

Pilot Green Transport Fund

Interim Report

On

Trial of Hybrid Light Goods Vehicles (Non-van Type)
for Logistics Services (Atta-Trans Limited)

(18 May 2018)

PREPARED BY:
Prof. C.S. Cheung
Dr. W.T. Hung
Ir Dr. C. Ng

The Monitoring and Evaluation Team's views expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of the Environmental Protection Department, HKSAR.

List of Monitoring and Evaluation Team Members

Prof. C.S. Cheung (Team Leader)

Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Dr. W.T. Hung (Deputy Team Leader)

PolyU Technology and Consultancy Company Limited

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Ir Dr. C. NG

Senior Technical Officer

Department of Mechanical Engineering

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

**Pilot Green Transport Fund
Trial of Hybrid Light Goods Vehicles (Non-van Type)
for Logistics Services (Atta-Trans Limited)**

**Interim Report
(Trial Period: 1 February 2017 – 31 January 2018)**

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

1.1 The Pilot Green Transport Fund (the Fund) is set up to encourage transport operators to try out green innovative transport technologies, contributing to better air quality and public health for Hong Kong. The Fund has subsidized Atta-Trans Limited (Atta-Trans) to try out three hybrid light goods vehicles (non-van type) for logistics services.

1.2 PolyU Technology and Consultancy Company Limited has been engaged by the Environmental Protection Department as an independent third party assessor (the assessor) to monitor this trial and evaluate the operational performance of the trial vehicles. The assessor regularly visited Atta-Trans to collect information for evaluating the performance of the three hybrid light goods vehicles (non-van type) (HVs) as compared with the three diesel light goods vehicles (DVs) which provided the same services in the same area and road conditions. The information collected included the said vehicles' operation data, fuel bills, maintenance records, reports on operation difficulties, and opinions of the HVs drivers from survey questionnaires.

1.3 This Interim Report summarizes the performance of the HVs for logistics services in the first twelve months of the trial as compared with their respective conventional counterparts, i.e. the DVs.

2. Trial Vehicles

2.1 Atta-Trans procured three Hino 300 series hybrid light goods vehicles (non-van type) (HV-1, HV-2 and HV-3) each of 5,500 kg gross vehicle weight (GVW) and 4,009 cc cylinder capacity for trial. Three Mitsubishi Fuso diesel light goods vehicles (non-van type) of 5,500 kg GVW, two of which of 2,998 cc cylinder capacity (DV-1; DV-3) and the other one of 4,899 cc cylinder capacity (DV-2), were assigned for comparison with the HVs. All vehicles were equipped with air-conditioning.

2.2 Key features and photos of the HVs and DVs are in Appendices 1 and 2 respectively.

3. Trial Information

3.1 The 24-month trial started on 1 February 2017. Two pairs of vehicles (HV-1 and DV-1; HV-2 and DV-2) operated from Kwai Chung Depot to deliver freight to Kowloon and Hong Kong Island areas; the third pair of vehicles (HV-3 and DV-3) operated from Kwai Chung Depot to deliver freight to Tsuen Wan and Kwai Chung areas. There was no fixed route. The vehicles provided services every day from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm, except Sundays and public holidays.

4. Findings of Trial

4.1 During this first twelve-month reporting period, the HVs travelled an average of 42,904 km whereas the DVs travelled an average of 39,199 km. The fuel costs comparisons are as follows: HV-1 was HK\$0.25/km (12.3%) lower than DV-1; HV-2 was HK\$0.12/km (6.4%) lower than DV-2; and HV-3 was HK\$0.11/km (5.7%) lower than DV-3. The performance of the HVs and their average operating costs as compared with the DVs in the first twelve months of the trial are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Average operating cost of vehicles under trial

	HV-1	HV-2	HV-3	DV-1	DV-2	DV-3
Average fuel economy, km/litre	6.86	6.72	6.40	6.00	6.33	6.03
Average fuel cost ^[1] HK\$/km	1.75	1.79	1.88	1.99	1.91	1.99
Average total operating cost ^{[1],[2]} ,HK\$/km	1.84	1.83	1.91	4.11	4.35	3.13

^[1] The market fuel price was used for calculation

^[2] Including costs incurred from maintenance. Atta-Trans did not pay for the labour cost of the maintenance of the HVs because the vehicles were under warranty.

4.2 The average fuel cost of the HVs was lower than their conventional counterparts by 8.1%. In fact, the vehicles' operating conditions and the drivers' driving habit would affect their fuel saving performance.

4.3 In addition to fuel cost and maintenance cost, other costs associated with breakdowns, such as replacement of components and parts, were also accounted for in calculating the total operating cost. It should be noted that the maintenance cost of the HVs did not include labour cost as the vehicles were still under warranty. Therefore, the labour cost was waived and only the parts to be replaced were charged. In this reporting period, all three HVs had three scheduled maintenances each. HV-1 had one unscheduled maintenance due to gear failure. HV-2 had two unscheduled maintenances, involving in a slight incident whereby it rubbed against the ceiling of the car park causing damage to the front top of the vehicle as well as tyre puncture. However, these were

unrelated to the performance of the vehicle. HV-3 had no unscheduled maintenance. DV-1 had two scheduled maintenances and four unscheduled maintenances. DV-2 had three scheduled maintenances and four unscheduled maintenances while DV-3 had four scheduled maintenances and three unscheduled maintenances. The average total operating cost of all HVs was 51.0% lower than that of all DVs.

4.4 During this reporting period, the utilization rates of the three HVs were 96.4%, 99.3% and 99.3% (excluding the downtime unrelated to their performance) respectively, which were higher than those of the DVs (70.5%, 83.8% and 94.0% respectively). The average utilization rate of all HVs was 98% and that of all DVs was 83%.

5. Summary

5.1 The vehicle operating conditions and the drivers' driving habit would affect the fuel saving performance of the hybrid vehicles. According to the first twelve months' data, the three HVs had an average of 8.1% lower fuel cost per kilometer travelled lower than the DVs, indicating that the HVs had better fuel economy than the DVs.

5.2 The HVs drivers reflected that they had no problem in operating the vehicles. They in general felt the HVs were clean and less polluted. However, they reflected that the HVs responded slower than the DVs. Atta-trans was satisfied with the HVs as they were reliable.

5.3 All HVs had three scheduled maintenances each. HV-1 had one unscheduled maintenance and HV-2 had two unscheduled maintenances but unrelated to its performance in this twelve-month reporting period. The HVs had an average of 98% utilization rate, which was better than the 83% of the DVs.

5.4 No deterioration in the performance of the HVs was observed from the twelve months' reported data.

5.5 The findings only reflect the performance of the HVs in the first twelve months of the trial. More time is needed to test the performance and reliability of the HVs.

Appendix 1: Key Features of Vehicles

1. Trial HVs

Registration Mark: JZ9370 (HV-1)
Make: Hino
Model: 300 Series Hybrid XKU720R-HKUQS3
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 4,009 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2016

Registration Mark: KA239 (HV-2)
Make: Hino
Model: 300 Series Hybrid XKU720R-HKUQS3
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 4,009 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2016

Registration Mark: MM2862 (HV-3)
Make: Hino
Model: 300 Series Hybrid XKU720R-HKUQS3
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 4,009 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2016

2. DVs used for comparison

Registration Mark: HX1383 (DV-1)
Make: Mitsubishi Fuso
Model: FEC71GR3SDAD
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 2,998 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2012

Registration Mark: NR2003 (DV-2)
Make: Mitsubishi Fuso
Model: FE83DGZSRDAA
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 4,899 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2010

Registration Mark: RB1500 (DV-3)
Make: Mitsubishi Fuso
Model: FEC71GR4SDAD
Class: Light goods vehicle
Gross Vehicle Weight: 5,500 kg
Seating Capacity: 2 passengers
Cylinder Capacity: 2,998 cc
Year of Manufacture: 2012

Appendix 2: Photos of the Trial Vehicles

1. Trial HVs



Front view of JZ9370 (HV-1)



Side view of HV-1



Side view of HV-1



Rear view of HV-1



Front view of KA239 (HV-2)



Side view of HV-2



Side view of HV-2



Rear view of HV-2



Front view of MM2862 (HV-3)



Side view of HV-3



Side view of HV-3



Rear view of HV-3

2. DVs used for comparison



Front view of HX1383 (DV-1)



Front view of NR2003 (DV-2)



Front view of RB1500 (DV-3)