



JOB No.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
LIANTANG/ HEUNG YUEN WAI
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT (No.67) – FEBRUARY 2019

PREPARED FOR
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
(CEDD)

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
14 March 2019	TCS00694/13/600/R1993v2	 Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	8 March 2019	First Submission
2	14 March 2019	Amended according to the IEC's comments on 11 and 13 March 2019

Our ref: 7076192/L24167/AW/MCC/rw

14 March 2019

AECOM
8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road
Shatin, N.T.

By Email & Post

Attention: Mr Simon LEUNG

Dear Sir

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation
Quarterly Ecological Monitoring Report for Woodland Compensation Area (No .6) –
December 2018 to February 2019

With reference to the Quarterly Ecological Monitoring Report for Woodland Compensation Area No. 6 for December 2018 to February 2019 (Version 2) certified by the ET Leader, please be noted that we have no adverse comments on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Section 8.3.2.2 of the EM&A Manual.

Thank you for your attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to antony.wong@smec.com; or our Mr Arthur CHIU on tel. 3995-8144 or by email to arthur.chiu@smec.com.

Yours faithfully



Antony WONG

Independent Environmental Checker

cc	CEDD/BCP	-	Mr LU Pei Yu / Mr William CHEUNG
	AECOM	-	Mr Pat LAM / Mr Perry YAM
	CCKJV	-	Mr Vincent CHAN
	AUES	-	Mr TW TAM

by fax: 3547 1659
by email
by email
by email

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES01 This is the 67th monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from **1 to 28 February 2019** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to seven CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (NE/2014/02), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 In the Reporting Period, the major construction works under Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project included Contract 2, Contract 3, Contract 4, Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Reporting Period	
		Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake	Total Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	9	150
	24-hour TSP	9	49
Construction Noise	L _{eq(30min)} Daytime	10	45
Water Quality	Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling	WM1 & WM1-C	11 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx	11 Scheduled & 1 extra
		WM2B & WM2B-C	11 Scheduled & 0 extra (*)
		WM3x & WM3-C	11 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB	11 Scheduled & 1 extra
Ecology	Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species	9 Quadrats and transect	1
Joint Site Inspection / Audit	IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing	Contract 2	4
		Contract 3	4
		Contract 4	5
		Contract 6	4
		Contract 7	5
		Contract SS C505 (#)	4

Remark: (#) IEC only joined one (1) event of site inspection for Contract SS C505.

(*) In whole Reporting Period, water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

ES04 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise exceedance and valid noise complaint was recorded. For air quality monitoring, no exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP was recorded. Furthermore, 4 Limit Level exceedances were recorded during water quality monitoring. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--
	24-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	0	0	0	--	--	--
Water Quality	DO	0	0	0	--	--	--
	Turbidity	0	2	2	Refer to ES.05		The Contractor should fully implement water quality mitigation measure.
	SS	0	2	2			

ES05 A total of Four (4) Limit Level exceedances were recorded during water quality monitoring. The investigation report for exceedance recorded at WM2A(a) on 8 February 2019 revealed that exceedance was not related to the work under the project. The investigation report for exceedance recorded at WM4 on 25 February 2019 is still underway by ET and the investigation result will be presented in next Monthly EM&A Report.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES06 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received for Contract 3 regarding the construction noise and site cleanliness issues. The complaint details and status of investigation are summarized below.

- (a) A public complaint was received by EPD on 28 January 2019 about noise disturbance generated by the construction works at 8pm at Kau Lung Hang claiming from Chun Wo's construction site. The Contractor confirmed that there was no construction activity after 18:00 on 28 January 2019. Investigation was conducted by ET and the IR revealed that the complaint was not valid to Contract 3.
- (b) A public complaint was received by 1823 on 21 Feb 2019 about cleanliness of Chun Wo construction site near Yuen Leng concerning on water spraying around the site area causing mud and muddy water rush out from the site to public road. Investigation is underway by ET.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES07 No environmental summons and prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES08 No reporting changes were made in the Reporting Period.

SITE INSPECTION

ES09 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 2** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15 and 21 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES10 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 3** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **8, 14, 20 and 28 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 4** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 25 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.

- ES12 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **8, 14, 21 and 28 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES13 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for **Contract 7** to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 26 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES14 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract SS C505** has been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **4, 13, 20 and 27 February 2019** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **20 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- ES15 As wet season is approaching, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual.
- ES16 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- ES17 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- ES18 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	3
2.1	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING	3
2.2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION	5
2.3	CONCURRENT PROJECTS	7
2.4	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	7
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	10
3	SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	14
3.1	GENERAL	14
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	14
3.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS	14
3.4	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	16
3.5	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	17
3.6	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
3.7	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	21
3.8	DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	21
3.9	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	22
4	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	23
4.1	GENERAL	23
4.2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS	23
5	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	26
5.1	GENERAL	26
5.2	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS	26
6	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	27
6.1	GENERAL	27
6.2	RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING	27
7	ECOLOGY MONITORING	30
7.1	GENERAL	30
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	31
8.1	GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	31
8.2	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	31
9	SITE INSPECTION	32
9.1	REQUIREMENTS	32
9.2	FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH	32
10	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	35
10.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS	35
11	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	38
11.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	38
11.2	TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH	38
11.3	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	41
12	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	42
12.1	CONCLUSIONS	42
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	42

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACTS
TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY
TABLE 3-3	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-4	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1C
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3
TABLE 4-4	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A
TABLE 4-6	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6
TABLE 4-7	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7B
TABLE 4-8	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM8
TABLE 4-9	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM9B
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 6-1	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED OF CONTRACTS 2 AND 3
TABLE 6-2	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED OF CONTRACTS 6 AND SS C505
TABLE 6-3	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED ONLY CONTRACT 6
TABLE 6-4	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED CONTRACTS 2 AND 6
TABLE 6-5	ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE RECORDED
TABLE 6-6	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 8-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS FOR THE PROJECT
TABLE 8-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES FOR THE PROJECT
TABLE 9-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 2
TABLE 9-2	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 3
TABLE 9-3	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 4
TABLE 9-4	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 9-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT SS C505
TABLE 9-6	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 7
TABLE 10-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 10-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 10-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTIONS
TABLE 11-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART
APPENDIX C	3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING
APPENDIX F	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY
APPENDIX G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I	DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX L	WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX M	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
APPENDIX N	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR EXCEEDANCE
APPENDIX O	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR COMPLAINT

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of *Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works*, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/D granted on 20 January 2017.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in **Appendix A**.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
 - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
 - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
 - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
 - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **67th** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1** to **28 February 2019**.

1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Section 1 | <i>Introduction</i> |
| Section 2 | <i>Project Organization and Construction Progress</i> |
| Section 3 | <i>Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements</i> |
| Section 4 | <i>Air Quality Monitoring</i> |
| Section 5 | <i>Construction Noise Monitoring</i> |
| Section 6 | <i>Water Quality Monitoring</i> |

<i>Section 7</i>	<i>Ecology Monitoring</i>
<i>Section 8</i>	<i>Waste Management</i>
<i>Section 9</i>	<i>Site Inspections</i>
<i>Section 10</i>	<i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i>
<i>Section 11</i>	<i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i>
<i>Section 12</i>	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>

2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016 and construction work was commenced on 2 May 2017. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:

- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
- construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
- associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
- construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:

- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
- associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:

- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
- construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:

- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
- cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
- accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
- transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;
- a public carpark; and

- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in **Appendix B**. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance

- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

- 2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.
- 2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:
- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
 - Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM & A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
 - Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
 - Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
 - Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
 - Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
 - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

- 2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.
- 2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:
- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
 - Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
 - Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
 - Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
 - Audit environmental conditions on site
 - Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
 - Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
 - Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant EM&A proforma for approval by IEC
 - Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
 - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

- 2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.
- 2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:
- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
 - Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
 - Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
 - Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
 - Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
 - Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
 - Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
 - Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
 - Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
 - Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
 - Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
 - Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

- 2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
 - (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
 - (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.4.1 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activity conducted under the Project is located in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 and they are summarized in below. Moreover, 3-month rolling construction program for all the current contracts is enclosed in **Appendix C**.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.4.2 The contract commenced in May 2014. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification for the Mid-Ventilation Building T&C for E&M facilities Construction of flexible barrier and permanent drainage External reinstatement works and soft landscaping works
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification for the North Ventilation Building Construction of permanent drainage and slip road Cladding installation, road paving and T&C for E&M facilities External backfilling and reinstatement works Soft landscaping works
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification for the South Ventilation Building Construction of flexible barrier, permanent drainage and slip road Cladding installation, road paving and T&C for E&M facilities External backfilling and reinstatement works Soft landscaping works Dismantling the concrete pier for the temporary steel bridge
Admin Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification for the Admin Build. T&C for E&M facilities External reinstatement and soft landscaping works

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.4.3 The Contract commenced in November 2013. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Remaining works on new Footbridge
- Noise barrier construction
- Road pavement works
- Water main laying works (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Installation of Noise barrier steel column & panel, and sign gantry (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Road Drainage Works
- Waterproofing works on bridge deck
- Bitumen paving on bridge deck
- Construction of Pavilion and Pai Lau
- Construction of retaining wall
- Landscaping works

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.4.4 The Contract was awarded in mid-April 2016 and the construction work was commenced on 2 May 2017. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- T&C at Admin Building
- T&C at tunnel
- Panel installation at Cheung Shan Tunnel

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.4.5 The construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.4.6 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Bridge construction

- Tunneling Works
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Ventilation Building Construction
- Slip Road/At-grade Road/Periphery Road Construction

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.4.7 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and construction work was commenced on 15 February 2016. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Noise barrier construction at Bridge D & E
- Parapet installation at Bridge A & E
- Waterproofing and Drainage works at roof of Bridge C
- Drainage and watermains at perimeter road
- Bitumen pavement at Bridge A & E
- Street lighting and CCTV installation at perimeter road
- Shenzhen River Reinstatement

Contract SS C505

2.4.8 Contract SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) Structure Works - G/F Plant Rooms Structure Works, G/F Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
- PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, External Staircases, External Staircases, Hall Block External Façade, Southern Entrance Construction, Major Plant Rooms & EAC Doors
- PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MXRVSS), Podium Open Area & Ambulance Canopy / Glazed Canopy
- Bridge C Integrated ABWF and MEP Installation Works (C7 Portion) - Arrival & Departure Hall, Staircases, Test & Commissioning
- Bldg 1 - C&ED Detector Dog Base Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F, R/F & External
- Bldg 2 - HKPF Building and Observation Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 4/F, Observation Tower (including Lift) & External Works
- Bldg 3 - Fire Station and Drill Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to UR/F & Drill Tower
- Bldg 4 - Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
- Bldg 5 - Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
- Bldg 6 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works (FXI Fence Wall), Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F
- Bldg 7 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 1/F & Roof works
- Bldg 8 - MXRVSS (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 9 - MXRVSS (Outbound) Phase 2 - Structure Works at G/F, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 10 - GV Kiosk (Inbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 11 - GV Kiosk (Outbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 12 - Public Toilets (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 13 - Public Toilets (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F &

R/F

- Bldg 14 - Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 15 - Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) Phase 2 - Substructure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 16 - Weigh Station Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 17 - EUVSS & Monitoring Room Phase 2 - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 18 - Refuse Collection Point Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 25 - Traffic Control Office (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 26 - Traffic Control Office (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 27 - Inspection Post Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Work at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 28 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 29 - Guard Booths (Vehicle Detention Area) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 30 - Guard Booth (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 31 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 32/33/34/35 - Steel Canopy 1 to 4 Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Bldg 36 - Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at R/F
- Bldg 37/38/39 - Elevated Walkways (E1, E2 & E3) Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Bldg 40 - Elevated Walkway E4 Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Vehicular Bridges 1 & 4 Phase 3 - Retaining walls, Road and Finishes Works
- Vehicular Bridges 2, 3 & 5 Phase 3 - Road and Finishes Works
- External Works - Water Meter Room Connection (inbound & outbound)
- External Utilities Works - UU works for phase 2 FS inspection & DSD inspection
- External Road & Pavement Works - for inbound - Phase 1 FS inspection & for Phase 2 FS inspection
- External Landscape - Inbound & Outbound area
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 1
- T&C - FSD, HKPF, CBI, FXI, DOG & Bldg 36
- FS Inspection - FSD Cert. Issue & Final O&M Manual, Test Report
- SCCU Inspection & Handover "
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 2
- T&C - CBO, FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups
- FS Inspection - EVA, CBO & FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups"
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 3
- T&C - EVA & PTB"

2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:

- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Landscape Plan
- Topsoil Management Plan
- Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
- Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
- Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
- Vegetation Survey Report

- Woodland Compensation Plan
- Habitat Creation and Management Plan
- Wetland Compensation Plan

2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts

Item	Description	License/Permit Status			
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date	
Contract 2					
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref No.: 368864	31 Dec 2013	Till Contract ends	
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	North Portal Waste Producers Number: No.5213-652-D2523-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends	
		Mid-Vent Portal Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2524-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends	
		South Portal Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2526-01	9 Apr 2014	Till Contract ends	
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.WT00018374-2014 (South Portal)	3 Mar 2014	28 Feb 2019	
		No. WT00023063-2015 (North Portal)	18 Dec 2015	31 Mar 2019	
		No.: W5/1I392 (Admin Building)	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019	
		No.: WT00025594-2016 (Mid-Vent Portal)	7 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2019	
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7019105	8 Jan 2014	Till Contract ends	
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0063-19	North Portal	31-Jan-2019	31-May-2019
		GW-RN0065-19		31-Jan-2019	31-May-2019
		GW-RN0084-19		11-Feb-2019	31-May-2019
		GW-RN0400-18	Mid Vent	06-Aug-2018	01-Feb-2019
		GW-RN0099-19		13-Feb-2019	31-May-2019
		GW-RN0098-19		13-Feb-2019	31-May-2019
		GW-RN0111-19	South Portal	20-Feb-2019	1-Mar-2019
		GW-RN0511-18		30-Sep-2018	25-Mar-2019
		GW-RN0513-18		30-Sep-2018	25-Mar-2019
		GW-RN0523-18	Admin Bldg	28-Sep-2018	27-Mar-2019
		GW-RN0522-18	Cheung Shan Tunnel	26-Sep-2018	22-Mar-2019
6	Specified Process License (Mortar Plant	L-3-251(1)	12 Apr 2016	11 Apr 2021	

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Operation)			
Contract 3				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 362101	17 Jul 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number: No.:5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00032188 – 2018	20 Sep 2018	31 Aug 2023
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017914	2 Aug 2013	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0388-18	25 Aug 2018	24 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0424-18	01 Sep 2018	21 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0425-18	22 Aug 2018	21 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0454-18	06 Sep 2018	05 Mar 2019
		GW-RN0566-18	29 Oct 2018	04 Apr 2019
		GW-RN0693-18	18 Dec 2018	25 May 2019
		GW-RN0694-18	19 Dec 2018	25 May 2019
		GW-RN0696-18	19 Dec 2018	25 May 2019
		GW-RN0699-18	18 Dec 2018	25 May 2019
		GW-RN0058-19	25 Feb 2019	24 Aug 2019
		GW-RN0064-19	06 Mar 2019	05 Sep 2019
		GW-RN0067-19	22 Feb 2019	21 Aug 2019
Contract 6				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390614	29 Jun 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01	31 Aug 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022707	9 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00024574-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.:WT00024576-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.:WT00024742-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
		No.:WT00024746-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RW0481-18	14 Sep 2018	13 Mar 2019
		GW-RW0595-18	30 Oct 2018	28 Feb 2019
Contract SS C505				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Ref. No: 390974	13 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Regulation			
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5213-642-L1048-07	16 Sep 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024865-2016	8 Jul 2016	30 Nov 2020
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022831	23 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0529-18	5 Oct 2018	3 Apr 2019
		GW-RN0133-19	9 Mar 2019	8 May 2019
		GW-RN0754-18	9 Jan 2019	8 Mar 2019
Contract 7				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 397015	21 Dec 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5214-641-K3202-01	24 Mar 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024422-2016	10 May 2016	31 May 2021
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024129	21 Jan 2016	Till the end of Contract
Contract 4				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No. 405353	22 July 2016	Till the end of Contract
2	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024973	13 May 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0697-18	10 Dec 2018	31 Mar 2019

3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and • 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler.
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $L_{eq(30min)}$ in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and • 3 sets of consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday • Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.
Water Quality	In-situ Measurements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L); • Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%) ; • Turbidity (NTU); • pH unit; • Water depth (m); and • Temperature (°C).
	Laboratory Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspended Solids (mg/L)

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The latest alternative monitoring locations has been updated in the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which approved by EPD on 7 April 2017. Besides, in view of Location AM1b was demolished and returned to the landlord on 27 April 2018, alternative location AM1c was proposed by ET and approved by EPD on 26 November 2018. *Table 3-2, Table 3-3 and Table 3-4* listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1c (*)	Open area of Tsung Yuen Ha Village No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
AM2	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM3	Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village.	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM4b [^]	House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM5a [^]	Ping Yeung Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM6	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM7b [@]	Loi Tung Village House	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2 Contract 6
AM8	Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
AM9b#	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013).

@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).

[^] Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016. Besides, Location AM1b was temporary suspended (24-hour TSP monitoring) since 27 April 2018 as the rented land was demolished and returned to the landlord.

* Revised proposal for alternative location AM1c was submitted to EPD on 31 October 2018 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (1) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.26 dated 26 November 2018)

Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
NM1	Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
NM2a#	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
NM3	Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast)	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM4	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM5	Village House, Loi Tung	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM6	Tai Tong Wu Village House 2	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM7	Po Kat Tsai Village	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
NM8	Village House, Tong Hang	Fanling	Contract 2 Contract 3
NM9	Village House, Kiu Tau Village	Fanling	Contract 3
NM10	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.

Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
WM1	Downstream of Kong Yiu	833 679	845 421	Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the	SS C505 Contract 6

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
	Channel			designated location	
WM1-Control	Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel	834 185	845 917	NA	SS C505 Contract 6
WM2A	Downstream of River Ganges	834 204	844 471	Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A(a)*	Downstream of River Ganges	834 191	844 474	Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A-Controlx#	Upstream of River Ganges	835 377	844 188	Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2B	Downstream of River Ganges	835 433	843 397	NA	Contract 6
WM2B-Control	Upstream of River Ganges	835 835	843 351	Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM3x#	Downstream of River Indus	836 206	842 270	Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM3-Control	Upstream of River Indus	836 763	842 400	Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM4	Downstream of Ma Wat Channel	833 850	838 338	Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4– Control A	Kau Lung Hang Stream	834 028	837 695	Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4– Control B	Upstream of Ma Wat Channel	833760	837395	Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3

Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which proposed that (1) if the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring; and (2) If no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 4.1.4) (EPD ref.: () in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)

() Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD's ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)*

(#) Proposal for the change of water quality monitoring location (WM3x and WM2A-Cx) was included in the EM&A Programme Rev .05 which approved by EPD on 29 March 2016 (EPD ref.: (3) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.19)

3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6 of the approved *EM&A Manual* and presented as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP 3 times every six days during course of works
- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.4.2 One set of $L_{eq(30min)}$ as 6 consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), additional weekly impact monitoring for $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurement shall be employed during respective restricted hours periods.. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.
- 3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.
- 3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
24-Hr TSP	
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170*
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A*
1-Hour TSP	
Portable Dust Meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter*

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

- 3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:
- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
 - 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
 - 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
 - 4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.
- 3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.
- 3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located

nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in *Table 3-6*.

Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238* and Rion NL-52*
Calibrator	Rion NC-74*
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:

- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
- a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.

3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.

3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.

3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.

3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the

same day as the samples were collected.

- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or tape measures
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus /YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument*/ YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter/ YSI Professional DSS
pH meter	YSI Professional Plus / AZ8685 pH pen-style meter*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named "Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter" which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- (a.) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - (b.) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - (c.) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:
- (a.) An anodized aluminum shelter;
 - (b.) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
 - (c.) A blower motor assembly;
 - (d.) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
 - (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
 - (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
 - (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

- 3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.
- 3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level (L_{eq}) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30min)}$ in six consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

Water Quality

- 3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

Sampling Procedure

- 3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.
- 3.6.11 If the water level of a monitoring station is too shallow when sampling, sediment would be disturbed which affecting the accuracy of water quality monitoring. In order to avoid disturbing sediment, depth limits should be set up for the water sampling for the ease of reference. When the measured water depth of the monitoring station (both control and impact stations) is lower than 150mm, water monitoring would not be to perform at that monitoring location. Instead, the monitoring location will be moved to a temporary alternative location monitoring location based on the criteria below:-
- the alternative location should be either upstream or downstream of the original location and at the same the river/drain channel
 - the alternative location should be within 15m far from the original location
 - if no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample.
- 3.6.12 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.
- 3.6.13 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.

- 3.6.14 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

In-situ Measurement

- 3.6.15 YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.
- 3.6.16 A portable AZ Model 8685 is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.
- 3.6.17 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidimeter is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.
- 3.6.18 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.6.19 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in *Appendix F*.

3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10*.

Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg /m ³)		Limit Level (µg/m ³)	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM1c	265	143	500	260
AM2	268	149		
AM3	269	145		
AM4b	267	148		
AM5a	268	143		
AM6	269	148		
AM7b	275	156		
AM8	269	144		
AM9b	271	151		

Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1, NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8, NM9, NM10	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) ^{Note 1 & Note 2}

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period.

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameter	Performance criteria	Monitoring Location				
		WM1	WM2A(a)	WM2B	WM3x	WM4
DO (mg/L)	Action Level	(*)4.23	(**)4.00	(*)4.74	(**)4.00	(*)4.14
	Limit Level	(#)4.19	(**)4.00	(#)4.60	(**)4.00	(#)4.08
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	51.3	24.9	11.4	13.4	35.2
	Limit Level	67.6	33.8	12.3	14.0	38.4
SS (mg/L)	Action Level	54.5	14.6	11.8	12.6	39.4
	Limit Level	64.9	17.3	12.4	12.9	45.5

Remarks:

(*) The Proposed **Action Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data

(**) The Proposed **Action & Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L

(#) The Proposed **Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data

- 3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.
- 3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 GENERAL

- 4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. Hence, air quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

- 4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **150** events of 1-hour TSP and **49** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1c

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Feb-19	33	2-Feb-19	9:41	56	59	55
4-Feb-19	71	8-Feb-19	9:17	101	103	105
9-Feb-19	35	14-Feb-19	9:09	56	58	60
15-Feb-19	80	20-Feb-19	9:14	59	61	62
21-Feb-19	27	26-Feb-19	9:19	74	77	75
27-Feb-19	40	--	--	--	--	--
Average (Range)	48 (27-80)	Average (Range)		71 (55 – 105)		

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Feb-19	148	2-Feb-19	9:25	155	143	150
4-Feb-19	149	8-Feb-19	9:23	148	156	160
9-Feb-19	58	14-Feb-19	9:14	58	60	64
15-Feb-19	147	20-Feb-19	9:19	149	150	138
21-Feb-19	127	26-Feb-19	9:24	81	84	87
27-Feb-19	140	--	--	--	--	--
Average (Range)	128 (58 – 149)	Average (Range)		119 (58 – 160)		

Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Feb-19	47	2-Feb-19	13:27	59	57	61
4-Feb-19	122	8-Feb-19	9:28	107	112	103
9-Feb-19	44	14-Feb-19	9:17	62	65	68
15-Feb-19	69	20-Feb-19	9:22	58	59	63
21-Feb-19	28	26-Feb-19	9:27	77	81	82
27-Feb-19	94	--	--	--	--	--
Average	67	Average		74		

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
(Range)	(28 – 122)	(Range)		(57 – 112)		

Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Feb-19	74	1-Feb-19	9:42	65	66	69
8-Feb-19	56	4-Feb-19	9:12	74	70	68
14-Feb-19	63	9-Feb-19	9:43	54	57	60
20-Feb-19	81	15-Feb-19	9:05	62	65	65
26-Feb-19	66	21-Feb-19	9:25	75	72	74
--	--	27-Feb-19	9:34	70	80	73
Average (Range)	68 (56 – 81)	Average (Range)		68 (54 – 80)		

Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Feb-19	98	1-Feb-19	9:39	96	110	101
8-Feb-19	121	4-Feb-19	9:34	90	94	103
14-Feb-19	65	9-Feb-19	9:40	124	136	118
20-Feb-19	38	15-Feb-19	9:10	65	57	58
26-Feb-19	85	21-Feb-19	9:20	67	66	65
--	--	27-Feb-19	9:31	71	65	62
Average (Range)	81 (38 – 121)	Average (Range)		86 (57 – 136)		

Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Feb-19	138	1-Feb-19	9:31	110	108	120
8-Feb-19	94	4-Feb-19	9:47	89	90	86
14-Feb-19	79	9-Feb-19	9:32	56	59	61
20-Feb-19	116	15-Feb-19	9:25	63	54	66
26-Feb-19	103	21-Feb-19	9:10	123	136	120
--	--	27-Feb-19	9:23	117	98	108
Average (Range)	106 (79 – 138)	Average (Range)		92 (54 – 136)		

Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Feb-19	43	1-Feb-19	9:24	65	67	70
8-Feb-19	139	4-Feb-19	13:02	113	108	112
14-Feb-19	55	9-Feb-19	9:25	130	128	118

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
20-Feb-19	63	15-Feb-19	12:56	52	56	58
26-Feb-19	75	21-Feb-19	9:31	62	59	61
--	--	27-Feb-19	9:18	71	74	77
Average (Range)	75 (43 – 139)	Average (Range)		82 (52 – 130)		

Table 4-8 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM8

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Feb-19	50	1-Feb-19	9:12	59	61	66
8-Feb-19	27	4-Feb-19	13:02	46	60	52
14-Feb-19	34	9-Feb-19	9:14	59	61	64
20-Feb-19	25	15-Feb-19	12:48	56	58	60
26-Feb-19	139	21-Feb-19	13:45	59	60	58
--	--	27-Feb-19	9:03	120	112	122
Average (Range)	55 (25 – 139)	Average (Range)		69 (46 – 122)		

Table 4-9 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM9b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Feb-19	112	2-Feb-19	9:10	121	130	114
4-Feb-19	60	8-Feb-19	14:13	55	58	62
9-Feb-19	78	14-Feb-19	9:28	87	80	78
15-Feb-19	89	20-Feb-19	13:01	47	51	55
21-Feb-19	54	26-Feb-19	9:23	57	59	56
27-Feb-19	61	--	--	--	--	--
Average (Range)	76 (54 – 112)	Average (Range)		74 (47 – 130)		

4.2.2 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*, all the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) was issued in this Reporting Period.

4.2.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and noise monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **45** events noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM1, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8 and NM9. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and NM10 and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM2a ^(*)	NM8	NM9	NM10 ^(*)
8-Feb-19	54	67	59	59	59
14-Feb-19	57	70	60	70	65
20-Feb-19	57	70	60	63	66
26-Feb-19	57	68	60	66	71
Limit Level	75 dB(A)				

Remarks

(*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A)					
Date	NM3	NM4	NM5	NM6	NM7
1-Feb-19	59	64	59	54	59
4-Feb-19	57	64	51	57	56
15-Feb-19	60	65	54	58	56
21-Feb-19	61	64	62	59	64
27-Feb-19	60	65	53	58	54
Limit Level	75 dB(A)				

- 5.2.2 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project has been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and water quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 There was site closure for all Contracts except for Contract 2 during Lunar New Year Holiday on 5 to 7 February 2019. As advised by the Contractor of Contract 2, wastewater generated from construction site has been limited to wheel washing for some private vehicles and groundwater seepage inside waterproof tunnel. With the implementation of wastewater treatment facilities, it was considered that the water quality impact was negligible and therefore water quality monitoring was suspended on 5 to 7 February 2019.

6.2.2 In the Reporting Period, a total of **eleven (11)** sampling days were scheduled to carry out for all designated locations with their control stations. Since exceedance was recorded at WM2A(a) and WM4, according to “*Event and Action Plan*” stipulation, **1** additional water quality monitoring day was conducted for WM2A(a) and WM4 and its control station respectively.

6.2.3 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-5*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-6*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 2 and 3

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB
1-Feb-19	8.2	9.6	5.9	17.1	3.0	7.0	19.0	3.0	7.5
4-Feb-19	7.7	9.5	5.8	5.2	3.6	8.1	5.5	2.0	8.0
8-Feb-19	7.6	9.1	5.8	12.8	5.2	8.6	12.0	2.0	10.0
11-Feb-19	7.7	9.6	5.3	11.2	4.6	8.4	10.5	10.0	8.5
13-Feb-19	7.5	9.2	4.9	13.6	3.2	6.8	11.5	<2	7.0
15-Feb-19	7.6	9.1	6.0	15.4	9.9	9.6	20.5	2.0	10.5
18-Feb-19	7.6	8.1	5.2	28.6	13.0	14.3	31.5	28.5	21.5
20-Feb-19	7.6	8.3	5.3	25.9	4.2	10.8	38.5	10.0	15.0
22-Feb-19	7.6	9.2	4.9	11.5	5.5	9.2	9.0	<2	8.0
25-Feb-19	7.6	9.3	5.4	<u>73.9</u>	11.7	12.5	<u>78.5</u>	2.5	12.0
26-Feb-19#	#	#	#	11.7	5.0	8.5	14.0	6.0	12.0
27-Feb-19	7.6	9.2	6.0	16.3	5.4	10.8	16.0	<2	10.5

Bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

Remarks: (#) Additional water quality monitoring was conducted according to “Event and Action Plan” stipulation.

Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 6 and SS C505

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
1-Feb-19	7.7	11.7	12.1	8.3	16.0	11.0
4-Feb-19	7.1	7.9	11.1	16.5	21.5	13.5
8-Feb-19	6.6	6.8	13.3	13.5	16.0	9.5
11-Feb-19	6.9	8.4	11.3	11.5	9.0	12.5
13-Feb-19	6.9	7.6	28.7	20.0	33.5	15.5
15-Feb-19	5.6	6.3	13.7	20.6	15.5	20.0
18-Feb-19	6.8	8.7	12.3	9.8	15.0	9.0

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
20-Feb-19	6.2	6.4	over range	over range	825.0	760.5
22-Feb-19	4.9	5.5	126.5	153.0	86.0	106.5
25-Feb-19	6.2	5.8	146.5	174.5	90.5	97.5
27-Feb-19	8.1	5.3	39.7	132.5	25.0	95.0

Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated only Contract 6

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C
1-Feb-19	10.0	9.0	*	*	4.3	14.2	*	*	2.5	5.5	*	*
4-Feb-19	9.2	8.6	*	*	21.7	11.7	*	*	10.0	4.5	*	*
8-Feb-19	8.5	8.5	*	*	<u>67.9</u>	12.0	*	*	<u>89.5</u>	3.0	*	*
9-Feb-19#	#	#	*	*	9.7	8.9	*	*	3.0	<2	*	*
11-Feb-19	9.5	9.0	*	*	5.1	9.8	*	*	5.5	3.5	*	*
13-Feb-19	9.4	8.5	*	*	6.1	15.8	*	*	2.0	4.5	*	*
15-Feb-19	9.3	8.4	*	*	9.5	14.9	*	*	6.0	<2	*	*
18-Feb-19	9.2	8.7	*	*	10.3	25.8	*	*	6.0	18.0	*	*
20-Feb-19	7.9	8.0	*	*	92.6	82.6	*	*	65.0	57.5	*	*
22-Feb-19	8.2	7.6	*	*	44.3	45.9	*	*	19.0	25.5	*	*
25-Feb-19	9.2	8.7	*	*	17.2	20.4	*	*	9.5	8.5	*	*
27-Feb-19	9.2	8.4	*	*	23.8	24.1	*	*	7.5	6.5	*	*

Remarks: * water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

(#) Additional water quality monitoring was conducted according to “Event and Action Plan” stipulation.

Bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

Table 6-4 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 2 and 6

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C
1-Feb-19	9.3	9.6	11.7	21.2	7.0	28.5
4-Feb-19	9.7	9.0	3.7	2.6	<2	<2
8-Feb-19	9.2	9.2	4.6	18.5	2.0	9.5
11-Feb-19	9.8	9.3	3.5	4.8	2.0	2.0
13-Feb-19	9.3	9.2	14.2	13.4	9.5	9.0
15-Feb-19	9.4	9.6	9.9	13.2	5.0	6.5
18-Feb-19	9.0	9.2	7.9	30.6	8.5	28.0
20-Feb-19	8.3	8.7	13.8	33.0	12.5	38.5
22-Feb-19	8.7	9.0	25.5	26.3	30.0	29.0
25-Feb-19	9.9	9.1	13.3	8.2	12.5	9.0
27-Feb-19	9.2	8.9	24.1	27.4	10.5	16.0

Table 6-5 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Recorded

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
WM1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WM2A(a)	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0
WM2B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
WM3x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WM4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	#
No of Exceedance	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	#

Remark: # Investigation is underway by ET.

6.2.4 In this Reporting Period, a total of four (4) Limit Level exceedances, namely two (2) Limit Level exceedances of turbidity and two (2) Limit Level exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project and they are summarized in Table 6-5. Investigation reports for water quality exceedances have been conducted by ET accordingly. The investigation for exceedance recorded at WM2A(a) on 8 February 2019 was not related to the work under the project. The investigation report for exceedance recorded at WM4 on 25 February 2019 is underway by ET.

6.2.5 NOE was issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The investigation results and summary of exceedances are summarized in **Table 6-6**. The details of the completed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in **Appendix N**.

Table 6-6 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
8 February 2019	WM2A(a)	Turbidity & SS	In our investigation, CCKJV has properly implemented the water quality mitigation measures especially for slope adjacent to the stream. There were no construction activities carried out on 8 February and no discharge and runoff made from the site was observed. The construction site was general in order and no adverse impact was recorded during site inspection. It is considered that the exceedances were unlikely due to the work under the project.
25 February 2019	WM4	Turbidity & SS	The investigation report is still underway by ET.

7 ECOLOGY MONITORING

7.1 GENERAL

- 7.1.1 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation was shall be conducted at bi-monthly interval for the first year and the monitoring frequency would be reduced to quarterly from the second year.
- 7.1.2 The Ecology Monitoring for period of December 2018 to February 2019 was carried out on 25th and 26th February 2019 by transects inspection and quadrat monitoring. The Quarterly Ecological Monitoring Report will be submitted separately to the EM&A Report.

8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for each contract.

8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 4		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Qty.
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m ³)	1.5710	--	4.659	--	0	--	17.959	--	2.035	--	4.609	--	30.833
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0.150	--	0.15
Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	0.2000	Recycling facility as approved alternative site #	0	--	0	--	0.280	Reused at NENT #	1.386	CEDD Contract - YL/2017/03 #	0	--	1.866
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	1.3710	Tuen Mun 38	3.818	Tuen Mun 38	0	--	11.168	Tuen Mun 38	0.649	Tuen Mun 38	3.861	TKO 137	20.867

Approved alternative site

Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 4		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
Recycled Metal ('000kg) #	0	--	0	-	0	--	0	--	1.2	Licensed collector	0	--	1.2
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) #	0	--	0	-	0	-	0	--	0.1	Licensed collector	0.410	Licensed collector	0.51
Recycled Plastic ('000kg) #	0	--	0	-	0	--	0	--	0.001	Licensed collector	2.660	Licensed collector	2.661
Chemical Wastes ('000kg) #	0	--	0	-	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
General Refuses ('000m ³)	0.1060	NENT	0.075	NENT	0	--	0.278	NENT	0.1	NENT	1.157	NENT	1.716

Remark #: Unit of recycled metal, recycled paper/ cardboard packing and recycled plastic under Contract 3 was in ('000m³) while the unit of chemical wastes for Contract 3 was in ('m³).

9 SITE INSPECTION

9.1 REQUIREMENTS

- 9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.

9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH

Contract 2

- 9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 2 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15 and 21 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.
- 9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 2** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-1**.

Table 9-1 Site Observations for Contract 2

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Silty water was observed at discharge point (South Portal).The Contractor should properly maintain the wastewater treatment facility and ensure the discharge comply to the discharge license requirement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The wastewater was properly treated.
8 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
15 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free standing chemical container was observed on the ground at South Portal. The Contractor should provide drip tray for any chemical containers to prevent leakage. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drip tray was provided for chemical container.
21 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA

Contract 3

- 9.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 3 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **8, 14, 20 and 28 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.
- 9.2.4 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 3** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-2**.

Table 9-2 Site Observations for Contract 3

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
8 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
14 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to maintain all site exit clean and tidy and ensure no muddy water present at the public road. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder.
20 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
28 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA

Contract 4

- 9.2.5 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 4 to evaluate the site environmental

performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 25 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.

- 9.2.6 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 4** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-3**.

Table 9-3 Site Observations for Contract 4

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
8 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
15 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
22 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
25 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA

Contract 6

- 9.2.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **8, 14, 21 and 28 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.

- 9.2.8 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 6** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-4**.

Table 9-4 Site Observations for Contract 6

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
8 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
14 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
21 February 2019	• The Contractor was reminded to maintain all site exit clean and tidy.	• Not required for reminder.
28 February 2019	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA

Contract SS C505

- 9.2.9 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract SS C505 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **4, 13, 20 and 27 February 2019** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **20 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.

- 9.2.10 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract SS C505** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-5**.

Table 9-5 Site Observations for Contract SS C505

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
4 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray for any chemical container. • The Contractor was reminded to dispose and replace broken water barriers to prevent water accumulation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not required for reminder. • Not required for reminder.
13 February 2019	• Open stockpile was observed at Bridge 2. The contractor should cover it with tarpaulin sheet to prevent dust emission.	• Open stockpile was covered with tarpaulin sheet.
20 February 2019	• The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water regularly after rainstorm	• NA

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to maintain good housekeeping on site. 	
27 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free standing chemical containers were observed near building 1. The Contractor should provide drip tray for any chemical containers to prevent leakage. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical containers were removed.

Contract 7

- 9.2.11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 7 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 26 February 2019**. No non-compliance was noted.
- 9.2.12 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 7** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-6**.

Table 9-6 Site Observations for Contract 7

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General refuse was observed on the ground near bridge A. The Contractor should dispose the waste regularly and maintain good housekeeping on the site. The Contractor was reminded to maintain generator function properly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The general refuse was removed and disposed properly. Not required for reminder.
8 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to maintain generator function properly. The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile to avoid dust emission. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder. Not required for reminder.
15 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
22 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water to prevent accumulation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder.
26 February 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA

- 9.2.13 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received for Contract 3 regarding the construction noise and site cleanliness issues. No summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for all Contracts. The status of the investigation report in previous months is summarized below.

Date of complaint	Complaint Detail	Investigation Status
29 November 2018 (Last Reporting Period)	A public Complaint was received on 29 Nov 2018 and referred to ET in Dec 2018 regarding the emission of dust and muddy road along the Lin Ma Hang Road in between Tsung Yuen Ha Village and Ta Kwu Ling police station. (Contract SS C505, Contract 6 and Contract 7)	In our investigation, the Contractors have implemented dust control measures as such provide the wheel washing facilities at site exit and properly maintained cleanliness of site exit and adjoin roads. No cumulated muddy water and mud trails were observed at the site exit under Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505 and adjoined LMH Road during our inspections. Since there were many other heavy vehicles apart from LT/HYW Project using LMH Road particularly between Ta Kwu Ling Police Station and Chuk Yuen Village and certain number of unknown exit sites without proper management along LMH Road, it is considered that the complaint was not related to the works under the Project. The IR without comment from IEC was shown in <i>Appendix O</i> .
30 January 2019 (Last Reporting Period)	A complaint was received by EPD on 30 January 2019 about emission of construction dust from Chun Wo construction site near Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD)'s Refuse Collection Point (RCP) near Bridge Pier ID TP-60. (Contract 3)	In our investigation, the Contractor has implemented dust control measures such as providing adequate wheel washing at site exit, road cleaning by water tanker for entire site and covered stockpile when not in used. There were no Record of Inspection (yellow/ pink inspection form) issued by EPD and no non-compliance observed during site inspection. It is considered that the complaint was not related to the works under the Contract work. The IR without comment from IEC was shown in <i>Appendix O</i> .
28 January 2019 (received by ET on 14 Feb 2019)	A public complaint was received by EPD on 28 January 2019 about noise disturbance generated by the construction works at 8pm at Kau Lung Hang claiming from Chun Wo's construction site (Contract 3).	As confirmed by Chun Wo, there was no construction activity after 18:00 on 28 January 2019. EPD had also conducted surprise check after the complaint and no non-compliance was observed. It is considered that the complaint is not valid to Contract 3. The IR without comment from IEC was shown in <i>Appendix O</i> .
21 February 2019	A public complaint was received by 1823 on 21 Feb 2019 about cleanliness of Chun Wo construction site near Yuen Leng concerning on water spraying around the site area causing mud and muddy water rush out from the site to public road. (Contract 3).	The draft IR was submitted to IEC on 11 Mar 2019. IEC issued comment 13 Mar and the IR is pending revised by ET.

10.1.2 The statistical summary of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1, 10-2 and 10-3*.

Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
19 May 2014 – 31 January 2019	Contract 2	0	37	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (19) Water Quality (10) Dust (5) Noise (1) dust & noise (1) waste management (1) Water quality and dust 	(7) water quality (3) dust (1) noise
06 Nov 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 3	0	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (3) Dust (3) Water quality (1) Noise 	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 4	0	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (3) Dust (1) Noise 	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 6	0	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (24) Water Quality (12) Dust (3) Noise (1) Nuisance (1) Noise and dust (3) Water quality and dust (1) Water quality and noise 	(8) water quality (3) dust (1) nuisance (1) water quality and dust (1) water quality and noise
15 Feb 2016 – 31 January 2019	Contract 7	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Noise (3) Water quality and dust 	(1) water quality and dust
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	SS C505	0	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Noise (2) dust (3) Water quality and dust (1) Water quality 	(1) water quality and dust
1 – 28 February 2019	Contract 2	0	37	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (19) Water Quality (10) Dust (5) Noise (1) dust & noise (1) waste management (1) Water quality and dust 	NA
	Contract 3	2	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (3) Dust (3) Water quality (2) Noise (1) site cleanliness (dust & water quality) 	NA (#)
	Contract 4	0	0	NA	NA
	Contract 6	0	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (24) Water Quality (12) Dust (3) Noise (1) Nuisance (1) Noise and dust (3) Water quality and dust (1) Water quality and noise 	NA
	Contract 7	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Noise (3) Water quality and dust 	NA

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
	SS C505	0	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Noise • (2) dust • (3) Water quality and dust • (1) Water quality 	NA

Remark: (#) the complaint case was under investigation.

Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Summons Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 January 2019	Contract 2	0	1	contravening the Water Pollution Control (General) Regulations
06 Nov 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 January 2019	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 28 February 2019	Contract 2	0	1	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 4	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecutions

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Prosecutions Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 January 2019	Contract 2	0	1	contravening the Water Pollution Control (General) Regulations
06 Nov 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 January 2019	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 January 2019	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 28 February 2019	Contract 2	0	1	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 4	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 11-1*.

Table 11-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wastewater to be treated by the wastewater treatment facilities i.e. sedimentation tank or similar facility before discharge.
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain damp / wet surface on access road Low vehicular speed within the works areas. All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site Sprayed water during breaking works A cleaning truck was regularly performed on the public road to prevent fugitive dust emission
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday. Keep good maintenance of plants Place noisy plants away from residence or school Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works Shut down the plants when not in used.
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-site sorting prior to disposal Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System” Predict required quantity of concrete accurately Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site was generally kept tidy and clean.

11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016. Construction activities for other Contracts in the coming month are listed below:

Contract 2

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification for Ventilation building Construction of flexible barrier External reinstatement and landscaping works Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction slip road and permanent drainage Road paving and cladding installation inside the tunnel External reinstatement and landscaping works Defect rectification for Ventilation building Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction slip road and permanent drainage Road paving and cladding installation inside the tunnel Defect rectification for Ventilation building Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities Construction of flexible barrier External backfilling, reinstatement and landscaping works. Dismantling the concrete pier of the temporary steel bridge
Admin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defect rectification

Building	• External reinstatement and landscaping works
----------	--

Contract 3

- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Remaining works on new Footbridge
- Noise barrier construction
- Road pavement works
- Water main laying works (on Grade)
- Installation of Noise barrier panel (on Grade)
- Road Drainage Works
- Bitumen paving on bridge deck
- Construction of Pavilion and Pai Lau
- Construction of retaining wall
- Landscaping works

Contract 4

- T&C installation at Admin Building
- T&C at tunnel
- Panel installation at Cheung Shan Tunnel

Contract 6

- Bridge construction
- Tunnel Works
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Ventilation Building Construction
- Slip Road/At-grade Road/Periphery Road Construction

Contract 7

- Noise barrier construction at Bridge D and E
- Parapet installation at Bridge A & E
- Drainage pipe laying at Bridge A, B, D and E
- Green roof system at Roof of Bridge C
- Boundary Fence at Roof of Bridge C
- Street lighting and CCTV installation at perimeter road
- Shenzhen River reinstatement
- Landscape Softwork

Contract SS C505

- Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) Structure Works - G/F Plant Rooms Structure Works, G/F Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
- PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, External Staircases, External Staircases, Hall Block External Façade, Southern Entrance Construction, Major Plant Rooms & EAC Doors
- PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MXRVSS), Podium Open Area & Ambulance Canopy / Glazed Canopy
- Bridge C Integrated ABWF and MEP Installation Works (C7 Portion) - Arrival & Departure Hall, Staircases, Test & Commissioning
- Bldg 1 - C&ED Detector Dog Base Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F, R/F & External
- Bldg 2 - HKPF Building and Observation Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 4/F, Observation Tower (including Lift) & External Works
- Bldg 3 - Fire Station and Drill Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to UR/F & Drill Tower

- Bldg 4 - Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
- Bldg 5 - Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
- Bldg 6 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works (FXI Fence Wall), Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F
- Bldg 7 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 1/F & Roof works
- Bldg 8 - MXRVSS (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 9 - MXRVSS (Outbound) Phase 2 - Structure Works at G/F, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 10 - GV Kiosk (Inbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 11 - GV Kiosk (Outbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 12 - Public Toilets (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 13 - Public Toilets (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 14 - Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 15 - Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) Phase 2 - Substructure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 16 - Weigh Station Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 17 - EUVSS & Monitoring Room Phase 2 - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
- Bldg 18 - Refuse Collection Point Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 25 - Traffic Control Office (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 26 - Traffic Control Office (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 27 - Inspection Post Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Work at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 28 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 29 - Guard Booths (Vehicle Detention Area) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 30 - Guard Booth (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 31 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
- Bldg 32/33/34/35 - Steel Canopy 1 to 4 Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Bldg 36 - Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at R/F
- Bldg 37/38/39 - Elevated Walkways (E1, E2 & E3) Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Bldg 40 - Elevated Walkway E4 Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Vehicular Bridges 1 & 4 Phase 3 - Retaining walls, Road and Finishes Works
- Vehicular Bridges 2, 3 & 5 Phase 3 - Road and Finishes Works
- External Works - Water Meter Room Connection (inbound & outbound)
- External Utilities Works - UU works for phase 2 FS inspection & DSD inspection
- External Road & Pavement Works - for inbound - Phase 1 FS inspection & for Phase 2 FS inspection

- External Landscape - Inbound & Outbound area
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 1
- T&C - FSD, HKPF, CBI, FXI, DOG & Bldg 36
- FS Inspection - FSD Cert. Issue & Final O&M Manual, Test Report
- SCCU Inspection & Handover "
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 2
- T&C - CBO, FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups
- FS Inspection - EVA, CBO & FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups"
- "Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 3
- T&C - EVA & PTB"

11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and SS C505 include:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
 - Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;
 - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
 - Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
 - Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
 - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
 - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
 - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
 - Management of chemical wastes;
 - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
 - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
 - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures

12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 CONCLUSIONS



- 12.1.1 This is the 67th monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 28 February 2019.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results triggered the Action /Limit Level was recorded.
- 12.1.3 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered an Action Level) exceedance was recorded.
- 12.1.4 In this Reporting Period, four (4) Limit Level exceedances, namely two (2) LL of turbidity and two (2) LL of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project. The investigation report for exceedance recorded at WM2A(a) on 8 February 2019 revealed that exceedance was not related to the work under the project. The investigation report for exceedance recorded at WM4 on 25 February 2019 is underway by ET and the investigation result will be presented next month.
- 12.1.5 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received in relation to Contract 3. The first complaint was received on 28 Jan 2019 regarding noise disturbance from C3's construction site at 8pm. The C3's Contractor confirmed that there was no construction activity after 18:00 on the concerned date. Investigation was conducted by ET and the IR revealed that the complaint was not valid to the Project. The second complaint was received on 21 Feb 2019 about cleanliness of Chun Wo construction site near Yuen Leng concerning on water spraying around the site area causing mud and muddy water rush out from the site to public road. Investigation is underway by ET.
- 12.1.6 No summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged in the Reporting Period.
- 12.1.7 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, ET with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. For Contract SS C505, weekly joint site inspection was carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and main-contractor whereas IEC performed monthly site inspection. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.

12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 As wet season is approaching, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual.
- 12.2.2 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- 12.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 12.2.4 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.
- 12.2.5 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be kept to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

Appendix A

Layout plan of the Project




LEGEND:

UNDERGROUND WORKS

PROJECT INFORMATION		PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
CDD Civil Engineering and Development Department		AECOM	
LUNGLANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI LANDFILL (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION		PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
DRAWING NO. 60212563/PLP/001		AECOM	
DRAWN BY: ZJ		CHECKED BY: [Signature]	
SCALE: A1 : 1:5000		SCALE: A1 : 1:5000	
DATE: 10/2015		DATE: 10/2015	
PROJECT NO. 60212563		PROJECT NO. 60212563	
PROJECT NAME: LUNGLANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI LANDFILL (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION		PROJECT NAME: LUNGLANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI LANDFILL (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	
COPYRIGHT RESERVED		COPYRIGHT RESERVED	

Appendix B

Organization Chart




Environmental Management Organization for Contract 2 - (CV/2012/08)

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 2 - CV/2012/08

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Edwin Ching	2171 3301	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
DHK	Project Director	Alan Kam	2170 3004	2171 3299
DHK	QSE Manager	Y. T. So	9307 8728	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Officer	Simon Wong	2171 3017	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Supervisor	Joshua Tam	9237 3074	2171 3299
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department**AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.**DHK(Main Contractor) –Dragages Hong Kong Ltd.**SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited**AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*




Environmental Management Organization for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Alan Lee	2171 3303	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Chun Wo	Project Director	Ken Ko	3758 8735	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Project Manager	William Leung	2638 6136	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Site Agent	Daniel Ho	2638 6144	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Officer	Mr. YANG Ran	2638 6151	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Supervisor	Frankie Leung	2638 6125	2638 7077
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department**AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.**Chun Wo (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo Construction Ltd.**SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited**AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

Environmental Management Organization for Contract 4 - NE/2014/02



Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 4 - NE/2014/02

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Leo Lai	2171 3310	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Siemens	Project Manager	Torsetn Jaretzke	9444 5577	--
Siemens	Site Agent	Francis Lung	9027 9565	--
Siemens	Environmental Officer	Michael Leung	9844 7741	--
Siemens	Environmental Supervisors	Eric Lee	9092 3356	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

Siemens (Main Contractor) – Siemens Ltd.

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/08

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2251 0688	2251 0698
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
CCK JV	Project Director	Wang Yanhua	6190 4212	--
CCK JV	Project Manager	Raymond Mau Sai-Wai	9011 5340	--
CCK JV	Site Agent	Vincent Chan	9655 9404	--
CCK JV	Senior Safety & Environmental Manager	H.Y. Yue	9185 8186	--
CCK JV	Environmental Manager	K M Lui	5113 8223	--
CCK JV	Environmental Supervisor	Connie Yuen	6316 6931	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 7 – NE/2014/03

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Kelvin lee	2251 0609	2251 0698
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
KRSJV	Project Director	Wong Yu	2682 6691	2682 2783
KRSJV	Project Manager	Cheng Pong Yin	9023 4821	2682 2783
KRSJV	Site Agent	Tsang Wai Cheong, Matthew	9705 7536	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Law Chun Ning, Goosiear	9625 2381	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Supervisor	TBA	--	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

KRS JV (Main Contractor) –Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organigram

Environmental Management Organization for Contract SS C505

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract SS C505

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
ArchSD	Works agent for the Development Bureau (DEVB)	Mr. William Cheng	2867 3904	2804 6805
Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect/ Architect's Representative	Mr. Justin Cheung	3189 9272	2834 5442
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Leighton	Operation Manager	Mr. Antony Zervaas	2823 1433	2529 8784
Leighton	Project Director	Mr. Steven Wong	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Site Agent	Mr. Ray Ho	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Environmental Officer	Mr. Legend Lam	3973 1003	-
Leighton	Assistant Environmental Officer	Mr. Alex Liu	3973 0818	-
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

ArchSD (Project Proponent) –Architectural Services Department

Ronald Lu & Partners (Architect/ Architect's Representative) –Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd

Leighton (Main Contractor) – Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix C

3-month rolling construction program

Contract 2

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

CEDD Contract No: CV/2012/08

Main Contractor: Dragages Hong Kong Ltd



Tentative Three Months (Feb 2019 - Apr 2019) Construction Rolling Program

Item	Construction Activities
1	Admin Bldg - Defect rectification
2	Admin Bldg - External reinstatement and landscaping works
3	Mid-Vent Portal - Defect rectification for Ventilation building
4	Mid-Vent Portal - Construction of flexible barrier
5	Mid-Vent Portal - External reinstatement and landscaping works
6	Mid-Vent Portal - Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities
7	North Portal - Construction slip road and permanent drainage
8	North Portal - Road paving and cladding installation inside the tunnel
9	North Portal - External reinstatement and landscaping works
10	North Portal - Defect rectification for Ventilation building
11	North Portal - Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities
12	South Portal - Construction slip road and permanent drainage
13	South Portal - Road paving and cladding installation inside the tunnel
14	South Portal - Defect rectification for Ventilation building
15	South Portal - Testing and Commissioning for E&M facilities
16	South Portal - Construction of flexible barrier
17	South Portal - External backfilling, reinstatement and landscaping works.

Contract 3

Main Contractor: Chun Wo Construction Ltd

[illegible]

Contract 4

Main Contractor: Siemens Ltd.

[illegible]

Contract 6

Main Contractor: CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture

[illegible]

Contract 7

Main Contractor: Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture

[illegible]


Contract SS C505

Tentative Three Months (February 2019, March 2019 and April 2019) Construction Rolling Program

Item	Construction Activities
1	Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) Structure Works - G/F Plant Rooms Structure Works, G/F Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
2	PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, External Staircases, External Staircases, Hall Block External Façade, Southern Entrance Construction, Major Plant Rooms & EAC Doors
3	PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MXRVSS), Podium Open Area & Ambulance Canopy / Glazed Canopy
4	Bridge C Integrated ABWF and MEP Installation Works (C7 Portion) - Arrival & Departure Hall, Staircases, Test & Commissioning
5	Bldg 1 - C&ED Detector Dog Base Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F, R/F & External
6	Bldg 2 - HKPF Building and Observation Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 4/F, Observation Tower (including Lift) & External Works
7	Bldg 3 - Fire Station and Drill Tower Phase 1 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to UR/F & Drill Tower
8	Bldg 4 - Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
9	Bldg 5 - Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works at G/F under Steel Roof, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F & Loading Dock
10	Bldg 6 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) Phase 1 - External Works (FXI Fence Wall), Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to R/F
11	Bldg 7 - Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) Phase 2 - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F to 1/F & Roof works
12	Bldg 8 - MXRVSS (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
13	Bldg 9 - MXRVSS (Outbound) Phase 2 - Structure Works at G/F, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
14	Bldg 10 - GV Kiosk (Inbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
15	Bldg 11 - GV Kiosk (Outbound) Phase 2 - On-Grade Slab, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
16	Bldg 12 - Public Toilets (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
17	Bldg 13 - Public Toilets (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & R/F
18	Bldg 14 - Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
19	Bldg 15 - Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) Phase 2 - Substructure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
20	Bldg 16 - Weigh Station Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
21	Bldg 17 - EUVSS & Monitoring Room Phase 2 - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works at G/F & R/F
22	Bldg 18 - Refuse Collection Point Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
23	Bldg 25 - Traffic Control Office (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
24	Bldg 26 - Traffic Control Office (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
25	Bldg 27 - Inspection Post Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Work at G/F & Envelope
26	Bldg 28 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
27	Bldg 29 - Guard Booths (Vehicle Detention Area) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
28	Bldg 30 - Guard Booth (Outbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
29	Bldg 31 - Guard Booth (Inbound) Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at G/F & Envelope
30	Bldg 32/33/34/35 - Steel Canopy 1 to 4 Phase 2 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
31	Bldg 36 - Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room Phase 1 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works at R/F
32	Bldg 37/38/39 - Elevated Walkways (E1, E2 & E3) Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
33	Bldg 40 - Elevated Walkway E4 Phase 2 - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
34	Vehicular Bridges 1 & 4 Phase 3 - Retaining walls, Road and Finishes Works
35	Vehicular Bridges 2, 3 & 5 Phase 3 - Road and Finishes Works
36	External Works - Water Meter Room Connection (inbound & outbound)
37	External Utilities Works - UU works for phase 2 FS inspection & DSD inspection
38	External Road & Pavement Works - for inbound - Phase 1 FS inspection & for Phase 2 FS inspection
39	External Landscape - Inbound & Outbound area
40	Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 1 T&C - FSD, HKPF, CBI, FXI, DOG & Bldg 36 FS Inspection - FSD Cert. Issue & Final O&M Manual, Test Report SCCU Inspection & Handover
41	Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 2 T&C - CBO, FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups FS Inspection - EVA, CBO & FXO, Inbound & Outbound Groups
42	Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD/SCCU Inspection Phase 3 T&C - EVA & PTB

Appendix D

Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
- WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
- X AIR MONITORING STATIONS

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	DC	WT
1	08/01/10	MRG	FIRST ISSUE		
				CEM	APR/09



**CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT**


Project
AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title
PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	Checked	DC	EC	EC
Eng. CMC	Eng. CMC	Eng. CMC	Eng. CMC	Eng. CMC
1:20000	1:20000	1:20000	1:20000	1:20000
Scale of A1	Scale of A1	Scale of A1	Scale of A1	Scale of A1
25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008
25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008	25/5/2008
PRE	PRE	PRE	PRE	PRE
FIGURE 2.1	FIGURE 2.1	FIGURE 2.1	FIGURE 2.1	FIGURE 2.1
P1	P1	P1	P1	P1

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

This document is the property of Mott MacDonald Limited. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of Mott MacDonald Limited. It is not to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of Mott MacDonald Limited.



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
- WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
- X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	DC	RE
1					



2007 The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
All rights reserved.
© 2007 Mott MacDonald
All rights reserved.



CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Project
AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)

Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary
Control Point and Associated Works

Title
PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
NOISE MONITORING STATIONS


Designated	DC	HEUNG	DC	Project	EC	EC
Station						
Scale at A1	1:20000			255228		
Drawing No.						
PRE						
P1						

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

This document is the property of Mott MacDonald Limited. It is to be used for the purposes specified in the contract and for no other purpose. It is to be returned to Mott MacDonald Limited upon completion of the project. It is not to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Mott MacDonald Limited.

Appendix E

Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring



- LEGEND:
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - - - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - - - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
 - X Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
 - Proposed Air Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Chk'd	App'd




200' Two Landmark East
100' Hoo Ming Street
Kowloon
Hong Kong
T +852 2518 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client	CEDD CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
--------	--

Project	AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE) LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS
---------	---

Title	PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS
-------	--

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	EC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC	
Dep.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
Drawing No		GAD file	h:\255228\report\env\em&a\008311\1_21.dgn	PRE
				Rev
				P1




- LEGEND:
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - WORKS AREA (TUNNELL)
 - CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
 - Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	BC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Chk'd	App'd



20F Two Landmark East
100 Hoo Ming Street
Kowloon, Hong Kong
T +852 2518 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk



CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Client


Project

AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008(CB)
LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title

PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	BC	Eng.Chk.	BC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	BC	
Sup.Chk.	BC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
DWG No.		CAD file	h:\255228\report\env\env\env\008311\1_3_1.dgn	PRB
Drawing No.				Rev
				P1



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- - - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
- - - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
- X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
- Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
- △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
- ▲ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Station ID	Location recommended in EM&A Manual		Location found during site visit	
	Eastings	Northings	Eastings	Northings
WM1	833658.635	845171.072	833679	845421
WM1-Control	834185.480	845191.662	834185	845117
WM2A	834182.319	844182.910	834204	844173
WM2A-Control	835205.329	844200.151	835270	844243
WM2B	835414.744	843394.606	835435	843397
WM2B-Control	835645.878	843343.625	835835	843351
WM3	836123.622	842404.377	836124	842402
WM3-Control	836763.415	842423.507	836763	842400
WM4	835840.781	838184.842	835850	838158
WM4-Control A	836018.937	837668.995	836028	837695
WM4-Control B	833709.123	837406.936	833760	837395

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015

Location ID	Eastings	Northings
WM2A-C (Original)	0835270	0844243
WM2A-Cx (Proposed)	0835377	0844188
WM3 (Original)	0836324	0842402
WM3x (Proposed)	0836206	0842270

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Location ID	Eastings	Northings
WM2A (Original)	834204	844471
WM2A(a) (Proposed)	834191	844474

P2	NOV 10	MING	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	OCT 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd

Mott MacDonald

2007 Two Landmark East
100 New King Street
Kowloon, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2508 8787
Fax: +852 2827 1823
www.mottmac.com.hk

Client

CEDD CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project

AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title

LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	HC	Eng.Ch.	EC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC
Dwg.Ch.	HC	Approved	HT

Scale at A1: 1:20000

Project: 255228

CAD file: J:\255228\REPORTS\EM&A\V08\FIG_4.dgn

Drawing No: Appendix C

Status: PRE

Rev: P2

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix F

Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	23/1/2019
Location ID : AM1c	Next Calibration Date:	23/3/2019
	Technician:	Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1021	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.75
Temperature (°C)	19.2	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # -> 1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6	6	12.0	1.757	50	50.68	Slope = 31.1336
13	5.1	5.1	10.2	1.621	44	44.60	Intercept = -4.6797
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.383	38	38.52	Corr. coeff. = 0.9967
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.094	30	30.41	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.887	22	22.30	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road
Location ID : AM2

Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1015.5
Temperature (°C) 23.3

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 761.625
Temperature (K) 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.1	5	10.1	1.598	54	54.21	Slope = 31.7655 Intercept = 2.2515 Corr. coeff. = 0.9927
13	4.8	4	8.8	1.492	48	48.19	
10	4	3	7.0	1.333	44	44.17	
7	2.4	1.7	4.1	1.025	36	36.14	
5	1.8	0.9	2.7	0.835	28	28.11	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station
Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.625
Temperature (°C)	23.3	Temperature (K)	296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	5.7	12.0	1.740	54	54.21	Slope = 28.3328 Intercept = 4.2498 Corr. coeff. = 0.9975
13	5.4	4.4	9.8	1.574	48	48.19	
10	4	3.3	7.3	1.361	42	42.17	
7	2.8	1.9	4.7	1.096	36	36.14	
5	1.8	1.0	2.8	0.850	28	28.11	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	6/12/2018
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	6/2/2019
	Technician:	Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.625
Temperature (°C)	23.3	Temperature (K)	296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.5	5.6	12.1	1.747	56	56.22	Slope = 29.4745 Intercept = 4.2155 Corr. coeff. = 0.9991
13	5.4	4.4	9.8	1.574	50	50.20	
10	4.1	3.2	7.3	1.361	44	44.17	
7	2.8	1.9	4.7	1.096	36	36.14	
5	1.9	1.0	2.9	0.865	30	30.12	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House
Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.625
Temperature (°C)	23.3	Temperature (K)	296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.1	4.8	10.9	1.659	52	52.20	Slope = 35.2324 Intercept = -5.7494 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971
13	5	3.7	8.7	1.484	46	46.18	
10	3.7	2.7	6.4	1.275	40	40.16	
7	2.6	1.7	4.3	1.049	32	32.13	
5	1.8	0.8	2.6	0.820	22	22.09	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House
Location ID : AM6

Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.625
Temperature (°C)	23.3	Temperature (K)	296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6	5.1	11.1	1.674	53	53.21	Slope = 28.8742 Intercept = 5.0420 Corr. coeff. = 0.9955
13	4.8	4	8.8	1.492	48	48.19	
10	3.9	3.1	7.0	1.333	43	43.17	
7	2.4	1.7	4.1	1.025	36	36.14	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.835	28	28.11	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village	Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Location ID : AM7b	Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
	Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.625
Temperature (°C)	23.3	Temperature (K)	296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	5.5	11.9	1.733	60	60.24	Slope = 37.4293 Intercept = -4.4652 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983
13	5	4.3	9.3	1.534	52	52.20	
10	3.7	3.2	6.9	1.324	46	46.18	
7	2.5	2	4.5	1.072	36	36.14	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.835	26	26.10	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4
Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 6/12/2018
Next Calibration Date: 6/2/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

1015.5
23.3

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.625
296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	6.6	12.9	1.803	54	54.21	Slope = 35.9732 Intercept = -8.6941 Corr. coeff. = 0.9935
13	5	4.4	9.4	1.542	48	48.19	
10	4	3.4	7.4	1.370	42	42.17	
7	2.5	2	4.5	1.072	30	30.12	
5	1.6	1.1	2.7	0.835	20	20.08	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Date of Calibration:	6/12/2018
Location ID : AM9b	Next Calibration Date:	6/2/2019
	Technician:	Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1013.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	760.05
Temperature (°C)	26.8	Temperature (K)	300

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.5	12.9	1.791	54	53.84	Slope = 27.9826 Intercept = 4.7633 Corr. coeff. = 0.9963
13	5	4.4	9.4	1.531	49	48.85	
10	4.1	3.5	7.6	1.379	44	43.87	
7	2.5	2	4.5	1.065	34	33.90	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.829	28	27.92	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road
Location ID : AM2

Date of Calibration: 8/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 8/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1015.3
Temperature (°C) 25.1

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 761.475
Temperature (K) 298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.1	5	10.1	1.593	54	54.04	Slope = 31.7655 Intercept = 2.2426 Corr. coeff. = 0.9927
13	4.7	4.1	8.8	1.488	48	48.04	
10	3.8	3.2	7.0	1.329	44	44.04	
7	2.4	1.7	4.1	1.021	36	36.03	
5	1.8	0.9	2.7	0.832	28	28.02	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station
Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 8/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 8/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	25.1	Temperature (K)	298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.2	5.8	12.0	1.734	54	54.04	Slope = 28.3328 Intercept = 4.2349 Corr. coeff. = 0.9975
13	5.4	4.4	9.8	1.569	48	48.04	
10	4	3.3	7.3	1.357	42	42.03	
7	2.7	2	4.7	1.092	36	36.03	
5	1.8	1.0	2.8	0.847	28	28.02	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	4/2/2019
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	4/4/2019
	Technician:	Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	763.575
Temperature (°C)	21.7	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.6	5.5	12.1	1.754	56	56.44	Slope = 29.4504 Intercept = 4.2124 Corr. coeff. = 0.9990
13	5.4	4.4	9.8	1.580	50	50.40	
10	4.2	3.2	7.4	1.376	44	44.35	
7	2.8	1.9	4.7	1.100	36	36.29	
5	1.9	1.0	2.9	0.868	30	30.24	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House
Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 4/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 4/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	763.575
Temperature (°C)	21.7	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.1	4.8	10.9	1.666	52	52.41	Slope = 35.0033 Intercept = -5.3960 Corr. coeff. = 0.9963
13	5.1	3.6	8.7	1.490	46	46.37	
10	3.7	2.7	6.4	1.281	40	40.32	
7	2.5	1.7	4.2	1.041	32	32.25	
5	1.8	0.8	2.6	0.823	22	22.17	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House
Location ID : AM6

Date of Calibration: 4/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 4/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	763.575
Temperature (°C)	21.7	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.1	5.1	10.2	1.612	53	53.42	Slope = 30.5588 Intercept = 3.3339 Corr. coeff. = 0.9938
13	4.8	4	8.8	1.498	48	48.38	
10	4	3	7.0	1.338	43	43.34	
7	2.4	1.7	4.1	1.029	36	36.29	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.838	28	28.22	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village	Date of Calibration: 4/2/2019
Location ID : AM7b	Next Calibration Date: 4/4/2019
	Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	763.575
Temperature (°C)	21.7	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	5.5	11.9	1.739	60	60.48	Slope = 37.6279 Intercept = -4.8274 Corr. coeff. = 0.9985
13	5.1	4.2	9.3	1.540	52	52.41	
10	3.7	3.2	6.9	1.329	46	46.37	
7	2.5	2.1	4.6	1.088	36	36.29	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.838	26	26.21	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4
Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 8/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 8/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

1015.3

25.1

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.475

298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	6.6	12.9	1.798	54	54.04	Slope = 35.8816 Intercept = -8.6058 Corr. coeff. = 0.9940
13	5	4.5	9.5	1.545	48	48.04	
10	4	3.4	7.4	1.366	42	42.03	
7	2.5	2	4.5	1.069	30	30.02	
5	1.6	1.1	2.7	0.832	20	20.02	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80
Location ID : AM9b

Date of Calibration: 8/2/2019
Next Calibration Date: 8/4/2019
Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1015.3
Temperature (°C) 25.1

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 761.475
Temperature (K) 298

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.5	12.9	1.798	54	54.04	Slope = 28.1079
13	5.1	4.3	9.4	1.537	49	49.04	Intercept = 4.5520
10	4.1	3.5	7.6	1.384	44	44.04	Corr. coeff. = 0.9958
7	2.5	2.1	4.6	1.081	34	34.03	
5	1.7	1.0	2.7	0.832	28	28.02	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: February 13, 2018

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 763.3

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
QSTD	m=	2.02017	QA	m=	1.26500
	b=	-0.03691		b=	-0.02263
	r=	0.99988		r=	0.99988

Calculations

$$Vstd = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd) (Tstd / Ta)$$

$$Va = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pa)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$$

$$Qa = Va / \Delta Time$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

$$Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

Standard Conditions

Tstd: 298.15 °K

Pstd: 760 mm Hg

Key

ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)

ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)

Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)

Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)

b: intercept

m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK1908929
CLIENT	: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-FEB-2019
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 4-MAR-2019
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK1908929
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1908929-001	S/N: 366410	AIR	25-Feb-2019	S/N: 366410

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 366410
Equipment Ref: EQ110
Job Order HK1908929

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: High Volume Sampler
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 21 December 2018

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 7 January 2019


Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	09:01 ~ 11:08	18.5	1021.4	0.045	2377	18.8
2hr11min	11:13 ~ 13:24	18.5	1021.4	0.032	1522	11.6
2hr07min	13:30 ~ 15:37	18.5	1021.4	0.089	5117	40.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 674 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 674 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
Correlation Coefficient 0.9967
Date of Issue 14 January 2019



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 21-Dec-18
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 21-Mar-19

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.075
Temperature (°C)	22.4	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Calibration Date->	13-Feb-18	Expiry Date->	13-Feb-19

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.699	56	56.32	Slope = 34.0074 Intercept = -0.4093 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.495	51	51.29	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.317	45	45.26	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.086	36	36.21	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.851	28	28.16	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: February 13, 2018

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 763.3

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
QSTD	m=	2.02017	QA	m=	1.26500
	b=	-0.03691		b=	-0.02263
	r=	0.99988		r=	0.99988

Calculations

Vstd =	$\Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd) (Tstd / Ta)$	Va =	$\Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pa)$
Qstd =	$Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa =	$Va / \Delta Time$
For subsequent flow rate calculations:			
Qstd =	$1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa =	$1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions

Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK1908928
CLIENT	: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-FEB-2019
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 4-MAR-2019
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK1908928
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1908928-001	S/N: 366409	AIR	25-Feb-2019	S/N: 366409

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 366409
Equipment Ref: EQ109
Job Order HK1908928

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: High Volume Sampler
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 21 December 2018

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 7 January 2019


Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	09:01 ~ 11:08	18.5	1021.4	0.045	2419	19.1
2hr11min	11:13 ~ 13:24	18.5	1021.4	0.032	1698	13.0
2hr07min	13:30 ~ 15:37	18.5	1021.4	0.089	5066	40.0

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 517 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 517 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
Correlation Coefficient 0.9991
Date of Issue 14 January 2019



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 21-Dec-18
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 21-Mar-19

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.075
Temperature (°C)	22.4	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Calibration Date->	13-Feb-18	Expiry Date->	13-Feb-19

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.699	56	56.32	Slope = 34.0074 Intercept = -0.4093 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.495	51	51.29	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.317	45	45.26	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.086	36	36.21	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.851	28	28.16	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: February 13, 2018

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 763.3

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
QSTD	m=	2.02017	QA	m=	1.26500
	b=	-0.03691		b=	-0.02263
	r=	0.99988		r=	0.99988

Calculations

Vstd=	$\Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd) (Tstd / Ta)$	Va=	$\Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pa)$
Qstd=	$Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa=	$Va / \Delta Time$
For subsequent flow rate calculations:			
Qstd=	$1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa=	$1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions

Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK1908931
CLIENT	: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-FEB-2019
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 4-MAR-2019
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK1908931
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1908931-001	S/N: 3Y6505	AIR	25-Feb-2019	S/N: 3Y6505

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 3Y6505
Equipment Ref: EQ114
Job Order HK1908931

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: High Volume Sampler
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 21 December 2018

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 7 January 2019

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	09:01 ~ 11:08	18.5	1021.4	0.045	2318	18.3
2hr11min	11:13 ~ 13:24	18.5	1021.4	0.032	1433	11.0
2hr07min	13:30 ~ 15:37	18.5	1021.4	0.089	5022	39.7

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 602 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 602 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9957

Date of Issue 14 January 2019

Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 21-Dec-18
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 21-Mar-19

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.075
Temperature (°C)	22.4	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Calibration Date->	13-Feb-18	Expiry Date->	13-Feb-19

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.699	56	56.32	Slope = 34.0074 Intercept = -0.4093 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.495	51	51.29	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.317	45	45.26	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.086	36	36.21	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.851	28	28.16	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: February 13, 2018

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 763.3

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
QSTD	m=	2.02017	QA	m=	1.26500
	b=	-0.03691		b=	-0.02263
	r=	0.99988		r=	0.99988

Calculations

$$Vstd = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd) (Tstd / Ta)$$

$$Va = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pa)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$$

$$Qa = Va / \Delta Time$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

$$Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

Standard Conditions

Tstd: 298.15 °K

Pstd: 760 mm Hg

Key

ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)

ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)

Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)

Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)

b: intercept

m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK1908930
CLIENT	: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-FEB-2019
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 4-MAR-2019
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

General Comments

- Sample(s) were received in ambient condition.
- Sample(s) analysed and reported on an as received basis.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Enviro Services.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

General Manager

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK1908930
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK1908930-001	S/N: 3Y6503	AIR	25-Feb-2019	S/N: 3Y6503

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 3Y6503
Equipment Ref: EQ112
Job Order HK1908930

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: High Volume Sampler
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 21 December 2018

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 7 January 2019

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	09:01 ~ 11:08	18.5	1021.4	0.045	2403	19.0
2hr11min	11:13 ~ 13:24	18.5	1021.4	0.032	1577	12.1
2hr07min	13:30 ~ 15:37	18.5	1021.4	0.089	5129	40.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 655 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 655 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9975

Date of Issue 14 January 2019



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 14 January 2019

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 21-Dec-18
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 21-Mar-19

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.075
Temperature (°C)	22.4	Temperature (K)	295

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Calibration Date->	13-Feb-18	Expiry Date->	13-Feb-19

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.699	56	56.32	Slope = 34.0074 Intercept = -0.4093 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.495	51	51.29	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.317	45	45.26	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.086	36	36.21	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.851	28	28.16	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: February 13, 2018

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 763.3

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
QSTD	m=	2.02017	QA	m=	1.26500
	b=	-0.03691		b=	-0.02263
	r=	0.99988		r=	0.99988

Calculations

$$Vstd = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd) (Tstd / Ta)$$

$$Va = \Delta Vol / ((Pa - \Delta P) / Pa)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$$

$$Qa = Va / \Delta Time$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

$$Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$$

Standard Conditions

Tstd: 298.15 °K

Pstd: 760 mm Hg

Key

ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)

ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)

Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)


Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)

b: intercept

m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183261

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 12 June 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ086)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NC-74

Serial No. / 編號 : 34657230

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 18 June 2018

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.


The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By :
核證


K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 20 June 2018
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183261

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL130	Universal Counter	C173864
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C181288

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.1	± 0.3	± 0.2

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.002	1 kHz $\pm 1\%$	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 2 of 2

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183260

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 12 June 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ083)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NC-74

Serial No. / 編號 : 34246492

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 18 June 2018

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.


The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By :
核證


K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 20 June 2018
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183260

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
3. Test equipment :

Equipment ID

CL130

CL281

TST150A

Description

Universal Counter

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

Measuring Amplifier

Certificate No.

C173864

PA160023

C181288

4. Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.3	± 0.2

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.001	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C182473

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 26 April 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ015)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-52

Serial No. / 編號 : 00142581

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 12 May 2018

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.


The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By :
核證


K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 15 May 2018
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C182473

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C180024
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.3
				114.00		114.3

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

- 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3	Ref.
			Slow			94.3	± 0.3

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C182473

證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	68.0	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	78.1	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.6	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	91.0	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.3	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.5	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.3	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	93.3	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.5	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	94.1	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.3	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.3	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.3	Ref.
					2 kHz	94.1	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.5	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.4	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 06015

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB
 250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB
 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB
 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB
 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB
 12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB
 104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 29 May 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ009)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 2238
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285722
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 10 June 2018


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試


K C Lee
Engineer

Certified By
核證


H C Chan
Engineer

Date of Issue
簽發日期

11 June 2018

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C180024
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	(dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.1

6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec.
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	(dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086
證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L _{ASP}		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L _{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L _{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}		200 ms		104.9	-1.0 ± 1.0	
	L _{ASP}	S	Continuous		106.0	Ref.	
	L _{ASMax}		500 ms		102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0	

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.5	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086
證書編號

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L _{CFP}	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.9	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.7	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)		
30 - 110	L _{Aeq}	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	99.9	± 0.5
								90	90.0	± 0.5
			60 sec.					80	79.0	± 1.0
			5 min.					70	69.1	± 1.0

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2658547

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	± 0.70 dB
104 dB	1 kHz	± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	1 kHz	± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level		± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 4 of 4



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1901083
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, Kwai Chung, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	07-Jan-2019
		DATE OF ISSUE:	10-Jan-2019

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature
Equipment Type:	Dissolved Oxygen Meter
Brand Name:	YSI
Model No.:	Pro 20
Serial No.:	12C100570
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	10 January, 2019

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK1901083
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 10-Jan-2019
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter
Brand Name: YSI
Model No.: Pro 20
Serial No.: 12C100570
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 10 January, 2019

Date of Next Calibration: 10 April, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.67	2.59	-0.08
6.20	6.30	+0.10
8.88	8.97	+0.09
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	10.4	-0.6
21.0	19.8	-1.2
40.5	38.9	-1.6
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1861703
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, Kwai Chung, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	26-Nov-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	03-Dec-2018

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	pH Value and Temperature
Equipment Type:	pH meter
Brand Name:	AZ
Model No.:	8685
Serial No.:	1118396
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	30 November, 2018

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK1861703
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 03-Dec-2018
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: pH meter
Brand Name: AZ
Model No.: 8685
Serial No.: 1118396
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 30 November, 2018 Date of Next Calibration: 28 February, 2019

PARAMETERS:

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.1	+0.10
7.0	6.8	-0.20
10.0	10.0	+0.00
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	10.5	-0.5
20.0	20.0	+0.0
39.0	39.0	+0.0
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu
Assistant Manager - Inorganic



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung
N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1861699
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, Kwai Chung, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	26-Nov-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	04-Dec-2018

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Turbidity
Equipment Type:	Turbidimeter
Brand Name:	Hach
Model No.:	2100Q
Serial No.:	11030C008499
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	30 November, 2018

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK1861699
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 04-Dec-2018
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Turbidimeter
Brand Name: Hach
Model No.: 2100Q
Serial No.: 11030C008499
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 30 November, 2018

Date of Next Calibration: 28 February, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.18	--
4	4.28	+7.0
40	40.70	+1.8
80	81.4	+1.8
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a
為香港認可處執行機關根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence
此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 –《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求，
of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as
獲認可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定
listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of
測試或校正工作

Environmental Testing
環境測試

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised International Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005.
本實驗所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory
這項認可資格演示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理體系的運作
quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 陳成城
Issue Date : 5 May 2009
簽發日期：二零零九年五月五日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS** 066
註冊號碼：

Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日



Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not
	and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	ACTION CONTRACTOR
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 2 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.

Appendix H

Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period – February 2019

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Fri	1-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	2-Feb-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Sun	3-Feb-19				
Mon	4-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	5-Feb-19				*
Wed	6-Feb-19				*
Thu	7-Feb-19				*
Fri	8-Feb-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	9-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Sun	10-Feb-19				
Mon	11-Feb-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	12-Feb-19				
Wed	13-Feb-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	14-Feb-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Fri	15-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	16-Feb-19				
Sun	17-Feb-19				
Mon	18-Feb-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	19-Feb-19				
Wed	20-Feb-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	21-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Fri	22-Feb-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	23-Feb-19				
Sun	24-Feb-19				
Mon	25-Feb-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	26-Feb-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Wed	27-Feb-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	28-Feb-19				

Remark: * No water quality monitoring will be carried out during Lunar New Year Holiday on 5 to 7 Feb 2019.

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period – March 2019

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Fri	1-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	2-Mar-19				
Sun	3-Mar-19				
Mon	4-Mar-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	5-Mar-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Wed	6-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	7-Mar-19				
Fri	8-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	9-Mar-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Sun	10-Mar-19				
Mon	11-Mar-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	12-Mar-19				
Wed	13-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	14-Mar-19				
Fri	15-Mar-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	16-Mar-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Sun	17-Mar-19				
Mon	18-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	19-Mar-19				
Wed	20-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	21-Mar-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Fri	22-Mar-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	23-Mar-19				
Sun	24-Mar-19				
Mon	25-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	26-Mar-19				
Wed	27-Mar-19	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	28-Mar-19	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Fri	29-Mar-19				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	30-Mar-19				
Sun	31-Mar-19				

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Appendix I

Database of Monitoring Result

24-hour TSP Monitoring Data

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-HR TSP (µg/m³)
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)	
AM1c – Open Area, Tsung Yuen Ha Village No.63															
1-Feb-19	23703	15569.89	15593.89	1440.00	26	26	26.0	18.8	1021.6	1.00	1437	2.6892	2.7373	0.0481	33
4-Feb-19	23618	15593.89	15617.89	1440.00	25	25	25.0	21.7	1018.1	0.96	1382	2.6554	2.7532	0.0978	71
9-Feb-19	23706	15617.89	15641.89	1440.00	23	23	23.0	19.3	1017.9	0.90	1293	2.6561	2.7020	0.0459	35
15-Feb-19	23721	15641.89	15665.89	1440.00	25	25	25.0	17.4	1017.1	0.97	1390	2.6727	2.7839	0.1112	80
21-Feb-19	23745	15665.89	15689.89	1440.00	25	25	25.0	21.4	1017.4	0.96	1382	2.6823	2.7193	0.0370	27
27-Feb-19	23760	15689.89	15713.89	1440.00	25	25	25.0	20.7	1015.5	0.63	909	2.6015	2.6378	0.0363	40
AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road															
1-Feb-19	23704	11180.00	11204.20	1452.00	40	40	40.0	18.8	1021.6	1.21	1752	2.6749	2.9350	0.2601	148
4-Feb-19	23616	11204.20	11228.07	1432.20	46	46	46.0	21.7	1018.1	1.39	1989	2.6608	2.9562	0.2954	149
9-Feb-19	23707	11228.07	11252.00	1435.80	39	39	39.0	19.3	1017.9	1.17	1683	2.6474	2.7453	0.0979	58
15-Feb-19	23722	11252.00	11276.00	1440.00	48	48	48.0	17.4	1017.1	1.46	2107	2.6587	2.9686	0.3099	147
21-Feb-19	23746	11276.00	11299.53	1411.80	40	40	40.0	21.4	1017.4	1.20	1693	2.6629	2.8776	0.2147	127
27-Feb-19	23758	11299.53	11323.27	1424.40	46	46	46.0	20.7	1015.5	1.39	1979	2.6047	2.8816	0.2769	140
AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village															
1-Feb-19	23615	12309.60	12333.72	1447.20	54	54	54.0	18.8	1021.6	1.78	2582	2.6546	2.7768	0.1222	47
4-Feb-19	23617	12333.72	12357.72	1440.00	54	54	54.0	21.7	1018.1	1.77	2550	2.6564	2.9680	0.3116	122
9-Feb-19	23708	12357.72	12381.72	1440.00	35	35	35.0	19.3	1017.9	1.10	1585	2.6780	2.7483	0.0703	44
15-Feb-19	23723	12381.72	12405.72	1440.00	32	32	32.0	17.4	1017.1	1.00	1435	2.6865	2.7851	0.0986	69
21-Feb-19	23747	12405.72	12429.72	1440.00	36	36	36.0	21.4	1017.4	1.13	1629	2.6757	2.7207	0.0450	28
27-Feb-19	23759	12429.72	12453.72	1440.00	36	36	36.0	20.7	1015.5	1.13	1630	2.5929	2.7455	0.1526	94
AM4b - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village															
2-Feb-19	23619	14319.26	14343.25	1439.40	38	38	38.0	18.6	1018.4	1.16	1675	2.6659	2.7906	0.1247	74
8-Feb-19	23709	14343.25	14367.26	1440.60	38	38	38.0	21.7	1015.3	1.16	1665	2.6577	2.7516	0.0939	56
14-Feb-19	23717	14367.26	14391.26	1440.00	38	38	38.0	20.4	1020.6	1.16	1673	2.6829	2.7877	0.1048	63
20-Feb-19	23740	14391.26	14415.26	1440.00	38	38	38.0	22.6	1018.5	1.16	1664	2.6779	2.8128	0.1349	81
26-Feb-19	23756	14415.26	14439.26	1440.00	38	38	38.0	18.7	1017.6	1.16	1676	2.6268	2.7368	0.1100	66
AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House															
2-Feb-19	23620	13162.11	13186.12	1440.60	25	25	25.0	18.6	1018.4	0.88	1271	2.6356	2.7600	0.1244	98
8-Feb-19	23710	13186.12	13210.17	1443.00	30	30	30.0	21.7	1015.3	1.02	1467	2.6552	2.8326	0.1774	121
14-Feb-19	23716	13210.17	13234.28	1446.60	38	38	38.0	20.4	1020.6	1.25	1811	2.6546	2.7722	0.1176	65

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-HR TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m^3/min)	(std m^3)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)	
20-Feb-19	23741	13234.28	13258.40	1447.20	40	40	40.0	22.6	1018.5	1.30	1888	2.6818	2.7534	0.0716	38
26-Feb-19	23755	13258.40	13282.48	1444.80	42	42	42.0	18.7	1017.6	1.37	1979	2.6189	2.7880	0.1691	85
AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House															
2-Feb-19	23621	10765.79	10789.71	1435.20	32	32	32.0	18.6	1018.4	0.95	1361	2.6552	2.8436	0.1884	138
8-Feb-19	23711	10789.71	10813.81	1446.00	25	25	25.0	21.7	1015.3	0.71	1033	2.6610	2.7576	0.0966	94
14-Feb-19	23715	10813.81	10837.81	1440.00	25	25	25.0	20.4	1020.6	0.72	1034	2.6669	2.7484	0.0815	79
20-Feb-19	23742	10837.81	10861.82	1440.60	25	25	25.0	22.6	1018.5	0.71	1029	2.6783	2.7972	0.1189	116
26-Feb-19	23754	10861.82	10885.82	1440.00	28	28	28.0	18.7	1017.6	0.82	1179	2.6660	2.7869	0.1209	103
AM7b - Loi Tung Village House															
2-Feb-19	23649	19811.54	19835.54	1440.00	32	32	32	18.6	1018.4	0.99	1419	2.6674	2.7286	0.0612	43
8-Feb-19	23712	19835.54	19859.84	1458.00	44	44	44	21.7	1015.3	1.31	1903	2.6666	2.9316	0.2650	139
14-Feb-19	23718	19859.84	19883.84	1440.00	44	44	44	20.4	1020.6	1.31	1888	2.6667	2.771	0.1043	55
20-Feb-19	23743	19883.84	19907.83	1439.40	48	48	48	16.8	1018.2	1.42	2051	2.6756	2.8044	0.1288	63
26-Feb-19	23807	19907.83	19931.83	1440.00	48	48	48	18.7	1017.6	1.42	2045	2.613	2.767	0.1540	75
AM8 - Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4															
2-Feb-19	23648	13708.89	13732.89	1440.00	30	30	30.0	18.6	1018.4	1.09	1565	2.656	2.734	0.0780	50
8-Feb-19	23713	13732.89	13756.9	1440.60	30	30	30.0	21.7	1015.3	1.08	1558	2.6999	2.7414	0.0415	27
14-Feb-19	23719	13756.9	13780.93	1441.80	30	30	30.0	20.4	1020.6	1.09	1565	2.6748	2.7277	0.0529	34
20-Feb-19	23744	13780.93	13804.93	1440.00	26	26	26.0	16.8	1018.2	0.98	1406	2.6820	2.7165	0.0345	25
26-Feb-19	23753	13804.93	13828.93	1440.00	42	42	42.0	18.7	1017.6	1.43	2053	2.6659	2.9517	0.2858	139
AM9b - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80															
1-Feb-19	23650	21056.50	21080.50	1440.00	36	36	36.0	18.8	1021.6	1.14	1635	2.6686	2.8510	0.1824	112
4-Feb-19	23651	21080.50	21104.50	1440.00	36	36	36.0	15.8	1020.2	1.14	1643	2.6574	2.7555	0.0981	60
9-Feb-19	23654	21104.50	21128.50	1440.00	37	37	37.0	19.3	1017.9	1.17	1685	2.6603	2.7920	0.1317	78
15-Feb-19	23720	21128.50	21152.49	1439.40	35	36	35.5	17.4	1017.1	1.12	1612	2.6647	2.8081	0.1434	89
21-Feb-19	23748	21152.49	21176.49	1440.00	40	42	41.0	21.4	1017.4	1.31	1884	2.6589	2.7611	0.1022	54
27-Feb-19	23736	21176.49	21200.49	1440.00	40	42	41.0	20.7	1015.5	1.31	1885	2.6782	2.7927	0.1145	61

Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	2 nd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	3 rd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	4 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	5 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	6 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63																					
8-Feb-19	10:17	53.8	55.9	51.3	53.4	55.5	51.6	53.2	55.8	51.5	54.3	56.1	52.9	54.6	56.5	52.2	53.2	55.3	51.2	54	NA
14-Feb-19	14:12	57.5	61.1	52.4	58.8	62.7	52.8	56.2	60.6	52.9	55.9	59.9	52.5	53.9	58.5	51.1	55.4	59.9	52.1	57	NA
20-Feb-19	14:10	56.6	58.1	53.6	58.1	60.1	53.5	56.2	59.6	53.5	57.4	59.2	54.7	56.6	58.6	55.3	57.6	59.3	55.0	57	NA
26-Feb-19	13:57	57.1	56.1	53.9	56.5	55.5	53.2	60.1	56.2	53.0	55.3	55.5	52.2	56.2	55.2	52.0	53.0	54.2	51.2	57	NA
NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road																					
8-Feb-19	10:51	70.6	62.5	48.6	55.8	57.5	48.9	58.1	58.7	48.4	60.2	59.5	50.1	57.3	57.3	49.5	59.6	58.6	48.3	64	67
14-Feb-19	13:37	72.5	68.0	55.5	64.0	69.4	55.7	65.6	69.8	55.2	66.5	68.0	54.6	62.0	65.2	55.5	64.0	67.5	54.4	67	70
20-Feb-19	13:27	70.7	69.2	52.3	65.2	67.0	53.0	69.6	69.3	52.6	66.5	68.0	53.9	62.9	65.4	54.4	60.1	62.0	52.6	67	70
26-Feb-19	13:17	69.1	70.4	53.4	62.3	63.1	52.6	63.5	65.2	52.2	64.1	66.4	52.4	60.0	62.8	52.7	65.5	67.8	53.0	65	68
NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House																					
1-Feb-19	9:36	59.7	59.7	51.7	58.2	59.6	51.7	60.3	60.9	52.4	61.6	62.5	52.7	55.9	57.3	52.6	57.2	57.5	52.9	59	NA
4-Feb-19	9:37	56.2	56.0	50.1	58.4	58.3	50.5	57.0	57.6	50.6	59.0	58.8	49.9	57.3	57.1	49.2	56.0	56.8	49.0	57	NA
15-Feb-19	10:19	59.8	59.6	50.6	59.5	60.9	51.9	60.6	60.5	52.2	61.5	61.7	52.0	58.9	57.2	51.2	56.0	57.2	51.0	60	NA
21-Feb-19	10:04	61.9	64.4	50.2	61.4	64.3	50.3	61.0	64.3	50.5	60.2	63.5	49.1	59.4	62.3	51.7	60.0	63.6	51.5	61	NA
27-Feb-19	9:44	61.9	62.0	51.5	57.9	60.4	51.1	58.7	60.1	51.2	60.5	61.2	51.6	59.3	60.9	51.0	58.2	60.9	51.6	60	NA
NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House																					
1-Feb-19	10:36	65.4	64.7	52.6	62.3	62.1	56.9	61.5	60.5	54.1	63.2	63.6	53.7	61.6	59.8	53.5	66.1	66.9	53.2	64	NA
4-Feb-19	10:26	58.3	60.6	47.2	57.2	60.4	46.0	65.1	57.5	47.7	60.7	63.3	47.5	57.0	59.7	46.7	69.2	70.2	46.2	64	NA
15-Feb-19	11:02	63.2	63.6	49.4	60.7	61.9	50.4	68.1	67.6	50.7	62.3	62.3	49.4	63.1	64.1	48.5	65.7	65.3	48.9	65	NA
21-Feb-19	10:46	64.6	63.2	52.4	65.8	64.9	51.4	62.1	61.5	51.4	65.0	65.6	50.8	63.0	63.6	50.2	58.4	59.7	49.6	64	NA
27-Feb-19	11:14	61.2	64.2	54.4	67.2	67.3	55.0	67.1	66.0	53.5	65.7	65.3	55.9	62.4	64.5	54.4	60.7	63.0	53.8	65	NA
NM5- Ping Yeung Village House																					
1-Feb-19	13:54	60.5	63.6	52.1	59.9	62.3	54.5	57.2	60.6	52.7	58.0	61.9	53.3	58.3	61.2	53.5	59.0	62.2	54.1	59	NA
4-Feb-19	14:01	52.3	55.2	44.2	50.2	53.7	45.4	50.5	53.2	46.3	49.7	52.5	44.2	50.2	53.3	44.2	50.1	53.3	44.2	51	NA
15-Feb-19	9:23	54.2	56.3	51.2	53.6	55.3	50.6	55.2	57.4	51.9	53.3	55.8	50.8	52.3	54.6	49.4	54.8	56.5	51.1	54	NA
21-Feb-19	10:15	60.0	59.5	48.5	61.0	62.5	49.0	59.1	63.0	49.0	66.2	71.5	50.5	60.2	63.0	50.5	56.5	58.5	51.5	62	NA
27-Feb-19	14:36	52.7	53.6	47.6	52.4	53.9	47.9	53.7	54.1	47.8	51.0	52.8	48.0	52.2	53.2	48.5	53.1	54.6	48.1	53	NA
NM6 – Tai Tong Wu Village House 2																					
1-Feb-19	14:38	54.8	56.1	50.7	52.4	55.6	49.5	53.6	55.1	50.4	55.8	56.7	50.2	53.8	55.5	49.6	52.9	54.0	49.8	54	NA
4-Feb-19	13:25	55.7	58.4	50.2	55.6	58.2	49.6	56.7	59.4	50.9	58.6	60.6	50.6	57.7	59.9	49.9	56.2	58.9	48.8	57	NA
15-Feb-19	10:01	57.9	59.6	51.4	59.4	63.9	53.8	58.0	61.9	53.6	59.0	62.4	52.6	57.2	60.0	51.9	58.7	61.4	51.0	58	NA

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	2 nd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	3 rd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	4 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	5 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	6 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
21-Feb-19	9:25	60.0	61.5	51.0	62.0	65.0	55.5	55.7	57.5	48.0	57.5	59.5	54.0	59.2	61.0	56.0	55.9	58.0	51.5	59	NA
27-Feb-19	14:02	57.2	59.9	51.6	58.6	62.1	53.2	57.4	60.3	52.1	59.8	63.9	51.3	58.7	61.8	51.2	57.2	60.2	51.2	58	NA
NM7 – Po Kat Tsai Village																					
1-Feb-19	11:23	58.3	56.4	52.1	60.5	60.8	51.1	61.0	61.4	49.7	60.3	61.9	50.0	55.0	58.1	50.9	59.2	60.0	50.4	59	NA
4-Feb-19	11:27	59.9	63.2	50.4	55.1	58.7	50.6	53.5	55.6	48.8	56.8	61.8	49.1	54.9	55.2	44.1	51.4	50.3	42.1	56	NA
15-Feb-19	11:03	55.3	54.3	50.4	52.2	51.3	49.0	58.4	56.3	51.2	56.2	54.8	48.7	57.4	55.1	49.2	53.2	52.7	48.2	56	NA
21-Feb-19	10:04	61.6	59.0	44.0	70.9	75.5	50.5	62.6	67.0	49.5	58.3	61.5	48.0	51.0	52.0	46.0	52.8	55.0	44.5	64	NA
27-Feb-19	13:19	56.3	59.5	49.5	54.3	56.3	50.9	50.5	52.6	49.8	53.1	54.8	49.9	51.5	52.4	48.8	54.7	55.1	49.7	54	NA
NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang																					
8-Feb-19	13:57	59.4	65.5	43.2	58.2	64.8	43.7	57.1	63.2	44	59	65.7	44.2	60.2	66.6	45.5	59	65.1	44.2	59	NA
14-Feb-19	10:55	60.6	64.3	53.1	60	63.4	53.9	59.3	63.5	53.8	58.1	58.7	54.1	61.3	66.1	54.1	59.5	63	53.9	60	NA
20-Feb-19	10:40	58.3	63.4	48	56.8	61.2	55	61.3	63.9	56.9	59.8	61.6	55.1	58.6	61.1	55	62.1	63.4	60.4	60	NA
26-Feb-19	10:51	59.7	62.7	54.1	60.1	61.3	58.1	60.7	62.3	58	58.7	60.1	56.7	60.5	61.8	58.7	61	62.1	59.7	60	NA
NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village																					
8-Feb-19	13:38	60.9	58.1	54.5	60.1	58.8	54.4	59.9	57.9	54.6	59.5	58.5	55.5	59.3	59.3	55.7	61.2	60.3	56.9	59	NA
14-Feb-19	10:08	65.3	67.4	58.8	68.3	71.2	63.7	70.9	72.6	63.1	71.8	72.3	61.4	65.8	67.3	59.5	62.7	63.2	59.4	70	NA
20-Feb-19	10:02	62.3	63.5	60.5	63.3	64.4	61.6	63.6	64.9	61.8	59.3	61.0	56.4	59.9	61.2	56.0	60.3	62.8	56.3	63	NA
26-Feb-19	9:56	62.1	65.5	58.7	67.3	69.6	62.3	64.7	66.4	61.4	64.2	66.1	61.4	62.2	63.6	60.6	62.5	63.4	60.8	66	NA
NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80																					
8-Feb-19	15:25	56.6	58.2	52.1	56.8	58.7	53.7	55.1	57.2	53.1	56.3	58.6	54.6	56.6	58.9	54.9	55.8	57.8	53.5	56	59
14-Feb-19	9:16	62.2	63.5	60.6	62.1	63.3	60.5	62.0	63.4	60.3	61.9	63.4	60.0	62.2	63.7	60.2	61.9	63.3	60.3	62	65
20-Feb-19	9:25	63.0	64.7	60.8	62.8	64.3	61.1	63.2	64.8	61.1	63.0	64.5	61.0	62.5	63.7	60.7	62.6	64.2	60.7	63	66
26-Feb-19	9:09	67.8	70.3	63.3	68.7	71.8	59.8	68.2	71.1	58.2	68.5	70.8	55.0	67.7	67.7	44.9	64.4	67.4	57.9	68	71

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6 and SS C505

Date	1-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:15	0.15	17.7	17.7	11.68	11.7	122.0	122.1	8.4	8.3	6.6	6.6	11	11.0
			17.7		11.69		122.1		8.2		6.6		11	
WM1	10:05	0.13	18	18.0	7.71	7.7	81.6	81.6	12.1	12.1	6.7	6.7	16	16.0
			18		7.7		81.5		12.0		6.7		16	

Date	4-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:25	0.35	21.3	21.3	7.86	7.9	88.6	88.7	15.6	16.5	7	7.0	13	13.5
			21.3		7.86		88.7		17.4		7		14	
WM1	10:15	0.12	20	20.0	7.12	7.1	78.2	78.3	11.5	11.1	7.1	7.1	21	21.5
			20		7.13		78.3		10.7		7.1		22	

Date	8-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:25	0.30	22.5	22.5	6.81	6.8	78.7	78.7	13.8	13.5	6.4	6.4	9	9.5
			22.5		6.8		78.6		13.2		6.4		10	
WM1	10:20	0.12	22.3	22.3	6.54	6.6	75.3	75.5	12.7	13.3	6.6	6.6	16	16.0
			22.3		6.56		75.6		13.9		6.6		16	

Date	11-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:05	0.30	19.2	19.2	8.42	8.4	91.1	91.1	10.6	11.5	6.9	6.9	13	12.5
			19.2		8.41		91.1		12.3		6.9		12	
WM1	10:00	0.12	18.6	18.6	6.93	6.9	74.0	74.1	11.9	11.3	7	7.0	9	9.0
			18.6		6.94		74.1		10.7		7		9	

Date	13-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.30	20.6	20.6	7.6	7.6	84.5	84.6	20.3	20.0	6.6	6.6	16	15.5
			20.6		7.62		84.6		19.7		6.6		15	
WM1	9:20	0.12	19.9	19.9	6.87	6.9	75.4	75.5	25.4	28.7	7.2	7.2	48	33.5
			19.9		6.88		75.5		32.0		7.2		19	

Date	15-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	20:10	0.30	21.5	21.5	6.33	6.3	71.6	71.7	22.1	20.6	6.5	6.5	20	20.0
			21.5		6.34		71.7		19.0		6.5		20	
WM1	10:00	0.13	21.3	21.3	5.54	5.6	62.1	62.3	13.9	13.7	6.9	6.9	15	15.5
			21.3		5.56		62.4		13.5		6.9		16	

Date	18-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:30	0.30	19.5	19.5	8.67	8.7	94.7	94.9	10.0	9.8	6.7	6.7	9	9.0
			19.5		8.71		95.0		9.7		6.7		9	
WM1	11:20	0.12	19.2	19.2	6.76	6.8	72.6	72.6	12.8	12.3	6.7	6.7	15	15.0
			19.2		6.74		72.5		11.8		6.7		15	

Date	20-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:45	0.35	22.5	22.5	6.41	6.4	74.3	74.2	over	over range	7.2	7.2	761	760.5
			22.5		6.38		74.1		range		7.2		760	
WM1	11:05	0.15	23.1	23.1	6.21	6.2	72.2	72.3	over	over range	6.4	6.4	830	825.0
			23.1		6.22		72.3		range		6.4		820	

Date	22-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.30	21.5	21.5	5.5	5.5	62.3	61.9	166.0	153.0	7.6	7.6	104	106.5
			21.5		5.43		61.5		140.0		7.6		109	
WM1	9:40	0.15	21.5	21.5	4.93	4.9	55.9	55.9	127.0	126.5	6.5	6.5	85	86.0
			21.5		4.92		55.8		126.0		6.5		87	

Date	25-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:10	0.30	17	17.0	5.75	5.8	59.5	59.6	174.0	174.5	6.9	6.9	95	97.5
			17		5.77		59.6		175.0		6.9		100	
WM1	10:00	0.13	17.1	17.1	6.2	6.2	64.2	64.3	150.0	146.5	6.8	6.8	89	90.5
			17.1		6.21		64.3		143.0		6.8		92	

Date	27-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:45	0.30	20.1	20.1	5.35	5.3	58.9	58.8	131.0	132.5	6.8	6.8	91	95.0
			20.1		5.32		58.6		134.0		6.8		99	
WM1	10:35	0.12	20.4	20.4	8.14	8.1	90.1	90.2	39.9	39.7	6.8	6.8	24	25.0
			20.4		8.15		90.2		39.5		6.8		26	

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 3

Date	1-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:45	0.13	19.2	19.2	9.55	9.6	103.3	103.5	3.1	3.0	6.8	6.8	3	3.0
			19.2		9.59		103.7		2.8		6.8		3	
WM4-CB	11:55	0.25	19.4	19.4	5.92	5.9	64.4	64.5	7.0	7.0	6.7	6.7	7	7.5
			19.4		5.94		64.5		7.0		6.7		8	
WM4	11:40	0.20	19.6	19.6	8.17	8.2	89.0	89.1	18.6	17.1	6.9	6.9	18	19.0
			19.6		8.19		89.1		15.6		6.9		20	

Date	4-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:45	0.13	21.2	21.2	9.46	9.5	106.5	106.5	3.2	3.6	7.2	7.2	2	2.0
			21.2		9.45		106.4		4.0		7.2		<2	
WM4-CB	12:00	0.25	21.9	21.9	5.73	5.8	65.5	65.7	8.0	8.1	6.7	6.7	8	8.0
			21.9		5.78		65.9		8.2		6.7		8	
WM4	11:35	0.20	21.5	21.5	7.68	7.7	87.0	87.1	5.3	5.2	7	7.0	5	5.5
			21.5		7.7		87.2		5.1		7		6	

0

Date	8-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:55	0.13	24.7	24.7	9.05	9.1	108.9	109.2	3.9	5.2	6.7	6.7	<2	2.0
			24.7		9.1		109.5		6.6		6.7		2	
WM4-CB	14:05	0.23	24.7	24.7	5.82	5.8	70.2	70.3	9.1	8.6	6.4	6.4	10	10.0
			24.7		5.84		70.4		8.1		6.4		10	
WM4	13:50	0.20	23.6	23.6	7.6	7.6	89.6	89.6	13.4	12.8	6.7	6.7	12	12.0
			23.6		7.59		89.6		12.1		6.7		12	

Date	11-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:05	0.13	19.7	19.7	9.57	9.6	104.6	104.7	4.7	4.6	6.9	6.9	10	10.0
			19.7		9.58		104.8		4.4		6.9		10	
WM4-CB	12:15	0.25	19.8	19.8	5.27	5.3	57.8	58.1	8.9	8.4	6.6	6.6	8	8.5
			19.8		5.37		58.3		7.9		6.6		9	
WM4	11:55	0.20	19.9	19.9	7.74	7.7	85.0	85.0	12.2	11.2	6.7	6.7	10	10.5
			19.9		7.74		85.0		10.2		6.7		11	

Date	13-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:15	0.13	21	21.0	9.18	9.2	103.0	103.1	3.6	3.2	6.8	6.8	<2	<2
			21		9.19		103.2		2.8		6.8			
WM4-CB	11:30	0.23	21.4	21.4	4.87	4.9	55.0	55.2	6.8	6.8	6.5	6.5	7	7.0

			21.4		4.89		55.3		6.8		6.5		7	
WM4	11:10	0.20	21.5	21.5	7.49	7.5	84.7	84.8	14.2	13.6	6.6	6.6	11	11.5
			21.5		7.51		84.9		12.9		6.6		12	

Date	15-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:05	0.12	23.3	23.3	9.07	9.1	106.4	106.4	9.6	9.9	6.7	6.7	<2	2.0
			23.3		9.08		106.4		10.3		6.7		2	
WM4-CB	12:15	0.25	23.9	23.9	6	6.0	71.1	71.3	9.6	9.6	6.5	6.5	10	10.5
			23.9		6.02		71.4		9.6		6.5		11	
WM4	12:00	0.20	23	23.0	7.57	7.6	88.3	88.2	15.7	15.4	6.6	6.6	20	20.5
			23		7.56		88.1		15.0		6.6		21	

Date	18-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:55	0.13	19.3	19.3	8.13	8.1	88.1	88.1	12.2	13.0	6.8	6.8	30	28.5
			19.3		8.12		88.0		13.7		6.8		27	
WM4-CB	14:05	0.25	19.4	19.4	5.21	5.2	56.6	56.4	13.8	14.3	6.6	6.6	21	21.5
			19.4		5.18		56.2		14.7		6.6		22	
WM4	13:45	0.20	19.8	19.8	7.53	7.6	82.0	82.4	28.6	28.6	6.7	6.7	32	31.5
			19.8		7.62		82.8		28.6		6.7		31	

Date	20-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:30	0.13	22.2	22.2	8.32	8.3	90.1	90.2	4.5	4.2	6.7	6.7	10	10.0
			22.2		8.34		90.3		4.0		6.7		10	
WM4-CB	13:45	0.25	22.3	22.3	5.31	5.3	57.6	57.7	10.9	10.8	6.4	6.4	14	15.0
			22.3		5.33		57.7		10.7		6.4		16	
WM4	13:25	0.20	22	22.0	7.63	7.6	83.3	83.4	26.8	25.9	6.6	6.6	40	38.5
			22		7.64		83.4		24.9		6.6		37	

Date	22-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:10	0.13	22.5	22.5	9.19	9.2	106.6	106.7	6.1	5.5	6.7	6.7	<2	<2
			22.5		9.2		106.7		4.9		6.7		<2	
WM4-CB	13:20	0.25	22.8	22.8	4.92	4.9	57.1	57.2	9.4	9.2	6.5	6.5	8	8.0
			22.8		4.93		57.2		8.9		6.5		8	
WM4	13:00	0.20	21.5	21.5	7.59	7.6	86.1	85.8	11.3	11.5	6.5	6.5	9	9.0
			21.5		7.53		85.4		11.6		6.5		9	

Date	25-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:45	0.13	19	19.0	9.33	9.3	100.5	100.6	11.4	11.7	7	7.0	2	2.5

			19		9.34		100.6		12.0		7		3	
WM4-CB	12:00	0.25	19.5	19.5	5.46	5.4	59.5	58.9	13.4	12.5	6.8	6.8	13	12.0
			19.5		5.34		58.2		11.6		6.8		11	
WM4	11:35	0.20	18.9	18.9	7.57	7.6	81.4	81.4	72.1	73.9	6.9	6.9	79	78.5
			18.9		7.56		81.3		75.7		6.9		78	

Date	26-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:35	0.12							5.1	5.0			6	6.0
									5.0				6	
WM4-CB	13:45	0.25							8.1	8.5			12	12.0
									8.9				12	
WM4	13:30	0.20							11.1	11.7			14	14.0
									12.3				14	

Date	27-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:05	0.12	23.7	23.7	9.18	9.2	108.4	108.4	5.7	5.4	6.9	6.9	<2	<2
			23.7		9.17		108.3		5.1		6.9		<2	
WM4-CB	13:15	0.25	24.3	24.3	6.04	6.0	72.1	71.9	10.4	10.8	6.5	6.5	10	10.5
			24.3		6		71.6		11.1		6.5		11	
WM4	13:00	0.20	23.4	23.4	7.63	7.6	89.1	89.2	15.9	16.3	6.8	6.8	15	16.0
			23.4		7.65		89.3		16.7		6.8		17	

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	Action Level
	Limit Level

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6

Date	1-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:40	0.23	18	18.0	9.01	9.0	95.1	95.2	13.7	14.2	7.00	7.1	5	5.5
			18		9.02		95.3		14.7		7.10		6	
WM2A	10:30	0.20	17.3	17.3	10.03	10.0	104.5	104.6	4.7	4.3	7.40	7.4	2	2.5
			17.3		10.05		104.7		4.0		7.40		3	

Date	4-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:55	0.23	19.6	19.6	8.58	8.6	93.6	93.5	11.7	11.7	7.20	7.2	5	4.5
			19.6		8.54		93.3		11.6		7.20		4	
WM2A	10:35	0.20	19.3	19.3	9.23	9.2	100.2	100.3	22.7	21.7	7.80	7.8	10	10.0
			19.3		9.25		100.3		20.7		7.80		10	

Date	8-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:10	0.23	20.7	20.7	8.5	8.5	94.9	95.0	12.0	12.0	6.90	6.9	4	3.0
			20.7		8.51		95.0		12.0		6.90		2	
WM2A	11:00	0.23	22.1	22.1	8.46	8.5	96.2	96.3	68.3	67.9	6.90	6.9	88	89.5
			22.1		8.49		96.4		67.5		6.90		91	

Date	9-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:58	0.23							8.8	8.9			<2	<2
									9.1				<2	
WM2A	10:50	0.20							10.5	9.7			3	3.0
									8.8				3	

Date	11-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:50	0.23	18.7	18.7	8.96	9.0	96.0	96.1	10.0	9.8	7.10	7.1	4	3.5
			18.7		8.98		96.2		9.6		7.10		3	
WM2A	10:25	0.20	18.4	18.4	9.51	9.5	101.2	101.2	5.1	5.1	6.90	6.9	6	5.5
			18.4		9.52		101.2		5.0		6.90		5	

Date	13-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:05	0.23	19.8	19.8	8.5	8.5	93.1	93.3	15.8	15.8	6.80	6.8	5	4.5
			19.8		8.54		93.5		15.8		6.80		4	
WM2A	9:45	0.20	19.9	19.9	9.39	9.4	102.5	102.6	5.9	6.1	6.90	6.9	<2	2.0
			19.9		9.4		102.6		6.4		6.90		2	

Date	15-Feb-19												
------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:50	0.23	20.1	20.1	8.42	8.4	92.9	93.0	15.0	14.9	6.90	6.9	<2	<2
			20.1		8.44		93.0		14.8		6.90		<2	
WM2A	10:25	0.20	20.2	20.2	9.27	9.3	102.3	102.4	9.9	9.5	6.90	6.9	6	6.0
			20.2		9.29		102.5		9.2		6.90		6	

Date	18-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	12:00	0.23	18.7	18.7	8.7	8.7	93.3	93.5	25.9	25.8	6.80	6.8	18	18.0
			18.7		8.73		93.6		25.7		6.80		18	
WM2A	11:45	0.20	18.8	18.8	9.2	9.2	98.7	98.8	9.7	10.3	6.90	6.9	6	6.0
			18.8		9.21		98.8		11.0		6.90		6	

Date	20-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:25	0.25	21.6	21.6	7.96	8.0	90.5	90.5	82.4	82.6	6.70	6.7	57	57.5
			21.6		7.97		90.5		82.8		6.70		58	
WM2A	11:15	0.20	21.7	21.7	7.92	7.9	91.7	91.5	91.2	92.6	6.70	6.7	64	65.0
			21.7		7.86		91.3		93.9		6.70		66	

Date	22-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:10	0.24	20.3	20.3	7.67	7.6	84.9	84.5	45.7	45.9	6.80	6.8	25	25.5
			20.3		7.6		84.1		46.1		6.80		26	
WM2A	9:55	0.20	20.2	20.2	8.2	8.2	90.6	90.7	44.2	44.3	6.70	6.7	18	19.0
			20.2		8.21		90.8		44.3		6.70		20	

Date	25-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:40	0.25	17.4	17.4	8.71	8.7	91.1	91.0	20.0	20.4	7.10	7.1	8	8.5
			17.4		8.68		90.8		20.4		7.10		9	
WM2A	10:25	0.20	17.3	17.3	9.18	9.2	95.8	95.6	17.8	17.2	7.00	7.0	10	9.5
			17.3		9.13		95.3		16.5		7.00		9	

Date	27-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:05	0.23	20.2	20.2	8.42	8.4	92.6	92.5	24.3	24.1	7.00	7.0	6	6.5
			20.2		8.4		92.3		23.9		7.00		7	
WM2A	10:55	0.20	19.5	19.5	9.24	9.2	100.2	100.1	24.3	23.8	7.00	7.0	7	7.5
			19.5		9.21		99.9		23.3		7.00		8	

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	Action Level
	Limit Level

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 6

Date	1-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:00	0.15	21.3	21.3	9.63	9.6	108.5	108.6	21.1	21.2	7.2	7.2	29	28.5
			21.3		9.64		108.6		21.2		7.2		28	
WM3	11:05	0.20	20	20.0	9.28	9.3	102.0	102.0	11.2	11.7	7	7.0	7	7.0
			20		9.28		102.0		12.2		7		7	

Date	4-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:05	0.13	24.3	24.3	8.96	9.0	107.1	107.2	2.5	2.6	7.2	7.2	<2	<2
			24.3		8.98		107.3		2.8		7.2		<2	
WM3	11:20	0.20	21.2	21.2	9.73	9.7	109.6	109.4	3.8	3.7	7.3	7.3	<2	<2
			21.2		9.7		109.2		3.7		7.3		<2	

Date	8-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:55	0.15	23.6	23.6	9.16	9.2	107.9	108.1	18.5	18.5	6.8	6.8	10	9.5
			23.6		9.18		108.2		18.4		6.8		9	
WM3	11:50	0.20	22.4	22.4	9.18	9.2	105.9	106.0	4.5	4.6	6.7	6.7	2	2.0
			22.4		9.19		106.0		4.8		6.7		<2	

Date	11-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:05	0.15	23.2	23.2	9.25	9.3	108.2	108.3	4.6	4.8	6.7	6.7	2	2.0
			23.2		9.28		108.3		5.0		6.7		2	
WM3	11:15	0.20	20.2	20.2	9.75	9.8	107.6	107.6	3.4	3.5	6.9	6.9	2	2.0
			20.2		9.75		107.6		3.5		6.9		<2	

Date	13-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	10:35	0.12	23.7	23.7	9.21	9.2	109.1	109.2	13.4	13.4	6.6	6.6	9	9.0
			23.7		9.22		109.2		13.3		6.6		9	
WM3	10:50	0.20	22.3	22.3	9.32	9.3	107.2	107.4	14.2	14.2	6.8	6.8	10	9.5
			22.3		9.34		107.5		14.1		6.8		9	

Date	15-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:15	0.15	21.9	21.9	9.71	9.6	111.1	110.1	12.5	13.2	6.7	6.7	6	6.5
			21.9		9.52		109.0		13.8		6.7		7	
WM3	11:25	0.15	21.9	21.9	9.44	9.4	107.7	107.4	10.3	9.9	6.7	6.7	5	5.0
			21.9		9.37		107.0		9.5		6.7		5	

Date	18-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	12:15	0.14	22.7	22.7	9.21	9.2	106.1	105.7	30.2	30.6	6.7	6.7	29	28.0
			22.7		9.1		105.2		30.9		6.7		27	
WM3	12:25	0.20	20.1	20.1	9.05	9.0	99.4	99.2	8.5	7.9	6.8	6.8	8	8.5
			20.1		8.99		99.0		7.2		6.8		9	

Date	20-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:40	0.15	25.7	25.7	8.72	8.7	106.9	107.0	33.2	33.0	6.9	6.9	38	38.5
			25.7		8.74		107.0		32.8		6.9		39	
WM3	12:00	0.20	23.3	23.3	8.31	8.3	97.7	97.7	14.2	13.8	6.8	6.8	13	12.5
			23.3		8.3		97.7		13.4		6.8		12	




Date	22-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:30	0.15	23	23.0	8.99	9.0	105.7	105.5	27.2	26.3	6.7	6.7	28	29.0
			23		8.96		105.3		25.3		6.7		30	
WM3	11:40	0.20	21.5	21.5	8.69	8.7	98.5	98.6	25.7	25.5	6.7	6.7	28	30.0
			21.5		8.7		98.6		25.3		6.7		32	




Date	25-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:00	0.13	22.7	22.7	9.06	9.1	104.8	104.9	7.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	9	9.0
			22.7		9.07		104.9		8.5		8.2		9	
WM3	11:15	0.20	19	19.0	9.84	9.9	106.0	106.2	13.4	13.3	7.7	7.7	13	12.5
			19		9.86		106.3		13.1		7.7		12	




Date	27-Feb-19													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-c	11:55	0.13	22.4	22.4	8.89	8.9	106.2	106.3	27.6	27.4	8	8.0	16	16.0
			22.4		8.9		106.3		27.2		8		16	
WM3	12:05	0.20	21.7	21.7	9.26	9.2	105.3	105.2	23.5	24.1	7.6	7.6	10	10.5
			21.7		9.23		105.0		24.7		7.6		11	

Appendix J




Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result




Air Quality – 1-hour TSP











Air Quality – 24-hour TSP














Noise





















Water Quality









Appendix K

Meteorological Data

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Ta Kwu Ling Station			
				Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Feb-19	Fri	Mainly cloudy with one or two rain patches. Slightly cooler.	0	18.1	8.5	63	N
2-Feb-19	Sat	Moderate to fresh easterly winds, strong offshore.	Trace	20.3	9.8	72.5	E/SE
3-Feb-19	Sun	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	Trace	23.2	5.6	72.5	W/NW
4-Feb-19	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals in the afternoon.	0	21.9	4	72.5	N/NW
5-Feb-19	Tue	Moderate to fresh easterly winds, strong offshore.	0	21.4	8.6	81.1	NW
6-Feb-19	Wed	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	0	23.1	7.1	83.5	SE
7-Feb-19	Thu	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	Trace	23.6	8	77	E/SE
8-Feb-19	Fri	Moderate to fresh easterly winds, strong offshore.	Trace	22.4	11	81.5	E
9-Feb-19	Sat	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	0.8	20.4	9	79.2	E/SE
10-Feb-19	Sun	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0.8	19.1	7.5	80	E/SE
11-Feb-19	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals in the afternoon.	Trace	17	6	78.7	N/NW
12-Feb-19	Tue	Sunny periods. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	0.2	19	6.5	77.5	E
13-Feb-19	Wed	Mainly fine and warm during the day. Light to moderate easterly winds.	0	21.4	5.5	75	E
14-Feb-19	Thu	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods in the afternoon. Moderate easterly winds.	Trace	22.8	10.1	73.5	E
15-Feb-19	Fri	Mainly cloudy. Warm with sunny periods tomorrow.	0.2	21.9	10.4	79.5	E/SE
16-Feb-19	Sat	Moderate easterly winds, fresh offshore later tomorrow.	0	23.7	9	72.5	E
17-Feb-19	Sun	There will be more showers and isolated thunderstorms later.	0.1	20.1	13.3	78	E/SE
18-Feb-19	Mon	Cloudy with a few showers. Visibility rather low.	18.1	17.4	22	82.5	E
19-Feb-19	Tue	Warm with sunny intervals in the afternoon.	31	21.8	10.6	83	E
20-Feb-19	Wed	Mainly cloudy with coastal fog tonight.	0.2	24.4	9	80	E/SE
21-Feb-19	Thu	Mainly cloudy. A few showers and coastal fog	Trace	23	11.5	83.7	E
22-Feb-19	Fri	Mainly cloudy with coastal fog tonight.	1.6	20.7	7.5	75.5	N/NW
23-Feb-19	Sat	Cloudy with a few showers. Visibility rather low.	12.3	15.7	9.5	83	E/SE
24-Feb-19	Sun	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh later.	3.4	14.7	6.6	76.5	N/NW
25-Feb-19	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Bright periods in the afternoon	Trace	15.6	4.7	81.7	N/NW
26-Feb-19	Tue	Mainly cloudy. Bright periods in the afternoon	Trace	17.5	9.8	85.5	E/SE
27-Feb-19	Wed	Cloudy and cooler.	Trace	21.4	10.2	82.5	E
28-Feb-19	Thu	Moderate to fresh easterly winds, occasionally strong offshore.	0	19.1	7	76.2	E/SE

Appendix L

Waste Flow Table

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE

FOR: 2019

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill*	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse#
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	8.1000	0.0000	0.0000	1.5360	6.5640	1.2000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.2760	0.3000
Feb	1.5710	0.0000	0.0000	0.2000	1.3710	0.7000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.1060
Mar											
Apr											
May											
June											
Sub-total	9.6710	0.0000	0.0000	1.7360	7.9350	1.9000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.2760	0.4060
July											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Sub-total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	9.6710	0.0000	0.0000	1.7360	7.9350	1.9000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.2760	0.4060

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS 1.100(14)(a)
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the amount of C&D materials.
- (5) Assumption: 1m³ of inert material weight 2.2 tonne 1m³ of non-inert material weight 1.6 tonne 1m³ of chemical waste weight 0.88 tonne

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Project

Forecast Made at the End of the Project	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemicals Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
									(see Note 3)		
Month-Year	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000m3)
Dec-13	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	220.6270	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Dec-14	425.4406	0.0000	2.7362	376.3945	46.3099	5.6245	3.2100	0.4390	0.0070	10.8800	2.2609
Dec-15	570.9459	0.0000	20.8159	543.2162	6.9138	4.5492	37.6310	3.9220	11.9700	16.1920	1.1696
Dec-16	905.0989	0.0000	7.4372	427.7834	469.8783	24.8350	430.5200	3.8500	18.7262	34.2936	1.9720
Dec-17	741.9482	0.0000	8.0385	175.6792	558.2305	78.3865	1681.8000	4.0700	30.5175	48.7906	5.9610
Dec-18	267.4723	0.0000	0.0000	31.4398	236.0325	15.6750	301.8200	2.8800	24.2325	105.3820	7.2631
Jan-19	9.6710	0.0000	0.0000	1.7360	7.9350	1.9000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.2760	0.4060
Total	2,920.5769	0.0000	39.0278	1,556.2492	1,325.3000	130.9702	2,675.6080	15.1610	85.4532	222.8142	19.0326

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	3.089	0.304	0.060	0.000	2.725	0.923	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.150
Feb	2.697	0.256	0.150	0.000	2.292	1.144	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.095
Mar	1.524	0.141	0.120	0.000	1.263	0.211	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.085
Apr	2.880	0.786	0.360	0.000	1.734	0.788	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125
May	1.164	0.290	0.101	0.000	0.773	0.185	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.150
Jun	0.862	0.082	0.515	0.000	0.265	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.110
Sub-total	12.216	1.859	1.306	0.000	9.051	3.251	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.715
Jul	1.520	0.261	0.476	0.000	0.783	0.039	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135
Aug	2.372	0.478	0.613	0.000	1.281	0.193	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.095
Sep	1.709	0.361	0.381	0.000	0.967	0.272	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.150
Oct	1.198	0.316	0.000	0.000	0.882	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.115
Nov	1.938	0.361	0.296	0.000	1.281	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.160
Dec	1.406	0.302	0.060	0.000	1.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.085
Total	22.359	3.938	3.132	0.000	15.289	3.755	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.455

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m³.
 2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m³.
 3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m³.
 4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
 5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
 6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
 7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m³.
 8. Assume the density of plastic is 941 kg/m³.
 9. Assume the density of paper is 800 kg/m³.

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	2.937	0.927	0.000	0.000	2.010	0.997	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.145
Feb	4.659	0.841	0.000	0.000	3.818	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.075
Mar											
Apr											
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	7.596	1.768	0.000	0.000	5.828	1.027	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.220
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	7.596	1.768	0.000	0.000	5.828	1.027	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.220

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m³.
 2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m³.
 3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m³.
 4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
 5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
 6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
 7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m³.
 8. Assume the density of plastic is 941 kg/m³.
 9. Assume the density of paper is 800 kg/m³.

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Diposal as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/card board packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)
52.5	5.2	12.3	0.0	35.0	41.8	5.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	44.8

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6(14).
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works if equal to or exceed 50,000 m³.

SUMMARY TABLE FOR WORK PROCESSES OR ACTIVITIES REQUIRING TIMBER FOR TEMPORARY WORKS

Contract No.: CV/2012/09

Contract Title: Liantang /Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works - Contract 3

Item No.	Description of Works Process or Activity [see note (a) below]	Justifications for Using Timber in Temporary Construction Works	Est. Quantities of Timber Used (m ³)	Actual Quantities Used (m ³)	Remarks
1	Formwork for Construction of Noise Barrier	Easy handling by manpower	48.00	48.00	
2	Formwork for Construction of Retaining Wall	Easy handling by manpower	34.00	34.00	
3	Formwork for Construction of Road Works	Easy handling by manpower	110.00	110.00	
4	Formwork for Construction of Drainage	Easy handling by manpower	123.00	123.00	
Total Estimated Quantity of Timber Used			315.00		

- Notes:
- (a) The Contractor shall list out all the work items requiring timber for use in temporary construction works. Several minor work items may be grouped into one for ease of updating.
 - (b) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.24(11)..

Name of Department: CEDD

Contract No.: NE/2014/02

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016- 2019

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2017	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2018	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.049	0.000	0.000	0.030
Jan-19	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Feb-19	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mar-19											
Apr-19											
May-19											
Jun-19											
Jul-19											
Aug-19											
Sep-19											
Oct-19											
Nov-19											
Dec-19											
Total	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.049	0.000	0.000	0.030

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract*										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.500	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.200

Notes :

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.84(14).
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Sites.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.
- (4) Estimate 6m3 capacity per dump truck

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 m ³)
Jan	25.725	0	0	0.385	16.126	9.214	0	0.233	0	0	0.521
Feb	17.959	0	0	0.280	11.168	6.511	0	0	0	0	0.278
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total	43.684	0.000	0.000	0.665	27.294	15.725	0.000	0.233	0.000	0.000	0.799
Jul		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sep		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1080.293	0.000	166.627	284.418	613.525	111.037	0.000	11.374	0.007	34.045	19.649

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
 - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLEName of Department: CEDDContract Title: Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 7Contract No.: NE/2014/03**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019 (year)**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-Inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastic (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	1.919	0.95	0	0	1.919	0	6.7	0.1	0.001	0	0.1
Feb	2.035	1.386	0	1.386	0.649	0	1.2	0.1	0.001	0	0.1
Mar											
Apr											
May											
June											
Sub-total	6.29	2.336	0	1.386	2.568	0	7.9	0.2	0.002	0	0.2
July											
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	6.29	2.336	0	1.386	2.568	0	7.9	0.2	0.002	0	0.200

Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Contract No. / Works Order No.: - SSC505**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019** [year] [to be submitted not later than the 15th day of each month following reporting month]

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places.)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly				
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	4.815	1.963	0.160	0.000	2.691
Feb	4.609	0.598	0.150	0.000	3.861
Mar					
Apr					
May					
Jun					
Sub-total	9.423	2.561	0.310	0.000	6.552
Jul					
Aug					
Sep					
Oct					
Nov					
Dec					
Total	9.423	2.561	0.310	0.000	6.552

Month	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly												
	Timber		Metals		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Plastics (see Note 3)		Chemical Waste		Other Recyclable Materials (see Page 3)		General Refuse disposed of at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000m ³)
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan	0.000	0.000	238.550	238.550	0.290	0.290	0.950	0.950	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.417
Feb	1.510	1.510	0.000	0.000	0.410	0.410	2.660	2.660	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.157
Mar													
Apr													
May													
Jun													
Sub-total	1.510	1.510	238.550	238.550	0.700	0.700	3.610	3.610	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.574
Jul													
Aug													
Sep													
Oct													
Nov													
Dec													
Total	1.510	1.510	238.550	238.550	0.700	0.700	3.610	3.610	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.574

Description of mode and details of recycling if any for the month e.g. XX kg of used timber was sent to YY site for transformation into fertilizers					
1.510 tons of timber were sent to HK Biomass(Wood) Collect and Recycle Company	1195.71 tons of broken concrete were sent to Tailor Recycled Aggregates Ltd. for recycling.	410 kg of paper were sent to Lau Choi Kee Papers Co. Ltd. for recycling.	2660 kg of plastic barrier were sent 3R HK International Eco-action Ltd		

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in the Particular Specification on Environmental Management Plan.
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include construction waste that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
 - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
 - (5) If necessary, use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5 m³ by volume.

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract										
Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed of as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	General refuse
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 m ³)
286.769	14.911	49.597	0.000	169.223	53.223	19,797.764	18.056	12.673	5.000	40.624

Appendix M

Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<u>Air Quality Impact (Construction)</u>							
3.6.1.1	2.1	General Dust Control Measures The following dust suppression measures should be implemented: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities ■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets ■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr ■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement 	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.6.1.2	2.1	Best Practice for Dust Control The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include: <i>Good site management</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. ■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission. ■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. ■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions. ■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. <i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with 	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting. 					
<u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u>							
3.5.2.2	2.2	<p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work. Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission. Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity. Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs. 	To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP	DSD	BCP	Operation Phase	EIA recommendation
<u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u>							
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Adoption of Quieter PME</p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in Table 4.14, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	Use of Movable Noise Barrier The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m ² is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	Use of Noise Insulating Fabric Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	Good Site Practice The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme; Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
Noise Impact (Operation)							
<u>Road Traffic Noise</u>							
Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4	3.2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section.	To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP	Contractor	Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>							
Table 4.46	3.2	Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time.	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.5.2.4	3.2	<p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment); Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable; Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. 	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
Water Quality Impact (Construction)							
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p>Construction site runoff and drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. 	To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. ■ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. ■ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. ■ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94. ■ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 					
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds	Contractor	Construction Works Sites within the water gathering	Construction Phase	ProPECC Note PN 1/94

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments. No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site. All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible. Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks. Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time. All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected from erosion. Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided. Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately. No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps. Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies. Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies. Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of 			grounds		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained. Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March, Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference. 					
5.6.1.2	4.1	<p>Good site practices of general construction activities</p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation
5.6.1.3	4.1	<p>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)
5.6.1.4	4.1	<p>Hydrogeological Impact</p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and WPCO
Water Quality Impact (Operation)							
		No mitigation measure is required.					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u>							
6.7	5	The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector.	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u>							
6.6.3	5	Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	BCP	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
6.5.3	5	Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	Administration Building	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u>							
7.6.1.1	6	Good Site Practices Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site ■ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures ■ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste ■ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers ■ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As 	To minimize adverse environmental impact	Contractor	Construction works sites (general)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away Designate different locations for storage of C&D material to enhance reuse Well planned programme for transportation of C&D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&D material is not anticipated Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains 					
7.6.1.2	6	<p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount 	To reduce the quantity of wastes	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes. 					
7.6.1.3	6	<p>C&D Materials</p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included. 	To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material	Contractor	Construction Works Sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004
7.6.1.4	6	<p>General refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation
7.6.1.5	6	<p>Chemical waste</p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

Appendix N

Investigation Report for Exceedance

To **Mr. Vincent Chan**

Fax No **By e-mail**

Company CRBC-CEC-Kaden JV

cc

From **Nicola Hon**

Date **5 March 2019**

Our Ref TCS00694/13/300/**F1988a**

No of Pages 5 (Incl. cover sheet)

**RE Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report of Exceedance of Water Quality at Location WM2A(a) on 8
February 2019**

If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.

Dear Sir,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) ref.:

TCS00694/13/300/F1963 dated 8 February 2019

TCS00694/13/300/F1971 dated 15 February 2019

Please find attached the “Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance” referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079**.

Yours Faithfully,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

And

Nicola Hon
Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c. Ms. Clara U (EPD)
Mr. Simon Leung (ER of C6/ AECOM)
Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)

Fax: 2685 1133
Fax: 2251 0698
By email

Agreement No. CE 45/2008

Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project		CE 45/2008	
Date		8 February 2019	
Location		WM2A(a)	
Time		11:00	
Parameter		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
Action Level		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
Limit Level		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
Measured Levels	WM2A-C	12.0	3.0
	WM2A(a)	67.9	89.5
Exceedance		Limit Level	Limit Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of Contract 6 (CCKJV), the construction work has not yet resumed after the Chinese New Year Holiday on 8 February 2019. The monitoring locations and work boundary are shown in <i>Figure 1</i>. 2. According to the site photo taken by the monitoring team on 8 February 2019, turbid water was observed in WM2A(a) while the water quality at WM2A-C was clear. (<i>Photos 1 to 2</i>) 3. According to the rainfall record from the Observatory, trace amount of rain was recorded on 7 and 8 February 2019. As water quality mitigation measures, the exposed slope adjacent to the stream was covered by tarpaulin sheet to minimize muddy runoff from the Site. (<i>Photo 3</i>) Moreover, part of the slope adjacent to the stream was vegetated and the risk of muddy runoff was reduced. (<i>Photo 4</i>) 4. Weekly joint site inspection among the RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was carried out 8 February 2019 for investigation. It was observed that the construction site was still idled after the Chinese New Year Holiday. Wastewater treatment facilities including 3 sets of AquaSed were provided and stand-by at Bridge D. (<i>Photo 5 and Figure 1</i>) No muddy runoff and water discharge from wastewater treatment facilities was observed. 5. In our investigation, CCKJV has properly implemented the water quality mitigation measures especially for slope adjacent to the stream. There were no construction activities carried out on 8 February and no discharge and runoff made from the site was observed. The construction site was general in order and no adverse impact was recorded during site inspection. It is considered that the exceedances were unlikely due to the work under the project. 6. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring shall be increased to daily when exceedance recorded. There 	

	were no exceedances recorded at subsequent monitoring on 9 and 11 February 2019. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
--	---

Prepared By : _____ Nicola Hon

Designation : _____ Environmental Consultant

Signature : _____


Date : _____ 5 March 2019

Photo Record



Photo 1

On 8 February 2019, turbid water was observed at WM2A.




Photo 2

On 8 February 2019, the water quality at WM2A-C was clear.



Photo 3

As water quality mitigation measures, the exposed slope adjacent to the stream was covered by tarpaulin sheet to minimize muddy runoff from the Site.



Photo 4

Part of the slope adjacent to the stream was vegetated and the risk of muddy runoff was reduced.



Photo 5

Wastewater treatment facilities including 3 sets of AquaSed were provided and stand-by at Bridge D. No muddy runoff and water discharge from wastewater treatment facilities was observed.




Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A(a), WM2A-Control and work area under Contract

Appendix O

Investigation Report for Complaint



Fax Cover Sheet

To **Mr. Vincent Chan**
Mr. Matthew Tsang Fax No By email

Company **CRBC-CEC-Kaden JV**
KRSJV

cc

From **Nicola Hon** Date **25 February 2019**

Our Ref TCS00694/13/300/F1972 No of Pages 8 (Incl. cover sheet)

RE **Agreement No. CE 45/2008**
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report for Environmental Complaint of Dust and Muddy Water
Problem in Lin Ma Hang Road

If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.

Dear all,

Enclosed please find the investigation report for the captioned for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079**.

Yours Faithfully,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Nicola Hon
Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c.	Ms. Clara U (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1133
	Mr. Steve Lo (CE/BCP, NDO, CEDD)	Fax:	3547 1659
	Mr. Michael Chan (CE/BCP, NDO, CEDD)	Fax:	3547 1659
	Mr. Simon Leung (ER of C6, AECOM)	Fax:	2551 0698
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)	By email	


Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires

Log No.	CE 45/2008 – 83
Received Date by ET	19 December 2018
Contract under Investigation	Contract 6 and Contract 7 (the IR for SS C505 will be provided separately)
Complaint Details	投訴人稱地盤令蓮麻坑路由警署到竹園村一段整條路都很大泥塵，弄污車輛，村民每天要洗幾次車，部門承建商只是灑水，沒有清洗街道，要求部門跟進，每天清洗該處道路
Location	Lin Ma Hang (LMH) Road in between Tsung Yuen Ha Village and Ta Kwu Ling Police Station
Date of Complaint	29 November 2018
Environmental Aspect	Dust and wastewater
Complainant	Undisclosed
Complaint Route	by 1823
Investigation Result	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A public complaint was received by 1823 and referred to ArchSD Contract SS C505 on 29 November 2018 regarding the emission of dust and muddy road along the Lin Ma Hang Road in between Tsung Yuen Ha Village and Ta Kwu Ling police station. Since the concerned area covers the contract works of ArchSD Contract SS C505 as well as LT/Contract 6 and LT/Contract 7. Investigation will be provided for all related Contracts. The complaint location is shown in Figures 1 & 2. 2. Joint site inspection by RE, IEC, Contractor of C6 (CCKJV) and ET were carried out on 27 December 2018 and 3 January 2019 along the concerned section of LMH Road for investigation (Figure 1). The observations during site inspection are summarized in below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The road surface of LMH Road outside Ta Kwu Ling Police Station was observed dry. Roadside debris and small amount of gravels were found at the edge of the road. (Photo 1) (b) Starting from Ta Kwu Ling police station to Chuk Yuen Village, there were several unknown site exits on LMH Road which are not belong to any contracts under LT/HYW Project. The condition of unknown site exit was dusty and some mud and debris were found on the kerbs of LMH road. Fugitive dust was observed when vehicles travelling on the road. The maintenance party/ ownership of the site exit were unknown. (Photo 2 to 4) Moreover, there were many other heavy vehicles apart from the LT/HYW Project using LMH Road which causing traffic dust problem especially during dry season. (c) There were 3 vehicle site exits on LMH Road under Contract 6, namely Bridge D, Chuk Yuen Road and Bridge Y. Wheel washing facilities were provided on the hard paved road within the site to avoid carrying of dust and soil to public road by site vehicles. There were no muddy trails and muddy water observed at site exits during site inspection. Moreover, the cleanliness of the adjoined LMH Road was in good condition without mud and slurry. (Photos 5

Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires

	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>to 8)</i></p> <p>(d) Water tanker was deployed by CCKJV running along LMH Road adjoined the contract site in every normal working day as dust suppression measures. No over-water spraying and slurry was observed after road washing. (Photo 9) The route of water tanker provided by Contract 6 is shown in Figure 1.</p> <p>3. Moreover, there was only on site exit under Contract 7 on LMH road to access BCP works area. Joint site inspection by RE, IEC, Contractor of C7 (KRSJV) and ET were carried out on 28 December 2018 and 4 January 2019 along concerned road section for investigation. It was observed that wheel washing facilities including water jet were provided at exit site and wheel washing was carried out on a concrete ground before leaving the site. There were no muddy trails and muddy water observed at site exit during site inspection and the site was generally in order. (Photos 10 & 11 & Figure 2)</p> <p>4. According to the records of weekly site inspection on 29 and 30 November 2018 for Contract 6 and Contract 7 respectively before the complaint, wheel washing facilities were properly provided at all site exits under Contract 6 and Contract 7 and no adverse impact was recorded at site exit and adjoined LMH Road. The cleanliness of the adjoined LMH Road was in good condition without mud and slurry. (Photos 12 to 16)</p> <p>5. In our investigation, the Contractors has implemented dust control measures as such provide the wheel washing facilities at site exit and properly maintained cleanliness of site exit and adjoin roads. No cumulated muddy water and mud trails were observed at the site exit under Contract 6 and Contract 7 and adjoined LMH Road during our inspections. Since there were many other heavy vehicles apart from LT/HYW Project using LMH Road particularly between Ta Kwu Ling Police Station and Chuk Yuen Village and certain number of unknown exit sites without proper management along LMH Road, it is considered that the complaint was not related to the works under the Contract 6 and Contract 7.</p>
--	--

Prepared By : Nicola Hon
Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 25 February 2019

Photo Record



Photo 1

During site inspection on 3 January 2019, the road surface of LMH Road outside Ta Kwu Ling Police Station was observed dry. Roadside debris and small amount of gravels were found at the edge of the road.



Photo 2

The condition of unknown site exit was dusty in which sand stockpile was found at site exit. The maintenance party/ ownership of the site exit is unknown.



Photo 3

The condition of unknown site exit was dusty and some mud and debris were found on the kerbs of LMH road. The maintenance party/ ownership of the site exit is unknown.



Photo 4

The condition of unknown site exit was dusty and some mud and debris were found on the kerbs of LMH road. The maintenance party/ ownership of the site exit is unknown.



Photo 5

At vehicle site exit of Bridge D, wheel washing facility was provided on the hard paved road within the site to avoid carrying of dust and soil to public road by site vehicles.



Photo 6

The condition of site exit of Bridge D and adjoining LMH road were wetted without noticeable dust problem.



Photo 7

At vehicle site exit of Chuk Yuen Village, manual wheel washing was provided on the hard paved road within the site to avoid carrying of dust and soil to public road by site vehicles. The condition of site exit and adjoining LMH road were wetted without noticeable dust problem.



Photo 8

At vehicle site exit of Bridge Y, manual wheel washing was provided on the hard paved road within the site to avoid carrying of dust and soil to public road by site vehicles. The condition of site exit and adjoining LMH road were wetted without noticeable dust problem.



Photo 9

Water spraying by water tanker deployed by CCKJV was observed on Lin Ma Hang Road.



Photo 10

There was only on site exit under Contract 7 on LMH road to access BCP works area. Wheel washing facilities including water jet were provided at exit site and wheel washing was carried out on a concrete ground before leaving the site.



Photo 11

There was only on site exit under Contract 7 on LMH road to access BCP works area. No muddy trails and muddy water observed at site exit during site inspection.



Photo 12

During site inspection on 29 November 2018, it was observed that wheel washing facilities were properly provided on a paved road within works area of Bridge Y under Contract 6.



Photo 13

During site inspection on 29 November 2018, it was observed that wheel washing facilities were provided on a paved road within works area of Chuk Yuen Road under Contract 6. The condition of Chuk Yuen Road Site Exit and adjoined LMH road was satisfactory without mud and debris.



Photo 14

During site inspection on 29 November 2018, it was observed that wheel washing facilities were provided on a paved road within works area of Bridge D under Contract 6. The condition of Bridge D Site Exit and adjoined LMH road was satisfactory without mud and debris.



Photo 15

During site inspection on 29 November 2018, it was observed that road bowser for water spraying on LMH Road was observed as dust control measure. The LMH Road was maintained wet and no noticeable dust impact was observed.



Photo 16

During site visit on 30 November 2018, wheel washing facilities were provided for site exit of Contract 7 and no muddy trail observed.




Figure 1 The site exits along Lin Ma Hang Road under Contract 6




Figure 2 Location Plan for Site exits along Lin Ma Hang Road under Contract 7 and other Contract



Fax: 2685 1133
Fax: 2171 3498
By e-mail

Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires


Log No.	CE 45/2008 – 84
Received Date by ET	11 February 2019
Related Contract under Investigation	Contract 3
Complaint Details	A complaint was received by EPD on 30 January 2019 about emission of construction dust from Chun Wo construction site near Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD)'s Refuse Collection Point (RCP) near Bridge Pier ID TP-60.
Location	Construction site near FEHD's RCP near Bridge Pier ID TP-60.
Date of Complaint	30 January 2019
Environmental Aspect	Dust
Complainant	unknown
Complaint Route	Via EPD
Investigation Result	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A public complaint was received by EPD on 30 January 2019 about emission of construction dust from Chun Wo construction site near FEHD's RCP near Bridge Pier ID TP-60. The complaint location is illustrated in Figure 1. 2. EPD officers conducted investigation on 31 January 2019. There were no Record of Inspection (yellow/ pink inspection form) issued by EPD and no non-compliance observed during site inspection. After the site inspection, some advices of dust control measures were given to the Contractor as following. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Wet by water spraying on 1.) any dusty materials before loading and unloading; 2.) stockpile of dusty materials; 3.) area where excavation are carried out; and 4.) any unpaved road; (b) Cover or shelter any stockpile of dusty materials; and (c) Cover any dusty load on vehicles before they leave the site. 3. Upon receipt of the complaint, joint site inspection was carried out by RE, IEC, Contractor (Chun Wo) and ET on 14 February 2019 for complaint investigation. The observations during the site inspection are summarized in below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) There was a vehicle site exit on Tai Wo Service Road East adjoined the concerned RCP. Wheel washing facilities including water jet was provided on the hard paved within the site. (Photo 1) (b) Water spraying at site exit was provided regularly to suppress fugitive dust near the concern RCP. No dusty materials carrying by site vehicles to Tai Wo Service Road East were observed. (Photo 2) (c) Stockpile of planting soil was observed within the site which partially covered by impervious sheet. As advised by Chun Wo, importation of planting soil was in progress and they will fully cover the stockpile with impervious sheet as dust control measures. (Photo 3) The site condition was not dusty as majority of soil

Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires

	<p>stockpiles were covered.</p> <p>(d) As dust control measures, road washing/ cleaning by water tanker was provided for Tai Wo Service Road East every day and and road sweeper was also deployed to maintain cleanliness of the roads. The route of water tanker covered the concerned RCP. (Photo 4)</p> <p>(e) Chun Wo had promptly covered the soil stockpile by impervious sheet after importation of the planting soil. (Photo 5)</p> <p>4. In our investigation, Chun Wo has implemented dust control measures such as providing adequate wheel washing at site exit, road cleaning by water tanker for entire site and covered stockpile when not in used. There were no Record of Inspection (yellow/ pink inspection form) issued by EPD and no non-compliance observed during site inspection. it is considered that the complaint was not related to the works under the Contract work. However, Chun Wo was reminded to fully implement the dust control measures such as increasing the frequency of water spraying as needed in particular during dusty activities. The ET will closely inspect the dust control measures implemented on site.</p>
--	--

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 21 February 2019

Photo Record



Photo 1

There was a vehicle site exit on Tai Wo Service Road East adjoined the concerned RCP. Wheel washing facilities including water jet was provided on the hard paved within the site.




Photo 2

Water spraying at site exit was provided regularly to suppress fugitive dust near the concern RCP. No dust and soil carrying by site vehicles to Tai Wo Service Road East were observed.



Photo 3

Stockpile of planting soil was observed within the site which partially covered by impervious sheet. As advised by Chun Wo, importation of planting soil was in progress and they will fully cover the stockpile with impervious sheet as dust control measures. The site condition was not dusty as majority of soil stockpiles were covered.



Photo 4

Road washing/ cleaning by water tanker was provided for Tai Wo Service Road East every day and and road sweeper was also deployed to maintain cleanliness of the roads. The route of water tanker covered the concerned RCP.



Photo 5

Chun Wo had promptly covered the soil stockpile by impervious sheet after importation of the planting soil.




Figure 1. Complaint Location



Fax Cover Sheet

To	Mr. Daniel Ho	Fax No	2638 7077
Company	Chun Wo Construction Limited		
cc			
From	Nicola Hon	Date	8 March 2019
Our Ref	TCS00694/13/300/F1989a	No of Pages	3 (Incl. cover sheet)
RE	Agreement No. CE 45/2008 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works Investigation Report for Environmental Complaint of Construction Noise from Construction Site at 8PM		

If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.

Dear all,

Enclosed please find the investigation report for the captioned for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059** or **Fax: 2959-6079**.

Yours Faithfully,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Null


Nicola Hon
Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c.	Ms. Clara U (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1133
	Mr. Alan Lee (ER of C3, AECOM)	Fax:	2171 3498
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)		By e-mail

Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires

Log No.	CE 45/2008 – 85
Received Date by ET	14 February 2019
Related Contract under Investigation	Contract 3
Complaint Details	EPD recently received a complaint about noise disturbance generated by the construction works at Kau Lung Hang at 8pm. The complainant alleged that 俊和九龍坑地盤於 1 月 28 日開工到 8 點
Location	Construction site of Contract 3 near Kau Lung Hang
Date of Complaint	28 January 2019
Environmental Aspect	Noise
Complainant	unknown
Complaint Route	Via EPD
Investigation Result	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A public complaint was received by EPD on 28 January 2019 about the noise disturbance generated by construction works at Kau Lung Hang at 8pm, claiming from Chun Wo's construction site (Contract 3). The complaint location is illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>. 2. As advised by Chun Wo, they granted a list of construction noise permits from EPD which valid on the complaint day including GW-RN0454-18, GW-RN0566-18, GW-RN0693-18, GW-RN0694-18, GW-RN0696-18, GW-RN0699-18, GW-RN0058-19 and GW-RN0067-19 for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment during specify Restricted Hours. In normal practice, Chun Wo will notify EPD a day in advance for any construction work to be carried out during Restricted Hours for specific CNP. 3. As confirmed by Chun Wo, there was no construction activity after 18:00 on 28 January 2019. EPD had also conducted surprise check on next day after the complaint and no non-compliance was observed. 4. In our investigation, there were no construction activities under Contract 3 during the concerned period, it is considered that the complaint is not valid to Contract 3. Nevertheless, Chun Wo was reminded to strictly follow the relevant requirements of the CNPs when carrying out the construction works in restricted hours, including the implementation of noise mitigation measures (i.e. use of acoustic enclosures for noisy PME), to reduce the impact of noise to nearby residents as far as possible.

Prepared By : Nicola Hon
Designation : Environmental Consultant
Signature : 
Date : 8 March 2019

Contract No. CV/2012/09
Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works - Contract 3



俊和建築工程有限公司
CHUN WO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING Co., LTD.




Figure 1. The Complaint Location