

10.11.2004 08:09 PM

Dear Sir/Madam,

After I had heard the presentation given by government official in City University of Hong Kong on Oct 26, 2004, I would like to give me comments on this programme.

For the Stage 2A, I support for the "Option A", as this choice provides the quickest way to solve the harbour pollution problem. However, I suggest that the government should think some alternative solutions or safety procedures for "back-up purpose". Just in case the plant is being attacked or gets machinery problem, then what would be alternative solutions? Will the consequence affect the people's health living in Sham Sui Po and other areas near the plant or alongside the harbour?

For the Stage 2B, would this be possible to hold another discussion (to the public) later when the water quality of Victoria Harbour has significantly improved? I believe that with the strong support of the great success of Stage 2A, public are willing to invest more in harbour protection so that we can have a better environment.

Last but not least, thank you for the bureau to send their officer came to CityU and introduced this policy to us.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Yours Sincerely,  
Geoff Fok  
CityU PSA Year-1 student

---