

Calculations of Weighted Average Engine Emission Indices under Three Runway Scenario

Engine Used	Number of Usage in 2031 Busy Day Flight Schedule	Fraction	Bypass Ratio	Rated Engine Output (kN)	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Takeoff	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Climbout	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Approach	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Idle	CO Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	PM Emission Index - (Takeoff)	PM Emission Index - (Climbout)	PM Emission Index - (Approach)	PM Emission Index - (Idle)	Engine Entry Into Service Year
Group 3 - CLASSAB [3]																									
BR710A2-20	3	0.3143	0.3143	4.9208	0.0536	0.0446	0.0161	0.0067	0.0780	0.0698	0.3608	2.1000	0.0015	0.0015	0.0038	0.0840	1.4048	1.1273	0.5753	0.3503	0.0279	0.0261	0.0038	0.0049	1996
CFM LEAP-1B	3	0.7500	0.7500	8.0175	0.0701	0.0574	0.0191	0.0064	0.0270	0.1163	0.3135	1.9575	0.0015	0.0015	0.0060	0.1005	1.1370	0.9120	0.5123	0.2393	0.0064	0.0056	0.0040	0.0044	2018
CFM56-5B7/P	3	0.4425	0.4425	9.0063	0.0849	0.0701	0.0234	0.0078	0.0675	0.0675	0.1725	1.7550	0.0150	0.0150	0.0375	0.3450	2.1000	1.7400	0.7500	0.3225	0.0065	0.0056	0.0054	0.0056	1995
CFM56-7B26/B1	2	0.2550	0.2550	5.8500	0.0600	0.0500	0.0150	0.0050	0.0100	0.0100	0.1550	1.5450	0.0000	0.0000	0.0050	0.0900	1.0900	0.8550	0.4450	0.2150	0.0051	0.0045	0.0030	0.0034	2006
GE Passport 20	27	6.7500	6.7500	48.6405	0.4617	0.3821	0.1316	0.0540	0.1978	0.1566	3.3480	18.4545	0.0000	0.0000	1.8563	8.3498	6.9795	4.2120	1.8968	0.0392	0.0410	0.0333	0.0408	2017	
PW1127G	1	0.3000	0.3000	3.1175	0.0269	0.0215	0.0072	0.0024	0.0168	0.0203	0.1158	0.6445	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0090	0.4280	0.3285	0.1573	0.0735	0.0019	0.0018	0.0012	0.0014	2016
PW306A	1	0.1125	0.1125	0.6718	0.0079	0.0068	0.0024	0.0011	0.0568	0.0628	0.1778	0.9088	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.1090	0.5020	0.4815	0.2968	0.1065	0.0022	0.0014	0.0015	0.0019	2000
CLASSAB	40	1.0	8.9243	80.2263	0.7651	0.6322	0.2148	0.0833	0.4538	0.5031	4.6433	27.3653	0.0185	0.0182	0.0523	2.5938	15.0115	12.4238	6.9485	3.2038	0.0891	0.0862	0.0523	0.0624	2013

Notes:

[1] CPAHDA - Engine testing of class C, D, E or F aircrafts by Cathay Pacific Airways or Hong Kong Dragon Airlines are responsible for about 70% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

[2] OTHERS - Engine testing of other class C, D, E or F aircrafts by other airlines (except Cathay Pacific Airways and Hong Kong Dragon Airlines) are responsible for about 25% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

[3] CLASSAB - Engine testing of class A or B aircrafts are responsible for about 5% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

[4] Based on LTO schedule in Year 2031 (provided by IATA as shown in Appendix 5.3.1-4).

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System
 Engine Run Up Facilities - Engine Emission Indices adopted for Calculation of ERUF Emission

Engine Used	Number of Usage in 2031 Busy Day Flight Schedule	Fraction	Bypass Ratio	Rated Engine Output (kN)	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Takeoff	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Climbout	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Approach	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Idle	CO Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	PM Emission Index - (Takeoff)	PM Emission Index - (Climbout)	PM Emission Index - (Approach)	PM Emission Index - (Idle)	Engine Entry Into Service Year
Group 3 - CLASSAB [3]																									
CFM LEAP-1B	2	0.1053	1.0526	11.2526	0.0984	0.0805	0.0268	0.0089	0.0379	0.1632	0.4400	2.7474	0.0021	0.0084	0.1411	1.5958	1.2800	0.7189	0.3358	0.0089	0.0082	0.0056	0.0062	2018	
CFM56-5B7/P	1	0.0526	0.3105	6.3216	0.0596	0.0492	0.0164	0.0055	0.0474	0.0474	0.1211	1.2316	0.0105	0.0105	0.0263	0.2421	1.4737	1.2211	0.5263	0.2263	0.0045	0.0039	0.0038	0.0039	1995
GE Passport 20	16	0.8421	8.4211	60.6621	0.5760	0.4766	0.1642	0.0674	0.2467	0.1954	4.1766	23.0232	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.3158	10.4168	8.7074	5.2547	2.3663	0.0489	0.0512	0.0416	0.0510	2017
CLASSAB	19	1.0	9.7842	78.2563	0.7340	0.6064	0.2075	0.0818	0.3320	0.4059	4.7379	27.0021	0.0126	0.0126	0.0347	2.6989	13.4863	11.2084	6.5000	2.9284	0.0624	0.0633	0.0510	0.0611	2016

Notes:

- [1] CPAHDA -
- [2] OTHERS -
- [3] CLASSAB -
- [4]

Engine testing of class C, D, E or F aircrafts by Cathay Pacific Airways or Hong Kong Dragon Airlines are responsible for about 70% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

Engine testing of other class C, D, E or F aircrafts by other airlines (except Cathay Pacific Airways and Hong Kong Dragon Airlines) are responsible for about 25% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

Engine testing of class A or B aircrafts are responsible for about 5% of the total number of engine tests occurred in 2011 record.

Based on LTO schedule in Year 2031 (provided by IATA as shown in Appendix 5.3.1-4).

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System
 Engine Run Up Facilities - Engine Emission Indices adopted for Calculation of ERUF Emission

Engine List (calculated from weighted average) under Three Runway Scenario

UID	ENGINE	UID	Out of Service (if)	Engine Type (J- Jet; T- Turboprop; P-Piston)	Bypass Ratio	Rated Engine Output (kN)	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Takeoff	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Climbout	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Approach	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Idle	CO Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	PM Emission Index - (Takeoff)	PM Emission Index - (Climbout)	PM Emission Index - (Approach)	PM Emission Index - (Idle)	Engine Entry Into Service Year
1	CPAHDA	1	FALSE	J	8.54058	332.96238	2.71629	2.19766	0.70087	0.24291	0.39499	0.38603	1.61368	17.54045	0.01182	0.00796	0.01815	0.97913	34.93978	25.15788	10.99656	4.39993	0.06270	0.06148	0.05725	0.05853	2010
2	OTHERS	2	FALSE	J	8.58179	268.81509	2.25412	1.82887	0.59280	0.20350	0.39006	0.49503	2.60932	22.17094	0.02604	0.02278	0.08741	1.39455	30.38582	22.31319	10.16324	4.17920	0.07052	0.06816	0.06053	0.06184	2009
3	CLASSAB	3	FALSE	J	8.92425	80.22625	0.76510	0.63223	0.21484	0.08333	0.45378	0.50310	4.64325	27.36525	0.01850	0.01818	0.05230	2.59375	15.01150	12.42375	6.94850	3.20375	0.08911	0.08621	0.05228	0.06237	2013

Notes:

- [1] According to the SAGE (System for assessing Aviation's Global Emissions) Technical Manual by the FAA Office of Environment and Energy, there are issues associated with the use of BFFM2 and the ICAO Emissions Databank.
 At Issue 6 in Table 7, it is stated that "In the ICAO databank, some EI values are zeros which cannot be modeled on log plots", and the employed solution documented within ICAO CAEP WG3 is "The zero values were replaced by reasonably low values of 10^{-6} ".
 Engines with the associated problems are corrected with the suggested solution.

Engine List (calculated from weighted average) under Two Runway Scenario

UID	ENGINE	UID	Out of Service (if)	Engine Type (J- Jet; T- Turboprop; P-Piston)	Bypass Ratio	Rated Engine Output (kN)	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Takeoff	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Climbout	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Approach	Unadjusted Fuel Flow - Idle	CO Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	CO Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	HC Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Takeoff)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Climbout)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Approach)	NOx Raw Emission Index - (Idle)	PM Emission Index - (Takeoff)	PM Emission Index - (Climbout)	PM Emission Index - (Approach)	PM Emission Index - (Idle)	Engine Entry Into Service Year
1	CPAHDA	1	FALSE	J	8.54998	349.22815	2.79898	2.26258	0.71901	0.24740	0.39855	0.38763	1.46410	16.56605	0.01475	0.01121	0.02413	0.95050	36.48964	26.30046	11.46754	4.45794	0.06216	0.06050	0.05812	0.05822	2011
2	OTHERS	2	FALSE	J	8.93661	281.10783	2.28951	1.86049	0.59894	0.20790	0.37866	0.47550	2.45519	21.59967	0.02326	0.01956	0.06442	1.26579	30.96049	22.55024	10.29843	4.20910	0.06885	0.06613	0.05951	0.06129	2011
3	CLASSAB	3	FALSE	J	9.78421	78.25632	0.73400	0.60637	0.20747	0.08179	0.33200	0.40589	4.73789	27.00211	0.01263	0.01263	0.03474	2.69895	13.48632	11.20842	6.50000	2.92842	0.06236	0.06328	0.05098	0.06106	2016

Notes:

- [1] According to the SAGE (System for assessing Aviation's Global Emissions) Technical Manual by the FAA Office of Environment and Energy, there are issues associated with the use of BFFM2 and the ICAO Emissions Databank.
 At Issue 6 in Table 7, it is stated that "In the ICAO databank, some EI values are zeros which cannot be modeled on log plots", and the employed solution documented within ICAO CAEP WG3 is "The zero values were replaced by reasonably low values of 10^{-6} ".
 Engines with the associated problems are corrected with the suggested solution.