10 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment

10.1 Introduction

- 10.1.1 This section assesses the potential landscape and visual impacts arising from the proposed Elevated Pedestrian Corridor in Yuen Long Town connecting with Long Ping Station (the Project"). The Project is to construct an elevated pedestrian corridor above Yuen Long Town Nullah from West Rail Long Ping Station (WRLPS) crossing over Yuen Long On Ning Road (YLONR), Castle Peak Road Yuen Long Section (CPRYLS) to the south of Kau Yuk Road (KYR) with provision for future extension to Yuen Long South areas.
- 10.1.2 Landscape and visual impacts of any above ground structures and work areas associated with the project during both construction and operation stages within the study area will be assessed. Key elements of the proposed works are described in Chapter 1 and 2.

10.1.3 The assessment includes:

- a definition of the scope and contents of the study, including a description of the assessment methodology;
- a review of the relevant planning and development control framework;
- a review of comments received during earlier public consultations and how these comments have been addressed in the design;
- a baseline study providing a comprehensive and accurate description of the baseline landscape resources, landscape character areas and visual sensitive receivers (VSRs);
- identification of the potential landscape and visual impacts and prediction of their magnitude and potential significance, before and after the mitigation measures;
- recommendation of appropriate mitigation measures and associated implementation programmes; and
- an assessment of the acceptability or otherwise of the predicted residual impacts, according to the five criteria set out in Annex 10 of the EIAO-TM.
- 10.1.4 The landscape and visual impact assessment follows the criteria and guidelines as stated in Annexes 10 and 18 of the EIAO TM. Colour photographs showing baseline conditions, and photomontages and illustrative materials supporting conclusions are provided and the locations of all key viewpoints shall be clearly mapped. Photomontages at representative locations provide comparison between existing views, proposals on day 1 after completion without mitigation measures, on day 1

after completion with mitigation measures, and in year 10 after completion with mitigation measures in accordance with EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2010.

10.2 Environmental Legislation, Standards and Guidelines

- 10.2.1 The methodology for undertaking the landscape and visual impact assessment is in accordance with Annex 10 and 18 of the Technical Memorandum on Environment Impact Assessment Process, the EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2010 and the EIA Study Brief No. ESB-278/2014. Legislation, standards and guidelines applicable to this assessment are as follows:
 - EIAO Guidance Note 8/2010 (Preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment under the EIAO);
 - Town Planning Ordinance (Cap131) and Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance;
 - Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap.499.S.16) and the Technical Memorandum on EIA Process (EIAO TM), particularly Annexes 10 and 18,
 - Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines Chapter 4 and Chapter 11, and
 - Urban Design Guidelines for Hong Kong issued by the PlanD (2003);
 - Study on Landscape Value Mapping of Hong Kong.
 - Land Administration Office Instruction (LAOI) Section D-12 Tree Preservation,
 - DEVB TCW No. 07/2015 Tree Preservation;
 - DEVB TC(W) No. 2/2012 Allocation of Space for Quality Greening on Roads;
 - DEVB TC(W) No. 3/2012 Site Coverage of Greenery for Government Building Projects;
 - ETWB TCW No. 06/2015 Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features;
 - GEO 1/2011 Technical Guidelines on Landscaping Treatment for Slopes. Tree Survey Methodology
 - DEVB TC(W) No. 2/2013 Greening on Footbridges and Flyovers
 - ETWB TCW No. 11/2004 Cyber Manual for Greening;
 - ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 Registration of Old and Valuable Trees, and Guidelines for their Preservation;
 - ETWB No. 36/ 2004 Advisory Committee on the Appearance of Bridges and Associated Structures (ACABAS),
 - PNAP APP-152 Sustainable Building Guidelines;
 - CEDD TC No. 06/2014 Vetting Committee on Slope Appearance;
 - Cyber Manual for Greening (GLTM of DEVB);
 - ETWB TCW No. 13/2003A Guidelines and Procedures for Environmental Impact Assessment of Government Projects and Proposals Planning for Provision of Noise Barriers,
 - Guidelines on Tree Transplanting (9/2014), GLTM of DEVB

- Guidelines on Tree Preservation during Development (4/2015), GLTM of DEVB
- Green Infrastructure, GLTM of DEVB Website:

http://www.greening.gov.hk/en/new_trend/green_infrastructure.html

• Measures on Tree Preservation, GLTM of DEVB - Website:

http://www.greening.gov.hk/en/management/tree_m_and_m.html#tree_mainte nance

10.3 Assessment Methodology

- 10.3.1 Landscape and visual impacts have been assessed separately for the construction and operation phases.
- 10.3.2 The assessment of landscape impacts has involved the following procedures:
 - *Identification of the baseline landscape resources and landscape character areas found within the study area.* This is achieved by site visit and desktop study of topographical maps, information databases and photographs.
 - Assessment of the degree of sensitivity of the landscape resources and landscape character areas and the classification (rating) of sensitivity and each landscape resources and landscape character area. This is influenced by a number of factors including:
 - quality and maturity of landscape resources/characters;
 - importance and rarity of special landscape elements;
 - whether the landscape resources are considered to be of local, regional, national or global importance;
 - whether there are any statutory or regulatory limitations/requirements relating to the landscape resources/characters; and
 - ability of the landscape resources/characters to accommodate change.
- 10.3.3 The sensitivity of each landscape resource and character area is classified as follows:
 - **High:** Important landscape or landscape resource of particularly distinctive character or high importance, sensitive to relatively small changes.
 - **Medium:** Landscape or landscape resource of moderately valued landscape characteristics reasonably tolerant to change.
 - **Low:** Landscape or landscape resource of low valued landscape characteristics highly tolerant to change.
 - *Identification of potential sources of landscape impacts during construction and operation phases.* These are the various elements of the construction works and operation procedures that would generate landscape impacts.
 - Identification of the magnitude of change and the classification (rating) of the magnitude of change for all landscape resources and landscape character areas. The magnitude of the impact (or magnitude of change) depends on a number of factors including:

- scale of development;
- compatibility of the project with the surrounding landscape;
- duration of impacts, i.e. whether it is temporary (short, medium or long term), under construction and operation phases; and
- reversibility of change.
- 10.3.4 The magnitude of landscape impacts is classified as follows:
 - Large: The landscape or landscape resource would suffer major change. (beneficial or adverse)
 - **Intermediate:** The landscape or landscape resource would suffer moderate change. (beneficial or adverse)
 - **Small:** The landscape or landscape resource would suffer slight or barely perceptible change. (beneficial or adverse)
 - **Negligible:** The landscape or landscape resources would suffer no discernible change.
 - Nil: The landscape or landscape resources would suffer no change.
 - Significant threshold of potential landscape impact (before mitigation) during construction and operation. By synthesising the magnitude of the various impacts and the sensitivity of the various landscape resources it is possible to categorise impacts in a logical, well-reasoned and consistent fashion. Table 10.1 shows the rationale for dividing the degree of significance into four thresholds, namely insubstantial, slight, moderate, and substantial, depending on the combination of a negligible-small-intermediate-large magnitude of impact and a low-medium-high degree of sensitivity of landscape resource/character.

| Table 10.1 Rela | ationship | between | Receptor | Sensitivity | and | Impact | Magnitude | in | Defining |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------------|-----|--------|-----------|----|----------|
| Impact Signific | cance | | | | | | | | |

| erse | Large | Moderate | Moderate / Substantial | Substantial | | |
|--|--------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Impact (Both beneficial and adve impact are assessed) | Intermediate | Slight / Moderate | Moderate | Moderate / Substantial | | |
| | Small | Slight | Slight / Moderate | Moderate | | |
| | Negligible | Insubstantial | Insubstantial | Insubstantial | | |
| | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | | |
| itude of | | Low | Medium | High | | |
| Magr | | Receptor Sensitivity (of Landscape Resource, Landscape Character Area or VSR) | | | | |

- *Identification of potential landscape mitigation measures*. Mitigation measures may take the form of
 - adopting alternative design or revisions to the basic engineering or architectural design to prevent and/or minimize adverse impacts;
 - remedial measures such as colour and textural treatment of physical, engineering and building features; and
 - compensatory measures such as the implementation of landscape design measures (e.g. tree planting, creation of new open space etc) to compensate for unavoidable adverse impacts and to attempt to generate potentially beneficial long term impacts.
- 10.3.5 The significance of landscape impacts is categorised as follows:

| Substantial: | Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause significant deterioration or improvement in existing landscape quality. | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Moderate: | Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause noticeable deterioration or improvement in existing landscape quality. | | |
| Slight: | Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause barely perceptible deterioration or improvement in existing landscape quality. | | |
| Insubstantial: | No discernible change in the existing landscape quality. | | |
| Nil: | No impact on the existing landscape quality. | | |

- 10.3.6 A programme for the mitigation measures is provided and discussed in Section 10.9. The agencies responsible for the funding, implementation, management and maintenance of the mitigation measures are proposed in Table 10.6 and 10.7.
 - Significant threshold of residual impact after the implementation of the mitigation measures during Construction and Operation: Day 1 and Year 10. The level of residual impact is derived from the magnitude of change which the proposed works will cause to the existing landscape resources or landscape character areas and the ability of the LRs and LCAs to tolerate change, i.e. the quality and sensitivity of the LRs and LCAs, taking into account the beneficial effects of the proposed mitigation measures. The significance threshold is derived from the matrix shown in Table 10.1.
 - *Prediction of Acceptability of Impacts.* An overall assessment of the acceptability, or otherwise, of the impacts according to the five criteria set out in Annex 10 of the EIAO TM as below:

| Beneficial | The proposed works will complement the landscape and visual character of its setting, follow the relevant planning objectives, and improve overall and visual quality. |
|------------|--|
| Acceptable | There will be no significant effects on the landscape, no significant visual effects, and no interference with the key views due to the proposed works. |

240246 | Final | July 2016

| Acceptable | There will be some adverse effects due to the proposed works, |
|--------------|---|
| with | but the adverse effects can be eliminated, reduced or offset to a |
| Mitigation | large extent by the proposed mitigation measures. |
| Measures | |
| Unacceptable | There will be the adverse effects that are considered too |
| | excessive and are unable to mitigate practically. |
| | |
| Undetermined | Significant adverse effects are likely, but the extent to which |
| | they may occur or may be mitigated cannot be determined |
| | from the |
| | study. Further detailed study will be required for the specific |
| | effects in question. |
| | - |

10.3.7

The assessment of visual impacts has involved the following:

- *Identification of Zones of Visual Influence (ZVIs) during the construction and operation phase of the project.* This is achieved by site visit and desktop study of topographic maps and photographs, and preparation of cross-section to determine the visibility of the project from various locations.
- Identification of Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) within the Zone of Visual Influence (ZVIs) at construction and operation phases. These are the people who would reside within, work within, play within, or travel through, the ZVIs.
- Assessment of the degree of Sensitivity of the VSRs. Factors considered include:
 - the type of VSRs, which is classified according to whether the person is at home, at work, at school, at play, or travelling. Those who view the impact from their homes are considered to be highly sensitive as the attractiveness or otherwise of the outlook from their home will have a substantial effect on their perception of the quality and acceptability of their home environment and their general quality of life. Those who view the impact from their workplace and at school are considered to be only moderately sensitive as the attractiveness or otherwise of the outlook will have a less important, although still material, effect on their perception of their quality of life. The degree to which this applies depends on whether the workplace is industrial, retail or commercial. Those who view the impact whilst taking part in an outdoor leisure activity may display varying sensitivity depending on the type of leisure activity. Those who view the impact whilst travelling on a public thoroughfare will also display varying sensitivity depending on the speed of travel.
 - other factors which are considered (as required by EIAO GN 8/2010) include the number of individuals, value and quality of existing views, the availability and amenity of alternative views, the duration or frequency of view, and the degree of visibility.
- 10.3.8 The sensitivity of VSRs is classified as follows:
 - **High:** The VSRs are highly sensitive to any change in their viewing experience.
 - **Medium:** The VSRs are moderately sensitive to any change in their viewing experience.
 - **Low:** The VSRs are only slightly sensitive to any change in their viewing experience.

- *Identification of relative numbers of VSRs*. This is expressed in term of whether there are few, medium or many VSRs in any one category of VSR.
- *Identification of potential sources of visual impacts.* These are the various elements of the construction works and operation procedures that would generate visual impacts.
- Assessment of the potential magnitude of visual impacts. Factors considered include
 - the compatibility with the surrounding landscape;
 - the duration of the impact;
 - the reversibility of the impact;
 - the scale of the impact and distance of the source of impact from the viewer; and
 - potential blockage of view.
- 10.3.9 The magnitude of visual impacts is classified as follows:
 - **Large:** The VSRs would suffer major change in their viewing experience.
 - Intermediate: The VSRs would suffer moderate change in their viewing experience.
 - **Small:** The VSRs would suffer small change in their viewing experience.
 - **Negligible:** The VSRs would suffer no discernible change in their viewing experience.
 - *Identification of potential visual mitigation measures.* These may take the form of adopting alternative designs or revisions to the basic engineering and architectural design to prevent and/or minimise adverse impacts, remedial measures such as colour and textural treatment of building features, landscape and visual enhancement and tree planting to screen the roads and associated bridge structures. A programme for the mitigation measures is provided and discussed in Section 7. The agencies responsible for the funding, implementation, maintenance of the mitigation measures are identified and their approval-in-principle has been sought.
 - Prediction of the significance of visual impacts before and after the implementation of the mitigation measures. By synthesising the magnitude of the various visual impacts and the sensitivity of the VSRs, and the numbers of VSRs that are affected, it is possible to categorise the degree of significance of the impacts in a logical, *well*-reasoned and consistent fashion. Table 10.1 shows the rationale for dividing the degree of significance into four thresholds, namely, insubstantial, slight, moderate and substantial, depending on the combination of a negligible-small-intermediate-large magnitude of impact and a low-medium-high degree of sensitivity of VSRs.
- 10.3.10 The significance of visual impacts is categorised as follows:
 - **Substantial:** Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause significant deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.
 - **Moderate:** Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause noticeable deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.

Slight: Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause barely perceptible deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.

Insubstantial: No discernible change in the existing visual quality.

- *Prediction of Acceptability of Impacts*. An overall assessment of the acceptability, or otherwise, of the impacts according to the five criteria set out in Annex 10 of the EIAO TM as below.
- **Substantial:** Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause significant deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.
- **Moderate:** Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause noticeable deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.
- Slight: Adverse / beneficial impact where the proposal would cause barely perceptible deterioration or improvement in existing visual quality.
- **Insubstantial:** No discernible change in the existing visual quality.
- 10.3.11 It is assumed that funding, implementation and maintenance agency of the mitigation measures can be satisfactorily resolved according to the principles in DEVB TCW No. 7/2015. All mitigation measures in this report are practical and achievable within the known parameters of funding, implementation and maintenance agency. The suggested agencies for the funding and implementation (and subsequent maintenance, if applicable) are indicated in Table 10.6 -10.7. Approval-in-principle to the implementation and maintenance of the proposed mitigation measures is being sought from the appropriate authorities.

10.4 Scope and Content of the Study

- 10.4.1.1 The study area for the landscape impact assessment will include all areas within 100m from the works limit as indicated in Drawing no. 10.1101, and the context of the Project is shown on drawing 10.1102.
- 10.4.1.2 The area for the visual impact assessment shall be defined by the visual envelope of the Project and associated works during the construction and operation phases. The defined visual envelope is illustrated in drawing no. 10.1401.
- 10.4.1.3 Detail project background and project description are provided in Chapter 1 and Chapter 2 of the report, while construction method, implementation programme, and concurrent projects are provided in section 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 respectively.
- 10.4.1.4 The design of footbridge was selected out of several alternative design options by public consultation progress. Consideration of alternatives scheme and the selection of preferred options are described in section 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. The criterion for the selection was based on aesthetic quality, functional requirements,

buildability, operation performance and maintainability, creativity, and environmental impact purpose (refer to chapter 3).

- 10.4.1.5 During the public engagement conducted in March 2013 and April 2013, the public and Yuen Long District Council indicated strong support for the proposed footbridge and urged its early implementation. In addition, some DC members have requested early implementation of the proposed footbridge during the first special meeting of Traffic and Transport Committee under Yuen Long DC (DC Paper No. 65/2013) (refer to chapter 2).
- 10.4.1.6 As described in the EIA Study Brief, the scope of the Project includes:
 - construction of a covered footbridge of about 540m in length and 6m clear width with staircases / lifts / escalators along Yuen Long Town Nullah from West Rail Long Ping Station to the south of the Kau Yuk Road;
 - connection of the footbridge with West Rail Long Ping Station;
 - connection of the footbridge with at-grade footways in Yuen Long On Ling Road, Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long Section and Kau Yuk Road;
 - provision at the southern end of the footbridge to allow for future extension;
 - measures for mitigating drainage impact for the sections of Yuen Long Town Nullah underneath the footbridge;
 - landscaping and streetscape works of the footpaths along both sides of Yuen Long Town Nullah between West Rail Long Ping Station and Kau Yuk Road; and
 - associated civil, road, drainage, geotechnical, traffic aids, utility, diversion street lighting, landscaping, E&M works and environmental mitigation measures and temporary traffic arrangement during construction stage.
- 10.4.2 According to the EIA Study Brief No. ESB-278 /2014, the study area for the landscape impact assessment shall include all areas within 100m extended from the boundary of the scope of the EIA study as described in section 10.4.1.1 above. The assessment of landscape character areas will include all areas within the study area. The assessment area for the visual impact assessment shall be defined by the visual envelope of the Project and associated works.
- 10.4.3 In this study, relevant Outline Development Plans (ODPs), Outline Zoning Plans (OZPs), Layout Plans and other relevant published land use plans, planning briefs and studies which may identify areas of high landscape value, open space and amenity area will be reviewed. Any guidelines on landscape strategies, landscape frameworks, urban design concepts, building height profiles, special design areas, landmarks, designated view corridors, open space networks, landscape links that may affect the appreciation of the Project and associated works will also be reviewed.
- 10.4.4 In the landscape assessment, the existing and planned landscape resources and character of the assessment areas will be described, appraised, analysed and

evaluated. Plans of suitable scale showing the baseline landscape resources and landscape character mapping of impact assessment are used to present the findings of impact assessment.

- 10.4.5 A tree survey which identifies the species and approximate numbers to be affected is included in Appendix 10.1. The assessment focus on the sensitivity of the landscape framework and its ability to accommodate change. The degree of compatibility of the Project and associated works with the existing and planned landscape settings will be identified. The landscape impact assessment quantifies the potential landscape impacts as far as possible, so as to illustrate the significance of such impacts arising from the Project and associated works. All landscape impacts are clearly mapped.
- 10.4.6 In the visual impact assessment, clear illustrations including mapping of visual impact will be provided. The assessment includes:
 - Identification and plotting of visual envelope of the Project and associated works,
 - Identification of key groups of sensitive receivers within the visual envelope with regard to views from ground level, sea level and elevated vantage points,
 - Description of the visual compatibility of the Project and associated works within the surrounding, both existing and planned uses, its obstruction and interference with the key views of the adjacent areas, and
 - Description of severity of visual impacts in terms of nature, distance and number of sensitive receivers. The visual impact of the Project and associated works with and without mitigations shall be assessed, and the effectiveness of the mitigation measures shall be demonstrated.

10.5 Review of Planning and Development Control Framework

Review of the Outline Zoning Plans (OZPs)

- 10.5.1 A review of the existing and planned development framework for the proposed works and for the surroundings in Yuen Long has been considered. It aims to identify issues for the neighbouring planned land uses, to identify potential resources and sensitive receivers, and to ensure a high compatibility between the proposed project and the surroundings.
- 10.5.2 The Study Area is largely covered by OZPs. These are the Draft Yuen Long Outline Zoning Plan (No. S/YL/22) and Ping Shan Outline Zoning Plan (No. S/YL-PS/16). Based on desktop study, there will not be any impact on Draft Yuen Long Outline Zoning Plan (No. S/YL/22) and Ping Shan Outline Zoning Plan (No. S/YL-PS/16). The review of OZPs has not only included a review of the plans, but also of the

'Notes' and "Explanatory Statements' which accompany, and form part of, these plans (refer to Drawing 10.1103).

10.5.3 It is considered that the proposed development and associated works are in principle following the planning intentions for the study areas as set out in the OZPs. However, the concept of proposed structures has been considered to a minimum impact. Enhanced connectivity to the public transportation and open space network from On Ning Road to Kau Yuk Road do reinforce the planning intentions of Yuen Long Urban Area.

Tentative Programme

10.5.4 The construction of the elevated corridor and associated works is anticipated to commence in 2018 for completion in Year 2022. It is anticipated that the development will be commissioned in phases. The tentative implementation programme is described in section 3.6, and summarized in Table 3.4 (refer to Chapter 3).

10.6 Baseline Study

- 10.6.1 The proposed footbridge are located at the town centre of Yuen Long, above Yuen Long Town Nullah from West Rail Long Ping Station (WRLPS) crossing over Yuen Long On Ning Road (YLONR), Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Section (CPRYLS) to the south of Kau Yuk Road (KYR) with provision for future extension to Yuen Long South areas.
- 10.6.2 The proposed footbridge aligned along the centre of Yuen Long Main Nullah from the Yuen Long On Ning Road section to the Kau Yuk Road section, while the section between the Long Ping Station to Yuen Long On Ning Road will be aligned to the eastern side of the Nullah (refer to Drawing no. 10.1101-1102 for the footbridge location).
- 10.6.3 The land uses along the proposed footbridge are mainly residential and commercial mix uses, together with certain recreational uses. The dominant landscape element comes from the trees along both side of the nullah from Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road (Yuen Long Section) and Kau Yuk Road and the Po Fai Path, Hi Lee Path, Yuan Fat Path, Cheong Sing Path and Chung Shing Path, as well as the below rest garden and playground:
 - Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden
 - Chung Sing Path Playground
 - Football pitch at the Hi Lee Path

Physical Landscape Resources

- 10.6.4 The baseline landscape resources that will be affected during the Construction Phase and Operation Phase, together with their sensitivity to change, are described in Table 10.2. In general, the landscape resources found within 100m LIA boundary were in high to medium landscape quality, some relatively mature vegetation and existing open space were classified as important resources and high in sensitivity. In addition, due to the local significant of the nullah, the Yuen Long nullah also classified as medium sensitivity although it's lack of vegetation and low landscape quality. All landscape resources identified are:
 - LR1 Trees at both side of existing nullah
 - LR2 Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden
 - LR3 Kik Yeung Road 5-a-side Football Pitch
 - LR4 Chung Sing Path Playground
 - LR5 Hi Lee Path
 - LR6 Yuen Fat Path
 - LR7 Chung Sing Path
 - LR8 Cheong Shing Path
 - LR9 Po Fai Path
 - LR10 Yuen Long Town Nullah
 - LR11 Street and Roadside Trees
 - LR12 Yuen Long Children's Playground
 - LR13 Vegetation growth within rural village
 - LR14 On Hing Playground
 - LR15 Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching Street Children's Playground
 - LR16 Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street
 - LR17 Vegetation Grown within Construction Site

The locations of baseline landscape resources are mapped in Drawing 10.1201. Photo views illustrating the landscape resources within the study area are illustrated in drawing no. 10.1202-1204 inclusive. For ease of reference and co-ordination between text, tables and Drawings, each landscape resource is given an identity number.

Landscape Character Zones

- 10.6.5 Landscape character zones have been identified within the Study Area in accordance with the Study on Landscape Value Mapping of Hong Kong. These are described in Table 10.2 and illustrated in drawing no. 10.1301. Photo views illustrating the landscape character areas within the study area are illustrated in drawing no. 10.1302. All landscape character areas are identified as below:
 - LCA1 Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area

- LCA2 Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area
- LCA3 Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail Long Ping Station
- LCA4 Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape
- LCA5 Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape
- LCA6 Residential Urban Landscape
- LCA7 Major Transportation Corridor Landscape
- 10.6.6 Three main landscape character zones have been identified within the 100m Study Area. These are described in Table 10.2 and illustrated in Drawing 10.1301.

Landscape Sensitivity to Change

10.6.7 The landscape resources and landscape character zones that will be potentially affected during the construction phase and operation phase, together with their sensitivity to change, are listed in Table 10.2.

Table 10.2Baseline Landscape Resources (LRs) and Landscape Character Areas (LCAs) andits Sensitivity to Change

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource/ Landscape Character Areas |
|---------|--|
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah More than 80 nos. of existing trees line the two sides of Yuen Long Town Nullah within the 100n LIA study area. Trees are particularly lush along Hi Lee Path, Sau Fu Street, Yuen Fat Path and Chung Sing Path. Dominant species include <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> , <i>Bauhinia</i> x <i>blakeana</i> and <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> . Those trees planted along the path are well maintained and generally in good to fair condition. However, some trees are found in poor health and form which grown on the nullah wall. The sizes are varies from 4m to 16m height. They soften the monotonous look of the nullah and provide valuable greening to the urbanized and busy Yuen Long town centre. |
| | All tree species are commonly found in Hong Kong, their form and health are varies in different location, therefore, its landscape quality and maturity are generally considered as medium rating, its overall sensitivity is medium. |

| Id No | Landscape Resource/ |
|----------|---|
| IU. 140. | Landscape Character Areas |
| LR2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden |
| | The Rest Garden (~0.2ha) is a passive recreational space containing a sitting out area location adjacent to the open car park are in Fung Lok Lane. |
| | There are approximately 70 nos. of semi-mature trees planted mainly at the periphery of the rest garden. Trees are well maintained, sizes are varies from 2m to approx. 10m height. Major tree species include <i>Ficus benjamina, Schefflera actinophylla, Aleurites moluccana,</i> and <i>Callistemon viminalis,</i> and include 5 nos. of the rare and precious tree species <i>Ailanthus fordii.</i> The trees are an important element in diffusing the proposed elevated flyover and footbridge from the passive amenity space within the garden, which will not be directly affected by the works. |
| | The form of the vegetation are generally good with proper maintenance, besides that some mature trees are found in this LR. Those seating benches, rain-shelters, and pavilions can provide leisure sitting-out function for the local residents. Due considering its important function for the local residents and the landscape quality of this LR, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR3 | Kik Yeung Road 5-a-side Football Pitch |
| | The public football ground (~0.2ha) is actively use by locals for physical activities with a small seating area adjacent to Hi Lee Path adjacent to the existing nullah. It is well maintained and provides both active and passive open space adjacent to the transportation node in Yuen Long (West) Bus Terminus in On Tat Square. |
| | There are approximately 30 nos. of trees surrounding the football pitch which mainly comprise of <i>Bauhinia</i> x <i>blakeana</i> , <i>Livistona chinensis</i> and <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> . They are well maintained and sizes are generally 6m to 8m in height. One particular <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> at the Kik Yeung Road side is especially large-sized and well-formed. |
| | It is an active ball court and its utilization rate is high for local residents, the ball court coating and its associate's facilities are well maintained. Due considering its important function for the local residents, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR4 | Chung Sing Path Playground |
| | The basketball court (~0.22ha) adjacent to Chung Shing Path next to CCC Chun Kwong Primary School with 2 basketball court. The west boundary of the court is a sitting out area. |
| | There are approximately 34 nos. of trees surrounding this playground, comprising roughly the same quantities of <i>Aleurites moluccana</i> , <i>Crateva unilocularis</i> , <i>Bauhinia</i> x <i>blakeana</i> , <i>Reevesia thyrsoidea</i> and a few <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> . Trees are generally in medium size varies from 8m to 10m in height. |
| | This playground included two active basketball court and its utilization rate is high for local residents. Its ball court facilities are well maintained by relevant department and up to standard (e.g. ball court coating, safety matt). The trees and shrubs planting are in common species, it can provide shading and leisure sitting out function for the passive area. Due considering its important function for the local residents, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |

| Id No | Landscape Resource/ |
|-----------------|--|
| Iu. 110. | Landscape Character Areas |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path |
| | The western boundary of the nullah and proposed works area on Hi Lee Path (~160m) is a green corridor through Castle Peak Road to On Ning Road. |
| | Trees are planted in tree grilles at-grade tree pits and in raised planters with shrubs and ground cover. A leisure landscape feature in pergola and seating along with well-maintained trees species predominantly of <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> and <i>Bauhinia</i> x <i>blakeana provide</i> providing an important visual relief to the place. There are approximately 22 nos. of trees along the nullah at Hi Lee Path. Small size trees (approx.4m height) are planted along the planter box aligning with the nullah wall, some relatively mature size trees (approx.8m to 10m height) are planted within tree pits. The trees are an important visual asset for Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) in surrounding buildings and for pedestrians. |
| | The vegetation found within this LR are in common species, and its landscape quality is considered as medium. The leisure walking path include sitting benches and trellis which can still provide resting function for local visitors. Due considering its landscape quality and maturity are general in medium rating, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path |
| | Yuen Fat Path and the north-south running section of Sau Fu Street (~160m) located on the eastern boundary of the nullah and parallel to Hi Lee Path. |
| | There are approximately 20 nos. of trees along this section, with small sized (approx.4m height) <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> on Sau Fu Street and a large group of mature <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> (approx.8m to 10m height) on Yuen Fat Path. |
| | These dense tree planting is an effective buffer along the pathway and the busy Castle Peak Road (Yuen Long section). |
| | Some mature <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> is found within this LR and acting as an important shading trees for the local residents. Although its quality of landscape is medium in rating, due considering its maturity and important function provided, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path |
| | This path is a major pedestrian movement (~150m) along the nullah from North of Castle Peak Road to South of Kau Yuk Road; within this context there is CCC Chun Kwong Primary School, basketball court and playground. |
| | There are approximately 16 nos. of trees (with approx. 8m to 10m height) planted on hard paved tree pits on the edge of the nullah, comprising mainly of <i>Aleurites moluccana</i> , <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> , <i>Melia azedarach</i> and <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> . The <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> located between CCC Chun Kwong Primary School and Chung Sing Path Playground is especially large-sized and well-formed. These trees provided an important greening element for the space. |
| | It is an attractive pathway where trees are generally in good health and landscape quality. The trees are also provide an important shading function for the school students adjacent. Therefore, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |

| Id No | Landscape Resource/ |
|----------|--|
| IU. 190. | Landscape Character Areas |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path |
| | Cheong Shing Path (~150m) is opposite to Chung Sing Path in western side. The Rest Garden is enclosed on this walkway. Raised planter area and pergola with seating streetscape is well disturbance align with amenity trees and shrubs. This landscape quality is as peaceful amenity retreat for communities and pedestrians. |
| | There are approximately 5 nos. of trees located in the space, comprising <i>Acacia confusa, Celtis sinensis</i> and <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> . Trees are relatively young in 4m to 6m height. |
| | Due considering its landscape quality are general in medium rating, and the trees are found in relatively young and common species, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| LR9 | Po Fai Path |
| | This Path (~120m) is a main passage towards public transport node of West Rail Long Ping Station and adjacent Wang Lok Street transit area. |
| | This LR is mainly a pedestrian access with relatively low landscape quality. |
| | There are approximately 8 nos. of trees located in the space, comprising of 7 nos. of small sized (4m to 5m height) <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> on raised planters along the PTI, and a mix of fruit trees e.g. <i>Clausena lansium, Mangifera indica</i> and <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> in roadside tree pits near Yuen Long On Ning Road. |
| | Although the landscape quality of this LR is low, it was still an important leisure pedestrian walkway for the residents and visitors, therefore it overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| | Po Fai Path have similar function as LR8, trees are found in common species and relatively young. Due considering its maturity are general in medium rating, and its vegetation are in relatively poor form and health, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah |
| | A channelised, hard paved drainage (~32m width) from north to south across Yuen Long town centre, and it is a designated view corridor and pedestrian network alongside with recreational, commercials and institutional facilities through On Ning Road to Kau Yuk Road; withhold a strong local identity in historical and cultural significances. |
| | Although it is lack of vegetation found within this LR and its concrete treatment was in poor appearance, due considering its significant character and importance to the Yuen Long district, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |

| Id No | Landscape Resource/ |
|----------|--|
| Iu. 110. | Landscape Character Areas |
| LR11 | Street and Roadside Trees |
| | This LR comprises of street trees within the study area that are not covered by other LRs. |
| | Due to the congested urban space, trees within Yuen Long town centre are mainly found along the nullah, in/around rest gardens and playgrounds, while other street trees within the study area are mainly located in those paths with only pedestrian access. |
| | These trees are found e.g. next to MTR Long Ping Station, in-between Yuen Long Plaza and Kik Yeung Road PTI, along Sau Fu Street, and on Tai Pei Tau Path. Trees are generally in narrow form and mostly over 10m in height. |
| | Trees comprise mainly of common amenity species such as <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> , <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> , <i>Bombax ceiba</i> , <i>Celtis sinensis</i> and <i>Melaleuca cajuputi</i> subsp. <i>cumingiana</i> , and generally of good to fair landscape quality. |
| | No trees in this LR will be affected by the projects. The vegetation found in this LR are common species in Hong Kong, it mainly provide green screening effect for the road. Its landscape quality and maturity are general in medium rating, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| LR12 | Yuen Long Children's Playground |
| | This playground (approx. 2913 sq.m.) is located in Yuen Long Hong Lok Road. |
| | There are not many trees within this large playground, nevertheless all the dominant trees are of very large size and high landscape quality (over 20m height). |
| | There are 9 nos. of such large trees which include <i>Bombax ceiba</i> , <i>Aleurites moluccana</i> , <i>Ficus virens</i> and <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> . Other trees of lesser dominance include <i>Juniperus chinensis</i> 'Kaizuca' and <i>Murraya paniculata</i> . |
| | There are total approximately 20 nos. of trees surrounding this open space. This playground included two basketball court and children play facilities, which act as important function for the local residents, and those facilities are well maintained by relevant department. Due considering its important function for the local residents, and the existing trees can also provide shading for the local people, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR13 | Vegetation growth within rural village |
| | This LR represent the vegetation within the Tai Kiu Tsuen adjacent to the Tai Kiu Road. They are mainly <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> , <i>Ficus virens</i> and a mix of fruit trees e.g. <i>Clausena</i> <i>lansium</i> , <i>Mangifera indica</i> and <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> in the periphery of the village. Trees are found in mature size (generally over 10m) with high landscape quality and shading function. |
| | Although trees species are common in Hong Kong, it grown in mature form and provide important shading function for Tai Kiu Village. Due considering its maturity and quality of trees are high, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource/ Landscape Character Areas |
|---------|---|
| | |
| LR14 | On Hing Playground |
| | This playground (approx. 5630 sq.m.) is located in the On Leung Lane. |
| | There are approximately 15 trees within this playground, mainly planted within the sitting- out area along the northern side of the football pitch. Major tree species include <i>Bauhinia x</i> <i>blakeana and Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> . |
| | The southwest corner of the playground is dominated by a large sized (over 10m in height) <i>Celtis sinensis</i> in good condition. All trees are well maintained and generally of high to fair landscape quality. There is also a dense row of <i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> in tree pits at the south outside the playground. The sitting-out and play facilities provided an important leisure open space for the public. |
| | This is the only large soccer patch in the local area, therefore its utilization rate is high. The adjacent amenity trees are also high in landscape value. Due considering its important function for the local residents, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR15 | Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching street Children's Playground |
| | The Sai Ching Street Children's Playground and Sai Ching Street Tennis Court (approx. 4772 sq.m.) is located in the Sai Ching Street, in which part of it is within the landscape assessment area. The sitting-out and play facilities provided an important leisure open space for the public. |
| | There are approximately 50 nos. of trees located within the Sai Ching Street Children's Playground, comprising mainly of <i>Livistona chinensis, Araucaria heterophylla, Bauhinia x blakeana</i> and <i>Phoenix roebelenii</i> . |
| | In addition, the playground's entrance at Sai Ching Street is adorned by two fine specimens of <i>Phoenix sylvestris</i> . Trees within the playground are generally well maintained and of high to fair landscape quality. |
| | For the Sai Ching Street Tennis Court, there are approximately 20 nos. of trees located in the courtyard and the periphery of the tennis fields, and comprise mainly of mature (approx. 8m to 10m in height) <i>Aleurites moluccana</i> and <i>Araucaria heterophylla</i> . These trees are well maintained and of high to fair landscape quality. |
| | Although its planting is common and not mature, the amenity and form are considered as good. Hard landscape features like paving, pavilion are well maintained. Due considering its important function for the local residents, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource/ Landscape Character Areas |
|---------|--|
| LR16 | Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street |
| | This is an amenity area with sitting-out facilities. It acting as a sitting out area for the adjacent residents. Flowering trees and shrubs were well maintained by relevant government. |
| | There are approximately 10 nos. of trees located within this area. Dominate tree species included <i>Delonix regia</i> , <i>Roystonea regia</i> , and Melaleuca cajuputi subsp. <i>cumingiana</i> . Trees are generally young with size between 4 to 6m height. Shrubs species such as <i>Cordyline fruticosa</i> , <i>Alpinia speciosa</i> , and <i>Loropetalum chinense</i> f. <i>rubrum</i> were found. |
| | By considering its landscape quality of vegetation are high and well maintenance, and it is a rare area found with high amenity vegetation value, its overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| LR17 | Vegetation Grown within Construction Site |
| | Some existing trees are found along the edge of the construction site. They are over 10m in height. However, those trees are currently in poor form and landscape quality. The limited planting area and adjacent construction activities were also affecting the vegetation's health. The sensitivity of this LR is low due to its poor form and health of vegetation growth. |
| LCA1 | Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area |
| | This area covers the core of Yuen Long town typically comprises a small area of narrow streets on orthogonal grid with medium rise older building stock. |
| | Vegetation is very limited to occasional street tree planting or amenity planting in sitting- out areas. However, the formation of the building character is consider significance to the Yuen Long district and medium in importance. Therefore, the overall sensitivity shall be medium |
| | Landscape resources included in this LCA: LR11, LR15. |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area |
| | This area is occupied primarily medium rise older building block with mixture use at ground level and residential properties above. Drainage Channel is hard paved alongside with line of trees provided shady resting area on both side of narrow pathway created an intimate scale amenity area. |
| | Collective pedestrian movement and commercial activities adjacent with small recreational ground created provided both active and passive vibrant character to the area. |
| | Similar as LR10, although it is lack of vegetation found within this area and its concrete treatment was in poor appearance, due considering its significant character and importance to the Yuen Long district, its overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| | Landscape resources included in this LCA: LR1, LR5, LR6, LR7, LR8, LR9, LR10. |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource/ Landscape Character Areas |
|---------|--|
| LCA3 | Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail – Long Ping Station |
| | This LCA refers to the Yuen Long infrastructure network of West Rail – Long Ping Station. |
| | There is no vegetation growth and its appearance is general poor. There is no landscape value in this LCA. Its overall sensitivity is low. |
| LCA4 | Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape |
| | This area comprised with institution, leisure, and industrial settlement, they were generally distributed all around the Yuen Long district in organic form. |
| | This LCA have similar character with LCA1, vegetation growth was limited due the site constraint. However, there are still some landscape resources found within this LCA. The overall sensitivity is considered as medium. |
| | Landscape resources included in this LCA: LR2, LR3, LR4, LR11, LR12, LR14, LR16, LR17. |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape |
| | This landscape character area covers approximately 2 ha, which has its own history around several hundred years. The landscape setting was distinguish from the surrounding Yuen Long Urban town. Two to three storey of rural housing were scattered within that area with several mature size trees. |
| | Trees are found in mature size within this area, and the landscape character is distinguish in Yuen Long district. By considering on its high significant and maturity of the landscape. The overall sensitivity is considered as high. |
| | Landscape resources included in this LCA: LR13 |
| LCA6 | Residential Urban Landscape |
| | Several high-rise residential buildings were found. They were relatively newer and under proper maintenance comparing with its surrounding low-rise settlement. However, vegetation is still limited by the overall congesting urban form. No mature vegetation is found. Therefore, its overall sensitivity is considered as low. |
| LCA7 | Major Transportation Corridor Landscape |
| | This landscape character area represent the major traffic road included Castle Peak Road, On Ling Road, and Kau Yuk Road. Those road and the existing nullah were bisecting the site area into several groups of building. The road was under heavy traffic, and lack of vegetation growth. The landscape quality and maturity shall be low. Therefore the overall sensitivity of this LCA is considered as low. |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | Quality (High/Medium/Low) | Importance and Rarity (High/Medium /Low) | Ability to accommodate change (High/Medium/ Low) | Importance of landscape resources in local and regional context (Local/Regional/ National/Global) | Maturity (High/Medium/ Low) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|---------|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Landsca | pe Resources (LRs) | | | | | | |
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LR2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | Medium | High | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LR3 | Kik Yeung Road 5-a-side Football Pitch | Medium | High | Medium | Local | Medium | High |
| LR4 | Chung Sing Path Playground | Medium | High | Medium | Local | Medium | High |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path | High | Medium | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | Low | Medium |
| LR9 | Po Fai Path | Low | High | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah | Low | High | High | Local | Low | Medium |
| LR11 | Street and Roadside Trees | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LR12 | Yuen Long Children's Playground | High | High | Medium | Local | Medium | High |

Table 10.2b Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas and their sensitivity to change

| Id. No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | Quality (High/Medium/Low) | Importance and Rarity (High/Medium /Low) | Ability to accommodate change (High/Medium/ Low) | Importance of landscape resources in local and regional context (Local/Regional/ National/Global) | Maturity (High/Medium/ Low) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|---------|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| LR13 | Vegetation growth within rural village | High | High | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LR14 | On Hing Playground | High | High | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LR15 | Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching street Children's Playground | High | High | Medium | Local | Medium | High |
| LR16 | Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street | High | High | Medium | Local | Medium | High |
| LR17 | Vegetation Grown within Construction Site | Low | Low | High | Local | Medium | Low |
| Landsca | pe Character Areas (LCAs) | | | | | 1 | |
| LCA1 | Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area | Low | Medium | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area | Low | High | High | Local | Low | Medium |
| LCA3 | Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail – Long Ping Station | Low | Medium | Medium | Local | Low | Low |
| LCA4 | Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape | Medium | Medium | Medium | Local | Medium | Medium |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape | High | High | Medium | Local | High | High |
| LCA6 | Residential Urban Landscape | Low | Medium | Medium | Local | Low | Low |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | Quality (High/Medium/Low) | Importance and Rarity (High/Medium /Low) | Ability to accommodate change (High/Medium/ Low) | Importance of landscape resources in local and regional context (Local/Regional/ National/Global) | Maturity (High/Medium/ Low) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|---------|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| LCA7 | Major Transportation Corridor Landscape | Low | Medium | Medium | Local | Low | Low |

Tree Survey

- 10.6.8 The tree survey was conducted in May 2015 to assess all existing trees within the project works limit. A total of 125 nos. surveyed trees within the works limit belonging to 26 species were recorded in this tree survey, and is summarized in Table 10.3. A tree survey within the project works limit has been undertaken in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 07/2015 Tree Preservation, and the tree survey and recommendation plan is under **Appendix 10.1**.
- 10.6.10 There is no Registered Old and Valuable Tree (OVT), "Important Tree", stonewall tree, within the landscape impact study boundary.
- 10.6.11 However, there are 5 no. of *Ailanthus fordii* trees located in the LR2, which are Rare and precious tree species included in "Rare and Precious Plants of Hong Kong" (AFCD, 2003) or "Forest and Countryside Ordinance" (Cap. 96). Those *Ailanthus fordii* are located outside the project works limit, but within the 100m landscape study area.

| Scientific Name | Chinese Name | Quantity |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Bauhinia variegata | 宮粉羊蹄甲 | 8 |
| Acacia confusa | 台灣相思 | 2 |
| Aleurites moluccana | 石栗 | 2 |
| Bauhinia x blakeana | 洋紫荊 | 18 |
| Bischofia javanica | 秋楓 | 1 |
| Callistemon viminalis | 串錢柳 | 6 |
| Celtis sinensis | 朴樹 | 5 |
| Clausena lansium | 黄皮 | 3 |
| Delonix regia | 鳳凰木 | 1 |
| Dimocarpus longan | 龍眼 | 3 |
| Ficus benjamina | 重榕 | 1 |
| Ficus microcarpa | 細葉榕 | 9 |
| Ilex rotunda var. microcarpa | 小果鐵冬青 | 1 |
| Lagerstroemia speciosa | 大花紫薇 | 29 |
| Macaranga tanarius var. tomentosa | 血桐 | 11 |
| Mangifera indica | 杧果 | 2 |
| Melaleuca cajuputi subsp. cumingiana | 白千層 | 5 |
| Melia azedarach | 楝 | 4 |
| Michelia x alba | 白蘭 | 1 |
| Spathodea campanulata | 火焰木 | 4 |
| Sterculia lanceolata | 假蘋婆 | 2 |
| Bombax ceiba | 木棉 | 1 |
| Casuarina equisetifolia | 木麻黃 | 1 |

Table 10.3 Surveyed Trees Species and Quantity within works limit

| Scientific Name | Chinese Name | Quantity |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Eucalyptus citriodora | 檸檬桉 | 1 |
| Ficus virens | 黃葛樹 | 2 |
| Pterocarpus indicus | 紫檀 | 2 |
| Total Quantity of Surveyed Trees | | 125 |

Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI)

10.6.9 The ZVI for the Project during the construction phase are illustrated in Drawing no. 10.1401. Photo views illustrating the Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) within the ZVI are illustrated in Drawing 10.1402-1407. Visual Envelope of the project is bounded by the buildings along both side of the existing nullah; the industrial buildings and the Long Ping Station to the north; and the Ma Tong Road to the south. The ZVI adopts a cut-off at the Ma Tong Road as the only potential VSRs beyond this would be traveller along Kung Um Road, Tai Shu Ha Road East and Shap Pat Heung Road from which visual impacts would be negligible due to their distant location (refer to drawing no. 10.1401).

Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs)

- 10.6.10 Table 10.4 lists the key VSRs found within the ZVIs, and are mapped in Drawing no. 10.1401. Photo views illustrating the VSRs within the study area are shown in Drawing no. 10.1402-1407. For ease of reference, each VSR is given an identity number, which is used in all relevant tables and Drawings in this report.
- 10.6.11 There are no vantage points identified in the Urban Design Guidelines under Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines.
- 10.6.12 VSR are divided into 6 types: Comprehensive Development, Commercial and Residential, Residential, Open Space, Government, Institution or Community, Recreational and Transportation related. The type of VSRs is classified according to whether the person is at home, at work, at play, or travelling. Those who view the impact from their homes are considered to be highly sensitive as the attractiveness or otherwise of the outlook from their home will have a substantial effect on their perception of the quality and acceptability of their home environment and their general quality of life. Those who view the impact from their workplace are considered to be only moderately sensitive as the attractiveness or otherwise of the outlook will have a less important, although still material, effect on their perception of their quality of life. The degree to which this applies depends on whether the workplace is industrial, retail or commercial. Those who view the impact whilst taking part in an outdoor leisure activity may display varying sensitivity depending on the type of leisure activity. Those who view the impact whilst travelling on a public thoroughfare will generally have low sensitivity.

10.6.13 The sensitivity of the VSRs shall also be determined by numbers of the individuals within the VSR category, the quality of existing views, availability of alternative views, amenity of alternative views, degree of visibility, duration of view and frequency of view.

Visual Resources

- 10.6.14 Yuen Long Nullah locats at the centre of Yuen Long Town, connecting the urbanized town at the north and the natural landscape at the south. Development along the side of the nullah are mainly open space, GIC facilities, low-rise commercial/residential and some medium rise residential developments. Surrounded by this highly urbanized townscape, Yuen Long Nullah becomes a major visual relief to the town.
- 10.6.15 As most of the views at the street level to the east and the west along the nullah are being blocked by the residential buildings, Yuen Long Nullah forms a visual corridor for leisure and recreational users along the nullah. It is an important visual resource of Yuen Long Town.
- 10.6.16 Currently, the hard surface and channelization of the nullah, the incoherent buildings along both side of the nullah and the lacked of maintenance and disorder of the paving pattern have inevitably downgraded the visual quality of the visual corridor.
- 10.6.17 However, there are several amenity planting along both side of the nullah, which forms a green corridor for the visual relief. Also, beautification works of the nullah will be held in the future under another separate project, it is foreseeable that upon beautification, visual quality of Yuen Long Nullah will be further enhanced and public open space along the nullah will become more important leisure space to the local community.

| Table 10.4 Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) and Their Sensitivity to Cha |
|---|
|---|

| Id. No. | Key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) | Type of VSRs | Number of Individuals (Many/ Medium/ Few/ Very Few) | Quality of Existing View (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Quality of Existing View – with Planned Nullah beautification (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Availability of Alternative Views (Yes/ No) | Degree of Visibility (Full/ Partial/ Glimpse) | Frequency of View (Very Frequent/ Frequent/ Occasional/ Rare) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|----------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Comprel | hensive Development Area | | | | | | | | |
| CDA1 | Future Tai Kiu Property Development | Commercials / Residential | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| CDA2 | Future Long Ping South Lot. 512 Development | Commercials / Residential | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| CDA3 | Future Kwong Yip Street Development (The Spectra) | Commercials / Residential | Many | Poor | Fair | Yes | Glimpse | Occasional | Medium |
| Resident | tial Development | | | | | | | | |
| R1 | Yen Tsui Gardens, Po Fai Building, Man Yip Building, Shung Tak Building & Fuk Yip Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Poor | Fair | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| R2 | Yuen Tung Building, Hong Shing Building, Fung Yue Building, Kinston Court, Fuk Chiu House, Wing Tai Building, Chi King House | Commercial | Many | Poor | Fair | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| R3 | Kei Yip Building, On Ning Building, King Wah Building and Yuen Cheong House | Residential/ Commercial | Medium | Fair | Good | Yes | Partial | Frequent | High |

| Id. No. | Key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) | Type of VSRs | Number of Individuals (Many/ Medium/ Few/ Very Few) | Quality of Existing View (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Quality of Existing View – with Planned Nullah beautification (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Availability of Alternative Views (Yes/ No) | Degree of Visibility (Full/ Partial/ Glimpse) | Frequency of View (Very Frequent/ Frequent/ Occasional/ Rare) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|---------|---|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| R4 | Yee Fung Garden | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| R5 | Wah Kin Building, Chuk Bun Building & Ho Wang Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| R6 | Ho Shing Building, Kam On Building, Kam Hei House, Happy House, Nan Tin Mansion & Kam Fai House | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Good | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| R7 | Siu Fung Building, Shun Fat House, Lee Fat Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Poor | Fair | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| R8 | Ho Shin Fuk Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Good | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| R9 | Tai Kiu Village | Residential/ Commercial | Few | Fair | Good | No | Full | Very Frequent | Medium |
| R10 | Future High-rise Residential Building (Yuccie Square) | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| R11 | Fook On Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Poor | Fair | No | Full | Very Frequent | High |
| Commer | cial and Residential Develop | ment | | | | | | | |
| CR1 | Campbell Building, Man Cheong Building and Kan Yip Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| CR2 | Yuen Long Plaza | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Good | Good | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |

| Id. No. | Key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) | Type of VSRs | Number of Individuals (Many/ Medium/ Few/ Very Few) | Quality of Existing View (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Quality of Existing View – with Planned Nullah beautification (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Availability of Alternative Views (Yes/ No) | Degree of Visibility (Full/ Partial/ Glimpse) | Frequency of View (Very Frequent/ Frequent/ Occasional/ Rare) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|----------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| CR3 | Fuk Sing Building, Fu Hing Building, Wah Cheung Mansion and Wah Shing Mansion, Yuen Long Mansion & Tung Fook Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | High |
| CR4 | Healey Building, Kin Shing Building and Yuen Fat Building | Residential/ Commercial | Many | Poor | Fair | Yes | Full | Frequent | High |
| Open Sp | Open Space Development | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | Football Pitch at Hi Lee Path | Recreational | Medium | Good | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | Medium |
| O2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | Recreational | Medium | Good | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | High |
| 03 | Basketball Court at Chung Sing Path | Recreational | Medium | Good | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | Medium |
| Governm | nent, Institution or Communi | ty Development Ar | ea | | | | | | |
| GIC1 | Kik Yeung Road Bus Terminus | Occupational/ Transportation | Few | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Low |
| GIC2 | Fung Lok Lane Car park and Maxwell House | Commercial, Residential | Few | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| GIC3 | CCC Chun Kwong Primary School | Institutional | Medium | Fair | Good | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Medium |
| GIC4 | Caritas Yuen Long Chan Chun Ha Prevocational School | Institutional | Medium | Fair | Good | Yes | Partial | Occasional | Low |
| Recreati | onal | | | • | • | | | • | - |

| Id. No. | Key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) | Type of VSRs | Number of Individuals (Many/ Medium/ Few/ Very Few) | Quality of Existing View (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Quality of Existing View – with Planned Nullah beautification (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Availability of Alternative Views (Yes/ No) | Degree of Visibility (Full/ Partial/ Glimpse) | Frequency of View (Very Frequent/ Frequent/ Occasional/ Rare) | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) |
|----------|--|----------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| REC1 | Travellers along Yuen Long Town Nullah | Recreational | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | High |
| REC2 | Travellers along the crossing of Yuen Long Nullah and major road | Recreational | Many | Good | Good | Yes | Full | Occasional | High |
| Transpor | rtation Development | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | West Rail Long Ping Station | Transportation | Many | Fair | Good | Yes | Full | Frequent | High |
| T2 | On Ning Road | Transportation | Many | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Rare | Low |
| Т3 | Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long | Transportation | Many | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Rare | Low |
| T4 | Kau Yuk Road | Transportation | Many | Fair | Fair | Yes | Partial | Rare | Low |

* C = commercial, CDA = comprehensive development area, C/R = commercial / residential, GIC = government/institution/community, I = industrial, O = open space, R = residential, REC = Recreational related, T = transportation related.

* VSR type & ID CDA1, CDA2, CDA3, R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, CR1, CR2, CR3, CR4, O1, O2,O3, GIC1, GIC2, GIC3, GIC4, REC1, T1, T2, T3, and T4 do not represent for the landuse zone.

* VSRs (CDA1, CDA2, and CDA3) in developments planned to be completed before operation of footbridge.

10.7 Landscape Impact Assessment

Sources of Landscape Impacts

- 10.7.1 The nature and scope of works are described in chapter 2. Sources of impacts of the proposed works during the construction phase are described below while the impacts of these potential sources on each LRs and LCAs are provided in Table 10.5.
- 10.7.2 Sources of impacts in the construction phase would include:
 - construction of a covered footbridge of about 540m in length and 6m clear width with staircases / lifts / escalators along Yuen Long Town Nullah from West Rail Long Ping Station to the south of the Kau Yuk Road;
 - piers of footbridge
 - pedestrian interchange linking to the footbridge located in Yuen Long On Ling Road, Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long Section and Kau Yuk Road;
 - Associated civil, structural, marine, geotechnical, landscape, lighting (including road lighting and footbridge lighting), traffic control and surveillance system, signing, traffic aids, electrical & mechanical, and environmental protection and mitigation works, and other related works;
 - construction of landscape area,
 - construction traffic,
 - the laying down of utilities, including water, drainage and power,
 - temporary site access areas, site cabins and heavy machinery,
 - dust during dry weather.
- 10.7.3 The sources of impacts of the project at the operational stage would be:
 - footbridge (about 540m)
 - pedestrian interchange linking to the footbridge located in Yuen Long On Ling Road, Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long Section and Kau Yuk Road;
 - piers of footbridge
 - extensive landscaped spaces.

Degree of compatibility of the Project and associated Works

10.7.4 The proposed footbridge is built above nullah area of Yuen Long Town Centre in accordance with the planned landscape framework. The enhanced connectivity will bring improvement to existing pedestrian circulation district-wise. Improvement of Yuen Long Nullah will be held in the future, the works will beautify the existing concrete appearance nullah into environmental pleasant river. It is considered that the provision of amenity planting strip will upgrade the existing landscape setting

and is highly compatible to the planned landscape framework (refer to Figure 10.1801-10.1806 for the streetscape improvement).

- 10.7.5 As a whole, the proposed project and associated works will not create substantial landscape changes to the existing urban environment and is considered as compatible to the existing and proposed landscape settings.
- 10.7.6 The structure of the elevated walkway and its associated columns will be minimized as far as practicable, to avoid substantial impact on the future Yuen Long beautification project. The design concept of the walkway will be coherent with the future nullah design.

Nature and Magnitude of Impacts of Unmitigated Landscape Impact in Construction Phase

10.7.7 The magnitude of the impacts, before implementation of mitigation measures, on the landscape resources and character areas that would occur in the construction phase are described and tabulated in Table 10.5. All impacts are adverse unless otherwise stated.

| Id No. | Landscape Resources/ Landscape Character Areas | Source of Impact | Description of Impacts | Extent of Impact |
|---------|---|---|--|---------------------|
| Landsca | pe Resources | | | |
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah | • Footbridge and the supporting piers. | • Approx. 18 nos. of tree will be potentially affected (included 1 no. will be transplanted) by permanently works. | Partial |
| LR2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR3 | Kik Yeung Road 5- a-side Football Pitch | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR4 | Chung Sing Path Playground | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path | Footbridge and the supporting piers. Interchange platform. | Approx. 7 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works. Approx. three pavilions and two benches are required to be demolished. Small portion of planter box and paving will be affected. | Small |

Table 10.5 Significant Landscape Impacts of the Proposed Works during Construction Phase

| Id No. | Landscape Resources/ | Source of Impact | Description of Impacts | Extent of Impact |
|--------|------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| | Landscape Character Areas | | | |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path | Footbridge and the supporting piers. Interchange platform. | Approx. 6 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works. One pavilion is required to be demolished. Small portion of planter box and paving will be affected. | Small |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path | Footbridge and the supporting piers.Interchange platform. | Approx. 5 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works. Approx. three pavilions and two benches are required to be demolished. Small portion of planter box and paving will be affected. | Small |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path | Footbridge and the supporting piers.Interchange platform. | Approx. 1 no. of tree will be affected by permanently works. Small portion of paving block is required to be demolished. Approx. three benches are required to be demolished. Small portion of planter box and paving will be affected. | Small |
| LR9 | Po Fai Path | Footbridge and the supporting piers.Interchange platform. | Approx. 1 no. of tree will be affected by permanently works. Three benches are required to be demolished. Small portion of planter box and paving will be affected. | Small |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah | Supporting piers. Interchange platform and its associates box culvert. | • Approx. (32.75%) 6272 sq.m. out of 19,140 sq.m. nullah area will be lost due to the construction of piers for the footbridge. | Partial |

| Id No. | Landscape | Source of Impact | Description of Impacts | Extent of |
|----------|--|---|--|-----------|
| | Resources/ Landscape | | | Impact |
| | Character Areas | | | |
| LR11 | Street and Roadside Trees | • Footbridge and the supporting piers | • Nil | Nil |
| LR12 | Yuen Long Children's Playground | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR13 | Vegetation growth within rural village | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR14 | On Hing Playground | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR15 | Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching street Children's Playground | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR16 | Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LR17 | Vegetation Grown within Construction Site | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| Landscap | be Character Areas | | | |
| LCA1 | Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area | Footbridge and the supporting piers.Interchange platform. | • Some leisure facilities will be demolished due to the construction works of the interchange platform. | Small |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area | Footbridge and the supporting piers. Interchange platform. | Approx. 37 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works (included 1 no. of tree to be transplanted). Elevated walkway and interchange platform will partially cover the nullah at some location. Approx. (32.75%) 6272 sq.m. out of 19,140 sq.m. nullah area will be lost due to the construction of piers for the footbridge. | Partial |
| LCA3 | Yuen Long Infrastructure | • None | • Nil | Nil |

| Id No. | Landscape Resources/ Landscape Character Areas | Source of Impact | Description of Impacts | Extent of Impact |
|--------|---|--|--|---------------------|
| | Network West Rail – Long Ping Station | | | |
| LCA4 | Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape | • Footbridge and the supporting piers. | • 1 no. of tree will be affected by the elevated walkway. | Small |
| LCA6 | Residential Urban Landscape | • None | • Nil | Nil |
| LCA7 | Major Transportation Corridor Landscape | • Footbridge and the supporting piers. | • The proposed footbridge will cross cover the transportation corridor. | Small |

Nature and Magnitude of Impacts of Unmitigated Landscape Impact in Operation Phase

10.7.8 The magnitude of the impacts, before implementation of mitigation measures, on the landscape resources and character areas that will occur in the operation phase are the same as the permanent and irreversible impacts described in the construction phase.

| ID No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | Compatib the surro (Good/Fair | Compatibility with the surrounding Good/Fair/Poor/Nil) | | Duration of Impact (Long/Medium/Short/ (1) Nil) | | Extent of Impact (Full/Partial/Small/Nil) | | bility of nge No/Nil) | Magnitude of Impact (Large/Intermediate/Small/ Negligible/Nil) | |
|---------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------|--|---------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| Landsca | ape Resources (LRs) | | - | | | | | | - | | |
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Partial | Partial | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| LR2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR3 | Kik Yeung Road 5-a- side Football Pitch | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR4 | Chung Sing Path Playground | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LR9 | Po Fai Path | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Partial | Partial | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| LR11 | Street and Roadside Trees | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR12 | Yuen Long Children's Playground | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR13 | Vegetation growth within rural village | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |

Table 10.5a Magnitude of Impact of Landscape Resources and Landscape Character Areas

| ID No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | / Compatibility with the surrounding (Good/Fair/Poor/Nil) Const. Oper. | | Duration (Long/Med N | of Impact lium/Short/ il) | Extent of Impact (Full/Partial/Small/Nil) | | Reversi cha (Yes/N | bility of nge No/Nil) | Magnitude of Impact (Large/Intermediate/Small/ Negligible/Nil) | | |
|---------|---|--|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | |
| LR14 | On Hing Playground | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| LR15 | Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching street Children's Playground | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| LR16 | Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| LR17 | Vegetation Grown within Construction Site | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| Landsca | ape Character Areas (LCA | As) | _ | | | | _ | | - | | | |
| LCA1 | Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area | Fair | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small | |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area | Fair | Fair | Short | Long | Partial | Partial | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate | |
| LCA3 | Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail – Long Ping Station | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| LCA4 | Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | |

| ID No. | Landscape Resources / Landscape Character Areas | Compatib the surro (Good/Fair | ility with ounding :/Poor/Nil) | Duration (Long/Med N | of Impact lium/Short/ il) | Extent of (Full/Partia | f Impact I/Small/Nil) | Reversi cha (Yes/N | bility of nge No/Nil) | Magnitudo (Large/Intern Negligi | e of Impact nediate/Small/ ble/Nil) |
|--------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape | Poor | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| LCA6 | Residential Urban Landscape | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LCA7 | Major Transportation Corridor Landscape | Fair | Fair | Short | Long | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |

10.8 Visual Impact Assessment

10.8.1 The proposed Visual Mitigation Measures in the Construction and Operation Phases are summarized in Table 10.6 and 10.7, together with an indication of Funding, Implementation, Management and Maintenance Agencies.

Prediction of Significance of Visual Impacts

- 10.8.2 An assessment of the potential significance of the visual impacts during the construction and operation phases, before and after mitigation is provided in detail in Table 10.9. This follows the methodology outlined in Section 10.3 and assumes that the appropriate mitigation measures identified in Table 10.6 and 10.7 above would be implemented, and that the full effect of the soft landscape mitigation measures would be realized after ten years.
- 10.8.3 During the construction phase, the unmitigated visual impacts are adverse in nature and degrading of visual quality of existing views and visual incompatibility of the construction works in the vicinity.
- 10.8.4 During the operation phase, the nature of unmitigated visual impacts could be adverse. Adverse impacts will be resulted from the blockage of views and loss of vegetation along both side of the nullah.
- 10.8.5 However the proposed footbridge and the pedestrian interchange is relatively closed to the existing residential and commercial development that will be the main source of impact. With the implementation of proposed mitigation measures in the construction and operation phases, provision of the works in the urban environment will not create substantial visual impact on existing or future VSR.
- 10.8.6 During the night time, lighting provisions on the proposed footbridge and the pedestrian interchange will inevitably cause adverse impact. Therefore, the lighting design of the main footbridge will be designed to minimize the glare at night.

| Table 10.5b | Magnitude of Change on | Visual Impacts in the | Construction and Operation Phas | ses (Note: All impacts adver | rse unless otherwise noted. |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | | The second | |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Poto Blockag (Full/Pa | ential e of View rtial/Nil) | Duration (Temp Perma | of Impact orary/ ment) | Scale of th when vie the (Small/M Lat | he Project wed from VSR Medium/ rge) | Reversil Imp (Yes | bility of pact /No) | Magnitude (Large/Interr /Negli | e of Change nediate/Small igible) |
|----------|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| Part 1 – | Comprehensive | Development | : Area | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| CDA1 | Future Tai Kiu Property Development | 1-5m | 1-5m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| CDA2 | Future Long Ping South Lot. 512 Development | 3-5m | 5-10m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| CDA3 | Future Kwong Yip Street Development (The Spectra) | 80m | 80m | Fair | Nil | Nil | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| Part 2_ | Residential Devel | lonment | | <u> </u> | ļ | | ļ | | ļ | ļ | ļ | _ | | |
| R1 | Yen Tsui Gardens, Po Fai Building, Man Yip Building, Shung Tak Building & Fuk Yip Building | 5m | 8-18m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| R2 | Yuen Tung Building, Hong Shing Building, Fung Yue Building, Kinston Court, | 5m | 10-18m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Poto Blockag (Full/Pa | ential ge of View artial/Nil) | Duration (Temp Perma | of Impact orary/ ment) | Scale of t when vie the (Small/I Lat | he Project wed from VSR Medium/ rge) | Reversi Imp (Yes | bility of oact /No) | Magnitude (Large/Interr /Negli | e of Change nediate/Small igible) |
|-------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| | Fuk Chiu House, Wing Tai Building, Chi King House | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R3 | Kei Yip Building, On Ning Building, King Wah Building and Yuen Cheong House | 25m | 30m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| R4 | Yee Fung Garden | 100m | 105m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| R5 | Wah Kin Building, Chuk Bun Building & Ho Wang Building | 40m | 45m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| R6 | Ho Shing Building, Kam On Building, Kam Hei House, Happy House, Nan Tin Mansion & Kam Fai House | 5-6m | 12-20m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| R7 | Siu Fung Building, | 5m | 12-17m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Potential] Blockage of View (Full/Partial/Nil) | | Duration of Impact (Temporary/ Permanent) | | Scale of the Project when viewed from the VSR (Small/Medium/ Large) | | Reversibility of Impact (Yes/No) | | Magnitude of Change (Large/Intermediate/Small /Negligible) | |
|----------|--|--|--|---|---|---------|---|-----------|---|--------|--|-------|--|--------------|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| | Shun Fat House, Lee Fat Building | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R8 | Ho Shin Fuk Building | 15m | 20-25m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| R9 | Tai Kiu Village | 1-5m | 1-5m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| R10 | Future High- rise Residential Building (Yuccie Square) | 150m | 150m | Fair | Nil | Nil | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| R11 | Fook On Building | 15m | 25m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| Part 3 – | - Commercial and | l Residential | Development | t | _ | - | - | _ | | | | - | | |
| CR1 | Campbell Building, Man Cheong Building and Kan Yip Building | 60m | 65-70m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| CR2 | Yuen Long Plaza | 130m | 135-140m | Fair | Nil | Nil | Temporary | Permanent | Small | Small | No | No | Small | Small |
| CR3 | Fuk Sing Building, Fu Hing Building, | 8m | 15-20m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Pot Blockag (Full/Pa | ential ge of View artial/Nil) | Duration (Temp Perma | of Impact orary/ ment) | Scale of the when vie the (Small/M Lat | he Project wed from VSR Medium/ rge) | Reversi Imp (Yes | bility of oact /No) | Magnitude (Large/Intern /Negli | of Change nediate/Small igible) |
|----------|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| | Wah Cheung Mansion and Wah Shing Mansion, Yuen Long Mansion & Tung Fook Building | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CR4 | Healey Building, Kin Shing Building and Yuen Fat Building | 5m | 13m | Fair | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| Part 4 - | - Open Space Dev | elopment | | | | | | • | • | | | • | ł | |
| O1 | Football Pitch at Hi Lee Path | 15m | 20m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| O2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | 15m | 20m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| 03 | Basketball Court at Chung Sing Path | 5m | 17m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| Part 5 - | - Government, In | stitution or C | community D | evelopment Area | | | | | | | | | • | |
| GIC1 | Kik Yeung Road Bus Terminus | 55m | 60m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| GIC2 | Fung Lok Lane Car park | 70m | 80m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Poto Blockag (Full/Pa | ential e of View urtial/Nil) | Duration (Temp Perma | of Impact orary/ anent) | Scale of the when vie the (Small/M Lat | he Project wed from VSR Medium/ rge) | Reversil Imp (Yes | bility of pact /No) | Magnitude (Large/Intern /Negli | of Change nediate/Small igible) |
|----------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| | and Maxwell House | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GIC3 | CCC Chun Kwong Primary School | 5m | 20m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| GIC4 | Caritas Yuen Long Chan Chun Ha Prevocational School | 150m | 150m | Fair | Nil | Nil | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| Part 5 - | Recreational | | | | | • | | | • | • | | • | • | |
| REC1 | Travellers along Yuen Long Town Nullah | 0-5m | 5-10m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| REC2 | Travellers along the crossing of Yuen Long Nullah and major road | 0-5m | 5m | Poor | Full | Full | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Large | Large |
| Part 6 - | Transportation | Development | I | | | | | | I | | | | • | |
| T1 | West Rail Long Ping Station | 0m | 0m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Medium | Medium | No | No | Small | Small |
| T2 | On Ning Road | 5-10m | 5-10m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |

| Id No | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR) | Approx. Distance (To at grade structure) | Approx. Distance (To elevated structure) | Compatibility (Good/ Fair/ Poor) | Potential Blockage of View (Full/Partial/Nil) | | Duration (Temp Perma | Duration of Impact (Temporary/ Permanent) | | Scale of the Project when viewed from the VSR (Small/Medium/ Large) | | oility of act 'No) | Magnitude of Change (Large/Intermediate/Small /Negligible) | |
|-------|---|--|--|---|---|---------|----------------------------|---|--------|---|--------|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | | | | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. | Const. | Oper. |
| Т3 | Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long | 5-10m | 5-10m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| T4 | Kau Yuk Road | 5-10m | 5-10m | Fair | Partial | Partial | Temporary | Permanent | Large | Large | No | No | Intermediate | Intermediate |

10.9 Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

- 10.9.1 The previous sections have identified the potential landscape and visual impacts due to the proposed footbridge. A series of mitigation measures have been formulated in order to alleviate some of the effects of these impacts where possible, while some mitigation measures are targeted to provide the potential landscape visual enhancement.
- 10.9.2 The proposed mitigation measures shall be feasible and practical by considering the existing site constraint and construction. Due considering number of site constraint in section 10.9.3 to 10.9.5, planting on the footbridge is considered not desirable. Therefore, mitigation measures will be mainly focus on the planting and the streetscape enhancement at grade, and the aesthetic architectural treatment of the footbridge itself.
- 10.9.3 The Project falls within the Scheduled Area of the Northwest New Territories (i.e. Area No. 2 of the Scheduled Areas in the Building Ordinance (Cap.123)) of complex geology. It is an area within which the presence of marble subcrop containing cavities is considered possible. Results from ground investigation recently completed in March 2016 show that the rock head levels in the Project area varies from 20m to more than 120m below ground level and 20m sound marble were not yet encountered at over 120m below ground level at some drillholes. With such large geological variation and uncertainty, higher construction risk will be encountered due to the increased number and size of supporting structures.
- 10.9.4 Without provision of planter, 2 nos. columns and 6 nos. box culvert will be built inside the Yuen Long Town Nullah to support the proposed footbridge, which the number and size of the supporting structures had been optimised to minimise the hydraulic impact on the Yuen Long Town Nullah. With provision of planter alongside footbridge deck, the increase in number or size of the supporting structure will affect the hydraulic performance of Yuen Long Town Nullah.
- 10.9.5 Planting at the section across Castle Peak Road Yuen Long is not preferred. Light rail is located at Castle Peak Road Yuen Long underneath the proposed footbridge. According to the MTRCL's requirement, 1.8m high barriers should be provided on the proposed footbridge deck at the section across light rail to prevent fallen object onto light rail operating areas. Therefore, planters at this location will raise maintenance problem and are not preferred.
- 10.9.6 The proposed landscape and visual mitigation measures in the construction and operation are listed in Table 10.6 and 10.7 below, together with an indication of Funding, Implementation, Management and Maintenance Agencies.

Table 10.6 Proposed Construction Phase Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

| ID No. | Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures | Funding Agency | Implementation Agency |
|---------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------|
| CM1 | Not Used | - | - |
| CM2 ^{1, 2} | Existing trees to be retained on site should be carefully protected during construction. The requirement shall follow the "Guidelines on Tree Preservation during Development" released by Greening, Landscape and Tree Management Section, Development Bureau. | HYD | HYD / Contractor |
| CM3 ¹ | Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. The requirement shall follow the "Guidelines one Tree Transplanting during Development" released by Greening, Landscape and Tree Management Section, Development Bureau. | HYD | HYD / Contractor |
| CM4 ¹ | Compensatory tree planting should be provided to compensate for felled trees during construction according to TC (W) No.7/2015 – Tree Preservation and satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Sufficient planting area shall be provided for the growth of trees. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application. | HYD | HYD / Contractor |
| CM5 ^{1, 2} | Control of night-time lighting. | HYD | HYD / Contractor |
| CM6 ¹ | Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting. | HYD | HYD / Contractor |

Note: (1) HYD shall be responsible for the implementation of road works and associated amenity landscape areas;

(2) Mitigation measures refer to Good Site Practices.

Table 10.7 Proposed Operation Phase Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

| ID No. | Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures | Funding Agency | Implementation Agency | Maintenance/ Management Agency |
|------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| OM1 ³ | Maintenance of compensatory tree planting for all felled trees. Maintenance parties shall be identified according to DEVB TCW No. 6/2015 – maintenance of vegetation and hard landscape features. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | LCSD |
| OM2 ³ | Aesthetic and greening design of the Footbridge according to DEVB TC(W) No.2/2013 Greening on Footbridges and Flyovers. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | HYD |
| OM3 ³ | Use appropriate (visually unobtrusive and non-reflective) building structural materials and avoidance of excessive height and bulk of buildings and structures. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | HYD |

| ID No. | Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures | Funding Agency | Implementation Agency | Maintenance/ Management Agency |
|------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| OM4 ³ | Streetscape elements (e.g. paving, street furniture, railing etc.) shall be sensitively designed in a manner that respond to the local context, to enhance the overall landscape and visual appearance of the site, in order to mitigate the loss of landscape greenery and the visual obstruction by the structure. Lighting units should be directional and minimize unnecessary light spill. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | HYD |
| OM5 ³ | Maximize soft landscape of the site, Where space permits, road side tree and shrub planting should be created. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | LCSD |
| OM6 ³ | Aesthetic facade treatment at the bottom of proposed footbridge. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | HYD |
| OM7 ³ | Screening treatment on the interchange structure. | HYD | HYD / Contractor | HYD |

Note: (3) According to the DEVB TCW No. 6/2015 – Maintenance of vegetation and hard landscape features, HyD shall be responsible for the maintenance and management for hard streetscape works, while LCSD shall be responsible for the maintenance and management of soft landscape works.

10.9.7 The master landscape plans show the preliminary soft landscape treatment to the proposed footbridge are shown in Drawing no. 10.1801 -1808. The Photomontages of the proposed project without and with mitigation measures at Day 1 and Year 10, illustrating the appearance of the proposed works, and the locations of viewpoints, are shown in Drawing no. 10.1701-1719.

Programme of Implementation of Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

10.9.8 The Construction Phase Measures listed above shall be adopted from the commencement of construction and shall be in place throughout the entire construction period. The Operation Phase Measures listed above shall be adopted during the detailed design and be built as part of the construction works so that they are in place at the date of commissioning of the Project.

Prediction of Significance of Landscape Impacts

10.9.9 The potential significance of landscape impacts during the construction and operation phases, before and after mitigation, is provided below in Table 10.8. The assessment follows the proposed methodology and assumes that the appropriate mitigation measures identified in Table 10.6 and 10.7 above would be implemented, with proper funding, management and maintenance by relevant parties which

identified, and the full effect of the soft landscape mitigation measures would be realized after 10 years.

Impact on Existing Trees

10.9.10 Based on broad brush survey, approximately 38 nos. of trees will be affected by due to the construction of proposed works (in which 37 nos. are proposed to be felled and 1 no. is proposed to be transplanted). None of these are LCSD Champion Trees or Registered Old and Valuable Trees. There are no rare species or endangered species but common species will be affected. All the trees with high amenity value which are unavoidably affected by the works will be transplanted where possible. Detailed tree preservation, transplanting and felling including compensatory planting proposals shall be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with DEVB TCW no. 07/2015. Based on the proposed works, trees will be planted along roadside amenity areas and new open space to compensate for the loss of existing trees.

Impact on Landscape Character Areas

- 10.9.11 Impact on Landscape Character Areas during construction will be primarily due to the construction activities including associated temporary works. After implementation of mitigation measures, there will still be moderate negative impacts on the Drainage Channel Landscape Area (LCA2) during construction.
- 10.9.12 There will be moderate residual impacts on Yuen Long Urban Landscape Character Area (LCA1) during construction. During Operation, there is some slightly adverse landscape impact, and the impact will reduce to insubstantial due to the enhanced streetscape along both side of Yuen Long Town Nullah.

| Table 10.8 | Significance of Landscap | e Impacts in the | Construction and O | peration Phases |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource / Landscape | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) | | Magnitude of Change (Nil, Negligible, Small, Intermediate, Large) | | Impact Significance before Mitigation (Nil, Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Residual Impact Significance Threshold After Mitigation (Insubstantial/Slight/Moderate/ Substantial) | | |
|----------|--|---|--------------|--|--------------|--|-----------|---|---|--------|---------|
| | Character | | Γ | | | | | | | Oper | ation |
| | | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | Construction | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| Physical | Landscape Resou | rces (Vegetati | ion, Open Sp | ace, Amenity A | rea and Feat | ures) | | 1 | | | |
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR2 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR3 | Kik Yeung Road 5-a-side Football Pitch | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR4 | Chung Sing Path Playground | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path | High | High | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path | High | High | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR9 | Po Fai Path | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM2, OM4, OM5, OM6 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LR11 | Street and Roadside Trees | Medium | Medium | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource / Landscape | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) | | Magnitude of Change (Nil, Negligible, Small, Intermediate, Large) | | Impact Significance before Mitigation (Nil, Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Residual Impact Significance Threshold After Mitigation (Insubstantial/Slight/Moderate/ Substantial) | | |
|---------|--|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|--------|---------------|
| | Character | | | | | | | | | Oper | ation |
| | | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | Construction | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LR12 | Yuen Long Children's Playground | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR13 | Vegetation growth within rural village | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR14 | On Hing Playground | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR15 | Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching street Children's Playground | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR16 | Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street | High | High | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LR17 | Vegetation Grown within Construction Site | Low | Low | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Landsca | pe Character Are | as | | | | | | | | | |
| LCA1 | Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Insubstantial |

| Id. No. | Landscape Resource / Landscape | Sensitivity to Change (Low, Medium, High) | | Magnitude of Change (Nil, Negligible, Small, Intermediate, Large) | | Impact Significance before Mitigation (Nil, Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Residual Impact Significance Threshold After Mitigation (Insubstantial/Slight/Moderate/ Substantial) | | |
|---------|--|---|-----------|---|--------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| | Character | | | | | | | | | Oper | ation |
| | | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | Construction | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM1, OM4, OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| LCA3 | Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail – Long Ping Station | Low | Low | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LCA4 | Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape | Medium | Medium | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape | High | High | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2 to CM4, OM2, OM4, OM5 | Slight | Slight | Slight |
| LCA6 | Residential Urban Landscape | Low | Low | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Not required | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| LCA7 | Major Transportation Corridor Landscape | Low | Low | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | OM3 to OM4 | Slight | Insubstantial | Insubstantial |

Table 10.9Significance threshold of residual impact before and after mitigation: Operation Day 1 and Year 10 (Note: All impacts adverse unless
otherwise noted.)

| | Key Visual Sensitive | Receptor S | Sensitivity | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures | | Recommended | Residual Impact Significance with Mitigations I (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | |
|----------|--|-----------------|-------------|---|--------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|
| Id No | Receiver | (Low, Medi | um, High) | Lai | rge) | (Insubstantial, SI Substa | ight, Moderate, ntial) | Mitigation Measures | Construction | Opera | ation |
| | (VSR) | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| Part 1 – | Comprehensiv | e Development A | Area | | | | | | | | |
| CDA1 | Future Tai Kiu Property Development | High | High | Intermediate | Intermediate | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| CDA2 | Future Long Ping South Lot. 512 Development | High | High | Intermediate | Intermediate | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| CDA3 | Future Kwong Yip Street Development (The Spectra) | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Insubstantial | Insubstantial |
| Part 2– | Residential Dev | elopment | | | | | | | | | |
| R1 | Yen Tsui Gardens, Po Fai Building, Man Yip Building, Shung Tak Building & Fuk Yip Building | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5, OM7 | Substantial | Substantial | Moderate |
| R2 | Yuen Tung Building, Hong Shing Building, | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5, OM7 | Substantial | Substantial | Moderate |

| | Key Visual Sensitive Receiver | Receptor S | ensitivity | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures | | Recommended | Residual Impact Significance with Mitigations (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | |
|-------|---|--------------|------------|---|-----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|---------------|
| Id No | Receiver | (Low, Medi | um, High) | La | rge) | (Insubstantial, S Substa | light, Moderate, intial) | Mitigation Measures | Construction | Oper | ation |
| | (VSR) | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | - | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | Fung Yue Building, Kinston Court, Fuk Chiu House, Wing Tai Building, Chi King House | | | | | | | | | | |
| R3 | Kei Yip Building, On Ning Building, King Wah Building and Yuen Cheong House | High | High | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Moderate | Slight |
| R4 | Yee Fung Garden | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| R5 | Wah Kin Building, Chuk Bun Building & Ho Wang Building | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| R6 | Ho Shing Building, Kam On Building, Kam Hei House, Happy | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5, OM7 | Substantial | Substantial | Moderate |

| | Key Visual Sensitive | Receptor S | ensitivity | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures | | Recommended | Residual Impa (Insubstantia | act Significance wi al, Slight, Moderate | th Mitigations , Substantial) |
|----------|---|------------------|------------|---|--------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Id No | Receiver | (Low, Medi | um, High) | Lai | rge) | (Insubstantial, S Substa | ight, Moderate, ntial) | Mitigation Measures | Construction | Opera | ation |
| | (VSR) | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | House, Nan Tin Mansion & Kam Fai House | | | | | | | | | | |
| R7 | Siu Fung Building, Shun Fat House, Lee Fat Building | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5, OM7 | Substantial | Substantial | Moderate |
| R8 | Ho Shin Fuk Building | High | High | Intermediate | Intermediate | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Moderate | Slight |
| R9 | Tai Kiu Village | Medium | Medium | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Substantial | Moderate | Moderate |
| R10 | Future High- rise Residential Building (Yuccie Square) | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| R11 | Fook On Building | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5, OM7 | Substantial | Substantial | Moderate |
| Part 3 - | - Commercial a | nd Residential D | evelopment | | | | | | | | |
| CR1 | Campbell Building, Man Cheong Building and | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |

| | Key Visual Sensitive | Receptor S | ensitivity | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures | | Recommended | Residual Impact Significance with Mitigations(Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | |
|----------|--|--------------|------------|--|--------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------|---------------|
| Id No | Receiver | (Low, Medi | um, High) | Lai | rge) | (Insubstantial, S Substa | light, Moderate, intial) | Mitigation Measures | Construction | tion Operation | |
| | (VSR) | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | Kan Yip Building | | | | | | | | | | |
| CR2 | Yuen Long Plaza | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| CR3 | Fuk Sing Building, Fu Hing Building, Wah Cheung Mansion and Wah Shing Mansion, Yuen Long Mansion & Tung Fook Building | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Substantial | Moderate | Moderate |
| CR4 | Healey Building, Kin Shing Building and Yuen Fat Building | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Substantial | Moderate | Moderate |
| Part 4 - | Open Space De | evelopment | | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | Football Pitch at Hi Lee Path | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Insubstantial |
| 02 | Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden | High | High | Intermediate | Intermediate | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Insubstantial |
| O3 | Basketball Court at | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Insubstantial |

| | Key Visual | Receptor Sensitivity (Low, Medium, High) | | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, Large) | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Residual Impact Significance with Mitigations (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|-------------|--|--------------|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------|
| Id No | Receiver | | | | | | | | Construction | nstruction Operation | |
| | (VSR) | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | Chung Sing Path | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part 5 – | Government, I | nstitution or Co | mmunity Dev | elopment Area | | | | | | | |
| GIC1 | Kik Yeung Road Bus Terminus | Low | Low | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| GIC2 | Fung Lok Lane Car park and Maxwell House | Medium | Medium | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| GIC3 | CCC Chun Kwong Primary School | Medium | Medium | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Insubstantial |
| GIC4 | Caritas Yuen Long Chan Chun Ha Prevocationa I School | Low | Low | Small | Small | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| Part 6 – Recreational | | | | | | | | | | | |
| REC1 | Travellers along Yuen Long Town Nullah | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Substantial | Moderate | Slight |
| REC2 | Travellers along the crossing of Yuen Long | High | High | Large | Large | Substantial | Substantial | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM6 | Substantial | Substantial | Substantial |

| | Key Visual | sual Receptor Sensitivity ve (Low, Medium, High) er | | Magnitude of Impact (Negligible, Small, Intermediate, Large) | | Impact Significance without Mitigation Measures (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Residual Impact Significance with Mitigations (Insubstantial, Slight, Moderate, Substantial) | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|--------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|---------------|
| Id No | Receiver (VSR) | | | | | | | | Construction | Operation | |
| | | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | Construction | Operation | | | Day 1 | Year 10 |
| | Nullah and major road | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part 7 - | Part 7 – Transportation Development | | | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | West Rail Long Ping Station | High | High | Small | Small | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Moderate | Slight | Slight |
| T2 | On Ning Road | Low | Low | Intermediate | Intermediate | Slight | Slight | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| T3 | Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long | Low | Low | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |
| T4 | Kau Yuk Road | Low | Low | Intermediate | Intermediate | Moderate | Moderate | CM2-CM6, OM1-OM5 | Slight | Slight | Insubstantial |

* C = commercial, CDA = comprehensive development area, C/R = commercial / residential, GIC = government/institution/community, I = industrial, O = open space, R = residential, REC = Recreational, T = transportation related.

* VSR type & ID CDA1, CDA2, CDA3, R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, CR1, CR2, CR3, CR4, O1, O2, O3, GIC1, GIC2, GIC3, GIC4, REC1, T1, T2, T3 and T4 do not represent for the landuse zone.

* VSRs (CDA1, CDA2, and CDA3) in developments planned to be completed before operation of footbridge.

10.10 Residual Impacts

10.10.1 The major existing / planned concurrent projects are described in section 3.7 and illustrated in Figure 3.1 (refer to Chapter 3 for detail description).

Prediction of Significance of Landscape Impacts

10.10.2 The potential significance of the landscape impacts during the construction and operation phases, before and after mitigation, is provided in Table 10.8. This assessment follows the proposed methodology and assumes that the appropriate design measures incorporated in the development layout and the mitigation measures identified in Tables 10.6 and 10.7 would be implemented and that the full effect of the soft landscape mitigation measures would be realized after 10 years. Cumulative impact during construction phase and operation phase of the proposed footbridge and all concurrent projects within the assessment area on landscape resources and landscape character areas are described below.

Residual Landscape Impact in Construction Phase

- 10.10.3 Based on the tree survey report, approximately 38 nos. of trees will be affected, of which approximately 1 no. are proposed to be transplanted, and approximately 37 no. trees are proposed to be felled. It is because of the construction of the proposed footbridge and pedestrian interchange located in Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road Yuen Long section, and Kau Yuk Road. Only 1 affected trees are proposed to be transplanted due to its good form and amenity value and medium in "Suitability for Transplanting". A total of 37 nos. of existing trees are inevitably affected by the proposed works, and proposed to be felled due to low "Suitability for Transplanting" (Refer to Appendix 10.1).
- 10.10.4 Trees surveyed within the proposed works limit are primarily common species. There are no LCSD Champion Trees, Registered Old and Valuable Trees nor trees that meet the criteria for Important Trees (ITs) as listed in DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 Tree Preservation. There are no tree species listed under Forests and Countryside Ordinance (Cap. 96); and Protection of Endangered Species of Animals and Plants Ordinance (Cap. 586). Affected tree species are only common tree species, and are listed in Table 10.10.

| Summer of the species | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Scientific Name | Chinese Name | Tree number | | | |
| Bauhinia variegata | 宮粉羊蹄甲 | 1 | | | |
| Clausena lansium | 黃皮 | 2 | | | |
| Dimocarpus longan | 龍眼 | 1 | | | |
| Ficus microcarpa | 細葉榕 | 1 | | | |
| Lagerstroemia speciosa | 大花紫薇 | 10 | | | |

| Table 10.10 | Summary | of Affected | Trees Species |
|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|
|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|

| Scientific Name | Chinese Name | Tree number |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Bauhinia x blakeana | 洋紫荊 | 7 |
| Macaranga tanarius var. tomentosa | 血桐 | 2 |
| Aleurites moluccana | 石栗 | 1 |
| Mangifera indica | 杧果 | 2 |
| Sterculia lanceolata | 假蘋婆 | 1 |
| Callistemon viminalis | 串錢柳 | 3 |
| Bischofia javanica | 秋楓 | 1 |
| Celtis sinensis | 朴樹 | 2 |
| Melaleuca cajuputi subsp. cumingiana | 白千層 | 3 |
| Delonix regia | 鳳凰木 | 1 |
| Total | | 38 |

- 10.10.5 In accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 Tree Preservation, the proposed compensatory planting proposal should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of number. For the proposed trees to be felled, heavy standard trees with trunk diameter from 75mm to 150mm (as specified in the Clause 3.15 of General Specification of Civil Engineering Works 2006) will be adopted for compensatory planting. It is expected approx. 37 heavy standard sized trees shall be planted as compensatory tree planting.
- 10.10.6 Cumulative impact on existing trees is summarized in Table 10.11, and tree survey and recommendation plans are under Appendix 10.1.

| Id No. | Landscape Resources/ Landscape Character Areas | Source of Impact | Residual Impact on Trees in Construction Phase |
|--------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| LR1 | Trees at both side of existing nullah | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 18 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LR5 | Hi Lee Path | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 7 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LR6 | Yuen Fat Path | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 6 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LR7 | Chung Sing Path | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 5 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LR8 | Cheong Shing Path | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 1 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |

 Table 10.11
 Cumulative Impact on Existing Trees

| Id No. | Landscape Resources/ Landscape Character Areas | Source of Impact | Residual Impact on Trees in Construction Phase |
|--------|---|---|--|
| LR9 | Po Fai Path | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange | • Approx. 1 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LR10 | Yuen Long Town Nullah | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange and supporting piers | • approx. (32.8%) 6,270 sq.m. out of 19,140 sq.m. nullah area will be lost due to the construction of piers for the footbridge |
| LCA2 | Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area | • Footbridge, pedestrian interchange and supporting piers | • Approx. 37 nos. of tree will be affected by permanently works |
| LCA5 | Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape | • Footbridge | • Approx. 1 no. of tree will be affected by permanently works |

- 10.10.7 There will be permanently loss of 6,270 sq.m. nullah area (LR10), which accounts for 32.8% of the Yuen Long Town Nullah area in the landscape impact study area, due to the construction of the proposed footbridge and pedestrian interchange located in Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road Yuen Long section, and Kau Yuk Road. It is because staircases, escalators, and barrier free provision such as ramps and disabled lift will be provided at the pedestrian interchange. The landscape impacts on Yuen Long Town Nullah (LR10) will be mitigated by minimizing area and construction period.
- 10.10.9 The residual impact on other LRs and LCAs will be either no impact or insubstantial in construction phase, except on LR1, LR5, LR6, LR7, LR8, LR9, LR10, LCA1, LCA2 and LCA5 will be moderate due to the nullah will be permanently covered by the proposed interchange platform and the loss of existing greenery. LCA7 will be slight due to some portion of the footbridge will cross over the existing road.
- 10.10.10 The overall residual impact on all LR and LCA are considered as acceptable with implementation of mitigation measures.

Residual Landscape Impact in Operation Phase

- 10.10.11 Residual impact on landscape resources and landscape character areas are shown in Table 10.8 and mapped in Drawing no. 10.1601 and 10.1611. The master landscape plans show the preliminary soft landscape treatment to the proposed footbridge and the pedestrian interchanges located in Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road Yuen Long, and Kau Yuk Road are shown in Drawing no. 10.1801-1805.
- 10.10.12 To compensation for the loss of vegetation and affected trees, approx. 37 new trees will be planted as Compensatory planting in terms of quantity. Due to the congestion of the existing site condition, and considering certain clear width of the pedestrian footpath need to be provided to cater the crowd pedestrian flow, the tree

compensation ratio of 1:1 in terms of quality (i.e. the tree diameter of breast height DBH) is not feasible. All landscape opportunities within the site has already been maximised, and no further other off site planting opportunity is found adjacent to the project area due to the crowd development. Detailed tree preservation, transplanting and felling including compensatory planting proposals shall be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 – Tree Preservation.

- 10.10.13 With the implementation of the mitigation measures, residual impacts at day 1 of operation are considered to be reduced to slight for the LR5, LR6, LR7, LR8, LR9, LR10, LCA2 and LCA5. These landscape resources and landscape character areas are mainly mitigated by the streetscape elements, namely, paving enhancement along both side of the Yuen Long Town Nullah.
- 10.10.14 LR1 there is expected to be moderate impact upon the Trees at both side of existing nullah in Yuen Long in construction phase. The loss of vegetation are due to the construction of footbridge and the pedestrian interchange. Proposed compensatory planting is proposed to compensate for the loss of trees. This planting should be well established after 10 years. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight after the compensatory planting are well established under operation phase.
- 10.10.15 LR2 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon the Tai Pei Tau Rest Garden (LR2).
- 10.10.16 LR3 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon the Kik Yeung Road 5-aside Football Pitch (LR3).
- 10.10.17 LR4 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon the Chung Sing Path Playground (LR4).
- 10.10.18 LR5 approximately 8 no. of trees will be affected in Hi Lee Path and the impact will be moderate in construction phase. Proposed compensatory planting is proposed to compensate for the loss. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight under operation phase.
- 10.10.19 LR6 there is expected to be moderate impact on the Yuen Fat Path in construction phase. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight after the enhancement of the streetscape mitigation measures under operation phase.
- 10.10.20 LR7 there is expected to be moderate impact upon Chung Sing Path (LR7) in construction phase. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight after the enhancement of the streetscape mitigation measures under operation phase.

- 10.10.21 LR8 there is expected to be moderate impact upon Cheong Shing Path (LR8) in construction phase. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight after the enhancement of the streetscape mitigation measures under operation phase.
- 10.10.22 LR9 there is expected to be moderate impact upon Po Fai Path (LR9). It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight after the enhancement of the streetscape mitigation measures under operation phase.
- 10.10.23 LR10 there is expected to be moderate impact upon Yuen Long Town Nullah (LR10) in construction phase. The Yuen Long Town Nullah area will be lost due to the operation of footbridge and pedestrian interchange. It is because staircases, escalators, and barrier free access such as ramps and disabled lift will be provided at the pedestrian interchange. The landscape impacts on Yuen Long Town Nullah (LR10) will be mitigated by providing soft landscape at the pedestrian interchange. It is considered that the residual impact is expected to be slight under operation phase.
- 10.10.24 LR11 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Street and Roadside Trees (LR10).
- 10.10.25 LR12 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Roadside Planting in Yuen Long Children's Playground (LR12).
- 10.10.26 LR13 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Vegetation growth within rural village (LR13).
- 10.10.27 LR14 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon On Hing Playground (LR14).
- 10.10.28 LR15 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Sai Ching Street Tennis Court and Sai Ching Street Children's Playground (LR15).
- 10.10.29 LR16 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Amenity Planting Area along Long Yip Street (LR16).
- 10.10.30 LR17 there is expected to be no landscape impact upon Vegetation Grown within Construction Site (LR17).
- 10.10.31 LCA1 There will be some impact on Yuen Long Traditional Urban Landscape Character Area (LCA1) due to the operation of footbridge and pedestrian interchange and the loss of visual identity in Yuen Long Town Nullah. It is considered that the residual impact on this LCA1 is insubstantial.

- 10.10.32 LCA2 There will be moderate impact on Yuen Long Drainage Channel Landscape Area (LCA2) due to the construction of footbridge and the pedestrian interchange. However, with the proposed mitigation measures including the aesthetic design of the footbridge and the soft landscape treatment works on pedestrian interchange as well as the streetscape elements, it is considered that the residual impact on this LCA is slight under operation phase.
- 10.10.33 LCA3 there will be no landscape impact on Yuen Long Infrastructure Network West Rail Long Ping Station (LCA3).
- 10.10.34 LCA4 there will be no landscape impact on Yuen Long Miscellaneous Urban Fringe Landscape (LCA4).
- 10.10.35 LCA5 Tai Kiu Tsuen Village Landscape (LCA5) will be subject to slight impact after mitigation measures on tree protection zone has been identified. Its landscape impact will remain as slight since there is limited space for tree compensation at that area.
- 10.10.36 LCA6 there will be no landscape impact on Residential Urban Landscape (LCA6).
- 10.10.37 LCA7 Major Transportation Corridor Landscape (LCA7) will be subject to insubstantial impact after mitigation measures applied.
- 10.10.38 Therefore, the overall cumulative residual impacts on existing LR and LCA are considered acceptable with mitigation measures.

Residual Visual Impacts

10.10.39 The Photomontages of the proposed project without and with mitigation measures at Day 1 and Year 10, illustrating the appearance of the proposed works, and the locations of viewpoints, are shown in Drawing no. 10.1701-1719.

Construction Phase

10.10.40 Residual visual impacts in the Construction Phase are listed out in Table 10.9. With the implementation of mitigation measures, there will still be some adverse residual visual impacts during the construction stage. Residential VSRs and Commercial & Residential VSRs that are along both side of the nullah will have direct, short range views to the construction of the footbridge and pedestrian interchange which is immediately adjacent or very close to the VSRs. In addition, the VSRs from the recreational path along the nullah, especially at the proposed pedestrian interchange will have moderate to substantial visual impact due to the obstruction of the existing open view. Therefore, mitigation measures is required to minimise and reduce the visual impact.

Operation Phase

- 10.10.41 Residual visual impacts in the Operation phase are listed out in Table 10.9, and mapped in drawing no. 10.1621.
- 10.10.42 The Photomontages of the proposed project without and with mitigation measures at Day 1 and Year 10, illustrating the appearance of the proposed works, and the locations of viewpoints, are shown in Drawing no. 10.1701-1719.
- 10.10.43 The proposed footbridge shall have "Slight" to "Insignificant" visual impact to the VSR in existing and planned high-rise residential developments (i.e. CDA1, CDA2, CDA3, R8) and the VSR towards the southern end of the footbridge (i.e. R3, R4, R5, GIC4) as these VSR shall have alternative open views and shall have "Nil" or "Partial" Blockage of their view.
- 10.10.44 For VSR that are located further away from the proposed footbridge (i.e. CR1, CR2, GIC1, GIC2, R10), a large portion of the footbridge are likely to be screened off by the existing developments. These VSR shall therefore experience "*Slight*" to "*Insignificant*" visual impact.
- 10.10.45 For VSR O1, O3, GIC3 and transient VSR T2, T3, T4, although with a closer and direct viewing angle, given their nature of activities, these VSRs shall also experience "*Slight*" to "*Insignificant*" visual impact.
- 10.10.46 For VSR T1, given its elevated location and the portion of the footbridge at the front of the VSR is shifted to the eastern side of the nullah, the VSR shall still enjoy the open view of the existing visual corridor and the future scenic of the nullah after the planned nullah beautification work. For VSR O2 and REC 1, although they shall experience *"Substantial"* visual impact due to the proposed footbridge, the compensatory and existing road side trees shall provide certain level of visual screening. Considering that the shrub and paving shall enhance the overall streetscape, the residual impact to these VSRs in Year 10 shall be able to lower to *"Slight"*.
- 10.10.47 For low-rise Residential VSR near the interchange of the footbridge with windows fronting the proposed structure (i.e. R1, R2, R6, R7, R9, R11, CR3, CR4), these VSRs shall experience "Substantial" visual impact due its high sensitivity, permanent lost of the scenic view of future beautified nullah and the large magnitude of change (Drawing No. 10.1720 10.1721 & 10.1716 10.1717). As visual mitigation measures are restricted to road side shrubs, streetscape elements and aesthetic design of the footbridge due to site constraints, the effectiveness of these measures on visual screening may be limited. The residual impact to these VSRs in Year 10 shall be "Moderate".
- 10.10.48 For leisure and recreational users along the footbridges crossing Yuen Long Nullah (i.e. REC2) who enjoy the existing open view of the visual corridor, it will be unavoidable for them to experience "Substantial" residual visual impact even after the implementation of mitigation measures due full blockage of the visual corridor and the future scenic view of Yuen Long Nullah (Drawing No. 10.1712 10.1715 & 10.1718 10.1719). Aesthetic treatment at the bridge underneath shall be applied, to reduce the visual impact as far as possible.

10.10.49 The master landscape plans show the preliminary soft landscape treatment to the proposed footbridge and the pedestrian interchanges located in Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road – Yuen Long, and Kau Yuk Road are shown in Drawing no. 10.1801-1805.

10.11 Conclusion

- 10.11.1 It is considered that the proposed footbridge and the pedestrian interchange follow in principle the planning intentions from the Draft Yuen Long Outline Zoning Plan (No.S/YL/22) and the approved Ping Shan Outline Zoning Plan (No.S/YL-PS/16). However, the concept of the proposed footbridge and pedestrian interchange has been considered to a minimum impact. Enhanced connectivity to the public transportation and open space network from On Ning Road to Kau Yuk Road do reinforce the planning intentions of Yuen Long Urban Area.
- 10.11.2 There are approximately 38 trees (included 1 no. of tree to be transplanted and 37 nos. to be felled) will be affected by the construction of the proposed footbridge and pedestrian interchange located in Yuen Long On Ning Road, Castle Peak Road Yuen Long, and Kau Yuk Road. None of these affected trees are LCSD Champion Trees nor Registered Old and Valuable Trees. There are no rare species or endangered species but common species will be affected.
- 10.11.3 Approximately 37 nos. of trees will be proposed to compensate the loss of existing greenery, in which 12 nos. will be planted off-site due to the existing site constraint on the utility facilities and its congesting condition, by considering sufficient space should be provided for planting of trees taking into account the minimum space required to cater for the establishment, healthy growth and mature size of the trees. The off-site planting is proposed at the planting area which adjacent to the Ma Tin Road (Refer to the Tree compensation plan in Appendix 10.1). Although the net loss of the trees within works boundary will be 13 in numbers (including 1 no of transplant tree and 12 nos. of compensation trees are proposed in off-site), the trees compensation ratio is considered acceptable in term of 1:1 by quantity, and the proposed off-site compensation area is adjacent to the works boundary which within Yuen Long district. Detailed tree preservation, transplanting and felling including compensatory planting proposals will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 - Tree Preservation.
- 10.11.4 With the implementation of the mitigation measures, residual impacts at day 1 of operation are considered can be reduced to slight for the LR1, LR5, LR6, LR7, LR8, LR9, LR10, LCA1, LCA2 and LCA5. These mitigation measures are mainly due to the streetscape elements, namely, paving enhancement along both side of the Yuen

Long Town Nullah. It can improve the overall aesthetic value especially on the existing disorder hard landscape elements along Yuen Long nullah.

- 10.11.5 The proposed footbridge will have "*Slight*" to "*Insubstantial*" visual impact to VSRs in high-rise residential developments, VSRs towards the southern end of the of the footbridge, VSRs that located further away from the footbridge, transient VSRs and VSRs who are conducting active sports and occupational activities.
- 10.11.6 However, it is unavoidable for the low-rise Residential VSRs near the interchange of the footbridge to experience "*Moderate*" residual impact and also unavoidable for leisure and recreational users along the footbridges crossing Yuen Long Nullah to experience "*Substantial*" residual impact.
- 10.11.7 Considering the fact that visual obstructions to particular VSRs are unavoidable even with alternative alignments to the footbridge and the site constraints that limit the further adoption of visual mitigation measures, it is considered that the proposed development has fully explored alternative methods to avoid, reduce and alleviate the identified visual impact. The proposed footbridge is therefore considered as marginally acceptable in visual point of view.
- 10.11.8 Overall, the landscape impact of the project are considered to be acceptable with mitigation measures and visual impact of the project is considered to be marginally acceptable with mitigation measures.