## 9 Groundborne Noise Impact Assessment

#### 9.1 Introduction

This section presents findings of the assessment of groundborne noise for both construction and operational groundborne noise. For construction phase, the dominant noise impacts from Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) has been assessed. For operational phase, the noise impacts caused by train movement has been taken into account. It is concluded that the noise impact generated would not cause adverse impact and hence mitigation measures would not be required.

## 9.2 Legislation and Standards

#### 9.2.1 Construction Groundborne Noise

Control over construction groundborne noise is governed by the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO), the EIAO, and their subsidiary requirements. Noise arising from general construction works during normal working hours is governed by the TM-EIAO under the EIAO as shown in **Table 9.1** below. TM for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites (TM-Places) under the NCO stipulates that noise transmitted primarily through the structural elements of building, or buildings, shall be 10 dB(A) less than the relevant ANLs. This approach to derive groundborne noise limit is pragmatic given the temporary nature of the construction works and the practical difficulty to abate the inherently noise construction activities (e.g. rock drilling / breaking).

The TMs applicable to the control of groundborne noise from construction activities in the current proposed SCL (TAW-HUH) works are:

- Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places Other Than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites (TM-Places) under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO);
- TM on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling (TM-GW); and
- TM on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (TM-EIAO).

For schools where a completely immersed attention is often needed, daytime groundborne construction noise criterion of 60dB(A) applies with reference to TM-EIAO 70dB(A) criterion and taking account of the minus 10dB(A) requirement under the NCO TM-Places. Following the same principle for groundborne noise criteria, groundborne construction noise levels inside hotel and domestic premises relying on open window for ventilation will be limited to 65dB(A), with reference to the daytime airborne noise criterion of 75dB(A) in accordance with TM-EIAO.

In the evening (1900-2300hrs) and during nighttime (2300-0700hrs), the TM on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling (TM-GW) applies. Again, following the principle of deriving groundborne noise criteria, groundborne noise level will be limited to 10dB(A) below the respective ANLs for the Area Sensitivity Rating. A summary of these criteria is given in **Table 9.1** below.

 Table 9.1: Construction groundborne noise criteria for schools and domestic premises

	Groundborne Noise Criteria, dB(A) [1]							
NSR Description	Daytime (except General Holidays & Sunday)	Daytime during general holidays and Sundays and all days during Evening (1900 to 2300 hrs)	Night-time (2300 to 0700 hrs)					
School – Classrooms	60/55 <sup>[3]</sup>	55	[2]					
Domestic Premises	65	55	45					

Notes: [1] Parameter used is Leq, 30mins

- [2] No sensitive uses during these periods
- [3] A 5dB(A) reduction to the groundborne noise criterion is recommended for school during examination period.

It is envisaged that TBM would be used for tunnel boring works during restricted hours (if allowed by Construction Noise Permit (CNP)). For these works during restricted hours, the Contractor is required to apply for a CNP under NCO. For the purpose this EIA study, the present assessment would therefore cover the groundbornre noise impact during non-restricted hours only.

#### 9.2.2 Operational Groundborne Noise

The operational groundborne noise criteria for the representative NSRs along SCL (TAW-HUH) alignment are tabulated in **Table 9.2** below.

Table 9.2: Operational groundborne noise criteria

		Groundborne Noise Criteria, LAeq 30mins					
NSR Description	ASR Rating	Day & Evening (0700 to 2300 hrs)	Night (2300 to 0700 hrs)	Criteria Employed			
Ko Shan Theatre	В	55	45	55 <sup>[1]</sup>			
Educational Institutes	А	50	40	50 <sup>[1]</sup>			
	В	55	45	55 <sup>[1]</sup>			
	С	60	50	60[1]			
Hotel guestrooms near	Α	50	40	40			
Hung Hom	В	55	45	45			
	С	60	50	50			
Domestic premises along	А	50	40	40			
alignment	В	55	45	45			
	С	60	50	50			

Notes: [1] These NSRs are considered to be noise sensitive during daytime and evening time only.

## 9.3 Assessment Methodology – Construction Groundborne Noise

#### 9.3.1 Noise Sensitive Receivers

NSRs that would be potentially affected by construction groundborne noise include schools and residential units along the alignment. **Appendix 8.2 and Appendix 8.2A** show all existing and planned NSRs identified and the locations of these NSRs are illustrated in **Figures 9.1.1 to 9.1.8**.

School classrooms are also noise sensitive during daytime period, and evening period if there are any evening classes. However, it is unlikely that there will be any class during the night-time period from 2300 to 0700 hours.

## 9.3.2 Groundborne Noise Sources from Construction Activities

Details of the construction methodologies are given in **Section 3** of this EIA report. Potential groundborne noise impacts on NSRs during the construction phase will arise mainly from the operation of TBM. Drill-and-blast activities would only cause short term noise impact and would not have significant contribution on the averaged noise level  $L_{eq\ 30min}$ . Other construction activities such as lorry movement, concreting, road paving etc are unlikely to generate significant groundborne noise. Airborne construction noise of these activities is addressed in **Section 8** of this EIA Report.

## 9.3.3 Groundborne Noise Prediction Methodology

The method used to predict construction groundborne noise is based on the U.S. Department of Transportation "High-Speed Ground Transportation Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment", 1998  $^{[9-1]}$ . The vibration level  $L_{\nu}$ , rms at a distance R from the source is

related to the vibration source level at a reference distance R<sub>o</sub>. The conversion from vibration levels to groundborne noise levels is determined by the following factors:

C<sub>dist</sub>: Distance attenuation

C<sub>damping</sub>: Soil damping loss across the geological media

C<sub>building</sub>: Coupling loss into building foundation

C<sub>floor</sub>: Coupling loss per floor

C<sub>noise</sub>: Conversion factor from floor vibration levels to noise levels

C<sub>multi</sub>: Noise level increase due to multiple sources C<sub>cum</sub>: Cumulative effect due to neighbouring sites

The predicted groundborne noise level  $L_p$  inside the noise sensitive rooms is given by the following equation.

$$L_{\text{p}} = L_{\text{v.rms}} + C_{\text{dist}} + C_{\text{damping}} + C_{\text{building}} + C_{\text{floor}} + C_{\text{noise}} + C_{\text{multi}} + C_{\text{cum}}$$

#### 9.3.4 Reference Vibration Sources

The vibration measurements for the TBM were extracted from the in-situ measurements during the bored tunnelling of Kwai Tsing Tunnel of the West Rail project. These measurements were adopted in previous approved EIA study<sup>[9-3]</sup>. The geology consists of mainly granite, which is considered similar to the geology along the alignment. The measurements records are considered the most appropriate available information for the purpose of assessing TBM groundborne noise.

#### 9.3.5 Soil Damping Loss

Internal losses of soil would cause the vibration amplitude to decay against the propagation distance and the decay relationship is based on the equation set out in the Transportation Noise Reference Book<sup>[6-3]</sup>:

$$V(R) = V(R_a) \times e^{-2\pi f \eta R/2c}$$

The velocity amplitude V is dependent on the frequency f in Hz, the soil or rock loss factor  $\eta$ , the wave speed c in m/s, the distance R from the source to the NSR. The properties of soil materials are based on Ungar and Bender<sup>[9-2]</sup> and reproduced in **Table 9.3**. The geological profiles along the alignment are shown in **Appendix 2.1**. No soil damping loss is applied for conservative.

Table 9.3: Wave propagation properties of soils

Soil Type	Longitudinal Wave Speed c, m/s	Loss Factor, η	Density, g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Rock	3500	0.01	2.65
Clay, clayey soil	1500	0.5	1.7

## 9.3.6 Coupling Loss into Building Structures

This represents the change in the incident ground-surface vibration due to the presence of the piled building foundation. The empirical values based on the guidance set out in the Transportation Noise Reference Book<sup>[9-2]</sup> are given in **Table 9.4**. In addition, a coupling loss correction of -18 dB from bedrock to pile should be adopted. However, the correction from bedrock to pile depends on actual site condition and correction of zero is assumed for conservative approach.

Table 9.4: Loss factor for coupling into building foundation

Fraguency		Octa	ve Band F	requencies	s, Hz	
Frequency	16	31.5	63	125	250	500
Loss factor for coupling into building foundation, dB	-7	-7	-10	-13	-14	-14

## 9.3.7 Coupling Loss Per Floor

This represents the floor-to-floor vibration transmission attenuation. In multi-storey buildings, a common value for the attenuation of vibration from floor-to-floor is approximately 1dB attenuation in the upper floor regions at low frequencies and greater than 3dB attenuation at lower floors at high frequencies. Coupling loss of –1 dB reduction per floor is assumed for conservative assessment.

#### 9.3.8 Conversion from Floor Vibration to Noise Levels

Conversion from floor vibration levels to indoor reverberant noise levels is based on standard acoustic principles. The conversion factor is dependent on the surface area S of the room in  $m^2$ , the radiation efficiency  $\eta$ , the volume of the room V in  $m^3$  and the room reverberation time RT in seconds. Analyses had been carried out for concert hall, theatres, lecture hall and recording studios for the KTE EIA report<sup>[9-16]</sup>, these values are summarised in **Table 9.5** and adopted for the present study.

Table 9.5: Conversion factors from floor vibration levels to indoor reverberant noise levels

NSR Description	Conversion C <sub>noise</sub> (dB re 1x10 <sup>-6</sup> mm/s)
Hotel guestrooms and residential units	-27
School classrooms	-27

## 9.4 Assessment Methodology – Operational Groundborne Noise

#### 9.4.1 Noise Sensitive Receivers

Noise sensitive receivers along the SCL (TAW-HUH) alignment include schools, hotel guestrooms and domestic premises. Hotels and domestic premises are taken into account during both the daytime and night-time periods. Educational institutes are considered to be noise sensitive during daytime and evening only. **Appendix 8.2** and **Figures 9.1.1 to 9.1.8** show the details of these NSRs.

## 9.4.2 Groundborne Noise Sources from Operation

When trains operate in tunnels that are located in close proximity to occupied structures, vibrations associated with train passbys will be transmitted through the ground and structure, and radiated as noise in the spaces occupied within the structure. Depending on the source strength and receiver sensitivity, noise and vibration levels may be high enough to cause annoyance to the NSRs.

#### 9.4.3 Groundborne Noise Prediction Methodology

The current prediction methodology recommended by the FTA Manual <sup>[9-1]</sup> is used in this EIA study. The manual is issued by the US Department of Transportation in 1995 and is intended to provide guidance in preparing and reviewing the noise and vibrations sections of environmental submittals to the US Government. The methodology has been applied to a number of transit systems in Hong Kong over the years, including West Rail, East Rail Tsim Sha Tsui Extension, MTR Tseung Kwan O Line and Kowloon Southern Link.

The basic equation describing the model, in decibels, is

L = FDL + LSR + TIL + TCF + BCF + BVR + CTN + TOC + SAF.

Where the prediction components are:

: Ground borne vibration or noise level within the structure, re: 1 μ-in/sec or

20 μ-Pascal

FDL : Force density level for the KCR SP1900 EMU, re: 1 lb/in<sup>0.5</sup>

LSR : Unit force incoherent line source response for the ground, re: 1  $\mu$ -in/sec

TIL : Trackform attenuation or insertion loss, relative level

TCF : Vibration coupling between the tunnel and the ground for soil based

tunnels, relative level

BCF : Vibration coupling loss factor between the soil and the foundation, relative

level

BVR : Building vibration reduction or amplification within a structure from the

foundation to the occupied areas, relative level

CTN : Conversion from floor and wall vibration to noise, 1 μ-in/sec to 20 μ-

Pascal

TOC : Turnout and Crossover Factor

SAF: Safety margin to account for wheel/rail condition and projection

uncertainties

Predictions are in most cases based on assuming the closest distance from the track centreline to the building foundation of the receiver; however, if a particular facility within a structure is the sensitive receiver, the setback distance is assumed to be from the track centreline to the closest part of the affected receivers. Where curved track occurs the track is considered to be straight and perpendicular to the closest setback point of the venue or receiver.

Predicted groundborne noise levels are compared to relevant noise criteria for different trackform options. Using these comparisons, trackform requirements is assessed and design recommendations made, as necessary, so that there will be no adverse impact caused by groundborne noise.

#### 9.4.4 Force Density Level (FDL)

The vibration source strength level (Force Density Level) for train operations on the SCL (TAW-HUH) will be derived from wayside vibration measurements taken in March 2003 during SP1900 seven car EMU passbys on ballast and sleeper track at Pat Heung Depot for the approved KSL EIA Report  $^{[9\text{-}3]}$ . The FDL spectrum was measured at a reference train speed of 60kph. FDL spectrum for other speeds are obtained with a correction of  $20log(V/V_{ref})$ , in-line with FTA manual  $^{[9\text{-}1]}$ . The duration of one passby is the period between the passage of the front and rear ends of the train pasts the closest point on the alignment to the building foundation. Measurement results have been given in the KSL EIA Report and presented in **Appendix 9.4**.

## 9.4.5 Line Source Response (LSR)

The basic quantity required for the determination of LSR is the vibration response caused by a unit point source impact, which is defined as the Point Source Response (PSR). Given the PSR is along the alignment over the length of the train, the LSR follows directly by incoherent integration of the PSR over the length of the train. However, the determination of the PSR for force point impacts along the alignment over the length of the alignment is not practical. LSR has already been measured in Hong Kong at a number of locations, and the most relevant of these measured results taking into account the ground type have been used for calculation. The appropriate vibration propagation characteristic, in terms of LSR & PSR, will be established from the approved XRL EIA Report [9-4] and WIL EIA Report [9-5] respectively. While reference LSR data adopted are presented in **Appendix 9.5**, typical PSRs are presented in **Table 9.6** below:

Table 9.6: Typical PSR values to be adopted

NSR ID	NSR Description	Reference Borehole
HUH-1-2	Lok Ka House	WIL D012 D=18m R=55m
HUH-1-3	Wing Fung Building	WIL D012 D=18m R=25m
HOM-2-1	Faerie Court	WIL D012 D=18m R=20m

LSR values depend on the depth of the tunnel and the depth of the rock head, and to a lesser extent on the ground material types. It varies along the length of any project. It is generally possible to measure LSR values at some sites along the alignment, it is not possible to measure at all NSRs. Further, it is uncommon to be able to measure at particular NSRs because of site constraints and difficulty of gaining testing and drill rig access. For this reason, site measurements are mostly used to obtain generalized information pertinent to particular ground conditions so that the results can be used to establish the LSR values to apply to NSRs with the same or similar ground conditions.

When LSR testing was carried out for the WIL project, a number of tests were carried out to provide information for future MTR Corporation's projects. Sixteen boreholes were tested in a range of ground conditions over the full length of WIL project. At each borehole, two depths were tested and for each depth, seven measurement distances were used. The extensive amount of information derived was more than the information required for WIL analysis. The obtained LSR values form a database of LSR information. This database is a better source of LSR information for present assessment.

Nonetheless, MTR Corporation will further review the LSR values and mitigation during the construction stage after the tunnel boring.

## 9.4.6 Trackform Insertion Loss (TIL)

The TIL for various trackform types of existing MTR alignments had been presented in previous EIA reports. Wherever appropriate, these TIL maybe adopted in the present study. Specifically, four types of trackforms have been considered for the design of SCL (TAW-HUH):

Type 0: Direct fixation.

Type 1: Alternative 1 baseplate trackform.

Type 2: Egg type baseplate trackform.

Type 3: Floating Slab Trackform with resonant frequency of 12.5Hz.

The prediction is based on a conservative approach. Despite the slim chance of mitigation measure being required, contingency mitigation measures could be adopted within the current tunnel diameter if necessary. These contingency measures could be as follows:

- Alt 1 resilient baseplates (Type 1) additional attenuation of 5 to 10(A) or
- Isolated Slab Track (Type 4) additional attenuation of 15 to 20 dB(A).

Changing of the tunnel dimensions would not be required in cases where contingency measures are required. Further measurements would be conducted to check the accuracy of the noise prediction after the tunnel construction where necessary.

## 9.4.7 Tunnel Coupling Factor (TCF)

With reference to the FTA Manual<sup>[9-1]</sup>, a 3dB and 5dB reduction in ground-borne noise level with reference to bored tunnel in soil would be assumed for cut-and-cover tunnels and station structures respectively.

## 9.4.8 Building Coupling Factor (BCF)

This factor is recommended by the US DOT Report <sup>[9-1]</sup>. This factor applies to large heavy structures identified along the SCL (TAW-HUH) alignment where vibration intrusions into the structure occur primarily over foundation surfaces that are adjacent to soil. No BCF should be applied to structures over foundations that are adjacent to rock. The following 5 types of buildings would be considered:

Type 1: Large masonry building on piles

Type 2: Large masonry building on spread footings

Type 3: Single family residential
Type 4: 1 to 2 Storey residential

Type 5: 2 to 4 Storey masonry building on spread

The typical setting along the SCL (TAW-HUH) alignment is that the piles of a building penetrates the soil layer and (for some taller buildings) touches the rock below. As a conservative approach, no BCF is applied to the NSRs assessed.

## 9.4.9 Building Vibration Response (BVR)

The BVR is introduced to account for the floor-to-floor vibration attenuation. The corrections for resonance amplification due to floor, wall and ceiling spans for all buildings are presented in **Table 9.6a**. The correction adopted was the case for WIL EIA Report <sup>[9-5]</sup>. A - 2dB attenuation per floor is adopted for the first 5 floors. This is in line with the FTA Manual <sup>[9-1]</sup>

Table 9.6a: Building amplification values to be adopted

		1/3 Octave Band Frequency (Hz)									
Corrections	20	25	32	40	50	63	80	100			
BVR	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.4	5.2	5.0			
Corrections	125	160	200	250	315	400	500				
BVR	4.8	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	0.7	0.7				

## 9.4.10 Conversion To Noise (CTN)

A +2dB correction is assumed for conversion of vibration (re.:  $10^{-6}$ in/sec) to noise (re.: 20  $\mu$ Pa). This is in line with previously approved EIA report.

#### 9.4.11 Ground Vibration Transmission

In most groundborne noise assessments, and usually on account of a lack of measurement data, only the most rudimentary aspects of the propagation of vibration through the ground from the tunnel to the structure are taken into account. In this study, considerable care was taken in quantifying the six possible paths through the soil, the rock and along the rock interface that vibration can take from the tunnel to the structure. It is then assumed that vibration propagates to the structure along all relevant paths and the vibration impact on the structure is determined as the energetic sum of vibration following all relevant paths, thus necessarily resulting in predictions that are conservative in nature.

## 9.4.12 Turnout and Crossover Factor (TOC)

At points and crossings, where the wheel transitions from one rail to another, the sudden loading/unloading of the leading and trailing rails results in increased broadband vibration levels over that of plain line continuous rail. In addition, it is not possible to machine grind the rails through either the points or crossings, so surface deterioration compared with that of the placed track, is often evident.

The increase in vibration level at turnouts and crossings is not easily characterized. For standard level turnouts and crossings receiving average maintenance, the USFTA handbook recommends a correction of 10dB. For modern inclined turnouts in good condition, where impact loads are lessened, it was found through measurement that a correction of 5dB is often more appropriate.

#### 9.4.13 Safety Factor

An attempt has been made to estimate the other components of the prediction as accurately as possible, neither underestimating nor overestimating their effect. Thus, the prediction conservatism is primarily determined by the 10 dB safety factor (this safety factor reduces to

5 dB for NSRs where site-specific LSR data is available) and the use of an FDL determined on rail whose rough condition is not representative of expected operational conditions.

## 9.4.14 Cumulative Groundborne Train Noise Impacts

There would be cumulative groundborne train noise impacts at the following locations.

<u>Location</u>	Cumulative Train Noise Sources
Diamond Hill	<ul> <li>Existing Kwun Tong Line (KTL)</li> </ul>
HOM	<ul> <li>Proposed KTE</li> </ul>
HUH	<ul> <li>SCL (MKK-HUH)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SCL (HUH-ADM)</li> </ul>

The planned receivers DIH-P2-3 is located about 50m horizontally from the existing Kwun Tong Line (KTL) near Diamond Hill. Hence, it is not envisaged that the operation of KTL would result in adverse cumulative effects at a separation distance more than 50m. Cumulative ground-borne noise impacts due to other railway projects (i.e. SCL (MKK-HUH), SCL (HUH-ADM) & KTE) in the vicinity has been assessed, and the results can be found in **Table 9.8**. With the combined effect of distance receivers and significant margin to the noise criteria, adverse cumulative noise impact is not anticipated.

#### 9.5 Assessment Results – Construction Groundborne Noise

# **9.5.1** Assessment Results & Residual Impacts Exceeding the Construction Groundborne Noise Criterion

Details of the construction methodologies, plant inventory and construction programme are given in **Section 3** of this EIA report. Bored tunnelling would be conducted for the following area:

- i. Wong Tai Sin from MCV to DIH
- ii. Diamond Hill between DIH and launching shaft at north of Kai Tak area
- iii. Ma Tau Wai Between TKW and MTW
- iv. Ho Man Tin Between MTW and retrieval shaft at Shansi Street

#### 9.5.2 Tai Wai to Ma Chai Hang

According to the design information, there will be no bored tunnelling for this section and TBM will not be used. Groundborne noise impact due to bored tunnelling in this section of the alignment is not anticipated.

## 9.5.3 Wong Tai Sin

This section runs from MCV to DIH. The area is mainly residential with a few educational institutes. The NSRs are at least 30m away from the tunnel boring machine. The predicted groundborne noise levels are less than 43dB(A) and are well below the adopted groundborne noise criteria. Adverse groundborne noise impact due to bored tunnelling on the NSRs in this section of the alignment is not anticipated.

#### 9.5.4 Diamond Hill

The extent of this section runs from DIH and retrieval shafts north of Kai Tak area. The area mainly consists of residential and schools. The NSRs are at least 34m away from the tunnel boring machine. The predicted maximum groundborne noise levels among the identified NSRs is 43dB(A) and is well below the adopted groundborne noise criteria. Adverse groundborne noise impact due to bored tunnelling on the NSRs in this section of the alignment is not anticipated.

#### 9.5.5 Ma Tau Wai

The extent of this section runs between MTW and TKW. The area mainly consists of residential and schools. The NSRs are at least 18m away from the tunnel boring machine. The predicted maximum groundborne noise levels among the identified NSRs is 49dB(A). Adverse groundborne noise impact due to bored tunnelling on the NSRs in this section of the alignment is not anticipated.

#### 9.5.6 Ma Tau Wai to Retrieval shaft near Ko Shan Theatre

The extent of this section runs between MTW to retrieval shaft near Ko Shan Theatre. The area mainly consists of residential premises. The NSRs are at least 19m away from the tunnel boring machine. The predicted maximum groundborne noise levels among the identified NSRs is 49dB(A). Adverse groundborne noise impact due to bored tunnelling on the NSRs in this section of the alignment is not anticipated. Based on the current design, the section between the retrieval shaft and HOM would be constructed by drill-and-blast method. The separation distance from the nearest NSR HOM-2-3 (Wing Lam Mansion) and NSR HOM-1-1 (Ko Shan Theatre) to this section is approximately 20m and 50m respectively. Hence, even if TBM method is considered to be adopted, it is likely that the predicted groundborne noise levels at this NSR would comply with the legislative requirements.

Detailed analyses of construction groundborne noise are given in **Appendix 9.1**.

#### 9.5.7 Cumulative Noise Impacts

Given the construction groundborne noise is much lower than the stipulated criteria, cumulative noise impacts from concurrent projects are not anticipated.

#### 9.5.8 Recommendations

Prediction of construction groundborne noise indicates the criteria will be achieved and mitigation measures are not required.

#### 9.6 Assessment Results – Operational Groundborne Noise

## 9.6.1 Noise Impact from SCL (TAW-HUH)

With the methodology and corrections presented in the above sections, groundborne noise levels for the identified NSRs are tabulated in **Table 9.7** below. No NSRs are identified from Tai Wai to Ma Chai Hang. Detailed calculations are given in **Appendices 9.2 and 9.3**. Speed profile of the SCL (TAW-HUH) is given in **Appendix 9.7**.

Table 9.7: Summary of predicted groundborne noise level

			Train	Predicted Maximum	_	ttime nario	Daytime Scenario	
NSR ID	NSR Description	Sensitive Floor	Averg. Speed <sup>[1]</sup> (kph)	Noise Level (L <sub>max</sub> )	Predicted Leq,30min (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Predicted Leq,30min (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))
DIH-1-1	Tsui Chuk Garden Block 5	1	95	53	40	45	43	55
DIH 2-1	Pak Yuen House	1	90	52	39	45	42	55
DIH-3-1	Wah Yuen House	1	90	52	39	45	42	55
DIH-3-2	Nga Yuen House	1	80	51	39	45	42	55
DIH-3-3	Kwai Yuen House	1	90	55	42	45	45	55
DIH-3-4	Chui Yuen House	1	80	51	39	45	42	55
DIH-4-1	Pang Ching Court	1	90	52	39	45	42	55
DIH-4-2	Carbo Anglo-Chinese Kindergarten <sup>[2]</sup>	0	90	54			44	55
DIH-5-1	Rainbow Home	0	80	46	34	45	37	55

			Train	Predicted Maximum	_	ttime nario	Daytime	Scenario
NSR ID	NSR Description	Sensitive Floor	Averg. Speed <sup>[1]</sup> (kph)	Noise Level (L <sub>max</sub> )	Predicted L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Predicted L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))
DIH-5-2	Residential premises	1	80	48	35	45	38	55
DIH-5-5	Our Lady's Kindergarten <sup>[2]</sup>	0	80	43			34	55
DIH 6-1	WTS Fire Station and Quarters Block A	1	85	51	39	45	42	55
DIH-7-1	Tropicana Gardens Block 2	4	85	47	35	45	38	55
DIH-7-2	Tropicana Gardens Block 3	4	85	49	37	45	40	55
DIH-8-1	Redemption Lutheran Church	0	85	37	25	45	28	55
DIH-9-1	Shek On Building <sup>[2]</sup>	0	80	37			27	55
DIH-10-1	Hong Kong Sheung Keung Hui Nursing Home	1	80	35	22	45	25	55
DIH-11-1	Lung Wan House	1	35	27	<20	45	<20	55
DIH-12-1	Galaxia Tower B	5	55	22	<20	45	<20	55
DIH-12-2	Galaxia Tower E	5	45	20	<20	45	<20	55
DIH-13-1	Canossa Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	85	37			28	55
DIH-14-1	Rhythm Garden Block 2	1	60	39	28	45	31	55
DIH-14-2	Rhythm Garden Block 5	1	60	41	30	45	33	55
DIH-14-3	Rhythm Garden Block 8	1	60	35	24	45	27	55
DIH-14-4	Canossa Primary School <sup>[2]</sup> (San Po Kong)	1	60	30			<20	55
DIH-14-5	Rhythm Garden Block 1	1	55	39	29	45	32	55
DIH-14-6	Rhythm Garden Block 3	1	60	39	28	45	31	55
DIH-15-1	Kam Wan House	0	60	37	26	45	29	55
DIH-15-2	Kam Pik House	0	55	37	26	45	29	55
DIH-16-1	Wong Tai Sin Temple	0	85	49	36	45	39	55
DIH-17-1	Chuk Yuen United Village	0	85	49	36	45	39	55
DIH-18-1	Upper Wong Tai Sin Estate Po Sin House	1	85	50	38	45	41	55
DIH-18-2	Upper Wong Tai Sin Estate Tat Sin House	1	90	54	41	45	44	55
DIH-19-1	Lung Cheung Gov. Secondary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	90	55			45	55
DIH-20-1	Baptist Rainbow Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	90	54			44	55
DIH-21-1	Tin Wang Court Wang King House	1	90	46	33	45	36	55
DIH-22-1	Price Memorial Catholic Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	90	44			34	55
DIH-23-1	Tin Ma Court Chun On House	1	90	42	29	45	32	55
DIH-24-1	Shing Wong Temple	1	90	57	44	45	47	55
DIH-P1-1	Upper Wong Tai Sin Estate Phase 3	2	90	45	32	45	35	55

			Train	Predicted Maximum	Nigh Scer		Daytime	Scenario
NSR ID	NSR Description	Sensitive Floor	Averg. Speed <sup>[1]</sup> (kph)	Noise Level (L <sub>max</sub> )	Predicted Leq,30min (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Predicted L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))
DIH-P2-1	TBA	2	70	30	<20	45	<20	55
DIH-P2-2	TBA	2	45	53	43	45	46	55
DIH-P2-3	TBA	2	60	56	45	45	48	55
DIH-P2-4	TBA	2	60	36	25	45	28	55
KAT-P1-1	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station	2	35	32	23	45	26	55
KAT-P1-2	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station	2	50	35	25	45	28	55
KAT-P1-3	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station	2	70	43	31	45	34	55
KAT-P1-4	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station Residential premises	2	65	30	<20	45	<20	55
KAT-P1-5	near Kai Tak Station Site 1A	2	60	51	40	45	43	55
KAT-P1-6	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station Site 1B	2	55	36	25	45	28	55
KAT-P1-7	Residential premises near Kai Tak Station	2	75	57	45	45	48	55
TKW-1-1	Parc 22	1	70	37	25	45	28	55
TKW-1-2	Sanford Mansion	1	70	37	25	45	28	55
TKW-2-1	Skytower Tower 1	5	70	29	<20	45	<20	55
TKW-2-2	Skytower Tower 2	5	70	29	<20	45	<20	55
TKW-2-3	Skytower Tower 7	5	70	24	<20	45	<20	55
TKW-3-1	Prince Ritz	5	20	13	<20	45	<20	55
TKW-3-2 TKW-P1-1	Prosperity House Residential premises near To Kwa Wan Station	1	45 45	26 40	<20 30	45 45	<20 33	55 55
MTW-6-1	Fok On Building	2	65	48	37	45	40	55
MTW-6-2	HK Society for the Protection of Children <sup>[2]</sup>	0	65	59			50	55
MTW-6-3	Chung Nam Mansion	2	65	47	35	45	38	55
MTW-6-4	Pok Oi Lau	0	65	57	45	45	48	55
MTW-7-1	Geranium House	1	65	52	40	45	43	55
MTW-8-1	Horae Palace	3	65	46	35	45	38	55
MTW-9-1	Majestic Park	3	65	39	28	45	31	55
MTW-10-1	18 Farm Road	3	65	46	35	45	38	55
MTW-11-1	Farm Road Government Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	65	40			32	55
MTW-12-1	Yuet Fai Mansion	1	65	50	38	45	41	55
MTW-12-2	Delight Court	3	65	45	33	45	36	55
MTW-12-3	Lucky Mansion	3	55	40	29	45	32	55
MTW-12-4	352-354 Ma Tau Wai Rd	2	40	39	29	45	32	55
MTW-12-5	Seng Cheong Building	1	50	42	32	45	35	55

			Train	Predicted Maximum	Nigh Scer		Daytime	Scenario
NSR ID	NSR Description	Sensitive Floor	Averg. Speed <sup>[1]</sup> (kph)	Noise Level (L <sub>max</sub> )	Predicted Leq,30min (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))	Predicted Leq,30min (dB(A))	Criterion L <sub>eq,30min</sub> (dB(A))
MTW-12-6	Great Wall Building	3	65	42	30	45	33	55
MTW-12-7	197-199 Ma Tau Wai Rd	2	65	48	37	45	40	55
MTW-12-8	Pak Tai Mansion	1	65	51	40	45	43	55
MTW-12-9	Residential premises along Hung Kwong Street	2	65	49	38	45	41	55
MTW-12-10	Lucky Building	2	55	42	31	45	34	55
MTW-12-11	Jing Ming Building	2	50	39	29	45	32	55
MTW-12-12	One Elegance	3	65	47	36	45	39	55
MTW-13-1	Cheung Chuk Shan Memorial School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	65	52			44	55
MTW-14-1	PLK Lam Man Chan English Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	1	55	36		-	29	55
MTW-15-1	Hung Hom Lutheran Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	50	50			43	55
MTW-16-1	SKH Good Shepherd Primary School <sup>[2]</sup>	0	45	49			42	55
MTW-17-1	Loyal Mansion	3	55	43	32	45	35	55
MTW-18-1	Residential premises along Chi Kiang St	2	50	31	21	45	24	55
MTW-18-2	No. 2 Kowloon City Road	2	50	35	24	45	27	55
MTW-19-1	Holy Trinity Church	0	55	40	29	45	32	55
HOM-1-1	Ko Shan Theatre[2]	0	55	40	30	45	33	55
HOM-2-1	Faerie Court	2	55	45	35	45	38	55
HOM-2-2	Lee Wing Bldg	2	55	52	41	45	44	55
HOM-2-3	Wing Lam Mansion	2	55	47	36	45	39	55
HOM-2-4	Tak Lee Court	1	60	46	35	45	38	55
HOM-2-5	Chat Ma Mansion	1	55	39	28	45	31	55
HOM-2-6	Chatham Mansion	1	55	54	43	45	46	55
HOM-3-1	Fook Sing Mansion	1	55	36	25	45	28	55
HOM-3-2	Marigold Mansion, Blk A	1	55	48	37	45	40	55
HOM-4-1	Yee Fu Building	1	55	44	33	45	36	55
HOM-5-1	271 Chatham Road North	2	35	37	28	45	31	55
HOM-P2	HKPU Phase 3 <sup>[2]</sup>	1	50	43		-	36	55
HOM-P3-1	Residential Building, HOM Development	1	50	51	41	45	44	55
HUH-1-1	Cartas Branchi College of Careers <sup>[2]</sup>	0	45	30			<20	55
HUH-1-2	Lok Ka House	1	50	34	24	45	27	55
HUH-1-3	Wing Fung Bldg	1	60	49	38	45	41	55

Notes: [1] Individual speed is estimated from tentative speed profiles.

<sup>[2]</sup> Daytime noise criteria and operation conditions are used for the assessment of school.

Results in **Table 9.7** shown that predicted groundborne noise levels for NSRs along the alignment are below the most stringent nighttime noise criteria. Hence, mitigation measures are not required.

As the nighttime noise criteria is 10dB(A) more stringent than the daytime, compliance with the nighttime criteria would typically mean compliance with the daytime criteria at the NSRs. During the daytime period, 24 trains/direction/hour is assumed for the noise assessment. In addition, a sensitivity test has been conducted to examine the noise effect if the train frequency is increased in the future operation. As compared with the daytime noise levels predicted based on the assumption of 24 trains/direction/hour, an increase of 0.3dB(A) and 0.7dB(A) would be predicted respectively for 26 and 28 trains/direction/hour. The upward adjustment would still result in compliance of the daytime noise criteria at all NSRs.

#### 9.6.2 Cumulative Noise Impact from Concurrent Projects

As discussed in **Section 9.4.14**, some of the NSRs would be subject to the cumulative impacts from KTE and SCL (MKK-HUH). The following table summarises the predicted cumulative impact.

Table 9.8: Cumulative N	Noise Im	pact at Noise	Sensitive I	Receivers
-------------------------	----------	---------------	-------------	-----------

NSR ID	NSR Description	Noise Contribution, Leq 30mins dB(A)			Total L <sub>eq 30mins</sub>	Compliance
		[2]	[3]	KTE	dB(A)	
HOM-4-1	Yee Fu Building	33	20[1]	<20	33	Yes
HOM-5-1	271 Chatham Road North	28	20[1]	23	30	Yes
HOM-P2	HKPU Phase 3	36	<20	<20	36	Yes
HOM-P3-1	Residential Building, HOM Development	41	20[1]	36	41	Yes
HUH-1-1	Cartas Branchi College of Careers	<20	20[1]	26	<28	Yes
HUH-1-2	Lok Ka House	24	20[1]	<20	<27	Yes
HUH-1-3	Wing Fung Bldg	38	20	<20	38	Yes

Note: [1] Estimated from predicted noise level of adjacent NSR.

[2] SCL (TAW-HUH).

[3] SCL (MKK-HUH)

#### 9.6.3 Recommendations

Prediction of operational groundborne noise indicates the criteria will be achieved and mitigation measures are not required. MTR Corporation will further review the LSR values and mitigation during the construction stage after the tunnel boring. A noise commissioning test is recommended to be conducted prior to operation of the Project for verification of EIA predictions and checking of the compliance of the operational ground-borne noise levels with the NCO noise criteria.

#### 9.7 Cumulative Impacts from HOM and HUH

As discussed in **Section 1.2**, the EIA Study Brief has included HOM and HUH. However, during the design development, it is considered that HOM be better implemented by the KTE and HUH by the SCL (MKK-HUH) & SCL (HUH-ADM). It should be noted that the assessment results in **Section 9.5.6 and Table 9.8** have incorporated the cumulative groundborne noise impacts from KTE and SCL (MKK-HUH) & SCL (HUH-ADM). Hence, the cumulative groundborne noise impacts due to HOM and HUH have been assessed.

#### 9.8 Conclusion

Potential groundborne noise sources during the construction phase have been identified. The noise impacts on neighbouring sensitive receivers have been quantified. Results indicate that the predicted impacts are within the statutory requirements and hence mitigation measures are not required.

Projections of ground borne noise at identified representative sensitive receivers have been performed, based on a methodology recommended by the US Department of Transportation and assuming an additional 10 dB safety factor. Results suggest that the predicted impacts are within the statutory requirements and hence mitigation measures are not required. MTR Corporation will further review the LSR values and mitigation during the construction stage after the tunnel boring. A noise commissioning test is recommended to be conducted prior to operation of the Project for verification of EIA predictions and checking of the compliance of the operational ground-borne noise levels with the NCO noise criteria.

## 9.9 References

- [9-1] U.S. Department of Transportation "High-Speed Ground Transportation Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment", 1998
- [9-2] "Transportation Noise Reference Book" by P.M. Nelson, published by Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd, 1987.
- [9-3] Kowloon Southern Link: Environmental Impact Assessment, Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation, January 2005.
- [9-4] Hong Kong Section of Guangzhou Shenzhen Hong Kong Express Rail Link: Environmental Impact Assessment, Mass Transit Railway Corporation, May 2009.
- [9-5] West Island Line: Environmental Impact Assessment, Mass Transit Railway Corporation, October 2008.
- [9-6] Noise Control Ordinance (Cap 400), HKSAR dated June 1997
- [9-7] Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling, EPD dated March 1996
- [9-8] Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work in Designated Areas, EPD dated June 1999
- [9-9] Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIA Ordinance), EPD dated September 1997
- [9-10] Technical Memorandum on Noise from Percussive Piling, EPD dated June 1999
- [9-11] Technical Memorandum For the Assessment of Noise From Places Other Than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites
- [9-12] Calculation of Railway Noise 1995, The Department of Transport, UK
- [9-13] Wayside Noise Levels for the SP1900 EMU Operating on West Rail Ballast and Sleeper Track, Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation
- [9-14] US Department of Transportation, "Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment", 1995
- [9-15] Tai Wai to Ma On Shan Extension: Environmental Impact Assessment, Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation, October 1999.
- [9-16] Kwun Tong Line Extension: Environmental Impact Assessment, Mass Transit Railway Corporation, June 2010.