

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT ORDINANCE (CAP. 499)
SECTION 5 (7)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT STUDY BRIEF NO. ESB- 199/2008

**PROJECT TITLE : LIANTANG / HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSSING
POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS**
(hereinafter known as the “Project”)

NAME OF APPLICANT : CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
(hereinafter known as the “Applicant”)

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 An application (No. ESB-199/2008) for an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Study Brief under section 5(1)(a) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) was submitted by the Applicant on 3 November 2008 with a project profile (No. PP-372/2008) (hereafter referred as the “Project Profile”).
- 1.2 The Project is to construct and operate a new boundary crossing point (BCP) at Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai together with its associated works, including a dual two-lane trunk road connecting the proposed BCP with Fanling Highway adjacent to Wo Hop Shek.
- 1.3 The location of the Project is shown in Figure 1, Figure 2a and Figure 2b in Appendix A of this Study Brief. The scope of the Project comprises the followings:-
- (i) Site formation of about 18.3 hectares of land for the construction of a BCP building in the area of Chuk Yuen Village;
 - (ii) Re-alignment of Kong Yiu Channel in association with the above site formation and drainage facilities discharging into the Shenzhen River associated with the BCP;
 - (iii) Cargo processing facilities including processing kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, vehicle holding areas, customs inspection platforms, cargo examination buildings, X-ray building, weigh stations etc.;
 - (iv) Passenger related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, etc;
 - (v) Accommodation for and facilities of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
 - (vi) Provision of transport related facilities inside the BCP including public transport interchange, and transport drop-off and pick-up areas;
 - (vii) Other peripheral structures and supporting facilities such as bridges across Shenzhen River, border road and fences, water supply system, utilities, culvert, drainage and sewerage etc;
 - (viii) Construction of a dual two-lane trunk road with traffic control and surveillance

system connecting the BCP with Fanling Highway adjacent to Wo Hop Shek - which comprises a 6.5km viaduct, three tunnel sections totaling 3.5km in length, tunnel administration building and tunnel ventilation system;

- (ix) Associated diversion / modification works at Lin Ma Hang Road to cope with the BCP development;
- (x) Associated environmental mitigation measures, landscaping works, drainage/ sewerage, waterworks, utilities and traffic engineering works; and
- (xi) Collection, treatment and disposal of sewage generated from the BCP - one of the following two tentative sewage treatment options will be recommended for adoption during the course of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study:-
 - Option 1 – convey the sewage generated from the BCP to the Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works through an existing village pumping main.
 - Option 2 – provide an on-site sewage treatment facility to a tertiary level with provision of reedbeds.

1.4 The Project is a designated project under:-

- (i) Item A.1, Part 1, Schedule 2 of the EIAO : *A road which is an expressway, trunk road, primary distributor road or district distributor road including new roads, and major extensions or improvements to existing roads.*
- (ii) Item I.1, Part 1, Schedule 2 of the EIAO : *A drainage channel or river training and diversion works which discharges or discharge into an area which is less than 300 m from the nearest boundary of an existing site of special scientific interest and conservation area.*

1.5 It is noted the footprint of the proposed BCP is adjacent to the future realigned Shenzhen River. According to the Project Profile, the associated river training works will be covered under the proposed Shenzhen River Improvement Works to be carried out by the Drainage Services Department and the Shenzhen Municipal Government under separate cover. Since the river training and diversion works under the proposed Shenzhen River Improvement Works is a designated project under Item I.1 : *A drainage channel or river training and diversion works which discharges or discharge into an area which is less than 300 m from the nearest boundary of an existing site of special scientific interest and conservation area*, the relevant applicant for the Shenzhen River Improvement Works shall make another application for an EIA Study Brief under section 5(1)(a) of the EIAO for such works.

1.6 Pursuant to section 5(7)(a) of the EIAO, the Director of Environmental Protection (the Director) issues this EIA Study Brief to the Applicant to carry out an EIA study.

1.7 The purpose of this EIA study is to provide information on the nature and extent of environmental impacts arising from construction and operation of the Project, the related works such as decommissioning and re-provisioning of affected facilities and the related activities taking place concurrently (i.e. the Project and associated works). This information will contribute to decisions by the Director on :

- (i) the acceptability of adverse environmental consequences that are likely to arise

as a result of the Project and associated works, as well as other interfacing projects on or near Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai;

- (ii) the conditions and requirements for the detailed design, construction and operation of the Project to mitigate against adverse environmental consequences wherever practicable; and
- (iii) the acceptability of residual impacts after the proposed mitigation measures are implemented.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE EIA STUDY

2.1 The objectives of the EIA study are as follows:

- (i) to describe the Project together with the requirements for carrying out the Project;
- (ii) to identify and describe elements of community and environment likely to be affected by the Project and/or likely to cause adverse impacts to the Project and associated works, including both the natural and man-made environment and the associated environmental constraints;
- (iii) to provide information on the consideration of alternatives to avoid or minimize the potential adverse environmental impacts to environmentally sensitive areas and other sensitive uses; to compare the environmental benefits and dis-benefits of each of different options; to provide reasons, justifications and constraints for selecting the preferred option(s); and to describe the part environmental factors played in the selection of preferred option(s)
- (iv) to identify and quantify emission sources and determine the significance of impacts on sensitive receivers and affected uses with respect to air quality, noise, water quality, waste management implication, land contamination, terrestrial and aquatic ecology, fisheries impacts, landscape and visual, and sites of cultural heritage;
- (v) to identify and quantify any potential loss or damage to flora, fauna and natural habitats;
- (vi) to identify and quantify any potential fisheries impacts arising from the construction and operation of the project and to propose measures to avoid in the first instance or mitigate these impacts if the project would affect any active or inactive fishponds;
- (vii) to identify any negative impacts on sites of cultural heritage and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (viii) To identify and quantify contaminated land within any project area for development works, and to propose measures to avoid disposal in the first instance;
- (ix) to identify any potential landscape, visual and glare impacts and to propose

measures to mitigate these impacts;

- (x) to propose measures to avoid or the provision of infrastructures or mitigation measures to minimize pollution, environmental disturbance and nuisance during construction and operation of the Project and associated works;
- (xi) to investigate the feasibility, practicability, effectiveness and implications of the proposed mitigation measures;
- (xii) to identify, predict and evaluate the residual environmental impacts (i.e. after practicable mitigation) and the cumulative effects expected to arise during the construction and operation of the Project in relation to the sensitive receivers and potentially affected uses;
- (xiii) to identify, assess and specify methods, measures and standards to be included in the detailed design, construction and operation of the Project which are necessary to mitigate these environmental impacts and reduce them to acceptable levels;
- (xiv) to investigate the extent of the secondary environmental impacts that may arise from the proposed mitigation measures and to identify constraints associated with the mitigation measures recommended in the EIA study, as well as the provision of any necessary modification;
- (xv) to design and specify environmental monitoring and audit requirements to check the effective implementation of the recommended environmental protection and pollution control measures; and
- (xvi) to identify individual project(s) and associated works of the Project that fall under Schedule 2 of the EIAO; to ascertain whether the findings of this EIA study have adequately addressed the environmental impacts of the identified EIAO Schedule 2 designated projects; and, where necessary, to identify the outstanding issues that need to be addressed in any further detailed EIA study.

3. DETAILED REQUIREMENTS OF THE EIA STUDY

3.1 The Purpose

- 3.1.1 The purpose of this Study Brief is to scope the key issues of the EIA study and to specify the environmental issues that are required to be reviewed and assessed in the EIA report. The Applicant has to demonstrate in the EIA report that the criteria in the relevant sections of the Technical Memorandum on the Environmental Impact Assessment Process of the EIAO (hereinafter referred to as the "TM") are fully complied with.

3.2 The Scope

- 3.2.1 The scope of this EIA study shall cover the Project proposed in the Project Profile and mentioned in sections 1.3 and 1.4 above. The EIA study shall address the likely key issues described below, together with any other issues identified during the course of the EIA study :

- (i) the potential air quality impact from the construction and operation of the Project on nearby sensitive receivers, taking into account the cumulative impact from the construction and operation of existing and planned / committed projects in the vicinity of the Project, in particular the Shenzhen River Improvement Works, New Development Areas in North East New Territories (NENT), NENT Landfill Extension, Widening Works along Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway, Review of Frontier Closed Area (FCA), Fanling Bypass and Construction of a Secondary Fence and new sections of Primary Boundary Fence and Boundary Patrol Road, Drainage Improvements in Northern New Territories – Package C, Fanling Bypass, Provision of Cremators at Wo Hop Shek Crematorium, etc..
- (ii) the potential noise impact from the construction and operation of the Project on nearby sensitive receivers, taking into account the cumulative impact from the construction and operation of existing and planned / committed projects in the vicinity of the Project, in particular the New Development Areas in North East New Territories (NENT), Widening Works along Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway, Review of Frontier Closed Area (FCA), Fanling Bypass and Construction of a Secondary Fence and new sections of Primary Boundary Fence and Boundary Patrol Road.
- (iii) the potential water quality impact caused by re-alignment of Kong Yiu Channel and drainage facilities discharging into the Shenzhen River associated with the BCP, possible sewage from the BCP facilities, potential impact to water courses along the alignment of the proposed trunk road, taking into account the cumulative impact from the construction and operation of existing and planned / committed projects in the vicinity of the Project, in particular the Shenzhen River Improvement Works and Drainage Improvement in Northern New Territories (Package C) and the NENT Landfill Extension.
- (iv) The potential terrestrial and aquatic ecological impact ecology during the construction and operation of the Project, in particular the followings :
 - (a) loss of ecologically sensitive wetland arising from the Project;
 - (b) increased human disturbance from the Project affecting wildlife inhabiting wetland and Fung Shui woods at the vicinity of the BCP;
 - (c) disturbance to mammals at the east of the Frontier Closed Area (including Chuk Yuen, Tsung Yuen Ha, Ha Heung Yuen, Heung Yuen Wai and Pak Fu Shan as a feeding and breeding ground to mammals), with particular focus to any locally protected mammal species including Yellow-bellied Weasel (*Mustela kathiah*), Crab-eating Mongoose (*Herpestes urva*), Masked Palm Civet (*Paguma larvata*), Indian Muntjac (*Muntiacus muntjak*) and Malayan Porcupine (*Hystrix brachyuran*);
 - (d) habitat fragmentation issue associated with the proposed dual two-lane trunk road;
 - (e) impact to recognized sites of conservation importance including the Mai Po and Inner Deep Bay Ramsar Site, Mai Po Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest, Inner Deep Bay Site of Special Scientific Interest, Wetland Conservation Area and Wetland Buffer Area (both defined under Town Planning Board Guidelines TPB PG-No. 12B), ecological mitigation areas of other projects (such as the Shenzhen River Improvement Works) and areas with conservation importance identified during the course of the EIA study.

- (f) The primary and secondary / induced environmental impact of diversion / modification / widening of Lin Ma Hang Road should be properly examined as such works may encroach onto ecologically sensitive habitats (e.g. wetland).
- (v) The potential fisheries impacts arising from the construction and operation of the project;
- (vi) The potential impacts on sites of cultural heritage, in particular potential impact archaeological remains at the road section at the vicinity of the Ping Che Archaeological Site, Queen's Hill Archaeological Site and any archaeological remains and built heritage resource that may be identified during the course of the EIA study.
- (vii) The potential impacts of various types of wastes to be generated from the construction and operation of the Project, in particular the spoil arising from the site formation of the BCP, stream realignment and road construction, including spoil arising from the proposed tunnelling works. The potential waste management issue associated with the use of filling materials such as inert construction and demolition material (C&DM) during construction of the Project shall also be addressed.
- (viii) The potential land contamination impacts arising from the construction of the project and the extent of land contamination within any project area for development works and relevant mitigation measures;
- (ix) The potential landscape and visual impacts during the construction and operation of the Project, associated works, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures, in particular the potential visual and glare impacts from the BCP on the nearby residents in Tsung Yuen Ha, potential landscape and visual impacts from the proposed trunk road on sensitive receivers along its alignment;
- (x) The potential cumulative environmental impacts of the Project, through interaction or in combination with other existing, committed and planned projects in the vicinity of the Project, and that those impacts may have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. Consideration shall be given to account for impacts from likely concurrent projects, including the Shenzhen River Improvement Works, New Development Areas in NENT, NENT Landfill Extension, Widening Works along Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway, Review of the Frontier Closed Area (FCA), Construction of a Secondary Boundary Fence and new sections of Primary Boundary Fence and Boundary Patrol Road, Drainage Improvements in Northern New Territories – Package C, Fanling Bypass, Provision of Cremators at Wo Hop Shek Crematorium, etc.

3.3 **Consideration of Alternatives**

3.3.1 Need of the Project

- 3.3.1.1 The Applicant shall provide information on the need and the siting of the Project, including the purpose and objectives of the Project and the site selection process of the Project, and describe the scenarios with and without the Project.

3.3.2 Consideration of Alternative Development Options

3.3.2.1 The Applicant shall present in the EIA report the consideration of alternative options, including location, scale, size, scope, shape, configuration, layout, design, construction method, sequence of construction works, etc., for developing the Project and provide justification regarding how the proposed scheme is arrived at, including the descriptions of the environmental factors considered in the option section. Comparison of the environmental benefits and disbenefits of applying different development options shall be made with a view to recommending a preferred option to avoid / minimize adverse environmental impacts. Other factors or constraints affecting the development options of the Project shall be stated.

3.4 **Technical Requirements**

3.4.1 The Applicant shall conduct the EIA study to address all environmental aspects of the activities as described in Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 above. The assessment shall be based on the best and latest information available during the course of the EIA study. The Applicant shall include in the EIA report details of the construction programme and methodologies. The Applicant shall clearly state in the EIA report the time frame and work programmes of the Project and other concurrent projects, and assess the cumulative environmental impacts from the Project and interacting projects as identified in the EIA study, including any phased implementation of the Project.

3.4.2 The EIA study shall include the following technical requirements on specific impacts.

3.4.3 **Air Quality Impact**

3.4.3.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing air quality impact as stated in Annexes 4 and 12 of the TM.

3.4.3.2 The study area for air quality impact assessment shall be defined by a distance of 500 metres from the Project boundary shown in Figure 1 of this Study Brief or other project locations as identified in the EIA, which shall be extended to include major existing, planned and committed air pollutant emission sources that may have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. The assessment shall include the existing, planned and committed sensitive receivers within the study area as well as areas where air quality may be potentially affected by the Project, in particular, areas close to the road network affected by traffic generated from this Project such as the New Development Areas in NENT. Such assessment shall be based on the best available information at the time of the assessment.

3.4.3.3 The Applicant shall assess the air pollutant concentrations with reference to the relevant sections of the guidelines in Appendices B-1 to B-3 attached to this Study Brief, or other methodology as agreed by the Director.

3.4.3.4 The air quality impact assessment shall include the following:

(i) Background and Analysis of Activities

- (a) Provision of background information relating to air quality issues relevant to the Project, e.g. description of the types of activities of the Project that may affect air quality during construction and operation stages.

- (b) Giving of an account, where appropriate, of the consideration / measures that have been taken into consideration in the planning of the Project to abate the air pollution impact. The Applicant shall consider alternative construction methods and phasing programmes, and alternative operation modes to minimize the air quality impact during construction and operation stages of the Project.
 - (c) Presentation of the background air quality levels in the assessment area for the purpose of evaluating the cumulative air quality impacts during construction and operation stages of the Project.
- (ii) Identification of Air Sensitive Receivers (ASRs) and Examination of Emission / Dispersion Characteristics
- (a) Identification and description of existing, planned and committed ASRs that would likely be affected by the Project, including those earmarked on the relevant Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area Plans, Outline Development Plans, Layout Plans and other relevant published land use plans, including plans and drawings published by Lands Department and any land use and development applications approved by the Town Planning Board. The Applicant shall select the assessment points of the identified ASRs that represent the worst impact point of these ASRs. A map showing the location and description such as name of buildings, their uses and height of the selected assessment points shall be given. The separation distances of these ASRs from the nearest emission sources shall also be given.
 - (b) Provision of a list of air pollutant emission sources, including any nearby emission sources which are likely to have impact related to the Project based on the analysis of the activities during construction and operation stages of the Project in sub-section 3.4.3.4(i). Confirmation regarding the validity of the assumptions adopted and the magnitude of the activities (e.g. volume of construction material handled, traffic mix and volume on a road, etc.) shall be obtained from the relevant government departments / authorities and documented.
 - (c) The emissions from any concurrent projects identified as relevant during the course of the EIA study shall be taken into account as contributing towards the overall cumulative air quality impact. The impact as affecting the existing, committed and planned ASRs within the assessment area shall be assessed, based on the best information available at the time of assessment.
- (iii) Construction Phase Air Quality Impact
- (a) The Applicant shall follow the requirements stipulated under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation to ensure that construction dust impacts are controlled within the relevant standards as stipulated in section 1 of Annex 4 of the TM. A monitoring and audit programme for the construction phase shall be devised to verify the effectiveness of the control measures proposed so as to ensure proper construction dust control.

- (b) If the Applicant anticipates that the Project will give rise to significant construction dust impacts likely to exceed the recommended limits in the TM at the ASRs despite the incorporation of the dust control measures proposed in accordance with sub-section 3.4.3.4(iii)(a) above, a quantitative assessment should be carried out to evaluate the construction dust impact at the identified ASRs. The Applicant shall follow the methodology set out in sub-section 3.4.3.4(v) below when carrying out the quantitative assessment.

(iv) Operational Phase Air Quality Impact

- (a) The Applicant shall calculate the expected air pollutant concentrations at the identified ASRs based on an assumed reasonably worst-case scenario under normal operating conditions. The evaluation shall be based on the strength of the emission sources identified in sub-section 3.4.3.4(ii)(b) above. The Applicant shall follow sub-section 3.4.3.4(v) below when carrying out the quantitative assessment.
- (b) The air pollution impacts of future road traffic shall be calculated based on the highest emission strength from the road within the next 15 years upon commencement of operation of the proposed road. The Applicant shall demonstrate that the selected year of assessment represents the highest emission scenario given the combination of vehicular emission factors and traffic flow for the selected year. The Fleet Average Emission Factors used in the assessment. Shall be agreed with the Director. If necessary, the Fleet Average Emission Factors shall be determined by a motor vehicle emission model such as EMFAC-HK model to be agreed with the Director. All the traffic flow data and assumptions that are used in the assessment shall be clearly and properly documented in the EIA report.
- (c) If vehicular tunnels and / or full enclosures are proposed in the Project, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that the air quality inside these proposed structures shall comply with EPD's "*Practice Note on Control of Air Pollution in Vehicle Tunnels*". When assessing air quality impact due to emissions from tunnels / full enclosures, the Applicant shall ensure prior agreement with the relevant ventilation design engineer over the amount and the types / kinds of pollutants emitted from these full enclosures; and such assumptions shall be clearly and properly documented in the EIA report.

(v) Quantitative Assessment Methodology

- (a) The Applicant shall apply the general principles enunciated in the modelling guidelines in Appendices B-1 to B-3 while making allowance for the specific characteristic of the Project. This specific methodology must be documented in such level of details, preferably assisted with tables and diagrams, to allow the readers of the EIA report to grasp how the model has been set up to simulate the situation under study without referring to the model input files. Detailed calculations of air pollutants emission rates for input to the modelling and maps showing the road links shall be presented in the EIA report. The Applicant must ensure consistency between the text description and the model files at every stage of submissions for

review. In case of doubt, prior agreement between the Applicant and the Director on the specific modelling details should be sought.

- (b) The Applicant shall identify the key / representative air pollutant parameters (types of pollutants and the averaging time concentrations) to be evaluated and provide explanation for selecting such parameters for assessing the impact from the Project.
- (c) The Applicant shall calculate the cumulative air quality impact at the ASRs identified under sub-section 3.4.3.4(ii) above and compare these results against the criteria set out in section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM. The predicted air quality impacts (both unmitigated and mitigated) shall be presented in the form of summary table(s) and pollution contours, to be evaluated against the relevant air quality standards and on any effect they may have on the land use implications. Plans of a suitable scale should be used to present pollution contours to allow buffer distance requirements to be determined properly.
- (d) If there are any direct technical noise remedies recommended in the study, the air quality implication due to these technical remedies shall be assessed. For instance, if barriers that may affect dispersion of air pollutants are proposed, then the implications of such remedies on air quality impact shall be assessed. If tunnel / noise enclosure is proposed, then portal emissions of the enclosed road section and air quality inside the tunnel / enclosed road section shall also be addressed. The Applicant shall highlight clearly the locations and types of agreed noise mitigating measures (where applicable), be they noise barriers, tunnel / road enclosures and their portals, and affected ASRs, on contour maps for easy reference.

(vi) Mitigation Measures for Non-compliance

The Applicant shall propose remedies and mitigating measures where the predicted air quality impact exceeds the criteria set in section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM. These measures and other associated constraints on future land use planning shall be agreed with the relevant government departments / authorities and be clearly documented in the EIA report. The Applicant shall demonstrate quantitatively whether the residual impacts after incorporation of the proposed mitigating measures will comply with the criteria stipulated in section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM.

(vii) Submission of Model Files

All input and output file(s) of the model run(s) shall be submitted to the Director in electronic format together with submission of the EIA report.

3.4.4 **Noise Impact**

3.4.4.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing noise impact as stated in Annexes 5 and 13 of the TM.

3.4.4.2 The noise impact assessment shall include the following :-

(i) Determination of Assessment Area

The study area for the noise impact assessment shall generally include areas within a distance of 300m from the Project boundary as shown in Figure 1 in Appendix A of this Study Brief or other Project locations as identified in the EIA. Subject to the agreement of the Director, the assessment area can be reduced accordingly if the first layer of noise sensitive receivers (NSRs), closer than 300m from the outer Project limit, provides acoustic shielding to those receivers at distances further away from the Project. Subject to the agreement of the Director, the assessment area shall be expanded to include NSRs at distances over 300m from the Project, if those NSRs are affected by the construction and operation of the Project. The assessment area for the construction noise impact shall cover areas within 300m of any work sites proposed under the Project.

(ii) Provision of Background Information and Existing Noise Levels

The Applicant shall provide background information relevant to the Project, including relevant previous or current studies. Unless required for determining the planning standards, such as those for planning of fixed noise sources, no existing noise levels are particularly required.

(iii) Identification of Noise Sensitive Receivers

(a) The Applicant shall refer to Annex 13 of the TM when identifying the NSRs. The NSRs shall include all existing NSRs and all planned / committed noise sensitive developments and uses earmarked on the relevant Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area Plans, Outline Development Plans, Layout Plans and other relevant published land use plans, including plans and drawings published by Lands Department and any land use and development applications approved by the Town Planning Board. Photographs of existing NSRs shall be appended to the EIA report.

(b) The Applicant shall select assessment points to represent the identified NSRs for carrying out quantitative noise assessment described below. The assessment points shall be agreed with the Director prior to the quantitative noise assessment. A map showing the location and description such as name of building, use, and number of floors of each and every selected assessment point shall be given. For planned noise sensitive land uses without committed site layouts, the Applicant shall use the relevant planning parameters to work out representative site layouts for noise assessment purpose.

(iv) Provision of an Emission Inventory of the Noise Sources

The Applicant shall provide an inventory of noise sources including representative construction equipment for the purpose of carrying out the construction noise assessment, such as those used for tunnelling and other construction works, and should provide traffic flow, fixed plant equipment, as appropriate, for operational noise assessment. Confirmation of the validity of the inventory shall be obtained from the relevant government departments / authorities and documented in the EIA report.

(v) Construction Noise Assessment

- (a) The assessment shall cover the cumulative noise impacts due to the construction works of the Project and other concurrent projects identified during the course of the EIA study.
- (b) The Applicant shall carry out assessment of noise impact from construction (excluding percussive piling) of the Project during daytime, i.e. 7am to 7pm, on weekdays other than general holidays in accordance with methodology in paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4 of Annex 13 of the TM. The criteria in Table 1B of Annex 5 of TM shall be adopted in the assessment. In case blasting works is involved, it shall be carried out, as far as practicable, outside the sensitive hours of 7 p.m. to 7 a.m. on Monday to Saturday and any time on a general holiday, including Sunday. For blasting that must be carried out during the above-mentioned sensitive hours, the noise impact from the removal of debris and rocks shall be fully assessed and adequate mitigation measures shall be recommended to reduce the noise impact.
- (c) To minimize the construction noise impact, alternative construction methods to replace percussive piling and blasting shall be proposed as far as practicable.
- (d) For tunnelling, noise impact (including air-borne noise and ground-borne noise) associated with the operation of powered mechanical equipment, in particular, tunnel boring machines or equivalent, shall be assessed. If the equipment, such as a tunnel boring machine, are used and it is likely that ground-borne noise will affect NSRs, the assessment methodology / model for ground-borne noise shall be consistent with previous studies having similar issues or agreed with the Director prior to the assessment. Methodology and the empirical parameters required in the ground-borne noise model shall be documented in the EIA report.
- (e) If the unmitigated construction noise levels are found exceeding the relevant criteria, the Applicant shall propose practicable direct mitigation measures (including movable barriers, enclosures, quieter alternative methods, rescheduling and restricting hours of operation of noisy tasks) to minimize the impact. If the mitigated noise levels still exceed the relevant criteria, the duration of the noise exceedance at the affected NSR shall be given.
- (f) The Applicant shall, as far as practicable, formulate a reasonable construction programme so that no work will be required in restricted hours as defined under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO). In case the Applicant needs to evaluate whether construction works in restricted hours as defined under the NCO are feasible or not in the context of programming construction works, reference should be made to relevant technical memoranda issued under the NCO. In case the Applicant considers that there is an unavoidable need to conduct certain type of construction works during the restricted hours, detailed justifications shall be provided with the assessment of the degree and duration of the noise impact. Regardless of the results of construction noise impact assessment for restricted hours, the Noise Control Authority will process Construction Noise Permit (CNP)

application, if necessary, based on the NCO, the relevant technical memoranda issued under the NCO, and the contemporary conditions/situations. This aspect shall be explicitly stated in the noise chapter and the conclusions and recommendations chapter in EIA report.

(vi) Operational Noise Assessment

(a) Road Traffic Noise

(a1) Calculation of Noise Levels

The Applicant shall analyse the scope of the proposed road alignment(s) to identify road sections for the purpose of traffic noise impact assessment. In determining whether the traffic noise impact due to a road improvement project / work is considered significant, detailed information with respect to factors including at least change of nature of road, change of alignment and change of traffic capacity or traffic composition shall be assessed. The traffic noise impact shall be considered significant if the traffic noise level with the road project is greater than that without the road project at the design year by 1.0 dB(A) or more. Figures showing extents of the road sections (both existing and new road sections) shall be provided in the EIA report.

The Applicant shall calculate expected road traffic noise using methods described in the U.K. Department of Transport's "Calculation of Road Traffic Noise" (1988). Calculations of future road traffic noise shall be based on peak hour traffic flow at the design year, i.e., the maximum traffic projection within a 15 years period upon commencement of operation of the Project. The Applicant shall calculate traffic noise levels in respect of each road section and the overall noise levels from combined road sections (road sections within the meaning of Item A.1 of Schedule 2 of EIAO and other road sections) at NSRs. The EIA report shall contain sample calculations and input parameters for at least 10 assessment points as requested by the Director.

The Applicant shall provide the input data set of the traffic noise model in the format of electronic files in the EIA. The Applicant shall prepare and provide drawings (i.e., road-plots of the traffic noise model) of appropriate scale to show the road segments, topographic barriers, and assessment points of sensitive receivers input into the traffic noise model.

The Applicant shall provide input data sets of traffic noise prediction model adopted in the EIA study as requested by the Director for the following scenarios:

- (1) The scenario without the road projects at the design year;
- (2) the unmitigated scenario at assessment year;
- (3) mitigated scenario at assessment year; and
- (4) prevailing scenario for indirect technical remedies eligibility

assessment.

The data shall be in electronic text file (ASCII format) containing road segments, barriers and noise sensitive receivers information. The data structure of the above file shall be agreed with the Director. CD-ROM(s) containing the above data shall be attached in the EIA report.

(a2) Presentation of Noise Levels

The Applicant shall present the prevailing and future noise levels in L10 (1 hour) at the NSRs at various representative floor levels (in m P.D.) on tables and plans of suitable scale.

A quantitative assessment at the NSRs for the road alignments shall be carried out and compared against the criteria set out in Table 1A of Annex 5 of the TM. The potential noise impact of the road alignments shall be quantified by estimating the total number of dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive elements that will be exposed to noise levels exceeding the criteria set in Table 1A of Annex 5 in the TM.

(a3) Proposals for Noise Mitigation Measures

After rounding of the predicted noise levels according to the U.K. Department of Transport's "*Calculation of Road Traffic Noise*" (1988), the Applicant shall propose direct mitigation measures in all situations where the predicted traffic noise level due to the road sections within the meaning of Item A.1 of Schedule 2 of EIAO, exceeds the criteria in Table 1A of Annex 5 in the TM by 1 dB(A) or more; or, for situations where the overall traffic noise level at the NSRs with the road project exceeds the criteria in Table 1A of Annex 5 in the TM by 1 dB(A) or more and at the same time is greater than that without the road project at the design year by 1.0 dB(A) or more. The direct mitigation measures listed under Section 6.1, Annex 13 of the TM, including the option of alternative land use arrangement, shall be thoroughly explored and evaluated with a view to reducing the noise level at the NSRs concerned to the level meeting the relevant noise criteria. Also, the feasibility, practicability, programming and effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures should be assessed in accordance with section 4.4.2(k) of the TM. Specific reasons for not adopting certain direct mitigation measures in the design to reduce the traffic noise to a level meeting the criteria in the TM or to maximize the protection for NSRs as far as possible shall be clearly and specifically quantified and laid down in the EIA report. Sections of barriers proposed to protect existing NSRs shall be differentiated clearly from those proposed for the protection of future or planned NSRs as the latter is only required to be constructed before the occupation of the planned NSRs. To facilitate the phased implementation of the barriers under this principle, a barrier inventory showing intended NSRs (i.e. existing NSRs as distinct from planned NSRs) to be protected by different

barrier sections to achieve different extent of noise reduction (to be quantified in terms of how many dB(A)) should be provided.

The total number of dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive elements that will be benefited from, and be protected by the provision of direct mitigation measures shall be provided. In order to clearly present the extents/locations of recommended noise mitigation measures, plans prepared from 1:1000 or 1:2000 survey maps showing the mitigation measures (e.g. enclosures/barriers, low noise road surfacing) shall be included in the EIA report.

The total number of dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive elements that will still be exposed to noise levels above the criteria with the implementation of recommended direct mitigation measures shall be quantified. The Applicant shall provide, in the EIA report information of recommended noise mitigation measures (including at least barrier types, nominal dimensions at different cross-sections, extents/locations, lengths, mPD levels of barriers) in the format as agreed by the Director (including electronic format).

In case where a number of NSRs cannot be protected by the recommended direct mitigation measures, the Applicant shall identify and estimate the total number of existing dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive elements which may qualify for indirect technical remedies, the associated costs and any implications for such implementation. For the purpose of determining eligibility of the affected premises for indirect technical remedies, reference shall be made to the following set of three criteria:

- (1) the predicted overall noise level at the NSR from the road sections and other traffic noise in the vicinity must be above a specified noise level (e.g. 70 dB(A) for domestic premises and 65 dB(A) for education institutions and places of public worship, all in L10(1hr));
- (2) the predicted overall noise level at the NSR is at least 1.0 dB(A) more than the prevailing traffic noise level, i.e. the total traffic noise level existing before the commencement of works to construct the road; and
- (3) the contribution from the road sections to the increase in predicted overall noise level from the new road at the NSR must be at least 1.0dB(A).

(b) Fixed Noise Sources

(b1) Assessment of Fixed Source Noise Levels

The Applicant shall calculate the expected noise using standard acoustics principles. Calculations for the expected noise shall be based on assumed plant inventories and utilization schedule for the worst-case scenario. The Applicant shall calculate noise levels

taking into account correction of tonality, impulsiveness and intermittency in accordance with Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites issued under the NCO.

(b2) Presentation of Noise Levels

The Applicant shall present the existing and future noise levels in L_{eq} (30 min) at the NSRs at various representative floor levels (in m P.D.) on tables and plans of suitable scale. A quantitative assessment at the NSRs for the fixed noise sources shall be carried out and compared against the criteria set out in Table 1A of Annex 5 of the TM.

(b3) Proposals for Noise Mitigation Measures

The Applicant shall propose direct technical remedies within the Project limits in situations where the predicted noise level exceeds the criteria set out in Table 1A of Annex 5 of the TM to protect the affected NSRs.

(vii) Assessment of Side Effects and Constraints

The Applicant shall identify, assess and propose means to minimize any side effects and to resolve any potential constraints due to the inclusion of any recommended direct mitigation measures.

(viii) Evaluation of Constraints on Planned Noise Sensitive Developments/Land uses

For planned noise sensitive uses which will still be affected even with practicable direct mitigation measures in place, the Applicant shall propose, evaluate and confirm the practicability of additional measures within the planned noise sensitive uses and shall make recommendations on how these noise sensitive uses will be designed for the information of relevant parties.

The Applicant shall take into account agreed environmental requirements / constraints identified by the EIA study to assess the development potential of concerned sites which shall be made known to the relevant parties.

3.4.5 Water Quality Impact

3.4.5.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing water pollution as stated in Annexes 6 and 14 of the TM.

3.4.5.2 The study area for this water quality impact assessment shall include all areas within 500m from the Project site boundary, including Shenzhen River, River Ganges, River Indus, Tan Shan River, Kwan Tei River, Kong Yiu Drainage Channel, . This study area could be extended to include other areas such as stream courses and the associated water systems in the vicinity being impacted by the Project if found justifiable.

3.4.5.3 The Applicant shall identify and analyze in the assessment the physical, chemical and biological disruptions of marine, coastal, estuarine, fresh water, existing and new

drainage system(s), ground water system(s) during the construction and operation of the Project.

3.4.5.4 The Applicant shall address water quality impacts due to the construction and operation of the Project. Essentially the assessment shall address the following :

- (i) Collect and review of background information on the affected existing and planned water system(s), their respective catchments and sensitive receivers which might be affected by the Project;
- (ii) Characterize water quality of the water system(s), their respective catchment and sensitive receivers which might be affected by the Project based on existing best available information or appropriate site survey / tests;
- (iii) Identify and analyze physical, chemical and biological disruption of marine water, coastal water, inland water, existing and planned drainage system arising from the Project. In particular, the assessment shall evaluate the extent of potential impact on the drainage regime due to re-alignment of Kong Yiu Drainage Channel;
- (iv) Identify pertinent water quality objectives and water quality criteria or standards for the water system(s) and all the sensitive receivers as mentioned in sub-section (i), (ii) and (iii) above, including ecological sensitive receivers for the assessment covered in section 3.4.9;
- (v) Identify any alteration of water courses, natural streams, change of drainage system, change of flow regimes, change of ground water levels;
- (vi) Identify and quantify existing and likely future water pollution sources including point discharges and non-point sources to surface water runoff, sewage generated from the Project. An emission inventory on the quantities and characteristics of these existing and likely future pollution sources in the assessment area shall also be provided. Field investigation and laboratory tests, as appropriate, shall be conducted to fill in any relevant information gaps;
- (vii) Analyze the adequacy of the existing and planned sewerage and sewage treatment facilities for the handling, treatment and disposal of wastewater generated from the Project. The Applicant shall provide justification regarding how the preferred sewage treatment option is arrived at. Other factors or constraints affecting the two tentative options of the Project shall be stated;
- (viii) Predict and quantify the impacts on the identified water system(s) and the sensitive receivers due to construction and operation of the Project. All effluent generated from the BCP shall require appropriate collection, treatment and disposal to ensure that there is no net increase in pollution load to Deep Bay;
- (ix) Possible impacts include change in hydrology, flow regime, water quality and the effects on the aquatic organisms due to such changes. The prediction shall also take into account and include likely different construction stages or sequences, different operational stage;
- (x) Should dredging be required, the Applicant shall evaluate and quantify the

possible impacts arising from the dredging works by appropriate techniques agreed by the Director;

- (xi) Assess the cumulative impacts due to other related concurrent and planned projects, activities or pollution sources along the identified water system(s) and sensitive receivers that may have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project;
- (xii) Propose effective water pollution prevention and mitigation measures to be implemented during the construction and operational stages so as to reduce the water quality impacts to within acceptable levels of standards. No net increase of pollution load to Deep Bay should be ensured. Requirement to be incorporated in the project contract document shall also be proposed;
- (xiii) Analyze the provision and adequacy of the existing and planned future facilities to reduce pollution arising from the storm water drainage system, surface water runoff during construction and operation of the Project; establish a storm water pollution control plan to minimize the potential water quality impact. The plan shall incorporate details such as locations, sizes and types of installations/ measures and the best management practices; and
- (xiv) Evaluate and quantify residual impacts on the affected water system(s) and the sensitive receivers with regard to the appropriate water quality criteria, standards or guidelines.

3.4.6 Sewerage and Sewage Treatment Implication

3.4.6.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing impacts on the public sewerage, sewage treatment and disposal facilities stated in section 6.5 in Annex 14 of the TM.

3.4.6.2 The Applicant shall study and assess the impacts of the sewage discharge from the Project on the NENT Sewerage System. The assessment shall include the following :

- (i) Investigation and review of the existing, committed and planned sewerage networks and sewage treatment and disposal facilities, with particular reference to the report “Review of North District and Tolo Harbour Sewerage Master Plans – Final Report in November 2002”;
- (ii) Assessment of the sewerage system of the Project, including sewage treatment and disposal facilities, taking into account the projected flows from the Project;
- (iii) Assessment of the impact of the Project on the existing, committed and planned sewerage system and sewage treatment and disposal facilities;
- (iv) Preparation of a sewerage master plan for the Project using the latest version of the computerised analysis technique “INFOWORKS” or equivalent computer software agreed by the Director;
- (v) Identification of sewerage upgrading works required for the sewerage networks and sewage treatment and disposal facilities; and
- (vi) Recommendation of upgrading of sewage treatment and disposal facilities and

sewerage networks as appropriate, development of the implementation programme and preparation of the cost estimates.

3.4.7 **Waste Management Implications**

3.4.7.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing waste management implications as stated in Annexes 7 and 15 of the TM.

3.4.7.2 The assessment of waste management implications shall cover the following:

(i) Analysis of Activities and Waste Generation

The Applicant shall identify the quantity, quality and timing of the wastes arising as a result of the construction and operation activities of the Project, based on the sequence and duration of these activities. The Applicant shall adopt appropriate design, general layout, construction methods and programme to minimize the generation of public fill / inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and maximize the use of public fill / inert C&D materials for other construction works.

(ii) Proposal for Waste Management

(a) Prior to considering the disposal options for various types of wastes, opportunities for reducing waste generation, on-site or off-site re-use and recycling shall be fully evaluated. Measures that can be taken in the planning and design stages, e.g., by modifying the design approach and in the construction stage for maximizing waste reduction shall be separately considered;

(b) After considering the opportunities for reducing waste generation and maximizing re-use, the types and quantities of the wastes required to be disposed of as a consequence shall be estimated and the disposal methods/options for each type of waste shall be described in detail. The disposal methods / options recommended for each type of wastes shall take into account the result of the assessment in item (c) below;

(c) The impact caused by handling (including stockpiling, labelling, packaging & storage), collection, transportation and re-use / disposal of wastes shall be addressed in detail and appropriate mitigation measures shall be proposed. This assessment shall cover the following areas:

- potential hazard;
- air and odour emissions;
- noise;
- wastewater discharge; and
- public transport.

(iii) Dredging/Excavation, Filling and Dumping

(a) The Applicant shall identify and quantify as far as practicable of dredging / excavation, fill extraction, filling, reclamation, sediment / mud transportation and disposal activities and requirements. Potential fill source and dumping ground to be involved shall also be identified. Field

investigation, sampling and chemical and biological laboratory tests to characterize the sediment / mud concerned shall be conducted as appropriate. The ranges of parameters to be analyzed; the number, type and methods of sampling; sample preservation; chemical and biological laboratory test methods to be used shall be agreed with the Director (with reference to Section 4.4.2(c) of the TM) prior to the commencement of the tests and document in the EIA report for consideration. The categories of sediment / mud which are to be disposed of in accordance with a permit granted under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance (DASO) shall be identified by both chemical and biological tests and their quantities shall be estimated. If the presence of any serious contamination of sediment / mud which requires special treatment and / or disposal is confirmed, the Applicant shall identify the most appropriate treatment and / or disposal arrangement and demonstrate its feasibility. The Applicant shall provide supporting document, such as agreement by the relevant facilities management authorities, to demonstrate the viability of any treatment and / or disposal plan.

- (b) The Applicant shall identify and evaluate the best practical dredging / excavation methods to minimize dredging / excavation and dumping requirements and demand for fill sources based on the criterion that existing sediment / mud shall be left in place and not to be disturbed as far as possible.

3.4.8 Land Contamination

3.4.8.1 If any contaminated land uses as stated in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of Annex 19 in the TM is identified, the Applicant shall carry out the land contamination assessment as detailed from sub-section (i) to (vi) below and propose measures to avoid disposal :

- (i) The Applicant shall follow the guidelines for evaluating and assessing potential land contamination issues as stated in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of Annex 19 of the TM.
- (ii) The Applicant shall identify the potential land contamination site(s) within the study boundary and, if any, the boundaries of all associated areas (e.g. work areas) of the Project.
- (iii) The Applicant shall provide a clear and detailed account of the present land use (including description of the activities, chemicals and hazardous substances handled, with clear indication of their storage and location, by reference to a site layout plan) and a complete past land uses history in relation to possible land contamination (including accident records and change of land use(s) and the like).
- (iv) During the course of the EIA study, the Applicant shall submit a Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) to the Director for endorsement prior to conducting an actual contamination impact assessment of the land or site(s). The CAP shall include proposal with details on representative sampling and analysis required to determine the nature and the extent of the contamination of the relevant land or site(s). Alternatively, the Applicant may refer to other previously agreed and still relevant and valid CAP(s) for the concerned site(s).

- (v) Based on the endorsed CAP, the Applicant shall conduct a land contamination impact assessment and submit a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) to the Director for endorsement. If land contamination is confirmed, a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) to formulate viable remedial measures with supporting documents, such as agreement by the relevant facilities management authorities, shall be submitted to the Director for approval. The Applicant shall then clean up the contaminated land or site(s) according to the approved RAP, and a Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up should be prepared and submitted to the Director for endorsement prior to the commencement of any development works within the site. The CAP, CAR and RAP shall be documented in the EIA report.
- (vi) If there is/are potential contaminated site(s) is inaccessible for preparing sampling and analysis during the course of the EIA study, e.g. due to site access problem, the Applicant's CAP shall include :
 - (a) a review of the available information;
 - (b) an initial contamination evaluation of this/these site(s) and possible remediation methods;
 - (c) a confirmation of whether the contamination problem at this/these site(s) would be surmountable;
 - (d) a sampling and analysis proposal which shall aim at determining the nature and the extent of the contamination of this/these site(s) ; and
 - (e) a schedule of submission of revised CAP (if necessary), CAR, RAP and RR upon this/these site(s) is/are accessible."

3.4.8.7 The Applicant shall complete land contamination assessment and remediation (if necessary) at the potential contaminated site(s) prior to the commencement of the construction works at the respective site(s).

3.4.9 **Ecological Impact**

3.4.9.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing ecological impact as stated in Annexes 8 and 16 of the TM.

3.4.9.2 The assessment area for the purpose of terrestrial ecological assessment shall include all areas within 500m from the Project site boundary, area east of the Frontier Closed Area (covering Chuk Yuen, Tsung Yuen Ha, Ha Heung Yuen, Heung Yuen Wai and Pak Fu) and any other areas likely to be impacted by the Project. For aquatic ecology, the assessment area shall be the same as the water quality impact assessment described in section 3.4.5.2.

3.4.9.3 In the ecological impact assessment, the Applicant shall examine the flora, fauna and other components of the ecological habitats within the assessment area, including those highlighted in sub-section 3.2.1(iv) above. The aim shall be to protect, maintain or rehabilitate the natural environment. In particular, the Project shall avoid impacts on conservation areas, sites of special scientific interest and other ecological sensitive areas. The assessment shall identify and quantify as far as possible the potential ecological impacts arising from the construction and operation of the Project.

3.4.9.4 The assessment shall include the followings major tasks :

- (i) Review of the findings of relevant studies / surveys and collate all available information on the ecological characters of the assessment area;
- (ii) Carry out any necessary ecological field surveys, the duration of which shall be at least 6 months (covering the wet and dry seasons), and investigations to verify the information collected, fill the information gaps identified and fulfil the objectives of the EIA study;
- (iii) Evaluate the information collected from sub-sections 3.4.9.4(i) and (ii) above, and determine whether further ecological surveys are required;
- (iv) Establish an ecological profile of the assessment area based on the data of relevant previous studies / surveys and results of additional ecological field surveys, and describe the characteristics of each habitat found. Major information to be provided shall include :
 - (a) description of the physical environment;
 - (b) habitat maps of suitable scale (1:1000 to 1:5000) showing the types and locations of habitats in the assessment area;
 - (c) ecological characteristics of each habitat type such as size, vegetation type, species present, dominant species found, species diversity, community structure, seasonal patterns, inter-dependence of the habitats and species, and presence of any features of ecological importance;
 - (d) representative colour photographs of each habitat type and any important ecological features identified; and
 - (e) species found that are rare, endangered and / or listed under local legislation, international conventions for conservation of wildlife / habitats or red data books.
- (v) Investigate and describe the existing wildlife uses of the various habitats with special attention to those wildlife groups and habitats with conservation interests, including but not limited to the followings :
 - Feeding and breeding ground to mammals;
 - Wetlands including intertidal mudflat, mangroves, fish ponds, wet agricultural lands, marshes, as well as natural stream courses and rivers;
 - Roosting, breeding and feeding sites of wetland birds;
 - Fung Shui Woods and mature woodlands;
 - Species of conservation interests;
 - locally protected mammal species such as the Yellow-bellied Weasel (*Mustela kathiah*), Crab-eating Mongoose (*Herpestes urva*), Masked Palm Civet (*Paguma larvata*), Indian Muntjac (*Muntiacus muntjak*) and Malayan Porcupine (*Hystrix brachyuran*)
- (vi) Describe all recognized sites of conservation importance in the vicinity of the Project and assess whether these sites will be affected by the Project;

- (vii) Using suitable methodology and considering also other works activities from other projects reasonably likely to occur at the same time, identify and quantify as far as possible of any direct (e.g. loss of habitats due to site formation works), indirect (e.g. changes in water qualities, hydrodynamics properties, hydrology, noise and other disturbance generated by the construction and operation activities, etc), on-site, off-site primary, secondary and cumulative ecological impacts on the wildlife groups and habitats, reduction of species abundance / diversity, loss of feeding grounds, reduction of ecological carrying capacity, habitat fragmentation.
- (viii) Evaluate the significance and acceptability of the ecological impacts by making reference to the criteria in Annex 8 of the TM;
- (ix) Recommend all possible alternatives (such as reduced size of BCP footprint, modification of layout, different alignment, reduced size and extent of built form and /or using other construction methods) and practicable mitigation measures to avoid, minimize and / or compensate for the adverse ecological impacts identified, evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures and define the scope, type, location, implementation arrangement, subsequent management and maintenance of such measures. If off-site mitigation is considered necessary, the guidelines and requirements laid down in Annex 16 of the TM should be followed;
- (x) Determine and quantify as far as possible of the residual ecological impacts after implementation of the proposed mitigation measures;
- (xi) Evaluate the severity and acceptability of the residual ecological impacts using well-defined criteria; and
- (xii) Review the need for and recommend any ecological monitoring programme required.

3.4.10 Fisheries Impact

3.4.10.1 If there are any fishponds (active or abandoned) within a distance of 500m from the boundaries of the Project, the Applicant shall carry out a fisheries impact assessment following the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing fisheries impact as stated in Annexes 9 and 17 of the TM, respectively.

3.4.10.2 The study area for the purpose of this fisheries impact assessment shall include all areas within a distance of 500m from the boundaries of the Project. This study area shall be extended to include other areas with fish ponds and associated water system(s) if they are found also being impacted by the construction or operation of the Project during the course of the EIA study.

3.4.10.3 Existing information regarding the study area shall be reviewed. Based on the review results, the assessment shall identify data gap and determine if there is any need for field surveys. If field surveys are considered necessary, the assessment shall recommend appropriate methodology, duration and timing for such surveys.

3.4.10.4 The fisheries impact assessment shall provide the following information:-

- (i) Description of the physical environmental background;

- (ii) Description and quantification of existing pond culture activities;
- (iii) Description and quantification of existing fisheries resources (e.g. major fisheries products and stocks);
- (iv) Identification of parameters (e.g. water quality parameters) and areas that are important to fisheries;
- (v) Identification and quantification any direct/indirect impacts to fisheries, such as permanent resumption and temporary occupation of fish ponds, deterioration of water quality of fish ponds and the surrounding streams, hydrological disruptions such as draw-down of water table, blocking of access to the surrounding fish ponds, disturbance by construction noise and vibration, and possible restriction on pond culture related activities such as excavation (including formation and ploughing) due to the operation of the tunnel underneath;
- (vi) Evaluation of impacts and make proposals for mitigation measures with details on justification, feasibility, scope and programme, as well as staff and financial implications including those related to subsequent management and maintenance requirements of the proposals; and
- (vii) Review of the need for monitoring during the construction and operation phase of the project and, if necessary, propose a monitoring and audit programme.

3.4.11 **Landscape, Visual and Glare Impact**

- 3.4.11.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines as stated in Annexes 10 and 18 of the TM and the EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2002 on the preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment under the EIAO. Landscape and visual impacts during both construction and operation phases within the assessment area and the related works areas shall be assessed.
- 3.4.11.2 The assessment area for the landscape impact assessment shall include areas within 500m from the site boundary of the Project, associated works, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures, while the assessment area for the visual impact assessment shall be defined by the visual envelop of the Project. The defined visual envelope shall be shown on a plan and documented in the EIA report.
- 3.4.11.3 The Applicant shall review relevant plan(s) and / or studies which may identify areas of high landscape value and recommend country park, green belt and conservation area designations. Any guidelines on landscape strategies, landscape frameworks, special design areas, open space networks, landscape links that may affect the appreciation of the Project shall also be reviewed. The aim is to gain an insight to the future outlook of the area so as to assess whether the Project can fit into the surrounding setting. Any conflict with the statutory town plan(s) and any published land use plans shall be highlighted and appropriate follow-up action shall be recommended.
- 3.4.11.4 The Applicant shall describe, appraise, analyse and evaluate the existing and planned landscape resources and character of the assessment area. A system shall be derived for judging landscape and visual impact significance as required under the TM and EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2002 "*Preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment*

under EIAO". Annotated oblique aerial photographs and plans of suitable scale showing the baseline landscape character areas and landscape resources and mapping of impact assessment shall be extensively used to present the findings of impact assessment. Descriptive text shall provide a concise and reasoned judgement from a landscape and visual point of view. The sensitivity of the landscape framework and its ability to accommodate change shall be particularly focused on. The Applicant shall identify the degree of compatibility of the Project with the existing and planned landscape setting. The landscape impact assessment shall quantify the potential landscape impact as far as possible, so as to illustrate the significance of such impacts arising from the Project. Clear mapping of the landscape impact is required. A tree survey shall be carried out and the impacts on existing trees shall be addressed. Cumulative landscape and visual impacts of the Project with other existing, committed and planned developments in the assessment area shall be assessed.

3.4.11.5 The Applicant shall assess the visual and glare impacts of the Project. Clear illustration including mapping of visual impact is required. The assessment shall include the following:

- (i) identification and plotting of visual envelope of the Project;
- (ii) identification of the key groups of existing and planned sensitive receivers within the visual envelope with regard to views from ground level and elevated vantage points;
- (iii) description of the visual compatibility of the Project with the surrounding and the planned setting, and its obstruction and interference with the key views of the assessment areas;
- (iv) identification of the severity of visual impacts in terms of distance, nature and number of sensitive receivers. The visual impacts of the Project with and without mitigation measures shall be included so as to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures;
- (v) identification and evaluation of the glare impact due to construction and operation of the Project. The glare impact assessment shall include the following tasks :
 - (a) identification and description of any glare or uncomfortable eye feeling caused by light interference from direct man-made light sources generated from the Project;
 - (b) derivation of numerical criteria and lighting engineering approach for credible glare impact assessment;
 - (c) carrying out of computer lighting calculation / analysis by persons with appropriate training in lighting engineering; and
 - (d) recommendations for possible alternatives, such as design, orientation, spotting angle, intensity and operation mode, and practicable mitigation measures to avoid or minimise the adverse glare impact identified during decommissioning, construction and operation of the Project, associated works, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures.

- (vi) clear evaluations and explanations of the factors considered in arriving the significance thresholds of visual impacts, and the factors / constraints in recommending the mitigation measures for visual and / or glare impact.

- 3.4.11.6 The Applicant shall evaluate the merits of preservation in totality, in parts or total destruction of existing landscape and the establishment of a new landscape character area. In addition, alternative location, configuration, alignment, site layout, design, built-form, operational mode and construction method that will avoid or reduce the identified landscape and visual impacts shall be evaluated for comparison before adopting other mitigation or compensatory measures to alleviate the impacts. The mitigation measures proposed shall not only be concerned with damage reduction but shall also include consideration of potential enhancement of existing landscape and visual quality. The Applicant shall recommend mitigation measures to minimise adverse effects identified above, including provision of a master landscape plan.
- 3.4.11.7 The mitigation measures shall also include the preservation of vegetation and natural landscape resources, transplanting of mature trees, provision of screen planting, re-vegetation of disturbed lands, compensatory planting, woodland restoration, design of structure, provision of finishes to structure, colour scheme and texture of material used and any measures to mitigate the impact on the existing and planned land use and visually sensitive receivers. Parties shall be identified for the on going management and maintenance of the proposed mitigation works to ensure their effectiveness throughout the construction and operation phases of the Project. A practical programme and funding proposal for the implementation of the recommendation measures shall be provided.
- 3.4.11.8 Annotated illustration materials such as colour perspective drawings, plans and section / elevation diagrams, annotated oblique aerial photographs, photographs taken at vantage points, and computer-generated photomontage shall be adopted to illustrate the landscape and visual impacts of the Project. In particular, the landscape and visual impacts of the Project with and without mitigation measures from representative viewpoints, particularly from views of the most severely affected visually sensitive receivers (i.e. worst case scenario), shall be properly illustrated in existing and planned setting at four stages (existing condition, Day 1 with no mitigation measures, Day 1 with mitigation measures and Year 10 with mitigation measures) by computer-generated photomontage so as to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. Computer graphics shall be compatible with Microstation DGN file format. The Applicant shall record the technical details in preparing the illustration, which may need to be submitted for verification of the accuracy of the illustration.

3.4.12 **Impact on Cultural Heritage**

- 3.4.12.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing the cultural heritage impacts as stated in Annexes 10 and 19 of the TM and Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment in Appendix C.
- 3.4.12.2 The cultural heritage impact assessment shall include areas within a distance of 300m from the site boundary of the Project, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures. The cultural heritage impact assessment shall include archaeological impact assessment and built heritage impact assessment :

- (i) The Applicant shall engage professional archaeologist(s) to evaluate the archaeological potential of the assessment area taking the results of previous archaeological investigation and other background of the site into account. In case the existing information is inadequate or where the assessment area has not been adequately studied before, the archaeologist(s) shall conduct field investigations to assemble data. The archaeologists shall obtain licences from the Antiquities Authority prior to the commencement of archaeological field investigations. Based on existing and collected data, the Applicant shall evaluate whether the proposed development(s) associated with the Project and associated works is (are) acceptable from archaeological preservation point of view. In case adverse impact on archaeological heritage cannot be avoided, appropriate mitigation measures should be designed and recommended in the EIA Report.
- (ii) The Applicant shall conduct a built heritage impact assessment (BHIA), taking the results of previous BHIA and other background of the site into account, to identify known and unknown heritage items within the assessment area that may be affected by the Project and its associated works to assess the direct and indirect impacts on heritage items. The Applicant shall demonstrate that all reasonable efforts have been made to avoid or keep the adverse impacts of heritage items to the minimum through modification of design of the Project, or use of latest construction / engineering techniques. Based on the results of the BHIA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be recommended. A checklist including all the affected sites of cultural heritage, impacts identified, recommended mitigation measures as well as the implementation agent and period shall also be included in the EIA report..

3.4.13 **Summary of Environmental Outcomes**

3.4.13.1 The EIA report shall contain a summary of the key environmental outcomes arising from the EIA study, including estimated population protected from various environmental impacts, the environmentally sensitive areas protected, environmentally friendly options considered and incorporated in the preferred option, environmental designs recommended, key environmental problems avoided, compensation areas included and the environmental benefits of the environmental protection measures recommended.

3.4.14 **Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Requirements**

3.4.14.1 The Applicant shall identify and justify in the EIA study whether there is any need for EM&A activities during the construction and operation phases of the Project and, if affirmative, to define the scope of the EM&A requirements for the Project in the EIA study.

3.4.14.2 Subject to the confirmation of the EIA study findings, the Applicant shall comply with the requirements as stipulated in Annex 21 of the TM. The Applicant shall also propose real-time reporting of monitoring data for the Project, through a dedicated internet website.

3.4.14.3 The Applicant shall prepare a project implementation schedule (in the form of a checklist as shown in Appendix D to this EIA study brief) containing all the EIA study recommendations and mitigation measures with reference to the implementation programme of the Project. A stand-alone implementation schedule shall be prepared for

each of the EIAO Schedule 2 designated project identified in this EIA study. The Project Implementation Schedule shall include the explicit agreement reached between the Applicant and relevant parties on the responsibility for funding, implementation, management and maintenance of mitigation measures. Alternatively, the Project Implementation Schedule shall include an undertaking from the Applicant to assume the responsibility of those mitigation measures until an agreement is reached between the Applicant and relevant parties on the funding implementation, management and maintenance of mitigation measures.

4. DURATION OF VALIDITY

- 4.1 The Applicant shall notify the Director of the commencement of the EIA study. If the EIA study does not commence within 36 months after the date of the issuance of this EIA study brief, the Applicant shall apply to the Director for a fresh EIA study brief before commencement of the EIA study.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1 In preparing the EIA report, the Applicant shall refer to Annex 11 of the TM for the contents of an EIA report. The Applicant shall also refer to Annex 20 of the TM, which stipulates the guidelines for the review of an EIA report.
- 5.2 The Applicant shall supply the Director with the following number of copies of the EIA report and the executive summary:
- (i) 40 copies of the EIA report in English and 40 copies of the executive summary (each bilingual in both English and Chinese) as required under section 6(2) of the EIAO to be supplied at the time of application for approval of the EIA report.
 - (ii) When necessary, addendum to the EIA report and the executive summary submitted in sub-section 5.2 (i) above as required under section 7(1) of the EIAO, to be supplied upon advice by the Director for public inspection.
 - (iii) 40 copies of the EIA report in English and 40 copies of the executive summary (each bilingual in both English and Chinese) with or without Addendum as required under section 7(5) of the EIAO, to be supplied upon advice by the Director for consultation with the Advisory Council on the Environment.
- 5.3 The Applicant shall, upon request, make additional copies of the above documents available to the public, subject to payment by the interested parties of full costs of printing.
- 5.4 In addition, to facilitate the public inspection of the EIA report via the EIAO Internet Website, the applicant shall provide electronic copies of both the EIA report and the executive summary prepared in HyperText Markup Language (HTML) (version 4.0 or later) and in Portable Document Format (PDF version 1.3 or later), unless otherwise agreed by the Director. For the HTML version, a content page capable of providing hyperlink to each section and sub-section of the EIA report and the executive summary shall be included in the beginning of the document. Hyperlinks to figures, drawings and tables in the EIA report and executive summary shall be provided in the main text from

where the respective references are made. Graphics in the report shall be in interlaced GIF format unless otherwise agreed by the Director.

- 5.5 The electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary shall be submitted to the Director at the time of application for approval of the EIA report.
- 5.6 When the EIA report and the executive summary are made available for public inspection under section 7(1) of the EIAO, the content of the electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary must be the same as the hard copies and the Director shall be provided with the most updated electronic copies.
- 5.7 To promote environmentally friendly and efficient dissemination of information, both hardcopies and electronic copies of future EM&A reports recommended by the EIA study shall be required and their format shall be agreed by the Director.
- 5.8 To facilitate public involvement in the EIA process, the applicant shall produce 3-dimensional electronic visualisations of the major findings and elements of the EIA report, including baseline environmental information, the environmental situations with or without the Project, associated works, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures, key mitigated and unmitigated environmental impacts, and key recommended environmental mitigation measures so that the public can understand the Project and the associated environmental issues. The visualisations shall be based on the EIA report and released to the public. The 3-dimensional visualizations shall be developed and constructed such that they can be accessed and viewed by the public through an internet browser at a reasonable speed and without the need for software license requirement at the client's end. The visualisations shall be submitted in 10 copies of CD-ROM, DVD_R or other suitable means agreed with the Director.

6. OTHER PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- 6.1 If there is any change in the name of Applicant for this EIA Study Brief during the course of the EIA study, the Applicant must notify the Director immediately.
- 6.2 If there is any key change in the scope of the Project mentioned in sections 1.2 and 1.3 of this EIA Study Brief and in Project Profile (No. PP-372/2008), the Applicant must seek confirmation from the Director in writing on whether or not the scope of issues covered by this EIA Study Brief can still cover the key changes, and the additional issues, if any, that the EIA study must also address. If the changes to the Project fundamentally alter the key scope of the EIA study brief, the Applicant shall apply to the Director for a fresh EIA Study Brief.

--- END OF EIA STUDY BRIEF ---

December 2008
Environmental Assessment Division,
Environmental Protection Department

Appendix B-1

Guidelines on Choice of Models and Model Parameters

[The information contained in this Appendix is only meant to assist the Applicant in performing the air quality assessment. The Applicant must exercise professional judgment in applying this general information for the Project.]

1. Introduction

- 1.1 To expedite the review process by the Authority and to assist project proponents or environmental consultants with the conduct of air quality modelling exercise which are frequently called for as part of environmental impact assessment studies, this paper describes the usage and requirements of a few commonly used air quality models.

2. Choice of Models

- 2.1 The models which have been most commonly used in air quality impact assessments, due partly to their ease of use and partly to the quick turn-around time for results, are of Gaussian type and designed for use in simple terrain under uniform wind flow. There are circumstances when these models are not suitable for ambient concentration estimates and other types of models such as physical, numerical or mesoscale models will have to be used. In situations where topographic, terrain or obstruction effects are minimal between source and receptor, the following Gaussian models can be used to estimate the near-field impacts of a number of source types including dust, traffic and industrial emissions.

<u>Model</u>	<u>Applications</u>
FDM	for evaluating fugitive and open dust source impacts (point, line and area sources)
CALINE4	for evaluating mobile traffic emission impacts (line sources)
ISCST3	for evaluating industrial chimney releases as well as area and volumetric sources (point, area and volume sources); line sources can be approximated by a number of volume sources.

These frequently used models are also referred to as Schedule 1 models (see attached list).

- 2.2 Note that both FDM and CALINE4 have a height limit on elevated sources (20 m and 10m, respectively). Source of elevation above these limits will have to be modelled using the ISCST3 model or suitable alternative models. In using the latter, reference should be made to the 'Guidelines on the Use of Alternative Computer Models in Air Quality Assessment' in Appendix C-3.
- 2.3 The models can be used to estimate both short-term (hourly and daily average) and long-term (annual average) ambient concentrations of air pollutants. The model results, obtained using appropriate model parameters (refer to Section 3) and assumptions, allow direct comparison with the relevant air quality standards such as the Air Quality Objectives (AQOs) for the relevant pollutant and time averaging period.

3. Model Input Requirements

3.1 Meteorological Data

- 3.1.1 At least 1 year of recent meteorological data (including wind speed, wind direction, stability class, ambient temperature and mixing height) from a weather station either closest to or having similar characteristics as the study site should be used to determine the highest short-

term (hourly, daily) and long-term (annual) impacts at identified air sensitive receivers in that period. The amount of valid data for the period should be no less than 90 percent.

- 3.1.2 Alternatively, the meteorological conditions as listed below can be used to examine the worst case short-term impacts:

Day time: stability class D; wind speed 1 m/s (at 10m height); worst-case wind angle; mixing height 500 m

Night time: stability class F; wind speed 1 m/s (at 10m height); worst case wind angle; mixing height 500 m

This is a common practice with using the CALINE4 model due to its inability to handle lengthy data set.

- 3.1.3 For situations where, for example, (i) the model (such as CALINE4) does not allow easy handling of one full year of meteorological data; or (ii) model run time is a concern, the followings can be adopted in order to determine the daily and annual average impacts:

- (i) perform a frequency occurrence analysis of one year of meteorological data to determine the actual wind speed (to the nearest unit of m/s), wind direction (to the nearest 10°) and stability (classes A to F) combinations and their frequency of occurrence;
- (ii) determine the short term hourly impact under all of the identified wind speed, wind direction and stability combinations; and
- (iii) apply the frequency data with the short term results to determine the long term (daily /annual) impacts.

Apart from the above, any alternative approach that will capture the worst possible impact values (both short term and long term) may also be considered.

- 3.1.4 Note that the anemometer height (relative to a datum same for the sources and receptors) at which wind speed measurements were taken at a selected station should be correctly entered in the model. These measuring positions can vary greatly from station to station and the vertical wind profile employed in the model can be grossly distorted from the real case if incorrect anemometer height is used. This will lead to unreliable concentration estimates.
- 3.1.5 An additional parameter, namely, the standard deviation of wind direction, σ_{θ} , needs to be provided as input to the CALINE4 model. Typical values of σ_{θ} range from 12° for rural areas to 24° for highly urbanised areas under 'D' class stability. For semi-rural such as new development areas, 18° is more appropriate under the same stability condition. The following reference can be consulted for typical ranges of standard deviation of wind direction under different stability categories and surface roughness conditions.

Ref.(1): Guideline On Air Quality Models (Revised), EPA-450/2-78-027R, United States Environmental Protection Agency, July 1986.

3.2 Emission Sources

All the identified sources relevant to a process plant or a study site should be entered in the model and the emission estimated based on emission factors compiled in the AP-42 (Ref. 2) or other suitable references. The relevant sections of AP-42 and any parameters or

assumptions used in deriving the emission rates (in units g/s, g/s/m or g/s/m²) as required by the model should be clearly stated for verification. The physical dimensions, location, release height and any other emission characteristics such as efflux conditions and emission pattern of the sources input to the model should also correspond to site data.

If the emission of a source varies with wind speed, the wind speed-dependent factor should be entered.

Ref.(2): Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, AP-42, 5th Edition, United States Environmental Protection Agency, January 1995.

3.3 Urban/Rural Classification

Emission sources may be located in a variety of settings. For modelling purposes these are classed as either rural or urban so as to reflect the enhanced mixing that occurs over urban areas due to the presence of buildings and urban heat effects. The selection of either rural or urban dispersion coefficients in a specific application should follow a land use classification procedure. If the land use types including industrial, commercial and residential uses account for 50% or more of an area within 3 km radius from the source, the site is classified as urban; otherwise, it is classed as rural.

3.4 Surface Roughness Height

This parameter is closely related to the land use characteristics of a study area and associated with the roughness element height. As a first approximation, the surface roughness can be estimated as 3 to 10 percent of the average height of physical structures. Typical values used for urban and new development areas are 370 cm and 100 cm, respectively.

3.5 Receptors

These include discrete receptors representing all the identified air sensitive receivers at their appropriate locations and elevations and any other discrete or grid receptors for supplementary information. A receptor grid, whether Cartesian or Polar, may be used to generate results for contour outputs.

3.6 Particle Size Classes

In evaluating the impacts of dust-emitting activities, suitable dust size categories relevant to the dust sources concerned with reasonable breakdown in TSP (< 30 μ m) and RSP (< 10 μ m) compositions should be used.

3.7 NO₂ to NO_x Ratio

The conversion of NO_x to NO₂ is a result of a series of complex photochemical reactions and has implications on the prediction of near field impacts of traffic emissions. Until further data are available, three approaches are currently acceptable in the determination of NO₂:

- (a) Ambient Ratio Method (ARM) - assuming 20% of NO_x to be NO₂; or
- (b) Discrete Parcel Method (DPM, available in the CALINE4 model); or
- (c) Ozone Limiting Method (OLM) - assuming the tailpipe NO₂ emission to be 7.5% of NO_x and the background ozone concentration to be in the range of 57 to 68 μ g/m³ depending on the land use type (see also the EPD reference paper 'Guidelines on Assessing the 'TOTAL' Air Quality Impacts' in Appendix C-2).

3.8 Odour Impact

In assessing odour impacts, a much shorter time-averaging period of 5 seconds is required due to the shorter exposure period tolerable by human receptors. Conversion of model computed hourly average results to 5-second values is therefore necessary to enable comparison against recommended standard. The hourly concentration is first converted to 3-minute average value according to a power law relationship which is stability dependent (Ref. 3) and a result of the statistical nature of atmospheric turbulence. Another conversion factor (10 for unstable conditions and 5 for neutral to stable conditions) is then applied to convert the 3-minute average to 5-second average (Ref. 4). In summary, to convert the hourly results to 5-second averages, the following factors can be applied:

<u>Stability Category</u>	<u>1-hour to 5-sec Conversion Factor</u>
A & B	45
C	27
D	9
E & F	8

Under 'D' class stability, the 5-second concentration is approximately 10 times the hourly average result. Note, however, that the combined use of such conversion factors together with the ISCST results may not be suitable for assessing the extreme close-up impacts of odour sources.

Ref.(3): Richard A. Duffee, Martha A. O'Brien and Ned Ostojic, 'Odor Modeling – Why and How', Recent Developments and Current Practices in Odor Regulations, Controls and Technology, Air & Waste Management Association, 1991.

Ref.(4): A.W.C. Keddie, 'Dispersion of Odours', Odour Control – A Concise Guide, Warren Spring Laboratory, 1980.

3.9 Plume Rise Options

The ISCST3 model provides by default a list of the U.S. regulatory options for concentration calculations. These are all applicable to the Hong Kong situations except for the 'Final Plume Rise' option. As the distance between sources and receptors are generally fairly close, the non-regulatory option of 'Gradual Plume Rise' should be used instead to give more accurate estimate of near-field impacts due to plume emission. However, the 'Final Plume Rise' option may still be used for assessing the impacts of distant sources.

3.10 Portal Emissions

These include traffic emissions from tunnel portals and any other similar openings and are generally modelled as volume sources according to the PIARC 91 (or more up-to-date version) recommendations (Ref. 5, section III.2). For emissions arising from underpasses or any horizontal openings of the like, these are treated as area or point sources depending on the source physical dimensions. In all these situations, the ISCST3 model or more sophisticated models will have to be used instead of the CALINE4 model. In the case of portal emissions with significant horizontal exit velocity which cannot be handled by the ISCST3 model, the impacts may be estimated by the TOP model (Ref. 6) or any other suitable models subject to prior agreement with EPD. The EPD's 'Guidelines on the Use of Alternative Computer Models in Air Quality Assessment' should also be referred to in Appendix C-3.

Ref.(5): XIXth World Road Congress Report, Permanent International Association of Road Congresses

(PIARC), 1991.

Ref.(6): N. Ukegunchi, H. Okamoto and Y. Ide "Prediction of vehicular emission pollution around a tunnel mouth", *Proceedings 4th International Clean Air Congress*, pp. 205-207, Tokyo, 1977.

3.11 Background Concentrations

Background concentrations are required to account for far-field sources which cannot be estimated by the model. These values, to be used in conjunction with model results for assessing the total impacts, should be based on long term average of monitoring data at location representative of the study site. Please make reference to the paper 'Guidelines on Assessing the 'TOTAL' Air Quality Impacts' in Appendix C-2 for further information.

3.12 Output

The highest short-term and long-term averages of pollutant concentrations at prescribed receptor locations are output by the model and to be compared against the relevant air quality standards specified for the relevant pollutant. Contours of pollutant concentration are also required for indicating the general impacts of emissions over a study area.

Copies of model files in electronic format should also be provided for EPD's reference.

Schedule 1

Air Quality Models Generally Accepted by Hong Kong Environmental Protection Department for Regulatory Applications as at 1 July 1998*

Industrial Source Complex Dispersion Model - Short Term Version 3 (ISCST3) or the latest version developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

California Line Source Dispersion Model Version 4 (CALINE4) or the latest version developed by Department of Transportation, State of California, U.S.A.

Fugitive Dust Model (FDM) or the latest version developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EPD is continually reviewing the latest development in air quality models and will update this Schedule accordingly.

- END -

Appendix B-2

Guidelines on Assessing the 'TOTAL' Air Quality Impacts

[The information contained in this Appendix is only meant to assist the Applicant in performing the air quality assessment. The Applicant must exercise professional judgment in applying this general information for the Project.]

1. Total Impacts - 3 Major Contributions

- 1.1 In evaluating the air quality impacts of a proposed project upon air sensitive receivers, contributions from three classes of emission sources depending on their distance from the site should be considered. These are:

Primary contributions:	project induced
Secondary contributions:	pollutant-emitting activities in the immediate neighbourhood
Other contributions: (Background contributions)	pollution not accounted for by the previous two

2. Nature of Emissions

2.1 Primary contributions

In most cases, the project-induced emissions are fairly well defined and quite often (but not necessarily) the major contributor to local air quality impacts. Examples include those due to traffic network, building or road construction projects.

2.2 Secondary contributions

Within the immediate neighbourhood of the project site, there are usually pollutant emitting activities contributing further to local air quality impacts. For most local scale projects, any emission sources in an area within 500m radius of the project site with notable impacts should be identified and included in an air quality assessment to cover the short-range contributions. In the exceptional cases where there is one or more significant sources nearby, the study area may have to be extended or alternative estimation approach employed to ensure these impacts are reasonably accounted for.

2.3 Background contributions

The above two types of emission contributions should account for, to a great extent, the air quality impacts upon local air sensitive receivers, which are often amenable to estimation by the 'Gaussian Dispersion' type of models. However, a background air quality level should be prescribed to indicate the baseline air quality in the region of the project site, which would account for any pollution not covered by the two preceding contributions. The emission sources contributing to the background air quality would be located further afield and not easy to identify. In addition, the transport mechanism by which pollutants are carried over long distances (ranging from 1km up to tens or hundreds of kms) is rather complex and cannot be adequately estimated by the 'Gaussian' type of models.

3. Background Air Quality - Estimation Approach

3.1 The approach

In view of the difficulties in estimating background air quality using the air quality models currently available, an alternative approach based on monitored data is suggested. The

essence of this approach is to adopt the long-term (5-year) averages of the most recent monitored air quality data obtained by EPD. These background data would be reviewed yearly or biennially depending on the availability of the monitored data. The approach is a first attempt to provide a reasonable estimate of the background air quality level for use in conjunction with EIA air quality assessment to address the cumulative impacts upon a locality. This approach may be replaced or supplemented by superior modelling efforts such as that entailed in PATH (Pollutants in the Atmosphere and their Transport over Hong Kong), a comprehensive territory-wide air quality modelling system currently being developed for Hong Kong. Notwithstanding this, the present approach is based on measured data and their long term regional averages; the background values so derived should therefore be indicative of the present background air quality. In the absence of any other meaningful way to estimate a background air quality for the future, this present background estimate should also be applied to future projects as a first attempt at a comprehensive estimate until a better approach is formulated.

3.2 Categorisation

The monitored air quality data, by 'district-averaging' are further divided into three categories, viz, Urban, Industrial and Rural/New Development. The background pollutant concentrations to be adopted for a project site would depend on the geographical constituency to which the site belongs. The categorization of these constituencies is given in Section 3.4. The monitoring stations suggested for the 'district-averaging'(arithmetic means) to derive averages for the three background air quality categories are listed as follows:

Urban: Kwun Tong, Sham Shui Po, Tsim Sha Tsui and Central/Western
 Industrial: Kwun Tong, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Chung
 Rural/New Development: Sha Tin, Tai Po, Junk Bay, Hong Kong South and Yuen Long

The averaging would make use of data from the above stations wherever available. The majority of the monitoring stations are located some 20m above ground.

3.3 Background pollutant values

Based on the above approach, background values for the 3 categories have been obtained for a few major air pollutants as follows:

POLLUTANT	URBAN	INDUSTRIAL	RURAL / NEW DEVELOPMENT
NO ₂	59	57	39
SO ₂	21	26	13
O ₃	62	68	57
TSP	98	96	87
RSP	60	58	51

All units are in micrograms per cubic metre. The above values are derived from 1992 to 1996 annual averages with the exception of ozone which represent annual average of daily hourly maximum values for year 1996.

In cases where suitable air quality monitoring data representative of the study site such as those obtained from a nearby monitoring station or on-site sampling are not available for the prescription of background air pollution levels, the above tabulated values can be adopted instead. Strictly speaking, the suggested values are only appropriate for long term assessment. However, as an interim measure and until a better approach is formulated, the same values can also be used for short term assessment. This implies that the short term background

values will be somewhat under-estimated, which compensates for the fact that some of the monitoring data are inherently influenced by secondary sources because of the monitoring station location.

Indeed, if good quality on-site sampling data which cover at least one year period are available, these can be used to derive both the long term (annual) and short term (daily / hourly) background values, the latter are usually applied on an hour to hour, day to day basis.

3.4 Site categories

The categories to which the 19 geographical constituencies belong are listed as follows:

DISTRICT	AIR QUALITY CATEGORY
Islands	Rural / New Development
Southern	Rural / New Development
Eastern	Urban
Wan Chai	Urban
Central & Western	Urban
Sai Kung	Rural / New Development
Kwun Tong	Industrial
Wong Tai Sin	Urban
Kowloon City	Urban
Yau Tsim	Urban
Mong Kok	Urban
Sham Shui Po	Urban
Kwai Tsing	Industrial
Sha Tin	Rural / New Development
Tsuen Wan	Industrial
Tuen Mun	Rural / New Development
Tai Po	Rural / New Development
Yuen Long	Rural / New Development
Northern	Rural / New Development

3.5 Provisions for 'double-counting'

The current approach is, by no means, a rigorous treatment of background air quality but aims to provide an as-realistic-as-possible approximation based on limited field data. 'Double-counting' of 'secondary contributions' may be apparent through the use of such 'monitoring-based' background data as some of the monitoring stations are of close proximity to existing emission sources. 'Primary contributions' due to a proposed project (which is yet to be realized) will not be double-counted by such an approach. In order to avoid over-estimation of background pollutant concentrations, an adjustment to the values given in Section 3.3 is possible and optional by multiplying the following factor:

$$(1.0 - E_{\text{Secondary contributions}}/E_{\text{Territory}})$$

where E stands for emission.

The significance of this factor is to eliminate the fractional contribution to background pollutant level of emissions due to 'secondary contributions' out of those from the entire territory. In most cases, this fractional contribution to background pollutant levels by the secondary contributions is minimal.

4. Conclusions

- 4.1 The above described approach to estimating the total air quality impacts of a proposed project, in particular the background pollutant concentrations for air quality assessment, should be adopted with immediate effect. Use of short term monitoring data to prescribe the background concentrations is no longer acceptable.

- END -

Appendix B-3

Guidelines on the Use of Alternative Computer Models in Air Quality Assessment

[The information contained in this Appendix is only meant to assist the Applicant in performing the air quality assessment. The Applicant must exercise professional judgment in applying this general information for the Project.]

1. Background

- 1.1 In Hong Kong, a number of Gaussian plume models are commonly employed in regulatory applications such as application for specified process licences and environmental impact assessments (EIAs). These frequently used models (as listed in Schedule 1 attached; hereafter referred to as Schedule 1 models) have no regulatory status but form the basic set of tools for local-scale air quality assessment in Hong Kong.
- 1.2 However, no single model is sufficient to cover all situations encountered in regulatory applications. In order to ensure that the best model available is used for each regulatory application and that a model is not arbitrarily applied, the project proponent (and/or its environmental consultants) should assess the capabilities of various models available and adopt one that is most suitable for the project concerned.
- 1.3 Examples of situations where the use of an alternative model is warranted include:
 - (i) the complexity of the situation to be modelled far exceeds the capability of the Schedule 1 models; and
 - (ii) the performance of an alternative model is comparable or better than the Schedule 1 models.
- 1.4 This paper outlines the demonstration / submission required in order to support the use of an alternative air quality model for regulatory applications for Hong Kong.

2. Required Demonstration / Submission

- 2.1 Any model that is proposed for air quality applications and not listed amongst the Schedule 1 models will be considered by EPD on a case-by-case basis. In such cases, the proponent will have to provide the followings for EPD's review:
 - (i) Technical details of the proposed model; and
 - (ii) Performance evaluation of the proposed model

Based on the above information, EPD will determine the acceptability of the proposed model for a specific or general applications. The onus of providing adequate supporting materials rests entirely with the proponent.
- 2.2 To provide technical details of the proposed model, the proponent should submit documents containing at least the following information:
 - (i) mathematical formulation and data requirements of the model;
 - (ii) any previous performance evaluation of the model; and
 - (iii) a complete set of model input and output file(s) in commonly used electronic format.

- 2.3 On performance evaluation, the required approach and extent of demonstration varies depending on whether a Schedule 1 model is already available and suitable in simulating the situation under consideration. In cases where no Schedule 1 model is found applicable, the proponent must demonstrate that the proposed model passes the screening test as set out in USEPA Document "Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model" (Ref. 1).
- Ref.(1): William M. Cox, 'Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model'; Publication No. EPA-454/R-92-025; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.*
- 2.4 For cases where a Schedule 1 model is applicable to the project under consideration but an alternative model is proposed for use instead, the proponent must demonstrate either that
- (i) the highest and second highest concentrations predicted by the proposed model are within 2 percent of the estimates obtained from an applicable Schedule 1 model (with appropriate options chosen) for all receptors for the project under consideration; or
 - (ii) the proposed model has superior performance against an applicable Schedule 1 model based on the evaluation procedure set out in USEPA Document "Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model" (Ref. 1).
- 2.5 Should EPD find the information on technical details alone sufficient to indicate the acceptability of the proposed model, information on further performance evaluation as specified in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 above would not be necessary.
- 2.6 If the proposed model is an older version of one of the Schedule 1 models or was previously included in Schedule 1, the technical documents mentioned in Section 2.2 are normally not required. However, a performance demonstration of equivalence as stated in Section 2.4 (i) would become necessary.
- 2.7 If EPD is already in possession of some of the documents that describe the technical details of the proposed model, submission of the same by the proponent is not necessary. The proponent may check with EPD to avoid sending in duplicate information.

Schedule 1

Air Quality Models Generally Accepted by Hong Kong Environmental Protection Department for Regulatory Applications as at 1 July 1998*

Industrial Source Complex Dispersion Model - Short Term Version 3 (ISCST3) or the latest version developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

California Line Source Dispersion Model Version 4 (CALINE4) or the latest version developed by Department of Transportation, State of California, U.S.A.

Fugitive Dust Model (FDM) or the latest version developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EPD is continually reviewing the latest development in air quality models and will update this Schedule accordingly.

- END -

Appendix C

Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment

(as at 11 August 2008)

Introduction

The purpose of the guidelines is to assist the understanding of the requirements in assessing impact on archaeological and built heritage. The guidelines will be revised by the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department from time to time, where appropriate, and when required.

A comprehensive Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment (CHIA) includes a baseline study, an impact assessment study associated with the appropriate mitigation measures.

(1) Baseline Study

1.1 A baseline study shall be conducted:

- a. to compile a comprehensive inventory of heritage sites within the proposed project area, which include:
 - (i) all archaeological sites (including marine archaeological sites);
 - (ii) all pre-1950 buildings and structures;
 - (iii) selected post-1950 buildings and structures of high architectural and historical significance and interest; and
 - (iv) cultural landscapes include places associated with historic event, activity, or person or exhibiting other cultural or aesthetic values, such as sacred religious sites, battlefields, a setting for buildings or structures of architectural or archaeological importance, historic field patterns, clan graves, old tracks, *fung shui* woodlands and ponds, and etc.
- b. to identify the direct and indirect impacts on the heritage sites at the planning stage in order to avoid causing any negative effects. The impacts include the direct loss, destruction or disturbance of an element of cultural heritage, impact on its settings or impinging on its character through inappropriate sitting or design, potential damage to the physical fabric of archaeological remains, historic buildings or historic landscapes through air pollution, change of ground water level, vibration, ecological damage, new recreation or other daily needs to be caused by the new development. The impacts listed are merely to illustrate the range of potential impacts and not intended to be exhaustive.

1.2 The baseline study shall also include a desk-top research and a field evaluation.

1.3. Desk-top Research

- 1.3.1 Desk-top research should be conducted to analyse, collect and collate extant information. It shall include but not limited to:
 - a. List of declared monuments protected by the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Chapter 53).
 - b. Graded historic buildings and sites.
 - c. Government historic sites identified by the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO).

- d. Lists and archives kept in the Reference Library of the Antiquities and Monuments Office of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department including archaeological sites, declared monuments, proposed monuments, deemed monuments and recorded historical building & structures identified by the AMO.
- e. Publications on local historical, architectural, anthropological, archaeological and other cultural studies, such as, Journals of the Royal Asiatic Society (Hong Kong Branch), Journals of the Hong Kong Archaeological society, Antiquities and Monuments Office Monograph Series and so forth.
- f. Other unpublished papers, records, archival and historical documents through public libraries, archives, and the tertiary institutions, such as the Hong Kong Collection and libraries of the Department of Architecture of the University of Hong Kong and the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Public Records Office, photographic library of the Information Services Department and so forth.
- g. Any other unpublished archaeological investigation and excavation reports kept by the AMO.
- h. Historical documents in the Public Records Office, the Land Registry, District Lands Office, District Office and the Hong Kong Museum of History and so forth.
- i. Cartographic and pictorial documents. Old and recent maps and aerial photos searched in the Maps and Aerial Photo Library of the Lands Department.
- j. Existing geological information (for archaeological desk-top research).
- k. Discussion with local informants.

1.4 Field Evaluation

1.4.1 General

The potential value of the project area with regard the cultural heritage could be established easily where the area is well-documented. However, it does not mean that the area is devoid of interest if it lacks information. In these instances, a site visit and consultations with appropriate individuals or organisations should be conducted by those with expertise in local heritage to clarify the situation.

1.4.2 Field survey on historic buildings and structures

- a. Field scan of all the historic buildings and structures within the project area.
- b. Photographic recording of each historic building or structure including the exterior (the elevations of all faces of the building premises, the roof, close up for the special architectural details) and the interior (special architectural details), if possible, as well as the surroundings, the associated cultural landscape features and the associated intangible cultural heritage (if any) of each historic building or structure.
- c. Interview with local elders and other informants on local historical, architectural, anthropological and other cultural information related to the historic buildings and structures.
- d. Historical and architectural appraisal of the historic buildings and structures, their associated cultural landscape and intangible cultural elements.

1.4.3 Archaeological Survey

- a. Appropriate methods for pricing and valuation of the archaeological survey, including by means of a Bill of Quantities or a Schedule of Rates should be considered in preparing specifications and relevant documents for calling tenders to carry out the archaeological survey. The specifications and relevant documents should be sent to the Antiquities and Monuments Office for agreement prior to calling tenders to conduct the archaeological survey.
- b. A licence shall be obtained from the Antiquities Authority for conducting an archaeological survey. It takes at least two months to process the application.
- c. A detailed archaeological survey programme should be designed to assess the archaeological potential of the project area. The programme should clearly elaborate the strategy and methodology adopted, including what particular question(s) can be resolved, how the archaeological data will be collected and recorded, how the evidence will be analyzed and interpreted and how the archaeological finds and results will be organized and made available. Effective field techniques should also be demonstrated in the programme. The programme should be submitted to the Antiquities and Monuments Office for agreement prior to applying for a licence.
- d. The following methods of archaeological survey (but not limited to) should be applied to assess the archaeological potential of the project area:
 - (i) Definition of areas of natural land undisturbed in the recent past.
 - (ii) Field scan of the natural land undisturbed in the recent past in detail with special attention paid to areas of exposed soil which were searched for artifacts.
 - (iii) Conduct systematic auger survey and test pitting. The data collected from auger survey and test pitting should be able to establish the horizontal spread of cultural materials deposits.
 - (iv) Excavation of test pits to establish the vertical sequence of cultural materials. The hand digging of 1 x 1 m or 1.5 x 1.5 m test pits to determine the presence or absence of deeper archaeological deposits and their cultural history.
 - (v) The quantity and location of auger holes and test pits should be agreed with the Antiquities and Monuments Office prior to applying for a licence.
 - (vi) A qualified land surveyor should be engaged to record reduced levels and coordinates as well as setting base points and reference lines in the course of the field survey.
- e. A Marine Archaeological Investigation (MAI) following *Guidelines for MAI* may be required for projects involving disturbance of seabed.

- 1.4.4 If the field evaluation identifies any additional heritage sites within the study area which are of potential historic or archaeological importance and not recorded by AMO, the findings should be reported to the AMO as soon as possible.

1.5 The Report of Baseline Study

1.5.1 The study report should have concrete evidence to show that the process of the above desk-top and field survey has been satisfactorily completed. This should take the form of a detailed inventory of the heritage sites supported by full description of their significance. The description should contain detailed geographical, historical, archaeological, architectural, anthropological, ethnographic and other relevant data supplemented with illustrations below and photographic and cartographic records, if required.

1.5.2 A master layout plan showing all the identified archaeological and built heritage within the study area should be provided in the report. All the identified heritage sites should be properly numbered with their locations indicated on the master layout plan.

1.5.3 Historic Buildings/ Structures/ Sites

- a. A map in 1:1000 scale showing the boundary of each historic item.
- b. Photographic records of each historic item.
- c. Detailed recording form of each historic item including its construction year, previous and present uses, architectural characteristics, as well as legends, historic persons and events, cultural landscape features and cultural activities associated with the structure.
- d. A cross-referenced checklist including the reference number of each historical item, their photo and drawing reference, as well as the page number of the detailed recording form of each identified historical item for easy cross-checking of individual records.

1.5.4 Archaeological Sites

- a. A map showing the boundary of each archaeological site as supported and delineated by field walking, augering and test-pitting;
- b. Drawing of stratigraphic section of test-pits excavated which shows the cultural sequence of a site.
- c. Reduced levels, coordinates, base points and reference lines should be clearly defined and certified by a qualified land surveyor.
- d. *Guidelines for Archaeological Reports* should be followed (Annex 1).

1.5.5 A full bibliography and the source of information consulted should be provided to assist the evaluation of the quality of the evidence. To facilitate verification of the accuracy, the AMO will reserve the right to examine the full details of the research materials collected under the baseline study.

1.6 Finds and Archives

1.6.1 Archaeological finds and archives should be handled following *Guidelines for Handling of Archaeological Finds and Archives (Annex 2)*.

1.7 Safety Issue

1.7.1 During the course of the CHIA Study, all participants shall comply with all Ordinances, Regulations and By-laws which may be relevant or applicable in safety aspect in connection with the carrying out of the CHIA Study, such as site

safety, insurance for personal injuries, death and property damage as well as personal safety apparatuses, etc.

- 1.7.2 A Risk Assessment for the fieldwork shall be carried out with full consideration to all relevant Ordinances, Regulations and By-laws.

(2) Impact Assessment Study

2.1 Identification of impact on heritage

- 2.1.1 The impact assessment study must be undertaken to identify the impacts on the heritage sites which will be affected by the proposed development subject to the result of desktop research and field evaluation. The prediction of impacts and an evaluation of their significance must be undertaken by expert(s) in local heritage.
- 2.1.2 During the assessment, both the direct impacts such as loss or damage of important features as well as indirect impacts should be clearly stated, such as adverse visual impact on built heritage, landscape change to the associated cultural landscape features of the built heritage, temporary change of access to the heritage sites during the work period, change of ground level or water level which may affect the preservation of the archaeological and built heritage *in situ* during the implementation stage of the project.
- 2.1.3 The evaluation of heritage impact assessment may be classified into five levels of significance based on type and extent of the effects concluded in the CHIA study:
- a. Beneficial impact: the impact is beneficial if the project will enhance the preservation of the heritage site(s) such as improving the flooding problem of the historic building after the sewerage project of the area;
 - b. Acceptable impact: if the assessment indicates that there will be no significant effects on the heritage site(s);
 - c. Acceptable impact with mitigation measures: if there will be some adverse effects, but these can be eliminated, reduced or offset to a large extent by specific measures, such as conduct a follow-up Conservation Proposal or Conservation Management Plan for the affected heritage site(s) before commencement of work in order to avoid any inappropriate and unnecessary interventions to the building;
 - d. Unacceptable impact: if the adverse effects are considered to be too excessive and are unable to mitigate practically;
 - e. Undetermined impact: if the significant adverse effects are likely, but the extent to which they may occur or may be mitigated cannot be determined from the study. Further detailed study will be required for the specific effects in question.
- 2.1.4 Preservation in totality must be taken as the first priority as it will be a beneficial impact and will enhance the cultural and socio-economical environment if suitable measures to integrate the heritage site into the proposed project are carried out.

- 2.1.5 If, due to site constraints and other factors, only preservation in part is possible, this must be fully justified with alternative proposals or layout designs which confirm the impracticability of total preservation.
- 2.1.6 Total destruction must be taken as the very last resort in all cases and shall only be recommended with a meticulous and careful analysis balancing the interest of preserving local heritage as against that of the community as a whole. Assessment of impacts on heritage sites shall also take full account of, and follow where appropriate, paragraph 4.3.1(c), item 2 of Annex 10, items 2.6 to 2.9 of Annex 19 and other relevant parts of the Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process.

2.2 Mitigation Measures

- 2.2.1 It is always a good practice to recognize the heritage site early in the planning stage and site selection process, and to avoid it, i.e. preserve it in-situ, or leaving a buffer zone around the site.
- 2.2.2 Mitigation is not only concerned with minimizing adverse impact on the heritage site but also should give consideration of potential enhancement if possible (such as to improve the access to the built heritage or enhance the landscape and visual quality of built heritage).
- 2.2.3 Mitigation measures shall not be recommended or taken as *de facto* means to avoid preservation of heritage sites. They must be proved beyond all possibilities to be the only practical course of action. Heritage sites are to be in favour of preservation unless it can be demonstrated that there is a need for a particular development which is of paramount importance and outweighs the significance of a heritage site.
- 2.2.4 If avoidance of the heritage site is not possible, amelioration can be achieved by minimizing the potential impacts and the preservation of the heritage site, such as physically relocating it. Measures like amendments of the sitting, screening and revision of the detailed design of the development are required to lessen its degree of exposure if it causes visual intrusion to the heritage site and affects the character and integrity of the heritage site.
- 2.2.5 A rescue programme, when required, may involve preservation of the historic building or structure together with the relics inside, and its historic environment through relocation, detailed cartographic and photographic survey or preservation of an archaeological site "by record", i.e. through excavation to extract the maximum data as the very last resort.

2.3 The Impact Assessment Report

- 2.3.1 A detailed description and plans should be provided to elaborate on the heritage site(s) to be affected. Besides, please also refer to paragraph 4.3.1(d), items 2.10 to 2.14 of Annex 19 and other relevant parts of the Technical Memorandum, other appropriate presentation methods for mitigation proposals like elevations, landscape plan and photomontage shall be used in the report extensively for illustrating the effectiveness of the measures.

- 2.3.2 To illustrate the landscape and visual impacts on built heritage, as well as effects of the mitigation measures, choice of appropriate presentation methods is important. These methods include perspective drawings, plans and section/elevation diagrams, photographs on scaled physical models, photo-retouching and photomontage. These methods shall be used extensively to facilitate communication among the concerned parties.
- 2.3.3 The implementation programme for the agreed mitigation measures should be able to be executed and should be clearly set out in the report together with the funding proposal. These shall form an integral part of the overall redevelopment project programme and financing of the proposed redevelopment project. Competent professionals must be engaged to design and carry out the mitigation measures.
- 2.3.4 For contents of the implementation programme, reference can be made to Annex 20 of the Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process. In particular, item 6.7 of Annex 20 requires to define and list out clearly the proposed mitigation measures to be implemented, by whom, when, where, to what requirements and the various implementation responsibilities. A comprehensive plan and programme for the protection and conservation of the partially preserved heritage site, if any, during the planning and design stage of the proposed project must be addressed in details.
- 2.3.5 Supplementary information to facilitate the verification of the findings shall be provided in the report including but not limited to:
- a. layout plan(s) in a proper scale illustrating the location of all heritage sites within the study area, the extent of the work area together with brief description of the proposed works;
 - b. all the heritage sites within the study area should be properly numbered, cross-reference to the relevant drawings and plans.
 - c. an impact assessment cross-referenced checklist of all the heritage sites within the study area including heritage site reference, distance between the heritage site and work area, summary of the possible impact(s), impact level, summary of the proposed mitigation measure(s), as well as references of the relevant plans, drawings and photos; and
 - d. a full implementation programme of the mitigation measures for all affected heritage sites to be implemented with details, such as by whom, when, where, to what requirements and the various implementation responsibilities of individual parties.

Guidelines for Archaeological Reports
(As at August 2008)

I. I. General

1. All reports should be written in a clear, concise and logical style.
2. The reports should be submitted in A4 size and accompanying drawings of convenient sizes.
3. Draft reports should be submitted to the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) for comments within two months after completion of archaeological work unless otherwise approved by AMO.
4. The draft reports should be revised as required by AMO and relevant parties. The revised reports should be submitted to AMO within three weeks after receiving comments from AMO and relevant parties.
5. At least 5 hard copies of the final reports should be submitted to AMO for record purpose.
6. At least 2 digital copies of the final reports in both Microsoft Word format and Acrobat (.PDF) format without loss of data and change of appearance compared with the corresponding hard copy should be submitted to AMO. The digital copies should be saved in a convenient medium, such as compact discs with clear label on the surface and kept in protective pockets.

II. Suggested Format of Reports

1. Front page:
 - Project/Site name
 - Nature of the report
 - e.g. (Draft/Final)
 - Archaeological Investigation/Survey Report
 - Archaeological Impact Assessment Report
 - Watching Brief Report
 - Rescue Excavation Report
 - Post-excavation Report
 - Organization
 - Date of report
2. Contents list
Page number of each section should be given.
3. Non-technical summary (both in English and Chinese with not less than 300 words each)
This should outline in plain, non-technical language, the principal reasons for the archaeological work, its aims and main results, and should include reference to authorship and commissioning body.
4. Introduction
This should set out background leading to the commission of the reports. The location, area, scope and date of conducting the archaeological work must be

given. The location of archaeological work should be shown on maps in appropriate scales and with proper legends.

5. Aims of archaeological work
These should reflect the aims set in the project design.
6. Archaeological, historical, geological and topographical background of the site
Supporting aerial photos and maps (both old and present) in appropriate scales, with proper legends and with the site locations clearly marked on should be provided.
7. Methodology
The methods used including any variation to the agreed project design should be set out clearly and explained as appropriate.
8. Result
This should outline the findings, known and potential archaeological interests by period and/or type. Their significance and value with reference/inclusion of supporting evidence should be indicated. For impact assessment, the likely effect of the proposed development on the known or potential archaeological resource should be outlined.
9. Conclusion
This should include summarization and interpretation of the result.
10. Recommendation
Recommendations on further work and the responsible party as well as a brief planning framework should be outlined.
11. Reference and bibliography
A list of all primary and secondary sources used should be given. Director and members of the archaeological team and author of the report should be listed.
12. Supporting illustrations
They should be clearly numbered and easily referenced to the text. They should be scanned and saved in TIFF or JPEG formats.
 - A. Maps
Archaeological work locations, such as auger hole and test pit locations (with relevant coordinates certified by a qualified land surveyor), should be clearly shown on maps in appropriate scales, with proper legends, grid references (in 8 digits) and captions.
 - B. Drawings of test pits, archaeological features and finds
The below scales should be followed:

Cross section and profile drawings of test pits	1:20
Archaeological feature drawings	1:10
Finds drawings	1:1

If drawings of the above stated scales are not appropriate to be incorporated into the report under certain occasions, reduced copy of the drawings with the same scales are acceptable. Proper captions, legends and indication of reduced size should be given.

- C. Photos of site and finds
All photos should be at least in 3R size with proper captions and scales. They should be clearly numbered and easily referenced to the text. They should be scanned and saved in TIFF or JPEG formats.

13. Supporting data in appendices
These should consist of essential technical details to support the result. These may include stratigraphy record of test pits and auger holes, record of general and special finds discovered with description, quantity and context number/stratigraphical sequence, index of field archives.
14. Comment and Response
All comments and responses from AMO and relevant parties should be attached.

III. III. Green Measures

1. All reports should be of single line spacing and printed on both sides of the paper.
2. Excessive page margins should be avoided. A top/bottom margin of 2 cm and left/right margin of 2.5 cm are sufficient.
3. Use of blank paper should be avoided as far as possible.
4. Suitable font type of font size 12 should be used generally in balancing legibility and waste reduction objective.

Annex 2
Guidelines for Handling of Archaeological Finds and Archives
(As at Oct 2006)

General

1. Site Code
The Licensee should contact the Central Archaeological Repository (CAR) of the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) [Contact Person: Mr. Michael TANG, Tel: 2384 5446; Email: mkstang@lcsd.gov.hk] about the allocation of site code before the commencement of the project to avoid duplicate of site code assignment.

I. Archaeological Finds

2. Cleaning
Every excavated finds should be properly cleaned before handing over to the CAR of the AMO.
3. Marking
 - All the excavated finds should be cleaned before marking object number.
 - “Sandwich” technique¹ should be adopted for marking permanent identification number on an object.
 - Every special finds should be marked with site code, context number and object number, etc.
 - All representative samples collected from general finds should be marked.
 - For the finds which is too small, has unstable surface, or leather, textiles or wood, it should not be marked/labeled directly and should be bagged separately or attached with tags by tying. The tag should contain information about the object number, context number and site code, etc.
4. Labeling and bagging
 - A label should be attached on each bag.
 - Information about the object number, context number, test-pit number, site code and bag number should be stated clearly on the label.
 - Finds excavated within the same context should be bagged together. However, if they have been categorized according to their types, materials or characteristics, separate bagging is required.

¹ *Steps for “Sandwich” technique*

1. First of all, the object should be marked in appropriate area and size that does not impact important diagnostic or aesthetic parts of the object.
2. Clean the area to be marked.
3. Apply a thin coat of clear reversible lacquer on the area. Use white lacquer if the object is dark in colour. Let the base coat dry completely.
4. Use a permanent water-based ink to write the object number on top of the base coat. Let ink dry completely.
5. Apply a top coat of clear varnish.
6. Let the marking dry completely before packing.

5. Conservation
 - To refit and reconstruct pottery vessels by appropriate adhesive. A heat and waterproof adhesive, e.g. product of H. Marcel Guest Ltd., is recommended.
 - Any adhesives which are not reversible or will damage artefacts, e.g. the pottery vessel should not be applied on the finds.
6. Finds register

A clear finds register with information about the finds description, quantity, form, weight, dimensions and field data should be prepared for handover to the CAR.

II. Field Archives and Laboratory Records

7. Field archives include field dairy, context recording sheet, special finds recording sheet, soil sample/sample recording sheet, map, survey sheet and video/visual records etc. Laboratory records also form part of the archaeological archives, which include finds processing record, conservation record, finds drawings and photos, records of typological analysis and objects card etc.
8. All the aforesaid archives should be handed over to the CAR after the compilation of the excavation report. Attention should be drawn to the followings:
 - All the field archives should be submitted together with their indexes.
 - The video footage should be submitted together with a detailed script introducing the content of the video record.
 - All the slides, colour/black & white negatives and digital photographs should be submitted together with their contact prints and indexes.


Handover of Finds

9. Packing
 - Every special finds should be protected with tissue paper, bubble sheet or P.E. foam with shock-proofed packing. No packing material other than the aforesaid items should be used.
 - All the general finds should be stored in heavy duty plastic container with shock-proofed packing.
 - The heavy duty plastic container, e.g. product of the Star Industrial Co., Ltd. (No. 1849 or 1852), is recommended.
 - For oversized finds, prior advice on packing method should be sought from the AMO.
10. Handover procedure
 - The Licensee should arrange to transport the finds and archives to the CAR upon the completion of the finalized excavation report.
 - Separate handover forms for finds and archives should be signed by the representatives of the Licensee and the AMO.

Appendix D**IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
of Recommended Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure ?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure ?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve

- END -



Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works (蓮塘/香園圍口岸與相關工程)


Location Plan of the Project - 工程項目的位置圖

This figure was prepared based on Drawing no. SD 2008-007 of the Project Profile (No.: PP-372/2008)
 本圖是根據工程項目簡介(編號: PP-372/2008)圖 SD 2008-007 編制

Figure 1 圖一

EIA Study Brief No. ESB-199/2008
 環評研究概要編號 ESB-199/2008





Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
(蓮塘/香園圍口岸與相關工程)

General Layout (Lower Floor) of Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point - 蓮塘/香園圍口岸的下層總平面圖


This figure was prepared based on Drawing no. SD 2008-008 of the Project Profile (No.: PP-372/2008)
本圖是根據工程項目簡介(編號: PP-372/2008)圖 SD 2008-008 編制

Figure 2a 圖二甲

EIA Study Brief No.
ESB-199/2008

環評研究概要編號
ESB-199/2008





Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
(蓮塘/香園圍口岸與相關工程)

General Layout (Upper Floor) of Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point - 蓮塘/香園圍口岸的上層總平面圖

This figure was prepared based on Drawing no. SD 2008-009 of the Project Profile (No.: PP-372/2008)
本圖是根據工程項目簡介(編號: PP-372/2008)圖 SD 2008-009 編制

Figure 2b 圖二乙

EIA Study Brief No.
ESB-199/2008

環評研究概要編號
ESB-199/2008

