



# **Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long**

Monthly EM&A Report for October 2022

8 November 2022



Mott MacDonald  
3/F Manulife Place  
348 Kwun Tong Road  
Kwun Tong  
Kowloon  
Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
mottmac.hk

Profit Point Enterprises  
Limited

# **Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long**

Monthly EM&A Report for October 2022

8 November 2022





**Document reference:** 370161 | 05|01 | A

**Information class:** Standard

---

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

---



**Pursuant to Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E,  
this Monthly EM&A Report for October 2022 has been reviewed,  
certified by Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the  
Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).**

**Certified by:**



---

Nikita Nanwani Nanwani  
Environmental Team Leader (ETL)  
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd.

Date

---

14 November 2022

**Verified by:**



---

David Yeung  
Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)  
Ramboll Hong Kong Limited

Date

---

14 November 2022



# Contents

Executive summary	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Project Organization	2
1.3 Environmental Status in the reporting period	2
1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements	3
<b>2 Impact Monitoring Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Air Quality	5
2.3 Construction Noise	7
2.4 Water Quality	9
2.5 Ecology	11
2.6 Landscape and Visual	12
<b>3 Monitoring Results</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1 Impact Monitoring Schedule	15
3.2 Results of Impact Monitoring	15
<b>4 Ecological Monitoring</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1 Monitoring of Birds	22
4.2 Monitoring of Herpetofauna	22
4.3 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies	22
4.4 Monitoring of Mammals	23
4.5 Monitoring of Water Quality	23
4.6 Management Activities	23
4.7 Summary	24
<b>5 Landscape and Visual</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1 Site Inspections	26
5.2 Construction Phase Audit Summary	26
<b>6 Environmental Site Inspection and Audit</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1 Site Inspection	28
6.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	28
6.3 Status of Environmental Licences and Permits	28
6.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures	28

<b>7</b>	<b>Report on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions</b>	<b>30</b>
7.1	Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	30
7.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	30
7.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	30
7.4	Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions	30
7.5	Follow-up Actions Taken	31
7.6	Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	31
<b>8</b>	<b>Future Key Issues</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1	Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month	32
8.2	Key Issues for the Coming Months	32
8.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	32
8.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	33
<b>9</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>35</b>
9.1	List of References	35
	<b>Figures</b>	<b>37</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>39</b>
A.	Project Organization Chart	41
B.	Tentative Construction Programme (not used)	43
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	45
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual	47
E.	Calibration Certificates	53
F.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	55
G.	Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period	57
H.	Ecological Monitoring conducted	59
I.	Summary of Bird Surveys conducted	61

J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted	67
K.	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted	73
L.	Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status	75
M.	Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	81

## Tables

Table 1.1:	Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements	3
Table 1.2:	Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month	4
Table 2.1:	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	5
Table 2.2:	Air Monitoring Stations	5
Table 2.3:	TSP Monitoring Equipment	6
Table 2.4:	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	8
Table 2.5:	Noise Monitoring Stations	8
Table 2.6:	Noise Monitoring Equipment	8
Table 2.7:	Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	9
Table 2.8:	Water Quality Monitoring Stations	9
Table 2.9:	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment	10
Table 2.10:	Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples	10
Table 2.11:	Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants	11
Table 2.12:	Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)	12
Table 2.13:	Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist	13
Table 2.14:	Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures	13
Table 2.15:	Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures	14
Table 3.1:	Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	15
Table 3.2:	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	16
Table 3.3:	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	17
Table 3.4:	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results	18
Table 4.1:	Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A Manual	24
Table 5.1:	Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary	26
Table 6.1:	Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations	28
Table 6.2:	Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits	28
Table 7.1:	Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality	30
Table 7.2:	Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	31
Table 8.1:	Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting Month	33

## Figures

- Figure 1.1 General Layout Plan of the Project Site
- Figure 2.1 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
- Figure 2.2 Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations
- Figure 2.3 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations
- Figure 4.1 Survey Area and Transect Walked
- Figure 4.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Ecological Monitoring



# Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. (“MMHK”) has been commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long.

This is the 150<sup>th</sup> EM&A report submitted under the Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E. This report summarises the findings on EM&A during the period from 1 to 31 October 2022.

## **Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels**

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP) and Noise level (as  $L_{eq}$ ) in the reporting month.

During October 2022, two Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3.

## **Implementation of Mitigation Measures**

Site audits were carried out on 5, 12, 21 and 28 October 2022 to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 6 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix L**.

## **Record of Complaints**

There was no record of complaints received in the reporting month.

## **Future Key Issues**

Site works scheduled to be commissioned in the coming three months include regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area including adjusting the water level, if required, and removal of unwanted species in the pond. No major construction works will be carried out. Potential environmental impacts due to the activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual, will be monitored.

Environmental mitigation measures will be implemented on site as recommended and weekly site audits will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Introduction

In March 2005, the Project Proponent, Profit Point Enterprises Limited, acquired the development site at Wo Shang Wai in Yuen Long. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was then carried out and approved under the EIA Ordinance (EIAO), and the Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008) for construction of the comprehensive development in Wo Shang Wai was first granted by EPD on 9 September 2008 and has been subsequently varied, with the current version (EP-311/2008/E) issued by EPD on 19 December 2017.

The Project involves the residential development and associated infrastructure and wetland restoration area and linear landscape area. The construction works under the Environmental Permit commenced on 12 May 2010. The site formation construction works of the Wetland Restoration Area (hereafter WRA) were completed on 15 November 2010 and the WRA was established by October 2012, within 30 months from the commencement of construction as stipulated in the EP. This indicated that planting works as scheduled in the approved Wetland Restoration and Creation Scheme (WRCS; Nov 2009) were complete, except along the western and southern boundary where the planting is affected by the existing site boundary and noise barrier, and for which a Variation to Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008/C) to defer planting at the location was approved. Consequently, EP (EP-311/2008/D) including specific mitigation measures to minimise certain identified noise impacts during the operation phase was approved. The current valid EP (EP-311/2008/E) comprises varied conditions for the implementation and maintenance of visual and landscape measures, and for the implementation of noise mitigation measures.

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. ("MMHK") has been commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long.

According to the EP Condition 4.5, the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within two weeks after the end of the reporting month. This report summarises the findings during the period from 1 to 31 October 2022.

## 1.2 Project Organization

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

## 1.3 Environmental Status in the reporting period

During the reporting month, construction works of the Project undertaken include:

- General site maintenance work
- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including monitoring the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond)

There were no major construction works carried out. The general layout plan of the Project site is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

## 1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1** below:

**Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements**

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	Once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	3 times every 6 days
Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ , $L_{90}$ , $L_{10}$ (dB(A))	NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	Weekly
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	MP1 to MP6	3 days per week
Ecology	Birds	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
	Dragonflies and Butterflies	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Once per month during Mar and Sep to Nov, and twice per month during Apr to Aug
	Herpetofauna	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Daytime: Once per month during Apr to Nov Night-time: Once per month during Mar to Aug
	Water quality of Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)	WRA	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of wet season and end of dry season) for laboratory testing
	Site Inspections	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
Landscape and Visual	Auditing of protection of existing trees, the transplanting of existing trees, the creation of new wetland, the planting of new trees and shrubs and other landscape and visual mitigation measures	CM1 to CM10 and OM1 to OM7 within the Project Area	Site inspections once every two weeks during construction phase; once every two months during operational phase

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality, noise and water quality are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, noise, water quality and Landscape & Visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Table 1.2** as follows.

**Table 1.2: Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month**

**Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for October 2022**

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
						1 National Day
2	3 Water	4 Chung Yeung Festival	5 Water Landscape Bird Water Quality Monitoring	6 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring Dragonfly & Butterfly	7 Water	8
9	10 Water	11 Bird 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	12 Water	13	14 Water Water Quality Monitoring	15
16	17 Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	18 Bird	19 Water Herpetofauna (day time)	20	21 Water Landscape 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP	22
23	24 Water	25	26 Water	27 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	28 Water	29 Bird Water Quality Monitoring
30	31 Water					

\* Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)

@ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

## 2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

### 2.1 Introduction

For air quality, construction noise and water quality, ecology, landscape and visual monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration, etc., are detailed in this Chapter.

### 2.2 Air Quality

#### 2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels monitoring are to be conducted during the construction phase. **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

**Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3 & ASR4	24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days
	1-hour TSP	3 times every six-days

#### 2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

The four air quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed air quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.2** and as shown in **Figure 2.1**.

**Table 2.2: Air Monitoring Stations**

Monitoring Stations	Locations
ASR1	Guard house outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms*
ASR2A	At the rooftop of refuse collection point, which is located at the southwest of the project area
ASR3	At the commercial centre at Palm Springs, which is located at the south of the project area
ASR4	Outside works site entrance*

\*Note: Relocation of ASR1 and ASR4 were from 5 June 2018 as the previous locations were within the Project construction site. All monitoring data at ASR1 and ASR4 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring locations.

#### 2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring is conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: GMWS-2310 Accu-vol). The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring.

**Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-hr TSP monitoring</b>	
High Volume Sampler	GMWS 2310 Accu-vol
Calibrator	TE-5025A
<b>1-hr TSP monitoring</b>	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust Monitor

## 2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

### 2.2.4.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

#### Installation

The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receiver. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of two metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of two metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.

#### Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than  $\pm 3$  °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than  $\pm 5\%$ . A convenient working RH was 40%.

#### Field Monitoring

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.

- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m<sup>3</sup>/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs + 1 hr, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a HOKLAS accredited laboratory for analysis.

#### **Maintenance and Calibration**

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated at two-month intervals.
- Calibration records for HVSs are shown in **Appendix E**.

#### **2.2.4.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring**

##### **Field Monitoring**

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Set POWER to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet has been capped).
- Push the knob at MEASURE position.
- Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
- Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Push "START" button.

##### **Maintenance and Calibration**

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix E**.

### **2.3 Construction Noise**

#### **2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Following the requirements in the EM&A Manual for noise, noise monitoring has to be carried out during the construction phase. Continuous noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels  $L_{eq(30\text{ min})}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  is undertaken once per every week.

**Table 2.4** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

**Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	$L_{eq(30min)}$ , $L_{90}$ , $L_{10}$ (dB(A))	Once every week

### 2.3.2 Monitoring Locations

The four noise quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed noise quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.5** and as shown in **Figure 2.2**.

**Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Stations**

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Type of measurement
NSR1	Noise monitoring equipment was set up near the boundary wall at Palm Springs	Free field
NSR3	The monitoring station was located next to the guard house at Palm Spring	Façade
NSR5	Outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms*	Façade
NSR7	The monitoring station was located near the boundary wall of the house of Mai Po San Tsuen	Free field

\*Note: Relocation of NSR5 was from 5 June 2018 as the previous location was within the Project construction site. All monitoring data at NSR5 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring location.

### 2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It is a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) and percentile sound pressure level ( $L_x$ ). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

**Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52
Calibrator	Larson Davis CAL200

### 2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

#### Field Monitoring

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Façade and free-field measurements were made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - frequency weighting: A
  - time weighting: Fast



- time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 07:00 and 19:00)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

### Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in **Appendix E**.

## 2.4 Water Quality

### 2.4.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A Manual for water quality, water quality parameters including Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, turbidity, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) have to be monitored at designated monitoring stations during construction of the Project. DO, temperature and pH were measured in-situ whereas SS and BOD were analysed in a laboratory. The measurements should be taken at all designated monitoring stations, three days per week. The interval between any two sets of monitoring was not less than 36 hours. **Table 2.7** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of water quality monitoring.

**Table 2.7: Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
MP1, MP2, MP3, MP4, MP5 & MP6	DO, temperature, pH, SS, turbidity, BOD	Three days every week

### 2.4.2 Monitoring Locations

The six water quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual. Locations of the agreed water quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.8** and as shown in **Figure 2.3**.

**Table 2.8: Water Quality Monitoring Stations**

Monitoring Stations	Easting	Northing
MP1*	838 730.50	822 862.25
MP2*	838 933.26	823 247.41
MP3	839 107.17	823 596.84
MP4	839 286.14	823 638.55
MP5	839 134.35	823 722.99
MP6	839 063.02	823 842.25

\*Note: The water quality impact monitoring at MP1 and MP2 have been terminated since July 2012 due to withdrawal of access right from landowner.

### 2.4.3 Monitoring Equipment

The Water Quality Monitoring Equipment and Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples are given in **Table 2.9** and **Table 2.10** respectively. Details of which are discussed as follows.

**Table 2.9: Water Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model	Equipment/ Serial Number
Conductivity, Dissolved oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature Measuring Meter, Turbidity	YSI ProDSS	17E100747 21G105356 15M10005 16H104234
Global Positioning System (GPS)	Garmin eTrex Vista	ENO 007

**Table 2.10: Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples**

Determinant, unit	Standard Method
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	In house method based on APHA 2540D; ALS Method Code: EA-025
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), mg/L	In house method based on APHA 5210B; ALS Method Code: EP-030

All in-situ monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use.

#### Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Temperature, pH and Turbidity measuring equipment

A portable, weatherproof multiparameter instrument (YSL ProDSS) was used in the monitoring. It can be capable for measuring dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH and turbidity simultaneously with the following limits:

- a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-50 mg/L and 0-500 % saturation;
- a temperature of -5 to 70 degrees Celsius;
- pH value of 0-14 with 0.1 as the base unit; and
- turbidity between 0-4000 NTU

#### Global Positioning System (GPS)

A hand-held GPS navigator (Garmin eTrex Vista) was used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling.

#### Suspended Solids and BOD Measurements (Sample Containers and Storage)

Water samples for SS analysis were stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), delivered to the laboratory, and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Analysis was carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

### 2.4.4 Detection Limit

The limits of detection for the in-situ and laboratory measurements are shown in **Table 2.11**.

**Table 2.11: Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants**

Determinant	Limit of Detection
Dissolved Oxygen	0.1 mg/L
Temperature	0.1 degree Celsius
pH	0.01 unit
Turbidity	0.1 NTU
Suspended Solids	2 mg/L
BOD	2 mg/L

## 2.4.5 Monitoring Methodology

### In situ Measurement

All pH meters, DO/ temperature meters had been checked and calibrated prior to use. Standard buffer solution of at least two pH levels (either pH 4 and pH 7, or pH 7 and pH 10) had been used for calibration of the instrument before and after use.

DO meters had been calibrated by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes had been checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibrations for all DO meters had been carried out before measurement at each monitoring location. For the on-site calibration of field equipment, BS 1427:2009, "Guide to on-site test methods for the analysis of waters" had been observed.

Measurements were taken at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above stream bed/pond bed, except where the water depth is less than 6m, the mid-depth station maybe omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

Replicates in-situ measurements and samples collected from each independent monitoring event are required for all parameters to ensure a robust statistically interpretable dataset.

### Water Samples Preparation and Analysis

For collection of water sampling within the water courses, a 500ml clean plastic beaker was used. After collection, the water samples were stored in high-density polythene bottles. The sample container was rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample was then transferred to the container, labelled with a unique sample ID and sealed with a screw cap. The water samples were stored in a cool box maintained at 4 °C. The water samples were then delivered to a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS) on the same day for analysis.

The testing methods of testing parameters as recommended by EIA or required by EPD, with the QA/QC results are in accordance with the requirement of HOKLAS or international accredited scheme.

The calibration certificates for equipment used for in-situ monitoring of water quality are attached in **Appendix E**.

## 2.5 Ecology

### 2.5.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Target species and certain other fauna were monitored within the Project Area and Assessment Area during the wetland and residential construction phase. This is important to ensure that any

unexpected events or impacts either on- or off-site are quickly identified so that remedial action can be taken. The groups monitored and frequency of monitoring are shown in **Table 2.12**.

**Table 2.12: Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)**

Species / Parameter	Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring
Birds	Weekly (including Assessment Area)
Dragonflies and Butterflies	Once per month during March and September to November; and twice per month during April to August
Herpetofauna	Daytime: Once per month during April to November; and Night-time: Once per month during March to August
Water Quality	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of the wet season and end of the dry season) for laboratory testing
Site Inspections	Weekly

## 2.5.2 Monitoring Locations and Methodology

Ecological monitoring locations during construction phase are shown in **Figure 4.1** and the methodology for ecological monitoring is detailed in **Section 4**.

## 2.6 Landscape and Visual

### 2.6.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

All measures undertaken by both the Contractor and the specialist Landscape Sub-Contractor during the construction phase and first year of the operational phase shall be audited by a Registered Landscape Architect, as a member of the ET, on a regular basis to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. Site inspections should be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period and once every two months during the operational phase.

The broad scope of audit is detailed below but should also be undertaken with reference to the more specific checklist provided in **Table 2.13** below. Operational phase auditing will be restricted to the last 12 months of the establishment works of the landscaping proposals and thus only the items below concerning this period are relevant to the operational phase.

- The extent of the agreed works area should be regularly checked during the construction phase. Any trespass by the Contractor outside the limit of the works, including any damage to existing trees and woodland shall be noted;
- The progress of the engineering works should be regularly reviewed on site to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken;
- All existing vegetation, streams and other features within the study area which are not directly affected by the works are retained and protected;
- The methods of protecting existing vegetation proposed by the Contractor are acceptable and enforced;
- Preparation, lifting transport and re-planting operations for any transplanted trees;
- The layout, design and construction of buildings conforms to requirements specified in the EIA report;
- All landscaping works are carried out in accordance with the EIA recommendations and with specifications;

- The planting of new trees, shrubs, groundcover, climbers, grasses and other plants, together with the replanting of any transplanted trees are carried out properly and within the right season;
- All necessary horticultural operations and replacement planting are undertaken throughout the Establishment Period to ensure the healthy establishment and growth of both transplanted trees and all newly establishment plants.

**Table 2.13: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist**

Area of Works	Items to be Monitored
Works Area	Check the extent of the Works to ensure that the Works Area is not exceeded the site boundaries.
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be retained, erection of physical protection (e.g. fencing), monitoring against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Streams	Ensure no run-off into existing streams
Clearance of existing vegetation	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be cleared, checking of extent of works to minimize damage, monitoring of adjacent areas against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Transplanting of trees	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be transplanted, monitoring of extent of pruning / lifting works to minimize damage, timing of operations implementation of all stages of preparatory and translocation works, and maintenance of transplanted vegetation, etc.
Topsoil stripping	Ensuring existing topsoil is stripped and stored under recognized good practice and is hydroseeded and regularly turned to prevent anaerobic conditions
New buildings	Ensure that building finishes accord with mitigation proposals with regard to colour and albedo.
Boundaries	Ensuring hoarding are erected as required
Noise Barrier	Ensure noise barrier design accords with the mitigation proposals with regard to location, materials and finishes.
Night-time lighting	Ensuring night-time lighting is directional, hooded and shielded away from VSRs
Plant supply	Monitoring of operations relating to the supply of specialist plant material (including the collecting, germination and growth of plants from seed) to ensure that plants will be available in time to be used within the construction works.
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	Check that wetland, and hard / soft landscape designs conform to intent of mitigation measures and agreed designs
Soiling, planting, etc.	Monitoring of implementation and maintenance of soiling and planting works against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Establishment Works	Monitoring of implementation of maintenance operation during Establishment Period

## 2.6.2 Monitoring Locations

The monitoring locations should check against the mitigation measures proposed under the approved Environmental Impact Assessment, which are summarised as follows in **Table 2.14** and **Table 2.15**:

**Table 2.14: Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures**

ID No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures
CM1	The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.
CM2	Screening of construction works by hoardings/noise barriers around Works area in visually unobtrusive colours, to screen Works.
CM3	Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.

ID No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures
CM4	Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.
CM5	Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).
CM6	Advance screen planting of noise barriers.
CM7	Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.
CM8	Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to Project Area.
CM9	Protection of existing trees on boundary of project area shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).
CM10	Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.

**Table 2.15: Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures**

ID No.	Landscape Mitigation Measures
OM1	Compensatory Tree Planting for all felled trees shall be provided to the satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under DEVB TC(W) 7/2015.
OM2	A continuous belt of screen planting along southern perimeter of project area with fast growing tree species. At least 450 trees capable of reaching a height > 10m within 10 years should be planted. Planting of the belt of trees shall be carried out as advance works ahead of other site formation and building works.
OM3	Maximise soft landscape and amenity water bodies in residential areas of the development. Approximately 750 trees (of Heavy Standard size) should be planted. Where space permits, roadside berms should be created. Street trees should be of species that reach a mature height of no less than 15m.
OM4	Maximise freshwater habitat wetland creation consistent with achieving other parameters. Minimum 4.74 ha to be provided. Wetlands must have natural edge profiles with >1m wide emergent zone. No access to the wetland by residents and all wetlands must be screened from residential development by a continuous tree screen at interface with residential development or earth mounding such that disturbance is minimised. Implementation of the wetland shall be carried out as advance works.
OM5	Use appropriate (visually unobtrusive and non-reflective) building materials and colours in built structures.
OM6	During detailed design, refine building layout to create a min. 10m wide gap between buildings north of Wo Shang Wai pond and also two min. 10m wide gaps in the row of buildings adjacent to Royal Palms.
OM7	Streetscape elements (e.g. paving, signage, street furniture, lighting etc.) shall be sensitively designed in a manner that responds to the local context and minimises potential negative landscape and visual impacts. Lighting units should be directional and minimise unnecessary light spill.

## 3 Monitoring Results

### 3.1 Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact monitoring for air quality (dust), noise and water quality due to the construction work were undertaken during the reporting month in compliance with the EM&A manual in the reporting period. Regular site inspections were carried out on 5, 12, 21 and 28 October 2022 during the reporting month to assess the compliance with environmental requirements.

### 3.2 Results of Impact Monitoring

#### 3.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring

##### 3.2.1.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
		1 <sup>st</sup> Result	2 <sup>nd</sup> Result	3 <sup>rd</sup> Result			
<b>ASR1</b>							
06-Oct-22	14:06	17	16	20	16-45	378	500
11-Oct-22	8:26	20	21	22			
17-Oct-22	9:11	20	22	26			
21-Oct-22	8:36	41	45	43			
27-Oct-22	8:15	18	19	19			
<b>ASR2A</b>							
06-Oct-22	9:24	19	17	17	14-35	357	500
11-Oct-22	13:10	23	20	18			
17-Oct-22	13:05	24	22	22			
21-Oct-22	13:17	35	34	32			
27-Oct-22	13:05	14	14	15			
<b>ASR3</b>							
06-Oct-22	9:08	24	25	21	15-38	358	500
11-Oct-22	13:30	18	18	15			
17-Oct-22	13:22	20	21	20			
21-Oct-22	13:01	38	33	36			
27-Oct-22	13:21	20	19	17			

Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hr TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
		1 <sup>st</sup> Result	2 <sup>nd</sup> Result	3 <sup>rd</sup> Result			
<b>ASR4</b>							
06-Oct-22	14:22	18	17	18	14-52	372	500
11-Oct-22	8:42	17	16	16			
17-Oct-22	9:28	24	20	19			
21-Oct-22	8:20	43	52	41			
27-Oct-22	8:33	18	15	14			

### 3.2.1.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Date	Monitoring Results ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
<b>ASR1</b>				
06-Oct-22	36	36-77	226	260
11-Oct-22	77			
17-Oct-22	69			
21-Oct-22	64			
27-Oct-22	77			
<b>ASR2A</b>				
06-Oct-22	37	37-75	213	260
11-Oct-22	74			
17-Oct-22	75			
21-Oct-22	58			
27-Oct-22	62			
<b>ASR3</b>				
06-Oct-22	43	43-87	205	260
11-Oct-22	87			
17-Oct-22	83			
21-Oct-22	76			
27-Oct-22	87			
<b>ASR4</b>				
06-Oct-22	40	40-79	237	260
11-Oct-22	79			
17-Oct-22	66			
21-Oct-22	64			
27-Oct-22	69			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.



### 3.2.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.3: Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Date	Start Time	Mean and Range of Noise Levels, dB(A)			Limit Level for L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	
<b>NSR1</b>					
06-Oct-22	16:49	43	45	41	75
11-Oct-22	11:14	46	47	40	
17-Oct-22	14:18	50	52	41	
27-Oct-22	11:08	49	51	40	
<b>NSR3</b>					
06-Oct-22	16:04	44	45	41	75
11-Oct-22	10:22	42	43	39	
17-Oct-22	13:30	45	47	41	
27-Oct-22	10:17	45	45	41	
<b>NSR5</b>					
06-Oct-22	15:15	50	52	45	75
11-Oct-22	9:36	49	50	43	
17-Oct-22	11:12	49	51	45	
27-Oct-22	9:29	48	50	45	
<b>NSR7</b>					
06-Oct-22	14:28	66	68	63	75
11-Oct-22	8:46	65	67	62	
17-Oct-22	10:25	66	67	63	
27-Oct-22	8:38	66	68	63	

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting period.

### 3.2.3 Water Quality Monitoring

The water quality monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.4**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.4: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) <sup>(1)</sup>	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>MP3</b>							
03-10-22	30.0	7.5	7.2	95.3	27.0	2	22
05-10-22	30.9	7.3	7.7	102.8	17.6	5	14
07-10-22	30.7	7.4	7.8	103.9	23.1	5	21
10-10-22	28.3	7.5	7.6	98.3	39.8	4	38
12-10-22	28.0	7.3	7.7	99.0	25.9	6	28
14-10-22	27.4	7.2	7.6	97.0	33.3	7	31
17-10-22	27.5	<b>7.6</b>	7.5	95.4	32.8	7	29
19-10-22	28.3	7.3	7.7	99.6	35.2	6	31
21-10-22	28.3	7.4	7.8	100.0	29.0	6	28
24-10-22	31.2	<b>7.6</b>	7.7	104.2	14.0	5	12
26-10-22	31.3	7.4	7.6	102.9	17.8	6	14
28-10-22	31.2	7.3	7.7	104.1	24.4	8	21
31-10-22	23.7	7.5	7.6	95.0	23.1	9	23
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	-	>64	-	>65
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	-	>67	-	>66
<b>MP4</b>							
03-10-22	29.9	7.4	5.4	72.1	19.5	2	14
05-10-22	30.7	7.2	5.6	75.3	13.3	2	9
07-10-22	30.5	7.2	5.2	70.0	22.1	<2	16
10-10-22	28.3	7.2	5.6	72.6	44.5	2	39
12-10-22	27.9	7.4	5.5	70.0	31.1	2	25
14-10-22	27.3	7.0	5.4	69.7	28.2	<2	27
17-10-22	27.5	7.3	5.8	74.3	23.4	3	18
19-10-22	28.2	7.4	5.6	71.9	24.1	<2	20
21-10-22	28.1	7.2	5.5	70.3	11.9	<2	10
24-10-22	31.1	7.3	5.8	78.4	21.2	2	24
26-10-22	31.5	7.4	5.7	78.3	25.0	<2	21
28-10-22	30.9	7.4	5.7	77.4	35.3	2	36
31-10-22	23.3	7.2	5.4	50.9	17.1	<2	16
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<3.91	-	>60	-	>50
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.82	-	>64	-	>53
<b>MP5</b>							
03-10-22	30.2	7.0	5.0	67.7	21.3	<2	14.5
05-10-22	30.8	6.9	5.3	71.6	14.0	2	10.5
07-10-22	30.9	7.0	5.4	73.0	19.2	2	12.5
10-10-22	28.5	7.0	5.3	69.8	36.2	<2	25.5
12-10-22	27.9	7.0	5.4	68.7	29.7	2	23.5
14-10-22	27.5	7.1	5.0	64.5	29.7	<2	27

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) <sup>(1)</sup>	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
17-10-22	27.7	7.1	5.4	69.5	23.0	2	23.5
19-10-22	28.3	7.2	5.3	68.4	24.7	<2	22.5
21-10-22	28.2	7.0	5.2	67.4	13.8	2	10.5
24-10-22	31.2	7.1	5.4	73.8	24.1	2	23.5
26-10-22	31.6	7.2	5.5	75.1	27.6	<2	22
28-10-22	31.3	7.2	5.5	74.2	41.5	2	43.5
31-10-22	23.9	7.3	5.1	45.7	18.0	<2	15.5
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.13	-	>81	-	>66
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.87	-	>84	-	>69
<b>MP6</b>							
03-10-22	30.3	6.9	4.9	65.2	22.4	2	19
05-10-22	30.9	6.9	5.1	69.4	14.3	2	9
07-10-22	31.0	7.0	5.3	70.7	26.5	<2	28
10-10-22	28.6	7.0	5.2	67.4	38.6	<2	40
12-10-22	28.0	6.9	5.2	66.1	34.7	2	27
14-10-22	27.6	7.0	4.9	62.2	31.1	<2	31
17-10-22	27.8	7.0	5.3	67.9	24.1	3	22
19-10-22	28.4	7.1	5.2	66.8	27.8	<2	25
21-10-22	28.3	6.9	5.0	64.1	13.5	2	12
24-10-22	31.3	7.0	5.3	72.0	25.6	2	24
26-10-22	31.7	7.1	5.3	71.9	28.1	2	25
28-10-22	31.4	7.2	5.3	71.9	47.8	2	67
31-10-22	24.2	7.3	5.3	46.7	19.2	<2	20
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.61	-	>94	-	>75
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<4.52	-	>96	-	>75

Notes:

- (1) "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).
- (2) For the Limit Level of DO, 1-percentile of baseline data is adopted as it is greater than 2mg/L. (Refer to [Baseline Monitoring Report](#))
- (3) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.
- (4) Values **Underlined and in Bold** indicate Limit Level exceedance.

### 3.2.3.1 Exceedance Investigation and Findings

During October 2022, two Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3.

#### Exceedance of pH at MP3

Exceedances of the Action Level of pH were observed on 17 and 24 October 2022 at MP3. As understood, the fish pond near the site (represented by MP3) is separated from the open ditch by the pond bund (since commencement of construction phase EM&A monitoring in May 2010) and from the construction site by the WRA (since it was completed in November 2010). No direct discharge from the project site to the fish pond was observed.

Mitigation measures for water quality protection, including the provision of wastewater treatment facilities (with sedimentation tank and AquaSed) and proper drainage system that separates from the WRA, have been implemented. No adverse impact on the fish pond near the site was observed, including on the day with exceedance of water quality parameters.

According to the results of the baseline water quality monitoring conducted prior to the commencement of construction works, the pH recorded at MP3 ranged from 7.7 to 8.6. The recorded pH exceedance (7.6) is therefore considered to be within the range of the natural variations at this location.

It is also noted from AFCD's Environmental Management of Pond Fish Culture (EMPFC) guidelines from its Series of Good Aquaculture Practice that the pH level of fishpond water should be between 6 and 8.5. The recorded value was well within the guideline recommendations. Nevertheless, aerators were observed on the days of pH exceedance (see Photo 1 and 2). Aerators help reduce alkalinity and remove excess carbon dioxide, thus improving water quality and stabilizing pH levels.

It is concluded that the pH exceedances at MP3 were possibly due to localised natural variations and external factors such as pond fish culture activities in the fish pond represented by MP3, which are not related to project construction activities.

### Conclusion

As a result, the abovementioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the project construction works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

Photo 1

Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 17 October 2022



**Photo 2**                    **Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 24 October 2022**



## 4 Ecological Monitoring

### 4.1 Monitoring of Birds

This report documents surveys conducted in the Survey Area between 1 and 31 October 2022. The Wetland Restoration Area (WRA) is also surveyed as the area is accessible and site formation works for WRA has been completed. The updated survey transect is provided in **Figure 4.1**. Dates and ecological surveys conducted during this period are summarised in **Appendix H**.

Monitoring was undertaken following the survey methodology in the EM&A Manual. A transect was followed in the bird surveys (see **Figure 4.1**). All bird species were identified to species and all bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland dependent were enumerated and recorded to the habitats in which they were observed. Flying birds were not recorded unless they were foraging and/or associated with the habitat (such as swifts, swallows and birds of prey). Further, notable bird observations during other surveys or site inspections were also recorded.

Bird surveys were conducted on a weekly basis. A total of 47 bird species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA), 22 of which were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence. Within the WRA, 53 bird species were recorded, 23 of which were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence including all three target species (Little Egret, Chinese Pond Heron and Eastern Cattle Egret).

The WRA continues to attract a number of species of conservation importance, including Little Grebe (*Tachybaptus ruficollis*), Great Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*), Grey Heron (*Ardea cinerea*), Great Egret (*Ardea alba*), Intermediate Egret (*Egretta intermedia*), Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Eastern Cattle Egret (*Bubulcus coromandus*), Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*), Yellow Bittern (*Ixobrychus sinensis*), Black-crowned Night Heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*), Black-winged Kite (*Elanus caeruleus*), Black Kite (*Milvus migrans*), Little Ringed Plover (*Charadrius dubius*), Pied Kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*) and White-throated Kingfisher (*Halcyon smyrnensis*). Little Grebe, Eastern Cattle Egret, Yellow Bittern, Black-crowned Night Heron, Black-winged Kite, Little Ringed Plover, Pied Kingfisher and White-throated Kingfisher are listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Local Concern” in 2002. Great Cormorant, Grey Heron, Great Egret, Little Egret and Chinese Pond Heron are listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Potential Regional Concern” in 2002. Intermediate Egret and Black Kite are listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Regional Concern” in 2002.

A summary of survey data is provided in **Appendix I**.

### 4.2 Monitoring of Herpetofauna

One daytime herpetofauna survey was scheduled in the reporting month. No amphibian species nor reptile species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during regular or outside regular surveys. Within the WRA, no amphibian species and three reptile species were recorded during the regular survey and two additional reptile species were recorded outside regular surveys. A total of 5 reptile species were recorded within the WRA during the reporting month.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in **Appendix J**.

### 4.3 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies

One odonates and butterflies survey was scheduled in the reporting month. 12 odonate species and 15 butterfly species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during regular



surveys. Within the WRA, 20 odonate species and 24 butterfly species were recorded during regular surveys. In addition, four butterfly species were recorded outside the regular survey, bringing the total number of butterfly species recorded within the WRA to 28. Among the butterflies and odonates recorded within the WRA, Common Awl (*Hasora badra badra*), Ruby Darter (*Rhodothemis rufa*) and Scarlet Basker (*Urothemis signata signata*) were listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of “Local Concern” in 2002.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in **Appendix J**.

#### 4.4 Monitoring of Mammals

Monitoring of mammals was conducted concurrently with other faunal surveys. Any mammal species encountered during site inspections or other habitat management works were recorded as ‘outside survey’.

No mammal species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) nor within the WRA during regular or outside regular surveys.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in **Appendix J**.

#### 4.5 Monitoring of Water Quality

Regular water level monitoring was conducted on 5 October 2022. Additional water level monitoring was conducted on 29 October 2022, due to the dry weather condition and high temperature in October 2022, to monitor the evaporation of water from the Cells.

The water levels in the reporting month ranged between 150 and 210cm during the water level monitoring works.

The water level of the Cells allowed wetland birds to use them as foraging sites and attracted diving birds such as Little Grebe (*Tachybaptus ruficollis*) and Great Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) to forage. The shallower areas along the edges of ponds and islets attracted ardeids such as Great Egret (*Ardea alba*), as well as the target species Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Great Egret (*Ardea alba*) and Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*). These areas were also used by other wetland-dependent birds as foraging and/or perching sites, such as the White-breasted Waterhen (*Amaurornis phoenicurus*), Pied Kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*), White-throated Kingfisher (*Halcyon smyrnensis*) and Common Kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*). The current water level will be kept for wetland bird usage.

In-situ water quality monitoring of all other parameters was conducted on 14 October 2022. Water samples were also collected for laboratory analysis on 14 October 2022.

Monitoring data is presented in **Appendix K**. Locations for the monitoring of water quality for the ecological monitoring are shown in **Figure 4.2**.

#### 4.6 Management Activities

##### 4.6.1 Vegetation Management

Vegetation management activities undertaken at the site primarily involved removal of excess grass, exotic species and climbers. Climbers were cleared along the emergency vehicular access (EVA) of Cell 2. The cleared climbers were left to dry along the EVA and will be subsequently removed. Weeding works were conducted along the EVA of pond bunds of Cell 1, Cell Cell 3 and Cell 4 and pond bunds between Cell 1 and Cell 2, Cell 2 and Cell 3, Cell 3 and 4. Fallen leaves along the EVA of Cell 3 and Cell 4 were swept aside and formed piles of plant materials on both sides of the EVA. These piles were used to attract herpetofauna.

Excessive vegetation in and around the fish-free pond at the end of the bund between Cell 3 and Cell 4 were cleared. Excessive vegetation around the fish-free ponds at the end of the bunds between Cell 2 and Cell 3, and between Cell 1 and Cell 2, were cleared. These two ponds had reeds growing which were used by migratory passerines. Further monitoring is needed before removal of these reeds from the fish-free ponds.

Reed-dependent Yellow Bittern (*Ixobrychus sinensis*) and other reed-dependent species were recorded in the reedbed of WRA during the reporting month. The status of these species and their presence will be closely monitored before conducting any management work of reeds within the WRA.

Reed-dependent Black-browed Reed Warbler (*Acrocephalus bistrigiceps*) was recorded foraging in the reedbed of the WRA during the reporting month. The usage of reedbed by reed-dependent birds will be closely monitored before conducting any management work of reeds within the WRA.

Wetland dependent White-breasted Waterhen (*Amaurornis phoenicurus*) was seen foraging among the aquatic vegetation around islets and along pond bunds. These areas will be closely monitored before conducting any management work of vegetation within the WRA.

The vegetations of Cell 1 and Cell 4 provided foraging and roosting habitats for breeding and/or migratory birds, as well as nectar sources for butterflies and roosting sites of dragonflies. Pruning will be kept to a minimum as long as the branches do not block the passage.

#### 4.6.2 Wildlife Management

Red Imported Fire Ant nests along the cell bunds and along the EVA were checked and marked. Treatments will be conducted in the coming months after the clearance of ground vegetations.

Egg masses of Apple Snails (*Pomacea canaliculate*) found along concrete structures of the WRA (e.g. sluice gates between Cells, and concrete wall of Cell 4) were cleared.

Mitigation actions have been taken in the WRA during the survey period to increase the WRA utilization by birds, including:

1. Controlling the vegetation at Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4; and
2. Controlling the water level at Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4.

#### 4.7 Summary

Ecological monitoring during October 2022 was carried out according to the survey methodology and frequency outlined in the EM&A Manual.

A summary of the survey findings is listed in **Table 4.1**:

**Table 4.1: Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A Manual**

Species	Survey Area (excluding WRA)	WRA
Birds (total)	47	53
Birds (of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence)	22	23
Amphibians	0	0
Reptiles	0	5
Mammals	0	0



Species	Survey Area (excluding WRA)	WRA
Odonates	12	20
Butterflies	15	28

Survey findings indicate that the ponds within the Survey Area supported numbers and diversity of wetland-dependent birds typical of fishpond areas. The WRA continues to attract wetland dependent fauna and serves as a buffer between the residential portion and the fishponds in the north.

All three target species, Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*) and Eastern Cattle Egret (*Bubulcus coromandus*), were observed perching on tall trees or foraging along the shallow water areas within the WRA.

Dense and tall vegetation along the edge of the EVA attracted insectivorous birds such as Yellow-bellied Prinia (*Prinia flaviventris*) and Plain Prinia (*Prinia inornata*). Passerines such as Light-vented Bulbul (*Pycnonotus sinensis*), Red-whiskered Bulbul (*Pycnonotus jocosus*), Oriental Magpie Robin (*Copsychus saularis*), Cinereous Tit (*Parus cinereus*), Swinhoe's White-eye (*Zosterops simplex*), Masked Laughingthrush (*Garrulax perspicillatus*), Common Tailorbird (*Orthotomus sutorius*), Asian Brown Flycatcher (*Muscicapa latirostris*) and Black-collared Starling (*Gracupica nigricollis*) were observed foraging among the vegetations. These vegetations were also used by butterflies and odonates as perching sites. The vegetation stands will be kept provided that the branches do not overgrow and obscure the EVA. During vegetation clearance, some of these environments were treated with only minimum trimming to preserve suitable habitats for wildlife.

The reedbed of the WRA is used by prinias as well as reed-dependent migrant Black-browed Reed Warbler (*Acrocephalus bistrigiceps*) and Stejneger's Stonechat (*Saxicola stejnegeri*) as foraging site. Previous data proved that the reedbed is also a potential breeding site for reed-dependent Yellow Bittern (*Ixobrychus sinensis*). The reedbed will be closely monitored before conducting any clearance work.

Fallen leaves scattered along the EVA were swept aside and formed a thicker layer of fallen plant materials. These piled-up plant materials are used to attract amphibians and reptiles within the WRA.

## 5 Landscape and Visual

### 5.1 Site Inspections

The EM&A programme for Landscape and Visual impact due to the construction commenced in June 2010 and continued during the reporting period. Site inspections on Landscape and Visual impact were carried out on 5 and 21 October 2022 to confirm the implementation of mitigation measures at the construction stage.

The Event and Action Plan for Landscape & Visual is shown in **Appendix D**.

### 5.2 Construction Phase Audit Summary

The audit was undertaken with reference to the specific checklists provided in **Table 2.13 – Table 2.15** and audit results are summarized below in **Table 5.1**. Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix M**.

**Table 5.1: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary**

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Works Area	The boundaries of the works area have been established on site in accordance with the contract documents and approved plans (EP), and the limit of current heavy construction activity is now confined to within the site hoardings (North side of the site / access road) and the noise barriers (other sides of the site). Minor works such as horticultural maintenance of the planting and transplanted trees, and boundary fence repair was proceeding along the Royal Palms – Palm Springs boundary. ( <b>Appendix M</b> Photo 1 – <b>Table 2.14</b> CM2 refers) No construction works were observed to have exceeded the site boundaries. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010.
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Trees retained within the site along the northeast boundary, beside wetland restoration area, have been identified and protected by temporary protective fencing.
Streams	The works site is partly encircled by a berm / perimeter channel to intercept surface water and prevent it from washing off into any of the neighbouring sites. Surface water is collected within the site in a temporary drainage channel. Gravels beds and barriers have been installed to filter site runoff, sedimentation ponds have been provided to enable primary treatment before discharge to mains drains.
Clearance of existing vegetation	Site clearance was completed prior to the commencement of construction.
Transplanting of trees	Tree transplanting has been completed, with the trees relocated to various points within the planting strip along the southern boundary of the site, outside the noise barrier. Most of the trees continue to re-establish well.
Topsoil stripping	Suitable pond bund and soil material which had been excavated and stockpiled from the original site, has now been re-used within the landscape works. Dust suppression measures are active along all internal site access tracks.
New buildings	No new permanent buildings have yet been constructed on site.
Boundaries	Hoardings have been erected along most of the boundaries of the site. Installation of new screen fence between the future residential sites and the constructed wetland restoration areas is complete. Fence has been painted green to match with the surrounding vegetated environment. ( <b>Appendix M</b> Photo 1 – <b>Table 2.14</b> CM2 refers)
Noise Barrier	Noise barriers have been installed along the southern and western boundaries of the site in accordance with the contract requirements. Their design complies with the mitigation requirements, with upper 6 to 7m portion of the barrier being made from a translucent material with green tinted (to match with the environment). Supporting GMS structure, likewise, has been painted green. ( <b>Appendix M</b> Photo 3 – <b>Table 2.14</b> CM6 refers).
Night-time lighting	No night-time works were reported to have been carried out during the monitoring period.

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	<p>Continuous belt of screen planting along the southern and western boundaries of the site has been completed. The formation, soiling and water control structures of the wetland restoration area have been completed. (<b>Appendix M</b> Photo 3 – <b>Table 2.14</b> CM6 refers)</p> <p>The wetland areas have been established, with the ponds are being seasonally filled with rainwater. Planting of areas around the WRA cells is complete. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010. (<b>Appendix M</b> Photo 2 – <b>Table 2.14</b> CM2 refers)</p>
Soiling, etc.	<p>The soil placement and grading for each of the wetland restoration areas has been completed. Refilling for those holes left after the whole tree removal works has been completed.</p>
Plant supply	<p>The plant material used in the Advance Planting Strip and in the WRA are all commonly available species and came from commercial sources.</p> <p>Transplanted reeds (<i>Phragmites australis</i>) at the wetland habitat came from the temporary holding nursery onsite.</p>
Planting	<p>The tree species are all from the approved list.</p> <p>Seedling trees and shrubs have been established at the margins of the wetland cells. Some invasive species and undesirable exotic species have been found during site inspection, removal of these species should be undertaken on a regular basis.</p>
Establishment Works	<p>The advance planting, the compensatory planting and transplanted trees are generally being maintained by the landscape sub-contractor in accordance with the specification to ensure that the contract requirements are met.</p> <p>Removal of overgrown weeds, unplanned tree seedlings and invasive climbers in the space behind screen noise barrier needs to be undertaken on a monthly basis as they may inhibit the advance planting.</p> <p>Regular removal of invasive species (i.e. apple snails, <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>, <i>Mikania micrantha</i>, <i>Mimosa pudica</i>, <i>Bidens alba</i>, <i>Ludwigia erecta</i>, <i>Sesbania cannabina</i>, etc.) in WRA should be undertaken.</p> <p>Overgrown vegetation and fish-free ponds were observed within the WRA. Horticultural maintenance (grass cutting, weeding, etc.) in the shrubs and tree seedling areas around the WRA cells, access pathways and ponds should be undertaken regularly. It is recommended to trim the vegetation in the fish-free pond in line with the design of short marsh vegetation areas to attract dragonflies.</p> <p>The growth of shrubs / seedlings on the north side of the WRA remains fair.</p>

## 6 Environmental Site Inspection and Audit

### 6.1 Site Inspection

The ET had carried out construction phase weekly site inspections on 5, 12, 21 and 28 October 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary. The key observations from site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

**Table 6.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations**

Key Observations	ET Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
Nil			

### 6.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting was carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Contractor, no inert C&D material (i.e. broken concrete/ big boulders) were generated on site and sent to a sorting facility for recycling into rockfill. No metals were generated and collected by registered recycling collector. No paper/cardboard packing and no plastics were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No chemical waste was generated and collected by licensed chemical waste collector. No other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) were generated on site and disposed of at public landfill facility.

The Contractor is advised to maintain on site waste sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycling of C&D wastes, whenever these are generated.

### 6.3 Status of Environmental Licences and Permits

The environmental permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 6.2**.

**Table 6.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits**

Statutory Reference	Description	Permit/Reference No.	Status
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-311/2008/E	Valid
APCO	Notification of Construction Work under APCO	2018-027-A-1 (20 July 2020)	Valid
WPCO	Discharge Licence	WT00037436-2021 (21 Apr 2021)	Valid
WDO	Registration as Chemical Waste Producer	WPN0000-542-H3083-04	Valid
WDO	Bill Account for Disposal	700945423	Valid

Legend: EIAO – Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance; APCO – Air Pollution Control Ordinance; WPCO – Water Pollution Control Ordinance; WDO – Waste Disposal Ordinance

### 6.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental

mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix L**. In particular, the following mitigation measures continue to be implemented at the site:

#### **Air Quality**

- Access roads should be sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved.

#### **Water Quality**

- Site effluent should be discharged in accordance with the discharge licence.
- The site should be confined and properly maintained to avoid silt runoff.
- Chemicals will always be stored on drip trays or in bunded areas.

#### **Waste Management**

- The chemical waste storage area should be clearly labelled.
- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes.

# 7 Report on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

## 7.1 Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

During October 2022, two Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3.

A summary is presented in **Table 7.1** below.

**Table 7.1: Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality**

Monitoring Date	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>MP3</b>				
<b>17-10-22</b>	<b>7.6</b>	7.5	32.8	29
<b>24-10-22</b>	<b>7.6</b>	7.7	14.0	12
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	>64	>65
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	>67	>66

Notes:

- (1) "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).
- (2) For the Limit Level of DO, 1-percentile of baseline data is adopted as it is greater than 2mg/L. (Refer to [Baseline Monitoring Report](#))
- (3) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.
- (4) Values **Underlined and in Bold** indicate Limit Level exceedance.

## 7.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

No environmental complaint was received during the reporting month.

## 7.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecution were received the reporting month.

## 7.4 Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

### Non-compliance

Investigations have been carried out to identify the causes of the water quality exceedances.

The investigation findings are presented in **Section 3.2.3.1**. It was concluded that the abovementioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the construction works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

### Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

## 7.5 Follow-up Actions Taken

### Non-compliance

The Event and Action Plan has been followed. Although it is considered that the exceedances were not related to the Project, the Contractor was reminded to implement the water quality mitigation measures in accordance with the recommendation stated in Section 5.6.1 – 5.6.4 of the EIA Report as far as practicable, regular spot checks would be conducted on the nearby discharge by the Contractor and he would inform the ET for investigation.

### Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

## 7.6 Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction (i.e., 12 May 2010) to the end of the reporting month and are summarized in **Table 7.2** below.

**Table 7.2: Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution**

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
	Complaints	Notifications of Summons	Successful Prosecutions
This reporting month (October 2022)	0	0	0
From 12 May 2010 to end of the reporting month (October 2022)	8	0	0

## 8 Future Key Issues

### 8.1 Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month

Site works to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including adjusting the water level, if required, and removal of unwanted species in the pond)
- No major construction works

### 8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Months

Key issues to be considered in the coming three months include:

- Provision of water spraying or dust suppression chemical to prevent generation of dust from activities on-site and the haul road during dry weather conditions;
- Provision of wheel washing facilities at vehicle exit point;
- Generation and treatment of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site and during wet weather conditions;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste from activities on-site; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site and to the drainage system.

### 8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 12 May 2010. The tentative monitoring schedule for November 2022 is shown in the **Table 8.1**.



**Table 8.1: Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting Month**

**Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for November 2022**

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1	2	3	4	5
		<b>Herpetofauna (day time)</b>	Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	<b>Bird</b>	Water  <b>Landscape</b>	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Water	24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	Water	<b>Bird</b>	Water	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Water  24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	@	Water <b>Dragonfly &amp; Butterfly Water Quality Monitoring</b>	<b>Bird</b>  <b>Landscape</b>	Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	Water		Water	<b>Bird</b> 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring	Water	
27	28	29	30			
	Water	<b>Bird</b>	Water 24-hr TSP 1-hr TSP Noise Monitoring			

\* Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)  
 @ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

## 8.4 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 8.4.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A manual has been undertaken in the reporting month since 12 May 2010.

Monitoring of Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality, Ecology and Landscape and Visual impacts due to the Project was under way. In particular, the 1-hr TSP, 24-hr TSP, noise level (as  $L_{eq}$ ) and water quality parameters (such as pH, DO, turbidity and SS) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels.

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

During October 2022, two Action Level exceedances of pH were recorded at MP3.

### 8.4.2 Recommendations

With considerations on the construction activities and environment, the following recommendations were provided:

### **Air Quality**

- All stockpiles should be covered by tarpaulin or kept wet by water spraying;
- All vehicles should be washed to remove any dusty materials before leaving the construction sites;
- The portion of road leading the construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;
- During the dry season, sufficient water spraying should be provided at haul road to reduce dust emission; and
- Ensure proper functioning of the wheel wash facility.

### **Noise**

- Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;
- Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; and
- The construction activities should be better scheduled to reduce noise nuisance.

### **Water Quality**

- Effluent should be discharged in accordance with the discharge licence conditions;
- Soil contaminated with chemicals/oils should be removed from site and the voids should be created filled with suitable materials; and
- Silt and debris should be removed from the temporary drainage channel regularly.

### **Waste Management**

- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts.
- Reuse the excavated materials as far as practical to reduce the amount of waste disposal;
- C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
- Ensure drip trays are provided for chemical containers to prevent leakage or soil contamination;
- All plants and vehicles should be properly maintained to prevent oil leakage; and
- Oil stains on soil should be cleared by disposal of contaminated soil.

## 9 References

### 9.1 List of References

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department. 2019. Hong Kong Biodiversity Database. [https://www.afcd.gov.hk/english/conservation/hkbiodiversity/database/popup\\_record.php?id=748](https://www.afcd.gov.hk/english/conservation/hkbiodiversity/database/popup_record.php?id=748), 2019 -07-09

BirdLife International. 2017. *Important Bird Areas factsheet: Inner Deep Bay and Shenzhen River catchment area*. <<http://www.birdlife.org>> on 06/07/2017.

Carey, G. J., Chalmers, M. L., Diskin, D. A., Kennerley, P. R., Leader, P. J., Leven, M. R., Lewthwaite, R. W., Melville, D. S., Turnbull, M., and Young, L. 2001. *The Avifauna of Hong Kong*. Hong Kong Bird Watching Society, Hong Kong.

Chan, S.K.F., K.S. Cheung, C.Y. Ho, F.N Lam & W.S. Tam, 2005. *A Field Guide to the Amphibians of Hong Kong*. Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.

Fellowes, J.F., M.W.N. Lau, D. Dudgeon, G.T. Reels, G.W.J. Ades, G.J. Carey, B.P.L. Chan, R.C. Kendrick, K.S. Lee, M.R. Leven, K.D.P. Wilson, Y.T. Yu, 2002. *Wild Animals to Watch: Terrestrial and Freshwater Fauna of Conservation Concern in Hong Kong*. Hong Kong.

Hong Kong Bird Watching Society 2020. List of Hong Kong Birds - 2020-03. <[www.hkbws.org.hk](http://www.hkbws.org.hk)>.

Hong Kong Observatory Climate Information Service. <<https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/wxinfo/pastwx/mws/mws.htm>>

Horiuchi, S., Odawara, T., Yonemura, S., Hayashi, Y., Kawaguchi, M., Asada, M., Kato, M. & Yasuhara, K. (2007, November). *Floating structure using waste tires for water environmental remediation*. In *Scrap Tire Derived Geomaterials-Opportunities and Challenges: Proceedings of the International Workshop IW-TDGM 2007*. p. 291. CRC Press.

IUCN 2016. *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3*. <[www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org)>. Downloaded on **7<sup>th</sup> December 2016**

Karsen, S., M.W.N. Lau & A. Bogadek, 1998. *Hong Kong Amphibians and Reptiles*. Provisional Urban Council, Hong Kong.

List of National Protected Animal (updated on 5 Feb 2021) <http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2021-02/09/5586227/files/e007df5cdb364bcdbcb89d169047d6c5.pdf>

Lo, P. Y. F. and W.L. Hui, 2004. *Hong Kong Butterflies*. Hong Kong, Cosmos Books Ltd.

Mott, 2008. *WSW Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (March 2008)*.

Mott, 2008. *WSW Environmental Impact Assessment Report Volumes 1 to 3 (March 2008)*.

Mott, 2008. *WSW Wetland Restoration Plan (March 2008)*.

Shek, C. T. 2006. *A Field Guide to the Terrestrial Mammals of Hong Kong*. Friends of the Country Parks Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.

Tam, T.W., K.K. Leung, B.S.P. Kwan, K.K.Y. Wu, S.S.H. Tang, I.W.Y. So, J.C.Y. Cheng, E.F.M. Yuen, Y.M. Tsang, and W.L. Hui, 2011. *The Dragonflies of Hong Kong (1<sup>st</sup> edition)*. Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, Friends of Country Parks and Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.

Wilson, K.D.P., Tam, T.W., Kwan, B.S.P., Wu, K.K.Y., Wong, B.S.F., Wong J.K. 2004. *Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Hong Kong*. AFCD, Friends of Country Park and Cosmos Books Ltd. Hong Kong.


Young, J.J. & Yiu, V., 2002. *Butterfly Watching in Hong Kong*. Wan Li Book Co. Ltd., Hong Kong.

Zheng Guangmei and Wang Qishan (1998) (Edited), *China Red Data Book of Endangered Animals: Aves*, Science Press, Beijing.

# Figures







Notes

Key to symbols

- - - Site Boundary
- - - 500m Assessment Area
- / / / / Proposed Comprehensive Development

Reference drawings

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd

**MOTT MACDONALD**

3/F Manulife Place  
348 Kwun Tong Road  
Kwun Tong, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2828 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W mottmac.com

Client

**PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED**

Project

**PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT  
AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG**

Title

**General Layout Plan of the  
Project Site**

Designed		Eng check	
Drawn		Coordination	
Dwg check		Approved	
Scale at A1	Status	Rev	

Drawing Number **Figure 1.1**

© Mott MacDonald  
This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.  
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.





Notes					
<p>★ Construction Phase Air Quality Monitoring Locations</p>					
Reference drawings					
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd
<b>M</b>		<b>M</b>			
<b>MOTT MACDONALD</b>		3/F Manulife Place 348 Kwun Tong Road Kwun Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2827 1823 W mottmac.com			
<p>Client</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED</b></p>					
<p>Project</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG</b></p>					
<p>Title</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations</b></p>					
Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Dwg check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	
Drawing Number			Figure 2.1		





Notes

---

Key to symbols

- Construction Phase Noise Monitoring Stations

---

Reference drawings

---

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd

**M**  
**MOTT**  
**MACDONALD**

3/F Manulife Place  
348 Kwun Tong Road  
Kwun Tong, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2828 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W mottmac.com

---

Client

**PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED**

---

Project

**PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT  
AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG**

---

Title

**Locations of Noise  
Monitoring Stations**

---

Designed		Eng check	
Drawn		Coordination	
Dwg check		Approved	
Scale at A1		Status	Rev


---

Drawing Number

**Figure 2.2**

© Mott MacDonald  
This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.  
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.






Notes					
Key to symbols					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Water Quality Monitoring Stations</li> <li>— Site Boundary</li> </ul>					
Reference drawings					
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd
<b>M</b>					
<b>MOTT MACDONALD</b>			3/F Manulife Place 348 Kwun Tong Road Kwun Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2827 1823 W mottmac.com		
Client					
PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED					
Project					
PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG					
Title					
Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations					
Designed			Eng check		
Drawn			Coordination		
Dwg check			Approved		
Scale at A1		Status		Rev	
Drawing Number					
Figure 2.3					

© Mott MacDonald  
 This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.  
 We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.





Notes

Key to symbols

- Project Area
- Assessment Area
- Transect

Reference drawings

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd

**M M**  
**MOTT MACDONALD**

3/F Manulife Place  
348 Kwun Tong Road  
Kwun Tong, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2828 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W mottmac.com

Client

**PROFIT POINT ENTERPRISES LIMITED**

Project

**PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT  
AT WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG**


Title

**Survey Area and Transect  
Walked**

Designed		Eng check	
Drawn		Coordination	
Dwg check		Approved	
Scale at A1	Status	Rev	

Drawing Number **Figure 4.1**





**Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd.**

**Figure 4.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Ecological Monitoring**

Project No.: 08/266/132

Prepared by EW

Version No.: 2.0

Checked by SL

Scale 1:2,000 on A4

Date: 04 Nov 2009

**X** Water Quality Monitoring Locations


- |                       |                  |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Short Grass           | Open Water >1.5m |
| Tall Grass/Shrubs     | Marsh            |
| Tall Trees and Shrubs | Reeds            |
| Open Water <1.5m      | Unvegetated      |

# Appendices

A.	Project Organization Chart	41
B.	Tentative Construction Programme (not used)	43
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	45
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual	47
E.	Calibration Certificates	53
F.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	55
G.	Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period	57
H.	Ecological Monitoring conducted	59
I.	Summary of Bird Surveys conducted	61
J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted	67
K.	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted	73
L.	Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status	75
M.	Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	81



## A. Project Organization Chart



### Contact information:

Company	Position	Name	Telephone
Profit Point Enterprises Limited (Project Proponent)	Project Manager	Ms. Stacey Lau	2281 0112
In Construction Limited (The Main Contractor)	Construction Manager	Mr. Chun Kit Tse	9400 7007
	Site Agent	Mr. Chi Hei Leung	6775 1468
	Safety Officer	Mr. Wong Kam Leung	2710 8663
	Environment Officer	Mr. Vega T. L. Wong	6113 2368
Ramboll Hong Kong Limited (Independent Environmental Checker (IEC))	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. David Yeung	3465 2888
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. (Environmental Team (ET))	Environmental Team Leader	Ms. Nikita Nanwani Nanwani	2828 5960





## **B. Tentative Construction Programme (not used)**



## C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

### Air Quality

#### Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	226	260
ASR2A	213	260
ASR3	205	260
ASR4	237	260

#### Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
ASR1	378	500
ASR2A	357	500
ASR3	358	500
ASR4	372	500

### Noise

#### Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7		
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	75 dB(A)

### Water Quality

#### Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	DO in mg/L		Turbidity in NTU		SS in mg/L		pH	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
MP1	1.23	1.17	173	177	231	299	< 5.5 or > 7.5	< 4.0 or > 8.0
MP2	1.04	0.89	132	163	170	209		
MP3	6.85	6.65	64	67	65	66		
MP4	3.91	3.82	60	64	50	53		
MP5	4.13	3.87	81	84	66	69		
MP6	4.61	4.52	94	96	75	75		



## D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual

### Air Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and ER.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rectify any unacceptable practice.</li> <li>2. Amend working methods if appropriate.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify the source.</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and ER.</li> <li>3. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures</li> <li>4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings.</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>6. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on remedial actions required.</li> <li>7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER.</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check the Contractor's working method.</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures.</li> <li>4. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify the Contractor.</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification.</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>3. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>

<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures.</li> <li>2. Inform ER and EPD.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Check the Contractor's working method.</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures.</li> <li>4. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify the Contractor.</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor.</li> <li>2. Identify the source.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented.</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken.</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions.</li> <li>2. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary and advise ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify the Contractor.</li> <li>3. In consultation with IEC, agree with the remedial measures to be implemented.</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control.</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

## Construction Noise

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify IEC and the Contractor.</li> <li>2. Carry out investigation.</li> <li>3. Report the results of investigation to IEC and the Contractor.</li> <li>4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures.</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review with analysed results submitted by ET.</li> <li>2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Supervise the implement of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify the Contractor.</li> <li>3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem.</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC.</li> <li>2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.</li> </ol>
<b>Limit Level</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify the source.</li> <li>2. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor.</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings.</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency.</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented.</li> <li>6. Inform IEC, ER, and EPD the causes &amp; actions taken for the exceedances.</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions.</li> <li>2. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly.</li> <li>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing.</li> <li>2. Notify the Contractor.</li> <li>3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem.</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance.</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification.</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals.</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control.</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

## Water Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; and</li> <li>6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; and</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; and</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; and</li> <li>8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>



Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>2. Identify source(s) of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; and</li> <li>5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; and</li> <li>7. As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.</li> </ol>

## Landscape and Visual

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify Source;</li> <li>2. Inform the IEC and the ER;</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor; and</li> <li>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check report;</li> <li>2. Check the Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with the ES and the contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and</li> <li>5. Check implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor; and</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working methods; and</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement</li> </ol>
Repeated Non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify Source;</li> <li>2. Inform the Project Proponent, IEC and the ER. If serious non-compliance inform EPD;</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor;</li> <li>5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; and</li> <li>6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring report;</li> <li>2. Check the Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with the ES and the Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify the Contractor; and</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working methods; and</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement.</li> </ol>

## **E. Calibration Certificates**



**Appendix E**  
**Calibration Record**  
**(Air Quality Monitoring)**



## ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

### SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR K.W. FAN	WORK ORDER	: <b>HK2144583</b>
CLIENT	: <b>ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.</b>		
ADDRESS	: RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 2-NOV-2021
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 11-NOV-2021
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

#### General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

#### Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong  
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2144583  
SUB-BATCH : 1  
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.  
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2144583-001	S/N: 245834	Equipments	02-Nov-2021	245834

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 245834  
Equipment Ref: Nil  
Job Order HK2144583

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 5 November 2021


### Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 5 November 2021

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01min	09:11 ~ 11:12	25.6	1012.5	51.2	4570	37.7
2hr01min	11:15 ~ 13:16	25.6	1012.5	47.8	3735	30.8
2hr02min	13:20 ~ 15:22	25.6	1012.5	50.4	4022	33.0

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.4416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM  
Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9927  
Date of Issue 8 November 2021




### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
- Factor 1.4416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-21
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 5-Feb-22

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1012.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	759.375
Temperature (°C)	25.6	Temperature (K)	299

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10574
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00985
Calibration Date->	19-Jan-21	Expiry Date->	18-Jan-22

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.675	52	51.93	Slope = 24.2092 Intercept = 10.8881 Corr. coeff. = 0.9959
13	5	5	10.0	1.504	48	47.93	
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.329	42	41.94	
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.065	36	35.95	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.675	28	27.96	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: January 19, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 294	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 755.1	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: <b>1941</b>		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4830	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0420	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9290	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7340	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0029	0.6762	1.4192	0.9958	0.6715	0.8824
0.9986	0.9583	2.0071	0.9915	0.9516	1.2479
0.9965	1.0726	2.2440	0.9894	1.0650	1.3952
0.9954	1.1260	2.3535	0.9883	1.1180	1.4633
0.9899	1.3487	2.8385	0.9829	1.3391	1.7648
<b>QSTD</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>2.10574</b>	<b>QA</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>1.31858</b>
	<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.00985</b>		<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.00612</b>
	<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99992</b>		<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99992</b>

Calculations			
<b>Vstd=</b>	$\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	<b>Va=</b>	$\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
<b>Qstd=</b>	$Vstd / \Delta Time$	<b>Qa=</b>	$Va / \Delta Time$
<b>For subsequent flow rate calculations:</b>			
<b>Qstd=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	<b>Qa=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



### SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR K.W. FAN	WORK ORDER	: <b>HK2219480</b>
CLIENT	: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.		
ADDRESS	: RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T., HK	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 26-MAY-2022
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 7-JUN-2022
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

#### General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

#### Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong  
Kwai Tsing Hong Kong

WORK ORDER : HK2219480  
SUB-BATCH : 1  
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.  
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2219480-001	S/N: 476664	Equipments	26-May-2022	S/N: 476664



## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD – 3B  
Serial No. 476664  
Equipment Ref: NA  
Job Order HK2219480

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 27 May 2022

### Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 27 May 2022


Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01mins	09:27 ~ 11:28	27.4	1004.3	38.0	1779	14.8
2hr01mins	11:32 ~ 13:33	27.4	1004.3	30.3	1727	14.2
2hr	13:37 ~ 15:37	27.4	1004.3	34.1	1751	14.6

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.3584 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9883

Date of Issue 2 June 2022




### Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
2. Factor 2.3584 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 2 June 2022

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 2 June 2022

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 27-May-22  
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 27-Aug-22

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.225
Temperature (°C)	27.4	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	1.99838
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00903
Calibration Date->	27-Dec-21	Expiry Date->	27-Dec-22

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6	6	12.0	1.723	54	53.54	29.5236	2.4681	0.9935
13	5	5	10.0	1.574	48	47.59			
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.354	44	43.63			
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.092	36	35.70			
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.892	28	27.76			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: December 27, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 295	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 740.4	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: <b>1612</b>		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3890	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9760	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8740	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8320	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.6870	12.7	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9799	0.7055	1.4029	0.9957	0.7168	0.8927
0.9756	0.9996	1.9841	0.9914	1.0157	1.2624
0.9736	1.1140	2.2183	0.9893	1.1320	1.4114
0.9724	1.1688	2.3265	0.9881	1.1876	1.4803
0.9673	1.4079	2.8059	0.9828	1.4306	1.7853
<b>QSTD</b>	m=	<b>1.99838</b>	<b>QA</b>	m=	<b>1.25135</b>
	b=	<b>-0.00903</b>		b=	<b>-0.00574</b>
	r=	<b>0.99999</b>		r=	<b>0.99999</b>

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime	Qa= Va/ΔTime
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
<b>Key</b>	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR1  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 22/08/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0816

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1005  
Ta(K) : 305

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (indicated flow)	Y
1   18 holes	12.3	3.453	1.686	53	52.18
2   13 holes	9.4	3.019	1.476	48	47.26
3   10 holes	7.3	2.660	1.303	42	41.35
4   7 holes	4.6	2.112	1.038	34	33.48
5   5 holes	2.9	1.677	0.828	27	26.58

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 30.180                      Intercept(b): 1.958                      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9987

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/08/2022



ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ARS2A  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 22/08/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0890

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1005  
Ta(K) : 305

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1   18 holes	11.0	3.265	1.595	56	55.13
2   13 holes	8.5	2.870	1.404	51	50.21
3   10 holes	6.3	2.471	1.212	44	43.32
4   7 holes	4.1	1.994	0.981	34	33.47
5   5 holes	2.7	1.618	0.799	25	24.61

Notes: $Z=\sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X=Z/m-b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow})=IC*\{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):38.645                      Intercept(b):-4.959                      Correlation Coefficient(r):0.9940

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/08/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR3  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 22/08/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0764

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1005  
Ta(K) : 305

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1   18 holes	10.5	3.190	1.559	62	61.0
2   13 holes	8.4	2.853	1.396	56	55.1
3   10 holes	6.5	2.510	1.230	50	49.2
4   7 holes	4.5	2.089	1.027	42	41.4
5   5 holes	2.9	1.677	0.828	33	32.5

Notes: $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 38.781                      Intercept(b): 0.9974                      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9989

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/08/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR4  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 22/08/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N1068

Calibration Office and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1005  
Ta(K) : 305

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (indicated flow)	Y
1   18 holes	11.8	3.382	1.652	51	50.21
2   13 holes	9.2	2.986	1.460	46	45.29
3   10 holes	7.0	2.605	1.276	41	40.37
4   7 holes	4.3	2.042	1.004	34	33.47
5   5 holes	2.8	1.647	0.814	27	26.58

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 27.694      Intercept(b): 4.809      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9979

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/08/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR1  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 21/10/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0816

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1017  
Ta(K) : 300

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (indicated flow)	Y
1   18 holes	12.5	3.531	1.723	55	54.92
2   13 holes	9.8	3.126	1.528	48	47.93
3   10 holes	7.2	2.680	1.312	42	41.94
4   7 holes	4.6	2.142	1.053	34	33.95
5   5 holes	2.8	1.671	0.825	28	27.96

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 29.846      Intercept(b): 2.892      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9989

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/10/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ARS2A  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 21/10/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0890

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1017  
Ta(K) : 300

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1   18 holes	12.0	3.459	1.689	57	56.9
2   13 holes	9.0	2.996	1.465	50	49.9
3   10 holes	6.8	2.604	1.276	45	44.9
4   7 holes	4.2	2.047	1.007	35	35.0
5   5 holes	2.6	1.610	0.796	27	27.0

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 33.440                      Intercept(b): 1.060                      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9979

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/10/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR3  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 21/10/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N0764

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1017  
Ta(K) : 300

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC	Y
1   18 holes	12.0	3.459	1.689	62	61.91
2   13 holes	8.8	2.962	1.449	56	55.92
3   10 holes	6.7	2.585	1.267	50	49.93
4   7 holes	4.4	2.095	1.030	42	41.94
5   5 holes	2.8	1.671	0.825	35	34.95

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 31.604                      Intercept(b): 9.368                      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9981

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/10/2022

ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR4  
Calibrated by : P.F.Yeung  
Date : 21/10/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
Serial Number : S/N1068

Calibration Office and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
Service Date : 27 December 2021  
Slope (m) : 2.07035  
Intercept (b) : -0.003737  
Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1017  
Ta(K) : 300

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (indicated flow)	Y
1   18 holes	11.8	3.430	1.675	52	51.93
2   13 holes	9.2	3.029	1.481	47	46.94
3   10 holes	6.8	2.604	1.276	41	40.94
4   7 holes	4.4	2.095	1.030	34	33.95
5   5 holes	2.5	1.579	0.781	26	25.96

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 29.033      Intercept(b): 3.698      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9994

Checked by: Magnum Fan

Date: 25/10/2022



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: December 27, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 295	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 740.4	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: <b>2454</b>		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4130	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9970	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8950	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8480	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7060	12.7	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9799	0.6935	1.4029	0.9957	0.7047	0.8927
0.9756	0.9786	1.9841	0.9914	0.9943	1.2624
0.9736	1.0879	2.2183	0.9893	1.1054	1.4114
0.9724	1.1467	2.3265	0.9881	1.1652	1.4803
0.9673	1.3700	2.8059	0.9828	1.3921	1.7853
<b>QSTD</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>2.07035</b>	<b>QA</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>1.29642</b>
	<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.03737</b>		<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.02378</b>
	<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99990</b>		<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99990</b>


Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$
<b>For subsequent flow rate calculations:</b>	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



**Appendix E**  
**Calibration Record**  
**(Noise Monitoring)**



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216287

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2155)      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 19 October 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52  
Serial No. / 編號 : 00175561  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.  
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 28 October 2021


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
K P Cheuk  
Project Engineer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

2 November 2021

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216287

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C210084
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8 (Ref.)
				104.00		103.8
				114.00		113.8

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

- 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.8	Ref.
			Slow				

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216287  
證書編號

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.5	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.6	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.1	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.6	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	93.8	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.0	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	94.8	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					16 kHz	85.9	-6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	92.9	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.6	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	93.8	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	93.8	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.7	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.0	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					16 kHz	83.9	-8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0)

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216287  
證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 16651

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	: 63 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	16 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB	: 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	: 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com





輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C223976  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC22-1282)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 4 July 2022

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-52

Serial No. / 編號 : 00331806

Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 16 July 2022

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試

H T Wong  
Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證

K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

18 July 2022

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C223976

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C220381
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

### 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

#### 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

##### 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 92.2	± 1.1

\* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

##### 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

### 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C223976

證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
16 kHz	86.1	-6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0)					


#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
16 kHz	84.1	-8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0)					

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。





# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C223976  
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 16652
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- |        |                  |                          |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB  | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 250 Hz - 500 Hz  | : ± 0.30 dB              |
|        | 1 kHz            | : ± 0.20 dB              |
|        | 2 kHz - 4 kHz    | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 8 kHz            | : ± 0.45 dB              |
|        | 16 kHz           | : ± 0.70 dB              |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.


Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216699

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2266)      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 4 November 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Precision Acoustic Calibrator  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : LARSON DAVIS  
Model No. / 型號 : CAL200  
Serial No. / 編號 : 15678  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.  
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 November 2021


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Assistant Engineer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

22 November 2021

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216699

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C213954
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C201309

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C224774  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC22-1518)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 1 August 2022

Description / 儀器名稱 : Precision Acoustic Calibrator

Manufacturer / 製造商 : LARSON DAVIS

Model No. / 型號 : CAL200

Serial No. / 編號 : 16878

Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 August 2022

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試

H T Wong

Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證

K C Lee

Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

23 August 2022

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C224774

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C223647
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C221705

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.9	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	113.9		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1


Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

**Appendix E**  
**Calibration Record**  
**(Water Quality Monitoring)**



專業化驗有限公司  
QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong  
Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com  
Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB070112  
Date of Issue : 28 July 2022  
Page No. : 1 of 2

### PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.  
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin  
New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

### PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)  
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)  
Serial Number : 21G105356  
Date of Received : 28 July 2022  
Date of Calibration : 28 July 2022  
Date of Next Calibration : 27 October 2022  
Request No. : D-BB070112

### PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter	Reference Method
pH value	APHA 21e 4500 H+
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520B
Dissolved oxygen	APHA 21e 4500 O
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130B
Conductivity	APHA 21e 2510B

### PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

#### (1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.06	0.06	Satisfactory
7.42	7.51	0.09	Satisfactory
10.01	10.09	0.08	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than  $\pm 0.2$  (pH unit)

#### (2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
15.0	14.9	-0.1	Satisfactory
25.0	25.1	0.1	Satisfactory
40.0	40.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than  $\pm 2.0$  (°C)

#### (3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.92	-0.80	Satisfactory
20	20.38	1.90	Satisfactory
30	30.61	2.03	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED  
SIGNATORY:

LEE Chun-ning

Assistant Manager (Chemical Testing)



## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB070112  
Date of Issue : 28 July 2022  
Page No. : 2 of 2

### (4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading ( mg/L )	Display Reading ( mg/L )	Tolerance	Result
7.36	7.56	0.20	Satisfactory
5.52	5.63	0.11	Satisfactory
2.82	3.00	0.18	Satisfactory
0.11	0.30	0.19	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ( mg/L )

### (5) Turbidity

Expected Reading ( NTU )	Display Reading ( NTU )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
0	0.05	--	Satisfactory
10	9.83	-1.70	Satisfactory
20	19.04	-4.80	Satisfactory
100	97.83	-2.20	Satisfactory
800	817.37	2.20	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### (6) Conductivity

Expected Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Display Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
146.9	151.1	2.86	Satisfactory
1412	1283	-9.14	Satisfactory
12890	12734	-1.21	Satisfactory
58670	59111	0.75	Satisfactory
111900	113325	1.27	Satisfactory


Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---





## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

**Test Report No.** : R-BB070113  
**Date of Issue** : 28 July 2022  
**Page No.** : 1 of 2

### PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.  
 Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin  
 New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

### PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)  
 Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)  
 Serial Number : 15M10005  
 Date of Received : 28 July 2022  
 Date of Calibration : 28 July 2022  
 Date of Next Calibration : 27 October 2022  
 Request No. : D-BB070113

### PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter	Reference Method
pH value	APHA 21e 4500 H+
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520B
Dissolved oxygen	APHA 21e 4500 O
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130B
Conductivity	APHA 21e 2510B

### PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

#### (1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.08	0.08	Satisfactory
7.42	7.53	0.11	Satisfactory
10.01	10.14	0.13	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than  $\pm 0.2$  (pH unit)

#### (2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
15.0	14.9	-0.1	Satisfactory
25.0	25.1	0.1	Satisfactory
40.0	40.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than  $\pm 2.0$  (°C)


#### (3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.90	-1.00	Satisfactory
20	20.49	2.45	Satisfactory
30	30.77	2.57	Satisfactory


Tolerance of Salinity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED  
SIGNATORY:

  
LEE Chun-ning

Assistant Manager (Chemical Testing)



專業化驗有限公司  
QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong  
Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com  
Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB070113  
Date of Issue : 28 July 2022  
Page No. : 2 of 2

### (4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading ( mg/L )	Display Reading ( mg/L )	Tolerance	Result
7.36	7.56	0.20	Satisfactory
5.52	5.70	0.18	Satisfactory
2.82	3.00	0.18	Satisfactory
0.11	0.30	0.19	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ( mg/L )

### (5) Turbidity

Expected Reading ( NTU )	Display Reading ( NTU )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
0	0.05	--	Satisfactory
10	9.82	-1.80	Satisfactory
20	19.17	-4.10	Satisfactory
100	97.92	-2.10	Satisfactory
800	812.44	1.60	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### (6) Conductivity

Expected Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Display Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
146.9	150.6	2.52	Satisfactory
1412	1291	-8.57	Satisfactory
12890	12806	-0.65	Satisfactory
58670	59168	0.85	Satisfactory
111900	114106	1.97	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB090081  
Date of Issue : 19 September 2022  
Page No. : 1 of 2

### PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.  
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin  
New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

### PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)  
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)  
Serial Number : 16H104234  
Date of Received : 16 September 2022  
Date of Calibration : 16 September 2022  
Date of Next Calibration : 15 December 2022  
Request No. : D-BB090081

### PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter	Reference Method
pH value	APHA 21e 4500 H+
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520B
Dissolved oxygen	APHA 21e 4500 O
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130B
Conductivity	APHA 21e 2510B

### PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

#### (1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	3.97	-0.03	Satisfactory
7.42	7.38	-0.04	Satisfactory
10.01	9.92	-0.09	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than  $\pm 0.2$  (pH unit)

#### (2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
40	40.1	0.1	Satisfactory
30	30.1	0.1	Satisfactory
10	10.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than  $\pm 2.0$  (°C)


#### (3) Salinity


Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	10.17	1.70	Satisfactory
20	20.50	2.50	Satisfactory
30	30.31	1.03	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED  
SIGNATORY:

  
LEE Chun-ning  
Assistant Manager (Chemical Testing)



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB090081

Date of Issue : 19 September 2022

Page No. : 2 of 2

### (4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading ( mg/L )	Display Reading ( mg/L )	Tolerance	Result
7.38	7.60	0.22	Satisfactory
4.70	4.85	0.15	Satisfactory
1.48	1.80	0.32	Satisfactory
0.45	0.40	-0.05	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ( mg/L )

### (5) Turbidity

Expected Reading ( NTU )	Display Reading ( NTU )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
0	0.10	--	Satisfactory
10	9.84	-1.60	Satisfactory
20	19.82	-0.90	Satisfactory
100	97.79	-2.20	Satisfactory
800	819.11	2.40	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### (6) Conductivity

Expected Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Display Reading	Tolerance ( % )	Result
146.9	137.9	-6.13	Satisfactory
1412	1380.2	-2.25	Satisfactory
12890	12637.4	-1.96	Satisfactory
58670	57116	-2.65	Satisfactory
111900	112537	0.57	Satisfactory


Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---





專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB090082  
Date of Issue : 19 September 2022  
Page No. : 1 of 2

### PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.  
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin  
New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

### PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS (Multi-Parameters)  
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)  
Serial Number : 17E100747  
Date of Received : 16 September 2022  
Date of Calibration : 16 September 2022  
Date of Next Calibration : 15 December 2022  
Request No. : D-BB090082

### PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter	Reference Method
pH value	APHA 21e 4500 H+
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520B
Dissolved oxygen	APHA 21e 4500 O
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130B
Conductivity	APHA 21e 2510B

### PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

#### (1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	3.95	-0.05	Satisfactory
7.42	7.37	-0.05	Satisfactory
10.01	9.94	-0.07	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than  $\pm 0.2$  (pH unit)

#### (2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	Display Reading ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	Tolerance	Result
40	40.1	0.1	Satisfactory
30	30.1	0.1	Satisfactory
10	10.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than  $\pm 2.0$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )


#### (3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	10.19	1.90	Satisfactory
20	20.43	2.15	Satisfactory
30	30.33	1.10	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED  
SIGNATORY:

  
LEE Chun-ning  
Assistant Manager (Chemical Testing)



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BB090082

Date of Issue : 19 September 2022

Page No. : 2 of 2

### (4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading ( mg/L )	Display Reading ( mg/L )	Tolerance	Result
7.38	7.58	0.20	Satisfactory
4.70	4.86	0.16	Satisfactory
1.48	1.81	0.33	Satisfactory
0.45	0.39	-0.06	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ( mg/L )

### (5) Turbidity

Expected Reading ( NTU )	Display Reading ( NTU )	Tolerance ( % )	Result
0	0.10	--	Satisfactory
10	9.86	-1.40	Satisfactory
20	19.85	-0.70	Satisfactory
100	98.96	-1.00	Satisfactory
800	817.32	2.20	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### (6) Conductivity

Expected Reading ( $\mu\text{S/cm at } 25^\circ\text{C}$ )	Display Reading	Tolerance ( % )	Result
146.9	136.8	-6.88	Satisfactory
1412	1372.4	-2.8	Satisfactory
12890	12522.6	-2.85	Satisfactory
58670	56891	-3.03	Satisfactory
111900	112764	0.77	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than  $\pm 10.0$  ( % )

### Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---


## **F. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results**






## Air Quality

1-hour TSP Level at ASR1




1-hour TSP Level at ASR2A




## Air Quality

1-hour TSP Level at ASR3




1-hour TSP Level at ASR4




## Air Quality

24-hour TSP Level at ASR1




24-hour TSP Level at ASR2A




## Air Quality

24-hour TSP Level at ASR3




24-hour TSP Level at ASR4




## Noise

Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR1




Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR3




## Noise

Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR5









Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR7

















## Water Quality









## Water Quality



## Water Quality



## Water Quality





### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238359</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 03-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 10-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 03-Oct-2022 to 10-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238359 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.

---





**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-001	21	2	----	----	----
MP3-2	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-002	23	2	----	----	----
MP4-1	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-003	13	2	----	----	----
MP4-2	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-004	15	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-005	15	<2	----	----	----
MP5-2	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-006	14	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-007	18	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	03-Oct-2022	HK2238359-008	19	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4621016)</b>								
HK2238359-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	21	20	5.8
HK2238486-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	45	47	4.1

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4621016)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	85.0	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4614423)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.0	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238360</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 05-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 11-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 05-Oct-2022 to 10-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238360 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-001	14	5	----	----	----
MP3-2	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-002	13	5	----	----	----
MP4-1	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-003	9	2	----	----	----
MP4-2	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-004	8	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-005	10	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-006	11	2	----	----	----
MP6-1	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-007	8	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	05-Oct-2022	HK2238360-008	9	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4623951)</b>								
HK2238201-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	17	18	7.2
HK2238724-002	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	6	5	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4623951)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	93.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4619501)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	90.6	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.





### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238361</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 07-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 14-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 07-Oct-2022 to 12-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238361 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-001	21	5	----	----	----
MP3-2	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-002	20	5	----	----	----
MP4-1	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-003	16	<2	----	----	----
MP4-2	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-004	16	<2	----	----	----
MP5-1	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-005	13	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-006	12	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-007	28	<2	----	----	----
MP6-2	07-Oct-2022	HK2238361-008	27	<2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4628640)</b>								
HK2238361-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	21	20	0.0
HK2238984-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4628640)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	88.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4624346)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	91.1	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238362</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 10-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 17-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 10-Oct-2022 to 17-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238362 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.





**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-001	38	4	----	----	----
MP3-2	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-002	38	4	----	----	----
MP4-1	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-003	38	2	----	----	----
MP4-2	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-004	39	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-005	26	<2	----	----	----
MP5-2	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-006	25	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-007	39	<2	----	----	----
MP6-2	10-Oct-2022	HK2238362-008	40	<2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4632684)</b>								
HK2238179-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	4	5	0.0
HK2238362-006	MP5-2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	25	26	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4632684)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	97.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4628098)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	94.1	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238363</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 12-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 18-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 12-Oct-2022 to 18-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238363 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.

---



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-001	28	6	----	----	----
MP3-2	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-002	27	6	----	----	----
MP4-1	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-003	26	2	----	----	----
MP4-2	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-004	24	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-005	23	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-006	24	2	----	----	----
MP6-1	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-007	26	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	12-Oct-2022	HK2238363-008	27	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4638183)</b>								
HK2238363-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	28	27	5.1
HK2239477-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	143	141	2.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4638183)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	92.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4634024)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	88.9	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.





### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238364</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 14-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 20-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 14-Oct-2022 to 20-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238364 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>			
			<i>LOR Unit</i>			
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS) 2 mg/L	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand 2 mg/L	----	----
			EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----
MP3-1	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-001	30	6	----	----
MP3-2	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-002	31	7	----	----
MP4-1	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-003	28	<2	----	----
MP4-2	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-004	26	<2	----	----
MP5-1	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-005	27	<2	----	----
MP5-2	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-006	27	<2	----	----
MP6-1	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-007	30	<2	----	----
MP6-2	14-Oct-2022	HK2238364-008	31	<2	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4644360)</b>								
HK2238364-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	30	30	0.0
HK2240395-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	64	62	2.8

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4644360)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	97.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4639324)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	88.3	----	78.6	118	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4639548)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	86.7	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238365</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 17-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 22-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 17-Oct-2022 to 22-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238365 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.





**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-001	29	7	----	----	----
MP3-2	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-002	29	7	----	----	----
MP4-1	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-003	18	3	----	----	----
MP4-2	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-004	17	3	----	----	----
MP5-1	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-005	23	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-006	24	2	----	----	----
MP6-1	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-007	22	3	----	----	----
MP6-2	17-Oct-2022	HK2238365-008	22	3	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4647120)</b>								
HK2238365-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	29	28	0.0
HK2240720-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	71	69	2.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4647120)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	95.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4642274)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.1	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238366</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044		
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021		
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>Date received</i>	: 19-Oct-2022
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 24-Oct-2022
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Site</i>	: —				- Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

*Signatory*

*Position*

*Authorised results for:*

**Fung Lim Chee, Richard**

**Managing Director**

**Inorganics, Kwai Tsing**



## **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 19-Oct-2022 to 24-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238366 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>			
			<i>LOR Unit</i>			
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics		
			<b>EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)</b>	<b>EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----
<b>MP3-1</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-001	<b>30</b>	<b>6</b>	----	----
<b>MP3-2</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-002	<b>31</b>	<b>6</b>	----	----
<b>MP4-1</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-003	<b>20</b>	<2	----	----
<b>MP4-2</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-004	<b>19</b>	<2	----	----
<b>MP5-1</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-005	<b>22</b>	<2	----	----
<b>MP5-2</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-006	<b>23</b>	<2	----	----
<b>MP6-1</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-007	<b>24</b>	<2	----	----
<b>MP6-2</b>	19-Oct-2022	HK2238366-008	<b>25</b>	<2	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4649987)</b>								
HK2238366-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	30	31	0.0
HK2240987-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	5	6	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4649987)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	93.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4648706)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	104	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.




### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238367</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 21-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 26-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:</i>
 Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Managing Director	Inorganics, Kwai Tsing





## General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 21-Oct-2022 to 26-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238367 :

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-001	28	6	----	----	----
MP3-2	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-002	28	6	----	----	----
MP4-1	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-003	9	<2	----	----	----
MP4-2	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-004	10	<2	----	----	----
MP5-1	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-005	11	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-006	10	2	----	----	----
MP6-1	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-007	11	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	21-Oct-2022	HK2238367-008	12	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4658630)</b>								
HK2238367-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	28	28	0.0
HK2241786-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	20	23	13.7

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4658630)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	98.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4653890)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	105	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238368</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 24-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 31-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:</i>
------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

**Fung Lim Chee, Richard**

**Managing Director**

**Inorganics, Kwai Tsing**



## **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 24-Oct-2022 to 29-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238368 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.

---



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-001	11	5	----	----	----
MP3-2	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-002	12	5	----	----	----
MP4-1	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-003	24	<2	----	----	----
MP4-2	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-004	23	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-005	24	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-006	23	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-007	23	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	24-Oct-2022	HK2238368-008	24	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4661483)</b>								
HK2238368-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	11	12	0.0
HK2241719-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	26	27	5.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4661483)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	110	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4657386)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	101	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.






### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238369</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 26-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 31-Oct-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:</i>
 Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Managing Director	Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



### **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 26-Oct-2022 to 31-Oct-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238369 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-001	14	6	----	----	----
MP3-2	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-002	13	5	----	----	----
MP4-1	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-003	20	<2	----	----	----
MP4-2	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-004	21	<2	----	----	----
MP5-1	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-005	22	<2	----	----	----
MP5-2	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-006	22	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-007	25	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	26-Oct-2022	HK2238369-008	24	2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4664182)</b>								
HK2238369-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	14	15	8.3
HK2241888-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	8	7	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4664182)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	112	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4662399)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	100	----	78.6	118	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4663091)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	104	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.




### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238370</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 28-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 02-Nov-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:</i>
 Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Managing Director	Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



## **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 28-Oct-2022 to 02-Nov-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238370 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-001	21	8	----	----	----
MP3-2	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-002	21	8	----	----	----
MP4-1	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-003	36	2	----	----	----
MP4-2	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-004	36	2	----	----	----
MP5-1	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-005	43	2	----	----	----
MP5-2	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-006	44	2	----	----	----
MP6-1	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-007	66	2	----	----	----
MP6-2	28-Oct-2022	HK2238370-008	67	2	----	----	----





**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4670904)</b>								
HK2238370-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	21	22	0.0
HK2242279-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	115	114	0.0

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4670904)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	95.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4668386)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.7	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.




### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

<i>Client</i>	: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD	<i>Laboratory</i>	: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd	<i>Page</i>	: 1 of 4
<i>Contact</i>	: MR THOMAS WONG	<i>Contact</i>	: Richard Fung	<i>Work Order</i>	: <b>HK2238371</b>
<i>Address</i>	: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG	<i>Address</i>	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Kwai Tsing Hong Kong		
<i>E-mail</i>	: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk	<i>E-mail</i>	: richard.fung@alsglobal.com		
<i>Telephone</i>	: ----	<i>Telephone</i>	: +852 2610 1044	<i>Date received</i>	: 31-Oct-2022
<i>Facsimile</i>	: ----	<i>Facsimile</i>	: +852 2610 2021	<i>Date of issue</i>	: 08-Nov-2022
<i>Project</i>	: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG			<i>No. of samples</i>	- Received : 8
<i>Order number</i>	: —	<i>Quote number</i>	: HKE/3067/2021		- Analysed : 8
<i>C-O-C number</i>	: —				
<i>Site</i>	: —				

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

<i>Signatory</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Authorised results for:</i>
 Fung Lim Chee, Richard	Managing Director	Inorganics, Kwai Tsing



## **General Comments**

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 31-Oct-2022 to 07-Nov-2022.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

### **Specific Comments for Work Order HK2238371 :**

Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: WATER

			<i>Compound</i>	<i>LOR Unit</i>			
			EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	----
			2 mg/L	2 mg/L	----	----	----
<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Sampling date / time</i>	<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	----	----	----
MP3-1	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-001	24	9	----	----	----
MP3-2	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-002	22	9	----	----	----
MP4-1	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-003	16	<2	----	----	----
MP4-2	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-004	15	<2	----	----	----
MP5-1	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-005	16	<2	----	----	----
MP5-2	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-006	15	<2	----	----	----
MP6-1	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-007	19	<2	----	----	----
MP6-2	31-Oct-2022	HK2238371-008	20	<2	----	----	----



**Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report**

Matrix: WATER				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report				
Laboratory sample ID	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 4673207)</b>								
HK2242639-013	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	5550	5690	2.5
HK2242639-015	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	717	708	1.2

**Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report**

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report					
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)	
						LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
<b>EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 4673207)</b>											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	----	2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	96.5	----	77.8	119	----	----
<b>EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 4672198)</b>											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	----	----	mg/L	----	198 mg/L	92.6	----	78.6	118	----	----

**Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report**

- No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

## **G. Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period**







### Wind Data for Wetland Park

#### 6 October 2022

(於香港時間06/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 06/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間06/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 06/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

7 October 2022

(於香港時間07/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 07/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間07/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 07/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

11 October 2022

(於香港時間11/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 11/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間11/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 11/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

12 October 2022

(於香港時間12/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 12/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間12/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 12/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




### Wind Data for Wetland Park

17 October 2022

(於香港時間17/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 17/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間17/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 17/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




### Wind Data for Wetland Park

18 October 2022

(於香港時間18/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 18/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間18/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 18/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

21 October 2022

(於香港時間21/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 21/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間21/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 21/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速

10-minute mean wind







### Wind Data for Wetland Park

22 October 2022

(於香港時間22/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 22/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間22/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 22/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

27 October 2022

(於香港時間27/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 27/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間27/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 27/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Wetland Park

28 October 2022

(於香港時間28/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 28/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間28/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 28/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速

10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

### 6 October 2022

(於香港時間06/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 06/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間06/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 06/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

### 7 October 2022

(於香港時間07/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 07/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間07/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 07/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




### Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

11 October 2022

(於香港時間11/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 11/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間11/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 11/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

12 October 2022

(於香港時間12/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 12/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間12/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 12/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速

10-minute mean wind







## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

17 October 2022

(於香港時間17/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 17/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間17/10/2022 17 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 17/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

18 October 2022

(於香港時間18/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 18/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間18/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 18/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

21 October 2022

(於香港時間21/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 21/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間21/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 21/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

22 October 2022

(於香港時間22/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 22/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間22/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 22/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind




### Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

27 October 2022

(於香港時間27/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 27/10/2022)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間27/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 27/10/2022) (km/h)



十分鐘平均風向及風速


10-minute mean wind



## Wind Data for Lau Fau Shan

28 October 2022


(於香港時間28/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 28/10/2022)



LFSC

©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

(公里/小時) (於香港時間28/10/2022 17時30分更新) (Updated at 17:30H on 28/10/2022) (km/h)




LFSC

©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

十分鐘平均風向及風速

10-minute mean wind



27/10/2022

香港時間 (時) Hong Kong Time (Hour)

28/10/2022

LFSC

©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory



> Climate > Climate Information Service > Daily Extract

## Daily Extract

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations , October 2022

Back Year 2022 v Month 10 v Go

Day	Hong Kong Observatory								King's Park	Waglan Island <sup>a</sup>	
	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Air Temperature			Mean Dew Point (deg. C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Mean Amount of Cloud (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)	Total Bright Sunshine (hours)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Me: Wir Spe (km
		Absolute Daily Max (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Absolute Daily Min (deg. C)							
01	1012.9	30.5	27.7	25.7	25.1	86	85	2.6	***	***	**
02	1012.9	31.9	28.9	27.7	25.4	81	77	Trace	***	***	**
03	1013.5	33.0	29.5	27.5	24.6	76	33	0.0	***	***	**
04	1013.6	33.5	29.4	27.5	24.6	76	33	0.0	***	***	**
05	1014.4	31.4	29.1	27.8	24.2	75	54	Trace	***	***	**
06	1015.0	32.0	28.9	27.3	23.7	74	53	Trace	***	***	**
07	1014.9	31.5	28.3	25.8	23.7	77	65	22.8	***	***	**
08	1015.4	30.4	27.7	26.0	22.0	71	71	Trace	***	***	**
09	1016.4	31.0	27.1	23.7	21.1	71	49	4.8	***	***	**
10	1018.0	26.6	24.0	21.6	13.2	51	45	0.0	***	***	**
11	1016.8	28.1	24.1	21.2	12.4	48	16	0.0	***	***	**
12	1015.4	29.6	25.2	21.9	13.8	50	23	0.0	***	***	**
13	1013.5	29.6	26.0	23.3	17.5	60	64	0.0	***	***	**
14	1012.1	31.0	26.9	24.9	19.8	66	21	0.0	***	***	**
15	1010.9	31.6	27.5	24.1	16.7	53	31	0.0	***	***	**
16	1009.1	31.3	28.3	25.6	15.4	46	81	0.0	***	***	**
17	1008.9	29.0	27.2	26.3	14.2	45	87	Trace	***	***	**
18	1013.3	26.7	20.9	17.3	14.0	67	88	19.7	***	***	**
19	1015.7	26.2	23.0	18.3	13.0	54	74	0.0	***	***	**
20	1017.5	27.2	24.3	22.7	16.9	64	66	0.0	***	***	**
21	1017.2	28.5	25.2	23.2	18.7	68	36	0.0	***	***	**
22	1015.5	30.3	26.6	22.8	19.5	67	66	Trace	***	***	**
23	1014.9	30.7	26.5	24.3	20.6	71	86	0.0	***	***	**



24	1016.1	27.1	25.2	23.8	18.8	68	70	0.0	***	***	**
25	1018.2	25.8	23.8	22.6	16.2	63	46	0.0	***	***	**
26	1017.2	26.7	23.9	22.0	17.2	66	34	0.0	***	***	**
27	1015.9	28.1	24.6	22.4	18.5	70	28	0.0	***	***	**
28	1015.4	30.0	25.5	23.3	18.9	68	16	0.0	***	***	**
29	1014.2	30.0	25.7	23.7	18.6	65	60	0.0	***	***	**
30	1011.4	28.2	25.4	22.6	16.2	57	80	0.0	***	***	**
31	1008.7	27.2	25.4	23.8	14.1	50	85	0.0	***	***	**
Mean/Total	1014.3	29.5	26.2	23.9	18.7	65	56	49.9	***	***	**
Climatological Normal?	1014.0	28.1	25.7	23.9	20.2	73	58	120.3	197.8	080	26.

\*\*\* *unavailable*

^ *Information of wind direction and wind speed for Waglan Island are based on automatic weather station data since August 1989*

Trace means rainfall less than 0.05 mm

? *1991-2020 Climatological Normal, unless otherwise specified*



## H. Ecological Monitoring conducted

October 2022	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Mammals					✓	✓					✓				
Birds					✓						✓				
Herpetofauna															
Dragonflies & butterflies						✓									
Water Quality					+									✓@	
Inspection Visits					✓						✓				
Vegetation and Exotic Species Control															

October 2022	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Mammals			✓	✓										✓		
Birds			✓											✓		
Herpetofauna				✓(d)												
Dragonflies & butterflies																
Water Quality														+		
Inspection Visits				✓										✓		
Vegetation and Exotic Species Control								✓		✓						

Notes:  
 Light grey cells indicate public holidays, Saturdays or Sundays  
 "d" and "n" indicate daytime and night-time herpetofauna surveys respectively  
 + indicates water level monitoring  
 # indicates additional pH level monitoring  
 @ indicates extra water quality monitoring (ex-situ)



# I. Summary of Bird Surveys conducted

**Table I1: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)**

Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(3)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(4)</sup>	Records outside surveys <sup>(5)</sup>
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC	4	13.5	0
Great Cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	Y	PRC	3	11.3	0
Grey Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Y	PRC	4	8.0	0
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	10.5	0
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	15.3	0
Eastern Cattle Egret	<i>Bubulcus coromandus</i>	Y	(LC)	3	7.5	0
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	20.0	0
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.8	0
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)	2	1.0	0
Black Kite#	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	Class II, (RC)	4	3.5	0
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amauornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-	3	0.8	0
Little Ringed Plover	<i>Charadrius dubius</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.5	0
Green Sandpiper	<i>Tringa ochropus</i>	Y	-	4	1.0	0
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-	4	1.8	0
Whiskered Tern	<i>Chlidonias hybrida</i>	Y	-	1	0.5	0
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)	4	2.3	0
White-throated Kingfisher#	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	Class II, (LC)	2	0.5	0
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-	4	4.0	0
Eastern Yellow Wagtail	<i>Motacilla tschutschensis</i>	Y	-	3	2.0	0
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-	4	8.8	0
White-shouldered Starling	<i>Sturnia sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)	1	1.0	0
Collared Crow	<i>Corvus torquatus</i>	Y	LC, NT	2	0.8	0
<b>No. of species recorded:</b>				<b>22</b>		

**Note:**

- (1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03).
- (2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
- (3) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (4) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (5) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (6) Four regular surveys and two outside surveys were conducted.
- # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- ## Birds tagged with '##' are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table I2: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the WRA**

Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(3)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(4)</sup>	Records outside surveys <sup>(5)</sup>
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC	3	2.0	0
Great Cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	Y	PRC	2	1.3	0
Grey Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Y	PRC	2	0.5	0
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	1.5	0
Intermediate Egret	<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	Y	RC	2	0.5	0
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	2.3	0
Eastern Cattle Egret	<i>Bubulcus coromandus</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.5	0
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	7.8	0
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)	3	1.3	0
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)	3	2.5	0
Black-winged Kite#	<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	Y	Class II, LC	-	-	V
Black Kite#	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	Class II, (RC)	3	2.0	0
Eastern Buzzard#	<i>Buteo japonicus</i>	Y	Class II	1	0.3	0
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-	3	1.0	0
Little Ringed Plover	<i>Charadrius dubius</i>	Y	(LC)	2	0.8	0
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-	1	0.3	0
Common Snipe	<i>Gallinago gallinago</i>	Y	-	1	0.3	0
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)	1	0.3	0
White-throated Kingfisher#	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	Class II, (LC)	2	0.5	0
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-	1	0.5	0
Eastern Yellow Wagtail	<i>Motacilla tschutschensis</i>	Y	-	2	0.5	0
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-	4	2.8	0
Black-browed Reed Warbler	<i>Acrocephalus bistrigiceps</i>	Y	-	1	0.3	0
<b>No. of species recorded:</b>				<b>23</b>		

Note:

- (1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03).
  - (2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
  - (3) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
  - (4) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
  - (5) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
  - (6) Four regular surveys and six outside surveys were conducted
- # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection  
 ## Birds tagged with '##' are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection  
 V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table I3: Summary of bird species recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during the reporting month**

Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC
Great Cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	Y	PRC
Grey Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Y	PRC
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Eastern Cattle Egret	<i>Bubulcus coromandus</i>	Y	(LC)
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)
Black Kite#	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	Class II, (RC)
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-
Little Ringed Plover	<i>Charadrius dubius</i>	Y	(LC)
Green Sandpiper	<i>Tringa ochropus</i>	Y	-
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-
Whiskered Tern	<i>Chlidonias hybrida</i>	Y	-
Spotted Dove	<i>Spilopelia chinensis</i>	N	-
Eurasian Collared Dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	N	-
Asian Koel	<i>Eudynamis scolopaceus</i>	N	-
Greater Coucal#	<i>Centropus sinensis</i>	N	Class II
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)
White-throated Kingfisher#	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	Class II, (LC)
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	N	-
Red-rumped Swallow	<i>Hirundo daurica</i>	N	-
Eastern Yellow Wagtail	<i>Motacilla tschutschensis</i>	Y	-
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-
Richard's Pipit	<i>Anthus richardi</i>	N	-
Red-whiskered Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	N	-
Light-vented Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>	N	-
Long-tailed Shrike	<i>Lanius schach</i>	N	-
Oriental Magpie Robin	<i>Copsychus saularis</i>	N	-
Stejneger's Stonechat	<i>Saxicola stejnegeri</i>	N	-
Dusky Warbler	<i>Phylloscopus fuscatus</i>	N	-
Yellow-bellied Prinia	<i>Prinia flaviventris</i>	N	-
Plain Prinia	<i>Prinia inornata</i>	N	-
Cinereous Tit	<i>Parus cinereus</i>	N	-
Swinhoe's White-eye	<i>Zosterops simplex</i>	N	-
Scaly-breasted Munia	<i>Lonchura punctulata</i>	N	-
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	<i>Passer montanus</i>	N	-
Black-collared Starling	<i>Gracupica nigricollis</i>	N	-
White-shouldered Starling	<i>Sturnia sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Common Myna	<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	N	-
Crested Myna	<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	N	-
Black Drongo	<i>Dicrurus macrocercus</i>	N	-
Azure-winged Magpie	<i>Cyanopica cyanus</i>	N	-

Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>
Oriental Magpie	<i>Pica serica</i>	N	-
Collared Crow	<i>Corvus torquatus</i>	Y	LC, NT
<b>No. of species recorded:</b>		<b>47</b>	

Note:

(1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03)

(2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)

(3) Four regular surveys and two outside surveys were conducted

# Greater Coucal is listed as vulnerable (VU) in China Red Data Book and it is protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection (category II)

Other birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection

## Birds tagged with '##' are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection

**Table I4: Summary of bird species recorded in the WRA during the reporting month**

Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>
Little Grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Y	LC
Great Cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	Y	PRC
Grey Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Y	PRC
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Intermediate Egret	<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	Y	RC
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Eastern Cattle Egret	<i>Bubulcus coromandus</i>	Y	(LC)
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	Y	PRC, (RC)
Yellow Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus sinensis</i>	Y	(LC)
Black-crowned Night Heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Y	(LC)
Black-winged Kite#	<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	Y	Class II, LC
Black Kite#	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Y	Class II, (RC)
Eastern Buzzard#	<i>Buteo japonicus</i>	Y	Class II
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	Y	-
Little Ringed Plover	<i>Charadrius dubius</i>	Y	(LC)
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Y	-
Common Snipe	<i>Gallinago gallinago</i>	Y	-
Spotted Dove	<i>Spilopelia chinensis</i>	N	-
Eurasian Collared Dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	N	-
Asian Koel	<i>Eudynamis scolopaceus</i>	N	-
Himalayan Swiftlet	<i>Aerodramus brevirostris</i>	N	-
Pied Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Y	(LC)
White-throated Kingfisher#	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	Y	Class II, (LC)
Common Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Y	-
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	N	-
Red-rumped Swallow	<i>Hirundo daurica</i>	N	-
Eastern Yellow Wagtail	<i>Motacilla tschutschensis</i>	Y	-
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Y	-
Richard's Pipit	<i>Anthus richardi</i>	N	-
Red-whiskered Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	N	-
Light-vented Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>	N	-
Long-tailed Shrike	<i>Lanius schach</i>	N	-
Oriental Magpie Robin	<i>Copsychus saularis</i>	N	-



Species Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Scientific Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status <sup>(2)</sup>
Stejneger's Stonechat	<i>Saxicola stejnegeri</i>	N	-
Daurian Redstart	<i>Phoenicurus aureus</i>	N	-
Chinese Blackbird	<i>Turdus mandarinus</i>	N	-
Masked Laughingthrush	<i>Garrulax perspicillatus</i>	N	-
Black-browed Reed Warbler	<i>Acrocephalus bistrigiceps</i>	Y	-
Common Tailorbird	<i>Orthotomus sutorius</i>	N	-
Dusky Warbler	<i>Phylloscopus fuscatus</i>	N	-
Yellow-bellied Prinia	<i>Prinia flaviventris</i>	N	-
Plain Prinia	<i>Prinia inornata</i>	N	-
Grey-streaked Flycatcher	<i>Muscicapa griseisticta</i>	N	-
Asian Brown Flycatcher	<i>Muscicapa dauurica</i>	N	-
Cinereous Tit	<i>Parus cinereus</i>	N	-
Swinhoe's White-eye	<i>Zosterops simplex</i>	N	-
Little Bunting	<i>Emberiza pusilla</i>	N	-
Scaly-breasted Munia	<i>Lonchura punctulata</i>	N	-
Black-collared Starling	<i>Gracupica nigricollis</i>	N	-
Common Myna	<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	N	-
Crested Myna	<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	N	-
Black Drongo	<i>Dicrurus macrocercus</i>	N	-
Oriental Magpie	<i>Pica serica</i>	N	-
<b>No. of species recorded:</b>		<b>53</b>	

Note:

(1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03)

(2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)

(3) Four regular surveys and six outside surveys were conducted

# Greater Coucal is listed as vulnerable (VU) in China Red Data Book and it is protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection (category II)

Other birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection

## Birds tagged with '##' are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection



## J. Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys conducted

**Table J1: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Amphibian</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>0</b>			
No records					
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Reptile</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>0</b>			
No records					

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Chan *et al.* (2005) and Karsen *et al.* (1998).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

(4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.

(5) One regular survey and five outside surveys were conducted.

V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table J2: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the WRA**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Amphibian</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>0</b>			
No records					
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Reptile</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>5</b>			
Red-eared Slider	<i>Trachemys scripta elegans</i>	-	-	-	V
Bowring's Gecko	<i>Hemidactylus bowringii</i>	-	1	3.0	0
Long-tailed Skink	<i>Eutropis longicaudata</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Rat Snake	<i>Ptyas mucosus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Many-banded Krait	<i>Bungarus multicinctus multicinctus</i>	-	-	-	V

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Chan *et al.* (2005) and Karsen *et al.* (1998).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

- (3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) One regular survey and nine outside surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table J3: Summary of mammal monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Max <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Mammal</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>0</b>			
No records					

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and Shek (2006).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Six regular surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table J4: Summary of mammal monitoring in the WRA**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Max <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Mammal</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>0</b>			
No records					

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and Shek (2006).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Six regular surveys and four outside surveys were conducted
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table J5: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Odonata</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>12</b>			
Common Bluetail	<i>Ischnura senegalensis</i>	-	1	5.0	0
Pale-spotted Emperor	<i>Anax guttatus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Flangetail	<i>Ictinogomphus pertinax</i>	-	1	4.0	0
Regal Pond Cruiser	<i>Epophthalmia elegans</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Asian Amberwing	<i>Brachythemis contaminata</i>	-	1	5.0	0
Crimson Darter	<i>Crocothemis servilia servilia</i>	-	1	1.0	0

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
Coastal Glider	<i>Macrodiplox cora</i>	LC	1	1.0	0
Green Skimmer	<i>Orthetrum sabina sabina</i>	-	1	24.0	0
Wandering Glider	<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	-	1	12.0	0
Variiegated Flutterer	<i>Rhyothemis variegata arria</i>	-	1	13.0	0
Saddlebag Glider	<i>Tramea virginia</i>	-	1	4.0	0
Scarlet Basker	<i>Urothemis signata signata</i>	LC	1	1.0	0

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Butterflies</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>15</b>			
Plain Tiger	<i>Danaus chrysippus</i>	-	1	3.0	0
Blue-spotted Crow	<i>Euploea midamus midamus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Great Egg-fly	<i>Hypolimnas bolina kezia</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Red Ring Skirt	<i>Hestina assimilis assimilis</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Common Palmfly	<i>Elymnias hypermnestra hainana</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Dark Brand Bush Brown	<i>Mycalesis mineus mineus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Long-tailed Blue	<i>Lampides boeticus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Pale Grass Blue	<i>Pseudozizeeria maha serica</i>	-	1	10.0	0
Tiny Grass Blue	<i>Zizula hylax</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Lemon Emigrant	<i>Catopsilia pomona pomona</i>	-	1	11.0	0
Common Grass Yellow	<i>Eurema hecabe hecabe</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Bluebottle	<i>Graphium sarpedon sarpedon</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Lime Butterfly	<i>Papilio demoleus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Mormon	<i>Papilio polytes polytes</i>	-	1	3.0	0
Spangle	<i>Papilio protenor</i>	-	1	1.0	0

Note:

(1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam *et al.* (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).

(2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.

(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).

(4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.

(5) One regular survey and five outside surveys were conducted

V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

**Table J6: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the WRA**

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Odonata</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>20</b>			
Orange-tailed Sprite	<i>Ceragrion auranticum ryukyuanum</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Bluetail	<i>Ischnura senegalensis</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Yellow Featherlegs	<i>Coperia marginipes</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Pale-spotted Emperor	<i>Anax guttatus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Lesser Emperor	<i>Anax parthenope julius</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Flangetail	<i>Ictinogomphus pertinax</i>	-	1	5.0	0
Regal Pond Cruiser	<i>Epophthalmia elegans</i>	-	1	3.0	0
Asian Pintail	<i>Acisoma panorpoides</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Blue Dasher	<i>Brachydiplax chalybea flavovittata</i>	-	1	14.0	0
Asian Amberwing	<i>Brachythemis contaminata</i>	-	1	7.0	0
Crimson Darter	<i>Crocothemis servilia servilia</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Pied Percher	<i>Neurothemis tullia tullia</i>	-	1	11.0	0
Green Skimmer	<i>Orthetrum sabina sabina</i>	-	1	16.0	0
Wandering Glider	<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	-	1	9.0	0
Ruby Darter	<i>Rhodothermis rufa</i>	LC	1	1.0	0
Variegated Flutterer	<i>Rhyothemis variegata arria</i>	-	1	14.0	0
Evening Skimmer	<i>Tholymis tillarga</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Saddlebag Glider	<i>Tramea virginia</i>	-	1	5.0	0
Scarlet Basker	<i>Urothemis signata signata</i>	LC	1	1.0	0
Dingy Dusk-darter	<i>Zyxomma petiolatum</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Butterflies</b>	<b>No. of species recorded:</b>	<b>28</b>			
Common Tiger	<i>Danaus genutia</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Great Egg-fly	<i>Hypolimnas bolina kezia</i>	-	1	8.0	0
Common Sailor	<i>Neptis hylas hylas</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Red Ring Skirt	<i>Hestina assimilis assimilis</i>	-	1	4.0	0

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2022 Occurrence <sup>(2)</sup>	Oct 2022 Mean <sup>(3)</sup>	Records Outside Surveys <sup>(4)</sup>
Southern Sullied Sailer	<i>Neptis clinia susruta</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Palmfly	<i>Elymnias hypermnestra hainana</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Dark Brand Bush Brown	<i>Mycalesis mineus mineus</i>	-	1	5.0	0
South China Bush Brown	<i>Mycalesis zonata</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Gram Blue	<i>Euchrysops cnejus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Slate Flash	<i>Rapala manea</i>	-	-	-	V
Long-tailed Blue	<i>Lampides boeticus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Pale Grass Blue	<i>Pseudozizeeria maha serica</i>	-	1	6.0	0
Tiny Grass Blue	<i>Zizula hylax</i>	-	1	11.0	0
Tailless Line Blue	<i>Prosotas dubiosa</i>	-	1	16.0	0
Red-base Jezebel	<i>Delias pasithoe pasithoe</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Lemon Emigrant	<i>Catopsilia pomona pomona</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Three-spot Grass Yellow	<i>Eurema blanda hylama</i>	-	1	3.0	0
Tailed Jay	<i>Graphium agamemnon agamemnon</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Mime	<i>Chilasa clytia clytia</i>	-	1	5.0	0
Common Mormon	<i>Papilio polytes polytes</i>	-	1	2.0	0
Spangle	<i>Papilio protenor</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Common Awl	<i>Hasora badra badra</i>	LC	-	-	V
Dart	<i>Potanthus sp.</i>	-	-	-	V
Formosan Swift	<i>Borbo cinnara</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Swift	<i>Pelopidas sp.</i>	-	-	-	V
Colon Swift	<i>Caltoris bromus</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Chinese Dart	<i>Potanthus confucius confucius</i>	-	1	1.0	0
Grass Demon	<i>Udaspes folus</i>	-	1	1.0	0

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam *et al.* (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) One regular survey and nine outside surveys were conducted
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys





## K. Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted

**Table K1. Water quality at WRA during the reporting month**

Cell No.	Temp. (°C)	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	Water Level Monitoring (cm)	
						(5 October 2022)	(29 October 2022)
<b>1</b>	27.2	7.4	0.2	9.0	5.6	200	180
<b>2</b>	25.3	7.3	0.2	12.3	5.0	170	150
<b>3</b>	25.7	7.3	0.2	5.8	5.7	210	190
<b>4</b>	26.0	7.4	0.2	6.0	4.7	205	190
Action Level	-	<6.5 or >8.0	>2	-	<2	<150 or >250	
Limit Level	-	<6.0 or >8.5	>5	-	<1	-	

Notes:

1. Values highlighted in **bold** indicate that action level is reached; whereas values in **bold and underlined** indicate that limit level is reached.
2. Water level monitoring was conducted on 5 and 29 October 2022.
3. Monitoring of all other parameters was conducted on 14 October 2022.

**Table K2. Water quality monitoring (Laboratory Testing) at WRA during the reporting month**

Cell No.	Ammonia-N mg/L	NOx-N mg/L	Total P mg/L	Reactive P mg/L	BOD mg/L
Cell 1-1	0.13	0.04	0.54	<0.01	11.0
Cell 1-2	0.15	0.05	0.60	<0.01	10.0
Cell 1-3	0.14	0.05	0.28	<0.01	5.0
Cell 2-1	0.10	0.04	0.12	<0.01	6.0
Cell 2-2	0.10	0.04	0.12	<0.01	6.0
Cell 2-3	0.09	0.04	0.10	<0.01	5.0
Cell 3-1	0.01	<0.01	0.11	<0.01	5.0
Cell 3-2	<0.01	<0.01	0.10	<0.01	6.0
Cell 3-3	0.02	<0.01	0.11	<0.01	5.0
Cell 4-1	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	<0.01	3.0
Cell 4-2	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	<0.01	3.0
Cell 4-3	0.03	<0.01	0.08	<0.01	3.0
Action Level	>3	>5	>5	-	-
Limit Level	>6	>10	>10	-	-

Note: 1. Values highlighted in **bold** indicate that action level is reached; whereas values in **bold and underlined** indicate that limit level is reached.

2. Monitoring of additional parameters was conducted on 14 October 2022.



## L. Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status

### Air Quality – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Air Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>access roads should be sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry PFA should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>de-bagging, batching or mixing process should be carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of effective dust screens, sheeting or netting to be provided to enclose dry scaffolding which may be provided from the ground floor level of the building or if a canopy is provided at the first-floor level, from the first floor level, up to the highest level (maximum four floors for this Project) of the scaffolding where scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>dump trucks for material transport should be totally enclosed using impervious sheeting;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>any excavated dusty materials or stockpile of dusty materials should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet, and recovered or backfilled or reinstated within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with e.g. concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore or similar;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the portion of road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>stockpile of dusty materials to be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting, placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>all dusty materials to be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>vehicle speed to be limited to 10 kph except on completed access roads;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; and</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the working area of excavation should be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after (as necessary) the operations so as to maintain the entire surface wet.</li> </ul>	✓
<b>Odour mitigation measures</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>all malodorous excavated material should be placed as far as possible from any ASRs;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the stockpiled malodorous material should be removed from site as soon as possible; and</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the stockpiled malodorous material should be covered entirely by plastic tarpaulin sheets.</li> </ul>	N/A

## Noise – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Noise Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>machines and plant that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilised and should be properly maintained during the construction period;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities; and</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>air compressor and hand-held breaker should be fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation; and</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor shall at all times comply with all current statutory environmental legislation.</li> </ul>	✓
<p><i>Selection of quieter plant and working methods</i></p> <p>The Contractor shall obtain particular models of plant that are quieter than standards given in GW-TM. The list of assumed quieter plants can be found in the Table 4–14 of the EIA report. The Contractor shall select from the available models achieving the assumed sound levels while making reference to the GW-TM, BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 and BS 5228-2:2009+A1:2014</p>	✓
<p><i>Use of Noise Barriers</i></p> <p>Noise barriers are proposed along the site boundary to block the direct line of sight from the most affected NSRs to the major noise contribution construction phases. The height of the noise barriers ranged from 9-10m. The noise barriers shall be built before the commencement of construction works in order to ensure protection to nearby NSRs. The noise barrier should have a surface density of at least 10kg/m<sup>2</sup> or material providing equivalent transmission loss. The noise barriers and hoardings should have no gaps and openings to avoid noise leakage.</p>	P

## Water Quality – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site should be confined to avoid silt runoff to the site.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No discharge of silty water into the storm drain and drainage channel within and the vicinity of the site.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any soil contaminated with chemicals/oils shall be removed from site and the void created shall be filled with suitable materials.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stockpiles to be covered by tarpaulin to avoid spreading of materials during rainstorms;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suitable containers shall be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical waste containers shall be labelled with appropriate warning signs in English and Chinese to avoid accidents. there shall also be clear instructions showing what action to take in the event of an accidental;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Storage areas shall be selected at safe locations on site and adequate space shall be allocated to the storage area;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any construction plant which causes pollution to the water system due to leakage of oil or fuel shall be removed off-site immediately;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Spillage or leakage of chemical waste to be controlled by using suitable absorbent materials;</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemicals will always be stored on drip trays or in bunded areas where the volume is 110% of the stored volume;</li> </ul>	P

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regular clearance of domestic waste generated in the temporary sanitary facilities to avoid wastewater spillage.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Temporary sanitary facilities to be provided for on-site workers during construction.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Temporary drainage channel and associated facilities will be provided to collect the surface runoff generated within the Project Area during the construction phase.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sandbags or silt traps will need to be placed to avoid silt runoff to the drainage channel draining the water in the northern ditch. Draining of the ditches should avoid rainy weather.</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Excavated soil which needs to be temporarily stockpiled should be stored in a specially designated area and provided with a tarpaulin cover to avoid runoff into the drainage channels.</li> </ul>	✓

## Waste Management – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<p><i>Site Clearance Waste</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The major construction works of Wo Shang Wai is in the development of residential buildings and other associated facilities (club house, tennis courts, etc.). The amount of site clearance works will be limited with the exception of the excavated materials. The thin layer of vegetation removed can be stored and reused for landscaping.</li> </ul>	✓
<p><i>Excavated Materials</i></p> <p>The intention is to maximize the reuse of the excavated materials on-site as fill materials.</p>	✓
<p><i>Imported Filling Material</i></p> <p>The excavated/imported filling material may have to be temporarily stockpiled on-site for the construction of road embankment and foundation of viaduct substructure. Control measures should be taken at the stockpiling area to prevent the generation of dust and pollution of stormwater channels. However, to eliminate the risk of blocking drains in the wet season, it is recommended that stockpiling of excavated materials at during wet season should be avoided as far as practicable.</p>	✓
<p><i>Construction and Demolition Materials</i></p> <p>Careful design, planning and good site management can minimise over-ordering and generation of waste materials such as concrete, mortars and cement grouts. The design of formwork should maximise the use of standard wooden panels so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork or plastic facing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse.</p>	✓
<p>The Contractor should reuse any C&amp;D material on-site. C&amp;D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.</p>	✓
<p><i>Chemical Waste</i></p> <p>For those processes which generate chemical waste, it may be possible to find alternatives which generate reduced quantities or even no chemical waste, or less dangerous types of chemical waste.</p> <p>Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should:</p>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations,</li> </ul>	✓
<p>The storage area for chemical wastes should:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be enclosed on at least 3 sides;</li> </ul>	✓

Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in that area whichever is the greatest;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>have adequate ventilation;</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste if necessary); and</li> </ul>	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated.</li> </ul>	✓
Disposal of chemical waste should:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be via a licensed waste collector; and</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to be a re-user of the waste, under approval from the EPD.</li> </ul>	N/A
<p><i>General Refuse</i></p> <p>Should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&amp;D and chemical wastes. The Contractor should employ a reputable waste collector to remove general refuse from the site, separate from C&amp;D and chemical wastes, on a regular basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.</p>	P
<b>Disposal of Excavated Sediment at Sea</b>	
The requirements and procedures for excavated sediment disposal are specified under the ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 and PNAP 252 (ADV-21). The management of the excavation, use and disposal of sediment is monitored by Fill Management Committee, whilst the licensing of marine dumping is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP).	N/A
The excavated sediment would be loaded onto barges or other appropriate vessel and transported to the designated marine disposal site. Category L sediment and Category M sediment passing the biological test would be suitable for disposal at a gazetted open sea disposal ground. Category M sediment failing the biological test and Category H sediment passing the biological test would require confined marine disposal.	N/A
During transportation and disposal of the dredged sediment, the following measures should be taken to minimize potential impacts on water quality: -	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bottom opening transport vessels should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels before the vessel is moved.</li> </ul>	N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.</li> </ul>	N/A

## Ecology – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<i>Clear Definition of Site Limit</i>	
Clear definition of the site limit should be provided in order to minimize and confine the disturbance during the construction period, especially the northern limit of the Site which is adjacent to fishponds within the Conservation Area (CA) zone and are considered to be ecological sensitive receivers.	✓
During wetland construction stage the WRA boundary will be delineated using a temporary hoarding in order to reduce disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife. During the establishment phase this hoarding will be replaced with a 1 m high chain-link fence in order to reduce disturbance to the WRA through access by humans and dogs, and a hoarding will be established around the residential construction site.	N/A (WRA construction completed)

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
<i>Dust and Noise Suppression and Avoidance of Water Pollution</i>	
<p>Good site practices of dust and noise suppression should be strictly implemented to ensure that disturbance is minimized to acceptable levels. Mitigation measures for the off-site disturbance impacts on the fishponds in the CA include hoarding at the northern site boundary during construction of the WRA to reduce noise and dust impacts to the adjacent habitats. Through the use of quieter plant and temporary/movable noise barriers, the noise level would be reduced significantly to an acceptable level. Hoarding at the northern boundary should be replaced with a 1 m high chain-link fence following construction and the WRA will then act as a buffer between the existing wetland areas and the residential part of the site until construction is completed. Hoarding will be retained between the WRA and ongoing construction work to avoid visual disturbance and reduce noise and dust emissions. Pollution of watercourses and sedimentary runoff will be minimized by good site practice, especially the containment of water and sediment within the site for removal.</p> <p>These standard noise and air and water quality site practices are considered to be effective measures for minimizing the disturbance impact during the construction period.</p>	✓
<i>Planning of Construction Schedule</i>	
<p>The construction of the proposed project should be scheduled in phases. Because mitigation is preferably carried out in advance of the main works rather than after the completion of works, the construction of the WRA will commence at the start of the project. Construction work within the WRA is scheduled to take place in a single wet season, followed by 1.5 years of wetland establishment. During the wetland establishment period no noisy work will be undertaken within the WRA to minimize the disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife.</p>	N/A (WRA construction completed)
<i>Reusing Onsite Materials</i>	
<p>Soil and plants on-site should be reused (e.g. used as fill material) as far as practical. Stockpiles of these reusable materials should be stored in an appropriate area on-site. In particular, the re-use of the wetland soils and topsoil should be considered.</p>	✓
<i>Construction of the Wetland Restoration Area</i>	
<p>The WRA will be operational within 2.5 yrs from the commencement of construction (1 year for site formation and 1.5 years for establishment) and will compensate for the predicted ecological impacts of the proposed development.</p>	✓




## Landscape and Visual – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
CM1 - The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.	✓
CM2 - Screening of construction works by hoarding / noise barriers.	✓ (see <b>Appendix M</b> Photo 1 & 3 *)
CM3 - Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.	✓
CM4 - Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.	✓
CM5 - Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).	✓
CM6 - Advance screen planting of noise barriers	✓ (see <b>Appendix M</b> Photo 3 *)
CM7 - Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.	N/A
CM8 - Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to the Project Area.	✓
CM9 - Protection of existing trees on boundary of site shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained	✓

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).	✓
CM10 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.	✓
Legend:	
✓	Implemented
x	Not implemented
P	Partially implemented
N/A	Not applicable
*	Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in <b>Appendix M</b>



## M. Landscape and Visual Audit Photos

	
<p>Photo 1: The Construction works have been screened by hoarding / noise barriers. (CM2)</p>	<p>Photo 2: The wetland areas have been established, and the ponds are being seasonally filled with rainwater. (OM4)</p>
	
<p>Photo 3: Advance screen planting of noise barrier has been undertaken (CM6, OM2)</p>	