Key Assessment Assumptions and Limitation of Assessment Methodologies

Assessment Methodologies	Assessment Assumptions	Limitations of Assassment	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
		Methodologies / Assumptions	EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)
Air Quality Impact					
Construction Phase					•
The air quality impact assessment for the Project follows Annex 4 and Annex 12 of the TM-EIAO. Dust emission will be the major air quality impact. Quantitative assessment was carried out by applying AERMOD model.	Based on current tentative construction programme, Year 2021-2023 are taken as the assessment year for construction dust assessment. Both short-term and long-term impacts were assessed based on the phasing schedule provided by Engineer. Subject to the construction work at night-time, construction working period of 12 hours a day was assumed, including weekends and public holidays.The prediction of dust emissions is based on the typical values and emission factors obtained from United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors, AP-42, 5th Edition.Heavy construction activities including reclamation (above water), land clearance, site formation, ground excavation, construction of associated facilities etc.Wind erosionE = 0.85 Mg/hectare/year	The construction programme is indicative and subject to contractors' actual operation.	Section 3 and 5 of Appendix B		N/A
	activities				

		Limitations of Assessment	Prior Agreements with EPD	Prior Agreements with EP	Prior Agreements with EPD Proposed Alternation	Proposed Alternative
Assessment Methodologies	Assessment Assumptions	Methodologies / Assumptions	EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)	
	Watering once per hour on exposed worksites is proposed to achieve dust removal efficiency of 91.7% in accordance with the "Control of Open Fugitive Dust Sources" (USEPA AP-42).					
Operational Phase The air quality impact assessment for the Project follows Annex 4 and Annex 12 of the TM-EIAO. Industrial emissions from Tai Po Industrial Estate (TPIE) modelled by AERMOD. Vehicular emission impact was due to traffic at Ting Kok Road, Dai Kwai Street, Dai Cheong Street etc. modelled by CALINE4 and EMFAC-HK. Marine emissions associated with the operation of cement depot cum concrete batching plants at Yu On Street modelled by AERMOD. Quantitative odour impact from Tai Po Sewerage Treatment Works (TPSTW) and committed Food Waste Pre-treatment Facilities (FWPF) modelled by AERMOD.	Industrial emissions are made reference to the nearby Specified Process (SP) Licenses, by taking their averaged values and modelled by AERMOD. Vehicular emissions from open road was based on modeling results of EMFAC-HK v3.4. The cumulative air quality impact due to vehicular emission was predicted by Caline4 model. Marine emissions are made reference to the EPD approved study on marine vessels emission inventory issued in Year 2012. Background concentrations at Year 2020 from PATH-2016 adopted for cumulative air quality assessment. Odour emissions adopted based on the latest Environmental Permit held by TPSTW (EP- 265/2007/A).	A conservative approach, assuming industrial emissions would similar to emissions from SP nearby; marine vessel travelling at every hour during the operation of the cement depot cum concrete batching plants; and vehicular emission to be the highest in Year 2024. The actual situation may be better than that of the model prediction.	Section 4 and 5 of Appendix B	-	N/A	
Hazard to Life						
The hazard to life assessment follows Section 3.4.5.1 in the EIA Study Brief, where the estimated number of construction workers, visitors and staff for the Project have been checked against the prevailing risk assessment findings carried out in the vicinity of the	 Population arrangement of the Project which partially fall within the Consultation Zone of Tai Po Gas Production Plant has been recommended as follows: No permanent working / residential population within the CZ; and 	The population arrangement is assumed to be properly maintained during construction and operational phase of the Project.	Section 3.4.5	-	N/A	

Assessment Methodologies	Assessment Assumptions Limitations Methodolog	Limitations of Assessment	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
		Methodologies / Assumptions	EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)
Project.	• Daily average of 20 transient visitors within the CZ during the operational phase. The Golf Course operator will implement a booking system such that the operator would be able to monitor the daily average				
Noise Impost	and make sure it would not be exceeded.				
Noise Impact	e)				
The noise impact assessment for the Project follows Annex 5 and Annex 13 of the TM-EIAO. In accordance with the EIAO, the methodology outlined in the GW-TM was used for construction noise assessment.	Sound power level (SWL) of the Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) was based in Table 3 of GW-TM, the QPME system adopted by EPD and "SWL of other commonly used PME" on EPD's website. It is assumed that all PME items required for a particular construction activity will be located at the notional source position of the work areas. The assessment was based on the cumulative SWL of PME likely to be used in each work areas, taking into account the construction period in the vicinity of the receiver location. To predict the construction noise impacts, PME were divided into groups required for individual construction activity. The objective is to identify the worst case scenario representing those items of PME that will be in use concurrently at any given time. The sound pressure level of individual construction activity was calculated, depending on the number of PME and distance from receivers. The noise levels at NSRs were then predicted by the sum of SWLs of all concurrent construction activities with their	The prediction of construction noise impacts are based on GW-TM. The SWL of PME was based in GW-TM and QPME system. The actual situation may be better than that of the prediction. In carrying out the assessment, worst case assumptions have been assumed in order to provide conservative noise impact assessments such as locating all the PME at the notional source position.	Section 2 of Appendix D	-	N/A

	Assessment Assumptions Limitations Methodologies / A	Limitations of Assessment	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
Assessment Methodologies		Methodologies / Assumptions	EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)
	respective distance correction.				
	A positive 3dB(A) facade correction was				
	added to the predicted noise levels in order				
	NSR				
	On-time percentages of utilization rates for	-			
	were reasonably assumed by Engineer.				
Operational Phase (Fixed Noise Source	s)		•		
The noise impact assessment for the	The assessment has been based on the best	Location of the planned fixed noise	Section 3 of the	-	N/A
Project follows Annex 5 and Annex 13	available information, which includes the	sources and their associated maximum	Appendix D.		
of the TM-EIAO.	location of the planned fixed noise sources	SWLs may vary and is subject to the			
The assessment was carried out based	and their associated maximum SWLs.	Contractor's choice of equipment.			
on the assumed location of the planned					
fixed noise sources and their associated					
maximum Sound Power Levels (SWLs)					
using standard acoustic principle for					
impacts at the representative NSPs to					
determine if relevant poise criteria could					
he met					
be met.					
Water Quality Impact			•		•
Construction Phase			•		-
Assessment of water quality impact in	The types of water pollution to be generated	-	Appendix E	N/A	N/A
construction phase refers the	from the Project are based on the Project				
methodology in Annex 6 and Annex 14	design and / or engineering assessments.				
of the TM-EIAO.					
The water quality impact during the					
construction phase were identified					
Mitigation measures are recommended					
for the identified source of water					
pollution to minimise the potential					
		1	I	1	

Assessment Methodologies	Assessment Assumptions I Limitations of Assessment Methodologies / Assumptions	Limitations of Assessment	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
		EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)	
water quality impacts.					
Operational Phase					
Assessment of water quality impact in operational phase refers the methodology in Annex 6 and Annex 14 of the TM-EIAO.	Assumptions made in the assessment are based on the Turfgrass Management Plan and the Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung EIA Study, as well as the Project design.	-	Appendix E	N/A	N/A
The water quality impact during the operational phase were identified. Mitigation measures are recommended for the identified source of water pollution to minimise the potential water quality impacts.	The residual percentage of fertilizer (1.6% for TIN and 0.6% for TP) and the residual percentage of insecticide and fungicide (0.00072%) are determined based on the information and data from the approved Kau Sai Chau EIA (AEIAR-091/2005).				
Waste Management Implication	•				
 The waste assessment for the Project follows TM-EIAO Annex 7 and Annex 15 Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354); Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354C); Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28); Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation; and Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 354N). 	Waste generated in the construction phase are determined based on the design of the project and are advised by the engineer. Waste generated in the operational phase is determined based on population parameters and land use of the proposed project.	-	Appendix F	-	N/A

Assessment Methodologies		Timitations of Associated	Prior Agreements with EPD	with EPD	Proposed Alternative Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if annlicable)
	Assessment Assumptions	Assessment Assumptions Of Assessment – Methodologies / Assumptions	EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	
The land contamination assessment for	Assumptions made in the assessment are	-	Appendix G	-	N/A
the Project follows	based on latest boundary of the Project and				
• Annex 19 of the Technical	the works of the Project, as well as current,				
Memorandum on Environmental	historical and future land uses.				
Impact Assessment Process (TM-					
EIAO), Guidelines for Assessment					
of Impact On Sites of Cultural					
Heritage and Other Impacts					
(Section 3 : Potential Contaminated					
Land Issues), EPD, 1997;					
• Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-					
Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs)					
for Contaminated Land					
Management, EPD, 2007;					
• Guidance Notes for Contaminated					
Land Assessment and Remediation,					
EPD, 2007;					
• Practice Guide for Investigation and					
Remediation of Contaminated					
Land, EPD, 2011					
Landfill Gas Hazard					-
Construction and Operational Phases					
The landfill gas hazard assessment	The assessment is based on the 2012-2016	Continuous landfill gas monitoring should	Section 3.4.10	-	N/A
follows	monitoring data of Shuen Wan Restored	be undertaken during construction and	and Appendix H		
• Annexes 7 and 19 of the TM-EIAO;	Landfill provided by EPD.	operational phases of the Project.			
• Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment					
Guidance Note (1997)					
(EPD/TR8/97)					
Ecological Impact					
The ecological impact assessment	Assumptions made in the assessment are	Ecological baseline is based on literature	Section 3.4.11	-	N/A
follows Annexes 8 and 16 of the TM-	based on latest layout.	review as well as habitat, flora and fauna	and Appendix I		
EIAO.	-	surveys. Surveys were taken in			
		representative locations and transect routes			

	Assessment Assumptions	Limitations of Assessment Methodologies / Assumptions	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
Assessment Methodologies			EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)
		inside and in the vicinity of the Project Site as well as the assessment area. Baseline descriptions are considered sufficiently representative to allow subsequent assessments to be made.			
Fisheries Impact					
The fisheries impact assessment follows Annexes 9 and 17 of the TM-EIAO.	Assumptions made in the assessment are based on latest layout.	Fisheries baseline is based on literature review. Baseline descriptions are considered sufficiently representative to allow subsequent assessments to be made.	Section 3.4.12 and Appendix J	-	N/A
Landscape and Visual Impact	r				
The landscape and visual impact assessment follows Annexes 10 and 18 of the TM-EIAO and the EIAO Guidance Note No.8/2010.	Assessment assumptions are listed in the methodology stated in Section 12 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Section 12.3 of this EIA report. Selected viewpoints for the preparation of photomontages to demonstrate the landscape and visual changes as a result of the Project are located at public accessible area and agreed with EPD and PlanD Urban Design Unit.	Assessment of landscape and visual baseline is based on literature review, government survey maps and aerial photographs and site visits. There is limitation on review the baseline condition in private properties and inaccessible areas. Photographic record of Landscape Resources (LRs), Landscape Character Areas (LCAs) and Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) are taken at the public accessible location to the nearest and representative of the above. A tree group survey is undertaken for this EIA according to the Study Brief. It is sufficiently representing the potential tree impact as a result of the Project and impact on landscape resources. Detailed tree preservation and removal application	Section 3.4.13 and Appendix K	Enquiry letter to EPD and PlanD Urban Design Unit for the selection of viewpoints for the preparation of photomontages.	N/A

Assessment Methodologies	Assessment Assumptions	Limitations of Assessment Methodologies / Assumptions	Prior Agreements with EPD		Proposed Alternative
			EIA Study Brief Clause Reference	Relevant Document	Assessment Tools/ Assumptions (if applicable)
		is required for government approval.			
		Assessment on PVSRs of planned			
		development and potential cumulative			
		impact with concurrent project is based on			
		information available through public			
		channels. Impact significance will change			
		following the development of these			
		planned or on-going projects.			