

Our ref: 11-03-2021

11-03-2021

By email: cre.wilsonlam@hkirts.com

Binnies Hong Kong Limited
Unit No. 2507-2509, 25/F, The Octagon,
No. 6 Sha Tsui Road,
Tsuen Wan, N.T.
(Attn: Wilson Lam)

Dear Mr. Lam,

Re: Contract No. CM 10/2018

Independent environmental checker services for inter-reservoirs transfer scheme (IRTS)

– water tunnel between Kowloon byewash reservoir and lower shing mun reservoir

20th Monthly EM&A Report (Rev. 1)

Reference is made to the submission of the 20th Monthly EM&A Report (Rev. 1) and provided to us via email dated on 11-3-2021 for our review and comment.

The ET Leader and ET are reminded that according to condition 2.2 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-345/2009/A the ET and the ET Leader shall be responsible for the implementation of the EM&A programme in accordance with the relevant EM&A requirements as contained in the EM&A Manual.

Please be informed that IEC has comments on the captioned submission.

1. According to Section 5.6.2 of the EM&A Manual, proposed water quality monitoring schedule should be sent to the Contractor, IEC, ER and EPD at least one week prior to commencement of the monitoring work. The Contractor, IEC, ER and EPD should be notified immediately of any change in monitoring schedule. IEC realized ET failed to keep EPD informed the monitoring schedule since the commencement of the Project in July 2019.
2. According to Section 2.6.3, sufficient and suitably qualified professional and technical staff shall be employed by the respective parties to ensure full compliance with their duties and responsibility. A great difference of replicate pH measurements was observed at the sampling locations at D1b on February 17, 2021 and at D2a on February 17 and 19, 2021. An ET representative proposed an acceptable difference of replicate pH measurements was 30% during SSEMC meeting on March 2, 2021. IEC is not able to support the proposal.
3. ET is also reminded to include the worksite areas for ground investigation in their weekly inspections.

嘉誠管理顧問有限公司

Ka Shing management consultant Limited



IEC hereby writes to verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 2.1 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-345/2009/A.

Thank you very much for your attention and please feel free to contact the undersigned should you require further information.

Yours faithfully,

For and on behalf of

Ka Shing Management Consultant Limited

Douglas Wong

Dr. Wong

Independent Environmental Checker

Encl. Tables extracted from Monthly EM&A report showing the great difference of replicate pH measurement

Unit 2, 13/F Kai Yue Commercial Building, 2C Argyle St, Mong Kok, Kowloon

九龍旺角亞皆老街 2C 號啟如商業大廈 13 樓 2 室

Tel: (852) 2618 2166 Fax: (852) 2120 7752

電話: (852) 2618 2166 傳真: (852) 2120 7752

Web Site: www.ka-shing.net

網站: www.ka-shing.net



Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
D1b	1/2/2021	D1b	15:40	26.1	8.0	9.1	112.3	2.0	2.5
	1/2/2021	D1b#	15:43	26.2	8.0	8.9	109.7	1.8	2.6
	3/2/2021	D1b	14:52	26.1	8.0	8.9	110.0	1.8	2.5
	3/2/2021	D1b#	14:55	26.3	8.0	8.9	110.2	2.1	2.5
	5/2/2021	D1b	15:15	26.4	7.8	8.8	108.8	1.5	3.3
	5/2/2021	D1b#	15:18	26.4	7.8	8.8	109.0	1.6	2.5
	9/2/2021	D1b	16:07	26.3	7.8	8.6	105.9	1.9	2.6
	9/2/2021	D1b#	16:10	26.2	8.0	7.1	87.3	2.1	2.8
	11/2/2021	D1b	14:32	19.9	7.9	8.6	94.5	0.1	2.5
	11/2/2021	D1b#	14:35	19.9	6.5	8.6	94.4	1.0	2.5
	14/2/2021	D1b	15:45	20.0	7.5	8.6	94.3	0.6	2.8
	14/2/2021	D1b#	15:48	20.0	7.5	8.6	94.4	0.6	3.0
	17/2/2021	D1b	15:46	22.5	11.3	7.9	91.2	0.4	3.4
	17/2/2021	D1b#	15:49	22.5	12.1	7.9	91.2	0.6	3.7
	18/2/2021	D1b	14:00	22.3	6.8	8.6	99.2	2.0	/
	18/2/2021	D1b#	14:03	21.4	6.8	8.7	98.1	3.3	/
	19/2/2021	D1b	16:48	22.4	8.5	8.7	100.0	2.7	2.5
	19/2/2021	D1b#	16:51	22.6	8.7	8.6	99.3	3.0	2.5
	21/2/2021	D1b	14:47	20.0	7.9	8.5	93.9	1.2	2.5
	21/2/2021	D1b#	14:50	20.1	8.0	8.5	93.9	1.1	2.5
	23/2/2021	D1b	16:10	25.1	6.8	8.0	97.0	3.7	2.5
	23/2/2021	D1b#	16:13	25.1	6.7	8.0	96.9	3.8	2.5
	25/2/2021	D1b	15:46	24.7	6.6	9.1	109.2	1.0	2.9
	25/2/2021	D1b#	15:49	24.8	6.7	9.0	108.5	0.3	5.6
	27/2/2021	D1b	15:28	23.9	6.7	9.6	114.1	5.4	3.5
	27/2/2021	D1b#	15:31	23.9	6.7	9.6	113.4	5.2	4.3

Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
D2a	1/2/2021	D2a	14:42	26.2	8.2	9.2	113.6	1.4	2.5
	1/2/2021	D2a#	14:45	26.0	8.0	9.9	112.6	2.3	2.5
	3/2/2021	D2a	13:30	26.3	7.9	7.7	95.5	1.5	2.5
	3/2/2021	D2a#	13:33	26.2	8.0	7.9	98.1	1.3	2.5
	5/2/2021	D2a	14:00	26.4	7.8	8.9	109.9	2.0	3.1
	5/2/2021	D2a#	14:03	26.3	7.8	8.7	107.4	1.7	3.3
	9/2/2021	D2a	15:02	26.2	8.0	7.9	97.7	1.4	2.9
	9/2/2021	D2a#	15:05	26.2	8.0	8.0	98.9	2.0	2.5
	11/2/2021	D2a	13:42	21.3	8.3	8.8	98.9	1.5	2.5
	11/2/2021	D2a#	13:45	21.2	8.1	8.8	98.7	1.4	2.8
	14/2/2021	D2a	14:37	19.9	7.6	8.6	94.2	0.6	2.9
	14/2/2021	D2a#	14:40	19.9	7.6	8.6	94.2	0.6	3.3
	17/2/2021	D2a	14:50	22.5	8.0	7.9	91.5	0.5	2.5
	17/2/2021	D2a#	14:53	22.5	9.5	7.9	91.3	0.7	2.6
	19/2/2021	D2a	15:48	21.5	8.6	8.7	98.4	2.3	2.5
	19/2/2021	D2a#	15:51	22.4	7.9	8.7	99.7	2.5	2.5
	21/2/2021	D2a	13:41	22.7	7.3	8.6	99.2	2.9	2.5
	21/2/2021	D2a#	13:44	22.7	7.1	8.6	99.3	2.9	2.5
	23/2/2021	D2a	15:10	25.1	7.3	7.9	96.1	3.8	2.5
	23/2/2021	D2a#	15:13	25.1	7.3	7.9	96.1	3.6	3.2
	25/2/2021	D2a	14:40	24.3	6.6	8.8	104.7	1.8	7.1
	25/2/2021	D2a#	14:47	24.8	6.6	9.1	109.5	0.7	2.5
27/2/2021	D2a	14:03	24.1	6.6	9.3	110.1	6.0	2.5	
27/2/2021	D2a#	14:06	24.0	6.6	10.3	122.5	6.6	4.6	

**CONTRACT NO. DC/2018/08 - INTER-RESERVOIRS TRANSFER SCHEME
- WATER TUNNEL BETWEEN KOWLOON BYEWASH RESERVOIR AND LOWER SHING MUN RESERVOIR
IEC's COMMENTS**

Document Title: IRTS 20th Monthly EM&A Report (February 2021)

Document Ref. No.:

Date of Issue of Comments: 11/3/2021




ITEM NO.	REVIEWER'S COMMENT	ET'S RESPONSE	CLOSE DATE
1.	According to Section 5.6.2 of the EM&A Manual, proposed water quality monitoring schedule should be sent to the Contractor, IEC, ER and EPD at least one week prior to commencement of the monitoring work. The Contractor, IEC, ER and EPD should be notified immediately of any change in monitoring schedule. IEC realized ET failed to keep EPD informed the monitoring schedule since the commencement of the Project in July 2019.	The monitoring schedules have been agreed to be sent to EPD directly by ET since 23/2/2021. The deficiency claimed by IEC was not noted.	
2.	According to Section 2.6.3, sufficient and suitably qualified professional and technical staff shall be employed by the respective parties to ensure full compliance with their duties and responsibility. A great difference of replicate pH measurements was observed at the sampling locations at D1b on February 17, 2021 and at D2a on February 17 and 19, 2021. An ET representative proposed an acceptable difference of replicate pH measurements was 30% during SSEMC meeting on March 2, 2021. IEC is not able to support the proposal.	<p>IEC's statement of "An ET representative proposed an acceptable difference of replicate pH measurements was 30% during SSEMC meeting on March 2, 2021." Is incorrect. In the SSEMC meeting, IEC presented his concern of "a great difference of replicate pH measurements was observed at the sampling locations at D1b on February 17, 2021 and at D2a on February 17 and 19,2021", therefore, ET mentioned that no specific requirement on observing the difference between the first and second measurement result was found from the EM&A Manual of the Project. Moreover, ET mentioned the practice adopted for water monitoring by other EM&A projects for IEC's reference, which shall be "Where the difference in value between the first and second measurement of in-situ parameters is more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading should be discarded and further readings would be taken." instead of 30% as checked afterwards. IEC expressed his disagreement over the practice of the EM&A project reference and suggested to keep the pH result for the Project only when the first and second pH measurement result is within 0.3 pH level.</p> <p>Further discussion among the relevant parties of the Project, IEC and authorities would be suggested, if IEC insisted to incorporate the requirement of "keep the pH result for the Project only when the first and second pH measurement result is within 0.3 pH level" as a change of EM&A programme.</p>	
3.	ET is also reminded to include the worksite areas for ground investigation in their weekly inspections.	As confirmed with the Contractor, no ground investigation work was undergoing and foreseen for the remaining construction phase of the Project.	



20th Monthly EM&A Report (Rev. 1)
February 2021

for

**Inter-Reservoir Transfer Scheme –
Water Tunnel Between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir
and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
(Contract No.: DC/2018/08)**

	Prepared by:	Checked by:	Certified by:
Name	Kelvin LAU	Nelson TSUI	Kevin LI
Position	Environmental Team Member	Environmental Team Member	Environmental Team Leader
Signature			
Date	11 March 2021	11 March 2021	11 March 2021

Revision History

Rev.	Description	Date
1	Addressed IEC's comments and updated Appendix D	11 March 2021
0	1 st Submission for Comments	8 March 2021

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- E1. Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASCL) has been commissioned by Bouygues Travaux Publics to undertake the assignment as the Environmental Team (ET) for the Designated Project of West Kowloon Drainage Improvement – Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS) (the Project), with Contract No. DC/2018/08.
- E2. This is the 20th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents EM&A works undertaken in the period of 1 to 28 February 2021. EM&A works were performed in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual and conditions stipulated in the amended Environmental Permit EP-345/2009/A.
- E3. According to the approved EM&A Manual, construction noise and water quality monitoring are required to be performed during the construction phase of the Project. Four (4) sessions of construction noise impact monitoring at NM1 and NM2 for daytime except general holidays and Sundays; four (4) sessions of construction noise impact monitoring at NM1 and NM2 for daytime during general holidays and Sundays; four (4) sessions of construction noise impact monitoring at NM1 and NM2 for all days during evening and four (4) sessions of construction noise impact monitoring at NM1 for all days during night time were conducted during the reporting period. Eleven (11) sessions of impact water quality monitoring at all approved monitoring points were carried out in the reporting period.
- E4. The control points C1b and C2 were observed dried up on all monitoring days in February 2021. Insufficient water was available for sample collection.
- E5. Exceedance of Limit Level for pH was recorded for water monitoring location D1b on 17 February 2021. The exceedance was considered project unrelated after investigation.
- E6. No exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting period.
- E7. Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representative of ET, Contractor and Engineer on 2, 9, 17 and 23 February 2021. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- E8. No complaint regarding environmental issue was received in the reporting period.
- E9. No notification of summons nor prosecution have been received since the commencement of the Project.

E10. The variation of Environmental Permit was issued on 11 November 2020. The amendments incorporated into the Environmental Permit are summarized as follow:

- “Location of Designated Project” changed;
- Location of cofferdam changed;
- Content of earth bund added;
- More plant species of conservation importance added.

E11. Construction works undertaken in the reporting period include the following:

Works Area	Major Site Activities
Portion A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TBM excavation• Pre-drilling works
Portion C	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Base slab construction• Steel cofferdam installation• Pre-drilling works

E12. Construction works to be undertaken in the next reporting period include the following:

Works Area	Major Site Activities
Portion A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TBM excavation
Portion C	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Steel cofferdam installation• Excavation for maintenance walkway site formation

E13. The Contractor was reminded that all works to be undertaken within the water gathering ground of Lower Shing Mun Reservoir (LSMR) and Kowloon Byewash Reservoir (KBR) must fulfill statutory environmental requirements, especially in watercourse protection.

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Introduction	1
2	Environmental Monitoring Requirements and Programme	5
3	Impact Monitoring Methodology and Results	10
4	Waste Management	16
5	Site Inspection	17
6	Environmental Complaint and Non-compliance	19
7	Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures	20
8	Environmental Forecasting	22
9	Conclusion and Recommendations	23

List of Appendix

Appendix A	Project Site Layout Plan
Appendix B	Latest Construction Programme
Appendix C	Monitoring Locations
Appendix D	Calibration Certificates of Equipment Used
Appendix E	Impact Noise Monitoring Data
Appendix F	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data
Appendix G	Supplementary Meteorological Data
Appendix H	Event / Action Plans
Appendix I	Monthly Waste Flow Table
Appendix J	Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures
Appendix K	Tentative Monitoring Schedule of Next Reporting Period
Appendix L	Investigation Report on Exceedance

List of Table

Table 1.1	Contact Details of Key Personnel
Table 1.2	Summary of Construction Activities Undertaken in the Reporting Period
Table 1.3	Summary of Environmental Licences and Permits of the Project
Table 1.4	Documents Submission Required in the Environmental Permit
Table 2.1	Summary of Impact Monitoring Parameters
Table 2.2	Designated Noise Monitoring Location
Table 2.3	Original Water Quality Monitoring Location
Table 2.4	Approved Water Quality Monitoring Location
Table 2.5	Action / Limit Levels for Construction Noise Monitoring
Table 2.6	Action / Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 3.1	Equipment Used in the Reporting Period
Table 3.2	Summary of Evening Time Noise Monitoring Results
Table 3.3	Summary of Night Time Noise Monitoring Result
Table 3.4	Summary of Daytime during General Holidays and Sundays Noise Monitoring Results
Table 3.5	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results
Table 3.6	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results
Table 4.1	Summary of Waste Disposal
Table 5.1	Weekly Inspection Findings
Table 7.1	Implemented Environmental Mitigation Measures in the Reporting Period

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASCL) has been commissioned by Bouygues Travaux Publics to undertake the assignment as the Environmental Team (ET) for the contract of West Kowloon Drainage Improvement – Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS) (the Project), with Contract No. DC/2018/08. The Project comprises the following principal works elements:
- Construction of a new water tunnel, with about 2.8km in length and 3m in diameter, from KBR to LSMR;
 - Construction of an intake structure at KBR and an isolation system;
 - Construction of an outfall structure at LSMR with an energy dissipater; and
 - All associated civil, structural, geotechnical, electrical and mechanical works, including landscaping, permanent and temporary accesses as may be necessary for the completion of the works elements listed above.
- 1.2 The Project site consists of the intake site at KBR and the outfall site at the Lower Shing Mun Reservoir. The layout of the Project site is presented in **Appendix A**.
- 1.3 This project is a Designated Project under Part I of Schedule 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). An Environmental Permit (EP), with Permit No. EP345/2009, was granted to the Water Supplies Department (WSD) for permitting the construction and operation of this Project. Subsequently, the EP was amended and a variation of EP, with Permit No. EP345/2009/A, was granted to the WSD on 11 November 2020.
- 1.4 The commencement date of construction of the Project was 12 July 2019. No major works except site clearance and preparation was performed before the commencement date of construction.
- 1.5 This is the 20th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting results and findings of all EM&A work required in the approved EM&A Manual for the period of 1 to 28 February 2021.
- 1.6 All project information since the commencement of work under EP including Monthly EM&A Reports is made available to the public via internet access at the website: <https://www.epd.gov.hk/eia/register/permit/latest/vep5822020.htm>
- 1.7 As part of the EM&A programme, baseline monitoring is required for determining the ambient environmental conditions. Baseline monitoring including background noise and water quality were conducted in periods from 3 May 2019 to 22 June 2019 in accordance to the approved EM&A Manual before commencement of construction works. The corresponding Baseline Monitoring Report has been compiled by the ET and verified by

the Independent Environment Checker (IEC) prior submitting to the Environmental Protection Department.

1.8 Project organization structure is presented in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1 Project Organization Chart

1.9 Contact details of key personnel are presented in Table 1.1 below.

Table 1.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Contact No.
Bouygues Travaux Publics	Site Agent	Mr. Alfred Tsang	3959 7317
Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Kevin Li	2698 6833
Ka Shing Management Consultant Limited	Independent Environment Checker	Dr. Douglas Wong	2618 2166

1.10 Details of major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period are shown in Table 1.2 below. The construction programme is presented in **Appendix B**.

Table 1.2 Summary of Construction Activities Undertaken in the Reporting Period

Works Area	Major Site Activities
Portion A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBM excavation • Pre-drilling works
Portion C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Base slab construction • Steel cofferdam installation • Pre-drilling works

1.11 A summary of status of environmental legislations related licences, permits and/or notifications is presented in Table 1.3.

Table 1.3 Summary of Environmental Licences and Permits of the Project

Type of Permit / License	Date of Application	Reference Number	Status	Duration
Variation of Environmental Permit	15-Oct-2020	EP-345/2009/A	Valid	Along project
Chemical Waste Producer	22-Feb-2019	WPN5218-733-B2557-01	Approved.	Along project
Notification of The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	1-Mar-2019	442711	Completed (No approval required)	Along project
Billing Account of Trip Ticket System	25-Feb-2019	703344617	Approved on 13 March 2019	Along project
Effluent Discharge License for LSMR	4-Apr-2019	WT00034164-2019	Approved	Until 31-Jul-2024
Effluent Discharge License for KBR	30-Sep-2019	WT00035821-2020	Approved	Until 31-May-2025
Construction Noise Permit for 24-hr TBM assembly at Portion A & D	6-Jan-2021	GW-RN0026-21	Approved	06-Feb-2021 to 05 May-2021
Construction Noise Permit for works at Portion C.	20-May-2020	GW-RN0849-20	Approved	14-Dec-2020 to 13-Jun-2021
Construction Noise Permit for works at Tai Po Road	21-Apr-2020	GW-RN0796-20	Approved	13-Nov-2020 to 12-May-2021

Remark: Information for table 1.3 will be updated by the Contractor.

1.12 Contract documents required under conditions stipulated in the amended Environmental Permit are summarized in Table 1.4.

Table 1.4 Documents Submission Required in the amended Environmental Permit

Document	EP Condition No.	Timeframe	Status	Remarks
Landscape Plan	2.4 & 2.5	Submission of document shall be done no later than 6 months after commencement of construction.	The document was submitted to EPD on 9 January 2020.	Submission date to be updated with DSD.
Condition Survey Report for Historic Structures	2.6	Document shall be deposited to the authority before commencement of construction.	The document was deposited to EPD on 3 June 2019.	N.A.
Baseline Monitoring Report	4.2	Submission of document shall be done at least two weeks before commencement of construction.	The document was submitted to EPD on 28 June 2019.	1 st Revision was submitted to EPD on 6 August 2019.

2. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS AND PROGRAMME

2.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the approved EM&A Manual. Construction noise and water quality were identified as key environmental issues during the construction phase. A summary of the requirements for conducting impact noise and water quality monitoring is presented in the sub-sections below.

Monitoring Parameters, Time and Frequency

2.2 Impact monitoring parameters are summarized in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 – Summary of Impact Monitoring Parameters

Environmental Aspect	Parameters	Frequency
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 no. of $L_{eq}(30min)$ noise measurements between 0700-1900 hours on any normal weekdays • 3 nos. of consecutive $L_{eq}(5min)$ noise measurement between 0700-1900 hours on general holidays or Sunday (if works are undertaken) • 3 nos. of consecutive $L_{eq}(5min)$ noise measurement between 1900-2300 hours (if evening works are undertaken) • 3 nos. of consecutive $L_{eq}(5min)$ noise measurement between 2300-0700 hours (if nighttime works are undertaken) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once per week
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) • Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%) • pH Value • Turbidity (NTU) • Temperature (°C) • Suspended Solids (mg/L) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 times per week • Interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours

Monitoring Locations

Noise

- 2.3 According to Section 4.4 of the approved EM&A Manual, the two most representative and affected noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) were designated as monitoring stations. Details regarding the two noise monitoring stations are shown in Table 2.2. Layout plans showing the monitoring locations are presented in **Appendix C**.

Table 2.2 – Designated Noise Monitoring Location

Location ID (ID in EM&A Manual)	Type of NSR	Location	Description
NM1 (LG)	Residential	Tower 1, Lakeview Garden	The closest NSR to the Outfall Site (LSMR)
NM2 (VH)	Residential	4 ½ Milestone, Tai Po Road	The closest NSR to the Intake Site (KBR)

Water Quality

- 2.4 According to Section 5.4 of the approved EM&A Manual, water quality monitoring should be performed at designated monitoring stations. Details regarding the four designated water quality monitoring stations are shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 – Original Water Quality Monitoring Location

ID	Description	Location
C1	Control Point near Intake Site	Stepped channel by-passing KBR
D1	Impact Monitoring Point near Intake Site	Junction of stepped channel and overflow channel of KBR
C2	Control Point near Outfall Site	Natural Stream directing to Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
D2	Impact Monitoring Point near Outfall Site	Overflow channel of Lower Shing Mun Reservoir

- 2.5 As conditions of designated water quality monitoring locations have been changed since the issuing of the approved EM&A Manual, location C1, D1 and D2 are no longer feasible for conducting water quality monitoring. Therefore, the three locations were proposed to relocating to alternative monitoring locations. The proposal of alternative monitoring location was approved by EPD on 20 May 2019. Details regarding the approved water quality monitoring stations are shown in Table 2.4. Layout plans showing the original and approved monitoring locations are attached in **Appendix C**.

Table 2.4 – Approved Water Quality Monitoring Location

ID	Description	Location
C1b	Control Point near Intake Site	Overflow channel of Kowloon Reception Reservoir (KRR)
D1b	Impact Monitoring Point near Intake Site	KBR
C2	Control Point near Outfall Site	Natural Stream directing to LSMR
D2a	Impact Monitoring Point near Outfall Site	LSMR

Monitoring Equipment

Noise

- 2.6 As referenced to the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO), sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring.
- 2.7 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter should be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0dB. The acoustic calibrator to be used shall meet IEC 942, 1988 Class 1 specifications. Annual calibration of all sound level meters and acoustic calibrators shall be conducted by a laboratory in Hong Kong or the manufacturer in compliance with national standards as recommended by the manufacturer of the sound level meter and acoustic calibrator.

Water Quality

- 2.8 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The equipment should be portable and weather proof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:
- A DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
 - A temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.
- 2.9 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions (e.g. Orion Model 250A or an approved similar instrument) accordingly to the Standard Methods, APHA.
- 2.10 Turbidity should be measured in situ by the nephelometric method. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source complete with cable, sensor and comprehensive operation manuals. The equipment should be capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.

- 2.11 A water sampler, consisting of a transparent PVC or glass cylinder of a capacity of not less than two litres which can be effectively sealed with cups at both ends should be used. If water at sampling location is too shallow or not applicable for use of water sampler, a water bucket made of inert material (e.g. plastic) should be used instead.
- 2.12 In-situ monitoring instruments should be checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or other international accreditation scheme before use, and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals.

Environmental Quality Performance Limits (Action/Limit Levels)

- 2.13 The baseline results form basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. Derived Action/Limit Levels for noise and water quality are summarised in Table 2.5 and 2.6 respectively.

Table 2.5 – Action / Limit Levels for Construction Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level, dB(A)
Daytime (0700-1900) except general holidays and Sunday <i>*Measurements in L_{eq} (30min)</i>	When one documented compliant is received	75
Daytime (0700-1900) during general holidays and Sundays and all days during Evening (1900-2300 hrs) <i>*Measurements in L_{eq} (5min)</i>		60
Night-time (2300 – 0700 hrs) <i>*Measurements in L_{eq} (5min)</i>		45

Table 2.6 – Action/Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Parameter	Performance Criteria	Monitoring Location	
		D1b	D2a
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Action Level	6.1	6.3
	Limit Level	5.8	6.1
pH Value	Action Level	8.8	9.0
	Limit Level	≤ 6.5 OR ≥ 8.9	≤ 6.5 OR ≥ 9.2
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	19.5	13.1
		OR 120% of upstream control station of the same day	
	Limit Level	23.4	18.9
		OR 130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Action Level	9.0	22.0
		OR 120% of upstream control station of the same day	
	Limit Level	13.0	25.0
		OR 130% of upstream control station of the same day	

Remarks:

1. Non-compliance occurs when monitoring result of Dissolved Oxygen is lower than the limits.
2. Non-compliance occurs when monitoring result of pH value is higher than the Action Levels or when the result does not fall into the pH range of the Limit Levels.
3. Non-compliance occurs when monitoring results of Turbidity and Suspended Solids is higher than the limits.

Event / Action Plan

- 2.14 Should there be any triggering of Action Levels, or exceedance of Limit Levels, the Event / Action Plan established in the approved EM&A Manual should be followed. The Event / Action Plan is attached in **Appendix H**.

3. IMPACT MONITORING METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

Equipment Used

- 3.1 Equipment used in impact noise and water quality monitoring during the reporting period is summarized in Table 3.1 below. Calibration certificates of equipment used are attached in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.1 – Equipment Used in the Reporting Period

Environmental Aspect	Equipment	Model
Noise	Sound Level Meter	Pulsar 43
	Sound Level Meter	XL2
	Calibrator	Pulsar 105
	Portable Anemometer	Kestrel 1000
Water Quality	Multifunctional Meter	HORIBA U-53 Multiparameter Water Quality Meter
		YSI ProDSS

Monitoring Procedure

Noise

- 3.2 Field measurement procedures for each set of the noise level measurement are as followed:
- i. Record the field condition including weather conditions and any other potential source of interference;
 - ii. Turn the power of sound level meter on;
 - iii. Check the general condition of the sound level meter and the battery status;
 - iv. Mount the sound level meter onto a tripod of 1.2 m height;
 - v. Check the distance of the probe from closest facade;
 - vi. Adjust the orientation of probe so that it is facing the project site;
 - vii. Calibrate the sound level meter by using acoustic calibrator;
 - viii. Select the period of measurement to be 30 minutes;
 - ix. Select the appropriate displaying unit, dB(A);
 - x. Collect and record the sampled data;
 - xi. Calibrate the sound level meter by using acoustic calibrator. Repeat procedure ii. to xi. if the difference in calibration level is more than 1.0 dB.
- 3.3 All noise measurements were performed in the absence of fog, rain and wind with a speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. Wind speed was checked with portable wind speed meter.

Water Quality

- 3.4 Field measurement procedures for each set of the water quality measurement are as followed:
- i. The DO probe of the multifunctional meter is checked by wet bulb method; the pH and turbidity probes are checked against standard solutions. Record the checking result;
 - ii. Record the field condition including weather conditions and any other potential source of interference;
 - iii. Lower the sampler into water body and rinse it with water in the target water body;
 - iv. Fill the sampler until adequate sample is collected. Replicate sample at each monitoring location is required;
 - v. Rinse the bottles by the sample before transferring samples into containing bottles;
 - vi. Rinse the probe of multimeter with distilled water;
 - vii. Measure and record temperature, turbidity, pH value and DO of each bottle of sample;
 - viii. Bottles containing sample is stored temporarily in insulation box with ice until reaching the laboratory;
- 3.5 Analysis of SS was carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. Standard test method, APHA 2540 D, in accordance to American Public Health Association: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater APHA 21 ed was adopted.

Data Management and QA/QC

- 3.6 The monitoring data were handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. Laboratory responsible for laboratory analysis would follow QA/QC requirements as set out under HOKLAS scheme.
- 3.7 The in-situ monitoring data measured in the equipment were recorded by both field operators and by the equipment itself. Laboratory analysis results were directly issued by the designated laboratory. All data were then input into a computerized database which is properly maintained by the ET. Cross checking between results was performed by other personnel.

Noise Monitoring Result

- 3.8 Construction noise monitoring was performed at during the reporting period. No work was conducted at KBR as confirmed by the Contractor, therefore no noise monitoring was performed at NM2 in the reporting period.
- 3.9 Evening time construction work has been conducted since 25 March 2020. Evening time monitoring was conducted on 3, 11, 20 and 25 February 2021 at NM1 and NM2. The evening time construction noise monitoring data is presented in Table 3.2

Table 3.2 Summary of Evening Time Noise Monitoring Result

Monitoring Location	Time Period	Leq(5min), dB(A)			Limit Level, dB(A)
		Mean	Max	Min	
NM1	All days during Evening (1900-2300)	41.8	43.8	39.2	60
NM2		44.5	46.1	43.1	

3.10 Night time construction work has been conducted since 6 April 2020. Night time monitoring was conducted on 4, 11, 20 and 25 February 2021. The night time construction noise monitoring data is presented in Table 3.3

Table 3.3 Summary of Night Time Noise Monitoring Result

Monitoring Location	Time Period	Leq(5min), dB(A)			Limit Level, dB(A)
		Measured	Baseline	Corrected ⁽¹⁾	
NM1	All days during Night (2300-0700)	38.2-41.5	51.9	Below Baseline	45

(1) When applicable, the measured noise levels are corrected against the baseline noise levels by using the formula:

$$10 \log \left(10^{\frac{\text{measured level}}{10}} - 10^{\frac{\text{baseline level}}{10}} \right)$$

3.11 Daytime during general holidays and Sundays construction work had conducted on 7, 14, 21 and 28 February 2021 at NM1 and NM2. Construction noise monitoring was also conducted in the same day. The daytime during general holidays and Sundays construction noise monitoring data is presented in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 Summary of Daytime during General Holidays and Sundays Noise Monitoring Result

Monitoring Location	Time Period	Leq(5min), dB(A)			Limit Level, dB(A)
		Mean	Max	Min	
NM1	Daytime (0700-1900) during general holidays and Sundays	44.0	45.1	41.6	60
NM2		46.8	51.1	42.5	

3.12 Four (4) sessions of construction noise impact monitoring at NM1 and NM2 for daytime except general holidays and Sundays. The noise monitoring data is presented in **Appendix E** and results are summarized in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Time Period	L _{eq} (30min), dB(A)			Limit Level, dB(A)
		Mean	Max	Min	
NM1	Daytime (0700 – 1900) except general holidays and Sunday	45.7	46.2	45.2	75
NM2		49.2	52.1	45.9	

3.13 No construction noise related complaint was received in the reporting period. There was no Action / Limit Levels exceedance of construction noise recorded in the reporting period.

3.14 Weather conditions during monitoring were mainly cloudy with sunny intervals. Summary of meteorological data is presented in **Appendix G**.

Water Quality Monitoring Result

3.15 Water quality monitoring was performed at approved monitoring locations, i.e. C1b, D1b, C2 and D2a, during the reporting period.

3.16 Eleven (11) sessions of water quality monitoring were performed at each of the approved monitoring locations. The water quality monitoring data is presented in **Appendix F** and results are summarized in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Parameters		C1b	D1b	C2	D2a
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Min	-	7.1	-	7.7
	Max	-	9.6	-	10.3
	Mean	-	8.6	-	8.6
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%)	Min	-	87.3	-	91.3
	Max	-	114.1	-	122.5
	Mean	-	101.4	-	101.6
pH Value	Min	-	6.5	-	7.7
	Max	-	12.1	-	10.3
	Mean	-	7.8	-	8.6
Turbidity (NTU)	Min	-	0.1	-	0.5
	Max	-	5.4	-	6.6
	Mean	-	2.0	-	2.2
Suspended Solids ¹ (mg/L)	Min	-	2.5	-	2.5
	Max	-	5.6	-	7.1
	Mean	-	2.9	-	2.9

Remarks:

1. Lower detection limit of Suspended Solids is 2.5. Data lower than such limit is regarded as 2.5 in result presentation.

- 3.17 The control points C1b and C2 were observed dried up on all monitoring days in February 2021. Insufficient water was available for sample collection.
- 3.18 Shallow water and break up into sections of the stream were observed at control points (C1 and C2), which are located at the natural stream directing to the construction site and Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir, during water monitoring event in February 2021; and the natural stream where C1b and C2 located were found dried up during water monitoring event in February 2021. The abnormal stream conditions for the natural stream where C1b and C2 located were considered due to lack of precipitation in this period of time. Trace amount of or no water from the natural streams where C1b and C2 located were observed flowing through the impact monitoring point (D1b and D2a) near the construction site at Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir in February 2021. Low water level of Kowloon Byewash Reservoir Lower Shing Mun Reservoir was also observed as a result of lack of precipitation and/or WSD assistance in drawing off the reservoir water. The actual sampling location of D2a is subject to the actual water level of the reservoir and was determined on-site at locations close to the site.

C1b	C2
	
*Photo taken on 25 February 2021	*Photo taken on 25 February 2021

- 3.19 As a result, some Action and Limit levels of water quality monitoring at D1b and D2a in February 2021 were referred only to the respective percentile of baseline data according to the Baseline Monitoring Report when insufficient water was available for sample collection.
- 3.20 Weather conditions during monitoring were mainly cloudy with sunny intervals. Summary of meteorological data is presented in Appendix G.

4. WASTE MANAGEMENT

4.1 An on-site environmental coordinator, i.e. Environmental Officer, has been employed by the Contractor to coordinate and supervise the project waste management works.

4.2 Waste arisen from the construction works are classified into the followings:

- Construction and demolition (C&D) material;
- Chemical waste; and
- General refuse.

4.3 Waste disposal record provided by the Contractor is summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Summary of Waste Disposal

Reporting period	Quantity					
	Inert C&D Materials (in'000m ³)	Chemical Waste (in'000kg)	Non-inert C&D Materials			
			Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill (in'000m ³)	Recycled materials		
				Paper/card board (in'000kg)	Plastics (in'000kg)	Metals (in'000kg)
February 2021	4.008	1.26	0.01195	0	0	0

4.4 The Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is presented in **Appendix I**.

5. SITE INSPECTION

- 5.1 Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representative of ET, Contractor and Engineer so as to monitoring the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures. Four (4) site inspections were performed in the reporting period.
- 5.2 One joint site inspection with IEC was also undertaken on 2 February 2021. Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Inspection findings are summarized in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Weekly Inspection Findings

Date	Location	Observation(s)	Follow-up Status
2 February 2021	LSMR	1. The pipes connecting the settling tank and the desilting facilities should be checked to stop any leakage contributing to stagnant water puddles.	1. Wetsep including pipe connection will be checked regularly.
9 February 2021	LSMR	No environmental deficiency was observed.	N.A.
	KBR	1. Rubbish bin should have a cover	1. The bin is covered.
17 February 2021	LSMR	1. The lock for chemical storage is not closed.	1. Chemical store is locked.
	KBR	1. Debris collection point shall be covered.	1. Container is cleaned.
23 February 2021	LSMR	No environmental deficiency was observed.	N.A.
	KBR		

6. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

- 6.1 Exceedance of Limit Level for pH was recorded for water monitoring location D1b on 17 February 2021. The exceedance was considered project unrelated after investigation.
- 6.2 No exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting period
- 6.3 When the nature of exceedance event is considered not project-related after investigation, no further actions as listed in the event / action plan were required.
- 6.4 There was no environmental related complaint received in the reporting period.
- 6.5 There was no notification of summon and successful prosecution for breaches of current environmental protection/pollution control legislation in the reporting period.

7. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 The Contractor has been implementing environmental mitigation measures set out in the approved EM&A Manual subject to the actual site condition. The implementation schedule is presented in **Appendix J**. Mitigation measures generally implemented by the Contractor in the reporting period are summarized in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Implemented Environmental Mitigation Measures in the Reporting Period

Environmental Aspect	Mitigation Measures Implemented
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water spraying at works area before, during and after operation • Restricting heights from which materials were to be dropped • All vehicles were washed to remove dusty materials immediately before leaving the site • Erection of hoarding of not less than 2.4m in height • Covering dusty materials stockpile entirely with impervious tarpaulin • Spraying dusty materials with water immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation
Construction Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contractor had been submitting method statement to the Engineer Representative for the approval of working method, equipment and noise mitigation measures to be used before commencing any work • Unused equipment was switched off • Regular maintenance of plants and equipment
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of desilting facilities within works area capable of controlling discharge of SS to comply with WPCO/TM-DSS • Preparing of Contingency Plan which detailing the response and procedures when there was accidental spillage • Provision of channels, earth bunds and sand bags barriers for directing surface runoff to desilting facilities • Existing manholes were covered • Portable chemical toilets were provided on-site and licensed contractor was employed for the collection and disposal process • Two layers of silt curtain were deployed to separate the works area from water gathering ground • Oil and grease removal materials were provided • Exposed slopes were either shotcreted or covered by impervious tarpaulin

Waste Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of on-site coordinator for waste management • Excavated material was reused on site as far as practicable to minimize off-site disposal • Sorting of waste materials into inert/non-inert type on-site • Trip Ticket System was implemented for control of C&D waste disposal • Covered bins were provided for the containment of general refuse • Toolbox talks were provided to workers for enhancing their awareness
Ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear definition of site boundary was provided • <i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i> had been transplanted on-site • Eating, leaving food and feeding wildlife are forbidden in works area • Fishing was forbidden in works area • Litter was removed off-site regularly • Unused equipment was switched off
Landscape and Visual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retained trees were protected • Hoarding erected was compatible with surrounding setting
Cultural Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Condition survey was conducted prior to the commencement of construction • Vibration monitoring had been implemented in accordance with recommendations in the condition survey report

8. ENVIRONMENTAL FORECASTING

8.1 As advised by the Contractor, major construction works to be performed in the next reporting month, i.e. March 2021, include the followings:

Works Area	Major Site Activities
Portion A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TBM excavation
Portion C	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Steel cofferdam installation• Excavation for maintenance walkway site formation

8.2 The Contractor is reminded to properly implement mitigation measures for each specified works. The Contractor should also carefully program the drainage diversion and TBM launching platform works so as to critically protect the water gathering ground of LSMR during construction.

8.3 Tentative schedule of impact construction noise and water quality monitoring for the next reporting month, i.e. March 2021, is presented in **Appendix K**. Monitoring will be performed at same locations presented in above sections.


9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.1 This is the 20th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents EM&A works undertaken in the period of 1 to 28 February 2021. EM&A works were performed in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual and conditions stipulated in the amended Environmental Permit EP-345/2009/A.
- 9.2 Impact monitoring for construction noise and water quality were performed in the reporting period.
- 9.3 The control points C1b and C2 were observed dried up on all monitoring days in February 2021. Insufficient water was available for sample collection.
- 9.4 Similar to predictions from the EIA report, no project-related exceedance was identified from the EM&A programme of the reporting month.
- 9.5 Weekly site inspections were performed during the reporting period.
- 9.6 No complaint regarding environmental issue was received in the reporting period.
- 9.7 No notification of summons nor prosecution have been received since the commencement of the Project.
- 9.8 The Contractor is reminded that all works to be undertaken within the water gathering ground of LSMR and KBR must fulfill statutory environmental requirements, especially in watercourse protection.

Appendix A
Project Site Layout Plan



LEGEND:
 - - - - - KAM SHAN COUNTRY PARK BOUNDARY
 - - - - - STUDY AREA




PREFERRED TUNNEL ALIGNMENT

OUTFALL D

INTAKE A

Rev	Date	Drawn/Description	Ch'kd/App'd

Client
 THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
 SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
 WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

 **Mott MacDonald**
 Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd
 7th Floor
 West Wing Office Building
 New World Centre
 20 Salisbury Road
 Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon
 Hong Kong
 Tel: 2828 5757
 Fax: 2827 1823
 Web: www.mottmac.com.hk

Project
 Agreement No. CE55/2006(EP)
 Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS)
 Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash
 Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
 Environmental Impact Assessment
 Investigation

Title
 THE PREFERRED SCHEME

Designed	HN/PW	Eng.Chk.	PW
Drawn	VN	Coordination	PW
Dwg.Chk.	HN	Approved	AFK

Scale	Project	Status
1:10000@A1	240564	INF

Drawing No.	FIGURE 1-1
-------------	------------

Appendix B

Latest Construction Programme

IRTS: 3 Month Rolling Programme (Mar 21 ~ May 21)

Layout : 4 - IRT-Rolling Y21M01D28a-2
 TASK filters: 3 Month Rolling, Level of Effort,
 Data Date : 28-Feb-21

Activity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	2021					
					Feb-24	Mar-25	Apr-26	May-27	Jun-28	
IRTS - 3M Rolling Programme (Y21M02D28b)										
Preliminaries and General Requirements										
Procurement of Consultants and Sub-Contractors										
	Sub-Contractors									
	Subcontract Pipe Wall and Gouting Works for Outfall Structure (Stage 2B)	48	11-Mar-21	10-May-21						
	Subcontract Supply & Installation of Stairing and Warning System for Outfall Structure	76	11-Mar-21	12-Jun-21						
	Tail Po Road Site (TOLA No. TST453)									
	General Site Storage	881	02-Jul-19A	19-Jul-22						
	TRF, GW-1040									
CSD Submission										
CSD 1 - Outfall Structure										
Alternative Works (Subject to approval or Structure Design)										
	CSD_CF_0690	26	08-Mar-21	09-Apr-21						
	Pending									
CSD 2 - Alternative Alignment & Intake Structure										
Alternative Works (Subject to approval or alternative tunnel alignment)										
	CSD_FF_2200-10	42	29-Jan-21A	29-Mar-21						
	Steel cofferdam installation									
	CSD_FF_2210	80	30-Mar-21	06-Jun-21						
	Intake Structure Wall Construction									
Feasibility Study for Maintenance Walkway at KBR (CE-054)										
Design Submissions										
	CSD_FF_3160	24	27-Feb-21A	26-Mar-21						
	DDA Comment/Approval for Temp Site Formation for Maintenance Walkway									
	CSD_FF_3170	24	08-Mar-21	07-Apr-21						
	DDA Comment/Approval for Footing for Maintenance Walkway									
	CSD_FF_3180	41	18-Jan-21A	13-Mar-21						
	Prepare DDA for Docking for Maintenance Walkway									
	CSD_FF_3180	24	15-Mar-21	14-Apr-21						
	DDA Comment/Approval for Docking for Maintenance Walkway									
	CSD_FF_3200	40	02-Jan-21A	11-Mar-21						
	Prepare DDA for Extension of Spillage Drainage Channel									
	CSD_FF_3210	24	12-Mar-21	12-Apr-21						
	DDA Comment/Approval for Extension of Spillage Drainage Channel									
	CSD_FF_3215	40	14-Jan-21A	09-Mar-21						
	GI for Slope Upgrading Works (RTS/KBR/DH 1, 2 & TP3)									
	CSD_FF_3220	73	08-Dec-20A	13-Mar-21						
	Prepare DDA for Slope Upgrading Works for Feature no.11NWA/C393									
	CSD_FF_3230	24	15-Mar-21	14-Apr-21						
	DDA& GEOs Comment/Approval for Slope Upgrading Works for Feature									
	CSD_FF_3240	55	18-Jan-21A	30-Mar-21						
	Prepare Utility Diversion Proposal									
	CSD_FF_3250	24	31-Mar-21	30-Apr-21						
	Utility Diversion Proposal Approval by Relevant UJ Stake Holders									
	CSD_FF_3260	35	18-Jan-21A	30-Mar-21						
	Prepare DDA for Vertical Ladder & Intermediate Platform at Intake Structure									
	CSD_FF_3270	28	31-Mar-21	06-May-21						
	DDA Comment/Approval for Ladder & Platform at Intake Structure									
	CSD_FF_3280	0		06-May-21						
	Ready for Procurement									
Tunneling Works										
Design Submission										
Mixed Tunnel Temporary Works Design										
KBR Mixed Tunnel										
	MTD_KB_1000	28	02-Mar-21	06-Apr-21						
	1st Submission - Mixed Tunnel Design Preparation & Submission									
	MTD_KB_2000	18	07-Apr-21	27-Apr-21						
	Review and Comments (GEO)									
	MTD_KB_3000	28	28-Apr-21	01-Jun-21						
	2nd Submission - Mixed Tunnel Design Preparation & Submission with ICE									
	MTD_KB_4000	18	02-Jun-21	23-Jun-21						
	Review and Acceptance (GEO)									

Contract No. DC/2018/08 : Inter-Reservoirs Transfer Scheme		Date	Revision	Checked/Approved
Water Tunnel Between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir		28-Feb-21	Rolling Y21M02D28a	A. Tsang
				1 of 3

IRTS: 3 Month Rolling Programme (Mar 21 ~ May 21)

Layout : 4 - IRT-Rolling Y21M01D28a-2
 TASK filters: 3 Month Rolling, Level of Effort,
 Data Date : 28-Feb-21

Activity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	2021					
					Feb-24	Mar-25	Apr-26	May-27	Jun-28	
MTD_K6_4010	1st Submission - Mixed Tunnel Design Preparation & Submission	37	02-Jun-21	16-Jul-21						
TBM_Ln_1800	Lining Mould Procurement, Manufacture and Delivery	315	1-Jun-20A	10-Jul-21						
TBM_Ln_1510	2nd Batch: Segment Fabrication 1579t/sg	306	3-Jun-20A	17-Jul-21						
	Segment Delivery to Site									
Site Works										
LSMR (North Portal) & TBM										
LSMR - TBM Tunnel Excavation										
TBM_Gr_2170	G (CH236-PL7)-RTSWTRDHI	23	19-Feb-21A	17-Mar-21						
TBM Excavation										
TBM_Exc_1620	Grouting for PL4 at CH171	2	08-Mar-21	08-Mar-21						
TBM_Exc_1600	PL5 (CH171 to CH136)	9	09-Mar-21	18-Mar-21						
TBM_Exc_1650	Grouting for PL5 at CH136	2	19-Mar-21	20-Mar-21						
TBM_Exc_1700	F3 (CH136 to CH162)	13	22-Mar-21	05-Apr-21						
TBM_Exc_1750	Grouting for F3 at CH162	3	05-Apr-21	06-Apr-21						
TBM_Exc_1800	PL6 (CH162 to CH1757)	11	09-Apr-21	21-Apr-21						
TBM_Exc_1850	Grouting for PL6 at CH1757	2	22-Apr-21	23-Apr-21						
TBM_Exc_2100	F4 (CH1757 to CH1972)	12	24-Apr-21	07-May-21						
TBM_Exc_2150	Grouting for F4 at CH1972	3	08-May-21	11-May-21						
TBM_Exc_2400	PL7 (CH1972 to CH236)	22	12-May-21	05-Jun-21						
TBM_Exc_2450	Grouting for PL7 at CH236	2	07-Jun-21	08-Jun-21						
Intake Structure at Kowloon Byewash Reservoir										
KBR Intake : ESM Design of Automatic Flow Control System & Others										
KBR_EMD_1000	1st Submission - ESM Design Preparation & Submission	97	14-Sep-20A	12-Mar-21						
KBR_EMD_1100	Review and Comments	16	13-Mar-21	31-Mar-21						
KBR_EMD_1200	2nd Submission - ESM Design Preparation & Submission with ICE	28	01-Apr-21	07-May-21						
KBR_EMD_1300	Review and Acceptance	18	06-May-21	20-May-21						
KBR Intake : ESM Installation of Automatic Flow Control System & Others										
K6_ISW_3500	Supply and Delivery of ESM Materials / Equipments - (P1a)	56	31-May-21	05-Aug-21						
K6_ISW_3610	Excavation Permit Application for Power Supply Cables	104	01-Mar-21	07-Jul-21						
K6_ISW_3610	Installation of Electrical Actuated Penstocks	142	11-Dec-20A	06-Jul-21						
K6_ISW_3610	Supply and Delivery of Penstock Materials / Equipments - (P1a)									
KBR Intake : ESM Dosing for Lifting Crane										
KBR_EMD_1380	Review and Comments	16	03-Mar-21	20-Mar-21						
KBR_EMD_1370	2nd Submission Lifting Crane Design Preparation & Submission with ICE	28	22-Mar-21	26-Apr-21						
KBR_EMD_1380	Review and Acceptance	18	27-Apr-21	18-May-21						
K6_ISW_3650	Supply and Delivery of Lifting Crane - (P1a)	56	20-May-21	26-Jul-21						
Outfall Structure at Lower Shing Mun Reservoir (Conforming Design)										
LSMR Outfall : Design Submission										
LSM_CSD_1300	LSMR Outfall : Stage 2B Pipe Pile Wall for Outfall	6	04-Mar-21	10-Mar-21						
Landscaping Works										

Activity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	2021					
					Feb-24	Mar-25	Apr-26	May-27	Jun-28	
					28-Feb-21	Rolling Y21M02D28a	Checked	Approved	2 of 3	
							A. Tsang			

Contract No. DC/2018/08 : Inter-Reservoirs Transfer Scheme
 Water: Tunnel Between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir

■ Actual Level of Effort ■ Critical Remaining Work
■ Actual Work ◆ Milestone
■ Remaining Work

IRTS: 3 Month Rolling Programme (Mar 21 ~ May 21)

Layout : 4 - IRT-Rolling Y21M01D28a-2
 TASK filters: 3 Month Rolling, Level of Effort,
 Data Date : 28-Feb-21

Activity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	2021					
					Feb-24	Mar-25	Apr-26	May-27	Jun-28	
KBR_EHW_1300	1st Submission-Enhancement works at Kam Shan Country Park-Design Preparation & Submission *(P1c)	26	19-Dec-20A	09-Mar-21*						
KBR_EHW_1400	Review and Comments *(P1c)	18	10-Mar-21	30-Mar-21						
KBR_EHW_1500	2nd Submission-Enhancement works at Kam Shan Country Park-Design Preparation & Submission with ICE *(P1c)	21	31-Mar-21	27-Apr-21						
KBR_EHW_1600	Review and Acceptance *(P1c)	20	29-Apr-21	25-May-21						



<p>Actual Level of Effort</p> <p>Actual Work</p> <p>Remaining Work</p>	<p>Contract No. DC/2018/08 : Inter-Reservoirs Transfer Scheme</p> <p>Water Tunnel Between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir</p>
--	---


<p>Actual Level of Effort</p> <p>Actual Work</p> <p>Remaining Work</p>	<p>Critical Remaining Work</p> <p>Milestone</p>	<p>Revision</p> <p>Rolling Y21M02D28a</p>
--	---	---

Date	Checked	Approved
28-Feb-21	A. Tsang	

Appendix C
Monitoring Locations




- LEGEND:**
- STUDY AREA BOUNDARY
 - PREFERRED TUNNEL ALIGNMENT
 - + NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVER
 - C COMMERCIAL
 - CDA COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AREA
 - G/IC GOVERNMENT/INSTITUTION/COMMUNITY
 - GB GREEN BELT
 - I INDUSTRIAL
 - O OPEN SPACE
 - OU OTHER SPECIFIED USES
 - RIA1 RESIDENTIAL (GROUP A)
 - RIA2 RESIDENTIAL (GROUP B)
 - RIA3 RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C)
 - RIA4 RESIDENTIAL (GROUP E)
 - V VILLAGE TYPE DEVELOPMENT



Rev	Date	Drawn/Description	Ch'kd/App'd

Client

 THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

 **Mott MacDonald**

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd
7th Floor
West Wing Office Building
New World Centre
20 Salisbury Road
Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon
Hong Kong
Tel: 2828 5757
Fax: 2827 1823
Web: www.mottmac.com.hk

Project

Agreement No. CE55/2006(EP)
Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS)
Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash
Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
Environmental Impact Assessment
Investigation

Title

THE STUDY AREA AND
REPRESENTATIVE NSRS (INTAKE END)

Designed	HN/PW	Eng.Chk.	PW
Drawn	VN	Coordination	PW
Dwg.Chk.	HN	Approved	AFK


Scale

1:2500@A1

Project 240564

Status INF

Drawing No. FIGURE 4-1




LEGEND:

- STUDY AREA BOUNDARY
- PREFERRED TUNNEL ALIGNMENT
- + NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVER
- C COMMERCIAL
- CDA COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AREA
- G/IC GOVERNMENT/INSTITUTION/COMMUNITY
- GB GREEN BELT
- I INDUSTRIAL
- O OPEN SPACE
- OU OTHER SPECIFIED USES
- R(A) RESIDENTIAL (GROUP A)
- R(B) RESIDENTIAL (GROUP B)
- R(C) RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C)
- R(E) RESIDENTIAL (GROUP E)
- V VILLAGE TYPE DEVELOPMENT

Rev	Date	Drawn/Description	Ch'kd/App'd

Client

 THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

 **Mott
MacDonald**

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd
7th Floor
West Wing Office Building
New World Centre
20 Salisbury Road
Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon
Hong Kong
Tel: 2828 5757
Fax: 2827 1823
Web: www.mottmac.com.hk

Project

Agreement No. CE55/2006(EP)
Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS)
Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash
Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
Environmental Impact Assessment
Investigation

Title

PROPOSED LOCATION OF NOISE
MONITORING STATION AT OUTFALL END

Designed	HN/PW	Eng.Chk.	PW
Drawn	VN	Coordination	PW
Dwg.Chk.	HN	Approved	AFK

Scale

1:2500@A1


Project 240564

Status INF

CAD File

Drawing No.

FIGURE 4-2



NOTE:
DESIGN DETAILS OF THE COFFERDAM WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

- LEGEND:
- WORKSITE AREA
 - WATERCOURSE
 - INDICATIVE LOCATION OF COFFERDAM
 - FLOW PATH OF TREATED EFFLUENT
 - × POINT OF EFFLUENT DISCHARGE / MONITORING STATION D1
 - △ CONTROL STATION AT INTAKE SITE
 - ⊠ Proposed Alternative Water Monitoring Station

Rev	Desc	Drawn	Checked	Date
01	REV 01	MDG	APR	2006/04/01
02	REV 02	MDG	APR	2006/04/01
03	REV 03	MDG	APR	2006/04/01

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

Mott MacDonald
22/F, One World Finance Centre
191 Queen's Road East
Hong Kong
Tel: 852 2511 8888
Fax: 852 2511 8889
www.mottmac.com

Project:
Agreement No. CE55/2006 (EP)
Inter-reservoir Transfer Scheme (IRTS)
Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
Environmental Impact Assessment
Investigation

PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION AT INTAKE END


Designed	MDG	Checked	APR
Drawn	MDG	Approved	APR

Scale: 1:5000A1
Sheet No: 240564
Date: [NF]

Copyright No. FIGURE 5-1
Page P3

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED



NOTE:
DESIGN DETAILS OF THE COFFERDAM WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

LEGEND:

- STUDY AREA BOUNDARY
- WORKSITE AREA
- WATERCOURSE
- TEMPORARY PIPE
- INDICATIVE LOCATION OF COFFERDAM
- FLOW PATH OF TREATED EFFLUENT
- × POINT OF EFFLUENT DISCHARGE / MONITORING STATION C2
- △ CONTROL STATION AT OUTFALL SITE
- ⊗ Proposed Alternative Water Monitoring Station

FR	REV 01	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV
FR	REV 02	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV
FR	REV 03	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV	NOV

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

Mott MacDonald
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd
28/F, One World Finance Centre
181 Wing Lok Street
Kowloon, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2412 1888
Fax: +852 2412 1889
www.mottmac.com

Project:
Agreement No. CES5/2006 (EP)
Inter-Reservoirs Transfer Scheme (IRTS)
Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
Environmental Impact Assessment Investigation

PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION AT OUTFALL END

Checked	FR	Checked	FR
Drawn	NOV	Checked	FR
Eng. OK	FR	Approved	FR

Scale: 1:125000
Date: 24/05/14
Drawing No: FIGURE 5-2
Sheet: P3

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix D

Calibration Certificates of Equipment Used

Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: *Sound Level Meter*
Manufacturer: *Pulsar Instruments Plc*
Type No.: *Model 43 (Serial No.: PNI768)*
Microphone: *PM1 (Serial No.: 011842A)*
Preamplifier: *PA40 (Serial No.: 1783)*

Submitted by:

Customer: *Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited*
Address: *Unit 1908, Nos. 301-305 Castle Peak Road,
Kwai Chung, N.T.*

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

- Within**
 Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 28 May 2020

Date of calibration: 01 June 2020

Calibrated by: _____
Calibration Technician

Certified by: _____
Mr. Ng Yan Wa
Laboratory Manager



Date of issue: 01 June 2020

Certificate No.: APJ20-037-CC001

Page 1 of 4

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 24.5 °C
 Air Pressure: 1008 hPa
 Relative Humidity: 65.3 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV200041	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB	
20-140	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	93.7	±0.4	

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB	
20-140	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	93.7	Ref	
			104		103.7	±0.3	
			114		113.7	±0.3	

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB	
20-140	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	93.7	Ref	
		Slow			93.7	±0.3	



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
20-140	dB	SPL	Fast	94	31.5	93.8	±2.0
					63	93.8	±1.5
					125	93.9	±1.5
					250	93.8	±1.4
					500	93.8	±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	93.5	±1.6
					4000	93.1	±1.6
				8000	93.1	+2.1; -3.1	

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
20-140	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	31.5	55.5	-39.4±2.0
					63	67.7	-26.2±1.5
					125	77.7	-16.1±1.5
					250	85.1	-8.6±1.4
					500	90.5	-3.2±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	94.7	+1.2±1.6
					4000	94.1	+1.0±1.6
				8000	92.1	-1.1+2.1; -3.1	

C-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
20-140	dBC	SPL	Fast	94	31.5	90.8	-3.0±2.0
					63	93.0	-0.8±1.5
					125	93.6	-0.2±1.5
					250	93.7	-0.0±1.4
					500	93.8	-0.0±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	93.3	-0.2±1.6
					4000	92.3	-0.8±1.6
				8000	90.3	-3.0+2.1; -3.1	



Certificate No.: APJ20-037-CC001

Page 3 of 4

5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	+ 0.05
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.05
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: *Sound Level Meter*
Manufacturer: *NTi*
Type No.: *XL2 (Serial No.: A2A-13661-E0)*
Microphone: *ACO 7052 (Serial No.: 73784)*
Preamplifier: *NTi Audio MA220 (M2211) (Serial No.:6282)*

Submitted by:

Customer: *Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited*
Address: *Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza, No. 37-39 Wing
Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon*

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

- Within
 Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 22 September 2020

Date of calibration: 23 September 2020

Calibrated by: *Jason Chan*
Calibration Technician

Certified by: *Mr. Tang Cheuk Hang*
Mr. Tang Cheuk Hang
Quality Manager

Date of issue: 23 September 2020

Certificate No.: APJ20-107-CC001



Page 1 of 4

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 24.9 °C
 Air Pressure: 1006 hPa
 Relative Humidity: 64.5 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV200041	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting		Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
40-140	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting		Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
				104		104.0	±0.3
				114		114.1	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting		Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
			Slow			94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ20-107-CC001



Page 2 of 4

Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
30-130	dB	SPL	94	Fast	31.5	94.3	±2.0
					63	94.2	±1.5
					125	94.2	±1.5
					250	94.1	±1.4
					500	94.1	±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	94.2	±1.6
					4000	94.9	±1.6
					8000	94.9	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
30-130	dBA	SPL	94	Fast	31.5	54.9	-39.4±2.0
					63	68.0	-26.2±1.5
					125	78.1	-16.1±1.5
					250	85.5	-8.6±1.4
					500	90.9	-3.2±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	95.4	+1.2±1.6
					4000	95.9	+1.0±1.6
					8000	93.8	-1.1±2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB	
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz			
30-130	dBC	SPL	94	Fast	31.5	91.3	-3.0±2.0
					63	93.4	-0.8±1.5
					125	94.0	-0.2±1.5
					250	94.1	-0.0±1.4
					500	94.1	-0.0±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	94.1	-0.2±1.6
					4000	94.1	-0.8±1.6
					8000	92.9	-3.0±2.1; -3.1

5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.05
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.05
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 20CA0803 01

Page: 1 of 2

Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Manufacturer: Pulsar Instruments Ltd.
Type/Model No.: 105
Serial/Equipment No.: 63705
Adaptors used: -

Item submitted by

Customer: Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited.
Address of Customer: -
Request No.: -
Date of receipt: 03-Aug-2020

Date of test: 06-Aug-2020

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	11-May-2021	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2743150	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	18-May-2021	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	18-May-2021	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity: 55 ± 10 %
Air pressure: 1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.


Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Feng Junqi

Date: 07-Aug-2020

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 20CA0803 01 Page: 2 of 2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	(Output level in dB re 20 µPa)
			Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	93.78	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz **STF = 0.027 dB**
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz **Actual Frequency = 1000.3 Hz**
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.1 Hz Coverage factor k = 2.2


4, Total Noise and Distortion


For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz **TND = 0.6 %**
Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by: 
Date: 06-Aug-2020

Checked by: 
Date: 07-Aug-2020

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ120034
Date of Issue : 11 December 2020
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza
37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Nelson TSUI

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : Multi Water Quality Checker U-53
Manufacturer : Horiba
Serial Number : L20550GA
Date of Received : Dec 08, 2020
Date of Calibration : Dec 10, 2020
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : Mar 09, 2021

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Parameter	Reference Method
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	4.12	0.12	Satisfactory
7.42	7.59	0.17	Satisfactory
10.01	10.19	0.18	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ± 0.20 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
16	17.15	1.15	Satisfactory
27	27.27	0.27	Satisfactory
33	32.26	-0.74	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.


LEE Chun-ning, Desmond
Senior Chemist



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ120034
Date of Issue : 11 December 2020
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.14	0.00	-0.14	Satisfactory
3.62	3.27	-0.35	Satisfactory
4.48	4.68	0.20	Satisfactory
8.26	8.57	0.31	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.50 (mg/L)

(4) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	9.76	-2.40	Satisfactory
20	20.08	0.40	Satisfactory
30	31.08	3.60	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	0.62	--	Satisfactory
10	10.1	1.0	Satisfactory
20	19.0	-5.0	Satisfactory
100	98.0	-2.0	Satisfactory
800	779	-2.6	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -

^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.



專業化驗有限公司
QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong
Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com
Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ110022
Date of Issue : 11 November 2020
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza
37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Nelson TSUI

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : Multi Water Quality Checker U-53
Manufacturer : Horiba
Serial Number : UHB5F2BB
Date of Received : Nov 04, 2020
Date of Calibration : Nov 11, 2020
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : Feb 10, 2021

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reference Method</u>
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	APHA 22e 2580 B

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	4.10	0.10	Satisfactory
7.42	7.46	0.04	Satisfactory
10.01	10.02	0.01	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ±0.20 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
12	12.38	0.38	Satisfactory
25	25.01	0.01	Satisfactory
35	35.75	0.75	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ±2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.


LEE Chun-ning, Desmond
Senior Chemist



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ110022
Date of Issue : 11 November 2020
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.07	0.00	-0.07	Satisfactory
4.60	4.27	-0.33	Satisfactory
6.32	6.11	-0.21	Satisfactory
7.98	8.00	0.02	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.50 (mg/L)

(4) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	9.88	-1.20	Satisfactory
20	19.60	-2.00	Satisfactory
30	28.55	-4.83	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	0.46	--	Satisfactory
10	10.01	0.1	Satisfactory
20	20.11	0.5	Satisfactory
100	96.80	-3.2	Satisfactory
800	797.00	-0.4	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Oxidation-Reduction Potential

Expected Reading (mV)	Displayed Reading (mV)	Tolerance (mV) ^(g)	Results
222	225	3	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of Oxidation-Reduction Potential should be less than ± 10 (mV)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -

^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : BA020038
Date of Issue : 24 February 2021
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza
37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Nelson TSUI

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : Multi Water Quality Checker U-53
Manufacturer : Horiba
Serial Number : UHB5F2BB
Date of Received : Feb 10, 2021
Date of Calibration : Feb 24, 2021
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : May 24, 2021

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Parameter	Reference Method
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	4.06	0.06	Satisfactory
7.42	7.44	0.02	Satisfactory
10.01	9.95	-0.06	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ± 0.20 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature


Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
17	17.24	0.24	Satisfactory
24	24.16	0.16	Satisfactory
34	34.17	0.17	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.


LEE Chun-ning, Desmond
Senior Chemist



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : BA020038
Date of Issue : 24 February 2021
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.45	0.00	-0.45	Satisfactory
2.10	1.95	-0.15	Satisfactory
4.40	3.99	-0.41	Satisfactory
8.59	8.11	-0.48	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.50 (mg/L)

(4) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	9.16	-8.40	Satisfactory
20	18.39	-8.05	Satisfactory
30	28.11	-6.30	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	0.95	--	Satisfactory
10	10.8	8.0	Satisfactory
20	21.6	8.0	Satisfactory
100	98.0	-2.0	Satisfactory
800	754	-5.8	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -

^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.



專業化驗有限公司
QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong
Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com
Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ120011
Date of Issue : 04 December, 2020
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A – CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza
37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Attn: Mr. Nelson TSUI

PART B – DESCRIPTION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters
Manufacturer : YSI (a xylem brand)
Serial Number : 15M101091
Date of Received : Nov 30, 2020
Date of Calibration : Dec 04, 2020
Date of Next Calibration^(a) : Mar 03, 2021

PART C – REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Parameter	Reference Method
pH at 25°C	APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B
Dissolved Oxygen	APHA 21e 4500-O G
Salinity	APHA 21e 2520 B
Turbidity	APHA 21e 2130 B
Temperature	Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS^(b,c)

(1) pH at 25°C

Target (pH unit)	Displayed Reading ^(d) (pH Unit)	Tolerance ^(e) (pH Unit)	Results
4.00	4.12	0.12	Satisfactory
7.42	7.32	-0.10	Satisfactory
10.01	10.12	0.11	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH should be less than ±0.20 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature


Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)	Results
9	8.6	-0.40	Satisfactory
24	23.2	-0.80	Satisfactory
36	35.3	-0.70	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of temperature should be less than ±2.0 (°C)

~ CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ~

Remark(s): -

- ^(a) The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
^(b) The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
^(c) The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
^(d) "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
^(e) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.


LEE Chun-ning, Desmond
Senior Chemist



專業化驗有限公司

QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 14/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong

Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com

Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Report No. : AJ120011
Date of Issue : 04 December, 2020
Page No. : 2 of 2

PART D – CALIBRATION RESULTS (Cont'd)

(3) Dissolved Oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	Results
0.00	0.28	0.28	Satisfactory
2.98	2.52	-0.46	Satisfactory
5.88	5.81	-0.07	Satisfactory
8.48	8.05	-0.43	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.50 (mg/L)

(4) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Results
10	10.03	0.30	Satisfactory
20	21.02	5.10	Satisfactory
30	29.50	-1.67	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading ^(f) (NTU)	Tolerance ^(g) (%)	Results
0	-0.67	--	Satisfactory
10	10.09	0.9	Satisfactory
20	19.32	-3.4	Satisfactory
100	104.00	4.0	Satisfactory
800	822.41	2.8	Satisfactory

Tolerance limit of turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

~ END OF REPORT ~

Remark(s): -

^(f) "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

^(g) The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.


Appendix E
Impact Noise Monitoring Data

Impact Noise Monitoring Data

NM1 – Lakeview Garden


Daytime (0700 – 1900) except general holidays and Sunday

Date	Location	Time			Weather	Leq (30min)	L10	L90	Remarks
3/2/2021	NM1	13:10	-	13:40	Fine	45.2	48.2	42.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	15:45	-	16:15	Cloudy	46.1	49.1	44.1	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	15:00	-	15:30	Fine	46.2	48.2	44.2	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	15:50	-	16:20	Fine	45.3	48.3	42.3	N.A.




Daytime (0700-1900) during general holidays and Sundays

Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (5min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
7/2/2021	NM1	11:35	-	11:40	Fine	43.2	46.2	40.2	N.A.
7/2/2021	NM1	11:40	-	11:45	Fine	41.6	44.6	38.6	N.A.
7/2/2021	NM1	11:45	-	11:50	Fine	43.3	46.3	40.3	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM1	11:25	-	11:30	Fine	44.5	46.5	43.5	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM1	11:30	-	11:35	Fine	43.5	45.5	41.5	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM1	11:35	-	11:40	Fine	45.1	47.1	43.1	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM1	17:30	-	17:35	Fine	45.1	48.1	42.1	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM1	17:35	-	17:40	Fine	44.5	47.5	41.5	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM1	17:40	-	17:45	Fine	44.9	47.9	41.9	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM1	17:10	-	17:15	Fine	43.2	46.2	40.2	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM1	17:15	-	17:20	Fine	44.1	46.1	42.1	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM1	17:20	-	17:25	Fine	44.5	46.5	42.5	N.A.




All days during Evening (1900-2300)

Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (5min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
3/2/2021	NM1	21:55	-	22:00	Fine	42.9	45.9	39.8	N.A.
3/2/2021	NM1	22:00	-	22:05	Fine	42.8	45.8	39.8	N.A.
3/2/2021	NM1	22:05	-	22:10	Fine	43.8	46.8	40.8	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	22:34	-	22:39	Cloudy	41.7	44.7	38.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	22:39	-	22:44	Cloudy	42.3	45.3	39.3	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	22:44	-	22:49	Cloudy	41.8	44.8	38.2	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	22:30	-	22:35	Fine	39.2	41.2	36.7	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	22:35	-	22:40	Fine	41.1	44.1	38.5	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	22:40	-	22:45	Fine	42.1	45.1	39.1	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	22:30	-	22:35	Fine	40.3	43.3	37.3	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	22:35	-	22:40	Fine	41.7	44.1	38.7	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	22:40	-	22:45	Fine	42.2	45.2	39.5	N.A.



All days during Night-time (2300-0700)

Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (5min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
4/2/2021	NM1	1:30	-	01:35	Fine	40.2	43.2	37.2	N.A.
4/2/2021	NM1	1:35	-	01:40	Fine	39.8	42.8	36.9	N.A.
4/2/2021	NM1	1:40	-	01:45	Fine	39.1	42.1	36.1	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	23:00	-	23:05	Cloudy	41.1	43.1	39.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	23:05	-	23:10	Cloudy	38.2	40.2	36.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM1	23:10	-	23:15	Cloudy	40.1	42.1	38.1	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	23:00	-	23:05	Fine	40.9	43.7	37.7	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	23:05	-	23:10	Fine	39.9	42.9	36.9	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM1	23:10	-	23:15	Fine	38.9	41.9	35.9	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	23:00	-	23:05	Fine	39.2	43.2	36.2	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	23:05	-	23:10	Fine	41.5	44.5	38.5	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM1	23:10	-	23:15	Fine	40.3	43.3	37.3	N.A.




Impact Noise Monitoring Data

NM2 – 4 ½ Milestone, Tai Po Road

Daytime (0700 – 1900) except general holidays and Sunday


Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (30min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
3/2/2021	NM2	11:30	-	12:00	Fine	50.2	53.1	47.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM2	15:00	-	15:30	Cloudy	48.5	51.5	46.5	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM2	15:50	-	16:20	Fine	45.9	48.9	42.9	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM2	16:30	-	17:00	Fine	52.1	55.1	49.1	N.A.



Note 1: Period without data implied that no works were conducted at the monitoring location and no noise monitoring was needed for the location.

Daytime (0700-1900) during general holidays and Sundays


Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (5min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
7/2/2021	NM2	11:00	-	11:05	Fine	45.7	48.7	42.7	N.A.
7/2/2021	NM2	11:05	-	11:10	Fine	42.5	45.5	39.5	N.A.
7/2/2021	NM2	11:10	-	11:15	Fine	42.6	45.6	39.4	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM2	10:45	-	10:50	Fine	45.1	48.1	42.1	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM2	10:50	-	10:55	Fine	46.2	48.2	44.2	N.A.
14/2/2021	NM2	10:55	-	11:00	Fine	47.2	49.2	45.2	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM2	16:50	-	16:55	Fine	46.1	48.1	44.1	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM2	16:55	-	17:00	Fine	47.1	49.1	45.1	N.A.
21/2/2021	NM2	17:00	-	17:05	Fine	48.3	50.3	46.5	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM2	16:50	-	16:55	Fine	49.2	42.2	46.2	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM2	16:55	-	17:00	Fine	49.9	52.9	46.9	N.A.
28/2/2021	NM2	17:00	-	17:05	Fine	51.1	54.1	49.1	N.A.



Note 1: Period without data implied that no works were conducted at the monitoring location and no noise monitoring was needed for the location.

All days during Evening (1900-2300)

Date	Location	Time			Weather	L _{eq} (5min)	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Remarks
3/2/2021	NM2	21:10	-	21:15	Fine	45.1	47.2	43.1	N.A.
3/2/2021	NM2	21:15	-	21:20	Fine	44.2	46.2	42.1	N.A.
3/2/2021	NM2	21:20	-	21:25	Fine	46.1	48.1	44.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM2	21:50	-	21:55	Cloudy	44.2	47.2	42.2	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM2	21:55	-	22:00	Cloudy	45.1	48.1	42.1	N.A.
11/2/2021	NM2	22:00	-	22:05	Cloudy	44.5	47.5	43.5	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM2	22:00	-	22:05	Fine	45.9	48.9	42.9	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM2	22:05	-	22:10	Fine	43.2	46.2	40.2	N.A.
20/2/2021	NM2	22:10	-	22:15	Fine	43.5	46.5	40.5	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM2	22:45	-	22:50	Fine	43.1	46.1	40.1	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM2	22:50	-	22:55	Fine	44.2	47.2	41.2	N.A.
25/2/2021	NM2	22:55	-	23:00	Fine	44.5	46.2	42.5	N.A.



Note 1: Period without data implied that no works were conducted at the monitoring location and no noise monitoring was needed for the location.


Appendix F

Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data


Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
C1b	1/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	1/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	3/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	3/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	9/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	9/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	11/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	11/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	14/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	14/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	17/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	17/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	19/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	19/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	21/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	21/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	23/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	23/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
25/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
28/2/2021	C1b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
28/2/2021	C1b#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
D1b	1/2/2021	D1b	15:40	26.1	8.0	9.1	112.3	2.0	2.5
	1/2/2021	D1b#	15:43	26.2	8.0	8.9	109.7	1.8	2.6
	3/2/2021	D1b	14:52	26.1	8.0	8.9	110.0	1.8	2.5
	3/2/2021	D1b#	14:55	26.3	8.0	8.9	110.2	2.1	2.5
	5/2/2021	D1b	15:15	26.4	7.8	8.8	108.8	1.5	3.3
	5/2/2021	D1b#	15:18	26.4	7.8	8.8	109.0	1.6	2.5
	9/2/2021	D1b	16:07	26.3	7.8	8.6	105.9	1.9	2.6
	9/2/2021	D1b#	16:10	26.2	8.0	7.1	87.3	2.1	2.8
	11/2/2021	D1b	14:32	19.9	7.9	8.6	94.5	0.1	2.5
	11/2/2021	D1b#	14:35	19.9	6.5	8.6	94.4	1.0	2.5
	14/2/2021	D1b	15:45	20.0	7.5	8.6	94.3	0.6	2.8
	14/2/2021	D1b#	15:48	20.0	7.5	8.6	94.4	0.6	3.0
	17/2/2021	D1b	15:46	22.5	11.3	7.9	91.2	0.4	3.4
	17/2/2021	D1b#	15:49	22.5	12.1	7.9	91.2	0.6	3.7
	18/2/2021	D1b	14:00	22.3	6.8	8.6	99.2	2.0	/
	18/2/2021	D1b#	14:03	21.4	6.8	8.7	98.1	3.3	/
	19/2/2021	D1b	16:48	22.4	8.5	8.7	100.0	2.7	2.5
	19/2/2021	D1b#	16:51	22.6	8.7	8.6	99.3	3.0	2.5
	21/2/2021	D1b	14:47	20.0	7.9	8.5	93.9	1.2	2.5
	21/2/2021	D1b#	14:50	20.1	8.0	8.5	93.9	1.1	2.5
	23/2/2021	D1b	16:10	25.1	6.8	8.0	97.0	3.7	2.5
	23/2/2021	D1b#	16:13	25.1	6.7	8.0	96.9	3.8	2.5
	25/2/2021	D1b	15:46	24.7	6.6	9.1	109.2	1.0	2.9
	25/2/2021	D1b#	15:49	24.8	6.7	9.0	108.5	0.3	5.6
	27/2/2021	D1b	15:28	23.9	6.7	9.6	114.1	5.4	3.5
	27/2/2021	D1b#	15:31	23.9	6.7	9.6	113.4	5.2	4.3


C1b




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C1b was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C1b was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection



Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C1b was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection





Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C1b was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C1b was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection


D1b




Water Quality Monitoring at D1b - Dissolved Oxygen



Water Quality Monitoring at D1b - Turbidity




Water Quality Monitoring at D1b - Suspended Solids




Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
C2	1/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	1/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	3/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	3/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	9/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	9/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	11/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	11/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	14/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	14/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	17/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	17/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	19/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	19/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	21/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	21/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	23/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	23/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
25/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
27/2/2021	C2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
27/2/2021	C2#	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

Location	Date	Sample ID	Time	Temp (°C)	pH	DO (mg/L)	DO%	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
D2a	1/2/2021	D2a	14:42	26.2	8.2	9.2	113.6	1.4	2.5
	1/2/2021	D2a#	14:45	26.0	8.0	9.9	112.6	2.3	2.5
	3/2/2021	D2a	13:30	26.3	7.9	7.7	95.5	1.5	2.5
	3/2/2021	D2a#	13:33	26.2	8.0	7.9	98.1	1.3	2.5
	5/2/2021	D2a	14:00	26.4	7.8	8.9	109.9	2.0	3.1
	5/2/2021	D2a#	14:03	26.3	7.8	8.7	107.4	1.7	3.3
	9/2/2021	D2a	15:02	26.2	8.0	7.9	97.7	1.4	2.9
	9/2/2021	D2a#	15:05	26.2	8.0	8.0	98.9	2.0	2.5
	11/2/2021	D2a	13:42	21.3	8.3	8.8	98.9	1.5	2.5
	11/2/2021	D2a#	13:45	21.2	8.1	8.8	98.7	1.4	2.8
	14/2/2021	D2a	14:37	19.9	7.6	8.6	94.2	0.6	2.9
	14/2/2021	D2a#	14:40	19.9	7.6	8.6	94.2	0.6	3.3
	17/2/2021	D2a	14:50	22.5	8.0	7.9	91.5	0.5	2.5
	17/2/2021	D2a#	14:53	22.5	9.5	7.9	91.3	0.7	2.6
	19/2/2021	D2a	15:48	21.5	8.6	8.7	98.4	2.3	2.5
	19/2/2021	D2a#	15:51	22.4	7.9	8.7	99.7	2.5	2.5
	21/2/2021	D2a	13:41	22.7	7.3	8.6	99.2	2.9	2.5
	21/2/2021	D2a#	13:44	22.7	7.1	8.6	99.3	2.9	2.5
	23/2/2021	D2a	15:10	25.1	7.3	7.9	96.1	3.8	2.5
	23/2/2021	D2a#	15:13	25.1	7.3	7.9	96.1	3.6	3.2
	25/2/2021	D2a	14:40	24.3	6.6	8.8	104.7	1.8	7.1
	25/2/2021	D2a#	14:47	24.8	6.6	9.1	109.5	0.7	2.5
	27/2/2021	D2a	14:03	24.1	6.6	9.3	110.1	6.0	2.5
27/2/2021	D2a#	14:06	24.0	6.6	10.3	122.5	6.6	4.6	


C2




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C2 was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C2 was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection



Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C2 was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection





Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C2 was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection




Note 1: Period without data implied that water in location C2 was dried up, insufficient water was available for sample collection


D2a




Water Quality Monitoring at D2a - Dissolved Oxygen



Water Quality Monitoring at D2a - Turbidity



Water Quality Monitoring at D2a - Suspended Solids



Appendix G
Supplementary Meteorological Data

**EXTRACT OF METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR HONG KONG,
FEBRUARY 2021 (Table 1)**

Date February	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Air Temperature			Mean Dew Point Temperature (deg. C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Mean Amount of Cloud (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)
		Maximum (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Minimum (deg. C)				
1	1019.4	25.1	20.3	17.2	16.0	76	19	-
2	1019.7	27.6	20.9	17.7	16.1	76	21	-
3	1022.0	21.7	18.4	16.7	12.4	69	25	-
4	1021.7	23.8	19.4	16.8	13.2	68	11	-
5	1019.8	23.9	19.9	17.3	14.5	72	30	-
6	1017.4	25.7	20.7	17.5	15.4	73	3	-
7	1017.6	24.1	20.3	18.1	15.5	74	13	-
8	1018.9	22.7	19.9	18.2	16.2	79	75	-
9	1017.5	19.7	18.5	17.3	14.1	76	88	Trace
10	1013.5	17.4	16.5	15.8	14.7	89	90	32.2
11	1014.7	19.9	17.4	15.3	13.6	78	78	-
12	1016.3	22.3	18.4	15.5	12.5	69	28	-
13	1017.3	23.8	19.2	16.5	14.7	76	62	-
14	1016.1	22.8	19.9	17.4	15.0	75	28	-
15	1015.0	26.2	21.1	17.8	14.9	70	8	-
16	1016.1	24.2	20.3	18.2	14.7	71	20	-
17	1019.6	24.6	20.4	18.3	14.4	70	23	-
18	1024.5	22.9	18.5	16.7	11.7	65	18	-
19	1023.4	22.9	18.5	15.8	11.9	66	7	-
20	1019.9	23.9	19.6	16.7	14.4	73	40	-
21	1017.5	24.9	20.4	17.3	15.4	74	20	-
22	1015.8	26.0	21.4	18.4	17.2	78	8	-
23	1015.0	26.4	21.7	18.8	16.5	74	9	-
24	1014.3	22.9	20.3	18.9	16.5	79	88	Trace
25	1011.2	22.7	20.2	18.8	17.6	85	74	1.8
26	1009.8	25.1	22.3	20.4	19.8	86	84	14.7
27	1014.0	20.8	18.8	18.1	16.9	89	88	13.4
28	1015.7	22.8	19.9	18.1	16.9	83	86	Trace
Mean/Total	1017.3	23.5	19.8	17.5	15.1	75	41	62.1
Climatological Normal(1991- 2020)	1018.7	19.4	17.1	15.3	13.2	79	72	38.9
Climatological Normal(1981- 2010)	1018.5	18.9	16.8	15.0	13.0	80	74	54.4

**EXTRACT OF METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR HONG KONG,
FEBRUARY 2021 (Table 2)**

Date February	Number of hours of Reduced Visibility# (hours)	Total Bright Sunshine (hours)	Daily Global Solar Radiation (MJ/m ²)	Total Evaporation (mm)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1	0	10.1	18.89	2.6	020	14.1
2	2	10.2	18.21	4.9	350	15.5
3	0	10.2	19.02	3.8	060	33.5
4	0	10.2	18.95	3.5	040	21.0
5	0	10.2	19.82	3.0	050	25.2
6	0	10.2	19.22	2.9	010	6.5
7	0	10.4	20.22	3.6	030	13.8
8	0	2.1	10.88	3.4	070	35.4
9	0	0.3	5.94	3.0	070	57.3
10	0	-	2.30	2.1	360	36.3
11	0	0.4	8.22	1.7	350	17.6
12	0	9.8	20.04	2.5	350	11.4
13	0	7.5	16.37	2.5	050	14.6
14	0	10.4	20.31	3.0	010	12.8
15	2	10.6	21.24	4.2	080	10.5
16	0	10.6	19.98	3.6	050	21.0
17	0	9.4	17.63	4.1	360	22.3
18	0	10.4	20.32	3.6	060	29.7
19	0	10.4	20.51	2.8	060	19.7
20	0	10.4	19.77	2.6	020	9.3
21	0	10.7	20.79	2.8	020	7.5
22	0	10.6	19.13	2.7	360	5.7
23	1	10.6	20.62	5.0	070	14.5
24	0	2.9	11.73	2.4	060	36.4
25	0	1.4	8.55	0.9	060	27.4
26	0	2.6	10.59	3.6	030	13.9
27	1	-	2.48	1.4	030	27.1
28	0	2.5	10.58	2.0	060	30.7
Mean/Total	6	205.1	15.80	84.2	060	21.1
Climatological Normal(1991- 2020)	118.4 [§]	101.7	10.24	60.4	060	24.2

Climatological Normal(1981-2010)	118.4 [§]	94.2	9.39	59.9	070	24.5
Station	Hong Kong International Airport	King's Park			Waglan Island [^]	

The minimum pressure recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 1007.8 hectopascals at 1517 HKT on 26 February.

The maximum air temperature recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 27.6 degrees C at 1325 HKT on 2 February.

The minimum air temperature recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 15.3 degrees C at 0412 HKT on 11 February.

The maximum gust peak speed recorded at Waglan Island was 83 kilometres per hour from 070 degrees at 0138 HKT on 10 February.

The maximum 1-minute mean rainfall rate recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory was 68 millimetres per hour at 2131 HKT on 26 February.

Reduced visibility refers to visibility below 8 kilometres when there is no fog, mist or precipitation.

- The visibility readings at the Hong Kong International Airport are based on hourly observations by professional meteorological observers in 2004 and before, and average readings over the 10-minute period before the clock hour of the visibility meter near the middle of the south runway from 2005 onwards. The change of the data source in 2005 is an improvement of the visibility assessment using instrumented observations following the international trend.

- Before 10 October 2007, the number of hours of reduced visibility at the Hong Kong International Airport in 2005 and thereafter displayed in this web page was based on hourly visibility observations by professional meteorological observers. Since 10 October 2007, the data have been revised using the average visibility readings over the 10-minute period before the clock hour, as recorded by the visibility meter near the middle of the south runway.

[^] In case the data are not available from Waglan Island, observations of Cheung Chau or other nearby weather stations will be incorporated in computing the Prevailing Wind Direction and Mean Wind Speed.

[§] 1997-2020 Mean value

Appendix H
Event / Action Plans

Table B-1 Event/ Action Plan for Noise Impact

Event and Action Plan for Noise Impact				
Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level is reached	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC and Contractor 2. Carry out investigation 3. Report the results of the investigation to the IEC and Contractor 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposal to IEC 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level is reached	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor 2. Identify source 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 5. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results 7. If exceedance stops cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion or work until the exceedance is abated 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Table B-2 Event/ Action Plan for Water Quality Impact

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings and repeat measurement on next day of exceedance being recorded; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, contractor, ER and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working methods. 2. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible mitigation measures; 3. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation. 3. Request Contractor to view the working methods. 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; 4. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to ER and IEC within 3 working days; 5. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings and repeat measurement on next day of exceedance being recorded; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor, ER and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working methods. 2. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible mitigation measures; 3. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 4. Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to ER and IEC; 3. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; 4. Resubmit proposals of mitigation measures if problem still not under control; 5. As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.

	to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.			
--	---	--	--	--

Appendix I
Monthly Waste Flow Table



Name of Department: ArchSD/CEDD/DSD/EMSD/HyD/WSD

Contract No.: DC/2018/08

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2021 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	6.334	0	0	3.028	3.306	0	0	0	0	0.36	0.00847
Feb	4.008	0	0	1.461	2.547	0	0	0	0	1.26	0.01195
Mar											
Apr											
May											
June											
Sub-total	10.342	0	0	4.489	5.853	0	0	0	0	1.62	0.02042
July											
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	10.342	0	0	4.489	5.853	0	0	0	0	1.62	0.02042

Remark: Use of conversion factors: density of inert C&D materials (2 ton/m³) and general refuse (1 ton/m³); density of chemical waste (0.9 kg/L)



Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract*										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
37.523	37.2	0	0	5.92	0	0	0	0	4.8	0.323

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.104(14).
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material

Appendix J
Implementation Schedule of
Recommended Mitigation Measures

Table A-1 Air Quality Impact – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	All the dust control measures as recommended in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, where applicable, should be implemented. Typical dust control measures include:	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The works area for site clearance shall be sprayed with water before, during and after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restricting heights from which materials are to be dropped, as far as practicable to minimise the fugitive dust arising from unloading/ loading 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Immediately before leaving a construction site, all vehicles shall be washed to remove any dusty materials from the bodies and wheels. However, all spraying of materials and surfaces should avoid excessive water usage 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load shall be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials will not leak from the vehicle 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Erection of hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high from ground level along the site boundary, where appropriate 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any stockpile of dusty materials shall be covered entirely by impervious sheeting; and/or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 4 sides 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S.3.5.9	S.3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials shall be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet 	Air Quality (fugitive dust) Control during Construction Phase	Contractors	Ditto	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
Operational Phase						
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table A-2 Noise Impact – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor shall adopt the Code of Practice on Good Management Practice to Prevent Violation of the Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 400) (for Construction Industry) published by EPD 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor shall observe and comply with the statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Before commencing any work, the Contractor shall submit to the Engineer Representative for approval the method of working, equipment and noise mitigation measures intended to be used at the site 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor shall devise and execute working methods to minimise the noise impact on the surrounding sensitive uses, and provide experienced personnel with suitable training to ensure that those methods are implemented 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noisy equipment and noisy activities should be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unused equipment should be turned off. PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machinery should be avoided 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regular maintenance of all plant and equipment 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
S.4.8.2	S.4.8.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised as noise barriers, where practicable 	Noise control during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Annex 5 of EIAO-TM
Operational Phase						
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table A-3 Water Quality Impact – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S.5.10.1 -5.10.2	S.5.8.2 -5.8.3	Construction for the desilting facilities at intake and outfall portals should be carried out behind a temporary cofferdam which is watertight enclosure built in the reservoirs and pumped dry to expose the bottom.	Point Pollution Control	Contractors	Before construction of intake and outfall portals and remain on site until completion of intake and outfall portals and tunnel construction	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S.5.10.3	S.5.8.4	The cofferdams should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure no spillage of waste or wastewater into the reservoirs.	Point Pollution Control	Contractors	Before construction of intake and outfall portals and remain on site until completion of intake and outfall portals and tunnel construction	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.4	S. 5.8.5	Construction of desilting facilities within works areas capable of controlling discharge of SS to comply with WPCO/TM-DSS	Point and Non-point Pollution Control	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S.5.10.5	S.5.8.6	Construction runoff will be managed as per the Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC PN1/94 - Construction Site Drainage and the conditions of working within Water Gathering Grounds stipulated by WSD	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance Water Gathering Ground control by WSD
S.5.10.6	S. 5.8.7	A Drainage Management Plan should be prepared by the Contractor for approval by the Engineer for each of the works areas, detailing the facilities and measures to manage pollution arising from surface runoff from those works areas	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance Water Gathering Ground control by WSD
S. 5.10.7	S. 5.8.8	An Emergency Contingency Plan should also be prepared by the Contractor, detailing the response and procedures to contain and remove any accidental spillage along the temporary and permanent roads and at the site at short notice to prevent or minimize the quantities of contaminants from reaching the reservoirs and local streams leading to the reservoirs. The Emergency Contingency Plan should be submitted to the Engineer for approval	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance Water Gathering Ground control by WSD
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	▪ Surface run-off and effluent from the construction sites at	Stormwater and Non-point	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		the intake at Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and outfall at the Lower Shing Mun Reservoir will be directed towards adequately designed sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO before discharging to discharge points downstream of the Kowloon Byewash Reservoir Dam and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir Dam respectively. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1m ³ /s a sedimentation basin of 30m ³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5m ³ /s the basin would be 150m ³ . The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction	Source Pollution Control			Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers will be provided on-site to properly direct stormwater to the above-mentioned facilities 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing on-site silt removal facilities, channels and manholes, if any, will be maintained and the deposited silt and grit will be removed regularly, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other manholes, if any, including any newly constructed ones will be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open stockpiles of materials on site will be avoided within water gathering grounds as far as practicable. All surplus spoil will be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible Measures will be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where possible, works entailing soil excavation will be minimized during the rainy season (i.e. April to September). If excavation in soil could not be avoided in these months or 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, for the purpose of preventing soil erosion, temporary exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Intercepting channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm				
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where applicable, final earthworks surfaces/ slopes will be well compacted and hydro-seeded following completion to prevent erosion 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where surface runoff or construction effluent is likely to be contaminated with oil, properly designed and maintained petrol interceptor will be provided to meet the WPCO/TM-DSS requirements. Oil leakage or spillage shall be contained and cleaned up immediately. Detailed design of the petrol interceptor shall be provided by the Contractor before commencement of construction 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sewage arising from the construction workers on site should be collected by temporary sanitary facilities e.g. portable chemical toilets. Portable toilets should be used coupled with tankering away services provided by a licensed collector 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All site discharges within Inland Waters Group A must comply with the terms and conditions of a valid discharge licence issued by EPD 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided, where applicable, at the site exit such that mud, debris, etc. deposited onto the vehicle wheels or body can be washed off before the vehicles are leaving the site area 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section of the road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Vehicle washing facilities should be drained into desilting facilities before discharge. The water should be recycled on site wherever possible. It is suggested that the wash water from the wheel wash basin is either reused for site watering or pumped to the on-site desilting facilities for treatment 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Desilting facilities should be checked and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly to ensure they are working properly at all times 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To minimize water quality impact, recycled water should be used at the cutter face for cooling purposes. Used water should be collected and discharged to settling tank for settlement 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excess water from the settling tank would be transferred to the desilting facilities for treatment before discharge. The Contractor should ensure that the discharge water from the desilting facilities and treated spent effluent arising from tunnel boring from the desilting facilities comply with the WPCO/TM-DSS requirements before discharge 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing on-site silt removal facilities, channels and manholes, if any, would be maintained such that the deposited silt and grit will be removed regularly, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times; 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Desilting facilities should be checked and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly to ensure they are working properly at all times; 	Stormwater and Non-point Source Pollution Control	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The project may occasionally involve the handling of fuel and generates chemical wastes. It must be ensured that all fuel tanks and chemical storage are sited on sealed and bunded areas, provided with locks and located outside water gathering grounds as far as practicable 	Protection Against Accidental Spillage	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The storage areas will be surrounded by bunds with a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent accidentally spilled oil, fuel or chemicals from reaching the receiving waters 	Protection Against Accidental Spillage	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location / Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oil and grease removal facilities will be provided where appropriate, for example, in area near plant workshop/maintenance areas, if any 	Protection Against Accidental Spillage	Contractors	Ditto	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S. 5.10.8	S. 5.8.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical waste arising from the site should be properly stored, handled, treated and disposed of in compliance with the requirements stipulated under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation 	Protection Against Accidental Spillage	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation
Operational Phase						
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table A-4 Waste Management Implication – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S.6.7.1		Given the potential for secondary environmental impacts (dust, noise, water quality and visual impacts), mitigation measures are required to ensure proper handling, storage, transportation and disposal of materials at the outset and throughout the construction phase of the project	Waste management during construction	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An on-site environmental co-ordinator employed by the Contractor should be identified at the outset of the works. The co-ordinator shall prepare a Waste Management Plan ("WMP") in accordance with the requirements set out in the ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, Waste Management on Construction Sites. The WMP shall include monthly and yearly Waste Flow Tables ("WFT") that indicate the amounts of waste generated, recycled and disposed of (including final disposal site), and which should be regularly updated 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, Waste Management on Construction Sites
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The reuse/ recycling of all materials on site shall be investigated and exhausted prior to treatment/ disposal off-site 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good site practices shall be adopted from the commencement of works to avoid the generation of waste, reduce cross contamination of waste and to promote waste minimisation 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All waste materials shall be sorted on-site into inert and non-inert C&D materials, and where the materials can be recycled or reused, they shall be further segregated. Inert material, or public fill will comprise stone, rock, concrete and soil which is suitable for land reclamation and site formation whilst non-inert materials include all other wastes generated from the construction process such as plastic packaging and vegetation (from site clearance) 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor shall be responsible for identifying what materials can be recycled/ reused, whether on-site or off-site. In the event of the latter, the Contractor shall make 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		arrangements for the collection of the recyclable materials. Any remaining non-inert waste shall be collected and disposed of to the public fill reception facilities whilst any inert C&D materials shall be re-used on site as far as possible. Alternatively, if no use of the inert material can be found on-site, the materials can be delivered to a public fill reception facilities after obtaining the appropriate licence				
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public fill reception facilities and landfills, and control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be implemented by the Contractor, in accordance with the contract and the requirements of WBTC 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Material" 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	WBTC 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Material"
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, the Contractor shall register as a Chemical Waste Producer if chemical wastes such as spent lubricants and paints are generated on site. Only licensed chemical waste collectors shall be employed to collect any chemical waste generated at site. The handling, storage, transportation and disposal of chemical wastes shall be conducted in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes and A Guide to the Chemical Waste Control Scheme both published by EPD 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A sufficient number of covered bins shall be provided on site for the containment of general refuse to prevent visual impacts and nuisance to the sensitive surroundings. These bins shall be cleared daily and the collected waste disposed of to the refuse transfer station. Further to the issue of ETWB TCW No. 6/2002A, Enhanced Specification for Site Cleanliness and Tidiness, the Contractor is required to maintain a clean and hygienic site throughout the project works 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All chemical toilets, if any, shall be regularly cleaned and the night-soil collected and transported by a licensed contractor to a Government Sewage Treatment Works facility for disposal 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Toolbox talks should be provided to workers about the concepts of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S.6.7.2	S. 6.2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor shall comply with all relevant statutory requirements and guidelines and their updated versions that may be issued during the course of project construction 	Waste management during construction	Contractors	Ditto	Waste Disposal Ordinance
Operational Phase						
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table A-5 Ecological Impact – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S 8.8	N/A	Minimise the habitat loss of secondary woodland / plantation and grassland as far as possible	Reduce habitat and vegetation loss	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM
S 8.8	N/A	Disturbed secondary woodland / plantation and grassland should be reinstated after the completion of works	Reinstate disturbed habitats	Contractors	Worksite areas at the two portals / after completion of construction works	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM
S 8.8	N/A	Provide clear definition of site boundary	Prevent impact on offsite habitats	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM
S 8.8	N/A	Protect the protected plant <i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i> on its existing location; Transplant the <i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i> to other suitable location if onsite protection is not feasible.	Preserve the protected plant species	Contractors	On the vegetated slope along the existing vehicle access at worksite area at Lower Shing Mun Reservoir / Construction period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM
S 8.8	N/A	Carry out compensatory planting if the individual of <i>Artocarpus hypargyreus</i> cannot be retained onsite	Mitigate the tree removal	Contractors	worksite area at Kwoloon Byewash Reservoir / Construction Period	ETWB TCW No. 3/2006
S 8.8	N/A	Workers should avoid eating and leave food in works area and avoid feeding the wildlife; Fishes observed remaining at the proposed works area during the draining down process should be translocated to the portion of the reservoir outside the cofferdam.	Avoidance of injury to wildlife	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM
S 8.8	N/A	Implement standard good site practices for dust suppression	Avoid dust deposition on vegetation	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	EIAO -TM, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
S 8.8	N/A	Implement standard good site practices for water quality control	Avoid site runoff to nearby habitats	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Water Pollution Control Ordinance
S 8.8	N/A	Workers shall not disturb birds and other wildlife; Litter shall not be burned on-site but shall be removed off-site;	Avoid disturbance to wildlife	Contractors	At all construction areas of the site during the entire construction period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		Machinery not in use should be switched off to minimize the noise nuisance; No fishing is allowed in the reservoir without permission.				
Operational Phase						
S 8.8	N/A	Compensate the habitat loss (grassland and woodland) by restoration of same type of habitats to be lost. The compensatory ratio should not be less than 1:1 in terms of area.	Mitigate the temporary habitat loss	Contractors	Woodland at worksite area at Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Grassland at worksite area at Lower Shing Mun Reservoir / Operational period	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM

Table A-6 Landscape and Visual Impact – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

Id No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures	Location	Funding	Implementation/ Maintenance Agent	Relevant Standard or Requirement	Implementation Stage			Timing of Implementation	Objectives of the Recommended Measure and Main Concern to address
LMM1	Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18		√		Throughout construction phase	To provide a viable growing medium suited to the existing conditions and reduce the need for the importation of top soil
LMM2	Existing Trees to be retained on site should be carefully protected during construction	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 & ETWB TCW No. 3/2006		√		Throughout construction phase	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposal
LMM3	Compensatory tree planting should be provided to compensate for felled trees	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 & ETWB TCW No. 3/2006		√		Throughout design and construction phase	The planting proposal seeks to compensate for the predicted tree loss resulting from the construction, visually integrate the proposals within its existing landscape framework and provide an improved visual amenity
LMM4	Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with surrounding setting	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD		√		Throughout construction phase	To integrate the construction site with the existing environment
LMM5	Locations of the site office, storage or workshops should be carefully adjusted to areas out of tree protection zones.	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	√			Throughout design phase	To avoid unnecessary felling of trees
LMM6	Selection of intake and outfall portals to areas enclosed by existing topography or vegetation	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	√			Throughout design phase	To preserve the existing topography and as many as trees as possible
LMM7	Appearance of the water intake and outfall structures	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	√			Throughout design phase	To reduce the apparent visual mass of water intake and outfall structures
LMM8	Reinstatement of disturbed vegetation at both portal	Site	WSD	Contractor	TM-EIA Annex 18			√	After the completion of construction	To mitigate disturbance to vegetation arising from the proposed construction

Id No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures	Location	Funding	Implementation/ Maintenance Agent	Relevant Standard or Requirement	Implementation Stage	Timing of Implementation	Objectives of the Recommended Measure and Main Concern to address
	areas						works	

Table A-7 Cultural Heritage – Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the recommended measures & main concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location/ Timing of implementation of Measures	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Phase						
S 10.7	S8.1.2	Condition Survey for the identified historic items and monitoring of vibration levels if required.	Prevention of structural damage to the identified historic items	Contractors	Condition survey to be undertaken prior to the construction phase and vibration monitoring to be undertaken during the construction phase if required.	None
Operational Phase						
N/A	N/A	None	None	None	None	None

Appendix K

Tentative Monitoring Schedule of Next Reporting Period

IRTS – EM&A Monitoring & Inspection Schedule

March 2021

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
	1	2 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Weekly Site Inspection	3	4	5 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 & NM2 (09:00-19:00); NM1 (19:00-23:00, 23:00-07:00)	6
7 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 (09:00-19:00)	8	9 Weekly Site Inspection	10 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 & NM2 (09:00-19:00); NM1 (19:00-23:00, 23:00-07:00)	11	12 Impact Water Quality	13
14 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 (09:00-19:00)	15	16 Weekly Site Inspection	17 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 & NM2 (09:00-19:00); NM1 (19:00-23:00, 23:00-07:00)	18	19 Impact Water Quality Monitoring	20
21 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 (09:00-19:00)	22	23 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Weekly Site Inspection	24	25 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Noise Monitoring at NM1 & NM2 (09:00-19:00); NM1 (19:00-23:00, 23:00-07:00)	26	27 Impact Water Quality Monitoring
28 Noise Monitoring at NM1 (09:00-19:00)	29	30 Impact Water Quality Monitoring & Weekly Site Inspection	31			


 = General Holiday

Appendix L

Investigation Report on Exceedance



**Inter-reservoirs Transfer Scheme –
Water Tunnel between Kowloon Byewash Reservoir and Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
Investigation Report**

Our Ref.	IR022
Monitoring Date	17 February 2021
Time	16:05
Environmental Aspect	Water Quality
Monitoring Location	D1b
Parameter	pH
Control Level	NA
Action Level	8.8
Limit Level	8.9
Measured Level	11.72
Exceedance	Limit Level
Site Observation	<p>The Contractor has confirmed no works were performed at the KBR site on 17 February 2021. Repeat measurement on next day was conducted, results were in compliance. No exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days were recorded.</p> <p>The photo below (taken on 17 February 2021) shows the site situation on that day.</p>  <p>From the photo taken on the same day (as shown below), compared to normal surrounding situation of the KBR, number of general publics nearby was observed</p>

