Pilot Green Transport Fund

Interim Report On Trial of Hybrid Public Light Bus for Green Minibus Service (Chung An Company)

(5 July 2022)

PREPARED BY: Dr. W.T. Hung

The Monitoring and Evaluation Team's views expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of the Environmental Protection Department, HKSAR.

List of Monitoring and Evaluation Team Members

Dr. C.S. CHEUNG (Team Leader)

Department of Mechanical Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Dr. C. NG

Department of Mechanical Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Mr. KS Tsang

Department of Mechanical Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Dr. Edward WC Lo

Department of Electrical Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Dr. W.T. HUNG

PolyU Technology and Consultancy Company Limited The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Pilot Green Transport Fund Trial of Hybrid Public Light Bus for Green Minibus Services (Chung An Company)

Interim Report (Trial Period: 1 July 2021 – 31 December 2021)

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Pilot Green Transport Fund (the Fund) is set up to encourage transport operators to try out green innovative transport technologies, contributing to better air quality and public health for Hong Kong. Chung An Company (Chung An) was approved under the Fund for trial of one hybrid light bus for providing green minibus services. Through the tendering procedures stipulated in the Agreement signed with the Government, Chung An procured one GMI Gemini 19-seat hybrid public light bus (HV) for trial.
- 1.2 PolyU Technology and Consultancy Company Limited has been engaged by the Environmental Protection Department as an independent third party assessor to monitor the trial and evaluate the performance of the trial vehicles. Chung An assigned a Toyota LPG 16-seat public light bus (GV) as the conventional counterpart for comparison with the HV.
- 1.3 This Interim Report summarizes the performance of the HV in the first six months of the trial as compared with its conventional counterpart, i.e. the GV.

2. Trial and Conventional Vehicles

2.1 Key features of the HV and GV are in Appendix 1 and their photos are provided in Appendix 2. Both vehicles were used for public light bus services serving a fixed route 22M between Kwun Tong MTR station and Lok Wah South Estate. The HV's gross vehicle weight (GVW) was 7,000 kg with 2,776 cc cylinder capacity. The GV's GVW was 4,350 kg with 4,104 c.c. cylinder capacity.

3. Trial Information

3.1 The trial started on 1 July 2021 and would last for 24 months. Chung An was required to collect and provide trial information including the mileage, fuel consumed, fuel cost as well as costs and downtime associated with scheduled and unscheduled maintenance of the HV. A similar set of data from the GV was also required. In addition to the cost information, reports on maintenance work, operational difficulties and opinions of the driver, passengers and Chung An were collected and provided to reflect any problems of the HV.

4. Findings of Trial

4.1 Table 1 summarizes the statistical data of the HV and GV. The average fuel economy of the HV was 0.013km/MJ (22%) higher than that of the GV. However, since the market fuel price of diesel was much higher than that of LPG and the HV carried 3 more passengers than the GV hence with a higher loading, the average fuel cost of the HV was higher than that of the GV by HK\$3.62/km (120%). If the fuel price discount was taken into account, the average fuel cost of the HV would be about 17% lower than that of the GV. The HV had one scheduled maintenance and five unscheduled maintenances but no charge was incurred within the warranty period, whereas the GV had no scheduled or unscheduled maintenance. Thus, the average total operating costs were the same as the average fuel costs.

Table 1: Key operation statistics of each vehicle (1 July 2021 – 31 December 2021)

_		HV	GV
Total mileage (km)		17,557	20,473
Average daily mileage (km/working day)		108	111
Average fuel economy	(km/litre)	2.58	1.39
	(km/MJ) [1]	0.072	0.059
Average fuel cost (HK\$/km) [2]		6.64	3.02
Average total operating cost (HK\$/km) [3]		6.64	3.02
Downtime (working day) [3] [4]		13	0

^[1] Assuming lower heating value of 36.13 MJ/litre for diesel fuel and 23.67 MJ/litre for LPG

- 4.2 During this reporting period, the utilization rates of HV and GV were 93% and 100%.
- 4.3 The monthly average fuel economy of the HV ranged from 2.30 to 3.03 km/litre in the first 6 month of trial. There was no indication on the deterioration of the HV performance.
- 4.4 The HV drivers had no problem in operating the HV and felt the HV was more environmentally friendly compared to the GV. The passengers felt that the air was cleaner within the HV. Chung An was satisfied with the performance of the HV and did not find any deterioration in the performance of the HV.

^[2] The market fuel price was used for calculation.

^[3] Maintenance due to incident not related to the performance of the vehicle was not included for comparing the performance.

Downtime refers to the equivalent number of working days in which the vehicle is not in operation due to maintenance, counting from the first day it stops operation till the day it is returned to the operator.

5. Summary

- 5.1 In the first 6 months of the trial, the average daily mileage of the HV was 108 km, while that of the GV was 111 km. Taking into account the fuel price discount, the average fuel cost of the 19-seat HV was about 17% lower than that of the 16-seat GV, and it had 22% higher average fuel economy than that of the GV even carrying 3 more passengers. The HV had one scheduled maintenance and five unscheduled maintenances but no charge was incurred within the warranty period, whereas the GV had no scheduled or unscheduled maintenance. Thus, the average total operating costs were the same as the average fuel costs. The utilization rates of HV and GV were 93% and 100% respectively.
- 5.2 The HV drivers, passengers and Chung An were satisfied with the performance of the HV and felt that it was more environmentally friendly.
- 5.3 The findings only reflect the performance of the HV in the first 6 months of the trial. The performance and reliability of the HV will be continuously monitored in the 24 months of the trial.

Appendix 1: Key Features of Vehicles

1. Trial HV

Registration Mark:EZ6997Make:GMIModel:Gemini

Class: Public Light Bus

Gross vehicle weight: 7,000 kg

Seating capacity: driver + 19 passengers Cylinder capacity: 2,776 cc (diesel)

Year of manufacture: 2020

2. GV for Comparison

Registration Mark: HV2203 Make: Toyota

Model: COASTER LPG SWB Class: Public Light Bus

Gross vehicle weight: 4,350 kg

Seating capacity: driver + 16 passengers

Cylinder capacity: 4,104 cc (LPG)

Year of manufacture: 2014

Appendix 2: Photos of Vehicles

1. Trial HV



2. GV for Comparison





Front view

Right side view







Rear view