseline (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Corrected LAeq(dBA) ^(a)	Major Construction Noise Source(s) Observed	Other Noise Source(s) Observed	Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Noise Meter Model / ID	Calibrator Model /
04-Jan-17	9:20	9:50	Cloudy	69.2	69.2	-(b)	Crane operation/Backhoe	Traffic noise	19	` ,	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
10-Jan-17	9:25	9:55	Cloudy	69.6	69.2	59.0	Crane operation/Backhoe	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
16-Jan-17	9:20	9:50	Cloudy	69.1	69.2	-(b)	Crane operation/Backhoe	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
27-Jan-17	9:25	9:55	Sunny	68.8	69.2	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142

Station	NMS-CA-10		Chat Ma Ma	insion								
Date	Start Time	End Time	Weather	Measured Noise level (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min) ^(c)	Baseline (dB(A)), L _{Aeq} (30 min)	Corrected LAeq(dBA) ^(a)	Major Construction Noise Source(s) Observed	Other Noise Source(s) Observed	Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Noise Meter Model / ID	Calibrator Model /
04-Jan-17	8:40	9:10	Cloudy	76.5	76.6	-(b)	Backhoe	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
10-Jan-17	8:40	9:10	Cloudy	76.1	76.6	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	19	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
16-Jan-17	8:40	9:10	Cloudy	76.3	76.6	-(b)	-	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142
27-Jan-17	8:42	9:12	Sunny	75.8	76.6	-(b)	Crane operation	Traffic noise	16	0.5	NL-18 00360030	NC-73 10997142

Remarks:

- (a) The Measured LAeq is corrected against the corresponding Baseline Level.
 (b) No correction was made as the measured noise levels were equal to or below the baseline noise levels.
 (c) The noise monitoring results carried out at NMS-CA-8 on 4, 10, 16 and 27 January 2017, and NMS-CA-10 on 4, 10, 16 and 27 January 2017 are higher than the daytime construction noise criterion. However, those results are not considered as exceedances as they are below the limit level after deducting the baseline noise level.


Regular Noise Monitoring Results at NMS-CA-6 (No. 16-23 Nam Kok Road) (LAeq, 30min) for the Past 4 Months



Kemarks:

- For those corrected noise levels that are not shown in this graph, the measured noise level s are equal to or below baseline level.


Regular Noise Monitoring Results at NMS-CA-7 (Skytower Tower 2) (LAeq, 30min) for the Past 4 Months



Remarks:

- For those corrected noise levels that are not shown in this graph, the measured noise level s are equal to or below baseline level.


Regular Noise Monitoring Results at NMS-CA-8 (SKH Good Shepherd Primary School) (LAeq, 30min) for the Past 4 Months




Remarks:

- For those corrected noise levels that are not shown in this graph, the measured noise level s are equal to or below baseline level.
- The limit level was updated from 78dB(A) to 79 dB(A) on 22 Aug 2013 as per the latest CNMP and CNMMP.
- The limit level was updated from 79dB(A) to 70dB(A)/65dB(A) (during normal/examination period) from April 2016, as the continuous noise monitoring was completed in March 2016 according to the latest CNMP


Regular Noise Monitoring Results at NMS-CA-9 (Kong Yiu Mansion) (LAeq, 30min)) for the Past 4 Months






- For those corrected noise levels that are not shown in this graph, the measured noise level s are equal to or below baseline level.

Regular Noise Monitoring Results at NMS-CA-10 (Chat Ma Mansion) (LAeq, 30min) for the Past 4 Months





Remarks:

- For those corrected noise levels that are not shown in this graph, the measured noise level s are equal to or below baseline level.

Annex J

Construction Dust Monitoring Results and Wind Data Monitoring Results

Annex J Construction Dust Monitoring Results

Station	DMS-6	Katherine Building

									Sampling					Action	Limit	Observations /		
Start		Finish		Weather	Filter Weight	(g)	Elapsed Tin	ne Reading	Time	Flow Rat	e (m³/min)		TSP Conc.	Level	Level	Remarks	Sampler	Filter
Date	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)		ID	ID
04-Jan-17	10:50	05-Jan-17	10:50	Cloudy	2.7916	2.9104	16736.30	16760.30	24.00	1.34	1.34	1.34	62	156.8	260	-	0107	8412
10-Jan-17	10:55	11-Jan-17	10:55	Cloudy	2.8148	2.9012	16760.30	16784.30	24.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	43	156.8	260	-	0107	8418
16-Jan-17	10:47	17-Jan-17	10:47	Cloudy	2.8145	2.9002	16784.30	16808.30	24.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	43	156.8	260	-	0107	8424
21-Jan-17	8:35	22-Jan-17	8:35	Sunny	2.8095	2.8940	16808.30	16832.30	24.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	43	156.8	260	-	0107	8434
27-Jan-17	10:55	28-Jan-17	10:55	Sunny	2.8244	2.9011	16832.30	16856.30	24.00	1.38	1.38	1.38	39	156.8	260	-	0107	8440
				•	•	•		•							•			

 Minimum
 39

 Average
 46

 Maximum
 62

Station DMS-7 Parc 22

									Sampling					Action	Limit	Observations /		
Start		Finish		Weather	Filter Weigh	t (g)	Elapsed T	ime Reading	Time	Flow Rat	e (m³/min)		TSP Conc.	Level	Level	Remarks	Sampler	Filter
ate	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)		ID	ID
04-Jan-17	-	05-Jan-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166.7	260	-	3574	-
10-Jan-17	-	11-Jan-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166.7	260	-	3574	-
16-Jan-17	-	17-Jan-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166.7	260	-	3574	-
21-Jan-17	-	22-Jan-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166.7	260	-	3574	-
27-Jan-17	-	28-Jan-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166.7	260	-	3574	-

Minimum Average Maximum -

24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-7 (Parc-22) was temporary suspended since 13 December 2016 due to request from the Management Office

Station	DMS-8	SKH Good	Shepherd	Primary Schoo	l													
			•						Sampling		•			Action	Limit	Observations /		
Start		Finish		Weather	Filter Weigh	t (g)	Elapsed Tii	me Reading	Time	Flow Rat	e (m³/min)		TSP Conc.	Level	Level	Remarks	Sampler	Filter
Date	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)		ID	ID
04-Jan-17	8:10	05-Jan-17	8:10	Cloudy	2.8143	2.9202	6941.11	6965.11	24.00	1.21	1.21	1.21	61	152.2	260	-	3572	8411
10-Jan-17	8:10	11-Jan-17	8:10	Cloudy	2.8017	2.9009	6965.11	6989.11	24.00	1.29	1.29	1.29	53	152.2	260	-	3572	8417
16-Jan-17	8:10	17-Jan-17	8:10	Cloudy	2.7970	2.8871	6989.11	7013.11	24.00	1.29	1.29	1.29	49	152.2	260	-	3572	8423
21-Jan-17	8:20	22-Jan-17	8:20	Sunny	2.8076	2.8864	7013.11	7037.11	24.00	1.29	1.29	1.29	42	152.2	260	-	3572	8433
27-Jan-17	8:05	28-Jan-17	8:05	Sunny	2.8323	2.9100	7037.11	7061.11	24.00	1.29	1.29	1.29	42	152.2	260	-	3572	8439
		-										Minimum	42					
												Average	49					

Station DMS-9 No. 12 Pau Chung Street

									Sampling					Action	Limit	Observations /		
Start		Finish		Weather	Filter Weight	(g)	Elapsed Tin	ne Reading	Time	Flow Rate	e (m³/min)		TSP Conc.	Level	Level	Remarks	Sampler	Filter
Date	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)		ID	ID
04-Jan-17	8:20	05-Jan-17	8:20	Cloudy	2.8157	2.9067	16905.40	16929.40	24.00	1.21	1.21	1.21	52	160.9	260	-	0814	8410
10-Jan-17	8:20	11-Jan-17	8:20	Cloudy	2.8172	2.9055	16929.40	16953.40	24.00	1.23	1.23	1.23	50	160.9	260	-	0814	8416
16-Jan-17	8:20	17-Jan-17	8:20	Cloudy	2.8032	2.8912	16953.40	16977.40	24.00	1.23	1.23	1.23	50	160.9	260	-	0814	8422
21-Jan-17	8:10	22-Jan-17	8:10	Sunny	2.8160	2.9001	16977.40	17001.40	24.00	1.23	1.23	1.23	47	160.9	260	-	0814	8432
27-Jan-17	8:15	28-Jan-17	8:15	Sunny	2.8249	2.9015	17001.40	17025.40	24.00	1.23	1.23	1.23	43	160.9	260	-	0814	8438
		•	•		•			•		-		1						

 Minimum
 43

 Average
 48

 Maximum
 52

61

Maximum


Station	DMS-10	Chat Ma Ma	ansion															
									Sampling					Action	Limit	Observations /		
Start		Finish		Weather	Filter Weight	(g)	Elapsed Tir	me Reading	Time	Flow Rat	e (m³/min)		TSP Conc.	Level	Level	Remarks	Sampler	Filter
Date	Time	Date	Time		Initial	Final	Initial	Final	(hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)		ID	ID
04-Jan-17	8:43	05-Jan-17	8:43	Cloudy	2.7983	2.9125	7357.20	7381.20	24.00	1.23	1.23	1.23	64	170.4	260	-	3573	8409
10-Jan-17	8:48	11-Jan-17	8:48	Cloudy	2.8105	2.9100	7381.20	7405.20	24.00	1.22	1.22	1.22	57	170.4	260	-	3573	8415
16-Jan-17	8:45	17-Jan-17	8:45	Cloudy	2.8199	2.9005	7405.20	7429.20	24.00	1.22	1.22	1.22	46	170.4	260	-	3573	8421
21-Jan-17	8:00	22-Jan-17	8:00	Sunny	2.8260	2.9000	7429.20	7453.20	24.00	1.22	1.22	1.22	42	170.4	260	-	3573	8431
27-Jan-17	8:45	28-Jan-17	8:45	Sunny	2.8097	2.8815	7453.20	7477.20	24.00	1.22	1.22	1.23	41	170.4	260	_	3573	8437


 Minimum
 41

 Average
 50


 Maximum
 64

Construction Dust Monitoring Results for the Past 4 Months DMS-6 (Katherine Building)






Construction Dust Monitoring Results for the Past 4 Months DMS- 7 (Parc 22)




Remark:

- 24-hour averaged dust monitoring at DMS-7 (Parc-22) was temporary suspended since 13 December 2016 due to request from the Management Office.


Construction Dust Monitoring Results for the Past 4 Months DMS-8 (SKH Good Shepherd Primary School)



Construction Dust Monitoring Results for the Past 4 Months DMS-9 (No.12 Pau Chung Street)



Construction Dust Monitoring Results for the Past 4 Months DMS-10 (Chat Ma Mansion)



Average wind speed obtained from the meteorological station at Kai Tak from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

4-5 January 2017



Wind Speed:




10-11 January 2017

Wind Speed:




Wind Speed:



16-17 January 2017

Wind Speed:



Wind Speed:




21-22 January 2017

Wind Speed:




Wind Speed:



27-28 January 2017

Wind Speed:






Average wind direction obtained from the meteorological station at Kai Tak from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

4-5 January 2017


Wind Direction:






10-11 January 2017

Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:



16-17 January 2017



Wind Direction:




21-22 January 2017

Wind Direction:



Wind Direction:



27-28 January 2017





Annex K – Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for the year 2012-2014

	Actu	al Quantities of In	ert C&D Material	s Generated Mont	hly			Actual Quantities of No	n-inert C&D Was	stes Generated Mo	nthly	
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Inert C&D Materials Delivered to 1108A Kai Tai Barging Facilities (See	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse	Imported Fill
		(See Note 3)			(See Note 5)	Note 6)			(See Note 2)	(See Note 10)	(See Note 5)	
	(in '000m3)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m3)
Sep 2012	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	-	0.000	0.000	5.300	0.000	0.144	0.000
Oct 2012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	12.800	0.242	0.013	0.000	0.514	0.000
Nov 2012	0.624	0.000	0.605	0.000	0.019	-	0.000	0.154	0.002	0.000	0.172	6.804
Dec 2012	16.844	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	16.839	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.057	0.000
Sub-total	17.472	0.000	0.605	0.000	0.028	16.839	12.800	0.396	5.315	0.000	0.887	6.804
Jan 2013	19.828	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	19.822	0.000	0.036 (See Note 7)	0.416	0.000	0.081 (See Note 8)	0.000
Feb 2013	8.372	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	8.366	0.000	0.036	0.443	0.000	0.021	0.000
Mar 2013	14.673	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	14.673	0.000	0.036	0.463	0.000	0.064 (See Note 9)	0.000
Apr 2013	13.557	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.025	13.533	0.000	0.036	0.148	0.000	0.086	0.000
May 2013	9.969	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.969	0.000	0.000	0.481	0.000	0.065	0.000
Jun 2013	5.538	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.538	0.000	0.045	0.784	0.32 (See Note 11)	0.065	0.000
Jul 2013	6.116	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.116	0.000	0.063	0.868	0.400	0.058	0.000
Aug 2013	11.537	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.537	0.000	0.068	0.464	0.000	0.071	0.000
Sep 2013	4.641	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.641	0.000	0.027	0.522	0.000	0.110	0.000
Oct 2013	9.708	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.708	0.000	0.036	0.348	0.000	0.086	0.000
Nov 2013	7.199	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.199	0.000	0.068	0.506	0.000	0.678	0.000
Dec 2013	6.973	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.973	0.000	0.090	0.383	0.000	1.344	0.000
Sub-total	118.111	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.036	118.075	0.000	0.541	5.826	0.720	2.729	0.000
Jan 2014	11.870	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.870	0.000	0.121	0.270	0.400	0.100	0.000
Feb 2014	15.316	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	15.316	0.000	0.067	0.396	0.000	0.095	0.000
Mar 2014	18.734	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	18.734	0.000	0.067	0.320	0.200	0.107	0.000
Apr 2014	23.539	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	23.539	0.000	0.000	0.344	0.415	0.064	0.000
May 2014	11.327	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.327	0.000	0.000	0.371	0.000	0.130	0.000
Jun 2014	10.440	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.440	0.000	0.090	0.332	0.000	0.164	0.000
Jul 2014	2.103	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.103	0.000	0.099	0.544	0.200	0.131	0.000
Aug 2014	1.446	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.446	0.000	0.189	0.584	0.000	0.129	0.000
Sep 2014	1.980	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.980	0.000	0.225	0.284	0.000	0.099	0.000
Oct 2014	16.902	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	16.902	0.000	0.050	0.492	1.120	0.109	0.000
Nov 2014	27.687	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	27.687	0.000	0.140	0.352	0.000	0.083	0.000
Dec 2014	44.771	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	44.771	0.000	0.090	0.284	0.400	0.103	0.000
Sub-total	186.115	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	186.115	0.000	1.048	4.573	2.335	1.314	0.000

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete (See Note 3)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (See Note 5)	Inert C&D Materials Delivered to 1108A Kai Tai Barging Facilities (See Note 6)	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (See Note 2)	Chemical Waste (See Note 10)	Others, e.g. general refuse (See Note 5)	Imported Fill
	(in '000m³)	(in '000m³)	(in '000m³)	(in '000m³)	(in '000m³)	(in '000m³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m3)
Jan 2015	64.165	0.000	0.000	0.266	0.000	63.899	0.000	0.077	0.328	0.180	0.150	0.000
Feb 2015	46.884	0.000	0.000	2.599	0.000	44.285	0.000	0.090	3.102	0.000	0.106	0.000
Mar 2015	41.498	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	41.498	0.000	0.072	2.321	0.600	0.126	0.000
Apr 2015	13.049	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.049	0.000	0.081	1.598	0.000	0.119	0.000
May 2015	54.559	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	54.559	0.000	0.063	0.548	0.000	0.099	0.000
Jun 2015	48.857	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	48.857	0.000	0.041	0.880	0.000	0.144	0.000
Jul 2015	34.471	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	34.471	0.000	0.090	4.972	0.720	0.218	0.000
Aug 2015	28.330	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	28.330	0.000	0.077	1.027	1.240	0.244	0.000
Sep 2015	25.376	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	25.376	0.000	0.068	0.845	2.080	0.224	0.000
Oct 2015	45.061	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	45.061	0.000	0.072	0.743	0.000	0.336	0.000
Nov 2015	45.607	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	45.607	0.000	0.085	4.719	1.760	0.344	0.000
Dec 2015	43.527	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	43.527	0.000	0.090	0.669	0.048	0.286	0.000
Sub-total	491.384	0.000	0.000	2.865	0.000	488.519	0.000	0.906	21.752	6.628	2.396	0.000
Jan 2016	28.064	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	28.064	0.000	0.855	0.494	0.000	0.276	0.000
Feb 2016	4.768	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.768	0.000	0.230	0.327	0.000	0.280	0.000
Mar 2016	13.662	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.662	0.000	0.000	0.316	0.000	0.232	0.000
Apr 2016	21.282	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	21.282	0.000	0.167	0.674	4.000	0.378	0.000
May 2016	28.466	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	28.466	0.000	0.072	0.580	0.000	0.315	0.000
Jun 2016	29.018	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	29.018	0.000	0.045	1.480	3.360	0.292	0.000
Jul 2016	3.727	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.727	0.000	0.045	0.860	0.000	0.347	0.000
Aug 2016	0.197	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.197	0.000	0.140	1.648	0.000	0.382	0.000
Sep 2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.122	0.680	0.000	0.443	0.000
Oct 2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.144	0.575	0.000	0.435	0.000
Nov 2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.133	0.900	9.600	0.589	0.000
Dec 2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.562	0.000	0.696	0.000
Sub-total	129.184	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	129.184	0.000	2.016	9.096	16.960	4.665	0.000
Jan 2017	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.126	0.276	0.000	0.769	0.000
Sub-total	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.126	0.276	0.000	0.769	0.000
Total	942.267	0.000	0.605	2.865	0.064	938.732	12.800	5.033	46.838	26.643	12.760	6.804

Notes:

- -1 The performance targets are given below:
 - All excavated materials to be sorted for recovering the inert portion of C&D materials, e.g. hard rocks, soil and broken concrete, for reuse on the Site or disposal to designated outlets;
 - All metallic waste to be recovered for collection by recycling contractors;
 - All cardboard and paper packaging (for plant, equipment and materials) to be recovered, properly stockpiled in dry and covered condition to prevent cross contamination;
 - All chemical wastes to be collected and properly disposed of by specialist contractors; and
 - All demolition debris to be stored to recover broken concrete, reinforcement bars, mechanical and electrical fittings, hardware as well as other fitting / materials that have established recycling outlets.
 - Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
- The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- Density Assumption: 1.6(kg/l) for Public Fill and 0.9(kg/l) for General Refuse
- -6 Inert C&D Material was delivered to contract 1108A from 10-Dec-2012.
- The quantity of paper/ cardboard packaging generated in January 2013 was updated by the Contractor in March 2013.
- 8 The quantity of general refuse generated in January 2013 was updated by the Contractor in March 2013.
- -9 The quantity of general refuse generated in March 2013 was updated by the Contractor in April 2013.
- -10 Chemical waste includes waste oil. It is assumed density of waste oil to be 0.8 kg/L.
- -11 The quantity of chemical waste generated in June 2013 was updated by the Contractor in August 2013.

Annex L

(Not Used)

Annex M

Environmental Complaint, Environmental Summon and Prosecution Log

Annex M Environmental Complaint, Environmental Summon and Prosecution Log

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints in Reporting Month	Number of Summons/Prosecutions in Reporting Month
September 2012	0	0
October 2012	0	0
November 2012	0	0
December 2012	0	0
January 2013	0	0
February 2013	0	0
March 2013	0	0
April 2013	0	0
May 2013	0	0
June 2013	0	0
July 2013	0	0
August 2013	0	0
September 2013	0	0
October 2013	0	0
November 2013	0	0
December 2013	0	0
January 2014	0	0
February 2014	0	0
March 2014	0	0
April 2014	0	0
May 2014	0	0
June 2014	0	0

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints in Reporting Month	Number of Summons/Prosecutions in Reporting Month
July 2014	0	0
August 2014	0	0
September 2014	1	0
October 2014	0	0
November 2014	0	0
December 2014	0	0
January 2015	3	0
February 2015	0	0
March 2015	0	0
April 2015	3	0
May 2015	2	0
June 2015	7	0
July 2015	0	0
August 2015	1	0
September 2015	2	0
October 2015	2	0
November 2015	0	0
December 2015	0	0
January 2016	2	0
February 2016	0	0
March 2016	1	0
April 2016	2	0
May 2016	1	0
June 2016	2	0

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints in Reporting Month	Number of Summons/Prosecutions in Reporting Month
July 2016	0	0
August 2016	0	0
September 2016	0	0
October 2016	1	0
November 2016	0	0
December 2016	2	0
January 2017	0	0
Overall Total	32	0

Appendix B

49th EM&A Report for Works Contract 1111 – Hung Hom North Approach Tunnel



Gammon-Kaden SCL 1111 Joint Venture

Shatin to Central Link -Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section and Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section

Works Contract 1111 - Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels

Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017

[February 2017]

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Ray Chow	TO TO
Reviewed, Approved & Certified:	Y T Tang (Contractor's Environmental Team Leader)	Contain

Version: 0	Date: 13 February 2017

Disclaimer

This Monthly EM&A Report is prepared for Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 JV and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to SCL1111 and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 JV without our prior written consent. No person (other than Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 JV) into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this report without our express written consent and Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 JV may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

15/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com

Table of Contents

			Page
EXE	CUTIVE	SUMMARY4	1
1	INTR	ODUCTION	3
	1.1 1.2	Purpose of the ReportReport Structure	
2	PRO	JECT INFORMATION	4
	2.1	Background	
	2.2 2.3	Site Description	
	2.3	Project Organisation	
	2.5	Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
3	ENVI	RONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	9
	3.1	Construction Dust Monitoring	
	3.2	Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	
	3.3 3.4	Continuous noise monitoringLandscape and Visual	
4	IMPL	EMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	15
5	MON	ITORING RESULTS	15
	5.1	Construction Dust Monitoring	15
	5.2	Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	
	5.3 5.4	Continuous Noise Monitoring	
	5.4 5.5	Waste ManagementLandscape and Visual	
6		RONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	
U	ENVI	RONNIENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND ADDIT	17
7	ENVI	RONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	18
	7.1	Summary of Monitoring Exceedances	18
	7.2	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	
	7.3 7.4	Summary of Environmental Complaints	
	7.4	Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions	10
8	FUTU	JRE KEY ISSUES	19
	8.1	Construction Programme for the Project	
	8.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	
	8.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Next Two Month	
9	CON	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	20
	9.1	Conclusions	_
	9.2	Recommendations	20

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits
Table 3.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 3.3	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.5	Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring
Table 3.6	Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.7	Summary of Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Location
Table 3.8	Noise Monitoring Equipment for Continuous Noise Monitoring
Table 3.9	Summary of Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan
Table 4.1	Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit
Table 5.1	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 5.2	Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 6.1	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	General Layout Plan
Figure 2.1	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
Figure 3.1	Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations

List of Appendices

Construction Programme
Project Organisation Structure
Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures
Summary of Action and Limit Levels
Calibration Certificates of Equipment
EM&A Monitoring Schedules
Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
Event and Action Plan
Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful
Prosecutions
Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. ii February 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1111 – Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels (hereafter called "the Project") covers part of the construction of the Shatin to Central Link (SCL) which aimed to convey a total of 17km extension of the existing Ma On Shan Line (MOL) through east Kowloon to West Rail Line and also East Rail Line (EAL) through Hung Hom across the harbour to Admiralty Station (ADM). The Project covers construction activities at Mong Kok Freight Terminal and part of the construction activities located at Hung Hom Area for SCL (TAW-HUH), SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS).

The EM&A programme commenced in January 2013. The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality and noise monitoring.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in the period between 1 and 31 January 2017. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period were:

Location	Site Activities		
Ho Man Tin	Manhole construction, pipe laying, concreting works, EVA construction		
NSL (North) + HHBP	Parapet modification works, ELS & decking removal work, concreting works, form work erection, reinforcement fixing, backfill, road & drainage construction, steel mesh enclosure erection, excavation, pipe laying		
OB2	ELS for soil replacement, pipe bridge erection, TB1 dismantling and watermain diversion		
OB2A	Soil replacement, OB2A bridge reinstatement		
NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path	Backfilling, drainage work, Scaffolding platform erection, dismantling of scaffolding, construction of noise enclosure, pre-split, lifting works, temporary working platform removal, ELS removal, tunnel works, rock breaking, rock cutting		
EWL 7 - 9	Reinstatement, road diversion, backfilling, steel deck dismantling		

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

Regular Noise Monitoring

No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint during 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays was received in the reporting month.

No exceedance of Limit Level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Continuous Noise Monitoring

As the construction works identified by the Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) to be potentially causing exceedance of noise criteria have been completed, no continuous noise monitoring was carried out during this reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No environmental complaint and no notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 1 February 2017

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the coming month included:

Location	Site Activities	
Ho Man Tin	Manhole construction, pipe laying, concreting works, EVA construction	
NSL (North) + HHBP	Parapet modification works, ELS & decking removal work, concreting works, form work erection, reinforcement fixing, backfill, road & drainage construction, steel mesh enclosure erection, excavation, pipe laying	
OB2	ELS for soil replacement, pipe bridge erection, TB1 dismantling and watermain diversion	
OB2A	Soil replacement, OB2A bridge reinstatement	
NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path	Backfilling, drainage work, Scaffolding platform erection, dismantling of scaffolding, construction of noise enclosure, pre-split, lifting works, temporary working platform removal, ELS removal, tunnel works, rock breaking, rock cutting	
EWL 7 - 9	Reinstatement, road diversion, backfilling, steel deck dismantling	

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise and waste management.

1 INTRODUCTION

Gammon-Kaden SCL1111 Joint Venture (GKSCLJV) was commissioned by MTR as the Civil Contractor for Works Contract 1111. AECOM Asia Company Limited (AECOM) was appointed by GKSCLJV as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Project.

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.1.1 This is the forty-nineth monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the reporting period from 1 to 31 January 2017.

1.2 Report Structure

- 1.1.2 This monthly EM&A Report is orgainised as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction
 - Section 2: Project Information
 - Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement
 - Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
 - Section 5: Monitoring Results
 - Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection
 - Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
 - Section 8: Future Key Issues
 - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendation

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 The Shatin to Central Link (SCL) is a 17km extension of the existing Ma On Shan Line (MOL) and East Rail Line (EAL) comprising (i) The East-West Corridor which extends the MOL from Tai Wai via East Kowloon to connect with the West Rail Line (WRL) at Hung Hom Station (HUH); and (ii) The North-South Corridor which is an extension of the East Rail Line (EAL) at Hung Hom across the harbour to Admiralty Station (ADM).
- 2.1.2 The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Reports for SCL Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section [SCL (TAW-HUH)] (Register No.: AEIAR-167/2012), SCL Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section [SCL (MKK-HUH)] (Register No.: AEIAR-165/2012) and SCL Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard [SCL (HHS)] (Register No.: AEIAR-164/2012) were approved on 17 February 2012 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). Following the approval of the EIA Reports, two Environmental Permits (EPs) were granted on 22 March 2012, one covers SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS)(EP No: EP-438/2012) and the other covers SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS) (EP No.: EP-437/2012), for their construction and operation. Variations of environmental permit (VEP) was subsequently applied for EP-438/2012 and the latest Environmental Permit (EP No: EP-438/2012/K) was issued by Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 4 October 2016.
- 2.1.3 The construction of the SCL is divided into different civil construction works contracts and Works Contract 1111 Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels (hereafter referred to as "the Project") covers construction activities at Mong Kok Freight Terminal and part of the construction activities located at Hung Hom under the two EPs.

2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The major construction activities under Works Contract 1111 include:
 - SCL (MKK-HUH) (i) Construction of an realigned and modified railway from Portal 1A near Oi Man Estate to Hung Hom Station; (ii) Construction of Noise Enclosure at Portal 1A; (iii) modification works on the existing Homantin Siding; and (iv) new EVA near Hung Hom Station.
 - SCL (TAW-HUH) Part of the railway tunnel from Ho Man Tin Station to Hung Hom.
 - SCL (HHS) Construction of tracks and noise barrier of Hung Hom Stabling Sidings.
- 2.2.2 **Figure 1.1** shows the works areas for the Works Contract 1111.

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

2.3.1 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarised below:-

Location	Site Activities	
Ho Man Tin	Manhole construction, pipe laying, concreting works, EVA construction	
NSL (North) + HHBP	Parapet modification works, ELS & decking removal work, concreting works, form work erection, reinforcement fixing, backfill, road & drainage construction, steel mesh enclosure erection, excavation, pipe laying	
OB2	ELS for soil replacement, pipe bridge erection, TB1 dismantling and watermain diversion	
OB2A	Soil replacement, OB2A bridge reinstatement	
NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path	Backfilling, drainage work, Scaffolding platform erection, dismantling of scaffolding, construction of noise enclosure, pre-split, lifting works, temporary working platform removal, ELS removal, tunnel works, rock breaking, rock cutting	
EWL 7 - 9	Reinstatement, road diversion, backfilling, steel deck dismantling	

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 4 February 2017

2.3.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

2.4 Project Organisation

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarised in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
	Residential	Construction Manager	Mr. Michael Fu	3127 6201	3124 6422
MTR	Engineer (ER)	SCL Project Environmental Team Leader	Ms. Felice Wong	2688 1283	2993 7577
Meinhardt	Independent Environmental Checker	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Fredrick Leong	2859 1739	2540 1580
		Project Manager	Mr. Alan Yan	9855 0361	
GKSCKJV	GKSCKJV Contractor Environm		Ms. Michelle Tang	3904 9663	3904 9630
AECOM	Contractor's Environmental Team (ET)	ET Leader	Mr. Y T Tang	3922 9393	2317 7609

2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.5.1 Relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits

Permit / License No. / Notification/	Valid I	Period	Status	Remarks
Reference No.			-	
Environmental Permit				
EP-437/2012	22 Mar 2012	-	Valid	-
EP-438/2012/K	4 Oct 2016	-	Valid	-
Construction Noise Pe	rmit			
GW-RE0591-16	13 Jul 2016	12 Jan 2017	Valid until 12 Jan 2017	CNP for Dewatering System at EWL 7
GW-RE0671-16	6 Jul 2016	5 Jan 2017	Valid until 5 Jan 2017	CNP for General Works at EWL 9
GW-RE0673-16	8 Aug 2016	7 Feb 2017	Valid	CNP for General Works for steel decking at EWL8
GW-RE0647-16	2 Aug 2016	1 Feb 2017	Valid	CNP for General Works at NSL 7-8
GW-RE0662-16	19 Jul 2016	18 Jan 2017	Valid until 18 Jan 2017	CNP for Dewatering and welding at HMT (for Towngas pipe to NSL6)
GW-RE0664-16	27 Jul 2016	26 Jan 2017	Valid until 26 Jan 2017	CNP for Dewatering and welding at NSL6
GW-RE0661-16	28 Jul 2016	27 Jan 2017	Valid until superseded by GW-RE0055-17 on 27 Jan 2017	CNP for General and Reprovisioning Works at Hung Hom Station
GW-RE0674-16	12 Aug 2016	11 Feb 2017	Valid	CNP for General Work at Oi Sen Path and Ho Man Tin Siding
GW-RE0730-16	11 Sep 2016	9 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for Dewatering and welding at HMT (for power cable duct to NSL9)
GW-RE0734-16	15 Sep 2016	14 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for General Work at NSL 3 - 6
GW-RE1027-16	10 Nov 2016	9 Jan 2017	Valid until 9 Jan 2017	CNP for Noise Enclosure and Steel Platform Erection Work at Oi Sen Path
GW-RE1084-16	8 Nov 2016	7 Feb 2017	Valid	CNP for Hoarding Erection at NSL 3 - 6
GW-RE1074-16	8 Nov 2016	7 Feb 2017	Valid	CNP for 6m Hoarding and Scaffolding Platform Modification Works at NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path
GW-RE1145-16	20 Dec 2016	19 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for Scaffolding and 2.4m Hoarding Modification Works at Ho Man Tin and Oi Sen Path
GW-RE1149-16	21 Dec 2016	20 Jun 2017	Valid	CNP for General Works at NSL 9
GW-RE1151-16	10 Dec 2016	9 Feb 2017	Valid until superseded by GW-RE1250-16 on 11 Jan 2017	CNP for Parapet Modification Work at Hung Hom Bypass
GW-RE1152-16	2 Dec 2016	25 May 2017	Valid	CNP for Foul Water Diversion Works at EWL7
GW-RE1185-16	16 Dec 2016	16 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for OB1 & OB2 Maintenance Work at Chatham Rd North

Permit / License No. / Notification/	Valid I	Period	Status	Remarks
Reference No.	From	То		
GW-RE1250-16	11 Jan 2017	10 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for Parapet Modification Work at Hung Hom Bypass
GW-RE0004-17	12 Jan 2017	11 Jul 2017	Valid	CNP for Dewatering System at EWL 7
GW-RE0014-17	10 Jan 2017	4 Jul 2017	Valid	CNP for General Works at EWL 9
GW-RE0015-17	12 Jan 2017	11 Mar 2017	Valid	CNP for Noise Enclosure and Steel Platform Erection Work at Oi Sen Path
GW-RE0048-17	27 Jan 2017	26 Jul 2017	Valid	CNP for Dewatering and welding at NSL6
GW-RE0055-17	27 Jan 2017	26 Jul 2017	Valid	CNP for General and Reprovisioning Works at Hung Hom Station
Wastewater Discharge	License			
WT00015644-2013	16 Apr 2013	30 Apr 2018	Valid	For MTR Ho Man Tin Sidings
WT00016090-2013	14 Jun 2013	30 Jun 2018	Valid	For alongside On Wan Road, MTR Hung Hom Station
WT00016108-2013	14 Jun 2013	30 Jun 2018	Valid	For Hong Chong Park and Slip road from Chatham Road North and underneath
WT00016435-2013	23 Jul 2013	31 Jul 2018	Valid	For Hong Chong Slip Rd and Slip Rd at Princess Margaret Road Link & Chatham Road North
WT00018688-2014	14 Apr 2014	30 Apr 2019	Valid	For inside Hung Hom Freight Terminal at Cheong Tung Road
WT00019068-2014	25 Jun 2014	30 Jun 2019	Valid	For Oi Sen Path
WT00019895-2014	24 Sep 2014	30 Sep 2019	Valid	For near Hong Chong Road, Hung Hom at MTRC Ho Man Tin Sidings
WT00020525-2014	30 Dec 2014	31 Dec 2019	Valid	For Chatham Road North
WT00020727-2015	6 Feb 2015	28 Feb 2020	Valid	For Chatham Road North above the railway
WT00020759-2015	15 May 2013	31 May 2018	Valid	For near Chatham Road North
WT00022080-2015	13 Aug 2015	31 Aug 2020	Valid	For near Chatham Road North, EWL 9
WT00022793-2015	23 Nov 2015	31 Jul 2018	Valid	For Winslow Street Slope (near Wa Fung Street)
WT00022802-2015	23 Nov 2015	28 Feb 2018	Valid	For near Winslow Street
Chemical Waste Produ	cer Registration			
5213-641-G2618-01	22 Mar 2013	End of Project	Valid	For Winslow Street Playground Works
5213-641-G2618-03	8 Apr 2013	End of Project	Valid	For Hung Hom Station Works
5213-213-G2618-06	16 Apr 2013	End of Project	Valid	For Ho Man Tin Sidings Works
5213-236-G2618-10	14 Jun 2013	End of Project	Valid	For Chatham Road North - Hong Chong Road Works
5213-236-G2618-11	27 May 2013	End of Project	Valid	For Chatham Road North-NSL8 & EWL8 Works
5213-213-G2618-12	14 Apr 2014	End of Project	Valid	For Hung Hom Freight Terminal - NSL 3-5 Works
5213-236-G2618-14	8 May 2014	End of Project	Valid	For Oi Sen Path Works
5213-236-G2618-15	9 Feb 2015	End of Project	Valid	For NSL7 & EWL7 Works
5213-236-G2618-16 Billing Account for Con	3 Aug 2015	End of Project	Valid	For EWL9 Works

Permit / License No. / Notification/	Valid F	Valid Period		Remarks
Reference No.	From	То		
7016658	24 Jan 2013	End of Project	Account Active	
Notification Under Air I	Pollution Control	(Construction D	ust) Regulation	
353991	02 Jan 2013	18 Apr 2018	Notified	
Clinical Waste Producer Premises Code				
PC01/RE/00362644	30 Jan 2014	End of Project	Valid	For Hung Hom Freight Yard Works

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals, 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) level at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 24-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Monitoring Equipment

3.1.2 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at each designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP)	Andersen Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. GS 2310 (S/N:8259))
Calibration Kit	TISCH Environmental Orifice (Model TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 0988))

Monitoring Locations

3.1.3 One monitoring station was set up at the proposed location in accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals for SCL (TAW-HUH), SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS) as well as the works areas of the Project. The location of the construction dust monitoring station is summarised in **Table 3.2** and shown in **Figure 2.1**.

Table 3.2 Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Stations

ID	Location	Monitoring Station
AM1	No. 234 – 238 Chatham	Roof top of the premises facing Chatham Road
AIVIT	Road North	North

Note:

Monitoring Methodology

3.1.4 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS as far as practicable:-
 - A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
 - (ii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
 - (iii) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
 - (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
 - (v) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
 - (vi) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - (vii) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring stations.
 - (viii) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the samplers.
 - (ix) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 9 February 2017

⁽¹⁾ Permission of access could not be obtained from Wing Fung Building (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manuals) and hence the monitoring location was relocated to No. 234-248 Chatham Road North. The alternative monitoring location has been approved by IEC and EPD.

- (x) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- (xi) Flow control accuracy was kept within ±2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period.

(b) Preparation of Filter Papers

- (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

(c) Field Monitoring

- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
- (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- (xv) It was then placed in a clean envelope and sealed.
- (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

(d) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- (ii) HVSs were calibrated using TE-5025A Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVSs are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.1.5 The schedule for environmental monitoring in January 2017 is provided in **Appendix F**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 10 February 2017

3.2 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manuals, impact noise monitoring should be conducted for at least once a week during the construction phase of the Project. **Table 3.4** summarises the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter and Duration	Frequency
30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L10 and L90 would be recorded.	At least once per week

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.2 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.5**.

Table 3.5 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	B&K (Model No. 2238 (S/N: 2800927), B&K (Model No. 2250-L (S/N: 2681366), B&K (Model No. 2270 (S/N: 2644597),
Acoustic Calibrator	B&K (Model No. 4231 (S/N: 3006428))

Monitoring Locations

3.2.3 Two monitoring stations were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals for SCL (TAW-HUH), SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS) as well as the works areas of the Project. Locations of the noise monitoring stations are summarised in **Table 3.6** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.6 Locations of Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Stations

ID	Location	Monitoring Station	Type of Measurement
NM1	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Oi Sen Path	Façade
NM2	No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North ⁽¹⁾	Free-field on the rooftop of the premise	Free Field

Note:

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 11 February 2017

⁽¹⁾ Permission of access could not be obtained from Wing Fung Building (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manuals) and hence the monitoring location was relocated to No. 234-248 Chatham Road North. The alternative monitoring location has been approved by IEC and EPD.

Monitoring Methodology

3.2.4 Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground for free-field measurements at NM2. A correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.
- (b) Façade measurements were made at NM1.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - (i) frequency weighting: A
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast
 - (iii) time measurement: L_{eq(30-minutes)} during non-restricted hours i.e. 0700 1900 on normal weekdays.
- (e) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94 dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (f) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (g) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (h) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

3.2.5 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.2.6 The schedule for environmental monitoring in January 2017 is provided in **Appendix F**.

3.3 Continuous noise monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.3.1 According to EP conditions under EP-437/2012 (Condition 2.8) and EP-438/2012/K (Condition 2.10), continuous noise monitoring should be conducted at the NSRs as identified by the Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) to have residual air-borne noise impacts. A CNMMP and Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) were submitted to EPD on 20 January 2014.

Monitoring Locations

3.3.2 With reference to the CNMP, continuous noise monitoring should be conducted during period at which the predicted airborne construction noise levels exceed the relevant noise criteria at the respective NSRs. The proposed continuous noise monitoring locations are presented in **Table 3.7** and shown in **Figure 2.1**.

Table 3.7 Summary of Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Location

NSR ID	NSR Description	Uses	Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Location	Alternative Noise Monitoring Location
OM4a	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	Educational	NM1	-
HH2	Wing Fung Building	Residential	NM2	No. 234-238 Chatham Road North ⁽¹⁾

Note:

Monitoring Equipment

3.3.3 Continuous noise monitoring will be performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator will be deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.8.**

Table 3.8 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Continuous Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	B&K (Model No. 2238)
Acoustic Calibrator	Rion (Model No. NC-74)

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.3.4 Continuous noise level will be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level for 30 minutes (L_{eq}, 30 min) for time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal working hours (i.e. Mondays to Saturdays) during the construction period that the predicted noise levels exceed the relevant noise criteria at the identified NSRs. The recommended measurement period for the continuous noise monitoring programme in the CNMP is summarised in **Table 3.9**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 13 February 2017

⁽¹⁾ Permission of access could not be obtained from Wing Fung Building (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manuals) and hence the monitoring location was relocated to No. 234-248 Chatham Road North. The alternative monitoring location is considered as an appropriate alternative noise monitoring station in the CNMP.

Monitoring Methodology

3.3.5 Immediately prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter will be checked using an acoustic calibrator, which generated a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The accuracy of the sound level meter will also be checked on an annual-basis. Measurement will be accepted as valid only if the calibration level before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0dB. Noise measurement will be made in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices in relation to weather conditions.

Event and Action Plan

3.3.6 Summary of the proposed continuous noise monitoring programme are presented in **Table 3.9**. The Event and Action Plan for the continuous noise monitoring programme recommended in the CNMP is presented in **Appendix I**.

Table 3.9 Summary of Proposed Continuous Noise Monitoring Programme

Monitoring Location	NSR Description	Action/Limit Level, dB(A)	Measurement Period
NM1	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	68 ⁽¹⁾	Feb and Jun 2014, Jan and Feb 2015 ⁽³⁾ Mar 2015 ⁽⁴⁾
NM2	No. 234-238 Chatham Road North ⁽²⁾	77	Sep to Dec of 2014 Jan / Mar to May 2015

Note:

- (1) Action/Limit level will only be applicable during the examination period.
- (2) Permission of access could not be obtained from Wing Fung Building (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manuals) and hence the monitoring location was relocated to No. 234-248 Chatham Road North. The alternative monitoring location is considered as an appropriate alternative noise monitoring station in the CNMP.
- (3) Based on 2014-2015 Calendar of Carmel Secondary School, the examination periods are scheduled in January and February 2015. The continuous noise monitoring was conducted in January and February 2015.
- (4) Additional continuous noise monitoring was conducted in March 2015 according to the latest 2014-2015 Calendar of Carmel Secondary School.

3.4 Landscape and Visual

3.4.1 As per the EM&A Manuals, the landscape and visual mitigation measures should be implemented and site inspections should be undertaken once every two weeks during the construction period. A summary of the implementation status is presented in **Section 6.**

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EPs and EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix C**. Status of required submissions under the EPs during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4 (EP-437/2012) & Condition 3.4 (EP-438/2012/K)	Monthly EM&A Report for December 2016	13 January 2017

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

5.1.1 The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 5.1**. Detailed air quality monitoring results and wind monitoring data extracted from the nearest Automatic Weather Station are presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.1 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
AM1	58.6	47.5 – 82.7	183.9	260

- 5.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location in the reporting month.
- 5.1.3 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.1.4 Major dust sources during the monitoring included construction dust from the Project site and other nearby construction sites and also nearby traffic emission.

5.2 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

5.2.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 5.2** and the monitoring data is provided in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.2 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

ID	Range, dB(A),	Limit Level, dB(A),
	L _{eq (30 mins)}	L _{eq (30 mins)}
NM 1 ⁽²⁾	<baseline< th=""><th>70 (65)⁽¹⁾</th></baseline<>	70 (65) ⁽¹⁾
NM 2 ⁽²⁾	<baseline< th=""><th>75</th></baseline<>	75

Note:

- (1) Daytime noise Limit Level of 70dB(A) applies to education institutions while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.
- (2) Baseline correction will be made to the measured L_{eq} when the measured noise level exceeded the corresponding baseline noise level and presented in the table. No correction was made to NM2 as all measured noise levels were below the baseline noise level.
- 5.2.2 No noise complaint was received in the reporting month during 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays; hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 5.2.3 No Limit Level exceedance of noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 5.2.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.2.5 Major noise sources during the monitoring included construction noise from the Project site and other nearby construction sites, nearby traffic noise and noise from school activities and the community.

5.3 Continuous Noise Monitoring

5.3.1 As the construction works that have been identified by the CNMMP to be potentially causing exceedance of noise criteria have not commenced during this reporting month, no continuous noise monitoring was carried out.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 15 February 2017

5.4 Waste Management

- 5.4.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.
- 5.4.2 As advised by the Contractor, 1,094m³ of inert C&D material was generated. 92m³ and 39m³ were disposed as public fills at TKO137 and TM38 respectively. 963m³ of public fills was delivered to Hung Hom Barging Point and handled by other project. No public fills was reused in the Contract. While 120,720kg of general refuse was disposed at NENT landfill in the reporting month. No paper, metal and plastic were collected by recycling contractor in the reporting month. No Type 1 marine dumping was delivered to Hung Hom Barging Point. No chemical waste was collected by licensed contractor in the reporting period. The waste flow table is annexed in **Appendix K**.
- 5.4.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.
- 5.4.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

5.5 Landscape and Visual

- 5.5.1 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on 5 and 19 January 2017. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix C**. The observations and recommendations made during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.
- 5.5.2 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, 4 site inspections were carried out on 5, 12, 19 and 26 January 2017. The one held on 26 January 2017 was a joint inspection with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspections. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow up
Water Quality	N/A	• N/A	N/A
	5 Jan 2017	 The Contractor was reminded to provide dust suppression measure for the exposed surface at EWL9. (Reminder) 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 5 January 2017.
Air Quality	12 Jan 2017	 A stockpile of fill material was not covered with tarpaulin at OB2a. The Contractor was reminded to enhance the dust suppression measures for fill material storage. (Reminder) 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 12 January 2017.
	26 Jan 2017	 The stockpile of rock fill was observed dry at OSP. The Contractor was reminded to provide watering more frequently for dust suppression. (Reminder) 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 26 January 2017.
Noise	19 Jan 2017	 An electrical breaker was observed without a noise emission label at OB2. The Contractor was reminded to display a noise emission label on the breaker. (Reminder) 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 26 January 2017.
	cal	 Oil stain was observed near the generator at OB2. The Contractor should remove oil stain and dispose of any contaminated material as chemical waste. 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 5 January 2017.
Waste/ Chemical Management		 Oil and water mixture was observed at a drip tray at NSL9. The Contractor should remove the oil and water mixture and dispose of as chemical waste. 	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 5 January 2017.
	26 Jan 2017	 Over accumulation of general refuse was observed at NSL9. The contractor was reminded to remove general refuse more frequently and provide proper waste sorting. (Reminder) 	The item will be followed-up in the next reporting month.
Landscape & Visual	N/A	N/A	N/A
Permits/ Licenses	N/A	N/A	N/A

- 6.1.3 Most of the follow-up actions requested by Contractor's ET and IEC during the site inspection were undertaken as reported by the Contractor and confirmed into the following weekly site inspection conducted during the reporting period. Some outstanding follow-up actions will be reported in the next reporting period.
- 6.1.4 The items of which their inspection for follow-up actions were outstanding as recorded in the last reporting month have already been rectified by the Contractor as confirmed by the ET during the reporting period.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 17 February 2017

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 7.1.1 All 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 7.1.2 No noise complaint during 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays was received in the reporting month; hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 7.1.3 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 7.1.4 As the construction works that have been identified by the CNMMP to be potentially causing exceedance of noise criteria have not commenced during this reporting month, no continuous noise monitoring was carried out.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaints

7.3.1 No environmental related complaint was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on environmental complaints is provided in **Appendix J**.

7.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions

7.4.1 No environmental related prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in **Appendix J**.

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Programme for the Project

Construction Programme for the Next Two Month

8.1.1 The major construction works in February and March 2017 will be:

Location	Site Activities
Ho Man Tin	Manhole construction, pipe laying, concreting works, EVA construction
NSL (North) + HHBP	Parapet modification works, ELS & decking removal work, concreting works, form work erection, reinforcement fixing, backfill, road & drainage construction, steel mesh enclosure erection, excavation, pipe laying
OB2	ELS for soil replacement, pipe bridge erection, TB1 dismantling and watermain diversion
OB2A	Soil replacement, OB2A bridge reinstatement
NSL 9 & Oi Sen Path	Backfilling, drainage work, Scaffolding platform erection, dismantling of scaffolding, construction of noise enclosure, pre-split, lifting works, temporary working platform removal, ELS removal, tunnel works, rock breaking, rock cutting
EWL 7 - 9	Reinstatement, road diversion, backfilling, steel deck dismantling

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality impact and waste management.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Next Two Month

8.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in February and March 2017 is provided in **Appendix F**.

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

- 9.1.1 24-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 9.1.2 All 24-hour TSP monitoring results complied with the Action / Limit Level at in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 No noise complaint during 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays was received in the reporting month; hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 9.1.4 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.5 As the construction works that have been identified by the CNMMP to be potentially causing exceedance of noise criteria have not commenced during this reporting month, no continuous noise monitoring was carried out.
- 9.1.6 4 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in January 2017. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
- 9.1.7 Referring to the Contractor's information, no environmental complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:-

Air Quality Impact

Implement effective measures for exposed surface and stockpile of dusty material.

Construction Noise Impact

• Display noise emission label for hand-held breaker (>10 kg).

Water Quality Impact

No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical/ Waste Management

Provide proper waste sorting and management;


Landscape and Visual Impact


No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Permits/Licenses

No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.











APPENDIX A

Construction Programme



APPENDIX B

Project Organization Structure



APPENDIX C

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures **Appendix C - Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	Environmental Mitigation Measures			Implementation Status	
Landscape and Visual Impact					
S6.9.3 (TAW-HUH) ,	Minimize visual & landscape	Existing topsoil shall be re-used where possible for new planting areas within the Project.	All construction sites	N/A	
S6.12 (HHS), S6.12 (TAW-HUH), Table 6.9 (HHS) & Table 4.9	impact	Ground vegetation and the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone.	All construction sites	N/A	
(MKK-HUH)		 All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. 	All construction sites	V	
		Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and landscape sensitive areas.	All construction sites	V	
		Giving control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent VSRs.	All construction sites	V	
		Trees of medium to high survival rate that would be affected by the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable.	All construction sites	N/A	
		Compensatory tree & shrub planting shall be provided to compensate for the loss of shrub planting in amenity areas.	All construction sites	N/A	
		Control of night-time lighting glare.	All construction sites	N/A	
		All hard and soft landscape areas disturbed temporarily during construction shall be reinstated to equal or better quality, to the satisfaction of the relevant Government Departments.	All construction sites	N/A	

Construction No	oise Impact			
3.3.6 TAW-HUH) , \$8.5.6 (HHS) &	To control construction airborne noise	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme.	All construction sites	V
S6 (MKK-HUH)		Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.	All construction sites	V
		Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs.	All construction sites	V
		• Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works.	All construction sites	V
		 Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable. 	All construction sites	V
		 Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	All construction sites	V
		The following quiet PME should be used:	Works areas where	N/A
		 Asphalt Paver (SWL=101dB(A)) Backhoe (SWL=106dB(A)) 	required	
		 Backhoe with Hydraulic Breaker (SWL=110dB(A)) Concrete lorry mixer (SWL=96dB(A)) 		
		Concrete mixer truck (SWL=96dB(A))		
		Concrete Pump (SWL=106dB(A))		
		Concrete Pump Truck (SWL=106dB(A))		
		Crane, mobile (SWL=94dB(A))		
		Crawler Crane (SWL=102dB(A))		
		Drill, hand-held (SWL=98dB(A))		
		Dump truck (SWL=104dB(A))		
		• Excavator (SWL=106dB(A))		
		• Flat Bed Lorry (SWL=102dB(A))		
		Generator (SWL=95dB(A)) Giller Biller and Bayyar mody (SWI) 04dB(A))		
		Giken Piler and Power-pack (SWL=94dB(A)) Hydraulia brooker (SWL=140dB(A))		
		Hydraulic breaker (SWL=110dB(A))		

Construction No	oise Impact			
		 Hydraulic excavator (SWL=106dB(A)) Lorry (SWL=102dB(A)) Lorry with crane/ grab (SWL=94dB(A)) Mini Piling Rig (SWL=112dB(A)) Piling Rig (SWL=112dB(A)) Poker, vibrator, hand-held (SWL=98dB(A)) Road Roller (SWL=101dB(A)) Rock Drill (SWL = 108dB(A) Roller (SWL = 101dB(A) Truck (SWL=103dB(A)) Vibratory Hammer (SWL=118dB(A)) 		
		Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs.	All construction sites	V
		Install movable noise barriers, acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants	All construction sites	V
		Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	All construction sites	V
		Particularly noisy construction activities will be scheduled to avoid school examination period as far as practicable.	Works areas near the Carmel Secondary School	V
/	To control construction airborne noise	Hand held breakers having a mass of above 10 kg and air compressor capable of supplying compressed air at 500 kPa or above for carrying out construction work shall be fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation	All construction sites	@

S7.6.5 (TAW-HUH) ,	Minimize dust impact at	Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%.	All construction sites	@
\$7.6.6 (HHS), \$5.50, 5.51 &5.57	nearby sensitive receivers	 Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet. 	All construction sites	@
MKK-HUH)		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads	All construction sites	V
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.	All construction sites	V
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle	All construction sites	N/A
		Vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point.	All construction sites	V
		The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores.	All construction sites	V
		When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided.	All construction sites	V
		The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials.	All construction sites	V
		Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously.	All construction sites	V
		 Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet. 	All construction sites	N/A
		 Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building. 	All construction sites	V

		Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting.	All construction sites	N/A
		Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs.	All construction sites	N/A
/	Minimize dust impact at nearby	Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides.	All construction sites	V
sensitive receivers		 Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed. 	All construction sites	N/A
		 Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system. 	All construction sites	V
		Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site.	All construction sites	N/A
		Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on site haul roads.	All construction sites	N/A
		Open burning shall be prohibited.	All construction sites	V
/ Em	Emission from	All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	All construction sites	V
	Vehicles and Plants	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke.	All construction sites	V
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD).	All construction sites	V

Construction W	ater Quality Impa	act		
S10.7.1 (TAW-HUH) , S10.7.1 (HHS) & S8	To minimize construction water quality impactt	Construction Site Drainage should be implemented to control site run-off and drainage as well as any site effluents generated from the works areas, and to prevent run-off and construction wastes from entering nearby water environment.	Site drainage system	V
(MKK-HUH)		 Surface run-off from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via adequately designed sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basins. 	Site drainage system	V
		 Channels or earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. 	All works area	V
		 Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided on site boundaries where necessary to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. 	All works area	V
		Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly.	All construction sites	V
		 Construction works should be programmed to minimize soil excavation works in rainy seasons. 	All construction sites	N/A
		 Temporary exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. 	All construction sites	V
		 Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. 	All construction sites	N/A
		 Open stockpiles of construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) on sites should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. 	All construction sites	V
		 Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in wet seasons is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 	All construction sites	V

Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be All construction sites	V
adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt,	
construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and	
to prevent storm run-off from getting into foul sewers.	
Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from All construction sites	V
construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading	
from the site area.	
All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before they leave a construction All construction sites	V
site to minimize the deposition of earth, mud, debris on roads.	
Bentonite slurries used in diaphragm wall construction should be All construction sites	V
reconditioned and used again wherever practicable. If the disposal of a	
certain residual quantity cannot be avoided, the used slurry should either	
be dewatered or mixed with inert fill material for disposal to a public filling	
area.	
A cofferdam wall should be built as necessary to limit groundwater inflow Excavation works	N/A
to the excavation works areas.	
Wastewater generated should not be discharged into the stormwater All construction sites	V
drainage system.	
Acidic wastewater generated from acid cleaning, etching, pickling and All construction sites	N/A
similar activities should be neutralized to within the pH range of 6 to 10	
before discharging into foul sewers.	
Appropriate numbers of portable toilets shall be provided by a licensed All construction sites	V
contractor to serve the construction workers over the construction site.	
The Contractor should apply for a discharge license under the WPCO All construction sites	N/A
through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater recharge operation or where practicable	
discharge of treated groundwater.	
Appropriate measures will be deployed to minimize the intrusion of All construction sites	N/A
groundwater into excavation works areas.	
Measures should be put in place in order to mitigate any drawdown All construction sites	N/A
effects to the groundwater table during the operation of the temporary	
dewatering works.	

Waste Managem	nent			
S11.5.1 (TAW-HUH),	Good site practice to	Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement.	All construction sites	N/A
S11.5.1(HHS) & S9 (MKK-HUH)	minimize the generation and	Sorting of demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/ recyclable portions.	All construction sites	V
	impact of the waste.	Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.	All construction sites	V
		Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.	All construction sites	V
		Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.	All construction sites	N/A
		Waste, such as soil, should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment, thus minimizing the potential of pollution.	All construction sites	V
	-	Maintain and clean storage areas routinely.	All construction sites	V
		Stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away.	All construction sites	V
		Waste should be removed in timely manner.	All construction sites	@
		Waste collectors should only collect wastes prescribed by their permits.	All construction sites	V
		Waste should be disposed of at licensed waste disposal facilities.	All construction sites	V
	 disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and versions. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, main good condition, and securely closed. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly lab 	Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified.	All construction sites	V
		Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed.	All construction sites	V
		The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides.	All construction sites	V
		The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated.	All construction sites	V
		Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector.	All construction sites	V

Waste Management				
	 Stockpiling of contaminated sediments should be avoided as far as possible. 	All construction sites	N/A	
	 All storage of asbestos waste should be carried out properly in a secure place isolated from other substances so as to prevent any possible release of asbestos fibres into the atmosphere and contamination of other substances. The storage area should bear warning panels to alert people of the presence of asbestos waste. Collection, transportation and disposal of asbestos waste should follow the trip-ticket system. Licensed asbestos waste collectors should be appointed to collect the asbestos waste and deliver to the designated landfill for disposal. 	All construction sites	N/A	

Contaminated L	Contaminated Land				
S10.24- 10.34 (MKK-HUH)	To act as a general	Precautionary measures such as visual inspection are recommended to be undertaken during construction activities that disturb soil.	Within Project Boundary where	N/A	
	precautionary measure to screen soils for the presence contamination during construction.	If soil discolouration or the presence of oil/unnatural odour is noted during visual inspection, sampling and testing should also be undertaken to verify the presence of contamination.	signs of contamination is identified	N/A	
	To remediate contaminated soil	If land contamination is identified, CAR and RAP detailing the proposed remediation works should be prepared. RR should then be prepared and submitted to EPD to demonstrate that the decontamination work is adequate and has been carried out in accordance with the endorsed CAR and RAP.		N/A	

Legend: V = implemented; x = not implemented; @ = partially implemented; N/A = not applicable

APPENDIX D

Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Appendix D - Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Table 1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
AM1	No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North	183.9 μg/m³	260.0 μg/m³

Table 2 Action and Limit Levels for Regular Construction Noise (0700 – 1900 hrs of normal weekdays)

ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
NM1	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	When one documented complaint, related to 0700 – 1900 hours on	65 / 70 dB(A) ⁽¹⁾
NM2	No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North	normal weekdays, is received from any one of the sensitive receivers.	75 dB(A)

Note:

Table 3 Action and Limit Levels for Continuous Noise

ID	Location	Action/Limit Level
NM1	Carmel Secondary School (South Block)	68 dB(A) ⁽¹⁾
NM2	No. 234-238 Chatham Road North	77 dB(A)

Note:

Appendix D AECOM

⁽¹⁾ Daytime noise Limit Level of 70dB(A) applies to education institutions while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.

⁽¹⁾ Action/Limit level will only be applicable during the examination period.

APPENDIX E

Calibration Certificates of Equipments



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - May 31, 2016	Rootsmeter	-/	438320	Ta (K) -	298
Operator Tisch	Orifice I.I		0988	Pa (mm) -	754.38
PLATE VOLUME OR START Run # (m3) 1 NA 2 NA 3 NA 4 NA 5 NA	VOLUME STOP (m3) NA NA NA NA NA	DIFF VOLUME (m3) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	DIFF TIME (min) 1.3670 0.9750 0.8700 0.8260 0.6830	METER DIFF Hg (mm) 3.2 6.4 7.9 8.7 12.7	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.) 2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9884 0.9842 0.9821 0.9811 0.9758	0.7230 1.0094 1.1289 1.1878 1.4288	1.4090 1.9926 2.2278 2.3365 2.8179		0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9884 0.9831	0.7284 1.0170 1.1373 1.1967 1.4394	0.8888 1.2570 1.4054 1.4740 1.7777
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.99349 -0.02737 0.99988		Qa slope intercept coefficie	= (b) $=$	1.24829 -0.01727 0.99988
v axis =	SQRT [H20 (Pa/760) (298/	ra)]	y axis =	SQRT [H20 (T	Ca/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$

AECOM Asia Company Limited TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

	234 - 238 Chath	ani i toad i tortii, o	OL DIVIO 11	Operator:	- H	am Yuen	
Cal. Date:	24-Dec-16	_		Next Due Date:	24-Fe		:
Equipment No.:				Serial No.	82	59	-
			Ambient	Condition			
Temperatu	re, Ta (K)	300.5	Pressure, F	Pa (mmHg)		762.8	
		C	Prifice Transfer S	tandard Informatio	n		
Seria	No:	988	Slope, mc	1.99	0349	Intercept, bc	-0.0273
Last Calibra	ation Date:	31-May-16		me v Ostd + he =	= [H x (Pa/760) x	$(298/Ta)1^{1/2}$	
Next Calibra	ation Date:	31-May-17		inc x Qstu + bc	[11 x (1 a/ 700) x	(270/14)]	
			Calibration of	of TSP Sampler			
1000		0	rfice		HV	S Flow Recorder	
Resistance Plate No.	DH (orifice), in. of water	[DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}		Qstd (m³/min) X -	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Continuous Flor Reading IC (CF	
18	7.2		2.68	1.36	44.0	43.90)
13	5.4		2.32	1.18	34.0	33.92	2
10	5.1		2.25	1.14	32.0	31.93	3
7	4.0		2.00	1.01	25.0	24.94	4
5	3.0		1.73	0.88	18.0	17.90	ŝ
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe		0.9	9997	Intercept, bw =	-30.	2863	-
art Correlation Co	Demicient < 0.990	, check and recalit	orale.			XI	
				Calculation			
		urve, take Qstd =					
From the Regres	sion Equation, th	ne "Y" value accord	ding to				
					- \=1/2		
		mw	x Qstd + bw = IC	x [(Pa/760) x (298/	ı a)]		
Therefore Set P	oint: IC = (mw ×	Qstd + bw) x [(76	60 / Pa \ x (Ta / 29	98)1 ^{1/2} =		40.75	
Thorotoro, oot 1	oint, to (into x	dota · bw / x [(/ ·	3071 u) x (1 u / 2 l	71			- /j
		-					-
Remarks:							
Remarks:							
Remarks:							



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com *

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0704 03-01

Page

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Sound Level Meter (Type 1)

Microphone

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.:

2238

B&K

Serial/Equipment No.:

4188

2800927 / N.009.06

2791211

Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

AECOM ASIA CO., LTD.

Address of Customer:

Request No.: Date of receipt:

04-Jul-2016

Date of test:

07-Jul-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator Signal generator

B&K 4226 DS 360 DS 360

2288444 33873 61227

18-Jun-2017 18-Apr-2017 18-Apr-2017

CIGISMEC CEPREI CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

60 ± 10 % 1000 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

2. The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Min/Feng Jun Qi

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Huang Jian

Approved Signatory:

Date:

09-Jul-2016

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com -

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0704 03-01

Page

2

1, **Electrical Tests**

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
3	C	Pass	1.0	2.1
	Lin	Pass	2.0	2.1
Linearity range for Leg	At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	2.2
and any sample so any	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass		
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
riequency weightings	Ĉ	and the second second	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings		Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Flast	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100us rootongular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
,	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/103 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass Pass	0.3 0.5	

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated

Calibrated by:

End

Checked by:

Lam Tze Wai

Date:

Fung Chi Yip 07-Jul-2016

Date:

09-Jul-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0304 02

Page

2

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Sound Level Meter (Type 1) **B&K**

Microphone **B&K**

Preamp **B&K** ZC0032

of

Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: 2250-L 2681366

4950 2879980 19428

Adaptors used:

(N.001.01

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

AECOM ASIA CO LIMITED

Address of Customer:

Request No. Date of receipt:

04-Mar-2016

Date of test:

05-Mar-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model: B&K 4226 Serial No.

Expiry Date: 19-Jun-2016

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator Signal generator

DS 360 DS 360

2288444 33873 61227

16-Apr-2016 16-Apr-2016

CIGISMEC CEPREL CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

21 ± 1 °C 60 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1010 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580; Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

2. The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%

3. The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

n/Feng Jun Qi

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets

Approved Signatory:

Date:

08-Mar-2016

Company Chop:

The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0304 02

Page

Tel: (852) 2873 6860

2

Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances,

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
35T	C	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass Pass	0.3 0.5	

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip 05-Mar-2016 End

Checked by:

Date:

Lam Tze Wai

08-Mar-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.gigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0401 01

Page

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Sound Level Meter (Type 1) **B&K**

(N.012.01)

Microphone **B&K** 4189

Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

2270 2644597

2933110

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

AECOM ASIA CO. LTD.

Address of Customer:

Request No. Date of receipt:

01-Apr-2016

Date of test:

06-Apr-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator

B&K 4226 DS 360 DS 360

Model:

Serial No. 2288444 33873

61227

Expiry Date: 19-Jun-2016 16-Apr-2016 16-Apr-2016

Traceable to: CIGISMEC CEPREI

CEPREI

Signal generator Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2. replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%

The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference 3, between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

in/Feng Jun Qi

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

07-Apr-2016

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0401 01

Page

2

2

Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3
	С	Pass	1.0 2.1
	Lin	Pass	2.0 2.2
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3
Frequency weightings	A	Pass	0.3
	С	Pass	0.3
	Lin	Pass	0.3
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/103 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz	Pass	0.3
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3
	Leq	Pass	0.4

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95 %. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Fung Chi Yip

Lam Tze Wai

Date:

06-Apr-2016

Date:

Checked by:

07-Apr-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F. & 20/F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0223 01

Page:

of

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.:

Adaptors used:

B & K 4231

Serial/Equipment No.:

3006428

5

N.004,03

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

AECOM ASIA CO LIMITED

Address of Customer: Request No.:

-

Date of receipt:

23-Feb-2016

Date of test:

25-Feb-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	15-Apr-2016	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2743150	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

21 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

55 ± 10 % 1010 ± 5 hPa

A-----

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Min/Feng Jun Qi

Huang-Jiar

Approved Signatory:

Date:

27-Feb-2016

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007



G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0223 01

Page:

2

2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

Frequency Shown	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty
Hz	dB	dB	dB
1000	94.00	94.14	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.002 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 999.9 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.4 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

End

Date: 25

Fung Chi Yip 25-Feb-2016 Checked by:

Date:

Lam Tze Wai 27-Feb-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005

APPENDIX F

EM&A Monitoring Schedules

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1111 - Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels Impact Monitoring Schedule for January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan	6-Jan	7-Jan
			24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)		
8-Jan	9-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
		24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)			
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
	24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)				24-hour TSP (AM1)
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
		Noise (NM1, NM2)			24-hour TSP (AM1)	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1111 - Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Feb	2-Feb	3-Feb	4-Feb
			Noise (NM1, NM2)	24-hour TSP (AM1)		
5-Feb	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	10-Feb	11-Feb
			24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)		
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
		24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)			24-hour TSP (AM1)
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
		Noise (NM1, NM2)			24-hour TSP (AM1)	
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1111 - Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for March 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Mar	2-Mar	3-Mar	4-Mar
				24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)	
5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar	8-Mar	9-Mar	10-Mar	11-Mar
			24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)		
12-Mar	13-Mar	14-Mar	15-Mar	16-Mar	17-Mar	18-Mar
		24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)			
19-Mar	20-Mar	21-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar	24-Mar	25-Mar
	24-hour TSP (AM1)	Noise (NM1, NM2)				24-hour TSP (AM1)
26-Mar	27-Mar	28-Mar	29-Mar	30-Mar	31-Mar	
		Noise (NM1, NM2)			24-hour TSP (AM1)	

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)


APPENDIX G

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station AM1 (No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North)


Star	Start End		Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Flow Rate (m³/min.) A		Av. flow	Total vol.	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate	culate Elapse Time		Sampling	Conc.	
Date	Time	Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (°C)	Pressure (hPa)	Initial	Final	(m³/min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	weight(g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	(µg/m³)
4-Jan-17	0:00	5-Jan-17	0:00	Sunny	19.9	1018.7	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.3	2.7685	2.8736	0.1051	12884.04	12908.04	24.00	55.6
10-Jan-17	0:00	11-Jan-17	0:00	Sunny	19.4	1018.1	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.3	2.7688	2.8585	0.0897	12908.04	12932.04	24.00	47.5
16-Jan-17	0:00	17-Jan-17	0:00	Cloudy	16.3	1020.4	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.3	2.8374	2.9431	0.1057	12932.04	12956.04	24.00	55.9
21-Jan-17	0:00	22-Jan-17	0:00	Sunny	16.7	1025.3	1.34	1.34	1.34	1932.5	2.8350	2.9949	0.1599	12956.04	12980.04	24.00	82.7
27-Jan-17	0:00	28-Jan-17	0:00	Sunny	17.5	1022.6	1.34	1.34	1.34	1932.5	2.7450	2.8444	0.0994	12980.04	13004.04	24.00	51.4
																Average	58.6
																Minimum	47.5
																Maximum	82.7




_	
_	


	Graphical Presentations of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results		60284101	(3	-	
		JOB NO.		APPENDIX No. F			ĺ
	Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels	CHECK	TYUT	DRAWN	RCC	Р	
	Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1111-	SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	Feb-1	17	


Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, January 2017






Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, January 2017







Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, January 2017





Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, January 2017





Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, January 2017





APPENDIX H

Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix H Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station NM 1 (Carmel Secondary School (South Block))

Date	Weather	Nois	e Level fo	r 30-min, d	B(A) ⁺	Baseline Corrected	Baseline Noise	Limit Level*,	Exceedance
Date	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Level, dB(A)	Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)
5-Jan-17	Sunny	11:10	60.5	67.8	64.4	<baseline< td=""><td>68.0</td><td>65</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	68.0	65	N
11-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:05	61.6	67.2	65.4	<baseline< td=""><td>68.0</td><td>65</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	68.0	65	N
17-Jan-17	Sunny	10:21	63.1	68.2	66.6	<baseline< td=""><td>68.0</td><td>65</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	68.0	65	N
24-Jan-17	Sunny	14:30	64.1	68.9	66.7	<baseline< td=""><td>68.0</td><td>70</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	68.0	70	N

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station NM 2 (No. 234 – 238 Chatham Road North)


_	Weather	Weather	Weather	Nois	e Level for	30-min, dl	B(A) ⁺⁺	Baseline	Baseline Noise	Limit Level,	Exceedance
Date	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Corrected Level, dB(A)	Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)		
5-Jan-17	Sunny	11:55	62.6	74.0	69.9	<baseline< td=""><td>79.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	79.0	75	N		
11-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:52	68.4	73.5	70.8	<baseline< td=""><td>79.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	79.0	75	N		
17-Jan-17	Sunny	11:10	64.7	70.9	69.6	<baseline< td=""><td>79.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	79.0	75	N		
24-Jan-17	Sunny	15:35	68.5	72.4	70.9	<baseline< td=""><td>79.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	79.0	75	N		


⁺ - Façade measurement

^{++ -} Free field measurement

^{* -} Limit Level of 70dB(A) applies to education institutes while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period. (3 Jan – 16 Jan 2017)

Appendix H Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Results





* - The noise monitoring results of the measurements are higher than the daytime construction noise criterion. However, the results are not considered as exceedance if they are either below the baseline level or below the limit level after deducting the baseline noise level.

Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1111-		N.T.S.	DATE	Feb-1	7
Hung Hom North Approach Tunnels	CHECK	TYUT	DRAWN	OYLV	Ν
Graphical Presentations of Noise Monitoring Results			APPENDI	х	Rev
		60284101		Н	

APPENDIX I

Event Action Plan

Appendix I – Event and Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Construction Dust

EVENT	ACTION							
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor				
ACTION LEVEL								
1. Exceedance	Inform the Contractor, IEC and	Check monitoring data	Confirm receipt of notification of	Identify source(s), investigate				
for one	ER;	submitted by the ET;	exceedance in writing.	the causes of exceedance and				
sample	2. Discuss with the Contractor and	2. Check Contractor's working		propose remedial measures;				
	IEC on the remedial measures	method;		Implement remedial measures;				
	required;	3. Review and advise the ET and		Amend working methods agreed				
	Repeat measurement to confirm	ER on the effectiveness of the		with the ER as appropriate.				
	findings;	proposed remedial measures.						
	4. Increase monitoring frequency							

EVE	ENT				ACT	101			
	ENI	ET		IEC		ER			Contractor
2. Excee	edance	1.	Inform the Contractor, IEC and	1.	Check monitoring data	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Identify source and investigate
for tw	vo or		ER;		submitted by the ET;		exceedance in writing;		the causes of exceedance;
more)	2.	Discuss with the ER, IEC and	2.	Check Contractor's working	2.	Review and agree on the	2.	Submit proposals for remedial
conse	ecutive		Contractor on the remedial		method;		remedial measures proposed by		measures to the ER with a copy
samp	oles		measures required;	3.	Review and advise the ET and		the Contractor;		to ET and IEC within three
		3.	Repeat measurements to		ER on the effectiveness of the	3.	Supervise Implementation of		working days of notification;
			confirm findings;		proposed remedial measures.		remedial measures.	3.	Implement the agreed
		4.	Increase monitoring frequency						proposals;
			to daily;					4.	Amend proposal as appropriate.
		5.	If exceedance continues,						
			arrange meeting with the IEC,						
			ER and Contractor;						
		6.	If exceedance stops, cease						
			additional monitoring.						

EVENT		ACTION							
LVLINI	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor					
LIMIT LEVEL									
1. Exceedance	Inform the Contractor, IEC, EPD	Check monitoring data	Confirm receipt of notification of	Identify source(s) and investigate					
for one	and ER;	submitted by the ET;	exceedance in writing;	the causes of exceedance;					
sample	Repeat measurement to confirm	Check the Contractor's working	2. Review and agree on the	Take immediate action to avoid					
	findings;	method;	remedial measures proposed by	further exceedance;					
	3. Increase monitoring frequency	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and	the Contractor;	Submit proposals for remedial					
	to daily;	Contractor on possible remedial	3. Supervise implementation of	measures to ER with a copy to					
	4. Discuss with the ER, IEC and	measures;	remedial measures.	ET and IEC within three working					
	contractor on the remedial	4. Review and advise the ER and		days of notification;					
	measures and assess the	ET on the effectiveness of		4. Implement the agreed proposals;					
	effectiveness.	Contractor's remedial measures.		5. Amend proposal if appropriate.					

EVENT		ACT	ΓΙΟΝ	I			
EVENI	ET	IEC		ER	Contractor		
2. Exceedance	1. Notify Contractor, IEC, EPD and	Check monitoring data	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Identify source(s) and	
for two or more	ER;	submitted by the ET;		exceedance in writing;		investigate the causes of	
consecutive	2. Repeat measurement to confirm	2. Check the Contractor's working	2.	In consultation with the ET and		exceedance;	
samples	findings;	method;		IEC, agree with the Contractor	2.	Take immediate action to avoid	
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to	3. Discuss with ET, ER, and		on the remedial measures to be		further exceedance;	
	daily;	Contractor on the potential		implemented;	3.	Submit proposals for remedial	
	4. Carry out analysis of the	remedial measures;	3.	Supervise the implementation of		measures to the ER with a copy	
	Contractor's working procedures	4. Review and advise the ER and		remedial measures;		to the IEC and ET within three	
	with the ER to determine possible	ET on the effectiveness of	4.	If exceedance continues,		working days of notification;	
	mitigation to be implemented;	Contractor's remedial measures.		consider what portion of the	4.	Implement the agreed	
	5. Arrange meeting with the IEC and			work is responsible and instruct		proposals;	
	ER to discuss the remedial			the Contractor to stop that	5.	Revise and resubmit proposals if	
	measures to be taken;			portion of work until the		problem still not under control;	
	6. Review the effectiveness of the			exceedance is abated.	6.	Stop the relevant portion of	
	Contractor's remedial measures					works as determined by the ER	
	and keep IEC, EPD and ER					until the exceedance is abated.	
	informed of the results;						
	7. If exceedance stops, cease						
	additional monitoring.						

Event / Action Plan for Regular Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION								
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor					
Exceedance of Action Level	 Notify the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; and Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; and Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER; Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification; and Implement noise mitigation proposals. 					

EVENT.		ACT	TION		
EVENT -	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor	
Exceedance of Limit Level	 Notify the Contractor, IEC, EPD and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with the IEC and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances Review the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. 	

Event / Action Plan for Continuous Construction Noise

FVENT	ACTION								
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR					
Action/Limit Level	1. Identify source; 2. Repeat measurement. If two consecutive measurements exceed Action/Limit Level, the exceedance is then confirmed; 3. If exceedance is confirmed, notify	 Check monitoring data submitted by the Works Contract 1111 ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, Works Contract 1111 ET and Contractor 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; In consultation with the Works Contract 1111 ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be	Identify source with the Works Contract 1111 ET; If exceedance is confirmed, investigation the cause of exceedance and take immediate action to avoid further					
	IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and check Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 5. Discuss jointly with the IEC, ER and Contractor and formulate remedial measures; and 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC and ER informed of the results.	on the potential remedial measures; and 4. Review and advise the Works Contract 1111 ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor.	implemented; 3. Ensure the proper implementation of remedial measures; and 4. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Liaise with ER to optimize the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation; 6. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and 7. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the					

Event / Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Stage

EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Non-conformity on one occasion	1. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER 2. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 3. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	1. Check inspection report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET, ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor Supervise implementation of remedial measures	1. Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity 2. Implement remedial measures 3. Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate 4. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify source 2. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER 3. Increase inspection frequency 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 6. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Check inspection report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures	Notify the Contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	 Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the non-conformity is abated.

APPENDIX J

Cumulative Statistics of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Appendix J Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

	Date Received	Subject	Status	Total no. received in this month	Total no. received since project commencement
Environmental complaints	-	-	-	0	1
Notification of summons	-	-	-	0	0
Successful Prosecutions	-	-	-	0	0

APPENDIX K

Waste Flow Table

Appendix K Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

		Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly (Note 1)									Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Materials (i.e. C&D Wastes) Generated Monthly				Actual Quantities of Marine Dumping Monthly						
			Generated	t			Disp	osed				Reused				Recycled		Disp	osed	Disp	osed
Month	Fill Material	Art	ificial Mate	rial	Total Quantity		Disposed as Public Fills at		Total Quantity	Reused in the		in other ects	Delivered to HH Barging	Total Quantity	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	General Refuse	Disposed HH Barg	as MD at ing Point
	Soil and Rock	Broken Concrete	Asphalt	Building Debris	Generated	TKO137	TM38	CWPFBP	Disposal	Contract	Tolo	WIL 705	Point (Note 5)	Reused		(Note 3)		vvasie	(Note 2)	Type 1	Type 2
Unit	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³⁾	('000m ³⁾	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)	('000Kg)	('000Kg)	('000Kg)	('000Kg)	('000Kg)	('000m ³)	('000m ³)
Jan	1.094	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.094	0.092	0.039	0.000	0.131	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.963	0.963	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	120.720	0.000	0.000
Feb																					
Mar																					
Apr																					
May																					
Jun																					
SUB-TOTAL	1.094	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.094	0.092	0.039	0.000	0.131	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.963	0.963	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	120.720	0.000	0.000
Jul																					
Aug																					
Sep																					
Oct																					
Nov																					
Dec																					
2016 TOTAL	1.094	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.094	0.092	0.039	0.000	0.131	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.963	0.963	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	120.720	0.000	0.000

Note:

Assume the density of fill is 2 ton/m³.
 Refuses disposed of at North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill.

^{3.} Assume the weight of recycled papers is 7 kg/bag.

^{4.} Public fills disposed of at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank (TKO137), Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank (TM38) and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point (CWPFBP).

^{5.} Public fills was delivered to Hung Hom Barging Point and handled by the Contractor of SCL1112 in the period of 1 January 2015 to 1 August 2015 and handled by the Contractor of SCL1121 started from 3 August 2015.

Appendix C

48th EM&A Report for Works Contract 1103 – Hin Keng to Diamond Hill

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 48

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1103 - Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels

(February 2017)

Certifie	d by:	Jonathan	Pyke
Position	n:	Environmental Te	eam Leader
Date:	9	February 2017	

MTR Corporation Limited

SCL1103 Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels Construction Stage -Environmental Services

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – January 2017

228105-27

February 2017

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relie-

It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 228105-27

Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong Kowloon Hong Kong

www.arup.com



Contents

			Page
1	Enviror	nmental Status	6
	1.1	Project Background	6
	1.2	Construction Programme	6
	1.3	Work Undertaken During the Reporting Month	6
	1.4	Project Organization	7
	1.5	Project Area and Environmental Monitoring locations	7
	1.6	Impact Monitoring Schedule	7
	1.7	Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	8
	1.8	Purpose of the Report	8
2	Implem	entation Status	10
	2.1	Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures	10
	2.2	Updated Implementation Schedule	10
3	Air Qua	ality Monitoring	11
	3.1	Air Quality Monitoring Requirements	11
	3.2	Air Quality Monitoring Methodology	12
	3.3	Monitoring Results and Observations	14
4	Noise M	Ionitoring	15
	4.1	Noise Monitoring Requirements	15
	4.2	Noise Monitoring Methodology	16
	4.3	Monitoring Results and Observations	17
5	Landsca	ape and Visual Monitoring	19
	5.1	Introduction	19
	5.2	Mitigation Measures	19
6	Waste I	Disposal	20
7	Cultura	l Heritage	21
8	Hazard		22
9	Enviror	nmental Performance	23
	9.1	Environmental Site Inspection	23
	9.2	Summary of Environmental Complaint	24
	9.3	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	24
	9.4	Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution	24

10	Futu	re Key Issues	26				
	10.1	Key Issues for the Coming Month	26				
	10.2	Environmental Monitoring Program for the Coming Month	26				
	10.3	Construction Program for the Coming Month	26				
	10.5	Constitution Program for the Commig Promin	_0				
11	Conc	clusions and Recommendations	27				
	11.1	Conclusions	27				
	11.2	Recommendations	27				
12	Refe	rence	29				
Figures							
1184100							
Figure 1	1.1:	Locations of Project Works Areas – General Site Layout of I Keng Works Area (Sheet 1 of 6)	Hing				
Figure 1	1.2:	Locations of Project Works Areas - General Site Layout of					
_		Diamond Hill Works Area (Sheet 2 of 6)					
Figure 1	1.3:	Locations of Project Works Areas – Site layout Plan of Fung Tak					
Figure 1	1.4.	EAP/EEP (Sheet 3 of 6) Locations of Project Works Areas – Site Layout Plan of Ma Chai					
riguie i	1.4.	Hang Shaft (Sheet 4 of 6)	الماات				
Figure 1	1.5:	Locations of Project Works Areas – General Site Layout of Shui					
T. 4		Chuen O Works Area (Sheet 5 of 6)					
Figure 1	1.6:	Locations of Project Works Areas – General Alignment of Con 1103 (Sheet 6 of 6)	tract				
Figure 1	1.7:	Project Organisation – Environmental Management					
Figure 1		Location of Dust Monitoring Stations (Sheet 1 of 3)					
Figure 1		Location of Dust Monitoring Stations (Sheet 2 of 3)					
Figure 1		Location of Dust Monitoring Stations (Sheet 3 of 3)					
Figure 1		Location of Noise Sensitive Receiver (Construction Airborne No	oise)				
		(Sheet 1 of 3)					
Figure 1	1.12:	Location of Noise Sensitive Receiver (Construction Airborne No	oise)				
.		(Sheet 2 of 3)					
Figure 1.13: Location of Noise Sensitive Receiver (Construction Airborne Noi (Sheet 3 of 3)			oise)				

Appendices

Appendix A: Construction programme

Appendix B: Environmental Monitoring Programme in the Reporting Month

Appendix C: Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

Appendix D: Calibration Certificates for Air Monitoring Equipment

Appendix E: Dust Results

Appendix F: Wind Data

Appendix G: Calibration Certificates of Noise Monitoring Equipment

Appendix H: Noise Results

Appendix I: Event/Action Plan for Air Quality, Airborne Noise and Landscape

and Visual

Appendix J: Monthly Waste Flow Table

Appendix K: Environmental Monitoring Programme for Coming Month

Appendix L: Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and

Successful Prosecutions

Executive Summary

This is the forty-eighth Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report prepared by Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup), the designated Environmental Team (ET), for the Project "SCL1103 Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels". Construction works of this works contract commenced on 14 February 2013 and this report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the month of January 2017 (1 to 31 January 2017).

In the reporting month, the following activities took place for the Project:

- Underground Remedial Works in Diamond Hill;
- Tunnel Lining, Partition Walls, Dividing Slabs, Drains, Walkways and Site Formation at Hin Keng;
- Tunnels Connection, RC Concrete, ELS Work, Sheet piling for retaining wall and RRIW for PTT at Fung Tak;
- Central Core, Ventilation Tunnel, C&S Works and ABWF Works at Ma Chai Hang; and
- Storage Area at Shui Chuen O.

Air Quality and noise monitoring were performed and the results were checked and reviewed. Site audits were conducted on a weekly basis. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event and Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were checked.

Impact monitoring was carried out at 2 air quality and 2 noise monitoring stations during the reporting month.

Environmental Monitoring Works – Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

Air Quality

All measured 24-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below the Action and Limit Levels.

Noise

No exceedence of Action Level / Limit Level of regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting month.

Landscape and Visual Audit

Landscape and visual site audits in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A manual were conducted in the reporting month. Based on the site inspections, no substantial change of Landscape Resources, Landscape Character Areas and Visual Sensitive Receivers was noted.

Waste Disposal

Inert C&D Materials with an actual amount of 542 m³ were generated and disposed of at public fill in TKO137FB/TM38FB. 173 m³ of general refuse was generated and disposed of at NENT/SENT/WENT landfill.

Hazard

No blasting activity was carried out during the reporting month.

Environmental Auditing

A total of 4 environmental site audits were conducted on a weekly basis in the reporting month. The first site inspection was on 4 January 2017 and the final was undertaken on 25 January 2017. An IEC joint site audit was undertaken on 11 January 2017. No non-conformance to the environmental requirements was identified during the reporting period.

Complaint Log

No complaints were received during the reporting month.

Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No summons or prosecution related to the environmental issues were made against the Project in the reporting period.

Reporting Changes

There were no reporting changes during the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Waste management is a key environmental issue. The waste management plan should be strictly followed in accordance with the requirements described in the EIA report.

Water Quality impact is also a key environmental issue. The drainage system should be well maintained. All wastewater generated within the site shall be collected and treated prior to discharge.

Construction noise is also a key environmental issue. The implemented construction noise mitigation measures should also be maintained and improved as necessary. Especially in restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the CNPs should be strictly followed when the construction works were carried out during restricted hours.

Construction dust is also key environmental issue. The implemented construction dust mitigation measures including covering of exposed slope / soil with tarpaulin sheet etc., should be maintained and improved as necessary. Adequate water spraying should be provided for the unpaved area to minimize dust disturbance.

1 Environmental Status

1.1 Project Background

The Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (hereafter referred to as SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an extension of the Ma On Shan Line and is approximately 11 km long. It links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic east-west rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO).

The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) has been divided into a series of civil construction Works Contracts and this Works Contract 1103 covers the construction of the tunnels between Diamond Hill (DIH) and Hin Keng (HIK).

1.2 Construction Programme

An up-to-date rolling construction programme is attached in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Work Undertaken During the Reporting Month

The major construction activities carried out by the Contractor in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 1.1**. Location of the works area is indicated in **Figures 1.1** to **1.6**. The structure of the project organisation in relation to the environmental management is shown in **Figure 1.7**. Contacts of key environmental staff of the Project are shown in **Table 1.2**.

 Table 1.1
 Construction Activities in the Reporting Month

Locations [1]	Major Works Undertaken
Diamond Hill	Underground Remedial Works
Hin Keng	Tunnel Lining, Partition Walls, Dividing Slabs, Drains, Walkways and Site Formation
Fung Tak	Tunnels Connection, RC Concrete, ELS Work, Sheet piling for retaining wall and RRIW for PTT
Ma Chai Hang	Central Core, Ventilation Tunnel, C&S Works and ABWF Works
Shiu Chui O	Storage Area

1.4 Project Organization

Contacts of key environmental staff of the Project and are shown in **Table 1.2**.

 Table 1.2
 Contacts of Key Environmental Staff

Organisation	Name	Telephone
Project Proponent: MTRC		
Engineer's Representative	Sammi Wong	3767 0268
SCL Project-wide Environmental Team Leader	Felice Wong	2688 1283
Independent Environmental Checker: Meinhardt		
Infrastructure & Environment Ltd.		
Independent Environmental Checker	Fredrick Leong	2859 1739
Contractor: VINCI Construction Grands Projets		
Project Director	Francois Dudouit	3765 5610
IMS Manager	Keith Lee	3765 5657
Contractor's Environmental Team: Ove Arup &		
Partners Hong Kong Ltd.		
Designated Environmental Team Leader for Works Contract	Lamathan Dulca	2269 2555
1103	Jonathan Pyke	2268 3555

1.5 Project Area and Environmental Monitoring locations

The Project area is shown in **Figures 1.1** to **1.6**, while **Table 1.3** and **Figures 1.8** to **1.13** show the names and locations of the monitoring stations.

Table 1.3 Summary of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations

ID	Premise
Air Quality	
DMS-1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School
DMS-2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School
DMS-3 ^(Note 2) / DMS-4 ^(Note 3)	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home (Note 1)
Noise	
NMS-CA-1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School
NMS-CA-2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School
NMS-CA-3 ^(Note 2) / NMS-CA-4 ^(Note 3)	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home

Notes:

Note 1: Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home was selected as an alternative monitoring location to Shek On House.

Note 2: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (TAW - HUH).

Note 3: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (HHS).

1.6 Impact Monitoring Schedule

Environmental monitoring and audit was carried out in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. Air quality and noise monitoring as well as weekly site audit schedule for the reporting month with respect to the construction programme is shown in **Appendix B**.

1.7 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

All permits/licences for the reporting month are summarised in **Table 1.4**. They are all properly kept by the contactor at their site office.

 Table 1.4
 Summary of Environmental Licensing Status

Types of Permits / Licenses	Reference No.	Site	Valid from	Valid to
Environmental Permit	EP-438/2012/K	All	4 Oct 2016	Throughout the Contract
Discharge License under WPCO	WT00014650-2012	Hin Keng	10 Dec 2012	31 Dec 2017
	WT00014648-2012	Hin Keng	10 Dec 2012	31 Dec 2017
	WT00015145-2013	Shui Chuen O	21 Feb 2013	28 Feb 2018
	WT00015513-2013	Ma Chai Hang	2 Apr 2013	30 Apr 2018
	WT00015430-2013	Fung Tak	18 Mar 2013	31 Mar 2018
Notification of Construction Works under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	351345	All	22 Oct 2012	15 Apr 2018
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0721-16	Ma Chai Hang	2 Aug 2016	31 Jan 2017
	GW-RE0028-17	Ma Chai Hang	31 Jan 2017	30 July 2017
	GW-RE0714-16	Fung Tak	21 July 2017	20 Jan 2017
	GW-RE0003-17	Fung Tak	20 Jan 2017	19 July 2017
	GW-RN0667-16	Hin Keng	17 Sept 2016	16 Mar 2017
	GW-RN0570-16	Hin Keng	8 Aug 2016	7 Feb 2017
	GW-RN0770-16	Hin Keng	5 Nov 2016	4 May 2017
Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-759-V2179- 01	Hin Keng	13 Dec 2012	Throughout the Contract
	5213-281-V2179- 03	Fung Tak	28 Feb 2013	Throughout the Contract
	5213-282-V2180- 02	Ma Chai Hang	18 Mar 2013	Throughout the Contract
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7016250	All	2 Nov 2012	Throughout the Contract

1.8 Purpose of the Report

The purpose of this monthly EM&A report is to provide the information on monitoring methodology, monitoring results, environmental permit status, site audit findings, recommendations and conclusions during the construction of this works contract for the EM&A conducted during the construction period. This is

the forty-eighth monthly EM&A report summarising the monitoring methodology, locations, periods, frequencies, results and any observation from the air quality, noise, ecology, waste management, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit from 1 to 31 January 2017.

2 Implementation Status

2.1 Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures

During weekly site inspections, the environmental protection, and pollution control/mitigation measures in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EIA were observed. The key observations and ET's corresponding recommendations while the Contractor's response and follow-up status are described in **Section 7.1**.

2.2 Updated Implementation Schedule

According to the Environmental Permit, the mitigation measures detailed in the permits are required to be implemented. The Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures was inspected during the weekly site inspections in reporting month. The details of the findings/observations are described in **Section 7.1**. An updated summary of the Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures is presented in **Appendix C**. The status of the required submissions under the Environmental Permit (EP) of the reporting period is presented in **Table 2.1**.

 Table 2.1
 Status of Required Submissions under the EP

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report	13 January 2017
	(December 2016)	

3 Air Quality Monitoring

3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring Parameters

Regular 24-hour TSP levels shall be monitored during the construction stage while 1-hour TSP levels shall be required to monitor in case of complaints received.

Monitoring Frequency

The monitoring frequency is summarised in **Table 3.1**.

 Table 3.1
 Air quality monitoring parameters and frequency

Parameters	Monitoring Frequency
24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days
1-hour TSP	3 times every 6 days (as required in case of complaints)

Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual and the subsequent Baseline Monitoring Report, three air quality monitoring locations during construction stage are required. The locations of the three air quality monitoring stations are shown below in **Table 3.2**:

Table 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

ID	Premise
DMS -1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School
DMS -2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School
DMS-3 ^(Note 2) / DMS-4 ^(Note 3)	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home (Note 1) (Note 4)

Notes:

Note 1: Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home was selected as an alternative monitoring location to Shek On House.

Note 2: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (TAW - HUH).

Note 3: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (HHS).

Note 4: The associated monitoring was carried out under Works Contract SCL1106 since October 2016.

Wind Monitoring

Wind monitoring data including wind speed and wind directions shall be collected from Hong Kong Observatory – Kai Tak and Sha Tin Meteorological Stations and shown in **Appendix F**.

Environmental / Quality Performance Limits

The monitoring results will be checked against the Action and Limit levels described in the Baseline Monitoring Report, of which they are excerpted and summarised in **Tables 3.3** and **3.4**.

Table 3.3 Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring of 24-hour TSP level

Level	Air Monitoring Stations			
	DMS-1	DMS-2	DMS-3 / DMS-4	
Action Level, μg/m ³	148.7	167.4	159.1	
Limit Level, μg/m ³		260		

Table 3.4 Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring of 1-hour TSP level

Level	Air Monitoring Stations			
	DMS-1	DMS-2	DMS-3 / DMS-4	
Action Level, μg/m ³	283.9	276.2	278.4	
Limit Level, μg/m ³		500		

Note:

Note 1: 1-hr TSP monitoring would be required in case of receiving complaints.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring Methodology

3.2.1 Monitoring Equipment

High Volume Sampler (HVS) was used to monitor the 24-hour TSP. **Table 3.5** shows the equipment used for the air quality monitoring.

 Table 3.5
 Air Quality Equipment List for Impact Air Quality Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No	Measurement Parameter	Serial No.
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170	A41 TGD	3761, 3763
Fibreglass Filter	G810	24-hour TSP	-
HVS Calibration Kit	TE-5025A		2421

3.2.2 Maintenance and Calibration

High Volume Sampler

The HVSs and their accessories were frequently checked and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's operation and maintenance manual. The maintenance included checking of supporting screen and gasket, as well as routine replacement of motor carbon brushes for the blower motor. The power cords and power supply were checked each time before sampling to ensure proper operation.

The HVSs were calibrated at 2-month intervals using TE-5025A calibration kit which is re-calibrated by the manufacturer after one year of use. The calibration spreadsheets of the HVSs and calibration certificate of the calibration kit are provided in **Appendix D**.

3.2.3 Monitoring Procedures

High Volume Sampler

Specifications of the HVS are as follows:

- $0.6 1.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{min} (20 60\text{SCFM});$
- Equipped with a timing/control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hour operation;
- Installed with elapsed time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hour operation;
- Capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406 cm² (63in²);
- Flow control accuracy: +/-2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period;
- Equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
- Incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices;
- Equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;
- Provided with a peaked roof inlet;
- Incorporated with a manometer;
- Able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position;
- Easy to change the filter; and
- Capable of operating continuously for 24-hour period.

The HVSs were equipped with an electronic mass flow controller and calibrated against a traceable standard at regular intervals. All equipment, calibration kit and filter papers were clearly labelled.

The relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and other special phenomena observed and work progress of the concerned site were recorded.

A HOKLAS accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (HOKLAS no.: 066)), in accordance with their standard QA/QC procedures, with constant temperature and humidity control as well as equipped with necessary measuring and conditioning instruments to handle the 24-hour TSP samples was employed for sample analysis, and equipment calibration and maintenance. Filter papers of size 8"x10" were labelled before sampling. They were inspected clean with no pin holes and conditioned in a humidity controlled chamber for over 24-hour and be preweighed before use for the sampling.

The 24-hour TSP levels were measured by following the standard High Volume Method for Total Suspended Particulates as set out in the Title 40 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. TSP was sampled by drawing air through a conditioned, pre-weighted filter paper inside the HVS at a controlled air flow rate. After 24-hour sampling, the filter papers loaded with dust were kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag, and then returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with a readout down to 0.1 mg. All the collected samples shall be kept in a good condition for 6 months before disposal.

3.3 Monitoring Results and Observations

3.3.1 Weather Condition

January 2017 was characterised largely by fine and dry conditions associated with the northeast monsoon. On occasion, rainy conditions were observed during the passage of cold fronts.

3.3.2 Air Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring of 24-hour TSP was conducted on 5, 11, 17, 23 and 27 January 2017. All monitoring data and graphical presentation of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix E** and are summarised in **Table 3.6**. The graphical presentations of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix E**. Wind data obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory – Kai Tak and Sha Tin stations during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix F**.

 Table 3.6
 Summary of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Station	24- hour TSP Monitoring Results (µg/m³)		Action	Limit
Womtoring Station	Average	Range ^(Note 1)	Level	Level
DMS-1	63.7	15.4 - 117.4	148.7	260
DMS-2	80.4	44.6 - 156.5	167.4	260

Note:

Note 1: Range = Minimum TSP Value – Maximum TSP Value.

All 24-hour TSP measurements during the reporting month were below the Action/Limit Level. No exceedance of action and limit level was found.

The event and action plan is provided in **Appendix I**.

3.3.3 General Observations

Major construction works including Underground Remedial Works in Diamond Hill; Tunnel Lining, Partition Walls, Dividing Slabs, Drains, Walkways and Site Formation at Hin Keng; Tunnels Connection, RC Concrete, ELS works, Sheet piling for retaining wall and RRIW for PTT at Fung Tak and Central Core, Ventilation Tunnel, C&S Works and ABWF Works at Ma Chai Hang.

4 Noise Monitoring

4.1 Noise Monitoring Requirements

4.1.1 Impact Monitoring

Monitoring Parameters

Construction noise shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be recorded as supplementary reference information for data auditing.

Monitoring Frequency

Noise measurements shall be conducted on a weekly basis. The monitoring time periods, monitoring parameters and frequency are summarised in **Table 4.1.**

 Table 4.1
 Construction Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Time Period (when construction activity is found)	Parameters	Monitoring Frequency
Between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	Leq(30 min)	Once per week

Monitoring Location

In accordance with the EM&A Manual and the subsequent Baseline Monitoring Report, three noise monitoring locations during the construction stage are required, namely:

 Table 4.2
 Noise Monitoring Locations

ID	Premise
NMS-CA-1	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School
NMS-CA-2	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School
NMS-CA-3 ^(Note 2) / NMS-CA-4 ^(Note 3)	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home (Note 1)(Note 4)

Notes:

Note 1: Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home was selected as an alternative monitoring location to Shek On house.

Note 2: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (TAW - HUH).

Note 3: Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL (HHS).

Note 4: The associated monitoring was carried out under Works Contract SCL1106 since October 2016.

Environmental / Quality Performance Limits

The monitoring results will be checked against the Action and Limit levels described in the Baseline Monitoring Report, of which they are excerpted and summarised in **Tables 4.3**.

Table 4.5 Action and Limit Levels of construction noise				
Location (Note 1)	Time Period (note 3)	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)	
NMS-CA-1 & NMS-CA-2	0700 - 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is	70/65 (Note 2)	
NMS-CA-3 / NMS-CA-4		received	70	

 Table 4.3
 Action and Limit Levels of construction noise

Notes:

- 1. The detail of monitoring locations was presented in Table 1.3.
- 2. For normal day-time working hours, the noise criteria is 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for normal teaching periods and examination periods respectively.
- 3. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

4.1.2 Continuous Noise Monitoring

With reference to the latest Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) and Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) prepared and submitted under EP Condition 2.10, continuous noise monitoring was conducted in April 2013 at C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School only due to the prediction of residual airborne construction noise impacts exceeding the relevant noise criteria. No continuous noise monitoring is required during the reporting month as per the CNMP.

4.2 Noise Monitoring Methodology

4.2.1 Monitoring Equipment

Noise level was measured by a Sound Level Meter (SLM) in terms of A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level. Leq, L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded as supplementary information for data auditing. **Table 4.4** shows the equipment list of the noise monitoring.

 Table 4.4
 Noise Equipment List for Impact Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Serial No.	Precision Grade
	Model No.		
Integrated SLM	NA - 28	00162248	IEC 651 Type 1
			IEC 804 Type 1
Sound level calibrator	Castle Group Ltd.	043328	IEC 942 Type 1

4.2.2 Maintenance and Calibration

The SLM and calibrator in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Publication 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications according to the EM&A manual.

SLM complying with the standards of IEC 651 (Fast, Slow, Impulse rms detector tests) and IEC 804 (L_{eq} functions) and acoustical calibrator complying with IEC 942 were adopted for the noise measurement. All equipments are calibrated

externally. The calibration certificates for the noise equipment are given in **Appendix G**.

4.2.3 Monitoring Procedures

- The SLM and battery were checked to ensure that they are in proper condition. The SLM was set on a tripod at 1.2m above ground and at least 1m from the exterior of the building façade;
- Before conducting the measurement, the SLM was calibrated by an acoustical calibrator;
- Measurement parameter was set to A-weighted sound pressure level. The time weighting was set in fast response and the time period of measurement at 30 minutes;
- Wind speed was checked during noise monitoring to ensure the steady wind speed does not exceed 5m/s, or wind with gusts does not exceed 10m/s;
- Any abnormal conditions that generated intrusive noise during the measurement was recorded on the field record sheet;
- After each measurement, the equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}),
 L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded on the field record sheet;
- After conducting the measurement, the SLM was calibrated by an sound level calibrator; and
- The SLM was re-calibrated by the sound level calibrator to confirm that there is no significant drift of reading. Measurements shall be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0 dB.

4.3 Monitoring Results and Observations

4.3.1 Weather Condition

January 2017 was characterised largely by fine and dry conditions associated with the northeast monsoon. On occasion, rainy conditions were observed during the passage of cold fronts.

4.3.2 Noise Monitoring Results

Impact Monitoring

Monitoring of the construction noise level was conducted on 6, 12, 18 and 24 January 2017. All monitoring data and graphical presentation of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix H** and are summarised in **Tables 4.5** - **4.6**. The graphical presentations of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix H**.

Table 4.5 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring at Location NMS-CA-1

Date	Time	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Construction Noise Level(Note1), dB(A)	Limit Level (Note 2)
		Leq (30min)	Leq (30min)	Leq (30min)	dB(A)
6-Jan-17	11:00-11:30	55.9		< Baseline Level	
12-Jan-17	13:00-13:30	56.8	57.0	< Baseline Level	70/65
18-Jan-17	11:00-11:30	56.7	57.0	< Baseline Level	70/65
24-Jan-17	12:45-13:15	54.7		< Baseline Level	

Notes:

- Construction Noise Level = Measured Noise Level Baseline Noise Level.
- 2. For normal day-time working hours, the noise criteria is 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for normal teaching periods and examination periods respectively.

Table 4.6 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring at Location NMS-CA-2

Date	Time	Measured Noise Level, dB(A) Leq (30min)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A) Leq (30min)	Construction Noise Level(Note1), dB(A)	Limit Level (Note 2) dB(A)
6-Jan-17	09:30-10:00	61.5		< Baseline Level	
12-Jan-17	11:15-11:45	59.1	66.0	< Baseline Level	70/65
18-Jan-17	09:30-10:00	60.7	00.0	< Baseline Level	70/03
24-Jan-17	10:15-10:45	61.7		< Baseline Level	

Notes:

- 1. Construction Noise Level = Measured Noise Level Baseline Noise Level.
- 2. For normal day-time working hours, the noise criteria is 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for normal teaching periods and examination periods respectively.

4.3.3 Exceedance of Limit and Action Levels for Construction Noise

No exceedence of Action Level / Limit Level of regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting month.

The event and action plan is provided in **Appendix I**.

4.3.4 General Observations

The construction site has been under normal operation during the noise monitoring period and no unusual operation was observed.

5 Landscape and Visual Monitoring

5.1 Introduction

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period. The event and action plan is provided in **Appendix I**.

5.2 Mitigation Measures

Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted during the reporting month on 11 and 25 January 2016. No adverse impacts were identified with regards to landscape and visual.

6 Waste Disposal

The actual amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of the Project during the reporting month are shown in **Table 6.1**. The monthly waste summary flow table is provided in **Appendix J.**

 Table 6.1
 Amount of Waste Generated

Waste Type	Amount	Disposal Locations
Inert C&D Materials	542 m³ (Total)	TKO137FB/TM38FB
Inert C&D Materials	0 m^3	Reused in the Contract
Chemical Waste	0 kg	Disposed of by a licensed collector
Paper / cardboard packaging	0 kg	
Plastic	0 kg	-
Metal	0 kg	
General Refuse	173 m^3	NENT/SENT/WENT Landfill

7 Cultural Heritage

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, appropriate vibration monitoring on the identified built heritage has been agreed with the Building Department (BD)/Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) under the requirement of Buildings Ordinance and/or Blasting Permit as appropriate. Vibration monitoring was not conducted at Wong Tai Sin Temple since no TBM was in operation during the reporting month.

8 Hazard

No blasting activity was carried out during the reporting month.

9 Environmental Performance

9.1 Environmental Site Inspection

Environmental site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis, with the IEC joint site inspection being carried out on 11 January 2017, to monitor environmental issues on the construction sites to ensure that all mitigation measures were implemented timely and properly. A summary of the site inspections in the reporting month is presented in **Table 9.1**.

 Table 9.1
 Key Findings of Weekly Environmental Site Audit

Inspection Date	Works Area	Key Observations and Recommendations	Contractor's Response /	Closed Date / Follow up Status
			Environment al Outcome	
		Air		
28 Dec 2016	Fung Tak	The Contractor was reminded to provide water spraying to dusty haul roads regularly.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and provided water spraying. Closed 4 Jan 2017.
28 Dec 2016	Hin Keng	The Contractor was reminded to provide water spraying to dusty stockpiles regularly or properly cover the dusty stockpiles with impervious sheeting.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and covered stockpiles with tarpaulin sheeting. Closed 4 Jan 2017.
4 Jan 2017	Ma Chai Hang	The contractor was reminded to cover 20 bags or more of cement entirely with impervious sheeting.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and covered stockpiles with tarpaulin sheeting. Closed 11 Jan 2017.
		Water	•	
18 Jan 2017	Fung Tak	The contractor is reminded to ensure that the pH of the WWTP is within the acceptable range prior to discharge.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and ensured that the pH was in the acceptable range prior to discharge. Closed 25 Jan 2017.
	<u> </u>	Waste Management	1	
4 Jan 2017	Ma Chai Hang	The Contractor was reminded to remove or provide secondary containment underneath chemical containers.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and ensured that chemical containers were removed and stored in a designated storage

Inspection Date	Works Area	Key Observations and Recommendations	Contractor's Response / Environment al Outcome	Closed Date / Follow up Status
4 Jan 2017	Fung Tak	The contractor was reminded to ensure all chemical waste is labelled, treated and disposed of properly.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	area. Closed 11 Jan 2017. The Contractor rectified the issue and ensured all chemical waste was labelled, treated and disposed of properly. Closed
11 Jan 2017	Ma Chai Hang	The contractor is reminded to place chemical containers into a drip tray after use.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor rectified the issue and ensured that chemical containers were placed in drip trays after use. Closed 18 Jan 2017.
25 Jan 2017	Hin Keng	The contractor is reminded to ensure that chemical containers are properly stored after use.	Agreed with ET's Advice.	The Contractor has noted the issue and the status will be reported in the next reporting month.

9.2 Summary of Environmental Complaint

No environmental complaints recorded in the reporting month. The updated statistical summary of complaint is presented in **Table 9.2**. The updated complaint logs for the Project in the reporting month is shown in **Appendix L**.

 Table 9.2
 Summary of Complaints

Reporting Period	Complaint Statistics			
	Number Cumulative			
01/01/17 - 31/01/17	0	19		

9.3 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

There was no non-compliance identified during the reporting month so review of the non-compliance was not required.

9.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

No summons of prosecutions related to environmental issues were received or made against the project in the reporting month. Please refer to **Appendix L** for a

Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions.

10 Future Key Issues

10.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Works to be undertaken in the coming reporting month are summarised in **Table 10.1** below.

 Table 10.1
 Tentative Programme of Construction Works for the Coming Month

Locations [1]	Major Works Undertaken
Diamond Hill	Underground Remedial Works
Hin Keng	Tunnel Lining, Partition Walls, Dividing Slabs, Drains, Walkways and Site Formation
Fung Tak	Tunnels Connection, RC Concrete, ELS Work, Sheet piling for retaining wall and RRIW for PTT
Ma Chai Hang	Central Core, Ventilation Tunnel, C&S Works and ABWF Works
Shiu Chui O	Storage Area

10.2 Environmental Monitoring Program for the Coming Month

Environmental monitoring and audit will be carried out in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A manual. Tentative air and noise monitoring as well as weekly site audit schedule for the coming month with respect to the construction programme is shown in **Appendix K**.

10.3 Construction Program for the Coming Month

The construction programme for the coming month is shown in **Appendix A.**

11 Conclusions and Recommendations

11.1 Conclusions

The construction phase of the project commenced on 14 February 2013. The EM&A programme has since been implemented, including air quality, noise and environmental site audits. Four environmental site audits were conducted in the reporting month.

No exceedence of Action Level / Limit Level of regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting month.

No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during the reporting period.

No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.

No complaints were received during the reporting period. No summons/prosecution was received during the reporting period.

The Contractor's ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

11.2 Recommendations

Impact monitoring will continue to be carried out in the following month and will follow the requirements stipulated in the EM&A manual. Attention will be paid to the environmental issues identified in the EIA report and weekly site audit. Mitigation measures recommended in EIA report and Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measure will be fully implemented.

Waste management is a key environmental issue. The waste management plan should be strictly followed in accordance with the requirements described in the EIA report.

Water Quality impact is also a key environmental issue. The drainage system should be well maintained. All wastewater generated within the site shall be collected and treated prior to discharge.

Construction noise is also a key environmental issue. The implemented construction noise mitigation measures should also be maintained and improved as necessary. Especially in restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the CNPs should be strictly followed when the construction works were carried out during restricted hours.

Construction dust is also key environmental issue. The implemented construction dust mitigation measures including covering of exposed slope / soil with tarpaulin


sheet etc., should be maintained and improved as necessary. Adequate water spraying should be provided for the unpaved area to minimize dust disturbance.


12 Reference


- (1) MTR Corporation Limited. SCL NEX/2206 EIA Study for Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section. Final Environmental Impact Assessment Report. October 2011.
- (2) MTR Corporation Limited. SCL NEX/2206 EIA Study for Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section. Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual. October 2011.
- (3) MTR Corporation Limited. SCL NEX/2206 EIA Study for Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard. Final Environmental Impact Assessment Report. October 2011.
- (4) MTR Corporation Limited. SCL NEX/2206 EIA Study for Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard. Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual. October 2011.


Figures



















Figure 1.7 - Project Organisation for Environmental Works



















Appendix A

Construction Programme



Page 2 of 2 Document Ref No.: 1103- Monthly Report - Appendix E Programme ID: 1103-RMP.02-Update36 Activity ID Activity Name PMP Start PMP Finish Actual/Forecast Physical % Complete Total Float 2017 March Original Actual/Forecast February Duration 01 | 08 | 15 | 22 | 29 | 05 | 12 | 19 | 26 | 05 | 12 | 19 | 26 | 02 | 09 | 16 | 23 | 30 | 07 | 14 | 21 | 28 **COST CENTER N - OPTION 1: FUNG TAK PILE REMOVAL WORKS (Works Completed) COST CENTER N - Milestone Schedule - Option 1 (Milestones Not Applicable) Preliminaries and General**



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
01-Feb-17	Submission of Monthly Report to MTR	QT	EC

Appendix B

Environmental Monitoring Programme in Reporting Month

SCL Works Contract 1103 - Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels Impact Monitoring Schedule - January 2017						
Date		Air Quality	Noise			
		24-hours TSP	L _{Aeq} , 30 min	Site Inspection		
1-Jan-17	Sun		-Aeq; ••			
2-Jan-17	Mon					
3-Jan-17	Tue					
4-Jan-17	Wed					
5-Jan-17	Thu					
6-Jan-17						
7-Jan-17	Sat					
8-Jan-17	Sun					
9-Jan-17	Mon					
10-Jan-17	Tue					
11-Jan-17	Wed					
12-Jan-17	Thu					
13-Jan-17	Fri					
14-Jan-17	Sat					
15-Jan-17	Sun					
16-Jan-17	Mon					
17-Jan-17	Tue					
18-Jan-17	Wed					
19-Jan-17	Thu					
20-Jan-17	Fri					
21-Jan-17	Sat					
22-Jan-17	Sun					
23-Jan-17	Mon					
24-Jan-17	Tue					
25-Jan-17	Wed					
26-Jan-17	Thu					
27-Jan-17	Fri					
28-Jan-17	Sat					
29-Jan-17	Sun					
30-Jan-17	Mon					
31-Jan-17	Tue					

Public Holiday Monitoring Day

Monitoring Details

Monitoring	Locations	Parameters
	DMS-1 -	
	C.U.H.K.A.A	
	Thomas Cheung	24-hour TSP
Air Quality	School, DMS-2 -	24-110ul 13P
	Price Memorial	
	Catholic Primary	
	School	
	NMS-CA-1 -	
	C.U.H.K.A.A	
	Thomas Cheung	
Noise	School, NMS-CA-2 -	L _{Aeq(30 min)} , L ₁₀ , L ₉₀
	Price Memorial	
	Catholic Primary	
	School	

Appendix C

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

Note: Chapters 1 to 3 of the EIA report present the background information of the Project, identified concurrent projects, objectives and scope for various environmental aspects, and description on alternative options and construction description. Chapters 4 to 14 of the EIA report present the EIA findings and mitigation measures are described below with cross-reference to the EIA report for the reporting month. Chapters 15 & 16 describe the environmental monitoring requirements and conclusion.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Ecology (F	Pre-Cons	truction Phase)					
S5.4	E1	Engineering works should not encroach into country park boundary, Tei Lung Hau Stream and secondary woodland near the portal at Hin Keng	Minimize ecological impacts	Lion Rock Country Park, Tei Lung Hau Stream	Detailed design and construction stage	•AFCD's requirements •EIAO •Country Parks Ordinance	✓
	E2	Habitat Loss A detailed vegetation survey should be conducted in the Hin Keng Portal area to locate and enumerate individuals of <i>Aquilaria sinensis</i> which will potentially be affected by construction and operation of the Portal. A suitable site for transplanting all affected individuals within the footprint area should be identified and assessed for its suitability. A transplantation plan should then be drawn up and details of the transplantation methodologies and programme along with post-transplantation monitoring should be included.	Minimize ecological impacts on important species	Hin Keng Portal areas	Prior to site clearance	•AFCD's requirements	✓
S5.7	E3	Tree felling and vegetation removal Precautionary checks of the vegetation for the presence of nesting bird species of conservation interest should be carried out before vegetation clearance by an ecologist.	Minimize ecological impacts to breeding bird species of conservation interest	Works sites for DIH	Prior to site clearance	•AFCD's requirements	N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Ecology (Construc	tion Phase)					
\$5.7	E5	Good Site Practices Impact to any habitats or local fauna should be avoided by implementing good site practices, including the containment of silt runoff within the site boundary, the containment of contaminated soils for removal from the site, appropriate storage of chemicals and chemical waste away from sites of ecological value and the provision of sanitary facilities for on-site workers. Adoption of such measures should permit waste to be suitably contained within the site for subsequent removal and appropriate disposal. The following good site practices should also be implemented: • Erection of temporary geotextile silt or sediment fences/oil traps around any earth-moving works to trap any sediments and prevent them from entering watercourses in particular the Tei Lung Hau stream; • Avoidance of soil storage against trees or close to waterbodies in particular the Tei Lung Hau stream; • Delineation of works site by erecting hoardings to prevent encroachment onto adjacent habitats and fence off areas which have some ecological value e.g. Tei Lung Hau Stream and the adjoining secondary woodland, tunnel on hill at top of slope stabilisation works; • No on-site burning of waste; • Waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles.	Minimize ecological impacts	All construction sites	Construction stage		* * * * *

Page -2

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
\$5.7	E7	 Water Quality and Hydrology Implement water control measures (ETWB TCW No. 5/2005, Protection of natural streams/ rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works to avoid direct or indirect impacts on the Tei Lung Hau Stream) and good site practices. Canopy tubes should be installed from the shaft structure and extend the full width of the stream. These canopy tubes with sieves along its length should be grouted and form a stable and low permeable 'umbrella' for further mining works to be carried out in stages. The canopy tubes beneath the stream area are within Completely Decomposed Granite (CDG) stratum. 	Avoid indirect water impact to any wetland habitats or wetland fauna Minimize the drawdown of water table	Works area in Hin Keng	Construction stage	• TCW No. 5/2005	✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status			
Landscape	Landscape and Visual (Construction Phase)									
\$6.9.3	LV1	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation and avoidance of potential impacts are recommended: Re-use of Existing Soil For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where	Minimize visual & landscape impact	Within Project Site	Construction stage	TM-EIAO				
		possible for new planting areas within the project. The construction program shall consider using the soil removed from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as necessary.					✓			
		No-intrusion Zone								
		To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor and restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.					√			
		Protection of Retained Trees All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which specifying the tree protection requirement, submission and approval system, and the tree monitoring system.					✓			
		The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees					✓			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works sites.					
S6.12	LV2	 Decorative Hoarding Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context. Management of facilities on work sites To provide proper management of the facilities on the sites, give control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent VSRs. Tree Transplanting Trees of high to medium survival rate would be affected by the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable. Tree transplanting proposal including final location for transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek relevant government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB TCW No 3/2006. 	Minimize visual & landscape impact	Within Project Site	Detailed design and construction stage	EIAO – TM ETWB TCW 2/2004 ETWB TCW 3/2006	✓

Page -5

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Air Quality	(Constru	uction Phase)					
-	A1	 Emission from Vehicles and Plants All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use. Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke. All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra-low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD) 	Reduce air pollution emission from construction vehicles and plants	All construction sites	Construction stage	• APCO	✓
		Open burning shall be prohibited	Reduce air pollution emission from work site	All construction sites	Construction stage	• APCO	√
Constructi	ion Dust	Impact					
S7.6.5	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Rdr
S7.6.5	D2	 Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road in the Kowloon area and once per 1.5 hour at those in the Tai Wai area should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Rdr

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8 L/m2 to achieve the dust removal efficiency					
S7.6.5	D3	 Proper watering of exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase: Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Rdr Rdr
		 unloading; Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; 					√
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.					✓
		 The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; 					√
		 Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; 					✓
		 When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction 					~

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		period;					
		 The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; 					√
		 Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; 					√
		 Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; 					N/A
		 Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; 					✓
		Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting;					✓
		 Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; 					Rdr

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed;					✓
		 Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and 					√
		Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.					N/A
S7.6.5	D6	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage.	Monitoring of dust impact	Selected representative dust monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIA	✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Constructi	ion Noise	(Airborne)					
S8.3.6	N1	Implement the following good site practices: • only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;	Control construction airborne noise	All construction sites	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIA	4
		machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;					✓
		 plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; 					✓
		silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works;					✓
		mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable;					√
		material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.					✓
\$8.3.6	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening.	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	~
S8.3.6	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressor, generators and	Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		saw.					
\$8.3.6	N4	Use "Quiet plants"	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	√
\$8.3.6	N5	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	√
S8.3.6	N6	Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations	Selected representative noise monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIA	√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Water Qua	ality (Con	struction Phase)					
S10.7.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: Construction Runoff and Site Drainage At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities), perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.	To minimize water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN1/94 TM-EIAO TM-Water	
		implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. • The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the					✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		commencement of construction.					
		 All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. 					√
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows.					√
		 All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas. 					Rdr
		 Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 					•
		 Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be 					✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.					√
		 Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. 					√
		• All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.					✓
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain.					✓
		 Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. 					✓
		All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks					✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		 and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby. All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. Adopt best management practices 					✓
S10.7.1	W2	 Tunnelling Works Cut-&-cover/ open cut tunnelling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater. Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunneling works	All tunneling portion	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-water TM-EIAO	*
S10.7.1	W3	Sewage Effluent	To minimize water quality	All construction sites	Construction	Water Pollution	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		 Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. 	from sewage effluent	where practicable	stage	Control Ordinance TM-water	√
S10.7.1	W4	No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed with reference to the site investigation data in this EIA report for compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-Water) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. The review results should be submitted to EPD for examination If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-Water or properly recharged into the ground.	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Excavation areas where contamination is found.	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance TM-water TM-EIAO	N/A
	If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-Water and should be discharged into the foul sewers.					N/A	
		 If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality 					N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-Water. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality of groundwater at the proposed recharge location(s) as well as the pollutant levels of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharged shall not be higher than pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as TPH products should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol interceptor. The Contractor should apply for a discharge licence under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater recharge operation or discharge of treated groundwater.					
S10.7.1	W7	 In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical 	To minimize water quality impact from accidental spillage	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN1/94 TM-EIAO TM-Water	Rdr
		Waste) (General) Regulation.					Rdr

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Waste Mar	nagement	(Construction Phase)					
S11.4.1.1	WM1	 On-site sorting of C&D material Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be explored. 	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use	All construction sites	Construction stage	• DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010	✓
S11.5.1	WM2	Construction and Demolition Material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; Carry out on-site sorting; Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance	✓ ✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;				• ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	√
		 Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible; 				19/2003	✓
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and					√
		 Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction. 					✓
		 In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project Proponent and get its approval before implementation 					√
S11.5.1	WM3	 C&D Waste Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage. 	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	✓
		 The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be 					√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage.					
S11.5.1	WM4	 General Refuse General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible. Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor. 	Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal Ordinance	✓ ✓
S11.5.1	WM5	Excavated Contaminated Soils Details of the mitigation measures on handling of the contaminated soil shall be referred to Section on Land Contamination below.	To remediate contaminated soil	Site L4 (Former Tai Hom Village)	Site remediation	Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boat yards and Car Repair/Dismantling Workshop.	√

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
S11.5.1	WM7	 Chemical Waste Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD. 	Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal.	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	Rdr Rdr

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
S14.2	EM1	An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual.	Control EM&A Performance	All construction sites	Construction stage	EIAO Guidance Note No.4/2010 TM-EIAO	~
S14.2 – 14.4	EM2	An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual.	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	All construction sites	Construction stage	EIAO Guidance Note No.4/2010 TM-EIAO	✓
		2) Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures.					✓
		3) An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with.					~

Page -22

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1 and A13A.1 0.2.4	The truck design should comply with the Requirements for Approval of an Explosives Delivery Vehicle (CEDD 2) and limit the amount of combustibles in the cabin. This should be combined with monthly vehicle inspection	To meet the ALARP requirement.	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.2	Blasting activities including storage, transport and use of explosives should be supervised and audited by competent site staff to ensure strict compliance with the blasting permit conditions.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage, transport and use would not be unacceptable	Works areas at which explosives would be stored and/or used.	Construction phase	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1 and A13A.1 0.2.5	Only the required quantity of explosives for a particular blast should be transported to avoid the return of unused explosives to the temporary magazines. The number of return trips to the magazine should be minimized. If disposal is required for small quantities, disposal should be made in a controlled and safe manner by a Registered Shotfirer.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport.	Works areas at which explosives would be stored and/ or used.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	A minimum headway between two consecutive truck conveys of at least 10 min is recommended.	To ensure that the risk from the proposed explosives transport would not be unacceptable	Along explosives transport route.	Construction phase.		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	The explosive truck accident frequency should be minimized by implementing a dedicated training programme for both the driver and his attendants, including regular briefing sessions, implementation of a defensive driving attitude. In addition, drivers should be selected based on good safety record, and medical checks.	To meet the ALARP requirement.	-	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	The explosive truck fire involvement frequency should be minimized by implementing a better emergency response and training to make	To meet the ALARP requirement.	-	Construction phase		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		sure the adequate fire extinguishers are used and attempt is made to evacuate the area of the incident or securing the explosive load if possible. All explosive vehicles should also be equipped with the required amount and type of fire extinguishers and shall be agreed with Mines Division.					N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	The contractor should as far as practicable combine the explosive deliveries for a given work area.	To meet the ALARP requirement.	-	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	The Contractor should as far as practicable use the preferred transport route.	To ensure that the risk from the proposed explosives transport would not be unacceptable	Along explosives transport route.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.1	The Contractor should coordinate explosives deliveries with the delivery of chlorine to Shatin Water Treatment Works in order to avoid overlapping.	To ensure that the risk from the proposed explosives transport would not be unacceptable	Along explosives transport route.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	Use only experienced driver(s) with good safety record for explosive vehicle(s). Training should be provided to ensure it covers all major safety subjects.	To ensure safe transport of explosives	At suitable location	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	Develop procedure to ensure that parking space on the site is available for the explosive truck. Confirmation of parking space should be communicated to truck drivers before delivery.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage and transport would not be unacceptable	Explosive magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	Delivery vehicles shall not be permitted to remain unattended within the magazine site (or appropriately wheel-locked).	To reduce the risk of fire within the magazine	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	Good house-keeping within and outside of the magazine to ensure that combustible materials (including vegetation) are removed and not allowed to accumulate.	To reduce the risk of fire within the magazine	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	Detonators shall not be transported in the same vehicle with other Class 1 explosives	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of cartridged emulsion	-	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.2	Emergency plan (ie magazine operational manual) shall be developed to address uncontrolled fire in magazine area. The case of fire near an explosive carrying truck in jammed traffic should also be covered. Drill of the emergency plan should be carried out at regular intervals.	To reduce the risk of fire	Explosive Magazine and along explosives transport route.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.2	The magazine storage quantities need to be reported on a monthly basis to ensure that the two day storage capacity is not exceeded.	To reduce the risk within the magazine	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.2	Adverse weather working guideline should be developed to clearly define procedure for transport explosives during thunderstorm.	To ensure safe transport of explosives	Along explosives transport route.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	During transport of the explosives within the tunnel, hot work should not be permitted	To ensure safe transport of explosives	Along explosives transport route.	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	Ensure that packaging of detonators remains intact until handed over at blasting site.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the	-	Construction phase		N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
			transport of detonator				
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.4	Steel vehicle tray welded to a steel vertical fire screen should be mounted at least 150 mm behind the drivers cab and 100 mm from the steel cargo compartment, the vertical screen shall protrude 150 mm in excess of all three (3) sides of the steel cargo compartment	To reduce the risk during explosives transport.	-	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.5	Ensure cartridged emulsion with high water content should be preferred. Also, the emulsion with perchlorate formulation should be avoided.	To ensure safe explosives to be used	-	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	Traffic Management should be implemented within the temporary magazine site, to ensure that no more than 1 vehicle will be loaded at any time, in order to avoid accidents involving multiple vehicles within the site boundary. Based on the construction programme, considering that 6 trucks could be loaded over a peak 2 hour period, this is considered feasible.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage and transport would not be unacceptable	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	The design of the fill slope close to the temporary magazine site should consider potential washout failures and incorporate engineering measures to prevent a washout causing damage to the temporary magazine stores	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.2	The security plan should address different alert security level to reduce opportunity for arson / deliberate initiation of explosives. The corresponding security procedure should be implemented with respect to prevailing security alert status announced by the Government.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	A suitable work control system should be introduced, such as an operational manual including Permit-to-Work system.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13A.1 0.2.3	The magazine building shall be regularly checked for water seepage through the roof, walls or floor.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	Temporary explosives magazine	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	Blast charge weight (MIC) should be within the maximum MIC as specified for the given section.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	Temporary mitigation measures such as blast doors or heavy duty blast curtains should be installed at the access adits, shafts/ portals and at suitable locations underground to prevent flyrock and control the air overpressure.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	Blasting from multiple faces as well as different locations will be carried out for this project. Good communication and control will need to be adopted in ensuring that the works are carried out safely.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	It is intended that complete evacuation of the underground tunnels need not be carried out and secure refuge areas should be identified to workers in the area.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	A Chief Shotfirer and a Blasting Coordinator shall be employed in addition to the normal blasting personnel to ensure that the works are safe and coordinated between blasting areas and between adjacent contracts.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter	A13B.7	Shotfirer to be provided with a lightning detector, and appropriate	To ensure safe use of	Along tunnel	Construction		N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
13.13	.2	control measures should be in place.	explosives	alignment	phase		
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7 .2	A speed limit for the diesel vehicle truck and bulk emulsion truck in the tunnel should be enforced. The truck may be escorted while underground to ensure route is clear from hazards and obstructions.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7	Hot work should be suspended during passage of the diesel vehicle truck and bulk emulsion truck in the tunnel.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7 .2	For any construction works related to use of explosives near gas facilities and gas pipes, the requirements of the Code of Practice on Avoiding Danger from Gas Pipes must be respected, in particular, to ensure liaison/coordination with HKCG with sufficient notice of planned works and to follow prescribed emergency procedures in case of leaks.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13B.7 .2	A detailed liaison between the contractor and HKCG should be established. HKCG should be notified about the blasting schedule in written format within a reasonable period of time prior to blasting in order to ensure the gas safety during the construction period. Also, liaison should be made with HKCG to develop an emergency plan.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Along tunnel alignment	Construction phase		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13C.8	Installation of on-site gas monitors in all relevant SCL construction/operation areas;	To reduce the risks to the SCL staff, construction workers and passengers	-	Construction and operation phases		N/A
Chapter 13.13	A13C.8	Establishment of emergency response and evacuation plans (co- operation of various parties/departments required. For the operational phase the emergency plan should also include adequate procedures for controlling the tunnel ventilation system and stopping	To reduce the risks to the SCL staff, construction workers and passengers	-	Construction and operation phases		N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?	Implementation Status
		of the SCL train traffic in order to prevent the trains moving into the affected areas.)					
Chapter 13.13	A13C.8	Safety/emergency response/evacuation training and drills for all personnel	To reduce the risks to the SCL staff, construction workers and passengers	-	Construction and operation phases		N/A

Appendix D

Calibration Certificates for Air Monitoring Equipment

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Calibration date

7-Nov-16

762.3 mm Hg

Next Calibration date

6-Jan-17

Barometric pressure Tempature (°C)

23.3 °C

Sampler location

DMS1 - Thomas Cheung School

Tempature (K)

296.3 K

Sampler model

TE-5170

 P_{std}

760 mm Hg

Sampler serial number

3763

 T_{std}

298 K

Calibrator model


TE-5025A

Calibrator serial number Slope of the standard curve, ms 2421 2.07019

Intercept of the standard curve, bs

-0.04612

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H₂O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m³/min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	4.00	24.00	0.99	24.11
7	6.20	34.00	1.23	34.15
10	9.00	42.00	1.48	42.18
13	11.30	50.00	1.65	50.22
18	13.00	56.00	1.77	56.25



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m):

40.2391

Sampler intercept (b):

-15.9638

Correlation coefficient (R²): 0.9953

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Date:

Checked by:

Date:

7-November - 2016 7-November 2016

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

DMS2 - Price Memorial Catholic Pri Tempature (K)

Calibration date

7-Nov-16

Barometric pressure

762.3 mm Ha

Next Calibration date

6-Jan-17

Tempature (°C)

23.3 ℃ 296.3 K

Sampler location Sampler model

TE-5170

 P_{std}

760 mm Hg

Sampler serial number

3761

 T_{std}

298 K

Calibrator model

TE-5025A

Calibrator serial number

2421


Slope of the standard curve, ms

2.07019

Intercept of the standard curve, bs

-0.04612

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H₂O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m³/min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.50	32.00	0.93	32.14
7	5.70	38.00	1.18	38.17
10	8.50	46.00	1.44	46.20
13	10.60	49.00	1.60	49.21
18	13.00	56.00	1.77	56.25



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m):

28.0569

Sampler intercept (b):

5.5589

Correlation coefficient (R2): 0.9909

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Date:

Checked by:

Date:

7-November 2016 7-November 2016

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Calibration date

6-Jan-17

Barometric pressure

760 mm Hg

Next Calibration date

7-Mar-17

Tempature (°C)

21 °C 294 K

Sampler location Sampler model

DMS1 - Thomas Cheung School TE-5170

Tempature (K) P_{std}

760 mm Hg

Sampler serial number

3763

T_{std}

298 K

Calibrator model

Calibrator serial number

TE-5025A 2421


Slope of the standard curve, ms

2.07019

Intercept of the standard curve, bs

-0.04612

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H₂O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m ³ /min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.10	27.00	0.88	27.18
7	4.40	35.00	1.04	35.24
10	7.70	46.00	1.37	46.31
13	9.50	53.00	1.52	53.36
18	11.50	61.00	1.67	61.41



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m):

41.4197

Sampler intercept (b):

-9.0237

Correlation coefficient (R2): 0.9930

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Performed by:

Date:

Checked by:


Date:

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

760 mm Hg Calibration date 6-Jan-17 **Barometric pressure** 21 °C Tempature (°C) 7-Mar-17 **Next Calibration date** DMS2 - Price Memorial Catholic Prir Tempature (K) 294 K Sampler location 760 mm Hg P_{std} Sampler model TE-5170 298 K 3761 T_{std} Sampler serial number

Calibrator modelTE-5025ACalibrator serial number2421Slope of the standard curve, ms2.07019Intercept of the standard curve, bs-0.04612

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H₂O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m³/min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.70	31.00	0.96	31.21
7	5.70	38.00	1.18	38.26
10	8.80	48.00	1.46	48.33
13	10.50	53.00	1.60	53.36
18	12.80	56.00	1.76	56.38



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m) : 32.5454Sampler intercept (b) : 0.1618Correlation coefficient (R²) : 0.9930

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Performed by:

Checked by:

Date:

Date:

7/1/2017



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ja Operator	**************************************	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 2421	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	- 746.76
=======		=========	=======	========	METER	ORFICE
PLATE	VOLUME	VOLUME	DIFF	DIFF	DIFF	DIFF
OR	START	STOP	VOLUME	TIME	Hg	H2O
Run #	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(min)	(mm)	(in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4210	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0040	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9010	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8550	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7120	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0019 0.9976 0.9955 0.9943 0.9892	0.7050 0.9936 1.1049 1.1630 1.3893	1.4186 2.0062 2.2430 2.3525 2.8372		0.9957 0.9914 0.9893 0.9882 0.9831	0.7007 0.9875 1.0980 1.1558 1.3807	0.8828 1.2485 1.3959 1.4640 1.7656
Qstd slc intercep coeffici	t (b) =	2.07019 -0.04612 0.99983	n e n	Qa slop intercep coeffici	t (b) =	1.29632 -0.02870 0.99983
y axis =	SQRT[H20(E	Pa/760)(298/7	[a)]	y axis =	SQRT [H20 (7	[a/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]

Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$

Appendix E

Dust Results

Location: DMS-1 - C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School

Details of 24-Hour TSP Monitoring

			_									Flow Recor	der Reading						Average					24-hour	Action	
			Time p	eriods	Receptor	Weather	Site	Pressure	e (mmHg)	Tempera	ture (oC)	(CI	FM)	Filter W	eight (g)	TSP	Flow Rate	(m ³ /min)	Flow	Elaps	e Time	Sampling	Total	TSP	Level	Limit Level
Filter No.	Month	Date	Start	Finish	No.	condition	condition	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Rate	Start	Finish	Time (mins.)	vol. (m³)	Level	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)
			Start	1 1111311															(m³/min)					(µg/m³)		
103550	Jan-17	5-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS1	Fine	Normal Operation	765.4	765.4	17.0	16.9	50.0	50.0	2.9040	3.0882	0.1842	1.6041	1.6044	1.6043	5798.29	5822.29	1440.00	2310.12	79.7	148.7	260.0
103565	Jan-17	11-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS1	Fine	Normal Operation	765.1	765.1	16.7	16.5	44.0	44.0	2.9049	2.9365	0.0316	1.4291	1.4296	1.4294	5822.30	5846.30	1440.00	2058.26	15.4	148.7	260.0
103567	Jan-17	17-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS1	Fine	Normal Operation	765.1	765.0	16.3	16.4	48.0	48.0	2.8888	3.0056	0.1168	1.5471	1.5467	1.5469	5846.31	5870.31	1440.00	2227.54	52.4	148.7	260.0
103571	Jan-17	23-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS1	Fine	Normal Operation	764.9	765.1	15.8	15.7	42.0	42.0	2.8892	3.1213	0.2321	1.3723	1.3727	1.3725	5870.32	5894.32	1440.00	1976.40	117.4	148.7	260.0
103573	Jan-17	27-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS1	Fine	Normal Operation	765.7	765.7	15.4	15.5	38.0	38.0	2.8916	2.9882	0.0966	1.2565	1.2563	1.2564	5894.33	5918.33	1440.00	1809.22	53.4	148.7	260.0


Average (μg/m3) 63.7 Max (μg/m3) 117.4 Min (μg/m3) 15.4


Location: DMS-2 Price Memorial Catholic Primary School


Details of 24-Hour TSP Monitoring


												Flow Recor	der Reading						Average					24-hour	Action	
			i ime p	eriods	Receptor	Weather	Site	Pressure	e (mmHg)	Tempera	ture (oC)	(CI	FM)	Filter W	eight (g)	TSP	Flow Rate	(m³/min)	Flow	Elaps	e Time	Sampling	Total	TSP	Level	Limit Level
Filter No.	Month	Date	Start	Finish	No.	condition	condition	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Rate	Start	Finish	Time (mins.)	vol. (m³)	Level	(μg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
			Start	1 1111511															(m ³ /min)					(µa/m³)		
103549	Jan-17	5-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS2	Fine	Normal Operation	765.4	765.4	17.0	16.9	46.0	46.0	2.8869	3.0442	0.1573	1.5402	1.5404	1.5403	5185.32	5209.32	1440.00	2218.0	70.9	167.4	260.0
103566	Jan-17	11-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS2	Fine	Normal Operation	765.1	765.1	16.7	16.5	48.0	48.0	2.8895	2.9924	0.1029	1.6020	1.6025	1.6023	5209.33	5233.33	1440.00	2307.2	44.6	167.4	260.0
103568	Jan-17	17-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS2	Fine	Normal Operation	765.1	765.0	16.3	16.4	44.0	44.0	2.8955	3.0432	0.1477	1.4803	1.4799	1.4801	5233.34	5257.34	1440.00	2131.34	69.3	167.4	260.0
103572	Jan-17	23-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS2	Fine	Normal Operation	764.9	765.1	15.8	15.7	40.0	40.0	2.9049	3.2110	0.3061	1.3585	1.3588	1.3587	5257.35	5281.35	1440.00	1956.46	156.5	167.4	260.0
103574	Jan-17	27-Jan-17	0:00	0:00	DMS2	Fine	Normal Operation	765.7	765.7	15.4	15.5	42.0	42.0	2.8889	3.0131	0.1242	1.4214	1.4212	1.4213	5281.36	5305.36	1440.00	2046.67	60.7	167.4	260.0

Average (µg/m3)	80.4
Max (μg/m3)	156.5
Min (ug/m3)	44.6










Appendix F

Wind data

Average wind speed obtained from the meteorological station at Kai Tak from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

5 January 2017




11 January 2017






23 January 2017






Average wind direction obtained from the meteorological station at Kai Tak from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

5 January 2017





11 January 2017






23 January 2017






Average wind speed obtained from the meteorological station at Sha Tin from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

5 January 2017




11 January 2017






23 January 2017





Average wind direction obtained from the meteorological station at Sha Tin from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)

5 January 2017




11 January 2017





23 January 2017





Appendix G

Calibration Certificates of Noise Monitoring Equipment

TEST REPORT for PRECISION

SOUND LEVEL METER

Model:

(With 1/3 octave real-time analyzer)

NA-28

Serial No.:	00162	2248
Microphone No.	:	08922
Preamplifier No.	.:	52341
Condition: Tempe	rature	24 °C
Hu	midity	37 %RH
Date:		March, 24, 2016
Signature:		dami

Pass

1. Frequency weightings (Fig. 1)

Frequency weighting A

Frequency weighting C

Frequency weighting Z

2. Level linearity error of Level range control (dB)

Frequency weighting: C

Reference level: 94.0 dB(Reference level range: 20-120 dB)

Level range	Input signal level	Deviation		Tolerance limits
(dB)	(dB)	1000 Hz	8000 Hz	(dB)
30-130	104.0	0.0	0.0	± 0.3
20-120	94.0		Ref.	
20-110	84.0	0.0	0.0	
20-100	74.0	0.0	0.0	± 0,3
20-90	64.0	0.0	0.0] - 3.5
20-80	54.0	0.0	0.0	

3. Level linearity error (dB)

1) Sound level meter mode

Frequency weighting: A

Reference level: 94.0 dB (at 1000 Hz, 12500 Hz), 54.0 dB (at 31.5 Hz)

Input signal level		Deviation(dB)			
(dB)	31.5 Hz	1000 Hz	12500 Hz	Tolerance limits (dB)	
130.0		0.0		102	
125.7			0.0	± 0.3	
104.0		0.0 —		± 0.2	
94.0		Ref.		Dof	
54.0	Ref.			Ref.	
25.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	± 0.3	



2) Analysis mode (dB)

Reference level: 94.0 dB, Frequency weighting: Z

Input signal level		Tolerance limits		
(dB)	16 Hz	1000 Hz	16000 Hz	(dB)
130.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	± 0.4
94.0				
35.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	± 0.4

4. Response to repeated to toneburst

Reference level: 130.0 dB (level range: 30-130 dB)

Input signal level: 130.0 dB + 10 dB

Frequency weighting: A, Time-weighting: S(Slow)

Toneburst: Frequency: 2000Hz, duration: 5 ms, period: 25 ms

Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
133.0	133.0	0.0	± 0.5

5. Toneburst response (Time weighted sound level)

Reference level: 127.0 dB (level range: 30-130 dB)

Input signal level: 127 dB

Frequency weighting: A, Time-weighting: F(Fast) Toneburst: Frequency: 4000Hz, duration: 0.25 ms

Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
100.0	99.9	-0.1	± 1.0

6. Toneburst response (Sound exposure level $L_{\rm E}$)

Reference level: 127.0 dB (level range: 30-130 dB)

Input signal level: 127 dB Frequency weighting: A

Toneburst: Frequency: 4000Hz, duration: 0.25 ms

Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
91.0	90.9	-0.1	± 1.0



7. Peak sound level (dB)

Reference level: 137.0 dB (level range: 30-130 dB)

Input signal level: 137 dB Frequency weighting: C

Peak sound level	Frequency	Input Signals	Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
	31.5 Hz	1-cycle	139.5	140.2	0.7	± 2.0
L cpeak	Half-cycle (Positive-going)	139.4	139.1	-0.3		
	500 Hz	Half-cycle (Negative-going)	139.4	139.1	-0.3	± 1.0

8. 1/1,1/3 Octave band filter characteristics (dB)

Reference level: 120.0 dB (level range: 20-120 dB)

Input signal level: 120.0 dB (at 1000 Hz)

Frequency weighting: Z

1) 1/1 Octave band filter (singleness)

Nominal midband frequency	Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
500 Hz	-39.9	-39.8	0.1	± 0.3
1000 Hz	Ref.			
2000 Hz	-39.7	-39.7	0.0	± 0.3

2) 1/3 Octave band filter (singleness)

Nominal midband frequency	Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
500 Hz	-49.8	-49.8	0.0	
630 Hz	-38.0	-38.0	0.0	± 0.3
800 Hz	-19.2	-19.2	0.0	
1000 Hz	Ref.			
1250 Hz	-19.3	-19.3	0.0	
1600 Hz	-38.0	-38.0	0.0	± 0.3
2000 Hz	-49.7	-49.7	0.0]



3) 1/1 Octave -band filters (all at once)

Nominal midband frequency	Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
500 Hz	-39.7	-39.6	0.1	± 0.3
1000 Hz	Ref.			
2000 Hz	-39.7	-39.7	0.0	± 0.3

4) 1/3 Octave-band filters (all at once)

Nominal midband frequency	Design goal (dB)	Indication (dB)	Deviation (dB)	Tolerance limits (dB)
500 Hz	-50.3	-50.4	-0.1	
630 Hz	-38.2	−38.2	0.0	± 0.3
800 Hz	-19.6	-19.6	0.0	
1000 Hz			Ref.	
1250 Hz	-19.3	-19.3	0.0	
1600 Hz	-38.0	-38.0	0.0	± 0.3
2000 Hz	-49.8	-49.8	0.0	

9. Inherent noise level (dB)

Engage average about a	Indicati	Tolerance limits	
Frequency weighting	20-120 dB	1-120 dB 20-100 dB (10.8 10.6 ≦ 14.4 — ≦	(dB)
A	10.8	10.6	≦14 dB
С	14.4		≦22 dB
Z	22.6	22.1	≦27 dB

10. Instrumental error

 \pm 0.7dB (Reference level : 84.0dB) 0.1 dB

Applicable standards


JIS C 1509-1: 2005 class 1 JIS C 1513: 2002 class 1 JIS C 1514: 2002 class 1 IEC 61672-1: 2013 class 1 IEC 61672-1: 2002 class 1 IEC 61260-1: 1995 class 1


ANSI/ASA S1.4-2014/Part 1 class 1


ANSI S1.11-2004 class 1



Relative free field frequency response









綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec_com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0323 02-02

Page:

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Castle Group Ltd. GA607

Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.:

043328

Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

Gammon Building Construction Limited

Address of Customer:

Request No.: Date of receipt: TEPC160327A

23-Mar-2016

Date of test:

24-Mar-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	15-Apr-2016	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

21 ± 1 °C 50 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1015 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2. The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013,25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Huang-Jian Min/Feng Jun Qi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

29-Mar-2016

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in his certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev D/01/03/2007



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F. & 20/F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong, 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com

Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0323 02-02

Page:

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

			(Output level in dB re 20 μPa)
Frequency Shown	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty
Hz	dB	dB	dB
1000	94,00	93.84	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.002 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

Actual Output Frequency 3,

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.1 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

 $0.1 \, \text{Hz}$

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, **Total Noise and Distortion**

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 2.4 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

End

Fung Chi Yip

Checked by:

Lam Tze Wai

Date:

24-Mar-2016

Date:

29-Mar-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev C/01/05/2005

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. 028 - CAL) under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories. The results shown in this certificate were determined by this laboratory in accordance with its terms of accreditation. Such terms of accreditation stipulate that the results shall be traceable to the International System of Units (S.I.) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.

Appendix H

Noise Results

Location: NMS-CA-1 - C.U.H.K.A.A Thomas Cheung School

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results

		Measure	ed Noise Le	vel, dB(A)		Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Baseline Corrected Level
Date	Time	L _{Aeq} ,30min	$_{\rm q}$,30min Limit L ₁₀ ,30min L ₉₀ ,30min		L _{Aeq} ,30min	L _{Aeq} ,30min	
6-Jan-17	11:00-11:30	55.9	70.0	59.0	54.0	57.0	< Baseline Level
12-Jan-17	13:00-13:30	56.8	70.0	59.0	50.0	57.0	< Baseline Level
18-Jan-17	11:00-11:30	56.7	70.0	59.0	49.9	57.0	< Baseline Level
24-Jan-17	12:45-13:15	54.7	70.0	56.0	51.5	57.0	< Baseline Level

Max	L _{Aeq} ,30min	56.8
Min	L _{Aeq} ,30min	54.7

Notes: (*): Façade correction is included

(#): Baseline Corrected Level = Measured Noise Level - Baseline Noise Level

Location: NMS-CA-2 - Price Memorial Catholic Primary School


Daytime Noise Monitoring Results


		Measure	d Noise Le	vel, dB(A)		Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Baseline Corrected Level
Date	Time	L _{Aeq} ,30min	Limit	imit L ₁₀ ,30min L ₉₀ ,30mir		L _{Aeq} ,30min	L _{Aeq} ,30min
6-Jan-17	09:30-10:00	61.5	70.0	62.0	58.5	66.0	< Baseline Level
12-Jan-17	11:15-11:45	59.1	70.0	60.0	57.5	66.0	< Baseline Level
18-Jan-17	09:30-10:00	60.7	70.0	61.5	58.0	66.0	< Baseline Level
24-Jan-17	10:15-10:45	61.7	70.0	64.5	58.0	66.0	< Baseline Level


Max	L _{Aeq} ,30min	61.7
Min	L _{Aeg} ,30min	59.1


Notes: (*): Façade correction is included

(#): Baseline Corrected Level = Measured Noise Level - Baseline Noise Level









Appendix I

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality, Airborne Noise and Landscape and Visual

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

			Action		
Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor	
Action Level					
Exceedance for one sample	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the Contractor, IEC and ER on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing:	Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate.	
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal as appropriate.	

Limit Level								
Exceedance for one sample	1. 2. 3. 4.	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor on the remedial measures and assess the effectiveness.	1. 2. 3. 4.	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures.	1. 2. 3. 4.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Review the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;	1. 2. 3. 4.	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER, and Contractor on the potential remedial measures; Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures.	 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing: Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event and Action Plan for Airborne Noise

Event		А	ction	
Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor.	Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise the implementation of remedial measures If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Event / Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

Action Level	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Non-conformity on one occasion	Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	Check inspection report Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with the ET, ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non- conformity	Identify Source Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER Increase inspection frequency Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring	Check inspection report Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures	Notify the Contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the non-conformity is abated.

ET – Environmental Team
IEC – Independent Environmental Checker
ER – Engineer's Representative

Appendix J

Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

	Actu	al Quantities	of Inert C&E	Materials G	enerated Mo	nthly	Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m³)
Jan	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.173
Feb											
Mar											
Apr											
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.173
July											
August											
September											
October											
November											
December											
Total	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.542	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.173

Comments:

- 1) Assumption: The densities of Rock, Soil, Mixed Rock and Soil, and Regular Spoil are 2.0 ton/m3; the density of general refuse is 1.0 ton/m3; the density of waste oil is 1.0 ton/m3.
- 2) The cut-off date of waste amount in Jan is 31/01/2017 for TKO137FB/TM38FB, NENT/SENT/WENT landfill.
- 3) The amount of waste in Jan is 173.37 tons for NENT/SENT/WENT Landfill, 1084.52 tons for TKO137FB/TM38FB.
- 4) The amount of C&D material reused in the Contract in Jan is 0 trucks, approximately 0 tons, for cut-off date as 31/01/2017.
- 5) The amount of chemical waste in Jan is 0 for cut-off date as 31/01/2017.
- 6) The value of waste amount would be rounded up in three decimal places.

Appendix K

Environmental Monitoring Programme for Coming Month

	•			
Jack IVIC		•		
			Site Inspection	
	24-hours TSP	L _{Aeq} , 30 min		
Wed				
Sun				
Mon				
Tue				
Wed				
Thu				
Fri				
Sat				
Sun				
Mon				
Tue				
Wed				
Thu				
Fri				
Sat				
Sun				
Mon				
Tue				
Wed				
Thu				
Fri				
Sat				
Sun				
Mon				
	Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue	Air Quality 24-hours TSP Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue Wed	Ved Thu Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat Sun Sat Sun Sat Sun Sat Sun Sat Sat Sun Sun Sat Sat	

Public Holiday
Monitoring Day

Monitoring Details

28-Feb-17 Tue

Monitoring	Locations	Parameters
	DMS-1 -	
	C.U.H.K.A.A	
	Thomas Cheung	24-hour TSP
Air Quality	School, DMS-2 -	24-110UI 13F
	Price Memorial	
	Catholic Primary	
	School	
	NMS-CA-1 -	
	C.U.H.K.A.A	
	Thomas Cheung	
Noise	School, NMS-CA-2 -	L _{Aeq(30 min)} , L ₁₀ , L ₉₀
	Price Memorial	
	Catholic Primary	
	School	

Appendix L

Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Ove Arup and Partners HK Ltd.

SCL 1103 Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnels Construction Stage Environmental Complaint Log (January 2017)

ET's Comp Log I no.	plaint	Incoming Complaint Ref no.	Name of Complainant	Date Complaint Received from EPD	Complaint Date/ Period	Complaint Location	Area of Concern	Details of Complaint	Date Complaint Received by ET	ET's Investigation Date	Investigation/Mitigation Measures	Status
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Ove Arup and Partners HK Ltd.

Environmental Complaint Log (Cumulative)

	plaint Log (Cumulative)		
Reporting Month	Number of Complaints in Reporting Month	Number of Summons in Reporting Month	Number of Prosecutions in Reporting Month
February 2013	0	0	0
March 2013	0	0	0
April 2013	0	0	0
May 2013	0	0	0
June 2013	0	0	0
July 2013	0	0	0
August 2013	0	0	0
September 2013	0	0	0
October 2013	0	0	0
November 2013	0	0	0
December 2013	0	0	0
January 2014	0	0	0
February 2014	0	0	0
March 2014	0	0	0
April 2014	0	0	0
May 2014			
Iviay 2014	0	0	0
June 2014	0	0	0
July 2014	0	0	0
August 2014	0	0	0
September 2014	0	0	0
October 2014	0	0	0
November 2014	1	0	0
December 2014	2	0	0
January 2015	0	0	0
February 2015	3	0	0
March 2015	3	0	0
April 2015	0	0	0
May 2015	0	0	0
June 2015	0	0	0
July 2015	1	0	0
August 2015	0	0	0
September 2015	0	0	0
October 2015	1	0	0
November 2015	1	0	0
December 2015	0	0	0
January 2016	0	0	0
February 2016	0	0	0
March 2016	1	0	0
April 2016	1	0	0
May 2016	1	0	0
June 2016	1	0	0
July 2016	0	0	0
August 2016	3	0	0
September 2016	0	0	0
October 2016	0	0	0
November 2016	0	0	0
December 2016	0	0	0
	-	-	-
January 2017	0	0	0
Total	19	0	0

Appendix D

47th EM&A Report for Works Contract 1106 – Diamond Hill Station

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 47
[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1106 - Diamond Hill Station

(February 2017)
Certified by:
Position: <u>Environmental Team Leader</u>
Date: 13 th February 2017

Leader Joint Venture

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report For January 2017

(Version 1.0)

Certified By

Dr. Priscilla Choy (Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388

Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Introduction	1
Summary of Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month	
Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress	
Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring	
Cultural Heritage	1
Waste Management	
Landscape and Visual	
Environmental Site Inspection	2
Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful	_
Prosecution	
Future Key Issues	3
1 INTRODUCTION	4
Purpose of the Report	4
Structure of the Report	
2 PROJECT INFORMATION	
Background	
General Site Description	5
Construction Programme and Activities	
Project Organisation	
Summary of EM&A Requirements	
3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	7
Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	7
Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	
Monitoring Equipment and Methodology	
Field Monitoring	
Monitoring Equipment	
Maintenance and Calibration	
Action & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring	
Regular Construction Dust Monitoring	
Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	
Instrumentation 1	
HVS Installation 1	
Filters Preparation	
Operating/Analytical Procedures	
Maintenance/Calibration	
Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring	
Cultural Heritage1	
Landscape and Visual	
4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
	4

5	MONITO	ORING RESULTS	. 15
Regi	ılar Constr	ruction Noise Monitoring	. 15
		Monitoring	
Cult	ural Herita	ge	. 16
		ment	
Lanc	lscape and	Visual	. 17
6	ENVIRO	NMENTAL SITE INSPECTION	. 18
Site	Audits		. 18
Impl	ementation	n Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	. 18
7	EIRONM	IENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	. 20
Sum	mary of E	xceedances	. 20
		nvironmental Non-Compliance	
		nvironmental Complaint	
Sum	mary of E	nvironmental Summon and Successful Prosecution	. 20
8	FUTURE	KEY ISSUES	. 21
Cons	struction P	rogramme for the Next Month	. 21
		he Next Month	
Mon	itoring Scl	hedule in the Next Month	. 21
9	CONCLU	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 22
Cond	clusions		. 22
Reco	ommendati	ons	. 22
LIS	Γ OF TAE	BLES	
Tabl	e 2.1	Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
Tabl		Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location	
Tabl		Noise Monitoring Equipment	
Tabl	e 3.3	Dust Monitoring Location	
Tabl	e 3.4	Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency	
Tabl	e 3.5	Dust Monitoring Equipment	
Tabl		Status of Required Submissions under EP	
Tabl	e 5.1	Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results during the reporting month	
		Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project	
Tabl	e 6.1	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit	
TIC		UDEC	
LIS	Γ OF FIG	UKES	
Figu	re 1	The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract 1106	
Figu	re 2	Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring	
Figu	re 3	Locations of Dust Monitoring	
Figu	re 4	Organisation Chart and Key Contact of the Project	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Tentative Construction Programme
Appendix B	Action and Limit Levels
Appendix C	Calibration Certificates for Monitoring Equipment
Appendix D	Impact Monitoring Schedule
Appendix E	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix F	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix G	Summary of Exceedance
Appendix H	Site Audit Summary
Appendix I	Event and Action Plans
Appendix J	Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
Appendix K	Waste Generation in the Reporting Month
Appendix L	Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful
	Prosecutions



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 47th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for **MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1106 – Diamond Hill Station**. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1st to 31st January 2017.

Summary of Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month

- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month include:
 - Construction of Level U1 wall and structural steel erection;
 - ABWF works at SCL-DIH station area;
 - Foundation works, temporary road works, TTA for site access and temporary footpath diversion at Lung Cheung Road and Choi Hung Road;
 - Installation sheet piling, grouting works, excavation and lateral support works at Lung Cheung Road;
 - Grouting works at MOE near Entrance B; and
 - Temporary bulk head wall construction at Entrance A2.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress

3. A summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring

- Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours Noise Monitoring Station ID
 - NMS-CA-3⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-4⁽²⁾ (H.K. Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home)
 NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade))
 NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade))
 4 times
 4 times
- Construction Dust (24-hour TSP) Monitoring Dust Monitoring Station ID
 - DMS-3⁽¹⁾/DMS-4⁽²⁾ (H.K. Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home) 5 times • DMS-4⁽¹⁾/ DMS-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden) 5 times

Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Cultural Heritage

4. An Archaeological Action Plan (AAP) for the survey-cum-excavation at the former Tai Hom Village site was approved by EPD on 8 April 2013. A Licence to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance has been subsequently obtained from Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 19 April 2013. The archaeological survey-cum-excavation at Former Tai Hom Village



commenced on 25 April 2013 and the fieldwork had been completed in September 2013 in accordance with the Licence granted and the approved AAP. A draft Archaeological Survey-cum-Excavation Report was submitted to AMO for review in March 2014, April 2015, February 2016 and March 2016. Comments from AMO were received in September 2014, December 2015 and June 2016 respectively. The revised draft report was submitted to AMO for review in September 2016. AMO issued comment in December 2016. The revised draft report was under revision.

5. The Conservation Plans for the two historic buildings, namely Former Royal Air Force Hangar and the Old Pillbox at the former Tai Hom Village site, were approved by EPD on 24 April 2013. Dismantling works on Former Royal Air Force Hangar was carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan and completed in June 2013. Relocation works for the Old Pillbox had been completed in November 2013 in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan. Regular maintenance and inspection works of the two historic buildings were carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan.

Waste Management

6. Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. About 81 m³ of inert C&D materials were generated from the Project, while 45 m³ of those were reused in the Contract and 36 m³ was sent to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank during the reporting month. 116 m³ of non-recyclable non-inert C&D materials, such as general refuse, were disposed of at NENT Landfill. No chemical waste was collected by licensed collector during the reporting month. No paper/ cardboard packaging*, plastics and metal were generated in this reporting month.

(Remark *: The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging used in the Contract is not finalized yet. The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging generated will be updated in the next month.)

Landscape and Visual

7. Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 12 and 26 January 2017. All necessary mitigation measures have been implemented and recommended follow-up actions have been discharged by the Contractor. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

Environmental Site Inspection

8. Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 5, 12, 19 and 26 January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 26 January 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 9. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- 10. No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.



11. No Project related environmental complaint and no notification of summons/ successful prosecutions were received in this reporting period.

Future Key Issues

- 12. Major site activities for the coming reporting month will include:
 - Construction of Level U1 wall and structural steel erection;
 - ABWF works at SCL-DIH station area;
 - Foundation works, temporary road works, TTA for site access and temporary footpath diversion at Lung Cheung Road and Choi Hung Road;
 - Excavation and lateral support works at Lung Cheung Road;
 - Grouting works at MOE near Entrance B; and
 - Excavation and lateral support works at Entrance A2.



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was appointed by Leader Joint Venture (LJV) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1106 – Diamond Hill Station (hereafter referred to as the Project).

Purpose of the Report

1.2 This is the 47th EM&A report which summarises the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1st to 31st January 2017.

Structure of the Report

- 1.3 The structure of the report is as follows:
 - Section 1: **Introduction -** details the scope and structure of the report.
 - Section 2: **Project Information** summarises background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.
 - Section 3: **Environmental Monitoring Requirement -** summarises the monitoring parameters, monitoring programmes, monitoring methodologies, monitoring frequency, monitoring locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event / Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report and relevant environmental requirements.
 - Section 4: **Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures -** summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
 - Section 5: **Monitoring Results** summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
 - Section 6: **Environmental Site Inspection -** summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.
 - Section 7: **Environmental Non-conformance -** summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
 - Section 8: **Future Key Issues -** summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three months.
 - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations



2 PROJECT INFORMATION

Background

- 2.1 The Shatin to Central Link Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (hereafter referred to as SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an approximately 11 km long extension of the Ma On Shan Line and links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic east-west rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO).
- 2.2 The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) has been divided into a series of civil construction Works Contracts. This Works Contract 1106 covers the construction of Shatin-to-Central Link (SCL) station in Diamond Hill (DIH).

General Site Description

2.3 For Works Contract 1106, the works area for the DIH station is located to the northeast of Choi Hung Road next to the existing Kwun Tong Line DIH Station. The DIH station will be constructed by cut-and-cover method. Since July 2016, southern portion of the works area at Choi Hung Road was handover to relevant government department. The latest alignment and works areas for the Works Contract 1106 are shown in **Figure 1**.

Construction Programme and Activities

- 2.4 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows. The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.
 - Construction of Level U1 wall and structural steel erection;
 - ABWF works at SCL-DIH station area:
 - Foundation works, temporary road works, TTA for site access and temporary footpath diversion at Lung Cheung Road and Choi Hung Road;
 - Installation sheet piling, grouting works, excavation and lateral support works at Lung Cheung Road;
 - Grouting works at MOE near Entrance B; and
 - Temporary bulk head wall construction at Entrance A2.

Project Organisation

2.5 The project organizational chart and contact details are shown in **Figure 4.**

Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.6 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project since the commencement of the construction works in March 2013 is presented in Table 2.1.



Table 2.1 Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

D	Valid	G4 4				
Permit / License No.	From	То	Status			
Environmental Permit (EP)						
EP-438/2012/K	04/10/2016	N/A	Valid			
Notification pursuant to Air Pol	lution Control (Cons	truction Dust) Regula	tion			
No.: 378656	28/08/2014	N/A	Valid			
Billing Account for Construction	n Waste Disposal					
Account No.: 7016601	27/12/2012	N/A	Valid			
Registration of Chemical Waste	Registration of Chemical Waste Producer					
5213-281-S3711-02	28/01/2015	N/A	Valid			
Effluent Discharge License under Water Pollution Control Ordinance						
WT00025615-2016	24/10/2016	31/01/2018	Valid			
WT00016920-2013	06/09/2013	30/09/2018	Valid			
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)						
GW-RE1043-16	25/10/2016	23/04/2017	Valid			
GW-RE0001-17	06/01/2017	05/03/2017	Valid			
GW-RE0006-17	09/01/2017	28/02/2017	Valid			

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 2.7 The EM&A programme under Works Contract 1106 requires regular dust and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
 - All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event / Action Plans;
 - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
 - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 2.8 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.
- 2.9 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period,



methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely construction noise & dust monitoring as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

3.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, monitoring of construction noise impact should be conducted at the designated monitoring stations. Since access to some of the proposed monitoring locations stated in the EM&A Manual was rejected; alternative locations were proposed and agreed by the ER (Engineer's Representative), IEC (Independent Environmental Checker) and EPD (Environmental Protection Department). The construction noise monitoring locations are listed in **Table 3.1** and shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 3.1 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location	Description	Type of Measurement
NMS-CA-3 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / NMS-CA-4 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home	Façade
NMS-CA-4 ⁽¹⁾ / NMS-CA-3 ⁽²⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)	Façade
NMS-CA-5 (1) (4)/ NMS-CA-2 (2)(4)	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)	Façade

Note:

- (1) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Shek On House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (4) Access to the monitoring location at Canossa Primary School (San Po Kong) (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.

Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

- 3.2 Weekly construction noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. The monitoring schedule for this reporting period of monitoring stations at Rhythm Garden is shown in **Appendix D**.
- 3.3 The construction noise levels were measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) in decibels dB(A). L_{Aeq} (30min) (as six consecutive L_{eq} , 5-min readings) was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 1900 hours on normal weekdays.



Monitoring Equipment and Methodology

Field Monitoring

- 3.4 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
 - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

frequency weighting : Atime weighting : Fast

- measurement time $\,$: 5 minutes (obtaining six consecutive $L_{eq,5min}$ readings for a

 $L_{eq,30 \text{ min}}$ reading)

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A façade correction of +3dB(A) shall be made to the noise parameter obtained by free field measurement.

Monitoring Equipment

3.5 The sound level meters and calibrator used for the noise measurement, as listed in **Table** 3.2, compile with the IEC 651: 1979 and 804:1985 (Type 1) specification. The calibration certificates of the sound level meters are included in **Appendix C**.



Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Equipment	Model (Serial no.)		
	SVAN 955 (Serial no.: 12553)		
Sound Level Meter	SVAN 955 (Serial no.: 12563)		
Soulid Level Meter	SVAN 957 (Serial no.: 21455)		
	SVAN 957 (Serial no.: 21460)		
Calibrator	SV30A (Serial no.: 24791)		
	SV30A (Serial no.: 24780)		
	4231 (Serial no.: 2326353)		
	4231 (Serial no.: 2412367)		



Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.6 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
 - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix C**.

Action & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring

3.7 The Action and Limit Levels are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for noise monitoring is presented in **Appendix I.**

Continuous Noise Monitoring

3.8 With reference to the latest Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) and CNMMP prepared and submitted under EP Condition 2.9 and 2.10, it is predicted that no residual air-borne construction noise impacts exceeding the relevant noise criteria will be anticipated. Therefore, no continuous noise monitoring is required during the construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) under Works Contract 1106.

Regular Construction Dust Monitoring

3.9 The proposed dust monitoring stations for the construction phase of the Project, as recommended in the approved EM&A Manual, are listed in **Table 3.3** and shown in **Figure 3**. The proposed locations have been agreed with the ER, EPD and IEC.

Table 3.3 Dust Monitoring Location

Regular Dust Monitoring Location	Description	
DMS-3 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / DMS-4 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ /	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home	
DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾ / DMS-3 ⁽²⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden	

Note:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Shek On House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.



Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

3.10 The dust monitoring (in terms of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was conducted at the designated monitoring stations in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. The 24-hour TSP levels were monitored at the frequency and duration stated in **Table 3.4**. The TSP monitoring at Rhythm Garden was conducted as per the schedule presented in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.4 Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Period	Duration	Parameter	Frequency
Impact Monitoring ⁽¹⁾	Throughout the construction period	24-hour TSP	Once per 6 days

Note:

(1) 1- hour TSP shall be conducted when one documented valid complaint is received.

Monitoring Equipment

3.11 **Table 3.5** summarizes the equipment used for the dust monitoring.

Table 3.5 Dust Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make		
HVS	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no.: 2352	1	
HVS	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no.: 3223	1	
Calibration Orifice	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE – 5025A Orifice ID: 2896	1	

Instrumentation

3.12 High Volume Samplers (HVS) connected with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 Appendix B (Part 50).

HVS Installation

- 3.13 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
 - Sufficient support was provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.



- The samplers were more than 20 meters from the drip line.
- Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

Filters Preparation

- 3.14 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 µm diameter. A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Wellab Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 083), was responsible for the preparation of pre-weighed filter papers for Cinotech's monitoring team.
- 3.15 All filters, which were prepared by Wellab Ltd., were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- 3.16 Wellab Ltd. has a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 3.17 Operating/analytical procedures for the TSP monitoring were highlighted as follows:
 - Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS was properly set (between 1.1 and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard.
 - The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
 - The filter holding frame and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
 - On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the air quality monitoring station.
 - The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
 - The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
 - A new flow rate record chart was set into the flow recorder.
 - The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
 - The flow rate of the HVS sampler would be verified to be constant and recorded on the data sheet before and after sampling.
 - The elapsed time and other relevant information was recorded. After sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
 - It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed and sent to the Wellab Ltd. for weighing.
 - Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results were returned to Cinotech for further analysis of TSP concentrations.



Maintenance/Calibration

- 3.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
 - The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix C**.
 - The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually.

Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring

3.19 The Action and Limit levels have been established and are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for dust monitoring is presented in **Appendix I.**

Cultural Heritage

- 3.20 An Archaeological Action Plan (AAP) for the survey-cum-excavation at the former Tai Hom Village site was approved by EPD on 8 April 2013. A Licence to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance has been subsequently obtained from Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 19 April 2013. The archaeological survey-cum-excavation at Former Tai Hom Village shall be conducted in accordance with the Licence granted and the approved AAP.
- 3.21 The Conservation Plans for the two historic buildings, namely Former Royal Air Force Hangar and the Old Pillbox at the former Tai Hom Village site, were approved by EPD on 24 April 2013. Dismantling works on Former Royal Air Force Hangar and relocation work of the Old Pillbox shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan. Regular maintenance and inspection works of the two historic buildings shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan.

Landscape and Visual

3.22 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period. The implementation status is given in **Appendix J**. The Event / Action Plan (EAP) for landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix I**.



4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures of the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix J**. Status of required submissions under the Environmental Permit (EP) of the reporting period is presented in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (December 2016)	13 th January 2017



5 MONITORING RESULTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

- 5.1 A total of 12 sets of 30-minute construction noise measurements were carried out at the monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period by ET of SCL Works Contract 1106. No exceedance of the Limit Level was recorded at designated monitoring stations.
- 5.2 The noise monitoring results recorded at NMS-CA-3⁽¹⁾/ NMS-CA-4⁽²⁾ (Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home) and NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) in January 2017 exceeded the daytime construction noise criterion except 24 January 2017 for Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home. However, the results are not considered as exceedance since the measured results were below the baseline noise levels. The noise monitoring results recorded at NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)) in January 2017 did not exceed the daytime construction noise criterion.
- 5.3 Based on observation during the on-site monitoring, road traffic nearby, piling works in other construction site at 210-212 Choi Hung Road and foundation works in other construction site at former Tai Hom Village in January 2017 are considered as potential noise source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results in the reporting month.
- 5.4 The noise monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in **Appendix** $\mathbf{F}^{(3)}$.
- 5.5 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of construction noise due to the Project was recorded during the reporting period. The summary of exceedance in this reporting month is provided in **Appendix G**.

Regular Dust Monitoring

5.6 A total of 10 sets of 24-hour TSP monitoring were carried out at the designated monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period by ET of SCL Works Contract 1106. The monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in **Appendix E**⁽³⁾ and a summary of the dust monitoring results in this reporting month is given in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1 Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results during the reporting month

Parameter	Minimum μg/m³	Maximum μg/m³	Average μg/m³	Action Level, μg/m³	Limit Level, μg/m³
24-hr TSP (DMS-3 ⁽¹⁾ / DMS-4 ⁽²⁾)	47.3	90.6	68.6	159.1	260
24-hr TSP (DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾ / DMS-3 ⁽²⁾)	36.5	71.6	52.7	160.4	260

Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).



- 5.7 Based on observation during the on-site monitoring, road traffic emission nearby, piling works in other construction site at 210-212 Choi Hung Road and foundation works in other construction site at former Tai Hom Village in January 2017 are considered as potential dust source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results in the reporting month.
- 5.8 Wind monitoring data were obtained from Kai Tak Meteorological Station of Hong Kong Observatory and shown on **Appendix E**.
- 5.9 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the 24-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting period. The summary of exceedance in this reporting month is provided in **Appendix G**.

Cultural Heritage

- 5.10 An Archaeological Action Plan (AAP) for the survey-cum-excavation at the former Tai Hom Village site was approved by EPD on 8 April 2013. A Licence to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance has been subsequently obtained from Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) on 19 April 2013. The archaeological survey-cum-excavation at Former Tai Hom Village commenced on 25 April 2013 and completed in September 2013 in accordance with the Licence granted and the approved AAP. A draft Archaeological Survey-cum-Excavation Report was submitted to AMO for review in March 2014, April 2015, February 2016 and March 2016. Comments from AMO were received in September 2014, December 2015 and June 2016 respectively. The revised draft report was submitted to AMO for review in September 2016. AMO issued comment in December 2016. The revised draft report was under revision.
- 5.11 The Conservation Plans for the two historic buildings, namely Former Royal Air Force Hangar and the Old Pillbox at the former Tai Hom Village site, were approved by EPD on 24 April 2013. Dismantling works on Former Royal Air Force Hangar was carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan and completed in June 2013. Relocation works for the Old Pillbox had been completed in November 2013 in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan. Regular maintenance and inspection works of the two historic buildings were carried out in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan.



Waste Management

5.12 Waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes like plastics and paper/cardboard packaging materials. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 5.2**. 81 m³ of C&D materials was generated during the reporting period, while 45 m³ of those were reused in the Contract and 36 m³ was disposed as public fill. 116 m³ of general refuse were generated during the reporting month. No chemical waste was collected by licensed collector during the reporting month. No paper/ cardboard packaging*, plastics and metal were generated in this reporting month. Detail of waste management data is presented in **Appendix K**.

(Remark *: The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging used in the Contract is not finalized yet. The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging generated will be updated in the next month.)

Ouantity C&D Materials (non-inert) (b) Reporting C&D **Recycled materials** Month Materials Chemical **General Refuse** Paper/ (inert) (a) Waste **Plastics** Metals cardboard $81 m^3$ $116 \, m^3$ January 2017 0 kg0 kg*0 kg0 kg

Table 5.2 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil, which 45 m³ was reused in the Contract and 36 m³ was delivered to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank during the reporting month.
- (b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse and vegetative wastes. Steel materials generated from the project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. General refuse was delivered to designated landfill for disposal.
- (c) Remark *: The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging used in the Contract is not finalized yet. The amount of paper/ cardboard packaging generated will be updated in the next month.

Landscape and Visual

5.13 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 12 and 26 January 2017. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.



6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION

Site Audits

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 5, 12, 19 and 26 January 2017. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 26 January 2017. The details of observations during site audits carried out by ET can refer to **Table 6.1**.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.3 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix J**.
- 6.4 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up	
Water Quality December 2016 Water Quality December 2016 December 2016 Water Quality December 2016 Water Quality December 2016 Water Quality December 2016 Water Was discharged without proper treating at work area near Choi Hung Road. The contractor is reminded to direct silty water to wastewater treatment facilities.		As observed on 5 January 2017, the drainage system was enhanced and the effluent quality was observed much improved.		
Noise				
Landscape and Visual	12 January 2017	Observation: The retained tree in ex-1103 area should be fenced off properly. Construction material should be removed from the tree protection zone.	erial As observed on 19 January 2017, the	
Cultural Heritage				
	5 January 2017	Reminder: Stockpile of dusty material should be covered or watered sufficiently.	As observed on 12 January 2017, the dusty stockpile was watered sufficiently.	
Air Quality	19 January 2017	Reminder: To provide sufficient water spray to the exposed area in ex-1103 area for dust suppression.	As observed on 26 January 2017, sufficient watering was provided to the exposed area in ex-1103 area.	
	26 January 2017	Reminder: To provide sufficient water spray to the exposed area between ventilation shafts.	The follow up action will be reported in the next reporting month.	



Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up	
	26 January 2017	Reminder: Dusty stockpiles in the MBME should be sufficiently watered for dust suppression.	The follow up action will be reported in the next reporting month.	
Waste/ Chemical Management	12 January 2017	Observation: Impervious material should be provided to the generator during fuel refilling. (near MOE)	As observed on 19 January 2017, impervious material is provided.	
Permits/ Licenses				



7 EIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

Summary of Exceedances

7.1 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting month. The summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix G**.

Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Environmental Complaint

7.3 No environmental Project-related complaints were received in the reporting month. The Cumulative Complaint Log since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix L**.

Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

7.4 There was no successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Cumulative Log for environmental summon and successful prosecution since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix L**.



8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Construction Programme for the Next Month

- 8.1 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix A**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
 - Construction of Level U1 wall and structural steel erection;
 - ABWF works at SCL-DIH station area;
 - Foundation works, temporary road works, TTA for site access and temporary footpath diversion at Lung Cheung Road and Choi Hung Road;
 - Excavation and lateral support works at Lung Cheung Road;
 - Grouting works at MOE near Entrance B; and
 - Excavation and lateral support works at Entrance A2.

Key Issues in the Next Month

- 8.2 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Dust arising from loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA and excavated materials;
 - Control of silty surface runoff;
 - Preservation of Former Royal Air Force Hangar and Old Pillbox after dismantling and relocation:
 - Preservation and protection of retained and transplanted trees; and
 - Implementation of mitigation measures for noise nuisance from construction works.

Monitoring Schedule in the Next Month

8.3 The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring at Rhythm Garden in the next reporting period is presented in **Appendix D**. The regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period.



9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 9.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1st to 31st January 2017 in accordance with EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP.
- 9.2 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during the reporting month.
- 9.3 4 times of joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET and 2 times of bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted during the reporting period.
- 9.4 There was no Project related environmental complaint, and no successful prosecution or notification of summons received during the reporting month.
- 9.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Recommendations

9.6 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Air Quality

• Sufficient water spray should be provided to all exposed works areas and dusty stockpile for dust suppression.


Waste/ Chemical Management


• Sufficient mitigation measure should be provided to the generator to retain any leakage during fuel refilling.


Landscape and Visual


• The retained tree should be fenced off properly and construction material should be kept away from the tree protection zone.

FIGURES













APPENDIX A TENTATIVE CONSTRCUTION PROGRAMME









Remaining Work	4 of 4			3 Month Rolling Programme				
Critical Remaining Work			Date	Revision	Checked	Approved		
Actual Work		MTR Contract 1106 - Diamond Hill Station	31-Jan-17	C-1106-3MRP/ 49				
◆ ◆ Baseline Milestone		Three Month Rolling Programme						
◆ Milestone		As of 31 January 2017						

APPENDIX B ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS



APPENDIX B – Action and Limit Levels

24-Hour TSP

Regular Dust Monitoring Location	Description	Action Level, μg/m ³	Limit Level, μg/m³
DMS-3 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ /	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing	159.1	
DMS-4 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ /	Home		260
DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾ /	Block 1, Rhythm Garden	160.4	200
DMS-3 ⁽²⁾	Diock 1, Knythin Garden	100.4	

Note:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Shek On House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.


Construction Noise

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location ⁽¹⁾	Description	Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level (Leq (30-min))
NMS-CA-3 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / NMS-CA-4 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home		When one	70 dB(A)
NMS-CA-4 ⁽¹⁾ / NMS-CA-3 ⁽²⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north- eastern façade)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)
NMS-CA-5 (1) (4)/ NMS-CA-2 (2)(4)	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)		received	65 / 70 dB(A) ⁽⁵⁾

Note:

- (1) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Shek On House (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (4) Access to the monitoring location at Canossa Primary School (San Po Kong) (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (5) Daytime noise Limit Level of 70 dB(A) applies to education institutions, while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.

APPENDIX C
CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR
MONITORING EQUIPEMENT





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website; www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

· -	
Test Report No.:	C/N/160917B
Date of Issue:	2016-09-19
Date Received:	2016-09-17
Date Tested:	2016-09-17
Date Completed:	2016-09-19
Next Due Date:	2017-09-18

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 955

Serial No.

: 12553

Microphone No.

: 35222

Equipment No.

: N-08-02

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.





TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160917C
Date of Issue:	2016-09-19
Date Received:	2016-09-17
Date Tested:	2016-09-17
Date Completed:	2016-09-19
Next Due Date:	2017-09-18

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 955

Serial No.

: 12563

Microphone No. Equipment No.

: 34377 : N-08-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160826A
Date of Issue:	2016-08-29
Date Received:	2016-08-26
Date Tested:	2016-08-26
Date Completed:	2016-08-29
Next Due Date:	2017-08-28

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No. Serial No. : SVAN 957

Microphone No.

: 21455 : 43730

Equipment No.

: N-08-07

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB Instrument Readings, dB	
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE Laboratory Manager



Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk



TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819C
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 957

Serial No. Microphone No. : 21460 : 43679

Equipment No.

: N-08-09

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

:58%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB	
94	94.0	
114	114.0	

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076
Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

. I	
Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24791

Equipment No.

: N-09-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.


Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

RATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930C
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02
	. 0.4

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24780

Equipment No.

: N-09-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1791, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong,
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161104/1
Date of Issue:	2016-11-07
Date Received:	2016-11-04
Date Tested:	2016-11-04
Date Completed:	2016-11-07
Next Due Date:	2017-11-06

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2326353

Equipment No.

: N-02-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 21 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 62 %

Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.


Results:


Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	$114.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE Laboratory Manager







TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: **Cinotech Consultants Limited**

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819D
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2412367

Equipment No.

: N-02-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 58%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.



High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

Station	DMS-3 - Hong	Kong Shang Ku	ng Hui Nursing Hor	Operator	WK		MA12051/64/0002
Date:	6-Dec-16	Kong Bhong Kui	<u> </u>	• •	5-Feb-		-
Equipment No.:			_		3223		-
Equipment No	A-01-04		_	Scriai IVO.	3223		-
			Ambient (Condition			
Temperatu	re, Ta (K)	292.4	Pressure, Pa	(mmHg)		769.2	
•							
		0	rifice Transfer Sta	ndard Inform	ation		
Serial	No.:	2896	Slope, mc (CFM)	4	Intercep		-0.05079
Last Calibra	ation Date:	4-Mar-16	_		$\mathbf{pc} = [\Delta \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{x} \ (\mathbf{Pa}/76)]$		1
Next Calibra	ation Date:	3-Mar-17		$\mathbf{Qstd} = \{ [\Delta \mathbf{H} :$	x (Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc}	/ mc
		•					
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Calibration		Oı	rfice			HVS	
Point	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	[ΔH x (Pa/76	50) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	[ΔW x (Pa/	760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y- axis
1	16.5		4.13		10.3		3.26
2	14.3		3.84		8.9		3.03
3	10.7		3.32		6.8		2.65
4	6.9		2.67		4.6		2.18
5	4.2		2.08		2.7		1.67
By Linear Regr Slope , mw = Correlation c	0.0458	_) 9994	Intercept, bw	0.058	5	•
*If Correlation C	Coefficient < 0.9	90, check and rec	calibrate.				
			Set Point C	alculation			
From the TSP Fi	eld Calibration (Curve, take Qstd				·····	·
From the Regress		•					
	•		J		4.60		
		mw x	$\mathbf{Qstd} + \mathbf{bw} = [\Delta \mathbf{W}]$	x (Pa/760) x (2	.98/Ta)] ^{1/2}		
Therefore, Se	et Point; W = (n	nw x Qstd + bw)	² x (760 / Pa) x (T	`a / 298) =	3.99		-
Remarks:							
	, -1		L	.]			11 1.0
Conducted by:	ink, lang	Signature:	Two	<u>/</u>	•	Date:	6/12/16
Checked by:	(A)	Signature:		y <u> </u>		Date:	6 Dacember doll



High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

						File No.	MA12051/57/0023
Station	DMS-4 - Rhythr	n Garden, Blocl	k 1	Operator:	WK	,	
Date:	22-Nov-16			Vext Due Date:	21-Jan	-17	
Equipment No.	A-01-57		_	Serial No.	2352		
			Ambient	Condition			
Temperati	ire, Ta (K)	295.6	Pressure, Pa		The state of the s	761.5	
Tomporate	110; Ta (K)	275.0	11035010, 1 8	(mining)	<u>L</u>	701.3	
		C	rifice Transfer Sta	ndard Inform	ation		
Seria	l No.:	2896	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0598	Intercep	t, bc	-0.05079
Last Calibration Date: 4-Mar-16			mc x Qstd + I	$\mathbf{pc} = [\Delta \mathbf{H} \times (\mathbf{Pa}/70)]$)] ^{1/2}	
Next Calib	Next Calibration Date: 3-Mar-17			$\mathbf{Qstd} = \{ [\Delta \mathbf{H} :$	x (Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc}	/ me
			-			-	
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Calibration		O	rfice			HVS	<u> </u>
Point	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	[ΔH x (Pa/70	60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	[ΔW x (Pa/7	(60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y-
1	11.4		3.39		7.6		2.77
2	9.9		3.16	53.74	6.5		2.56
3	7.6	2.77		47.19	5.0		2.25
4	5.3		2.31		3.3		1.83
5	3.2	1.80		30.92	2.1		1.46
Slope, mw = Correlation o	_	0.9	9991	intercept, bw	-0.101	19	
*If Correlation (Coefficient < 0.99	0, check and rec					
				alculation			
	ield Calibration C	-					
From the Regres	sion Equation, the	e "Y" value acco	ording to				
		mw x	$\mathbf{Qstd} + \mathbf{bw} = [\Delta \mathbf{W}]$	(Pa/760) x (2	98/Ta) ^{1/2}		
			_	,			
Therefore, S	et Point; W = (m	w x Qstd + bw)	o ² x (760 / Pa) x (T	(a / 298) =	4.09		
n 1							
Remarks:							
Conducted by:	WK. Tana	Signature:	Kwar			Date:	22/11/2016
Checked by:	Jan 0	Signature:		<i></i>		Date:	22/11/2016 Od Novamber doly



High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

Date: 18	-4 - Rhythm -Jan-17 -01-57	Garden, Block		Operator:	WK		
Equipment No.: A							
	-01-57			lext Due Date:	17-Mar	17-Mar-17	
Temperature, Ta			→	Serial No.	2352		
Temperature, Ta			Ambient (Condition			
	(K)	291.4	Pressure, Pa			769.9	
		0	rifice Transfer Sta	ndard Inform	ation		
Serial No.:			Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0598	Intercep		-0.05079
Last Calibration I	Date:	4-Mar-16			$\mathbf{c} = [\Delta \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{x} \ (\mathbf{Pa}/76$		
Next Calibration 1	Next Calibration Date: 3-Mar-17 Qstd = $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ -bo				/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc} /	mc	
		•					
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Calibration		Or	fice			HVS	
Point ΔH	(orifice), of water	[ΔH x (Pa/76	50) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	[ΔW x (Pa/7	60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y- axis
1	11.8		3.50	59,33	7.9		2.86
2	9.8		3.19	54,14	6.4		2.57
3	7.5	2.79		47,47	5.0		2.28
4	5.4	2.37		40,41	3.4		1.88
5	3.4	1.88		32,24	2.2		1.51
Slope, mw =(Correlation coeffici *If Correlation Coeffici	ient* =		993	ntercept, bw :	-0.116	54	
			Set Point C	alculation			
From the TSP Field Ca	libration Cu	rve_take Ostd =		alculation	e Breugusser usrplich Bilbreute um um de de		
From the Regression E							
tom the Regionshin E	quarion, me	1 Value 4000	raing to				
		mw x ($Qstd + bw = [\Delta W x]$	(Pa/760) x (25	98/Ta)] ^{1/2}		
	.t. W = (x Qstd + bw)²	² x (760 / Pa) x (T	a/298)=	3.99		
Therefore, Set Poin	u, w — ())) w	,					



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ma Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 2896	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 - 755.65
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4340 1.0250 0.9150 0.8770 0.7210	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.7 12.7	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0001 0.9959 0.9938 0.9928 0.9875	0.6974 0.9716 1.0861 1.1320 1.3696	1.4173 2.0044 2.2410 2.3503 2.8346		0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9885 0.9831	0.6944 0.9674 1.0814 1.1271 1.3636	0.8836 1.2496 1.3971 1.4653 1.7672
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) = ent (r) =	2.11176 -0.05079 0.99982		Qa slope intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.32235 -0.03166 0.99982
y axis =	SQRT[H2O(E	Pa/760)(298/7	[a)]	y axis =	SQRT[H2O(T	[a/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$

APPENDIX D IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan	6-Jan	7-Jan
			Noise	24 hr TSP		
8-Jan	9-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
			24 hr TSP	Noise		
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
		24 hr TSP	Noise			
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
	24 hr TSP	Noise			24 hr TSP	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				

Air Quality Monitoring Station

DMS- $3^{(1)}/4^{(2)}$: - Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home DMS- $4^{(1)}/3^{(2)}$: - Rhythm Garden, Block 1

(1) NSR ID/ ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

(2) NSR ID/ ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Noise Monitoring Station

NMS-CA-3⁽¹⁾/4⁽²⁾: - Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/3⁽²⁾: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade) NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/2⁽²⁾: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Feb	2-Feb	3-Feb	4-Feb
				N		
				Noise		
				24 hr TSP		
5-Feb	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb		10-Feb	11-Feb
			24 hr TSP	Noise		
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
12 140	10100	11100	10 1 00	10100	17 100	10 1 00
		24 hr TSP	Noise			
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
17-1-60	20-1-00	21-100	22-100	23-1 00	24-1'00	23-1-00
	24 hr TSP	Noise				24 hr TSP
26 E I	27 F 1	20 F 1				
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				
	Noise					

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

DMS- $3^{(1)}/4^{(2)}$: - Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home DMS- $4^{(1)}/3^{(2)}$: - Rhythm Garden, Block 1

(1) NSR ID/ ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

(2) NSR ID/ ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Noise Monitoring Station

NMS-CA-3⁽¹⁾/4⁽²⁾: - Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/3⁽²⁾: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade) NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/2⁽²⁾: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)

APPENDIX E 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location DMS-3: - Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Nursing Home

Sampling Date	ampling Date Start Time W		Weather Air		Filter Weight (g)		Particulate	Elapse Time		Sampling Flow Rate (m³/min.)		Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.	
Sampling Date	Start Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m ³ /min)	(m^3)	(µg/m ³)
5-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	292.9	766.0	3.6204	3.7274	0.1070	2282.3	2306.3	24.0	1.22	1.21	1.22	1750.0	61.1
11-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	291.5	765.9	3.6282	3.7872	0.1590	2306.3	2330.3	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1754.2	90.6
17-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	290.1	769.5	3.5960	3.6793	0.0833	2330.3	2354.3	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1762.8	47.3
23-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	289.5	773.4	3.6249	3.7805	0.1556	2354.3	2378.3	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1769.2	87.9
27-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	291.7	771.1	3.2712	3.3698	0.0986	2378.3	2402.3	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1759.7	56.0
														Min	47.3
														Max	90.6
														Average	68.6


Location DMS-4(1)/DMS-3(2) - Rhythm Garden, Block 1

Sampling Date Start Time		Weather	Air Atmospheric		Filter Weight (g)		Particulate	Elapse Time		Sampling	Flow Rate (m³/min.)		Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Sampling Date	Start Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m ³ /min)	(m^3)	$(\mu g/m^3)$
5-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	292.9	766.0	3.6229	3.7106	0.0877	6790.4	6814.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1764.8	49.7
11-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	291.5	765.9	3.6128	3.7395	0.1267	6814.4	6838.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1768.8	71.6
17-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	290.1	769.5	3.5884	3.6533	0.0649	6838.4	6862.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1776.8	36.5
23-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	289.5	773.4	3.5882	3.6865	0.0983	6862.5	6886.5	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1763.2	55.8
27-Jan-17	9:00	Cloudy	291.7	771.1	3.3133	3.4007	0.0874	6886.5	6910.5	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1754.4	49.8
														Min	36.5
														Max	71.6
														Average	52.7

Remarks:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

24-hour TSP Concentration Levels



Remarks:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) 24 hours TSP concentration level of DMS-3 from July to September 2016 were extracted from the Project 1103.


Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA12051	CINOTECL
Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Date Jan 17	Appendix E	CINOICCI

5-6 January 2017







11-12 January 2017




17-18 January 2017




23-24 January 2017




27-28 January 2017




5-6 January 2017







11-12 January 2017







17-18 January 2017






23-24 January 2017





27-28 January 2017





APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

Location NMS-CA-3 / MNS-CA-4 - Hong Kong S.K.H Nursing Home											
Data	Date Weather		Unit: dB (A) (5-min)			Average	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level			
Date	vveamer	Time	L_{eq}	L ₁₀	L 90	L _{eq}	L _{eq}	L _{eq}			
		15:15	72.2	73.7	70.2						
		15:20	72.2	73.9	69.7						
4-Jan-17	Sunny	15:25	72.1	73.7	69.7	72.2		72.2 Measured≦ Baseline Level			
4-Jan-17	Suring	15:30	72.3	73.9	69.9	12.2		72.2 Measured ≥ baseline Level			
		15:35	72.2	73.7	69.5						
		15:40	72.0	73.6	69.5						
		11:00	72.3	75.4	68.0]				
		11:05	71.2	74.0	67.2	71.9					
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	11:10	72.2	74.8	68.0			71.9 Measured≦ Baseline Level			
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	11:15	71.1	73.2	67.9			7 1.9 Measureu ≤ Daseille Level			
		11:20	72.4	74.6	67.5		73				
		11:25	72.3	73.2	71.1						
		9:30	72.3	74.8	68.4						
		9:35	73.0	75.3	69.3						
18-Jan-17	Cloudy	9:40	71.9	74.2	68.2	72.1		72.1 Measured≦ Baseline Level			
10-0411-17	Cloudy	9:45	72.4	74.9	68.5	72.1		72.1 Ivicasureu = Dascilile Level			
		9:50	71.9	74.5	68.0						
		9:55	70.9	72.8	68.1						
		11:30	70.7	73.2	66.9						
		11:35	69.8	72.9	66.8						
24-Jan-17	Sunny	11:40	70.2	73.0	67.0	70.1		70.1 Measured≦ Baseline Level			
24-Jaii- 17	Suring	11:45	70.4	73.1	66.7	70.1		70.1 Weasureu ≥ Daseillie Level			
		11:50	69.5	72.5	66.4						
		11:55	69.8	73.0	66.7						

Remarks:

(1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

(2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

App F - Noise Cinotech

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

Location NMS-CA-4(1)/NMS-CA-3(2) - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)											
Dete	\A/a atla av	T '	Unit: dB (A) (5-min)			Average	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level			
Date	Weather	Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}	L _{eq}			
		14:15	72.8	74.0	71.0						
		14:20	72.6	73.8	71.2						
4-Jan-17	Suppy	14:25	72.5	73.8	71.0	72.5		67.2			
4-Jan-17	Sunny	14:30	72.5	73.6	71.0	12.5		07.2			
		14:35	72.2	73.4	69.8						
		14:40	72.4	73.7	70.9						
		10:00	72.6	73.8	71.3						
		10:05	72.4	73.4	71.3	72.7					
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:10	73.0	74.3	71.4			67.8			
12-0411-17	Cloudy	10:15	73.1	74.2	72.1			07.0			
		10:20	72.4	73.7	70.5						
		10:25	72.9	73.9	71.8		71				
		11:20	73.5	74.6	72.2						
		11:25	73.2	74.3	72.0						
18-Jan-17	Cloudy	11:30	73.8	75.2	72.1	73.2		69.2			
10-0a11-17	Cloudy	11:35	72.5	73.7	71.2	73.2		03.2			
		11:40	73.2	74.4	71.9						
		11:45	72.7	73.8	71.5						
		10:15	73.7	75.1	71.9						
		10:20	72.8	75.0	72.0						
24-Jan-17	Sunny	10:25	73.4	74.8	73.0	73.1		68.9			
Z-r Gull-17	Carrity	10:30	72.4	74.9	71.8			00.0			
		10:35	73.5	75.0	71.7						
		10:40	72.9	74.9	71.9						

Remarks:

App F - Noise Cinotech

⁽¹⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

⁽²⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results


Location NMS-CA-5(1)/NMS-CA-2(2) - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)											
Data	\A/a atla av	T:	Unit: dB (A) (5-min)			Average	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level			
Date	Weather	Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L 90	L_{eq}	L _{eq}	L _{eq}			
		13:40	71.0	72.5	69.3						
		13:45	70.6	71.9	69.1						
4 lon 17	Cuppy	13:50	70.3	71.5	69.2	70.6		70.6 Measured≤ Baseline Level			
4-Jan-17	Sunny	13:55	70.6	71.7	69.4	70.0		70.6 Measured ≥ baseline Level			
		14:00	70.3	71.6	69.2						
		14:05	70.6	71.8	69.2						
		10:00	71.3	72.3	69.9						
		10:05	71.3	72.3	69.7	71.3					
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:10	71.2	72.2	69.8			71.3 Measured≤ Baseline Level			
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:15	71.5	72.3	70.5			71.5 Measured ≥ baseline Level			
		10:20	71.3	72.5	69.9						
		10:25	71.0	71.9	70.0		74				
		10:40	71.2	72.2	69.9						
		10:45	71.8	72.9	70.2						
18-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:50	71.2	72.3	69.9	71.5		71.5 Measured≤ Baseline Level			
10-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:55	71.9	73.0	70.3	11.5		71.5 Measured Daseline Level			
		11:00	71.2	72.5	69.5						
		11:05	71.9	73.2	70.2						
		10:50	70.8	72.0	69.5			_			
		10:55	70.9	72.3	69.7						
24-Jan-17	Sunny	11:00	71.4	72.6	69.8	71.0		71.0 Measured≦ Baseline Level			
24-JdH-11	Sullily	11:05	71.0	72.4	69.8	11.0		7 1.0 Weasureu ≥ Daseilile Level			
		11:10	71.2	72.5	69.6						
		11:15	70.9	72.4	69.6						


Remarks:


(1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

(2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

App F - Noise Cinotech







Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) In case of Measured Level ≤ Baseline Level, only Measured Level is presented on the graphical presentation.

(4) Location NMS-CA-3

Shatin to Central Link - Contract 1106 - Diamond Hill
Station
Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring
Results

Scale
N.T.S
Project
No. MA12051
Date
Jan 17
F

Appendix
F

APPENDIX G SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE



APPENIDX G – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Reporting Month: January 2017

- a) Exceedance Report for Dust Monitoring (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for Noise Monitoring (NIL)

APPENDIX H SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station

Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170105
Date	5 January 2017 (Thursday)
Time	13:30-15:15

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
	Part B – Water Quality	No.
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	 Part C – Ecology No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. 	
	Part D - Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
170105-R01	Part E - Air Quality Stockpile of dusty material should be covered or watered sufficiently.	E 6
	Part F – Cultural Heritage	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	 Part G - Construction Noise Impact No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. 	
	Part H – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Permits/Licenses	
<i>‡</i> I	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part J Others	
	Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 161229), the environmental deficiency was rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	1/12	5 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WI	5 January 2017

CINOTECH MA12051 170105_audit

Shatin to Central Link -

Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station

Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170112
Date	12 January 2017 (Thursday)
Time	13:30-15:15

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
		No.
	 Part B – Water Quality No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. 	:
170112-O02	 Part C - Ecology No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part D - Landscape & Visual The retained tree in ex-1103 area should be fenced off properly. Construction material should be removed from the tree protection zone. Part E - Air Quality No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. 	D 2, D 3
	Part F - Cultural Heritage No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G – Construction Noise Impact No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
170112-001	Part H – Waste/Chemical Management • Impervious material should be provided to the generator during fuel refilling. (near MOE)	Н9
	Part I – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	Part J – Others	
	 Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170105), the environmental deficiency was rectified/ improved by the Contractor. 	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong		12 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WI	12 January 2017

CINOTECH MA12051 170112_audit

Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station

Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170119
Date	19 January 2017 (Thursday)
Time	13:30-15:15

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	_

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
		No.
	Part B – Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Ecology	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	·	
,	Part D – Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Air Quality	1
170119-R01	• To provide sufficient water spray to the exposed area in ex-1103 area for dust suppression.	E 5
		II.
	Part F – Cultural Heritage	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
•		
	Part H – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	паловичения.
	Part J – Others	atourus dahamadaha
	Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170112), all the environmental deficiencies were rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	113	19 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	THE !	19 January 2017
		V	

CINOTECH MA12051 170119_audit

Shatin to Central Link -

Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station

Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170126	
Date	26 January 2017 (Thursday)	
Time	13:30-15:15	

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
	Part B – Water Quality	1100
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C – Ecology	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D - Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
•	Part E – Air Quality	
170126-R01	To provide sufficient water spray to the exposed area between ventilation shafts.	E 5
170126-R02	Dusty stockpiles in the MBME should be sufficiently watered for dust suppression.	E 6
	Part F – Cultural Heritage	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H - Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part J – Others	į
	Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170119), all the environmental deficiencies were rectified/ improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Benjamin Wong	U Constitution of the cons	26 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WIT	26 January 2017

CINOTECH MA12051 170126_audit

APPENDIX I EVENT AND ACTION PLANS

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring during Construction Phase

FVENT	ACTION						
EVENT	Works Contract 1106 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR			
ACTION LEVEL							
Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the Contractor, IEC and ER on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;	Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate.			
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal as appropriate. 			

LIMIT LEVEL			
1.Exceedance for one	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER;	Check monitoring data submitted	Confirm receipt of notification of I. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes
sample	2. Repeat measurement to confirm	by the ET;	exceedance in writing; of exceedance;
	findings;	2. Check the Contractor's working	Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Z. Take immediate action to avoid further
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	method;	Review and agree on the remedial exceedance;
	4. Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and	measures proposed by the Contractor; 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to
	on the remedial measures and assess	Contractor on possible remedial	4. Supervise implementation of remedial ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three
	the effectiveness.	measures;	measures. working days of notification;
		4. Review and advise the ER and ET	Implement the agreed proposals;
		on the effectiveness of	Amend proposal if appropriate.
		Contractor's remedial measures.	
2.Exceedance for two or more	1. Notify IEC, Contractor and EPD;	Check monitoring data submitted	Confirm receipt of notification of Identify source(s) and investigate the causes
consecutive samples	2. Repeat measurement to confirm	by the ET;	exceedance in writing; of exceedance;
	findings;	Check the Contractor's working	Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Z. Take immediate action to avoid further
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	method;	In consultation with the ET and IEC, exceedance;
	4. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's	3. Discuss with ET, ER, and	agree with the Contractor on the 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to
	working procedures with the ER to	Contractor on the potential	remedial measures to be implemented; the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within
	determine possible mitigation to be	remedial measures;	4. Supervise the implementation of three working days of notification;
	implemented;	4. Review and advise the ER and ET	remedial measures; 4. Implement the agreed proposals;
	5. Arrange meeting with the IEC,	on the effectiveness of	5. If exceedance continues, consider 5. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem
	Contractor and ER to discuss the	Contractor's remedial measures.	what portion of the work is responsible still not under control;
	remedial measures to be taken;		and instruct the Contractor to stop that 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as
	6. Review the effectiveness of the		portion of work until the exceedance is determined by the ER until the exceedance
	Contractor's remedial measures and		abated. is abated.
	keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the		
	results;		
	7. If exceedance stops, cease additional		
	monitoring.		

Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring during Construction Phase

EVENT	ACTION					
	Works Contract 1106 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR		
Action Level	Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor	Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement noise mitigation proposals 		
Limit Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET 3. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated 		

Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Phase

Action Level	Works Contract 1106 ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Non-conformity on	Inform the Contractor, the IEC and	Check inspection report	Confirm receipt of	Identify Source and
one occasion	the ER	2. Check the Contractor's working	notification of non-	investigate the non-conformity
	2. Discuss remedial actions with the	method	conformity in writing	2. Implement remedial
	IEC, the ER and the Contractor	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and	2. Review and agree on the	measures
	Monitor remedial actions until	the Contractor on possible remedial	remedial measures proposed by	3. Amend working methods
	rectification has been completed	measures	the Contractor	agreed with the ER as
		4. Advise the ER on effectiveness	3. Supervise implementation	appropriate
		of proposed remedial measures.	of remedial measures	4. Rectify damage and
				undertake any necessary
				replacement
Repeated Non-	Identify Source	Check inspection report	Notify the Contractor	Identify Source and
conformity	2. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and	2. Check the Contractor's working	2. In consultation with the ET	investigate the non-conformity
	the ER	method	and IEC, agree with the	2. Implement remedial
	3. Increase inspection frequency	3. Discuss with the ET and the	Contractor on the remedial	measures
	4. Discuss remedial actions with the	Contractor on possible remedial	measures to be implemented	3. Amend working methods
	IEC, the ER and the Contractor	measures	3. Supervise implementation	agreed with the ER as
	5. Monitor remedial actions until	4. Advise the ER on effectiveness	of remedial measures.	appropriate
	rectification has been completed	of proposed remedial measures		4. Rectify damage and
	6. If non-conformity stops, cease			undertake any necessary
	additional monitoring			replacement. Stop relevant
				portion of works as determined
				by the ER until the
				non-conformity is abated.

APPENDIX J UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
Cultural	Heritag	e Impact (Construction Phase)						
S4.8.1	CH1	Submit an Archaeological Action Plan.	Salvage cultural remains at	Contractor	Former Tai Hom	Prior to the	• AMO's	٨
		Survey-cum-excavation shall be conducted prior to the construction	the Former Tai Hom Village		Village Site	Construction	requirements	٨
		works at the former Tai Hom Village site.	Site			Phase of DIH		
						site		
S4.8.2	CH2	Submit a Conservation Plan for the Former Royal Air Force Hangar and	Proposal for conservation	Contractor	Former Tai Hom	Prior to the	• AMO's	٨
		the Old Pillbox to AMO for agreement.	of		Village Site	Construction	requirements	
			2 historical buildings			Phase of DIH	Principles for the	
						site	Conservation of	
							Heritage Sites in	
							China	
							Burra Charter, the	
							Australia's ICOMOS	
							Charter for Places of	
							Cultural Significance	
Ecolog	y (Cons	struction Phase)						
S5.7	E1	Good Site Practices	Minimise ecological	Contractor	All construction	During	• ProPECC PN 1/94	
		Impact to any habitats or local fauna should be avoided by implementing	impacts		sites	Construction		٨
		good site practices, including the containment of silt runoff within the site						
		boundary, appropriate storage of chemicals and chemical waste away						
		from sites of ecological value and the provision of sanitary facilities for						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		on-site workers. Adoption of such measures should permit waste to be						
		suitably contained within the site for subsequent removal and appropriate						
		disposal. The following good site practices should also be						
		implemented:						
		No on-site burning of waste;						٨
		Waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles.						٨
Landsc	ape &	Visual (Construction Phase)						
S6.12	LV1	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation and	Minimize visual &	Contractor	Within Project	Construction	•TM-EIAO	
		avoidance of potential impacts are recommended:	landscape impact		Site	stage		
		Re-use of Existing Soil						
		For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where						٨
		possible for new planting areas within the project. The						
		construction program shall consider using the soil removed from						
		one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground,						
		gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as						
		necessary.						
		No-intrusion Zone						
		To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and						*
		the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may						
		designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site						
		boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor and						
		restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone",						
		even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.						
		Protection of Retained Trees						
		All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the						*
		commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during						
		the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall						
		be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which						
		specifying the tree protection requirement, submission and						
		approval system, and the tree monitoring system.						
		The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed						٨
		working method statement for the protection of trees prior to						
		undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including						
		trees in contractor's works sites.						
Table 6.9	LV2	Decorative Hoarding	Minimize the visual and	Contractor	Within Project	Detailed design	• EIAO – TM	
		Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen	landscape impact of the		Site	and	•ETWB TCW 2/2004	٨
		off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and	Project during construction			construction	• ETWB TCW	
		landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding should be designed to be	phase			stage	3/2006	
		compatible with the existing urban context.						
		Management of facilities on work sites						
		To provide proper management of the facilities on the sites, give						۸

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities						
		on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent VSRs.						
		Tree Transplanting						
		Trees of medium to high survival rate that would be affected by						٨
		the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable.						
		Tree transplanting proposal including final location for						
		transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek relevant						
		government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB						
		TCW No 3/2006.						
Air Qua	lity (Co	onstruction Phase)						
/	A1	Emission from Vehicles and Plants	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• APCO	
		All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	emission from construction		sites	stage		٨
		Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant	vehicles and plants					٨
		should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke.						
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be						٨
		powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD)						
/	A2	Open burning shall be prohibited	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	APCO	٨
			emission from work site		sites	stage		
Constru	uction	Dust Impact			1	1	1	1
S7.6.6	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• APCO	*
		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
							impact to meet	
							HKAQO and TM-	
							EIA criteria	
S7.6.6	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• APCO	*
		practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	
		worksites and haul road in the Kowloon area should be conducted to					impact to meet	
		achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering					HKAQO and TM-	
		frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary					EIA criteria	
		depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain						
		an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8 L/m² to achieve the dust						
		removal efficiency						
S7.6.6	D3	Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• APCO	*
		entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	
		the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated					impact to meet	
		where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;					HKAQO and TM-	
		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should					EIA criteria	٨
		be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads;						
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the						^
		pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.						
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site						٨
		should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that						

EIA Ref.	EM&A		Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log			recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
				address	measures?			achieve?	
			the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;						
		•	Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure						٨
			water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated						
			vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place						
			and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit						
			point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or						
			hardcores;						
		•	When there are open excavation and reinstatement works,						^
			hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and						
			properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary						
			with provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be						
			adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the						
			hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction						
			period;						
		•	The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is						٨
			within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of						
			dusty materials;						
		•	Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting,						۸
			polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place						
			should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical						
			continuously;						

EIA Ref.	EM&A		Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log			recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
				address	measures?			achieve?	
		•	Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with						٨
			water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during						
			and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire						
			surface wet;						
		•	Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building						N/A
			under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting						
			should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground						
			floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the						
			first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding;						
		•	Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by						٨
			impervious sheeting;						
		•	Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel						٨
			ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or						
			placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;						
		•	Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed						٨
			silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with						
			the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed;						
		•	Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement						٨
			or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or						
			facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective						
			fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing,						N/A
		hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl,						
		bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six						
		months after the last construction activity on the construction site						
		or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.						
S7.6.6	D4	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected	Construction	• TM-EIA	٨
		construction stage.			representative	stage		
					dust monitoring			
					station			
Constr	uction	Airborne Noise						I.
S8.5.6	AN1	Implement the following good site practices:	Control construction	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	
		only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant	airborne noise		Sites where	stage		٨
		should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;			practicable			
		machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in						٨
		intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or						
		should be throttled down to a minimum;						
		plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible,						٨
		be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs;						
		silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly						٨
		fitted and maintained during the construction works;						
		mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible						٨
]	mobile plant should be sited as far away from Nons as possible						-

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		and practicable;						
		material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other						٨
		structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to						
		screen noise from on-site construction activities.						
S8.5.6	AN2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy	Reduce the construction	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
		construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall	noise levels at low-level		Sites	stage		
		be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	zone of NSRs through					
			partial					
			screening.					
S8.5.6	AN3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier	Screen the noisy plant	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
		with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal	items		Sites	stage		
		sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy	to be used at all					
		plants including air compressor, generators and saw.	construction					
			sites					
S8.5.6	AN4	Use "Quiet" plant	Reduce the noise levels of	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
			plant items		Sites where	stage		
					practicable			
S8.5.6	AN5	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
			the same work site to		Sites where	stage		
			reduce		practicable			
			the construction airborne					

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
			noise					
S8.5.6	AN6	Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction	Contractor	Selected	Construction	•TM-EIA	٨
			noise levels at the selected		representative	stage		
			representative locations		noise monitoring			
					station			
Water (Quality	(Construction Phase)						
S10.7.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994	impact from construction		sites	stage	Control Ordinance	
		(ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall	site		where practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94	
		include the following:	runoff and general				• TM-EIAO	
		Construction Runoff and Site Drainage	construction activities				• TM-Water	
		At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities),						٨
		perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should						
		be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and						
		sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both						
		temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds						
		or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct site runoff						
		and stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary						
		on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to						
		the commencement of construction.						
		The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be						٨

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary						
		ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an						
		appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The						
		sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage						
		channels to enhance deposition rates.						
		The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the						
		guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that						
		the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under						
		maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow						
		rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m ³ /s a sedimentation basin of 30m ³						
		would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m ³ /s the basin would be						
		150 m ³ . The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be						
		undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of						
		construction.						
		All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as						٨
		soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or						
		alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where						
		practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin						
		or other means.						
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce						٨
		the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional						
		advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive						
		traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and						
		the reduction of surface sheet flows.						
		All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures						۸
		should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and						
		efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms.						
		Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of						
		by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas.						
		Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage						۸
		into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is						
		necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections						
		wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or						
		foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via						
		silt removal facilities.						
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example,						۸
		aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m3 should be						
		covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.						
		Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of						۸
		construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.						
		Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt,						
		construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage						
		system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers						
		Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are						٨
		likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or						
		forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are						
		summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular						
		attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during						
		storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes						
		All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a						
		construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is						٨
		deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited						
		wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site						
		exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt						
		settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the						
		continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road						
		leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road						
		should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay						
		to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and						
		drains.						
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system						N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended Measures	Who to	Location of the measures	When to	What requirements or standards for	Status
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the	incasures	measures?	the measures to	
	nei					measures?		
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors						
		should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil						
		and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental						
		spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to						
		prevent flushing during heavy rain.						
		Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be						٨
		collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality						
		impacts.						
		All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and						*
		sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of						
		the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils						
		from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby						
		All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to						٨
		limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas						
		during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable.						
		Adopt best management practices.						٨
S10.7.1	W3	Sewage Effluent	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are	from sewage effluent		sites where	stage	Control Ordinance	٨
		recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by			practicable		• TM-water	
		the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide						
		appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		appropriate disposal and maintenance.						
S10.7.1	W5	Accidental Spillage	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is	impact from accidental		sites where	stage	Control Ordinance	
		recommended:	spillage		practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94	
		Proper storage and handling facilities should be provided;					• TM-EIAO	^
		All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the					• TM-Water	^
		locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive						
		watercourse and stormwater drains;						
		The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if						٨
		chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste						
		arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable						
		labels and warnings; and						
		Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance						٨
		with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical						
		Waste) (General) Regulation.						
Waste I	Manage	ement (Construction Waste)				1	,	
S11.4.1.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D material	Separation of unsuitable	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• DEVB TC(W) No.	
		Geological assessment should be carried out by competent	rock from ending up at		sites	stage	6/2010	N/A
		persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not	concrete batching plants					
		suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic	and be turned into concrete					
		rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc.). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock	for structural use					

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and						
		stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from delivering						
		to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be						
		reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ended						
		up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for						
		structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and						
		crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractors for the						
		Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also						
		be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability						
		of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket						
		System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under						
		DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the						
		rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative						
		disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock,						
		etc. should also be explored.						
S11.5.1	WM2	Construction and Demolition Material	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	٨
		backfilling and reinstatement;	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		Carry out on-site sorting;	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	٨
		Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	٨
		the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	the amount for final				Ordinance	

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing	disposal				• ETWB TCW No.	N/A
		structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete					19/2005	
		effectively for recycling purpose, where possible;						
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure						٨
		that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and						
		verified; and						
		Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to						٨
		ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on						
		Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials						
		and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.						
		In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive						٨
		locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The						
		Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project						
		Proponent and EPD and get their approval before implementation						
S11.5.1	WM3	C&D Waste	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	٨
		practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	
		works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	
		be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to	the amount for final				Ordinance	
		enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction	disposal				• ETWB TCW	

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and					No.19/2005	
		wastage.						
		The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as						٨
		possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and						
		stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling						
		of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete						
		and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement						
		bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites						
		should be considered for such segregation and storage.						
S11.5.1	WM4	General Refuse	Minimize production of the	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed	general refuse and avoid		sites	stage	Ordinance	٨
		bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical	odour, pest and litter					
		wastes.	impacts					
		A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor						٨
		to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction						
		and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and						
		litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited						
		by law.						
		Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by						٨
		individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily						
		accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		provided if feasible.						
		Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if						۸
		volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a						
		local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor.						
S11.5.1	WM6	Chemical Waste	Control the chemical waste	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the	and ensure proper storage,		Sites	Stage	(Chemical Waste)	۸
		Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be	handling and disposal.				(General)	
		handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging,					Regulation	
		Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.					Code of Practice	
		Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be					on the Packaging,	۸
		suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion,					Labelling and	
		maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity					Storage of	
		of less than 450L unless the specification has been approved by the					Chemical Waste	
		EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with						
		instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation.						
		The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled						۸
		and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; be enclosed on at						
		least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient						
		capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest						
		container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area,						
		whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; be covered to						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		prevent rainfall entering; and be arranged so that incompatible						
		materials are adequately separated.						
		Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste						۸
		collector; and be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste,						
		such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a						
		chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary						
		storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval						
		from the EPD.						

Remarks: ^

- Compliance of mitigation measure
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.

N/A Not Applicable

APPENDIX K
WASTE GENERATION IN THE
REPORTING MONTH

Contract No:	MTR SCL 1106 - Diamond Hill Statio
Date of Report:	January, 2017

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

	90-						<u> </u>					2
	,	Actual Quanti	ties of C&D M	aterials Gene	erated Monthl	у	Actual Qua	ntities of Non-	inert C&D Wa	astes Genera	ated Monthly	
Monthly	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects (See Note 2)	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste (See Note 3)	Others, e.g. general refuse	Remarks
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)	
Jan	0.081	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000 *(5)	0.000	0.000	0.116	
Feb			eg .				2 50				eg .	
Mar												
Apr												
May			107 128			8 8-	2 70 1 23				77 ES	
Jun												8
Sub-total	0.081	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.116	
Jul												
Aug			87 82			8 9 8 9	1 20				107 EX	
Sept			-9				9				-9	
Oct												
Nov												
Dec			12			8 9 8- 9	1 18 1 23				22	
Total	0.081	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.116	

Notes:

- 1) Assume the densities of Rock, Soil, Mix Rock and Soil, are Regular Spoil to be 2.0 tonnes/m³. Assumption the densities of general refuse is 1.0 tonnes/m³
- 2) Inert C&D material was delivered to Kai Tak Barging Point Facility (Contract 1108A) & Contract 1108.
- 3) Chemical waste includes waste diesel oil. It is assumed density of diesel oil to be 0.8kg/L.
- 4) Figures are rounded up to 3 decimal places
- 5) Data will be updated in the next report.

APPENDIX L CUMULATIVE LOG FOR COMPLAINT LOGS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS



Appendix L - Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints in Reporting Month	Number of Summons in Reporting Month	Number of Prosecutions in Reporting Month
March 2013	0	0	0
April 2013	0	0	0
May 2013	0	0	0
June 2013	0	0	0
July 2013	0	0	0
August 2013	0	0	0
September 2013	0	0	0
October 2013	0	0	0
November 2013	0	0	0
December 2013	0	0	0
January 2014	0	0	0
February 2014	0	0	0
March 2014	0	0	0
April 2014	0	0	0
May 2014	0	0	0
June 2014	0	0	0
July 2014	0	0	0
August 2014	0	0	0
September 2014	0	0	0
October 2014	0	0	0
November 2014	0	0	0
December 2014	0	0	0
January 2015	0	0	0
February 2015	3	0	0
March 2015	0	0	0
April 2015	0	0	0
May 2015	0	0	0
June 2015	0	0	0
July 2015	1	0	0
August 2015	0	0	0
September 2015	0	0	0
October 2015	0	0	0
November 2015	0	0	0
December 2015	0	0	0
January 2016	0	0	0
February 2016	0	0	0
March 2016	1	0	0
April 2016	1	0	0
May 2016	0	0	0



June 2016	1	0	0
July 2016	0	0	0
August 2016	3	0	0
September 2016	0	0	0
October 2016	0	0	0
November 2016	0	0	0
December 2016	1	0	0
January 2017	0	0	0
Total	11	0	0



Environmental Complaint Log (January 2017)

Contractor Log Ref.	Complaint Location/ Nature	Incoming Complaint Reference no.	Complainant/ Date or Period of Complaint Received	Date of Complaint received from EPD	Details of Complaint	Investigation/ Mitigation Action	Status

Log for Notifications of Summons (January 2017)

Log Ref.	Location/Nature	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement

Log for Successful Prosecutions (January 2017)

Log Ref.	Location/Nature	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since the commencement of the project

Appendix E

45th EM&A Report for Works Contract 1107 – Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 45

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1107 – Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels (February 2017)

Certified by:	Priscilla Choy
Position:	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	10 th February 2017

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1107 Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report For January 2017

(Version 1.0)

Certified By

Dr. Priscilla Choy (Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P:	age
EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Intr	oduction	1
	nmary of Construction Works undertaken during Reporting Month	
	riation in Construction Method	
	vironmental Monitoring and Audit Progress:	
	gular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring	
	ste Managementdscape and Visual	
	rironmental Site Inspection	
	vironmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful	
	secution	2
Fut	ure Key Issues	2
1	INTRODUCTION	3
Pur	pose of the Report	3
Stru	acture of the Report	3
2	PROJECT INFORMATION	4
Bac	kground	4
	neral Site Description	
Cor	nstruction Programme and Activities	4
	ject Organisation	
	tus of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
Sur	nmary of EM&A Requirements	5
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
	gular Construction Noise Monitoring	
	nitoring Parameter and Frequency	
Mo	nitoring Equipment and Methodology	7
	ld Monitoringnitoring Equipment	
	intenance and Calibration	
	ion & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring	
	ntinuous Noise Monitoring	
	gular Construction Dust Monitoring	
	nitoring Parameter and Frequency	
	nitoring Equipment	
	rumentation	
	S Installation	
	ers Preparationerating/Analytical Procedures	
	intenance/Calibration	
	ion and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring	
Lan	adscape and Visual	11
4	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
RE	QUIREMENTS	12
5	MONITORING RESULTS	13
Reg	gular Construction Noise Monitoring	13

Was	ular Dust Monitoringste Managementdscape and Visual	. 14
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION	. 15
	Audit	
	lementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	
7	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	
	nmary of Exceedances	
	nmary of Environmental Non-Compliancenmary of Environmental Complaint	
	mary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution	
	·	
8	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
Con	struction Programme for the Next Month	. 18
	Issues in the Next Month	
Mor	nitoring Schedule in the Next Month	
9	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 19
Con	clusions	. 19
Reco	ommendations	. 19
LIS	T OF TABLES	
Tabl	le 2.1 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
Tabl	le 3.1 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location	
	le 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment	
	le 3.3 Dust Monitoring Location	
	le 3.4 Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency	
	le 3.5 Dust Monitoring Equipment	
	le 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP le 5.1 Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results during the reporting month	
	le 5.2 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project	
	le 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit	
LIS	T OF FIGURES	
LIS'		
Figu Figu	The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract 1107 Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring	
Figu Figu Figu	The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract 1107 Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Location of Dust Monitoring	
Figu Figu	The Alignment and Works Area for Works Contract 1107 Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Location of Dust Monitoring	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Tentative Construction Programme
Action and Limit Levels
Calibration Certificates for Monitoring Equipment
Impact Monitoring Schedule
24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Summary of Exceedance
Site Audit Summary
Event and Action Plans
Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
Waste Generation in the Reporting Month
Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful
Prosecutions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 45th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for **MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1107 – Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels**. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1st to 31st January 2017.

Summary of Construction Works undertaken during Reporting Month

- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month include:
 - Backfilling works at cut and cover tunnels; and
 - Reinstatement and Backfilling works of Drainage.

Variation in Construction Method

3. Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress:

As of the reporting month, an alignment section of approximately 90m long between DIH and KAT under this Works Contract 1107 will be constructed by the cut-and-cover method, instead of bored tunnelling method as assessed in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of Shatin to Central Link - Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard (hereafter referred to as SCL (HHS)) [Register No.: AEIAR-164/2012] due to increased construction risk caused by potential left-in piles. Also, pile removal works would be conducted if reinforced bored piles are identified along the bored tunnelling section. Application for variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) was approved by the EPD for the varied construction method. The updated EP (EP No.: EP-438/2012/F) was issued by EPD on 15 July 2014. Application for variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) was approved by the EPD for including the installation and operation of a Mobile Batching Machinery Equipment at Diamond Hill during the construction of SCL (TAW-HUH). The updated EP (EP No.: EP-438/2012/G) was issued by EPD on 14 August 2014. Application for variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) was approved by the EPD for varying Figure 11 of the previous Environment Permit. The variation of EP (EP No.: EP-438/2012/H) was issued by EPD on 10 September 2014, and superseded by an updated EP (EP No.: EP-438/2012/I) issued by EPD on 14 October 2015. The variation of EP (EP No.: EP-438/2012/J) was issued by EPD on 29 February 2016 for including the decommissioning of temporary magazine site at Tseung Kwun O Area 137. The latest variation of EP (EP No.:EP-438/2012/K) was issued by EPD on 4 October 2016.

4. A summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring

- Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours *Noise Monitoring Station ID*
- NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾/NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)) 4 times
- NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾/NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) 4 times
- Construction Dust (24-hour TSP) Monitoring *Dust Monitoring Station ID*

• DMS-4⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾/ DMS-3⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden)

5 times

Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Noise monitoring on NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/ NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.
- (4) Noise monitoring on NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/ NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.
- (5) Dust monitoring on DMS-4⁽¹⁾/ DMS-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.

Waste Management

5. Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. Details of waste management data is presented in Section 5 and **Appendix K**.

Landscape and Visual

6. Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 5th and 19th January 2017. Most of the necessary mitigation measures have been implemented and recommended follow-up actions have been discharged by the Contractor. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

Environmental Site Inspection

7. Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 5th, 12th, 19th and 26th January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 12th January 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 8. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- 9. No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.
- 10. No Project related environmental complaint and notification of summons/successful prosecution was received in this reporting period.

Future Key Issues

- 11. Major site activities for the coming reporting month will include:
 - Backfilling works at cut and cover tunnels; and
 - Reinstatement and Backfilling works of drainage.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was appointed by Chun Wo – SELI Joint Venture (CSJV) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL)Works Contract 1107 – Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels (hereafter referred to as the Project).

Purpose of the Report

1.2 This is the 45th EM&A report which summarises the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1st to 31st January 2017. The major construction works for Contract 1107 commenced on 27th May 2013.

Structure of the Report

- 1.3 The structure of the report is as follows:
 - Section 1: **Introduction -** details the scope and structure of the report.
 - Section 2: **Project Information** summarises background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.
 - Section 3: **Environmental Monitoring Requirement -** summarises the monitoring parameters, monitoring programmes, monitoring methodologies, monitoring frequency, monitoring locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event / Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report and relevant environmental requirements.
 - Section 4: **Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures -** summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
 - Section 5: **Monitoring Results** summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
 - Section 6: **Environmental Site Inspection -** summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.
 - Section 7: **Environmental Non-conformance -** summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
 - Section 8: **Future Key Issues -** summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three months.

Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

Background

- 2.1 The Shatin to Central Link Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (hereafter referred to as SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an approximately 11 km long extension of the Ma On Shan Line and links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic east-west rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO).
- 2.2 The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS) have been divided into a series of civil construction works contracts. This Works Contract 1107 covers the construction of running tunnel from Kai Tak (KAT) North to SCL Diamond Hill (DIH) Station which is under the approved SCL (HHS) EIA Report. This construction contract was awarded to Chun Wo SELI Joint Venture (CSJV) in March 2013.

General Site Description

2.3 The construction of tunnel from KAT to DIH will employ either cut-and-cover method or bored tunneling. The alignment and works area for the Works Contract 1107 are shown in **Figure 1**.

Construction Programme and Activities

- 2.4 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows. The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.
 - Backfilling works at cut and cover tunnels; and
 - Reinstatement and Backfilling works of Drainage.

Project Organisation

2.5 The project organizational chart and contact details are shown in **Figure 4.**

Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.6 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in **Table 2.1**. One new Construction Noise Permit (GW-RE1243-16) was granted under the Project in the reporting month.

Table 2.1 Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

Downia / Licongo No	Valid	Period	Chahra
Permit / License No.	From	To	Status
Environmental Permit (EP)	T		
EP-438/2012/J	29/02/2016	N/A	Valid
Notification pursuant to Air Pol	lution Control (Cons	truction Dust) Regula	tion
Ref no.: 357051	18/03/2013	N/A	Valid
Billing Account for Construction	n Waste Disposal		
Account No. 7017163	26/03/2013	N/A	Valid
Registration of Chemical Waste	Producer		
5213-286-C3798-01	29/04/2013	N/A	Valid
Effluent Discharge License und	er Water Pollution Co	ontrol Ordinance	
WT00015861-2013	13/05/2013	31/05/2018	Valid
WT00016009-2013	23/05/2013	31/05/2018	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CN)	P)		
GW-RE1243-16	6/01/2017	28/03/2017	Valid

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 2.7 The EM&A programme under Works Contract 1107 require regular dust and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
 - All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event / Action Plans;
 - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
 - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 2.8 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.
- 2.9 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, works period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely construction noise & dust monitoring as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

3.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, monitoring of construction noise impact should be conducted at the designated monitoring stations. Since access to some of the proposed monitoring locations stated in the EM&A Manual was rejected; alternative locations were proposed and agreed by the ER (Engineer's Representative), IEC (Independent Environmental Checker) and EPD (Environmental Protection Department). The construction noise monitoring locations are listed in **Table 3.1** and shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 3.1 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	Description	Type of Measurement
NMS-CA-4 ⁽¹⁾ / NMS-CA-3 ⁽²⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)	Façade
NMS-CA-5 (1) (3)/ NMS-CA-2 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)	Façade

Note:

- (1) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Canossa Primary School (San Po Kong) (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (4) Noise monitoring on NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/ NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.
- (5) Noise monitoring on NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/ NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.

Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

- 3.2 Weekly construction noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. The monitoring schedule for this reporting period of monitoring stations at Rhythm Garden is shown in **Appendix D**.
- 3.3 The construction noise levels were measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) in decibels dB(A). L_{Aeq} (30min) (as six consecutive L_{eq} , 5-min readings) was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 1900 hours on normal weekdays.

Monitoring Equipment and Methodology

Field Monitoring

- 3.4 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
 - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

frequency weighting : Atime weighting : Fast

L_{eq},30 min reading)

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A façade correction of +3dB(A) shall be made to the noise parameter obtained by free field measurement.

Monitoring Equipment

3.5 The sound level meters and calibrator used for the noise measurement, as listed in **Table 3.2**, compile with the IEC 651: 1979 and 804:1985 (Type 1) specification. The calibration certificates of the sound level meters are included in **Appendix C**.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Equipment	Model (Serial no.)
Sound Level Meter	SVAN 955 (Serial no.: 12553 and 12563) SVAN 957 (Serial no.: 21455 and 21460)
Calibrator	SV30A (Serial no.: 24791 and 24780) B&K 4231 (Serial no.: 2326353 and 2412367)

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.6 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
 - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix C**.

Action & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring

3.7 The Action and Limit Levels are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for noise monitoring is presented in **Appendix I.**

Continuous Noise Monitoring

3.8 With reference to the latest Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) and Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) prepared submitted under EP Condition 2.9 and Condition 2.10 respectively, it is predicted that no residual air-borne construction noise impacts exceeding the relevant noise criteria will be anticipated. Therefore, no continuous noise monitoring is required during the construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) under Works Contract 1107.

Regular Construction Dust Monitoring

3.9 The proposed dust monitoring stations for the construction phase of the Project, as recommended in the approved EM&A Manual, are listed in **Table 3.3** and shown in **Figure 3**. The proposed locations have been agreed with the ER, EPD and IEC.

Table 3.3 Dust Monitoring Location

Regular Dust Monitoring Location	Description
DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / DMS-3 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden

Moto:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Dust monitoring on DMS-4⁽¹⁾/DMS-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.

Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

3.10 The dust monitoring (in terms of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was conducted at the designated monitoring stations in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. The 24-hour TSP levels were monitored at the frequency and duration stated in **Table 3.4**. The TSP monitoring at Rhythm Garden was conducted as per the schedule presented in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.4 Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Period	Duration	Parameter	Frequency
Impact Monitoring ⁽¹⁾	Throughout the construction period	24-hour TSP	Once per 6 days

Note:

(1) 1- hour TSP shall be conducted when one documented valid complaint is received.

Monitoring Equipment

3.11 **Table 3.5** summarizes the equipment used for the dust monitoring.

Table 3.5 Dust Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make						
HVS	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no.: 2352	1					
Calibration Orifice	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE – 5025A Orifice ID: 2896	1					

Instrumentation

3.12 High Volume Samplers (HVS) connected with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 Appendix B (Part 50).

HVS Installation

- 3.13 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
 - Sufficient support was provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The samplers were more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

Filters Preparation

3.14 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 µm diameter. A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Wellab Ltd. (HOKLAS Registration No. 083), was responsible for the preparation of pre-weighed filter papers for Cinotech's monitoring team.

- 3.15 All filters, which were prepared by Wellab Ltd., were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- 3.16 Wellab Ltd. has a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 3.17 Operating/analytical procedures for the TSP monitoring were highlighted as follows:
 - Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS was properly set (between 1.1 and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard.
 - The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
 - The filter holding frame and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
 - On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the air quality monitoring station.
 - The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
 - The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
 - A new flow rate record chart was set into the flow recorder.
 - The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
 - The flow rate of the HVS sampler would be verified to be constant and recorded on the data sheet before and after sampling.
 - The elapsed time and other relevant information was recorded. After sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
 - It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed and sent to the Wellab Ltd. for weighing.
 - Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results were returned to Cinotech for further analysis of TSP concentrations collected by each filter.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 3.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
 - The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix C**.
 - The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually.

Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring

3.19 The Action and Limit levels have been established and are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for dust monitoring is presented in **Appendix I.**

Landscape and Visual

3.20 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period. The Event / Action Plan (EAP) for landscape and visual is presented in **Appendix I**. The implementation status is given in **Appendix J**.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures of the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix J**. Status of required submissions under the Environmental Permit (EP) of the reporting period is presented in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date		
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (December 2016)	13 th January 2017		

5 MONITORING RESULTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

- 5.1 A total of 8 sets of 30-minute construction noise measurements were carried out at the monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period by ET of SCL Works Contract 1106. No exceedance of the limit level was recorded at designated monitoring stations.
- 5.2 All noise monitoring results recorded at NMS-CA-5⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-2⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) in January 2017 exceeded the daytime construction noise criterion. However, the results are not considered as exceedance since the results were below the baseline noise level. The noise monitoring results recorded at NMS-CA-4⁽¹⁾/NMS-CA-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)) in January 2017 did not exceed the daytime construction noise criterion.
- 5.3 The noise monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in **Appendix F**.
- 5.4 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of construction noise due to the Project was recorded during the reporting period.

Regular Dust Monitoring

5.5 A total of 5 sets of 24-hour TSP monitoring were carried out at the designated monitoring stations during normal weekdays of the reporting period by ET of SCL Works Contract 1106. The monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in **Appendix E** and a summary of the dust monitoring results in this reporting month is given in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1 Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results during the reporting month

Parameter µg/	m³ µg/m	$\mu g/m^3$	e Action Level, μg/m	Limit Level, μg/m ³
24-hr TSP (DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / DMS-3 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾)	.5 71.6	52.7	160.4	260

Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Dust monitoring on DMS-4⁽¹⁾/DMS-3⁽²⁾ (Block 1, Rhythm Garden) is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.
- 5.6 Based on observation during the on-site monitoring, road traffic emission nearby is considered as a potential dust source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results of the reporting month.
- 5.7 Meteorological data were obtained from the Kai Tak Meteorological Station of Hong Kong Observatory and was shown on **Appendix E**.
- 5.8 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the 24-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting period.

Waste Management

5.9 Waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes like plastics and paper/cardboard packaging materials. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 5.2**. 35m³ of C&D materials and 5 tonnes of general refuse were generated and disposed in the reporting month; no paper/cardboard packaging, chemical waste, plastics and metals were generated during this reporting month. Details of waste management data is presented in **Appendix K**.

Table 5.2 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

			Quant	tity									
Reporting Month		C&D Materials (non-inert) (b)											
	C&D Materials	C 1	GI . I	Rec	cycled materials								
	(inert) (a)	General Refuse	Chemical Waste	Paper/ cardboard	Plastics	Metals							
January 2017	$35 m^3$	5 tonnes	0 litres	0 kg	0 kg	0 kg							

Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil,
- (b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse and vegetative wastes. Steel materials generated from the project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.

Landscape and Visual

5.10 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 5th and 19th January 2017. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION

Site Audit

- 6.1 Site audit was carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audit are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 5th, 12th, 19th and 26th January 2017 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 12th January 2017. The details of observations during site audit can refer to **Table 6.1**.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.3 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix J**.
- 6.4 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
Water Quality			
Noise			
Landscape and Visual			
	29 th December 2016	Reminder: The stockpiles of dusty material should be covered by impervious material to prevent the dust emission in the site.	As observed on 5 th January 2017, the stockpiles of dusty material are sprayed with water and observed wet.
Air Quality	12 th January 2017	Reminder: The exposed area and the stockpile of dusty material should be sprayed water more frequent to prevent the dust emission in the site.	As observed on 19 th January 2017, the exposed area and the stockpile of dusty material were sprayed water in the site.
	26 th January 2017	Reminder: The exposed sloped area should be covered by impervious material to prevent the dust emission in the site.	This item would be followed up in the next reporting month.
	29 th December 2016	Observation: The chemical container should be provided with the drip tray to prevent the chemical spillage in the site.	Please refer to the remark on 5 th January 2017.
Waste / Chemical Management	5 th January 2017	Observation: The chemical container should be provided with the drip tray to prevent the chemical spillage in the site.	As observed on 12 th January 2017, this chemical container is removed and not observed.
	5 th January 2017	Reminder: The oil stain should be cleared properly as chemical waste near the excavator in the	As observed on 12 th January 2017, the oil stain is cleared and not observed

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
		site.	
Permits/Licenses			

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

Summary of Exceedances

7.1 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting period. The summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix G**.

Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Environmental Complaint

7.3 No environmental Project-related complaint was received in the reporting month. The Cumulative Complaint Log since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix L**.

Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

7.4 There was no successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Cumulative Log for environmental summon and successful prosecution since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix** L.

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Construction Programme for the Next Month

- 8.1 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix A**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
 - Backfilling works at cut and cover tunnels; and
 - Reinstatement and Backfilling works of drainage.

Key Issues in the Next Month

- 8.2 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Dust arising from loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA and bentonite;
 - Treatment of wastewater from shaft excavation works;
 - To ensure the performance of sorting of C&D materials at source (during generation); and
 - To carry out inspection of dump truck at site exit to ensure inert and non-inert C&D materials are properly segregated before removing off site.

Monitoring Schedule in the Next Month

8.3 The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring at Rhythm Garden in the next reporting period is presented in **Appendix D**. The regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period.

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 9.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1st to 31st January 2017 in accordance with EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP.
- 9.2 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during the reporting month.
- 9.3 4 times of joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET and 2 times of bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted during the reporting period.
- 9.4 There was no Project related environmental complaint, successful prosecution or notification of summons received during the reporting month.
- 9.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Recommendations

9.6 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Quality

N/A

Landscape and Visual

N/A

Noise

N/A


Air Quality


- Spraying water should be provide more frequent for the exposed area in the site area to prevent the dust emission, and
- The stockpiles of dusty material including the exposed sloped area should be covered by impervious material in the site area to prevent the dust emission.


Waste/Chemical Management


- The drip tray should be provided for the chemical containers in the site to prevent the chemical spillage, and
- The oil stain should be cleared properly as chemical waste in the site.

FIGURES










APPENDIX A
TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION
PROGRAMME

tivity ID	Activity Name	O Dur	MP Start	MP Finish	Last Mth Start	Last Mth Finish	Start	Finish	2016	,	2017		
MTRC S	CL 1107 DIH to KAT Tunnels 3 M	201	01-Dec-16	30-Jun-17	27-Oct-16	-	27-Oct-16 A	30-Jun-17	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Ap
	of Completion Obligation & Ot	5	26-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	31-Mar-17					31
	e of Milestone Dates - Cost Centre A	0	26-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	26-Mar-17	26-Mar-17					▼ 26-Mar
1107.MS10300	A15 Engineer's confirmation of satisfactory implementation of	0		26-Mar-17		26-Mar-17		26-Mar-17*					◆ A15 Er
Cabadula	Programming Management System	0	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-17					▼ 3
1107.HD11120	e of Vacate Dates for Works Areas Vacate Date for 1107.W7	0		31-Mar-17		31-Mar-17		31-Mar-17*					♦ V
Cost Co	entre A - Preliminaries	201	01-Dec-16	30-Jun-17	27-Oct-16	30-Jun-17	27-Oct-16 A	30-Jun-17					
	Drawings & O&M Manuals	192	01-Dec-16	20-Jun-17	27-Oct-16	20-Jun-17	27-Oct-16 A	20-Jun-17					
1107.12581	Preparation & Submission of draft O&M & As Built drawings	78	01-Dec-16	27-Jan-17	27-Oct-16	27-Jan-17	27-Oct-16 A	27-Jan-17			Preparation & Submission o	draft O&M & As Built drawin	gs
1107.12582	Review of O&M & As Built Drawings	36	31-Jan-17	13-Mar-17	31-Jan-17	13-Mar-17	31-Jan-17	13-Mar-17				Review of	O&M & A
1107.12590	Revision & Resubmission for Approval of O&M & As Built drawings	78	14-Mar-17	20-Jun-17	14-Mar-17	20-Jun-17	1 1 1 1 1	20-Jun-17					
	·	48	23-Jan-17	21-Mar-17	23-Jan-17	21-Mar-17		21-Mar-17			•	₹ 2	1-Mar-1,7,
Project A 1107.12560	4th Audit of programming management system	48	23-Jan-17	21-Mar-17		21-Mar-17		21-Mar-17				41	th Audit of
Site Engl	bling Works	175	01-Dec-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16 A	30-Jun-17					
Site Setup		175	01-Dec-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16 A	30-Jun-17					
Misc Items	s	175	01-Dec-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16	30-Jun-17	26-Nov-16 A	30-Jun-17					
1107.19090c	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 26Nov16 to 13Dec16	15	01-Dec-16	13-Dec-16	26-Nov-16	13-Dec-16	26-Nov-16 A	13-Dec-16 A	Provision of Site	General Staff (Drivers,	Amahs, etc) - 26Nov16 to 13Dec16		
1107.19090d	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 14Dec16 to 31Dec16	15	14-Dec-16	31-Dec-16	14-Dec-16	31-Dec-16	14-Dec-16 A	31-Dec-16 A		Provision of Site Ge	neral Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 14	Dec16 to 31Dec16	1
1107.19100	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 03Jan17 to 19Jan17	15	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17		[Provision of Site General Staff (Drive	rs, Amahs, etc) - 03Jan17 to	19Jan17
1107.19100a	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 20Jan17 to 08Feb17	15	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17		E	Provision of Sit	e General Staff (Drivers, Ama	ns, etc) -
1107.19100b	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 09Feb17 to 25Feb17	15	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17				Provision of Site General S	taff (Drive
1107.19100c	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 27Feb17 to 15Mar17	15	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17				Provisio	n of Site
1107.19100d	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 16Mar17 to 31Mar17	14	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17					F
1107.19110	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - Second Quarter of 2017	71	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17					=
1107.19280c	ProProvision of Site General Labour for Temporary Works - 26Nov16 to 13Dec16	15	01-Dec-16	13-Dec-16	26-Nov-16	13-Dec-16	26-Nov-16 A	13-Dec-16 A	ProProvision of S	ite General Labour for	Temporary Works - 26Nov16 to 13D	ec16	
1107.19280d	Provision of Site General Labour for Temporary Works - 14Dec16 to 31Dec16	15	14-Dec-16	31-Dec-16	14-Dec-16	31-Dec-16	14-Dec-16 A	31-Dec-16 A		Provision of Site Ge	neral Labour for Temporary Works -	4Dec16 to 31Dec16	
1107.19290	Provision of Site General Labour for Temporary Works - 03Jan17 to 19Jan17	15	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17	03-Jan-17	19-Jan-17		[Provision of Site General Labour for	Temporary Works - 03Jan17 to	19Jan1
1107.19290a	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 20Jan17 to 08Feb17	15	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17	20-Jan-17	08-Feb-17		E	Provision of Sit	e General Staff (Drivers, Ama	ns, etc) -
1107.19290b	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 09Feb17 to 25Feb17	15	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17	09-Feb-17	25-Feb-17				Provision of Site General S	taff (Drive
1107.19290c	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 27Feb17 to 15Mar17	15	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17	27-Feb-17	15-Mar-17	-			Provisio	n of Site
1107.19290d	Provision of Site General Staff (Drivers, Amahs, etc) - 16Mar17 to 31Mar17	14	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	16-Mar-17	31-Mar-17					
1107.19300	Provision of Site General Labour for Temporary Works - Second Quarter of 2017	71	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17	01-Apr-17	30-Jun-17	_				
Cost Ce	entre D - KAT Cut & Cover Tunne	77	01-Dec-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16 A	25-Feb-17				7 25-Feb-17, Cost Centre D -	KAT Cut
	on & C&C Tunnel Structure	77	01-Dec-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16 A	25-Feb-17				▼ 25-Feb-17, Excavation & C	&C Tunne
		ITDA A	01 1107 5	NU to VAT	Tues als 0	1	Date	Revision C	hecked Approve	ed I			
				OIH to KAT ogramme 0			2nd Col 0	KC			Master Prog Baseline Bar ◆ Last Month Forecast Bar ▼	◆ Milestone ✓ Summary	
188	Page 1 01 2	RM	-							-	Actual Work	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	D D	ata Da	te 01-Jan-	17							Remaining Work		
	Printed 09-Jan-1714:10									-	Critical Remaining Work		

Activity ID	Activity Name	O Dur	MP Start	MP Finish	Last Mth	Last Mth	Start	Finish	2016		2017	
					Start	Finish			Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar Ap
Launch St	haft (DN Track) - Post TBM Works	77	01-Dec-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16	29-Dec-16	24-Nov-16 A	25-Feb-17			V 25	Feb-17, Launch Shaft (DN Track) -
1107.16360	Remove Strut S1	6	01-Dec-16	01-Dec-16	24-Nov-16	30-Nov-16	24-Nov-16 A	30-Nov-16 A	Remove Strut S1			
1107.16370	Demolish Top 2m of Temp D-Walls	18	01-Dec-16	21-Dec-16	01-Dec-16	21-Dec-16	05-Dec-16 A	18-Feb-17			Demolish	Top 2m of Temp D-Walls
1107.16380	Backfill to Original Ground Level	6	22-Dec-16	29-Dec-16	22-Dec-16	29-Dec-16	20-Feb-17	25-Feb-17			— в	ackfill to Original Ground Level
Cost Ce	ntre F4 - Landscaping	6	30-Dec-16	06-Jan-17	30-Dec-16	06-Jan-17	27-Feb-17	04-Mar-17			-	▼ 04-Mar-17, Cost Centre F4 - La
1107.17760	Hydroseeding	6	30-Dec-16	06-Jan-17	30-Dec-16	06-Jan-17	27-Feb-17	04-Mar-17	=			Hydroseeding



APPENDIX B ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

APPENDIX B - Action and Limit Levels

24-Hour TSP

Regular Dust Monitoring Location	Description	Action Level, μg/m³	Limit Level, μg/m³
DMS-4 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ / DMS-3 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden	160.4	260

Note:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Dust monitoring on DMS-3⁽¹⁾/DMS-4⁽²⁾ is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.

Construction Noise

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location ⁽¹⁾	Description	Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NMS-CA-4 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾ / NMS-CA-3 ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north- eastern façade)	0700-1900 hrs on normal	When one documented	75 dB(A)
NMS-CA-5 (1) (3)(5)/ NMS-CA-2 (2)(3)(5)	Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)	weekdays	complaint is received	65 / 70 dB(A) ⁽⁴⁾

Note:

- (1) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) Access to the monitoring location at Canossa Primary School (San Po Kong) (originally proposed in the approved EM&A Manual) was denied during the baseline monitoring. An alternative location (Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)) was proposed and approved by the ER and agreed by the IEC and EPD.
- (4) Daytime noise Limit Level of 70 dB(A) applies to education institutions, while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.
- (5) Noise monitoring on Block 1, Rhythm Garden are carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1106.

APPENDIX C
CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR
MONITORING EQUIPEMENT



High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

						File No.	MA12051/57/0023
Station	DMS-4 - Rhythr	n Garden, Blocl	k 1	Operator:	WK	,	
Date:	22-Nov-16			Vext Due Date:	21-Jan	-17	
Equipment No.	A-01-57		_	Serial No.	2352		
			Ambient	Condition			
Temperati	ire, Ta (K)	295.6	Pressure, Pa		The state of the s	761.5	
Tomporate	110; Ta (K)	275.0	11035010, 1 8	(mining)	<u>L</u>	701.3	
		C	rifice Transfer Sta	ndard Inform	ation		
Seria	l No.:	2896	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0598	Intercep	t, bc	-0.05079
Last Calibr	ation Date:	4-Mar-16		mc x Qstd + I	$\mathbf{pc} = [\Delta \mathbf{H} \times (\mathbf{Pa}/70)]$)] ^{1/2}
Next Calib	ation Date:	3-Mar-17		$\mathbf{Qstd} = \{ [\Delta \mathbf{H} :$	x (Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc}	/ me
			-			-	
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Calibration		0:	rfice			HVS	<u> </u>
Point	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	[ΔH x (Pa/70	60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	[ΔW x (Pa/7	(60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y-
1	11.4		3.39	57.61	7.6		2.77
2	9.9		3.16	53.74	6.5		2.56
3	7.6		2.77	47.19	5.0		2.25
4	5.3	2.31		39.55	3.3		1.83
5	3.2		1.80	30.92	2.1		1.46
Slope, mw = Correlation o	_	0.9	9991	intercept, bw	-0.101	19	
*If Correlation (Coefficient < 0.99	0, check and rec					
				alculation			
	ield Calibration C	-					
From the Regres	sion Equation, the	e "Y" value acco	ording to				
		mw x	$\mathbf{Qstd} + \mathbf{bw} = [\Delta \mathbf{W}]$	(Pa/760) x (2	98/Ta) ^{1/2}		
			_	,			
Therefore, S	et Point; W = (m	w x Qstd + bw)	o ² x (760 / Pa) x (T	(a / 298) =	4.09		
n 1							
Remarks:							
Conducted by:	WK. Tana	Signature:	Kwar			Date:	22/11/2016
Checked by:	Jan 0	Signature:		<i></i>		Date:	22/11/2016 Od Novamber doly



High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

Date: 18	-4 - Rhythm -Jan-17 -01-57	Garden, Block		Operator:	WK		
Equipment No.: A							
	-01-57			lext Due Date:	17-Mar	-17	
Temperature, Ta			→	Serial No.	2352		
Temperature, Ta			Ambient (Condition			
	(K)	291.4	Pressure, Pa			769.9	
		0	rifice Transfer Sta	ndard Inform	ation		
Serial No.:		2896	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0598	Intercep		-0.05079
Last Calibration I	Date:	4-Mar-16			$\mathbf{c} = [\Delta \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{x} \ (\mathbf{Pa}/76$		
Next Calibration 1	Date:	3-Mar-17		$\mathbf{Qstd} = \{ [\Delta \mathbf{H} :$	x (Pa/760) x (298	/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc} /	mc
		•					
			Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Calibration		Or	fice			HVS	
Point ΔH	(orifice), of water	[ΔH x (Pa/76	50) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	[ΔW x (Pa/7	60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y- axis
1	11.8		3.50	59,33	7.9		2.86
2	9.8		3.19	54,14	6.4		2.57
3	7.5		2.79	47,47	5.0		2.28
4	5.4		2.37	40,41	3.4		1.88
5	3.4		1.88	32,24	2.2		1.51
Slope, mw =(Correlation coeffici *If Correlation Coeffic	ient* =		993	ntercept, bw :	-0.116	54	
			Set Point C	alculation			
From the TSP Field Ca	libration Cu	rve_take Ostd =		alculation	e Breugusser used to Bilbreum in use of a		
From the Regression E							
tom the Regionshin E	quarion, me	1 Value 4000	raing to				
		mw x ($Qstd + bw = [\Delta W x]$	(Pa/760) x (25	98/Ta)] ^{1/2}		
	.t. W = (x Qstd + bw)²	² x (760 / Pa) x (T	a/298)=	3.99		
Therefore, Set Poin	u, w — ())) w	,					



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ma Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 2896	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 - 755.65
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4340 1.0250 0.9150 0.8770 0.7210	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.7 12.7	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0001 0.9959 0.9938 0.9928 0.9875	0.6974 0.9716 1.0861 1.1320 1.3696	1.4173 2.0044 2.2410 2.3503 2.8346		0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9885 0.9831	0.6944 0.9674 1.0814 1.1271 1.3636	0.8836 1.2496 1.3971 1.4653 1.7672
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) =	2.11176 -0.05079 0.99982		Qa slope intercept coefficie	(b) =	1.32235 -0.03166 0.99982
y axis =	SQRT[H2O(E	Pa/760) (298/7	ra)]	y axis =	SQRT[H2O(T	[a/Pa)]


CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website; www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

· -	
Test Report No.:	C/N/160917B
Date of Issue:	2016-09-19
Date Received:	2016-09-17
Date Tested:	2016-09-17
Date Completed:	2016-09-19
Next Due Date:	2017-09-18

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 955

Serial No.

: 12553

Microphone No.

: 35222

Equipment No.

: N-08-02

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.





TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160917C
Date of Issue:	2016-09-19
Date Received:	2016-09-17
Date Tested:	2016-09-17
Date Completed:	2016-09-19
Next Due Date:	2017-09-18

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 955

Serial No.

: 12563

Microphone No. Equipment No.

: 34377 : N-08-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160826A
Date of Issue:	2016-08-29
Date Received:	2016-08-26
Date Tested:	2016-08-26
Date Completed:	2016-08-29
Next Due Date:	2017-08-28

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No. Serial No. : SVAN 957

Microphone No.

: 21455 : 43730

Equipment No.

: N-08-07

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE Laboratory Manager



Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk



TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819C
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 957

Serial No. Microphone No. : 21460 : 43679

Equipment No.

: N-08-09

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

:58%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB		
94	94.0		
114	114.0		

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076
Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

. I	
Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24791

Equipment No.

: N-09-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.


Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

RATRICK TSE





Rms 1516, 1701 & 1716, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930C
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02
	. 0.4

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24780

Equipment No.

: N-09-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1791, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong,
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161104/1
Date of Issue:	2016-11-07
Date Received:	2016-11-04
Date Tested:	2016-11-04
Date Completed:	2016-11-07
Next Due Date:	2017-11-06

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2326353

Equipment No.

: N-02-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 21 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 62 %

Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.


Results:


Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	$114.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE Laboratory Manager







TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: **Cinotech Consultants Limited**

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160819D
Date of Issue:	2016-08-22
Date Received:	2016-08-19
Date Tested:	2016-08-19
Date Completed:	2016-08-22
Next Due Date:	2017-08-21

ATTN:

Mr. W.K. Tang

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2412367

Equipment No.

: N-02-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 24 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 58%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

APPENDIX D IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1107 Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan	6-Jan	7-Jan
			Noise	24 hr TSP		
8-Jan	9-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
			24 hr TSP	Noise		
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
		24 hr TSP	Noise			
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
	24 hr TSP	Noise			24 hr TSP	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

DMS-4: - Rhythm Garden, Block 1

Noise Monitoring Station

NMS-CA-4: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)

NMS-CA-5: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1106 Diamond Hill Station Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Feb	2-Feb	3-Feb	4-Feb
				Noise		
				Noise		
				24 hr TSP		
5-Feb	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	10-Feb	11-Feb
			241 TGD	NT :		
			24 hr TSP	Noise		
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
		241 TOP	N			
		24 hr TSP	Noise			
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
	241 7707	NT 1				241 Tap
	24 hr TSP	Noise				24 hr TSP
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				
	Noise					

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

DMS-4: - Rhythm Garden, Block 1

Noise Monitoring Station

NMS-CA-4: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (north-eastern façade)

NMS-CA-5: - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)

APPENDIX E 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONIS

Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location DMS-4(1)/DMS-3(2) - Rhythm Garden, Block 1


Sampling Date	Start Time	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	/eight (g)	Particulate	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	e (m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Sampling Date		Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m ³ /min)	(m^3)	(µg/m ³)
05-Jan-17	09:00	Cloudy	292.9	766.0	3.6229	3.7106	0.0877	6790.4	6814.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1764.8	49.7
11-Jan-17	09:00	Cloudy	291.5	765.9	3.6128	3.7395	0.1267	6814.4	6838.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1768.8	71.6
17-Jan-17	09:00	Cloudy	290.1	769.5	3.5884	3.6533	0.0649	6838.4	6862.4	24.0	1.23	1.23	1.23	1776.8	36.5
23-Jan-17	09:00	Cloudy	289.5	773.4	3.5882	3.6865	0.0983	6862.5	6886.5	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1763.2	55.8
27-Jan-17	09:00	Cloudy	291.7	771.1	3.3133	3.4007	0.0874	6886.5	6910.5	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1754.4	49.8
														Min	36.5
														Max	71.6
														Average	52.7

Remarks:

(1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

(2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

24-hour TSP Concentration Levels




<u>Remarks:</u>

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) 24 hours TSP concentration level of DMS-3 from July to September 2016 were extracted from the Project 1103.


Title Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1107 Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels	Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13018	CINOTECH
Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Date	Jan 17	Appendix	× E	CINOISCU

5-6 January 2017







11-12 January 2017




17-18 January 2017




23-24 January 2017



27-28 January 2017




5-6 January 2017



11-12 January 2017

11/01/2017




香港時間 (時) Hong Kong Time (Hour)


12/01/2017

⑥ 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


17-18 January 2017



23-24 January 2017



27-28 January 2017



APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATIONS

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

Date	107 (1	<u> </u>	Unit: dB (A) (5-min)			Average	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level		
	Weather	Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L 90	L _{eq}	L _{eq}	L _{eq}		
		14:15	72.8	74.0	71.0					
		14:20	72.6	73.8	71.2	72.5		67.2		
4-Jan-17	Suppy	14:25	72.5	73.8	71.0					
4-Jan-17	Sunny	14:30	72.5	73.6	71.0			07.2		
		14:35	72.2	73.4	69.8					
		14:40	72.4	73.7	70.9					
		10:00	72.6	73.8	71.3					
	Cloudy	10:05	72.4	73.4	71.3	72.7		67.8		
12-Jan-17		10:10	73.0	74.3	71.4					
12-3411-17		10:15	73.1	74.2	72.1					
		10:20	72.4	73.7	70.5					
		10:25	72.9	73.9	71.8		71			
		11:20	73.5	74.6	72.2	73.2	71			
		11:25	73.2	74.3	72.0					
18-Jan-17	Cloudy	11:30	73.8	75.2	72.1			69.2		
10-Jan-17	Cloudy	11:35	72.5	73.7	71.2			09.2		
		11:40	73.2	74.4	71.9					
		11:45	72.7	73.8	71.5					
		10:15	73.7	75.1	71.9					
		10:20	72.8	75.0	72.0	73.1				
24-Jan-17	Sunny	10:25	73.4	74.8	73.0			68.9		
	Sullily	10:30	72.4	74.9	71.8			00.9		
		10:35	73.5	75.0	71.7					
		10:40	72.9	74.9	71.9					

Remarks:

App F - Noise Cinotech

⁽¹⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

⁽²⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

Location NMS-CA-5(1)/NMS-CA-2(2) - Block 1, Rhythm Garden (northern façade)										
D.1.	\A/ 4l	T !	Uni	t: dB (A) (5-n	nin)	Average	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level		
Date	Weather	Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L 90	L _{eq}	L _{eq}	L_{eq}		
		13:40	71.0	72.5	69.3					
		13:45	70.6	71.9	69.1					
4-Jan-17	Sunny	13:50	70.3	71.5	69.2	70.6		70.6 Measured≤ Baseline Level		
4-Jan-17	Suring	13:55	70.6	71.7	69.4	70.0		70.6 Measured ≥ baseline Level		
		14:00	70.3	71.6	69.2					
		14:05	70.6	71.8	69.2					
		10:00	71.3	72.3	69.9					
		10:05	71.3	72.3	69.7					
12-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:10	71.2	72.2	69.8	71.3		71.3 Measured≤ Baseline Level		
12-3411-17	Cloudy	10:15	71.5	72.3	70.5			7 1.3 Measureu≦ Daseilile Level		
		10:20	71.3	72.5	69.9					
		10:25	71.0	71.9	70.0		74			
		10:40	71.2	72.2	69.9		74			
		10:45	71.8	72.9	70.2					
18-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:50	71.2	72.3	69.9	71.5		71.5 Measured≤ Baseline Level		
10-Jan-17	Cloudy	10:55	71.9	73.0	70.3	71.5		71.5 Ivieasureu ≥ Daseilile Level		
		11:00	71.2	72.5	69.5					
		11:05	71.9	73.2	70.2					
		10:50	70.8	72.0	69.5					
		10:55	70.9	72.3	69.7					
24-Jan-17	Sunny	11:00	71.4	72.6	69.8	71.0		71.0 Measured≦ Baseline Level		
2 4 -5a11-17	Guilly	11:05	71.0	72.4	69.8	71.0		7 1.0 Measureu≥ Daseille Level		
		11:10	71.2	72.5	69.6					
		11:15	70.9	72.4	69.6					


Remarks:


App F - Noise Cinotech

⁽¹⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

⁽²⁾ Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).

Noise Levels





Remarks:

- (1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(HHS).
- (3) In case of Measured Level \leq Baseline Level, only Measured Level is presented on the graphical presentation.

Ittle Shatin to Central Link - Contract 1107 - Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels	Scale		Project No.	MA
Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring	Date	11.1.0	Append	

Results

Scale		Project	_
	N.T.S	No. MA13018	
Date		Appendix	
	Jan 17	F	



APPENDIX G SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

APPENIDX G – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Reporting Month: January 2017

- a) Exceedance Report for Dust Monitoring (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for Noise Monitoring (NIL)

APPENDIX H SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170105
Date	5 January 2017
Time	09:30-10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	
170105-O01	• The chemical container should be provided with the drip tray to prevent the chemical spillage in the site.	F 10
170105-R02	• The oil stain should be cleared properly as chemical waste near the excavator in the site.	F 2iii & 9
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H Others	
	• Follow-up action on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 161229), item 161229-O01 was remarked as 170105-O01 and should be reviewed during next site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Janet Wai	10c	5 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NI	5 January 2017

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170112
Date	12 January 2017
	09:00-10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
	None identified	_

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
170112-R01	• The exposed area and the stockpile of dusty material should be sprayed water more frequent to prevent the dust emission in the site.	D 5&6
	Part E - Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Others	
	• Follow-up action on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170105), all environmental deficiencies were observed to be rectified/improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Janet Wai	The state of the s	12 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NI	12 January 2017

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170119
Date	19 January 2017
Time	09:00-10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Iter No.
	Part B - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	Average of the second s
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Others	
	• Follow-up action on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170112), all environmental deficiencies were observed to be rectified/improved by the Contractor.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Janet Wai	Th.	19 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	W.	19 January 2017
		ļ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170126
Date	26 January 2017
Time	09:15-10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C - Landscape & Visual	
ł	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Air Quality	
170126-R01	• The exposed sloped area should be covered by impervious material to prevent the dust emission in the site.	D 6
	Part E – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Others	
	• Follow-up action on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170119), all environmental deficiencies were observed to be rectified/improved by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Janet Wai	345	26 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NI	26 January 2017

APPENDIX I EVENT AND ACTION PLANS

Appendix I - Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring during Construction Phase

EVENT			ACTION	
	Works Contract 1107 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness	Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor.	Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results 	Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET 3. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Appendix I - Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring during Construction Phase

EVENT.			ACTION	
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the Contractor, IEC and ER on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;	 Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor; If exceedance stops, cease addtional monitoring 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal as appropriate.

Appendix I - Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring during Construction Phase

LIMIT LEVEL								
1.Exceedance for one	1.	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER;	1.	Check monitoring data submitted	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of
sample	2.	Repeat measurement to confirm		by the ET;		exceedance in writing;		exceedance;
		findings;	2.	Check the Contractor's working	2.	Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET;	2.	Take immediate action to avoid further
	3.	Increase monitoring frequency to daily;		method;	3.	Review and agree on the remedial		exceedance;
	4.	Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor	3.	Discuss with the ET, ER and		measures proposed by the Contractor;	3.	Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER
		on the remedial measures and assess		Contractor on possible remedial	4.	Supervise implementation of remedial		with a copy to ET and IEC within three working
		the effectiveness.		measures;		measures.		days of notification;
			4.	Review and advise the ER and ET			4.	Implement the agreed proposals;
				on the effectiveness of			5.	Amend proposal if appropriate.
				Contractor's remedial measures.				
2.Exceedance for two or more	1.	Notify IEC, Contractor and EPD;	1.	Check monitoring data submitted	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of	1.	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of
consecutive samples	2.	Repeat measurement to confirm		by the ET;		exceedance in writing;		exceedance;
		findings;	2.	Check the Contractor's working	2.	Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET;	2.	Take immediate action to avoid further
	3.	Increase monitoring frequency to daily;		method;	3.	In consultation with the ET and IEC,		exceedance;
	4.	Carry out analysis of the Contractor's	3.	Discuss with ET, ER, and		agree with the Contractor on the	3.	Submit proposals for remedial measures to the
		working procedures with the ER to		Contractor on the potential		remedial measures to be implemented;		ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three
		determine possible mitigation to be		remedial measures;	4.	Supervise the implementation of		working days of notification;
		implemented;	4.	Review and advise the ER and ET		remedial measures;	4.	Implement the agreed proposals;
	5.	Arrange meeting with the IEC,		on the effectiveness of	5.	If exceedance continues, consider	5.	Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still
		Contractor and ER to discuss the		Contractor's remedial measures.		what portion of the work is responsible		not under control;
		remedial measures to be taken;				and instruct the Contractor to stop that	6.	Stop the relevant portion of works as determined
	6.	Review the effectiveness of the				portion of work until the exceedance is		by the ER until the exceedance is abated.
		Contractor's remedial measures and				abated.		
		keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the						
		results;						
	7.	If exceedance stops, cease additional						
		monitoring.						

Appendix I - Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Phase

EVENT			ACTION	
	Works Contract 1107 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Non-conformity on one occasion	Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	Check inspection report Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with the ET, ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of	Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures	 Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify Source 2. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and the ER 3. Increase inspection frequency 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 6. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Check inspection report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures	Notify the Contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	 Identify Source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the non-conformity is abated.

APPENDIX J UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
Landsca	ape & Vi	sual (Construction Phase)						
S6.12	LV1	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation and	Minimize visual &	Contractor	Within Project	Construction	•TM-EIAO	
		avoidance of potential impacts are recommended:	landscape impact		Site	stage		
		Re-use of Existing Soil						
		For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where						N/A
		possible for new planting areas within the project. The						
		construction program shall consider using the soil removed from						
		one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground,						
		gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as						
		necessary.						
		No-intrusion Zone						
		To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and						^
		the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may						
		designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site						
		boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual						
		no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor and						
		restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone",						
		even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.						
		Protection of Retained Trees						
		All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the						^
		commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during						
		the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which						
		specifying the tree protection requirement, submission and						
		approval system, and the tree monitoring system.						
		The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a						٨
		detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior						
		to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including						
		trees in contractor's works sites.						
Table 6.9	LV2	Decorative Hoarding	Minimize the visual and	Contractor	Within Project	Detailed design	• EIAO – TM	
		Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen	landscape impact of the		Site	and	•ETWB TCW 2/2004	N/A
		off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and	Project during construction			construction	• ETWB TCW	
		landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding should be designed to be	phase			stage	3/2006	
		compatible with the existing urban context.						
		Management of facilities on work sites						
		To provide proper management of the facilities on the sites, give						N/A
		control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities						
		on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent VSRs.						
		Tree Transplanting						
		Trees of medium to high survival rate that would be affected by						N/A
		the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable.						
		Tree transplanting proposal including final location for						
		transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek relevant						
		government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		TCW No 3/2006.						
Air Qual	lity (Cor	nstruction Phase)						
1	A1	Emission from Vehicles and Plants	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• APCO	^
		All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	emission from construction		sites	stage		
		Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant	vehicles and plants					
		should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke.						
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be						
		powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD)						
1	A2	Open burning shall be prohibited	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	•APCO	^
			emission from work site		sites	stage		
Constru	ction D	ust Impact						
S7.6.6	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	•APCO	^
		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	
							impact to meet	
							HKAQO and TM-	
							EIA criteria	
S7.6.6	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	•APCO	*
		practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	
		worksites and haul road in the Kowloon area should be conducted to					impact to meet	
		achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering					HKAQO and TM-	
		frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary					EIA criteria	
		depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8 L/m² to achieve the dust						
		removal efficiency						
S7.6.6	D3	Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	•APCO	*
		entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain	nearby sensitive receivers		Sites	stage	To control the dust	
		the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated					impact to meet	
		where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;					HKAQO and TM-	
		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should					EIA criteria	^
		be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads;						
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the						٨
		pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.						
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction						N/A
		site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure						
		that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;						
		Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure						^
		water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated						
		vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place						
		and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit						
		point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or						
		hardcores;						
		When there are open excavation and reinstatement works,						N/A
		hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and						
		properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		with provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be						
		adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the						
		hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction						
		period;						
		The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is						٨
		within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of						
		dusty materials;						
		Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting,						٨
		polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place						
		should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical						
		continuously;						
		Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with						٨
		water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during						
		and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire						
		surface wet;						
		Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building						N/A
		under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting						
		should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground						
		floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the						
		first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding;						
		Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by						N/A
		impervious sheeting;						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel						N/A
		ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or						
		placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;						
		Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed						N/A
		silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked						
		with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed;						
		Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement						N/A
		or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or						
		facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective						
		fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and						
		Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing,						N/A
		hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl,						
		bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six						
		months after the last construction activity on the construction site						
		or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.						
S7.6.6	D4	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected	Construction	• TM-EIA	٨
		construction stage.			representative	stage		
					dust monitoring			
					station			
Construction Airborne Noise								
S8.5.6	AN1	Implement the following good site practices:	Control construction	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	
		only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant	airborne		Sites where	stage		٨

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;	noise		practicable			
		machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in						^
		intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or						
		should be throttled down to a minimum;						
		plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where						^
		possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from						
		nearby NSRs;						
		silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be						^
		properly fitted and maintained during the construction works;						
		mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible						^
		and practicable;						
		material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other						N/A
		structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to						
		screen noise from on-site construction activities.						
S8.5.6	AN2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy	Reduce the construction	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
		construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall	noise levels at low-level		Sites	stage		
		be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	zone of NSRs through					
			partial					
			screening.					
S8.5.6	AN3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier	Screen the noisy plant	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	^
		with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal	items		Sites	stage		
		sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy	to be used at all	_	_			

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		plants including air compressor, generators and saw.	construction					
			sites					
S8.5.6	AN4	Use "Quiet" plant	Reduce the noise levels of	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	N/A
			plant items		Sites where	stage		
					practicable			
S8.5.6	AN5	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	• Annex 5, TM-EIA	^
			the same work site to		Sites where	stage		
			reduce		practicable			
			the construction airborne					
			noise					
S8.5.6	AN6	Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction	Contractor	Selected	Construction	•TM-EIA	^
			noise levels at the selected		representative	stage		
			representative locations		noise monitoring			
					station			
Water Q	uality (C	Construction Phase)						
S10.7.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994	impact from construction		sites	stage	Control Ordinance	
		(ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall	site		where practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94	
		include the following:	runoff and general				• TM-EIAO	
		Construction Runoff and Site Drainage	construction activities				• TM-Water	
		At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities),						^
		perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site						

EIA Ref.	EM&A		Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log			recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
				address	measures?			achieve?	
			should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion						
			and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels						
			(both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts),						
			earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to						
			direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the						
			temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the						
			contractor prior to the commencement of construction.						
		•	The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be						۸
			implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.						
			Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff						
			discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a						
			site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated						
			in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates.						
			The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on						
			the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states						
			that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes						
			under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending						
			upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m ³ /s a sedimentation						
			basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s						
			the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt						
			traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the						
			commencement of construction.		_				

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as						^
		soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or						
		alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where						
		practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by						
		tarpaulin or other means.						
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to						N/A
		reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic						
		areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An						
		additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is						
		the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement						
		weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows.						
		All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures						^
		should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper						
		and efficient operation at all times and particularly following						
		rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly						
		and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated						
		areas.						
		Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage						N/A
		into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is						
		necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections						
		wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or						
		foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		silt removal facilities.						
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example,						^
		aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be						
		covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.						
		Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of						^
		construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage						
		system. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should						
		always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to						
		prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the						
		drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers						
		Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are						^
		likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or						
		forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are						
		summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular						
		attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during						
		storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes						
		All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a						^
		construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is						
		deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited						
		wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction						
		site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and						
		silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure						_

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access						
		road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public						
		road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the						
		wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water						
		to public roads and drains.						
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system						N/A
		downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors						
		should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of						
		oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after						
		accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil						
		interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain.						
		Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be						^
		collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality						
		impacts.						
		All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and						^
		sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of						
		the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils						
		from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby						
		All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to						^
		limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed						
		areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as						
		practicable.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Adopt best management practices.						٨
S10.7.1	W2	Tunneling Works	To minimize construction	Contractor	All tunneling	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Cut-&-cover/ open cut tunnelling work should be conducted	water quality impact from		portion	stage	Control Ordinance	٨
		sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated	tunneling works				• ProPECC PN	
		from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September)					1/94	
		as far as practicable.					• TM-water	
		Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation					• TM-EIAO	^
		tanks prior to off-site discharge						
		The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated						^
		(e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before						
		discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the						
		oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater.						
		Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and						^
		bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. It should be						
		reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary						
		storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should						
		be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be						
		transported away after all the related construction activities are						
		completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be						
		adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.						
S10.7.1	W3	Sewage Effluent	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are	from sewage effluent	_	sites where	stage	Control Ordinance	^

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status	
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for		
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to		
			address	measures?			achieve?		
		recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by			practicable		• TM-water		
		the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to							
		provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be							
		responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.							
S10.7.1	W5	Accidental Spillage	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution		
		In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is	impact from accidental		sites where	stage	Control Ordinance		
		recommended:	spillage		practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94		
		Proper storage and handling facilities should be provided;					• TM-EIAO	*	
		All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and					• TM-Water	٨	
		thelocations should be locked as far as possible from the							
		sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains;							
		The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if						٨	
		chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste							
		arising from the construction activities should be stored with							
		suitable labels and warnings; and							
		Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance						N/A	
		with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical							
		Waste) (General) Regulation.							
Waste M	Waste Management (Construction Waste)								
S11.4.1.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D material	Separation of unsuitable	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• DEVB TC(W) No.		
		Geological assessment should be carried out by competent	rock from ending up at		sites	stage	6/2010	٨	
		persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are	concrete batching plants						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g.	and be turned into concrete					
		volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke	for structural use					
		rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable						
		and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from						
		delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator						
		should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable						
		rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into						
		concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at						
		source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the						
		Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site						
		records should also be kept for the types of rock materials						
		excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the						
		implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site						
		supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for						
		tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for						
		processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the						
		reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be						
		explored.						
S11.5.1	WM2	Construction and Demolition Material	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	٨
		backfilling and reinstatement;	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		Carry out on-site sorting;	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	٨

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	^
		the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	the amount for final				Ordinance	
		Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing	disposal				• ETWB TCW No.	N/A
		structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete					19/2005	
		effectively for recycling purpose, where possible;						
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure						^
		that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and						
		verified; and						
		Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to						٨
		ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on						
		Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials						
		and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.						
		In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive						^
		locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The						
		Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project						
		Proponent and EPD and get their approval before implementation						
S11.5.1	WM3	C&D Waste	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	^
		practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials.	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	
		construction works should be considered. Use of wooden	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	
		hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal	the amount for final				Ordinance	

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling.	disposal				• ETWB TCW	
		The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned					No.19/2005	
		in order to avoid over ordering and wastage.						
		The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as						^
		possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be						
		segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance						
		reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.						
		Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and						
		used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel						
		mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such						
		segregation and storage.						
S11.5.1	WM4	General Refuse	Minimize production of the	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed	general refuse and avoid		sites	stage	Ordinance	٨
		bins or compaction units separately from construction and	odour, pest and litter					
		chemical wastes.	impacts					
		A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor						٨
		to remove general refuse from the site, separately from						
		construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize						
		odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction						
		sites is prohibited by law.						
		Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by						^
		individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily			_			

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be						
		provided if feasible.						
		Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if						۸
		volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a						
		local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor.						
S11.5.1	WM6	Chemical Waste	Control the chemical waste	Contractor	All Construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the	and ensure proper storage,		Sites	Stage	(Chemical Waste)	*
		Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should	handling and disposal.				(General)	
		be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the					Regulation	
		Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.					Code of Practice	
		Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be					on the Packaging,	٨
		suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion,					Labelling and	
		maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a					Storage of	
		capacity of less than 450L unless the specification has been					Chemical Waste	
		approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese						
		in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the						
		regulation.						
		The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled						۸
		and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; be enclosed on						
		at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of						
		sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the						
		largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
	Ref		& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; be						
		covered to prevent rainfall entering; and be arranged so that						
		incompatible materials are adequately separated.						
		Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste						N/A
		collector; and be to a facility licensed to receive chemical						
		waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also						
		offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the						
		necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste,						
		under approval from the EPD.						

Remarks: ^

- Compliance of mitigation measure
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.

N/A Not Applicable

APPENDIX K
WASTE GENERATION IN THE
REPORTING MONTH

Ver: 2nd 1107 Diamond Hill to Kai Tak Tunnels Date: Sep 2013

CW - SELI Joint Venture

Contract No.:1107 Name of Department: MTRC

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

	Estimated Quantities of Inert C&D Materials (in '000m ³) (see Note 4)							Estimated Quantities of C&D Wastes												
Year		Quantity erated	Suital Recy Aggre		Reuse Con			sed in Projects	Dispos Publ	sed as ic Fill	Me	tals	•	ardboard aging		stics lote 3)	Chei Wa	mical iste	Others genera	s, e.g. I refuse
	(8	a)	(k	o)	(0	c)	(0	d)	(e=a-	b-c-d)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '00	Olitre)	(in '000) tonne)
	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.	Est.	Act.
January	0.050	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.100	0.005
February	0.050		0.000		0.010		0.000		0.040		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
March	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
April	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.100		0.100	
May	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
June	0.050		0.000		0.020		0.000		0.030		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
July	0.050		0.000		0.020		0.000		0.030		0.000		0.100		0.100		0.000		0.100	
August	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
September	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
October	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		1.000		0.100		0.000		0.000		0.100	
November	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.000		0.100		0.100	
December	0.050		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.050		0.000		0.100		0.100		0.000		0.100	
Total	0.600	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.550	0.035	1.000	0.000	1.200	0.000	0.200	0.000	0.200	0.000	1.200	0.005

Notes:

- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material
- (3) The quantitles of C&D Materials, in m³, was calculated by multiply the no. of truck with the volume of truck, which is 5m³.

APPENDIX L CUMULATIVE LOG FOR COMPLAINT LOGS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

Appendix L - Cumulative Log for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative Complaint Log

Cumulative Comp	nami Lug				
Complaint Location/ Nature	Incoming Complaint Reference no.	Complainant/ Date of Contact	Details of Complaint	Investigation/ Mitigation Action	Status
SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site near Shaft A/ Construction Noise	14-29958	A resident living in Kai Ching Estate/ 8 December 2014	A resident of Kai Ching Estate complained about an incident of construction noise disturbance generated from operation of equipment, at the area adjacent to Shaft A in the night.	 The Contractor had taken the following mitigation measures: Hoardings and noise absorption blankets were erected along the site boundary to shield residents of Kai Ching Estate from noisy works during the time of the complaint; The equipment involved in this complaint: the water pump, was removed immediately after the complaint was received to reduce noise nuisance to nearby noise sensitive receivers; The low area near shaft A enclosure was backfilled to eliminate the flooding issue, thus the need of the water pump; 	Closed

SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site near Site Entrance/ Construction Noise and Dust	14-31154	A resident living in Kai Ching Estate/ 15 December 2014	A resident of Kai Ching Estate complained about the noise disturbance generated from some sort of alarm noise at night from the construction site entrance; and dust nuisance from the construction site in general.	The alarm bell was installed to alert pedestrians of moving vehicles. During the time of complaint, vehicles might had moved in or out of the site, thus triggering the alarm. To avoid the same incident from happening again, the Contractor has agreed to permanently terminate the alarm bell. The Contractor has provided sufficient measures to minimize the smoke and dust emission. These measures include: • Covering stockpile of bagged cements and other dusty material with impervious material. • Regularly conducting water spray on work sites and major haul road. • Washing every vehicle leaving the construction site. The 24-hr TSP level monitoring conducted in December showed that the dust levels at Block 1, Rhythm Garden were under the Action and Limit Levels.	Closed
---	----------	---	--	---	--------

SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site/ Construction Noise and Dust	15-04622	N/A / 12 March 2015	A public complaint about noise and dust nuisance from the Kai Tak Development Area was received. Since this Project is within the development area, the complaint was referred to the Contractor of SCL Contract 1107.	 The Contractor had implemented appropriate and sufficient measures to minimise the noise and dust nuisance to adjacent sensitive receivers. The noise mitigation measures include: Installing noise absorption blankets on the hoarding at the site boundary near Kai Ching Estate; Erecting acoustic enclosures to seal up the noisy PME and construction works (see Photo 2) in the shaft. The dust mitigation measures include: Covering of stockpile of bagged cement and other dusty materials to reduce dust generation. Water spraying stockpile of dusty materials as well as major haul roads and work sites to keep the surface wet. Washing every vehicle leaving the construction site. Regular cleaning of the access roads connecting public roads to vehicle washing areas. There was also no non-compliance on construction noise and air quality recorded during the site inspections in March. The construction noise and 24-hr TSP level monitoring conducted in March also showed that the noise and dust levels at the monitoring stations were under the Action and Limit Levels. 	Closed
--	----------	------------------------	--	---	--------

SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site/ Construction Noise and Dust	15-13442	N/A / 9 June 2015	A public complaint about noise and dust nuisance from the Kai Tak Development Area was received. Since this Project is within the development area, the complaint was referred to the Contractor of SCL Contract 1107.	Investigation conducted by the Contract ET and the results showed that sufficient mitigation measures were provided by the Contractor to minimize the noise and dust nuisance to adjacent sensitive receivers. The noise mitigation measures include: Noise absorption blankets were installed on the hoarding at the site boundary near Kai Ching Estate; Acoustic enclosures were erected to seal up the noisy PME and construction works in the shaft; The formwork erection was conducted inside the shaft which shield off the noisy operation. The dust mitigation measures include: The stockpiles of dusty materials were covered by dust protective screens to reduce dust generation. Uncovered parts of the stockpile were provided with water spray to keep the dusty surface wet to reduce dust emission during stockpiling/backfilling work. Watering on work sites and major haul roads was implemented regularly as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control Regulation and the Environmental Permit. Watering record is kept at the site entrance for easy inspection; Vehicle movements were confined to designated haul roads. Automatic sprinkler system was installed at major haul roads to provide regular water spraying to reduce dust emission from vehicle movements;	Closed
--	----------	----------------------	--	---	--------

				 Hoarding was provided along the entire length of the site boundary and beside roads or areas with public access; Wheel washing facilities was provided at all vehicle exits and vehicle washing was provided for vehicles leaving the site. Access road leading to and exiting from vehicle washing areas were kept clean to ensure the public roads around site entrances were free from dust; 	
				The construction noise and 24-hr TSP level monitoring conducted in May 2015 also showed that the noise and dust levels at the monitoring stations were under the Action and Limit Levels.	
SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site/ Construction Noise and Dust	15-12472	N/A / 30 June 2015	A public complaint about dust nuisance and muddy water discharge in the Kai Tak Development Area. Complainant alleged that uncovered dusty materials were found in Kai Tak development area and muddy water was found discharged into Kai Tak nullah.	Investigation was conducted by the Contract ET. According to investigation results, the coverage for the stockpile was removed during the backfilling works, while the other parts of the stockpile were covered by dust protective screen. Mitigation measures including providing water spray and installation of waster sprinkler were implemented to keep the uncovered part wet during backfilling. The stockpile was completely covered after work.	Closed
			Since this Project is within the development area, the complaint was referred to the Contractor of SCL Contract 1107.	Wastewater was treated by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time before discharge into Kai Tak Nullah. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures were regularly inspected and maintained to ensure normal operation at	

				all times and during rainstorms. Water sampling was conducted monthly in accordance with the requirement of Effluent Discharge License (License No. WT00015861-2013). The lab test results complied with the conditions set in the Effluent Discharge License during the complaint period.	
SCL Contract 1107's Construction Site/ Construction Dust	16-29816	N/A / 16 November 2016	A public complaint about the construction dust from the construction work which would be affecting the complainant health. The complaint was referred to the Contractor of SCL Contract 1107.	Investigation conducted by the Contract ET and the results showed that sufficient mitigation measures were provided by the Contractor to minimize the dust nuisance to adjacent sensitive receivers. The dust mitigation measures include: • Inactive parts of stockpiles were covered by dust protective screens to minimize potential dust generation. Uncovered parts of the stockpile was compacted and kept wet to reduce dust emission during stockpiling/backfilling work; • Watering on work sites and major haul roads was implemented at least once per hour. Watering record is kept on site for ease of inspection; • Automatic sprinkler system was installed at major haul roads to provide regular water spraying to reduce dust emission from vehicle movements; • Wheel washing facilities was provided at all vehicle exits and site vehicle was fully washed before leaving site. Access road leading to	Closed

		and exiting from vehicle washing areas were kept clean to ensure the public roads around site entrances were free from dust; The 24-hr TSP level monitoring conducted in November 2016 also showed that the dust levels at the monitoring station were under the Action and Limit Levels.	
--	--	---	--

Cumulative Log for Notifications of Summons

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement

Cumulative Log for Successful Prosecutions

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since the commencement of the project

Appendix F

44th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1112 – Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section and Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

(February 2017)

Certified by:	Vivian Chan
Position:	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	7 February 2017

Wis Chan



44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017

Shatin to Central Link – Works Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings

February 2017

Project/Deliverable No.	7076187 D100/01 – Revision No. 2
Project Name	Shatin to Central Link – Works Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings
Report Name	44 th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017
Report Date	February 2017
Report for	Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited

PREPARATION, REVIEW AND AUTHORISATION

Revision #	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by
1.0 (Draft)	February 2017	Joanne PONG	Vivian CHAN	Alexi BHANJA
2.0 (Final)	February 2017	Joanne PONG	Vivian CHAN	Alexi BHANJA

ISSUE REGISTER

Distribution List	Date Issued	Number of Copies
Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited	February 2017	1 soft copy
MTR Corporation Limited	February 2017	1 soft copy
SMEC Project File:		1 electronic

SMEC COMPANY DETAILS

SMEC Asia Limited

27/F Ford Glory Plaza, 37-39 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong **T** +852 3995 8100 | **F** +852 3995 8101 smecasia@smec.com | www.smec.com

The information within this document is and shall remain the property of **SMEC Asia Limited**



CONTENTS

EXE	CUTIV	E SUMMARY	IV
	Intro	duction	iv
	Lands	scape and Visual Monitoring	iv
	Air Q	uality Monitoring	iv
	Noise	Quality Monitoring	iv
	Wast	e Management	iv
	Envir	onmental Auditing	v
	Comp	oliant, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution	v
	Futur	e Key Issues	v
1	INTR	ODUCTION	6
	1.1	Project Background	6
	1.2	Purpose of the Report	6
	1.3	Report Structure	6
2	PRO.	ECT INFORMATION	7
	2.1	General Site Description	7
	2.2	Construction Programme and Activities	8
	2.3	Project Organisation	8
	2.4	Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	9
3	ENVI	RONMENTAL MONITORTING PARAMETERS	11
	3.1	Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring	11
	3.2	Air Quality Monitoring	11
	3.3	Construction Noise Monitoring	14
4	IMPL	EMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	15
5	MON	IITORING RESULTS	16
	5.1	Landscape and Visual	16
	5.2	Air Quality Monitoring	16
	5.3	Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	16
	5.4	Waste Management	16
6	ENVI	RONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	18
7	ENVI	RONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	20
	7.1	Summary of Monitoring Exceedances	20
	7.2	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	20
	7.3	Summary of Environmental Complaint	20



	7.4	Summary of Environmental Summons and Successful Prosecution	20
8	FUTU	RE KEY ISSUES	21
	8.1	Construction Programme for Next Month	21
	8.2	Key Issues for the Coming Months	21
	8.3	Monitoring Schedule for Next Month	21
9	CONC	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	22
	9.1	Conclusions	22
	9.2	Recommendations	22

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Project Works Boundary
Appendix B	Construction Programme
Appendix C	Project Organisation for Environmental Works
Appendix D	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
Appendix E	Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipment
Appendix F	Wind Data
Appendix G	Environmental Monitoring Programme
Appendix H	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures
Appendix I	Event and Action Plan
Appendix J	Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
Appendix K	Waste Flow Table
Appendix L	Cumulative Statistics of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions



TABLES

Table 2-1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2-2	Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits
Table 3-1	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters and Frequency
Table 3-2	Air Quality Monitoring Location
Table 3-3	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 4-1	Summary of Status of Required Submission under EP
Table 5-1	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Table 6-1	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The construction works of MTRC Shatin to Central Link Works Contract 1112- Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings (the Project) comprise permanent works and the necessary temporary works for Hung Hom Station (HUH), Hung Hom Stabling Sidings (HHS), the South Approach Tunnels (SAT) and the North Approach Tunnels (NAT) to the new station, HHS and any reprovisioning remedial and improvement works (RRIW).

Construction works of the Project commenced on 3 June 2013. This is the 44th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 to 31 January 2017 in accordance with the EM&A manual.

During the reporting month, the following activity took place for the Project:

- EWL trough wall construction at SAT
- EWL and NSL platform & structural construction at HUH
- Overhead Track Exhaust (OTE) structure construction at HUH
- Construction of Back of House (BoH) structures at HUH
- Construction of plant rooms and staff rooms at HUH
- ABWF Works at HHS, HUH
- Utility works at NAT, HUH
- Slab construction at NAT
- Modification works at Concourse level, mid-level walkway

Landscape and Visual Monitoring

Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 4 and 17 January 2017. All necessary mitigation measures have been implemented by the Contractor.

Air Quality Monitoring

Air quality (24-hour TSP) monitoring was carried out on 5, 11, 17, 23 and 27 January 2017. No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the monitoring location in the reporting month.

Noise Quality Monitoring

Construction airborne noise monitoring can be referred to the Monthly EM&A Report for Contract 1111.

Waste Management

Receptacles for collection of general refuse were provided at the site. As advised by the Contractor, 730,480 kg of general refuse was generated from the Project and disposed of at NENT landfill. A



total of 2,190 m³ inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials were generated from the Project, 2,190 m³ was reused in other projects. No chemical waste was disposed. No Type 1 and Type 2 marine sediments were generated from SCL1112. 250 m³ of paper/cardboard packaging was recycled and 26,100 kg metals were recycled. No asphalt or plastic was recycled from the Project.

Environmental Auditing

A total of 4 weekly environmental site audits were conducted on 4, 12, 18 and 25 January 2017. The IEC joint site audit was undertaken on 18 January 2017.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No environmental complaint was received during the reporting month.

No summons or prosecution related to the environmental issues were received in the reporting period.

Future Key Issues

Major site activities for the coming reporting month will include:

- Modification works of Hong Wan Path Ramp
- Building services works at SAT
- Temporary works of bulkhead door adjacent to existing West Rail Stub Tunnel at SAT
- Construction of tunnel structure at HUH, NAT
- Construction of structure above ground at HUH
- Platform Architectural, Builder's Work & Finishing (ABWF) and E&M works at HUH
- Utility works at NAT
- ABWF and E&M works of CLP Transformer Building at NAT
- Modification works at Concourse level, mid-level walkway

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise and waste management.



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1 The Shatin to Central Link (SCL) is a designated project (DP) under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). For the purposes of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), five EIA studies have been conducted to cover different sections of the SCL. These are Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (SCL (TAW-HUH)), Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section (SCL (MKK-HUH)), Hung Hom to Admiralty Section (SCL (HUH-ADM)), Protection Works at Causeway Bay Typhoon Shelter and Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard (SCL (HHS)).
- 1.1.2 Three EIA reports are of relevance to Works Contract 1112 (the Project), namely EIA for SCL (TAW-HUH) (Register No. AEIAR-167/2012), EIA for SCL (MKK-HUH) (Register No. AEIAR-165/2012) and EIA for SCL (HHS) (Register No. AEIAR-164/2012). These were submitted and subsequently approved with conditions by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 17 March 2012. Two Environmental Permits (EPs), Environmental Permit No. EP-437/2012 for SCL (MKK-HUH) and Environmental Permit No. EP-438/2012 for SCL (TAW-HUH) were subsequently obtained on 22 March 2012. An application for variation of the EP for SCL (TAW-HUH) was approved and a varied EP (EP No. EP-438/2012/K) was issued by Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 4 October 2016.
- 1.1.3 Construction of the SCL has been divided into a number of works contracts. This Works Contract 1112 was awarded to Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited (the Contractor) in March 2013. Leighton has engaged SMEC Asia Limited as the Environmental Team under the EIAO for Works Contract 1112.

1.2 Purpose of the Report

1.2.1 This is the 44th EM&A report which summarizes the monitoring results and audit findings during the reporting period from 1 to 31 January 2017.

1.3 Report Structure

- Section 1: Introduction
- Section 2: Project Information
- Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Parameters
- Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
- Section 5: Monitoring Results
- Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
- Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
- Section 8: Future Key Issues
- Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations



2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 General Site Description

- 2.1.1 The works under Works Contract 1112 comprise permanent works and the necessary temporary works for Hung Hom Station (HUH), Hung Hom Stabling Sidings (HHS), the South Approach Tunnels (SAT) and the North Approach Tunnels (NAT) to the new station, HHS and any reprovisioning remedial and improvement works (RRIW). The major permanent works under Works Contract 1112 generally comprise the following:
 - New HUH integrated with the existing HUH station, with associated entrances, ventilation facilities, plant rooms, other ancillary facilities, and ABWF works.
 - Modification of the existing HUH station to allow interchange between Existing East Rail Line and SCL(TAW-HUH), and between SCL(MKK-HUH) and SCL(TAW-HUH) comprising alteration and addition works at podium level, mid-level, and platform level.
 - Running tunnels of the SCL(TAW-HUH) at the south and north ends of the new HUH to the existing stub tunnel of Existing West Rail and interface with Works Contract 1111.
 - Running tunnels of the SCL(MKK-HUH) at the south and north ends of the new HUH to the proposed North Ventilation Building and interface with Works Contract 1111.
 - Extensive underpinning and modification of the existing podium structure of HUH and the Hong Kong Coliseum, and associated protection works.
 - Diversion, modification and dismantling of existing building services associated with underpinning and modification of existing structures.
 - Demolition and clearance of the majority of the existing Hung Hom Freight Terminal infrastructure.
 - Protection, diversion, and modification of utilities and services.
 - Launching and retrieval track connecting the SCL(TAW-HUH) to HHS from the turnout close to WRL at the south and interface with Works Contract 1111 at the north.
 - CLP Transformer Building.
 - Demolition of the existing International Mail Centre adjacent to Salisbury Road, the MTR Freight Operations Building within the southern end of the Hung Hom Freight Terminal, and other ancillary buildings.
 - Reconstruction of Cheong Wan Road Viaduct.
 - Civil, BS and ABWF provisions for designated and interfacing contracts.
 - Landscape works.
 - Modification to various parts of existing disused Freight Yard structure for provision of HHS, comprising alteration and addition works at underground level, ground level, mezzanine level and podium level including new



- accommodation and plant areas and stablings and associated track provisions connecting to the interface with Works Contract 1111.
- Extensive underpinning of the podium structures above the existing disused Freight Yard for provision of HHS and its associated works.
- Construct part of the shunting track.
- Construct the emergency track and its associated works which connect the stabling siding to the mainline which run parallel with the northern approach of HUH.
- Construct the semi-enclosed noise enclosure and its associated works over the entire HHS north fan area.
- Preparation works, operation, and reinstatement of an additional storage area near Muk Chui Street, Kai Tak.
- 2.1.2 The works area for the Works Contract 1112 is shown in *Appendix A*.

2.2 Construction Programme and Activities

- 2.2.1 The summary of construction programme is presented in *Appendix B*.
- 2.2.2 The major construction activities carried out by the Contractor in the reporting period are summarized as below:
 - EWL trough wall construction at SAT
 - EWL and NSL platform & structural construction at HUH
 - Overhead Track Exhaust (OTE) structure construction at HUH
 - Construction of Back of House (BoH) structures at HUH
 - Construction of plant rooms and staff rooms at HUH
 - Architectural, Builder's Work & Finishing (ABWF) Works at HHS, HUH
 - Utility works at NAT, HUH
 - Slab construction at NAT
 - Modification works at Concourse level, mid-level walkway

2.3 Project Organisation

2.3.1 The project organization structure is presented in *Appendix C*. The contact names and numbers for key personnel of the Project are summarized in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Company	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
MTR	Construction Manager	Mr Michael FU	3127 6201	3127 6422
	SCL Project Environmental Team Leader	Ms Felice WONG	2688 1283	2993 7577
Meinhardt	Independent Environmental	Mr Fredrick LEONG	2859 1739	2540 1580



Company	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
	Checker			
Leighton	Environmental Manager	Mr Kevin HARMAN	3973 0270	2356 9355
SMEC	ET Leader	Ms Vivian CHAN	3995 8140	3995 8101

2.4 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.4.1 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in *Table 2-2*.

Table 2-2 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits

Permit / Licence No. / Notification /	Valid Period		Status	Remark
Reference No.	From	То		
Environmental Perm	it			
EP-437/2012	22 Mar 2012	-	Valid	EP for SCL (MKK- HUH)
EP-438/2012/K	4 Oct 2016	-	Valid	EP for SCL (TAW- HUH)
Construction Noise F	Permit			
GW-RE0862-16	2 Sep 2016	28 Feb 2017	Valid	Under podium
GW-RE1086-16	15 Nov 2016	11 Jan 2017	Valid until cancellation on 11 Jan 2017	Concrete breaking on the railway track + track 7 ADMS maintenance + stage 2 hoarding installation
GW-RE1209-16	30 Dec 2016	26 Jun 2017	Valid	Works in concourse
GW-RE1242-16	04 Jan 2017	18 Feb 2017	Valid	Supporting painting work and cladding installation for cooling tower (PME & PCW at NTH)
GW-RE1265-16	12 Jan 2017	11 Apr 2017	Valid	External work for Concourse involving TTM + Mid-level Walkway+ Installation of Instrument near NAT Track + Painting outside Concourse for North East Corner
Wastewater Dischar	ge License			
WT00015983-2013	28 Jun 2013	30 Jun 2018	Valid	-



Permit / Licence No. / Notification /	Valid Period		Status	Remark
Reference No.	From	То		
Chemical Waste Pro	ducer Registration	n		
5213-213-L2603-03	28 Jun 2013	-	Valid	-
Billing Account for C	onstruction Wast	e Disposal		
7017179	27 Mar 2013	-	Active Account	-
Notification Under A	ir Pollution Contr	ol (Construction	n Dust) Regulatio	n
357078	18 Mar 2013	-	Notified	-
Notification of Asbes	stos Abatement V	Vorks		
AX141187	11 Oct 2014 (earliest commencement date)	-	Notified	Demolition of International Mail Centre, 80 Salisbury Road, Hung Hom
AX141235	27 Oct 2014 (earliest commencement date)	-	Notified	Demolition of Freight Operation Building, MTR Hung Hom Depot
Notification of New Expiration Date of Sediment Quality Report (SQR)				
EP60/G1/12- 395/Part XXVI	3 Nov 2014	22 Jan 2017	Notified	Data Reliability Review on Sediment Quality Report



3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORTING PARAMETERS

3.1 Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring

3.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

Parameter, Frequency and Duration

3.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) level at the designated air quality monitoring station is required throughout the construction period. The monitoring parameters and frequency are provided in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Parameter	Frequency
1-hour TSP	3 times in every 6 days when one documented valid complaint is received
24-hour TSP ^[1]	Once per 6 days

Note:

1. 24-hour TSP will be conducted when project-related construction activities are being undertaken within a radius of 500m from monitoring stations.

Monitoring Location

- 3.2.2 One air quality monitoring station was set up at the location in accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals. The location of the construction dust monitoring station is summarised in *Table 3-2* and shown in *Appendix D*.
- 3.2.3 The monitoring location of AM2 has been located on the roof of the Site Office Building next to Harbourfront Horizon since 19 March 2014.

Table 3-2 Air Quality Monitoring Location

ID	Location
AM2 ^[1]	Harbourfront Horizon ^[2]

Note:

- Different IDs were used in various EM&A Manuals for dust monitoring location at Harbourfront Horizon, DMS-12 was used in EM&A Manual for SCL(TAW-HUH), AM2 were used in EM&A Manual and EIA report for SCL(MKK-HUH), and DMS-1 Works Contract 1112 were used in EM&A Manual and EIA report for HHS. For ease of future reference, AM2 will be adopted for EM&A reporting for Works Contract 1112 when referring to this monitoring location.
- 2. Air quality monitoring location at Harbourfront Horizon is the same as monitoring station CD6a as proposed in the EM&A Manual for "Kwun Tong Line Extension (KTE)". Access to Harbourfront Horizon was rejected by the owner during preparation for baseline



monitoring for the KTE in early 2011. A representative monitoring location at the adjacent Finger Pier, at about 25m from Harbourfront Horizon, was adopted as an alternative monitoring location for KTE. This monitoring location is considered the most appropriate alternative monitoring location for AM2 and have been adopted for dust monitoring for Contract 1112.

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.4 The air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS). The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Detail of the HVS used in air quality monitoring is provided in *Table 3-3*.

Table 3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model	Serial Number
High Volume Sampler	GS-2310 Accu-vol	694-0665
Calibration Kit	Tisch (TE-5025A)	1612

3.2.5 The HVS were calibrated in every six months interval using calibration kit which is recalibrated by the manufacturer after one year of use. The calibration certificate of the calibration kit and the calibration spreadsheet of the HVS is provided in *Appendix E*.

Monitoring Procedures

- 3.2.6 Specifications of HVS are as follow:
 - i. 0.6 1.7m³ per minute adjustable flow range
 - ii. Equipped with a timing / control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation
 - iii. Installed with elapsed-time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation
 - iv. Capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406cm²
 - v. Flow control accuracy: +/- 2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period
 - vi. Equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler
 - vii. Incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices
 - viii. Equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring
 - ix. Provided with a peaked roof inlet
 - x. Incorporated with a manometer
 - xi. Able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position
 - xii. Easily changeable filter and
 - xiii. Capable of operating continuously for a 24-hour period.
- 3.2.7 Preparation of Filter Papers
 - i. Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.



- ii. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25°C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- iii. All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

3.2.8 Field Monitoring

- i. The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- ii. The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- iii. The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- iv. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- v. The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- vi. Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- vii. The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- viii. A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- ix. On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- x. The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- xi. The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- xii. At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- xiii. The final elapsed time was recorded.
- xiv. The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- xv. It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- xvi. All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- xvii. Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

Wind Data Monitoring

3.2.9 Average wind data (wind speed and direction) at the King's Park meteorological station during the monitoring period were obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) and presented in *Appendix F*.

Monitoring Schedule

3.2.10 The schedule for environmental monitoring in January 2017 is provided in Appendix G.



3.3 Construction Noise Monitoring

- 3.3.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals for SCL (TAW-HUH), SCL (MKK-HUH) and SCL (HHS), construction noise monitoring is required at No. 234-238 Chatham Road North (originally proposed as Wing Fung Building in the approved EM&A Manuals).
- 3.3.2 Construction airborne noise monitoring requirement details at No. 234 -238 Chatham Road North (NM2) can be referred to the Monthly EM&A Report for Contract 1111.



4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

- 4.1.1 All environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in EIA Reports, Environmental Permits and EM&A Manuals are implemented. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures for this Works Contract during the reporting period is summarized in *Appendix H*.
- 4.1.2 Submissions to EPD during construction stage had been made in accordance with the EP requirements. A summary of EP submission requirements and their status is presented in *Table 4-1*.

Table 4-1 Summary of Status of Required Submission under EP

Required Submission	Environmental Permit	Date of Submission	Status
EP Condition 3.4 - Monthly Environmental Monitoring &	EP-437/2012	13 January 2017	Submitted
Audit (EM&A) Report	EP-438/2012/K	13 January 2017	Submitted



5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 Landscape and Visual

- 5.1.1 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 4 and 17 January 2017. All necessary mitigation measures have been implemented by the Contractor.
- 5.1.2 The Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring is provided in *Appendix I*.

5.2 Air Quality Monitoring

5.2.1 The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP are summarized in *Table 5-1*. Detailed air quality monitoring results are presented in *Appendix J*.

Table 5-1 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
AM2	47.2	45.3 – 48.9	182	260

- 5.2.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.2.3 The Event and Action Plan is provided in *Appendix I*.

5.3 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

- 5.3.1 Construction airborne noise monitoring results in the reporting month can be referred to the Monthly EM&A Report for Contract 1111.
- 5.3.2 The Action and Limit levels for construction noise are summarised in *Table 5-2*.

Table 5-2 Action and Limit Levels

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
07:00-19:00 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented valid complaint is received	75dB(A) [*]

Note: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

5.3.3 The Event and Action Plan for construction noise is provided in *Appendix I*.

5.4 Waste Management

5.4.1 Receptacles for collection of general refuse were provided at the site. As advised by the Contractor, 730,480 kg of general refuse was generated from the Project and disposed of at NENT landfill. A total of 2,190 m³ inert construction and demolition (C&D)

^{*} Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.



materials were generated from the Project, 2,190 m³ was reused in other projects. No chemical waste was disposed. No Type 1 and Type 2 marine sediments were generated from SCL1112. 250 m³ of paper/cardboard packaging was recycled and 26,100 kg metals were recycled. No asphalt or plastic was recycled from the Project. The waste flow table and marine sediment flow table were presented in *Appendix K*.

5.4.2 A billing account for construction waste disposal has been approved and a trip ticket system was implemented to record the waste generated from the Project in the reporting month.



6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Weekly site audits were conducted by the ET and attended by the ER and the Contractor to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures at the site. 4 site audits were carried out on 4, 12 18 and 25 January 2017 during the reporting month. Representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 18 January 2017. A summary of the implementation schedule of environmental mitigation measures is provided in *Appendix H*.
- 6.1.2 No EPD inspections were conducted during the reporting month.
- 6.1.3 During the weekly site inspections, no non-conformance was identified. Details of observations recorded during site inspection are summarized in *Table 6-1*.

Table 6-1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits

Parameters	Description	Works Area	Observation	Status
			Date	
Air Quality	Stockpile was observed uncovered. The Contractor should cover the stockpile with impervious sheeting to prevent dust and silty runoff generation.	SAT	12 January 2017	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 18 January 2017.
	NRMM labels were found missing. The Contractor should ensure provision of NRMM labels to all	SAT	12 January 2017	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 18 January 2017.
	non-road mobile machineries.	HHS (O/P-37)	18 January 2017	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 25 January 2017.
		NAT	18 January 2017	The item will be followed-up in the next reporting month.
Water Quality	Water quality inside the sedimentation tank was observed not satisfactory. The Contractor should ensure site effluent was properly treated before discharge.	Gate 1	21 December 2016	The item will be followed-up in the next reporting month.
	A manhole was found without sufficient mitigation measures. The Contractor should provide sufficient mitigation measures to avoid muddy runoff discharge.	SAT	21 December 2016	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 12 January 2017.
	The blue hoses for removing wastewater from the basement were	Gate 3	28 December 2016	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 12



Parameters	Description	Works Area	Observation Date	Status
	observed directly connected to the effluent tank. The Contractor should divert the blue hoses to the intake of the AquaSed for proper treatment.			January 2017.
	Water quality inside the water retention bin was observed not satisfactory. The	Gate 2	4 January 2017	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 12 January 2017.
	Contractor should ensure site effluent was properly treated before discharge.	NAT	12 January 2017	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 18 January 2017.
	Water quality inside the AquaSed was observed not satisfactory. The Contractor should ensure site effluent is properly treated before discharge.	Gate 3	25 January 2017	The item will be followed-up in the next reporting month.
Waste/ Chemicals Management	Chemical containers without secondary containment were observed. The Contractor should provide secondary containment such as drip tray to all chemical containers to prevent land contamination.	HHS (N26)	28 December 2016	The item was rectified by the Contractor on 12 January 2017.
		HHS	12 January 2017	The item will be followed-up in the next reporting month.

Note:

- 1. HUH: Hung Hom Station
- 2. HHS: Hung Hom Stabling Sidings
- 3. NAT: North Approach Tunnels
- 4. SAT: South Approach Tunnels
- 5. HKC: Hong Kong Coliseum
- 6. NSL: North South Line
- 7. BoH: Back of House
- 6.1.4 Follow-up actions requested by Contractor's ET and IEC during site inspections were undertaken by the Contractor and the work were confirmed in the following weekly site inspection. Follow-up actions that are still outstanding in the reporting month will be inspected in site inspections in following month, until the corresponding action has been satisfactorily completed by the Contractor.



7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 7.1.1 All 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- **7.2** Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance
- 7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting month.
- 7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaint
- 7.3.1 Details and cumulative statistics on environmental complaints can be referred to *Appendix L*.
- 7.4 Summary of Environmental Summons and Successful Prosecution
- 7.4.1 No summon was received during the reporting month.
- 7.4.2 The cumulative statistics on notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in *Appendix L*.



8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Programme for Next Month

- 8.1.1 The construction programme for the upcoming month is provided in *Appendix B* and the key issues to be considered in the upcoming months include:
 - Modification works of Hong Wan Path Ramp
 - Building services works at SAT
 - Temporary works of bulkhead door adjacent to existing West Rail Stub Tunnel at SAT
 - Construction of tunnel structure at HUH, NAT
 - Construction of structure above ground at HUH
 - Platform ABWF and E&M works at HUH
 - Utility works at NAT
 - ABWF and E&M works of CLP Transformer Building at NAT
 - Modification works at Concourse level, mid-level walkway

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Months

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise and waste management.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for Next Month

8.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in February 2017 is provided in *Appendix G*.



9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

- 9.1.1 The construction phase of the Project was commenced on 3 June 2013. The EM&A programme have been implemented to include air quality monitoring and environmental site audits. This is the 44th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 to 31 January 2017.
- 9.1.2 5 nos. of 24-hour TSP monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of air quality monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during reporting period.
- 9.1.4 Two landscape and visual monitoring and four environmental site audits were conducted in the reporting month. Recommendations on remedial actions were provided to the Contractor for deficiencies identified during the site audits.
- 9.1.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure the compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Air Quality Impact

- Cover the stockpile with impervious sheeting to prevent dust and silty runoff generation.
- Ensure provision of NRMM labels to all non-road mobile machineries.

Water Quality Impact

- Ensure site effluent was properly treated before discharge.
- Provide sufficient mitigation measures to avoid muddy runoff discharge.
- Divert the blue hoses to the intake of the AquaSed for proper treatment.
- Ensure site effluent was properly treated before discharge.

Chemical and Waste Management


 Provide secondary containment such as drip tray to all chemical containers to prevent land contamination. Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX A

Project Works Boundary






Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX B

Construction Programme






Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX C

Project Organisation for Environmental Works








APPENDIX D

Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station







APPENDIX E

Calibration Certificates for Monitoring Equipment



TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Calibration Date: December 9, 2016 Location: Hung Hom Sampler: Hunghom MTR TSP Serial No 694-0665 Next Calibration Date: February 9, 2017 Tech: Sam Wong

Г				CONDITIONS		
l						
	Barometric Pressure	(in Hg):	39.94	Corrected Pressure	(mm Hg):	1014
ı	Temperature	(deg F):	70	Temperature	(deg K):	294
ı	Average Press.	(in Hg):	39.94	Corrected Average	(mm Hg):	1014
L	Average Temp.	(deg F):	70	Average Temp.	(deg K):	294

CALIBRATION ORIFICE 2.00411 Qstd Slope: Make: Tisch Model: TE-5025A Qstd Intercept: -0.03059 Serial#: 1612 Date Certified: March 14, 2016

	CALIBRATIONS						
Plate or Test #	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION		
1	12.00	2.025	58.0	67.45	Slope =	33.0024	
2	10.00	1.850	54.0	62.80	Intercept =	1.2514	
3	7.80	1.636	48.0	55.82	Corr. coeff.=	0.9992	
4	5.00	1.313	38.0	44.19			
5	3.00	1.020	30.0	34.89	# of Observations:	5	

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept


Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow: 1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure

Reviewer: Sam Wong Signature: Date: December 9, 2016









TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Ma	ar 14, 2016 Tisch	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 1612	Ta (K) - Pa (mm)	295 - 745.49
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.3770 0.9710 0.8710 0.8310 0.6860	3.2 6.4 7.8 8.7 12.6	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866 0.9824 0.9804 0.9793 0.9741	0.7165 1.0117 1.1256 1.1785 1.4200	1.4078 1.9909 2.2259 2.3345 2.8155	0.9957 0.9914 0.9894 0.9883 0.9830	0.7231 1.0210 1.1360 1.1893 1.4330	0.8896 1.2581 1.4066 1.4753 1.7792
Qstd slop intercept coefficient y axis =	t (b) = ent (r) =	2.00411 -0.03059 0.99995 Pa/760) (298/Ta)	Qa slop intercep coeffici	t (b) =	1.25494 -0.01933 0.99995

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta) Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:


Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$




Appendix F

Wind Data


5 January 2017



11 January 2017



17 January 2017






23 January 2017





27 January 2017





Appendix G

Environmental Monitoring Programme



Environmental Monitoring Schedule for SCL1112 in January 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
				24 hr TSP		
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			24 hr TSP			
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		24 b = TCD				
		24 hr TSP				
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
	24 hr TSP				24 hr TSP	
29	30	31				

Environmental Monitoring Schedule for SCL1112 in February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1	2	3	4
				24 hr TSP		
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			24 hr TSP			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		24 hr TSP				
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	24 hr TSP					24 hr TSP
26	27	28				



	DEN	וחוי	X H
AP		ושע	ΙΛП

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	sual (Construction Phase)						
S6.9.3 and S6.12 of Ref.1; Table 4.9 of Ref. 2; S6.12 of Ref. 3	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation and avoidance of potential impacts are recommended: Re-use of existing soil For soil conservation, existing topsoil will be re-used where possible for new planting areas within the project. The construction programme will consider using the soil removed from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up onsite as necessary. No-intrusion zone To maximise protection to existing trees, ground vegetation	Minimise visual and landscape impact	Contractor	Within project site	Construction Stage	EIAO-TM	٨
	and the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone. The contractor will closely monitor and restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment. Protection of retained trees All retained trees will be recorded photographically at the commencement of the contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. The contractor will be required to submit, for approval, a						۸
	detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works sites.						
S6.12 of Ref.1; Table 4.9 of Ref. 2; Table 6.9 of Ref. 3	Decorative hoarding ■ Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding will be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context. Management of facilities on work sites	Minimise the visual and landscape impact of the Project during construction phase	Contractor	Within project site	Detailed design and construction stage	EIAO-TM ETWB TCW 2/2004 ETWB TCW 3/2006	۸
	To provide proper management of the facilities on the site, give control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities on the works site to minimise visual impact to adjacent VSRs. Tree transplanting Trees of medium to high survival rate that would be affected by the works will be transplanted where possible and						٨



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	practicable. Tree transplanting proposal including final location for transplanted trees will be submitted separately to seek relevant government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB TCW No 3/2006.						
Air Quality (Co	nstruction Phase)						
N.A.	Emission from Vehicles and Plants: All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use. Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke. All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra-low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD).	Reduce air pollution emission from construction vehicles and plants	Contractor	All constructions sites	Construction stage	Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO)	^ #
Construction D	oust Impact						
S7.6.5 of Ref. 1; S7.6.6 of Ref. 3	The contractor will follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation.	Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and EIAO-TM criteria	^
S5.20, S5.21, S5.50 and Table 5.4 of Ref. 2	 Barging Facility: Unloading of spoils to barge – the unloading process should be undertaken within a 3-sided screen with top tipping hall. Water spraying and flexible dust curtains should be provided at the discharge point for dust suppression. Transportation of the spoil from the construction sites to the Barging Point – watering once along all paved haul roads to reduce dust emission by 91.7%. This dust suppression efficiency is derived based on the average haul road traffic, average evaporation rate and an assumed application intensity of 1.7 L/m² once every working hour. Any potential dust impact and watering mitigation would be subject to the actual site condition. For example, a construction activity that produces inherently wet conditions or in cases under rainy weather, the above water application intensity may not be unreservedly applied. While the above watering frequency is to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.7L/m² to achieve the removal efficiency. The dust levels would be monitored and managed under an EM&A programme as specified in the 	To minimize the construction dust impacts to the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	Barging point at Hung Hom Freight Pier	Construction stage	APCO	N/A N/A



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 EM&A Manual. Vehicles leaving the barging facilities – vehicles would be required to pass through the wheel washing facilities to be provided at site exit. 						N/A
S7.6.5 of Ref. 1; S5.50 of Ref. 2; S7.6.6 of Ref. 3	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice will be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road will be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but will be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency.	Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	Active works areas, exposed areas and paved haul roads	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and EIAO-TM criteria	۸
S7.6.5 of Ref. 1; S5.51 of Ref. 2; S7.6.6 of Ref. 3	 Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material will be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading. Any dusty materials remaining after stockpiles are removed will be wetted and cleared from the surface of roads. A stockpile of dusty material will not be extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones. The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site will be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet will be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point will be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore. When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high will be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing; Good site practice will also be adopted by the contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained in construction period. The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit will be kept clear of dusty materials. Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, 	Minimise dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation To control the dust impact to meet HKAQO and EIAO-TM criteria	*



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place will be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously. Any area that involves demolition activities will be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet. Where scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting will be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy will be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding. Any skip hoist for material transport will be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting. Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) will be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides. 					acilieve:	^
	 Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk will be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed. Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA will be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust will be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system. Exposed earth will be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. 						^
S7.6.5 of Ref. 1; S5.57 of Ref. 2; S7.6.6 of Ref. 3	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage.	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Harbourfront Horizon	Construction stage	EIAO-TM APCO	۸



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
Construction A		1					
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S6.61 of Ref. 2; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	 Implement the following good site practices: Only well-maintained plant will be operated on-site and plant will be serviced regularly during the construction programme. Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use will be shut down between work periods or will be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible; be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs. Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment will be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works. Mobile plant will be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable. Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures will be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from onsite construction activities. 	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, EIAO- TM	^ ^
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S6.68 of Ref. 2; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings will be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening.	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, EIAO- TM	۸
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S6.64 – 6.67 and Table 6.20 of Ref. 2; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressor, generators and saw.	Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, EIAO- TM	۸
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S6.62 – 6.63 and Table 6.19 of Ref. 2; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	The following quiet PME should be used: Asphalt Paver (SWL=101dB(A)) Backhoe (SWL=106dB(A)) Backhoe with Hydraulic Breaker (SWL=110dB(A)) Concrete lorry mixer (SWL=96dB(A)) Concrete mixer truck (SWL=96dB(A)) Concrete Pump (SWL=106dB(A)) Concrete Pump Truck (SWL=106dB(A)) Crane, mobile (SWL=94dB(A)) Crawler Crane (SWL=102dB(A))	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, EIAO- TM	۸



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 Drill, hand-held (SWL=98dB(A)) Dump truck (SWL=104dB(A)) Excavator (SWL=106dB(A)) Flat Bed Lorry (SWL=102dB(A)) Generator (SWL=95dB(A)) Giken Piler and Power-pack (SWL=94dB(A)) Hydraulic breaker (SWL=110dB(A)) Hydraulic excavator (SWL=106dB(A)) Lorry (SWL=102dB(A)) Lorry with crane/ grab (SWL=94dB(A)) Mini Piling Rig (SWL=112dB(A)) Piling Rig (SWL=112dB(A)) Poker, vibrator, hand-held (SWL=98dB(A)) Road Roller (SWL=101dB(A)) Rock Drill (SWL = 108dB(A) Roller (SWL = 101dB(A) Truck (SWL=103dB(A)) Vibratory Hammer (SWL=118dB(A)) 						
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, EIAO- TM	۸
S8.3.6 of Ref. 1; S8.5.6 of Ref. 3	Implement noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitoring of construction noise impact	Contractor	Wing Fung Building	Construction stage as required by IEC	TM-EIA	^



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
Water Quality S10.7.1 of	(Construction Phase) In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
Ref. 1;S8.41 – 8.39 and S8.50 of Ref. 2; S10.7.1 of Ref. 3	Construction Site Drainage, EPD, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures will include the following: Construction runoff and site drainage At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site will be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers will be provided onsite to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary onsite drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to commencement of construction. The dikes or embankments for flood protection will be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches will be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps will be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of silt removal facilities will be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps will be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5m³/s the basin would be 150m³. Detailed design of the sand/silt traps will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of works. All exposed earth areas will be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces will be covered by tarpaulin or other means. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures will be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit will be removed r	impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities		sites where practicable	stage	Control Ordinance (WPCO) ProPECC PN1/94 EIAO-TM TM-Water Technical Memorandum on Effluent Discharge Standard (TM-DSS)	^ *



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 vegetated areas. Measures will be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they will be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations will be discharged into storm drains via 						۸
	silt removal facilities. Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ will be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures will be taken to prevent the washing away of						۸
	construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) will always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul						*
	 sewers. Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention will be paid to the control of silty surface runoff 						۸
	 during storms, especially areas near steep slopes. All vehicles and plant will be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities will be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water will have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access 						۸
	road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road will be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. Oil interceptors will be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors will be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent						٨
	the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass will be provided for						



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site will be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. All fuel tanks and storage areas will be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby. All the earth works involving will be conducted sequentially to 						^
	limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. • Adopt Best Management Practices.						۸
S10.7.1 of Ref. 1; S10.7.1 of Ref. 3	Tunnelling works Cut-and-cover/ open-cut tunnelling work will be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. Uncontaminated discharge will pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge. The wastewater with a high concentration of SS will be treated (eg, by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater. Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. It will be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) will be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 will be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunnelling works	Contractor	All tunnelling portion	Construction stage	WPCO ProPECC PN1/94 EIAO-TM TM-Water	^ ^



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
S8.68 of Ref.	Operation of Barging Facilities	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All barging	Construction	WPCO	
2; S10.7.1 of	The following good practice shall apply for the barging facilities	impact from operation of		facilities	stage	TM-EIA	
Ref. 1	operations: • All barges should be fitted with tight bottom seals to prevent leakage of materials during transport;	barging facility					N/A
	 Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation; 						N/A
	 All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by 						N/A
	 turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; and Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Mitigation measures as outlined for control of construction 						N/A
	runoff and site drainage provide above should be applied to minimise water quality impacts from site runoff and open						N/A
	stockpile spoils at the proposed barging facilities where appropriate.						
S8.51 – 8.52	Bentonite Slurries:	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All works area	Construction	WPCO	
of Ref. 2	 Bentonite slurries used in diaphragm wall construction should be reconditioned and used again wherever practicable. If the disposal of a certain residual quantity cannot be avoided, the used slurry should either be dewatered or mixed with inert fill 	impact from bentonite slurries			stage	TM-EIA	^
	 material for disposal to a public filling area. If the used bentonite slurry is intended to be disposed of through the public drainage system, it should be treated to the respective effluent standards applicable to foul sewer, storm drains or the receiving waters as set out in the TM-DSS. 						۸
S8.53 – 8.54	Wastewater from Building Construction:	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	WPCO	
of Ref. 2	Before commencing any demolition works, all sewer and drainage connections should be sealed to prevent building debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public sewers/drains	impact from building construction		sites where practicable	stage	EIAO-TM	۸
	 Wastewater generated from building construction activities including concreting, plastering, internal decoration, cleaning of works and similar activities should not be discharged into the stormwater drainage system. If the wastewater is to be 						N/A
	discharged into foul sewers, it should undergo the removal of settleable solids in a silt removal facility, and pH adjustment as washing and general cleaning etc., can minimise water						



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence which is under the ambit of regional office of EPD.						
S8.62 of Ref. 2	The construction programme should be properly planned to minimise soil excavation, if any, in rainy seasons. This prevents soil erosion from exposed soil surfaces. Any exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimise the potential for dust emission, increased siltation and contamination of runoff. In areas where a large amount of exposed soils exist, earth bunds or sand bags should be provided. Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets at all times. The stockpiles of materials should be placed at locations away from water environment so as to avoid releasing materials into the water bodies. Final surfaces of earthworks should be compacted and protected by permanent work.	To minimize water quality impact from excavation activities	Contractor	All excavation works areas	Construction stage	WPCO EIAO-TM	۸
S8.63 of Ref. 2	The mitigation measures as outlined in the ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be implemented to control site run-off and drainage as well as any site effluents generated from the works areas, and to prevent run-off and construction wastes from entering nearby water environment. Proper handling of bentonite slurries used in diaphragm wall construction should be adopted.	To minimize water quality impact from diaphragm walling	Contractor	All diaphragm walling works areas	Construction stage	WPCO EIAO-TM	۸
S8.60 – 8.61 of Ref. 2; S10.7.1 of Ref. 3	Sewage effluent Portable chemical toilets are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor will be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	To minimize water quality from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	WPCO TM-Water	^
S8.64 of Ref. 2; S10.7.1 of Ref. 3	Groundwater seepage As some proposed works areas at Hung Hom are near Victoria Harbour, high ground water level regime due to both tidal effects and rainwater infiltration is anticipated. Appropriate measures will be deployed to minimise the intrusion of groundwater into excavation works areas. In case seepage of groundwater occurs, groundwater will be pumped out from the works areas and discharged into the storm system via silt	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found.	Construction stage	WPCO TM-Water EIAO-TM	۸



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	removal facilities. Groundwater from dewatering process will also be discharged into the storm system via silt traps.						
S10.7.1 of Ref. 1; S8.57 – 8.59 of Ref. 2; S10.7.1 of Ref. 3	Accidental spillage To prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: Proper storage and handling facilities will be provided. All the tanks, containers, storage area will be bunded and the locations will be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. The contractor will register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities will be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes will be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	To minimize water quality impact from accidental spillage	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	WPCO ProPECC PN1/94 EIAO-TM TM-Water	# ^ ^
S8.72 of Ref.2	Regular site inspections should be undertaken to inspect the construction activities and works areas	To ensure the recommended water quality mitigation measures are properly implemented	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	EIAO-TM WPCO ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-DSS WDO	۸



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	ment (Construction Phase)						
S11.4.1.1 of Ref. 1; S9.80 – 9.83 of Ref. 2; S11.4.1.1 of Ref.3	Onsite sorting of C&D material Geological assessment will be carried out by competent persons onsite during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (eg, volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock will be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator will also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities will be submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records will also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) ref: 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc will also be explored.	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	DEVB TC(W) ref. 6/2010	۸
S11.5.1 of Ref.1; S9.72 – 9.74 of Ref. 2; S11.5.1 of Ref.3	 Construction and demolition material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement. Carry out onsite sorting. Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote The use of recycled aggregates where appropriate. Adopt 'selective demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible. Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified. Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) ref 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction. In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive locations such as agricultural lands, etc. will be avoided. The contractor will propose the final disposal sites to the Project 	Good site practice to minimise the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW Ref 19/2005	^ ^



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	Proponent and EPD and get their approval before implementation.						
S11.5.1 of Ref.1; S9.73 of Ref. 2; S11.5.1 of Ref.3	Standard formwork or pre-fabrication will be used as far as practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works will be considered. Use of wooden hoardings will not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding will be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage. The contractor will recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible onsite. Public fill and C&D waste will be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites will be considered for such segregation and storage.	Good site practice to minimise the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW Ref 19/2005	۸
S11.5.1 of Ref.1; S9.100- 9.102 of Ref.2; S11.5.1 of Ref. 3	General refuse General refuse generated onsite will be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes. A reputable waste collector will be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminium cans will be often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit will be provided if feasible. Office wastes will be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme will be considered by the contractor.	Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal Ordinance	^ ^



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
S11.5.1 of Ref.1; S9.84 – 9.93 of Ref. 2	 The basic requirements and procedures for excavated sediment disposal specified under ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 shall be followed. The Project Proponent should agree in advance with MFC of CEDD on the site allocation. Subject to the final decision by MFC, Type 1 sediments are typically disposed to South Cheung Chau and/or East of Ninepin as open sea disposal while Type 2 sediments are disposed to East Sha Chau as confined marine disposal. Sampling and Testing Plan(s) should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002. Site investigation, based on the Sediment Sampling and Testing Plan(s), should be carried out in order to confirm the disposal arrangements for the proposed excavated sediments. A Sediment Quality Report (SQR) should then be submitted to EPD for agreement prior to the tendering of the construction contract, discussing in details the site investigation, testing results as well as the delineation of each of the categories of excavated materials and the 	To ensure the sediment is handled and disposed of in a least impacted way and in accordance to the statutory	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	ETWB TC(W) NO. 34/2002 Dumping at Sea Ordinance (DASO) APCO WPCO	N/A N/A
	 corresponding types of disposal. The excavated sediments is expected to be loaded onto the dumping trucks and transferred to the barging point where the sediments would be transported via barge to the existing designated disposal sites allocated by the MFC. The excavated sediment would be disposed of according to its determined disposal options and ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002. Requirements of the Air Pollution Ordinance (Construction 						N/A
	Dust) Regulation, where relevant, shall be adhered to during excavation, transportation and disposal of sediments. Stockpiling of contaminated sediments should be avoided as far as possible. If temporary stockpiling of contaminated sediments is necessary, the excavated sediment should be covered by tarpaulin and the area should be placed within earth bunds or sand bags to prevent leachate from entering the ground, nearby drains and/or surrounding water bodies. The stockpiling areas should be completely paved or covered by linings in order to avoid contamination to underlying soil or groundwater. Separate and clearly defined areas should be provided for stockpiling of contaminated and uncontaminated materials. Leachate, if any, should be collected and discharged						N/A N/A



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 according to the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO). In order to minimize the potential odour / dust emissions during excavation and transportation of the sediment, the excavated sediments should be wetted during excavation / material handling and should be properly covered when placed on trucks or barges. Loading of the excavated sediment to the barge should be controlled to avoid splashing and overflowing of the sediment slurry to the surrounding water. 						N/A
	 The barge transporting the sediments to the designated disposal sites should be equipped with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage and should not be filled to a level that would cause overflow of materials or laden water during loading or transportation. In order to minimize the exposure to contaminated materials, 						N/A
	workers should, when necessary, wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) when handling contaminated sediments. Adequate washing and cleaning facilities should also be provided on site.						N/A
S11.5.1 of Ref.1; S8.94 – 9.97 of Ref. 2; S11.5.1 of	Chemical waste ■ Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, will be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the	Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal.	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) General) Regulation	^
Ref. 3	 Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes will be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450L unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 					Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	۸
	 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes will be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; be enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; be covered to prevent rainfall entering; and be 						Λ
	arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated.						



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	 Disposal of chemical waste will be via a licensed waste collector; and be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD. 						^
S9.98 – 9.99 of Ref 2	Asbestos wastes All storage of asbestos waste should be carried out properly in a secure place isolated from other substances so as to prevent any possible release of asbestos fibres into the atmosphere and contamination of other substances. The storage area should bear warning panels to alert people of the presence of asbestos waste. Collection, transportation and disposal of asbestos waste will follow the trip-ticket system. Licensed asbestos waste collectors will be appointed to collect the asbestos waste and deliver to the designated landfill for disposal. The Project Proponent should notify to EPD in advance for disposal of asbestos waste. After processing the notification, EPD will issue specific instructions and directions for disposal. The waste producer must strictly follow these directions	To ensure the asbestos wastes are handled and disposed of in accordance with the statutory requirements	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Code of practice on the Handling, Transportation and Disposal of Asbestos Waste	^ N/A



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status		
Land Contamination									
S10.24 – 10.34 of Ref 2	Precautionary measures Precautionary measures such as visual inspection are recommended to be undertaken during construction activities that disturb soil. The inspection process should involve a visual observation of excavated soils for discolouration and the presence of oils, together with identifying the presence of odours, which may also indicate soil and/or groundwater contamination. If soil discolouration or the presence of oil/unnatural odour is noted during visual inspection, sampling and testing should also be undertaken to verify the presence of contamination.	To act as a general precautionary measure to screen soils for the presence contamination during construction	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	"Guidance Note for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation" "Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management	^		
\$10.35 of Ref 2	 Potential remediation of contaminated soil If land contamination is identified, CAR and RAP detailing the proposed remediation works should be prepared. RR should then be prepared and submitted to EPD to demonstrate that the decontamination work is adequate and has been carried out in accordance with the endorsed CAR and RAP. Information such as soil treatment/disposal records (including trip tickets), confirmatory sampling results and photographs should be included in the RR. No construction work should be carried out prior to endorsement of the RR by EPD. In order to minimise environmental impacts arising from the handling of potentially contaminated materials, the following environmental precautionary measures are recommended to be utilised during the course of any required site remediation: Excavation profiles must be properly designed and executed with attention to the relevant requirements for environment, 	To remediate contaminated soil	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	"Guidance Notes for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Sites of Petrol Filling Stations, Boatyards and Car Repair /Dismantling Workshop"	N/A N/A N/A		
	 health and safety; Excavation should be carried out during dry season as far as possible to minimise contaminated runoff from contaminated soils; Supply of suitable clean backfill material is needed after excavation; 						N/A		
	 If proposed remediation methods employ chemical oxidation methods as the contaminant mass reduction technology, chemicals will be securely and separately stored away from 						N/A		



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	sources of ignition or oxidisable items. Handling will be undertaken by personnel with appropriate training and Personal Protective Equipment • Vehicles containing any excavated materials should be suitably covered to limit potential dust emissions or contaminated wastewater run-off, and truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to prevent any discharge during transport or during wet conditions; • Speed control for the trucks carrying coVehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the site's exit points should be established and used; and contaminated materials should be enforced; • Pollution control measures for air emissions e.g. from biopile blower, noise emissions e.g. from blower, and water discharges e.g. runoff control should be implemented and						N/A N/A N/A
\$10.36 of Ref 2	complied with relevant regulations and guidelines. The Occupation Safety and Health Ordinance (OSHO) (Chapter 509) and its subsidiary Regulations should be followed by all site personnel working on the site at all times. In addition, the following basic health and safety measures should be implemented as far as possible: Set up a list of safety measures for site workers. Provide written information and training on safety for site workers. Keep a log-book and plan showing the contaminated zones and clean zones. Maintain a hygienic working environment. Avoid dust generation. Provide face and respiratory protection gear to site workers. Provide personal protective clothing (e.g. chemical resistant jackboot, liquid tight gloves) to site workers. Provide first aid training and materials to site workers.	To minimise the potentially adverse effects on health and safety of construction workers during the course of site remediation.	Contractor	All construction sites	Site remediation and prior to construction phase	"Guidance Note for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation" "Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management "Occupation Safety and Health Ordinance (Chapter 509)"	N/A
EM&A Project \$14.2 – 14.4 of Ref. 1; \$13.2 – 13.4 of Ref. 3 1.	 An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per this EM&A Manual. Prepare a systematic EMP to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures. An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in this 	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	EIAO Guidance Note Ref4/2010 EIAO-TM	۸



EIA Ref.	Recommended mitigation measures for Works Contract 1112	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for measures to achieve?	Status
	EM&A Manual are fully complied with.						

Remark for Status:

- ^ Compliance of mitigation measure
- + Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor N/A Not Applicable

- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor
- # Recommendation was made during site audit and improvement/rectification not yet completed by the contractor

Notes:

Ref. 1 – EIA Report for SCL (TAW-HUH) Ref. 2 – EIA Report for SCL (MKK-HUH) Ref. 3 – EIA Report for SCL (HHS)

This EMIS contains only those requirements that are relevant to Works Contract 1112 in terms of:

- EM&A required under Works Contract 1112
- Who to implement the measures the Contractor (Leighton)
- The location of the measures within and in the vicinity of the Works Contract 1112 Site Boundary
- When to implement the measures during the design and construction



APPENDIX I

Event and Action Plan



Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level				
Non-conformity on one occasion	 Inform the contractor, the IEC and the ER Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 	 Check inspection report Check the contractor's working method Discuss with the ET, ER and the contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the contractor Supervise implementation of remedial measures 	 Identify source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non- conformity	 Identify source Inform the contractor, the IEC and the ER Increase inspection frequency Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring 	1. Check inspection report 2. Check the contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures	 Notify the contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Identify source and investigate the non-conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the non-conformity is abated.



Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor				
Action level	Action level							
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the Contractor, IEC and ER on the remedial measures required Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check Contractor's working method Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing	 Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate 				
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required Repeat measurements to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check Contractor's working method Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor Supervise Implementation of remedial measures 	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal as appropriate 				



Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, EPD, Contractor and ER Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor on the remedial measures and assess the effectiveness. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification Implement agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, Contractor & EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken Review the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with ET, ER, and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise the implementation of remedial measures If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor on the remedial measures and assess the effectiveness. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the investigation results submitted by Contractor. Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. 	1. Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET 3. Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measure. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification Implement noise mitigation proposal.
Limit Level	 Notify IEC, Contractor & EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check the Contractor's working method Discuss with ET, ER, and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise the implementation of remedial measures If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Note:

ET – Environmental Team, IEC – Independent Environmental Checker, ER – Engineer's Representative

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX J

Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations




Air Quality Monitoring Results for AM2

	Wt. of paper (g)				Elapse Time		Flow Rate (CFM)			Total	TSP			
Sampling Date	Paper No.	Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Wt. of dust	Initial	Final	Sampling Hour	Initial	Final	Avg Flow Rate	Volume (m³)	Concentration (µg/m3)	Weather	Remark
05/01/17	CC235	2.8547	2.9326	0.0779	15177.30	15201.30	24.00	40	40	40.0	1631.05	47.7606	Sunny	-
11/01/17	CC230	2.8587	2.9326	0.0739	15201.30	15225.30	24.00	40	40	40.0	1631.05	45.3082	Sunny	-
17/01/17	CC231	2.8743	2.9540	0.0797	15225.30	15249.30	24.00	40	40	40.0	1631.05	48.8642	Sunny	-
23/01/17	CC234	2.8545	2.9290	0.0745	15249.30	15273.30	24.00	40	40	40.0	1631.05	45.6761	Sunny	-
27/01/17	CC232	2.8568	2.9355	0.0787	15273.30	15297.30	24.00	40	40	40.0	1631.05	48.2511	Sunny	-



Construction Dust Monitoring Results for AM2 (Harbourfront Horizon)



Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX K

Waste Flow Table



								Waste	Flow Table							
		A	ctual Quar	ntities of Ir	nert C&D N	laterials Gen	erated Mor	nthly		Act	ual Quantities	of non-iner	t C&D Was	stes Gener	ated Moi	nthly
		Gene	erated				Disposed				Recyc	led			Dispose	d
Month	Imported from SCL1111	Imported from SCL1121	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fills at HH Barging Point	Disposed as Public Fills at TKO137	Disposed as Public Fills at TM38	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Asphalt	Plastics	Chemical		General Refuse
Unit	iit				(in '000m³)					(in '00	OKg)		(in '000Kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000Kg)	
Jun-13	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	137.3	0	0	0	0	-	6.55
Jul-13	0	-	0.36	0	0	0	0	0	0.36	365.34	0	0	0	0	-	16.87
Aug-13	0	-	1.68	0	0	0	0.05	0	1.63	69.98	0.25	0	0	0	-	12.67
Sep-13	0	-	3.39	0	0	0	0.20	0	3.19	131.18	0.22	0	0.46	0	-	16.25
Oct-13	0	-	4.04	0	0	0	0.78	0	3.26	179.97	0.63	8.28	2.04	0	-	39.87
Nov-13	0	-	6.09	0	0	0	2.09	0.18	3.82	125.70	0.45	160.35	0	0	-	28.69
Dec-13	0	-	5.69	0	0	0	1.74	0.01	3.94	72.15	0.39	4.13	0	0	-	18.04
Jan-14	0	-	4.58	0	0	0	0	0.27	4.31	117.57	0.26	147.67	0.26	0	-	30.09
Feb-14	0	-	3.80	0	0	0.14 [Note1]	0	0.19	3.46	28.32	0.29	414.67	0	0	-	15.73
Mar-14	0	-	10.10	0	0	6.18 ^[Note2]	0	0.29	3.63	96.26	0.25	0	0	0	-	47.76
Apr-14	0	-	6.67	0	0	4.82 ^[Note3]	0	0.0053	1.85	75.43	0.23	1,322.39	0	0.2	-	78.63
May-14	0.52	-	5.77	0	0.43	2.00 ^[Note4]	0	0.12	3.65	48.86	0.28	501.45	0	0	-	66.03
Jun-14	0.47	-	4.56	0	0	1.73 ^[Note5]	0	0.29	2.54	42.95	0.25	0	0	0.4	-	45.97
Jul-14	0.34	-	8.61	0	0	2.89 ^[Note6]	0	0.87	4.84	70.99	0	0	0	0	-	40.50
Aug-14	0.20	-	8.57	0	0	3.56 ^[Note7]	0	0.44	4.57	227.86	0	0	0	0	-	76.93
Sep-14	0.23	-	11.11	0	0	5.82 ^[Note8]	0	0.23	5.06	220.85	0.29	0	0	0	-	43.01
Oct-14	0.54	-	12.79	0	0	6.04 ^[Note9]	0	0.06	6.69	174.82	0.71	329.16	0	0	-	97.92
Nov-14	0.93	-	10.63	0	0	3.78 ^[Note10]	0	0.15	6.70	163.72	0.56	376.40	0	0	-	81.91
Dec-14	3.72	-	8.59	0	0	2.97 ^[Note11]	0	0	5.62	385.80	0.53	166.98	0	5.4	-	130.83
Jan-15	3.72	-	19.29	0	0	10.03 [Note12]	0	0	9.26	543.40	0.80	179.01	0	0	1.60	318.66



								Waste	Flow Table							
		A	ctual Quar	ntities of Ir	nert C&D N	laterials Gen	erated Mor	nthly		Act	ual Quantities	of non-iner	t C&D Was	stes Gener	ated Mor	nthly
		Gene	erated				Disposed				Recyc	cled			Disposed	d
Month	Imported from SCL1111	Imported from SCL1121	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fills at HH Barging Point	Disposed as Public Fills at TKO137	Disposed as Public Fills at TM38	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Asphalt	Plastics	Chemica	l Waste	General Refuse
Unit					(in '000						(in '00	OKg)		(in '000Kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000Kg)
Feb-15	3.03	-	13.96	0	0	8.41 [Note13]	0	0	5.54	263.10	0.46	168.82	0	0	0	180.27
Mar-15	5.68	-	22.28	0	0	12.45 ^[Note14]	0	0	9.82	346.70	0.61	11.45	0	0	0	429.13
Apr-15	4.71	-	18.51	0	0	11.25 ^[Note15]	0	0.23	7.26	275.99	0.32	0	0	0	0	376.98
May-15	4.62	-	20.64	0	0	11.53 ^[Note16]	0	0	9.10	353.88	0.67	0	0	0	0	266.43
Jun-15	5.04	-	13.49	0	0	6.29 [Note17]	0	0	7.20	317.14	0.43	0	0	0.20	1.00	258.01
Jul-15	6.21	0.09	21.64	0	0	16.15 ^[Note18]	0	0	5.50	706.38	0.69	0	0	0	0	270.73
Aug-15	0.40	0	26.43	0	0	19.29 ^[Note19]	0	0	7.14	45.53	0.57	0	0	0	0	261.04
Sep-15	-	-	20.91	0	0	13.16 ^[Note20]	0	0	7.75	317.36	0.58	0	0	0.45	0	240.74
Oct-15	-	-	26.22	0	0	14.19 ^[Note21]	0	0	12.03	251.95	0.48	0	0	0	0	422.80
Nov-15	-	-	18.66	0	0	7.03 ^[Note22]	0	0	11.64	446.80	0.53	0	0	0	0	283.46
Dec-15	-	-	17.02	0	0	9.81 ^[Note23]	0	0	7.21	198.11	0.50	0	0	0	0	355.24
Jan-16	-	-	24.58	0	0	13.22 ^[Note24]	0	0	11.37	273.64	0.62	0	0	0	0	347.67
Feb-16	-	-	9.34	0	0	4.31 ^[Note25]	0	0	5.04	269.58	0.46	0	0	0	0	251.30
Mar-16	-	-	9.75	0	0	3.48 ^[Note26]	0	0	6.27	750.85	0	0	0	0	0	288.35
Apr-16	-	-	12.83	0	0	5.68 ^[Note27]	0	0	7.15	549.43	0.65	0	0	0.09	1.30	282.05
May-16	-	-	7.22	0	0	2.08 ^[Note28]	0	0	5.14	356.66	0.55	0	0	0	0	318.75
Jun-16	-	-	2.83	0	0	2.38 ^[Note29]	0	0	0.45	228.10	0.40	0	0	0	4.21	410.03
Jul-16	-	-	8.67	0	0	8.50 ^[Note30]	0	0.01	0.16	172.90	0.16	0	0	0	0	418.44
Aug-16	-	-	2.08	0	0	1.95 ^[Note31]	0	0	0.12	334.40	0.30	0	0	0	0	542.00
Sep-16	-	-	1.44	0	0	1.44 ^[Note32]	0	0	0	47.10	0.37	0	0	0	0	542.44



								Waste	Flow Table	!						
	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly										Actual Quantities of non-inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Generated Disposed								Recyc	led			Dispose	d		
Month	Imported from SCL1111	Imported from SCL1121	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fills at HH Barging Point	Disposed as Public Fills at TKO137	Disposed as Public Fills at TM38	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Asphalt	Plastics	Chemical	l Waste	General Refuse
Unit					(in '000	m³)					(in '00	OKg)		(in '000Kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000Kg)
Oct-16	-	-	3.00	0	0	3.00 ^[Note33]	0	0	0	99.79	0.44	0	0	0	0	633.27
Nov-16	-	-	1.29	0	0	1.29 ^[Note34]	0	0	0	29.71	0.45	0	0	0	0	866.16
Dec-16	-	-	1.10	0	0	1.10 ^[Note35]	0	0	0	45.80	0.48	0	0	0	0	978.39
Jan-17	-	-	2.19	0.00	0.00	2.19 ^[Note36]	0	0	0	26.10	0.25	0	0	0	0	730.48
TOTAL	40.35	0.09	443.39	0.00	0.42	230.11	4.86	3.36	205.28	9659.24	18.51	3790.76	2.76	6.74	8.11	11177.71

Note:

- 1. 137 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in South Island Line (SIL) Project Contract 904.
- 2. 267 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904; 3,998 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Wan Chai Development Phase II Central Wan Chai Bypass at Wan Chai West Project Contract HK/2012/08; and 1,912 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Tuen Mun Chek Lap Kok Link (TM-CLKL) and Tuen Mun Western Bypass (TMWB) Project Contract HY/2012/08.
- 3. 1,728 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Wan Chai Development Phase II Central Wan Chai Bypass at Wan Chai West Project Contract HK/2012/08; and 3,088 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and TMWB Project Contract HY/2012/08.
- 4. 184 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in South Island Line (SIL) Project Contract 904; and 1814 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and TMWB Project Contract HY/2012/08.
- 5. 1,021 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Wan Chai Development Phase II Central Wan Chai Bypass at Wan Chai West Project Contract HK/2012/08; and 707 m3 of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and TMWB Project Contract HY/2012/08.
- 6. 2,894 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and TMWB Project Contract HY/2012/08.
- 7. 575.5m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Wan Chai Development Phase II Central Wan Chai Bypass at Wan Chai West Project Contract HK/2012/08; and 2907.6 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and TMWB Project Contract HY/2012/08; and 76.0 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in Wan Chai Development Phase II Central Wan Chai Bypass at Wan Chai West Project Contract HK/2009/08.
- 8. 4,905.4 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and 912.3 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904.
- 9. 5,522.9 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL and 515.9 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904.
- 10. 3,774.6 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL.



- 11. 2,968.9 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in TM-CLKL (HY/2012/08).
- 12. 9,988.1 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA) and 46.34 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904.
- 13. 8,212.8 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA) and 200.9 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904.
- 14. 11,757 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA), 23.41 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SIL Project Contract 904 and 672.78 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in XRL822.
- 15. 10,633 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA) and 0.61176 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in XRL822.
- 16. 11,533 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA).
- 17. 6,290 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA).
- 18. 16,145 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA).
- 19. 878 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in WENT (SITA) and 18,415 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 20. 13,163 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 21. 14,189 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 22. 7.030 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 23. 9,811 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 24. 13,218 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 25. 4,306 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 26. 3,478 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 27. 5,680 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 28. 2,080 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 29. 2,380 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 30. 8,500 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 31. 1,950 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 32. 1,440 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 33. 3,004 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 34. 1,290 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 35. 1,100 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.
- 36. 2,190 m³ of the Inert C&D materials were reused in SCL1121.



	Marine Sediment Flow Table									
			Actual Quantities	of Marine Dumping Monthly						
		Type 1			Type 2					
Month	Generated from SCL1111 [Note1]	Generated from SCL1112 [Note3]	Disposed	Generated from SCL1111 [Note2]	Generated from SCL1112 [Note4]	Disposed				
Unit		(in '000m³)			(in '000m³)					
Jan-15	0	0	0	2.22	0.06	2.28				
Feb-15	1.29	0	0.82	0	0	0				
Mar-15	2.43	0	2.48	0	0	0				
Apr-15	3.97	0.14	5.27	0	0	0				
May-15	8.26	0.09	8.35	0	0	0				
Jun-15	9.71	0.12	9.83	0	0	0				
Jul-15	5.29	0	5.18	0	0	0				
Aug-15	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sep-15	-	0	0	-	1.94	1.94				
Oct-15	-	0.53	0.53	-	0	0				
Nov-15	-	5.67	5.67	0	2.32	2.32				
Dec-15	-	14.44	-	-	1.02	-				
Jan-16	-	16.59	-	-	0.02	-				
Feb-16	-	1.25	-	-	4.04	-				
Mar-16	-	3.85	-	-	2.30	-				
Apr-16	-	0	-	-	0.36	-				
May-16	-	0	-	-	4.06	-				
Jun-16	-	0	-	-	6.45	-				
Jul-16	-	0	-	-	0	-				
Aug-16	-	0	-	-	0	-				
Sep-16	-	0	-	-	0	-				
Oct-16	-	0	-	-	0	-				



	Marine Sediment Flow Table										
			Actual Quantities of	Marine Dumping Monthly							
	Type 1 Type 2										
Month	Generated from SCL1111 [Note1]	Generated from SCL1112 [Note3]	Disposed	Generated from SCL1111 [Note2]	Disposed						
Unit		(in '000m³)		(in '000m³)							
Nov-16	-	0	-	-	0	-					
Dec-16	-	0	-	-	0	-					
Jan-17	-	0	-	-	0	-					
TOTAL	31.69	42.67	38.11	2.22	22.57	6.54					

Note:

- 1. Type 1 Marine Sediment generated from SCL1111 was delivered to the Barging Point at SCL1121 for disposal.
- 2. Type 2 Marine Sediment generated from SCL1111 was delivered to the Barging Point at SCL1121 for disposal.
- 3. Type 1 Marine Sediment generated from SCL1112 was delivered to the Barging Point at SCL1121 for disposal.
- 4. Type 2 Marine Sediment generated from SCL1112 was delivered to the Barging Point at SCL1121 for disposal.

Shatin to Central Link – Contract 1112 Hung Hom Station and Stabling Sidings 44th Monthly EM&A Report for January 2017



APPENDIX L

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions



Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

	Date Received	Reference No.	Subject	Location of Concern	Status
Environmental Complaints	8 April 2016	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00008018 -16	Air nuisance, other than dark smoke, from construction machine	Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	 ET conducted inspection to examine the environmental performance of the site on 14 April 2016. Both the site and machineries were in normal operation during the site inspection. No air nuisance or smell of diesel exhaust was noticed at the concourse by any of the attending personnel. No diesel powered equipment was found at the concourse, as all of the powered mechanical equipment was powered by electricity. It is confirmed that the fresh air intake location of the air conditioning system serving the concourse level is located above the podium at the southern façade of the concourse, away from the construction work under the podium. It is also confirmed that the sealed system is totally separated from the construction site under the podium. No air from the construction area under the podium will be drawn into the air conditioning system for distribution within the station. The source of strong diesel exhaust smell at the concourse, as mentioned by the complainant, could not be identified. Investigation report submitted to EPD on 26 April 2016.
	11 April 2016	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00008149 -16	Complaint of other air nuisance at Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	 Complaint confirmed to be irrelevant to the construction works of the Project, no follow up required.



	Date Received	Reference No.	Subject	Location of Concern	Status
Environmental Complaints	24 March 2016	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00006851 -16	"General construction noise except renovation (within Restricted Hours) from Hung Hom Station , Tsim Sha Tsui"	Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	 The Contractor confirmed that only mobilization, i.e. transportation of the equipment itself, of the scissor lift platforms were carried out during night time. During scissor lift platforms mobilization, safety warning signal (the "beeping" noise) would be emitted. The audible warning signal device cannot be switched off so as to alert nearby workers of the movement of the equipment. Silencing the device could induce safety concern and not advisable. At night time of 22 and 23 March 2015, a forklift was deployed for the transportation of concrete blocks to be used as the footings for hoarding construction outside the concourse area (Photo 2). Backward movement of the forklift would also generate safety warning signal. There is another valid CNP (CNP No. GW-RE0176-16) for construction works to be carried out inside the concourse during night time. However, this is not applicable to the works of concern, located outside the concourse area. Whereas CNP No. GW-RE0207-16, effective from 10 March 2016 to 28 April 2016, allows mobilization of scissor lift platforms and use of forklift for transportation of construction material outside the MTR Hung Hom Station. Investigation report submitted to EPD on 20 April 2016.



	Date Received	Reference No.	Subject	Location of Concern	Status
Environmental Complaints	28 September 2015	Public comment received by EPD, K01/RE/00024658 -15	Complaint of general construction noise except renovation (within Restricted Hours) from construction site at Hung Hom	Harbour Plaza Metropolis, Tsim Sha Tsui	 A valid construction noise permit (CNP) (CNP no. GW-RN0969-15) was granted for such works from 25 September 2015 to 24 March 2016. Noise mitigation measures were implemented at the site. Due to the limited construction works being carried out during the evening period and most of the active construction works being carried out under the podium which had no direct line of sight from the nearest sensitive receiver, Harbour Plaza Metropolis, construction noise nuisance from Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Contract 1112 should not be anticipated. Investigation report submitted to EPD on 3 November 2015.
	10 March 2015	Public comment received by EPD, K01/RE/00005632 -15	Complaint of malodour from Hung Hom Station (near Exit B1)	Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	 ET conducted inspection to examine the environmental performance of the site on 12 Mar 2015 No odour was noticed by all attending parties. It was observed that excavation, predrilling, welding, box culvert construction and installation of TAM grout pipeworks were carried out at the NAT works area, located to the west and east of the footbridge The source of malodour could not be identified A barrier was erected on the eastern side of footbridge, with the barrier already in place on the western side of the footbridge since November 2014, so now both sides of the footbridge contain barriers to shield off any dust or odour from the site No noticeable malodour was observed and the air quality control was found to be satisfactory according to conversation between EPD and the Contractor Investigation Report submitted to EPD on 26 Mar



	Date Received	Reference No.	Subject	Location of Concern	Status
					2015
Environmental Complaints	7 Feb 2015	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00003309 -15	Complaint of construction dust from the construction site at MTR Hung Hom Building, 8-8 Cheong Wan Road, Tsim Sha Tsui	MTR Hung Hom Station Building, 8-8 Cheong Wan Road	 ET conducted inspection to examine the environmental performance of the site on 10 Feb 2015 No demolition works carried out inside Hung Hom Station and Freight Operation Building during the complaint period Watering and dust screen (site enclosed with bamboo scaffold and tarpaulin sheet) were provided for the demolition work at International Mail Centre Renovation works on-going inside the Hung Hom Station with dust mitigation measures implemented A joint inspection was then conducted by the Contractor and EPD on 13 Feb 2015 and no adverse comment was provided by EPD Investigation Report submitted to EPD on 23 Feb 2015
	11 Nov 2014	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00028087 -14	Complaint of welding smell and air nuisance other than dark smoke, from construction machine from Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	At footbridge between Hung Hom Station and Hung Hom Region, near Royal Peninsula	 Barrier was erected on the side of footbridge facing the construction site ET conducted followed-up inspection of the implemented mitigation measures on 20 Nov 2014 and air quality control was found to be satisfactory Investigation Report submitted to EPD on 3 Dec 2014
	11 Nov 2014	Public comment received by EPD, EPD's Ref. No. K01/RE/00028181 -14	Complaint of construction dust from Hung Hom Station, Tsim Sha Tsui	At footbridge between Hung Hom Station and Hung Hom Region, near Royal Peninsula	 Barrier was erected on the side of footbridge facing the construction site ET conducted followed-up inspection of the implemented mitigation measures on 20 Nov 2014 and air quality control was found to be satisfactory Investigation Report submitted to EPD on 3 Dec 2014
Notification of Summons	3 Oct 2016	Summon received by Mr. MAK	On 1 April 2016, Mr. MAK Wong-Chuen operated a	Entrance C2 of Hung Hom Station	 The hearing took place on 3 Nov 2016 at Kwun Tong Magistrates' Courts.



	Date Received	Reference No.	Subject	Location of Concern	Status
		Wong-Chuen, Case No.: KTS16747/2016	hand-held electric breaker at around 0053hr outside the Concourse, in violation of Section 6 (1) (a) and 6 (5) of the Noise Control Ordinance (Cap. 400). Mr. Mak Wong-Chuen was employed by Palgo Company Limited, which is a sub-contractor for SCL Contract 1112's main contractor, Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited.		Remarks: The summon was only sent to the individual. Neither Palgo Company Limited nor Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited received the summons.
Successful Prosecution	3 Nov 2016	Summon received by Mr. MAK Wong-Chuen, Case No.: KTS16747/2016	On 1 April 2016, Mr. MAK Wong-Chuen operated a hand-held electric breaker at around 0053hr outside the Concourse, in violation of Section 6 (1) (a) and 6 (5) of the Noise Control Ordinance (Cap. 400). Mr. Mak Wong-Chuen was employed by Palgo Company Limited, which is a sub-contractor for SCL Contract 1112's main contractor, Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited.	Entrance C2 of Hung Hom Station	 The hearing took place on 3 Nov 2016 at Kwun Tong Magistrates' Courts. The worker pleaded guilty and paid a HKD 15,000 fine. After the incident, Leighton has reviewed their internal procedures/ working methods to identify the cause of non-compliance and potential improvements. Upon review, Leighton's current system is found to be adequate to ensure proper implementation of their construction work undertaken at night and they will continue to implement the environmental management systems with the objective of ensuring environmental compliance.

Appendix G

44th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1108 – Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 44

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1108 – Kai Tak Station and Associated

Tunnels

(February 2017)

Certified by:	Goldie Fung
Position:	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	10 February 2017

Kaden - Chun Wo Joint Venture (KCJV)

Shatin to Central Link -

Contract 1108

Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing Report for January 2017

The Contents of this report have been certified by:

Ms. Goldie Fung

(Environmental Team Leader)

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Centre,

20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Tel: 2556 9172 Fax: 2856 2010

TABLE OF CONTENT

Ex	ecutive	e Summary	3
1	Intr	oduction	5
	1.1	Purpose of the Report	5
	1.2	Structure of the Report	5
2	Pro	ject Information	7
	2.1	Background	7
	2.2	General Site Description	7
	2.3	Construction Programme and Activities	7
	2.4	Project Organization	8
	2.5	Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	8
	2.6	Summary of EM&A Requirements	8
3	Env	ironmental Monitoring Requirements	9
	3.1	Culture Heritage	9
	3.2	Landscape and Visual	9
4	Imp	lementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements	10
5	Moi	nitoring Results	11
	5.1	Cultural Heritage	11
	5.2	Landscape and Visual	11
	5.3	Waste Management	11
6	Env	ironmental Site Inspection	12
	6.1	Site Audit	12
	6.2	Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	12
7	Env	ironmental Non-Conformance	14
	7.1	Summary of Environmental Exceedances	14
	7.2	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	
	7.3	Summary of Environmental Complaint	14
	7.4	Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution	14
8	Fut	ure Key Issues	
9	Con	clusions and Recommendations	16
	9.1	Conclusions	16
	9.2	Recommendations	16

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Site Location Plan

Appendix B: Construction Programme

Appendix C: Project Organization Chart & Contact Details

Appendix D: Buffer Zone for Lung Tsun Stone Bridge & Former Kowloon City Pier

Appendix E: Event/Action Plan for landscape & Visual During Construction Stage

Appendix F: Waste Flow Table

Appendix G: Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Appendix H: Cumulative Log for Environmental Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1: Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits
- Table 4.1: Status of Required Submissions under EP
- Table 5.1: Quantities of Waste Disposed from the Project
- Table 6.1: Summary Results of Site Inspections Findings

Executive Summary

This is the forty fourth Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1108 – Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels. The project commenced on 17th June 2013. This report documents the finding of EM&A Works conducted from 1st January 2017 to 31st January 2017.

Summary of the Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month

The major site activities in this reporting period were including:

- Open cut tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, materials clearance at UT stitch joint in progress.
- Cut and cover tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, access shaft pre-cast concrete slab construction in progress.
- Station: Drainage work at all area, leveling to F.F.L. in Area 3, installation of roof cladding at Entrance A, B & D, installation of 5W at all area, rectification for plumbing works, installation of glazed wall at Entrance A. B & D, modification works of existing manhole SM04 and application of cementitious fire-proofing at steel roof of Entrance A.

Variation in Construction Method

Based on recent engineering information and having considered the high construction risk for tunnel excavation, the tunnel with mining method is required to be shortened and the associated at-grade construction works within the buffer zone above the Former Kowloon City Pier (FKCP) is therefore proposed to minimize the potential impact on FKCP. The application for variation of an Environmental Permit with Environmental Review Report has been submitted to EPD on 19th March 2014 and the amended Environmental Permit (EP-438/2012/E) was issued to MTRC on 4th April 2014.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress

Culture Heritage

Inspection of the Former Kowloon City Pier was conducted during the weekly environmental site inspection. Since the construction of the mined tunnel was completed, the works area near FKCP lies within the Project was handed-over to Land Department on 6th January 2017. Details of the inspection findings are presented in Section 6.

Landscape and Visual

The implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was inspected during the weekly environmental site inspection. Most of the necessary mitigation measures have been implemented. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

Waste Management

According to Contractor's waste flow data, a total of 31306m³ of inert C&D materials were generated and received from other construction site, which 0m³ were disposed to the receiving facility of Contract 1108A and 31306m³ were reused in the contract. 263m³ of general refuse were generated and disposed at landfill site. 64kg of paper and 1kg of plastic, 0kg of metal and 0kg of chemical waste were sent to recyclers for recycling.

Environmental Site Inspection

Joint weekly inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and ET on 3rd, 10th, 17th and 24th January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 17th January 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 6.

<u>Environmental Exceedance / Non-conformance / Complaint / Summons and Successful Prosecution</u>

No breaches of Action and Limits levels, non-compliance event, environmental complaint and notification of summons and successful prosecution against the Project were received in this reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major construction works to be undertaken in the next reporting month include:

- Open cut tunnel: DT&UT general cleaning and defect rectification, DT stitch joint materials clearance.
- Cut and cover tunnel: Tunnel tracks defect rectification, access shaft pre-cast concrete slab construction.
- Station: Drainage works at all area, installation of roof cladding and reflected ceiling at Entrance A, B & D, installation of 5W at all area, modification of existing manhole SM04 at adit A, leveling to F.F.L in Area 3, redification of

Monthly EM&A Report - January 2017

plumbing works, installation of glazed wall at Entrance A & B, completion of glazed wall at Entrance D.

1 Introduction

The Environmental Team (ET), Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited (EPSL), was appointed by Kaden – Chun Wo Joint Venture (KCJV) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1108 – Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels (the Project). The project commenced on 17th June 2013.

1.1 Purpose of the Report

This is the forty fourth monthly EM&A Report which summarises the audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1st January 2017 to 31st January 2017.

1.2 Structure of the Report

The structure of the report is as follow:

Section 1: Introduction – details the scope and structure of the report.

Section 2: Project Information – summarises background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.

Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement – summarises the monitoring requirements and environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report and relevant environmental requirements.

Section 4: Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures – summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.

Section 5: Monitoring Results – summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.

Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection – summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.

Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance – summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.

Section 8: Future Key Issues – summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three months.

Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

2 Project Information

2.1 Background

The Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an approximately 11 km long extension of the Ma On Shan Line and links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic East-West rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO).

The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS) have been divided into a series of civil construction works contracts. This Works Contract 1108 covers the construction of Kai Tak Station (KAT) and the section of tunnel between KAT and Sung Wong Toi Station (SUW) plus a short section of tunnel from KAT towards Diamond Hill Station (DIH). This construction contract was awarded to Kaden – Chun Wo Joint Venture (KCJV) in April 2013.

2.2 General Site Description

The works area includes work sites in the Kai Tak New Development Area. The construction of tunnel will employ cut & cover method. The alignment and works area for the Project is shown in **Appendix A**.

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows. The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix B**.

- Open cut tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, materials clearance at UT stitch joint in progress.
- Cut and cover tunnel: DT and UT general cleaning and defect rectification, access shaft pre-cast concrete slab construction in progress.
- Station: Drainage work at all area, leveling to F.F.L. in Area 3, installation of roof cladding at Entrance A, B & D, installation of 5W at all area, rectification for plumbing works, installation of glazed wall at Entrance A. B & D, modification works of existing manhole SM04 and application of cementitious fire-proofing at steel roof of Entrance A.

2.4 Project Organization

The project organization chart and contact details are shown in **Appendix C.**

2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

D 4/11 N	Valid Period		G4 4					
Permit / License No.	From	То	Status	Remark				
Environmental Permit (EP)								
EP-438/2012/K	04/10/2016	N/A	Valid	/				
Notification pursuant to Air P	Pollution Contr	ol (Constructio	on Dust) Regulat	ion				
Ref. Number 359540	16/05/2013	N/A	Valid	/				
Construction Noise Permit for	r the Carrying	Out of Percuss	ive Piling					
N/A								
Construction Noise Permit for	r General Wor	ks						
GW-RE0710-16	12/07/2016	11/01/2017	Valid	/				
Effluent Discharge License								
WT00025980-2016	14/11/2016	31/08/2018	Valid	/				
Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation								
Billing Account No. 7017544	07/06/2013	N/A	Valid	/				
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer								
WPN 5213-286-K3069-01	09/07/2013	N/A	Valid	/				

2.6 Summary of EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme under Works Contract 1108 require regular environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:

- Weekly inspection for Cultural Heritage;
- Weekly inspection for Landscape and Visual;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.

3 Environmental Monitoring Requirements

3.1 Culture Heritage

In accordance with the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual, a buffer zone shall be maintained between both Lung Tsun Stone Bridge and Former Kowloon City Pier and SCL (TAW-HUH) works sites during the tunneling work. For Lung Tsun Stone Bridge, a horizontal distance of 25m between the bridge and the buffer boundary shall be maintained. For Former Kowloon City Pier, a vertical buffer distance of 1.8 - 2.2m from the top of the tunnel shall be maintained. The layout of the buffer zone was attached in **Appendix D**. No at-grade construction activities shall be allowed within the buffer zone. Audit shall be conducted on a weekly basis throughout the construction period for the mined tunnel section under Former Kowloon City Pier

3.2 Landscape and Visual

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted every week throughout the construction period. The implementation status is given in **Appendix G**.

The event/action plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Stage is attached in **Appendix E**.

4 Implementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures of the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix G**. Status of required submissions under the Environmental Permit (EP) as of the reporting period is presented in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP

Submission	Submission Date		
Forty Third Monthly	13 th January 2017		

5 Monitoring Results

5.1 Cultural Heritage

Inspection of the Former Kowloon City Pier was conducted during the weekly environmental site inspection. Since the construction of the mined tunnel was completed, the works area near FKCP lies within the Project was handed-over to Land Department on 6th January 2017. Details of the inspection findings are presented in Section 6.

5.2 Landscape and Visual

Inspections of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on weekly basis. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in Table 6.1.

5.3 Waste Management

With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in Table 5.1. Inert C&D materials were disposed to the receiving facility of Contract 1108A or reused in the Contract. General refuse was disposed to designated landfill site. Plastics, paper and metal were sent to recycler for recycling. Chemical waste generated was collected by licensed collector. Detail of waste management data is presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 5.1 Quantities of Waste Disposed from the Project

	Quantity						
Reporting	C&D	C&D Materials (non-inert) (b)					
Month	Materials	General	Chemical	Recycled materials			
	(inert) (a)	Refuse	Waste	Paper/cardboard	Plastics	Metals	
January 2017	0 m^3	263 m ³	0 kg	64 kg	1 kg	0 kg	

Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil.
- (b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse and vegetative wastes. Steel metal generated from the Project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.

According to the approved Sediment Management Plan, a portion of the excavated marine sediment, which is classified as uncontaminated Type 1 sediment and suitable for Open Sea Disposal, should be reused on site for backfilling material. The uncontaminated sediment is mixed with cement and general materials to Cement Stabilized Marine Mud (CSMM). There are total 125.12m³ of CSMM were cumulatively backfilled.

During this reporting period, no CSMM backfilling work was conducted.

6 Environmental Site Inspection

6.1 Site Audit

Site audit was carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.

Joint weekly inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and ET on 3rd, 10th, 17th and 24th January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 17th January 2017. The details of observations during site audit can refer to Table 6.1.

6.2 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. Updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix G**.

During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations, reminders and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Parameters	Date	Findings	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
Noise	3 Jan 17	preventive measures for boulder breaking works	Contractor was reminded to wrap the breaker tip with sound absorptive materials for noise reduction when carrying out boulder breaking works.	contractor at Area 1.	10 Jan 17	/
Air	20 & 28 Dec 16	Parts of haul road were observed dry and dusty at Area 3 and Area 1.	enhance the watering	frequencies on the haul		/
	10 Jan 17	mitigation measures for the cement mixing work	Contractor was advised to enclose the cement mixing area with 3-sides shelter and top properly for prevention of dust emission.	removed at Area 1.	17 Jan 17	/
	10 Jan 17	implement water spraying on the haul road, some	Contractor was reminded to implement water spraying on the exposed area outside the haul road to prevent dust generation.	frequencies on the exposed area for dust		/
	17 & 24 Jan 17	Exposed stockpile was observed at Area 3.	Contractor was advised to cover it with tarpaulin sheet for dust suppression.	-	N/A	/
	24 Jan 17	Parts of haul road were observed dry and dusty at Area 1.	Contractor was reminded to	Follow-up action will be inspected during next	N/A	/
Water	17 & 24 Jan 17	Oil stain was observed at Area 1.	Contractor was advised to collect the contaminated soil and treat properly.	-	N/A	/
Waste / Chemical Management	izanecin	waste was observed at	Contractor was advised to dispose the construction waste regularly and maintain good housekeeping and environmental performance.	inspected during next reporting month.	N/A	/
Cultural Heritage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	/
Landscape and Visual	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	/
Permits/ Licenses	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	/

7 Environmental Non-Conformance

7.1 Summary of Environmental Exceedances

No breaches of Action and Limit levels were recorded in the reporting month.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaint

No environmental project-related complaint was received in the reporting month.

7.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

No successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting period.

The cumulative log for environmental exceedance, non-compliance, complaint, summons and successful prosecution since the commencement of the Project is presented in Appendix H.

8 Future Key Issues

The major construction activities in the coming month will include:

- Open cut tunnel: DT&UT general cleaning and defect rectification, DT stitch joint materials clearance.
- Cut and cover tunnel: Tunnel tracks defect rectification, access shaft pre-cast concrete slab construction.
- Station: Drainage works at all area, installation of roof cladding and reflected ceiling at Entrance A, B & D, installation of 5W at all area, modification of existing manhole SM04 at adit A, leveling to F.F.L in Area 3, redification of plumbing works, installation of glazed wall at Entrance A & B, completion of glazed wall at Entrance D.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management. The Contractor has been reminded to properly implement dust, construction noise and water quality control measures as well as proper waste management in order to minimize the potential environmental impacts due to the construction works of the Project.

9 Conclusions and Recommendations

9.1 Conclusions

This is the forty fourth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works undertaken during 1st January 2017 to 31st January 2017 in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP-438/2012/K.

4 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in this reporting month. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.

No exceedances, non-compliance event, complaint and summons/prosecution were received during the reporting period.

The ET will keep tracking of the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all the necessary mitigation measures.

9.2 Recommendations

According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Noise Impact

• Wrap the breaker tip with sound absorptive materials for noise reduction when carrying out boulder breaking works.

Air Quality Impact

- Enclose the cement mixing area with 3-sides shelter and top properly for prevention of dust emission.
- Implement water spraying on the exposed area outside the haul road to prevent dust generation.
- Cover the exposed stockpile with tarpaulin sheet for dust suppression.
- Enhance the watering frequencies on the haul road to prevent dust emission.

Water Quality Impact

• Collect the contaminated soil and treat properly.

Chemical Management

• N/A


Waste Management

• Dispose the construction waste regularly and maintain good housekeeping and environmental performance.


Cultural Heritage


• N/A


















Contract 1108
Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels


3-months Rolling Programme (Jan 2017)







Appendix D – Buffer Zone for Lung Tsun Stone Bridge & Former Kowloon City Pier



Appendix E – Event/Action Plan for landscape & Visual During Construction Stage

Event / Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Stage

Action Level		ET		IEC		ER		Contractor
Non-conformity	1)	Inform the Contractor, the IEC	1)	Check inspection report	1)	Confirm receipt of	1)	Identify Source and investigate
on one occasion		and the ER	2)	Check the Contractor's		notification of		the non-conformity
	2)	Discuss remedial actions with the		working method		non-conformity in	2)	Implement remedial measures
		IEC, the ER and the Contractor	3)	Discuss with the ET, ER		writing	3)	Amend working methods agreed
	3)	Monitor remedial actions until		and the Contractor on	2)	Review and agree on		with the ER as appropriate
		rectification has been completed		possible remedial measures		the remedial	4)	Rectify damage and undertake
			4)	Advise the ER on		measures proposed		any necessary replacement
				effectiveness of proposed		by the Contractor		
				remedial measures.	3)	Supervise		
						implementation of		
						remedial measures		
Repeated	1)	Identify Source	1)	Check inspection report	1)	Notify the Contractor	1)	Identify Source and investigate
Non-conformity	2)	Inform the Contractor, the IEC	2)	Check the Contractor's	2)	In consultation with		the non-conformity
		and the ER		working method		the ET and IEC,	2)	Implement remedial measures
	3)	Increase inspection frequency	3)	Discuss with the ET and		agree with the	3)	Amend working methods agreed
	4)	Discuss remedial actions with the		the Contractor on possible		Contractor on the		with the ER as appropriate
		IEC, the ER and the Contractor		remedial measures		remedial measures to	4)	Rectify damage and undertake
	5)	Monitor remedial actions until	4)	Advise the ER on		be implemented		any necessary replacement. Stop
		rectification has been completed		effectiveness of proposed	3)	Supervise		relevant portion of works as
	6)	If non-conformity stops, cease		remedial measures		implementation of		determined by the ER until the
		additional monitoring				remedial measures.		non-conformity is abated.



Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for <u>2017</u> (year)

	<u>Actua</u>	l Quantities	of Inert C&I	Materials (Senerated Mo	onthl <u>y</u>	Actual Qu	antities of N	on-inert C&	D Materials (Generated
									Monthly		
Month	Total Quantity Generated/	Hard Rocks & Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as	s Public Fill	Metals	Paper / cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical waste	Others (general refuse)
	Received			-		CEDD [#]					·
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	31.306	0.000	31.306	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.064	0.001	0.000	0.263
Feb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mar	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Apr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
May	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jun	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sub-total	31.306	0.000	31.306	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.064	0.001	0.000	0.263
July	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
August	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
September	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
October	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
November	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
December	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total	31.306	0.000	31.306	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.064	0.001	0.000	0.263
Year 2016	915.150	0.000	915.150	0.000	0.0	000	66.004	0.927	0.008	1.000	1.742
Year 2015	368.534	0.000	322.676	0.000	45.	857	208.770	1.042	0.163	1.280	2.171
Year 2014	311.876	0.000	39.476	0.000	272.	.400	103.280	0.855	0.056	1.540	1.484
Year 2013	144.512	0.000	0.000	0.000	144	.512	93.330	0.030	0.000	0.480	2.568
Grand Total	1771.378	0.000	1308.608	0.000	462	.769	471.384	2.918	0.228	4.300	8.228

Notes: * MTR SCL Contract 1108A barging point.

^{*} Government (CEDD) Public Fill Reception Facilities



Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –SCL Contract 1108 (Kai Tak Station and Associated Tunnels)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
Cultural Herita	age Impact	(Construction and Operational Phase)					
S4.9	CH1	Maintain a buffer distance as shown in Appendix D .	Reserve sufficient area for	MTR	Lung Tsun Stone	During the	~
		A 1.8-2.2m vertical separation distance shall be maintained between the	necessary archaeological	Corporation	Bridge & Former	Construction	
		top of tunnel and the piles of the Former Kowloon City Pier.	conservation and display	Contractor	Kowloon City Pier.	of the tunnel	
			works for Lung Tsun Stone			section at Kai	
			Bridge in the future. Avoid			Tak	
			direct impact on the Lung				
			Tsun Stone Bridge and the				
			Former Kowloon City Pier.				
-	-	Adopt best management practices.		MTR	Former	During the	V
				Corporation	Kowloon City Pier.	Construction	
			-	Contractor		of the tunnel	
						section at Kai	
						Tak	
Landscape & V	Visual (Cons	struction Phase)					
S6.9.3	LV1	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation and	Minimize visual &	Contractor	Within Project Site	Construction	
		avoidance of potential impacts are recommended:	landscape impact			stage	
		Re-use of Existing Soil					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to	Who to implement the	Location of the measures	When to implement the	Implementation Status
	8		address	measures?		measures?	
		• For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where possible for new planting areas within the project. The construction program shall consider using the soil removed from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as necessary.					•
		No-intrusion Zone To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor and restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of equipment.					
		Protection of Retained Trees All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which specifying the tree protection requirement, submission and approval system, and the tree monitoring system.					•

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
	Log Ref	2-000/2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	& Main Concerns to	the	measures	the	Status
S6.12	LV2	 The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, Decorative Hoarding Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to screen off undesirable views of the construction site for visual and landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding should be designed to be 	Address Minimize visual & landscape impact	Contractor	Within Project Site	Detailed design and construction stage	<i>V</i>
		 Compatible with the existing urban context Management of facilities on work sites To provide proper management of the facilities on the sites, give control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all facilities on the works site to minimize visual impact to adjacent VSRs. 					•
		Tree Transplanting Trees of high to medium survival rate would be affected by the works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable. Tree transplanting proposal including final location for transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek relevant government department's approval, in accordance with ETWB TCW No 3/2006.					N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
/	A1	Emission from Vehicles and Plants	Reduce air pollution emission	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
		All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	from construction vehicles			stage	✓
		Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant	and plants				✓
		should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke.					
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be					✓
		powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD).					
/	A2	Open burning shall be prohibited.	Reduce air pollution emission	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	~
			from work site			stage	
Construction L	Oust Impact						
S7.6.5	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	✓
		Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	nearby sensitive receivers			stage	
S7.6.5	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	*
		practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites	nearby sensitive receivers			stage	
		and haul road in the Kowloon area should be conducted to achieve dust					
		removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are					
		to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site					
		conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of					
		no less than 1.8 L/m ² to achieve the dust removal efficiency.					
S7.6.5	D3	Proper watering of exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout	Minimize dust impact at the	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	V
		the construction phase:	nearby sensitive receivers			stage	
		Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered					*
		entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain					

	EM&A		Objectives of the Recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
EIA Ref.	Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	& Main Concerns to	the	measures	the	Status
	Log Rei		address	measures?	incusures	measures?	Status
		the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated					
		where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;					
		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should					V
		be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads;					
		A stockpile of dusty material should not be extended beyond the					✓
		pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.					
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site					✓
		should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that					
		the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;					
		Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure					✓
		water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated					
		vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and					
		the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point					
		should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores;					
		When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding					✓
		of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly					
		maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with					
		provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be					
		adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings					
		are properly maintained throughout the construction period;					
		• The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is					✓
		within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		dusty materials;					
		Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting,					✓
		polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place					
		should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical					
		continuously;					
		Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with					✓
		water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during					
		and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire					
		surface wet;					
		• Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building					N/A
		under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting					
		should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor					
		level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first					
		floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding;					
		Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by					✓
		impervious sheeting;					
		• Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel					✓
		ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or					
		placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;					
		Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed					*
		silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked					
		with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; Loading,					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.					N/A
Construction	n Noise (Air	borne)					
S8.3.6	N1	 only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; 	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	> >
		 plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		• silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly					~
		fitted and maintained during the construction works;					
		mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible					~
		and practicable;					
		material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other					~
		structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to					
		screen noise from on-site construction activities.					
S8.3.6	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy	Reduce the construction noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	✓
		construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be	levels at low-level zone of			stage	
		properly maintained throughout the construction period.	NSRs through partial				
			screening.				
S8.3.6	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier	Screen the noisy plant items	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	*
		with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal	to be used at all construction		where practicable	stage	
		sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy	sites				
		plants including air compressor, generators and saw.					
S8.3.6	N4	Use "Quiet plants"	Reduce the noise levels of	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	✓
			plant items		where practicable	stage	
S8.3.6	N5	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	✓
			the same work site to reduce		where practicable	stage	
			the construction airborne				
			noise				

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
Water Quality	1				1		
S10.7.1		In accordance with the Practice Noise for Professional Persons on	, ,	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
		Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department,	impact from construction site		where practicable	stage	
		•	runoff and general				
		measures shall include the following:	construction activities				
		Construction Runoff and Site Drainage					
		• At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities),					~
		perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site					
		should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and					
		sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both					
		temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds					
		or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater					
		to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site					
		drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the					
		commencement of construction.					
		• The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be					~
		implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.					
		Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff					
		discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment					
		trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the					
		permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on					V
		the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states					
		that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes					
		under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon					
		the flow rate, but for a flow rate of $0.1\ m^3/s$ a sedimentation basin					
		of 30m^3 would be required and for a flow rate of $0.5\text{ m}^3\text{/s}$ the basin					
		would be 150 m ³ . The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be					
		undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of					
		construction.					
		All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon					✓
		as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively,					
		within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable.					
		Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other					
		means.					
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce					✓
		the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas					
		and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional					
		advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive					
		traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and					
		the reduction of surface sheet flows.					
		All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures					•
		should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		efficient operation at all times and particularly following					
		rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly					
		and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas.					
		Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage					V
		into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is					
		necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections					
		wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or					
		foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via					
		silt removal facilities.					
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates,					✓
		sand and fill material) of more than 50m ³ should be covered with					
		tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be					
		taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil,					
		silt or debris into any drainage system.					
		Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be					•
		adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt,					
		construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage					
		system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.					
		• Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are					•
		likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or					
		forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are					
		summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff					
		during storm events, especially for areas located near					
		steep slopes.					
		All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a					•
		construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is					
		deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited					
		wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction					
		site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and					
		silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the					
		continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road					
		leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road					
		should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash					
		bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public					
		roads and drains.					
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system					•
		downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors					
		should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of					
		oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental					
		spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to					
		prevent flushing during heavy rain.					
		Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be					~
		collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to	Who to implement the	Location of the measures	When to implement the	Implementation Status
			address	measures?		measures?	
		impacts.					
		All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and					<i>'</i>
		sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of					
		the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils					
		from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby.					
		All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to					✓
		limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed					
		areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as					
		practicable.					
		Adopt best management practices.					✓
S10.7.1	W2	Tunnelling Works	To minimize construction	Contractor	All tunneling portion	Construction	
		Cut-&-cover/ open cut tunnelling work should be conducted	water quality impact from			stage	✓
		sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated	tunneling works				
		from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as					
		far as practicable.					
		Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation					✓
		tanks prior to off-site discharge					
		The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated					✓
		(e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before					
		discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the					
		oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater.					
		Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and					~

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.					
S10.7.1	W3	Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	To minimize water quality from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	~
S10.7.1	W4		To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found	Construction	N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A	A Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
	Log Ref	g	& Main Concerns to	the	measures	the	Status
			address	measures?		measures?	
		should be submitted to EPD for examination If the review results					
		indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation					
		works would be contaminated; the contaminated groundwater					
		should be either properly treated in compliance with the					
		requirements of the TM-Water or properly recharged into the					
		ground.					
		• If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit					
		shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor /					N/A
		activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable					
		standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to					
		undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment					
		plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-Water and should					
		be discharged into the foul sewers.					
		If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells					
		should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated					N/A
		groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be					
		selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be					
		affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of					
		TM-Water. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined					
		prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working					
		plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality					
		of groundwater at the proposed recharge location(s) as well as the					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		pollutant levels of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharged shall not be higher than pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as TPH products should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol interceptor. The Contractor should apply for a discharge licence under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater recharge operation or discharge of treated groundwater.					
S10.7.1	W7		To minimize water quality impact from accidental spillage	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	*

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation			
	Log Ref		& Main Concerns to address	the measures?	measures	the measures?	Status			
Waste Mana	Waste Management (Construction Waste)									
S11.4.1.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D material	Separation of unsuitable	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction				
		Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons	rock from ending up at			stage	✓			
		on site during excavation to identify materials which are not	concrete batching plants							
		suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic	and be turned into concrete							
		rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock	for structural use							
		should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and								
		stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from								
		delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should								
		also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock								
		from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into								
		concrete for structural use Details regarding control measures at								
		source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the								
		Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site								
		records should also be kept for the types of rock materials								
		excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the								
		implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site								
		supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for								
		tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for								
		processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the								
		reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be								
		explored.								

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S11.5.1	WM2	Construction and Demolition Material	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
		Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for	minimize the waste			stage	~
		backfilling and reinstatement;	generation and recycle the				
		Carry out on-site sorting;	C&D materials as far as				~
		Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote	practicable so as to reduce				✓
		the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	the amount for final disposal				
		Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing					V
		structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete					
		effectively for recycling purpose, where possible;					
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure					•
		that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and					
		verified; and					
		• Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to					•
		ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on					
		Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials					
		and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.					
		• In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive					•
		locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The					
		Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project					
		Proponent and get its approval before implementation.					
S11.5.1	WM3	C&D Waste	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
		• Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as	minimize the waste			stage	✓

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to	Who to implement the	Location of the measures	When to implement the	Implementation Status
			address	measures?		measures?	
		practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The	generation and recycle the				
		use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction	C&D materials as far as				
		works should be considered Use of wooden hoardings should not	practicable so as to reduce				
		be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to	the amount for final disposal				
		enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of					
		construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid					
		over ordering and wastage.					
		The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as					v
		possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated					
		and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or					
		recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where					
		practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill.					
		Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different					
		areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and					
		storage.					
S11.5.1	WM4	General Refuse	Minimize production of the	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
		General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins	general refuse and avoid			stage	•
		or compaction units separately from construction and chemical	odour, pest and litter impacts				
		wastes.					
		A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor					*
		to remove general refuse from the site, separately from					
		construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible. Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a					<i>y</i>
S11.5.1	WM6	 local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor. Land-based and Marine-based Sediment All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; All vessels shall be sized such that adequate draft is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; Before moving the vessels which are used for transporting dredged material, excess material shall be cleaned from the decks and 	To control pollution due to marine sediment	Contractor	Within Project Site Area	Construction Stage	N/A
		exposed fittings of vessels and the excess materials shall never be dumped into the sea except at the approved locations;					

	EM&A		Objectives of the Recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the	When to implement	Implementation
EIA Ref.	Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measure	& Main Concerns to	the	measures	the	Status
			address	measures?		measures?	
		Adequate freeboard shall be maintained on barges to ensure that					N/A
		decks are not washed by wave action.					
		The Contractors shall monitor all vessels transporting material to					N/A
		ensure that no dumping outside the approved location takes place.					
		The Contractor shall keep and produce logs and other records to					
		demonstrate compliance and that journeys are consistent with					
		designated locations and copies of such records shall be submitted					
		to the engineers;					
		The Contractors shall comply with the conditions in the dumping					✓
		licence.					
		All bottom dumping vessels (Hopper barges) shall be fitted with					
		tight fittings seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of					N/A
		material;					
		The material shall be placed into the disposal pit by bottom					N/A
		dumping;					
		Contaminated marine mud shall be transported by spit barge of not					N/A
		less than 750m ³ capacity and capable of rapid opening and					
		discharge at the disposal site;					
		Discharge shall be undertaken rapidly and the hoppers shall be					N/A
		closed immediately. Material adhering to the sides of the hopper					
		shall not be washed out of the hopper and the hopper shall remain					
		closed until the barge returns to the disposal site.					

	EM O A			Objectives of the	Who to	T (* 6.1	When to	T 1 40
EIA Ref.	EM&A		Recommended Mitigation Measure	Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to	implement	Location of the	implement	Implementation
	Log Ref			address	the measures?	measures	the measures?	Status
			For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with	audress	measures.		measures.	N/A
			geosynthetic containment before dropping into designated mud pit					14/11
			would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment					
			method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in					
			geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped					
			into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be					
			covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at					
			the disposal site, thereby fulfil confined mud disposal.					
S11.5.1	WM7	Cho	emical Waste	Control the chemical waste	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction	
311.5.1	VV IVI /	CHE		and ensure proper storage,	Contractor	An construction sites		
		•		handling and disposal.			stage	
				nandring and disposar.				
			handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging,					
			Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.					
		•	Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be					•
			suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion,					
			maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a					
			capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been					
			approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in					
			accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the					
			regulation.					
		•	The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and					•
			used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref		Recommended Mitigation Measure	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
		•	sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD.					•
EM&A Project	t	ı						
S14.2 – 14.4	EM2	1)	An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual. Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	MTR Corporation/ Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	<i>v</i>
		3)	effective implementation of the mitigation measures. An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with.					•

Remarks:

- ✓ Compliance of mitigation measure
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.

N/A Not Applicable

Appendix Complaints,	H – Cumulati Notification o	ve Log for E	environment	ssful Prosed	nce, cutions

Cumulative Log for Environmental Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting	Number of Exceedance	Number of Environmental	Number of Notification of	Number of Successful
Month	Number of Exceedance	Complaints	Summons	Prosecutions
January 2017	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
Year 2013	0	0	0	0
Year 2014	0	0	0	0
Year 2015	0	16	0	0
Year 2016	0	9	1	0
Grand Total	0	25	1	0

Appendix H

40th Monthly EM&A Report for Works Contract 1102 – Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures

MTR Corporation Limited

Shatin to Central Link – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 40

[Period from 1 to 31 January 2017]

Works Contract 1102 –
Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures

(February 2017)

Certified by:	Dr. Priscilla Choy
Position:	Environmental Team Leader
Date:	10 th February 2017

Penta-Ocean Construction Co. Ltd.

Shatin to Central Link -

Contract 1102 Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report

(Version 1.0)

January 2017

Approved By

(Contractor's Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: <u>info@cinotech.com.hk</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Introduction	
Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress	
Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring	1
Waste Management	
Landscape and Visual	
Environmental Site Inspection	2
Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful	2
Prosecution	
1 INTRODUCTION	
Purpose of the Report	3
Structure of the Report	3
2 PROJECT INFORMATION	4
Background	4
General Site Description	
Construction Programme and Activities	4
Project Organization.	
Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	
Summary of EM&A Requirements	5
3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	6
Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	6
Monitoring Parameter and Frequency	
Monitoring Equipment, Maintenance, Calibration and Procedures	
Action & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring	
Continuous Noise Monitoring	
Regular Construction Dust Monitoring	
Monitoring Equipment, Maintenance, Calibration and Procedures	
Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring	
Landscape and Visual	
4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
REQUIREMENTS	9
5 MONITORING RESULTS	10
Regular Construction Noise Monitoring	10
Regular Dust Monitoring	
Waste Management	
Landscape and Visual	11
6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION	12
Site Audits	12
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	12
7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE	14
Summary of Exceedances	14

Sun	nmary of E	nvironmental Non-Compliance	14
8	FUTURI	E KEY ISSUES	15
Key	struction F Issues in the nitoring Sc	Programme for the Next Monththe Next Monthhedule in the Next Month	15 15 15
		ions	
LIS	T OF TA	BLES	
Tab	ire 2	Summaries of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Station Construction Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency Dust Monitoring Station Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency Status of Required Submissions under EP Summary Table of Construction Noise Monitoring Results Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit SURES Site Layout Plan of Works Contract 1102 Organization Chart and Key Contact of the Project Location of Noise Monitoring Station Location of Dust Monitoring Station	
LIS	T OF API	PENDICES	
App App App App App	pendix A pendix B pendix C pendix D pendix E pendix F pendix G pendix H	Tentative Construction Programme Action and Limit Levels Summary of Exceedance Site Audit Summary Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule Event and Action Plans Waste Generation in the Reporting Month Log and Cumulative Summary Table for Complaints, Notifications Summons and Successful Prosecutions	of

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 40th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL) Works Contract 1102 – Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 to 31 January 2017.

Summary of Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month

- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month include:
 - Soft Landscaping;
 - External Wall Tiling at Viaduct & At-Grade Box;
 - ABWF works at Hin Keng Station; and
 - Modification of Retaining Wall and Installation of Noise Barrier.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress

3. A summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below and the monitoring works were undertaken by Contractor ET of Works Contract SCL 1103:

Regular Construction Noise and Construction Dust Monitoring

- Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours Noise Monitoring Station ID
 - NMS-CA-1⁽¹⁾ (C.U.H.K.A.A Thomas Cheung School)

4 times

• Construction Dust (24-hour TSP) Monitoring <u>Dust Monitoring Station ID</u>

• DMS-1⁽¹⁾ (C.U.H.K.A.A Thomas Cheung School)

6 times

Remarks:

(1) Station ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

Waste Management

4. Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. About 204.0 m³ of inert C&D materials were generated from the Project and sent to Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank during the reporting month. No non-recyclable non-inert C&D materials and 66.0 m³ general refuse were disposed of at NENT Landfill. No chemical wastes, steel material, plastics and paper/cardboard packaging was generated and collected by the recycler during this reporting month.

Landscape and Visual

5. Bi-weekly inspections of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on 3 and 19 January 2017. Most of the necessary mitigation measures have been implemented and recommended follow-up actions have been

discharged by the Contractor. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in **Section 6**.

Environmental Site Inspection

6. Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 3, 10, 19 and 23 January 2017. The representative of the IEC joined the site inspection on 23 January 2017. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in **Section 6**.

Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Complaint/Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 7. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- 8. No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.
- 9. No reporting change was recorded during the reporting period.
- 10. No Project related environmental complaint and notification of summons/ successful prosecutions were received in this reporting period.

Future Key Issues

- 11. Major site activities for the coming reporting month will include:
 - Soft Landscaping;
 - ABWF works at Hin Keng Station; and
 - Modification of Retaining Wall and Installation of Noise Barrier.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was appointed by Penta-Ocean Construction Co.Ltd. (POC) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the MTR Shatin to Central Link (SCL)Works Contract 1102 – Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures (hereafter referred to as the Project).

Purpose of the Report

1.2 This is the 40th EM&A report which summarises the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 to 31 January 2017.

Structure of the Report

- 1.3 The structure of the report is as follows:
 - Section 1: **Introduction -** details the scope and structure of the report.
 - Section 2: **Project Information** summarises background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.
 - Section 3: **Environmental Monitoring Requirement -** summarises the monitoring parameters, monitoring frequency, monitoring locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event / Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report and relevant environmental requirements.
 - Section 4: **Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures -** summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
 - Section 5: **Monitoring Results** summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
 - Section 6: **Environmental Site Inspection -** summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.
 - Section 7: **Environmental Non-conformance -** summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
 - Section 8: **Future Key Issues -** summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three months.
 - **Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations**

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

Background

- 2.1 The Shatin to Central Link Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section (hereafter referred to as SCL (TAW-HUH)) is an approximately 11 km long extension of the Ma On Shan Line and links up with the West Rail Line at Hung Hom forming a strategic east-west rail corridor. It is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO).
- 2.2 The construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) has been divided into a series of civil construction Works Contracts. This Works Contract 1102 covers the construction of SCL Hin Keng Station (HIK Station) and its approach structures. This construction contract was awarded to Penta-Ocean Construction Co. Ltd. (POC) in July 2013 and the EM&A programme was commenced on 1st October 2013.

General Site Description

2.3 For Works Contract 1102, the works area for the HIK Station is located next to Hin Keng Estate and Che Kung Miu Road. The alignment and works area for the Works Contract 1102 are shown in **Figure 1**.

Construction Programme and Activities

- 2.4 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown as follows. The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.
 - Soft Landscaping;
 - External Wall Tiling at Viaduct & At-Grade Box;
 - ABWF works at Hin Keng Station; and
 - Modification of Retaining Wall and Installation of Noise Barrier.

Project Organization

2.5 The project organization chart and contact details are shown in **Figure 2.**

Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.6 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project since the commencement of the construction works in October 2013 are presented in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Summary of the Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

Downit / License No	Valid	Valid Period				
Permit / License No.	From	To	Status			
Environmental Permit (EP)						
EP-438/2012/K	4/10/2016	N/A	Valid			
Notification pursuant to Air Pol	lution Control (Cons	truction Dust) Regula	tion			
Reference No: 362534	29/7/2013	N/A	Valid			
Billing Account for Construction	n Waste Disposal					
A/C No.: 7017900	02/8/2013	N/A	Valid			
Registration of Chemical Waste	Producer					
Registration No.	03/9/2013	N/A	Valid			
5218-759-P1057-03						
Effluent Discharge License under Water Pollution Control Ordinance						
WT00018589-2014	29/4/2014	30/9/2018	Valid			
Construction Noise Permit (CNI	Construction Noise Permit (CNP)					
GW-RN0961-16	30/12/2016	29/6/2017	Valid			

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 2.7 The EM&A programme under Works Contract 1102 require regular dust and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
 - All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event / Action Plans;
 - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
 - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 2.8 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in **Section 6** of this report.
- 2.9 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations of the required monitoring parameters, namely construction noise & dust monitoring as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

3.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, monitoring of construction noise impact should be conducted at the designated monitoring station. The construction noise monitoring location is listed in **Table 3.1** and shown in **Figure 3**.

Table 3.1 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Station

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Location	Description	Type of Measurement	
NMS-CA-1 ⁽¹⁾	C.U.H.K.A.A Thomas Cheung School	Façade	

Note (1): NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

3.2 Weekly construction noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual by the Contractor Environmental Team of Works Contract SCL 1103. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. The monitoring schedule for this reporting period could be referred to Appendix K of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report. The construction noise was monitored at the frequency and duration stated in **Table 3.2**.

 Table 3.2
 Construction Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Period	Duration	Parameter	Frequency
Impact Monitoring	Throughout the construction period	L _{eq} (30min)	Once per week

3.3 The construction noise levels were measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) in decibels dB(A). L_{Aeq} (30min) was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays while L₁₀ and L₉₀ were also recorded as supplementary reference information for data auditing.

Monitoring Equipment, Maintenance, Calibration and Procedures

3.4 The detailed information of monitoring equipment, maintenance, calibration and procedures could be referred to Section 4.2 of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report.

Action & Limit Level for Construction Noise Monitoring

3.5 The Action and Limit Levels are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for noise monitoring is presented in **Appendix F**.

Continuous Noise Monitoring

3.6 With reference to the latest Continuous Noise Monitoring Plan (CNMP) and Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan (CNMMP) prepared and submitted under EP Condition 2.10, it is predicted that no residual air-borne construction noise impacts exceeding the relevant noise criteria will be anticipated. Therefore, no continuous noise monitoring is required during the construction of the SCL (TAW-HUH) under Works Contract 1102.

Regular Construction Dust Monitoring

3.7 The proposed dust monitoring station for the construction phase of the Project, as recommended in the approved EM&A Manual, is listed in **Table 3.3** and shown in **Figure 4**.

Table 3.3 Dust Monitoring Station

Regular Dust Monitoring Location	Description
DMS-1 ⁽¹⁾	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School

Note (1): ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).

Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

3.8 The dust monitoring (in terms of Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)) was conducted at the designated monitoring station in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Manual. The monitoring schedule for this reporting period could be referred to Appendix K of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report. The 24-hour TSP levels were monitored at the frequency and duration stated in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Dust Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Period	Duration	Parameter	Frequency
Impact Monitoring ⁽¹⁾	Throughout the construction period	24-hour TSP ⁽²⁾	Once per 6 days

Note:

- (1) 1- hour TSP shall be conducted when one documented valid complaint is received.
- (2) 24-hour TSP will be conducted when project-related construction activities are being undertaken within a radius of 500m from monitoring stations.

Monitoring Equipment, Maintenance, Calibration and Procedures

3.9 The detailed information of monitoring equipment, maintenance, calibration and procedures could be referred to Section 3.2 of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report.

Action and Limit Levels for Dust Monitoring

3.10 The Action and Limit levels have been established and are presented in **Appendix B** and the Event / Action Plan (EAP) for dust monitoring is presented in **Appendix F.**

Landscape and Visual

3.11 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and a site inspection shall be conducted once every two weeks throughout the construction period. The implementation status is given in **Appendix E**. The Event / Action Plan (EAP) for landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix F**.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the Environmental Permit and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures of the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix E**. Status of required submissions under the Environmental Permit (EP) of the reporting period is presented in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submissions under EP

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
3.4	Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (December 2016)	13 January 2017

5 MONITORING RESULTS

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

- 5.1 A total of 4 sets of 30-minute construction noise measurements were carried out at the monitoring stations during normal weekdays during the reporting period by ET of SCL 1103. No exceedance of the limit level was recorded at designated monitoring station.
- 5.2 Based on observation during the on-site monitoring, road traffic nearby is considered as a potential noise source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results of the reporting month.
- 5.3 The detailed noise monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in Appendix H of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report.

Table 5.1 Summary Table of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Parameter	Minimum Leq(30min), dB(A)	Maximum Leq(30min), dB(A)	Action Level	Limit Level, Leq(30min), dB(A)
Noise	<baseline Level</baseline 	<baseline Level</baseline 	When one documented complaint is received	70/65 ⁽¹⁾

Remarks:

- (1) For normal day-time working hours, the noise criteria is 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for normal teaching period and examination periods respectively.
- (2) The noise monitoring data presented in the table is baseline corrected.
- 5.4 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of construction noise due to the Project was recorded during the reporting period.

Regular Dust Monitoring

5.5 A total of 6 sets of 24-hour TSP monitoring were carried out at the designated monitoring station of the reporting period by ET of Works Contract SCL 1103. The monitoring results together with their graphical presentations are presented in Appendix E of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report and a summary of the dust monitoring results in this reporting month is given in **Table 5.2**.

Table 5.2 Summary Table of Dust Monitoring Results

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Action Level,	Limit Level,
	μg/m³	μg/m³	μg/m³	μg/m³	µg/m³
24-hr TSP	15.4	117.4	66.2	148.7	260

- 5.6 Wind monitoring data obtained from Kai Tak Meteorological Station of Hong Kong Observatory is shown in Appendix F of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report.
- 5.7 Based on observation during the on-site monitoring, road traffic emission nearby is considered as a potential dust source other than construction works of the Project that affects the monitoring results of the reporting month.
- 5.8 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the 24-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting period.

Waste Management

5.9 Waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes like plastics and paper/cardboard packaging materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 5.3**. No chemical waste, steel material, plastics, paper/cardboard packaging was generated during this reporting month. Details of waste management data is presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.3 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

	Quantity					
Reporting Month	C&D Ma			erials (non-inert) (b)		
	C&D Materials	General	Chemical	Recyc	cled mate	rials
	(inert) (a)	Refuse	Waste	Paper/ cardboard	Plastics	Metals
January 2017 ^(c)	$204.0 \ m^3$	$66.0 \ m^3$	0 kg	0 kg	0 kg	0 <i>kg</i>

Notes:

- (a) Inert C&D materials include excavated soil and rock. 204.0 m³ of inert C&D materials were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank during the reporting month.
- (b) Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper/cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse and vegetative wastes. Steel materials generated from the project are grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. General refuse was delivered to designated landfill for disposal.
- (c) The cut-off date of the waste flow table in reporting month was 26 January 2017.

Landscape and Visual

5.10 Bi-weekly inspections of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on 3 and 19 January 2017. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION

Site Audits

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix D**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 3, 10, 19 and 23 January 2017 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 23 January 2017. No EPD site inspection was conducted during the reporting month. The details of observations during site audit carried out by ET can refer to **Table 6.1**.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.3 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix E**.
- 6.4 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
	19 Jan 2017	Reminder: Site runoff or groundwater near the football court should be provided with proper water treatment.	This item was remarked on 23 Jan 2017.
Water Quality 23 Jan 2017		Groundwater near football court and swimming pool should be provided with proper water treatment before discharge. The Contractor was reminded to avoid discharging untreated water out of the Site	Follow up actions will be reported in the next month.
Noise	N/A	There was no observation in the reporting period.	N/A
Landscape and Visual	23 Jan 2017	Reminder: Fencing of tree protection zones near the container office should be enhanced to protect the retained trees.	Follow up actions will be reported in the next month.
Air Quality	03 Jan 2017	Reminder: Mitigation measures should be provided to stockpiles at Site entrance for dust suppression.	Stockpiles at site entrance were covered on 10 Jan 2017.
10 and 19 Jan S 2017 b		Reminder: Stockpiles near the football court should be covered with impervious materials for dust suppression.	Stockpiles were observed covered on 23 Jan 2017.
Waste / Chemical Management	29 Dec 2016 and 03 Jan 2017	Reminder: General refuse skips within the Site should be maintained frequently to avoid	General refuse skips were maintained on 10 Jan 2017.

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
		the accumulation of waste.	
	10 Jan 2017	Reminder: Bagged cement in the staircase to the platform should be properly removed. The Contractor was reminded to maintain the Site clean and tidy.	Bagged cement was removed and the staircase was maintained tidy on 19 Jan 2017.
Permits/ Licenses	N/A	There was no observation in the reporting period.	N/A

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

Summary of Exceedances

7.1 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded during the reporting month. The summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix C**.

Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Environmental Complaint

7.3 No environmental Project-related complaint was received in the reporting month. The Complaint Log in reporting month and cumulative summary table since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix H**.

Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

7.4 There was no successful environmental prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Log for environmental summon and successful prosecution in reporting month and cumulative summary table since the commencement of the Project is presented in **Appendix H**.

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Construction Programme for the Next Month

- 8.1 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix A**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
 - Soft Landscaping;
 - ABWF works at Hin Keng Station; and
 - Modification of Retaining Wall and Installation of Noise Barrier.

Key Issues in the Next Month

- 8.2 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Dust arising from loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement, excavated materials and soil erosion in dry days;
 - Control of silty surface runoff;
 - Implementation of mitigation measures for wastewater spillage from construction works.
 - Preservation and protection of retained and transplanted trees;
 - Implementation of mitigation measures for noise nuisance from construction works;
 - Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along drainage channels and sedimentation tanks; and
 - Proper storage and mitigation measures for oil/chemical containers.

Monitoring Schedule in the Next Month

8.3 The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring at in the next reporting period is presented in Appendix K of SCL 1103 monthly EM&A report. The regular construction noise monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period.

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 9.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 to 31 January 2017 in accordance with EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP.
- 9.2 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at the designated monitoring stations during the reporting month.
- 9.3 4 times of joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET and 2 times of bi-weekly inspections of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted during the reporting period.
- 9.4 There was no Project related environmental complaint, successful prosecution or notification of summons received during the reporting month.
- 9.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Recommendations

9.6 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Quality

• The Contractor should avoid discharging untreated groundwater or runoff out of the Site. Pump and pipe should be provided to water ponding to direct wastewater desilting facility for treatment before discharge.

Landscape and Visual

• "No-intrusion zone" should be established and maintained for existing trees as far as practicable. The Contractor is reminded to closely monitor and restrict the site working staff from entering the erected "no-intrusion zone" for existing trees, and handle any construction material near tree protection zone with care to prevent damaging nearby trees.


Waste & Chemical


- General refuse skips should be maintained regularly and accumulation of waste within the Site should be avoided.
- Housekeeping in the Site should be enhanced in order to maintain the Site clean and tidy.


Air Quality


- Storage of cement bags should be covered by impervious sheets for dust suppression.
- Stockpiles or dusty materials should be completely covered with impervious sheets to prevent dust generation.

FIGURES









APPENDIX A TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

Activity ID	Activity Name	Original Duration	Remaining Duration	Start	Finish	sh		2017		
		Duration	Duration			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	ay
3-mo	nth Rolling Programme Summary (Feb to Apr	637.40	239.00	21-Oct-13 A	21-Nov-17			ı	1	
Hin	ı Keng Station	403.00	239.00	04-Mar-15 A	21-Nov-17			1	1	
	Superstructure	403.00	239.00	04-Mar-15 A	21-Nov-17			1	1	_
	ABWF	403.00	239.00	04-Mar-15 A	21-Nov-17				+	
Ma	On Shan Line & Tail Track	378.00	66.93	21-Oct-13 A	26-Apr-17				•	-
	Noise Barrier behind Hin Tin Swimming Pool	378.00	66.93	21-Oct-13 A	26-Apr-17			1	1	-
	Noise Barrier Work	157.00	15.70	15-Jul-14 A	08-Mar-17				1	
	Miscellaneous Items within Operation Area	204.00	48.00	03-Dec-15 A	30-Mar-17			1	•¦	
	Overhead Walkway	204.00	48.00	03-Dec-15 A	30-Mar-17				I	
At-o	grade Box	226.00	30.00	27-May-16 A	09-Mar-17					-
	Backfilling Works	226.00	30.00	27-May-16 A	09-Mar-17				1	!
FR	63 Slope	60.00	6.00	15-Jul-15 A	09-Feb-17		_	 		1
	Soft Landscape	60.00	6.00	15-Jul-15 A	09-Feb-17			 		1
FR	65 Slope	90.00	9.00	05-Jan-15 A	13-Feb-17			 	1	
	Soft Landscape	90.00	9.00	05-Jan-15 A	13-Feb-17			!		-
F32	20 Slope	60.00	30.00	01-Nov-16 A	09-Mar-17					
	Soft Landscaping Works	60.00	30.00	01-Nov-16 A	09-Mar-17				1	
Hin	Tin Playground	159.40	101.40	15-Nov-16 A	09-Jun-17			1	1	_
	Hard Landscape	29.00	29.00	27-Apr-17	03-Jun-17				1	
	E&M Works	159.40	101.40	15-Nov-16 A	09-Jun-17				; 	_



MTRC SCL Project Contract 1102

Hin Keng Station and Approach Structures

Page 1 of 1

3 Months Rolling Programme

Summary

(Period - Feb to Apr 2017)

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
03-Feb-17	0	SC	

APPENDIX B ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

APPENDIX B – Action and Limit Levels

24-Hour TSP

Regular Dust Monitoring Station	Description	Action Level, μg/m ³	Limit Level, μg/m³
DMS-1 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	C.U.H.K.A.A. Thomas Cheung School	148.7	260

Note:

- (1) ASR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Dust monitoring is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1103.

Construction Noise

Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Station	Description	Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NMS-CA-1 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	C.U.H.K.A.A Thomas Cheung School	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	65 / 70 dB(A) ⁽³⁾

Note:

- (1) NSR ID as identified in approved EM&A Manual / EIA Report for SCL(TAW-HUH).
- (2) Construction Noise monitoring is carried out by Environmental Team of SCL Works Contract 1103.
- (3) Daytime noise Limit Level of 70 dB(A) applies to education institutions, while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.

APPENDIX C SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

APPENIDX C – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Reporting Month: January 2017

- a) Exceedance Report for Dust Monitoring (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for Noise Monitoring (NIL)

APPENDIX D SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170103
Date	3 January 2017 (Tuesday)
Time	09:30 – 11:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
_	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C – Ecology	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Landscape & Visual	:
 !	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Air Quality	
170103-R01	 Mitigation measures should be provided to stockpiles at Site entrance for dust suppression. 	E 5
	Part F - Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Others	7.7
170103-F02	• General refuse skips within the Site should be maintained frequently to avoid the accumulation of waste.	G li

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo	45	3 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	w.f.	3 January 2017

CINOTECH MA13040 audit170103.doc

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170110
Date	10 January 2017 (Tuesday)
Time	09:30 - 11:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
	None identified	-

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B – Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part C – Ecology	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
170110-R02	Part E - Air Quality Stockpiles near the football court should be covered with impervious materials for dust suppression.	E 6
	Part F - Construction Noise Impact No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
170110-R01	Part G – Waste/Chemical Management Bagged cement in the staircase to the platform should be properly removed. The Contractor was reminded to maintain the Site clean and tidy.	G 8
	Part H – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Others	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo	K	10 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WI	10 January 2017

CINOTECH MA13040 audit170110.doc

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170119
Date	19 January 2017 (Thursday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
	None identified	_

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item
	Part B – Water Quality	No.
170119-R01	Site runoff or groundwater near the football court should be provided with proper water treatment.	В 5
	Part C – Ecology	
1	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Landscape & Visual	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E – Air Quality	
i	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Others	
170119-F02	Stockpiles near the football court should be covered with impervious materials for dust suppression.	E 6

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		19 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WI	19 January 2017

CINOTECH MA13040 audit170119.doc

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170123
Date	23 January 2017 (Monday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item
		No.
-	None identified	1

Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	Part B – Water Quality	
170123-O01	Groundwater near football court and swimming pool should be provided with proper water treatment before discharge. The Contractor was reminded to avoid discharging untreated water out of the Site.	В 5
	Part C – Ecology	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D – Landscape & Visual	
170123-R02	• Fencing of tree protection zones near the container office should be enhanced to protect the retained trees.	DŽ
	Part E – Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F – Construction Noise Impact	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G – Waste/Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H – Permits/Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part I – Others	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo	A Section 1	23 January 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NI	23 January 2017

CINOTECH MA13040 audit170123.doc

APPENDIX E UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
Ecology	(Constructio	n Phase)						
S5.4	E1	Engineering works should not encroach into country park	Minimise ecological	Contractor	Lion Rock Country	Detailed design	• AFCD's	٨
		boundary, Tei Lung Hau Stream and secondary woodland near the	impacts		Park,	and	requirements	
		portal at Hin Keng			Tei Lung Hau	construction	• EIAO	
					Stream	stage	Country Parks	
							Ordinance	
S5.7	E5	Good Site Practices	Minimise ecological	Contractor	All construction	During	• ProPECC PN	
		Impact to any habitats or local fauna should be avoided by	impacts		sites	construction	1/94	٨
		implementing good site practices, including the containment of silt						
		runoff within the site boundary, the containment of contaminated						
		soils for removal from the site, appropriate storage of chemicals						
		and chemical waste away from sites of ecological value and the						
		provision of sanitary facilities for on-site workers. Adoption of such						
		measures should permit waste to be suitably contained within the						
		site for subsequent removal and appropriate disposal.						
		The following good site practices should also be implemented:						
		Erection of temporary geotextile silt or sediment fences/oil						٨
		traps around any earth-moving works to trap any sediments						
		and prevent them from entering watercourses in particular						
		the Tei Lung Hau stream;						
		Avoidance of soil storage against trees or close to						N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		waterbodies in particular the Tei Lung Hau stream;						
		Delineation of works site by erecting hoardings to prevent						N/A
		encroachment onto adjacent habitats and fence off areas						
		which have some ecological value e.g. Tei Lung Hau Stream						
		and the adjoining secondary woodland, tunnel on hill at top of						
		slope stabilisation works;						
		No on-site burning of waste;						٨
		Waste and refuse in appropriate receptacles.						٨
S5.7	E7	Water Quality and Hydrology	Avoid indirect water	Contractor	Works area in	Construction	• TCW No. 5/2005	
		Implement water control measures (ETWB TCW No. 5/2005,	impact to any wetland		Hin Keng	stage		٨
		Protection of natural streams/ rivers from adverse impacts	habitats or wetland					
		arising from construction works to avoid direct or indirect	fauna					
		impacts on theTei Lung Hau Stream) and good site practices.	Minimize the drawdown					
			of water table					
Landsca	ape & Visual (Construction Phase)						
S6.9.3	LV1	The following good site practices and measures for minimisation	Minimize visual &	Contractor	Within Project Site	Construction	TM-EIAO	
		and avoidance of potential impacts are recommended:	landscape impact			stage		
		Re-use of Existing Soil						
		For soil conservation, existing topsoil shall be re-used where						٨
		possible for new planting areas within the project. The						
		construction program shall consider using the soil removed						
		from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		ground, gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up						
		on-site as necessary.						
		No-intrusion Zone						
		To maximize protection to existing trees, ground vegetation and						*
		the associated under storey habitats, construction contracts						
		may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the						
		site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual						
		no-intrusion zone. The contractor should closely monitor and						
		restrict the site working staff from entering the "no-intrusion						
		zone", even for indirect construction activities and storage of						
		equipment.						
		Protection of Retained Trees						
		All retained trees should be recorded photographically at the						٨
		commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected						
		during the construction period. Detailed tree protection						
		specification shall be allowed and included in the Contract						
		Specification, which specifying the tree protection						
		requirement, submission and approval system, and the tree						
		monitoring system.						
		The Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a						٨
		detailed working method statement for the protection of trees						
		prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees,						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		including trees in contractor's works sites.						
S6.12	LV2	Decorative Hoarding	Minimize visual &	Contractor	Within Project Site	Detailed design	EIAO – TM	
		Erection of decorative screen during construction stage to	landscape impact			and	ETWB TCW	۸
		screen off undesirable views of the construction site for visual				Construction	2/2004	
		and landscape sensitive areas. Hoarding should be designed				stage	ETWB TCW	
		to be compatible with the existing urban context.					3/2006	
		Management of facilities on work sites						۸
		To provide proper management of the facilities on the sites,						
		give control on the height and disposition/ arrangement of all						
		facilities on the works site to minimize visual impact to						
		adjacent VSRs.						
		Tree Transplanting						
		Trees of high to medium survival rate would be affected by the						۸
		works shall be transplanted where possible and practicable.						
		Tree transplanting proposal including final location for						
		transplanted trees shall be submitted separately to seek						
		relevant government department's approval, in accordance						
		with ETWB TCW No 3/2006.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
Air Qual	lity (Construc	tion Phase)						
/	A1	Emission from Vehicles and Plants	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	· APCO	
		All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use.	emission from construction		sites	stage		٨
		Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and	vehicles and plants					٨
		plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of						
		black smoke.						
		All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas						٨
		shall be powered by ultra-low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD)						
/	A2	Open burning shall be prohibited	Reduce air pollution	Contractor	All construction	Construction	· APCO	٨
			emission from work site		sites	stage		
Constru	ction Dust Im	pact						
S7.6.5	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements	Minimize dust impact at	Contractor	All construction	Construction	· APCO	٨
		given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	the		sites	stage	To control the	
			nearby sensitive receivers				dust impact to meet	
							HKAQO and TM-EIA	
							criteria	
S7.6.5	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site	Minimize dust impact at	Contractor	All construction	Construction	· APCO	٨
		practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on	the		sites	stage	To control the	
		exposed worksites and haul road in the Kowloon area and once	nearby sensitive receivers				dust impact to meet	
		per 1.5hour at those in the Tai Wai area should be conducted to					HKAQO and TM-EIA	
		achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above					criteria	
		watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be						
		sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.8						
		L/m2 to achieve the dust removal efficiency						
S7.6.5	D3	Proper watering of exposed spoil should be undertaken	Minimize dust impact at	Contractor	All construction	Construction	· APCO	٨
		throughout the construction phase:	the		sites	stage	To control the	
		Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered	nearby sensitive receivers				dust impact to meet	*
		entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to					HKAQO and TM-EIA	
		maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled					criteria	
		or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the						
		excavation or unloading;						
		Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed						۸
		should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of						
		roads;						
		• A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the						۸
		pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones.						
		The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction						۸
		site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to						
		ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;						
		Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure						۸
		water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated						
		vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place						
		and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or						
		hardcores;						
		When there are open excavation and reinstatement works,						٨
		hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and						
		properly maintained as far as practicable along the site						
		boundary with provision for public crossing; Good site practice						
		shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the						
		conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout						
		the construction period;						
		The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is						٨
		within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of						
		dusty materials;						
		Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting,						٨
		polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place						
		should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical						
		continuously;						
		Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed						٨
		with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to,						
		during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the						
		entire surface wet;						
		Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building						۸

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting						
		should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground						
		floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from						
		the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding;						
		Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed						
		by impervious sheeting;						
		Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised						*
		fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious						
		sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3						
		sides;						
		Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed						۸
		silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked						
		with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed;						
		Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement						۸
		or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or						
		facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an						
		effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system;						
		and						
		• Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing,						٨
		hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex,						
		vinyl,bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser						
		within six months after the last construction activity on the						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		construction site or part of the construction site where the						
		exposed earth lies.						
S7.6.5	D6	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected	Construction	• TM-EIA	٨
		during the construction stage.			representative	stage		
					dust			
					monitoring station			
Constru	ction Noise (A	Airborne)						
S8.3.6	N1	Implement the following good site practices:	Control construction	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Annex 5, TM-EIA	
		only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and	airborne noise		sites	stage		٨
		plant should be serviced regularly during the construction						
		programme;						
		machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in						٨
		intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or						
		should be throttled down to a minimum;						
		plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where						٨
		possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from						
		nearby NSRs;						
		silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be						٨
		properly fitted and maintained during the construction works;						
		mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as						۸
		possible and practicable;						
		material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other						٨

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended Measures	Who to implement	Location of the measures	When to	What requirements or standards for	Status
	Log no		& Main Concerns to	the	measures	measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.						
S8.3.6	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIA	۸
S8.3.6	N3	construction period. Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full	partial screening. Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIA	۸
		enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressor, generators and saw.	construction		·			
S8.3.6	N4	Use "Quiet plants"	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIA	٨
S8.3.6	N5	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIA	^
S8.3.6	N6	Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected	Contractor	Selected representative	Construction stage	• TM-EIA	۸

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
			representative locations		noise			
					monitoring station			
Water G	uality (Constr	ruction Phase)						
S10.7.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection	impact from construction		sites	stage	Control Ordinance	
		Department,1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase	site		where practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94	
		mitigation measures shall include the following:	runoff and general				• TM-EIAO	
		Construction Runoff and Site Drainage	construction activities				TM-Water	
		At the start of site establishment (including the barging						٨
		facilities),perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around						
		the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and						
		erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented.						
		Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and						
		culverts), earthbunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on						
		site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the						
		temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the						
		contractor prior to the commencement of construction.						
		The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be						*
		implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.						
		Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff						
		discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a						
		site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates.						
		The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on						٨
		the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states						
		that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes						
		under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending						
		upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m3/s a sedimentation						
		basin of 30m3 would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m3/s						
		the basin would be 150 m3. The detailed design of the sand/silt						
		traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the						
		commencement of construction.						
		All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as						٨
		soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or						
		alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where						
		practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by						
		tarpaulin or other means.						
		The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to						٨
		reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic						
		areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An						
		additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is						
		the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement						
		weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control						۸
		structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure						
		proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following						
		rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly						
		and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated						
		areas.						
		Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site						۸
		drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet						
		periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short						
		sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches						
		or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains						
		via silt removal facilities.						
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example,						٨
		aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m3 should be						
		covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.						
		Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of						
		construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage						
		system.						
		Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be						٨
		adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt,						
		construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage						
		system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are						٨
		likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or						
		forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are						
		summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular						
		attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff						
		during storm events, especially for areas located near steep						
		slopes.						
		All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a						٨
		construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is						
		deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited						
		wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction						
		site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and						
		silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure						
		the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access						
		road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the						
		public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the						
		wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water						
		to public roads and drains.						
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system						٨
		downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors						
		should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release						
		of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil						
		interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain.						
		Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be						۸
		collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality						
		impacts.						
		All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks						٨
		and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to						
		110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled						
		fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby.						
		All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially						٨
		to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed						
		areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as						
		practicable.						
		Adopt best management practices						٨
S10.7.1	W3	Sewage Effluent	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are	from sewage effluent		sites where	stage	Control Ordinance	٨
		recommended for handling the construction sewage generated			practicable		TM-water	
		by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to						
		provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be						
		responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
S10.7.1	W7	In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following	To minimize water quality	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Water Pollution	
		is recommended:	impact from accidental		sites where	stage	Control Ordinance	
		All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and	spillage		practicable		• ProPECC PN1/94	٨
		the locations should be locked as far as possible from the					• TM-EIAO	
		sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains.					TM-Water	
		The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if						٨
		chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste						
		arising from the construction activities should be stored with						
		suitable labels and warnings.						
		Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in						٨
		compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal						
		(Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.						
Waste M	· ·	Construction Waste)	T		T	Γ	T	
S11.4.1.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D material	Separation of unsuitable	Contractor	All construction	Construction	DEVB TC(W)	
		Geological assessment should be carried out by competent	rock from ending up at		sites	stage	No. 6/2010	٨
		persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are	concrete batching plants					
		not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g.	and be turned into					
		volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite	concrete					
		dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as	for structural use					
		practicable and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing						
		them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent						
		unsuitable rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and						
		be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding						
		control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be						
		submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and						
		agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types						
		of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be						
		ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and						
		enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB						
		TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock						
		crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative						
		disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke						
		rock, etc should also be explored.						
S11.5.1	WM2	Construction and Demolition Material	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	٨
		for backfilling and reinstatement;	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		Carry out on-site sorting;	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	٨
		Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	٨
		promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	the amount for final				Ordinance	
		Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing	disposal				ETWB TCW No.	٨
		structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete					19/2005	
		effectively for recycling purpose, where possible;						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure						٨
		that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and						
		verified; and						
		Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to						٨
		ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management						
		on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D						
		materials and to minimize their generation during the course of						
		construction.						
		In addition, disposal of the C&D materials onto any sensitive						٨
		locations such as agricultural lands, etc. should be avoided. The						
		Contractor shall propose the final disposal sites to the Project						
		Proponent and get its approval before implementation						
S11.5.1	WM3	C&D Waste	Good site practice to	Contractor	All construction	Construction	• Land	
		Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as	minimize the waste		sites	stage	(Miscellaneous	٨
		practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials.	generation and recycle the				Provisions)	
		The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the	C&D materials as far as				Ordinance	
		construction works should be considered. Use of wooden	practicable so as to reduce				Waste Disposal	
		hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal	the amount for final				Ordinance	
		hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling.	disposal				ETWB TCW No.	
		The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned					19/2005	
		in order to avoid over ordering and wastage.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as						۸
		possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated						
		and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or						
		recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where						
		practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as						
		fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills.						
		Different areas of the sites should be considered for such						
		segregation and storage.						
S11.5.1	WM4	General Refuse	Minimize production of the	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed	general refuse and avoid		sites	stage	Ordinance	*
		bins or compaction units separately from construction and	odour, pest and litter					
		chemical wastes.	impacts					
		A reputable waste collector should be employed by the						٨
		Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately						
		from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to						
		minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on						
		construction sites is prohibited by law.						
		Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by						٨
		individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily						
		accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be						
		provided if feasible.						
		Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if						۸

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a						
		local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor.						
S11.5.1	WM7	Chemical Waste	Control the chemical waste	Contractor	All construction	Construction	Waste Disposal	
		Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of	and ensure proper		sites	Stage	(Chemical Waste)	٨
		the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation,	storage,				General)	
		should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on	handling and disposal.				Regulation	
		the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.					Code of Practice	
		Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be					on the Packaging,	۸
		suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion,					Labelling and	
		maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a					Storage of	
		capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been					Chemical Waste	
		approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese						
		in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the						
		regulation.						
		The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled						٨
		and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at						
		least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of						
		sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the						
		largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in						
		that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation;						
		covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that						
		incompatible materials are adequately separated.						

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
		Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste						٨
		collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such						
		as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a						
		chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary						
		storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under						
		approval from the EPD.						
Land Co	ntamination					•		

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
S12.12	LC2	Re-sampling at NTSAMC	To analyse cyanide (free)	Contractor	Site L1	After the site	Practice Guide	
		The soil re-sampling and analysis of cyanide (free) at Site L1	at		(NT South	is resumed	(PG) forInvestigation	٨
		(NT South Animal Centre) should be conducted after the site is	Site L1 (NT South Animal		Animal Centre)	and handed	and	
		resumed and handed over to the Project Proponent.	Centre)			over to the	Remediation of	
		Following the completion of re-sampling and lab testing works				Project	ContaminatedLand	٨
		of this site, a second Supplementary CAR and Supplementary				Proponent	GN/GM for land	
		RAP (if contamination is confirmed) shall be prepared and					contamination	
		submitted to EPD for agreement.					Risk-Based	
		Supplementary Remediation Report (RR) shall also be					Remediation Goals	٨
		prepared and submitted to EPD for endorsement prior to the						
		commencement of any construction/ development works at Site						
		L1 (NT South Animal Centre)						
Hazard t	to Life							
Chapter	A13C.8	Installation of on-site gas monitors in all relevant SCL	To reduce the risks to the	MTRC/	Guardhouse next	Construction		٨
13.13		construction/operation areas;	SCL staff, construction	Contractor	to Site Entrance	and		
			workers and passengers		(Opposite to Hin	operation		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended Measures & Main Concerns to	Who to implement the	Location of the measures	When to Implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to	Status
			address	measures?		incusures:	achieve?	
					Keng Street)	phases		
Chapter	A13C.8	Establishment of emergency response and evacuation plans	To reduce the risks to the	MTRC/	-	Construction		٨
13.13		(cooperation of various parties/departments required. For	SCL staff,	Contractor		and		
		theoperational phase the emergency plan should also include	constructionworkers and			operation		
		adequate procedures for controlling the tunnel ventilation system	passengers			phases		
		and stopping of the SCL train traffic in order to prevent the trains						
		moving into the affected areas.)						
Chapter	A13C.8	Safety/emergency response/evacuation training and drills for all	To reduce the risks to the	MTRC/	-	Construction		٨
13.13		personnel	SCL staff,	Contractor		and		
			constructionworkers and			operation		
			passengers			phases		
EM&A P	roject	1	I			ı	ı	

E-23

EIA Ref.	EM&A	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the	Who to	Location of the	When to	What requirements	Status
	Log Ref		recommended Measures	implement	measures	Implement the	or standards for	
			& Main Concerns to	the		measures?	the measures to	
			address	measures?			achieve?	
S 14.2	EM1	An Independent Environmental Checker needs to	Control EM&A	MTR	All construction	Construction	EIAO Guidance	٨
		be employed as per the EM&A Manual.	Performance	Corporation	sites	stage	Note No.4/2010	
							• TM-EIAO	
S 14.2 –	EM2	An Environmental Team needs to be employed as	Perform environmental	MTR	All construction	Construction	EIAO Guidance	٨
14.4		per the EM&A Manual	monitoring & auditing	Corporation/	sites	stage	Note No.4/2010	
		Prepare a systematic Environmental		Contractor			• TM-EIAO	٨
		Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the						
		mitigation measures.						
		An environmental impact monitoring needs to be						٨
		implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the						
		requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied						
		with.						

Remarks:

- ^ Compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.

N/A Not Applicable

APPENDIX F EVENT AND ACTION PLANS

Appendix F - Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring during Construction Phase

FVENT		A	ACTION	
EVENT	Works Contract 1102 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the Contractor, IEC and ER on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;	Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal as appropriate.

LIMIT LEVEL				
1.Exceedance for one	Inform the IEC, Contractor and ER;	Check monitoring data submitted	Confirm receipt of notification of	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes
sample	2. Repeat measurement to confirm	by the ET;	exceedance in writing;	of exceedance;
	findings;	2. Check the Contractor's working	2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET;	2. Take immediate action to avoid further
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	method;	3. Review and agree on the remedial	exceedance;
	4. Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and	measures proposed by the Contractor;	3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to
	on the remedial measures and assess	Contractor on possible remedial	4. Supervise implementation of remedial	ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three
	the effectiveness.	measures;	measures.	working days of notification;
		4. Review and advise the ER and ET		4. Implement the agreed proposals;
		on the effectiveness of		5. Amend proposal if appropriate.
		Contractor's remedial measures.		
2.Exceedance for two or more	1. Notify IEC, Contractor and EPD;	Check monitoring data submitted	Confirm receipt of notification of	Identify source(s) and investigate the causes
consecutive samples	2. Repeat measurement to confirm	by the ET;	exceedance in writing;	of exceedance;
	findings;	2. Check the Contractor's working	2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET;	2. Take immediate action to avoid further
	3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	method;	3. In consultation with the ET and IEC,	exceedance;
	4. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's	3. Discuss with ET, ER, and	agree with the Contractor on the	3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to
	working procedures with the ER to	Contractor on the potential	remedial measures to be implemented;	the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within
	determine possible mitigation to be	remedial measures;	4. Supervise the implementation of	three working days of notification;
	implemented;	4. Review and advise the ER and ET	remedial measures;	4. Implement the agreed proposals;
	5. Arrange meeting with the IEC,	on the effectiveness of	5. If exceedance continues, consider	5. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem
	Contractor and ER to discuss the	Contractor's remedial measures.	what portion of the work is responsible	still not under control;
	remedial measures to be taken;		and instruct the Contractor to stop that	6. Stop the relevant portion of works as
	6. Review the effectiveness of the		portion of work until the exceedance is	determined by the ER until the exceedance
	Contractor's remedial measures and		abated.	is abated.
	keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the			
	results;			
	7. If exceedance stops, cease additional			
	monitoring.			

Event and Action Plan for Noise Monitoring during Construction Phase

EVENT			ACTION	
	Works Contract 1102 ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and ER Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness 	 Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures	 Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	 Notify the IEC, Contractor and EPD Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, ER and EPD informed of the results 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. 	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Notify the Contractor, IEC and ET 3. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	 Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during Construction Phase

Action Level	Works Contract 1102 ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Non-conformity on	1. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and	Check inspection report	Confirm receipt of	Identify Source and
one occasion	the ER	2. Check the Contractor's working	notification of non-	investigate the non-conformity
	2. Discuss remedial actions with the	method	conformity in writing	2. Implement remedial
	IEC, the ER and the Contractor	3. Discuss with the ET, ER and	2. Review and agree on the	measures
	3. Monitor remedial actions until	the Contractor on possible remedial	remedial measures proposed by	3. Amend working methods
	rectification has been completed	measures	the Contractor	agreed with the ER as
		4. Advise the ER on effectiveness	3. Supervise implementation	appropriate
		of proposed remedial measures.	of remedial measures	4. Rectify damage and
				undertake any necessary
				replacement
Repeated Non-	Identify Source	Check inspection report	Notify the Contractor	Identify Source and
conformity	2. Inform the Contractor, the IEC and	2. Check the Contractor's working	2. In consultation with the ET	investigate the non-conformity
	the ER	method	and IEC, agree with the	2. Implement remedial
	3. Increase inspection frequency	3. Discuss with the ET and the	Contractor on the remedial	measures
	4. Discuss remedial actions with the	Contractor on possible remedial	measures to be implemented	3. Amend working methods
	IEC, the ER and the Contractor	measures	3. Supervise implementation	agreed with the ER as
	5. Monitor remedial actions until	4. Advise the ER on effectiveness	of remedial measures.	appropriate
	rectification has been completed	of proposed remedial measures		4. Rectify damage and
	6. If non-conformity stops, cease			undertake any necessary
	additional monitoring			replacement. Stop relevant
				portion of works as determined
				by the ER until the
				non-conformity is abated.

APPENDIX G WASTE GENERATION IN THE REPORTING MONTH

Name of Contractor: Penta-Ocean Construction Co. Ltd.

Waste Flow Table for Year 2017

Month	A	ctual Quantitie	es of Inert C&I	Materials Ge	nerated Montl	nly	Actua	al Quantities o	f C&D Wastes	Generated Mo	onthly
	Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (See Note 1)	Sorting	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)				(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan-17 (See Note 2)	0.2040	0	0	0	0.2040	0	0	0	0	0	0.0660
Feb-17											
Mar-17											
Apr-17											
May-17											
Jun-17											
Sub-total	0.2040	0	0	0	0.2040	0	0	0	0	0	0.0660
Jul-17											
Aug-17											
Sep-17											
Oct-17											
Nov-17											
Dec-17											
Total	0.2040	0	0	0	0.2040	0	0	0	0	0	0.0660

Note: (1) Inert C&D materials include excavated soil and rock. 204.0 m³ of inert C&D materials were delivered to Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank during the reporting month.

Note: (2) The cut-off date of waste flow table in reporting month was 26 Jan 2017.

APPENDIX H
LOG AND CUMULATIVE SUMMARY
TABLE FOR COMPLAINTS,
NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND
SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

Appendix H - Log and Cumulative Summary Table for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Reporting Month: January 2017

Complaint Log

Complaint	- 8				
Log Ref.	Date/Location	Complainant/ Date of Contact	Details of Complaint	Investigation/ Mitigation Action	Status
				1	

Log for Notifications of Summons

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since project commencement

Log for Successful Prosecutions

Log Ref.	Date/Location	Subject	Status	Total no. Received in this reporting month	Total no. Received since the commencement of the project

Cumulative Summary Table for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints	Number of Notifications of Summons	Number of Successful Prosecution
October 2013	0	0	0
November 2013	0	0	0
December 2013	0	0	0
January 2014	0	0	0
February 2014	0	0	0
March 2014	0	0	0
April 2014	0	0	0
May 2014	0	0	0
June 2014	0	0	0
July 2014	0	0	0
August 2014	0	0	0
September 2014	0	0	0
October 2014	0	0	0
November 2014	1	0	0
December 2014	0	0	0

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints	Number of Notifications of Summons	Number of Successful Prosecution
January 2015	0	0	0
February 2015	0	0	0
March 2015	0	0	0
April 2015	0	0	0
May 2015	0	0	0
June 2015	0	0	0
July 2015	0	0	0
August 2015	0	0	0
September 2015	0	0	0
October 2015	0	0	0
November 2015	0	0	0
December 2015	0	0	0
January 2016	0	0	0
February 2016	0	0	0
March 2016	0	0	0
April 2016	0	0	0

Reporting Month	Number of Complaints	Number of Notifications of Summons	Number of Successful Prosecution
May 2016	0	0	0
June 2016	0	0	0
July 2016	0	0	0
August 2016	0	0	0
September 2016	0	0	0
October 2016	0	0	0
November 2016	0	0	0
December 2016	0	0	0
January 2017	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0