

MTR Corporation Limited

**Shatin to Central Link - Tai Wai to Hung
Hom Section [SCL(TAW – HUH)] and
Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard
[SCL(HHS)]**

Final Operational Airborne Rail Noise
Monitoring Report

(December 2021)

Verified by: Claudine Lee 

Position: Independent Environmental Checker

Date: 22 Dec 2021

MTR Corporation Limited

**Shatin to Central Link - Tai Wai to Hung
Hom Section [SCL(TAW – HUH)] and
Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard
[SCL(HHS)]**

**Final Operational Airborne Rail Noise
Monitoring Report**

(December 2021)

Certified by: _____ Lisa Poon  _____

Position: Environmental Team Leader

Date: 22 December 2021




MTR Corporation Limited

Consultancy Agreement No. C11033

**Shatin to Central Link - Tai Wai to Hung
Hom Section [SCL(TAW – HUH)] and
Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight
Yard [SCL(HHS)]**

**Final Operational Airborne Rail Noise
Monitoring Report**

December 2021

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Isaac Chu	
Reviewed & Approved:	 Freeman Cheung	

Version:	A	Date: 6 December 2021
----------	---	-----------------------

This Report is prepared for MTR Corporation Limited and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Consultancy Agreement No. C11033 and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than MTR Corporation Limited without our prior written consent. No person (other than MTR Corporation Limited) into whose possession a copy of this Report comes may rely on this Report without our express written consent and MTR Corporation Limited may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.
12/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 3922 9797 www.aecom.com

Table of Content

	Page
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Purpose of the Report	2
2 OPERATIONAL RAIL NOISE MONITORING AT WING FUNG BUILDING	3
2.1 Monitoring Equipment	3
2.2 Monitoring Parameter.....	3
2.3 Monitoring Location and Date	3
2.4 Monitoring Procedures	3
2.5 Data Analysis	4
2.6 Result and Observation	4
3 SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT WING FUNG BUILDING	6
3.1 Noise Monitoring Periods	6
3.2 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results.....	6
4 OVERALL REVIEW OF AIRBORNE RAIL NOISE MONITORING RESULTS DURING TML OPERATION	7
5 CONCLUSION	8

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Monitoring Location and Schedule of Noise Monitoring
Table 2.3	Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Result at Wing Fung Building
Table 3.1	Summary of Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results
Table 4.2	Summary of Overall Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results

List of Figures

C11033/C/SCL/ACM/M52/072	Location of Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Point (Wing Fung Building)
--------------------------	--

List of Appendix

Appendix A	Calibration Records of Monitoring Equipment
Appendix B	Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Shatin to Central Link (SCL) is a 17km extension of the existing Ma On Shan Line (MOL) and East Rail Line (EAL) comprising (i) The East-West Corridor which extends the MOL from Tai Wai to Hung Hom via East Kowloon to connect with the West Rail Line (WRL) at Hung Hom Station (HUH) and Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard (HHS); and (ii) The North-South Corridor which is an extension of the EAL at Hung Hom across the harbour to Admiralty Station (ADM).
- 1.1.2 The SCL Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section [SCL (TAW-HUH)] included a total of 7 stations, including Hin Keng Station (HIK), Diamond Hill Station (DIH), Kai Tak Station (KAT), Sung Wong Toi Station (SUW) (formerly named as To Kwa Wan Station (TKW) in SCL(TAW-HUH) EIA), To Kwa Wan Station (formerly named as Ma Tau Wai Station (MTW) in SCL (TAW-HUH) EIA Report), Ho Man Tin Station (HOM) and Hung Hom Station (HUH).
- 1.1.3 Following the cessation of the operations of various freight facilities at Hung Hom in April 2011, MTR Corporation Limited started a detailed study to investigate the feasibility and environmental acceptability of utilizing the former freight yard to accommodate the train stabling requirements for SCL (TAW-HUH). To allow Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard (HHS) feasible for the use of stabling, in addition to providing siding tracks underneath the existing podium structure covering the freight yard, and launching/retrieval and emergency tracks and shunt neck extending outside the podium, appropriate changes were made to the design of SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section [SCL (MKK-HUH)] at HUH, Kai Tak Station (KAT) and Diamond Hill Station (DIH) and its associated alignment and facilities.
- 1.1.4 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Reports for SCL – Tai Wai to Hung Hom Section [SCL (TAW-HUH)] (Register No. AEIAR-167/2012), SCL Stabling Sidings at Hung Hom Freight Yard [SCL (HHS)] (Register No. AEIAR-164/2012) and SCL - Mong Kok East to Hung Hom Section [SCL(MKK – HUH)] (Register No. AEIAR-165/2012) (hereinafter referred to as “the EIA Reports”) were approved on 17 February 2012 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). The alignment and associated facilities under SCL (TAW-HUH) at HUH, KAT and DIH, and SCL (MKK-HUH) at HUH were superseded by those proposed and assessed in SCL (HHS) EIA Report.
- 1.1.5 Following the approval of the EIA Reports, the Environmental Permit EP-438/2012 covering the construction of both SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS) (hereinafter referred to as “the Project”) was granted on 22 March 2012. Variations of Environmental Permit (VEP) were subsequently applied for EP-438/2012 and the latest Environmental Permit (EP No: EP-438/2012/K) was issued by Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 4 October 2016.
- 1.1.6 In accordance with Section 8.9 of the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manuals for SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS), monitoring of $L_{eq,30min}$ airborne rail noise levels will be carried out at the proposed monitoring locations during night-time period, i.e. 2300-0700 hours on a monthly basis after SCL (TAW-HUH) and SCL (HHS) is in operation. The noise monitoring will be conducted for the initial start-up of up to 6 months and can be terminated before the end of this 6-month period with full compliance of the noise limit and agreement from IEC.
- 1.1.7 An Operational Rail Noise Monitoring Plan (hereinafter referred to as “the Plan”), which was provided in Appendix A of the Monthly Operational Airborne Rail Noise Monitoring Report (Wing Fung Building) No. 1 (July 2021), specifying monitoring locations, monitoring methodology and noise criteria was agreed by EPD on 14 February 2020.
- 1.1.8 The SCL(TAW-HUH) connects the West Rail Line (WRL) and Ma On Shan Line (MOL) to form Tuen Ma Line (TML). The 1st phase operation of TML, covering three new stations at Hin

Keng, Diamond Hill and Kai Tak, had commenced on 14 February 2020. Six months operational airborne rail noise monitoring for 1st phase operation at Tower 1, Festival City Phase II (TAW-P1-2) was completed in July 2020. The full operation of TML, i.e. between Wu Kai Sha Station and Tuen Mun Station, had commenced on 27 June 2021. As such the operational airborne rail noise monitoring for full operation has commenced accordingly. Given that the night-time train frequency between Tai Wai and Hin Keng section for the TML full operation and that for TML 1st phase operation remained the same, the monthly rail noise monitoring at Festival City is considered not necessary and therefore the monthly rail noise monitoring for full operation was only carried out at Wing Fung Building (HUH-1-3).

- 1.1.9 AECOM Asia Co. Ltd (AECOM) was commissioned by MTRC to conduct the operational rail noise monitoring for the TML full operation according to the agreed Plan.

1.2 Purpose of the Report

- 1.2.1 The full operation of TML commenced on 27 June 2021. This is the final operational noise monitoring report presenting the monitoring result obtained between 27 November and 26 December 2021 (i.e. 6th monitoring period) at Wing Fung Building, and summarizing the monitoring results obtained at both monitoring stations since the operation of TML on 14 February 2020.

2 OPERATIONAL RAIL NOISE MONITORING AT WING FUNG BUILDING

2.1 Monitoring Equipment

- 2.1.1 In accordance with the Plan, sound level meter in compliance with the prevailing International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 60651 (Type 1) and 60804 (Type 1) specifications was used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating 94dB at 1000 Hz. Measurement was considered to be valid with the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement within 1.0 dB. **Table 2.1** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model used for monitoring in the final monitoring period.

Table 2.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	• B&K 2250-L (Serial No. 2681366)
Calibrator	• B&K 4321 (Serial No. 3006428)

2.2 Monitoring Parameter

- 2.2.1 A $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$ was obtained during night-time normal train operation on a monthly basis.

2.3 Monitoring Location and Date

- 2.3.1 The operational airborne noise monitoring for full operation of TML was conducted at Wing Fung Building (HUH-1-3) on 3 December 2021.
- 2.3.2 The corresponding monitoring location during the reporting period was shown in **Figure C11033/C/SCL/ACM/M52/072**.
- 2.3.3 Summary of the monitoring location and monitoring date during the reporting period are shown in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Monitoring Location and Schedule of Noise Monitoring

Monitoring ID	NSR ID	Description	Type	Measurement Floor ⁽¹⁾	ASR	Monitoring Date
NMS-OA-2	HUH-1-3	Wing Fung Building	Residential	1/F	C ⁽²⁾	3 December 2021

Remarks:

- (1) The measurement was conducted at the worst affected floor as identified in the SCL(HHS) EIA Report.
- (2) Based on latest available information, Annual Traffic Census 2020 (ATC 2020), Chatham Road North located to the immediate north-west of HUH-1-3, is considered as an Influencing Factor (IF) with the AADT of this road section more than 30,000 (i.e. 105,040 as recorded in ATC 2020). As HUH-1-3 is located in "Urban Area" and the noise from IF was readily noticeable at the monitoring location during the monitoring, the ASR "C" was assigned to HUH-1-3.

2.4 Monitoring Procedures

- 2.4.1 During the noise monitoring, the following procedures were followed:
- All measurements were made in facade type. The microphone of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the sensitive receivers and lowered sufficiently so that the external wall of the building acts as a reflecting surface.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the duration of measurement were set as follows:
 - Frequency weighting : A

- Time weighting : Fast
- Duration of measurement : $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min}$ (with data being logged at every one second)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the sound level meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB (A), the measurement is considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement should be required after repair or re-calibration of the equipment.
- Details were recorded when intrusive noise was observed. Noise sources and duration were also recorded during the measurement process.
- All the monitoring data within the sound level meter system were downloaded through the computer software. All these data were then checked and reviewed properly.
- The weather condition during the monitoring period was recorded by the monitoring staff.
- Noise monitoring was conducted in the absence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed lower than 5 m/s, or wind with gusts lower than 10 m/s.

2.4.2 Calibration certificates for the equipment employed for monitoring (**Table 2.1** refers) are presented in **Appendix A**.

2.5 Data Analysis

2.5.1 Overall noise level, $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)}$, represents the noise level recorded during the 30-minute Sampling Time Period, including the time periods with and without the TML, EAL and intercity trains passed in front of the monitoring station. Background noise levels, $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (background)}$ was evaluated by discarding logged data for the period when the TML and EAL passed in front of the monitoring station.

2.5.2 The noise level contributed by TML and EAL pass-by, $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (event)}$, was calculated by subtracting the background noise level $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (background)}$ from the overall noise level $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)}$ in accordance with standard acoustical principles. In the event of $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)}$ is lower than $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (background)}$, $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (event)}$ would be evaluated by applying a correction of -12 dB(A) to $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)}$, following same approach as adopted in MOL 8-car train operation noise monitoring conducted in 2017.

2.5.3 The operation rail noise level is considered in compliance with NCO noise criteria if one of following conditions is satisfied:

- Operation rail noise level, $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (event)}$, do not exceed ANL; or
- Overall noise level is comparable to background noise level (i.e. $L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)} - L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (background)} < 3\ dB$).

2.6 Result and Observation

2.6.1 Night-time operation rail noise monitoring was carried out at HUH-1-3 during the reporting period. All monitoring data and graphical presentation of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix B**. **Table 2.3** summarises the monitoring result obtained.

Table 2.3 Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Result at Wing Fung Building

Monitoring Date	Time	Noise Level, $L_{Aeq, 30\ min}$, dB(A)					
		$L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (overall)}$	$L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (background)}$	Difference	$L_{Aeq\ 30\ min\ (event)}$	ANL	Compliance
3 December 2021	06:30-07:00	69.5	68.1	1.4	63.9	60	Yes

- 2.6.2 According to site observation, train noise from TML was inaudible at the measurement location. The dominant noise sources included road traffic noise from Chatham Road North and EAL.
- 2.6.3 With the satisfaction of either one of conditions set out in **Section 2.5.3**, the monitoring result indicated the compliance of operation rail noise level with NCO noise criteria.

3 SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT WING FUNG BUILDING

3.1 Noise Monitoring Periods

3.1.1 A 6-month night-time operational airborne rail noise monitoring was conducted at Wing Fung Building during full phase operation of TML, from 27 June to 26 December 2021.

3.2 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results

3.2.1 All the night-time noise monitoring results recorded at Wing Fung Building demonstrated full compliance with NCO noise criteria throughout the monitoring periods.

3.2.2 The night-time noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.1**, with graphical presentation of the trend of noise over the monitoring periods (from 27 June to 26 December 2021) provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 3.1 Summary of Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Time	Noise Level, $L_{Aeq, 30 \text{ min}}$, dB(A)					ANL ⁽²⁾	Compliance
		$L_{Aeq 30\text{min}}$ (overall)	$L_{Aeq 30\text{min}}$ (background)	Difference	$L_{Aeq 30\text{min}}$ (event) ⁽¹⁾			
10 July 2021	06:30 – 07:00	67.6	66.6	1.0	60.7	60	Yes	
18 August 2021	06:30 – 07:00	68.2	67.9	0.3	56.6	60	Yes	
16 September 2021	06:30 – 07:00	68.9	68.4	0.5	59.7	60	Yes	
19 October 2021	06:30 – 07:00	69.3	68.3	1.0	62.7	60	Yes	
9 November 2021	06:30 – 07:00	69.9	69.0	0.9	62.6	60	Yes	
3 December 2021	06:30 – 07:00	69.5	68.1	1.4	63.9	60	Yes	

Notes:

- (1) Corrections for tonality, impulsiveness and intermittency are not applicable in accordance with the *Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites*
- (2) Acceptable Noise Level (ANL) is determined from Table 2 of the *Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites*.

3.2.3 According to site observation, train noise from TML was inaudible at the measurement location throughout the monitoring periods. The dominant noise sources included road traffic noise from Chatham Road North and EAL.

4 OVERALL REVIEW OF AIRBORNE RAIL NOISE MONITORING RESULTS DURING TML OPERATION

4.1.1 The operational airborne rail noise monitoring at Festival City was completed in July 2020 for 1st phase operation of TML, and the rail noise monitoring at Wing Fung Building was also completed in December 2021. A summary of the overall airborne rail noise monitoring results is presented in **Table 4.1**. To evaluate the rail noise levels according to the peak train frequency (i.e. total 12 trains per 30 min in both directions), a sensitive test was conducted, and the predicted rail noise levels are presented in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Summary of Overall Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Time	Measured Train Noise Level, $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$, dB(A)	Total no. of train pass by in both directions during 30-min measurement	Correction for train frequency ⁽¹⁾ , dB(A)	Predicted Train Noise Level, $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$, dB(A)	ANL ⁽²⁾ , $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$, dB(A)	Compliance
Tower 1, Festival City Phase II (Refuge Floor)⁽³⁾							
17 Feb 2020	23:30 – 00:00	56.2	10	0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	57.0	60	Yes
19 Mar 2020	23:30 – 00:00	56.1		0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	56.9	60	Yes
18 Apr 2020	23:00 – 23:30	55.7		0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	56.5	60	Yes
29 May 2020	23:30 – 00:00	56.5		0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	57.3	60	Yes
17 Jun 2020	23:30 – 00:00	56.6		0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	57.4	60	Yes
14 Jul 2020	23:00 – 00:00	57.4		0.8 ⁽⁴⁾	58.2	60	Yes
Wing Fu Building (1/F)							
10 Jul 2021	06:30 – 07:00	60.7 ⁽⁵⁾	12	- ⁽⁶⁾	-	60	Yes
18 Aug 2021	06:30 – 07:00	56.6 ⁽⁵⁾		-	-	60	Yes
16 Sep 2021	06:30 – 07:00	59.7 ⁽⁵⁾		-	-	60	Yes
19 Oct 2021	06:30 – 07:00	62.7 ⁽⁵⁾		-	-	60	Yes
9 Nov 2021	06:30 – 07:00	62.6 ⁽⁵⁾		-	-	60	Yes
3 Dec 2021	06:30 – 07:00	63.9 ⁽⁵⁾		-	-	60	Yes

Notes:

- (1) A sensitivity test was conducted to check the rail noise level based on peak night-time train frequency of 6 trains per 30 min per direction (i.e. total 12 trains per 30 min in both directions), which is the same assumption of operation parameter as adopted in SCL(TAW-HUH) and SCL(HHS) EIA Reports. Correction factor is based on $10 \log(12 / \text{total no. of train pass by during 30-min measurement})$.
- (2) Acceptable Noise Level (ANL) is determined from Table 2 of the Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites.
- (3) Results are extracted from Appendix B of Final Operational Airborne Rail Noise Monitoring Report (Festival City) (Feb 2020 to Aug 2020). (<https://www.epd.gov.hk/eia/register/english/permit/vep5062016/documents/fpmr202002to202008/pdf/fpmr202002to202008.pdf>)
- (4) The night-time train frequency between Tai Wai and Hin Keng section for the TML full operation and that for TML 1st phase operation remained the same (i.e. total 10 trains per 30 min in both directions). Correction factor is therefore based on $10 \log(12/10)$.
- (5) The operation rail noise levels complied with NCO noise criteria as the overall noise level is comparable to background noise level (i.e. $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$ (overall) - $L_{Aeq\ 30min}$ (background) < 3 dB).
- (6) The total sum of train pass by in both directions between Ho Man Tin and Hung Hom section during the monitoring periods was 12, and thus correction for train frequency is deemed not necessary.

4.1.2 The operational airborne rail noise monitoring results in **Table 4.1** demonstrated the full compliance of the NCO noise criteria under the full operation of TML, and also the requirements stated in the approved EM&A Manual has been fulfilled. With the agreement from IEC, the operational airborne rail noise monitoring at both Festival City Phase II (Tower 1) and Wing Fung Building have been terminated accordingly.


5 CONCLUSION

- 5.1.1 The full operation of TML commenced on 27 June 2021. In accordance with the approved Plan, the night-time noise monitoring was carried out on a monthly basis since 27 June 2021. Operational rail noise monitoring for full operation of TML has been undertaken for 6 months between 27 June and 26 December 2021. The sixth monthly night-time noise monitoring was conducted on 3 December 2021 at Wing Fung Building, and the monitoring results indicated the operation rail noise levels complied with NCO noise criteria since 27 June 2021.
- 5.1.2 The operational airborne rail noise monitoring at Festival City was completed in July 2020 for 1st phase operation of TML, and the rail noise monitoring at Wing Fung Building was also completed in December 2021. All the night-time noise levels recorded at the selected monitoring stations (i.e. Festival City Phase II (Tower 1) and Wing Fung Building) complied with the NCO criteria throughout the monitoring periods. While the noise performance of the full operation of TML fulfilled the condition specified in Section 8.9 of the approved EM&A Manual, with the agreement from IEC, the operational airborne rail noise monitoring at both Festival City Phase II (Tower 1) and Wing Fung Building have been terminated accordingly.

Figure

Maps reproduced with permission of the Director of Lands, © Hong Kong Government

PLOT DRY: V:\usr\mtr\p1\DRIVER\WINDOWS_13_C0C006.dgn 2021/7/14 16:23:31
 MODEL: P:\PROJECTS\G0273495\DRAWING\REPORT\C11033_C_SCL_ACM_M52_072.dgn
 FILENAME:



- LEGEND:**
- SCL ALIGNMENT
 - HHS TRACKS
 - REPRESENTATIVE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVER (NSR)
 - 300m STUDY AREA
 - HUNG HOM STABILING SIDINGS
 - NOISE MONITORING POINT

DRAWN	ZFX
DESIGNED	GL
CHECKED	ST
APPROVED	ST
DATE	16/AUG/2019

MTR

SHATIN TO CENTRAL LINK

AECOM

ORIGINATOR

CADD REF. C11033_C_SCL_ACM_M52_072.dgn

TITLE		C11033	
SCL (TAW - HUH)		LOCATION OF OPERATION RAIL NOISE MONITORING POINT (WING FUNG BUILDING)	
SCALE	FIGURE NO.	REV.	
1 : 4000 (A3)	C11033/C/SCL/ACM/M52/072	A	

REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED	REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED
A	FIRST ISSUE	GL	14APR17						

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED ON SITE. (C) MTR CORPORATION LIMITED 2008. COPYRIGHT IN RESPECT OF THIS DRAWING / DOCUMENT IS OWNED BY THE MTR CORPORATION LIMITED OF HONG KONG. NO REPRODUCTION OF THE DRAWING / DOCUMENT OR ANY PART BY WHATEVER MEANS IS PERMITTED WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE MTR CORPORATION LIMITED.

Appendix A

Calibration Records of Monitoring Equipment



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0319 01-01 Page 1 of 2

Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone	Preamp
Manufacturer:	B & K	B & K	B & K
Type/Model No.:	2250-L	4950	ZC0032
Serial/Equipment No.:	2681366	2665582	17190
Adaptors used:	-	-	-

Item submitted by

Customer Name:	AECOM ASIA CO LTD
Address of Customer:	-
Request No.:	-
Date of receipt:	19-Mar-2021

Date of test: 23-Mar-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	23-Aug-2021	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	19-May-2021	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:	22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity:	55 ± 10 %
Air pressure:	1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responses of the Sound Level Meter.


Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:


Feng Junqi

Date: 24-Mar-2021

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 21CA0319 01-01

Page 2 of 2

1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Frequency weightings			
Time weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
	Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip
23-Mar-2021

Checked by:

Date:

Chan Yuk Yiu
24-Mar-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0401 02

Page: 1 of 2

Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Manufacturer: B & K
Type/Model No.: 4231
Serial/Equipment No.: 3006428
Adaptors used: -

Item submitted by

Customer: AECOM
Address of Customer: -
Request No.: -
Date of receipt: 01-Apr-2021

Date of test: 05-Apr-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	11-May-2021	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2743150	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	18-May-2021	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	18-May-2021	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity: 55 ± 10 %
Air pressure: 1010 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.


Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Feng Junqi

Date: 07-Apr-2021

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 21CA0401 02

Page: 2 of 2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	(Output level in dB re 20 μ Pa)
			Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	94.23	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz STF = 0.016 dB
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz Actual Frequency = 999.95 Hz
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.1 Hz Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:


At 1000 Hz TND = 0.3 %
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -


Calibrated by:

Date:


Fung Chi Yip
05-Apr-2021

Checked by:

Date:


Chan Yuk Yiu
07-Apr-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

Appendix B

Train Operation Noise Monitoring Results

Appendix B Operation Rail Noise Monitoring Results

Date	Time	L_{Aeq} 30min (overall), dB(A)	L_{Aeq} 30min (Background), dB(A)	Difference, dB(A)	L_{Aeq} 30min (event), dB(A)	ANL, dB(A)	Compliance (Yes/No)
7/10/2021	06:30 - 07:00	67.6	66.6	1.0	60.7	60	Yes
8/18/2021	06:30 - 07:00	68.2	67.9	0.3	56.6	60	Yes
9/16/2021	06:30 - 07:00	68.9	68.4	0.5	59.7	60	Yes
10/19/2021	06:30 - 07:00	69.3	68.3	1.0	62.7	60	Yes
11/9/2021	06:30 - 07:00	69.9	69.0	0.9	62.6	60	Yes
12/3/2021	06:30 - 07:00	69.5	68.1	1.4	63.9	60	Yes

Operation Rail Noise Monitoring at Wing Fung Building

