
Vol. 2 of 5 FEP-01/457/2013/C Central Kowloon Route Kai Tak West Contract No. HY/2014/07 January 2024





Gammon Construction Limited

Central Kowloon Route

Works Contract HY/2014/07 – Central Kowloon Route – Kai Tak West

Monthly EM&A Report for January 2024

[February 2024]

| | Name | Signature |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Prepared & Checked: | Ho Pui Yin Kevin | Kn |
| Reviewed, Approved & Certified: | Y. W. Fung | 7 |

| Version: 0 Da | ate: 06 Februa | ry 2024 |
|---------------|----------------|---------|

Disclaimer

This Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report is prepared for Gammon Construction Limited and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Contract HY/2014/07 and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than Gammon Construction Limited without our prior written consent. No person (other than Gammon Construction Limited into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this plan without our express written consent and Gammon Construction Limited may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.





Environmental Permit No. EP-457/2013/D

Central Kowloon Route

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

| | ` ' ' |
|--|--|
| | |
| Reference Document/Plan | |
| Document/ Plan to be Certified / Verified: | Monthly EM&A Report No.70 (January 2024) |
| Date of Report: | 6 February 2024 |
| Date received by IEC: | 6 February 2024 |

Kai Tak West (HY/2014/07)

Reference EP Condition

Works Contract:

Environmental Permit Condition: 3.4

Submission of Monthly EM&A Report of the Project

3.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as complying with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/ $\frac{1}{plan}$ complies with the above referenced condition of EP-457/2013/D and FEP-01/457/2013/C.

Mondy 20.

Ms Mandy To Date: 6 February 2024

Independent Environmental Checker

Our ref: 0436942_IEC Verification Cert_KTW_Monthly EM&A Rpt No.70.docx

Table of Contents

| | | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| 1.1 | Purpose of the Report | 5 |
| 1.2 | Report Structure | |
| 2 | PROJECT INFORMATION | 6 |
| 2.1 | Background | 6 |
| 2.2 | Site Description | |
| 2.3 | Construction Programme and Activities | |
| 2.4 | Project Organization | |
| 2.5 | Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits | |
| 3 | ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 10 |
| 3.1 | Construction Dust Monitoring | 10 |
| 3.2 | Construction Noise Monitoring | 13 |
| 3.3 | Landscape and Visual | |
| 4 | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES | 15 |
| 5 | MONITORING RESULTS | 16 |
| 5.1 | Construction Dust Monitoring | 16 |
| 5.2 | Regular Construction Noise Monitoring | |
| 5.3 | Waste Management | |
| 5.4 | Landscape and Visual | |
| 6 | ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT | 18 |
| 7 | ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE | 19 |
| 7.1 | Summary of Monitoring Exceedances | 19 |
| 7.2 | Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance | 19 |
| 7.3 | Summary of Environmental Complaints | 19 |
| 7.4 | Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions | |
| 8 | FUTURE KEY ISSUES | 20 |
| 8.1 | Construction Programme for the Next Three Months | 20 |
| 8.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | |
| 8.3 | Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month | |
| 9 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 21 |
| 9.1 | Conclusions | 21 |
| 0.2 | Pacammandations | 21 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1 | Construction Activities in the reporting month | 7 |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 2.2 | Contact Information of Key Personnel | |
| Table 2.3 | Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits | |
| Table 3.1 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment | |
| Table 3.2 | Location of Construction Dust Monitoring Station | |
| Table 3.3 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | |
| Table 3.4 | Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring | |
| Table 3.5 | Noise Monitoring Stations during Construction Phase | |
| Table 3.6 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | |
| Table 4.1 | Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit | 15 |
| Table 5.1 | Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period | |
| Table 5.2 | Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period | 16 |
| Table 5.3 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period | 16 |
| Table 6.1 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit | 18 |
| Table 8.1 | Construction Activities in the coming three months | 20 |
| | | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 Site Layout Plan |
|-----------------------------|
|-----------------------------|

Figure 3.1 Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station Figure 3.2 Locations of Noise Monitoring Station

List of Appendices

| Appendix A | Construction Programme |
|------------|---|
| Appendix B | Project Organization Structure |
| Appendix C | Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures |
| Appendix D | Summary of Action and Limit Levels |
| Appendix E | Calibration Certificates of Equipment |
| Appendix F | EM&A Monitoring Schedules |
| Appendix G | Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix H | Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix I | Event and Action Plan |
| Appendix J | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful |
| | Prosecutions |
| Appendix K | Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 2 February 2024

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Central Kowloon Route – Kai Tak West (CKR-KTW; Contract No. HY/2014/07) (hereafter called "the Project") covers part of the construction of the Central Kowloon Route (CKR).

The Project comprises the follow works:

- 50x30m access shaft with noise enclosure at Ma Tau Kok (MTK);
- 100m long cut-and-cover (C&C) tunnel at MTK;
- Demolition and re-provisioning of MTK Public Pier;
- 160m long underwater tunnel (UWT) (Stage 1);
- 210m long UWT (Stage 2);
- 60m long C&C tunnel at Kai Tak;
- 130m long depressed road and 200m long underpass at Kai Tak;
- 390m long underground tunnel ventilation audit at Kai Tak;
- · Seawall demolition and construction of new landing steps; and
- Barging Point enclosure and conveyor system.

The EM&A programme commenced on 4 April 2018. The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality and noise monitoring.

This is the 70th monthly EM&A Report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period between 1 and 31 January 2024. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period were:

| Locations | Site Activities |
|-------------|--|
| Kai Tak | - Defect rectification works at underpass, depressed road and C&C |
| Ma Tau Kok | - Temporary traffic management (TTM) implementation; - Excavation at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Tunnel structure construction at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Waterproofing works at MTK. |
| Kowloon Bay | Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at Stage 2 UWT;Tunnel structure construction at Stage 2 UWT;Waterproofing works at Stage 2 UWT. |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 3 February 2024

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

All 24-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit Levels at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.

All 1-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit Levels at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

Regular Noise Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

One (1) complaint was received in the reporting month (January 2024). The complaint was investigated and have been provided in this reporting month (January 2024).

Reporting Changes

No report changes in the reporting period.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the next three months included:

| Locations | Site Activities |
|-------------|--|
| Kai Tak | - Defect rectification works at underpass, depressed road and C&C |
| | - TTM implementation; |
| Ma Tau Kok | - Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at MTK C&C Tunnel; |
| | - Tunnel structure construction at MTK C&C Tunnel; |
| | - Waterproofing works and backfilling at MTK |
| | - Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at Stage 2 UWT; |
| Kowloon Bay | - Tunnel structure construction at Stage 2 UWT; |
| | - Waterproofing works and backfilling at Stage 2 UWT. |

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water pollution control, and waste management.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 4 February 2024

1 INTRODUCTION

Gammon Construction Limited was commissioned by the Highways Department as the Civil Contractor for Works Contract HY/2014/07. AECOM Asia Company Limited (AECOM) was appointed by Gammon Construction Limited as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Project.

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.1.1 This is the 70th monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the reporting period between 1 and 31 January 2024.

1.2 Report Structure

- 1.2.1 This monthly EM&A Report is organized as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction
 - Section 2: Project Information
 - Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement
 - Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
 - Section 5: Monitoring Results
 - Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
 - Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
 - Section 8: Future Key Issues
 - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 CKR is a dual 3-lane trunk road across central Kowloon linking the West Kowloon in the west and the Kai Tak Development (KTD) in the east. The CKR will be about 4.7 km long with an underground tunnel section of about 3.9 km long, in particular, there will be an underwater tunnel of about 370 m long in Kowloon Bay to the north of the To Kwa Wan Typhoon Shelter. It will connect the West Kowloon Highway at Yau Ma Tei Interchange with the road network at Kowloon Bay and the future Trunk Road T2 at KTD which will connect to the future Tseung Kwan O Lam Tin Tunnel (TKO-LTT) and Cross Bay Link (CBL). CKR, Trunk Road T2 and TKO-LTT will form a strategic highway link, namely Route 6, connecting West Kowloon and Tseung Kwan O. In addition, 3 ventilation buildings, which will be located in Ya Ma Tei, Ho Man Tin and ex-Kai Tak airport area, are proposed to ensure acceptable air quality within the tunnel.
- 2.1.2 The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Central Kowloon Route (Register No.: AEIAR-171/2013) was approved on 11 July 2013 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). Following the approval of the EIA Report, an Environmental Permit (EP) for CKR was granted on 9 August 2013 (EP No.: EP- 457/2013) for the construction and operation. Variation of EP (VEP) was subsequently applied and the latest EP (EP No. EP-457/2013/D) was issued by the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 15 June 2021. Further Environmental Permit (EP No. FEP-01/457/2013/C) for CKR Kai Tak West was issued on 28 February 2018.
- 2.1.3 The construction of the CKR had been divided into different sections. This Work Contract HY/2014/07 Kai Tak West (KTW) ("The Project") will include a road which is a trunk road, including new roads, and major extensions or improvements to existing roads; a road fully enclosed by decking above and by structure on the sides for more than 100 m; and reclamation works (including associated dredging works) more than 1 ha in size and a boundary of which is less than 100 m from an existing residential area.
- 2.1.4 The site layout plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The major construction activities under this Project include:
 - (a) construction of approximately 160m long cut-and-cover tunnel and 370m long underwater tunnel between the tunnel section at Ma Tau Kok and the depressed road of the CKR within Kai Tak Development;
 - (b) reconstruction of the seawall at Ma Tau Kok public pier, and the sloping seawall at the Former Kai Tak Airport Runway;
 - (c) construction of approximately 125m long depressed road and 200m long underpass of the CKR within Kai Tak Development;
 - (d) construction of approximately 360m long underground tunnel ventilation adit of the CKR;
 - (e) reconstruction of Kowloon City Ferry Pier Public Transport Interchange; and
 - (f) other associated works.

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

2.3.1 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 Construction Activities in the reporting month

| Locations | Site Activities |
|-------------|--|
| Kai Tak | - Defect rectification works at underpass, depressed road and C&C |
| Ma Tau Kok | - Temporary traffic management (TTM) implementation; - Excavation at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Tunnel structure construction at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at MTK C&C Tunnel; - Waterproofing works at MTK. |
| Kowloon Bay | Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at Stage 2 UWT; Tunnel structure construction at Stage 2 UWT; Waterproofing works at Stage 2 UWT. |

2.3.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 7 February 2024

2.4 Project Organization

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarized in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Party | Role | Position | Name | Telephone | Fax |
|---|---|---|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Arup-Mott MacDonald Joint Venture | Residential Engineer (ER) | Engineer's Representative | Mr. Patrick Lo | 36195901 | 2268 3954 |
| ERM | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Independent Environmental Checker | Ms. Mandy To | 2271 3113 | 3015 8052 |
| _ | _ | Contracts Manager | Mr. Kin Fai Tam | 2516 8823 | 2516 6260 |
| Gammon | Contractor | Environmental Manager | Ms. Michelle Tang | 9267 8866 | 2516 6260 |
| AECOM | Contractor's Environmental Team (ET) | ET Leader | Mr. Y. W. Fung | 3856 5681 | 2317 7609 |

2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.5.1 Relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.3**.

Table 2.3 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits

| Permit / License No. / | Valid Period | | O 1.1.1 | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---|--|--|
| Notification/ Reference No. | ce From To Status | | Status | Remarks | | |
| Further Environmental Permit | | | | | | |
| FEP-01/457/2013/C | 28 Feb 2018 | End of Project | Valid | | | |
| Wastewater Discharge Lic | ense | | | | | |
| WT00043692-2023 | 1 Apr 2023 | 31 Mar 2028 | Valid | Ma Tau Kok | | |
| WT00043881-2023 | 30 Jun 2023 | 30 Jun 2028 | Valid | Underwater Tunnel Stage 2 | | |
| WT00044013-2023 | 1 May 2023 | 30 Apr 2028 | Valid | Kai Tak and Underwater Tunnel Stage 1 | | |
| Construction Noise Permit | t | | | | | |
| GW-RE1452-23 | 27 Nov 2023 | 26 Mar 2024 | Valid | General Works at Ma Tau Kok | | |
| GW-RE1465-23 | 1 Dec 2023 | 31 Mar 2024 | Valid | General Works at Kai Tak | | |
| GW-RE1393-23 | 19 Nov 2023 | 18 May 2024 | Valid | General Works at Stage 1 Underwater Tunnel | | |
| GW-RE1411-23 | 27 Nov 2023 | 26 Apr 2024 | Valid | General Works at Stage 2 Underwater Tunnel | | |
| GW-RE1365-23 | 14 Nov 2024 | 13 Feb 2024 | Valid | Kai Tak Access Road | | |
| Chemical Waste Producer | Registration | | | | | |
| 5118-247-G2347-47 | 30 Jan 2018 | End of Project | Valid | | | |
| 5118-247-G2347-48 | 30 Jan 2018 | End of Project | Valid | | | |
| Marine Dumping Permit | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal | | | | | | |
| 7029909 | 22 Jan 2018 | End of Project | Account Active | ve | | |
| Notification Under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | | | |
| 429442 | 5 Jan 2018 | 5 Jul 2025 | Notified | | | |

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, measurement of 24-hour and 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) level at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 24-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days, and 1-hour TSP monitoring should be done at least 3 times every 6 days while the highest dust impact is expected. The Action and Limit Levels of the air quality monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.1.2 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at the designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual.
- 3.1.3 A portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring.
- 3.1.4 Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.1.**

Table 3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and Model |
|---|--|
| High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP) | Tisch Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. TE-5170) |
| Calibration Kit (24-hour TSP) | TISCH Environmental Orifice (Model TE-5025A) |
| Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP) | Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3 & LD-3B) |

Monitoring Locations

3.1.5 The monitoring station for construction dust monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for the Project. The location of the construction dust monitoring station is summarized in **Table 3.2** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.2 Location of Construction Dust Monitoring Station

| Location | Monitoring Station | Description |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| E-A14a ^[1] | Block B of Merit Industrial Centre | Rooftop (13/F) |

Note:

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.1.6 24-hour TSP Monitoring
 - (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS as far as practicable: -
 - A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
 - (ii) Two samplers should not be placed less than 2m apart from each other;
 - (iii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
 - (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 10 February 2024

^[1] The air monitoring station proposed in the EM&A Manual (i.e. Wyler Gardens with ID: E-A14) was not available for impact dust monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at E-A14a as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD.

- (v) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
- (vi) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
- (vii) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- (viii) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
- (ix) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- (x) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring station.
- (xi) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the sampler.

(b) Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

(c) Field Monitoring

- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
- (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- (xv) It was then placed in a clean envelope and sealed.
- (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

(d) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- (ii) HVSs were calibrated using TE-5025A Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVSs are provided in **Appendix E**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 11 February 2024

3.1.7 1-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG]
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

(b) Maintenance and Calibration

(i) The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a High Volume Samplers. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.1.8 The schedule for environmental monitoring in January 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

3.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring should be conducted for at least once a week during the construction phase of the Project. **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring. The Action and Limit Levels of the noise monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Parameter and Duration | Frequency |
|--|------------------------|
| 30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L10 and L90 would be recorded. | At least once per week |

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.2 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring

| Equipment | Brand and Model |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | B&K (Model No. 2250L & 2270) |
| Acoustic Calibrator | B&K (Model No. 4231) |

Monitoring Locations

3.2.3 The monitoring stations for construction noise monitoring pertinent to the Project have been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for the Project. Locations of the noise monitoring stations are summarized in **Table 3.5** and shown in **Figure 3.2**.

Table 3.5 Noise Monitoring Stations during Construction Phase

| Location | Monitoring Station | Description | Measurement |
|------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| E-N12a [1] | 19 Hing Yan Street | Rooftop (9/F) | Façade |
| E-N21a [1] | Block B of Merit Industrial Centre | Rooftop (13/F) | Free field ^[2] |

Notes:

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.2.4 **Table 3.6** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 13 February 2024

^[1] The noise monitoring stations proposed in the EM&A Manual (i.e. Grand Waterfront Tower 3 with ID: E-N12 and Hang Chien Court Block J with ID: E-N21) were not available for impact noise monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at E-N12a and E-N21a as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD.

^[2] A correction of +3 dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

Table 3.6 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Location | Parameter and Duration | Frequency |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|
| E-N12a and E-N21a | 30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L ₁₀ and L ₉₀ would be recorded. | At least once per week |

Monitoring Methodology

3.2.5 Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- (b) Façade measurement was made at E-N12a.
- (c) Free field measurements was made at monitoring location E-N21a. A correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.
- (d) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (e) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - (i) frequency weighting
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast
 - (iii) time measurement: L_{eq(30-minutes)} during non-restricted hours i.e. 0700 1900 on normal weekdays.
- (f) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94 dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (g) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (h) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (i) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

3.2.6 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.2.7 The schedule for environmental monitoring in January 2024 is provided in Appendix F.

3.3 Landscape and Visual

3.3.1 As per the EM&A Manuals, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and site inspections should be undertaken once every two weeks during the construction period. A summary of the implementation status is presented in **Section 6.**

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 14 February 2024

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EP and EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix C.** Status of required submissions under the EP during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit

| EP Condition | Submission | Submission Date |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Condition 3.4 of EP-457/2013/D and Condition 3.4 of FEP-01/457/2013/C | Monthly EM&A Report for December 2023 | 12 January 2024 |

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

5.1.1 The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP are summarized in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2** respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results and daily extract of meteorological observations are presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.1 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

| ID | Average (μg/m³) | Range (μg/m³) | Action Level (μg/m³) | Limit Level (µg/m³) |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| E-A14a | 67.0 | 42.5 - 83.5 | 197.3 | 260 |

Table 5.2 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

| ID | Average (μg/m³) | Range (μg/m³) | Action Level (μg/m³) | Limit Level (μg/m³) |
|--------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| E-A14a | 63.5 | 60.1 – 67.4 | 302.4 | 500 |

- 5.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location in the reporting month.
- 5.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location in the reporting month.
- 5.1.4 The event and action plan are annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.1.5 Major dust sources during the monitoring included construction dust and nearby traffic emission.

5.2 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

5.2.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 5.3** and the monitoring data is provided in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| ID | Range, dB(A), L _{eq (30 mins)} | Limit Level, dB(A), L _{eq (30 mins)} |
|--------|---|---|
| E-N12a | 64.3 – 67.3 | 75 |
| E-N21a | 59.3 – 64.2 | 75 |

- 5.2.2 No exceedance of Action and Limit level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.2.3 The event and action plan are annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.2.4 Major noise sources during the monitoring included construction noise from the Project site and nearby traffic noise.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 16 February 2024

5.3 Waste Management

- 5.3.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.
- 5.3.2 As advised by the Contractor, no C&D material were generated and no C&D material was disposed to public fill. No inert C&D was reused in the Contract and no other projects respectively in the reporting month. 284,770 kg of general refuse was generated and sent to NENT Landfill in the reporting month. No metal, no plastics and no paper/cardboard packaging were collected by recycle contractor in the reporting month. No chemical waste was collected by licensed contractor in the reporting month. No Type 1, Type 2 and Type 3 Marine sediment were disposed at Confined Marine Disposal Facility to the East of Sha Chau. The waste flow table is annexed in **Appendix K**.
- 5.3.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.
- 5.3.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

5.4 Landscape and Visual

5.4.1 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 10 and 24 January 2024. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix C**. The observations and recommendations made during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 17 February 2024

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 3, 10, 17, 24 and 31 January 2024. Joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and ET were conducted on 17 January 2024. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Air Quality | 10 January 2024 | Reminder: The contractor was reminded to place the NRMM label on the generator at Stage 2 Marine Platform. | The item was rectified by the Contractor on 16 January 2024. |
| Noise | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Water Quality | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | 3 January 2024 | Reminder: The contractor was reminded to remove the oil stain and ensure no leakage of the generator. | The item was rectified by the Contractor on 4 January 2024. |
| Waste/ Chemical Management | 0 Gamaan, 2021 | Reminder: The contractor was reminded to provide the drip tray for the chemical containers at stage 2 UWT. | The item was rectified by the Contractor on 16 January 2024. |
| - | 10 January 2024 | Observation: The contractor was advised to ensure the equipment are in good condition to prevent oil leaking, and remove the oil by the chemical waste treatment procedure. | The item was rectified by the Contractor on 17 January 2024. |
| Landscape & Visual | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Permits/ Licenses | Nil | Nil | Nil |

6.1.3 All follow-up actions requested by Contractor's ET during the site inspection were undertaken as reported by the Contractor and confirmed in the following weekly site inspection conducted during the reporting period.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 18 February 2024

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 7.1.1 All 24-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit Levels at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 7.1.2 All 1-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit Levels at all monitoring locations in the reporting month
- 7.1.3 No exceedance of Action and Limit level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaints

7.3.1 One (1) complaint was received in the reporting month (January 2024) and the investigation was conducted in the current reporting month (January 2024). Cumulative statistics on environmental complaint is conducted in **Appendix J**.

7.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions

7.4.1 No environmental related prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in **Appendix J**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 19 February 2024

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Programme for the Next Three Months

8.1.1 The major construction works between February 2024 to April 2024 are provided in **Table 8.1**.

Table 8.1 Construction Activities in the coming three months

| Locations | Site Activities | |
|-------------|---|--|
| Kai Tak | - Defect rectification works at underpass, depressed road and C&C | |
| Ma Tau Kok | TTM implementation; Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at MTK C&C Tunnel; Tunnel structure construction at MTK C&C Tunnel; Waterproofing works and backfilling at MTK | |
| Kowloon Bay | Removal of Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) at Stage 2 UWT; Tunnel structure construction at Stage 2 UWT; Waterproofing works and backfilling at Stage 2 UWT. | |

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, and waste management.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

8.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in February 2024 is provided in **Appendix F**.

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

- 9.1.1 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 9.1.2 All 24-hour TSP monitoring results complied with the Action / Limit Level at in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 All 1-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit Levels at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.4 No exceedance of Action and Limit level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.
- 9.1.5 5 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in January 2024. Recommendations on remedial actions were given by ET and IEC to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
- 9.1.6 One (1) complaint was received in the reporting month (January 2024) and the investigation was conducted in the current reporting month (January 2024).
- 9.1.7 No environmental related notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality Impact

 The contractor was reminded to place the NRMM label on the generator at Stage 2 Marine Platform.

Construction Noise Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical and Waste Management

- The contractor was reminded to provide the drip tray for the chemical containers at stage 2 UWT.
- The contractor was reminded to remove the oil stain and ensure no leakage of the generator.
- The contractor was advised to ensure the equipment are in good condition to prevent oil leaking, and remove the oil by the chemical waste treatment procedure.

Landscape & Visual Impact


• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Permits/licenses


• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 21 February 2024










APPENDIX A


Construction Programme



Summary

CONTRACT NO. HY2014/07 CENTRAL KOWLOON ROUTE - KAI TAK WEST EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROGRAMME - OCT 2023 P 1

Date Date: 25-Oct-23



Summary


CONTRACT NO. HY2014/07
CENTRAL KOWLOON ROUTE - KAI TAK WEST
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROGRAMME - OCT 2023

P 2

APPENDIX B

Project Organization Structure

Appendix B Project Organization Structure



Appendix B AECOM

APPENDIX C

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-------------|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Air Quality | (Constructi | on Phase) | | | | | |
| S4.3.10 | D1 | The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | @ |
| S4.3.10 | D2 | • Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.3 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency. | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| S4.3.10 | D3 | Proper watering of exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase: Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading: | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| | | Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; | | | | | V |
| | | A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones. | | | | | V |
| | | The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; | | | | | V |
| | | Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; | | | | | V |
| | | When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing; Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; | | | | | V |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-------------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; | | | | | V |
| | | Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; | | | | | V |
| | | Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet: | | | | | V |
| | | Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided | | | | | V |
| | | from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; | | | | | V V |
| | | Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; | | | | | V |
| | | Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and | | | | | V |
| | | Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. | | | | | V |
| S4.3.10 | D5 | Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage. | Monitoring of dust impact | Contractor | Selected representative dust monitoring station | Construction stage | V |
| Constructio | n Noise (Airb | orne) | | | | | • |
| S5.4.1 | N1 | Implement the following good site practices: only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced | Control construction airborne noise | Contractor | All construction | Construction stage | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | regularly during the construction programme; machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; | | | sites | | V |
| | | plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; | | | | | V |
| | | silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; | | | | | V |
| | | mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | | | | | V |
| S5.4.1 | N2 | Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period. | Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening. | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| S5.4.1 | N3 | Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators and handheld breakers etc | Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | V |
| S5.4.1 | N4 | Use "Quiet plants" | Reduce the noise levels of plant items | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | V |
| S5.4.1 | N5 | Loading/unloading activities should be carried out inside the full enclosure of mucking out points | Reduce the noise levels of loading/unloading activities | Contractor | Mucking out locations | Construction stage | V |
| S5.4.1 | N6 | Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable. | Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended | Who to implement the | Location of the measure | When to implement the | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Measures & Main | measures? | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to Address | | | | |
| | | | construction | | | | |
| | | | airborne noise | | | | |
| S5.4.1 | N7 | Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme. | Monitor the | Contractor | Selected | Construction | V |
| | | | construction | | representative | stage | |
| | | | noise levels at the | | noise | | |
| | | | selected | | monitoring | | |
| | | | representative | | station | | |
| | | | locations | | | | |
| S5.5.2 | N8 | Install temporary noise barriers along the works area at temporary Kowloon City Ferry Pier | Reduce temporary | Contractor | Kowloon City | Different | V |
| | | Public Transport Interchange | PTI noise | | Ferry Pier | construction | |
| | | | | | | stages | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Water Quali | tv (Constru | tion Phase) | Address | | | ı | |
| S6.9.1.1 | W1 | In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: Construction Runoff At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities), perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction. The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction. All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive p | quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | V V V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | and the reduction of surface sheet flows. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas. Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers. Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are funneling in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction | Concern to Address | | | | v v v v v |
| | | site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. | | | | | |
| | | Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any | | | | | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Concern to | illeasures : | | illeasures : | |
| | | | Address | | | | |
| | | oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby. Adopt best management practices All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of | | | | | V V V |
| | | construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to | | | | | |
| | | September) as far as practicable. | | | | | |
| S6.9.1.2 | W2 | Tunnelling Works and Underground Works ■ Cut-&-cover tunneling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. | | Contractor | All tunneling portion | Construction stage | V |
| | | Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge | • | | | | V |
| | | The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater. | | | | | V |
| | | Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and bored tunneling construction) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) | | | | | V |
| | | should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. | | | | | |
| S6.9.1.3 | W3 | Sewage Effluent ● Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should | | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-----------|-----------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. | effluent | | | | |
| \$6.9.1.5 | W4 | Groundwater from Potential Contaminated Area: No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted. A discharge license under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation discharge should be applied. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. If the review works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-DSS or properly recharged into the ground. If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be discharged into the foul sewers. If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytica results showing the quality of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharge shall not be higher than pollutant lev | a from contaminated area | Contractor | Excavation areas where contamination is found. | Construction stage | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| \$6.7.2.1 | W5 | Temporary Reclamation During temporary reclamation, regular litter / rubbish clearance and avoidance of illegal discharges within the embayed marine water should be undertaken. During temporary reclamation, the perimeter silt curtain should be deployed. | To minimize water quality impact from temporary reclamation | Contractor | Temporary Reclamation | Construction stage | V |
| \$6.9.1.6 | W6 | Accidental spillage In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | accidental spillage | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | Construction stage | @ V |
| \$6.9.2.2 | W7 | Dredging Works The following good practice shall apply for the dredging works: Install efficient silt curtains, i.e. at least 75% SS reduction, at the point of seawall dredging to control the dispersion of SS; Implement water quality monitoring to ensure effective control of water pollution and recommend additional mitigation measures required; The decent speed of grabs should be controlled to minimize the seabed impact and to reduce the volume of over-dredging; All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; The dredging rates by closed grab dredgers for temporary marine channel outside pipepile wall shall be less than 1,500 m³/day and 125 m³/hour (without concurrent dredging with T2 in dry season only) or 750 m³/day and 62.5 m³/hour for other | | | Kai Tak Barging Point during dredging works | Dredging period | N/A N/A N/A N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | conditions respectively. Dredging works shall be only for the provision marine channel. No dredging work is required for temporary reclamation; and The workfront of temporary reclamation shall be surrounded by cofferdams and the associated excavation and backfilling works for temporary reclamation shall have no contact with seawater. | | | | | N/A N/A |
| S6.9.2.2 | W8 | In dry season, the dredging rate shall be less than 1500m³/day if no concurrent projects. | sediment suspension during dredging if the District Cooling System for Kai Tak Development would be operated in the same period | Contractor | Kai Tak Barging Point during dredging works | Dredging period | N/A V V V N/A |
| \$6.9.2 | W9 | Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause overflow of materials | disturbance during dredged sediment handling/barging operation | Contractor | All land- based site and proposed Kwai Chung barging point | Construction stage | N/A V V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the | Who to | Location of the | When to | Implementation |
|----------|---------|---|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Log Ref | | Recommended | implement the | measure | implement the | Status |
| | | | Measures & Main | measures? | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to | | | | |
| | | | Address | | | | |
| | | Mitigation measures for land-based activities as outlined above should be applied | | | | | N/A |
| | | to minimise water quality impacts from site runoff and open stockpile spoils at the | | | | | |
| | | proposed barging facilities where appropriate. | | | | | |
| S6.9 | W10 | Implement a marine water quality monitoring programme | Monitor marine | Contractor | At identified | Prior to and | N/A |
| | | | water quality prior | | monitoring | during dredging | |
| | | | to and during | | location | period | |
| | | | dredging period | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Construction Waste) | I | T | T | 12 | Ι |
| S7.4.1 | WM1 | On-site sorting of C&D material Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be explored. | concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| \$7.5.1 | WM2 | Construction and Demolition Material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; Carry out on-site sorting; Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible; Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction. | generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V V V V V |
| S7.5.1 | WM3 | C&D Waste ■ Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to | Good site practice to minimize the waste | Contractor | All construction | Construction stage | V |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the | Who to | Location of | When to | Implementation |
|----------|---------|---|--------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Log Ref | | Recommended | implement | the measure | implement the | Status |
| | | | Measures & Main | the | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to Address | measures? | | | |
| | | minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing | generation and recycle | | sites | | |
| | | for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be | the C&D materials as far | | | | |
| | | used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of | as practicable so as to | | | | |
| | | recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to | reduce the amount for | | | | |
| | | avoid over ordering and wastage. | final disposal | | | | |
| | | The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public | | | | | V |
| | | fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to | | | | | |
| | | enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, | | | | | |
| | | concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be | | | | | |
| | | used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such | | | | | |
| | | segregation and storage. | | | | | |
| S7.5.1 | WM5 | <u>Land-based and Marine-based Sediment</u> | To control pollution due | Contractor | Along CKR | Construction | N/A |
| | | All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the | to marine sediment | | alignment | Stage | |
| | | risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water | | | | | |
| | | column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; | | | | | |
| | | All vessels shall be sized such that adequate draft is maintained between vessels and the | | | | | |
| | | sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by | | | | | |
| | | turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; | | | | | |
| | | Before moving the vessels which are used for transporting dredged material, excess | | | | | |
| | | material shall be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels and the excess | | | | | |
| | | materials shall never be dumped into the sea except at the approved locations; | | | | | |
| | | Adequate freeboard shall be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed | | | | | |
| | | by wave action. | | | | | |
| | | The Contractors shall monitor all vessels transporting material to ensure that no dumping | | | | | |
| | | outside the approved location takes place. The Contractor shall keep and produce logs | | | | | |
| | | and other records to demonstrate compliance and that journeys are consistent with | | | | | |
| | | designated locations and copies of such records shall be submitted to the engineers; | | | | | |
| | | The Contractors shall comply with the conditions in the dumping licence. | | | | | |
| | | All bottom dumping vessels (Hopper barges) shall be fitted with tight fittings seals to their | | | | | |
| | | bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; | | | | | |
| | | The material shall be placed into the disposal pit by bottom dumping; | | | | | |
| | | • Contaminated marine mud shall be transported by spit barge of not less than 750m ³ | | | | | |
| | | capacity and capable of rapid opening and discharge at the disposal site; | | | | | |

| EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Discharge shall be undertaken rapidly and the hoppers shall be closed immediately. Material adhering to the sides of the hopper shall not be washed out of the hopper and the hopper shall remain closed until the barge returns to the disposal site. For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with geosynthetic containment before dropping into designated mud pit would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at the disposal site, thereby fulfilling the requirements for fully confined mud disposal. | | | | | |
| WM6 | Chemical Waste Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions | proper storage, handling and disposal. | Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| | The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which | | | | | V |
| | | of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which | of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that | of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which | of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which | of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the | Who to | Location of | When to | Implementation |
|----------|---------|---|----------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Log Ref | | Recommended | implement | the measure | implement the | Status |
| | | | Measures & Main | the | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to Address | measures? | | | |
| S7.5.1 | WM7 | General Refuse | Minimize production of the | Contractor | All | Construction | |
| | | General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units | general refuse and avoid | | construction | stage | V |
| | | separately from construction and chemical wastes. | odour, pest and litter | | sites | | |
| | | A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general | impacts | | | | V |
| | | refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis | | | | | |
| | | to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is | | | | | |
| | | prohibited by law. | | | | | |
| | | Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they | | | | | V |
| | | are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should | | | | | |
| | | be provided if feasible. | | | | | |
| | | Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough | | | | | V |
| | | to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by | | | | | |
| | | the Contractor. | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the | Who to | Location of the | | Implementation |
|------------|----------|--|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Log Ref | | Recommended | implement the | measure | implement the | Status |
| | | | Measures & Main | measures? | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to Address | | | | |
| Land Conta | mination | | | | | | |
| S8.10, | LC1 | Land contamination investigation works (including field works and laboratory testing at the | Minimize the | Contractor | EBH1, EBH2 | Commencement | |
| S8.12 & | | Kowloon City Ferry Pier Public Transport Interchange (KCFP-PTI) and the To Kwa Wan | potentially adverse | | and EBH3 | of construction | |
| Appendi | | Vehicle Examination Centre (TKW-VEC) were carried out from 14 April 2018 to 2 January | environmental | | | works at the | |
| x 8.4 | | 2019. In order to minimise the potentially adverse environmental impacts arising from the | impacts arising from | | | Kowloon City | |
| | | handling of potentially contaminated materials, the following environmental mitigation | the handling | | | Ferry Pier Public | |
| | | measures are proposed during the course of soil excavation, stockpiling and backfilling works: | of potentially | | | Transport | |
| | | Excavation profiles must be properly designed and executed. | contaminated | | | Interchange (PTI) | V |
| | | Stockpiling site(s) shall be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles shall | materials | | | (for EBH1 & | V |
| | | be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. | | | | EBH2) and the | |
| | | • Excavation and stockpiling should be carried out during dry season as far as possible to | | | | works area | V |
| | | minimise potentially contaminated runoffs from the Concerned Soil. | | | | adjacent to the | |
| | | The truck transferring Concerned Soil shall be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to | | | | To Kwa Wan | V |
| | | ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the truck. | | | | Vehicle | |
| | | • Temporary fencing or warning ribbons will be provided to the boundary of excavation, | | | | Examination | V |
| | | slope crest and temporarily stockpiled areas. Where necessary, the exposed areas should | | | | Centre (for | |
| | | be temporarily covered with impermeable sheeting during heavy rainstorm. | | | | EBH3) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Landscape S10.10.1 | & Visuai LV3 | • | Cood Site Management | Minimize visual impact | Contractor | Within | Construction | V |
| Table | LV3 | | Good Site Management Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive | • | Contractor | Project Site | Phase | V |
| 10.11 | | | sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, | | | i rojost ono | 1 11400 | |
| | | | and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. | | | | | |
| | | | Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to | | | | | |
| | | | create a neat and tidy visual appearance. | | | | | |
| S10.10.1 | LV4 | • | Screen Hoarding | Minimize visual impact | Contractor | Within | Construction | V |
| Table | | | Decorative screen hoarding should be erected to screen the public from the construction | | | Project Site | Phase | |
| 10.11 | | | area. It should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context. | | | | | |
| S10.10.1 | LV5 | • | <u>Lighting Control during Construction</u> | Minimize visual impact | Contractor | Within | Construction | V |
| Table | | | All lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution | | | Project Site | Phase | |
| 10.11 | | | and night-time glare to nearby residencies and GIC. The contractor shall consider other | | | | | |
| | | | security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts. | | | | | |
| S10.10.1 | LV6 | • | Erosion Control | Minimize landscape | Contractor | Within | Construction | V |
| Table | | | The potential for soil erosion shall be reduced by minimizing the extent of vegetation | impact | | Project Site | Phase | |
| 10.11 | | | disturbance on site and by providing a protective cover over newly exposed soil. | | _ | | | |
| S10.10.1 | LV7 | • | Tree Protection & Preservation | Minimize landscape | Contractor | Within | Design and | V |
| Table | | | Carefully protected during construction. Tree protection measures will be detailed at the | · · | | Project Site | Construction | |
| 10.11 | | | Tree Removal Application stage and plans submitted to the relevant Government | | | | Phase | |
| C10 10 1 | LV9 | • | Department for approval in due course in accordance with ETWB TC no. 3/2006. | Minimiza landagana | Contractor | Within | Construction | N/A |
| S10.10.1 Table | LV9 | • | Compensatory Planting For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical | Minimize landscape | Contractor | Project Site | Phase | IN/A |
| 10.11 | | | transplantation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal but if this is not | - | | and | Filase | |
| 10.11 | | | possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. | | | designated | | |
| | | | All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant | | | off-site | | |
| | | | Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall | | | locations | | |
| | | | be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application | | | | | |
| | | | process under ETWBTC 3/2006. | | | | | |
| | | | Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along | | | | | |
| | | | roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the | | | | | |
| | | | bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, | | | | | |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | additional receptor sites outside the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process. | | | | | |
| S10.10.1 Table 10.11 | LV10 | Screen Planting Tall screen/buffer trees, shrubs and climbers should be planted, in so far as is possible, to soften and screen proposed structures such as roads and central strip, vertical edges and buildings and to enhance streetscape greening effect where appropriate. Indiscriminate use of trees for screening must be avoided and the principle of 'right tree for the right place' must be followed. This detail will be provided at the Detailed Design stage. This measure may additionally form part of the compensatory planting and will improve and create a pleasant pedestrian environment. | landscape. | Contractor | Within Project Site | Construction Phase | N/A |
| S10.10.1 Table 10.11 | LV11 | Green Roof Roof greening will be established on ventilation and administration buildings to reduce exposure to untreated concrete surfaces and particularly mitigate visual impact to VSRs at high levels. | · · | Contractor | Within Project Site | Construction Phase | N/A |
| S10.10.1 Table 10.11 | LV12 | Reinstatement All works areas, excavated areas and disturbed areas for tunnel construction and temporary road diversion or any other proposed works shall be reinstated to former conditions or better, with reasonable landscape treatment and to the satisfaction of the relevant Government departments. (Specific mitigation for disturbance to public open space is detailed separately under LV14) | · | Contractor | Within Project Site | Construction Phase | N/A |
| S10.10.1 Table 10.11 | LV14 | Landscape enhancement Implement a comprehensive landscape plan to maximize the greening opportunity and create a unique landscape for the project to blend in with the surrounding, including in reprovisioned areas. In particular: landscape enhancement of re-provisioned Public Transport Interchange; landscape deck on tunnel portals; viaduct planters for trailer planting; vertical greening of piers and walls with climbers or trailer planting; roadside planting i.e. planting along central dividers and on road islands e.g. in the middle of roundabouts. (Roadside planting i.e. at the road edge and not in the central divider or road island, and vertical greening may be considered part of Screen Planting). Purpose-built maintenance access without temporary traffic arrangement must be | | Contractor | Along tunnel alignment | Construction phase | N/A |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

| EIA Ref. | EM&A | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the | Who to | Location of | When to | Implementation |
|----------|---------|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Log Ref | | Recommended | implement the | the measure | implement the | Status |
| | | | Measures & Main | measures? | | measures? | |
| | | | Concern to Address | | | | |
| | | provided and detailed design of landscape decks and planting, including details of | | | | | |
| | | maintenance access locations, will be sent to maintenance and management parties for | | | | | |
| | | endorsement and ensures these mitigation measures are feasible. | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| S11.4.4 | ritage Impac CH1 | The contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites. | heritage items which may | Contractor | During construction works for cut and cover tunnels | During the construction phase | N/A |
| S11.6 para 3 | CH2 | The dredging contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains, such as cannon and AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject areas. | heritage items which may | Contractor | During construction of underwater tunnel (north of To Kwa Wan Typhoon Shelter) | During the construction phase | N/A |
| S12.6.1, Table 12.2 | CH8 | A monitoring system for settlement, vibration and tilting will be determined and implemented pending determination of the future grading. A monitoring proposal will be submitted to AMO before commencement of work if a historic building grade is accorded. | from damage from | Contractor | Kowloon City Ferry Pier (CKR-13) | During the construction phase | N/A |
| S12.6.1, Table 12.2 | CH9 | No mitigation is required at present. If the public pier is granted Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3 status, the mitigation will be revised to adhere to the requirements for protective measures for Graded Historic Buildings | | Contractor | Ma Tau Kok Public Pier (CKR-16) | During the construction phase | N/A |
| S12.6.1, Table 12.2 | CH10 | A monitoring system for settlement, vibration and tilting will be determined and implemented pending determination of the future grading. A monitoring proposal will be submitted to AMO before commencement of work if a historic building grade is accorded. | from damage from | Contractor | The Kowloon City Vehicular Ferry Pier (CKR-17) | During the construction phase | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------------|-----------------|--|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| EM&A Pro | l ject | | Concern to Address | measures? | | | |
| S13.2 | EM1 | An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual. | Control EM&A Performance | Highways Department | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| S13.2 -13.4 | EM2 | An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual. Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures. | Perform environmental monitoring & auditing | Highways Department / Contractor | All construction sites | Construction stage | V |
| | | 3) An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implementing by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with. | | | | | V |

Legends:

V = implemented;

X = not implemented;

@ = partially implemented;

N/A = not applicable

APPENDIX D

Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Appendix D - Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Table 1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| E-A14a | Block B of Merit Industrial Centre | 197.3 μg/m³ | 260 μg/m³ |

Table 2 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| E-A14a | Block B of Merit Industrial Centre | 302.4 μg/m³ | 500 μg/m³ |

Table 3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise (0700 – 1900 hrs of normal weekdays)

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| E-N12a | 19 Hing Yan Street | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) |
| E-N21a | Block B of Merit Industrial Centre | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) |

Appendix D AECOM

Table 4 Derived Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|--|---|
| Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L ⁽¹⁾ | Surface & Middle: 4.03 (5th percentile of baseline data for surface and middle layer) Bottom: 3.94 (5th percentile of baseline data for bottom layer) | Surface & Middle: 3.88 (1st percentile of baseline data for surface and middle layer) Bottom: 2.00 |
| Suspended Solids (SS) in mg/L ⁽²⁾ | 13.80 (95th percentile of baseline data) or 120% of upstream control station's SS at the same tide of the same day | 18.70 (99th percentile of baseline data) or 130% of upstream control station's SS at the same tide of the same day |
| Turbidity in NTU ⁽²⁾ | 7.00 (95th percentile of baseline data) or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity at the same tide of the same day | 8.40 (99th percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity at the same tide of the same day |
| Copper in μg/L ⁽²⁾ | 2.00 (95th percentile of baseline data) or 120% of upstream control station's nutrient level at the same tide of the same day | 3.00 (99th percentile of baseline data) or 130% of upstream control station's nutrient level at the same tide of the same day or whichever is the less |
| Total PAH in μg/L ⁽²⁾ | 1.60 (95th percentile of baseline data) or 120% of upstream control station's nutrient level at the same tide of the same day | 1.60 (99th percentile of baseline data) or 130% of upstream control station's nutrient level at the same tide of the same day or whichever is the less |

Note: 1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.

Appendix D AECOM

^{2.} For turbidity, SS, Copper and Total PAH, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

APPENDIX E

Calibration Certificates of Equipments





RECALIBRATION **DUE DATE:**

January 16, 2024

ertificate d

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: January 16, 2023 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293 Pa: 748.8 °K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 0843

mm Hg

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3860 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9840 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8780 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8430 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6950 | 12.7 | 8.00 |

| | Data Tabulation | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|---|--------|----------|------------|--|--|
| Vstd | Qstd | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ | | Qa | √∆H(Ta/Pa) | | |
| (m3) | (x-axis) | (y-axis) | Va | (x-axis) | (y-axis) | | |
| 0.9978 | 0.7199 | 1.4157 | 0.9957 | 0.7184 | 0.8846 | | |
| 0.9935 | 1.0097 | 2.0021 | 0.9915 | 1.0076 | 1.2511 | | |
| 0.9914 | 1.1291 | 2.2384 | 0.9893 | 1.1268 | 1.3987 | | |
| 0.9903 | 1.1747 | 2.3476 | 0.9882 | 1.1723 | 1.4670 | | |
| 0.9851 | 1.4174 | 2.8313 | 0.9830 | 1.4144 | 1.7693 | | |
| | m= | 2.03196 | | m= | 1.27238 | | |
| QSTD[| b= | -0.04813 | QA | b= | -0.03007 | | |
| _ | r= | 0.99993 | | r= | 0.99993 | | |

| | Calculation | S | |
|-------|--|-----------------|--|
| Vstd= | ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va= \D\ | /ol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) |
| Qstd= | Vstd/∆Time | | /ΔTime |
| | For subsequent flow rat | e calculations: | |
| Qstd= | $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$ | Qa= 1/ | $m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$ |

| | Standard Conditions |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| | Key |
| ΔH: calibrator | manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: rootsmete | er manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: actual abs | olute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: actual bar | ometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |
| | |

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

AECOM Asia Company Limited Tisch TSP Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler Field Calibration Report

| Station | Block B, Merit In | dustrial Centre (| E-A14a) | Operator: | Choi W | /ing Ho | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Cal. Date: | 3/11/2023 | | | Next Due Date: | 3/1/2 | 2024 | • |
| Model No.: | TE-5170 | | | Serial No. | 103 | 380 | • |
| Equipment No.: | A-001-15T | - - | | • | | | • |
| | | | Ambient (| Condition | | | |
| Temperatur | re, Ta (K) | 301.0 | Pressure, l | Pa (mmHg) | | 770.6 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Orifice Transfer Sta | <mark>andard Informatior</mark> | | | |
| Serial | | 843 | Slope, mc | 2.03 | 3196 | Intercept, bc | -0.04813 |
| Last Calibra | | 16-Jan-23 | | mc x Qstd + bo | c = [H x (Pa/760) x (| (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | |
| Next Calibra | tion Date: | 16-Jan-24 | | | | ` / - | |
| | | | Calibration of | TSP Sampler | | | |
| | | | Orfice | . Or Guillpici | HV | S Flow Recorder | |
| Resistance Plate No. | DH (orifice), in. of water | [DH x (Pa/7 | [DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | | Flow Recorder Reading (CFM) | Continuous Flov Reading IC (CFI | |
| 18 | 7.0 | | 2.65 | 1.33 | 44.0 | 44.08 | |
| 13 | 6.0 | | 2.45 | 1.23 | 40.0 | 40.08 | |
| 10 | 5.1 | | 2.26 | 1.14 | 36.0 | 36.07 | 1 |
| 7 | 4.1 | | 2.03 | 1.02 | 30.0 | 30.06 | İ |
| 5 | 3.1 | | 1.76 | 0.89 | 24.0 | 24.05 | |
| By Linear Regress Slope , mw = Correlation Coeffi *If Correlation Coef | 46.3915 cient* = | | .9991 ate. | Intercept, bw = | -17.: | 1928 | - |
| | | | Set Point (| Calculation | | | |
| From the TSP Field | I Calibration Curv | e, take Qstd = 1. | | | | | |
| From the Regression | on Equation, the " | Y" value accordi | ng to | | | | |
| | | | | | 4/0 | | |
| | | mw | x Qstd + bw = IC x | [(Pa/760) x (298/Ta | a)] ^{1/2} | | |
| Therefore, Set Poir | nt; IC = (mw x Qs | td + bw) x [(760 |) / Pa) x (Ta / 298 |)] ^{1/2} = | | 43.03 | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| 00.5 | | | a | | | 011110000 | |
| QC Reviewer: | WS CHAN | | Signature: | | Date: | 3/11/2023 | |

| Station | Plack P. Marit Industrial Contro | \ E A14a\ |
|---------|---|--------------------------|
| Station | Block B, Merit Industrial Centre |) (E-A 14a) |

Cal. Date: 3-Nov-23

3-Jan-24 Next Due Date:

Set Point (IC) 43.03

| IC (CFM) | Qstd (m³/min) |
|----------|----------------|
| 24 | 0.888 |
| 25 | 0.909 |
| 26 | 0.931 |
| 27 | 0.953 |
| 28 | 0.974 |
| 29 | 0.996 |
| 30 | 1.017 |
| 31 | 1.039 |
| 32 | 1.060 |
| 33 | 1.082 |
| 34 | 1.103 |
| 35 | 1.125 |
| 36 | 1.147 |
| 37 | 1.168 |
| 38 | 1.190 |
| 39 | 1.211 |
| 40 | 1.233 |
| 41 | 1.254 |
| 40 | 1 076 |
| 42 | 1.276 1.297 |
| 44 | 1.319 |
| 44 45 | 1.341 |
| 46 | 1.362 |
| 47 | 1.384 |
| 48 | |
| 40 | 1.405 |
| 49 | 1.427 |
| 50 | 1.448 |
| 51 | 1.470 |
| 52 | 1.491 |
| 53 | 1.513 |
| 54 | 1.535 |
| 55 | 1.556 |
| 56 | 1.578 |
| 57 | 1.599 |
| 58 | 1.621 |
| 59 | 1.642 |
| 60 | 1.664 |
| 61 62 | 1.685 1.707 |
| 63 | 1.707 |
| 64 | 1.729 |
| | |
| 65 | 1.772 |
| | |

AECOM Asia Company Limited Tisch TSP Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler Field Calibration Report

Operator:

Choi Wing Ho

Block B, Merit Industrial Centre (E-A14a)

Station

| Cal. Date: | 3/1/2024 | <u>-</u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Next Due Date: | 3/3/2024 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Model No.: | TE-5170 | | | Serial No. | 10380 | | |
| Equipment No.: | A-001-15T | | | • | | | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ambient | Condition | | | |
| Temperatur | e, Ta (K) | 294.0 | Pressure, | Pa (mmHg) | | 775.2 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | andard Information | | | |
| Serial | | 843 | Slope, mc | 2.03 | 3196 | Intercept, bc | -0.04813 |
| Last Calibra | | 16-Jan-23 | | mc x Qstd + bc | : = [H x (Pa/760) x (| [298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | |
| Next Calibra | tion Date: | 16-Jan-24 | | | | | |
| | | | Onlib wati awara | TOD Committee | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | Orfice | TSP Sampler | LIV | S Flow Recorder | |
| | | | Jilice | 1 | П | 5 Flow Recorder | |
| Resistance Plate No. | DH (orifice), in. of water | [DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | | Qstd (m³/min) X - axis | Flow Recorder Reading (CFM) | Continuous Flow Reading IC (CF | |
| 18 | 6.9 | | 2.67 | 1.34 | 45.0 | 45.76 | } |
| 13 | 5.9 | | 2.47 | 1.24 | 40.0 | 40.67 | |
| 10 | 5.0 | | 2.27 | 1.14 | 36.0 | 36.60 |) |
| 7 | 4.0 | | 2.03 | 1.02 | 30.0 | 30.50 |) |
| 5 | 2.9 | | 1.73 | 0.88 | 25.0 | 25.42 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| By Linear Regress | sion of V on Y | | | | | | |
| Slope , mw = | 44.3755 | | | Intercept, bw = | -14.0 | 1800 | |
| Siope , iliw – Correlation Coeffi | | | | intercept, bw - | -14.0 | 0090 | - |
| *If Correlation Coef | _ | | | _ | | | |
| ii Correlation Coel | 11016111 × 0.330, G1 | ieck and recailbr | ate. | | | | |
| | | | Set Point (| Calculation | | | |
| From the TSP Field | I Calibration Curve | e, take Qstd = 1. | | | | | |
| From the Regression | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 4, | | 3 | | | | |
| | | mw : | x Qstd + bw = IC x | [(Pa/760) x (298/Ta | a)] ^{1/2} | | |
| | | | | 410 | | | |
| Therefore, Set Poir | nt; IC = (mw x Qst | td + bw) x [(760 | / Pa) x (Ta / 298 |)]¹/2= | | 42.88 | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| rveillains. | | | | | | | |
| 00 Davis | MO OLIANI | | Ciamat | 2 | 5.1 | 2/4/0004 | |
| QC Reviewer: | WS CHAN | | Signature: | | Date: | 3/1/2024 | |

| Station | Plack P. Marit Industrial Contro | \ E A14a\ |
|---------|---|--------------------------|
| Station | Block B, Merit Industrial Centre |) (E-A 14a) |

Cal. Date: <u>3-Jan-24</u>

Next Due Date: 3-Mar-24

Set Point (IC) <u>42.88</u>

| IC (CFM) | Qstd (m³/min) |
|----------|---------------|
| 24 | 0.858 |
| 25 | 0.881 |
| 26 | 0.903 |
| 27 | 0.926 |
| 28 | 0.948 |
| 29 | 0.971 |
| 30 | 0.994 |
| 31 | 1.016 |
| 32 | 1.039 |
| 33 | 1.061 |
| 34 | 1.084 |
| 35 | 1.106 |
| 36 | 1.129 |
| 37 | 1.151 |
| 38 | 1.174 |
| 39 | 1.196 |
| 40 | 1.219 |
| 41 | 1.241 |
| 40 | 1 064 |
| 42 | 1.264 |
| 43 | 1.286 |
| 44 | 1.309 |
| 45 | 1.332 |
| 46 | 1.354 |
| 47 | 1.377 |
| 48 | 1.399 |
| 49 | 1.422 |
| 50 | 1.444 |
| 51 | 1.467 |
| 52 | 1.489 |
| 53 | 1.512 |
| 54 | 1.534 |
| 55 | 1.557 |
| 56 | 1.579 |
| 57 | 1.602 |
| 58 | 1.625 |
| 59 | 1.647 |
| 60 | 1.670 |
| 61 | 1.692 |
| 62 | 1.715 |
| 63 | 1.737 |
| 64 | 1.760 |
| 65 | 1.782 |
| | |

| Type: | | | Laser Dus | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| | urer/Brand: | | SIBATA | • | | | | |
| Model No. | .: | | LD-3B | | • | | | |
| Equipmen | t No.: | | A.005.16a | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | le Setting: | 521 CPM | | | | • | |
| Operator: | Operator: WS CHAN | | | | | | - | |
| Standard Equimment | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | t: | | High Volu | | | | _ | |
| Venue: | | | Ma Wan (| Chung Vill | age | | _ | |
| Model No. | | | TE-5170 | | | | _ | |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | - | |
| Last Calibr | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | le Setting (Befor | re Calibrati | on): | | 521 | СРМ | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | le Setting (After | Calibration | n): | | 521 | CPM | |
| | Date: | There | A h : t | C | Concentration (1) | Total Count 2 | Count/ | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient (| | _ | Total Count(2) | Count/ Minute③ | |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) Y-axis | | X-axis | |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.038 | 1569 | 26.15 | |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.035 | 1335 | 22.25 | |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.041 | 1744 | 29.07 | |
| Note: | 1 Monitoring | data was measu | red by Hig | h Volume | Sampler | - | | |
| | 2 Total Count | was logged by L | aser Dust I | Monitor | | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | ed by (Total | Count/60 | 0) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| By Linear I | Regression of Y o | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Slope (K-factor): Correlation coef | | 0.0015 | | • | | | |
| | Correlation coel | incient. | 0.9981 | | | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ord: | 15-Aug-24 | | | | | |
| Remarks: | Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0/ | | | |
| QC | Reviewer: | Y.W. Fung | _ s | ignature: | | Date: | 15-Aug-23 | |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA


Model No.: LD-3B

Equipment No.: A.005.16a

Sensitivity Adjustment

Scale Setting: 521 CPM

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| 1 | 26.15 | 0.038 |
| 2 | 22.25 | 0.035 |
| 3 | 29.07 | 0.041 |



| Type: | | | Laser Dust Monitor | | | | _ | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Manufacturer/Brand: | | | SIBATA | | | | | |
| Model No. | .: | | LD-3 | • | | | | |
| Equipmen | t No.: | | A.005.07a | | • | | | |
| Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: | | | 557CPM | | | | - | |
| , , | | | | | | | • | |
| Operator: | | | WS CHAN | | | | - | |
| Standard E | Equimment | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | t: | | | me Sampl | | | - | |
| Venue: | | | - | Chung Villa | age | | - | |
| Model No. | | | TE-5170 | | | | <u>-</u> | |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | - | |
| Last Calibr | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (Befor | e Calibrati | on): | | 557 | CPM | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (After | Calibratio | n): | | 557 | СРМ | |
| | | | | | | | • | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration ① | Total Count 2 | Count/ | |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute ③ | |
| | | | | | Y-axis | | X-axis | |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.038 | 1542 | 25.70 | |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.035 | 1355 | 22.58 | |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.041 | 1792 | 29.87 | |
| Note: | 1 Monitoring | data was measu | red by Hig | h Volume | Sampler | | | |
| | 2 Total Count | was logged by L | aser Dust I | Monitor | | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | ed by (Tota | Count/60 | 0) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| By Linear I | Regression of Yo | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Slope (K-factor) | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coef | mcient: | 0.9975 | | | | | |
| Validity of Calibration Record: | | | 15-Aug-24 | | | | | |
| validity of Calibration Record. | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | IA. | | | |
| | | = | | | | | | |
| QC Reviewer: Y.W. Fung Signature: Date: 15-A | | | | | | | 15-Aug-23 | |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA


Model No.: LD-3

Equipment No.: A.005.07a

Sensitivity Adjustment

Scale Setting: 557 CPM

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| 1 | 25.70 | 0.0380 |
| 2 | 22.58 | 0.0350 |
| 3 | 29.87 | 0.0410 |



| Type: | | | Laser Dust Monitor | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Manufacturer/Brand: | | | SIBATA | | • | | | |
| Model No.: | | | LD-3 | | | | | |
| Equipment No.: | | | A.005.09a | 3 | | | · | |
| Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: | | | 797 CPM | | | | ı | |
| Operator: | | | WS CHAN | | | | - | |
| Standard Equimment | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | quimment | | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | +• | | High Volu | me Samn | ler | | | |
| Venue: | . . | | Ma Wan (| | | | • | |
| Model No. | : | | TE-5170 | onang viii | <u> </u> | | • | |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | • | |
| | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | , | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | le Setting (Befor | re Calibrati | on): | | 797 | СРМ | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | le Setting (After | Calibratio | n): | | 797 | СРМ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration ① | Total Count 2 | Count/ | |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute(3) | |
| | | | | | Y-axis | | X-axis | |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.038 | 1580 | 26.33 | |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.035 | 1360 | 22.67 | |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.041 | 1752 | 29.20 | |
| Note: | (1) Monitoring | | | | Sampler | | | |
| | 2 Total Count | | | | | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | ed by (Total | Count/60 |)) | | | |
| Dulingari | Regression of Y o | un V | | | | | | |
| by Lilleal I | Slope (K-factor) | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coef | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coel | incient. | 0.9985 | | • | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ord: | 15-Au | ug-24 | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| Refild RS. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 9/ | | | |
| QC I | Reviewer: | Y.W. Fung | _ | ignature: | | Date: | 15-Aug-23 | |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA


Model No.: LD-3

Equipment No.: A.005.09a

Sensitivity Adjustment

Scale Setting: 797 CPM

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| 1 | 26.33 | 0.0380 |
| 2 | 22.67 | 0.0350 |
| 3 | 29.20 | 0.0410 |




| Type: | | | Laser Dust Monitor | | | | _ |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Manufacturer/Brand: | | | SIBATA | _ | | | |
| Model No. | .: | | LD-3 | - | | | |
| Equipmen | t No.: | | A.005.10a | - | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting: | 753 CPM | | | | - |
| Operator: | | | WS CHAN | | | | - |
| оролисо | | | | <u> </u> | | | - |
| Standard E | quimment | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | t: | | High Volu | me Sampl | ler | | _ |
| Venue: | | | Ma Wan | Chung Villa | age | | _ |
| Model No. | .: | | TE-5170 | | | | _ |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | _ |
| Last Calibr | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • | Adjustment Sca | | | - | | 753 | _CPM |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (After | Calibratio | n): | | 753 | _CPM |
| | | | | | | | • |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration 1 | Total Count 2 | Count/ |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute(3) |
| | | | | | Y-axis | | X-axis |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.0380 | 1598 | 26.63 |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.0350 | 1322 | 22.03 |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.0410 | 1713 | 28.55 |
| Note: | 1 Monitoring | data was measu | ired by Hig | h Volume | Sampler | | |
| | 2 Total Count | was logged by L | aser Dust I | Monitor | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | ed by (Tota | Count/60 | 0) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| By Linear F | Regression of Y c | n X | | | | | |
| | Slope (K-factor) | : | 0.0015 | | | | |
| | Correlation coef | fficient: | 0.9979 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Validity of Calibration Record: | | | 15-Aug-24 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 10 | | |
| | | | | | 0/ | | |
| QC I | Reviewer: | Y.W. Fung | _ | Signature: | / | Date: | 15-Aug-23 |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA LD-3 Model No.: A.005.10a Equipment No.: Sensitivity Adjustment

753 CPM Scale Setting:

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| 1 | 26.63 | 0.0380 |
| 2 | 22.03 | 0.0350 |
| 3 | 28.55 | 0.0410 |



Prepare by: WS CHAN 15-Aug-23 Date

| Type: | | | Laser Dus | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Manufacturer/Brand: | | | SIBATA | | | | • | |
| Model No. | : | | LD-3 | | | | • | |
| Equipmen | t No.: | | A.005.11a | a | | | _ | |
| Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: | | | 799 CPM | | | | | |
| Operator: | | | WS CHAN | | | | - | |
| Standard F | Equimment | | | | | | | |
| Standard | <u>-quillillellt</u> | | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | t: | | High Volu | me Samp | ler | | | |
| Venue: | | | Ma Wan (| | | | • | |
| Model No. | : | | TE-5170 | | | | • | |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | • | |
| Last Calibr | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | • _ | |
| | | | _ | | | _ | - | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | | |
| C : L: - : L . | Adimeter and Cool | la Cattina (Dafa | C.lib | | | 700 | CDM | |
| • | Adjustment Scal | • | | • | | 799 | CPM | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Scal | ie Setting (After | Calibration | n): | | 799 | CPM | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient (| Condition | Concentration 1 | Total Count 2 | Count/ | |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute(3) | |
| | (**) //// | | - 1 (-) | () | Y-axis | | X-axis | |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.038 | 1536 | 25.60 | |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.035 | 1321 | 22.02 | |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.041 | 1721 | 28.68 | |
| Note: | 1 Monitoring | data was measu | red by Hig | h Volume | Sampler | - | | |
| | 2 Total Count | was logged by L | aser Dust I | Monitor | | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | ed by (Total | Count/60 | 0) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| By Linear F | Regression of Y o | | | | | | | |
| | Slope (K-factor): | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coef | fficient: | 0.9982 | | | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ord: | 15-Au | ug-24 | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| nemarks. | kemarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 14 | | | |
| | | | | | 4 | | | |
| QC I | Reviewer: | Y.W. Fung | _ S | ignature: | // | Date: | 15-Aug-23 | |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA


Model No.: LD-3

Equipment No.: A.005.11a

Sensitivity Adjustment

Scale Setting: 799 CPM

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| 1 | 25.60 | 0.0380 |
| 2 | 22.02 | 0.0350 |
| 3 | 28.68 | 0.0410 |



| Туре: | | | Laser Dus | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Manufacturer/Brand: | | | SIBATA | | | | |
| Model No. | : | | LD-3B | | | | |
| Equipmen | t No.: | | A.005.13a | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting: | 643 CPM | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Operator: | Operator: | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Standard E | quimment | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | t: | | High Volu | me Sampl | er | | |
| Venue: | | | Ma Wan (| | | | |
| Model No. | : | | TE-5170 | | <u> </u> | | |
| Serial No.: | | | 3383 | | | | |
| Last Calibr | ation Date: | | 4-Aug-23 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Calibration | n Result | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (Befor | e Calibratio | on): | | 643 | CPM |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (After | r Calibration): | | | 643 | CPM |
| | | | | | | | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration 1 | Total Count 2 | Count/ |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute ③ |
| | | | | | Y-axis | | X-axis |
| 1 | 15/08/23 | 9:00-10:00 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.038 | 1512 | 25.20 |
| 2 | 15/08/23 | 11:30-12:30 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.035 | 1338 | 22.30 |
| 3 | 15/08/23 | 13:50-14:50 | 32.0 | 80 | 0.041 | 1703 | 28.38 |
| Note: | 1 Monitoring | data was measu | red by High | h Volume | Sampler | | |
| | 2 Total Count | was logged by La | aser Dust N | Monitor | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | ite was calculate | d by (Total | Count/60 |) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| By Linear I | Regression of Y o | | | | | | |
| | Slope (K-factor) | | 0.0015 | | - | | |
| | Correlation coef | fficient: | 0.9989 | | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ard: | 15 1 | ua 24 | | | |
| validity of | Calibration Reco | лu. | 15-Aug-24 | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| remarks. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | M | | |
| 00 | D i | V.M. F.: | _ | | | 5 . | 4F A 22 |
| QC | Reviewer: | Y.W. Fung | - | Signature: | - | Date: | 15-Aug-23 |

Laser Dust Monitor Calibration

Type: Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA

Model No.: LD-3B

Equipment No.: A.005.13a

Sensitivity Adjustment


Scale Setting: 643 CPM

2

| Hour | Count/Minute | Concentration (mg/m3) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | X-axis | Y-axis |
| | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 25.20 | 0.0380 |

22.30

28.38



0.0350

0.0410



合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

23CA0427 01-03

Page:

1

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: 4231

Serial/Equipment No.: 3006428 Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Curstomer

AECOM

Address of Customer: Request No:

Date of receipt: 27-Apr-2023

Date of test:

29-Apr-2023

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Model: Serial No. **Expiry Date:** Traceable to: Lab standard microphone B&K 4180 2412857 23-May-2023 SCL Preamplifier B&K 2673 2743150 CEPREI 28-Jun-2023 Measuring amplifier B&K 2610 2346941 30-Jun-2023 CEPREI Signal generator DS 360 61227 08-Jun-2023 CEPREL Digital multi-meter 34401A US36087050 30-May-2023 CEPREI Audio analyzer 8903B GB41300350 06-Jul-2023 CEPREI Universal counter 53132A MY40003662 CEPREI 13-Jun-2023

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3 The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date: 02-May-2023

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev D/01/03/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

23CA0427 01-03

Page:

of

Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

(Output level in dB re 20 uPa) Frequency Output Sound Pressure Measured Output Estimated Expanded Shown Level Setting Sound Pressure Level Uncertainty Hz dB dB 1000 94.00 94.22 0.10

Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.016 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.0 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.7 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

29-Apr-2023 Date:

Checked by

02-May-2023

Date:

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

23CA0307 02

Page

Microphone

B & K

4950

2665582

of

Preamp

ZC0032

B & K

17190

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Sound Level Meter (Class 1)

AECOM ASIA CO LTD

B & K 2250-L 2681366

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Customer Name: Address of Customer:

Request No.: Date of receipt:

07-Mar-2023

Date of test:

08-Mar-2023

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator

DS 360

Model: B&K 4226 Serial No. 2288444 61227

Expiry Date: 23-Aug-2023 08-Jun-2023

Traceable to: CIGISMEC CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: Relative humidity: 22 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 % 1010 ± 5 hPa

Air pressure:

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.

The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets

Approved Signatory:

13-Mar-2023

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 23CA0307 02 Page

of

Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances,

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Expanded Uncertanity (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| 0.15 | | _ | | |
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | C | Pass | 0.8 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 1.6 | |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Frequency weightings | A | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 | |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Leq | Pass | 0.4 | |

Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Expanded Uncertanity (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 | |
| | | | | |

Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Fung Chi Yip Date: 08-Mar-2023

Checked by

Chan Yuk Yiu 13-Mar-2023

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

End

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 23CA1030 01-02 of Item tested Description: Sound Level Meter (Type 1) Microphone Pream Manufacturer: B&K B & K B & K Type/Model No.: 2270 4189 ZC0032 Serial/Equipment No.: 3007965 2846461 17965 Adaptors used: Item submitted by Customer Name: AECOM ASIA CO. LTD. Address of Customer: Request No.: Date of receipt: 30-Oct-2023 Date of test: 31-Oct-2023 Reference equipment used in the calibration

Serial No.

2288444

33873

Ambient conditions

Multi function sound calibrator

Description:

Signal generator

Temperature: Relative humidity: Air pressure:

21 ± 1 °C 60 ± 10 % 1005 ± 5 hPa

Model:

DS 360

B&K 4226

Test specifications

- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.
- The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets

Approved Signatory:

01-Nov-2023

Company Chop:

Expiry Date:

28-Aug-2024

31-Jan-2024

Traceable to:

CIGISMEC

CEPREI

Comments: The results reported in his certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 23CA1030 01-02

Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances,

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------------|
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 |
| | С | Pass | 1.0 2.1 |
| | Lin | Pass | 2.0 2.2 |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Frequency weightings | Α | Pass | 0.3 |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Leg | Pass | 0.4 |

Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 |

Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95 %. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

End Calibrated by: Funa Chi Yin Date: 31-Oct-2023 Date: 01-Nov-2023

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 23CA1030 01-01 Page of 2 Item tested Description: Sound Level Meter (Type 1) Microphone Pream Manufacturer: B&K B&K B&K Type/Model No.: 2270 4950 ZC0032 Serial/Equipment No.: 2644597 2879980 29398 Adaptors used: Item submitted by Customer Name: AECOM ASIA CO. LTD. Address of Customer Request No.:

Date of test: 31-Oct-2023

Reference equipment used in the calibration

30-Oct-2023

Description: Model: Serial No. **Expiry Date:** Traceable to: Multi function sound calibrator B&K 4226 2288444 28-Aug-2024 CIGISMEC Signal generator DS 360 33873 31-Jan-2024 CEPREL

Ambient conditions

Date of receipt:

21 ± 1 °C Temperature: Relative humidity: 60 ± 10 % 1005 ± 5 hPa Air pressure:

Test specifications

- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152
- The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.
- The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference 3. between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory: Fena Juna

01-Nov-2023

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received

C Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No : 23CA1030 01-01

Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------------|
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 |
| | С | Pass | 1.0 2.1 |
| | Lin | Pass | 2.0 2.2 |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Frequency weightings | Α | Pass | 0.3 |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Leg | Pass | 0.4 |

Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 |

Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95 %. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by: ung Chi Yip Date: 31-Oct-2023 01-Nov-2023

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

C Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.

APPENDIX F

EM&A Monitoring Schedules

Central Kowloon Route – Kai Tak West Impact Environmental Monitoring Schedule for January 2024

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 1-Jan | 2-Jan | 3-Jan | 4-Jan | 5-Jan | 6-Jan |
| | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise | | | | |
| 7-Jan | | 9-Jan | 10-Jan | 11-Jan | 12-Jan | 13-Jan |
| | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise | | | | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP |
| 14-Jan | 15-Jan | 16-Jan | 17-Jan | 18-Jan | 19-Jan | 20-Jan |
| | | | | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise | |
| 21-Jan | 22-Jan | 23-Jan | 24-Jan | 25-Jan | 26-Jan | 27-Jan |
| | | | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise | | |
| 28-Jan | 29-Jan | 30-Jan | 31-Jan | | | |
| | | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise | | | |

Air Quality Monitoring Station

E-A14a: Block B of Merit Industrial Centre

Noise Monitoring Stations

E-N12a: 19 Hing Yan Street

E-N21a: Block B of Merit Industrial Centre

Monitoring Frequency

24-hour TSP: Once every 6 days

1-hour TSP: 3 times every 6 days (as required in case of complaints)

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

Central Kowloon Route – Kai Tak West Tentative Impact Environmental Monitoring Schedule for February 2024

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|---------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | 1-Feb | 2-Feb | 3-Feb |
| | | | | | | 24-hour TSP |
| | | | | | | 1-hour TSP |
| | | | | | | |
| 4-Feb | 5-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb |
| 4-1-60 | 3-1 eb | 0-l eb | 7-I-eb | 8-Feb | 24-hour TSP | 10-Feb |
| | | | | | 1-hour TSP | |
| | | | | | Noise | |
| | | | | | 140100 | |
| 11-Feb | 12-Feb | 13-Feb | 14-Feb | | 16-Feb | 17-Feb |
| | | | | 24-hour TSP | | |
| | | | | 1-hour TSP | | |
| | | | | Noise | | |
| 18-Feb | 19-Feb | 20-Feb | 21-Feb | 22-Feb | 22 Fab | 24-Feb |
| 18-Feb | | 20-Feb | Z1-Feb | ZZ-Feb | 23-Feb | |
| | 24-hour TSP | | | | | 24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP |
| | 1-hour TSP Noise | | | | | 1-noul 15P |
| | Noise | | | | | |
| 25-Feb | 26-Feb | 27-Feb | 28-Feb | 29-Feb | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Air Quality Monitoring Station

E-A14a: Block B of Merit Industrial Centre

Noise Monitoring Stations

E-N12a: 19 Hing Yan Street

E-N21a: Block B of Merit Industrial Centre

Monitoring Frequency

24-hour TSP: Once every 6 days

1-hour TSP: 3 times every 6 days (as required in case of complaints)

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

APPENDIX G

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix G
Air Quality Monitoring Results


24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station E-A14a (Block B, Merit Industrial Centre)

| | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Flow Rate | (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elaps | e Time | Sampling | Conc. |
|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|---------|
| Date | Condition | Temp. (°C) | Pressure (hPa) | Initial | Final | (m³/min) | (m ³) | Initial | Final | weight(g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | (µg/m³) |
| 2-Jan-24 | Sunny | 18.7 | 1019.1 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7482 | 2.9086 | 0.1604 | 15234.06 | 15258.06 | 24.00 | 83.5 |
| 8-Jan-24 | Sunny | 19.1 | 1019.6 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7456 | 2.8465 | 0.1009 | 15258.06 | 15282.06 | 24.00 | 52.5 |
| 13-Jan-24 | Sunny | 19.6 | 1019.9 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7530 | 2.8981 | 0.1451 | 15282.06 | 15306.06 | 24.00 | 75.5 |
| 19-Jan-24 | Sunny | 21.1 | 1016.3 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7608 | 2.9162 | 0.1554 | 15306.06 | 15330.06 | 24.00 | 80.9 |
| 25-Jan-24 | Fine | 12.3 | 1028.7 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7538 | 2.8355 | 0.0817 | 15330.06 | 15354.06 | 24.00 | 42.5 |
| 31-Jan-24 | Cloudy | 19.3 | 1019.4 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7482 | 2.9086 | 0.1604 | 15354.06 | 15378.06 | 24.00 | 83.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 67.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Minimum | 42.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Maximum | 83.5 |

Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station E-A14a (Block B, Merit Industrial Centre)

| | Start | | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour |
|-----------|-------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Time | Weather | Conc. | Conc. | Conc. |
| Date | | | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) |
| 2-Jan-24 | 11:00 | Sunny | 64.9 | 67.0 | 67.4 |
| 8-Jan-24 | 13:00 | Sunny | 63.8 | 61.8 | 64.1 |
| 13-Jan-24 | 13:15 | Sunny | 65.0 | 63.7 | 63.3 |
| 19-Jan-24 | 13:05 | Sunny | 60.1 | 60.7 | 61.5 |
| 25-Jan-24 | 11:10 | Fine | 62.3 | 63.6 | 62.7 |
| 31-Jan-24 | 11:30 | Cloudy | 63.7 | 62.9 | 65.1 |
| | | | | Average | 63.5 |
| | | | | Min | 60.1 |
| | | | | Max | 67.4 |




This Drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as agreed by AECOM or as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsover, to any party that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent.

Central Kowloon Route - Kai Tak West (Contract No. HY/2014/07)



Graphical Presentation of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Date: February 2024 Appendix G




Central Kowloon Route - Kai Tak West (Contract No. HY/2014/07)




Graphical Presentation of Impact 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Data of Wind Direction Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024


(於香港時間02/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 02/01/2024)




(於香港時間03/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 03/01/2024)



(於香港時間08/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 08/01/2024)




(於香港時間09/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 09/01/2024)




Data of Wind Direction Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024


(於香港時間13/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 13/01/2024)




(於香港時間14/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 14/01/2024)








(於香港時間21/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 21/01/2024)




Data of Wind Direction Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024


(於香港時間25/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 25/01/2024)




(於香港時間26/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 26/01/2024)



(於香港時間31/01/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 31/01/2024)





(於香港時間01/02/2024 00 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 01/02/2024)



Data of Wind Speed Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024













Data of Wind Speed Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024












Data of Wind Speed Extracted from Kai Tak Wind Station of the Hong Kong Observatory January 2024









APPENDIX H

Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix H Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station E-N12a (19 Hing Yan Street)


| Date | Date Weather | | e Level foi | 30-min, d | B(A) + | Limit Level, | Exceedance |
|-----------|--------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------------|------------|
| Dato | Condition | Time | L90 | L10 | Leq | dB(A) | (Y/N) |
| 2-Jan-24 | Sunny | 11:45 | 62.0 | 65.6 | 64.3 | 75 | N |
| 8-Jan-24 | Sunny | 13:55 | 62.1 | 66.6 | 65.4 | 75 | N |
| 19-Jan-24 | Sunny | 14:00 | 63.1 | 66.5 | 65.2 | 75 | N |
| 25-Jan-24 | Fine | 14:00 | 65.1 | 69.0 | 67.3 | 75 | N |
| 31-Jan-24 | Cloudy | 13:55 | 63.0 | 66.4 | 65.1 | 75 | N |


Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station E-N21a (Block B of Merit Industrial Centre)

| Weat | Weather | Nois | e Level for | r 30-min, d | B(A) # | Limit Level, | Exceedance |
|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------------|--------|--------------|------------|
| Date | Condition | Time | L90 | L10 | Leq | dB(A) | (Y/N) |
| 2-Jan-24 | Sunny | 11:00 | 54.8 | 60.3 | 59.3 | 75 | N |
| 8-Jan-24 | Sunny | 13:00 | 55.1 | 60.1 | 59.3 | 75 | N |
| 19-Jan-24 | Sunny | 13:05 | 53.8 | 59.8 | 59.7 | 75 | N |
| 25-Jan-24 | Fine | 14:45 | 62.5 | 65.6 | 64.2 | 75 | N |
| 31-Jan-24 | Cloudy | 13:05 | 55.8 | 60.7 | 59.5 | 75 | N |

⁺ - Façade measurement.

^{# -} A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurement.





Central Kowloon Route - Kai Tak West (Contract No. HY/2014/07)

A=COM

Graphical Presentation of Impact Noise Monitoring Results

Date: February 2024 Appendix H

APPENDIX I

Event and Action Plan

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

| EVENT | | AC | TION | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss with the Contractor and IEC on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. | Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate. | |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, ER and Contractor; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check Contractor's working method; Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal as appropriate. | |

Gammon Construction Limited Central Kowloon Route – Kai Tak West

Appendix I Event Action Plan

| Appendix I | Event Action Plan | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| EVENT | | ACT | TION | |
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Exceedance for one sample | Inform the Contractor, IEC, EPD and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with the ER, IEC and contractor on the remedial measures and assess the effectiveness. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; 2. Check the Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Notify Contractor, IEC, EPD and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with the IEC and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Review the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER, and Contractor on the potential remedial measures; Review and advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

| FMENT | | ACT | TION | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Exceedance of Action Level | Notify the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss with the ER, IEC and Contractor on the remedial measures required; and Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | Review the investigation results submitted by the contractor; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. | Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing; Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; and Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | Investigate the complaint and propose remedial measures; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ET and ER; Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification; and Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Exceedance of Limit Level | Notify the Contractor, IEC, EPD and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with the IEC and ER to discuss the remedial measures to be taken; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Review the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial measures and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Continuous Noise Monitoring

| EVENT | | ACTI | ON | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Action/Limit Level | 1. Identify source; 2. Repeat measurement. If two consecutive measurements exceed Action/Limit Level, the exceedance is then confirmed; 3. If exceedance is confirmed, notify IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and ckeck Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 5. Discuss jointly with the IEC, ER and Contractor and formulate remedial measures; and 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC and ER informed of the results. | Check monitoring data submitted by the Works Contract 1123 ET; Check the Contractor's working method; Discuss with the ER, Works Contract 1123 ET and Contractor on the potential remedial measures; and Review and advise the Works Contract 1123 ET and ER on the effectiveness of the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. In consultation with the Works Contract 1123 ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 3. Ensure the proper implementation of remedial measures; and 4. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Identify source with the Works Contract 1123 ET; If exceedance is confirmed, investigation the cause of exceedance and take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with copy to the IEC and ET of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Liaise with ER to optimize the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

APPENDIX J

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Appendix J

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

| | Date received | Subject | Status | Total no. received in this month | Total no. received since project commencement |
|--------------------------|------------------|---|--------|----------------------------------|---|
| Environmental complaints | 4 Jan 2024 | Environmental Complaint No.: EC-070 Details of Complaints: The complaint (EPD ref.: K10/RE/00000438-24) was received by EPD on 4 January 2024 and referred by Contractor on 26 January 2024. The case was related to the water concerns as follows: - Complaint of Polluting effluent discharged from unknown source into the sea near Kowloon City Ferry Pier, Ma Tau Kok. Details of Investigation and findings: - As reported by the Contractor, the construction activity of concreting works at MTK Cut & Cover Tunnel and rebar fixing works at MTK C&C and Stage 2 UWT were conducted the at 09:00 – 18:00 on 4 January 2024. The surface runoff was collected and conveyed to the wastewater treatment systems at MTK main opening area site and small opening area site respectively. The effluent samples were collected at the wastewater treatment systems at MTK main opening area site and small opening area site on 4 January 2024 and sent to the laboratory. The results of the suspended solids (SS) were less than 5 mg/L respectively. Moreover, the routine visual check of effluent was conducted at MTK main opening area site and small opening area site on 5 January 2024 respectively, and no muddy water was discovered. The wastewater treatment systems monitoring checklists were attached and no ab-normal issue observed. A license for a discharge (WT00043692-2023) under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance was granted by EPD for MTK site, and the valid period is from 1 April 2023 to 31/3/2028. The Contractor has complied with the conditions in the discharge license. Conclusion: - The Contractor has complied with the conditions in the water discharge license (WT00043692-2023). Moreover, it is considered that the surface runoff from the construction works on MTK site was collected and treated properly by the wastewater treatment system on site. There was no muddy water observed at the site discharge point, so the complaint is considered to be non-project related.<!--</td--><td>Closed</td><td>1</td><td>70</td> | Closed | 1 | 70 |
| Notification of summons | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Successful prosecutions | | | | 0 | 0 |

Appendix J AECOM

APPENDIX K

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Contract No. : HY/2014/07 Central Kowloon Route - Kai Tak West Gammon Construction Litmited



Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2024 (Year)

| | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly (Note 1) | | | | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Materials (i.e. C&D Wastes) Generated Monthly | | | | | Actual Quantities of Contaminated Soil Monthly | | Actual Quantities of Land- based Sediment Monthly | | Actual Quantities of Marine-based sediment Monthly | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Month | Generated | | | | Disposed | | | | Reused | | | Recycled | | Disposed | | Reused | Reused | Disposed | | Disposed | | | | |
| | Fill Material Artificial Material | | | Tatal | Disposed | Disposed | Disposed | Total | | Barra dia | Tatal | Paper/ | | | | | Reused in the Contract | Disposed at Designated Site | | Disposed at Designated Site | | | | |
| | Soil and Rock | Broken Concrete | Asphalt | Building Derbis | Total Quantity Generated | as Public Fills at TKO137 | as Public Fills at TM38 | as Capping at East Sha Chau (Alluvium) | Total Quantity Disposal | Reused in the Contract | Reused in Other Projects | Total Quantity Reused | Metals | cardboard packaging (Note 3) | Plastics | Chemical Waste | General Refuse (Note 2) | Reused in the Contract | Type 1 (Cat. L) | Type 1 (Cat. M _p) | Type 2 (Cat. M _f , Cat. H) | Type 1 (Cat. L, Cat. M _p) | Type 2 (Cat. M _f , Cat. H, Cat. H _p) | Type 3 (Cat. H _f) |
| Unit | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000Kg) | ('000Kg) | ('000Kg) | ('000kg) | ('000Kg) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) | ('000m ³) |
| Jan | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 284.770 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Feb | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Mar | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Apr | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| May | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Jun | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| SOR- | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 284.770 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Jul | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Aug | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sep | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Oct | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Nov | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Dec | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| TOTAL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 284.770 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Note: 1. Assume the density of fill is 2 ton/m3.

2. Refuse disposed to NENT landfill.