

**Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao
Pearl River Delta
Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network**

April to June 2022

**Statistical Summary of the Second quarter
Monitoring Results**

Report Number : PRDAIR-2022-2

**Report Prepared by : Ecological and Environmental Monitoring
Centre of Guangdong
Environmental Protection Department,
Hong Kong SARG
Environmental Protection Bureau, Macao
SARG
Meteorological and Geophysical Bureau,
Macao SARG**

**Approved by : Quality Management Committee of
Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River
Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring
Network**

Security Classification : Unrestricted

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1. Foreword	3
2. Introduction to Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network	3
3. Operation of the Network	4
4. Statistical Results of Pollutant Concentrations	5
Annex A: Site Information of Monitoring Stations	21
Annex B: Measurement Methods of Air Pollutant Concentration	22

List of Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table 4.1a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of SO ₂	5
Table 4.1b: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of SO ₂	6
Table 4.1c : The monthly averages of SO ₂	7
Table 4.2a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of NO ₂	8
Table 4.2b: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of NO ₂	9
Table 4.2c: The monthly averages of NO ₂	10
Table 4.3a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of O ₃	11
Table 4.3b: Daily maximum 8-hour averages of O ₃ (the monthly maxima, minima and the 90 th percentile)	12
Table 4.3c: The monthly averages of O ₃	13
Table 4.4a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of CO	14
Table 4.4b: Daily averages of CO (the monthly maxima, minima and the 95 th percentile)	15
Table 4.4c: The monthly averages of CO	16
Table 4.5a: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of PM ₁₀	17
Table 4.5b: The monthly averages of PM ₁₀	18
Table 4.6a: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of PM _{2.5}	19
Table 4.6b: The monthly averages of PM _{2.5}	20

List of Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 2.1: Spatial Distribution of Monitoring Stations in the Network	4

1. Foreword

Since the Pearl River Delta (PRD) Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network came into operation on 30 November 2005, the PRD Regional Air Quality Index (RAQI) was reported to the public on a daily basis. Starting from 2006, half-yearly and annual air quality monitoring reports were also published every year. The network was subsequently enhanced and expanded in September 2014 and renamed to “Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network” (the “Network”).

To cope with the enhancement of the network, the update of the national ambient air quality standards as well as the need for improving the reporting frequency of monitoring results, starting from 2014, the real-time hourly monitoring data was reported on a new internet platform to replace the daily RAQI, the half-yearly report was also replaced by a quarterly report while the annual air quality monitoring report was maintained. The quarterly report is a brief statistical summary of the regional air quality monitoring results in a quarter. The annual report, in addition to the reporting of the monitoring data, provides a more detailed analysis and comparison of the air quality in the year. From the fourth quarter of 2014, the statistical results of carbon monoxide (CO) and fine suspended particulates (PM_{2.5} or FSP) were added to the report in addition to those of respirable suspended particulates (PM₁₀ or RSP), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and ozone (O₃).

This report is the statistical summary of the monitoring results of the PRD Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network in the first quarter of 2022. It is the thirty-first report published in the form of a quarterly report and the thirty-fourth report with the statistical summaries of the six pollutants (i.e. PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO₂, O₃ and CO).

2. Introduction to Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network

The PRD Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network was jointly established by the Guangdong Provincial Environmental Monitoring Centre¹ (GDEMC) and the Environmental Protection Department of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKEPD) from 2003 to 2005, and commenced its operation to report the Regional Air Quality Index (RAQI) on 30 November 2005.

With the growing concerns of air pollution control and economic development of the region, the GDEMC¹ and HKEPD had worked in collaboration with the environmental protection cum meteorological authorities of Macao to enhance the network by extending the coverage of monitoring area to Guangdong, Hong Kong and Macao in September 2014. The enhancements included the addition of monitoring stations from 16 to 23 to further improve the spatial distribution and the inclusion of two new monitoring parameters, i.e. carbon monoxide (CO) and fine suspended particulates (PM_{2.5}), to enrich the air quality monitoring information. At the same time, the network was renamed to “Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network” (the “Network”) while the "Quality Management Committee of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Pearl River Delta Regional Air Quality Monitoring Network", which was jointly established by the Ecological and Environmental Monitoring Centre of Guangdong (GDEEMC), HKEPD, Environmental Protection Bureau of Macau SARG and the

¹ Guangdong Provincial Environmental Monitoring Centre was renamed as Ecological and Environmental Monitoring Centre of Guangdong in December 2020.

Meteorological and Geophysical Bureau of Macao SARG, was responsible for quality management of the Network and dissemination of information.

The Network comprises 23 automatic air quality monitoring stations (see Figure 2.1) across the PRD region. Ten city stations are operated either by the Ecological and Environmental Monitoring Centres of the individual cities in Guangdong or the operation-cum-maintenance agencies commissioned by the State. Eight regional stations are operated by the GDEEMC, the four stations located in Hong Kong are managed by the HKEPD and the remaining one in Macao is operated by Meteorological and Geophysical Bureau of Macao SARG.

All stations are installed with monitoring equipment to measure the ambient concentrations of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO₂, O₃ and CO.

Annexes A and B show the site information of the monitoring stations in the Network and the methods used for measuring air pollutant concentrations respectively.



Figure 2.1: Spatial Distribution of Monitoring Stations in the Network

Remark: The above map was drawn with reference to the China National Standard Map "Map of the Pearl River Delta Region" (approval number: 粵S (2021) No. 169), and was re-submitted and approved for release. The approval number is GS粵 (2022) No. 378.

3. Operation of the Network

The overall operation of the Network was smooth in the second quarter of 2022. The average data capture rate of hourly air pollutant monitoring data measured at all monitoring stations was 98.6% in the second quarter.

4. Statistical Results of Pollutant Concentrations

Tables 4.1a to 4.6b list the detailed statistical results of the six air pollutants (SO₂, NO₂, O₃, CO, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) from January to March 2021. Per the amended *GB 3095-2012: Ambient Air Quality Standards*, starting from 2019, the concentrations of gaseous pollutants are calculated at a reference temperature of 298.15K and a pressure of 101.325 kPa, while the concentrations of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are measured at real-time temperature and atmospheric pressure during monitoring.

Table 4.1a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of SO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	2	17	2	8	2	7
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	4	17	4	16	5	14
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	5	14	6	15	5	12
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	6	19	6	15	6	11
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	6	18	5	21	5	14
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	2	5	2	6	2	6
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	1	10	1	8	1	7
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	4	16	3	12	4	14
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	8	14	6	12	6	12
Donghu (Jiangmen)	3	16	4	11	5	11
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	1	15	2	18	1	9
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	2	43	2	47	2	50
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	4	134	5	51	7	53
Xiapu (Huizhou)	3	12	1	19	1	8
Xijiao (Huizhou)	2	11	1	22	2	9
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	4	12	4	11	4	11
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	1	11	2	9	1	6
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	7	23	6	17	4	10
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	6	13	6	11	7	13
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	4	20	5	16	7	30
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	3	11	0	13	1	11
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	0	15	1	10	2	7
Taipa Grande (Macao)	1	9	3	7	3	7

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre (µg/m³).

Table 4.1b: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of SO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	3	9	3	6	3	5
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	5	10	5	10	6	9
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	6	9	6	8	6	7
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	7	12	6	10	6	8
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	6	12	6	12	6	9
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	2	4	2	5	3	5
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	2	8	1	5	1	3
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	4	9	4	7	5	7
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	8	11	6	10	6	9
Donghu (Jiangmen)	5	9	5	9	6	8
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	2	6	2	6	1	4
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	3	14	2	16	3	12
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	5	32	8	19	9	16
Xiapu (Huizhou)	3	7	2	6	2	3
Xijiao (Huizhou)	2	4	2	5	2	4
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	5	7	5	7	5	6
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	2	6	2	5	2	4
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	7	11	7	12	5	9
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	7	8	7	8	7	8
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	5	11	6	9	8	12
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	3	6	2	6	2	5
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	1	11	1	3	2	4
Taipa Grande (Macao)	2	5	4	5	4	5

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.1c : The monthly averages of SO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	6	4	4
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	7	7	7
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	7	7	6
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	8	8	7
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	8	7	7
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	3	3	3
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	3	3	2
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	6	5	6
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	9	8	8
Donghu (Jiangmen)	7	7	6
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	3	3	2
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	7	6	6
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	14	12	12
Xiapu (Huizhou)	5	4	3
Xijiao (Huizhou)	3	2	2
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	6	6	6
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	4	4	3
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	9	9	6
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	7	7	7
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	7	7	9
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	5	3	3
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	5	2	3
Taipa Grande (Macao)	3	4	4

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.2a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of NO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	6	133	6	98	4	49
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	7	102	8	101	5	76
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	1	103	3	88	2	57
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	5	44	3	47	3	31
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	1	90	1	63	5	54
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	1	73	1	46	2	40
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	4	104	1	77	1	47
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	9	123	7	128	7	61
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	3	83	1	62	2	46
Donghu (Jiangmen)	5	92	5	64	5	38
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	1	46	2	31	1	17
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	2	68	1	54	1	32
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	6	136	7	129	5	54
Xiapu (Huizhou)	7	67	7	60	5	45
Xijiao (Huizhou)	2	32	2	24	1	34
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	2	30	2	26	1	34
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	1	68	3	64	2	40
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	6	113	5	118	4	55
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	1	43	0	40	0	18
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	7	198	5	108	6	75
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	7	134	7	101	6	72
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	0	88	1	77	0	58
Taipa Grande (Macao)	1	56	0	56	1	36

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.2b: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of NO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	13	61	9	54	10	35
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	19	56	16	50	12	41
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	10	44	9	49	7	36
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	7	22	4	18	4	14
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	11	42	6	34	12	31
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	4	23	5	26	5	19
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	11	54	3	41	2	30
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	15	67	10	62	12	40
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	7	38	5	31	3	17
Donghu (Jiangmen)	9	53	8	37	8	22
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	5	27	3	20	2	9
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	7	39	6	32	3	21
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	11	60	12	59	9	33
Xiapu (Huizhou)	12	28	11	27	9	23
Xijiao (Huizhou)	5	17	5	14	2	17
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	6	14	4	14	5	16
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	4	43	6	31	4	19
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	11	47	11	41	6	39
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	3	14	3	11	1	7
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	23	61	24	56	21	53
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	19	60	18	54	14	46
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	7	52	5	40	3	23
Taipa Grande (Macao)	5	36	4	23	4	23

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.2c: The monthly averages of NO₂

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	31	30	20
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	36	34	24
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	28	25	18
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	12	10	10
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	25	22	21
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	14	12	11
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	26	22	12
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	30	31	22
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	18	14	7
Donghu (Jiangmen)	24	20	13
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	11	10	4
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	21	18	9
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	29	30	17
Xiapu (Huizhou)	19	16	16
Xijiao (Huizhou)	10	9	10
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	10	8	9
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	19	17	8
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	26	24	18
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	7	5	4
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	42	38	39
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	38	34	27
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	25	20	11
Taipa Grande (Macao)	17	13	8

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.3a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of O₃

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	3	297	3	260	3	211
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	2	320	3	261	2	207
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	1	323	1	282	1	179
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	14	248	11	207	20	161
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	2	281	2	305	1	191
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	3	241	1	211	1	102
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	1	241	1	258	1	180
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	2	278	2	274	2	166
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	3	300	4	237	8	128
Donghu (Jiangmen)	3	282	3	288	2	158
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	5	196	12	191	5	124
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	2	274	2	234	2	146
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	5	245	5	258	4	186
Xiapu (Huizhou)	4	222	4	259	3	149
Xijiao (Huizhou)	3	259	3	201	3	195
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	2	189	1	330	1	156
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	2	281	2	245	5	124
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	4	301	5	305	5	159
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	4	186	14	184	12	96
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	1	183	1	126	1	51
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	1	243	1	152	0	74
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	1	228	2	173	2	57
Taipa Grande (Macao)	2	186	3	167	6	78

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.3b: Daily maximum 8-hour averages of O₃ (the monthly maxima, minima and the 90th percentile)

Monitoring Station	April 2022			May 2022			June 2022		
	Min	Max	90 th per	Min	Max	90 th per	Min	Max	90 th per
Luhu (Guangzhou)	21	226	193	23	229	183	26	145	119
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	15	234	193	30	243	191	35	133	109
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	9	251	223	24	239	180	30	115	65
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	44	218	182	41	194	169	42	148	136
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	18	233	190	18	276	207	38	153	121
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	35	200	169	23	160	134	33	81	60
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	11	214	184	23	235	175	29	111	91
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	14	246	222	22	247	225	31	143	104
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	20	212	173	48	202	173	36	79	70
Donghu (Jiangmen)	14	242	232	34	261	195	40	133	79
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	29	174	163	44	180	153	40	87	62
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	19	220	170	30	210	143	28	125	68
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	34	219	185	37	237	169	34	141	83
Xiapu (Huizhou)	37	183	167	29	237	162	35	129	104
Xijiao (Huizhou)	34	188	157	33	185	112	36	160	114
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	35	172	147	27	267	139	28	123	84
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	9	229	204	38	206	172	38	89	78
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	23	256	229	37	261	213	27	133	98
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	43	176	150	40	174	147	39	71	65
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	16	118	104	11	115	97	14	42	34
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	24	191	138	13	125	116	11	59	50
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	18	190	124	30	121	115	28	52	49
Taipa Grande (Macao)	25	171	128	34	143	122	25	56	53

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.3c: The monthly averages of O₃

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	63	56	41
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	61	59	36
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	67	65	34
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	84	81	60
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	65	62	45
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	71	68	36
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	61	57	36
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	73	67	42
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	74	76	45
Donghu (Jiangmen)	73	71	41
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	69	71	44
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	58	52	34
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	67	63	43
Xiapu (Huizhou)	74	72	43
Xijiao (Huizhou)	62	46	41
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	63	63	36
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	66	63	44
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	76	73	42
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	81	81	42
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	47	49	17
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	56	53	27
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	56	54	34
Taipa Grande (Macao)	64	65	39

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.4a: The monthly maxima and minima of hourly averages of CO

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	0.4	1.4	0.5	1.6	0.5	1.0
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	0.4	1.5	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.9
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	0.2	1.0	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.6
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.1	0.4	1.3
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	0.2	1.1	0.4	1.1	0.3	0.8
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	0.2	0.8	0.1	1.1	0.2	1.1
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	0.3	1.4	0.4	1.2	0.4	0.8
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	0.4	1.5	0.4	1.4	0.4	0.8
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.5
Donghu (Jiangmen)	0.2	1.3	0.3	1.2	0.2	1.1
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.8
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	0.3	1.0	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.8
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	0.3	1.2	0.4	1.3	0.4	1.5
Xiapu (Huizhou)	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.7
Xijiao (Huizhou)	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.8	0.3	1.2
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.7
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	0.1	1.0	0.1	1.2	0.4	1.1
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	0.3	1.1	0.2	1.3	0.0	1.1
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	0.3	0.8	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.5
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.9
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.0	0.0	1.0
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	0.2	0.9	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.6
Taipa Grande (Macao)	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.7

Remark : All concentration units are in milligrams per cubic metre (mg/m³).

Table 4.4b: Daily averages of CO (the monthly maxima, minima and the 95th percentile)

Monitoring Station	April 2022			May 2022			June 2022		
	Min	Max	95 th per	Min	Max	95 th per	Min	Max	95 th per
Luhu (Guangzhou)	0.5	1.1	0.9	0.6	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.8
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.8	0.7
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.5
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.5	1.2	1.2
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.6
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.6
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	0.4	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.6
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.5
Donghu (Jiangmen)	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.7
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.7
Xiapu (Huizhou)	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5
Xijiao (Huizhou)	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.5
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.6
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.2	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.8
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	0.4	0.9	0.9	0.4	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.8
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.4	0.4
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.7
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.8
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.3
Taipa Grande (Macao)	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.6

Remark : All concentration units are in milligrams per cubic metre (mg/m³).

Table 4.4c: The monthly averages of CO

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	0.8	0.8	0.7
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	0.6	0.7	0.6
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	0.5	0.6	0.4
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	0.5	0.6	0.8
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	0.6	0.6	0.4
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	0.5	0.4	0.5
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	0.6	0.6	0.5
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	0.6	0.7	0.5
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	0.4	0.5	0.3
Donghu (Jiangmen)	0.5	0.6	0.5
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	0.5	0.5	0.4
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	0.6	0.6	0.6
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	0.6	0.7	0.6
Xiapu (Huizhou)	0.5	0.6	0.4
Xijiao (Huizhou)	0.6	0.4	0.4
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	0.7	0.6	0.4
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	0.5	0.5	0.7
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	0.6	0.7	0.6
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	0.5	0.6	0.3
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	0.5	0.5	0.6
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	0.5	0.7	0.6
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	0.5	0.3	0.2
Taipa Grande (Macao)	0.6	0.6	0.5

Remark : All concentration units are in milligrams per cubic metre (mg/m³).

Table 4.5a: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of PM₁₀

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	12	84	6	64	17	30
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	11	96	3	62	15	27
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	14	62	8	54	8	22
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	6	63	4	51	7	27
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	11	102	12	81	13	40
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	17	55	7	39	7	22
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	14	69	5	53	11	27
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	17	111	4	76	14	29
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	12	47	7	46	5	19
Donghu (Jiangmen)	19	84	6	73	12	28
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	12	42	6	44	5	21
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	10	93	3	73	14	34
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	5	77	2	79	11	30
Xiapu (Huizhou)	10	61	7	53	9	33
Xijiao (Huizhou)	6	46	6	42	10	26
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	8	47	7	44	9	28
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	16	62	6	49	8	27
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	10	74	7	64	12	27
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	6	44	3	28	3	14
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	8	43	5	30	7	24
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	10	49	4	31	4	17
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	9	45	2	32	2	15
Taipa Grande (Macao)	11	52	5	35	3	20

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.5b: The monthly averages of PM₁₀

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	38	31	24
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	42	30	21
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	34	25	16
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	31	23	19
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	46	37	26
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	30	20	15
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	38	29	20
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	46	36	21
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	28	20	12
Donghu (Jiangmen)	42	33	22
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	25	17	13
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	44	35	22
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	36	35	22
Xiapu (Huizhou)	35	27	21
Xijiao (Huizhou)	27	21	19
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	30	22	18
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	35	25	18
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	39	32	20
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	20	14	9
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	24	19	15
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	27	17	11
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	24	15	9
Taipa Grande (Macao)	29	20	12

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.6a: The monthly maxima and minima of daily averages of PM_{2.5}

Monitoring Station	April 2022		May 2022		June 2022	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Luhu (Guangzhou)	6	42	4	34	8	16
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	4	36	4	31	8	15
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	6	35	4	35	3	19
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	4	35	2	33	3	12
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	8	73	8	49	8	23
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	5	26	4	21	2	9
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	8	39	4	31	6	11
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	16	70	10	50	5	13
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	5	29	2	32	2	12
Donghu (Jiangmen)	6	43	2	33	4	16
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	8	26	5	30	4	15
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	3	59	2	48	5	22
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	3	47	1	55	7	18
Xiapu (Huizhou)	3	30	2	30	4	11
Xijiao (Huizhou)	6	21	5	20	6	10
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	7	29	5	26	8	13
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	6	30	6	28	5	16
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	6	42	5	31	5	19
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	6	27	2	18	2	6
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	2	21	5	21	5	14
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	8	36	4	23	3	9
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	7	29	2	20	2	7
Taipa Grande (Macao)	6	29	1	22	0	6

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Table 4.6b: The monthly averages of PM_{2.5}

Monitoring Station	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022
Luhu (Guangzhou)	19	17	12
Nanshadawen (Guangzhou)	17	18	11
Nansha-HKUST (Guangzhou)	19	15	9
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	17	14	7
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	27	25	16
Tongxinling (Shenzhen)	15	11	6
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	20	16	9
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	28	23	9
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	17	13	6
Donghu (Jiangmen)	20	16	9
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	15	11	7
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	27	22	11
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	21	23	12
Xiapu (Huizhou)	15	13	8
Xijiao (Huizhou)	10	9	7
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	15	14	10
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	16	13	8
Nanchengyuanling (Dongguan)	19	16	9
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	12	7	4
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	10	12	8
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	17	12	6
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	14	10	5
Taipa Grande (Macao)	13	8	3

Remark : All concentration units are in micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Annex A: Site Information of Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Address	Area Type	Sampling Height (Above P.D.)	Above Ground	Date Commenced Operation
Luhu (Guangzhou)	Jufong Garden of Luhu Park (Big yard, No. 11 Luhu Park)	City	30m	9m	1993
Nanshadawen ⁽¹⁾ (Guangzhou)	Shinan Road, Dongchong Town, Nansha	City	23m	10m	Jan 2021
Nansha-HKUST ⁽²⁾ (Guangzhou)	HKUST Fok Ying Tung Research Institute, Nansha	Mixed educational/commercial and residential/industrial	54m	28m	Oct 2004
Tianhu (Guangzhou)	Tianhu Park, Conghua	Background : rural	251m	13m	Oct 2004
Zhudong (Guangzhou)	Zhudong Village Committee, Chini Town, Huadu District	Rural	19m	10m	Dec 2011
Tongxinling ⁽³⁾ (Shenzhen)	Shennan Zhong Road, Futian District	City	38m	12m	Sep 1997
Jinjuzui (Foshan)	Foshan City Communist Party School, Jinjuzui, Shunde District	Tourist and cultural /educational	27m	17m	Oct 1999
Huijingcheng (Foshan)	No. 127, Fenjiang Nan Road, Chancheng District	Urban: mixed residential/commercial/industrial	24m	14m	Feb 2000
Tangjia (Zhuhai)	Qiao Island Mangrove Monitoring Station, Tangjia Town	Mixed educational/commercial and residential/industrial	13m	13m	Jan 2010
Donghu (Jiangmen)	Donghu Park, Jiangmen	City	17.5m	5m	Nov 2001
Duanfen (Jiangmen)	Duanfen Middle School, Taishan	Rural	15m	12m	Dec 2011
Huaguoshan (Jiangmen)	Huaguoshan, Taoyuan, Heshan	Rural	25m	15m	Feb 2012
Chengzhong (Zhaoqing)	No. 63, Zhengdong Road, Duanzhou District	Urban: mixed residential/commercial	38m	16m	Jun 2001
Xiapu (Huizhou)	No. 4 Xiabuhengjiang Road No. 3, Huicheng District	Urban: commercial	49m	20m	Dec 1999
Xijiao ⁽⁴⁾ (Huizhou)	Zhangbei Yaowei She Nationality Primary School, Henghe Town	Rural	44m	10m	Dec 2011
Jinguowan (Huizhou)	Jinguowan Ecological Farm, Huizhou	Residential	77m	8m	Oct 2004

Monitoring Stations	Address	Area Type	Sampling Height (Above P.D.)	Above Ground	Date Commenced Operation
Zimaling (Zhongshan)	Zimaling Park, Zhongshan	Mixed residential/commercial	45 m	7m	Aug 2002
Nanchengyuanling ⁽⁵⁾ (Dongguan)	Nanchengyuanling Community, Dongguan	Mixed residential/commercial/industrial	33 m	18m	Sep 2010
Tap Mun (Hong Kong)	Tap Mun Police Station	Background: rural	26m	11m	Apr 1998
Tsuen Wan (Hong Kong)	60 Tai Ho Road, Tsuen Wan	Urban: mixed residential/commercial/industrial	21m	17m	Aug 1988
Yuen Long (Hong Kong)	Yuen Long District Office, 269 Castle Peak Road, Yuen Long	New Town: residential	31m	25m	Jul 1995
Tung Chung (Hong Kong)	6 Fu Tung Street, Tung Chung	New Town: residential	34.5m	27.5m	Apr 1999
Taipa Grande (Macao)	Rampa do Observatorio, Taipa Grande	Rural	120m	10m	Mar 1999

Remarks:

- (1) Modiesha station closed permanently owing to insufficient space after the extensive renovation work at station, whereas Nanshadawen station joined the network in the 1st quarter of 2021.
- (2) Wanqingsha station was renamed as Nansha-HKUST station in the 1st quarter of 2019.
- (3) Liyuan station was renamed as Tongxinling station in the 1st quarter of 2019.
- (4) Xijiao station was relocated to Zhangbei Yaowei She Nationality Primary School, Henghe Town, Boluo County, in the 4th quarter of 2019. The distance between the old and new sites is about 200 metres.
- (5) Nanchengyuanling station was relocated to Dongguan administration center in May 2021. The distance between the old and new sites is about 600 metres.

Annex B: Measurement Methods of Air Pollutant Concentration

Pollutants	Measuring Principles
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	UV fluorescence / Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	Chemiluminescence / Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy
Ozone (O ₃)	UV absorption / Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy
Respirable suspended particulates (PM ₁₀)	Oscillating microbalance (TEOM) / Beta particulate monitor
Fine suspended particulates (PM _{2.5})	Oscillating microbalance (TEOM) / Beta particulate monitor / Hybrid nephelometric / radiometric particulate mass monitor
Carbon monoxide (CO)	Gas filter correlation infrared absorption method / Non-dispersive infrared absorption method