

Table 3.2

**Distribution of Population for
NT-Biased and HB-Biased Medium-Term Options
and Recommended Medium-Term Strategy by 2006**

(in million)

Broad Region	1991*	2001	2001 - 2006 Strategic Growth**			2006 Total***		
			NT-Biased Option	HB-Biased Option	Recommended Strategy	NT-Biased Option	HB-Biased Option	Recommended Strategy
Metro	3.95 (71%)	3.99 (60%)	0.08 (11%)	0.37 (53%)	0.25 (36%)	4.07 (56%)	4.36 (59%)	4.24 (58%)
NT	1.65 (29%)	2.64 (40%)	0.62 (89%)	0.33 (47%)	0.45 (64%)	3.26 (44%)	2.97 (41%)	3.09 (42%)
NWNT	0.62 (11%)	1.10 (17%)	0.26 (37%)	0.15 (21%)	0.19 (27%)	1.36 (18%)	1.25 (17%)	1.29 (17%)
NENT	0.85 (15%)	1.07 (16%)	0.09 (13%)	0.02 (3%)	0.01 (1%)	1.16 (16%)	1.09 (15%)	1.08 (15%)
SWNT	0.05 (1%)	0.11 (2%)	0.14 (20%)	0.03 (4%)	0.12 (17%)	0.25 (3%)	0.14 (2%)	0.23 (3%)
SENT	0.13 (2%)	0.36 (5%)	0.13 (19%)	0.13 (19%)	0.13 (19%)	0.49 (7%)	0.49 (7%)	0.49 (7%)
Territorial Total	5.60 (100%)	6.63 (100%)	0.70 (100%)	0.70 (100%)	0.70 (100%)	7.33 (100%)	7.33 (100%)	7.33 (100%)

Source : Planning Department

* Based on 1991 Census.

** The strategic growth for 2001-2006 includes the additional growth and net balance due to upgrading and decantation.

*** The territorial total for 2006 includes the additional growth and net balance due to upgrading and decantation.