# TABLE OF CONTENT

1	INTRODUCTION 1	I
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Project Background	     2
2	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED HSKEPP SITE	3
2.1 2.2	General Proposed HSKEPP Site and Previous EIA Study	3
3	SITE APPRAISAL	5
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Review of Historical Land Uses	5
4	SITE INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS	3
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	Sampling and Testing Plan       13         Soil Sampling Method and Depth of Sampling       17         Strata Logging       17         Groundwater Sampling and Free Product Measurement       17         Sample Size and Decontamination Procedures       18         Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures       18         Health and Safety       19         Laboratory Analysis       20	3 7 7 3 3 9 0
5	INITIAL EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL LAND CONTAMINATION IMPACT AND POSSIBLE REMEDIATION MEASURES	2
5.1 5.2	Initial Evaluation of Potential Land Contamination Impact	2
6	FURTHER WORKS AND TENTATIVE PROGRAMME SCHEDULE	ŧ
7	CONCLUSION	5

# List of Tables

Table 2.1	Summary of EIA Surveyed Sites within the Proposed HSKEPP Site
Table 3.1	Latest Aerial Photograph Reviewed
Table 3.2	Summary of Chemical Waste Producers within the Proposed HSKEPP Site7
Table 3.3	Summary of Potential Land Contamination Issues within the Northern Portion of
	Proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW)11
Table 3.4	Summary of Potential Land Contamination Issues within the Southern Portion of
	Proposed HSKEPP
Table 4.1	Sampling and Testing Plan for Decommissioned SWPTW in Northern Portion of
	Proposed HSKEPP
Table 4.2	Preliminary Sampling and Testing Plan for Southern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP
Table 4.3	Parameters, Reporting Limits and Reference Methods for Laboratory Analysis 20

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Location Plan of HSKEPP and Land Contamination Assessment
-	Area under HSK NDA EIA Study
Figure 2.1	Project Layout Plan and Relevant EIA Surveyed Sites

Figure 3.1 Figure 4.1

Locations of Identified Potentially Contaminated Sites / Hotspots Concerned Areas and Proposed Sampling Locations (Northern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP)

# List of Appendices

Appendix A Appendix B	
Appendix C	
Appendix D	
Appendix E	
Appendix F	
Appendix G	

Relevant Historical Aerial Photographs Details of Relevant EIA Surveyed Sites (Extracted from HSK NDA EIA CAP) Photographic Records of Site Walkover Site Walkover Checklists Responses from Government Departments on Relevant Information Relevant RBRGs, Soil Saturation Limit and Solubility Limit Typical Design of Groundwater Monitoring Well

ii

#### INTRODUCTION 1

#### 1.1 **Project Background**

- 1.1.1.1 The Government plans to develop Hung Shui Kiu/Ha Tsuen New Development Area (HSK/HT NDA) for providing land to meet the medium and long-term housing, social and economic needs. The HSK/HT NDA is proposed to accommodate a population of approximately 176,000 persons and generate about 150,000 employment opportunities respectively on full development.
- 1.1.1.2 The purpose of the Project is to provide sewage treatment to the sewage collected from the HSK/HT NDA and other developments in the North West New Territories (NWNT), and to subsequently dispose the treated effluent.
- 1.1.1.3 The Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) commenced Agreement, namely the Agreement No. CE 2/2011 (CE) "Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area, Planning and Engineering – Investigation" in 2011, to formulate the detailed development proposals for the HSK/HT NDA. This Study recommends that the sewage generated from the HSK/HT NDA will be discharged to separate new sewage treatment work, namely the Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant (HSKEPP) which is located in the north-western side of the HSK/HT NDA.
- 1.1.1.4 The above study recommended preliminary treatment capacity, treatment level and discharge arrangement of HSKEPP taking into account the constraints for discharge to North Western Waters and Deep Bay. Further reviews of flow projection, treatment level, treated effluent discharge and sludge treatment scheme shall be carried out to formulate the preliminary design of HSKEPP to cater for the sewage collected from the new developments within the HSK/HT NDA and other developments in the North West New Territories (NWNT) to support the medium and long-term housing and economic needs of the NWNT.
- 1.1.1.5 AECOM Asia Co Ltd. was commissioned by Drainage Services Department (DSD) on 20 March 2020 to carry out this Assignment for the investigation for HSKEPP. Site location plan of the HSKEPP is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

#### 1.2 **Purpose of the Report**

- 1.2.1.1 Based on the EIA Study Brief (No. ESB-312/2019), an assessment on the potential land contamination issues within the proposed HSKEPP for development works is required.
- 1.2.1.2 This Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) is prepared for the EIA study. The purposes of this CAP are to present the findings of the site appraisal on the past and present potentially contaminative land uses / activities and to propose sampling and testing plan for the subsequent site investigation (SI) works in order to assess the presence, nature and extent of any contamination within the proposed HSKEPP.

#### 1.3 **Environmental Legislation, Standards and Criteria**

- 1.3.1.1 This CAP is prepared with reference to the following EPD issued guidelines:
  - (a) Section 3 (Potential Contaminated Land Issues) of Appendix 19 "Guidelines for Assessment of Impact on Sites of Cultural Heritage and Other Impacts" of the Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO-TM).
  - (b) Guidance Note for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation (Guidance Note)

The Guidance Note sets out the requirements for proper assessment and management of potentially contaminated sites such as oil installations (e.g. oil depots, petrol filling

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant - Investigation

- measures that can be adopted for the remediation of contaminated sites.
- Guide)

This guide outlines typical investigation methods and remediation strategies for the range of potential contaminants typically encountered in Hong Kong.

Management (Guidance Manual)

The Guidance Manual introduces the risk-based approach in land contamination assessment and present instructions for comparison of soil and groundwater data to the Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for 54 chemicals of concern (COCs) commonly found in Hong Kong. The RBRGs were derived to suit Hong Kong conditions by following the international practice of adopting a risk-based methodology for contaminated land assessment and remediation and were designed to protect the health of people who could potentially be exposed to land impacted by chemicals under four broad post restoration land use categories. The RBRGs also serve as the remediation targets if remediation is necessary.

assessing any soil and groundwater contamination.

#### 1.4 Structures of this Report

- - (a) Section 2: study;
  - Section 3: (b)
  - Section 4: (c) subsequent SI works;
  - Section 5: (d) possible remediation measures
  - Section 6: (e) and
  - (f) Section 7: concludes the report.

1

stations), gas works, power plants, shipyards / boatyards, chemical manufacturing / processing plants, steel mills / metal workshops, car repairing / dismantling workshops and scrap yards. In addition, this Guidance Note provides guidelines on how site assessments should be conducted and analysed and suggests practical remedial

(c) Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (Practice

(d) Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land

1.3.1.2 The RBRGs stipulated in the Guidance Manual will be adopted as the criteria for

1.4.1.1 Apart from this introductory section, the other sections of the CAP are as follows:

describes the area of the proposed HSKEPP and previous EIA

presents findings of the site appraisal;

proposes the preliminary sampling and testing plan for the

evaluates the potential land contamination impact and discusses the

recommends the further works and discusses the tentative schedule:

## 2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED HSKEPP SITE

### 2.1 General

- 2.1.1.1 The proposed HSKEPP is located in the western part of HSK NDA and covers a total area of approximately 5.2 ha. The proposed HSKEPP is located on a generally flat terrain and is mainly bounded by Yuen Tau Shan and Kong Sham Western Highway at its southwest side and surrounded by logistics facilities to the north and west. The northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP is currently the decommissioned San Wai Preliminary Treatment Works (SWPTW) and the southern portion is largely occupied by various industrial land uses. The locations of the northern portion (SWPTW) and southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP are shown in Figure 1.1.
- 2.1.1.2 Demolition of the SWPTW in the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP will be carried out under this Project. However, site formation works for the proposed HSKEPP site (except the demolition of SWPTW) is not under the scope of this Project. The site formation works (including any decontamination works) will be undertaken by CEDD separately under the HSK NDA project prior to the construction of the proposed HSKEPP.

## 2.2 Proposed HSKEPP Site and Previous EIA Study

- 2.2.1.1 Land contamination assessment was conducted in the EIA Study for Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area (Register No.: AEIAR-203/2016) (HSK NDA EIA Study) under Agreement No. CE 2/2011 (CE) "Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area, Planning and Engineering – Investigation". The land contamination assessment area in the HSK NDA EIA Study covers the proposed HSKEPP (refer to **Figure 1.1**). The assessment included desktop study (e.g. review of aerial photographs, survey maps and relevant information from EPD and FSD) and site surveys. A CAP (HSK NDA CAP) was submitted as part of the HSK NDA EIA Study and the HSK NDA EIA Study was approved by EPD in December 2016.
- 2.2.1.2 Based on the approved HSK NDA EIA Study, no sites surveyed in the HSK NDA EIA Study ('EIA Surveyed Sites') were identified in the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. However, the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP would encroach into 5 EIA Surveyed Sites, 4 of which were considered as potentially contaminated sites. Table 2.1 summarises the details of these EIA Surveyed Sites. The locations of the proposed HSKEPP and the relevant EIA Surveyed Sites are shown in Figure 2.1.

Table 2.1	Summary of FI	A Surveyed	Sites within the	Proposed HSKEPP Site
	Summary of Li	a Suiveyeu		

No.	EIA Surveyed Site ID	Suspected Land Use at the time of HSK NDA EIA Study	Partial / Full Encroachment into the Proposed HSKEPP Site	Potentially Contaminated Site?
1	C80a	Warehouse		Yes
2	C80b	Construction Material Storage and Equipment Depot	Partial Encroachment into the	Yes
3	C81	Container and Construction Material Storage	Southern Portion of	Yes
4	C84	Warehouse	Proposed HSKEPP	To be confirmed*
5	C85	Vacant		Yes
Vintor				

Note:

\* The land use of Site C84 was warehouse at the time of the HSK NDA EIA Study with no

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant - Investigation

other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. A site re-appraisal was recommended at the later stage of the development in the HSK NDA EIA Study to confirm whether the site is potentially contaminated and the necessity for site investigation works.

2.2.1.3 A review of the relevant findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study, including the HSK NDA EIA CAP, had been conducted and are discussed in **Section 3** below.

#### 3 SITE APPRAISAL

3.1 **Review of Historical Land Uses** 

#### 3.1.1 **Review of HSK NDA EIA Study**

- 3.1.1.1 The historical land uses within the proposed HSKEPP site have been reviewed under the HSK NDA EIA Study. The review included series of aerial photographs covering the period from 1978 to 2013. Relevant historical aerial photographs reviewed under the HSK NDA EIA Study are presented in Appendix A.
- 3.1.1.2 In addition to the above, helicopter reconnaissance and site walkovers were undertaken under the HSK NDA EIA Study in September 2014 and December 2014 respectively to identify the land uses of the surveyed sites at the time. As discussed in Section 2.2 above, no EIA Surveyed Sites were identified within the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. According to the relevant historical aerial photographs reviewed, the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP was occupied by a fish pond from 1978 until 1995 when the SWPTW was developed and no significant land use change was noted since. For the southern portion of the HSKEPP, all of the 5 affected EIA Surveyed Sites were inaccessible at the time as the sites were under private occupation and only peripheral observations were conducted during the site walkovers.
- 3.1.1.3 Findings of the 5 EIA Surveyed Sites under the HSK NDA EIA Study, including land use history, site observations and photographic records, are presented in Appendix Β.

#### 3.1.2 Further Review under this CAP

3.1.2.1 A review of the latest available aerial photograph after the approval of HSK NDA EIA Study has been undertaken to evaluate the likelihood of potential contamination associated with past land uses within the proposed HSKEPP site. Findings of the review are summarized in **Table 3.1**. and the reviewed aerial photograph is provided in Appendix A.

Year	Reference of Aerial Photos in Appendix A (Photo Reference Number)	Site Description
2020	AP1 (#E105608C)	Northern portion of proposed HSKEPPThe northern portion of the proposedHSKEPP remained occupied by theSWPTW. No significant change infacilities / layout of the SWPTW wereobserved.Southern portion of the proposedHSKEPPWarehouse-type structures wereobserved in EIA Surveyed Site C80b,C81, C84 and C85. An open storage (SiC85a_2021), previously vacant land, waobserved adjacent to Site C85.No other significant land use change waobserved in the remaining areas withinthe southern portion of the proposed

Source of aerial photographs: Survey and Mapping Office, Lands Department

#### 3.2 Geology and Hydrogeology

- 3.2.1.1
- according to the existing GI records.
- hillside on the west of the site.

3.1.2.2 Based on the review of aerial photograph above, land use changes (from storage areas / vacant land to warehouse type structures) were noted in EIA Surveyed Site C80b, C81 and C85 within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP since the approval of the HSK NDA EIA Study. In addition, an open storage (Site C85a 2021) was observed which was previously vacant. No significant land use changes were noted within the northern portion and remaining areas of the proposed HSKEPP.

Based on the Desk Study Report for Site Investigation and Laboratory Testing of this Project, there is underlain 2m to 5m thick of fill material comprising of typically sand and gravel materials on the ground surface with reference to existing ground investigation (GI) information. Occasional 1.5m to 2m pond deposit with clay material is identified in the vicinity of the proposed HSKEPP site. Alluvium deposit comprise of interbedded clay, silt and sand materials with the thickness ranging 1m to 6m, is encountered under the fill stratum. 2m to 7m thick colluvium which comprises of typically silt with some gravel material, is deposited near the natural hillside slope.

3.2.1.2 The proposed HSKEPP site is underlain by diversified rock materials such as siltstone, metasiltstone, tuffaceous siltstone, tuffite, tuff, tuff breccia. The thickness of the saprolite (Grade IV/V material) layer is ranging 25m to 48m in the vicinity of the proposed HSKEPP site, and the rockhead level is varied from -20mPD to -43mPD

3.2.1.3 The available groundwater monitoring records from the existing boreholes indicate that the average groundwater table generally range from 0.5m to 5m below ground level in the proposed HSKEPP site. The highest groundwater is recorded near the

#### 3.3 **Records from Government Authorities**

#### **Review of HSK NDA EIA Study** 3.3.1

- 3.3.1.1 The Environmental Protection Department (EPD) and Fire Services Department (FSD) were contacted under the HSK NDA EIA Study for records of (i) Dangerous Goods (DG), (ii) Chemical Waste Producer(s) (CWP(s)), (iii) chemicals and chemical wastes releases and (iv) reported incidents within the HSK NDA EIA Study assessment area.
- 3.3.1.2 Based on the findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study, there were no DG license records, reported chemical spillage / leakage incidents or fire incidents within the proposed HSKEPP site. However, there were 4 active chemical waste producer (CWP) records within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. The relevant records are summarised in Table 3.2 below.

#### Table 3.2 Summary of Chemical Waste Producers within the Proposed HSKEPP Site

EIA Surveyed Site ID <sup>(1)</sup>	Registered Chemical Waste Producer	Address	Business Type	Status at the time of HSK NDA EIA Study	Current Status	Type of Chemical Waste
C80a	Wai Mei Dat Trading Co. Ltd	Lots 1279, 1280, 1281, 1282, 1285, 1286, 1287, 1288, 1289, 1294 (Part), 1295RP (Part), 1351 (Part), 1352 (Part), 1353, 1354, 1355, 1356, 1357, 1358RP and 1359 in DD125, Ha Tsuen Road, Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long, NT	Waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery	Valid	Invalid	Unknown
C80b & C81	Bachy Soletanche Group Limited	DD125 Lot 1188RP, 1287-1293, 1295RP, 1296-1304, 1305RP, 1321RP, 1322RP, 1325RP, 1326RP, 1327-1330, 1339-1341, 1342A, 1342B, 1343-1352, Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long NT	Construction	Valid	Invalid	Unknown
C81	Costa Holdings Limited	DD125 Lot No.1303(Part), Lot 1325PR(Part) and Lot 1326RP(Part), Ha Tsuen Road, Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long, NT	Machine maintenance	Valid	Valid	Unknown
C81	Lau Ming Transportation Co., Ltd	DD125 Lot 1341, Kai Pak Ling, Fung Kong Tsuen, Ping Shan, Yuen Long, NT	Transportation	Valid	Valid	Unknown

(1) Refer to Figure 2.1 for the site locations.

#### Further Review under this CAP 3.3.2

3.3.2.1 The EPD and FSD have been contacted for further records of (i) DG (ii) CWP(s), (iii) chemicals and chemical wastes spillage / leakage and (iv) reported incidents within the proposed HSKEPP site since the HSK NDA EIA Study. The relevant EPD and FSD correspondences are attached in Appendix E.

## Environmental Protection Department

- 3.3.2.2 Based on the replies given by EPD on 23 October 2020 and 28 September 2021, EPD has no chemical spillage / leakage records within the proposed HSKEPP site.
- 3.3.2.3 Further to the EPD's reply, visit to EPD's Southorn Centre Office was undertaken on 3 March 2021 to review the available CWP records. According to the records

reviewed, the same 4 CWPs as reported in the HSK NDA EIA Study were identified within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. Of the 4 CWPs, the CWPs 'Wai Mei Dat Trading Co. Ltd' and 'Bachy Soletanche Group Limited' were no longer active. No CWP records were identified within the northern portion of proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW).

included in Table 3.2.

#### Fire Services Department

site.

#### 3.4 **Further Site Reconnaissance**

#### 3.4.1 General

discussed below.

#### 3.4.2 Northern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW)

- Sewage treatment Works Phase 1".
  - were observed during the site walkover.

3.3.2.4 The updated information of the CWPs (including business type and status) are

3.3.2.5 Based on the reply given by FSD on 14 January 2021, FSD has no records of DG licenses, spillage / leakage of DG or fire incidents found within the proposed HSKEPP

3.4.1.1 Site walkovers were conducted under this CAP to review the current land uses and to identify any sources of land contamination within the northern portion (SWPTW) and southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. Findings of the site walkovers are

3.4.2.1 Site walkover was conducted on 14 May 2021 within the decommissioned SWPTW. The photographic records and site layout plans are shown in Appendix C. Questionnaire was conducted with available site representative of SWPTW from DSD and findings are incorporated in the site walkover checklist in Appendix D.

3.4.2.2 According to the site representative, the SWPTW was decommissioned in March 2021. Currently, the site is largely used for the storage of construction materials supporting the construction works of upgrading the San Wai Sewage Treatment Works (STW) (Phase 1), located adjacent to and north of the decommissioned SWPTW, under Contract No. DC/2013/10 "Design, Build and Operate San Wai

3.4.2.3 As observed during the site walkover, the decommissioned SWPTW mainly consists of a disused inlet chamber, a former administration building, a former solids handling station, disused water storage tank, 3 disused detritors, 3 disused fine screen chambers, a transformer house and a backup generator. The former administration building mainly comprised of an office, staff rest room, store room, toilet and a workshop. Apart from the transformer house and backup generator, the observed SWPTW facilities were no longer in operation. At the time of the site walkover, storage of construction materials (e.g. plastic / metal drainage pipes) were observed in the open areas in the south and southwest of the site. Two chemical storage areas for lubricating oil / grease drums were observed in the former workshop and solids handling station. According to the site representative from DSD, these chemicals were temporarily stored on site for the current construction works of upgrading the San Wai STW (Phase 1). The remaining areas of the site are covered by access roads and vegetation. Except for the landscaped areas, all external areas including construction materials storage areas and access roads were observed to be paved with intact concrete in good condition and no oil stains / spillage / stressed vegetation

3.4.2.4 According to the site representative, prior to decommissioning of the SWPTW, the majority of repair and maintenance of plant equipment on site (e.g. pumps and valves) were carried out within the workshop in the administration building or off-site. Lubricating oils were reportedly used for equipment maintenance in the workshop and diesel was used as fuel for the backup generator. Sodium hypochlorite solution (bleach) was reportedly used for preliminary sewage treatment on site. As bleach is not considered as a land contaminant, no potential land contamination issues were anticipated from the usage / storage of bleach on site. Based on EPD/FSD/DSD records, there were no reported chemical spillage / leakage incidents within the decommissioned SWPTW.

- 3.4.2.5 Based on the site walkover, there are potential land contamination issues associated with past and current facilities / areas that handled chemicals or petroleum products / chemical wastes. The past and current facilities / areas include the former workshop, the existing chemical storage area in the former solids handling station, the existing backup generator and transformer house. No potentially contaminating land uses / activities were identified in the remaining areas of the decommissioned SWPTW.
- 3.4.2.6 Findings of the site walkover for the past and current facilities / areas identified within SWPTW with potential land contamination concerns are summarised in **Table 3.3**

### 3.4.3 Southern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP

- 3.4.3.1 Site walkover was conducted on 25 August 2021 within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. Similar to the findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study, the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP largely consists of potentially contaminated sites (e.g. warehouses and container storage at EIA Surveyed Site C80a, C80b, C81, C84 and C85). An additional potentially contaminated site (Site C85a\_2021) was observed, which was previously vacant. A total of 6 potentially contaminated sites were identified within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. All the sites were private land lots and were inaccessible at the time of site walkover for inspection.
- 3.4.3.2 Findings of site walkover for the potentially contaminated sites are summarised in **Table 3.4**. Photographic records taken during the site walkover are presented in **Appendix C**. The site walkover checklist is presented in **Appendix D**.

### 3.5 Future Land Uses and Assessment Criteria

- 3.5.1.1 Land contamination assessment on the potentially contaminated sites would need to be evaluated against the Risk-based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) and if there were presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL), the soil saturation limit (Csat) and solubility limit, as stipulated in Table 2.1 and Table 2.2 of the Guidance Manual.
- 3.5.1.2 The RBRGs were developed based on a risk assessment approach to suit the local environmental conditions and community needs in Hong Kong. Decisions on contaminated soil and groundwater remediation are based on the nature and extent of the potential risks that are posed to human receptors as a result of exposure to chemicals in the soil and/or groundwater. RBRGs were developed for four different land use scenarios as below reflecting the typical physical settings in Hong Kong under which people could be exposed to contaminated soil and groundwater. Definitions of the land use scenarios are stipulated in the Guidance Note and Guidance Manual.
  - Urban residential
  - Rural residential
  - Industrial
  - Public parks
- 3.5.1.3 As the proposed development under the Project is related to sewage treatment works, the Industrial land use scenario is considered appropriate as the assessment criteria. Nevertheless, as recommended in the HSK NDA CAP, in the event that future land

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant - Investigation

use is revised subsequent to the HSK NDA CAP or in doubt during the assessment, the most stringent set of the RBRGs should be adopted.

3.5.1.4 The future land uses and the appropriate RBRGs land use scenarios are shown in **Table 3.3** and **Table 3.4**.

Concerned Facility / Area (Approx. Area) <sup>(1)</sup>	Hotspot (Approx. Area) <sup>(1)</sup>	Site Observation / Current Potentially Contaminated Land Uses and Activities	Site Walkover Photographic Record Reference in Appendix C	Other Historical Potentially Contaminated Land Use / Activities <sup>(2)</sup>	Potential COCs <sup>(3)</sup>	Future Land Use	Relevant RBRGs Land Use Scenario
Former workshop in administration building (25 m²)	<ul> <li>Existing chemical storage area (1 m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>Former chemical waste storage area (1 m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A former workshop was observed within the administration building in the northeast of SWPTW.</li> <li>The workshop was concrete paved noted to be in good condition with no oil stains / spillage observed.</li> <li>The workshop has ceased operation since the SWPTW was decommissioned in March 2021. Repair and maintenance for plant equipment on site (e.g. pumps and valves) were reportedly conducted in the workshop when the SWPTW was in operation.</li> <li>Typical maintenance may have included greasing and lubrication involving lubrication oils.</li> <li>A signage of 'chemical waste' was observed in the former workshop, indicating possible former chemical waste storage.</li> <li>A few spent lubricating oil drums were observed in the former workshop, which were stored directly on concrete paved floor. According to the site representative from DSD, these chemicals were temporarily stored on site for the current construction works of upgrading the San Wai STW (Phase 1) (adjacent to and north of SWPTW).</li> </ul>	Photo 2191, 6848 & 7307 in <b>PR1</b>	-	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Effluent polishing plant	Industrial
Former solids handling station (370 m²)	Existing chemical storage area (2 m²)	<ul> <li>The former solids handling station is located in the northwest of the SWPTW and comprised of a control room on the ground floor and a screening press room on the basement floor. The station has ceased operation since the SWPTW was decommissioned in March 2021. All internal floors were generally paved with concrete or tiles in good condition.</li> <li>Storage of sodium hypochlorite solution (bleach) was observed on wooden pallet in the north of the basement floor. No signs of spillage were observed on the tiled floor in the vicinity of the storage area. As bleach is not considered as a land contaminant, no potential land contamination issues associated with the usage and storage of bleach were anticipated.</li> <li>9 lubricating oil / grease drums were observed in the south of the basement floor, which were stored directly on tiled floor. Oil stains were observed on the tiled floor in the vicinity of the chemical storage area. According to the site representative from DSD, these chemicals were temporarily stored on site for the current construction works of upgrading the San Wai STW (Phase 1) (adjacent to and north of SWPTW).</li> <li>Based on site observation and information provided by the site representative, except for the existing chemical storage area, no other potentially contaminating activities were identified in the former solids handling station.</li> </ul>	Photo 6907 in <b>PR1</b> , 2242 & 7345 in <b>PR2</b>	-	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Effluent polishing plant	Industrial
Backup generator (15 m <sup>2</sup> )	Generator (15 m <sup>2</sup> )	<ul> <li>A diesel-powered generator set with a 500L diesel tank was observed in the southeast of SWPTW.</li> <li>The generator was reportedly used as the backup emergency generator for the site.</li> <li>The generator was situated directly on intact concrete paved ground with no signs of oil stains / spillage observed in the vicinity.</li> </ul>	Photo 7329 in <b>PR1</b>	-	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Effluent polishing plant	Industrial
Transformer house (110 m <sup>2</sup> )	Transformer*	<ul> <li>The existing transformer house is located in the southeast of SWPTW and is currently operated by CLP and was inaccessible for detailed site inspection.</li> <li>As reported by the site representative from DSD, the transformer house consists of a switch gear room and a transformer room.</li> <li>Based on peripheral observations, the transformer house was located on concrete paved ground in good condition with no signs of oil stains / spillage observed in the vicinity.</li> </ul>	Photo 6870 in <b>PR1</b>	-	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs •PCBs	Effluent polishing plant	Industrial

#### Table 3.3 Summary of Potential Land Contamination Issues within the Northern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW)

Note:

(1) Refer to Figure 3.1 for locations of the concerned areas.
(2) Based on the findings under the HSK NDA EIA Study. Refer to Appendix A for the relevant historical aerial photographs.
(3) The potential COCs were determined based on Practice Guide. PCRs – petroleum carbon ranges; VOCs – volatile organic chemicals; SVOCs – semi-volatile organic chemicals and PCBs – polychlorinated biphenyls.
\* The location and area of the transformer shall be confirmed when the transformer house was accessible for detailed site inspection.

Potentially Contaminated Site ID <sup>(1)</sup>	Suspected Current Land Use	Approx. Site Area (m²)	Site Observation / Current Potentially Contaminated Land Uses and Activities	Site Walkover Photographic Record Reference in Appendix C	Historical Potentially Contaminated Land Use / Activities <sup>(2)</sup>	Potential COCs <sup>(3)</sup>	Partial / Full Encroachment into Proposed HSKEPP Site	Relevant RBRGs Land Use Scenario <sup>(4)</sup>
C80a	Warehouse	9,080 (Area encroached into Project: 8,010)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>Large warehouse-type structures and bags of goods on wooden pallets were observed on concrete paved floor from the outside.</li> <li>Based on EPD information, 1 invalid CWP record registered for waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery was identified for the site. No chemical spillage / DG / incident records were identified for the site.</li> </ul>	Photo 8480 in <b>PR3</b>	Container storage, open area storage, recycling facility and warehouse	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs •PCBs	Partial	Industrial
C80b	Warehouse	17,180 (Area encroached into Project: 16,150)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>A large warehouse and trucks were observed from the outside. The site was largely concrete paved.</li> <li>Based on EPD information, 1 invalid CWP record registered for construction was identified for the site. No chemical spillage / DG / incident records were identified for the site.</li> </ul>	Construction material storage and equipment depot / warehouse	Metals (Full List)     VOCs (Full List)     SVOCs (Full List)     PCRs	Partial	Industrial	
C81	Warehouse and container storage	25,640 (Area encroached into Project: 7,680)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>Large warehouse-type structures, open storage of containers and container handler were observed on concrete paved ground from the outside.</li> <li>Based on EPD information, 2 valid CWP records registered for machine maintenance and transportation, and 1 invalid CWP records registered for construction were identified for the site. No chemical spillage / DG / incident records were identified for the site.</li> </ul>	Photo 8486 & 9429 in <b>PR3</b>	Container and construction material storage / warehouse	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Partial	Industrial
C84	Warehouse	1,020 (Area encroached into Project: 860)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>Temporary warehouse-type structures and lorries were observed on concrete paved ground from the outside.</li> <li>Based on EPD / FSD information, there were no chemical spillage / CWP / DG / incident records for the site.</li> </ul>	Photo 7574 & 9384 in <b>PR4</b>	Warehouse	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Partial	Industrial
C85	Warehouse	6,000 (Area encroached into Project: 1,070)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>Large temporary warehouse-type structure was observed.</li> <li>Based on EPD / FSD information, there were no chemical spillage / CWP / DG / incident records for the site.</li> </ul>	Photo 7486 & 8496 in <b>PR4</b>	Warehouse	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Partial	Lower of Industrial or Public Park
C85a_2021	Open area storage	1,680 (Area encroached into Project: 1,040)	<ul> <li>The site was inaccessible for site inspection.</li> <li>Pipes and metal sheets were observed on unpaved ground from the outside.</li> <li>Based on EPD / FSD information, there were no chemical spillage / CWP / DG / incident records for the site.</li> </ul>	Photo 7486 & 9391 in <b>PR4</b>	Open area storage	•Metals (Full List) •VOCs (Full List) •SVOCs (Full List) •PCRs	Partial	Lower of Industrial or Public Park

#### Table 3.4 Summary of Potential Land Contamination Issues within the Southern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP

Note:

 Refer to Figure 3.1 for locations of the potentially contaminated sites.
 Based on the findings under the HSK NDA EIA Study. Refer to Appendix A and B for the relevant historical aerial photographs and details of the development history for the potentially contaminated land uses / activities. (3) The potential COCs were determined based on Practice Guide and took in account current and historical land uses, which are subject to change based on site re-appraisal when site access is available. PCRs – petroleum carbon ranges; VOCs – volatile organic chemicals; SVOCs – semi-volatile organic chemicals and PCBs – polychlorinated biphenyls.

(4) The relevant RBRGs land use scenarios would be subject to findings of the site re-appraisal (refer to Section 6).

# 4 SITE INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS

## 4.1 Sampling and Testing Plan

### 4.1.1 Northern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW)

- 4.1.1.1 Based on the findings of the site appraisal, intrusive SI works is considered necessary for the 4 facilities / areas identified with potential land contamination concerns at the SWPTW in the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. A total of 6 sampling locations are proposed to study the vertical profile of possible contamination at the SWPTW. The proposed sampling locations followed the recommended grid sampling arrangement in Table 2.1 of EPD Practice Guide and have taken into account the sources of contamination (i.e. hotspots) identified during the site walkover. However, as the existing transformer house was inaccessible for site walkover at the time of reporting, the proposed sampling location within the transformer house should be reviewed when access is available for site re-appraisal at a later stage of the Project. The key COCs for the concerned areas were determined with reference to EPD's Practice Guide and include VOCs, SVOCs, metals, PCRs and PCBs.
- 4.1.1.2 Details of the sampling and testing plan are shown in **Table 4.1** and the sampling locations are illustrated in **Figure 4.1**. The exact sampling locations are subject to fine adjustment according to the actual site conditions and existence of underground structures/utilities as determined by the on-site land contamination specialist.
- 4.1.1.3 Permission of conducting SI works at the SWPTW could not be obtained from DSD as all the concerned facilities are still in use (the former workshop and solids handling station for material storage and the existing transformer house and backup generator for power generation) until demolition of the site. Therefore, it is considered not feasible to conduct the proposed SI works under this EIA study. Given that the transformer house is inaccessible and that the concerned facilities are still in use, site re-appraisal for the entire northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP and SI works should be carried out at a later stage of the Project (refer to **Section 6** for details).

### 4.1.2 Southern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP

- 4.1.2.1 Based on the findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study and site appraisal, 6 potentially contaminated sites were identified within the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP. However, all these concerned sites were inaccessible at the time of reporting to determine the sampling locations for SI works. Given that all of the concerned sites are inaccessible at this stage in time and that re-development of the concerned sites would only commence after a number of years, site re-appraisal should be carried out when site access is available to determine the actual sampling and testing requirements for the concerned sites.
- 4.1.2.2 A preliminary sampling and testing plan for these potentially contaminated sites has been prepared based on the findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study and site appraisal and with reference to EPD's Practice Guide. Details of the preliminary sampling and testing plan is shown in **Table 4.2**. Grid sampling strategy, in accordance with Table 2.1 of the Practice Guide and recommendations of the HSK NDA EIA Study, was generally adopted for these potentially contaminated sites. Subject to the findings of site re-appraisal when site access is available, extra sampling locations may be required for any additional potential sources of contamination (or 'hotspots') within the concerned sites. The key COCs for the potentially contaminated sites were determined with reference to EPD's Practice Guide and include VOCs, SVOCs, metals, PCRs and PCBs.

Concerned	Hotspot	Sampling	Sampling and Testing	Sompling Mothed	Sample Matrix/ Depth <sup>(2)</sup>			Parame	eters to b	e Tested <sup>(3</sup>	3)
(Approx. Area)	(Approx. Area)	ID <sup>(1)</sup>	Rationale	Sampling wethod			PCRs	VOCs	SVOCs	Metals	PCBs
Former workshop in administration building (25 m <sup>2</sup> )	-	SWENV- BH01	Sampling to target the former workshop (approx. 25 m <sup>2</sup> )	Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table	Soil	<ul> <li>(i) 0.5m bgl</li> <li>(ii) 1.5m bgl</li> <li>(iii) 3.0m bgl</li> <li>(iv) at GW level or 6m bgl<sup>(4)</sup></li> </ul>	~	~	~	~	-
				or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	✓	~	~	Mercury only	-
	Existing chemical storage	SWENV- BH02	Target potential hotspot area at chemical storage area	Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table	Soil	<ul> <li>(i) 0.5m bgl</li> <li>(ii) 1.5m bgl</li> <li>(iii) 3.0m bgl</li> <li>(iv) at GW level or 6m bgl<sup>(4)</sup></li> </ul>	~	~	~	~	-
	(1 m <sup>2</sup> )			or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	✓	~	~	Mercury only	-
	Former chemical waste SWENV- storage BH03		Target potential hotspot area at former chemical waste	Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table	Soil	(i) 0.5m bgl (ii) 1.5m bgl (iii) 3.0m bgl (iv) at GW level or 6m bgl <sup>(4)</sup>	~	~	~	~	-
	area (1 m <sup>2</sup> )			or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	$\checkmark$	~	~	Mercury only	-
Former solids handling station	rmer solids dling station chemical storage		Target potential hotspot area at chemical storage area (2	Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table	Soil	<ul> <li>(i) 0.5m bgl</li> <li>(ii) 1.5m bgl</li> <li>(iii) 3.0m bgl</li> <li>(iv) at GW level or 6m bgl<sup>(4)</sup></li> </ul>	~	~	~	~	-
(370 11-)	(2 m <sup>2</sup> ) or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	$\checkmark$	~	~	Mercury only	-			
Backup generator	Generator (15 m²)	SWENV- BH05	Target potential hotspot area at generator (approx. 15 m <sup>2</sup> )	Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table	Soil	<ul> <li>(i) 0.5m bgl</li> <li>(ii) 1.5m bgl</li> <li>(iii) 3.0m bgl</li> <li>(iv) at GW level or 6m bgl<sup>(4)</sup></li> </ul>	~	~	V	~	-
				or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	✓	~	~	Mercury only	-
Transformer house	Transformer	Fransformer SWENV- (5) BH06 <sup>(5)</sup> Target potential hotspot area (e.g. transformer) at Borehole drilling to 2m below the groundwater table		Soil	<ul> <li>(i) 0.5m bgl</li> <li>(ii) 1.5m bgl</li> <li>(iii) 3.0m bgl</li> <li>(iv) at GW level or 6m bgl<sup>(4)</sup></li> </ul>	~	~	~	~	✓	
(110 m <sup>2</sup> )	.,			or 6m bgl	GW	If present <sup>(4)</sup>	✓	✓	~	Mercury only	$\checkmark$

# Table 4.1 Sampling and Testing Plan for Decommissioned SWPTW in Northern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP

Note:

# Contamination Assessment Plan for HSKEPP – Final

- (1) Refer to Figure 4.1 for concerned facility / area and proposed sampling locations.
- bgl = below ground level; GW = groundwater (2)
- (3) The testing parameters refer to the parameters as shown in Table 2.1 RBRGs for Soil & Soil Saturation Limit and Table 2.2 RBRGs for Groundwater and Solubility Limit under VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, PCBs and PCRs in the Guidance Manual. Since RBRG value of benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and phenol were not available for groundwater, the said parameters would not be tested in groundwater samples.
- (4) The deepest depth of sampling should be at groundwater table or 6m bgl, whichever is shallower. Groundwater sample would only be collected if encountered.
- The existing transformer house was inaccessible for site walkover at the time of reporting. The potential hotpot area (e.g. transformer) and the proposed sampling location within the (5) transformer house should be reviewed when access is available for site re-appraisal at a later stage of the Project.

# Contamination Assessment Plan for HSKEPP - Final

# Table 4.2 Preliminary Sampling and Testing Plan for Southern Portion of Proposed HSKEPP

Potentially Contaminated Site ID <sup>(1)</sup>	Suspected Current / Historical Potentially Contaminating Land Use <sup>(2)</sup>	Approx. Site Area (m²)	Approx. Encroached Area (m²)	Potential COCs <sup>(3)</sup>	Recommended Minimum Number of Sampling Locations (Based on Area Within the Proposed HSKEPP Site) <sup>(4)</sup>
C80a	Warehouse / container storage / open area storage / recycling facility	9,080	8,010	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List), PCRs and PCBs	28
C80b	Warehouse / construction material storage / equipment depot	17,180	16,150	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List) and PCRs	29
C81	Warehouse / container storage / construction material storage	25,640	7,680	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List) and PCRs	27
C84	Warehouse	1,020	860	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List) and PCRs	5
C85	Warehouse	6,000	1,070	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List) and PCRs	6
C85a_2021	Open area storage	1,680	1,040	Metals (Full List), VOCs (Full List), SVOCs (Full List) and PCRs	6

Note:

(1) Refer to Figure 3.1 for locations of the identified potentially contaminated sites.

Due to limited site access and only peripheral site inspections were carried out at the time of site walkover and the HSK NDA EIA study, the land use may be subject to change after site (2) re-appraisal when the site is accessible.

The potential COCs are based on findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study and will be confirmed after the site is accessible for re-appraisal. (3)

- (i) Full list refers to the parameters as shown in Table 2.1 Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Soil & Soil Saturation Limit and Table 2.2 RBRGs for Groundwater and Solubility Limit under Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs), metals and Petroleum Carbon Ranges (PCRs) in the Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-Based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management.
- (ii) PCBs refer to polychlorinated biphenyls.

(iii)

Since RBRG value for metals except for Mercury, Benzo(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(g,h,i)perylene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and Phenol were not available for groundwater, the said parameters would not be tested in groundwater samples. (4) The recommended minimum number of sampling locations were based on the area encroached into the proposed HSKEPP site and Table 2.1 of the Practice Guide. For potentially contaminated sites that only partially encroached into the proposed HSKEPP site, the minimum number of sampling locations should be reviewed if the SI works within and outside the proposed HSKEPP site are to be conducted together (e.g. similar to the minimum number of sampling locations recommended in the HSK NDA EIA Study). The actual number of sampling locations will be subject to the findings of the site re-appraisal when the site is accessible.

#### Soil Sampling Method and Depth of Sampling 4.2

- 4.2.1.1 The actual sampling and testing requirements for the SI works should be confirmed at the time of site re-appraisal when the potentially contaminated sites are accessible. The general sampling and testing strategy are discussed below.
- 4.2.1.2 All soil boring / excavation and sampling should be supervised by a land contamination specialist.
- 4.2.1.3 Boreholes should be undertaken by means of dry rotary drilling method (without the use of flushing medium to prevent cross-contamination during sampling). For safety reasons, an inspection pit should be excavated down to 1.5 m below ground level (bgl) to inspect for underground utilities at the proposed borehole location. Disturbed soil samples should be collected at 0.5 m bgl, using stainless steel hand tools or other appropriate equipment. Soil boring using drill rigs should then be performed from depth of 1.5 m to the maximum boring depth. Undisturbed U100 / U76 soil samples should be collected at depths of 1.5 m bgl, 3.0 m bgl and at 3.0 m interval afterwards. The termination depth of sampling should be of 2 m below the groundwater table or 6.0 m bgl, whichever is shallower. The appropriate depths of sampling at each sampling location will be determined by the on-site land contamination specialist subject to the actual site conditions.
- 4.2.1.4 At each sampling location/depth, sufficient quantity of soil sample (as specified by the laboratory) should be taken. All soil samples should be uniquely labelled. Backup samples should be retained and stored at temperature of 0 - 4 °C in laboratory.

#### 4.3 Strata Logging

4.3.1.1 Strata logging for boreholes should be undertaken during the course of drilling/digging and sampling by a qualified geologist. The logs should include the general stratigraphic description, depth of soil sampling, sample notation and level of groundwater (if encountered). The presence of rocks/boulders/cobbles and foreign materials such as metals, wood and plastics should also be recorded.

#### Groundwater Sampling and Free Product Measurement 4.4

- 4.4.1.1 Groundwater samples should be collected at each of the sampling location if groundwater is encountered.
- 4.4.1.2 At each borehole location, a groundwater monitoring well should be installed. A typical design of a groundwater monitoring well is shown in Appendix G. After installation of the monitoring wells, the depth to water table at all monitoring wells should be measured with an interface probe in order to assess groundwater gradients and predominant flow direction. Well developments (approximately five well volumes) should be carried out to remove silt and drilling fluid residue from the wells. The wells should then be allowed to stand for a day to permit groundwater conditions to equilibrate.
- 4.4.1.3 Groundwater level and thickness of free product layer, if present, should be measured at each well before groundwater samples are taken. In the unlikely event that measurable thicknesses of free product are encountered, a sample should be collected for laboratory analysis to determine the composition.
- 4.4.1.4 Prior to groundwater sampling, the monitoring wells should be purged (i.e. at least three well volumes) to remove fine-grained materials and to collect representative fresh groundwater samples.
- 4.4.1.5 After purging, one groundwater sample should be collected at each well using Teflon bailer and decanted immediately into appropriate sample containers in a manner that

#### 4.5 **Sample Size and Decontamination Procedures**

- water.
- chemical analysis.
- carbon ranges or other volatile chemicals.
- taken and analysed within the respective holding time.

#### 4.6 Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures

- analysis on the collected samples.
  - 1 duplicate per 20 samples;
  - 1 equipment blank per 20 samples;
  - 1 field blank per 20 samples; and •

minimises agitation and volatilisation of VOCs from the samples for the purpose of storage and transportation. The sample containers should be supplied by the laboratory and should be new, clean and made of 'amber glass'. Groundwater samples should be placed in the glass containers with zero headspace and promptly sealed with a Teflon-lined cap. All samples should be uniquely labelled.

4.4.1.6 Immediately after collection, samples should be placed in ice chests, cooled and maintained at temperature of about 0 - 4 °C until delivered to the analytical laboratory.

4.5.1.1 All down hole or digging equipment in contact with the ground should be thoroughly decontaminated between each sampling event to minimise the potential for cross contamination. The equipment (including drilling pit, digging tools and soil/groundwater samplers) should be decontaminated by steam cleaning, then washing with phosphate-free detergent and finally rinsed with distilled / deionised

4.5.1.2 Prior to sampling, the laboratory responsible for analysis should be consulted on the particular sample size and preservation procedures that are necessary for each

4.5.1.3 The sample containers should be laboratory cleaned, sealable, water-tight, made of glass or other suitable materials with Teflon-lined lids, so that the container surface will not react with the sample or adsorb contaminants. No headspace should be allowed in the containers which contain samples to be analysed for VOCs, petroleum

4.5.1.4 The containers should be labelled with information such as sampling date / time, sampling location, the depths at which the samples were taken. If the contents are hazardous, this should be clearly marked on the container and precautions should be taken during transportation. Samples should be stored at 0 - 4°C but never frozen. Samples should be delivered to the laboratory on the same day the sample being

4.6.1.1 QA/QC samples should be collected in the following frequency during the SI works. Chain-of-Custody protocol should be adopted. Chain-of-Custody documentation should be prepared to document sample handling and transport procedures from the point of collection at the site to the laboratory and with instructions for the laboratory

1 trip blank per trip for the analysis of volatile parameters (i.e. VOCs and C6-C8).

#### **Health and Safety** 4.7

- 4.7.1.1 The specific safety measures to be taken depend on the nature and content of contamination, the site conditions and the regulations related to site safety requirements. Workmen Compensation Insurance and third party insurance must be provided for the SI.
- 4.7.1.2 Extreme care should be exercised in the event that potentially toxic gases or other suspected hazardous materials are encountered. Any abnormal conditions found shall be reported immediately to the safety officer and the land contamination specialist.
- 4.7.1.3 The SI contractor shall establish and maintain a Health and Safety Plan before commencement of the SI that will include the following:
- (a) Instruction of works on work procedures, safe practices, emergency duties, and applicable regulations;
- (b) Regularly scheduled meetings of the workers in which the possible hazards, problems of the job, and related safe practices are emphasized and discussed;
- (c) Good housekeeping practices; and
- (d) Availability of and instruction in the location, use and maintenance of personal protective equipment.
- 4.7.1.4 The SI contractor shall maintain equipment and supplies reasonably required in an emergency, including lifesaving, evacuation, rescue and medical equipment in good working order and condition at all times. The SI contractor shall use all reasonable means to control and prevent fires and explosions, injury to personnel and damage to equipment of property. Without limiting the foregoing, the SI contractor shall:
- (a) Maintain proper safety devices, barriers to minimize hazards during performance of the work:
- (b) Prohibit smoking and open flames and the carrying of matches and lighters;
- (c) Develop and maintain a written emergency plan applicable to the Work and Site;
- (d) Maintain equipment in good operating condition and have emergency and first aid equipment ready for immediate use, where applicable;
- (e) Conduct equipment tests to ensure that equipment is properly placed and in good operating condition, and that workers are able to respond to emergency situations;
- (f) Require all workers employed or retained by the Contractor, or a subcontractor, to at all time wear clothing suitable for existing work, weather and environmental conditions; and
- (g) Ensure appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (e.g. safety helmet and protective boots) are worn by workers and site staff. If necessary, respirator and gloves should be worn for vapour exposure protection.

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant - Investigation

#### 4.8 Laboratory Analysis

the COCs under this land contamination assessment.

#### Table 4.3 Parameters, Reporting Limits and Reference Methods for Laboratory Analysis

		Soil		Groundwater				
Item	Parameter	Reporting Limit (mg/kg) or otherwise specified	Reference Method*	Reporting Limit (µg/L) or otherwise specified	Reference Method*			
SVOC	SVOCs							
1	Acenaphthene	0.5		2				
2	Acenaphthylene	0.5		2				
3	Anthracene	0.5		2				
4	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.5		NA				
5	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5		NA				
6	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.5		1				
7	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.5		NA				
8	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5		NA				
9	bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	5		NA				
10	Chrysene	0.5	USEPA 8270	1	USEPA 8270			
11	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.5	02/0	NA	0270			
12	Fluoranthene	0.5		2				
13	Fluorene	0.5		2				
14	Hexachlorobenzene	0.2		4				
15	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5		NA				
16	Naphthalene	0.5		2				
17	Phenanthrene	0.5	1	2				
18	Phenol	0.5		NA				
19	Pyrene	0.5		2				
VOCs			•	•				
20	Acetone	50		500				
21	Bromodichloromethane	0.1		5				
22	2-Butanone	5		50				
23	Chloroform	0.04		5				
24	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	0.5		5				
25	Methylene Chloride	0.5		50				
26	Styrene	0.5	USEPA 8260	5	8260			
27	Tetrachloroethene	0.04	0200	5	0_00			
28	Trichloroethene	0.1		5				
29	Benzene	0.2	_	5	]			
30	Toluene	0.5		5				
31	Ethylbenzene	0.5		5				
32	Xylenes	2		20				
Metals	Metals							
33	Antimony	1		NA	NA			

4.8.1.1 **Table 4.3** summarizes the parameters, the recommended reporting limits and reference methods for the laboratory analyses of soil and groundwater samples for Contamination Assessment Plan for HSKEPP- Final

		Soil		Groundwater		
Item	Parameter	Reporting Limit (mg/kg) or otherwise specified	Reference Method*	Reporting Limit (µg/L) or otherwise specified	Reference Method*	
34	Arsenic	1		NA	NA	
35	Barium	1	USEPA 6020	NA	NA	
36	Cadmium	0.2	0020	NA	NA	
37	Chromium III^	1	By calculation	NA	NA	
38	Chromium VI	1	USEPA 3060 APHA 3500 CR:D	NA	NA	
39	Cobalt	1		NA	NA	
40	Copper	1	USEPA	NA	NA	
41	Lead	1	6020	NA	NA	
42	Manganese	1		NA	NA	
43	Mercury	0.05	APHA 3112B	0.5	APHA 3112B	
44	Molybdenum	1		NA		
45	Nickel	1	USEPA	NA	NA	
46	Tin	1	6020	NA	NA	
47	Zinc	1		NA		
Petrol	eum Carbon Ranges					
48	C <sub>6</sub> - C <sub>8</sub>	5		20		
49	C <sub>9</sub> - C <sub>16</sub>	200	8015/8260	500	USEPA 8015/8260	
50	C <sub>17</sub> - C <sub>35</sub>	500		500	0010/0200	
PCBs		1	•			
51	PCBs	0.1	USEPA 8270	1	USEPA 8270	
Notes						

NA = Not Applicable

^ Chromium III is quantified by calculation based on Chromium VI and Total Chromium measured under HOKLAS accredited methods.

\* Alternative testing methods with accreditation by HOKLAS or its Mutual Recognition Arrangement partners are also accepted.

- 4.8.1.2 All laboratory testing methods for the above parameters should be accredited by the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) or one of its Mutual Recognition Arrangement partners.
- 4.8.1.3 If contamination is identified and landfill disposal of contaminated soil is considered necessary as the last resort, three impacted soil samples shall be subject to Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) testing to determine whether they comply with the Landfill Disposal Criteria for Contaminated Soil in accordance with the Practice Guide for landfill disposal.

#### 5 INITIAL EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL LAND CONTAMINATION IMPACT AND **POSSIBLE REMEDIATION MEASURES**

- Initial Evaluation of Potential Land Contamination Impact 5.1
  - within the southern portion of proposed HSKEPP.
  - remediated in Hong Kong using proven remediation techniques.
  - recommended further works are discussed in Section 6.
  - the Project.
  - considered as insurmountable.

#### 5.2 **Possible Remediation Measures**

- 5.2.1.1

5.1.1.1 Based on findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study and the site appraisal under this CAP. 4 facilities / areas with land contamination concern were identified within the northern portion of HSKEPP (SWPTW) and 6 potentially contaminated sites were identified

5.1.1.2 For the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP (SWPTW), the potential land contamination concerns were associated with handling and storage of chemicals or petroleum products. The sizes of the identified hotspots (ranged from 1 m<sup>2</sup> to 25 m<sup>2</sup>) were considered small and all the handling and storage activities of chemicals or petroleum products were carried out on paved concrete floor. For the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP, it was suspected that the identified potentially contaminated sites are mostly used for storage (e.g. open area storage, container storage, warehouse and construction material storage). The majority of storage sites are usually kept for the storage of goods, whilst only a small portion of the site may be used for potentially contaminating activities such as vehicle / equipment maintenance and the associated chemical handling/storage. In addition, as reported by EPD and FSD, there are no records of spillage / leakage accidents of chemicals / chemical wastes within the proposed HSKEPP. As such, the contamination extent, if any, caused by the operations of the concerned areas is anticipated to be localized. Furthermore, the COCs identified included metals, VOCs, SVOCs, PCRs and PCBs, which are readily treatable using established techniques and have been effectively

5.1.1.3 All the concerned areas were inaccessible for detailed site walkover or SI works. In addition, as the proposed HSKEPP site are still in use, there could be change in land use or additional hotspots within the concerned areas or other areas within the proposed HSKEPP site prior to development. Similar to the HSK NDA EIA Study. further works including site re-appraisal for the entire proposed HSKEPP site, SI works and if necessary remediation works are recommended to be carried out for the concerned sites prior to the construction of the proposed HSKEPP. Details of the

5.1.1.4 If the recommended further works were properly implemented, any contaminated soil and groundwater within the proposed HSKEPP site would be properly identified and treated using appropriate remediation methods and according to EPD's approved Remediation Action Plan (RAP) prior to the construction works. Hence, no potential land contamination impact is anticipated during construction and operation phase of

5.1.1.5 Given the above and similar to the findings of the HSK NDA EIA Study, the land contamination issues in the identified potentially contaminated sites would not be

The actual remediation methods could only be determined after completion of the land contamination assessment (including intrusive SI works and EPD's agreement on the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and RAP. The RAP will provide details of the remedial actions for any identified contaminated soil and groundwater.

5.2.1.2 Nevertheless, the potential COCs may include metals, VOCs, SVOCs, PCRs and PCBs. For contaminated soil, there are a number of technologies commercially available to tackle the identified COCs and are presented in the Practice Guide. Technologies that are commonly used in Hong Kong are biopiling and cement solidification/stabilisation. These ex-situ methods were proven to be effective in treating the target COCs and the treated soil could then be reused on site (e.g. backfilling materials). For groundwater, there are remediation techniques as shown in EPD's Practice Guide (e.g. air sparging, recovery trenches / wells, in-ground containment/capping and permeable reactive barriers) that could be applied if contaminated groundwater were identified.

#### FURTHER WORKS AND TENTATIVE PROGRAMME SCHEDULE 6

- prior to conducting any SI works.
- shall be commenced prior to EPD's agreement of the RR.
- CEDD.

# Table 6.1 **Tentative Schedule for** Portion of Proposed H Task Site re-appraisal, preparation and submission of supplementary CAP Approval of supplementary CAP SI works, laboratory tests, preparation and submission of CAR/RAP

Approval of CAR/RAP

Remediation works, preparation and submission of RR

6.1.1.1 Similar to the HSK NDA EIA Study, the identified concerned areas were inaccessible for detailed site walkover or SI works and were still in operation. In addition, there might be change in land use prior to development which could result in further land contamination issues. Therefore, site re-appraisal should be conducted for the identified concerned areas prior to development of the sites in order to update findings of the site appraisal (e.g. change in land use and additional hotspots) and the sampling and testing requirements for SI works. In addition, re-appraisal would be required for the other remaining areas of the proposed HSKEPP site in order to assess the latest land uses and site conditions. Supplementary CAP(s), incorporating findings of the site re-appraisal for the entire proposed HSKEPP site and the updated sampling and testing strategy, should be prepared and submitted to EPD for approval

6.1.1.2 SI works should then be carried out according to the EPD approved supplementary CAP(s). After completion of the SI works, CAR(s) should be prepared to present findings of the SI works. If contamination has been identified, RAP(s) should be prepared to recommend specific remediation measures and submitted to EPD for approval. Any contaminated soil and groundwater should be treated according to the EPD approved RAP(s) and Remediation Report(s) (RR(s)) would also be prepared to demonstrate that the clean-up works are adequate and should be submitted to EPD for approval after completion of the remediation works. No development works

6.1.1.3 For the northern portion of the proposed HSKEPP, based on the latest construction programme, demolition of the existing SWPTW is anticipated to be carried out from Q1 to Q3 of 2027 under the Project. The tentative schedule for the corresponding land contamination assessment under the Project is shown in Table 6.1 below. For the southern portion of the proposed HSKEPP, as discussed in Section 2.1.1.2, the site formation works (including any decontamination works) will be undertaken by CEDD separately under the HSK NDA project prior to the construction of the proposed HSKEPP. The schedule for the corresponding land contamination assessment (including the submission of supplementary CAP, CAR and if necessary, RAP and RR) will be subject to the works programme of the HSK NDA project by

r l	_and	Contamination	Assessment	(North	ern
Sk	(EPP	Site)		-	

Tentative Timeframe
Q4 of 2026
Q1 of 2027
Q3-Q4 of 2027
Q4 of 2027
Subject to results of the SI works

## 7 CONCLUSION

- 7.1.1.1 This CAP covered the proposed HSKEPP site and is prepared for the EIA Study.
- 7.1.1.2 A site appraisal, including the review of the HSK NDA EIA Study, desktop review and site walkover, was conducted from August 2020 to September 2021 to identify any current/historical potentially contaminating land uses within the proposed HSKEPP site. Based on the site appraisal findings, 4 facilities / areas with land contamination concern within the northern portion of HSKEPP (SWPTW) and 6 potentially contaminated sites within the southern portion of HSKEPP were identified.
- 7.1.1.3 Similar to the HSK NDA EIA Study, the identified concerned areas were inaccessible for detailed site walkover or SI works and were still in operation. In addition, there might be change in land use prior to development which could result in further land contamination issues. Therefore, site re-appraisal should be conducted for the identified concerned areas prior to development of the sites in order to update findings of the site appraisal (e.g. locations of hotspots) and the sampling and testing requirements for SI works. In addition, re-appraisal would be required for the other remaining areas of the proposed HSKEPP site in order to assess the latest land uses and site conditions. Supplementary CAP(s), incorporating findings of the site re-appraisal for the entire proposed HSKEPP site and the updated sampling and testing strategy, should be prepared and submitted to EPD for approval prior to conducting any SI works.
- 7.1.1.4 SI works should then be carried out according to the EPD approved supplementary CAP(s). After completion of the SI works, CAR(s) should be prepared to present findings of the SI works. If contamination has been identified, RAP(s) should be prepared to recommend specific remediation measures and submitted to EPD for approval. Any contaminated soil and groundwater should be treated according to the EPD approved RAP(s) and Remediation Report(s) (RR(s)) would also be prepared to demonstrate that the clean-up works are adequate and should be submitted to EPD for approval after completion of the remediation works. No development works shall be commenced prior to EPD's agreement of the RR.
- 7.1.1.5 With the implementation of the recommended further works for the Project, any soil/groundwater contamination associated with past or present contaminating land uses would be identified and properly treated prior to the construction works. No insurmountable land contamination impacts to the Project are anticipated.

[Blank]

Final

# Figures

[Blank]





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



渠務署 Drainage Services Department

# 

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1500

METRES

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

60631936

CE 6/2019 (DS)

# SHEET TITLE

LOCATION PLAN OF HSKEPP AND LAND CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT AREA UNDER HSK NDA EIA STUDY

SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/CAP/FIGURE 1.1



# ΑΞϹΟΜ

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



渠務署 Drainage Services Department

### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



# STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. CONTRACT NO.

60631936

SHEET TITLE

CE 6/2019 (DS)

PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN AND RELEVANT EIA SURVEYED SITES

SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/CAP/FIGURE 2.1





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS 介绍工程期间公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

60631936

CE 6/2019 (DS)

#### SHEET TITLE

LOCATIONS OF IDENTIFIED POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED SITES / HOTSPOTS

#### SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/CAP/FIGURE 3.1



# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING **PLANT - INVESTIGATION** 

#### CLIENT



渠務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS 介創工程期間公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:500

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

60631936

CE 6/2019 (DS)

CONCERNED AREAS AND PROPOSED SAMPLING LOCATIONS (NORTHERN PORTION OF PROPOSED HSKEPP)

SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/CAP/FIGURE 4.1

# Appendix A

# **Relevant Historical Aerial Photographs**

[Blank]



# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



渠務署 Drainage Services Department

## CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



TATUS	
段	

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 1 OF 3



Plot File by 'PR(

		]
1		١
		í
_		J
		I

# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

### CLIENT



# CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 1978

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP2

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET 2 OF 3

CE 6/2019 (DS)





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

## CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

KEY PLAN

METRES

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 3 OF 3





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



渠務署 Drainage Services Department

## CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



STATUS

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

KEY PLAN

METRES

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET 1 OF 3

CE 6/2019 (DS)

60631936



	J
	1

# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

## CLIENT



# CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



#### S

_	

SCALE

### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936 CE 6/2019 (DS)

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 1985

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP5

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET 2 OF 3



# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

KEY PLAN

METRES

# PROJECT NO. 60631936

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 3 OF 3





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



築務署 DraInage Services Department

### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

# PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET 1 OF 3

60631936

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET TITLE

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 1995





			]
	•		١
			i
			I

# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT





# CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

60631936 SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

CONTRACT NO.

PROJECT NO.

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 1995

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP8

SHEET 2 OF 3

CE 6/2019 (DS)



# AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 3 OF 3







PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



## STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

60631936

SHEET NUMBER

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 2010

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP10

SHEET TITLE

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 1 OF 3




	٦
	ī

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT





#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 2010

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP11

SHEET 2 OF 3



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



#### s

期	内容摘要
•	

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET 3 OF 3

CE 6/2019 (DS)







PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

60631936

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 1 OF 3

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 2013

SHEET NUMBER

SHEET TITLE

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP13



_	1	J
		]
-		'n

PROPOSED UTILITY TUNNEL PROPOSED HUNG SHUI KIU (HSK) EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT RELEVANT EIA SURVEYED SITE LAND CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT AREA UNDER EIA STUDY



## AECOM

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

AERIAL PHOTOPGRAPH 2013

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP14

SHEET 2 OF 3



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



集務署 Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

DIMENSION UNIT

A1 1 : 1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 3 OF 3

60631936 SHEET TITLE



	1	•		١
				1
	-			ĥ
•			-	1



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT





### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS 分利工程顧問公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

METRES

A11:1000

KEY PLAN इत्याल

PROJECT NO. 60631936

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET NUMBER

SHEET TITLE

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/AP16

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH 2020

CE 6/2019 (DS)

Details of Relevant EIA Surveyed Sites (Extracted from HSK NDA EIA CAP)

# Appendix B

[Blank]

Site Background	
Company Name	Unknown
Site ID	C80a
Suspected Current Land Use / Activities	Warehouse
Approximate Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	9,080
Locations	Southeast of San Wai Treatment Works

Desktop Review	
Review of Outline Zoning Plan	OS
Principal Rock Types / Characters on the Geological Survey Map	Qpa + Qpd + bt + JTU
Historical Land Use (Review of Historical Aerial Photos)	<ul> <li>1978: Green belt and cultivations are observed.</li> <li>1985: Cultivations and village type housings are observed.</li> <li>1995: Cultivations and recycling facility are observed.</li> <li>2010: Container storage and open area storages are observed.</li> <li>2013: A warehouse type structure is observed.</li> </ul>
Reference of Historical Aerial Photos	Appendix A2 - Sheet 12 of 31
Lot No.	DD125 1231, 1243RP – 1245, 1279 – 1282, 1285 – 1292, 1294, 1295, 1352 – 1359 OSL
Land Ownership Status	Private

Site Reconnaissance Information and Recommendation		
Date of Survey	Dec-14	
Conducted by	Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam	
Site Observation	A warehouse was observed.	
	Current Activities and Information from FSD and EPD	
	Warehouses (No specific potentially contaminating activities were identified subject to further site re- appraisal)	
	Based on FSD/EPD information, there were no DGs / incident / spillage records but an active chemical waste producer record for the site.	
Potentially Contaminating Activities		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Past Activities	
	Container Storage, Open Area Storage and Warehouse	
	The possible contaminating activities may include loading, unloading and storage of goods, fuel storage and transfer, maintenance of equipment and vehicles.	
References of Photographs of Site Reconnaissance	Appendix E3 - Sheet 20 of 36	
Recommended No. of Boreholes*	29	
Recommended Grid Size in Practice Guide (in meters)*	18	
Key Chemicals of Concern	Metals (full list), PCRs, VOCs and SVOCs.	
Necessity for Further Site Investigation	Yes	
Future Land Use	Road and Sewage Treatment Works	
RBRGs	Industrial	

Note: The recommended testing and sampling protocol (i.e. grid size, no. of boreholes and key COCs) is only tentative and is subject to be reviewed after the sites are resumed by the Project Proponent.

\*Determination of the number of boreholes and grid size is based on EPD Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (PG) as summarized below:

Area of Site (m <sup>2</sup> )	Grid Size	Min Number of Sampling Points
100	6	3
500	13	3
1,000	13	6
2,000	13	12
4,000	17	14
5,000	17	17
8,000	17	28
10,000	19	29
30,000	31	32
90.000	51	35

<sup>A</sup>TBC: To be confirmed. The current land uses are warehouse with no other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. The presence and degree of contamination would greatly depend on the types of goods stored. For example, warehouses that stored general household goods (e.g. furniture and toys) are unlikely to cause contamination to the underlying soil and groundwater. A site re-appraisal within these sites are therefore required at the later stage of the development to (i) assess the nature of these warehouses and site conditions, (ii) confirm the necessity for site investigation works and, if required, (iii) formulate the sampling and testing strategies.

Agreement No. CE2/2011 Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area Planning an Engineering Study - Investigation Contamination Assessment Plan

Site Background	
Company Name	法國地基建築公司
Site ID	C80b
Suspected Current Land Use / Activities	Construction Material Storage and Equ
Approximate Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	17,180
Locations	Southeast of San Wai Treatment Work
Deskton Poviow	
Review of Outline Zoning Plan	os
Principal Rock Types / Characters on the Geological	Ona + Ond + bt + JTU
Survey Map	
Historical Land Use (Review of Historical Aerial Photos)	<ul> <li>1978: Cultivations are observed.</li> <li>1985: No significant change in land a aerial photo.</li> <li>1995: A container storage area is ob 2010: A construction material storag</li> <li>2013: No significant change in land a aerial photo.</li> </ul>
Reference of Historical Aerial Photos	Appendix A2 - Sheets 12 and 16 of 31
Lot No.	DD125 1290 – 1293, 1295 – 1305RP,
Land Ownership Status	Private
Site Reconnaissance Information and Recommendation	tion
Date of Survey	Dec-14
Conducted by	Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam
Conducted by	
Site Observation	Open area storage of construction mat
	Current Activities and Information from The possible contaminating activities m vehicles, vehicle and equipment maint maintenance activities. Motor vehicle p Based on FSD/EPD information, there the site.
Potentially Contaminating Activities	Past Activities Container Storage and Equipment Dep The possible contaminating activities n storage and transfer, maintenance of e from vehicles, vehicle and equipment r in maintenance activities. Motor vehicle
References of Photographs of Site Reconnaissance	Appendix E3 - Sheet 20 of 36
Recommended No. of Boreholes*	30
Recommended Grid Size in Practice Guide (in meters)*	24
Key Chemicals of Concern	Metals (full list), PCRs, VOCs and SVC
Necessity for Further Site Investigation	Yes
Future Land Use	Road and Sewage Treatment Works
RBRGs	Industrial

Note: The recommended testing and sampling protocol (i.e. grid size, no. of boreholes and key COCs) is only tentative and is subject to be reviewed after the sites are resumed by the Project Proponent.

\*Determination of the number of boreholes and grid size is based on EPD Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (PG) as summarized below:

Area of Site (m <sup>2</sup> )	Grid Size
100	6
500	13
1,000	13
2,000	13
4,000	17
5,000	17
8,000	17
10,000	19
30,000	31
00.000	E1

ATBC: To be confirmed. The current land uses are warehouse with no other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. The presence and degree of contamination would greatly depend on the types of goods stored. For example, warehouses that stored general household goods (e.g. furniture and toys) are unlikely to cause contamination to the underlying soil and groundwater. A site re-appraisal within these sites are therefore required at the later stage of the development to (i) assess the nature of these warehouses and site conditions, (ii) confirm the necessity for site investigation works and, if required, (iii) formulate the sampling and testing strategies.

quipment Depot

use is observed in comparison with the land use from 1978

#### bserved.

ge and equipment depot are observed. use is observed in comparison with the land use from 2010

, 1343 – 1351 OSL

aterial and equipment depot were observed.

#### n FSD and EPD

may include release of oils and fuels and lubricants from trenance and refueling. Use of chemicals and solvents in painting and storage and disposal of wastes.

e were no DGs / chemical wastes / incident / spillage records for

#### epot

may include loading, unloading and storage of goods, fuel equipment and vehicles, release of oils and fuels and lubricants maintenance and refueling the use of chemicals and solvents le painting and storage and disposal of wastes.

OCs.

#### Min Number of Sampling Points

2
3
3
6
12
14
17
28
29
32
35

Site Background	
Company Name	溢航貨運物流有限公司
Site ID	C81
Suspected Current Land Use / Activities	Container and Construction Material Storage
Approximate Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	25,640
Locations	Southeast of San Wai Treatment Works

Desktop Review		
Review of Outline Zoning Plan	OS	
Principal Rock Types / Characters on the Geological Survey Map	Qpa + Qpd + JTU	
Historical Land Use (Review of Historical Aerial Photos)	<ul> <li>1978: Cultivations pond and village type housings are observed.</li> <li>1985: No significant change in land use is observed in comparison with the land use from 1978 aerial photo.</li> <li>1995: Container storage area dominated the site.</li> <li>2010: Container storage and construction material storage areas are observed.</li> <li>2013: No significant change in land use is observed in comparison with the land use from 2010 aerial photo.</li> </ul>	
Reference of Historical Aerial Photos	Appendix A2 - Sheet 12 and 16 of 31	
Lot No.	DD125 1188RP, 1301 – 1303, 1305, 1321RP , 1322RP, 1325RP – 1344 OSL, STT 2156 STT	
Land Ownership Status	Private	

Site Reconnaissance Information and Recommendation		
Date of Survey	Dec-14	
Conducted by	Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam	
Site Observation	Container storage area and construction material storage were observed. The site was concrete paved.	
	Current Activities and Information from FSD and EPD	
	The possible contaminating activities may include loading, unloading and storage of goods, fuel storage and transfer, maintenance of equipment and vehicles.	
	Based on FSD/EPD information, there were no DGs / incident / spillage records but an active chemical waste producer record for the site.	
Potentially Contaminating Activities		
	Past Activities	
	No potentially contaminating activities were identified.	
References of Photographs of Site Reconnaissance	Appendix E3 - Sheet 20 of 36	
Recommended No. of Boreholes*	31	
Recommended Grid Size in Practice Guide (in meters)*	29	
Key Chemicals of Concern	Metals (full list), PCRs, VOCs and SVOCs.	
Necessity for Further Site Investigation	Yes	
Future Land Use	Road, Logistics Facility and Sewage Treatment Works	
RBRGs	Industrial	

Note: The recommended testing and sampling protocol (i.e. grid size, no. of boreholes and key COCs) is only tentative and is subject to be reviewed after the sites are resumed by the Project Proponent

\*Determination of the number of boreholes and grid size is based on EPD Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (PG) as summarized below:

Area of Site (m <sup>2</sup> )	Grid Size	Min Number of Sampling Points
100	6	3
500	13	3
1,000	13	6
2,000	13	12
4,000	17	14
5,000	17	17
8,000	17	28
10,000	19	29
30,000	31	32
90.000	51	35

^TBC: To be confirmed. The current land uses are warehouse with no other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. The presence and degree of contamination would greatly depend on the types of goods stored. For example, warehouses that stored general household goods (e.g. furniture and togs) are unlikely to cause contamination to the underlying soil and groundwater. A site re-appraisal within these sites are therefore required at the later stage of the development to (i) assess the nature of these warehouses and site conditions, (ii) confirm the necessity for site investigation works and, if required, (iii) formulate the sampling and testing strategies.

Agreement No. CE2/2011 Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area Planning an Engineering Study - Investigation Contamination Assessment Plan

Site Background	
Company Name	Unknown
Site ID	C84
Suspected Current Land Use / Activities	Warehouse
Approximate Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1,020
Locations	Southeast of San Wai Treatment Works
Desktop Review	
Review of Outline Zoning Plan	GB
Principal Rock Types / Characters on the Geological Survey Map	Qpa
Historical Land Use (Review of Historical Aerial Photos)	<ul> <li>1978: Cultivations are observed.</li> <li>1985: Village type housings are observed.</li> <li>1995: No significant change in land u aerial photo.</li> <li>2010: Village type housings were der</li> <li>2013: A warehouse type structure is a</li> </ul>
Reference of Historical Aerial Photos	Appendix A2 - Sheet 16 of 31
Lot No.	DD125 1452RP, 1453 OSL
Land Ownership Status	Private
Site Reconnaissance Information and Recommendation	tion
Date of Survey	Dec-14
Conducted by	Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam
Site Observation	Warehouses were observed.
	Current Activities and Information from Warehouses (No specific potentially co appraisal)

Potentially Contaminating Activities Past Activities

No other potentially contaminating ac

the site.

References of Photographs of Site Reconnaissance	Appendix E3 - Sheet 20 of 36
Recommended No. of Boreholes*	TBC^
Recommended Grid Size in Practice Guide (in meters)*	TBC^
Key Chemicals of Concern	TBC^
Necessity for Further Site Investigation	TBC^
Future Land Use	Road and Sewage Treatment Works
RBRGs	Industrial

Note: The recommended testing and sampling protocol (i.e. grid size, no. of boreholes and key COCs) is only tentative and is subject to be reviewed after the sites are resumed by the Project Proponent

\*Determination of the number of boreholes and grid size is based on EPD Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (PG) as summarized below:

Area of Site (m <sup>2</sup> )	Grid Size
100	6
500	13
1,000	13
2,000	13
4,000	17
5,000	17
8,000	17
10,000	19
30,000	31
00.000	51

90,000 51 35 ^TBC: To be confirmed. The current land uses are warehouse with no other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. The presence and degree of contamination would greatly depend on the types of goods stored. For example, warehouses that stored general household goods (e.g. furniture and toys) are unlikely to cause contamination to the underlying soil and groundwater. A site re-appraisal within these sites are therefore required at the later stage of the development to (i) assess the nature of these warehouses and site conditions, (ii) confirm the necessity for site investigation works and, if required, (iii) formulate the sampling and testing strategies.

Unknown
C84
Warehouse
1,020
Southeast of San Wai Treatment Works
GB
Qpa
<ul> <li>1978: Cultivations are observed.</li> <li>1985: Village type housings are observed.</li> <li>1995: No significant change in land use is observed in comparison with the land use from 1985 aerial photo.</li> <li>2010: Village type housings were demolished and the site was vacant.</li> <li>2013: A warehouse type structure is observed.</li> </ul>
Appendix A2 - Sheet 16 of 31
DD125 1452RP, 1453 OSL
Private
Dec-14
Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam
Warehouses were observed.
Current Activities and Information from FSD and EPD
Warehouses (No specific potentially contaminating activities were identified subject to further site re- appraisal)
Based on FSD/EPD information, there were no DGs / chemical wastes / incident / spillage records for the site.
Past Activities No other potentially contaminating activities were identified.
Appendix E3 - Sheet 20 of 36
TBC^
TBC^
TBC^
TBC^

#### Min Number of Sampling Points

3
3
6
12
14
17
28
29
32
25

Agreement No. CE2/2011 Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area Planning an Engineering Study - Investigation Contamination Assessment Plan

Site Background	
Company Name	Unknown
Site ID	C85
Suspected Current Land Use / Activities	Vacant
Approximate Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	6,000
Locations	Southeast of San Wai Treatment Works
Desktop Review	00
Principal Rock Types / Characters on the Geological Survey Map	Qpa
Historical Land Use (Review of Historical Aerial Photos)	<ul> <li>1978: A portion of a pond is observed.</li> <li>1985: No significant change in land use is observed in comparison with the land use from 1978 aerial photo.</li> <li>1995: A pond was split into several small ponds.</li> <li>2010: Warehouse type structures and lorry parking areas are observed.</li> <li>2013: The site was vacant.</li> </ul>
Reference of Historical Aerial Photos	Appendix A2 - Sheet 16 of 31
Lot No.	DD125 1457RP – 1460 OSL
Land Ownership Status	Private
Site Reconnaissance Information and Recommendat	tion
Date of Survey	Dec-14
Conducted by	Eleanor Cunningham and Squall Lam
Site Observation	The site was vacant and concrete paved.
Potentially Contaminating Activities	Current Activities and Information from FSD and EPD           No potentially contaminating activities were identified.           Based on FSD/EPD information, there were no DGs / chemical wastes / incident / spillage records for the site.           Past Activities           Warehouse           The possible contaminating activities may include loading, unloading and storage of goods, fuel storage and transfer, maintenance of equipment and vehicles.
References of Photographs of Site Reconnaissance	Appendix E3 - Sheet 18 of 36
Recommended No. of Boreholes*	21
Recommended Grid Size in Practice Guide (in meters)*	17
Key Chemicals of Concern	Metals (full list), PCRs, VOCs and SVOCs.
Necessity for Further Site Investigation	Yes
Future Land Use	Green Belt, Road, Sewage Treatment Works and Logistics Facility
RBRGs	Lower of Industrial or Public Park

Note: The recommended testing and sampling protocol (i.e. grid size, no. of boreholes and key COCs) is only tentative and is subject to be reviewed after the sites are resumed by the Project Proponent.

\*Determination of the number of boreholes and grid size is based on EPD Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land (PG) as summarized below:

Area of Site (m <sup>2</sup> )	Grid Size	Min Number of Sampling Points
100	6	3
500	13	3
1,000	13	6
2,000	13	12
4,000	17	14
5,000	17	17
8,000	17	28
10,000	19	29
30,000	31	32
90.000	51	35

90,000 51 32 ^TBC: To be confirmed. The current land uses are warehouse with no other historical potentially contaminated land uses identified. The presence and degree of contamination would greatly depend on the types of goods stored. For example, warehouses that stored general household goods (e.g. furniture and toys) are unlikely to cause contamination to the underlying soil and groundwater. A site re-appraisal within these sites are therefore required at the later stage of the development to (i) assess the nature of these warehouses and site conditions, (ii) confirm the necessity for site investigation works and, if required, (iii) formulate the sampling and testing strategies.





HUNG SHUI KIU NEW DEVELOPMENT AREA PLANNING AND ENGINEERING STUDY -INVESTIGATION

Location of Surveyed Site (C80a, C80b, C81, C82, C83, C84)

JOB NO

	N.T.S.	DATE	March 20	016
<	PDA	DRAWN	VARIOUS	
O.	60222570	APPENDIX E3 (Sheet 20 of 36)		<b>Rev</b> A

[Blank]

## Appendix C

## Photographic Records of Site Walkover

[Blank]



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING **PLANT - INVESTIGATION** 

#### CLIENT



Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

METRES

KEY PLAN

A11:500

PROJECT NO.

PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS OF SITE WALKOVER (SAN WAI PRELIMINARY TREATMENT WORKS)

60631936

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/PR1

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET 1 OF 2





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS 介創「税額問公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A11:500

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

60631936

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/PR2

SHEET 2 OF 2

CONTRACT NO.

PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS OF SITE WALKOVER (SAN WAI PRELIMINARY TREATMENT WORKS)

CE 6/2019 (DS)





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS 介創「税額問公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A1 1:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. 60631936 CE 6/2019 (DS)

CONTRACT NO.

SHEET TITLE

PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS OF SITE WALKOVER

### SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/PR3

SHEET 1 OF 2





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



Drainage Services Department

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

#### SUB-CONSULTANTS 介創「税額問公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A1 1:1000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. CONTRACT NO. 60631936 CE 6/2019 (DS)

SHEET TITLE

PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS OF SITE WALKOVER

#### SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/PR4

SHEET 2 OF 2

## Appendix D

## Site Walkover Checklists

[Blank]



Site Walkover Checklist

DATE OF INSPECTION 14 May 2021

## GENERAL SITE DETAILS

SITE OWNER/ <del>CLIENT</del>	Drainage Services Department			
PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS San Wai Preliminary Treatment Works, Ha Tsuen Road, New Territories (Lot No. GYA-YL 214)			
PERSON CONDUCTING	THE QUESTIONNAIRE			
NAME <u>Ms. Ch</u>	loe Ng, Mr. Robert Yuen, Mr. Kin Au			
POSITIONProject	/ Graduate Environmental Consulta	nt, AECOM		
AUTHORIZED OWNER/	ELIENT REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLIC	CABLE)		
NAME <u>Mr Au</u>				
POSITIONElectric	al Inspector, Drainage Services Dep	artment		
TELEPHONE <u>2486 6</u>	421			
SITE ACTIVITIES				
Briefly describe activities Obtain a flow schema	s carried out on site, including types atic if possible.	of products/chemicals/materi	als handled.	
Number of employees:	Full-time:	3		
	Part-time:	N/A		
	Temporary/Seasonal:	N/A		
Maximum no. of people	on site at any time:	3		
Typical hours of operation	on:	24 hours		
Number of shifts:		<u>3 (8 am – 4 pm)</u>		
Days per week:		7		
Weeks per year:52				
Scheduled plant shut-down:		N/A		

Detail the main sources of energy at the site:

Gas	<del>Yes</del> /No
Electricity	Yes/ <del>No</del>
Coal	<del>Yes</del> /No
Oil	<del>Yes</del> /No
Other	<del>Yes</del> /No

### SITE DESCRIPTION

Please list all current and previous owners/occupiers if p

Drainage Services Department (Previous owner)

Is a site plan available? If yes, please attach. Yes/Ne

Are there any other parties on site as tenants or sub-ter

If yes, identify those parties:

Describe surrounding land use (residential, industrial, ru and types of industry.

North: Works site of Phase 1 Upgrading of Sai Wai S

South: Ha Tsuen Road, Kong Sham Western Highway

East: Ha Tsuen Road

West: Kong Sham Western Highway

	approx. 1.1 hectares
	approx. 10 %
oossible.	
Ð	Refer to Figure 3.1 of CAP
nants?	<del>Yes</del> /No
ural, etc.) a	and identify neighbouring facilities
Sewage Tre	eatment Works
у	

## Annex C1 Site Walkover Checklist

Describe the topography of the area (flat terrain, rolling hills, mountains, by a large body of water, vegetation, etc.).

## Generally flat terrain.

State the size and location of the nearest residential communities.

Tseung Kong Wai (area of about 2 ha, approx. 650m northeast of the site).

Are there any sensitive habitats nearby, such as nature reserves, parks, wetlands or sites of special scientific interest?

## A "Conservation Area" is located to the west, south-west of the Project site, covering

123.22 ha of natural terrain of Yuen Tau Shan.

### Questionnaire with Existing/Previous Site Owner or Occupier

	Yes/No	Notes
1. What are the main activities/operations at the above address?		Preliminary sewage treatment (decommissioned); Currently as construction materials storage.
2. How long have you been occupying the site?	N/A	18 years since 1993, with operation ceased in March 2021.
<ol> <li>Were you the first occupant on site? (If yes, what was the usage of the site prior to occupancy.)</li> </ol>	Yes	
4. Prior to your occupancy, who occupied the site?	N/A	
5. What were the main activities/operations during their occupancy?	N/A	Vacant land
6. Have there been any major changes in operations carried out at the site in the last 10 years?	Yes	Operation ceased in March 2021.
7. Have any polluting activities been carried out in the vicinity of the site in the past?	No	
<ol> <li>To the best of your knowledge, has the site ever been used as a petrol filling station/car service garage?</li> </ol>	No	
<ol> <li>Are there any boreholes/wells or natural springs either on the site or in the surrounding area?</li> </ol>	No	
<ol> <li>Do you have any registered hazardous installations as defined under relevant ordinances? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
11. Are any chemicals used in your daily operations? (If yes, please provide details.)	Yes	Sodium hypochlorite solution (bleach) was used for preliminary sewage treatment. Lubricating oils were used for equipment maintenance. Diesel was used as fuel for a backup generator.
Where do you store these chemicals?	N/A	Bleach was stored in the former solids handling station; Lubricating oils were stored in the former workshop; Diesel was stored in the fuel tank of the generator.
<ol> <li>Material inventory lists, including quantities and locations available? (If yes, how often are these inventories updated?)</li> </ol>	No	
13. Has the facility produced a separate hazardous substance inventory?	No	
<ol> <li>Have there ever been any incidents or accidents (e.g. spills, fires, injuries, etc.) involving any of these materials? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	

	Yes/No	Notes
15. How are materials received (e.g. rail, truck, etc.) and stored on site (e.g. drums, tanks, carboys, bags, silos, cisterns, vaults and cylinders)?	N/A	Bleach and lubricant oils were received by trucks. Bleach and lubricating oils were stored in drums; Diesel was received by diesel oil tank truck and directly filled into the fuel tank of the generator.
<ol> <li>Do you have any underground storage tanks? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	Yes	
How many underground storage tanks do you have on site?	N/A	2
What are the tanks constructed of?	N/A	Concrete
What are the contents of these tanks?	N/A	Clean water and recycled water
Are the pipelines above or below ground?	N/A	Above
<ul> <li>If the pipelines are below ground, has any leak and integrity testing been performed?</li> </ul>	N/A	
Have there been any spills associated with these tanks?	No	
17. Are there any disused underground storage tanks?	Yes	The water tanks have been disused since March 2021.
<ol> <li>Do you have regular check for any spillage and monitoring of chemicals handled? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	Yes	Checked daily by site staff.
19. How are the wastes disposed of?	N/A	Screened materials were disposed to landfill. Chemical wastes (spent lubricating oils) were stored in the former chemical waste storage area in the workshop and transported off-site regularly by licensed collectors.
<ol> <li>Have you ever received any notices of violation of environmental regulations or received public complaints? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
21. Have any spills occurred on site? (If yes, please provide details.)	No	
When did the spill occur?	N/A	
What were the substances spilled?	N/A	
What was the quantity of material spilled?	N/A	
Did you notify the relevant departments of the spill?	N/A	
What were the actions taken to clean up the spill?	N/A	
What were the areas affected?	N/A	
22. Do you have any records of major renovation of your site or re- arrangement of underground utilities, pipe work/underground tanks (If yes, please provide details.)	No	
23. Have disused underground tanks been removed or otherwise secured (e.g. concrete, sand, etc.)?	N/A	The disused underground water tanks are currently remained on site and will be removed.
<ol> <li>Are there any known contaminations on site? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
25. Has the site ever been remediated?	No	

	Yes/No	Notes
15. How are materials received (e.g. rail, truck, etc.) and stored on site (e.g. drums, tanks, carboys, bags, silos, cisterns, vaults and cylinders)?	N/A	Bleach and lubricant oils were received by trucks. Bleach and lubricating oils were stored in drums; Diesel was received by diesel oil tank truck and directly filled into the fuel tank of the generator.
<ol> <li>Do you have any underground storage tanks? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	Yes	
How many underground storage tanks do you have on site?	N/A	2
What are the tanks constructed of?	N/A	Concrete
What are the contents of these tanks?	N/A	Clean water and recycled water
Are the pipelines above or below ground?	N/A	Above
<ul> <li>If the pipelines are below ground, has any leak and integrity testing been performed?</li> </ul>	N/A	
Have there been any spills associated with these tanks?	No	
17. Are there any disused underground storage tanks?	Yes	The water tanks have been disused since March 2021.
<ol> <li>Do you have regular check for any spillage and monitoring of chemicals handled? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	Yes	Checked daily by site staff.
19. How are the wastes disposed of?	N/A	Screened materials were disposed to landfill. Chemical wastes (spent lubricating oils) were stored in the former chemical waste storage area in the workshop and transported off-site regularly by licensed collectors.
<ol> <li>Have you ever received any notices of violation of environmental regulations or received public complaints? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
21. Have any spills occurred on site? (If yes, please provide details.)	No	
When did the spill occur?	N/A	
What were the substances spilled?	N/A	
What was the quantity of material spilled?	N/A	
Did you notify the relevant departments of the spill?	N/A	
What were the actions taken to clean up the spill?	N/A	
What were the areas affected?	N/A	
<ol> <li>Do you have any records of major renovation of your site or re- arrangement of underground utilities, pipe work/underground tanks (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
23. Have disused underground tanks been removed or otherwise secured (e.g. concrete, sand, etc.)?	N/A	The disused underground water tanks are currently remained on site and will be removed.
24. Are there any known contaminations on site? (If yes, please provide details.)	No	
25. Has the site ever been remediated? (If yes, please provide details.)	No	



#### Observations

		Yes/No	Notes
1.	Are chemical storage areas provided with secondary containment (i.e. bund walls and floors)?	Yes	Bleach was observed on wooden pallets on tiled floor in the former solids handling station. The chemical storage area in the solids handling station was observed on tiled floor; The chemical and chemical waste storage areas inside the former workshop were concrete paved; The generator was observed on concrete paved ground.
2.	What are the conditions of the bund walls and floors?	N/A	Tiled floor / wooden pallets / concrete paved floor and concrete paved ground were in good condition.
3.	Are any surface water drains located near to drum storage and unloading areas?	No	
4.	Are any solid or liquid waste (other than wastewater) generated at the site? (If yes, please provide details.)	Yes	Spent lubricating oils.
5.	Is there a storage site for the wastes?	Yes	Spent lubricating oils were stored in the former chemical waste storage area in the workshop.
6.	Is there an on-site landfill?	No	
7.	Were any stressed vegetation noted on site during the site reconnaissance? (If yes, please indicate location and approximate size.)	No	
8.	Were any stained surfaces noted on-site during the site reconnaissance? (If yes, please provide details.)	Yes	Lubricating oil stains were observed on the tiled floor of the chemical storage area in the former solids handling station.
9.	Are there any potential off-site sources of contamination?	No	
10.	Does the site have any equipment which might contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)?	Yes	A transformer in the transformer house.
11.	Are there any sumps, effluent pits, interceptors or lagoons on site?	No	
12.	Any noticeable odours during site walkover?	No	
13.	Are any of the following chemicals used on site: fuels, lubricating oils, hydraulic fluids, cleaning solvents, used chemical solutions, acids, anti-corrosive paints, thinners, coal, ash, oily tanks and bilge sludge, metal wastes, wood preservatives and polyurethane foam?	Yes	Fuel (diesel) and lubricating oils were used on site.

## Annex C1

Site Walkover Checklist

#### GENERAL SITE DETAILS

SITE OWNER/CLIENT Drainage Services Department

PROPERTY ADDRESS Proposed Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant,

PERSON CONDUCTING THE QUESTIONNAIRE				
NAME	Ms. Chloe Ng, Mr. Robert Yuen, Mr. Kir			
POSITION	Project / Assistant / Graduate Environn			
AUTHORIZED OWNER/CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE (IF AF				
NAME	Not available			
POSITION				
TELEPHONE				

## SITE ACTIVITIES

Briefly describe activities carried out on site, including types of products/chemicals/materials handled. Obtain a flow schematic if possible.

Number of employees:

### Full-time

Part-tim

Temporary/Seasona

Maximum no. of people on site at any time:

Typical hours of operation:

Number of shifts:

Days per week:

Weeks per year:

Scheduled plant shut-down:

DATE OF INSPECTION 25 August 2021

Ha Tsuen Road, Yuen Long (Except San Wai Preliminary Treatment Works)

in Au

mental Consultant, AECOM

PPLICABLE)

e:	N/A
ie:	N/A
al:	N/A

Detail the main sources of energy at the site:

Gas	<del>Yes</del> /No
Electricity	<del>Yes</del> /No
Coal	<del>Yes</del> /No
Oil	<del>Yes</del> /No
Other	<del>Yes</del> /No

### SITE DESCRIPTION

This section	is intended	to gather	information	on site	setting	and	environmental	receptors of	on,	adjacent
or close to th	he site.									

What is the total site area:

approx. 4.1 hectares

What area of the site is covered by buildings (%):

approx. 60 %

Please list all current and previous owners/occupiers if possible. Government land and

Refer to Figure 2.1 of CAP

mainly unknown private owners or occupiers.

Is a site plan available? If yes, please attach. Yes/No

Are there any other parties on site as tenants or sub-tenants? Yes/No (N/A)

If yes, identify those parties: N/A

Describe surrounding land use (residential, industrial, rural, etc.) and identify neighbouring facilities and types of industry.

North: Ha Tsuen Road

South: Kong Sham Western Highway

Various industrial land uses East:

San Wai Preliminary Treatment Works West:

## Annex C1 Site Walkover Checklist

Describe the topography of the area (flat terrain, rolling hills, mountains, by a large body of water, vegetation, etc.).

Generally flat terrain.

State the size and location of the nearest residential communities.

Tseung Kong Wai (area of about 2 ha, approx. 500m northeast of the site).

Are there any sensitive habitats nearby, such as nature reserves, parks, wetlands or sites of special scientific interest?

A "Conservation Area" is located to the west, south-west of the Project site, covering

123.22 ha of natural terrain of Yuen Tau Shan.

Questionnaire with Existing/Previous Site Owner or Occupier

1. What are the main activities/operations at the above address?

2. How long have you been occupying the site?

- 3. Were you the first occupant on site?
- (If yes, what was the usage of the site prior to occupancy.)
- 4. Prior to your occupancy, who occupied the site?

5. What were the main activities/operations during their occupancy

- 6. Have there been any major changes in operations carried out at the site in the last 10 years?
- 7. Have any polluting activities been carried out in the vicinity of the site in the past?
- 8. To the best of your knowledge, has the site ever been used as a petrol filling station/car service garage?
- 9. Are there any boreholes/wells or natural springs either on the sit or in the surrounding area?
- 10. Do you have any registered hazardous installations as defined under relevant ordinances? (If yes, please provide details.)
- 11. Are any chemicals used in your daily operations?
- (If yes, please provide details.)
- Where do you store these chemicals?
- 12. Material inventory lists, including quantities and locations available? (If yes, how often are these inventories updated?)
- 13. Has the facility produced a separate hazardous substance inventory?
- 14. Have there ever been any incidents or accidents (e.g. spills, fires injuries, etc.) involving any of these materials? (If yes, please provide details.)

	Yes/No	Notes*
		Suspected various industrial land uses (warehouses, container storage and open area storage)
	N/A	
	N/A	
	N/A	
?	N/A	
	N/A	
ie	N/A	
l	N/A	
te	N/A	
	N/A	
δ,	N/A	

	Yes/No	Notes*
15. How are materials received (e.g. rail, truck, etc.) and stored on site (e.g. drums, tanks, carboys, bags, silos, cisterns, vaults and cylinders)?	N/A	
<ol> <li>Do you have any underground storage tanks? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	N/A	
How many underground storage tanks do you have on site?	N/A	
What are the tanks constructed of?	N/A	
What are the contents of these tanks?	N/A	
Are the pipelines above or below ground?	N/A	
<ul> <li>If the pipelines are below ground, has any leak and integrity testing been performed?</li> </ul>	N/A	
<ul> <li>Have there been any spills associated with these tanks?</li> </ul>	N/A	
17. Are there any disused underground storage tanks?	N/A	
<ol> <li>Do you have regular check for any spillage and monitoring of chemicals handled? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	N/A	
19. How are the wastes disposed of?	N/A	
<ol> <li>Have you ever received any notices of violation of environmental regulations or received public complaints? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	N/A	
21. Have any spills occurred on site? (If yes, please provide details.)	N/A	
When did the spill occur?	N/A	
What were the substances spilled?	N/A	
What was the quantity of material spilled?	N/A	
Did you notify the relevant departments of the spill?	N/A	
What were the actions taken to clean up the spill?	N/A	
What were the areas affected?	N/A	
<ol> <li>Do you have any records of major renovation of your site or re- arrangement of underground utilities, pipe work/underground tanks (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	N/A	
<ol> <li>Have disused underground tanks been removed or otherwise secured (e.g. concrete, sand, etc.)?</li> </ol>	N/A	
24. Are there any known contaminations on site? (If yes, please provide details.)	N/A	
25. Has the site ever been remediated? (If yes, please provide details.)	N/A	

\* No interview was able to be conducted. Notes shown are based on observation from site walkover.

Site Walkover Checklist		
Observations		
	Yes/No	Notes*
<ol> <li>Are chemical storage areas provided with secondary containment (i.e. bund walls and floors)?</li> </ol>	N/A	No chemical storage areas observed.
2. What are the conditions of the bund walls and floors?	N/A	
<ol><li>Are any surface water drains located near to drum storage and unloading areas?</li></ol>	N/A	
<ol> <li>Are any solid or liquid waste (other than wastewater) generated at the site? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	N/A	
5. Is there a storage site for the wastes?	No	
6. Is there an on-site landfill?	No	
<ol> <li>Were any stressed vegetation noted on site during the site reconnaissance? (If yes, please indicate location and approximate size.)</li> </ol>	No	
<ol> <li>Were any stained surfaces noted on-site during the site reconnaissance? (If yes, please provide details.)</li> </ol>	No	
9. Are there any potential off-site sources of contamination?	No	
10. Does the site have any equipment which might contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)?	No	
11. Are there any sumps, effluent pits, interceptors or lagoons on site?	No	
12. Any noticeable odours during site walkover?	No	
13. Are any of the following chemicals used on site: fuels, lubricating oils, hydraulic fluids, cleaning solvents, used chemical solutions, acids, anti-corrosive paints, thinners, coal, ash, oily tanks and bilge sludge, metal wastes, wood preservatives and polyurethane foam?	N/A	No chemicals observed.

[Blank]

**Responses from Government Departments on Relevant Information** 

## Appendix E

[Blank]



Our Ref.: EPCY:WLKL:WKCW:qc:60631936/2020007477W

27 August 2020

By Fax (2685 1133) & Post



Environmental Protection Department Regional Office (North) 10/F., Sha Tin Government Offices, No.1 Sheung Wo Che Road, Sha Tin, New Territories.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant – Investigation

## Request for Information of Chemical Waste Producer and Chemical Spillage Accident

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. has been commissioned by Drainage Services Department as the Consultant to undertake land contamination assessment for the captioned project. The Concerned Areas are indicated in the figures enclosed.

As part of the land contamination assessment and following the Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land issued by EPD, we have to collect historical information regarding the past and present activities of the Concerned Areas. In order to facilitate our assessment, we would like to request for the following information regarding the captioned Concerned Areas:

- Current and past (as early as the records are available) registered Chemical Waste Producer(s) within the Concerned Areas (preferably with the registration date, status (moved out or active), nature and quantity of the chemical waste); and
- 2. Reported accidents of spillage / leakage of chemicals within the Concerned Areas.

Please feel free to contact our Ms. Chloe Ng at 3922 9305 / Mr. Robert Yuen at 3922 9439 should you have any queries.

Thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Yours faithfully, For and on behalf of AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

Edward Poon Executive Director Water, Hong Kong

Encl. Site Location Plan Drawing No. 606031936/EIAIR005 & 006



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING **PLANT - INVESTIGATION** 

### CLIENT





## CONSULTANT 工程期間公司

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS 分列工程期间公司

### ISSUE/REVISION



「「「「「「」」		DESCRIPTION 內容損要	で用作し、
-	DATE	DESODIPTION	OUK

VR	DATE	DESCRIPTION	снк.
쨞	DATE	DESCRIPTION	

	1
DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK. 複枚
DATE 日期	DATE DESCRIPTION 日初 内容相互

eт			
<b>/</b> 依 御	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容講要	CHK. 複枚
_			
-			

ет			
IR 街	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容英要	CHK. 被核

ST.	ATUS		
IR 街	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容講要	CHK. 複枚

_			
Rii	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK 複枝
T/ 殿	ATUS		

### Ň

ł	日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	(日本
,	ATUS		
C			

STATUS Re	
SCALE	DIMENSION UN 尺寸單位

DIMENSION UNIT 尺寸單位

A1 1 : 3000

KEY PLAN #케페

PROJECT NO.

SHEET NUMBER 60631936/EIAIR/003

60631936 CE 6/2019 (DS) SHEET TITLE SITE LOCATION PLAN AND 500m ASSESSMENT AREA (HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT)

CONTRACT NO.



PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING **PLANT - INVESTIGATION** 

### CLIENT





## CONSULTANT 工程期間公司

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS 슈커그웹페레소리

#### ISSUE/REVISION



懈	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內非損要	CHK. 複核
_			

断	DESCRIPTION 內有損要	して日代、

I/R DATE DESCRIPTION CHI	<b>I/R</b>	DATE	DESCRIPTION	СН
--------------------------	------------	------	-------------	----

AT 4 THA			
VR 伊町	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK. 被核

IR 鲥	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK. 複枚
STATUS			

帰町	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK. 被核
<u>ST</u>	ATUS		

R	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK 複枝
T/ R	ATUS		

2	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	다. 被核
		·	
ľ	ATUS		

LE	DIMENSION UNIT
	尼士里心

SCA

CE 6/2019 (DS)

KEY PLAN #케페

A1 1 : 3000

60631936

SHEET TITLE

PROJECT NO. CONTRACT NO.

SHEET NUMBER 60631936/EIAIR/004

SITE LOCATION PLAN AND 500m ASSESSMENT AREA (YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT)

 Environmental Protection Department Environmental Compliance Division Regional Office (North) 10/F, Sha Tin Government Offices, No. 1 Sheung Wo Che Road, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong



23 October 2020

AECOM 8/F. Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, HK. (Attn.: Edward Poon, Executive Director)

Dear Mr. POON,

Agreement No. CE 8/2019 (DS)

Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant – Investigation

## Request for Information of Chemical Waste Producer and Chemical Spillage Accident

I refer your letter received by this department on 3 September 2020 about the captioned. Our reply is as below:-

- (a) For the register of Chemical Waste Producers, a registry is available at our Territory Control Office at Wan Chai. Please contact our Mr. Dennis LEUNG, Senior Environmental Protection Inspector, at Tel : 2835 1027 for details;
- (b) For the records of reported accidents of spillage/leakage of chemicals at the areas specified in Site Location Plan of your letter, please be informed that there is no reported chemical spillage accidents in the past three years.

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Yours faithfully,

(William WONG) for Director of Environmental Protection

## Au, Kin

From:	Au, Kin
Sent:	Tuesday, August 31, 2021
То:	hllai@epd.gov.hk
Cc:	dennisleung@epd.gov.hk;
	Tso, Shiu Heng Lawrence;
Subject:	CE 6/2019 (DS) - Request
	Records (Updated Bounda
Attachments:	Responses from Governm
	Plan_Updated Boundaries
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Mr. Lai,

I refer to our letter ref.: 60631936/2020007477W dated 27 August 2020 and your reply with ref.: EP910/G1/1/YLW dated 23 October 2020, regarding our captioned information request. We would like to request for the following information regarding the updated project boundary of Proposed Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant as indicated in **Layout Plan\_Updated Boundaries** attached:

1. Current and past (as early as the records are available) registered Chemical Waste Producer(s) within the updated project boundary (preferably with the registration date, status (active or inactive), nature and quantity of the chemical waste); and

2. Reported accidents of spillage / leakage of chemicals within the updated project boundary.

Attached the letter for your reference. Due to tight study programme, grateful if you could help to follow up and provide us the information by <u>15 September 2021</u>.

Please feel free to contact our Ms. Chloe Ng at 3922 9305 or Mr. Kin Au at 3922 9507 should you have any queries.

1

Thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Regards,

Kin Au

Graduate Environmental Consultant, Environment, Hong Kong D +852 3922 9507 kin.au@aecom.com

AECOM aecom.com

Delivering a better world LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook | Instagram

e de la companya de l La companya de la comp 4:28 PM

; ericfung@epd.gov.hk; Ng, Lok Yi Chloe; Yuen, Robert; Tam, Ching Yee Christine; Ng, Jenny; Man, Yu Kit Marty for Information of CWP and Chemical Spillage Accident aries)

ent Departments on Relevant Information\_EPD.pdf; Layout .pdf



## ΑΞϹΟΜ

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS

#### ISSUE/REVISION



SCALE

STATUS

#### DIMENSION UNIT

A1 1 : 3000

METERS

KEY PLAN

SHEET NUMBER

PROJECT NO. 60631936

60631936/EIA/HSKEPP/FIGURE 1.1

CONTRACT NO.

CE 6/2019 (DS)





## ΑΞϹΟΜ

PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION

#### CLIENT



#### CONSULTANT

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

### SUB-CONSULTANTS 分别工程網問公司

#### ISSUE/REVISION



### STATUS

SCALE

DIMENSION UNIT

A11:3000

METRES

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO. CONTRACT NO.

60631936

LOCATION OF YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT

CE 6/2019 (DS)

60631936/EIA/FIGURE 1.1

SHEET NUMBER
## Au, Kin

From:	hllai@epd.gov.hk
Sent:	Tuesday, September 28, 2021 4:13 PM
То:	Au, Kin
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Re: CE 6/2019 (DS) - Request for Information of CWP and Chemical Spillage Accident Records (Updated Boundaries)
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Mr. AU,

## <u>CE 6/2019 (DS) - Request for Information of CWP and Chemical Spillage Accident Records</u> (Updated Boundaries)

I refer your email dated 31 August 2021 about the captioned. Our reply is as below:-

(a) This Regional Office has no record of reported accidents of spillage / leakage of chemicals at the concerned site. You may also need to check with other parties / departments for such information as appropriate.

(b) For the register of Chemical Waste Producers, a registry is available at our Territory Control Office at Wan Chai. Please contact our Mr. Eric FUNG, Senior Environmental Protection Inspector, at Tel : 2835 1027 for details;

Yours faithfully,

Best Regards, LAI Ho-leung, LEO EPD, Tel :2158 5825

 From:
 "Au, Kin" <kin.au@aecom.com>

 To:
 "hllai@epd.gov.hk" <hllai@epd.gov.hk>

 Cc:
 "dennisleung@epd.gov.hk" <dennisleung@epd.gov.hk>, "ericfung@epd.gov.hk" <ericfung@epd.gov.hk>, "Ng, Lok Yi

 Chloe" <Chloe.Ng@aecom.com>, "Yuen, Robert" <robert.yuen@aecom.com>, "Tso, Shiu Heng Lawrence"

 <lawrence.tso@aecom.com>, "Tam, Ching Yee Christine" <christine.tam@aecom.com>, "Ng, Jenny" <jenny.ng1@aecom.com>,

 "Man, Yu Kit Marty" <marty.man@aecom.com>

 Date:
 31/08/2021 16:30

 Subject:
 CE 6/2019 (DS) - Request for Information of CWP and Chemical Spillage Accident Records (Updated Boundaries)

Dear Mr. Lai,

I refer to our letter ref.: 60631936/2020007477W dated 27 August 2020 and your reply with ref.: EP910/G1/1/YLW dated 23 October 2020, regarding our captioned information request. We would like to request for the following information regarding the updated project boundary of Proposed Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant as indicated in **Layout Plan\_Updated Boundaries** attached: 1. Current and past (as early as the records are available) registered Chemical Waste Producer(s) within the updated project boundary (preferably with the registration date, status (active or inactive), nature and quantity of the chemical waste); and

2. Reported accidents of spillage / leakage of chemicals within the updated project boundary.

Attached the letter for your reference. Due to tight study programme, grateful if you could help to follow up and provide us the information by <u>15 September 2021</u>.

Please feel free to contact our Ms. Chloe Ng at 3922 9305 or Mr. Kin Au at 3922 9507 should you have any queries.

Thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Regards,

Kin Au

Graduate Environmental Consultant, Environment, Hong Kong D +852 3922 9507 <u>kin.au@aecom.com</u>

AECOM aecom.com

Delivering a better world LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook | Instagram



AFCOM 12/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2 +852 3922 9797 fax 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road Shatin, Hong Kong 香港新界沙田鄉事會路 138 號 新城市中央廣場第2座12樓 www.aecom.com

+852 3922 9000 tel

Our Ref.: EPCY:WLKL:WKCW:qc:60631936/2020010625W

18 September 2020

### By Fax (2739 5879) & Post

**Fire Services Department** Fire Services Headquarters Command Management Group (MG) 9/F, Fire Services HQ Building, 1 Hong Chong Road, Tsim Sha Tsui East, Kowloon

### Attn: Mr Kong, Wai Chung

Dear Sir,

Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant -Investigation

### Request for Information about Dangerous Goods Store and Incidents Records

I refer to your letter (ref: (136) in FSD GR 6-5/4 R Pt.28) dated 7 September 2020 regarding the captioned subject.

We have provided additional information on the drawings showing some of the street names, facilities names and building names within the study areas.

For your record, the appointment letter from our client, Drainage Services Department (DSD), was also enclosed.

Please feel free to contact our Ms. Chloe Ng at 3922 9305 / Mr. Robert Yuen at 3922 9439 should you have any queries.

Thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Yours faithfully, For and on behalf of AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

Edward Poon **Executive Director** Water, Hong Kong

Encl. Site Location Plan (Drawing No. 60639136/EIAIR005 and 006) Appointment letter from DSD

CE/HATS, DSD -Attn: Mr. LEE C. C. Lawrence (w/o encl.) CC



Drainage Services Department Sewage Services Branch Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Division 5/F., Western Megistrecy, 2A Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong

來過機號 Your Ref: 本寄信號 Our Ref: (00GZTL) in DSD HATS 8/CE201906 管 話 Tel: (852) 2594 7299 圖文傳真 Fax:(852) 3104 6426

### DISTRIBUTION

Dear Sirs,

## Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant - Investigation

## Notification of Award of Consultancy

I am pleased to inform you that AECOM Asia Company Limited (AECOM) has been appointed by this Department to undertake the captioned consultancy Agreement which commenced on 27 March 2020 for completion in 2022.

The scope of the assignment comprises the following for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant (HSKEPP) and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant (YLSEPP) and the ----- overall layout plans of the project are enclosed for reference;-

- investigation study and preliminary design of HSKEPP and YLSEPP;
- impact assessments, public engagement and consultation; **(b)**
- (c) site investigation, surveys and laboratory testing; and
- (d) contract.

During the period of the above Agreement, ABCOM may approach your office to request 3. for information in relation to the Agreement. I should be grateful if you would offer your kind assistance to them such that the Agreement could be carried out successfully. Should you have any enquiry, please feel free to contact the undersigned. Thank you for your kind assistance.

Encl.

Our Walk Chillis to provide world-class westewater and stomwater drainage services ensibling the sustainable development of Honn Konn 08/04/2020 09:24 No.: R603 L1

溪務署 污水直通膨胀水 浮化海滩計劃部 香港薄扶林播 2A 號 四區戰判法院5律

## By Fax and Post

6 April 2020

any other works recommended from various technical studies and assessments in the

Yours faithfully,

( Oliver W K AU-YEUNO for Chief Engineer / Harbour Area Treatment Scheme Drainage Services Department 10

> er on seath an pailing

P.002/005





PROJECT

HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT AND YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT - INVESTIGATION







# CONSULTANT 工程顧問公司

AECOM Asia Company Ltd. www.aecom.com

## SUB-CONSULTANTS 分戶工程編問公司

## ISSUE/REVISION

I/R 御	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CHK.
-			
-			

VR 御町	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內存損要	CHK 複換
-			
-			

VR 御町	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內容損要	CH 被引
+			
1			
-			
Т			

KEY PLAN

PROJECT NO.

SHEET TITLE

SHEET NUMBER

60631936/EIAIR/003

SITE LOCATION PLAN AND 500m ASSESSMENT AREA (HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT)

60631936

No. SCALE A1 1 : 3000

DIMENSION UNIT 尺寸單位

CONTRACT NO. CE 6/2019 (DS)

_		
DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內存損要	CHIK 製作
	DATE 日期	DATE DESCRIPTION 日期 內存領察



## 60631936/EIAIR/004

SITE LOCATION PLAN AND 500m ASSESSMENT AREA (YUEN LONG SOUTH EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT)

SHEET TITLE

60631936

PROJECT NO. 项目编辑

CONTRACT NO. CE 6/2019 (DS)

DIMENSION UNIT 尺寸單位

VR 参訂	DATE 日期	DESCRIPTION 內存損要	CHK
-			
+			
_			

ISSUE/REVISION



FIRE SERVICES DEPARTMENT FIRE SERVICES HEADQUARTERS BUILDING, No.1 Hong Chong Road, Tsim Sha Tsui East, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

本處檔	號 OUR REF.	:	(157) in FSD GR 6-5/4 R Pt. 30
來函檔	號 YOUR REF.	:	EPCY:WLKL:WKCW:qc:60631936/2020010625W
電子郵	件 E-mail	:	hkfsdenq@hkfsd.gov.hk
圖文傳	真 FAX NO.	:	2739 5879
鼅	話 TEL NO.	:	2733 7741

14 January 2021

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd 12/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, Hong Kong. (Attn: Mr. Edward POON, Executive Director)

Dear Mr. POON,

## Agreement No. CE 6/2019 (DS) Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant and Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant Investigation Request for Information of Dangerous Goods & Incident Records

I refer to your letter of 18.9.2020 regarding the captioned request and reply below in response to your questions:-

Please be advised that neither records of dangerous goods license, fire incidents nor incidents of spillage / leakage of dangerous goods were found in connection with the given conditions of your request at the subject location.

If you have further questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,

(NG Wing-chil) for Director of Fire Services

# Appendix F

# Relevant RBRGs, Soil Saturation Limit and Solubility Limit

			Table 2.1					
Risk-B	ased Remediation	Goals	(RBRGs)	for	Soil	& Soil	Saturation	Limi

	R	Soil Saturation			
Chemical	Urban Residential	Rural Residential	Industrial	Public Parks	Limit (C <sub>sat</sub> ) (mg/kg)
VOCs	(ilig/kg)	(ilig/kg)	(ilig/kg)	(ilig/kg)	
Acetone	9 59E+03	4 26E+03	1 00E+04*	1.00E+04*	***
Benzene	7.04F-01	2 79E-01	9.21E+00	4 22E+01	3 36E+02
Bromodichloromothano	3.17E.01	1 20E 01	3.21E+00	4.22L+01	1.03E+03
2 Butanone	1.00E+04*	1.29L-01 1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+0/1*	***
Chloroform	1 32E 01	5 205 02	1.545+00	2.52E+02	1 10 5 + 0 2
Ethylhonzono	7.00E+02	0.29E-02	9.245+02	2.33E+02	1.10E+03
Mothyl tort Putyl Ethor	7.09E+02	2.90E+02	0.24E+03	5.05E±02	1.30E+02
Methylene Chloride	1.205+00	2.00E+00	1.012+01	1.00000	2.30E+03
Sturopo	2.225+02	1.545+02	1.09E+01	1.20E+02	9.212+02
Tetrapharaethana	3.22E+03	1.54E+03	7.775.01	1.00004	4.97E+02
Teluana	1.01E-01	4.44E-02	1.00E+04*	1.04E+00	9./ IETUI
Trichlereethere	1.44E+03				2.33E+02
	5.23E-01	2.11E-01	5.68E+00	6.94E+01	4.88E+02
	9.50E+01	3.08E+01	1.23E+03	1.00E+04*	1.50E+02
SVUCS	0.545.00	0.005.00	4.005.04*	4.005.04*	0.005.04
Acenaphthene	3.51E+03	3.28E+03	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	6.02E+01
Acenaphthylene	2.34E+03	1.51E+03	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.98E+01
Anthracene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	2.56E+00
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.20E+01	1.14E+01	9.18E+01	3.83E+01	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.20E+00	1.14E+00	9.18E+00	3.83E+00	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	9.88E+00	1.01E+01	1.78E+01	2.04E+01	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.80E+03	1.71E+03	1.00E+04*	5.74E+03	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.20E+02	1.14E+02	9.18E+02	3.83E+02	
bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	3.00E+01	2.80E+01	9.18E+01	9.42E+01	
Chrysene	8.71E+02	9.19E+02	1.14E+03	1.54E+03	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.20E+00	1.14E+00	9.18E+00	3.83E+00	
Fluoranthene	2.40E+03	2.27E+03	1.00E+04*	7.62E+03	
Fluorene	2.38E+03	2.25E+03	1.00E+04*	7.45E+03	5.47E+01
Hexachlorobenzene	2.43E-01	2.20E-01	5.82E-01	7.13E-01	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.20E+01	1.14E+01	9.18E+01	3.83E+01	
Naphthalene	1.82E+02	8.56E+01	4.53E+02	9.14E+02	1.25E+02
Phenanthrene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	2.80E+01
Phenol	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	7.26E+03
Pyrene	1.80E+03	1.71E+03	1.00E+04*	5.72E+03	
Metals					
Antimony	2.95E+01	2.91E+01	2.61E+02	9.79E+01	
Arsenic	2.21E+01	2.18E+01	1.96E+02	7.35E+01	
Barium	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	
Cadmium	7.38E+01	7.28E+01	6.53E+02	2.45E+02	
Chromium III	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	
Chromium VI	2.21E+02	2.18E+02	1.96E+03	7.35E+02	
Cobalt	1.48E+03	1.46E+03	1.00E+04*	4.90E+03	
Copper	2.95E+03	2.91E+03	1.00E+04*	9.79E+03	
Lead	2.58E+02	2.55E+02	2.29E+03	8.57E+02	
Manganese	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	
Mercury	1.10E+01	6.52E+00	3.84E+01	4.56E+01	
Molybdenum	3.69E+02	3.64E+02	3.26E+03	1.22E+03	
Nickel	1.48E+03	1.46E+03	1.00E+04*	4.90E+03	
Tin	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	
Zinc	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	
Dioxins / PCBs					
Dioxins (I-TEQ)	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	5.00E-03	1.00E-03	
PCBs	2.36E-01	2.26E-01	7.48E-01	7.56E-01	
Petroleum Carbon Ranges					
C6 - C8	1.41E+03	5.45E+02	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+03
C9 - C16	2.24E+03	1.33E+03	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	3.00E+03
C17 - C35	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	5.00E+03
Other Inorganic Compounds					
Cyanide, free	1.48E+03	1.46E+03	1.00E+04*	4.90E+03	
Organometallics					
ТВТО	2.21E+01	2.18E+01	1.96E+02	7.35E+01	

Notes:
(1) For Dioxins, the cleanup levels in USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive of 1998 have been adopted. The OSWER Directive value of 1 ppb for residential use has been applied to the scenarios of "Urban Residential", "Rural Residential", and "Public Parks", while the low end of the range of values for industrial, 5 ppb, has been applied to the scenario of "Industrial".
(2) Soil saturation limits for petroleum carbon ranges taken from the Canada-Wide Standards for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil, CCME 2000.
(3) \* indicates a 'ceiling limit' concentration.
(4) \*\*\* indicates that the C<sub>sat</sub> value exceeds the 'ceiling limit' therefore the RBRG applies.

Table 2.2

	Risk-Based F			
Chemical	Urban Residential	Rural Residential	Industrial	(mg/L)
1/0.0-	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Acotono	1.005+04*	1.005+04*	1.005+04*	***
Benzene	3.86E+00	1.00E+04	5.40E+04	1 75E+03
Bromodichloromethane	2.22E+00	8 71E-01	2.62E+01	6.74E+03
2-Butanone	1 00E+04*	1 00E+04*	1.00E+0//*	***
Chloroform	9.56F-01	3.82F-01	1 13E+01	7 92E+03
Ethylbenzene	1.02E+03	3 91E+02	1.00E+04*	1.69E+02
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	1.53E+02	6 11E+01	1.81E+03	***
Methylene Chloride	1.90E+01	7 59E+00	2 24F+02	***
Styrene	3.02E+03	1 16E+03	1.00F+04*	3 10E+02
Tetrachloroethene	2 50E-01	9.96F-02	2.95E+00	2 00F+02
Toluene	5.11E+03	1.97E+03	1.00E+04*	5.26E+02
Trichloroethene	1 21E+00	4 81F-01	1 42F+01	1 10E+03
Xylenes (Total)	1.12E+02	4.33E+01	1.57E+03	1.75E+02
SVOCs				
Acenaphthene	1.00E+04*	7.09E+03	1.00E+04*	4.24E+00
Acenaphthylene	1.41E+03	5.42E+02	1.00E+04*	3.93E+00
Anthracene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	4.34E-02
Benzo(a)anthracene				
Benzo(a)pyrene				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.39E-01	2.03E-01	7.53E+00	1.50E-03
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene				
bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate				
Chrysene	5.81E+01	2.19E+01	8.12E+02	1.60E-03
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene				
Fluoranthene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	2.06E-01
Fluorene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.98E+00
Hexachlorobenzene	5.89E-02	2.34E-02	6.95E-01	6.20E+00
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene				
Naphthalene	6.17E+01	2.37E+01	8.62E+02	3.10E+01
Phenanthrene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+00
Phenol				
Pyrene	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.00E+04*	1.35E-01
Metals			1	
Antimony				
Arsenic				
Barium				
Cadmium				
Cobalt				
Copper				
Lead				
Manganese	1 96E 01	1945 01	6 70E+00	
Melyhdenum	4.00E-01	1.04E-01	0.79E+00	
Nickel				
Tin				
Zinc				
Dioxins / PCBs				
Dioxins (I-TEQ)				
PCBs	4.33E-01	1.71E-01	5.11E+00	3.10E-02
Petroleum Carbon Ranges				
C6 - C8	8,22E+01	3,17E+01	1,15E+03	5.23E+00
C9 - C16	7.14E+02	2.76E+02	9.98E+03	2.80E+00
C17 - C35	1.28E+01	4.93E+00	1.78E+02	2.80E+00
Other Inorganic Compounds				
Cyanide, free				
Organometallics				
ТВТО				

Notes:

Notes:
(1) Blank indicates that RBRG could not be calculated because the toxicity or physical/chemical values were unavailable, or the condition of Henry's Law Constant>1.00E-05 was not met for the inhalation pathway.
(2) Water solubilities for Petroleum Carbon Range aliphatic C9-C16 and greater than C16 generally are considered to be effectively zero and therefore the aromatic solubility for C9-C16 is used.
(3) \* indicates a 'ceiling limit' concentration.
(4) \*\*\*\* indicates that the solubility limit exceeds the 'ceiling limit' therefore the RBRG applies.

# Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Groundwater and Solubility Limit

# Appendix G

# Typical Design of Groundwater Monitoring Well

# Typical Design of a Groundwater Monitoring Well



Source: Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land, EPD, Aug. 2011