



Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long

Monthly EM&A Report for July 2018

August 2018

3/F Mapletree Bay Point
348 Kwun Tong Road
Kowloon
Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757
F +852 2827 1823
mottmac.hk

72-76/F Two International
Finance Centre,
8 Finance Street,
Central, Hong Kong

Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long

Monthly EM&A Report for July 2018

August 2018

Pursuant to Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E, this Monthly EM&A Report for July 2018 has been reviewed, certified by Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).

Certified by:



Brandon Wong
Environmental Team Leader (ETL)
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd.

Date

15 August 2018

Verified by:



David Yeung
Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)
Ramboll Hong Kong Limited

Date

15 August 2018

Contents

Executive summary	1
1 Introduction	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Project Organization	3
1.3 Environmental Status in the reporting period	3
1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements	4
2 Impact Monitoring Methodology	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Air Quality	6
2.3 Construction Noise	8
2.4 Water Quality	10
2.5 Ecology	12
2.6 Landscape and Visual	13
3 Monitoring Results	16
3.1 Impact Monitoring Schedule	16
3.2 Results of Impact Monitoring	16
4 Ecological Monitoring	23
4.1 Monitoring of Birds	23
4.2 Monitoring of Herpetofauna	23
4.3 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies	24
4.4 Monitoring of Mammals	24
4.5 Monitoring of Water Quality	24
4.6 Management Activities	24
4.7 Summary	25
5 Landscape and Visual	26
5.1 Site Inspections	26
5.2 Construction Phase Audit Summary	26
6 Environmental Site Inspection and Audit	28
6.1 Site Inspection	28
6.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	28
6.3 Status of Environmental Licences and Permits	28

6.4	Recommended Mitigation Measures	29
7	Report on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	30
7.1	Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	30
7.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	32
7.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	32
7.4	Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions	32
7.5	Follow-up Actions Taken	32
7.6	Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	32
8	Future Key Issues	33
8.1	Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month	33
8.2	Key Issues for the Coming Months	33
8.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	33
8.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	34
	Appendices	37
A.	Project Organization Chart	39
B.	Tentative Construction Programme	41
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	43
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual	45
E.	Calibration Certificates	51
F.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	53
G.	Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period	55
H.	Ecological Monitoring conducted	57
I.	Summary of Bird Surveys conducted	59
J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted	63

K. Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted	67
L. Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status	69
M. Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	75

Tables

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements	4
Table 1.2: Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month	5
Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	6
Table 2.2: Air Monitoring Stations	6
Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment	7
Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	9
Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Stations	9
Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment	9
Table 2.7: Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	10
Table 2.8: Water Quality Monitoring Stations	10
Table 2.9: Water Quality Monitoring Equipment	11
Table 2.10: Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples	11
Table 2.11: Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants	11
Table 2.12: Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)	13
Table 2.13: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist	14
Table 2.14: Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures	14
Table 2.15: Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures	15
Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	16
Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	17
Table 3.3: Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	18
Table 3.4: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results	19
Table 4.1: Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A Manual	25
Table 5.1: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary	26
Table 6.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations	28
Table 6.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits	29
Table 7.1: Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality	30
Table 7.2: Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	32
Table 8.1: Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting Month	34

Figures

[Figure 1.1 General Layout Plan of the Project Site](#)

[Figure 2.1 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations](#)

[Figure 2.2 Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations](#)

[Figure 2.3 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations](#)

[Figure 4.1 Survey Area and Transect Walked](#)

[Figure 4.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Ecological Monitoring](#)

Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. (“MMHK”) has been commissioned by the Contractor, Heng Shung Construction Co. Ltd., to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long. From August 2016, the Project Proponent, Profit Point Enterprises Limited, commissioned MMHK to continue the ET services.

This is the 99th EM&A report submitted under the Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E. This report summarises the findings on EM&A during the period from 1 to 31 July 2018.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP) and Noise level (as L_{eq}) in the reporting month.

During July 2018, a total of twenty-three Action Level exceedances were observed. Twelve Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3. Two Action Level exceedance of SS were recorded at MP4. Four Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP5. Five Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP6.

The summary of measured water quality is presented in **Section 3.2.3**.

Investigations have been carried out to identify the causes of the exceedances. From investigation, the Contractor has implemented water quality mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report. With external factors affecting the adjacent environments, such as pond fish culture activities, rainfall and natural variations in the open ditch, all the recorded exceedances of Water Quality were considered not due to the project construction works.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Site audits were carried out on 4, 11, 20 and 26 July 2018 to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 6 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in [Appendix L](#).

Record of Complaints

There was no record of complaints received in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major site works scheduled to be commissioned in the coming three months include site formation, foundation work and regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area including lowering of the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond). Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual, will be monitored.

Environmental mitigation measures will be implemented on site as recommended and weekly site audits will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

In March 2005, the Project Proponent, Profit Point Enterprises Limited, acquired the development site at Wo Shang Wai in Yuen Long. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was then carried out and approved under the EIA Ordinance (EIAO), and the Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008) for construction of the comprehensive development in Wo Shang Wai was first granted by EPD on 9 September 2008 and has been subsequently varied, with the current version (EP-311/2008/E) issued by EPD on 19 December 2017.

The Project involves the residential development and associated infrastructure and wetland restoration area and linear landscape area. The construction works under the Environmental Permit commenced on 12 May 2010. The site formation construction works of the Wetland Restoration Area (hereafter WRA) were completed on 15 November 2010 and the WRA was established by October 2012, within 30 months from the commencement of construction as stipulated in the EP. This indicated that planting works as scheduled in the approved Wetland Restoration and Creation Scheme (WRCS; Nov 2009) were complete, except along the western and southern boundary where the planting is affected by the existing site boundary and noise barrier, and for which a Variation to Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008/C) to defer planting at the location was approved. Consequently, EP (EP-311/2008/D) including specific mitigation measures to minimise certain identified noise impacts during the operation phase was approved. The current valid EP (EP-311/2008/E) comprises varied conditions for the implementation and maintenance of visual and landscape measures, and for the implementation of noise mitigation measures.

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. ("MMHK") has been commissioned by the Contractor, Heng Shung Construction Co. Ltd., to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long. From August 2016, the Project Proponent, Profit Point Enterprises Limited, commissioned MMHK to continue the ET services.

According to the EP Condition 4.5, the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within two weeks after the end of the reporting month. This report summarises the findings during the period from 1 to 31 July 2018.

1.2 Project Organization

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in [Appendix A](#).

1.3 Environmental Status in the reporting period

During the reporting month, construction works of the Project undertaken include:

- Removal of filling materials from site was in progress

- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including lowering of the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond)
- Foundation Work (provision of temporary site drainage)

The Construction Works Programme of the Project is provided in [Appendix B](#). The general layout plan of the Project site is shown in [Figure 1.1](#).

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1** below:

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	Once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	3 times every 6 days
Noise	Leq(30min), L90, L10 (dB(A))	NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	Weekly
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	MP1 to MP6	3 days per week
Ecology	Birds	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
	Dragonflies and Butterflies	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Once per month during Mar and Sep to Nov, and twice per month during Apr to Aug
	Herpetofauna	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Day-time: Once per month during Apr to Nov Night-time: Once per month during Mar to Aug
	Water quality of Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)	WRA	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of wet season and end of dry season) for laboratory testing
	Site Inspections	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
Landscape and Visual	Auditing of protection of existing trees, the transplanting of existing trees, the creation of new wetland, the planting of new trees and shrubs and other landscape and visual mitigation measures	CM1 to CM10 and OM1 to OM7 within the Project Area	Site inspections once every two weeks during construction phase; once every two months during operational phase

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality, noise and water quality are shown in [Appendix C](#).

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, noise, water quality and Landscape & Visual are shown in [Appendix D](#).

The monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Table 1.2** as follows.

Table 1.2: Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for July 2018

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
1 HKSAR Establishment Day	2 HKSAR Establishment Day observed	3 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	4 Bird *	5 Water	6	7 Water
8	9 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring Herpetofauna (day time)	10 Bird	11 Water Dragonfly & Butterfly * Landscape	12	13 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP	14
15	16 Water @	17 Bird Water Quality Monitoring	18 Water	19 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	20 Water *	21
22	23 Water	24 Bird	25 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring Herpetofauna (night time) Dragonfly & Butterfly	26 Landscape *	27 Water	28
29	30 Water Water Quality Monitoring	31 Bird 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring				

* Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)

@ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

For air quality, construction noise and water quality, ecology, landscape and visual monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration, etc., are detailed in this Chapter.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels monitoring are to be conducted during the construction phase. **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3 & ASR4	24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days
	1-hour TSP	3 times every six-days

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

The four air quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed air quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.2** and as shown in [Figure 2.1](#).

Table 2.2: Air Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations
ASR1	Guard house outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms*
ASR2A	At the rooftop of refuse collection point, which is located at the southwest of the project area
ASR3	At the commercial centre at Palm Springs, which is located at the south of the project area
ASR4	Outside works site entrance*

*Note: Relocation of ASR1 and ASR4 were from 5 June 2018 as the previous locations will be subject to upcoming construction works. All monitoring data at ASR1 and ASR4 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring locations.

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring is conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: GMWS-2310 Accu-vol). The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
24-hr TSP monitoring	
High Volume Sampler	GMWS 2310 Accu-vol
Calibrator	GMW 25
1-hr TSP monitoring	
Portable direct reading dust meter	AM510 SidePak Personal Aerosol Monitor

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

2.2.4.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

Installation

The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receiver. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of two metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of two metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ± 3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH was 40%.

Field Monitoring

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.

- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs + 1 hr, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a HOKLAS accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated at two-month intervals.
- Calibration records for HVSs are shown in [Appendix E](#).

2.2.4.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Set POWER to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet has been capped).
- Push the knob at MEASURE position.
- Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
- Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Push "START" button.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in [Appendix E](#).

2.3 Construction Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Following the requirements in the EM&A Manual for noise, noise monitoring has to be carried out during the construction phase. Continuous noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels $L_{eq(30 \text{ min})}$, L_{10} and L_{90} is undertaken once per every week.

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	$L_{eq(30min)}$, L_{90} , L_{10} (dB(A))	Once every week

2.3.2 Monitoring Locations

The four noise quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed noise quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.5** and as shown in [Figure 2.2](#).

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Type of measurement
NSR1	Noise monitoring equipment was set up near the boundary wall at Palm Springs.	Free-field
NSR3	The monitoring station was located next to the guard house at Palm Springs.	Facade
NSR5	Outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms*	Facade
NSR7	The monitoring station was located near the boundary wall of the house of Mai Po San Tsuen.	Free-field

*Note: Relocation of NSR5 was from 5 June 2018 as the previous location will be subject to upcoming construction works. All monitoring data at NSR5 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring location.

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter will be used for noise monitoring. It is a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52
Calibrator	Casella CEL-120/1

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Façade and free-field measurements were made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast

- time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 07:00 and 19:00)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in [Appendix E](#).

2.4 Water Quality

2.4.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A Manual for water quality, water quality parameters including Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, turbidity, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) have to be monitored at designated monitoring stations during construction of the Project. DO, temperature and pH were measured in-situ whereas SS and BOD were analysed in a laboratory. The measurements should be taken at all designated monitoring stations, three days per week. The interval between any two sets of monitoring was not less than 36 hours. **Table 2.7** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of water quality monitoring.

Table 2.7: Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
MP1, MP2, MP3, MP4, MP5 & MP6	DO, temperature, pH, SS, turbidity, BOD	Three days every week

2.4.2 Monitoring Locations

The six water quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual. Locations of the agreed water quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.8** and as shown in [Figure 2.3](#).

Table 2.8: Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Easting	Northing
MP1*	838 730.50	822 862.25
MP2*	838 933.26	823 247.41
MP3	839 107.17	823 596.84
MP4	839 286.14	823 638.55
MP5	839 134.35	823 722.99
MP6	839 063.02	823 842.25

*Note: The water quality impact monitoring at MP1 and MP2 have been terminated since July 2012 due to withdrawal of access right from land owner.

2.4.3 Monitoring Equipment

The Water Quality Monitoring Equipment and Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples are given in **Table 2.9** and **Table 2.10** respectively. Details of which are discussed as follows.

Table 2.9: Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Equipment/Serial Number
Conductivity, Dissolved oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature Measuring Meter, Turbidity	YSI ProDss	15M100005, 16H104233
Global Positioning System (GPS)	Garmin eTrex Vista	ENO 007

Table 2.10: Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples

Determinant, unit	Standard Method
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	In house method based on APHA 2540D; ALS Method Code: EA-025
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), mg/L	In house method based on APHA 5210B; ALS Method Code: EP-030

All in-situ monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Temperature, pH and Turbidity measuring equipment

A portable, weatherproof multiparameter instrument (YSI Professional Plus) was used in the monitoring. It can be capable for measuring dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH and turbidity simultaneously with the following limits:

- a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-50 mg/L and 0-500 % saturation;
- a temperature of -5 to 70 degrees Celsius;
- pH value of 0-14 with 0.1 as the base unit; and
- turbidity between 0-4000 NTU

Global Positioning System (GPS)

A hand-held GPS navigator (Garmin eTrex Vista) was used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling.

Suspended Solids and BOD Measurements (Sample Containers and Storage)

Water samples for SS analysis were stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), delivered to the laboratory, and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Analysis was carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

2.4.4 Detection Limit

The limits of detection for the in-situ and laboratory measurements are shown in **Table 2.11**.

Table 2.11: Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants

Determinant	Limit of Detection
Dissolved Oxygen	0.1 mg/L
Temperature	0.1 degree Celsius
pH	0.01 unit
Turbidity	0.1 NTU

Determinant	Limit of Detection
Suspended Solids	1 mg/L
BOD	2 mg/L

2.4.5 Monitoring Methodology

In situ Measurement

All pH meters, DO/temperature meters had been checked and calibrated prior to use. Standard buffer solution of at least two pH levels (either pH 4 and pH 7, or pH 7 and pH 10) had been used for calibration of the instrument before and after use.

DO meters had been calibrated by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes had been checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibrations for all DO meters had been carried out before measurement at each monitoring location. For the on-site calibration of field equipment, BS 127:1993, "Guide to field and on-site test methods for the analysis of waters" had been observed.

Measurements shall be at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above stream bed/pond bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station maybe omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

Replicates in-situ measurements and samples collected from each independent monitoring event are required for all parameters to ensure a robust statistically interpretable dataset.

Water Samples Preparation and Analysis

For collection of water sampling within the water courses, a 500ml clean plastic beaker was used. After collection, the water samples were stored in high-density polythene bottles. The sample container was rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample was then transferred to the container, labelled with a unique sample ID and sealed with a screw cap. The water samples were stored in a cool box maintained at 4 °C. The water samples were then delivered to a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS) on the same day for analysis.

The testing methods of testing parameters as recommended by EIA or required by EPD, with the QA/QC results are in accordance with the requirement of HOKLAS or international accredited scheme.

The calibration certificates for equipment used for in-situ monitoring of water quality are attached in [Appendix E](#).

2.5 Ecology

2.5.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Target species and certain other fauna will be monitored within the Project Area and Assessment Area during the wetland and residential construction phase. This will be important to ensure that any unexpected events or impacts either on- or off-site are quickly identified so that remedial action can be taken. The groups to be monitored and frequency of monitoring are shown in **Table 2.12**.

Table 2.12: Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)

Species / Parameter	Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring
Birds	Weekly (including Assessment Area).
Dragonflies and Butterflies	Once per month during March and September to November; and twice per month during April to August.
Herpetofauna	Day-time: Once per month during April to November; and Night-time: Once per month during March to August
Water Quality	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of the wet season and end of the dry season) for laboratory testing.
Site Inspections	Weekly.

2.5.2 Monitoring Locations and Methodology

Ecological monitoring locations during construction phase are shown in [Figure 4.1](#) and the methodology for ecological monitoring is detailed in Section 4.

2.6 Landscape and Visual

2.6.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

All measures undertaken by both the Contractor and the specialist Landscape Sub-Contractor during the construction phase and first year of the operational phase shall be audited by a Registered Landscape Architect, as a member of the ET, on a regular basis to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. Site inspections should be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period and once every two months during the operational phase.

The broad scope of audit is detailed below but should also be undertaken with reference to the more specific checklist provided in **Table 2.13** below. Operational phase auditing will be restricted to the last 12 months of the establishment works of the landscaping proposals and thus only the items below concerning this period are relevant to the operational phase.

- The extent of the agreed works area should be regularly checked during the construction phase. Any trespass by the Contractor outside the limit of the works, including any damage to existing trees and woodland shall be noted;
- The progress of the engineering works should be regularly reviewed on site to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken;
- All existing vegetation, streams and other features within the study area which are not directly affected by the works are retained and protected;
- The methods of protecting existing vegetation proposed by the Contractor are acceptable and enforced;
- Preparation, lifting transport and re-planting operations for any transplanted trees;
- The layout, design and construction of buildings conforms to requirements specified in the EIA report;
- All landscaping works are carried out in accordance with the EIA recommendations and with specifications;
- The planting of new trees, shrubs, groundcover, climbers, grasses and other plants, together with the replanting of any transplanted trees are carried out properly and within the right season;

- All necessary horticultural operations and replacement planting are undertaken throughout the Establishment Period to ensure the healthy establishment and growth of both transplanted trees and all newly establishment plants.

Table 2.13: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist

Area of Works	Items to be Monitored
Works Area	Check the extent of the Works to ensure that the Works Area is not exceeded
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be retained, erection of physical protection (e.g. fencing), monitoring against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Streams	Ensure no run-off into existing streams
Clearance of existing vegetation	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be cleared, checking of extent of works to minimize damage, monitoring of adjacent areas against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Transplanting of trees	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be transplanted, monitoring of extent of pruning / lifting works to minimize damage, timing of operations implementation of all stages of preparatory and translocation works, and maintenance of transplanted vegetation, etc.
Topsoil stripping	Ensuring existing topsoil is stripped and stored under recognized good practice and is hydroseeded and regularly turned to prevent anaerobic conditions
New buildings	Ensure that building finishes accord with mitigation proposals with regard to colour and albedo.
Boundaries	Ensuring hoarding are erected as required
Noise Barrier	Ensure noise barrier design accords with the mitigation proposals with regard to location, materials and finishes.
Night-time lighting	Ensuring night-time lighting is directional, hooded and shielded away from VSRs
Plant supply	Monitoring of operations relating to the supply of specialist plant material (including the collecting, germination and growth of plants from seed) to ensure that plants will be available in time to be used within the construction works.
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	Check that wetland, and hard / soft landscape designs conform to intent of mitigation measures and agreed designs
Soiling, planting, etc.	Monitoring of implementation and maintenance of soiling and planting works against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Establishment Works	Monitoring of implementation of maintenance operation during Establishment Period

2.6.2 Monitoring Locations

The monitoring locations should check against the mitigation measures proposed under the approved Environmental Impact Assessment, which are summarised as follows in **Table 2.14** and **Table 2.15**:

Table 2.14: Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures

ID No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures
CM1	The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.
CM2	Screening of construction works by hoardings/noise barriers around Works area in visually unobtrusive colours, to screen Works.
CM3	Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.
CM4	Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.
CM5	Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).
CM6	Advance screen planting to noise barriers.
CM7	Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.

ID No. Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

CM8	Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to Project Area.
CM9	Protection of existing trees on boundary of project area shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).
CM10	Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.

Table 2.15: Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures**ID No. Landscape Mitigation Measures**

OM1	Compensatory Tree Planting for all felled trees shall be provided to the satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006.
OM2	A continuous belt of screen planting along southern perimeter of project area with fast growing tree species. At least 450 trees capable of reaching a height > 10m within 10 years should be planted. Planting of the belt of trees shall be carried out as advance works ahead of other site formation and building works.
OM3	Maximise soft landscape and amenity water bodies in residential areas of the development. Approximately 750 trees (of Heavy Standard size) should be planted. Where space permits, roadside berms should be created. Street trees should be of species that reach a mature height of no less than 15m.
OM4	Maximise freshwater habitat wetland creation consistent with achieving other parameters. Minimum 4.74 ha to be provided. Wetlands must have natural edge profiles with >1m wide emergent zone. No access to the wetland by residents and all wetlands must be screened from residential development by a continuous tree screen at interface with residential development or earth mounding such that disturbance is minimised. Implementation of the wetland shall be carried out as advance works.
OM5	Use appropriate (visually unobtrusive and non-reflective) building materials and colours in built structures.
OM6	During detailed design, refine building layout to create a min. 10m wide gap between buildings north of Wo Shang Wai pond and also two min. 10m wide gaps in the row of buildings adjacent to Royal Palms.
OM7	Streetscape elements (e.g. paving, signage, street furniture, lighting etc.) shall be sensitively designed in a manner that responds to the local context and minimises potential negative landscape and visual impacts. Lighting units should be directional and minimise unnecessary light spill.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact monitoring for air quality (dust), noise and water quality due to the construction work were undertaken during the reporting month in compliance with the EM&A manual in the reporting period. Regular site inspections were carried out on 4, 11, 20 and 26 July 2018 during the reporting month to assess the compliance with environmental requirements.

3.2 Results of Impact Monitoring

3.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in [Appendix F](#). The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in [Appendix G](#).

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
		1 st Result	2 nd Result	3 rd Result			
ASR1							
03-Jul-18	08:26	29	27	28	26-47	378	500
09-Jul-18	13:19	31	26	27			
13-Jul-18	08:44	47	28	38			
19-Jul-18	13:01	28	26	38			
25-Jul-18	08:52	30	32	35			
31-Jul-18	13:02	39	35	32			
ASR2A							
03-Jul-18	13:21	22	21	25	21-48	357	500
09-Jul-18	08:31	28	31	35			
13-Jul-18	13:21	46	48	29			
19-Jul-18	08:21	37	29	36			
25-Jul-18	13:17	34	36	38			
31-Jul-18	08:41	43	47	38			
ASR3							
03-Jul-18	13:02	22	23	25	22-48	358	500
09-Jul-18	08:18	37	38	34			
13-Jul-18	13:01	48	46	46			
19-Jul-18	08:09	35	36	32			
25-Jul-18	13:03	38	35	36			
31-Jul-18	08:32	37	32	35			

Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
		1 st Result	2 nd Result	3 rd Result			
ASR4							
03-Jul-18	08:41	26	29	20	20-47	372	500
09-Jul-18	13:02	37	34	32			
13-Jul-18	08:32	26	29	47			
19-Jul-18	13:23	37	40	39			
25-Jul-18	08:41	38	40	42			
31-Jul-18	13:18	47	45	42			

3.2.1.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in [Appendix F](#). The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in [Appendix G](#).

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Monitoring Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
ASR1				
03-Jul-18	31	26-47	226	260
09-Jul-18	41			
13-Jul-18	46			
19-Jul-18	33			
25-Jul-18	26			
31-Jul-18	47			
ASR2A				
03-Jul-18	37	26-54	213	260
09-Jul-18	41			
13-Jul-18	35			
19-Jul-18	37			
25-Jul-18	26			
31-Jul-18	54			
ASR3				
03-Jul-18	35	19-40	205	260
09-Jul-18	24			
13-Jul-18	38			
19-Jul-18	19			
25-Jul-18	35			
31-Jul-18	40			
ASR4				
03-Jul-18	32	32-42	237	260
09-Jul-18	40			
13-Jul-18	38			
19-Jul-18	38			
25-Jul-18	42			
31-Jul-18	42			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.2.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in [Appendix F](#). The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in [Appendix G](#).

Table 3.3: Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Start Time	Mean and Range of Noise Levels, dB(A)			Limit Level for L_{eq} (dB(A))
		L_{eq}	L_{10}	L_{90}	
NSR1					
03-Jul-18	13:31	48	49	47	
09-Jul-18	08:38	55	56	54	
19-Jul-18	08:33	49	50	48	75
25-Jul-18	13:23	49	52	47	
31-Jul-18	08:53	53	55	50	
NSR3					
03-Jul-18	14:18	49	49	48	
09-Jul-18	09:26	57	59	57	
19-Jul-18	09:28	51	53	49	75
25-Jul-18	14:07	51	52	49	
31-Jul-18	09:42	56	56	54	
NSR5					
03-Jul-18	09:32	49	50	48	
09-Jul-18	13:21	55	58	54	
19-Jul-18	14:26	48	51	47	75
25-Jul-18	09:37	46	48	44	
31-Jul-18	14:01	47	48	46	
NSR7					
03-Jul-18	08:46	68	70	67	
09-Jul-18	14:09	67	68	64	
19-Jul-18	13:31	68	69	66	75
25-Jul-18	08:52	67	69	64	
31-Jul-18	13:21	68	69	67	

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting period.

3.2.3 Water Quality Monitoring

The water quality monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.4**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in [Appendix F](#). The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in [Appendix G](#).

Table 3.4: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
MP3							
03/07/2018	30.2	7.7	7.1	97.8	41.2	5	41.5
05/07/2018	31.0	7.8	7.3	102.6	17.4	2	15
07/07/2018	29.9	7.6	7.3	98.4	31.3	6.5	34.5
09/07/2018	30.4	7.7	7.2	96.9	34.3	8	30
11/07/2018	30.8	7.8	7.1	95.6	42.0	6.5	39.5
13/07/2018	28.4	7.7	7.5	97.5	26.4	6.5	28.5
16/07/2018	30.2	7.6	7.2	96.5	25.0	6.5	22.5
18/07/2018	29.3	7.6	7.3	96.3	10.4	5	10
20/07/2018	31.3	7.5	7.0	102.0	40.8	6	42
23/07/2018	31.1	7.6	7.1	104.0	20.5	10	20.5
25/07/2018	31.7	7.7	7.0	100.6	29.5	3.75	20.5
27/07/2018	33.5	7.7	7.3	105.5	25.2	6.5	28
30/07/2018	34.1	7.7	7.0	100.1	21.7	13	26
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	-	>64	-	>65
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	-	>67	-	>66
MP4							
03/07/2018	29.9	7.1	6.3	80.8	44.4	3	44.5
05/07/2018	30.7	7.2	6.0	79.1	51.0	<2	43.5
07/07/2018	29.6	7.1	6.0	76.8	34.7	8	52
09/07/2018	30.1	7.3	6.1	80.1	52.1	<2	41.5
11/07/2018	30.3	7.4	6.3	85.2	46.6	4.5	38
13/07/2018	27.9	7.1	7.1	91.2	47.1	2.5	45
16/07/2018	29.7	7.2	6.4	84.0	52.0	3	39
18/07/2018	28.8	7.1	6.6	86.3	31.2	2	30.5
20/07/2018	31.0	7.0	6.8	91.7	50.8	3	50.5
23/07/2018	30.8	7.2	6.1	81.1	45.5	4	45
25/07/2018	31.4	7.3	6.3	85.9	53.5	3	42.5
27/07/2018	33.2	7.4	5.9	82.6	50.4	4	48
30/07/2018	33.8	7.2	6.3	88.7	37.3	6	34
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<3.91	-	>60	-	>50
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.82	-	>64	-	>53
MP5							
03/07/2018	31.3	7.4	6.0	78.6	34.0	4	34
05/07/2018	32.1	7.4	5.8	79.9	33.7	<2	26
07/07/2018	31.0	7.4	5.9	76.0	37.5	7	37
09/07/2018	31.5	7.6	6.3	82.7	54.4	5	48
11/07/2018	31.7	7.6	6.8	91.7	47.0	4	41.5
13/07/2018	29.3	7.4	6.4	83.1	51.3	3	49
16/07/2018	31.1	7.4	6.2	83.6	59.0	5	47
18/07/2018	30.2	7.5	6.6	87.1	24.3	4	25.5
20/07/2018	32.4	7.4	6.4	88.7	45.4	4.5	44.5

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
23/07/2018	32.2	7.5	6.6	91.4	35.1	7	34
25/07/2018	32.8	7.6	6.5	90.3	40.5	3.5	37
27/07/2018	34.6	7.6	5.8	84.3	42.0	5	35
30/07/2018	35.2	7.5	6.0	87.2	30.7	8	28
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.13	-	>81	-	>66
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.87	-	>84	-	>69
MP6							
03/07/2018	31.4	7.4	6.2	81.5	29.0	4	29
05/07/2018	32.2	7.4	5.8	78.9	34.9	<2	30.5
07/07/2018	31.1	7.4	6.5	86.4	40.7	10.5	41
09/07/2018	31.6	7.6	6.0	80.2	55.2	5.5	48
11/07/2018	31.8	7.6	6.3	85.7	46.0	4.5	41.5
13/07/2018	29.4	7.6	6.2	81.0	53.0	3.5	44.5
16/07/2018	31.2	7.4	6.7	91.7	57.5	5	44.5
18/07/2018	30.3	7.5	6.2	82.0	24.5	3.5	26.5
20/07/2018	32.5	7.4	6.1	83.8	48.4	4	48
23/07/2018	32.3	7.5	6.3	88.0	39.3	7	39
25/07/2018	32.9	7.6	6.1	84.9	41.8	5	41
27/07/2018	34.7	7.6	6.0	86.0	38.8	5	35.5
30/07/2018	35.3	7.5	6.2	90.2	29.4	8	30.5
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.61	-	>94	-	>75
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<4.52	-	>96	-	>75

Notes:

- (1) "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).
- (2) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.
- (3) Values **Underlined and in Bold** indicate Limit Level exceedance.

3.2.3.1 Exceedance Investigation and Findings

During July 2018, a total of twenty-three Action Level exceedances were observed. Twelve Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3. Two Action Level exceedance of SS were recorded at MP4. Four Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP5. Five Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP6.

The Event and Action Plan in [Appendix D](#) was followed under these cases of exceedances.

From investigation, removal of filling materials from site, foundation work (provision of temporary site drainage) and regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including lowering of the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond) were undertaken.

Based on the recent site observations carried out by ET, the Contractor implemented the following water quality mitigation measures as recommended in EIA report which include:

- Temporary drainage channels were provided to collect the surface runoff generated within the project site; and
- Installation of barrier at the drainage channels to intercept site runoff and pump the wastewater to the sedimentation tanks as primary treatment prior to treatment by wastewater treatment facilities (AquaSed), which will ensure all site runoff is treated to satisfactory quality before discharging into the northern ditches.

Exceedances of pH at MP3

Action Level exceedances of pH were observed on 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 23, 25, 27 and 30 July 2018 at MP3.

As understood, the fish pond near the site (represented by MP3) is separated from the open ditch by the pond bund (since commencement of construction phase EM&A monitoring in May 2010) and from the construction site by the WRA (since it was completed in November 2010). No direct discharge from the project site to the fish pond was observed.

Mitigation measures for water quality protection, including the provision of wastewater treatment facilities (including sedimentation tank and AquaSed) and proper drainage system that separates from the WRA, have been implemented. No adverse impact on the fish pond near the site was observed, including on those days with exceedance of water quality parameters.

According to the results of baseline water quality monitoring conducted prior to the commencement of construction works, the pH recorded at MP3 ranged from 7.7 to 8.6. The recorded pH exceedances (7.6 to 7.8) are therefore considered to be very close to / within the range of natural variations at this location. It is also noted from AFCD's Environmental Management of Pond Fish Culture (EMFPC) guidelines from its Series of Good Aquaculture Practice that the pH level of fishpond water should be between 6 and 8.5. The recorded values are well within the guideline recommendations.

It is concluded that the exceedances of pH at MP3 were possibly due to natural variations and external factors such as pond fish culture activities in the fish pond represented by MP3, which are not related to project construction activities.

Exceedances of SS at MP4

Exceedance of the Limit Level of SS were observed on 7 and 20 July 2018 at MP4.

From the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) records, 5-7 mm of rain was recorded in the vicinity of the project site on the days of SS exceedance (7 and 20 July 2018); rain was also recorded on preceding few days, i.e. on 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 July 2018. The cumulative rainfall may have caused surface runoff from all sources near the open ditch. It is believed that the runoff increased the turbulence and thus resulted in higher SS inside the ditch water on the days of SS exceedance.

Also, on the days of SS exceedance, a slightly muddy appearance of the water body was observed at MP4. Furthermore, it is noted that higher SS levels were recorded at MP5 and MP6 on or around the same days but did not exceed the respective Action Levels.

It is possible that this SS exceedance at MP4 was due to localised natural variations.

Exceedance of pH at MP5 and MP6

Exceedances of the Action Level of pH were observed on 9, 11, 25 and 27 July 2018 at MP5, and 9, 11, 13, 25 and 27 July at MP6.

On the days of pH exceedance at MP5 and MP6, the pH levels were recorded as 7.6. As noted above, the open ditch (represented by MP4, MP5 and MP6) is separated from the fish pond near the site (represented by MP3) by the pond bund. No direct discharge from the project site to the open ditch was carried out. Hence, it is possible that these pH levels were due to natural variations affecting the vicinity in general.

Furthermore, the site effluent was effectively treated by the AquaSed system and discharged from the site at a low, controlled rate during the reporting month.

Therefore, it is stipulated that the pH exceedances recorded at MP5 and MP6 were due to natural variations.

Conclusion

As a result, the abovementioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the construction works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

4 Ecological Monitoring

4.1 Monitoring of Birds

This report documents surveys conducted in the Survey Area between 1 and 31 July 2018. The Wetland Restoration Area (WRA) is also surveyed as the area is accessible and site formation works for WRA has been completed. The updated survey transect is provided in [Figure 4.1](#). Dates and ecological surveys conducted during this period are summarised in [Appendix H](#).

Monitoring was undertaken following the survey methodology in the EM&A Manual. A transect was followed in the bird surveys (see [Figure 4.1](#)). All bird species were identified to species and all bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland dependent were enumerated and recorded to the habitats in which they were observed. Flying birds were not recorded unless they were foraging and/or associated with the habitat (such as swifts). Further, notable bird observations during other surveys were also recorded.

Bird surveys were conducted on a weekly basis. A total of 26 bird species was recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA), 13 of which were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence. Within the WRA, 33 bird species were recorded, 17 of which were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence including two of the target species¹ (i.e. Little Egret and Chinese Pond Heron). The WRA continues to attract a number of species of conservation importance, including Little Grebe, *Tachybaptus ruficollis*, Great Egret, *Ardea alba*, Yellow Bittern, *Ixobrychus sinensis*, Black-crowned Night Heron, *Nycticorax nycticorax*, Intermediate Egret, *Egretta intermedia*, Black Kite, *Milvus migrans*, Pied Kingfisher, *Ceryle rudis*, White-throated Kingfisher, *Halcyon smyrnensis*, Red-billed Starling, *Spodiopsar sericeus* and Collared Crow, *Corvus torquatus*. Little Grebe, Yellow Bittern, Black-crowned Night Heron, Pied Kingfisher, White-throated Kingfisher and Collared Crow are listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Local Concern” in 2002. Great Egret is listed by Fellowes *et al.* as “Potential Regional Concern” in 2002. Intermediate Egret and Black Kite is listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Regional Concern” in 2002. Red-billed Starling is listed as Regional Concern (Wetland Restoration Plan, Mott, 2008). Collared Crow is also listed as “near threatened” species on the IUCN red list.

In addition to wetland-dependence birds, the WRA also attracts a number of terrestrial birds including Greater Coucal, *Centropus sinensis* which is listed as vulnerable (VU) in the China Red Data Book and it is protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection (category II). Survey findings indicate that the WRA not only provides important habitat for wetland-dependence birds but also the terrestrial birds.

A summary of survey data is provided in [Appendix I](#).

4.2 Monitoring of Herpetofauna

One day-time and one night-time herpetofauna survey was scheduled in the reporting month. Further, notable herpetofauna observations during other surveys were also recorded.

¹ The target species are: Little Egret, *Egretta garzetta*, Eastern Cattle Egret, *Bubulcus coromandus* (formerly known as Cattle Egret, *Bubulcus ibis*) and Chinese Pond Heron, *Ardeola bacchus*.

No amphibian species nor reptile species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during the survey period. One amphibian species and no reptile species were recorded within the WRA during the survey period.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in [Appendix J](#).

4.3 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies

Odonates and butterflies surveys were conducted twice in the survey period according to the EM&A Manual. Further, notable odonate and butterfly observations during other surveys were also recorded.

Five odonates species and five butterfly species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during the survey period. Within the WRA, eight odonates species were recorded while six butterfly species were recorded during the survey period.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in [Appendix J](#).

4.4 Monitoring of Mammals

Monitoring of mammals was conducted concurrently with other surveys.

No mammal species was recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) nor within the WRA during the regular survey within the survey period. One unidentified bat species and Leopard Cat, *Prionailurus bengalensis*, were observed within the WRA during the outside survey.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in [Appendix J](#).

4.5 Monitoring of Water Quality

No water quality related issue was observed during the survey period.

Monitoring data is presented in [Appendix K](#). Locations for the monitoring of water quality for the ecological monitoring are shown in [Figure 4.2](#).

4.6 Management Activities

4.6.1 Vegetation Management

Removal of exotic vegetation in all cells was undertaken; these removals included but were not limited to *Ipomoea* sp., *Mikania* sp., *Mimosa* sp., *Pennisetum* sp. and *Typha* sp.

Vegetation management activities undertaken at the site primarily involved watering of plants, weeding and grass cutting.

4.6.2 Wildlife Management

Removal of Golden Apple Snail was undertaken on an “as-seen” basis.

All sighted Red Fire Ant nests were treated with approved pesticide.

Mitigation actions have been taken in the WRA during the survey period to increase the WRA utilization by birds, including:

1. Controlling the vegetation at Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4.
2. Controlling the water level at Cell 3 and Cell 4 after the rain in early of July.

4.7 Summary

Ecological monitoring during July 2018 was carried out according to the survey methodology and frequency outlined in the EM&A Manual.

Summary of survey findings is listed in **Table 4.1** below:

Table 4.1: Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A Manual

Species	Survey Area (excluding WRA)	WRA
Birds (total)	26	33
Birds (of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence)	13	17
Amphibians	0	1
Reptiles	0	0
Mammals	0	2
Odonates	5	8
Butterflies	5	6

Survey findings indicate that the ponds within the Survey Area supported numbers and diversity of wetland-dependent birds typical of fish pond areas. The WRA continues to attract wetland dependent fauna and serves as a buffer between the residential portion and the fishponds in the north.

5 Landscape and Visual

5.1 Site Inspections

The EM&A programme for Landscape and Visual impact due to the construction commenced in June 2010 and continued during the reporting period. Site inspections on Landscape and Visual impact were carried out on 11 and 26 July 2018 to confirm the implementation of mitigation measures at the construction stage.

The Event and Action Plan for Landscape & Visual is shown in [Appendix D](#).

5.2 Construction Phase Audit Summary

The audit was undertaken with references to the specific checklists provided in **Table 2.13 – Table 2.15** and audit results are summarized below in **Table 5.1**. Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in [Appendix M](#).

Table 5.1: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Works Area	The boundaries of the works area have been established on site in accordance with the contract documents and approved plans (EP), and the limit of current heavy construction activity is now confined to within the site hoardings (North side of the site / access road) and the noise barriers (other sides of the site). Minor works such as horticultural maintenance of the planting and transplanted trees, and boundary fence repair was proceeding along the Royal Palms – Palm Springs boundary. (Appendix M Photo 1 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers) No construction works were observed to have exceeded the site boundaries. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010.
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Trees retained within the site along the northeast boundary, beside wetland restoration area, have been identified and protected by temporary protective fencing.
Streams	The works site is partly encircled by a berm / perimeter channel to intercept surface water and prevent it from washing off into any of the neighbouring sites. Surface water is collected within the site in a temporary drainage channel. Gravels beds and barriers have been installed to filter site runoff, sedimentation ponds have been provided to enable primary treatment before discharge to mains drains.
Clearance of existing vegetation	Site clearance was completed prior to the commencement of construction.
Transplanting of trees	Tree transplanting has been completed, with the trees relocated to various points within the planting strip along the southern boundary of the site, outside the noise barrier. The trees continue to re-establish well.
Topsoil stripping	Suitable pond bund and soil material which had been excavated and stockpiled from the original site, has now been re-used within the landscape works. Dust suppression measures are active along all internal site access tracks.
New buildings	No new permanent buildings have yet been constructed on site.
Boundaries	Hoardings have been erected along most of the boundaries of the site. Installation of new screen fence between the future residential sites and the constructed wetland restoration areas is complete. Fence has been painted green to match with the surrounding vegetated environment. (Appendix M Photo 1 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers)
Noise Barrier	Noise barriers have been installed along the southern and western boundaries of the site in accordance with the contract requirements. Their design complies with the mitigation requirements, with upper 6 to 7m portion of the barrier being made from a translucent material with green tinted (to match with the environment). Supporting GMS structure, likewise, has been painted green. (Appendix M Photo 3 – Table 2.14 CM6 refers).
Night-time lighting	No night-time works were reported to have been carried out during the monitoring period.

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	<p>Continuous belt of screen planting along the southern and western boundaries of the site has been completed. The formation, soiling and water control structures of the wetland restoration area have been completed. (Appendix M Photo 3 – Table 2.14 CM6 refers)</p> <p>The wetland areas are being established, with the ponds are being seasonally filled with rain water. Planting of areas around the WRA cells is complete. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010. (Appendix M Photo 2 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers)</p>
Soiling, etc.	<p>The soiling for the advance planting strip has been completed. The soil placement and grading for each of the wetland restoration areas has been completed.</p>
Plant supply	<p>The plant material used in the Advance Planting Strip and in the WRA are all commonly available species and came from commercial sources.</p> <p>Transplanted reeds (<i>Phragmites australis</i>) at the wetland habitat came from the temporary holding nursery onsite.</p>
Planting	<p>The tree species are all from the approved list.</p> <p>Some of the trees that were identified as dead in the previous month or having defects (i.e. broken scaffold, severe bark cracks, etc.) still need to be replaced with new specimens. Some of the recently planted trees are still in poor condition. These trees are under close monitoring. If the condition of these trees continues to deteriorate, tree replacement will be required.</p> <p>Seedling trees and shrubs, have been established at the margins of the wetland cells. Some additional fill-in planting has been undertaken. Some invasive vegetation has been found during site inspection, removal of this species should be undertaken on a regular basis.</p>
Establishment Works	<p>The advance planting, the compensatory planting and transplanted trees are generally being maintained by the landscape sub-contractor in accordance with the specification to ensure that the contract requirements are met, although treatment of damaged / defective trees needs urgent attention.</p> <p>Some trees are in poor health condition. Close monitoring for those trees are recommended.</p> <p>Regular weeding for over-grown shrub is recommended.</p> <p>Undesired invasive species, such as <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>, are found behind screen noise barrier. Removal of this species should be undertaken immediately.</p> <p>Removal of termite by treatment and keep close monitoring on the tree is recommended.</p> <p>Removal of dead branches and broken branches on the tree is recommended.</p> <p>Removal of the redundant bamboo staking on several trees is recommended for future structural development of these trees.</p> <p>Termite infestation is observed on several trees, proper treatment to remove the termite tracks should be undertaken immediately.</p> <p>Termite nests are observed in planting area between tree no. 368 and noise barrier. Termiticide should be applied immediately to eradicate all termite nests.</p> <p>Cables on several trees are found too tight. Regular adjustment of the cable wires and monitoring is recommended.</p> <p>Removal of extensive growth of weeds and invasive climbers in the space behind screen noise barrier needs to be undertaken on a monthly basis as they may inhibit the advance planting.</p> <p>Regular horticultural maintenance (grass cutting, weeding, watering etc.) in the shrubs and tree seedling areas around the WRA cells is being undertaken.</p> <p>The growth of shrubs / seedlings on the north side of WRA remains fair, with some of the shrub planting requiring pruning to avoid obstruction to the access path.</p>

6 Environmental Site Inspection and Audit

6.1 Site Inspection

The ET had carried out construction phase weekly site inspections on 4, 11, 20 and 26 July 2018. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary. The key observations from site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations

Key Observations	ET Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
General refuse was observed accumulating on ground. (4 July 2018)	The Contractor should provide sufficient waste skip for workers and clean up the area as soon as possible.	Agreed and followed up	11 July 2018
The drip tray for chemicals under wastewater treatment facility was damaged. (4 July 2018)	The Contractor should ensure provision of proper drip tray for oil / chemical containers onsite.	Agreed and action to be taken	On-going
The chemical waste containers inside the chemical waste storage area were observed without proper chemical waste labels. (11 July 2018)	The Contractor should ensure provision of chemical waste label for all chemical waste containers stored inside the chemical waste storage area in accordance with the COP.	Agreed and followed up	20 July 2018

6.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting was carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Contractor, 10.8 tonnes of inert C&D material (i.e. broken concrete/ big boulders) were generated on site and sent to a sorting facility for recycling into rockfill. No metals were generated and collected by registered recycling collector. No paper/cardboard packing and no plastics were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No chemical waste was generated and collected by licensed chemical waste collector. No other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) were generated on site and disposed of at public landfill facility.

The Contractor is advised to maintain on site waste sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycling of C&D wastes, whenever these are generated.

6.3 Status of Environmental Licences and Permits

The environmental permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 6.2**.

Table 6.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits

Statutory Reference	Description	Permit/Reference No.	Status
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-311/2008/E	Valid
APCO	Notification of Construction Work under APCO	316688 (27 Apr 2010)	Valid
WPCO	Discharge Licence	WT00022647-2015 (8 Oct 2015)	Valid
WDO	Registration as Chemical Waste Producer	WPN0000-542-H3083-04	Valid
WDO	Bill Account for Disposal	700945423	Valid

Legend: EIAO – Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance; APCO – Air Pollution Control Ordinance; WPCO – Water Pollution Control Ordinance; WDO – Waste Disposal Ordinance

6.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in [Appendix L](#). In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site audits.

Air Quality

- Dark smoke emission from equipment/plant should be avoided.

Water Quality

- Site effluent should be discharged in accordance with discharge licence.
- The site should be confined and properly maintained to avoid silt runoff.

Waste Management

- The chemical waste storage area should be clearly labelled.
- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes.

7 Report on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

7.1 Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

During July 2018, a total of twenty-three Action Level exceedances were observed. Twelve Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3. Two Action Level exceedance of SS were recorded at MP4. Four Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP5. Five Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP6.

A summary is presented in **Table 7.1** below.

Table 7.1: Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality

Monitoring Date	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
MP3				
03/07/2018	7.7	7.1	41.2	41.5
05/07/2018	7.8	7.3	17.4	15
07/07/2018	7.6	7.3	31.3	34.5
09/07/2018	7.7	7.2	34.3	30
11/07/2018	7.8	7.1	42.0	39.5
13/07/2018	7.7	7.5	26.4	28.5
16/07/2018	7.6	7.2	25.0	22.5
18/07/2018	7.6	7.3	10.4	10
20/07/2018	7.5	7.0	40.8	42
23/07/2018	7.6	7.1	20.5	20.5
25/07/2018	7.7	7.0	29.5	20.5
27/07/2018	7.7	7.3	25.2	28
30/07/2018	7.7	7.0	21.7	26
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	>64	>65
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	>67	>66
MP4				
03/07/2018	7.1	6.3	44.4	44.5
05/07/2018	7.2	6.0	51.0	43.5
07/07/2018	7.1	6.0	34.7	52
09/07/2018	7.3	6.1	52.1	41.5
11/07/2018	7.4	6.3	46.6	38
13/07/2018	7.1	7.1	47.1	45
16/07/2018	7.2	6.4	52.0	39
18/07/2018	7.1	6.6	31.2	30.5
20/07/2018	7.0	6.8	50.8	50.5

Monitoring Date	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
23/07/2018	7.2	6.1	45.5	45
25/07/2018	7.3	6.3	53.5	42.5
27/07/2018	7.4	5.9	50.4	48
30/07/2018	7.2	6.3	37.3	34
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<3.91	>60	>50
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.82	>64	>53

MP5

03/07/2018	7.4	6.0	34.0	34
05/07/2018	7.4	5.8	33.7	26
07/07/2018	7.4	5.9	37.5	37
09/07/2018	7.6	6.3	54.4	48
11/07/2018	7.6	6.8	47.0	41.5
13/07/2018	7.4	6.4	51.3	49
16/07/2018	7.4	6.2	59.0	47
18/07/2018	7.5	6.6	24.3	25.5
20/07/2018	7.4	6.4	45.4	44.5
23/07/2018	7.5	6.6	35.1	34
25/07/2018	7.6	6.5	40.5	37
27/07/2018	7.6	5.8	42.0	35
30/07/2018	7.5	6.0	30.7	28
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.13	>81	>66
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.87	>84	>69

MP6

03/07/2018	7.4	6.2	29.0	29
05/07/2018	7.4	5.8	34.9	30.5
07/07/2018	7.4	6.5	40.7	41
09/07/2018	7.6	6.0	55.2	48
11/07/2018	7.6	6.3	46.0	41.5
13/07/2018	7.6	6.2	53.0	44.5
16/07/2018	7.4	6.7	57.5	44.5
18/07/2018	7.5	6.2	24.5	26.5
20/07/2018	7.4	6.1	48.4	48
23/07/2018	7.5	6.3	39.3	39
25/07/2018	7.6	6.1	41.8	41
27/07/2018	7.6	6.0	38.8	35.5
30/07/2018	7.5	6.2	29.4	30.5
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.61	>94	>75
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<4.52	>96	>75

Notes:

- (1) "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).
- (2) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.
- (3) Values **Underlined and in Bold** indicate Limit Level exceedance.

7.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

No environmental complaint was received during the reporting month.

7.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecution were received the reporting month.

7.4 Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Non-compliance

Investigations have been carried out to identify the causes of the water quality exceedances.

The investigation findings are presented in **Section 3.2.3.1**. It was concluded that the abovementioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the construction works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

7.5 Follow-up Actions Taken

Non-compliance

As non-compliances have been recorded, the Event and Action Plan has been followed. Although it is considered that the exceedances were not related to the Project, the Contractor was reminded to implement the water quality mitigation measures in accordance with the recommendation stated in Section 5.6.1 – 5.6.4 of the EIA Report as far as practicable and regular spot check would be conducted on the nearby discharge by Contractor and inform ET for investigation.

Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

7.6 Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction (i.e. 12 May 2010) to the end of the reporting month and are summarized in **Table 7.2** below.

Table 7.2: Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
	Complaints	Notifications of Summons	Successful Prosecutions
This reporting month (July 2018)	0	0	0
From 12 May 2010 to end of the reporting month (July 2018)	8	0	0

8 Future Key Issues

8.1 Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month

The major site works to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- Site formation
- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including lowering of the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond)
- Foundation Work

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Months

Key issues to be considered in the coming three months include:

- Provision of water spraying or dust suppression chemical to prevent generation of dust from activities on-site and the haul road during dry weather conditions;
- Provision of wheel washing facilities at vehicle exit point;
- Generation and treatment of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site and during wet weather conditions;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste from activities on-site; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site and to the drainage system.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 12 May 2010. The tentative monitoring schedule for August 2018 is shown in the **Table 8.1**.

The air quality station ASR1, ASR4 and noise impact monitoring stations NSR5 were relocated to new locations on 5 June 2018 as the previous locations will be affected by upcoming construction activities. The change of impact monitoring locations followed the requirements as stated in the EM&A Manual and will be reflected in the updated EM&A Manual which currently under preparation.

Table 8.1: Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting Month

Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for August 2018

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
			1 Water	2 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	3 Water	4
5	6 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	7 Bird Herpetofauna (day time) Dragonfly & Butterfly	8 Water Water Quality Monitoring	9 Landscape	10 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP	11
12	13 Water @	14 Bird	15 Water	16 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	17 Water Herpetofauna (night time)	18
19	20 Water	21 Bird	22	23 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring Landscape	24	25 Water
26	27 Water	28 Bird 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring Dragonfly & Butterfly	29 Water	30	31	

* Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)
 @ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

8.4 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.4.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A manual has been undertaken in the reporting month since 12 May 2010.

Monitoring of Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality, Ecology and Landscape and Visual impacts due to the Project was under way. In particular, the 1-hr TSP, 24-hr TSP, noise level (as L_{eq}) and water quality parameters (such as pH, DO, turbidity and SS) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels.

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP) and Noise level (as L_{eq}) in the reporting month.

During July 2018, a total of twenty-three Action Level exceedances were observed. Twelve Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3. Two Action Level exceedance of SS were recorded at MP4. Four Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP5. Five Action Level exceedance of pH were recorded at MP6.

However, since no project-related activity was identified which may have caused the recorded exceedances during the reporting month, it is concluded that the exceedances were likely to be due to localised natural variations affecting the vicinity in general.

8.4.2 Recommendations

With considerations on the construction activities and environment, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality

- All stockpiles should be covered by tarpaulin or kept wet by water spraying;
- All vehicles should be washed to remove any dusty materials before leaving the construction sites;
- The portion of road leading the construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;
- During the dry season, sufficient water spraying should be provided at haul road to reduce dust emission; and
- Ensure proper functioning of the wheel wash facility.

Noise


- Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;
- Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; and
- The construction activities should be better scheduled to reduce noise nuisance.

Water Quality

- Effluent should be discharged in accordance with the discharge licence conditions;
- Soil contaminated with chemicals/oils should be removed from site and the voids should be created filled with suitable materials; and
- Silt and debris should be removed from the temporary drainage channel regularly.

Waste Management

- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts.
- Reuse the excavated materials as far as practical to reduce the amount of waste disposal;
- C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
- Ensure drip trays are provided for chemical containers to prevent leakage or soil contamination;
- All plants and vehicles should be properly maintained to prevent oil leakage; and
- Oil stains on soil should be cleared by disposal of contaminated soil.



Notes

- Key to symbols
- - - Site Boundary
 - - - 500m Assessment Area
 - / / / / Proposed Comprehensive Development

Reference drawings

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'kd	App'd
M		M			

MOTT MACDONALD
 20/F AIA Kowloon Tower
 Landmark East
 100 How Ming Street
 Kwun Tong, Kowloon
 Hong Kong
 T +852 2828 6757
 F +852 2827 1823
 W mottmac.com

Client

PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED

Project


**PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT
AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG**

Title


**General Layout Plan of the
Project Site**

Designed		Eng check	
Drawn		Coordination	
Dwg check		Approved	
Scale at A1	Status	Rev	

Drawing Number Figure 1.1



Notes					
Key to symbols					
★ Construction Phase Air Quality Monitoring Locations					
Reference drawings					
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'kd	App'd
M		M	20/F AJA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwan Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2027 1623 W mottmac.com		
Client					
PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED					
Project					
PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG					
Title					
Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations					
Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Design check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	
Drawing Number					
Figure 2.1					



Notes

Key to symbols

- Construction Phase Noise Monitoring Stations

Reference drawings

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'kd	App'd
M			20/F AJA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwan Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2027 1623 W motlmac.com		
M					

Client

PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED

Project

PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG


Title

Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations



Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Dwg check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	


Drawing Number


Figure 2.2



Notes					
Key to symbols					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Quality Monitoring Stations — Site Boundary 					
Reference drawings					
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd
M		M			
MOTT MACDONALD			20/F AIA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwun Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 6757 F +852 2827 1823 W mottmac.com		
Client					
PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED					
Project					
PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG					
Title					
Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations					
Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Dwg check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	
Drawing Number					
Figure 2.3					



Notes					
Key to symbols					
					
Reference drawings					
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd
M					
M					
MOTT MACDONALD		20/F AIA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwun Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 6757 F +852 2827 1823 W motmac.com			
Client					
PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED					
Project					
PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG					
Title					
Survey Area and Transect Walked					
Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Dwg check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	
Drawing Number					
Figure 4.1					



Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd.

Figure 4.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Ecological Monitoring

Project No.: 08/266/132

Prepared by EW

Version No.: 2.0

Checked by SL

Scale 1:2,000 on A4

Date: 04 Nov 2009


X Water Quality Monitoring Locations

- | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Short Grass | Open Water >1.5m |
| Tall Grass/Shrubs | Marsh |
| Tall Trees and Shrubs | Reeds |
| Open Water <1.5m | Unvegetated |

Appendices

A.	Project Organization Chart	39
B.	Tentative Construction Programme	41
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	43
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual	45
E.	Calibration Certificates	51
F.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	53
G.	Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period	55
H.	Ecological Monitoring conducted	57
I.	Summary of Bird Surveys conducted	59
J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted	63
K.	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted	67
L.	Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status	69
M.	Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	75

A. Project Organization Chart



Contact information:













Company	Position	Name	Telephone
Profit Point Enterprises Limited (Project Proponent)	Project Manager	Mr. Kelvin Lau	2908 8114
Heng Shung Construction Co. Ltd. (The Contractor)	Construction Manager	Mr. Joe Chan	2908 2260
	Site Agent	Mr. Wong Shun Wah	9588 8848
	Senior Safety and Environment Officer	Mr. Lun Ho Yin	9866 7497
Ramboll Hong Kong Limited (Independent Environmental Checker (IEC))	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. David Yeung	3465 2888
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. (Environmental Team (ET))	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Brandon Wong	2828 5875

B. Tentative Construction Programme

Wo Shang Wai Construction Works Programme (Including TOA)

ID	Task Name	Working	Start	Finish	Qtr 2, 2018			Qtr 3, 2018		
					May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
0	Wo Shang Wai Construction Phase	3697 d...	Wed 13/01/10	Fri 31/12/21						
5	Site Formation	2153 days	Mon 03/01/11	Sun 31/12/17						
6	Foundation Work	467 days	Tue 02/01/18	Sun 30/06/19		Foundation Work				

Project : Wo Shang Wai
 Revision : I
 Print Date : Tue 31/07/18

Task		Inactive Milestone		Manual Summary Rollup	
Milestone		Inactive Summary		Manual Summary	
Summary		Manual Task		Start-only	
Inactive Task		Duration-only		Finish-only	

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
ASR1	226	260
ASR2A	213	260
ASR3	205	260
ASR4	237	260

Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
ASR1	378	500
ASR2A	357	500
ASR3	358	500
ASR4	372	500

Noise

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7		
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	75 dB(A)

Water Quality

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	DO in mg/L		Turbidity in NTU		SS in mg/L		pH	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Action Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
MP1	1.23	1.17	173	177	231	299	< 5.5 or > 7.5	< 4.0 or > 8.0
MP2	1.04	0.89	132	163	170	209		
MP3	6.85	6.65	64	67	65	66		
MP4	3.91	3.82	60	64	50	53		
MP5	4.13	3.87	81	84	66	69		
MP6	4.61	4.52	94	96	75	75		

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual

Air Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. Inform IEC and ER. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify any unacceptable practice. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the source. Inform IEC and ER. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Repeat measurements to confirm findings. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on remedial actions required. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check the Contractor's working method. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. Notify the Contractor. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. Inform ER and EPD. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check the Contractor's working method. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. Notify the Contractor. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals. Amend proposal if appropriate.

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor. 2. Identify the source. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Arrange meeting IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken. 7. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. In consultation with IEC, agree with the remedial measures to be implemented. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.

Construction Noise

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC and the Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to IEC and the Contractor. 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review with analysed results submitted by ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implement of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC. 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify the source. 2. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Inform IEC, ER, and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances. 7. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Water Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; and 6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; and 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; and 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; and 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; and 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; and 7. As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.

Landscape and Visual

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify Source; 2. Inform the IEC and the ER; 3. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor; and 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report; 2. Check the Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with the ES and the contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and 5. Check implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor; and 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods; and 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement

Event	Action			
Repeated Non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify Source; 2. Inform the Project Proponent, IEC and the ER. If serious non-compliance inform EPD; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor; 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; and 6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring report; 2. Check the Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with the ES and the Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor; and 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods; and 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement.

E. Calibration Certificates

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Air Quality Monitoring)




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM510
Temperature	75.79 (24.3)	°F (°C)		
Relative Humidity	17.0	%RH	Serial Number	10406054
Barometric Pressure	29.01 (982.4)	inHg (hPa)		

- As Left
 As Found

- In Tolerance
 Out of Tolerance



CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m ³			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	5.480	5.445	4.932~6.028	3	1.000	0.973	0.700~1.300
2	0.099	0.097	0.084~0.114	4	12.447	12.322	11.202~13.692

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 4:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-07-17	03-31-18
DC Voltage	E003314	05-03-17	05-31-18
Photometer	E003319	07-27-17	01-31-18
Pressure	E003511	10-02-17	10-31-18

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-06-17	03-31-18
DC Voltage	E003315	05-03-17	05-31-18
Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Flowmeter	E002471	04-20-17	04-30-18

Barley Passero

Calibrated

November 28, 2017

Date




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
 Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 <http://www.tsi.com>

Environment Conditions			Model	AM510
Temperature	75.71 (24.3)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	11603043
Relative Humidity	21.4	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.98 (981.4)	inHg (hPa)		

<input type="checkbox"/> As Left	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Found	<input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance



CONCENTRATION				Unit: mg/m ³			
#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE	#	STANDARD	MEASURED	ALLOWABLE RANGE
1	5.447	5.159	4.902~5.992	3	0.977	0.937	0.684~1.270
2	0.096	0.098	0.082~0.110	4	12.238	11.550	11.014~13.462

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 4:1

<u>Measurement Variable</u>	<u>System ID</u>	<u>Last Cal.</u>	<u>Cal. Due</u>	<u>Measurement Variable</u>	<u>System ID</u>	<u>Last Cal.</u>	<u>Cal. Due</u>
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-07-17	03-31-18	Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-06-17	03-31-18
DC Voltage	E003314	05-03-17	05-31-18	DC Voltage	E003315	05-03-17	05-31-18
Photometer	E003319	07-27-17	01-31-18	Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-30-18
Pressure	E003511	10-02-17	10-31-18	Flowmeter	E002471	04-20-17	04-30-18

 Verified

November 28, 2017

 Date

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR1
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/07/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 1806

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1002
Ta(K) : 303

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.5	3.487	1.706	59	58.19
2 13 holes	9.8	3.088	1.511	51	50.30
3 10 holes	7.0	2.610	1.278	45	44.38
4 7 holes	4.0	1.973	0.968	37	36.49
5 5 holes	2.8	1.650	0.811	30	29.59

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 30.191 Intercept(b): -5.910 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9983

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/07/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR2A
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/07/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 1061

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1002
Ta(K) : 303

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.9	3.543	1.733	56	55.23
2 13 holes	10.1	3.135	1.534	50	49.32
3 10 holes	7.3	2.665	1.305	44	43.40
4 7 holes	4.7	2.138	1.049	36	35.51
5 5 holes	2.9	1.680	0.825	28	27.62

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):30.027 Intercept(b):3.506 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9996

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/07/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR3
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/07/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 7577

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1002
Ta(K) : 303

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	13.20	3.583	1.753	60	59.18
2 13 holes	9.60	3.056	1.496	53	52.27
3 10 holes	7.00	2.610	1.278	41	40.44
4 7 holes	4.40	2.069	1.015	34	33.53
5 5 holes	3.00	1.708	0.839	28	27.62

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 35.422 Intercept(b): -2.593 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9934

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/07/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR4
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/07/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 1273

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1002
Ta(K) : 303

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.6	3.501	1.713	58	57.21
2 13 holes	10.3	3.165	1.549	51	50.30
3 10 holes	7.4	2.683	1.314	43	42.41
4 7 holes	4.9	2.183	1.071	34	33.53
5 5 holes	3.4	1.819	0.893	25	24.66

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 38.541 Intercept(b): -8.782 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9939

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/07/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR1
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/06/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 1806

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1006
Ta(K) : 301

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.1	3.449	1.687	50	49.58
2 13 holes	8.9	2.958	1.448	43	42.64
3 10 holes	6.3	2.489	1.219	36	35.70
4 7 holes	4.4	2.080	1.020	32	31.73
5 5 holes	2.8	1.659	0.815	26	25.78

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):26.983 Intercept(b):3.679 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9982

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/06/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR2A
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/05/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 1061

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1010
Ta(K) : 304

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.4	3.481	1.703	54	53.39
2 13 holes	9.6	3.063	1.499	46	45.48
3 10 holes	7.3	2.671	1.308	40	39.54
4 7 holes	5.5	2.319	1.136	34	33.61
5 5 holes	3.3	1.796	0.882	25	24.72

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):34.529 Intercept(b):-5.737 Correlation Coefficient(r):
0.9996

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 09/05/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR3
Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
Date : 05/05/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number : S/N 7577

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
Slope (m) : 2.05242
Intercept (b) : -0.01383
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1010
Ta(K) : 304

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1 18 holes	12.80	3.537	1.730	60	59.32
2 13 holes	9.40	3.031	1.484	52	51.41
3 10 holes	7.90	2.779	1.361	48	47.45
4 7 holes	5.60	2.339	1.147	38	37.57
5 5 holes	3.10	1.741	0.855	29	28.67

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 35.903 Intercept(b): -2.334 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9973

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 09/05/2018

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR4
 Calibrated by : T.K.Wong
 Date : 05/06/2018

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
 Serial Number : S/N 1273

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454
 Service Date : 19 Mar 2018
 Slope (m) : 2.05242
 Intercept (b) : -0.01383
 Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99994

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013
 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1006
 Ta(K) : 301

Resistance Plate		dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1	18 holes	11.6	3.377	1.652	58	57.51
2	13 holes	9.7	3.088	1.511	49	48.59
3	10 holes	7.0	2.623	1.285	42	41.65
4	7 holes	4.8	2.172	1.065	34	33.71
5	5 holes	3.0	1.717	0.844	22	21.81


Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 41.618 Intercept(b): -12.261 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9938

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 10/06/2018

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Noise Monitoring)



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C176743

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC17-2654) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 November 2017

Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustic Calibrator
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Casella
Model No. / 型號 : CEL-120/1
Serial No. / 編號 : 3421612
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,
New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 December 2017

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試


K C Lee
Engineer

Certified By
核證


H C Chan
Engineer

Date of Issue : 4 December 2017
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory
c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室
c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel 電話: 2927 2606 Fax 傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail 電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website 網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C176743
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C173864
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.25	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 5 Hz	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C175269

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC17-2074) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 6 September 2017

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-52

Serial No. / 編號 : 00643039

Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.

Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,
New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 17 September 2017

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.


The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA


Tested By

測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By

核證


K O Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

20 September 2017

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部影印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4 F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel 電話: 2927 2606

Fax 傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail 電郵: call@suncreation.com

Website 網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C175269

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C170048
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	92.9	± 1.1

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校準用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C175269

證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.6	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	87.6	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青洲磚廠樓四樓

Tel 電話: 2927 2606 Fax 傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail 電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website 網址: www.suncreation.com



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C175269
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-53A & S/N : 307154
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, F Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel 電話: 2927 2606

Fax 傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail 電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website 網址: www.suncreation.com

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Water Quality Monitoring)



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AH040199
Date of Issue : 04 May 2018
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House,
Yu Chui Court, Shatin,
New Territories, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Thomas WONG

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)
Serial Number : 15M100005
Date of Received : Apr 30, 2018
Date of Calibration : Apr 30, 2018 to Apr 30, 2018
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : Jul 30, 2018

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Parameter	Reference Method
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Conductivity at 25°C	APHA 21e 2510 B
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	4.07	0.07	Satisfactory
7.42	7.49	0.07	Satisfactory
10.01	10.08	0.07	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ± 0.10 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
13.0	13.1	0.1	Satisfactory
25.0	25.2	0.2	Satisfactory
38.0	37.9	-0.1	Satisfactory


Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.

APPROVED SIGNATORY:


LAM Ho-ye, Emma
Assistant Laboratory Manager



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AH040199
Date of Issue : 04 May 2018
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.00	0.08	0.08	Satisfactory
2.56	2.63	0.07	Satisfactory
4.72	4.64	-0.08	Satisfactory
6.76	6.66	-0.10	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.20 (mg/L)

(4) Conductivity at 25°C

Conc. of KCl (M)	Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S/cm}$)	Displayed Reading ($\mu\text{S/cm}$)	Tolerance (%)	Results
0.001	146.9	151.6	3.2	Satisfactory
0.01	1412	1429	1.2	Satisfactory
0.1	12890	12834	-0.4	Satisfactory
0.5	58670	57932	-1.3	Satisfactory
1.0	111900	108516	-3.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of conductivity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	9.92	-0.8	Satisfactory
20	20.28	1.4	Satisfactory
30	30.61	2.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	0.1	--	--
10	10.2	2.0	Satisfactory
20	19.7	-1.5	Satisfactory
100	107.2	7.2	Satisfactory
800	807.6	1.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -

^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AH060169
Date of Issue : 28 June 2018
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House,
Yu Chui Court, Shatin
New Territories, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Thomas WONG

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)
Serial Number : 16H104233
Date of Received : Jun 27, 2018
Date of Calibration : Jun 27, 2018 to Jun 27, 2018
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : Sep 27, 2018

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Parameter	Reference Method
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Conductivity at 25°C	APHA 21e 2510 B
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	3.96	-0.04	Satisfactory
7.42	7.44	0.02	Satisfactory
10.01	10.11	0.10	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ± 0.10 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
12.6	12.5	-0.1	Satisfactory
37.4	37.5	0.1	Satisfactory
62.7	61.5	-1.2	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.

APPROVED SIGNATORY:

LAM Ho-ye, Emma
Assistant Laboratory Manager



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AH060169
Date of Issue : 28 June 2018
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.00	0.03	0.03	Satisfactory
4.37	4.46	0.09	Satisfactory
5.96	6.10	0.14	Satisfactory
7.34	7.36	0.02	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.20 (mg/L)

(4) Conductivity at 25°C

Conc. of KCl (M)	Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	Displayed Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	Tolerance (%)	Results
0.001	146.9	150.0	2.1	Satisfactory
0.01	1412	1398	-1.0	Satisfactory
0.1	12890	12724	-1.3	Satisfactory
0.5	58670	58012	-1.1	Satisfactory
1.0	111900	110847	-0.9	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of conductivity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	9.97	-0.3	Satisfactory
20	20.14	0.7	Satisfactory
30	30.28	0.9	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	0.1	--	--
10	10.16	1.6	Satisfactory
20	20.19	1.0	Satisfactory
100	98.84	-1.2	Satisfactory
800	793.16	-0.9	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -


^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.


F. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results

Air Quality

1-hour TSP Level at ASR1




1-hour TSP Level at ASR2A




Air Quality

1-hour TSP Level at ASR3




1-hour TSP Level at ASR4




Air Quality

24-hour TSP Level at ASR1




24-hour TSP Level at ASR2A




Air Quality

24-hour TSP Level at ASR3




24-hour TSP Level at ASR4




Noise

Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR1




Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR3




Noise







Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR5









Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR7









Water Quality









Water Quality



Water Quality



Water Quality





CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1837027
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 03-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 09-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1837027 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 03-Jul-2018 to 09-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1837027 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-001		40	5	---	---	---
MP3-2	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-002		43	5	---	---	---
MP4-1	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-003		45	3	---	---	---
MP4-2	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-004		44	3	---	---	---
MP5-1	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-005		35	4	---	---	---
MP5-2	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-006		33	4	---	---	---
MP6-1	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-007		28	4	---	---	---
MP6-2	03-Jul-2018	HK1837027-008		30	4	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1775100)								
HK1837002-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	59	62	4.85
HK1837082-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	81	74	9.69

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1775100)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	108	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1775966)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	87.4	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1775968)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.6	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1837436
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 05-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 10-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1837436 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 05-Jul-2018 to 10-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1837436 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>	---	---	---
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-001	14	2	---	---	---
MP3-2	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-002	16	2	---	---	---
MP4-1	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-003	45	<2	---	---	---
MP4-2	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-004	42	<2	---	---	---
MP5-1	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-005	25	<2	---	---	---
MP5-2	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-006	27	<2	---	---	---
MP6-1	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-007	31	<2	---	---	---
MP6-2	05-Jul-2018	HK1837436-008	30	<2	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1781638)								
HK1837186-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	47	48	2.78
HK1837417-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.00
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1781639)								
HK1837443-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	4	4	0.00
HK1837444-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	12	13	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1781638)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	93.0	----	81	117	----	----
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1781639)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	100	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1779940)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	93.0	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1837820
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 07-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 13-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1837820 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 07-Jul-2018 to 12-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1837820 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---	---
MP3-1	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-001		33	7	---	---	---
MP3-2	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-002		36	6	---	---	---
MP4-1	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-003		50	8	---	---	---
MP4-2	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-004		54	8	---	---	---
MP5-1	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-005		36	7	---	---	---
MP5-2	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-006		38	7	---	---	---
MP6-1	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-007		42	10	---	---	---
MP6-2	07-Jul-2018	HK1837820-008		40	11	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1788021)								
HK1834983-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	16	18	7.34
HK1837853-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	5	6	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1788021)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	106	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1785807)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	100	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1838021
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 09-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 17-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- <i>Received</i> : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- <i>Analysed</i> : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1838021 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 09-Jul-2018 to 16-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1838021 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---	---
MP3-1	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-001	30	8	---	---	---	---
MP3-2	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-002	30	8	---	---	---	---
MP4-1	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-003	43	<2	---	---	---	---
MP4-2	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-004	40	<2	---	---	---	---
MP5-1	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-005	48	5	---	---	---	---
MP5-2	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-006	48	5	---	---	---	---
MP6-1	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-007	48	6	---	---	---	---
MP6-2	09-Jul-2018	HK1838021-008	48	5	---	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1788026)								
HK1838003-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	5	4	0.00
HK1837723-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	40	38	4.89

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1788026)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1791679)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	98.0	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1791681)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	104	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1838655
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 11-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 19-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1838655 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 11-Jul-2018 to 17-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1838655 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

		<i>Compound</i>	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			<i>LOR Unit</i>	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-001	41	7	---	---	---
MP3-2	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-002	38	6	---	---	---
MP4-1	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-003	39	4	---	---	---
MP4-2	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-004	37	5	---	---	---
MP5-1	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-005	42	4	---	---	---
MP5-2	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-006	41	4	---	---	---
MP6-1	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-007	42	4	---	---	---
MP6-2	11-Jul-2018	HK1838655-008	41	5	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1794990)								
HK1838655-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	41	42	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1794990)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	92.5	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1795733)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	93.9	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1795734)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	98.8	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1839116
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ---	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ---	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 13-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: ---			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 20-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: ---			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: ---				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1839116 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 13-Jul-2018 to 19-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1839116 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>	---	---	---
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-001	28	6	---	---	---
MP3-2	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-002	29	7	---	---	---
MP4-1	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-003	44	3	---	---	---
MP4-2	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-004	46	2	---	---	---
MP5-1	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-005	48	3	---	---	---
MP5-2	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-006	50	<2	---	---	---
MP6-1	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-007	45	4	---	---	---
MP6-2	13-Jul-2018	HK1839116-008	44	3	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1802539)								
HK1839125-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	3	4	0.00
HK1839124-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	8	8	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER

			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1802539)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1801237)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	100	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1801238)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.9	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1839291
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 16-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 23-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1839291 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 16-Jul-2018 to 23-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1839291 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

		<i>Compound</i>	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			<i>LOR Unit</i>	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-001	23	7	---	---	---
MP3-2	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-002	22	6	---	---	---
MP4-1	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-003	39	3	---	---	---
MP4-2	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-004	39	3	---	---	---
MP5-1	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-005	48	5	---	---	---
MP5-2	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-006	46	5	---	---	---
MP6-1	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-007	44	5	---	---	---
MP6-2	16-Jul-2018	HK1839291-008	45	5	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1805649)								
HK1839287-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	30	28	4.37
HK1839295-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER

			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1805649)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	91.5	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1809608)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	104	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1809609)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	96.4	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1839822
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 18-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 26-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1839822 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 18-Jul-2018 to 24-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1839822 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---	---
MP3-1	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-001	10	5	---	---	---	---
MP3-2	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-002	10	5	---	---	---	---
MP4-1	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-003	30	2	---	---	---	---
MP4-2	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-004	31	2	---	---	---	---
MP5-1	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-005	26	4	---	---	---	---
MP5-2	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-006	25	4	---	---	---	---
MP6-1	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-007	26	3	---	---	---	---
MP6-2	18-Jul-2018	HK1839822-008	27	4	---	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1813633)								
HK1839801-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	164	170	3.79
HK1839818-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	28	27	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1813633)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	96.5	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1815290)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	99.1	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1840115
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 20-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 27-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- <i>Received</i> : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- <i>Analysed</i> : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1840115 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 20-Jul-2018 to 25-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1840115 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---	---
MP3-1	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-001	42	6	---	---	---	---
MP3-2	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-002	42	6	---	---	---	---
MP4-1	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-003	51	3	---	---	---	---
MP4-2	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-004	50	3	---	---	---	---
MP5-1	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-005	44	5	---	---	---	---
MP5-2	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-006	45	4	---	---	---	---
MP6-1	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-007	48	4	---	---	---	---
MP6-2	20-Jul-2018	HK1840115-008	48	4	---	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1820663)								
HK1840071-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	278	286	2.83
HK1840120-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	7	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1820663)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	106	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1818480)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	102	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1840442
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 23-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 30-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1840442 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 23-Jul-2018 to 30-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1840442 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>	---	---	---
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-001	20	10	---	---	---
MP3-2	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-002	21	10	---	---	---
MP4-1	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-003	44	4	---	---	---
MP4-2	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-004	46	4	---	---	---
MP5-1	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-005	36	8	---	---	---
MP5-2	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-006	32	6	---	---	---
MP6-1	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-007	40	7	---	---	---
MP6-2	23-Jul-2018	HK1840442-008	38	7	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1823778)								
HK1840431-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	75	82	8.93
HK1840433-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	7	7	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1823778)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1821874)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	95.9	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1840912
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 25-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: —			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 31-Jul-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1840912 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 25-Jul-2018 to 31-Jul-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1840912 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

		<i>Compound</i>	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			<i>LOR Unit</i>	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-001	21	7	---	---	---
MP3-2	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-002	20	5	---	---	---
MP4-1	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-003	42	3	---	---	---
MP4-2	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-004	43	3	---	---	---
MP5-1	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-005	37	3	---	---	---
MP5-2	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-006	37	4	---	---	---
MP6-1	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-007	42	6	---	---	---
MP6-2	25-Jul-2018	HK1840912-008	40	4	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1830269)								
HK1840894-005	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	36	39	8.20
HK1840900-007	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	12	12	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER

			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1830269)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	106	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1830736)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	97.1	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1841163
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ---	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ---	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 27-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: ---			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 01-Aug-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: ---			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: ---				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1841163 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 27-Jul-2018 to 01-Aug-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1841163 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>	---	---	---
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Client sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-001	28	7	---	---	---
MP3-2	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-002	28	6	---	---	---
MP4-1	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-003	47	4	---	---	---
MP4-2	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-004	49	4	---	---	---
MP5-1	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-005	35	5	---	---	---
MP5-2	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-006	35	5	---	---	---
MP6-1	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-007	34	5	---	---	---
MP6-2	27-Jul-2018	HK1841163-008	37	5	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1837196)								
HK1841161-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	10	10	0.00
HK1841166-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	5	<5	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1837196)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	110	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1834248)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	111	----	81	121	----	----

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: HK1841377
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: Thomas.Wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ---	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ---	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/2894/2017	<i>Date received</i>	: 30-Jul-2018
<i>Order number</i>	: ---			<i>Date of issue</i>	: 06-Aug-2018
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: ---			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: ---				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Position

General Manager

Authorised results for:

Inorganics



Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1841377 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. Testing period is from 30-Jul-2018 to 06-Aug-2018. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK1841377 :

Sample(s) were received in chilled condition.

Water sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	---	---	---
			LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	---	---	---
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		EP: Aggregate Organics	---	---	---
MP3-1	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-001		26	13	---	---	---
MP3-2	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-002		26	13	---	---	---
MP4-1	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-003		34	5	---	---	---
MP4-2	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-004		34	7	---	---	---
MP5-1	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-005		28	8	---	---	---
MP5-2	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-006		28	8	---	---	---
MP6-1	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-007		32	8	---	---	---
MP6-2	30-Jul-2018	HK1841377-008		29	8	---	---	---



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 1840024)								
HK1841376-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	2	3	0.00
HK1841378-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	6	0.00

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 1840024)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	108	----	81	117	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1838313)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	108	----	81	121	----	----
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 1839019)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	105	----	81	121	----	----




Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

G. Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period




Wind Data for Wetland Park

3 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Wetland Park

4 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Wetland Park

9 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Wetland Park

10 Jul 2018



Wind Data for Wetland Park


14 Jul 2018





Wind Data for Wetland Park

19 Jul 2018

(於香港時間 2018 年 07 月 19 日 20 時 00 分更新) (Updated at 20:00H on 19 Jul 2018)






MLPC (公里/小時) (於香港時間 2018 年 7 月 19 日 20 時 0 分更新) (Updated at 20:00H on 19 Jul 2018) (km/h)






Wind Data for Wetland Park

20 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Wetland Park

25 Jul 2018




Wind Data for Wetland Park

26 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Wetland Park

31 Jul 2018



Wind Data for Wetland Park



1 Aug 2018




Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

3 Jul 2018

(於香港時間 2018 年 07 月 03 日 17 時 10 分更新) (Updated at 17:10H on 3 Jul 2018)






十分鐘平均風向及風速 10-minute mean wind






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

4 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

9 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

10 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

13 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

14 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

25 Jul 2018






Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

26 Jul 2018




Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

31 Jul 2018



Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

1 Aug 2018



**EXTRACT OF METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR HONG KONG,
JULY 2018 (Table 1)**

Date July	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Air Temperature			Mean Dew Point Temperature (deg. C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Mean Amount of Cloud (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)
		Maximum (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Minimum (deg. C)				
1	1004.1	32.9	30.2	28.0	25.6	77	81	4.1
2	1003.4	30.6	29.5	25.6	25.9	81	88	2.1
3	1002.5	30.5	29.7	27.0	26.1	81	88	15.4
4	1002.2	32.6	30.3	28.4	25.9	78	88	3.4
5	1002.3	31.6	30.0	28.4	25.8	78	88	1.5
6	1002.6	32.1	30.1	27.6	26.1	80	88	5.0
7	1003.3	30.0	29.0	26.4	26.0	84	87	5.2
8	1004.4	30.6	28.2	26.7	26.0	88	87	14.4
9	1005.7	31.8	28.2	25.9	25.3	85	83	11.3
10	1005.1	32.6	29.0	26.8	25.0	80	57	1.3
11	1001.8	32.8	29.6	27.2	24.5	74	56	-
12	1003.3	33.4	30.0	28.3	25.5	77	85	Trace
13	1003.9	28.7	26.7	25.9	25.5	93	92	50.4
14	1004.0	29.5	27.0	25.3	25.3	91	90	52.7
15	1004.0	28.3	26.6	25.0	24.7	89	92	67.4
16	1003.8	31.4	28.2	25.7	24.6	81	80	5.8
17	1002.4	34.3	30.0	26.9	25.4	78	83	6.5
18	1003.9	29.5	27.7	26.4	25.5	88	89	29.6
19	1004.6	29.7	27.9	26.6	25.6	87	85	17.3
20	1003.8	31.6	28.2	26.0	25.7	87	71	7.1
21	1002.6	33.1	29.5	27.4	24.5	75	54	-
22	1002.3	32.4	29.0	27.9	24.4	76	67	Trace
23	1001.8	31.2	28.4	26.3	26.0	87	81	30.8
24	1003.6	32.0	29.8	28.0	26.0	80	84	0.1
25	1005.8	31.7	29.4	27.0	26.1	83	70	2.7
26	1006.5	32.3	29.6	27.3	26.1	82	82	3.4
27	1006.7	33.0	29.8	27.7	25.5	78	77	0.3
28	1006.7	33.7	30.2	28.2	25.1	75	55	-
29	1005.8	34.3	30.2	27.9	24.7	73	41	-
30	1005.6	33.7	30.4	28.1	25.0	74	53	-
31	1005.5	33.2	30.2	27.1	25.3	76	65	3.3
Mean/Total	1004.0	31.8	29.1	27.0	25.4	81	77	341.1
Normal*	1005.7	31.4	28.8	26.8	25.1	81	69	376.5
Station	Hong Kong Observatory							

**EXTRACT OF METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR HONG KONG,
JULY 2018 (Table 2)**

Date July	Number of hours of Reduced Visibility [#] (hours)	Total Bright Sunshine (hours)	Daily Global Solar Radiation (MJ/m ²)	Total Evaporation (mm)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1	0	8.7	23.48	6.0	230	28.1
2	0	1.6	9.83	4.4	220	29.6
3	0	0.4	7.66	2.5	220	31.3
4	0	9.0	23.17	4.9	220	32.4
5	0	2.6	11.43	3.1	210	28.9
6	0	6.0	18.60	3.2	190	20.0
7	0	0.2	6.23	2.0	190	13.5
8	0	3.5	16.21	3.6	040	17.1
9	0	7.0	20.93	5.4	060	32.5
10	0	8.9	22.52	5.3	090	23.1
11	0	12.0	26.10	5.7	220	13.4
12	0	8.5	23.11	7.5	080	19.2
13	0	-	3.87	2.3	080	24.9
14	0	0.8	10.20	4.3	090	37.8
15	0	0.3	4.48	4.9	080	46.6
16	0	5.6	20.80	4.3	070	41.8
17	0	8.0	23.25	6.7	070	29.8
18	0	1.0	7.56	2.4	090	42.3
19	0	2.3	11.82	2.7	080	36.5
20	0	6.4	20.02	4.1	080	26.1
21	0	8.0	20.37	4.9	230	12.2
22	0	9.3	22.08	4.8	230	15.0
23	0	1.0	8.16	3.8	120	26.0
24	0	4.2	15.96	3.2	140	30.9
25	0	7.5	19.64	4.4	110	15.6
26	0	7.9	23.00	4.9	120	14.8
27	0	8.9	24.17	5.4	140	11.4
28	0	11.1	26.77	6.3	120	10.5
29	0	10.0	24.27	5.8	200	9.0
30	0	10.7	25.57	5.9	220	12.0
31	0	9.7	24.89	6.4	230	18.9
Mean/Total	0	181.1	17.62	141.1	090	24.2
Normal*	14.2 [§]	212.0	17.17	146.2	230	21.3
Station	Hong Kong International Airport	King's Park			Waglan Island [^]	

The minimum pressure recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 999.1 hectopascals at 1655 HKT on 11 July.

The maximum air temperature recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 34.3 degrees C at 1608 HKT on 17 July and at 1433 HKT on 29 July.

The minimum air temperature recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 25.0 degrees C at 1326 HKT on 15 July.

The maximum gust peak speed recorded at Waglan Island was 99 kilometres per hour from 100 degrees at 0144 HKT on 15 July.

The maximum 1-minute mean rainfall rate recorded at King's Park was 143 millimetres per hour at 1138 HKT on 9 July.

Reduced visibility refers to visibility below 8 kilometres when there is no fog, mist or precipitation.

- The visibility readings at the Hong Kong International Airport are based on hourly observations by professional meteorological observers in 2004 and before, and average readings over the 10-minute period before the clock hour of the visibility meter near the middle of the south runway from 2005 onwards. The change of the data source in 2005 is an improvement of the visibility assessment using instrumented observations following the international trend.

- Before 10 October 2007, the number of hours of reduced visibility at the Hong Kong International Airport in 2005 and thereafter displayed in this web page was based on hourly visibility observations by professional meteorological observers. Since 10 October 2007, the data have been revised using the average visibility readings over the 10-minute period before the clock hour, as recorded by the visibility meter near the middle of the south runway.

^ In case the data are not available from Waglan Island, observations of Cheung Chau or other nearby weather stations will be incorporated in computing the Prevailing Wind Direction and Mean Wind Speed.

* 1981-2010 Climatological Normal, unless otherwise specified

§ 1997-2017 Mean value

H. Ecological Monitoring conducted

July 2018	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Mammals				✓						✓					
Birds				✓						✓					
Herpetofauna									✓(d)						
Dragonflies & butterflies											✓				
Water Quality															
Inspection Visits				✓		✓				✓			✓		

July 2018	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Mammals		✓							✓							✓
Birds		✓							✓							✓
Herpetofauna										✓(n)						
Dragonflies & butterflies										✓						
Water Quality		#														✓
Inspection Visits		✓			✓				✓			✓				✓

Notes:

Light grey cells indicate public holidays, Saturdays or Sundays

“d” and “n” indicate day-time and night-time herpetofauna surveys respectively

indicates additional water level monitoring

I. Summary of Bird Surveys conducted

Table I1: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name ⁽⁴⁾	Scientific Name ⁽⁴⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records outside surveys
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC	5	3.0	✓
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	1.4	✓
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	5	5.8	✓
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	5	5.6	✓
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)	0	0.0	✓
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)	1	0.2	✓
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	(RC)	2	0.4	✓
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-	1	0.2	✓
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-	1	1.2	✓
White-throated Kingfisher	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	(LC)	1	0.2	✓
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-	2	0.4	✓
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-	1	0.4	✓
Red-billed Starling	<i>Spodiopsar sericeus</i>	Y	(RC)*	1	0.2	✓
No. of species recorded:				13		

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

(4) Follows HK bird list (dated 2017-09-05).

* Red-billed Starling is considered by Fellowes *et al.* (2002) to be of Global Concern. Since publication, however, the global population estimate has been revised and the species is not now considered globally threatened. A listing of Regional Concern (RC) based on the importance of the large roosts present near Deep Bay, is considered to be more appropriate. (Wetland Restoration Plan, Mott, 2008). Red-billed Starling is now listed as Least Concern by IUCN. (IUCN, 2016)

Table I2: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the WRA

Species Name ⁽⁴⁾	Scientific Name ⁽⁴⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records outside surveys
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC	4	2.4	✓
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	0	0.0	✓
Intermediate Egret	<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	Y	RC	0	0.0	✓
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	5	4.4	✓
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	5	2.4	✓

Species Name ⁽⁴⁾	Scientific Name ⁽⁴⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records outside surveys
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.4	✓
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)	0	0.0	✓
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	(RC)	3	0.6	✓
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-	3	1.0	✓
Common Moorhen	<i>Gallinula chloropus</i>	Y	-	0	0.0	✓
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-	1	0.4	✓
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)	0	0.0	✓
White-throated Kingfisher	<i>Halcyon smymensis</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.4	✓
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-	2	0.4	✓
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-	1	0.2	0
Red-billed Starling	<i>Spodiopsar sericeus</i>	Y	(RC)*	1	0.2	0
Collared Crow	<i>Corvus torquatus</i>	Y	LC, NT	0	0.0	✓
No. of species recorded:				17		

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.

(4) Follows HK bird list (dated 2017-09-05).

* Red-billed Starling is considered by Fellowes *et al.* (2002) to be of Global Concern. Since publication, however, the global population estimate has been revised and the species is not now considered globally threatened. A listing of Regional Concern (RC) based on the importance of the large roosts present near Deep Bay, is considered to be more appropriate. (Wetland Restoration Plan, Mott, 2008). Red-billed Starling is now listed as Least Concern by IUCN. (IUCN, 2016)

Table I3: Summary of bird species recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during the reporting month

Species Name ⁽²⁾	Scientific Name ⁽²⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	(RC)
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-
Spotted Dove	<i>Spilopelia chinensis</i>	N	-
Eurasian Collared Dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	N	-
Asian Koel	<i>Eudynamis scolopaceus</i>	N	-
Greater Coucal#	<i>Centropus sinensis</i>	N	-
White-throated Kingfisher	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	N	-
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-
Red-whiskered Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	N	-
Chinese Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>	N	-
Long-tailed Shrike	<i>Lanius schach</i>	N	-
Masked Laughingthrush	<i>Garrulax perspicillatus</i>	N	-
Scaly-breasted Munia	<i>Lonchura punctulata</i>	N	-
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	<i>Passer montanus</i>	N	-
Red-billed Starling	<i>Spodiopsar sericeus</i>	Y	(RC)*
Black-collared Starling	<i>Gracupica nigricollis</i>	N	-
Crested Myna	<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	N	-
No. of species recorded:		26	

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
- (2) Follows HK bird list (dated 2017-09-05)
- # Greater Coucal is listed as vulnerable (VU) in China Red Data Book and it is protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection (category II)
- * Red-billed Starling is considered by Fellowes *et al.* (2002) to be of Global Concern. Since publication, however, the global population estimate has been revised and the species is not now considered globally threatened. A listing of Regional Concern (RC) based on the importance of the large roosts present near Deep Bay, is considered to be more appropriate. (Wetland Restoration Plan, Mott, 2008). Red-billed Starling is now listed as Least Concern by IUCN. (IUCN, 2016)

Table I4: Summary of bird species recorded in the WRA during the reporting month

Species Name ⁽²⁾	Scientific Name ⁽²⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Intermediate Egret	<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	Y	RC
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)

Species Name ⁽²⁾	Scientific Name ⁽²⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)
Besra	<i>Accipiter virgatus</i>	N	-
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	(RC)
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-
Common Moorhen	<i>Gallinula chloropus</i>	Y	-
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-
Spotted Dove	<i>Spilopelia chinensis</i>	N	-
Eurasian Collared Dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	N	-
Asian Koel	<i>Eudynamis scolopaceus</i>	N	-
Greater Coucal#	<i>Centropus sinensis</i>	N	-
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)
White-throated Kingfisher	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	N	-
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-
Red-whiskered Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	N	-
Chinese Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>	N	-
Long-tailed Shrike	<i>Lanius schach</i>	N	-
Daurian Redstart	<i>Phoenicurus aureoreus</i>	N	-
Masked Laughingthrush	<i>Garrulax perspicillatus</i>	N	-
Common Tailorbird	<i>Orthotomus sutorius</i>	N	-
Scaly-breasted Munia	<i>Lonchura punctulata</i>	N	-
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	<i>Passer montanus</i>	N	-
Red-billed Starling	<i>Spodiopsar sericeus</i>	Y	(RC) *
Black-collared Starling	<i>Gracupica nigricollis</i>	N	-
Crested Myna	<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	N	-
Collared Crow	<i>Corvus torquatus</i>	Y	LC, NT
No. of species recorded:		33	

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
- (2) Follows HK bird list (dated 2017-09-05)
- # Greater Coucal is listed as vulnerable (VU) in China Red Data Book and it is protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection (category II)
- * Red-billed Starling is considered by Fellowes *et al.* (2002) to be of Global Concern. Since publication, however, the global population estimate has been revised and the species is not now considered globally threatened. A listing of Regional Concern (RC) based on the importance of the large roosts present near Deep Bay, is considered to be more appropriate. (Wetland Restoration Plan, Mott, 2008). Red-billed Starling is now listed as Least Concern by IUCN. (IUCN, 2016)

J. Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted

Table J1: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Amphibian	No. of species recorded:	0			
(No records in July 2018)			0	0.0	0
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Reptile	No. of species recorded:	0			
(No records in July 2018)			0	0.0	0

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Chan *et al.* (2005) and Karsen *et al.* (1998).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

Table J2: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Amphibian	No. of species recorded:	1			
Asian Common Toad	<i>Duttaphrynus melanostictus</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Reptile	No. of species recorded:	0			
(No records in July 2018)			0	0.0	0

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Chan *et al.* (2005) and Karsen *et al.* (1998).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.

Table J3: Summary of mammal monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Max ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Mammal	No. of species recorded:	0			
(No records in July 2018)			0	0.0	0

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and Shek (2006).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

Table J4: Summary of mammal monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Max ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Mammal	No. of species recorded:	2			
Bat	<i>Indet sp</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Leopard Cat [^]	<i>Prionailurus bengalensis</i>	-	0	0.0	✓

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and Shek (2006).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.

[^]Leopard Cat is listed on CITES Appendix II and vulnerable (VU) in China Red Data Book and it is protected under the Wild Animals Protection Ordinance (Cap. 170)

Table J5: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Odonata	No. of species recorded:	5			
Common Flangetail	<i>Ictinogomphus pertinax</i>	-	2	2.5	✓
Crimson Darter	<i>Crocothemis servilia servilia</i>	-	1	0.5	0
Green Skimmer	<i>Orthemtrum sabina sabina</i>	-	1	1	✓
Pied Skimmer	<i>Pseudothemis zonata</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Variiegated Flutterer	<i>Rhyothemis variegata arria</i>	-	1	2	✓

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Butterfly	No. of species recorded:	5			
Common Mime	<i>Chilasa clytia clytia</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Common Tiger	<i>Danaus genutia genutia</i>	-	2	3.5	✓
Indian Cabbage White	<i>Pieris canidia canidia</i>	-	2	5.5	✓
Paris Peacock	<i>Papilio paris paris</i>	-	1	0.5	0
Lemon Emigrant	<i>Catopsilia pomona pomona</i>	-	0	0.0	✓

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam *et al.* (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

Table J6: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Odonata	No. of species recorded:	8			
Blue Dasher	<i>Brachydiplax chalybea flavovittata</i>	-	1	1.0	✓
Common Flangetail	<i>Ictinogomphus pertinax</i>	-	2	8.0	✓
Crimson Darter	<i>Crocothemis servilia servilia</i>	-	2	8.0	✓
Green Skimmer	<i>Orthemtrum sabina sabina</i>	-	1	0.5	✓

Pied Skimmer	<i>Pseudothemis zonata</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Scarlet Basker	<i>Urothemis signata signata</i>	LC	1	1.0	0
Variiegated Flutterer	<i>Rhyothemis variegata arria</i>	-	2	3.5	✓
Wandering Glider	<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	-	1	1.5	✓
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	Jul 2018 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	Jul 2018 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys
Butterflies	No. of species recorded:	6			
Common Mime	<i>Chilasa clytia clytia</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Common Sailer	<i>Neptis hylas hylas</i>	-	0	0.0	✓
Common Tiger	<i>Danaus genutia genutia</i>	-	2	3.0	✓
Indian Cabbage White	<i>Pieris canidia canidia</i>	-	1	1.5	✓
Lemon Emigrant	<i>Catopsilia pomona pomona</i>	-	1	1.5	✓
Paris Peacock	<i>Papilio paris paris</i>	-	2	4.0	✓

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam *et al.* (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.

K. Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted

Table K1. Water quality at WRA during the reporting month

Cell No.	Temp. (°C)	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	Water Level (regular monitoring) (cm)	Additional Water Level Monitoring (cm)
1	33.6	7.88	0.41	5.8	7.4	190	190
2	33.9	7.83	0.45	7.5	7.6	170	170
3	34.1	7.92	0.41	7.3	7.3	210	210
4	34.7	7.99	0.38	4.0	6.5	200	200
Action Level	-	<6.5 or >8.0	>2	-	<2	<150 or >250	<150 or >250
Limit Level	-	<6.0 or >8.5	>5	-	<1	-	-

Note: Values highlighted in **bold** indicate that action level is reached; whereas values in **bold and underline** indicate that limit level is reached.

L. Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status

Air Quality – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Air Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
access roads should be sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved;	✓
every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry PFA should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;	N/A
de-bagging, batching or mixing process should be carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement;	N/A
use of effective dust screens, sheeting or netting to be provided to enclose dry scaffolding which may be provided from the ground floor level of the building or if a canopy is provided at the first-floor level, from the first floor level, up to the highest level (maximum four floors for this Project) of the scaffolding where scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction;	N/A
dump trucks for material transport should be totally enclosed using impervious sheeting;	✓
any excavated dusty materials or stockpile of dusty materials should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet, and recovered or backfilled or reinstated within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;	✓
dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water;	✓
the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with e.g. concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore or similar;	✓
the portion of road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;	✓
stockpile of dusty materials to be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting, placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet;	✓
all dusty materials to be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet;	✓
vehicle speed to be limited to 10 kph except on completed access roads;	✓
every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites;	✓
the load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; and	✓
the working area of excavation should be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after (as necessary) the operations so as to maintain the entire surface wet.	✓
Odour mitigation measures	
all malodorous excavated material should be placed as far as possible from any ASRs;	N/A
the stockpiled malodorous material should be removed from site as soon as possible; and	N/A
the stockpiled malodorous material should be covered entirely by plastic tarpaulin sheets.	N/A

Noise – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Noise Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works;	✓
machines and plant that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;	✓
plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs;	✓
silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilised and should be properly maintained during the construction period;	✓
mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;	✓
material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities; and	✓
air compressor and hand-held breaker should be fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation; and	N/A
The Contractor shall at all times comply with all current statutory environmental legislation.	✓
<i>Selection of quieter plant and working methods</i>	✓
The Contractor shall obtain particular models of plant that are quieter than standards given in GW-TM. The list of assumed quieter plants can be found in the Table 4–14 of the EIA report. The Contractor shall select from the available models achieving the assumed sound levels while making reference to the GW-TM and BS5228: Part 1: 1997	
<i>Use of Noise Barriers</i>	✓
Noise barriers are proposed along the site boundary to block the direct line of sight from the most affected NSRs to the major noise contribution construction phases. The height of the noise barriers ranged from 9-10m. The noise barriers shall be built before the commencement of construction works in order to ensure protection to nearby NSRs. The noise barrier should have a surface density of at least 10kg/m ² or material providing equivalent transmission loss. The noise barriers and hoardings should have no gaps and openings to avoid noise leakage.	

Water Quality – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
The site should be confined to avoid silt runoff to the site.	✓
No discharge of silty water into the storm drain and drainage channel within and the vicinity of the site.	✓
Any soil contaminated with chemicals/oils shall be removed from site and the void created shall be filled with suitable materials.	✓
Stockpiles to be covered by tarpaulin to avoid spreading of materials during rainstorms;	N/A
Suitable containers shall be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport;	✓
Chemical waste containers shall be labelled with appropriate warning signs in English and Chinese to avoid accidents. there shall also be clear instructions showing what action to take in the event of an accidental;	✓
Storage areas shall be selected at safe locations on site and adequate space shall be allocated to the storage area;	✓
Any construction plant which causes pollution to the water system due to leakage of oil or fuel shall be removed off-site immediately;	N/A
Spillage or leakage of chemical waste to be controlled by using suitable absorbent materials;	N/A
Chemicals will always be stored on drip trays or in bunded areas where the volume is 110% of the stored volume;	✓
Regular clearance of domestic waste generated in the temporary sanitary facilities to avoid waste water spillage.	✓
Temporary sanitary facilities to be provided for on-site workers during construction.	✓

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction**Implementation Status**

Temporary drainage channel and associated facilities will be provided to collect the surface runoff generated within the Project Area during the construction phase.	✓
Sandbags or silt traps will need to be placed to avoid silt runoff to the drainage channel draining the water in the northern ditch. Draining of the ditches should avoid rainy weather.	✓
Excavated soil which needs to be temporarily stockpiled should be stored in a specially designated area and provided with a tarpaulin cover to avoid runoff into the drainage channels.	✓

Waste Management – Recommended Mitigation Measures**Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction****Implementation Status**

<i>Site Clearance Waste</i>	✓
The major construction works of Wo Shang Wai is in the development of residential buildings and other associated facilities (club house, tennis courts, etc.). The amount of site clearance works will be limited with the exception of the excavated materials. The thin layer of vegetation removed can be stored and reused for landscaping.	
<i>Excavated Materials</i>	✓
The intention is to maximize the reuse of the excavated materials on-site as fill materials.	
<i>Imported Filling Material</i>	✓
The excavated/imported filling material may have to be temporarily stockpiled on-site for the construction of road embankment and foundation of viaduct substructure. Control measures should be taken at the stockpiling area to prevent the generation of dust and pollution of stormwater channels. However, to eliminate the risk of blocking drains in the wet season, it is recommended that stockpiling of excavated materials at during wet season should be avoided as far as practicable.	
<i>Construction and Demolition Materials</i>	✓
Careful design, planning and good site management can minimise over-ordering and generation of waste materials such as concrete, mortars and cement grouts. The design of formwork should maximise the use of standard wooden panels so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork of plastic facing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse.	
The Contractor should reuse any C&D material on-site. C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.	✓
<i>Chemical Waste</i>	N/A
For those processes which generate chemical waste, it may be possible to find alternatives which generate reduced quantities or even no chemical waste, or less dangerous types of chemical waste.	
Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should:	
be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed:	✓
have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and	✓
display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations,	✓
The storage area for chemical wastes should:	
be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste;	✓
be enclosed on at least 3 sides;	✓
have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in that area whichever is the greatest;	✓
have adequate ventilation;	✓
be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste if necessary); and	✓
be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated.	✓
Disposal of chemical waste should:	
be via a licensed waste collector; and	N/A

Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or	N/A
to be a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD.	N/A
<i>General Refuse</i>	P
Should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes. The Contractor should employ a reputable waste collector to remove general refuse from the site, separate from C&D and chemical wastes, on a regular basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.	
Disposal of Excavated Sediment at Sea	
The requirements and procedures for excavated sediment disposal are specified under the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 and PNAP 252. The management of the excavation, use and disposal of sediment is monitored by Fill Management Committee, whilst the licensing of marine dumping is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP).	N/A
The excavated sediment would be loaded onto barges or other appropriate vessel and transported to the designated marine disposal site. Category L sediment and Category M sediment passing the biological test would be suitable for disposal at a gazetted open sea disposal ground. Category M sediment failing the biological test and Category H sediment passing the biological test would require confined marine disposal.	N/A
During transportation and disposal of the dredged sediment, the following measures should be taken to minimize potential impacts on water quality: -	N/A
Bottom opening transport vessels should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels before the vessel is moved.	N/A
Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.	N/A

Ecology – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<i>Clear Definition of Site Limit</i>	
Clear definition of the site limit should be provided in order to minimize and confine the disturbance during the construction period, especially the northern limit of the Site which is adjacent to fishponds within the Conservation Area (CA) zone and are considered to be ecological sensitive receivers.	✓
During wetland construction stage the WRA boundary will be delineated using a temporary hoarding in order to reduce disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife. During the establishment phase this hoarding will be replaced with a 1 m high chain-link fence in order to reduce disturbance to the WRA through access by humans and dogs, and a hoarding will be established around the residential construction site.	N/A (WRA construction completed)
<i>Dust and Noise Suppression and Avoidance of Water Pollution</i>	
Good site practices of dust and noise suppression should be strictly implemented to ensure that disturbance is minimized to acceptable levels. Mitigation measures for the off-site disturbance impacts on the fishponds in the CA include hoarding at the northern site boundary during construction of the WRA to reduce noise and dust impacts to the adjacent habitats. Through the use of quieter plant and temporary/movable noise barriers, the noise level would be reduced significantly to an acceptable level. Hoarding at the northern boundary should be replaced with a 1 m high chain-link fence following construction and the WRA will then act as a buffer between the existing wetland areas and the residential part of the site until construction is completed. Hoarding will be retained between the WRA and ongoing construction work to avoid visual disturbance and reduce noise and dust emissions. Pollution of watercourses and sedimentary runoff will be minimized by good site practice, especially the containment of water and sediment within the site for removal. These standard noise and air and water quality site practices are considered to be effective measures for minimizing the disturbance impact during the construction period.	✓

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction

Implementation Status

Planning of Construction Schedule

The construction of the proposed project should be scheduled in phases. Because mitigation is preferably carried out in advance of the main works rather than after the completion of works, the construction of the WRA will commence at the start of the project. Construction work within the WRA is scheduled to take place in a single wet season, followed by 1.5 years of wetland establishment. During the wetland establishment period no noisy work will be undertaken within the WRA to minimize the disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife.

N/A
(WRA construction completed)

Reusing Onsite Materials

Soil and plants on-site should be reused (e.g. used as fill material) as far as practical. Stock piles of these reusable materials should be stored in an appropriate area on-site. In particular, the re-use of the wetland soils and topsoil should be considered.

✓

Construction of the Wetland Restoration Area

The WRA will be operational within 2.5 yrs from the commencement of construction (1 year for site formation and 1.5 years for establishment) and will compensate for the predicted ecological impacts of the proposed development.

✓

Landscape and Visual – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures during construction

Implementation Status

CM1 - The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.

✓

CM2 - Screening of construction works by hoarding / noise barriers.

✓
(see **Appendix M**
Photo 1 & 2 *)

CM3 - Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.

✓

CM4 - Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.

✓

CM5 - Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).

✓

CM6 - Advance screen planting of noise barriers

✓
(see **Appendix M**
Photo 3 *)

CM7 - Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.

N/A

CM8 - Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to the Project Area.

✓

CM9 - Protection of existing trees on boundary of site shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).

✓

CM10 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.

✓

Legend:

✓ Implemented




x Not implemented

P Partially implemented

N/A Not applicable

* Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix M**

M. Landscape and Visual Audit Photos

	
<p>Photo 1: The Construction works have been screened by hoarding / noise barriers. (CM2)</p>	<p>Photo 2: The wetland areas are being established, with the ponds are being seasonally filled with rain water. (CM2)</p>
	
<p>Photo 3: Advance screen planting of noise barrier has been undertaken (CM6)</p>	